CITY COUNCIL CITY OF NEW YORK	
	X
TRANSCRIPT OF THE	MINUTES
of the	
COMMITTEE ON SANIT	'ATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT
X	
	December 18, 2009 Start: 12:23 pm Recess: 1:18 pm
HELD AT:	Council Chambers City Hall
BEFORE:	SIMCHA FELDER Chairperson
COINCII MEMDE	DC:

## COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Council Member Maria del Carmen Arroyo

Council Member James F. Gennaro Council Member Robert Jackson

Council Member Kenneth C. Mitchell Council Member Larry B. Seabrook

## $\verb|A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED) | \\$

Peter McKeon Chief of Collection Operations New York City Department of Sanitation

Todd Kuznits Chief of Enforcement New York City Department of Sanitation

Andrea Ciccone Director for Intergovernmental Affairs New York City Department of Sanitation

2	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Good, good
3	afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. Are you ready?
4	Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. My name is
5	Simcha Felder, I'm the Chair of the Sanitation and
6	Solid Waste Committee. And I apologize for being
7	late. It's exactly 12:23 or 24. That means I'm
8	24 minutes late, and I apologize. So, for 15
9	minutes from this point, no I should say at least
10	for 24 minutes, if anyone wants to sign in to
11	speak, especially seeing there's no one here. Did
12	anyone sign in, Jerry? Well, you know what, since
13	there's no one here who wants to speak, we'll give
14	them an hour to check in to speak, even if I'm not
15	here. An hour after I close the meeting, they can
16	still check in to speak. But having said that,
17	having said that, I, I know Councilman Gennaro has
18	an urgent matter to attend to, I just want to
19	thank him for coming. And to thank, thank you for
20	working with us on this Committee, wish you a
21	good, happy, healthy holidays, and a happy,
22	healthy New Year to you and your family.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO: Thank you,
24	Mr. Chairman, you, too.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you.

3

4

5

б

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Alright, where--before I begin, I'd like to - before I begin I'd like to acknowledge the staff from the Committee that prepared today's hearing: Jared Hova, Hava, Counsel to the Committee, who's sitting on my right; and Siobhan Watson, the Policy Analyst to the Committee, and my Commun-who's sitting to my left [off mic] - - did it on my own, I didn't ask Jared about it. And Eric Quo, who is my Legislative Director, sitting on my right, to the right of Jared Hava. We're here today to conduct a hearing on Intro Number 1091, concerning trash receptacles placed out for collection by the Department of Sanitation. As the City's rules for trash collection are currently written, residents are required to place the trash out on the curb for collection in one of two ways: either in a receptacle with a tightly fitting lid, or in a securely tied opaque trash bag, directly on the sidewalk. If however a resident a places a securely tied trash bag in a receptacle, and that receptacle somehow becomes uncovered, the resident is subject to a ticket, even though it would have been perfectly acceptable to place the very same securely tied

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2 trash bag directly on the sidewalk.

Unfortunately, my office and some of my colleagues in their offices, have received many complaints from residents who have received tickets for this very violation. In fact, a few have been within the last few weeks. While I understand it's important for the City to encourage practices that diminish litter in our streets, and discourage rodents from feasting on the City garbage, we'll still need to be sure that the rules that we put in place to achieve that goal are both logical and fair to residents, especially when a ticket is \$100. I'd like to use today's hearing to discuss the issue with the Department of Sanitation, to better understand the reasoning for the rules, and determine if there's any way that we can address what I consider to be a, to be an illogical, unfair, inconsistent -- I have some other descriptions -- rule. Before we begin today's formal hearing, I'd like to emphasize that it is my policy to, this was wrong--MALE VOICE: [off mic] No, it's right, it's - -

