CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE

----- X

December 9, 2020 Start: 1:08 p.m. Recess: 4:27 p.m.

HELD AT: Remote Hearing - Virtual Room 2

B E F O R E: Daniel Dromm CHAIRPERSON

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Alika Ampry-Samuel

Diana Ayala

Robert Cornegy, Jr.

Laurie Cumbo

Darma Diaz

Vanessa Gibson

Barry Grodenchik

Karen Koslowitz

Farah Louis

Stephen Matteo

Francisco Moya

Keith Powers

Helen Rosenthal

Jimmy Van Bramer

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Jacques Jiha, Director
Office of Management and Budget

Kenneth Godiner, First Deputy Budget Director Office of Management and Budget

Vincent Sapienza, Commissioner Department of Environmental Protection

Joseph Murin, Chief Financial Officer Department of Environmental Protection

Jeffrey Shear, Deputy Commissioner Department of Finance

Michelle Jackson, Executive Director Human Services Council

Devone Nash, New York City Resident

Aaron Weber, New York City Resident

Julia Duranti Martinez, Community Land Trust Campaign Coordinator New Economy Projects

Jacquelyn Griffin, Staff Attorney Brooklyn Legal Services

Jennifer Levy, Attorney Legal Aid Society

Ivy Perez, Policy and Research Manager Center for New York City Neighborhoods

John Krinsky, Professor of Political Science City College

Katrell Lewis, Director of Advocacy Habitat for Humanity New York City Hannah Anousheh, Coordinator East New York Community Land Trust

Staff

Cypress Hills Local Development Corporation

Albert Scott
East New York Community Land Trust

Debra Ack
East New York Community Land Trust

Boris Santos, Treasurer East New York Community Land Trust

Memo Salazar, Co-Chair Western Queens Community Land Trust

Jan Lee, New York City Resident

Lyric Thompson, New York City Resident

Paula Segal, Senior Staff Attorney Take Root Justice

Jason Bokor Metropolitan Refunds

Lauren Mogul, New York City Resident

Ezra Schwartz New York Water Management

Mark Schwartz New York Water Management

Hershel Weiss, Blogger
WaterWatchNYC.com

Jan Mantell Waste Water Prevention

	4

2 Samuel, Louis, and Rosenthal. Today, the committee will be holding a hearing on three topics. 3 oversight of the city's November 2020 financial plan 4 Second, a pre-considered introduction 5 update. sponsored by Council member Adams by request of the 6 7 mayor related to the city's tax lien sale. Introduction 2046, sponsored by Council member Lander 8 related to the capital commitment plan and capital 9 10 project detail data reports. Before we begin, I would like to give a special welcome to the new 11 12 Director to be Office of Management and Budget, Jacques Jiha, who is testifying before this committee 13 for his first time in this new role. I have had the 14 15 pleasure of working closely with Director Jiha in his 16 prior position as the Commissioner of Finance and I 17 look forward to continuing our productive 18 relationship now that he assumed leadership of OMB. I will start with an overview of the November 19 financial plan. The fiscal 2021 budget, as of the 20 November plan, is 92 billion dollars, which is 3.8 21 22 billion more than the fiscal 21 budget at adoption. 23 Most of this increase is from the recognition of 2.7 billion dollars in federal Covid reimbursement. 24 25 budget caps for the out years-- I'm sorry-- remains

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

sizable with the fiscal 2022 Standing at 3.8 billion this Be closed by the release of the preliminary budget in January. New needs for fiscal 2021 totals 650.6 million dollars of which 401.8 million dollars is for the Department of Education and the projected budgeted height count is modestly reduced in each year of the plan by approximately 500 to 1000 positions each year. Today, we have questions about what is included in the plan, but we also vote to learn more about issues that are not laid out in the budget documents. New York City has a reputation for proactive budgeting, namely, budgets that tried to present an accurate estimate of spending and revenues across the plan period. We don't see that happening in this financial plan. In particular, this financial plan does not make a lot of movement towards addressing the many issues the city is facing as a result of Covid. For example, the plan shows minimal progress towards closing the budget gap for fiscal 2022. It does not address the severe and catastrophic problems facing the city's small businesses, nor does it show the complete cost changes reflective of the new way schools have had to teach this year. It, similarly, does not make any

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

adjustments for increased state risks to the budget. We hot that, through today's testimony and questions, we can shed light on those issues, as well as gain more clarity on the 656.7 million dollars savings from labor agreements that the administration has announced since that option. Turning to the lien sale, the pre-considered intro that is being heard today is being introduced by request of the Mayor and is sponsored by Council member Adams. The bill requests that the Council extend the authority for the city to sell the liens for an additional four years and would also exempts certain Covid 19 impacted class I homeowners from the 2021 lien sale. I will let Council member Adams speak in more detail on this bill, but before I turn it over to her, I will note that I know that the administration feels very strongly that the lien sale authority be renewed. They contend it is an important enforcement tool to prevent property tax, waterjet, and certain emergency housing repair change delinquency. I also recognize, however, that, for many years, the Council has been advocating for reforms to the lien sale, in particular, to blunt its negative impact on low income homeowners in communities of color.

Council member Adams?

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that, as we continue to negotiate changes to the lien sale program and engage in vigorous debate about its future, that we able to strike the right balance between those interests and find a path that satisfies the many interested parties. So, with a very happy birthday to Council member Adams, I will now pass it over to her to speak about this issue.

COUNCIL MEMBER ADAMS: Okay. I think I'm finally allowed to unmute. Thank you so much, Mr. Chair, and thank you so much for the birthday shout out. I appreciate that. So, good afternoon, everyone. I would like to start, once again, by thanking Chair Dromm for allowing me to deliver remarks. I want to make it clear what this hearing is and what it is not. During today's hearing, we will discuss pre-considered Introduction T 2020-6944 in relation to the sale of tax liens. It is important for everyone to understand how we got here and what this bill means. Simply stated, this legislation is a placeholder, based on verbiage suggested by the administration. This was necessary in order for so that all voices are heard and are on the record. We all know that, from its inception,

2 the lien sale has been rife with injustices which disproportionately impact communities of color. 3 have always been an advocate for change to this 4 process and that has not and will not change. 5 didn't forget overnight that the lien sale 6 7 incentivizes predatory behavior. I didn't forget that I have resided next door to a foreclosed 8 property for almost 15 years. I did not turn my back 9 on the fact that the current lien sale model 10 continues to increase the racial wealth and undermine 11 home stability in our city. My commitment to protect 12 and preserve low to moderate income homeownership in 13 14 our city still stands. Negotiations are ongoing and 15 will not stop until we get to a palatable bill that 16 changes the way that our city collects outstanding 17 So, with that, I want to thank the Governor debts. 18 for his executive order to pause the lien sale. I want to thank all the advocates and CBO's for your 19 tireless work. Your knowledge, your commitment, and 20 your compassion to the cause of equitable housing for 21 22 all New Yorkers and I look forward to your testimony. 23 We have a long way to go with this legislation 24 continues to evolve. In the next phase of 25 negotiations with the administration, I hope to

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2 further a partnership not only with people on the

3 ground, but also with my colleagues so that the final

4 product will make lasting and positive change for New

5 York. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you very much, Council member Adams. We have been joined by Council member Gjonaj and Gibson and, lastly, we have Intro 2046, sponsored by Council member Lander, which would make clarifying changes to the city Charter's language regarding the requirements and timelines of producing the tri-annual Capital Commitment Plans and the Capital Project Detailed Data Reports. We will no hear testimony from Jacques Jiha, the Director of Director Jiha is joined by Kenneth Godiner, the First Deputy Budget Director, Vincent Sapienza, the Commissioner of the Department of Environmental Protection, Joseph Murin, the Chief Financial Officer of the Department of Environmental Protection, and Jeffrey Shear, Deputy Commissioner of the Department of Finance. Before we hear from them, I will ask Stephanie Ruiz, the committee counsel, to give some procedural instructions and then swear in the witnesses. Stephanie?

2	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, Chair.
3	I am Stephanie Ruiz, counsel to the city Council's
4	Committee on Finance. Before we begin, I want to
5	remind everyone that you will be on mute until you
6	are called to testify, at which point you will be
7	unmuted by the zoom host. I will be calling on
8	panelists to testify. Please listen for your name to
9	be called on as I will periodically announce who the
10	next panelist will be. We will first hear testimony
11	from the administration which will be followed by
12	questions from the Council members, followed by
13	members of the public. I will now administer the
14	oath. Please raise your right hands. Do you affirm
15	that your testimony will be truthful to the best of
16	your knowledge, information and belief? Mr. Jiha?
17	DIRECTOR JIHA: Yes.
18	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Mr. Godiner?
19	KENNETH GODINER: I do.
20	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Mr. Sapienza?
21	COMMISSIONER SAPIENZA: I do.
22	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Mr. Murin?
23	JOSEPH MURIN: I do.
24	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: And Mr. Shear?

JEFFREY SHEAR: I do.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. You may begin when ready.

Well, thank you, Chair DIRECTOR JIHA: Dromm, for the kind words and I'm also looking forward to working with you in the months ahead. And I also want to the members of the Finance Committee and members of the city Council for the opportunity to testify today concerning the fiscal year 2021 November financial plan and the tax [inaudible 00:11:45] automatization. My name is Jacques Jiha and I'm the Director of the New York City Mayor's Office of Management and Budget. I'm joined today by OMB First Deputy Director, Ken Gardner, Vincent Sapienza, the Commissioner of DEP, Jeff Shear, DOF Deputy Commissioner. Following the pause implemented last spring, the city's economy and revenue collapsed overnight. We advised them our tax revenue projections by 9 billion dollars. Meanwhile, the city had to span billions of unplanned and unbudgeted dollars towards the way of the pandemic. If it were not for the record reserves built by this administration and the Council and the [inaudible 00:12:37] we achieved, the city could not have dealt with the sharp decline in revenue without substantial

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

cuts to vital services and major layoffs. So, some fiscal management remain key to our fiscal Covid plan. This means making cautious revenue estimates, focus on savings and building and maintaining reserves. The November plan reflects a continuation of this strategy. The fiscal year 2021 budget is only 2 billion dollars and demands balance. It is 3.8 billion dollars [inaudible 00:13:17] adopted in June. Budget growth is primarily driven by FEMA reimbursable costs and other federal Covid-related grants that the city used to save lives and keep New Yorkers healthy and safe. We also invested in reopening schools, which is not only great for children and parents, but also a crucial step in safely reopening the city's economy. The other driver of the budget goal is higher than expected tax [inaudible 00:13:53]. While the city's revenue has declined as the economy sweltered, the city avoided steeper declines in tax revenue collections because of the beneficial effects of the first round of the federal stimulus which included unemployment benefits, tax rebate checks, and business loans. Specifically, the budget recognized 748 million dollars in better than expected collections,

primarily in personal income and business taxes which
are offset by a decline in non-tax revenue for an
overall change of 613 million dollars. We have also
achieved the largest November two-year savings plan
of this administration: 1.3 billion dollars that
completely offsets new city spending of just under
780 dollars in those same years. We have build on
these savings throughout the year. At adoption, the
Mayor committed to achieving 1 billion dollars in
annual labor savings as a gap-closing measure. Since
June, the administration has reached just over 720
million dollars for the current fiscal year. This
includes furloughs of all 9500 managerial and non-
represented city employees, which has saved 20
million dollars. We will continue to work with labor
unions to identify savings that help balance the
city's budget. Another pilar of strong fiscal
management is building and maintaining reserves. In
the November plan, we main 2.8 billion dollars in
this fiscal year and, for the first time, the city
will have a true rainy day fund. I appreciate your
partnership in providing another tool that
strengthens this city's financial management which
has a balance of near 500 million dollars. As we

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

proceed to the preliminary budget, it is important to recognize the headwinds we face from Washington and Albany. While Covid spending has ramped up to more than 5.2 billion dollars, federal support has been insufficient and is actually lessening. recently changed its policies through strict reimbursable activities. For instance, the agency has refused to fund PPE for teachers and additional disinfection necessary to allow schools to reopen safely. Federal changes are not only limited to new costs, but also some costs incurred at the height of the emergency. Further, the federal government will not support certain proactive safety measures which complicated reparation for a second wave. changes put 350 million to a billion dollars at risk. Stimulus from Washington was a crucial lifeline to New Yorkers that provided more than 40 billion dollars in unemployment benefits, rebate checks, and business loans. We are optimistic that further federal stimulus is likely with incoming Biden administration, though the timing and size is [inaudible 00:17:33] to manage Covid increased the state's already troubled financial condition. Facing the 14.9 billion dollar gap in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

this current fiscal year, Albay has threatened severe cuts to localities. We could lose billions of dollars used to educate our children and fund safety net programs for the most vulnerable New Yorkers. Another risk we are facing is the slow economic recovery. Monthly private sector job growth has declined steadily from a high of 105,000 jobs in June to about 14,000 jobs in October, but despite these [inaudible 00:18:13], we are making steady progress towards recovery and a vaccine is on the horizon. will continue to push the city towards recovery because when activity resumes, we'll be stronger and more resilient than ever before. Before I conclude, I want to address the city's tax lien sale. This is a very difficult issue for New Yorkers, the city Council, and the administration. People are struggling and no one wants to add to the pain and challenges that they face, in particular during this pandemic. That is why we are working with you to create a new fairer program to help property owners who are facing difficult times. We have had a robust conversation already with some of you about concerns regarding the debt threshold, the income eligibility threshold for [inaudible 00:19:20], the breadth of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

outreach, and the challenges that homeowners face trying to navigate the system generally. committed to working with you to address these issues as we go to the [inaudible 00:19:35] process. also know that in this pandemic, people are finding it much harder to get by. That is why would [inaudible 00:19:47] include Covid relieve for homeowners and it is our goal to apply this broadly and with compassion. We find no pleasure in putting anyone's property in the lien sale which we see as a last resort. The very last resort that we turn to only after we have used every tool at our disposal and have tried everything that we can to avoid. Before a property is put on the lien sale list, we conduct extensive outreach to contact the homeowners and help them avoid a bigger problem. The city's goal is to advise New Yorkers of their rights and give them the opportunities to resolve the lien before the property is on the lien sale list when it is too late. If a property owner is sent five notices of an impending lien, the first is a prenotice letter sent four months before the property is placed in a tax lien sale. Owners are then given a range of options and advised to call the Department

2 of Finance immediately. If there is no reply, we send four more notices before a lien is filed. 3 4 someone cannot make payments due to extenuating 5 circumstances, we will work with them to design a 6 flexible payment plan that meets their individual 7 needs. We offer two primary options to help eligible 8 homeowners repay outstanding taxes. Our standard payment plan requires zero down and allows property 9 10 owners to stretch their payment out for up to 10 years. For those homeowners who are facing serious 11 challenges, we work with the Council to create the PT 12 Aid program which allows qualifying owners to defer 13 14 their property taxes and remain in their homes. 15 Additionally, DOF, the Department of Finance, began 16 offering an option for all property owners to pay their taxes months instead of quarterly or 17 18 semiannually in order to help participating 19 homeowners to better manage their finances. Taken 20 together, these payment options seek to help our city homeowners manage through difficult time while 21 22 ensuring that we are able to collect the revenue to 23 provide the vital services that our communities 24 depend on. In sum, we work very hard to avoid lien 25 sales, but without renewing the lien sale authority,

the city loses a critical enforcement tool that
encourages the prompt payment of municipal charges.
We need these funds to deliver the quality program
and services New Yorkers need and expect, like Pre-K
for all, street and sidewalk cleaning, snow removal,
trash collection, and so on. as I've discussed
earlier, the city faces many challenges. Failure to
renew the lien sale authority could cause additional
harm by damaging our fiscal health and jeopardizing
our credit ratings. We understand and share the
Council commitment to protecting struggling
homeowners and making sure that the city has the
resources it needs to fund critical programs and
services. In light of this, we appreciate your
partnership in creating a new Covid protection
program for the 2021 lien sale and, respectfully,
request your support. Finally, we support the
legislation, Intro 2046, and we look forward to
working with you on it. Thank you for the
opportunity to testify today. I look forward to
continuing the dialog in the months to come as we
work together to bring New York City back stronger
and fairer than ever before Thank you

2	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you very
3	much, Director Jiha. Before we move on to questions,
4	I just want to say that we have been joined by
5	Council members Van Bramer, Moya, Ayala, Cumbo, and
6	Cornegy. And, Director Jiha, corona case
7	coronavirus cases are surging again in the city and
8	state and there's a fear that the holidays could
9	potentially lead to a spike come next year. While
10	the infection and hospitalization rates remain lower
11	in the city, compared to upstate as of December 7th,
12	Governor Cuomo warned that there would be another
13	shutdown if hospitals become overwhelmed again. What
14	risks does a significant surge in cases and a
15	possible shutdown pose to the revenue plan and the
16	need for additional related city-funded spending? Is
17	any of this risk reflected in the November plan?
18	DIRECTOR JIHA: Well, there is a risk
19	that the economy would slow down as a result of a
20	possible, partial shutdown, but the November plan
21	unrecognizes tax collection actually received in the
22	first quarter of 2021. Going forward, we will focus
23	on savings. We are also stressing the need for
24	additional federal aid. If that doesn't materialize,

we need to consider difficult budget decisions.

