1	COM	MITTEE ON AGING	1
2	CITY COUNCIL		
3	CITY OF NEW YORK	37	
4	MDANGCDIDE OF HUI		
5	TRANSCRIPT OF THE		
6	Of the		
7	COMMITTEE ON AGIN		
8			
9		March 6, 2020 Start: 10:12 a.m.	
10		Recess: 1:16 p.m.	
11	HELD AT:	Council Chambers - City Hall	
12	BEFORE:	Margaret S. Chin,	
13		Chairperson	
14	90111111 NEVEED 9		
15	COUNCIL MEMBERS:	Diana Ayala	
16		Chaim M. Deutsch Ruben Diaz, Sr.	
17		Mathieu Eugene Mark Treyger	
18		Paul A. Vallone	
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

1	COMMITTEE ON AGING 2
2	APPEARANCES
3	Lorraine Cortes-Vazquez, Commissioner for the
4	Department for the Aging
5	Jose Mercado
6	Chief Financial Officer at the Department for the Aging
7	Katie Foley
8	Director of Public Affairs at Selfhelp Community Services
9	SCI VICCS
10	Katlyn Andrews Director of Public Policy at LiveOn New York
11	
12	Tara Klein Policy Analyst with United Neighborhood Houses
13	Beth Finkel
14	State Director for AARP New York
15	Rachel Sherrow
16	Associate Executive Director City Meals on Wheels
17	Howard Shih
18	Research and Policy Director at the Asian American Federation
19	Hali Lee
20	Policy Coordinator at the Coalition for Asian American Children and Families
21	WINGITCON CHITTATEN ON LONGITTES
22	Helen Ahn Korean Community Services

23 Karen Zhou

24 Executive Director at Homecrest Community Services

1	COMMITTEE ON AGING 3
2	APPEARANCES (CONT.)
3	Rhonda Soberman
4	Manager of Program and Development for the Visiting Nurse Service of New York
5	
6	Shyvonne Noboa Division Director for Senior Services at
7	Sunnyside Community Services
8	Danielle Christenson God's Love We Deliver
9	
10	Wesley Davis Assistant Manager of the NYRR Striders program
11	and New York Road Runners
12	Nancy Jenkins
13	Participant in the Road Runners Striders program
14	Jim O'Neill Director of Government Relations and External
15	Affairs for the New York Junior Tennis and Learning
16	
17	Melissa Sklarz SAGE Senior Government Relations Strategist
18	Carmen Perez
19	Director of the Cooper Square Committee Neighborhood NORC program
20	
21	Lucy Sexton Head of the Cultural Advocacy Group, New Yorkers
∠∠	for Culture and Arts

Heidi Siegfried

Director of Health Policy at Center for Independence of the Disabled of New York CIDNY

25

2 APPEARANCES (CONT.)

Dr. Cynthia Maurer Visiting Neighbors

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: [GAVEL] Good morning.	
Welcome everyone. I am Council Member Margaret Ch	in
Chair of the Committee on Aging and welcome to many	У
of our guests in the Council Chamber today and a	
special welcome to Commissioner Cortes-Vazquez who	
joined us for her first Preliminary Budget hearing	•
And we are also joined by members of the Committee,	,
Council Member Diaz and Council Member Deutsch and	
Council Member Vallone.	

In today's Fiscal 2021 Preliminary Budget
hearing, we will hear testimony from the Department
for the Aging, also referred to as DFTA on its
proposed \$385.2 million budget for Fiscal 2021. We
will also examine DFTA's operation and related
performance indicators in the 2020 Preliminary
Mayor's Management Report.

As DFTA's Fiscal 2021 Preliminary Budget does not include any funding for new needs, today's hearing provide this Committee it's best opportunity to explore DFTA's baseline budget and range of programs. We will also examine whether DFTA's budget is sufficient for meeting the needs of the fastest growing population cohort in New York City, our seniors.

2.2

2.3

The Administration's Preliminary Budget falls \$10 million short of its promise to seniors. As the Departments knows, in Fiscal 2018, as part of the year of the senior, we agreed to add a total of \$20 million by Fiscal 2021 to improve senior center programming and staffing. Yet, here we are examining the Administrations plan for Fiscal 2021 and the \$10 million isn't there.

I hope to hear the Department's commitment today to fulfill its promise to seniors at the remaining \$10 million in the Executive Budget no later. The average senior center participant is a woman who lives alone and has an annual income under \$20,000. But there are many more who rely on DFTA's critical services to stay healthy, housed, engaged and employed.

