CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

----X

June 16, 2010 Start: 10:22 am Recess: 12:13 pm

HELD AT: Council Chambers

City Hall

B E F O R E:

ERIK MARTIN DILAN

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Rosie Mendez James S. Oddo Brad Lander

Elizabeth Crowley Melissa Mark-Viverito Jumaane D. Williams

Joel Rivera
Lewis A. Fidler
Eric Ulrich
Gale A. Brewer
Letitia James

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Nancy Clark

Assistant Commissioner of the Bureau of Environmental Disease Prevention
Department of Health and Mental Hygiene

Vito Mustaciuolo

Deputy Commissioner of Enforcement and Neighborhood Services

Department of Housing Preservation and Development

Veronica Mitafuentes

John Whitlow Supervising Attorney Make the Road New York

David Uranya Harvey Epstein Community Development Project Urban Justice Center

Michelle de la Uz Executive Director Fifth Avenue Committee

Adriana Mendoza

Victor Rosario Member Make the Road New York

Marliana Cuchay

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

code enforcement.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Quiet, please.

3 CHAIRPERSON DILAN: Good morning, 4 everyone, I'd like to convene the City Council's 5 Committee on Housing and Buildings. I am the Chair of the Committee, Erik Martin Dilan, and 6 today the Committee will conduct an initial 7 8 hearing on Introduction 224, which is a Local Law to amend the Administrative Code of the city in 9 10 relation to establishing a pilot program for the 11 remediation of mold and vermin in certain multiple 12 dwellings. The City's Department of Housing 13 Preservation and Development is the local agency responsible for ensuring that tenants have a 14 15 decent, safe, and sanitary housing. Central to 16 meeting this obligation is obviously effective

The legislation before us today would create a mold and vermin remediation pilot program, which is intended to alleviate serious mold and vermin problems in the worst 175 buildings in the city by forcing the ownership to make effective repairs or to ensure that HPD effectuate repairs in a more comprehensive fashion so that conditions that may cause asthma are

2.0

2.3

alleviated and that the underlying physical
conditions related to the Housing Code and Health
Code violations are looked at. And this obviously
will deal with the accumulation of water, mold,
rubbish, and vermin or rodent infestations that
are intended to be addressed by the legislation.

Today, the Committee expects to hear and receive testimony regarding this bill from representatives of HPD who are here in attendance, as well as the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, as well as tenant housing advocates, and representatives from the real estate industry.

And as I said earlier, if you want to speak on the legislation, whether in favor or opposed, please see the Sergeant-at-Arms and fill out an appearance card.

And I guess at this time I'll introduce my colleague who is here and is the main sponsor of the bill. I recognize her if she wants to make a brief opening statement and that's Council Member Mendez. Council Member Mendez.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: Thank you very much, Mr. Chair. This legislation is

actually the outcome of a previous legislation that we had in the last legislative session, and it's radically different in that this one establishes a pilot program and establishes a certain amount of buildings that we will look at to do the remediation, instead of creating a citywide law. I think that this Intro makes a lot of sense. We then can analyze what has happened in these 175 buildings of varying units and that we would have real data to then go back and

I want to thank HPD for being here and my colleagues who showed up, and I look forward to listening to your testimony and to that of the advocates. Thank you.

determine what really is the outcome of mold and

vermin on residents throughout New York City.

CHAIRPERSON DILAN: We've also been joined briefly by the--oh, he is sitting behind me, by the Republican Leader Jimmy Oddo, as well as Council Member Brad Lander of Brooklyn.

So today we have two agencies before us to testify. I will let the agencies choose their prerogative as to who goes first.

And at this point, you may begin, just begin by

identifying yourself clearly for the record.

NANCY CLARK: Good morning,

Chairman Dilan and Members of the Housing and
Building Committee. I am Nancy Clark, Assistant
Commissioner of the Bureau of Environmental
Disease Prevention at the Department of Health and
Mental Hygiene. I am joined today by Vito
Mustaciuolo, Deputy Commissioner of Enforcement
and Neighborhood Services at the Department of
Housing Preservation and Development. Thank you
for the opportunity to discuss our commitment to
controlling asthma triggers in homes, and
specifically Intro 224, the Council's Mold and
Vermin Remediation proposal.

As some members of the Committee may know, in addition to promoting improved medical management of people with asthma, the Health Department also promotes the control of asthma triggers in homes. Asthma triggers in the home include secondhand smoke, dust, irritating cleaning products, and strong odors, as well as mold and pest allergens.

Since 1993, the Health Department has issued voluntary guidelines on the assessment

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

and remediation of mold in indoor environments. 2

building owners and workers on issues related to

The purpose of the mold guidelines is to educate

4

indoor mold, offer an approach to assess mold 5

growth, and provide general guidance on the 6

7 removal of mold growth in commercial, school, and

8 residential buildings. The quidelines also advise

that moisture sources be identified and repaired 9

10 so that mold growth will not recur.

11 quidelines recommend that mold growth be removed

12 by washing affected surfaces with soap and water

13 and that measures be taken to prevent the spread

of mold particles from the treated area during 14

15 remediation. In addition to the mold guideline,

16 the department also publishes and distributes

17 other educational materials on correcting mold

problems in buildings. 18

> Safe and effective pest control is also an integral component of our asthma trigger work and involves the practice of Integrated Pest Management, or IPM. IPM relies on methods to prevent pests from entering the home and keeping them away from food and water sources. Common IPM techniques include caulking and sealing cracks and

2 openings, repairing moisture problems, and using
3 safer pest control products.

Building occupants have an important role in preventing pests by cleaning and removing clutter, storing food and garbage in covered containers, and reporting infestations to building owners.

Article 151 of the New York City
Health Code was recently revised to include more
explicit requirements for preventing pests. The
revision shifts the emphasis from the use of
pesticides for pest control to preventing pests
and infestations through Integrated Pest
Management. Article 151 is enforceable by the
Health Department, as well as the Department of
Buildings and HPD.

The Health Department and HPD have a strong working relationship to promote healthy housing, including the reduction of lead paint hazards, pests, and mold. We regularly collaborate on educational initiatives for building owners, contractors, and tenants on ways to reduce health hazards in the home and provide technical assistance to each other when needed.

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

When HPD and DOHMH originally

discussed creating a mold and vermin pilot initiative of up to 100 buildings, the purpose of the pilot was to assess the cost, benefits, and implementation issues of addressing mold and vermin conditions as full buildings, rather than individual responses to specific complaints unit by unit. The program was proposed as a pilot so we could have the flexibility to modify our methods and strategy to achieve the most optimal and cost-effective results. At the end of the process there would be an evaluation piece to see if building-wide approach is effective and identify areas where a program could be improved. In addition, a key component to our pilot would be education for both the owners and tenants alike. Following discussions with the Council and advocates, the original pilot was expanded into Intro 224.

I want to be clear that both DOHMH and HPD support the basic framework of the mold and vermin remediation proposal before you today, however, due to the significant costs that Intro 224 would impose on our agencies, we cannot

support the bill as currently drafted. In the interest of being fiscally responsible, we must examine this program in the context of all of our other mandated responsibilities and ongoing initiatives. Due to the stringent requirements of Intro 224, the pilot, as proposed by the Council, would cost HPD \$7.5 million and DOHMH 1.9 million. The original proposed pilot was estimated to cost HPD approximately 3 million and DOHMH 1.1 million. Regardless of how we implement the pilot program, there will be significant costs to both agencies that must be taken into consideration.

While we are committed to make a mold and vermin initiative work, even a \$5 million price tag would be a huge burden for us at this time as operating budgets continue to be reduced across city agencies. As an example, since the original concept of the pilot, the Health Department, along with other agencies, has experienced a reduction in resources, including a two-thirds reduction in our lot cleaning workforce. We had originally intended to train these employees to assist in implementing the IPM portion of this pilot program, but we are no

longer able to do so. In addition, HPD's PEG
targets were totaled over \$18.2 million and DOHMH
has experienced 10% staff cut to our Lead
Poisoning Prevention and Healthy Homes program,

along with a reduction in federal grant funding.

Compounded, all of these factors severely hamper our ability to comply with the requirements of Intro 224. We are currently exploring additional ways to still reach the goals of the original proposal within our current budget constraints and hope to come back to the Council with more realistic implementation measures soon.

VITO MUSTACIUOLO: Good morning,
Chairman Dilan and Members of the Housing and
Buildings Committee, my name is Vito Mustaciuolo
and I am the Deputy Commissioner for Enforcement
and Neighborhood Services at HPD.

Currently, HPD responds to complaints of vermin and mold conditions when reported by tenants through 3-1-1. In fiscal year 2009, HPD issued almost 15,000 violations for mold, about 2,000 as Class C, immediately hazardous conditions. A mold condition is generally cited as a Class C condition, whether

2.0

it's more than 25 square feet in a room or 100 square feet in an apartment. HPD also issued more than 31,000 vermin violations siting mice, roaches, and other vermin in 2009.

