CITY COUNCIL CITY OF NEW YORK

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

----- Х

October 28, 2020 Start: 1:15 p.m. Recess: 5:54 p.m.

HELD AT: Remote Hearing

B E F O R E: Stephen Levin CHAIRPERSON

COUNCIL MEMBERS: Vanessa Gibson Barry Grodenchik Robert Holden Brad Lander Antonio Reynoso Rafael Salamanca, Jr. Ritchie Torres Mark Treyger

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

David Hansell, Commissioner New York City Administration of Children Services

Tyler James, Director of Race Equity Strategies New York City Administration of Children Services

Dale Joseph, Assistant Commissioner Office of Community Engagement and Partnerships

Dr. Jacqueline Martin, Deputy Commissioner for Division of Prevention Service New York City Administration of Children Services

William Fletcher, Deputy Commissioner for Division of Child Protection New York City Administration of Children Services

Allen Sputz, Deputy Commissioner for Family Court Legal Services Division New York City Administration of Children Services

Julie Farber, Deputy Commissioner for Division of Family Permanency Services New York City Administration of Children Services

```
Andrew White, Deputy Commissioner for
Division of Policy Planning and
Measurement
New York City Administration of Children
Services
Cheyenne Deopersaud, New York City
Resident
Zakia Gardener, New York City Resident
Joyce McMillan, New York City Resident
Imani Yvonne Worthy, New York City
Resident
Ron Richter
JCCA
Jamila Bokkom, Vice President
Children's Village
Justina Ernul, New York City Resident
Jim Purcell
Council of Family and Childcaring
Agencies
Damyn Kelly, President and CEO
Lutheran Social Services
Tehra Coles, Litigation Supervisor
Center for Family Representation
```

Teyora Graves, Senior Parent Advocate Center for Family Representation

Taylor Thomas, New York City Resident

M. Mena, Policy and Budget Analyst CCC

Brian Jones, Senior Attorney Family Defense Practice Brooklyn Defender Services

Karla Johnson, Senior Staff Attorney Kinship Caregiver Law Project Mobilization for Justice, Inc.

Miriam Mack, Policy Counsel Family Defense Practice Bronx Defenders

Helen Montalvan, Social Worker Neighborhood Defender Service of Harlem

Zachary Ahmad, Policy Counsel New York Civil Liberties Union

Karen Freedman, Executive Director Lawyers for Children

Tricia Stephens, Assistance Professor Silverman School of Social Work

Jamel Robinson, Executive Director Jamel Robinson Child Welfare Reform Initiative Kiran Malpe, Social Worker Strong Starts Initiative

Dawn Mitchell, Attorney in Charge Juvenile Rights Practice Legal Aid Society

Alisa McCoy, New York City Resident

1 COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 6 2 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: At this time, would all 3 Sergeants please start your recordings? 4 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: PC recording is up. 5 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Cloud is ready. 6 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Thank you. Sergeant 7 Jones, you may begin you opening statement. 8 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Good afternoon, 9 everyone, and welcome to today's remote New York City Council hearing on the Committee on General Welfare. 10 11 At this time, would all panelists please turn on 12 their videos and, to minimize disruption, please 13 place electronic devices to vibrate or silent. And 14 if you wish to submit a testimony, you may do so at 15 testimony@Council.NYC.gov. And again, that's 16 testimony@Council.NYC.gov and thank you for your 17 cooperation and we are ready to begin. 18 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. 19 [gavel] 20 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Good afternoon, 21 everybody. My name is Stephen Levin. I am the Chair 2.2 of the Committee on General Welfare. I apologize for 23 the delay. We were having some technical 24 difficulties on my end. And I want to thank everyone for joining us to this hearing on the Council's 25

2 Committee on General Welfare. Today, the committee 3 will be conducting an oversight hearing to examine 4 the racial welfare system. It is well established that significant disparities persist for children and 5 families of color, especially black families, both 6 7 around the country and despite much improved 8 practices across city agencies in New York City, as 9 These disparities persist through each stage well. of the child welfare process from investigation 10 11 through mitigation and removal. And while black and 12 Hispanic/Latin X children comprise 61.3 percent of 13 the total New York City population, they comprise 14 87.8 percent of the children in an investigation. 15 Black children make up a disproportionate amount of 16 those placed in foster care, comprising 53.8 percent, while only making up 24.3 percent of the city's youth 17 18 population. Black children also experience longer 19 stays in the foster care system and are exiting the 20 system slower than they are entering. Black families 21 are also less likely to receive community-based 2.2 services and are the most likely to receive no 23 services at all. The city must do more to ensure that these disparities are addressed with the urgency 24 and the bold action they necessitate. All families, 25

2 regardless of their race or income level who have 3 been involved in the child welfare system deserve 4 equal and equitable treatment and access to the 5 support and services that they need to thrive. The committee will seek an update on the equity action 6 7 plan put forth my ACS which includes action items to 8 address disparities within the child welfare system. 9 The committee will examine best practices in order to improve outcomes and families of color and hear the 10 11 reforms the city could make to the child welfare 12 system to address these disparities. In addition, the Committee would like to learn more about the 13 14 movement to abolish and rebuild the child welfare 15 system in order to ensure that it is equitable and 16 fair for all families served. I want to thank all 17 the advocates and members of the public for joining 18 us today. I want to thank our colleagues in ACS and 19 member of the administration who are here to testify 20 want to also acknowledge the committee today. I 21 staff who have worked on this hearing today, Aminta 2.2 Kolowan, our senior legislative counsel, Crystal 23 Pond, our senior policy analyst, Natalie Omarie, our policy analyst, and Daniel Crew, our senior finance 24 25 analvst. I just want to thank Elizabeth Adams, my

legislative director and Johnathan Buches, my chief 2 3 of staff. And I want to acknowledge Council members who are here this morning. Members of the committee, 4 we have Council member Grodenchik and Council member 5 Holden is here. We are also joined by Council member 6 7 Lander and Council member Adams. And I am sure that we will be joined by other Council members as they 8 9 join us. I also want to thank the Sergeant-at-arms for brining this hearing together and Johanna Castro 10 11 who runs all of the hearings here virtually. I want to thank all that staff, as well. And with that, 12 13 I'll turn it back to the committee, Aminta Kilowan. COMMITTEE COUNSEL: 14 Thank you, Chair 15 Levin. Good afternoon, everyone. I'm Aminta 16 Kilowan, senior counsel to the General Welfare 17 Committee of the New York City Counsel. I'm going to 18 be moderating today's hearing. Before we begin, I 19 want to remind everyone that you will be on mute 20 until you are called on to testify. At that point, 21 you will be unmuted by the host. I'm going to be 2.2 calling on panelists to testify. Please listen for 23 your name to be called and, periodically, I'm going to be announcing who the next panelists are going to 24 25 On the first panel that we are going to have, be.

2 it's going to be the members of the administration. 3 Commissioner David Hansell of ACS. And present for 4 questions and answers, Dr. Jacqueline Martin, Allen 5 Sputz, Julie Farber, Dale Joseph, Tyler James, William Fletcher, and Andrew White. Again, I will 6 7 call on you when it is your turn to speak. During 8 the hearing, if Council members would like to ask a 9 question, please use the zoom raise hand function and either Chair Levin or I will call on you in order 10 11 and, just as a heads up, we're going to be limiting 12 Council member questions to five minutes, and that's 13 going to include answers. So, now, I am going to 14 call on members of the administration to testify. 15 Before I do so, I'd like to administer the oath to 16 the administration. At this point, do you affirm to 17 the tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but 18 the truth before this committee and to respond 19 honestly to Council member questions? 20 COMMISSIONER HANSELL: I do. 21 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, 2.2 Commissioner. You may begin when you are ready. 23 COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Thank you very much. Good afternoon, Chair Levin, members of the 24 Committee on General Welfare. I'm David Hansell, 25

Commissioner of the New York City Administration for 2 3 Children Services. I have a number of colleagues 4 with me and I'd like to introduce them. I want to 5 make sure that we can answer all of your questions. With me today are Tyler James, director of race 6 7 equity strategies, and Dale Joseph, assistant commissioner for Office of Community Engagement and 8 9 Partnerships. They are both in our division of child and family wellbeing. We have with us Dr. Jacqueline 10 11 Martin who is deputy commissioner for our division of prevention service, William Fletcher, deputy 12 commissioner for our division of child protection, 13 14 Allen Sputz, deputy commissioner for our family court 15 legal services division, Julie Farber, deputy 16 commissioner for our division of family permanency 17 services, and Andrew White, who is deputy 18 commissioner for our division of policy planning and 19 measurement. 20 We, at ACS, are grateful for the 21 opportunity to have this conversation today with you with the Council and with our partners in child 2.2 23 welfare. It has been a difficult year, to say the least, as we all grapple with the global COVID-19 24 pandemic and, as we continue to see and feel the deep 25

2 rooted and pernicious effects of racism in our 3 society. Each of these national crises impacts us 4 greatly on personal and professional levels. And I want to acknowledge and offer condolences to so many 5 who have experienced trauma and loss recent months. 6 7 As a first step towards healing, it's crucial to have 8 conversations like the one we are having today where 9 we can take an honest and transparent look at the challenges we face and how we can respond to them. 10 11 ACS seeks to administer equitable child welfare and 12 juvenile justice services and systems in which a 13 child or family's race, ethnicity, national origin, 14 immigration status, gender, gender identity, or 15 sexual orientation did not predict how they fare. 16 Within New York City and nationally, black, African-17 American, and Latin X Hispanic families have long 18 been over represented at key points along child 19 welfare pathways. To develop our equity action plan, 20 we conducted an equity assessment that looked at the 21 disparities at key stages in the child welfare 2.2 system. This written testimony includes an updated 23 chart that shows how black African-American, and Latin X and Hispanic families experience the child 24 welfare system in New York City differently at every 25

2 key decision point as compared to white and Asian 3 families. We know that we have essential work to do 4 to address racial inequities within ACS and in the child welfare and juvenile justice systems. We must 5 identify and address structures, policies, and 6 practices that present barriers to families getting 7 8 the services that they need. While ACS has a 9 commitment to supporting and strengthening families is the best way to keep children safe, we must 10 11 confront the unintended negative consequences of our 12 involvement on the experiences of families and 13 communities. Focusing on racial disparities is something that I have prioritized since becoming ACS 14 15 commissioner. We've built on ACS's longstanding work in this area, including our racial equity and 16 17 cultural competence committee, or the RECC. The RECC 18 brings together a diverse representation of ACS 19 staff, external stakeholders, and professionals to 20 promote racial equity throughout the child welfare, 21 juvenile justice, and early childhood systems. The RECC volunteers contribute invaluably to ACS's work 2.2 23 by establishing a racial equity framework for our data analysis, our training policies, and workforce 24 development. Building on this foundation, I created 25

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 14
2	the office of equity strategies in 2017 because I
3	believe it is crucial to have dedicated staff who are
4	focused specifically on addressing inequities,
5	disparities, and systemic racism both internally at
6	ACS and externally in our work with communities. As
7	the Council is aware, the ACS has since developed and
8	is implementing our Equity Action Plan to examine and
9	address the ways in which our work disproportionately
10	impacts children and families of color. Today, I'll
11	be explaining our findings at each of the key stages
12	in the child welfare system, as shown in the chart in
13	much more detail and you'll hear updates on our
14	strategic responses and actions to achieve and
15	sustain progress on each of them as we implement our
16	Equity Action Plan. As required by local law 174 of
17	2017, we will be submitting our Equity Action Plan
18	update this summer and we're happy to have the
19	opportunity today to share key highlights from our
20	work. I'll them talk about additional strategies and
21	collaborations that we have in place to move ACS
22	forward as a more racially equitable and anti-racist
23	organization. Let me begin with disparities among
24	children in investigations, or SCR reports. As you
25	know, ACS is legally required to respond to all

2 reports that the statewide central register the SCR 3 accepts and assigns to us. In a typical year, the 4 state refers more than 50,000 cases involving about 5 70,000 children to ACS for investigation. After investigation, our child protective staff may find 6 7 some credible evidence of abuse or maltreatment and, 8 if they do, they then indicate about a third of those 9 reports. The remaining, roughly, two thirds are unfounded. It is deeply concerning to us that year 10 11 after year, that racial and ethnic disparities in the 12 reports ACS receives from the state and is required 13 to investigate. Most notably, we see that black, 14 African-American, Latin X and Hispanic children are 15 significantly overrepresented in those reports. I′m 16 going to give you some data. In calendar year 2019, 17 41.4 percent of SCR reports involved children and 18 families who identified as black or African-American, 19 even though these children only make up about 23 20 percent of the New York City child population. 45.4 21 percent of reports involved children and families identified as Latin X or Hispanic, but those children 2.2 23 represent about 36.4 percent of the New York City child population. On the other hand, while 26.5 24 percent of New York City children are white and 14.1 25

2 percent are Asian or Hispanic Islander. These 3 families make up only eight percent and 5.3 percent, 4 respectively, of reports to the SCR that are accepted by the state for investigation. While the SCR may be 5 an essential lifeline for children when they are 6 7 being seriously harmed or at imminent risk of harm, 8 the child protective response investigation, by its 9 nature, can be intrusive and traumatic for families. We have a collective duty to make sure this 10 11 government intervention is sought and used only when there is a true concern for the safety of a child or 12 imminent risk to a child and that it is not used 13 inappropriately or disproportionately, resulting in 14 15 further marginalization and trauma for families of 16 color. While ACS does not have control over reports 17 that are called in and that the state accepts and 18 refers to us, we are taking numerous steps towards 19 addressing disparities among families that are 20 reported to the SCR, given that the largest racial 21 and ethnic disparity we see is at this initial 2.2 crucial point. So, the key strategies we are 23 undertaking include: Number one, using a primary prevention approach to reduce the number of reports 24 in communities with historically high reporting 25

2 levels. Number two, collaborating with mandated 3 reporter agencies and organizations to reinforce 4 alternate ways of connecting families with help when needed, and, number three, advocating for policy 5 changes to reduce unnecessary and discriminatory 6 7 utilization of the SCR process. Let me talk about 8 each of these strategies in some more detail. First 9 of all, our primary prevention efforts are focused on strengthening families and communities with resources 10 11 and supports with the goal of reducing family's interaction with the traditional child welfare 12 13 This work includes child safety campaigns on system. 14 important topics for parents like safe sleep 15 practices, ensuring homes are equipped with window 16 guards, medication safety, reminders to keep common hazards like hand sanitizer out of the reach of young 17 18 children. All the things that parents need to know 19 to keep their kids safe. In addition to our safety 20 campaigns, we support 11 community partnerships 21 throughout the city and three family enrichment centers, the FEC's. And both the FEC's and the 2.2 23 community partnerships provide a space for local organizations to network with each other and share 24 critical information and resources to support 25

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 18
2	children and their families. They also give parents
3	and caretakers and community leaders the opportunity
4	to get to know each other in a safe and nurturing
5	environment providing positive outlets for children
6	and youth and, notably, they have adapted to provide
7	more concrete resources to families throughout the
8	COVID-19 pandemic. Through these community hubs that
9	families have come to rely upon and trust, we have
10	been able to provide families with groceries,
11	clothing, even emergency grants so that they could
12	remain more stable, supported, and safe during this
13	challenging time. The FEC's operate in neighborhoods
14	that have historically experienced high rates of
15	reported child abuse and neglect. East New York and
16	Brooklyn and High Bridge and Hunt's Point in the
17	Bronx. The FEC's are open to all community residents
18	and, as members, they participate in community
19	designed offerings that are intended to bolster a
20	range of protective factors. The FEC's operate with
21	a keen focus on parent voices and it's these parents
22	who have co-designed the centers, including
23	everything from the name of the site to the color of
24	the walls to the programming that's offered. This
25	past summer, ACS released a report on the first
I	

evaluation we've done of the FEC's which found that 2 3 the FEC offerings are having a positive effect on 4 member social supports from family, friends, and neighbors, family functioning, emotional connection 5 to their children, and their outlook on life. 6 7 Additionally, those surveyed reported significant increases in their access or advice and resources in 8 9 addressing several life challenges like parenting, financial issues, relationships, food and nutrition 10 11 issues, and stress management. As another strategy 12 to make sure that families are no over-reported, we 13 are working closely with the state and mandated 14 reporters so that processional working with children 15 and families understand the many ways to assist 16 families and connect them with resources without the 17 need for a report to the SCR. For example, prior to 18 the pandemic, ACS's child protective borough offices 19 were working closely with schools in their local 20 communities that were high reporters to create 21 strategies to reduce unnecessary reports. Since the start of the pandemic, we've collaborated with eh 2.2 23 Department of Education to develop guidance that the DOE issues to its staff to help them make decisions 24 about reporting. This guidance makes clear that if a 25

family is struggling with technology or other COVID-2 3 19 related challenges, the DOE should work with the 4 family to provide assistance without calling the SCR. Guidance was initially distributed in April and then 5 updated in September to account for the addition of 6 7 hybrid learning in the fall. Just last week with-because of the advocacy of ACS and others, the state 8 9 Office of Children and Family Services which administers the SCR, announced that that state is 10 11 taking steps to curb unwarranted educational neglect 12 reports by implementing stronger screening procedures and training for the SCR hotline operators when 13 14 educational neglect reports were called in. the 15 state's new guidance was also aimed at ensuring that 16 students -- that schools have assisted students with 17 technology and other resources to remediate remote or 18 hybrid learning challenges before the state accepts a 19 report for a county to investigate. We're extremely 20 pleased to see the state adopt this approach which is consistent with what we have been doing in New York 21 2.2 City throughout the pandemic. Similarly, ACS has 23 been working very closely with the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene and Health and Hospitals so 24 that hospital and other medical staff understand the 25

2 impact that SCR reporting has on families and that 3 calls should be made only when there is a concern 4 about a child's safety. ACS and our sister agencies have been reiterating to health professional that, if 5 a parent or a child tests positive for a substance 6 7 when the child is born, either public or voluntary 8 hospital staff should not call the SCR solely based 9 upon a positive test if there is no impact on child safety or wellbeing -- that they can make service 10 11 referrals without contacting the SCR. In additional 12 to our collaboration with mandated reported entities, 13 we're advocating for three statewide reforms. 14 First, we're urging the state to require implicit 15 bias training for mandated reporters like the 16 requirement we have in place for all ACS staff. We 17 know that every person holds attitudes and beliefs 18 that are shaped by their upbringing, culture, and 19 life experiences, especially when making important decisions that affect children and families. 20 It is 21 crucial to guard against implicit biases that may 2.2 influence our perceptions and interpretations and 23 make sure that reports are objective. Second, we're urging the state to enhance its screening procedures 24 to make sure that the SCR only accepts allegations 25

1	COMMITTEE	ON	GENERAL	WELFARE

that clearly articulate harm or risk of harm to a 2 3 child. And the recently announced changes in 4 handling education neglect reports I just mentioned 5 are a step in the right direction and we hope the state will continue to build on this approach. 6 7 Third, we're encouraging the state to implement 8 stronger mechanisms to screen out reports that are 9 clearly fraudulent or harassing. Given the data showing that black, African-American, and Latin X and 10 11 Hispanic families are disproportionately reported to 12 the SCR, we believe these reforms are necessary to reduce intrusion to families when it's not necessary 13 14 to protect the safety of a child and that these 15 reforms will help reduce the racial inequities in 16 reporting and investigations. Moving on to the next 17 stage, while the disparities in substantiated 18 allegations are not as dramatic as those we see in 19 reporting at the initial stage, there are, in fact, 20 also modest disparities in substantiation of allegations. That is those where a child protective 21 specialist investigates and finds some credible 2.2 23 evidence that the allegation occurred. So, to look at the data in calendar year 2019, 41.4 percent of 24 reports ACS investigated involved black African-25

American families, 45 percent involved Latin X--2 I'm 3 sorry. And 42.6 percent of those indicated reports involved black and Latin X families. Black and 4 African-American families. I'm sorry. 45.4 percent 5 of investigations involved Latin X or Hispanic 6 7 families and slightly more, 45.9 percent of indicated reports involved Latin X or Hispanic families. So, a 8 9 modest disparity, but one that we are paying close attention to. So, we are also taking a number of 10 11 steps to try to address disparities and indication 12 rates and to address the collateral consequences that 13 are associated with having an indicated report. In 14 addition to requiring implicit bias training for all 15 ACS staff to aide in critical decision-making, which 16 I will talk a little bit more about it a minute, two 17 other key strategies are reporting SCR reform and 18 expanding the use of CARES-- the acronym for 19 Collaborative Assessment Response Engagement and 20 Support -- which is ACS' state authorized alternative 21 to child protective investigations. We believe that 2.2 any child protective response must have an outcome 23 that both promotes child safety and provides fairness and equity for families. ACS was proud and eager to 24 25 support the recently passed state SCR reform bill.

The law will help protect children while minimizing 2 undue hardships for families and we are hard at work 3 4 planning for implementation. Starting with investigations that commence on January 1, 2022, the 5 standard of evidence required to indicate a case will 6 7 be changed from New York's current very low standard of some credible evidence to a fair preponderance of 8 9 the evidence which is more consistent with the indication burden of proof requirements that are used 10 11 across the country. We believe that the indication 12 burden of proof requirement-- We believe that is 13 higher standard, rather, it is fair or and will help 14 us to address some of the implicit biases that we see 15 in the child welfare system. The new law also reduces the length of time that an indicated case for 16 17 maltreatment would be accessible to potential 18 employers. Under pre-existing law, actually current 19 law, and indicated case for abuse or maltreatment 20 remains on a person's record for 10 years after the 21 youngest child turns 18 regardless of the severity of the incident which can have long term destabilizing 2.2 23 effects on a family. Under the new law, neglect records -- not abuse records -- will be sealed from 24 employers if the record is eight years or older which 25

2 provides more economic pathways for parents and 3 caregivers and we are thrilled to see New York State 4 take these important steps forward in addressing 5 equity in child protective investigations. For those families that come to ACS' attention through SCR 6 7 reports, we want to make sure that our response is 8 strength-based and led by the family's needs. By 9 state statute, family assessment response, or FAR, is an alternative child protective response to reports 10 11 where there was no immediate or impending danger to 12 children and where there are no allegations of child 13 abuse. That response, the FAR response, is not 14 included investigation, it does not result in a 15 determination of indicated or unfounded. Often 16 referred to as a dual track or alternative track, 17 this alternative response enables ACS to work with 18 families to identify services they may need without 19 subjecting the family to an investigation. 20 Throughout the COVID-19 pandemic is an overall 21 reports and investigations has decreased, ACS is 2.2 increasingly using this child protective response. 23 With about five percent of cases on this track so far in 2020, compared with 3.3 percent during the same 24 period in 2019. As you may know, we recently 25

2 announced that we are expanding this program in two 3 It will be citywide with units in all five ways. 4 boroughs by this coming January 2021 and we're doubling the total number of units by December 2021. 5 We also are renaming the program, as I said, to be 6 7 called Collaborative Assessment Response Engagement 8 and Support, or CARES and we're doing this because 9 we've long felt that the acronym FAR did no adequately describe the program's approach or 10 11 encourage parental engagement. So we sought input 12 from ACS staff from our parent advisory council, from 13 parents who participated in FAR, to generate ideas 14 for a new name and, in fact, the name we ultimately 15 chose, CARES, was suggested by a father who had 16 participated in the FAR program as a reflection of 17 what the programs meant to his family when working 18 with ACS. In CARES, child protective specialist 19 partner with the family to identify their needs, to 20 educate the family about resources, to empower the 21 family to make decisions that address their needs, 2.2 and to connect families to the appropriate services. 23 The CARES approach is family-centered, family-driven, and solution focused. At ACS, CARES is a core 24 strategy for combating racial disparity and promoting 25

2 social justice for two reasons. The first, the 3 partnering approach is a less intrusive response for 4 families and it helps enable the family to drive 5 solutions and service plans for themselves. Second, CARES offers an alternative to the traditional CPS 6 7 investigation which traditionally ends with a determinations of indicated or unfounded. And we 8 9 think this acknowledges that we can promote child safety in these cases by promoting stronger family 10 11 and community connections and wraparound supports rather than the traditional focus of making a 12 13 determination about allegations and individual 14 culpability. While child safety is always at the forefront of ACS' work, we're confident we can 15 maintain safety while better serving many families 16 17 across the city through the use and expansion of 18 CARES. Third step in the process is access to 19 prevention services. A close look at our data shows 20 that while black, African-American families overall are the most likely racial or ethnic group to 21 participate in prevention services, the subset of 2.2 23 black, African-American families with an indicated investigations are slightly less likely to 24 participate in prevention services than Latin X or 25

