CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

COMMITTEE ON AGING

----X

April 30, 2010 Start: 11:20 am Recess: 2:06 pm

HELD AT: Council Chambers

City Hall

B E F O R E:

JESSICA S. LAPPIN

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Council Member Maria Del Carmen Arroyo

Council Member Gale A. Brewer Council Member Margaret S. Chin Council Member Vincent J. Gentile Council Member David G. Greenfield

Council Member Peter A. Koo Council Member Karen Koslowitz Council Member Melissa Mark-

Viverito

Council Member Deborah L. Rose

Council Member James Vacca

A P P E A R A N C E S [CONTINUED]

Jessica S. Lappin Opening Statement Chairperson Committee on Aging

Charles B. Rangel Congressman 15th District New York City United States Congress

Karen Resnick Deputy Commissioner External Affairs Department for the Aging

Beth Finkel Manages programs and services New York State AARP

Karen Tinny Policy Analyst United Neighborhood Houses

CHAIRPERSON LAPPIN: Good afternoon everyone. I'm Jessica Lappin, Chair of the City Council's Aging Committee, joined today by Council Member Melissa Mark-Viverito, Council Member Peter Koo, and I know Council Member Arroyo is floating around. And the topic of our hearing today is a Resolution, Resolution number 7 which deals with Social Security. And I wanted to welcome everybody who's here today and thank you for joining us.

The need for the Social Security program is quite clear. Poverty among Americans aged 65 and older significantly declined throughout the 20th Century mostly due to the implementation of programs like Social Security and Medicare. However in New York City one-fifth of older New Yorkers live in poverty compared to 10% nationwide. Additionally about 20% of all elderly headed households earned an annual income of below \$10,000.

Social Security is the major factor in ensuring a modest standard of living for most seniors and in preventing even more from falling into poverty. As my mother always says it's not

2.0

2.3

just a matter of the senior but it's a reason it's called Social Security, it provides for the society as a whole, security for all of us.

Since 1975 those who receive Social Security benefits have received a modest yearly increase due to a cost of living adjustment or COLA that was tied to the inflation rate. This past year however there we no COLA increase due to the lack of an inflation rate increase as a result of the recession. While the COLA was intended to ensure that benefits maintain their value by keeping up with inflation, this lack of COLA will still create hardship for many of our seniors.

Though the inflation rate may be zero for this year, seniors consistently face rising costs on other fronts such as healthcare, food, energy and housing costs. A COLA increase would not eliminate those burdens but would provide an additional cushion especially when many seniors have factored in the likelihood of this increase to their budgets already. The Federal government in large part has recognized this issue in their efforts to try to provide some sort of payment in lieu of the COLA.

2.0

2.3

2	President Obama has called on
3	Congress to authorize a second \$250 stimulus check
4	for Social Security recipients. And as of now

5 there are numerous bills pending in Congress to do

6 just that or something similar. That's why I've

7 introduced Resolution number 7 which we'll

8 consider today.

In short the resolution will call upon the Federal government to enact legislation to authorize this one-time \$250 stimulus payment. And I think it's important that we have such a leader in Congress, Congressman Rangel who's here today, fighting for all of us. And I'm very much looking forward to hearing from you on this issue. And really the reason we introduced this Resolution and are having this hearing is to help you, to help you and your colleagues in your efforts to take care of our City's seniors. And so with that I would like to open it up and hear from our esteemed Congressman.

CONGRESSMAN CHARLES B. RANGEL:

Thank you for such a very kind introduction and tell you that it's a great honor for me to be here. I didn't expect that we would be able to

2.0

leave the Congress late last night but I was able to do so. So I would hope and I ask unanimous consent that the written statement that I submitted would be put into the record and that I be allowed to speak off of that record.

CHAIRPERSON LAPPIN: That would--absolutely, we're very happy to do that.

CONGRESSMAN RANGEL: Thank you so much and thank you for the invitation. Councilman Koo it's good to see you behind the desk rather than just on television. And of course I see a lot of my City Councilwoman Viverito as well as Inez Dickens who I know that they're working so hard for the people. But most importantly to me that I cannot do well in the Congress unless my City and State representatives are doing well. And we, I think, more than most Congressional District, we work as a team so that if an elderly person has a problem, we don't look at it as a Federal or State or City problem. We look to try to do it.

