CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY

----X

June 11, 2010 Start: 10:08 am Recess: 11:00 am

HELD AT: Council Chambers

City Hall

B E F O R E:

Daniel R. Garodnick

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Council Member Gale A. Brewer Council Member G. Oliver Koppell Council Member Mark S. Weprin

A P P E A R A N C E S [CONTINUED]

Daniel R. Garodnick Opening Statement Chairperson Committee on Technology

Andrew Salkin
Deputy Commissioner
Operations
Department of Finance

Vincent Guerra
Deputy Inspector
Commanding Officer
Communication Section
New York City Police Department

Brett Schimke
911 Program Manager
Department of Information Technology and
Telecommunications

2.0

[Gavel banging]

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Good
morning everyone. Welcome to the Committee on
Technology. Today's date is Friday, June 11 th , my
name is Dan Garodnick. I have the privilege of
chairing the Technology Committee of the City
Council. Today we're going to hear testimony on a
bill that I introduced at the request of Mayor
Bloomberg that will extend the enhanced 911, or e-
911 surcharge to Voice Over Internet Protocol
telephones used within New York City and directs
providers of Voice Over Internet Protocol services
to bill their customers for this surcharge.

The e-911 surcharge is currently billed to all New York City land line and cell phone customers and is used to offset the City's costs of operation and maintenance of the e-911 system. The e-911 system ultimately routes a caller's location to an emergency responder. Thus if an individual is unable to provide an address or as with a child doesn't know his address, the e-911 system ensures that responders can reach an individual in an emergency situation.

Voice over Internet Protocol refers

to the transmission of an audio or voice signal over the internet. With VOIP service, I'm going to start using my, you know, abbreviation here, an individual couples a telephone to an internet connection rather than to a traditional phone network.

As stated by the Administration the goal of this legislation is to have all land line, cell phone and VOIP customers contribute to the operation and maintenance of the e-911 system.

There are a number of issues that we're going to explore at this hearing including the fairness of today's disparity in charges, precedence for these fees in other jurisdictions, potential revenue to the City, any benefits in service and the number of VOIP customers who would be affected by this change if we were to pass this legislation.

We look forward to hearing from the Administration and anybody else who wishes to testify today. And I'm now going to turn it over to them and ask them to introduce themselves and go right ahead.

MR. ANDREW SALKIN: Thank you.

Good morning Chairman Garodnick and members of the

City Council Committee on Technology. My name is
Andrew Salkin and I am the Deputy Commissioner for
Operations in the Department of Finance. Thank
you for inviting me to speak today in support of
Intro 214 sponsored by Chairman Garodnick at the
request of the Mayor.

This bill extends 911 surcharges on phone services to companies that provide telephone service by Voice Over Internet Protocol or V-O-I-P or VOIP. The proposed \$1 monthly surcharge is consistent with what other phone companies already pay to support the City's enhanced 911 emergency response system. I testify on behalf of Finance Commissioner David M. Frankel and am joined today by representatives of several sister agencies whom I will identify in a few moments.

We urge the Committee's support and passage of this bill. Before I give you reasons why, let me first give you some background on 911 and the history of the surcharge that phone users, both cellular and land line pay to support 911 services.

As you know 911 is among the most critical services we as a City government provide.

It is valuable but it is also expensive. The annual cost to operate 911 call centers now exceeds \$114 million. To offset the growing costs, the City began to collect the 911 surcharge in 1992 for land line phone service and in 2002; a surcharge was added to cell phone service.

The surcharge for land lines is now \$1 per month and \$1.50 for cell phones, of which the City gets \$.30. The phone companies collect directly from customers and then remit monthly payments to the Department of Finance. In Fiscal Year 2009 121 companies remitted just under \$60 million in 911 surcharges to the City.

Commissioner Frankel's highest priority at the Department of Finance is to level the playing field by ensuring that everyone is paying their fair share in supporting the critical work of government. All of the City's phone users should contribute to subsidized 911 services.

However over recent years the technology surrounding phone service has evolved and now not only includes land lines and cellular phones but also internet phones that utilize Voice Over Internet Protocol. Unlike mobile phones

where people added phone lines, VOIP phones are often replacing land lines and increasingly are replacing cellular phones.

