CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

----- X

February 19, 2021 Start: 10:06 a.m. Recess: 12:31 p.m.

HELD AT: Remote Hearing, Virtual Room 3

B E F O R E: Stephen T. Levin

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS: Stephen T. Levin

Darma V. Diaz Vanessa L. Gibson Barry S. Grodenchik Robert F. Holden Brad S. Lander Antonio Reynoso

Rafael Salamanca, Jr.

Mark Treyger

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

David A. Hansell Commissioner New York City Administration for Children's Services

Sara Hemmeter Acting Deputy Commissioner New York City Administration for Children's Services

Angel Mendoza Chief Medical Officer New York City Administration for Children's Services

Charles Parkins
Deputy Associate Commissioner
New York City Administration for
Children's Services

Louis Watts Senior Assistant Commissioner New York City Administration for Children's Services

Darek Robinson

Kate Rubin

Kateryn Plasencia

Katherine De Zengotita

Rashelle James

Elena Rothman

Julia Davis

Charlotte Pope

<u>a</u>

SERGEANT AT ARMS: PC recording rolling.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Cloud started.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Backup is rolling.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Excellent, thank you

much. Good morning and welcome to today's remote New York City Council Committee Hearing of General Welfare. At this time would all panelists please turn on their video. To minimize disruption, please silence your electronic devices, and if you wish to submit testimony you may do so at testimony@council.nyc.gov. Once again, that email address is testimony@council.nyc.gov. Thank you very much for your cooperation. We are ready to begin.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Good morning,
everybody. I'll gavel in [gavel]. Good morning
everybody and welcome to this hearing of the City
Council's Committee on General Welfare. As you may
have seen, some of the council's committees have been
reorganized and issues related to juvenile justice
are now within the purview of the General Welfare
Committee. Today the committee will hold an
oversight hearing on the juvenile justice system
during the COVID-19 pandemic. The committee will
examine the status of the juvenile justice system

25

2 during the COVID-19 pandemic, including the impact 3 the pandemic has had on youth in secure, limited secure, and nonsecure juvenile detention facilities. 4 5 In addition, the hearing will cover the impact of physical foreclosures on the juvenile justice system 6 7 and plans for expanding the capacity of virtual courts to ensure that gridlock and delay do not 8 overwhelm the city's courts. In response to the 9 COVID-19 pandemic in the spring of 2020 city agencies 10 took the step to modify their programs and services 11 to be in compliance with public health guidance and 12 the best practices in mitigating the spread of the 13 14 virus. In May of 2020 ACS related a, released a 15 revised plan to the providers related to modifying, 16 to modifying staffing and training requirements in congregate settings. In addition to the health and 17 18 safety concerns for youth in staff in congregate 19 settings, there is also much concern about adequate, 20 excuse me, about adequate, pardon me, sorry, obviously doing two things at once here. Um, oh, 21 22 let's go back. ACS revised plan for their providers 23 related to modifying staffing and training 24 requirements in congregate settings. In addition to

the health and safety concerns for youth and staff in

24

25

congregate settings, there is also much concern about adequate acquire to remote learning produced in these detention settings. As reported by the city, the news outlet, ACS and DOE are working to expand access through secure voice communications for remote learning, as well as expanding tutoring services, and there have been, there has been no timeline for implementing these changes, however. ACS and their not-for-profit providers have also taken steps to ensure that there was some move forward in cases where possible, where possible, despite the court closures and slowdowns due to the crisis. According to a repeat released by the New School Center for Urban Affairs the agency has been able to use discretion to extend visitation in instances where family were close to reunification. However, the long delays due to Family Court closures and the lack of clarity on when in-person operations will be able to resume have left youth, family, and service providers in limbo. I want to thank all the advocates, members of the public, and those with lived experience who are joining us today, ah, joining us remotely today. Thank you for, ah, representatives from the administration for joining,

committee.

2	and I look forward to hearing from you on these
3	critical issues. I'd like to thank my staff, Janta
4	Boushay, my chief of staff, Elizabeth Adams, my
5	legislative director, Nicole Hunt, um, ah, my interir
6	legislative director and committee staff, Aminta
7	Kilawan, senior counsel, Crystal Pond, senior policy
8	analyst, Natalie Omery, policy analyst, and Dan
9	Prune, finance analyst. Um, I want to also
10	acknowledge that we've been joined by Council Member
11	Brad Lander and Council Member Mark Treyger. Um, and
12	with that I'll turn it over to counsel of the

Levin. Good morning, everyone. My name is Aminta
Kilawan, senior counsel to the Committee on General
Welfare at the New York City Council. I will be
moderating today's hearing and calling panelists to
testify. Before we begin, please remember that you
will be on mute until I call on you to testify.

After you are called on you will be unmuted by a
member of our staff. Note that there will be a delay
of a few seconds before you are unmuted and we can
hear you. For public testimony I will call
individuals up in panels. Please listen for your

2 I will periodically announce the next few panelists. Once I call your name a member of our 3 4 staff will unmute you. The Sergeant at Arms will set a clock and then give you the go-ahead to begin your 5 testimony. All public testimony will be limited to 6 7 three minutes. After I call your name please wait for the Sergeant at Arms to announce that you may 8 begin before starting your testimony. For today's 9 testimony the first panel will include 10 representatives from the Administration for 11 Children's Services. In order of speaking, we will 12 have David Hansell, commissioner of ACS, and for 13 14 questions and answers, Sara Hemmeter, acting deputy 15 commissioner, Angel Mendoza, chief medical officer, 16 Charles Parkins, deputy associate commissioner, and Louis Watts, senior assistant commissioner. I will 17 18 now administer the oath to the administration. 19 you hear your name, please respond once a member of 20 our staff unmutes you. Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth 21 22 before this committee and to respond honestly to 23 council member questions? Commissioner Hansell. Ιt 24 appears that Commissioner Hansell is labeled as 25 Stephanie Gendel, flagging that for our staff.

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Can you hear me?

Good, good, thank you. Apologies for the technology

24

25

25

problems. Um, good morning, Chair Levin, members of the General Welfare Committee. I'm David Hansell. I'm commissioner of the New York City Administration for Children's Services. Um, with me today, as you just heard, from ACS are Sara Hemmeter, who is our acting deputy commissioner for our Division of Youth and Family Justice, Dr. Angel Mendoza, who is also having some technical problems, but hopefully will be on in time for the Q&A, ah, and Dr. Mendoza is our chief medical officer. Ah, also with us are Charles Parkins, who is our deputy associate commissioner, and Louis Watts, our senior assistant commissioner in DYFJ. Um, we very much appreciate the fact that, ah, this committee has exercised oversight, ah, for, for many years of our child welfare and early childhood education programs, and we're delighted that the committee now has jurisdiction over our juvenile justice division. As you'll hear, we worked very hard to weave the principles, the programs, and the services of child welfare into our youth justice work so that we can empower youth with the tools that they need to turn their lives around. We're grateful for the opportunity to testify before this committee about ACS's juvenile justice system and how we've

24

25

responded to the unprecedented COVID-19 health New York City's juvenile justice system safely serves youth through a trauma-informed lens in the community wherever possible and with appropriate structure and supports in place. DYFJ oversees services and programs for youth at every stage in the juvenile justice continuum. And that continuum includes our community-based services for youth who are at risk of delinquency as well as for their families. We also provide secure detention services and nonsecure detention for youth who have been arrested and for whom the court has ordered to be detained while awaiting resolution of their cases. This past decade has seen two major progressive reforms in juvenile justice in New York City and Since 2012 with the enactment of Close to state. Home New York City juvenile delinquents who were adjudicated by the court to have committed offenses are no longer placed in Office of Children and Family Services facilities far from their homes, but instead are placed with ACS in small home-like settings in or very near the city where we provide therapeutic services to those youth and their families while the young people are in residential care and upon their

as the City Council, advocates, and providers, we've

improved the prospects of justice-involved youth

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

while enhancing public safety outcomes that affect all New Yorkers. Despite the many challenges that COVID-19 has presented, we worked very closely with our provider community to adapt our entire continuum of juvenile justice programs to meet the needs of youth and their families while conforming to the public health demands of this unique time. community-based alternative programs continue to offer prevention and diversion services to safely keep youth out of the justice system and supported in their homes and with their families. Our detention system has taken extraordinary measures to keep both children and staff safe while providing the programming and supports that youth need to thrive. And our Close to Home program of residential placement and aftercare has effectively adopted public health protocols and is continuing to serve adjudicated youth, helping them safely transition back to the community. Protecting the health and safety of youth and staff in our detention and Close to Home programs has remained our top priority throughout the pandemic. As we'll discuss in more detail in the testimony, we've closely followed evolving public health guidance by implementing new

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

protocols and procedures to protect the health and safety of the youth in our care and the dedicated staff who work with them each and every day. I'd now like to provide an overview of our juvenile justice continuum, beginning with our programs to keep youth out of the justice system through diversion and community-based programs, through our detention programs for youth awaiting adjudication of their cases, and ending with our Close to Home program for youth who have been ordered into placement by the court. Our goal is always to keep young people out of the juvenile justice system when that is safely possible through community-based services. We know that the best way to intervene positively in the lives of young people is to engage with the whole family. In New York City our Family Assessment Program, or FAP, is a diversion program that's available to families of youth up to age 18 to help avoid involvement in the juvenile justice or the child welfare systems by providing therapeutic services grounded in a child welfare framework. Our services support families to address difficult teenage behaviors, such as skipping school, using drugs, running away from home and/or struggling with

25

the courthouses and are delivered in families' homes,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

we have been able to maintain access to these programs in the pandemic, both virtually and in person. Now, while there are many off-ramps in place, including the programs that I've just described, as well as diversion and alternative to detention programs administered by the Department of Probation and the Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice. Judges in both Family Court and the Supreme Court's youth part may order a youth detained while awaiting trial. When this happens youth go to one of our seven contracted nonsecure detention sites or to one of our two directly operated secure detention sites -Crossroads Juvenile Center in Brooklyn or Horizons Juvenile Center in the Bronx. At the beginning of the pandemic in light of emerging health concerns we undertook a review to identify those youth who could be safely returned to the community. While ACS does not have the authority to release youth from detention, through a collaboration with our sister agencies we were able to support the release of over one-third of the youth in detention, 20 from secure detention, 26 from nonsecure detention. currently there are 32 youth at Horizon, 74 youth at Crossroads, and 18 youth in nonsecure detention. All

injury or death. The overwhelming majority of youth

25

in secure detention today have been charged with higher-level crimes. Currently there are 71 AOs, 28 JOs and 7 JDs in secure detention. Only JDs can be detained in nonsecure detention and currently there are 17 JDs in nonsecure detention. Um, we contract with five nonprofit providers to provide nonsecure detention, NSD, which offers a less-restrictive setting for lower-risk juvenile delinguents with court cases pending in Family Court. These NSD group homes have up to 12 youth and offer a supportive home-like environment and very close supervision of young people. To prepare for the implementation of Raise the Age and to ensure proper staffing at both Crossroads and Horizon, we created a new job and title of youth development specialist, or YDS. YDS title represents an updated approach to juvenile justice that stresses the importance of establishing credibility with youth, connecting with them, and effectively deescalating situations when necessary. We work very hard to recruit YDS from across the city by doing extensive outreach in the neighborhoods and communities where our youth and families live to find qualified people committed to working with youth. All new YDS undergo an intensive six-week training

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

program that includes two weeks on-the-job training at one of the facilities. Our James Satterwhite Training Academy provides free service training on important topics such as understanding youth development and relationships, safety, security, and supervision, behavior modification management, and group facilitation. All of our YDS have received training in trauma-based approaches to working with teens and on the deescalation of conflict and anger. Their skills are reinforced through intensive safe crisis management training with a focus on verbal deescalation techniques as much as possible, physical constraint and restraint only where necessary. also offer core supervisory training to all of our middle-level managers and supervisors in secure detention to provide them with the skills they need to properly manage and coach staff and create a safe, stable environment for everyone. While the Department of Correction was initially required to assist ACS in staffing Horizon because, ah, in the early days of Raise the Age it still housed so-called pre-Raise the Age youth, those who were still adjudicated as adults. But ACS assumed full operational control of Horizon in January 2020.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the last three years we've been aggressively recruiting, hiring, and training multiple classes of YDS. Like all city hiring, our hiring of YDS was impacted by the citywide hiring freeze at the beginning of the pandemic. However, since August 2020 we've been onboarding new classes every month, the most recent of which started last week. new classes will help us increase the available staff in our detention facilities. Maintaining the health and safety of the youth and staff in our ACS-operated secure detention programs is indeed our top priority. For youth in secure detention and for the dedicated staff who work with them every day we've implemented strict protocols to minimize the health risk to staff and youth. Under the leadership of our chief medical officer, Dr. Mendoza, we've continued to follow the guidance of public health officials, including our own Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, our Health and Hospitals system, and the Centers for Disease Control. As public health guidance has evolved through the course of the pandemic, we've adopted and implemented new protocols as needed and we will continue to do so. We continue to disseminate up-to-date guidance to staff and youth