This idea of having resolutions is so very important especially in view of the fact that the State of New York has a delegation that

has to respond to a major city where so many of our population and members come from. And when other cities see the leadership that has been provided by the New York City Council, whether we're talking about immigration or health care or Social Security, it does make a difference because elected officials in the City and State are the biggest lobbyists that we can have because they come from our communities. They're there every day. And they help us collectively to make a decision.

So what you're doing is so very, very important. Not only to the New York delegation but to every urban community that is suffering these problems. Now my Committee has the jurisdiction over Social Security, Medicare as well as taxes and trade. And this act that has been created has done more to get a larger number of people who are elderly out of poverty than any concept that any country has come up with.

You all are too young to remember.

My wife was a Social Worker. Young adults had the responsibility, fiscally, to pay for the health care or any care of their parents. And she worked

for the New York City Welfare Department and had to go to collect the money from children of the elderly that owed money. Now everyone loves their mother and mother-in-law but when you have to pay money for them and deprive your children of a college education, what a painful decision that you have to make.

By removing that from the burden of children, it allowed parents to have a little more independence. But what has happened is that we determine whether or not a person should be entitled to an increase in their payment based on a basket of wage earners as to whether or not it's gone up or gone down to determine whether old folks deserve an increase in their Social Security check.

Well old folks' needs are not the same as those people who are the wage earners. So for the first time in years, they said there was no inflation involved. And so therefore, statistically and legally, they would not be entitled to a raise. But that doesn't mean that their life has not been made more uncomfortable. Why? Because people are living longer, retiring

earlier and unfortunately the Social Security

check for so many millions of Americans is the

only source of income that they have to call their

own. This is theirs. They don't have to be

dependent on children, on family, on anybody, but

it's their check. And they have to live off of

it.

Fortunately there are other people sometimes in the family that are able to supplement it. They don't put it on income tax. They don't put it—a press release out but parents should expect that their children would be helping and children are glad to do it and grandchildren are glad to do it when what? When they have the resources to do it. Is that in the index? Is that a determination of the comfort of old people? No it's not there. And it's so totally unfair and we have to review how we do that.

As you pointed out Madam

Chairperson, the President said that even though health care is going to provide some relief for them in the future as it relates to access and costs, right now prescription drugs and other things have gone up. So he suggested that we

2.0

contribute \$250 to try to compensate for that loss of the automatic increase.

My Committee reported it out. The House supported it. And anybody that knows any Senator has to ask why can't they move on the issues that the House has that affects millions of Americans. And I'm hopeful that this will be done.

But what I'm recommending that we think about doing is not to use indexes that may work out for the convenience of those people that are out there with different types of needs in terms of wages, in terms of clerical, what the goods and services they buy. Old folks have different needs and they live in different circumstances. And they're supported by the check but other things involved in the economy. When the economy fails and the check doesn't increase old folks have a particular problem and they have that today.

I would suggest that we find out what are the things that people who are retired, people on fixed income, what are the things that they're dependent on and are those things there

2.0

2.3

for them so that we don't think about the general population but we think of the needs of the aged. And so I really appreciate the fact that the City Council is advising their Congress as to what they could do to make life more comfortable for the most vulnerable population that we have with the exception of children.

And I welcome the opportunity to come here and to support your efforts and to answer as many questions as my time will permit.

And Councilwoman, I'm glad that you're here, and Councilman. And there are more people here than I normally see. And I'm flattered by your attendance.

You here. I have a--yes it's really an honor. I have just two questions. One, as you mentioned, that your Committee and the House passed legislation in support of this and it hasn't moved in the Senate. Is there one particular bill in the Senate that we should focus on? Because I understand that there are multiple ways that they could do it and maybe multiple pieces of legislation in that House that we could best

2.0

direct our efforts in terms of lobbying.

the City lobbyist down there as to which members would be the best. My best relationship is with Senator Bacchus who's the Chairman of the Senate Finance Committee. But the Senate really works, to use an expression, loosely and in an entirely different way. They don't have the hearings. And they don't have the opportunity. They put packages together. And Social Security was a part of a package. Since they didn't pass the package that was sent, we have to find a different package for them to attach this to.

