CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE

----->

December 21, 2009 Start: 11:13 am Recess: 11:45 am

HELD AT: Council Chambers

City Hall

B E F O R E:

DAVID I. WEPRIN Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Council Member Gale A. Brewer Council Member Leroy G. Comrie, Jr. Council Member Bill de Blasio

Council Member Bill de Blasio Council Member Lewis A. Fidler Council Member Vincent J. Gentile

Council Member Alan J. Gerson Council Member Vincent M. Ignizio

Council Member Robert Jackson
Council Member G. Oliver Koppell
Goungil Member James G. Oddo

Council Member James S. Oddo Council Member Diana Reyna Council Member Joel Rivera Council Member Helen Sears Council Member Albert Vann

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Jeremy Waldrup Assistant Commissioner Department of Small Business Services.

Mark Cohen Representative Delancey Suffolk LLC

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

[gavel]

3 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: [off mic] Quiet,

4 please. Sit down, please.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Good morning, and welcome to this morning's Finance Committee hearing. My name is David Weprin, I Chair the Committee for another ten days. This may be my last Finance Committee meeting, although with this body, you never know. But if it is, it's been a wonderful eight years, and it's been a tremendous experience working with all of you, and I hope to be able to continue that relationship. As you all know, today, I said that, I've had the pleasure of working with all of you, as well as the Finance staff, and Preston Niblack, the Director of Finance, and Tanisha Edwards, my Counsel to the Finance Committee, and all the other excellent professional Finance staff who I must say, and I found this out very early on in my tenure as Finance Chair, and Jeff Rotus [phonetic] made an entrance at the right time, but of course does a, does a great job as well, as our deputy on the capital side for so many years. We in the Finance staff of the City Council, the staff, does a job

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that rivals and even exceeds in some ways the work of the Office of Management and Budget, which has a much, much larger staff. So, it's, it's amazing that we're able to accomplish as a professional an organization, and analysis rivaling Office of Management and Budget with limited staff. And I really, it's been a great pleasure working with the Finance staff, and they've really made my job very easy, they've made me look good as Finance Chair, and I, you know, Preston, I want you to convey that to Finance staff members that are here, that aren't in the room right now, but certainly it's been a great pleasure, and you know, I really appreciate all the help and support I've had these last eight years. Over the years we have made meaningful impacts in the lives of New Yorkers by reducing property taxes and taxes on small businesses, providing tax credit to businesses, including the most recently enacted biotechnology credit, and enacting a historic City earned income tax credit. Keeping in mind this serious economic crisis facing the next Finance Chair, and I know there's some candidates probably in the room, and the Council, I am confident that

the Council and the next Finance Chair will
continue to keep our great City running, and make
all New York City residents proud of their local
legislative body. With that, let's get down to
business. Today, the Finance Committee will vote
on six pieces of legislation: one, a local law
relating to the increase in expenditures for eight
business improvement districts; two, three
resolutions relating to property tax exemptions
for the development of low income housing in
Manhattan; three, we also have a local law
extending the charter mandated dates for the Mayor
to submit the preliminary budget, and other steps
relating to document submission in the budget
process. And finally, we have a transparency
resolution. Let's first start with the business
improvement district legislation. Most of the
City BIDs have added increases in the maximum
amount they are allowed to spend in several years,
and any increases must be approved by local law.
The statute authorizing the establishment of the
BIDs and amendments to the plans by which they
operate, provide that this local law can be
adopted if the Council makes the following

findings: one, that it is in the public interest
to authorize the increases; two, that the tax and
debt limits set out in the bid laws will not be
exceeded; and three, that notice requirements of
the proposed budget increases are complied with.
In addition, through the bid law, although the bid
law only requires notice of this action by
publication in a newspaper, we informed the Office
of Small Business Services that we would like
notices mailed to all property owners in both BIDs
of the proposed budget increases. It is my
understanding that such notice was mailed to such
property owners. The following BIDs will receive
an assessment increase: the Lower East Side BID
in Council Member Alan Gerson's district; the
Pitkin Avenue BID in Council Member Darlene
Mealy's district; the 125 th Street BID in Council
Member Inez Dickens' district; and the 14 th
Street/Union Square BID in Council Member Rosie
Mendez' district; and also in the Speaker's
district; the Montague Street and DUMBO BID in
Council Member David Yassky's district, the
Columbus Amsterdam BID in Council Member Melissa
Mark-Viverito's district; and the Queens Plaza

