CITY COUNCIL CITY OF NEW YORK

----- Х

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND DISPOSITIONS

----- Х

September 22, 2020 Start: 2:06 p.m. Recess: 2:39 p.m.

HELD AT: Remote Hearing

B E F O R E: Adrienne Adams CHAIRPERSON

> Rafael Salamanca CHAIRPERSON

COUNCIL MEMBERS: Inez Barron Peter Koo I. Daneek Miller Mark Treyger

World Wide Dictation 545 Saw Mill River Road – Suite 2C, Ardsley, NY 10502 Phone: 914-964-8500 * 800-442-5993 * Fax: 914-964-8470 www.WorldWideDictation.com

1

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Kate Lemos McHale, Director of Research Landmarks Preservation Commission

Anthony Fabre, Director of Community and Intergovernmental Affairs Landmarks Preservation Commission

Erica Rothman, Senior Analyst for Planning and Outreach Landmarks Preservation Commission

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND DISPOSITIONS 4
2	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Sergeant Jones, if you
3	will start your opening statement?
4	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Good afternoon,
5	everyone, and welcome to today's remote New York City
6	Council hearing on the subcommittee on Landmarks,
7	Public Siting, and Dispositions. At this time, what
8	all panelists please turn on the video? To minimize
9	disruption, please place electronic devices to
10	vibrate or silent. If you wish to submit testimony,
11	you may do so at landuse <u>testimony@council.nyc.gov</u> .
12	and again, that is <pre>landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov</pre> .
13	and thank you for your cooperation and we are ready
14	to begin.
15	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: All staff, please turn
16	off your video in your audio. Madam Chair, where
17	ready to begin.
18	CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Good afternoon. I
19	am Council member Adrienne Adams, Chair of the
20	Subcommittee on Landmarks, Public Sitings, and
21	Dispositions. I am joined remotely today by Council
22	members Koo, Miller, Barron, and Treyger. Today, we
23	will be voting on nine items related to for
24	affordable housing projects, a rescission of a prior
25	landmark designation, amendments of two other prior

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND 1 DISPOSITIONS 5 landmark designations. The subcommittee held public 2 3 hearings on all of these items on September 10th. We 4 will also hold a public hearing on the Landmark Preservation Commission's designation of the Manida 5 Street historic district. I would like to also 6 7 recognize that we are joined by Council members 8 Salamanca and Cornegy, as well. Before I begin, I 9 recognize the committee counsel to review today's hearing procedures. 10 11 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, Chair 12 Adams. I am Jeffrey Campagna, counsel to this 13 subcommittee. Members of the public who wish to testify were asked to register for today's hearing. 14 15 If you wish to testify and have not registered, 16 please go to www.coucil.nyc.gov to sign up now. If 17 you are a member of the public who wants to watch 18 this hearing, please watch the hearing on the New 19 York City Council website. All people testifying 20 before the subcommittee will be on mute until they

21 are recognized by the Chair to testify. Each 22 applicant panel will be recognized as a group. 23 Members will of the public will be recognized one at 24 a time. When the Chair recognizes you, your mic will 25 be on muted. Please confirm that your mic is on

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND 1 DISPOSITIONS 6 muted before you begin speaking. Public testimony 2 3 will be limited to two minutes per witness. If you 4 have additional testimony you would like the subcommittee to consider or if you have written 5 testimony you would like to submit in lieu of 6 7 appearing before the subcommittee, you can email it 8 to landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov. Please indicate 9 the LU number or project name in the subject line of the email. During the hearing, Council members who 10 11 would like to ask questions should use the zoom raise 12 hand function. The raise hand button should appear 13 at the bottom of the participant panel. I will announce council members who have questions in the 14 15 order that they raise their hands. Chair Adams will 16 then recognize members to speak. Witnesses are 17 reminded to remain in the meeting until they are 18 excused by the Chair. Council members may have questions. Lastly, there may be extended pause is if 19 20 we encounter technical problems. We ask that you please be patient as we work through these issues. 21 2.2 Chair Adams will now continue with today's agenda 23 items. CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: 24 Thank you, 25 counselor. Today, we will vote to approve five items

