CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

----- X

January 25, 2021 Start: 10:05 a.m. Recess: 1:03 p.m.

HELD AT: Remote Hearing - Virtual Room 2

B E F O R E: Carlos Menchaca

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS: Margaret S. Chin

Daniel Dromm Mathieu Eugene Francisco P. Moya

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Farhana Choudhury

Caesar Vargas

Yliana Diaz

Bitta Mostofi Commissioner at Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs

Yasmine Farhang Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs

Anissa Druesedow Adoptee

Michael Mullen President of Also Known As

Benjamin Lundberg Torres Sanchez

Adam Lee Goodman

Meetu Dhar Citizenship Now

Terry Lawson Unlocal

Alexandra Rizio Safe Passage Project

Shawn Blumberg
Immigration Community Action Project

Sara Greenberg Legal Aid Society

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Ravi Reddi Asian American Federation

Mae Lee Chinese Progressive Association

Jeehae Fischer Korean American Family Service Center

Anika Choudhury Arab American Family Support Center

Minsun Kim Museum of Korean American Heritage

Anika Sayed Turning Point for Women and Families

Fernon Darnell [sp?]

Olivia Pearl Adoptees for Justice

	4

citizenship. You'll also be hearing my colleague

2	Council Member Koo's Resolution Number 1229 which
3	calls on the United States Congress to pass and the
4	President to sign the Adoptee Citizenship Act of 2019
5	in order to secure US citizenship of internationally
6	adopted children who are now adults or aging into
7	adulthood. I will let the co-sponsor I will let
8	the sponsor speak on his resolution and want to thank
9	him for his commitment to this issue. It is a heart-
10	breaking ordeal to go through to be brought to the
11	United States as a child, only to find out later that
12	you are not guaranteed the rights of citizenship. We
13	must have immigration law updated immediately to
14	ensure this does not happen. The right to attain
15	citizenship is a sacred tenant of our nation's
16	foundational documents which it comes the privilege
17	of voting and running for office, but also the
18	permanent protection from deportation and the freedom
19	to travel within and outside the United States.
20	Citizenship allows individuals full access to this
21	nation's safety net benefits without fear of adverse
22	immigration consequences. In New York, more than
23	half of our 3.1 million immigrant neighbors are
24	naturalized citizens. The Mayor's Office of
25	Immigrant Affairs estimated in 2019 that another

622,000 immigrant New Yorkers could be potentially
eligible for citizenship. We are living in a new era
under new federal leadership and we are grateful for
that, and yet, we cannot ignore the carnage that is
wrought across our immigration system harming too
many lives over the last four years. We have a
mandate to do better, and as Chair of the Immigration
Committee today it's about calling attention to the
ways in which the Federal Government has failed our
immigrant communities and must immediately take
action to change the course. We are excited that a
pathway to citizenship is among President Biden's top
priorities, and I want to make sure to thank our
congressional representative Nydia Velazquez for her
persistent advocacy and leadership on this issue.
New legislation being proposed by the Whitehouse
would offer a pathway to citizenship for all
immigrants living in the United States as of January
1, 2021 and meeting certain criteria. This will
ultimately increase those New Yorkers who may be
eligible for citizenship. The Mayor's Office
estimates that there were approximately 504,000
undocumented immigrants in 2019, and many of them
could be newly eligible for naturalization under new

2	federal legislation. At the same time, the chilling
3	effect that the xenophobic and cruel former federal
4	administration has furthered, the active pursuit of
5	policies that would erect barriers to accessing
6	citizenship and the rapid spread of COVID-19 have
7	left our naturalization processes under-resourced,
8	and over-burdened. At the end of 2019, federal
9	fiscal year, there was a naturalization backlog of
10	644,000 with a potential additional 130,000 cases
11	backlogged due to suspension of most in-person
12	citizenship process. This is all in 2020. We have a
13	formidable task ahead to assist our many New Yorkers
14	with the process of naturalization, and I look
15	forward to hearing from our colleagues in the Mayor's
16	Office about the work they have continued to do to
17	ensure that barriers to citizenship are reduced as
18	much as possible. To the providers, including our
19	friends at CUNY, Citizenship Now, who have continued
20	to work throughout the last four years, but
21	especially in this last year with resources further
22	limited and the necessity of digital creativity, we
23	say thank you. We are here because of your
24	unwavering commitment to immigrant New Yorkers and we
25	know that you will rise to the challenge ahead. I

2	want to thank the Administration and service
3	providers for testifying today, as well as the staff
4	working behind the scenes making sure that the online
5	hearing runs smoothly. These things are not easy.
6	So thank you. I also want to thank the Immigration
7	Committee Staff for their work on this issue,
8	Committee Counsel Harboni Osja [sp?], Policy Analyst
9	Elizabeth Cronk [sp?], and my staff as well, Chief of
10	Staff Lorna Rosaro [sp?] and Caesar Vargas. And
11	before I hand it over to my colleague Council Member
12	Koo, I just want to give a moment for us to remember
13	all those that have died, the immigrant New Yorkers
14	who have been essential workers and that have carried
15	so much of the burden to keep the City moving. We
16	think about them as we think about citizenship, as we
17	think about the path to a better life here, not just
18	in our city, in our state, but in our country. And
19	with that, I want to turn it over to Council Member
20	Koo for remarks on his Resolution. Council Member
21	Koo?

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Thank you, good morning everyone. Thank you, Chair, for hearing my Resolution today 1229. Hello? Can you hear me?

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: We can hear you.

3 We got you, yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Okay, yeah. So, let me start over. Thank you, Chair, for hearing my Resolution today, Reso. 1229. I introduced this resolution a year ago calling on the Federal Government to close a loophole in the Child Citizenship Act of [inaudible] which prevents internationally adopted children from receiving US citizenship despite being legally adopted by US citizens. Imagine, spending 99 percent of your life as an American only to have your country turn its back on you because of missing paperwork. The Child Citizenship Act of 2000 was supposed to allow foreign-born children of US citizens to acquire citizenship. Unfortunately, a loop hole in that left out. Thousands of adoptees from countries all over the world, because they were 18 years old by the time the law went into effect. Thousands of international adoptees have spent nearly their entire lives in America without citizenship rights, and many actually have no idea they are not really citizens. Such an oversight is colossal failure of our federal immigration policy. You should be prioritized as an

urgent [inaudible] international adoptees should not
be granted and full and equivocal citizenship. Last
week, we celebrated Korean-American Day marking sever
years as I introduced legislation to name January
13 th after the first arrival of Korean immigrants to
the United States in 1903. But they also mark one
year since I introduced this resolution alongside
many advocates and immigration groups at City Hall.
An overwhelming number of adoptees affected by this
policy are Korean-American adoptees. We have spent
too long demonizing immigrants in this country. This
our these are our family members, our friends, and
our neighbors. It's time that we treat them with the
basic human dignity that they deserve [inaudible].

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Council Member

Koo, you may have-- are you com-- did you complete

your remarks?

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Yes. Do you hear me?

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Okay, yes, yes, thank you. I just wanted to just make sure if there was something else you wanted to add, but thank you so much for your resolution today and for your commitment to this issue. And I wanted to say thank

3

4

5

6

/

8

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you to you, and we've also been joined by Council
Member Moya who is here and on the committee. I want
to hand it over to the Committee Counsel, Harbani
Hujah [sp?], to go over some procedural items to get
us started with our first panel, our public panel.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, Chair. My

name is Herbani Hujah, and I'm Counsel to the Committee on Immigration for the New York City Council. Before we begin, I want to remind everyone that you will be on mute until you are called on to testify when you will be unmuted by the host. be calling on panelists to testify. Please listen for your name to be called. I will be periodically announcing who the next panelist will be. everyone testifying today, please note that there may be a few seconds of delay before you are unmuted, and we thank you in advance for your patience. All hearing participants should submit testimony, written testimony to testimony@council.nyc.gov. At today's hearing, the first panel will consist of members of the public followed by representatives from the Administration followed by Council Member questions, and then additional members of the public will testify. I'd like to remind everyone that unlike our

typical council hearings, we will be calling on
individuals one-by-one to testify, and each panelist
will be given three minutes to speak. For panelists,
once your name is called, a member of our staff will
unmute you and the Sergeant at Arms will give you the
go-ahead to being upon setting the timer. Please
wait for the Sergeant to announce that you can begin
before delivering your testimony. Council Members
who have questions for a particular panelist should
use the raise hand function in Zoom, and I will call
on you after the panelist has completed their
testimony. We will now hear testimony from our first
panel. I'd like to welcome Farhana Choudhury to
testify. After Farhana Choudhury, I will be calling
on Yliana Diaz [sp?] to testify. Farhana Choudhury,
you may begin when you are ready.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

FARHANA CHOUDHURY: Thank you Chairman

Menchaca and members of the Committee on Immigration

for allowing me this opportunity to speak at this

hearing today. I delayed my application for my

citizenship because I heard the cost of the legal and

application fees would run upwards of thousands of

dollars, and as a student with little means, I

2	certainly could not afford that. Then in 2018, I was
3	ecstatic to learn about the CUNY citizenship City
4	Council program free immigration services. I was so
5	excited that I showed up an hour early for my
6	appointment, which is very uncommon for me. However,
7	I was still pretty anxious about covering the
8	citizenship application fee. Then during the intake
9	process, Ellie [sp?], the incredibly intelligent
10	woman who helped me with my application said the two
11	most beautiful words that brought tears to my eyes,
12	fee waiver. As a student living on ramen noodles,
13	this was a huge burden lifted off my shoulder. I
14	hugged Ellie out of sheer excitement, although I
15	wouldn't really recommend it at this present time.
16	CUNY Citizenship Now has not only helped me, but it
17	has also helped my brother become citizens of this
18	great nation. My father is also currently in the
19	process of applying for his citizenship. My father's
20	case is a bit more complicated, and he has and he
21	wasn't sure if he could even apply. CUNY has helped
22	him obtain all the necessary paperwork in order for
23	him to proceed with this case. I'm eternally
24	grateful for the CUNY Citizenship City Council
25	Service Program, so much so that I became a volunteer

2.2

2.3

with CUNY Citizenship Now, and I will continue to do
so for years to come. I want to make sure that I was
able to share the knowledge that I gained from CUNY
and other gain the knowledge and share with others-
- other immigrants. Because of this amazing program,
I was able to vote on November 2020 which is likely
one of the most significant elections of my time, of
my lifetime. Thank you again, City Council, for
allowing me this opportunity to speak today.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you so much for your testimony. I'd like to now welcome Yliana Diaz to testify. Yliana Diaz will be accompanied by Caesar Vargas who will be providing Spanish interpretation. Yliana, you may begin when you are ready.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

CAESAR VARGAS: [speaking Spanish]

YLIANA DIAZ: Good morning, everyone.

Thank you NYC Council Members for letting me be here to share my experience about the process of my citizenship. First, I like to mention that I was a proud volunteer in CUNY Citizenship Now. My friend, Joseph Libisky [sp?], told me about the CUNY Citizenship Now Organization, and he invited me to

2	become a volunteer. So I did it for two years, from
3	2016 to 2018, filling out citizenship application,
4	especially to people who speak Spanish, but then I
5	started working on Saturdays and for that reason I
6	couldn't continue volunteering. I got my residence
7	in August 2013 due to the application of my mother.
8	I applied for the citizenship with fee waiver because
9	I have Medicaid. It was May 30, 2018, and then I
10	have my appointment for interview on June 2019 in New
11	Jersey, in Newark. And finally, the ceremony was one
12	month later on July 26 th . When I received my
13	schedule for the appointment, I was a little
14	surprised because it was in New Jersey, and not in
15	New York where I applied, because I was living in
16	Brooklyn. My appointment was at 1:00 p.m., and I was
17	planning to leave home very early because I had to be
18	there one hour earlier, and I didn't want to get
19	lost. So I was worried because I don't have a car,
20	and I didn't want to be late. Fortunately, Joseph
21	helped me in this process, because I fill out my
22	forms of application, but he read all the information
23	to check and put the signature. I told him that my
24	appointment was in New Jersey and he offer me to take
25	me there, and also, he was representing me while I

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

2.2

2.3

was with the interviewer. Even though the p	place	e of
my appointment was far from my house, the ex	xperi	lence
was wonderful, because I became an American	and	I
felt support by Joseph in all the process.	So,	the
day of ceremony		

SERGEANT AT ARMS: [interposing] Time expired.

YLIANA DIAZ: The day of ceremony was easier because it was in the [inaudible] courthouse in Brooklyn, so the time only I had to take a bus because it was close to my area. The ceremony was really good, but I didn't receive my little American flag. I guess about that— they told me that they didn't have, so I got one later.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you, Ms. Diaz, thank you for your story today and your testimony.

YLIANA DIAZ: Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony. I'll now turn it over to Council Member questions, starting with Chair Menchaca.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: So, my only question, and we're going to be hearing from the Mayor's Office and we have a lot of Council Members

2.2

2.3

here today, and we'll be talking to a lot more

Council Members. When Biden came out with his-- what

we felt was an affirmation for a path to citizenship,

what do you feel like the most important thing that

the City can do to make lives better for anyone who's

going to be applying for citizenship. I just wanted

to give Ms. Diaz or Ms. Choudhury an opportunity to

talk about what the City Council needs to hear right

CAESAR VARGAS: [speaking Spanish]

YLIANA DIAZ: Okay. [inaudible] fee waiver [speaking Spanish].

now and the Mayor needs to hear right now.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Muchas gracias [speaking Spanish]. And Ms. Choudhury, do you want to say anything else about what you think is the most important thing for us to hear right now?

CAESAR VARGAS: Council Member, can I translate for Ms. Diaz?

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Yes, absolutely.

CAESAR VARGAS: I apologize. Ms. Diaz testified that one of the things that could be helpful for immigrants to have an easier process to citizenship is continue the fee waiver that allows many people who don't have the necessary funds to get

2.2

2.3

their citizenship. Sometimes the fee is more than what people make—— more immigrants make in a month. So, it's sometimes out of reach to pay for that fee. Another thing that the citizenship process could make it easier for immigrants is to hold the interviews, the process where you actually do the civic exams, is to hold it closer to where the person lives. Ms. Diaz testified that she went all the way to New Jersey and had to get up really early and go through many different types of transportation to get there, and it made it more difficult. So, those two are the things that would make it easier for immigrants to have an easier process to become citizens.