So the best way to do this of course is to get the Chairman of the Finance Committee to support the House and the President in making certain that he determines how we're going to raise the money in order to pay for the \$250 so that yes, you can write Senator Reid. You can write or get in touch politically with the Chairman of the Finance Committee.

But take my word for it. Getting in touch with your Congressman and tell them to get in touch with the Senate. It's going to help.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

2	And the fact that the City Council would support a
3	resolution means that we should believe that you
4	represent the people of the great City ofWelcome
5	Council Member Rose. I have to get out to the
6	island soon for reasons with which you are
7	familiar. But [chuckling] we have to realize that
8	you represent the people in all of our
9	Congressional Districts. And your concerns cannot
10	be ignored by the New York Congressional
11	delegation.

CHAIRPERSON LAPPIN: And you mentioned potentially looking at a different formula or looking past the Consumer Price Index. And do you think we should be as a country changing the formula that we use?

CONGRESSMAN RANGEL: It's clear that this one didn't work. They do the best they They're trying to find some way that you don't have to have hearings but you know if the cost of living has gone up and you're on a fixed income, automatically you would get it. But when you are seeing how much it costs for someone that's young and working, the increase or lack of increase in inflation, that doesn't mean that a

2.0

retired older person doesn't have other kinds of problems. You get old. You have different kinds of problems. And those people are working.

So if they were to get an increase or lack of increase in their pay, based on it, that's accurate but if you're not working, if you're old. You're on Social Security. You have a disability. The relationship between increase or decrease in the cost of living for working people have nothing to do with the problems that old folks face. So we have to really find some other kind of formula and I'm suggesting to the Committee that we take a look at the basket of old folks' problems. The absence of people in their families that are working that they normally could be dependent on support.

CHAIRPERSON LAPPIN: It makes a lot of sense. I wanted to note we've been joined by Council Member Koslowitz and Council Member Greenfield and I believe Council Member Greenfield has a question.

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Thank you Congressman. And thank you for spending your Friday afternoon with us. I imagine that there

2.0

2.3

2 are more exciting ways to spend a Friday
3 afternoon.

I'm a little bit new here so just a little bit of a curiosity, wondering what you think. When you have a situation like this one where seniors are struggling, do you think it's only the obligation of Congress to act? Or perhaps should we in the City consider trying to provide some sort of stipend for seniors considering that they really are struggling today to make ends meet?

a judgment that members of the City Council have to make. You have restrictions on your budget and you have to make the determination as to what's in the best interests of the people in the City of New York and especially your constituents. I wish my Congress would pay more attention to senior citizens than they do for war and other areas that to me does not make this a stronger country.

I wish that everybody would be so educated that we will be able to be competitive to take care of our old folks, our parents and whatnot, without doing this when the economy--when

2	people are working, they're generous. When
3	they're not working, unfortunately, the helpless
4	are the ones that are there. So if you believe
5	rather thanwith the limited monies that you've
6	got to work with, if you believe that instead of
7	education or health care, your other liabilities,
8	that you should give a direct payment, hey, that's
9	a political decision you have to make. And I have
10	enough problems in making my own without giving
11	you advice.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Well I
13	for one think it's worth considering. But let me
14	ask you this question. Are there any particular
15	Senators that are holding it up in the Senate that
16	are preventing it frompreventing this
17	legislation from moving that we should target our
18	wrath at?
19	CONGRESSMAN RANGEL: I'm going to
20	give you a very general answer
21	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD:
22	[Interposing] [Chuckling]
23	CONGRESSMAN RANGEL:besides
24	trying to once again get my name in the
25	newspapers. And that is this. All of us went to

school believing that the House and the Senate would do business by getting one half of a majority. Now you don't have to be a genius to know that's 50/50. But somehow the Democrats have accepted the threats of the Republican minority that on every issue that comes, whether it's finance reform, health reform, that you have to get 60 votes because they would filibuster that. You can't name a Post Office without getting 60 votes.

