1	C	OMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY 1
2		
3	CITY COUNCIL CITY OF NEW YOR	8.K
4		X
5	TRANSCRIPT OF	HE MINUTES
6	Of t	he
7	COMMITTEE ON PU	
8		X
9		March 16, 2021
9		Start: 9:36 a.m.
10		Recess: 8:03 p.m.
11		DEMOSE WEIDTING (WITHEWIT DOOM O)
12	HELD AT:	REMOTE HEARING (VIRTUAL ROOM 2)
13	BEFORE:	Adrienne E. Adams, Chairperson
14	COUNCIL MEMB	
15		Justin L. Brannan Fernando Cabrera
16		Chaim M. Deutsch Robert F. Holden
17		Vanessa L. Gibson Carlos Menchaca
18		I.Daneek Miller Keith Powers
19		Kevin C. Riley Ydanis A. Rodriguez
20		Stephen T. Levin Helen K. Rosenthal
21		Kalman Yeger Brad Lander
22		Farah N. Louis Deborah L. Rose
23		Alicka Ampry-Samuel Inez Barron
24		Public Advocate Jumaane Williams

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY 2
2	APPEARANCES
3	Dean Fuleihan First Deputy Mayor
4 5	Dermot Shea NYPD Commissioner
6	Benjamin Tucker NYPD First Deputy Commissioner Benjamin Tucker
7	Kristine Ryan Deputy Commissioner for Management and Budget
9	Rodney Harrison Chief of Department
10	Danielle Pemberton Deputy Commissioner for Strategic Initiatives
12	Oleg Chernyavsky Assistant Deputy Commissioner for Legal Matters
13 14	Matthew Pontillo Assistant Chief
15	Ernest Hart Deputy Commissioner for Legal Matters
16	Juanita Holmes
17 18	Chief of Patrol  Elizabeth Daitz
19	Executive Director of Strategic Initiatives
20	Kim Royster Chief of Transportation
21	David Barrere Chief of Housing
22	Tanya Meisenholder Deputy Commissioner of Equity and Inclusion
24	Jeffrey Maddrey
25	Chief of Community Affairs

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY 3
2	APPEARANCES (CONT.)
3	Chauncey Parker Deputy Commissioner of Community Partnerships
4	Michael Lipetri
5	Chief of Crime Control Strategies
6	Kathleen O'Reilly Chief of Transit
7	Theresa Tobin
8	Chief of Interagency Operation
9	Chelsea Davis Chief Strategy Officer
10	<del></del>
11	Marco Soler Acting Director of the Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice
12	Martin Morales
13	Chief of Personnel
14	John Miller
15	Deputy Commissioner of Public Information and Intelligence and Counterterrorism
16	Kenneth Corey Chief of Training
17	Raymond Spinella
18	Chief of Operations
19	Amy Litwin Deputy Commissioner Department Advocate
20	
21	Rev. Frederick Davie Chair of the Civilian Complaint Review Board CCRE
22	Jonathan Darche Executive Director Civilian Complaint Review
23	Board CCRB
24	Marcos Soler Chief of Staff and Acting Director of the Mayor's
25	Office of Criminal Justice

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY 4
2	APPEARANCES (CONT.)
3	Deanna Logan
4	Deputy Director Crime Strategies
5	Dana Kaplan Deputy Director Justice Initiatives
6	Eric Cumberbatch
7	Deputy Director Office of Neighborhood Safety
8	Osvaldo Cruz Chief Financial Officer
9	Janet Sabel
10	Chief Of Legal Aid Society
11	Adriene Holder Attorney in Charge of the Civil Practice of the
12	Legal Aid Society
13	Tina Luongo Attorney in Charge of the Criminal Defense Practice at the Legal Aid Society
14	Lisa Schreibersdorf
15	Executive Director at Brooklyn Defender Services
16	Justine Olderman Executive Director of the Bronx Defenders
17	
18	Shane Correia Center for Court Innovation
19	Ravi Reddi
20	Associate Director for Advocacy and Policy at the Asian American Federation
21	Yao Chang Staff Member in the Community Organizing and
22	Public Advocacy Department of the New York City Anti-Violence Project, AVP
23	
24	Jasmine Bowden Community Member of the New York City Anti- Violence Project AVP
25	

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY	5
2	APPEARANCES (CONT.)	
3	Michael Sisitzky, Senior Policy Council with New York Civil Liberties Union	the
4	Joo-Hyun Kang	
5	Communities and Adequate Police Reform	
6	Donald Nesbit Local 379	
7		
8	Jim Hamlin-Mcleod Local 1549	
9	Ralph Palladino Local 1549	
10		
11	Andrea Bowen Sex Workers Project	
12	Dawn Yuster Advocates for Children	
13		
14	Sarah Sitzler Riders for Black Lives	
15	Anton Lowe Riders for Black Lives	
16		
17	Sandra Sanchez Ya-Ya Network	
18	Angelique Larsen Ya-Ya Network	
19		
20	Josh Melendez Sisters and Brothers United	
21	Humberto Flores Sisters and Brothers United	
22		
23	Marcos Romero Youth Leader at Sisters and Brothers United	
24	Chris Kwok Asian American Bar Association of New York	

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY 6
2	APPEARANCES (CONT.)
3	Madeline Borrelli Teachers Unite
4	
5	Alexandra Haridopolos Teachers Unite
6	Rodrigo Camarena Parent
7	Dulce Revolution
8	Former City School Teacher
9	Samantha Rubin
10	Teacher at a high school in East Flatbush Brooklyn and Member of Teachers Unite
11	Caitlin Delphin Special Education Teacher at a high school in
12	Bensonhurst Brooklyn and a member of Teachers Unite
13	Donnie Magger
14	Bonnie Massey Teachers Unite
15	Kamil Ghoshal
16	Jennifer Finn Teachers Unite
17	Alexa Aviles
18	Brooklynite and parent
19	Brandon West Organizer with the New York City Workers for
20	Justice
21	Jeff Strabone Lifelong Resident New Yorker and Former Vice
22	Chair of Community Board 6 in Brooklyn
23	Ashley Prather Member of the Democratic Socialists of America
24	

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY 7
2	APPEARANCES (CONT.)
3	Eileen Vicencio Resident of District 26 in Queens and a member of
4	New York DSA, the Democratic Socialists of America
5	
6	Kay Gabriel University Instructor
7	Emmy Hammond Resident of District 34
8	Resident of Distillet 34
9	Tracey Fu Resident of District 6 in Manhattan
10	Eliot Colbert Student at CUNY School of Labor and Urban Studies
11	
12	David Jenkins Lifelong New Yorker living in District 40 and
13	Member of the NYC Chapter of the Democratic Socialists of America
14	Aliffer Sabek
15	Artist, Educator, Community Organizer and Member of District 50
16	Vanessa Pereda District 43
17	
18	Rob Katz Member of NYCDSA
19	Garon Scott Resident of District 35 and Member of NYCDSA
20	
21	Adika Pimentel Organizer with Make the Road New York and the
22	Urban Youth Collaborative and Teens Take Charge
23	Meril Mousoom High School Student and Member of Teens Take
	Charge and Dignity in Schools
24	Pat Keeton

Resident of New York City for 44 years

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

set.

set.

2.2 Thank you for your cooperation. Chair Adams, we 23 are ready to begin.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Good morning. Thank you all for being here today. I am Council Member Adrienne

2.2

Adams, Chair of the Committee on Public Safety.

Welcome to day one of the Public Safety Hearing to

4 discuss the City's Police Reform and Reinvention

5 Collaborative Draft Plan and the Preliminary Budget's

6 for the NYPD, CCRB, MOCJ and the Legal Aid Society.

We will continue with Day 2 of the Public Safety
Budget Hearing where we will hear from the DA's next
Monday, March 22 at 12:00 p.m. I must say we have a
full agenda, so I want to start off by saying in
advance that I really appreciate everyone's patience.
I will try to be brief, so we can get to testimony
and questions.

As noted, we are here today to talk about the City's Police Reform and Reinvention Collaborative Draft Plan and the Preliminary Budget's for the NYPD, CCRB, MOCJ and Legal Aid. This is not typical for the Council. When it is budget season, we like to focus on just the Budget but we were really left with no choice here.

If the Council doesn't vote on a policing reform plan by April 1<sup>st</sup>, we stand to lose substantial amounts of state and federal pass through aid. So, there are massive budget implications is we don't get

2.

2.2

this done. Not just for the police but for the entire City of New York.

It didn't have to be this though. We have been calling on the city to show us real progress for months now. The city has known about this deadline since June but for months, we have heard nothing. Then promises that something was coming soon but we didn't actually see a first draft until March 5<sup>th</sup>, the latest part was just released on Friday. That makes it almost impossible for my Council colleagues and the public to have any real time to prepare for today's hearing. But we are going to do our best.

When that didn't happen, we held a hearing. We pushed the Administration to move more quickly and to have a truly transparent collaborative process.

Well, if you following along, you know that it didn't happen. This plan feels like you are mailing it in.

Like you rushed to get it in under the gun without really taking in necessary time to give the proposals a real wait at all.

Most of the plan, with a list of vague goals, that frankly has been talked about for years. The fact that we need to say it again, shows us how

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2 23

24

25

extremely difficult it is to hold the NYPD accountable. I am tired of plans that lack details, timeliness, oversight and enforcement. And I will not, I repeat, I will not support a plan that's all talk.

This was an incredible opportunity to bring real change to policing and it's been a long time coming. Many of our communities have faced decades of disinvestment and discrimination. The police were a major part of that. For too many New Yorkers, the police were the face of injustice. While their budget rose year after year.

We watched many parts of our social safety net get cut again and again. So, we need to set things right. Changing police protocols will only get us so far if we don't adequately fund anti-poverty efforts and reduce the NYPD's footprint.

I appreciate the new recommendations that focus on the decriminalization of poverty. Ending the cycle of poverty might be the single most important thing we can do to make New York City a more fair place to live.

To be perfectly honest with you, I was not that impressed with the first draft released by the

2.2

Administration. I did not see voice of impacted communities. I did not see a commitment to tackle the underlying issues that led to New York City having the largest police force in the entire country.

What I did see though, was the large focus on the police force itself, rather than a system that leave Committee men who live in Black and Brown communities feeling hopeless. That leaves women in abusive situations with few places to turn and that leaves communities feeling divided because they are trying to confront dual crises. The effects of decades of over policing and a historic increase in shootings.

No one should ever be forced to choose between safety and respect.

We didn't get to this place overnight. Many of our communities have faced decades of disinvestment of discrimination. Without so much as a second glance. The police were a major part of that. For far too many New Yorkers, there were the face of injustice. The path here isn't just to reform the police. It's to address racial bias and disproportionate policing, and to address the needs of our vulnerable communities in need.

J

So, I am more hopeful after seeing this new draft, the draft that actually should have been presented first. I want to thank the three advisors to the Mayor that pushed for many of these updates. Jennifer Jones Austin, Arva Rice and Wes Moore. I have the upmost respect for their work and I know that they have put a tremendous amount of time and effort into this work.

I don't want to see that good work go to waste.

To really move the needle here, we need to put in resources. I cannot support a plan that's all talk. I want to see communities that have suffered from systemic racism and police brutality actually lifted up. I want budget justice in New York City but this isn't just about money. I want to see that this Administration is going to put in the work and give us a real path forward, so we actually achieve something. I want to hear what metrics we will use to assess the efficacy of each of these proposals. How will we know that they are working?

I want to hear timeline implementation. I want to know how we are going to incorporate impacted communities in the planning stages. Because if we

don't properly follow through here, this plan isn't worth the paper it is written on.

So, again, I want to thank everyone who is here today to offer testimony on these imperative goals.

Now, I turn it back into the hand of our moderator.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Chair. I am Daniel Ades Counsel of the Public Safety Committee at the New York City Council. Before we begin testimony, I want to remind everyone that you will be on mute until you are called to testify. At which point, you will be unmuted by the host.

Members of the Administration who are testifying will not be muted during the Q&A portion of the Administration testimony. With one exception, the individuals in the PC conference room will all be unmuted as well as the First Deputy Mayor. We will mute the remainder of the Administration witnesses. I will ask the Police Commissioner if you could indicate when you pass the mic to one of your colleagues and we can indicate their names and we can unmute them.

This hearing will be divided into five sections.

First, we will hear from the NYPD and the Mayor's

Office followed by the CCRB at noon. The Mayor's

2.2

Office of Criminal Justice at 12:30. Legal Aid and Indigent Defense at 1:00 and members of the public at 2:00.

The first panelist to give testimony will be the

First Deputy Mayor and Representatives of the New

York City Police Department. I will call on you

shortly for the oath, then again when it is time to

begin your testimony. During the hearing, if Council

Members would like to ask a question of the

Administration or a specific panelist, please use the

Zoom raise hand function and I will call on you in

order.

We will be limiting Council Member questions to five minutes, which includes the time it takes to answer questions. All hearing participants should submit written testimony to <a href="mailto:testimony@council.nyc.gov">testimony@council.nyc.gov</a> if you have not already done so. The deadline for written testimony is 72 hours after the hearing.

The Committee Chair has also asked me to note for the public that we will be reviewing written testimony which is also part of the record in case you need to leave before you are called upon to testify.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

24

Before we begin testimony, I will administer the oath to all members of the Administration who will be offering testimony or will be available for questions. Please raise your right hands. I will begin with the First Deputy Mayor, then turn to the PC Conference Room and then turn to the remainder of the NYPD officials.

I will read the oath and call on each of you for a response. Do you swear or affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth before this Committee and to respond honestly to Council Member questions? First Deputy Mayor Dean Fuleihan?

Uhm, can we unmute the First Deputy Mayor?

DEAN FULEIHAN: Can you hear me?

17 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Yes.

DEAN FULEIHAN: Alright, thank you. Yes and I do.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. And we will turn to the PC Conference Room. Commissioner Dermot Shea?

And I believe we need to - there we go.

23 DERMOT SHEA: I do, yes.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. First Deputy

25 | Commissioner Benjamin Tucker?

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY 17		
2	BENJAMIN TUCKER: I do.		
3	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Uh, Deputy Commissioner for		
4	Management and Budget Kristine Ryan?		
5	KRISTINE RYAN: I do.		
6	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Chief of Department Rodney		
7	Harrison?		
8	RODNEY HARRISON: I do.		
9	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Deputy Commissioner for		
10	Strategic Initiatives Danielle Pemberton?		
11	DANIELLE PEMBERTON: I do.		
12	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Assistant Deputy Commissioner		
13	for Legal Matters Oleg Chernyavsky?		
14	OLEG CHERNYAVSKY: I do.		
15	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Assistant Chief Matthew		
16	Pontillo?		
17	MATTHEW PONTILLO: I do.		
18	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Deputy Commissioner for Legal		
19	Matters Ernie Hart?		
20	ERNEST HART: I do.		
21	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Okay and Chief of Patrol		
22	Juanita Holmes?		
23	JUANITA HOLMES: I do.		
24	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Elizabeth Daitz, Executive		
25	Director of Strategic Initiatives?		

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY 18
2	ELIZABETH DAITZ: I do.
3	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Kim Royster, Chief of
4	Transportation?
5	KIM ROYSTER: I do.
6	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: David Barrere, Chief of
7	Housing?
8	DAVID BARRERE: I do.
9	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Tonya Meisenholder, Deputy
10	Commissioner of Equity and Inclusion?
11	TONYA MEISENHOLDER: I do.
12	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Jeffrey Maddrey, Chief of
13	Community Affairs?
14	JEFFREY MADDREY: I do.
15	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Chauncey Parker, Deputy
16	Commissioner of Community Partnerships?
17	CHAUNCEY PARKER: I do.
18	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Michael Lipetri, Chief of
19	Crime Control Strategies?
20	MICHAEL LIPETRI: I do.
21	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Kathleen O'Reilly, Chief of
22	Transit?
23	KATHLEEN O'REILLY: I do.
24	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Theresa Tobin, Chief of

Interagency Operation?

18 AMY LITWIN: I do.

Operations?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Matthew Fraser, Deputy

20 Commissioner of Information Technology? Do we have

Deputy Commissioner Fraser?

Okay, if we have to turn to Deputy Commissioner 2.2

23 Fraser, we will swear him in when we have him back.

Thank you everyone. 24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

21

Personnel?

Now, I will invite First Deputy Mayor Dean Fuleihan to begin your testimony.

DEAN FULEIHAN: Try again, can you hear me?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Yes.

DEAN FULEIHAN: Okay, thank you. Thank you very much. Good morning. Good morning Chair Adams,

Members of the Public Safety Committee and other

Council Members joining us this morning.

Uhm, I am joined by Police Commissioner Dermot

Shea and the NYPD leadership, as you have just heard.

As well, uh, joining me here, Chelsea Davis the Chief

Strategy Officer for my Office and Marco Soler,

Acting Director of the Mayor's Office of Criminal

Justice.

Thank you. Thank you for inviting me today to discuss this critical topic. I am here to speak about the New York City Police Reform and Reinvention Collaborative Draft Plan.

The de Blasio Administration made the plan available for public review in two parts after really months of engagement. The first part was released on March  $5^{\rm th}$  and March  $12^{\rm th}$  was the second. The plan was created with input of New Yorkers. A long list and I do think it is important that we go through those.

2.2

CBO's, advocacy groups, clergy, racial justice advocates, cure violence providers, youth groups, youth voices, ethnic and religious organizations, business improvement districts, small business groups, nonprofits, LGBT QIA plus community leaders, the deaf and hard of hearing community, people with disabilities, tenant associations, shelter-based and affordable housing communities and providers. 

People involved in the justice system, crime victims policy experts, prosecutors, oversite bodies, elected officials, academic leaders and many more.

Most important, we heard from New Yorkers from across the city but especially from communities that have suffered the most from our history of racialized policing.

I want to take this opportunity to thank all New Yorkers in communities across the city who bravely came forward to give honest and often painful testimony. And I want to join our Chair in thanking our community co-sponsors. Jennifer Jones Austin, President and CEO of the Federation of Protestant Welfare Agencies, Wes Moore, CEO of Robinhood and Arva Rice, President and CEO of New York's Urban League.

2.2

These three New Yorkers and their staffs provided critical support during the creation of this Draft Plan. They offered powerful insight that informed every part of life. The result is much stronger because of it. I am grateful for their time, their effort and their insight. And I believe they have submitted a joint statement, a testimony into the record in support of the plan this morning.

I want to thank the members of the City Council for their part in bringing this process to where it is today. For the thoughtful discussions we have had and for the work we will do together moving forward.

I want to thank the NYPD Commissioner Dermot Shea and the entire NYPD leadership for their extensive work during this process.

Finally, I would like to thank my staff for constantly moving us forward. Before I get into the details of the plan, I want to say this a beginning. There is no plan, no single administration that can fully repair the damage caused by over policing. We cannot erase the trauma experience by victims of police violence and those who love them. Cannot bring back Anthony Baez or Amadou Diallo. We cannot bring back [INAUDIBLE 19:43] and Sean Bell. We

2.

•

cannot bring back Ramarley Graham or Patrick

Dorismond. We cannot bring back Eric Garner and too

many more. We cannot bring back George Floyd or

Breonna Taylor.

We can acknowledge our past and take a new path to combat this legacy of injustice. Together, the two parts of our New York City Police Reform and Reinvention Collaborative Plan offer a way forward. Our goal is clear. We envision an NYPD that is a national example of fair and just transparent and accountable policing. Regardless of race, gender, ethnicity, sexual orientation, religion, immigration or social economic steps.

Our plan includes more than 60 concrete recommendations to help us achieve our vision. These recommendations are built on the feedback and lived experience of New Yorkers from all walks of life.

Now, I would like to briefly walk you through the five main goals of the plan. I want to stress; these weren't created in effect they are interconnected pieces of an overall path forward.

First, recognition and continued examination of the historical and modern day racializing policing within New York City.

Two, transparent, transparency and accountability to the people of New York City. Three, community representation and partnership. Four, the decriminalization of poverty and five, a diverse resilient and supported NYPD.

Now, I want to offer a bit more detail to how we achieve these goals. Recognition and continual examination of historical and modern day racialized policing in New York City. To address the harm done by racialized policing, we must first publicly acknowledge the departments troubled history and its current challenges.

Then we must urgently move forward with a reform detailed in these reforms. The City commits to acknowledge addressing and repairing past and present injustices and the trauma caused by racialized policing. The NYPD will participate in a comprehensive and independent review to identify persistent structures of racism. The NYPD will require supervisors and monitor officers activity for signs of bias-based policing and take immediate measures.

The city will limit the use of unnecessary force by changing culture, adding virtual biased training

2.1

2.2

for NYPD leadership and instituting restorative
justice. We will work in partnership with effected
communities to repair relationships and build trust.

We will include neighborhood coordination officers in the process and require that all levels have sufficient training to be active bystanders and prevent discount. [LOST AUDIO 22:59-23:13].

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: I am sorry, uhm, First Deputy Fuleihan, we cannot hear you. {LOST AUDIO 23:18-25:10].

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Folks, we apologize for the delay. We are experiencing some audio issues with the First Deputy Mayor, if you could just give us a moment. Thank you. [25:17-25:45]

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Mr. First Deputy Mayor, we still can't hear you. I think uhm, Commissioner Shea, if you are able to begin your testimony, perhaps we can have you read your statement while we sort out the technical issues on the other end.

DERMOT SHEA: Good to go.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you so much.

DERMOT SHEA: So, I would like to start, good morning everyone. Before I begin my prepared testimony, I would like to echo Dean Fuleihan's

2.2

thanks to Wes, Arva and Jennifer for their support of
the process and for their willingness to take us at
our word and work with us over the last several
months. They have been invaluable partners and we

continue to look forward to their continued work with

7 us as we tackle these difficult issues.

So, thank you for the opportunity to testify this morning before the Committee on Public Safety and to discuss the Mayor's Preliminary Budget for the 2022 Fiscal Year. This in my opinion is a historic moment in time. Unprecedented in fact. While the NYPD continues to evolve and carry out reforms, we started seven years ago. We are coming off a recent citywide listening tour. More than 100 meetings with our community partners during which we heard directly from the people we serve. They spoke their truth and we absorbed a broad range of criticism, praise and ideas for the future.

We heard about accountability and frankly lack thereof, transparency, diversity, trust, fairness and the basic respect shown by police officers often lacking in some communities.

We heard about how some officers approach people on the street and how New Yorkers want far less of

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 the aggressive policing they experienced in days gone 3 by. Sometimes in the not too distant past. lengthy discussions have proven invaluable to us because we believe that if we are not evolving, we are simply not moving forward and all of it factored 6 7 into the city's reform plan which was released last week by the Mayor's Office. 8

Simultaneously, we were taking large steps, a discipline matrix. Our memorandum of understanding with the Civilian Complaint Review Board. A discipline data base that went live online within a couple of days of a court decision that allowed us to legally release the information. We made great strides in the diverse composition of our department, particularly at the executive level. We also trained tens of thousands of officers in implicit bias, deescalation techniques and much more.

Around the five boroughs, what we also heard was that New Yorkers are deeply concerned about increasing violence. They spoke of hope but also expressed the anxiety about their own safety and that of their loved ones and neighbors.

We heard moving stories from parents who lost their children to gun violence on our streets,

2.1

2.2

heartbreaking stories, unconscionable. Advocates
talked of concerns about over policing in some
communities while many residents of those same
communities sometimes voice concerns about under
policing. We heard it all. The bottom line, people
want to be safe and they want to feel safe but they
expect and demand and deserve to be treated with
dignity and respect in every encounter with their
police.

I understand all of these concerns. We engage with our community partners to walk with us on this path to change because we realize it is not just enough to consider how we see ourselves but also how others see us. What we found is that New Yorkers want to help their police find a way forward together.

To make tomorrow a better time and place for all of us. And I would offer that the future of New York City is already here. Although it is not a light switch that can simply be flicked, the efforts to effect change are well underway.

I recently referenced as much at event hosted by the Greater Harlem Chamber of Commerce and the City College of New York. I told them that we at the NYPD

2.2

recognize and apologize for past wrongs committed by
us or our law enforcement predecessors here in New
York City and that we are pushing ahead. Arms linked
with our partners to foster a fairer and more just

6 tomorrow.

As I told that large and virtual audience last month, we have inherited the burden of our collective history. And our challenge now is to ensure that we will not participate in or tolerate any further inequality or injustice.

Turning to the Preliminary Budget and its impact on the NYPD in the coming year, the NYPD's Fiscal Year 2022 Expense Budget is \$5.4 billion. The vast majority of which 93 percent is allocated for personnel costs. The remaining seven percent is dedicated to nonpersonal costs including technology that provides officers with immediate access to critical safety equipment, tools and applications.

As you know, last years adopted budget saw significant operating reductions of \$417 million, including a recruit class cancelation that diminished our uniform workforce by over 1,000 officers. A uniform overtime decrease, a civilian overtime decrease, the cutting of 100 civilian positions, a

delay in police cadet hiring and other nonpersonal
reductions.

The NYPD's capital budget was also reduced by \$537 million. Eliminated was funding for a new 116<sup>th</sup> Precinct and its station house and construction of a much needed consolidated property clerks warehouse, that would improve evidence and property storage.

Indeed, we are here today to talk about the budget but we do not have three months until the end of June to make these important tough decisions. We have to make these decisions today, now. So, that we do not see another crime victim acted to a horrific talent.

The NYPD is a police department watching its manpower reduced for the first time in decades. Yes, overall crime is down but we are seeing violent crime rising to levels not seen in many, many years. In 2020, murders were up by 40 percent. Shootings were up by nearly 100 percent. Numbers are cold calculations but each number represents a victim and victims have names. And we remember when the gun violence began to climb last summer.

A one-year-old Davell Gardner Jr shot dead in a playground before his family could ever know his

2.1

2.2

potential. In the Bronx, Brandon Hendrix family, knew all about his potential by the time he was 17-years-old, when he was cut down. He had a college basketball scholarship. He had a bright future ahead. In October, Bertha Arriaga was with her two children when she heard a noise and went to her third floor window in Queens. She was shot in the head and died.

On Halloween, an eight-year-old girl in a costume, shot while walking with her father. Thank God she lived. And just this past week, last Friday, Gudelia Vallinas, killed by a stray bullet during gun fire exchange between gang members. She was out to get milk for her two children 37-years of age.

Brian Sanon was shot in a drive by shooting on Saturday hours later in Brooklyn. Two others were wounded but Bryan died. He was 17-years of age. And that same morning, five other people were shot in crowded night club. And that was all just this weekend.

Almost none of those I mentioned was the intended victim of gunfire. They were people caught in a crossfire and equally startling is that 97 percent of our shooting victims are people of color, which is

why we heard in communities of color asking for more police and more visibility.

It is clear that opportunity and equality are tied directly to safe streets and safe neighborhoods. In fact everything we strive for as a society is built upon a foundation of public safety. It is imperative that parents feel comfortable whether they are shopping down the block or bringing their children to the local playground. Anything less is wholly unacceptable.

Some people said cynically that crime was going up because police were slowing down in the face of relentless criticism. We heard that last year. That it was morale problem. Well, that does not square against the fact the New York City Police Officers made more gun arrests in the first two months of this year than they did during the same period in any of the last 25 years.

Meanwhile, 41 of our officers were shot at last year. Seven of them were hit. Struck and injured and so far this year in just over two months, 17 officers have been shot at and three struck. Two just last week. That one fact tells us two things.

That our police are fully engaged and that far too many people are carrying guns on our streets.

I am not one who believes that we must choose between dynamic police reform and enforcement. I believe we can and more importantly, we must choose both. I have also learned that it cannot be accomplished in two separate conversations, it must be one. To ensure reform in public safety, we cannot be divided. We need to operate as a team. We need the community, we need the district attorney's, we need the courts, we need the advocates but we also need the City Council, we need our State Legislatures and we need to have hard, honest discussions about how to protect New York City without finger pointing and divisiveness.

Despite the headwinds, when you look at what has been accomplished throughout neighborhood policing in this city, through precision policing, through fresh or reinforced partnerships in our communities. We have accomplished a great deal. Still utilizing our crime fighting philosophy, we can get better and I believe we will get better. We know we can do this because of the relationships that we have all across this great city. But make no mistake, when we say

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

2 public safety is a shared responsibility, we mean it.

3 We need help financial, emotional and legislative and

4 we must discuss the tools our offices need to combat

5 the surge in gun violence and other crimes.

Resources that not only keep our officers safe while
performing their sworn duties but also help them keep

8 safe all the people we serve.

After all, victims are what this is all about.

Helping victims find justice and preventing

additional victims. Across the NYPD, we will

continue to leverage every tool available to us to

keep New York City safe. Including the use of new

and innovative technology.

We are keenly focused on such advances and how they can be applied to fighting crime, creating safer and more efficient ways for police officers to do their jobs. And contributing to the important work of building trust and strengthening relationships across the city.

That goes for the entire public safety spectrum from traditional crime to terrorism. To the activities that can draw young people down paths of criminality. This is our mission and we owe it to every New Yorker. Nothing but our best efforts.

2.1

2.2

community.

There is no cookie cutter answer to anything in

our line of work. But our renewed focus on our

city's young people slowed last year unfortunately in

part by the COVID-19 epidemic is part of our

evolution now, as a Police Department and as a

The approach we take must always be about all of us working together to reduce crime and violence. I can tell you that the police and the public turning professional relationships into true partnerships is already fundamentally changing law enforcement and New York City is quite frankly a model for the rest of the nation.

I thank you for the opportunity to testify today and I welcome your questions.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Commissioner Shea. We will now return to the First Deputy Mayor. We resolved those issues and he can pick up where he left off.

DEAN FULEIHAN: Alright, can you hear me?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Yes.

DEAN FULEIHAN: Okay, well, I went to a different location. Chair Adams, members, my apologies. Thank you Commissioner Shea for jumping in. I will start

2.2

together.

by saying I look forward to that point and time when
we don't have to do it this way. Hopefully soon and
we can actually be in Council Chambers and doing it

So, I do apologize for our technical difficulties. Uhm, I believe you were hearing most of what I had said. So, unless someone tells me that's not correct, I will pick up on the goals. The five goals and the plan — can you hear me? Yes, okay. I am sorry, I am just making sure you can hear me.

I will pick up on the five goals and the two
parts of our New York City Police Reform and
Reinvention Collaborative Plan. Our goal is clear
and I apologize if you heard some of this but our
goal is clear. We envision an NYPD as the

Commissioner just said, that is a national example of
fair, just, transparent and accountable policing.

Regardless of race, gender, ethnicity, sexual
orientation, religion, immigration or socioeconomic
status.

Our plan includes more than 60 concrete recommendations to help us achieve that vision.

These recommendations are built on the feedback and

2.2

2 lived experience of New Yorkers from all walks of
3 life.

Now, I would like to briefly walk you through the five main goals of the plan. I want to stress; these weren't created in a vacuum. They are interconnected pieces of an overall path forward. They are first, recognition and continue examination of historical and modern day racialized policing in New York City.

Two, transparency and accountability to the people of New York City. Three, community representation and partnership. Four, the decriminalization of poverty. Five, a diverse, resilient and supported NYPD.

Now, I want to offer just a bit more detail on how we achieve each goal. Recognition and continual examination of historical and modern day racialized policing in New York City. To address the harm done by racialized policing, we must first publicly acknowledge the department's trouble history and its current challenges and the Commissioner has done that in the opening letter of the second of this draft.

Then we must urgently move forward with the reforms detailed in this report. The City commits to acknowledging, addressing and repairing past and

2.2

present injustices and the trauma caused by
racialized policing. The NYPD will participate in a
comprehensive and independent review to identify
structures of racism. The NYPD will also require
supervisors to monitor office activity for signs of

bias-based policing and take immediate measures.

The city will eliminate the use of unnecessary force by changing culture. Adding racially bias training for NYPD leadership and instituting restorative justice. We will work in partnership with effected communities to repair relationships and build trust. We will include neighborhood coordination officers in the process and require that all officers have sufficient training to be active bystanders and prevent misconduct.

Two, transparency and accountability to the people of New York City. To earn and keep the public trust, we must hold officers accountable in a consistent, transparent and fair manner. But first, NYPD disciplinary system penalty guidelines will be commonly referred to as the discipline matrix, gives us a clear, consistent and fair way to assess discipline.

The city will closely monitor its implementation.

2.2

The CCRB and NYPD have embraced the Discipline

Matrix. Have signed a written agreement to fully

implement it. Beyond the Matrix for the most

impose suspensions without pay for more than 30 days

egregious cases, the city should also be able to

while investigation proceeds. We will work with the

state to change that law.

But accountability also requires a full command of the facts. We will now systematically include an officers complaint and disciplinary history in the promotion process. We will also expand our early intervention program to identify officers who are at risk and get them the help before harm occurs.

Strong oversight is also necessary to ensure trust. That's why we announced the largest expansion of the scope and powers of CCRB since it was established in 1993.

Under the David Dickins Plan, we will consolidate the powers of the Department of Investigation Office of Inspector General for the NYPD. The Commission, to combat police corruption and put both of these under the structure of CCRB. These three offices

. .

will be more effective working together in this one structure.

Transparency requires the public have information about NYPD policies and information. To that end, the city wish a memorial executive order establishing a citywide policy on fair and responsible use of biometric technology, which will cover all agencies including the NYPD.

Three, community representation and partnership.

Creating meaningful partnerships at the neighborhood level must be at the center of NYPD's mission. And engrained in everything the department does. We will strengthen community input and cooperation in violence prevention and response in recruiting, hiring, retention and promotion. And in working with agencies to improve public spaces.

We will ensure that whenever an officer starts in a new precinct, they will establish a relationship in surrounding neighborhoods. They will empower community members to help select their precinct commanders. We are also expanding precinct commander advisory councils, so the community has a formalized way to discuss outreach engagement and resource development with precinct leadership.

\_

feedback from the community about positive and the negative experiences to ensure the public gets the best possible service.

The NYPD will consistently solicit real time

We will also take important steps to improve relationships with immigrant communities and work with people with disabilities to expand partnership and services.

Four, the decriminalization of poverty. Police are often the first public servants to address complex, social, emotional and behavioral issues. This pattern is particularly true in low-income and communities of color. This creates a poverty to prison pipeline. The city will systematically examine and end policies that over police lower income residents in communities of color.

When possible, we will have health professionals respond to mental health crisis. We will use civilian agencies to address quality of life issues. We have moved enforcement of street vending regulations, the Department of Consumer and Worker Protection. We are shifting primary responsibility for homeless outreach to the Department of Homeless

Service. We are moving School Safety Agents through Department of Education.

We will also do a better job helping victims of crime. We will provide special training to officers who deal with victims of domestic, gender-based and family violence. And ensure victims receive access to critical resources. We will develop new policies and approaches to sex trafficking that do not entangle victims in the criminal justice system.

Fifth, the diverse resilient and supported NYPD.

We are committed to creating the most diverse and resilient law enforcement agency in the nation. We owe our officers the best training equipment and resources. We owe them a department that continues to improve its culture. A department that prioritizes officers health and wellbeing. A department that offers a clear, consistent pathway to rewarding careers and promotions.

We will recruit and promote officers, so that

NYPD leadership reflects the communities it serves

and the values of our city. We will make residency

in the five boroughs a more significant factor in

hiring. We must perform the promotion process to

improve transparency and fairness and build a more

diverse equitable and inclusive department. And the city is committed to providing officers with the necessary support they need to be successful.

As part of supporting professional development and improve careers at all levels, we are developing training to help officers management. We will also expand the NYPD's Critical Incident Stress Management Program, to help build a culture that supports seeking help and addressing promptly.

To conclude, we have laid out concrete detailed and ambitious agenda, to tackle the legacy of racialized policing head on. Increase transparency and accountability. Increase community representation, partnership, decriminalize poverty and create a diverse and resilient police department.

This plan builds upon seven years of reforming policing in New York City. We have achieved a great deal but recognize we have to go much farther. These are big goals but they are achievable and necessary goals. As the legacy we are confronting is deep and our urgency is high. Yet we approach this task with confidence. We know from experience that New Yorkers and their police department are capable of changing history.

I want to thank the Speaker, the Chair, the member of the Committee for inviting me to testify and the Commissioner. We want to continue the conversation with the Council on these proposals and your legislation as we move along in this reform process. Thank you again and I look forward to your questions.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. I will now turn it over to questions from the Chair. Uhm, if we can have the First Deputy Mayor and the Police Commissioner conference room remain unmuted and to each of you, if you need one of your colleagues to answer a question, please just refer to that specific colleague and we will unmute them.

Uhm, a reminder to Chair Adams, you will be in control of muting and unmuting yourself during this period and thank you everyone. Chair Adams, you may begin.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Thank you very much Counsel and thank you for your testimony everyone. I would also like to say another thank you to all of NYPD personnel who are here. Uhm, with your presence and with your testimony this morning. We appreciate you being here for this really, really important hearing.

Commissioner Shea, it is good to see you today and uhm, just want to uhm just relay that we appreciate your apology overall. The reality is that the average New Yorker though isn't watching these hearings right? They don't read 187 page report, so how do we actually get the message to regular people? How do we translate the talk though that there is an impact that people actually feel on the ground?

DERMOT SHEA: Yeah, Chair Adams, I think you know when you talk about policing, certainly this last year and it's not just confined to New York City, it's across the country, do you often start and then talking about trust. And I know that to my right is Ben Tucker and we talk about this all the time and it drives really all the policy decisions that we make from training, from hiring, from how we continue training when officers are out of the academy.

Uhm, how we police this city and when you talk about neighborhood policing and devoting resources to the youth and the youth coordination officers with the work I have done with Chauncey Parker, so I agree with you, uhm, I thought it was important to say for a lot of different reasons but I also think words have to be backed up by actions and my commitment is

2.2

to stand behind my words and in everything that we do with this agency. I think the men and women of this police department are some of the best that New York City has to offer but it is my job and Ben's job beside me to lead them and guide them and to make sure that they turn those words into credible realized actions and people need to, to your point, they need to feel it every day with every encounter.

So, I will stop but I could talk about our customer service plan. I could talk about the accountability. How we police with build a block meetings. It runs throughout everything literally that we are trying to do.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Thank you Commissioner. I am just going to add, the majority of my questions are going to be for the First Deputy Mayor. I wanted to make sure that I get my questions for you. I just have another one because you and I have spoken about this in the past and we have spoken about the different ways of operation in the precincts across the city.

Uhm, the precincts across the city to my estimation have different brains if you will, across the board and there really is no one uniform way of

2.1

2.2

operating across those brains. Uhm, we have had for example, recently in one of my precincts No Knock and one of my constituents homes was turned upside down, nothing found, No Knock Warrant. The officers actually covered their badges, so she didn't know who was who, what was what. Uhm, we actually still have verbiage coming out of precincts across this city. If there is a problem, call your Council Member. Well, you Council Member is not Law Enforcement. That is still a resignation scene throughout precincts across this city. Most recently as last week you know, in one of mine again.

So, again, how am I supposed to convince my constituents? How am I supposed to convince them that these aren't just words as far as reform? How am I supposed to convince them when they see day after day instances where they are still treated the same. They are still being disrespected. They are still being dishonored. Their words aren't valued and it seems as their lives are not valued. How are we to convince them that this is not more talk and rhetoric?

DERMOT SHEA: Yeah, that's a great point Chair

Adams and uh, there is a lot there to unpack. Uhm, I

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

think it's you know the work that we all are committed to doing. Uhm, you are right and you know, as you are talking I think you know one bad incident sets us back and there is no doubt that that's the truth and whether it happens in Minneapolis or whether it happens in an apartment as you said, I don't know the details of what you are referring to but that incident in Queens, I don't know the details and I would love to and I am sure we will follow up but if what you describe is right, that story will be told 100 times and that's the message we are trying to tell our cops. That they represent a brand and don't be remembered for your worst day. You know, how do you want to be remembered ten years from now? Because believe me and I know you know this, you know that's the conversation we have to the cops that are youngest in the academy or tenured officers. you know, you have millions of encounters and that one bad one, you don't want to be remembered for that way.

I think when you talk about the scope of the NYPD, a large agency, I think that's our strength and our weakness. The strength is that you know we get a lot of different talented people that do amazing

2.2

things across this city. I know you know a lot of them in terms of the work we do with outreach and community affairs and neighborhood policing but the weakness is, is, is one represents all too. And that's something that we have to constantly uh, fight back. I am not going to pass it — I would love to, to Juanita Holmes because you know, as Chief of Patrol, I know she is thinking right now and has a lot to say. She is probably chomping on the bit but on the topic of No Knock, you know, this topic is being examined across the country right now with the tragic incident with Breonna Taylor.

What I will say on that is please, to anyone considering legislation, invite us to the table before decisions are made. Because we also have to think of the safety of officers going through the door on very dangerous circumstances. I know that a lot of Police Departments Chair, across the country right now, are looking at their policies and modifying policies. I am happy to say that a lot of the things that they are coming to, we have had in place for a number of years. We have a very high centralized process that feeds through our intelligence bureau with very high to the chief

2.2

levels where before a warrant is executed, it is reviewed. Is the information credible? Is it fresh? What is the purpose of going through that door? Do we have a No Knock capacity because many warrants do not. And I think that we are in a much better place than most Police Departments across the country in terms of our policies and practices in this area.

CHAIRPERSON ADAM: So, what — with all that said, what is actually the plan to implement the plan and who is going to be in charge of making sure that you follow through? Uhm, uh, are we working on any potential budget updates to reflect any of the proposals at all?

Uhm, who is going to be in charge of making sure that the plan is followed through?

DERMOT SHEA: Are you — you are referring to the reform plan Chair?

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Yes.

DERMOT SHEA: Okay, I am going to pass it to Dean in one second but let me just say uhm, you know, it was myself that reached out to Jennifer, Wes and Arva and had a conversation. I want to say it was back in September or October. I don't remember exactly when and the conversation basically, I did not know — I

2.1

2.2

Arva before that.

had spoken to Wes at some interactions unrelated to reform prior once or twice. I don't know that I had ever really spoken to Wes, excuse me, to Jennifer or

So, I was calling them up really cold and what I asked them to do and they took a leap of faith was to trust me number one and to come in on the ground floor of an opportunity to change policy. I would not always agree with them I told them but I expected differing opinions and give and take. I like to think that you know, your reputation is everything you have. That is they were pulled right now; they would say I was fair and you know, they would agree with I upheld so far what we have set out to do.

But it's a process and I know that Ben next to me is also thinking about the work that he has done over the last seven years. In everything from training to the Risk Management Bureau, to implementing body cameras. Some of it forced on us, the monitor. Some of it forced on us.

Much of it not forced on us and we have been on a path to lead this Police Department, to continue to be the best in the country and to be the most innovative and to keep crime down but to be fair.

2.2

So, whether it's neighborhood policing, whether it is the Discipline Matrix, whether it's you know with the recent MOU sign with Fred Davie, the Chair of the CCRB, in law it is mandated that when I differ from Fred, it is reported. I said, that's not good enough. I am going to also tell the public when I differ from Fred.

So, I think I have demonstrated uhm, on multiple occasions. You know, the willingness to take bold steps but compromising that balance, not compromising public safety but also doing it the right way.

Getting rid of anti-crime in response to many, many multiple complaints from the community. I thought we could do things differently. I think I was proven right in that we are still getting far too many guns off the street. But at the same time, our civilian complaints have gone down.

So, I think there is a lot of positive there and it is hard to say — again, back to that trust word. People, we need to earn it and that's the bottom line.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Commissioner, I am just going to jump in because you just made me think of something. When you spoke of CCRB —

2.2

2 DERMOT SHEA: Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Chair Davie.

DERMOT SHEA: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON ADAM: I recognized the fact that uhm, there is some discrepancy there between CCRB's decision and you are overriding CCRB's decision some of the time. What are some of those deciding factors? Because from my vantage point, there should indeed have been disciplinary measures taken and followed through when it comes to several, several opinions that were overridden that I actually give validity to coming out of CCRB.

So, can you tell us what your motivating factors are for dismissing the views and the opinions of CCRB when you do?

DERMOT SHEA: You know when I said that Chair Adams, I said, here comes that question and I did it purposely because I think that -

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: You got me.

DERMOT SHEA: That article in you know, I forget what paper it was in, caused a lot of harm. It really did and I don't think it was fair. So, I will tell you that most of that article focused on pre-Discipline Matrix. That's the first point to

2.2

recognize that I think is really important and preMOU signed with CCRB. I think and I will not speak
for Fred but I think that all of us have the general
opinion now that whether it is police officer
accused, whether it's person that makes a complaint,
whether it is the CCRB investigating or whether it is
me that's ultimate disciplinarian.

We are working off the same play book and we never had a playbook before. I think that's a huge step forward. Remember that we are now posting these decisions with the repeal of 50A, so the evidence is going to be right there a year from now, two years from now to look and say, how is — whoever the Police Commissioner is, how are they doing?

I also want to point out that there was an article in the paper yesterday that also may come up, where it said, there is still some discrepancies. It is interesting that when they talked about me, they said, he lowered the decision I think five times but raised it four times. That's — I don't know that that's terrible. Uhm, each case is scrutinized incredibly.

What led to most of the discrepancies in that 70 percent number, was it left out the fact Chair, that

2.1

2.2

when a case goes to CCRB, this is one of the biggest

points and I will end here. And its investigated and

CCRB says, we are substantiating this and it gets

turned over then to the NYPD for discipline or a

5 turned over then to the NYPD for discipline or a

6 trial.

The trial still has to take place and sometimes the trial was innocent. Clearly, there is going to be a discrepancy in the penalty then because they were asking for X but the person was found innocent after trial. That factored into that and that was not made clear in the article.

Sometimes they are going to be found guilty but it is partially guilty. So, if you are accused of four things and the penalty for the four things is let's say, 20 vacation days. But then the trial happens and you are only guilty of one of the four, that's going to change. There are multiple factors like this. I do not believe that that was a fair and impartial description of this discipline process.

DEAN FULEIHAN: Chair Adams, may I jump in?

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Yes certainly.

DEAN FULEIHAN: So, thank you. Let's step back for a minute and thank you Dermot and I think, let's step back for both CCRB and the NYPD. This was a two

year process. This took a lot of effort and by the
way, it was very helpful. The original Disciplinary
Matrix was put out for public review and the final
Disciplinary Matrix much stronger, much more
encompassed. It was put out in the middle of
January. There was an MOU binding agreement between
the Commissioner and the head of CCRB. And a
statement at that moment that we will follow, except
in very rare and unusual cases but in the vast
majority of cases, both committed that this would be
the guidelines for the first time in New York City
history. 60 pages of recommendations detail on
discipline. Here is the standard discipline. Here
is the aggravated discipline for additional problems
and here are mitigating factors.

And it goes list by list by list and it is important actually for New Yorkers to be — the three co-sponsors participated. Jennifer Jones Austin, Wes Moore and Arva Rice participated. We all did. CCRB was very active in this decision process. It's the reason that we all took pride in finally putting this forward. This is actually how discipline goes forward. It is fair to communities. It is fair to

2.

neighborhoods. It is fair to officers, so they understand what they are doing as they move forward.

So, I do think yes, we need to talk about the past and clearly we do. We need to understand where the problems were. Where discrepancies occur. Where incidents occur that shouldn't have happened. Where discipline occurred that we would not agree with. But we also need to say okay, here is how we are moving forward. And this was a fundamental change. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Thank you.

DEAN FULEIHAN: I just thought we needed to put that in context.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: I appreciate that. I appreciate that. I also want to say that I notice that neither part of the plan addresses the issue of the Police Commissioner's discretion over final discipline and it is something that we have heard a lot about from advocates and from my colleagues.

Majority Leader Cumbo has a Resolution. We heard calling for the CCRB to have final authority. I don't know how we restore confidence if we continue to see situations like what happened with Tonie

Wells. I spoke about her in my past two hearings.

7

15

16

17

18

20

21

2.2

23

24

Where officers can completely neglect their duties.Allow an innocent person to die and walk away with a

slap on the wrist. It is just a gut punch to the

5 families of the victims and compounds to their

6 tragedy. So, Commissioner Shea or First Deputy Mayor

Fuleihan do you have any response to these concerns

8 Elizabeth Rivera who is probably watching this?

9 That's Tonie's mother. What do we say to the family

10 of Delrawn Smalls? Officer Isaacs that is still

11 being paid? Still on budget? The issues that we are

12 | talking about today are budgeted issues. These

officers are still being paid, so what do we say

14 about this? What do we say about this?

DEAN FULEIHAN: So, I will jump in and then I am pretty sure the Commissioner will want to and I also want — I don't want to forget your question about who is responsible, which you started with the

19 Commissioner on.

So, I will end with that but let's go back to this point. I want to emphasize again that this document is how we move forward. We do have additional discipline measures. We are asking for your support in additional unpaid suspension for officers who can meet egregious acts. I think we all

25

2.2

mortgage.

can rightfully determine who that it is. We need

state law to make those changes. We are operating

under state law restrictions and we are asking for

modifications both on the amount of time unpaid

suspension occurs and in egregious cases on pension

So, but I am going to go back to this. You should judge, I will disagree with the Commissioner on one thing because I know he agrees with me on this. The Disciplinary Matrix and how we are following it and I know — if we are going to be doing constant, it's not going to be six months from now, it's not going to be a year from now. We are going to be doing this ever single week. We are going to be doing this every single month. You should do it with us.

If there are deviations, we should all understand what those were. We should question them. We should have a clear understanding but I am going to again say that I don't believe that that's what we are going to see. I think we are going to see the product of two years of really thoughtful work. Say, here is discipline. Here is the adjudicated process

and here is the result. And I think you are going to see that consistently.

On responsibility, I do want to say, look, we are all responsible. We are going to work with you. We take responsibility. We are going to spend as much time as we need to work with you. I am happy to have conversations with you and your fellow members on an ongoing basis and of course, absolutely, this needs to be reflected in the executive budget process.

Look, we are all very fortunate to now have the stimulus bill that we had all waited over a year for. We all thought this would have happened last spring. It didn't happen. Finally, with our president and the change in the senate, we are able to have a stimulus bill to allow us to address the problems that became accentuated in the pandemic and that we know we need to address.

So, with a sense of urgency, of course we are going to talk to you in the Executive Budget in that way and we are happy to start that process.

Definitely there is funding that needs to be accomplished to effectuate these 60 reforms and the many more reforms that I know you want to talk to us.

2.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: I would really like to hear a response to what we say to those families uhm, who are still impacted where officers are still being paid for misdeeds.

DERMOT SHEA: Yeah, Chair Adams, I would just add in uhm, because you know when you talk about some of these tragic circumstances and you review them and you try to find out you know what happened and how do you get better and how do you make sure these incidents like this don't happen again. That's the goal here. I think it comes down to what uhm and I would agree with everything that Dean said in terms of having a solid system and looking to always constantly improve it. If there are issues with it that are identified that are not you know in line with our current way of thinking.