FARHANA CHOUDHURY: So, I completely agree with Ms. Diaz. Like, the fee waiver is very crucial. Like, that was one of the main deterrent for me even applying. So when I found out that I didn't have to pay and it was that much easier, it made the process so much accessible. So, I feel that to continue this, it would definitely get a lot of people to step up and then go through with their application. And also maybe spreading the word of CUNY Citizenship. I think just getting the word out there and what they're doing, and just you know,

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 spreading the word in the community I think I would--

3 that's my suggestion, but other than that, no

4 [inaudible]

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you. Thank you, again. I am done with questions. I'll hand it back to committee counsel.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, Chair. I'd like to ask if any other Council Member questions -- if there are any other Council Member questions at this time. Seeing no hands, I'm going to thank this panel for their testimony, and we'll now be hearing from members of the Administration. will now call on members of the Administration to testify. Testimony will provided by MOIA Commissioner Bitta Mostofi. Additionally, the following representatives will be available for answering questions: Yasmine Farhang, Senior Advisor for Legal Initiatives at MOIA. As a reminder, during the hearing if Council Members would like to ask a question of the Administration or of a particular panelist please use the Zoom raise hand function, and I will call on you in order. Before we begin, I would like to administer the oath. Commissioner Mostofi, Yasmine Farhang, I will be calling on each

my testimony, I will also discuss the benefits of

2	naturalization, the barriers facing immigrants who
3	wish to naturalize, and how we work to reduce those
4	barriers. Naturalization or the process of becoming a
5	citizen has been an integral part of our work in past
6	few years. The population of lawful permanent
7	residents or green card holders who are eligible to
8	naturalize in our city is significant. We estimate
9	that about 622,000 New Yorkers are potentially
10	eligible to naturalize. Citizenship and
11	naturalization process reflect our American values,
12	inclusion and civic engagement. How we structure the
13	process of citizenship speaks volumes about what kind
14	of country we want to be, and as such, MOIA and the
15	City have consistently worked to ensure that
16	naturalization be as accessible as possible for those
17	who qualify. In addition, the City has long
18	recognized the benefits that accrue to naturalized
19	New Yorkers and the City when immigrants become
20	citizens. First, naturalization provides economic
21	benefits for the person who naturalizes. Naturalized
22	citizens earn about nine percent more a year than
23	those who are eligible to naturalize but remain non-
24	citizen. Naturalized citizens also are more likely
25	to be employed and own a home than green card

2	holders. Naturalization is a crucial tool for
3	fighting poverty. An analysis of New York City's
4	immigrant population shows that the poverty rate of
5	naturalized New Yorkers is seven percent lower than
6	those of lawful permanent residents. Second, when
7	immigrants become citizens, it provides economic
8	benefits for our community. Part of this benefit
9	comes from the increase in earnings that they receive
10	which lead to higher tax revenue. In the aggregate,
11	if all eligible to naturalized immigrants in New York
12	City were to become citizens, annual city, state, and
13	federal tax revenue would rise by 789 million, and
14	public benefit cost would decrease by 34 million, for
15	a net benefit of 823 million a year. Third,
16	naturalization helps strengthen our community civic
17	bond. Through citizenship, immigrants are more
18	directly connected to civic and community
19	participation. Citizens can vote in city, state, and
20	federal election. They can run for city, state, and
21	federal elected office. Studies also show that the
22	economic benefits of citizenship, especially
23	increased income and home ownership lead to higher
24	rates of participation and civic organization and
25	volunteer activity. Despite these myriad benefits,

2	less than 10 percent of those who are eligible to
3	naturalize in our city do so every year. There are
4	longstanding barriers to becoming naturalized,
5	including the relatively high cost of naturalization
6	and language barriers. MOIA's analysis of the
7	eligible to naturalize population found that about 4
8	percent of eligible New Yorkers are low-income,
9	meaning below 200 percent of the federal poverty
L _. 0	level, and that about 59 percent have limited Englis
L1	proficiency. Unfortunately, these barriers have been
L2	compounded by the last four years of actions of the
L3	Trump Administration, which actively and openly
L4	hostile to the idea of a welcoming, inclusive
L5	America. The Administration sought to discourage and
L 6	impede naturalization at every turn. First, the
L7	Administration proposed a rule targeting lawful
L8	permanent residents with disability, impeding them
L 9	from obtaining a medical exemption to the citizenshi
20	testing requirement. Second, the Administration
21	sought to make the requisite civic exam longer and
22	harder in an effort that was politicized in nature.
23	And they sought to institute a defacto wealth test to
24	citizenship by eliminating the fee waiver, and

disproportionately raising the application fee. The

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

fee schedule that they sought to enact would have increased the cost of naturalization by an unprecedented 83 percent, increasing the already high cost of \$640 to over \$1000 and imposing an insurmountable challenge to many families. Finally, the Trump Administration showed their contempt for naturalization even during the COVID-19 crisis by halting oath ceremonies for naturalization and refusing to institute remote ceremonies, despite having the authority to do so and repeated calls from bipartisan law makers. In effect, delaying the naturalization of over 100,000 permanent residents around the country in advance of an election. Given these actions, it is no surprise that under the Trump Administration, naturalization process has slowed to a crawl. As of this month, the processing time for naturalization application that USCIS New York City field office ranges from 16.5 to 23. The City has consistently worked to encourage naturalization, not just in our city but across the country. As Chair of the Cities for Citizenship, along with the Mayors of Chicago and Los Angeles, we have worked with the coalition for years drawing on experiences of multiple jurisdictions to make the case for national

investments in citizenship. Prior to the trump 2 3 Administration, New York City also worked closely with the federal taskforce for new Americans to raise 4 awareness about citizenship and the benefits of 5 naturalization, especially during Citizenship Day. 6 7 In 2015, for instance, Mayor de Blasio welcomed 100 new citizens in a citizenship naturalization 8 ceremony. City libraries also have ongoing partnerships with USCIS to hold new American corners 10 11 [sic] which are dedicated spaces in libraries that hold information about citizenship and immigration 12 13 issues more generally. The Trump Administration's 14 attempt to make naturalization beyond the reach of 15 many lawful permanent residents fundamentally conflicts with the longstanding goals we have as a 16 17 city to encourage it. For this reason, MOIA has 18 shown leadership in fighting back against actual and 19 proposed changes in a variety of ways. First, by 20 submitting comments in opposition to proposed rules, 21 including comments opposing the changes to disability exemptions and the new fee schedule. Second, by 2.2 2.3 leading on multi-city [sic] letters both opposing the dramatic increase in naturalization fees and most 24 recently in calling for remote oath ceremonies during 25

2	the pandemic. And third, by leading and coordinating
3	a multi-city amicus brief in support of enjoining the
4	changes to the USCIS fee schedule. This we are
5	pleased to share supported a lawsuit which ultimately
6	stopped implementation of the entire rule nationwide,
7	and with the judge in that case siding to the amicus
8	brief that we submitted as evidence for the national
9	effect of the rule. MOIA's work with fellow cities
10	and counties across the country took place with our
11	leadership in two coalitions, Cities for Citizenship,
12	which I mentioned, and Cities for Action, where we
13	have been able to highlight both the local and the
14	natural interests at stake, gather with [inaudible]
15	municipalities to share strategies about how to
16	continue supporting our residents and celebrate
17	Citizenship Day by encouraging eligible New Yorkers
18	to naturalize. We believe that the Biden
19	Administration sees the value in supporting
20	naturalization as the Obama Administration did. We
21	have been communicating closely with the incoming
22	Administration including on issues related to
23	naturalization. Our hope is that the Biden
24	Administration will roll back the barriers erected by
25	the Trump Administration, and furthermore, take steps

2	to increase investments in promoting citizenship and
3	immigrant civic participation generally. We have
4	also asked for and the new Administration has
5	committed, to a Day One bill that provides dedicated
6	funding to cities and counties as well as libraries,
7	labor unions, employers, colleges and universities,
8	and other partners to expand programs to promote
9	integration and inclusion, increase English language
10	instruction, and provide assistance to individuals
11	seeking to become citizens. Finally, our belief in
12	the power of naturalization is also reflected in our
13	investment in legal services. Together, with the New
14	York City Council the City of New York invested tens
15	of millions of dollars in immigration services.
16	Since July 1 st of 2016, Action NYC and other city-
17	funded legal services program have assisted low
18	income and other vulnerable immigrants in over 5,000
19	naturalization applications. From 2016 to 2020, MOIA
20	also partnered with the Human Resources
21	Administration, the Public Library system, and the
22	New York Legal Assistance Group and private funders
23	to implement NY [sic] citizenship, a legal services
24	program focused specifically on naturalization, which
25	has now been built upon and incorporated into the

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Action NYC program, as Action NYC in libraries, as well as a continued effort at HRA. Furthermore, we continue with funding that addresses some of the most vulnerable lawful permanent residents the need for access to naturalization and to ensure continuation of legal representation in cases that have been delayed due to the pandemic. We further in response to the COVID-19 pandemic and the economic challenges that many New Yorkers face in the spring developed a fee fund for New Yorkers to allow them to support their applications, naturalization being one of the many applications that would qualify. I'm proud to say that these legal services have helped many clients, including senior clients who have long-time green card holders finally naturalized. One client who received directed outreach from the program had been a lawful permanent resident for almost two decades. She previously tried to apply for citizenship, but gave up after difficulty finding all of her documents. With the help of the legal services providers, she became a citizen in June of last year. Another client from Trinidad and Tobago had a green card for over 40 years and decided this was the time to become a citizen, and with help from

2.2

2.3

our programs, she applied for naturalization in June of 2018 and became a citizen in March. And as I conclude my testimony, I just want to take a moment to acknowledge that naturalization process is just a microcosm of the immigration experience, and often is needlessly bureaucratic, expensive and difficult, but it is also beautiful. Through naturalization people from all over the world with stories as varied as they can be transformed into one nation. That's an ideal certainly worth fighting for. Thank you, again, for the Committee on Immigration for calling this important hearing, and I look forward to taking your questions.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony, Commissioner. I will now turn it over to questions from Chair Menchaca. Panelists, please state unmuted as possible during this question and answer period. Thank you. Chair Menchaca, please begin.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you, and I want to say thank you to Commissioner Mostofi for being here with your team and really joining us in this larger conversation as we look at this next chapter of federal policy that's on its way. New

York City has a big role to play in how we engage as
a willing partner and a partner that has been
committed to this larger struggle of building a
sanctuary city, and so I just want to say thank you
to that commitment and everything that you and your
team have done during COVID. I can't say it enough.
Any opportunity that we have to say thank you to that
service must be done, so thank you. And really with
that, as we really anticipate the transition from the
over with very xenophobic fuel under the Trump
Administration things became more complex. And so
how did these policies and I'm really looking at
things like the average time it took for legal
service providers to complete a case. That is
something that I think we have been in joint effort
funding for New Yorkers. How do these policies
affect the average time it took for our legal service
providers do complete a case?

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Sure, I will start and then invite Yasmine to share more out to sort of the specifics. You know, it is— it was and certainly continues to be at this moment a challenging legal landscape for our providers who are serving immigrant New Yorkers. you know, you— as I

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

noted, the huge increase in backlogs and waiting times on average anything from 16 and a half to 23 months of waiting, and that means that your case is pending during this period of time. There was a myriad of additional policies that USCIS listed up across the board that made sort of the adjudication of what once may have been very straight forward and simple application, more bureaucratic in nature, and so certainly we recognize along with our providers that that means an extended period of time, but cases remain open that that means greater challenges in coming to completion or closing out cases, and perhaps additional legal efforts like replying to what might be a request for evidence or additional sort of back and forth that might come from the immigration service. And we did work in coalition and continue to [inaudible] citizenship, in particular on a campaign called the Second Wall, recognizing that all of the efforts that were brought to reduce access to citizenship really fundamentally were like the erection of another wall in the immigration system. And so we will continue to focus on those efforts at reducing those barriers, breaking them down, but also looking forward to making the

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

process more accessible for everyone. Yasmine, do you want to add specifics on the experiences [sic] of providers.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you,
Commissioner.

YASMINE FARHANG: [inaudible] clients with fee waivers, which is obviously critical in the naturalization context. That one of the barriers under COVID, in particular, was that clients were unable to get the proof that they needed to qualify for those fee waivers. So, you know, one of the most critical paths to qualifying for a fee waiver is [inaudible] that the applicant receive the [inaudible]. Previously, the way that, you know, the clients of so many legal service providers would be obtaining that was by going in-person to obtain those proofs [sic] or drafting them by mail. So, you know, that was-- that's one example of sort of a proof that was much more onerous for folks to obtain during COVID. So, at MOIA we actually worked with HRA to come up with a streamlined system for applicants and their legal representatives to be able to get those electronically to try to address the additional delay that applicants were facing and filing their

2.2

2.3

naturalization applications. So, we really have to, you know, work with partners and think creatively about ways that the, you know, additional delay that was being caused by COVID and the inability to get those critical documents could be, you know, could be made more accessible.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And I guess what—so you're kind of really looking at the—and you're kind of exposing the hurdles. Do you have a sense of timing, like how the legal service providers were—was there any kind of data of how long it took and the impacts on local service providers?

the USCIS field office had suspended all in-person activities including the application— the interviews as well as the oath ceremonies. You know, which—you know, some of the advocacy that Commissioner Mostofi spoke to was how to overcome some of the unnecessary cases that were in limbo during that time, advocating for remote oath ceremonies. Once they reopened, they did so extremely gradually, in part due to social distancing protocol at the local office, so those delays significantly continued, and the number of people who were able to go for their

in-person interview than for their oath ceremonies was really a fraction of what it should have been.

So, you know, we don't have those numbers in front of us of how many it was in comparison to how much it would normally be, but could get that.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Okay. Thank you. So, let's move on to a couple more question is, and I'm going to hand it over to my colleagues, before I finish off with another round. But the-- thinking about the annual report, the 2019 annual report, is MOIA conducting outreach to these individuals to inform them of their ability to naturalize and the city services they may use to complete that process?

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: sure. I can start.

We have— we do and conduct outreach in a number of ways, I think, notably on the front of citizenship. Specific efforts, we have two that are really dedicated and intentional for naturalization. One is through our library system. That will not change. We have supported the library system and having outreach, an outreach effort in each system that is able to do intentional and targeted outreach related to citizenship and/or including citizenship to ensure that as people are coming through the libraries or

2	receiving information about library services,
3	included in that is Naturalization and Citizenship
4	access. And so that's something that is ongoing, and
5	as a part of our continued efforts to continue the
6	library systems and doing that work. Additionally,
7	we have worked intentionally, as I said, with the
8	Human Resources Administration to establish the NYC
9	Citizenship work that really is designed to reach the
10	most vulnerable immigrant New Yorkers who are
11	eligible to naturalize, and that includes the
12	dedication of a staff member who does affirmative
13	outreach to as HRA clients that are believed to be
14	eligible for naturalization. They do so through
15	letters. They do so through telephone calls.
16	They're really intentional and individual outreach
17	with HRA clients to bring them into and connect them
18	to naturalization services. And then additionally as
19	part of our work more broadly as an agency we include
20	immigration legal services including naturalization
21	and all of the virtual engagement that we do and on
22	the ground engagement when we're able, and letting
23	folks know about what's available to them. That's
24	also included in the Know Your Rights programming
25	that our community-based providers do that the city

2.2

2.3

funds and supports. So, there's a lot of different channels that we utilize for on-the-ground and direct outreach and engagement, including very hyper individualized engagement for people who we know are eligible in addition to sort of the more macro-level work that we do, every year celebrating Citizenship Day with a series of digital sometimes ads. We've done partnerships with LinkNYC on this. I have attended an oath ceremony to amplify the importance, as has Mayor de Blasio. We have additionally written op-eds and ensured that we are communicating through as many channels as we can about the ability to naturalize and the ways in which city-funded resources can be utilized for that purpose.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And Commissioner, this is a really robust operation, and I think we're very familiar with it in Sunset park, because I think we partner up on a lot of these, and even just today for example, the St. Michaels spot is opened, and we want to say thank you again for that incredible focus on the IDNYC center, just because these are really tough times to engage in, and we're all trying to figure out how to be safe during COVID. And you mentioned libraries which have been closed most of

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

pace of engagement.

the last year as another spot for communication. So, I guess I just want to follow up on that as you referenced libraries and we're struggling to really reopen IDNYC spots for more enrollment. This is going to be a big issue, and I just wanted to kind of get a sense about how MOIA's really retooling in a time of COVID to ensure that we can keep up with the

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Sure, so in terms of citizenship, the libraries have, as many, many folks have, retooled, as you said, to ensure that they're doing digital outreach and engagement to New Yorkers, and so, you know, I think certainly from our perspective as the funder here we are trying to be flexible and acknowledge the difficulty of the moment and the challenges that are faced. We want all of the workers to be safe in the work that they are undertaking. So we have been very sort of open to all of the alternative methods of communication, outreach, and engagement that can be undertaken in a moment in which as you rightly know there isn't such ready access to the physical locations. As of January 1^{st} , I'm very happy to report that our new ActionNYC in libraries programming began, and that is

2 h

3

4

5

6

as needed.