And I hope that you would tell the Democratic leadership how proud you would be as I am with the fact that they told the Republican minority on fiscal responsibility, we're going to stay here and have you vote no just as long as the American people know we can't debate it. This can happen on any issue. And as long as we need 60 votes to pass anything I think it's distorts the meaning of our great Constitution.

So no I'm not picking out individuals because they have a mandate to operate as one. And they're good Republicans that are caught in this commitment to their party, no matter how wrong and immoral that is. But I tell

you Senator Reid stuck to his guns and said pull out the cots we're going to sleep here until we get something. And guess what. They're now going to have debate on that bill.

And I'm saying not just for this issue but for any issue that's important to you, check with your Congressman and ask him, that it's not one, I mean I know good Republicans that are putting--jeopardizing their political career, but more importantly, that morally they believe it's wrong what they're doing. But not one have stepped forward and said count me with you. Indeed one Democrat has joined them. And it's political. And everyone knows that it is. So as the Dean of the delegation, if we have a problem and you can call your member to help us out, it'll help.

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: But to be clear, the Republicans in the Senate don't support seniors.

CONGRESSMAN RANGEL: I'm not going to make a generic statement. I'm just saying that they don't support legislation that the President and the Democratic majority believe is very, very

2	important to make American strong. And old folks
3	are living longer. They're not going to go away.
4	And it the fact that we don't give them something
5	to live on doesn't mean that it's not costly.
6	It's very costly to be in the
7	hospital and to be in the intensive care unit, to
8	be disabled, to have hospitals have to take care
9	of you rather than taking care of yourself at
10	home. So poor folks and old folks aren't going
11	away. And to me if you talk about national
12	security, it's having a strong population. And we
13	can't do that by ignoring their needs.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Thank
15	you for your leadership Congressman.
16	CHAIRPERSON LAPPIN: We've been
17	joined by Council Member Debbie Rose which I
18	neglected to mention earlier
19	CONGRESSMAN RANGEL: [Interposing]
20	Yes indeed.
21	CHAIRPERSON LAPPIN:and Council
22	Member Koo has a question.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Congressman
24	Rangel, welcome to City Council. And we have to
25	thank you for your many years of service to repent

New York in Congress. We thank you very much for that. My question is since we are running out of money to support Social Security; I mean can we change the concept of Social Security a bit because right now we have too many people depending on Social Security as their only source of income when they get old.

But when Social Security was first introduced it was meant to be a supplement of income. Not the only source of income when people get old. So maybe we can--can you do something to change the name of the Department, to the Social Supplementation Department? And we receive social supplement, not Social Security. Because security is a misnomer for old people. They think oh, I get old; I can depend on the government to give me enough income.

I mean for future generations you have to stretch this idea to say Social Security is supplementation of income, not your only income. I mean I support this \$250 one-time deal to help the senior people. But as we all know, we don't have enough money for future generations to receive Social Security. So--

2	CONGRESSMAN RANGEL: [Interposing]
3	Let me just say two things. It won't have any
4	fiscal impact but I would give consideration of
5	changing the name. But to say
6	COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: [Interposing]
7	And these people will know this is supplementation
8	not security
9	CONGRESSMAN RANGEL: [Interposing]
10	Well whether they know it or not, you can't pay
11	rent and hospital bills knowing it's how much
12	money are you going to get. And we're not running
13	out of money as longwe have to make decisions as
14	to how we're going to spend our resources that we
15	have. We may be running out of money in Iran and
16	Iraq and Afghanistan but your country is getting
17	more and more in debt.
18	And we're borrowing more and more
19	money and we're paying for a lot of things. Old
20	folks are just one of the considerations. They're
21	living longer. No one expected, you know, a guy
22	80 years old coming here talking to you youngsters
23	but these things happen. And people live a lot

25 So you can call it anything you want.

longer and they have different kinds of problems.