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Court Square BID in Council Member Eric Gioia's district. All of the Council Members in these respective BIDs have submitted letters of support for the increase in assessment. The next three pieces of legislation we will consider are three related Preconsidered Land Use items relating to property located on the same block that will provide rental housing for low income individuals in Council Member Alan Gerson's district. Grand Street Guild is seeking a property exemption to cover the cost of the rehabilitation of one building. They'll provide 200 units of rental housing for low income individuals and 8,700 square feet of commercial space. The next Preconsidered Land Use item is also called the Grant Street Guild, it also seeks a property exemption to cover the cost of the rehabilitation of one building, they'll provide 200 units of rental housing for low income individuals. building will provide 15,700 square feet of commercial space. The last Preconsidered Land Use item is the Southeast Grand Street Guild, which is seeking a property exemption to cover the cost of the rehabilitation, of one building, they'll

provide 200 units of rental housing for low income 2 3 individuals and 2,500 square feet of commercial space. Council Member Alan Gerson supports all three items. Our next piece of legislation 5 relates to the preliminary budget. Under the 6 charter, the Administration has until January 16th 7 8 of each year to submit the preliminary budget. If the Mayor does not believe he can comply with the 9 10 charter mandated date for the submission of the preliminary budget to the Council, he must submit 11 legislation to the Council amending the charter 12 mandated dates. This legislation which is 13 typically considered around this time of year, 14 15 kicks off the start of each budget cycle, and extends the date for the submission of the 16 17 preliminary budget by the Mayor and other steps relating to document submission in the budget 18 19 Specifically, this legislation would give the Mayor until January 28th to submit the 20 21 preliminary budget to the Council and give the 22 Council and other agencies eleven days on average 23 to submit or act on a certain preliminary budget reports. The Council will have, then have until 24 April 8th to hold preliminary budget hearings and 25

submit its budget response. Our last piece of 2 3 legislation is a transparency resolution relating to the Fiscal 2010 adopted budget, specifically the resolution before us today sets forth 5 designations and the changes in the designation of 6 7 certain organizations receiving local aging and 8 youth discretionary funding, as well as new designations and/or changes in the designation of 9 10 certain organizations to receive funding pursuant 11 to certain initiatives in the Fiscal 2010 expense 12 budget. For clarification purposes, it is to be noted that organizations appearing in the 13 resolution that have not yet completed the 14 15 prequalification process, conducted by the Mayor's Office of Contract Services, the Council or 16 17 another entity, are identified in the attached 18 charts with an asterisk. Additionally, as with 19 all transparency resolutions, Council Members will 2.0 have to sign a disclosure form indicating whether 21 or not a conflict exists with any of the groups on the attached list. If any Council Member has a 22 23 potential conflict of interest with any of the 24 organizations listed, he or she might want to 25 disclose the conflict at the time of their vote.

A representative from the Administration is here
to answer questions on the preliminary budget
extender and representatives from Council Finance
are here to answer on the transparency resolution
and the Land Use item. We will hear shortly from
the Department of Small Business Services'
Assistant Commissioner Jeremy Waldrup on the BID
increases, as well as, I think we're going to hear
from Preston on the preliminary budget extender.
Let me introduce my colleagues that are here. We
have our Majority Leader, Joel Rivera from The
Bronx; we have our Deputy Majority, Leader Leroy
Comrie from Queens; our Assistant Majority Leader,
Lew Fidler from Brooklyn; our Minority Leader,
Council Member Jim Oddo from Staten Island and
Brooklyn; Council Member Vincent Ignizio from
Staten Island; Council Member Albert Vann from
Brooklyn; Council Member Helen Sears from Queens;
Council Member Gale Brewer from Manhattan; Council
Member Robert Jackson from Manhattan. [pause]
Council Member Alan Gerson from Manhattan. Is
that a quorum? [long pause, background voices]
Mr. Niblack, would you like to make a statement?
PRESTON NIBLACK: Yes, thank you,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