Currently a person using VOIP line is able to access 911 during an emergency but they do not pay a surcharge to support the 911 service. Despite this, both the NYPD and the City's Department of Information and Technology and Telecommunications or DOITT have invested considerable resources to ensure that VOIP technology will interact with the 911 system with the same standards as land line and cellular phones, including location identification capabilities necessary to deploy services in certain emergency situations.

Until recently we could not ask

VOIP companies to collect the 911 surcharge. In

2008, Congress passed a law allowing states to

start treating VOIP companies more like phone

companies. Last summer Governor Paterson signed

into the State law a new provision that authorizes

localities like New York City to begin collecting

surcharges on VOIP services.

The bill before you today, Intro

214, does just that. It requires internet phone				
companies to collect \$1 surcharge for 911. this				
bill helps to level the playing field by ensuring				
that all phone users contribute to 911 service.				
Upon enactment of the bill before you today				
Finance will reach out to internet phone companies				
to inform them of the new law and how to comply				
with their surcharge collection responsibilities				

amendment to the bill that attorneys at the Law
Department feel is necessary. The current
language of the bill requires any surplus in funds
collected through this law be held in reserve.
However a reserve is not compliant with the City's
obligations under the State's Financial Emergency
Act. While it is unlikely that this program will
result in excess funds the bill should be amended
to allow the City to handle surplus funds in
conformance with applicable law. The
Administration has provided an amended version to
the bill to the Speaker's Office.

While Finance is charged with successfully collecting the surcharge, other agencies are tasked with operating and running the

2.0

2.3

2	complex e-911 system. I'm joined today by Deputy
3	Inspector Vincent Guerra, the Commanding Officer
4	of NYPD's Communication Section; and Brett
5	Schimke, DOITT's 911 Program Manager. Again we
6	would urge your support of the bill and thank you
7	for having us. And we're happy to answer your
8	questions.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Great.

Thank you very much for your testimony. I want to let you all know that we've been joined by Council Member Mark Weprin from Queens, a member of the Committee. Thank you.

I'm going to run through a number of questions. So I suspect we can do these relatively quickly with your cooperation. So let me get right into it. First of all we've noted some terminology of 911 and e-911. Can you help us understand what exactly is the difference between those two systems?

MR. SALKIN: If I get anything incorrect I'll ask my colleagues that support this. So basically at this point we have 911 services which was initially put in place by the City and then Federal law required that we enhance

2	the system to include the capability of tracking
3	location information and phone number information
4	that comes directly over the phone system. So I
5	believe in 2005 there was a requirement that we
6	begin to implement what's known at enhanced, e-
7	911. So essentially 911 system today is e-911 and
8	there really isn't a difference between the two,
9	our 911 system is all enhanced and capable of
10	doing all these services that are required by the
11	Federal
12	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK:
13	[Interposing] Okay good. So there is no reason
14	for us to refer to them in any differential. For
15	all intents and purposes, 911 for New York is e-
16	911 and e-911 is 911.
17	MR. SALKIN: That's correct.
18	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Okay. And
19	is there any further work that we need to do for
20	e-911, the rules that were set by the Federal
21	government to be fully operational?
22	MR. SALKIN: I believe we are
23	compliant with the Federal standards.
24	CHAIRDERSON CARODNICK: Okay

Great. You noted that the VOIP customers have not

2	been assessed the 911 surcharge in the past. Is			
3	that because prior to September 2008 it was not			
4	allowed by law?			
5	MR. SALKIN: I would say after the			
6	law passed in 2008 it was clear that we could pass			
7	legislation that would allow us to make that			
8	charge. And I think we've been working to ensure			
9	that since the law passed we are keeping up with			
10	that. So I think some states may have charged a			
11	surcharge before that law was passed. I do not			
12	know the legality or how they did that.			
13	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: If we were			
14	to pass this legislation would we need any			
15	additional approval from the State in order to			
16	implement the surcharge or are we within our			
17	jurisdictional rights?			
18	MR. SALKIN: We're conforming to			
19	the State law that allows counties to charge this.			
20	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Okay. So			
21	we don't need any additional State approval.			
22	MR. SALKIN: No Sir.			
23	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Today, we			
24	have land line and cellular customers who are			
25	paying the surcharge.			

