CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

COMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS & CONCESSIONS

----X

February 8, 2010 Start: XX:XXam/pm Recess: XX:XXam/pm

HELD AT: Council Chambers

City Hall

B E F O R E:

STEPHEN LEVIN Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Charles Barron Sara M. Gonzalez Inez E. Dickens Diana Reyna

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Carol Clark Assistant Commissioner HPD

Sal D'Avola Executive Director Restored Homes Housing Development Fund Corporation

Wendell Walters Assistant Commissioner New Construction HPD

First up is Land Use number 19,
it's a non-ULURP number 20105186HAK, 64 Harman
Street, 1231 Putnam Avenue, 69 Cornelia Street in
Community Board district 4, I believe.

25 [Pause]

23

opportunities for low and moderate income

families, HPD and the U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development, HUD, have undertaken this
initiative, which is called the Asset Control Area

Under the program, one to four family homes acquired by HUD as a result of mortgage foreclosures are sold to a not-for-profit sponsor, which is Restored Homes Housing Development Fund Corporation, of which Mr. D'Avola is the Executive Director. The Restored Home undertakes to rehab and subsequently sell the properties to qualified purchasers. In collaboration with HPD, Restored Homes will oversee the rehab of these buildings and will conduct the marketing process that is established to identify the income eligible purchasers.

Today, HPD is before the Council seeking its approval of a UDAAP tax exemption for the eligible purchasers to enhance the affordability of the project.

Council Member Reyna is reviewing the matter and considering lending her support.

24 [Off mic]

Program.

25 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you. I

- 2 don't have any questions, so I'm going to ask if
- any members of the committee have questions.
- 4 Council Member Reyna.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: Thank you very much. I just wanted to review some of the properties on the calendar. Charles, may I borrow my documents again? [Pause] Thank you so much.

I have a great deal of respect for Mr. D'Avola and I've seen his work and I'm very happy that he is in Bushwick and trying to make certain that we're revitalizing our neighborhood, and we're in discussions of making sure that certain issues have been raised on my behalf, such as streetscape. And Mr. D'Avola has mentioned that there will be minimal streetscape applied as far as each property is concerned because they're trying to control cost. If you can just describe, Sal, some of the streetscape if possible as to what you would have in mind as far as the frontage of the property is concerned so that way at least we have an overview. [Pause] Of what you've done in the past perhaps.

SAL D'AVOLA: Sure, as I had mentioned to you, we've purchased about 60 homes

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

through this program over the past few years and essentially, given the fact that these homes are foreclosed homes that are existing homes and we're looking to preserve them, each home has its own unique qualities to it, so the streetscape in many ways is reflective of what is existing in those homes when we purchase the homes and looking towards minimal efforts to beautify those homes. 'Cause as you mentioned, there are budget constraints and limitations and we'd rather focus the funding on renovating the homes on the interior. Some homes have front yards already and we encourage--you know, we will actually maintain those front yards that are there. Some homes have stoops in front of them, some homes have flat sort of concrete.

At a minimum, what we could seek to do, and I know you have one house in particular that is just an illegal parking pad in front of the house, we obviously would look at those issues and remove the curb cut that is illegal in front of the house and we would consider looking at some other methods to sort of in some way beautify the front of that home.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: 2 And I just 3 wanted to state for the record that in the city of 4 New York, I know in my Queens portion of my district we just had a borough board meeting 5 concerning streetscape and in the city of New 6 7 York, the Department of City Planning is looking 8 into making sure that there isn't this pattern where homeowners are removing their green 9 10 landscaping from the front of their yards, taking away the green that's left in the city of New York 11 12 to just put a slab of concrete. And so in the 13 efforts of beautifying--you know, trees do go in Brooklyn, we want to be able to, wherever there's 14 15 an opportunity, to assist a neighborhood as 16 Bushwick grow in its landscaping. And so if you 17 can assist us in making sure that as a developer to be able to rehab these properties, if you can 18 19 invest as minimal as possible, controlling costs, 20 but beautifying these front yardage that's not 21 much to be able to see a strip of lawn or a tree 22 or two, shrubbery, anything that can assist in 23 beautifying the block.