2	What you're trying to do with this
3	resolution is for us to protect these people.
4	I'll consider the name change and also the special
5	needs. But you can supplement it. You can add to
6	it. You can increase it. You can eliminate it
7	and provide another method. But so far the best
8	thing that we have going in terms of legislation
9	that I can think of is Social Security. Can it be
10	improved? You bet your life. And we have to do
11	it soon.
12	Madam Chairlady, I shared with you
13	earlier that I had to be able to leave. But I
14	promise you that any questions that the Council
15	people have, if you can get them to me in writing
16	I will be more than glad to answer the best that I
17	can
18	CHAIRPERSON LAPPIN: [Interposing]
19	May I allow one thing which would be your
20	Councilwoman Melissa Mark-Viverito had a very
21	brief question.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER MARK-VIVERITO: And
23	it's actually not a question however
24	CONGRESSMAN RANGEL: [Interposing]
25	I would notI would not want it any other way Ms.

10

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

Chairlady.

3 COUNCIL MEMBER MARK-VIVERITO: But. 4 I could not, you know, leave or have you leave 5 without my being able to say that I'm very proud that you are my Congressman obviously, but I 6 7 believe that you're not just my Congressman, 8 you're all of our Congress representatives because you really speak up on the issues that are 9 critically important to our communities. You 11 stand strong. You're not afraid to say what is 12 real and it's always proud when I see you on TV 13 really standing up, again, for the issues that we know are the right ones. 14

> When you speak out against the war and you've done that. You were saying about how you have better ways of allocating those monies to really invest in our communities and invest in our future, invest in our seniors. When you speak about poverty and the reality of this country and that we really need to address it. I'm very proud that you're here with us today sharing your thoughts.

And thank you for your steadfast leadership in the Congress and again really

2.0

2.3

putting priority and importance on the issue. So	0
thank you very much for your hard work in our	
District and what you do for this nation, it means	ns
a lot to all of us.	

CONGRESSMAN RANGEL: You're sweet, it means--your friendship, your support and the contributions you make personally mean a lot to me beyond politics. And I'm deeply appreciative.

And the only reason I have taken the time out to come to the City Council is basically thank you for these types of resolutions.

Some may say, as reporters have, what the heck are you doing, dealing with a Federal issue with the member of the City Council have no jurisdiction. Your constituents don't care whether it's a City or a State or Federal problem. If they have a problem, they want help. If we can't help you to help us then this is not the great nation that we want it to be. So thank you so much--

CHAIRPERSON LAPPIN: [Interposing]
Thank you very much. Keep up the fight. We've
got your back.

CONGRESSMAN RANGEL: You can depend

2 elderly.

A brief history, the Social
Security Act was originally signed into law by
President Franklin D. Roosevelt in 1935 as part of
the New Deal. By dollars paid the US Social
Security program is the largest government program
in the world and the single greatest expenditure
in the Federal budged with 20.8% for Social
Security compared to 20.5% for discretionary
defense and 20.1% for Medicare and Medicaid.

Social Security is currently the largest social insurance program in the US constituting 37% of government expenditures and 7% of gross domestic product. In 2004 the US Social Security system paid out almost \$500 billion in benefits.

Social Security benefits have a powerful poverty-preventing effect among the elderly. An analysis of census data shows that nationwide Social Security benefits lift nearly 13 million seniors aged 65 and older above the poverty line. These figures reflect a 3-year average for the period from 2000 through 2002. The data indicate that without Social Security

income nearly 1 of every 2 elderly people, 46.8% would have an income below the poverty line.

However once Social Security
benefits are taken into account, just 1 in 12 of
8.7% is poor. The remarkable degree to which
Social Security benefits reduce poverty is found
in every state in the nation. If Social Security
income was excluded then more than 40% of the
elderly in 45 states would have income below the
Federal poverty line.

With Social Security the elderly poverty rate in large majority of state is less than 10%. In fact in New York State alone Social Security lifts 872,000--million (sic), elderly people above the poverty line reducing the elderly poverty rate from 50.2% to 8.7%.

As an antipoverty program Social
Security is particularly important to women.

Elderly unmarried women including widows get 51%
of their total income from Social Security.

Unmarried elderly men get 39% while elderly
married couples get 36% of their income from
Social Security. For 25% of unmarried women,
Social Security is their only source of income

compared to 9% of married couples and 20% of unmarried men. Without Social Security benefits the elderly poverty rate among women would be approximately 52% and among widows, 60.6%.