_	.		~		
,	,	MR	SALKIN:	That's	correct.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: And in your testimony you noted that it was a buck for one, a buck for the land lines and a buck-50 for the cell phones, is that right?

MR. SALKIN: That's right.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: First of all, help us understand why we have the differential in charge between the land line and the cell phone.

MR. SALKIN: My understanding is there's two different legislations that cover both of them. The first was put in place as I suggested I think in 1992 to cover land lines. And the later the State passed, in 2002, the law covering the cellular systems. And in that law it created, I believe, something more akin to a trust fund in which everyone would pay into the State system and then the State will remit back a grant back to the localities. So there's two different laws that govern those services. So essentially they're paying something, I guess, each user is paying a service that goes back to 911 services but they're paying for it based on different legal

2.0

2 statutes.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Right. But the law does--the law prescribes specifically what the fee must be?

MR. SALKIN: Good question. I imagine in the law there is the fee. And I think for the cellular piece, my understanding is that we get a grant remitted back to us. I'm handed a chart here. And I think the laws actually state what the amount is that you have to charge but I don't know who has the jurisdiction to change how much you could charge if that's what your question is—

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK:

[Interposing] Well I'm just trying to understand, you know, we're charging a buck-50 for the cells and a dollar for the land lines, what the rationale is for that and how that came to be in our history. I understand there were two different authorizing laws that allowed it to happen but presumably they set maximums on what you could charge and then the City decided to implement \$1 for the land lines and \$1.50 for the cells. So that's the question. The question is

2	why is there a disparity today between cellular
3	and land lines?
4	MR. SALKIN: So in terms of the
5	City's requirement for the land lines, they're
6	charged \$1, for the State, the surcharge is \$1.20
7	and then the City's added an additional \$.30. So
8	without knowing exactly what the thinking was, I
9	could imagine a situation where the City is
10	thinking it needs some additional funding. But
11	since they're already paying \$1.20 to the State,
12	charging an additional \$1 to ensure the City gets
13	that money was probably
14	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK:
15	[Interposing] I see. So today, the cellular
16	surcharge is a buck-20 from the State, we add \$.30
17	as the City.
18	MR. SALKIN: That's correct.
19	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: And for the
20	land line there is no State surcharge but we
21	implement \$1 on our own?
22	MR. SALKIN: That's correct.
23	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: And of the
24	\$1.20 that is required by the State, how much of
25	that buck-20 comes back to the City of New York?

2	MR. SALKIN: The City gets a
3	wireless e-911 grant from the State from that
4	fund. And it varies from year to year. But it
5	looks like it ranges between \$5 million and \$6
6	million a year. And what is the total amount that
7	is collected by the State from that surcharge?
8	MR. SALKIN: I don't know the
9	number to that.
10	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Okay, we'll
11	ask you just to provide that to us. And you noted
12	that the total revenue that is collected by the
13	and I'm going to ask counsel of our staff just to
14	keep track of any questions that we need to follow
15	up on. You noted that the total amount of revenue
16	was \$60 million, is that correct?
17	MR. SALKIN: That's correct.
18	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: And that's
19	what we get either directly from the operators who
20	are collecting this surcharge for us and the
21	amount that we get from the State?
22	MR. SALKIN: That's correct, all
23	in.
24	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Okay. The
25	current cost to operate the system is \$114

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 16
2	million?
3	MR. SALKIN: It exceeds \$114
4	million.
5	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: By how much
6	does it exceed \$114?
7	MR. SALKIN: [Interposing] Well I
8	think the number \$114 million is from FY '09. So
9	I don't think we have the final operating costs
10	for this year but that's
11	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK:
12	[Interposing] Okay so that's the Fiscal Year '09
13	MR. SALKIN:fiscaloperating
14	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK:
15	operating.
16	MR. SALKIN: Right.
17	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Expense for
18	911. Okay. The surcharges are collected by the
19	providers in all situations, is that right? And
20	in some cases they're paid by the provider to the
21	State and in some cases they're provided to the
22	City?
23	MR. SALKIN: I don't know. It's a
24	good question. So I know the City is in charge of
25	getting the money from the providers. We provide

