COMMTTEE ON HIGHER EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR 1 CITY COUNCIL CITY OF NEW YORK

4

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

7 COMMITTEE ON HIGHER EDUCATION
JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CIVIL
SERVICE AND LABOR

----- X

November 12, 2020 Start: 10:06 a.m. Recess: 12:49 p.m.

11

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

12 HELD AT: REMOTE HEARING

13 B E F O R E: Inez D. Barron,

Chairperson for Committee on

14 Higher Education

15 Daneek Miller,

Chairperson for Committee on Civil

Service and Labor

17

16

18 COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Laurie A. Cumbo 19 Alan N. Maisel

Ydanis A. Rodriguez

Eric A. Ulrich Helen Rosenthal Daniel Dromm

> Farah Louis Francisco Moya Adrienne Adams

23

20

21

22

24

25

1	COMMITTEE ON HIGHER EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR 2
2	APPEARANCES
3	Matthew Sapienza
4	Senior Vice Chancellor and Chief Financial Officer at CUNY
5	Pamela Silverblatt
6	Senior Vice Chancellor for Labor Relations at
7	CUNY
8	Barbara Bowen President of the Professional Staff Congress CUNY
9	Poga Cavillagoto
10	Rosa Squillacote Vice President for Part-Time Personnel at PSC
11	CUNY
12	Blanca Vazquez
13	Executive Council Member for the Part-Time Personnel at PSC CUNY
14	Robert Farrell
15	Chapter Chair at PSC CUNY
16	Scott Cally
17	Chapter Chair at PSC CUNY
18	Ian Ross Singleton Professor of Writing
19	TIOICSSOI OI WIICHIIG
20	Sara Ortiz Vice Chair of Graduate Affairs for the University
21	Student Senate
22	
23	
24	

SERGEANT POLITE: Recording to PC all set.

2.2

2.3

SERGEANT SADOWSKY: Thank you.

SERGEANT DAUTAJ: Recording to cloud is all good.

SERGEANT SADOWSKY: Thank you.

SERGEANT PEREZ: Backup recording is good.

SERGEANT SADOWSKY: Thank you. And Sergeant Biondo, you will be able to start your opening. Thank you.

SERGEANT BIONDO: Good morning everyone and welcome and welcome to today's Remote New York City Council Hearing on the Committees of Higher Education jointly with Civil Service and Labor.

At this time, would all panelists please turn on their video. Once again, would all panelists please turn on their video for a verification. To minimize any disruptions, please place all electronic devices on vibrate or silent mode. If you wish to submit testimony you may do so at testimony@council.nyc.gov again, that is testimony@council.nyc.gov.

Thank you for your cooperation. Chair's we are ready to begin.

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: [GAVEL] Good morning and thank you for joining today's Virtual Joint Committee on Higher Education and Committee on Civil Service

3

1

and Labor Hearing on Adjunct Faculty Employment at the City University of New York or CUNY.

4

Committee on Higher Education and a proud CUNY alum.

I am Council Member Inez Barron, Chair of the

6

of the Committee Civil Service and Labor for joining

I want to thank Council Member I. Daneek Miller Chair

7 8

us to hold this very important hearing. Witnesses

testifying today include CUNY's Senior Vice

10

Chancellor and Chief Financial Officer Matthew

11

Sapienza and Pamela Silverblatt, Senior Vice

Chancellor for Labor Relations.

12

13

14

15

Also, invited to testify are the professionals in Congress which is CUNY's faculty union and the University Faculty Senate University Student Senate Adjunct Faculty and labor advocates groups and other

We last conducted a joint hearing on CUNY adjunct

16

17

interested parties.

due to COVID-19.

18

19 faculty in late January of this year. It seemed so

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

In the few months since that hearing, it is remarkable to reflect on the tremendous resilience

long ago that this hearing was conducted in-person

just weeks before businesses and schools closed and

life transitioned to remote communication platforms

2.2

2.3

at today's hearing.

and progress we as a city and indeed, many of our institutions like CUNY have displayed as we collectively adjusted to "new normal" of living through a pandemic. But just a few days ago, a long serving Adjunct Art History Professor at CUNY's Medgar Evers College published op-ed addressing what he referred to as a "two tiered system for CUNY professors". This op-ed underscored the many themes about the challenges of adjunct faculty employment as opposed to full time or tenure professor experience

that we previous explored and will be following up on

For instance, last January, we recognized and indeed applauded the gains run by CUNY's adjunct faculty through a collective bargaining agreement that now provides them with a higher pay rate per cost and other salary enhancements such as paid office hours. But CUNY's institutional response to COVID-19 also taught us that these gains mean absolutely nothing without the job security and related benefits that are routinely afforded to full time professors and employees.

Over the summer, CUNY modified nearly 3,000 adjunct professors and contingent faculty that their

2.2

2.3

and professors alike.

contracts would not be renewed, leaving many without insurance or healthcare during a pandemic. These unilateral layoffs illuminated what the professor referred to as a "emotional and stressful roller coaster" of unstable adjunct employment. Feeling like you could be fired any minute, not knowing whether you will be given enough courses to pay rent and having to rely on secondary jobs to cover your own living costs. All while class sizes have increased to levels that are untenable, the students

I would be remiss if I did not note that these matters are even more alarming when one looks at the racial and ethnic demographics of adjunct faculty generally. As we observed at our hearing last January, national studies have shown that underrepresented minorities in adjunct positions have continued to grow while in contrast underrepresented minorities in full time, ten year track positions have done so at a substantially lower rate.

I pose the following question in January, which is why are we institutionally marginalizing our minorities and academia in comparison to their White counterparts? I will say that again. Why are we

2.2

2.3

institutionally marginalizing our minorities in academia in comparison to their White counterparts?

Especially now at this time when the COVID-19 pandemic is ravaging our Black and Brown communities. Why are we not providing our Black and Brown adjuncts more opportunities, stability and job security. I cannot stand by the case that adjunct faculty employment models represents a "cost saving matter" for universities. This is an issue that transcends cost saving and raises serious concerns about race and the lack of racial equity and academia today.

We have lots of questions for you regarding these matters but first I would like to thank Joy Simmons my Chief of Staff and Ndigo Washington my Director of Legislation and CUNY Liaison, Michele Peregrin the Committees Financial Analyst and a special thanks and a fond farewell and bon voyage and best wishes to Paul Sinegal Counsel for the Committee who will be leaving and moving on to another position.

And for the members of the Committee, I can't see who they are but I see Council Member Maisel is here and as others are noted, I will acknowledge them as well.

COMMITTEE ON HIGHER EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR

And at this time, I will pass to my Co-Chair Council Member I. Daneek Miller.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you so much Chair

Barron. It is great to see you, great to be here

this morning. Good morning everyone. I am Council

Member I. Daneek Miller and I am the Chair of the

Committee on Civil Service and Labor. Again, I would

like to thank my Co-Chair Inez Barron, the Chair of

Higher Education and welcome everybody to this

morning's hearing.

I would like to acknowledge and welcome my colleagues that have joined us from the Committee on Civil Service and Labor, Council Member Adams, Louis and Ulrich as well. Today's joint hearing will focus on adjunct faculty in the City of New York at CUNY.

CUNY is the largest urban public university in United States providing accessibility to higher education to more than 274,000 degree seeking students and 276,000 adult and continuing education students, over 25 college campuses across the City of New York.

For many, especially in New York City, CUNY has been the stepping stone to a better quality of life.

In order to run cohesively and effectively as it does, CUNY employs a wide range of distinguished

2.2

employees. CUNY faculty has boasted Nobel Laureates, members of national academics and Pulitzer Prize winners, administrator and professors. Both tenured and with those who are adjunct which make up the heart of CUNY.

Adjunct faculty are those faculty members who work in limited capacity for the universities.

Adjuncts can be professors, associate professors, assistant professors, adjunct faculty and ensures that students at CUNY are adequately taught and served each and every day. Although rightly to CUNY, when we held this hearing in January, we learned that adjunct faculty are often employees who are under appreciated and under compensated. Work often in difficult conditions with few benefits.

For example, there are no standardized hiring process or adjuncts. Adjuncts frequently work under the threat of having those courses cancelled just days before they begin. Rarely receive health insurance and typically have little to say in the universities government.

In efforts to ensure that adjuncts are treated better and compensated more fairly, the Professional Staff Congress or PSC which is the bargaining unit

2.2

2.3

which represents the faculty here at CUNY. Including adjuncts has fought for additional benefits for adjuncts faculty. Most notably, the unions work was seen in the recent December 19 ramification of a new contract between PSC and CUNY. The contract spans a total of 63 months and is retroactive to December 1, 2017 and will go through February 28th of '23.

As my colleague Chair Barron has noted, due to the unexpected costs of COVID pandemic, Governor Cuomo withheld additional 20 percent of the state's funding of the Universities budget. Resulting in layoffs for nearly 3,000 adjunct faculty as well as reduced cost offerings.

Giving that so many of the staff are experiencing layoffs or reduced hours, it is essential for the Committee to make sure that the terms of the previously ratified collective bargaining agreement are implemented successfully. That we ensure that adjunct faculty who are hear, who bear a very heavy load in insuring that CUNY system runs efficiently and successfully receive adequate labor and the health protections as agreed upon during this crisis.

Today, I would like to understand exactly the difference between adjunct and tenured staff at CUNY;

2.2

2.3

however, and as well as, the implementations of the contract and if there are any shortfalls and certainly, if there is so, if there had been any engagement and impact bargaining over new provisions which may have changed the terms and agreement of employment based on COVID-19 pandemic. Which has threatened the health and economy and livelihood of so many.

Particularly important, today is the demographic day that for adjuncts, as compared to full time and tenured professors at CUNY, I want to know if there is a noticeable racial and ethnic disparity within CUNY when looking at adjuncts and full time tenured professors. What can be done to address these disparities if so and these are some of the many questions that we will be checking in with CUNY and PSC as well as advocates in order to ensure that CUNY and New York City education in a whole is fair and equitable workplace where we can teach and learn.

I would like to thank my staff, Chief of Staff
Mr. Ali Rasoulinejad, Brandon Clarke and Senior
Advisor Mr. Joe Goldbloom. I would also like to
thank Senior Staff for the work that they have done

COMMITTEE ON HIGHER EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR

2.2

2.3

in preparing this, Nuzhat, Thomas, Kindle, Elizabeth and John our Financial Analyst.

So, with that, I will kick it back to Chair

Barron and thank you very much. I am looking forward
to a robust, robust hearing this morning. Thank you.

SERGEANT DAUTAJ: Chair Barron, you are on mute.

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: Thank you. Thank you so much and now I will pass it to our Policy Analyst Chloe Rivera who will review the operating procedures for today.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Chair Barron. My name is Chloe Rivera and I am the Senior Policy

Analyst to the Committee on Higher Education at the New York City Council. I will be moderating today's hearing and calling panelists to testify.

Before we begin, please remember to that everyone will be on mute until I call on you to testify.

After you are called on, you will unmuted by the host. Note that there will be a few second delay before you are unmuted and we can hear you. For public testimony, I will call up individuals and panels. Please listen for your name. I will periodically announce the next few panelists. Once I call your name, a member of our staff will unmute

2.2

you, the Sergeant at Arms will set a clock and give
you the go ahead to begin your testimony. All public
testimony will be limited to three minutes. After I
call your name, please wait for the Sergeant at Arms
to announce that you may begin before starting your
testimony.

At today's hearing, the first panel will include representatives from the City University of New York followed by Council Member questions then public testimony. In order of speaking, we have Matthew Sapienza Senior Vice Chancellor and Chief Financial Officer at CUNY and Pamela Silverblatt Senior Vice Chancellor for Labor Relations at CUNY.

I will now administer the oath to the

Administration. When you hear your name, please
respond once a member of our staff unmutes you. Do
you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and
nothing but the truth before these Committee's and to
respond honestly to Council Member questions? Senior
Vice Chancellor Sapienza?

MATTHEW SAPIENZA: I do.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Senior Vice
Chancellor Silverblatt?

PAMELA SILVERBLATT: I do.

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. We will now hear
from CUNY Senior Vice Chancellor Chief Financial

Officer Sapienza. Senior Vice Chancellor, you may
begin your testimony once a member of our staff

6 unmutes you.

MATTHEW SAPIENZA: Thank you and good morning
Chairperson Barron and Chairperson Miller and members
of the Higher Education and Civil Service and Labor
Committee's. I am Matthew Sapienza, CUNY's Senior
Vice Chancellor and Chief Financial Officer and I
very much appreciate the opportunity to speak with
you about adjunct faculty employment at the City
University of New York.

As you know, CUNY like every institution of higher learning has been greatly impacted by COVID-19. This impact on CUNY has been especially keen because we are located in New York City, the epicenter of the COVID-19 outbreak in United States and because COVID-19 disproportionately affected the very neighborhoods where many of our students live. We experienced firsthand how the pandemic changed our community.

Beginning in March 2020, CUNY converted almost 50,000 in-person courses to distance education. This

2.2

2.3

required our faculty, many who had not taught on line before to quickly revise their course syllabi learning platforms and adopt new pedagogical strategies to create the conditions for their students to meet the corresponding learning outcomes.