25

about virus prevention practices, such as hand washing and social distancing. Our detention facilities are regularly and thoroughly cleaned and sanitized and we've increased the number of cleaning personnel. We've equipped facilities with ample hand sanitizer, soap, gloves, and PPE for staff working with symptomatic youth. Nurses conduct temperature checks of all staff and visitors who enter the facility on each shift and our health partners conduct daily screenings of staff, and all staff and youth are provided face coverings to help ensure the transmission is minimized. We have a full array of medical and mental health care on site serving the youth at Crossroads and Horizon. And to do that we contract with the Floating Hospital to provide health services and Bellevue to provide mental health services. We've been working closely with Health and Hospitals Bellevue Hospital Center to provide traumainformed screening and mental health services to young people both in secure detention and in our nonsecure detention continuum. Through its team of psychiatrists, psychologists, social workers, and mental health clinicians Bellevue works very closely with our YDS, our case managers, our program

implemented new types of virtual programming to

25

25

games, movies, and books on our ACS tablets. participating in virtual programming with various These include a writing challenge through the Kite Program, yoga, individual exercise challenges, and many more. And building on a very successful summer internship program, um, we're delighted that the Robin Hood Foundation is funding a fall enrichment program in which 78 youth in detention are receiving stipends to participate in programs such as Barista Cafe to learn barista skills, book club, newsletter, and also training by credible messengers for youth to be junior violence interrupters. Strong family engagement is another essential part of our model of care and we've adapted it to make sure that youth remain connected to families. Our case management staff connect with families by phone at intake. They call parents to provide weekly progress updates on all youth. One of our early and most difficult decisions during this crisis was to suspend in-person visiting due to health risks, and then once again we had to suspend in-person visiting this fall when virus levels

25

increased in New York City. We'll continue to review this policy as the public health situation warrants. In the meantime, youth remain connected with their families through video visits and phone calls. enable youth to both continue video visits with families and to appear by video at court hearings, we created secure and privates booths at both of these facilities. We're in the process now of upgrading the Wi-Fi and procuring new tablets to make these video visits and court appearances more seamless. Since the start of the pandemic we've arranged for about 3500 video visits and approximately 2500 video court appearances for youth in detention. As has always been the case, youth have access to free phone They can write and send unlimited letters to parents and family members, and they can make unlimited calls to their attorneys. Especially during these trying times we believe it's crucial to provide structure for youth and maintain our youthfocused model of care. And, as discussed, youth in detention continue to receive high-quality medical and mental health care, access to education and programming, and maintain connections with their families. Now [inaudible] Close to Home. In 2012

25

2 New York State and New York City partnered to create this program, which is now our juvenile placement 3 system in which adjudicated juvenile detention, ah, 4 5 delinquents, are placed in residential programs near their homes, their schools, and their communities. 6 7 Our Close to Home nonsecure and limited secure placement residences are located at 28 sites 8 throughout the city and in Dobbs Ferry. These are 9 run by seven nonprofit provider agencies. Close to 10 Home is grounded in a child welfare framework and all 11 of our providers are deeply experienced in serving 12 the complex needs of youth in our care. Despite 13 14 raising the age of criminal responsibility, ACS has 15 actually seen a decline in the Close to Home census. 16 In the last five years we've seen admissions to Close to Home decrease by 54%. Prior to Close to Home 17 18 there were 540 New York City youth placed in upstate 19 juvenile placement settings run by the state. 20 2018, by comparison, there were 110 youth placed in Close to Home. Currently there are 72 youth in Close 21 22 to Home placement and 34 were on aftercare. All of 23 our Close to Home programs offer structured 24 residential care for youth in a small, supervised,

and home-like environment. In contrast to the

The

1

25

youth's family at every level of intervention.

23

24

25

pandemic has made integrating families more challenging and onsite family visits are now limited due to rates of COVID positivity. Much like our residential foster care programs, however, Close to Home providers have integrated virtual visits to maintain the family connection. Youth and families have been equipped with all necessary devices to make virtual visitation possible. Youth in Close to Home participate in DOE's Passages Academy. Before the pandemic, ah, those youth in nonsecure placement attended either Belmont or Bronx Hope. Youth in limited secure placement attended school on site. Now, um, like many of their peers, they are participating in remote learning. DOE and ACS's providers have ensured that all youth have DOE Chromebooks and that all are provided with additional assistance as needed. At the start of the pandemic we created four isolation sites for both youth in foster care and youth in Close to Home who might have been exposed to COVID-19 or tested positive for COVID-19. These isolation sites include 24-hour nursing services and allowed us and our providers to quickly and safely quarantine youth who might be able to spread the virus from other youth. Currently we

youth in New York City and for youth in our juvenile

25

20

21

22

23

24

25

justice continuum in particular. And the most recent, recently proposed state executive budget adds additional cuts. When state legislation created Close to Home in 2012 the state committed funding up to 40 million dollars to support New York City's program. However, beginning in the state's 2018-2019 budget the state eliminated all, all of the funding for Close to Home and continues to provide zero dollars for care of these youth. In addition, despite implementing the Raise the Age legislation and when it did that the state committed it would pay for, ah, Close to Home, ah, I'm sorry, Raise the Age, um, ah, expenses and it appropriated 250 million dollars for that purpose. However, New York City continues to receive zero dollars in support for the Raise the Age because the statute only provides funding to counties that remain below a 2% property tax cap and that effectively excludes New York City. The current proposed legislation would add to these cuts. The state budget proposes to cut the state's reimbursement rate for detention by 5%. That would be a 2 million dollar annualized cut to New York City. Also, the state budget proposes to cut the reimbursement rate for prevention services, including

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the FAP and JJI programs, to a 59% state share despite the statutory state share of 65%. That would result in a cut of over 25 million dollars to ACS's full prevention services system. Um, we hope that you will join with us, ah, in the City Council, to fight these cuts because they will negatively impact children and families in New York City. Let me say a word about vaccines. Um, it's now been, of course, almost a year that we have been managing our juvenile justice system in the face of the COVID-19 pandemic. We've been able to provide for the health, safety, security, education, and programming needs of our youth, the youth in our care, but we certainly look forward to the day when our youth can attend school in person and see their families in person, can have their cases moved more swiftly through the court process, and can even eat their meals without having to be socially distanced. The availability of COVID-19 vaccines now seems to be a light at the end of this very, very long tunnel. We strongly believe that the COVID-19 vaccines are a game changer for the health and safety of our youth, our provider staff, um, and our staff. As soon as vaccines became available to New Yorkers we advocated to the state

25

and the city for the staff in our congregate care facilities, including detention, nonsecure detention, and Close to Home, to be prioritized for vaccination, and we were very happy when these staff were added to priority 1B in early January. We are now advocating that youth in our congregate facilities who are 16 years and older also be prioritized. In addition, we're working closely with the Vaccine Command Center, our chief medical officer, and our Floating Hospital providers to provide vaccines for youth in our care who are 16 and over and have comorbidities who are eligible as of this week where there is proper consent for vaccination. We certainly understand the history of medical racism in this country and thus the hesitancy about vaccines among many of our staff and New Yorkers at large. We're working with our chief medical officer, with our unions, and our other medical staff in our facilities to educate staff about the vaccines so that they can make informed decisions about getting vaccinated. conclusion, I want to thank all of our staff who are working in detention and Close to Home for their efforts to provide a safe, supportive, caring, and programmatically engaging environment for youth

2	during this incredibly challenging time. I know this
3	has meant staff going to work to care for youth while
4	fearing for the health and safety of themselves and
5	their families. Their dedication and their
6	commitment to the youth in our care has not gone
7	unnoticed, and I want to be sure to use this
8	opportunity to thank all of our incredible juvenile
9	justice staff for their efforts throughout the
10	pandemic. And finally I want to thank the General
11	Welfare Committee for holding this hearing and for
12	your interest in learning more about the programs and
13	services in our juvenile justice continuum,
14	particular, particularly during the pandemic. Thank
15	you very much, and we look forward to answering your
16	questions.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you so much,

Commissioner Hansell. Before I turn over to Chair

Levin for questions, I also realized that we had been joined by Chief Medical Officer Angel Mendoza, who had technical difficulties while we were administering the oath. So I'll do that now, so that we don't have any issues during the Q&A and have to readminister them. Um, Chief Medical Officer

Mendoza, do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole

truth, and nothing but the truth before this

3 committee and to respond honestly to council member

4 questions?

do.

CHIEF MEDICAL OFFICER MENDOZA: Yes, I

I'd like to remind council members that as we are going through the Q&A session please use the Zoom raise hand function to indicate whether you have a question for this panel in the administration.

Please remember that you're keeping your questions and answers to five minutes. And now I'm going to turn it over to Chair Levin.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much,

Committee Counsel Kilawan. Ah, I want to acknowledge

before I ask questions that we've been joined by

Council Members Darma Diaz, Barry Grodenchik, um,

Rafael Salamanca, um, I had mentioned Council Members

Lander and Treyger before. Um, and I will identify

additional council members as they join us. Um, so I

want to just ask, um, going through the testimony,

um, you spoke, Commissioner, about, um, ah, youth in

detention, ah, ah, prior to being adjudicated and

after being adjudicated. So I just want to make sure

2 that we're having, ah, so we're, we're clear exactly

3 how many, um, youth are in detention right now across

4 the nonsecure, limited second, and secure placements

5 overall. So, um, the, ah, how many youth are in

6 detention in, in secure placement right now, both

7 | pre-adjudication and post-adjudication?

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Ah, thanks for the question. So, let me say, as you know I have, ah, with me today our really outstanding, outstanding members of DYFJ leadership team. Ah, while I had the opportunity to deliver testimony, I will answer questions, but I want to make sure they have an opportunity to participate, so I will, ah, actually turn your question first to, ah, Deputy Commissioner Hemmeter and to her team.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Great. Thanks, Commissioner.

ACTING DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HEMMETER:

Thank you, commissioner. Um, and thank you, ah, for inviting us to this hearing today to explain what is going on in juvenile justice during this time. So, um, to be, to answer your question, um, preadjudicated youth are held in nonsecure detention or secure detention. Um, and then post-adjudicated

youth are held in our Close to Home placements, for the most part. Um, in, in secure detention today, um, and, ah, Chuck Parkins will jump in if I get the numbers wrong, they fluctuate quite, ah, quite a bit. Um, but today in secure detention I believe we have, ah, total, that includes Crossroads and Horizon, 106 youth, 74 at, ah, Crossroads and 30, what does that make, 32, 34? 32, at, um, Horizon, and 17 youth in our nonsecure detention facilities. Um, in Close to Home the number of, that's post-adjudicated youth, we have 74 youth in placement and 34 youth who are on aftercare from their Close to Home placements. So they are at home with their families, receiving supportive services, but still under, um, supervision by the Close to Home program.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And the, in, for those youth in Close to Home, um, the 74 that are in placement right now are they all, which, which placements are they in?

ACTING DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HEMMETER:

Those are the Close to Home placements. Um, we have
28 different sites.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: OK.

2 ACTING DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HEMMETER: Ah,
3 so they are spread across those different sites.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So none of them are in, in, in Crossroads or Horizon?

ACTING DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HEMMETER:
Correct.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: OK. Um, so then, so if we have 70, now, how does the, um, as that number has decreased, ah, Commissioner Hansell said by 54% over five years. Um, there are 28 providers. Does every provider have a placement? I mean, I imagine for, for 74, um, youth in, um, in placement right now in 28 facilities, that's, that's obviously very, ah, low average.