2	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So, you have a plan
3	to deal with the impact after another shutdown and
4	what the impact would be on in terms of our revenues?
5	DIRECTOR JIHA: Well, as I said, if
6	there is and at this point in time, no one knows
7	for sure what's going to happen, but if there is a
8	potential shutdown or partial shutdown of the
9	economy, there is a likelihood that, you know, the
10	economy may slow and, in which case, as I said, we
11	will continue to push for federal aid and, if that
12	doesn't materialize, we will have to make some very
13	tough budget decisions.
14	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay. So what I'm
15	hearing is, basically, that we desperately need that
16	federal aid in order to move forward should be have
17	well, we need it anyway no matter what, but I think
18	that we would need it even more should we face
19	another shutdown.
20	DIRECTOR JIHA: Yep. That's correct.
21	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay. The fiscal
22	adopted 2021 budget had a million dollar placeholder
23	for unspecified labor savings. After the budget was
24	adopted, the administration announced agreements with

the labor unions to save 657.7 million dollars,

largely by moving planned fiscal 21 payments into

fiscal 22. If the payments still need to be made in

fiscal 22, can these really be called a savings or is

5 | this just kicking the can down the road?

perspective, these deferred agreements provide the city with approximately 722 million dollars of cashflow relief in fiscal year 2021. You know, so you could say from the [inaudible 00:27:53] perspective, even though we're going to have to, basically, recognize those expenses in 2022, but given the situation, the financial difficulty the city was dealing with in fiscal year 2021 when no one knew for sure what was going to happen. So it was in our best interest to try to maximize as much cash that we have in the bank as possible to meet our obligations on a day-to-day basis.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So, Director, also, the adopted budget assumed a 1 billion dollar savings and the plan-- what's the plan then to achieve the remaining-- I guess it's 342.2 million in savings.

You spoke about 657.7 million, but what about that remaining 342.2 million?

	COMMITTEE ON FINANCE 24
2	DIRECTOR JIHA: We continue to work with
3	the labor union partners, you know, to achieve and
4	meet the FY 21 saving targets that we have.
5	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So, you're looking
6	to see a further savings from labor agreements?
7	DIRECTOR JIHA: Labor unions. Yes.
8	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: And that would
9	equal the 1 billion dollars.
10	DIRECTOR JIHA: Exactly.
11	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay. So, in terms
12	of the federal stimulus, the November plan recognized
13	more than 2.2 billion dollars in federal Covid-19
14	related funding for fiscal 21. In addition, there is
15	bipartisan coronavirus aid package currently under
16	consideration by congress. According to the
17	Washington Post, the package would allocate about 160
18	billion dollars in aid to states and localities, if
19	enacted. Senator Schumer has described the package
20	as Covid relief, rather than a stimulus. So, first,
21	can you break down the 2.2 billion in federal Covid
22	funding that was included in the plan?
23	DIRECTOR JIHA: It's actually 2.8

billion dollars that we include in the plan. FEMA

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE

2 accounts for 1.5 billion dollars and stimulus is 3 about 1.3 billion dollars.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay. And can you describe the importance of the city receiving the federal aid and do you currently have any estimates on how much the city could get from this package?

DIRECTOR JIHA: I mean, as I [inaudible 00:30:13] to you before, you know, are trying to avoid making painful choices. And to the extent that we could secure federal funding, federal aid, it would be very useful— very helpful— for the city and for the city economy, in general, because a stimulus is a great way to stimulate the city's economy. To speed up the city's economy. The city's recovery. As far as we don't have any statement at this point in time because we don't have any details of the bill, so, as we get more information, we will be more than happy to share them with you.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay. Thank you,
Director. Let's talk a little bit about school
technology. The November--

DIRECTOR JIHA: Sure.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: plan doesn't add any funding to the DOE's budget for technology to

24

25

2	support remote instruction and the five year capital
3	plan amendment does not propose an increase to the
4	total five year 750 million dollar budget for all
5	technology that had been set prior to the pandemic.
6	The DOE reportedly spent more than 530 million on
7	iPad in the spring alone. So what is the total
8	fiscal 2021 budget for school technology including
9	devices and services related to remote learning
10	broken down by expense and capital spending.
11	DIRECTOR JIHA: So far, we have approved
12	nearly 200 million dollars in capital and about 200
13	million dollars in expense to support remote
14	learning. And I should also say that or mention
15	to you that the expense funds are basically supported
16	by federal dollars. To date, we have approved about
17	461,000 devices and we continue to work with the
18	agency as we continue to identify unmet demand.
19	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Commissioner, why
20	doesn't the November plan show changes related to the
21	report learning technology?
22	DIRECTOR JIHA: We received about 60
23	million dollars in federal city funding which will

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Which will what?

reflect in the budget.

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE

DIRECTOR JIHA: Be reflected in the budget.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay. Where's the addition for internet connectivity in family shelters? Is that in the budget? We don't see that.

DIRECTOR JIHA: It will be reflected. We're currently reviewing this proposal and it will be reflected in the general financial plan.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay. How much funding will it take to ensure that all students and teachers are properly equipped with both devices and internet service?

DIRECTOR JIHA: Well, we have made funding these devices a priority and I can assure you that we are leveraging out buying power as a major city as much as possible and, you know, so to-- our goal is, basically, to provide every single one of students and teachers all the equipment and technology that they need to continue to educate our children.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So is there a budgeted amount or you just will make sure that everybody gets what they need and--

DIRECTOR JIHA: As the demands— as the requests come in, we will review them and make sure they approve them because this is a priority for us.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So, as the need

arises, you'll move forwards--

DIRECTOR JIHA: We will review and make sure that they have resources available.

talk a little bit about the NYPD budget. The budget was adopted with an agreement between the Mayor and the Council to reduce the NYPD's budget by 1 billion, however, the adopted budget only showed a reduction of 420 million for fiscal 21 and 82 million of fiscal 22. Furthermore, the November plan actually adds to the NYPD's budget and doesn't show additional reductions. So, why are the reductions that were negotiated as part of the fiscal 21 budget deal not fully reflected in the city's budget?

DIRECTOR JIHA: So, as you know, these transfers are very complicated and, you know, the Mayor made it clear last year-- I mean, that the SSA was a two year process and that we would work and that SCG and traffic could be done this year. Those operational--

that would be affected in the financial plan coming.

25

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: And, Director, do 3 you know if negotiations in terms of the transfer of that funding has begun or the training that's 5 necessary to move them over? Particularly the School 6 Safety Agents? 7

DIRECTOR JIHA: Discussions are taking place at this point in time and, as I said, once we have a better sense of where things are, they will be reflected in the financial plan.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okav. Let me go move on to some lien sale questions and then I'm going to open it up to my colleagues.

> DIRECTOR JIHA: Sure.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Several years ago, the Council passed my legislation establishing the PT Aid program. This program provides more flexible and payment plan options for property owners who have fallen behind in their tax bills. You mentioned, I think, some of the options that folks have. Can you provide the Committee an update on the number of people enrolled in the PT Aid program?

Yeah. Currently, we DIRECTOR JIHA: have about 187 people enrolled in the program, you And I believe the Department of Finance is

The PT Aid enrollees pay the exact same penalty

interest as property owners who are delinquent on

24

25

plans?

2	their property taxes and not working with the city to
3	address outstanding debt. Other tax jurisdictions,
4	including the IRS, provide partial relief from
5	penalties when taxpayers enter payment plans and stay
6	current on those plans. Would the city consider
7	doing the same for low and middle income property
8	owners in the PT Aid or even the regular payment

DIRECTOR JIHA: You're talking about the penalties or you're talking about the interest?

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: The interest.

DIRECTOR JIHA: Oh, the interest.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: All right.

DIRECTOR JIHA: The interest is already low at five percent, okay? And the challenge that we have, we've been dealing with—— lawyers have been looking at these issues and it's very difficult to have different interest rates for PT Aid than other similarly situated properties. So, we continue to look into it, but there are some legal challenges that we need to overcome.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay. So, again, we'd like to work with you on that and then move forward also--

2 DIRECTOR JIHA: Yes. Definitely.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: to see what we can do there. Okay. So, let's go to a timeline there. Traditionally, the lien sale process begins in February with a 90 day notice being mailed out and mostly ends around mid-May with the last day to pay. Do you expect the city to keep that timeline if it gets reauthorization?

that we are not doing a lien sale for 2020, however, we do want to have the ability to do the lien sale next year with, you know, like I indicated earlier, with more Covid protections so that we could remove certain people who were impacted by Covid from the lien sale. So, again, as I said, we are still working with the Council so that we could, you know, address issues with respect to timeline and so on and so forth, but at this point in time, I don't have a definite timeline in terms of exactly when it's going to happen.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay.

DIRECTOR JIHA: We have to coordinate to make sure that-- but we do want to have your authority to do it next year.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay. So, February is just a few months away, so we need to work quickly on that, I think.

DIRECTOR JIHA: Yes. Definitely.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay. If there's a further spike in Covid cases and the city goes into lockdown again, would that impact your timeline, as well?

trying to come up with, you know, because none of-you know, if there's a lockdown, not everyone is
impacted by Covid, per say. I mean, everybody is
impacted by Covid, but not everyone individually, you
know, is impacted so that [inaudible 00:40:31] of
their income and their ability to pay. So that's why
we're trying to, as much as we can to come up with
Covid protections so that we make sure we've got to
remove anyone that is impacted by Covid from the lien
sale.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: And, Director, do you have any idea -- you mentioned the Governor's -- you know, the moratorium on the lien sales. Do you have any idea how long he will continue to extend a portion of the EO prohibiting the lien sales?

2	DIRECTOR JIHA: I don't have any sense
3	of when you know, what the governor is doing in
4	terms of the timeline. However, we believe that we
5	cannot have a lapse in the lien sale authority and
6	for the simple reason that it would send the wrong
7	signal because many property owners would decide not
8	to pay or to delay their payments. Okay? Because
9	there would not be any ramification for them if they
10	don't pay and, as a resort, this would affect
11	significantly the financial situation of the city.
12	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay. So, all
13	right. So let me leave it like that at this point,
14	Director, and I'm going to go to Council member
15	questions. I believe that's where we're going to
16	head now and I'll turn it back over to Stephanie
17	Ruiz, our Council, to call on members.
18	DIRECTOR JIHA: Okay.
19	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: We will now have
20	questions from Council member Gibson followed by
21	Council member Adams.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Okay. Thank you so
23	much. Thank you, Chair Dromm, and my colleagues and

everyone who is on today's hearing. Thank you so

24

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

began?

2 much, Director, and congratulations on your new 3 position. We are looking--

DIRECTOR JIHA: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: forward to working with you as you oversee the Office of Management and Budget and certainly a lot of its financial challenges that we confront as a city. So I have two very quick questions and, as you know, I Chair the Subcommittee on Capital, so we've been working very closely with OMB around the whole Capital Commitment Plan and the capital process itself. So I wanted to ask with the pandemic, unfortunately we've seen changes to the city's capital budget and the capital process. After the pause was lifted from the state, we struggled with cashflow issues which, unfortunately, delayed many of our ongoing capital projects. I wanted to understand why many of our capital projects are paid for with bond sales that the city actually sells. Can you give us an update on where we are in terms of there being a delay between the outlay of funds for capital projects and the actual sale of bonds? What bond issuances have been initiated from the city since the pandemic

DIRECTOR JIHA: Since And let me
first [inaudible 00:43:32], but since March, the city
has issued like two [inaudible 00:43:39] for new
money and refunding purposes totaling about like 2.3
billion dollars. We also had like four TFA
[inaudible 00:43:50] transaction for new money and
refunding for about 4.7 billion dollars and we also
have the Transitional Financing Authority building
aid revenue bond issued approximately 350 million
dollars for new money and [inaudible 00:44:07]
purposes. And I also believe that the [inaudible
00:44:13] Financial Authority issued three
transactions for new money and refunding for about
1.8 billion dollars.

of some of the bonds that have been issued, what does that mean and what is the oversight that OMB is providing to many of our agencies that have delayed capital projects? Some agencies, some of the capital projects were in design. The design stopped. Some started construction. Everything stopped. So I want to understand from your perspective that what is the guidance that OMB is giving to a number of our agencies? How do we prioritize these projects? Many

2	of us have new housing projects that we want to get
3	started. We have new schools in our districts and a
4	lot of these things have been stalled. So how do we
5	lot of these things have been stalled. So how do we
6	prioritize agency by agency on what's most important
7	based on the financing that we have at this time?

DIRECTOR JIHA: Yeah. At this time I would say to you that all active construction projects have been restarted, but to give more detail, let me have Ken Gardner who will provide you some more detailed information. But at this point in time, all active construction projects are restarted.

Ken? Is Ken--?

 $\mbox{KENNETH GODINER: Yeah. No. I'm sorry.} \label{eq:continuous}$ They had to unmute me.

DIRECTOR JIHA: Okay. Okay.

MENNETH GODINER: As Director Jiha mentioned, all of our active construction projects which were stopped during the initial pause were restarted. Since then, we have intentionally taken a deliberate approach to the remaining capital projects. First, we prioritize and pass through projects that have impacts on safety and health and we're letting those go through as quickly as we can.

it's not accidental. We are deliberately going through the projects, slowing down the pace of capital spending until we get a better, fuller understanding of the financial situation and, after that, we can decide on pacing based on the information that we get. You're on mute, Council member. I'm sorry. You're on mute, Council member
capital spending until we get a better, fuller understanding of the financial situation and, after that, we can decide on pacing based on the information that we get. You're on mute, Council
understanding of the financial situation and, after that, we can decide on pacing based on the information that we get. You're on mute, Council
that, we can decide on pacing based on the information that we get. You're on mute, Council
information that we get. You're on mute, Council
member. I'm sorry. You're on mute, Council member

And I appreciate you saying that, Ken. So, thank you. So, I guess my question is as we prioritize a lot of these projects based on what the financing looks like. Now, I agree health, wellness, and safety is important, but you also recognize that housing is important, too, because of the thousands of families that are living in temporary housing today during Covid. We have to get them into long term housing. So I'm grateful that we recognize that and HPD's money, instead of being shifted in the outer years, there's about 477 million dollars that we are moving up into the next fiscal years. That's a good thing and that's a start, but I'm not accepting that as enough.

2 COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: [inaudible

00:47:39] because it's important to do.

KENNETH GODINER: I appreciate that. I just want to point out that there's no HPD projects that are currently stalled or held up. So, that is from HPD. We will work with you and make sure that the projects that we need to get done-- obviously, housing is a significant priority for the administration, as well.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Okay. So, are there any things you can give us to expect in the preliminary Capital Commitment Plan? Are there are any highlights that you can share with us today?

We're asking for the agencies to do is to redistribute their capital plan for realistic timeframes when projects will actually begin and commitments start. And you'll be seeing an update on that and I know that we've worked with you guys and with your committee to make sure that the plan that we have for capital are realistic and reflect when things can actually be done.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Okay. Okay. Chair Dromm, if it's okay, I just have one quick question

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and it's important based on a hearing that we had on It's related to an issue that the Women's Caucus and the BLAC and many of us feel very deeply about on maternal mortality and maternal morbidity. Unfortunately, in New York City, African American women and Latinas and birthing individuals are more likely to die during childbirth than any other class of women. It's been an issue that we've been focusing on and dealing with doulas and midwives and making sure that our health care system is equitable to all birthing individuals, regardless of what you look like. So if you guy remember, back in July of 2018, the administration announced a three year, almost 13 million dollar investment starting in fiscal 2019 on four initiatives that reduce maternal deaths and life threatening complications of childbirth among people of color. There's a maternal hospital quality improvement network and during our hearing on Monday, DOHMH could not confirm whether there's a plan to extend the funding of this program in the outer years. And so, given that the funding is going to come to an end this fiscal year, I was wondering if you could confirm is there is an extension? Is this on your radar? Is there a

questions from Council member Adams.

25

25

COUNCIL MEMBER ADAMS: Thank you so much.
And thank, Mr. Director, for being here today. I've
said many times that many leaders in our city
agencies are invited to hearings and they choose not
to come, but you are here today to take questions
from us and, for that, we thank you. And then just
speaking or rewinding back to the lien sale in which
we are very, very involved with right now and still
moving toward some sort of resolution and, again, we
know that we have got a long way to go with this
negotiation. I've mentioned in the past about this
being personal for me because of where I live in
Southeast Queens, because of the high foreclosure
rate in Southeast Queens and because I consider
myself I won't say a victim, but I am a property
owner who, you know, again, has lived next door to a
foreclosed property for way too long now. Way, way
too long now. How many properties have been
foreclosed on after having liens sold in the lien
sale since 2017 or so?
DIRECTOR JIHA: I think, let me have
Jeff answer that question. Jeff?