7

8

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

2223

24

25

happening at this moment. So the outreach is happening by the library system and the provider is able to take-- continue to take cases with, you know, a virtual or initial phone intake, and then follow up

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Okay. Let's keep

some of the projects we joined efforts in, in big and

lines open on that. I think-- I'm thinking about

small ways like working with mutual aid

organizations, other places where people are going to

so that we can bring good information and build out

infrastructure and support that infrastructure. So,

let's go offline on some of those ideas. We're very

interested in this new proposal, which is my second

question. This new proposal to extend pathway to

citizenship for all undocumented individuals living

in the US as of January $1^{\rm st}$, 2021. How many New

Yorkers does MOIA believe to be estimated that could

be eligible for this citizenship and how soon?

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Yeah, I certainly

want to start by saying how incredibly exciting it is

to see this proposal on day one of the Biden

Administration and the commitment that is very well

earned by so many who have been advocating for and $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

2	really fighting for the inclusion of communities in
3	our immigration system. and so, you know, we're
4	incredibly excited about this, as I note we have
5	engaged already with the Biden team, first through
6	the transition team and now continuing conversations
7	in the new Administration, and we we have estimated
8	in the past, believe approximately half a million New
9	Yorkers are undocumented, and that estimate includes
10	individuals who lack a path to permanent status. So
11	it's inclusive of our dreamers, of our TPS
12	recipients, and those and our essential workers who
13	are undocumented immigrants and those who would be
14	eligible based on what we know of this legislation,
15	both for an expedited pathway to permanent residents
16	is following within some of those categories as well
17	as a more lengthy, but still a pathway to permanent
18	residence and citizenship. So, you know, our hope is
19	that it is inclusive of for the most part, that
20	larger number, that outside number of about half a
21	million New Yorkers, but certainly of our TPS
22	communities, our DACA community and many of our
23	essential workers. Anything you want to add,
24	Yasmine, to that from what we know of the
25	legislation?

increase the naturalization rates that the city has,

which remains something that we're in ongoing

24

25

2 conversations around. We really appreciated 3 conversations that we've had with community 4 citizenship now and understanding their model and the way that it works and certainly it's one of the many 5 goals or priorities that we introduced and published 6 in the New York City OneNYC goals as the recognition of how important we act -- we believe and know it to 8 be to the city. So those efforts and understanding, sort of the CUNY model and increasing the rates of 10 11 naturalization have continued. Additionally, we conducted an evaluation of NY citizenship in the last 12 13 year to understand sort of what were the sort of 14 positives and accomplishments of the program and how 15 is we're sort of looking to fine-tune and address or 16 pivot it to be more efficient and successful, what 17 are some of the learnings that we can build upon in 18 all this effort? The third thing I'll say is, as you 19 might recall, when we established and initially 20 dedicated funding to ActionNYC was in response to 21 President Obama at the time proposals around DACA and 2.2 DAPA expansion. And so we feel very strongly that 2.3 the program that we've established has proven itself. It's been incredibly successful program, one that has 24 demonstrated time and time again its ability to reach 25

2.2

2.3

New Yorkers and to do in a way that is inclusive, is accessible and yields tremendously high touch points and applications for our community. And so, we are certainly monitoring the legislative process keeping our eyes understanding— on understanding what to anticipate and to expect and looking at these various efforts on where we would need to build upon and where we could do so in a quick and efficient manner to be responsive.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you, and as I ask all our other Council Members if they have any questions. Let me know, otherwise, I'm going to keep going. I don't think we have anybody signed up yet. And so let me follow up, Commissioner, and remembering Obama's initial presentation and then the City's response. The City has been incredibly responsive to the winds of change at the federal level, and part of that was also the massive increase in deportations that we saw in Trump's Administration and the moratorium on deportations are going to impact how we respond. And so, is MOIA yet thinking through, and will they—could you work with us at the Immigration Committee and really with the City Council to rethink where all the funding that we're—

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

that we have built up in support for our immigrant neighbors can be retooled, and be in support of this new process that will be in front of us. And I'm keeping it very general. I'm keeping it very general because I have some ideas, specific ideas, but I just want to see if we can very publicly let everybody know that we're going to be working on that together.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: For sure. start by saying we're of course not even a week into these proposals in the new Administration and we've already seen -- you know, it's going to take a minute to get us on the right road, and hopefully to realize some of these changes most effectively. We have internally begun these conversations about how to look at more broadly our landscape of legal services and to think about, as you said, a new moment and what it might mean to be responsive either by adjusting or increasing that we may have. I'm happy to say that Yasmine is leading that effort for our office, and certainly as we, you know, begin this work, we hope to be able to partner with you all on the thinking and looking at the path forward.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Wonderful, and some of that might be legislation, but most of it

2	W
3	b
4	W
5	р

2.2

2.3

will probably be budget, and as we anticipate the budget and the incredible tension and pressures that we're going to have in the budget. I think having a plan early that we can all digest and work on together will give it a- I think the most kind of success, the best kind of success in partnership.

So, I'm really, really looking forward to that. And Council Member Chin? I think you have a question, too, but I'll hand it over to Committee Chair, Committee Counsel.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, Council Member. Council Member Chin? You may go ahead.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

and thank you Commissioners. Great to see you.

Well, my questions is, you know, relating to our seniors. One if it is like, you know, how close did you work with he Department for the Aging to help encourage more seniors to apply for citizenship. And the other thing is that in terms of the advocacy, right now— correct me if I'm wrong— that in order to take the citizenship test in your own language you have to be here for a long period of time. I mean, I think, for what, 55 and older, you have to be here

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

for at least 20 years, and 65 and older you have to be here for at least 15 years. I don't remember the exact years from my advocacy days. For seniors, I think if there's a way that we can advocate to shorten that time, because even though they struggle to learn English, it's not that easy, and they get nervous and I know seniors who failed the test, you know, a couple of times, and if they weren't able to get the fee waiver, that's a lot of money. So, I wanted -- my question is that in terms of, you know, advocacy on shorten the period of time that -- for seniors who have been here so that they will have the opportunity to take the test in their own language where they will feel more comfortable. I mean, look, they'll-- you know, a lot of seniors could communicate with the basic English, but in a situation when they have to take an exam and they're asking them historic questions [inaudible] for the test, they get nervous or it's not easy to really learn all the specific questions. So, that's one thing. And the second thing is that to really look at encouraging more seniors, older adults that are eligible to apply. Then oftentimes the fee is the barrier, language is a barrier, and they may not have

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

the assistance to help them, you know, -- like all the

3 issues that the first panel raised, you know,

4 traveling to take a test and all those barriers to

help them sort of overcome. So, you know, that is my

6 concern.

> COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Thank you for the question. I'll start and Yasmine, if you have anything further to add, please do. one of the things that I want to share is just the findings that our team produced related to kind of better understanding the population that we believe are eligible to naturalize are in our city, and I think it's helpful in somewhat being responsive to your question, Council Member. The average years in the US of an eligible to naturalized immigrant in our city is about 18 years. So, it's an incredibly long period of time. Additionally, they are an older population with a median age of about 47. recognizing -- and as I said in the testimony, we estimate that just under 60 percent, almost 60 percent of legal permanent residents that are naturalization eligible or potentially eligible are limited English-proficient. So, I think I just want to underscore based on our own analysis what you're

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

[sic].

sharing, and the challenge that you're raising, Council Member. Further, I want to state that the work that we've done specifically that I spoke to with our Human Resources Administration in developing and creating a program that is very intentional and affirmative in reaching out to lawful permanent residents that are clients of HRA really does target that older population. These are folks who by all accounts are fee waiver eligible, you know, low income, older, some who may have challenges as it relates to English, but who may, as you know, given their average years here and their age, be eligible for a waiver of those requirements and might not know that, right? So, it's a very intentionally designed program to engage folks that we know and believe to be eligible or have a pathway for them to know what their rights are and how to have direct representation in carrying forward on those cases

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: I'm going to-- so,

I'll turn to Yasmine maybe to build on or add to

that. She's muted, though. If we could unmute her,

that would be great.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

YASMINE FARHANG: There we go. you. No, thank you for raising that. I just wanted to add that there's no question that the barriers that -- that some of the barriers to naturalization under the Trump Administration has had a disproportionate impact on seniors. So, in particular the changes to the disability exemption absolutely has, as has the changes to the exam, right? So, some of the -- some of the changes that we've see have already disproportionately affected seniors, and so I think that from the -- you know, from a policy side, you know, obviously we want to continue to push back against those changes and seek to undo some of the damage that has been done, while also seeking to create much more accessibility and rethink some of the arbitrary requirements to waive some of those exceptions that you noted earlier.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: I guess one of the other thing is that in terms of the possibility of really advocating to push back on the number of years that you have to be here in order to take the test in your own language. If we could shorten that time, I think that would be more helpful, also, to a lot of seniors. Like, why do you have to wait 20 years, you

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 know, just to be able to take the test in your own
3 language? So, I think that's something that we
4 should be advocating, showing that time frame. Thank

you. Thank you, Chair.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you. you, Council Member Chin, and the -- I guess the real opportunity that we have here also in the Council is to figure out how we can promote this larger agenda as well and join the Mayor's office in that agenda, and so I look forward to working with you and other members of the Committee to really force these questions that only I think New York City can with the power that we have and the long history that we have in supporting our immigrant communities, especially our seniors here in this city. I have a couple more questions, or actually a few more questions. And for MOIA, what is the interaction between ActionNYC and New York Citizenship? According to the MOIA report of 2019, the annual report, the citizenship program was to be transitioned into the ActionNYC model in 2020, I think it was July, as part of the ActionNYC in Libraries, which would be more embedded into the

city's legal services infrastructure through the HRA

and MOIA collaboration. So, considering that COVID
19 pandemic hit at that same time we were

experiencing, what was the actual transition?

5 happened?

1

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Yeah, thank you for the question and the opportunity to piece it together, because [inaudible] giving answers that speak to it. So, we did continue and complete the RFP process for the ActionNYC program during the pandemic, which is a very good thing, and we're happy to say that as of January 1st, our providers have been able to continue, or in the event of new providers, begin their work related to this program. I want to sort of note that what we-- what our learnings were in the library model, there was a lot of requests to the outreach workers at the library and to others to provide legal services that were more broad, so not just citizenship or recognition that citizenship while very important and should be a part of that program, shouldn't be the only service that was offered through those efforts. Additionally, NYC Citizenship was privately funded. If the Committee recalls, it was a public/private

partnership, non-baselined funding and our goal was,

2	as you rightly note, to ensure that we were
3	institutionalizing both what was most effective about
4	the work, but the continuation and importance of
5	doing naturalization in an intentional and
6	affirmative way. So we've done that in a couple of
7	ways, bringing this fully into city-funded efforts
8	while the private funding came to an end last year.
9	So, the first was in yielding savings of
10	administrative costs in ActionNYC and increasing
11	those dollars through the RFP, and awarding ActionNYC
12	libraries to our new provider, which is the Immigrant
13	Justice Core, but continuing that additional support
14	to libraries to undertake the outreach that as I said
15	was such a critical and continues to be a critical
16	component of that work. The second was recognizing
17	that what was very unique about the program was the
18	way we had embedded it into the Human Resources
19	Administration and its ability to really reach most
20	vulnerable populations in a very targeted and
21	affirmative way to move them through the process.
22	And so we did through the partnership with the Human
23	Resources Administration this fiscal year, allocate
24	city funding to that effort to continue that program
25	in this fiscal year Additionally, we worked with

2.2

2.3

the Office of Economic Opportunity, recognizing that the-- some of the challenges that you rightly raised because of the pandemic and the length of time that cases were taking, necessitated the continuation of many of the cases in this fiscal year, so providing additional resource for that for this budget cycle. So, that is sort of a long version of saying we're very happy to say that now this work is codified in city funding, that we were able to do that through the ActionNYC work, but also to further realize it in HRA's budget this fiscal year to continue that part of the program and that's something that we look forward to being able to continue to do.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you. Thank you for piecing that together, and it's a big feat, no doubt, and I think-- we'll hear from providers, because I want to kind of get a sense about what else we can keep doing to build that synergy, but I'm going to move on to a couple other pieces that we wanted accomplished in the hearing, which include fluctuations in the naturalization cases over the last three years, and the 2019 annual report talks a little bit about that, that ActionNYC provided for citizenship increased from 861 applications to 958

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

applications from 2017 to 2018, and then there was a decrease to 864 applications in 2019. There's a couple other more data pieces, but what do you think led to the decrease in naturalization applications between 2018 and 2019?

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Sure, I can, you know, share my own thoughts, and Yasmine, please build on this with anything additional that we know or are aware of, but I think you've heard us speak numerous times to the challenges presented by naturalization in terms of the barriers that were lifted. I think very often you see in cyclical cycles that elections are a way to bring into people's awareness. One of the many benefits of citizenship, to be able to access, and unfortunately what we saw in the last year were so many different barriers to citizenship were lifted up, that that length of time, you know, -- say you were in-- you started to think about it and became interested in naturalizing at the end of 2019. It was clear you would not have completed that process for over a year. And so I'm sure without-- you know, having research and analysis that points directly to it in front of me, that that was one of the barriers.