25 CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Yeah, but I

don't like saying the first three lines, because 2 it says here that it's my policy to begin on time. 3 4 And I didn't do that today. So, I want that to be 5 struck from the record. [laughter] Therefore, you have a chance to sign up. Additionally, I ask 6 7 witnesses to refrain from operate -- from repeating 8 points by, made by previous witnesses. If you've 9 adequately, if someone has adequately made the 10 point you wish to make, it suffices to note your 11 agreement. Normally, on, it is my policy, and I'm 12 hoping that the Council as a whole will take up this policy as well, that during oversight 13 14 hearings, not new legislation, to allow the public 15 to testify before the Administration. Today, 16 we're dealing with a legislative issue, so in 17 order to discuss the legislation, the 18 Administration would testify first. I was going 19 to ask my colleagues if they have any--You know 20 what, I'll do it, it's in the holiday spirit--21 Before inviting members of the Administration to 22 testify--you just ruined my joke. [background 23 voice] I was, I was going to say, without anyone here, I'd like to begin by inviting my colleagues 24 25 to share any opening remarks that they have

3

4 5

6

7

9

10 11

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

concerning these bills, and I was going to see, "Seeing none--" [background voice] Okay. been joined by my esteemed colleague, Councilman Mitchell. If there are no further comments, then I'd like to invite the Administration to begin their testimony.

PETER MCKEON: Good afternoon, Chairman Felder, and Members of the Committee on Sanitation and Solid Waste Management. I am Peter McKeon, Chief of Collection Operations for the New York City Department of Sanitation. With me this afternoon is Todd Kuznits, Chief of Enforcement for the Department. I am here to testify on Intro Number 1091, Government Receptacles for the Removal of Waste Material, which is under consideration today. As proposed, Intro number 1091 amends Section 16-120 of the New York City Administrative Code by permitting residents and persons in charge of buildings, when placing their receptacles containing refuse at the curbside for the Department of Collection, to do so without the necessity of lids or covers, provided that the receptacle contains the refuse in securely tied, heavy duty, opaque plastic bags. This amendment

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

would not change the requirement that residents and persons in charge must always keep the receptacles covered with tight fitting lids while such receptacles are being stored. Intro Number 1091 also allows for additional types of containers made of any other material of a grade and type acceptable to the Department, the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene and Department of Housing Preservation and Development, to be used for waste removal. Such amendment will further the Department's and Department of Health and Mental Hygiene's efforts to curb conditions conducive to rodent infestations. The Department does not object to the aforementioned amended language, though we would caution against the potential tendency of residents to overstuff uncovered receptacles that could lead to bags falling out of the receptacles and ripping open, which would pose a public health and quality of life concern. With that said, the administration, through both the Department of Sanitation and the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, opposes the Council's additional language in the bill which, that would not require lids

when receptacle also contains fewer than seven 2 pieces of loose refuse. As you know, the 3 Department's core mission is to maintain sanitary 4 5 street and - - cleanliness throughout New York City. To achieve this quality of life goal, the 6 Department prefers that all refuse be contained so as to prevent any material from blowing out of the 9 container, and creating unsightly litter conditions. Moreover, loose refuse, even just a 10 11 single item, which contains trace amounts of food 12 residue, is indeed a condition conducive to rodent 13 infestation. Residents are currently permitted to 14 place the receptacles out at the curb the night 15 before they are serviced by the Department 16 collection crews the following day. Ensuring that 17 bag refuse contained in receptacles is securely 18 tied is essential for abating the serious health 19 and sanitary effects that is otherwise caused when 20 loose refuse sits in containers at the curb, 21 especially overnight in more densely populated 22 communities. To adopt a policy today that 23 minimizes a building owner's responsibility to 24 ensure that refuse is securely contained in 25 receptacles through a creation of an exemption for

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

minor amounts of loose refuse would be a huge step backward in Health Department perpetual war on rodents and the Department's mission to maintain street cleanliness. We don't believe this Committee want to turn the clock backwards. Department understands that this Committee is concerned that often a less than considerate pedestrian drops a loose piece of refuse, for example, a soda can, into a homeowner's refuse receptacle placed at the curb awaiting pick up. As we have explained to this Committee in the past, the Department has an unofficial enforcement policy whereby no summons for comingling refuse and recyclables will be issued to the homeowner if less than five loose items are found in the receptacle. I mention this to today to dispel any public misperception that the Department Enforcement Agents unfairly and insensitively issue summonses to homeowners when, for instance, the agent observes one soda can sitting on top of bagged refuse in the homeowner's refuse receptacle. Our enforcement agents are frequently reminded of Department enforcement policies governing issuance of summonses, and the