JEFFREY SHEAR: Yes. I don't have the

statistics specific to 2017 and we can get back to

you, Council member, with that information. We need to know that, pursuant to the task force that we met with the Council a couple years ago that we did a review of liens that were sold and the rate at which they were foreclosed upon and, at that point, we came up with a rate of under two percent of the liens sold had been foreclosed upon. We can revisit that and we can certainly come back to you with a number specific to the liens sold since 2017.

COUNCIL MEMBER ADAMS: I would appreciate that much. Are the foreclosures limited to a certain area or areas in the city?

DIRECTOR JIHA: No. No. The lien sale does not target any community or any race, however, you know, we have a discussion— talk about or discussed that there been some ongoing financial inequities around the city which means that certain communities have higher delinquencies because of these underlying inequities. Okay? So, but, in general, this is another policy of the city and to target for the lien sale any particular community or any particular race.

COUNCIL MEMBER ADAMS: And how long does the foreclosure process usually take?

DIRECTOR JIHA: It's a long process, but Jeff could provide you more detail in terms of the timeline. Jeff?

JEFFREY SHEAR: Yes. So, the foreclosure process, once a lien is sold, in the foreclosure process can't begin until seven months have passed after the sale and, typically, the foreclosure process can take up to two years from start to finish. And what we find, often times, if foreclosure has started, then arrangements are made during the process for some type of pavement plan so that the foreclosure process will cease.

COUNCIL MEMBER ADAMS: And can you give us an idea of the legal fees associated with foreclosure?

JEFFREY SHEAR: So, the fees generally are court imposed fees and they are based upon the court that is involved, based upon what specific steps are required. For example, there is a process server fee. The cost of that fee depends on how many people have to be process served. And there can be advertising fees that will depend on which newspaper the court requires advertising to be placed in. So, the amount varies and it depends on how far into the

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

process the property has progressed and the
prescription of the particular court.

COUNCIL MEMBER ADAMS: Okay. And just considering, again, that in tremendous number, lien sale foreclosure, communities of color are hit the hardest by this. Liens that are sold are heavily concentrated in communities of color and these are the same communities that are hit hard by predatory lending which the director just alluded to. High rates of foreclosure which we just discussed. It is been suggested that the lien sale is effectively a gentrifying tool that leads to displacement of longtime homeowners and renters in communities that are already facing extensive marketing pressure and now we have got Covid also. How would you respond to And do you believe that the tax lien sale, as it is currently structured that we are now working on exacerbates the problem as it places additional and unsustainable financial pressure on occupied low rate units leading to the loss of those units as affordable housing, something that's the goal that all of us are reaching towards right now?

DIRECTOR JIHA: I would say that the court structure as is, as we discussed, we think that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

we should definitely do a lot to improve it, which is what we are working on. And one of the important things that we have to do is, again, it's one of the byproducts of the lien sale is the fact that you have bad actors reaching out to different people in the different communities and basically putting pressure on them so they would sell their properties. So, one of the actions that we are working on with the Council is to secure [inaudible 00:57:38] in Albany to have the cyst in some kind of legislation so that, in certain ZIP Codes, okay, workers and buyers and, you know, the bad actors cannot, okay, use the lien sale list to call people and put pressure on people to sell their properties. And we are also working to see how best we could codify into law certain things so that we could deal with deed fraud because deed fraud is one of the major issues in these communities and we have to codify into law to make sure that people are protected from those bad actors. we all agree that there are certain things that we can do and we will continue to work with you and the Council and to secure legislature in Albany to protect as best as we can, okay, those will property owners.

COUNCIL MEMBER ADAMS: Thank you. And I
am just going to throw one more thought in here
because I know there are a lot of people that want to
speak and I want to give them an opportunity to do
that. This year, you know, as you mentioned, Mr.
budget Director, there is been no lien sale. In my
opening remarks, I actually thanked the governor
because there has been no lien sale. Has been able
to shrink the lien sale eligible list to the smallest
in recent history. So, it appears that perhaps the
most successful lien sale year was in the year that
there was no lien sale. So, I just want to be on
record for saying that and I would like to know if
you or your staff have shared any lessons learned by
this process.

DIRECTOR JIHA: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER ADAMS: that has allowed the lien sale list to be reduced by so much.

DIRECTOR JIHA: Yes. Again, as I said, outreach has been-- you know, even though we couldn't do physical outreach, but the staff of the Department of Finance has done a tremendous job in terms of doing online-- a lot of online-- outreach to make sure that we reach the public. The other

make the outreach stronger.

thing we also have to recognize is the time. We have a lot more time just this year than in previous years because, in previous years, the process would have stopped in August. But now, you know, that process has been extended to December. But, as I said, we learned a lot. Our best to do a lot of outreach and to work with the community leaders and elected leaders so that we could continue to work together to

and I want to thank you, again, for your testimony.

I just want to reiterate my commitment to this

process, to these negotiations. My commitment to

actually break the back of the lien sale as much as I

possibly can for the benefit of all New Yorkers who

suffered the torment of this practice. So, thank you

very, very much for your testimony. Thank you, Mr.

Chair.

DIRECTOR JIHA: Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: I will now call on the remaining of the Councilman to ask questions in the order in which they used to the zoom raise hand function. Council members, please keep your questions to three minutes, including responses. The

3.8 billion dollars.

sergeant-at-arms will keep a timer and let you know
when you may begin and when your time is up. We will
now take questions from Council member Powers
followed by Council member Cornegy.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: All right. Thank
you. Nice to see everyone. I hope you're all safe
and healthy. Thank you for the testimony. This is
just for OMB Commissioner. I just want to talk a
little bit about like long term health of the city
and next year's budget, as well and I just have a
couple questions to help me think through this. So,
first [inaudible 1:01:45] budget gap for next year
for fiscal year 22, what is the projected budget gap
right now after you've done some savings?

DIRECTOR JIHA: Right now it is about

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: 8 billion?

DIRECTOR JIHA: 3.8 billion.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Oh. Oh, sorry.

3.8 billion. And what is the current strategy to

fill the gap ? That number four next fiscal year?

DIRECTOR JIHA: We just completed the November financial plan. We are now in the process

of reviewing every single one of our options to see
and come up with a roadmap because the budget will be
balanced. The question is what are the different
options that we will put on the table to get it done.
Okay? Again, as I said, we continue, the because the
we know it will be a very difficult budget because,
at the very end of the day, the unless we get a
stimulus from the federal government, okay, we will
have to make some very difficult choices. That is
why we keep stressing the importance of federal aid
to colleges because, at the end of the day, the
different options that we have in front of us,
they're not very appealing.

DIRECTOR JIHA: But, if needed, we have no choice. Okay. We have a plan. We have a plan, but, if needed, we have no choice. But to the extent that we could avoid any major disruption to services and to avoid layoffs, we will continue to work very hard with all the elected officials in New York to make sure we sick your federal aid for the city.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Okay. Let's just talk federal-aid for a second and then I have another question which is, how much federal-aid do you

anticipate the city is going to raise? What are we asking for? How much do you anticipate to receive?

What is the timing of that and will that come directly to the city where will that go to the state

and then we get a portion of that?

DIRECTOR JIHA: At this point in time, we don't know anything about the detail of— we know for sure there is a plan going in DC, but at this point in time we don't have any detail in terms of content of it. We know they are discussing about 160 billion dollars for states and localities. How it is going to be distributed, we don't know because we don't have the details at this point in time. So, I can't tell you for sure one way or another how much—

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired.

DIRECTOR JIHA: timing. In terms of timing. I know they are pushing to get it done this year. But, again, we still don't know because, from what I understand yesterday, there was pushback by Mitch McConnell yesterday, basically asking to remove state and localities. And those actions, we believe, are contentious. Again, there are discussions, but at this point in time, can't tell you for sure what is going to happen.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Okay. Okay. So, you and I are both saying the same thing which is, you know, there's a lot of-- and this will be my last question.

DIRECTOR JIHA: A lot of uncertainty-COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: But a lot of
uncertainty in timeline an amount of money-DIRECTOR JIHA: Timeline-- election in
Georgia.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Let me just finish with this question, Commissioner. Are there new revenue sources at the state level if we have uncertainty at the federal level or at the city or state level that we are looking at or pursuing or that you are most excited about is an opportunity to help fill that budget gap?

DIRECTOR JIHA: One thing for sure, the city's economy is performing a little bit better than the [inaudible 1:05:48] so, there is a chance that we could get higher than anticipated revenue. Okay? I don't know how much it is going to be at this point in time because our folks are working on the forecast, but, again, with respect to the state, the state isn't assembled as we are. The state doesn't

know for sure, okay, what is going to happen. thing we know is that the state has threatened to use its authority, okay, at this point in time, basically, to court up to 8 billion dollars from the city. At this point in time, I believe they have caught 2 billion dollars -- I mean they have postponed payments to localities of 2.4 billion dollars of which the city shares about 800 million dollars. At this point, it is a cash flow issue because this hasn't yet been a decision whether or not they are going to cut the city's budget. They just postpone the payments. Hopefully, we all will

receive this will not be necessary.

Send on this. I am a little concerned that all we're saying is let's hope that federal aid comes and it comes soon and it comes to New York City and it is the right amount of money and it fulfills our wishes. I think all of that is great. I just don't think it is likely. And so, I am concerned that we don't really have a strategy or a thought or a plan around what--

DIRECTOR JIHA: Oh, no. No. No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ask for, if that is the case. And that is kind of what I am trying to get at is I think we should be also thinking— I haven't heard really, to be honest, strategy, I'd say, for borrowing for new revenue sources that might be available at the city or state level and I still don't, you know, truly year some big ideas or thoughts about where the city might go find that revenue if it becomes unavailable at the federal level.

DIRECTOR JIHA: The budget will be Okay? The budget that will be presented balanced. in January will be balanced for sure. Okay? question is, from our perspective, is can we avoid to make some major, major cuts to city services. that is the debate. Okay? In terms of a plan, we have plans to get -- to balance the budget. You know, because the budget has to be balanced whether we have federal-aid or not. Okay? So, it's the question of how painful do we want to make it? So, what we are saying to you is, if we are federal-aid-if we get some federal-aid, there will be less pressure on us to do draconian cuts to the budget, but we have a plan. We have a roadmap in terms of

2	how to get to where we need to be. We will continue
3	to work with labor unions, okay, to seek your
4	savings. We will continue to do attrition. We will
5	continue to monitor all of our expenses. We will
6	consolidate operations of the city. Okay? We will
7	streamline operations as much as we can. The
8	question for us is whether or not the cities, from
9	our perspective, a last resort. Okay? We will
10	explore all the ways to cut the budget. I mean, to
11	balance the budget, but, to the extent that we could
12	minimize those cuts, we will try as much as we can to
13	secure federal-aid. But it's not like we don't have
14	a plan. We need to have a plan.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Okay. I would love to see that plan some time.

DIRECTOR JIHA: I assure you that we have a plan. The preliminary budget is coming out in a month, a month and a half, and it will be balanced.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Okay. Out of respect to all my colleagues, I will stop my questioning there. Thank you to the Chairs.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: We will now hear from Council member Cornegy followed by Council member Grodenchik.

2 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.

3 COUNCIL MEMBER CORNEGY: Good 4 afternoon, budget Director Jiha. I feel like we 5 spent a great deal of time the other day going over 6 this and I hadn't changed your title, so I owe you an 7 apology there. It actually has a pretty nice ring to So, I worked with you on third-party transfer, 8 on deed theft and deed fraud, and I just feel like, 9 10 you know, just focusing on the lien sale and not the conspiracy around escalating energy costs, rent 11 moratoriums, deed theft, and deed fraud, tax lien 12 sale, and now third-party transfer conspired to 13 14 actually displace black and brown homeowners from 15 their homes in a way that has never happened before 16 and then you couple that with the pandemic. So, I'd like to hear from you, who I know to be someone who 17 18 really cares about everything that I just said 19 because I worked with you around it. I have appreciated the work that you have done. 20 I want to hear you explain a little bit about how you are going 21 22 to differentiate between those who are burdened 23 through Covid and have relief for those homes and how the plan going forward with the lien sale would work? 24 25 How do you differentiate and what's the criteria and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

are
all of the
d in this
hat says it
to bail
ot willing
he backbone
tiate?
c c l

Well, it's like, as I DIRECTOR JIHA: discussed with you on many occasions. We recognize that a lot of people are hurting in New York City. Okay? And one of the things that we did not have in the last framework-- in the last tax framework that we have-- was the ability to remove folks who were impacted by Covid unfortunately. We didn't have that because Covid didn't exist. Okay? We heard all of the concerns, okay? From a lot of elected officials, based on our conversations with them and recognized the challenges. As a result, what we're proposing is to remove anyone that is impacted by Covid, okay, from the lien sale going forward. At the same time, we also recognize that all the inequities, all the challenges that you just mentioned, we need resources to tackle, okay, to provide, to service. We can't do

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 all of these things-- okay. Tackle all the

3 inequities-- whether it is school, whether it is--

4 you name it. Every single one of the problems--

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired.

DIRECTOR JIHA: We need resources to deal with them. So, that is the reason why we are saying, on the one hand, okay, we understand the challenges, all the concerns that people are raising with respect to the tax lien sale. Okay. And, for that, we're going to try to make sure everyone who is impacted, okay, by Covid or have other issues, we created a number of payment plans in a number of options so that we could get these people into those payment plans or, the if they are impacted directly by Covid, to remove them. But, at the same time, we also need the enforcement tool to make sure that the commercial property owners in New York City pay their taxes because, if there is no enforcement mechanism, there is nothing that is going to push them to make payments. A number of people would not make payments. And, if they don't make payments, okay, the city would not be able to provide the critical services, okay, to the people who most need them. So, this is what we are saying we have to balance.

2	Okay? On the one hand, we understand the challenges
3	imposed by the lien sale on people who don't have the
4	means, who are poor struggling. But, at the same
5	time, we need resources to help those communities.
6	So, that is what we are asking. That is the
7	challenge [inaudible 1:14:33].

for your response. I stand at the ready with my colleagues to serve in districts that are disproportionately affected. Alika Ampry-Samuel, Adrienne Adams, Daneek Miller. Like the ZIP Codes remain the same of the people who are disproportionately impacted, so I look forward to working with you and your new role to make sure that we can protect the most vulnerable homeowners from this predatory practice— from the predatory practices that exist in the city going forward as we've done in the past. Thank you.

DIRECTOR JIHA: I appreciate it.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: We will now hear from Council member Grodenchik followed by Council member Gjonaj.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.

25

2 COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: Thank you. 3 Thank you, Mr. Chair. Director Jiha, it's nice to 4 see a guy from Queens get forward. Congratulations 5 on your new position. I do want to put on the record 6 that I really do not believe that this administration 7 has prepared for about a financial storm that we are We have been relying overly much on monies from 8 Washington that are not coming, have not come. 9 10 at the rate they are making progress in Washington, I'm not sure that they will ever come. And I just 11 want to put that out there on the record quickly. I 12 know that you are in a very difficult position. You 13 14 are the person that has to pay the bills. I want to 15 say also, before I ask you a question, I noticed in 16 documents that were prepared by the finance staff, 17 that the only tax that seems to be increasing during 18 this Covid pandemic and the ensuing fiscal storm that 19 has enveloped us is the property tax. He continues 20 to go up. It is kind of like death and taxes. You know the old saying. I do want to also follow up on 21 22 something that my colleague Adrienne Adams-- happy 23 birthday to you, Adrienne-- said earlier. She had 24 asked about percentages and she asked about the

numbers and one of the people, I think it was Deputy

2 Commissioner Jeff from finance said that it was under two percent, but unless we know the actual numbers of 3 people affected-- you know, there is a big 4 difference between two percent of 100 and two percent 5 of 100,000. And I am married to a math professor and 6 7 she says I'm very good at counting. So, I want to ask you this question and I would like you to get 8 back to us, whether it is finance or your office to 9 10 get back to us on the percentages. You know, why can't the city just take the money when properties 11 are sold? You know, I represent a big chunk of 12 community Board 13 in eastern Queens which has been 13 14 one of the ground zeros, along with community Board 15 12, for foreclosures. It's been a disaster for many 16 of my constituents. I share that community Board with Councilman Miller and formerly with our new 17 borough president in Queens, Donovan Richards. 18 19 want to ask you the question. You know, would 20 medicate slap Celine, they don't foreclose. reap their money such as it is once the person no 21 22 longer owns that property or has passed on. 23 can't we do the same thing in New York City with our tax liens? And if we couldn't do it for everybody, 24 why can't we do it for some people? 25 There are a lot

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of people who are in my community-- my aunt, for instance-- I'm assuming she is up-to-date on her property taxes.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired.

COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: My cousin takes care of her. But she has been in the same house for 72 years and that is not unusual to see in the parts of easter Queens. So, I'd like that question answered.

DIRECTOR JIHA: Jeff?

JEFFREY SHEAR: Sure. So, I think addressing the last part is that we do offer options for people who have been in the same house for a long time and that is aligned with the PT Aid plans that the budget Dir. outlined earlier. So, working with the Council, we have created property tax and interest deferral plans. There were three types of them. One of them is specifically aimed at low income seniors. So, seniors who have paid their mortgage and perhaps are living on a fixed income, pension, and they run into issues as the costs of things increase, including property taxes. We allow them to make a payment with us where we are okay with them deferring their property taxes and they can pay

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

a portion of the property taxes and, for the seniors, we allow them to pay as little as zero and people in those plans are not included in the tax lien sale and what we need to always, when the property changes hands, when ultimately it is sold, the city is able to collect at that juncture. So, we have been carving out ways for people to do that. We do have our standard payment plans where people can pay as little as zero down and can stretch what they owe over 10 years. People in active payment plans are not included in the tax lien sale. And, of course, the tax lien sale itself, we want to emphasize that our goal is not to sell the tax liens, right? Our goal is to run through a process and, as Council member Adams was pointing out before, when we do the whole process, we end up eliminating more than 80 percent of the properties that are initially in the at risk pool because they do make payments, make payment plans, and we end up not having to sell the liens. And then, even for the liens that are sold, foreclosure cannot begin until seven months after they have been sold, so there to the trust tries to enter into payment plans with people with sold tax

I want to follow up on the lien sale. You made a

statement that, if there was no penalty, people would

24

25

2 not-- if there was no penalty interest, there would be homeowners that would take advantage of not paying 3 real estate taxes [inaudible 1:22:21]. I don't agree 4 5 with that. I do believe that anyone that owns a home 6 wants to pay real estate taxes. The 13th Council 7 District, my district, has the highest affected real estate taxes in New York City. In addition, during 8 Covid, the borough of the Bronx was the hardest at 9 10 the peak of 25 percent unemployment. The latest unemployment numbers for the city our respective of 11 18 percent in the borough of the Bronx, 13 percent --12 13.3 for Brooklyn, 13.1 for Queens, 10.8 for Staten 13 14 Island, and 10.3 for Manhattan. Those are a lot of 15 homeowners that are unemployed. I can't see how they 16 are going to be able to meet their tax payments, 17 their real estate tax payments. But the burden of 18 the lien sale and any penalty and interest wouldn't 19 be doing right by those homeowners that have actually 20 built this city and they shouldn't be punished for 21 being homeowners. They shouldn't be punished for 22 losing their jobs due to no fault of their own but 23 because of Covid. Before you answer that, you 24 mentioned the shortfall for our budget. I believe it 25 was 9 billion dollars, correct, Director?

2	DIRECTOR JIHA: 9 billion dollars, that
3	is not we revised down our tax revenue forecast
4	last year by nine 9 billion dollars. Yes.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: And you also
6	indicated that there were increases in expenditures
7	due to Covid. Is that correct?
8	DIRECTOR JIHA: Yes.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: But I don't think
10	you mentioned any of the federal reimbursement of
11	Covid related expenses. Do we know that dollar
12	amount?
13	DIRECTOR JIHA: Yes, sir. It's about
14	3.6 billion dollars.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: How much?
16	DIRECTOR JIHA: For Covid, it's about
17	3.6 billion dollars.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: So, does that 3.6
19	DIRECTOR JIHA: That's how much federal
20	aid increase in the November plan. But for Covid,
21	it's about 2.8 billion dollars.

COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: 2.8. So, is that

2.8 or the total 3.6 taken out of that forecast--

2	DIRECTOR JIHA: 3.6 is a bunch of other
3	grants. It's Covid and other grants. That's federal
4	grants, but 2.8 of that 3.6 is for Covid.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: But what is the
6	total shortfall, then? Because I'm not understanding
7	the numbers and I'm pretty good with numbers. What
8	is the deficit that we are forecasting?
9	DIRECTOR JIHA: For next year, the
10	deficit is for this is, the budget is balanced.
11	Okay. For 2021, the budget is balanced. For next
12	year's fiscal year 22, we are looking at a 3.8
13	billion dollar gap. The revenue which is
14	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired.
15	DIRECTOR JIHA: The federal revenue they
16	were talking about here is that, for fiscal year
17	2021. We're not talking about going forward. That
18	is not for 2022. This is for only 22 one. Okay?
19	COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: Thank you for
20	that
21	DIRECTOR JIHA: Okay.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: Director. And
23	before the follow up to the deputy from the tax lien

to my question and the comment that I made, you're

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 asking us to consider everything is on the table to 3 tighten our belts in the--

DIRECTOR JIHA: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: event of a In the event of a further decline in our shutdown. economy and tax base. My question to you is I was a part of the June budget negotiations. I didn't see a single line item in there that referred to the 900 million dollars that would go to the purchase of Alliance Bus Company. Where did that money come This was a pet project that miraculously this from? money appears when we had the most difficult budget while I've been serving and cutbacks. How many other pet projects are we not aware of that would be coming down the pipeline? If we're going to be talking about cuts, let's first talk about expenses that we're not informed of. Are you aware of any other expenses, projected pet projects with high dollars amounts that the city Council is not aware of? DIRECTOR JIHA: Well, this is not a pet

project. This is, basically, substitution. It is basically we are in sourcing expenses that we currently have a contract for. So, this is not like a [inaudible 1:26:46]. It's whether you contract out

2 that expense, 900 million dollars over five years, of the five and a half years, whether you contracted out 3 4 or you in sourcing that money. So, this is not a new You have to have transportation. You have to 5 thing. transport the kids back-and-forth from school to home 6 7 and home to school. So, it's not like a new thing. One basically replaces the other. Okay? But, in 8 general, the point you're making, which is a bigger 9 10 issue, the bigger point you're making, as I said, we are going to scrub the entire budget. Okay, right 11 now we are looking for every place, okay, where we 12 need to reduce spending because, as I said, it's not 13 like we have the choice. On January 16th, we have to 14 15 issue a budget that is balanced. So, therefore, but 16 if we don't have federal aid, if we don't have any other aid, that budget has to be balanced and 17 18 therefore we have to make some very tough decisions 19 to balance the budget. So, therefore, we look at 20 every single option. Okay. We are reviewing every single aspect of our operation to see what can be 21 22 consolidated, what can be eliminated, where we have 23 inefficiencies. Okay? We're trying to come up with-- work with flexibility. There are a number of 24 25 things that we need to do to ensure, okay, that we

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE

can cut every single savings out of this budget to make sure that the budget is balanced. Okay? So, our goal is to say to you is we are hoping that we could get federal aid, okay, so we can minimize, okay, the disruption in services that was think would happen if we have to go that route. Okay? All right? But if we don't have any option, okay, these will be the things we are looking into to make sure that we balance our budget because we have no choice. Okay?

COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: Thank you. And, Deputy, if you could answer the tax lien.

DIRECTOR JIHA: And with respect to tax lien, I understand the concern that you have with respect to property taxes are high and so on and so forth. This is a concern that we all share and we hear throughout the city. High property taxes, particularly in certain areas of the city. And that's the reason why the Mayor had convened the property tax [inaudible 01:29:13] task force which came up with a number of proposals, okay, basically to ensure we saw some kind of inequity to the system. We will continue to push these changes in Albany, okay, and so we could see if they get traction in

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE

taxpayers in New York City.

1

4

6

7

8

18

- Albany next year when the session resumes. So,

 again, we know we have to bring relief to a number of
- 5 COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: Thank you.
 - COMMITTEE COUNSEL: We will now hear from Council member Rosenthal followed by Council member Ampry-Samuel.
- 9 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.
- 10 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Thank you so
- 11 much. Director Jiha, congratulations.
- 12 DIRECTOR JIHA: Thank you.
- 13 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: I feel like
 14 the city is in good hands. You really, obviously,
 15 cared deeply about this. I want to ask two different
 16 trains of questions. What is it is the city asking
 17 the state to borrow money? I know it is not the

topic at the hearing. I beg the chairs forgiveness.

- DIRECTOR JIHA: No. That's okay.
- 20 That's okay. We always look at this as a last 21 resort.
- 22 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Yeah. Yeah.
- 23 Yeah. No. Here's why-- I got you. And only
- 24 | because I'm very tight on time. Here's why. When
- 25 | you are asking, will you consider giving the Council

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Right.

are going to finance because--

DIRECTOR JIHA: At the macro level, the only reason we would use that is the last way because there's no other way. No federal aid. Nothing can be done.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Okay.

 $\label{eq:definition} \mbox{DIRECTOR JIHA:} \quad \mbox{We would look at this as} \\ \mbox{the last--}$

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Okay.

DIRECTOR JIHA: resort to close the gap.

24

23

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2		COUNCIL	MEMBER	ROSENTHAL:	I got you.	I
3	mean, the	reason I	ask	I'm sorry.	I don't mean	to
4	frustrate	you, but	when			

5 DIRECTOR JIHA: No. No. No. You don't 6 frustrate me.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: In the budget office, what we did was produce two different budgets. One was a lilac budget if you don't give us the borrowing authority, this is what is going to happen. And there was, I don't know, another one, fuchsia one, that was here is what happens if you do give us the borrowing authority. And I just heard you to contemplate doing that because I think you will have a more compelling case with the state and certainly with me is the Council member, if you are able to lay that out. But here is my question on the tax liens, and I really appreciate Council member Adams staying on this issue during the entire time she is been on the Council. It has been extraordinary. Do you categorize the liens that happen? Do you have them in different categories of situations? And I think we have talked about this before. So, you have a category that is we are trying to help these people and you are offering them

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

- different things and then you must have another
 category that is noncomplying and never responded and
 we are moving forward. I would imagine those--
- 5 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired.
 - COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: are sort of the two biggest categories and I was wondering what is the relative percentage of each.
 - DIRECTOR JIHA: I do not have that data, but I could ask Jeff if he has that information and could share it with you. Jeff?
- 12 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Thank you.
- JEFFREY SHEAR: Yes. So--
- 14 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Hey, Jeff.
- 15 JEFFREY SHEAR: Hi. How are you,
- 16 | Council member?
- 17 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Really good to
 18 see you, Deputy Commissioner.
- 19 JEFFREY SHEAR: The pleasure is mine.
- 20 | So, the I guess before I go into it, Council member
- 21 Adams. So I did want to wait for follow-up, so since
- 22 2017 the city has sold 11,381 tax liens and
- 23 | foreclosure has occurred on 26 of that number. So,
- 24 | that emphasizes, yes, it happens, but it is done do a
- 25 small portion. And, again, part of that is the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I think that is how I would like to start to answer your question, Council member, in that we don't formally do different buckets. What we do is, in the process-- and I'm going to focus right now on Class I which I know is the essential focus of the Council. So, you need to be delinquent for more than three years in order for us to even include you in the tax lien sale at risk pool. So, age is certainly something that is the criteria that we use to determine whether to even include a property in that process. And I know, as we have discussed, right now the threshold for class I and for most properties is 1000 dollars and the administration is discussing with the city Council whether to raise that threshold. And I think that is something that we are amenable to doing and we are going to see you where those negotiations lead. Once the property is in the at risk pool, we do have the 90 day outreach. And, during that period, we are out doing as much outreach as we possibly can to all owners who are in that 90 day pool. So we, at that point, we don't say, oh, this one or that one seems to be recalcitrant. We want to reach them all. We send the warning letters to all of them. We place ads

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

that list the BBL's, not the addresses, and we have outreach sessions with as many Council members who will do an outreach session with us. So we are doing all of that because we're trying not to sell any liens. We mean that and we know that just by running the process, that lots of people are going to come in. And they have, which was something that Council member Adams pointed out. So, no. Once the liens are sold, then the trust runs a similar type of process. It tries to get people to pay and it is only after the seven month. If people lived that point have not made any attempt to pay, then foreclosure proceedings will commence. And, again, as I have described earlier, that those proceedings can last as long as two years from start to finish. Then, during those proceedings, again, people come in. So, we do feel like we need to have enforcement at the end of the process. People need to know that if they don't comply, this will happen, but that is not our goal. We don't enjoy doing it. We want it to be done as little as it possibly can and that is why it is such a long involved process before we get to that point.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Right. So, in your answer, the data that you just gave to Council member Adams question, are you saying in the course of a year, but is it usual that there are, you know, roughly over 10,000 that are in the process, but the need to minimize amount that actually get foreclosed on? Like, if you looked at the five year window, would you see the same ratio?

JEFFREY SHEAR: So--

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: In other words, with that—— and if I could, to its logical extension, that would mean that around 10,000 actually figured something out and were not foreclosed on and the property owners got their property back. In my understanding that right?

JEFFREY SHEAR: so, first I just want to be clear about the numbers. So, in the last three years, we have sold under 4000 tax liens per year. So, when I was quoting that 11,000 figure, that was for 2017, 2013, and 2019 combined. So, I don't want to overstate the number of liens that we sell each year.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Got it.

2	JEFFREY SHEAR: So, earlier in the
3	testimony, we referenced that the last time we did a
4	look back where we looked over several years, the
5	number was a little under two percent where
6	foreclosures were completed, so that would mean if
7	you're talking about, for example, last year we sold
8	3724, so if you were to look back several years
9	later, the number of foreclosures for that group
LO	would be roughly 74.
L1	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: And the
L2	remainder got their act together and started paying
L3	their worked out a deal.
L 4	JEFFREY SHEAR: Yes. Basically, yes.
L5	There may be some foreclosures that stretch out
L 6	longer, but yes.
L7	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Okay.
L8	JEFFREY SHEAR: And so the number is
L 9	lower for Council member Adams' request because she
20	was focused on the last three years.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER ADAMS: Yeah. Got it.
22	Thank you for that explanation. I really appreciate
23	it and thank you for the extra time, Chair.

JEFFREY SHEAR: You're welcome.

24

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Okay. We will now hear from Council member Ampry-Samuel.

COUNCIL MEMBER AMPRY-SAMUEL: Good afternoon, everyone. A lot of the questions that I had were already asked and so I definitely act out a lot of what my colleagues have already expressed and I want to say to the director that I am thankful that there is the process happening now where you are listening to applicants and working with Council member Adams to come up with a process that is meaningful and intentional and to make sure that we are not losing our communities. I also want to state, because I don't have a question, per se, I do have just some clarifying questions, but I do want to say that I am a Council member who participates in the informational sessions and they have been helpful in the sense of putting my residence my neighbors on notice and they will come out to the informational sessions. But what has been problematic is the fact that the end result is really about, you know, again we talked about it this entire time where they are our seniors and people who are just, you know, struggling and so there is no way for them to be able to make a lot of the payments that are required.

so, we have to do more as far as being able to find
those resources for my constituents, the ones who are
struggling who do come out and are notified, but just
are unable to make those payments. But just for
clarification, although there was no sale, the city
still collected and conducted outreach efforts. What
was the actual amount, like a dollar amount? I'm
hearing like a different [inaudible 01:41:40] like a
percentage and everyone, but an actual dollar amount
for the real estate property tax payments that were
collected? You might've said it already and I missed
it, but is there a dollar amount [inaudible
01:41:52]?

JEFFREY SHEAR: So, I don't have the dollar amount. We can get back to you with that.

What I can say is that we started with an at risk pool of nearly 19,000 properties, 18,907, and at last count, we had 310 that were still in the at risk pool. So, very good progress was made in reducing the numbers.

COUNCIL MEMBER AMPRY-SAMUEL: Okay. I was just trying to get a dollar amount just to-
JEFFREY SHEAR: We will get back to you

25 | with that.

2	COUNCIL MEMBER AMPRY-SAMUEL: Okay.
3	And another quick question, just, again, can you
4	clarify why does the city need to sell the liens to a
5	trust in order to encourage and collect property tax
6	payments? What's the and I know that Gjonaj and
7	Grodenchik both spoke to the trust and the why, but
8	really quickly explain the why Nesta go to a trust?
9	DIRECTOR JIHA: Jeff, do you want to go
10	again?
11	JEFFREY SHEAR: Sure. So, the tax lien
12	sale process was set up so that
13	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired.
14	JEFFREY SHEAR: I'm sorry?
15	DIRECTOR JIHA: Go ahead.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER AMPRY-SAMUEL: They said
17	time expired, but
18	JEFFREY SHEAR: Okay. A trust was set
19	up so that the city could create a separate entity
20	that would be focused on this part of the lien sale,
21	could hire, basically, the servicer outside
22	collection agency to ask those people to pay. Also,
23	the city has been selling bonds based on the expected
24	revenue inflow off of those tax liens. So, the trust

was created to handle all of the financial

- transactions associated with transferring the liens,
 selling the bonds, evaluating the purchase price of
 the liens, and so forth.
 - So, Chair, I just wanted to say that, you know, I just hear time and time again that the trust does not rot in a similar process and it doubles or triples the taxes owed for collection after the sale. And so, you know, I'm just. Over and over again that, you know, it just creates the problem that realistically, you know, folks in my community are just unable to get out of. And so, I really hope that as we are revamping the policy, we're making sure that were doing it in a way with the process and the systems that have exacerbated the actual problem. And I look forward to hearing the advocate speak.