Staff adapted to remote work and continued to give valuable advice and support to colleagues as well to deliver wrap around and student support services and it required all involved, faculty, students and staff to do all of this. While caring for family and adapting to a new complicated reality.

We are very grateful for our faculty staff and students. We are especially grateful for our essential workers, who have continued to ensure their campuses are protected and safely maintained.

As a result of the economic fallout from the COVID-19 pandemic, the university has experienced reductions in revenue, seen public funding significantly reduced and been obliged to take on unplanned emergency expenditure.

We have been forced to make decisions that only months ago were unimaginable. CUNY's funding comes from three principle sources tuition, appropriations

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

from New York City and appropriations from New York
State.

The New York City Adopted Budget for Fiscal Year 2021 provided \$464.6 million in funding for CUNY community colleges and includes a reduction target of \$46.3 million. This reduction target represents 9 percent of the community colleges base budget and is an addition to a \$20 million reduction that was made by the City for the community colleges during April 2020 as the impact of the pandemic began to effect New York City finances. We are anticipating further city reductions in the November financial plan update which will be announced later this month. And I just want to take a moment to go off script and thank Chair Barron and all the members of the Council who have advocated so strongly for restorations to the CUNY's ASAP program during the Adopted Budget process.

The New York State budget as adopted in April 2020 provided \$2 billion for CUNY for Fiscal Year 2021. Approximately the same time — I am sorry, approximately the same amount as for Fiscal Year 2020. It was clear at the time however, that extraordinary public health and economic relief

expenditures would require adjustments depending on federal aid. So, the budget agreement gave the State Budget Director the authority to reduce budget allocations during the fiscal year.

The state division of budget has estimated a current year revenue decline of \$14.9 billion, which represents a loss of about 15 percent but there is no assistance from the federal government for New York State to offset this revenue loss. We at CUNY are facing a risk of a permanent reduction. CUNY expected and told the City Council at a public hearing in June 2020 that the pandemic would sharply decrease tuition revenue because of economic pressure on its students, many of whom have previously worked full or part-time and would not be able to pay tuition during the crisis and many of whom depended on crowded buses and subways to get to and from class.

Presently, the fall semester enrollment is now 5.1 percent which has resulted in a \$52 million loss in revenue. CUNY previously lost \$32 million in revenue for the spring 2020 semester due to a reduction in tuition and other revenue collections.

2.2

2.3

CUNY also spent almost \$75 million on unplanned emergency costs related to the pandemic. Expenses that included the purchase of laptops and iPads for students, the cost for deep cleaning buildings.

Overtime cost for public safety and facilities personnel. The purchase of PPE's, cleaning products, signage and other costs associated with transitioning the distance learning across the system.

Given the announced budget cuts from the city, the projected decrease in enrollment for the fall 2020 semester and the significant state revenue decline, it became impractical and irresponsible to continue operations as normal.

Since about 80 percent of CUNY's cost relate to personnel, any serious budget cuts will necessarily involve position reductions. CUNY has largely frozen new hiring in order to generate budget savings but otherwise has so far preserved the employment of its full time faculty and full time professional staff and has not instituted layoffs of full time faculty and administrators. The University Vacancy Review Board was established in April and has reduced payroll cost by keeping vacant or consolidating the responsibilities of existing positions, saving the

2.2

2.3

university \$33 million in annualized costs as of

September. The universities total full time staffing

level has been reduced by 468 positions since the

hiring freeze was announced. Adjunct faculty unlike

tenure track faculty are part-time faculty paid on a

per course basis for limited terms.

Nonteaching adjuncts and adjunct laboratory technicians are adjunct versions of their full time counter parts. CUNY employed about \$14,000 teaching and non-teaching adjuncts in Fiscal Year 2020 of a total cost of about \$309 million. We very much value the critical contribution of our adjuncts which was underscored in the historic collective bargaining agreement that was announced in October 2019. In which adjunct pay per course was increased by over 70 percent.

Faced with the extreme unannounced and anticipated budget cuts as well as projected enrollment losses, CUNY campuses determined that it had to decline to renew the appointments of approximately 2,800 adjuncts. Mostly faculty which saved about \$30 million. There appointments expired according to their normal contractual terms as of

)

June 30, 2020 and were not renewed as allowed by the collective bargaining agreement.

Last week, as part of our continued financial management in this challenging environment, the Chancellor announced that all managerial employees under the executive compensation plan will be furloughed five days this fiscal year. As a result of our sustained transition to distance learning, we have also reduced costs through the consolidation of campus space, eliminated travel and have enhanced our energy savings. The increase of 16 percent in our summer session enrollment also generated additional revenue that has helped defray costs incurred this fall.

Traditionally, the University Board of Trustee's has presented a budget for the new fiscal year in June. The presentation and approval process for the Fiscal Year '21 budget has been delayed until more information is available regarding another federal stimulus bill and its impact on state and city clients. The federal government did allocate \$250 million to CUNY earlier this year as part of the Cares Act. That included \$118 million in direct aid to students, almost all of which has been distributed

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

expenses.

2 to 197,000 students so far. The remaining \$132 3 million is to be distributed as part of the universities Fiscal Year '21 budget. We recently 4 allocated \$41 million of the funds to cover the colleges reimbursement for student tuition and fees, 6 7 health and wellness expenses and IT infrastructure 8

The use of Cares Act funds is subject to various oversight agencies and will be audited as part of the universities annual uniform quidance audit. If the U.S. Department of Education considers any expenditure inappropriate, it may disallow the expenditure. According to the Cares Act institutional letter sent on April 21, 2020, the United States Secretary of Education stated and I quote, "While I know you face many challenges arising from the COVID-19 pandemic, I encourage you to use the portion of your reward for recipients institutional costs to expand the remote learning programs, build your IT capacity to support such programs and train faculty and staff to operate effective in a remote learning environment." These activities will help ensure that learning can continue for your students during the nations

2.2

2.3

recovery from the coronavirus pandemic and strengthen your position to support continued learning in the future. I would also encourage you to consider using the funds for recipients institutional cost to expand support for your students with the most significant financial needs arising from the coronavirus pandemic. Including eligible expenses under a student's cost of attendance, such as course materials, technology, healthcare, childcare, food and housing."

Although CUNY has not yet finalized its plans for the remaining funds in detail, it anticipates broadly using them in a student centric manner. Aid to our students will also prevent further deterioration of enrollment and because enrollment drives the need for full time and faculty, nonteaching adjuncts and other professional staff, aid to students to generate additional enrollment helps preserve these faculty and professional staff positions from further erosion.

The Cares Act funds are one time federal resources that will no longer be available once spent. The Cares Act funds did not and will not meet all of the needs of our students. These needs have

2.2

2.3

become even more acute as a result of COVID-19. The federal government's failure to provide additional resources makes it increasingly difficult to whether the economic impact of this continuing health crisis. We urge the federal government to act soon and provide much needed additional assistance to New York State, New York City and institutions of higher education.

Chairperson Barron and Miller, please know that the University very much appreciates the unwavering support of the City Council of CUNY's students and staff and particularly to the both of you for your leadership in those efforts. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony. We will now hear from CUNY Senior Vice Chancellor Silverblatt. Senior Vice Chancellor, you may begin your testimony once a member of our staff unmutes you.

PAMELA SILVERBLATT: Good morning Chair's Barron and Miller and members of the Committee's on Higher Education and Civil Services and Labor. It is nice to see you again.

I am Pamela Silverblatt, Senior Vice Chancellor for Labor Relations at the City University of New

2.2

2.3

York. I last appeared before the Committee's meeting jointly last January. I appreciate the opportunity to speak with you today and provide you with an update since I was here last. When I reported in January, we had recently concluded the negotiations for a new collective bargaining agreement between CUNY and the professional staff Congress CUNY. The union representing the faculty and professional administrative staff at CUNY. So much has happened in our country and in the world since then. Most importantly, I wish good health for you and your families and I hope that you and your families and those close to you have been spared the ravages of the COVID pandemic.

When I was here last, we were very proud of the collective bargaining agreement we were able to achieve with the PSC, with the state and city's support. As I said then, Chancellor Matos Rodriguez described the agreement as an embodiment of CUNY's strong and unwavering commitment to its faculty.

Both full and part time and its staff and the PSC's leadership as well as members of the Rank and File had variously held the agreement as a star turning point that is principled and imaginative, a victory

2.2

2.3

for every member of the union and for CUNY students and a critical investment in the quality of education CUNY provides that will pay dividends for years to come. The contract had several significant enhancements for CUNY adjunct faculty and I would like to give you an update on the status of those matters but I would be remiss if I did not acknowledge upfront the non-reappointments of adjunct faculty that were necessitated by the budget uncertainties brought on by the pandemic last spring.

I will come back to discuss those actions in a moment but first, let me bring you up do date on actions taken to implement the contract since I last appeared. As you know, the collective bargaining agreement is a 63 month contract that has five 2 percent wage increases compounding at 10.41 percent. By the time I last appeared before you, the first two 2 percent across the board salary increases were already due. Teaching adjuncts will of course benefit from the across the board wage increases.

I am sorry, across the board wage increases that apply to all employees in the PSC bargaining unit.

Those increases were implemented and paid including with retroactivity last spring in March. When I last

testified, I focused on the contract, significant, economic and structural enhancements for CUNY's approximately 12,000 adjuncts. These enhancements are primarily in three categories: salary, student success and adjunct professional development and stability.

As I said at the time, over the life of the agreement, adjunct pay will increase by more than 70 percent for the lowest paid adjunct lecturers raising their pay for a free credit course from \$3,222 to \$5,500. This is accomplished in several ways. Very importantly starting last spring, in the spring 2020 semester for each three credit course taught teachings adjuncts began to be required to hold and receive additional compensation for one office hour per week. Because of this restructuring in the way adjuncts work, an adjunct teaching a three credit course received more than a 38 percent pay increase starting in Spring 2020.

I should note that the enhancements in the last contract follow significant positive enhancements for adjunct faculty over the last several years including the provision of stable, quality health insurance by inclusion in the City Health benefits program and

colleges to provide professional development

greater job stability through the opportunity for three year appointments.

Just after the salary increases were implemented and the new office hours implemented, the COVID-19 crisis struck New York and the University had to quickly pivot to remote learning and work. With no notice, CUNY had to transition 275,000 students to online classes and move 45,000 employees to working from home.

When we initially moved to remote, there was no indication of how long we would be in that mode or how profoundly the pandemic would affect New York.

With a focus on getting our students through the semester without losing credit momentum, the faculty and staff at CUNY performed in an exemplary fashion.

Everyone prioritized the students, put their best foot forward and even those less skilled with technology made their best effort to ensure satisfactory completion for the students.

As the spring progressed, sorry, excuse me.

the spring progressed and we realized that remote

Office of Academic Affairs worked closely with the

learning would likely continue in the fall, the

2.2

2.3

opportunities for both the full and part-time faculty
to enhance their skills at online teaching. In
partnership with the CUNY School of Professional
Studies, academic affairs develop the online teaching
essentials workshops designed to bolster faculty
skills for online teaching and learning in six areas.
The workshop covered understanding the online
learning environment, structuring the online learning
experience, communicating and interacting online,
online presence and engagement, effective online
assessment and of course scheduling and reflection.

Nearly 1,700 faculty have completed the training for which they are compensated and slightly more than half of those faculty were adjunct faculty.

Throughout the spring as the pandemic raged, the havoc it was reeking on the state and city budgets became a daily topic of conversation. CUNY's financial situation dependent as it is on both the city and state for revenue became very serious.

In addition to enrollment projects for the fall 2020 semester indicating a coming downturn in the number of students. The Collective Bargaining Agreement between CUNY and the professional staff congress requires CUNY to provide adjuncts with

__

notice of whether they will have an appointment in the fall by May $15^{\rm th}$.

Because of the uncertainty of the budget and enrollment situations, CUNY asked the PSC to extend that deadline, hoping that we would have more certainty before making reappointment decisions.

CUNY and the PSC agreed to push the deadline back initially to May 29th and then to June 30th. Having to notify the adjuncts of their fall assignments by June 30th, the colleges made decisions using the information they had available at the time. We were in the middle of the pandemic with an uncertain fiscal future combined with an anticipated decline in enrollment.

In the face of that constellation of circumstances, the colleges non-reappointed almost 3,000 adjuncts out of a total of approximately 12,000 teaching adjuncts. The specific decisions regarding reappointment and non-reappointment are made locally at the colleges. Since those spring non-reappointments, nearly 660 of the non-reappointed adjuncts have been reappointed for the fall 2020 semester.

2.2

2.3

As we sit here today, nearly five months since those non-reappointments the Universities fiscal situation continues to be very serious. Just last week, Chancellor Matos Rodriguez sent a letter to the university community advising of a \$45 million reduction in support from New York City to our community college budgets. The need for CUNY to spend about \$75 million in unplanned expenditures related to COVID. The risk in state aid if there is no additional assistance from the federal government for New York State, the loss of revenue due to enrollment declines and the loss of revenue due to reductions in tuition and other revenue sources.

Since last spring, the university has had a vacancy review board in place, which has reduced CUNY's full time staffing by 468 positions resulting in an annualized savings to the university of over \$30 million. Most recently, the Chancellor announced that managerial employees at the university will be furloughed for five days during this fiscal year.

