1	COMM	MITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE	1
2	CITY COUNCIL CITY OF NEW YOR	) K	
3			
4			
5	TRANSCRIPT OF T	'HE MINUTES	
6	Of t	ne	
7	COMMITTEE ON GE	NERAL WELFARE	
		Х	
8			
9		January 25, 2021 Start: 12:07 p.m.	
10		Recess: 3:34 p.m.	
11			
12	HELD AT:	REMOTE HEARING (VIRTUAL ROOM	4)
13	BEFORE:	Stephen T. Levin, Chairperson	
14			
15	COUNCIL MEMB:	ERS: D. Diaz	
16		Vanessa L. Gibson Barry S. Grodenchik	
17		Brad S. Lander Antonio Reynoso	
		Rafael Salamanca, Jr.	
18		Mark Treyger	
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 2
2	APPEARANCES
3	Jordan Dressler Office of Civil Justice in the Human Resources
4	Administration
5	Erin Drinkwater Deputy Commissioner Intergovernmental and
6	Legislative Affairs
7	Bruce Jordan HRA's Chief Homelessness Prevention Officer
8	Sara Zuiderveen
9	Deputy Commissioner for Prevention and Housing Assistance at HRA
10	
11	Rebecca Klein Senior Policy Advisor Office of Civil Justice at HRA
12	
13	N'jelle Murphy Resides at 5421 Beverly Road
14	Lizbeth Moscosa [SPEAKING IN SPANISH]
15	Ariel Ashtamker
16	On Behalf of Communities Resist
17	Josefa Silva Director of Policy and Advocacy at WIN
18	
19	Eric Lee Director of Policy and Planning at Homeless Services United
20	
21	Gajtana Simonovski Director of the Income Support Services Unit at Community Services Society of New York
22	
23	George Sotiroff Rent Stabilized Tenant

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 3
2	APPEARANCES (CONT.)
3	Rosanna Cruz
4	Senior Program Director of the Benefit System Program at Good Shepherd Services
5	Jenny Laurie
6	Executive Director of Housing Court Answers
7	Esteban Giron Rent Stabilized Tenant and Member of the Crown Heights Tenant Union
8	
9	Lauren Springer Tenant Leader with Catholic Migration Services
10	Malika Conner Director of Organizing with the Right to Counsel
11	Coalition
12	Laura Govan Rent Stabilized Tenant from the Bronx
13	
14	Chaplain Sandra Mitchell On behalf of CASA, community new settlement
15	apartments CASA Community Action for Safe Apartment for the Northwest Bronx Community Clergy Coalition
16	
17	Joanne Grell  Member of CASA and President of Buildings Tenant Association
18	
19	Gabriela Malespin Housing Paralegal with New York Legal Assistance Group
20	
21	Kathleen Brennan Testifying in conjunction with Ms. Malespin
22	Amanda Lipari Tenants' Rights Attorney in the Staten Island
23	neighborhood office of the Legal Aid Society
24	Alexandra Dougherty Senior Staff Attorney and Policy Counsel of the
25	Civil Justice Practice Brooklyn Defender Services

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 4
2	APPEARANCES (CONT.)
3	Amy Kwak
4	Staff Attorney in the Civil Defense Practice at Neighborhood Defender Service of Harlem
5	Towaki Komatsu
6	Spencer Hanvik
7	Member of Counsel on Housing
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

clients in need of social services assistance.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

The Committee will also hear Intro. 2050 sponsored by my colleague Council Mark Levine. COVID crisis has underscored the importance of safe and secure housing at the Center of Disease Control issuing guidance for a nationwide eviction moratorium. It has never been more important that housing is healthcare and a human right.

It is both a public health and economic priority to keep people in their homes for the duration of the pandemic but the moratoriums will eventually end and we must have a plan in place to accommodate what could be a massive influx of new clients in need of assistance.

Prior to the pandemic, nearly half of New York City households were rent burdened. Meaning that they were paying more than 30 percent of their income program.

The unemployment rates have dramatically increased in the five boroughs, as they have around the country due to the economic fallout of the pandemic. The pandemic has further strained what was already a precarious situation for low income people in New York. Many of whom, will likely have no way

2.2

2.3

to remain in their homes once the moratorium has been lifted in the city, state and federal governments don't take any further actions.

Intro. 2050 sponsored by Council Member Mark

Levine would expand the right to Counsel for tenants

facing eviction proceedings citywide immediately

instead of the current phase in. As the Right to

Counsel program has been implemented, evictions

citywide have decreased to 30 percent decline between

February of 2019 to February of 2020 just before the

pandemic began.

I want to thank all of the advocates and members of the public and those who are joining us remotely today. Thank you to the representatives from the Administration for joining us and I look forward to hearing from you on these critical issues. At this time, I would like to acknowledge my colleagues who are here today. We have been joined by Council Members Gibson, Grodenchik, Diaz, Rosenthal, Ayala, Levine and Reynoso.

I also want to acknowledge that Intro. 2050 is co-prime sponsored by Council Member Vanessa Gibson and also, we have been joined by Council Member Brad Lander.

2.2

2.3

I will — before turning it over to Council Member Levine, Council Member Gibson would like to give opening statements. I would like to thank my staff who have worked on today's hearing. My Chief of Staff Jonathan Boucher, my Legislative Director Elizabeth Adams and my entire district staff who have been working on helping people navigate the system to make sure that they are getting the services that they need at this time.

I would also like to acknowledge my Committee

Staff, Committee Staff to the General Welfare

Committee Aminta Kilawan Senior Counsel, Crystal Pond

Senior Policy Analyst, Natalie Omary Policy Analyst,

Frank Sarno our Finance Analyst, Rose Martinez Senior

Data Scientist and Nicholas Montalbano Data

Scientist.

And with that, I will turn it over to Council Member Mark Levine for an opening statement.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: I apologize. Thank you so much Chair Levin and thank you for acknowledging the incredible leadership of my colleague Vanessa Gibson, who has been my partner in the Right to Counsel effort for years now and we certainly wouldn't be here without he leadership.

2.2

2.3

As you mentioned Chair Levin, Right to Counsel has been in place in New York City since 2017. It has actually been a game change. It has made New York City the first place in America to establish the basic right that people facing evictions in Housing Court should have the fairness that can only come when they have an attorney and this law has now been replicated around the country, seven cities as of last count. Most importantly here in New York City, we have seen a reduction in the eviction rate of 40 percent prior to the pandemic. This has truly been a game change for tenants and for Housing Court in New York City.

But as you remarked Chair, the original law had a five year implementation period, partly because of the huge number of eviction cases and the need to build out a system. And as currently written the law, Intro. 136 wouldn't hit full phase in until the middle of 2022, till July of 2022. Well, we are facing an avalanche of evictions now post moratorium that changes everything. It changes everything. We must ensure that every single person facing an eviction as we come out of this pandemic has the basic security of an attorney and unless we amend

Intro. 136, we are not going to be able to guarantee that for everyone who qualifies in every zip code.

So, this bill, Intro. 2050 seeks to immediately go citywide to every zip code, so that every tenant who qualifies has the benefit of an attorney. We are not seeking to change the budget for this critical program. It has already been baselined. We want to use the resources that are in place for improving access to Council for tenants and spread them out to every zip code in the city.

So, again, this is not a request for additional resources. This is a request to take the law citywide and if you say, well might that slow down the pace at which we can handle cases. Well, yes and that actually has a lot of benefits. First and foremost, public health. We don't have to have court houses that are once again jammed full to unsafe levels which we certainly saw in Housing Court prior to the pandemic and I certainly believe and I think most of us would agree that having a natural break on the pace of cases in order to accommodate the supply of attorneys for tenants would actually be a good thing from a public health perspective, a safety perspective and a fairness perspective.

2 This is what Intro. 2050 would bring about and I 3 am really thrilled that today we are hearing it. 4 want to acknowledge that the incredible coalition of 5 activist groups, under the umbrella of the Right to Counsel coalition that has led this fight for years 6 now and is behind Intro. 2050, thank you to everyone on the Right to Counsel Coalition and shout out to my 8 own Chief of Staff Aya Keefe, who has been a 10 phenomenal leader in this effort from the very 11 beginning.

I will acknowledge the Committee Staff when I speak later but we appreciate their work as well and now back to you Chair Levin. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you Council Member

Levine. I will turn it over to Council Member Gibson

right now for remarks.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Thank you so much Chair Levin and good afternoon. My colleagues, members of the General Welfare Committee to my partner in this work on this journey, my friend, Council Member Mark Levine and Aya to the entire staff to the Right to Counsel Coalition, housing advocates, organizers, tenants, all across the City of New York. I am very

1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

excited that today's hearing is happening and I want to thank you Chair Steve Levin for your leadership and your support.

I am Council Member Vanessa Gibson. I represent
District 16 in the Bronx and I am proud to speak
today about Intro. 2050 which is on today's agenda
which will amend local law 136 of 2017, the Right to
Counsel Law by requiring the immediate implementation
of access to legal services for a tenant spacing
eviction proceedings in Housing Court citywide.

In 2017, all of you remember the journey when the Right to Counsel Law was enacted and chaptered by Mayor de Blasio. It was a different landscape for tenants in New York City. Many of them went to Housing Court without legal representation. Many did not understand the process. They made deals in the hallways and stairwells with attorneys. They agreed to stipulations that they could not comply with and many, many of them were not represented by legal representation.

Since that time, we have seen other city's follow suit as New York City was groundbreaking in landmark in passing this important piece of legislation. We

have seen Cane Bridge Massachusetts, Newark, San
Francisco, Cleveland, Boulder Colorado and most
recently the City of Baltimore pass similar measures
around universal Right to Counsel. Prior to the
passage of Right to Counsel, the rate of tenants in
New York City facing eviction cases with legal
representation in court was a mere 1 percent back in
2013 years ago. It has reached 38 percent by the end
of 2019. In many of the neighborhoods that we
targeted for Right to Counsel, it reached almost 67
percent of legal representation for tenants. Between
2013 and 2019, the number of evictions dropped to
historic lows to 41 percent. Thanks to the data that
was provided by the Office of Civil Justice OCJ, it's
been proven that when a tenant arrives in Housing
Court armed with a lawyer facing an eviction
proceeding, they are given quality services. They
most likely leave with a positive outcome. When we
unite we win and when we have representation, we can
win in housing court.

For example, in 2018, 2,018 citywide residential evictions executed by city marshals declined by 5 percent. Compared to 2017 and by 31 percent compared

2.2

to 2013. This indicates everyone that Right to
Counsel is working and now on the cusp of a global
pandemic, due to the economic devastation brought to
our city and this country, due to COVID-19, the
shutdown last March countless New Yorkers and tenants
left without work, loss of income falling behind on
rent. Fighting to get the basic necessities, many of
our hardworking New Yorkers and tenants have not been
able to pay their rent during this pandemic. And
fear again, once the eviction moratorium from the
state and the federal governments are lifted, they
will be taken to court and forced to leave their
homes.

On March 16, 2020, when Housing Court closed, with respect to nearly all new and pending matters with the exception of some illegal evictions and lockouts emergency repairs, we have seen Housing Court essentially close its doors but we know that when the moratorium is lifted, those cases will resume. And so, that is why as Council Member Mark Levine said, the Introduction 2050 is so crucially important today in this climate and in this environment. And I want to thank everyone for being

2.2

on this journey with us. This is one of the best
pieces of legislation I have ever been a part of
because I know it is making an impact. I see the
faces of tenants who have been saved with a lawyer,
who remain in their homes, have a roof over their
head, who have given stability and are able to take
care of their families and children. Those faces
look like the City of New York. They look like me
and my community that I represent in the Bronx.

And so, I want to thank the Office of Civil
Justice. I want to thank Jordan Dressler and his
team as well as HRA and DSS and all of our legal
service providers, Housing Court, Answers Tenants
United, everyone for their work thus far. But
specifically during this pandemic, I thank you for
all of the work you have done. To the Right to
Counsel Coalition and everyone that's been united and
organized along the way, I thank you so much. This
important legislation is needed now more than ever
because of the rise in evictions not only in
traditional zip codes but many of the zip codes that
have not faced high rates of eviction.

And so, to all New Yorkers, we want you to know
that we are here for you. We are united like never
before and we will get this done working with the
administration and the advocates and legal service
providers and everyone who has had a role. In my own
borough of the Bronx, I really want to thank CASA
Bronx and Northwest Bronx Community and Clergy
Coalition and Bronx Defenders and CAMBA. Everyone in
the Right to Counsel Coalition, thank you for your
work and thank you my partner Council Member Levine
and the staff and my Director of Policy and
Legislation Jefrey Valasquez and my Chief of Staff
Justin Cortes, thank you so much for your work and
bringing Intro. 2050 to the forefront and being on
today's agenda. Thank you so much Chair Levin and I
look forward to today's hearing. Thank you so much.
CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much Council
Member Gibson and right now, I will turn it over to
Counsel to the Committee Aminta Kilawan to swear in
the Administration.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Chair Levin, my name is Aminta Kilawan, Senior Counsel to the Committee on General Welfare for the New York City

2.2

2.3

Council. I am going to be moderating today's hearing and I will be calling on panelists to testify.

Before we begin, please remember that everyone will be on mute until I call on you to testify. After you are called on, you will be unmuted by a member of our staff. Note that there will be a delay of a few

seconds before you are unmuted and we can hear you.

For public testimony, I will call up individuals in panels. Please listen for your name, I will periodically announce the next few panelists. Once I call your name, a member of our staff will unmute you. The Sergeant at Arms will set a clock and give you the go ahead to begin your testimony and all public testimony will be limited to three minutes. After I call your name, please wait for the Sergeant at Arms to announce that you may begin before starting your testimony.

Now, for today's hearing, the first panel is going to include representatives from the Department of Social Services followed by Council Member questions and then public testimony. In order of speaking, we will have Jordan Dressler Civil Justice Coordinator of HRA's Office of Civil Justice and for the Q&A session, Erin Drinkwater Deputy Commissioner

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

19

18

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JORDAN DRESSLER: I am off mute? Very good, thank you. Good afternoon Chair Levin and members of the General Welfare Committee and thank you for the opportunity to testify today about the Department for Social Services' work on rental and eviction prevention support during the COVID-19 pandemic.

My name is Jordan Dressler and I represent the Office of Civil Justice in the Human Resources Administration, where I am proud to oversee our work as the Civil Justice Coordinator. I am joined today by Bruce Jordan, HRA's Chief Homelessness Prevention Officer and Sara Zuiderveen, Deputy Commissioner for Prevention and Housing Assistance at HRA.

The Homelessness Prevention Administration's mission is to execute programs and services aimed at keeping New Yorkers stably housed, ensuring that they are connected to resources they are eligible for, such as rental assistance and legal services. the Homelessness Prevention Administration are the Housing and Homeless Services Initiatives Division, the Rental Assistance Program, the Early Intervention Outreach Team and the Office of Civil Justice, all of which are vital in assisting New Yorkers in need. part of HRA/DSS, the Office of Civil Justice

launches, manages and monitors the City's civil legal services programs for low-income and other vulnerable

4 New Yorkers in need.

1

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

OCJ is currently working with over 70 nonprofit legal service organizations to ensure thousands of New Yorkers in need across the five boroughs have access to legal services, in legal matters involving housing, immigration and the workplace.

New York City has taken an aggressive and multipronged approach to help New Yorkers stay in their homes and secure stable affordable housing. DSS has leveraged its programs to specifically address housing stability and eviction prevention. Through these initiatives we've built a strong foundation enabling us to effectively serve unstably housed New Yorkers across the five boroughs. Among these programs, we want to highlight the following: First, Legal Services for Tenants and the City's Right-to-Counsel Law: New York City has become the national leader in ensuring that tenants facing housing instability have access to quality legal assistance to help them preserve and protect their homes, first through dramatic multi-year investments in expanding legal services for tenants implemented

have access to free legal services.

in partnership with over twenty nonprofit legal services organizations across the city, and culminating in the City's enactment and HRA's implementation of the nation's first right-to-counsel initiative, ensuring that tenants facing eviction in Housing Court or in NYCHA administrative proceedings

The impacts of these efforts have been dramatic and positive. Residential evictions by city marshals fell by over 40 percent between 2013 and 2019, while nationwide evictions trended upwards, and the percentage of tenants facing eviction in court with the help and protection of legal representation stood at 38 percent at the end of 2019, up from only 1 percent in 2013.

Moreover, in the overwhelming majority of cases, when tenants have lawyers in eviction proceedings, they get positive results. In resolved cases in Fiscal Year 2020, 86 percent of households represented in Housing Court and public housing proceedings by OCJ-funded tenant lawyers were able to remain in their homes. Every day, OCJ partners with legal services providers, court administrators,

2.2

2.3

2.2

judges and other system stakeholders to bolster access to legal assistance.

This effort has led to hundreds of thousands of tenants facing eviction proceedings being able to leverage the support of high quality and free legal assistance through our programs. To date, over 450,000 New Yorkers have received free legal representation, advice, or assistance in eviction and other housing-related matters since 2014 through HRA's legal services programs.

Next, turning to rental assistance programs.

First, through reestablishing rental assistance programs and then streamlining them into one program aligned with the State's FHEPS rental assistance program, we have increased access to rental assistance for New Yorkers struggling to bridge the gap between income and rent. By consolidating prior programs, it is now easier for tenants to request and secure rental assistance. For landlords, fewer programs means easier access and an increased willingness to work with us. We've invested in building out a landlord management system, making it easier for landlords to receive rent payments and easier for DSS to manage caseloads.

2.2

2.3

Additionally, we have worked to expand the accessibility of emergency rent arrears grants, also known as one-shot deals, a program designed to support housing stability by flexibly meeting the circumstances of a household, which may be in need of rent, utilities and mortgage payment support to address arrears, thereby maintaining their housing.