ACTING DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HEMMETER:

Right. So there are seven different providers with

28 different sites. Um, they, the census is very low
right now. Um, part of that is a result of the

pandemic and the, the court process, ah, being slowed

down. Um, so, so it is very low right now. We have,

um, have, have a very low census right now. So, yes,

that is correct.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Um, and, so, so speak a little bit about, if you wouldn't mind, the, um,

Ī

the, the relationship with, um, ah, OCA or however, um, ah, how does the, how did, the, the, um, youth courts, how do, are they within, within the jurisdiction of OCA, correct?

ACTING DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HEMMETER: Yes, that is correct.

We seeing a lot of, I mean, how, how is this all kind of working right now as a system? How has that changed due to COVID? How many, um, how many hearings are they having compared to prior to the pandemic and, um, and how is that, how is that changing, um, or how, I'm trying, I'm trying, um, [inaudible], how are, how are we seeing the impact on communities? Are, are, are youth not getting the services they need? Are, are cases not getting adjudicated?

ACTING DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HEMMETER:

Right, yeah. I'm not sure I can speak directly to

OCA and, and their procedures. I mean, we have

definitely seen a slowdown of the court process. Um,

and that is, you know, based on executive orders, um,

and other things. So we have definitely seen a

slowdown, um, of that happening. The young people

25

who are in detention for the most part are the preadjudicated youth, um, and so their cases, they're, they are with us while they are, while their cases are pending. Um, we, and the post-adjudicated case, kids, are with Close to Home. So those cases have gone through the court system. Ah, they have reached disposition and are placed with, with us. The only youth who are in Close to Home are the juvenile delinquents. So those are the kids who are going through the family court system. Um, the, the AOs and the JOs, which make up the bulk of our detention facilities are going through Supreme Court youth parts. Um, we have, since the beginning of the pandemic, um, we have, um, transformed our detention facilities, um, so that there are virtual court appearances happening, or we making youth available for virtual court, ah, court hearings as well. So if the court is, was to schedule a court appearance we do have the capability to, um, produce that young person in front of a computer, um, so that they can appear, appear for their court, court hearings. as the commissioner mentioned in his testimony, we have created booths for them so that there is privacy. Um, we have increased our bandwidth so

that, that all the virtual, um, things that are happening in detention have, have the ability to go forward. Um, but we are producing the young people when the court requires, ah, requires us to do so.

impact because of the slowdown in, in the court administration, um, that youth that are placed in detention, um, are having their pre-trial or pre-adjudication detention extended because of that and so are they basically being held in detention for a longer period of time than they otherwise would have, um, you know, in, in secure, in secure placement. Is that a, is that a concern that they're basically being held prior to adjudication for a longer period of time than they otherwise would have been?

ACTING DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HEMMETER: So, um, that is a concern. Ah, we have seen our length of stay, the length of stay of young people in detention, um, increase, um, during, during the pandemic. So yes, that is a concern for us.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Do you have Data on that? How, what is the average length of stay?

ACTING DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HEMMETER: I

do.

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Um, and Deputy Commissioner Hemmeter referred to executive orders. What she was referring to is the fact that the governor, ah, throughout COVID has issued a whole series of executive orders which, among other things,

Yes.

have waived speedy trial act requirements. So the time limits that normally require, that normally apply to cases in court have been waived under the governor's executive orders, so that's one of the reasons why, ah, the cases of youth in our care have not moved as quickly as they would normally do.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Right. And, and I don't mean this in a pejorative towards ACS, but my concern is that youth are languishing in, in ACS custody, um, ah, you know, for extended periods of time because of exactly what you just said, which is a waiving of the speedy trial, ah, requirements by executive order.

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Yeah, I think we completely agree, Council Member. We, we want youth to be in detention for as short a period of time as possible and get their cases adjudicated as quickly as possible. So we're in complete agreement with you.

ACTING DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HEMMETER: So, so in terms of the, the data, um, in July 2020 the juvenile offenders, which are the 13, 14, and 15 year olds who are charged with serious crimes, the average length of stay is 97 days. Um, the juvenile

joining us, Council Member Gibson. Um, ah, I wanted

1 2 to ask about, um, ah, the range of, um, diversion 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

programs or alternatives to incarceration or alternatives to detention. Um, um, I know I could speak, for example, I've, ah, met, um, a handful of times with Exalt Youth, which is a, um, fantastic program and I was really blown away. I mean, I sat with them for, for several hours on a Friday afternoon, um, with a cohort of their, of their youth and was very inspired to see how dedicated, um, they were to, to that model and to each other. And so, um, ah, can you speak a little bit about just how a program like Exalt fits into this, um, ah, fits into the system and how are we, um, developing and prioritizing programs like that?

16 ACTING DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HEMMETER:

That's, that's a very good question, um, and we also work with Exalt, um, and so that is a program that we are familiar with. Um, I think we're, we're always on the lookout for, for programming and other opportunities for young people in both detention and Close to Home to connect kids, um, to programs in their communities that, that, um, understand, understand what, what they've been going through, um, and can, can mentor them, um, to get on the path to

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 44
1	
2	success. Um, so we, we have, um, ah, we do have that
3	partnership with Exalt, um, and we also have lots of
4	other, um, credible messenger programs, ah, so we
5	work with Man Up, um, and other programs, ah, that,
6	that are, are working with young people, both in
7	detention and in Close to Home. Um, we, there's a,
8	there's a whole array of different programs, um, and,
9	and things that, that we can, um, talk to you about.
LO	Louis might, ah, be able to speak to some of those,
L1	um, that we have in detention specifically.
L2	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Great. Um, Assistant
L3	Commissioner, you have to unmute yourself.
L 4	UNIDENTIFIED: [inaudible] to our staff,
L5	Senior Assistant Commissioner Watts needs to be
L 6	unmuted. OK.
L7	SENIOR ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER WATTS: OK,
L8	here we go. Can you guys hear me now?
L 9	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Yes.
20	SENIOR ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER WATTS:
21	Great, great. Good morning to you.
22	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Good morning.
23	SENIOR ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER WATTS: Ah,
24	good morning council members, good morning committee.

So as, ah, Deputy Commissioner Hemmeter was stating,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

there's an array of programs that actually, ah, occur throughout our facilities. We're working with our young people. When our young people actually come into care, one of the things we're always hoping for is that we keep them, you know, engaged with their families, we keep them engaged and in touch with the community. We are always hoping that we are able to provide guidance where our young people are able to go back out better from which they came, out in the community, for ever how long we have them. And part of that is by involving array of programs such as some of our Cure Violence programs. So we have a partnership with Man Up. Ah, we also have a partnership with, you may have heard of SAVEH, right, Stand Against Violence East Harlem. So these, ah, violence interrupters actually come in and work with our young people and able to help us work with our young people with, with regard to some of the [inaudible] behavior that they may be bringing in from out in the community. Um, we also have traumainformed care, ah, that we provide services for our young people while in our care. But some of the programs that we have that our young people actually like and really, really enjoy is we have a dog

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

program, a Kite program, which is a creative program, um, A Father's Love, which is a restorative justice program. We have physical fitness programs that come in and work with our young people. Um, culinary programs, another program called Audio Pictures, um, which is a [inaudible] program for our young people. In additions to that, um, we have a partnership with Carnegie Hall as well. Um, so there's a lot of programs that we, we, we provide for our young people to keep them engaged, to keep them active, um, and, you know, occasionally, you know, young people come in and, and, and they're not even aware that these programs are actually in the community until they actually reach us in detention. Um, and with some of our programs there's opportunity for kids that once they're back out in the community they can continue on, ah, with the programs that we provide, ah, for the young people, ah, while they're in care. Um, so, I mean, and we also have educational programs, I definitely don't want to leave that out, ah, with partnership with the Department of Education. the commissioner mentioned, ah, early on with remote learning. Um, so we have volunteers that actually come in, um, from the Youth for Christ, ah, programs.

So there's an array of programs. I may not be able
to list them all at this moment. We also provide
college courses for some of our youth, um, through
New York City community, community colleges, um, and
the list goes on and on and on. Um, but the most
important part about this is keeping our young people
engaged. Understanding that, you know, young people
come from our communities and, as I said previously,
we want them to go back out, ah, better off than
which, from which they came. Um, and, and we work
with families, you know, ah, programming with
families. Um, and we are looking to improve that as
well. Um, ah, as often possible. One of the other
things we do all the time, the program is, we work
really, really hard and I think it's important to
highlight with regard to keeping our young people
connected to the community. You know, our young
people have access to phone lines, we enhanced our
phone system, while in our care. So our young people
can contact their families as often as possible as
well. So it's always about keeping our kids fully,
fully engaged, um, if that answers the question that
vou're asking

11

12

14

15

18

25

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: It, it does. No,
thank you. I mean, that was, um, that was a pretty

4 comprehensive, um, ah, view of it. Um, is, now, um,

5 how do these programs work with the Close to Home

6 programs as well? Is it the same, the level, is that

7 level of access to programs the same in Close to Home

8 as it is, um, in, in, um, in pre-adjudication?

9 SENIOR ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER WATTS: Um,

I think Sara is trying to answer.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: OK.

SENIOR ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER WATTS:

13 | She's muted.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: I think Acting Deputy Commissioner is, OK, there you.

16 ACTING DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HEMMETER:

17 Yeah, sorry, I was muted [laughs]. I can't answer.

Um, yes, ah, so we, we have a lot of, the, the

19 providers have a lot of partnerships with, um, the

20 same types of community programs, um, in Close to

21 Home as we do in detention. Um, we also partner with

22 DYCD, um, to bring in programs for both detention and

23 Close to Home, um, but the providers also, the, the

24 Close to Home providers are, um, are, are also

partnering with a lot of the same programs, ah, that

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 49
2	we, that we partner with in detention. So, yes, the
3	the level of participation and partnership, um, is,
4	is similar. The providers often, sorry, the
5	providers often make their own connections, um,
6	outside of us, though. So, so they also are reachin
7	out, um, to, to the providers that are in the
8	communities where the Close to Home facilities are.
9	Um, so they might have different partners than we
10	have. But, but they are very similar to the ones
11	that we have, if not the same.
12	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Um, can you list the
13	Close to Home providers? You said that there are
14	seven chest providers?
15	ACTING DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HEMMETER:
16	Yup, I can try to do this
17	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Sorry.
18	ACTING DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HEMMETER:
19	off the top of my head [laughs].
20	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Or, or, I'm just
21	curious, you know, I want to make sure, um, we get a
22	clear sense of, of who they are.
23	ACTING DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HEMMETER:

Sure. Um, so the Close to Home providers are Children's Village, ah, Good Shepherd Services, um,

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 50
2	Martin de Porres, Rising Ground, um, sorry, I'm
3	gonna
4	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: OK.
5	ACTING DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HEMMETER:
6	Hang on, let me think.
7	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: It's not a quiz.
8	ACTING DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HEMMETER:
9	Sheltering Arms. I know [laughs]. Sheltering Arms,
10	um, I'm sure I'm leaving somebody off, so [inaudible]
11	mad at me.
12	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: That, that's OK. The
13	reason that I ask is just to get a sense of making
14	sure that, you know, they're organizations with the
15	capacity to be able to make those connections, um,
16	you know, those further connections to be able to
17	have and, and, and obviously those are organizations
18	with long track records
19	ACTING DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HEMMETER:
20	Yeah.
21	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN:and [inaudible].
22	ACTING DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HEMMETER: St.
23	John's is the other one.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: OK.

_ -

ACTING DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HEMMETER: So I just didn't want to leave them off [laughs].

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Of course. Um, um, and how, I'm just going to have one more question around, ah, detention and then I have one more question for, um, for Commissioner Hansell around budget. Um, how, what's the relationship, um, was the Department of Probation then? Um, and, um, and how closely are you, ah, are, are you and, ah, Assistant Commissioner Watts working with your counterparts at Probation to, to kind of align, ah, programming and align, um, ah, you know, the whole mission and, and striving towards outcomes?

ACTING DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HEMMETER: So, so let me start that off. So we have a very strong partnership with the Department of Probation, um, and actually we, when young people, this, these are juvenile delinquents, um, when the police, um, bring those young people to detention, um, we have detention, we have probation staff who are doing, ah, risk assessments, um, ah, evaluation or assessment of the youth at that time. So in order to determine whether they can be released, um, into the community rather than being held in detention. Um, so we have

25

to focus on different priorities, ah, for the next

year, um, and so we are, we are working in partnership on, on determining what those priorities are and how we can implement, um, continue to implement juvenile justice, um, change, ah, throughout the city in the next year. So we work very closely with them.