The university continues to act prudently and take necessary steps to protect our core mission of providing high quality education to the students of New York City.

Once again, thank you for this opportunity. If there are questions, I will be happy to answer them.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony.
We will now turn to Chair Barron for questions.

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: Thank you so much. I do want to thank both Senior Vice Chancellors for their testimony and what they have shared with us. I have lots of questions and following my questions, I will share with my Co-Chair.

You just now talked about I think 468 positions that are not going to be filled, is that what you said and if that is what you said, can you give us the range of the titles of those 468 positions?

MATTHEW SAPIENZA: Yes, thank you Chair Barron.

Yeah, since the University instituted a hiring freeze in late April and we created a university vacancy review board to review all hiring requests. Since that time, since late April through the end of September, we don't have October data yet but through the end of September, the total number of full time positions has come down by \$468 positions, which represents a little over 2 percent of our total full time staff. We have about 19,000 full time staff at the university. And so, it includes the gamut of

COMMITTEE ON HIGHER EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR

full time positions at the university, faculty, administrative, managerial staff, all positions.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: And I would like to get that disaggregated and get that data.

MATTHEW SAPIENZA: Sure, we can do that and get that to you, yeah.

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: And with the start of 2020 semester, you are not to do a not be reappointing 3,000 adjuncts to teach in the fall. So, can you give us a breakdown of how many adjuncts were laid off including how many individual courses were canceled as a result of those non-reappointments?

PAMELA SILVERBLATT: So, I can give you by college the numbers of non-reappointments. I can either read through that today if you would like or we can provide that to you after.

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: Read it. Just summarize it, I would just like to get an idea of how that played out across each college.

PAMELA SILVERBLATT: Sure, sure. So, actually
Chair Barron, it varies significantly across the
university and from college to college. The colleges
each made their own — the colleges are in different
situations visa ve their budgets and they each made

2.2

2.3

individual decisions. There are some colleges that non-reappointed what looked like some number of hundreds of adjuncts at the time and there are some colleges that non-reappointed much smaller numbers of adjuncts. And then, as I said, there was I would say a modest amount of rehiring of adjuncts. Some of the colleges did rehire more than 100 adjuncts. Some of those that laid off the greatest numbers did rehire more than 100 adjuncts between June and the start of the September semester.

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: Across each of the campuses that we have, is there a percentage that you can share with us that we can identify as courses that are taught by adjuncts? Because I want to get an understanding additionally to how it impacts the adjuncts by their sheer numbers. The impact on students because now those courses are not being offered.

PAMELA SILVERBLATT: We can get you; I believe that we can follow up and get you information related to the percentage of adjunct at each of the colleges. It is not sitting in front of me today but I am sure that we can follow up and get you that information.

COMMITTEE ON HIGHER EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR

2.2

2.3

With respect to courses, courses vary. The courses taught vary by semester. They vary by enrollment, by I think that there are many decisions that go into the needs for scheduling and what does and doesn't get scheduled in any particular semester.

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: And you said it is a campus by campus decision. Who does the president involve in making a decision as to which courses or which titles of adjuncts will not be rehired? Is there a Committee that he relies on to help him decide if in fact there will be a reduction, who will not be reappointed?

PAMELA SILVERBLATT: My understanding -

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: The expectation -

PAMELA SILVERBLATT: I am sorry.

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: The expectation that there will not be a single person making that decision without having the input significant and actual input of others to make that decision. Are departments asked?

PAMELA SILVERBLATT: Yeah, the ability that those decisions start at a departmental level in terms of what the programming will look like in any given semester. And then of course, largely I think

2.2

2.3

depending on the structure of a school, you may have

Chair's that report to an assistant dean or dean and

those folks ultimately report to a college provost

and the provost ultimately reports to the president.

But there is academic involvement at the departmental

level in making those decisions.

And if a Department Chair recommends that a person be reassigned, rehired, reappointed, does that in fact happen?

PAMELA SILVERBLATT: I can't answer that question today. As I sit here, I would think that what would happen is that the Department Chair would have you know, certain constraints, certain limitations parameters within which to work. That they have courses that have to be offered in order for students to have the programs they need to fulfill their majors and at the same time, they have likely a budget that they have to be mindful of but that if a particular Chair at a particular college felt that he or she wasn't going to be able to fulfill the curricular needs or needed additional personnel to fulfill those curricular needs, that he or she would have conversations with their dean's and or their

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.2

2.3

24

25

provosts to discuss any adjustments that might be
warranted.

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: So, we are talking about faculty that has not been reappointed and we're talking about some faculty that had in fact been rehired even though they were not initially given a letter.

So, we know that PSC, the Professional Staff
Congress is that body that represents this faculty.

So, have you provided them with a complete list of
the adjuncts or other contingent faculty and staff
that were not reappointed last spring? And how many
of those that were not reappointed lost access to the
employer provided health insurance?

PAMELA SILVERBLATT: So, PSC -

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: What kind of information -

PAMELA SILVERBLATT: I am sorry, you cut out.

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: Yes, what kind of sharing have you done with PSC and transparency, so that they

21 would know what is going on.

PAMELA SILVERBLATT: Sure, so last spring, we provided PSC with aggregate numbers by college of adjuncts that were non-reappointed, including aggregate numbers of adjunct to at the time

2.2

2.3

ultimately stood to lose their CUNY sponsored health insurance. They did not immediately los it, they might have had health insurance through June or July or some through the entire summer and of course some people would have opted for COBRA and may still have employer sponsored health insurance through COBRA.

So, we gave the union those aggregate numbers at the time and the union has subsequently requested names of adjuncts, I think names and apartments specifically of adjuncts who have been non-reappointed so that they can reach out and we are in the process of gathering that information. President Bowen reiterated that request that week. That information is being compiled, so that the union will have that information shortly to be able to reach out.

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: And so, since you had given them that number in the spring, what is that number?

PAMELA SILVERBLATT: The number of nonreappointed adjuncts that we shared with them at the
time was 2,990 and the number of adjuncts that stood
to lose health insurance as a result of their nonreappointments was 422.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: Does that number — it sounds just like a large number. It sounds like such a great number. Why is that number so high? We know that CUNY relies on adjuncts for the bulk of the instruction that goes on. I don't have the numbers in front of me but the bulk of the courses that are taught at CUNY are taught by adjunct faculty.

So, why are we now gutting that body that is responsible for delivering the instruction and providing now counseling and office hours. Why are we gutting that?

PAMELA SILVERBLATT: So, every spring, spring into fall, there are — and at fall into spring, there are adjuncts whose appointments come to an end and who are not reappointed.

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: Right.

PAMELA SILVERBLATT: And so, for example, in spring '19 going into fall '20, so for the prior year, there were over 1,800 adjuncts who were non-reappointed at that point.

So, this year, the number was 2,900 as opposed to 1,800 and the difference is owing to the situation and circumstances that Matt and I both described and

2.2

2.3

2 that have you know, struck the world and wreaked 3 havoc on the city.

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: So - I am sorry, go ahead.

PAMELA SILVERBLATT: No, no, no, go ahead.

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: So, you said last year there were 1,800 non-reappointments. Am I then to conclude that there were 1,800 fewer adjuncts or were those 1,800 in fact replaced by perhaps new adjuncts that were hired?

PAMELA SILVERBLATT: So, they may have been, some of them may have been rehired. Other adjuncts may have been hired depending on the needs, the disciplines. The only point that I am making is that the non-reappointments happen from semester to semester and so, the magnitude, clearly the magnitude this year was bigger but it wasn't that the magnitude is normally zero and this year it was 2,900.

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: I understand but I would like to know the net difference. You say 1,800 last year were not reappointed but there were others that were appointed or that got new employments. I would like to know what that difference is, so I would appreciate if you could give that to me.

2.2

2.3

PAMELA SILVERBLATT: Sure, I don't mean to look away. I do have some data with me but — it would absolutely be best to get it back to you after. You know, rather than being distracted, I would rather have staff get it to you after.

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: Okay, that's fine and I understand this new world that we are living in you know, we are looking a thousand different — it is very different.

What percentage or number of adjunct faculty lost their CUNY sponsored health insurance as a result of these layoffs or non-reappointments and what's the approximate cost of the monthly premiums that are associated with COBRA health benefits extension?

PAMELA SILVERBLATT: So, I think we have about — we had about 2,200 or 2,300 adjuncts receiving employer sponsored health insurance and about 420 lost their health insurance. Presumably they were able to access COBRA and then perhaps private or other public health insurance but that translates to I would say about 20 percent of those that received employer sponsored health insurance were effected.

The answer to the COBRA question is a little more complicated. So, our employees are in the City

2.2

2.3

Health Benefits Plan. The adjuncts are in the same City Health Benefits Plan as the full time employees and I suspect that the City Council members are in that health benefits plan also. And so, there are many different options of coverage that someone can take and there are options that are less expensive and more expensive.

So, the COBRA premium is 2 percent higher than the employer share. So, whatever the employer share is. The COBRA premium is 2 percent higher. So, 102 percent and that pertains to the adjuncts as it does to everyone else and again varies based on the individuals choice about health insurance programs. And that's not determined by CUNY, that's you know, part of the expectations of the City Health Benefits program.

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: Thank you. I have lots more questions but I am going to defer now to my Co-Chair Council Member I. Daneek Miller for his questions and then I will return. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you so much Chair

Barron. The Chancellor's brought up such interesting information in their testimony and their subsequent questioning that I did have a few but I primarily

2.2

2.3

want to focus on the collective bargaining aspect of it and the impacts of COVID-19 and implementation. But in the interim, could the Chancellor respond to of the 5.1 percent decrease that we have seen for the fall semester in terms of revenue, talk about the specific impacts of that because I know you said that there was some additional hiring that was done after the initial layoff. There were about 600 adjuncts that were brought back but could we talk about the impacts on that and whether as Council Member Barron alluded to, whether that actually had an impact on courses that were being served, in courses that were being delivered?

MATTHEW SAPIENZA: Sure, sure.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Yeah and how that happened, how that was evaluated and how that impacted those trickling in and how we determine what courses would no longer exist us, what adjuncts would no longer exist? Is that how that works?

MATTHEW SAPIENZA: Yeah no, thanks Chair Miller for the question on enrollment and that question is such a key component to financial management at the university. So, we were down 5.1 percent as you mentioned for fall 2020 compared to where we were in

2.2

2.3

\$52 million. Tuition remained the same as it did in the previous semester, so the tuition rates were the same. So, the fact that we had less students just meant less overall revenue of about \$52 million.

The enrollment losses are more so in the community colleges than in the four year colleges. The four year colleges overall are actually up a little bit in terms of fall '19 to fall '20 enrollment. It was up a little less than 1 percent and every college is different. There are some colleges that are showing really strong enrollment gains, some that are low but overall, the four year colleges are up a little bit. Community colleges through are down and that's something that research is shown throughout the country in terms of community colleges. It is happening throughout New York State in terms of SUNY's community colleges.

And so, the community colleges in terms of the revenue loss they are feeling from enrollment losses is certainly more acute than it is at the senior colleges, no question about that. And in terms of the adjuncts reappointment, you know going back to what my college Senior Vice Chancellor Silverblatt

2.2

said a few minutes ago, when campuses are evaluating

the number of adjuncts they need, enrollment in those

certain disciplines and within those certain

5 departments is a key factor.

If enrollment is going down in a certain discipline or for a certain department, the decision might be made that they don't need as many adjuncts as they did the year before just because there is less students to serve. So, enrollment is definitely a critical factor in that equation in making those determinations.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: So, in terms of the terms condition of employment amongst for the adjuncts, do they come with a contract or service.

PAMELA SILVERBLATT: So, adjunct deployments can either be once semester, two semesters or in the contract prior to the contract we reported on last winter, we agreed to a pilot program for a three year adjunct appointments for adjuncts who met particular service requirements.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: So, within that universe of those that met that threshold, were any of them laid off?

2.2

2.3

PAMELA SILVERBLATT: So, there were — among the adjuncts who were non-reappointed, there were adjuncts who would have been eligible for consideration for new three year appointments. So, no one in the midst of a three year appointment was non-reappointed.

So, the way the program was structured, it was initially structured as a five year pilot and then in the last contract, we extended it three more years. So, for example, that first cohort of people, the folks went in in the first year were eligible for reconsideration for new three year appointments and there would have been some folks in the non-reappointed group who would yes, have been three year adjuncts who would not have received new three year appointments, again based on the assessments of the fiscal and programmatic needs of the colleges at the time last spring.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. So, obviously that meant that the program itself was retroactive, right because of the three year timeframe?

PAMELA SILVERBLATT: We started the program — I am going to try and do this from my memory Chair Miller. We started the program, I think three or

2.2

2.3

four years ago in the contract prior to the one we settled last October or November. I believe the first year for the three year pilot appointments was the 2016-2017 academic year.

So, folks would have worked 2016, 2017, 20172018, 2018-2019, so then it might have been the
second cohort coming up for review. It would have
been folks who had completed three years but were up
for reconsideration because when we agreed to the
pilot, we agreed that even if the pilot were going to
end, that if folks were in the middle of it, in the
middle of their three years when the pilot ended,
they would continue.

So, it was not, if I am understanding your question, it wasn't so much retroactive, it was that this goes back to an earlier contract.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: The prior contract. Okay and of those folks, how many of those are within that 2,000 — whatever the number was that qualify for health benefits?