This Discipline Matrix has gone under some changes. It went out for public comments. This is the real, I would categorize it as the starting point but it is not going to be a static document and we are being transparent about it. If we change it, we are going to tell people that we are changing it and why.

If we differ from it and I agree with Dean, there should not really be occurrences. But if there is, then we will be public about that to the public and to both CCRB. And I think that's fair to all involved parties.

Regarding the incident that you mentioned — you mentioned two incidents Madam Chair. The first, if I am thinking of the same one, it's the incident in Brooklyn a couple years ago. Terrible incident where uh, from my recollection officers responded. There was a woman that lost her life in a building. I thought that was uncovered actually by the NYPD. I don't know if it was a CCRB related incident that was covered under the Matrix and they took a penalty for it and no penalty is going to bring back that young woman. I mean that's just the sad truth.

We look at all the factors of every individual case. What did the officers know at the time. Uhm and I wish I could say something that was more but you know, I am incredibly sorry that anyone loses their life in New York City, including that young woman.

The Delrawn Smalls one, I am going to be a little more careful because that will ultimately come to me

2.2

2 Madam Chair for ultimate decision making. What I
3 will say about that incident is this, there was a
4 terrible situation where a man lost his life. No one

5 is disputing that.

An officer was charged criminally and prosecuted and found not guilty in a court of law. Due process is important here. Uhm, Fred Davie came to me and made me aware recently in the last couple months of his intention to go forward with a civilian complaint prosecution regarding that case.

This is after the officer had been found not guilty in a criminal court of law. The current process is that I could have stopped that from happening and I did not stop that from happening.

So, it will go through this process with the Civilian Complaint Review Board and there will be a trial within the Police Department and ultimately, it will come to me and I am not making any pre-judgements regarding anything additional with it.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Okay, thank you Commissioner.

I think we are going to leave it there. The stories are still very, very fresh.

DERMOT SHEA: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: In a lot of our minds. Uhm, the Tonie Wells case, the officers basically it seems they were cold and sat in their police — in their patrol cars and what happened to her, happened to her. We will wait and we are still standing with both of those families for justice on both of those cases.

Uhm, First Deputy Commissioner, the Mayor's Plan promises full transparency in NYPD discipline. But the website the NYPD launched this week, is limited to guilty findings from formal charges. Will the Mayor and the NYPD commit to making all NYPD disciplinary charges public? Including settlements, demand discipline and other charges result to a guilty finding at trial?

DEAN FULEIHAN: Can you hear me? Again, I am sorry.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: I can hear you.

DEAN FULEIHAN: I was muted, so I couldn't jump in.

22 CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Yeah, we can hear you.

DEAN FULEIHAN: Uh, thank you. Uhm, gosh, I really can't wait until we can actually be in the same room.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

DERMOT SHEA: And -

DEAN FULEIHAN: Go ahead Dermot.

Uhm, I want to go back a minute and then address this question and then the Commissioner obviously will jump in. I want to - you asked what assurances. You were talking about families but what assurances are we given residents.

Look, we are putting together - this is the most historic change since the creation of CCRB. We are expanding its scope. We are expanding its power. We are - last year we increased its authority. This year, we are saying that they will do bias related We are going to state to give them ability to do sealed records. We are allowing them to do their own investigations.

They are going also through the Patrol Guide. is a very different oversight entity and as part of that, while we have increased the CCR Budget by 40 percent together since the beginning of this Administration. It's clear that we are going to have to give them the resources and quickly, so that they can address accusations and cases and do them quickly and responsibly. So, that is also part of the answer that we are going to do together with you.

DERMOT SHEA: Well, I would just say Madam Chair regarding the Dashboard. We had that dashboard built for some time. Constantly making thoughts to it. A lot of thought went into what we published.

I am awfully proud, well, it wasn't me that did it but I have Matt Frasier, our Commissioner of ITB in the room with me and others. Uhm, it is probably a dashboard that will serve as a template for the whole country in law enforcement and that's not to say we are content with everything. I think we can make changes but we put a lot of thought into striking that balance of information, what information should we put on it that the public has a right to know? What information and privacy for the officers too went into it frankly.

So, what we came up with was the substantiated cases as you know. Respecting the due process piece of this that could I have made a decision to put up cases where a complaint is made and the officer is found innocent or not guilty of it? I could have but I didn't want to be in a position where giving less due rights and process to officers than we do to other people charged with offenses throughout the criminal justice system.

I think I struck the right balance but I respect the right that others have a difference of opinion. We also, I would say took a step, which I think is important here, that we link this website. So, when you go onto our dashboard, we link directly to other places where you can find additional information if that is your prerogative.

So, regarding trial decisions, we link right to the CCRB. The CCRB puts different information than we do up. So, it's not as if we are hiding it but I do — I am going to do what I think is right and whether it is trial decisions, we had lengthy discussions speaking to many members of the NYPD. I think we put an awful lot of information here and I think we took a bold step and a pretty significant step in terms of transparency.

DEAN FULEIHAN: Yeah, I agree with that and again, CCRB is putting online and CCRB are the complaints that come from our residents and they are the more serious and they are putting everything on line and it is there right now.

So, there is a great deal of information that we are putting forward and we are going to constantly be

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

2.2

23

24

24

25

reevaluating and talking to you about what improvements you think we need to make.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Thank you. In talking, you know, just extending this conversation a little bit more, a lot of the plan seems to be an expansion of projects that you are already doing. Other than Cure Violence, which of course we fully support and there is a strong evidentiary bases for, do you have any evidence based to support the conclusion that the expansion of these programs will have a positive impact? Many of these programs uhm, including Precinct Commander Advisory Council, which you have mentioned. People at Police Academy, the CompStat Customer Service Pilot in East Harlem and in my district in South Jamaica and Pop Up with a Cop project that presumably came along with some project assessment yet none of that has been released.

Have you asked MOCJ to evaluate any of these programs? And how do you expect Council to endorse a police plan without any evidentiary basis for the assumption that these programs actually do have value?

DEAN FULEIHAN: Look, we're - and the Commissioner will jump in also and Chelsea Davis on

2.

2.2

my staff, you should jump in and the Acting Director of MOCJ is also on.

So, look, before I had it over, look, I said, I didn't emphasize it but I did say at the end in the conclusion that we are building on seven years of success that we take pride in but we know we have much farther to go and I am not using that to minimize any of our urgent needs and any of the problems that we have to confront.

So, we are building on — that's correct, we are building on those but we are also being more transformative. We really do believe every one of those five categories has recommendations that we do believe are more community engagement. We are taking it as an article of faith and we need to now show that that's happening. We need to look at the next Precinct commander with you in the selection process and the community engagement.

We understand that this is an - when I said week by week on the discipline and making sure it was happening properly, we mean those things.

So, yes, we need to see if the proposals we are putting forward are working, are effective. We believe they have been in the past. We believe

2.2

NYCHA.

doubling down on them, putting more resources on
them. Exactly what you said about Cure Violence
where we have seen positive gains including MAP at

We need to move forward with those and we are willing to do that with you and we are willing to talk to you about what kind of metrics would you like to see? What do you need from us to help you be part of that process and to convince you we are moving in the right direction?

Chelsea, do you want to say anything before I pass it to the Commissioner?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: We are going to get Ms. Davis unmuted and I just have to administer the oath if she is going to -

DEAN FULEIHAN: Would you please? Thank you.

CHELSEA DAVIS: Hello.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth and answer all questions honestly and to the best of your ability?

23 CHELSEA DAVIS: Yes, I do.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: You may proceed.

2 CHELSEA DAVIS: Great, thank you. Uhm, I will 3 just add a couple of things. There are certainly aspects of this plan that are expansions like 4 doubling the Cure Violence workforce. There are 5 certainly new pilot programs that are included. A 6 7 lot of those are focused on institutionalizing community engagement in ways that we haven't done 8 before. And that's at the local level, at the 9 precinct level and also, in collaboration with 10 leadership at the Police Department to make sure that 11 12 there is community input in actual decision making 13 and finding new ways that communities can collaborate 14 with the police to define public safety for 15 themselves.

There is also expansions in the role of course of CCRB but also, some really new foundational changes included in the Dinkins Plan. So, there is certainly a mix of expansion of pilots and of some big foundational changes because we know that there is no single initiative that's going to cause the kind of change that we need.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Okay, thank you. Uhm, again, sticking with this plan a little bit before I let my

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

colleagues in here. I know that they want to get in here and uh, we do have a long day.

Uhm, how can you expect to gather and incorporate public and community feedback on a plan that you only started to release a week ago? That has to be passed by the Council by the end of this month? These documents are hundreds of pages long. Hundreds of pages long and you actually expect members of the public to read it, digest it and comment on them? For their comments to be read, digested and incorporated into a revised plan in just over two weeks? I am just trying to understand the thought process.

DEAN FULEIHAN: So, respectfully Chair, the process begins much, much sooner than that. The process has now been engaged for months. Over 85 community meetings, countless conversations within the NYPD, within effected communities. The engagement of the three cosponsors who bring with them a wealth of experience and a wealth of participation.

We actually do believe that it is not — this is just part of that continuum. It's just one stop. That what we have agreed to and I am working on

2.2

I am going to keep going back to it because as

Chelsea said, it is a foundational change. The

expansion of the adoption of the Discipline Matrix,

the MOU enforcing of it, the expansion of — the

incredible expansion of CCRB and their powers. All

those things came out in January.

The anti-violence, working on new ways to do anti-violence and the more than doubling of Cure Violence. Something that we have already increased by I think 60 percent already. All those things I have been part of a process. We are not stopping here. You have been holding hearings that have been thoughtful and have moved us. These reports are part of that process. We are going to keep working with you beyond this. We are working with you now on your legislation. We are going to work with you in the Executive Budget to make all of these things a reality and to keep going.

Yes, we want constant public input. We want the criticism and we are committed to constantly keep in change but we do believe this is the product of public input.

2.1

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: How many comments have you gotten on the website?

DEAN FULEIHAN: On the current piece, Chelsea or Marcos, I need somebody to — and on the NYPD one, I would need somebody to jump in and help.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Since the release of let's say since the release of Part 2. We are looking for the public to be interactive here. How many comments have you gotten on the release Part 2?

DEAN FULEIHAN: If we don't have that immediately, we will make sure to get that for you.

DEAN FULEIHAN: Alright, so we will follow up with that.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Have you met with any groups or stakeholders after the release of the first draft?

DEAN FULEIHAN: I beg your pardon, I didn't - I am sorry.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Have you met with any groups or stakeholders after the release of the first draft?

DEAN FULEIHAN: I am quite sure that there are many people on this call who can jump in and speak to the number of engagements they have had since the release of the first draft. Obviously, I have had

2.2

numerous conversations with Jennifer Jones Austin,

Wes Moor and Arva Rice but I will allow others to

jump in on it.

CHELSEA DAVIS: We have been partnering with the Community Affairs unit ad still working with PD to have more meetings. We can get you a detailed list of the ones that we have had since March 5<sup>th</sup> but they have certainly been ongoing and we have had many different kinds of forums. So, as you are aware, the engagement kicked off with the nine townhall listening sessions that were facilitated by the cosponsors and NYPD. And I can go through in detail the different kinds of meetings that we have that make up those approximately 85 meetings that the First Deputy Mayor detailed.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: No, we have gone over that in the previous hearings. I was actually involved in a couple of them. They are actually — a couple of them were troubling to me quite frankly, I think we have spoken about that in previous hearings. I just wanted to know as far as uhm, the last release was concerned, how much interaction has gone on? You know since the last release, was anything incorporated as a result of any responses with the

\_\_\_

second piece? And then I will go onto - I will let Council take over and get my colleagues in here.

CHELSEA DAVIS: I don't have the exact number of public comments that we have received so far since March 5<sup>th</sup> on the first draft or since March 12<sup>th</sup> on the second part but we will get that number to you right away as well as the list of meetings that we have had since.

Uhm, we are certainly reading through those comments and making revisions based on them. We have certainly been hearing — I think the feedback has been really consistent to what we heard in all of the meetings. People are really focused on accountability, on making sure that there are implementation plans on improving training. And on making sure that the reforms to the discipline system are put in place. But we will come back with the exact number of comments in the meetings that we have had.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Thank you.

DEAN FULEIHAN: Right and we have been obviously talking to Care Violence providers. We have been talking, as the recommendations have come out to try to make sure and to move forward on the

implementation. But look, part of this is your hearing and to get more information out and the best place to hear reactions is of course from one of the better places is Council Members. So, we appreciate your time.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Okay, thank you. Counsel, I am going to turn it over to you for questions from my colleagues.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Chair. I will now call on Council Members in the order they have used the Zoom raise hand function. If you would like to ask a question and you have not yet used the Zoom raise hand function, please do so now. Council Members, you will have a total of five minutes to ask your question and receive an answer from panelist and given our packed schedule today, I know the Chair will be holding you to that clock.

The Sergeant at Arms will let you know when you time is up. Once I have called on you, please wait until the Sergeant has announced that you may begin before asking your questions. I will just read the first few Council Members. We have Council Member Holden, Council Member Lander, the Public Advocate

## COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY

and Council Member Cabrera followed by several 2 3 others.

4

We will now turn to Council Member Holden.

5

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

6

7 8

9

10

11

and its impact on certain communities.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Thank you Commissioner Shea for your testimony and it was so important that you mentioned shooting victims in your testimony. Uhm, looking at the impact of \$1 billion cut to the NYPD budget, most notably the increase in shootings

Because of personnel cuts, are we experiencing a drop in arrests across the board? And the second part of my question and given our so-called tough gun laws, can you give us a breakdown of after NYPD makes a gun arrest, what percentage of those arrested are incarcerated?

DERMOT SHEA: Thank you. Am I live? Yes I am. Thank you Councilman. You know, early on in COVID when the financial crisis was hitting New York City, I think all city agencies realized what was coming to a degree. Certainly a \$1 billion cut caused us to have to be very uhm, innovative in terms of continuing to provide the quality of service that New York City residents demand and deserve.

2.

2.1

Did it have an impact? Certainly, it had an impact particularly as we moved into the summer months last year. You know, we had a pretty significant cut to the overtime budget, which translates into tours, which translates into cops on the street in those neighborhoods that were getting hit pretty hard by that summer violence.

And you know, I think we all know what has happened in terms of 100 percent increase in shootings last year. We are at a 40 percent increase now. It ties directly to your second question, which I am going to turn it over to Chief Mike Lipetri, who I know is on this call. But you know, phrasing — we do, we have the toughest gun laws in the country but what is most important for everyone on this hearing to know is that that does not necessarily translate into tough in terms of getting these dangerous people with guns multiple times off the streets. Mike Lipetri.

MICHAEL LIPETRI: Good morning. When we look at gun arrests last year, we saw approximately a 30 percent increase. When we look at that population, approximately only 12 percent are actually incarcerated today.

We also look at the overall bail set last year for gun arrests. We were at the lowest percentage that we have ever seen and we were at the highest percentage that we ever seen as far as released on their own recognizance.

As far as people who got rearrested with an open gun arrest last year, we were also at the highest percentage that we have seen. That also continues this year with an increase from last year. When we look at gun arrests with prior gun convictions, last year we were also at the highest percentage that we have ever seen. That this year has also increased. The one thing that has increased this year is the incarceration rate for gun arrests. We are presently at about a 17 percentage for incarceration this year with a 12 percent last year.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: So, if uhm, we have tough gun laws but if just a very small percentage are actually doing time, what's going on here?

MICHAEL LIPETRI: So, you know again, when we look at it, when they are getting bailed, they have to get the least restrictive manner of that bail.

So, even this year with the increase in bail being set, those individuals are still getting out because

2.

J

of a lower bail or a nonmonetary release or a supervised release. And that falls from a new legislation from 2020.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: It kind of looks like that the NYPD is doing their job but the rest of us are not. And if we are serious about protecting the public, let's start putting individuals who commit multiple felonies and shots fired and are arrested for either having a gun or shooting a gun, there should be mandatory — like the law says, mandatory jail time.

DEAN FULEIHAN: I am going to come to the defense of the NYPD, which I — pleasure to do. Uhm, that what's happened — this what we are seeing happened around the country. This was not unique to New York City and we are seeing a large number of gun arrests in ways we have not seen before. We know there is much more work to be done. The NYPD is out there doing that work. We know, we know for example what the court systems — we are hearing this from the District Attorneys. The court system needs to reopen. The Mayor has been saying this weekly uhm, on his press conferences that we need the court

2.2

\_ \_

system up and running. We need grand juries back. We need to make sure they get vaccinated.

There are many ways that we know that we need to address this and we need your help in addressing that and the way to do it is to get the system back moving, part of the recovery process is how we are going to do this.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: But Deputy Commissioner, we, I am sorry, Deputy Mayor, we have the toughest gun laws in the country. That's what we are told. So, you are saying the courts are not open, that's why we are not, you know enforcing our tough gun laws?

DEAN FULEIHAN: I am saying that there are many factors that happened across the country that we all know that occurred during this pandemic. We now need and all of our efforts should be at recovery and that's what we are going to be doing and that includes the entire criminal justice system being up and running.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Alright Chair —

DEAN FULEIHAN: And the other piece that I want
to say because we have mentioned this. There are
community solutions that need to be part of this,

which is also part of the antigun violence package.

And we are not going to forget that.

Part of the whole presentation by both the Commissioner and my comments, is about how we are going to engage — how we are going to have community participate and build even more community trust.

Build neighborhood policing to an even higher level.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Yeah, we still have a lot of innocent victims out there getting shot. Uhm, just happened to be in the wrong place at the wrong time. We need more than just talk. We need action and it sounds like the NYPD, like I said, during their job but it is not the rest of us that are enforcing these gun laws. We need that to be enforced.

If we have tough gun laws, let's enforce them and stop letting people out of jail to do it again.

Simple.

CHAIRPERSON ADAM: Commissioner and I am just going to jump in here and I apologize, I didn't want to do this and step on my colleagues questioning.

But I really do have to say this. Are we going to acknowledge another piece of the violence which most of us should know was a post budget NYPD float out?

2.2

Are we going to acknowledge that today? Are we going to admit that? I am just asking a question. To me it was very obvious. It was spoken of and uh, mentioned in the ranks along with the beginning of the "your Council Member tag line in our precinct."

Are we going to acknowledge that?

DERMOT SHEA: Chair, Chair, I hope and I think
you do know that I have great respect for you but I
could not disagree more with that statement. Uhm,
you know, let's look back to last May and June. We
all remember what was going on. You had 20 percent
of the NYPD out with COVID. You had uhm, the
protests, which were raging throughout New York City
which was pulling mass amounts of resources.

At the same time, you had the budget pass which slashed the budget by 60 percent on the overtime which led to real significant problems with attrition. And at the same time as all of that was going, the cops never, never, never stopped working. They were getting shot at during that period. They were making gun arrests during that period. There was no slow down.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Commissioner, with all due

respect, we are going to agree to disagree. The

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 2.1

2.2

23

24

25

ranks told me something totally different. Counsel. DEAN FULEIHAN: So, I am going to jump in on both

of you respectfully. Look, the past year has been incredibly difficult in so many ways. There were budget actions that were taken that I will say I believe were responsible and thoughtful and many of those were about moving priorities and moving where it is, so the NYPD could be more focused. That's one of the goals of these reports that's articulated in this report. But this is really about how are we going to move forward and how are we going to address our multiple problems as we are on this road to recovery.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Next up will be Council Member Lander followed by the Public Advocate.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

DEAN FULEIHAN: Council Member, I can't hear you.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Can you hear me now?

DEAN FULETHAN: Yes.

DERMOT SHEA: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Thank you Chair Adams. appreciate what you said in your opening. This is

2.2

not a serious effort to transform public safety in

New York City and confront the harms of

discriminatory policing and I am having the feeling

of Yogi Bear called déjà vu all over again. Last

year — the Mayor's Preliminary Budget this year for

FY22 proposes to increase the NYPD budget by \$196

million even as it cuts CUNY, Youth Services,

Neighborhood Arts and Culture and Sanitation and when

So, I am going to focus my questions today on what happened with the current year's budget FY21 because we can't move forward with meaningful transparency if we aren't honest about what's in the budget and what is really happening and you can follow along with a spreadsheet I have put online at bitly/nypdfy21budget or on my Twitter.

the NYPD is already one out of every six employees.

So, last year, as part of the budget that the Mayor and Council adopted, City Hall told us that this year's budget would reduce the NYPD budget by \$1 billion and many of you may remember this chart that I got from the Speakers Office but I assume came from City Hall.

Uhm, the Mayor, the NYPD, the Speaker, told us that this year's budget would reduce the NYPD budget

2 by \$1 billion. Council Member Holden repeated that 3 number just now and Commissioner, you then repeated back to him. But advocates and budget analysts knew 4 then it wasn't true and Commissioner Shea, I think I 5 6 heard you say in your testimony today, that the 7 Budget contained \$417 million in reductions. About \$420 million if we count the DHS shifts as well as 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17

cuts. So, did I hear that correctly that the cut was \$417 million in the budget and not \$1 billion? DERMOT SHEA: Yes you did and I can have my budget director follow up with you in a second. COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Great so - but even that number, the \$417 million significantly overstates the actual reduction in NYPD spending because Commissioner, as you have said, the purported NYPD savings relied heavily on a \$354 million cut to overtime, which budget watch dogs from the IBO to the Citizen's Budget Commission knew was overblown. we have learned that you have already spent the full amount budgeted for overtime in just the first seven months of the year and if we project forward based on actual spending to date, you will overspend the overtime budget by \$180 million.

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.2

What that means in total is that the reduction of the NYPD Budget was only \$240 million. In other words, we are spending \$760 million more on policing than the Mayor, the NYPD and the Speaker told the public and the media last June. It's impossible to believe plans for reform when we just aren't telling the truth about what's really happening.

So, I want to ask about one data point that's not reflected in this chart. Uhm, Commissioner or Deputy Mayor, can you tell me the amount that New York City spent on court settlements for charges of abusive policing last year?

DEAN FULEIHAN: We will get that for you. I have a rough idea but let's not guess. We will get that for you but I want to take the opportunity and correct the record —

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: The FY20 number Deputy Mayor, which I think you know because it was in the materials you gave us was \$240 million. The full amount. The full \$240 million of what was actually reduced in this year's budget, that's what we spent in FY20 on settlements \$240 million.

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

So, that's what's really happening this year. We did not meaningfully reduce the NYPD budget and we did not tell the truth about it.

DEAN FULEIHAN: Well, you know I don't agree.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: I want to get my questions in with respect. I would like to get my questions in about this coming years budget because we can disagree about what's in last year's budget. I am just giving the public the numbers you have given us. But now, we are proposing to increase policing next year by another \$196 million while cutting Sanitation, Parks and Youth Programs and I am worried that's not even the full increase.

So, I just have two questions about next year's budget. First, is there a plan to hire 475 new school safety agents at the hearing on School Safety the most honest comment felt like the admission by a DOE senior staffer, that we are planning for that. Can you commit that that's not happening?

DEAN FULEIHAN: The statement by that person which was immediately corrected by Deputy Chancellor was inaccurate.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Can you commit that the NYPD is not going to hire 475 -

DEAN FULEIHAN: No, no, what we said was it was something that was not in the Preliminary Budget and it was being evaluated and would be evaluated and no decision had been made. Let's go back to the Adopted Budget.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: You are saying it might be in the Executive Budget. We don't know yet.

DEAN FULEIHAN: Many things may be in the Executive Budget or it may not be.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Okay, so you won't commit not to hire 475 new school safety agents?

DEAN FULEIHAN: I won't commit to hiring 475 new school agents.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Okay, my last -

DEAN FULEIHAN: No, no, let's go back to the Adopted Budget.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: I have one more, just one more really important question.

DEAN FULEIHAN: We actually did have an agreement.

22 SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

DEAN FULEIHAN: There was at no point in time did anyone say there is \$1 billion expense cut in the Adopted Budget. It is just not true. You know that

2.2

on that sheet there is going to be — that you knew
that a significant portion of this was kept. You
knew that a significant portion of it was shifting.

5 School Safety Agents over a two year period, that was

6 the agreement.

We shifted other responsibilities and those things are happening. Yes, the overtime budget. Yes the overtime budget is a significant reduction. It is 40 percent below where it was over the past five years and significantly below that —

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: You told us it was going to be \$354 million of cut and it is only going to be \$154 million of cut. \$180 million more is being spent.

I just, I would invite people to go to the — to check out my chart because it has been really hard to get real good information but like all I did was take this chart that City Hall and the Speaker gave the Council and gave the budget. If people will go to the bitly I gave before, will go to my Twitter, you will see just what's in here. What we were told by the Administration. You will see what was actually in the budget and you will see what's really happening. There is a \$154 million cut if you

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

project forward from the actuals on overtime but that is \$180 million less than was in the budget.

So, my last question is this though because I do just want to ask quickly. Uhm, you are bringing us this reform plan today. Have you projected what it would increase the NYPD budget by? How much more in police spending would we — should we expect that you are going to bring us in the Executive Budget or in the future to implement this so-called reform plan?

DEAN FULEIHAN: Look, as I answered to the Chair, we need to work together on deciding what the priorities are here. The biggest increases in here are actually going to be at CCRB and that's pretty apparent.

If there are resources that we need as part of that oversight, we will obviously have that conversation with you as the budget continues.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: So, just to wrap up.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: I am sorry Council Member we have to stick to the clock. We are well over uhm.

Thank you very much for your questions. We will turn to the Public Advocate now followed by Council Member Cabrera.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Uh, okay, uh, thank
you very much everybody. Uhm, Terrea Stance[SP?] and
Jeffrey Whitehead, those are two people I went to
high school with who was shot and killed while I was
in high school. I still remember discussing how
Terrea's bullet hit one of her teeth and ricocheted
to her brain. And I remember when they announced
Jeffrey Whitehead had accidently shot himself with a
gun he was playing with.

The first gun I saw actually was in the Brooklyn

Tech high school. At the same time, I remember being

harassed by police. I remember being arrested,

waiting for a train to go to Play Land. I also

significantly remember because we had a mixture of

folks, the way the officers treated myself and others

of darker hue and our White students.

I remember as I began to go to school, Domingue
Sylvester, I didn't know him but one of the rooms in
Brooklyn was named after him because he was shot and
killed and I didn't realize how young he was until I
went back as an adult and saw him. And I remember
thinking then that when I grow up, I want to try to
do something to change this because it doesn't make

\_

2.2

sense to have so much policing in communities and still gun violence.

So, Council Member Holden, I just want to say I do not need more people to tell me but the problem here is that we are not being arrested enough and we are not being incarcerated enough. Please stop doing it.

I understand fully that Law Enforcement has a role to play and I appreciate that from them. When there are bullets flying and we ask them to run into those bullets to protect, I appreciate that and I am saddened when I hear that they are shot and harmed. But I hope from the beginning that this discussion will be about reforming and reimagining public safety. Not just the emphasis on reforming police. I have come to the conclusion that that is where we have been wrong for so many years. In Minneapolis Police Department had most of the reforms that we would want to see before George Floyd was killed.

I remember Alex who didn't want to give up his chain in the 90's and was killed for it. The people who are dying and their mothers who are mourning look like me and mine. I appreciate the work of Wes

Moore, Arva Rice, Jennifer Jones Austin and I always

have but there were many people I believe intentionally left off of this discussion who have been working on these issues for a very long time.

Chair Adams, I very much appreciate your opening statements and how you are conducting this meeting.

Dean, Deputy Mayor, I appreciate you saying that this is the beginning. I have been doing this for about 11 years now and so there have been a lot of beginnings. And what frustrates me is not this plan because the basis of the plan actually is pretty good. But it would have been awesome if this came seven or eight years ago, so we can be much further in figuring out the plan in its entirety.

Not so much of the frustration. I appreciate the advance piece model that I was happy to stand with the Mayor on. Look, there are so many people who have died. Our communities are sandwiched between gun violence and over policing and if they did ask about the over policing, they will get under policing.

Commissioner, I appreciate the apologies that you gave because it was meaningful and you said that communities are asking for more police and I often believe that. The problem I have is the fervor and

2

4

6

7

8 9

10

11

13

12

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

the energy when that is said is not heard when they are begging for mold and rats not to be in their apartments.

And for doors that lock and cameras, so that mothers and grandmothers aren't murdered and when they are asking for health and when we look at the lines of who is lined up for food and can't tell if it is a food line or a vaccine line or to get the testing line. And being told that it has nothing to do with public safety.

So, please, the question is what makes a community safe and where is those resources as we are trying to increase the NYPD 6 percent and decreasing DYCD 10 percent. What message is that sending? Lynch is using the blood of Black people and the pain of mothers to tell us that all we need is additional police. Although I went to a scene where there was a mass shooting but there was a police officer on a corner when it happened. There was a police car. shooting of a young man in Queens where a police officer almost ten yards away.

So, the question is, what else is needed and how we are funding those things. We have a great opportunity right now. Tens of thousands of New York

2.2

City people have died from coronavirus. More on the state level. If cannot use this opportunity to not go back to normal. To put down a system that really works, at least in memory of people that we have lost for across the board. That is the only silver lining that we have.

Let's use the opportunity wisely. From corona to gun violence, people are dying and they are Black and Brown and it hurts. I remember through high school to right now and if policing and incarceration could have worked, it would have already. We are apologizing 30 years later for what we did to communities 30 years ago. Let's not do that again. The knee jerk reaction doesn't work. There are risks to the plans we are putting forward. But what we are doing now has risks as well.

We have said that gun arrests are up. We have said incarceration is up. So, what else are we doing? We know that our diminished returns to simply putting police on the ground, we know that.

So, I don't have any questions. I am just pleading with all of us to move from out of our corners and really redefine this thing because a nation locked is what New York City is doing. We all

2.2

have our parts to play and I really mean that. But communities are hurting and they are looking for answers, so they will grab whatever is there. Let's give them more than what we given them for the past 30 years. Please, I have been to funerals for police officers, for civilians, they look remarkably the same in pain. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you.

DEAN FULEIHAN: I will just echo. Thank you.

Obviously there is nothing that we are going to disagree with in that statement and we just need to continue as you did yesterday with the Mayor to address these challenges. To implement this plan but recognize that we need to go further and we need to do it immediately.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Next up will be Council

Member Brannan followed by Council Member Cabrera and

Council Member Rosenthal.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

COUNCIL MEMBER BRANNAN: Thank you Chair. Thank you Counsel. Uhm, I will be very brief because I know there is a lot of my colleagues who want to ask questions. I wanted to get an idea of the cuts that were made last year. How that impacted the NCO

program, the Neighborhood Policing program which seemed to be a pretty popular program but wanted to get a sort of granular idea of how the cuts that we made effected that.

DERMOT SHEA: Yeah, I am going to turn it to a new Chief of Department to be, Chief Rodney Harrison and then Kristine, you can follow up on the budget side.

RODNEY HARRISON: So, thank you for that question Councilman and I had an opportunity being part of this Police Department being the Chief Patrol as well as the Chief of Detectives and uh, I was here when we created neighbor policing working with Chief Monahan. And I saw some great success throughout the city regarding building relationships, working hand and hand with the community that we are here to serve and make sure that's very important and uh, public safety was being addressed and uh, you know, one of the things that's maybe being missed here is the importance of public safety.

And that's what neighborhood policing was all about. Then during the uh 2020 campaign where we kind of got hit with this pandemic, we saw some of the struggles with neighborhood policing and we had

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

1314

15

16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

to kind of fall back regarding how we police the city in 2019 with the strengths of neighbor policing and working with the community.

And as the Chief of Detectives, some of the struggles that we observed were people were reluctant to come forward. They were scared to talk to us. They were scared to go forward with a lot of the investigations that we probably would have solved in 2019. So, one of the things you saw you saw was a lot of violence occurring. Some of my clearances were struggled and those numbers dipped. neighborhood policing really is a pillar within the NYPD because we can't do our job if we don't have the residents of this great city on our side and in order for us to keep this city safe, it starts with relationships. It starts with dialogue. It starts with knowing one another. Knowing your local law enforcement officers and your commanding officers and working with all the residents that touch the different communities that we are here to protect. So, yeah, we saw some struggles in 2020 when it came to neighborhood policing. 2021 we are going to make sure we rebuild it, make it stronger, get back to our Build a Block meetings.

I have a great Executive Staff and Juanita Holmes and Dave Barrere and Kathy O'Reilly that have neighborhood policing in their borough. And we are going to get it back up and running and we are going to make sure that there is a strength in relationships that we kind of lost during the pandemic.

KRISTINE RYAN: And building on what the Chief said, I think what's paramount to remember is that for neighborhood policing to happen, the department was provided with additional headcount and with resources for civilianization. While we have really worked with regard to the reduction in the budget, which with a combination of the expense resources directly to our budget and the \$537 million capital cut, was \$1 billion directly to our budget and then additionally as the Deputy Mayor mentioned, additional transfers that are anticipated to happen. We have been working to try to reduce overtime but that impacts the resources we have to do everything.

We have shifted resources. We have modified work schedules and we are proactively managing overtime but a cut of 59 percent coupled with the impact of the reduction in our headcount has been challenging

2.

and as was indicated, we are exceeding the budget.

And we will have to continue to spend overtime on anticrime purposes. This is appropriate and necessary for investigations. It is necessary for operational OT including overtime to ensure the

provision of uninterrupted emergency services.

When we started the year, essentially our budget did not include resources for crime reduction and enhanced resources for housing and transit.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

ARISTINE RYAN: So, while we have been working and benefited from the fact of the unintended consequence of the COVID, having reduced events, which has reduced overtime in that area, those events will return and we see a sustained reduction in our overtime budget with the cut in Fiscal Year 21. So, while the budget does grow, between the current year and next year, we have to take into account that we will have events returning and we do need to have the resources so that commanding officers can fill gaps that they have as a result of not having the same level of resources they previously had. And ultimately the goal is to maintain public safety and the provision of core public services, that tie very

much into being able to continue the foundational uhm improvements and efforts that have been made for community policing and elsewhere in the department.

DEAN FULEIHAN: And I am just going to add that the goal here is to enhance, as Chief Harrison said, the goal is to enhance neighborhood policing and I know the Police Commissioner will say it repeatedly. Customer services, all these initiatives, everything we are talking about is to enhance that. It is about community relations. Can't say it better than the Chief.

COUNCIL MEMBER BRANNAN: So, just really quick, so are we — I mean it sounds like we are talking like the NCL program was sort of put on pause. Is that — or were they just sort of dispatched elsewhere or?

RODNEY HARRISON: It's not on pause but unfortunately there was some setbacks. Once again because of social distancing and being able to get out there and work hand and hand. It was a little bit of a struggle. Some of the setbacks regarding financial concerns that the NYPD had to face. That also kind of hurt us as well because of attrition and some other things where we lost a lot of uniform members of the service due to retirement.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

23

25

2 But once again, I want to reassure you that we 3 are going to make sure going forward that the neighborhood policing philosophy is a team effort. 4 It is going to be something that we are going to make 5 sure that all residents of the city know who the 6 7 neighborhood Coordination Officer is. I bring issues or concerns to their attention and make sure we are 8 working together to address them. And that's one of 9 the things that I am really looking forward to taking 10

DEAN FULEIHAN: Right, this is about enhancing neighborhood policing and how we move forward and it is throughout — the community engagement is throughout this plan. It's throughout all public discussions.

on this new endeavor as the Chief of Department.

COUNCIL MEMBER BRANNAN: Okay, thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Council Member. We will now turn to Council Member Cabrera followed by Council Member Rosenthal and then Council Member Barron.

22 | SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Thank you so much.

24 Thank you Madam Chair. Thank you for your

leadership. Commissioner, First Deputy Mayor and

2.

everyone here present today. One of my proudest moments in the Council was working alongside with Council Member — back then, Council Member no Public Advocate Jumaane Williams in the Cure Violence Program.

The reason I am mentioning it is because as we looked at the numbers, we had the lowest numbers in terms of crime as of February of last year. And a large part of that I attributed to the Cure Violence Program and I am preparing that context to ask you first Deputy Mayor to see and explore the possibility of doubling of the funding for Cure Violence Program.

We know they work. What we are finding in our district right here in the Bronx and Brooklyn and so forth is that, though they are making a huge impact, their range due to the lack of funding — and we are grateful for the funding that they have but it is just simply not enough for the bandwidth that they need.

They take care of ten blocks but the reality is they are doing much more than those ten blocks. But we have gaps in the districts and I know we started this week with events, a program, very glad that we got that going. But what if, we can imagine uh

doubling the amount of the funding for Cure Violence

Program and what would that look like? I truly

believe that we will see the numbers drastically

5 change.

2.2

We know it works. As a matter fact, it is the most successful Cure Violence Program in all the nation, hands down. And so, we have something that is working, why not expand it? I think and at the end of the day the amount of funding that they need to be able to expand it and what I mean expansion, I am talking about doubling it. I know we are talking about 27, 27 maybe \$30 million more but when you see the level of impact and while we are expanding so many other things in the city, I think that we will get a great return.

So, I am just curious as to your thoughts about the possibility of doubling the funding for the Cure Violence Program.

DEAN FULEIHAN: My thought are it has been very successful. We need to — we are expanding. We are doubling. We committed to a doubling of the workforce. There is already and you have certainly participated in this. A significant from where it was in 2013. It barely existed to where we are now

2	ar
3	re
4	ag
5	Aı
6	d
7	Ac
8	mo
9	wł
10	C

2.2

and we, look and it is part of this community relations. It is part of restorative justice, which again is throughout the pages and pages of the plan. Are we open to further doing that? Well, yes. We did it yesterday with the Mayor and the Public Advocate announcing an expansion. In a different model but basically a very similar to cure violence, which I know is actually going to be in your community. One of your neighborhoods will actually be the beneficiary of the new and innovative approach to cure violence, taking it to a different level to see if we can even be more successful.

So, are we willing to have this conversation with you. Yeah, I am happy to start this right after there.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: And I want to thank you and I want to thank the Administration, the Public Advocate and the Speaker and indeed in the 46<sup>th</sup> Precinct we are going to have the program solute you for it. I am just, my level of eagerness and optimism regarding the fact that it worked so well that I think is little money compared to the result that we would get.

\_

So, appreciate your consideration. We started with \$6 million back some years ago and we had to greatly increase it but looking forward to the expansion of it and getting a tremendous return.

Which at the end of the day, the return is save lives. To see our young people having a pathway to success.

Thank you so much and I think I will be the first one to finish on time Madam Chair.

DEAN FULEIHAN: And I am just going to add and you are hearing us Commissioner. I think you are hearing it from all of us responding. You heard it in my opening remarks. All of this, we recognize the urgency and how much we have to accomplish and how quickly.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Thank you. Thank you Madam Chair.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Council Member Rosenthal followed by Council Member Barron and Council Member Miller.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Thank you so much.

Thank you Chair Adams for your extraordinary opening statement. You pain is felt and clear and the same

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

palpable and I hope, I hope the people need to hear it and feel it. I really did.

I have a couple of questions. The first is, you

to Public Advocate Jumaane Williams. Your pain is

know, as we talk about police reform, I am wondering if this extends to the sexual violence division, the Sex Crimes Unit. And you know, I am wondering if you Commissioner Shea and Deputy Mayor Fuleihan, interested in really addressing the issues that were raised in the DOI report from 2018? We did pass some legislation encouraging you to follow those recommendations but we have not seen the trauma informed training that has to happen. For example, we have not seen the increase in the number of detectives and I raise it because this morning I did do the - I did join the Mayor in his advisory about the DV the NYPD and the DV programs but I saw the words trauma informed education over and over again. And I am hard pressed to believe that those words reflect how the advocates describe trauma informed, which is like a ten day training that involves trust building and you know walking a day in the shoes of survivors.

So, there is a lot packed in there. Also, since then you have been waiting, I don't know where we are on trying to get to best practices for the special victims division. We were going to hire a consultant. I think one of the advocates was able to sort of set that up and it was pursued. I don't know what that is going on. I don't know if perhaps the Administration, Deputy Mayor Fuleihan, you would be willing to take on this expense of doing a real best practices analysis of the NYPD SVD. There is a shop uhm, at the Research Triangle in North Carolina that does this work. It is their expertise and uhm, perhaps we can use this moment in time to actually do that work.

The second comment and this is a little bit of a throw away because I really want to hear the answer to the Special Victims Division issues. You know, your budget director, who I think is amazing and have very deep respect for was talking about the necessity of overtime and you know, juggling sort of how do you manage you know, dealing with crime and reducing overtime.

I just want you to know that in my district, I have the Columbus Statue in Columbus Circle and at

2.2

every moment of the day, because I have gone by it at different times of day, there is a police car with two officers sitting in the circle with its whoops whoops going on. And every time I see it, I get just a tiny bit of a pit in my stomach that this is how we are spending overtime money and it is this money that could be going to Cure Violence Programs, restorative justice, all these other things we are talking about. And to hear you say like, oh, we just can't cut back on that overtime.

Like, I urge you to go back and do a detailed analysis of where you are spending the overtime now and whether or not there aren't some areas that you could cut back on.

So, those are two questions. Thank you very much.

DERMOT SHEA: Rodney, you want to jump in Special Victims?

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: No, listen and listen
Chief Harrison is terrific and he came in. He has
brought issues to the advocates, to myself. We have
tried to follow up. Look, his heart is in the right
place. I am not talking about Chief Harrison. He is
terrific. I think he is trying to do what needs to

2.2

be done but Commissioner Shea, this really rests at your feet. We started talking about this in 2018 and I have not heard you say that you believe in the problems that were raised in the DOI report and I have not seen your commitment to doing these three things about training and staffing levels, case management. You know, really I am sorry sir but and I know you have a lot on your plate but we have met just too many times for you not to know the answers to these questions.

DERMOT SHEA: And if you would like the answers to the questions, they will be provided right now. Rodney.

RODNEY HARRISON: Councilwoman, thank you once again. It is always good to see you. It has been a pleasure working with you since I have taken over the Chief of Detectives. Regarding your concern about the trauma informed sexual assault training. Just to let you know, we are not using FED anymore. I am sure you are very familiar with that.

The last training that we had regarding that was in March of 2020 and right now, we have 217 of our 256 investigators trained.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Trained in what? mean, one of the bills asked you, the NYPD to lay out exactly what the training would entail and you are supposed to issue an annual report that. I haven't seen what the training, I mean, maybe I missed the report but last time I checked.

So, I am sorry to go back and forth with you and you know how much respect I have for you Commissioner Harrison. It is not about you. I think that it's Commissioner Shea who makes the final decisions about how detective, moving people to first class detective, how that runs you know. And we have talked about this nauseum and I am not going to continue but you know, we all know that homicide is the cool unit.

So, everyone gets promoted to detective there. Why would anyone want to join SVD. We have lost first level detectives there. There has been no increase. So, I don't know. It's hard to hear this again. It's hard to hear the same old answers again. We have just been in too many meetings for there to be the same answers. I don't know.

First Deputy Mayor Fuleihan, do you have any thoughts about this? I think you and I met about the

DOI report. And no disrespect. I mean, seriously Chief Harrison, I admire you to the moon and back.

RODNEY HARRISON: Thank you. Thank you,

Councilwoman, if I could just real quickly just jump
in before you pass it over to the First Deputy Mayor.

If you just take a look at every single time there was a promotion and I really took pride in this. Somebody from Special Victims, be it a Lieutenant, be it a Sergeant, be it an Investigator was on that list.

Sometimes it was two investigators. So, we really have jumped leap years regarding the importance of making Special Victims one of the pristine investigated units within the Detective Bureau.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: That's awesome, how many first grade detectives are there right now?

Last time I checked, there were three.

RODNEY HARRISON: Well, right now, it is at — I have it at four right now, which is still a number that we need to improve on. You know and I have shared some of the concerns about people coming into Special Victims. It's not work for everybody. It is

2.2

O 4

a very difficult job of investigating somebodies crimes, sex crimes or even child abuse crimes.

So, the most important thing Councilwoman is we want the right people conducting these investigations. We don't want to just grab people and put them in there. We want to make sure that people —

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Yeah, I know. This has been your work for the seven years I have been there and I know this is your job, so of course, you want to get the right people and look, I defer to the advocates to say what the qualifications of those right people are.

So, right, of course, that's their job, that's your job. I understand that. Chair Adams, I mean I don't want use up too much time if we are not going to get new answers.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Yeah, I appreciate that

Council Member Rosenthal. We are being asked to

adhere strictly to the clock, so I am going to thank

you for your questions and uhm.

DEAN FULEIHAN: Let me jump in. If I may jump in and I will do it quickly. Uhm, we care deeply. You are clearly hearing that. You participated with the

2.2

Mayor on victims assistance this morning. That in the report, I can hand it over to Chelsea to do more but look, you are asking additional questions and I am sincere in this, we are happy to sit down with the Commissioner and have a conversation.

If you think there is something we are missing in best practices, I know the department, I know the Commissioner, I know the Chief. They are always going to be open in having that conversation. If there is something we can do, we will do it.

DERMOT SHEA: Hey Dean? Yeah, Dean, I can just jump in and answer a couple other questions too. I mean regarding SVD reports, we comply with the laws. They are posted online. I encourage not just Councilwoman Rosenthal but anyone that is interested, you can read them.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: They are mediocre at best sir. They are mediocre at best. Yes, they comply with the strict definition of the law but does it move the ball forward? No.

DERMOT SHEA: I am sorry, I wasn't done Councilwoman, I am sorry.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Sure.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thank you. Thank you

Madam Chair. I have to make sure that I go and find

DERMOT SHEA: Regarding RTI the Sexual Assault Review that was recommended by the advocates, you know, I got to give Rodney credit. I got to give Mike King credit and everyone, the whole team at Special Victims. They are really you know, incredibly dedicated to the survivors of these complaints that they get. They took the step to have the RTI do the review. It is already underway and we expect it to be completed by this fall.

And I think Rodney spoke of the you know Dean, the dedication regarding the promotions. Special Victims is strongly advocated for by Rodney. All those recommendations come directly to me and we have made sure that they have received more than their fair share of promotions as we spread them throughout this agency. But thank you for your questions.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Commissioner. sorry Council Member. We have to move on. Council Member Barron you are up next followed by Council Member Miller and Council Member Reynoso.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

2.2

your opening remarks because all of my colleagues have cited that.

So, I have to go back. I wasn't here for all of the hearing but I am glad that I have this opportunity now.

We have to recognize that the history of this country and yes, I am going back into the past.

Although people seem to not want to address things in the past and just want to move forward. But the history of this country is such that they stole the land from the Native Americans and imprisoned and kidnapped Africans that brough them here and used their unpaid labor to get to the point where we are now without any compensation.

A police department in its foundation was established to maintain that system that used the unpaid labor and in fact captured those who were running from enslavement. Because they wanted to maintain the economic system of this country.

They began to then criminalize certain acts that have before been misdemeanors. Such as if you stole a pig after slavery you were then incarcerated.

Before, if you had stolen a pig because you needed to provide for your family, you would be given a fine.

\_

So, with this move towards establishing the NYPD, acts that have before been misdemeanors were now criminalized. Why does crime happen? Crime happens for many reasons. Some of it are people who have mental health issues. Others are people who are in poverty and don't have the ability to get those other resources through so called legal means and then there is also of course the issue that the system has not provided basic needs to people in our country.

The Police Department now is engaged in this policy of getting this reform package and the reform program to respond to the Executive Order and I do believe that much of what has been given to us is simply to respond to that Executive Order and not anything on which we can rely.

Well, Councilwoman, why would you say that?

Let's go back to the record. The Police Department on record has repeatedly lied to this body about practices that they say that were implemented in their policies that were being inactive. I go back to the case of them telling us, oh, we are not putting two rookies together. We are always going to make sure we have an experienced partners on that team. I don't know how many other people remember. I

remember it and subsequent to that is when we found out that was not happening with the death of Akai Gurley by the officer who was not following policy, not following training.

So, this message of we are going to improve our training and we are going to make sure they follow policy has not been demonstrated in the past to be something that we can rely on the NYPD to do.

I believe that the actions in this plan are politically motivated to be able to just say that this is what we are going to do.

The meetings that were held in the community were not welcoming to those persons and organizations that were critical of the NYPD. And I got that from organizations that told me they were unwelcome in those gatherings.

And we also want to recognize that uhm, there has not been an ability for people to really demonstrate fully what they would want to see. In my comments to the body, to the group that came to talk to me said, we will not get any place as long as we now have a Commissioner who said when he was yes, and I am going to say it again. You always say, I knew you were going to ask that.

2.2

Yes, when Commissioner Shea was the Chief of Crime Control, "I do not believe that NYPD officers treat Black communities any differently than they treat White communities."

Now, recently, I hear he has had an epiphany and yes, there have been differences and this plan is going to make sure that as we move forward, we have a better reach of action. So, my first question and I am seeing my time so I am going to hold off on that question and simply say that as we move forward to create a new model for providing safe communities, it needs to involve those community-based organizations that have an established record of being effective and getting results in their community.

We need to put resources and finances into the mental health issues that community members face and provide the social services that people need, so that they can move beyond the assistance level of living — SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thank you. To be able to

provide themselves with jobs that are reasonable.

And what we need is an Elected Civilian Review Board,

not one where the members are acquainted by the

Mayor, appointed by the Police Department and by the City Council.

So, if the Commissioner would like to explain his epiphany, I would appreciate that and I support the comments of my colleague Brad Lander, when he talked about the fact that there was no reduction in the headcount of the NYPD and yet you were still asking for more money. Thank you.

DEAN FULEIHAN: I will jump in. I would like to say and we have known each other a long time.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Yes, from Albany. Yes, where much of the same things happened, yes.

DEAN FULEIHAN: But what we have put forward in these plans, is about serious and transformative change and we are happy to just a minute, I will be quick.

We are happy to work with you, any of you and we recognize it is just one point on this. And I will say the Commissioner and it is worth reading, a letter that begins in the second report is a clerical. So it is clearly in there and that's the statement by the Commissioner on behalf of the whole department that we all are excepting the very goals that we talk about. The decriminalization of

\_

poverty. The ending of racializing police. Every single one of these, of the goals that we are articulating. The forms that we are committing to and the future work, the immediate future work we are willing to do with you. Our recognition of that history. Our complete recognition. We know we haven't gone far enough. We are willing to work with you to go that extra distance.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thank you. Commissioner Shea, would you like to explain your epiphany of when you realize that there was a difference between the way Black and White communities were treated? When did you come to this realization?

DERMOT SHEA: Yeah, Councilwoman Barron, thank you and just really two or three points and I will save that for last because I think it is most important.