Additionally, and we've heard this across the board,
just an increased fear or concern in, you know,
enforcement being the priority of the previous
Administration, including looking at in a sort of
more negative instead of welcoming way, applications
for things like naturalization. So, in the past
where there might have been less reticence to try and
confidence that you will be able to go through
because the system wasn't so designed to be
enforcement focused or punitive. Many may have been
advised that this was maybe not the right moment to
apply, but really the administration's intentionality
to be trigger happy with deportation was one that
perhaps wasn't worth it for individuals and their
families and may have received advice to holds or to
wait based on the facts of their particular case to
hopefully a better more sunshine-oriented moment in
our immigration system. Those are, you know, some of
what I surmised both from what I've heard and red are
some of the reasons that we probably saw a decline in
applications, but I don't know, Yasmine, if you want
to add anything to that.

YASMINE FARHANG: Yeah, I would just add
that, you know, the-- some of the barriers that have

made naturalization applications more challenging
under the Trump administration were created in a much
more onerous systems for the legal service providers
and for the applicant themselves, right? So the
process that may have been previously somewhat
straightforward of filing a fee waiver or filing a
disability exemption have required much more follow-
up by providers and advocates and much more, you
know, document collection by applicants themselves,
sometimes going back and forth numerous times with
USCIS after having a fee waiver rejected erroneously,
for example, right, by the HMC or having a disability
exemption denied. So, the work itself became much
more [inaudible] pending cases, you know, and the
amount of labor that that took I think also
contributed to a decrease in new filings.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Okay. You cut off or you cut out a couple points in the full testimony, but we'll follow up with you later to just get a better sense about how we can get that information, but I want to go to the next question, Commissioner. In really understanding whether or not MOIA tracks successful completions of naturalization through ActionNYC, and if you do, what are those approval

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

rates of the cases that have gone through ActionNYC?

And let's take the 864 applications that were filed

in 2019, how many of those were approved?

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: sure, I can provide you what I have, and if there's something that's missing, we're happy to circle back. So, I want to start by saying there are many programs, not just the two or three that we've mentioned here today, but others in the Administration including IOI, both the Mayoral and City Council funded efforts, as well as CSVG, the community block grant, that support naturalization efforts. So, we estimate with our Office of Civil Justice that there are approximately 3,000 applications for citizenship that have been filed in that universe of mayoral and city programs. And for ActionNYC, as you note, in the calendar year last year, we estimate about 864 applications were filed. That doesn't include the number of folks that we engaged or that began processes who may otherwise be delayed or prevented from filing in this moment. It is literally the number of cases that were filed. On average we do collect the approval rates from our providers for the

program, and we have about a 95 percent approval rate

2.2

2.3

across the board. I don't know-- I don't have it in front of me, the-- actually, I do. Thank you, team. The individual granted citizenship in fiscal year 2019 were 700, and of course, given the delays from year to year, that's not an apples to apples number, right. Those could have been cases that were filed in 2019 but got adjudicated in-- sorry, in 2018 or 2017 that got adjudicated in 2019.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Got it. Thank you.

Thank you for that. There might be some follow-ups,
but we can keep moving. I think we're really
interested in just understanding what's working, and
as we want to partner in the retooling component and
the funding conversation, this is going to be, I
think, important. Including this next piece of
information about the flow of the requests for
assistance, how does MOIA receive those request for
assistance with naturalization applications? Is it
primarily coming through MOIA hotlines— or the MOIA
hotline or the ActionNYC hotline or other referral
services? Where is that coming in? And then once
you get that request for assistance, where does MOIA
refer everyone to?

2 COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Yeah, thanks for 3 the question. So, there are a number of entry points 4 that exist, everything from 311 to MOIA's own hotline 5 to the ActionNYC hotline to directly to the immigration legal services providers as well, and 6 7 depending on sort of the originating issue, we at 8 MOIA our best practice is that we direct to the ActionNYC help line, and the reason is because there you have the bigger picture view of what's available 10 11 across providers, and the ability to directly make 12 the appointment so that folks don't have to sort of 13 jump. They kind of have both options. You can go 14 directly to the provider, but we're also able to make 15 the appointment for you, right? We did create a 16 system with the program with NY Citizenship last year 17 with the -- in the libraries to be intentional about 18 which providers were getting the citizenship 19 requests. First, just to more efficiently allocate 20 resources in the right way, and so that was sort of 21 for the helpline to figure out, sort of a back bend 2.2 efficiency mechanism, and for NY Citizenship at HRA 2.3 they work directly with NYLAG. So, that is a direct communication with the provider on a hand-off that's 24

more to ensure that the individual has the support

1

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

from who will be eventually there lawyer right out the gate. So, those are sort of the mechanisms that we utilize for those purposes.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you for sharing that. I'm going to take a pause here, and hand it back to our counsel. I think Council Member Chin has a question.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, Chair. Turning to other Council Members for questions. We'll start with Council Member Chin.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Thank you. I have a follow-up question. In terms of, you know, outreach and letting people know that all these free programs are available, I want to know, like, is MOIA and the advocates utilize the ethnic media outlet to really let people know that these services are available? Because one of the issues that we have faced is that, you know, often times in ethnic community you have these informal agencies or whatever that advertise that they help people with citizenship and they do charge a lot of money, and then people, you know-didn't happen and got cheated and all those problems, often times because they don't know that government

or nonprofit have these programs that are available.

So, has MOIA really looked at helping, opening

resources to help, you know, utilizing the media,

5 ethnic media, to really let the community know that

6 these resources and programs are available to help

7 them?

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Yeah, thank you so much for the question. We wholeheartedly, as you know, believe in partnering effectively with community and ethnic media, and so it has been a focus of ours to do so. We do it in a number of ways, not exclusively for immigration legal help, but immigration legal help and naturalization are always pieces of what we focus on in almost every communication that we have. So, we have a news blast that we share with community in ethnic media with updates. We do regular community and ethnic media We also public op-eds in different roundtables. languages that often get reprinted in community and ethnic media, and additionally, particularly on legal -- immigration legal help, we've done a number of things. One is partner with community and ethnic media for key or really important priority or critical announcements. So we've done that a myriad

number of times around public charge and ensuring
we're effectively reaching folks to understand sort
of what does this mean and get legal advice before
making any determination or decision as to their
personal immigration case or status before
withdrawing from benefits. Additionally, we launched
last spring a Support Not Fear campaign, and the call
to action there was around immigration legal help.
That campaign we ran in community and ethnic media,
both prints, but also radio and television, and it's
something that we are looking at reviving again this
year, hopefully in the spring. And one of the calls
to action, as I said there, is around immigration
legal help. So connecting people directly to our
hotline to receive those services. So, those are
some of the ways that we do see community ethnic
media as a really, really important channel to do
outreach and get information out in a trusted
fashion, but always welcome other ideas.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: I guess the thing is like on a regular basis, so it's not like, you know, an action here or a blast here, in terms of regular, weekly, or something that keep it out there in the media and the-- a lot of the ethnic community,

2	that's what they rely on like ethnic radio, the
3	newspaper. So I think that is something that the
4	Administration should look at, you know, maybe
5	putting some resources in there and not just only
6	rely on, you know, free advertising just an article
7	here and there, but regular kind of information that
8	people see, because sometimes they miss it and then
9	they don't hear it, because there's still so many
10	immigrants that's getting taken advantage of,
11	especially with legal services and immigration.
12	Unfortunately, you know, that's what's happening in
13	the community, and it's just so sad that people don't
14	know about, you know, fee waiver, citizenship
15	classes, all these things available to them. And
16	often times, even when we try to publicize
17	Citizenship Now, and it just not that many people
18	take advantage of it. And so I think that's
19	something that we need, more resource, to really
20	help, you know, get the word out regularly so that
21	people can get this information. Thank you. So that
22	will be in the budget, right? I think Chair
23	SERGEANT AT ARMS: [interposing] Time
24	expired.

look at--

exactly.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: [interposing] Yeah,

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: We will have to

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: ESL [sic] classes

and you know, money for education and outreach and

all that to make sure that our community knows about

all these good things that they should take advantage

of. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Exactly, and to your point, Council Member Chin, and if you have any other questions, let's keep teaming up here in this hearing, because I think we're really revealing this honor of retooling our city agency responses, understanding the flow, the changes in the flow, the fact that we're going to be in COVID operations for some time as we get relief from the Federal Government. But the next question I had is not just about creating spaces like adult literacy classes and all that, there's also a lot of misinformation, and so how is MOIA working with all the city agencies to combat the misinformation regarding naturalization application from Notarios [sic] and other predatory businesses and bad actors? We know that world really

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

We combat that all the time, but in this new positive response from the Federal Government we know we're going to have those bad actors come back. so how are you working to anticipate that and to combat that?

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Yeah, thank you

for the question. We are a part of, as are many city agencies, the Protecting Immigrant New Yorkers Taskforce, the PINY Taskforce, that brings together stakeholders that share this interest in ensuring that we're effectively combatting immigration fraud including the Attorney General's Office, the District Attorney's Offices, New York Immigration Coalition, and many other partners, and from the City, the NYPD, the Department for Consumer Affairs, and our team as well, and this is an issue that is-- this is really, really critical. It's one of the many reasons that we've together created, you know, a universal entry point that we can push out without confusion about where people can go for legal advice, and the fact that its city-funded support and that people do not have to worry about quality, but the city is actually paying for incredibly high-quality immigration legal We have worked to do a few different things to

try and both elevate the concerns around this, but
also to get information out about this issue, this
really important issue. One is our team developed a
one-pager that we had translated into many different
languages about how to avoid fraud, so what are some
of the best practices. You know, sometimes simply
saying, "No, no, go here," isn't the best answer for
people, right? Maybe you want to go to the provider
that supported somebody that you know or that's being
recommended to you by a community member, which is
often what we hear, and so we developed a best
practice, so what to ask for in terms of contract,
what to look for in terms of being a reputable legal
services organization, all of the sort of tell-tale
so that in our efforts to educate people not just
about the legal services that are available to them,
but just making sure they know what their rights are
and how to be a smart consumer in engaging with any
immigration legal practitioner. So, we've developed
that and translated into many if; languages. It's
part of our efforts in getting information out and
doing outreach about this work. We also held a
hearing last year on immigration fraud, joined by our
taskforce members to sort of hear and better

2	understand the experiences, community members, and
3	legal services providers have in this space to inform
4	the taskforces work now and in the future, and that
5	will be a part of ongoing conversation through those
6	efforts. And further, we through the ActionNYC
7	hotline which is a hotline that is operated by
8	Catholic Charities. There's a backend partnership
9	with the Office for New Americans that receives many
10	of these complaints. So that team is fully trained
11	on this and has the ability without having somebody
12	drop from the call to ensure that they're being
13	handed off appropriately to report or share any
14	information about immigration fraud. But it is such
15	an important issue and question. It is a very hard
16	one to undercut given, you know, the import of
17	getting relief that people feel, and sometimes
18	hearing no from a reputable provider about the
19	ability to move forward in a case might make you
20	desperate and go to somebody who's not the reputable
21	and will take a lot of money in filing a fraudulent
22	case, and that's not a good thing. So, we really,
23	really, really want to get good information out as
24	much as possible, and one of the first things that I
25	did last week when the announcement came from

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

President Biden was to go on Univision and ask people to not go to any providers the next day, to be very clear that this was a declaration of an intention to go through legislation, but nothing was yet available for folks. And so they might hear, come and we can apply for a pathway to citizenship, but that is a false and illegal conduct that should be reported, and our team has amplified that message in various channels as well.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: I'm really excited to hear from the providers as well to see if there are any other ideas that can come from their work being on the ground, and to your point, and this just reminds me of DACA when it came out and there were a lot of questions about the application process, and Notorios [sic] were out and about, and so many families were impacted, some communities more than I know in Sunset Park from our Chineseothers. speaking community, we had a lot of issues that came out, and so we-- this is not new to us, and I'm really happy that you are kind of advocating for a responsible and thoughtful response from our immigrant communities. And I'm thinking about free tax return support that the city kind of puts

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

together every year, and whether or not you're
thinking about or do you currently have anything in
that form that can be really more robust in ensuring
that people can have that support to pull those
documents together as we anticipate a pathway, and
what you might be thinking about in terms of creating
something similar that can get rolled out so that

people can connect to city-trusted partners.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: I'm not sure I'm appreciating your question, but I'll try to-- and maybe it's because we haven't thought of it yet. you noted, the Department for Consumer Affairs annually does free tax prep which is a tremendous program and important one that we partner in amplifying with them and have made sure that IDNYC can be used for purposes of accessing that program and sort of partner in the effort to get the word We have not thought about, at least I, not to my knowledge, ways to partner with DCW3 actually now to leverage that program or to build upon it in this moment, and certainly that's a conversation that we can have. We do know-- I believe that-- you know, their-- all of their financial counseling centers remain open or available to New Yorkers at this

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

_ _

21

22

23

24

25

moment. I don't think any of that has been impacted in a way where it's shut down by the pandemic. So my anticipation without knowing more is that includes all of the efforts around tax prep that will happen.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And really, it's creating a separate, but very much like tax prep, citizenship prep program that you're--

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: [interposing] Oh, I'm sorry, I misunderstood your point. Yes. You know, this is part of what we had talked about and discussed in response to DACA and DAPA was in the creation of that program, and in the first year I believe we operated it in this way. It also included a clinic model like a tax prep model for purposes of the application process, and given where things ended up with that effort and of course with the Trump Administration it was retooled to be more responsive to the needs that we have, but in the very, very, very early conversations that we had about looking at this new moment and what might be necessary, we have revived some of that, those initial thinking on point.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And again, this is another place we can partner in helping roll that

out, and maybe the last comment I want to make, and
if Council Member Chin doesn't have another question-
- I just want to double check. Yes or no? No?
Okay. We'll move on, and this will be my last
comment for you Commissioner and your team who came
here. Just thank you again for the work, and I know
that we're all experiencing no matter what, and where
we are with this pandemic and public service is it
continues to be something that will be changing
people's lives, and as we see this new Administration
bring life to something that we've been all fighting
for for such a long time, the local infrastructure is
going to be critical to the success of this federal
program, and we're going to have to meet them, and so
it's going to require a lot of creativity. It's going
to require new ideas and funding, and so this is not
a budget hearing, but very soon we're going to have a
budget conversation, and I hope we can work in
anticipation for that so that we can retool and grow
where we need to, and redistribute what we need to
ensure that we're getting as much support to what we
need. And so and I think a lot about census and
what we did together, and the Council bringing in a
lot more resource to ensure that we can get to more

2.2

2.3

24

25

people at a time where we could not knock on doors the way we wanted to and spread COVID. We were able to create new relationships with trusted partners, and so I think we're building upon a lot of really great infrastructure already, and so I have a lot of good feelings about that and I welcome that partnership to bring all those learnings together. COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: That sounds great. CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you, COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: I'm going to hand it back to our Committee Counsel. COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, Chair. this time we've concluded Administration testimony. So thank you to Commissioner for testifying. We will now be turning to public testimony. I'd like to remind everyone that we will be calling on individuals one by one to testify, and each panelist will be given three minutes to speak. For panelists, after I call your name, a member of our staff will unmute you. There may be a few seconds of delay

before you are unmuted, and we thank you in advance

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 for your patience. Please wait a brief moment for 3 the Sergeant at Arms to announce that you may begin before starting your testimony. Council Members who 4 5 have questions for a particular panelist should use the "raise hand" function in Zoom, and I will call on 6 7 you after the panel has completed their testimony in the order in which you had raised your hand. I would 8 now like to welcome our first panel. In order, I will be calling on Anissa E. Druesedow, followed by 10 11 Michael Mullen, followed by Benjamin Lundberg Torres 12 Sanchez, followed by Minsun Kim. Anissa E.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

Druesedow, you may begin when you are ready.