good morning Mr. Chairman and Members of the Committee. As you know, we have several items on the agenda today. However, I'm not actually here to talk about today's business right now. actually here on behalf of myself and the finance staff, and in particular Tanisha Edwards, Counsel to the Committee, to express our appreciation to you for your eight years of service as Chair of the Finance Committee. Of course, I've only had the pleasure for a little over a year-and-a-half, although I assure you it seems like it's been much longer. In all seriousness, even in my short time here, there are numerous issues on which I've seen you take the lead. Surely one of the most important has been your advocacy for autism services, to provide training and referrals for some 8,000 families annually, and services such as afterschool programs, weekend respite, a basketball league, holiday activities, parent and teacher training, crisis intervention program, kids clubs, and workshops and outreach and awareness activities. Then there are the things that really excite me, like the repeal of the coin operated amusement device tax [laughter].

been a consistent leader on several signature
issues for the Council over the years. Just to
name a few: fighting to eliminate the sales tax
on clothing and footwear costing less than \$110;
twice increasing the income thresholds for
eligible participants in the disabled and senior
citizen homeowner exemption programs; fighting to
end the Water Board's excess rental payment to the
City; and ending the property tax for Madison
Square Garden. Those last two we will carry on
the fight, I'm sure.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: I forgot about} \label{eq:CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: I forgot about}$ that one.

PRESTON NIBLACK: [laughs] Of course the true measure of a good Chair is how much the staff has enjoyed working with you, and on that measure you must be counted a truly great Chairman. David, we will miss you, and hopefully our loss will ultimately be Albany's gain, and they could sure use the help. Again, on behalf of myself, Tanisha and the rest of the Finance Division staff, we wish you god speed, zei gesund. And we do actually have some business that I'll just briefly address.

2 CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Go ahead.

3 PRESTON NIBLACK: As you mentioned, 4 we have a transparency reso, most of it is changes and corrections. We have two new designations. 5 One is senior center rent costs, for a million 95, 6 7 this is a list that DFTA provides to us, and which we review and then designate. We also have \$2.75 8 million of allocations under the primary care 9 10 initiative for school based health clinics, and other primary care clinics around the City. 11 budget extender, as you mentioned, would give till 12 January 28th for the Mayor to submit his budget, 13 and then pushes back all the other related 14 15 preliminary budget dates by about eleven days, giving the Council until April 8^{th} to provide our 16 17 response, findings and recommendations to the Mayor on the budget. The staff recommends 18 19 approval of this measure. Thank you. 20 CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you. 21 Any questions for Mr. Niblack? Hope it's not 22 about those nice words that he said about me. 23 COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: No, it's very nice. What was the difference in the budget 24

schedule previously? What is the sche--

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PRESTON NIBLACK: It's not, the due date in the charter, which is subject to change by Local Laws is January 16th, and then I think, I believe it's by March 28th that the Council is supposed to wrap up its hearings and provide the response. So, it's all, it's pushed back about ten or eleven days on average.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okav. And then I have another question which, I don't know if I can ask, you can move me out of order, but with this MTA tax, is that, I don't know if this relevant, the UBT tax that we curtailed, wisely, thanks to the Chair, another accolade to the Chair--How does that filter or change how much people owe in terms of their MTA tax, if at all? 'Cause everybody's complaining to me, and so I got it, wrote to the Department of Finance, and they said, "Gale, it's not such a bad thing, the MTA tax, because people are getting a discount because if they're a certain size, the UBT kicks in," meaning--So I just was wondering if you could explain that.

PRESTON NIBLACK: I mean, we have to sort of do an analysis to, to look at it

2	specifically. I don't know off the top of my
3	head. The payroll tax is a tax on, on the
4	payroll, it does apply to sole proprietors and
5	small business owners, of course.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Under a
7	certain size, I think.
8	PRESTON NIBLACK: Right.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Yeah.
10	PRESTON NIBLACK: And the UBT
11	exemption provides relief for those same
12	taxpayers, so I think we lowered the burden on
13	that score by more than we raised the burden, or
14	the estate raised the burden, through the MTA tax,
15	so
16	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay. I
17	just throw out that nobody knows that, in terms of
18	the small business community, so it might be the
19	City Council wants to tell them, because they have
20	no clue that there's a relationship between what
21	we've done positive, hopefully, something to throw
22	out. But again, thank you for that, Mr. Chair,
23	because UBT is a huge savings now for those
24	getting hit with the MTA tax. Thank you.
25	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you,

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Council Member. We'll now hear from the
Department of Small Business Services.