Hispanic families with an indicated investigation. 2 3 It's a small disparity, but, again, it's important that all New York City families have equitable access 4 5 to and can benefit from prevention services. And that's why we identified it as a concern in our 6 7 equity action plan of why we are employing strategies 8 to address it. We are always working to make sure 9 that families have the services and supports that they need to keep children safe and to reduce the 10 need for foster care. Our nationally recognized 11 prevention services continuum has, in fact, safely 12 reduced the utilization of foster care in New York 13 14 City. There were, as we've mentioned previously, 15 there were nearly 50,000 New York City children in foster care 25 years ago, 17,000 a decade ago. 16 17 Today, there are fewer than 8000 New York City 18 children in foster care. Also, we have strong 19 evidence that ACS prevention services reduce repeat involvement of families with the child welfare 20 21 system. Families that successfully complete 2.2 prevention services -- and more than 80 percent do--23 these families are five times less likely to have another substantiated investigation -- one which 24 there is evidence of child abuse or neglect -- in the 25

following six months than families that do not 2 3 complete services. And we know now that families 4 feel that they are benefitting from the services. Earlier this year, we released results of our first 5 ever prevention services survey-- family experience 6 7 survey-- and thank you to the Council for asking us to do this. The survey asked families receiving 8 9 prevention services about their experiences. We found that about 94 percent of survey participants 10 11 said that they were happy with the prevention services their families received. 71 percent said 12 that they would recommend services to a family or 13 14 friend and 86 percent of the families participating 15 in this survey said prevention services would help 16 them to reach their parenting foals. We have 17 redesigned and strengthened our prevention services 18 continuum with an equity frame in mind because 19 prevention services belong to all New York City 20 Families who may need support, regardless of identity or background and we want all families to view them 21 this way. And so, in our redesigned system, which 2.2 23 launched with 119 new programs on July 1st, 2020, all families New York City now have universal access to 24 every service model wee offer regardless of where 25

they live in the five boroughs. We've also infused 2 3 more parent voice and choice into the service array and the services themselves. The services were 4 designed with feedback from parents and providers are 5 expected to fully incorporate parent voice when 6 7 developing individual service plans. The new system also explicitly addresses racial equity by requiring 8 9 prevention providers to incorporate efforts to address racial disparity in their organization and in 10 11 service provision including to the formation or racial equity committees that include all levels of 12 13 staff representation. We believe that our newly 14 redesigned system will strengthen access to evidence-15 based supports for families and help us address 16 racial disparities in service access. Moving on to 17 the next phase of child welfare involvement which is 18 court involvement and foster care. We, as I have 19 repeatedly testified before this committee, one of 20 our paramount goals is to minimize family court interaction for families in order to keep children 21 2.2 safe at home, to engaging parents in prevention and 23 other services. We focused on this among our equity priorities because the data, again, show that black, 24 African-American, and Latin X Hispanic children are 25

2 disproportionately represented in court-ordered 3 supervision filings, 44.4 percent and 46.2 percent 4 respectively, in calendar year 2019 and in foster 5 care placements, 55.5 percent and 36.4 percent respectively, again, in calendar year 2019. We see, 6 7 in particular, that the experience of black, African-American children is different than other children. 8 9 While black African-American children comprise 42.6 percent of all substantiated investigations in 10 11 calendar year 2019, already a disproportionate amount 12 compared with the overall population, they comprise 13 55.5 percent of all foster care placements and 14 remained at 55.6 percent of the foster care 15 population in that year. So this shows us clearly 16 that we have much more work to do to critically 17 examine decisions at each point in the case and also 18 to look at how we are supporting black African-19 American families and addressing the unique 20 challenges and traumas they face not just in the 21 child welfare system, but in our society at large. 2.2 We're committed to limiting court intervention and 23 foster care placement whenever possible. Prior to the COVID-19 crisis in which the family court has 24 restricted its operations, only one in 10 ACS 25

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 32
2	investigations went to family court and the majority
3	of those involve requests for court ordered
4	supervision, not for placement in foster care. In
5	calendar year 2019, ACS filed 23 percent fewer cases
6	seeking court order supervision than in calendar year
7	2017. We also seek fewer removals as a child safety
8	intervention with 14 percent fewer removals in
9	calendar year 2019 than calendar year 2017. During
10	the COVID-19 period due to significant limitations
11	and access to the family courts, we've expanded our
12	work to focus on movement of children in foster care
13	towards reunification with their families outside of
14	the normal court process. ACS has been closely
15	collaborating with legal advocates who represent
16	parents and children and our foster care provider
17	agencies to help expedite safety and permanency
18	outcomes for children despite the limited hearings
19	being held virtually by the family court. We're
20	affirmatively reviewing and identifying cases where
21	steps towards reunification are safe and in the
22	child's best interest and we've reached consensus
23	decisions to expand visits, to lift orders of
24	protection, or reunify children from foster care on a
25	trial or final basis. If all the parties agree, we

2 present these resolutions to the court for approval 3 without the need to wait for restricted court 4 hearings. And in this way, we've continued prioritizing safe and timely reunifications and 5 reducing length of stay in foster care. Addressing 6 overall court filings and removals is a necessary 7 8 step and we must also dig deeper. When foster care 9 is our necessary, but last resort as protective intervention for children, we must do everything we 10 11 can to provide more equitable experiences and 12 outcomes for the child and the family. Chair Levin 13 and the Council have been great partners in driving 14 our work forward through the interagency foster care 15 taskforce. The important initiatives that originated from that group are being aggressively implemented 16 17 through our foster care strategic blueprint. We've 18 achieved measurable positive results, all of which 19 tie to more equitable outcomes for children and 20 families, including fewer children in foster care, 21 reduced length of stay in foster care, increased 2.2 kinship care placements for children, and additional 23 use of kindship guardianship to achieve permanency. Most recently -- again, just last week-- I was 24 thrilled to announce that we are launching a new 25

parent advocate initiative called Parents Supporting 2 3 Parents to improve reunification and racial equity 4 outcomes as part of our effort to expand parent voice 5 across all of our programs. These parent advocates will be crucial allies to empower parents and help 6 dismantle bias and oppression in the foster care 7 8 system by bringing their lived experience to 9 strengthening parents self-advocacy and their voice within the process and shifting organizational 10 11 culture to more authentic parent engagement 12 approaches. We've raised funds from major national 13 and local foundations to launch a pilot that will lay 14 the groundwork for full implementation with our new 15 foster care contracts on July 1st, 2022. In the 16 initial pilot, two foster care agencies will have on 17 staff 10 parent advocates with lived experience in 18 the system who will be central members of their case 19 planning teams working with parents to achieve 20 reunification. So, all the work I've described, we 21 think, is essential to transforming our relationships with children and families but our efforts must begin 2.2 23 within an at home. To combat systemic racism in the child welfare system, we, at ACS, need to look 24 25 internally at our own structures, policies,

2 practices, and implicit biases. We must walk the walk if we want to build a culture and empower our 3 4 staff to fight racial disproportionality in our work. 5 To look critically at our role, we developed our understanding and undoing implicit bias learning 6 7 These courses help staff identify the program. connection between institutional racism, structural 8 9 equity, and implicit bias and begin to surface and address implicit bias in decision-making and in 10 11 conversations with coworkers. All of our child 12 protective staff now learn about implicit bias as 13 part of the core training they take when they begin 14 their jobs. All of our direct service employees and 15 supervisors at ACS are now required to take a full day instructor led program on implicit bias which we 16 quickly adapted to make virtual in response to COVID-17 18 19. And we've also launched a new e-learn course 19 that is mandatory for all ACS employees to complete, 20 including me. Actually, I've taken the full day 21 course, as well. To date, more than 6400 ACS staff 2.2 have completed the e-learn and 1559 have completed 23 the all-day implicit bias course and we strongly believe it's crucial for every member of the ACS 24 staff to recognize and be equipped with strategies to 25

1 COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 36 2 deal with implicit bias. A strong, critical thinking 3 and learning culture which includes implicit bias 4 training will help ACS unpack and address the disparities that we see at the crucial points in our 5 child welfare response that I've described. We're 6 7 also continuing to infuse parent and youth voices within our policies, procedures, and service arrays. 8 9 We created the new role of parent engagement specialists last year to increase the voice of 10 11 parents with lived experience in all aspects of our 12 work around practice, policy, and programming. Our 13 parent engagement specialist, Saber Jackson, supports 14 the Parents Advisory Council which meets regularly 15 and shares recommendations with ACS leadership, 16 including me, regularly. We are working tirelessly 17 with the PAC not only to hear their voices, but to 18 listen and to learn. The PAC members challenge us to 19 do better and I want to thank them for their candor, 20 their leadership, and their thoughtfulness. We also 21 have a Youth Leadership Council, or YLC, that 2.2 includes youth that have experienced the foster care 23 of juvenile justice systems as well as peer mentors with prior system experience. The YLC also meets 24 25 regularly, also coordinates with other Youth Councils

2 to identify, prioritize, and inform program area 3 leadership about key issues and recommendations for 4 improving service and outcomes for young people. 5 And, finally, in order to advance our vision of establishing an equitable and fair child welfare and 6 7 juvenile justice system, ACS is committed to working 8 towards becoming an anti-racist organization that 9 rejects all forms of racism and oppression which, again, requires taking a close look internally. Many 10 11 ACS divisions have been participating in what we call 12 race, diversity, and intersectionality reflective 13 process, a framework for collective reflection and 14 discussion about the impact of power, privilege, and 15 oppression of individuals, communities, practices, 16 and policies. We regularly offer a two-day undoing 17 racism workshop from the Peoples Institute for 18 Survival and Beyond to help staff deepen our common 19 knowledge-- our common language to understand 20 structural racism and [inaudible 00:43:02] for ACS 21 staff in 2006 and it integrates undoing racism 2.2 principles including historical content, developing 23 leadership, maintaining accountability in our work, networking, analyzing power, and the child welfare 24 practitioner as a gatekeeper. And we are just 25

2 beginning a partnership with the National Innovation 3 Service, or NIS, to conduct an evaluation of our 4 systems and activities as they relate to the racial 5 equity experiences, needs, and priorities of frontline staff, families, and communities and to 6 7 identify key areas of intervention to drive system 8 level change. Through a series of facilitated, 9 participatory design workshops and strategy sessions with families, community members, and our frontline 10 11 staff, NIS will work with ACS to develop 12 implementation plans for recommended strategies and 13 to help develop the capacity of agency leadership and 14 staff to support and execute on these plans. Racial 15 disparity has been the legacy of the child welfare 16 system, but it does not have to be its future. ACS 17 is focused on placing equity at the center of every 18 decision, policy, and initiative. I have described 19 in great detail the specific initiatives we are 20 implementing to address racial disparities because I 21 believe we must go beyond platitudes towards 2.2 concrete, measurable action. But, as we build the 23 capacity of our staff at all levels to respond effectively to structural racism and individual bias 24 and to promote culturally competent policy and 25

practice, we must also engage differently with youth, 2 parents, families, and communities. We must listen 3 even when it is difficult. We must collaborate even 4 when it is complicated, and we must look critically 5 at our own attitudes even when it is painful. As we 6 7 continue to move forward and implement our Equity 8 Action Plan, I welcome our continuing conversations 9 and partnerships with the city Council, the child welfare community, and the families that we serve, 10 11 all of which makes our work more transparent, more 12 reflective of community voices and needs, and, 13 ultimately, more successful. Thinking very much and we will be happy to take your questions. 14 15 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, 16 Commissioner Hansell. At this time, we're going to 17 begin Council member questions and, before we do, I'm 18 going to need to sway. All members of the 19 administration who may be answering any questions. Ι 20 also want to remind the members of the administration 21 to remain on muted throughout the question and answer 2.2 session to prevent any technical difficulties. So, 23 at this point, I am going to re-administer the oath. Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and 24

39

1 COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 40 nothing but the truth before this committee and to 2 3 respond honestly to Council member questions? 4 T do. UNIDENTIFIED: 5 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, Now, over to Chair Levin for Council 6 evervone. 7 member questions. 8 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very 9 much, Aminta and thank you Commissioner. Just to Council members that wish to ask questions, please 10 11 use the raise hand function on zoom and I'm happy to 12 turn it over to you at that time. Commissioner, I 13 want to thank you for your testimony and for the 14 steps that you've laid out as a part of the Equity Action Plan that ACS has undertaken. I think that 15 16 it's pretty clear to me from the stuff that you've laid out and the overall, you know, comprehensiveness 17 18 of your testimony, that ACS has been taking this 19 seriously over the last several years. And in a way, 20 but you are wrestling with, you know, decades and decades, if not centuries of institutional racism and 21 structural racism that have kind of led to this 2.2 23 point. And so, this is the first time that I can really recall where ACS has taken this on as a 24 25 priority because, you know, what we've seen over the

2 years is that every time ACS has undertaken major reforms, it is been in reaction to, you know, child 3 4 fatality and it has been usually driven from crisis. And so, that I have thought for a while that, you 5 know, ACS is one agency in particular that needs to 6 7 be in a constant state of reform and in a constant 8 state of self-evaluation and I think what you have 9 described demonstrates that that's what ACS has been undergoing under your leadership. So, I want to 10 11 commend you and your team for that. You know, the 12 issues that we are dealing with are so pervasive and 13 so it is kind of hard to identify where to start, but I think one thing that you mentioned that I 14 15 appreciate. When I visited with your CPS staff may be 18 months ago or so when Williamsburg, and was in 16 the room there with probably 40 or 50 CPS staff, one 17 18 thing that stuck with me from that meeting was when 19 CPS stood up and said, you know, they receive the 20 implicit bias training, they are aware of this kind 21 of structural bias against black and brown families, 2.2 but they don't see that same type of training with 23 mandated reporters and, you know, that there are how many hundreds of thousands of mandated reporters in 24 25 New York City. Every healthcare worker, every school

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 42
2	professional. You know, it is a very expansive, you
3	know, the range of people, you know, and you
4	mentioned that ACS is in support of the state
5	legislation to require that. How would something
6	like that even be implemented? Because we are
7	talking about you know, it's one thing to do it
8	for the staff of ACS that you have some real
9	engagement within an ongoing way. How do you do that
10	on that kind of wider basis? Because it is one thing
11	to have this be part of the mission of ACS. With
12	mandated reporters, you are trying to make it part of
13	the mission of society at large. And how do you do
14	that?
15	COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Well, I appreciate
16	the question. If I could, I would actually, Chair
17	Levin, I would like to just say something on your
18	first point about sort of ACS' response to crisis.
19	Because I appreciate that and I think, you know, we
20	and I have talked about this in previous hearings
21	before this Committee. Really, kind of in a more
22	general response to and the way that we do our
23	work, not specific to race equity issues. We have
24	tried very hard to move ACS away from crisis response
25	to individual situations and more towards what you
I	

2 described, which I completely agree with as an agency 3 that is in the process of continuous reform. We know 4 that the work we do is too important not to always have opportunities for improvement and not to always 5 be identify opportunities for improvement. But we 6 7 need to do that based on not just individual 8 incidents which often provoke a crisis response that 9 may not be the right response, but in response to the really data analysis and communication with the 10 11 people who are affected by the work that we do. And 12 I think I've talked previously before this committee 13 about the safety science approach that we have adopted over the last couple of years at ACS where we 14 15 really have tried to do a much more thoughtful and 16 careful and kind of database analysis of and response 17 to incidents that happen in to make sure that the way 18 that we are changing our policies and changing our 19 practices it is truly informed by what will make a 20 difference in terms of improving the way that we do 21 So, that to me is really, I think, kind of our work. 2.2 a fundamental change that we made at ACS away from 23 crisis response and really more towards ongoing, thoughtful databased reform and I think that is the 24 25 approach that we should and are trying to bring to

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 44
2	our work around race equity, as well. With regard to
3	your question about mandated reporters, you know,
4	mandated reporters are defined categories in state
5	law. There are clearly defined categories of
6	professionals that have mandated reporter
7	requirements and there are many of them, certainly.
8	Yes. They are tens or perhaps hundreds of thousands
9	of individuals who fall into those mandated reporter
10	categories, but almost all of them are, you know,
11	certified and trained professionals in some area or
12	another and we don't think that it would be
13	particularly difficult or onerous to add implicit
14	bias training to those requirements in the same way
15	we have added it to our training requirements for our
16	own staff. We would be more than happy and, in fact,
17	we have offered to the state to the Office of
18	Children Family Services to make the trainings we
19	developed available to them to use. And so, we think
20	that this is something that the state could do either
21	by, you know, statute or just I think we could be
22	done because OCFS and the state actually regulate the
23	mandated reporter training. They set the
24	requirements for mandated reporters and the
25	standards. So, we think they could fairly easily add

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 45
2	this as a standard requirement for anyone who falls
3	into a mandated reporter category. So, yes. It
4	would be some additional, you know, time burden for
5	those individuals, but I think, given the magnitude
6	of the impact that SCR reporting has on children and
7	families, it would be well worth it in something that
8	would be a fairly modest and easy change for the
9	state to make.
10	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And I apologize if
11	I am jumping around kind of within the timeline of
12	ACS intervention, but I'm going to do that. I
13	apologize in advance. One thing that jumped out at
14	me in reviewing for the hearing was the data that
15	came out of your action report that when a case is
16	indicated and is going before a judge at some point,
17	white families have a much higher rate of court
18	ordered supervision following indicated investigation
19	than black families and black families have a much
20	higher rate of foster car placement. And that leads
21	me to the questions of what type of implicit bias
22	training are ACS attorneys getting? And what type
23	of implicit bias training are judges getting?
24	Family court judges? Because, you know, that's a
25	different stage in the investigation.

2 COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Yeah. Absolutely. 3 Let me say a few things and then I'll ask Deputy 4 Commissioner Allen Sputz from our family court legal services to speak specifically about the training his 5 staff and the judges are receiving. As I said in the 6 7 testimony, this is something that we are concerned 8 about. We are trying to reduce, overall, the rates 9 of supervision, the rates of family court involvement, and the rates of foster care entry for 10 11 all children, regardless of race. And we think that 12 the steps that we are taking to do that hopefully 13 will have an impact across the board, but will have the most significant impact on the disparities we are 14 15 most concerned about which is the disproportionately 16 high rate of black African-American children entering 17 foster care and not court ordered supervision. So, 18 we have a number of things in place to reduce any 19 kind of family court involvement that would lead to 20 one of those two outcomes -- family quarter 21 supervision or entry into foster care and we are 2.2 doing that, obviously. Prevention services is our, 23 you know, fundamental intervention to try to keep children safe life at home and provide having to get 24 25 into any kind of legal involvement. And through our

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 47
2	new prevention services system which has been in
3	place now for about four months. One of the things
4	that we are focused on is earlier engagement of
5	prevention services providers with families as a way
6	of forestalling the need to seek family court
7	intervention or either supervision or foster care
8	entry. So, what we were doing with our new
9	prevention providers and actually, this is
10	building on, essentially, sort of a pilot that we'd
11	had in place for a year, year and a half before the
12	new programs went into effect. What we now will be
13	doing is at a situation where we have identified a
14	serious concern with the family and want to work with
15	the parents to engage in services typically the stage
16	in the process where we have that conversation with
17	families is the child safety conference. And what we
18	are now going to begin doing is involving our
19	preventative providers at that stage to connect with
20	families than in the hope that if we can connect
21	families with the right kind of prevention services
22	and the families agreed to engage in those services,
23	that will forestall I need to go to court to seek
24	either provision or a placement in foster care. The
25	pilot that we had done which we called it enhanced

1	COMMITTEE	ON	GENERAL	WELFARE	
---	-----------	----	---------	---------	--

enhanced preventive -- actually we found was very 2 3 effective in diverting hundreds of families that we 4 might otherwise have had to have gone to court to 5 seek some kind of court intervention to avoid having to do that by engaging them with preventive services 6 7 at the child safety conference stage. So, we've now 8 made that a fundamental part of our entire prevention 9 system and we are very hopeful that that will succeed in diverting potentially thousands of cases that 10 11 might otherwise have required family court 12 involvement to prevent interaction at an earlier 13 stage. So, I think that the things that were--14 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Do you have any 15 kind of data for maybe calendar year 19 about how 16 many-- how would you measure that divergent rate for 17 how effective the preventative is as the diversion 18 from, you know, court involved?

19 COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Yeah. We do have 20 data on that that we can provide to the committee. 21 That was something we track very carefully because we 22 wanted to assess whether we thought it was effective 23 enough so that when we, you know, implemented our new 24 set of prevention programs, we would make it, 25 basically, and institutional part of the entire

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 49
2	system. So, we can provide that has data to you.
3	The one other thing I I'm sorry. Were you going
4	to
5	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: No. Go ahead.
6	COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Okay.
7	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: I was just going
8	actually Well, go ahead and then I'll
9	COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Okay. The only
10	other, with regard to the court system, I think, as
11	you probably know, the state Office of Court
12	Administration just recently a couple weeks ago
13	completed a review in a report on racial inequity
14	within the entire court system across the state of
15	New York, but including the Family Court's that was
16	conducted by former homeland security secretary Jay
17	Johnson of the Obama administration who is now an
18	attorney and his team and that report basically
19	acknowledges some pretty serious issues around racial
20	disparities and racial inequities in the court system
21	and, because that has recently been issued, I think
22	it is something that the courts will be very focused
23	on and there may be opportunities to engage with them
24	about ways to address those issues specifically
25	within the court system and court process. That is
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 50
2	something I mean, we are taking a very close look
3	at that report. We actually were interviewed by the
4	team that conducted that report and so I think there
5	is an opportunity there to engage the courts around
6	these issues, as well. I'd like to give
7	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Sure.
8	COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Deputy Commissioner
9	Sputz an opportunity to talk specifically about the
10	training that our
11	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Yeah.
12	COMMISSIONER HANSELL: attorneys are
13	undertaking.
14	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SPUTZ: Yes. Good
15	afternoon. And as the Commissioner, I believe,
16	mentioned in his testimony, the agency is doing e-
17	learning implicit bias training as well as in person
18	bias training and the Commissioner considers the
19	attorneys as frontline staff, so all of the attorneys
20	in ACS are doing the implicit bias training e-
21	learning and in person. I think, at this point, all
22	but five of my attorneys have completed the in person
23	training, as well as the online training. I think we
24	have also made a decision in many or, if not, most,
25	instances where the family court legal services
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 51
2	attorneys are taking the in person training along
3	with CPS and members of DCP. So, not only are we
4	thinking about the court piece, but also the
5	investigative piece and that we are, you know,
6	linking those two pieces of staff, you know, because
7	we often have CPS testifying in court and so we think
8	it is important to take the training together. We
9	have also done at least one of our boroughs
10	reflective process. I think the Commissioner
11	mentioned that in his testimony, as well. I, myself,
12	was the cochair of the racial equity committee for
13	two years previously and I have taken the undoing
14	racism training that the Commissioner mentioned, as
15	well as most, if not all, of my senior leaders have
16	done the undoing racism training, as well. So, those
17	are some of the things that we are doing and
18	certainly keeping at the forefront of the race equity
19	issues as they intersect the court system.
20	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Deputy
21	Commissioner, I want to follow up on those remarks.
22	How does your office How many attorneys do you
23	have? I guess that would be the first question.
24	How many attorneys are in ACS Family Court legal
25	services? I think you are muted. Sorry.
I	

2 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SPUTZ: Great. Yeah. 3 Sorry. We have 330 lawyers that are citywide. So, 4 as you know, we are a mayoral agency, so we have staff in all five counties. 5 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: How do you monitor 6 7 your attorney's performance in this regard? How are you measuring? Other performance indicators that you 8 9 are looking at in terms of how well they are incorporating these trainings into their practice? 10 11 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SPUTZ: Let me say, as far as attorneys' staff, let me just say we have 12 13 about 230 to 250 currently attorneys staff actuals, I believe. 14 15

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SPUTZ: We are 16 17 definitely monitoring who is taken the training and 18 who was not. So, we get reports on who still has to 19 complete the training and then, you know, we have a 20 lot of very close supervision by the managerial 21 staff. You know, the team-- Organizationally, we 2.2 are set up as part of teams in each borough office, 23 so we have, you know, many levels of supervision and we really tried to infuse through the supervision 24 model keeping at the forefront the ideas of race 25

2 equity making sure that we are always looking at 3 reunification as quickly as possible. Visitation. 4 Then, as requiring the least amount of court intervention. I think that, also, we have in our 5 training program, we have about a four to five week 6 7 full-time training program and we will infuse some 8 race equity conversations at the onset at our initial 9 training and we bring in Rise magazine, for example, to provide a parent prospective of going through the 10 11 family court and really trying to infuse as much 12 empathy as possible with our attorneys, staff, and 13 really trying to find ways to make sure that the attorneys are looking at each case as a family and, 14 15 you know, not just a case. So, we tried to find 16 training opportunities and real life opportunities to 17 try to do that.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: 18 And so, that's 19 mostly through like a close supervision model. One 20 analogy -- and this might not be a fair or [inaudible 21 01:06:08] I've often heard, you know, an example of a 2.2 new progressive district attorney gets elected and, 23 you know, says, you know, and do all these progressive policies, but there are hundreds of ADA's 24 underneath and elected DA who, you know, may have 25

2 different-- you know, might not be totally on board 3 with all of those policies. And since those are the lawyers in the courtroom, you know, sometimes the 4 policies are not trickling down. And so, I think I 5 that's one thing that I just want to kind 6 iust--7 of-- because it's a large staff, you know, a couple 8 hundred lawyers, thinking through just how well their 9 practice is reflecting the priorities of the policy makers-- again, sorry. You are muted. 10

11 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SPUTZ: Yeah. I mean, 12 while it sounds like [inaudible 01:07:06], it really 13 doesn't feel so large because we are broken down by 14 boroughs [inaudible 01:07:14] and so, while short big 15 picture, that seems like it's a lot of staff, we 16 really have it broken down by teams and I also go to 17 talk to every training class and new incoming 18 attorneys and talk about some of these issues around 19 empathy about, you know, the intersection of our work 20 and parents, the responsibility that we have as a 21 government agency, the power that we have, and the 2.2 responsibility that we have, and how it is so 23 important to you, you know, keep in mind that families going to the Family Court are having, you 24 25 know, challenges and, again, to really tried to

2 infuse empathy into the work that we do. And, you 3 know, we also receive feedback from other attorneys, 4 institutional providers and advocates and we meet with them on a regular basis and we are, you know, 5 open to receiving feedback about the work that we do 6 7 and examples of individual cases to try to dig deeper 8 and see where, you know, we can make changes, you 9 know, if we need to.