PAMELA SILVERBLATT: How many of the folks who qualified for health benefits were three year adjuncts, I am going to have to get back to you.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay, so of the 12,000 adjuncts, you said 2,000 something qualified for or had health benefits, employee health benefits.

PAMELA SILVERBLATT: 2,200, 2,400 order of magnitude.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: That's a pretty small percentage of the total workforce, adjunct workforce and I would assume that is in relation to collective bargaining or just the time, the amount of time.

What is the qualifying factors for help?

PAMELA SILVERBLATT: Thank you. I would like to explain this and I see President Bowen on my screen. President Bowen and I spent a lot of time over years negotiating this program that I personally in the university are very proud of for adjunct health insurance.

So, prior to this program, the adjuncts were provided health insurance through the Unions Welfare Fund and there were financial constraints as a result and so, what is now several or many years ago, the university working with the union and the state and the city were able to both secure additional funding and secure the city's willingness to have us move eligible adjuncts into the City Health Benefits

2.2

2.3

Program. The City for a long time wasn't in the right place and it took a lot of advocacy and lobbying but we got the city to agree and actually I think this predates this mayoral administration, as I think about it. We got the city to agree that we could move eligible adjuncts into the program. We got additional funding from the state and we negotiated, yes, as a result of collective bargaining the university and the union negotiated the eligibility criteria for those adjuncts who would be eligible for health insurance.

And the shortened version is that an adjunct has to be teaching in their third semester, so in fact there is a waiting period. So, you have to be in your third semester and you have to be teaching a minimum of I forget whether it is worded as six credits or two courses but the equivalent of two courses and in your third semester and you have to have no other health insurance. You have to certify that you don't have other health insurance and you know, these were all negotiated and in truth, they were all approved by the City because the City has strict limitations on the part-time employees that it allows to be in the health benefits program. You

2.2

2.3

have to be working at least half time. So, in a 40 title you have got to be working 20 hours a week in a 35 hour title 17 ½ hours and so, we needed to have their support to move what are part-time employees into the health benefits program and those were the criteria that we negotiated and the city endorsed.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay, so that does seem like a low number out of 12,000 that would be working of that amount of time. So, I guess I am to assume that they are working less than the prescribed 20 or 17 ½ hours. Of that being said, I would like to focus on them a little bit and of those 2,200 to 2,400, 400 have lost those benefits.

PAMELA SILVERBLATT: Yes, approximately yes, that is approximate that -

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: And when we say loss, does that mean they no longer have access because they are not purchasing COBRA or how does that work? Who is paying the premium?

PAMELA SILVERBLATT: Right, so for the folks who were going to lose their CUNY employment. Some have had health coverage through July. So, adjuncts who taught in the spring would have coverage through July. Adjuncts who taught both in the fall and the

2.2

2.3

spring would have paid for health coverage through this summer and then they would eligible to self-purchase COBRA. Again, requirement of the city program, not CUNY so they could have access to the same level of health insurance and their continuity of providers but it would be pursuant to COBRA.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: And what's the cost of COBRA for an individual and family? Do you know?

PAMELA SILVERBLATT: So, the cost of COBRA varies by the health insurance option that the employee.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: They don't have a lot of options. If they are in the city's health plan, there are very few options.

PAMELA SILVERBLATT: Well, I hear you. I think that there are folks who are in HIP. There are folks who are in JHI. There are more expensive programs through ETNA and so, the cost is 102 percent of whatever the employer cost was. Again, depending on what somebody chooses whether they have individual family. There is not one discrete cost. The best way to answer that is —

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: What is the minimum cost for COBRA? Do you know that? What other plan?

PAMELA SILVERBLATT: I don't know the individual HIP rate off the top of my head. We can get you, that's probably the least expensive as the HIP program. We will get you the individual HIP cost.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: So, that leads me to and want to wrap up so my colleagues could ask questions but it does lead me into those who were not reappointed and in some cases are these just non-reappointments or have you guys labeled it as layoffs? Have there been any layoffs?

MATTHEW SAPIENZA: No.

2.2

2.3

PAMELA SILVERBLATT: So -

MATTHEW SAPIENZA: Oh.

PAMELA SILVERBLATT: I am sorry, go ahead.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: It doesn't matter, yeah.

MATTHEW SAPIENZA: Yeah, no, Chair Miller, these are non-reappointments. There have been no layoffs but there has been the non-reappointments of the adjuncts.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: So, put back to Labor
Relation hat back on and by not reappointing as
opposed to laying off, that negates to kind of the
impact bargaining of the bargaining unit and whether
or we are going to be responsible. How do we kind of

2.2

2.3

mitigate the blow to the bargaining unit and you know, that is standardized negotiations that occur when we have layoffs. Are we doing that? Are we not necessary that is semantics but are we continuing to impact bargaining for a lack of better words with PSC to make sure that those that are impacted are being compensated or assisted in some shape, form or fashion including — I know you talked about the development and transitioning to distance learning and compensation that has occurred but throughout this process how much engagement has occurred with the PSC? How much ongoing engagement but in particular, for those that have not been reappointed. What does that look like?

PAMELA SILVERBLATT: So, we did throughout the spring and summer. We had impact bargaining sessions with the PSC. We had you know, several like, a half a dozen a dozen sessions, I don't know the exact number. The focus of those sessions was largely on adjustments to terms and conditions of employment that were necessitated as a result to the move to remote work.

So, issues related to observation, evaluation, we extended. We reached a mutual agreement to extend

2.2

2.3

the clock for folks to do their scholarship and
research to get tenure. We agreed to carry over
vacation time, so there was bargaining throughout the
spring and the summer. We indicated to PSC a
willingness to continue impact bargaining to review
proposals that they may be interested in putting
forth and we remain committed and willing to engage

in impact bargaining.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: So, it is good to hear that it is ongoing and perhaps the outcome of the in itself would be helpful as we move forward to kind of further address some of those issues that come up that we had not anticipated as we move forward.

So, I will definitely jump in on the second round. Right now, I will pass it back off to Chair Barron to hear from some our colleagues this afternoon. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: Thank you Chair Miller and at this point, I still have questions but I do want to acknowledge we have been joined by other Council Members, Council Member Moya has joined us and Council Member Rosenthal has joined and I believe that Council Member Rosenthal has questions. So, I will at this point allow her to ask her questions and

2.2

2.3

I will give it to Chloe to be able to confirm how we are going to proceed.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Do you have it Chair Barron.
You may ask your questions. Oh, sorry.

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: Yes, it is Council Member Rosenthal.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Council Members, if you would like to ask a question and you have not yet used the raised hand function in Zoom, please do so now.

Also, please remember to keep your questions to five minutes. The Sergeant at Arms will maintain a clock. You may begin after I call on you and the Sergeant gives you the queue. We will now hear questions from Council Member Rosenthal.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Council Member Rosenthal, your time starts now.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Thank you so much.

Thank you to CUNY and to both of the Co-Chairs.

Really appreciate this hearing and the Co-Chair's covered so many of my questions. But I have just a few remaining in addition. I really appreciate them so much.

So, CUNY, to both of you, you mentioned in an agreement with the union on adjunct non-

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

reappointments which is such a strange phrase. You
know, it is a double negative and it is really hard
to wrap your head around what that means. But
included in it was a provision to protect adjuncts on

6 health insurance and the three year appointments.

You spoke about that a little bit. Did you, did you and how did you ensure compliance with that

agreement?

PAMELA SILVERBLATT: So, the presidents were told, it was communicated to the presidents by the Chancellor that in making their decisions and reviewing what needed to be reviewed they were to prioritize. There were really two issues to prioritize and you know, they might have even been a little competing but they were - I don't have the agreement in front of me. I think we used the word prioritized. They were to both prioritize folks coming up for three year appointments and similarly prioritize folks who would lose health insurance. And so, the presidents were told that and it is part of their review, those principles were to be prioritized but at the same time, their review for example on the three year adjunct appointments includes in addition to folks meeting the service

2.2

2.3

requirements, includes an assessment of the fiscal
and problematic needs of the department and in
thinking about that, they need to be able to project
forward for the three years because they are making a
three year commitment. So, that was entrusted to the
presidents.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Yeah, did a flag go off for you about Medgar Evers College? You know that at that location, every single adjunct eligible for the three year appointment was laid off, non-reappointed but laid off. Did that raise a red flag for you at all?

PAMELA SILVERBLATT: I was, actually I believe it was the president of the union who brought that to my attention in the spring.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: And?

PAMELA SILVERBLATT: And -

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Have you followed up on that in any way. I mean, if she brought that to your attention in the spring, that's a half a year ago at least. So, what was your follow up with Medgar Evers? Because that seems like a pretty blatant move, right?

PAMELA SILVERBLATT: So, there were some discussion with the college at the time as I said. The decision regarding staffing and employment including who to reappoint and —

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Let me ask you this question. Did you hear from the college all of the efforts, what other efforts did they make before having to do these specific layoffs? Because doesn't it strike you as odd or does it not raise a red flag for you? Maybe this is a usual thing, so it is not strange but wouldn't that raise a flag? Every single adjunct eligible for the three year contract laid off. Something's gotta, I mean, I am a lay person, come on. No red flags, please.

PAMELA SILVERBLATT: Is there a question?

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Yes, what was your discussion with Medgar Evers? How did they explain that to you?

PAMELA SILVERBLATT: So, they were not asked by me specifically.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Then how do you know that they made every effort not to do that?

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PAMELA SILVERBLATT: As I said, the presidents were told what to prioritize. They are entrusted to manage their schools -

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: So, and if - I have to tell you, this gets to my overall question with -Idon't appreciate your non-answer. I heard you but just like a non-appointment, that was a non-answer and let me just say what I was very disappointed and particularly you Mr. Sapienza, obviously, obviously the world is in a crisis. Like, you don't need half your testimony to tell us that there is a terrible thing going on, right. That takes a sentence and then you tell us that for that reason, you are losing all this support, right, of course. I mean, I had to help negotiate the City's budget in June, right. don't need to tell us that. But what you didn't tell us was all the steps you took first that was the lowest hanging fruit prior to having to lay off people.

So, in other words, CUNY added to the unemployment problem in New York City, right. You didn't talk about things like well, if the campus isn't open, you don't need toilet paper. You don't need as much paper. What was the lowest hanging

fruit where you were able to get savings right away?

The no brainer stuff.

MATTHEW SAPIENZA: Well, my last name is pronounced Sapienza.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Apologies, I am terrible with names. I really apologize.

MATTHEW SAPIENZA: No worries. You are not the first one, you won't be the last. I did touch on that in my testimony about other things that we were doing and certainly we had savings from the fact that we went to distance learning. In terms of not having to make the purchases that we normally do. We eliminated all travel costs. We had some savings from energy costs obviously. So, there is a host of things that we did.

that worth? What was the dollar value of that?

MATTHEW SAPIENZA: It's very minimal because —

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Is it \$10, is it \$100.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Good, how much was

21 I just want to hear from you -

MATTHEW SAPIENZA: The energy savings was about \$6 million. In terms of purchasing savings, we had some purchasing savings but again, we went to distance education in mid-March and so, we had a few

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

months of that but keep in mind that our budget also was reduced by the City of New York for our community colleges by \$20 million.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Right, I get —

MATTHEW SAPIENZA: In the last quarter of the

year. So, those savings went towards that target.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Yeah, no, look we are all having it rough and it is your job to figure out how to do this, I get that. So, I just want to know how hard, how much you pushed, how much low hanging fruit was there before you had to do the drastic step of adding to the city's unemployment issue and not helping students more. I mean, if there is one thing I have heard from CUNY students is how much they have been disenfranchised. How many suffered because of the terrible lack of planning with closing the campuses and then were you letting students stay or not. So, many lives were disrupted during this period and we are talking about young people. So, people who are juggling so much. And I still don't know what steps, what thoughtful steps you are doing going forward that will get you meaningful savings.

We are in such a different place today than we were in March. You know, there is no love lost

2.2

between me and this presidential administration but
the guidance to you from the Department of Education
was correct. How are you going to do this smart and
it's not — it is your job, you know I am sorry if you
think it is hard, that's interesting but of course
you have to show the receipts when you get the money
from the federal government. That's your job but
what are you doing at a time when substantial saving
could be made that would not affect students or
faculty to get those savings?

MATTHEW SAPIENZA: So, I will list the items that we have done.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: And the dollar value. I appreciate the dollar value.

MATTHEW SAPIENZA: Sure, I mean, well first of all we did not raise tuition for the fall semester.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: There was an add on fee though that I think -

MATTHEW SAPIENZA: There was no additional fee for the fall semester.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Now, the students have told me something different but I don't have the specifics, I will get back to you.

2.2

2.3

MATTHEW SAPIENZA: No, no, that's fine and part of the confusion may be -

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: I think it was that they had to pay their student fee, that they weren't going to get anything out of their student fee. It was something like that.

MATTHEW SAPIENZA: The student activity waiver — there was a partial — the student activity fee payment I should say, there was a partial waiver in the spring semester for. Students pay that in the fall semester.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Right, just to be clear, I mean these are students who hardly have money for a meal but go ahead.