4 JEREMY WALDRUP: Good morning, Mr.

Chair, and Members of the Finance Committee. I'm Jeremy Waldrup, with the Department of Small Business Services. SBS supports the law providing an increase in the amount to be expended in eight business improvement districts. As required by law, the eight BIDs published a notice of the public hearing in a local newspaper, specifying the time and place where the hearing will be held, and stating the proposed amount to be expended annually. In addition, the BIDs certified that they mailed a letter to property owners informing them about the proposed assessment increase, and the time and place of this hearing. The eight bids requesting increases of annual expenditures desire to further expand, reinforce, and strengthen existing core services currently provided in the business districts. The BIDs wish to increase the amount to be expended annually beginning on July 1, 2009 as follows: the Lower East Side from \$290,400 to \$335,600; Pitkin Avenue from \$125,403 to \$225,000; 125th Street from

Brewer.

\$719,766 to \$842,126; 14 th Street Union Square from
\$1,439,500 to \$2 million; Montague Street from
\$124,500 to \$175,000; Columbus Amsterdam from
\$201,600 to \$260,000; Queens Plaza Court Square
from \$300,000 to \$450,000; and DUMBO from \$400,000
to \$500,000. The City's BIDs supplement City
sanitation and public safety services, promote
businesses through marketing initiatives and
public events, enhance the City's physical
environment through streetscape improvements and
projects, and engage the local community through
the student internship programs. In addition,
BIDs in partnership with City government helped
create jobs, improve the quality of life for New
Yorkers and visitors, enhance the City's tax base
and strengthen the local economy in tough economic
times. Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you, Mr.
Waldrup. Any question for Mr. Waldrup? Thank

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Thank you very much. The Columbus Amsterdam is a wonderful BID. What are the lines? Do you know from where

you. I think we're going to, Council Member

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to where, what their catchment are is?

JEREMY WALDRUP: The boundaries of
the BID? Yeah, one second, let me get that.

[pause, background noise] They are from Columbus
Avenue, oh sorry, Columbus Avenue from West 104th
Street to 110th Street; Amsterdam Avenue from West

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay.

Thank you very much.

96th Street to 110th Street.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Okay. We're going to vote on the three Land Use items. And at the request of Council Member Gerson, I'm going to decouple the BID increases. So, let's have a vote on the three Land Use items. [pause, background noise] We are going to decouple the three Land Use items, but we, we're going to vote first on coupled Intro 1106, which is the BID assessment increases, Preconsidered Intro for the preliminary budget extender, and the transparency resolution. So we're going to vote on all the items other than the three Land Use items, which we are going to decouple from the rest. We're going, we're going to vote on the others shortly. Call the roll. No, the three items, the BID assessments, the

2	preconsidered intro, preliminary budget extender
3	and the transparency resolution, is coupled as one
4	item.
5	CLERK: Eric Stevenson, Committee
6	Clerk. Weprin.
7	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Aye.
8	CLERK: Rivera.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: I vote aye.
10	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Excuse me.
11	I'm going to hold off on the vote, if we can, it's
12	been brought to my attention that we have somebody
13	that wants to testify on the BID assessment
14	increase. So before we vote on it, I'd like to
15	hear testimony from Mark Cohen, from the Delancey
16	Suffolk LLC.
17	MARK COHEN: My name is Mark Cohen,
18	from Delancey Suffolk LLC. I just wanted to say
19	after looking at the 2010 budget that the increase
20	is unjustified being that the main funds is given
21	to the BID office to renovate the BID office at
22	\$350,000. I'm not sure how big the BID office is,

24 through to the small business owners. In this

but that extra tax would be obviously passed

25 climate it will be very hard for them to incur

2	that additional expense. So at this time I would,
3	I would look to be, look to oppose that increase.
4	Thank you.
5	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: When the
6	notice went out, did you contact anybody or make
7	any statement on the proposed increase?
8	MARK COHEN: I was told that I
9	could come to the meeting and voice my opinion.
10	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Okay. Would
11	anybody else like to testify on any of the
12	proposed BID increases or any other item? Okay,
13	thank you, and that's in Council Member Gerson's
14	district?
15	MARK COHEN: That I'm not sure.
16	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Okay, alright,
17	thank you. Okay, once again I'm going to ask for
18	a vote on the Intro 1106 BID assessment increases,
19	the Preconsidered Intro on the preliminary budget
20	extender, and the transparency resolution.
21	CLERK: Eric Stevenson, Committee
22	Clerk, Committee on Finance. Weprin.
23	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Aye.
24	CLERK: Rivera.
25	COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: I vote aye.

