CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

COMMITTEE ON LAND USE

----X

May 12, 2010 Start: 10:51 am Recess: 11:02 am

HELD AT: Council Chambers

City Hall

B E F O R E:

LEROY G. COMRIE, JR.

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Maria del Carmen Arroyo

Charles Barron Inez E. Dickens

Daniel R. Garodnick

Robert Jackson Jessica S. Lappin

Rosie Mendez Annabel Palma Joel Rivera

James Sanders, Jr. Larry B. Seabrook

James Vacca Albert Vann

A P P E A R A N C E S

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Brad S. Lander
Stephen T. Levin
Mark S. Weprin
Jumaane D. Williams
Daniel J. Halloran III
Vincent M. Ignizio
Peter Koo

2.0

2	CHAIRPERSON	COMRIE:	Good	morning.
---	-------------	---------	------	----------

Today is May 12th, 2010. This is the full Land
Use Committee hearing. We have one item on the
calendar, and that's Land Use item number 60, West
Park Presbyterian Church in Manhattan Community
Board 7, Item number 20105349 HKM. It's regarding
the landmark designation of West Park Presbyterian
Church located at 165 West 86th Street.

We are joined this morning by

Council Member Peter Koo, Council Member Maria del

Carmen Arroyo, Larry Seabrook, Brad Lander,

Vincent Ignizio, Jessica Lappin, Al Vann, Mark

Weprin, Rosie Mendez, Inez Dickens, Gale Brewer,

Dan Halloran, James Sanders, Annabel Palma, and

Majority Leader Joel Rivera. I think I got

everybody. I saw Robert Jackson in the room and

he disappeared.

We have, again, one item on the agenda. I want to thank the members that said such kind words about me a few minutes ago. My head is still swollen from all of those kind words. Clearly, we are working with Council Member Gale Brewer on this landmarking. I would ask her if she would like to say a few more words

for the full committee.

very much. Again, I want to thank Chair Comrie and certainly Chair Lander and the committee members for your patience and obviously Chuck Meara and others from the Speaker's Office.

West Park Presbyterian Church is located on 86th Street and Amsterdam Avenue. It was designated a historical landmark by the Landmarks Preservation Commission and City Planning Commission earlier this year. I don't need to go through the reasons, but it is certainly designated correctly and is definitely a landmark.

This is a church that has great significance for those of us who live on the west side. It is not only a beautiful building with red stone, but it's also a place of major significance. It's a place where the LGB community was welcomed early on. It's a place that has had hundreds if not thousands of gatherings of families interested in social and policy issues. It has a sanctuary where everyone can hear a pin drop because it has such great

2.0

2 acoustics.

It has a whole series of leaders and ministers, including Reverend Brashear who have brought great mission in terms of the homeless, supporting them and supporting their needs and trying to get them housing as well as all of the other aspects that I've just listed.

I go back to that building to the early 1970s when Reverend Davidson was there and feel just as strongly now as I did then about this building.

The fact of the matter is we are going to work with the community and the church to support the mission and the church. This is a tall order, but I know one that this entire community and this entire city is up to. We will work to preserve the mission and the building and figure out a way that it can be renovated in all senses of the word back to what West Park Presbyterian Church was in the past.

So it's actually something that will bring people together, this great excitement.

I know that there are challenges in terms of landmarking a building that the congregation is

2.0

not supportive of in terms of the concept. But I want to be able to show them that we can all work together to figure out a way that this would be a model perhaps for the future.

I know very well that each religious institution and this issue of landmarking is an individual situation. This in no way represents exactly how it will be in the future everywhere. But in this particular situation I know that we can all work together for the future of the building and the mission. Thank you very much, Mr. Chair, for your patience and your involvement. I really have no way to thank you as much as I possibly can. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON COMRIE: Thank you. I just want to congratulate Council Member Brewer and Chair Lander on making sure that everyone had an opportunity to be heard, that all of the discussion on this landmarking was done with respect, with open mindedness and with making sure that New Yorkers had an opportunity to voice their opinion.

One thing that New Yorkers like to do is to be able to voice their opinion freely and

2.0

2	openly. I think the committee meeting allowed
3	that to happen. As New Yorkers, we're never
4	totally satisfied with the outcome of anything. I
5	think that is definitely the situation here. But
6	I think that clearly making sure that we have an
7	opportunity to lay out all the issues on the table
8	and present all of the issues is critical. I'm
9	just glad to help stimulate the conversation.
10	With that, I would recommend an aye
11	vote on this issue. Please call the roll.
12	WILLIAM MARTIN: William Martin,
13	Committee Clerk, roll calling the Committee on
14	Land Use. Council Member Comrie?