We know that the importance of Social Security to older adults in New York City cannot be understated. While Social Security provides a modest income when considering the cost of living in New York City, it also provides a basic safety net for older adults that when used in tandem with other assets and benefits can help seniors achieve self-sufficiency.

We know that poverty is a serious concern among seniors in New York City and while poverty rates among older adults have declined nationally by 9%; rates in New York City have actually increased by 27%.

According to the Center for

Economic Opportunity, CEO, the poverty rate among
those age 65 and over is 32% as compared to the

Federal poverty rate of 18.1% a difference of 13.9
percentage points. Today 22% of elderly headed
households in New York City earn less than

\$10,000. Even more alarming are the poverty rates

in some minority communities with poverty among
Asian seniors at 36%, and Hispanic seniors at 41%.
We believe that much of this disparity is
attributed to the fact that more recent immigrants
often have not accrued enough time working to be
eligible for Social Security and Medicare.

In absence of these key safety nets many seniors from minority communities are left particularly vulnerable. Helping older adults qualify for Social Security by remaining in the formal workforce or reentering the workforce is an important role for policy makers at all levels of government. In order to qualify for Social Security and Medicare, individuals must work in the United States for a combined total of 40 quarter or 10 years.

In an analysis of 2008, American Community Survey, CEO found that 21% of New Yorkers aged 65 and older reported no Social Security income and that among foreign born residents this figure was 31%. At this point we do not know how many of these residents are near eligibility so as those with between 30 and 40 quarters as the Social Security Administration is

required to protect personal information.

The City also does not have a formal role in outreach or enrollment in Social Security. This work is done through Federal Social Security offices throughout the City. That being said, DFTA is interested in educating our constituency on the benefits of Social Security and we're currently examining ways that we can help people who are near eligibility qualify for benefits.

Our logical place to look to is the City's existing employment programs such as DFTA's Senior Employment Services Program also known as Title 5. DFTA volunteers for our Health Insurance Information Counseling and Assistance Program or HICAP are also trained by the Social Security Administration each year.

It is important for these
volunteers to have a background in Social Security
due to the parallel eligibility criteria between
Medicare and Social Security. Thank you again for
allowing me to have this opportunity to discuss
the importance of Social Security particularly in
the context of combating poverty among the elderly

2.0

2.3

2	in 1	New	York.	And	I'm	happy	to	take	your
3	ques	stic	ons.						

CHAIRPERSON LAPPIN: My question is really just, you know, as you mentioned obviously you don't have a formal role in doing outreach for Social Security. But it's in the benefit of all of our seniors to help them qualify and then ultimately obtain those benefits. So can you elaborate just a little bit on what DFTA does do, even though you're not required to do it?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RESNICK: We do have a very good solid working relationship with our local colleagues in the Social Security

Administration. And as I mentioned they do help train our HICAP workers because of the relationship between Medicare and Social Security. But by and large if we do get questions regarding Social Security we do refer to local Social Security offices.

CHAIRPERSON LAPPIN: And how do you work with the City's existing workforce programs to try and get people into the workforce to help them qualify?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RESNICK: We,

2	at the Department, do run a Title 5 older worker
3	program where those who are 55 and over and fall
4	below a certain income eligibility criterion, we
5	train and help get jobs in the workplace. So it's
6	a job employment program.
7	And if we were able to identify
8	those who are in thosewhere they're short a
9	certain number of quarters we see that there
10	potentially is an opportunity to help bring
11	additional people into the program and try and
12	help them earn those quarters so that they could
13	become eligible for the Social Security
14	CHAIRPERSON LAPPIN: [Interposing]
15	Do you have that information if somebody is just a
16	little bit short?
17	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RESNICK: We do
18	not currently have that information. It's a
19	potential collaboration.
20	CHAIRPERSON LAPPIN: With the
21	Federal government.
22	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RESNICK: Yes.
23	CHAIRPERSON LAPPIN: But they have
24	that information I would imagine, right?
25	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RESNICK: We

friendly person--

\sim	[Laughter]
4	l Laugiilei i

3 CHAIRPERSON LAPPIN: [Interposing]
4 That's all right.

MS. FINKEL: I'm Beth Finkel. And I manage the programs and services for AARP for New York State. And we have offices here in 3rd Avenue and we also have offices in Albany and in Rochester and staff here in New York. AARP is a not-for-profit, nonpartisan membership organization that helps people 50+ have independence and choice and control in ways that are beneficial and affordable to them and society as a whole.