21	
e aye.	
n all.	
n all. ars.	
se]	
we're	
0	
11	
11,	
re, t	
ement,	

1	COMMITTEE ON FINANCE 21
2	CLERK: Reyna.
3	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: Pass.
4	CLERK: Brewer.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I vote aye.
6	CLERK: Comrie.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE: Aye on all.
8	CLERK: Fidler.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: Aye on all.
10	CLERK: Gerson. Jackson. Sears.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER SEARS: Aye.
12	CLERK: Vann.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER VANN: Aye.
14	CLERK: Ignizio. Oddo. [pause]
15	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Alright, we're
16	going to wait for some additional members, to
17	vote, around the building, so if you could all
18	stay here fora minute or two? [pause] Well,
19	Council Member Ignizio and Oddo were just here,
20	what happened to them? [pause] Hey, my last
21	meeting, there's nothing like a little excitement,
22	so you know, we'll, we'll just keep it going.
23	[long pause]

24 CLERK: Ignizio.

25 COUNCIL MEMBER IGNIZIO: Yes.

1	COMMITTEE ON FINANCE 22
2	[pause]
3	CLERK: Oddo.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER ODDO: Yes.
5	[pause]
6	CLERK: Reyna.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: Yes.
8	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Okay, we're
9	going to now
10	CLERK: Vote stands at ten in the
11	affirmative, no negative, no abstention, the
12	motion passes.
13	[long pause, background noise]
14	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Okay, we're
15	now going to vote on the three Land Use items. If
16	you could couple the three Land Use items and call
17	the roll again.
18	CLERK: Weprin.
19	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Aye.
20	CLERK: Rivera.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: [off mic]
22	Aye.
23	CLERK: Reyna.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: Aye.
25	CLERK: Brewer.

1	COMMITTEE ON FINANCE 23
2	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Yes.
3	CLERK: Comrie.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE: [off mic]
5	Yes.
6	CLERK: Fidler.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: [off mic]
8	Yes.
9	CLERK: Gerson. Jackson. Sears.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER SEARS: Yes.
11	CLERK: Vann.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER VANN: Yes.
13	CLERK: Ignizio.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER IGNIZIO: Yes.
15	CLERK: Oddo.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER ODDO: [off mic]
17	Yes.
18	CLERK: Vote stands at ten in the
19	affirmative, no negative, no abstentions, the
20	motion carries.
21	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you.
22	Please sign the Committee reports, Members. And
23	we're going to keep the roll open for a half hour
24	until approximately 12:15. Other than that we're
25	adjourned.

1	COMMITTEE ON FINANCE 24
_	
2	[gavel]
3	CLERK: Committee Members, please
4	sign the report.
5	[applause]
6	[pause, background noise]
7	CLERK: Jackson.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: I vote aye
9	on all.
10	CLERK: Vote not stands on, at
11	eleven in the affirmative, no negative, no
12	abstentions.
13	[long pause, background noise]
14	CLERK: Koppell.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: Aye on
16	all.
17	CLERK: Vote now stands at eleven
18	in the affirmative, I mean twelve in the
19	affirmative, no negative, no abstentions.
20	[long pause, background noise]
21	CLERK: De Blasio.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER DE BLASIO: Aye on
23	all.
24	CLERK: The vote now stands at

thirteen in the affirmative, no negatives and no

much. Mr. Chair, I'm going to vote aye on all.