2	a tax return, they fill it out, our surcharge
3	return, and they fill it out and then they remit
4	the payments to us. I'm to sure if they're
5	remitting the State grant to us or they're doing
6	that separately as part of a State program. I can
7	get back to you
8	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK:
9	[Interposing] Well if we're going to getplease.
10	I mean if we're getting a grant from the State of
11	\$5 million to \$6 million, presumably it's going to
12	the State first and then back to us
13	MR. SALKIN: [Interposing] I would
14	presume but I'm not going to assume
15	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK:
16	[Interposing] Okay. Please, if you could let us
17	know that too that would be very useful. Now when
18	the \$60 million comes in to the City, where is it
19	going in the City budget?
20	MR. SALKIN: It goes into the
21	general fund. And then through the budget process
22	that you're aware of, funds get allocatedI
23	think
24	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK:
25	[Interposing] Is it allocated as a revenue item in

offering the City for the operations of 911?

MR. SALKIN: I believe that there is some additional funding that came through some stimulus money. But I think I'd rather give you exactly if there is additional funding that could be used for that.

8 CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Okay 9 please.

MR. SALKIN: I mean I'd be happy to get you that information.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Also is there any situation in which 911 surcharges are not paid by any of these providers or you said it was done through tax returns? Explain exactly how the City gets when T-Mobile or Verizon or whoever else puts these charges on the bill. How we ultimately collect that. What is the mechanics of doing that?

MR. SALKIN: So the mechanics is handled by the Department of Finance. And each one of these companies has a responsibility to remit this excise, kind of put in our excise tax portion, and they have a form that they have to fill out that indicates how many lines they have

2.0

2.3

2	and how much money they're remitting to the City.
3	In the form it includes both land line and
4	cellular capability and what we'll do to this new
5	form is add the VOIP so if one provider provides
6	all three types of services they'll have to
7	provide kind of a level of that. And then we

8 collect the funds.

Now as it stands now I don't believe that there is strong auditing capabilities or enforcement capabilities to ensure that it's been collected properly but we have no reason to think that it hasn't. So if that's your question, that's...

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Yeah.

Explain to me why there is no ability to audit or determine whether the dollars have been collected.

MR. SALKIN: Well I think probably a better answer might be, let me get back to you on what auditing we've done and what we can capably do given the current legislation and what Finance's capabilities are, statutory and staffing-wise and I'll let you know what we get and what we've found.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Okay.

2.0

Please. Let me also note that we've been joined by Council Member Oliver Koppell of the Bronx, thank you for being here. In New York City we have obviously users of all different types of telephone service. How many use the VOIP type systems? The Voice over Internet Protocol?

MR. SALKIN: I think this is a question that we don't know the answer to right now. I think the nature of the service is such that it's on the internet so it's kind of—it can happen anywhere, any time that there's internet service. What we hope to begin to figure out through this process is just exactly how many people are using the service in the New York City area. And we'll have to try to kind of work with the companies to make sure we're getting the proper reporting.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: What's the estimate on the additional revenue that we will see as a result of an additional \$1 surcharge on VOIP customers?

MR. SALKIN: I wouldn't, I hesitate to even guess what the revenue could be on an unknown population.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

2	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK:	So we have
3	not yet projected anything in the	

MR. SALKIN: [Interposing] There's no projection on the rev--

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK:

[Interposing] The budget?

MR. SALKIN: That's right. And again one thing I would point out is, which I kind of stated in my testimony, is what's interesting about VOIP service is when mobile phones came out, people were adding mobile phone along with land lines. And what's interesting about the VOIP service is it's not necessarily a new type of way to communicate. It mimics land line service and/or mobile service. So what we're seeing is people are choosing to get rid of their land line and get VOIP or choose to get rid of their cellular service and go for a VOIP service. it's not like the mobile where all of a sudden people now have three or four lines. And, you know, you can reach them in the car, you can reach them in their pocket, you can reach them at home. So what we would anticipate happening in terms of overall revenue to the City is potentially more of

a wash where as people move from land lines to VOIP service it would be equal. AS people move from cellular service to VOIP service it would be somewhat equal. Unless I'm wrong about people wanting to have an internet phone along next to a land line phone but that's what we've been seeing and that's what seems to be the trend.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Let's just make sure that we all understand exactly what we're talking about here when we say VOIP. There are increasing numbers of providers who are offering this service. Can you help us understand who we're talking about or who the biggest players are that people should be aware of?