As proposed by the bill before you today, HPD and DOHMH would choose buildings with the highest number of open hazardous and immediately hazardous mold and vermin violations and Health Code violations related to the accumulation of water, mold, rubbish, and vermin or rodent infestation to participate in the pilot program. HPD and DOHMH would notify property owners that they have been chosen to participate in the pilot program and would also need to notify the tenants of the multiple dwellings and the Council Members in whose district the buildings are located.

Once the owners have been notified, they would have three months to correct existing violations related to the accumulation of water, mold, rubbish, and vermin, or rodent infestation. If the owner of the property believes that the violations have already been corrected, he or she could request a reinspection from HPD. A

reinspection would have to be conducted within 60 days of the receipt of the request, and HPD would have to provide a written response to that request within 20 days of the reinspection.

In order for a building to be deemed substantially complied the owner would have to correct 100% of all B and C mold violations and 80% of all other B and C violations that are related to this pilot initiative using DOHMH's current mold guidelines and Integrated Pest Management measures.

MPD would then have to register the multiple dwelling and monitor the building's compliance for nine months before releasing the building from the program. [Coughs] Excuse me. In addition, HPD and DOHMH or a designated notfor-profit would also have to provide information on home-based hazards and measures for the control and elimination of mold, vermin, and rodents to distribute to owners, managing agents, and tenants before the building could be discharged from the mold and vermin remediation program.

Although the bill requires HPD to monitor buildings for an additional nine months,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

we believe from our experience that six months is a more appropriate monitoring period. Similar to the Alternate Enforcement Program, if the owner of the property does not submit a dismissal request after three months, HPD would perform a buildingwide inspection in which we would coordinate with the DOHMH where appropriate. If underlying conditions warrant the replacement of any systems, HPD would file an order with the local County Clerk's office to order the owner to replace those If vermin or rodent infestations are systems. present, DOHMH would issue an order for the owner to implement an Integrated Pest Management system throughout the building. Within 30 days of the filing of the order, HPD would prepare a scope of work to correct the violations and DOHMH would provide information to owners on how to implement pest management strategies.

As HPD cannot reasonably

participate in the daily maintenance of a

privately owned building, we believe the bill

should be revised to allow DOHMH and HPD to

develop an alternative IPM protocol to address

vermin issues for the pilot program. HPD would

2.0

reassess the progress of work performed to correct violations on a quarterly basis. If after three months work is not progressing in a timely fashion or an owner has not requested reinspection, the agencies would have to conduct a building-wide inspection and issue an order to correct the mold and vermin violations and related underlying conditions.

would then take over the repairs. Given our experience with the Alternative Enforcement Program, we believe that owners should have six months to correct violations instead of three since the owner needs to secure financing, work with tenants to arrange access and/or relocation, hire a contractor, and file for appropriate permits in order to begin the necessary work.

Lastly, Intro 224 would require both agencies to report back to the Council on the results of the remediation pilot, the effectiveness of the pilot program, the most effective pest management and mold remediation methods that were utilized, and issue recommendations on whether or not the pilot should

2.0

2.3

be extended or modified.

As you can see from both Nancy and my comments, the pilot program before you today, would require great efforts and resources by both agencies.

In summary, I would like to
emphasize that we are committed to working with
you to develop a mold and vermin remediation
program that works for owners and tenants alike,
while also providing the agencies with flexibility
in its implementation and evaluation.

We have closely collaborated with you over the years on many initiatives and look forward to continuing that relationship in order to hold recalcitrant owners responsible for their property when they fail to maintain their buildings in a healthy and safe manner. However, in this difficult fiscal environment, we must be cognizant of the cost of any new measures.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify before you today. Nancy and I will be happy to answer any questions you may have at this time.

CHAIRPERSON DILAN: Before we get

to questions, just a little housekeeping on
members who have arrived. We've been joined by
Council Member Elizabeth Crowley of Queens,
Council Member Melissa Mark-Viverito of Manhattan,
Council Member Jumaane Williams of Brooklyn,
Council Member Joel Rivera of the Bronx, Council
Member Lew Fidler of Brooklyn.

I'm going to just make a brief statement then defer to Council Member Mendez for the opening round of questions. Just by listening to your testimony, it sounds like conceptually we're in the same--basically on the same sheet of music and I would to some degree say I agree with your assessment that we have to be mindful of costs and try to do this in a more cost efficient manner, so I look forward to working with both agencies to get this done and if we can do it more cost effectively for the city and for the industry as well, I look forward to that. But I would hope that our objections over cost would not keep the bill or the pilot program from becoming a reality.

So with that, I'll go to Council Member Mendez for questioning, and she'll be followed by Council Member Williams.

2 COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: Thank you

very much, Mr. Chair. My first question is regarding inter-agency cooperation and you mentioned here that you will be working together. Can you explain a little bit more fully how that would work? I've had issues with other agencies working together at least trying to resolve common problems, it just takes a little work. And one of the issues that when we were discussing this legislation that sort of came to my mind is if a building inspector comes over and issues a violation for vermin and if DOHMH comes over, who has jurisdiction about the vermin if it's in an apartment or building-wide and how would that work cooperatively between the two agencies?

[Pause]

VITO MUSTACIUOLO: As you can tell from our response, we do have a great working relationship. DOHMH and HPD have for many years have had a great partnership on issues such as lead paint hazards, mold in the past, vermin infestation. We share information from our databases on a daily basis. HPD does have jurisdiction when a complaint is received for

infestation within an apartment. If we do find that a condition is beyond the apartment, if it's building-wide, we do make referrals to DOHMH, we do joint inspections together with DOHMH, in fact, we even have joint training programs for our inspectors. So the collaboration is there and certainly the commitment on both agency's parts to make a pilot successful is there as well. Would you like to--

NANCY CLARK: Yeah, I would just add that in our new pest control Article in the Health Code, Article 151 which requires building owners to use Integrated Pest Management techniques, that we provided for and have agreement from both Department of Buildings and HPD to be enforcement agents for that Article. So that part of the Health Department's program extends beyond our agency to other enforcers.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: And in terms of my example about a violation for vermin and rats, who has initial jurisdiction, would that be DOHMH? I mean, we certainly don't want to make owners get two violations for the same issue.

NANCY CLARK: Yeah, he can jump in

if I overstep. We actually both have jurisdiction on this program, we're certainly completely collaborated so that wouldn't happen. The bulk of inspections will be carried out by HPD, but the Health Department will be very engaged in reviewing it every step of the way. And on pest violations it'll be an order from the Health Department to the building owner, whether or not it's issued by us, by Health, or by HPD, but you have to see it as a unified team. So in this case, we wouldn't be so much agency by agency, but as this kind of Healthy Homes Initiative team.

On page two of your testimony, you run through some of the numbers of what this pilot program would cost--7.5 million for HPD, 1.9 million for DOHMH, as opposed to the pilot as originally proposed would have been 3 million for HPD and 1.1 million for DOHMH. Are these numbers based just on the number of buildings in the pilot program or these numbers also based on what you estimate the agency will have to pay if the owners do not comply?

VITO MUSTACIUOLO: Yeah [off mic].

10 of heat season, when we had resources available

11 during the summertime so we did not consider or

contemplate an increase in staffing. With the

proposed pilot of 175 buildings, we would actually 13

14 have to staff up to implement that program.

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In addition to which, with the increased number of buildings, we anticipated that the agency would also have to step in and conduct more emergency repair work, do more systems replacement work, obviously with a larger number of buildings.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: Based on your experience of compliance of owners in the past?

VITO MUSTACIUOLO: Based on our experience of compliance, we've also looked at the

2.0

2.3

Alternative Enforcement Program, which is a
program that we're currentlywhere we're doing
systems replacement. So we've looked at the
success rate of owners in that program and where
HPD has had to step in

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: When you say you're going to need to increase staff, is that because you need to hire additional or because there's been an attrition and now you need to bump those numbers back up?

VITO MUSTACIUOLO: No, it would mean that we would have to hire staff to actually implement the pilot program as proposed.

[Pause]

three of your testimony under requirements under Intro 224, you talk about how many violations—15,000—were issued for mold and how many were C violations. Can I ask you, of those violations that were issued, do you have any numbers of how many were reoccurring violations after they were cured, the same violation came back in the same location at the same apartment?

VITO MUSTACIUOLO: I do not have

that number available at this time, we can certainly get back to you with that. What I do know though is that the number of violations that were issued, the 15,000 that we cited, that's both a combination of Class B and C violations for mold, we issued that in approximately 6,100 distinct buildings. So there were certainly conditions that we saw that reoccurred, but we will have to do a more in-depth analysis to tell you how often that happened.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: Thank you, and when you have that, if you could share that with the Committee, that would be very helpful.