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

circumstances warranting their issuance. Let me assure you that the Department does not issue summonses to residents who properly place their trash receptacles at the curb and take measures to ensure that refuse is securely contained. We've suggested to residents in the City, particularly those who reside in high traffic pedestrian areas, or near bus or subway stops, that in order to prevent the recalcitrant pedestrian from using the homeowner's receptacle as his or her own public corner receptacle, the homeowner should keep lids on their receptacles at all times, even up to the time of pick up. This will prevent anyone from dropping miscellaneous items into another's private refuse receptacle. Chief Kuznits and I will be happy to answer your questions. you.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you very much. We've been joined by Councilman Robert

Jackson, as well as Councilman, Council Member

Arroyo. I know that both of the, and I mentioned

Council Member Mitchell before. The three, we had

to schedule this hearing rather quickly, so I know

my colleagues have other hearings and meetings

2.

that were arranged prior to this. So, I thank you
all for coming and some of them obviously will
have to leave and I appreciate your being here for
the time that you're here. Council Member Larry
Seabrook, as well, has joined us. Do any of my
colleagues have any questions? Seeing none, I
know you wanted me to end the hearing, but
[background voices] Huh? No, not you, not you
[laughter] I'm talkI was talking to Sanitation.
Do you have any questions?

was just looking at the, I don't know if the
Sanitation officials have seen the pictures that,
that members are looking at. He's going to give
it to them. And I--basically what was told to me-that for example, I believe this homeowner or, I
assume it's a homeowner, I really can't see, got a
ticket for their situation [background voice]
Yeah. When--I assume it's garbage, but you know,
everything is confined within the, a bag, so if a
homeowner, for example, let's say has a party.
And, and exceeds the, the trash limit of one can.

PETER MCKEON: But--

COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: You know,

getting a ticket when the garbage is not thrown around, it's confined," and I don't know if this

8 was a one situation, or an ongoing situation, but

would say, you know, "Why is this homeowner

9 I guess this is what, this is what really gets, I

guess, residents, homeowners, annoyed, just like

in the situation where we had, which is totally

different from sanitation, but from parking

enforcement agents, some people getting a ticket

14 like at 8:31, or, or one minute after a meter

expired. It really gets residents and people to

have to pay these fines, really annoyed. And so,

is there any comment regarding this type of

18 situation, if you can?

TODD KUZNITS: Judging by the

20 picture--

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

10

17

19

21 COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: It's okay.

TODD KUZNITS: [off mic] Chief

23 Kuznits.

24 COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: Try it

25 again.

1	COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MGMT 14
2	TODD KUZNITS: Judging by the
3	picture
4	COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: Yeah,
5	yeah.
6	TODD KUZNITS:that I'm shown
7	here, and not being able to see the summons, I
8	could, all I can say is a summons should not have
9	been issued if this is the condition.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: Hmm.
11	TODD KUZNITS: And when I get
12	letters, complaints, phone calls or summonses for
13	this type of situation, I investigate it and find
14	out who issued the summons, and I got to that
15	person, whether it be one of the supervisors or
16	one of the enforcement agents, or one of the
17	sanitation police
18	COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: Right.
19	TODD KUZNITS:and I educate them
20	to make sure that they know that there's nothing
21	wrong with this.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: Hmm.
23	TODD KUZNITS: Do just, just by
24	this photo alone
25	COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: Right.

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

TODD KUZNITS: --if this is what the summons was issued for, you're correct it should not have been issued.

COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: Mm, well see, let me tell you, your response, I appreciate your response, and your, and to this situation. Obviously we're looking at only the picture here, which only gives a certain situation. But I, I appreciate that response, because what it says to me as a legislator, is that your type of approach is what I think the people of New York City want to hear, and want to see happen. And as the Chair of the Education Committee, even when dealing with other matters, even in my community, as far as when people put their household garbage in the, in the corner trash, I always say, "Education first." Do you know what I mean? Education first. then you tell people, "Listen, this is a violation, you're going to have to do this, " and then if they don't adhere to it, then if you write them a summons, you know, then, you know, quite frankly, you know, especially the ones that continue to put household garbage into the corner trash can, where I live at, and that flows in the

street and everything, then they deserve to get a 2 ticket. Do you know what I mean? So, that 3 approach what you just said, I think that the 4 5 people of New York City want that type of approach in dealing with that. And so I'm very, very 6 pleased that, the way you communicated and articulated the approach to how you would handle 9 the situation. So. I say that because it is, it 10 is so important now that everyone dealing in 11 government, I guess have approach of, of 12 understanding, and knowing that, you know, people 13 are struggling right now to survive overall. 14 and I just give this final example and then I'll 15 stop. I have a nephew that's a police officer. I 16 have a nephew that is a traffic enforcement agent. 17 And I've communicated with them that treat people 18 like you want to be treated. Treat people like 19 you want them to treat your mother or your father. 20 And if you take that approach, I think overall 21 then we as, as government officials and, you know, 22 as employees that are enforcing the law, like my 23 nephew, my nephews, both of them, or other people, 24 maybe your cousin or your nephew that may be in 25 sanitation enforcement. I think that's a

	reasonable approach that we would like to have,
	see as legislators and that, try to communicate
	with them overall. As I told my nephew, as a
	traffic enforcement agent, how tough it is to park
	in New York City. And I know they have a job to
	do. If someone is this much over, don't give them
	a ticket. Come on, be reasonable. But if they're
	that much over, that's a big difference. And all
	I think that we as legislators ask DODOS, is to
	just be reasonable in their approach and in
	dealing with the constituents, whether they be on
	Staten Island, where a majority of the homeowners,
	or even way up in Washington Heights where I live
	at, where there's majority apartment buildings.
	And that's all. So let me thank you for your
	response on that.
II.	

TODD KUZNITS: You're welcome. And if you, if somebody could get me a copy of that summons, I would like to look into it.

COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: I don't know who's district it is.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: That's mine.

COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: It's mine.

2	And we will be happy to get you a copy of the
3	summons. I don't want to ruin the moment, because
4	I agree, I agree with everything that Councilman
5	Jackson said; however, despite your interest and,
6	and we are very happy with your leadership and
7	your colleagues at Sanitation, the question is
8	mechanically and technically, how this get
9	resolved. It's my understanding that once the
10	ticket is issued, then the next step would be that
11	somebody either pays or it or says "I'm not
12	guilty." And if they say "I'm not guilty," that
13	would not be handled by your agency, it would go
14	to ECB at that time, at that point. So, I'm, I'm
15	very happy about the fact that you would look into
16	it to make sure that I, that those type of things
17	don't recur, so that an agent like that, you make
18	sure that they don't do those things in the
19	future. But, would that mean as well that you
20	would, or you or somebody on your behalf, after
21	investigating something like this, would be
22	willing to attend that hearing and say, point
23	blank, that ticket should not have been issued.
24	TODD KUZNITS: No, when I

investigate violations that are written, whether

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Understood.

Does a constituent get a copy of that letter?

25

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

questions. The prior paragraph. So I'm asking you whether you would be in favor of making that law.