will continue to benefit from your public service

in the years and decades ahead. So thank you very

And that includes the items on the agenda

22

23

25

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

pertaining to the tax exemption for the Grant Street Guild property. This is a vital, affordable housing complex on the Lower East Side of Manhattan. And, the, in order to assure the affordability, affordability of our community, on the Lower East Side, and indeed for the cause of affordability citywide, the preservation of this complex as an affordable unit for its current residents and future residents, remains absolutely In other words, this development is an essential. important part, an essential part of our City's affordability infrastructure. Therefore, I made it clear that I would only support the tax I would ask my colleagues only to exemption. support the items on which we are voting today, if there, an agreement was reached between the owners, the Board of Directors appointed by the Archdiocese of the City of New York, and the Tenant Association, representing the residents of the Grant Street Guild Housing. An agreement, and I--and that that such an agreement would assure that the affordability of this development would continue in perpetuity. I'm pleased to announce, Mr. Chair, that an agreement has been reached, I

am in fact joined here in Chambers by the 2 3 President of the Grant Street Guild Tenants Association, the Honorable Daysha Lopez [phonetic], and by a member of the Grant Street 5 Guild Tenants Committee, Harriet Golisano 6 [phonetic]. We will, before this afternoon's full 7 8 stated vote, introduce in writing, on the record, the sum and substance of the agreement we have 9 10 reached. So, in the interests of time, I will not 11 read all of the terms at this point, Mr. Chair, 12 but you should know that the agreement which is indeed precedent setting, assures a) that under 13 any circumstances, with or without the 14 15 continuation of the Section VIII program, or other 16 programs, the owners will not seek a rent payment 17 by any resident that is greater than 30 percent of the income of the household adjusted according to 18 19 the normal standards for household size. 20 the maximum rent adjusted for household size will 21 be 30 percent of household income, period, for as 22 long as the owners are operating the building, 23 and, and with the expectation of the full 40 year financing that has been concomitantly negotiated 24 25 with the federal Department of Housing and Urban

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Development. Second, residents will be protected by any increases in security deposit requirements. Current residents will be held harmless, and no matter what happens in rent structures in the future, current residents will not have to increase their security rent deposits. And finally, Mr. Chair, the only other point I want to mention verbally on the record were the other points included in the writing, is that there will be greater opportunities for input by the tenants and the Tenant Association in the operation of the The President will be notified and building. allowed to address the Board at all regularly scheduled Board meetings. A representative mutually agreed upon by the Association and the Board will be allowed to observe all nonconfidential proceedings of the Board. And the Board will consider the tenants' request for future full-fledged representation. This, in addition to all of the other terms that will be set forth in writing, will assure that this complex remains affordable, and therefore I urge that we approve the items on the agenda and I thank my colleagues for their support.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

finally, Mr. Chair, I want to enter into the record the following very brief statements from the officials I acknowledged earlier. From the President of the Tenants Association of the Grant Street Guild Houses, Ms. Daysha Lopez, the following statement: "For the record, Grant Street Guild Tenants live at or below the poverty Therefore, we hope the owners will fulfill level. their commitments of affordability for the next 40 years, and this, the \$97 million renovation is not a predatory equity deal. My personal view is that a building, is that buildings that receive 97--a 97 REAC score should not need a \$90 million renovation." And the statement from Harriet Golisano, a member of the Committee, is the following: "I am 69 year, I am a 69 year old woman, living at Grant Street Guild Apartments, at 460 Grant Street. If the owners want a new security deposit, I cannot do it. I live on a fixed income of \$816 monthly. I, I am financially not able, I barely make ends meet as it is. you very much." And that again is from Harriet Goldstein Golisano. Mr. Chari, those statements speak for themselves and reflect the sentiments of

2	the cross-section of the residents. Assuming with
3	the fulfillment of the agreement reached between
4	the Association and the Owners, this is a great
5	day for the Lower East Side community, for the
6	Grant Street Guild residents, and for the cause of
7	affordability in our City. Again, I vote aye and
8	I thank you, Mr. Chair, and I thank my colleagues.
9	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you,
10	Council Member.
11	CLERK: Gentile.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Aye on
13	all.
14	CLERK: Gerson.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER GERSON: Aye on all.
16	CLERK: The vote now stands at 15
17	in the affirmative, no negatives and no
18	abstentions.
19	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: And that's on
20	all of the items? That's on all the items? Yeah,
21	on all.
22	[pause, background noise]
23	

I, JOHN DAVID TONG certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

Signature JOHN DAVID TONG

Date December 30, 2009