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND 1 DISPOSITIONS 7 submitted by the Department of Housing Preservation 2 3 and Development. LU 666, the Week's bill MPC is an 4 application submitted pursuant to article 16 of the General Municipal Law of New York State in 197C of 5 the New York City Charter for the designation of an 6 7 urban development action area, and urban development 8 action area project for such area, and the 9 disposition of such property to a developer to be selected by HPD for property located at 1559 to 1563 10 11 Prospect Place, borough of Brooklyn, community District 8 in the Council District represented by 12 13 Council member Ampry-Samuel. The Council member 14 supports this project. 15 LU 667 and 668 concerned the 641 Chauncey phase of the old Stanley project. LU 668 was 16 17 submitted pursuant to article 16 of the General 18 Municipal Law and section 197C of the New York City 19 Charter for the designation of an urban development 20 action area, and urban development action area 21 project for such area, and for the disposition of 2.2 such property to a developer to be selected by HPD. 23 LU 667 was submitted pursuant to article 11 of the Private Housing Finance Wall requesting an exemption 24 25 from real property taxes for the disposition area.

 SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND DISPOSITIONS 8
Both items relate to property located at 641 Chauncey
Street, borough of Brooklyn, community District 4,
Council District 47. Council District 37 is
presently vacant.

LU 669, Old Stanley II was submitted 6 7 pursuant to article 16 of the General Municipal Law 8 and article 11 of the Private Housing Finance Blog 9 requesting the approval of an urban development action area project, waiver of the designation 10 11 requirements of section 197C and 197D of the Charter and an exemption from real property taxes for 12 property located at 676 Central Avenue and 1277 13 DeKalb Avenue, borough of Brooklyn, Council District 14 15 34 which is represented by Council member Reynoso and Council District 37 which is vacant. Council member 16 17 Reynoso supports the project.

18 LU 670, Open Door Bed Stuy Central and North One was submitted by the Department of Housing 19 20 Preservation and Development pursuant to article 16 21 of the General Municipal Law and article 11 of the 2.2 Private Housing Finance Law requesting the approval 23 of an urban development action area project, waiver of the designation requirements of section 197C and 24 197D of the Charter, and an exemption of real 25

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND 1 DISPOSITIONS 9 property taxes for 16 properties located in Brooklyn, 2 3 community District three and eight and the Council District represented by Council member Cornegy. 4 The Council member supports the project. 5 We will also vote to approve three items 6 7 submitted by the Landmarks Preservation Commission pursuant to section 3020 of the Charter of the city 8 9 of New York in section 25 - 303 of the administrative code of the city of New York. 10 11 LU 671 is the proposed rescission of the landmark designation of Beth Hamedrash Hagodol 12 13 Synagogue, originally the Norfolk Street Baptist Church and the landmark site of 60 - 64 Norfolk 14 15 Street in Council member Chin's district in 16 Manhattan. The landmark no longer exists as it was 17 destroyed by fire. LU 672 is an amendment of the landmark 18 19 designation of the Alexander Hamilton house, a.k.a. 20 Hamilton George Grange, to make its landmark site for

22 district in Manhattan.

21

And LU 673 is an amendment to the landmark designation of the Kingsland Homestead to make its landmark site 143 - 35 37th Avenue in the

14 West 141st Street in Council member Perkins

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND 1 DISPOSITIONS 10 district represented by Council member Koo in Queens. 2 3 Counsel, please call the role. 4 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Adams? 5 CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Aye. COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Koo? Please let 6 7 mute Council member Koo. 8 COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Aye. 9 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Council member Barron? 10 11 COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Yes. Permission to 12 explain my vote? 13 CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Permission granted, Council member. 14 15 COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thank you. I vote aye on all with the exception of the Open Door land 16 17 project. I realize it is a homeownership proposal 18 which will allow people to purchase homes, but I 19 think that we need to be mindful of the present 20 residents in each of the communities that we look at 21 when presenting projects. The research that I've done shows me that there has been a decline in the 2.2 23 black population. The Furman center has data which shows there is been a 23% decrease in the black 24 25 population from 2017 to 2018 and that is really