ANDREA CICCONE: I'm Andrea Ciccone, Director for Intergovernmental Affairs at the Department of Sanitation, Bureau of Legal Affairs. In response to your question, this is an unofficial department enforcement policy whereby a resident who's trash can is found to have up to five comingled material consisting of refuse and recyclables would not be issued a summons based on the fact that our enforcement agents in their discretion would see to where the person is residing. It could be in front of a bus stop, it could be down the block from a high school or grammar school, or in a high pedestrian traffic area. So we do in fact allow for some discretion and we won't issue a summons for that type of violation. However, I know many times in the past the Department, and particularly the Commissioner, has indicated before this Committee that he was unwilling to codify that policy into law specifically because it does not send the correct message to, to the City, to the environmental

б

advocates, to the public at large, that we are serious about recycling. We don't want to give an out for homeowners not to separate their recyclables. If they're allowed up to five, then they're going to say, "But I only had six, I only had eight comingled recyclables," where does it end? In fact, I think at the last hearing on this bill, the NRDC representative also had stated that they were opposed to that. This is just--

appreciate your comments, and you've convinced me that it should be into law, because, because what you said is in essence the same argument the Administration has made about my grace period bill. And I don't agree. In other words, with if you give people five minutes suddenly they'll take six or seven or eight. I don't think homeowners, homeowners, I don't think homeowners are going to, those that are being careful, are suddenly going to say, "Oh, I get five? Alright, now I can—five I can put in, don't have to be careful," and when I can't. I don't think that's the case. But, but we don't have to debate that.

ANDREA CICCONE: Well--Right, but

may, I think that I, I think we're talking about
two different things, I think. It's in the, in
theIn the testimony, it says that often a less
considerate pedestrian drops a loose piece of
refuse, for example a soda can, into a homeowner's
refuse receptacle, placed at the curb, awaiting
for pick up. Theas you said, as we have
explained, the Department has an unofficial
enforcement policy where no summons for comingling
refuse and recyclables will be issued to the
homeowner. Which means, we're not really talking
about the homeowners, which the Department has the
sense to say that when you put a can out for pick
up and somebody passes by and there may be a, you
know, a soda can and a piece of paper and
something else in the can that's made for regular
household garbage, that you're not going to ticket
the homeowner because you understand that they're
not going to stand watching over their can when
it's put out for pick up. So, if that's the case,
I don't see why that should not be ruled a law,
not an unofficial policy.

ANDREA CICCONE: I think we'd have to take that up for discussion.

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Okay. At any point, if any of my colleagues have any questions, please tell me.

COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: I was just, this is Robert Jackson, I was just reading the testimony of the Department of Sanitation, where it basically said it does not oppose the amended language, though they would caution against a potential tendency of residents to overstuff. So, in essence, in essence, the one example that I gave you, if it was a one time party type situation, and you had more than what your trashcan can handle, that's a, that's reasonable. But if in fact on a continuous basis your can is constantly overflowing, that means you really need two receptacles for your residence or your building, whatever it is. That's what you're saying, is that correct?

PETER MCKEON: That's correct, Council Member.

COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: I don't, I don't think that that's unreasonable to, but you know, as I said, and when I, I did not read that particular section before I made my statement

1	COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MGMT 29
2	receptacles.
3	COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: Yeah.
4	And, yeah. Okay, thank you, thank you, Mr. Chair.
5	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you.
6	The picture, the photograph you just got a copy of
7	the summons that relates to the photograph, and it
8	says at, what is TPO?
9	TODD KUZNITS: Time and place of
10	occurrence.
11	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Excellent. At
12	time, place and occurrence, I did observe two
13	garbage cans uncovered, exposing household waste
14	likely to be scattered about. So I don't want to
15	get into the discussion, I'm just, you said you'd
16	look into it, so I'm taking you up on your
17	generous offer, at the moment.
18	TODD KUZNITS: I will look into it,
19	and I was just telling Counsel here, that the
20	agent that wrote this is a new agent.
21	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Excellent.
22	TODD KUZNITS: And it will be taken
23	care of.
24	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: We wish that
25	agent good luck, and we hope that with your help

TODD KUZNITS: Absolutely, yes.

they will be able to get the experience they need to do their job well. Now, let's go back to the whole issue here. Has the Department or the City in general witnessed an increase in sidewalk litter as a result of allowing placement of trash bags on the sidewalk, directly on the sidewalk?

б

Due to scavenging activity by individuals, in addition to animals such as cats and raccoons that rip open the bags searching for food, and I might add, rats.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Okay. How many tickets for uncovered receptacles did the Department issue last year?