MR. SALKIN: Sure. I think you discussed it a little bit in your introduction to this hearing. I will give you my best understanding of it. And certainly welcome others or if you have more questions we can work on getting that. But essentially if you're a VOIP customer and you're using the phone and it would be a phone or it could be something plugged into your computer, a headset. You're using a phone service and you're essentially getting phone

service. So from an end user perspective it's exactly the same. What came out initially is internet to internet phone service, something like Skype where it's probably one of the more popular services where you can do video or you could do internet chat, much like a phone, from one computer to another that's on the internet.

What VOIP services that we're talking about today is when you take something off of the internet and being to interchange or interconnect with the regular phone system. And you begin to use the local switches, whether it's a wireless system or the local switches. So you can make a phone call from an internet phone to a local land line, from an internet phone to a mobile phone, from a cellular phone to an internet phone.

So what we're talking about today is systems that have gone from just internet to internet and now it's really become akin or essentially the same thing as what land lines are and cellular systems are. So that's what we're talking about today is really true telephone service that initiates or ends with an internet

that, you know, not just the internet but they

25

also offer phone service. Then you have Vonage and other companies that you can just hook up, AT&T, [off mic] Intrada. I think part of what we're going to do initially is find out who all these companies are and send them letters and hopefully based on their responses we'll work with them. Either they'll pay or they'll call with questions and we can work out the details.

But it's a kind of service that is predicted to grow. It's the kind of service that I think there's a lot of opportunity or perceived opportunity in the entrepreneur world. So one of the things that we're going to have to do at the Department of Finance is stay on top of these companies as they come on and come off. And I think as the system matures, it'll be interesting to see who the big players are when it's all said and done.

And hopefully as this matures over the next several years we'll be right there with them collecting the surcharges.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Okay. A couple more from me, then we're going to go to Council Member Weprin and then I will finish up

2.0

2.3

with some final ones after him. But if you are a
subscriber to one of these services that you
described, these are portable services, so if you
subscribe to Vonage or whoever and you get a local
212 telephone number attributed to your service
and you take a month-long vacation out to San
Francisco and you hook up your telephone out there
and people call you at your 212 number it will
ring wherever you are, is that right?

MR. SALKIN: That's correct.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: And if you were to experience an emergency in San Francisco and call 911, who would respond?

MR. SALKIN: Right. So you're hitting upon one of the unique challenges to the VOIP service. And one of the things that the FCC has done is begin to set standards on how can VOIP services be able to engage in the 911 systems in a way that effectively gets to the local 911 and then delivers the services as appropriate.

So part of the standards and protocols for all e-911 systems is working on how to get VOIP customers to interact with the 911 service and provide the emergency services

necessary. What's happening mostly is on the user side, your VOIP service is required to prompt you to ask you where you are and what location you are. And in theory the way it would work is if you go to San Francisco for your month-long sabbatical, you will log in and establish that you are in a different location and that the system will now know while your number is 212, the actual phone calls that you are receiving are going to be at your location which is now in a different environment.

One of the things the FCC has done and VOIP customers are required to do is let their customers know some of the limitations of VOIP and what it can't do. And that's one of the strategies it looks like they've taken, it's an education campaign to help folks understand, you know, how is this different from 911 and what are you going to get when you call 911 and what you need to be prepared to do.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: So did you say that actually is implemented today so that when you hook in your system in a new jurisdiction that it prompts you to identify your present