You mention the cost to the agency, and certainly during these fiscal times that's something we need to be very cognizant of. We will certainly like to work with the Administration to figure out ways of bringing down costs. What, if any, measures, besides reducing the number of buildings in the pilot project do you think would help bring down costs? Looking at some of the timelines that we've set in this pilot, if we tweak some of those timelines, would that help bring down the costs?

1	COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 24
2	[Off mic]
3	[Pause]
4	VITO MUSTACIUOLO: Yeah, I really,
5	I believe that the timelines are certainly a
6	factor, but I think just kind of tweaking the
7	timelines will not significantly reduce the cost.
8	What I think we would bothboth agencies would
9	like to work on is to look at some of the existing
10	programs that we have and to see if we can expand
11	on some of the existing programs that would result
12	in less of a cost to the agencies. So as opposed
13	to creating a whole new program and basically
14	building it from scratch, look at what we have and
15	see if we can expand on that.
16	[Pause]
17	COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: Thank you.
18	If you can talk me through how HPD would
19	prioritize how some of the buildings will be
20	selected for this program and some of the
21	targeting of either geographic locations or
22	buildings with unit size, how you plan to target
23	some of them.
24	VITO MUSTACIUOLO: I'm sorry, are

you referring to the pilot as--right, yeah. I

numbers based on the 100 buildings and 175
buildings, and what we looked at as a measure were
pre-existing or open violations for conditions
such as mold and vermin infestation. The areas
that the buildings fell into pretty much fell in
line with what the Department of Health has been
monitoring as areas of where there are high cases
of asthmatics. So we believe that the
neighborhoods that we identified based on just our
violation information seems to have coordinated
according to what the health statistics are.

mean, we really didn't look at it as if we were going to take 100 or 175 buildings and come up with a priority order within that group. I mean, we looked at it as if the pilot had 100 buildings, we would have to address the 100 buildings. So I'm not quite certain, I mean, we didn't really look at within that 100 coming up with a prioritization of those 100 buildings. What we really felt strongly though was that the education piece would have a huge effect on, not only the buildings in the program, but also in the

2	surrounding buildings in the community because we
3	really had hoped to implement an education program
4	that went beyond addressing the owners of those
5	buildings and the tenants within those buildings.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: Thank you,
7	Mr. Chair, if we have time at the end, I might
8	want to come back for another question, but thank
9	you very much.
10	CHAIRPERSON DILAN: Council Member
11	Williams, followed by Lander.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Thank
13	you, Mr. Chair, and thank you, Assistant
14	Commissioners, for your testimony, I know we have
15	some people being translated so I want to say
16	hola, buenos dias.
17	First, and I know this was great
18	testimony, just well first, and I'm sorry I missed
19	the beginning, was there an original pilot that
20	you had proposed and now it's being changed? I
21	know there was a reference to an original pilot,
22	so is that one that existed?
23	VITO MUSTACIUOLO: That we had
24	discussed in an earlier proposal, yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: All

right. And just really briefly, and I was here, but just walk me through again how the pilot works, how the program was going to work.

NANCY CLARK: Just in a nutshell, the way the pilot program would work is that Health Department, along with HPD, we would select buildings primarily based on open violations and history, we would give a notice to building owners along with their tenants, as well as the electeds in the area that these buildings had been selected for this program, and that we expected the building owners to correct all the violations. We'd give them a timeframe in which to do that, once they notified us that the violations had been corrected, we'd do a--HPD would do a inspection to verify that.

If the owner doesn't correct or doesn't notify us, then we would do a building-wide inspection and order accordingly based on-but looking at mold and pest violations of different units. We would then monitor the building owners' compliance with our orders and monitor them for some time frame.

In the meantime, we'd be offering

2.0

2.3

education both to building owners and also to
tenants. And well in our original proposal we
also wanted to extend those educational
initiatives to the community at large, hoping to
get leverage as much as we can from that.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: And before I continue, I just wanted to make sure I said it's great to see two agencies working together to try to deal with an issue and it'd be great to see that happen more often.

So now also when you discussed how many instances of the B and C violations, particularly in the mold and the rat, do you have an average time of how long it takes for an owner to cure it and how long it took on average for HPD or Mental Health to--I'm sorry, I said the agency wrong, but how long it took for an agency to step in?

VITO MUSTACIUOLO: Again, I don't have that detailed analysis, but I will certainly get you the information.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: That will be great. Also, my problem is, there's laws on the books now that owners just kind of ignore.

Yeah, I mean,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

What is the teeth in this pilot program, what's going to force the owners to do it, even if you have to follow up and then the whole process, what happens if they still don't make the remediations?

VITO MUSTACIUOLO:

this pilot, as all our enforcement efforts, we do have the ability to step in and perform work for the emergency repair program and actually that's really where a bulk of the cost will come into play, but when we did our analysis we did look at how much additional work the agency would have to do if an owner did not comply. There are other measures that we can take, we can initiate a court action, so we can start a comprehensive litigation case if an owner doesn't comply. So there are various alternatives. The Health Department has an administrative tribunal that perhaps we can avail ourselves of.

But, again, what we're really looking to do is to kind of approach this as a building-wide issue as opposed to an apartment by apartment specific case-by-case basis, and we really believe that the education piece--and we have seen it in other areas where we have

conducted owners' nights and we are actually, as

Commissioner Cestero, in his testimony last week

to the Committee, mentioned we're starting tenant

education nights. So we believe that we can

accomplish much of what we hope to through the

pilot program through education.

firsthand that you're a genuine and trying to get this stuff worked out and I appreciate it, and I'm assuming Ms. Clark is the same. But just for being on the other side, there are great tools like the court action, it just takes so long to actually get that started. Does this make that happen quicker or it's just combining efforts? There's going to be no—is there going to be no time saved with this new program?

VITO MUSTACIUOLO: Yeah, honestly

I'm not quite certain that the pilot program would

necessarily get us to that end any quicker. It

certainly would not get us into Housing Court any

quicker, but, again, looking at the building as a

comprehensive approach, I think there are some

benefit to that because, again, we would not be

addressing conditions with just within an

how to get that done and how to put some teeth if

VITO MUSTACIUOLO:

think we should pursue that and have conversations

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS:

I certainly

Thank

20

21

22

23

24

25

owners don't do it.

with the Council to that end.

you.

CHAIRPERSON DILAN: Yeah, I would also add that the legislation and the pilot program requires the problem to be corrected within three months and then HPD would be forced to step in to correct the problem if the owner doesn't act, so that's a bit of information for the Council Member.

Council Member Lander, then after that, the list is open so if any members want to jump in, just please grab my attention, Council Member Lander.

much, Mr. Chairman, and to Council Member Mendez for introducing the bill. I want to start by extending on Council Member Williams' praise of the collaboration, not just with the agencies, but with the advocates that are here, with the Council, with the Administration. This is a significant problem in confronting asthma and confronting the mold and pest triggers. And, obviously, what was initially proposed was quite broad and something that in concept I still support and I think, to the credit of the

Administration, rather than saying we're not ready to take significant steps so we can't do anything, to design a smart pilot program to bring these two agencies together and to really give us some data on what will work and whether the new Integrated Pest Management and this approach works is great. And I'd love to see more of that, when we identify a common problem that we work together to develop this kind of solution. So I want to start by saying thank you for that.

Just a couple of questions about how we're going to do it. First on the funding, is this something that the federal home dollars could be used to support? I mean, I know there's a limited amount of home dollars in the agency, but....

NANCY CLARK: We would love to tap into federal dollars to support this program. Our original discussions of the pilot when we first started talking with HPD was let's get a pilot off the ground, let's go after this on a building-wide because that's what's innovative here, looking at the building as a whole. Because I think everybody in New York City knows that it's hard to

control pests and sometimes mold unit by unit, but to really go after the full building.

We would hope that one of the successes of this program would be a package that we could present to the federal funding agencies and look for dollars to support that, and we think that this is a program that's innovative and has some real hallmarks for success. I think most agencies have done a lot of work in the area, there's a lot of support both from the Council and from the community at large and the advocates that we think make that a very compelling—could make it a compelling proposal.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: That's great in the long-term for [off mic], I guess I was thinking more in the short-term that I think some chunk of the HPD inspectors have in the past been funded either with home dollars or with Community Development Block Grant dollars where they're either in CD-eligible census tracts or.... And sometimes since those home and CDBG dollars are otherwise used by the agency, both on the expense side but also on the capital side, there might be a way to make sure we can do this in the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

smartest and most resource efficient way. know Vinnie's job is to actually get buildings fixed and inspected, make sure people are following the code and not necessarily to figure out how to put the federal dollars into, you know--but it's something I would love to know if we could use existing home and CDBG money that the city has. Long-term it'd be great to bring in new dollars, obviously, that's what we all would like. In the short-term, we want to get this program then we want to get up and running so we probably don't have time to apply for a competitive federal program, but if existing federal resources can be used, there might be some opportunity to figure out how to structure this in a way that gets done but is the smartest use of the dollars we have.