You made the statement about certain people being excluded from the process and from the meetings. If that happened -

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: I said they felt unwelcome. I didn't say they were excluded.

DERMOT SHEA: Oh okay and you are right and that was certainly not our goal. Regarding the quote and

2.1

2.2

the epiphany, I didn't have an epiphany. You know, I will go back to the quote, when you didn't like my comment probably three or four years ago, I stand by the comment. The question you asked me at the time and I don't remember the exact and I am sure you have it, was that the Police Department of the NYPD is a racist Police Department that goes out there. I don't agree with that —

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: That was not my question. That was not my question. Go back and read the record.

DERMOT SHEA: Yeah, I don't agree with that point now either but that's not to say that the Police Department or Law Enforcement in general doesn't have to own its mistakes. It's not an epiphany but I thought it was important that you know, there is a lot of different sides and I will go back to Jumaane Williams statements earlier and I give him credit and I agree with everything he said.

You know from the heart, speaking about tough things that have to be done. And that goes for everyone on this call. And I don't think it does anyone justice or helps the balance of where we have to go to continue in our historical positions of

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

19

18

20

21

2.2 23

24

let's be on opposite sides. I think we need more to the middle Councilwoman and that includes me. terms of hearing people, listening to people, making sure that I do the best job as Police Commissioner for the City of New York.

But last week, last year or today did I have to you know, have an epiphany about the role that Law Enforcement has played and it is a complicated role with people of color and uh, you know I think my words stand for what I have said on that several times now.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thank you Madam Chair.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Council Member.

Thank you Commissioner. We will now turn to Council Member Miller followed by Council Member Reynoso and Council Member Rodriguez.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Good morning, good afternoon. Thank you so much Madam Chair for your leadership here this morning and what you have demonstrated during your time as Chair of Public Safety.

\_

2.2

Commissioner and First Deputy, thank you for being here to you and the rest of the team. A lot of this mornings conversation has been surrounded around the area of reform. And I would submit that from my seven plus years in this Council that we have — I spent on this Committee and with my colleagues, not just on the Committee but throughout the Council, spent significant time around police reform and different initiatives that would address policing in the City of New York, particularly around communities of color.

So, I would submit that we remain committed to those issues and that we want to see those issues move forward. Now while as Council Member Barron articulated, the rush is based upon the Governor's mandate to have something by the 1st of April.

Certainly, that is not something that's realistic considering that all of the things that we have talked about over the past years incrementally are happening but at the same time, continue not to be addressed.

Also, mention the lack of engagement and where those who participated in this process came from within those communities and sometimes you know, we

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

2.2

23

24

have to step outside of our comfort zone and hear from those who aren't necessarily speaking the truth that we want to hear. And that is something that I experienced in that.

And so, I say all that portion to say that I do not want to get away from what has led us here and the things that we have talked about in the department, particularly as it relates to the budget. The civilianization or the lack thereof and how that narrative of the budget has now got coopted and does not necessary coming from those who are most impacted by a law enforcement and perpetuated these injustices on those communities and then, we are just looking at the low handed fruit and having conversations of that and criminalizing school safety agents. Which are Black and Brown women who sometimes are the only civilians our community that these children see day in and day our or traffic enforcement. That is part of the transparency or lack thereof that the NYPD has put on us to say that we are this majority Black and Brown force and you take away the traffic agents and you take away the school safety agents and they continue to be White males, right?

2.2

And so, let's be real about who we really are.

Let's continue to be engaged because there are things that work. But there are also things that don't work.

Let's talk about how do we deliver services in an equitable and efficient way. That's what we want but most importantly, we want to be treated with dignity and respect. We don't want all of these new nuances based on something that happened last year when folks of color have been treated disproportionately in a negative way for generations, right? And we know what that is.

But at the same time, you know, we are jumping to these nuances and not addressing civilianization that we talked about forever, right? And that continues to happen.

And then, just some of the things — I don't want to get away from just the quality of life and the dignity and respect, right? We pass laws here overnight trucking and truck enforcement and we got more trucks all over the city, which not only is a quality of life but you can't send your children to school because the only place that they get to park is in front of Parks and trucks, right. And you go

2.2

past and you get 10 and 20 tractor trailers lined up and they can snatch a child or a woman or a male from between there. It's not just you know that they are messing up the environment but its unsafe and nothing is being done about it. And there are so many different nuances that happen about quality of life and about policing that aren't being addressed here today that as we talk about a budget, how do we address those things? How do we address the fact that I know you said that we didn't have a tow truck or we didn't have somewhere to put them but it continues to happen. Is that addressed in this years budget?

We talked about — we announced with the Mayor — SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Late last spring about expanding Cure Violence, particularly to South

Jamaica in 103<sup>rd</sup> Precinct which continues to be at an all time high in gun violence.

Guess what? It has not happened. So, the rhetoric about what we are going to do have not been put into action. Does this budget reflect action or does this budget reflect more rhetoric about what we can do?

DEAN FULEIHAN: So, I will jump in and the

Commissioner may want to add somethings. Look, let's stick with your very last point on Cure Violence. We are talking about right now doing a really significant expansion. Let's have a conversation with you and make sure your community, your neighborhoods are getting the right kind of service they need. We are committed to doing it.

If it is not happening, I give you my assurance and we will right after the hearing, we will make sure that we look at that and see that you are getting the kind of intervention you need there.

The whole plan, the whole plan and I don't mean — I apologize if I am repeating it. But all of this are about serious reforms to address the problems you are talking about.

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Hey Dean, I am sorry. If I may please Madam Chair. Surveillance is a big issue when it comes to our community and I know before Commissioner Shea, we were talking about surveillance and the last Chair, it was a big issue.

Could we talk about that and the budget and in terms of domestic terrorism particularly as it relates to White Supremacy? What does the budget

2.2

look like? What is happening there? Because I don't know, this is a conversation that we generally have and what policing looks like. Can we have that conversation because there are a lot of folks in communities of color that are very much concerned about that as well.

DEAN FULEIHAN: Yes, yes, we will have that conversation.

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: I expect to hear from Police Commissioner.

DEAN FULEIHAN: I will allow the Commissioner but have something to do with the budget, so I can say that yes, we will do that.

all the Chiefs there and don't want to just dismiss the work that they are doing, the Yeoman's work.

They have been very attentive, very much so and I look forward to continue to work with them and being engaged. And I think that's what we want. We want to be engaged but we want to be treated with dignity and respect and not lose site of all the things that work, all the things that we worked on and have the narrative to be changed. If we could commit to that. Continue, commit to that and not the manifesto of

2.1

2.2

call your Council and get down to real work then I am
satisfied.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Commissioner Shea, did you want to add something?

DERMOT SHEA: Yeah, I would just add Councilman
Miller, thank you. You know for your support and you
have been a big supporter and I thank you for that.
Regarding your earlier statement on school safety and
traffic agents and then the larger diversity issue,
that's something that we heard throughout New York
City when we did the different listening sessions. I
would just say that you know, I really support, I
really thank you for the support of the school safety
agents. I think they are the fabric of their
societies. I think they do phenomenal work and I
think they were cast in an unfair light in my
opinion.

You know, when we speak of being a majority, minority Police Department now, that does not include those numbers. At the police officer rank, we are now more than 50 percent, I believe it is 56 percent. You know, Ben, next to me here, uhm, regarding people of color. Certainly in some positions when you talk to traffic agents and school safety agents, that is

excluded in those numbers and when you categorize civilians across the department, those numbers go up significantly.

Ben, do you have anything you want to add to that?

BEN TUCKER: Well, I just uh, I will just back up, you know, jump in on what you said. Councilman Miller -

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Hi Ben, how are you sir?

BEN TUCKER: Alright, good to see you. Thank you for your support as the Commissioner said. But also, you know, you and I have had this conversation a number of — both online at Council meetings but also offline. And I have to tell you and I think you know this, that our commitment to you know, providing fair and equitable police services you know across the board and certainly, that includes communities of color, is unconditional.

And yeah, we have challenges and certainly with the crime existing in many of those communities of color, that certainly exacerbates the challenge that we have but we are you know, the shootings and so forth. As was mentioned earlier, when it comes to our commitment there, it is again unconditional and

2.2

we have proven that in the amount of guns that we have taken off the streets.

At the same time, you know, that means what we see is that there are just an enormous amount of guns still out there and that is a real challenge for us and certainly in the budget context, uh, it's been spoken about already so I won't belabor it but certainly any cuts that we have sustained have had an impact on our ability to really cover. And we have had to move resources around, so it has impacted our neighborhood policing commitment and philosophy in the way in which we do what we do.

But you know we, as part of and then the final thing I will say is when you talk about the reform effort. It is building on — it really is building on the progress that you, I think are aware of that's been made in this agency over the last seven and a half years or so. And this process is cumulative and I think some of the changes that have occurred so far have given us a solid foundation upon which to build going forward. And some of these issues are you know, certainly beyond the scope of NYPD in particular and have more to do with I think the larger issues around systemic challenges that we all

2.1

2.2

2 know exist and you mentioned some of those already as well.

In terms of housing, in terms of public health, in terms of a variety of issues, mental illness and so forth that other members of the Council have mentioned and referenced as well. So, I will leave it there.

DEAN FULEIHAN: Yeah, I want to add, echo the comments of the Commissioner and the Deputy

Commissioner on school safety agents. I just want to make it very clear the respect we have for them. The new Chancellor has already begun the conversations about the transition. We are going to work very carefully with the NYPD, the Department of Education. We are going to involve the union. We are going to make sure that the transition is done as a way that these employees are appropriately and properly respected. That's a commitment on the whole

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. We will now turn to Council Member Reynoso followed by Council Members Rodriguez and Council Member Deutsch.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Uh, thank you Chair for the great work that you are doing in this hearing. I guess for me, it is pretty straight forward. I just want to make sure that the public knows that the safest neighborhoods in New York City are the ones with the least amount of cops but they have the most secure housing. They have the most secure education. The most secure jobs. The most secure healthcare. All these other resources that are plentiful in neighborhoods that are safe, that are not in ours.

What we do have is over policing or more cops.

If cops were to drive crime down, then you would assume or suspect that they would be in these low crime neighborhoods but they are not. Because cops don't necessarily stop crime and we have seen that with just two recent shootings in Brooklyn where cops were stones throw away from incidents and the incidents that were happening.

So, they don't necessarily stop crime, they might respond to them but they don't necessarily stop them. But I guess the core issue here is that remember, the safest communities are the ones that have all these other resources, not policing. And that we start thinking about what we want our communities to look

like. Whether we want a high quality education for our children, access to real jobs, union jobs in our neighborhoods.

Whether our housing is dignified in any way.

Those are the conversations that we should be having.

Not talking about needing more police to address

these issues that are rooted in poverty and that are

the real cause of crime, which is poverty ultimately.

I actually think that we are just biding time until we get a new Mayor and at some point a new Commissioner as well. I just don't think that this police department in the seven and a half years that I have been a Council Member has been serious about reforms.

There are things that they have done that are just unacceptable. So, it's time, you know, I am not going to just move with the theater and ask questions that I know for sure that they will answer well because they are prepared but don't really execute and don't effect change in my neighborhood or effect change in your neighborhood.

But I will ask about something that I think is meaningful. And it's about schools and metal detectors. Our schools are more a reflection of a

2.1

2.2

prison or jail system then it is institutional, like educational institutions and I want to ask, how many schools currently have metal detectors in our city?

Where are those schools located and where are the metal detectors located and what are the resources that are put forth by the NYPD to not only maintain

detectors with more school safety agents?

the metal detectors but also man those metal

10 And I guess those are my questions.

DERMOT SHEA: Chief Obey, is Chief Obey on?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: I am sorry Commissioner. Who would you like us to unmute?

DERMOT SHEA: I don't know if she is on. She may not be Chief Obey and if not, we will have to get back to the Councilman with specifics on the number of schools, the number of metal detectors. You know, it's greatly diminished. I apologize and I know it is set forth in policy agreements with the Board of Ed but Lola may not be on right now.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: I don't believe that we have her on the list.

DERMOT SHEA: Councilman, we will have to get back to you with those specifics and that would be

maned by school safety agents and not police officers.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Right but school safety agents wear the uniforms of police officers. So, it's just the perception of a system that is not necessarily you know, encouraging of education. But uhm —

DERMOT SHEA: I agree with that and I wish we were in a world where we didn't need metal detectors at all. I think we all want that. Where kids could walk into school, have a safe environment. I think that's been behind the push to limit them, to when it is absolutely necessary. I know Ben has a lot more expertise on this issue than I do. But we also have to make sure that we have a safe environment for the kids. That's paramount too and I know that that's what everyone wants. Whether it's you, whether it is the teachers, whether it is certainly the parents.

So, we have done a lot of good I would say in recent years in reforming policies regarding schools to have a much, much softer touch. To reforming policies of minimizing when arrests or the police get involved at all to an eliminating, to minimizing traditional handcuffs.

\_

2.2

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

DERMOT SHEA: So, there is a lot of reforms that have been done in and around the schools. And you know, we look forward, we can follow up with you, with Chief Obey you know for any other suggestions that you have.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Yeah and I appreciate that Commissioner. I just, you know for the budget purposes and given the amount of attention that is being put on school safety agents and just policing in school. I wish you have been prepared for that but I guess for my colleagues, we have these conversations in budget negotiating and this is what I see from elected officials on this call right now, is a very different reality than what we have in budget negotiating.

I just want folks to really focus — hi Poppy. I really want folks to pay attention to what we are talking about when it comes to the resources that we actually need in our neighborhoods.

So, thank you so much for this opportunity Chair.

DEAN FULEIHAN: I want to jump in and just echo
what the Commissioner said. The footprint of the

NYPD is very different. We made dramatic changes

over the past few years no what happens in the school. The role of the school safety agents. The amount of suspension significantly down. Alternative placements significantly down.

What I really think would be helpful is as we are doing this process of how we transform and deal with school safety agents with the new Chancellor, I think it would be worthwhile having a meeting with you and actually sitting down and having the new Chancellor have this conversation.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Council Member. We will turn now to Council Member Rodriguez followed by Council Members Deutsch and Gibson.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. First of all, I can say that as someone during 1987 or '88 was arrested by the NYPD on 1<sup>st</sup> and St. Nicholas Avenue after taking political science 101 exercising my constitutional right, when I was told to move from being there given a flyer and I said, why should I move? And I was told, because I said so by the members of NYPD. I said, but I have my right. And the answer was, you don't have any right if Dominicans.

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

I can tell you that on many occasions, the interaction that I have with the NYPD for many years was you know, very bad. And I can say not serving in previous inquiry administration, as a father of two daughters, I also can say that even though there is a lot more work that has to be done, I also understand that the discovering of the administration, the effort to train and retrain the members of the NYPD is real by this Mayor. I hope that we will continue seeing more changes and I do believe that also, we need to build a balance on building, investing more on prevention to be sure that the men and women of the NYPD, they are not there to work in the underserved community with so many challenges that we have. Instead of you know going there and yes, enforcing. I feel that it is our responsibility as a city to invest on prevention at the same time that we made the men and women of the NYPD accountable for continuing improving the relationship between the police and the community.

I also believe that we as a city have the responsibility to continue also funding the NYPD so that they can have the resources necessary to do their job. But I feel that all those questions

related to over budget, overtime in other areas is a legitimate question that we need to address.

I have a question, two questions. One is, on the topic of ICE. In my district in Taylor Avenue, ICE went and knocked on the door and there was someone with a jacket, the NYPD. I checked with the local inspector to be sure that it was the NYPD or not.

Well, the answer was, no that the NYPD was not there. However, there was someone with the NYPD jackets together as ICE. Has the NYPD write, put something in writing denouncing ICE? If it is true that the NYPD is not collaborating with ICE?

DERMOT SHEA: Councilman.

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: If you don't mind

Commissioner if you give me like one minute, so that

I need time to answer the other question.

DERMOT SHEA: Yeah, do you want to ask the other one now and then I will just address both?

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: That's fine yeah. So, my second part is about — I am proud to see you know, more in this case of American leaders in the top leadership of the NYPD. And I know the detective, the Chief department, Harrison. I know many Dominican Latinas and even with you Commissioner, I

2.2

know that you have a great close relationship with my Latino brothers and sisters but I do have issues. We

4 lost Chief Pichardo. However, I don't think that we

5 have one Dominican right now at the NYPD. I don't

6 think that we have the Latino diversity in the

7 leadership of the NYPD.

I am proud to see so many men and women inside the NYPD coming from you know, the Latino's community as a Black community. What are the steps that you are taking to be sure that there is more — I would like to see fewer Dominicans, fewer Latino. In this case bringing things local, how can we have your support and the support of First Deputy and the others to be sure that during this — we can see few Dominicans also being promoters as a one and two start.

DERMOT SHEA: Yeah, I will address that one first. That damn Pichardo guy, really left me high and dry. He was a good friend of mine. I love Fausto. It is very important Councilman. It really is. We heard this also over and over in the city. You know the good thing is that we have a very deep bench here and a lot of great, real strong talented men and women of many ethnicities to pick from but we

2 hea

heard from communities all over New York City that it is real important for kids and people to have role models. And they want to have -

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

DERMOT SHEA: A connection with people that look and sound like them. I could tell you that diversity at every rank of the department is very important to me. I could tell you that we have promotions coming up this Thursday and we, you know, one of the promotions will be promoted to Chief Armando Mondo. You know but many others you know and when we make those difficult decisions of who to promote, uhm, diversity is a key point of what I look at to make sure that the representation of all levels of the department sideways and top to bottom is well represented.

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Commissioner, I think that we are doing good in the low entry. Our issue is leadership. I was thinking of the NYPD. There is no and again, you inherit you know what you have right now and what we have seen is and I give a lot of credit. You know I think the first lady having played an important role to be sure that there is more brothers and sisters from the African American

2.2

community in leadership positions. But I don't know if I can say that New York City has 10,000 leadership positions to an agency. There is only 200 Latinos. When Dominicans made almost 1 million for the 8.6, I think that there is a lot of people waiting to be promoted to detective. It's not only about that it's a different job. It's also about I don't want to see anyone from the Irish, the Italian, the other any group to lose their spot. But I feel that we need to understand. We have failed for so many decades and I think again, to address the improvement or relationship between the police and the community it's not only on having people at the top.

I think a lot has to do to continue the work that Mayor de Blasio, that I support his initiative to train and retrain the NYPD and I have seen the result in our community but much more has to be done.

So, you know, I think that I hope in the next couple of months you and the team that you have with your right now with the understanding that — if just, imagine right now that this hearing would be happening only without African American or White testifying. Just think about how the Latino who made up 29 percent of New York City, the second largest

2.1

\_ \_

group, doesn't have anyone sitting with you right now at the top of the leadership of the NYPD.

So, it's about telling people frustration say how our number is there. Why we are not in the top leadership decision in the NYPD as other agency when it can being the second largest group in New York City.

DERMOT SHEA: Yeah, I think you are right and I do have members of Latino ancestry on this call. I could go to Chief Marty Morales who is the Chief of Personnel who is not next to me but he is on. Eddie Delatorre worked with the department and others.

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: There is like five

Commissioner. There is like five Latino in the NYPD.

There is only like five right now.

DERMOT SHEA: I am agreeing with you. I am not disagreeing. You know that why it was so important and I was so proud to appoint Chief Phil Rivera as the highest ranking uniformed member of Manhattan North. To lead that entire borough but I agree with you. We have a lot more work to do. You are right. You are right.

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: We need again, we need your support. My two daughters knowing that they

have been born and raised knowing that they are Black, they are Latino's, they are American.

So, I identify and I know that the Chief of
Department both the Chief that you have right there,
you know, I know that I can represent — they can
represent my Latino community too. But I believe it
is our responsibility as a city to bring in diversity
and literature. But again, I just want to be clear
that in '21 New Yorkers wants to see improvement of
the NYPD. New Yorkers want for the NYPD to control
their costs but I also know New Yorkers believe that
the NYPD needs to get other resources you need to
keep us safe.

DEAN FULEIHAN: Yeah, I want to just also —

DERMOT SHEA: Dean, can I just — Dean, can I just

jump in because I didn't, I missed the first question

on the ICE issue. Listen, that's Councilman, that's

the strength of our country, really. We have very

strict protocols regarding immigration. Regarding

not talking to people about their immigration status.

I'm the first generation. Both my parents were born in Ireland and immigrated to this country. We take it very seriously. ICE is not going to be wearing NYPD rank jackets under any circumstances.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

2.2

23

24

25

We have heard this from time to time. I would like to categorize but I will follow up and it's a mistake and it is not true. But I have legal here that could just touch on that to really strengthen that response but we take that extremely seriously.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Commissioner, I am going to jump in and say that we believe you. We need to end the questioning by my colleague Council Member Rodriguez and I thank him so much. We are going to move on.

DERMOT SHEA: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: To I believe Council Member Gibson.

DEAN FULEIHAN: Right, I am just going to quickly you know echo that we have made it very clear to ICE that that's not happen in case it ever does. So, I just want to ensure that and I need to at least say that a plan talks about not just recruitment and even more intensive recruitment and not only giving additional points for entering into recruitment to diversify the NYPD but it also talks at the leadership plan.

So, we are all recognizing that and we are all committed.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Council Member Gibson.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Good afternoon. Thank
you everyone. Thank you Chair Adams for leading a
great hearing today. I continue to lift you and your
family up in my prayers my sister and I want to thank
our First Deputy Mayor Dean Fuleihan as well as
Commissioner Shea and all of the Executive members of
the department. All of my colleagues and those who
are watching, it's been a very long hearing and I do
appreciate all of the work that is being done in
having this very spirited conversation.

I too, want to continue to lift up the families that we have, just worked with who have mourned the losses of their children. In my own district back on June 29<sup>th</sup>, we lost 17-year-old Brendon Hendricks, who was a high school graduate on his way to college with a scholarship and he was gunned down in our community.

And then July 5<sup>th</sup>, I had a father 29-year-old

Anthony Robinson, who was walking down the street

with his 6-year-old daughter in broad daylight on a

Sunday evening, gunned down in front of his child.

The trauma that these families experience is

2.2

permanent and the pain that they will live with is also permanent.

So, I appreciate the efforts and the acknowledgement of the deep rooted history that communities of color have experienced with policing in New York City. Yes, we have to recognize the problems and the issues as we proceed but it is really important to acknowledge what has happened in the past.

I launched Operation Save our Sons and Sisters,
Operation SOS last summer Commissioner and I am
grateful that Chief Maddrey and Community Affairs
joined us. Because we were really experiencing a
high level of crime in my district alone and we had
peace rallies and marches. We have youth summits and
we engaged our CBO's, the anti-crisis management
system and all of our organizations including the
Mayor's Office to prevent gun violence.

So, with all of that being said, I thank Chair

Adams for recognizing that in the Dinkins Plan, there

are a lot of pages, a lot of information that we are

asking the public as well as Council Members to

review in a matter of a few weeks, although we have

to vote on this.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

So, I am greatly concerned about that and certainly recognize the work of Jennifer Jones Austin and Arva Rice and Wes Moore but I do believe we have to do a lot more.

I want to ask a couple of questions. If I can get an update on civilianization and where we are. When I Chaired the Committee, we started at 200. went to 416 positions and I know there are more positions that are currently held by uniformed officers that can be done by civilian members of the service.

I also wanted to ask about the summer season. We have the ability to add more to Summer Youth and making sure we have a comprehensive youth program. So, I wanted to understand, probably from Chauncey Parker, about efforts on the Youth Academy, Saturday Night Lights, opening some of our community centers and our underutilized parks and spaces, in some of our school buildings. Can we get a commitment from the department to work with the Council on having a robust summer youth program?

I also wanted to ask about the Brownsville Safety Alliance. This was an initiative that was started by the CO of the 73 Terrell Anderson, where you combine

\_

CBO's and city agencies collaborating to reimagine what public safety is all about. I want your feedback on that. Is that a model that we could look at in other parts of the city? Because certainly in the Bronx, we certainly could use that.

And then the final question I have relates to the Capital Plan. Could you provide us with an update on some of the capital in the Bronx? Namely the 40 Precinct and Rodman's Neck. Are there any updates on that? And then just continue working with all of you in making sure that we can have these conversations very honestly and very deliberately about the work ahead but also the challenges that we have.

So, thank you so much for your time today.

DERMOT SHEA: Thank you Councilwoman Gibson.

Thanks for your support always. A lot of questions there, so Chauncey, you are first. Chauncey, if you could just keep it quick in your reply, then we will go to Juanita. Juanita, you will be second on the Brownsville piece, same thing and then I am going to go to Marty Morales and then last, Kristine on the civilianization, Marty and Kristine.

So, Chauncey, you are up. You got a minute.

\_ .

CHAUNCEY PARKER: Yes Commissioner. Thank you

Council Member Gibson. When I started here it was

over a year ago, the Commissioner said, the most

thing to focus on is what we can do for kids. And as

a Police Department, I committed YCO's or Youth

Coordination Officers.

A lot of what you are going to see this summer, you have been a major part of from the very beginning. For example, Saturday Night Lights under the leadership of the Mayor, will now expand to 100 sites. As you remember hashtag 100 gems, 100 programs beginning this summer will be available, there are currently 20. There are going to be 100 across the city.

Second, we have been working with our federal law enforcement partners at the request of Commissioner

Shea to find new resources and they have been able to dedicate asset forfeiture under Chief Barrere. Where 15 basketball courts in public housing including —

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

CHAUNCEY PARKER: That are broken down are going to be transformed into mint condition. Basketball courts, we are working on doing the same with soccer pitches across the city.

\_

24 of other organizations.

So, you are going to see as you have asked us to from all of our discussions, you are going to see activities with Chief Maddrey, Chief Barrere, Chief Holmes across the city for kids this summer.

DERMOT SHEA: Thanks Chauncey. Juanita 73.

JUANITA HOLMES: Hi, how are you?

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Good afternoon.

JUANITA HOLMES: Good afternoon to everyone.

Thank you for your question. So, as far as the 73

Precinct model, yes, that's been titled Community

Solutions. That's a community solution approach

that's been pushed out citywide.

As far as your district, I know in the 40

Precinct, we have Robert Gallitelli who put together
a significant plan to address a location 139, I
believe between Brook and Alexander. That's been
very problematic to the community. It involves the
community. You have interrupters, SOS, you have Guns
Down Life Up. You have the Bronx DA's offices he is
working with, local officials, Councilwoman Diana
Ayala, as well as community partners Gabe De Jesus,
the Community President, Council President and a lot

\_

And that's been pushed out citywide Councilwoman Gibson. It is something that I thought worked very well. It is something that we need but you know, when you talk about public safety, it is definitely a team effort. We speak a lot about our neighborhood policing teams, right? I don't like the neighborhood policing officer because it is a constant, constant fight as far as the teams efforts out there. And I think a lot of people forget about that we have sectors, steady sectors on every tour throughout the city agency.

That's also equally responsible for meeting members of the community and the trusted neighborhoods. So, I like the model in the 40. I like the one in the 101 Precinct, but I can assure you it's bringing a lot of city agency partners to the table, as well as elected officials, clergy and then naturally the Police Department. Sitting down, looking at the problems, identifying top community concerns, prioritizing them, then identifying the community solution team. And after that team is identified, they devise a plan of action and they deploy. And they are constantly coming back to the table with biweekly meetings just to address the

concerns, see if it is working, does it have to be tweaked? Does it require civil litigation? Or does it ultimately graduate to some criminal sanctions.

But I think that soft approach starting at the bottom level with the community-based organizations and moving forward and gradually building is the key answer.

DERMOT SHEA: Marty Morales, if you could just talk real quick on numbers of civilians and then Kristine, you will finish up and I just got to give a plug to Chief Morales because him and his team this year, I don't know if everyone on the Council knows this but has been instrumental in vaccinating, not only members of the NYPD but members of New York City across the city, including in public housing. So, Marty, to you and your team, thank you.

MARTIN MORALES: Thank you, thank you Commissioner. Can you guys hear me?

DERMOT SHEA: Yeah.

MARTIN MORALES: Alright good, so Councilwoman Gibson, to your question on civilianization. Since Fiscal Year '17, we have identified 417 positions. That includes 95 evidence of property control

specialists, 100 service auto workers, 100 criminal analysts, 120 police administrative aids.

We also conduct quarterly surveys to see if we could identify the positions. We currently identified 368 additional positions but they have not been funded at this time.

DERMOT SHEA: Kristine.

KRISTINE RYAN: Yeah, I will add to that that we are committed to civilianization and moving it forward as the Chief just said, we don't have the funding or the headcount for that additional 368 and you know, understanding the city's fiscal situation, we have in fact had our Fiscal Year '21 civilian budget cut by 700 positions.

So, we are trying to balance everything here.

Ultimately, we would like to move forward with those additional positions. Council Member, you also asked about two capital projects. The 40<sup>th</sup> Precinct, we anticipate construction will be completed in November of 2022. There were some delays on capital projects tied to the pause related to COVID, but thankfully everything is moving forward. So we are on target for the November 2022 construction completion there and Rodman's Neck, the final design scope is being

finalized with DDC and we anticipate construction completion in the winter of 2027.

DEAN FULEIHAN: If I could just actually say more to what Chauncey said about youth and your question on youth and how it is — many agencies that are going to be involved and we want to work with you. As you know, the Mayor and our new Chancellor announced that BSAL will continue through the summer, so we are going to have sports through the summer and we are clearly working with DYCB and DOE on a more expansive program for enrichment this coming summer that we clearly want to work with you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. We will turn now to Council Member Deutsch followed by Levin and Powers.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

afternoon to the entire panel. So, this is to the

First Deputy Mayor. So, with a lot less of ridership

and now a transit system, we have seen an increase of

horrific crimes occurring in our transit system.

Riders are getting killed, raped, assaulted. We have

also seen an influx of people on our transit system

with mental health issues.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 Since last years budget discussion hearing, the 3 Administration and elected officials have been talking about replacing police officers with mental 4 health professionals. Aside from just talking, what 5 conversations had the administration had with elected 6 7 officials to implement this plan? And what has the administration actually done? That's two questions 8 and if this administration has not done enough, then 9 why aren't we seeing mental health professionals on 10 our entire transit system?

And on another question, I just want to take a different topic because I only have five minutes. addition, we have seen a continued increase of street homelessness. What is the feedback that this administration is receiving from breaking ground as to why street homeless individuals refuse to go into shelter?

DEAN FULEIHAN: Okay, there is a lot there. Let's start with transit and when I conclude, I am going to ask the Commissioner to jump in on the actual crime in the transit system.

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: Well, I am not - my question is not about the actual crime right now. question is for those crimes -

2.2

DEAN FULEIHAN: But it implied something about crime.

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: Okay, so those crimes that are being done by people with mental health issues, that's what I am talking right now.

DEAWL FULEIHAN: But to answer your question, we did increase. We do have much more outreach on mental health teams. So, I will give you the from last year's budget exactly what it is and what was funded.

In addition though, I think one of the things you are referring to, which is in the plan and in the report was the response at 911 and how we were going to respond and have more crisis intervention with mental health. That pilot, which involves FDNY and EMT's and health and hospitals is happening right now. We have negotiated successfully with the unions on how to move forward with that pilot. We are doing that right now. We need to be very careful that 911 calls are answered appropriately and we are doing the proper amount of response.

So, we are actually moving on that right now. We are also moving on 311 to be available in our transit system. That was not the case and we are quickly

advancing that. So, we are addressing these issues. Does more need to be done? Yes. Does more need to be in the executive budget? Absolutely. And that's going to be a conversation we are going to have with you to be able to expand the crisis intervention.

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: So, we could all agree that whatever the administration is doing, more needs to be done.

DEAN FULKEIHAN: Well but also and the Commissioner should speak to this. There was a significant amount of increase of NYPD presence in our transit system.

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: I am not talking — with all due respect First Deputy Commissioner, I am not talking about the NYPD now. I want to know that if I go on the two train right now or the number five train —

DEAN FULEIHAN: You were talking about crime. You were talking about crime.

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: I am talking about — my question is — I am talking about crime that is happening from individuals who have a mental illness. Now, why is it that if I am walking — If I go onto two train now or the five train, I don't see people

out there who are mental health professionals walking around and being proactive and not waiting for an incident to happen?

DEAN FULEIHAN: Look, we respond to incidents.

We are increasing outreach. We are increasing mental health teams. We are also using the NYPD. All of these things come together.

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: The homeless, first of all, the NYPD was taken away from being out there and the street homeless.

DEAN FULEIHAN: That's not -

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: We moved it away.

DEAN FULEIHAN: The prime responsibility was moved to the Department of Homeless Services but the NYPD obviously still has a role and they still perform that.

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: I have to say I am very dissatisfied by having a conversation every single year and we had a number of conversations at hearings and that's why these hearings are kind of frustrated.

DEAN FULEIHAN: Well, you are asking for an update, so let me get you an update. We will get you an update of what happened in last years budget —

\_

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: The update to me is nothing. There is nothing going on.

DEAN FULEIHAN: But that's not true.

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: We should be — we should have — people should see and there should be things on social media. I haven't seen one — I haven't seen anyone putting anything on social media saying, oh, look, I am on the train and I see a mental health professional being proactive, speaking to someone on the transit system who has a mental illness.

All I am seeing is Tweets and on social media, showing people with a mental illness. Our transit system should be flooded with mental health professionals taking care of those people on our transit system, as well as on our streets who have a mental heath issue.

I drove yesterday from my house, eight blocks, I saw two people on the streets who obviously were talking to themselves and almost nearly got hit by a car. There should be people flooding those streets where mental health professionals. Not enough is being done. With a very large budget for mental health that we should see people out on the streets.

DEAN FULEIHAN: Again, I know no administration that's put more activity into addressing mental health issues anywhere in the country and is leading the way on this and I will get you the numbers of the intervention that does not stop the role of the NYPD which is going to be continuing. Do we have more to do? Yeah, we recognize that and we are going to continue to do that and the crisis intervention is a way to do that.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Council Member.

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: No, I still have the second part of my question to get an answer. I asked this question before. What feedback is this administration receiving from breaking ground as to why street homeless individuals refuse to go into shelter?

DEAN FULEIHAN: Again -

respect, I just want to tell the City Council,
whoever is the Chair, I just want to ask the Chair
that having five minutes on such important topics and
when we don't discuss this you know, throughout the
year, we are very limited on having conversations

2.2

about this. I would like to ask for a few more
minutes.

DEAN FULEIHAN: I think the right way to do this and I know you are going to have a hearing with the DSS Commissioner, is the right way to have this conversation of exactly how homeless services and what the numbers are on homeless service —

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: Yeah, I already over two dozens conversations and it hasn't gone anywhere.

DEAN FULEIHAN: But you are also going to have a public hearing on this and it is the appropriate place and we will make sure that they are prepared to answer this.

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: Okay, so if you could just answer me the question on what feedback has this administration received from breaking ground as why to a street homeless refuses to go into shelters.

DEAN FULEIHAN: I am going to let the expert on this and the Commissioner of Homeless Services. I am going to let Steve Banks answer this. You can do that at the public hearing, it is the appropriate place.

You are not asking about what the levels are of individuals in our shelters. You are not asking

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

about how many people have gone from the subways into shelters.

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: No, no.

DEAN FULEIHAN: Those kinds of things we can do. The kind of question you are asking, I really do believe is appropriate for the DSS Commissioner. He has the expertise on that. I am not going to try to speculate on this.

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: You are the First Deputy Mayor, so I am sure you get briefed.

DEAN FULEIHAN: But I also know where my knowledge is and we're on a specific question about what is motivating individuals. I am going to turn to the expertise.

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: Can you just give me three reasons?

DEAN FULEIHAN: I am not.

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: Can you give me one?

DEAN FULEIHAN: I am not giving you a reason but I am going to connect you with Steve Banks and he will give you those.

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: So, as the First Deputy Mayor, you cannot give me one reason of why a street

7

14

15

16

17

18

- 2 homeless individual refuses to go into one of the 3 shelters?
- DEAN FULEIHAN: We have increased shelter capacity.
  - COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: I am not talking about the shelter capacity. Why does the street —
- 8 DEAN FULEIHAN: Again, again, again -
- 9 COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: One reason, give me one 10 reason.
- DEAN FULEIHAN: I am going to suggest and I know exactly what I am going to do. I am going to hook you up with the Commissioner.
  - COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. I am sorry

    Council Member. We have to move on. We are already
    an hour behind schedule. We have a couple more

    Council Members to get to. Council Member Levin
    followed by Council Member Powers.
- 19 SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.
- 20 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you very much. Can you hear me okay?
- 22 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Yes.
- COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay, first question is
  for First Deputy Mayor Fuleihan. Deputy Mayor, in
  25 2019, when we went through the borough-based jail

25

2	process, the administration made commitments to me
3	that were memorialized in the points of agreement, is
4	the Brooklyn section. These are commitments for
5	restorative justice programming. These were
6	budgetary commitments. They have yet to be
7	fulfilled, so obviously last year was a rough year.
8	And so, I was willing to kind of grant an extension.
9	Being that this is my last year in the Council and
10	these were commitments made to me as part of this
11	negotiation, I expect that all of those commitment
12	will be fulfilled in the FY22 Adopted Budget this
13	June.
14	So, can I get a commitment that that will happen?
15	DEAN FULEIHAN: Yes.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay, great. Commissioner
17	Shea, I wanted to ask about the overtime budget. Are
18	we on track to be in line with the agreement on the
19	OT budget? The agreements that we made in the
20	adoption of the FY21 budget or are we over?
21	DERMOT SHEA: Kristine, you want to jump in. We
22	will give you the exact numbers.

23 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay.

KRISTINE RYAN: Yeah, we are exceeding the budget. Year to date, we have spent \$222 million in

city funds and \$242 million in all funds and that is our city funded budget is \$209 million.

So, we have exceeded that. Again, given the current conditions and levels of violence and the cut to our headcount and the need to just continue to provide investigations, resources and allow our commanders to have the flexibilities to do what they need to do.

DERMOT SHEA: Can you just make you have how much it's down.

KRISTINE RYAN: Yeah, so, but that is — thank you Commissioner. That is a 43 percent year over year expenditure reduction.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay, but you are already past the \$209. So, you are at \$240.

KRISTINE RYAN: Yes, \$222.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: \$222 sorry. Can we get a breakdown because there is different types of OT.

Can we get a breakdown of operational OT by rank, as well as investigative OT Code 8 by rank? And whether or not the officers that are receiving that OT, what percentage are in either on the streets or in precincts and what percentage are at 1PT?

I realize you might not have that with you right now but I would like to be able to get that information for the Executive Budget hearing.

KRISTINE RYAN: I don't have that information with me at this time.

realize that. I would like to get it for the

Executive Budget hearing. So, the May budget

hearing, so in between now and May, I would like to

know that breakdown by rank and whether or not cops

are in the field and Precincts who are at 1PT.

Specifically for investigative OT Code 8 and

operational OT. Can you commit to providing that for

the Executive Budget hearing?

KRISTINE RYAN: Yeah, yes, we can get you that information.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay, okay great. And then, I imagine my time is running out.

Commissioner, I wanted to get your reaction to the article by Greg Smith in the City yesterday on detailing 43 instances when yourself as Commissioner or your predecessor Commissioner O'Neil in the last four years overturned or diverged from administrative, NYPD administrative trial judges

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

determination on disciplinary cases, including five cases in which a guilty determination was actually overturned by yourself or your predecessor Commissioner O'Neil?

DERMOT SHEA: Yeah, I don't have any specifics regarding the article. I spoke to something I saw earlier where for myself; it was quoting and again, Councilman, I don't know the data behind it but I think it quoted for me four where I upped it and five where I moved it down. If that's accurate. I am not significantly surprised by that. We review every single case that comes across my desk as the Police Commissioner.

I am not intimately familiar with the prior cases of years ago for other Police Commissioners and we weigh all the facts and circumstances including the details, the disciplinary history. Now we take into account the Matrix and we make a decision.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Were there any cases under your Commissioner Shea, where you overturned a guilty determination by an administrative trial judge at the NYPD?

DERMOT SHEA: I think I mentioned one earlier. There was a case mentioned in the paper recently of a

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

12

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

2.2

23

24

lieutenant and it was alleged that he used improper force in pushing someone. It made it seem like he was pushing someone into traffic.

It was all captured on video tape. I reviewed the entire incident myself and I thought that the decision was 100 percent inappropriate. So, I overturned it.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time is expired.

DEAN FULEIHAN: I do want to just go back and point out that - and the Commissioner said this when it was asked earlier. That this was before we concluded the two year process of developing the Discipline Matrix. You know this, you know there are now 60 pages with clear guidelines, clear here is the penalty. Here is the accusation, here is the finding, here is the penalty, here is the mitigating factors or the aggravating factors and we now have an MOU that makes that effectively binding. There can be exceptions, which then then Commissioner must be very clear about but both the Commissioner and the head of CCRB, both have made it very clear that they intend to follow that the intent of the MOU was to follow that Disciplinary Matrix.

2.2

So, we do not expect going forward after all the work that's been put into this by CCRB, by the NYPD, by public comment, we do not expect to see that happening again in the future.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay.

DERMOT SHEA: Councilman Levin, I will just follow up and say you know regarding that particular case, I think it was an old case but I have committed with the new Matrix as the First Deputy Commissioner said, that I expect to follow the Matrix. I think all parties do and if that's not the case, it will be in writing to CCRB and I took an additional step as I said before that I think it's important for the public to know that. So, I will inform the public exactly why, if it ever happens, why I disagree. And to that specific case, maybe I will do it with that case even though it is retroactively because I think the public will 100 percent agree with me.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay, I mean there is a large diversionary right from the administrative judges and the CCRB, a 71 percent diversion.

DERMOT SHEA: Well, I addressed that earlier. I don't know if you weren't on the call but every time that gets repeated it erodes trust in New York City

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

and I think it is important for people to know that

you have to be behind those numbers and when people

4 go to trial and are found not guilty, that's going to

5 effect that rate.

So, the article was a little bit - it could have been clearer, let's say it that way.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay, we can keep talking.

One last thing about the OT numbers, if we could also provide, if you are able to get this about the number of years to retirement that those OT numbers are claimed but however you are able to determine that,

DERMOT SHEA: I don't understand that question. What was that question?

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: It's fine. I can talk offline with your staff to get the details on that.

DERMOT SHEA: Thank you, yeah.

that would be good to know as well.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay, very good thank you. Thanks.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Council Member Levin. And Council Member Powers.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Thank you. Thank you everyone for being here today and all my colleagues

21

23

2.2

24

25

\_

2.2

for their questions. We have talked a lot on gun violence here in the city and the rising numbers around gun violence in over the last calendar year and what is a notable nationwide and local surge in gun violence. Can you talk to us about what you believe are the drivers in gun violence right now in the City of New York? We have heard a lot of public statements and as the Chair of the Criminal Justice Committee, we have discussed this in my committee as well. Can you tell us what you believe are the drivers of that increase in gun violence in the past year?

DERMOT SHEA: Yeah and I would say that you know, there has been other times in history, I mean, certainly the First Deputy Mayor is right. There has been a number of cities across the US that have experienced in this last year. This is extremely complicated and I am not saying that to dismiss the question but it has become at this point extremely complicated with all the factors that are behind the rise in gun violence.

The First Deputy Mayor - you know, we have had other times in our history where other cities were

seeing significant spikes and we were not. I will add that to it too.

We know how to keep crime down in New York City. We know how to investigate crimes. We know how to deploy. That's not to say that we can't learn and always look to do things better and do it with the softest touch too.

This last year has presented unprecedented challenges to name a few, the courts have been shut down. Remember when we said that late last spring and it came back well, no, they are not really but here we are and we are still shut down you know to some degree.

You can get your nails done. You can go to a movie now in New York City but we still don't have fully operational courts and we need everyone to start speaking up about that and demand that it is not the case. Because literally lives are depending on it at this point. We need accountability when people commit crimes and accountability does not have to equal people going to jail but there needs to be some sense of when you do something wrong, whatever it is there is a spectrum. You are going to be held accountable.

Maybe it's you have to apologize, maybe you get put on probation, maybe you are incarcerated. But right now, believe me, there is a feeling among the criminal element that very small element, that there is no repercussions for committing crimes. So, courts is a big piece of what we are seeing.

Chief Lipetri mentioned earlier about the gun arrests and the bail situation. You know, it is extremely complicated but we need to get to a place where when we have repeat people committing crimes or doing bad things, that judges have an ability to say, you know what, that person can't victimize people anymore.

You can go onto resources and this is another issue. So, I mean, I could go on to this topic for quite a long time. You are probably tired of me talking about it.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Look, I think that the drivers are to figure out in a pandemic and we are seeing them across the board. And you know it is hard to attribute any sort of a specific law change in New York State to gun shootings in Milwaukee or any other you know city in America. I think there is something going on but when we talk about arrest

rates. What are arrest rates right now compared to normal times, if you want to call it that, when it comes to folks who have committed a crime using a gun.

DERMOT SHEA: Sure and I will turn that to the Chief of Detectives, the Chief of the Department but I will also say Councilman that our shooting arrests, our shooting rates were up significantly last year before anyone had heard about COVID.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: I agree with you on that.

The shootings were starting to go up but are they up or down? Let's just add, are they up or down, the arrests for gun violence?

RODNEY HARRISON: So, last year we struggled with arrests when it came to shooting incidents. And I actually kind of want to touch on your first question regarding what are the motivations.

One of the things that we saw last year in 2020 was a lot of gang motivated shootings. That with a combination of unlicensed locations having events where disputes were stirred up. That turned into violence as well narcotics enterprise shooting incidents was also a struggle in 2020.

2.2

And regarding the clearance and regarding these shootings, yes, we were down. We were about 32 percent in contrast with the prior year of 2019. The percentage was about 42 to 45 percent. And I have said this quite often in the past, my investigators are the best in the world. But these cases are a lot more difficult to solve due to the fact that people —

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time is expired.

RODNEY HARRISON: People try to capitalize off of wearing these face masks to commit their crimes and because victims cannot identify who our perpetrators were, our police officers with their video and capture the incident and still couldn't identify who our individuals or subjects that committed the crime. And the reluctancy of witnesses coming forward really made it very difficult for us to solve some of these cases.

DERMOT SHEA: Can I jump on that?

RODNEY HARRISON: Yeah.

DERMOT SHEA: Councilman, I would pose to you, what would you tell a witness when we bring them in and they identify the shooter. What would you tell them when the truth is we cannot protect our

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

23 24

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Look, gun violence -

identity? Because that's what they are going to be told by the prosecutor?

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: What do you mean by not protect our identity?

DERMOT SHEA: Exactly that. They are going to be told by the prosecutor that the person is going to be released or put back onto the street or they are going to say they are going to potentially try to redact their identity but they can't promise it. And this is the hard conversations that are happening the last year every day across New York City.

And I will tell you what happens next. The witness stands up and too often, afraid for themselves and afraid for their family will make a decision and walk out of that room.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: I guess, look, I am not making any allegations against the Police Department here. I am asking questions because there is a noticeable increase.

DERMOT SHEA: Well, I am telling you though, I am telling you, we need your help. We need everyone in New York's help to correct this.

2.2

DEAN FULEIHAN: And let me jump in if I may. are also - we recognize in the antiviolence package, recognizes that there are more elements to this. mean, the Commissioner started it by recognizing what's going on in the past year in the country. It's part of the reason that we are doing the restorative justice. The significant expansion in Cure Violence. This is multifaceted and we are going to have to do that and obviously the NYPD takes the central role. But there are others that we are going to have to play in this and I don't dismiss all the other community relations and other the other reforms that we have been talking about here today.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Yeah, look, I guess, I just want to start backwards again. The whole entire intention of the question is that we can't as elected officials or leaders be able to help you fix a problem about gun violence in the city unless we have a clear understanding of it. I think the explanations given are helpful to us to better understand what the issues are. I think we also recognize there is a nationwide pandemic that is causing some friction in what's going on all across the country.

I am going to ask you because I think it is a

\_

2.2

really serious issue in our city right now and I think we should be talking about it and figuring out ways to address it, so that people can feel safe and people want to return back to New York City. But also, doing that in a way that actually looks at what is happening in a sober way.

DEAN FULEIHAN: Yeah, we all agree with you on

that.

DERMOT SHEA: You're right, yeah.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Okay.

DERMOT SHEA: And we would love to engage any way possible you know, literally in any way possible, members of our team, members of the community, members you know, on the Council because I think — and clergy as well. And it is going to take all of us. I think as Chauncey would say, locking arms.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Okay, thank you for that. My last question is and my time is -

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: I am sorry Council Member. We are well behind schedule. Uhm and we are well behind.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Thank you guys. Thank you.

2.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: We have one more Council

Member who has raised his hand. Council Member Yeger

and then we will move onto the CCRB.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Thank you Madam Chair.

Good afternoon Commissioner. I, first of all before we start, the Public Advocate, before he became Public Advocate represented the district right next door to the district I represent. We shared a large border. He and I both know the neighborhood very well.

Five days ago, on Avenue East 19<sup>th</sup> Street, a young man name Keon was shot and killed at 12:30 in the morning. It was about 200 feet from my front door.

It's like I said, it's a neighborhood that Jumaane knows well. It is a neighborhood that I know well.

The idea that, and I am not saying that Jumaane has promulgated this idea but the idea by some members that you have to look a certain way in order to be horrified by gun violence. That are taking the lives of people who don't look like me and don't look like you Commissioner is offensive.

And there are members of this Council and there are people who we are going to hear from later who

think that if you look like me and you look like you, you are not offended by gun violence and it is disgusting. This is not true. It is taking lives of our fellow New Yorkers and I know that you are horrified by it and I know that I am horrified by it.

I got my start in government serving as a young

Council aid in the district that Public Advocate

Williams represented in the Council, two members

before him. I know that neighborhood well. I know

the district well and gun violence has absolutely

increased. It is not a secret and it's not something

that the Administration or the department is denying.

So, with that backdrop, uh, I want ask a little bit about some of the cuts because this is after all a budget hearing, not a policy hearing. It has been suggested that perhaps \$1 billion was not cut from the Police Department. That it is smoking mirrors, if you will. By my calculation, when you add the operating expense and the capital expense that you discussed, it's over \$1 billion.

So, talking about that specifically, I would like to ask if you believe that the number of personnel on the street right now has been diminished by the

J

nature of the cuts that the department has endured during the course of this year?

DERMOT SHEA: I am assuming that's to me. Yeah, there is no question Councilman. There is no question that we are at a reduced number of officers, detectives, civilians at this point than last year. Kristine and Rodney can talk to you about some of the impacts but we, our job as managers is to manage the resources that we have. This process is important. It's important for all the Council from the Chair on down and others that will come afterwards to set the parameters and make very tough decisions.