2	location?
3	MR. SALKIN: I wouldmy
4	understanding is when the system is compliant with
5	the 911 system that that's what it's required to
6	do. I think they're capable of not maybe being
7	compliant with the 911 system and there's, you
8	know, depending on how your system's charged, if
9	you're required to be and you're not, there's a
10	lot of penalties. I don't know, I'm just
11	responding kind of to the issue of how Skype might
12	not be part of 911 and why it isn't and why it's
13	allowed to be that, I don't know the answer to
14	that.
15	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: But there's
16	no situation in which somebody will ring 911 from
17	San Francisco and it will go to our emergency
18	systems or is there?
19	MR. SALKIN: I think the intent is
20	to make sure that never happens. I, obviously the
21	reason that the rules were put in place is 'case
22	it did happen. So to say that it never happens, I
23	would hesitate to say that
24	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK:

[Interposing] Right. And that's presumably a

2.0

2.3

		_		_
,	question	\sim \pm	1.000000	1 ~ 7.7

MR. SALKIN: It's something that the FCC has taken positions on and has a voice on. I think initially they didn't and then eventually they did as the systems became more widespread--

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK:

[Interposing] Okay if you could let us know where the status of that is in, that would be very useful just for our--

MR. SALKIN: [Interposing] Okay.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: --

understanding. And the last question before I got to Council Member Weprin is the Administration has asked us to take a look at this legislation to add the dollar surcharge for the VOIP customers who are currently paying zero. Is this more a question of fairness or it is more a question of revenue generation or what is the philosophical angle? What's the best argument for why we should be doing this right now?

MR. SALKIN: As I stated in the testimony, and as I've kind of highlighted in the Q & A, this is really a fairness issue more so than a revenue issue. And in fairness, providing

2	911 services is critical. And the way you get to
3	911, interactive 911 services is via the phone.
4	And as the phone technology has changed, it's
5	important that all those phone users contribute to
6	that service. The VOIP technology has matured
7	enough that it's becoming more popular and people
8	are using it. The people are moving away from
9	their mobile or their land lines to a VOIP format.
10	It's critical that these users continue to
11	contribute their surcharge and their fair share.
12	I think time will tell if it's a
13	revenue positive piece. I think right now we're
14	anticipating it being more of a neutral piece that
15	allows us to capture all of the phone users in the
16	City who
17	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Sorry, when
18	you say revenue neutral as opposed to revenue
19	positive, how could it be anything but revenue
20	positive
21	MR. SALKIN: [Interposing]
22	Positive.
23	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK:we're
24	getting revenue from users who are paying zero and
25	they would suddenly be paying a buck a month

2	MR. SALKIN: [Interposing] Right.
3	So in the short term absolutely. I was thinking
4	more in terms of what we're looking at in terms of
5	the last several years. So if you have a
6	situation where someone has a land line, today,
7	and they've moved, and they were paying two years
8	ago and they were paying money, and now they leave
9	and now they're going to come back under the VOIP
10	system, that person would be in my mind a revenue
11	neutral. They were paying \$1, then they weren't
12	paying \$1, now they're paying \$1. So you might
13	recognize it as a plus \$1 for this year but if you
14	looked at that person as a user over time, it
15	would have been we missed them for 3 years and we
16	should have added them in.
17	So really what I view is that we're
18	going to get to a number that we should have been
19	getting for the surcharge, if we view this
20	surcharge as a user fee, to all phone users as
21	opposed to specific types of phones.
22	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Okay.
23	Thank you. I'm now going to go to Council Member
24	Weprin.

COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: Thank you

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 34
2	Mr. Chairman. So I'm a little confused here too.
3	We're going to ask these users to pay this
4	surcharge. Just when someone logs in, using the
5	VOIP and uses that to call 911 now, let's say, you
6	know, you're on a laptop. What does the 911
7	servicewhere do they think you are?
8	MR. SALKIN: Right. So that's one
9	way the VOIP can work
LO	COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN:
11	[Interposing] Right.
L2	MR. SALKIN:the reason the VOIP
13	service, I just want tog et this clear, are
L4	becoming more and more popular, is 'cause they
15	work with regular phones or what appears to be a
L6	regular phone. You could walk to a local store
L7	down here across the street from City Hall and go
L8	to J & R and buy an internet enabled phone that
L9	essentially will use a VOIP service as opposed to
20	using a cellular network or using
21	COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN:
22	[Interposing] Okay.
23	MR. SALKIN: And it won't look like
24	a computer, it looks like a phone. So this idea
25	of logging on and being

your account where you are and where your account

exists. And this is done through the tracking of

the IP and the initial way that your phone gets

2.3

24

25

from the Federal perspective.