And next, Homebase. we have nearly doubled the number of Homebase centers across the five boroughs, where New Yorkers experiencing housing instability can be connected to various homeless prevention services, as well as where families and individuals transitioning from shelter to permanent housing can receive aftercare support.

The programs mentioned highlight our prevention first approach to addressing housing instability and due to the work of our staff and service providers, we have connected more than 155,000 New Yorkers to rental assistance and rehousing programs and also helped nearly 60,000 rent-burdened households annually pay back rent or utilities.

Next, I want to turn to DSS's Eviction Prevention Work During the COVID-19. While we are proud of our work to increase housing stability and reduce

2.2

2.3

evictions among New Yorkers in need, we are aware that we are now in a markedly different environment. COVID-19 has impacted us, our staff, our clients and our nonprofit partners. COVID-19 has brought on new challenges that we continue to tackle every day, particularly around maintaining and promoting housing stability.

Today, we would like to share with you the actions that we have taken to ensure New York City tenants have the support needed to prevent evictions and further displacement during the COVID-19 pandemic. Our staff at DSS, along with our nonprofit service providers, have been working around the clock to leverage many of the programs mentioned earlier, along with updated strategies, to address these emergency circumstances.

Since the start of the pandemic, the City has advocated for a moratorium on evictions in the legislature and the courts. We also successfully advocated to the State to allow us to move our cash assistance application and interview process online and over the telephone, which meant that no one needed to travel and come into an HRA office to receive rent or utility arrears grants in-person.

2	In addition, we transformed our approach to
3	making legal assistance available to tenants in need
4	in response to the crisis. Working in collaboration
5	with OCJ's legal services partners, Housing Court
6	Answers and the Mayor's Office, we rapidly
7	established a housing legal hotline to provide access
8	to live phone-based legal advice and assistance
9	provided by our tenant legal services partners.
10	Through the hotline, tenants with questions and
11	concerns about eviction and Housing Court as well as
12	other landlord-tenant issues are receiving legal
13	advice and assistance Monday through Friday. These
14	services are currently available via 311 and the
15	Mayor's Public Engagement Unit through the City's
16	Tenant Helpline, and through Housing Court Answers'
17	hotline. Legal advice services are free and are
18	available to all NYC residential renters with housing
19	questions or issues, regardless of income, regardless
20	of zip code and regardless of immigration status.
21	At the start of the pandemic the New York City

At the start of the pandemic the New York City

Housing Court closed with respect to nearly all new

and pending matters including eviction proceedings,

except for "essential" proceedings such as legal

actions to restore possession for tenants who were

illegally evicted or locked out and proceedings to compel landlords to make critical emergency repairs such as restoring lost heat or hot water.

To address the legal needs of these tenants, OCJ worked with legal providers and the Housing Court and immediately established a case referral protocol to connect all unrepresented tenants who file emergency cases in court with free legal representation. Since the start of the pandemic, unrepresented tenants in any zip code, who file an action to be restored to possession after being illegally locked out by their landlord, or who file a Housing Part Action for emergency repairs, are referred to OCJ by the Court for free legal representation by one of OCJ's provider partners to assist and protect tenants in need.

Specifically, the Court scheduled thousands of eviction proceedings that were pending resolution prior to the start of the pandemic for status and settlement conferences and required that only those cases in which all parties were represented by legal counsel could be scheduled for a court conference.

OCJ's legal services providers have participated in thousands of court conferences, representing tenant

from OCJ.

2.2

2.3

clients in pre-pandemic eviction cases. As a result,

all tenant respondents in eviction proceedings

handled by the Housing Court during this period have

been represented by counsel. Regardless of Zip code

or immigration status or income with an income waiver

Throughout the pandemic and prior to the most recent legislation preventing any Housing Court eviction activity in the short term, when state law and court directives enabled landlords to file motions in the Housing Court to permit pre-pandemic eviction warrants to proceed, or the scheduling of conferences in eviction cases involving allegations of nuisance behavior or health and safety issues, OCJ has worked with the Court and legal providers to ensure that no tenant faced the threat of eviction without access to free legal representation.

OCJ has been making free legal representation available to unrepresented tenants who responded in these cases through pre-court referrals and by assigning counsel to any tenant at such conference who wants legal representation in their case. This initiative has been citywide and universal. All tenants facing eviction warrants have been eligible,

2.2

2.3

regardless of zip code, immigration status or whether the tenant may have previously declined or been found ineligible for legal representation under the Universal Access program and regardless of household income with an income waiver by OCJ.

Additionally, to supplement the work above, OCJ has worked in partnership with the Mayor's Office to conduct proactive outreach to tenants at risk of eviction throughout the pandemic, including a mail campaign announcing the launch of the Tenant Helpline last spring as well as targeted mail and phone outreach initiatives directed at New York City tenants who faced pre-pandemic eviction warrants or who were at risk of eviction for failing to appear in court proceedings.

Then turning to the Federal and State Landscape on Rent Relief. We would like to provide an update on the current federal and state landscape around rent relief and eviction moratoriums. As you know, the federal government has enacted several stimulus efforts to address the emerging crises brought on by the pandemic. Most recently, in late December, the federal government approved measures to increase SNAP benefits for millions of Americans facing hunger,

2.2

2.3

provided funding for emergency food banks and children's meals, and today's focus, funding rent relief and most recently, issued an eviction moratorium extension through the end of March.

At the state level, New York has most recently passed an eviction ban extending protections for most tenants through May 1st of this year. Under the newly enacted State law, the COVID-19 Emergency Eviction and Foreclosure Prevention Act, tenants can avert eviction by their landlord if they have lost income or incurred increased expenses during the pandemic, or if moving from their home poses a hardship during the pandemic.

To be protected by this law, tenants must sign a Hardship Declaration form, which can be found on the New York State Courts website and deliver it to their landlord or the landlord's agent or to the Housing Court if they have a pending case. By signing and delivering this form, such tenants cannot be evicted from their primary residence pursuant to a pending case and their landlord may not file new cases to evict such tenants until at least May 1, 2021.

For more information on how these eviction protections may apply to specific tenants, we

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 encourage New Yorkers to contact the City's Tenant 3 Helpline by calling 311 and saying, Tenant Helpline. 4 Additionally, through the New York State Homes and 5 Community Renewal Department, the State is administering the extended COVID Rent Relief 6 Extension Program, where eligible households can receive a one-time rental payment with federal

stimulus funding from the earlier CARES Act.

We are pleased to see action taken by the State to address the real concern of tenants paying their rent through this pandemic. While limited in funding and with upcoming expirations, the rent relief program and eviction moratorium, respectively, are more tools in the tool box for tenants to take advantage of during this unprecedented time. State budget that was released last week, the State has made provision for the implementation of the recently enacted federal rent relief program in the federal stimulus legislation. The program will be administered by the State Office of Temporary and Disability Assistance and we look forward to working with OTDA on the design and implementation of this program, including the implementation of rent relief allocated directly to the City.

2.2

2.3

Now, I would like to turn to the legislation being heard as part of today's hearing Intro. Number 2050 by lead sponsors Council members Levine and Gibson. If enacted, this bill would amend Local Law 136 of 2017, the Housing Court right-to-counsel law, to require the immediate citywide implementation of access to legal services for tenants facing eviction proceedings in Housing Court and NYCHA administrative proceedings.

The Administration is currently reviewing the impacts of this legislation. While we are in favor of the spirit of the legislation and during the pandemic we have made right to counsel representation available on a citywide basis without regard to Zip code, to meet the urgent needs of tenants facing housing instability, we believe that as drafted, the bill could hinder this flexibility which has allowed OCJ and its provider partners to be immediately responsive to the needs of tenants in court and in the community.

Moreover, there is uncertainty about the timing and approach taken by the Federal and State governments on eviction moratoria and the housing legal landscape, and about the needs for legal help

2 ir
3 th
4 di
5 bi
6 cu
7 or
8 ac

2.2

2.3

in and out of court to assist tenants affected by these protections. We look forward to further discussions with the Council and stakeholders on this bill, and in the meantime, we are confident that the current law, our structure and approach and our ongoing dialogue with legal services providers, court administrators and other system stakeholders will enable us to effectively and efficiently make legal assistance and protection available to tenants in need across the five boroughs.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify and speak on the work that DSS and our partners have advanced to protect tenants in need. We look forward to ensuring New Yorkers at risk of eviction have the resources to fend off displacement, and to our ongoing partnership with the City Council to overcome the crisis brought on by this pandemic.

Thank you and I welcome any questions you may have.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony.

Before I call on Chair Levin for questions, I would

like to remind Council Members to please use the

raise hand function in Zoom to indicate that you have

a question for this panel.

2.2

2.3

Please remember to keep questions and answers to five minutes. I will now turn it over to Chair Levin.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much Ms.

Kilawan. Thank you Mr. Dressler and I want to thank
all of the representatives to the Administration for
being here today to answer questions.

So, I will keep my questions somewhat brief here before turning it over to my colleague and uhm, after my questions, I will turn it over to Council Member Levine.

My first question is, what is the plan for the Administration communicating to the public what their options are as you know, because there is various iterations that we have seen so far, different state laws, different state initiatives. Obviously, federal eviction moratorium. What is the plan to communicate to the public? Are we doing television advertisement? What's the — radio advertisement? I haven't seen anything on TV about this. You know, but I do see the advertisements around COVID initiatives. So, what's the plan there in terms of public outreach?

JORDAN DRESSLER: So, I think — thank you for the question. Uhm, you know there have been efforts both within DSS and more broadly with the Mayor's Office to Protect Tenants in Public Engagement Unit around outreach since the beginning of the pandemic.

When there was a need to distribute and get out good reliable and often very changing information about what the various protections were at the beginning of the pandemic, we all got together to put together the tenant helpline, which provides access both to static information as well as more individualized legal advice for tenants across the city.

That was launched in April with a post card campaign targeting neighborhoods across the city to make folks aware of the availability, the tenant helpline through 311 that reached approximately 1.3 million recipients in terms of post cards. And we received thousands of calls since that time.

Questions ranging from I need legal advice and assistance, to is the Housing Court open?

What are the protections and so forth.

2.3

We have conducted more targeted outreach to tenants to try to address some of the more specific and changing details around the housing legal landscape via tenants who might have been facing a pre-pandemic eviction warrant at a time when the court was moving forward with certain cases in which a landlord was seeking to enforce that warrant involving unrepresented tenants.

The other thing to bear in mind is that many, many tenants in the City of New York already had

Council and we have been instrumental I think in providing our legal services providers with good and up to the minute information about the status of the housing legal landscape at any point and time distributing the various orders, executive orders, administrative orders that have sometimes changed the ground under practitioners and tenants alike.

Looking forward in the future, I know that we respected the hardship declaration. The Mayor's Office to Protect Tenants is leading a campaign to conduct pretty broad-based outreach to make people aware of the availability of the hardship declaration, eligibility for the hardship declaration that can put a pause if you are eligible on a Housing

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Court eviction proceeding or the threat of a Housing

Court eviction proceeding through May 1<sup>st</sup> under the

new state law.

And for our part, we are looking at a much broader public media campaign around the right to counsel in the spring for when Housing Court is open. Part of the issue around that is that the opening of Housing Court is and has been a moving target and we certainly don't want to lead anyone to think that Housing Court is open when it is not. Throughout this process whenever there have been communications from the court to litigant's in housing court, either inviting them or requiring them to make a virtual appearance back in court, making them aware of an obligation to answer a petition, as was the case towards the end of the calendar year. We have been successful in ensuring that there is information about the availability of legal assistance included in those materials and directing effected tenants to reach out to take advantage of that legal assistance.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Uhm, is the city helping tenants fill out hardship applications?

JORDAN DRESSLER: I think at this point, anyone who has a question about whether or how to fill out a

\_

2.3

Z 4

hardship declaration, can and should call 311 and access the tenant helpline. And if it is something more complex that requires the assistance of counsel, they will be connected with one of our legal services providers to walk through that more specific situation.

The Housing Court answers which is our non-profit partner, both with our office as well as the Office of Court Administration is also a terrific resource to help navigate the hardship declaration process.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: The city applied for its own allocation from the federal government for rent relief funds and the city's allocation is about 20 percent of the state's allocation, and obviously the city's need is much greater than 20 percent of the overall state need.

What's the city's — I mean, first off, what's the city's plan to get its fair share with regard to the rest of New York State and then, are we looking at setting up our own French relief program outside of the program that would be administered through OTDA or DHCR?

JORDAN DRESSLER: Thank you for the question Chair Levin. I am going to turn it over to my

colleague Erin Drinkwater to respond to that if that's okay.

ERIN DRINKWATER: Thanks for the question Council Member. Yeah, so New York City was allocated direct contribution in addition to what the state was allocated. And we were in ongoing conversations with OTDA about the administration of those state dollars and what those programs will look like.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. So, it is as yet undecided uhm, whether the city will be administering it through your agency for example, rather than being administered through the state agency? Does the city hope to be able to administer it themselves?

ERIN DRINKWATER: Apologies, I did exactly what Aminta had asked me not to do, which was mute myself again.

So again, so our conversations are with OTDA.

Our goal is to make sure that these funds are being targeted and getting out the door most effectively and most efficiently to those who are in need of assistance and rent arrears. We would be happy to provide the Council, this Committee and others with updates as those conversations progress but at this time, we don't have more detail.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: The Statute allows landlords to apply with tenants. What is the city's plan to facilitate this? As that would be a — you know a preferable outcome.

ERIN DRINKWATER: Sorry, can you repeat the question? For landlords to apply with tenants.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: With their tenants as part, you know, concurrent with their tenant.

ERIN DRINKWATER: So, I am going to ask Bruce if he has additional detail on this to jump in.

BRUCE JORDAN: Yes, so thank you Erin and thank
you Council Member Levin. As part of the discussions
that Erin mentioned with the state, that particular
off ramp is being discussed. I also believe HPD
would play some type of role in that along with their
CBO's to help the landlords access that process. But
like Erin said, we don't have the details at the
moment.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, so there is a role for HPD in making contact with landlords, is that right? So, landlords that are all registered with HPD.

BRUCE JORDAN: Yeah, it would probably help feedback into the ultimate portal or whatever process would be there and they would help do some type of

2.2

2.3

outreach I understand but there is preliminary
discussions right now, nothing has been worked out.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Uhm, and then can you speak about the city's plans to reach some of the hardest to reach clients? Clients that would be people without immigration status, people with disabilities, the elderly. Tenants without access to technology. I mean, I think of you know, a senior citizen who you know, is really not uhm, you know, just doesn't have that type of access or ability with technology.

JORDAN DRESSLER: Yeah, I mean, I can address that. I mean, the question is certainly a question about immigration status. Legal services are available to all New York City tenants regardless of immigration status. That's been — I have made that clear in every communication that is out there.

The question of the access to technology, uhm, is a trickier one. One thing I would point out in respect to legal proceedings, one thing that has been a moderate success has been just you know, the telephone. And so, the courts have been holding telephonic conferences in many instances and tenant lawyers of course are able to stay in close touch with their clients through the phone. And that has

/

2.2

2.3

at least in part, made sure that tenants are informed about the status of their case, or able to assist with their own defense in a housing court eviction proceeding and are able to coordinate well with their counsel.

I know that — and I will turn it over to Erin to talk a little bit about some of the actions being taken with HRA and access to benefits with respect to technology.

know, the complicating factors of COVID, in terms of highlighting some of the things like the digital divide. The you know, inability for folks who don't have access to broadband or the internet but have also you know, made a real effort to ensure that HRA programs and services are available to clients in the comfort of their home through Access HRA that they are able to call 311 and Infoline. We are really working to address some of the issues with wait times on Infoline that I know some advocate groups have relayed to us.

Additionally, we continue to get out information as Jordan said, through some more non — you know, technology related ways. Uhm, the agency since the

2.2

start of the pandemic has been holding weekly calls
with the Commissioner, which our elected partners and
CBO partners join each week anywhere from you know,
150 to 200 callers call in have the ability to ask
the Commissioner questions directly about programs
and policies that have changed over the course of
these past couple of months and then each week that
email or excuse me, that call is followed by an
email. The distribution to that has grown to about
4,500 individuals. So, each week that email is
getting sent to a wide cut of CBO partners across the
City as well as elected staff across the city who we
hope are relaying that information as well in the
communities that they serve and represent.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: What is the city's plan on uhm, the federal law requires the prioritization of individual tenants under 50 percent of AMI. What is the city's plan to execute that requirement? How is the city going about tiering its priorities in terms of communities that have been hardest hit through COVID or how is that? Because it is clear at the moment at least without any further allocations of the federal government that we don't have enough

2 money to cover all rent arrears in the city right now.

I think that it has been estimated by the, one of
the landlord associations that \$1.2 million is the
overall rental arrears in the city right now and
obviously that far exceeds what we have here in New

So, how are we addressing prioritization?

BRUCE JORDAN: Can you hear me Councilman?

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Sorry?

1

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

York City.

BRUCE JORDAN: Can you hear me?

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Oh, yes I can. Thank you.

BRUCE JORDAN: So, as myself and Erin previously stated so far in this testimony in relation to stimulus, the conversation just started with the state and everyone can apply and you don't need to be in Housing Court and you don't have to have a certain immigration status right, for the stimulus money but I think one of the things the city is thinking about in trying to define populations and identify them to the state and what other mechanisms they are use in partnership with us is to prioritize people in Housing Court that could be brought back. Let's say

cases that started, that were bought back to the

2.2

2.3

court from June of 2020 to December 2020 that might be at most risk to be t'd up once the moratorium ends.