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND 1 DISPOSITIONS 11 significant. At the same time, it does show that 2 there is been a decrease in the poverty rate by three 3 4 points, but I think that that decrease in the property rate is more reflection of another element 5 coming in, not an increase in the number of jobs and 6 7 residences who were there being able to move to another level. So, I think that this is an example 8 9 of what people call gentrification. When we look at a 23% decrease over the period of a year or maybe it 10 11 was two years -- I think that that is significant. I think that also looking at the immediate income of 12 13 the households with three people in the district shows that it is \$47,000, household of three, and 14 15 that district. So, to say that there are homes that 16 are being offered-- yes. Three family homes. Ι 17 think that is significant. But to say that the 18 concentration of those homes is only want at the 19 lower level, two in the middle range, and three that 20 range from 667,000 dollars to 804 dollars. I think that that does not reflect what the bulk of that 21 2.2 population is able to afford. And I think, again, I 23 think another instance of people being forced out of their community. So, for those reasons, I am voting 24

25

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND 1 12 DISPOSITIONS 2 no on that project. Yes on all the rest. Thank you, 3 Madam Chair. 4 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Madam Chair, 5 Council member Cornegy is now present. [Background comments] 6 CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Council member 7 8 Cornegy, did you have remarks for us? 9 COUNCIL MEMBER CORNEGY: to just bring up my comments. If you just give me one second. I'm 10 11 so sorry. I did try to pull them up. I have 12 prepared comments. Remarks on this if I could just 13 pull them up. Thank you. 14 CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Okay. Will wait 15 for you. COUNCIL MEMBER CORNEGY: I'm sorry. 16 17 I'm going to have to forgo on my comments, but I support the project. Sorry. I'm sorry about that, 18 19 guys. 20 CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Thank you, Council 21 member. Counsel? COUNCIL MEMBER: Council member Miller? 2.2 23 COMMITTEE COUNSEL MILLER: Good afternoon. Permission to explain? I had some 24 25 questions going in about the one-- one was

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND DISPOSITIONS 13
2	particularly on the homeownership with their
3	community preference on those and then, secondly, for
4	the tenants of those three family homes, were they
5	capped or were they allowed to charge a market rate?
6	If we have some answers for those two questions?
7	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Council member, you
8	will be receiving a text about that. We can come
9	back to you.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Oh, okay. Thank
11	you.
12	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: All right. Council
13	member Treyger?
14	COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: Aye.
15	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. We can
16	come back to Council member Miller or we can hold the
17	vote open and move on.
18	CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Let's hold the vote
19	open.
20	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Okay.
21	CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Okay. Counsel, you
22	are going to hold the vote open we are going to
23	continue. Okay. we move on to today's public
24	hearing on LU 681, the Landmark Preservation
25	Commission's designation of the Manida Street

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND 1 DISPOSITIONS 14 historic district in Bronx community district Two in 2 3 the Council district represent--4 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Chair Adams? 5 CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Yes? Chair Adams, just 6 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: 7 for the record, I do want to state that the--- at present, the vote stands at four in the affirmative--8 9 or for the affirmative with one-- for the affirmative-- let me come back to this. I'm 10 11 multitasking here. But the items passed for the 12 affirmative except for 670 which is three in the 13 affirmative and one in the negative. And we have zero extensions, of course, since we have all our 14 15 members. 16 CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Okay. Great. Will 17 continue. In the vote is still open. I now 18 recognize Chair Salamanca to offer his remarks. 19 COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: Thank you, 20 Chair Adams, and thank you, Committee. I join you 21 today to pledge my support for the proposed historic designation of the Manida Street historic district. 2.2 23 The 800 block section of Manida Street dates back to more than 100 years to the early 1900s when the Hunts 24 25 point neighborhood was experiencing tremendous

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND 1 DISPOSITIONS 15 development and population growth. As the neighbor 2 3 had evolved from a largely rural area to one that saw 4 increased modes of transportation and a booming 5 manufacturing, people flocked to the Bronx to take advantage of these new opportunities. A century 6 7 later, Manida Street has maintained a strong sense of 8 community, as well as a connection to the historical 9 nature of when the area was first developed. Recognizing the need to preserve the history of the 10 11 neighborhood, the Manida Street homeowners banded 12 together and began pushing for a historic landmark. 13 More than a decade after their advocacy began, my 14 office was able to facilitate a study of the area for 15 designation in August 2018. In a city that is ever-16 changing, Manida Street serves as a link to the rich 17 history of the South Bronx. On behalf of my 18 community, I respectfully request that the city 19 Council approved this historic district application. 20 Lastly, I would like to thank the community 21 advocates, especially Maria Torres, who pushed for 2.2 this designation, as well as the LPC chair, Sarah 23 Carroll and their staff for their thorough study of the neighborhood for working with the homeowners 24 throughout this process. Thank you, Chair Adams. 25