But the short answer is, there is no question it has had an impact on the ability to fight crime.

Kristine.

that the combination, the operating and the capital were real cuts to our budget that reached the \$1 billion threshold and on the headcount, you know just a reminder that the uniform headcount cut of 1163 impacts current fiscal year and continues beyond that. It's a baseline reduction but we are actually down more than 1,700 officers from where we were at this point last year. And we also have 1,800 members

2.2

of service who are part of our current actual headcount but they are in the academy.

So, they are field effective. So, we are working to maximize the resources, maximize the resources we have but you know, there are challenges that we are all facing and you know, we really want to work to maximize the resources we have and the resources we are able to utilize to do the work that the department does in trying to keep the city safe.

DERMOT SHEA: So Rodney, do you have anything to add?

RODNEY HARRISON: Yeah, just if I could just state real quickly.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Sure, thank you Chief.

RODNEY HARRISON: Yeah, uh, you know, a couple statements have been made regarding we are over policing. The one thing that I will have to advise everybody that's able to listen to my voice, is you know, putting cops in the right places to deter retaliation is extremely important to public safety.

You know, having officers out there addressing some of the violence that could come back helps. It really has and once again is this, I have been doing this for almost 29 years now. Over policing is not

something that we do. It's called protective policing. We want to make sure that we protect all New Yorkers in all the different communities throughout the city.

So, just everybody, please keep in mind, we are a professional law enforcement agency. We are arguably one of the greatest police departments in the world. I think we know what we are doing.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Thank you Chief and —

DERMOT SHEA: I just want to correct the record.

We are the best Police Department in the world.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: I know that Commissioner.

I know my clock has expired. I am going to just briefly say one more thing because I really don't have the opportunity to ask more questions but I will say that uhm, uh, when I leave my home and walk to Synagogue for the last five days, I pass the place on the sidewalk where there is still blood on the cement for the young man who was shot and killed at 12:30 in the morning. On a block I know well, in a neighborhood that I grew up in and his name is Keon. And there is not a single member of the department here today at this hearing that doesn't feel the pain

of that murder victim and every other murder victim.

2.1

2.2

And the idea that we ought to keep on taking away resources from the Police Department and expecting the Police Department to save the lives of people named Keon and like Keon. Because it has to be said by somebody who looks like me. That those are the folks who are getting killed. People who look like Keon are getting killed and it is our job, all of us collectively, to stand up and to give the resources to the Police Department to save their lives and to help them and we have to do that.

I have heard members here today; this will be my closing thought Madam Chair. I have heard members today who were the biggest proponents of cutting the Police Department squabbling with members of your department Commissioner over whether or not three or four detectives in a particular bureau is sufficient.

They are the ones who didn't want us to have — they wanted us to fire cops. And they are squabbling with you over whether or not we have enough cops.

In the last hearing with regard to the transportation issues the department — the traffic investigators, the same argument was made. We need more investigators in that department, in that part of your department. But being made by the same

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

people who say we shouldn't have this many cops in the city.

So, it's important as we go into the budget because this is not a policy conversation. This is a budget conversation. When people come up with a random number and say this is the amount we should cut. Without looking at what that amount translates to, they are not being responsible.

And with that, I thank you Madam Chair for giving me the extra time. I appreciate it and Commissioner I look forward to hearing the rest of your testimony today. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: That is all the Council Members we have who have used the Zoom hand raise function. I will turn it back to the Chair perhaps to acknowledge the Council Members who are here with us today and close out this portion of the hearing.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Thank you so much Counsel. Thank you to all of my colleagues. Special thank you to NYPD. We have held you over, well over an hour of your time with us. Thank you to the Admin, particularly First Deputy Mayor Fuleihan.

Commissioner, this hearing has been - we realize it has been top heavy in speaking about reform.

2.2

There is a reason for that and I think that you know that. So, I am not going to apologize for that. know that this is a budget hearing and we would definitely have preferred for all of our time to have been spent speaking about the budget today, but unfortunately, we could not do that because we have a very, very restricted clock us with regard to policy issues. 

So, I thank you for your indulgence and I will also say that if there are any further questions regarding the budget, I am sure there will be, as there should be. We will send you a follow up letter and we will request that response be given back within a two week time period and we thank you in advance for that.

Again, this has been a very, very intense first portion of our hearing. We didn't expect any less.

I thank all of my colleagues again for your passion.

As you can see, we differ on several opinions but I do expect us to work as we always do as a body together in thought so that we can get this right.

We are not playing around with anything that we do and we know that we coming into this every budget

season. Again, we have got to do the work of this Council.

So, thank you very much. Commissioner, thank you NYPD. We are going to next hear from the Civilian Review Complaint Board. CCRB's Fiscal 2022 Budget is \$20.6 million. Most significant for CCRB is ensuring that it has enough resources to effectively investigate the hundreds of complaints it receives every month. They must be an effective check on the Police Department and we commend them on their work through the challenges during the COVID-19 pandemic.

I am also going to acknowledge my colleagues that have joined this hearing this morning and this afternoon. Council Members Holden, Lander, Brannan, Rosenthal, Barron, Miller, Reynoso, Rodriguez, Gibson, Deutsch, Levin, Powers, Louis, Rose, Riley, Ampry-Samuel, Yeger and Menchaca.

Hopefully I have gotten everyone in that list. I look forward to hearing about hearing about developments in the CCRB budget. Your outlook for the next year and any concerns you might have. We have got about 30 minutes, so I would like to get started. Thank you very much CCRB. Thank you Reverend Fredrick Davie and Executive Director

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY 193
2	Jonathan Darche as well to your staff for being here
3	today. I should also mention that we did have the
4	Public Advocate Jumaane Williams with us today giving
5	his very passionate testimony as well.
6	So, thank you, Counsel, I believe we can begin.
7	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Chair Davie, I
8	just want to do a mic check with you if we can.
9	REVEREND DAVIE FREDERICK: Yes, thank you very
10	much.
11	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: And can we also unmute
12	Executive Director Darche?
13	JOHNATHAN DARCHE: Good afternoon everyone.
14	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: And before we begin
15	testimony, I will administer the oath. Please raise
16	your right hands. Do you swear or affirm to tell the
17	truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth
18	before this Committee and to respond honestly to
19	Council Member questions? Chair Davie?
20	REVEREND DAVIE FREDERICK: I do.
21	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Executive Director Darche?
22	JOHNATHAN DARCHE: I do.
23	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: You may begin.

REVEREND DAVIE FREDERICK: Thank you. 24

Chairperson Adams and members of the Public Safety

\_

2.2

Committee, thank you again for this opportunity to appear before you today. As is known, I am Fred Davie, the Chairperson of the Civilian Complaint Review Board and I am joined by our Executive Director Jonathan Darche.

The last year has seen one of the most significant changes or some really significant changes for the world, the city and for the conversations about what policing and public safety can look like. Oversight has always been integral to public safety and the last year has highlighted the need for strong, independent oversight yet again.

During my tenure as Chair, the Civilian Complaint
Review Board made significant strides in service to
all New Yorkers and remained central to the
conversation of how we achieve a fairer, more
equitable and more accountable public safety system
in New York City. During my more than four years on
the board and three years as Chair, the CCRB grew to
over 200 staff, released a database of officers CCRB
disciplinary history and expanded its authority to
hold officers accountable for sexual misconduct and
false official statements.

\_

We published one of the first ever comprehensive reports on the use of body worn camera footage by an oversight agency, issued a report on NYPD's interactions with the youth accompanied by the first ever public service announcement with our Youth Advisory Council and hired our first ever Director of our new Civilian Assistance Unit.

Caused primarily by the pandemic, the agency saw a 20 percent decrease in complaints received dropping from 4,962 complaints received in 2019 to 3,875 complaints received in 2020. However, the Agency saw a tremendous influx of complaints due to the violent clashes between the NYPD and peaceful protestors following the killing of George Floyd.

CCRB received over 750 complaints of police misconduct at the Black Lives Matter protests resulting in 297 individual cases. CCRB has worked diligently throughout the year to investigate these cases despite a number of challenges, particularly around access to information from the Police Department, the inability to identify officers as a result of the Police Department not keeping track of where officers were deployed, officers wearing

2.

Ĭ

2.2

helmets with improper shield numbers and new challenges stemming from remote work.

To date, we have closed 112 of those complaints including 37 fully investigated complaints, 50 truncations and 24 which are closed pending litigation. Of the fully investigated cases, CCRB substantiated misconduct in 38 percent of those cases. As we continue to bring the remaining 185 cases to a close in the coming months, we will share our findings with the public and prosecute officers where the Board recommends charges.

At the beginning of 2021 we adopted the Police
Department's Disciplinary Matrix, as has been
discussed in this hearing and signed an MOU that will
enable the CCRB to recommend discipline in a more
transparent and independent manner for all cases,
including the majority of the cases stemming from the
peaceful summer protests.

I am particularly proud that after the appeal of Civil Service Law 50A, on March 4<sup>th</sup>, CCRB established an online database containing the CCRB disciplinary histories of the NYPD officers, marking a true change in the community's ability to have transparent public safety. As we continue to advocate for final

authority over discipline in CCRB cases, the agency is encouraged by the direction in which it is headed and hopes to see that direction supported with funding from the Administration.

In 2020 as a result of the Charter changes New Yorkers voted to implement, we now have one member appointed by the Public Advocate and the Chair is jointly appointed by the Mayor and the Speaker of the City Council. The Police Commissioner is now required to provide written explanations for deviations from the Board's disciplinary recommendations in all cases and CCRB's jurisdiction has been expanded to include false official statements made to the CCRB in the course of investigations.

Finally, CCRB's headcount has been linked to 0.65 percent of the NYPD's uniformed officer headcount.

After an initial estimated budget increase, CCRB's budget was revised by the Administration due to the pandemic, as the Charter of course allows. Just like the rest of the City, CCRB had to make some tough decisions, including fundraising from private donors to fund the production of the CCRB's first ever public service announcement with our youth. Working

creatively to ensure staff was able to successfully transition to work from home and internal staff restructuring at CCRB by consolidating senior roles in order to hire much-needed additional classes of investigators.

As the CCRB works to incorporate the new
Disciplinary Matrix and take on the prosecution of
highly sensitive sexual misconduct cases, we will
need to make sure our one of a kind Administrative
Prosecution Unit is no longer operating with a
staffing deficit to take on the increase in cases and
workload, while making sure we don't retraumatize
victims.

Similarly, now that 50A has been repealed, we will need additional FOIL officers to ensure timely responses to New Yorker's requests for information.

Recently, the Administration announced the David Dinkins Plan, which is the largest expansion of the Board's authority since its creation in 1993. This includes consolidating all oversight under one entity, which will ensure that oversight is more effective and efficient. CCRB supports this plan and looks forward to working with the Administration and other stakeholders to successfully implement it.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1314

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We note that mere consolidations of agencies will only be a meaningful step forward if combined with several key changes that also require funding. We are working with City Hall and OMB to ensure that CCRB has adequate levels of funding in order to do its job effectively.

In order to implement the Dinkins Plan, the CCRB will need increased access to NYPD records. increase our access to evidence, the Administration proposed changing State Law to exempt the CCRB from sealing statutes. This would allow the CCRB to obtain documents so that Agency investigators can properly investigate all cases. Recently, the Council introduced a bill that would allow CCRB to investigate any officers who have engaged in severe acts of bias including acts exhibiting prejudice, intolerance or bigotry. Or unlawful discrimination against any person or group of persons, on or after January 1, 2016. This would be a drastic change in responsibility for the CCRB. OMB is working with us to get the tens of millions of dollars we would need to implement this change.

Furthermore, after a year of litigation, the agency will resume its investigations of allegations

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

2 of sexual misconduct. Taking on sexual misconduct 3 allegations is one of the reasons the CCRB worked to create a Civilian Assistance Unit within the agency. This innovative unit which will support complainants 5 by assisting them in understanding and navigating the 6 7 investigatory and disciplinary processes and provide complainants with connections to critical city 8 resources like housing assistance and mental health 9 services. We are currently in the process of hiring 10 11 advocates to staff this program.

These are significant increases in responsibilities for the CCRB, which currently has fewer than 150 investigators investigating the Police Department that has over 36,000 sworn officers. As an already underfunded agency, our overall budget would have to be increased significantly to ensure effective, independent and thorough investigations to be true to our current and expanded mission. continues to become stronger and more transparent. We look forward to the support of the Administration and the Council, to be better able to accomplish our mission, which is to provide strong, effective, independent civilian oversight of the New York City Police Department. As a result, we need adequate

2.

resources to continue to provide effective oversight of the NYPD, including staffing, training and public education.

I am confident that with your help, that the CCRB will continue to flourish, improve and lead the way in civilian oversight nationally.

I thank you for your time and continued support and Executive Director Darche and I are available to answer any of your questions. Thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Thank you so much Chair

Davie. Thank you for being here. It is good to see

you as always. I think you have done a lot to the

conversation about police discipline forward lately.

So, I really appreciate your candor during this whole

process over these past few months.

Before I ask about the budget, I wanted to follow up on a few items from the plan itself. I know that there are a significant number of CCRB reforms included but I wanted to know if you think there are any areas related to the CCRB that we should consider including or areas where the plan does not go far enough?

REVEREND DAVIE FREDERICK: So, I think it's a really excellent plan. It is a major step forward

2.2

and we really appreciate the Mayor and the

Administration for advancing it. Obviously, we are

still focused on final authority and we appreciate

the Resolution that currently exists in the Council.

I think introduced by Council Member Cumbo. And then

as well as to the State Legislation that has been

introduced by Senator Bailey and I think Assembly

woman Cruz.

So, final authority is a big issue for us but we think the current plan that the Mayor has put forward is a major step and will continue to strengthen this agency and it's ability to exercise civilian oversight of the department. Obviously, you know, we would like to see something — and it is in the plan and we would like to see it actualize and that is you know, what we are here for today to actually carry out the mission that would expand the initiative that we have been tasked with.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Okay, thank you. So, let's go ahead and talk a little bit about the budget. Uhm and talk about your staffing.

Your budgeted headcount for the next 236 positions. Is this enough in your opinion?

REVEREND DAVIE FREDERICK: John, you want to answer that?

JOHNATHAN DARCHE: So, thank you Committee Chair. Thank you Madam Chair. I think the 236 number is not what is currently in the budget based on the — I think it is lower than that because it is 0.65 percent of the NYPD's member of service headcount and I think that is like a 224 number.

But you know, we are working with OMB and the Administration to increase that number. Everyone had to take cuts this year because of the pandemic and the agency actually was proactive in restructuring, so that we could continue to hire more investigators to make sure that we could hire — that we could provide the level of service that New Yorkers need and expect from the CCRB investigating cases of police misconduct.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Are you currently under a hiring freeze?

JOHNATHAN DARCHE: So, we have recently hired two classes of investigator and we are scheduled to hire another class of investigators in June. And we are on uh-in addition to those three classes, we are on

a three to one exchange for people at the Department Agency before we can replace them.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: What's the turn over for investigators?

JOHNATHAN DARCHE: I think we have lost approximately 18 investigators in the last fiscal year.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: And what's their starting salary?

JOHNATHAN DARCHE: Uh, \$42,000 a year.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Okay. Alright, let's talk a little bit about the CCRB's investigations of the NYPD's protest cases. How many complaints did you receive that were related to the police protest.

REVEREND DAVIE FREDERICK: So, we received 750 complaints that uhm, uh, we uhm, when we looked at them, there was a lot of overlap. So, we are investigating 297, I think it is of those actual complaints. Having consolidated some and obviously, dealt with others that weren't particularly relevant to our jurisdiction but 297 is the number now that we are investigating.

\_

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: We keep hearing that the — that complaints in 2020 were decreased compared to the previous years. Why do you think that is?

REVEREND DAVIE FREDERICK: That is true, as I said in my testimony. I think it is mainly due to COVID. You have fewer people out in public engaging with members of service. And because of the COVID restrictions on peoples ability to be out in public, I think it is just a lower number.

We expect that you know those levels of complaints to resume once the restrictions on COVID interactions are lifted and clearly we had this burst of additional complaints around the June George Floyd and Black Lives Matter Protest.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Okay, can you provide any specifics on the number of cases substantiated and the discipline you recommended and whether or not the Police Commissioner deviated from any of your recommendations?

REVEREND DAVIE FREDERICK: On the protest cases or just in general?

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: On the protest cases.

REVEREND DAVIE FREDERICK: Yeah, so we have substantiated 14 complaints which comprise 24

allegations against 20 officers. That's a 38 percent substantiation rate of fully investigated complaints.

But to date, there have been no deviations from any of the discipline recommendations that we have made.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Okay, well in general, how often does the Police Commissioner deviate from your recommendations?

REVEREND DAVIE FREDERICK: Well, it depends.

When you are looking at the APU cases, uhm, you know it was a challenging year last year for the department. The staff reports to me that the department was an 8 percent concurrence rate on APU cases. Even if you took into consideration guilty verdicts at departmental trials, staff reports that that number, that percentage than increases to about 12 percent. It was higher as I understand it for the less serious cases. I think we might have been around 65, 70 percent concurrence rate. I think overall with the department we generally average about 40 percent concurrence on APU cases and higher than that of course on the less serious one.

I will say that you know, pleas that the Commissioner and I have signed, this memorandum of

\_

understanding and that we have the Disciplinary

Matrix to guide us and we are going to be diligent

about ensuring that both we and the department follow

that Matrix. And the extra enforcement or oversight

that comes with the MOU and trust that that's going

to lead us to greater concurrence rates.

One final word on that and on again, I will come back to, if the agency had a final authority on its cases, just those CCRB cases, uhm, I think you know the issue of concurrence would go away. But both the Matrix and the MOU I think are very strong instruments that will lead us to a greater concurrence.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Okay, let's talk a little bit about the body worn camera with the issues. How long on average does NYPD take to provide you with body worn camera footage?

REVEREND DAVIE FREDERICK: John, you want to address that?

JOHNATHAN DARCHE: So, the backlog has essentially been eliminated now. It is tough to use an average because historically there was a very, very large backlog.

2.1

2.2

We haven't fully implemented the MOU that we signed with the department early in 2020 because well, we haven't fully implemented the MOU that we signed in 2019 because as we were getting ready to set up the temporary secure room, the pandemic hit and we have been unable to get it started yet but the department has been working with us to respond to requests quickly. There is still a need for us to have direct access to body worn camera footage. It will allow us to be more efficient but also, it will increase public trust in the process.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: How often does CCRB receive incorrect footage?

number on that Madam Chair. I can get that for you but it does happen where we will make the request and then we will get a negative response if there is no footage. And then we you know notify a member of service to come in for an interview, they will tell us that they reviewed their body worn camera before the interview and so, that will let us know that there is body worn camera footage.

During the protest cases where we had different complaints from the same protest, you will get

\_

2.2

investigators who will have — they request that are responded to positively where there colleague who makes a similar request for an incident that occurred nearby at the same time or a similar time will get a negative response.

And the department corrects those once they are aware of them but it is something that would be eliminated if there was direct access to body worn camera footage.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Do you know how often the footage is redacted?

JOHNATHAN DARCHE: I can get that number for you. The department has been accepting verbal waivers of peoples privacy rights. So that we have been getting unredacted footage very quickly but I will check with you on that redaction.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: When it comes to issues with the footage itself, how often is footage obscured by clothing or because the camera becomes dislodged or any other issue?

JOHNATHAN DARCHE: So, I will get those numbers for you Madam Chair. They did our body worn camera report last year and they have included those issues. And I don't know that they are large in number but

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

23

24

25

they are significant when they happen and we have been working with the department, which is taking steps to improve the ways in which they secure body worn cameras to uniforms.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Has CCRB provided recommendations to the NYPD on how to improve its body worn camera programs? Did you have a part of that?

REVEREND DAVIE FREDERICK: Yeah, the CCRB and the department signed a memorandum of understanding on access to body worn camera footage and as John said, the Executive Director Darche said, the access to that footage has improved as a result of that MOU you know, and the backlog basically has been dealt with. But you know again, the technology you know exists where the agency should have direct access to body worn camera footage and that would eliminate any number of these issues that arise while also allowing for the protection of peoples privacy.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Okay, thank you. I am going to go ahead and pass it onto my colleagues who have questions at this time.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Chair. Council Member Holden up first.

2.2

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Thank you Chair. Chair Davie, you described the summer protest a few times as peaceful. Do you actually believe that all of the summer protests were peaceful? Because that sounds like a misrepresentation.

REVEREND DAVIE FREDERICK: Well, clearly there were some of the protests that weren't peaceful but for those protests were and complainant complaints we received from those protests, those were the ones I was addressing.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Yeah, but you did describe it twice as you have got complaints from the peaceful protest. Is that — do you guys determine whether a protest was peaceful or violent?

REVEREND DAVIE FREDERICK: We determine whether or not we got complaints from peaceful protests and that's how I described it in my testimony.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: So, you stand by, you call, that means, you got no complaints from violent protests?

REVEREND DAVIE FREDERICK: As far as I am aware, uhm, but we will check that and I will let you know.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Yeah, because it is a

little curious because I saw a lot of violent

a lot of things that weren't violent either.

protest. We saw burning police cars. We saw alluding. We saw a lot of things that were violent.

REVEREND DAVIE FREDERICK: Right but sir, we saw

[INAUDIBLE 4:23:03]. There were some mistakes, some serious mistakes made in how peaceful protests were dealt with and that's what we saw. That's what those were, many of the complaints we received and those are the complaints that we are addressing and investigating.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: So, you are only investing the peaceful protests complaints?

REVEREND DAVIE FREDERICK: I said we would get you that information.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: You are supposed to be impartial as the Chair of the CCRB and I just felt that statement was strained. That you categorize all the protests, the complaints that you received were peaceful. It's a little odd but.

REVEREND DAVIE FREDERICK: I would not say that I categorized all the complaints we received.

\_

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: No, you said it twice. You are saying protests would have been sufficient but you went out of your way to say they were peaceful and I don't think any New Yorker can say that all of the protests were peaceful.

So, that's why it is a little strange.

REVEREND DAVIE FREDERICK: I think it is important to recognize the right and the democracy for people to peacefully protest. Which a majority of the people who were protesting did. And not to have their peaceful protest dispersed by people who were intending violence or improperly impeded by the NYPD or any other law enforcement agency. And we want to encourage peaceful protests and we promise the people of New York City an impartial review of the data and the facts when it comes to allegations of NYPD officers improperly interacting with anyone.

But we want to emphasize the importance to a sound democracy of people being able to engage in peaceful protests and to have a proportionate response to law enforcement.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Yeah, well, listen, we all want — if we have protests obviously, that we want them peaceful for everyone involved, police and

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

you are making to a point -

protestors but we saw a lot that weren't peaceful. That's all, I am trying to make a point here that if an impartial body Chair, is going to categorize that he got complaints from only the peaceful protests, that's I think a mischaracterization of -

So, I would amend that. I would just say protest. There is no reason to be partial because then it does, it really resonated with me that you are making an anti-NYPD determination by saying that. Because there were protests that were violent and the cops were -

REVEREND DAVIE FREDERICK: I have a deep respect for the NYPD. I have a deep respect for the work that they do. I have relatives who have been officers. I have friends who are officers. So, for you to say I am making an anti-NYPD, this is your being inflammatory and I think it is unfair.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Listen, when I say the impression that I got from your categorizing all protests in the summer, that you got complaints from peaceful, I think that was a huge stretch.

I would expect -

REVEREND DAVIE FREDERICK: Council Member that

\_

\_

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Thank you Chair.

REVEREND DAVIE FREDERICK: Thank you sir.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Chair Davie, did you want to finish your answer or.

REVEREND DAVIE FREDERICK: I'm done. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: We will now turn to Council

Member Barron.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Have I been called,

recognized?

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now ma'am.

away and didn't know I had been called. Madam Chair, thank you for this extremely important hearing and particularly timely in terms of the Mayor requiring that the City come up with a plan. And we know that there have been meetings that have been held and certain parties have been involved in those meetings and very vocal in those meetings as they try to come up with this plan and present it to us to support it and vote it up before the deadline has expired.

I am very concerned about the CCRB. I have legislation, which talks about doing away with the

We can't have the fox quarding the chicken

\_

house and making those decisions. And I say that without any disparity to the members that are there but they are appointed by the very body that they are investing. That's problematic.

Similar to a special prosecutor being appointed

to see particular cases where criminality has been involved and that then prosecutor hiring retired detectives to do the investigation. It's problematic, it's conflictual and we see issues with that.

So, we know that the members are appointed by the Mayor, by the Police Department and also, by the City Council. We are proposing in our legislation to do away with that and really talk about representation of, by and for the people by having 17 districts formed from the 51 community districts that exist.

There will be 17 districts and each of those districts will elect a person to serve on an Elected Civilian Review Board and that body would then be charged with conducting findings and hearings making a determination which cannot be changed by the Commissioner.

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

23

24

I heard talk earlier about a memorandum of understanding that this is what they intend to do. But there is still wiggle room in that because I heard the Commissioner say that if he didn't expect that there would be reasons that he would not follow the recommendations or findings or determination but if he did, he would be willing to explain it. That's not good enough. We need to have that separation. We need to have that division so that the community which has very questionable trust and justifiably so in the NYPD. Can be assured that the persons who are conducting these hearings, that they have selected to be on the board or in fact representing their interests.

So, we want to make sure that people are aware this legislation will be presented soon and we would want this to be the essence for moving forward. have very, very as I have said, justifiably limited confidence in what the NYPD has proposed. We know that in the past, they have come to us in hearings and made profound, definitive announcements about what they are doing and we found out subsequently that that is not what has been happening.

2.2

So, I just want to share the information. There are three main tenants of the proposal that we are introducing to become more for an Elective Civilian Review Board. The main tenant first and most importantly that the representatives on that board be elected.

And secondly, that the Commissioner not be able to waffle or overturn or not implement what the findings and determination of those elected members have from their hearings and investigations. Anyone can bring complaints. It doesn't have to be the person whose been victimized to bring a complaint. Anyone could bring a complaint. It would be fully investigated.

And then the third main tenant, is that there be an independent prosecutor. Persons whose family members have been disrespected by the community, by the misconduct that goes on in the Police Department, even though we are talking about body footage. It doesn't make a difference. We saw what happened. We saw what happened with Rodney King back in how many years ago was that? Whatever number the years are. And we saw what happened with Eric Garner. It didn't make a difference.

\_

So, we are not relying on those police department cameras giving us their footage because as it goes forward, police are not brought to justice by -

Time expired.

SERGEANT AT ARMS:

vacation days for the misconduct that they commit and certainly not brought to justice as inspector Shell was not brought to any type of justice when he shot Ortonzo Boval[SP?] in the back and there was never an investigation. And he rose through the ranks, elevated himself, increased his salary, got his pension with no justice for the family other than the justice that they got through a civil suit.

So, I thank you Madam Chair for the opportunity to talk about the legislation that will have a body in place that will seek to get justice and will seek to have appropriate consequences for the misconduct that police commit, particularly against unarmed, innocent civilians in our society. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Chair Davie or Mr. Darche, would either of you want to respond?

REVEREND DAVIE FREDERICK: I will say, I appreciate the passion and the commitment to justice and fair policing. I would respectfully disagree

about an Elected CCRB. I think that that might inadvertently introduce a level of politics into this process that could easily twist us in the knots and perhaps grind us to a halt.

I think the amount of money that could go in into electing people to an Elected CCRB, such as money that the police unions and other people with less progressive ideas about policing might inject into this process. Could result in a board that was more sensitive to less progressive approaches to policing and civilian oversight than even we currently have and in the direction that we are moving.

The Commissioner you know, designates — the Police Commissioner designates three members to the board but they are actually appointed by the Mayor and of course the Council and the Mayor appoint the balance along with the Public Advocate.

I think holding public officials accountable for the work of the CCRB is uh, it seems to me a more effective way to pursue this and then ensuring that the CCRB has final authority over its decisions is a very effective way to approach this.

You know, I have lived in New York City for now almost 40 years. I know the horrific feeling that

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

one gets. I can only imagine what it is for the
families when unarmed civilians are killed or
severely injured by officers of the NYPD and I can
understand a good deal of the frustration with the
low concurrence rates between the department and the

agency and the CCRB.

But I think we are on the right path. I think we are a lot closer to addressing those problems in the Again, final authority would I think put us where we need to be but I you know, out of a deep respect for you Council Member and all that you have done, the ways in which you and your husband and others have put your lives on the line for the people in New York City in ways that haven't and could never dream of and would not probably have the courage to. And I am really appreciative of that. I just, the notion of an Elected CCRB and all the politics and all the money that could get involved in that for me personally is one that I would ask that we seriously consider not doing and just strengthening the current approach that we have.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Well, thank you for the acknowledgement of the work that we do for our people and also the advocates that are in this. But we do

2.2

have a disagreement fundamentally and the group that has presented this legislation to me as the prime sponsor was well aware of that and we went into discussions. My group and that group also to talk about the very things that you talked about. That there might be an opportunity for the union to try to construct that and it was something that they felt would be overridden by the people who are in the community and understanding no, this is a candidate that we are advancing because they have a track record in our community.

But thank you once again and we can continue to dialogue about that. Thank you so much. Thank you to the Chair. Thank you Madam.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Council Member. We will now turn to Council Member Rosenthal.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Thank you so much and thank you Chair and Chair Davie, it's an honor to be speaking with you and asking you questions. I want to ask two questions. One is a follow up from Chair Adams, who asked about the number of people — the attrition that you are seeing at CCRB. And then, at

one point I heard there are three classes during the same time.

I am curious, how many people are in a class?

And then, uhm, where has it left you net, net?

REVEREND DAVIE FREDERICK: John, I will turn that over to you.

JOHNATHAN DARCHE: So, the number of people in a class varies between E and 12 in recent years. In net, net we are probably going to be even after we hire the June class.

And one of the things we are looking for in the June class is the final number will depend on if any other people leave. They may allow us to hire more folks.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Right, right.

JOHNATHAN DARCHE: So, it's a work in progress.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Right and

hypothetically, if in the Executive Budget the Mayor added funds for staff, hypothetically it could run

another class after the June one or make it larger.

JOHNATHAN DARCHE: Correct.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Okay, great. My second question Chair Davie is for you. Over the past three years I have spent a lot of time looking

at the NYPD Special Victims division and have been very disappointed meeting after meeting after meeting when promises are made and not kept.

There are still cases, I am working with advocates where you know the survivor just doesn't want to proceed because they have been treated so badly. There was one recently where she was treated so badly, she left town and anyway, are those cases that could be brought before the CCRB?

REVEREND DAVIE FREDERICK: If a person in an encounter with an NYPD officer?

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Yes, a detective.

REVEREND DAVIE FREDERICK: I am sorry?

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Detective.

REVEREND DAVIE FREDERICK: So, I think our focus is on uniformed officers only but I am going to let John weigh in here. On the detective John?

JOHNATHAN DARCHE: So, we have jurisdiction over sworn members of services, which includes detectives. We have jurisdiction over excessive force, abuses of authority, discourtesy and offensive language and now also, untruthful statements.

So, if the conduct by the member of service is on duty conduct or they invoke their authority and it is

against a civilian, then it fits into one of those categories we have jurisdiction over.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Right, so if a detective did something like they are filling out a form with a survivor and says, uhm, you know, uh, are you okay with us closing your case because we haven't been able to do any calls with the perpetrator, with you and it is just not holding together.

I am making that up. That's probably a terrible example but you get where I am going. Like, just in the work of their doing their job. It's an abusive of their authority that they are making a recommendation that probably is not true. And the survivor does not know that. But upon working with an advocate, learns that in fact, the department is not doing enough and could be doing more. Could be investigating by looking at tape, video for example or calling other witnesses. But if the detective is not doing that, could that be a case?

JOHNATHAN DARCHE: So, I would have to really look at the individual facts that the civilian brought to us and we would of course listen and we have been training our investors in trauma informed interviewing and —

\_

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

JOHNATHAN DARCHE: Can I finish Madam Chair? So, we have sent 12 people on staff, we are sending another five soon but I think in the facts that you were describing, I don't know if that abuse of authority may be a discourtesy but we would have to look at each individual case that is brought to us and determine if it is in our jurisdiction.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Thank you. As a follow up, perhaps would it be okay if I work with some of the advocates who have a better you know, better examples than I have to see if you know, we should be thinking about CCRB?

REVEREND DAVIE FREDERICK: Yeah, I would say, if
I might Madam Chair, Council Member Rosenthal that
anyone who believes that they have been uhm, unfairly
treated by a member of service as Mr. Darche said,
should register a complaint with the CCRB and let us
sort out where it belongs.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Thank you Chair. Thank you Chair.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Council Members. I don't see any other Council Members with their hands raised, so I will turn it back to the Chair.

I have

questions from my colleagues, I will thank the panel.

JOHNATHAN DARCHE: Madam Chair, there is one

JOHNATHAN DARCHE: So, one of the issues that the

agency has is that sometimes documents are considered

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Thank you very much.

no further questions. If there are no further

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Go right ahead.

\_

2

3

4

5

6

issue.

7

/

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

1819

us.

20

21

22

23

24

sealed by the Police Department that we need in order to investigate cases. One of the items in the Dinkins plan calls on the state to exempt CCRB from a

lot of the sealing statutes that sometimes prevent us

from getting access to information. And I think that

is something that I urge you all to look at and

consider a whole new Resolution supporting the CCRB's

ability to access information in order to

successfully investigate matters that are in front of

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Dually noted. Thank you very much.

REVEREND DAVIE FREDERICK: Thank you for the time.

JOHNATHAN DARCHE: Thank you Madam.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Thank you very much. Okay, we are going to move on. We are moving onto MOCJ.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: I will now call on the panelists from the Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice. Before we begin testimony, I will administer the oath to all representatives of MOCJ who will be offering testimony or will be available for questions. Please raise your right hands. I will read the oath and call on each of you individually for a response.

Do you swear or affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth before this Committee and to respond honestly to Council Member questions? Marcos Soler Acting Director?

MARCOS SOLER: I do.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Deanna Logan Deputy Director of Crime Strategies?

DEANNA LOGAN: I do.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Dana Kaplan Deputy Director

DANA KAPLAN: I do.

of Justice Initiatives?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Eric Cumberbatch Deputy

Director from the Office of Neighborhood Safety?

ERIC CUMBERBATCH: I do.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: And Osvaldo Cruz Chief Financial Officer?

OSVALDO CRUZ: I do.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you very much. You may begin your testimony when ready Mr. Soler.

MARCOS SOLER: Thank you. Good afternoon Chair

Adams and members of the Committee on Public Safety.

My name is Marcos Soler and I'm the Chief of Staff

and Acting Director of the Mayor's Office of Criminal

Justice. I am joined here by Deanna Logan Deputy

Director for Crime Strategies, Dana Kaplan Deputy

Director for Justice Initiatives, Eric Cumberbatch

Deputy Director for the Office of Neighborhood Safety

and Osvaldo Cruz Chief Financial Officer. Thank you

for the opportunity to testify about MOCJ's budget

and priorities for this year.

MOCJ advises the Mayor on criminal justice policy and is the Mayor's representative to the courts, district attorneys, defenders, and state criminal justice agencies, among others. MOCJ designs, deploys and evaluates citywide strategies to promote public safety, reduce unnecessary enforcement and incarceration and improve fairness. MOCJ works with law enforcement, city agencies, not-for-profits,

foundations and the public to implement effective strategies that makes the City safer by improving system coordination.

Together with our partners, the fight to end gun violence in all city neighborhoods is our top priority for this year. This year has been particularly difficult and challenging for our City. With our partners and stakeholders, we sought to continue to operate our many programs and initiatives in the midst of a uniquely difficult year. We are incredibly grateful for the essential work that our providers and criminal justice stakeholders have performed during the public health emergency.

In conjunction with these partners, MOCJ helped mobilize a historic effort to ensure that the criminal justice system continued to function during this crisis. MOCJ worked with our partners across the justice system and used the broad resources and expertise of City government to navigate the challenge of maintaining safety during the pandemic. As the administration's primary representative to the courts, district attorneys, defenders and the state criminal justice agencies, MOCJ communicated feedback and ideas from these stakeholders to the mayor and

\_

2.2

other city agencies regarding court procedures, vaccine priority and health protocols, providing a crucial communication bridge that helped to facilitate the effective implementation of COVID-19 health and safety protocols.

As some in-person operations resumed, MOCJ has worked with stakeholders to plan for multiple grand juries to deliberate on felony indictments and some criminal jury trials. The MOCJ team is working and coordinating with City health agencies to clarify the screening guidelines and processes for minimizing COVID exposure in in-person operations.

Nearly a year into the pandemic, our working groups continue to meet on a weekly basis, serving as a critical touch point for updates, problem-solving and relationship building at a time when many of the usual pathways of communication within the criminal justice system remain unavailable.

In addition to our work to ensure continuity within the criminal justice system, our teams also conducted important education and community-based work during the pandemic. The Office to Prevent Gun Violence launched the media campaign, StayStrongNYC; probably you saw it, to encourage a culture of

community well-being during the public health emergency. The campaign emphasized that New Yorkers are fighting the pandemic together and included social media and digital media components. The campaign finished with a total reach of nearly 5 million, with more than 2.5 million people watching videos and nearly 18 million total impressions.

The Mayor's Action Plan for Neighborhood Safety quickly mobilized within its 15 NYCHA developments to meet community needs during the public health crisis.

MAP's Resident Volunteer Corps conducted more than 11,000 remote needs assessments and completed more than 7,000 food deliveries in MAP developments plus Jefferson and Johnson Houses in East Harlem during the course of the pandemic.

Additionally, the Office for the Prevention of
Hate Crimes worked to combat the deeply worrying
uptick in anti-Asian bias incidents and hate crimes
by creating a comprehensive interagency plan to
ensure that city agencies are effectively supporting
the Asian community.

This is part of our legacy. Over the last 7 years, the Mayor has made historic investments to promote safety, reduce unnecessary arrests and

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

incarceration and improve fairness in the criminal justice system. These investments continue to improve our criminal justice, we will continue during this year. I am going to talk a little bit about these investments with you.

What are we doing right in reducing unnecessary incarceration? In the recent years, we have seen significant changes in the criminal justice system. It all starts with the fact that New Yorkers are committing fewer crimes. For example, to use data prior years, from 2013 to 2019, the number of defendants was down 40 percent from more than 220,000 to less than 440,000. That's prior to the pandemic and we are using the number because we think it is more consistent. The same way the number of people re-arrested was also down 52 percent from 67,000 to 37,000. It starts with New Yorkers. provide further context around arrests and rearrests, it's important to note that historically, about 20 percent of arrests of docketed arrests had defendants with an open criminal case.

Approximately 50,000 New Yorkers right now have an open criminal case during any given month. Over 97 percent of these individuals are not re-arrested

and over 99 percent are not re-arrested on a violent felony in each month. These rates are similar across all the different programs that we run and whether a supervised release or others.

Reducing New York City's jail population is a key commitment of this administration and we have seen significant reductions in the City's jail population since the start of the administration. New York City currently has the lowest incarceration rate of all large cities in the United States and we have seen historic declines over the course of this administration. Alternatives to Incarceration, Supervised Release, and effective re-entry services are vital to the reduction of the city's jail population. Our commitment to close Rikers Island is also dependent upon continuing to reduce the jail population and we are all in our commitment.

Allow me to share a bit more about these programs and initiatives and how they continue to further MOCJ's goal to — sorry, not MOCJ, the city's goal to reduce unnecessary incarceration.

Alternatives to incarceration, Alternatives to Incarceration programs are court-mandated diversion programs that provide participants with supportive

2.2

New York City.

services in their communities instead of a jail or prison sentence. Alternatives to Incarceration programs are key components of the city's investment in reducing the court's reliance on incarceration.

MOCJ currently invests \$35 million in contracts.

Invested \$35 million in FY21 with 15 non-profit organizations and we run 24 ATI programs throughout

In 2017, the City increased its investments in ATI programs to serve approximately 5,500 people, as well as to provide additional behavioral health services to ATI participants and housing resources for women enrolled in ATI programs.

In 2020, with the passage of bail reform legislation, the City expanded its ATI programs even further to divert more people, as well as to provide additional supportive services to more fully address participants' needs.

Overall, the number of people served by ATI programs is expected to increase from 4,000 at the beginning of the administration to about 20,000 people over the next two years. During the COVID-19 pandemic, these programs were able to redirect many of these services to remote models, furnishing ATI

2.2

clients with cell phones and other means to engage in services safely during our public health emergency.

I want to talk to you about Supervised Release.

In 2016, the city launched Supervised Release
citywide, offering judges the option of releasing
appropriate and eligible defendants under specific
supervisory conditions in lieu of setting bail.
Supervised Release is designed to address the
likelihood to return to court. Defendants in
Supervised Release are required to report to program
case managers regularly and are offered reminders of
their court dates, case management support services
and voluntary connections to social services as
needed.

MOCJ contracts with three organizations at the present to provide supervised release citywide. The current overall value of these contracts is more than \$70 million and we will release an RFP for Fiscal '22.

We also do a lot of work that invests in reentry, a key mandate from the Mayor. Changes in practices of police and judges have meant that 43 percent fewer people left jails in 2019 than at the start of this administration and we anticipate that

2.2

number to fall to less than 14,000 by 2026. During this administration, we have seen some promising reductions in the return to jail, with re-offending falling to 36 percent. While this reduction is encouraging, the numbers of those who return are still too high. We are currently making significant investments in services and reshaping the way we deliver those services to ensure that they are effective. These investments and their effective deployment will be key in reducing the return rate further.

MOCJ has expanded its re-entry programming to improve transition and release planning and services. The City has invested \$20 million into this new programming, which builds upon the success of the Jails to Jobs re-entry services program that was launched in 2018. Upon release, interested individuals work with re-entry mentors who help facilitate all aspects of re-entry on an individualized basis. The re-entry mentors develop relationships with released individuals to encourage participation in relevant services and programs. The supports provided by this team of service providers include assistance locating temporary or permanent

2.2

stable housing, as well as other wraparound resources determined by the specific needs of each returning individual.

We anticipate that the case planning and coordination, combined with expanded service offerings and a stronger relationship will help to ease the path to a stable life outside of the criminal justice and outside custody and reduce the likelihood of return. As I said, reducing recidivism is one of our key goals.

Our providers are currently implementing these supports along with DOC and our non-profit partners. Awards have been recently made to 10 non-profit providers. In response to the pandemic, MOCJ's reentry teams and providers were able to mobilize to quickly re-structure their programming to provide remote services. Additionally, in order to maximize safety, MOCJ worked with agency and non-profit partners to stand up an entirely and set up an entire new set of services in under-enrolled hotels in NYC.

Beginning in late March 2020, MOCJ worked with the New York City Office of Emergency Management and non-profit partner Exodus to provide transitional housing to clients leaving jails. These hotels have

been vital to maintaining safety during the pandemic and we are incredibly proud of the work done by MOCJ and its providers to ensure that those leaving custody had a safe, secure place to go. There are four hotels.

MOCJ continues to work with DOC, DDC and other city partners to close Rikers Island and to implement a fairer, smaller jails plan across four boroughs. The updated completion date on full implementation is August 2027. MOCJ has been working with agency partners and stakeholders and members of different communities to provide updates and design workshops in all the communities where the new jails will be located. MOCJ and city agency partners have met regularly with City Council Members, Community Boards and local communities to help develop the designs for the new borough jails and to maintain communication channels among all the stakeholders. This work will continue until the end of this year and beyond.

I want to talk now briefly about our commitment as I said to our top priority to bring strong neighborhood to improve public safety and address the problem of gun violence.

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Research, evidence has shown us that strong neighborhoods are an essential component of a sustained improved public safety approach. Through the Office of Neighborhood Safety, Led by Deputy Director Eric Cumberbatch, which is comprised of the Mayor's Action Plan of Neighborhood Safety and the Office to Prevent Gun Violence, the administration has made important investments in supporting communities to help residents co-produce lasting public safety. The MAP program was launched in 2014 and currently operates in 15 NYCHA developments citywide that had historically experienced high crime rates. While the past year was challenging and unfortunately saw crime rise across the city and the nation, over the last seven years, the MAP program has proven to be an effective driver of change in communities. I will share a bit more about what the program does and how it altered to address the unique needs of residents during the pandemic and the other

crisis we are experiencing.

Housed within, as I said the Office of
Neighborhood Safety, the Mayor's Action Plan enlists
residents, City agencies and community-based partners
to help move beyond enforcement and address the

2.2

factors underlying safety. Through NeighborhoodStat,

MAP harnesses the collective expertise of residents,

government and community partners to drive change at

both the neighborhood and administrative levels.

MAP's work helped to develop the strong community

infrastructure that allowed NeighborhoodStat to

remote to successfully respond to the challenges of

the pandemic.

In addition to the investments in building strong neighborhoods, lasting public safety also requires investing in non-enforcement methods of interrupting cycles of violence. This is the work primarily of the Office to Prevent Gun Violence that employs a multi-pronged approach to improve public safety by interrupting the cycles that lead to gun violence and address a culture and ultimately foster the violence.

The Office to Prevent Gun Violence launched in 2017 and work started really to begin in the administration in 2014 and works to address gun violence through a shift in social norms and the work of community members in mediating disputes to prevent shootings and conflicts that might lead to shootings. The core component of Office to Prevent Gun Violence's work is through the Crisis Management

2.2

System, as you probably have heard, which deploys teams of credible messengers, community members whose backgrounds allow them to connect with and motivate at-risk individuals to 22 sites where they mediate conflicts on the street and New Yorkers to services that can create peace and support healing.

These include a year round employment program, mental health services, trauma counseling and other opportunity-centered resources. COVID-19 presented a unique challenge, which the CMS workforce mobilized to meet. We understand how difficult it is for a program that needs to sign to meet face to face to operate under these conditions but still lots of things happen.

CMS providers serve some of the first wave's hardest-hit communities and were able to leverage relationships in these communities to help encourage public health mandates at the height of the crisis. As a part of this work, CMS has successfully distributed PPE throughout catchment areas, as well as provided guidance and encouragement around social distancing and COVID-19 testing. This essential work was a core component of the City's eventual success at flattening the curve and was performed while

2.2

continuing to conduct core Cure Violence work and responding to other crises brought on by the pandemic, including food distribution and the delivery to vulnerable persons of the communities.

As mentioned previously, in order to address the unique challenges this year has presented in our communities the CMS work will double this year.

That's a commitment from the Mayor and as you heard yesterday, we also have committed to implement advance peace.

Very briefly we will tell you have called Atlas developed to — it is a voluntary program that is going to deal with and connects court-involved individuals to employment, social and therapeutic services to make sure that we are effective.

I would like to conclude to say and our hope is the public safety is a fundamentally coproduction that the city engages with the citizens. New Yorkers, as I said before, are the most important factor in maintaining and improving our city's public safety. While we are striving towards a more fair and just criminal justice system, we believe that the advancements that we have made over the last seven years have fundamentally transformed the way that

\_ -

justice works in New York City. New Yorkers are key components of that transformation and we are looking forward to continuing this progress over the next year.

Thank you for the opportunity to present this testimony on MOCJ's behalf and I am happy to answer questions with members of my team. Thank you so much.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Chair Adams.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Thank you so much Mr. Soler for that extensive opening. I really do want to congratulate MOCJ before I start with my questions. The work that you do is absolutely exponential with just 62 as a part of your headcount I believe. It is absolutely amazing the work that you are able to accomplish in behalf of this city with such a low headcount.

So, I just wanted to put that out there and thank you all for your great efforts in the work that you are doing and will continue to do. I was really interested Mr. Soler, you mentioned and I would like for you to speak to this point, uhm, you mentioned in your testimony a minute ago the record reduction of crime number, of the number of crimes committed.

Yet, the NYPD in their testimony this morning continues to blame bail reform for the spike in crime. Can you just speak to that?

MARCOS SOLER: Here is what I would say Madam
Chair and thank you for the opportunity to partner
with the Council. MOCJ operates across all parts of
the criminal justice system as you know. We look at
crime holistically. Crime has many different
elements particularly in minor crimes etc. In terms
of overall crime, the city under the leadership of
Mayor de Blasio continues to be at historic lows when
compared to other jurisdictions. The city since the
beginning of May of 2020, have experience as many
other cities in the country and nationwide in gun
violence crisis.

The crisis that we experience is very focused around gun violence. It is not as extrapolate to all other crimes. We have seen as I said, a significant reduction, it started obviously many years ago but it has accelerated under this administration. So, what I wanted to highlight is the fact that many New Yorkers when we look at the numbers are committing fewer crimes than they were committing before and we know the public safety starts with the work that

3

4

5

6

/

8

9

10

11

13

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

people do with the fact that New Yorkers are less willing commit less crime.

We also know that for those who are involved in the system, where we look is to provide strategies and innovations that work. This is why all the array of programs that I have talked about and that's the important thing for me. More than the debate about you know the current crisis of crime. What are we going to do and how we are going to innovate. That's my drive. This is why I provide you with the programs that we do and all of them deal with the same issues. We want to reduce reoffending. We want to provide alternatives outside the criminal justice system. That is the goal of my office. That's the goal of this administration. I know that Mayor de Blasio knows and has pushed this office to continue to be innovative, creative and execute this year of his administration and we will try to do that until the end.

And programs that are sustainable beyond this administration because these are worthy programs. As I said, all the programs that I described.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Okay, thank you. I also want to note that this is the first time that we are

2.2

hearing from MOCJ that you plan to release an RFP related to Supervised Release for FY22. I would like to know if that means that we can expect to or that you are expecting to expand contracts awards beyond the three current providers and what you expect the total budget to be? How many people you plan to reach.

MARCOS SOLER: So, let me frame it this way. As I said before, the administration made a commitment in 2016 to create this program. I think the program is a success. It's not part of the reform. We used different metrics to evaluate as we do all the other programs. We are launching an RFP precisely because we think a competitive process is what is needed.

Obviously, I am not going to compromise the process, the contractual process by saying to you whether or not there will be three or 20 providers. This is what we will do. We will have an RFP for every borough. We will expect to have as many people interested and submitting proposals as possible.