I'm not going to go through the whole description of AARP because I hope you all are well aware of everything we do. I do want to just particularly highlight that we have close to 40 million members in the country. Here in New York City, New York State we have 2.6 million members and in New York City proper, the 5 Boroughs, we have over 800,000 members so thank you.

I'm really--I know everyone knows the regular AARP. You know our for-profit side

2.0

and you know the regular AARP which I work for which does advocacy and programs and services.

And then we also have our foundation side which I know many of you are aware of a lot of the good stuff that our foundation does. And it's kind of pursuant to what you're doing today.

So I just want to briefly mention we have a tax ed program where we help people fill out their taxes at no cost and it's totally volunteer run, no one gets any stipends or anything. We also have a work search project where we have an online tool that helps connect older people to jobs and takes them through a whole assessment process and then also connects them to an aggregator to find jobs.

And we also do counseling, resume preparedness, other job preparedness. And we also help people with our money management program. We help people who can't write their own checks any more but can still remain independent.

And we send volunteers into their homes to help them do that. And we also have a benefits quick link site on our website where people can scan and see if they are eligible for

2.0

2.3

benefits. It's totally anonymous. You put in
your zip code and you put inanswer some
questions and they just tell you. So if you don't
want to share anything else like your Social
Security number or anything else you don't. So
it's a very good first grasp to see if someone
might be eligible. So. I wanted to mention that.

We would like to first of all thank you so much for convening this hearing today.

It's so important. AARP has a very strong support for providing this \$250 in economic relief to America's seniors, veterans and persons with disabilities AARP is very pleased to endorse what you're doing today and we've already really spent a lot of time lobbying on this at the Federal level. And our CEO, Barry Rand has both testified and written letters. And we've mounted quite a grassroots campaign on this originally. So this is wonderful to have you also in support of our efforts on this.

Like many individuals throughout
the country, America's seniors are struggling to
make ends meet due to the impact of the worst
economic crisis since the Great Depression. Older

2.0

2.3

Americans however are facing very unique financial difficulties and are acutely impacted by the nation's economic downturn. For instance although the prices of many goods and services have stagnated or declined, many older Americans do not feel the effects of lower inflation on their pocketbooks.

Seniors spend a disproportionate share of their income, about 30% on the average, on health care costs. We all know those have not come down yet. We have high hopes now with the new health care reform passing but that doesn't help the immediate need and a senior's pocketbook right now. So the combination of the higher health costs including prescription drug prices and a stagnant Social Security benefit in 2010 is particularly troubling and has resulted in lower net Social Security payments to millions of America's seniors.

Moreover many senior citizens have lost a significant portion of their retirement savings due to the recent downturn. Unlike younger Americans however these retirees have less time to make up the substantial losses to their

2.0

retirement savings. The decline in housing prices in many parts of the country may also cause severe difficulties for those seniors who need to tap into the equity of their homes in order to fund their retirement.

Providing a \$250 in economic relief to seniors is a simple, direct way for Congress to help them afford food, medicine and other necessities. \$250 in relief will inject money directly into the economy, since seniors are more likely to spend the money they receive like that, than any other age group. I think an example is—and many of you probably know this that like Food Stamps, when seniors receive Food Stamps, for every \$1 they receive, \$1.84 goes back for economic stimulus.

So I think that shows you how important providing support for seniors means not just for them but also for the local economy. And we're going to be looking a lot more at Food Stamp enrollment issues going forward because we know that's the first line of defense. If people are hungry, it's really had to focus on anything else. And there's a lot of opportunity around Food Stamp

2 and Food Stamp enrollment amongst seniors in New 3 York, in New York State.

So at this time when seniors who normally count on an annual Social Security increase did not receive a cost of living increase for 2010, this is the first time since automatic adjustments were originally provided in 1975 that beneficiaries have not received the COLA. And I know you're aware of that and that's why you're convening us here today. Many of these Americans have suffered significant economic harm over the past year and a half and are depending on Congress to provide relief.