So, that's just one idea. It hasn't been written in stone because some of the discussions we are starting to have.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: The people with the furthest back cases. So, uhm, this will be my last question for this round and then I will turn it over to my colleagues but the big picture, are we anticipating whenever moratoria we are talking about. Whether it is the state moratorium or federal moratorium, are we anticipating that we are going to see a deluge of evictions filed and do we think right now with the resources that we have from the federal government and stimulus dollars, do we think that we have the capacity to deal with that? Do we think that we have the, just the resources to deal with that. The money to be able to offset those types of arrears.

JORDAN DRESSLER: Can I just address the Housing
Court and Legal Services aspect of that question
first? You know, there is going to be sort of
natural limit on the timing, the jeopardy that a

2.2

2.3

2 tenant may face in Housing Court due to the limited
3 capacity in Housing Court.

It is extremely unlikely bordering on the impossible that the New York City Housing Court can return to what it looked like in 2018, in 2017. The number of cases that were filed in Housing Court was already on a downswing heading into City Fiscal Year '20 and needless to say that downswing has continued throughout the pandemic. Far fewer cases filed.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: It's being artificially suppressed. So, when the spigot gets turned back on — I mean, I guess the question is, do we anticipate that it is going to be, spigot — you know, and just use the metaphor for example, if you turn it on at full blast, are we expecting it is going to be turned on gradually. Whether or not — I mean, Housing Court; I understand what you are getting at which is the Housing Court just has a natural limit in terms of the number of cases that it could administer but you know, I am talking about the cases being filed. Are we going to be able to deal with this onslaught of cases or do we not expect that there will be an onslaught of cases or how are we reconciling that eventually we need the money to be able to offset a

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

lot of these arrears that people are not going to be able to afford?

I mean, frankly like you know, who is banking escrow you know 15 months of rent? If they are not having access to a one shot.

JORDAN DRESSLER: You know, on the filing question you know, all we can do I think is look to the most recent data. Filing eviction proceedings

has been down compared to the year before, which was already down compared to the year before that.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: That's because we didn't have 14 percent unemployment in the city. I mean, that's when people were able to make - I mean, have a job.

JORDAN DRESSLER: True, the case volume is quite a bit lower than it was and part of that is in response to the challenges that all litigants face in Housing Court and the knowledge that an eviction proceeding filed is going to be met with a lawyer for the tenant on the other side of that case. And all the challenges and the expenses on the landlord's side that come along with having to actually mount an actual case. Which is a - Council Member Levine

2 said, part of the true game change associated with 3 right to counsel.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay but I guess, let me ask it this way. How many renters, how many tenants do we believe in New York City right now has arrears that are due to the pandemic? Do we have a kind of estimate of how many tenants we are talking about?

JORDAN DRESSLER: I don't have an estimate on that.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Then of those — of that universe, how many are able to file for a hardship application? How many hardship applications have been filed?

JORDAN DRESSLER: It's hard to say. It has only been several hundred according to the one's that have been filed with the court. Remember that hardship declarations can be submitted to landlords directly and it is way too soon to say how many of those have come through. Most importantly that number is just a small share of what is expected as the communication from the Housing Court to litigants in all cases only occurred this weekend. So, they are expecting thousands of hardship declarations to be filed to act as a delay on pending cases at least through May 1st.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, I'm just, I am not totally sure I see the big picture here of you know, the — what I anticipate to be hundreds of thousands of potential cases.

You know, I think if we are looking at the kind of world, I understand that there is kind of deterrence, the length of time it takes to take someone to court. The fact that they might have access or they are likely to have access to a lawyer. Uhm, uhm, you know, the fact of just kind of well, administratively Housing Court is going to have to take a long time to go through cases. And so, it might not be in the landlords best interest to pay for their own attorney to go through that process. You know, but I am just, I am just a little — I am having a hard time seeing the kind of big picture strategy for how to deal with you know potentially hundreds of thousands —

BRUCE JORDAN: So, if I may interject Councilman,
I think I would like to piggyback off of one term you
mentioned in your question, which was some people
might not have access to a one-shot. So, I think
part of this formula of the unknow, the cliff that
you are implying would be the fact that since the

2.2

2.3

beginning of the pandemic, the HRA workforce along with OTDA and certain waivers and also working with the unions and setting up a work from home, telework system that we had no model or precedent for. Staff both in places where we needed to stay client facing, open facilities, which we have some in each borough and the extension of online access, like Erin had testified earlier.

I really believe that our ability to keep
business open, pretty much as usual, which we have
done throughout the pandemic. We have continued to
process one-shot deals whether it has Housing Court,
whether it was all Housing Court or no Housing Court
at all. So, hopefully some of those cases will
continue to filter through, which will hopefully
minimize the cliff that we are hopefully anticipating
won't happen but I just think that should be noted.

That we are still doing the brisk business in one-shot deals and you don't require Housing Court action.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you Mister. Okay, I will turn it over to Council Member Levine and Council Member Gibson for questions.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you so much and I do want to start by thanking you Jordan for what you have done over the last four years to put together this office and to build it out. Really, really grateful for your leadership.

I do also as promised, want to thank the incredible team on this Committee, the General Welfare Committee who has worked so hard on this.

Aminta Kilawan Counsel, Crystal Pond and Natalie Omary who are the Policy Analysts. And Jordan, I just wanted to start by asking if you can clarify, how many times have landlords been allowed to proceed with an eviction since the pandemic began?

JORDAN DRESSLER: According to the data that we have seen from the Department of Investigation, residential evictions by city marshals have occurred four times since the beginning of the pandemic, four.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Right, but there are a vastly larger number of pre-pandemic evictions where the motions are, at least proceeding, correct?

JORDAN DRESSLER: There were roughly — there have been roughly 3,700, 3,700 motions filed by landlords since those motions were permitted in the fall to be restored to the calendar but many of those cases

2 remain pending because in all of those cases, legal 3 representation was made available to tenants.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Okay and -

1

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JORDAN DRESSLER: If I could Council Member just because I think this exemplifies the approach that we have really worked to take since the beginning of the pandemic.

This was a situation where due to the changes in the governor's executive orders coupled with the statewide court administrative orders in which the New York City Housing Court was ready to permit prepandemic warrants of eviction that had been previously awarded by courts to proceed. And so, we advocated with the Housing Court to institute a motion practice in partnership with probably all of the advocates who are on this call today, as well as all of the legal services providers can institute a motion practice. To require the landlord to come back to the court and ask permission to proceed. Not only because this was the right thing to do in terms of the legal process but because it would provide us with an opportunity to work in partnership with the providers in the court to put in place legal services providers on a rotation, so that we could ensure that

2.2

2.3

2 every tenant had access to legal representation in 3 the middle of the pandemic.

This was a new approach for the court. A new approach for providers and a new approach for us. And we did so without consideration of zip code, without consideration of income with an income waiving from OCJ.

This really exemplifies the approach we have taken since the beginning of the pandemic and it is the approach that we intent to take heading through the rest of this fiscal year as well as into the next fiscal year which was intended to be our full year of implementation anyway when we were going to be doing away with the zip code approach in any event.

So, we have accelerated that process in the midst of this pandemic and we intend to continue that citywide without regard to zip code approach here.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Absolutely and we appreciate that and the concern is that the avalanche of evictions ahead of us is going to make all this much more difficult and going to make the stakes so much higher and that's the reason why we are pushing forward this amendment to the existing law in the [INAUDIBLE 1:00:57] of 2050. And I know you sort of

## COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2.2

2.3

touched on this but could you just tell us again,
what is the Administrations position on this piece of
legislation and if you are not supporting it, why
not?

JORDAN DRESSLER: You know look, as I said, we certainly not only support the spirit of the law but I think that we are really implement it, the law, in great respects even today by ensuring that zip code is no longer a factor in terms of determining eligibility for legal services. I think one of the things that we want to discuss and keep an eye on — discuss with the Council and other stakeholders is the level of flexibility that it allows and the level of flexibility it might remove.

If we have tenants in New York City who need help with hardship declarations who aren't in proceedings. They wouldn't necessarily get legal services through the right to counsel laws. It's those who are in proceedings and one thing that we have done since the beginning of the pandemic is try to be flexible.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

JORDAN DRESSLER: And remain responsive to the needs in the community. Whether it is in the form of providing advice through the Housing Legal Helpline

or ensuring the tenants who are facing and need critical repairs through emergency HB actions have access to legal assistance.

So, it is a cliché to say the devil is in the details but we want to be sure that we are all moving the same direction and allowing for the right kind of flexibility while still committing to citywide implementation.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: I am sure on time, I will just ask the Chairs indulgence for just one or two more questions and I will try and make it quick, if that's okay.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Of course, of course, no, no, it's fine. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you. Obviously, we need to ensure that no cases proceed without the tenant having an attorney and that's going to be tougher and higher stakes in the months ahead postmoratorium.

Am I correct that any judge or the OCA more broadly, Office of Court Administration more broadly has the discretion to hold from proceeding on any case if a tenant does not have the attorney?

2.2

2.3

JORDAN DRESSLER: You know, I will answer the question this way. The presiding court in any particular case can make its determinations on scheduling and what needs to be in place and how long a case may take in its discretion based on input from the parties. I think, you know, in response to your question Council Member, I think nothing succeeds like success and so, what we have done is tried to model an approach that makes legal services available to those who reach out for it prior to that first court appearance, whenever it is scheduled or rescheduled by the court, as well as at that first court appearances the law requires.

That's been a success when we worked it out with respect to the so-called 213 motions, those motions to enforce pre-pandemic warrants and because of that success, I think, when it became time for the court to start hearing a small but important number of pre-pandemic nuisance holdovers that are permitted to proceed even now in the midst of the court stay, this idea of setting up an upfront court part with a legal provider who is working on rotations and make legal services available right then and there. The court

came to us with that proposal and so, we would like to set up a similar part, a nuisance part.

Our discussions with our legal providers across the city as a result were very straight forward and we had the pools of tools in place that we had developed over the last several months. The rotation and understanding of how cases can go and an expectation of offering legal representation in these cases, that we were able to mobilize virtually immediately. Those cases began to be heard in housing court in the four big boroughs this week. I am sorry, last week and we are in constant dialog about how that is going.

So, I think as long as we can continue to show efficiency and effectiveness in our implementation, we think we will have good partners on the court side as well as on the provider side.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you and just finally, the power of right to counsel is in part giving tenants the knowledge that they can stand and fight if they face unfair treatment by a landlord for example. And we know that tenants who don't — are not aware they have an attorney ready to help them sometimes in the face of an eviction proceeding would

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

take a buy out or even in some cases, flea the apartment rather than have to confront an eviction. That's particularly true with I think people who have

immigration documentation challenges etc.

So, informing the public and tenants of the existence of this right is actually extremely important and will be now in the post-pandemic phase even more than ever.

Can you tell us about the city's effort to make sure that every tenant in New York City knows to have this right. Not necessarily just at the moment that they show up to court for the eviction but that they know in general that they have this backup if there is conflict with their landlord and what we could do to ramp up the scale of that outreach now given what we expect to have to deal with post-pandemic.

I appreciate the question. JORDAN DRESSLER: know one thing that we have done that was able to be accelerated in the events through the pandemic, is work in partnership with the court to ensure the information about availability. Legal Services in New York City is on every official notification from the court. That information coming with the

2.2

2.3

imprimatur of the courts seal almost, letting tenants know that they have access to legal services and here is how you can find out more about them has been a really critical piece in getting the word out.

I think more broadly, as I said, we do have concrete plans for a much broader media based approach around the right to council and you know, part of the issue there is just understanding what the right kind of timing ought to be and what the right kind of distribution ought to be and we are working in partnership with the Mayor's Office on thinking that through. We look forward to staying in close touch with the Council on that as the day grows closer for the release of that.

Finally, one thing that we did knowing that folks are often on the computer and looking for information is update our website and make it a bit more user friendly, more oriented around the tenant who has questions. This was keeping in the spirit of the city's tenant resource portal which is hosted by the Mayor's Office to Protect Tenants which provides a lot of information about tenants. For tenants with landlord/tenant disputes.

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN:

Council Member Gibson for questions.

I will turn it over to

But for our part, we updated our legal services for tenants page and assigned it a new URL, so tenants with questions about how to access legal services through OCJ can go to nyc.gov/rtc for Right to Counsel and access that information.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you and my time is up. We want to accelerate outreach to tenants even more and Council Member Gibson and I have a bill which we heard prior to the pandemic that would require contracting with community based organizations on the ground to do this outreach. Because they are incredible messengers and they're in the neighborhoods and they have cultural competency.

So, that's not the topic of today's hearing but I just want to remind everybody about how important the outreach component is. So, I am way over time. Thank you Mr. Chair for the extra time. Thank you again to Council Member Gibson for your partnership and leadership and thank you Jordan for the work that you are doing for this important cause. Thank you.

JORDAN DRESSLER: Thank you Council Member.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Thank you. Sorry about the delay. Thank you Chair and thank you Council Member Levine and Jordan to you and your staff at OCJ, thank you very much. We had the chance to speak earlier last week about the work that you and your team have been doing during the pandemic and I am just reminded of the critical nature of this work.

Even in the midst of this global pandemic, the fact that courts are closed, we know that tenants are still facing harassment. Many have fallen behind in rent and are really struggling for basic necessities.

So, I can't emphasize how important this topic is as well as all of the other issues that we have been talking extensively about around food insecurity, around affordable housing. So, I really want to echo the sentiments of Council Member Levine in just saying that we are grateful. We have still been working. Our city workers, HRA, DSS, you know, we are all still working to the very best that we can to provide the services that are very critical.

So, I am glad you talked in your testimony about the work that's been done during the pandemic and certainly supporting the spirit of the bill is a good start and you know we are going to keep pushing to

get us to the victory line. But I wanted to ask

specifically, Council Member Levine in his opening

talked about this bill 2050 not adding any additional

cost to the implementation of expanding Right to

6 Counsel since we are currently in a five year phase

in.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

So, number one, I wanted to ask, does the Administration believe that there is an additional cost beyond what's already allocated to implement 2050 and then I want to understand for the broader public and those that are watching, how many zip codes is Right to Counsel serving today and during the pandemic, have you noticed, have you seen any trends in the data collected of additional zip codes that you have been serving that are not in the original and expanded zip code list and are we looking at some of those trends to see where there are populations and zip codes that would ultimately be served by this bill that are not necessarily in the expansion where we can target now as we look to expand on the zip code coverage.

JORDAN DRESSLER: So, Council Member, I appreciate the question because I think it gives me an opportunity to make a few things clear and of

2.2

2.3

course I want to first and foremost say thank you for your support for the work in my office and most importantly for the work being done everyday by our legal services provider partners. You know, part of what we have attempted to do is set up the structures that can best connect tenants with the lawyers who can help them. But at the end of the day, it's the lawyers and their teams who are doing the work on behalf of tenants and I happen to acknowledge all of the important work that they are doing and their flexibility in working with us and the courts to ensure that in this new normal, whether it is through phonelines or virtual conferences, the legal services are being made available for tenants who need it.

With respect to zip codes, it was always our intention in the coming fiscal year to do away with zip codes entirely, as anything remotely like ineligibility requirement. Fiscal '22 is the last year of our implementation. When the pandemic hit, we accelerated that process right from the very first. So, the very first thing that we did in seeing that even at a time a very limited activity in housing court, emergency proceedings in which a

2.2

2.3

their landlord. Emergency proceedings in which a tenant is seeking emergency repairs. We eliminated any zip code requirements; made that clear to the providers, made that clear to the court and put in a process to with the housing court to say, anyone who is facing — who is bringing such a case, bring that information to OCJ and we will assign to a lawyer who can help them.

That has been the approach that we have continued throughout. So today, if anyone is facing an eviction proceeding in housing court and appears in housing court, they will have access to legal representation, regardless of their zip code. We have no intention of returning to a zip code approach.

With that being said and answer to your question, we have started to look at the zip code question within the cases that have been handled. Those zip codes look roughly similar to the kinds of zip codes that were the highest in the number of eviction proceedings. The highest in the number of cases in which legal services were being provided. But for

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

2.3

24

our purposes now and I hope for everyone else's, that question is irrelevant. We see this as - with all due respect. We see this as a citywide effort that really has to meet tenants where they are and where they are citywide.

And so, I think the goal for us is better understanding -

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

JORDAN DRESSLER: Where the best place to connect tenants with counsel at a time of great uncertainty as to how people are being connected and of course to continue to work in very close partnership with the courts and with the legal services providers to make those processes a success.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Okay, I am so glad to hear that and I thank you for clarifying that. So, it is made clear to the broader public and advocates that no tenants will be turned away from services regardless of what zip code they live in.

And so, I also wanted to ask, you mentioned in your testimony that the legislation before the Committee today would not allow the administration the flexibility in terms of providing the services.

2.2

2.3

So, doesn't it seem that we are gearing towards that citywide expansion anyway? So, what is it about the bill and we can speak off line about specific legislative language that would prohibit the agency from implementing the full citywide measure that we are already starting to do anyway.

JORDAN DRESSLER: You know, I think the flexibility has to do with those needs from legal services than they occur sort of outside of the four corners of the Right to Counsel law. Things like legal assistance and for tenants who are in disputes with landlords but haven't yet been sued or legal services for tenants who need help with hardship declarations. Or whatever we don't know right now that may come down the pike in the form of needs or in the federal moratorium, which right now is only lasting until March but we don't know.

If there is one thing that we have learned throughout this process is that this is a legal landscape that can change on a dime. And that is a very frustrating place for many tenants to be. It is certainly a very frustrating place for many practitioners to be.