25 COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: Well

			-	-		
current]	v what	would	happen.	do	VO11	know?

MR. SALKIN: Well what you would be done is as you change locations and you're being pronged, so again, if you're moving to San Francisco, you're not taking your phone out of your system per se, you're taking your computer with you.

COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: Right.

MR. SALKIN: So you're talking on a computer situation and they're going to prong you 'case you're now changing internet connections.

So it's going to know that you actually are in a different place. And it will ask you to re-log in to make clear where you are and where you're making your computer is--

COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN:

[Interposing] What currently happens with a cell phone, regular cell phone when I go to San Francisco on that sabbatical.

MR. SALKIN: Sure. The cell phone works on a different system. It's the e-911 system and again this is my understanding of it.

I'm sure there's people in the room that know more than I, is reflecting off the cell towers. So

COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN:

Have any other localities done

From San

24

25

Francisco. Okay.

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 39
2	this yet?
3	MR. SALKIN: Which piece?
4	COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: The \$1
5	surcharge.
6	MR. SALKIN: Yes. In terms of my
7	understanding, several states in the tri-state
8	area have done so. Pennsylvania, New Jersey and
9	Connecticut
10	COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN:
11	[Interposing] Or there are many options, there are
12	several states in the tri-state area.
13	MR. SALKIN: All the states in the
14	tri-state area.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: Yeah. So
16	you're saying New Jersey has done it.
17	MR. SALKIN: That's my
18	understanding, yes.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN:
20	Pennsylvania.
21	MR. SALKIN: And Connecticut.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: And
23	Connecticut. Okay.

[Off mic]

COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: All right.

24

2	I'm just curious. And they've done this as a
3	statewide surcharge or individual localities have
4	done that?
5	MR. SALKIN: My understanding is
6	that it's the statewide level, they've instituted
7	that. I can get you more details on what exactly
8	their programs are and how they've worked.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: And has the
10	State of New York done anything statewide yet?
11	MR. SALKIN: Well we're reacting to
12	Congress passed a law in 2008 and then last year
13	the Governor signed a law that basically took the
14	Congressional law and allows local counties to
15	enact a law. And I
16	COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN:
17	[Interposing] So the State only just said,
18	individual localities, you can charge?
19	MR. SALKIN: Right.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: But they
21	didn't try to charge themselves? But they want to
22	tax my soda? Okay, I just want to get this
23	correct, you know. What, you think you would have
24	jumped on that, I mean, you know, we've looked to

tax everything else in this State, why wouldn't

service it's \$1.50 of which the City gets \$.30.

23

24

25

2	the exact history of that, it seems to be that the
3	State set a standard of \$1.20 and then the City
4	probably, my guess is, wanted to get some money
5	but figured adding \$1 charge on top of the \$1.20
6	would have been excessive. So felt comfortable
7	adding the \$.30.
8	Now the State out of the \$1.20 the
9	State gets, they do give some money back to the
10	City in the form of an e-911 grant which has
11	averaged between \$5 million and \$6 million per
12	year.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: And the
14	State doesn't want to get anything out from the
15	regular phones, just the cell phones, is that?
16	MR. SALKIN: [Interposing] That's
17	how the current legislation structure
18	COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPEL:
19	[Interposing] It's very confusing to me as to why
20	that should be. And the City collects the money
21	from people who have a cell phone that's
J	

registered in the City, where the bill comes to the City, is that it?

MR. SALKIN: Right. We collect it from the phone companies who are charging it to

2.0

2.3

$^{\circ}$	COINCII MEMBED KODDEII •	T 000
Z	COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL:	T See.

3 Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Thank you

Council Member Koppell. One thing I will note is

that I'm advised by counsel that the \$.30

surcharge is the maximum that the City was allowed

to add on top of the State cellular surcharge.

And so the City, I think in 2002, went and did

that.