I would highlight three key areas of concern with the ability of DFTA's baseline budget to meet the growing needs of the city's senior population.

First, a senior centers and meals program. The budget doesn't include an expansion plan for senior centers. Which the Departments own data reveals an already highly utilized and often over utilized.

Additionally, the recent released RFP for the home

2.2

2.3

delivered meals contain many red flags. This is underlined by the human service counsels alarming high risk rating for the RFP, driven by its insufficient total contract funding.

How did the Department determine the funding rate per meal in its RFP? Why is there no additional funding for culturally responsive kosher or halal meals and how does DFTA make requests to OMB when programs like home delivered meals or senior centers need more resources? Keeping vulnerable largely homebound seniors fed and look after couldn't be a more critical issue.

Second, I want to ensure we are dealing with DFTA's persistent case management and homecare wait list. The Council and Administration have partnered in the past to add substantial new baseline funding in addition to discretionary funding and new state ICIP funding of \$4 million. Yet, new data shows that case manage waiting list is over 1,200 people and the homecare waiting list are at nearly 500.

Case management is DFTA's front door for critical services. How does DFTA assess and serve those knocking on that front door and how much funding is needed to clear the wait list and keep it clear

2.2

2.3

through an automatic funding escalator aligned to growing need. We see a cost escalator with other programs in the city, such as the Social Services Coordination program and SARA financing your housing.

Finally, we can't talk about seniors without

addressing the mushrooming social adult daycare industry and the Medicaid manage care long term care cuts threatened by the State Executive Budget.

DFTA's new Social Adult Daycare Ombud's persons office has new powers to fine bad programs. How will it use those powers to protect our seniors and can we count on DFTA to initiate investigation of fraud while also fighting cuts to long term care?

There are many other issues that I look forward to discussing today and many questions to be answered. Seniors are part of our future and we must treat them as the asset we are. If DFTA were funded for each senior in the way the Department of Youth and Development is for each person under the age of 25, DFTA's budget will be approximately \$660 million or nearly \$230 million more that it currently is.

We have two more years together to build on our previous achievement and deliver and develop a senior

2.2

2.3

2 service network that is the crown jewel of the 3 country.

I look forward to working together to take that step with you and your team Commissioner. I'd like to thank the Committee Staff for their hard work in preparing for hearing. Our Senior Financial Analyst Daniel Kroop, Unit Head Dohini Sompura, Committee Council Nuzhat Chowdhury, Policy Analyst Kalima Johnson and my Deputy Chief of Staff and Director of Legislation and Budget Marian Guerra.

So, now we will swear the Commissioner in before the testimony. Can you raise your right hand. Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in your testimony before the Committee and to answer honestly to Committee Members questions?

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Thank you. So, now we invite the Commissioner to start your testimony, thank you.

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: Good morning. Thank you very much. This is my second Preliminary Budget hearing, my first was, no, the other one was Executive, sorry. Thank you, you're right. My first was Executive which was like three days on the job.

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

Good morning Chair Chin and the members of the

Aging and Finance Committee. As you know, I am

Lorraine Cortes-Vazquez, Commissioner for the

Department for the Aging and I am joined this morning

by Jose Mercado, who is the Chief Financial Officer

at the Department for the Aging and I thank you for

this opportunity to discuss DFTA's Preliminary Budget

for Fiscal Year 2021.

I also will hope that this testimony addresses some of your issues and concerns. In addition to working to eliminate ageism, which is our biggest battle that we have to confront. Ensuring the dignity and quality of life and the life of the older workers providing high quality service and resources, is among the Departments top priority. To support this important work, our FY21 Preliminary Budget projects \$385.2 million in funding, which includes allocations of \$173 million to support older adult centers. \$41.8 million for home delivered meals, \$38 million case management, \$35 million to support homecare for homebound elders who are not Medicaid eligible, \$8 million for NORC programs and \$8 million for caregiver services.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

19

21

22

24

In addition to supporting these services, the commitment of this Administration has also expanded critical geriatric mental health initiatives, needed elder abuse supports and other essential programs including caregiver services, which we discussed at length during our last hearing.