ANISSA DRUESEDOW: Hi, my name is Anissa
Druesedow. I am an adoptee. I was adopted in 1982 by
Sergeant Major First Class Denver Druesedow, and my
mother, his wife, Vicki Druesedoow. In 2003 I broke
the law. I pled guilty of falsifying business
records to the tune of \$3,400 and change. In 2006
after my immigration lawyer, Monica Reed, died of an
asthma attack, I was deported because I had no more
money and no more support. I have been separated from
my family since 2006. I couldn't afford to keep my
daughter, so I had to send her back to the US. I get

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

to see her every two to three years. Sorry. oldest sister died of cancer, and I got to say goodbye to her in March of last year over a video call similar to this. Adoption promised me a family. They promised me a home. They promised me not to be thrown out again. This resolution is something that is a life-saving resolution and a life-saving bill once it gets introduced, again, not just to me, to many deportees like Mike in Ethiopia, Mauricio in Costa Rica. I ask you guys to please help us, not only with this resolution, but also when the bill comes in to urge Congressman [inaudible] please help us and to please get as much weight on this bill so that it can be introduced. I've watched three to four bills during my time out here go in and die, and I don't understand why. I did not ask to be adopted. I'm very thankful to be adopted, because without adoption I would have died from cancer. getting adopted, I lost my leg to cancer, synovialcell sarcoma. The amputation was done in Walter Reed Medical Hospital. I am here with a prosthetic leg due to organizations in the US. I have running water, thank you to Adoptees for Justice and their support that they have been for me and their platform that

2	they have given me to have a voice back in the states
3	because without them I would be silenced forever. I
4	am not in hiding like most of the deported adoptees
5	because here in Central America, you cannot be very
6	out-spoken and let people know that you have been
7	deported from the United States. I, on the other
8	hand, this is all that I have. This is my only hope
9	to be able to go home and not have to assist my
10	parent's funeral through a video call like I am doing
11	right now. I thank you all. I thank you, Chairman
12	Menchaca, for the time that we have been given,
13	although I think three minutes is way too short for
14	everything that I really want to say to you. It's
15	not just me out here. It's many of us. And also, in
16	the US, those that are trying to retire and access
17	benefits that they have paid into have been
18	SERGEANT AT ARMS: [interposing] Time.

ANISSA DRUESEDOW: Totally limited on what they have to do. Thank you so very much.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you, Annise [sp?] for your words and the connection to the human life that will be impacted by not just a bill, but by a change in mentality for our most vulnerable

)

2.3

community members and the promise that is given to them. So, thank you so much for that.

ANISSA DRUESEDOW: You're welcome.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And that is what's going to go into this resolution when we debate it on the floor and pass it on the floor of the City Council and send that to Washington. Thank you.

ANISSA DRUESEDOW: Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony. I would now like to welcome Michael Mullen to testify. You may begin when you are ready.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

MICHAEL MULLEN: Thank you, Council, for hearing us today and we appreciate Council Member Koo for introducing this resolution into part of the Adoptee Citizenship Act. I also want to thank Anissa for your strength. You know, it inspires all of us to keep fighting for you, so thank you for joining us today also. My name is Michael Mullen. I'm the current President of Also Known As. We're a New York City based nonprofit now in our 25th year of building community for international adoptees. If you ask any common person, you'll find 100 percent agreement that when a child is adopted they become a full member of

their new family, and they become a full citizens of
their new country. So, you know, it's a little crazy
that you know, it's like strange that we're talking
now about this Adoptee Citizenship Act as a pathway
to citizenship, it was called adoption. So it's just
crazy that they're now being excluded from that. I
think one thing that I just want to, you know, make
clear is that this is really a clarification to
existing law that should already exist. This is not
like a new kind of carve-out that we're trying to ask
for, something that we're trying to get some special
benefit. It's just a collection of unintended
consequence of the way the adoption process works.
It's really a bug in that process. Like we all have
experienced bugs in the software when we you know,
I'm sure there's bugs in the software you're trying
to use when you're trying to run your City Council
meetings, but and which, you know, those bugs are
very frustrating and annoying, but this bug is
destroying lives. It's breaking up families.
Imagine waking up tomorrow and finding out that you
cannot get a driver's license suddenly and that you
are no long you know, all your work, all your years
of work, you suddenly cannot qualify for Social

2.3

Security, just very basic things like that, much less, of course, victims such as Anissa [sp?] who have been deported very unjustly and denied their rightful citizenship. Resolutions have been passed in many cities such as Houston, Los Angeles, and so now we're asking for New York to be part of this country's leadership that will support the Adoptee Citizenship Act and bring justice for these adoptees. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you,

Michael, for your testimony today, and we want to

join all those cities with New York's loud and

important voice, and I also thank Council Member Koo

for this resolution and this committee for that work,

and I also want to acknowledge that we've been joined

by Council Member Mathieu Eugene from Brooklyn,

member of the committee.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, Chair, and thank you for your testimony. Next I'd like to welcome Benjamin Lundberg Torres Sanchez to testify. You may begin when you are ready.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

BENJAMIN SANCHEZ: Good morning City

Council Members and speakers. Thank you so much

25

2	Council Member Koo. I really appreciate everybody's
3	focus and attention so much today on considering
4	accessibility. I also want to thank Anissa and Mike
5	for your testimony and just express appreciation for
6	time to speak today. My name is Benjamin Lundberg
7	Torres Sanchez. I use they/them pronouns, and I'm
8	joining you from Unseated and Occupied [inaudible]
9	known to many as Brooklyn. I'm an arts educator who
10	has worked in New York City public schools for 10
11	years and currently work with Pathways to Graduation
12	students in New York City District 79. I was
13	separated from first family in Columbia for 28 years
14	through private trans-national adoption process
15	initiated in New York State. We know that adoption
16	and foster care industries as well as systems of
17	family regulation and surveillance like the
18	Administration of Children's Services
19	disproportionately impact black and indigenous
20	families, immigrant families, and the children who
21	are separated from those first families. My adopting
22	parents are white, English-speaking, and wealthy
23	enough to afford a lawyer to make sure that my
24	paperwork was in order and that I was granted

citizenship through naturalization. Of course, not

all families have the means to have a legal expert
aide them in this process. Despite all of these
supports and despite the fact that I was issued a US
American passport as a teen, I was erroneously
classified as an illegal immigrant with the ability
to work and was initially unable to access financial
aid when I applied to college, requiring me to spend
more time at Social Security Administration to fix
this error. A relatively small impact for me, but of
course there are many more severe potential impacts
that folks can face, including deportation as Anissa
[sp?] mentioned in her own case. Just like our
comrades for DACA and TPS recipients, US American
citizenship is a huge support to our mental,
emotional, and spiritual wellbeing, and that's what
importantly mentioned by the commissioner the support
to our material wellbeing as well. The movement to
guarantee citizenship for people separated from their
families from international adoption processes should
be undertaken alongside the fight to secure
citizenship and other rights and protections for TPS
recipients, DACA recipients, and their undocumented
family members who are historically under supported
by policy-makers nationwide. Given the many dynamics

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

2.2

2.3

of choicelessness [sic], displacement, and
dislocation that adopted and foster people face, the
situation of being denied citizenship and its
benefits only adds to the unnecessary obstacles put
in our lives. I'm calling on policy-makers at all
levels to act to readdress this easily-remedied
issue. Thank you so much for the time.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony. I'd like to now welcome Minsun Kim to testify. After Minsun Kim we'll be hearing from Adam Lee Goodman. Minsun Kim, you may begin when you are ready.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: I believe we might be having some technical issues, so we will turn back. I will now be calling on Adam Lee Goodman to testify.

You may begin when you are ready.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

ADAM LEE GOODMAN: Thank you very much
City Council Chair Menchaca, Committee Council Member
Koo, and my previous panelists. My name is Adam Lee
Goodman. I was adopted from South Korea, and I was
lucky enough to have-- to be given citizenship and to
have family member, my adopted father, have the

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

knowledge and the wherewithal to go through the process, but like Mike said, this shouldn't be about luck, right? And this shouldn't be-- this issue is an issue of fixing a mistake. It's a bug in the system. it should be remedied because of the severe impact that stateless people have on their lives, and this isn't just an issue of citizenship, it's an issue of justice, and you know, I appreciate all the time that we've been given, but-- you know, and to hear this testimony, but you know, we really need to get this done because we need to put these families back together, and we need to sort of fix the mistakes that we've made. You know, I really don't have much more to add than that, but thank you for your time, and hopefully we can get this resolution passed and just continue to push this forward. you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony. I'd like to now welcome Minsun Kim to testify. You may begin when you are ready.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: I believe we're still having audio issues. In the meantime, I'm going to

2.2

2.3

ask if Council Member Menchaca-- if Chair Menchaca has any questions for this panel?

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: No questions.

Thank you. I want to say thank you to the panelists who are here, and again, we want to hear as many New Yorkers as possible. So, I hope that you can stay, even if you have any technical issues. We want to make sure we hear your voice as we send all this testimony to and with the resolution.

a reminder for anyone who is not able to testify today, you can submit written testimony at testimony@council.nyc.gov. We're now going to move onto our next panel. So I'd like to thank this panel for their testimony. In order of testifying, our next panel will be Meetu Dhar, followed by Terry Lawson, followed by Alexandra Rizio, followed by Shawn Blumberg, followed by Sarah B. Greenberg.

Meetu Dhar, you may begin when you are ready.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

MEETU DHAR: Good morning, Chairman

Menchaca and members of the Committee on Immigration.

Thank you for inviting me to speak on this very

important topic. My name is Meetu Dhar and I'm the

2	Managing Attorney for the CUNY Citizenship Now City
3	Council Immigration Services Program. I'm here on
4	behalf of Allan Wernick, our Executive Director.
5	CUNY Citizenship Now started in 1997 providing free
6	high-quality and confidential immigration services to
7	individuals and their families on their path to US
8	citizenship. We have eight centers located through
9	New York City and are in 34 City Council offices.
10	Our partnership with the City Council began in 2012,
11	and thanks to the generous support of the City
12	Council, we served over 51,000 individuals and
13	families at City Council sites and offices. Due to
14	the pandemic, we've adapted our services to reach
15	constituents remotely. Similar to Farhana Choudhury
16	and Yliana Diaz who had testified earlier today, I
17	began as a volunteer with CUNY Citizenship Now. This
18	was about 10 years ago. I realized then there were
19	and continues to be a large number of immigrants who
20	cannot afford the cost of private attorneys and/or
21	immigration fees. Because of this, and because
22	they're unaware of other options, immigrants often
23	have to turn to notaries or unethical businesses for
24	help. These entities would take advantage of the
25	immigrant's vulnerability and make false promises,

2	which often resulted in the immigrant's removal from
3	the United States. At CUNY Citizenship Now we offer
4	high-quality free services that provide another
5	choice for immigrants. Our services eliminate the
6	need for an immigrant to rely on these unscrupulous
7	individuals and businesses. Until meeting with us,
8	individuals that we heard from, such as Farhana
9	Choudhury and Yliana Diaz, were unaware that filing
10	fees for naturalization can be reduced or completely
11	waived. Fee waivers have made it possible for many
12	to apply for naturalization. The Trump
13	Administration announced last summer that they would
14	move forward with their plan to substantially
15	increase immigration application fees and eliminate
16	fees and eliminate fee waivers especially in
17	certain limited circumstances. This would have had a
18	crippling effect on the poor and low-income
19	immigrants and their ability to become US citizens.
20	In response to this, CUNY increased work and staff
21	hours in order to assist more applicants before the
22	deadline. Thankfully, the court blocked the
23	Administration from increasing fees and eliminating
24	fee waivers. While some constituents are deterred by
25	cost, others do not apply for naturalization because

24

25

2	they're overwhelmed or fearful of the process,
3	especially because of the last Administration's
4	restricted administrative immigration policies.
5	CUNY Citizenship Now serves to alleviate these fears
6	by providing information through our media outlets,
7	Facebook live series, and by speaking at various
8	forums. The pandemic has had a debilitating effect
9	on those applying for citizenship. Processing times
10	for those waiting for naturalization interviews and
11	oath ceremonies has increased. Further, just last
12	month, USCIS made the civics test longer and more
13	difficult to pass. There's a pressing need in New
14	York City to continue and expand the services we
15	provide. I thank you, Chairman Menchaca and the
16	Immigration Council, for inviting us to testify
17	today, and I will be submitting written testimony.
18	SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.
19	MEETU DHAR: Thank you.
20	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your
21	testimony. I'd like to now welcome Terry Lawson to
22	testify. You may begin when you are ready.
	1

TERRY LAWSON: Good morning. My name is Terry Lawson and I'm an Executive Director of

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

2	Unlocal, a community center nonprofit organization
3	that provides direct community education, outreach,
4	and legal representation to New York's undocumented
5	immigrant community, including those seeking
6	citizenship. This hearing is about reducing barriers
7	to citizenship, and I want to take this time to talk
8	about what New York City can do and specifically what
9	happened to our client, Javier Castillo Nardiaga
10	[sp?], a Bronx resident who was in the news this
11	weekend. Javier, a 27-year-old Bronx resident who
12	came here with this family when he was seven years
13	old was referred to Unlocal and the Rapid Response
14	Legal Collaborative, which is funded by the Mayor's
15	Office of Immigrant Affairs, by the hotline operated
16	by the Immigrant Defense Project. Back in 2019,
17	Javier was stopped and frisked on a Bronx street by
18	the NYPD. While in DOC [sic] custody, Javier was
19	unlawfully transferred to ICE custody by DOC in
20	violation of NYC detainer laws. After 14 months in
21	custody, Javier's criminal case was finally dismissed
22	by the Bronx DA in January 2021. During this time,
23	Javier was unable to renew his DACA status due to
24	being in ICE detention. Days before the inauguration
25	Javier was transferred from an ICE detention center