SENIOR ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER WATTS:

Yes, yes. I think, um, Sara, think you covered it.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Great. OK. Thank

you. I, I remember I think my first time I attended

the hearing, probably 10 years ago, about, um,

juvenile justice was with, um, Deputy Commissioner

Bushing and, ah, Commissioner Schiraldi were, were

testifying [inaudible].

SENIOR ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER WATTS:
Ooh, [inaudible] Bushing, you're correct [laughs].

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Um, um, ah, so I,

I'll turn it over to, just one question for

Commissioner Hansell here. Um, ah, Commissioner, so
you've been commissioner at ACS for four years now,

or just about four years, as we were just talking
about yesterday. Um, ah, and, um, and I don't think

I've ever seen you as, um, as riled up as I saw you

talking about the state budget, um, ah, and how
they're shortchanging the system. Um, ah, can you
speak a little bit about what are they even saying?
What is the state saying? What is OCSF saying? Um,
ah, when, when we bring to them this equation where
they are, ah, millions, tens of millions of dollars
or more, um, shortchanging, ah, New York City and
frankly, you know, with something like that property
tax, um, ah, cap, um, kind of, it seems like they're
just kind of playing games with us, budget games, um,
um, what, how, how, what are they even saying? Are
they saying sorry, you're just on your own, um, deal
with it, or are they saying, you know, are, are they
trying to pin it on us somehow? They do have a
tendency of doing that where they kind of try to spin
it around and then make it seem like we're not doing
something right. Um, at least that's been my
experience. Um, ah, can you speak a little bit more
about what's, what are they even saying in response?
COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Ah, well, it's a
great question. Um, and I have, I apologize if I
seem too riled up about, but I do get [inaudible]

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: No, yeah, I could tell that you were upset.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Um, you know, obviously, you know, it's a, it's a perennial issue about how the state and the city should share financial responsibility for programs. something we go through every year. Obviously we, um, we, we believe we provide high-quality programming. We believe the state should support that, so we will always, um, fight to protect the funding that we have. I think what is particularly concerning about what's happened to juvenile justice, it is, it's not just about, you know, sort of incremental change. It's about a complete, the state's frankly complete abandonment of its financial responsibility for these programs. Ah, you know, I can't, I won't try to intuit what was in their minds in doing it. I will say, though, when, when, um, the state, um, basically eliminated all funding for Close to Home, ah, really about three years ago now, the rationale that was given at the time was that when Close to Home was started it was started as a pilot program. The program was reauthorized by the state legislature in 2018, at which point the, ah, governor said that it was no longer a pilot, it was now permanent, and it was not, ah, New York City's

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

responsibility to, ah, to carry the full financial burden of the program. Um, we actually scoured the legislative record about what happened when the program was created in 2012. We couldn't find anything in there that said it was created as a pilot program. We couldn't find anything that said that the state's financial support was intended to be temporary. So, you know, we felt that, um, the city had agreed and, and, and very much wanted to take over this responsibility from the state. We think we serve young people better in Close to Home than they had previously been served in, in the state system, and the state agreed. The state just issued, ah, has issued reports on Close to Home and just issued an [inaudible] report on Raise the Age about how successful these initiatives have been. So I don't think there's any disagreement between New York State and New York City that these programs have been successful in New York City, um, and so it is really somewhere baffling that, that the state with regard to both Close to Home and Raise the Age has not just tinkered with, you know, the distribution of funding, but has basically refused to provide any funding whatsoever to the city while, ah, you know, fully

talk about.

funding or very, very substantially funding Raise the Age expenditures in the rest of the state. That feels to us like, um, a real, um, abandonment of responsibility for young people in New York City, and that's why, ah, we think it's something, it's something that's very important for us to continue to

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Very high percentage of state legislators are from New York City. Um, what are they saying? They have, obviously, a role in, in budgetary allocations. Ah, what are we hearing from our partners in the state legislature about this?

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Well, you know, obviously, we've just begun to engage within this year around the state budget. So I, I really can't speak to that. In the past I think, you know, ah, these things kind of all, in the end get worked out in a very, ah, kind of omnibus negotiation between the governor and the two houses of the legislature and for whatever reason, um, the, um, the reductions proposed by the governor have not been restored in the last few years. Um, very much hope that will be different this year.

there's a, there's a, I mean, knock on wood, there's a significant, um, um, significant help hopefully coming in from, from the federal government and so, um, that provides state and local aid and, um, and, and hopefully there will be an opportunity then to have that conversation, um, ah, right in time for the state budget. So, um, that's, that's certainly my hope. So, um, I will turn it over to my colleagues if they have questions. Um, feel free, anybody, to ask questions. Does anybody, Council Member D. Diaz.

COUNCIL MEMBER D. DIAZ: Good morning. Thank you for the extensive...

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time will begin.

COUNCIL MEMBER D. DIAZ: ...and detailed present. There were two organizations that were mentioned and I'm just curious to know within the 37th Councilmatic District, which is where I serve, I'd like to know if you would be able to give me some numbers, and if not now then a follow-up, um, like I know, you, you mentioned training the youth to be influencers, interrupters, which is something that is dear to me, especially being that in the 37th Councilmatic District we do not have such a team

established at this time. My understanding is that
Man Up is participating, which is just down the
street, across the street, down the block from my
district. We share East New York together. So I'd
like to know more specifically, again, it doesn't
have to be today but sometime soon, as to what, ah,
resources they're lending, what's the success rate,
and does more, no, 32 [inaudible] know about it and
to know, again, like I said earlier, what impact does
it have on the 37th Councilmatic District itself.
Ah, I'm looking to see the Bronxville piece of, of my
community where, where I see, um, needs more

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Well, Council

Member, first of all, thank you, thank you very much

for, for raising those issues and, um, we absolutely

want to make sure that we are, um, providing services

to, to young people no matter what part of New York

City they come from.

attention than it's, has received in the past years.

COUNCIL MEMBER D. DIAZ: Um-hmm.

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Um, so we would be delighted, ah, to share that information. We don't have it at our fingertips today, but we'd be happy to, and what I might suggest is, um, if you're

willing, we'd love to sit down with you and your staff, um, and talk to you about the service providers that we work with in your district, and we can also talk about the youth in your district and how we are serving them in the program. So we would be happy to, ah, set up a time to do that that and then, ah, respond with any information or data that you need.

COUNCIL MEMBER D. DIAZ: Thank you. I also have one follow-up, and thank you.

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Thank you.

just want to mention one other thing, if I may. Um, so, so I appreciate that question, too. I think it's really important that we provide services to the kids in the communities that need them, obviously. Um, but one thing with our services is that they are not necessarily located within a district or, um, an area. Um, a lot of our, our services, especially through, ah, the Family Assessment Program and through JJI, which is our alternative to, um, placement program, um, they go out to the communities where, where the kids live. So they may be, you know, it is, you know, Children's Village who, who

2 runs the program and they have an office wherever

3 there office is. But they're, they're therapists and

4 | their, their workers are going out and providing

5 those services and, and the therapeutic services

6 wherever the kids are, um, in their homes or in their

7 | communities. So, so, we, we've been very intentional

8 about thinking about how to get, um, services out,

9 um, to, to communities, regardless of where, where

10 the, ah, provider's office actually is.

11 COUNCIL MEMBER D. DIAZ: OK, but,

12 nonetheless I, I like to see numbers.

13 ACTING DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HEMMETER:

14 | Absolutely.

23

24

15 COUNCIL MEMBER D. DIAZ: Unfortunately, I

16 had a conversation with someone yesterday, a group

17 was seeking funds, and they were happy to tell me the

18 | last 10 years they served 112 individuals in my

19 district. In, in a district that's been lacking

20 needs for over 10 years that obviously was not a

21 happy number for me. And as, as we know, COVID has

22 impacted our youth tremendously and someone who's

worked for years, you know, with youth is, is dear to

my heart. And coming from the shelter population I

25 know the effects. So numbers really matter to me,

- 2 you know, whether it's one child or it's a hundred.
- 3 If there's families that need extra services I, I
- 4 want to know about it preventively, not reactively.
- 5 Thank you. That's all.
- 6 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you, Council
 7 Member D. Diaz.
- 8 COUNCIL MEMBER R. DIAZ: Thank you.
- 9 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Um, thank you. Do
- 10 any of, ah, my other colleagues have, have question?
- 11 | Feel free to, to ask them. I think we still have
- 12 | Council Members Gibson and Grodenchik, um, with us
- 13 right now. So Barry or Vanessa, any questions? OK.
- 14 Um, OK. I'm, I'm gonna, oh, Vanessa, do you have
- 15 | questions? Nope? OK. All right. Um, OK. Ah, I
- 16 want to ask some questions related to COVID. Um,
- 17 have we had any COVID-related deaths that occurred in
- 18 | the juvenile detention facility, um, whether it be
- 19 youth in detention or, um, or staff?
- 20 COMMISSIONER HANSELL: We have, um, we
- 21 | have not had any youth who have, ah, have died from
- 22 COVID, um, [inaudible] very happy that way. And we,
- 23 you know, have taken, you know, measures to make sure
- 24 | that we, we minimize any impact of the, of the
- 25 | pandemic. Um, we have very tragically had four staff

safe.

in our juvenile justice system, ah, over the course of the pandemic who have passed away. Um, and that's, ah, you know, that has continued to be, um, a blow to all of us, a huge emotional blow, um, to, to all of their colleagues and, ah, and obviously just, you know, redoubles our focus on making sure that we are doing everything we possibly can to keep everyone

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Um, are they, I'm sorry, you said in your testimony they, they are, um, deemed eligible right now for the vaccine?

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Um, only, the only youth, youth or staff? I'm sorry.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Staff.

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Yes, staff are all eligible. We, we actually, um, as soon as, um, the vaccines were available and the state began, you know, determining which groups were going to be eligible in category 1-A and then category 1-B, um, we began advocating actually in December, um, for many of our ACS staff, but certainly all of our, um, juvenile justice staff to be eligible and, um, we were, you know, we were really actually very pleased that, you know, they were among the early groups, ah,

are eligible.

that were made eligible back, I think, January 11 I think was the date they were adding it, added to category 1-B. So yes, all of our staff now in the juvenile justice system, both our ACS staff and our provider staff who work in the congregate facilities

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And are they, is there a, um, are they kind of making appointments on their own or is a coordination between ACS and Health and Hospitals to make sure that they're all getting the, the vaccine?

quite a bit around, um, outreach and information them, especially in the detention facilities, which, ah, actually, um, Sara or, or Louis, ah, can describe, or, or Dr. Mendoza. Um, you know, we want to make sure that, that they all understand, ah, the benefits of vaccine, can, you know, make judgments about benefit and risk.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Um-hmm.

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Um, and know how to access it. Um, we don't have, ah, a dedicated system for, um, connecting the vaccines. Um, we are exploring that. We are working actually with, ah,

2 the city's Vaccine Command Center, um, and others to

3 | see if we can provide additional essential,

4 specifically for ACS staff. Ah, but at this point we

5 | don't yet have that in place.

ask, are you, are you, ah, how are you engaging with, with ACS staff around the necessity to get vaccinated and are you out there saying, you know, giving them the facts and figures and exhorting them to do that?

CHIEF MEDICAL OFFICER MENDOZA: [laughs] We're short of extorting. We're trying our best to...

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Not, ah, extorting. Exhorting, not extorting.

Exhorting, exhorting, yes. We definitely are. Um, and we started, again, very, very early on, even before the vaccine was approved, ah, for, or even before our staff were made eligible for the vaccine we had already started the campaign. Um, we have had at least now two town halls specifically for the Division of Youth and Family Justice. We have had already, ah, several town halls before that, generally for the staff. We also have a mailbox

25

that's available for all staff to write in any questions or any concerns they have, ah, regarding the vaccine, or even regarding COVID in general. also have a weekly Ask Dr. Mendoza column, where we highlight some of the new information that's coming, or if we find that there is a pattern of concerns or questions that are coming in through the, um, mailbox. We also have released at least three fact sheets now, um, ranging from just the basic information back in January to more detailed information about how the vaccines were developed and, um, trying to also counter some of the myths or misinformation that people may have seen either, um, through their communities or through the internet. Um, we also have a lot of videos now that we have gathered and we have, are, are planning to show that in loops, ah, video loops at the, ah, the facilities, the detention facilities, as soon as we possibly can. And, lastly, we have also gathered, um, what we call our messengers, our credible messengers, internally at ACS, those who have already received their vaccines, um, to then, um, try to encourage others, ah, based on their experience. We're putting together videos. We're putting together some of

their, um, own pictures and selfies. Oh, and by the way, before I forget, because this is Black History

Month we are also addressing specifically the, um,

some of the concerns and, ah, distrust that the

African community, ah, American community has against these vaccines and with medicine in general, and we have a panel prepared next week, um, to address this specifically.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Um, in terms of coordination with, with, um, with the, the Vaccine Command Center, are they, are they willing to like go like out there and, I mean, in other words like sometimes it's just having that access or if there's like onsite, like you can, you know, onsite vaccination so that they don't have to go through the rigamarole of going online and trying to track down appointments and all that. Um, is that, is that something that's being discussed?