Through the support and advocacy of important stakeholders, many of whom are in this audience this morning, we have also advanced many of our efforts to help older New Yorkers age in place and age with dignity. Some notable joint successes include: Ensuring parity among programming in our congregate center, congregate food costs and center staff structure and salaries; record growth in our home delivered meals program, which on average delivers more than 18,000 meals per day to homebound older adults across the City; the expansion of our network of social clubs in NYCHA developments, which as of November 2019 increased by twelve and the promulgation of the rules which empower our social adult daycare ombudsman office to have greater oversight on possible fraudulent or unscrupulous actions of SAD's operations in New York City.

2.2

2.3

We are also incredibly grateful for the ongoing support of the City Council, which in FY20 awarded Department for the Aging with over \$46 million in discretionary fund. Effectively allowing us even greater investments to offer our services to underserved and unserved communities. One such investment includes the \$1.3 million for nursing services in our NORC, our Naturally Occurring Retirement Communities.

While reorganizing all of the important external partnerships, I would remiss on to mention that the de Blasio Administration has over the past six years consistently made major investments in aging services, including an overall increase of \$118 million in base funding.

This Fiscal Year, the long deliberate model budget exercise came to a successful close. The overarching goal of this exercise is twofold.

First, to increase resources and ensure strong programming and adequate food costs across our network of congregate centers and secondly, to make more uniform the funding level of each center at least to adequate levels and to support equity in staffing structures and salary.

2.2

2.3

In FY18, the first phase of this process focused on programming and program staff and resulted in a significant investment of \$10 million of baseline funding in our network of older adults. These centers would thus able to use this increased funding to right size salaries, hire more staff and expand and enrich center programming, as well as to address historical inequities.

The second and final phase of the model budget process focused on expenses related to food cost and food related staff. In FY20, this process resulted in an additional \$10 million baseline funding for congregate food costs and for staff salary parity. In addition to these major investments of tens of millions of dollars in annual funding, to our older adult congregate centers, the Administration has also made a commitment to increase this funding as we move forward.

Home delivered meals is of great importance. In addition, of great importance and a vital component of DFTA's network of services. Not only do the home delivered meals provide subsistence to older homebound adults, but also, this might be the only

2.2

2.3

interaction, human interaction that the older personmay have for the day.

Support of our ongoing efforts to combat social isolation, which as we know is at epidemic proportions in this city. On any given weekday throughout the city, approximately 18,000 homebound older people receive a home delivered meal.

In 2019, a record total of 4.5 million and above were delivered by our providers, demonstrating just how essential this program truly is. Just a few weeks ago, on January 22, to be exact, we issued a request for proposal, commonly known as an RFP for our home delivered meals program.

Through this RFP which was proceeded by a spirited and meaningful public concept paper process that engaged many conversation and many stakeholder meetings. DFTA is seeking to fund programs that are able to address the most critical overarching goals of the home delivered meals program. Including increasing meal options for recipients, embracing the diversity of our city by increasing the availability of culturally aligned meals and promoting uniformly high quality meals made from nutritious ingredients.

2.2

2.3

In addition, to choice, diversity and quality, great emphasis is also placed on assuring that food purchase meets the good food purchasing guidelines set forth by the Mayor's Office of food policy and fosters greater collaboration among and within the network.

Since it's issuance that RFP has generated great interest, enthusiasm on inquiries among potential proposes. Excuse me for a minute. DFTA has since hosted a well-attended bidders conference with over 60 individuals in attendance representing 48 organizations. We fielded various questions from interested parties and accordingly released a series of addenda, a total of four to date. We have also extended the submission deadline in response to one of those inquiries. Responses to the RFP are now officially due on Wednesday April 8, 2020.

Again, in this Fiscal Year in December 2019,
Mayor Bill de Blasio along with Speaker Johnson
announced the launch of a groundbreaking indirect
cost rate funding. A design to increase the
financial stability for human services provider
primarily and predominantly in the nonprofit

2.2

2.3

organizations. This is a game changer, particularly for DFTA's smaller contractors.

As it also addresses historical inequities among those smaller contractors. Nonprofits may now receive additional funding for their organizations in direct cost, such as audits, accounting staff, fund raising staff, that in previous years was not offered or not available.

Honored in the November plan update, this commitment is \$54 million of an annual investment and applicable to health and human service contracts across all city agencies.

Thus far only ten percent of our network of contractors have already submitted the entryway choice form. The first step in the ICR process.

Please join me in encouraging our entire network of service providers to take this necessary first step at ensuring that their critical service needs may continue into the future by signing up for the ICR.