2	in New York to an ICE staging facility operated by
3	GEO in Alexandria, Virginia I'm sorry, Louisiana,
4	and told that he would be deported on Friday, January
5	22 nd on the second full day of the Biden
6	Administration. After Unlocal intervened we were
7	informed on Thursday that Javier's flight was
8	cancelled and that he would not be removed. The
9	following day, Javier was informed by GEO that he
10	would be deported at 2:00 a.m. today, Monday, January
11	25 th . In response, we organized a rally and a press
12	conference yesterday, and in the hours before the
13	scheduled deportation, his mother Alma Maridiaga
14	[sp?] who was an essential worker in the Bronx told
15	reporters that she has not been able to hug her son
16	or make him a meal in 14 months, a direct result of
17	DOC's illegal transfer of Javier to ICE custody. In
18	late breaking news, we were informed late last night
19	that Javier was not on the flight manifest for this
20	morning following intervention by Unlocal,
21	Representative Ritchie Torres, Senators Gillibrand,
22	and Majority Leader Schumer. And while we are
23	relieved about this news, we know that much work
24	remains. We cannot end the policing to deportation
25	pipeline without talking about the historic

2.2

2.3

persistent and [inaudible] brown communities, and we cannot talk about citizenship either without talking about that. We cannot talk about what happened to Javier without talking about what happened to Eric Gardner, [inaudible], George Floyd, Breonna Taylor, and too many others. What we've seen over and over again is that the criminal legal system and deportation industrial complex are not about public safety. These systems have deep roots in white supremacy, and we have seen over and over again how they have been used by Trump and many before him to reinforce xenophobia and dangerously hateful racism, a tremendous human cost.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

TERRY LAWSON: As for what the City can do in the months and years ahead, we are calling for an end to the over policing of black and brown communities, which means taking funding away from the NYPD investing that funding into black and brown communities in the initiative that they identify and ending the criminal carve-outs in the detainer law that continue to allow New York City to transfer people to ICE custody. Finally, Javier's mother told me yesterday that they have spent 20,000 dollars in

legal fees for herself and her family in fighting
their immigration cases. She told me about her
family, how her family was taken advantage of by
unscrupulous providers and how hard it was for them
to find free high-quality legal representation.
Their case came to our attention just days before
Javier was transferred to Louisiana. If the events
of this past weekend have taught us anything, they
have taught us that while the Trump Administration is
no longer in power and there is a 100-day moratorium
on deportations for some, the work is far from over.
Deportation flights are still taking off every day,
and Unlocal and Immigration Advocates across the City
are working tirelessly alongside immigration New
Yorkers to ensure that they remain here with their
families. Javier is DACA eligible. His siblings have
DACA. His parents have TPS, but they and 11 million
others should have the full citizenship rights that
you and I enjoy. We call on the City Council and the
Mayor's Office to use all of its resources to make
that happen. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony. I'd like to now welcome Alexandria Rizzio to testify. You may begin when you are ready.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

3 ALEXANDRA RIZIO: Thank you to the 4 Council for convening this hearing and inviting the 5 public to speak this afternoon. My name is Alexandra Rizio, and I'm a Managing Attorney at Safe Passage 6 7 Project, a nonprofit legal services organization that 8 provides free representation to immigrant children facing deportation. We serve children who live in the five boroughs of New York City and in the two 10 11 counties of Long Island. No immigrant, not even a 12 child, is appointed a lawyer in Immigration Court. 13 If the child cannot afford to hire a lawyer, they'll 14 be forced to defend themselves alone against a 15 trained government prosecutor and a judge with deportation back to dangerous conditions as the 16 17 likely outcome. Safe Passage Project helps correct 18 this injustice by providing free attorneys to kids. 19 Beyond legal services our social work team address the broader needs of clients such as school 20 21 enrollment, homelessness, access to healthcare, psychological services, and public benefits. 2.2 2.3 York City Council is instrumental in our work. You fund our work through the Unaccompanied Minors 24 Initiative in the IOI grand stream. Without your 25

2	unwavering support, which we've had since 2014, we
3	would not be able to serve the over 1,200 clients
4	that Safe Passage serves now. In fact, the City
5	Council support for the I Care collaborative is
6	ground-breaking on a national level and has shown
7	that local initiatives support universal
8	representation for immigrants, potentially filling a
9	gap where the Federal Government refuses to act is
10	not only possible, but successful. Thank you. After
11	four long years where our clients, young,
12	undocumented, immigrants were constantly at the tip
13	of the Trump Administration's fear, we are feeling
14	some degree of hope this week. Of course, the New
15	York City Council has long been a leader on
16	immigration issues with legal representation in
17	Immigration Court. We hope that in the coming years
18	we'll witness the change. Our dream is to create a
19	city where all New Yorkers, regardless of immigration
20	status, can realize sanctuary. The topic of this
21	hearing, "Barriers to Citizenship" is a salient one.
22	We're hopeful that the changes at the federal level
23	will open pathways to our clients and their families.
24	At the same time, US citizenship is difficult to
25	achieve, expensive, and roadblocks litter the way

2	even for someone with a straightforward case. The
3	following are issues that the City Council could
4	insert influence over and help correct: Over-policing
5	of communities of color. Even a simple or minor
6	interaction with police can derail a person's
7	citizenship application. Policing in communities of
8	color is truly the intersection of racial and
9	immigration justice. This summer's racial justice
10	protests have only highlighted the brutal and unjust
11	way police treat peaceful protestors. Until the City
12	reckons with the NYPD's legacy of violence, and until
13	black and brown communities are no longer the subject
14	of over policing, young people will be caught in a
15	dragnet that affects their lives up to and including
16	their safety in the United States. The City Council
17	should work to reform policing in New York City. It
18	is the right thing to do. It is overdue, and it
19	will

SERGEANT AT ARMS: [interposing]

ALEXANDRA RIZIO: [inaudible]

citizenship. One more point is that naturalization applications are extremely expensive. To become a lawful permanent resident, the cost is over a thousand dollars. The fee waivers are sometimes

2	available.	The	Federal	Government	under	the	Trump

3 Administration made it a point to limit the

2.2

2.3

4 availability of fee waivers and increase fees. City

5 | Council could establish a fund to assist low income

6 residents to help them pay for these applications.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony. I'd like to now welcome Shawn Blumberg to testify. You may begin when you are ready.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

SHAWN BLUMBERG: My name is Shawn

Blumberg, I'm a Supervising Attorney in the

Immigration Community Action Project of Brooklyn

Defender Services. I want to thank Chair Menchaca

for holding this important hearing today. BDS's

immigration practice works to minimize the negative

immigration consequences of criminal charges for non
citizens, representing people and applications for

immigration benefits. We defend our clients against

ICE detention and deportation. Our immigration

community action program represents people in non
detained removal proceedings, as well as applications

for immigration benefits including family based

adjustment of status applications, fair based

2	applications, U and T visas, special juvenile
3	immigration status applications, DACA and related
4	applications. People we serve face many barriers to
5	citizenship, including criminalization as a result of
6	racist policing, in ability to pay exorbitant lang
7	excuse me, exorbitant filing fees and language
8	access. We elaborate on these challenges in our
9	written testimony, but today I will focus on the
10	criminal legal system as a barrier to citizenship.
11	Broken Windows Policing and similar racist policing
12	results in criminalizing even the smallest offenses.
13	[inaudible] arrests even in cases that are later
14	dismissed or resolved with a non-criminal violation
15	can lead to denials of citizenship as a result of
16	inability to prove good moral character. Denial of
17	citizenship based on having an open criminal charge
18	or pending probation, deportability, or mistakes in a
19	citizenship application resulting in denial. For
20	example, I give you an example of Edward Conner
21	[sp?]. Mr. Conner is a 52-year-old legal permanent
22	resident. He was convicted almost 30 years ago of
23	drug and prostitution-related offenses. Since then,
24	Mr. Conner has not had a criminal conviction. He's
25	worked as a buyer and window display designer for a

clothing retailer for nearly two decades. He's
deeply involved in New York's vibrant Caribbean-
American community, running a company that designs
elaborate costumes for Carnival celebrations in New
York and around the world, and participating in
cultural, educational events. However, because of
his prior criminal history, Mr. Conner could not
apply for US citizenship for many years, resulting ir
him being in a legal limbo. After a lot of advocacy
last December, Mr. Conner was finally granted a
pardon by Governor Cuomo. As a result, Mr. Conner
was able to move forward with his life to apply for
citizenship and visit his family abroad, finally
unafraid of deportation. Without years of advocacy,
Mr. Conner would not have been able to move forward
in this way. Some important benefits of citizenship
include enfranchisement, stable status without risk
of deportation, ability to sponsor family members,
and travel freely. With the new Administration in
Washington we are hopeful that pathways to
citizenship will open up. We want to make sure that
people we serve are able to be included without the
barriers of exorbitant language access

Τ	COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 97
2	SERGEANT AT ARMS: [interposing] Time
3	expired.
4	SHAWN BLUMBERG: and difficult access
5	difficulty accessing legal services. BDS'
6	immigration work is made possible through the funding
7	of a New York City Council. As a result of these
8	barriers to citizenship and the high stakes involved,
9	there is continued need for funding for legal
10	services, especially for affirmative applications
11	such as citizenship. We hope urgent and critical
12	immigration reform happens rapidly at the federal
13	level and more people become eligible for affirmative
14	relief, free immigration legal services will be more
15	important than ever. Our specialization in
16	immigration consequences of criminal, legal, and
17	family court systems is critical due to the higher
18	risk applicant's face of denial with any criminal or
19	family court history. Thank you again for the
20	opportunity to testify. I welcome any questions.
21	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your
22	testimony. I'd like to now welcome Sara B. Greenberg

testimony. I'd like to now welcome Sara B. Greenberg to testify. You may begin when you are ready.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

23

SARA B. GREENBURG: Good afternoon and

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

thank you for the opportunity to present testimony. My name is Sara Greenberg, and I am a Staff Attorney in the Domestic Violence Immigration Project at the Legal Aid Society. Legal Aid encourages the Council to pass Resolution number 1229 in support of the Adoptee Citizenship Act. Currently, a child born outside the US to non-US citizen parents can become a US citizen by deriving citizenship through adoption by one or two US citizen adoptive parents. The Child Citizenship Act, or CCA, simplified derivative citizenship for most children, particularly adopted children. From the time it went into effect on February 27th, 2001, it prospectively granted automatic citizenship to adoptees and to those who are under the age of 18 on that date. For adoptees born before February 28th, 1983, their citizenship was dependent on whether their adoptive parents had taken the affirmative steps to naturalize them. onus was on parents, but some never completed the process. Some adult adoptees, now 37 years old or older, bear the deleterious consequences. For those unaware that they are not US citizens, many find out

when they're applying for a passport or required by

2	an employer to provide proof of their immigration
3	status. They're unable to vote, travel, or attain
4	financial aid for college. More critically, without
5	citizenship, adult adoptees are vulnerable to being
6	removed from the US for criminal offenses or non-
7	criminal grounds of deportability. As we heard
8	earlier, in Ms. Druesedow's heartbreaking testimony,
9	this loophole in the CCA has resulted in the
10	deportation of numerous adult adoptees to countries
11	where they have no memory, relatives, or ability to
12	speak the language, and cuts them permanently off
13	from their US citizen families. The Adoptee
14	Citizenship Act would extend automatic citizenship to
15	inter-country adoptees who were older than 18 when
16	the CCA came into effect and the meet the
17	requirements. Would-be citizens who linger in the
18	CCA's loophole include those who form the fabric of
19	our dynamic city. On Korean American Day in 2000, US
20	Congresswoman Grace Mang [sp?] stated on the House
21	floor, "Today, there are 35,000 adult international
22	adoptees who are in the US without citizenship and
23	are at risk of deportation." This includes thousands
24	of Korean adoptees who are undocumented in New York.
25	The legal Aid seciety urges the Council to pass

2.2

Resolution Number 1229, ensuring that all intercountry adoptees are granted citizenship and enjoy the rights and privileges conveyed therein—

SERGEANT AT ARMS: [interposing] Time expired.

 $\label{eq:sara-greenberg:} \mbox{ in the country they call}$ home. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony. I'd like to now turn it over to Chair Menchaca for questions.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you to this panel. All of you represent so much of what we are trying to build in partnership with all of you who are engaging directly and providing services. Much of that provided through a financial funding mechanism, and that needs to continue, and there's no doubt in the conversations we just had with our Commissioner, that we're going to have to do this together, and so the importance of this resolution and this larger conversation about ensuring that every New Yorker has what they need to break through the barriers. A lot of it is assistance, legal assistance; it's financial assistance; it's getting through the notarios [sp?] that are going to try to

3 4

5

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21 2.2

2.3

24

25

take advantage of our community. All of this is going to be really important. And I think what I want to ask all of you is the following: As you anticipate some of these issues, can one of you or two of you talk a little bit about how you're anticipating the flow coming in, how you're going to feel it, and through what kind of mechanisms. what I'm looking for are things that we haven't spoken to, and that's just really I think where we need to focus the conversation here. Like, how are people you connect with and are trusted partners in the community connecting with LPR's and other community members, how are you going to expect that flow? Does anybody want to take that?

TERRY LAWSON: I can start. I'm sure others will join in. You know, I think the conversation for us right now at Unlocal is starting with DACA, right, because so many people are reaching out to us [inaudible] wanting to understand what their options are now the advance parole is another option, being able to travel out to the country to become a lawful permanent resident through their DACA status and then ultimately gain citizenship. It's very real. We don't have to wait for new legislation

for that to happen, right? That is a pathway now to
citizenship. And so people are reaching out to us,
and we are doing our best to create community clinics
throughout the city, but of course it's a capacity
issue, right? All of our organizations rely on
funding to be able to make those clinics possible, to
be able to be in the community with people answering
their questions. And of course, you know, I know
that this hearing is about citizenship, but every
time we meet with somebody in community, we are
talking to not just that person, but their friend,
their family members, their parents, and talking to
them about what their issues or what their barriers
are. So, we you know, just as a quick answer to
the question, we are doing our best to partner with
groups on the ground. Of course, we're on the ground
as well, about what it is that they need and trying
to operate in a COVID world as best as possible.

MEETU DHAR: And then, Chair, if I could just add to that. At CUNY Citizenship Now this week we'll be actually holding a Facebook live session. I think it's at 6:30 on Wednesday evening for the public so they can have a better understanding of what Biden hopes to pass in anticipation of what they

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

2 could maybe start collecting and what they can

3 expect. We plan on having Zoom borough-wide events.

4 So that's definitely going to help educate the

public, because I think what we need to do is just

make sure we're giving the correct information out to 6

7 the public and then having them come back and make

more specific appointments with us.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you for that. And I think what we want to keep obviously talking, and so we can get some more panels in this discussion, I just want to really dedicate an open line of communication with all of you and maybe set up another conversational space so that we talk through some of these things. Because the other thing that I want to lift up from the testimony that most of you really pointed to was that this liberation that we're trying to fight for in response to COVID and the incredible movement that Black Lives Matter brought to our attention, this intersection between what has happened with our -- the criminalization of poverty and the engagement that so many of our institutions need to change, and what I just want to-- and that seems really big. It's a national conversation, but in the City of New York we

2 have the opportunity to change that through this budget and bring justice to this budget. And so as a 3 4 Council Member I want to extend that invitation to 5 work together to actually make that happen in the next five months as we finalize the budget and how do 6 7 we do that. How do we shift funds from the carceral 8 [sic] state and into our communities? As we see opportunities come in for justice for so many immigrant communities that have been targeted and 10 11 deported, and those that we could save, we did it 12 because of you, and in partnership with you. 13 that's going to take a lot of courage, and we did not 14 take that courage last summer, and that's just going 15 to require more organizing and more work. And so I just want to be open about that, that I will be with 16 17 you, and we're going to need the City Council to make 18 that happen, and that can't happen without you. 19 so if we are serious, let us work together. let us 20 build that power and exercise it through this 21 council, and we have that obligation to really make 2.2 the connections about what we're trying to dismantle 2.3 and what we're trying to -- what we're trying to feed in terms of finance, attention, restructuring, and 24 25 that's going to take a lot of time, and we have

months. We have months to do this, and so let's work 3 on that together. That is all for me. Thank you so

4 much again.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, Chair.