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND 1 DISPOSITIONS 16 2 CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Thank you, Chair 3 Salamanca, and thank you so much for being here to 4 support this amazing designation. Thank you for your 5 work. Counsel, are we ready to proceed with the vote or should we continue? 6 7 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: We should continue. 8 CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Okay. 9 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: We do have the LPC panel present. The first panel speaking on behalf of 10 the Landmarks Preservation Commission is Kate Lemos 11 12 McHale, Anthony Fabre, and Erica Rothman. 13 CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Okay. We are waiting for them to be on muted. Okay. I think all 14 15 three are on muted, so, counsel, please administer 16 the affirmation. 17 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: So, please raise 18 your right hands and state your names one at a time. 19 KATE LEMOS MCHALE: Kate Lemos McHale. ANTHONY FABRE: 20 Anthony Fabre. 21 ERICA ROTHMAN: Erica Rothman. 2.2 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Do you affirm to 23 tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth in your testimony before the subcommittee and 24 answer to COUNCIL member questions? 25

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND 1 17 DISPOSITIONS 2 KATE LEMOS MCHALE: I do. 3 ANTHONY FABRE: I do. I do. 4 ERICA ROTHMAN: 5 CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Okay. Thank you. The PowerPoint presentation you loaded to the 6 7 subcommittee will be loaded into Zoom. When you are ready and will be advanced when you say next. Before 8 9 you begin, please state your name and affiliation for the record. 10 11 KATE LEMOS MCHALE: Kate Lemos McHale. The director of research for the Landmarks 12 Preservation Commission. 13 14 ANTHONY FABRE: Anthony Fabre, director 15 of community and intergovernmental affairs at LPC. 16 ERICA ROTHMAN: Erica Rothman. Senior 17 analyst for planning and outreach at Landmarks Preservation Commission. 18 19 CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Okay. Thank you 20 all for being here today. You may begin. 21 KATE LEMOS MCHALE: Thank you, Chair 2.2 Adams and subcommittee members. I will just kind of 23 start what we wait for the presentation. And thank you, also, Chair Salamanca, for being here and for 24 your support. Thanks for the opportunity to present 25

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND 1 DISPOSITIONS 18 the Manida Street historic district in the Bronx 2 3 which was designated on June 23rd as New York City's 4 150th historic district. Can we go to the next line, The historic district consists of 42 5 please? semidetached houses all executed in the Renaissance 6 7 revival style and built in 1908 to 1909. It said 8 intact example of the early 20th century development 9 that occurred in Hunts Pointe in response to transportation improvements and rapid 10 11 industrialization and, due to its consistency out of historic architectural character, the district's 12 13 strong sense of place stands out within the broader 14 neighborhood. As Council member Salamanca noted, a 15 request to evaluate the potential district came from 16 him in his office on behalf of homeowners in the 17 community two years ago and LPC staff did extensive 18 research and analysis, as well as extensive voter 19 outreach including two information meetings on the 20 block with property owners and follow-up discussions 21 to describe the proposed district and how to work with the landmarks commission staff. At the public 2.2 23 hearing on May 12th, held as a Zoom webinar, 11 people spoke in favor of the proposed designation, 24 including Council member Salamanca. Representatives 25