CHIEF MEDICAL OFFICER MENDOZA: Yes, it is. In fact, we are very close to potentially starting our own, ah, vaccine, ah, distribution center. Ah, we have, ah, we have had several meetings already this week with the Vaccine Command

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 68
2	Center and, um, we have a site visit actually
3	happening today. So it's, it's going to happen.
4	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: OK.
5	CHIEF MEDICAL OFFICER MENDOZA: It's just
6	a matter of when, and we're just also figuring out
7	supplies and logistics and all that.
8	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So in addition to the
9	column, the Ask Dr. Mendoza column, there could be
10	Get Vaccinated by Dr. Mendoza on site.
11	CHIEF MEDICAL OFFICER MENDOZA: [laughs]
12	Sure, if they want to [laughs].
13	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Um, and how, do we
14	have a percentage of staff that's been, that's
15	received the first dose?
16	CHIEF MEDICAL OFFICER MENDOZA: No.
17	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Are we tracking that?
18	CHIEF MEDICAL OFFICER MENDOZA: We, we
19	haven't been tracking that and I don't know that we
20	can actually ask them if they have been vaccinated.
21	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: OK.
22	CHIEF MEDICAL OFFICER MENDOZA: That's
23	might be something that legally we can't do.
24	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: If, ah, yeah, yeah,

um, just so that we're, I mean, I guess, the, the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

reason I ask is just a kind of, you know, we've seen data now, kind of aggregated data, that's showing, you know, a disparity among communities in, in, um, the city where some communities have vaccination rates of close to, you know, over 10%. Um, you know, I mean, City Island has a, has a vaccinated rate of like, I don't, I think it was like 16%. But, but, um, but, um, ah, the trends that we're seeing are, are that, um, whiter communities and communities with, with greater access to resources are, are being, are having higher percentage of vaccinations, and some communities, ah, communities of color in the city, ah, ZIP codes, ah, representing communities of color are, you know, lower, in the 2% to 3% and so, um, the concern is that that disparity starts to widen even further and, um, and so, you know, that, that remains a big concern.

ACTING DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HEMMETER:

Right, that's one of the reasons why we're

specifically targeting, um, the black community,

African American community next week with that, um,

with our panel and, um, a lot of, also the fact

sheets that we have put out were based on concerns,

if there were specific patterns of concerns we, we

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

saw for, you know, specific communities. So, yeah, um, we are, we are very much aware that there are these disparities, um, and also to combat the disparities of the availability and accessibility of the vaccine. That's one of the reasons why we've been pushing the Vaccine Command Center to help us

with our own onsite distribution center.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Um, just a few more questions about some of the, are, have there been, have there been any youth in detention who have tested positive for COVID? Have we seen that at all?

ACTING DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HEMMETER: yeah, yes. So as the commissioner mentioned in, in his testimony, um, you know, we're doing everything that we can to try to minimize the spread of COVID, um, in our facilities, um, understanding, you know, in congregate care settings that this can be a huge concern. So, um, you know, just to, to reiterate some of the things that, that we are doing, um, so all staff are screened and, ah, before they, any person who enters our detention facilities is, are screened and temperatures are taken. Um, we have distributed face masks to all staff. Um, gloves are available to staff, um, if they, the want those. We

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

have hand sanitizer throughout the facilities. we are encouraging hand washing and have signs posted throughout the facilities to, to encourage that. Um, with respect to the youth, um, as the commissioner mentioned in his testimony, the Floating Hospital, which is our medical provider, um, does daily temperature checks of all the youth. Um, we have distributed face masks or face coverings for all the young people as well. Um, and also encouraging hand washing and other, and other, um, ah, hygiene, um, techniques for them as well. Um, unfortunately, we have had a few young people test positive. Since the beginning of the pandemic last March, um, we have had, um, 17 youth test positive for COVID, um, but as of today we have no youth who, who are, um, who have, are in medical isolation because of, because of a positive test.

SENIOR ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER WATTS: I
think it's important to also add that we provide our
staff with PPE gear. I mean, if there is a youth
that actually, that have actually tested positive,
um, all of our staff that requires to be in a full
PPE gear and there's also a proper disposable
protocol with regard to disposing other PPE, PPE

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

gear. Um, so all our staff, ah, are disposing of
their gear inside of a red bank, um, that's properly

5

discarded.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Um, we've heard some reports that youth, ah, have not been provided with an adequate supply of face masks and socks and underwear. Um, is, how often are youth provided PPE and socks and underwear?

SENIOR ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER WATTS: all of our young people upon entering a facility they're provided with, ah, socks and underwear. the socks and underwear, ah, are washed, ah, ah, clean two times per week. Um, but they are provided with multiple pairs of socks and underwear and [inaudible] socks and underwear if there's a request for socks and underwear we're providing our young people with, ah, additional socks and underwear. You know, it's actually interesting that you, you, you asked that question, because that question came to us, ah, from Legal Aid, maybe a couple months back, um, and we were able to support and show them that no, that's actually false. Um, that was a claim that was made by a young person, um, but it was a young person who had some mental health challenges at that

time. But we are always providing our young people

3 with socks and underwear, the clothing that they

4 need, the footwear that they need. All the

5 necessities we actually provide. Our kids don't

6 actually want for anything while in our care. Um,

7 and we provide everything that they need. So there's

8 no need for them to have money. There's no need for

9 them to have outside clothing. We give them

10 | everything that they need, including the commissary.

11 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And does that, ah,

12 | just along those lines we also heard that there was

13 some, um, issues around, ah, lack of soap in the

14 | bathrooms. Is that, are we making sure that soap is

15 replenished in the bathrooms at all times?

16 SENIOR ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER WATTS:

17 | Absolutely. We have an amazing housekeeper staff

18 | that ensures that the soap is replenished at all

19 | times, not only they do it for staff as well. We're

20 | also promoting regularly that young people and staff

21 | are washing their hands as required, often.

22 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Um, OK. Um, ah,

23 certainly the, you know, any type of like kind of

24 quality, quality assurance checks on that is, ah, is,

25 \parallel is, I think would be, would be appreciated. Um, um,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

there is, families and advocates have reported that students in juvenile detention sometimes lose access to their DOE laptops as punishment, ah, for what ACS determines to be misbehavior. Is that, is there a policy around, um, removing access to, to, ah, to the laptops or, um, or tablets that DOE provides?

SENIOR ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER WATTS: absolutely not. Ah, ah, first and foremost to be very honest with you, Chair, we promote education. mean, that is very, very big and high on our list. We have a, an amazing partnership with, um, ah, our [inaudible] partners with District 79, um, and so every single day our young people are provided with their Chromebooks, because they have designated Chromebooks that are issued to them, and daily they actually receive new passwords, new passwords so that they can have access to the Chromebooks for security purposes. From where we email the passwords to our supervisory team, our supervisory team and school liaisons, when I send out the passwords and give each youth, ah, provided each youth with the new password for the day.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Um, since Raise the Age has been implemented, um, has the Department of

Corrections been fully transitioned out of Horizon?
Is there any DOC staff remaining?

ACTING DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HEMMETER: So, so, um, as part of Raise the Age, um, as the commissioner in his testimony, DOC and ACS, um, had, had, um, the authority to co-facilitate the Horizon facility. Um, they, for the most part, DOC has transitioned out of Horizon. Um, however, they, they do, um, still, they are still, there are still some DOC staff in our, in the control room and monitoring the perimeter. But they do not have any, um, interaction with staff at this time. Um, so there...

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: No interaction with, with youth in detention?

ACTING DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HEMMETER: Correct.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Yeah, OK. Um, have you seen a, are there any quantifiable, um, you know, ah, or qualitative, um, measures, ah, to show the impact in that, um, in, in discontinuing, ah, any relation between DOC staff and youth in detention?

ACTING DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HEMMETER: Um, that's an interesting question. Um, I, I'm not sure. I mean, um, Chuck, I don't know if there's anything

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2 that you can think of in terms of measures that would 3 quantify this.

DEPUTY ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER PARKINS:

Yeah, I, I can't, ah, thank you, ah, thank you, and good morning. Ah, I can't think of any specific measures. I mean, we had a really good working relationship with DOC at Horizon, um, and we, you know, cooperated and worked with the kids together simultaneously. So, um, the transition there, um, took place over many, many months and it was very slow and methodical and done purposefully, um, so we weren't, you know, severely disrupting the kids. so I, I think part of that lend to, um, not having a significant, um, you know, dynamic change within the environment and the kids. So, um, I think part of that kind of slow transition really helped with that. Um, I'm sure that there are some measures that do exist, but none that really are striking with us.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Um, overall, do you believe it's been a good thing that there's been, that that's happened and that that's, that that's been phased out?

24

25

DEPUTY ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER PARKINS:

You know, it, it's my personal belief that, um, kids

2 sh

J

your question.

should be, um, kids should be treated as kids and while we're certainly, ah, DOC did a wonderful job, um, working with us in those facilities, I believe that youth should be treated, um, and supervised by youth care professionals. So, hopefully that answers

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Mr. Hansell has a question. I think Commissioner Hansell has his hand up.

much. Yeah, no, I, I, I absolutely agree and I, I think, I also just want to mention that actually under the, under the state's Raise the Age regulations, um, DOC will continue to consult with us on security, ah, and do security reviews, so even though, um, at this point, ah, as Deputy Commissioner Hemmeter said, they have a very limited presence just at Horizon, ah, in perimeter security and control room. No access to, no contact with the youth. Um, and, and that will probably will, will also phase out over time. You know, we do want to certainly continue to benefit from their expertise in safety and security and we will continue to do that through the relationship we'll have with them, which is

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

event.

2	actually something that the state regulations will
3	require us to do, but we would want to do in any

5 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: How many DOC staff 6 remain on, ah, at Horizon?

Actually, maybe, maybe 40, if that. I mean, when we started there were 300-plus DOC officers, you know, at Horizon. So maybe 40, if that.

SENIOR ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER WATTS:

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Gosh, there was 300 DOC staff for, for how many, for how many youth in detention? It was like they outnumbered the youth in detention?

SENIOR ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER WATTS:
Well, no. At that time when it was Raise the Age
they were transitioning in over from Riker's
Island...

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: OK.

SENIOR ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER WATTS:

...with the youth that were coming from Riker's

Island. So at that time it was maybe 96 youth that

was transferred over from Riker's Island at that time

[inaudible] that they have and monitoring their

progressive preliminaries as well. Um, and at that

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 79
2	time they had operational control of the facility.
3	So for the 300-plus they had at that time, the few we
4	have monitoring the perimeter now is maybe 40, if
5	that.
6	ACTING DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HEMMETER:
7	Yeah, I also just want to, you know, while 40 also
8	sounds like a, a large number, um, those are
9	different shifts as well.
10	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Um-hmm.
11	ACTING DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HEMMETER: Um,
12	so we do have, you know, have to have the DOC staff
13	there to cover all the different, you know, for 24/7
14	operations.
15	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Um-hmm.
16	ACTING DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HEMMETER: Um,
17	so, so they are there, they are not there at the same
18	time, um, there now.
19	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Is it three shifts a
20	day?
21	ACTING DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HEMMETER: DOC
22	has three shifts a day, Louis?
23	SENIOR ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER WATTS: DOC

has maybe four shifts per day. They have, ah, the

three shifts, the a.m., the p.m., and the night, and I believe they have an overlapping shift.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: OK.