PETER MCKEON: That I'm going to have to get back to the Committee with, we don't have that with us.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Okay, very good. And, and the cost for a violation of uncovered receptacle is the, the fine is, I understand between \$100 and \$300. What is the most common amount that you issue?

PETER MCKEON: The first violation is \$100, the second is \$100, and the third is

out, and the people passed by, and unfortunately

aren't careful and throw stuff, you know, a few

it would only apply if the bag was in a can, is

pieces on top, this, this would not apply at all,

22

23

24

25

2	that correct? And you foundI'm not talking
3	about the recyclable issue, we're talking about
4	finding loose garbage in a can, which has bags.
5	Should I, do you understand the question?

PETER MCKEON: No, I don't.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Okay, so we have two scenarios: Mr. A. has bags of garbage in his garbage can, and he puts out the can for pick up; his neighbor takes the bags out of his can and puts bags, without the can, out for pick up. The, the A. who has the can may be subject to a violation if an agent passes by and finds some loose garbage, let's say ten pieces of loose garbage on top, in that can. Right? The, the, B, who's abiding by the law, who put out the bags, and people pass by, especially in busy areas, and people let's say throw five or six pieces of garbage on top of the bags, would not be subject to the same law. Is that true?

PETER MCKEON: Yeah, I'd have to say it is.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Excellent.

That's why the law doesn't make sense. In other words, it's a double--I'm, I'm giving you a double

whammy. One, the law, the rule of the five makes
sense to me, right, and that's why it should be a
rule. But it doesn't make sense where the
Department, and I agree with you, in some ways, in
a perfect, perfect scenario, it would be wonderful
if everybody had cans, right? But that's not
going to happen, we know we're not going to make
that happen. But you're really penalizing someone
who's keeping their bags in a can, which certainly
it makes it less likely that rodents and raccoons
and everything else will get a hold of it, where
the neighbor is putting out the bags legally, and
more likely to have cats and others attack it, the
one that's putting out a can would be subject to
some violations that the neighbor would not.

COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: Let me just, I need clarification, if you don't mind.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Please.

COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: On the scenarios you just explained and which the Sanitation officials responded to. So, a resident who has a can, who has trash in there but not in a

1	COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MGMT 35
2	my own house, if I find litter in my street, I'm
3	not going to open up the bag and I'm not going to
4	bring into my house.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: You just
6	pick it up.
7	PETER MCKEON: I'm going to throw
8	it into the can.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: Right.
10	PETER MCKEON: I have covers on my
11	cans, though.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: Okay
13	[laughs]
14	PETER MCKEON: The agents, I would
15	expect
16	COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: Uh-huh.
17	PETER MCKEON:before they write
18	a summons, they look
19	COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: Okay.
20	PETER MCKEON:inside the can.
21	Does it look like litter? Or does it look like
22	loose household garbage that came from the house?
23	COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: Okay, and
24	here's, I guess
25	PETER MCKEON: And they, and they

about trash, is that correct?

25

1	COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MGMT 37
2	PETER MCKEON: I assume so, yes.
3	COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: Okay.
4	PETER MCKEON: That's correct.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: Okay.
6	Just one more question. Now, I think in response
7	to the question as far as summonses, you said the
8	first summons is \$100, the second one is \$100, but
9	the third is, I think, I think you said \$300.
10	PETER MCKEON: \$200.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: \$200. The
12	maximum is \$100 to \$300, is that correct?
13	PETER MCKEON: Under the law, yes,
14	that's correct.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: Okay, so,
16	and I'm just curious as to how would a, an
17	inspector or agent, would know it's the second or
18	third time? Is that in the computer held thing or
19	something?
20	PETER MCKEON: Yes, the agents use
21	handheld computers.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: Oh, so
23	it's
24	PETER MCKEON: So the computer
25	would know it.

2	COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: Okay,
3	that's, that's what I wanted to know, that's what
4	I assumed, but I wanted to seek clarification on
5	that. Okay, very good, thank you.