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND 1 DISPOSITIONS 19 2 from the New York Landmark Conservancy, the Historic 3 District Council, the Victorian Society of New York, 4 the Mod Haven Historic District Association, and five individuals. The Commission also received letters of 5 support from the Banana Kelly Improvement Association 6 7 and three individuals. One property owner spoke in opposition to the proposed district at the public 8 9 hearing. Next, please. This map shows the location of the historic district between Lafayette and 10 11 Garrison avenues in Hunts point. Next, please. Hunts Pointe contains a mix of industrial commercial 12 institutional and residential uses. This is the 13 first historic district in Hunts Pointe. Designated 14 15 landmarks in the direct vicinity include the American Banknote Company Printing Plant and the Peter S. Ho 16 17 house known as Sunnyslope. The Longwood Historic 18 District and extension are located to the west, as you can see here. Next, please. For much of its 19 20 history, Hunts Pointe was a rural area known as West 21 This 1887 map shows the future location of Farms. 2.2 Manida Street outlined in red and grandest states 23 that dotting the landscape including the landmark Sunnyslope shown here. Originally part of 24 25 Westchester County, West Farms was annexed to New

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND 1 20 DISPOSITIONS York City in 1874 bringing a number of infrastructure 2 3 improvements to the area. Next. The expansion of 4 the subway into the Bronx from Manhattan initiated the area's shift from a rural community to industrial 5 hub in the first decades of the 20th century. 6 The 7 Westside IRT subway, shown here in blue, reached the 8 Bronx in 1904 in the 1910 Intervale Avenue subway 9 station was a major impetus for development in Hunts Easy access to the waterfront and railroad 10 Pointe. 11 made Hunts Pointe in an ideal location for industry 12 and large manufacturing buildings began to replace 13 many of the old country estates such as this photo here. Next, please. Manida Street was cut through 14 15 the Fox and Faile family estates in 1897 and is shown outlined in red on both of these historic maps. 16 17 James F. Mian developed both sides of the street. He 18 designed the houses on the west side and hired the 19 firm of Dalb and Crimeborg [sp?] to design the houses 20 on the east side. Construction started in the fall 21 of 1908 and was completed very rapidly by the spring of 1909. Manida Street is one of the earliest 2.2 23 developments in the area, along with a row of tenements directly to the east that remain [inaudible 24 25 00:22:30] or heavily altered. Next, please.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND 1 DISPOSITIONS 21 Construction of the houses in the historic district 2 3 occurred in anticipation of the area's industrial 4 development which was expected to attract skilled and 5 well-paid employees. A 1909 advertisement, shown on the left, described the area as, quote, one of the 6 7 prettiest and most accessible parts of the Bronx, end 8 quote. A 1912 newspaper article on the right 9 included a photograph of Manida Street Block as evidence of the rapid development of Hunts Pointe. 10 11 Next, please. Developers envision Hunts Pointe as 12 becoming a mixed-use neighborhood. The American 13 banknote company Printing Plant, shown here, an 14 individual landmark, was also pictured in that 1912 15 article and is illustrative of this industrial 16 development. Next, please. And today, consists of 17 mostly industrial buildings and larger scale 18 apartment houses. Next. Sorry. Some of these are 19 The buildings just outside of the rapid fire. 20 historic district and emphasize the contrast between 21 the historic district and the surrounding area and 2.2 were not included within the boundary. Next, please. 23 Manida Street's residential enclave was primarily home to Russian, German, and Hungarian immigrants 24 25 that mostly spoke Yiddish and had occupations such as

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND 1 2.2 DISPOSITIONS 2 policemen and insurance clerks or worked at nearby 3 button and box factories. Demographics in Hunts 4 Pointe began to shift in the 1940s with increasing Hispanic population which remains predominant today. 5 Next, please. Manida Street stands out from its 6 7 industrial and commercial surrounding due to its residential character in combination with the 8 9 historical and static consistency. All of which gives it a strong sense of place. One developer into 10 11 architects were responsible for the construction of the buildings between 1908 and 1909. In addition, 12 13 Manida Street's strong stylistic consistency 14 distinguishes the district as a discrete enclave 15 within Hunts Pointe. Next, please. Executed in a 16 modest Renaissance revival style, the two-story and 17 basement semidetached buildings feature mere image 18 façades with rounded projecting bays, low stone 19 stoops, simple cornice is with steeply pitched 20 parapets above and ornamentation concentrated around 21 the doors and windows. Ornamental variation 2.2 differentiates that you stand West sides of the 23 Next, please. The east side of Manida street. Street, shown on this slide, an example here, 24 consists of a row of semidetached houses with 25