The items I've described are only a few among our recent accomplishments. This administration is able to support and achieve this Fiscal Year, of which I am proud is one of the first few months as my role as Commissioner.

Others include the RFP, our geriatric mental
health programs, which will expand our mental health
services and intervention in congregate centers. The
relaunch of the Elder Abuse Campaign intended to
raise public awareness on the nuances and types of
abuses, which range from physical violence to mental,
emotional and financial abuse. The development of
our social adult daycare registration payment portal
which launched earlier this week. We now have 18
registrants to date and in collaboration with 311, we
created an open aging connect, our inhouse
information and referral contact center to help older
New Yorkers and their families navigate the complex
network of aging services, programs and supports
throughout the city.

Needless to say, much of this has been achieved this year for cities older adult population and for our network of providers who serve them.

Simultaneously, during my tenure as Commissioner,

DFTA has also successfully completed a bold and robust reorganization and restructuring process. All in an effort to ensure our greater efficiency and effectiveness to advance the departments mission.

2.2

2.3

As you know, keeping pace with the other evolving needs of the city's 1.7 million adults cannot be accomplished alone or in a vacuum. Thus, we will continue to rely on our ongoing partnership with the Council and the effective advocacy of our stakeholders and all of our contracted providers.

And lastly and arguably, most importantly, the older adults themselves for whom all of our hard work and efforts are ultimately intended to benefit.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Thank you Commissioner for your testimony. We've been joined by Council Member Ayala and Council Member Rosenthal. I'm going to start with a couple of questions and then I am going to pass it on to my colleagues.

Commissioner, I wanted to start with looking at long term strategy and outlook. Looking at overall at DFTA's \$385 million budget in Fiscal 2021 Preliminary Budget, what do you see as the two or three key budget priorities at DFTA over the next two years of this administration?

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: I think our core services are a budget priority and those are home delivered meals and congregate meals. In addition to

2.2

2.3

that, we cannot lose sight of so, meals would be one and we cannot lose sight of the many older New Yorkers who are homebound.

So, case management, homecare services for those who are not Medicaid eligible again, are high priorities. But in addition to those high priorities, I think that there is a basic issue that we as a community, as a city, and as a nation need to confront and that is, the issue of ageism. Because that is what holds all of this marginalization in place, and we crack that. We still have workforce issues, resource issues and all of that.

So, I think that is a basic thing as we move forward, that we have to confront. And of course, additional services in our congregate setting is geriatric mental health and really combating social isolation.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: You know, we are expecting nearly 1.9 million seniors in the New York City in the next two decades, 20 percent. One in five residents are going to be older adults if they are blessed to get there. So, which program will DFTA prioritize to expand or create in order to support

2.2

2.3

this growing senior population and how much more funding is needed?

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: I have to look at that with a future view. When I think of that population growth, I think of a city in an older population that is very diverse than the population we know it to be today.

Not only ethnically, culturally and religiously diverse but also diverse in terms of the age spectrum. So, when I look at that population, I start thinking, we have a model of service today that is based on a 1970 perspective of aging population, not a future perspective.

So, when I look at that, it's to look at what will congregate settings and educational and recreational facilities be? How different will they have to be in the future and as we move forward than they are today?

I also have to think of how culturally diverse our meal programs have to be and how religiously diverse our meal programs have to be. So, when I look at the entire spectrum of services, I look at that. The other thing that we look at is homebound. People are going to be living longer and many more

2.2

2.3

older people maybe homebound. What's the implication for in home services and the shortage of homecare providers and homecare workers.

And that's when I start looking at my longer view, those are the areas that I look at but I keep saying that the thing that we have to combat is ageism, so that we are not looking at this as a separate population but an age inclusive population. City and nation that's age inclusive and that looks at older individuals as assets to every society and we must, must tackle this epidemic called social isolation.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: I mean, thank you, you know, we have hearings and legislation in dealing with age discrimination and there are older adults that are still in the workforce and there are older adults that are still very active in a lot of our programs. Senior Centers, they count on the volunteers that are the senior themselves.

Now, the overall picture from the Local Law 140 2019 data, is of highly utilized senior center with over three quarters of them at 100 percent or greater utilization. Does DFTA have a plan to increase the number of senior center and how does the capital

2.2

2.3

budget support the goals of acquiring and renovating
senior centers?