Another question and it may also be one that takes some follow up is what will happen when--I hope that the experiences that you send the notices and people fix it, or if they don't you come out and you instruct them with the scope and they fix it, but obviously, there are going to be some times when that doesn't happen and when the agency is going to have to come in using

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

emergency repair dollars and put things right. Ι know we've had some back and forth in the past about the status of getting those things then registered as enforceable and foreclosable liens against the building. This is specifically about this program, but of course goes to the broader issue that Council Member Williams was talking about, how we can strengthen enforcement tools to get owners to comply. And so I wondered, I don't know when DOHMH issues violations, I mean, I know on HPD violations for the most part, ERP currently gets registered, but not as a foreclosable lien and that we need Albany to make some rule adjustments so that we can do that, both to increase the likelihood people would comply because they don't want foreclosable liens on their building, but also so that if they don't over time, we have the ability to go in and exercise that lien so if people have really been so negligent that they don't take care of their buildings, the city and step in. So I don't know if you have a sense of how this would relate to those issues and I don't know whether the DOHMH fines becomes lienable, but it would be great if

them.

that, but we were looking at it, but I don't have a concrete answer for it.

that, I think partly let's keep moving forward on the conversation. It's my understanding that making those changes would require legislation in Albany and we should work together to be asking for it so that we--I mean, I think you're right that making them eligible for a lien sale or for third-party transfer or in rem action, you'd rather not go there, but if we have people who first are allowing all these asthma triggers to develop in their buildings, then don't fix it when we ask, then don't pay the ERP lien when we go in and do the work, we need to be in a position at that point to take more aggressive action against

In the meantime, if HPD has the power to make it lienable and DOHMH doesn't, I hope we'll work hard to have them be the ones that write as many of the violations as possible, just so that we can use what enforcement tools that we have. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON DILAN: Thank you very

much. We were also briefly joined by Council

Member Eric Ulrich of Queens, we've now been

joined by Council Member Gale Brewer of Manhattan.

Do any other members have questions? Okay, I'm

going to jump in and just continue along the lines

of where I started at the outset, and it's just

questions on the budget.

Council Member Williams asked a question that you answered that your agency, being HPD, was actually looking at another program where the costs were possibly lower. I guess what's the difference in costs between the legislation before us and the program that maybe one or both of the agencies had envisioned?

VITO MUSTACIUOLO: When we originally proposed the--gave our proposal of the 100 buildings, that was some time ago. And since then and, again, as in the Commissioner's testimony, in the last 18 months we have seen an \$18 million reduction in our budget, which we did not anticipate when we first discussed the proposal.

We had also hoped that we would assume some other savings in other programs such

2 as the Alternative Enforcement Program.

Unfortunately or fortunately, whichever way you look at it, we have actually spent more money in the Alternative Enforcement Program so we cannot assume a savings in that program. We believe that that money is well spent and we believe that that program continues to be a huge success.

I think the major differences, again, between the original pilot that we had recommended and the Council proposal that we're talking about today really have to do with the number of buildings and the timeliness of the proposal. We were, again, anticipating doing a pilot during our off-heat seasons so we can use existing resources from both code enforcement as well as from our Emergency Repair Program, that we would not have to take resources away during a critical time of year--heat season. So, again, the pilot, we were suggesting to do it during the summertime.

With the increased number of buildings, we're also seen an increased number--or assuming an increased number of systems replacement work that would need to be done.

Again, the purpose of this program is really not just to come in with your emergency repair work and just address the mold condition, but actually determine what the underlying cause was and address that underlying cause. increasing the number of buildings, obviously, that also increased the potential for us to spend more money.

[Pause]

CHAIRPERSON DILAN: Frankly, I think the amount of dollars that we're talking about, I understand that we're in a crisis but we're talking about a small amount of money so, again, I would hope that we could find ways to bring the cost of this pilot program down.

After the 18 months is complete, I didn't hear any stated goals as to what the agencies are looking to achieve and what action will be taken by either agency upon completion of the program.

NANCY CLARK: As you know, the pilot is structured in a way that there's an evaluation following an 18-month period of activity. Based on that evaluation we'd be making

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

recommendations to either change the way things that we learned and what would we suggest going forward and whether or not we think the whole building approach is something that actually works, that's kind of the question before us. We think it makes sense, but we'd like to have some data under our belt in order to move forward. I think that's what we envision a pilot for is to look at ways to proceed, looking at the best way to select, did we select the right building, were those the right criteria, and was the buildingwide approach -- addressing Councilman William's question about does it go faster, how long does it take compared to other types of remediation. think the evaluation will pave the way for us to make a recommendation going forward.

CHAIRPERSON DILAN: So I just want to get into a series of questions. I guess where an owner fails to respond to a notification that the owner's building has been selected to join this program or fails to properly correct violations, I guess, at that time will HPD step in and prepare a scope of work, will it issue an order to the owner to correct the violations, but

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

also, as you mentioned, deal with these underlying conditions. In these properties in which a building-wide inspection is required and a scope of work is necessary, how do you expect to determine what repairs need to be made to correct

related underlying conditions?

VITO MUSTACIUOLO: Again, we equate this really to the Alternative Enforcement Program where our technical staff and our inspectors are conducting inspections in these buildings in a comprehensive approach, they're identifying the source of where the leaks are coming from. If you look at the Alternative Enforcement Program, almost every building that we are actively involved in we are replacing roofs. We look at the history of repairs in the building that would suggest that we had repaired the roof numerous times but repairs were not necessarily what it required, it needed a full replacement. Similar to water leaks as opposed to coming in and replacing a section of the domestic water supply, we're actually doing complete re-pipes in these buildings.

So we're really looking at the root

2.0

2.3

of the	e problem	and	addressing	that,	and	we're
doina	it with	techi	nical staff			

CHAIRPERSON DILAN: Who will estimate the costs of doing the repairs and how much time will an owner be given to correct once an order is issued?

VITO MUSTACIUOLO: Well we do our cost estimates for scoping purposes where we put the work out for bid, we don't generally supply that information to the owners. With respect to the time allowed for an owner to correct, I believe that the owner had three months to correct, right.

CHAIRPERSON DILAN: I just want to shift to mold remediation a little bit. I know there can be several ways that mold could be created, but just from my perspective, I know very little about how mold is remediated. I guess, how did the Department of Mental Health develop the mold guidelines and what issues do these guidelines address?

NANCY CLARK: Hi, the Health

Department's mold guidelines have actually been

out almost 20 years. We started the program or

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

looking at the issue of mold in buildings since 2 3 1993. The latest version of that was issued in 2008 and they've been updated to reflect our 4 greater understanding of mold in buildings.

> And the mold guidelines themselves are actually pretty straightforward. They give an approach, describe an approach to building owners on how to identify mold and how to trace--actually we're not that explicit, but we urge the building owner to identify the moisture problem because mold won't grow without moisture, so moisture intrusion is a big part of the picture, whether or not it's a roof leak, a plumbing leak, building envelope leak that we would expect the person who knows the building the best to identify those moisture problem.

Then as I said, it's pretty straightforward, it's a work practice procedure that would instructs or recommends to building workers and owners to remove the mold on surfaces that can be preserved with pretty--as again, pretty straightforward, soap and water can remove the mold, and then on hard surfaces and on porous surfaces the guidelines actually would recommend

23

24

25

Τ	COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 40
2	that those services be replaced. But in any case,
3	during that work, we recommend that the area when
4	large surfaces are affected, that the work be done
5	in a way that doesn't disburse mold particles
6	because those particles can be allergenic and
7	triggers to some people. So that's really the
8	gist of the guideline.
9	CHAIRPERSON DILAN: Do the
10	guidelines address any steps that tenants can take
11	to prevent the growth or spread of mold at all?
12	NANCY CLARK: So they're really
13	directed at the building owner themselves, the
14	mold guidelines are.
15	CHAIRPERSON DILAN: All right, so
16	let me ask this then
17	NANCY CLARK: [Interposing] I think
18	the best thing thatI'm sorry, the thing that a
19	tenant can do is make sure they're reporting
20	moisture intrusions or mold problems early on to
21	building owners to get that going.

CHAIRPERSON DILAN: So I want to just ask, in the 20 years that this has been out, how often has the mold guidelines been revised?

NANCY CLARK: Oh, I think three

2.0

2 | times? Yeah, three times.

CHAIRPERSON DILAN: Three times?

And have the guidelines ever been subject to review by the Department as I guess part of a structured correctional maintenance program, where their effectiveness is monitored?

NANCY CLARK: No, the guidelines have not been evaluated in terms of their effectiveness. The work procedures that the guidelines cover, in fact, we're not aware of any effectiveness evaluations that have been done really anywhere. So we would be looking forward to see how they do work and we're also—they're common sense so we think that that's the right approach, but they have not explicitly been evaluated.

CHAIRPERSON DILAN: So how does the Integrated Pest Management approach address the rodent and pest violations, and I guess, what does the proper implementation of IPM require?