So thank you again for giving us this opportunity to testify here today. Many individuals have suffered significant economic harm and are depending on you and on Congress at this time so thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LAPPIN: Thank you Beth for all of your grassroots activism across the country in mobilizing people to call their respective Congress people and tell them that this is important. Karen would you?

MS. KAREN TINNY: Is this on?

2.0

2.3

Okay. I'm going to read 'cause I get nervous if I
just speak. Good afternoon. Thank you Chair
Lappin and members of the Aging Committee for
convening this hearing and for the opportunity to
testify. My name is Karen Tinny [phonetic] and
I'm here on behalf of the United Neighborhood
Houses, thank you, where I serve as a Policy
Analyst.

As you know United Neighborhood

Houses is a membership organization of New York

City Settlement Houses and Community Centers.

Rooted in the history of values of the Settlement

House movement, UNH promotes and strengthens the

neighborhood-based multi-service approach to

improving the lives of New Yorkers in need and the

communities in which they live.

United Neighborhood Houses

membership comprises one of the largest human

service systems in New York City with 38 agencies

working at more than 400 sites to provide high

quality services and activities to half a million

New Yorkers each year. UNH supports its members

through policy development, advocacy and capacity

building activities.

2 UNH members have a strong

commitment to older New Yorkers and the providers who serve them. Every year since 1975 Social Security and Social Security income beneficiaries have received a cost of living adjustment to account for inflation. This year is different.

As you know there is no COLA. Moreover the President's budget assumes that there will be no COLA in 2011 and only a 1.4% COLA in 2012. In 2009 the COLA was 5.8% and that was because of the higher gas prices.

To reconcile the current situation,
President Obama called for a \$250 economic
stimulus payment for these beneficiaries and
included a provision for such in the national jobs
bill. It was estimated to cost \$13 billion.
Unfortunately the provision didn't make it to the
final version of the jobs bill that was signed
into law on March 18th. We applaud the City
council for its resolution to urge Congress to
pass an economic stimulus payment to seniors and
people with disabilities. We are very dismayed to
hear that Federal lawmakers felt the provision
would not have made a difference in the lives of

57 million Americans who could have benefited.

Based on the cost of living in New
York City it is estimated that 32% of seniors, 1
in 3, live in poverty. Undoubtedly for this
population a one-time payment of \$250 is likely to
have made a significant difference. I spoke with
many of my seniors and I got some of the data from
them, what \$250 would mean. \$250 could be the
difference between a senior taking a cholesterol
lowering pill 2 times a day as prescribed or
saving pills and taking it once a day because of
cost. It could have been the difference between
eating or skipping dinner. And it could be the
difference between paying a utility bill or not.
On a fixed income every penny counts.

I brought my Blackberry over here because I didn't include this in my testimony but I asked a gentleman who's very close and dear to my heart, you know, what this would mean to him and he wrote a nice little response.

When you are a senior citizen living on a fixed income you appreciate anything you get. My monthly pension will be the same now as it will be in 20 years from now, no cost of

2.0

living increases. Now they freeze Social
Security. It doesn't make it any easier to live
with the prices going up all over the place for
everything you have to buy. Aside from the drop
in gas prices in the past couple of years what
else went down or stayed the same? I don't know
how they figured the Social Security freeze in
payments but it sure seems to seniors nothing else
is frozen in prices

So United Neighborhood Houses on behalf of its members and seniors they serve support the City Council's resolution to the US Congress to provide an economic stimulus payment to seniors. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON LAPPIN: Thank you.

And thank you for providing some of the real life face of this. And it is very meaningful to people. And it's not an insignificant amount of money. Do any of my colleagues have any questions for this panel? Thank you very much. And I wanted to note that Council Member Gale Brewer has joined us. Is there anybody else who would like to speak today? Seeing nobody else signed up to testify, this hearing is now closed.

1	COMMITTEE ON AGING	44
2	[Gavel banging]	
3	CHAIRPERSON LAPPIN: Adjourned.	
4	[END 1002.MP3]	

CERTIFICATE

I, Laura L. Springate certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

Lama L. Springate

Signature ____Laura L. Springate_____

Date _____May 13, 2010_____