PETER MCKEON: And that's, that's the second or third guilty violation, not just you got a violation and then - -

## COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON:

[interposing] Not an open violation.

PETER MCKEON: That's correct.

COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: Okay, very

good, thank you.

PETER MCKEON: That's--

back to the question I asked you, we have a problem, and with this scenario. Because many people who do own homes, even if they put out their garbage, they, they're putting it out in bags in the cans. I, you know, I'm not saying that there's no exceptions, but for the most part that's what I see. And it would seem not to make sense as the law exists, and we should discuss that further. When, when did the DOS begin allowing residents to place trash bags directly on

exactly what they're supposed to, they're doing an 2 "extraordinary" job, I put that in quotes. 3 4 the question then becomes, that you, the 5 Department has a rule about more than five pieces, right. I say an unofficial policy, right? At the 6 same time, as you know, Councilman Gennaro and myself and others, have brought up the issue of 9 the trashcans that are on the streets, that are 10 sometimes not picked up regularly. And this is a 11 budget issue, okay. I, I can't understand how one 12 logically could make an argument about homeowners, 13 you know, and the fact that, that by putting this 14 stuff out and not being careful, and perhaps, 15 since an agent comes by and there's ten, fifteen 16 pieces, makes an assumption that the homeowner is 17 in fact not being careful about their own garbage, 18 while 20 feet away there's a public can, and you 19 see it all over the City, overflowing, overflowing 20 with garbage. Now, I haven't discussed the issue 21 with the rodents union or anyone else, but I would 22 assume that if they need stuff, you know, maybe, 23 maybe Friedman has a lot better, you know, garbage 24 than the corner garbage can, but it's there. 25 there. And up un--and you know, I would just say

that up until the city is willing and able to make sure that the corner cans are picked up regularly, and that the Department—and this is more for the budget than the Sanitation Department—that you have the resources to pick up those cans regularly, and as often as they need to be picked up, you can't, you can't mandate that somebody owns a home on the same block 30 feet away.

You're keeping them to a standard that the City is not keeping itself. What do you say about that?

Don't tell me you'll look into it. That's not a good answer for this one. [laughter] This was a good question. You know how I could tell? 'Cause you are, you're hesitating.

ANDREA CICCONE: We're, we're not stunned, we're not stunned by the question, you make a very, very valid point, and in part, those corner receptacles, we're well aware that they may, they are not being serviced as frequently as we like because of the budget cuts. And secondly, we do wish we had more opportunity to actually catch people in the act of misusing those corner receptacles. But with that said, it goes back to this issue of people placing out the receptacles,

and sweeping up the street, and putting these
items in their receptacles. And as Todd pointed
out, too, Chief Kuznits, I do the same thing. I
keep lids on my receptacles, so that it doesn't
blow about. And it also prevents people from
passing by and dropping their can or any kind of a
wrapper into my container, they might go up the
block to my neighbors, which is uncovered, but I
do keep my, my container secure.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Well, I-
ANDREA CICCONE: To prevent that

from happening.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Well, I want to make, I want to make something clear. What Councilman Jackson said earlier, I want to echo and reiterate. What a pleasure it is having a hearing with people such as yourself representing a Department, getting answers directly, without playing around, you know, without any games. But at the same time, it doesn't detract from the issue. For example, you mentioned you have your can covered. I'm not sure it's such a great idea, because, because, again, someone whose responsible—we're not talking about people who

are really careful. But somebody who's
responsible and, you know, finishes a can of soda,
something like that, they, if there's a can
nearby, they'll drop it in. Some of them will
drop it on the floor. So you get both sides of
the argument, you know, so that, that maybe having
the cans outside and they're available will
promote cleanliness. But besides the pick up
issue, the issue is that the City has two kinds of
cans. Now, the newspapers had a good time making
fund of members, including myself, for many
issues, I'm talking about myself, but particularly
about sponsoring garbage cans that are closed.
The City didn't have the money, so we went ahead
and used the, what ever you want to call it,
slush, pork barrel, discretionary money, I don't
care what it's called, to help the City do
something really that it should do on its own, but
it didn't have the money to do. So, right now,
what percentage of the cans in the City are, are
open? You know, I'm talking about theI don't
know whether you call it net, you know
ANDREA CICCONE: Mesh, mesh.
CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Mesh, yeah.