Let me just go back to a question from Council Member Weprin. He asked you if there were other jurisdictions that had added a surcharge for 911 service for VOIP customers. You said there were other states in the tri-state area. And including of course New York which allows us as a locality in the State to do this. Were there other cities that have implemented this either in New York or in any of those other jurisdictions?

MR. SALKIN: Let me make sure and I'll give you an answer if I can find out. I think one question which you're asking which is a good one is has anyone else in New York enacted—taken action on the State's recent law that's been

2	need to have a second hearing on the issue. We
3	have lot of follow-up questions so we'll reserve
4	our right to do that, of course, but, you know, I
5	just want to
6	MR. SALKIN: [Interposing] Right
7	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK:point out
8	there's a lot of questions
9	MR. SALKIN: [Interposing] So
10	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK:we don't
11	have answers to today so
12	MR. SALKIN: [Interposing] That's
13	understood. And if your question is what are the
14	different taxes that get charged to
15	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK:
16	[Interposing] Yeah what are the charges today
17	MR. SALKIN:land lines, what
18	different taxesright.
19	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: I mean I
20	was going to ask the more broad question about
21	what are all of the fees that the Federal, State
22	and City level that the various customers are
23	providing, how does that compare from VOIP to
24	cellular to land line. I figured I would be
25	merciful and ask just about the City taxes

really working with customers to get them into the

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 49
2	emergency services personnel. Does that make
3	sense?
4	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: No. I'm
5	not sure I totally understood that
6	MR. SALKIN: [Interposing] All
7	right. So let me try again
8	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK:do that
9	one more time so I can follow you
10	MR. SALKIN:so first is when I
11	call 911
12	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK:
13	[Interposing] Yep.
14	MR. SALKIN:I'm using publicly
15	available networks to get to a person
16	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK:
17	[Interposing] Right.
18	MR. SALKIN:who's going to talk
19	to me and help me deal with the emergency and the
20	reason I called. All right. So that's happened,
21	you know, regardless of where I start my phone
22	call, it's coming to the City's 911 center.
23	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Right.
24	MR. SALKIN: So we're taking all
25	these different phone calls from all these
	·

2	different places and we're translating that and
3	we're working on that. In terms of NICE-WIN,
4	that's a close resource that the City has
5	available to it for the City purposes. So it's
6	not available per se to the public and it's
7	something that the City can leverage to do City
8	business. So it doesn't really have anything to
9	do with 911.
10	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Right. So
11	911 does not really there's no real interaction
12	between NICE-WIN and 911.
13	MR. SALKIN: When you make a hone

MR. SALKIN: When you make a hone call right, no.

15 CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Right.

16 Okay.

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

17 [Pause]

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: The request for this legislation, we're having this hearing now in early June. The anticipated revenue is unknown from this potential new surcharge and therefore it is not included in the Mayor's Preliminary or Executive Budget as an anticipated source of revenue for Fiscal Year 2011, is that right?

MR. SALKIN: --I'm going to switch

23

24

25

[Interposing] Right.

to VOIP.

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 52
2	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Right.
3	MR. SALKIN: If you did that today-
4	_
5	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK:
6	[Interposing] Right.
7	MR. SALKIN:the City is not
8	going to collect \$12.
9	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Correct.
10	MR. SALKIN: And if everyone in
11	this room did that, it might be thousands of
12	dollars.
13	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Correct.
14	MR. SALKIN: So that will no longer
15	be coming to the City
16	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK:
17	[Interposing] Correct.
18	MR. SALKIN:until the
19	legislation is passed. So
20	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK:
21	[Interposing] So the point is, it's just a matter
22	of as soon as we get to it, the sooner the City is
23	either protected from loss of revenue or we'll
	4

have the increased revenue from the people who are

currently customers who are paying zero but will

24

54	
cil	
tion.	

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 54
2	forgot to note that we've been joined by Council
3	Member Gale Brewer. Thank you Council Member
4	Brewer for your joining us and your participation.
5	Thanks Gale.
6	[Background conversation]
7	[END Technology_in-Govt_6-11-
8	2010_part_3.mp3]
9	

CERTIFICATE

I, Laura L. Springate certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

Lama L. Springate

Signature ____Laura L. Springate_____

Date _____June 23, 2010_____