In terms of the commitment, the Mayor has spoken several times about the commitment in dollar amount. The fact that we are investing in \$70 million this year is an indication that of the commitment of the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Mayor. The budget is a bit higher for Fiscal Year '22, as we expect certainly and we have made some projects than have been disrupted obviously by the pandemic but if our projections are adequate, the budget will increase. We are very careful. We are spending taxpayers dollars. We are tying very much of work to the number of people that we serve. So, I don't want to offer either a - we are going to offer millions of millions of dollars. What we are saying is, we are connecting to the work that we do to how effective that work is and certainly the administration has committed as I said, a substantial amount of money to support that work. And that work is pretty much essential as you know to the jail population reduction.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Okay, alright, so okay. So, let's jump into the budget. Uhm and talk about pay parity for public defense. During Fiscal 2020 budget negotiations, the Council successfully fought for pay parity across many public sectors including for indigent defense providers. The November 2019 plan included \$3.7 million for pay parity indigent defense providers baseline contracts that are managed by MOCJ. Pay parity for indigent defense providers was

2.1

2.2

to be implemented in two phases and would be retroactive to July 1, 2019 for attorneys with less than five years of experience.

Although the funding was recognized in the indigent defense budget over a year ago, as of March 2021, the providers contracts have yet to be amended by MOCJ and this is unacceptable. What is the plan to uphold the administration's commitment and to amend the contract and disburse funds to the providers and as soon as possible?

MARCOS SOLER: So, let me say to your comment, that this is unacceptable and we will certainly address these. Deanna Logan, who is my Deputy Director for Crime Strategies and our General Counsel will know the details much more than me and she will be able to address your direct question but certainly, I understand that you find this unacceptable and you have my commitment to address this issue.

DEANNA LOGAN: Good afternoon Chair Adams. Thank you for the question and we have been working with OMB because we do understand and we are committed to making this initiative happen over the four year period. The specifics of those discussions and how

2.2

we are going to accomplish that, I would give Osvaldo
Cruz, our Chief Financial Officer the opportunity to

discuss that but I want to make clear that that

5 commitment to parity, the four year process, that was

6 done for not only indigent defense but also across

7 | the criminal justice system with the DA's is

something that the administration is in fact

9 committed to. Ozzie.

OSVALDO CRUZ: Good afternoon Madam Chair. Yeah, so we have received proposals from the different indigent defense providers that we are working with OMB on implementing. As Marcos and Deanna stated we are in the process of working with OMB to get the I want to say the clearance to go ahead and make the formal adjustments in the different contracts and we expect decisions on those directions to come very soon. We have been in communication with OMB often since having to see the funding, it took some time for us I want to say collect the information and share it across the parties that were involved. And I think we are close. We are very close to having the direction that we need to move forward with these amendments.

\_

2.2

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Well, with all due respect, it has been over a year. Uhm, who can provide us with a timeline? I think that's a fair question. What does the timeline look like. Close isn't a timeline. Are we looking at a month? Are we looking at six months? Are we looking at 12 months? Can we get a little bit closer with specificity on this timeline?

OSVALDO CRUZ: Yeah, I think if you allow us to get back to you, we can produce the specificity that you are looking for as far as that action.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Okay, I will look forward to that response then.

Okay, it is also our understanding that the salary adjustments only impact attorney's working on MOCJ's baseline contracts. Why are attorney's working on state and Council discretionary funded contracts excluded?

MARCOS SOLER: Ossie please address if you know the answer. I don't know, apologies, I am not familiar with the technical aspects. Obviously, after you have made it very clear that this unacceptable, I am going to get into the details but

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

1314

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

23

24

25

my team should be able to address this. Ossie, can you please address.

OSVALDO CRUZ: I am sorry, can you please repeat the question Madam Chair, apologies.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Sure, salary adjustments as we understand, the salary adjustments only impact attorney's working on MOCJ's baselined contracts.

Why are attorney's working on state and Council discretionary funded contracts excluded?

OSVALDO CRUZ: As I am sure you understand the funding sources. So, the agreement has been between the city and I want to say the providers for the City Tax Levy resources that we put towards the adjustments that were made related to salary parity. We have been in discussions with the state who bear a significant amount of the cost for the provision of indigent defense services to meet us or to come closer to what the salary parity - salary adjustments have shown us to be the numbers. And again, it's something that I think we are moving closer towards with the state but given the fact that these contracts are mix funded, the decisions are made to address the city tax levy portions of the contracts with the providers.

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Okay. I will move on. 3 we talk a little bit about the Points of Agreement as part of the closure of Rikers Island? Council and the Administration negotiated a POA for \$391 million 5 in investments for criminal justice reform, including 6 7 a \$254 million in citywide investments and \$137 million in district investments. The Council 8 understand that these investments will be funded with 9 a combination of new funding and existing resources. 10 The Council has also requested that the 11 12 Administration provide a clear answer on when new 13 funding will be added to the budget and how much 14 funding is currently dedicated to these new 15 initiatives.

So far we have not gotten that clear answer. how much of the total \$391 million for POA investments is funded in the Fiscal 2022 Preliminary Budget? And will MOCJ update its beyond Rikers commitment tracker to reflect these investments?

MARCOS SOLER: So, my colleague Dana Kaplan is the one who is leading this effort in the office. think she will be able to provide you with the specific details that you are looking for. Go ahead Dana.

DANA KAPLAN: Good afternoon Madam Chair and thank you to the Council for the continued focus on our joint commitment to close Rikers.

So, obviously as you know, yes, the Points of Agreement document is something that is very important. Was something that the Council and the Administration worked very, very hard on and reflects a series of key commitments and investments both at the neighborhood level and in justice investments.

To answer your last question first, in terms of the update of the tracker, yes, we are working on that right now. We put the tracker on our website I think in early 2020 and it was with a commitment to do an annual update, so that there could be a public way of reporting out on the status of every single one of the Points of Agreement commitments including the status of implementation and operationalization and the extent that obviously a significant number of them have a Fiscal component where they are in the city budget.

So, that review right now is underway. Our office is of course the Points of Agreement spans many, many, many city agencies and many city agency budgets. And so, we have been working across the

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Administration to make sure that we have an accurate understanding of where each of those items are and it will be updated on our website in short order.

So, in the very near future, I would say in the coming weeks obviously, we will make sure that you are notified when that is available publicly but it will be available on the MOCJ website. I think at that point, I don't off hand right now have the total number of what the investments are across the entire Points of Agreement document because that is the kind of granular review process that we are doing right now. So, I would have to get back to you on that collective number. I will say that as it relates to the justice investment commitments and where things stand you know, in that regard, we have certainly been continuing to move ahead with some of the key programmatic components. Obviously, as Marcos spoke about the commitments to Supervised Release, the expanded alternatives to incarceration programming. The community-based reentry services were all some of the key things that we're committed to in the Points of Agreement document and that are now in place.

There is a transitional housing RFP that is forthcoming imminently to ensure that we move ahead

2021.

2	with the commitment to expand transitional housing
3	citywide. I will say that there are a small number
4	of items that have been delayed and I can speak to
5	each one of those. But I know Council Member Levin
6	asked about the status of the restorative justice
7	earlier in the hearing and you know, heard from Dean
8	Fuleihan directly that the commitment to fund that
9	remains and I can say that across the board, that if
10	there is any item that has been delayed in the Points
11	of Agreement document, and again, I think it's a
12	small number at this point but we are committed to
13	seeing those items funded. That is something that we
14	will move ahead with during this Administration and
15	the commitment to the Points of Agreement document
16	overall remains unchanged from this administration.
17	CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Okay, great. Thank you Dana
18	and along those lines and just to talk a little bit
19	about Project Reset. It's our understanding that
20	MOCJ has communicated with the Center for Court
21	Innovation that it is supportive of citywide
22	expansion of the pre-arraignment program Project
23	Resect. Which they provide \$3.24 million in one time
24	for in Fiscal 2020 but it was not included in FY

The DA's are incredibly supportive of this program, as a successful diversion program and it was unfortunate that this funding wasn't renewed just as programs were getting off the ground. Can MOCJ commit to funding this program as part of the \$14 million commitment made for expansion of prearraignment diversion program in the POA?

DANA KAPLAN: So, as you noted, there was specific funding for Reset that was a one time commitment. And of course in the COVID fiscal crisis, because that funding had not been baselined, that was not funding that was able to be secured again. Although we remain supportive obviously of the work of Project Reset and of that pre-arraignment diversion generally, as you noted, there is \$3.5 million of funds that are committed to for diversion including at pre-arraignment diversion that is in the Points of Agreement document. And that is one of the items that has been delayed but the Administration is committed to.

And so, we are optimistic that that funding for diversion generally is something that we will see move ahead and we will be able to support the types of diversion programs that Reset has funded.

2.

2.2

I will say just specific to Reset, Project Reset was a specific program that there are a couple of providers, such as the Center for Court Innovation that obviously currently provides those services. We are very supportive of you know, the nonprofit providers that are you know, working on that program and have really been leaders in that regard.

As it relates to the funding of the diversion program, we will have to work through — or the diversion funding, we will have to work through what a procurement process may look like and it maybe likely a competitive procurement process. So, I can't say specifically that one nonprofit provider would ultimately receive funding for those services but the commitment to ensure that we have prearraignment diversion is something that we are supportive of. There is that allocation or that commitment in the Points of Agreement document.

I think that to the extent that there has been a gap in that recently, that is something that we will look to fill and can work on again, what a competitive procurement process would look like towards that. As well as I think and I would defer to Council Member Levin on this but I know that the

2.2

original intention of that diversion funding was not

just to have it fund just the types of program that

Reset does but to also think about diversion at all

5 sorts of different stages of the continuum.

And so, I think we want to really explore in conjunction with the Council, not just where that might be able to address some of the holes that are produced by the fact that Reset isn't funded citywide. But also, how can that funding ultimately support diversion across the board.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Yeah, I wouldn't disagree with that at all. I would agree with everything you said. I wanted to find out where we were specifically because I do know that it was successful and it was something, is something that the DA's definitely do support. So, thank you for that.

Okay, let's talk a little bit about the Crisis

Management system and antigun violence work. The

recent upticks in shootings have been in communities

where CMS is present. A recent report released by

MOCJ shows a 97 percent increase in shootings in 2020

as compared to 2019. Some of the highest levels in

the city as seen in more than ten years, which we

have spoken with NYPD earlier today.

All the top ten precincts with the most shootings in 2020 received CMS funding. In fact, in Fiscal 2020, these precincts received \$16.4 million, which is nearly half of all funding. And despite this, all experienced an increase in shootings from 2019 levels. Why are we seeing a rise in shootings in these communities that receive the majority of CMS funding? And what does this say about CMS? Additionally, what is MOCJ doing to address the recent uptick in shootings in these communities?

MARCOS SOLER: So, if you allow me, I am going to address first and then allow Eric Cumberbatch, the real expert on these but I want to say two things.

One is, we have documented and we have also partnered with other external resources that have shown the effectiveness of CMS from 2013 to 2019. That is documented there.

The CMS sites were more effective in reducing gun violence than areas where we didn't have gun violence. Not only that, we did another type of analysis that shows that neighborhoods that don't have CMS, we saw property values going up. We saw sales values, sales taxes going up in those neighborhood. We know then CMS was effective.

2.2

As I said before, we are leaving a crisis, a public health pandemic crisis but also national crisis where older communities in the United States are experiencing significant increases in gun violence. 51 out of 50 top cities in the United States have experienced increases in gun violence.

We have seen some indications that at least when it comes to shootings, that trend is continuing in 2021, less so for murders but certainly, we are concerned. But are focus is in the last part that you have indicated and I am going to let Eric talk about the why. Which is what we can do and the strategy comes from doubling the workforce, as the Mayor proposed and advancing his state of the city. We believe that it comes from advance pieces, he had bunch yesterday. Increased from implemented all of the strategies that we think ultimately as Atlas, we think addresses the problem of gun violence and violent crime in the city.

But it is very difficult under these conditions to operate. Eric knows very well some of the factors in those areas. Those areas were we found high levels of shootings and gun violence in 2010 when we started this work and continue to be the

neighborhoods that are most impacted. So, please Eric certainly address the question. Thank you.

ERIC CUMBERBATCH: Sure, thank you Marcos. Can you guys hear me? Can you guys hear me?

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Yes.

ERIC CUMBERBATCH: Okay, thank you Marcos and good afternoon Chair Adams. It is good to see you.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Good afternoon, good to see you.

ERIC CUMBERBATCH: Thank you. I would add that you know where in those neighborhoods for the reasons that you brought up, we are not — these things aren't happening by accident and if you look historically, it's always been these ten neighborhoods that suffer from many inequalities that ultimately often result and have violent outcomes.

So, you know when we talk about a pandemic, a state of social unrest and this generations criminal justice and just the struggle around humanity. All of these disruptions that occur in the communities that we serve is some of the most vulnerable communities and you compound that. And put it on top of each other, I think what we have seen is a fracture of the supports and networks and systems

2.

that bolster individuals and bolster community uhm, that we have never really recognized as playing a part in public safety efforts.

I am talking about having face to face contact with teachers, mentors, community centers. I am talking about having money to go to grocery stores, employment opportunities, even face to face contact. So, many stressors in the most vulnerable areas at one time, you know we saw an uptick. And it is not something that's just a New York City issue, I think we have seen this and we tracked the data. This is happening across the country.

What we are doing and have been doing, we track every single shooting in New York City. As shootings occur in these areas, we ensure that our sites are deployed. That they are properly resourced to be effective. When we engage with victims or victims families, we link them to victim services and other support networks and really look to build a sense of resiliency and restore that in community so that community members aren't just left to business as usual when these shooting incidents happen but they actually join us as part of the healing of a community and neighborhood.

2.

The Mayor announced the doubling of CMS. We are very grateful to have that type of announcement and right now, we want to work in a very inclusive fashion with the CMS partners to really have a data driven approach on what that doubling would look like. So that we can roll out in the next fiscal year with even more resources in these areas.

I will say a lot about what efforts or to go further upstream. We look at you know violence in crime data only in a lot of these spaces but really, what we want to do is get very much deeper as close to and if not root causes. And really look at systems that allow violence to perpetrate or exist and flourish and really create environments that are healthy and promote healing and safety for individuals, so that we don't have violence.

So, that's what we have seen and that's what we are doing. All of our work is evidence-based and/or informed by evidence and steeped into injury prevention and public health efforts. And you know, we are a small team but we are all over the city and we are working these neighborhoods as hard as we can at this point.

\_

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Yeah, Eric and of course you know uhm, I applaud you for your work. Your colleagues for your work. I say nobody does it better than you and your colleagues out there.

ERIC CUMBERBATCH: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Thank you. You actually went someplace that I want to expand on. A couple of places that you just took me. Is there any and maybe Marcos has this answer. Is there any analysis and evaluation of CMS to share?

MARCOS SOLER: I am happy to share the analysis that we have done. There are some public data. It has already been out there but I will definitely share with you the analysis that we have been doing for the last 18 months in partnership with John Jay and people from the University of Chicago. We will definitely share those analysis, that data with you specifically. It might need some update but we will share immediately what we have.

I will say two more things because this is to the credit of Eric and his innovation. One of the things that the Mayor announced is the joint force to end gun violence. Part of that is the implementation.

The invigorating of cease fire but also the

2.2

implementation of something that the city has not tried in this current format, which is piloting the notion of shooting reviews very hyper targeted shooting reviews in specific areas which are going to tell us most about the question that you asked before which is the drivers of violence.

We think that that is going to be important because it is not just about individuals but it is also about what is happening in those places. What is happening in those networks and I think Eric and my office will certainly play a key role in pushing forward this mission.

The other thing I wanted to highlight from our response to the analysis that we do is as Eric indicated, for us it is always evidence driven. We are not happy where we are. I confess that I think as Eric indicated, the very essence of evidence-based strategies to cure violence requires to meet. So, certainly the pandemic continues. We are going to need to have a much more — other to try other alternatives to tackle the problem and to compliment what the city is doing in terms of enforcement to make sure that we continue to have very robust

community driven strategies to reduce gun violence.

So, that is what we will try to do during this year.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Okay great and again, just to touch on something else that Eric just mentioned and that was the doubling. So, let's talk a little bit about that, the expansion of CMS. The Mayor announced in the 2021 State of the City Address, that the CMS workforce would be doubled. The total funding for the crisis management system for Fiscal 2021 is \$42 million. Of which the Administration provided \$40 million. In addition to the Council's discretionary funding allocation of \$2.9 million.

I am aware that the RFP was cancelled, so why doesn't the administration plan to release an RFP for this expansion? It's been over ten years since there has been an RFP for crisis management. Rather the contracts are just continuously extended and extended and extended what is MOCJ doing to ensure that new community-based organizations are considered for CMS funding? And what exactly does doubling the workforce mean? How many people will be hired? Is this expansion for Cure Violence only or for all CMS programming?

2.

MARCOS SOLER: So, sorry, I am taking notes to make sure I address your two questions. So, the reason why we did not have an RFP. There is a complex reason but there are two elements that I want to highlight. The first one is, as I said, we are in the middle of a public health pandemic. We are in the middle of a legitimacy crisis. We are in the middle of a crisis. We are in the middle of a crisis that is effecting the city.

We thought that this moment was not the right moment to, after re-evaluated to launch the RFP. We have the RFP ready. We are working on the RFP. We are trying to figure out how to create a competitive process as you have indicated that can include additional partners into this process. So, it's not that we have completely abandoned the idea of an RFP on a competitive process. It's just that we have a very clear direct emergency, as I said, in these four areas that we felt it was important to address immediately and to continue these services. So, we don't have a situation during the summer similar to the past summer.

Additionally, as you know the Mayor, obviously as you know is happening in one day come up with the

2.2

idea that wanted to work expansion. That we needed to figure out how to have mechanisms to structure these. I think this addresses your second question. The idea of how to double the workforce is obviously connected to what we have already done. We have announced to the vendors, to all the providers that we are extending the current contracts and what we are going to do is work with them. Obviously through the procurement processes of the city to work the specific details of what doubling the force and workforce means, right?

Because as you know, every organization has different structures. I cannot simply say to you straight forward okay, everybody is getting this number of people. Everybody is getting this number of dollars. Every organization is now structuring that simply way. Every organization has a different model.

So, what we are doing is evaluating all those budgets from those organizations. We are trying to come up with a model, an allocation model that makes sense based as Eric indicated in terms of priorities, in terms of where the need is highest. Where we have the most important emergency and what we are trying

2.2

to do is to adhere to this notion of definitely doubling the workforce to make sure that right now we have 5,300 people working on CMS. We can say effectively in the summer, providers will have the resources to hire an equal amount of greater of individuals working on CMS.

But we want to work with the providers and Eric can talk more if necessary is what that means specifically right. Is it, do you want to invest more on public health or a mental health need? Do you want more actual bodies? Do you want to expand the catchment areas. Those are the very specific details that Eric is leading and we, as I said, don't think with 24 providers is a simple you know answer. We are trying to make sure that we get this right. As providers often tell us, it's not just about the quantity but it is also about the quality of the work and what we are trying to make sure is that we address with them the quality.

Eric, did I miss anything that you want to address sir?

ERIC CUMBERBATCH: I think you covered it well.

Uhm, we don't want to be prescriptive in this roll

out and we want to be in tune with the needs of each

individual community as we think about resources and roll out resources in these areas. So, we want it to be informed by the community itself.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Okay, I think that's fair.

Alright and touching on the force to end gun

violence. As was mentioned, the Mayor announced in

2021 State of the City Address to launch the launch

of the New York City joint force to end gun violence

to address the national surge in gun violence. This

working group will receive — will review shootings

that work to address underlying dynamics involved in

gun violence and create better communication between

law enforcement and antigun violence groups.

What exactly is MOCJ's role in the joint force to end gun violence and how will the group measure success?

MARCOS SOLER: So, let me tell you where I think our role was. We, as I said before, we are the advisors to the Mayor on public policy. We had a very important another unique role in advancing these ideas and you saw in the joint force. Obviously, we tried to be innovative for the Mayor and there are multiple partners in City Hall and other city agencies that has helped us to put this together.

2.2

Our role is going to be ultimately determine in this partnership. That's the way we always do MOCJ. We are doing this partnership with a bunch of different people. We don't have personally; I don't have any desire to be the leader of anything. I like to work with everybody. We are trying to work exactly on who will be leading these efforts, where it will housed and other decisions like that but what I can tell you is, our Office of Neighborhood Safety will be part of these. Our Office of Crime Strategies will be part of these. Our resource team will be very much involved in what you are describing.

How do we develop a specific metric and allow us to tell what is success. So, how do I measure success of this program? We are doing something that we have seen in other jurisdictions which we think has been successful has been measured in many different ways. There are two metrics that for me is important. Certainly, it is once we implement these, is obviously whether or not at the end of the day whether gun violence goes up or down where we implement.

The second metric is much more important to me or as important I should say, which is what are the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

lessons to learn from all of these? Does it really become a structure where the city is learning, not just Police Department is learning, where MOCJ is learning, where multiple agencies, DOC, DOP etc. is learning from this experience. That experience can be shared and as a result of that, we have a different approach to the way we do gun violence. That to me is the essence of sharing reviews. one of the multiple strategies that we have there. We want to do network analysis to understand the relationships between folks who are involved, the individuals who are involved in gun violence. want to invigorate as I said a cease fire, another strategy that we think has been very powerful. want to certainly figure out other strategies, the strategies that we might have not implemented today but we think might be useful.

This is an opportunity for us again, to try to create innovations. To try to bring to the table ideas that today, we don't have. Gun violence is not aesthetic problem. It is a dynamic problem as we have experienced. The city as you know, just two years ago, have the lowest level of murder — the lowest murder rates since 1940's. All of a sudden

2.2

that changed. Why? There are multiple facts but what I can say is we certainly need to always innovate. If we are just happy with what we do, we are not going to come up with something and innovate and to try to be effective.

So, the purpose of the joint force is to figure out how we can push ourselves to the limit. How we create these working groups that works better. How we can me more cooperative and how ultimately we can more effective. That's how I measure success for this program.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Okay, thank you. I am going to touch on one more area before I bring my colleagues into this. Let's talk about rethinking incarceration. MOCJ has been at the center of the interagency efforts to decarcerate and to reduce intake to our city's jails, especially at the start of COVID-19.

MOCJ was instrumental in implementing the 6A program. Negotiating with state parole to overcome barriers to release for individuals held only on state technical parole violations. Assuring that otherwise homeless individuals have a safe place to stay upon release and bridging communication gaps

2.2

between the released individuals and service providers. I am sad to see recent reports that show the jail population is now rising back up to numbers we saw prior to the pandemic. Moreover, 52 percent have serious felony cases. 54 percent have a mental health diagnosis and one in five have a gang affiliation. Most concerning to me is that over 75 percent of people have had prior admission. current population is presenting serious systemic challenges.

As the city's lead on shaping criminal justice policy and programs and given the increased rate of people in security risk groups in the city's jails. What is MOCJ doing to reduce gang violence and gang related crimes?

MARCOS SOLER: So, Eric if you want to take this question. I will just say one very brief thing is our commitment, our specific commitment to reducing the jail population and at the same time, achieve greatest you know, higher levels of public safety, has now changed. We would say that in 2014, the Mayor said that clearly. They have helped to shape that message. We are committed to the goal of both,

2.2

as I said before, reducing gun violence and reducing incarceration.

What we have experienced is as you have indicated, a very clear problem of gun violence increased which obviously can be clear. You can see it clearly in the jail population. We know that the jail population has gone up about 800 people since last year primarily driven by folks who are there for murder, for gun violence, for gang related activities.

That is the reality. We think that all these strategies that we are doing together are the strategies that ultimately are going to bring us to the goal to which we know we are committed and we know that we can achieve, which is the 3,300 by 2026. So, we can implement fully the safer, smaller, fairer jails.

But what I can say is, there is no one simple solution to the problem of gun violence, gang violence and certainly that in the jails but Eric, I know we have tried to implement certain initiatives, if you could develop a little more of what we are specifically doing with the jail population and gang violence?

towards that gang violence piece and you know, I just want to put it in context. Often times people that reside in dense areas, when there is acts of violence, it gets attributed or considered gang violence as opposed to people that live in a specific area and the complications that comes with untangling and unpacking what is really structured gang violence versus disputes and other types of things that result in violence.

So, I just want to make a clear delineation that there maybe people that are categorized as in gangs that may have exhibited or have exhibited violent behavior. It doesn't necessarily link it to actual gang related, gang or in gang shootings or that type of violence, although that does occur.

Uhm, I would say a lot of what we are doing is positive network building amongst young people that are most at risk or have risk factors for violence. One of the key initiatives that we have rolled out and you know as the city really slowed down or was impacted by COVID, we were launching youth led campaigns. Peer to peer messaging that really spoke about young people creating their own narrative

2.

around exhibiting positive behaviors, making peace actionable and being stewards of their own community.

Our antigun violence employment program where we work with outreach workers in neighborhoods to actually identify who our young people with risk factors that we need to bring closer to us and give them leadership responsibilities and show that we actually want to invest in them because we care about their lives. And to see the transition of when we care about young people, how they then care about others and so forth.

Our outreach workers and violence interrupters
that do great work already. So, violence
interrupters really mediating and mitigating just
levels of violence in community or different disputes
that may be taking place. Getting in between it and
really problem solving very granular issues.

Outreach workers that do great work, identifying
people who have gaps and needs in their lives and
ensuring that there is resources that are culturally
appropriate and competent for individuals to get the
type of supportive networks and get to a place of
resilience where we don't see some of these things
happening that are being mentioned.

So, I think there is a lot that we are doing.

There is a lot more that definitely can be done and I am very excited about the expansion. I think that will give us more energy and greater reach for these types of efforts to continue to grow.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: I agree, I agree. Just a couple more questions from me. And one of them has to do with mental health needs and we know that incarceration is not the answer for addressing behavioral mental health needs. What programs does the city need to invest in so that Rikers Island does not become, I am going to say but does not continue to be a mental health care provider?

MARCOS SOLER: I would like Dana to please address that since obviously she knows much more about this than me.

DANA KAPLAN: Sure. So, yes, you know, I think that since the Behavioral Health Taskforce many years ago, we have still been focused on a number of — implementing a number of different strategies that are specifically targeted around diversion of people who have mental health issues from the justice system and specifically from incarceration.

I would say you know, obviously these programs and diversions exist at all stages of the system.

So, there has certainly been work more recently that is about you know, diversion from either in the front end in terms of arrest and diversion centers and the recent pilots that have been initiated in this regard.

As it relates to diversion from the point of detention specifically, one of the things that we have been focused on is within our alternative to incarceration providers and within our supervised release providers, making sure that there are appropriate referral points to mental health supports and social workers. Such that those programs can be relevant and effective for people with mental health concerns and considerations.

So, that has certainly been one of our strategies, is we know that there might be particular considerations that judges might have in referring someone to Supervised Release. As an example, if they don't feel as though or the appropriate referral points in you know, supports at the neighborhood and community level to be able to meet those specific

2.

needs and a number of our providers have that expertise, which is very welcomed.

There is also of course, you know there is a new RFP or it's not new but DOHMH has been focused on the expansion of justice JISH beds to provide particular supportive housing for people who might have a level of need that goes beyond what is available in other transition housing or other long-term housing placements. And so, certainly, the JISH bed expansion has been a long piece of this.

And then, ultimately long-term, I think that you know there is an effort both to ensure again, that at every stage of the system we are diverting people as appropriate and not utilizing detention for people who can safely be supervised elsewhere but also focused on when people are in detention what is a more appropriate way to meet those needs.

And so, as you know connected towards the long-term plan to close Rikers, as you know Council

Members may know obviously, there is also the focus on increasing the capacity in health and hospital facilities to be able to serve 200 individuals who are remanded to detention but essentially would be better served in a more therapeutic environment.

د ک

So, Correctional Health Services is moving ahead with the procurement and design of those beds within hospital facilities and so again, when we talk about kind of you know once people are in detention and at all stages in the system, we are looking towards how we can better serve that community.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Thank you Dana. Thank you Marcos and thank you Eric. I am going to let my colleagues in. Counsel.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: First up will be Council

Member Barron followed by Council Member Levin.

Before we turn to Council Member Barron, I just want
to thank everyone from the public who is patiently
waiting. I know we are a little behind schedule. We
have the indigent defense providers coming up next
and I just want to thank everybody. We have a lot to
get through. We will get to everyone who wants to
testify today.

And with that, I will turn to Council Member Barron.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thank you Madam Chair and to the host and to the panel for being here. I just have a few questions. First to start out, this whole

so-called criminal justice system had its beginnings as we said a little earlier, in the carceral system to re enslave Africans. Those who were running from slavery to re enslave them. To capture them and send them back or once the 13<sup>th</sup> amendment was passed to find a way to fill the jails and get slave labor.

So, often times there is a perpetuation of that concept when people are criminalized or put in jails who are really seemingly petty misdemeanors or crimes.

So, that is in fact the basis of how the system started and we in fact at this point have what Martin Luther King called domestic colonies. In as much as we get very limited services coming in and the labor is extracted and taken out to the larger system.

My question, it regards those who are found to have been wrongfully convicted. I heard you mention your Jails to Jobs program and I would like you to perhaps speak briefly more about that, so I can be better informed but for those who have wrongfully convicted, I am proposing legislation that says those who were found to have been wrongfully convicted should be entitled to have the state pay whatever

2.2

child support may have accrued during that time and that they not be burdened with that obligation.

And secondly, that those who have been found to have been wrongfully convicted will in fact be able to be entitled to extensive social services and I did hear you talk about a program that helps get housing and other kinds of services.

So, I want to know what do you think about those two pieces of legislation and then just also in terms of MOCJ, the work that is being done through the Cure Violence Programs. I have MAN UP. MAN UP is really the model for all that is being done because they started without any kind of funding, with just with a commitment to help increase the peace that existed on the streets and to help deflect any kinds of disputes that would lead to violence.

So, they are the model. They are the originals and they have been doing that for years. They did that for years with no funding, just out of their own commitment. So, looking at what they are doing. I think that as you look to say we are going to change the model of safety in our communities, we have got to look at establishing community-based organizations of our constructing and our input and our shaping as

2.1

2.2

the base. Not these external police officers and systems that come with a particular mindset that demonizes us and criminalizes us, stops us for no reason. But we have got to be able to have that power within our own communities to determine how we are going to keep our communities safe. We can rely on those persons that have lived in our communities, have credibility and perhaps and had involvement with the system to be able to be heard fully by those who are going down a treacherous path.

So, how we have got to look in this new era of looking at how we are going to reshape what's going on to bring that power and that authority and those resources to those community-based organizations.

Not the police who have the history that I have talked about. The police who don't see us as citizens that are valued and entitled to respect.

So, I just wanted to get your comment on those two pieces of legislation and to just give big opts to all of those Cure Violence groups and the Cease the Fire groups that are working in our communities. Thank you.

MARCOS SOLER: I think Eric and I will certainly, [INAUDIBLE 6:03:11] I will say two things. I have

familiar, apologies, I am not familiar to the two pieces of legislation but I will address the two core issues that I think you are addressing.

One is, I think you know that our office is all about building community-based organization across the system. Address obviously, addressing gun violence but as I said before, across the system.

And with regards to the broader goal that you have said, I think the Mayor has been very clear. We have tried to drive that — tried to advance the Mayors patient. We have reduced, I understand and it might not seem sufficient but we have reduced misdemeanor arrests significantly under this administration. We have pushed obviously for the kind of things that you were talking about, the criminalization of regular routine behavior. We are addressing the issue of the criminalization of poverty in a police reform.

I am with you 100 percent about reducing the footprint of the criminal justice system. I cannot speak specifically to your specific legislation about people who have been unfairly impacted. I don't know enough. Certainly, I will ask my general counsel to look into the legislation and we will get back to

your office. But I can just say that on those two goals, we have been very clear from the beginning.

Reduce the footprint of the criminal justice system across the board and creating the civilian infrastructure that will bring us to safety and not rely just simply on the old criminal justice system.

It's two goals in my office. I agree and we will certainly pass you know your information to our vendors. We were just yesterday on the phone with them MAN UP, so we will certainly connect on that basis with them. Thank you so much for your question.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thank you Madam Chair.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Council Member.

Council Member Levin.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you so much. Two questions. First question for Dana, you spoke a little bit about the restorative justice funding commitment. I asked the First Deputy Mayor Dean Fuleihan about this this afternoon as well. And he committed that the funding that was committed back in 2019, that was supposed to be in the FY21 Budget

25 i

would actually be in the '22 Adopted Budget. So, I am appreciative of that.

My question is can we work together on how we want to see — like, can we start working together now on how we want to see that funding allocated?

Because you know, by my count, we should be at about \$3.5 million for the community-based sort of justice.

Because it was supposed to be \$2.5 in the FY21 Budget and then up to \$6.5 in the FY23 budget. And obviously I won't be here for the FY23 budget, so, you know if we were to be on track it should be around, really should be around \$4 million, \$4.5 million in the FY22 budget.

So, my question is, I mean, it would be — we should start working on this now how we want to structure this because I have been talking to some providers who you know are of the opinion that it might make sense for the Council, it should be part of a Council initiative the first year because that gives us a little bit more discretion as to how it is structured, rather than an RFP by MOCJ. Which would be you know, that's a competitive RFP but that then leaves us with less discretion as to how to structure it.

\_

DANA KAPLAN: So, Council Member, the question couldn't be more timely. I think we are thinking the same about the need to plan now essentially for how to spend that funding when it is allocated in recognition that obviously, there will be a transition in the Council and the Administration that we don't want to you know, we don't want to delay the planning for the allocation of the Restorative

Justice funding. We know that there is a commitment to fund it and so, we want to make sure that we are poised to execute on that commitment and not begin the planning at the point at which the funding is reflected in the budget.

So, we actually just released a very small solicitation to bring on a consultant to help work with essentially MOCJ, the Council. We anticipate working closely with you and other members of the Council on this, as well as some of the provider community and obviously the many people across the continuum that have expertise on restorative justice.

I think to the point that you have made clear, that we want it to seed restorative justice programming at every juncture of the system, so both

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

in community and also for more serious felony level offences in the courts.

So, at MOCJ, we were able to self-fund that solicitation to bring that consultant on and we anticipate having a very focused planning engagement timeline that again, works with the Council, with us, but also, with the full range of restorative justice providers and experts to identify where the gaps are. What are the programs that could be resourced immediately? Where you know it is a question of just scaling up or providing additional support but also as we think about longer term and you know, getting to that full \$6.5 million that is committed. You know how do we think about the highest and best use of that funding, so that we aren't just limiting ourselves by thinking about how do we fund seedling efforts but also, how do we really think about a value add to use the principles of restorative justice to advance justice more broadly.

Again, as you pointed out both at the community level but also to divert the most serious level cases from the courts and towards a different model of justice that I think — you know, restorative justice upholds for us.

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

So, we will make sure that you have a copy of the solicitation, if you don't but also happy to get started working with you on that immediately.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay, that sounds great. Okay, I will turn it back to the Chair and to my colleagues. Thank you. Thank you Chair.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Council Member Levin. I do not see any other Council Member's with hands raised. Chair Adams, do you have any more questions for the panel?

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: I do not. This has been very thorough, very informative and I thank the panel for their testimony and their time today and most importantly for their work.

MARCOS SOLER: And I truly appreciate the time that you gave us and I will get back to you on the question and you can find our answer to be appropriate and I will get back to you as soon as possible.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Thanks very much.

MARCOS SOLER: Have a wonderful day.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: You to.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Okay everyone, we will be taking a brief ten minute recess. It has been a long

/

day. We are going to be here for as long as it takes. We will start up again at 4:00 p.m. with the Legal Aid Society, Bronx Defenders, Brooklyn Defenders.

Thank you so much for your patience and we will be back up shortly. [RECESS 6:10:55-6:23:14].

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Welcome back Chair Adams, are you ready to continue?

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: I am as soon as my Moderator come back. I see him.

SERGEANT BIONDO: Very well and all Legal Aid and Defenders are ready. Folks, thank you for your patience. For those just joining us, we just finished a quick recess. We will be resuming with the Committee on Public Safety. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Sergeant. I will now call on the panelists from Legal Aid, Brooklyn Defender Services and the Bronx Defenders.

Before we begin testimony, I will administer the oath to all panelists who will be offering testimony or be available for questions. Please raise your right hands. I will read the oath and call on each of you individually for a response.

Do you swear or affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth before this Committee and respond honestly to Council Member questions? Janet Sabel, Chief Of Legal Aid Society?

JANET SABEL: Yes.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Adriene Holder, Attorney in Charge of the Civil Practice of the Legal Aid Society?

ADRIENE HOLDER: Yes, I do.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Tina Luongo, Attorney in Charge of the Criminal Defense Practice at the Legal Aid Society?

TINA LUONGO: Yes, I do.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Ms. Schreibersdorf, Executive Director at Brooklyn Defender Services?

LISA SCHREIBERSDORF: Yes, I do.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Justine Olderman, Executive Director of the Bronx Defenders?

JUSTINE OLDERMAN: Yes, I do.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Uhm and I believe Ms. Sabel you will be giving testimony first, is that right?

JANET SABEL: Yes, I will. Thank you so much.

We thank you Chair Adams and the Finance and Justice System Committees for the opportunity to testify

today. We are testifying on behalf not only of the Legal Aid Society but of all the defender organizations as we are all facing significant financial challenges this year.

It has been needless to say a devastating year.

A year ago at Legal Aid, we pivoted our entire organization of 2,200 people to remote operations and we made enormous adjustments our service methods to ensure that we remained connected to our clients and able to engage in the critical representation that they needed.

You will hear more about our vital programs from my colleagues at Legal Aid and Bronx and Brooklyn

Defenders but I cannot begin any public presentation without calling out the inspiring work of the staff of the Legal Aid Society and our sibling agencies.

Their work has been beyond extraordinary. While they have been struggling with illness and grief and anxiety and uncertainty, working remotely under difficult and demanding conditions and working in the courts despite their fears about safety.

Our staff across all of our organizations have not stopped delivering outstanding legal services and advocacy for our clients in our communities. But at

2.2

the same time that our staff has been fighting on the frontlines, resources and contract funding began to shrink and slow down, causing enormous past flow challenges that threatened payroll and operational support.

At the Legal Aid Society, our state funding from the Office of Court Administration that supports our civil and juvenile rights practice were cut by ten percent. The New York City Indirect Cost Rate funding supporting our Civil and NYIFUP immigration projects, as well as our criminal defense practice, were reduced by 40 percent.

The New York City cost of living adjustments that we had expected from the Administration for FY20 to '23, were eliminated. The promised expansion of pay parities for attorney's was halted and federal aid under the Paycheck Protection program uhm, available to many of our sibling organizations was not available to the Legal Aid Society due to our size and our budget. So, we really were left to cover the FY21 shortfall on our own.

We are of course mindful of the financial challenges that the city and the state have based this past year. However, in light of what we expect

to be an infusion of federal dollars coming to the Administration, we really — and the fact that the demand for our services has far from waned, in fact it has grown, we really urge you to restore support for our city programs and it is more critical than ever.

First, I am going to talk about uhm, our general financial issues and then we will turn it over to the other members of the panel to talk about programmatic issues.

We ask the city to follow through on its commitment to pay parity, including restarting discussions about the promised expansion of parity for attorneys beyond five years of service. As Chair Adams pointed out, thank you very much Chair Adams. We have not received any parity dollars for either FY20 or the retroactive parity dollars for FY20 or '21. But based on the promise from the city, at the Legal Aid Society, we turned over those parity dollars to our staff and negotiated a new living wage salary scale for our staff, on the expectation that the parity dollars would be baselined in FY21 and beyond.

We ask today that the City Council also supplement our NYIFUP immigration program with a comparable parity supplement for junior attorneys and we endorse the Chairs suggestion that MOCJ provide parity dollars for the city and state, especially for the money that flows through MOCJ.

Again, because we expect that there will be additional dollars coming into the city and the state, we believe that it is really time now to renew discussion about the expansion of parity dollars for our more senior attorneys and our supervisors.

Turning now to the Indirect Cost Rate Funding

Initiative. This initiative was touted as a real —

as an opportunity for not-for-profits across the city

to finally obtain the full cost of the work they were

doing under city contracts. For the Legal Aid

Society, the expected infusion of revenue from the

Indirect Cost Program, allowed us to begin to address

the severely depressed and stagnant salaries of our

1199 support staff and our Administrative staff.

It was there for a massive blow to be advised shortly after our Indirect Cost Rate was approved by the city that our funding was being cut by 40 percent

2.2

for FY20 and FY21 and of course we only learned of this in FY21.

And even worse, we learned just this last week that our funding has been cut to a mere 30 percent of our approved Indirect Cost Rate for FY22. As with parity, we relied upon the city to follow through with its commitments and now ask for the full restoration of the Indirect Cost Rate Funding Initiative.

I mentioned it earlier but it is worth mentioning again, that the Legal Aid Society did not receive even \$1 of Federal Aid to address the unforeseen COVID related expenses and shortfalls. We were ineligible to participate in the Federal Paycheck Protection program, so it means we didn't receive any payroll assistance or any forgivable loans, nor did we receive \$1 of support from the Federal Legal Services Corporation.

So, despite the imposition of a hiring freeze, which we undertook and despite all the cuts to our discretionary spending, which we undertook and our encouragement of staff to resign and take voluntary leaves, we find ourselves in an incredibly challenging financial situation. Compounded by the

2.2

major bottlenecks in contracting and processing of amendments by our major funders, the New York State Office of Indigent Legal Services and the Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice. The contracting process and the failure to get paid by MOCJ for the Office of Indigent Legal Services and Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice funding has been devastating for all of the defender organizations.

So, today, we seek your help in allowing us to continue to do the very important work that we do and to continue to support our staff who do battle on the frontlines.

So, let me turn over now to Adriene Holder,
Attorney in charge of the Civil Practice who will be
followed by Tina Luongo, the Attorney in charge of
our Criminal Defense Practice and then over to
Brooklyn and Bronx Defenders. Thank you very much.

ADRIENE HOLDER: Good afternoon. Thanks for this opportunity to testify before you all. You all and in your staff who have been phenomenal partners in our work.

Representing the Civil Practice, I am proud to be on a panel with our Criminal Defense Practice and our sibling partners.

2 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 7 | 1 | 8 | r

Emphasizing the point that at the Legal Aid Society, we represent the entire household, an entire community. Legal Services is an essential component for racial justice and to combat poverty. Our work in this moment has been to advocate for the needs of New York's marginalized communities of color. Those most deeply impacted by what we regard as a triple pandemic. The impact of the coronavirus but also the impact of the economic downturn and the impact of racial terror.

To respond to the crisis, among other actions we have taken, we have collaborated with city and state legislatures to create pandemic relief legislation including the Tenant St. Harbor Act and the COVID-19 Emergency Eviction Foreclosure Prevention Act that helped extend vital tenant protections.

We advocated strongly to safeguard the health and safety of New Yorkers experiencing homelessness and residing in city shelters during the pandemic, uniquely vulnerable population.

In addition to early advocacy that secured accommodation and private rooms for many New Yorkers, we fought a lawsuit to ensure that the city is required to offer everyone in single shelters their

own private room and bathroom for the duration of the pandemic.

At the same time, with many of you, including

Council Member Inez Barron, we successfully advocated

against nimbyism ensuring that plans to dismantle the

Harmonia and flat land shelters, housing disabled

adult families and families with children were

shelled and fought to ensure that adult men at the

Lucerne Hotel on the upper west side of Manhattan

were able to continue receiving appropriate services

in the face of campaigns by local pressure groups.

We have continued to be at the forefront of efforts to combat — I mean to advocate sorry, immigrant New Yorkers in noncitizen communities across our city. As one of three New York Immigrant Family Unity Project providers and the other two are Bronx Defenders and Brooklyn Defender Services are proud siblings.

Together, we led groundbreaking efforts to litigate and advocate for New Yorkers held in dangerous and life threatening conditions following the widespread transmission of COVID-19 in New York area jails. And as a result of our interventions, we jointly secured the release of 242 detained New

2.2

Yorkers whose medical history and circumstances made them particularly vulnerable during the pandemic.

We press the city to ensure adequate internet access for children living in shelters and remote learning during the pandemic. We advocated directly at the federal level to reduce barriers preventing SSI recipients and veterans from automatically receiving Cares Act Economic Impact payments. We provided dedicated support to nonprofits and small business owners across the city and renegotiating leases maintaining business viability and understanding their options through the Cares Act during the pandemic and throughout. We will continue and we did that with our Community Development Project, which is part of the city's crisis management system response team.

We advocated for survivors of domestic violence during the current crisis both pushing for systemic changes to ensure their safety and simultaneously continuing to provide support to clients remotely.

And we significantly expanded our use of virtual outreach and reached nearly 65,000 New Yorkers through video webinars and virtual trainings between April of 2020 and January. And we did that with a

lot of your offices and a lot of you all's assistance.

Now while we have successfully advocated for immigration moratoria and other measures to ensure vulnerable New Yorkers do not face being evicted during a major public health crisis; we envision a potential landslide of evictions and other threats to our clients housing once tenant protections eventually expire.

Further, there continues to be significant need for assistance with employment related issues below wage workers. Our employment law team has seen a three to four fold increase in cases related to accessing unemployment insurance and a doubling in general non-UI employment matters including wage theft and employment discrimination.

As the city navigates a return to a more normal business operations and many low wage workers faced being forced back to unsafe work environments, this demand is only set to increase further. The Legal Aid Society has the depth of expertise, the breath of scope and the capacity to defend and advocate for vulnerable New Yorkers.

We are a part of the recovery to address the racial, social and economic inequities our Black and Brown neighbors face. We therefore respectfully request New York City Council maintain its longstanding support for the following citywide initiatives.

The Legal Services for Low-Income New Yorkers program in Fiscal Year 2022, we respectfully request a restoration to Fiscal Year 2020 funding of \$6.3 million for all the designated civil legal services providers of which the Legal Aid Society would receive \$2.1 million. So, that we can continue to provide essential services to thousands of families and individuals in New York City.

It is this funding that allows us to pivot in moments like this. It is this funding that allows us to go beyond the contracted services that we have in the civil practice to really be truly responsive to the emergent needs of our client community.

The New York Immigrant Family Unity project has represented detained immigrants placed in deportation since 2014, helping to ensure New York families are not separated simply because they cannot afford an attorney. The nations first universal legal

2.1

2.2

representation program for detained immigrants,

NYIFUP provides high quality holistic representation
to New Yorkers detained and facing deportation who
cannot afford an attorney. And this year, we are
requesting a continuation of the \$16.6 million for

NYIFUP split evenly by the three NYIFUP providers in
the amount of \$5.533 million each.

And this year, we respectfully request that the Administration baseline NYIFUP funding in the FY22 budget.

The Unaccompanied Minor of Children and Families
Initiative has been providing free legal assistance
to unaccompanied children with adults and children
fleeing gang violence and domestic abuse since 2014
with the support of the New York City Council.

We are asking in Fiscal Year '22 for an enhancement of one million, 75,000 dollars for UMFI to cover the increased costs of this program. This funding has remained flat for years and in Fiscal Year 2022 and out of that full amount, the full amount for all of the unaccompanied minors and families initiative providers, it is \$5.15 million that is being sought. So, our version of that is a fraction of that cost.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

19

18

20

21

2.2 23

24

25

And finally, when the budget process is over and when Council Members looking at DOVE money, we definitely would ask that the DOVE Initiative that supports our family law and domestic violence practice; and many of you all have been very supportive of the Legal Aid Society in previous years. We request that we be able to maintain that support from the individual members.

And so, I really do appreciate this moment and this time with you all today to be able to testify. You are going to be hearing more from our other partners that we stand in solidarity with. And you will also be hearing from a lot of our sibling organizations on the Civil Legal Services side tomorrow. But right now, I kick it to Tina Luongo, who is the Chief Defender for our Criminal Defense Practice. And thank you again for your time.

TINA LUONGO: Good afternoon everybody and good afternoon Chair Adams and members of the Committee and to the many people in the public who are anxiously waiting to talk about police reform.

I just want to take a few moments to talk about some of the areas that actually were covered throughout this day brought to you by the

2.2

Commissioner of NYPD and his team and to Mr. Davie's, the Commissioner, Commissioner Davie and his team.

And to the Interim Director Mr. Soler and his team from MOCJ.

But first and foremost, I think it is really important to sort of recognize that what we learned through COVID is that the systemic issues, the racial and social justice poverty driven issues that plague our clients long before COVID was simply just highlighted and made worse by COVID. And that a return back to what may be seen by some as normal must be actually a return to doing things differently, so let's not go back and to learn from the lessons that we have learned from COVID.

In fact, the city has been tested in many ways and our client communities have shown through brilliant resistance, resilience and stamina. Their fight and demand for us to rise as a city in equity, fairness and humanity.

And in fact, what I am most proud of is that the staff of our office's join in standing in solidarity side by side with our client communities in making those demands.

And so what did we do during this pivot? Almost actually a little over a year ago, we moved from a in court, in person proximal system that was driven by much of the passing of paper in court rooms and court houses to a fully remote system. But more importantly what we needed to do through that as everybody was moving remote was to recognize this one thing.

That our clients long before COVID were made invisible by systems and that this was just going to make that problem worse. And so, our teams pressed into play a series of things to in every single way we could, squeeze out humanity for our clients and make sure that whatever due process was left by the governor's extension of executive orders that eviscerated. All of the things in the criminal legal system that bring due process. That we find a way to bring that there and so, what did we do?

Our teams across all of our organizations moved immediately to file hundreds upon hundreds of individual and systemic ritz that actually forced and called the question to decarcerate our jails and prisons before the pandemic took lives. And unfortunately, I stand here today being disappointed

that some of the leadership, particularly in our state have ignored our calls for decarceration and vaccination and that we have lost lives while people are being held.

We implemented hotlines because as the pandemic spread in our jails and prisons, family members could not get the answers they needed from other correctional systems. And so, we moved to hotlines where all of our staff started to answer calls, calls for help and signals that their family members were in jeopardy.

We pushed OCA, DCAS and the Department of
Correction to take plans that's made safer, our
courts, jails and prisons and unfortunately today, I
have to report that despite a year long effort for
things to be better in our jails and prisons, more
sanitary, more clean, more provisions, that has not
happened. And in fact, today, just this week, I had
to send yet a second letter to the Commissioner of
DCAS. Imploring them to ensure that the ratings that
are so necessary for ventilation, that we changed in
our courts in public spaces be held to those same
spaces where incarcerated people are brought for
arraignment in court.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

And to date, we still have not gotten an answer that that remediation would happen. We pivoted and that pivot did not just cost the money that Janet and others are talking about today. But that executive orders also created a backlog of matters. essence, people who are sort of trapped in limbo in the criminal legal system, many of our clients who are still held at Rikers and in upstate prisons are in limbo to get the relief they need.

And so, our staff have pending caseloads that are larger than ever before and because we have had to hit the pause on hiring and filling back roles or to implement other provisions for earlier retirement, the fact is that we actually need people more now than ever. Staff more now than ever to meet the growing needs of our clients matters that are growing day in and day out.