MATTHEW SAPIENZA: So, we instituted a hiring freeze immediately in April and we have reduced 268 positions which has saved \$33 million. So, there was that.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: And it all went through the Vacancy Committee right? To review.

MATTHEW SAPIENZA: Correct.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Those vacancies right, so hypothetically it could have even - did the

layoffs at Medgar Evers go through the Vacancy
Committee as well?

2.2

2.3

MATTHEW SAPIENZA: When you said the layoffs, what are you referring to because we haven't done layoffs.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: At the adjuncts.

MATTHEW SAPIENZA: The adjunct non-reappointment.

None of the adjunct non-reappointments at any college went through the Vacancy Review Committee.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Non-reappointment means layoff. I mean if you want to have a specialized vocabulary with the people you work with, that's fine but to a human being, it's a layoff.

So, you are saying the layoffs of the adjuncts at Medgar Evers went through the Vacancy Review

Committee?

MATTHEW SAPIENZA: None of those adjunct decisions went through the Vacancy Review Committee, that is correct, they were done by the presidents.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Do you know, are you comfortable that the presidents had a Vacancy Review Committee among their, in their office.

MATTHEW SAPIENZA: Part of the announcement when we created the University Vacancy Review Committee

was that each college should create their own vacancy

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

time?

25

MATTHEW SAPIENZA: We will.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Will that take a long

MATTHEW SAPIENZA: It should not, no.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Does Medgar Evers have

one?

review committee if they didn't have one already.

Many of them already have been placed.

MATTHEW SAPIENZA: My understanding is they do.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: And is it your understanding that all of the layoffs there went through the Vacancy Review Committee or is it just for central office?

MATTHEW SAPIENZA: I don't know about specifically about Medgar Evers. We would have to confirm that and get back to you.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: I think it is really important to the Committee that you come back to us with an understanding of how you reviewed the savings due to layoffs at Medgar Evers, right and how you understood that they had made every effort before these layoffs happened. Can you come back to the Committee with that?

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Okay, so I leave that to the Chair's and the staff. Thank you very much. Thank you for the extended time Chair's.

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: You are more than welcome, thank you very much and you round of questioning in fact extends my opening question to the panel about who was involved in making —

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Council Member Barron, your microphone.

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: Okay, can you hear me now?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, yes.

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: Yes, the extended line of questing from Council Member Rosenthal was in fact my opening question to the panel. In terms of the non-reappointments of adjuncts, who made that decision and if there were department heads who in fact wanted to retain personnel for various reasons as you have highlighted. Course offerings, number of students in enrollments or whatever, how was that considered and was that a determining factor. Because I am concerned about the role of the presidents and whether or not there is any kind of transparency or accountability in the decisions that presidents are making as to who will not be reappointed.

2.2

2.3

I am glad that my colleague extended that line of questioning and pursued it even more deeply than my opening questions and we are glad for that and we look forward to the responses to that and if in fact, there is some measure of inequity or some measure of some personal considerations as to whether or not a person was reappointed, what recourse do those individuals have?

PAMELA SILVERBLATT: Well, I guess that's directed at me. So, to the extent that someone feels that they were treated outside the bounds of the contract or the law, they have those options available to them. To the extent that they think that you know, they just weren't treated well or nicely, then they presumably can go back to the Chair and try again. There is local union representation at the colleges. They can consult with their local union representatives and determine whether there is a course of action for them. So, those would be the options Chair Barron.

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: Okay, I have my second round of questions and then I will ask my Co-Chair for his second round of questions and then any other colleagues that may have question.

First, let me ask Ms. Rivera, are their other members who have raised their hands for questions?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: No, not at this time. Just a reminder that Council Members may use the raise hand function in Zoom in order to indicate that they have a question for this panel. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: Thank you and again, I apologize. I have been juggling my papers but I am going to be mindful to keep them to the side. And regarding the Cares Act, the Federal Cares Act generally provided funds for universities, half of which had to be allocated to students and CUNY has allocated approximately 236 million for the purposes of the students. Half of which 118 million have — some have argued could have been used to retain adjunct faculty. Because certainly we are talking now about the impact on students because courses are not being offered.

Why hasn't CUNY used these funds to reduce the fall 2020 adjunct faculty layoff?

MATTHEW SAPIENZA: So, a couple things on that
Chair Barron if I may. One, is as you pointed out
the first tranche of money that we got from the Cares
allocation 118 million was for student emergency

2.2

2.3

grants. We moved very quickly in getting that money out to the students. I think as of last check, which was a couple of days ago. I think we only had about \$700,000 that was remaining to be allocated. So, we distributed it in a very short time. \$117 million, over \$117 million to students and 197,000 students have benefited from that.

The second tranche of money, which was a mirror image of that \$118 for students was what they call the institutional aid and again, it was laid out by each particular campus in CUNY received a specific amount. The same amount that they received for the students.

The U.S. Department of Ed which is administering this grant does have specific guidelines regarding the use of these funds. They are mainly to be used, as I mentioned in my testimony, for things that are related to the move for distance education and for helping students along with any additional costs that they have incurred.

So, again, our plan is and we have given out \$41 million of those funds already but our plan is a very student focused plan. We want to try to use that money to help students in the best way possible, so

2.2

2.3

that they can continue their education and that our
enrollment doesn't continue to go down and that we

can retain students and recruit new ones and the

benefit of that will be that we can maintain our
enrollment. Although in our enrollment, we will have
a need for hiring back or hiring more additional

faculty.

So, the Cares money doesn't allow specifically to hire adjuncts. However, if the move to distance learning required additional adjuncts to be hired, then yes, then we can use it for that but our plan is very student focused and I hope there is that we can help our students continue towards the pursuit of their degree and maintain our enrollment models.

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: So, since you are not indicating that in fact, that money that's remaining would be considered to be used to reappoint, particularly as we are looking at the adjuncts who I did find the data, who teach overall 56 percent of the undergraduate classes. So, since you are not looking to make a commitment to say that any portion of that money would be used to rehire, reappoint the adjuncts who have not been appointed. What can the Chancellor do to look to those as has been referenced

2.2

2.3

consideration?

who were appointed. Who were up for three year

contracts and who were not given those three year

contracts, particularly Medgar Evers College. What

can we expect that the Chancellor will do on behave

of those adjuncts who according to the guidelines for

this period were not given that kind of

MATTHEW SAPIENZA: Well, I think a couple of things. One is that you know, we did make a commitment that if we had the need that we would hire back some of these adjuncts that didn't get reappointed and refund that. I think there were about 600 over 650 of those adjuncts that were non-reappointed have been rehired since then.

In addition, we also received a grant from the Mellon Foundation. I just want to take a minute to describe because this also benefited us in terms of hiring more adjuncts. We received a \$500,000 gift from the Andrew Mellon Foundation. We matched it with university funds, so there was \$1 million that became available and the goal of this grant was to increase courses in the humanities and so, by using that money we created 157 additional course sessions for the fall semester and we hired additional

2.2

2.3

adjuncts to cover those courses. And so, 54 of the adjuncts that were non-reappointed were hired back form the result of this grant and the university match of those funds.

So, we are looking at ways and opportunities when they come up at various campuses on a university wide level to reappoint some of these adjuncts that were non-reappointed. And so, we are looking at ways that we can do that.

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: And I also want to make note that is not just Medgar Evers College where this existed but I will also have information that it is also at Bronx Community College where there were adjuncts who were recommended by their departments with three year appointments and they were not offered. So, I want to make sure that both of those colleges are cited in that.

I am going to ask my Co-Chair if he would like to continue with a second round of questions. So, I will give it back to Ms. Rivera, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you Chair Barron.

Yeah, I just, I have a few and I really want to get
to our next panel and I know there are a number of
questions that we do have left. I want to see also

2.2

2.3

if our colleagues have something. I would love to
hear from them and if not, then I will jump back in
and ask my final questions. Any hands raised here?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: No, no hands raised at this time. Thank you Chair Miller.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay, I did have some final questions about implementation of the 2017 agreement. Have there been any postponements on any of the provisions, compensations or raises from the 2017 collective bargaining agreement? If so, you know, do you anticipate any? And if so, have there been compensation with PSC about what that would look like?

PAMELA SILVERBLATT: Sure, so you probably saw a couple of weeks ago that the city delayed a very significant lump sum payments to their teachers as a result of owing to a very old contract they had.

They had hundreds of millions of dollars in payments due. Those payments were delayed. Other union payments in the city, similarly as well as payments to various funds. The state delayed their wage increases last spring. They have now been delayed a few time to their employees.

2.2

2.3

And so, similar to the city and state actions, we have delayed the wage increases that we have due to a few unions. We have wage increase due both in November and December to a few different unions and those wage increases are being delayed and to your question, the PSC leadership was advised of this last week.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: They were advised. Was there negotiations around this because certainly, the city has not arbitrarily or overall withheld upcoming raises. Very specifically the UFT but there is other areas of compensation that have occurred that allow that to happen. And so, in order for that to happen, I would suspect there would some form of impact bargaining happening that you know, we can't do this but we can do this.

I expect for those kind of conversations to happen but also, I don't think that the minimal amount of compensation in comparison to that of the UFT is — we are not doing it because this is sort of a reverse pattern bargaining. They are doing it; we are going to do it to. How do you justify as we move forward? Have we discussed and aggregated the

3

4

-

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

2.2

23

24

25

savings and then discussed with the union as to what has to be done?

Part of that bargaining is that there maybe other things that can be done in the interim that would negate the need for - look, at this time, more than ever where people have additional responsibilities. Folks in households, everybody is not working in the household that you know, that the stream of income is not the same. That you know, people are depending on those negotiated wage increases and so, I would like to think that there are ways to certainly get around it. That we are not arbitrarily because someone else is doing it but I would also hope that we take a broader look at labor relations in general throughout you are right whether it is the City of New York but look at individual bargaining units and see where they stand. Where agencies stand in their ability to really fulfill their responsibilities. And so, I just, you know, that's the easy out to say that they did that but here is what I know, is that there are so many other levels of compensation that have been negotiated that allows - that really mitigates the lowest of that increase. And so, I hope that you guys are talking to make sure that that happened and

2.2

2.3

as you indicated, yeah we informed them. I would hate to have been informed when I was a union president that I wasn't getting something that I negotiated on behalf of my membership. That that's how that conversation took place and knowing PSC, that's probably not but again, I don't want this once again to be on the backs of workers. We are talking about compensation that was already earned in the past pre-COVID and now you know, folks are not receiving that now.

So, we are very much concerned about that as we move forward but I just want to make sure that the dialogue and the transparency is there so that [INAUDIBLE 1:40:25] that this is happening in a very transparent way and this way, beyond what we are doing now, we don't have to weigh in again in the future but we will.

So, you know, I just want to leave it at that. I do want to speak with the other panelists and want to hear from our colleagues. So, thank you all so very much for your testimony, your candor and for the work that you guys are doing with our most precious resource. No, it is not easy and I speak with our local presidents on a pretty regular basis and it is

a partnership. It is an absolute partnership that we
have here but you know, we say here that you know, it
is the teamwork that makes the dreamwork but that is
you know, we have to sometimes do this or whether we
are doing it in formal setting and sometimes it has
to be this, so that the world knows that we are
working really hard to serve our academic community
and those who provide those critical services and
there are assumptions that CUNY faculty including and
not limited to the adjuncts just make it so seamless
and all of our public servants and how services get
delivered and as I said, make the quality of life for
so many New Yorkers so seamless, that we take them
for granted. And I just, you know, I want people to
really appreciate what these folks do to enhance the
educational experience of so many here in this city.

MATTHEW SAPIENZA: Thanks Chair Miller and just in closing want to say sincere thanks to the City Council for their continued strong support for CUNY and again, you know recognition of the great work that our faculty has done in pivoting so quickly to distance learning and continuing to serve our students so well.

COMMITTEE ON HIGHER EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay, thank you so much. Oh and just in hindsight Council Member Barron.

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: Yes.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: I think we got arrested a few times so that they get a raise but that's not being vague, give them their money. Thank you guys.

MATTHEW SAPIENZA: Have a good day.

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: Just to wrap up. One final concluding remarks I want to make. What percentage of the adjunct faculty are Black, Brown, on the record, so-called underrepresented minorities. What percent of the faculty, adjunct faculty is Black, Brown and other so-called minorities?

MATTHEW SAPIENZA: I am sorry Chair Barron; I don't have that data with me but it is obviously data the university has.

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: That question, you know I am always concerned about the specifics and the subgroups but I would imagine that is it is a large percentage and I would look forward to that data.

And so, now we are seeing another impact of COVID.

We know that COVID has exposed much of the racial inequities that exist in our society and now here with this large number of adjuncts who have not been

3

4

J

67

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

reappointed or slash laid off. We are contributing and in fact exacerbating that same problem of Blacks and Browns and other underrepresented

minorities not getting their fair share and in fact baring a larger brunt of the oppressive conditions during this economic and health situation.

So, we need to again factor that in and understand how that is contributing also to the inequities that we see in this system of racial inequity. And I also want to again have you consider how we could use the Cares money in a way that benefits students as well as faculty by hiring the adjuncts because we know the class sizes have expanded tremendously. We didn't talk about that but the increase now load of instructors. Adjunct instructors and other instructors that have expanded class size and that's another burden and then finally, I do want to say that the agreement that CUNY signed, that PSC last spring specified that the Chancellor would direct colleges to make every effort to maintain employment for adjuncts eligible for the three year appointments and adjuncts on CUNY's health insurance and we want to know how the Chancellor is

holding those presidents responsible to his directives. We want an answer to that as well.