55

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And I just have two 10 11 more questions and then I will turn it over to 12 Council member ADAMS. I also want to acknowledge we 13 been joined by Council members Gibson and Treyger and-- Gibson and Treyger. And I also-- okay. 14 So, 15 the next question I want to as it was, turning back 16 to the role of preventative services, I-- And 17 Commissioner Hansell or Deputy Commissioner Martin, 18 maybe you can answer this. How are we looking at 19 our-- from a qualitative standpoint-- the 20 effectiveness of different preventative models in 21 diverging families into a, you know, greater 2.2 intervention track of court ordered supervision or 23 foster care. What's our kind of -- are we looking at different models in that regard? 24

2 COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Let me start and 3 then I'll ask Dr. Martin to speak about this in much 4 more detail than I can, but I think, you know, we look at it both quantitatively and qualitatively. 5 You know, we certainly -- You know, when we 6 7 redesigned our system did preparation for, first, the RFP that we issued and then the new contracts that 8 9 went into place in July, that was not a simple process. We spent quite a bit of time doing 10 11 stakeholder engagement. We talked to providers. We 12 talked to parents. We talked to families. We talked 13 to, really, basically, all aspects of the child 14 welfare community, any stakeholder that had any 15 involvement with our prevention program, we did focus groups. So, we did a great deal, in addition to 16 17 looking at our data, which we always do. We also did 18 a very, very large amount of stakeholder engagement 19 that fed quite directly into our redesign of the 20 prevention services system. We changed some of the 21 service models. We actually eliminated a couple of the models that we didn't think were working as 2.2 23 effectively as others. We've expanded some models. We've created a new model and Dr. Martin can talk 24 25 about those. So, I think we certainly did it as

2 part of the process leading up to the redesign of the 3 system we put in place earlier this year and we will 4 continue to do it, I think, through our interactions, certainly, with providers with whom Dr. Martin and I, 5 for that matter, meet with on a regular basis through 6 7 our Parent Advisory Council, really through all the interactions that we have with the families who are 8 affected by the services to hear their perspective on 9 what is working and what isn't working and what could 10 11 work better. And the parent survey that we did for 12 the first time last year and, again, thank you to the 13 Council and you, Chair Levin, for asking us to do That is something that we intend to continue 14 that. 15 doing because we want to continue to have real time 16 reactions from parents about how well the prevention 17 services system is working for them. But, Dr. 18 Martin, why don't you elaborate on that? 19 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER MARTIN: Yeah. Sure. 20 Thank you. That is a really great question and, as 21 the Commissioner said, a lot of what we did before implementing our new contracts is really driven by 2.2 23 research and evidence around positive outcomes for families that we work with. And I think it's 24 important, you know, for us to invest in what works 25

2 for families. So, hearing from families in terms of their satisfaction with prevention services is really 3 4 important to us. You know, at the same time, you know, we understand that every family's needs are 5 different and our system reflects a range of service 6 7 models that allows families to choose and asked to refer families so, the one thing that I think we have 8 9 achieved pretty significantly was ensuring that families, no matter what borough they live in, have 10 access to the models that are in our continue on. 11 12 So, you know, I think, you know, while some families 13 in our continue on can achieve their goals through a case management model, their families face issues 14 15 such as past trauma and behavioral issues, domestic 16 violence, mental health challenges and so on that 17 requires therapeutic services. And so, we also heard 18 that. I think you recall that, previous to July 1, 19 you know, if I was a family living in Queens who had 20 a child under the age of five, but we had faced some 21 significant trauma, unfortunately, the way that our 2.2 system was constructed, that family, because of where 23 we live, would not have had access to that trauma informed model. And so, we really tried to, you 24 know, construct a system where families can have 25

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 59
2	their needs met and we can match them with the
3	services that best meet their needs.
4	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And how are they
5	doing that now during the pandemic where a lot of
6	this work used to be done in person? How is it being
7	done?
8	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER MARTIN: Probably very
9	carefully. And so, our prevention agencies are still
10	serving families. Much of the work is being done
11	virtually, but for families where we must have an in
12	person visit or to collaborate with, for example,
13	with the Division of Child Protection where we must
14	do transition meetings and joint home visits. You
15	know, we first start by ensuring the safety. That it
16	is safe for that family, that it is safe for the
17	staff and, once we have determined that, those visits
18	will continue those in person home visits will
19	continue just as they did pre-COVID. So, for the
20	most part, I would say that the agencies the Division
21	of Child Protection are still collaborating and
22	making those decisions with families and community.
23	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you, Dr.
24	Martin. Commissioner, I wanted to ask one more
25	question and then I'll turn it over to Council member

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 60
2	Adams. I was reading some literature by a Dr.
3	Jessica Price from Florida State University. And she
4	has written about the practice of blind removal
5	meetings that they are engaging with Nassau County
6	on. This is through OCFS who has given a grant to
7	Nassau Cunty to work on blind removal meetings which
8	is, you know, a process that employs a panel a
9	committee to make decisions around, you know,
10	different steps along in the investigator process and
11	when a CPS is present name the facts of the case,
12	they do it in a way that does not make any reference
13	to race or socioeconomic status, I think. [Inaudible
14	01:16:19]. What is Have we been looking at that
15	here in New York City? Have we looked at what has
16	happened in Nassau County and is there any plan to
17	incorporate this process?
18	COMMISSIONER HANSELL: The answer is yes.
19	Nassau County initiated their blind removal process
20	quite a number of years ago. I think as far back as
21	possibly 2011, but it is certainly quite a number of
22	years ago. They have had So, they've got a number
23	of years of experience with it. I don't believe it
24	actually has produced any quantitative data on the
25	impact that it has had on racial disproportionality,

2 but, certainly, the Nassau County folks feel like it 3 is been positive in terms of their ability to address 4 racial disparity issues. And, as a result of that, actually-- this is a very timely question-- OCFS 5 just about two weeks ago has issued a directive to us 6 7 and to all of the counties across New York State to implement blind removal procedures of some kind. 8 So, 9 we, of course, have been talking with Nassau County for some time. We are, obviously, neighboring 10 11 counties. We work with them very closely, so we have 12 been following on a kind of direct, you know, program 13 the program and agency to agency basis the work that they've been doing for a number of years, but now we 14 15 actually are going to be very focused on looking at 16 what the state has asked us to implement in actually 17 developing a plan to do that. So, we will be working 18 on a plan to develop a components of blind removal process based upon the directive that actually has 19 20 just come from the state. So, we're just really 21 beginning the process of figuring out how to do that. 2.2 We also-- I understand we have actually met with Dr. 23 Price and so we do have some familiarity with her, as well. So, this is-- Yes. This is an area we are 24 25 very familiar with and, I think, you know, as

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 62
2	potential to be a contributor to the effort that we
3	are making.
4	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Great. That's good
5	to hear. Okay. I will turn it over to Council
6	member Adams. And we won't have a clock for Council
7	member questions because we don't, I think, have too
8	many Council members to ask questions. So, Council
9	member Adams?
10	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time starts now.
11	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Council member
12	Adams, are you there?
13	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: It appears that
14	Council member Adams is having technical difficulties
15	at this time. Chair Levin, if you would like to
16	continue any questions?
17	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Sure. We've also
18	been joined by Council member Barron. Do any other
19	colleagues have questions that they would like to ask
20	at the moment? Please use the raise hand function.
21	Bear with me. My computer has frozen, as well.
22	[Inaudible 01:20:12]. I hear my one-year-old is up
23	from his nap so he might be making a cameo here.
24	
25	

2 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Council member
3 Adamas appears to be having some technical
4 difficulties.

5 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Oh. I'll just continue to ask some questions here, Commissioner, 6 until Council member Adams is ready. Mr. Hansell, 7 8 the Deputy Commissioner for child and family well-9 being position has been vacant since the beginning of the year. How has this impacted ACS' equity work and 10 11 what's the plan to fill that position?

12 COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Yeah. It actually 13 has not been entirely vacant. We've had an interim 14 Deputy Commissioner, Karen Resnik, who has been in 15 that role. So, we are continuing recruitment for a 16 permanent new Deputy Commissioner, but we have had somebody filling the responsibilities of that 17 18 position. I don't think it's had any impact on our 19 equity strategies work. Our equity strategies team 20 under the leadership of Barbara Turk and with Tyler James who was one of the witnesses today who is our 21 2.2 Dir. of race equity strategies has been continuing 23 their work with regard to implementation of the Equity Action Planning, actually, a lot of other 24 25 activities within the agency. So, you know, our

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 64
2	process of recruitment for a permanent Deputy
3	Commissioner I don't think has had any impact on our
4	equity strategies work at all.
5	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Director James, do
6	you want to speak a little bit about the work you've
7	been doing as the director or race equity strategies?
8	DIRECTOR JAMES: Thank you for that
9	question. So, as the Commissioner outlined in his
10	testimony, we have been very active making sure that
11	we continue to implement the interventions that were
12	outlined in our Equity Action Player, so part of that
13	work required just making sure that our staff
14	continued their ongoing training around implicit bias
15	and structural racism and making sure that we
16	continue to have conversations to have no implicit
17	bias impacts decision-making when it comes to
18	practice. I think something else that we were really
19	able to focus on in manage the Equity Action Plan,
20	looking at the work we've done around our CARES
21	program and seeing that there is the expansion of
22	that in some other boroughs and we'd like to take
23	that citywide. And it's also providing support to
24	the different units around the work they are doing
25	here. And as the Commissioner also mentioned in her
I	

2 testimony, looking to see how we can partner with the 3 National [inaudible 01:23:03] Surface to make sure 4 that we are doing the work that will help us transform our system. So, we been able to be very 5 active when it comes to continuing the work that is 6 7 been set forth on the racial equity in cultural 8 competence committee and making sure that this is not 9 just efforts of one division, but it is an agencywide 10 approach.

11 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Can you just speak 12 a little bit about what the training session would 13 look like with front line staff whether it's CPS or 14 attorneys or, you know, kind of what is their 15 feedback, you know, during the process and what does 16 a session consist of? What does it look like?

17 DIRECTOR JAMES: Right. So there's been 18 times where, now that we are doing this virtually, 19 something we still wanted to make sure we As a 20 component of the course is that staff have the 21 ability to interact with each other and walking this process together or they are able to look at case 2.2 23 studies that examine how implicit bias impacts practice or were they able to implement strategies 24 where they are working at how they could implement 25

some of the bias strategies in their work. So, it is essential that we create the safe space for people to have the conversations and learn together to see what they can do to combat the implicit bias. And I think it is very essential of us to make sure that everyone has that foundation understanding how implicit bias works.

9 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Because one thing just in my, you know, one meeting that I had with CPS 10 11 frontline staff 18 months ago or so, you know, this 12 is an issue that was very front and center in their 13 I could tell. It was very much, you know, minds. the real-- you know, in addition to the kind of 14 15 resources and things like making sure that they had 16 tablets and just kind of things to make the job even 17 more effective, it was, you know, this was something 18 that was sort of front of mind. And so, getting that kind of girl all investment in this effort, I think, 19 20 is, I think, something that would probably be well 21 received by the frontline staff. So-- so, I will 2.2 move over to some issues around foster care. We saw 23 the kinship navigation pilot that ended in June due to funding restrictions and that was, you know, 24 unfortunate and we did what we-- the best we could 25

2 at the Council. But what best practices gleaned from 3 the pilot and what lessons could be embedded 4 systemwide? I guess I will let Commissioner Hansell 5 work Deputy Commissioner Farber--

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Yeah. Definitely 6 7 Deputy Commissioner Farber. I will say that we, too, 8 were sorry not to have the funding to continue that, 9 but I think we did learn a lot from it. And the good news is that our work around kinship has been, I 10 11 think, very, very successful. And even though that particular initiative we didn't have continuing 12 13 funding for, there is a tremendous amount of work 14 that we are continuing to do and I will let Deputy 15 Commissioner Farber speak to it.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER FARBER: Thank you, 16 17 Commissioner, thank you, Chair Levin, for that 18 question and I think the Commissioner covered some of 19 this in his testimony, but we really appreciate the 20 opportunity to talk about the implementation of a 21 real focus on placing children with kin. It is absolutely a strategy around reducing trauma and it 2.2 23 is a very important race equity strategy in terms of maintaining children with people that they know and 24 25 love and are familiar with and still connected with

2 their communities and so forth. And so, as the 3 Commissioner mentioned over the last two and a half 4 to three years, we have very significantly increased the proportion of children placed with kin and with 5 support with the foster care task force and as the 6 7 Commissioner said via other, you know, strategies and 8 resources and investments. And so, we have increased 9 that proportion from 31 percent of children in foster care, now we are almost to 42 percent in children in 10 11 foster care. And we are very please to report that 12 those results are not disproportionate. African-13 American children are placed with kinship care just around the same 41 to 42 percent figure. And so, 14 15 this is a really important initiative for us and 16 something that we are going to continue to focus on. 17 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Have we been able 18 to go-- because the equity plan indicate that 19 monthly kinship data report will be generated from 20 February 2019 onward. How has COVID impacted the 21 monthly totals with this and, I guess, how has COVID 2.2 affected the strategy around kinship placement? 23 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER FARBER: Yeah. Thank

24 you for asking that question and I think you know 25 that we, at kinship from a couple of different

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 69
2	directions. So, first of all, the division of child
3	protection staff under my colleague, Deputy
4	Commissioner William Fletcher, they work to place
5	children in with kin right at the moment of removal
6	as often as possible and then, the foster care
7	agencies also work to move children from non-kinship
8	homes to kinship homes when that is possible. And we
9	are very pleased to report that COVID has not had a
10	negative impact, in fact, even in these last seven
11	months. The proportion of children placed with kin
12	has continued to inch up. So, we are pleased about
13	that.
14	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And then one other
15	question. The equity plan says that, by fall of
16	2019, ECS would conduct an analysis of, quote,
17	unquote, aggregate reasons why black and African-
18	American children are placed into foster care at
19	disproportionately higher rates. What did the
20	analysis say and can you share that analysis with the
21	Council?
22	COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Yeah. I will say a
23	few words and then I will see if director James or
24	Deputy Commissioner White wanted to speak more to it.
25	But, essentially, you know, the analysis that I laid
I	I

1	
1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 70
2	out in the testimony is kind of the framework of the
3	analysis which shows us that, as families, children
4	and families progress through the child welfare
5	system, the disproportion that starts at the front
6	door increases step-by-step and that leads ultimately
7	to the disproportion that we see in children entering
8	foster care. So, a significant piece of the analysis
9	is looking at the stages of the process that
10	ultimately lead to a child being placed in foster
11	care and trying to understand how racial
12	disproportionality gets introduced at each of those
13	stages and then what we can do to offset the
14	disparity. And then, specifically, as I talked about
15	a bit, which is a real focus well, not our real
16	focus, but one of our primary areas of focus which is
17	reducing legal intervention of any kind, with whether
18	it is foster care placement or remand or supervision
19	through prevention services and through more upfront
20	engagement of families with services is what we think
21	based on the work that we have done in the thing that
22	has the most potential to reduce all foster care
23	placement, but also to reduce racial
24	disproportionality in foster care placement. But let
25	

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 71
2	me turn it into either Director James or Deputy
3	Commissioner White to elaborate on that.
4	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Whoever wants to
5	take it. I guess my question is is there an analysis
6	that is like a written analysis or is it more of a
7	kind of broader thing that was incorporated into the
8	[inaudible 01:32:10].
9	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER WHITE: Council member
10	Levin, thanks for the question.
11	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Hi, Deputy
12	Commissioner.
13	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER WHITE: So, yeah.
14	This is ongoing analysis. We are constantly doing
15	it. We are trying to work out the best ways also to
16	measure some of the things we have been talking about
17	today and I think what that Commissioner referred to
18	in his comments just now is just to understand the
19	front door through each step of families experience
20	with ACS. And so, when we looked at 2019 data, a
21	black child in an indicated child protective
22	investigation was 1.6 times more likely to be placed
23	in foster care than a white or Hispanic child and an
24	indicated child protective investigation. So, you
25	know, trying to understand why that is is a more
l	

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 72
2	difficult thing than just looking at the measure,
3	right? But we have data that allows us to understand
4	this disparity and then we can dig into try to
5	understand what is underneath it. But it is
6	something that we have to constantly do.
7	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So, I think Council
8	member ADAMS is ready for her questions, so I will
9	turn it over to her at this time.
10	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time starts now.
11	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: AJ, I don't know if
12	you heard me before, but since there's not a lot of
13	Council members to ask questions, you can ask as many
14	as you like. No time limit.
15	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Chair, it sounds
16	like we are having the same technical difficulties
17	with Council member ADAMS and her audio at this time.
18	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Adrienne, are you
19	there? Or, I guess, if you want to call in to ask
20	your questions through phone line? Do any other
21	Council members have questions to ask? Council
22	member Grodenchik or Barron? So, while we're seeing
23	if we can get Council member Adams back on Deputy
24	Commissioner White, I was curious what are because
25	you are doing a lot of the data analytics under you.

2 What are indicators that you are seeing that are 3 concerning around through the equity lands and what 4 are indicators that you are seeing that we are moving 5 in the right direction?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER WHITE: By far, the 6 7 most concerning is the disproportionality at the 8 front end of the system because that affects every step of the system that follows. And that's not to 9 take any responsibility away from us because there 10 11 are also disparities at every step of the system that 12 we need to address. But that front end, you know, if 13 a black child is about six times as likely as a white child to be in a report of abuse or neglect last year 14 15 and, thus, an investigation and that just shapes 16 everything that follows. So, the mandated reporter 17 work is really fundamental. We need to figure out 18 how to get supports to families sooner. We need to 19 make sure that schools and other folks who are making 20 calls to the SCR understand how to get support and services to families sooner than a crisis. You know, 21 the kind of crisis that arises that leads to the most 2.2 23 intrusive interventions we have is often something that could have been prevented if it was addressed 24 25 sooner. And that's, you know, when we look at on the

1	COMMITTEE	ON	GENERAL	WELFARE

positive side, I think the movement towards 2 3 prevention is really very clearly associated and correlated with the really dramatic reduction in the 4 5 number of children entering foster care. You know, you go back 10 years and about 70 percent more 6 7 children per year enter foster care then today. So, 8 the work we have done in prevention is a really 9 powerful thing and it is also community-based and it really is able to provide the kind of support that 10 11 people need close to home and that is what will keep 12 our system headed in the right direction.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: 13 The causes for-- I 14 guess sort of a broader question -- are we examining 15 different reasons for all of the SCR in identifying which ones are, you know, most inappropriately 16 17 numerous? You know, or that are further -- that are 18 disproportionately exacerbating the 19 disproportionality of the front door in terms of 20 impacts on black families? So, in particular, 21 educational neglect and drug usage. Because one 2.2 piece of data I saw said, you know, black family is 10 times as likely to be called or have an SCR called 23 involving drug usage when we know that drug usage is 24 relatively the same across racial and ethnic lines. 25

2 White families use drugs just as much as black3 families do drugs and vice versa.

4 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER WHITE: I mean, I will say that, certainly, educational neglect, which is, 5 in fact, you know, the majority of calls that come 6 7 from schools are not about educational neglect. Thev 8 are about other things. But, you know, nearly have 9 to relate to educational neglect in those cases are sometimes absolutely legitimate phone calls and very 10 11 serious concerns about what is going on in a family. 12 In other cases, when we get them, we find that we can 13 track them into alternative response into the CARES program. Again, as the Commissioner described in the 14 15 testimony, we are dramatically expanding that 16 program. So, while at neglect is a serious issue, it 17 can be handled in different ways. And, again, when 18 schools have the opportunity to find services in 19 their community for that family and a child is not--20 they're not concerned that a child is at immediate 21 risk of harm, they can make that referral themselves 2.2 and not come through the SCR. So that's critical. 23 And the drug use question, one of the interesting thing that we found in our data analysis is that 24 children entering foster care-- white children 25

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 76
2	entering foster care, about half of them are coming
3	into foster care because of parent substance use and
4	that is very different from black and Latino
5	children. Actually, black and Latino children, it is
6	about 1/4 of entries to foster care are related to
7	parent substance and alcohol use. So, we need to dig
8	underneath that and understand on the white side of
9	the ledger, is that because of opiate use? Things
10	happening in that community? Or is it because we
11	react differently to drug use or are we reacting
12	differently to other allegations for black and Latino
13	families than we are for white families.
14	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Just one other
15	question just about that data. Because this is I
16	think an analysis of that front door, but the SCR
17	complaints, because that is so instructive, as we
18	have all been saying today, that everything follows
19	from that initial call, do we have a is there
20	somebody at OCFS or is there a team or an office at
21	OCFS that is entirely dedicated to analysis of SCR
22	calls and kind of what we are able to interpret from
23	the house analysis? I mean, I know that that is kind
24	of what you do at ACS, but is there a Deputy
25	Commissioner at OCFS that's doing that?

2 COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Well, I'll answer 3 it more in a policy level than the data level and 4 maybe Deputy Commissioner White can answer the data level. But yes. There is a Deputy Commissioner who 5 has responsibility who oversees the SCR. 6 Deputy Commissioner Ghartey Ogundimu. She is, I will say, 7 8 very engaged and focused on these issues and spends a 9 great deal of time talking with us about them. So, I would say, you know, I think OCFS is very much 10 11 focused on them. You know, OCFS, of course, has 12 statewide responsibility and these issues don't look 13 the same across all of the state of New York, so part 14 of what we do-- and, frankly, I would say part of 15 our responsibility is to make-- because, you know, 16 we are one of 58 local social services districts in 17 the state. Where one of 58 child welfare agencies, 18 but, of course, we reflect about two thirds of the 19 state of New York. In terms of popular -- you know, 20 we want to make sure that OCFS truly understands how 21 these issues manifest themselves in New York City, 2.2 which may, in some cases, be different from how they 23 look elsewhere in the state and so that is why we need to have regular conversations with them which 24 25 Deputy Commissioner White can speak to them more than

I can. But I would say that this is an issue, especially more recently, that both Commissioner Poole and Deputy Commissioner Ghartey Ogundimu have been very, very engaged in and really have spent a lot of time with us looking at.

7 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER WHITE: Yeah. I don't 8 have a whole lot to add to that. They have a very 9 good data shop. We work with them pretty regularly 10 on all kinds of things and they certainly have looked 11 at disproportionality at the SCR. In fact, they've 12 shared some of that data with us.

13 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: One thing just to--14 Going back to educational neglect -- and I mean, I 15 understand that everyone is looking at this and I am 16 glad that they are doing that now. I will say that 17 just a couple of weeks ago we had a hearing with the 18 education committee and I asked Chancellor Carranza 19 about children in shelters whose wi-fi capabilities 20 or broadband capabilities were just not working. Т mobile devices weren't working or they don't have Wi-21 Fi at all and there is the city's going to hook up 2.2 23 Wi-Fi to every shelter. I am a little bit dubious that he's going to be able to do that in as quick of 24 a timeframe as he thinks he is going to be able to do 25

2 that because it is a big job for hundreds of 3 shelters, a couple hundred shelters. And, frankly, 4 Chancellor Carranza said, yeah. We are making sure 5 that children intend shelters are able to get swapped out T-Mobile Sim cards with Verizon Sim cards that 6 7 have better broadband coverage. I just want to make 8 sure that families are not catching any CS case 9 because DOE's T-Mobile coverage stinks. You know? Or were they are not getting the device that they 10 11 need or they are in shelter and can't get out of 12 shelter and don't have access to Wi-Fi or any of 13 these reasons. You know, that would be absolutely unconscionable if that was the case. And so, I mean, 14 15 how are we keeping track of that?