That backlog, we are hoping that MOCJ and the offices and part of the administration will do being mindful of how to prioritize backlog cases and not just move things along for the expedience but to actually look at the matters that must get moved because peoples lives are being held in limbo.

Either in incarceration or through a pending matter.

A job might be on the line or a home might be on the line.

So, what are we asking? We are certainly asking for us to have our funding restored but we are also asking the City Council and MOCJ and the Office of Court Administration, DCAS and DOC to be proactive and come together. We have been asking for a citywide taskforce on COVID planning in the Criminal Legal System for all five courts that are standardized, that are transparent and accountable and planned in advance because what is hanging in the balance are our clients.

But we are also asking for is a call from the City Council to the Governor to vaccinate those who are incarcerated. It is simply unconscionable that the Governor is allowing correctional staff to be vaccinated in the same facilities, at the same time just feet away. There are people being held against their will, not being vaccinated.

We are asking for the support, much of you heard from the conversation earlier today with MOCJ about the full funding of our program partners to ensure that they are ready for the reentry of people returning home and I am so proud of the joint effort

2.2

we took at Legal Aid Society but I know other defenders. In pulling together our taskforce for reentry experts to be ready to help bring people home and have them stay home.

And finally, and you will hear a little bit about this I think when I turn over to my partners Lisa and Justine, police reform has been much of a conversation today. The plan that's before you, we have said over and over and the community has said over and over, did not actually include those most impacted. And I think that there will be a much larger response coming in the days ahead to that plan.

But I do want to actually elevate the incredible work being done by our Cure Violence partners in communities. That is where reinvestment must be placed. In the hands of the communities, in fact most impacted and most at the point of knowing what is needed in order to truly, truly create safety.

I know we have a long road to come out of COVID in a way that makes our city whole but I do want to say that most importantly, as we are thinking about the decisions that should be made, that those decisions are made by people who are practicing every

day in the courts and practicing on behalf of and in partnership with those most effected by the challenges of COVID. Which are always, always our communities and clients.

And on that, I am going to turn it over to Lisa.

LISA SCHREIBERSDORF: Thank you. My name is Lisa

Schreibersdorf, I am the Executive Director, excuse

me, of Brooklyn Defender Service.

If there is anything that this pandemic has made clear, it is that the defender offices in this city provide a service and function that is way beyond what is traditionally been associated with lawyers in court.

On behalf of my office and other defenders here and some who are not hear, including New York County Defenders, the Neighborhood Defender Services of Harlem, I want to thank the City Council for its consistent support for our offices and more importantly, for our function.

My testimony today is going to focus primarily on one of our point programs that you heard from Ms.

Holder, which is our NYIFUP program. It is a program that is fully funded by the City Council and it is

2.2

very important that the City Council continue its support of this program.

In the past few years under the Trump

Administration, which included horrifying increase in immigrant enforcement, callous policies and the elimination of just and fair remedies that previously existed in Immigration Court, the NYIFUP staff of attorney's, social workers, paralegals and others have been bombarded with tragedy after tragedy but have fought hard to assist hundreds of detained individuals to maintain their right to stay I this country with their families.

Upon the beginning of the pandemic, like the Criminal Defense Attorneys in all of our offices, our NYIFUP attorney's file dozens of applications to get individual people released. Winning the lifesaving release of hundreds of people and in addition, on the criminal side, our staff also filed you know, numerous multiple you know ritz, which included many, many, many people, also wanting the release of many, many people.

We now know because we have seen recent articles about the deaths that have occurred in the jails and also, how many people were passed away right away

right after they were released including one of our own clients.

So, we know that this work is literally lifesaving work. More details about this program of course were described at the immigration hearing earlier last week I think. And also you heard from Adriene Holder as well but uhm, what I really wanted to say is that those of us who do public defense work are committed to representing individuals solely on the basis of need. Not based on the meritorious nature of their case or any other factors. This model, which recognizes the humanity in every single person, no matter what they are accused of doing or even what they have actually done is core to the improvement of the very systems that target people in the city.

Some of which have been discussed here today.

And I would like to add, I think you will hear more from Justine Olderman about also the ACS removals that my office also handles. Every single one of these functions really operates at the intersection of racism and government overreach. And lawyers in court that fight against wrongful behavior on a daily

basis are also an accountability measure. We are a counter measure.

It is crucial that the City Council continue supporting all of our programs, particularly those that are not as well supported as they probably should be by the Administration from time to time, especially this year. Because our organizations need to be stable and we need to be independent.

In order to continue not just the work we have contracted to do but also to maximize the very essence of what we do and what we represent in this city. We need to stop having to worry every few months about whether we will or will not be able to continue a particular program. Whether we might have to layoff staff. Worry about whether we can pay our staff a living wage through Pay Parity. Whether we will lose our indirect rate. Whether we will be, our funding will be uhm, you know, rolled back to previous years. All of which you have heard about today.

But mostly whether we have to worry that the city will keep its commitments to us. And that is why we are asking the City Council to fight for the NYIFUP program to be baselined this year.

Uhm, it is — uhm, I think it is — we are in a little bit of fear that people will think that because the Trump Administration has ended that somehow the risk to immigrant is less than it was. And in fact, it is not. What happened now is the people who have been facing deportation proceedings will continue to face those proceedings because they have already been instituted against them. They need lawyers more than ever because there are remedies possibly now that didn't exist.

In the reckoning that is coming now, where things like DACA are being reinstated, these are remedies that are almost impossible to navigate without an attorney.

We know that it is really important that the cases we have started, we must continue and must see them through to the end. We will fight in those courts for the types of you know, moving things off the calendars and getting administrative relief through the courts and also, getting remedies for our clients.

But the reason I think we most want to see this baselined is because we all want to be stable. When our organizations are stable and strong, then we are

2.2

able to do things like file a lawsuit to get incarcerated individuals a vaccine.

We can advocate in Albany and other kinds of systemic change and we could also do a code drive in the community in the winter of a pandemic. Or role is so multifaceted from the most individual to the most systemic and all of our spaces are the exact location where the city needs to do the most work to set right the racial and just generally oppressive behavior of so many agencies, all of which we work in courts you know in cases that have been you know really initiated by them.

We ask you to strengthen and support NYIFUP and allow all of our programs to continue you know strong and thank you again so much to Council Member Adams and all the members of the Committee for your support. Thank you. Justine.

JUSTINE OLERMAN: Thank you so much. So, over the years, the Defenders have testified in front of a host of different committees with different names.

There is Courts and Legal Services, there is Justice Systems and now we are here today before you Chair Adams and the Committee on Public Safety.

Though a lot of conversations that I am sure you are aware of that are happening right now about what exactly public safety means. And there is a growing recognition that true public safety is about more than crime, policing, prosecution and punishment.

It's about affordable housing and quality
education and employment opportunities and
healthcare. It's about keeping families together and
immigrants in their communities and it is about
ending the surveillance of low-income communities of
color by the NYPD, ACS and ICE.

So, as organizations that represent low-income predominantly Black and Brown New Yorkers, not just in criminal cases but also in civil, immigration and family court proceedings. I would like to think that our presence before you suggests some sort of symbolic shift in our thinking. A recognition if you will, that the issues our clients face in these different venues, criminal, family, immigration and civil, they are inextricably intertwined and so are the legal systems that they are forced to navigate.

A drug case in criminal court can lead to an eviction case in housing court. An eviction in housing court can lead to family separation in family

court. And what we all know as public defenders is that when a person is caught in the tangled web of our legal system, it can be staggeringly hard to get out and that makes us all less safe.

That is also where we come in. As you have gleaned from the testimony already here today, collectively the defenders in this city work tirelessly to address the drivers of system involvement and mitigate the devastating consequences that flow from it. And there has never, ever been a time when the people we serve have been in greater danger of ensnarement in harmful legal systems than now.

As I think Tina mentioned, our clients were already struggling with homelessness. Unmet physical and mental health needs, under employment, lack of access to quality education and healthcare when COVID hit and now, what we are hearing every day from our clients is they are literally just struggling to survive.

So, the need for our services, not surprisingly as you have heard has never been greater or more important. So, recent studies have shown that

investing in our work, not only meets the need for

legal representation but is truly transformative.

2.

Public defense has been shown to reduce

incarceration rates by 16 percent and incarceration length by 24 percent. Decrease evictions by 40 percent, increase the chances of winning a deportation case by 1,100 percent and decrease time in foster care by four months.

Thanks to the Council and the Mayor's Office,
most of our funding was steady this past year and we
were able to provide high quality support and
services that our clients, they have come to expect
from each and every one of us across the city despite
the pandemic and we are hopeful that with steady
funding in Fiscal Year '22 and the rectification of
the issues that Janet Sabel talked about, we will be
able to continue to meet the needs of our clients in
the year ahead.

You have already heard from Tina about the impact of COVID on our clients in the criminal legal system and Adriene about the importance of our work and related civil legal systems and Lisa about our critical immigration work. And while this Committee doesn't oversee ACS, it does have an oversight over

J

MOCJ, which is our primary funder for parent representation.

So, I want to share a little bit about our groundbreaking work, keeping families together and then, I would like to transition and spend a couple of minutes addressing the police reform and reinvention plan that occupied so many hours of this mornings hearing.

So, there are four organizations in New York City that have contracts with MOCJ to provide representation to parents who are faced with losing their children to the foster system. That's us, the Bronx Defenders, Brooklyn Defenders, Center for Family Representation and Neighborhood Defender Service of Harlem.

And collectively, we represent over 1,200 parents every year in Article 10 proceedings. Each of us has an interdisciplinary model of representation, which connects clients not just with lawyers but social workers and parent advocates. And our model has been incredibly successful in preventing thousands of children from needlessly entering the foster system and reducing the foster care census in New York City by almost 50 percent.

\_ \_

So, supporting our model is not just good for families, it's also a good investment. A recent study showed our model translates into merely \$40 million a year in annual savings. And of course, the preservation of the family bonds between parents and children that are so priceless.

This past year in our family representation and our parent representation work. It has been devastating in the same ways that you have heard about the devastation in other areas of our practice. While ACS and the court system never stop separating families, reuniting families separated before the pandemic has been exceedingly difficult. Access to the courts for reunification has been limited as has the ability to obtain necessary services. Moreover, parents are struggling just to see their children because of ongoing restrictions on in-person visitation.

Our clients facing ACS investigations and allegations of abuse and neglect, they need us more than ever before. Unfortunately, each year including this one, the Mayor has reduced our funding to 2016 levels. Without intervention, we will be forced once again to begin the new year at an extreme deficit and

2.2

spend every day just hoping and praying for restoration of our full funding.

While we have seen a reduction in new case filings, it doesn't mean our workload has decreased or we need fewer resources to provide high quality services. The number of parents we currently represent, which is the best indicator in all of our practice areas of our work load, has not seen that kind of decrease.

Moreover, representation has become more complicated because of COVID and prolonged family separation. So, what we are asking the City Council to do is to hold the Mayor accountable for providing legally mandated representation and related services to the parents and children facing separation.

And while this is going to be discussed more in tomorrow's General Welfare hearing, I did just want to bring to the attention of you Chair Adams and this Committee that we are also asking the Council to increase funding for the Right to Family Advocacy Initiative to \$3 million, which is \$750,000 per organization.

For those who aren't familiar with it, this is an innovative cutting edge program that provides support

2.2

and advocacy to parents who are being investigated by

ACS and it helps create what is essentially an

offramp to the legal system by keeping children

safely at home and avoiding the need for our case to

6 be filed.

So, now let me pivot to the last part of my testimony because I know we are all anxious to get to the public portion of the hearing and I am too.

I just want to comment briefly on behalf of the Defenders on the police reform and reimagination plan. Like you Chair Adams, I heard you this morning, I share your frustration that these two hearings had to be put together but then when I thought about it more and listened to the testimony this morning, I realized that in many ways, they are actually perfectly aligned.

Because our work as Defenders has led us to the inevitable conclusion that the only way we can shrink our bloated criminal legal system and begin to address the harm of policing in the low income communities of color that we serve, is to free ourselves from the false promise of policing and start investing in alternative strategies for community care.

\_

I had originally planned on addressing the fundamental problems with the process that led us to this point but given your opening remarks and I know I have testified before you before on this issue, I don't think that's necessary. But I will say that given the lack of transparency, the hasty and haphazard way the process and plan was put together and the sidelining of grassroots organizations and impacted people, who have been working on these issues for decades, it is simply not surprising that the plan does nothing to reimagine the NYPD.

If you go through the plan and you look at the word usage, you will see things like expand, enhance, strengthen, consolidate, to describe the vision of the Mayor and the NYPD. It is a vision that imagines increasing control and power and responsibility of the police force. It literally moves us in the opposite direction from where we need to go. And perhaps there is no better proof of what we can expect from this plan than the testimony this morning of Commissioner Shea.

I don't know how else to put it, except my
experience sitting here listening to him was that it
was as if the front page of the New York Post grew

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

department in the world.

arms and legs, was given a badge and got propped up before this Committee.

Because his testimony was filled with front page fear mongering. He spent time sighting increases in violence and sharing the personal details of the most recent victims of gun violence. Why? For the same reason that the New York Post does it. To somehow scare us into believing that policing is the answer to violence and a salve for the pain that it causes.

But we know better. This Council knows better. If we want to reduce violence, we should be spending our scarce city resources on people, on communities, on education, job training, affordable housing, mental health care. And the other way we know that this plan doesn't contain the vision and the transformation we need, is because this morning as we sat here and listened to them testify, out of one side of their mouth, they gave lip service to historical harm and desire for change. But you also heard things like this. Over policing, it's not what we do. We do targeted policing. Gun crime is down because of court closure and we have the best police

Are those really the words of people we can trust to reform and reinvent itself? True reform is not going to come from the NYPD or this Mayor. It is going to come from the people that are going to testify next and you as their representatives. It's going to come when we start divesting from policing and start investing in alternatives.

There are so many examples across the country of communities who are trying to truly transform policing. Taking them out of schools, out of the business of traffic enforcement. Out of the business of responding to people in crisis and are building true alternatives that make us safer. We should be following their plans, not this one. What this Council does next, it is going to be its lasting legacy. Voting yes, it might be easy but it won't bring the transformation that the people of this city need and deserve.

Voting no, will take courage but if we care about a real response to the call for change that rang out through the streets of this city last summer, then the Mayor has left us with no choice. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you very much. Chair Adams, do you have any questions?

\_

2.2

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: I do. I do. Thank you

Justine, you just took my breath away as usual. Uhm,

very powerful to all of my Legal Aid partners, I say

welcome. It's wonderful to see you all as always.

I just want to go back to where we started and really maybe just where I started this line of questioning particularly with MOCJ and in going back to the pay parity issue, uhm, as we have already addressed with MOCJ and has been addressed in your testimony, all of you. The pay parity deal that was negotiated as part of the FY 2020 budget has not been disbursed and the administration has not fulfilled its commitment to the deal.

So, I am just going to ask you for the record, can you please share what OMB and MOCJ have communicated with you? Also, for the record, can you please speak to how this delay has impacted your office? How many of you have already disbursed the funding to your employees despite not having the allocations at all? Anyone can start. Anyone can take that.

LISA SCHREIBERSDORF: Janet, did you want to?

JANET SABEL: Yes, I will take it. I am sorry, I was waiting to be unmuted. Thank you Chair Adams.

2.2

So, you know, we have heard nothing from OMB. At least at the Legal Aid Society, we have heard nothing from OMB at all. We have been working with both MOCJ and with HRA on uhm, you know, figuring out and trying to address what our parity dollars actually look like. And you know, what we don't know is what happens with those — that analysis and those calculations and what OMB is going to do about it.

It has certainly been suggested that OMB maybe the cause of the delay here but there also has been you know, there has been a lot of slow uptake from both MOCJ and HRA. But we are in the process of talking to them about what the numbers would look like and so, that's one answer to your question.

Another part is, as I testified, we gave that money to our attorney's immediately and we did it because it was the right thing to do because there was an imperative in moving forward on the parity dollars, because their salaries were woefully inadequate compared to their colleagues in the Corporation Council.

And so, we felt it was incredibly important for us to give that money and augment their salaries immediately and we did it based on the commitment

2.

that the parity dollars that we would receive would be retroactive to the earlier Fiscal Year.

So, we gave the money to our staff retroactively and we are sitting here holding the bag right now in a way that is making us very vulnerable and because of the issues that I raised about our cash flow concerns and the difficulty that all of the defender organizations are having, really turning on the funding that is owed to us for work that we have done over the past several years from MOCJ and from the Office of Indigent Legal Services, which now flows through MOCJ. We are all sitting here you know angsting about cash flow for work that we have done, for work that we — revenue that should be in our hands.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Yeah, yeah, thank you.

JUSTINE OLDERMAN: Lisa, did you have anything you want to add? I will just -

LISA SCHREIBERSDORF: No, I just wanted to say that uhm, we had all — my office and I know some of the others, uhm, also did give that to our staff even though, you know just based on the commitment. And I wanted to say that you know, I have been BDS is like 25 years old and I have never before ever had a

2.

situation where the city committed something to me and it did not come to pass. This is a very unusual situation.

One of the other things that happened was because they had offered us the possibility of using last years COLA raise, to kind of even out the pay parity with the people that were on the like let's say the higher steps, because pay parity only goes steps one through five. That uhm, that we have proposed you know how we would like to do that and they never gave us an answer about whether that was or was not acceptable.

So, not only was the pay parity money never you know, come to fruition but we were basically unable to even submit for our COLA because we never got approval of how we were spending it.

JUSTINE OLDERMAN: Yeah and I will just add, same for the Bronx Defenders and I know also for Center for Family Representation that we all gave out that money in that reliance on being reimbursed. So, I know for the Bronx Defenders alone, the 2020 number that is owed to us is you know at least \$1 million let alone the money for Fiscal Year '21. And the other thing, just to add some context to it. Not

4

6

5

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 2.2

23

24

25

only is there issue that Lisa talked about, about sort of the compression at the top and the fact that we should be in Phase 2 for the next sort of range of attorney's. But many of us fought really hard and fought unsuccessfully, which you know, we don't like fighting and losing. It's sort of not in our nature.

But for pay parity to extend not just to lawyers because when you increase the salaries for lawyers, all of our organizations are based on interdisciplinary models, where non-attorney roles are critical to our holistic representation of our clients and being able to meet their needs and so, creating the sort of wage gap between lawyers and non-lawyers is also something that we fought really hard and since we were not able to secure a promise of funding in that round for non-lawyer staff, many of us increased the funding for non-lawyer staff. And the salaries for non-lawyer staff because we couldn't bear to have that kind of inequity in our organizations. And so, that further exacerbates not getting reimbursed at least for the one through five.

JANET SABEL: And if I might, Chair Adams add to this, that one of the other representations that OMB made to us, was that the Indirect Cost Rate program

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

to the extent that each of our organizations might see additional revenue as a result of ICR. could use that money to do just what Justine is talking about. To cover either the higher level of staff who weren't getting pay parity in the first round of negotiations or to use it for our nonattorney staff because we weren't able to persuade the city to extend parity to the non-attorney's. that is exactly what we did at Legal Aid and we used very conservative numbers. I mean, we are not being irrational here or you know bold and sort of operating and budgeting on a whim, we really believe that the city was going to follow through on its commitment and use those dollars to address a real inequity in our 1199 staff members salary as a result. And we were using our Indirect Cost Rate dollars for doing that and then they were cut from us before we saw a dime.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Yeah, you know thank you

Janet. I think I want to stay there because I am

going to try to differentiate. This is so disturbing
the more I hear it, the more disturbing it becomes to

me. In differentiating what you are talking about

right now with the pay parity, between the COVID-19

2.2

expenses, it is our understanding that the public defense providers have had to pay out of pocket for expenses related to COVID-19 to equip your staff with the necessary tools to work from home and provide representation for your clients in virtual court.

How much did you all spend collectively on these necessary expenses in FY 2020 and how much have you spent year to date, if you know? And how much do you expect to spend in FY21? And if the costs are not reimbursed, tell us what the ramifications will be.

JANET SABEL: So, I would have to get back to you on our actual numbers for the Legal Aid Society but I will point out that this is one place where we maybe in a different situation than the other organizations because we are citywide and because we have the three practice areas.

We were too large to be eligible for any of the paycheck protection money. And so, we weren't able to take out loans. We weren't able to get any additional revenue. So, we have been covering these expenses. We have received some money from MOCJ to pay for some limited amount of COVID expenses and similarly we have some money that came from HRA but

it covered a discrete period of time and we have many more expenses and we will get back to you with that.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Okay.

LISA SCHREIBERSDORF: I can answer that.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Yeah.

LISA SCHREIBERSDORF: Uhm, what happened was about at the beginning of 2020 there was a big change in the Discovery Law, which then on the criminal side, which really put all of us and the prosecutors actually in a position where we didn't have the technology to take the amount of data that we needed to get from the DA's.

I mean, some examples are the amount of body cam footage that is now you know, they are required to turn it over and we are required to accept and store and it's a large amount of work and effort and storage space and downloading and uploading and even just people power to do that. And that city had committed at that time that they were going to give us some money towards that, which to my understanding also didn't come to full fruition.

But uhm, it also, because I am not completely clear and I would get back to you on the specifics, there's that kind of money, then there was some money

2.2

they offered us in terms of COVID, which very similarly, they ask you what you need, you give them an answer. You don't get an answer back from them.

Maybe they tell you something and then later on it doesn't actually come to fruition but I can tell you from my staff, we did not have laptops for every single staff member in our organization when this started.

So, on a very basic minimum level, we had to immediately get enough laptops, so everybody could work from home including downloading the discovery and that's every single staff member, not just attorney's but our admins, social workers, attorneys, really everybody paralegals, everybody that works in the organization.

You know, it's hundreds of thousands of dollars to build a technology. We were still operating on hard files when we went home and the courts have now changed to essentially virtual files and are now going into e-filing. So, it's not just the technology, it's also the software. It's the filing systems. It's every single thing that we can do to operate in this new universe, which I am sure will be ongoing and it's not going to go back. Which maybe

2.2

it is for the better for some of it but we are very short of the money that we need and I know we didn't talk about this — You know, I know we mentioned this cash flow problem but the amount that the city owes us is so extreme that even if we could find a way to find that money in our budget, without getting the actual cash reimbursed at this point, most of us are going paycheck to paycheck at this point.

Where we are getting all these daily updates about whether or not we have enough money in the bank account to make the next payroll. Which is you know; I think our office is owed like \$20 million right now by you know a combination of the city and some of the state money that passes through the city.

So, we were fortunate that we were able to qualify for PPP loan this time but not last time but there is no way to know in advance how much of that might be forgiven. We are grateful for the assistance and the cashflow but I just want to be clear that that only really covers payroll and rent. And all of the expenses you are asking about can never really be supported by those loans, which you know we are hopeful and you know hopefully contribute a bit that we need.

So, I don't know if Justine or some of the other organization have -

numbers. It looks like in Fiscal Year '20, we spent like approximately \$150,000 in COVID expenses. The problem for Fiscal Year '21 is that we are going to have to do some major changes to our space to help people safely return, especially once the courts reopen. And so, we expect — and that's more expensive than you know, even laptops and software and so, we anticipate that the figures will rise.

And problematically to your point and I appreciate you noting this, that we don't have any guarantee that we are going to get reimbursed for that and so, we don't have any choice but to bring people back. And so, we are definitely going to be in a situation where we are going to be you know sort of experiencing financial strain if we have to put that money out and once again, aren't reimbursed for it.

I just want to pick up one other thing that Lisa just commented on, which is the Discovery Reform

Implementation Initiative. So, one of the issues and feel free just to shake your head if this too in the

2.2

weeds but one of the things that happened was that that initiative you know came through and the funding came through mid-Fiscal Year and so, we are given half of the money as expected that we have budgeted for. And we fully anticipated that in the next year, in Fiscal Year '21 that we would be restored to the full annual funding of you know the work necessary to keep up with the reform initiatives and our unexpectedly, without explanation and still not rectified, our personnel budget was cut by 50 percent.

So, that is another place where we expected to have revenue where the city has fallen short on what they led us to believe would be forthcoming in this fiscal year.

You begin to get the sense right? We didn't get major cuts to our core you know city contracts but these things add up between the pay parity and the COLA and the Indirect Rate and you know the Discovery money and the COVID expenses. You can understand my Janet started off by saying that you know in many ways, we are really facing kind of extreme financial instability and strain right now.

3

4

6

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Sure, absolutely and you actually touched on the B part of my question. going into the capital but you touched on that also. You and Janet touched on the capital also and bringing your staff back and changes are going to have to be made and that's more on the capital side also. So, this thing continues to compound most disturbing.

Okay, let's look a little bit - let's shift gears just a little bit and talk about criminal justice changes. Uhm, because of COVID-19, New York courts have transitioned to virtual operations including arraignments and the city established programs, like the early release of or CA program, which I touched on with MOCJ and have begun electronic monitoring. How have these operations and changes either helped or hindered your clients?

TINA LUONGO: So, I will take the first pass and then can turn it over to others. Certainly the providing of MOCJ sort of working in tandem with us to - and our social work teams to provide stable housing through hotel space to sort of reintegrate people was clearly important because when we were going in and making applications to the courts or

2.

trying to make — ask for district attorneys to reevaluate their bail during the pendency of the matter. Having a home that was also COVID compliant and allow for social distancing was really important.

So, clearly that was a critical and now that we are sort of again, sort of making this, opening up this sort of this next pathway, really thinking about ways in which to systematize that going forward to the benefit of our clients. Because there is always going to be clients returning home from upstate as well as those who are at Rikers during the pendency of a trial, a case.

The other thing but I want to sort of say that there is a bit of a conversation happening. It started with the governor, I am happy to say I don't think that Albany will enact this but that there is this idea that we should continue virtual proceedings because they are more efficient and more effective. They are not. They are dehumanizing. Data shows that judges will more likely set bail when they can. When someone is not in front of them, right? This idea that this system should remain in place. It is terrible and it should not but we need to get our court systems to a place where they are safe for us

2.2

to return and do it in a safe manner. Which is another thing that we did and another capital expense is that the Defenders self-funded an expert to evaluate our court systems and provide a report.

That was not reimbursed by any of our contracts.

Which to me strikes me as a bit odd, right because we are looking at the whole safety of all the stakeholders but we took that on and we have yet to meet the OCA epidemiologist nor see a report.

Uhm, that being said, as to electronic monitor, I will say that while the city did announce it, it was not a program that was over utilized, utilized at all. In many ways it wasn't. In some ways, clients did and client families did appreciate during the height of a pandemic this option but again, as we sort of move to this next phase, electronic monitoring is community confinement and it shouldn't be used in lieu of providing services that actually truly decarcerate and remove, remove over surveilling of clients that are in the community waiting for their matters to move.

In fact, what we have seen during this time is that clients who have stayed in contact with us, we had provided cellphones to all of our teams. We are

J

able to connect our clients and are connecting to our clients on a regular basis answering questions for them.

And so, that sort of breath of services again, services are important but it is a time for us to think about providing these services separate and apart than a pending matter. Separate and apart from the negotiation that happens with the District Attorney about an outcome of a case.

And removing the criminal legal system from actually the service provisions in the communities and in fact, I am happy to hear that their atlas program is taking a different — it was mentioned by MOCJ to sort of remove it actually and put it into communities and away from the criminal legal system.

With that, I will turn it over to my colleagues who may have other things to add.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: You know, I just want to interject because you hit on a lot of good stuff.

What resources and programs would your organization need in the long run to support some of the types of things that you just discussed?

TINA LUONGO: Right, you know, for us it is the defense team. The holistic defense team, right?

2.

It's the thing that Janet and Justine talked about when we talked about the equity of funding our staffs that provide the nonlegal services.

Sometime, I am going to say this, I am a Defender and a Defender at heart and very proud of being a lawyer but I will say that the people who are most often the folks on our teams that are providing the services that the clients need the most is not always the attorney. It is the social worker, it is the paralegal, it's the community organizer, it's the support person, it's our hot person who fields a call from a hotline.

They are the folks that are working to connect the systems to create the framework. So, that staffing and a recognition that when we are talking about pay parity and we are talking about staffing up, we are talking about staffing our entire teams. And was is really interesting Chairwoman is to sort of recognize the nexus between what was talked a lot about today. Even during MOCJ talking about how the number of people incarcerated during COVID has gone up.

Now, I stand with Justine and the members of the public who are going to talk about Commissioner

2.2

Shea's sort of fear mongering. That you know that the sky is falling and our streets are terribly violent. In fact though, the roll back of the bail reforms is actually what has caused this and also the fact that we were in an unprecedented pandemic and services were cut off mostly for clients with mental health needs and we are here.

And so, at the pivot moment where the roll back of bail COVID has created an increase in people in at Rikers, on more serious charges, you need experience. That is pay parity too and the conversation we should have about our staff that are six years and above and the most senior people who can actually help and come support people who are navigating multiple issues as a result of being accused of a serious crime.

And so, it's support services in terms of staffing and it is also I will say that there was a talk about Project Reset and while I really think that it is time for us in this city to think about how we can do away with project programs like that and just simply not make arrests of people on low level offenses. It has created a value right now during COVID to help us continue to keep people connected in services.

2.2

into the community.

LISA SCHREIBERSDORF: Can I add something to that. I really, I think it's important to be really thoughtful about who should be providing services as we divest from the criminal legal system and while I agree completely and I know it is completely critical and crucial that we play a role in that transition period and I really appreciate you asking that. is really important that we only be seen as a bridge and that all of these processes that we are trying to do seen as a bridge to moving all of these services 

Because this is not about you know okay, well, arrest as many people, the DA's will be gracious and divert people. We will provide all these services and then everybody you know, sort of a happy ending, right? That is not really what the criminal legal system should be doing.

We should be litigating whether or not somebody committed a very serious you know accusation against them or not. We should be litigating in court. We should be holding government accountable to proper behavior. There are times when it is very clear that services are really needed in order to resolve a case. But all of these services which we sometimes

2.2

call wrap around services, which my office you know, has extensive housing, education, employment. You know and benefits and every other kind of service, immigration particular. These things are a bridge to like really hold like the line while we divest from the entire criminal legal system and make all of these services available in the community, on demand, when needed through respite you know centers and all kinds of ways in which people can drop in to take care of a crisis and avoid all of us and you know that will be a successful ending.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Okay, thank you. I am going to ask one more area here and then I am going to ask my colleagues to chime in with their question. Just in talking about Article 10 cases, we haven't really touched on the family court contracts a whole lot over the past what about an hour now we have been chatting I think, maybe a little over. Which is fine.

Uhm, the past three fiscal years have included \$8.7 million in one time funding to support an increase in Article 10 family and abuse neglect cases. MOCJ and OMB shared with the Committee that they were continuing to monitor the situation. Via

2.2

FY 2022 Preliminary Plan did not include one time funding this year. Is it safe to assume that this means that the issue has been resolved or do family court providers require more funding? What is the dollar figure required to right size the Article 10 Budget?

JUSTINE OLDERMAN: Thank you for highlighting that. I do often feel that even MOCJ forgets that they are the funder for our parent, critical parent representation work. So, this has been a consistent issue for our organization since Fiscal Year '17.

That every year, we start the year at Fiscal Year '16 levels, even though MOCJ itself has acknowledged that those levels are woefully inadequate to be able to meet current need.

And so, there is nothing about this year that is different. While intake is down, as it is in lots of you know different court systems, the measure of our workload is our pending matters, as well as looking at how complicated that representation is and across all of our different practice areas and certainly when it comes to parent representation. Representing parents who have had their children taken from them, who are desperately every second of every day, trying

2.

2.1

Z <del>4</del>

to access the services and the courts necessary to be able to reunite their families has become exceedingly difficult.

So, the system did not stop for family separation but it essentially ground to a halt for family reunification. So, our pending case load is growing. The work of connecting people to the services that are the precursor to family reunification, to being able to get cases in front of the courts to be able to litigate separation and litigate reunification is extremely difficult.

And so, there is nothing about the work that has changed but it has got more complicated and more difficult. And yet, the funding has been once again put back at Fiscal Year '16 levels.

Lisa, I don't have in front of me, I don't know if you do the total numbers but I can tell you for our organization, we are normally funded in the range of \$10 million. And what they have told us will be in our Fiscal Year '22 amendment is in the range of \$7 million.

LISA SCHREIBERSDORF: It's very similar, yeah.

JUSTINE OLDERMAN: In years past, right, we have sort of like on a wing and a prayer. We just you

2.2

know, we keep marching forward with faith that OMB

will actually deliver and restore you know the

necessary funding levels. You know, as like sort of

Lisa mentioned with respect to NYIFUP, this year we

are more concerned. Especially with MOCJ and OMB in

particular having really betrayed their pay parity

promise.

We have some concerns about what is going to happen this year and we really need the Council's help making sure that those funding levels are restored. I mean that, without those, the restoration of those funding levels, it would literally be catastrophic for families in low-income communities that we serve.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Okay, thank you very much.

JUSTINE OLDERMAN: Chair Adams, one other thing I just wanted to bring to your attention.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Yes, sure.

JUSTINE OLDERMAN: Not as critical but just to make you aware since you were asking about Article 10, is that we — MOCJ is already behind in the RFP process for Article 10 representation.

I think we have Chairperson Rosenthal; I am looking at your hands on your face. I share that. I

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL:

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

Thank you.

share that expression. Internally, my hands are on my face too. So, we are a year behind and as you are, I am

sure you know well aware, there has been a lot of turnover at the agency and we have some real concerns about the timeliness of that process and it being rushed. RFP's are really incredible opportunities to rethink the way we are representing low-income The kinds of services we are providing. people. opportunity to look at those studies and see what works and how you know we can ultimately build a really successful program. And if it is rushed and there isn't the opportunity for meaningful input, even if we get it done on time, you know we are in danger of not coming out really with the kind of thoughtful new uhm, you know sort of basis for future contracting that parents deserve.

Thank you. I appreciate your CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: testimony. Counsel, turning it over to you for Council Member questions.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: I have Council Member Rosenthal.

\_

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Thank you so much. It is so refreshing to hear all of you talk because this morning, I, we were in la-la-land and you know you need somebody to say, to validate like, you know, no. You know, it's nonsensical.

So, I really appreciate you all for that. So, I have some just quick nerdy technical questions. Uhm, does everyone have a contract registered for this fiscal year? Any contract?

TINA LUONGO: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: You know, one thing you could do is, I don't know if you already do this. Do you use the returnable loan grant?

JANET SABEL: We have tried. We have actual had begun conversations about that and we were told I believe that MOCJ doesn't have any money to put towards that. You are talking about going to the fund for the City of New York right? They told us that there was no money.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Yeah, they don't know what they are talking about.

JANET SABEL: But they were saying they wouldn't assist us in that process.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Right, so you need to reach out to the Mayor's Office of Contracts.

JANET SABEL: Okay.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: And I am sure staff
here can send it along to all of you. But that's who
you reach out to, to make it happen. And then the
second reason I am asking if you already have
something registered is because if there is a
registered contract but they are late in payment, the
city owes you interest on that money.

Uhm and I don't quite know how to finagle it because of course you don't have a contract registered with the you know indirect rates or the pay parity or the additional work but I think it is worth exploring. I mean, have you all sort of added up how much interest you are paying on the loans you have to take out?

JANET SABEL: Well, we haven't addressed that issue at Legal Aid but what I will say is that there is another wrinkle because this is an interesting idea but you know for some of our contracts, in order to make any of these changes, like pay parity or a new addition of any kind of money, we are being asked to submit a budget modification. And once there is a

2.2

budget modification in the system, it stops payment

3 of the other contracts.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Wait, what?

JANET SABEL: That's how -

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: So, you have a registered contract?

JANET SABEL: But when you are processing a budget modification, I believe I am getting this correct. But when you process a budget modification, you can't make submissions under your registered contract if you are modifying — if there is a budget mod outstanding that affects your registered contract.

So, it may create a situation where they are not technically late because we are doing everything through the budget mod process. But one of the things that we are trying to talk to them about is how do we deal with this?

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Yeah.

JANET SABEL: How do we do budget mods over here but you pay us over you know, what we are owed.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Yeah, let's take this discussion offline. I don't want to use too much time. It's incredible important and I see that the

2.2

finance staff is on this Zoom, so I will follow up with her and maybe we can try to unravel what's going on there.

JANET SABEL: Great.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Uhm, yeah, I am still back at my heads in my hands. I can't — it's hard to get beyond that and just you know really appreciate and admire the work you do.

Do you think that on, you know how there is right to counsel for housing? I mean, why don't we have right to counsel for these other issues? Is that hard?

LISA SCHREIBERSDORF: I am not clear which issue you are -

JUSTINE OLDERMAN: Well, the parent representation right, it's not across the country but in New York State, there is right to counsel for parent representation. And obviously in criminal courts, it's constitutionally mandated.

There is right to counsel now through the city funded, City Council Funded Initiative for NYIFUP and there is the right to counsel that is still growing in housing court. The other areas that you know Adriene talked about, all the other sort of life

essentials, you know don't require representation.

Don't require counsel and Adriene you can speak to
this much more. There is sort of a movement across
the country for a more universal right to counsel in
civil proceedings. I don't know if there is anything
you want to add about that Adriene.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: She needs to be unmuted. Thank you.

ADRIENE HOLDER: Thanks. I don't have a lot to add but absolutely, there is definitely a movement uhm, you know that we are calling Civil Gideon because I think as people who are trying to look at how it is that we can eradicate poverty and make sure that folks are able to fully realize what we are supposed to be promising in our society that there would be this emphasis on funding these other services.

And so, you know, New York has been a leader in particular areas of some of this and it would be great to be able to see it expand. You can tell by the way that we actually coordinate with our sibling organizations on immigration services and how we feel about the holistic representation, which all of the three organizations on this panel really embrace.

2.2

That it really is creating true opportunities and helping to really get to the issue of resolving the systemic racism and inequalities that our clients in our client communities are facing.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Well, uhm, Adriene can you and I follow up on that and maybe you know, Chair Adams will pursue it you know legislatively. You know Chair Adams; we could talk about that. I don't know, you know just sort of kick around these ideas. Because HRA has embraced the right to counsel for housing. You know, would MOCJ embrace you know right to counsel on the other issues, I don't know.

Uhm, the other thing I wanted to ask you experts is it sounds like you are not thrilled with the Mayor's plan that he came up with. Do you have a — have you mapped out a strategic plan for how to you know get to where all of you are talking about where we are going?

TINA LUONGO: I will certainly say that you know our office, the Bronx Defenders, Neighborhood

Defender Services of Harlem had three representatives that on behalf of all the Defender organizations choose to join what was supposed to be an integrated working group rights taskforce in our city to come up

2.1

2.2

with this plan that would have to buy in of mostly community members. And Justine was in those meetings but I will tell you that the report back I continue to get from Corey Stoughton who heads up our law reform unit who is one of the members, over and over was that it was a sham. There was no real buy in. That the meetings were so structured even with the Defenders that it was a PR campaign.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Yeah.

TINA LUONGO: And certainly, you know we have a community justice unit that's part of the Cure Violence with a sort of legal arm of the holistic approach and even those members who are in the community working with our partners would be given the link a couple hours before for the "community towns halls" and they were structured such that there were no real questions. That the questions were fed through a webinar format and they were all selected by the Commissioner to answer.

And so, the real plan here has to start with communities and what we talked about earlier today which is the real investment in communities to have this idea of public safety be owned by them and less from our police.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I don't know if Justine, you want to sort of talk also about some of the details about how you kept trying?

Yeah, I mean we talked about JUSTINE OLDERMAN: it you know before at other hearings that Chair Adams, you know you have held even before the plan came out. You know and I will share with you since you asked this question I think Chair Adams this morning. You know what kind of outreach did they do after the plan came out? I will tell you candidates, they reached out to us. The three Defenders that were originally invited to be part of this sort of sham committee and we told them very clearly, that it is like a little - you know, it's too late for meaningful input from us. And that they have lost all of our trust and we don't trust them to be able to take that input you know and have it incorporated in any meaningful way.

You asked questions about how many people had you know commented. That shouldn't have been hard for them to find you know about what the community had responded and how many people had responded to the plan. Like, it's clear that their plan is just to hope that City Council rubber stamps this and they

Τ

can go back to sort of the smoke and mirrors of reform and trainings and enhancements and groups that take a closer look at things.

The plan really has to come from Council. The plan really has to be and I hope we are starting it today is to convince the Council that voting yes on this plan is not going to bring about the transformation that this city needs and deserves.

That the plan you know, if and when there is one that this Council votes on and advances, it has got to include divestment in whole from huge swaths of the things that we have essentially just turned over to the Police Department to solve for us. That they really have no business being involved in.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Yeah, thank you. I am going to see it back to the Chair because I know that we have been going a long time. So, but Chair Adams, I mean and to everyone, Justine, I still have your card sitting on my dresser should anything —

JUSTINE OLDERMAN: You can call anytime.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: But you know, I am looking around and assume that all the people who I know really have access to the answers. Have access to community to get us to the right path and I just

wanted to let you know how much I appreciate your work.

JUSTINE OLDERMAN: Thank you and we appreciate your support.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Council Member. I don't see any other hands raised from other Council Members. So, Chair, unless you have more questions, I think we will turn to public testimony.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: I just want to say thank you again to our great partners. Legal Aid and of course our great Defenders. Again, your input is invaluable to this Committee and to my colleagues. We take nothing that you say lightly. We do take everything that you say to heart. So, we thank you very much for your input as always.

Thank you so much for being a part of this panel today. Thank you.

PANEL: Thank you Chair. Thank you very much. Thank you for holding an extraordinary hearing.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you everyone and I will be turning over Moderator duties to Matt Thompson.

Thank you very much and thank you to the members of the public who have waited for so long.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Great job today Dan. Thank you.

MODERATOR: Alright, thank you Council and thank you Chair. We will now turn to public testimony. I would like to remind everyone that unlike our typical Council hearings, we will be calling individuals one by one to testify.

Each panelist will be given three minutes to speak. Please begin your testimony once the Sergeant has started the timer. Council Members who have questions for a particular panelist should use the Zoom raise hand function and I will call on you in the order you have raised your hand, after the panelist has completed their testimony.

Council Members, you will have a total of five minutes to ask your question and receive an answer from the panelists. For panelists, once your name is called, a member of our staff will unmute you and the Sergeant at Arms will set the timer, then give you the go ahead to begin. Please wait for the Sergeant to announce that you may begin before delivering your testimony.

I will now like to welcome the following panelists to testify. First up is Shane Correia from

2.2

the Center for Court Innovation. After Shane, I will calling on Ravi Reddi from the Asian American

Federation followed by Michelle Cortese from the

Center for Family Representation and then followed by

Tehra Coles from the Center for Family

Representation.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

SHANE CORREIA: Great, thank you so much Chair Adams and member of the New York City Council for being here and throughout this entire day of testimony.

My name is Shane Correia, I work at the Center for Court Innovation and I want to focus this testimony on some of the most time sensitive issues and time permitting to the longer horizon issues that COVID has created uncertainty about for us and our organization.

Our first issue is related to the Innovative

Criminal Justice Initiative, which accounts for core

funding for the Center for Court Innovation to

flexibly respond to the needs of our communities.

During the Fiscal Year '21 budget, our award was

halved and during COVID that was unfortunate as we

had to make hard choices to focus on issues like

2.2

donors.

housing stability, mental health responses and
domestic violence programming while simultaneously
cutting services due to the budget cuts in the areas
like antigun violence programming, child trauma
support and DWI screenings and assessments during a
year when traffic safety deaths reached some of the

highest levels since the start of Vision Zero.

We ask Council to support a return at Fiscal Year '20 levels or more so, so that we can continue to pilot, evaluate and assess models that grow through public — that we can grow through public and private funding spanning all levels of governments and

Next, in regarding the issue of reducing unnecessary incarceration, we are heartened to see the commitment to the points of agreement. As an organization that implements programs relevant to that, we would like to draw your attention to the issue on pre-arraignment diversion. Currently, we operate Project Reset in the Bronx, thanks to Schedule C Council funding. However, with no date in the POA, we are uncertain as to when the city plans to rollout citywide funding for pre-arraignment diversion again.

\_

\_\_\_

During Fiscal Year '20, we implemented it in the outer boroughs but by the end of the Fiscal Year, due to the pandemic, funds were cut and we were again limited to the services that we operate in the Bronx, thanks to Council support. And in Manhattan, thanks to support from dwindling asset forfeiture funds from DANI[SP?].

We ask that Council help provide clarity for us so that we can understand when more New Yorkers will have access to pre-arraignment diversion, which helps prevent unnecessary bench warrants to help us lower the population in Rikers.

The next issue that I would like to draw your attention is that regarding the Mayor's Action Plan and our program of neighborhood safety initiatives which helps connect residents in some of the most violently hit public housing communities with administration officials that their voices can be directly heard.

While most of MAP has been baselined, this community engagement portion of the program is set to expire in Fiscal Year 2022. We are hoping for clarity so that we can understand how to best support

these communities longer term and ensure their voices are heard going forward.

With my time limiting, I won't start on the other two components but I look forward to being able to connect with you all in the future and thank you for taking this time to listen to us.

MODERATOR: Thank you. I will now be calling on Ravi Reddi to testify.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

RAVI REDDI: Thank you so much for your patience and giving us the opportunity to speak today. You have been here forever and just thank you for the opportunity and still have the ears to hear what we have to say.

I am Ravi Reddi, the Associate Director for Advocacy and Policy at the Asian American Federation. These City Budget conversations are coming at a critical time for our community and for the entire city. As we look to the pandemic recovery, we are staring down an unprecedented rise in anti-Asian hate crimes rooted in racist rhetoric since the beginning of the pandemic. And since early 2020, nearly 500 reports of bias incidents and hate crimes have been collected by our reporting tool, the Stop AAPI Hate

2.2

platform, NYPD and the City's Commission on Human Rights.

The rise in anti-Asian xenophobia and violence in our city has been palpable since the first news of COVID-19 hit our airwaves and has compounded the practical challenges our community members are facing alongside our fellow New Yorkers. From an 81-year-old Asian woman who was lit on fire by two assailants last year in Brooklyn to the violent assault of a Filipino American Noel Quintana on his way to work earlier this month, vivid, violent assaults on Asian New Yorkers are impacting how our community members relate to their City.

Bias incidents are significantly underreported, as 70 percent of Asian New Yorkers are immigrants and systemic factors like high poverty, high limited

English proficiency and lack of immigration status deter reporting and reinforce continued systemic inadequacies. As such, City Council must use this budget to address the dire need to expand the capacity to track anti-Asian bias incidents, including supporting efforts by Asian organizations to collect reports in language and through channels most accessible to the community.

21

2.2

23

24

25

certain neighborhoods.

2 But that's only one facet of the challenge. recent survey conducted by our organization of Asian 3 small business owners showed that over 60 percent of 4 respondents said they were worried about anti-Asian 5 bias and hate crimes for the safety of themselves, 6 7 their staff, and their business establishment. And our most vulnerable, our seniors, continue to be 8 further isolated within their own city, not just due 9 to the pandemic but also because they are afraid of 10 getting attacked if they go out. The City needs to 11 12 invest in community-based safety measures run by Asian organizations to provide an immediate response 13 14 to street violence and support the coordination and 15 roll-out of a safety ambassador program to escort 16 vulnerable Asian immigrants in public spaces like public trains, public transportation and all the 17 18 while training volunteers in de-escalation strategies so they can serve as a safe, deterring presence in 19

From the creation of safety pamphlets and eresources to continued robust community engagement on
self-defense with community members who trust them
first, our CBOs are doing the work and our City needs
to support them as they lead by example.

\_

MODERATOR: Thank you for your testimony.

would like to now call on the next panelist who will be Yao Chang from the Anti-Violence Project followed

by Jasmine Bowden, also from the Anti-Violence

The City's approach to public safety must also consider how to help victims heal from traumatic events. We are asking the City to fund recovery services in Asian languages to help victims heal from their attacks, including providing access to a victim compensation fund and supporting a network of Asian community-based organizations that can provide mental health support, legal services and other supportive services.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

RAVI REDDI: Furthermore, we must support programs that increase access to mental health services for all communities since perpetrators themselves may have mental illness and need services so as not to further harm others and themselves.

I am going to cut out my conclusion and just say, thank you so much for being here, giving us this space and listening to us and we look forward to working with all of you.

\_

Project followed by Michael Sisitzky from the New York Civil Liberties Union.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

YAO CHANG: Uhm, good afternoon Committee Chairs.

My name is Yao Chang and I am a Staff Member in the

Community Organizing and Public Advocacy Department

of the New York City Anti-Violence Project AVP.

So, AVP empowers lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, queer and HIV effected communities and allies to end all forms of violence. We do this through organizing, education, counseling and advocacy.

Today, I am advocating to promote the safety and wellbeing for all LGBTQIA plus survivors at the Pride March in Manhattan New York outside of policing.

Many LGBTQIA plus survivors who we serve face police violence and disproportionate criminalization in their daily lives. Especially working class, HIV effected, undocumented people of color.

We must take all violence including police violence seriously. To end violence against all LGBTQIA plus survivors, we can start by removing cops from Pride, defunding VICE and defunding the NYPD and

2.2

shifting resources to alternative and accountable methods of addressing violence.

This includes the hate violence prevention initiative that was cut completely last year, which offered public bystander intervention trainings and a community-based reporting and rapid response actions to address violence in our communities. It also includes the outreach to persons in the Sex Trades Initiative which offers resources and services to Sex Workers instead of criminalization.

Police are a main source of violence for our community including their ineffectual and violent policing of the pandemic and the brutal crackdowns on protestors and the George Floyd uprisings. Last year, our hotline received significantly increased calls from LGBTQIA plus participants at Pride events and the protests against police brutality for George Floyd.

We need to address this issue now and remove cops from Pride. We have spoken with and heard from our community members that the increasing presence of police at Pride over the past decade has been a deterrent for their participation because they know they will not be safe.

SERGEANT AT ARMS:

Time starts now.

Most of all, police should not be at a March whose origins began with queer and trans people of color and sex workers resisting and rebelling against police violence and police raids in the stonewall rebellion. Many of our communities experience police as a source of violence and do not feel safe going to the police when they face violence. We should be diverting and defunding the NYPD's bloated \$6 billion budget especially eliminating VICE's \$18 million budget to fund community-based solutions. Including community security at Pride and training and resources for community members to intervene on hate violence as well as resources for people on the sex trades.

We know the city is in a challenging financial position but we strongly urge the City Council to restore this funding for all of these resources and community based initiatives to Fiscal Year 2020 levels. We appreciate the past support and we look forward to working with you. Thank you.

MODERATOR: Thank you for your testimony. I will now turn to Jasmine Bowden from the Anti-Violence Project.