15 CHAIRPERSON COMRIE: Aye.

16 WILLIAM MARTIN: Ignizio?

COUNCIL MEMBER IGNIZIO: Yes, I'd just like to briefly explain my vote. I am voting no. As is my policy to vote no throughout, I believe property rights should supersede the will of government in terms of landmarking. I hope that we engage in a dialogue in the future about how we can better support landmarkings because ultimately the owners are saddled with the bill of what government does. I'm to discuss that matter

1	COMMITTEE ON LAND USE 8
2	with my colleagues. I have to vote no. Thank
3	you.
4	WILLIAM MARTIN: Rivera?
5	COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: I vote aye.
6	WILLIAM MARTIN: Jackson?
7	COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: I vote
8	aye.
9	WILLIAM MARTIN: Sanders?
10	COUNCIL MEMBER SANDERS: I vote
11	aye.
12	WILLIAM MARTIN: Vann?
13	COUNCIL MEMBER VANN: Aye.
14	WILLIAM MARTIN: Palma?
15	COUNCIL MEMBER PALMA: Aye.
16	WILLIAM MARTIN: Arroyo?
17	COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: [No
18	response].
19	WILLIAM MARTIN: Dickens?
20	COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: Permission
21	to explain my vote.
22	CHAIRPERSON COMRIE: So moved.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: I salute
24	my colleague Council Member Brewer because I know
25	she's been diligent and thorough and hard working.

1	COMMITTEE ON LAND USE 9			
2	She did not come to this decision easily.			
3	Although inherent in having a landmark building is			
4	frequently difficult, I also know that benefits			
5	come with it, as well as the fact that it's			
6	incumbent among all of us to try to preserve			
7	historic housing so that it is never destroyed.			
8	As such, I vote aye.			
9	WILLIAM MARTIN: Seabrook?			
10	COUNCIL MEMBER SEABROOK: Aye.			
11	WILLIAM MARTIN: Garodnick?			
12	COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Aye.			
13	WILLIAM MARTIN: Lappin?			
14	COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: Aye.			
15	WILLIAM MARTIN: Mendez?			
16	COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: I vote aye.			
17	WILLIAM MARTIN: Lander?			
18	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Aye.			
19	WILLIAM MARTIN: Weprin?			
20	COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: Aye.			
21	WILLIAM MARTIN: Levin?			
22	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Aye.			
23	WILLIAM MARTIN: Halloran?			
24	COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN:			
25	Permission to explain my vote?			

2.	CHATRPERSON COMRTE:	So moved.

council Member Halloran: In the subcommittee below I indicated that this was a difficult decision. It's still a difficult decision. I understand and appreciate the comments of my colleague from Staten Island, Mr. Ignizio, who points out rightly that there is often a divide between the needs of government and the property rights of individuals.

However, in this case Council

Member Brewer, above and beyond the call, with the assistance of Chair Lander and ultimately Chair

Comrie, have committed to this church to assist them in finding the resources necessary to support the costs which will be born in having a landmark here.

Again, it's not a light decision.

I understand that the rights of a church to
determine its ability to use its facilities is an
important constitutional paradigm and as is the
right of property owners to determine what to do
with their property.

But clearly, having seen the scope of this church, its contextual placement in the

community, it is a landmark, it is historic, it is worthy of being protected. I am sure that Council Member Brewer will do everything in her power for the next four years to ensure that the resources necessary to bear those burdens come forward.

I just want to state for the record

I am very glad to see that the city could actually

do something like this in the context of helping

out and not simply straddling them with another

burden.

To the religious community, I'd simply say I understand that you'll be disappointed in the decision of the Council here.

I appreciate that. I would ask you moving forward to look to work with your councilwoman who will give you every resource she can to find new and creative ways to deal with the consequences of this.

I'm glad to hear her say that this will not be a reflection on other decisions made in the future that may not have the unique values that this particular one does. So I will therefore vote aye and get Councilwoman Mendez a glass of milk at some point as well.

decision.

4	decision.			
3	I just want to remind members that			
4	the next subcommittee meetings are May 17th and			
5	the full committee will be meeting on May 25th.			
6	With that, we'll hold the vote open for as long as			
7	technically possible because there are some other			
8	members in the building but not upstairs. With			
9	that, the meeting is adjourned. Thank you.			
10	WILLIAM MARTIN: Council Member			
11	Vacca?			
12	COUNCIL MEMBER VACCA: Aye.			
13	WILLIAM MARTIN: The vote on the			
14	Committee on Land Use is now 19 in the			
15	affirmative, 2 in the negative and zero			
16	abstentions.			
17	CHAIRPERSON COMRIE: I hereby			

adjourn the meeting.

I, Donna Hintze certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

			Ebuna	Centre	
Signature				0	
Date	May :	27,	2010		