2.2

2.3

What we have tried to do throughout is make sure that the stakeholders that we work with have the information as quickly as possible. So, many mornings, providers have woken up to getting an order in the email. You know, a new executive order in their email inboxes from us in nearly all circumstances. They had it already because their good lawyers and they are clued in but we want to make sure that everybody has the right information.

But as a result, we need the opportunity to make sure that we are looking holistically at needs for tenants for legal help and able to address that, both most urgent needs as quickly as possible. And so, we look forward to further discussions.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Okay, thank you and Mr.

Chair, just two very last quick questions, I promise.

I appreciate you saying that and I also think that
you know, this pandemic is a reminder of a lot of
deficiencies that we have in our systems today but it
is also a learning lesson. I think you know; often
times we have to stay ready so we don't have to get
ready. And as we are learning this new normal and
trying to adjust to this environment we are working
in, we have to be very prepared for what lies ahead

2.2

2.3

when the moratorium is lifted. And what we know will be an avalanche of cases in Housing Court. So, I also wonder, someone, one of my colleagues talked about language access, which is very important and you talked about that during the pandemic. Making sure that we capture those that are non-Native English speakers and that speak English as a second language. Make sure that we communicate in the way they understand. That is critically important.

I wonder how we are capturing those households that have fallen behind in rent but not yet receiving any eviction notice, right. So, they are on the cusp of a pending eviction. How do we reach out to them to allow them an understanding of what services are available? You talked about the website, that's great but a lot of households don't have internet connection and connectivity.

So, how do we get to those locations? Are we using home base, HRA doing work? You know, shout out to our Administrator Gary Jenkins and his team but what resources and tools in the toolbox do we have in place to help capture those households that may not already be on our radar?

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

JORDAN DRESSLER: You know Erin, if it is okay, I am going to turn it to you to just talk a little bit about the agencies communications that have been happening regularly with our partners both in the elected side and CBO's and those communications which have included information about Housing Court, eviction moratorium and legal services, as well as the broader context of social services in DSS.

ERIN DRINKWATER: That's right, thank you Jordan and thank you Council Member for the question. since the start of the pandemic which the Commissioner has engaged in a call with our elected partners and CBO partners. Each week there is anywhere from 100 to 200 folks on the call. able to ask the Commissioner questions about policies and program changes that have been made over the course of the pandemic both in response to executive orders, agency directed policy change, our ongoing work and engagement with OTA, the courts and then following those calls, there is an email sent to approximately 4,500 individuals where we share additional information. This includes everything from updates about how to file for enhanced

2.2

unemployment benefits. How to apply for cash
assistance online using access to HRA. How to apply
for SNAP, making individuals aware of the increases
to benefits. So, throughout the course of the
pandemic there has been federal action that has
increased the SNAP allocation for individuals and
families who are in desperate need of food resources.
Information about pandemic EBT, which is administered
by the state.

So, we have really tried to in real time as best we can, provide information and updates to our elected partners and CBO partners with the understanding that that information would then spread out like a spiderweb. You know, really reaching into the client base.

In terms of tracking, we worked through you know, our normal processes in which individuals are applying for benefits and you know, tracking the information in the normal course of work. There are other programs that have been stood up across this agency that certainly fall under the sort of social services umbrella. I am thinking of the Get Food Initiative for example. That is not a direct HRA

2.2

2.3

administered program but our emergency food offices within HRA do work very closely with the Get Food team. Again, to make sure that the resources that are available to New Yorkers are making their way into those households that need it.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Thank you and that's very, very helpful to understand. I am sure I know many of those CBO's that you partner with and speak frequently to. The Get Food NYC program, PEBT SNAP benefits, Health Box, I mean all of that is important because it is not just about the stability of a roof over your head but it is also about access to other necessities.

so, I am glad that you all get it and have been engaging folks on the ground. A lot of these organizations have a continuity of services. They already have relationships. They are already doing some sort of remote services anyway. I think about some of our healthcare providers that are doing home visits. Checking on you know, medically frail and elderly and you know, those that are living alone. Very, very isolated during the pandemic.

2.2

2.3

So, that's good to hear. The final question I have is related to the one-shot deal and I wanted to understand what the agency is doing to make any reforms to one-shot deals in the middle of this climate knowing that there is an economic hardship for so many families to meet the monthly rent. With stagnant wages, loss of income, how can we reform the one-shot deal program? The eviction? Filing requirement? Are we loosening some of those things? Like are we working with our state partners to look at any opportunities to make it easier for clients to access one-shot deals as a way to help them with a lot of the back rent that they are facing.

ERIN DRINKWATER: Sure, so I will start and then maybe my colleague Mr. Jordan will jump in. We have certainly engaged in ongoing conversations with OTDA and our partners throughout the pandemic in terms of where we are able to you know, loosen eligibility requirements or suspend eligibility requirements to make sure that we are getting you know, the necessary resources to the individuals who need it.

Those conversations have both been successful in the terms of OTDA granting particular waivers. I think the waiver for the cash assistance interview by

2.2

2.3

telephone is a major one. And then there have been waivers that we have requested from the state that have been denied. For example, we requested the state FHEPS eligibility to be changed, so that way uhm, an individual does not have to have the eviction proceeding right. If there is an eviction moratorium, a client isn't facing an eviction proceeding and therefore is found ineligible for state FHEPS. That particular waiver from the state was denied.

We continue these conversations to try to make sure that again, we are looking at you know the statutory requirements and regulatory requirements and seeing where we can work with our partners to make those changes and I will turn over to Bruce if he has anything to add.

BRUCE JORDAN: Yeah, I would just add very briefly that what you just pointed out, FHEPS has always had the requirement based on a lawsuit going back to Jiggetts and the Pina settlement. But the one-shot deal has never had a requirement for legal action. There has always been this number sometimes unfortunately but the basic criteria for a one-shot deal is, you owe rent, you have proof that you owe

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

rent from a landlord. It doesn't have to be a court stipulation and if you have a reasonable future plan

to pay your rent, we can assess you.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Okay, thank you. anything that we have asked this data that's been denied, that's just a setback. A setback is preparation for a comeback. So, let's try again and certainly using us, the relationships that we have with our colleagues in Albany. This is a new year, a new chapter, a new Administration so I say you know, let's try to get these you know there hurdles addressed so that we can make it easier for our clients in the middle of a pandemic. So, I am done with questions. My time is up but I really thank all of you. Thank you Bruce. Thank you Erin and Jordan and the HRA team, the DSS team, the OCJ team, every acronym. Thank you guys really for the work you are It does not go unnoticed and unrecognized and doing. working with all of our civil legal service providers on the ground, many of whom I have talked to.

I know that this has not been an easy time but I appreciate the impact that we are making and we just have to keep pushing because the reality is there are a lot of tenants out there that need our support and

2.2

2.3

2 need our priority and our attention and we can not 3 lose sight of that right now.

So, I am looking forward to working with you. We are going to get to the victory line on 2050 I am sure of it and I appreciate you coming today and really everything that you and your team have done during the course of this pandemic.

So, thank you so much and thank you Chair Levin for allowing me the additional time. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you Council Member

Gibson. I just want to apologize in advance, my kids

just got home so you might hear some company in the

background.

Bruce, before I turn it over to Council Member Grodenchik, I wanted to ask you one quick question. Being that the limitations to one-shots are what you just outlined, you know, presumably, there are many tenants that would qualify much more than the city has the resources to accommodate. How would we be assessing one-shot applications under the kind of preexisting standards that you know, we had prior to COVID.

I mean, if the standards are that they are able to pay — you know, they don't need an eviction proceeding. They just have to demonstrate that they can pay the rent moving forward and you know, they were paying up until I mean, just as a scenario or hypothetical, paying their rent up until COVID and then uhm, you know, lost their employment during COVID. You know, accrued arrears but once we are out of the pandemic, they are able to work full-time and can pay their rent.

You know, that seems like a fairly common scenario that we can anticipate. What's the limitations of one-shot in that scenario?

BRUCE JORDAN: So, thank you for that question

Chair Levin. I think that we would probably have to

go back to applying some of the other criteria's. I

made it just seem a little too simple but the main

criteria is that a mandatory, obviously you have to

have proof of your rent arrears, obviously right.

And you have to have a future plan but one of the

things we take into account all along is what was the

reason that you fell into arrears right. It just

can't be a story, hopefully there is some

2.2

2.3

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2	documentation.	Some	form	of	а	hardship,
_	0.0000	~ ~ ~		~ -	-	

3 mismanagement. Also the amount of arrears, right.

At a certain point, it is probably more feasible to

5 have someone relocate than stay. Depending on the

6 affordability of the housing. There is a lot of

7 other little complicated factors that go into our

8 assessment or evaluation every day. So, I guess if

9 we ever reach that type of dilemma where the state

10 and city funding - yes, go ahead.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: I was just going to ask - so that was my son actually. That was my son.

BRUCE JORDAN: So, I guess we had to start shaving things down if all of sudden their funding became unavailable, even at the pre-pandemic level. We would just have to take a stricter look I guess with the current program rubric that we do. But the main mandatory things are of future ability of just proving the arrears. But we do [INAUDIBLE 1:29:07] every day.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Wouldn't it make sense to just take the federal stimulus dollars uhm, hopefully more would be coming in in the upcoming stimulus deal from the Biden Administration and just take those

2 stimulus dollars and apply them to rent arrears

2.2

2.3

3 through the one-shot program. Wouldn't that make a

4 | lot of sense?

BRUCE JORDAN: So, Chair Levin, that's what I believe myself and Erin sort of testified. That's the sort of the direction of the conversations with the state.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, I mean I would prefer to advocate. If they don't reinvent the wheel, we have a pretty — I mean, I will say this and anyone that asks me, you know, what has been the most meaningful uhm, uh, work that the de Blasio Administration has gone around homelessness and I will say Civil Legal Services, the increase of Civil Legal Services and the increase of one-shots, which has you know, stabilized a lot, a lot of tenants across New York City.

You know, just to put it in context, I mean, you know, prior to 2014, there is \$6 million in Civil Legal Services in the City of New York under the — \$6 million and this Administration has brought it up to over \$60, \$70, \$80 million annually but the expansion of one-shots and the eligibility of one-shots has

been transformational from last administration to
this administration.

So, certainly that's something that I think we can advocate for you know, again, not reinventing the wheel here but perhaps bolstering the system that's been so effective for the last eight —

I will turn it over to Council Member Grodenchik now.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

an extra five minutes in advance. I am only kidding. Thank you Chair Levin. You kind of took my questions because we usually anticipate each other line of questioning and I want to thank HRA, not only for being here today but for their comprehensive testimony and I really think and my comments are going to riff on Chair Levin mostly and to some extent Council Member Gibson's comments.

I really believe that we have to play long ball here and we have to look down the field because this pandemic has been going on for almost a year. And the use of one-shots, I agree with Chair Levin is probably the best thing that we have done to prevent

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

homelessness and we know that so much — so many of the things that we fight against as a city in this Council and the Administration have worked on stem from preventing homelessness. It goes a long way. It effects the education of children. It effects so many different things and I know that a question was asked I think by Chair Levin about what we were exactly looking at and if you don't have the answer today that's okay because there is still time to come up with an answer.

I think that we really need with due respect to HRA and Department of Social Services, we need to take a hard look at what it is going to cost.

Because we can't print money here but the federal government does and we finally have an administration in place that is willing to look at these issues very seriously and I would respectfully request that we do get a hard look from HRA to see exactly what we are up against. Because you really can't deal with this problem until you know exactly how many people are going to be facing eviction.

And the one-shot takes — is it allowed four months in arrears? Can you answer that question? That's the one question I will ask.

4

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

BRUCE JORDAN: So, Council Member, the traditional one-shot deal administered by HRA with oversight from the state, there is no limit as far as

5 the months.

6 COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: Okay, okay.

BRUCE JORDAN: It is by a case by case basis.

COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: So, I think that the number of cases that you are dealing with is going to rapidly expand. Many people, we still have a double digit unemployment rate in all of New York City and that's nobody's fault. There was a pandemic and we were still fighting it and I really think it is critical that we come up with a number and maybe we can discuss this again at a future hearing if Chair Levin is so inclined but we really need to know what we are up against. And this crisis is still unfolding, the numbers are still daunting and we really need to know what we are up against. So, I am not going to ask any questions except to request from HRA that they go back to the table and really take a hard look. Are we dealing with \$10,000? not. Are we dealing with \$100,000? Much more likely.

The numbers are just off the charts.

ERIN DRINKWATER: And -

3

1

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: We know how many yes Erin.

ERIN DRINKWATER: Oh Council Member, sorry to interrupt. I was going to say, it might be a good time now to just jump in with some information about rent arrears payments that have been made.

COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: Okay.

ERIN DRINKWATER: To you point in terms of tracking this. In FY - Fiscal Year '19, the city had a \$255 million total expenditure in rent arrears and that served about approximately 57-, almost 58,000 households. And then in City Fiscal Year '20, it was about \$215 million. So, you know, a slight decline serving about almost 50,000 households.

The average payment year over year was approximately the same and this is data that we, you know, continue to look at. I think very much to your point, you know, this Administration really took the payment of rent arrears, made it more accessible to clients. Made it something that individuals who were in need of this were made aware of and then our ability to pay that out based on the state criteria.

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

So, we will continue to track and monitor that as one metric. Again, it is one of many of the prevention tools that we have in the tool kit that we have but wanted to get that on the record.

COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: I thank you for that. That's very helpful to me because it gives us some idea but uhm, if there is any way that we can try to look forward working with advocates and the other folks that you work with.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: Thank you. Uhm, that would be helpful because right now, they are planning you know, the next stimulus package. And I am certain that New York City is not alone in this and we will have many allies by we have got to be - we also have thankfully, the new Senate Majority Leader.

So, we do have a lot of allies. Now is the time but we don't want to get caught short. So, I just want to put all that on the record. I thank you Chair. I thank HRA for your work and for being here today and testifying as you have. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you Council Member Grodenchik. I will turn it over now to Council Member Helen Rosenthal.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Great, thanks so much.

2

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

3

1

4 I appreciate all the hard work Council Members Levine

5

and Gibson, congratulations always. This brilliant

6

legislation, of course, congratulations to the Right

7

to Counsel Coalition.

8

Uhm, two quick questions. One from my staff is,

9

is our hold over cases allowed to be taken by Right

10

0 to Counsel lawyers?

11

JORDAN DRESSLER: Uh, yes, thank you Council

Member. The answer is yes. Hold over proceedings in

12

Housing Court, which is to say an eviction proceeding

1314

brought for a reason other than nonpayment of rent,

15

are included under resisting Right to Counsel law and  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) +\left( 1$ 

16

in fact, a subset of holdover proceedings. Those

17

cases in which the allegation is something in the

and safety. Because of the allegations and the

18 19 spirit of a nuisance case or a threat to the health

20

current state law and the current statewide

21

administrative order allows those cases to proceed

22

even now in the midst of the ban on nearly every

23

eviction.

24

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

And so, as a result, we have worked with legal providers and the courts to ensure access to legal representation in that small number of cases that are currently being heard.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Oh, so helpful. you have a sense of the percentage of cases that are hold over?

JORDAN DRESSLER: Traditionally, yeah sure. Without having one of our many reports directly in front of me, so this is from memory and I recognize I am under oath.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: And I won't hold you to it.

JORDAN DRESSLER: Thank you Council Member. holdovers have traditionally counted for I think some where in the neighborhood of 15 percent of citywide proceedings. However, I don't want things to get confusing. Nuisance holdovers so to speak are not the entirety of the 15 percent because there could be

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Got it.

JORDAN DRESSLER: For overstaying the lease or any other kind of reasons, that 15 percent.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Okay, you know, my guess is that reason my staff wanted me to ask this question is because my district zip codes have not been eligible for Right to Counsel. And just wanting to make sure now going forward given that the zip codes in my district are eligible that we will be able to uhm, assure those cases will be taken by Right to Counsel lawyers as well.

Uhm, and then, I have a budget question which is, have — if you look at Fiscal Years, the budget for Right to Counsel Fiscal Years '20 versus '21, would the budget — are there fewer cases that given the eviction moratorium, that Right to Counsel lawyers have to take? So, therefore, has the budget for that line decreased?

JORDAN DRESSLER: You know, it's a very good question. Thank you for the question. Uhm, there were one time savings taken in the OCJ access to counsel budget in Fiscal '20 and then again in Fiscal Year '21 that were based on just the traditional delays in uhm, in hiring, in onboarding by legal services staff.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Yeah.

## COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2.2

2.3

JORDAN DRESSLER: Uh, the legal services organizations of the necessary staff and so, those are one time — I think those delays were exacerbated in the middle of the pandemic with just all the challenges that was onboarding. However, as we head into Fiscal Year '22, we are fully funded and we fully expect to be full implementation for Fiscal Year '22.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Okay, so the nonprofits have not had the management problem of having to lay people off because there wasn't enough work.

JORDAN DRESSLER: No, absolutely not. Absolutely not.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Okay.

JORDAN DRESSLER: And in fact, providers have seen increases every year and with further increases, substantial increases in Fiscal Year '22 which we are just starting to nail down and going to have those conversations with providers very soon and probably earlier with respect to any sort of Fiscal Year cycle than we ever have before.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: You know, when I think about the police overtime budget and trying to -

2 SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: If I could just sort of finish this thought.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Of course Council Member.

Finish your line of questioning because I don't think there are any other members that are asking.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Thank you so much

Chair Levin. Always a pleasure working with you.

But I am just wondering, when you think about the

police overtime budget and raining it is you know, it

is challenging because if there is overtime, there is

overtime so you are just going to reimburse it.

Can we on a happier face note, that for uhm, one shots and for funding Right to Counsel, are those things driven by demand or is it ever the case that you know, as the city has faced financial troubles over the last year, that we have limited allocations due to fiscal belt tightening?