I'd like to ask if there were any other Council 6

7 Member questions at this time. Seeing no hands, I'd

8 like to thank this panel for their testimony, and now

we'll be moving on to our next panel. In order, I

will be calling on Ravi Reddi, followed by May Lee, 10

11 followed by Jeehae Fischer, followed by Anika

Choudhury. Ravi Reddi, you may begin when you are 12

13 ready.

2.3

24

25

14 SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

15 RAVI REDDI: Thank you. I want to thank

16 Committee Chair Menchaca and Council Members Chin,

17 Moya, Dromm, and Eugene for holding this hearing and

18 for previous speakers for humanizing this issue.

19 Ravi Reddi, and I'm the Associate Director for

20 Advocacy and Policy at the Asian American Federation.

Our organization represents a collective voice of 21

more than 70-member nonprofit serving 1.3 million 2.2

Asian New Yorkers. We want to first acknowledge

Council Member Koo's resolution 1229 calling for the

passage of Adoptee Citizenship Act of 2019. Part of

2	the work that will need to be done moving forward is
3	acknowledging and remedying systemic faults in our
4	citizenship and naturalization processes, especially
5	as it comes to adoptees form other countries who
6	deserve the benefits and security of citizenship.
7	When it comes to our immigrant community broadly,
8	restoring trust is critical. Overall, Asians make up
9	16 percent of the City's population, approximately
10	seven in 10 were born abroad, and about one in five
11	Asian immigrants may be undocumented in New York
12	City. Ninety-six percent of Asian seniors and 88
13	percent of our Asian small business owners are
14	immigrants as well. And let's be clear, it's our
15	immigrant communities who have served on the front
16	lines and sustained our city's economy since the
17	beginning of the pandemic. None the less, many Asian
18	immigrant New Yorkers are worried about what role
19	their immigration status will have as they navigate
20	already onerous appointment and vaccination
21	processes. The city must prioritize language access
22	and timeliness in this effort by supporting
23	community-based organizations and utilizing their
24	existing communication channels that have been built
25	over the last year in many of most vulnerable

communities. And while we're on the topic of trust,
the last President's Public Charge Rule must go
unequivocally. According to a study by MOIA and DSS,
Asian non-citizens dis-enrolled from SNAP benefits at
eight times the rate of Asian citizens after the
proposed Public Charge Rule was announced. The City
must take proactive steps in conjunction with
community-based organizations to validate and address
concerns of community members who have been forced to
make the false choice between becoming a new American
and getting their next meal. From the Muslim band to
an increase in deportation rates to unjust
restrictions on student visas and un-American
restrictions on family immigration, the Asian
American community needs all the legal help it can
get. City agencies must prioritize immigration legal
services funding for CBO's with a track record of
providing not only immigration legal services, but
also case management services to connect community
members to legal services. Without Asian CBO's
ability to provide language and navigation support,
few people would be able to access these legal
services at all. It's also high time for City
Council to finally fund a community legal interpreter

2.2

bank [sic] so those needing legal services and social
services can access qualified interpreters across the
communities of color, as well as worker co-ops which
will focus on recruitment of recruitment training
and dispatching of qualified interpreters and
increasing job opportunities for multi-lingual
immigrants. Local Law 30 must also be fully and
consistently implemented across the agencies, as many
are front line workers, immigrants shouldn't be the
last to learn of critical safety and benefit
information. And finally, as our immigrant community
also bears a disproportionate burden

SERGEANT AT ARMS: [interposing] Time expired.

RAVI REDDI: insecurity— just one more point— basic need insecurity brought on by the pandemic, the city must increase investment in safety net programs such as community health centers and clinics and food pantries, in part due to continue after effects from the previous Administration's Public Charge assault. While Asian New Yorkers comprise at least 10 percent of the population, more than half of city districts with the other half having some of the fasting growing Asian populations

from FY2002 to 2014, the Asian American community
received a mere 1.4 percent of the total dollar value
of New York City social services contracts, a
reflection of broader, long-term trend. Processes
must prioritize the community-based organizations who
have the expertise, but might not have the capacity
to monitor for and respond to RFPs they may be
eligible for. It's a two-way street and the city
must more proactively seek out and support community-
based organizations while our CBOs use the capacity
they have to work within RFP processes as it
currently stands. It'll be our CBOs who will be
instrumental in restoring trust between our
immigrants and our cities. We understand the city is
in dire financial straits, but the CBOs have led by
example in how to spend city dollars effectively, and
this moment presents an opportunity for this City
Council to show that New York City can still lead by
example in protecting its most vulnerable. We at the
Asian American Federation thank you for allowing us
to speak, and look forward to working with all of you
to make sure our immigrant communities get the
nrotections they deserve Thanks

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

1718

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony. I'd like to now welcome Mae Lee to testify. You may begin when you are ready.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

MAE LEE: Okay. Hi. Good afternoon.

name is Mae Lee. I'm with the Chinese Progressive Association. I also wanted to thank the Immigration Committee and Chair Menchaca for having this hearing that is all about citizenship. So I'm with the Chinese Progressive Association, and we're based in Manhattan's China Town in the Lower East Side. primarily serve the Chinese community there, but we are-- and we run the citizenship program, but the citizenship program also serves black and Latino immigrants, as well as Chinese Immigrants who are, you know, -- who are in our area. We run the citizenship classes. We help the stu-- we assist students with citizenship application, and we have held these group application events. You know, I guess a little similar to those tax prep events where many people and volunteers come together, the trained volunteers who help with the application. not tried to do this on Zoom yet, so we actually have-- it's always been in-person, in-doors. So we

2	haven't done it since early last 2020. And I'd
3	like to say that we've had it at many different
4	community sites, including the public library. The
5	local library we partnered with one of the Settlement
6	Houses, Educational Alliance, Amani Canner Center
7	[sic], and we also partnered with Chong Ha [sic]
8	which is a senior housing complex where everybody is
9	at least 85 years old. All these events were also
10	opened to the public as well as the residents or
11	participants of that particular organization or
12	complex. So I'd just like to make a few I'm not
13	going to repeat what other people said, but just make
14	a few comments from our experience. I think
15	continuing education about Public Charge is really
16	important. I thought it was all done, everybody knew
17	about it, but today we still meet people who are
18	hesitant to apply for citizenship or citizenship
19	applicants who are hesitant to apply for benefits
20	which they are eligible for, and it is because of
21	Public Charge. You know, they're told they shouldn't
22	do it, or they think they shouldn't do it. Just
23	about the fee waiver, the even though USCIS still
24	offers the fee waiver and the fees have not yet gone
25	up to a thousand dollars and the fee waivers are

make sure that we hear your full testimony.

JEEHAE FISCHER: Can you hear me?

24

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: There we go, yes.

3 Thank you.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now. Go ahead.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: You can begin again, Ms. Fischer.

JEEHAE FISCHER: I would like to thank Chair Menchaca and the members of the Committee on Immigration for the opportunity to testify. My name is Jeehae. I'm the Executive Director at the Korean American Family Service. We provide social services to immigrant survivors and their children who are affected by domestic violence, sexual assault, and child abuse. All of our programs are offered in a culturally and linguistically appropriate setting. Ninety-eight percent of our clients are immigrants and some of them are undocumented. Over 95 percent of our client's first language is not English and come from low-income background. During New York's state [inaudible] throughout the COVID-19 pandemic crisis, KAFSC responded to a 300 percent increase in calls to our 24-hour bilingual hotline, 88 percent were related to domestic violence and sexual assault and child abuse. In time, immigration policies such

2	as the Public Charge Rule on our immigrant community
3	caused devastating and tragic effects, preventing
4	many from reaching out for help for fear of
5	negatively impacting their future legal status in
6	America. Misinformation and lack of native language
7	resources exacerbated the problem even further.
8	Current policies do not account for immigrant workers
9	who lost their legal employment status as a result of
10	the COVID-19 pandemic. These gaps result in
11	uncertainty and fear of deportation as another hurdle
12	to overcome in an already overwhelming and desperate
13	situation. Furthermore, the sharp rise in anti-Asian
14	bias and hate crimes caused additional layers of fear
15	and trauma for the Asian American immigrant community
16	who had to face both the deadly virus and risk of
17	[inaudible] check anytime they left their home. Our
18	immigrant survivors were disproportionately impacted
19	and further traumatized by policies and responses
20	that excluded them from emergency relief efforts.
21	Many of our survivors are undocumented and are
22	excluded from accessing unemployment insurance and
23	all other income supports. They lost their financial
24	means resulting in loss of livelihood and unable to
25	support themselves and their children. These

-	
1	

consequences are exacerbated as they are ineligible for unemployment benefits and other labor protections by law from which they are excluded. Additionally, the immigrant survivors of domestic violence that we serve faced re-victimization on the path to citizenship. Many of our survivors are without legal status and their abusers use threats and fears of deportation to maintain power and control over them. Current laws do provide a path for some survivors to apply for--

SERGEANT AT ARMS: [interposing] Time expired.

JEEHAE FISCHER: but they have very rigid guidelines that require cooperation with law enforcement and other barriers that often are not available to our survivors. We need to expand protections for survivors of violence and enact common sense immigration laws that will incorporate and work with the nuances and complex situations presented by immigrant survivors of domestic violence, sexual assault, and child abuse. Thank you.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony. I'd like to now welcome Anika Choudhury to testify. You may begin when you are ready.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

ANIKA CHOUDHURY: I want to begin by

thanking the Committee on Immigration, the Committee Chair Menchaca and the entire New York City Council for holding this important oversight hearing on reducing barriers to citizenship. My name is Anika. I'm the Priority Area Specialist External Engagement at the Arab American Family Support Center. I'm honored to testify today alongside Asian American Federation on behalf of marginalized immigrant and refugee families throughout New York City. At the Arab American Family Support Center we have dedicated ourselves to creating an inclusive haven for immigrants and refugees for over 25 years. While we serve all who are in need, we have gained cultural and linguistic competency serving New York's growing AMEMSA population, Arab, Middle Eastern, and Muslim, and South Asian communities. Additionally, our team now speaks over 27 languages and over 30 different dialects. Although today's focus shows a step in the right direction for expanding citizenship for

adoptees, there's much work to be done to reverse the
effects of anti-immigrant policies and rhetoric.
Immigration policies such as the Public Charge Rule
continue to cause angst among our immigrant community
members. Many feel reluctant about enrolling in the
services and benefits they need for fear of
discrimination or deportation. We have received
numerous calls and visits from individuals concerned
about the implications on themselves and their family
members, particularly those who are now being forced
to decide between feeding and housing their families
or seeking legal permanent status in the US,
especially during this deadly pandemic. In light of
the new Biden/Harris Administration, there has been
conversation about an eight-year pathway to
citizenship for those currently living in the United
States without legal status. Although we are hopeful
to seeing such changes in federal policies, we urge
the City to address the immediate needs of our
immigrant communities. The chilling effect caused by
the Public Charge Rule continues to hurt our
vulnerable immigrant communities and changes at the
city and state level are needed to protect them. AFSC
alongside the Asian American Federation requests that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

the City expand language access to our marginalized immigrant communities in a culturally and linguistically competent manner, keeping in mind that content in different languages must be translated in the correct dialect and tone. As Council Member Chin mentioned, we would very much love to see ethnic media also being used to spread information. We also request that we continue to help mitigate the impacts of the disastrous federal policies like Public Charge Rule on our immigrant communities during the ongoing pandemic by spreading information to distill the fear-based rhetoric and myths. We also request that we provide more legal defense funding to allow immigrant communities to reach out about their legal status and have access to their rights remain with their families and understand immigration laws. We would also like for City Council to commit to supporting immigrants and refugees with trainings and additional resources. The Arab American Family Support Center is already working to educate community members about the implications of Public Charge.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

Τ	COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 119		
2	ANIKA CHOUDHURY: But with your support		
3	we can amplify our impact. We thank you for your		
4	attention today.		
5	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your		
6	testimony. I'd like to now welcome Minsun Kim to		
7	testify. You may begin when you are ready.		
8	SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.		
9	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Ms. Kim, I think you		
10	need to accept the unmute.		
11	UNIDENTIFIED: Can you ask them to call		
12	on you wait hold on. I'm going to just [inaudible]		
13	MINSUN KIM: Hello?		
14	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Yes. We can hear		
15	you.		
16	MINSUN KIM: Oh, you can hear me. Oh,		
17	thank you. I'm so pleased to see all of you here to		
18	show your support to the adoptee issue [sic]. I'm		
19	Minsun Kim and the first of all, I would like to		
20	thank Councilman Peter Koo for taking charge of this		
21	issue. His strong leadership made this possible. As		
22	the President of Museum of Korean American Heritage,		

our future generations. Furthermore, as the Nassau

our mission is to teach our history and heritage for

County Human Rights Commissioner, I believe that all 25

23

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

human beings must be treated equally regardless of
gender, race, religion, or background. The foremost
value of a democracy is to take care of our most
underprivileged community. I am here today to fight
and support for adoptees to be able to live as proud
citizens. Passing this legislation is a priority for
this humanitarian issue. Thank you to everyone

9 involved, and I sincerely hope all our efforts will
10 bear fruit in Congress. Thank you.
11 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your

testimony. I'd like to now welcome Anika Sayed to testify. You may begin when you are ready.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

UNIDENTIFIED: Hello?

ANIKA SAYED: Hello? Can you hear me?

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Yes.

ANIKA SAYED: Okay. Good afternoon. My name is Anika Sayed and I'm the Outreach Coordinator at Turning Point for Women and Families. Thank you so much for the opportunity to testify today.

Turning Point for Women and Families was founded in 2004 and is the first nonprofit to address domestic violence in New York City's Muslim community.