Okay, thank you so much. Ms. Rivera, are there any other members that may have been overlooked that have questions?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: No, there are no raised hands in Zoom at the moment. Seeing no other Council Members waiting to ask questions, we have concluded CUNY's testimony and we can turn to public testimony.

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: Thank you. Thank you to the panel.

remind everyone that individuals will be called up in panels. Once your name is called, a member of our staff will unmute you and you may begin your testimony once the Sergeant at Arms sets the clock and gives you the queue. All testimony will be limited to three minutes. Note that there is a few second delay when you are unmuted before we can hear you. Please wait for the Sergeant at Arms to announce that you may begin starting your testimony. The first panel in order of speaking will include Barbara Bowen President of the Professional Staff Congress CUNY, Rosa Squillacote Vice President for

2.2

2.3

Part-Time Personnel at PSC CUNY, Blanca Vazquez

Executive Council Member for the Part-Time Personnel

at PSC CUNY, Robert Farrell Chapter Chair at PSC CUNY

and Scott Cally Chapter Chair at PSC CUNY.

I will now call on PSC President Bowen.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

BARBARA BOWEN: Can you start my clock again please, I hadn't gotten the signal to unmute, okay.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Yes ma'am, thank you.

BARBARA BOWEN: Thank you. Thanks very much.

Good afternoon and thank you so much Committee

Chair's on Higher Education and Civil Service and

Labor and Council Members, really fabulous job today

and obviously, from what you have said today it is so

clear that oversight is needed.

There is a lot to say in response to what's been said already. I just want to correct a couple of things. When we speak about layoffs, the union uses that terms even though we understand that someone's technical appointment may have ended. When somebody has worked at CUNY for a decade or twenty years and then they are suddenly non-reappointed, that is a layoff. CUNY can't have it both ways and make adjuncts the main stay of the teaching force and then

COMMITTEE ON HIGHER EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR

2 suddenly they are disposable when it is time for 3 layoffs.

2.2

2.3

Also, we did not get the numbers of layoffs by colleges. We got the numbers of adjuncts who were not up for a renewal health insurance. So, it is important that we heard them today.

I will just say briefly that Higher Education in general has one of the worst labor systems of any industry and I would say, certainly the worst in the professions but even within this disgraceful national system, CUNY is an outlier for successive and growing use of part-time labor. And I just want to emphasize and this has come up that CUNY adjuncts are both part-time and contingent. Their work is not full time and they don't have the full protections of tenure or permanents.

CUNY's system really is a disgrace and it has everything to do with racist disinvestment and then I would have to say that is reinforced by institutional silence on what is going on. And ultimately the use of the adjunct system as we pointed out today undermines our students because students, when they have 55 or 56 percent of their classes taught by people who do not have the security of a permanent

_

appointment, who do not in some cases have an office, who do not have adequate pay, those people cannot serve the students in the way they need to be served.

And CUNY's budget strategy and this is the important part for me. CUNY's budget strategy in the face of cuts, yes, we know there have been cuts and I would say racist disinvestment in CUNY for 35 or more years. CUNY's strategy, rather than resisting and showing that CUNY cannot do what it must do on that small amount of money, CUNY's strategy has been to cut their biggest cost, labor and replace full time positions with part-time positions. That's what they have done and by doing that, they provide a glide path, an easy pathway for applying more cuts because CUNY has made it possible to create the illusion that we can still do what we should do just with part-time faculty.

And I want to show you something, I hope it comes up on the screen. This is a chart that shows the percentage of full-time and part-time employees at CUNY in the different categories. The one that's all blue — can you see it. Yeah, represents the executive compensation. That's the management and you will notice that in management, 100 percent of

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

time.

the employees are full-time. In the other two

categories, instructional and non-instructional or

classified rather, it is about half who are part-

So, when managers set up their own provisions and their own work, they make sure they are 100 percent full time but the rest of us are half part-time. And worse, the trend is toward increasing, not decreasing but increasing the reliance on part-time and contingent labor. In 2000, CUNY had 5,500 full-time faculty and 6,200 part-time. In 2020, that number has gone up to 12,000 part-time and also at the same time and you should be aware of this, that CUNY is also increasingly part-timing the professional staff. There are now about 2,000 people in the professional staff that would be people working in libraries, people working on counseling. Those are part-time too and I have to say that under Chancellor Matos Rodriguez, even though I certainly appreciate what Pam Silverblatt said and she was a great negotiating partner on the health insurance and worked very hard for that but I have to say under Chancellor Matos Rodriguez, things in some ways have gotten worse.

2.2

2.3

Number one, CUNY rushed to layoff adjuncts in June, even before the cuts were applied and that revealed the deep structural problem of contingency. That contingency makes it convenient to lay people off while presenting that as simply ending their appointment.

Two, so CUNY exploited that structure in a moment of danger for the whole institution instead of holding on to those folks for our students. What's more important for students than to keep their faculty in the classroom? Instead of doing that, CUNY exploited an already bad system to allow them to do mass layoffs. And I think it's important that and third, I would say CUNY did not use the Cares Act money to keep those adjuncts employed.

When Vice Chancellor Sapienza talked about the guidance from the Department of Ed on the Cares Act money, he left out the provision in the law itself, not just guidance. That says that every — that to the extent practicable, institutions that receive Cares Act money should keep employees on payroll. The cost of keeping the laid off adjuncts on payroll was about \$30 million, would have been. Instead,

CUNY is sitting on that Cares Act money and has not used it to keep adjuncts on payroll.

Next, as we heard earlier, CUNY failed to ensure adherence with their agreement to protect adjuncts on health insurance to the greatest extent they could and those eligible for three year appointments and now, they are stonewalling and not even providing to the union the names of those who have been laid off. So, as you have said, you know, we would like to reach out to them, work with them, help them. We have spent months trying to get that data.

So, to finish up, I want to say to the Chair's we thank you so much for the questions and the concerns you have raised. Everyone knows this is a difficult time. We all know that but a budget is choices and there are choices of what to do in a budget shortfall. CUNY has rushed to layoff adjuncts who are already extremely vulnerable.

I would propose humbly that the Council give CUNY a one week deadline to produce the names of the adjuncts laid off in the spring and those who were reappointed and those who lost their health insurance, one week. I would demand that CUNY use a relatively small share of the remaining institutional

2.2

2.3

Cares Act money, which is allowed to be used and
there is guidance to use it this way and put those
adjuncts back on payroll. And instead of, I don't
know accepting the abuse of the perhaps the governor,
governors budget director, who is of course on CUNY's
board.

Next, I would call on you as the Council Chair's, the Committee Chair's to demand an account and I think you have done this already today, of how the Chancellor held colleges to the June 30th agreement about protecting adjuncts who were eligible and recommended those adjuncts at Medgar Evers where students desperately need their guidance and help and support were recommended by their departments after a serious review and years of services and yet, the college laid them all off.

I would urge you also to call on CUNY to bargain with the union as you have mentioned Daneek, Council Chair, to bargain on the impact of changes in class size and on class size itself. CUNY refuses to bargain on class size, that is the key workload issue.

And finally, I would ask you and I think you have done this magnificently and that is to demand that

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

CUNY, as an institution that could be a beacon, could be a leader at this moment. Could be a leader when finally people are realizing that Black lives matter. Finally, we have not Trump, well soon not in the White House. This is a moment where CUNY could step out and lead and say, we must have more money in Albany. There must be new revenue bills. governor must support new revenue bills, not just hope for a stimulus and CUNY must stop providing a too easy way to disquise the crushing impact on the individual employees and on our students of just absorbing more and more and more disinvestment by providing an easy out and say, we will just make more and more people part-time and we will underpay them. That is disgraceful, this is not the moment to stand down. It is the moment to stand up and I call on CUNY to do that and I know the Council will join me Thank you very much. in that.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony

President Bowen. Before I call on the next witness,

I would like to recognize that we have been joined by

Majority Leader Cumbo and Council Member Dromm. Now,

Vice President Squillacote, you may begin once a

COMMITTEE ON HIGHER EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR

member of our staff unmutes you and the Sergeant gives you the queue.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

2.2

2.3

ROSA SQUILLACOTE: Thank you very much. Good morning, good afternoon Council Members and thank you for your time today. My name is Rosa Squillacote, I am the Vice President of Part-Time Personnel. I have been an adjunct for almost nine years and I am also actually a graduate of Hunter College where the majority of my classes were taught by adjuncts.

It is not an exaggeration to say that CUNY runs on adjunct and part-time labor. CUNY relies disproportionately on adjuncts to teach classes as well as part-time workers like non-teaching adjuncts, continuing education teachers and college laboratory technicians. All these people, almost half the CUNY workforce work tirelessly to provide support in a world class education for our working class students and students of color. You don't become an adjunct at CUNY unless you care about your students. What we need, what adjuncts need are better working conditions and protections, so that we can provide the educational and emotional support our students need by helping with difficult course work, making

J

)

time to listen to students concerns or connecting students to other resources that they may need.

Every adjunct knows students who have experienced the death of a family member or faced a job loss or become sick themselves during the COVID-pandemic. We cannot support our students if we ourselves are worried about losing our jobs and health insurance or if we are juggling 5, 10, 20 or more students a class. Which by the way, best practices for online teaching suggest about 12 students a class.

Part-timers at CUNY deserve to be recognized and respected for the work that we do to make CUNY what it is and yet we are treated as disposable. We are neither paid sufficiently for our work nor given job security. In fact, as we have heard, CUNY Administration made the decision to layoff almost 3,000 part-time workers in the immediate aftermath of the COVID pandemic.

Thanks to the union's advocacy, some workers were able to regain their jobs but many others are left without income and without any insurance during one of the most serious health and economic crisis of our time and COBRA is simply not a financial option for many adjuncts, as the cheapest option is about \$900 a

2.2

2.3

month. I mean, and then on top of this, at Medgar

Evers and Bronx Community College, CUNY fired dozens

of adjuncts who had been offered a three year

contract, which is one of the few sources of job

security that is available to adjuncts. This is an

insult both to workers and the union.

We are demanding that laid off part-time workers get their jobs and health insurance back. CUNY Administration would have us believe that they are strapped for cash but among you know the Cares Act money, which they could use to preserve jobs, other university administrators have taken meaningful pay cuts, more than like a five day furlough that have allowed their universities to continue to function. We have seen no such decency from CUNY.

More generally, part-timers simply - SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time is expired.

ROSA SQUILLACOTE: Need to be paid a decent wage. If I were to work as a full-time adjunct and I make on the higher range of what adjuncts make teaching six classes a year, I would only make \$30,000 pre-tax and that's despite the fact that I have a law degree and a family to provide for.

COMMITTEE ON HIGHER EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR

2.2

2.3

Adjuncts should be paid at least \$7,000 a class, should have meaningful job security and should be given the ability to transition to a full-time position. What CUNY really needs and specifically with the workers and students need, is a free and fully funded CUNY. It is past time to invest in public institutions like CUNY through legislation like the Millionaires Tax and the New Deal for CUNY.

Our dignity and safety demand it. New York's recovery demands it. Thank you very much for your time.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony. Executive Council Member Vazquez.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

BLANCA VAZQUEZ: Have I been called on?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Yes, you may begin.

BLANCA VAZQUEZ: I am sorry, okay. Alright, so let me quick. I am Blanca Vazquez; I am a retired actually now adjunct at Hunter College and I am on the PSC Executive Council.

I have to contradict what the Vice Chancellor Silverblatt said about where there cuts start. Just last week at Hunter, we began to get these alarming emails from adjuncts about increases in class sizes

2.2

2.3

for adjuncts.

and cuts. The directives came from the cap, right.

Chairs were ordered to in English to cancel two
sections and raise caps are remining sections to 28

for a 100 class. For a 200 class, cancel 11 sections
and hold an additional 3 as tentative. Raise caps to

30. Class sizes are being raised in English
composition classes, which are very labor intensive

So, here is what adjuncts are reporting. One says, a music class; now the student cap in this course, for your course doubled, more than doubled. Going from 35 to 80. Another report said his two lab classes were combined into one and another increased to 25 to 40. All these increases are basically making me teach double the students for half the pay I should be receiving.

An adjuncts knows that this wrong on so many levels and we worry about what affect these increases will have on students GPA, on retention and on graduation rates and ultimately our mission at CUNY, which is to educate students. New Yorkers don't know that this is happening and how quickly our working conditions are being eroded.

2.2

2.3

As everybody has noted, right this pandemic has exacerbated all the racial equities in American history, right and it is falling hardest on those at the bottom. Here we go at CUNY. Cuts at CUNY are falling hardest on the lowest tier of CUNY's labor structure, right. The part-time faculty that teach the majority of courses. They have also fallen on college assistance, CLT's and non-teaching adjuncts who are the support system for all of our classes and they make a difference, right. Healthcare right, from the start, we have urged CUNY to protect healthcare, they haven't.