SENIOR ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER WATTS: but they have people that may go on vacation, um, ah, you know, with the pandemic going on if someone is not well they're, the staff is out. Um, so I mean, like, like Sara said, you know, 40 may sound like a large number, but it's really, really small when you think of [inaudible] things are, the various shifts.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: OK. Um, a few more questions. On, on, ah, on Close to Home, um, and I, I realize this might be a difficult, um, question to answer because of COVID and, and just, ah, understand, you know, trying to anticipate what the, ah, the future size of the program will be, um, um, but, but, are, I mean, obviously we've seen, um, you know, the, the numbers have come down so much. Um, strictly from a kind of a budgetary systems perspective are we looking to phase out providers or phase out programs because of the, because of that, that kind of under, over, over capacity, no, no, under capacity, that we are at, under capacity, in the system.

· |

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

13

12

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

ACTING DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HEMMETER:

Yeah, oh, go ahead, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: I'll start then and then [inaudible]. So it's a good question. Um, obviously, you know, we don't control the flow of young people coming into Close to Home. Um, but we do have a legal obligation to make sure that we can, ah, take, take charge of any young person who is referred or is placed by a court. So we have to make sure we have adequate capacity to do that and there are as you, Chair, as you have referenced, there's a lot of uncertainties. I mean, we are very happy that over recent years we've seen the Close to Home census drop, um, and we are very happy that it didn't go up during, because of the Raise the Age, um, and we're very happy that it has not increased, although we're not necessarily happy about the reasons it hasn't increased during COVID because, as we were talking earlier, part of that is because of, ah, reduced court processing. Um, so there are so many variables and so many uncertainties, um, that we have to be sure we have adequate capacity to, ah, take custody of any young person that a court orders into juvenile justice placement. So we've got, basically, you

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

know, staff and budget for, um, some degree of uncertain. However, that doesn't mean that we're not planning for the future. We are doing that and actually we are approach the point where we will be, um, recompeting the entire Close to Home program, ah, and, and I'll let, ah, Sara speak to that in more detail. But, um, as part of that we really are We're looking at not just looking at the program. capacity but, you know, the whole structure of service delivery, um, and starting later this year we'll be initiating a process to consult with stakeholders about the future of the Close to Home program on, in all respects, including, ah, including size and capacity. And Sara you may want to say more about that.

ACTING DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HEMMETER:

Yeah, I think the only thing I would, you know, this is something that we are continually assessing, um, and trying to, to strike that right balance between having enough beds and not having too many beds. Um, you know, with, with Raise the Age there was some uncertainty with, with, ah, respect to how many young people we would be seeing, um, and so far that has

not played out, um, in, in the way that we thought it

might. Um, so this is definitely something that,
that we are, are looking at and trying to figure out
and as the commissioner mentioned, um, we are re-
RFPing the whole Close to Home continuum and are, ah,
planning on issuing a concept paper, um, in the fall
of 2021, um, with new contracts starting. Ah, the
RFP will go out sometime next year in 2022 and then
new contracts in 2023. So this is something where
we, we feel like we can right size the system, but
also ensure that the services that the young people
are getting within Close to Home, um, match the
needs. Um, we are seeing a little bit of an older
population, even, you know, with Raise the Age. We
haven't seen the numbers, but we, the, the influx of
young people, but we have seen an increase in the age
of, of the young people who are coming to us. So we
want to make sure that we're not programming for a
younger population and that we have the vocational
and educational services that are necessary for an
older population. So we are looking at, at not only
the number of beds that we have, but the services and
the programming that is necessary for the, for the
young people who are coming to us.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: OH, and the, and the
relationship, I didn't really ask that much about
education, but the relationship with DOE and, um,
utilizing, um, their, their resources, I'll just give
an example. I was on a call yesterday with the
Brooklyn Navy Yard talking about their STEM center,
which is, you know, available to, um, you know, sever
different high schools in the city. Um, that's
really like a, you know, kind of second to none, um,
or Steam Center, excuse me, Steam Center. Um, and,
um, but it's, you know, the, the resources there are,
you know, um, you know, pretty unparalleled in the
system and they're looking at expanding that model,
um, ah, to other, you know, to other, and it's, and
it kind of works in a way that it's not its own
dedicated school. It's, um, or it's, yeah, it's a,
technically it's a district but not a school I think
is the way that they said it. So, so, um, ah, but,
but kind of, our, what's the relationship with
particularly around CTE that, that, ah, with DOE?

ACTING DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HEMMETER:

Yeah, we have a very strong partnership with DOE in

both detention and Close to Home. Um, you know, part

of the reason that Close to Home happened, um, or one

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of the big reasons was, ah, the educational credits that, that young people were receiving when they went upstate, did not transfer when they came back to the city. Um, and so really making sure that the young people who are placed with us are getting the credits that they are, um, that we are working with the DOE to make sure that the transition from Close to Home back to the community happens seamlessly. Um, that also happens with detention. There are our DOE liaisons that are helping us connect the young people from the, the schools that they are attending, either in detention or Close to Home back to their community schools. Um, so we have a very strong partnership with the DOE. Um, we have worked with them on, um, um, sending kids to Co-op Tech, um, and to the Judge Kay School, um, so that, you know, where there's like a vocational program along with educational services. Um, so we, we do have, um, those kinds of connections with the DOE, but are always looking for more opportunities, ah, for our young people and, and to connect with the educational and vocational services. Um, I think Louis mentioned, um, that, that we also have, um, connections with the community colleges, um, so because we are seeing that older population

specifically in detention, um, we have connections with the community colleges who are providing college credit for kids, um, you know, and getting them prepared for that.

SENIOR ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER WATTS:

[inaudible] I should have mentioned previously, um, when you asked me the education question, um, we also partner with PTA, set up a community alternative, and they come into our facilities two times per week and they provide tutoring services for our young people, um, to assist with education as well.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Are those available also on, um, through, ah, through their tablets?

Any, are they having access to tutoring services, like not necessarily in-person tutoring services, but remote tutoring services?

SENIOR ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER WATTS: You know, that, I mean, that, that's, um, afterschool help with the Department of Education with their tablets, yes.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: OK, tablets, OK.

SENIOR ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER WATTS: The

PTA is [inaudible].

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: OK. Um, ah, OK, I, I think that that's all of the questions that I have, um, um, at this time. Do any of my colleagues have any additional questions they want to ask? Going once, going twice. OK. OK, well, thank you. to thank you all very much. I appreciate, um, um, the opportunity to, to sit down with you and, and review this, um, um, all the programming and the system that's in place. I, um, ah, obviously I'm, I'm kind of getting up to speed. This was, you know, this juvenile justice had, had been its own committee in the council for a number of years, um, ah, during Close to Home and then, ah, shifted into another committee for a little while, and then that committee got discontinued, um, and so now it's, now it's here in General Welfare, and so obviously we have a, ah, you know, a large portfolio, we had a large portfolio already, um, and so I want to make sure that, um, issues around juvenile justice are not, um, given short shrift in this committee and so, um, um, you know, I, I'm only chair for another 10-1/2 months. Um, so I, I certainly will be looking to ask questions during our budget hearings in, the preliminary budget hearing in March, and, and, um,

of the year.

and, ah, executive budget hearing in May. Um, but I would like to, to have at least one more hearing with you all, um, ah, before the end of the year to, um, ah, to go over perhaps, um, ah, the concept paper on Close to Home or we could look at other, other issues if, obviously we don't know what the, we never know what the future holds, as the last year has, has told us. So, um, but, but I would appreciate, ah, you know, maybe doing this one more, at least one more time as its own dedicated hearing, um, before the end

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Yeah, and we would be, Chair, we'd be delighted to do that. And actually, you know, the whole purpose of our, the concept paper is to get the broadest possible input into the redesigned system before we issue the RFP. So to the extent that, ah, ah, a hearing would the council would be helpful in doing that, we would welcome it.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: That would be great, yeah, especially because, you know, once the RFP goes out then we can't, then we can't, you know, talk about it. So, so having it at the concept paper stage might make a lot of sense. Um, so I'll, I'll

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 89
2	make sure to note that in my kind of ever-expanding
3	list of hearings that we want to try to get done
4	before the end of the year. Um, so just notice to
5	all committee, ah, committee members that, that we
6	might be having, you know, two or maybe three
7	hearings a month, ah, as we get closer to December.
8	Um, and hopefully we'll be able to have that hearing
9	in person. That would be my, ah, you know, that
10	would be my strong preference, that'd be nice.
11	COMMISSIONER HANSELL: That would be
12	great.
13	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Um, but, but, ah,
14	thank you all very much. I appreciate the time. And
15	if you can, um, ah, ah, have some staff remain on the
16	call, um, to hear testimony from the public, um, that
17	would be greatly appreciated.
18	COMMISSIONER HANSELL: We will certainly
19	do that. Thank you very much, Chair, and, and
20	colleagues.
21	ACTING DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HEMMETER:
22	Thank you.
23	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you so much.

Thank you, commissioners, appreciate it.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, Chair We have now conclude ACS's testimony and Levin. we're going to turn to public testimony. First, I'd like to remind everyone that I will be calling up individuals in panels. Once your name is called a member of our staff will unmute you and you will begin your testimony once the Sergeant at Arms sets the clock and gives you the cue that you may begin. All testimony will be limited to three members. Remember that there is a few seconds' delay when you are unmuted and before we can hear you. Please wait for the Sergeant at Arms to announce that you may begin before starting your testimony. The first panel of public testimony in order of speaking will be Darek Robinson, Kate Rubin, and Kateryn Plasencia. And that panel will be followed by Katherine De Zengotita, Rashelle James, and K. McKenna of Brooklyn Defender Services. I am going to now call up our first public panelist, Darek Robinson. SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time will begin now.

you hear me? All right, thank you. Good morning.

Good morning. My name is Darek Robinson. I'm the

DAREK ROBINSON: Oh, can you see me?

protective equipment at the beginning of this

pandemic. As a result, over 40, and I repeat, over 40 of our members, which included youth development specialists, caseworkers, institutional aides, program counselors, and also taught management as well, tested positive for COVID-19. Caseworker Patricia George, a 25-year veteran of the ADC, died from exposure to the virus in the course of work. Yet despite of her death and several hospitalizations and unknown infections of the residents and staff at Crossroads the agency decided to shuffle residents and staff between Crossroads and Horizon instead of making all locations safe and provided the necessary PPE in implementing obvious procedures to control the infection. ACS DYFJ has been unrealistic in believing that it can maintain detention centers with the necessary social distancing, or even maintain discipline among the residents. SSEU Local 371 filed a [inaudible] complaint for our members to finally receive all PPE, all PPE necessary and needed...

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time has expired.

22 DAREK ROBINSON: OK.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: You, you can

24 | continue, Mr. Robinson.

20

21

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DAREK ROBINSON: OK. Needed for the best performance of their tasks. For several weeks we heard platitudes about residents and staff being the agency's top priority. But actions, not platitude, were needed. Our members had to endure multiple assaults by residents for trying to maintain social distancing and control of both facilities. At one point NYPD had to enter Crossroads Juvenile Center and assist with maintaining control. As assaults continue our members' only defense against violent residents is the agency's safe crisis management model that, that is ineffective against bigger and stronger residents and gang assaults. We are not blaming the agency for the pandemic, but for ignoring the science and not taking proper precautions. ACS DYFJ made contact with Local 371 to inform us of a 12-hour temporary shift change for the youth development specialists, opposed to original eight hours were taught that they currently are on. change would enable our members to spend more time at home during the pandemic. Local 371 bargained in good faith and agreed to the temporary change until knowledge was more available as the COVID-19 crisis continued. Eight months after the change the union

2	demanded that youth development specialists returned
3	back to their original eight hours and the agency
1	refused and we are currently in litigation with that

5 matter. Thank you for the opportunity to give

testimony. I would like to open up to all responses or any questions that you may have, and thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Mr. Robinson, can you, can you repeat that last, ah, portion about the litigation on tours?

DAREK ROBINSON: Um, OK. Um, there, there was a 12, ah, ACS came up with the idea to change the youth development specialists' tour. They currently work eight-hour tours around the clock.

They came up, um, during the pandemic, the beginning of the pandemic, they changed it to 12-hour shifts.

So now they work 12-hour shifts opposed to their normal, ah, eight-hour tour, 24 hours. So we...

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So, so staff is working 12-hour shifts right now currently?

DAREK ROBINSON: Correctly, um, correct.