16 COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Well, let me say we 17 feel very much the same way and that's why, you know, 18 really, from the very beginning of the pandemic when 19 the schools closed in March, this was the concern 20 that we were very, very worried about. And so, we 21 worked closely with DOE on the initial quidance which 2.2 came out in April which I will say, you know, I am in 23 regular contact in many of my colleagues who are testifying are in regular contact with our colleagues 24 25 around the country and I think we were one of, if not

2 the first call but a jurisdiction in the country to address the issue of the impact of technology 3 4 barriers -- the inappropriate technology barriers on SCR reporting. But we worked very closely with DOE 5 back in April on guidance they issued to say that 6 7 technology barriers in and of themselves were no a 8 reason to call in an SCR report. That they were an 9 issue for the schools to work with parents and families and kids on and then, when schools open in 10 11 the fall with hybrid learning, as I mentioned in my 12 testimony, we work with them on a reissuance of that 13 report. So, I will say I think, from a policy perspective, we have been working very closely with 14 15 DOE on this from the beginning and we have been 16 focused on it from the beginning. And, as I also 17 mentioned in the testimony, we are pleased that the 18 state is now finally, just as of last week, implemented some very similar procedures at the SCR 19 20 that now apply and not just in New York City, but 21 statewide. So, you know, I will defer to my colleagues at DOE and the Chancellor to talk about 2.2 23 how they are addressing technology issues, but I will say that there was a lot of discussion about this 24 issue at the Mayor's press briefing on Monday where 25

1	COMMITTEE	ON	GENERAL	WELFARE
---	-----------	----	---------	---------

the Chancellor was present. That is where the Mayor 2 3 said that they would be making sure that all shelters 4 have Wi-Fi. And I will also say that the Chancellor, I think, at that briefing, was very clear that he and 5 the leadership at DOE understand that issues that 6 7 relate to technology at either having technology or 8 being able to access it through the Internet 9 connectivity are issues for the DOE to resolve, not issues for the child welfare system to resolve. 10 That 11 he is very familiar with the guidance that was issued and that he would reinforce that guidance to all DOE 12 13 staff. So, I will say, at least from a leadership level, I think DOE has been very much in sync with us 14 15 and has been, you know, very supportive of our 16 efforts to make sure that this does it lead to 17 unnecessary reporting into the SCR--18 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Uh-hm. 19 COMMISSIONER HANSELL: and unnecessary 20 involvement in the child welfare system when we shouldn't be involved at all. And also mentioned 21 2.2 that we, you know-- it, of course, is the case that, 23 in many of the situations, as our CPS go out and do

investigations, they have found, as they talk with

families and talk with kids, situations where

24

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 82
2	children are having difficulty connecting and
3	actually CPS is been able to help with that in a lot
4	of situations and, obviously, if we are in a home
5	working with the family and we can help resolve the
6	problem, we will do that. But we don't fundamentally
7	think that should be a response assigned to the child
8	welfare system.
9	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And we are keeping
10	a close eye on SCR calls and educational neglect
11	calls and making sure that, if they are related to
12	you know, that we are taking note when they are
13	related to truancy on remote?
14	COMMISSIONER HANSELL: You know, we
15	followed the data on, you know, both types of calls,
16	the allegations that are made, and who was reporting
17	them very, very closely and that is sort of the basis
18	of our conversations with the state about the SCR.
19	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Yeah. Now,
20	unfortunately, Council member Adams is having some
21	connectivity problems herself and so she asked me to
22	ask her questions on her behalf which is, if we could
23	ask the percentages of white versus black children
24	with regards to the remote versus in person learning
25	in the same question with regards to device versus

1 COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 83 2 Wi-Fi access. So, how many children have device Wi-3 Fi, I think, meaning, I think, broadband versus Wi-4 Fi? COMMISSIONER HANSELL: I think that those 5 are questions that would have to be directed to the 6 7 Department of Education unless, Andrew, do we have any data? I don't believe we do. Those would be 8 9 questions, I think, to DOE. CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. I can take 10 11 that back to her and we'll reach out to DOE on that 12 question. And then, okay. So I think I am-- This 13 will be my last question and it's kind of a broad question, but, you know, the pandemic has been-- has 14 15 given ACS an insight into what happens when the 16 number of SCR calls drops dramatically and so there's less intervention. What are we able to extrapolate 17 18 from that? Are we seeing-- I mean, I don't think 19 we've seen an increase in, you know, severe cases of 20 child abuse. I'm not sure that we're seeing an 21 increase of negative impacts that children that are, 2.2 you know, not just a result of, you know, this kind 23 of isolation that we're all experiencing, but, I mean, are we seeing an increase an what we think of--24 25 I mean, in other words, are we-- does this mean that

1 COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 8 2 we have been over investigating families all along 3 because what we have seen now, as the numbers of 4 dropped, is that we haven't seen, you know, higher

5 levels of crises or catastrophe?

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Yeah. That's a 6 7 very important question and it is one that we spend a 8 lot of time thinking about. I will say, you know, 9 when the pandemic began in mid-March-- Well, began earlier than that, but when it, you know, resulted in 10 11 the closure of the schools and the, you know, shutdown of a lot of activities in the city in mid-12 13 March. We did see a dramatic decline in reports. 14 That is not terribly surprising given who the reports 15 normally come from. About 1/4 of our reports 16 normally come from the schools and schools were 17 closed for in person learning. Of course, they were 18 doing remote we were talking about that here for some 19 A lot of the other service providers that time. 20 would routinely see children were not seeing them 21 during that period. That has changed over time and, 2.2 actually, you know, we are now at a point where our 23 reporting levels are not quite back to what they would normally be or what they were a year ago, but 24 they are much, much, much closer than they were in 25

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 85
2	the early days or early months of the pandemic. So,
3	and we, even in normal years which this certainly
4	is not been. But even in normal years, we see
5	fluctuations in the levels of SCR reporting over the
6	course of the year. They tend to drop during the
7	summer months when the schools are out of session.
8	They tend to drop during holidays. They tend to
9	increase during other periods of the year. So, it's
10	not unusually to see some fluctuations in SCR
11	reports, but, obviously, the pattern we saw this year
12	was quite aborational because of the response to
13	COVID. So, we have been monitoring very closely, you
14	know, to make sure I know, there was a lot of
15	concern, especially early on, that this might have
16	meant that there were children who were isolated at
17	home who were in dangerous situations and were not
18	coming to the attention of either ACS or the child
19	welfare system or others because of reduce reporting.
20	To some extent, we don't know what we don't know, but
21	what we have done is we've looked very closely over
22	time and tracked very closely over time the
23	composition of the reports we were getting because we
24	thought, you know, if we began to see a reduced
25	number of reported, a real tilt towards more serious
I	

2 reports of, you know, more serious physical abuse. 3 That might suggest, in fact, there were concerns. We 4 haven't seen that so much in New York. In fact, what we have seen interestingly a real change in the 5 proportions in reporters. So that where normally 6 7 about two thirds or slightly more than two thirds of 8 our reports come from mandated reports-- about 68 9 percent, I think-- in typical years, during the COVID period, that's dropped considerable and the 10 11 number of reports or portion of reports, I should 12 say, that we get from non-mandated reports who are 13 usually family members, neighbors, you know, 14 community members, has increased which suggest that 15 people are being vigilant or are taking 16 responsibility for making sure that children in their 17 communities are safe which we think is a good thing. 18 So, you know, we have been tracking that very closely 19 and so we know-- you know, we can look at them and 20 we can analyze the data about the reports we're 21 receiving and we, of course, can't, you know, analyze 2.2 because we don't have data on reports we're not 23 receiving. What I would say is that what -- you know, we have learned some things, I think, from the 24 25 COVID period about better ways that we can keep kids

2 safe and ways in which, frankly, we can reduce involvement with the court system and foster care 3 4 that we do want to continue even after the pandemic ends and we have the opportunity to return to more 5 normal operations. I think, you know, we've learned 6 7 a lot more about the value of prevention services 8 and, especially, primary prevention services and, if 9 you like-- if you have a minute, I would love to have Commissioner Dale Joseph talk a little bit about 10 11 the ways in which the work of the FECs, for example, 12 has really shifted during COVID. So, to really 13 frontload our engagement with families who, fortunately, we were not seeing as much through child 14 15 welfare reports, but we were very much engaged with 16 through the primary prevention system. Similarly, we 17 have been doing, as I mentioned in the testimony, a 18 lot more work with our foster care agencies and with 19 the attorneys who represent parents and children 20 outside of the court system to try to expedite reunification of children with families and they are 21 2.2 moving out of the foster care system. So, I would 23 say really that, I think, what we have learned from this. Is that some of the directions in which we 24 have been moving in New York City and at ACS, which 25

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 88
2	is really towards more upstream service oriented
3	involvement with families has proven to be the right
4	approach during this period and, I think, will
5	continue to be in that we have learned, in some ways,
6	that we can continue to do more aggressively outside
7	of the formal child welfare investigative process and
8	outside the formal court process of our system remove
9	the investments we are making in that direction.
10	But, if it is okay, Chairman, could we let
11	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Yes. Of course.
12	COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Assistant
13	Commissioner Dale Joseph speak a little bit about the
14	roles of the FEC's and the partnership programs
15	during this period?
16	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Absolutely. Yes.
17	And how they have been operating since the
18	Commissioner how you've been able to do your work
19	during the pandemic.
20	COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Great.
21	ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER JOSEPH: Sure.
22	Sure.
23	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you.
24	ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER JOSEPH: Thank
25	you, Chair Levin. And, you know, just to build on

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 89
2	the Commissioner's testimony about the role of the
3	family enrichment centers and the community
4	partnerships. They have been phenomenal. They have
5	been flexible. They have worked with the community
6	to address a number of needs. During COVID, of
7	course, there was a lot that had to be curtailed in
8	terms of their hours of operation in order to
9	maintain safety standards. They have gone remote
10	mostly. The partnerships have been connecting with
11	providers. Part of the role of the community
12	partnerships is to make sure that providers are
13	connected to each other so that they can, in turn,
14	connect them to the primary prevention resources than
15	others in the community. The have continued to do
16	that. We have been extremely impressed by their
17	ability to be flexible and their ability to work with
18	each other, make referrals to each other, and to
19	invite providers to their virtual meetings to talk
20	about things happening in the community, whether it
21	is HRA coming to the meetings or Department of
22	Homeless Services. They have extremely instrumental
23	in making sure that providers stay connected to each
24	other and, as a result, we know that community
25	members then stay connected to each other. Family

2 enrichment centers, as you may well know, are place 3 based sites within communities. They, too, have had 4 to curtail their hours of operation, but they have done tremendous work around food distribution, 5 providing PPE to families. They have continued, 6 7 throughout the summer, doing summer virtual camps. 8 You know, families who have been in shelter who were 9 quarantined, one of our community partners and FEC is the partnered together to, actually, provide hot 10 11 meals to families who were guarantined during COVID. 12 So, they have done a tremendous job in making sure 13 that we remain connected to families and communities in ways that is quite seamless. You know, they 14 15 really have not dropped the ball in any way, shape, or for during this crisis, as they have been, 16 17 obviously, focused on their health and the health of 18 their families. They have remained vigilant around 19 making sure that families get the resources they 20 need, maintaining office hours where they can, and 21 helping individuals with a range of resources around 2.2 unemployment benefits, connecting to getting air 23 conditioners. Whatever was needed in the community. The partnerships in the FEC's stepped up. And so, we 24 25 never had a doubt that they were kind of the eyes and

1 COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 91 2 ears within our systems on the ground, really helping 3 families where the need was the greatest. CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And that is an 4 5 ongoing endeavor? That work is ongoing, for sure? COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Absolutely. 6 7 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And one of the other things I was just going to say was that it is, 8 9 you know-- even beyond-- you know, I saw an article this weekend in the New York Times that said, you 10 11 know, the economic impact of COVID is going to stay 12 with New York City much longer on into, you know, another three, four, five years. And so, those 13 resources -- the food resources, the benefits, and 14 15 job training and, you know, all of those things that 16 family enrichment centers could be very helpful with 17 us as [inaudible 02:01:44] in these communities that 18 are going to be ongoing. And, you know, even more 19 important than it was before. 20 COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Yeah. I think that 21 is absolutely right. And, if I may, you know, the 2.2 corollary to what Assistant Commissioner Joseph 23 described in terms of the work of the FECs and the CPPs and the primary prevention-- the corollary to 24 that is what I talked about in my testimony which is 25

2 our expansion of the CARES system because, well, you 3 know, we don't control who calls the SCR--- although 4 we think we could impact it we are trying very hard, 5 but we don't control it. We don't control what the state accepts. We don't control what the state 6 7 refers to us, but we can control what we do with the 8 reports that we get and we think that one of our most 9 powerful tools for doing that is the expansion of the-- what we are now calling the CARES program 10 11 because, you know, when families do come through that 12 door, whether they should or shouldn't-- when they 13 come through that door, we still have the opportunity 14 to focus with them on a response that is framed 15 around what they need, the services that they need, 16 the concrete supports that they need. And so, that's 17 why I think that is important. And I would like to, 18 if I could just give Deputy Commissioner Fletcher an 19 opportunity to say a word about what DCP has learned 20 during the COVID period that is really informing our 21 expansion of the CARES programs. 2.2 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER FLETCHER: Right.

23 So, thank you so much, Commissioner. Yes. And thank 24 you, Chair Levin, for brining this to the forefront. 25 So, our CPS, our specialists, as you all probably

2 know, have been doing a phenomenal job, right, and ensuring the safety of our families and of our 3 4 children. Sometimes at the expense of their own health. Right? So, they've been out there, as the 5 Commissioner noted, our FAR specialists have been out 6 there meeting with families and learning what the 7 families actually need as they navigate through this 8 9 pandemic. And some of the things similar to what Gail just described that the FECs are working on and 10 11 embarking on is making sure that the basic needs of 12 families are being met. Many of our FAR specialists 13 have taken food to families. As they have been out there visiting families, they are noticing that 14 15 families are in need of food. They been taking, for example, back in place because we have families who 16 17 are doubling and tripling up, right? So, we want to 18 ensure that children are sleeping safely. We even have taken cell phones to families who are 19 20 experiencing DV so that, as we safety plan with them, 21 right, they are able to reach out to others when they 2.2 are in distress. So, there been so many things. And 23 what is helped in the Commissioner hasn't given himself credit -- What is helped is our communication 24 25 with community around coping with COVID and teens who

have experienced quite a bit of challenges during 2 3 COVID. Getting that information out and that our 4 frontline staff, along with our FAR specialists are 5 discussing the communication and ensuring that families are able to access any resources or systems 6 7 that they need in order to make it through this 8 pandemic. So, it has been very enlightening for us 9 as we do our work on a day-to-day basis and our staff are so appreciative that, as an agency, we are 10 11 wrapping our arms around families to ensure the 12 safety of children. So, thank you for giving me that 13 opportunity to highlight the work of our frontline 14 specialists.

15 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: No. Thank you, 16 Deputy Commissioner, and I-- you know, I think back 17 to the meeting that we had in Williamsburg quite a 18 bit and, you know, what I remember most of that is 19 just how conscientious the people in that room were 20 of these issues. They were not, in any way, you 21 know, ignoring or blind to these really, really difficult and structural issues and wanted to be 2.2 23 part of figuring out how to dismantle that. And so, I, you know-- I very much got the sense that they 24 will be active participants. One of the things just 25

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 95
2	to note is that, when I left to go home that whatever
3	it was, 6 PM, almost everybody was still in the
4	office. I remember talking to one CPS who was
5	leaving to go work out and then just coming back, you
6	know, in the night to finish the work. So, that was
7	[inaudible 02:06:37]
8	COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Thank you.
9	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So, thank you,
10	Deputy Commissioner. So, I think that that's all if
11	you all go and we have public testimony coming up. I
12	do want to thank Commissioner Hansell. I really
13	appreciate I think there were five deputy
14	commissioners at this hearing, one or two assistant
15	commissioners, a director, that an associate
16	commissioner, and that's really appreciated and that
17	is an indication to me that ACS takes this issue, you
18	know, with the utmost seriousness and that this is a
19	collective work that's going to take a long time.
20	It's not the work of one administration. It's not
21	the work of one Council, but creating the structures
22	in place because this is about dismantling these
23	things. These structural and societal, you know,
24	historical racism doesn't get you know, doesn't
25	get erased overnight. It has to be dismantled and

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 96
2	that is the responsibility of an entire of all of
3	us. And it has to be done in a way that is the
4	effective must be comprehensive and that's really
5	what I'm seeing here by every, you know so many
6	divisions of ACS here as part of this meeting. So, I
7	want to thank you all for your testimony, for your
8	candor, and knowing that we have a lot more work to
9	do. This work is really never done. So, thank you
10	all so much for your time.
11	COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Thank you very
12	much.
13	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And with that, and
14	come in for public testimony.
15	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: While we are on
16	break, I just want us set up a few more reminders in
17	advance about starting our public testimony. I would
18	like to remind everyone that, unlike our typical
19	Council hearings, you will be calling individuals one
20	by one. Panelists will have three minutes to
21	testify. We ask that you limit your testimony to
22	three minutes and, as always, you can submit longer
23	testimony for the record. As far as who our next
24	panelists are going to be, we are going to call you
25	up in the following order: Cheyenne Deopersaud, Zakia

2 Gardener, Joyce McMillan, and Jeanette Vega. And I 3 will repeat this once we resume the hearing in a few 4 All right. Once again, thank you to the moments. members of the administration for your testimony. 5 We are now going to turn to public testimony. Again, 6 7 I'd like to remind everyone that, unlike our typical 8 Council hearings, we are going to be calling on 9 individuals one by one. Panelists are going to have three minutes to testify. We ask that you limit your 10 11 testimony to three minutes and, as always, you can 12 submit longer written testimony for the record. 13 Council members who have any questions for a 14 particular panelist should use the raise hand 15 function in Zoom and I will call on you after that 16 panelist has completed their testimony. For 17 panelists, once your name is called, a member of our 18 staff will unmute you and the Sergeant-at-arms will 19 then give you the go-ahead to begin your testimony 20 upon setting the timer. Please note that you should 21 wait for the Sergeant to announce that you may begin before you begin to deliver your testimony as there 2.2 23 is a slight delay with the unmuting function. So, the next four panelists are going to be in this 24 order: Cheyenne Deopersaud, Zakia Gardener, Joyce 25

4

2 McMillan, and Jeanette Vega. And we are going to3 begin with Cheyenne Deopersaud.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time starts now.

5 CHEYENNE DEOPERSAUD: Hi, everybody. My name is Cheyenne. I go to city College. 6 I am a freshman and I just want to say like thank you for 7 8 taking out your time to listen to us and being here. 9 I am a Fair Futures advocate and I have been in foster care for a little over three years now. 10 11 Almost four. And, as the Commissioner said before, 12 all of these things like he is saying he is being 13 questioned on, I think they work really, really hard 14 at us, as foster youth and kids who are in foster 15 care, we have lived through this whole entire story. 16 We are going through all of this. And we have reaped the benefits, really, of what they are doing and what 17 18 Julie Farber is doing. The Commissioner is doing. 19 And I have a coach. For the past four years I've had 20 a coach and her name is Zakia and I think that I 21 wouldn't be at the place where I am right now without 2.2 my coach because I, at the moment, marking really 23 I worked two jobs. I go to school. hard. I am a full-time student and on the side I'm also a Fair 24 25 Futures advocate and I think that, without my coach,

1	COMMITTEE	ON	GENERAL	WELFARE
---	-----------	----	---------	---------

I wouldn't be able to do all of these things because 2 3 she motivates me and she helps me go through the daily struggles of if I am going to be able to do all 4 5 these things, if I am going to be happy throughout the day, what I am going through. She speaks to me 6 7 all the time. And I just think coaches are super, super important because being a foster kid, we don't 8 9 have like parents than everybody else has and we don't have that support that everybody else has and 10 11 it sucks because, as a student, as a kid, is just 12 somebody, you deserve like support. But, as foster 13 kids, we don't have that support and we rely-- or at 14 least me. I rely heavily on my coach and from--15 going into foster care, I relied on her and she was 16 like my rock and I didn't have anybody else to rely 17 on and I just think that my coach plays such a big 18 part like almost as a mother figure in my life. She 19 plays such a big part in my life and, if I didn't 20 have my coach, I wouldn't be the person that I am 21 today. If you know me in real life, I'm really 2.2 bubbly and personal and I like to help out and I'm 23 doing this, everything. I'm always in everything and I don't think that I would be able to do that without 24 my coach, honestly. I wouldn't be the person I am 25

1	
	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 100
2	without my coach and my coach motivates me and she's
3	the reason that I want to go to school. She is the
4	reason why I am a go-getter. Why I want to do all
5	these things and have all these opportunities and I
6	just think that she is really, really special and I
7	am happy to be an advocate for coaches in Fair
8	Futures. And I will forever, even when I age out of
9	foster care, I will forever be an advocate for
10	coaches because I think they are so, so important and
11	I think that I just want to give like that little
12	tidbit of my life into why
13	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired.
14	CHEYENNE DEOPERSAUD: I think coaches are
15	really important. And that's all I anted to say at
16	the moment or if you have any questions or anything.
17	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you,
18	Cheyenne. Which agency is your coach affiliated
19	with?
20	CHEYENNE DEOPERSAUD: I'm in Heart Share
21	St. Vincent's and my coach's name is Zakia.
22	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So, that is Zakia
23	that Hi. That's very good. We know that we saw a
24	lot more work to do in terms of getting Fair Futures
25	kind of on a longer, sustainable path in terms of

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 101
2	making sure that it is available for young people,
3	you know, well into, you know, their 20 used to have
4	that relationship there and it is the very least that
5	I think we could do as a city to help transition into
6	adulthood. It's not easy for anybody to transition
7	into adulthood. I remember transitioning into
8	adulthood and it was not easy for me. So
9	CHEYENNE DEOPERSAUD: Yeah. Exactly.
10	Like regular kids It's sad to think that I'm not a
11	regular kid. I'm just a foster kid, but regular kids
12	have the supports
13	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: You are an
14	extraordinary kid.
15	CHEYENNE DEOPERSAUD: Thanks. You know,
16	the kids have support of their parents well into
17	their like 30s, but, when we turn like, what? 21,
18	were just you know, we are able to take care of
19	ourselves and whatever that means and, for me, me
20	advocate for Fair Futures and I want to get a
21	baseline so not for me, my generation, and the
22	subcrime bo not for mey my generation, and the
	generations after me can have the benefits and, you
23	
23 24	generations after me can have the benefits and, you
	generations after me can have the benefits and, you know, just be happy and regular. I don't want to

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 102
2	nor age out of foster care. I just really can't.
3	And it's sad that I have to think about one day I am
4	going to lose my coach and it's just heartbreaking at
5	least for me.
6	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: I think that
7	relationship will be there, but
8	CHEYENNE DEOPERSAUD: It's not going to
9	be the same if I lose my coach. You know? And I'm
10	still young and it's really sad that I have to think
11	about it in a few years what's going to happen to me?
12	You know what I mean? It's just sad. I don't want
13	to go through that.
14	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Well, we will do
15	whatever we can to keep up and make sure we are doing
16	our part. And I will keep in touch with [inaudible
17	02:21:18] and make sure that you are getting all the
18	resources you need.
19	CHEYENNE DEOPERSAUD: Yeah. Thank you so
20	much. It really, really means a lot to not my
21	generation another generations after me, too.
22	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: You're looking
23	after yeah.
24	CHEYENNE DEOPERSAUD: Yeah. There's 8000
25	other kids just like me that are scared just like me.
	I

1 COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 103 In foster care and I'm just happy that we 2 You know? 3 have a lot of advocates and the Commissioner and Julie Farber to like fight for us and speak about our 4 5 problem. CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Cheyenne, thank 6 7 you. Thank you for your testimony and I think you're going to do great things. Stick with it. 8 9 CHEYENNE DEORPERSAUD: Thank you for listening. 10 11 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: You got it. He got 12 it. Thank you. Have a good day. 13 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, 14 Cheyenne. Before I call on Zakia, I want to 15 acknowledge that we have been joined by Council 16 member Rosenthal. And now, calling on Zakia Gardner 17 to testify. 18 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time starts now. 19 ZAKIA GARDENER: I am Zakia. Right now, 20 I go to Kingsborough. I am a media arts major and, 21 for me, that really would not have been possible had I not had two very essential people in my life. 2.2 Μv 23 coach, Aisha at Heart Share and my dorm project college success coach who I recently actually got 24 25 switched because pandemic. Wild. But those two

1 COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 104 2 people--I was in college for, basically, no reason 3 at first. I was like, well, I am meant to work 40 4 hours a week and I have to go to college and I'll 5 become a psychologist because that is what I am interested in. And then I realized that I was doing 6 terribly because I didn't want to be doing that. 7 Mv 8 mother, the year before-- that very spring before I 9 graduated had passed away and my father had a stroke and left him unable to speak right before them. 10 The 11 relationship with my family, like my grandmother who 12 was my foster parent had just passed, too. The only 13 person was my uncle who things were very tense with. 14 There was not someone to guide me. So, for me, in my 15 mind, I was like, just let me get through it. The attitude of just get through it was -- I had, 16 17 literally, less than a one GPA. It was like 18 literally close to a full percent. It was not a full What is that? If I did not have a coach to 19 percent. 20 literally sit down with me and talk to me and not 21 scrutinize and not try to force therapy and force 2.2 these things on me and actually humanizes me and 23 bring opportunities to me as were optional and of my volition. I would probably have the same anti--24 Ι don't want to involve myself with the agency. Also, 25

2 I now just like my brother because it would be 3 easier. I would've not been able to even take 4 advantage of those opportunities had I not have 5 someone to come to me and present all these things that I could be doing with myself and all these 6 7 potential things that I could be doing. I would 8 still be at this other school pursuing things I don't 9 care about in doing terribly at it. Now, my GPA is literally a 3.8 and she is only going up because I've 10 11 had a tutor to sit and time manage with me and teach 12 me that. I had to want to encourage me to go to 13 therapy and to stop quitting out on it and stop just-14 - It's too hard. I don't want to talk about these 15 thing. I recently got recently diagnosed with ADHD 16 which is a revelation for me. That was impacting so 17 many things for me and I would not have ever come to 18 that conclusion. I would've never been able to seek 19 the help or the resources if I didn't have a coach to 20 be like you should do something about this. This is 21 of your volition. This is only to help you to quide 2.2 me through those things and not tell me this is what 23 you need to do and this is how it is to be done. Ιf I hadn't had someone to humanize me in that way and 24 that didn't give the authoritative like looming 25

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 106
2	presence that a lot of figures at the agency,
3	unfortunately, kind of do give off, I would still
4	probably had a skimped GPA. I would've had,
5	literally, no ambition to do anything. Since then, I
6	have started so many things. I have started to sell
7	my art. I've started to actually create things. I
8	could not have been anywhere near that. Like to
9	think of my coach being changed or
10	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired.
11	ZAKIA GARDENER: Pardon?
12	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: That's your time is
13	expired, but keep going. You can keep going.
14	ZAKIA GARDENER: Oh, yeah. That's the
15	end of my point. To think of that being changed or
16	anything like that, like that is like for me it
17	worked out because I got to have that, but the
18	question how to get questionable for other kids,
19	like that is necessary for people who really, but
20	genuinely have no one to guide them or no other means
21	of that. So, it's necessary. Need it.
22	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you. And
23	thank you for being there for other young people
24	because that's how we get each other through. You
25	know, with everything going on in the world, we rely
I	

1 COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 107 2 on one another to make it through and, for you to do 3 that for other young people is really admirable and, 4 in addition to doing all of your schoolwork which, congratulations. 5 ZAKIA GARDENER: 6 Thank you. 7 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Yeah. Keep up the 8 good work. 9 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, that Zakia. Now, all: Joyce McMillan to deliver 10 11 testimony. 12 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time starts now. 13 JOYCE MCMILLAN: Thank you, Council 14 member Levin, and everyone else who put this panel 15 together today. I want to just start by saying, when 16 school closed and mandated reporting was down, the 17 Commissioner did a lot of marketing utilizing fear 18 tactics of children who look like me being unsafe at 19 I want to congratulate the two young ladies home. 20 just spoke for their successes, but I do want to 21 point out that that is not the success of most 2.2 children who enter this system. In New York, 65 23 percent of children who enter the foster care system enter for reasons related to neglect. 64 percent in 24 the United States. Eight percent of those children 25

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 108
2	enter the system for physical abuse compared to 13
3	percent in the United States and everything else in
4	between. Black children represent a larger
5	percentage of foster population than do their general
6	population, yet, ACS, better known in my community as
7	the family regulation destruction system, continues
8	to manipulate foundations and others to financially
9	invest in the decades long failures with many
10	commissioners at the helm as they continue to try to
11	get it right. The biggest problem has been and is
12	still separating poverty from neglect. So, I have
13	heard the Commissioner say, well, Mr. Hansell, if you
14	and your army of agents can't decipher between
15	poverty and neglect, you should all be fired
16	immediately. Not only are black children removed at
17	disproportionate rates, they remain in foster care
18	longer. The audit is to answer for the reason of
19	disproportionality is we are still seen as slaves in
20	this country. The 13th amendment clause was for us.
21	Black people. After the emancipation, we were locked
22	up for nonsense reasons to continue free labor. That
23	slave mentality has grown into mass incarceration and
24	foster placement. Where they destroyed children and
25	support failed outcomes by doing all the things that

1 COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFAR
-------------------------------

2	we know will create hardships for the very children
3	they claim to protect. The first thing a child needs
4	besides their parents' love is stability. The first
5	thing removed when they enter the foster care system
6	is stability. Children change homes, schools,
7	doctors, and everything else regularly and every time
8	they change homes, their five senses are interrupting
9	and rise to orange alert. In the foster placements,
10	they see different items of color at their forced new
11	location. Children smell different scents at that
12	location. The cleaning products, lotion, seasonings
13	used, so forth and so on. Their taste, the
14	seasonings, the way the food is cooked and prepared.
15	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time.