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: So, I'm going to answer the vision question and then I'm going to turn it over to Jose Mercado our Chief Financial Officer whose been looking at some of the capital needs. As we plan and look at the growth opportunities, we do that with the city, city administration, the Office of Management and Budget as we start planning out what the future needs are.

So, those are constant conversations that we look at. We do recognize that there may be additional senior centers. I hate calling them senior centers, older adult centers, that we know that there are additional needs for that and we were projecting that they are probably going to be 17 to 18 in the near future, but that is conversation that is all part of our regular planning process with the city.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Yeah, because already you know, that in partner with DFTA, the Council has funded ten discretionary, with discretionary funding, ten centers what serve senior population. And that also came from recommendation from DFTA when they

2.2

2.3

visited some of the site that were not funded by DFTA.

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: Right.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: And so, I think we see already there is a great need for more of these centers and at the same time, there are more social adult daycare centers, the private ones, then the one that is publicly funded.

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: That is a conversation where you have peaked my interest. I think we had this conversation not to long ago when we were looking at vision and future and I think that we need to start exploring different ways of adding components to congregate centers that may incorporate some of those special needs as social adult daycare centers have and I think that we're very open to explore that with you and have further discussions on that.

And I want to tackle another issues because we talk about needs but we also need to talk about underutilization. That is one of the things that we are trying to also tackle at the Department for the Aging because when a program is underutilized, that means the resources are not going to a program who

2.2

2.3

needs it and they are overutilized. So, we're looking at systems and how we can make pivot and make some adjustments mid-year to address some of those issues.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: And that probably is a Segway into the capital budget because some of the centers that maybe who are underutilized are not that nice. That needs a lot of repairs, I mean in the past year we have seen centers with ceilings falling down and so, that's one thing that we wanted to really look at. How do we utilize like a new senior building that's being built or a new community center in the Mayor State of the City that he talked about.

We want to make sure that the older population are not let out and that new facilities, when they are being built, those are the opportunity that we can create, the senior older adult centers in much better environment.

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: We have an ongoing relationship with HPD. Again, as part of planning process, excuse me, I'm just fighting a little bit of a sore throat here. As we look at the population and new developments, we're constantly looking, how is that we can support those developments with older

2.2

adult centers and services. So, that is an ongoing part of our regular planning process with HPD, our sister agency.

Do you want to address capital Jose?

JOSE MERCADO: Yeah. Good morning. As the Commissioner mentioned in her testimony, you know, she just did a reorganization of the team and we are organizing reorganizing our expense budget and then, as pointed out earlier you know, we are going to start looking at our capital budget and turning how to leverage that capital budget. Because there is a lot of capital projects that we should be focusing on. So, you'll see from us in the future a plan of how to leverage it.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: What is DFTA's capital budget now?

JOSE MERCADO: One second. Roughly about \$17.3 million for this year. In the five year capital plan it's about \$54.9 million dollars. The majority of our funding does come from the City Council.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Because all the Council Members put in money.

JOSE MERCADO: Yes, so our five year capital plan for example, \$30.6 out of the \$54.9 is City Council money. So, we appreciate all the money you give us.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: I'm glad that the Council is contributing but we want the Administration to either up it or match it. So, it should not be just the Council supporting it. That should be part of the Administrations plan for the increasing older adult population.

So, I'm going to do one more question and then
I'll pass it over to my colleague. The model
budget's shortfall. The senior center model budget
was baselined at \$10 million for phase one. In 2018,
the year of the senior, and that was focusing on
programming and staff and the Administration at that
time promised that by 2021, funding for the first
phase will rise to a total baseline of investment of
\$20 million and it wasn't included in the preliminary
budget.

I just assumed that it was going to be in there and I was focusing my energy on fighting for other resources and then the staff told us, it's not in there.

2.2

2.3

So, I view this as budget shortfall against the previous commitment. Why was the funding excluded in the preliminary plan and will you confirm that we will see the \$10 million reflected in the Executive Budget? And also, will the 38 excluded senior centers, satellites and clubs be included in the final tranche of the \$10 million and if not, how is DFTA assessing needs of those centers?

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: We have been assured that the \$10 million will be in the Executive Budget and we will continue to work on developing this plan and reviewing those, the distribution and allocation of those \$10 million.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Now, the 38 centers that was no included in the 249, are they going to be taken care of in this second part?

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: It will be part of the evaluation review process to make sure that they meet the criteria that we have set forth and we will move forward from that. There was some programs that their resources were such that they did not qualify.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Means that they had enough resources?