12-hour shifts, but, um, it's continuous overtime, so
it's actually 16 hours.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: OK. Um, ah, you know, obviously this is, um, your testimony paints a

<u> 4</u>

different picture than, than what we heard from the administration, um, on, on this, um, the state of affairs within secure detention. Can, um, is there an on, is there ongoing engagement, um, um, outside of litigation, obviously, um, ah, between 371 and, um, ACS management, so Assistant Commissioner, ah, Watts or, ah, um, Deputy Commissioner Hemmeter?

meet, ah, once a month, once a month to go over issues. But during the pandemic it was, um, you know, quite challenging between the union and the agency. They want to do things their way. We wanted to, you know, we was trying to, ah, get advice and, ah, it wasn't pretty much paying attention to the commissioner. Hansell got involved and President Wells, ah, our president, on the return of his, um, um, illness from COVID, brought it to a high-level meeting and then they made the adjustments to make sure that the proper PPE was given, ah, thorough cleaning and disinfecting the facilities began, began to happen.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Um, and, but, but, currently there are still issues that are in, that

are being litigated. There's at least, you said two, two different, um, lawsuits going on right now?

DAREK ROBINSON: No, no, actually one, one lawsuit and it's, ah, with the 12-hour shift.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: OK. Um...

DAREK ROBINSON: Because, oh, OK, yeah.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: The current status of PPE for your staff is adequate or, or inadequate still?

DAREK ROBINSON: Yes, it's, it's adequate at this point.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: OK. Um, um, in terms of, um, ah, your staff's ability to, um, ah, enforce social distancing among, among detainees, is that, is that, ah, youth in detention, is that something that, um, that your staff feels adequately, um, enabled to do, or, or, um, ah, ah, equipped to do?

DAREK ROBINSON: Yes. At this point that is, ah, unrealistic to try to do, to implement, um, social distancing when we are dealing with troubled youth. Ah, we're dealing with, ah, a high rate of gang assaults going on inside the facilities, um, you know, a lot of assaults on staff, assaults, youth on youth assaults. So it's unrealistic to think that

you can social distance residents, you know, when you're doing your job on, on these halls.

Still, ah, is, is the union hearing, um, from, from its members, um, you know, any, ah, issues around mental health crisis or kind of, um, the additional stress that the pandemic has, um, put on, on, um, staff that's, you know, there, it's, it's hard for all of us, but it's especially hard for those that are, um, continuing to have to work in, in, um, environments that would have been challenging prior to the pandemic. Are you, how are you dealing with that?

DAREK ROBINSON: Um, well, in terms of our members reaching out to us and with their issues and their complaints, which are extremely valid and, you know, most of their complaints is, ah, it's hard to social distance, it's hard to gain, um, you know, a higher rate of control in these facilities with, ah, you know, lackluster, some of the tools that they have. Like, you know, they mentioned safe crisis management. That, that technique does not, and, you know, I repeat, does not help our staff in terms of, ah, intervening in situations, physical altercations,

_	
2	if the resident, and these residents, because they're
3	16 and 17 now, so they're a lot bigger than most of
4	the majority of our staff. So they, it is
5	unrealistic for the SCM technique to try to take dow
6	a, a big kid. It's just unrealistic. And once the,
7	once the restraint goes a little south, a little
8	left, which it will if the kid is much stronger than
9	you, there's, then it turns into like an all-out
LO	fight between a big, strong kid and a, a smaller
L1	staff. And, ah, our staff were technically, um,
L2	penalized once the SCM goes south.
L3	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Um, your staff in, in
L 4	secure detention, do you have a breakdown of, of, um
L5	male and female staff percentage-wise?
L 6	DAREK ROBINSON: Percentage? No, I
L7	don't, I don't have that breakdown.
L 8	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: But it's not
L 9	exclusively male staff, is that right?
20	DAREK ROBINSON: No, not exclusively.
21	There's a lot of female staff as well, and a lot of
22	female staff staff these, ah, halls, um, with, with
23	the males, and you know, they work with the males

also.

DAREK ROBINSON: OK.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Since I, you know, because this is, this committee is now, I mean, obviously I've worked with, um, with President Wells, ah, for a number of a years. But, um, ah, certainly and, and pass along, um, my regards to him. I didn't know that he, he was, he had, he was recovering from, from COVID. Um, please pass along my regards to him and, and wish him good health from me. But, ah, please let him know that he can, he, you know, he can reach out to, um, this committee on issues around, um, ah, juvenile justice now and, and that my line is always open to him.

DAREK ROBINSON: All right, thank you. I appreciate that. I'll let him know.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: OK, great. Thank you very much. Do, do any of my colleagues have any questions for Mr. Robinson? OK, seeing none, thank you, Mr. Robinson, for your testimony. I look forward to working with you.

DAREK ROBINSON: All right, thank you, appreciate it.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Yeah, thanks.

3

4

5

now.

6

7

9

10

11

12

14

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thanks again, Mr.

Robinson. I will now call on Kate Rubin to testify.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time will begin

KATE RUBIN: OK, thank you. Good

morning, or afternoon by a minute. My name is Kate

I'm the director of policy at Youth 8

Represent. Thank you, Chair Levin, committee

members, and staff for holding the hearing, and for

the chance to testify. I also want to say our hearts

are with Local 371 members and their families who

have been sick or are recovering or who have passed

away in the past year. Um, Youth Represent provides

legal services for young people, 24 and under, who

have been impacted by the criminal juvenile justice

We also advocate for changes in policy to system.

stop criminalizing youth and invest instead in young

people. And we're members of the Youth Justice

Research Collaborative, which came together to study

the implementation of Raise the Age in New York.

we're thankful for critical support the council

offers for our legal work through the innovative

Criminal Justice Programs Initiative. Um, I go into

this in a lot more detail in my written testimony,

but I just want to underscore that the impact of
COVID would have been so much worse in the city if
we'd had as many kids in the system as we did 10
years ago or even five years ago. Um, youth arrest
and detention declined for the entire past decade,
culminating with sharp declines when Raise the Age
passed in 2017 and then went into effect. And the
experiment worked. Fewer kids arrested and held in
court penalties. Fewer families dragging younger
children and babies back and forth to court dates.
Fewer kids in secure detention facilities that, while
certainly better than Riker's Island, still feel like
jails in many way with DOC playing an ongoing role.
Less of all of that and crime stayed low. COVID-19
forced us to re-examine how many kids really needed
to be in the system and we appreciate that ACS
recognized that and worked with the courts and
agencies to reduce detention to historically low
levels. And so in July and August of 2020 there were
fewer than 50 admissions to detention citywide. Not
only do we think this should be the new baseline, we
think the numbers could be even lower. OCF's, OCSF's
data shows that a third of youth charged as juvenile
delinquents and remanded to detention in 2020 were

facing a top charge of a misdemeanor. And that is
consistent with observations that we made in Family
Court in the months prior to the COVID-19 lockdowns,
where we saw young people with open Family Court
cases detained for school absence, for new arrests
for low-level charges, and even in a few cases for
lack of stable housing. As you well know, every
single community in New York City has been hit hard
by COVID-19. But the same black and Latinx
communities that are over-represented in the juvenile
justice system have been the hardest hit by far and
that is why our call is to divest from carceral
systems and invest in community supports, families,
and young people. This is even more important as we
face historic budget shortfalls and make difficult
decisions about where to spend money. And the call
is echoed in recommendations of public defenders and
youth justice service providers, both of whom the
Youth Justice Research Collaborative surveyed in the
spring of 2020 and, ah, which I've shared in my
written testimony. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you so much, ah, Ms. Rubin. I look forward to reading through, um, the full, the full written testimony. And, um,

- and, again, I want to extend my, um, um, gratitude to
- 3 | the work that you're doing and, um, my invitation to,
- 4 ah, to work with us in this committee, you know,
- 5 moving forward over the next, ah, 10 months while I'm
- 6 here, ah, to do the best we can, on behalf of the
- 7 [inaudible].
- 8 KATE RUBIN: I appreciate that.
- 9 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, Kate.
- 10 I'll now call on Kateryn Plasencia.
- 11 SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time will begin
- 12 now.
- 13 KATERYN PLASENCIA: Good afternoon,
- 14 | everyone. Thank you, members of the Committee on
- 15 General Welfare for holding this important oversight
- 16 | hearing on the city's juvenile justice system during
- 17 | COVID-19. My name is Kateryn Plasencia and I am a
- 18 [inaudible] fellow with the Children's Defense Fund
- 19 New York and a member of the Youth Justice Research
- 20 | Collaborative. The good news is that very few
- 21 children are in ACS detention and placement in New
- 22 | York City today. However, supporting those who are
- 23 in custody and those in the community has proven to
- 24 | be very challenging during the COVID-19 crisis. Last
- 25 money we released a report that highlighted the

system. Nearly all youth arrested in New York City

were black, 61%, or Latinx, 32%. And the vast

24

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 103
2	majority with male, 85%. Communities of color in Ne
3	York City are still over-policed. Based on our cour
4	observers perceptions of youth, people's race,
5	ethnicity, 88% of the youth seen in Family Court and
6	95% of the youth seen in the youth parts were young
7	people of color. This is despite the fact that blac
8	and Latinx youth represent only 22% and 36% of the
9	city's children, respectively. These extreme racial
10	disparities need to inform the city's ongoing
11	response to the COVID-19 pandemic in our youth
12	justice system. Thank you.
13	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you so much for
14	your, thanks so much for your testimony, Ms.
15	Plasencia. Thank you.
16	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, Ms.
17	Plasencia. I'm now going to call up our next panel.
18	Our next panel will be in the following order of
19	speaking. Katherine De Zengotita, Rashelle James,
20	and K. McKenna. And we'll begin with Katherine De
21	Zengotita.
22	SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time will begin.
23	KATHERINE DE ZENGOTITA: Hello. Can

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Yes.

everyone hear me? OK.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

KATHERINE DE ZENGOTITA: Um, good afternoon. Thank you to Chair Levin for holding this hearing and to all of you. Um, I'm apparently one of about a dozen people named Kate or Katherine testifying today. Um, my name is Kate De Zengotita and I am a senior trial attorney with the juvenile defense unit at New York County Defenders Services. Ah, my unit represents Raise the Age children in felony cases in both Supreme and Family Court. have been a New York City public defender for about a Um, I've chosen to testify on an issue decade. that's something of a gear shift from what we've been discussing so far, but it is of the utmost important to our young clients in these unprecedented times. And that is the confiscation of cell phones by the NYPD. Um, the vast majority of court appearances in New York City are occurring virtually. If a child does not appear in court a warrant can be issued for his or her arrest and that's appear over the phone or video. Um, our clients are also often required to participate in programming as part of those cases, which is occurring virtually as well. Participation in these programs often determines, for example, whether a child will earn youthful offender treatment

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and avoid a lifelong felony record, or whether the child is permitted to remain in the community at all. In some cases, of course, a phone is a legitimate piece of arrest evidence, and in those cases it makes sense that the NYPD and prosecutors would need it for a limited period of time. These scenarios represent a fraction of the cases we see, where our clients lose their phone to the police, often permanently. Phones are held endlessly as "arrest evidence" when they have no discernible connection to the criminal case whatsoever. My clients and my colleagues and I spend hours on the phone trying to figure out where our clients' phones are and how we can get them back. It is a wild goose chase and we almost always come up empty-handed. Without a phone young people cannot log into their court appearances. They also cannot, for example, call their attorneys, their probation officers, the programs they are mandated to attend virtually, the remote therapy sessions they are required to complete or conduct their court-ordered curfew checks. If parents stay home from work so that their child can use their phone, which they often do, they lose money to support their family and sometimes even put their jobs at risk. Moreover, the

25

financial hardship. His mother is in a binding service contract for this phone and she continues to pay it each month despite not having the phone itself. She has had to do this through a house fire that destroyed everything she owned, through a hospitalization for COVID that kept her from work, and with no end in sight or answers about when they will get the phone back. In a time when a phone

- 2 represents a young person's entire ability to engage
- 3 | with family, school, work, and most relevant here,
- 4 court appearances and obligations and when cases are
- 5 dragging on for many months longer than usual these
- 6 confiscations are completely unjust and unacceptable.
- 7 I am asking the City Council to take up this
- 8 | important issue, investigate it, and tackle it
- 9 | immediately. Thank you.
- 10 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you so much.
- 11 I'm, I'm happy to, to work with you, ah, further on
- 12 | that. It's, obviously it's, it's, um, ah, beyond
- 13 | just the scope of the, the agencies that are, um,
- 14 | here testifying today, but it involves the NYPD, but
- 15 we should be, I'm, I'm happy to work with you on this
- 16 as a, um, as, as an issue, a matter related to
- 17 | juvenile justice.
- 18 KATHERINE DE ZENGOTITA: Yes, thank you
- 19 very much.
- 20 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Yeah, thanks.
- 21 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, Kate, and
- 22 | I apologize for getting your name incorrectly.
- 23 KATHERINE DE ZENGOTITA: Oh, you did
- 24 great. It's better than most people do.