Turning Point helps Muslim women and girls affected

by domestic violence empower themselves and transform 2 3 their lives through a wide range of culturally 4 competent services focused on safety and self-5 sufficiency. To date, we have worked directly with over 3,000 women, as often girls and children. One 6 7 of the pressing issues affecting many of our clients, especially senior women includes the lack of 8 resources they need to acquire citizenship. Federal policies including the Public Charge Rule have 10 11 adversely impacted the future of countless people. 12 Additionally, the Public Charge Rule created fear, 13 apprehension, and uncertainty for the immigrant 14 community, made worse by the pandemic. Fearful of 15 the Public Charge Rule, many of our DV survivors and 16 seniors we work with chose not to apply for benefits 17 they are entitled to that would have helped them 18 during the pandemic. It is our collective duty to 19 ensure not only access to public benefit and 20 citizenship, but to break the barriers that prevent our clients from applying in first place. Our 21 2.2 clients and program participants were already 2.3 challenged by their lack of security have been further traumatized by the prolonged pandemic. 24 new policies that redefined domestic violence have 25

2 actually reduced the scope of the crime and affects survivors directly. Due to suppressed reporting, few 3 4 statistics on domestic violence are available, and a 5 narrow definition becomes even more harmful, including a large number of survivors we work with, 6 7 creating new barriers and ultimately halting their progress. Public Charge Rule and limits to 8 citizenship to seeking asylum exacerbates the existing issue many immigrants face today, leading 10 11 community-based organizations with limited legal funding with few sustainable function. Elected and 12 appointed officials who are passing laws must 13 consider the detrimental effects of these policies on 14 15 the immigrant community. Emergency Medicaid, cash 16 assistance, and food stamps are all ways this nation 17 fights against poverty, food insecurity and lack of 18 healthcare. Turning Point for Women and Family 19 strongly advocates for fair access to these basic 20 human rights. The issues affecting our community have materialized into something far greater than 21 shelter and safety. Access to these benefits are 2.2 2.3 limited when technology is inaccessible. We have seen through this pandemic the far-reaching effects 24 of the data divide. After the courts closed, many 25

2.2

2.3

times when limited to phone calls to agencies that would leave their complaints unaddressed. Sharing information over the phone to complete documentation for citizenship application, to applying for public benefits, seems taxing [sic] due to lack of information security. Clients who were close to leaving their abusers and senior women in the pipeline for citizenship now have to navigate this difficult world virtually. Community-based organizations like Turning Point for Woman and Families are committed to teach English to our community members and help them do something powered [sic] and integrated in this—

SERGEANT AT ARMS: [interposing] Time expired.

ANIKA SAYED: [inaudible] we live in.

Our classes are an entry point for seniors to learn

English, learn about their rights, receive counsel

and emotional support, especially if they're facing

elder abuse. We urge each of you on the committee to

please do all you can to find resources to support

the work of community-based organization who are

doing so much with so little to meet the growing

needs of our immigrant community and redirect the

3

4

5

6

/

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

narrative to be inclusive of all backgrounds, races, identities, genders, and religion. Thank you so much.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony. I'd like to now turn it over to Chair Menchaca for questions.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you, and I just want to say thank you to this panel. thankful for a couple things that were really highlighted, and I'm thinking about the domestic violence that is also growing in this time of COVID and the separation that social distancing is requiring us and the barriers that are connected to that, and I want to offer some opportunity to maybe come back and talk to the committee about what we can think through together to support you, but also to think about things that the City can do right now, and engage in a different way, and I think that you heard from the Commissioner that the Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs is also potentially open, and in this larger conversation about shifting funds into COVID-impacted communities has to be met with ideas that come from all of you who are on the ground. so let's start that sooner rather than later. I

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

think Ms. Fischer also mentioned the idea of a quaranteed income, a Universal Basic Income, and so I have sent in to the City Council a legislative request to start building that. This will require everyone that's on this call to help push the Council to being a public process to build a piece of legislation that works and to create a framework and a pilot that could potentially focus on immigrants who are not able to access federal stimulus, and so the Council can respond, but when there is public pressure, and so let's build that public pressure together so that we can address these issues that you are kind of speaking to, that we have power to-- and the real duty to respond, and the more information that we have on the ground, the more organizing we can do, I think the better chance that we have to actually get some of these things done. So, thank you in advance, and I look forward to working with you all on those issues.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: I'd like to thank this panel for their testimony. We'll now be moving on to our next panel. In order I will be calling on Fernon Darnell [sp?] followed by Olivia Pearl,

3

4

_

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

1314

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

followed by Ronna Stifel [sp?]. Fernon Darnell, you may begin when you are ready.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

FERNON DARNELL: Hi, yes, and thank you

for having me. My name is Doctor Fernon Darnell [sp?]. I'm actually an Iranian adoptee who was naturalized in 1978 by parents who at the time of the process and whatnot. I've also-- I'm a sociologist who has done research on adoption identity, and so this issue that has come before us on the-- pardon me, I'm trying to-- on this resolution for the Adoptee Citizenship Act was brought forth to me by my friend and colleague who's also adoptee, Ronna Stifel So I just want to bring attention to this because not only through Ronna, but through her work with the Adoptee Red Coalition I've become a lot more aware of this issue that I actually no idea existed until just in the last few months or so. importance that I want to bring to this is the fact of the-- is the fact of-- the sense of belonging, I quess, the issue of identity in terms of trying have this-- in this legislation, the key to the adoptees feel like they have a land, that they have a place of belonging, and that -- this adoption policy has

failed, though, and so to pass this legislation means
that they will have a sense of belonging in their
country that they called home for so long, and to
make this amenable to them. In this legislation, it
needs to remedy that, to be able to also make sure
that there is a mental and health component that goes
along with going through this process of not being a
citizen and trying to fight through this whole
judicial process and legislation [inaudible]. So,
anyway, I don't have anything a lot more formal, but
I just want to show my support for the adoptee as a
researcher. I apologize for the background, but
that's as an adoptee and as a researcher, but also
someone who I was young going through the process,
but now as an adult and knowing what it takes, their
frustrations, share their frustrations. I want to
make sure that their voice is heard through me as
well. Anyway, thank you for your time.
COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your
testimony. I'd like to now welcome Olivia Pearl to
testify. You may begin when you are ready.

OLIVIA PEARL: New York City Council Members, thank you for having me today, and thank

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time stars now.

2	you, Council Member Koo for introducing Resolution		
3	1229, very important legislation that highlights the		
4	need for the Adoptee Citizenship Act, an inclusive		
5	bill that will grant citizenship to all adoptees		
6	including those with criminal convictions. Since		
7	1948, over 500,000 children have been adopted from		
8	abroad by US citizen parents with the promise of a		
9	better life, yet the adoptive systems have failed to		
10	protect the children that they intended to protect.		
11	Adoptive parents, agencies, attorneys, and the US		
12	government are all responsible for ensuring that		
13	transnational adoptees have a safe place to call home		
14	in the United States. However, an estimated		
15	thousands of legally adopted individuals who are born		
16	before February 27 th , 1982 and raised in the United		
17	States, or those who did not enter the country on an		
18	orphan visa do not have US citizenship, and		
19	therefore, are potentially subject to deportation.		
20	It is now our responsibility to ensure the safety and		
21	protection of citizenship grants to these adoptees		
22	and right a wrong that should never have occurred in		
23	the first place. It starts here with you today. My		
24	name is Olivia Pearl and I'm here on behalf of		
25	Adoptees for Justice, an organization that empowers		

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

adoptee communities and fights for restorative justice for all adoptees, particularly those who are most vulnerable. I am a transnational and transracial adoptee, meaning that I was born in another country and that I'm not of the same race as my adoptive family. I was adopted from Russia as an 18-month-old into a loving white family. adoption was cherished at the orphanage. The women caring for me cried as they handed me off. My mom was able to hire a translator, Max, who spoke with me in Russian and was the only person who made me smile or laugh for the first few days of my new life. people incorrectly assume that babies do not notice or remember traumatic events. While I have compartmentalized so much of the trauma of being taken away, much of the resulting damage remains. addition to coping with this stress, adoptees without citizenship are led to endure many other traumatic experiences navigating the United States systems. mom had to apply for my citizenship, and I am here to tell you why all adoptees deserve this right. not have to live in fear of deportation. never been referred to as illegal, and when I go to vote, I do not fear that this will be the patriotic

2	act that puts my existence in the US in jeopardy.
3	These are some of the more simple, less tangible
4	protections that the United States citizens have the
5	privilege of experiencing. Until I joined Adoptees
6	for Justice, I took my citizenship for granted. I
7	know that milestones like voting were easily
8	accessible to me as a citizen and I never questioned
9	it. Additionally, I have not had to jump through
10	unnecessary hoops to do so. The Adoptee Citizenship
11	Act will not just grant citizenship in a haven for
12	all adoptees, but will provide well overdue dignity
13	and hope for many. Citizenship for adoptees means
14	safety, security, and the realization of our dreams
15	here in the United States. Please, City Council

SERGEANT AT ARMS: [interposing] Time expired.

trauma for adoptees without citizenship--

OLIVIA PEARL: around the world. Thank you.

Members, I urge you to pass Resolution 1229. Do your

part today to end this cycle of systemic violence and

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: thank you for your testimony. I'd like to now welcome Ronna Stifel to testify. You may begin when you are ready.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

3 RONNA STIFEL: Good morning. Thank you 4 for giving me the opportunity to speak on behalf of 5 impacted adoptees. I'm an adoptee from Iran. I was adopted at two years of age before the revolution in 6 7 Iran. I was adopted into a family where there was 8 abuse, neglect, and abandonment at 16, and I am one of thousands of adoptees who entered the foster care system. Today, I am stateless because of poor 10 11 adoption policy and zero oversight by the State 12 Department on my adoption. I was one of thousands who 13 was left behind by the Child Citizenship Act of 2001. 14 We were deliberately left behind because we were over 15 the age of 18 when legislation was passed to give 16 automatic citizenship to adoptees. And here we are 17 20 years later still being left behind by politicians 18 who chose to politicize this issue and turn it into 19 an immigration issue when it clearly is an adoption 20 issue. Why do we need legislation you might ask? 21 Because US Citizenship and Immigration talked to me in 2017 about my case and threatened me with 2.2 2.3 deportation to a country I left as an orphan. officer never once showed any empathy or acknowledged 24 that I was adopted. The conversation was nothing but 25

2	scare tactics. She told me I could be deported. I
3	find that hard to believe because I am legally
4	adopted in a court in a state, and when do we deport
5	Americans to Iran? She told me my former Senator,
6	Kamala Harris, and Congresswoman Katie Porter of
7	California could do nothing to prevent my
8	deportation. She said one of my options was to turn
9	myself in for deportation and go in front of a judge
10	to plead for a green card. I'm an American and the
11	daughter of an Airforce Officer and prisoner of war.
12	Again, I ask you, how can we deport Americans to
13	Iran? Immigration spoke to me as if I had illegally
14	come to this country. How did I at the age of three
15	get on a plane and fly into the United States? My
16	entire life has been documented here as a legally, I
17	stress legally, adopted person. I am a taxpayer, and
18	I am denied the right to vote. This is how the
19	conversations happen with Immigration. This is
20	completely unacceptable. We are adoptees. Why are
21	we being pushed through a crowded and cruel system
22	and paying thousands of dollars for the mistakes made
23	by our parents, adoption agencies, lawyers, the State
24	Department, and Congress. Our lives are being erased
25	and endangered because of the lack of urgency. We

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1011

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

can't get driver's licenses, Real Act ID, loans, healthcare, retirement, and we are at risk of deportation. New York has three known cases of adoptees who can't get driver's licenses today because of Real Act ID.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

RONNA STIFEL: You chose to stand in solidarity with impacted adoptees and magnified our voices on this issue, and I thank you. We all thank you from the impacted adoptee community. We must continue to hold Congress accountable for this population who are being denied their rightful claim to citizenship. It is not the life adoption is promised us. If you know an adoptee who is struggling and living with US citizenship, please refer them to Adoptee Rights Campaign. We are the foremost organization advocating for legislation called the Adoptee Citizenship Act. This legislation died in the last two sessions of Congress despite bipartisan support and 103 [sic] co-sponsors in the House. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony. I'd like to now turn it back to Chair Menchaca for questions.

2 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you, Harbani 3 I just want to say thank you to everyone's 4 strong testimony rooted in experience that not just 5 the Council needs to hear, but the Federal Government, and as the new Federal Government is 6 7 installed and we have reached out to our leaders so that the new bill which will have a new number and 8 will move forward with the support of the City of New York. When we move this Resolution forward it will 10 11 have your testimony behind it. It will have your 12 courage, and relationship to the cruelty of what 13 needs to change, and so I just want to say thank you 14 for your testimony today. What I know more than ever 15 is that we are at a crossroads of how a city can 16 support this national agenda, this return to dignity for this larger conversation of a broken system, and 17 18 this city is behind you. So as the Chair of the 19 Immigration Committee for the last seven years, with this incredible committee and this incredible 20 Council, we have been pushing with you and working 21 with the Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs, but our 2.2 2.3 journey is not over. I think we have only seen the intensity and the impact of what Ms. Diaz has talked 24

about so many others that we heard from today. So, I

2.2

2.3

just want to say thank you for that courage and that strength to be here, and we are listening, and we will be moving forward with you to ensure that our federal leaders from the Senate to our local representatives have this resolution, and as we speak on behalf of New Yorkers, move to address this. So, thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, Chair. At this point we have concluded public testimony. If we have inadvertently missed anyone that has registered to testify today and has yet to be called, please use the Zoom raise hand function now, and you will be called on in the order that your hands have been raised. Seeing no hands, I'm going to turn it back to Chair Menchaca for closing remarks.

I want to thank all the incredible staff who have put this hearing together on the tech side, our Sergeant of Arms, and our committee staff that include Harbani Usha [sp?], Elizabeth Cronk [sp?], Caesar Vargas, and Lorna Lucero [sp?]. I also just want to say thank you to everyone who's come on and spoken to this issue, not just the resolution, but this larger intersectional moment that we have to make some

2 changes. We are-- we will be very shortly moving into budget negotiations and I hope that we can unite 3 4 to bring justice. The council needs to hear from you 5 and it is because of your voice here today that we need to continue to work to change the way that we 6 7 fund the most critical and essential needs of our workers, our essential workers, our immigrant 8 workers, and the communities that have been impacted by this broken immigration system. And so the City 10 11 of New York has so much power in its ability to bring ideas, not just to the rest of the city-- not just to 12 13 the rest of the country, but specifically to other 14 municipal -- municipalities, and so we will have to 15 meet the Federal Government half way, and that's through funding. That's through reorganization. 16 17 That's through creativity, and that's through the 18 stories that you have brought today that continue to 19 encourage me to fight as hard as I can to use a bully 20 pulpit, and so I am dedicated to that work, and so let's talk about Universal Basic Income. Let's talk 21 about changing the way that we engage you with legal 2.2 2.3 services free preparation for DACA and more pipelines for citizenship. These are all things that we need 24 to keep hearing, and when we think about domestic 25

	COMMITTEE	ON	IMMIGRATION
--	-----------	----	-------------

violence that is ripping through our communities, it is heavily impacting our immigrant communities and those barriers I heard very, very clearly. We need to solve those, and so let's do that together. And so that is my commitment to you to keep working.

Let's reach out and let's build those bases that we can organize. Thank you so much again, and as the Chair of the Immigration Committee, I now call this

hearing to a close.

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date February 28, 2021