2.2

JASMINE BOWDEN: Hi, can everyone hear me? Good afternoon Committee, Chairs. My name is Jasmine Bowden and I am a Community Member of the New York City Anti-Violence Project AVP.

AVP empowers lesbians, gays, bisexual, transgender, queer and HIV effected communities and all forms of violence through education, counseling, advocacy and organizing. Today, I am advocating for more resources to go to address violence against our communities. The communities AVP serves.

Many forms of violence have increased during the COVID pandemic including hate violence. Violence against LGBTQ New Yorkers, Asian and many others has not stopped during the pandemic. Especially against Black transwomen of color.

On January 4<sup>th</sup>, I was pepper sprayed with derogatory remarks on the New York City street and when I went to the police, they did not make me feel like a victim and would not support me in what I needed or tell me the information I needed to respond to this violence.

Many in my community do not feel save going to the police when they face violence. One example, my recent experience on January  $4^{\rm th}$ . That's why it is

2.1

2.2

important to have alternative safety approaches by

3 | the Hate Crime Prevention Initiative that funds

4 organizations like AVP to continue to build safe ways

5 to report and mobilize members to combat hate

6 violence in their communities.

We request that City Council to divert some of the NYPD's astronomical budget to fund community-based solutions. We know the city is in a challenging financial position but we urge the City Council to restore the funding at a Fiscal Year 2020 levels. We appreciate past support and look forward to working with you. Thank you.

MODERATOR: Thank you for your testimony. I will now like to invite Michael Sisitzky from the New York Civil Liberties Union to testify.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

MICHAEL SISITZKY: Thank you Chair Adams and members of the Committee. My name is Michael Sisitzky, Senior Policy Council with the New York Civil Liberties Union. I want to briefly address the Administrations Reform Plan.

In short, this plan has further proved that the Administration has learned nothing from the past year of protests and demands for real reductions in the

countless ways in which policing causes real harms to communities of color. Instead, the proposals we are seeing are largely more of the same approaches that have already been tried, that have long been promised as the ways to reform and improve policing and that have been entirely inadequate to that task.

We have been told for years that more trainings, more community and neighborhood policing, more policies on discipline would transform the NYPD then improve its relationship with the communities but they haven't. But the administration's plan doubles down on these approaches. Calling for more training, calling for more integration of police into community infrastructures and continuing to pretend as if the NYPD's Disciplinary Matrix is the be all and end all on accountability. As opposed to what it really is and a nonbinding set of guidelines subject to the police commissioner's unbridled discretion.

On transparency and oversight, the plans commitments ring hallow. We have seen how committed the NYPD is to transparency when they release their so-called disciplinary dashboard that continues to hide the overwhelming majority of police disciplinary records. And on oversight, the plans expansion of

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

the CCRB still doesn't address the fundamental imbalance of power between that agency and the NYPD.

And it puts all of the existing oversight functions, including functions that currently exist in other agencies, into the one agency that actually has direct Police Commissioner appointments with leadership structure and the Police Commission and the Mayor have continued to resist calls for supporting the one thing that could actually lead to a fundamental move towards greater accountability, which is removing the Police Commissioner's monopoly on disciplinary decision making.

And just a note on process, executive order to agree was issued back in June of 2020 and we have known since then there was a first deadline. And towards that deadline, that includes all the steps in this process, developing the proposal, soliciting input, revising the draft in light of that input and having a real opportunity for debate and discussion. But here we are, there is just over two weeks to go and we only saw the first draft of any part of this plan on March 4<sup>th</sup>.

And as it turns out, there was only part one with part two not being released until March 12th.

2.2

is shameful. There is no excuse for how long the Administration delayed in this process and it's slap in the face to the communities across the city to slowly roll out these plans in bits and pieces at the very last minute, while trying to say with a straight face that they will still meaningfully incorporate public feedback.

We said it before that this process has been a shame and it is has done a real disservice to New Yorkers who have been calling for a real reimagining of community safety.

So, in this moment, we really look to the Council to take on a leadership role that has been so sorely lacking from this administration. While the timing of the plans released was unacceptable, the fact that we are now discussing it as part of the Preliminary Budget Hearing, drives home just how much this conversation is tied up with how the —

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time is expired.

MICHAEL SISITZKY: City allocates our resources.

The Mayor's plan is a plan for continued overinvestment in policing at the expense of services like healthcare, housing, education and other supports that can actually address and meet peoples

2.2

basic and fundamental needs. And the Council must ensure that we are making the appropriate investments in actual community wellbeing. And not merely accepting a plan that maintains or increases investments in an agency like the NYPD that has shown time and time again that it is unwilling to reform itself. Thanks so much.

MODERATOR: Thank you for your testimony. I would now like to call up the next three panelists who will be Joo-Hyun Kang, uh, please forgive me for any mispronunciations, Communities and Adequate Police Reform followed by Donald Nesbit from Local 379 and then followed by Jim Hamlin-Mcleod from Local 1549 as well as Ralph Palladino from Local 1549.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

JOO-HYUN KANG: Thank you. My name is Joo-Hyun Kang with Communities and Adequate Police Reform. First, I want to thank Chair Adams of course, not only for holding this hearing but also raising really critical and detailed questions for the Administration this morning.

And also, naming the need to [INAUDIBLE 8:13:37]. So, I just want to start off with that. CPR is an organization that runs coalitions on various issues

including over 200 organizations under coalitions that are legislated and otherwise face accountable as well as safety.

I was going to spend most of time talking about the Preliminary Budget but instead most of my comments are going to be in relationship to some of the comments this morning from the Administration on the Mayor's Plan.

On the budget, there is just three things I want to say. One is that I want to clarify that in spite of what Commissioner Shea and others said this morning under oath, the NYPD Budget was not cut by \$1 billion in FY21. In fact, the City's Comptroller, the Independent Budget Office, the Citizens Budget Commission have all verified that it is not \$1 billion that was cut in FY21.

Secondly, that the FY22 Proposed Budget cuts increased the NYPD budget while other areas including Parks, Sanitation and youth programs are cut and that of course CPR will be calling for a significant decrease in FY22's NYPD bloated budget and redirection of those funds with deep investments in community safety infrastructure.

\_

2.2

On Mayor's Plan, a few things that we wanted to say in terms of the "reform and reinvention plan."

One is similar to what we said in January that this plan is a set up in many ways forcing the Council to have to vote in a matter of days on a plan that was sent out with hundreds of pages that very few people in the city have even seen.

Secondly, that the process was so bad that in late January, CPR member organization, partner organizations launched a separate effort of redefining community safety. Holding and series of forums throughout the city or virtual forums with various venues in the city to talk about areas that would actually increase safety in communities that didn't rely necessarily and exclusively on these.

On the Mayor's plan itself, there is absolutely nothing in the plan right now that is meaningful that would reduce police violence or increase accountability. In fact, many of the items would actually expand the NYPD's bloated budget, downsize power as well as its role in daily lives. Some examples of this include: The inappropriate roles including fixing basketball courts that could be done by NYCHA or the Parks Department or even the Saturday

2.1

2.2

Night Lights program that could be run by other agencies.

The last thing I want to say with the remaining time is just that the NYPD was being very misleading this morning in terms of what they are actually doing about this plan, both on the Discipline Matrix as well as the existing database and have to explain that further. And the last two comments is just that fundamentally we believe that decreasing police violence has to include decreasing the role of policing in our daily lives.

That includes reducing the budget as well as the scope and power and outside power. Not only the NYPD but the outside power.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

JOO-HYUN KANG: Thank you.

MODERATOR: Thank you. I would now like to turn Donald Nesbit from Local 379.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Donald, we see you. You have been on all day. There you are.

DONALD NESBIT: Yeah, sorry about that. I was having a little technical difficulty. So, thank you to the Committee members. Thank you Chairwoman Adams

2.2

and the distinguished members of the Committee. I am

Executive Vice President Donald Nesbit from Local

372. We represent 2,600 school crossing guards under the leadership of Shaun D. François I.

Local 372 school crossing guards are often the frontline to improve the safety for students who walk, bicycle or take transit to school. Student pedestrians often face major safety traffic hazards every day caused by double and triple parked cars at bus stops in front or near school buildings.

As essential workers, school crossing guards remain vigilant throughout this pandemic even when the schools were shut down. Approximately, 90 percent of school crossing guards are female working daily at a 25 hour cap part-time schedule that includes early morning, lunch time and school hours to serve 1.1 million charter, parochial and public school children.

Additionally, many of our members are at higher risks because of their age with 33 percent of the membership being over 55 years old. Our workforce is predominantly Black and Latino and 85 percent living and working in the zip codes with the highest COVID rates much higher than other communities.

However, despite their role on the frontlines, school crossing guards are not often treated like essential workers. Crossing guards do not get paid for snow days and certain holidays. Days when schools are shut down or even when the city remains open.

Leaving their paychecks dependent upon the weather or whatever conditions may happen with schools in New York City. On top of this immediate tangible concern of loss of wages, this also represents an issue of equity for our members.

Despite working under the New York City Police

Department, school crossing guards are functionally support services to New York City schools and similar to others that we represent.

Likewise, school crossing guards do not get some of the compensation for lost time and schools in situations happen in school. Additionally, the role for the first line of defense for students and pedestrians often place school crossing guards in a vulnerable position where no immediate assistance are had.

School crossing guards are at risk, not only from cars rearing to close or from uhm viral exposure to

2.2

from people in the street. A number of school crossing guards have been victims of on-duty assaults which is a violent felony on the current state law.

Local 372 respectfully requests the city fund into support the promotion of a citywide campaign of public awareness to stop the violence against school crossing guards.

Again, I thank the Committee. I thank you Chair and Committee for the opportunity to testify before you today and on behalf of the Local 372 school crossing guards. I thank you.

MODERATOR: Thank you for your testimony. Next up, we will have Jim Hamlin-Mcleod from Local 1549.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

JIM HAMLIN-MCLEOD: Good afternoon Chairwoman

Adams. Good afternoon Council members. My name is

Jim Hamlin-Mcleod, I am a grievance rep for Local

1549. I am one of the grievance reps who represents

all the police administrative aids, the senior police

administrative aids, the clerical associates, the

police communication technicians and supervising

police communication technicians for NYPD.

Okay, they are the city employees who have and are still working tirelessly through this COVID-19 pandemic. They are the eyes and ears of NYPD. They administratively and physically support the department.

Their jobs were created to the purpose of keeping police on the streets to better serve the public by community policing. Why today we have lost so many of these jobs to uniform officers? I notice that the Commissioner spoke about earlier about innovative ways to save and cut costs for the Department. But one of the ways you can cut costs is stop getting rid of civilization. Hire more PAA's, SPAA's. Through attrition we have lost as well as he has lost in uniform members of services.

We have lost 400 PAA's and about 140 SPAA's okay. They have not replaced them. The staff is not giving overtime as he said that they are spending out there. Councilman Levin, I hope when he gets his report back on overtime, he asks about civilianization overtime because there is none there and there none to be blamed on the civilians there. Okay, I was flabbergasted by Councilman Lander and his report about how their only loss of \$417 million opposed to

that \$1 billion budget that they were supposed to have lost and they have gained a \$196 million increase. Again, he talked about innovative ways to cut cost and my thing is to civilianization.

He had 500 officers pretty much doing civilian work, okay. If they are in there doing civilian work, they are also in there doing civilian work with overtime. They will get overtime for doing administrative work. They get overtime for working through the 911 system.

Not to long ago, the last couple of months, he had, they had, the department had about 60 police officers being trained to take 911 operators work and I don't understand if we are in a crisis and all hands on deck, then those 500 officers that he has doing administrative work for the department should be out there helping the city brings those numbers down.

He said we have an increase of gun arrests or shootings up by 40 percent. That's alarming. All hands should be on deck and if all hands are on deck, then there should be more police officers out in the street and not inside the precincts.

S

2.2

We know civilianization saves NYPD and the city millions. The cost of a civilian is much cheaper than a uniform officer.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{JIM}}$  HAMLIN-MCLEOD: Thank you for your time and be safe.

MODERATOR: Thank you for your testimony. I would now like to call on Ralph Palladino from Local 159.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

RALPH PALLADINO: Sorry, good day. Greetings from Local 1549, local President Eddie Rodriguez and welcome to the new Chair of the Committee.

Local 1549 believes that there needs to be serious reform in terms of the police department. We agree that Albany needs to be looking at this. What should be included in the city's reform plan?

The city and the NYPD should make civilianization an important part of the reform package they will send to Albany. Former Mayor John Lindsey created the Police Administrative Aid, PAA title expressly for the purpose of civilianizing the NYPD. This good policy idea has never become a reality. New York City continues to be the worse city in the nation in

2.2

the ratio of uniform to civilian employees by far.

New York City is at the bottom of the ladder in

efforts to civilianize the tasks that should be

performed by PAA's, clerical associates, secretaries

and other civilian titles that are being performed by

higher paid uniform employees.

Local 1549 won three arbitrations ordering the NYPD to civilianize the clerical positions but this Administration and the one before it has refused to do so. This despite promises and assurances by various city leaders to follow through on civilianization. This years budget, the NYPD [LOST AUDIO 8:25:22-8:25:26] down sized clerical titles while continuing to have uniform employees perform our work. This was no the intent of the demands of the social justice movements led by Black Lives Matter. But the city and NYPD use it as a compliance to transfer some funding from policing to social services.

They eliminate jobs that could go to the community residents. The city talks about gaining support of neighborhoods and everyday people in the reform plan. A good way to accomplish that is to hire

Ŭ

people from the neighborhood and people from the community and not cut their jobs.

These decent paying jobs are disappearing from the NYPD and more of the work that's being performed by uniform employees, it is not a military organization. It is a civilian organization. If more community residents were hired off of civil service lists, the NYPD could use them as liaisons of good will. It could help reduce unemployment and get increased economic activity of the local neighborhoods.

Numbers do not lie. Look at the rest of my testimony that's six pages long and you will find the numbers back up what I say. Numbers do not lie about the reductions in staffing in the 911 centers either. While call numbers go up and new tasks are assigned to the PCT and SPCT's. Meanwhile uniform cadets are being used regularly for short periods to serve as backup rather than hiring more PCT's.

911 employees are first responders and essential workers and should be paid accordingly.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

RALPH PALLADINO: And hiring civil service interpreters would make the NYPD more neighborly

friendly also, showing they care about the diversity in language needs of the citizens. Thank you very much and I couldn't get my video working.

MODERATOR: Thank you for your testimony. Unless there are questions from Council Members, I will move onto the next panel.

Alright, seeing none, I would like to invite the next four members of the public up. They will be Andrea Bowen from the Sex Workers Project, Dawn Yuster from Advocates for Children, Sarah Sitzler from Riders for Black Lives as well as Anton Lowe also from Riders for Black Lives.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

ANDREA BOWEN: Thank you Chair Adams and Council Members and Council Staff. I am Andrea Bowen and I am Government Affairs Consultant at the Sex Workers Project at the Urban Justice Center.

The Sex Workers Project at Urban Justice Center or SWP provides clients under Legal Services to individuals who engage in sex work regardless of whether or not they do so by choice, circumstance or coercion. They seek a restoration of our \$100,000 in Speaker's Initiative funds cut in FY21 and a continuation of \$50,000 of our FY21 funding from the

2.2

support for persons involved in the Sex Trades

Initiative. We seek this to fill a gap in city legal services, workers rights legal services, those in legal sex trades. These workers are a great risk of wage theft, sexual harassment, sexual assault and federal and state labor laws are rarely enforced in these trades.

As regards to the Mayor's Police Reform and Reinvention Collaborative Draft Plan at the UP has noted its positive aspects in the press release that went out. However, NYPD and the Mayor must actually be held accountable for ending the police in the sex work and organizations like SWP intend to be a part of that accountability process.

Specifically related to the draft plan, major players were not actually consulted in the way that made clear to them that they were providing feedback on this NYPD reform plan. The process is far from complete. The task force coming from this should be a body that creates a real plan on changing the NYPD. It should be led by the Unity Project on the government side and entities that have a connection to the sex work community. To create a space that's public, formal and places emphasis on community

feedback and feeding into a final product whose process is clearly articulated from the get go.

And none of this should hold Council and the Mayor back from eliminated the VICE division of the NYPD and in the FY22 budget. Advocates for elimination of funding for NYPD's VICE enforcement division at approximately \$18.2 million with a reinvestment in human services that protects sex workers human rights while supporting them in their surrounding communities. A recent public article explains in depth how NYPD VICE clearly targets BIPOC communities and we should waste no time in eliminating VICE in this budget.

Thank you so much for your time and attention and I look forward to talking with you further and my contact information is in the testimony I will be emailing you.

MODERATOR: Thank you for your testimony. I will now like to invite Dawn Yuster from Advocates for Children.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

DAWN YUSTER: Good afternoon. My name is Dawn
Yuster and I am the Director of Advocates for
Children of New York's AFC's School Justice Project.

AFC works to ensure high quality education for New York students who face barriers to academic success.

Focusing on students from low-income backgrounds.

We are a member of dignity in Schools Campaign New

York. A coalition of youth, parents, educators and

advocates dedicated to ending the school to prison

pipeline. Through our work with students in New York

City, we have seen the significant and

disproportionate impact school policing has on Black

and Brown students.

While the number of students arrested has decreased, Black and Latinx students continue to comprise the vast majority of them. In the 2019-20 school year before schools close due to COVID-19, Black students in particular have had to bear the brunt of school policing, representing about 25 percent of all students and about 56.5 percent of students arrested and issued summonses in school.

The NYPD including school safety agents and precinct officers and not clinically trained mental health professionals had already intervened in more than 2,250 incidents involving students in emotional crisis. Handcuffing young, some as young as five years old.

2.2

Of the students handcuffed, 58 percent were Black. AFC works in coalition with youth, parents and school staff who have repeatedly called for the removal of police in schools. The experiences of these school community members have shared compelling stories and cannot be ignored.

Policing deeply impacts Black and Brown youth and has no place in our schools. Schools must be nurturing inclusive learning environments for all students. We support removing police officers, including school safety agents from schools and shifting NYPD funding from school policing to education and social services that will support a new vision of safety in schools.

We must ensure all students, especially Black and Latinx students who are disproportionately harmed by police are truly safe and supported. We support elements of the Mayor's plan to break the school to prison pipeline and some of the language in the plan about investing in school staff to support students social, emotional and behavioral needs, mirrors language in our recommendations in the Fiscal Year 2022 budget.

\_

However, we are deeply concerned that the recommendations in the Mayor's police reform plan related to school safety are mere words. As the blueprint fails to contain an action plan to truly invest in our students in school communities and keep our children safe and free from harm of policing practices.

For example, despite asserting that the city - SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

DAWN YUSTER: Despite asserting that the city may invest in staff trained and coached in providing direct services to students such as social workers, behavior specialists and trauma informed deescalation staff, the Mayor's plan does not include any steps to reach the goal.

Just a couple of more words. Also, the

Preliminary Budget only contains \$35 million to

address mental health and social and emotional needs

of students, while the school policing budget is over

\$450 million.

In addition to that, uhm, we are deeply troubled by the news that the city may spend \$20 million to hire 475 new school safety agents. Or from what we are hearing today 500 school safety agents based on

2.2

the new plan to start working in the school safety

division within the next few months and we

desperately need this money for schools to provide

social workers, behavior specialists, restorative

justice practitioners. So, that students can receive

the mental health supports and services that they

need instead of being handcuffed in school or

We really thank you for the opportunity to speak with you today. I would be happy to answer any questions. There is more information in our written testimony. Thank you so much.

MODERATOR: Thank you for your testimony. I will now like to invite up Sarah Sitzler from Riders for Black Lives to testify.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

otherwise policed.

SARAH STIZLER: Good afternoon Chair Adams and members of Council. My name is Sarah Sitzler, I a Resident of District 40 and a member of Riders for Black Lives and I am testifying today to ask the Council to divest from the NYPD budget and to reallocate funds to community led programs and resources that serve a BIPOC and low income

communities. Because public safety is rooted in community care and accountability.

What keeps us safe and reduces crime is all of us not only having our basic needs met by having the resources to thrive. More policing does not lesson crime. Neither reform nor training can be effective when they are born with an inherently racist institution.

Commissioner Shea recently went on record to apologize for systemic racism in the NYPD but we don't want his apologies or empty platitudes. We want his resignation. Commissioner Shea says he wants to work with the people, yet he couldn't even show up for the last Council hearing on Public Safety. He only shows up when the budget is up for discussion to spread lies and fear monger.

According to a DOI report on the NYPD's response to the Floyd protest as they are called, the strategic response group was documented as the most well trained, yet they were the most militant, violent aggressors out of anyone at the protests.

The SRG was created to handle counterterrorism and active shooters. Why are they being sent to peaceful protests? Where was community affairs? Why

2.2

helicopters flying over peaceful marches for hours at a time? Being utilized as a motive oppression and intimidation, flying over activists homes. Why did [INAUDIBLE 8:36:47] of the 84<sup>th</sup> Precinct point a gun at unarmed protestors with no recourse? Why do police vans show up to community cleanups last summer to surveil activists as were cleaning up our streets because of budget cuts in Sanitation left trash piling up on our streets? Why are peaceful protestors arrested on the Brooklyn Bridge or in Williamsburg being taken out of the way to the 75<sup>th</sup> precinct in East New York?

Cut the choppers, the unnecessary surveillance, the harassment, cut down the budget. I would also like to add that despite the heavy police presence at the protest, we have been in serious danger on several occasions when cars have driven through crowds. Both civilian cars and a police car have driven through crowds.

All the body cams and the best technology mean nothing when police are not held accountable for their actions. Providing public access to the misconduct of the NYPD like the Disciplinary Matrix

does nothing to remedy that misconduct and corruption itself.

4

If Commissioner Shea really cared about transparency and trust, he would remove himself as the sole overseer of the NYPD discipline. I urge you members of the Council divest from the NYPD.

7

8

5

6

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

9

10

BIPOC led, community led initiatives. Eradicate the school to prison pipeline, invest in social workers,

SARAH SITZLER: Invest in our communities through

11

counselors, after school programs, community centers,

13

12

resources for Black trans, for queer and nonbinary

14 15

police, they are safe, they are protected and they

community members. So, instead of being targeted by

16

are able to live their lives to the fullest

17

potential. Build a mental health and substance abuse

18

response team that excludes the NYPD. Base it off

19

20

Public safety is contingent upon not only having

21

our basic needs met but potential to prosper, to

22

build generational wealth, quality housing, education

23

and healthcare are rights.

the Cahoots program in Oregon.

24

Real crime prevention starts with community care and resources not more policing. Thank you so much for the time and allowing me to testify today.

MODERATOR: Thank you for your testimony. I will now like to invite up Anton Lowe from Riders for Black Lives.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

ANTON LOWE: Good afternoon everybody. Thank you for allowing us. I would like to second what Sarah just spoke about. More policing in our neighborhoods is not what we want. As a Black man, I don't want to see police with bigger guns and vests on and helmets and these types of things in my neighborhood. We don't want to see that anymore. We don't want to see that at peaceful protests. Where we have to worry about being arrested with the police for doing nothing but being peaceful in the streets.

We need better schools in our neighborhood. We need more opportunities in our neighborhood. Cleaner communities. We don't need more gentrified neighborhoods. The police only come when we feel like the White people are moving in and you guys want to make it safer for them. That's not what we need. We need protection in our own communities and to

build on our own communities. We need money for businesses in our communities. That's what we need in our communities and that's it for me. Thank you.

MODERATOR: Thank you for your testimony. I will now like to invite up the next four members of the public to testify. They will be Sandra Sanchez from the Ya-Ya Network followed by Angelique Larsen also from the Ya-Ya Network then Josh Melendez from Sisters and Brothers United followed by Humberto Flores from Sisters and Brothers United.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

SANDRA SANCHEZ: Good evening. My name is Sandra Sanchez here with the Ya-Ya Network at another hearing. Now engrave my name in your mind because I won't be going anywhere until we get what we are asking for again.

Public safety is bull and we all know this. Why do I say this? Because even with cops, people still die, hurt and mourn. Police in the U.S. killed 164 Black people in the first eight months of 2020. The Black Lives Matter movement during June was not the beginning of police brutality and some act like it was.

2.2

Families lost their loved ones to those people who are supposed to protect us. Breonna Taylor,

George Floyd, the list goes on an on. Now you want to hire more cops than you can afford. I am not surprised. This does really sound like New York City.

Where can this money be going you ask? Simple, during COVID we had to adjust to many changes.

Remote learning, unemployment rates on the rise and instead of putting the money to help those in need, you become selfish and solely focus on the least important people.

There are families who weren't homeless last year that are now on the streets begging for money. There are students who still are in need of devices for remote learning. There are people who are happier than ever before COVID and now find themselves in mental health crisis. Why can't the money go to them? Why can't you once not be so selfish and realize the world doesn't revolve around police. It never has.

Today, I am asking for a change and I mean more than just promises. We need action. We need real change. If cops are really trying to stop crime, why

2.1

2.2

do I always see them trying to catch those on a rush to work topping the trains but not catching those who are killing or stabbing or shooting around us. We need to defund the police. We need to stop treating kids like criminals and take metal detectors out of school.

The hiring of police needs to stop and we need to dismantle the system. We need to start suing or people will keep on getting hurt and dying. Thank you for your time.

MODERATOR: Thank you for your testimony. I will now like to call on Angelique Larsen, also from the Ya-Ya Network.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

ANGELIQUE LARSEN: Good afternoon. My name is Angelique Larsen and I am 17-years-old. Six days ago, the result of a study in the United Kingdom was released. Stating that 97 percent of all women between the ages of 18 and 24 have experienced some form of sexual assault harassment.

While this is not here in the United States, this is still a human rights crisis for all. This study did not account for minors or adults over the age of 24. As a high schooler myself, I can tell you that

the harsh reality being that I do not know a single female who has not experienced some form of sexual violence. That includes myself.

This is a harsh reality that the police system refuses to address. Victims are often turned away by the police force. Some are questioned to what they wore. Some are questioned to why they waited and others are eventually criminalized. Therefore, only 8 percent of all sexual assault allegations are eventually convicted, leaving another 92 percent of assault allegations to go without justice.

As a victim myself, I was told by the police that I shouldn't have waited so long. I shouldn't have continued communication with assaulter. The detective on my case even said and I quote, "if I hit you right now, would you still be friends with me?" When I said no, he replied, then how do you expect us to believe you?

He then continued by asking my family if they had met my assaulter because "he seemed like a nice kid."

Yet I heard today how great the SVU is doing. I stand before you and ask that you make this process easier. That you make people like me feel comfortable speaking their truth instead of just

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 2.2

23

24

assuming that we do when you are not in our situation.

Reforming the response and hiring better, more equipped SVU detectives should be one of your first priorities. Thank you.

MODERATOR: Thank you for your testimony. I will now call on Josh Melendez from Sisters and Brothers United.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: We have a question. Matt, we have a question from Council Member Rosenthal I believe.

MODERATOR: Excuse me. We will go to Council Member questions. Council Member Rosenthal.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Thank you so much. Thank you Chair Adams, I appreciate you. Ms. Larsen, I just - I am blown away by your bravery and I wanted to thank you so much for coming forward and speaking your truth.

I hope you got some love and support around you and you know that you know, if you need any counseling services, there are so many good ones that you can talk with, who could really advocate for you

So, I just, I hope you are getting the help you

need and I really just wanted to acknowledge and

with the NYPD and hold their feet to the fire if you

want to investigate the case.

appreciate you. Thank you.

things deep inside of us.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

ANGELIQUE LARSEN: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: We want to echo that thank you Council Member Rosenthal. I wanted you to go first to being one of my mentors in the Council. Ms. Larsen, I too applaud you for speaking your truth and quite frankly for speaking the truth of so many of us who at your age were not open to speaking the truth. Those who have been bullied, those who have been harassed like myself. Harassed by a college professor. Still to this day, you know, carry those

I appreciate your testimony so much today. Stay Thank you. strong.

MODERATOR: Thank you. Unless there are questions from other Council Members, I will move on to the next panelist.

JOSH MELENDEZ: Hello everyone, my name is Josh

and I am a Youth at Brothers Unite and I live in

2.2

2 Council District 8 in the Bronx and I am in 7<sup>th</sup> 3 grade.

I attend James CUNY in high school. After hearing the Mayor's Plan of 475 police officers, I urge the City Council to make it a priority to block this. As the money used in our school will be better spent on more social workers, guidance counselors and health workers in our school.

I am excited to go back to school to learn alongside my peers but I still don't feel safe knowing that cops are going to be at my school. Cops don't make me feel secure and safe in my school or in my neighborhood. I have seen from personal experience how they treat me, my friends on my way to school, in front of my school and in the entrance or in our hallways.

As a student in my music class, I have to bring a guitar home to practice and there was a time when I went to school and SSA said that they would only let me inside if they checked my bag and my guitar, in case if I had a weapon as a gun or just a gun in general and I got scared and I felt nervous. At that time, I wanted to cry because I was only — and I was

being accused of having a gun even though I was only 11-years-old.

I was harshly judged at the door and being treated like a criminal. Seeing them all over my school just reminded me of when I used to visit my family members at Rikers. The constant surveillance, the pat downs at the door, the bag searches, I felt exactly the same way as going to school.

I strongly oppose the idea of transferring cops from NYPD to the DOE or Intro. 2211 as there is no point of them being in my school. Unless if you want them there is for to continue harassing and intimidating us. Students need to feel safe like they belong in a safe and supportive school and not a school where the system is built to put us in jail.

I want to go to a school where I don't feel like

I am the next target of a school cop. But in order

for this to be, we will need to be — the money will

need to be divested from school, school policing and

put the money to develop of students like social

workers, guidance counselors, medical professionals

and in general, more resources in public schools.

But specifically in the Bronx and in Brooklyn.

2.2

MODERATOR: Thank you for your testimony. Next up we will have Humberto Flores from Sisters and Brothers united followed by Marcos Romero.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

HUMBERTO FLORES: Hello, I am Humberto Flores and I am a Youth Leader at Sisters and Brothers United.

I attend the Bronx High School Science and I live in Council District 16. And I am here to talk about police free schools and about the Preliminary Budget and the shifts I would like to see across the board.

I would like to begin by mentioned the FY22 budget and the lies that were told about the budget cuts towards the police department during June of 2020.

The NYPD was not defunded by \$1 billion and the changes that were made were not recurrent. Meaning that only impacted that one years budget. There is currently no sign with the current proposed budget where demands for police free schools are being invested. School police despite what was said by the Mayor, are still under the NYPD's budget.

The FY22 Preliminary Budget is \$5.4 billion, which is \$195 million more than the FY21 Adopted Budget. So, despite the message that you defunded

the police, the NYPD's budget is not only protected but it is still growing.

And I would like to move onto another topic.

This one being police reform. The Governor's

Executive Order 203 required that each municipality
in the state submit a police reform and a

reimagination plan by April 1<sup>st</sup>. The city released
their plan in two parts on March 5<sup>th</sup> and March 12<sup>th</sup>,
in which it highlighted a multiagency transition team
that would facilitate the transferring of school
policing from the NYPD to the DOE.

The transfer of the school policing from NYPD to the DOE doesn't mean the students will be happy. It also does not mean that the city is actually reimagining school safety. While that may seem like a good change, simply rebranding police officers isn't going to ensure the safety of students.

And I would like to think about it if I could, a mask over an animal. If you put a mask over an animal, it's still the same animal. There is no point in putting a mask over it. It doesn't change it.

The plan should focus on root causes to what students face. Investing in counseling, therapists,

I know someone in my family who had an experience

social workers and other resources that focus on the

students needs and the root of those needs is a far

where they went through something and they were

scared and instead of the school and the police

helping them, they made them feel like they were just

That isn't helping them. That is just trying to

doing whatever they could to actually suspend her.

criminalize them and that's why I stand with this

movement. I stand with countless others who have

been calling for the complete removal of police in

cannot prioritize students success while still

schools and saying vote no on Intro. 2211 because we

better idea that rebranding the police.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

funding their criminalization. Thank you for your time.

MODERATOR: Thank you for your testimony. The next up will be Marcos Romero followed by Chris Kwok from the Asian American Bar Association of New York followed by Madeline Borrelli from Teachers Unite.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

MARCOS ROMERO: Hi, my name is Marcos Romero, I am Youth Leader at Sisters and Brothers United and I

am currently a sophomore at LaGuardia High School and I live in Council District 12.

Let me take you back to June 6, 2020 in the midst of Black Lives Matter protest. I performed my first ever speech was on the steps of Tweed where we call for justice for countless who have been killed and harmed of police across our country. And more specifically, to call for an infraction of the police budget to be repurposed to about schools, to about communities, to benefit the dreamers of New York.

Not to limit schools or press communities and prevent treatment of dreamers as a delusion.

We call for a complete removal of police from our schools as you cannot believe my reaction the next day where a statement was released where the City of New York City is going to repurpose the budget to help everyone instead of just the police.

Then I waited and I waited and I waited. At the end of the tiring budget process, the Council voted on a budget that would transfer school safety division AKA's full cost from NYPD to DOE and we were devastated. Our vision for police free school was already being co-opted by a false and harmful vision for real school safety.

Fast forward to March 16, 2021 today, after finding out there were plans for the city to continue to funnel money to the police budget specifically to 475 new school safety officers and MTA's shutting down and now police are getting robot dogs.

Tell me why do I continue to find myself yet again calling out the Council to do what's best for students? Why is it so difficult? Our vision for schools is that we would demand to a police school in fractures, culture and practice and in school, militarization and surveillance and building a new liberatory education system.

This vision can be possible if the City Council would simply make bold decisions that would make our budgets reflect the things we value and need unless you value criminalization Black girls and boys. I hope this time around you put them first in your budget decisions.

I ask that you divest from school policing,
reject any new hires of cops in our schools and
invest in defunding of counselors, school workers,
mental health support and restorative justice. To
quote one of my favorite movies, "Just because
someone stumbles and loses their way, doesn't mean

2.2

2 they are lost forever. All they need guidance"
3 Thank you.

MODERATOR: Thank you for your testimony. Unless there are questions from Council members, I will move onto the next member of the public.

Alright, seeing none, I will call on Chris Kwok
from the Asian American Bar Association of New York,
followed by Madeline Borrelli from the Teachers Unite
followed by Alexandra Haridopolos from Teachers
Unite.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

CHRIS KWOK: Thank you everyone for this opportunity. Thank you Chair Adams for still being here. It's late and deeply appreciative that you are still here.

My name is Chris Kwok, I am a Board Director for the Asian American Bar Association of New York. I serve as the Chair of the Issues Committee, which advises ABANY's board on political issues. We are an organization of over 1,600 lawyers in the City.

ABANY is participating in today's hearing to express our firm commitment to championing two changes to the NYPD's Asian Hate Crimes Taskforce.

First, the taskforce needs funding. It is currently

2 r

not funded at all. Second, it is comprised completely of volunteer detectives and Sergeants.

They all have their day jobs within the NYPD and when there is a job that requires their language or cultural competency, they get called in.

So, that although the Mayor has had a lot of sort PR from putting out the Asian Hate Crimes Taskforce, they don't have funding and they are not assigned full-time. And we feel that that is just an empty exercise.

And so, the Asian American community is fearful right now of going about their lives just going to the supermarket or walking home or taking the bus and we really want action.

ABANY wrote a report called the Rising Tide of
Hate and Violence Against Asian Americans and we have

- we were proud to be the first to call those two
important things out publicly and we think that they
should be fully funded and assigned to the unit fulltime. In order to foster trust with the Asian

American community and to encourage reporting, which
we have heard a lot, there needs to be accountability
for the violence and harassment that has been
perpetrated against Asian Americans.

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

MADELINE BORRELLI: Good evening, my name is

Madeline Borrelli, I am Special Education Teacher in

There is you know, 27 percent - I mean 27 incidents in 2020 versus three in 2019. And we want the police and the government to respond to the concerns in a serious way and not in the sort of show time way that I think the Mayor has done so far.

And so, with that, I want to thank you for the time and we are going to submit a more complete testimony into the record.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Chris, I appreciate your testimony and I just want to let you know that I concur with your sentiments and that beautiful child that you were feeding a little while ago, I would not want that beautiful child living in fear.

CHRIS KWOK: Thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: She is beautiful.

CHRIS KWOK: Thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Thank you.

Thank you. I would now like to MODERATOR: invite the next witness up who will be Madeline Borrelli from Teachers Unite followed by Alexandra Haridopolos from Teachers Unite.

District 21 and a proud public school parent. I am also a member of Teachers Unite.

I am here today again, to testify and show an opposition to Intro. 2211 which will codify violence for policing into the DOE's already underfunded budget.

Under Intro. 2211, the city is proposing to spend a considerable sum of money to retrain school police despite there being little evidence that police reform works. The Mayor marketed this move at the NYPD's School Policing Unit to the DOE as part of a \$1 billion police defundment but that didn't happen. The city didn't defund the police back in June but the City did defund public education by \$700 million during a pandemic.

Shuffling personnel from one agency to another does not undo the generations of harm and we do not want to reorganize the school policing infrastructure; we want to dismantle it.

We want police free schools where our children are greeted by well-paid community members and restored and healing positions. Schools with robust mental health services and transform their practices. I represent one of many teachers who you will hear

tonight will oppose Intro. 2211 and are demanding instead a budget that meaningfully funds school positions and support students.

During the last City Council meeting, we were blindsided by the proposed hiring of 475 new school cops for the price of \$20 million. In the same year, what teachers lost are teachers choice funding. The little money that the city allocates us each year to spend on classroom supplies.

Council Members, budgets are moral documents.

So, if this hiring goes through then the city is telling us, our students and their families that policing young people's bodies is more important in ensuring they have the academic supplies they need in order to be successful. We don't want cops in our schools, we want counselors, librarians, nurses, social workers and restorative justice coordinators. Many schools lost funding for these positions due to the unjust budget cut that some council members on this call voted yes on.

And so, to every Council Member who ran on a platform of educational equity or who claims to be a supporter of public education, just know that we are watching how you vote on this bill. If you support

2.2

this bill and the funds it provides to further the school policing infrastructure and thus the school to prison pipeline, then please don't claim to be a supporter of equity in our schools and if you truly cared about progress and the quality of New York City public schools, then you would reinvest the school policing budget back into the communities that need it the most.

You will hire Black and Brown community members into restorative well-paying roles that are not through surveillance and policing infrastructure.

And you will stop voting yes on city budgets where the education is the first thing on the chopping block. Please vote no on Intro. 2211. Thank you.

MODERATOR: Thank you for your testimony. I would now like to invite up Alexandra Haridopolos from Teachers Unite followed by Rodrigo Camarena followed by Dulce Revolution.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

ALEXANDRA HARIDOPOLOS: Hi everybody. Thank you Council and to all of the community members who have spoken before. It has been a privilege to hear your analysis tonight.

2.2

I am a Teacher in District 10 in the Bronx and I am asking the Council to divest from the NYPD budget. Specifically to not transfer over 400 cops to the Department of Education.

Our students need healing right now. They have borne the brunt of this pandemic and we cannot keep doing things the same and expecting a different result. Today, an organization I am part of, the More Caucus Movement for Rank and File Educators honored a day of rest, as it has been a year since New York City schools shutdown. You know, we all need a day of rest after this challenging, challenging year. But without further ado, I would like to read a couple of the demands from the Dignity in Schools Campaign from the Urban Youth Collective Police Free School to means funding for schools to build restorative, supportive and safe schools.

Supportive positions are created, that are well paid and do not require bachelor's degrees. These roles do not require training in de-escalation and restorative justice. These are DOE positions, not external contracts. Positions include paraprofessionals, youth advocates, restorative justice coordinators, parent coordinators, community

outreach coordinators. No policing roles by any name.

Black and Brown community members have access to well-paying jobs in school system that are not through policing or security structure. Students and guests are greeted by community members, not law enforcement. No surveillance technology, such as cameras, scanning or metal detectors in schools and no online surveillance of students. In addition to the supportive positions that do not require bachelor's degrees, positions are also created for counselors and social workers.

School communities, students, teachers, principals, parents oversee the hiring of staff and increase positions for Black and Brown community members. There are pathways for former students to be employed at the school they attend in supportive, responsive positions. Counselors, social workers, caseload is manageable, one to 50 or one to 100. I would go as far to say one to 25 or 20. Smaller class sizes one to 23, beautiful. With smaller class sizes, teachers will be able to build more meaningful relationships with their students. This contributes to a culture where safety is proactive rather than

reactive and conflicts can be addressed before serious incidents occur.

Additionally, when students are better supported academically, they are able to engage with class content and less likely to be involved in outside issues. These efforts need to be supported by cultural response education, so students can see themselves reflected in a relevant curricular manner, meaningful to their interest in communities.

This helps to create a space where students feel safe and included, which in turn, keeps students engaged and in class. Restorative justice is not a program but a meaningful culture shift. This needs funding and time. This shift should not be a top down directive —

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time is expired.

ALEXANDRA HARIDOPOLOS: But instead should be led by students, parents and educators who have this knowledge and experience. All school staff should receive restorative justice training and policing culture in schools and punitive practices such as suspensions, detentions, zero tolerance policies and dress codes. Funding should be allocated directly to school communities leading the way. We do not want

more money going to private contracts with nonprofits and have no relationship with those communities and we want no more money going to police in our schools. Thank you very much.

MODERATOR: Thank you for your testimony. I would like to now up Rodrigo Camarena followed by Dulce Revolution followed by Samantha Rubin.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

RODRIGO CAMARENA: Hi, good afternoon. My name is Rodrigo Camarena, I am a parent, Police in Prison Abolitionist and I am here in solidarity of the Teachers United Parents and the educators from Sunset Park Red Hook in South Brooklyn for all dream of police free schools and dismantling the school to prison pipeline.

I am here today to urge Council Members to reject Intro. Bill 2211. A bill that would codify the transfer of the NYPD school division to the DOE. As a long time activist and organizer in South Brooklyn whose watched their city increase its investment of police in prisons over the years, I fear for what the proposed transfer of 5,400 school police officers to the DOE will bring to the students and the teachers who have already suffered so much.

I worry about their education, about their mental health and the future of our young people. Students, teachers, parents and community leaders from across

New York City have come together to demand police free schools.

Policing in schools perpetuates a wellestablished school to prison pipeline that
compromises access to education and opportunity. We
all know too well that Black and Brown youth are
disproportionately targeted by policing in schools
and other punitive disciplinary measures. What we
truly need are investments in supportive staff
positions that our students demand. Or funding to
hire Black and Brown New Yorkers in the jobs that
support young people's social and emotional and
mental health. We want restorative justice.
Coordinators, paraprofessionals, youth advocates,
community outreach coordinators, parent coordinators
and more.

Students have demanded investment to help them learn and grow and thrive. Not more policing that will push them out of schools. That's why we are saying no to Intro. 2211. No to the hiring of 475 new school police officers and yes to a budget that

meaningfully funds school positions that support the students. Thank you. I see my time.

MODERATOR: Thank you for your testimony. I would now like to invite up Dulce Revolution to testify followed by Samantha Rubin followed by Caitlin Delphin.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

DULCE REVOLUTION: Good evening as far as not being redundant, I will not state on some points but I will introduce myself Dulce Revolution and I am a former City School Teacher, English as a new language was my subject and I uhm, I have been working within the school system for 15 years and I am a parent, a beautiful child. And I am appalled here as I sit here in opposition to 2211, at the policing of our schools but yet the negating of the pertinent tools that we need for our children to succeed that have nothing to do with the bodies of law enforcement that would otherwise incriminate, criminalize and uhm, further make our students feel as if the are indeed in a box. When in fact, we know they are limitless.

I heard the children on this thread and they spoke. I echo their sentiments. I heard teachers on this thread and I echo their sentiments. Uhm, I just

want to reiterate, as the climate that we are in at this very moment, which is crucial, as we sit in the dire straights of racial divide, unfortunately.

Where a lot of truths are being told about culture, cultural identity, curriculum itself needs to be looked at.

So, how are we first putting a stamp on policing rather than worrying about the curriculum that our very students will be receiving? And uhm, to that, the resources themselves?

I am from a District. I work in District 10 where resources are not a plenty. There are no recreations to go with the students with what they need and also, my ENL community who come from other countries to have them be policed. Coming from a country where they are used to 60 in a classroom. It's further denouncing them as students and further putting them in the field of they are not important. They are not special. They are not individualized.

How about we work on differentiation and that is making sure that every child gets to succeed. Not only the children downtown but the children in our city urban American, they get a chance to succeed.

And that would do so by making sure that they

especially after this pandemia, that they get the resources that they need. Social, emotional, learning tools, more teachers in classroom, smaller classroom settings and overall love.

Overall love and understanding and that does not come from a badge, a gun and things that we see around us that has been opposing and imposing themselves in our neighborhood and unfortunately taking our lives.

In the sense of time, thank you.

MODERATOR: Thank you for that testimony. I would now like to call on Samantha Rubin followed by Caitlin Delphin followed by Bonnie Massey from Teachers Unite.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time starts now.

SAMANTHA RUBIN: Hi, good evening. My name is

Samantha Rubin, I am a Teacher at a high school in

East Flatbush Brooklyn and I am a Member of Teachers

Unite. I am speaking today to urge Council Members

to reject Intro. 2211, which would codify the

transfer of the NYPD school safety division to the

DOE.

For the past 13 years, I have taught mostly Black and Brown students in heavily policed high schools.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

My students are disproportionately effected by punitive discipline policies because they attend schools where instead of teachers and principals making the decisions about student discipline and safety, NYPD SAA's have the final say.

This can look like students being suspended, issued summons or handcuffed for infractions that could and would be handled differently in schools that aren't patrolled by SSA's.

One common example that someone already mentioned this evening is the handcuffing of students in mental health crisis or held in a small room with multiple SSA's, rather than allowed a quiet space with a counselor or a social worker. A better environment for de-escalation that wouldn't further traumatize the students.

Last year, more than 10 percent of my school student body lost a close family member to COVID-19. They weren't able to mourn with family, waited while their loved ones body sat in overwhelmed funeral homes and couldn't have the sense of normalcy that so many of us who dealt with loss in our youth found by attending school.

When these students return to school in the fall, they don't need to be greeted by police. Watched by police as they walk to class or confronted by police if they act out when they feel overwhelmed. They need counselors, social workers and parent coordinators to help them readjust. They need youth advocates and tutors from their own communities to meet them where they are and help them succeed.

The \$20 million of DOE funding that is being proposed as funding for two new academy classes of SSA's, should be redirected to create and sustain positions in schools that support the students who are most effected by the COVID-19 pandemic.

Please reject Intro. 2211 and redirect the funding earmarked for new SSA's instead to invest in welcoming our students back into loving, positive school communities where they will be lifted up, not pushed out and policed. Thank you.

MODERATOR: Thank you for your testimony. Next up we will have Caitlin Delphin followed by Bonnie Massey.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

CAITLIN DELPHIN: Hi, thank you for having us tonight. My name is Caitlin Delphin and I am a

Special Education Teacher at a high school in Bensonhurst Brooklyn and a member of Teachers Unite.

I am here today to speak in opposition to Intro. Number 2211. We need action to reduce policing in schools and to fund more teachers, counselors and social workers as well as restorative justice professionals, rather than spending more money retraining current SSA's when we have seen so many times that retraining police does not work.

I am going to focus today on alternatives to policing in schools. These alternatives exist and they are effective. I work in a restorative justice school. We have spent years developing culturally responsive classrooms and curriculum and ensuring that this is accessible to all of our students, including students with disabilities and English Language learners.

We focus on creating an actually safe environment for our students, not a façade of safety through uniformed officers in schools scanning and constant surveillance. We are safe at our school because students are empowered to lead restorative justice initiatives including relationship building, mediations and circles facilitation and we have made

an effort to train all staff in restorative justice practices.

Through these efforts, we have seen a significant drop in suspensions and an increase in physical safety. For example, it's been years since we have had a fight at the school. My students say things like, I tell my friends that school is so boring because there is never any fights.

This is not an accident. We know that restorative justice practices work. We have done this all without funding for a restorative justice coordinator or other devoted restorative justice positions, as well as in direct contradiction to the SSA's in the culture of policing present in the DOE.

The students, teachers and staff at my school are not exceptional. Restorative justice can work anywhere but it won't without funding and support.

Imagine what could happen if we invested the millions that we currently do in school policing, instead in restorative justice, counselors and teachers creating schools that are actually safe and supportive for our students.

When we do eventually return fully to in-person learning, the vast majority of my students will have

2.2

been out of the building for well over a year. They will not be welcomed back by additional counselors or social workers to help them with the traumas of having to care for sick family members or feeling the weight of their families finances on their shoulders as a teenager or social isolation. They will not be welcome to find more teachers to help refocus students who have had to be more involved in younger siblings school work and their own or who still don't have access to adequate technology.

Instead of choosing to fund education, the city has repeatedly chosen to fund policing. We are now funding a new class of school safety agents. We don't need 475 more school safety agents, we need more counselors, teachers and restorative justice staff. We need better technology. Police scanning and surveillance don't equal safety, they equal oppression and violence coming from the state. We know that restorative justice works. This is not on charted territory. Other cities have begun the process of dismantling and defunding policing in schools.

Until we here are talking about eliminating, placing and fully funding education within our

2.2

schools, we are not having the right conversation.Thank you.

MODERATOR: Thank you for your testimony. I will now like to turn to Bonnie Massey followed by Kamil Ghoshal.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

Massey and I am a School Social Worker who has been doing youth development social work and restorative justice work in New York City school settings for almost 20 years. Most of my work has been in high schools although for the past five years, I have been working primarily with middle school students. I am here today alongside my friends from Teachers Unite and I am here to urge Council Members to reject Intro. 2211, which you guys now know is a bill that would codify the transfer of NYPD school safety division to the DOE.

While I actually am glad to know that the city lawmakers are finally recognizing the need to undo the MOU that the Giuliani Administration put in place. I want to be clear that just switching the city agency in charge of the police will not address the problems that are caused by an emphasis on

policing in schools, nor will it do anything to

address the problems that policing simply cannot.

It's just not the solution. Our solution has to instead be investing in school communities. Our solution has to be holistic and includes issues of housing, healthcare, jobs but when comes — and its larger even right than education but when it comes specifically to schools, we need to shift a culture of our schools from policing and high stake testing and one size fits all from segregated schools, from being punitive. We need to turn them into restorative communities where students with various identities, strengths and struggles are celebrated and built upon. There is lots of scholars and educational academics who have been writing about this for a long time and talking about this for a long time.

Most recently the new book that's in mold is written by Dr. Bettina Love, Abolitionist Teaching, you will have to check it out. But all of this is about the need to build. It is not like some mumbo jumbo that people are making up right. There's like people with PHD's writing books on this. That we

need to be doing healing and loving, loving in our schools. I did say loving, yes.