3

4

panelist.

5

6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. I'm now going to call on Rashelle James as our next, next

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time will begin now.

RASHELLE JAMES: Good afternoon. My name is Rashelle James. I'm a fellow with the special litigation and [inaudible] unit [inaudible] Legal Aid Society's juvenile rights practice. We're the primary provider of legal representation for children charged with juvenile delinquents, juvenile offenders, and adolescent offenders in the City of New York. We thank Chair Levin for holding today's hearing and for giving us an opportunity to share our testimony. I'll not read our full testimony, but encourages the council to read it. While the city and ACS have made substantial efforts to get children in detention in placement home to their families and to increase safety of those who remain in these facilities, the dangers of COVID-19 remain very real and more must be done. First, the city must ensure that detention and placement staff abide by the rules requiring proper use of PPE in these facilities. Our staff have witnessed facility staff appear on video

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

conference with our clients without wearing a mask properly or at all. We have also received reports of failures in observing social distancing and other protocols put into place to keep both staff and youth safe. Thankfully we now have vaccines for COVID-19, one of which can be administered to anyone 16 years of age or older. Youth in detention and placement, youth in detention and placement should be prioritized. Depriving youth who are at higher risk of infection due to being held in congregate detention and placement facilities, as well as due to higher rates of comorbidities, the opportunity to receive the COVID-19 vaccine, even though they have been deemed eligible by NYS Department of Health flies in the face of the equity and fairness principles that the city espouses. City [inaudible] ACS should push OCSF to amend its guidance to include youth in detention and other congregate settings that have been permitted by DOH to receive vaccines in stage 1-B. Second, we share the same concerns regarding confiscation of youth cell phones, discussed in case testimony and addressed in our own written testimony. Third, we have been actively working with the Department of Education and ACS to

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

address obstacles to engagement in remote learning in detention and placement. As a result, we successfully advocated for funding for tutors to come in person in afternoons and assist students. program is key. We ask that the council ensure that this program continues to be funded at least until vaccines again allow for in-person [inaudible]. Finally, COVID-19 delays have also created significant delays in filing petitions in Family Court. Crucially, the delay in filing petitions delays the assignment of counsel, our ability to meaningfully investigate a case, and to ensure the preservation of key evidence, like witness testimony and secure camera footage. Time is truly of the essence for our clients. There's also a lesson from this time. With continued Family Court adjournment youth have had to wait months before coming before the court. Of the youth whose cases have been delayed, many have had no further contact with law enforcement despite this extended period of time. This demonstrates that more youth may be able to benefit from adjustment than previously thought. a result, the city should reevaluate the process for deciding which cases are approved for adjustment to

ensure that the juvenile legal system is not overreacting to normal adolescent behavior and
criminalizing behavior of youth of color who suffer
from over-policing. Thank you again for holding this
hearing on these critical issues.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you so much, thank you very much for your testimony. I greatly appreciate it. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, Rashelle.

I'm going to call up our next panelist. Before I do,

I do want to remind that the following panel will be

Julia Davis and Charlotte Pope. And now I'm going to

call on K. McKenna of Brooklyn Defender Services.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time will begin now.

ELENA ROTHMAN: Thank you. Good afternoon. My name is Elena Rothman, not Kathleen McKenna, and as a supervising attorney in the adolescent unit at Brooklyn Defender Services I want to thank the Committee on General Welfare for holding this important discussion on the juvenile justice system during the COVID-19 pandemic. As we near the one-year anniversary of the closure of Family Court we are reminded of what Martin Luther King, Jr. said.

24

25

Justice too long delayed is justice denied. And when we are talking about juveniles, our clients who are children, the judiciary and social scientists understand that the express purpose of the Family Court Act must be to assure swift and certain adjudication at all phases of the delinquency proceeding. Black and brown communities have been hit hardest by the global pandemic and because of racist systems and policies studies show that it is youth from those same communities who are further traumatized by contact with juvenile justice system. While the Department of Probation is adjusting many cases and corporation counsel is attempting to divert more cases than ever, as those are the two organizations responsible for diverging decisions, which ACS is not, and corporation counsel is actively seeking to resolve already filed cases, there are still hundreds of black and brown children without any movement towards resolution of their situation and no opportunity for due process. With no movement towards any resolution, presentation of innocence or finding of guilt, youth and their families are left unsure about what the future holds with regards to their arrest at a time of already unprecedented

of

2	uncertainty.	The	delay	in	filing	and	resolution
---	--------------	-----	-------	----	--------	-----	------------

3 cases means that youth are not getting the services

4 they might need in any proximate and effective manner

5 in relation to the incident, including the

6 possibility of being removed from their home,

7 undermining the very intent of the Family Court Act.

8 We thank the City Council for holding this important

9 hearing today and shining a light on the impact

10 | COVID-19 has had for young people with court

11 | investment. Thank you for the opportunity to speak,

12 and I welcome any questions.

13 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much,

14 ah, Ms. Rothman. Thank you.

15 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, Elena, and

16 I apologize for getting your name wrong entirely.

17 ELENA ROTHMAN: It is no worries at all

18 [laughs].

19 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: I'm now going to call

20 | up our next panel. Our next panel will be Julia

21 | Davis, followed by Charlotte Pope, and we will begin

22 | with Julia Davis.

23 JULIA DAVIS: Great. Thank you so much,

24 | ah, Chair...

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time will begin.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

JULIA DAVIS: ...Levin and members of the committee. I'm Julia Davis. I'm the director of youth justice and child welfare at the Children's Defense Fund in New York, and we do work on policy related to children who have contact with the criminal justice system. I wanted to, ah, ask you to take a look at the submission we made, which includes a lot of research that's come out of Youth Justice Research Collaborative. We did surveys of the defense bar and community service providers who are working with kids during COVID. I want to highlight a few things today that I think are important, as you think about the way that this committee is going to engage with these issues over the next 10 months. One is I want to encourage you to really think about, um, what, ah, Committee Member D. Diaz said, which is that the black and Latinx communities in New York have been very, very hard hit by COVID. When we look at the deaths of parents and guardians from COVID in New York State 50% of them, actually 57%, happened here in New York City. So we're really in an incredibly perilous time for young people and families, and that does two things in the juvenile justice system. One is it increases the likelihood

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that young people will be in crisis and come into the It also makes it incredibly difficult to serve those kids, both in the community and in facilities, and we've heard a lot about that today. I think there are some things that the committee should really focus on as it thinks about the scope of its youth justice work going forward. One, thinking about how alternatives in the community, including more types of supportive housing, can work to keep more kids out of detention. Kate Rubin mentioned, ah, that many, many young people in detention today are in on misdemeanor charges and have very low levels of risk associated with them, based on probation analysis. We know more people can be home, more young people can be home. But we can do that only if we have more spaces for them and more supports for them in the community. And that's what we found in our survey, talking with defenders and talking with community board providers. It's also important that young people have money now. Economic supports in the, you know, as grants, as stipends, as emergency funds to buy phones, to buy computers, to pay for bills right now, is critical. And the more we can make those types of small investments the more

19

20

22

23

24

25

we're gonna keep young people out of the system and get them home sooner. We also need to restore inperson services for all young people as soon as possible, in every building, in every community, and so that means prioritizing that workforce for vaccination and for any types of supports they need to connect with our young people. If we don't do that we will see young people coming into this system more and more and staying for longer periods of time. The last thing I want to say is we need to be investing in community-based organizations like Exalt and others that are doing this work. They need more money, resources, flexibility to do this. work is harder. The young people are facing much more difficult challenges. They need to have, um, a lot of flexibility and, and, and support...

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time has expired.

JULIA DAVIS: ...in order to move forward.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: You can continue,

21 yeah, go ahead.

JULIA DAVIS: Yeah, so I think, the last piece I'd love to have this committee think about is how do we center young people at risk of contact with the system and those who have had contact with the

system as the city develops employment strategies post COVID. When we're thinking about how the city recovers, how do we prioritize this group of kids? They lost out on SYEP, they're losing out on opportunities to connect with service providers for employment and financial supports. Could this committee really prioritize this group of young people for creative work going forward as the city thinks about economic recovery. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much.

Um, I would like to, to do that in, in partnership,

and so I, I, you know, I want to extend an

investigation, um, to start thinking about that now,

um, you know, as we approach the budget season so

that we're making sure that those opportunities are,

are there in the budget and, and fully funded. Just

give a thumbs up [laughs]. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, Julia. And now I'll call on Charlotte Pope as our next panelist.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time will begin now.

CHARLOTTE POPE: Thank you. Thank you, Chair Levin and members and staff of the committee.

detention is now over \$2000. We'll note that's up

25% from \$1600 in the prior year. At that price we

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

estimate that the total cost of incarcerating girls in detention during the pandemic has reached nearly 2 million dollars. That's with an average daily population of around two incarcerated girls per day. On schooling, the DOE is experiencing tremendous resource and staffing challenges due to the blended learning model underway citywide and we encourage the council to again advocate that the city pursue decarceration as a solution to issues of compromised access to education. Alarming also, the new Mayor's Management Report discloses that ACS is working closely with the Department of Investigation to conduct canine searches in detention and quote continues to work towards building its own internal capacity in this area. GGE is staunchly opposed to growing detention operations in this way and because we didn't hear it come up during today's hearing we would appearance council's oversight here as well. I'll say that we've also submitted detailed written testimony, and so we thank the council for this oversight again and attention to these issues. you for this opportunity to speak.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Um, thank you, Ms. Pope. I, I, um, I wasn't aware of that last point

that you made, and so I could follow up with, um, with ACS around that. Um, and, and I just want to thank GGE. We, um, my office had, has been, has been engaging with, with, ah, GGE around, um, um, moving towards, um, moving towards a circumstance in which we have no girls in juvenile detention in the City of New York. Um, um, Elizabeth Adams, my legislative director, had been working with, with you guys prior to us taking over, ah, juvenile justice portfolio. So, so, I would like to continue doing that and, and obviously greatly appreciate all the, all the partnership with GGE, um, and that, that you all have with my office over the years and, and look forward to continuing that. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you again,

Charlotte, and thank you, Chair Levin. At this point

we have heard from everyone who has signed up to

testify, and we appreciate your time and presence.

If we inadvertently missed anyone that would like to

testify please at this point use the Zoom hand raise

function and I will call on you in the order of hand

raised. OK, seeing no one else, I'd like to note

that written testimony, which will be reviewed in

full by committee staff, may be submitted for the

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 record up to 72 hours after the close of this

3 hearing, and you can do that by emailing it to

4 testimony@council.nyc.gov. Again, that's

5 testimony@council.nyc.gov. Chair Levin, we have

6 concluded public testimony for this hearing.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you, Counsel Um, and thank you to everybody that, that testified today and, um, I just want to commit to you all now that, um, because this was a, you know, our first hearing, um, ah, on the subject matter of juvenile justice in the General Welfare Committee, this was a first step, um, and, ah, and I, I feel that I learned a lot and I think, ah, ah, committee members and committee staff learned a lot as well today. Um, but this is not, um, you know, this isn't just a pro forma thing or something, you know, where we're gonna be checking a box. We, we want to make sure that we're delving into the issues that were raised in public testimony today, um, and, um, and moving forward, so we have an opportunity to have follow-up during the preliminary budget hearing next month, um, the executive budgeting hearing in May and, um, and then at least one other, ah, opportunity for an oversight hearing, um, ah, in the fall.

um, um, I commit to you all that I, I will be available at any time, um, to address matters that you've raised, um, as members of the public and, and providers, um, and staff, um, during this hearing and I look forward to doing that over the next 10 months and 11 days, um, while I'm still in office. So, um, thank you all so much for your time today. I want to thank our Sergeants at Arms and staff of the council, um, who, um, have, ah, you know, worked really diligently, um, these months in, in conducting all, all of our hearings, ah, so I greatly appreciate all the, all the work that you all have done in making these hearings go smoothly, um, and, um, and with that this hearing is adjourned. [gavel]

${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date <u>March</u> 30, 2021