 So, thank you so much.

DIRECTOR JIHA: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay. Thank you,

Council member Ampry-Samuel, and we will follow up on
that definitely. I want to see if Council member

Adams has any further questions?

COUNCIL MEMBER ADAMS: I have no further questions at this time, Mr. Chair. Again, I thank my

- colleagues for their questions, very in-depth
 questions, and the responses thus far from the OMB
 team. I also look forward to hearing from the
 advocates. Thank you.
- 6 DIRECTOR JIHA: Thank you.
 - CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you very much. I also want to thank the OMB team in our new director, Jacques Jiha, for your time here today and will be following up with you on a number of the items that we've mentioned. Thank you, again.
- 12 DIRECTOR JIHA: Thank you very much.
- 13 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay. I'm going to 14 ask counsel to call our witnesses now, please.
 - testimony from members of the public who have signed up to testify. I would like to remind everyone that, unlike our in person Council hearings, I'll be calling on individuals one by one to testify. Once your name is called, a member of our staff will unmute you and the sergeant-at-arms will set a timer and announce that when you may begin. Your testimony will be limited to two minutes. We will now hear from Michelle Jackson followed by Yvonne Nash. Ms.

Jackson, you can begin when ready.

2 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.

3 MICHELLE JACKSON: Good afternoon, 4 Chairperson Dromm and members of the New York City 5 Council Committee on Finance. My name is Michelle Jackson. I am the executive director of the Human 6 7 Services Council. We are a membership organization that represents about 170 human service providers in 8 New York City and we do policy and advocacy on behalf 9 10 of the sector. This year, has Covid 19 pandemic tore through every quarter of New York City, New York City 11 human service contractors were really on the front 12 lines of a global health crisis ensuring that high 13 14 risk populations such as seniors and the disabled had 15 access to food, mental health support. We continue 16 to provide emergency childcare to people who had to go to work to other frontline workers and we kept 17 18 residential facilities that couldn't close open. 19 workforce really put their lives on the line to ensure that New York's communities had the care that 20 they needed to stay home, stay safe, and stay alive. 21 22 While the human service sector really stepped up, 23 unfortunately, our city government partners and the budget did not do the same. When the budget was 24 25 passed in July, there were cuts to some discretionary

funding. There were, of course, the cuts to the
summer youth programs that we are all aware of and
also there was a cut to the indirect cost rate
initiative which, while something that is not program
based, is really essential funding for human
services. The cut was retroactive for FY 20, meaning
providers had to figure out how to make up lost
revenue that they had already spent and we are still
halfway through the year. Not sure how the FY 21
funding initiative will play out, so providers have
gone six months into the year trying to operate
programs and not understanding what money, if any,
will come from this initiative. When the November
plan came out, we you will were first happy to see
that there were no further cuts to human services as
we were one of the main victims of the budget in
July, but it is simply unacceptable that, even though
the November plan increased the current year budget
by 3.8 billion, that it did not reverse the damaging
cuts to human services, including the cuts to the
indirect cost rate funding initiative that impacts
city contracts

2	MICHELLE JACKSON: and contracted human
3	service providers. So we wanted to make sure we rose
4	that issue, you know, bring that issue up today that
5	the city has really created some fiscal chaos for a
6	sector providing critical safety net support for New
7	Yorkers and would have liked to see that funding
8	restored in the November plan, especially with so
9	many other significant increases in the November
10	plan. Happy to answer any questions and thank you so
11	much for your time.
12	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you. Thank
13	you very much.
14	DEVONE NASH: Hi. Good afternoon
15	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Next is Devone Nash
16	followed by
17	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.
18	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: You may proceed,
19	Mr. Nash.
20	DEVONE NASH: Hi. Good afternoon. My name
21	is Devone Nash and my email is my first and last name
22	at gmail.com. The city roughly has 45,000 homeless
23	families. HPD pays out 6,319.04 every month and I
24	live in a building Well, I'm currently housed in a

homeless shelter with my nephew in a family shelter

4

8

2 and it is 165 units in here at 6319.04 dollars. comes out to be 1,000,042-- 641.60 dollars the city 3 is paid out. I propose that we give 2500 dollars 5 according to housing connect 2.0, the site that you 6 guys have us in list four. The two-bedroom apartment 7 is 2499 dollars. You guys get about 300-- the city pays 550 dollars loss, plus an additional 168 dollars to snacks every month. I propose that you can just 9 give out 300 dollars for meals and serve other things 10 that a person may need. That is the total of 2800 11 That will come up to 462,000 dollars each 12 dollars. The city will save 580,641.60 dollars every 13 month. 14 That is enough money that you can go and 15 start helping with other people. There are 13,000 16 available apartments in the city right now and we can feel those 13,000 apartments tomorrow if we just took 17 18 some of the people out of the family shelters and 19 just deposited them in at least a want Norge two-20 bedroom, or a three bedroom apartment and it would save the city's awful lot of money. Now, I know that 21 22 you are new here, sir, and so, maybe if you want to reach out to me, you can reach me at 34723782--23 excuse me. 347-237-8269. Once again, that is 347--24 25 my name is Devone Nash and I'm a little nervous right

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

now. I have all types of anxiety, so I am a little nervous. But I'm a little, that I was the last time.

4 But this is absurd. I have been in the--

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired.

DEVONE NASH: shelter system for three years and so far, they have paid over 227,000--about 284,356 dollars for three years for me being in the shelter. You guys could've bought a house for that type of money and there's no end in sight and I would please-- I would love to be out of the shelter It's just wasted money. All of the services system. that you have here in the shelter system, we don't even use them. Honestly, I'm telling you. I wish I had pictures to show you the food that they feed us, but they spend between 668 dollars a month. I buy my own food and I spend 10 dollars, sometimes 15, and it lasts-- and I spend anywhere from 300 to 450 dollars a month to feed me and my nephew. Thank you for listening to my suggestions.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you. And we're going to look at those concerns. We've been looking at them, as a matter of fact, throughout the budget process, so thank you.

think about giving us 2800 dollars instead of— for the rent? Because it's 6300. That's just for two people and there's other families with more people. So, if you just paid the rent— and let's just— and, oh. The 2010 e-voucher, you guys— this is a voucher that helps people to take on people in their houses, but you guys only want us to use it for the places that you guys made up. So, it's like you're spending more money on these other services that's really going and not even being used. It's just a total waste. It really—

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Yeah.

DEVONE NASH: I'm looking at these figures and I'm like, man. This guy makes 12,000,511 dollars-- 699.20 a year just to house us and he doesn't have to do anything else.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Uh-hm.

DEVONE NASH: Now, that's ridiculous. And there's nothing wrong with us having an apartment.

For real. I mean, I had an apartment, but because—

I'm a convicted felon. I haven't committed a crime since 2008 and I'm [inaudible 01:53:00] anything, but it's hard for me to get a place for my nephew and

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: We will now hear

from Aaron Webber followed by Julia Durante Martinez.

24

25

2 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.

3 AARON WEBER: Hello, everybody. My name is 4 Aaron Weber. I am a small property owner in East 5 Harlem and I managed about 40 properties all across 6 Manhattan. I want to bring an example to everyone's 7 attention today. One building we manage on 23rd Street is an SRO, a shared room and occupancy 8 property. And there is about 20 units and there is 9 10 three commercial units on the ground level. Neither of these commercial stores have paid rent since March 11 and we have been experiencing a lot of delinquencies 12 in our residential units up above. And we got our 13 14 quarterly bill a few days ago and were going to 15 150,000 dollars in taxes in January. We haven't 16 collected anything near that in the past year and we 17 are grasping at straws. We don't know what to do. 18 Even though we are going to retain all of our residents and commercial storeowners, we are not 19 20 going through any eviction proceedings. We are waiting it out until people figure out their 21 22 situation. We have been very responsible and safety 23 is been our utmost priority and have been working really hard throughout the pandemic to keep people in 24 25 their homes. This is a very vulnerable population

and demographic that are living in this particular

building, so I propose that, for property owners like

me that have been trying to retain their residence--

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired.

AARON WEBER: just give us a tax break coming in January that is proportional with the amount of rent that we have forgiven in this time period.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay. Thank you.

Mr. Weber, we appreciate it in our going to go on to

our next witness. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: We will now hear from Julia Duranti Martinez followed by Jacqueline Griffin.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.

JULIA DURANTI MARTINEZ: Hi. Good afternoon, Committee Chair Dromm, and committee members and thank you for the opportunity to testify. My name is Julia Duranti Martinez and I'm the Community Land Trust campaign coordinator at New Economy Projects which is an economic justice organization that has worked for over 25 years to combat inequities in our financial system and economy and to promote cooperative community led development.

2 We convened the New York City Community Land Initiative which is a coalition dedicated to 3 expanding community land trusts and deeply 4 affordable, community controlled housing and 5 neighborhood development in New York City. We urge 6 7 the Council not to introduce legislation reauthorizing the tax lien sale and instead work with 8 community partners including CLT's to develop an 9 10 alternative and equitable system to address property tax arrears and property disposition. 11 The tax lien sale fuels speculation and displacement in black and 12 brown neighborhoods and siphons wealth from 13 communities that have been disproportionately harmed 14 15 by historic inequities like red lining in 16 disinvestment. This reauthorization bill presents no meaningful changes to this practice and would further 17 18 compound financial distress in black and brown New 19 Yorkers and accelerate displacement in the midst of a deadly pandemic that continues to devastate and 20 deepen inequality in our city. As community led 21 22 nonprofits dedicated to the stewardship of land for 23 community benefit, CLT's are ideally positioned to 24 help develop alternatives to the lien sale that keep 25 homeowners in place, intervene on behalf of tenants

with delinquent landlords, and expand the pipeline of properties for permanently affordable housing. And the more than 15 CLT's and formation in New York have developed their capacity to acquire property in recent years, including with support from Council CLT discretionary funding. With the ease Harlem La Barrio, CLT's recent acquisition of four city-owned buildings and the long-standing Cooper Square CLT, New York now has two successful examples of CLT's supported through the transfer of city-owned properties, particularly in the face of a looming eviction crisis and real estate downturn. The Council must take--

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired.

JULIA DURANTI MARTINEZ: swift action to prevent the transfer of distressed properties to Wall Street and other speculative buyers and instead [inaudible 01:58:43] channel properties to social housing and community stewardship. Ending the lien sale and disposing of foreclosed properties to CLT's and similar entities, along with passing community opportunity to purchase legislation are two policies that can work together to achieve this goal. So we urge the Council, again, not to introduce this bill

2	and, instead, invest in proven community-lead
3	institutions that stem evictions, foreclosures, and
4	speculation in black and brown neighborhoods and
5	contribute to a just recovery and racial equity in
6	New York City. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Can you hear me?

8 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Yes, Chair.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Yeah. Okay. I was just wondering, you know, what would be your reaction to the Director's statement that if we don't have lien sales, people wouldn't pay their taxes?

JULIA DURANTI MARTINEZ: So, this is something that a number of the groups, the community organizations that are part of the coalition to abolish the lien sale which East New York CLT is coordinating, have done a lot of thinking around. I think the city has tools to enforce property tax payment. The fact that they have been able to collect property taxes in the year when there hasn't yet been a lien sale really helps to demonstrate that, so we don't need to rely on a Wall Street back to trust to collect municipal debt. And there is a number of other folks on the call who can speak to some specific proposals for how to improve that

foreclosure prevention unit. I actually did kind of

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

want to directly address Director Jiha's comment that the failure to reauthorize the tax lien sale sends the wrong signal to homeowners. I actually think that there's an issue at the core of that statement which it sort of suggests that homeowners are not moral actors and that they are strategically not paying their property taxes. And I work with I have worked with them for many, many homeowners. years now and the vast majority of the homeowners that I meet are eager to meet their obligations and they would meet their obligations but for the types of financial difficulties that we all face. Death of spouse, divorce, severe illness of a family member or a child, and all of these difficulties, things that we face, all of us over the course of our lives, have been greatly exacerbated in the time of this pandemic. Inasmuch urgency as there seems to be around signaling to homeowners that DO F's authority to sell their liens remains intact, I think it is much more important to our neighbors that we demonstrate urgency around helping them in the middle of this crisis. In the best way to do that would be to not rubberstamp the process of the lien sale which we have all acknowledged is not working.

acknowledged that it is exacerbating harm
specifically to communities of color, specifically t
senior citizens and the disabled. So, I think the
best way that we demonstrate urgency is to come
around the table as advocates, as the Department of
Finance, as Council, to be thoughtful about be
thoughtful and careful and compassionate and take to
heart the legitimate complaints of our neighbors and
friends. It is not reasonable to sacrifice the most
vulnerable members of our society at the alter or
revenue and there's no justifiable reason why the

through this economic crisis should fall on the shoulders of those that are least able to bear it. I will only say that, again, as my colleagues said before me, we as advocates, have spent hours and days sitting around a table talking about an enforcement mechanism that takes into account the objective of collecting revenue, but also places a high value on protecting the vulnerable and granting people the dignity of keeping the homes that they've worked for and paid for. And so we are asking this committee to look at not just what happens in the next year, which

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired.

as Director Jiha talked about, is so uncertain

economically, but what we want our neighborhoods to

look like in 10 years, 20 years, 30 years because if

we let this go forward, if we give them this blank

check, then we may have consequences that we won't be

able to undo later down the line.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: We will now hear

from Jennifer Levy followed by Ivy Perez.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.

JENNIFER LEVY: This is Jennifer Levy.

I am an attorney at the Legal Aid Society in the foreclosure prevention unit. As you know, the Legal Aid Society is the oldest and largest provider of free, direct legal services to low income families and individuals in the United States serving over 300,000 individual cases and legal matters each year. While in the midst of a global pandemic where, as a result, home foreclosures will be on the rise.

Reauthorizing New York City's yearly sale of tax liens without adequate protections for the city's most vulnerable will further exacerbate this crisis by putting several thousand low would come, elderly, disabled homeowners at risk of losing their homes.

4

2 Although the bill reauthorizing the tax lien sale provides for some carveouts to protect those who've 3 been affected by Covid-19, it fails to also protect 5 the thousands of low income, elderly, and disable homeowners who live in their once family unit homes, 6 7 but do not fall into the specific parameters put Specifically, most homes in New York City are 8 valued at more than 250,000 dollars and therefore 9 10 would not qualify for this exemption. The pandemic and its economic consequences have devastated low 11 income homeowners, especially those whose tenants 12 have stopped paying rent and who rely on this rental 13 14 income to be able to pay their property taxes and 15 other bills. Many of our elderly homeowners our 16 house rich and cash poor, living unlimited fixed incomes, but whose house is worth more than 250,000 17 18 dollars. Although low income seniors are eligible 19 for property tax exemptions, many are not made aware of such programs, they don't know how to apply for 20 those, are confused by the process, missed deadlines, 21 22 and therefore are not able to apply to or be removed 23 from the tax lien sale, even though they would be 24 eligible. Making it even more difficult to navigate, 25 eligible homeowners can only apply once a year.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

city must protect and exempt these homeowners from the tax lien sale. Instead of reauthorizing the sale-- tax lien sale through this legislation, the city should dramatically increase its outreach for homeowners. The city should allow for a relaxed deadline for homeowners to apply and renew property exemptions. The city should directly service delinquent property charges instead of--

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired.

JENNIFER LEVY: selling them to a private trust. There should be a lower interest rate for owner occupied properties. Notices should have clear language that interest added to the property charges when they are past due. For the homeowners who previously defaulted on payment plans due to adverse circumstances should be able to continue to access those payment plans and other loss mitigation options. Most importantly, the city should not allow tax lien foreclosures two displays vulnerable homeowners such as the elderly, disabled, and veterans because their debts can be repaid to the city upon sale or refinance of their homes. Legal Aid Society believes that the city should not reauthorize the tax lien sale without these

- protections, especially without providing an
 exemption for owner occupied one to three family
 homes. We commend the City Council for considering
 this important issue and we thank you today for the
 opportunity to testify.
 - CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Do you have numbers on the number of elderly, disabled, and I'm forgetting the third category that you said no, that are actually included in the lien sale?

11 JENNIFER LEVY: I do not.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So, how do you make the assumption that they are disproportionately affected?

JENNIFER LEVY: Well, I can tell you, as a legal services attorney practicing for over eight years, I've seen many, many of my cases are elderly homeowners here living in New York City who own one to three family home units that rely on rental income. They rely on social security to get by and they are absolutely affected by the tax lien sales. And, unfortunately, they are confused by the process. They missed the deadlines, like I said. Often times they send in paperwork and they may get a letter from the city and they don't understand how to then send

and, you know, whatever documents are missing. A lot of our seniors don't speak English as their first

4 language.