NANCY CLARK: Thanks, IPM is an approach to controlling pests that moves us away, moves both building owners and tenants away from using pesticides. In the end, pesticides may give

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

a short-term fix, but it's been shown that it's just not a way to overall reduce pest infestations. Those pests tend to get used to whatever pesticides are being used and also results in a burden of unwanted chemicals in a home environment. IPM system really looks at how can you deny pests food and water and shelter, so the basic techniques that are used are to seal cracks and holes so that mice and -- and we're really talking mice and roaches on the interior environment. And again these are simple common sense measures--caulking, sealing cracks, and holes that keeps pests out, again, identifying moisture sources and correcting those and using safer pest control.

On the occupant side also requires that people also take measures to prevent pests taking over, keeping foods covered, keeping garbage covered, taking garbage out. Relies also on the building owner to make sure they're keeping garbage rooms clean and keeping garbage pickups and keeping it contained.

CHAIRPERSON DILAN: I'm going to sum up, I'm just going to say as it relates to the

questioning around mold and those guidelines, I do think that at the end of this process, if there are some recommended steps that tenants can take to avert mold, I think that at the end of this process hopefully you could look at that and have

that added to the guidelines going forward.

And I just want to just sum up by saying do you have any suggestions with the way the legislation is proposed to find things or things that you may believe drive up the costs, is there a way to potentially maybe drive down the cost on what it costs to abate mold or is there more cost effective ways to do the IPM, do you have any suggestions as to what really drives the costs up in this bill where you find it to be unacceptable?

NANCY CLARK: It's probably really a better question for Vito, but I think we think that the driving up of the costs are the number of buildings and the potential for a systems replacement, those are the most costly items on the bill. Certainly, there's a cost to us, to the agencies for staff and program support, but I think the lift is on the repairs.

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON DILAN: So [off mic] 2 3 Vito, why don't you answer the question, Vito? 4 VITO MUSTACIUOLO: Right, and as 5 Nancy said, I mean, certainly that that does 6 impact the cost. We have been looking at some of 7 the existing programs that we already have in 8 place to see if we can better utilize those 9 programs to get us one step further. Again, for 10 instance, if the Alternative Enforcement Program, 11 if we are already doing systems replacement work, 12 can we do more within that program to better achieve this goal. So we're looking at a number 13 14 of other options that we would like to sit with 15 the Council and the staff to discuss as to how we 16 could do this in a way that makes sense for the 17 agency, makes sense for the tenants, and makes 18 sense for the administration. 19 CHAIRPERSON DILAN: Council Member 2.0 Mendez--oh, we've been joined by Council Member 21 James, I don't know if Council Member James has

Mendez--oh, we've been joined by Council Member

James, I don't know if Council Member James has

any questions. All right, so I'll go Council

Member Williams and close with Council Member

Mendez.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: My

1	COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 5
2	question was, I was trying to just ascertain, I
3	know money was an issue and you also gave some
4	other kind of structure issues that you had.
5	Which one is causing you the most to oppose it, is
6	it the money or is it the changes that you think
7	need to be made?
8	VITO MUSTACIUOLO: Well I think,
9	again, the changes from the original proposal to
10	the current proposal certainly had a fiscal
11	impact, but even when we looked at the original
12	proposal, again, we had suggested that some time
13	ago, our budget situation was much different then.
14	So going forward, we really need to kind of
15	reevaluate any pilot program that we would be able
16	to enter into and to see what the impact would be
17	on the budget.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: So your
19	biggest opposition is the money.
20	VITO MUSTACIUOLO: It's certainly a
21	concern of ours, yes.
22	CHAIRPERSON DILAN: Council Member
23	Mendez.
0.4	COUNCIL MEMBER MENDER. Blank

24 COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: Thank you. I think I've heard the answer, but I just want to 25

make sure. While the discussions on the pilot program to Introduction increased less than double from 100 to 175, again, looking at your numbers on page two, for DOHMH, the increase from 100 to 175 buildings increases from 1.1 to 1.9, that's less than half, but for HPD it more than doubles from 3 million to 7.5 million. Is that because you're anticipating that those buildings you will need to be doing more system repairs or is it just staff or a combination of the two?

VITO MUSTACIUOLO: It is absolutely a combination of the two. We do believe that we would end up doing more systems replacement work in a greater number of buildings. But certainly the staffing is a critical part of that analysis because the original proposal, again, we were looking to use existing staff during the offseason. With the recommended proposal going up to 175 buildings within an 18-month period, we would actually have to staff up an entire program for 18 months so we would have to bring on additional staff. The alternative would be to do less heat inspections, which is not a trade-off that we were willing to make.

2.0

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: And this is
my last question, in reference to in the past when
homeowners have been advised that there are
emergency violations and if they don't take care
of them, the city will step in. In how many of
those instances percentagewise has the city had to
step in to do the work?

VITO MUSTACIUOLO: Are you talking specifically about mold or just in general?

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: In general.

VITO MUSTACIUOLO: Yeah--

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: And if you have them for mold, then for mold as well, but I want to know in general.

VITO MUSTACIUOLO: Yeah, for mold, through our Emergency Repair Program, we spent approximately \$500,000 doing emergency repair work in buildings where owners did not correct a Class C violation, and that was in fiscal year 2009. I do have, I don't have with me, but the percentages of owner compliance based on the issuance of a Class C violation verses where the agency has had to step in, and I will certainly make sure that we get you that information.

2.0

2				COUNCI	L MEN	IBER	MENDEZ:	T	hank	you
3	very	much,	and	thank	you,	Mr.	Chair.			

CHAIRPERSON DILAN: All right, seeing no other questions from members, I'd like to thank Mr. Mustaciuolo and Ms. Clark for appearing before the Committee. And, yeah, obviously, we look forward to further discussions on this program, and would like to one day get to its disposition so that we could have it out in the neighborhoods that we mutually represent. So I'd like to thank you for coming in today.

And we'll call our first panel of witnesses. [Pause] We're going to call John Whitlow, Mr. David Uranya [phonetic], and Veronica Mitafuentes [phonetic]. [Pause] That'd be the next panel, she just [off mic], she just [off mic]. [Long pause] The next panel.

FEMALE VOICE: Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON DILAN: And then this panel will be followed by Michelle de la Uz, Victor Rosario, Adriana Mendoza, and, I don't know how to say this, but Maray Cochiatay [phonetic], and correct me on that pronunciation, I apologize for that, that's the next panel.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

My name is John Whitlow and I'm a supervising attorney at Make the Road New York, a nonprofit organization based in the communities of Bushwick, Brooklyn, Jackson Heights, Queens, and Port Richmond, Staten Island. We work to promote economic justice, equity, and opportunity for all New Yorkers. Our organization consists of over 7,000 members, most of whom are immigrants and many of whom live in substandard housing. I submit this testimony on behalf of Make the Road New York and thank the Committee for the opportunity to participate in this hearing, and I'd like to particularly thank Council Member Mendez and Dilan for their support.

Make the Road New York supports the

2.0

2.3

proposed pilot program which identifies 175
buildings around the city that have the highest
numbers of asthma triggering Housing Code
violations and seeks the remediation through a
combination of enforcement mechanisms. Make the
Road has been working on this issue for some time.
Many of our members principally in Bushwick suffer
from major environmental health problems,
including asthma.

According to the 2007 Department of Health and Mental Hygiene Community Health
Profile, Bushwick and Williamsburg have a higher combined rate of asthma in children and adults than the Bronx or Harlem; both Bushwick and Williamsburg and adult asthma rate of 9%, higher than the New York City and Brooklyn average of 5%.

A joint study conducted by Make the Road New York and Wyckoff Medical Center published in 2006 found a strong correlation between incidents of asthma and poor housing conditions.

More specifically, the study found that 69% of asthmatics had cockroaches in their homes, 47% had rodent infestations, and 30% had mold conditions.

In the course of our work with

2.0

2.3

tenants suffering from asthma, Make the Road New York has come to the conclusion that Housing Code enforcement system that does not recognize the link between asthma and housing conditions leaves asthmatic New Yorkers stuck in homes where they are literally unable to breathe.

As a housing attorney representing tenants struggling to get much-needed repairs in their apartments, I have found that even when we are able to force landlords to remediate conditions that lead to asthma, usually through protracted Housing Court litigation, these conditions often recur. This is especially true with respect to violations relating to mold, which are often dealt with by repairing the surface condition without actually addressing the underlying cause of the problem.

In short, the current enforcement system which overlooks the correlation between housing violations and environmental health problems and does not effectively get at underlying structural housing conditions fails to ensure that tenants are able to live in homes free of the conditions that cause asthma.

community input.