16 They hear background JOYCE MCMILLAN: 17 noises, music, television shows, the sound of the authoritative figure, their touch, what they feel, 18 19 the sheets they sleep on, the material of the couch or the chairs in the table. Their toothbrush at home 20 21 was made of soft bristles, now it's medium. In 2.2 addition to all of those changes, there is also a 23 change in the rules and regulations of each location. The child is the one needing protection, so they say, 24 25 when they remove them, but when the case manager who

rarely visits the foster resident spends time with 2 the hired adult at that residence, they don't even 3 4 talk to the child they placed there. They spend 5 their time talking to that foster adult about the problems the child is having and, basically, blaming 6 7 them for the problems after everything we know 8 they're going through emotionally and mentally. The 9 hired adults are happy with ACS. They tolerate--Are not happy with ACS, either. They tolerate the 10 11 bullshit for the check. Children are placed on medication for that poor behavior that we spoke about 12 13 and no one knows why they are exhibiting the 14 behaviors. The bottom line is New York's average of 15 removing children for reasons related to neglect, or 16 poverty that is framed as neglect, is neck and neck 17 with the national average of removals for related 18 circumstances. But the Commissioner and all his 19 agents are still confused about that. Children who 20 experience the family destruction system are harmed 21 mentally and emotionally. It doesn't take Einstein 2.2 to tell you this is wrong and is being done 23 purposefully because no system built to protect children what do those things and claimed not to 24 The failure of these children are set up 25 understand.

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 111
2	for it is designed to lead them into mental
3	institutions and incarceration making ACS the
4	prerequisite for their next stop. Incarceration.
5	The family regulation destruction system is designed
6	to separate families generationally for federal
7	incentives, so, I guess in that case, Commissioner
8	Hansell is doing a great job. Commissioner Hansell
9	is doing his part to bring federal dollars into New
10	York so those dollars can be distributed for high-
11	priced services that does nothing to support the
12	homes or the family life where the children
13	originated from. To stop disproportionality, we have
14	to rid our society of the false narratives,
15	surveillance, and poverty. We can begin by providing
16	financial resources to families instead of paying
17	hired adults after children are removed from reasons
18	that they should have never been removed. We can
19	also begin to utilize mandated reporters to support
20	instead of report. I was happy to hear the
21	Commissioner say that earlier, so I hope he supports
22	my next legislation because he said a lot of things
23	today that I don't believe he will really stick to
24	because it is for the aesthetics. Mandated reporters
25	are the people mainly who have relationships with the

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 112
2	families that they end up reporting, leaving people
3	no opportunity to have anyone to speak to and be
4	provided confidentiality and resources for whatever
5	issues they may be facing. No one is happy with this
6	system except those who are benefiting off the back
7	of it. Right, Jeremy Cohaban? And the rest of the
8	foster care presidents who are so fancy every day. I
9	know I am not happy. My family was touched by the
10	system 21 years ago and what they did to my children
11	still reverberates throughout my house today and I
12	won't stop. Thank you.
13	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you, Joyce.
14	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, Joyce.
15	What I'll call on Jeanette Vega.
16	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time starts now.
17	IMANI YVONNE WORTHY: Hello. My name is
18	actually Imani Yvonne Worthy and I work with Jeanette
19	Vega as a parent leader at Rise and I'm also a parent
20	who has been impacted by the child welfare system.
21	Here is my truth and my reality. On April 19th,
22	2019, I read a news article about a white actress,
23	Jenny Mullen, who dropped her son on his head. As a
24	result, he wound up having a fractured skull. She
25	talked about how hard it was for her as a mother and

2 that she was so thankful for the staff at the 3 hospital in Manhattan. I remember reading her story 4 and her saying it was a mother's worst nightmare. I 5 felt some kind of way, though, as I read this article because my nightmare as a mother was double fold. 6 When my son was injured, I became an alleged child 7 abuse her. I didn't have time to focus on the 8 9 devastation of my child's injuries. I was too worried about losing him. I was worried that, at 10 11 just six months, he would go off to be raised by 12 another family, separated from me from something that 13 was unintentional. To this day, I wonder if ACS ever 14 knocked on Jenny Mullen's door. Did they go to the 15 hospital and interrogate her during her emotional turmoil? She had an opportunity to write about her 16 17 woes in the newspaper. She was able to use her 18 voice. She had probably received so much sympathy. 19 The child welfare system should not be I did not. 20 based on punishing parents, mainly minority parents, 21 for mistakes. Instead of separating and destroying families, it needs to be here and it should focus to 2.2 23 preserve and protect the family dynamic. It should be culturally implicit and respectful of all 24 different backgrounds. It should aim to build 25

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 114
2	stronger communities and to empower families as a
3	whole, not just as one part. Now, you'll hear from
4	Jeanette Vega.
5	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you so much.
6	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Now, we'll call on
7	Jeanette Vega.
8	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time starts now.
9	JEANETTE VEGA: Hi, everyone. I'm
10	Jeanette Vega, Rise's assistant director. At Rise,
11	we work with hundreds of parents throughout the year;
12	parents who have been affected by child welfare that
13	claim to support families in New York City. This is
14	the same system that causes trauma, stress, and shame
15	to the parents and the children. These parents that
16	we work with all are also black and brown parents and
17	parents who live in low income communities who are
18	guilty of poverty. Parents who reached out for help
19	and got a hotline call and an investigation from the
20	people they trusted during their hard times in life.
21	When we continue to structure child welfare and
22	family support as they are now, it is to continue
23	with system that is widely recognized as racist in
24	design and impact. ACS help comes with the child
25	welfare case. Families without cases cannot access

2 ACS supports. Despite the best intentions people may 3 have working within the system of protecting the well-being of children, the child welfare system 4 reproduces cycles of harm and trauma that impact 5 black and brown low income communities. This is 6 7 unacceptable and must and. At Rise, we hear 8 constantly that families are fearful of the support 9 ACS claims to provide. I'm sure you're hearing the same from your black and brown constituents. And you 10 11 can see it in the numbers. ACS's most recent data shows that families did not utilize ACD-funded 12 13 preventive services during the pandemic. During 14 these most stressful months for families, even though 15 many community organizations were working non-stop to 16 support families. During the pandemic, families need 17 and still basic needs are cleaning supplies for their 18 home. Some parents don't understand technology and 19 our teachers now for their children, but the system 20 punishes families for their struggle instead of 21 providing support that is needed to de-escalate these 2.2 situations. So, parents rather hide their struggles 23 then reach out to any agency that is connected to ACS. Obviously, parents do not trust ACS based 24 25 services or consider preventive supports to be useful

or even relevant to the actual needs that families 2 ask and say that they need. It is critical to 3 4 aligning city spending with family's real needs and move our dollars into community supports that are not 5 connected with ACS. To be clear, that means to us 6 7 committing to a defined ACS and to start funding our communities. I am sure that Counsel has heard and 8 9 seen and heard parents and advocates calling for the abolition of the child welfare system. We know that 10 11 the current child welfare system does not work in 12 simply calling for the reform from the system will 13 not work either. We are seeking to address the pain, fear, and hurt that people are carrying from a place 14 15 of compassion, care, and humanity. In our 16 communities, schools, sports, and school programs, 17 mental health supports, affordable and safe housing, crisis service are often inaccessible--18 19 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired. 20 JEANETTE VEGA: rather than target 21 communities [inaudible 02:39:36] child welfare system targets individual families. What we ask is that one 2.2 23 greater investment of city dollars in strategies such as community based parent advocates, counselors, and 24 parent advocates for parents navigating children's 25

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 117
2	behavior, education needs, real supports that
3	families say they want. Creation of a family support
4	hotline that parents can call, confidential
5	information about community-based services with
6	parents and advocates designing that protocol and
7	assisting. When we come together with a shared
8	vision, we can transform ways of being. When we come
9	together united innovation to protect, heal, and
10	build each other starting with people most impacted
11	by systems, our collective actions have the power to
12	transform the ways we support children, parents, and
13	families in New York City. Not with punishments,
14	surveillance, and separation, but rather sensing
15	family's real needs and rights to access resources,
16	information and support. Rise and other advocates
17	are proposing today that you, the Council, be the
18	first step towards making that vision happen for our
19	families. As parent advocates impacted by child
20	welfare, we are calling out for you. We are calling
21	out for the abolition of the child welfare system.
22	Thank you.
23	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you,
24	Jeanette. Sorry. I just wanted to ask a quick
25	

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 118
2	question to Imani. How is everything going with your
3	family now?
4	IMANI YVONNE WORTHY: We're fine. We're
5	together again, but it was an experience.
6	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: How long was your
7	child removed from your household?
8	IMANI YVONNE WORTHY: I want to say
9	around six months.
10	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Oh, my gosh.
11	IMANI YVONNE WORTHY: But I think that it
12	could be cut in half. I remember you saying that,
13	you know, the CPS workers [inaudible 02:41:26] and I
14	can believe that, but as a parent who has been in
15	[inaudible 02:41:31], what I saw was overworked
16	people. Overworked and unorganized people. I was
17	more organized than my CPS worker. So, everything he
18	said was beautiful. It sounded beautiful, but my
19	reality was completely different. Thank you so much
20	for asking about my family.
21	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Yeah. Yeah. Best
22	to you and your family.
23	IMANI YVONNE WORTHY: Yeah.
24	
25	

10

19

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And thank you for
being here to testify and giving your experience
today.

5 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, Imani, 6 and thank you Jeanette. I'll now call on our next 7 panel. The following panelists will be Taylor 8 Thomas, Ron Richter, Jeremy Kohomban, and Jusinta 9 Ernul. We will begin with Taylor Thomas.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time starts now.

11 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Taylor, it appears 12 that your audio was not working or is not on. We're 13 seeing you but we're not hearing you. Okay, Taylor. We are still having technical difficulties, Taylor, 14 15 with your audio, so we're going to move on to the 16 next panelist at this time and see if we can figure 17 out those technical difficulties. So now I'm going 18 to call on Ron Richter.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time starts now.

20 RON RICHTER: Good afternoon. I'm hoping I 21 can be heard and seen. It is a pleasure to be here 22 and thank you, Chair Levin, for giving me this 23 opportunity, giving JCCA this opportunity and it's a 24 real honor to be able to present with advocates like 25 Jeanette Vega and Cheyenne and Zakia and Imani and

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 120
2	the administration. I am part of JCCA which is a
3	foster care and family services organization in New
4	York City and I appreciate Joyce McMillan's
5	authenticity in her anger with respect to a system
6	that she and other think needs to be overhauled,
7	changed, jettisoned completely. It's a system that I
8	have worked in since I was a lawyer representing
9	children and family count in 1991 and have played
10	multiple roles in what I agree is a system fraught by
11	institutional and systemic racism. My agency spent a
12	good deal of time in the pandemic providing direct
13	financial support to families much like ACS described
14	and found that ability to provide cash assistance to
15	families who were struggling in gendered great trust
16	in ways differently than we had before. And I think
17	that was an important lesson that we learned. The
18	extent to which our unconscious, implicit biases
19	affect our work and the time constraints in which
20	child protective specialists and judges all are asked
21	to do their work is the critical factor in the
22	recessed outcomes that we have and that training is
23	not enough. While some people think that predictive
24	analytics and predictive risk modeling and child
25	welfare are dangerous, they are a tool that may, in

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 121
2	fact, results and outcomes that are better, including
3	for fewer children being the subject of
4	investigations that we have now. And re-conceding
5	how we engage in child welfare practices is long
6	overdue. We have been complaining about the kinds of
7	outcomes we have had and I would urge the Counseling
8	listings for Allegheny County, Pennsylvania and
9	Denver, Colorado and
10	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired.
11	RON RICHTER: Los Angeles, California where
12	we are using more they are using social science in a
13	different, modern way to reduce investigations and to
14	more accurately pinpoint children who are truly at
15	risk. Again, not uncontroversial, but different and
16	more modern and that's probably more white children
17	being in the system, but a far more objective
18	approach makes things social science with human
19	error, which is why we have the biased outcomes that
20	we've been seeing from when there were 50,000
21	children in care to win there were 8500 children in
22	care. Same disproportionate number of black
23	children. So, I would urge you, Councilman Levin, to
24	focus on shifting the way we do our child welfare
25	

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 122
2	work and science that has advanced in the last 30
3	years. I really appreciate your time.
4	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you, Ron. I
5	will look into those other counties.
6	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, Ron. We
7	will now call on Jeremy Kohomban from the Children's
8	Village.
9	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time starts now.
10	JAMILA BOKKOM: Thank you, Chair Levin
11	and other Council members for this opportunity and
12	also for those who have already provided really
13	powerful and important testimony. My name is Jamila
14	Bokkom. I am the vice president for advancement at
15	the Children's Village and I will be sharing some
16	prepared testimony on behalf of Dr. Jeremy Kohomban,
17	the president and CEO of the Children's Village and
18	also the president of Harlem Dowling, two
19	organizations founded in New York City from the early
20	1800s. Racial disparities in child welfare are the
21	data supported fact. We often see black children
22	separated from their families faster. They penetrate
23	the system to higher levels of care faster. They
24	stay longer and, among those children aging out at 18
25	or 21, black children exit with the worst outcomes.
l	

1	COMMITTEE	ON	GENERAL	WELFARE
---	-----------	----	---------	---------

2 The facts are clear. If you are born to a poor 3 family of color and lived in one of our intentionally 4 and deeply segregated communities with what we know our poorly resourced and failing schools, you are a 5 target family separation. There are times when 6 children must be separated from family, but it does 7 not need to happen as often as it stands. Thanks to 8 the leadership of Commissioner Hansell, we are making 9 bold steps, we believe, to reverse decades of 10 11 practice, however, the power of the implicit bias, 12 the very real problems caused by racial segregation, 13 and the fear among frontline staff, frankly, of making the wrong decision, will continue to 14 15 needlessly separate families of color. While we wait 16 for the political will to create racially integrated 17 in a more equitable city, here are three actions that 18 can reduce the racial disparities. First, is 19 continue to invest in prevention services. Second is 20 invest in family enrichment centers. Our segregated communities need safe and beautiful spaces staffed by 21 locally credible and skilled staff. Our three family 2.2 23 enrichment centers are doing that beautifully and we need more. Finally, create residential programs that 24 25 provide the entire family the opportunity to

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 124
2	participate in substance abuse treatment, but 30
3	percent of families touched by child welfare report
4	at least one. Dealing with substance abuse. Among
5	middle class and wealthy families, parents' substance
6	abuse does not usually lead to family separation
7	because they have financial resources and people in
8	their lives to help protect children. However, when
9	poor and socially isolated families, parents'
10	substance abuse is a very real risk because drugs can
11	compromise the natural instincts that periods have to
12	protect their children. The bottom line is substance
13	abuse is a disease that could be treated. Evidence
14	supports the efficacy of family inclusion in
15	substance abuse treatment and children who watch
16	their parents fight the disease can be a powerful
17	support
18	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired.
19	JAMILA BOKKOM: in the process. And
20	being part of the treatment process allows children
21	to rebuild their own resilience. Recent federal
22	funding through the Family First prevention services
23	act provides us the opportunity to develop this
24	essential capacity in New York. What children need
25	

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 125
2	most is the love and belonging of family. Needless
3	separation is destructive. Thank you for your time.
4	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, Jamila.
5	I will now call on Jusinta Ernul.
6	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time starts now.
7	JUSINTA ERNUL: Hi. Good afternoon. If
8	you can I was just released from the mute
9	functionality on zoom.
10	CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Yes. Of course.
11	JUSINTA ERNUL: Thank you very much.
12	Good afternoon, everyone. My name is Jusinta Ernul
13	and I would like to thank the Chairman Stephen Levin
14	for the opportunity to share the realities of child
15	welfare and Family Court racial hatred, bigotry, and
16	gender-based violence that, unfortunately, the smoke
17	screen is there, but the fire is not being removed.
18	I am currently impacted by the child welfare system.
19	And so, as I listened to the lullaby stories was
20	nauseated. I certainly do not feel that I have the
21	liberty or the option to benefit from any of these
22	mysterious services that are available for families.
23	My family has been impacted for the last 22 months.
24	I have not been able to see my children physically or
25	in person for the last 10 months. I have been forced

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 126
2	open coerced, degraded, humiliated, demoralized,
3	undermined, and made out to be characterized as
4	intellectually, developmentally disabled and reduced
5	to infant like functionality unable to care for my
6	children and I am in quite shock as a system size
7	industrial engineer not with 1°, but 3°,
8	independently owned my own company to that honestly
9	not see the judicial malicious prosecution, to see
10	the corruption, healthcare fraud, and the money
11	scandals go unaccountable for to impact my children,
12	to teach them to disassociate themselves from me, to
13	teach them that I am a threat to them, to not provide
14	services and to not be held accountable is
15	astonishing. This is a multibillion-dollar, what I
16	would call the Ricoh cartel family that dominates the
17	black and brown communities and literally terrifies
18	anyone that speaks up for themselves. I was told, do
19	I know how to be timid? I was told to not use a
20	black therapist. I was told that way. Should no
21	longer have interaction with my children. My father
22	is a retired Sergeant from the New York City Police
23	Department and he was told he was too old to keep up
24	with the children. I am highly disgusted and
25	disappointed that the city Council and the ACS

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 127
2	fraudulent baby hospital to prison pipeline has not
3	stopped. This is not about a matter of giving
4	training. These people literally need to be fired
5	and held to the same standards of the criminal
6	reform. I am looking for body cams. We're looking
7	to apply the same reform for the criminal justice
8	system
9	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired.
10	JUSINTA ERNUL: to be applied to CPS
11	criminal justice system. There was nothing civil
12	about CPS. We are treated like inmates and the
13	conditions are unbefited for even animals to survive.
14	And my heart goes out to those two young ladies who
15	need ongoing support because they want their coaches.
16	Well, mother who comes from a two parent home and
17	also the father from a two parent home and ACS would
18	rather pay a foster care stranger money to take care
19	of my kids where it almost ended up in a criminal
20	activity because we have over 50 family members and
21	there was no need for ACS intervention to not offer
22	us the court ordered supervision as they offered the
23	white and Asian children and to falsely put my
24	children into foster care. Not only am I disgusted,
25	I am angry that I have called everyone within ACS.

2 No one answers the telephone. No one responds to 3 You get sent around when you contact the ACS emails. ombuds group. They, literally, the cycle you back 4 through the most abusive, predatory sex offenders who 5 invest in human trafficking of children. 6 I am 7 disgusted to know that my children had to go on 8 political asylum to the state of Virginia to prevent 9 them from being put into a stranger's house because you have a case social worker by the name of Jennifer 10 11 Goldstein who says she can lie to the judge and get away with it. So, ACS has been known to twist 12 13 children's testimonies around, to twist and 14 misrepresent Dr. medical records, as well as 15 clinicians and third-party collateral support. This system is not broken. It is designed for them as 16 17 incarceration, poverty, homelessness, drug abuse, and 18 gang association. And the fact that we are sitting 19 herein gave them two hours to put everyone to sleep 20 with the lullaby story is a shame on everyone because 21 it is a complicit, straight up racist bigotry system I have ever met and what I would like to know is when 2.2 23 are they going to return my children? I not only own one home, I own several. The whole reason I was told 24 to so multibillion-dollar property to invest into the 25

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 129
2	most fraudulent child welfare system which is
3	equivalent to a Jerry Springer show. So, I am
4	looking for the resources to speak to someone about
5	returning my children, to complying with the court
6	orders, and to provide the child welfare services
7	that were said to be offered to all, but only to
8	certain ZIP Codes. This situation is no different
9	than the coronavirus when we had the first initiation
10	of the PPE masks. The black communities were beaten.
11	They were assaulted and arrested and they were
12	institutionalized for the beginning of the
13	coronavirus. In comparison to the affluent
14	communities, they were incentivized and encouraged to
15	use the masks and so you see the same perils that
16	exist in the Department of Education. You see it
17	exist in the rollout of coronavirus but, however, the
18	coronavirus pandemic model only illustrated the
19	inequities of child welfare system, Dave Hansell
20	should be fired from his position. Avila should be
21	fired. Ms. Neggie [inaudible 02:59:11] should be
22	fired, Mr. Constance, and Ms. Depora [sp?]. never
23	should anyone hang the phone up on me and I have to
24	call the police to get involved because of kidnapping
25	and not letting me know where my children are and,

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 130
2	according to the ACS handbook, if it's outdated, we
3	need to get an updated copy. Why am I not allowed to
4	read to my children, to do homework with my children,
5	the to be involved in their life? My children are
6	not orphans. They come from a very well-founded
7	family that is privileged and is not poor. So, this
8	situation is not even about poverty. It's not even
9	about drug abuse. You can check all my medical
10	records. The fact that I have been coerced to take
11	eight mental health evaluations, a series of mental
12	evaluations that is not needed but all prove there is
13	nothing wrong with me and that I do not need
14	medication. But CPS and ACS General Counsel have
15	taken it upon themselves to become medical doctors
16	without the license, the training, or the
17	requirements to certify, diagnose, prescribe, and
18	recommend that I get on drugs in order to get my kids
19	back, which is equivalent to the opioid epidemic
20	where people are taking the positions of physicians
21	to prescribe medication. They put little girls in
22	positions of college graduate students with no lived
23	experience, and no children
24	CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Jusinta?
25	
	1

2	JUSINTA ERNUL: to work at debutante to
3	harass and torture the community. I'm sorry. If you
4	will just allow me because I just listened to two
5	hours of a lullaby story and I am outraged that I
6	have not been able to see my children when everyone
7	else has been able to see their children or return
8	them back. Thank you.
9	CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Understood.
10	Justina, if we could follow up from this testimony in
11	the coming days? I'm happy to talk with you and go
12	through the specifics of your situation and work with
13	you
14	JUSINTA ERNUL: Yeah. I went to your
15	office in Brooklyn since 2019 and I did not receive
16	any help. My case should have never taken 22 years.
17	I should've never been extorted for 3000 a month. My
18	parents should never have been extorted for 300,000.
19	This is a scandal and we are outraged. There should
20	not be government immunity granted to the CPS case
21	workers. They should carry license insurance just
22	like the medical doctors and we need universal
23	justice. The same body cams that the police officers
24	have to wear, the CPS advisors should have to wear
25	

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 132
2	them, as well. This is a very corrupted, inhumane,
3	choral system that is on befitting for
4	CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: So, Justina, if
5	you've spoken with my staff, I'll follow up with them
6	and they they have your contact information?
7	JUSINTA ERNUL: I spoke with Elizabeth
8	Adam in 2019 and I will definitely
9	CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Okay.
10	JUSINTA ERNUL: like to speak to you
11	again and also with Dave Hansell's team. I was told
12	that I need attorneys to speak to their team. They
13	refuse to talk to my family and I and they cherry
14	pick parents they want to speak to. So, it's a
15	CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Okay.
16	JUSINTA ERNUL: complete white supremist
17	brain washing sort of epidemic.
18	CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Justina, I will
19	follow up with Elizabeth and we'll be in touch with
20	you. Okay? And we'll
21	JUSINTA ERNUL: And I hope before my
22	son's birthday just passed and he could not spend
23	time with his mother and my daughter's birthday
24	coming up, as well. I would like to have a
25	turnaround answer within 24 hours if possible.
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 133
2	CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Okay.
3	JUSINTA ERNUL: It's been two years.
4	CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: And if you don't
5	hear from me, feel free to send me an email, as well,
6	if we are unable to locate your contact information.
7	I commit to that.
8	JUSINTA ERNUL: And not only a follow
9	up. I'm looking for answers.
10	CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Well, I will do
11	whatever I can.
12	JUSINTA ERNUL: Thank you.
13	CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: You got it. Thank
14	you.
15	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, Jusinta.
16	I'm now going to call again Taylor Thomas.
17	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time starts now.
18	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: All right. It
19	appears that Taylor is not with us at this time. So,
20	we will circle back. I will now call up our next
21	panel. Our next panel will be in the following
22	order. Jim Purcell, Damon Kelly, Tara Coles, and
23	Teyora Graves. And we are going to begin with Jim
24	Purcell.
25	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.