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND 1 23 DISPOSITIONS restrained brick façades and stone trim with bowed 2 window bays and projecting moudilient cornices. 3 4 The buildings on the west side of the Next. street-- some examples shown here-- are slightly 5 more embellished and show greater variety. 6 7 [inaudible 00:25:45] revival style parapets project above the bays of several buildings. Lintels and 8 9 door surrounds feature varies classical motifs and original stained glass [inaudible 00:25:55] are 10 11 retained in some of the windows. Next, please. Our 12 analysis of historic integrity reveal that, while 13 there are some alterations, the majority of houses are intact or have only minor alterations. Of the 42 14 15 houses, 13 are moderately altered which would include 16 some changes to façade material or roofline and three 17 have heavier alteration which would include a combination of alterations noted. Next. But what is 18 striking is that, overall, the houses unified style, 19 height, and form with their intact rhythm of beige 20 21 and decorative detail contribute to a very strong 2.2 sense of place and overall good integrity on the 23 block. Next, please. Looking much like it did in this 1923 photograph, the historic district stands 24 out in Hunts Pointe as a complete block of early 20th 25

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND 1 DISPOSITIONS 24 century residential architecture that is consistent 2 3 in terms of style and type and has been preserved on 4 both sides of the street. Next, please. I'd just like to point out the historic district has a unique 5 edge condition where the lots on the west side of 6 7 Manida Street abut to the sidewalk of Buretto Street 8 to the west where they are visible. The streetscape 9 here is characterized by a variety of low rise nonhistoric utilitarian structures including garages and 10 11 walls and gates and any proposed changes to these non-historic elements would be reviewed within that 12 13 utilitarian context. Next. The mid-20th century brought drastic economic and infrastructure changes 14 15 that had devastating impacts on the South Bronx. 16 This was an area impacted by the racist practice of 17 red lining in the 1930s and 40s which resulted in 18 disinvestment in neighborhoods, particularly with 19 populations of color. Hunts Pointe saw rise in crime 20 and the neighborhood experienced severe disinvestment 21 causing some longtime residents to leave, but many of Manida Street's residents remained and took 2.2 23 special care to see that their homes continue to look much as they did more than a century ago. 24 Next, And today, thanks to this the Manida Street 25 please.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND 1 DISPOSITIONS 25 historic district has a strong sense of place and 2 3 historic character, distinct from surroundings and remains a remarkable example of the early 20th 4 century construction boom that occurred in the South 5 I encourage you to uphold the Commission's 6 Bronx. 7 designation. Thank you. 8 CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Thank you very 9 much, Kate. And it's good to see you, by the way. You know, I have to admit, you know, my favorite part 10 11 of my hearing happens to be always the portions for 12 LPC. Just to hear the history of New York, just see these wonderful photos, you know, of the places

13 throughout our city that hold such history and when 14 15 we start thinking about what was going on in the city 16 and in the country and in the world in 1908, 1909, 17 1912, you know, 1923, you know? And then here we are 18 in 2020 actually land marking potentially we are embarking please sites and, you know, will do so 19 20 always so fascinating to me to just think about that. 21 In time where we were and these buildings are still 2.2 standing and so beautiful still and, yet, we look at 23 the historical context, you know, that everything that we are doing as far as all of this is 24 25 concerned. So, thank you for the presentation of,