I understand as well that one of the properties was a illegal converted SRO and

24

that's a systemic issue in Bushwick, along with 2 3 the foreclosure issues in the areas of which these 4 particular properties exist that I want to be able 5 to make sure that we're not providing opportunity for your next investor because this isn't a first-6 7 time homeowner necessarily program per se, 8 correct? 9 SAL D'AVOLA: It is not, but most 10 of our home buyers are first time homebuyers. 11 COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: Right. And 12 you're seeking in your very best ability to seek 13 first homeowner, but it does not require you to 14 have a first time homeowner, correct? 15 SAL D'AVOLA: No, it doesn't. 16 COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: And so I 17 just want to make sure that the properties are not going to land in the hands of predators who seek 18 19 property, investment properties to turn them into 20 illegal occupancies. 21 That's a preclusion SAL D'AVOLA: 22 of the program, the program is an owner occupancy 23 program. Every single person who purchases one of 24 our homes, the requirement is that they live in

the home that they're purchasing and, in fact, the

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: And one of

19 COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: Correct.

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

the country.

SAL D'AVOLA: But we are, and I can say this, we are still selling homes and we are still finding qualified buyers who are getting 30-year fixed rate interest rate mortgages.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: And you go through a home owner's counseling requirement, you

affordability.

Mr. Chair. What is the neighborhood AMI for that

SAL D'AVOLA: The neighborhood AMI?

23

24

25

area?

```
1 COMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS & CONCESSION 14
 2
      I'm not sure that I know what the neighborhood AMI
 3
      is.
 4
                     COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON:
                                             So you
 5
      establish AMIs for property in a neighborhood that
 6
      you have no idea what the neighborhood AMI is?
 7
                     SAL D'AVOLA: No, we use the
 8
      standard Area Median Income for--
 9
                     COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Well--
10
                     SAL D'AVOLA: -- New York City.
11
                     COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: -- I know,
12
      but when we're doing projects, it can vary, it can
13
      go over the New York City or metropolitan area AMI
14
      or it can go under that, so there is some
15
      negotiations around the AMI, and I'm asking you,
16
      what is the AMI for this project?
17
                     SAL D'AVOLA: We cannot exceed 115%
      of AMI.
18
19
                     COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON:
                                             Right, so
20
      you did go beyond the area AMI, there's
21
      metropolitan area AMI, you went 15 percentage
22
      points above it.
23
                     SAL D'AVOLA: I'm not sure what
24
      you're asking me, Councilman.
25
                     COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: I'm asking
```

```
1 COMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS & CONCESSION 15
      you if you can decide what the AMI is for a
 2
 3
      project, think the metropolitan area AMI is about
 4
      76,000?
 5
                      SAL D'AVOLA: You're talking about
 6
      the 100% of AMI.
                      COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON:
 7
 8
                      SAL D'AVOLA: Yes, it's 78 six, I
      believe.
 9
10
                     COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON:
                                              Seventy-
11
      eight six.
12
                      SAL D'AVOLA: Or 76 eight, one or
      the other, I just--
13
                     COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON:
14
15
       [Interposing] Right, all right, let's say 78 six,
16
      so you established that for this project you're
17
      going up to 115% of the AM--
18
                      SAL D'AVOLA: [Interposing] We
19
      didn't establish, it was a program that's put in
20
      place by HUD and we're part of that program and
21
      the parameters under that program by the federal
22
      government and by the city of New York established
23
      that we could not exceed 115% of AMI.
24
                      COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON:
25
       [Interposing] Right, but you can go lower.
```

```
1 COMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS & CONCESSION 16
 2
                     SAL D'AVOLA: We can go below it,
 3
      yes.
 4
                     COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Right, so
 5
      I'm asking you in determining to do the maximum
 6
      AMI, 'cause that's what you did basically,
      determined that you would use the maximum amount
 7
 8
      since you can't exceed for--
 9
                     SAL D'AVOLA: [Interposing] That's
10
      not the case, Councilman, actually.
11
                     COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: You said
12
      you cannot exceed 115%, right?
13
                     SAL D'AVOLA: Correct.
                     COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON:
14
                                             So you
15
      can't beyond that, right?
16
                     SAL D'AVOLA: Correct.
17
                     COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: So that's
      the maximum AMI that you could--
18
19
                     SAL D'AVOLA: [Interposing] For the
20
      program, yes.
21
                     COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Well that's
22
      what I said.
                     SAL D'AVOLA: Yeah, okay.
23
24
                     COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Well that's
25
      what I said, you know. So I'm saying to you that
```

comparables in the neighborhood of what CLs are--

2 COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON:

[Interposing] I'm familiar with that, I'm familiar with all of that.

SAL D'AVOLA: --and we determine which of the lower is the appropriate number to make these homes sellable.

familiar with the process, but I'm asking you more about the idea of affordability for an area and whether all of the factors there now—we have a real challenge 'cause if it goes too low, and we always talk about this, if you have the AMI too low, then the people in that neighborhood may [pause] be able to secure a loan if the AMI is too low. If the AMI is too high, then you're outpricing 90% of the people in the neighborhood, so it couldn't really be considered affordable. One would say affordable to who.