Through our work combating asthma,

Make the Road has advocated for a more holistic
approach to eliminating asthma triggering
conditions in our members and all New Yorkers'
homes. We have emphasized the connection between
housing conditions, such as mold, vermin, and
rodent infestation, and asthma, and have advocated
for more stringent inspection remediation methods
with respect to these violations, with a focus on
addressing in an efficient, timely manner
underlying structural housing conditions that lead
to the recurrence of asthma triggering violations.
We have also emphasized the importance of an open,
transparent process informed by tenant and

For the following reasons the proposed pilot program is a positive step toward improving the current enforcement system and moving toward a system which eliminates asthma triggering housing conditions. First, the program explicitly recognizes the correlation between asthma and certain housing conditions and implicitly recognizes the unique and sometimes life-threatening situation confronted by

2.0

asthmatics living in substandard housing.

Second, the program lays out a framework in which HPD and DOH will work collaboratively to ensure that asthma triggering violations are reduced.

Third, the program requires that HPD monitor and evaluate participating buildings to ensure continued compliance.

Fourth, the program explicitly states that where landlords do not timely correct the requisite percentage of violations, the city will perform the necessary work with all amounts for expenses constituting a lien against the property.

And fifth, after 24 months, HPD and DOH will report to the Council on the effectiveness of the program, including an evaluation of best practices for remediating asthma triggering violations.

In conclusion, for all these reasons, Make the Road New York urges the Committee to approve the proposed pilot program and to support the program for passage in the City Council. We are hopeful that the Council will

share our committment to developing and implementing an enforcement system that will eliminate asthma triggering housing conditions so that all New Yorkers are assured of a healthier future. Thank you.

DAVID URANYA: Good morning, thank you for allowing me the opportunity to speak today. My name is David Uranya, a legal intern speaking on behalf of Harvey Epstein, Project Director of the Community Development Project at the Urban Justice Center.

The Urban Justice Center serves New York City's most vulnerable residents through a combination of direct legal service, systemic advocacy, community education, and political organizing. The Community Development Project of the Urban Justice Center formed to provide legal, technical, and research and policy assistance to grassroots community groups engaged in a wide range of community development efforts throughout New York City. Our work is informed by the belief that real and lasting change--

CHAIRPERSON DILAN: [Interposing]

Excuse me, Mr. Uranya, can you just pull the mic a

2.0

little bit closer?

3	;	DAVII)	URANYA:	Oh,	sorry.

4 CHAIRPERSON DILAN: [Off mic].

DAVID URANYA: Our work is informed by the belief that real and lasting change in low income urban neighborhoods is often rooted in the empowerment of grassroots community institutions.

I'm here today to urge you to support proposed legislation Intro number 224, the passage of which would be a first step in the right direction in creating healthy homes for hundreds of thousands of New York City tenants whose health is jeopardized by indoor molds and pests.

Asthma is widespread among New York City residents. In 2003, about 700,000 adults and 320,000 children in New York City had been diagnosed with asthma at some point in their lives. Also, asthma-related hospitalization rates for New Yorkers outpaced those of other New York State residents and even the rest of the United States.

Low income and minority New York

City tenants are disproportionately affected by

asthma. This is due in part to higher levels of

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

exposure to indoor environmental allergens which trigger asthma symptoms of which mold and pests are among the most prevalent. The low-income and minority tenants experience greater exposure to indoor asthma triggers because of poor quality housing and a lack of regulations and enforcement of existing regulations which could reduce indoor asthma allergens.

In 2008, this Committee considered Intro number 770, a bill which aimed to reduce the dangers posed by indoor asthma triggers in residential dwellings. Intro number 770 called for aggressive measures towards the risks posed to tenants who are susceptible to indoor asthma allergens and is comprehensive and providing that indoor allergen-related problems are resolved promptly. However, as it stands now New York City laws and rules do not require building owners to eliminate indoor mold and, most importantly, the underlying conditions which create the conditions for molds and pests. A comprehensive approach is necessary for effectively reducing the dangers posed to New Yorkers who suffer the ill effects of indoor asthma allergens. The passage of Intro

number 224 is a first step in dealing with a serious problem.

I support the bill because it focuses attention on the underlying causes of the asthma problem in New York City and will allow the city to evaluate the effectiveness of certain approaches to eliminating indoor mold and pest infestations. The bill contains sections which identify important areas where substantial improvements can be made for tenants with asthma.

While I support the passage of
Intro number 224, I also support the following
recommendations to improve the bill. First,
currently Intro number 224 makes reference to the
guidelines on assessment and remediation of fungi
in indoor environments that was issued by the DOH
in 2008. In referencing the guidelines, Intro
number 224 define substantial compliance to
require that a building owner correct mold in city
housing, Building and Health Code violations in
accordance to the guidelines. However, with the
exception of the work practices recommendations
contained in the guidelines, the DOH's mold
remediation guidelines should be adopted as

3

4

5

6

7

8

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

enforceable rules which would apply not only to
the buildings which participate in the remediation
pilot program, but to all city building owners.

Doing so would require all building owners to
implement the remediation methods contained in the
guidelines, which in turn would prevent building
owners from applying half measures which

9 inadequately address actual mold and pest problems
10 and the underlying conditions which allow asthma
11 triggers to persist and grow in severity.

Secondly, the definition of substantial compliance contained in subdivisions F and K in Intro number 224 do not reflect the urgency which mold and pest problems pose to tenants with asthma. [Pause] Substantially comply with the Code, building owners should instead be required to have corrected all immediately hazardous violations directly related to mold, 90% of all their open and hazardous violations related to the accumulation of water and rubbish, and to have implemented an Integrated Pest Management program approved by the DOH to address pest and rodent infestations at the time of reinspection by the DOH.

Thirdly, presently, violations

2.0

issued by the DOH are generally not publicly accessible. The readily availability of information concerning the particular violations that DOH issues which are directly related to indoor mold and pest problems and underlying conditions which enable those problems to persist is of utmost importance to susceptible tenants with asthma and other respiratory disorders. With such information these tenants and potential future tenants can make informed decisions about where to live and may also be better able to assist the DOH in enforcing its regulations by enabling tenants to report a building owner's failure to comply with code requirements.

In subdivision G, part one, the bill requires that DOH provide information to building owners on implementation of prevention and pest management measures. Rather than allowing owners to intermittently spray toxic pesticides which only delay recurring infestations, requiring building owners to use IPM measures will provide a more lasting and less toxic solution to indoor pest problems. Because

2.0

of its effectiveness, a requirement to use IPM should extend to all private building owners in New York City.

Finally, making permanent regulations and enforcement of regulations designed to specifically reduce indoor mold and pest, and other indoor asthma allergens will lead to the reduction of asthma triggers in homes, which in turn will reduce the asthma-related hospitalization rates of New York City.

Furthermore, enforcing regulations that require landlords to fix any underlying conditions which create mold and pest problems is good housing policy because the presence of mold and pests is symptomatic of structural deficiencies. Requiring building owners to eliminate and prevent future recurrences of mold and pest problems by fixing underlying conditions will result in healthier homes with less structural defects.

These recommendations will lead to long-term benefits for New Yorkers with asthma and other respiratory disorders who are susceptible to the adverse effects of indoor allergens, to the

public health of New York City, and to the quality of housing in the city. Thank you for introducing this bill and for giving me the opportunity to testify on this important issue.

CHAIRPERSON DILAN: Thank you, and thank you all. Council Member Mendez, any questions?

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: Yes, thank you, Mr. Chair. First, for the advocates, on your experience, how many units in buildings have you been dealing with and how many units within the building have had the issue of mold and vermin?

I'm assuming vermin is more building widespread, and do you see it more in bigger buildings or smaller buildings?

JOHN WHITLOW: I'm a housing attorney, I think most of the people that I represent in Housing Court have significant problems dealing with vermin and roach infestations in their apartment. Probably a lesser number of people, a smaller number of people have problems with mold, although I think it is something that I see a lot. I don't have hard numbers, but just sort of anecdotally, it's a

2.0

2 very common--these are very common problems.

In the context of sort of trying to get these problems remediated through a normal Housing Court proceeding, with the laws, working with the laws that are on the books now, it's very difficult. Generally, landlords will, as other people have testified and people know from experience, will clean the surface violation up, paint over the surface violation, and then it's almost a guarantee that the problem recurs, possibly after the case is over and you're no longer in court.

So I think this is something that, again, is very common and I think those of us who are tenants or who have represented tenants in Housing Court probably have the same experience dealing with the issue.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: And of those individuals that you're representing, how many have told you that this is a building-wide issue, that other people may have mold, have vermin, and/or have family members who are suffering from asthma as a result of the conditions in their apartment or in the building?

JOHN WHITLOW: In my

In my experience,

the vast majority of my clients have indicated to me that other people in the building—that when they have conditions in their apartment, generally other people in the building have very similar, if not the same, conditions. With respect to the question about asthma, again, I don't have a hard figure, but it's certainly, especially in Bushwick, which is where most of my clients live, it's certainly a common problem faced by them.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: Thank you.