JORDAN DRESSLER: I will speak to legal services first. What you described, belt tightening in the face of the fiscal pressures, has only occurred in the last two years with respect to legal services but only to the extent of taking one time savings out of a budget that was not yet allocated.

1 COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 2 Uhm, the legal services providers were not - the 3 contracts were not affected. Their ability to expand in those fiscal years was not affected and we do not 4 see that on the horizon for fiscal year '22, when full implementation is going to be part of our plan. 6 So, that I believe will be for legal services. 8 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Great and same answer for arrears? ERIN DRINKWATER: So, Council Member, I had 10 11 shared the arrears information earlier in terms of the Fiscal Year for '19 and '20. And that is based 12 13 on ineligibility. 14 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Okay, so there is 15 never any - so there hasn't been any like hold up because of budget constraints. You know, kind of 16 17 like with the contract payments. You know, the city 18 has slowed down payments and non-profits depending on 19 how much money is cash on hand and I am just 20 wondering if the same is true for you know, one-

ERIN DRINKWATER: Again, I will have my colleague Mr. Jordan respond.

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

shots.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Okay, it sounds like go ahead.

2.2

BRUCE JORDAN: Yeah, so uh, Council Member, as
Erin was saying, it is not the case. It is my
understanding that the one-shot deal allocations come
from the TANF and safety net allocations from the
state. And the city in past, like with City FHEPS
has stepped in where it can with like City FHEPS rent
arrears under CTL.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Oh right, good point.

So, that's where there might — okay, got it, got it, got it, got it,

Alright, well thank you very much. Thank you every one for your hard work on this very successful program, congratulations.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, thank you very much

Council Member Rosenthal. So, I will just ask a few

questions here before we wrap up and my son decided

not to take a nap, so he is with us here as well.

My computer, uhm, uhm, has DSS or agencies within the administration sat down and done like tabletop exercises around the moratorium ending, kind of you know, gaming out scenarios in which there is kind of a game plan in place. Is that something that you have done or something you intend to do?

JORDAN DRESSLER: You know, for our part in terms
of gearing up for an eventual and the Housing Court
closure, you know, we have had discussions with legal
services providers about sort of different forms that
the service response can take, trying to understand
you know, what the accessibility for legal services
can and ought to look like depending on what the
scenario is. I think the challenge with all of this
is all of the input, so to speak on what an exercise
like that might be, tend to change given a 30-day
time period. And then you know, it becomes something
different at that point and becomes a very
challenging exercise to sort of predict the wide
variation of different scenarios.

The one thing we do know is that the old way of doing business in Housing Court, where you know thousands if not tens of thousands of people are called to Housing Court, you know, essentially two times a day. You know at 9:30 and then again at 2:15 for what amount to mass calendar calls, were kneeling about in the hallways and waiting is unlikely to return anytime soon. And a somewhat newly developed way of doing business with scheduled calendar calls

2.2

2.3

that right now tied to virtual conferencing which have to be scheduled at appointed times, is the new way of doing business and so, it has afforded us a lot of opportunity to really think through new ways of making legal services available to those who don't have counsel in court, as well as for legal services providers to provide effective representation to the clients that they already have and understanding of course that you know, there is a limit to how many of any particular kind of matter or any particular kind of case the courts can hear you.

BRUCE JORDAN: So, the only thing I would add to that Council Member Chair Levin, is that because of the Office of Civil Justice and our connection with OCA and the courts and the fact as you said we have a program that's not broke in the traditional one-shot deal programs. To date, other entities have invited us to the table from whether it be City Hall or whether it be OTDA to start having some discussions, yes, on planning.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, yeah, I would definitely recommend you know maybe City Hall being the convener of you know of a kind of ongoing

2.2

2.3

exercise meeting once a month or so. Reviewing uhm, you know getting a fairly large table. You know, so you have reps from OTDA and reps from OCA and uhm and the Civil Legal Services providers as well as the city agencies to kind of game out, because you know so that there is the kind of continuity — obviously you can't predict exactly where things will be from the federal governments perspective but you certainly could you know make an informed assessment of where city and state policy will be, particularly if you have OCA and OTDA there.

So, that would be my recommendation is kind of having, kind of getting this collaborative process in place. You know, starting now so that they can anticipate this.

ERIN DRINKWATER: And I will just — I will note

Council Member also, through the IHAC, the

Interagency of Homelessness Accountability Council,

we spend months this year in the quarterly meetings

that were required by local law discussing some of

these very topics. Representatives from this agency,

from HRA were involved in those meetings as well as

other agency partners from ACS, from DOE, from DOHMH,

2.2

2.3

HPD and as well as the Center for Innovation for Data Intelligence, MOIA. So, that was one piece. I do hear the point of the Chair and certainly happy to bring that back to City Hall and to colleagues in the inclusion of our CBO partners of course.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you. What is the city doing around advocacy for you know, the cancel rent advocacy at the state level? Is there — the city involved in that or has the city considered legislation or looked at the legislations from the pending in the legislature around cancel rent?

ERIN DRINKWATER: Thank you for the question.

So, you know, we are very much aware of the fact that you know COVID-19 has caused so much uncertainty across the city. We have been advocating at the state level, at the federal level to you know get the relief that New Yorkers need in the way of the eviction moratorium, you know, the increased rental assistance, advocating for additional food resources, enhanced unemployment benefits and those sorts of things.

We have you know spoken today about the tenant legal services and we want to continue to explore

2.2

2.3

those conversations and making sure to get the relief in the hands of renters, so that they are able to pay the rent. I think at the end of the day, that is what we need to be focused on. You know, making sure that the monies that have already been allocated are able to quickly be disbursed and so, folks are able uhm, you know to cover their rent. Landlords can make their payments and so on.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Uhm, how is DSS working with uhm, people who are undocumented when it comes to providing rental assistance, either through state program or city program?

ERIN DRINKWATER: Sure, so I can start and then turn it over maybe to Jordan and to Bruce to speak specifically about the programs. Uhm, you know, we administer programs based on state and federal statute as it relates to eligibility criteria for individuals who are undocumented.

Early on in the COVID pandemic, we partnered with nonprofit organizations and through philanthropic organizations, namely the Open Societies Foundation to administer donations through the Mayor's fund for the Immigrant Emergency Relief Program. Recognizing

2.2

2.3

that through existing statute, many New Yorkers would be cut out from the you know, very real programs that they would need in order to pay rent, put food on the table. And so, we worked directly with them.

And so, as Jordan has mentioned, the OCJ programs are available to New Yorkers irrespective of immigration status and the programs that Bruce and his team administer do have eligibility criteria that sometimes do include — excuse me, an immigration status. That an individual, excuse me would be excluded if they did not have the requisite uh, immigration status.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So, one-shots, are those available to uhm, to undocumented tenants and the federal dollars through the stimulus that have come through? Are those available to — so specifically, those two examples, are those available to people that are undocumented?

ERIN DRINKWATER: They are not.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So, why wouldn't the state one be — why wouldn't one-shots be? One shots, are they using — are they drawing down federal funds?

2.3

ERIN DRINKWATER: So, uhm, it is interesting that you should ask. So, the provision of federal law requires that states make a determination for state and local dollars to be made available to individuals who are undocumented.

Uhm, there are states, Texas, Florida,

California, who have extended this provision into
their state law. New York has not yet done that.

There is a bill in the state legislature that Senator
Persaud introduced and Assembly Member Cruz that
would you know, extend the ability for state and
local dollars to be used for individuals irrespective
of immigration status. But at this point, based on
federal law and existing state law, those dollars
can't be used.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So, according to New York

State Law, because we are allowed — because there is

no federal — again, these are state tax dollars and

city tax dollars. Uhm, they are not TANF funds,

right.

ERIN DRINKWATER: Bruce can talk more about this. I mean, the one-shot funding stream does come through TANF. There are some TANF -

4		

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Oh, it does. Okay, but the state has the authority to be able to waive those requirements as California, Texas and Florida have done, is what you are saying?

ERIN DRINKWATER: That's correct and we can get you uhm, the federal statute.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay.

ERIN DRINKWATER: And can share the state legislation as well.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, is the Administration in support of that state legislation?

ERIN DRINKWATER: So, the Administration is looking at that, yes.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. Uhm, well I mean, I will have to take a look at the legislation but I think that that's going to be an essential component here. If people who are undocumented are not, can't avail themselves. You know if we go down the road of using the apparatus of one-shots to be able to you know help people meet these rent arrears and you know, upwards of a \$1.2 billion worth of rent arrears, if people who are undocumented don't have access to that —

Right and you know, as I

ERIN DRINKWATER:

2

\_

mentioned, I mean under, under COVID, we were able to you know, New York City was able to administer the Immigrant Emergency Relief Program and then there is also, I mean, not fully excluded but in you know mixed status households. You know the availability for these programs is possible.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, that's something that we should definitely be focusing on then I think.

Uhm, can one-shots be used to cover arrears for storage units? I could certainly speak from just personal experience the number of times that clients that we have worked with in my office have had storage units and face challenges around arrears there.

ERIN DRINKWATER: I am going to have Bruce jump in here.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Sorry, you are muted Bruce. There you go.

BRUCE JORDAN: Sorry about that. I have some company in my house now to. Yes, you can get storage arrears. Through local jobs and applying on access HRA. It is available and arrears are also for

_	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 99
2	utilities too. So, the main things are rent, storage
3	and utilities.
1	CUATABARACAN IEVIN. What would be available area

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: That would be available even it if was an expanded program? That would be available? In another words, if we are using one-shots or if there is any — what ever program the city pursues when it comes to providing arrears from COVID or one-shots, will storage units be part of the equation?

BRUCE JORDAN: So, I am not an expert in the law but I think I looked it over this morning and it mentioned rent arrears and utilities. I don't know if the stimulus allows or doesn't allow storage, I don't know that but traditional one-shot deals, HRA, OTDA does allow that.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, you were looking at the state law this morning or federal law? The federal law?

BRUCE JORDAN: Yeah, the actual — yeah, the stimulus bill itself.

22 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay.

BRUCE JORDAN: Related to just the funding.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Got it.

2.3

2.2

2.3

BRUCE JORDAN: And the criteria, yes. And I don't know, I am not so certain to — someone has to check this, whether or not immigration status is a factor. I think it might not have been a factor and someone might have been sleeping in the feds. So, we need to double check that.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, okay. Uhm, okay, this is something obviously we should really be working out over the coming weeks. Uh, last question for me here. How are we looking at the system of home base in all of this. Bruce, you have been very involved with home base. Uhm, uh, how is home base uhm, doing their work right now? And how do we envision them being part of this solution?

BRUCE JORDAN: Well, currently, they are an integral partner and I will defer to Deputy Commissioner Zuiderveen, who is on the call.

SARA ZUIDERVEEN: Sure, hi, thanks for the question. Uhm, so home based, very quickly and efficiently was able to transition a lot of their work remotely. They got everybody equipment. They figured out how to do remote visits and signatures and gather documents. So, they have continued to

2.2

2.3

2 enroll right at their targeted enrollment rates this entire time.

They tend to rotate staff in maybe once a week, so they do have some in office services for some really urgent matters or if they have to hand off checks and things like that. So, they have been uhm, you know, delivering all the homebased services, after care, helping people renew. Certainly, we would imagine that they would help HRA tenants who may be on under subsidies or they are general prevention clients with applying for any stimulus dollars that may apply to them.

And then also, this is not directly related to us but they have been running the Project Parachute

Fasten Program, which was funded by private dollars and runs through the enterprise community partners and goes to these homebased programs and they raise millions of dollars that could specifically be used for undocumented families for arrears.

So, all of those homebased providers have been also getting that program up and running and processing arrears grants for undocumented families that can't get that money anywhere else.

2.2

2.3

2	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, thank you very much
3	Sara. Uhm, uh, lastly I just want to just
4	acknowledge the uhm, the work that this
5	administration has done and this HRA you know, under
6	Commissioner Banks's leadership for setting up access
7	HRA and various remote portals and being able to take
8	as much — obviously questions around you know,
9	workforce sites and making sure that we are
10	continuing to accommodate people that don't have
11	access to the technology.

But you know, I shutter to think what this would have been like going through this pandemic had we not had that system up and running for — you know and having a lot of the kinks worked out prior to this.

And so, you know, I just want to acknowledge that effort and you know, acknowledge that its made a significant impact in terms of ease in which people have been able to transition to this remote environment, so.

ERIN DRINKWATER: We will certainly pass that along to the team here.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you. Uhm, and with that, I will let you all — oh, sorry, one last

2.2

2.3

2	question. How are we looking, how are we
3	anticipating uhm, the influx with the shelter system
4	and shelter capacity both on the family side and the
5	single adult side and uhm, you know, how is the

6 capacity team at DHS and the facilities team at DHS

7 you know, examining this and looking at this?

ERIN DRINKWATER: Sure, so thank you for the question. I mean, I think that part of our analysis and work is our continuation to focus on prevention first as sort of you know the first pillar of the Mayor's turning the tide plan. Making sure that there is the requisite investments in the office of Civil Justice that were paying the rent arrears. That were keeping people in their home, that were quickly getting folks connected to rental assistance and so on and so forth.

The capacity team at DHS everyday irrespective of COVID is looking at our Census, looking at the trends across time to make to make sure that there is you know, a good vacancy rate across the shelter system to account for any influx. We continue and have throughout the course of this entire year to continue to announce and notify on shelters under the Mayor's

2.2

2.3

Turning the Tide plan. They have announced on 88 of the 90 that have been discussed under that plan and we continue to make good on the commitments to make sure that every community board is playing their part. And so, you know, we will continue to look at the trends as things in the environment in which we are doing this work change, eviction moratorium are lifted, more resources, stimulus bills are passed, we will continue to monitor and evaluate.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you. Thank you Deputy Commissioner. Okay, I will let you all go. Last question, is the Hope Count happening this year?

ERIN DRINKWATER: Uh, yes, the Hope Count is happening this year. It is happening across multiple days. Our providers coupled with DHS and DSS staff will be conducting the count this year.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, alright, I wish you all luck. Sara was always my site leader. So, okay, certainly we wish you well with that.

And with that, thank you all so much and good to see you all and let's definitely keep in touch and let's try to set up a kind of ongoing exercise for the various agencies to uhm, to think through the various scenarios that we could encounter.

2 ERIN DRINKWATER: Thank you.

2.3

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thanks a lot.

JORDAN DRESSLER: Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Chair Levin. So, we have concluded DSS's testimony and we are now going to turn to public testimony.

First, I would like to remind everyone that we are going to call up individuals in panels. Once your name is called, a member of our staff will unmute you and you will begin your testimony once the Sergeant at Arms sets the clock and gives you the queue.

All testimony will be limited to three minutes.

Remember that there is a few seconds of a delay when you are unmuted before we can hear you. So, please wait for the Sergeant at Arms to announce that you may begin before you start your testimony. The first panel of public testimony in the order of speaking will be N'jelle Murphy, Lizbeth Moscosa and Ariel Ashtamker. And I will now call on N'jelle Murphy.

22 | SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

N'JELLE MURPHY: Hello, can you hear me?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Yes, we can hear you.

2.2

2.3

N'JELLE MURPHY: Oh, hi, thank you for allowing me to give my testimony. I reside at 5421 Beverly Road and how everything got started for me in my building was when calls were going unanswered and ignored. A tenant leader in my building started having meetings for us to meet up and to talk about the complaints. They were going unnoticed and uhm, when we weren't getting the service that we needed, we reached out to the Flatbush Tenant Coalition who came out and hooked us up with the Brooklyn Legal Services and attorney's came out to sit down and educate us and meet with us individually to just let us know our rights.

And did all the paperwork for us, which came very handy for us because a lot of us didn't know what to do and many tenants had to work. So, with paperwork being taken care of, we were allowed to uhm, go on and do what we had to do knowing we had someone to rely on. And when the court dates and all the paperwork was given, we had to show up in court.

Now, from my experience going to court, it was very — it was an experience that I would never want to do again because we had legal representation and

many tenants in there represented themselves. And a
lot of the landlords had attorneys. Many didn't show
and I really felt bad for tenants that took the time
off from work. Many don't have days where they can
use like I did, sick days and vacation days and they
had to reschedule. And many of them felt defeated
and I saw the emotional stress that was on them,
feeling happy someone was going to hear their story
and help them out for knowing there was a no show, to
reschedule and also, just feeling like there is no
one to help me. And the paperwork is confusing and a
lot of them just give up and move out but thank God
for Flatbush Tenant Coalition and the attorneys that
helped us out. Because we rescheduled and we fought
our attorney because he didn't want to do the work to
fulfill the completes that we had rights, upgrades in
the building and to make it short, we had things
fixed in our buildings. The city came through to us.
The attorneys checked in to see if things were done
and also, the city and now, things are better.
So, I am very thankful and I didn't even know my

zip code did not — we were not able to get attorney's

but thank goodness for the Brooklyn Legal Services

Brooklyn founded on the understanding that housing

25

2.2

2.3

justice is racial justice and that legal service must be in support of community-based tenant organizing.

Today, we submit testimony in solidarity with Right to Counsel or the Right to Counsel Coalition, of which we are a proud member and in enthusiastic support of Intro. 2050 and the immediate citywide implementation of the Right to Counsel. Right to Counsel or Local Law 136 has made it a right for income eligible tenants facing eviction to have an attorney. Given the ongoing public health and an economic crisis due to COVID-19, the original phase in plan under Local Law 36 is insufficient to meet the growing need across the city for the immediate implementation of the Right to Counsel.