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: We will now hear

from Ivy Perez followed by John Krinsky.

Hi, everyone. Good IVY PEREZ: afternoon. My name is Ivy Perez and I am a policy and research manager at the Center for New York City Neighborhoods. I would like to thank Chair Dromm and the members of this committee for holding today's hearing and to Council member Adams for, as Council member Rosenthal said, not dropping this issue. center promotes and protects affordable homeownership in New York so that middle and working class communities are able to live in strong, thriving communities and every year at the center works to keep as many homeowners as possible out of the lien sale by conducting direct outreach to homeowners on the pre-lien sale lists and by coordinating with our network partners to assist homeowners in obtaining a payment plan or qualifying for an exemption. I want to address one of the things that has been said many times and I want to say that keeping homes from the

lien sale does not mean foregoing tax collection. It
just means doing it more slowly. Maybe there is no
upfront payment to the city, but we know that the
city will ultimately recoup a lot of the money for
this because, in fact, some of the homes that are
redeemed from the actual trust most quickly. In
fact, I think it is why the trust these liens are
so valuable for the trust is because they know the
homeowners will actually redeem and what that means
is it is the trust getting the leftover money because
the trust only pays the city 70 cents on the dollar
for those lanes. So, the city will get some of its
money from the lien sale, but at what cost? I also
want to address Council member Dromm's question about
why senior homeowners are disproportionately
affected. Part of the reason is because senior
homeowners are more likely to have paid off their
mortgage. Mortgage lenders generally pay off taxes,
so it is often seen years that have to actually pay
their taxes and often don't know that they have to or
don't know the process for doing so. And so, often
times, when the center reaches out to homeowners, the
easiest thing that we do with these homeowners is

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

just let them know that the exemptions exist and let them know that the property tax payments exist.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired.

I'll finish quickly. IVY PEREZ: The 187 number her signed up to the PT Aid I think is illustrative of the fact that PT Aid is clearly not sufficient for actually helping these homeowners. Additionally, the Covid 19 carveout in the legislation that was proposed is also for the reasons that Jennifer Levy and Jacquelyn Griffin pointed out, also not going to help homeowners who already struggle to come up with the mountain of documents that they need to come up with in order to even prove that they are seniors and they deserve these exemptions. And I want to and, finally, by thanking Council member Rosenthal for her questions about separating between the delinquent homeowners that need help and those that aren't going to pay and that is exactly what we need to do before we continue the lien sale. These questions need to be figured out before we reauthorize the sale, not afterwards. when families have already lost their homes to foreclosure or had to sell their homes in order to pay off their liens. A better way is possible.

- 2 Other cities do things other than tax lien sales and
- 3 | there was at New York City before this tax lien sale.
- 4 We've done it differently before and we could do it
- 5 differently. Better, more equitable way again.
- 6 Thank you.

7 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So, I think you

8 answered my question, but if you are-- if you get

9 | SCHE or DHE, right, you're exempt from the lien sale?

10 IVY PEREZ: That's supposed to be the

11 | case, but we fine every year that sometimes

12 | homeowners that actually receive SCHE end up on the

13 | list, even though they shouldn't have been, so it's

14 | just quickly a matter of reaching out to DOF and

15 | telling them. But we also know that there's a lot of

16 | homeowners that struggle with even renewing their

17 | SHE. We know that there are more senior homeowners

18 | in this city, more low income senior homeowners, than

19 ∥ are receiving SCHE and DHE, so I wonder how many

20 people that doesn't get the quick help from the

21 | Center for New York City Neighborhoods and our

22 partners could have just as like gotten an extension

23 for their exemptions and gotten off the lien sale

24 | that way. I think probably one of the things that

25 has been most helpful this year in keeping a lot of

- homeowners out is that they extended the deadline
 slightly for homeowners to get the exemptions, so
 that probably had as much to do with getting folks
 that didn't belong in the lien sale off of the lien
 sale.
- 7 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Right. Right.
 8 Okay. Thank you. Thank you for the info. I
 9 appreciate it. Okay. Let's go to our next witness,
 10 please.
- 11 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: We will now hear
 12 from John Krinsky followed by Katrell Lewis.
- 13 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.

14 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: John?

JOHN KRINSKY: Hi. Okay. I didn't realize that I was muted. Sorry. So, good afternoon. My name is John Krinsky. Good afternoon, Chair Dromm, members of the Committee and happy birthday, Council member Adams. I am a professor of political science at City College and a founding board member of the New York City Land Initiative which Julia Duranti Martinez introduced. And I will submit written testimony on behalf of of the initiative, but I will just summarize their just. On

behalf of it's more than two dozen member

4

2 organizations, NYCCLI strongly opposes the renewal of the New York tax lien sale which does not 3 fundamentally change the lien sale, even if there is a carveout for those who can prove that they were 5 6 directly affected by Covid. The essential problem 7 with selling tax liens to a private for-profit trust is twofold. First, we have known for several decades 8 that tax arrears are a sign of distress that likely 9 10 extends to conditions in the housing, as well, but the city takes certain and distressed multifamily 11 properties out of the lien sale and warns against 12 predatory lenders on its tax lien sale website and 13 14 suggest that it already knows these problems, but 15 still thinks it is worth it. And that is the first 16 basic problem. The second problem is that having the lien sale in place removes the incentive for the city 17 18 to come up with more equitable ways to treat tax debt 19 and the occupants of the housing that falls behind. 20 By not privatizing the liens, the city retains leverage over the debt and allows the city to build 21 22 on already active efforts like the 15 community land 23 trust around the city, most in neighborhoods that 24 have the greatest numbers of properties that go into 25 the lien sale, sometimes year after year. Now, it is

very much.

also important to understand that the models that the
administration uses to the judge the effects of the
lien sale on tax compliance likely overstates the
role of the lien sale, as such in growing tax
compliance. Indeed, if the city would seem to be as
serious in enforcing its own tax laws as the lien
trust is in collecting its money,. In addition, we
have never seen an analysis that shows that the
inequitable effects of the lien sale that we all
recognize don't create economic burdens both for

and tenants that it affects. In economic terms, that the question is how real benefits or costs are calculated, not just its revenues, but its eventual expenses. So the tax lien sale is an obstacle to preserving land and housing for permanent affordability whose real costs have never been taken seriously in the economic effects of Covid make renewal uniquely bad timing and reimagining how we can deal with delinquencies even more necessary.

NYCCLI urges a no vote on Intro 6944. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay. Thank you

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: We will now hear 3 that Katrell Lewis follow Hannah Anousheh.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.

KATRELL LEWIS: Thank you. My name is Katrell Lewis. I am the director of advocacy for Habitat for Humanity New York City and I am a member of the Coalition for Affordable Homes. Habitat for Humanity New York City has been building and preserving affordable homeownership in New York City since 1984. So, as my colleagues are talking about, homeowners-- I want to specifically talk about Habitat's sweet spot as it relates to the tax lien. As of November 9th, 2020, New York City was prepared to sell over 280 vacant lots within the tax lien which is represented by your colleagues 48 members of the Council. And that is to say that we are missing a huge opportunity, as many of your colleagues have already stated. We are missing a huge opportunity to build our way out of this affordable housing crisis that we are having, rather than finding a new way to restructure the tax lien and, at the end of the day, the city possibly foreclosing on these vacant properties. If the city could take years to sell opportunities for us to build on affordable -- on

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

affordable homeownership and affordable housing on I also wanted to say, as it relates to these lots. preservation and, Council member Chairman Dromm, I hope you appreciate these numbers. For every unit that we lose in the tax lien, it is up to 190,000 dollars that HPD is spending as it relates to the current term sheet for building affordable homeownership. So, in fact, when the administration is saying that we need this money, we're actually losing more money because, for every unit that we are losing, we are actually spending more money from HPD. So, in my opinion, I believe that the Department of Finance and HPD needs to get in the same room and have this conversation because we are losing a lot of affordable housing opportunities. If I can--

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired.

KATRELL LEWIS: I wanted to, you know, just speak to Council member Rosenthal when she was speaking about the foreclosure issues-- and some of my colleagues can speak to this also. As it relates to the foreclosure number is, what we do not see in some of those numbers are some of the homeowners that actually sell before they get to a foreclosure. So, many of our homeowners actually sell before they get

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to the foreclosure. So, that 26 number that the
administration gave is not accurate. There's a lot
of people that sell their homes before we even get
there.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay. Thank you very much. I appreciate your testimony.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: We will now hear from Hannah Anousheh followed by--

HANNAH ANOUSHEH: Good afternoon. My name is Hannah Anousheh and I'm the coordinator of the East New York Community Land Trust and I'm on staff at Cypress Hills Local Development Corporation. New York is a nonprofit organization made up of community leaders and advocates who are dedicated to stopping displacement and protecting and actually building the generational wealth of black and brown people by taking community control of land in East New York. When we saw that Council district 37, which encompasses East New York has more properties in the tax lien sale than any other district-- a total of 500 properties-- we knew we had to take In the midst of the pandemic, East New York CLT members have called so many homeowners in this year's tax lien sale and partnered with tenants'

2	associations to knock on doors of tenants in
3	extremely distressed buildings on the tax lien sale.
4	We also convened a coalition of over 15 community
5	land trust CBO's, nonprofit developers, and housing
6	advocacy groups. You know, armed with the on the
7	ground knowledge of how the tax lien sale actually
8	harms both tenants and homeowners. For the last five
9	months, we have been calling on the Council to
10	abolish the tax lien sale permanently. We've built
11	strong support among community and elected officials
12	and we've actually developed a proposal that
13	demonstrates, you know, how the city could end the
14	tax lien sale permanently, keep people in their
15	homes, and collect property tax debt and create more
16	affordable housing. And we set this proposal to all
17	members of this committee. That is why we were so
18	shocked to learn on Monday that the city Council, at
19	the request of the mayor, would be considering the
20	introduction of a bill that would renew the tax lien
21	sale with extremely limited carveouts. We were even
22	more shocked to learn that we had less than 24 hours
23	to register to testify and just two days before the
24	hearing itself. You know, I ask you to truly hear

problems are the hardest to peel back the onion on

and I am definitely struggling on this because

24

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	everyone wants the right outcome. So, I mean, I
3	don't there is no L intent by everyone. I would
4	even argue the city and no one wants people to lose
5	their homes if they could stay in their homes. So,
6	maybe something that would help me and, Hannah, I
7	would ask you because you talked about going door-
8	to-door to people's homes and, Chair, if I could beg
9	your indulgence, perhaps there are others who have an
10	ex Could you give me one example of someone who's
11	door you knocked on who you resolved the issue for
12	them and they got off the lien sale?
13	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: You know what,

Helen?

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: I was going to ask that question. We're thinking the same. Good.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Yeah?

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Yeah.

HANNAH ANOUSHEH: So, we are an advocacy group, so we were knocking on doors to, you know, talk to people who are impacted and share their stories so that they could come here in front of you all and share their experiences and share with the media. That's really what we were doing, you know, because otherwise you'll hear from folks.

1 2 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: But I'm asking 3 you to share one story. So, one person whose door you knocked on and what was their story? Why was 4 there property in the lien sale? 5 6 HANNAH ANOUSHEH: Sure. Sure. 7 actually, there's a homeowner who I think is trying to speak during this testimony. He is registered to 8 testify who can speak to that. I mean, I think 9 people -- there's a number of things that happened. 10 People are, you know, dealing with ongoing repairs 11 12 and they are not-- and so they're trying to pay for those repairs while dealing with, you know, these 13 14 high interest debt. That is one issue. There's an 15 article that came out yesterday in the city where the 16 homeowners story about how they had eight deaths in their family and they never received notices from the 17 18 city. 19 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Yeah. 20 HANNAH ANOUSHEH: And they were put in a terrible position. 21 22 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Yeah. No one 23

is questioning that. Right? I mean, those two examples are good examples because, if that is true, then my question is to Jeffrey Shear, you sent out

24

me here.

1

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

five letters. Let's look at the letter with the

address on the letter. Is it different than a

homeowner address? Like where is the disconnect so

that this would happen? Is it— and it is fine if

that is the answer is a handful of, you know, city

government not doing a great job. You know? So, I

don't know. Maybe Mr. Lewis has some ideas to help

KATRELL LEWIS: I would just say I am in the middle of Council member Ampry-Samuel and Council member Cornegy's districts. Outside of a pandemic, Council member Cornegy has -- and many of your colleagues can tell you -- they have these outreach sessions where, you know, you can have a whole gymnasium full of people and the Department of What happened, I went last year for my Finance. first time to do outreach to some of my neighbors. Many of them never received the paperwork and it was Council member Cornegy, through his session, that the brownstone -- the Bed Stuy brownstoners knocked on people's door and brought people out on Saturday and got them into payment plans. So, you know, when the administration says we do really good outreach, right, what you are seeing right now is the legal

never received the mail.

service providers, the community engagement

providers, these are really the people that are on

the ground that get the people into the payment

plans. It is not the city, with no offense to the

city for the Council or the administration. It is

really these advocates that are on the ground that

are you able to knock on people's doors. So, I felt

that last year when I was with Council member Cornegy

and a team to knock on people's doors. A lot of

people said, I just never received the paperwork. I

that. Thank you so much. So, let's go through their numbers, I mean— and, again, apologies. I don't exactly remember what the numbers were in Council member Adams, maybe you can help with this. I'm going to get her all. But there was something where you said, you know, under five percent actually land in foreclosure, right? Which means the property is taken away from them. And the rest of the people seem to be suffering somewhere in the middle, but nothing is happening yet. So, for those people who actually get foreclosed on, do you know any of those people and what is their situation?

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE

KATRELL LEWIS: I have not. I will speak to, you know, my legal service colleagues. I know that we do see that there are some people that solve this issue by taking out personal loans or predatory loans which I know, you know, does not want people taking those out.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Of course. Of course. No one wants them to take a predatory loan, but I mean— when, you know, why is it that— I mean, what is that Deputy Commissioner Shear just doesn't know? So, he says out loud, you know, the trust offers these low interest, you know, 10 year long payments. Where is the disconnect?

report for the Coalition for Affordable Homes that show that the trust actually puts a lot of debt on do these homeowners. That is the first, you know, start. I think what we can also start off with is that the administration is not a lot of my colleagues. You know, when they get that information as it relates to the tax lien, it's a lot of my legal service provider colleagues who are sitting with them and determining, you know, what is best. Jacquelyn Griffin can speak best to PT Aid and, you know, what

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: I am interested in

23

24

25

it'd be an interesting--

the five percent question, as well.

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE

2 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Yeah.

3 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: I think that is

4 | really where we are both considered. Those folks.

5 You know, who are those folks and why?

6 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Yeah. Thank

7 you so much.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Yeah.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Yeah. Sorry.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you. Go

ahead.

JACQUELYN GRIFFIN: I thought I might take an opportunity just to jump in and tell you what I see in my work since I have both closely working on the front lines with a lot of these homeowners. And so, I'm just going to come up with some examples as they come to my mind. I once had a woman in her 80s who came to me. She was on the tax lien sale list and she had actually tried to resolve it on her own. She had gone to one of the outreach events where you have to show your ID in order to get into an installment agreement, but she was not told that she was actually entitled to the senior citizen homeowner extension and had been entitled to it for the past 20

or so years because of her advanced age. And so, she

2 was really struggling on her fixed income to make these higher property tax payments then would have 3 4 actually been required of her if someone had informed 5 So, I think there's actually a very large education piece in terms of what the frontline staff 6 7 of the Department of Finance are telling people when they come to them and ask them for help. Then I will 8 say that, in terms of people that I have seen that 9 10 are pretty far advanced in the foreclosure process, they are very often, again, selling their homes to 11 avoid the actual foreclosure, which has its own 12 complications because, at that point, the value of 13 14 their home is going to be depressed because it is a 15 known fact that they are in foreclosure. And so, 16 you're going to get much less out of their equity. 17 And also what you're seeing is that people are 18 entering into predatory loans because, again, it is known when someone is in foreclosure. It is known 19 20 when they are on the tax lien sale list. it's very easy for them to be targeted by people who 21 22 just tell them lies and tell them to transfer their 23 property into the name of an LLC and then give them a 24 hard money loan and then a year two years later, 25 after not making any payments, but accruing interest

2 on this loan, they still going to foreclosure and lose their home. And I think the other thing that it 3 4 is important to note is that there is a real disconnect between the sale and what happens 6 afterwards. So, you can tell someone, this is your 7 90 day, your 30 day, your 60 day, your 10 day notice that you are on the lien sale, but they don't really 8 understand how that translates into a foreclosure 9 action seven months later. So, they get these bills, 10 these urgent bills. Oh, this is a 10 day notice. 11 But then the next bill that they get from the 12 Department of Finance has a zero on it because they 13 14 have started over. And so, a lot of people start 15 getting these letters from your tax lien sale 16 servicers and they're not really understanding who these people are or why they now have their loan. 17 18 There is just a lack of understanding. Sorry, I just 19 feel like a wanted to say one more thing, but now 20 it's falling out of my head. But I would love to talk with anyone more and more and more and more 21 22 about the issues that I've seen and I guess I'll just 23 do one more example because it is particularly egregious. I had a blind man who was the victim of 24 25 deed fraud. Again, because he owned his house free

2 and clear. He had accrued some tax debt because, again, on a fixed income, sort of struggling to make 3 ends meet because he slowly lost his sight over time 4 and so is neighbor targeted him and said, you know, I 5 6 will pay your debt. We can fix up the house. 7 can get part of the rent, etc. Convinced him to sign some paperwork that he obviously wouldn't have been 8 able to read. Mind you, this man, for many, many 9 years was walking into the Department of Finance 10 business center with his cane indicating that he was 11 a blind person and no one told him that he might be 12 entitled to an exemption based on his disability. 13 14 And those are the types of the things that I see over 15 and over and over again. Sorry. I want to talk 16 about heirs, too, because that is going to be an 17 important point in Covid because so many people have 18 died which means a lot of homeowners that are on the deeds to their homes are deceased now and so their 19 20 heirs are going to have to face this. Eight, the economic loss, but also the possibility of trying to 21 22 enter into an installment agreement. And I've 23 actually talked to Commissioner Shear about this a lot about the way that they are applying the law that 24 25 permits an heir to enter into an installment

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE

agreement and how I feel it is not being accurately
applied as it was intended to be based on local law
147, which was passed a number years ago.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Thank you. I'm going to turn it back to Council member Dromm who is muted. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: I'm sorry. Okay.