[Foreign language]

the--I'll summarize real quickly, she gave

testimony about how her son has asthma and then I

asked some follow up questions about other

children. Her middle child, who is 14, used to

have asthma and as she's gotten older, doesn't

have asthma anymore, she believes it's because her

son who's younger is always on the floor and is

picking up some of the debris from the vermin and

that that's aggravating his condition. That when

the exterminator is sent to the building, her

son's condition gets better, but with time, the

22

23

24

25

2	vermin come back because they don't either
3	exterminate the entire building and if for a while
4	she doesn't have vermin, then they start to enter
5	back into her apartment and then the conditions
6	for her son gets aggravated again. So that's the
7	summary. [Foreign language] And I want to thank
8	this panel for their testimony.
9	CHAIRPERSON DILAN: Council Member
10	Brewer.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Thank you
12	very much. This Council a while ago passed, as
13	you know, the concept for some buildings of a roof
14	to cellar inspections. So are you able to get
15	those for the buildings that have building-wide
16	conditions or are those buildings that you're
17	working in not bad enough to get them? In other
18	words, that is supposed to be for the buildings
19	with the most C violations, etc.
20	JOHN WHITLOW: Were you referring

specifically to the Safe Housing Act?

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Yes.

JOHN WHITLOW: At Make the Road we've had a pretty positive experience with the buildings and primarily in Bushwick that have been

looking at that have vermin and the ones that you're looking at that have the roof to cellar inspection?

I think there's

JOHN WHITLOW:

22

23

24

25

certainly a great deal of overlap. Generally when
a building is in disrepair to the extent that it's
been placed in a safe housing--in the Alternative
Enforcement Program, it's safe to say that it has

rat, rodent infestation.

are you finding that after the roof to cellar safe
Housing Act inspections, is there any improvement
on the rodent situation? I'm sure there is on
some of the other violations, but is there any
improvement on the rodent situation and mold?

JOHN WHITLOW: In general, yes, I mean, I think it depends on the building and it depends obviously on the particular landlord. I think we've seen some landlords actually spring into action, often later than we would like, and make significant repairs. We've also seen the city have to step in and do the--

[Crosstalk]

JOHN WHITLOW: --repairs for the landlord. But, again, our experience with the program has been that over time many of the repairs do get corrected and that does include the rodent infestation.

CHAIRPERSON DILAN: Council Member

24

25

Lander.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: I just want

3 to thank the panel for their work on this issue.

I mean, what Make the Road and the Urban Justice

5 Center--and I'm going to have to leave in a

6 minute--but Fifth Avenue Committee as well have

7 done to raise and clarify for this Council the

8 issue of mold and pest management and how it

9 relates to asthma in low income neighborhoods

10 around the city is great. And I also want to

11 thank you both for putting forward a sort of big

12 picture idea of what it would really look like to

13 eliminate this problem at a broader level, but

also for working very constructively with HPD and

DOHMH and the Council to put the pilot together so

we can have some real good data on what works

17 before we come around again.

I was struck by the gap between the number of violations that HPD talked about writing on mold and pests and the scale of this program.

So this is a great opportunity to get started to see what works and then think about how we really scale it up to solve the problem on the bigger level in the years to come. So thank you for your time and for your support of this program.

1	COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 75
2	[Off mic]
3	CHAIRPERSON DILAN: Thank you,
4	Council Member Lander. Seeing no other questions
5	for this panel, I'd like to thank you for your
6	time and your testimony. Thank you all very much.
7	JOHN WHITLOW: Thank you.
8	CHAIRPERSON DILAN: The final panel
9	will consist of four: Michelle de la Uz, Victor
10	Rosario, Adriana Mendoza, andare they all here?
11	COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: We need to
12	add another
13	CHAIRPERSON DILAN: If you're here,
14	come forward.
15	[Off mic]
16	CHAIRPERSON DILAN: Oh, I know,
17	yeah, I know.
18	[Off mic]
19	FEMALE VOICE: So there are five.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: Yeah.
21	FEMALE VOICE: Yeah.
22	[Off mic]
23	FEMALE VOICE 1: I'm going to

translate for [off mic], sorry.

[Off mic]

24

25

1	COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 76
2	COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: Yeah, yeah,
3	unless we just put those five here.
4	FEMALE VOICE: No, no, no
5	[Crosstalk]
6	MICHELLE DE LA UZ: [Interposing]
7	Want us to jump in?
8	CHAIRPERSON DILAN: Yeah, go ahead,
9	Michelle, go ahead
10	[Crosstalk]
11	MICHELLE DE LA UZ: Council Member
12	Dilan and Members of the Housing Committee, and
13	especially Council Member Mendez for her support,
14	thank you very much for holding this hearing today
15	on Intro 224. My name is Michelle de la Uz, and
16	I'm the Executive Director of the Fifth Avenue
17	Committee. Fifth Avenue Committee is a 32-year-
18	old nonprofit social justice organization that,
19	among many of the things that we do, we organize
20	residents and workers around their rights and we
21	also build and manage affordable housing. So when
22	I speak to you today, I'm speaking to you both as
23	an advocate and as a landlord. And I'm speaking
24	in support in both ways.

Just a couple statistics which I

25

think are important and it really I think speaks to who you see in front of you here today testifying in terms of folks that are directly impacted by this bill.

In New York City, low-income

Latinos are more likely to be living with asthma

and the majority of those suffering asthma in our

city are children. The other piece is that lowincome Latinos are more likely to suffer pest

infestations in their homes. All of this

information comes from a report issued by the New

York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene

from 2005 and 2007.

Further studies have found that New Yorkers living with roach and mice infestations in their homes can be twice as likely to be living with asthma.

That's why we're here today, the issue of asthma and poor housing conditions is not only a housing policy issue and a public health issue, it's an issue of equity and the fact that we have hundreds of thousands of New Yorkers living with poor housing conditions and poor health conditions and are being disproportionately

impacted because of class, because of race, and this is something that this bill seeks to address.

Fifth Avenue Committee assists over 200 folks a year who face poor housing conditions, including poor heat, lack of heat and hot water, roach and mice infestations and mold. And we've been long aware of what the scientific studies confirm, which is that poor housing conditions, specifically mice and roach infestations, can make asthmatic people very sick.

Our housing advocates, including
Aura Mejia [phonetic] who is here today, have
handled hundreds of cases of asthmatic families
trying to get these conditions addressed by
mediating with the landlords, calling 3-1-1,
organizing tenant associations, and ultimately
going to Housing Court. In many of these cases,
often when there's asthmatic children in the
household, we've observed that a medical doctor
will actually write on a prescription pad to the
landlord, dear landlord, as if the landlord were a
pharmacist, child suffers from allergic asthma,
severely allergic to roach and rodents, please
remove allergens. There's no enforcement

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

whatsoever when a doctor writes such a prescription. And it's extremely challenging for asthmatic tenants to make their landlords comply with this prescription for an asthma-free home.

In our experience at the Fifth Avenue Committee, anyone who has ever tried to get results by calling 3-1-1 or going to Housing Court, it can take months or even years to get the conditions that are underlying and causing the asthmatic condition.

What Intro 224 does is that it focuses on targeted enforcement, and this pilot program brings together two critical agencies, HPD and the Department of Health, who can work together to solve this problem. And we believe, and I think this was actually getting to the question that Council Member Brewer was asking, that we can use the existing HPD neighborhood preservation consultant contracts to help target the buildings that need to be targeted, because many of us already, not only are doing the basement to roof inspections, but we're also working with folks in Housing Court. We know where these buildings are, we know where the -- this

is just a pilot program and, as Council Member

Lander said, there's absolutely a need to go to

scale, but I just want to underscore a couple of

things that were said by the previous panel and

that is a couple pieces that could be inserted to

improve what is already there, and that's specific

to mold remediation and then Integrated Pest

Management system.

So with mold remediation, when mold violations are found in the targeted buildings, the program should require all owners to comply with the Department of Health's mold remediation guidelines, and if the owner fails to comply, the city should complete the work in compliance with the same guidelines and charge the landlord for the cost of repairs.

The same is true for Integrated

Pest Management. When pest violations are found

in targeted buildings, the program should require

all owners to use IPM methods when dealing with

pests, and if the owner fails to comply, the city

should complete the work in compliance with those

IPM standards and then charge the landlord.

Obviously, these are things that

would produce compliance and overall improve the health conditions for folks in the buildings.

Overall, the program discussed today is an important first step in making sure that those families and communities that are disproportionately impacted by the intersection of poor housing conditions and poor health conditions can get a fighting chance to live in an asthmafree home. And Fifth Avenue Committee asks this Committee and the full Council to support the Introduction, and we appreciate you having the hearing today.

ADRIANA MENDOZA: Hello, I want to thank you for having us here today, and my name is Adriana Mendoza. And also I'm somebody directly impacted by the asthma--the connection between asthma--having asthma as somebody who has asthma and allergies and poor housing conditions.