And so, I also want to speak in that same vein against de Blasio's plan to hire 475 new school police at the cost of \$20 million. That's \$20 million of DOE funding that's not going to classrooms, not going to student supports, not going to create positions and opportunities in school focused on care and healing. While there is much hesitation and even outreach at the idea of defunding the police in schools and otherwise, we know that the DOE was actually defunded by \$700 million last year.

At this particular moment and any moment but I would say now especially at this particular moment which no one needs to say look, our pandemic moment is, the city must prioritize growing school budgets and reducing the NYPD budget.

And we are talking about I think a couple of others speakers said it but we are talking about a change in culture and a shift in priorities. Money talks, so if you are going to say that we are a city that cares about students social and emotional learning and wellbeing, we are concerned about

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

students academic growth, you can't spend more money on police and in counseling.

If you are going to say that we are city where Black Lives Matter, you can't continually reinvest in the school to prison pipeline over investing in culturally responsive curriculum. We need sports, arts and development programming.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

BONNIE MASSEY: Oh, I am so sorry that my time expired because I did want to tell you guys about my experience in schools doing this work and just how much it takes in order to do it. I understand that my time is up so and you guys have been here all day but for anybody who has not worked in a school, like this work of creating a school community, the work of supporting students, the work of supporting L's. The work of getting students to trust you so that when there is a gang problem, they are going to come to The work of getting students to sit in a circle and talk to somebody else who had a machete at them a few weeks ago. Like, this work takes a lot and it needs to be invested in. It's not just one social worker, one restorative, I mean like now, if a school has three social workers, they are like, wow that

O 1

school has so many social workers, you know. And you can't imagine the amount of work that we are being asked to do and what we want to do.

So, I have a lot more to say. I will stop. If at any other time I can — I guess I will submit some written testimony as well. Thank you for letting me go a few minutes over. I know it has been a long day. Thank you very much.

MODERATOR: Thank you for your testimony.

Alright, next up we will go to Kamil Ghoshal followed
by Jennifer Finn from Teachers Unite.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

KAMIL GHOSHAL: Whoops, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: There we go.

registration. I just became aware of this you know a little while ago. So, what I am choosing to do; I will testify at a future meeting simply because you know I am not part of the organization and perhaps our views don't entirely mesh. However, I do want to say that I do agree with everyone here and I do oppose Intro. 2211 and if possible, I don't know whether this is possible. If possible, I would like to donate the rest of my time to the previous speaker

2.2

who may just need a little bit more time to elaborate

her ideas.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Okay, I think the previous speaker is off. We are going to move on.

BONNIE MASSEY: No, I am here, if that's allowed.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: You are here?

BONNIE MASSEY: Yeah, if I am allowed, I would say just one more minute if that was okay.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Go right ahead Ms. Massey.

BONNIE MASSEY: Ah, thank you guys so much. So, another thing that I did want to talk about was that as somebody who has worked — doing this work day in and day out for over two decades or for nearly two decades excuse me. That it's not just about like who owns this work right? Because there is as many people with roles under the DOE that also undermine the work of restorative justice and undermine the work of community building.

There are educators, there counselors, there's administrators, there is youth developers. There are people who don't get it right and who are not invested in it and so, it's not just about like, this goes back to the idea of a cultural change. We need structures in our school that are going to enable us

to do this work and we need all hands on deck to be able to create this cultural shift.

So, just putting it under the DOE doesn't again fix the problem. Our schools have done this right? Where schools now use restorative justice as like a buzz word right and they hire one restorative justice coordinator or they send one cohort of staff over their spring break to do a circles training and they think that they are doing restorative justice.

And as I was saying as my time was running out before is that it takes a tremendous amount to do that work. A tremendous amount of work. And so, I want to tell a quick story. When we talk about where does safety come from right? I, right now, my role is to help students; well, a part of my role is to help students apply to high school. And so, I talk to lots of families and students about what they are looking for. What do they want in a high school? And the number one thing that people want is safety and so, then we start to talk a little bit more about well, what does safety mean? What does safety look like for you? Like, do you want a metal detector or do you not want a metal—? Like, what does it mean for you to know that a school is safe?

2.1

2.2

And time and time again, the idea of safety comes from community. That's what it is. And I am going to tell a story about a young man in a previous school that I worked at who struggled with a lot of issues and he would have some breakdowns.

And so, there was a moment in a day where he had a breakdown and he was not originally from our country and his primary language was a dialect that we didn't have any staff at the time who spoke that language. He also spoke English at that time but he was not totally comfortable. And he was you know, jumping on top of things and he was in a mental break. He was jumping on top of things. He was throwing things. He was unsafe right? And the thing that saved him, the only way that we were able to get him to calm down that day was because another student who spoke his language was able to come to him and hug him and hold him and speak to him in a way that was able to help bring him down.

So, I say that to kind of emphasize the idea that it's community and it's relationship and it is like being responsive to individuals to talk about what they need.

I worked with that young man over -

/

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

BONNIE MASSEY: Thank you. Thank you again for the extra time. I really appreciate it.

MODERATOR: Thank you for your testimony. Next up, we will have Jennifer Finn from Teachers Unite followed by Alexa Aviles, excuse me, followed by Brandon West.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

JENNIFER FINN: Good evening, my name is Jen Fin,
I am an Elementary School Teacher in the lower east
side and a member of Teachers Unite. I am speaking
today to urge Council Members to reject Intro. 2211,
which would codify the transfer of the NYPD's School
Safety Division to DOE.

Policing in school perpetuates the wellestablished school to prison pipeline that
compromises access to education and opportunity.

Black and Brown youth are disproportionately targeted
by policing in schools and other punitive
disciplinary practices. We oppose Intro. 2211
because it would transfer over 5,400 school police to
DOE creating new infrastructure to police and
criminalize students.

We also oppose the Mayor's Plan with NYPD to hire additional school police. We urge City Council to block this proposed spending of \$20 million to police young people when they return to school.

For the past seven years, I have taught students in Special Ed. For half of my career, I have taught in a 12 to one self-contained special education setting. In the 12 to one classroom, students are disproportionately Black and Brown boys. Many of whom have been labeled as defiant or emotionally disturbed. Language that is already steeped in violence. These students are disproportionately impacted by punitive discipline and surveillance.

However, over the past year, my kids have been fully remote. Zooming into school from the safety of their own homes. And while it has been challenging at times, as all pandemic learning has been, they have been free of the heavy surveillance and punitive measures that are already so prevalent in school, including policing.

We have laughed, shared stories, created art together and all of this was possible without any police or discipline. When these kids return to school in the fall, they deserve to center joy and

\_

,

humanity, they don't need police. They need counselors, social workers, parent coordinators to help them heal. They need youth advocates and community members to help them thrive.

The \$20 million of DOE funding that's being proposed as funding for additional SSA's, should be redirected to create and sustain supportive positions in schools that will support all kids. During remote learning, my kids have been surrounded by friends and family at their homes. Cultivated joy despite a global pandemic.

Policing is antithetical to healing centered schools that our students deserve. I urge you to reject Into. 2211. Thank you.

MODERATOR: Thank you for your testimony. Unless there are questions from Council Members, I will move onto the next panelist.

Alright, seeing none, I would like to call up Alexa Aviles followed by Brandon West followed by Jeff Strabone.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

ALEXA AVILES: Thank you so much Chair Adams and members of the Safety Committee. My name is Alexa Aviles, I am a Brooklynite. A parent of two public

District 38 resident.

I am here to call on you to make real and deep

school students, a community leader and a long time

cuts to the NYPD budget. Surveillance is not safety. Criminalization and militarized responses to poverty is not safety. Killing, hurting and constant verbal dehumanization is not safety. If the NYPD wants to build trust, forget hallow words and plans. The NYPD can actually start by aggressively removing all those rotten apples within their ranks, that they have so fervently protected over the decades. And they can also zealously organize in the same way that they fear mongered this morning against the gun industry that keeps fire arms flowing across the country and in New York City.

For years, our community, our communities of color like mine have suffered at the hands of the NYPD. And while they get more funding, neighborhoods like mine seek cuts to services. I testify before you today to assert that in this unprecedented crisis, we cut the NYPD's budget, not just by \$1 billion but by half, \$3 billion.

\$1 billion is simply how much the NYPD budget increased over the last eight years and in this so-

called \$1 billion in cuts the last budget cycle, we know it was a farse. In addition, I urge you today to take the following steps to enact real budget justice. Deduct settlement money directly from the NYPD operating budget.

The city has footed the bill for over a half of billion dollars in payouts to families victimized by the NYPD. These payouts have not changed the NYPD's racist behaviors or tactics and those resources most certainly have not kept our community safe or made them better. What a waste.

And at the moratorium, an all-new NYPD recruitment classes. We must impose a hiring freeze on uniform street police. The NYPD has added thousands of police since 2013 but our communities have not gotten safer as a result. Teachers who we desperately need are under a five year hiring freeze. Fund the essential programs and services that keep our community safe, not police.

The Preliminary Budget increase the NYPD budget by one percent, while slashing health and hospital funding by 27 percent. Slashing the Department uhm, the Department of Health by another 20 percent and

2.1

2.2

2 reducing the Department of Education's Budget by one 3 percent.

It should come of no surprise that we are in this moment of crisis. New Yorkers are angry and we are left wondering why the Mayor and the City Council continue to increase funding to NYPD and policing while slashing —

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

ALEXA AVILES: These services. It is shameful to divest from our public health and education systems to fund more policing during a global pandemic.

Violence and poverty requires a public health approach. That means investing in health and wellbeing. Investing in systems and approaches that will help us recover and help us thrive. Please listen. Thank you.

MODERATOR: Thank you for your testimony. Next up, we will have Brandon West followed by Jeff Strabone followed by Ashley Prather.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

BRANDON WEST: Thank you Chair for the opportunity to speak in front of this Committee. My name is Brandon West, I am an Organizer and I was one of the many who were — that were behind the Occupy

City Hall Action last year, as well as an organizer with the New York City Workers for Justice.

I am here today to give testimony, not solely from the background of a racial justice organizer but as a former city budget analyst at both the Mayor's Office of Management and Budget and at City Council Finance.

So, from 2013 to 2017, I saw firsthand the tail end of the Bloomberg Administration and the beginning of the de Blasio Administration. Of which we saw a decrease in crime and increase in the capital and expense budget at NYPD. Including the beginning of the payouts as a result of the unethical Muslims Bails Program that I remember at OMB.

I had previous comments but I feel just from being here all day and in the morning, I needed to you know directly address sort of what we heard earlier today.

The degree of disconnect from the rhetoric that we heard today from the First Deputy Mayor and the actual NYPD budget is incredibly stark and requires you know specific attention. Presented in rhetoric, a vast rethinking of policing through the form of

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

recommendations to reduce over policing in communities of color and creating alternatives.

But where is this reflected in the budget? First Deputy Mayor talks about reversing racialized policing but policing at its core is racialized. Like, this is not solvable by bias training or by recommendations when the core of the budget and the structures of NYPD are still intact.

Exactly zero of the problems that we are discussing right now are new. Neighborhood policing is still policing and advocates, community members and frankly legislatures know what exactly reduces violence in peoples lives and we are not funding it.

Policing doesn't make people safe. Harm reduction, mitigation and social services do. isn't a radical idea either. If so many 911 calls are result of mental health episodes, why is it taking so long to even begin to create an alternative response system that we have. If the pilot in Brownsville to move away from cops and towards community led safety was a success, where are more of these alternatives to policing and why are we not funding it?

Any real agenda for public safety must replace police with empowered communities working to solve their own problems. I don't see empowered communities in the NYPD budget. I see a curser reform and a widening disconnect between funding priorities and the people.

And I am happy to see the rest of my time. Thank you.

MODERATOR: Thank you for your testimony. Next up we will call Jeff Strabone followed by Ashley Prather.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

JEFF STRABONE: Good evening Chair Adams and member of the Committee on Public Safety. My name is Jeff Strabone. I am a lifelong resident New Yorker and former Vice Chair of Community Board 6 in Brooklyn. I live in the 39<sup>th</sup> District. I thank the Committee for its time and for listening.

The subject of my testimony today is the budget for Fiscal Year 2022, as it concerns the NYPD. To get right to the point, I ask that you reduce the NYPD's budget by at least \$2 billion. My request is based on the premise that the NYPD is currently tasked with responsibilities for which it is ill

suited. Most especially mental health emergency responses and school safety.

The city needs to create nonpolice alternatives and to fund those robustly. And there is your \$2 billion. I ask that you substantially reduce the NYPD's budget, not to punish the force, regardless of how much they may deserve it after nine months of sustained brutality and violence against peaceful protestors, which I have witnessed myself.

No, I am making this request from a good faith belief that it will actually make the NYPD a better police force, if it is relieved of responsibilities for mental health and school safety.

Police are not social workers. We should not task them with duties far beyond their training.

Don't send a cop with a gun to someone's dark night of the sole, send a social worker.

The same applies to schools. The way to end the school to prison pipeline is to stop arresting school children. New Yorkers deserve a full spectrum of harm reduction and public safety tools, not just those provided by police. A government budget is a moral declaration. It tells the world what a given society deems important enough to spend money on.

2.2

You have the opportunity to craft a new moral vision of how a city can keep its people safe. I encourage you to be brave. To have the moral vision to imagine a city of love, of care, of gentleness. Make this years budget a loud and proud declaration that New York City can take care of people in need without police, without guns.

Take the NYPD entirely out of mental health and school safety. Use that \$2 billion to fund new nonviolent agencies. Other cities are doing it, why should we be stuck in the past?

Finally, if you want to trim the fat within the NYPD, I suggest cutting back on helicopters and the strategic response group. There is no need to deploy them against peaceful protestors as routinely happens. Don't send the counterterrorism squad to a street protest.

Black Lives Matter is not Al-Qaeda but the strategic response group can't tell the difference. Cut the SRG. Thank you.

MODERATOR: Thank you for your testimony. I would now like to invite up Ashley Prather to testify, followed by Eileene Vicencio followed by Kay Gabriel.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

ASHLEY PRATHER: Good evening. My name is Ashley Prather and my pronouns are she or they. I am a Member of the Democratic Socialists of America. I am a proud communist, feminist, anti-capitalist, abolitionist, queer person, Brooklynite and survivor. However, these aspects of my identity are often used to discredit my perspective.

So, I speak today as someone who currently works in Child Welfare. My views do not reflect that of my employer but my experience working in an organization that specializes in caring and supporting New York City's traumatized and vulnerable children and families has deeply impacted my beliefs about police.

I am here today to call for the NYPD to be defunded and abolished period. I believe defunding the police is necessary, not only because they are a uniquely violent militarized, systemically, historically, racist organization but because the vast resources the NYPD has allocated could be and should be diverted to programs that actually help New Yorkers.

At my job and across New York City, we have families who are struggling to eat, struggling to

-

2.2

stay in their homes, struggling to access healthcare that they desperately need.

Many are newly saddled with the added burden of funeral costs for loved ones who died to COVID-19. Families are being separated by ACS due to criminalization of poverty while social service programs are being cut. Programs that support vulnerable New Yorkers are fighting right now to secure funding and to stay afloat. Such as Fair Futures, which provides mentorship and guidance to foster youth.

While programs like this struggle, the NYPD budget has continued to bloat like a rotting carcass. This funding disparity reveals a truly disgusting disregard for the actual health and safety of New Yorkers, such as the clients of my organization but also as survivors like myself. I learned at an early age, as a New York City public school student that the police were there to harass my friends of color and laugh off incidents of sexual harassment, stalking and rape that I experienced.

In short, the police do not keep us safe. They only keep capital safe. If we want safe and healthy communities, we must defund the NYPD and invest in

\_

Ö

programs that improve the lives of New Yorkers. Lift them out of poverty and provide real safety.

We must invest in the people of this city. We must defund the NYPD. Thank you.

MODERATOR: Thank you for your testimony. Next up we will have Eileen Vicencio followed by Kay Gabriel followed by Emmy Hammond.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

EILEEN VICENCIO: Hello, my name is Eileen

Vicencio, I am a Resident of District 26 in Queens

and a member of New York DSA, the Democratic

Socialists of America, which has more than 7,000

members in New York City fighting to defund the NYPD,

tax the rich and build an economy for public good.

And we are here to say that the Preliminary
Budget falls seriously short of what the city needs
and is a tremendous disappointment. In a time where
millions are facing a pandemics combustion of
existing social issues like food, housing and
healthcare and security, the Preliminary Budget
proposes to cut even more lifelines to residents that
are going through indescribable pain and suffering in
this very moment. While the NYPD gets more money to

continue police poverty and brutalize communities in color and reform itself.

Instead of adding cops to subways, we should be investing in mental health services that address the roots of this unfortunate violence. Instead of hiring more SSA's, we should be investing in our children's futures by getting them equitable access to technology so they can continue learning and developing.

I have been listening to this hearing and hearing a lot about bringing justice and equity to the city and I would like to clarify that justice for Black lives means investing in Black and Brown communities. Cutting school, hospital, housing and social services budgets while billions are spent on the NYPD even as we admit that all the evidence in reforming police departments is a complete waste of taxpayers money is a pretty clear message on where the Mayor and where the City Council stands, even if the Mayor paints the streets with the letters BLM. Clearly he doesn't know what they mean.

When George Floyd was murdered, I knew why and I had to protest and when I marched this summer alongside tons of thousands of my fellow New Yorkers,

2.2

they beat and arrests us simply for exercising our first amendment rights. Every single time, it was the NYPD who escalated. Every time it was the NYPD who introduced violence. Police don't see us as people. Police see us as threats and at times of greatest need, they continue to put us in danger and kill us.

I keep hearing the NYPD cry about their precious overtime and I don't see why we should pay for that.

When I am biking around, I see multiple officers, multiple officers sitting in their patrol cars doing absolutely nothing, I have to wonder, why am I paying for this? Why are we paying for this?

I don't need a car blocking bike path. I need trash bens on every corner of every street so I need our city is clean. I don't need police to corral individuals to places where we can't see them. I want experienced professionals to address peoples needs and provide them with the care they need to survive and live dignified lives. I don't need a blueprint plan to privatize NYCHA. I need the existing buildings to stop poisoning its residents.

We can give each other the safety that we need if we have the courage to see it and to fight for it. I

2.2

am here in solidarity with all comrades, grassroots organizations, nonprofits, labor unions, tenant units, advocates and community members that have been fighting before and since the George Floyd uprisings to create the world that we deserve and that we need.

I ask that the City Council fight for a budget that completely remove NYPD from mental health crisis response and homeless and houseless outreach. That takes cops out of schools. That stops NYPD from policing protests and sex work by expanding the SRG and by VICE squad.

I ask that the City Council do everything in its power to defund the NYPD.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

EILEENE VICENCIO: \$2 billion and redirect that money to fund the services and infrastructure that provide real safety and improve peoples lives. That is good jobs, dignified housing, healthcare, childcare, eldercare, mental healthcare, education, transit, food security and free time for culture and community.

We have the money to do it. We know it is right.

We ask that you stand with the people and use your

power to open the doors to a better world for all of us. Please keep us safe.

MODERATOR: Thank you for your testimony. Next up we will go to Kay Gabriel followed by Emmy Hammond followed by Tracey Fu.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

KAY GABRIEL: Hello, my name is Kay Gabriel. I am University Instructor and a New York City resident and I am also a member of the NYC Chapter of the DSA, which has more than 7,000 members fighting to defund the NYPD, tax the rich and build a city for people over profit.

We being out in our neighborhoods, I live in Queens, talking to our neighbors about what they need to live well. I was talking to residents in the Queens Bridge houses and they said that they have to wait months and sometime years to get crucial repairs in their apartments to their broken stoves and leaking bathroom ceilings.

NYCHA residents citywide are forced to live with broken elevators and black mold. Meanwhile, with 92,000 people in need of housing, houselessness in the city is at an all-time high and the public housing that we do have is falling deep into

2.1

2.2

disrepair or being sold to private equity firms like Blackstone. Which now owns Stuy Town and is being sued for raising the rent on the tenants there.

I will stay here. The houselessness and infection from unlivable conditions are other slower ways by which Black and Brown people are robbed of their lives, by a city that will not foot the bill to alter their conditions. 17 percent of children under the 12 in the Bronx, have asthma and that is directly tied to conditions in NYCHA housing.

I look around here like many, many New Yorkers walking through these valleys of poverty and dispossession in one of the richest city's in human history. The City Council says they can't pay for faster NYCHA repairs. They can't pay for housing vouchers for everyone who needs housing but they can fund the NYPD to purchase robot dogs and tesla cars and military grade weapons and helicopters. And pay for 3,600 uniformed officers which SBA President Ed Mullen described as the largest nonmilitary army in the world.

While other city agencies have been under, on austerity hiring freeze, the NYPD inducted 900 new officers this past fall and will do the same this

2.2

spring. What kind of city do we live in where we pay
to maintain an army but can't put a roof over
everybody's head or feed every hungry mouse. Where
kids walk through metal detectors at school but never

6 get to see a counselor.

What kind of city do we live in where we can pay for police to gun down people like Saheed Vassell who are experiencing mental health crisis but cannot actually provide them with mental healthcare? What kind of piecemeal reform can possibly close this yawning gap of racial and economic justice?

The budget is an expression of public priorities of the things you really care about. The Mayor's FY 2022 Budget increases funds for policing while presenting an austerity budget elsewhere with cuts to schools, hospitals, parks, youth development and sanitation. All of which actually keeps our communities safe. If we care about safety, let's use the immense wealth of this city to pay for the things that people need, not cops but housing, healthcare, education, transit, infrastructure, food security. Defund the NYPD by at least \$3 billion. Reinvest in our communities. I yield my time.

MODERATOR: Thank you for your testimony. Next up we will have Emmy Hammond followed by Tracey Fu followed by Eliot Colbert. Thank you.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

EMMY HAMMOND: Good evening. My name is Emmy
Hammond, I am a resident of District 34 and also a
member of the NYC Chapter of the Democratic
socialists of America and I would like to testify
today as to why I believe in the strongest terms that
we cannot achieve real public safety with reformed or
reinvented policing but only with less policing
coupled with real investment in our communities.

That's why I am proud to be a part of the campaign to defund the NYPD, starting by cutting at least \$3 billion in this city budget and freezing new NYPD hires. I am angry every day that I walk to the grocery store or the train because I pass in a five minute walk without fail, multiple neighbors sleeping and living unhoused in the streets every night. And then I walk into Myrtle Broadway Station and I frequently see there two or three police officers standing there, making sure that nobody is getting through those doors without paying their \$2.75.

I do not feel safer when I see those police. No one that I know does. This is just one familiar scene. Just one example that incapsulates what policing is really about, which is not protecting people but protecting property and profits.

I was also one of the protestors last summer who ran faster than I have ever run or moved in my life because an NYPD car accelerated directly into me and my friends. I do not feel safer when I see police.

Moreover, the majority of so-called crimes that NYPD respond to every day when they are not simply surveilling, harassing and brutalizing people are acts of poverty and desperation, many of which such as turn style jumping actually harm no one.

And what we have to respond to that is not in any sense a justice system. It is a punishment and incarceration system which keeps none of us safer and directly endangers people every day. It is systematically racist, classist and violent. Not as a bug that can be reformed away but as a feature. What does keep us safe, what represents some real justice are secure and comfortable homes, including public housing, dignified jobs that pay a living

2.2

wage, well equipped hospitals and schools with enough teachers and counselors.

The over \$11 billion that the NYPD costs us every year between operating budget and centrally allocated expenses is \$11 billion robbed, stolen from what we actually need for real public safety instead of criminalization and caging.

So again, I ask City Council to cut at least \$3 billion from the NYPD now and reallocate that money to our healthcare, housing and schools. Thank you Council Members Chair Adams. I yield my time.

MODERATOR: Thank you for your testimony. Unless there are questions for Council Members, we will move onto the next panelist.

Alright, seeing none, we will move on to Tracey
Fu followed by Eliot Colbert followed by David
Jenkins.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

TRACEY FU: Hi, my name is Tracey — oh, sorry.

Hi, my name is Tracey Fu, I am a resident of District
6 in Manhattan and a member of New York City DSA

which has more than 7,000 members in the city body to
defund the NYPD, tax the rich and organize to build

an economy that is focused on public good, rather than for private profit.

I am here to today to testify that this preliminary budget is woefully insufficient to meet the needs of my loved ones, the communities I am a part of and the city as a whole.

A city's budget is a reflection of its priorities and choosing to increase the funding of NYPD while cutting the funding of virtually every actual public health and safety services agency in this country, sorry, in the city. The city is prioritizing the NYPD over true public health and safety.

The NYPD does not increase public safety, it perpetuates violence. Last year, like tens of thousands of other New Yorkers, I marched the streets after George Floyd was murdered and witnessed the NYPD consistently beating and arresting us for simply exercising our first amendment rights.

The NYPD is a violent institution that cannot be reformed because its very purpose has always been to enforce inequality and suppress descent.

Additionally, there has been an increase in violence against Asian New Yorkers like me and has been used as an excuse for more policing.

2.2

A few weeks ago, the NYPD installed hundreds of surveillance cameras in response and I know there has been talk earlier in this hearing of funding the hate crimes taskforce that policing will not prevent or address the violence of displacement in poverty that have been making Asian communities vulnerable for years.

Flushing residents are being priced out of their homes and are going hungry due to unfunded luxury development and cuts to social services. This is also violence. Chinatown residents and workers are being displaced and losing their jobs due to real estate interests and lack of robust socioeconomic supports. This is also violence.

In fact, on March 2<sup>nd</sup>, Chinatowns last message of organized labor at [INAUDIBLE 9:51:53] that is being destroyed due to real estate pressure, workers protesting outside the restaurant. Guess what the NYPD officers did? They blocked the workers who delivering their demands to the owners that choose to protect their jobs and so you know of support of their survival and you know, that's what police do. They protect capital and property. Not people.

\_

Throwing more money into the NYPD will not solve any of the root causes of social problems but investments into communities and community-based programs will.

Of the comrades that testified before me, I am asking the City Council to defund the NYPD by at least \$3 billion and put that money towards what will actually improve lives and prevent violence, good jobs, housing, education, mental healthcare, eldercare, language access, food security.

Investing in these is the right thing to do. If our goal truly is to build a better stronger New York City that works for all of us and we need your help to do this.

Thank you Chair Adams especially for sticking it out and for hearing my testimony. I yield my time.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Ms. Fu, I just want to say your passion is so appreciated at this hour. So, you are — you are strengthening me in these hours as we continue to go. Thank you so much for your testimony, appreciate it.

MODERATOR: Thank you. We will move onto the next panelist, who will be Eliot Colbert followed by David Jenkins followed by Aliffer Sabek.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

ELIOT COLBERT: Thanks Matt and Chair Adams, the Committee and to Daniel and all the Council staff who kept this hearing running today all day.

My name is Eliot Colbert, I am a student at CUNY School of Labor and Urban Studies and a proud member of the Queens Branch of New York City DSA. Like my friend Kay, last month I watched a video of \$100,000 robotic surveillance dog marching down 227 Street in the Bronx and I shook my head in anger. There are four public schools on that street alone. I want to know, when is the last time they got \$100,000 in new technology. But here we are deploying shiny robots from MIT to keep an eye on those students and their families in the name of public safety.

I got caught in a four car pileup on top of the Verrazano last summer, little did I see we were blocking traffic with low visibility. And yet, I had to think twice about calling 911 because I didn't know who was in those other cars and how their interactions with the police might play out.

As a transwoman, I was hardly eager to engage with an armed officer myself, given the painful history of police harassment that my community has

2.

2.2

experienced. And later on when I went to retrieve a copy of the accident report, I was met by a swarm of unmasked cops at the  $104^{\rm th}$  Precinct in Ridgewood.

Police simply don't make us safer. Rather they siphon resources away from the essential services our city must provide in order to guarantee the wellbeing of its residents. For this reason, the 2022 budget must defund the NYPD by at least \$3 billion as a pathway to abolition.

It's important to note that when we speak of police and prison abolition, we don't just mean the City Council, with the struggle at hand simply disbanding the NYPD and closing the jails. What we mean is abolishing the desperate conditions under which policing and prisons became the solution to problems in the first place. As explained so eloquently by Ruth Wilson Gilmore.

In short, that means abolishing poverty in our city and it means redirecting the resources we now put toward beating and locking people up instead toward making sure that everyone can lead a healthy and dignified life.

If they can give their best to a community they love that they call home and that loves them back.

So, we need officers out of schools, spend that money on textbooks, teachers, counselors and college prep.

Don't send transit police into the subway to protect the homeless. And ensure that everyone has stable housing. Let DOT respond to the traffic accidents.

I didn't need someone with a Glock to help me off the road. And eliminate the strategic response group

When I see a budget with \$200 million in cuts at DOE and a \$200 million increase for NYPD, I see that something is horribly wrong in our city and alongside my community, I will give everything I have got to make sure that you are the Council that sets things right.

Thank you. I yield my time.

that brutalizes everyone they meet.

MODERATOR: Thank you for your testimony. Next up, we will have David Jenkins followed by Aliffer Sabek followed by Vanessa Pereda.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

DAVID JENKINS: Hello and thank you all for your time today, particularly the members of Council and all of your keen questions and advocacy so far.

My name is David Jenkins, I am a lifelong New Yorker living in District 40 and I am also a member

of the NYC Chapter of the Democratic Socialists of America.

I had originally planned to testify about the brutality I and other experienced at the hands of the police over the summer and the alternative models of public safety, which a number of people have already mentioned. But actually, just one week ago today, I had a police encounter that I think perfectly illustrates both some of the problems, as well as some of the solutions.

So, I was collecting signatures for a City

Council candidate when a domestic dispute spilled out

onto the sidewalk. The woman engaged me for help and

her husband accosted me and then struck her before a

crowd formed and he fled the scene.

Much later, the police showed up across the street and when she first waved them over, they rolled their eyes and shrugged at her. She then told me that she wasn't safe with them and asked me to stay. And sure enough, when they finally came over they were annoyed, claiming no one had called them and questioning her account.

Despite her very visibly injured face and her distraught child. And all they could offer her in

2.2

the end was an arrest of her husband. So, she shut it down. She knew how much worse that arrest would make matters for her family. See, she had told me while we were waiting how hard she had been working from a shelter to get services and counseling for everyone in her family after she had lost her job with the city, I should add and their housing in this pandemic.

And I could see how those officers who looked like at this point, they now really cared, felt helpless as they left. So, she and I kept talking for a little while and without any slogans or policy jargon, we reflected together on how cops don't do what we think they are supposed to do. You know, protect us from harm. And they simply can't give people the help they need after harm has occurred.

So, I hope you will keep the many, many stories like mine, which I will expand upon in my written testimony in your heart when considering what services should be the priority for the next city's budget.

Significant and eventually entire reinvestments of the budget from the police to various community services and a just transition for displaced officers

and workers. Starting now will change and save so many lives and make stories like mine a thing of the past.

Thank you very much for your time, your attention, all your attention today and your service.

I yield my time. Thank you.

MODERATOR: Thank you for your testimony. Next up, we will have Aliffer Sabek followed by Vanessa Pereda followed by Rob Katz.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

ALIFFER SABEK: Good evening Committee Members and thank you so much Chair Adams for being here. I know it has been a long day for you and I know you have been here since 10:00 a.m.

My name is Aliffer Sabek and I am an Artist,

Educator, Community Organizer and Member of District

50 and I am here to ask that Committee Members take a

look at how they wheeled their power.

In history books would we write about how you helped low income marginalized communities, people who may not look like you but who you can believe when they say they've been hurt by killer cops.

People in your city who need safety and resources to thrive.

2.

2.2

I ask how you are using the data being presented to you and readily accessible to you as majority wealthy, government officials to help those in need. Are you turning the other cheek and washing yourselves of your responsibilities?

Please hear me. The last thing we need in our communities is more cops. As an immigrant who is actively stopped by ICE and profiled by NYPD, who has been harassed by racist cops in New York for the past ten years, I'd like to share a story of how I was mistreated by a group of cops during June of 2020.

There was a group of protestors against a group of cops in lower Manhattan and one teenager towards the front of the crowd kept throwing himself at the cops. Instead of taking him aside and communicating with him, multiple officers then proceeded to take their guns out to intimidate him.

Seeing this, I immediately stepped in front of this young boy when that encouraged more killer cops to take out more guns. I remember immediately, I remember immediately feeling my shirt being wet as I was terrified to see so many guns in front of me.

Seeing multiple guns pointed at me as I tried to assist this child, is still something that I have

2.2

2 nightmares about and the criminalization of this 3 young Black boy by the NYPD is not a reason to

4 increase their budget.

I am here to ask that we please reject Bill 2211.

Our teachers don't have enough money for basic supplies for their students but we have enough money to hire more cops. We don't need 400 new school officers. We need to hire 400 more teachers. We need to hire 400 more community organizers. We need to hire 400 more case workers. We don't need more killer cops on the payroll.

We need to end qualified immunity. We need to defund and abolish the NYPD. \$20 million to new police officers is stealing from our youth, directly from our youth. We need a hiring freeze for all NYPD departments. We need to divest every dollar we can from the NYPD to community programs in schools. Programs that feed our people and shelter our unhoused community. Have moral vision. Make truly progressive decisions, even if it upsets your colleagues. Be there for the people and we will have your back. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Thank you Ms. Sabek. I just want to say your testimony is just so moving. I am

so sorry that that happened to you and I appreciate your testimony tonight more than you know. Thank you so, so very much.

MODERATOR: Thank you Aliffer and thank you

Chair. Next up, we will have Vanessa Pereda followed

by Rob Katz followed by Garon Scott.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

VANESSA PEREDA: Good evening. Thank you Chair

Adams and all attendees for giving me the time to

speak. My name is Vanessa Pereda, I am from District

43 but work and have deeply invested and loved

Districts 34 and 37 in Brooklyn, Kings County,

Lenape, the unseeded land of the Lenape.

I want to thank and stand with our previous speaker. Thank you for your testimony. Thank you.

I am a Chicana Theater Artist, Actor, Playwright and even more importantly an Educator and Community

Director for an off-off Broadway Theater company in Brooklyn.

I am here today because I want to talk about defunding and the reallocation of funds from the NYPD in service of community care and public safety.

Reallocating those funds and putting money to community-based programs that helps the kids and the

2.2

families that I serve as a Teaching Artist and as an Arts Ed Programmer is public safety in action. I have witnessed and experienced the impact of racist and violent police relations, not only in the communities that I am in but also during peaceful Black Lives Matter gatherings and protests which I am often part of.

I have also witnessed and experienced the impact of COVID-19 in communities of color. The lack of proper and affordable housing. The lack of access to the healthcare, mental healthcare. The lack of care for the houseless, which I was at different points in my childhood. And the lack of funding for arts and education. All of which equates to a lack of public safety. You are lacking and in order create a just world, we need to double down on taking care of our community especially BIPOC Black indigenous people of color communities.

I am here to ask you to defund the NYPD and reallocate those funds to community-based programs that house families and provide truly affordable housing. Create access to affordable healthcare including mental health services and proper funding to schools and arts programs, including theaters,

-

2.2

people that have to keep the trains running.

especially in Black and Brown communities and neighborhoods.

These are the steps forward to value, serve and protect the lives of so many New Yorkers in need.

Not patting and protecting the NYPD. Black Lives

Matter. Black Women Matter. Thank you for your time.

MODERATOR: Thank you for your testimony. Next up we will have Rob Katz followed by Garon Scott followed by Adika Pimentel.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

ROB KATZ: Hi, my name is Rob. Thank you for having me. I am a Member of NYCDSA, an organization of more than 70,000 members in New York City working to build a city that works for the public good, rather than private profit. I am also a member of the Ridgewood Tenants Union, an organization of tenants across Ridgewood and its running neighborhoods, keeping each other safe and building community.

I want to thank Chair Adams for this hearing.

This has been a very long day. Especially for the

Last summer, the NYPD showed their hand. I understand they are on the defensive now because people are questioning what it's really for. Between the last half of 2015 and the first have of 2020, taxpayers paid off nearly \$250 million to people in all five boroughs. Crime is at an all-time low, arrests have decreased over the last decade and meanwhile, the budget balloons. Of course, we all know that some of us approve of it. Some of us understand it for what it is.

Perhaps the old ways might actually be born out as Council Member Barron explained earlier today, a very vicious path that is going to continue to haunt us. I understand the NYPD is not interested in those conversations and I fear that many politicians who are thankful by those who profit off the NYPD, are only interested in pushing a false dichotomy where public safety and policing are somehow considered to — as if you can't have one without the other.

And that's on the NYPD and that's under \$150 million communications division and the successful lobbying they have done of some elected representatives who exploit that imagined by to shore up their support and build hate.

I was several feet away from Dune Azarer[SP?], a 21-year-old woman who was body slammed and called something I am not going to say on here in May 2020 by Officer Vincent Dandrea[SP?]. We talk about drama; I don't know if it gets more dramatic than that. An officer, an agent of the state uhm, with a bruised ego insulting you and really just ruining your life.

A friend of mine was arrested during and kettling near Cabinet Plaza. We spent hours looking for her and concerned for her safety. It turns out that a male officer had leaned into her body, put her to the ground and then with a few other officers leaning into her said, "I think that I should just kill you." As he handcuffed her and hours later, 3 a.m. we find her. Her partner was furious. I was furious. All of us were furious.

Meanwhile, when we were kettled, we were not safe. We were trampled. We were chased and poached. Commissioner Shea called these strategic arrests. On top of the usage of the term the strategic response group, I think that their definition of strategic has a lot less to do with us as the people and more to do with their interest. If they truly cared about

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

public safety, they would come to the table and talk with us and we would come to the conclusion that \$3 billion have to be divested from the NYPD immediately

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

ROB KATZ: With this next budget, so that we can invest that in resources, in housing, in NYCHA, in a lot of things and I just want to go here and say, no more platitudes from the City Council. No more tweaks, no more preserving your political dynasty or your career. Stand on the side with people and divest \$3 billion to go toward the people's needs. That's housing, that's education, that's healthcare, that's jobs, that's public sector jobs. Doing all of that will leave you in the arms of the people who will support you and they will not turn their backs on you unlike the NYPD that profits in blood money and threats to keep political dynasties and political careers afloat. Don't join them. Stand with the people and divest from the NYPD. Thank you very much for your time.

MODERATOR: Thank you for your testimony. Next up we will have Garon Scott followed by Adika
Pimentel followed by Meril Mousoom .

2.2

2 SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

GARON SCOTT: Thank you Chair Adams and the full Public Safety Committee for your time today. My name is Garon Scott and I am a resident of District 35 and a Member of NYCDSA.

I would like to relate what happened when I was arrested protesting around Bowery and  $1^{\rm st}$  the night of Saturday May  $30^{\rm th}$ , when the police escalated suddenly charging the protestors I was with.

As we retreated, I saw a cop grab a Black man who was just standing there watching. Uhm, with my hands above my head, I was asking the cops why he was being arrested when I was tackled from behind by two officers.

On the transport van, in handcuffs, I learned that that man wasn't even a protestor. He lived in the building and had come outside in his flipflops to see what was happening and they spear tackled him to the ground and arrested him anyway.

Uhm, also in that van was a young Black Man with a severe head injury caused by the cops. As we were parked outside of One Police Plaza, his symptoms began to dramatically worsen. I saw him lose consciousness and fall forward onto his lap. For ten

minutes, myself and the rest of the arrested people in the van were begging for medical attention and completely ignored.

Then, that young man began seizing up. Another ten minutes passed before he was finally extracted from the bus and laid out on the concrete for the ambulance to pick him up. I can't overstate how unconcerned the cops were. How slowly they moved and how they seemed to resent our request for medical attention.

If you call for a cops attention, the rule seems to be that he must ignore you the first few times because to respond promptly would indicate that they were in service to us. Whereas everything that they did was calculated to demonstrate the opposite and that even a seizure would be dealt with at their pace.

So, what do you think causes crime? Do you think it's poverty? Is it a lack of opportunities? Is it a miseducation? Is it entertainment? Like, whatever you think it is, the police can't solve it. They can only manage the results with violence. For decades, the city has expanded the NYPD's budget while defunding housing, healthcare, education, mental

health support, homeless support and all the other services that actually address the root causes of crime and actually keep people safe.

It's a moral outrage that this budget cuts funding to every department but the NYPD and the Department of Corrections. To solve the enormous problems our city faces, we need to directly fund the root causes of these issues and with an austerity budget, we can't do that without defunding the NYPD.

Last June the Mayor claimed the NYPD budget was cut by \$1 billion. Commissioner Shea said the same today. It's a blatant lie and I can't imagine anyone who protested over the summer believing it. Rather than budgetary slight of hand and fake caps on overtime, New York City DSA is calling for \$3 billion of the NYPD's funds to be reinvested in communities most impacted by police violence.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

GARON SCOTT: They shouldn't get special treatment. It's a moral outrage that teachers, EMT's and other essential workers face layoffs, benefit cuts and hiring freezes while the city is hiring new cops.

2.2

And last, I am sorry to go over but since Council Member Rosenthal asked about an alternative to the Mayor's recently released plan, I will say also that New York City DSA has done just that. Drawing from the work of Communities United for Police Reform and other community groups and we are going to release that plan publicly in the next couple of weeks. So, I would be more than happy to share that plan with any interested Council Members or advocates. And thank you again for hearing my testimony.

MODERATOR: Thank you for your testimony. Next up we will have Adika Pimentel from Teens Take Charge as well as Meril Mousoom.

ADIKA PIMENTEL: Hey.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

ADIKA PIMENTEL: Good evening. My name is Adika and I use she and they pronouns. I am an Organizer with Make the Road New York and the Urban Youth Collaborative and now Teens Take Charge. 18 years ago, I was undocumented and starting my freshman year of high school as a young person who was growing up watching my then undocumented mom work as a waitress and paycheck to paycheck. I had many stressors that I carried with me to school. Whether it was the fear

2.1

2.2

of deportation or how we were going to continue to keep a roof over our heads. I carried it with me.

In my body and in interactions. I remember searching for true safety in school. In a place you know where I spent the majority of my days and found that in my relationships with teachers I trusted in my school and in my Community Home, then known as Make the Road by Walking.

School safety agents in my school who started watching us first thing in the morning didn't make me feel safe. I dealt with verbal harassment and sexual harassment often on the metal detector line while we were pulling off our boots, belts and jackets as demanded by agents.

As a Black woman who has experienced this and who knows other people who have similar experiences, it has been painful but not surprising to see people in positions of power disregard and ignore our stories. It's painful but not surprising to see people make the same claims about any NYPD unit that complains and lived experiences are not valid.

I am here today as someone who graduated from a New York City public school. As someone who supports and loves youth leaders who attend school currently

2.1

2.2

and go through the same things I went through 18years-ago and as an older sister who has a younger
brother who had school police forcefully pull his
hoody off his head, who is now a father to my nephew
who will turn one-years-old on Saturday and who will
experience similar if we don't change things.

I am here to day that police have no role in our schools. We are spending way too much time as a city talking about what school safety agents need and not nearly enough time spending — providing students with what they need.

People keep asking how will schools be safe but no one is really listening. We are spending \$450 million positions in schools that are not helping students with their social, emotional and mental health needs. We need to reinvest those funds back into our communities and into our schools. How can we have \$20 million to hire 475 more school safety agents returning from the worst health pandemic we have ever seen?

Every dollar should go into the social and mental health needs of our young people. The message that you send to New Yorkers when you are willing to spend all that money during a pandemic, where people are

2.2

struggling to buy food to eat, pay for rent and buy medicine is that you are not willing to prioritize the needs of our communities. That you would rather continue to fund the racist, systemic pipeline of feeding youth to the unforgiving criminal system rather than listen to the directly impacted young people when they say we need to remove police from schools immediately. Not transfer them to the Department of Education. Not retrain them but remove them entirely and immediately.

Students need mental health support especially now and should have social workers and guidance counselors in their schools.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

ADIKA PIMENTEL: We have the funds to do this, we just need to reinvest and reimagine the way we look at safety as a whole. This includes how we view safety outside of our schools to. Last year, Black and Brown community members who historically have been brutalized by and who have lost loved ones at the hands of police demanded that the city divest \$1 billion in the NYPD's \$6 billion budget and that didn't happen. Instead, a proposed budget for Fiscal

2.2

Year 2022 calls for an increase in the NYPD's budget.
This is definitely a step in the wrong direction.

Our students and community members need to center their experiences, voices and demands. Minneapolis, Portland, Milwaukee, Los Angeles, Denver and many places around the country have listened to young people and community members that understand safety starts by meeting our needs and ending approaches that are not policing young people.

For the future of young people, it is time for New York City to do the same. And the last thing I will say is, I have been testifying every year for the last 15, 16 years about the same things and it would just be monumental to have the Council and the City listen to young people and finally you know remove police from schools and listen to their demands. Thank you.

MODERATOR: Thank you for your testimony. We will have Meril Mousoom testify.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

MERIL MOUSOOM: My name is Meril Mousoom, a high school student and a member of Teens Take Charge and Dignity in Schools. As a young person, I reject the

2.2

2 Mayor's plan to add 475 more school police for Intro. 3 2211.

The pandemic has brought pain. Feelings of loneliness and mental health struggles, especially of the children of essential workers like myself. My mom works at a hospital. She comes home at 6 p.m.. My dad is a Taxi Driver and he comes home at 10 p.m. and I am sure that this is a common feeling among adults as well. But why has the response to the pandemic been for the youth to add more police to schools?

More police officers during a time where it took months for my school provided tablets to come. More police officers when there are already more police than guidance counselors and social workers in schools combined. The price of this plan to hire 475 more school police is \$20 million.

That is \$20 million not going into funding ethnic studies. Not funding in restorative justice. Not funding our futures. Instead, it only serves to uphold White Supremacy, a cruel reality of policing Black and Brown youth.

Woman of color are only over represented in the most punitive position in a school building. That's

\_

2.1

because the city fails to fund programs to hire teachers that look like me.

City Council, you can say that you care about youth but I urge you to show that you care through your dollars. Fund our future. Fund a future one, with police free schools led by healing and care instead of racism and hate. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Meril, I just want to thank you. I know that your parents must be very proud of you for being here and testifying in this forum. It is a powerful forum and what you have just said and what you have just done is very powerful.

Just know also, that the City Council will continue to invest millions of dollars into youth, into programs, into summer jobs and everything else that we are passionate about. I so appreciate your testimony. Keep fighting the good fight.

MODERATOR: Thank you Chair and thank you for your testimony Meril. At this time, I would like to invite up Pat Keeton to testify.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time starts now.

MODERATOR: Alright, Pat seems to have dropped off. At this time, if your name has not been called

۷.

and you still wish to testify, please raise your hand using the Zoom raise hand function.

Alright, seeing no hands, I will now turn it back to Chair Adams for closing remarks.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Matt, thank you very much.

This has been a very long day but a very, very needed hearing. I don't regret a minute of it. I have enjoyed every minute of it and I have listened to every minute of it from 9:30 this morning until 7:58 tonight. All of the testimony was great and powerful today.

If there are no further members of our community wishing to testify tonight, I just want to thank all of the members of the public. Those of you that have hung out with us all day. Thank you for hanging out.

I want to thank my colleagues, members of the NYPD, members of the Administration, CCRB, oh, we do have one witness. Pat Keeton has arrived. Let's go back to Pat before my closing remarks. Pat.

PAT KEETON: Can you hear me?

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Yes, your time starts now.

PAT KEETON: Okay, alright. Uhm, I was — alright, I am a resident of New York City whose lived

here for 44 year. My children attended New York City

schools and I have a grandson in public school. My testimony addresses the question of how do we keep students in schools safe.

For the past 30 years, the idea has been pounded into heads that schools are dangerous places for children and that to keep them safe, we need to have police officers and metal detectors in the schools.

I am here to argue the opposite. That the main effect of cops and metal detectors has been to criminalize youth, especially Black and Brown youth but keep students safe in their schools is not cops, metal detectors and surveillance. That having teachers, counselors, staff and parents surrounding them and building trust with them.

What we need to keep our children and communities safe is to build to a mass antiracist multiracial movement that has the power to demand that the removal of police and metal detectors from our schools be carried out. Such pressure has led school districts in Minneapolis, Seattle, Portland, promise to remove officers and that other cities are also considering this.

COVID has laid things bare here. It has given us all a collective global citywide course in

2.1

2.2

inequities. Funds need to go to schools and not to metal detectors. To schools and not \$21 million for testing for students to get in seven schools. Funds need to go to schools and not resource officers.

When we look at that data, there is no other side. Today, we have 700,000 students doing remote learning. Some don't have iPads a year into the pandemic. What has happened during COVID couldn't make it clearer that the disproportionate impact of this and the inequality that exists in our school system.

The New York school system and budget reflects the inequality that has existed in the United States since its founding. When young people are surrounded by people who have them as their key interest, they will be able to learn and flourish. That action and uniting with parents is necessary and essential for school safety and national safety. We can't continue to criminalize kids and that's what our school safety officer and metal detectors do.

This is a hearing on public safety, so the first step is to take hundreds of millions of dollars spent on school safety in the form of police, including ICE officers and spend it on the needs of people. ICE

2.

^

should not be allowed to enter our public schools by any means. The city need to end the policy of handcuffing and restraining in emotional stress. Descalation techniques used, instead of EMS and Police.

School safety means that the removal of metal detectors, invasive security and forms of surveillance. It means redirecting funds to truly trained competent, trauma trained, mentally health support staff, health educators, social workers, psychiatrist, community outreach coordinators invested to get at the root cause.

The City Council members are tasked with creating and implementing a city budget and I am appalled that New York City spends \$11 billion on policing. More than any other city in the country, practically more than any other country in the world.

All funds allocated toward cops or school safety officers and metal detectors should be cut and reallocated. The current system is not a justice system but an injustice system.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

PAT KEETON: Thank you for this opportunity.

MODERATOR: Thank you for your testimony. Unless there are questions from Council Members, I will turn it back over to the Chair.

CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Thank you very much Matt and Pat, thank you for your testimony. We are very glad that we got to hear it. Thank you so much.

Okay, I guess this is going to be a wrap. So, again, I just want to thank members of the public, my colleagues, members of the NYPD, members of the Administration, CCRB, Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice, Legal Aid. I would also like to especially thank Committee Counsel, Daniel Ades, Matt Thompson. City Council Staff Kelly Taylor, Indiana Porter, Ebony Meeks, Finance Staff Regina Ryan, Isha Wright Nevin Singh, Monte Pepple[SP?].

It is my honor to Chair this great Committee. This meeting is hereby adjourned.

## ${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date April 30, 2021