2 JIM PURCELL: Thank you. Thank you, 3 Commissioner Levin, for this opportunity. We 4 submitted testimony, of course, the Council of Family 5 and Childcaring Agencies, as you know, represents all of the prevention services and the foster care 6 7 agencies in New York City and most of them across the state. I think I want to commend the Committee and 8 9 US Chair for convening this discussion. This is an issue that we need to talk about. We need to take 10 11 action on and it is, as you pointed out at the 12 beginning, the disproportionality impact on, 13 especially, the black community is true not only in 14 New York City, but in every large urban area of the 15 country and I think the events of this summer have 16 caused everyone to reconsider what we do and how the 17 system functions. I just want to touch on a couple 18 of points. First, I think that the Commissioner--19 my view is the Commissioner did a good job in his 20 presentation today. ACS has taken a number of 21 groundbreaking steps to make the work that we all do more effective. The reduction in the number of 2.2 23 children in foster care, I was around when it was 51,030 years ago. I never thought I would see the 24 numbers this low. It is an amazing success. And we 25

2 have got more to do. Until COVID shut everything 3 down, we were continuing to see fewer kids in foster 4 care, literally, every month. That has reversed in 5 the last couple of months mostly because the courts have not been opened for the most part. But, not 6 7 even there, our agencies have been working with the 8 city where possible to return kids home pending court 9 orders when they reopen. I need to give another shout out. Someone mentioned it earlier, but the 10 11 biggest reform in the whole system that I have seen it all the time I have been involved in it was 12 13 Senator Montgomery's bill last year which is now law 14 which changes the level of evidence used in child protective investigations. Some credible evidence is 15 allowable so low that most attorneys don't quite know 16 17 what it is. Some credible evidence means it could 18 have happened. That is no basis for indicating a 19 case against a family and changing it to a 20 preponderance of the evidence, which is what 42 other 21 states have been using, I think, will have 2.2 considerable impact on how this system functions. 23 It's unfortunate it's going to take another year to get implemented, but I think we should be moving on 24 it as quickly as we can. I also want to follow up on 25

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 136
2	a comment that Ron Richter made which is that I think
3	we've all learned a lot through COVID. I just was on
4	a panel nationally and pointed out that, I think,
5	during the early months, especially, of COVID, our
6	caseworkers and prevention and foster care spent more
7	time delivering laptops and making sure
8	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired.
9	JIM PURCELL: cleaning supplies, then the
10	kinds of work that we traditionally do. It has
11	improved the connections and the relationships as
12	families were able to see the staff of these agencies
13	providing real, hands-on help. I will close by
14	saying that our Board of Directors that CAFCA has
15	taken on racial justice as a top priority and the
16	Chair of one of the three co-Chairs of the group
17	is Damyn Kelly who I think will speak next to you is
18	the Executive Director of Lutheran Social Services.
19	And, with that, all and happy to answer any questions
20	you may have.
21	CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you, Jim.
22	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, Jim.
23	I'm going to call now on Damyn Kelly.
24	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.
25	

2 DAMYN KELLY: Thank you. Good afternoon, 3 Chairman Levin. My name is Damyn Kelly. Dr. Damyn Kelly and I'm the president and CEO of Lutheran 4 Social Services of New York, as well as Jim 5 indicated, the co-chair of the Racial Justice 6 7 Committee of CAFCA. You know, made by individuals 8 who have spoken already and we need to understand 9 that we are working in the system that has had its roots in systemic and institutional racism, but that 10 11 doesn't mean that there haven't been advancements or 12 changes to reduce the impact of race as part of the 13 foster care system. We have learned, over the past couple of months because of the pandemic, the impact 14 15 of race in our everyday lives and I think what has 16 happened is that a lot of people were led to believe 17 that incidents of racism decreased because we elected 18 the first black president. We, as a society, as a 19 system, cannot be afraid to talk about racial issues 20 and I will give ACS credit for one thing. That they 21 are one of the few city agencies -- and Lutheran Social Service is a multi service organization who 2.2 23 has contracts with many different city agencies. ACS is one of the only city agencies that has regularly 24 had conversations about the racial impacts of their 25

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 138
2	programs and services and that they deserve credit
3	for. I also want to say, in response to Ms. Vega and
4	Ms. Imani's comment that the family enrichment
5	centers are a perfect way to get the community
6	support to individuals in need. I was one of the
7	developers and implementers of the first family
8	enrichment Center in the South Ward of Newark New
9	Jersey where this concept in New York has, basically,
10	come from. And I will tell you the intermittent
11	contact of those centers with members of the
12	community makes a big difference. As Jim indicated,
13	by staff have been delivering laptops, have been
14	delivering food, have been delivering masks, have
15	been delivering all types of supplies to the families
16	we work with. And so, we understand that part of
17	this work involves true community engagement. It's
18	not perfect. We don't live in a perfect society. We
19	don't live in a colorblind society, but I have to
20	give ACS credit for being one of the few agencies who
21	recognizes the racial impact and is doing something
22	about it.
23	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired.
24	DAMYN KELLY: Thank you.
25	

1 COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 139 2 CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you, Mr. 3 Kelly. You can finish if you have more. DAMYN KELLY: No. I'll defer. 4 I know other people need to speak. Thank you. 5 CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: All right. 6 Thank 7 you, sir. 8 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you so much, 9 Mr. Kelly. I'll now call on Tehra Coles. 10 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time. 11 TEHRA COLES: Good afternoon. My name is Tehra Coles. I am the litigation supervisor at the 12 13 Center for Family Representation. CFR represents 14 about 3000 parents in Queens and Manhattan every year 15 and, every year, at least 90 percent of those clients are black, brown, or people of color. They are all 16 17 poor. Many of them are suffering from a lack of 18 access. Many face housing insecurity and most of 19 them are unfamiliar with how the family regulation 20 system works. There have been many a time-- many 21 times in my career where my client and I are the only black people in the courtroom. We know that the 2.2 23 separation of children from their parents or even the threat of it is among the most potentially 24 consequential, dramatic, and harmful acts that the 25

2 government can take. This authority that the 3 government has should bring with it the protections 4 that provide necessary counterweights to that power. 5 This is especially true in a system that, by all accounts, targets, investigates, and separates 6 7 families of color. When families of means are 8 investigated, they have access to information by 9 virtue of the privilege in the ability to obtain support when people who are poor do not. Information 10 11 is power and sharing information connotes respect. 12 Those in power often withhold information as a weapon of control, often under the guise of looking out for 13 14 the greater good. Currently, ACS is opposed to 15 giving parents this information. The impact of this is that parents are in the dark about their rights 16 17 when being investigated by the city. The city 18 disempowers parents and fails to show them respect by 19 limiting and trying to control their choices during 20 investigations. If the city were interested in 21 empowering parents and respecting them, they would explain to them during an investigation that, no, 2.2 23 they don't have to allow their child to be stripped and physically examined by the worker who just showed 24 up at their doorstep. That, no. They don't have to 25

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 141
2	sign a blank medical release. That they don't have
3	to tell them the intimate details of their private
4	lives but that, yes. Anything they say or anything
5	that they say could be used against them in a
6	petition or an application to remove their child. To
7	be clear, the allegations that most of the petitions
8	we see in family court include are related to
9	poverty, but other examples include that parents
10	cosleep with their babies or that they smoke
11	marijuana or that they had a fight in the presence of
12	their child. We know that the cities and others have
13	opposed to giving parents this information and that
14	the arguments that they have are very similar to what
15	we hear from those opposed to the reforms in the
16	policing system. If you make this change, then we
17	can't do our job. The city has also claimed that
18	requiring CPS workers to inform parents of their
19	right will turn an investigation into something of a
20	police encounter. Well, to the extent
21	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired.
22	TEHRA COLES: systemic racism is in part
23	characterized by a lack of awareness on the part of
24	those in power, this too reflects an ignorance of
25	what we hear from parents all the time and what we
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 142
2	have all been hearing today from parents. That ACS
3	is not viewed well in communities. For many parents,
4	ACS is the police. Perhaps worse because of the
5	stakes. The city has also said that giving this
6	information would potentially interfere with an
7	investigation, but that is not our experience when we
8	can intervene early. ACS always has the power to
9	remove children that it believes a threat of harm to
10	a child is imminent. Access to information in legal
11	and social work support just means a parent has the
12	support when facing a large and powerful government
13	force. This is why we urged the city Council to
14	immediately pass a resolution calling on the state
15	legislature to pass the Miranda bill, also sponsored
16	by Senator Montgomery, pending in the Senate now. We
17	know that there is also similar legislation, I
18	believe introduced by you, Chair Levin, about
19	requiring rights to be given to parents at first
20	point of contact. These are things that could be
21	done now that could help families now that are
22	suffering from this system that is plagued by
23	systemic racism. The system cannot support and
24	respect parents of color while also perpetuating
25	systemic racism by refusing to give unbiased

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 143
2	information to parents when they are being
3	investigated. The city does not deny that it
4	prosecutes black and brown people at higher rates
5	than any other group, but if it not required to
6	information those it investigates of even their most
7	basic rights, it further disempowers them and harms
8	black and brown parents and their children.
9	Disempowerment is a hallmark of systemic racism. One
10	that information can at least help to address.
11	CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you, Ms.
12	Coles.
13	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Ms. Coles. I'm
14	going to call on Teyora Graves followed by Taylor
15	Thomas. Taylor
16	CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Before that, I
17	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.
18	CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Oh. Before that, I
19	just wanted to acknowledge we have two birthdays from
20	the people who just testified. Jim Purcell and Ron
21	Richter both celebrated birthdays in the last couple
22	of days. Happy birthdays. Thank you. Now turning
23	it over to Ms. Graves.
24	TEYORA GRAVES: Good afternoon.
25	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.
	ll de la constant de

2 TEYORA GRAVES: My name is Teyora Graves 3 and I am a senior parent advocate for the Center for 4 Family Representation or CFR. I am also an impacted 5 parent. Over the last 11 years, I have seen that not only does the system disproportionately impact black 6 7 and brown families, but once caught in the system, 8 families are not treated with the respect they 9 deserve. Over the past several years working as a parent advocate, I have personally seen how 10 11 dehumanizing and racists the system continues to be 12 when it comes to people who look like me. A prime 13 example of this is how our children are treated when 14 they are removed from our care and sent to the 15 children's center where they await placement. We 16 have clients whose teenaged children are placed in 17 the children's center and then allowed to come and go 18 as they please without their parents being informed. 19 We have heard reports of some children engaging in 20 prostitution. Parents who have been accused of 21 neglect are not taken seriously when they raise these 2.2 concerns, but rather they are treated as if they have 23 forfeited their right to be outraged if their children are arrest. The lack of respect and the 24 25 racist overtones extends into the system. The whole

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 145
2	system, including ACS conferences and in court. I
3	have personally observed a parent repeatedly being
4	asked by a caseworker whether she was pregnant by the
5	same father of her older daughter. When my client
6	repeatedly said that the baby had the same father,
7	the worker eventually said, wow. That's a first.
8	One of our CFR clients was repeatedly asked if he was
9	sure if he was the father of the child. One ACS
10	prosecutor called our client selfish for seeking
11	visits with her child during the pandemic. We have
12	seen favorable settlement offers being withheld even
13	for parents who have their children at home and who
14	are fully compliant in service plans because, to
15	quote one ACS prosecutor, they are young and they may
16	have more children in the future, so they want a
17	finding of neglect to be made on the record. One CFR
18	client was told by a caseworker at a conference that
19	she was trying to sound more white because of how she
20	pronounced her last name. There are more examples
21	like this and we know that parent advocates from
22	across the city have similar experiences. These
23	examples reflect the racism and the disrespect that
24	those caught in the family regulation system face on
25	a daily basis. This system that presents itself as

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 146
2	carrying about children and families, in reality, it
3	disproportionately targets black and brown families
4	and it fails to treat them with respect. For race
5	and equality issues, it cannot be business as usual
6	at ACS. Our experience is that some problems are
7	acknowledged by ACS leadership, however, the policies
8	and initiatives that have launched have failed to
9	trickle down to where it matters. We urged the city
10	Council to create a standing commission independent
11	of ACS to be tasked with the responsibility of
12	reviewing and approving existing and proposed
13	policies that have the goal of dismantling
14	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired.
15	TEYORA GRAVES: in addressing racist
16	remarks and behaviors. The commission must have
17	decision-making authority and the city must commit to
18	implement their recommendations. The commission must
19	be made up of families and youth who have been
20	directly impacted by the system in addition to the
21	advocates and ACS representatives. The damage the
22	system has done and continues to do to black families
23	has gone unchecked for way too long. Families
24	deserve voice and choice when it comes to what
25	happens to their families. Thank you.

2	CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Ms. Graves, can I
3	ask a quick follow-up question? You mentioned
4	something about those policies trickling down to
5	frontline staff and I asked a little bit about that
6	with regard to ACS attorneys. Is that something I
7	mean, is that something that you see a lot of? You
8	know, the attitude of frontline staff or the actions
9	taken by frontline staff are just not don't match
10	up with what we are hearing from leadership?
11	TEYORA GRAVES: Yes. It is. And I
12	actually am a member of the commission that
13	Commissioner Hansell mentioned and we are working and
14	have disclosed and been very transparent that we are
15	frontline staff. We are boots to the ground staff.
16	We are seeing what happens in our families our
17	experience these things and, when we are bringing up
18	policies, a lot of the times FCLS for that ACS
19	prosecutors, they look to these caseworkers to
20	provide them with information. So, if the caseworker
21	doesn't have it, then it doesn't get implemented in
22	court. So, unfortunately, that is true and that is
23	something that we have discussed and continue and we
24	are willing to continue to discuss that at the table
25	with the Commissioner and the rest of the cabinet.

2	CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Do you see issues
3	in terms of like the structure of FCLS in terms of
4	how they are implementing Qaeda policy? I mean, it's
5	hard to kind of matchup kind of big picture policy
6	where there are individual cases being litigated, but
7	do you see that as kind of a significant mismatch
8	there in terms of those two, you know, sets of
9	priorities?
10	TEYORA GRAVES: Yes. In the better part
11	of last year, I actually joined with Jeanette Vega
12	from Rise magazine and did a training for her new and
13	incoming FCLS attorneys and one of the things that
14	was very disheartening was from the gate; from the
15	training from the door where they enter into the
16	system. They were not discouraged on using race, you
17	know, poverty, implementing into the programs and the
18	systems and even the policies that they were talking
19	about. It was actually encouraged to dehumanize a
20	parent as coming late and things like that. So, I
21	definitely think from the door and from the training
22	standpoint, there is definitely a mismatch.
23	CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Okay. And it's
24	something that I think we should be looking at
25	

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 149
2	because they have a lot of discretion. A lot of
3	power.
4	TEYORA GRAVES: Absolutely.
5	CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you so much.
6	TEYORA GRAVES: Thank you.
7	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, Ms.
8	Graves. We will now call on Taylor Thomas.
9	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.
10	TAYLOR THOMAS: Hello?
11	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: We can hear you,
12	Taylor.
13	TAYLOR THOMAS: Okay. Thank you so much
14	for working with me through the technical
15	difficulties. I apologize. And I also want to take
16	a moment to thank the Council for the opportunity to
17	share on this platform. So, my name is Taylor
18	Thomas. I want to also say that I am a social worker
19	and I do work for a non-profit in the Bronx. And my
20	story began you know, me and my partner, Joseph,
21	became involved with ACS on June 1st after our
22	daughter who was four months old at that time fell
23	from our bed. I was at work and, you know, Joseph
24	called me to tell me what had happened and I
25	immediately rushed to meet him and my, Blair, at
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 150
2	Montefiore Hospital. We brought our daughter there
3	because we wanted to make sure she was okay. She had
4	fallen off of our bed and our main concern was making
5	sure that we get her attention and we put our trust
6	in the medical professionals there at Montefiore, but
7	what we were met with was a humiliating and
8	criminalizing process where we were then reported to
9	the state central registry and accused of child
10	abuse. And that treatment of my family and I that
11	my family and I experienced from the medical
12	professionals there and then, subsequently, the
13	administration of children's services has left our
14	family traumatized. And from what I am confident is
15	varying forms of institutional racism. I have said
16	and I can honestly say to this day that I have never
17	felt more blacker in my life than I did in the
18	emergency room and the days that followed after ACS
19	became involved. My daughter at that time received
20	no medical treatment during our three-day stay at
21	Montefiore, but we were seen by multiple social
22	workers and the New York City Police Department,
23	Bronx child abuse squad who were sent to interview
24	me. I was questioned about my family and the most
25	intimate details of my life again and again and,
I	

2 rather than being treated with compassion and care, I 3 was interrogated and talked down to. It was the most embarrassing, intimidating, and terrifying experience 4 of my life, especially considering that I was in a 5 room during the height of COVID with other mothers 6 7 the fact that the hospital suspected me of child 8 abuse was never outright confirmed with words, but 9 through their actions. Though I was guestioned repeatedly, the basic questions that I asked hospital 10 11 staff who were in charge of the medical well-being of my child--12

13 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired. 14 TAYLOR THOMAS: and whether it was going 15 to be okay, those were not answered and I was treated 16 not as a patient's mother, but as a criminal. ACS 17 then followed the hospital's lead and rushed to 18 conclusions about my family, who we were, disregarded 19 every good thing about Joseph and myself in us as 20 Blair's parents like our loving partnership and the preparations we had made for our daughter. ACS 21 recommended that my daughter immediately be placed 2.2 23 into foster care without even considering that my family has strong kinship ties and a large network of 24 25 support. Ultimately, I was allowed to live with

Blair, but under my mother's supervision and my 2 3 partner, Joseph, he was forced to leave our home. In 4 my meetings with ACS and preventative services, I discussed my beliefs that the child welfare system 5 has always been designed to destabilize families of 6 7 color, put fathers in absentee roles, and criminalize 8 black women. My experience has shown me that this is 9 This is how the child welfare system has correct. functioned in my life. This is how the system is 10 11 designed. This is how it is impacted Joseph's life 12 and, more importantly, my daughter's life. I am 13 afraid to stand up for my family because, when I have 14 defended myself and my family to ACS, I was called 15 intimidating and difficult, which is a clear example in my mind as a micro-aggression. As a woman and an 16 17 African-American, I have unfortunately learned to be 18 careful now and how I advocate for myself and my 19 family because I am all too aware that I hold no 20 power over ACS. Instead, they have the power to 21 destroy my family like they have so many others. 2.2 This is experience has driven home for me that, 23 despite the love and care Joseph and I have for our daughter, despite our stability of the two parent 24 household, despite our college education and 25

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 153
2	employment, I am seen primarily as black and,
3	therefore, inherently suspect by the child welfare
4	system. Despite our efforts, we are still subject to
5	overwhelming forces of institutional racism and this
6	experience has humbled me and has served as a stark
7	reminder of my blackness. After two months of a two
8	month long hearing, Joseph was able to reunite with
9	our family and we feel we have been vindicated.
10	However, to this day, getting a knock on the door
11	scares us. We are absolutely terrified that our
12	child will be stolen from us by ACS and really is a
13	clear indication of the trauma that we have
14	experienced. We have accepted that an unfortunate
15	accident happened on June 1, but we do not and will
16	not accept the outdated, racist, and oppressive
17	policies and practices of the child welfare system.
18	Thank you for taking the time to listen to me today.
19	CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you very
20	much, Ms. Thomas. I think that is some of the most
21	impactful testimony that I have heard in a very long
22	time and I think I don't think there's a parent
23	out there that hasn't had the child fall down and
24	wanted to call their doctor call the hospital and the
25	fact that you did that, you know, to make sure that

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 154
2	your child was okay because I know the feeling
3	and to be treated that way and to for that
4	treatment to turn into a nightmare, into an ongoing
5	nightmare. I mean, it's been five months since that
6	is happened. I can only imagine. So, I want to
7	thank you for testifying and, if there is any way we
8	can keep in touch, I would appreciate that.
9	TAYLOR THOMAS: Thank you. Thank you so
10	much for taking the time to listen and thank you for
11	saying that.
12	CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Yeah. Thank you.
13	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you so much,
14	Ms. Thomas, for your testimony. I am now going to
15	call him our next panel. In the following order, we
16	will hear from M. Mena, Dawn Mitchell, Brian Jones,
17	and Miriam Mack. And we are going to begin with M.
18	Mena.
19	CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Sorry, Aminta. One
20	more question for Ms. Thomas. I'm sorry. Did you
21	have legal representation in all of this? I don't
22	know if you're still there. Did you have
23	TAYLOR THOMAS: Hi. Hello.
24	CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: legal
25	representation?