So as we define affordability for a neighborhood, it's trying to strike that balance between required incomes that can get the mortgage and not go into foreclosure because they're over their head, while at the same time not locking out people in the neighborhood and we have problems

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

with gentrification. This is our neighborhoods are gentrified by coming in with high priced high AMIs and high priced homes that the people in the neighborhood can't even afford. And that's why I always raise these issues so that we'll be sensitive so the least you can do is lay--it doesn't take a whole lot to research and find out the area, you know, the neighborhood AMI and then negotiate. 'Cause sometimes even if the neighborhood AMI is \$30,000 or whatever, then we know that's low and we know that that's not something that we could try to get somebody to buy with that income to get this kind of home, but at least you give, as you go up the income ladder, you give some people a chance to get it as opposed to outpricing 99% of the people in the neighborhood and then having others come in and our neighborhoods be gentrified. SAL D'AVOLA: I think we do what

SAL D'AVOLA: I think we do what you're saying we do, I think we, through the different factors and the different conversations in the different community groups and local brokers that we talk to, we do get to that place that you're discussing. I also think that our

2	goal is not to gentrify these neighborhoods, our
3	goal is to provide affordable housing in the
4	communities to the people that live in these
5	communities as well. We're not looking to push
6	the people in these communities out of their
7	neighborhoods and
8	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON:
9	[Interposing] I know, but its
10	SAL D'AVOLA:we have preference
11	categories that allow for that.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: I
13	understand, but I'm saying no matter what your
14	intentions are, it's all boils down to what the
15	policy is going to be, it all boils down to what
16	the AMI is going to be and what the price of the
17	house is going to be, no matter what anybody's
18	intentions are. It's the price of the house and
19	the income requirement that determines
20	affordability in a neighborhood, so we can be
21	well-intended and come in at 115% of the AMI and
22	what is the price of the house, by the way?
23	SAL D'AVOLA: The particular houses
24	in the Councilwoman's district, I think in the low

fours, I don't have the specific number, 415, 430.

```
1 COMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS & CONCESSION 21
 2
                     COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON:
                                              Four
 3
      thirty.
 4
                      SAL D'AVOLA: And 460.
 5
                     COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Four 60?
 6
      So it's about $460,000 and a AMI of 115%.
                      [Pause]
 7
 8
                      SAL D'AVOLA: The cap is 115%.
 9
                      COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Right.
10
                      SAL D'AVOLA: Yes. But I'm not
11
      sure--
                      [Crosstalk]
12
13
                      COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON:
14
       [Interposing] That's what you consider an
15
      affordable--
16
                      [Crosstalk]
17
                      SAL D'AVOLA: The affordability may
18
      not be at 115%, I don't have the number in front
19
      of me, but we could do that calculation.
20
                     COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: All right,
21
      thank you very much.
22
                     CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Sorry, Mr.
23
      D'Avola, if I could just ask for like one
24
      clarification. So there's a maximum of 115% of
25
      AMI, but is there a minimum?
```

2	SAL D'AVOLA: No, there's no
3	minimum, the minimum is basically required on what
4	a person can get for a mortgage. So essentially
5	you don't want to encourage people that don't have
6	sufficient income to purchase a home. Generally,
7	our homes have been affordable to people making
8	anywhere between 70% of AMI up to the 115%.
9	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So then there's
10	good reason to believe that somebody making 75,
11	80% of AMI, a family of four, would be able to
12	qualify for a mortgage and would not be rejected
13	from an application for this home, for these
14	homes.
15	SAL D'AVOLA: Correct. And, in
16	fact, our average in terms of people who have
17	purchased our homes has been around 90 to 95% of
18	AMI.
19	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So then there's
20	just as many people below that number as above
21	that number
22	SAL D'AVOLA: Correct.
23	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN:up to the
24	maximum of 115% AMI
25	SAL D'AVOLA: Yes.