I live in Sunset Park, Brooklyn, and I moved in, I'm living in a basement right now where I moved in about 10 years ago, and after two years living in there I remember going to the doctor and my doctor asking us where we live and after we told him that it was a basement, he told

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

us that if we had roaches or mold or mice and, of course, we have all of that and they said that probably the reason why I was always visiting the doctor was because I had asthma and he said that we needed to ask our landlord to remove anything triggering my asthma and allergies.

And we did tell the landlord and we weren't very informed yet, so we didn't really push the landlord, we didn't really see the connection between poor housing conditions and poor health conditions. And it wasn't until we realized that my asthma, which I didn't know I had asthma, was getting worse, and when my little cousin was born in 2000, when she turned three, she began with bronchitis and it turned into asthma. And when we went to the doctor again, they gave me and my cousin a referral to go to a allergy specialist and she told us that the reason why we had--me and my cousin both had allergies it was because it was being triggered by asthma and we both had asthma. And it took us about five years for me and my cousin to know that we both had asthma and allergies. And the allergy specialist gave us a note also, like Michelle had

said earlier, she gave us a note, one for me and one for my cousin, saying that the landlord needed to make repairs, she said especially the mold and the vermin in the household to take care of that because that was what was triggering our asthma and why the reason for our constant visits to the doctor.

I didn't suffer that much of asthma, but my cousin, because she was born in the basement, and of course, you know baby, she's crawling on the floor and she had more contact with any dirt on the floor so that's why her asthma was more like high-risk and she even had lead poisoning also.

And I think when they found out that she had a level 8 of lead poisoning, they quickly went to repair and we thought—at least I think that the same way that they react to lead poisoning they should also react to when we have asthma or allergy and we have poor housing conditions.

So I just want to ask for the support for this pilot program, especially because it's mostly low income or even Latino families

2.0

being more impacted by the poor housing conditions.

the Road New York.

VICTOR ROSARIO: Good morning,

Council Members and Members of the Committee, my

name is Victor Rosario and I am a member of Make

I have lived in New York City since
I was five years old. I've known about asthma my
whole life because my mother had asthma, she was
always suffering from asthma for as long as I can
remember. Although I didn't understand it when I
was a child, I would often see her using a
bronchodilator and wheezing, sometimes a doctor
would come to the house. My sister Victoria also
had asthma and asthma has been part of my life for
a very long time, that's why I decided to study
science and biology.

When I got married, I had two boys, both of my boys had asthma. I can't tell you how many times I was in the hospital up to 2 or 3 o'clock in the morning because of their asthma.

Later I got divorced and remarried, my second wife had asthma also. It wasn't really obvious because she always took her medicine on

2.0

time. We had to walk up three flights of stairs because we lived in a three-story walk up. One day she had an asthma attack as she was walking into the apartment and she collapsed and died. I wasn't there at the time or else I could have saved her. Her name was Priscilla, she was in her 40s when she died.

My mother died from a stroke, which might have been a result of the asthma medication she was taking. I'm a biologist, so it wasn't difficult for me to research the asthma medication. There are two classes of bronchodilators, one includes Theophylline and beta agonists. These drugs can cause depression, insomnia, cardiovascular disease, and stroke.

The second class of bronchodilators include albuterol and terbutaline and can cause muscle weakness, muscle spasm, postural hypotension, irregular heartbeat, poor reflexes, continuous thirst, mental confusion, and nervous mental disorders.

In terms of the number of prescriptions written, these class of drugs rank fourth with over 82,475,000 prescriptions written.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In terms of money, it's the sixth largest dollar volume category in the United States and accounts for more than \$2.64 billion in sales. In other words, these medications do more harm than good.

Giving people medications is not the solution, the solution is to get rid of whatever triggers the asthma attack, the solution is fixing the houses.

I have lived in Bushwick since I got out of the military as a young man. I've seen a lot of people with asthma problems in my community. There are a lot of old buildings in Bushwick, many of the buildings are at least 100 years old. They're full of roaches, rats, and mice, there are spaces between the walls and the floor where the rats come in and out. rat droppings everywhere. If one complains, the owner just paints over the problem as if that's going to fix it. It doesn't help to try to move into a different apartment because almost all the other places are just as bad or worse.

By addressing the real causes of the problem we could save billions of dollars in emergency rooms visits, children wouldn't miss school as often, work productivity would go up.

2.0

If you want to save money and help the health of
children and families in Brooklyn and all of New
York, please support this pilot program and get
rid of the asthma triggers in the 175 worst
buildings of New York. This pilot program is a
good start, but it's just a small step to solving
a big problem. With the amount of prescriptions
written and the amount of money spent on just one
particular healthcare issue, it becomes clear that
this is not a small problem, it's a pandemic and
it affects all of us. Thank you for your time and
your support.

[Foreign language]

remale Voice 2: So she said my name is Marliana Cuchay [phonetic] and I'm going to read Luisa Mahea's testimony because Luisa Mahea was not able to come today, she was who originally had signed up. So Luisa's testimony was, my name is Luisa Mahea--

CHAIRPERSON DILAN: [Interposing]
Well I want you to stop--

FEMALE VOICE 2: I'm sorry, yeah.

CHAIRPERSON DILAN: --and start by translating her testimony which was the reason

1	COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 88
2	that you gave for coming up.
3	FEMALE VOICE 2: Marliana
4	explainedI'm saying word for word what she said,
5	she said my name is [off mic] Marliana
6	CHAIRPERSON DILAN: All right.
7	FEMALE VOICE 2:I'm going to
8	read Luisa Mahea's testimony.
9	CHAIRPERSON DILAN: Okay, got it.
10	So she's testifying on behalf
11	FEMALE VOICE 2: [Interposing] Yes,
12	this Marliana.
13	CHAIRPERSON DILAN: Okay, got it.
14	FEMALE VOICE 2: She said I'm going
15	to read
16	CHAIRPERSON DILAN: That's what my
17	confusion was
18	FEMALE VOICE 2: Yes, yeah, I'm
19	speaking word for word
20	CHAIRPERSON DILAN:sorry, so you
21	can continue.
22	FEMALE VOICE 2:Marliana said
23	I'm going to read Luisa's testimony because
24	[Crosstalk]
25	FEMALE VOICE 2:Louisa was not

2.0

able to come today.

3	FEMALE VOICE	3:	Okay.
---	--------------	----	-------

FEMALE VOICE 2: So then this was her reading Luisa's testimony, so now I'm reading Luisa's testimony in English, which is what Marliana read in Spanish.

Good morning, my name is Luisa

Mahea, I'm a member of Make the Road New York, I

live at 1406 Putnam Avenue, Apt. 3L in Brooklyn.

Several of my family members, and I have severe asthma, I've had to go to the emergency room many times because of asthma attacks. I have to use my inhaler in order to breathe and I have to take medicine to stay alive. I have lived in the same apartment for 20 years, my daughter, my son, and my grandson all grew up here and that's why they all have asthma. The terrible conditions in our building badly affect our asthma. There's a long history of open violations in my building, there's a lot of mold and mice and cockroaches throughout the apartment.

Asthma is a serious problem in our community in Bushwick. The rates of asthma are four times higher than the citywide average. The

main reason is because landlords don't fix the apartment buildings, there are many other tenants living in similar conditions in Bushwick and other areas of New York.

We're asking the City Council to pass this pilot program. This proposed law would help to eradicate the most common asthma triggers in some of the worst apartment buildings, like cockroaches, mice, and mold. We need this program so that our children and our grandchildren can live better, healthier lives. Thank you.

And then Marliana added so this is all--she said all together, but then Marliana said, I, speaking for myself, just want to add that I have been working with Make the Road for a long time, I've seen with my own eyes many mothers who have had to rush off to school to pick up their kids because the school calls them and says they're having an asthma attack. So I can tell you from my experience with Make the Road that this is a very, very common problem. I've seen again and again mothers missing work, having to pick up their kids from school because of asthma. Thank you very much.

1	COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 92
2	VICTOR ROSARIO: Mostly, yes.
3	COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: Yes. And
4	were they bigger buildings, like six apartments or
5	more, or less than six?
6	VICTOR ROSARIO: They were usually
7	six-family apartments.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: And in all
9	these buildings there were different vermin
10	VICTOR ROSARIO: [Interposing]
11	There were always problems in the apartments, the
12	landlord never lived in them, in the buildings.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: Thank you
14	very much.
15	VICTOR ROSARIO: Welcome.
16	CHAIRPERSON DILAN: All right, I'd
17	like to thank the panel for its time and testimony
18	and thank them for coming here today. And again,
19	I want to apologize for my confusion. Thank you
20	all.
21	FEMALE VOICE: Thank you.
22	VICTOR ROSARIO: Thank you, Mr
23	[Crosstalk]
	d .

CHAIRPERSON DILAN: Seeing no one

else signed up to testify, on this item, Intro 224

24

25

is now laid aside and that will conclude this 2

3 hearing.

I, Tammy Wittman, certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

Signature Tammy Littmen

Date __July 6, 2010_