1 COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 155 TAYLOR THOMAS: I did. I did. 2 I'm 3 actually-- I continue to have just the amazing support from the Bronx Defenders. 4 CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: 5 Okay. Super, really, really TAYLOR THOMAS: 6 7 amazing support. 8 CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Okay. That's very 9 good to know. Thank you. TAYLOR THOMAS: 10 Thank you. 11 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thanks again, Ms. I'm going to call now on M. Mena. 12 Thomas. 13 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time. 14 M. MENA: Good afternoon. My name is 15 M. Mena and I am a policy and budget analyst at CCC, 16 a multi-issue child advocacy organization dedicated 17 to ensuring that every New York child is healthy, housed, educated, and safe. Thank you, Chair Levin 18 19 and the other members of the Committee, for the 20 opportunity to testify. In our testimony, we 21 highlight the disparate impact of COVID-19 on black and Latin X communities. We highlight, as well, the 2.2 23 fact that poverty is a significant driver of child welfare involvement. In New York City, black and 24 25 Latin X families have some of the highest poverty

1 COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 156 rates in the city. They make up 80 percent of child 2 3 welfare investigations and 89 percent of the foster 4 care population, despite being 57 percent of New York's child population. Finally, we also discuss--5 Sorry. Draw attention to the critical role that 6 7 preventive service system has played in responding to the height and needs of families during the pandemic. 8 9 There is a need not only for continued monitoring of the new preventative service contracts put in place 10 11 on July 1st, but also ensure that the system will be 12 protected from state and local budget cuts in the 13 coming month and year. According to a recent report by UHF, 2400 black and Latin X children from the 14 15 Bronx, Queens, and Brooklyn lost at least one parent in the first few months of the pandemic. This figure 16 17 represents 57 percent of parental loss for the entire 18 state of New York. The majority of these deaths in 19 the city are concentrated in communities of color and 20 immigrant households that were already struggling 21 with poverty, housing-- excuse me. Housing 2.2 instability and poor health. Also, 325,000 children 23 have been plunged into or near poverty, a figure that should worry us considering that the city was already 24 grappling with more than one in five children living 25

2 in or near poverty. We are concerned about the 3 safety, stability, and well-being of black, Latin X, 4 and immigrant children and their families. As a result of worsened economic and social conditions due 5 to the pandemic, the related economic fallout, and 6 7 declining referrals to preventative services. There 8 is a relationship between high rates of child welfare 9 involvement in high rates of poverty such as community districts in the Bronx where over 54 10 11 percent of children in districts like Mount Haven and Hunts Point live in poverty all in Manhattan in the 12 13 Lower East Side, over 43 percent of children live in poverty and, and Brooklyn, it's Bushwick district and 14 15 42 percent of children live in poverty. And I'm 16 just highlighting a few of the districts with the 17 highest poverty rates. New York City also has one of 18 the largest preventive service systems in the country 19 offering diverse services that prevent foster care placement. Since 2007, the number of children in 20 foster care has decreased steadily. It behooves us 21 to ensure that all of New York's children and their 2.2 23 families are safe and well resourced. We believe pandemic recovery requires protecting and expanding 24 investments in child welfare prevention. 25 We

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 158
2	encourage the committee and all Council members to
3	champion this effort to protect these crucial
4	resources and to redouble their efforts to address
5	the racial disparities in the city's child welfare
6	system. CCC looks forward to continued partnership
7	with the committee to improve outcomes especially for
8	black, Latin X, and immigrant families. Thank you.
9	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, M. I
10	will now call on Dawn Mitchell.
11	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.
12	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: This is chief Sergeant
13	Rafael Perez. It appears that we can't hear Ms. Dawn
14	Mitchell. You are unmuted, but we can't hear you.
15	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: All right. We're
16	going to circle back to Dawn and we'll move on to
17	Brian Jones at this time.
18	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.
19	BRIAN JONES: Hi. Good evening. My name
20	is Brian Jones and I'm a senior attorney with the
21	Family Defense Practice at Brooklyn Defender
22	Services. Every year, our family defense practice
23	represents 4000 parents in family court and over 600
24	parents who are facing an ACS investigation. Thank
25	you to the New York City Council General Welfare

2 Committee for holding today's important hearing. Ι 3 am a member of BDS' early defense team which provides 4 advocacy to parents during the initial stages of an 5 ACS investigation. Our early defense practice would not be possible without the generous support of city 6 7 Council and we are thankful for that. Our goal is a 8 practice is to avoid court filings and to avoid 9 children being separated from their families. Cases involving common family problems such as drug or 10 11 alcohol use or living with a mental health condition should be resolved outside of court as they are for 12 13 families who endure racial and economic privilege or 14 who live in neighborhoods that have little or no ACS 15 surveillance. Our advocates connect with parents during one of the most frightening moments for their 16 17 families when they say pressured to say yes to 18 anything and ACS worker asks. With our help, parents 19 better understand what an ACS investigation looks 20 like, who the players are, and the risks that are 21 involved. In our experience, parents are often very 2.2 willing to engage with ACS, but only once they 23 understand the process and their rights. Early defense and right to counsel is the racial equity 24 Parents who are black and brown deserve legal 25 issue.

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 160
2	advice and representation when ACS is involved in
3	their lives, just like more resourced families have.
4	ACS has opposed to this right to counsel at this
5	stage and, if ACS truly believes in racial equity,
6	but then they should support a parent's right to
7	counsel during this investigative stage.
8	Unfortunately, for families of color, though, and ACS
9	investigation too often leads to family court case in
10	the system plagued with inequities and delays that
11	often results in the removal of children, fact-
12	finding hearings that take years to resolve, and
13	foster care placements that years on end. When
14	litigants enter Family Court, they are greeted by
15	metal detectors and armed court officers. The
16	presence of officers escalates rather than de-
17	escalate the very emotional and tense dynamics of
18	Family Court. Under the pretext of maintaining order
19	and protecting children, armed court officers and
20	judges alike respond to parents who are emotional as
21	though they pose threats to the courthouse. The
22	presence of armed court officers is yet another
23	reminder that the family regulation system polices
24	and controls communities of color. We are asking the
25	Council to enact bills that provide parents with
	I

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 161
2	support, not surveillance, and make ACS accountable
3	to the communities it serves and we agree with the
4	Chair that had a crucial part of limiting ACS
5	investigations is providing trainings to mandated
6	reporters to educate them about the implications
7	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired.
8	BRIAN JONES: for ACS investigations.
9	Thank you for your time.
10	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you, Mr.
11	Jones.
12	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, Brian.
13	I will now call on Miriam Mack.
14	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.
15	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Okay. We have
16	either lost Miriam on this connection, but we will
17	circle back. I'll now circle back again to Dawn
18	Mitchell.
19	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.
20	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Yeah. We're not hearing
21	you, Ms. Mitchell. No.
22	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: We're not hearing
23	anything on audio on our end. We see you, but we
24	cannot hear you. So, I'm going to have to circle
25	back. At this time, I will call up our next panel.

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 162
2	Our next panel in the following order will be Karla
3	Johnson, Helen Montalvan, Zachary Ahmad, and Karen
4	Freedman. We're going to start with Carla Johnson.
5	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.
6	KARLA JOHNSON: Bear with me. Hi. Good
7	afternoon. My name is Carla Johnson and I am a
8	senior staff attorney in the Kinship Caregiver Law
9	Project at Mobilization for Justice, Inc. Also known
10	as MFJ. MFJ's Kinship Caregiver Law Project helps
11	stabilize families by providing civil legal
12	assistance to the caregivers raising children who are
13	not biologically their own. MFJ works to prevent
14	these children from entering the truth - all foster
15	care system by representing caregivers in custody,
16	guardianship, and adoption proceedings. MFJ's
17	Kinship Caregiver Law Project is the only program in
18	New York City that exists solely to assist kinship
19	caregivers with their legal needs. Research shows
20	that black and Latin X families and children who are
21	living in poverty have heightened exposure to social
22	service systems, increasing their exposure to
23	mandated reporters and the child welfare system.
24	According to the national conference on state
25	legislatures, 33 percent of kids in foster care are
l	

1 COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 163 African-American, but they only make up 15 percent of 2 3 the child population. Families experience a myriad 4 of challenges to bring these children into their homes which has only been exacerbated by the ongoing 5 COVID-19 pandemic. When a child enters a child 6 7 welfare system, a kinship caregiver is sometimes given the option of being certified as a kinship 8 9 foster parent which provides the caregiver and the child with monetary benefits, however, kinship 10 11 caregivers are more often not certified as foster This burdens families of color who already 12 parents. struggle against a child welfare system created to 13 14 police, not to help. At a time when families are 15 experiencing severe financial strain, all options 16 should be available to help minimize families slipping into poverty, as has previously been 17 18 discussed. Including, but not limited to, increasing 19 temporary assistance for needy families for TANIF 20 funding for children in kinship care. Kinship 21 caregivers are more likely to take an entire sibling 2.2 group-- take in an entire sibling group, thus 23 ensuring that siblings are raised together. However, when this happens outside the foster care system, 24 kinship caregivers are effectively punished for 25

taking in more children as the amount of child only 2 3 TANIF funding radically decrease as per child. By increasing TANIF child only grants, this will help 4 families that are diverted out of the foster care 5 system to have access to public assistance that is 6 7 more equitable to a foster care necessity. In this 8 moment of nationwide reckoning of racial injustice, 9 it is imperative that changes in the child welfare system be at the forefront of the conversation. As 10 11 we move towards the end of 2020 and are now eight 12 months into the pandemic, research has begun to reveal the devastating effects of COVID-19 on our 13 14 city, state, and nation economy, as well as our 15 communities. We now know both nationally and within 16 New York, black and Latin X--17 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired. 18 KARLA JOHNSON: children, contract the 19 virus at a disproportionate rate in comparison to 20 white individuals. Researchers also shed a light on the collateral effects that COVID-19 has had on 21 family units. 4200 children in New York state lost a 2.2 23 parent or caregiver to coronavirus between March and July 2020, exceeding the number of children who lost 24 a parent in the wake of 9/11. Black and Latin X 25

2 children experienced the death of a parent or 3 caregiver due to COVID-19 at double the rate of their 4 white and Asian peers. In the midst of the current pandemic, a parent or caregiver's death by COVID-19 5 engenders even greater hardships, adding to existing 6 7 trauma, stress, and need for low and no income New York families. Upwards of 23 children who have lost 8 a parent or caregiver due to COVID-19 may be at risk 9 of entering into the foster or kinship care system 10 11 and approximately 50 percent of children who lost a 12 caregiver due to COVID-19 may enter poverty. Pre-13 pandemic, black and Latin X children who are 14 particularly vulnerable to encounters with the family 15 welfare system, largely in part due to over-policing 16 of black and brown parents. Despite data reflecting 17 the realities of black and Latin X children's 18 increased risk of being placed in the child welfare 19 system, during this unprecedented time, we have seen 20 aunts, uncles, grandparents, siblings, and other 21 family members, and next of kin step up to keep 2.2 families together and out of the traditional foster 23 In light of the compounding effects of care system. COVID-19 on black and Latin X families, we propose 24 that the general welfare committee endeavor--25

2 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired. 3 KARLA JOHNSON: to keep more families 4 together through kinship placement and provide the 5 necessary supports to those families by, one, ensuring access to counsel for kinship caregivers. 6 7 Two, providing sufficient financial resources and 8 safety net supports to kinship caregivers, including 9 increased TANIF funding to match foster care subsidies. Three, provide sufficient and timely 10 11 information to current and potential caregivers via a 12 neutral third party regarding foster parent 13 certification and, four, providing sufficient 14 supportive services in this pandemic era to young 15 people of color. COVID-19 has exacerbated pre-16 existing racial disparities in the child welfare 17 system. Mobilization for Justice, Inc respectfully 18 urges the General Welfare Committee to implement 19 these recommendations to begin to address disparities 20 throughout the child welfare system to ensure better 21 outcomes for black and Latin X children tragically and often unnecessarily foisted into the child 2.2 23 welfare system. Thank you. COMMITTEE COUNSEL: 24 Thank you, Ms.

25 Johnson. I also just want to remind our panelists

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 167
2	that you have three minutes to testify. We are
3	asking that you limit your testimony to three
4	minutes, but, as always, you can submit longer
5	written testimony for the record. We just want to be
6	sure we get through to all our panelists today and we
7	do still have several panelists waiting to testify.
8	I am now going to recall Miriam Mack.
9	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.
10	MIRIAM MACK: So, thank you for this
11	opportunity to testify today. First, I want to
12	extend my deepest gratitude to the parents and youth
13	who have testified today and who have resisted the
14	family regulation system and thrived in spite of the
15	system. My name is Miriam Mack and I am policy
16	counsel to the Family Defense Practice at the Bronx
17	Defenders. For black and Latin X and low income
18	families in New York City, the reach of the family
19	regulation system is vast and the disparities run
20	deep. Today, we've heard much about ACS' kinship
21	placement program and I wanted to take a moment to
22	acknowledge that kinship placement is still an
23	incredible disruption of parent and child bonds. And
24	it would not be acceptable for the government to come
25	in and take out children and give them to other

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 168
2	relatives and, indeed, it's not acceptable in white
3	communities, much less held up as a solution to
4	racial disparities and held up as the solution to a
5	system that should not be intervening in the lives of
6	black and brown folks to begin with. So, I think we
7	need to think critically about that response when we
8	are talking and addressing ACS disparities. But I'm
9	going to focus my time today on mandated reporter
10	laws which force social service agencies to function
11	as a de facto police of the family regulation system
12	and low income communities in New York City hyper
13	vulnerable to family separation and dissolution. We
14	have heard about this already today. The way in
15	which mandated reporters expose families to the
16	family regulation system and possible separation.
17	Take hospitals, for example. In labor and delivery
18	room, extraordinary race disparities exist in who
19	hospitals drug test at birth and report to the family
20	regulation system. Despite similar use of drugs
21	among pregnant people, black pregnant people are 10
22	times more likely to be reported to the family
23	regulation system for a positive drug test than white
24	pregnant people. In pediatric emergency rooms, which
25	you have heard about today, black children presenting

2 with the same injury as white children, are reported 3 to the family regulation system as alleged victims of 4 child abuse in greater rates. Worried black parents 5 who have brought the children in for treatment and care are treated like suspects and criminalized while 6 7 white parents are met with compassion and support. 8 In shelters managed by DHS, the threat of ACS is used 9 to gain compliance with rules, many of which have no bearing on child maltreatment. Similarly, teachers 10 11 and schools, despite the guidance that has been put 12 out by the Department of Education that ACS was 13 speaking to today, teachers and schools are still 14 calling ACS when our clients children fail to log on 15 for remote learning, but we know when we have seen in 16 the news media that white parents can and do opt out 17 of remote learning without fear of ACS intervention. 18 We bear witness to the fact that black, Latin X, and 19 low income parents are subjected to unrelenting 20 surveillance by our social service systems. Across 21 the city, teachers, health professionals, shelter 2.2 workers, social workers in their roles of mandated 23 reporters report families to the family regulation system with its tools of family separation --24 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired.

169

2 MIRIAM MACK: and confusion, rather than providing them with the resources and support and 3 4 benefits of the doubt that are provided to more 5 privileged parents in our city. Systems meant to provide social support are used instead to control 6 7 families in ways that are unheard of in white 8 communities. Rooting out the racism, classism, and 9 able-ism that makes black children six times more likely to be involved in a report of abuse or neglect 10 11 then white children cannot be solved by slight course 12 adjustments, cannot be solved by biased trainings, or 13 tinkering with the system. We must dismantle the 14 family regulation system, repeal mandated reporting 15 laws, and invest in nonpunitive community visions of 16 support for families. Thank you. 17 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, Miriam. 18 I am going to call next Helen Montalvan. 19 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time. 20 HELEN MONTALVAN: Hello. Thank you for 21 inviting Neighborhood Defender Service of Harlem to my name is Helen and, since 2004, I have worked as an 2.2 23 advocate for parents being surveilled by ACS first as a parent advocate with the Bronx Defenders and now as 24 25 a social worker at NDS Harlem. You asked us to

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 171
2	testify regarding what you call racial disparity in
3	child welfare. The truth is that there isn't a
4	racial disparity in this system. The system is
5	racist to its core and its origin and its foundation.
6	It is not a system that addresses child welfare. It
7	is, instead, a system that polices, punishes,
8	regulates, surveils, and separates low income, black
9	and brown families. NDS and the other family
10	defenders testifying today would not be referring to
11	ACS as part of the child welfare system in the course
12	of our testimony because that name deliberately
13	obfuscates the history and function of this punitive
14	system. Instead, we will refer to it as the family
15	regulation system. Allow me to explain why. The
16	family regulation system has always [inaudible
17	03:53:10] families to conform to white supremacist
18	social standards. It originates with the orphan
19	trains of the late 1800s and early 1900s when the
20	Children's Aid Society, still in operation in New
21	York City today, separated thousands of poor Italian
22	and Irish immigrant children from their families and
23	sent them to the Midwest to work on farms. As
24	Council members proudly know, Italians and Irish folk
25	were not seen as white at that time in American
I	

2 history. Then as now, the poverty that these children and their families experienced were framed 3 4 as a personal failing instead of the structural issue Family connections in these communities were 5 it was. considered inferior and therefore breaking those 6 7 connections were considered [inaudible 03:53:51] and, more importantly, society's benefit. Similarly, for 8 9 decades, the family regulation system we fight today is rooted in this history, but its funding did not 10 11 explode until republicans and democrats alike slashed 12 public assistance programs in the 1980s and 1990s. 13 These cuts happen did response to black families 14 gaining access to the social programs through civil 15 rights struggles. The cuts were coupled with 16 billions of dollars in new funding for foster care. 17 The federal foster care budget stood at less than 500 18 million in 1981. By 2003, it was at 4.5 billion. 19 Suddenly, the family regulation system had new, more 20 powerful hammers, so it went out looking for nails. 21 Family regulation agencies targeted the black 2.2 community where families had already been made 23 particularly vulnerable by the racist war on drugs and the cuts to public assistance. The cuts to 24 25 public programs and the surge in money to family

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 173
2	regulation agencies amounted to a two-prong attack on
3	black families staged over 40 years and justified by
4	racist stereotypes about black mothers. The racism
5	behind the welfare queen trope is alive and well in
6	2020. It is dressed up as a neglect finding hurled
7	at a working mother by ACS as the agency of
8	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired.
9	HELEN MONTALVAN: research from all
10	corners from the Federal Children's Bureau to the
11	National Council for Juvenile and Family Court Judges
12	to numbers reported by ACS itself demonstrates that
13	black families are disproportionately represented in
14	reports investigations and prosecutions by the family
15	regulation system that black children are
16	disproportionately represented in the foster system.
17	This is not the work of a few bad apples. These
18	outcomes demonstrate reliably and consistently across
19	the variety of social research and are the result of
20	white supremacy and structural racism masquerading as
21	social betterment. Until the passage of the Indian
22	Child Welfare Act in 1978, Native American children
23	were separated from their families by the government
24	at a very high rate and placed with white families.
25	To this day, Native American children continue to be

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 174
2	disproportionately separated from their families by
3	the government. Since I began doing this work, I
4	have seen this racism with my own eyes. White
5	families are kept together by ACS workers and lawyers
6	under circumstances in which black and brown families
7	are separated. White parents are given a second
8	chance by ACS workers and lawyers whereas black and
9	brown parents are treated [inaudible 03:56:23] and
10	fundamentally flawed. And things have gotten only
11	worse in the 16 years that I have been advocating for
12	families. The city must take concrete steps to
13	improve outcomes for families, families need early
14	access to an independent defense advocate to mitigate
15	the damage done by ACS and the family regulation
16	infrastructure. The city must search the state
17	legislator to institute Miranda like rights for
18	parents that brings transparency to the family
19	regulation system for families facing investigations
20	unaware of their gravity. These steps are important
21	and we urge city Council to act on them now. But we
22	also note that they amount to mitigation of the most
23	damaging tolls ACS exacts from black families. To
24	truly reckon with this damage, we must defund the
25	

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 175
2	family regulation system and invest in community led
3	programs that truly help black families. Thank you.
4	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, Helen.
5	I'll now call on Zachary Ahmad.
6	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.
7	ZACHARY AHMAD: My name is Zach Ahmad
8	and I'm a policy counsel at the New York Civil
9	Liberties Union, the New York affiliated of the ACLU.
10	Our mission is to promote and protect the fundamental
11	rights guaranteed under the constitution including
12	the guarantee of equal protection under the laws and
13	the right to privacy and personal autonomy including
14	in the realm of family life. I want to thank the
15	Counsel for holding this. Then providing the forum
16	for this critical topic. [inaudible 03:57:04] is an
17	important and sometimes overlooked example of racial
18	injustice, the striking over representation of black
19	and brown families among those families caught up in
20	the child welfare system or as I'll refer to it, the
21	family regulation system. The data, which you've
22	heard and which I won't repeat, is staggering and it
23	reveals how children and parents of color are
24	overrepresented throughout the various stages of the
25	child protective process from the calling in of a

report to the placement outside their homes. 2 Those 3 numbers nearly back up what many parent advocates and legal service service providers know firsthand and 4 have reflected in some of the testimony today. 5 This is a system that overwhelmingly impacts and, in many 6 7 ways, punishes. Some children of color and women of 8 color, in particular. If you spend time in the child 9 neglect parts of any of the cities family courts, you will see these disparities with your own eyes, as 10 11 well as the frustration and desperation that many 12 parents and children face in trying to navigate these 13 The problem of racial disparity is in the systems. 14 family regulation system is complex with deep roots 15 in the country and the city's history. And addressing these disparities will require solutions 16 17 that are not easy and not piecemeal and will involve 18 multiple levels of government. Above all, we 19 appreciate the opportunity today to learn from the 20 other panelists about their ideas and visions for 21 dressing these issues and we look forward to working with the advocacy community and the Council on moving 2.2 23 forward with these matters. But while systemic problems require systemic responses, the city Council 24 can take initial steps by moving forward with 25

2 legislation that has already been before it for some 3 time now. Almost one year ago today exactly this 4 committee held a hearing where it discussed a package of legislation designed to uncover better information 5 about family regulation system and expand parents due 6 7 process rights. Excuse me. Those bills remain laid over in committee. One of those bills, Intro 1717 of 8 9 2019 would require ACS to report detailed demographic information regarding each stage of the child 10 11 protective process which would give us more detailed 12 data that would reveal the true depth of these disparities and provide groundwork for a more robust 13 policies and solutions. Other bills in the package, 14 15 which are identified more specifically in the written 16 testimony we will submit will begin the process of 17 making an existing system fairer for the families it 18 impacts. Among other things, the bills would make 19 sure the parents have information about their rights 20 when they're interacting with ACS in the beginning 21 stages of investigation, something akin to a Miranda warning that exists in the criminal context. 2.2 Begin 23 to provide early access to counsel in the course of child protective matters so that parent's rights are 24

177

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 178
2	not compromised and required comprehensive reporting
3	on how drug testing pregnant people
4	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired.
5	ZACHARY AHMAD: at public hospitals
6	leads to the child protective investigations. These
7	bills certainly do not comprise all that must be done
8	to reimagine the system or address the racial
9	disparities that are in it and somebody else could
10	potentially benefit from further work shopping with
11	advocates to make sure they work as intended. But
12	they do represent an important and we implore the
13	Council to resume work on them without delay. Thank
14	you for your time.
15	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, Zach,
16	for your testimony. We will now call on Karen
17	Freedman to deliver testimony.
18	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.
19	KAREN FREEDMAN: Thank you, Chair Levin
20	and the General Welfare Committee for your incredible
21	patience today and for holding this hearing. I am
22	Karen Freedman, the executive director of Lawyers for
23	Children. I am going to do my best to be brief and
24	focus on just one aspect of the full written
25	testimony we have submitted to the committee. By way
I	

of background, Lawyers for Children was founded in 2 3 1984. We are a not-for-profit legal Corporation 4 employing attorneys and social workers to advocate 5 for our young clients on every single case. We represent children in voluntary foster care, and 6 7 abuse, neglect, termination of parental rights, 8 adoption, custody, and guardianship proceedings and 9 Family Court and advocate for a systemwide reform to improve represent children and youth in more than 10 11 6000 court proceedings each year. So, as promised, I 12 am just going to focus on one aspect of our testimony and that is reducing biased influence in mandated SCR 13 14 reports. While the number of children in foster care 15 has declined dramatically during the last several years, the number of black and Latin acts children 16 17 brought to the front door of the child regulation 18 system or child welfare system through reports to the 19 statewide central registry has remained, essentially, 20 unchanged and this is not without consequence. Once 21 the report is received, caseworkers may be dispatched to interview children in the middle of the night. 2.2 23 Children may be pulled out of their classrooms in front of their peers for questioning. They may be 24 subject to physical exams and temporarily removed 25

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 180
2	from their families. All of these actions, even if
3	the report is ultimately unfounded, will have a
4	lasting negative impact on a child. Research shows
5	that, although black children are far more likely to
6	be reported for suspected abuse than white children,
7	they are, in fact, no more likely to actually have
8	been maltreated. It is been said and Ms. Thomas's
9	testimony points out vividly, that a white child that
10	appears that a hospital with a broken arm goes home
11	with a cast on a lollipop, but black child who
12	appears in a hospital with a broken arm goes home
13	with a cast, a lollipop, and a CPS investigation.
14	The majority of SCR reports are made by mandated
15	reporters. Teachers, doctors, social services
16	workers. Of the 16,000 reports received in 2018,
17	close to 12,000 were made by mandated reporters and
18	these are made mostly in New York City by employees
19	of city agencies, including the Department of
20	Education, the New York City Health and Hospitals
21	Corporation, the Department of Homeless Services, and
22	the Human Resources Administration. As such, those
23	agencies play a significant role in the
24	overrepresentation of children of color in this
25	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired.
I	

2	KAREN FREEDMAN: Now is the time to
3	engage all of the other city agencies to train their
4	mandated reporters to consider whether a referral to
5	a food bank, daycare provider, a mental health
6	service, and afterschool program, or any other
7	community-based child support could eliminate the
8	perceived risk and do away with the need to make a
9	call to the SCR. This is the only way we can begin
10	to transition from the role of mandated reporters to
11	what we should have in our communities: mandated
12	supporters. Thank you.
13	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you so much,
14	Karen, for your testimony. I'm now going to call on
15	our next panel. In the following order we will have
16	testifying Tricia Stephens, Jamal Robinson, Kiera
17	Malpe, and we will recall Dawn Mitchell. We will
18	begin with Tricia Stephens.
19	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.
20	TRICIA STEPHENS: Good afternoon. Thank
21	you to the Council members. This has been an
22	incredible afternoon of testimony that is much
23	needed. I'm going to start off by saying that, when
24	I first saw the name for the hearing, in place of
25	disproportionality, I actually inserted what I

understood to be racism in child welfare. To be very 2 3 clear, research has shown that, above all else, race and, particularly, being black, is a predictor of 4 child welfare involvement. This includes when 5 poverty is taken into account when all else is equal 6 7 and the offenses that are alleged against parents, almost being equal, being black is the strongest 8 9 predictor of child welfare involvement. That comes out of work from Alan Detlas Group that research is, 10 11 as well. To support that additionally, when child 12 welfare workers who are investigating share the race 13 of the family be investigated, when both are black, still, being black is the strongest predictor of 14 15 being placed in child welfare. So, we cannot get 16 away from the fact that what we are looking at and we 17 are calling it disproportionality, is, in fact, 18 racism within the child welfare system. That's the 19 overarching issue. In my research, I am an assistant 20 professor at Hunter College Silverman school of 21 social work. In my research, I have been in the 2.2 field talking to parents for over eight years at this 23 point in time and what does that look like? I was moved almost to tears by Ms. Thomas's testimony 24 because her testimony was from June. I conducted 25

interviews in 2014 others who took their children to 2 3 the hospital for care and left in handcuffs. Their 4 child didn't go home with them with a CPS worker when they became, understandably, enraged that their child 5 was being retained from their care. 6 They were 7 removed from the hospital in handcuffs taken to the Police Department, arrested, and the child was placed 8 9 in foster care. This happened in 2014. I spoke to a mother in January. This happened in January of this 10 11 year where a mother was removed from the hospital 12 after having just taken voluntarily or child to the 13 hospital for care and recognizing that her child was 14 going to be retained and she was not going home with 15 her child. Both moms that I am referring to are 16 black mothers and, when they expressed legitimate 17 emotional distress, there distress seemed to upset 18 the providers so much so that the police were called. 19 If this is not a regulatory--20 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired. 21 TRICIA STEPHENS: system, then I don't 2.2 know what it is. I want to just follow up with Dr. 23 Dorothy Roberts' work that talks about the child welfare and family court system as America's 24 25 apartheid system. If anyone has gone to the

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 184
2	courtrooms in New York City and observed, you will
3	see distinct lines and who goes through each line and
4	it's hard to argue that this into our apartheid
5	system. And I want to go through with thinking about
6	how South Africa deconstructed its apartheid system.
7	It did not do so through bias trainings. It had to
8	recognize that what was happening in the country was
9	unacceptable, dismantling it, calling for truth and
10	reconciliation so those that were harmed by the
11	system would be able to look in the eyes of those who
12	would harm to them in the first step towards healing
13	and that way we can get to a point, if we are truly
14	to help parents and families get through some of the
15	challenges we are talking about, if we are truly to
16	build trust, we have to do some healing, we have to
17	do some dismantling of this system because the system
18	has earned the distrust of families and it cannot
19	move forward without addressing those challenges.
20	Thank you so much for listening.
21	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you, Ms.
22	Stephens. Sorry. I have my kids here at the moment,
23	so I'm off screen, but I'm here listening. It's a
24	little chaotic, but I'm here.
25	

6

2 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: We are now going to 3 call on Jamel Robinson followed by Kiran Malpe 4 followed by Dawn Mitchell. Jamel Robinson for 5 testimony.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.