By the way, I don't understand how all of a sudden colleges are independent systems, right. So, that John Tay can do whatever he wants and CUNY Administration has kind of no responsibility for policy and for implementing policy that they put in writing to the colleges. I mean, all of a sudden, colleges — so I don't understand that. That's not management.

Alright, so here we are. Exploitation, right, what CUNY has chosen to do is to super exploit the already exploited and the problem with class size is

COMMITTEE ON HIGHER EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR

2 not only that it exploits the laborer, right, the 3 worker. You know but what is it doing to students?

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

2.2

2.3

BLANCA VAZQUEZ: I am so concerned about that.

This isn't the time, right. This is not the time to retrench at CUNY. This is not the time to make it more difficult for our students to be there. CUNY is actually more important than ever. So, what we are urging City Council and the State and everybody else, is that CUNY is a priority. You know, higher education is a priority.

You know, it's not just get a better job. I mean, it's the only way that most of us will move from the working class to the middle class. That's CUNY's mission, that's what makes CUNY unique. So, this is about yes, new deal for CUNY. You know because we are in that kind of dire circumstances. You know, where we have to create a new deal. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony. Chapter Chair Farrell.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

ROBERT FARRELL: Thank you Council Members for this hearing. I am Robert Farrell; PSA Chapter Chair

_

at Newman College and I am here to speak about the situation of non-teaching adjuncts NTA's in CUNY. Specifically those in the CUNY libraries.

As VP Sapienza noted and I wish he were still here, MTA's performed work identical to full time faculty in their areas including counseling, advising and librarianship. Yet NTA's are paid at an extremely low rate 60 percent not of their full-time peers but of teaching adjuncts who themselves make a fraction of full-time wages.

Many of these employees are in the academic gig economy and so in desperate need of even this form of exploited employment to survive.

In the libraries, NTA's provide essential reference instruction and other services. As full time staffing levels have dropped and as the hiring freeze has been extended, NTA's have been essential in maintaining any semblance of normal service levels for the CUNY students and faculty, who depend on the libraries for their academic and scholarly success.

Additionally, they have been at the heart of CUNY's open educational resources but we are initiatives that saved students hundreds and hundreds of thousands of dollars. But as a result of CUNY's

2.2

2.3

voluntary and unnecessary decision to follow Betsy

DeVos's optional guidance and the universities

failure to act independently to use Cares Act money

to keep workers on payroll as congress intended, many

NTA's have had their hours cut. Many have been left

scrambling to find enough hours to keep their health

insurance.

NTA's were one of several titles in the PSC to experience harrowing month to month employment prior to CUNY adopting a temporary budget and they may be in that position again going forward. The precarity of our adjuncts is already terrible and the level of precarity inflicted on them in recent months is wholly unacceptable. It must not happen again.

In addition to having hours cut and receiving monthly contracts, some NTA's were from the onset of the semester only given work until the end of October and are now not receiving a paycheck. Other library NTA's, particularly those working in the area of where we are were reappointed but still haven't been called back to work due to state allocated OER funding not having yet come through. Part of the additional austerity CUNY is experiencing.

2.2

2.3

It is shameful that the most vulnerable employees in CUNY are bearing the brunt of CUNY's most guided choices. It bears noting that despite the current crisis, CUNY enrollment is near an all time high. We need investment in CUNY not only to keep our NTA's on the job and in the service of our students but as Rosa mentioned, so that we can fill full time vacancies with these amazing faculty and grow our services to meet the need of our record breaking enrollments. Thank you so much.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony. Chapter Chair Cally.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

SCOTT CALLY: Thank you. Good afternoon, my name is Scott Cally and I am a Professor of Theater and Chair of the Professional Staff Congress Chapter at Kingsborough Community College.

This past summer, more than 100 part-time positions were eliminated at Kingsborough and many others had their hours severely curtailed. Among these positions were advisors, laboratory technicians, library assistants and other student support positions. Some of these people had worked at Kingsborough for more than 20 years and some of

_

2.2

them were given less than 10 hours of notice that their employment was ended. 10 hours for 20 years; that is unforgivable.

No committee or any other form of shared governments were consulted in decisions to non-reappoint a KCC. The comments of the CUNY Chancellor notwithstanding. That did not happen at Kingsborough, I could defer.

Chair Barron, your concerns about transparency and accountability of the college presidents are very well founded because there is almost none. They certainly aren't receiving it from CUNY Central. I can only hope that our elected officials will exercise the oversight necessary that CUNY management has abrogated of the colleges.

Community college students are among the most disadvantaged in New York City and they are the least prepared for college. They need more individualized attention, not less. They are more likely to have special needs. They are more likely to be unprepared. They are more likely to be housing and food insecure. They are more likely to have connectivity issues in connecting to their online

COMMITTEE ON HIGHER EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR

2.2

2.3

classes and yes, they are more likely to be Black and Brown.

CUNY is making up for lost adjuncts by crowding students into larger classes. Another answer to your question Chair Barron on how are these courses being replaced, that CUNY denied answer, they are just jamming the students into bigger classes. That's how they are doing it. How are they doing it? They are lowing the quality of the education for classes that were already too big.

I will give you a case and point. For the Spring 2021 semester, upcoming, English Composition 1 classes at Kingsborough are capped at 29 students. Whereby at Baruch, the same English comp. classes are capped at only 15 students. I ask the Council to consider the following question. Why is it that CUNY sets course caps at one of its flagship campuses in line with national norms, yet has no problem with crowding Kingsborough students into classes almost twice the size?

CUNY repeatedly publicizes commitment to equity and I would ask the City Council, does this sound like equity to you? That the least prepared students are in the largest classes. That CUNY is an

institution where inequity is allowed to flourish is obvious to anyone who works for CUNY.

The time has come for elected officials to demand answer from the decision makers as to why they have allowed this to happen. Kingsborough students —

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

SCOTT CALLY: And it is time to stop treating them as though they are. Thank you for your time.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony.

Before I turn to Chair Barron for questions, I would

like to remind Council Members to use the raise hand

function in Zoom to indicate that you have questions

for this panel. Chair Barron.

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: Thank you. I want to particularly thank the panel that are the ones that are in the trenches doing the work and understanding and being able to share with us the impact of what is happening when we talk about laying off of the adjuncts. And I am so glad that Mr. Cally raised the question or answered the question that I asked, which was well, what's been the impact on courses being dropped because the instructors are not there? And it has been made very apparent through your comments, well there may not be courses being dropped because

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

1718

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the classes are being expanded even more than double. So, I am glad you put it that way. It made it very clear to me and it will further make it important for me to get that data from CUNY.

Well, what do you mean you haven't dropped 20 classes because you have dropped the instructors that would have taught those 20 classes, so I am glad for that to have been shared. And to our second panelist, Ms. Squillacote, I hope I pronounced that right. You said something in your testimony that has always been a rallying cry of mine. Free and fully funded CUNY. I went to CUNY, many of you know, I say it often because it was free. That was back in the 60's and it was an entitlement for high school students in the city if they maintained a certain average, that they were entitled to go to CUNY and that's certainly what we are going to work to address. CUNY should not be operating on tuition paid by students who can barely afford their own housing and food needs. We see that often, so that's another part and certainly we want to again talk about and address that issue of how actual and factual is it that there exists any kind of shared governance. And that was again, one of my opening

2.2

2.3

Chancellor.

questions that so, presidents at each of the colleges
have their own criteria for how they are going to
function and what happens when presidents act in
direct contradiction to a directive from the

So, I want to thank you for your input and thank you for your testimony and encourage you to continue to do the great work that you do. It is so important and it is so relevant and we are going to continue to fight for permanency and for better working conditions on behalf of all of you. And I will turn it now to my Co-Chair Council Member Miller. Council Member Miller, are you there? Are you on mute?

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: I am now unmuted.

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you Chair Barron and thank you to the panelists for their testimony.

Clearly, as you said, these are the people in the trenches and doing the work and their interpretation of the work and how those services get delivered are distinctly different from the testimony that we heard from the Administration. And so, I kind of want to just take a small dive into that and Barbara talk about impact bargaining for sure. Right, because

2	there is a lot of movement parts that are happening
3	now and it is disturbing to me when I hear agencies
4	or administers say that the union was told. The
5	union is a partner and you don't tell your partner.
6	You sit down and you negotiate and you talk about it
7	and so, if you can kind of give us a very brief
8	synopsis of what those impact bargaining's look like
9	or have they occurred? What is happening? They did
10	so-called commit to future conversations around
11	impact bargaining but what have they amounted to as
12	of yet considering that we are having these
13	conversations about larger class sizes and don't know
14	if that came with any additional compensation and
15	some of the other things that certainly have
16	occurred. And then, certainly, the implementation of
17	the 2017 agreement. Whether it is further
18	compensations, wage compensations or other provisions
19	afforded in the agreement. Where are we with that?
20	Is it ongoing and if you could speak specifically to
21	some of the things that are ongoing or that you have
22	not been able to reach an agreement with and as well
23	as class sizes and certainly the impact of the
24	increased sizes and on the delivery of instructions
2.5	h

2.2

2.3

BARBARA BOWEN: Right, thank you so much. Thank you for your attentiveness as the Labor Chair and a Union person yourself to impact bargaining, which is often not fully understood. We went to CUNY with a list of demands for impact bargaining in April or May and the first of those was no layoffs and we call them layoffs for a reason, that I think I explained. But technically they are non-reappointments but when somebody has worked there for 20 years and even if they are just teaching one course each semester for 20 years and you tell them don't come back, that's a layoff.

At CUNY can't have it both ways and say that these are temporary and contingent disposable workers when they want to treat them that way and they are the backbone of instruction when they want them to be the backbone. So, that was our first demand. As you can see that was not met and not even really entertained. We are still fighting over a second demand which was on reopening. CUNY has kept most classes off campus, about 98 percent off campus but we had to struggle and fight to make sure that especially that our members whom we represent but they are not classroom teachers, that they, like

2.3

librarians that they were able to do their work safely off campus also to make that transition.

And we are very concerned about reopening issues. At the one K-12 school we represent, we actually represent a K-12 school at Hunter College. They have a Hunter College school there and we were hours away from a strike with them. We had ordered the porta potties for the picket lines. I will tell you, that's how close we were because they were refusing to allow an independent inspector, a neutral outside independent inspector to do an inspection to see if the ventilation in that very problematic closed building was safe. And we had to bring it to a strike and the inspector sitting outside for hours before they finally let him in.

So, we had demands on safety on reopening that still haven't been met. And then I will just raise the others that you spoke about, class size. I am really glad you mentioned that and highlighted that because as Scott Cally said, in many cases, CUNY's response to laying off adjuncts reducing the teaching workforce has been to say, okay, we still have to teach those courses, we will just cram more students

2.2

2.3

2 into them. And that means that we necessarily can do 3 less for students.

I mean, if you have 45, I mean, at Medgar Evers it went from 26 as a cap for students. At first, the college Administration at Medgar said it is going to go to 50. The union pushed back, we got it down to 42 and I believe now it is at 40 but 40 is unconscionable. The students as Scott said, the most vulnerable students are the ones who are going to get least attention.

So, that was one "solution" that CUNY took. Just you know, pack them into the classes, who cares about the quality of the education. Who cares about retention. Let's just pack them in there. And their other strategy was to cut courses. So, at Brooklyn College and other places, they actually did cut sections of classes and I think Blanca spoke about this at Hunter, core sections being cut. So, that means that students already I believe a 42 percent of our students at CUNY in a survey said that they have trouble getting a course. This was before COVID, getting the course they need in order to graduate.

Now, think of what that percentage is. You know,

2.2

2.3

already overcome huge obstacles just to be in your

class. I mean huge obstacles. They are heroic just

to get there and then to find, oh, you can't get that

course because now we no longer offer it or we no

longer offer it in the evenings or it is only Sunday

mornings or something like that.

So, that was their other strategy but on class size Chair Miller and this is really critical. CUNY has steadfastly refused to bargain over class size. Under the Taylor Law, the impact of a change in class size, this is where we get to impact bargaining.

The impact of changes in class size is a mandatory subject of bargaining and CUNY has sat at the bargaining table, bargaining Zoom with us and refused to bargain over that. We are taking other action to try to compel them under the law but we should not have to take CUNY to court to get them to follow the law on bargaining, on the impact of changes in class size. People need not only more compensation if they are teaching double the students but they need less work load because no matter how much you pay somebody, they can't manufacture time. They can't turn themselves into somebody who can give

J

2.3

an hour a week to 42 students. If they are teaching three classes, their whole week is gone.

So, that's what we really need is support and we need smaller classes. I mean, I will just say this to end it. You know, I have taught at small private expensive colleges and I know what parents want when they send their children to those colleges. The same professors that we have, the same qualifications, it is not that. What they want are small classes and individual attention and if you have 7 students in your class as Wellesley and you have 42 at Medgar Evers, you can be sure which students already with more support and farther, perhaps with more resources when they come in, which students are going to do better.

So, not bargaining on class size is setting up our students to fail. Forget the rhetoric, it is a plan for our students to fail. Not just neglect, I would say it's a plan for our students to fail.

