CITY COUNCIL CITY OF NEW YORK ----- X TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES Of the COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR -----Х JULY 29, 2021 Start: 9:58 A.M. Recess: 10:10 A.M. HELD AT: COUNCIL CHAMBERS, CITY HALL B E F O R E: CHAIR I. DANEEK MILLER COUNCIL MEMBERS: I. DANEEK MILLER ERIC DINOWITZ HELEN ROSENTHAL FRANCISCO MOYA ADRIENNE ADAMS

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR

3

3 CHAIR MILLER: Good morning. I'm I. Daneek Miller and I'm Chair of the Committee on Civil 4 5 Service and Labor. I want to thank you for joining 6 us today for a vote on 2252A. This is Bill that was 7 sponsored by Speaker Corey Johnson that would require 8 labor peace agreement for city human service 9 contractors. A labor peace agreement and arrangement 10 is an arrangement between labor union and an employer 11 in which both sides agree to wave certain rights 12 under federal law regarding union organizing and 13 related to and any related activity. LPAs or labor 14 peace agreements frequently allow employees to 15 unionize and without interference from employer, and 16 also generally allow employers to operate without 17 fear of work stoppage or boycotts. Often state, 18 local governments will pass local ordinances to 19 ensure LPAs, as condition of doing begin business, in 20 other words, the government entities will require 21 those doing business at a government location or 2.2 those entities will require those conducting business 23 with government while also receiving financial 24 assistance from it to sign LPAs in order to continue 25 doing business with the government. During the

2

1	COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR
2	4 committee's hearing on this Bill this past May, we've
3	heard from a number of advocates and stakeholders
4	about the potential impacts of the Bill on the human
5	service sector. Intro 2252 would make LPAs mandatory
6	for human service contractors that have contracts
7	with the city no later than 90 days following the
8	award or the renewal of the city's human service
9	contract. The applicant for the contract would be
10	required to submit an attestation ensuring that the
11	applicant has entered into a labor agreement with the
12	relevant labor union. Each year, the city service
13	contractor would submit an updated version of the
14	certification identifying any changes from the
15	original version. The city controller would be
16	responsible for monitoring, investigating, and
17	ordering compliance by all contracting parties with
18	the law. In addition, the controlled would conduct
19	an annual report to the mayor and the city council
20	analyzing the implementation and enforcement of such
21	section of the law. I'd like to thank my staff, Ali
22	Rasoulinejad (SP?), John Marty (SP?), and the great
23	Joel Goldbloom (SP?). Certainly, Council staff,
24	Nusat Thomas and Nevin (SP?). We've been joined by
25	Council Members Dinowitz, Rosenthal, Moya, and Adams.
I	

1 COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR

2 Does anyone wish to, does anyone wish to speak on 3 Intro 2252? Council Member Rosenthal.

4 CM ROSENTHAL: Thank you very much. 5 Council Member Miller, you're an amazing leader. You're an amazing union leader. You've taught me so 6 7 much about the power of union, and in many ways, you are a big part of why I'm voting yes on this Bill. I 8 support workers in the human services sector. 9 Thev are predominantly women and women of color. Many are 10 11 single moms raising a family on one income. For the 12 past eight years, many of us have worked with the 13 sector to get government to fund the providers enough money to pay their workers a decent wage, provide 14 15 full benefits and a pension, and pay enough overhead 16 for the programs to function. Let me tell you, it's 17 been an uphill battle. Government has gotten away 18 with underpaying this sector for their work since 19 they began as a mission-driven, non-profit born from 20 faith-based institutions. It's been a great ride for government. Cuomo is lauded for requiring a minimum 21 2.2 wage of \$15.00 an hour. I support that, we all 23 support that. We're all very excited, but New York state contracts in the human services sector were 24 25 never increased to pay those workers \$15.00 an hour,

