

The New York City Council

City Hall New York, NY 10007

Legislation Details (With Text)

File #: Res 1445-2012 Version: * Name:

LU 623 - Landmarks, 34 Dominick Street,

Manhattan (20125555 HKM / N 120264 HKM)

Type: Resolution

Status: Adopted

In control: C

Committee on Land Use

On agenda: 7/25/2012

Enactment date:

11201201

Enactment #:

Title:

Resolution affirming the designation by the Landmarks Preservation Commission of the 34 Dominick

Street House, located at 34 Dominick Street (Tax Map Block 578, Lot 63), Borough of Manhattan,

Designation List No. 453, LP-2481 (L.U. No. 623; 20125555 HKM; N 120264 HKM).

Sponsors:

Leroy G. Comrie, Jr., Brad S. Lander

Indexes:

Attachments: 1. Committee Report, 2. Hearing Transcript - Stated Meeting 7-25-12

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
7/24/2012	*	Committee on Land Use	Approved by Committee	
7/25/2012	*	City Council	Approved, by Council	Pass

THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK RESOLUTION NO. 1445

Resolution affirming the designation by the Landmarks Preservation Commission of the 34 Dominick Street House, located at 34 Dominick Street (Tax Map Block 578, Lot 63), Borough of Manhattan, Designation List No. 453, LP-2481 (L.U. No. 623; 20125555 HKM; N 120264 HKM).

By Council Members Comrie and Lander

WHEREAS, the Landmarks Preservation Commission filed with the Council on April 5, 2012 a copy of its designation dated March 27, 2012 (the "Designation"), of the 34 Dominick Street House, located at 34 Dominick Street, Community District 2, Borough of Manhattan, as a landmark and Tax Map Block 578, Lot 63, as its landmark site pursuant to Section 3020 of the New York City Charter;

WHEREAS, the Designation is subject to review by the Council pursuant to Section 3020 of the City Charter;

WHEREAS, the City Planning Commission submitted to the Council on May 25, 2012 its report on the Designation dated May 23, 2012 (the "Report");

WHEREAS, upon due notice, the Council held a public hearing on the Designation on June 19, 2012; and

WHEREAS, the Council has considered the land use implications and other policy issues relating to the Designation;