So, anything you can do especially as Chair of
Labor to say to CUNY, don't force this union to take
you to court to bargain on a mandatory subject.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Yeah, so obviously you know, what you are saying, you are saying that there has

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

been no good faith bargaining as indicated by the Taylor Law around mandatory subjects of bargaining. Okay, so that is certainly something that we are going to as a Committee take into consideration and something that I think both Committee's can agree upon being supportive. Clearly, you know we are doing something different with remote and distant learning. I don't know if - I know it was mentioned, someone mentioned earlier on the panel about what is national accepted standards and whether or not those standards are being adhered to, apparently not. so, that kind of gives us leverage to address that but we have seen that even in other areas of our young folks educational experience be it the DOE or otherwise that we are lacking tremendously in the skills to be able to transition and provide these services, right.

And so, I know that your team is more than willing to avail themselves of that and you know, most things are subject to bargaining. With that being said, when it come down to professional development around the areas of remote learning, how much additional training. You know they indicated for which it was compensated. Was that negotiated,

4

6

7

8

BARBARA BOWEN:

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

was it real compensation and what other areas aside from the class size, so we know that there is tremendous impact on you know, increase in class sizes and attempting to do more with less in that area but is there any other areas that you want to highlight in terms of upcoming impact bargaining?

Thank you very much and I will say I mean; they have bargained on various things. As Vice Chancellor Silverblatt said, we have made agreements on provisions that had to be adjusted because people were teaching remotely. Certain things that talk about observing the class in-person and things like that, that you can't do when you are remote, you have to adjust those provisions.

So, there has been some bargaining but not nearly, not nearly enough. Not with enough urgency on their part. So, on the issue of supporting people who have had to make the transition to remote work and maybe some of my colleagues want to speak about that to. Pam Silverblatt said, you know, they have been compensated. Well, let me tell you about that. First of all, not everybody has even been paid for it. I know of adjuncts who did that training and haven't been paid still and they did it in July and

2.2

2.3

2 maybe Blanca knows if some have been caught up but I
3 can think of one right now who was not paid from July
4 from Kingsborough.

And second, the compensation, I believe was \$500 for doing a course that was and maybe Scott or Robert can help here, I believe 10 hours of instruction and another at least 10 of work that you had to do outside of class, more than that and 15 outside classes got more.

SCOTT CALLY: Significantly more.

BARBARA BOWEN: Significantly more. I didn't take it. I should and we called for much higher compensation level and that's in our demands and we also called for equity compensation for adjuncts who did that work and for additional compensation for adjuncts who you know, are paid technically by the hour but really by the course.

So, during the summer, most of the full-time faculty spent tons of time re-educating themselves about a whole new way of teaching. Adjuncts did that to but adjuncts were a non-salary. So, we have not had a successful outcome. They did not bargain on that compensation and I see Professor Farrell

•

probably wants to add to that. So, I hope he can be unmuted if that's possible.

ROBERT FARRELL: Yeah, thank you Barbara. I just wanted to mention that there were also different tiers or qualities of online instruction training that some faculty, particularly part-time faculty were excluded form. The higher tiers of the training. Both that had better quality of instruction, more compensation, etc.

And I also want to mention that the professional staff, while transitioning to fully online work received no support, no training, often have to use their own equipment in their remote work and the transition to remote work for those — some faculty but mostly professional staff has been shamefully neglected by CUNY.

BARBARA BOWEN: And Chair Miller, we don't have Frankie Lowda[SP?] with us. The one who wrote the ABET that you mentioned Chair Barron. He was planning to come; he has got a very heavy schedule and I will make sure you get his ABET. He is fantastic and we also have — he has spoken in public before, maybe I can get you the tape of that because it is great that we have two adjuncts here at least

2.2

2.3

so far. There may be others who are going to speak,
Rosa Squillacote and Blanca Vazquez, Frankie has a
lot to say also. So, I am looking forward to having
them have more chance to speak.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay, thank you. So, and then finally, in the area of health benefits, was there any negotiations around that for any extended — where there an extended period of time where people actually were laid off for which CUNY, whomever picked up the premiums?

BARBARA BOWEN: No, zero and that was one of our demands that CUNY continue health insurance for any person who was laid off or discontinued to at least the end of the pandemic. The end of this coming academic —

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Yeah.

BARBARA BOWEN: Sorry.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: I said no, I was just saying yeah, certainly, that's not a precedent.

BARBARA BOWEN: No, it's not.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: At all, that's usually the first thing you ask for, particularly in a time that we are in a national health crisis, a pandemic. I

COMMITTEE ON HIGHER EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR

think that that would be a priority you know, in keeping everyone safe.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

BARBARA BOWEN: Yes, that's right because its not just you yourself but if you get sick that puts burdens on other people to care for you and exposes other workers and in a public health crisis, it is insane not to continue that. I will say that because of negotiations that we did and I think Pam Silverblatt mentioned this, an adjunct who taught through the spring, only just the spring, their heath insurance extended through July and if you taught in the fall and the spring your insurance extended through August but that's not enough and we pushed for much longer. And I have also been trying to work and this is where I would love to see if the Council could be advocates in addition. I have worked directly with Renee Campion, the Labor Commissioner for the City, really, really saying if the City can do something. It is not a huge expense for a few months.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Exactly the point. Exactly the point, yeah exactly. That should be a priority.

BARBARA BOWEN: I think Blanca wanted to say something. Blanca Vazquez.

2.2

2.3

BLANCA VAZQUEZ: Okay but there is a real overlap between the three year appointment and healthcare because people who get the three year appointment have seniority right. So, that when you layoff three year people, you are actually laying off people on healthcare.

So, I mean, think about the impact of that at John Jay for example. You know, so it's a real hardship, it is a real hardship.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Chair Barron.

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: Okay, thank you so much. I do want to acknowledge that we have also been joined by Council Member Rodriguez. We welcome him as well.

To Ms. Rivera, are there any Council Members who would like to raise questions?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: If can Council Members have Questions for this panel, please use the raise hand function in Zoom, so we can call on you.

Seeing no raised hands, we can continue. Chair Barron, should we go to the next panel?

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: I just want to thank this panel once again because they are the persons who are in the front in the ranks, in the trenches and understand all of the complexities of what it is that

2.2

2.3

we are looking at. We want to thank you and say that
we are going to continue to work on behalf of your
membership to make sure that we get equity and
justice and accountability and transparency for your
members, because it is certainly what they are
entitled to. Thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: Thank you. I echo those sentiments.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. This panel has now been concluded. I will now call witnesses in order for the next panel of public testimony. We will have Ian Ross Singleton Professor of Writing and Sara Ortiz Vice Chair of Graduate Affairs for the University Student Senate.

Once your name is called, a member of our staff will unmute you and you may begin your testimony.

Remember that there is a few second delay when you are unmuted before we can hear you. Please wait for the Sergeant to announce that you may begin before starting your testimony.

I will not call on Professor Singleton.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Oh, it looks like we may have lost Professor Singleton, so we will call on Ms.

2.2

2.3

Ortiz Vice Chair of Graduate Affairs to University

Student Senate.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

SARA ORTIZ: Thank you all for this important conversation. Thank you esteemed members of the Committee and Vice Chair's. I am so inspired by all of you and thank you so much for your advocacy on behalf of the students.

I just want to say a few comments. American Public School Teacher and Adjunct Instructor once said, being a teacher is not what I do, it is who I am. This dedicated former adjunct who taught English at Northern Virginia Community College earned \$82,022 according to her tax returns in 2011. This former adjunct is Dr. Jill Biden, wife of President elect Joe Biden. Regardless of what this tempestuous hurricane of election season and year we find ourselves grasping for, in light of the enduring reality of a global pandemic, economic crises and tectonic shifts and racial disparities, it has become clear that there will be a push for a recalibration of resources for increased funding and support for both teachers, especially adjunct instructors and

COMMITTEE ON HIGHER EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR

students in higher education here in the United States.

2.2

2.3

So, thank you so much for the opportunity to speak. My name is Sara Ortiz and I am testifying as Vice Chair for Graduate Affairs on behalf of the CUNY University Student Senate. We are in full support of the adjuncts and I thank you all again for having this very important conversation today.

I am a Latinx graduate student in my second year of the International Migration Studies program at the graduate center CUNY where I serve as also a student representative on our Programs Executive Committee.

I am going to stop there and just go off script and just say my dear friend is an adjunct instructor at Hunter and this year she had to deal with not only the precarity of her employment but also her only daughter was actually diagnosed with terminal brain cancer. So, she has — we have our own thread for our group and she has just been, we have been back and forth about whether or not she is going to have employment.

Now, actually today I said, can I speak to you know what you are going through and she said, yes, I am teaching but I will only have one class at CUNY.

I am also teaching at two SUNY schools. So, three

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

part-time jobs, many adjunct have a similar story.

So, I just want you to sort of understand the

precarity that my friends adjunct professors are facing who are also CUNY students. So, in addition to these increased class sizes like, you know, what everyone has mentioned, many of the students are also adjunct professors. And so, on behalf of the Graduate Council and on behalf of the Doctoral and Graduate Students Council and University Student Senate, I want to say we are in full support of adjunct faculty and we just want to make sure that the increased funding for the Cares Act is allocated equitably in a way that really honors the labor that people put in. A lot of the folks who are actually putting in extra hours are adjunct faculty. As far as like, you know, a lot of our international students have actually be excluded because of federal policies. So a lot of our adjunct faculty have stepped up in saying, I will teach in central park. So, there is no reason why faculty should be donating They should be equitably compensated and their time. there should be also support in terms of not only

equitable pay but health care and contracts for our

So, thank you so much for the opportunity to

If we have inadvertently missed anyone who wanted to

meantime, we also ask Council Members have questions

for this panel to please use the raise hand function

in Zoom and we will call on you in the order in which

testify at this hearing, please use the raise hand

I appreciate the conversation of today.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony.

2

3

faculty.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1314

15

1617

18

19

20

2122

2.3

24

you have raised your hands. I will now turn to Chair Barron for her questions.

function in Zoom and we will call on you.

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: Thank you so much. I do
want to thank the representative on behalf of the
graduate program, Graduate Affairs because we
understand that this is a problem that is not limited
to just our community colleges and senior colleges
and the undergraduate program, the undergraduate
course officing and we thank you for your testimony
and we do extend our prayers and best wishes on
behalf of your friends child that there would be a
positive, a great outcome at the end of what we are

2.2

2.3

going through. I want to extend that to you as well.
Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Chair Miller, do you have any questions for this panel?

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Most importantly I would like to thank them for participating. We need to hear from everyone and so that we are addressing this holistically and we know who are target audience is. We know who are student body is throughout and we know who are providing instruction to the student body and so have been underserved, marginalized communities. We want to make sure that we are lifting up those voices and that those voices are heard. So, it is important that everyone comes out and not assume that someone else is telling your story at this hearing here today. Your story is unique and it needs to be told.

So, I want to thank all for coming out and sharing their voices this morning, now afternoon.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Seeing no hands raised by either members of the public or Council Members. This concludes our testimony for today's hearing. We appreciate everyone's time and presence.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

_ 1

25 Miller?

Seeing no one else, I would like to note that written testimony, which will be reviewed in full by Committee staff maybe submitted to the record up to 72 hours after the close of this hearing by emailing it to testimony@council.nyc.gov.

Chair's Barron and Miller, we have concluded public testimony for this hearing. Chair Barron, if you have any closing remarks?

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: Just to say, I am so pleased with everyone who took the time to come and to share their experiences, firsthand knowledge of what the problems are that we face and we know that every problem has a solution and we are going to make sure that as we move forward, that we keep a pressure, a constant pressure on what's happening. So, to not get pushed to the side. Someone had suggested we give a weeks framework for them to respond to questions that we have asked. Also, I am disappointed the CUNY did not stay in the hearing. don't see them noted here, perhaps they are just going to observe it but we will send questions as we always do and we will get a response and we will share that with you as well. Council Member Chair

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you Chair Barron. I would say that I look forward to collaborating with you and your Committee and really putting together a letter, not just question but of demands of CUNY based on what we have learned here this afternoon and I think that we have learned a lot. We had some very specific questions that we wanted to drill down on.

There was also a lot that we didn't learn that is necessary about how we continue to move forward and advancing you know, the educational experience of CUNY students but more importantly, those who provide those services because we always say here that all labor that uplifts humanity has dignity and shall be undertaken with painstaking excellence. And that's what they do each and every day and as was highlighted, sometimes we do it with two and three different jobs, right. And that is a story that once again needs to be told.

I thank everyone for coming out and sharing their experiences and telling their story. I thank the members of the Committee's for coming and staying with us this afternoon. I know it has been relatively long but absolutely necessary and I look forward to really hearing from CUNY in the very near

future. So, thank you everyone and once again Chair Barron, thank you for allowing me to be a part asking this Committee to be a part is really essential that we do this, so thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: Thank you so much and we are so glad that you were able to add your expertise in this field to this hearing. And with that, being no further business to be conducted, I will have my shake array to gavel out and end this and adjourn this hearing. Thank you. [GAVEL]

CHLOE RIVERA: Alright, I ended the live. Thank you all.

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: Oh, Chloe, great job. I forget to acknowledge you Chloe, you did a great job. Thank you so much.

CHLOE RIVERA: Oh, that's fine, thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: All of you behind the scenes, we couldn't do it without you. Thank you.

CHLOE RIVERA: Thank you. Take care, have a good day.

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: Everybody, enjoy your day.

${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date December 14, 2020