I just found out that interest that is charged by the trust is the same as the city, which is currently five percent for most homeowners. So I just wanted to give you that information. Okay. Let's go to our next witness because we really are falling behind in terms of time here. Yeah.

 $\label{eq:committee} \mbox{COMMITTEE COUNSEL:} \qquad \mbox{We will now hear}$ from Albert Scott followed by Debra Ack.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.

ALBERT SCOTT: Good afternoon,
everyone. Good afternoon, Chairman Dromm, finance
committee members, and Council members who are on
this call. My name is Albert Scott and I am with the
East New York Community Plan Trust. I'm just-- and
also a resident of East New York all my life that has
been really impacted by this tax lien sale. Being
the number one on the list in the Council district

2 My message is just very simple. Abolish the New York City tax lien sale. Abolish the Rudy Giuliani 3 4 legislation. This is just simply a speculation tool that enriches on the front end Wall Street and then 5 6 also the bill collectors then, on the backend, and 7 also enriches the private investors and speculators. But more importantly, year after year what we also 8 see on this particular list is how it impacts black, 9 10 Latin X, and Asian Council districts year after year. The top 10 districts are either represented by a 11 blank, a Latin X, or Asian Council members. And we 12 know that detrimental harm this current process has 13 14 on communities of color. So, why we authorize in its 15 same form the same process or encourage this that we 16 view as a speculation tool and a displacement tool of communities of color. It's impacting, again, black, 17 18 Latin X, Asian Council districts and it is impacting 19 communities of color, as was mentioned earlier. 20 we have a great opportunity to actually abolish it. And there is something new that could actually be in 21 22 its particular place in which my colleagues stated 23 earlier in regards to a report that was given out. 24 Thank you for your time and your attention and your 25 consideration. Have a good evening.

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE

2 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you very

3 much.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: We will now hear from Debra Ack followed by Boris Santos.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.

DEBRA ACK: Good afternoon, everyone. name is Debra Ack and I also and a member of the East New York Community Land Trust Initiative. I was one of those people who were going out into my community, knocking on doors, speaking to homeowners, speaking to also tenants because tenants are also affected by this tax lien sale. Unfortunately, we had a tenant, Carlos, who was supposed to speak, but, unfortunately, due to the short amount of time that he was informed of this meeting, he was not able to take off of work. He tried to get in during work hours, but he couldn't. But if you want to read his story, the city wrote up an article about him in the tax lien sale. What I would like to say is that I would like for the city Council to stop the speculators from lining their pockets on the back of our black and brown community residents. This is way before it gets into the sale of or however it gets to the end. It's the beginning part that's scary for us

2	and our residents in East New York, especially for
3	our seniors. You have this tax lien sale list that
4	gets that the speculators get a hold of. You have
5	so many people calling these homeowners, threatening
6	them, forcing them, tricking them to sell their homes
7	out of fear. I seniors and people who are on this
8	tax lien sale are selling their homes out of fear.
9	Also, I would also like to speak about the
10	homelessness due to the fact that the East New York
11	CLT has proposed an alternative to this tax lien
12	sale. The CLT can house homeless people, take some
13	of these people out of these homeless shelter which
14	the city is paying, like the gentleman said earlier,
15	these astronomical amounts for these people to stay
16	in it. I'm asking you to review and look over again
17	the proposal that the East New York CLT that the
18	coalition gave to the Finance Committee, Council
19	Committee. Look over this proposal. I'm a longtime
20	resident of East New York and I believe in East New
21	York and I believe in the change annuities time for
22	the change. Thank you for your time.
23	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: And thank you,

Debra.

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

2 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: We will now hear 3

from Boris Santos followed by Memo Salazar.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.

5 BORIS SANTOS: Good afternoon, Mr.

Chair. Chairman Dromm and all the other Council member. Happy birthday, Council member Adams. name is Boris Santos. I am the treasurer of the East New York Community Plan Trust. I want to start out by saying that tomorrow or December 17 or any 2021 future stated Council calendar days could prove to be sad days in the history of the city Council. We know that the bill language that has been introduced would extend the predatory tax lien sale until 2024 and the only exemption that this bill gives to class one property owners, owners of one to three unit homes, is if your home has an assessed value of 250,000 and you have experienced financial distress and have income less than 150,000 dollars. To say that this exemption, the only exemption that has been inserted in the reauthorization bill is restrictive is not an understatement. That exemption, first and foremost, only takes place for a 2021 lien sale, according to this bill. Most black and brown small homeowner communities notoriously harmed by the lien sale have

a property with an assessed value that is higher than
250,000 dollars in the lien sale program, which was
originally set to expire in 1997 and was extended in
1997, 1999, 2001, 2004, 2006, 2007, 2010, 2014, and
2017 is bad policy and should not be allowed to be
continued and is being proposed at the request of the
Mayor right now. I'm going to give three reasons for
why the authorization should not occur. Some of
these were points already were stated. The abolish
of the tax lien sale coalition has met with city
Council finance and various Council members and has
requested liens with many more. Many have not gotter
back to us, Mr. Chair, about alternatives to the
private trust model that exists today. In fact, we
have spoken about during New York City tax lien sale
think tank, hosted by Council member Adams, on
October 19th

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired.

BORIS SANTOS: We have spoken about those alternatives and our coalition has a report laying out alternatives. I bring this point out just to debunk any prospective that anyone has that we're just shouting about this lien sale without providing any alternatives towards the existing model. That is

2 not the case. Please allow me to continue just two more points. Approving this reauthorizing bill runs 3 4 counter to the strategy that we have been putting into practice with regards to the 2020 lien sale. 5 6 The governor has possible not sale after doing so 7 several times through the executive order until the new year because, after all, we are in the middle of 8 the pandemic. Postponing that sale further will also 9 be something the state executive further considers. 10 So, why are we really aiming to reauthorize the 11 future sales when we have not even settled on what to 12 do with the 2020 lien sale? And then, lastly, there 13 should be no reason for why this should be extended 14 15 until 2024. City voters are to elect a new city 16 Council, along with the new mayor by 2021. 17 should get the right, after being duly elected, to 18 determine the future of any new lien sales. This is 19 common sense I want to end by saying at that point 20 that the director brought up on the OMB contact, although I'm not sure who was here or the director, 21 22 that this trust is required for us to collect 23 property taxes is bogus. We've done property tax collection before effectively, are doing it so now 24 25 effectively, and CM Adams stated. This year

2	constitutes a year where the folks in the lien sale
3	is shrunk to the most drastic degree and, being that
4	this year is the only year where a lien sale hasn't
5	taken place and we are still collecting property
6	taxes, not really shows that it can be done. And,
7	again, really, really, Council members, do your
8	homework, look at the report, look at alternatives.
9	Meet with us. Be genuine in that meeting and I look
10	forward towards the end of, not reauthorizing,
11	working with everyone, including the OMB director.
12	So thank you.
13	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you.
14	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: We will now hear
15	from Memo Salazar followed by Jan Lee.
16	MEMO SALAZAR: Thank you very much.
17	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.
18	MEMO SALAZAR: My name is Memo Salazar.
19	I am a co-Chair of the Western Queens Community Land
20	Trust, as well as a film maker and a small business
21	owner in Queens. CLT's have been en vogue around
22	here lately, both the Mayor and the comptroller have
23	spoken passionately about CLT's in recent speeches.
24	There's also been huge news stories in Oakland and

Philadelphia that have proven that CLT's are great

2 solutions to tough housing issues that were also political hotbeds. This year is also given us the 3 biggest economic crisis in recent history and, come 4 5 January, we will begin the biggest housing crisis since the 70s. if you're wondering how to solve it, 6 7 the answer is pretty obvious. CLT's and other community nonprofits are uniquely positioned to help 8 the community weather the storm, but we need money, 9 land, and properties. Giuliani's tax lien sale 10 system is designed to make a few real estate insiders 11 rich at the expense of everyone else, especially 12 black and brown people, as well as the elderly, as 13 14 many folks have already pointed out. It hands over a 15 much needed land and properties to savvy developers 16 who play games and cash in on gentrification at our 17 expense. Studies show it is also contributed for our 18 skyrocketing homelessness problem. If you do the math, the 87 million dollars that the city makes 19 every year from selling off liens doesn't even begin 20 to cover the 2 billion dollars we now spend on 21 22 homelessness services every year which means that 23 supporting this lien sale isn't just racist and immoral, it is also fiscally foolish. Our citywide 24 25 coalition, representing all five boroughs, is the

Thank you.

solid alternate proposal that we have shared and, on
a fundamental level, handing an essential public role
to a private trust that is beholden only to the
shareholders is painfully corrupt. Why would any
councilperson want to support such a system? If you
want to be on the right side of both history and the
balance books, please let this racist system die with

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you. I know Council member Adams had some questions.

2020 and replace it with one that benefits

communities and not private investors.

COUNCIL MEMBER ADAMS: Thank you, Mr.

Chair. I just really, really wanted to jump in and,
Boris, your enthusiasm is always amazing. Let me
just put that— let me just put that out there. But
I wanted to make sure, because you all are so
important to the whole picture in the whole piece of
this pie— don't mind my dog in the background. She
is having a moment. I just wanted to make sure that
you all just really, really understand, because I
can't push this anymore, that the legislation is a
placeholder. We have a long, long, long way to go
with all of your input still ongoing, helping me to
negotiate this stuff. Helping my colleagues to help

2 with this negotiation, you know, for this process and, like I said before, we are all trying to 3 4 effectively break the back of this Giuliani thing. 5 So, just wanted to put that out there, again, because 6 I just-- and I know that you, and I want you to make 7 your points, believe me, because the world needs to hear your points and everybody needs to hear why this 8 is just so wrong. You know? It's just so wrong. 9 The effects of this for our seniors, for our black 10 communities, for our Latino communities, our Asian 11 communities is just so wrong and unjust and everybody 12 needs to hear that. So, I just want to just, you 13 14 know, in my own little way, you know, on my birthday, 15 that is really not been a great one, but I have got 16 three more events, three more meetings after this one that we have been on for about three hours now, but I 17 18 just wanted to, you know, are you sure you. We are 19 all, you know, as the Chair said, we want the same 20 thing. You know, we want the same thing and it is my letter believe that nobody wants anybody's homes 21 22 taken away. You know? It is just this process, this 23 horrible process that has been put in place that is done so much harm that we all effectively have to 24 25 work together to fix it, lose it, whatever it is that

COUNCIL MEMBER ADAMS: We will. We will.

Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chair, for indulging me.

24

2 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Of course. Next,

3 please.

1

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: We will now hear from Jan Lee follow Lira Thompson.

JAN LEE: Thank you, Chair Dromm.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Your time will begin

now.

Thank you, Chair Dromm, and JAN LEE: thank you for your wonderful and very heartfelt comments, Council member Adams. I want to caution the Council that the Department of Finance and OMB has sort of painted a picture about the past about this being relatively small and, you know, there is only 26 foreclosures. Don't believe any of that information because it is old information. pre-Covid information. We are about to embark on something we have never seen before. Now is not the time to try to fix the broken issue. No is the time, as Albert Scott had so eloquently said, we need to reinvent a new thing. This vestige of predatory practice is not something that we need to start putting a Band-Aid on and start to try to find it. Nothing is the same after global pandemic and so we should not rely on the Department of Finance to say,

2	well, in the past this is what we did. It didn't
3	work. I am telling you, as a person who represents
4	Asian small property owners who is talk to Latin X
5	owners and who is talk to black owners. It is not
6	working. I have gone to the Department of Finance
7	with other small property owners from my community.
8	The Department of Finance has not been able to
9	process even the lowered interest rates from Council
10	member Chin's bill which was to lower the interest
11	rate from 18 percent to seven percent. Do you know
12	that our property owners still have not been
13	acknowledge for the applications that they made back
14	then? So, please don't buy into the Department of
15	Finance and OMB saying that this is working fine. We
16	just need to tweak it a little. No. Nothing is the
17	same after a global pandemic, so please, I know you
18	have the intelligence and I know that you have the
19	wherewithal and the caring to reinvent something that
20	is absolutely new. And I encourage by Council member
21	Adams, your fierceness, and your willingness to look
22	at something new
23	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Your time has expired.

JAN LEE: It is absolutely the spirit that we need to look at all of this. The

24

much.

- communities, my black and Latin X owner brother and sisters, their suffering. We, as the Asian community small property owners, we are next on the list. just be aware I am representing lots of small property owners who are of Asian descent who are immigrants who are absolutely next on your list if this is reauthorized for the next four years. Please push it back, at the very least, it should not be looked at for 2021 at all. Thank you for your time. CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you very
 - COMMITTEE COUNSEL: We will now hear from Lyric Thompson followed by Paula Siegel.

 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Your time will begin

now.

LYRIC THOMPSON: Hi. My name is Lyric
Thompson and I am in Council District 37 and my
building is also on the tax lien list. Now, when I
think about how did our building get on the tax lien
list, it boils down to HPD allowing bad behavior. We
are in a 421 a building that was never completed. It
was in year eight and 421 a by the time I realized
that we were 421 a and rent-stabilized. The landlord
was trying to clear the building at that point. I

stopped thought from a happening. As TPU was closing
and on the sky, he drops sold the building to a new
guy. The new guy lost the 421 a exemption and
subsequently entered into an agreement with HPD that
he submitted forged documents that were given to him
by the other owner. These documents, HPD is known
about since 2016. HPD is known about the forged
documents within the 421 A application and I can't
help but think that may be, had the address that, the
new guy wouldn't be in the position that he is in and
we tenants wouldn't be in the position that we are
in. I feel like I'm in the hunger games, to be quite
honest. I mean, where do we go, as tenants? What
are we supposed to do? Why is the city throwing us
to the wolves to give developers a free pass? Can
someone answer that question for me? Anyone.
Anybody in the Council? And it is an actual
question. The fact that no one is saying anything
but me is really telling as to why we have issues
with housing. I really have nothing left to say.
There is nothing more to say other than the fact
that, when you guys make all these false promises to
people, to tenants, when those promises don't come

sale. We should be looking at the damage that has

been done by the liens that have already been sold
and working on reversing those, not talking about
selling any more of them. It's time to put Rudy
Giuliani's goes to bed. I want to address a couple
of things of the department said earlier. The
behavior of the tax lien trust administrators through
the pandemic is illustrative of the anti-civic and
anti-New Yorker attitude of the trust. Since courts
reopened for new cases this summer, after being
closed in March 2020 as part of the governor's
policies, the tax lien trust has initiated over 1125
new foreclosure cases. I'm sorry. Can you hear me?
CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Yeah. We can hear
you.

PAULA SEGAL: Sorry. My Internet has been going in and out often and this is really important. That is 1125 new foreclosure cases that have been filed by the tax lien trusts since courts reopened in June. That is not 26 cases. What Deputy

Commissioner Scheer is talking about is judgments of foreclosure from that one specific year of sales of cases that have gone all the way through the court system. Right? Where a case was filed, the owner didn't sell in the middle of the case, they didn't

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

pay off the debt in the middle of the case, they

actually got a resolution which, in three years for a

foreclosure action, that is pretty short. Probably

most of the 2017 liens that have turned into

foreclosures are still going. So, that 26 number has

really nothing to do with anything.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Your time has expired.