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND DISPOSITIONS 26
2	like I said, the favorite part of my hearings. And
3	that's always the historical portion. So, thank you
4	so much for that. I now invite my colleagues to ask
5	questions. If you have questions for the panel,
6	please click on the raise hand button on the
7	participant panel. Counsel, are there any questions?
8	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: I'm checking. We
9	do have questions from Chair Salamanca.
10	CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Okay. Chair
11	recognizes Chair Salamanca. Chair Salamanca?
12	CHAIRPERSON SALAMANCA: Can you hear me
13	now.
13 14	now. CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Did you care to
-	
14	CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Did you care to
14 15	CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Did you care to comment?
14 15 16	CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Did you care to comment? CHAIRPERSON SALAMANCA: Yes. I just wanted
14 15 16 17	CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Did you care to comment? CHAIRPERSON SALAMANCA: Yes. I just wanted to thank LPC, really, for stepping up. When I
14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Did you care to comment? CHAIRPERSON SALAMANCA: Yes. I just wanted to thank LPC, really, for stepping up. When I reached out to them and, you know, I pointed out that
14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Did you care to comment? CHAIRPERSON SALAMANCA: Yes. I just wanted to thank LPC, really, for stepping up. When I reached out to them and, you know, I pointed out that there you know, there's a lot of development
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Did you care to comment? CHAIRPERSON SALAMANCA: Yes. I just wanted to thank LPC, really, for stepping up. When I reached out to them and, you know, I pointed out that there you know, there's a lot of development happening in the Bronx, you know, throughout
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Did you care to comment? CHAIRPERSON SALAMANCA: Yes. I just wanted to thank LPC, really, for stepping up. When I reached out to them and, you know, I pointed out that there you know, there's a lot of development happening in the Bronx, you know, throughout actually, throughout all five boroughs, but now
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Did you care to comment? CHAIRPERSON SALAMANCA: Yes. I just wanted to thank LPC, really, for stepping up. When I reached out to them and, you know, I pointed out that there you know, there's a lot of development happening in the Bronx, you know, throughout actually, throughout all five boroughs, but now there's a habit of development happening in the Bronx
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Did you care to comment? CHAIRPERSON SALAMANCA: Yes. I just wanted to thank LPC, really, for stepping up. When I reached out to them and, you know, I pointed out that there you know, there's a lot of development happening in the Bronx, you know, throughout actually, throughout all five boroughs, but now there's a habit of development happening in the Bronx and while we may welcome some of this development so

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND DISPOSITIONS 27
2	neighborhoods that have a history and Manida Street
3	has a history, a very rich history, and the
4	homeowners want to keep their communities intact and
5	it's awesome to have an agency that we can work side
6	by side to ensure that we keep these neighborhoods
7	intact as we continue to grow as a city. So, I just
8	wanted to say thank you. Thank you, Chair.
9	KATE LEMOS MCHALE: Thank you.
10	CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Thank you, Chair
11	Salamanca. Counsel, are there any other questions?
12	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: I see no other
13	Council member questions.
14	CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Okay. Thank you.
15	And we have no public witnesses to testify on LU 681
16	at this time. Our vote is still open. Are we ready
17	to proceed with that? Counsel?
18	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Is Council member
19	Miller still present? I do not see Council member
20	Miller still here. That would mean that the vote
21	stands at four in the affirmative, zero in the
22	negative, and with zero abstaining. Oh. There's
23	Council member Miller. Council member Miller, are
24	you ready to vote?
25	COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: That is correct.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND 1 DISPOSITIONS 28 2 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: How do you vote? 3 COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Well, permission to 4 explain? 5 CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Yes. Permission 6 granted. 7 COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Thank you, Council 8 So, my question related to those projects member. 9 had to do with whether or not the community, the current and indigenous residents, would have access 10 11 to homeownership in the communities that they 12 currently and have past resided in. that is super 13 important, particularly as it pertains to wealth 14 building in communities of color through 15 homeownership. And then the second question was 16 whether or not, through those subsidies associated 17 with that homeownership, how that would impact rents 18 and whether they would be market or whether they 19 would be capped and I have answers to those and I am 20 glad to say in the affirmative for each and so, 21 therefore, I would be voting yes on all of the 2.2 projects this afternoon. And thank you to my 23 colleagues that worked so diligently to bring affordable opportunities to our communities. Thank 24 25 you, Council member.

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND DISPOSITIONS 29
2	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, Council
3	member Miller. The vote stands at five in the
4	affirmative, zero in the negative with zero
5	abstentions except for LU 670 which is four in the
6	affirmative, one in the negative and zero
7	abstentions.
8	CHAIRPERSON ADAMS: Thank you, counsel.
9	That concludes today's business. I remind you,
10	anyone, that if you have written testimony on today's
11	item, you may submit it to
12	<pre>landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov. please indicate</pre>
13	the LU number or the project name in the subject
14	heading. I'd like to thank the applicants, members
15	of the public, my colleagues, subcommittee counsel,
16	land use staff, and the Sergeant-at-arms for
17	participating in today's hearing. This meeting is
18	hereby adjourned.
19	[gavel]
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date ____ October 23, 2020