It is clear that the Right to Counsel has worked to prevent evictions in New York City. The Right to Counsel has increased tenants access to legal representation in Housing Court. As mentioned in 2013, only 1 percent of tenants in Housing Court across the city have legal representation.

Today, that percentage is 38 percent because of the Right to Counsel. In addition, during the first three years of the Right to Counsel in New York City, 2 86 percent of tenants who had a Right to Counsel

2.2

2.3

3 attorney won their case and stayed in their homes.

Given the unprecedented number of eviction, tenants across the city will soon face as a CBC and state moratoria expire, the need becomes even more urgent for the immediate implementation of the Right to Counsel across the city.

Since the start of the COVID-19 pandemic, nearly \$1.5 million New Yorkers have been left unable to pay rent and many of the more than 200,000 cases currently pause by the existing moratoria will soon be allowed to move forward in a little over a month. Unless the city immediately implements the Right to Counsel, thousands of tenants across New York City will face eviction and possible homelessness.

Now more than ever, New York City tenants need the Right to Counsel implemented citywide to ensure that they are able to remain in their homes and communities. We call upon the City Council to take immediate action to keep New Yorkers in their homes. It makes sense to pass Intro. 2050 and immediately implement the Right to Counsel across the city.

2.2

2.3

First, Intro. 2050 will save the city millions of dollars in shelter, healthcare and other costs that would otherwise accrue when families are evicted.

Second, without Intro. 2050, there is no permanent guarantee that tenants facing evictions regardless of their zip code can access. Third, the current pause on eviction cases does not extend to nuisance cases.

Since these cases are able to move forward more quickly, it is even more crucial for tenants facing evictions in a nuisance case to have the Right to Counsel.

Lastly, the Right to Counsel helps preserve the city's affordable housing stock by keeping long term rent stabilized tenants in their homes.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

ARIEL ASHTAMKER: For these and other reasons, we believe the Right to Counsel should be immediately implemented across the city. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony
Ariel. I am now going to call on our next panel.
The next panel in the following order will be Josefa
Silva, Eric Lee and Gajtana Simonovski. Over to
Josefa.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

2.2

2.3

JOSEFA SILVA: Good afternoon Chair Levin and Council Members of the General Welfare Committee. My name is Josefa Silva and I am the Director of Policy and Advocacy at WIN.

Since April, at WIN we have been sounding the alarm on the need to prepare for the inevitable homelessness fallout of the pandemic. We have called for rent relief and for proven interventions to keep families in their homes as well as for reforming New York City's rental assistance programs, so New Yorkers in shelter can leave more quickly for stable housing.

Thank you for bringing us together around these issues that are essential to New York's economic recovery and to ensuring it is an equitable one.

The city's most pressing task today is to ensure a quick, efficient and fair rollout of federal rent relief funds. DSS can avoid the most common pitfalls by partnering with community based organizations. By involving CBO's and setting the rent relief programs parameters and giving them discretion and implementation, the program will truly meet New Yorkers where they are before they fall through the cracks and into shelter.

But the rent relief funding that will soon be on its way from the federal government is not enough to meet the scope of need of our city. So, the city must prepare to pick up where the federal funds will leave off and that will include helping families who do lose their homes.

DSS must expand it rapid rehousing program and strengthen it through partnership with HPD to connect households with housing that's today. To additionally ensure that homelessness is brief, DSS must also strengthen the city's existing rental voucher program.

City FHEPS is meant to be a pack our of shelter.

It is many of the families that our shelters

discover, the voucher amount is too low to offer any

real help in leaving shelter. So, on top of the

difficulties of finding a landlord willing to accept

a voucher, our families also have to try to find

housing that rents for well below the market rate.

That's why we urge the speaker to bring Intro. Number

146 to a vote. This bill will increase the city

FHEPS voucher to better reflect the true cost of

housing by tying it to HUD's fair market rent.

2.3

at this time.

This would significantly broaden access to many neighborhoods for voucher holders. Intro. 146 is a key part of any response to the housing insecurity work. Housing stability must be part of New York's full proof for equity and it must be an essential part of an economic recovery plan.

As New York City's largest provider of shelter and services for homeless families with children, we see first hand how traumatic and damaging and disenfranchising homelessness is. And given the consequences for the financial and social, emotional well-being of families in the long-term, the current crisis threatens to deepen and perpetuate the existing inequities decades by pushing thousands of New Yorkers more into homelessness.

We have to take every step to prevent this tragedy. Thank you for your time and for your consideration today.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony Josefa. I will now call on Eric Lee.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Eric, I believe you are muted

2.2

2.3

ERIC LEE: There we go, okay. Good afternoon, my name is Eric Lee, I am the Director of Policy and Planning at Homeless Services United. Thank you Chair Levin and members of the General Welfare Committee for allowing me to testify today.

For time considerations, I will summarize my written testimony. In order to prevent record numbers of evictions in homelessness, the city and state must provide arrears, payments and ongoing rental assistance through current and new temporary programs by utilizing the infrastructure of existing city agencies like HRA to quickly administer assistance.

To be successful, we must deliver not just to those who qualify for existing eviction prevention services but also to any household who cannot pay their rent due to the pandemic. Given the recent availability of additional federal assistance, we recommend the city and state utilize newly available funds to backfill the cost of new demand on existing aid programs in order to free up more flexible city and state funding to serve a wide array of populations in need which are not covered by federal funding restrictions.

2.2

2.3

[INAUDIBLE 2:22:16] of the recommendations of the New York City eviction prevention round table with whom we are submitting joint testimony today. And in addition to these round table recommendations, we have additional recommendations to take in order to protect unstably housed New Yorkers. The city must provide rental assistance arrears payments either to one-shot deals or a new pandemic specific one-time grant for any tenants unable to pay their rent due to the pandemic regardless of future ability to pay or immigration status.

Each way should waive repayment requirements for one-shot deals and any federal of rent relief allocated to one-shot deals or other one time grants should not have a recruitment requirement. To ensure households do not fall back into arrears, the city should expand eligibility of City FHEPS in community to additional vulnerable populations, increase rent amount levels through the adoption of Intro. 146 and remove burdensome requirements like requiring a Housing Court stipulation.

HRA should create a temporary rental assistance voucher which can pay up to one year's rent for any

	1		
ī		7	

\_

\_

\_\_\_

New Yorkers unable to pay rent due to the pandemic and are founded ineligible for FHEPS or City FHEPS.

Including immigrant families and individuals regardless of their status or lack future ability to pay rent.

Used in combination with existing rental assistance programs, this would ensure that the majority of tenants remain stably housed.

Efficient and timely administration of new and expanded eviction prevention resources must be a top priority. HRA can utilize its existing infrastructure to administer any new rental assistance and one time grants, processing requests on the back end while providing a no wrong door approach for an application process on the front end.

Likewise, as demand increases, eviction

prevention providers must also be adequately

resourced to be able to provide assistance in a

timely manner, including funding to hire additional

homebased —

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

ERIC LEE: Location serving the highest eviction rates and more funding to expand access to rehousing services in the community.

1

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

We also recommend the adoption of Intro. 1020, to create a FHEPS reporting and also, to address the digital divide raised by Chair Levin. HRA staff should be located in in-person locations like food banks and COVID testing and vaccination sites to assist people in person.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony Eric. I will now call on Gajtana Simonovski.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time will begin now.

GAJTANA SIMONOVSKI: Thank you for this opportunity to testify in favor of the eviction moratorium. Much thanks to the Committee Chair Steve Levin for having this hearing on a very important issue. Thank you Steve.

My name is Gajtana Simonovski and I am the Director of the Income Support Services Unit at Community Services Society of New York. CSS is a nonprofit organization that addresses some of the most urgent problems facing low income New Yorkers including the city's housing crisis.

New York City was already facing an affordable housing crisis when COVID-19 hit last March. Since

2.2

2.3

then, the numbers of households seeking assistance with rent arrears from CSS funded by the City Council, more than doubled. There are many low-income New Yorkers who were barely making ends meet. When COVID-19 hit, many low-income households lost some or all their income due to businesses closing or when single parents have to quit their long-term jobs

In addition, there are new requests coming from households that would under normal circumstances be considered middle income but who fell into arrears when their incomes dwindled during the pandemic.

to care for their children.

As a case and point, Mr. M. waited months to receive unemployment when the pandemic hit and he had lost his job at a luxury brand store in Manhattan.

He turned 65 last August and did not want to start getting his retirement benefits early as it would not be enough for him to live on.

He said that a year ago, he was on the brink of suicide. He said, "I was ready to jump" and now with COVID-19 he says, "Things are bad again." "What else bad could happen?" "What's the next thing?"

2.2

2.3

So, we are finding that a lot of people are contacting us for help with rent. They are at their wits end. They are terrified as anyone would be of being evicted from their homes. We were able to help Mr. M. with his arrears bringing him to a zero balance and he is now paying his rent, moving forward with his unemployment while he is looking for work.

As eviction cases begin to run their course within the courts chambers, New York does not have an adequate plan for addressing the long-term economic impact on tenants. Given the tremendous need for assistance with rental arrears, we are asking that the City Council reinstate the 15 percent cuts to the homelessness prevention fund initiative.

With your generous help, CSS is working hard to ensure that individuals and families stay in their homes before the eviction moratorium ends. New York City must also take stronger action to protect extremely low income New Yorkers from permanent homelessness and evictions including strengthening housing voucher programs. For example, City FHEPS is the best hope for many New Yorkers seeking to escape homelessness but it often provides allusive hope because the voucher pays hundreds of dollars less

2.2

2.3

than market rent. The City Council's proposal Intro.

146 would raise the maximum rent for the voucher to

fair market rent, the same standard used for Section

8 and other housing subsidy programs.

We urge the Council to pass this legislation to unlock housing opportunity and choice for extremely low income New Yorkers and to ensure that New York City's housing tools are working effectively for our residents as the city recovers from COVID-19.

Thank you again for this opportunity to testify and offer our recommendations.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you so much.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you to this panel for your testimony. I am now going to call up our next panel. In the following order testifying will be George Sotiroff, Rosanna Cruz and Jenny Laurie. Over to George Sotiroff.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time will begin now.

GEORGE SOTIROFF: Chairman Levin and ladies and gentlemen, my name is George Sotiroff, I live at 901 Walton Avenue in the Bronx and I am a rent stabilized tenant. President Biden said in his inaugural address that sometimes we need a hand and sometimes we are called upon to lend a hand. President Biden

2.2

2.3

has a vision for an interdependent America where people can rise up and succeed because of each other's help. Now we need to work together on the continuing problem of attacks on safe affordable housing. Attacks that have been both exposed and exacerbated by COVID-19. The success of Right to Counsel is unquestioned. Now it has to be expanded.

Intro. 2050 is important to me because I am a senior who no longer has the youthful strength to go out into the world to seek my fortune and fame. Mr. Dressler has reminded us that the legal landscape can turn on a dime. I don't know if and when circumstances will compel me to rely on RTC to save my boat.

Unless I win the gazillion dollar lottery, the resources I have now are what I will have to sustain me until the time that the good Lord calls me home. The city can and should do more to stay evictions. This not only is a moral obligation but is also wise fiscal policy.

Evictions result in homelessness. Homelessness results in higher rates of exorbitant shelter costs for the city as well as poorer health conditions for

those victimized by homelessness. Degraded health conditions of the general populous eventually threaten even the well to do.

Note, [INAUDIBLE 2:30:34], earlier this year, the coronavirus ran rampant through the White House infecting the President himself to the point of hospitalization. Effects of this pandemic will have lifetime consequences. So, to preempt as many threats as possible, I urge City Council to pass Intro. 2050 right now so that more can avail themselves of an effective legal tool to protect their homes.

Thank you for this opportunity to testify.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you George.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony George. I will now call on Rosanna Cruz.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time will begin now.

ROSANNA CRUZ: Thank you. Thank you Chair Levin and the Council Member of the Committee on General Welfare for the opportunity to submit testimony on the oversight hearing on the DSS preparation for aspiration of the eviction moratorium. My name is Rosanna Cruz and I am the Senior Program Director of

2.2

2.3

the Benefit System Program at Good Shepherd Services located in Park Slope and East New York Brooklyn.

Where in 2019, we served over 6,000 clients and continue to support residents from across the city with a concentration in Brooklyn and Queens.

I have been with the program since 2003 and prior to this work, I have been in community and tenant organizing. The benefit system program provides individuals and family. We help and assist in applying for public benefits and also offer legal counseling, help with financial planning, immigration services and referral to other community based agencies as needed.

We also operate two DYCD contract as a program known as the Comprehensive Services for Immigrant Family and DYCD neighborhood development area Healthy Family Program.

Today, my testimony will emphasize on the challenges facing providers in supporting clients seeking rent or assistance before the eviction moratoria ends. Good Shepherd is a member of the Eastern Brooklyn Emergency Response collaborating. In August of 2020, we collaborated to help two

2.2

2.3

housing townhalls, individuals needing in English and Spanish. The sessions were attended by 80 people and impressions on Facebook reached over 100 individuals.

COVID-19 exacerbated a condition in the community we support and as such with experience in cases and the number of clients requesting assistance with a one-shot deal, emergency assistance program and the Rent Relief program.

One of our main concern with this program is that we are not sure when the community will recover from the financial hardship they are currently experiencing and be able to cover monthly expenses and comply with the repayment options available through the one-shot deal program.

Even during the pandemic, the state is requiring clients to get a third party to prove their future ability to pay rent once a one-shot deal is granted to help them in this case.

The requirement was a challenge prior to COVID-19 and is resulting in applicant declining this resource. Applicants have found it difficult to identify someone in their network to not only sign off but take on the responsibility of a third party.

2.2

2.3

Another issue we are experiencing is that for the clients collecting unemployment due to work and school closure and lack of childcare, the rent relief program is rejecting applicants because their income between April to July was hire than their income

Clients income was higher during the month as a result of the pandemic on employment assistance program.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

prior to March 2020.

ROSANNA CRUZ: And applicants are [INAUDIBLE 2:34:40] from this program due to this reason.

We kindly request that the Council strongly support a waiver into the requirement to apply to rental program due to the current public health and financial crisis. It would take our community months, if not years to recover emotionally and financial in the current situation resulted from COVID.

The Council should expect residents from across the city to end up in Housing Court for nonpayment cases with mainly to eviction if the requirements for the assistance program do not change. We cannot

J

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time.

expect low income families to recover without the financial supports to pay rent to arrears.

Landlords are also getting desperate and harassing tenants to pay the rent. In these cases, we are helping family connect to legal assistance who not only understand the right as a tenant but also support them. They have been served court documents by the landlords.

The city and the state must look to support programs that allow providers to create more mediation with family and more accessibility. Some of these programs currently have many requirements. For the one-shot deal emergency assistance program, HRA to waive the third party agreement requirement during the pandemic.

As for the rent relief program, the state should only consider the income of the individual prior to the pandemic and not the pandemic on employment assistance income. The pandemic on assistance income is effecting family ability to get public assistance and is qualifying them from critical programs like City FHEPS.

ROSANNA CRUZ: We need the account for the income of residents prior to COVID-19. Thank you for the opportunity to submit our testimony. I am happy to answer any questions.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you so much Rosanna.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: I will now call on Jenny

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time will begin now.

Laurie for testimony.

JENNY LAURIE: Thanks very much. Thank you to
Chair Levin and to the City Council for examining
this really important issue. My name is Jenny Laurie
and I am the Executive Director of Housing Court
Answers. We have been operating a hotline since the
beginning of the pandemic shutdown, thanks in large
part to City Council funding.

We have been matching tenants facing eviction with attorneys in the Right to Counsel practice area as well as assisting those with emergency housing conditions and illegal lockouts. Since October 1<sup>st</sup>, we have been taking as many as 200 calls a day as more and more tenants learn that the blanket moratorium expired.

2.2

2.3

Housing Court Answers supports the passage of
Intro. 2050 which would allow for the immediate
implementation of the Right to Counsel for tenants
facing eviction. We urge the city to pressure the
state and the court system to slow the pace of cases
as Housing Court reopens, so that legal service
providers are able to provide robust representation
in every case.

We also urge the city to pressure the state and the court system to institute a meaningful moratorium that will carry folks into a time when the pandemic and the economic crisis are over and people can safely return to work, school and normal lives. The success of Right to Counsel was clear prior to the COVID crisis. So, Intro. 2050 is an obvious next step as we look to the end of the pandemic and to the possibility of a new administration coming in 2022 that might not be as welcoming to Right to Counsel as the current administration.

One landlord association estimated that there are 185,000 tenant households facing at least two months of rent arrears. The court system had 200,000 cases already on the books when COVID started and another 40,000 plus cases were filed during the fall. This

2 me

J

\_ \_

means that there will be tens of thousands of eviction cases ready to start when court reopens fully.

Housing Court Answers has been working with the great staff of the Office of Civil Justice to get tenants who call our hotline, assign counsel through the pandemic. Tenants with lockouts, terrible housing conditions and those whose pre-COVID eviction cases were revived. Counsel in these cases has been such a success that there had been almost zero evictions in the city during the COVID pandemic. We need to provide counsel to all tenants with new cases that come into the courts. If the city doesn't have the capacity, the flow of cases has to be restricted, not the obligation to provide counsel.

Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you so much and thanks for the great work that you all do.

JENNY LAURIE: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: It is very important.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you to this panel. I will now call on our next panel. The next panel will testify in the following order Esteban Giron, Lauren

Springer and Malika Conner. I will now call on

3 Esteban Giron.

2.2

2.3

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time will begin now.

ESTEBAN GIRON: Good afternoon. My name is
Esteban Giron and I am a rent stabilized tenant and
member of the Crown Heights Tenant Union. Tenants
have spent the past year stepping up to fight for our
neighbors because of the massive failure of the
federal, state and local government to do the bare
minimum to protect us. Hundreds of us have gathered
outside of our local housing court for a series of
direct actions. Each time winning temporary
reprieves and extensions and finally winning a longer
one in December.