1	COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR
2	6 never increased. So, the man who made the mandate
3	happen, never funded the non-profits that government
4	contracts with to do the work to pay those workers
5	\$15.00 an hour, putting the non-profits in an
6	untenable situation. Summarily, our own Mayor Di
7	Blasio is lauded for free UPK. I too, am delighted
8	and excited. I remember being at several victory
9	rallies, but city government under funds the contract
10	for non-profits who contract with the city to provide
11	UPK and so those non-profits cannot afford to pay the
12	same wages that teachers who are represented by the
13	UFT and get higher wages, the non-profits pay those
14	UPK teacher considerably less money because the
15	contract does not include money to pay the non-profit
16	teachers as much as those who are working in our
17	school, our public schools. So, for that reason,
18	there is high turnover in the non-profits that
19	provide universal Pre-K. Of course, there is. Those
20	teachers know they can get paid more if they work for
21	a city public school, and who is it that loses? Our
22	children because they don't have one teacher all year
23	long. They have several teachers all year long. The
24	truth is, government itself is responsible for having
25	left these roughly 250,000 contracted employees in

1 COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR 2 poverty. Today, we're passing legislation that basically says we have lost the battle to get 3 4 government to pay good wages with good benefits to the human services sector. Let's try a different 5 approach and build on the strength and wins of 6 7 unionized labor which has successfully negotiated for 8 higher wages for their municipal workers, to bring those wages up for those in the non-profit sector. 9 After my eight years fighting with government to do 10 11 the right thing by their contracted non-profits, I'm 12 ready to bring in the power of the union, but there 13 do remain questions raised by this legislation that 14 must be addressed. One, there is no money attached with this Bill. So, if unions are able to negotiate 15 16 to get better wages and benefits for their members, 17 who pays for it? The non-profit literally is capped 18 and doesn't have the money to pay, and they will be 19 put in the unfortunate situation of looking like the 20 bad guy. Secondly, if two non-profits provide the 21 services and only one of the non-profits is 2.2 unionized, and manages to get raises for those 23 workers, somehow, we can talk about ways, but somehow, it means that two non-profits, possibly 24 25 working, I know in my District, were right across the 1 COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR

park from another non-profit that does the exact same 2 3 work, so if one of the non-profits, lets say on the eastside gets unionized, gets more money for their 4 5 workers, and finds a way to pay those workers, what do you think is going to happen with the workers on 6 7 my side of the park, on the upper westside where 8 they're not unionized and they're getting the same 9 shabby wages that the contract, the government contract allows them to pay. These are serious 10 11 issues which must be resolved, and I've spoken with 12 many people who have agreed to address those issues 13 and I look forward to that work. Thank you very much, Council Member Miller for giving me a chance to 14 15 explain my vote.

16 CHAIR MILLER: Thank you so much, Council 17 Member Rosenthal. Any other members of the committee 18 like to speak? I want to thank you for your ongoing 19 advocacy over the past seven and a half years on 20 behalf of human service workers. You've been 21 unrelentless in doing so, you have been their voice 2.2 for seven and a half years on this committee. We 23 have submitted that the best way to support workers is through the right to organize and the right to 24 25 supporting collective bargaining. What we have seen

1	COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR
2	9 is individual workers not having the resources and
3	the ability to negotiate and fair compensation on
4	their behalf, and so again, the tenants of the
5	organized labor movement is the right to organize and
6	the right to collective bargaining, and we support
7	that, and I would just also say that unions and
8	employers aren't always adversaries. Sometimes they
9	work collaborative to ensure that they're supporting
10	the services that are being delivered and equally as
11	important those that are delivering the services, and
12	I look forward to that. I know that you and I will
13	both be paying attention to this, but this is a great
14	day for human service workers here in the city of New
15	York. So, with that, William?
16	LEGISLATIVE CLERK: Good morning, William
17	Martin, Committee Clerk, roll call, Committee on
18	Civil Service and Labor, proposed Introduction 2252A.
19	Chair Miller.
20	CHAIR MILLER: I vote I.
21	LEGISLATIVE CLERK: Rosenthal.
22	CM ROSENTHAL: With reservations, I vote
23	I.
24	LEGISLATIVE CLERK: Adams.
25	CM ADAMS: I.
I	I

1	COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR
2	10 LEGISLATIVE CLERK: Moya:
3	CM MOYA: I vote I.
4	LEGISLATIVE CLERK: Dinowitz.
5	CM DINOWITZ: I.
6	LEGISLATIVE CLERK: By a vote of 5 in the
7	affirmative, zero in the negative, and no
8	abstentions, item has been adopted by the committee.
9	CHAIR MILLER: Okay. With that, this
10	hearing is adjourned.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date August 1, 2021