7 JAMEL ROBINSON: Thank you, Chair Levin. 8 To the committee, thank you for having me on this 9 afternoon. Thank you to all those who have taken the opportunity to testify today, Mr. Chair. I think it 10 11 is important for me to note for the record, over the 12 past few days, I had the opportunity to research a 13 litany of policy reports on the topic of racial 14 disparities in the child welfare system that spans 15 nearly 35 years and has predated by 10 years in the 16 New York City child welfare system. My hope for 17 testifying before you today is to add value, 18 hopefully, to this discourse that can help move us 19 beyond this conversation to some actionable 20 solutions. My name is Jamel Robinson. I am a former 21 foster youth and the executive director of the Jamel 2.2 Robinson Child Welfare Reform Initiative, a 501C(3) 23 nonprofit ensuring New York City foster youth has access to the schools, resources, and opportunities 24 and support they need to receive -- they need to 25

reach their full potential and achieve their highest 2 3 aspirations. As a former foster youth with the lived 4 experience in the New York City foster care system. 5 I know all too well the challenges that foster youth face and the systemic issues and racism as well as 6 7 the pervasive unconscious bias associated with such While ACS has cited much about their work 8 svstems. 9 about the impact of SCR investigations and its racial disparities in the child welfare system affecting 10 11 foster youth specifically around the impact those 12 investigations have with regard to assessment, 13 surveillance, and more. What ACS did not mentioned, 14 which I was disheartened about and particularly 15 shocked, is that we did not mention the racial 16 disparities in the child welfare system with regard 17 to mental health, foster youth access to opportunity, 18 and funding equity for grassroots nonprofits on the 19 ground reaching these communities. We believe that 20 initiatives, visualization, and actualization are the 21 keys to a much brighter futures for foster youth. With up to 80 percent of foster youth suffering from 2.2 23 significant mental health issue, both the diagnosed and undiagnosed represent a significant social 24 problem across this country. Within the foster care 25

2 system, the problem has reached epidemic proportions. 3 Time and again, research has shown foster youth 4 continue to struggle with mental health challenges at significantly higher rates than compared to their 5 nonfoster care peers. Yet, little has been done to 6 7 improve these outcomes. Foster youth really deserve 8 better. We look at the disparities when it comes to 9 post-traumatic stress disorder--

10

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired.

JAMEL ROBINSON: higher than those who 11 have transitioned -- war veterans who have 12 13 transitioned from Iraq. We look at major depressive 14 episodes at an all time high. We look at a panic 15 disorder. We look at social phobia. We look at 16 alcoholic-- alcohol dependence. I stand corrected. 17 And while these statistics may seem bleak, what we 18 know to be equally true, it's that foster youth have 19 gifts, talents, and abilities and that with the right 20 support, they can lead to helping them achieve boundless outcomes. And around emotional wellness 21 2.2 outcomes and mental health, ACS must want to 23 strengthen prevention and crisis response. Two, enhance access to timely high quality emotional 24 mental health services, education, and support to old 25

2 and transition aged foster youth. Three, increase 3 physical health services with activities available to 4 older and transition aged youth. And four, provide solutions on how New York City can improve health 5 equity and emotional wellness outcomes to foster 6 7 youth. And when we look at foster youth opportunity, we like to think of New York as a meritocracy where 8 9 every youth has an opportunity for success. In some ways, this does hold true to access, opportunity, and 10 11 exclusivity. And, yet, there are still eras in which 12 a quality is lacking and no more apparent than the 13 disparities that face youth in foster care. We look 14 at the numbers that are stacked against foster youth. 15 Education. Only three percent will earn a college 16 degree. Housing. Roughly one in five will be 17 homeless by age 18. Unemployment. 50 percent will 18 be unemployed by age 24. Mental health. Up to 80 19 percent suffer from a significant mental health 20 issue. Prison. 25 percent of foster youth will 21 transition from foster care and post their transition two years after emancipation have some involvement 2.2 23 with the criminal justice system. It's time to flip the script and our brand of hope is derived from the 24 conviction that foster youth are worth our collective 25

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 189
2	investments. Investments that match our belief and
3	their potential routed in equity. Tennents which
4	include access to high quality healthcare, education,
5	supportive housing, career opportunities, mentorship,
6	financial literacy, and tangible support. I conclude
7	here. And funding opportunities. We see inequity in
8	unconscious bias manifested when, for example, you
9	can visit a foundation website with the mission to
10	reduce poverty and proceed to apply for a grant and
11	if it's a small grassroots organization, you're
12	automatically disqualified because your organization
13	does not meet the annual budget requirement. Or
14	even, worse, you get to the site and you are met with
15	a sentence in read that read, no unsolicited
16	proposals accepted. Both are discriminatory
17	practices. Both suggest you must have, quote
18	unquote, access. One first must have access to
19	physical resources whereas the other, social capital.
20	The challenge with both is that, in government
21	contracting, ACS, and philanthropy. It is known in
22	most instances, the organizations these
23	organizations are led by individuals of color with
24	the limited access to the kinds of physical resources
25	and social networks more readily accessible to their
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 190
2	white contemporaries. But we also know that these
3	organizations are the ones on the front lines day in
4	and day out. They know the community. They are
5	impacted by the community. And they are the leaders
6	that are no less credentialed, if not more and often
7	those with lived experiences effectuating
8	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired.
9	JAMEL ROBINSON: of change in the same
10	community that are adversely impacted. They are the
11	experts, yet, regardless of this recognition, instead
12	of the proposals being judged on its merits, they
13	are, essentially, told your contributions are not
14	welcome. This is for the privileged. To create
15	funding equity access, ACS must support nonprofit
16	grassroots organizations in the area of capacity,
17	grants that will allow for equitable access and
18	funding that can enhance programming aimed at the
19	prevention of system involvement and an essential
20	component to reducing CSR cases to ACS. I am going
21	to really conclude there, but I will say I will
22	caution this committee and the city. One of the
23	major issues and I prophesy this. I pretty much
24	declare this to be so and I know it to be true all
25	too well given my lived experience in the foster care

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 191
2	system. The next challenge, the next wave we are
3	going to face as a city and as a nation, really, is
4	around as we have in times past around
5	emotional wellness. Young people are going to
6	transition out of this system and they will be
7	continued to deal with challenges and complexity of
8	this thing called life no matter what supports you
9	provide to them. But if we don't focus we are doing
10	a disservice.
11	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Jamel, I think
12	that Jamel?
13	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: So, Jamel, it
14	appears you are muted.
15	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Jamel, I think
16	you've been mute Jamel? Jamel, I think that
17	you're muted. Jamel? I think you've been muted,
18	but I think you're muted. Can you unmute? There
19	you go. Jamel, thank you so much. It's great to see
20	you. It's been a while, but I
21	JAMEL ROBINSON: Thank you.
22	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you so much
23	for your testimony and I look forward to seeing you
24	much It's been too long, so let's make sure we
25	reconnect.

1 COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 192 2 JAMEL ROBINSON: Yes. We will. 3 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Great. Great. 4 Thanks so much. 5 JAMEL ROBINSON: Thank you. COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, Jamel. 6 7 We will now call on Kiran Malpe followed by Dawn Mitchell. 8 9 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time. KIRAN MALPE: Hello. My name is Kiran 10 11 Malpe. Can you hear me now? 12 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: We hear you. KIRAN MALPE: Great. Okay. I thought it 13 14 was muted. Sorry. Hi. My name is Kiran. I'm a 15 clinical social worker with the Center for Court 16 Innovation and I thank you today for your time and 17 for the opportunity to speak as we look for solutions 18 and needed ships in practice, I would like to tell 19 you about an innovative evidence-based problem solving infant family court model called the Strong 20 Starts Court Initiative. So, Chair Levin, after 21 seeing [inaudible 04:20:31] I hope this is meaningful 2.2 23 to you. Strong Starts responds to the unique needs of children aged birth to three during their most 24 25 receptive informative stage of development will

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 193
2	become subject children in child protective
3	proceedings in family court. In addition to the
4	racial disparities that are well-known in child
5	welfare, babies are also disproportionately
6	represented in family court with over 10,000 cases
7	for children under three across New York City in
8	2018. The Strong Starts model addresses
9	intergenerational system involvement through a
10	consistent, collaborative, and clinical approach
11	engaging all service systems. The model aims to
12	prove family court and child welfare practices
13	utilizing a strength-based framework in an otherwise
14	punitive system. We do this by engaging and
15	including families early on in the court process and
16	by conducting comprehensive clinical assessments to
17	determine tailored service plans for families based
18	on their identified needs and by utilizing infant
19	focused and relational interventions that are not
20	typically included on service plans. We view each
21	family's unique experience through a clinical lens
22	that focuses on attachment relationships and ruptures
23	that have occurred. We address the very real
24	experiences of intergenerational trauma, systemic
25	racism, and historical trauma as part of an

individual social context and, therefore, their 2 3 clinical presentation with often reflects the pain 4 and despair that often underlies uncooperative or other confusing parental responses to child welfare 5 system practitioners and demands. We work to engage 6 7 high quality providers across all service areas that serve each family's community in an effort to ensure 8 9 access and connection to effective treatment to mitigate identified risks, support strengthening 10 11 family relationships, and healing, as well as address any barriers to accessing these services. 12 We also engage service providers in understanding what the 13 14 family work process is like for parents to provide 15 insight as to why they might be resistant to engaging 16 or sharing information for fear it may harm their 17 case, as well as hoping that once providers have a 18 true understanding of what family court is like, this 19 will be taken into account when considering making 20 future reports to the SCR for other families. Of 21 most significance, is our monthly conferencing 2.2 structure that convenes all parties, most 23 importantly, the family and baby when possible, legal parties, and service providers. We bring them 24 together to share updates, brainstorm how to remove 25

1COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE1952barriers and mitigate risks and celebrate progress.3In an effort to bring cases to resolution in a timely4manner--

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired.

KIRAN MALPE: and expedite permanency for 6 7 children in out of home care to promote positive outcomes for families. Clinical conferences also aim 8 to ensure that parents' voices are heard and 9 respected and to reduce stress for families in the 10 11 family court that can, at times, be retraumatizing. 12 This contracts with the current standard in typical 13 proceedings of inconsistent durations of adjournments between convenings of parties. Strong Starts works 14 15 to maintain child and family stability and to create a system in which parents can reach out when they 16 17 need help without fear of punishment. Strong starts 18 is a means to increase access and equity for families and a way to mitigate racial disparities in the child 19 20 welfare system. Thank you.

21 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your 22 testimony, Kiran. And now I'm going to call on Dawn 23 Mitchell. Recall Dawn Mitchell who previously had 24 technical difficulties with audio.

25

4

2 DAWN MITCHELL: Thank you. Can you hear 3 me now?

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.

5 DAWN MITCHELL: Great. Thank you for your patience and thank you for recalling the. 6 Mv 7 name is Dawn Mitchell. I am the attorney in charge 8 of the Legal Aid Society's juvenile rights practice. 9 We represent approximately 34,000 children who are at the center of abuse and neglect cases in the family 10 11 court system in New York City. Thank you, Chair 12 Levin, for organizing today's hearing and for giving 13 us an opportunity to share our testimony. I also 14 appreciate the opportunity to hear from colleagues, 15 advocates, parents, and youth today, as well as ACS. I believe the conversation is much needed and I 16 17 appreciate the comments that were made and 18 suggestions that were offered. We support the 19 efforts of the city that the city has made and 20 continues to make to address very serious issues of 21 racial disparities in the child welfare system. We have to reckon with the fact that our current child 2.2 23 welfare system is the product of our country's history of anti-blackness among other harmful, 24 25 racially charged injustices. This history isn't

1 COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 197 behind us and we've learned that -- more so today 2 3 than perhaps ever before. It is one of the driving 4 factors behind families of color being disproportionately represented in the child welfare 5 I agree with Joyce McMillan who said that 6 system. 7 poverty is a significant factor in the racially 8 disproportionate data in the child welfare system. 9 The poverty that families, black and brown children experience in New York City is amplified by their 10 11 exposure to social services systems which further 12 increases their exposure to mandated reporters. The 13 statistics tell the story very clearly. For example, 14 and I think we heard it briefly today by Dr. White, 15 that-- or Andrew White, rather, black children in 16 New York City are six times more likely to be 17 reported to the SCR as white children. The report is 18 7.8 times more likely to be indicated and the child 19 is 12.8 times more likely to be admitted to foster 20 care and this is data contained by OCFS. These 21 numbers are not accidental. They reflect a system 2.2 that places many black and Latin X parents under the 23 unremitting stress of poverty, racial stereotypes, and hyper surveillance. This disproportionate system 24 causes severe and longstanding harm to children and 25

1 COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 198 2 their families and, almost exclusively, these are 3 children of color. Black children, primarily. While 4 ACS's work to address this disproportionality, there is far more work to be done and far more work that 5 has to be done. 6 7 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expire. 8 DAWN MITCHELL: I just want to offer 9 that it was encouraging to hear from ACS that it will begin planning pursuant to the old CFS mandate to 10 11 implement race blind assessments. Chair Levin, you 12 asked a very key question and I really believe that 13 race blind assessments are a critical component to 14 reducing disproportionality in filing of cases in 15 Family Court, as well as removal of children. And 16 there is quantitative data available that Nassau 17 County can produce. ACS also mentioned the 18 prevalence and increased use of primary prevention 19 services during the pandemic. Quite instructive that 20 during the pandemic, while all the filings were lower 21 because of the reduced access to the court, there has 2.2 not been an outcry of abuse or significantly harm to 23 children and there was the comment that the Commissioner made that I take issue with. He said, 24 25 we will just have to see. I think, more than

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 199
2	anything, what is very telling is that the emphasis
3	of primary preventive services have actually shown
4	that they work. That they reduce the incidence and
5	the need to file cases and the need for ACS's
6	intervention in families lives. I would ask the city
7	Council to call on transparent data and analysis of
8	case outcomes. It's needed. We need to look at
9	every decision point and find the issues that are
10	leading to racial disparities beyond the moment when
11	the case is open. And I believe a closer look at the
12	investigation process is important. We have to look
13	at this data and it has to show that, in fact, the
14	training that the Commissioner mentioned today is
15	actually proving to make a difference. The strategy
16	ACS mentioned today certainly highlights good work,
17	thoughtful consideration, and, if implemented, may
18	make a difference. However, we strongly believe that
19	these efforts must be measured in this data must be
20	available and, perhaps, independent auditors should
21	be used to evaluate the effectiveness of these
22	services. And, finally, as we look at the learned
23	lessons, perhaps, of this period of this very
24	challenging period of the pandemic on the reduction
25	of filings and we're looking we're also

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 200
2	considering the fact that, with reduced filings,
3	there has been an emphasis and more opportunity to
4	focus on reunification of those cases where the
5	children have been removed. This is another
6	opportunity to look at the racial disparities and
7	actually course correct. That is all that I have
8	today. Thank you, again, for holding this hearing
9	and thank you for this opportunity to speak.
10	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, Ms.
11	Mitchell, for your testimony. At this point-
12	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Is there anyone
13	oh. Go ahead, Aminta. Sorry.
14	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: If we inadvertently
15	missed someone that would like to testify, you can
16	right now use the zoom raise hand function and we
17	will call you in the order your hand is raised. If
18	we missed anyone signed up to testify.
19	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. Seeing none,
20	I want to thank everybody for your amazing patience.
21	I'm sorry, Ms. Stevens, are you indicating? I think
22	you are muted.
23	TRICIA STEPHENS: I believe Alisa McCoy is
24	raising her hand.
25	
	d de la construcción de la constru

4

5

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Oh. Okay. Oh,
yes. Okay. Okay. Alisa McCoy.

ALISA MCCOY: Hi. My name is Alisa McCoy. SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.

ALISA MCCOY: Thank you. Thank you for 6 7 giving me the three minutes. I know it is late. Ι 8 testified last year at the hearing at City Hall. Ι 9 am apparently affected by ACS and what I will tell you is that it is not a family deregulation system. 10 11 It is more like the administrative law feel the way 12 they conduct themselves and in the manner in which 13 they do have access to children. The investigative 14 process is adversarial. There is no due process. Ι 15 am a cancer-- 9/11 certified cancer patient and I am 16 guilty of accepting treatment for chemo and radiation 17 to stay alive to be with my children. At which 18 point, there had been an allegation put in with no 19 basis, no investigation. My 214-year-old children 20 were removed without any investigation or contacted--21 their pediatrician was never contacted. They didn't 2.2 even know about my oldest son. They removed the 23 children and brought them to a hospital to be examined by a strange doctor to only then learn I had 24 joint custody with my husband. So, there was no 25

2 investigation done. I started my right to counsel. It was ignored. The NYPD ACS came to my home without 3 4 a warrant, without a 1034, you know, filed in Family Court, without any investigation to be done and 5 removed my children. After they were taken to the 6 hospital and examined by a strange doctor, there was 7 8 nothing wrong. They then have them returned to my 9 husband which we already had custody of. This has been going since 2017. Okay? I have challenged them 10 11 in Family Court. In Family Court and Supreme Court. 12 I am holding them accountable. The caseworker of 13 ACS, Sue Anne Simmons-- from my understanding, she 14 called me cuckoo for cocoa puffs in front of two 15 court officers outside of family court who told me to 16 go file a police report on her. Once I made the 17 report, there was retaliation and, I believe, she was 18 promoted. Okay? I don't know what kind of bias 19 training they have in ACS, but this is ongoing. ACS 20 continues in my life because I challenge the case and 21 I had it vacated for neglect finding which there was 2.2 not even an allegation of how I was neglectful. I am 23 going forward with this and, during the COVID, when it first started in April, the ACS high risk notice 24 that David Hansell mentioned. I wanted to know why I 25

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 203
2	was still considered a high-risk case. They came to
3	my home nonstop every two weeks as they still do. My
4	children are almost 18. They come to my home every
5	two weeks even though there are no allegations.
6	Nothing. It was just a technical procedure that
7	reopened the case in family court. So, I don't know-
8	- Hansell said that he was going to review all the
9	cases that were
10	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired.
11	ALISA MCCOY: there were no cases reviewed.
12	I don't understand why my case is still open. How it
13	still goes on. The counsel has not complied with
14	discovery demands four years. I still continue with
15	this. There is no due process. It is
16	unconstitutional and they harass families nonstop
17	until they are held accountable. There is no money
18	to police themselves with. You know? I called to
19	complain about the ACS caseworker who is not a social
20	worker, but, instead, is the judge, jury, and the
21	police officer in the case who calls into the SCR and
22	finds me indicated after the Family Court judge said
23	there was no indication. So, it's like double
24	jeopardy and now I am spending my own funds to fight
25	SCR, which the judges are employed by OCFS and

2 everybody-- it is a very one-sided way. So, now I 3 am in Supreme Court on an article 78 hearing and in 4 Family Court at the same time and they are offering me an ACD which I politely declined because I am not 5 neglectful. I never neglected my children. And once 6 you admit to any of these services -- once you accept 7 8 any of their services, which I never have, it's like 9 admitting guilt. So, they are taking federal funding in order to do this. I've learned so much about this 10 11 system that defies the Constitution. And, simply, if 12 they just give you your rights, the parental rights 13 in the beginning, anything you say can and will be 14 twisted against you. And that's what I have learned 15 and that ACS caseworker Sue Anne Simmons has perjured himself more than once. My children record 16 17 everything. I record everything that has went on. 18 So, what they say -- and David Hansell himself has 19 rubberstamped a complaint of petition order against 20 me in, I think, qualified immunity should be removed. 21 And when these people are held accountable when their 2.2 pensions are on the line for it, they will think 23 more-- you know, instead of destroying a family's life and doing that if their pensions are on the line 24 and they are not immune to it, you know, they will 25

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 205
2	think twice about just taking people's children
3	without any reason or investigation. You know? It's
4	a nightmare I wouldn't wish on my worst enemy. I
5	don't want this to happen to any other families. So,
6	without Miranda rights in any due process, it is just
7	cannot continue. This cannot continue. And last
8	year, Chair Levin, you did ask ACS David Hansell to
9	release the board meeting minutes for ACS and he did
10	find to release them. In those meetings in that
11	meeting that he declined to release, there was
12	definitely a disparity of race because I got it off
13	the record. And, once he refused to do that, that I
14	was just a checkbox. That's all I was a checkbox of
15	my race that I was white and that is why they were
16	going to hold on to my case and to my children turned
17	18 which is now true. I know I've covered a lot and
18	I have skipped around a lot, but this is almost 4
19	years without any allegations that are true that can
20	stick. And I have supporting documentation for every
21	single thing I say. I say it with vindication. And
22	I intend on holding each and every person
23	accountable, especially I want to know with this bias
24	training how does the caseworker Sue Anne Simmons get
25	away with calling someone cuckoo for cocoa puffs and

2 then get promoted within ACS? My case is still open 3 and they are not willing to let it go. You know? 4 Because they want me to spend my money on attorneys. They do not care about wasting their own resources --5 their own agencies resources, time, and the courts. 6 7 They all work for the same person, the Mayor. They 8 are all employed. It's a very one-sided system, but, 9 when you challenge them, you have to hold them accountable. That's what I'm trying to do and, you 10 11 know, they have endless resources where I am just one 12 person trying to hold some kind of accountability. 13 And, in the memorandum, they even know it is unconstitutional to question children in [inaudible 14 15 04:39:02] to do business as usual, even though it's unconstitutional to question a child in their school 16 17 without parental consent. Until a decision is held 18 by a higher judge, an oral report, every other county 19 continued to question children without parental 20 consent except for Orange County New York which, to 21 me, they know they are wrong, but they are going to 2.2 continue to do it until a higher judge says so. 23 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Ms. McCoy, I think we have to wrap up, but I greatly appreciate the 24

testimony and I appreciate you telling your story and

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 207
2	I do wish you and your family the best and please
3	feel free to keep in touch with me, as well.
4	ALISA MCCOY: Please. Please. Follow up
5	with me. I've been doing this since last year. I've
6	tried to contact your office [inaudible 04:39:55]
7	last year. Elizabeth Adams, I believe, was my
8	contact.
9	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: We'll followed up.
10	ALISA MCCOY: Got scheduled in December.
11	This goes on. My kid is almost 18 so I don't know
12	how much longer ACS will continue this farce.
13	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much
14	for your testimony. Okay. And is there anyone else
15	that wishes to testify? Okay. Well, seeing none
16	sorry. This is the only way I can keep him quiet.
17	So, thank you everybody for your testimony. We have
18	a tremendous amount of work ahead of us and you have
19	my commitment that, as the Chair of this committee,
20	hopefully, for the next year, but no more than that
21	for 14 months, that you have my commitment that I'll
22	do everything I can to address as many of these
23	issues as we can. Systematically and through
24	legislation. So, I think you all for this your
25	

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 208
2	testimony and this, I think, very, very productive
3	hearing. And, with that, at 5:54 p.m
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

## CERTIFICATE

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date November 8, 2020