The passage of Right to Counsel in 2017 has been a game changer. Yet only two out of the five zip codes in Crown Heights are currently covered and a single person working full time making minimum wage in New York City is also not covered. That means that as of right now, tenants in my building are eligible while those two blocks away are not. And of course if someone in my building happens to work at McDonalds at minimum wage, then their supervisor

\_

schedules them for 32 hours a week instead of 30 hours a week, they are no longer eligible.

So, while we appreciate the decision to extend the Right to Counsel and most tenants regardless of zip code or income during this pandemic, administrative policy is no substitute for a law on the books. I have personally been denied an attorney in housing court in two separate cases for being slightly over the income threshold.

Despite there being a provision for a waiver that I was never informed of on either occasion. So, I don't think tenants or this counsel can leave the lives of vulnerable tenants at the mercy of the [INDAUDIBLE 2:41:10] intent.

This pandemic brought our neighborhood to its needs. COVID came to collect on years of systemic inequalities like housing insecurity. Many of our neighbors struggled to make rent before the pandemic and were at risk of eviction. With higher than average in unemployment rates due to COVID, once the moratorium is lifted, our vulnerable neighbors will be sitting ducks for landlords. Intro. 2050 can be a shield between them and being thrown out in the streets.

2.2

2.3

You have probably heard the statistic that by

December of last year, evictions could be linked to

over 400,000 excess cases of COVID and 10,700 COVID

deaths. We should call those what they are. 10,700

COVID murders because they were caused by the greed

and inhumanity that results from commodifying housing

because they were 100 percent avoidable.

The new protections that we want on December 28<sup>th</sup> are the strongest in the country but there are loopholes. Administrative judges are actively working to undermine the new law. In setting up the new HMP park that Mr. Dressler seemed so proud of, the courts are sifting through current cases, regular cases to determine if they were possible nuisance claim contained in those cases. What OCA and OCJ have done around counter the spirit and the intent of the law that tenants worked so hard to win.

These nuisance holdovers were supposed to be a rarity. Instead, these agencies have helped landlords provide the landlord with a clear path around that law and that does not inspire confidence. This crisis was not an accident. The result of the decisions made by leaders who were supposed to

O 1

protect the most vulnerable among us. And their justification was not inevitable. No one forced my local council member to welcome Trump supporting luxury developers into our neighborhood to give away our public lands for crumbs of unaffordable housing.

But here we are, asking our government to do the bare minimum to protect our people by ensuring that we have a fighting change with an attorney in Housing Court. I urge you to pass Intro. 2050 without delay. Don't let your legacy depend on the promises of bureaucrats no matter how convincing they sound.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

ESTEBAN GIRON: Thank you Chair Levin for giving tenants a chance to speak about this issue on the record and thank you for your time.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you Esteban.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Esteban for your testimony. I will now call on Lauren Springer for her testimony.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time will begin now.

LAUREN SPRINGER: My name is Lauren Springer and
I am a Tenant Leader with Catholic Migration
Services. A non-profit legal services provider, a

2.2

2.3

community-based organization that does tenant organizing work. I am also an active member of the

New York City Right to Counsel Coalition.

I strongly urge the City Council to pass Intro.

2050 amending Local Law 136 to eliminate the five

year phase and period and immediately guarantee the

Right to Counsel to all eligible tenants.

The hardships of 2020 clearly showed us how important it is to have a universal Right to Counsel in place right now. The COVID-19 health crisis has exposed the depth of the city and state housing crisis, the importance of housing the homeless and the critical need to protect those currently housed. In the midst of this pandemic, there is an urgent need to prevent eviction as it places individuals, families and communities at higher risk of illness, disability and death.

The current state and federal eviction protections are inadequate and because of loopholes and confusing and complex legal mandates, they have not prevented all tenants from being sued by landlords and put at risk of losing their homes.

Once these protections expire, even more tenants will be facing the threat of eviction. No tenant

2.2

2.3

should have to face the possibility of an eviction without a lawyer fighting on their behalf. Before the pandemic, housing court was already difficult to maneuver. Now it is even more complicated with all the new intricate rules, executive orders and health quidelines that need to be followed.

Pre-COVID there was a vetoproof majority in favor of expanding the RTC law. Prior to this pandemic, the City Council was on track to pass Intro. 1104 and 1529, which would strengthen and expand the landmark RTC legislation. These bills garnered the support of more than two-thirds of the City Council membership. Moreover, the Right to Counsel law works. Three years' worth of data indicated that 86 percent of tenants with an RTC lawyer were able to remain in their homes. Therefore, we should have everyone's support in passing Intro. 2050 should be easy.

OCJ testified that in practice during this pandemic, they have been assigning counsel without reference to zip codes. That change needs to be codified into law. By anchoring the phase in to lawyer capacity rather than by neighborhood, Intro. 2050 would require no additional city funding. In

fact, the city now facing a massive economic public health and homelessness crisis would save in shelter, healthcare and other costs accruing from evictions.

In short, passing Intro. 2050 would be a win, win situation for New York City and the tenants who make up the backbone of this city. The City Council must do everything in its power to take the necessary steps to protect tenants and pass Intro. 2050. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much Ms. Springer.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thanks again Lauren for your testimony.

LAUREN SPRINGER: Thank you

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: I will now call on Malika Conner.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time will begin now.

MALIKA CONNER: Good afternoon and thank you
Chair Levin, Council Members Levine and Gibson and
members of the Committee on General Welfare for the
opportunity to testify today. My name is Malika
Conner and I am the Director of Organizing with the
Right to Counsel Coalition.

2.2

2.3

We are proud of New York City's groundbreaking
Right to Counsel legislation and applaud the City
Council, the Mayor and the Office of Civil Justice
for its dedication to making Right to Counsel
available to more New Yorkers during the pandemic.
The law has tremendous impact in its first three
years of implementation. With 86 percent of tenants
who had the Right to Counsel, many the right to fight
to remain in their homes.

Community groups are actively using Right to

Counsel as a powerful tool to protect and advance

clients rights and Right to Counsel has also helped

develop a body of more just case law, lower tenants

rents, restabilize apartments and have forced

landlords to make repairs.

Tenants across New York City need Right to

Counsel now more than ever. The COVID-19 pandemic

and instituting economic downturn have only worsened

the eviction crisis. Nearly 1.5 million New Yorkers

are unable to pay rent due to the pandemic and many

of the more than 200,000 cases currently paused by

New York States emergency eviction and For Closure

and Protection Act will be allowed to move forward

after February 26.

\_ \_

The current federal and state Eviction

Protections also include a number of loopholes and confusing legal mandates that have and continue to allow landlords to take tenants to Housing Court and put tenants at risk of losing their homes.

With the start of eviction higher than ever before, tenants need Right to Counsel now. Intro. 2050 would amend Local Law 136 and require immediate implementation of Right to Counsel making it a right for all eligible tenants to have an attorney right now and would enable the city to phase in Right to Counsel by lawyer capacity instead of by zip code. Thereby ensuring that no case moves forward without an attorney.

Baselined in the Mayor's budget, Right to Counsel will require no additional funds and will save the city millions of dollars in shelter, healthcare and other costs that would otherwise be accrued when families are evicted.

We applaud the city for modifying the implementation of Right to Counsel through the COVID-19 pandemic to ensure that no case in any neighborhood moves forward without an attorney. This

2.2

2.3

has proven to be a remarkably successful model that we need to continue. The crisis won't end when the city is vaccinated and will have long lasting effects on our economy, health and community.

We cannot allow any New Yorker who has survived COVID-19 to face eviction alone. The current model of the assigning counsel to all cases in court needs to be permanent. We know that this model is possible in part because there are few cases moving forward, thanks to the tireless support of the tenant movement to halt cases in eviction.

But if and when more cases move more quickly, the city and state can muster the political will to continue this model by monitoring legal capacity and adjusting cases once the legal services organizations reach their maximum capacity. Judges have the discretion to adjourn cases indefinitely and there is no reason why they can't do this.

SERGEAT AT ARMS: Time expired.

MALIKA CONNER: Especially during one of the most defying moments of our time. The Office of Civil Justice has already proven able to negotiate with the courts to do this but the City Council must act to

give them the authority to make this model law. We
must make sure no case move forward without an

4 attorney period.

2.2

2.3

New York City can and should do more to stop evictions. Right to Counsel has proven to be an immensely effective tool to stopping evictions and now is the time to strengthen the law by passing Intro. 2050. Thank you for the opportunity to testify and for your work on this important legislation.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Malika and thank
you to this entire panel for your testimony. I will
call on our next panel. Our next panel will be in
the following order Laura Govan, Chaplain Sandra
Mitchell and Joanne Grell. I will now call on Laura
Govan.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time will begin now.

LAURA GOVAN: Hello, my name is Laura Govan. I am here to testify in favor of the passing of Intro. 2050, which would require immediate implication of Rights to Counsel. As a rent stabilized tenant from the Bronx where I resided for 33 years and forcefully evicted. Right to Counsel is important to me because

2.3

of my experience in Housing Court with the legal eviction thereafter legal logouts where I was receiving temporary sheltering through New York City government, landlord harassment and needed repairs, I endured sadly.

Tenants face with forcefully being removed from their homes having the right to a lawyers key to being able to stay in their homes. Intro. 2050 would make it a right for eligible tenants across New York City to have an attorney. The city can and should do more to stop forceful evictions. No one should be homeless or fear of losing their homes, especially during a pandemic. I urge my City Council to pass Intro. 2050 right now, so more people have the Right to Counsel and use it to defend their homes. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you very much Laura for your testimony. I will now call on Chaplain Sandra Mitchell.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time will begin now.

CHAPLAIN SANDRA MITCHELL: Good afternoon

everyone. Grace and peace to all who are in my

listening ear.

2.2

2.3

I am testifying on behalf of CASA, community new settlement apartments CASA Community Action for Safe Apartment for the Northwest Bronx Community Clergy Coalition. And also for as I am a tenant leader in my building at 253 West 181<sup>st</sup> street and testifying on behalf of those who have disabilities. People who are living with disabilities and I am in that group.

I want to thank you for the time to be able to express to you the — it is so important. It is just so important for the lives of our city and for the world to be able to see New York City, the epicenter of the world to come through this pandemic. We are setting a precedent.

We have to pass the Right to Counsel Intro. 2050 when people are facing eviction. We have to show that we are humane and make sure that no one gets evicted. No one goes into court alone. If a person is suspected of committing a crime, they have a right to an attorney but if a person during a pandemic loses their income, I am not understanding, why is this a debate?

Pass Intro. 2050 because we need it because it is the right thing to do. It is the humane thing to do.

/

2.2

2.3

It is going to cost the city so much money. I can't even count how much money it is going to cost the city to call people into court. To throw people out into the street and then the health cost and whatever else happens, sometimes leading to death.

Unfortunately there was no Right to Counsel when I needed an attorney. I didn't have money for an attorney, I was hurt on the job and with my workman's compensation case going on and on, there was no recourse for me and that's why I joined with Community Action for Safe Apartments and the Northwest Bronx Clergy Coalition to create the Right to Counsel.

I had gone into the courts and seen the despair and the mental anguish that is being forced on people when they are facing eviction. By trade, I am a Mental Health Counselor. I cried with them because it happened to me and I saw it happening to them.

That's why we need to pass Intro. 2050 because we are destroying our city and we are destroying our economy. We need to pass Intro. 2050 especially because we are in a pandemic. So, if the pandemic doesn't knock you out, wipe you out, then the threat

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

of eviction will mentally, physically and 3 financially.

I want to thank the City Council members who are strongly advocating and pushing for Intro. 2050 to be passed and I want to thank the distinguished New York City Council Committee on General Welfare to hear my cry, to really hear my cry. Please, we need this to save lives, to save our city and to do the right thing and be humane. Thank you so very much and God bless each and every one of you.

Thank you so much Chaplain, I CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: really appreciate your input. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thanks again Chaplain Mitchell for your testimony. I will now call on Joanne Grell.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

JOANNE GRELL: Good afternoon Chair Levin, Chair Levin's adorable son and to the many agencies and organizations represented here today for your time this afternoon.

My name is Joanne Grell and I am a Member of CASA and I am also the President of my Buildings Tenant Association and I am here on behalf of all of my

24

2 neighbors to ask for your support in passing Intro.

3 2050.

2.2

Due to this global pandemic, job loss and economic hardship have greatly affected a family's ability to pay rent. With communities of color disproportionately at risk of eviction.

In my relatively small building of 32 units, 8 families are facing eviction come May 1<sup>st</sup>. Many of them were full time employees in industries that were hardest hit by the COVID-19 job loss and several of my neighbors have talked to me about having to apply for food stamps and stand on pantry lines for the first time in their lives.

Others have had to chose between buying pampers for their children or paying their rent and sometimes they have chosen to pay their rent.

With over 46 percent of New Yorkers facing eviction come May 1<sup>st</sup>, we are facing an urgent and unprecedented housing crisis and tenants facing eviction must have legal counsel in Housing Court if they are to succeed in staying in their homes regardless of their zip code.

2.2

2.3

As Council Member Levin and others have already stated, passing Intro. 2050 will not require any additional funding from the city. It will actually save the city millions of dollars in costs associated with providing temporary housing and other resources to families who are evicted.

The limited protections currently in place are insufficient and passing Intro. 2050 is critical in preventing adults and children in our hardest hit communities from being evicted.

My neighbors experiencing financial hardship due to COVID have exhausted their limited funds and cannot afford an attorney to represent them in housing court and our zip code is not eligible for RTC Right to Counsel.

And while city agencies and offices work through the logistics and red tape of how to handle the impending influx of evictions and with eviction moratoriums and other relief set to expire, without Intro. 2050, we will see a significant number of evictions granted and this will cause irreparable and long-term harm to our communities.

Despite Mr. Dressler's statement that it is always the intention to do away with zip codes, he

Sure.

25

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

GABRIELA MALESPIN: Thank you. Hi, my name is Gabriela Malespin. I am a Housing Paralegal with New York Legal Assistance Group and I, along with my supervisor Kathleen Brennan will be testifying today.

NYLAG uses the power of the law to help New Yorkers in need combat social and economic injustice. We address the merging and urgent legal needs with comprehensive free legal services, impact litigation, policy advocacy and community education.

Today, we will be speaking about how essential it is that DSS respond to the needs of the end of the eviction moratorium by expanding access to critical vouchers -

INTERRUPTION: [INAUDIBLE 3:00:04]

GABRIELA MALESPIN: Oh, sorry, by expanding access to City FHEPS, allowing eligible households to apply for FHEPS the moment they have rent arrears and in passing Intro. 2050.

DSS should expand access to City FHEPS and making long-term tenancy an eligibility criteria and for this critical rent subsidy. The City's Family Homelessness and Eviction Prevention Supplement, also known as City FHEPS is a critical subsidy for many low-income renters in New York City.

2.2

2.3

rent.

Administered by the Department of Social Services, this rental subsidy allows families both with and without minor children to remain in their apartments by ensuring that recipients do not pay more than 30 percent of their income towards their

Currently, NYC tenants must meet the following criteria to be eligible for City FHEPS. Make less than 200 percent of the federal poverty guidelines, have a rent that falls under the City FHEPS rental guidelines and meet one of the following criteria: Have veteran status, have prior shelter history, receive adult protective services or live in a rent controlled apartment.

In addition, City FHEPS vouchers are also provided to shelter residents to ensure that they can exit shelter and access permanent housing. This voucher is subject to renewal every year for up to five years. This voucher is important for adult only families and elderly tenants because the state funded FHEPS program focuses almost exclusively on existing families with minors in the household.

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

2.3 24

Since the inception of the City FHEPS program in 2018, this voucher has helped thousands of families both remain in their apartment and exit the city's crowded shelter system. However, DSS has the opportunity to expand eligibility criteria to ensure that more families can access this aid and reduce shelter costs.

The current eligibility criteria are unnecessarily restrictive. City FHEPS eligibility criteria should be expanded to include long-term tenancies of at least ten years and tenants receiving SSI and SSD. Prior to its supersession by City FHEPS, the city's special exit and prevention supplement, formerly known as CSEPS, allowed program administrators to grant the supplemental long-term -

GABRIELA MALESPIN: Oh, at NYLAG, we have noticed that only a small fraction of our clients meet the current City FHEPS criteria but many meet the prior long-term tenancy criteria.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

Additionally, City FHEPS rent levels must be increased to keep pace with fair market rents. Sorry, do I have a minute to finish?

2 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Yes, go ahead, yeah, yeah, no problem.

GABRIELA MALESPIN: Current City FHEPS rent limits are much lower than fair market value. For example, in order for a family of one to qualify for a City FHEPS Voucher, the rent must not be greater than \$1,265 per month. However, the average rent for tenant in NYC is often upwards of \$2,000.

There is currently City Council legislation that aims to address this issue and we sincerely recommend that — Council Member Stephen T. Levin introduce

Intro. 146, which was last discussed by this

Committee in September 2020. Therefore, we urge the

Committee to pass this legislation.

Thank you very much for your time.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you so much Gabriela and I assure you that passing Intro. 146 is actually at the top of my legislative agenda. This year, we have around 38-40 sponsors, that's a vetoproof majority for anybody that's counting. So, you know, we hope that that will get passed soon. Thank you.

GABRIELA MALESPIN: Thank you.

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thanks again Gabriela and I apologize for mispronouncing your last name. I will now call on Kathleen Brennan.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

KATHLEEN BRENNAN: Good afternoon Chairman Levin and members of the Council Staff. Thank you for the opportunity to testify. I am testifying in conjunction with Ms. Malespin.