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 [off mic voices] Approximate.

TODD KUZNITS: Approximately? Over 95 percent.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Over 95 percent of the cans in the City are mesh. And we're giving--I'm not, I'm not exaggerating, I don't care if it's one violation, I'm not, the, the issue now is not about, about, you know, the number of violations, but we're giving homeowners violations for something that you, as the Department of Sanitation, trying to take care of this issue, cannot, cannot in any way, enforce with the City's garbage, if 95 percent of the cans in the City are mesh, which means that irrespective of the household garbage, whatever they're putting in there, 95 percent of the cans are open, which means that rodents, raccoons, whatever else you talked about, have someplace to go to, even if everybody else in the City was perfect, it doesn't make sense. It's illogical. And up until the point that that is taken care of, I, I find it unreasonable and unfair to issue violations to homeowners, unless that's taken care of. So, I'm suggesting that we propose new

legislation, and say that we will not issue 2 summonses to homeowners until they're replaced. 3 4 It just doesn't make sense. I don't know, we're 5 going to, we're going to talk to you about this, б don't worry, there's not going to be a press conference tomorrow or the next day. I'll allow maybe some of my colleagues in the State to do 9 that press conference. But we want to work with 10 you, but this doesn't make sense, it's just not--11 even if you argued, "Look, we want to do whatever 12 we can, so we're going to mandate homeowners," 13 that's not fair. It's just not fair. It doesn't 14 make sense. And with issues with household 15 garbage and other stuff, somebody on the block 16 gets a ticket for garbage that's overflowing on 17 the corner, and when we submit, we've done this, 18 when we submit photographs of overflowing garbage, 19 it doesn't help them. So, there's, there's 20 something I, I want to reiterate, the, my, my--I 21 was going to say my anger, but I'd rather not be 22 angry, I'd rather be, my concern about this is not 23 about the Department of Sanitation not doing its 24 job. I, I am a big fan, really, a big fan, and I 25 think I've said it over and over, I think I, as

well as many people in the City, take for granted
the unbelievable work that your agency does, and
the people do, all year round, cold weather, hot
weather, summer, whatever, raining. It used to be
the compliment that the post office and its agents
had, that's not longer the case. I think that,
that many of them are doing a terrible job. But I
don't, that's not my purview of this, of this
hearing. You do a great job. But if the City is
not going to give you the resources to be able to
do what you need to do, to be able to keep the
streets clean and keep the rodents away, then you
can't mandate that homeowners do a better job than
what they're doing. I think that theYou know,
again, overall, I'm not telling you that there are
no people in my district that just throw the
garbage out and don't care at all. I have many of
them. Strike that from the record. [laughter] I
know, but this is, this is something that I think
that's just unfair, and we have to address. Do my
colleagues have any questions?

COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: I just, concerning the proposed legislation, and in looking, looking at the legislation, and reading

things than somebody who's just putting it out in

bags, we shouldn't, we shouldn't look for a way to

punish them, that's all.

23

24

25

1	COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MGMT 51
2	want to thank you again for coming. And
3	appreciate very much, again, the job you do, and I
4	want to thank you in advance for the job you will
5	be doing with the cold weather coming along. I
6	just want to make sure that you know where
7	Councilman Jackson's district is, and where my
8	district is, and everybody from the Committee. Do
9	they know?
10	COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: Yeah, I
11	know.
12	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: That they
13	know.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: I always
15	complain about the dog poop and people should be
16	getting, given tickets.
17	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Very good, so,
18	so we thank you very much, the hearing is hereby
19	closed.
20	[gavel]
21	

I, JOHN DAVID TONG certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

Signature JOHN DAVID TONG

Date December 30, 2009