2	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN:as defined by
3	HUD and HPD [off mic]. So just for our
4	clarification and so that this committee I think
5	would feel more comfortable, there's no reason to
6	believe that somebody making 80% of AMI or 75% of
7	AMI would be rejected out of hand as not
8	qualifying for a home like this.
9	SAL D'AVOLA: And in fact, you have
10	another item on the agenda today for a number of
11	homes in Councilwoman Rose's district and those
12	homes are affordable to people making about 70% of
13	AMI on Staten Island.
14	[Pause]
15	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON:say one
16	other
17	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Sure thing.
18	Council Member Barron.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Just so,
20	and I know the Chair knows this, but any time you
21	have a 115% of the AMI, the likelihood of somebody
22	making 70%, 75% of the AMI getting that is slim,
23	very, very slim 'cause most would go for the
24	
	higher income because they would consider that

price that we--I'm not sure actually, we may have

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: --so 418

2	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: Right.
3	SAL D'AVOLA:so I'm happy to see
4	if that's something I can do.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: Right, I
6	appreciate that, I just want to understand
7	because, again
8	SAL D'AVOLA: [Interposing] You
9	have to remember, too, that the appraisal that was
10	done on the property at the time was done on a
11	vacant building in an as-is condition. Appraisals
12	then get done again when the homes are completed
13	for the homeowner in an as-repaired condition, and
14	we've put a significant amount of work in these
15	buildings, which would obviously show that the
16	work was done in the home.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: And you
18	expect to have these homes ready for an appraisal
19	by when?
20	SAL D'AVOLA: Two of those homes
21	are at least 90 to 95% completed, and one home is
22	about 80 to 85% completed at this point, so I
23	think that they should be ready in the next 30 to
24	60 days.
25	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: With a new

```
1 COMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS & CONCESSION 30
 2
      appraisal.
 3
                     SAL D'AVOLA: The appraisal would
 4
      get done when the buyer is identified.
 5
                     COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: And the tax-
 6
 7
                     SAL D'AVOLA: As part of the
 8
      mortgage process.
 9
                     COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA:
                                             Right.
                                                     And
10
      the tax exemption would be applicable to the
11
      appraisal from pre-rehab or post-rehab?
12
                     SAL D'AVOLA: The appraisal has
      nothing to do with the exemption.
13
                     COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA:
14
15
                     SAL D'AVOLA:
                                   The exemption is
16
      something that the Department of Finance does
17
      their own assessment of what the property is worth
      and they determine an assessment, they determine
18
19
      what the tax figure should be. The tax exemption
20
      that gets put in place is an exemption that
21
      basically says that the building will be taxed as
22
      if it did not have a structure on it, it would be
23
      taxed as land for the first five years and then
24
      for the subsequent five years, it would come in in
25
      tiers so that by year 11, the property would have
```

about the assessment conducted by the Department

SAL D'AVOLA: That's totally

23

24

25

of Finance?

2	what the Department of Finance determines to be
3	the taxes for that property based on an assessment
4	and based on how they determine the assessment to
5	translate into an annual tax amount. And it would
6	be significantly greater than an exemption where
7	you're just taxing the property as if it were a
8	vacant piece of property.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: And the
10	property value as of 2009, the market value is 418
11	with a change of \$158,000 taxing year 2009 to 2010
12	would be at 576,000.
13	CAROL CLARK: Is that data you've
14	gotten from the Department of Finance?
15	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: Yeah.
16	CAROL CLARK: Okay, assessment
17	information.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: Yeah.
19	CAROL CLARK: Thank you.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: And so I'm
21	just trying to understand how is this homeowner,
22	based on the certain income criteria, going to
23	afford the taxes after the 10th year, let's say,
24	of exemption, is it based on pre-rehab assessments
25	or is it post-rehab assessment or it doesn't even

```
1 COMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS & CONCESSION 34
      matter, it's not quantified into the equation?
 2
 3
                     CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Council Member,
 4
      we have a question from Council Member--
 5
                     COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS:
      [Interposing] Well maybe a little clarity,
 6
 7
      actually the assessment goes up every year even if
 8
      there is a tax exemption or a partial abatement in
      place, and what happens is if the owner doesn't go
 9
10
      to certiorari to keep the assessment down, the
11
      assessment goes up and when taxes kick in, it'll
12
      be based upon the higher assessment. That's how
13
      it works generally. [Pause] So that, yes, the
14
      taxes will be based upon--are higher than what you
15
      see here on these papers. But my question is the
16
      sales price is at 418, is that what I'm reading
17
      here? Is the sales price--
18
                                            It's dif--
                     COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA:
19
                     CAROL CLARK: There's three
20
      separate sales prices that have been--
21
                     COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS:
                                              Well I'm
22
      talking about one--
23
                     CAROL CLARK: --determined, it's
24
      $460,000 is a proposed sales price on the property
25
      at 64--
```

2	COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS:
3	[Interposing] Sixty-four Harman Street.
4	CAROL CLARK: Right, and the
5	others, it's 415 on 1231
6	[Crosstalk]
7	COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS:
8	[Interposing] And your sales price is based upon
9	what you paid for it, plus whatever construction
10	you did on the property.
11	SAL D'AVOLA: No, our price is
12	based on two factors, 115% of AMI as the cap and
13	what the market will bear in those communities to
14	sell those houses.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: And who
16	determines what the market will bear as
17	SAL D'AVOLA: [Interposing] We do,
18	as I had mentioned, it's a couple of factors, we
19	talk to local community groups, we talk to local
20	brokers, we look at Department of Finance data of
21	recent sales, we look at realty data and we
22	combine that information with what the cap can be
23	in terms of the affordability and seeing whether
24	or not these homes will be affordable in these
25	communities.

COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: Can the 2 3 Council Member get the information on what you 4 actually bought the property for plus if any rehab was done and what the cost of it, can she get 5 that? 6 SAL D'AVOLA: Sure, that's fine--7 8 [Pause] 9 COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: Thank you. 10 COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: I'm going to 11 be following up with Sal just to not prolong the 12 hearing. This is the first opportunity we've had 13 to review these three properties and uncontrollable situations concerning last year's 14 15 term and entering into a new term. And so I know 16 that there's an expiration date on this 17 application and I don't want not to do due 18 diligence based on an expiration date. So I know 19 that Sal is going to work with me and I appreciate 20 the time that I've been afforded. Thank you, Mr. 21 Chair. 22 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. 23 other questions from the Council? Any questions from the public? The hearing on this matter is 24 25 closed.

2	We'll be moving on to Land Use
3	number 22, 20105272HAR, that's 14 Hill Street, 35
4	Susan Court, 37 Susan Court, 36A Thelma Court, 15
5	Thelma Court in the district of Council Member
6	Debbie Rose, that is in the Community Board
7	district 1 in Staten Island, ASA control area.
8	SAL D'AVOLA: You can do it, too.
9	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Ms. Clark?
10	CAROL CLARK: Sure. LU 22 consists
11	of five vacant properties located at 14 Hill
12	Street, 35 and 37 Susan Court, and 15 and 36A
13	Thelma Court to be developed under the Asset
14	Control Area program. In an effort to revitalize
15	neighborhoods by bringing vacant homes back in use
16	and creating affordable home ownership
17	opportunities for low and moderate income
18	families, HUD and HPD have undertaken this
19	initiative as we've been discussing.
20	Under the program, one to four
21	family homes that were acquired by HUD as a result
22	of mortgage foreclosure are sold to the not-for-
23	profit sponsor Restored Homes for rehab and
24	subsequent sale to qualified purchasers.
25	Collaborating with HPD, Restored Homes will be

1	COMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS & CONCESSION 38
2	overseeing the rehabilitation of these buildings
3	and will conduct the marketing process that's
4	established to identify income eligible
5	purchasers.
6	Today, as you know, HPD is here
7	seeking the Council's approval of a UDAAP tax
8	exemption for eligible purchasers to enhance the
9	affordability of these homes.
10	Council Member Rose, whose
11	representative I see in the audience, has reviewed
12	the project and indicated her support.
13	[Long pause]
14	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Well I don't
15	have any questions, any member of the committee
16	have
17	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON:
18	[Interposing] Well just point [pause] point of
19	clarification, the AMI is 70% on this one, 'cause
20	we had 115%.
21	[Pause]
22	SAL D'AVOLA: Program allows us to
23	go up to 115% of
24	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: I got that.
25	SAL D'AVOLA:AMI, these homes

of AMI; 23 of those units were to be sold to 110%

members of the public have any questions about

```
1 COMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS & CONCESSION 42
      this matter? The hearing on this matter is now
 2
 3
      closed.
 4
                     And if there's any other comment at
 5
      all or questions [pause] any of these matters,
 6
      then the hearing is hereby closed.
 7
                      [Long pause]
 8
                     CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Be calling a
      vote on Land Use items 22 and 24--
 9
                     FEMALE VOICE: [Off mic] over all
10
11
      the rest.
12
                     CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: The rest of the
      items on today's agenda will be laid over.
13
14
                      [Off mic]
15
                     CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: I do recommend
16
      an aye vote and I will ask the Counsel to call the
17
      roll.
                     CAROL SHINE: Carol Shine, Counsel
18
19
      to the Subcommittee. Chair Levin?
20
                     CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Aye, aye on
21
      all, sorry.
22
                     CAROL SHINE: That's fine, Council
23
      Member Barron?
24
                     COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON:
25
                     CAROL SHINE: Council Member
```

CAROL SHINE:

Thanks.

I, Tammy Wittman, certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

Signature Tamputter

Date _February 18, 2010_