In addition to expanding the City FHEPS criteria, another way DSS can prepare for the end of the eviction moratorium is by making the process of applying for rental arrears, grants and FHEPS as simple as possible for tenants.

Due to COVID-19 restrictions that severely limit in-person visits to jobs under DSS, stressed online applications through the Access to HRA portal.

However, many of our clients and tenants with similar backgrounds to our clients simply lack the technology to apply for grants online.

Many of NYLAG's clients experience difficulties applying for rental arrears grants over the telephone or obtaining and submitting on paper, rental arrears grant application. DSS should continue to explore

2.3

4 COMMITTEE COUNS

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Kathleen.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN:

Thank you.

ways to make the process for applying for rent arrears grants easier for those clients who don't already have access to technology and DSS should expand its ability to accept and process applications over the phone. Add staff to the job centers who can expedite the processing of rental arrears grants and enhance language access by multilingual staff.

While Access HRA can be useful, it can be difficult to navigate and clients quickly experience technological glitches in trying to submit rental arrears grants.

Many that do manage to submit applications online via the HRA access portal, do not receive timely response and are frequently not informed of the documents they have uploaded have been received or the status of the application.

We urge that DSS contact tenants by phone to verify the application has been submitted and to follow up with any issues.

As NYGLAG has seen the positive impact of the city's Right to Counsel program and we urge its continued expansion. Thank you.

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

1

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: I will now call on Amanda 3 Lipari.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

AMANDA LIPARI: Good afternoon. My name is Amanda Lipari and I am a Tenants Rights Attorney in the Staten Island neighborhood office of the Legal Aid Society. I am also a Member of the Association of Legal Aid Attorneys, Local 2325 of the United Auto Workers and a member of the Right to Counsel working group within the union.

I testify today on behalf of ALAA to urge the City Council to pass Intro. 2050, which would expedite the Right to Counsel rollout by mandating that all eligible tenants sued Housing Court receive legal representation.

The Right to Counsel Program is an unequivocal success. Since its implementation, 86 percent of tenants who receive representation remain in their homes. Tenant representation is essential to combat the state sanctions violence of evictions. Evictions are devastating at all times but the COVID-19 pandemic has compounded their effects. Evictions are now a death sentence that more than 1.5 million New

city shelter systems.

2.2

2.3

Yorkers face. Failure to fully implement Right to

Counsel will lead to increased evictions which result
in displacement, educational disruption for children
and increased risk of contracting coronavirus, either
by doubling up with friends or family or entering

Full implementation of Right to Counsel cannot wait another year. Now is the time to guarantee all tenants who are eligible can receive representation and remain housed. COVID-19 has exacerbated the material conditions that cause evictions. There are still no adequate solutions to our housing crisis.

While the state established a somewhat robust statute intended to protect tenants and the federal government established limited protections for tenants through the CDC, these peace mill laws are complicated. They do not provide blanket protection from eviction and contain many loopholes that are easily exploited by landlords.

It is imperative that tenants have access to counsel who can both explain the current protections and litigate on their behalf to ensure these laws are fully and fairly implemented.

2.2

Our city government must step up where it can and work to ensure the tools provided by the state and federal language do not languish. The city also has an independent responsibility. The city must increase its flexibility in awarding rental arrears grants. Tenants are coming to our office with over \$20,000 in arrears. While this was previously the exception, it is not the norm.

HRA's response must meet this moment. Prepandemic criteria will not suffice. Arrears grants must be quickly processed and legally granted to ensure tenants remain supported in their homes.

Eviction defense is a crucial part of a holistic, competent pandemic response. Legal service providers are ready and able to assist in recovery. The city must ensure that the Right to Counsel program is fully funded at the cost of service so that legal service providers can continue this work.

I urge the City Council to pass Intro. 2050 and any further legislation needed to support tenants. Thank you for your time.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you so much. Thank you Amanda.

 $\circ$ 

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you to this panel for your testimony. I will now call up our next panel.

Our next panel will be in the following order Jessica Penkoff, Alexandra Dougherty and Amy Kwak. And we will begin with Jessica Penkoff.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

JESSICA PENKOFF: Hi everyone, my name is Jess

Penkoff and I am a Staff Attorney for Housing Rights

and Special Populations at Volunteers of Legal

Service, also knows as VOLS. VOLS was established in

1984 by law firms and by the New York City Bar

Association in response to federal budget cuts in

legal services funding.

And over 35 years later, we run 9 projects that serve low-income New Yorkers made possible in large part by the assistance of the pro bono capacity of our law firm and corporate sponsors.

Across all of our projects at VOLS, we encounter New Yorkers from various subpopulations, senior veterans, formerly homeless young adults, recently unemployed workers, all who have limited income and limited resources who are facing housing insecurity.

2.2

2.3

housing insecurity.

Many have fallen behind on their rent because they had to stop working to care for a sick loved one or because they lost their job due to the pandemic or because they contracted COVID themselves. And even New Yorkers who are not behind on the rent are facing

I recently advised a senior whose landlord has been harassing her to move because he has pandemic related losses and wants to sell the building and our conversation was the first time that she learned that self help eviction is illegal. Had we not spoken, she likely would have moved out of her home in the dead of a pandemic winter with no where else to go, for fear of being illegally and forcibly removed by her landlord.

She was fortunate to have been referred to our office but there are many other at risk New Yorkers that are not able to access free Civil Legal Services from our organization or from one of our many legal service partners testifying today. And we will really never know how many New Yorkers have been displaced simply because they were unaware of their legal rights or options.

2.2

2.3

Under the current Universal Access Law, only New Yorkers in predesignated zip codes are guaranteed an attorney to defend them in Housing Court. We frequently give advice and counsel to New Yorkers who are not covered by Right to Counsel because they don't live in the correct zip code, like the senior that I spoke to whose landlord was harassing her. And most of the older New Yorkers that we have counseled at our legal clinics at senior centers that we hosted prior to the shutdown, do not live in those zip codes either.

Aside from end of life planning, housing issues are the most common that we see, especially among older New Yorkers and we hosted clinics in Council Member Ayala's district and Council Member Kallos's district where many New Yorkers are not covered. We also hosted clinics in Council Member Chin's district where currently no one is covered by the zip code scheme.

Intro. 2050 would ensure that no low-income tenant facing eviction is denied counsel. And in light of the fall out from the COVID-19 pandemic and the resulting economic crisis, communities that are

Dougherty; I am a Senior Staff Attorney and Policy

Counsel of the Civil Justice Practice Brooklyn

24

2.3

2.2

2.3

Defender Services. I would like to thank the

Committee of General Welfare and Chair Levin for
inviting us to testify today. And I am here to
express our support for the expansion of Right to

Counsel for New York City tenants, as well as the
immediate expansion of the city's existing voucher
and rental assistance programs.

BDS provides client center legal services, social work support and advocacy for almost 30,000 clients every year and our civil justice practice aims to reduce the civil collateral consequences of criminal and family and immigration court involvement.

We applaud the city for expanding eligibility for Right to Counsel and urge City Council to make the program available immediately, given that tens of thousands if not more of New York City tenants have been unable to pay rent due to the COVID crisis.

But in addition, the city can do more now to help tenants maintain stable housing. About a quarter of New York City renters are behind in rent payments and owe as much as \$1 billion in arrears from the past year.

2.2

2.3

Those arrears are going to be due immediately

when the eviction moratoria expire. Rather than

waiting until families are on the brink of eviction,

5 the city should immediately remove barriers to rental

6 assistance and vouchers.

First, DSS should prioritize vouchers because
they ensure ongoing affordability and housing
stability. The city should remove the onerous
eligibility criteria and application procedures that
prevent many tenants from accessing vouchers.
Eligibility should not require a current Housing
Court case or imminent eviction. DSS should also
expand the number providers authorized to screen
tenants and complete applications, so that more
tenants can access vouchers and pay the arrears prior
to the moratoria expiring.

And we also urge City Council to pass Intro. 146 and I am glad to hear that there is 80 to approve majority. That bill would raise voucher rent limits and expand the stock of affordable housing available to voucher holders.

Second, while we applaud HRA's efforts to facilitate applications during the pandemic, there

2.

are still serious barriers that are preventing our clients from accessing benefits and public assistance.

HRA's remote application process is made insurmountable by changing deadlines and confusing rules. Applications are routinely denied because many of our clients like other less than low-income applicants lack consistent internet access or the single interview call that comes from a blocked number. These applications should be made fully available by phone and the whole process should be more flexible to ensure that all New Yorkers in need can get assistance.

HRA should not require that tenants proof future ability to pay rent to get approved for a one-shot deal, especially now during the ongoing pandemic.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

ALEXANDRA DOUGHERTY: They can't meet that burden while facing illness, unemployment and other uncertainty. Uhm, so again, I thank the Chair and the Committee and I will direct you to my written testimony for more detailed comments. Thanks.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you so much Alexandra.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: I will now call on our next witness, Amy Kwak.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

AMY KWAK: Hi, good afternoon. I am Amy Kwak, Staff Attorney in the Civil Defense Practice at Neighborhood Defender Service of Harlem. NDS is a community-based holistic public defender office that provides high-quality legal services to residents of Northern Manhattan.

NDS is a member of the Right to Counsel Coalition and serves the community through the Right to Counsel Thanks to the efforts of tenants, program. organizers and community leaders, New York City has been at the forefront of guaranteeing tenants legal representation in Housing Court. And the Right to Counsel Law has been an undeniable success.

In pure, evidence is clear, tenants represented by an attorney are significantly more likely to remain in their homes. This protects families, preserves communities and prevents the destabilization that too often precipitates criminal and legal involvement.

J

Put plainly, it is good for the entire city. As a holistic public defender, NDS knows that an eviction is often the first domino to fall with cascading impacts ranging from prosecution and incarceration to deportation to having the family torn apart by ACS.

The stakes are even higher in the midst of a once in a lifetime global pandemic that has disproportionately ravaged Black and Brown communities. A families right to remain safely housed and out of crowded shelters is literally a matter of life or death.

Yet, under the current phase in plan by zip code, too often by the time we have taken a case, the tenant has unknowingly signed a settlement agreement an attorney would never have advised them to or waived important rights and defenses in court.

The unreasonable expectation placed on tenants to properly navigate the opaque rituals of Housing

Court, crafted defense and conduct a trial, has never been more apparent than it is now. When they face the labyrinth of state and federal COVID-19 eviction protections.

2.2

2.3

Since last March, there has been a whirlwind of successive state and federal laws and orders. Each with their own protections, caveats, loopholes and requirements of tenants. Further obfuscated by competing messaging from the governor's office. For example, last month NDS was retained by a client who had two roommates and whose landlord sought to execute a warrant of eviction. One of the roommates was able to access another Right to Counsel attorney but the other was left to fend for himself. And when asked by the Judge, "What do you have to say as to why you should not be evicted?" He could only respond, "I don't know what to say."

What could he be expected to say? What would you say if you were asked the same question? For the COVID-19 related state and federal protections to be effective, Right to Counsel must be immediately expanded to include all income eligible New Yorkers. And to be meaningful, it must be implemented so as to allow the full representation of tenants interests, not just the rubber stamping of settlements for the sake of processing cases and laying landlords pockets.

Evictions are always an act of violence.

2 SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

\_

AMY KWAK: During the pandemic, they can be deadly. The wave of evictions is coming and New York must step up to meet this historic moment by expanding the Right to Counsel with the passage of Intro. 2050.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you so much Amy. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you to this entire panel for your testimony. I will now call up our next panel. Our next panel will be in the following order. Towaki Komatsu and Spencer Hanvik. Over to Towaki.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

TOWAKI KOMATSU: Hi, can you hear me? Can you hear me?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Yes, we can hear you.

TOWAKI KOMATSU: Uhm, so earlier today you had people from HRA testify and you had Jordan Dressler. You had I think, Erin Drinkwater. There was a mention of OTDA, the New York State Office of Temporary and Disability Assistance. Uhm, for roughly a what, like three years, I have had a

2.2

litigation against HRA. I have talked to Steven
Banks face to face repeatedly. I have recorded him
on audio repeatedly. I have talked to Stephen Levin
about that repeatedly. I recorded a conversation I
had with Mr. Levin in the Committee Room in City Hall
on I think, August 13 <sup>th</sup> of 2019, in regards to foil
information. The fact that HRA has not been
providing me documents that I need in relation to
housing litigation. That this hearing today is
ahout

So, essentially, uhm, on or about February 18<sup>th</sup> of 2016, uhm, HRA actually subjected me to an illegal abate and switch, fraud and forgery in regards to an apartment lease agreement that I talked to Mr. Levin repeatedly about. I testified truthfully, repeatedly about to no avail. I have a federal court litigation against the City of New York currently.

So, bottom line is, uhm, I got a voicemail message from OTDA earlier today letting me know that is going to have a fair hearing with me on February 9<sup>th</sup>. Only because of the fact that HRA refused to comply with its legal duty to provide me documents for a fair hearing that was on what, December 23<sup>rd</sup> of last year in regards to housing litigation.

\_

2.2

2.3

So, the point is, uhm, why are we having this public hearing today? Uhm, for which Mr. Levin is the Chairman when people have a face to face conversation with him repeatedly. Where he makes commitments saying, you know what, I will try to help you but in the end, he lies straight to your face.

So, I had a witness who lived in the building in which I reside, a disabled military veteran. He passed away on August 10<sup>th</sup> of last year, only because of the fact that HRA refused to provide him with a reasonable accommodation. I testified on his behalf to Mr. Levin on February I think, 4<sup>th</sup> of 2019. So, again, the question is this. How many more people have to pass away before Mr. Levin will be fired from the City Council? And Mr. Banks will be prosecuted for criminal negligence? Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thanks for your testimony
Towaki. I will now move onto Spencer Hanvik for
testimony.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

SPENCER HANVIK: Hi there, my name is Spencer Hanvik. I use she, her pronouns. I am a Member of Counsel on Housing and during the pandemic, I have

been working and learning with members of the RTC

3 Coalition. I am here to testify strongly in favor of

4 passing Intro. 2050, which would make full

5 implementation of Right to Counsel a matter of law

6 rather than simply policy.

2.2

2.3

Thank you for hearing my testimony. I am a market rate tenant. I live in Brooklyn and in my current apartment for six years. Uhm, note earlier in this hearing, there was sort of a minimizing reference made to an imagined, anticipated cliff of a daily of evictions, of evictions and this is I mean, clearly not an imaginary thing. It is real. Real people are afraid of it. They are afraid for themselves. They are afraid for their loved ones. I am afraid of it for myself and as we have been saying, housing is healthcare and this is not healthy.

Currently, I live with two other people. Their stories aren't mine to tell but their situations are even a bit more precarious than mine for reasons of benefits eligibility and for health reasons. Since March, we have been unable to rent, like over 1.5 million other New York residents. And the fact is as

true for us as is for everybody that housing is
healthcare. In November, we received court papers
for an eviction case before the current, temporary
and partial protections were put in place and it's
just like a staggeringly high number of other tenants
who were sent into an in navigable runaround trying
to make contact with a dysfunctional court system and
low access or hope of access to legal service
providers. This isn't healthy. This is dangerous.
This contributes to the ongoing and intensifying
public health crisis. Full Right to Counsel and the
passage of Intro. 2050 is necessary for our health
and safety. In my home we are going through this. I
see friends and neighbors who are already struggling
already, pushed beyond any reasonable basic capacity
for stress of this looming reality of this eviction
cliff of Housing Court and possible evictions with no
guarantee of support.

Housing Court has always been a challenge to navigate and with the pandemic, so many have already said, it's only gotten worse. I know that is has always been and even more so is well beyond my abilities and well beyond anybody's abilities to

2.2

2.3

manage this on their own. Tenants need support and Intro. 2050 is a necessary part of that support. If housing is healthcare, which it is, the Right to Counsel and Housing Court is part of any serious healthcare oriented plan. Intro. 2050 must be part of any serious public health effort.

I appreciate as we have heard so much earlier that the court administrators efforts to connect tenants with housing -

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

SPENCER HANVIK: Arrears and nonetheless, in spite of those efforts, speaking for myself and along with many others, I would feel more secure in my protections and in everyone's protections with the passage of Intro. 2050 requiring in law immediate and full implementation of Right to Counsel. Evictions are deadly. The city can do more to stop evictions and the city must do more to stop evictions and Intro. 2050 is a crucial minimum piece of this.

I urge the City Council, please pass Intro. 2050. Thank you very much for your time.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony.

At this point, we have now heard from everyone who

1

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

has signed up to testify. We appreciate your time and presence at our hearing today.

If we inadvertently missed anyone that would like to testify, at this time please use the raise hand function in Zoom and I will call on you in the order of hand raised.

Seeing no one else, I would like to note that written testimony, which will be reviewed in full by Committee Staff, may be submitted to the record up to 72-hours after the close of this hearing. And you can submit that testimony by emailing it to testimony@council.nyc.gov.

Chair Levin, at this time, we have concluded public testimony for this hearing.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much Ms. Kilawan and I want to thank all of the members of the public for testifying today as well as members of the Administration for testifying. I appreciate you all taking the time and your patience and your commitment to making sure that this city is and its residents are protected from the dangers of eviction and these terrible impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic. And we all have a tremendous amount of work to do going

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 175
2	forward to make sure that any program uhm, or process
3	moving forward is done equitably around the city and
4	meeting the needs of New Yorkers that are most in
5	need.
6	And with that, at 3:34 p.m., this hearing is
7	adjourned. Thank you. [GAVEL]
8	SERGEANT AT ARMS: We have ended the livestream.
9	Thank you everyone.
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date February 15, 2021