PAULA SEGAL: I have submitted written testimony. I want to just share a couple more things that the Department was not able to answer really basic questions. They were not able to tell us about properties that get sold after a foreclosure is initiated. They were not able to tell us how many of actually had an action initiated by the trust at all. They were not able to tell us anything about how much money the city actually loses by selling the debt at 72 cents on the dollar. The fact that the department characterized as not selling debt as a form of relief for property owners is truly offensive and I really want to highlight that I'm here in support of our partners in the community land trust community and our clients who are calling for the redirection of properties to the production and preservation of social housing and I am one of the

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE

co-authors of the report that was submitted to the committee that presents alternatives. And I want to thank the Council for its 2017 requirement that the department published a list of vacant properties that are going through the lien sale. That list for the 2020 lien sale is over 800,000 residential zoning square feet and buildable lots that we are letting slip through our fingers. Now that we have that information, let's take advantage of it. I will stop there.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay. Thank you for your testimony.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: We will now hear from Jason Bokor followed by Lauren Mogul.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Your time will begin now.

JASON BOKOR: Good afternoon, Council members and board. Thank you for taking the time to listen to our comments. My name is Jason Bokor. I with a company called Metropolitan Refunds. We represent thousands of property owners in the New York City area in terms of utility charge problems. We represent all sorts of property owners from small, single family unit owners through large commercial

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

buildings. In my comments will be addressed to the DEP and the New York City water board shutting down practically all access to their billing systems which many of my clients now are calling us and trying to figure out what to do about the tax lien sale and we can even check the charges. We have no possible way to check these charges that we used to have. We used to have access to the DEP system through the Fair Tax System that was completely shut down. And now there is another online access available for property owners to register for, but the date is much more The access is very hard to obtain. If some limited. board member registered for access, that account is now locked up and you have to go through at least a three or four month process to regain access for another board member or the property manager to gain access to the billing. There is very little we can do for a client to check the accuracy of their bills, which they have depended on us for 20 years already that we been doing this. And there really is a big problem in selling water lien sales at this time without any real way to access the bills. You can't go down to what borough office in Covid now to check the bills. It is a terrible system. It is done on

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- purpose, we believe, to shut down any type of contesting of the water charges. Thank you.
- 4 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you for that.
- 5 And it is informative to me. I appreciate it. Thank 6 you.
- 7 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: We will now hear 8 from Lauren Mogul followed by Ezra Schwartz.

9 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Your time will begin.

LAUREN MOGUL: Thank you to everyone who has presented today. It is clear that much thought has gone into the lien sale, both pros and cons. In listening today, I keep hearing that the payment arrangements are offered as a way of protecting property owners from being sold in the lien sale and that they are even offering to zero down option. What is not being said is that the DEP payment agreements also include a clause that, by agreeing to the payment agreement, they are also agreeing to the open balance and, as such, property owners lose the ability to contest prior charges. is, basically, a gun against their head. Scientists are we are selling your property into a lien. is the very opposite of trying to help homeowners.

It also states that all future billing must be paid

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

on time and, if not, they are now in default of the payment agreement. If they are unable to manage the current bill, they will likely not be able to pay all future billing on time and, typically, will end up in the same place next year on the same list. Another consideration is that DEP has removed all public billing information from their sites. information that is immediately available online is the current open balance. This does not include how much is principle, how much is interest. It doesn't provide a payment history or any other billing history. DEP does offer some other information on the My DEP account, but the information is limited and only available to the person that the account is registered to. We have found in more cases than one that these accounts are registered to an old owner or someone else which limits the actual owner from getting the very limited information that is available on this site. In order to get access in this situation, you must write to the DEP, request access, and by the time they get a reply, the lien sale may have already been sold. The other option is to file a foil attempting bill history and breakdown. This process can take up to six months to get

- results. A 90 day lien sale is a heavy burden. It pressures the portion of the community that is already struggling and just continues to keep them down. Thank you for your time.
- 6 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you. I'm
 7 going to look at the website, so thank you.
- 8 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: We will now hear
 9 from Ezra Schwartz followed by--
- 10 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Your time will begin now.

members, for the opportunity to testify today regarding the lien sale bill. I work for a firm representing homeowners, management companies, and landlords, specifically with regard to their water bills. I have experience of 20 years working on New York City water bills and, therefore, I understand that water bills and the New York City water board regulations are much more difficult for homeowners or management companies to understand than one would assume on the surface. With regard to the lien sale, it is obviously an important mechanism to exist as, unfortunately, many bad actors will not pay their bills unless there is some level of enforcement.

2 However, the process needs to be fair. Since at least 1995 through May 2020, there has been a public 3 4 access system that has been for billing and 5 accounting history of every New York City water 6 account. This system was available in DEP borough 7 offices for anyone to walk interviews. It was also available to be used in private offices via a paid 8 subscription. The system was used by various 9 10 different parts of the real estate industry, including banks, consultants, title companies, and 11 management companies and was very effective in 12 providing in transparency, specifically with regard 13 14 to the lien sale when customers are up against a 15 deadline. In addition to the public access systems, 16 since approximately 2009, there was a DEP website 17 available to all which provided the last three years 18 of bills in a property's address. In September 2019, 19 DEP emailed firms that paid for access to the system 20 that they were closing the system going forward. This went into effect in May 2020 during the 21 22 pandemic. In addition, without notification to the 23 public, without any public hearings, in September 2019, they removed the public website that showed 24 25 what DEP effectively did was take a public utility

DEP--

and public information and turn it private overnight

without any input from the public. They are

attempting to act as a private utility company that

services a suburban community, yet they also want the

power to sell the liens utility company has. I have

a copy of the press release in front of me from 2008

when the city Council and the mayor approved the

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Your time has expired.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: He could finish
quickly.

Council and Mayor approved the DEP to sell standalone liens. At that time, the public access system was available, as mentioned before, but the city Council recognized that water bills were not a simple process and, therefore, the extensive analysis was done recommending numerous changes to the DEP operations. Here we are in 2020 and the only change that has been made now is DEP closing the public access system will, at the same time, asking for the ability to sell the liens. Council member ADAMS mentioned, however, the largest predatory behavior I am seeing here is the lack of transparency from city agencies.

2	We are requesting that the city Council request the
3	DEP to open it back up public access, regardless if
4	they want the legal authority to sell the liens, but
5	especially if they do. Thank you for your time.
6	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Is this issue
7	currently in litigation?
8	EZRA SCHWARTZ: These issues, yes.
9	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: It is. Okay. And
10	the issue is that you, as a company, don't have
11	access, but the individual does have access through
12	their My DEP account.
13	EZRA SCHWARTZ: One individual could
14	have access, theoretically. As someone mentioned
15	before, that access could be locked out if a
16	different management company takes over. The system
17	that you have that access is very limited in terms of
18	information that exists. It pretty much is as
19	limited as it can get compared to the old system.
20	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay. Thank you.
21	I'm going to look into a little more. Thank you.
22	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: We will now hear
23	from Mark Schwartz followed by Herschel Weiss.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Your time will begin.

25

2 MARK SCHWARTZ: Thank you very much. 3 Thank you. Thank you, Hon. council members and city agency officials for your hard work on behalf of all 4 5 New Yorkers and I hope everyone is remaining safe and healthy. I serve as the deputy mayor of my Township 6 7 in Teaneck, New Jersey and realize how hard these times are to govern. Indeed, the tax lien is an 8 important, effective, and necessary mechanism used to 9 10 ensure fair payment by all and as a way to promptly allow the city to run financially. Furthermore, the 11 proposal's promises and hopeful cooperation amongst 12 agencies and governmental bodies is, of course, 13 needed and we look forward to its eventual 14 15 implementation. Our issues are with the water aspect 16 of the tax lien. The low lien was a slow migration 17 into the main lien that tax process that began in 18 1996. When finally merged fully into the DOF tax 19 lien sale in 2008, many changes were proposed and 20 lamented. Throughout this process, to import it DEP matters will remain steady. One, the DEPCIS system 21 22 remained an open system to the public and, two, the 23 DEP ombudsman, Patrick Genocova [sp?], ran a fair 24 management analysis unit open to help guide all

potential lien eligible homeowners. The ombudsman

2	planned the ombudsman's plan focused on
3	compassion. He would state that his goal was for not
4	one lien to be sold, but rather everyone be given a
5	fair chance to pay what he was accurately due.
6	Accuracy is the key here. Since the ombudsman's
7	retirement, the payment agreement, a very fair
8	agreement offered by the DEP as detailed today by the
9	director of the OMB, was modified to include one very
10	important line and I quote: "by signing below, you
11	indicate your acceptance of these terms and agree
12	that the charges on this account are valid and cannot
13	be disputed". In the last year, DEP has shut public
14	access to their system. While New York City agencies
15	and its administration has pushed ahead with its
16	world class NYC Open Data with top notch access to
17	buildings, housing, and finance databases. DEP has
18	gone backwards in time and locked out everything
19	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Your time has expired.

MARK SCHWARTZ: but balances. So now, when a lien notice is sent out, bills are inaccurate, full of penalties and interest, and information is severely limited. Rather than work with the customer, DEP comes in a superhero and, as a director said, offers a zero down payment agreement. Just

25

2	sign here. Your troubles are gone. No need for
3	money now. But it's a trap. And I'm going to finish
4	in a second. You cannot fight the bill after. You
5	must deal with it now, but you cannot get help from
6	an attorney or relative or community group or
7	consultant because they are also locked out of the
8	DEP system. Foils take six months to review, but the
9	lien sale is in 90 days. It is a trap, but at least
10	DEP gets their millions. This Honorable Council most
11	change. Open up access to all, as has been the case
12	for decades and allow fair and accurate water bills
13	to be issued to everybody. Thank you.
14	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Is part of the
15	reason for DEP's thinking on this confidentiality?
16	MARK SCHWARTZ: These are water bills.
17	Why don't I have confidentiality on your tax bills?
18	On your Department of building violations? On your
19	housing violations? What Why is water bills
20	different than your payment numbers that you have
21	made? I can see what checks you wrote to Department
22	of Finance for the last 20 years. Why can't I see
23	your water bill? What has changed in 25 years?

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Well, there are

Council members who will argue that, especially on

	COMMITTEE ON FINANCE
2	any of these tax lien sales, that predatory real
3	estate folks are going to people's home and telling
4	them, you know, work with us and then winding up wit
5	deed fraud or whatever it may be. I'm just
6	wondering. I don't know if that is an issue.
7	MARK SCHWARTZ: If that is an issue,
8	I've never heard of it because, once again, we have
9	access and you, me have access to your taxes.
10	Access to your building. Access to the country
11	register to see the deeds. Why hasn't that changed?
12	Why is it just water? And if privacy was an issue,
13	so block access to single family homes. Blocking
14	access to co-ops, to condos, to taxpayers, to multi-
15	family buildings, to six family buildings? What are
16	we hiding? I know what we're hiding. We're hiding
17	25 years of erroneous bills that keeps being issue.
18	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay. Thank you.
19	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: We will now hear
20	from Herschel Weiss followed by John Mantel.
21	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Your time will begin
22	now.
23	HERSHEL WEISS: Hello. My name is

Herschel Weiss. I write a blog on water issues called Water Watch NYC.com and we have been doing

2 this for about 15 years. I would like to thank Chair Dromm, Mike Council member Farah Louis, and the DEP 3 Commissioner Sapienza. Customers get in trouble for 4 5 two reasons. They don't have the funds to pay their 6 water bill or they don't understand their water bill, 7 believe it is incorrect, and assume that the DEP will straighten it out when they call them on the phone. 8 In the past, if these customers were astute, they 9 10 were able to go find a consultant that could do two things. One, find out why the bill is high. 11 there a week? Is there a mechanical problem in their 12 Is the meter being read wrong? Is the DEP 13 sight? 14 wrong? Are they billing them for wrong bill? Or are 15 they just sitting with the new attributed consumption 16 charges which assume that all New Yorkers are thieves and hit them with tremendous, tremendous penalties in 17 18 the place of a private home that can exceed 13,000 19 dollars a year for something that the DEP knows is 20 not using the water. The DEP's response, rather than 21 become more transparent, as all the other agencies 22 have done-- Chair Dromm has asked for more 23 information available. Information available on 24 everything that the DEP has, including how much 25 water, benchmarking -- they will post it. If you're

2 using too much water, they will post it. If you don't pay the bill, they will post it. Anything that 3 is detrimental to you, they will post that publicly 4 to shame you and a collect data. They will post is 6 to tell you what you are bill is, what happened in 7 the past, what the meter multiplier is, how the bills-- all the minutia that no one is interested, 8 9 they say that is privacy. And they made the argument 10 publicly at water board hearings that these consultants or people will look at your water bill, 11 find out when you're not home--12 13 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Your time has expired. 14 HERSCHEL WEISS: This is absolutely ludicrous. There has been a consistent plan to deny 15 information to the public. The first thing about 10 16 years ago, there were notes that were available to the 17 18 public. The notes said things like, I, the inspector, 19 went to the site and see the meter running quickly. 20 Well, somebody filed a lawsuit, the one against the 2.1 city, used the note, the city said no more notes. 22 Then, there is data that is been available for 25 years since Ed Koch's early time. That data is now no 23 longer available. Why? Because the customer wants 24 25 privacy. The customer doesn't want privacy.

customer doesn't see this stuff. They don't understand it. The DEP then goes out and says, well, you can go onto our new website. Well, try to get on their new website. It's very hard. If you don't know who the user is, they won't tell you. And the user can be an old board member. It can be somebody else. No problem. Send us a letter notifying us. I tried this for large clients and small clients. When I sent the letter in, they said, you know what? That's on 10 the old deed. The guy is not on the new deed. Well, 11 the old guy is dead and hasn't been transferred to the 12 trust yet. In the meantime, there's no answer. 13 14 is clear cut. The DEP is going after customers to hide their errors and they have been doing this 15 forever. We then, finally, said, you know what? 16 We'll use your new portal. We'll get the data. 17 18 said, we don't want you to download that 19 electronically. I called up and said, why don't you 20 want me to do this electronically? They said, well, then you will find a lot of errors. Well, so, what 21 22 they want you to do is to accept their bill on their trust that they're right-- and mostly they are right. 23 But when they are wrong, the other customer has no 24 25 idea. No way to get the information. They can't go

to a professional, so they are stuck having to go to all these wonderful organizations like the Legal Aid, Center for New York Neighborhoods, or East New York Community Land Trust, Queens Land Trust, Brooklyn Legal, and all these people can do is try to help them out financially. What they cannot tell them, because they don't have the skills is the city has been overcharging you. You don't owe them a penny. 10 that is what they're trying to stay away from. asked, if Commissioner Sapienza is still here, what is the need to deny this data? I really would like to 12 throw that out to him or to Joe Murin who is listening 13 in on this and to answer what is the need to negate 14 all this public information? 15 16 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: We will follow up 17 on that. Thank you. I don't know that he is, but I 18 know that the administration has a representative on 19 the call. So, thank you. 20 HERSHEL WEISS: Thank you very much. We will now hear COMMITTEE COUNSEL: 21 22 from Jon Mantell. 23 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Your time will begin

24

now.

2 JON MANTELL: Thank you. Honorable city 3 Council members, city officials, and fellow 4 advocates, like many community -- sorry. I apologize. We certainly oppose reinstatement of the 5 6 lien sale from a transparency and oversight 7 standpoint. Having access to the DEP system is certainly essential. We are called upon daily to 8 assist our clients with matters pertaining to their 9 DEP water bills because our access has been stripped, 10 we aren't able to assist our clients with essential 11 questions they have to rectify any open balance. 12 such, having a lien sale when client advocates aren't 13 14 given the proper vehicle to assist with rectifying 15 any financial obligations is simply unjust and 16 unfair. Furthermore, with regards to setting up a 17 payment agreement on any open DEP charges, clients 18 are forced to waive all rights by agreeing to accept 19 the validity of all charges. This is required in 20 order to set up the agreement. The problem, again, is advocates aren't able to review the validity 21 22 because our DEP access has been stripped. And so, 23 certainly, again, another reason why we are against the reinstatement of the lien sale. Property owners 24 who aren't of means could certainly become desperate, 25

1	COMMITTEE ON FINANCE 162
2	borrow money, potentially at a premium, to pay off
3	their obligations to avoid winding up on the lien
4	sale or worse, losing you know multi-generational
5	asset. Thank you.
6	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay. Thank you
7	very much. And I've heard the issues that you are
8	talking about, as well as some of the other folks who
9	gave testimony and that is it. So, thank you for
10	all. I think this is our last person testifying.
11	Yeah. And let me just see if I have anything else to
12	say at this point. I don't believe that we do.
13	Okay. No. So, I believe that I can just probably
14	close this out. My right, counsel?
15	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: yes. That's
16	correct, Chair.
17	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay. Thank you
18	very much. So, with that, this meeting is adjourned
19	at approximately 4:25 in the afternoon.
20	
21	

${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date January 15, 2021