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: Yes.

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

care of.

2 CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Okay. So, we will follow up
3 with you in terms to make sure every program is taken

Alright, I'm going to start with Council Member

6 Vallone with your question.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Thank you to our mighty Chair Chin, who has been leading us now on our 7th budget battle and all the advocates that come and stand. We can give Margaret our hand wave, because she has been fighting for us for her entire career.

And Commissioner, good morning.

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: Good morning.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Remember on budget days, we're fighting to get you money.

So, when we're angry or we're upset, you do miracles with the budget that you have but in the world of seniors, we will always say, you do not have enough.

So, I always start with the population that we have in New York City that grows, without a budget to properly reflect that growth, then it's in fact a cut. Because to try to deal with the same numbers year after year, even with minimal amount of increases, is not enough and for today, for those who

everyone in the room will passionately deal with
senior services, their isn't an area that you covered
today or that Margaret covered that doesn't need more
money. Whether it's senior centers, transportation,
meals, worker reimbursement, care programs, growth of
culturally sensitive issues. There isn't anything or
the list, so it's impossible in a couple of minutes
to say, why not this? And I think at the last
hearing, I think we were a bit mesmerized when
Margaret and I spoke about capital repairs, non-
expense repairs and that DFTA is charted to do that.
Has there been any focus or change or growth in the
staffing on your end to deal with capital repairs at
senior centers and NYCHA centers? Has there been
additional funding to also deal with that because I
don't see anything really in that year.

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: There was \$4 million given to us baselined, so that we could do some repairs in senior centers and it was focused around making sure that air conditioning units and some minor repairs in gas and some other kitchen related needs were addressed. And to date, we have, Jose, you wanted to address that.

2.2

2.3

JOSE MERCADO: Yeah. As of to date, we've
already spent close to \$700,000. We are working with
New York City Housing Authority on about \$2 million
more on planned repairs and maintenance programs as
well.
COUNCIL MEMBED WALLONE. So on the renaire that

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: So, on the repairs that you've done and the repairs that need to be done, how many on the list still need to be attended to and how much is the amount of money that's on the list that needs to be repaired?

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: We have 242 sites that have been completed in their air conditioning and their work is fine.

And what we're working on is 85 sites that are either in the planning design or a different level of a repair in this whole contracting process.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: So, is it centered solely on air conditioning?

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: I didn't hear, I'm sorry.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Are the repairs centered solely on air conditioning?

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: Not all of them, not all of them but that's the primary bulk of it.

1	COMMITTEE ON AGING 31
2	COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: So, where are we on the
3	repair list? How many of those are NYCHA centers
4	versus non-NYCHA centers, how many, is it capital and
5	I know you also have non-capital expense
6	reimbursement also.
7	JOSE MERCADO: Yeah, these are all NYCHA. The
8	funding that we received was NYCHA related.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: So, what about the non-
10	NYCHA senior centers that are a city contract?
11	LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: We handle that with
12	our other facility maintenance budget and that is on
13	the expense side.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Do we have an update on
15	where we are on those?
16	LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: I can get back to
17	you on that.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Okay, because that is something that also - and how do we determine the emergency repairs versus daily repairs? Is that something that we break down a list also on?

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: Yes. 22

> COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Okay. Within the senior center itself, you have an RFP that's coming up, a

18

19

20

21

23

2.2

2.3

concept paper and an RFP for senior centers, can you

elaborate a little more on what's coming?

We will issue an R concept paper within the next few months. What we are doing at this time, as we did with the home delivered meal RFP, is we're starting to formulate work groups so that we can look at the range of issues that we want to address in the concept paper.

Some of the things that we're looking at is locations. The other thing that we're looking at is diversity of services, meaning a variety of services that can deal with various age groups. We're looking at more collaboration and working groups like

[INAUDIBLE 54:55] senior providers. We're also looking at this notion which I believe, we'll see if it's confirmed in our discussions with the network at large and some other stakeholders. Is to look at that all senior centers, some might just be primed and positioned to be meal service sites and others maybe a fuller educational recreational site.

And so, we're looking at different models in this continuum of service that we know will be changing for older adults. So, there's a variety.

2.2

2.3

there but you don't really need, I mean, we can kind of tell you with the focus groups, what those senior center needs are, so I hope we can bypass that pretty quickly. But you mentioned locations; what do you mean by locations? Open new locations or to keep the existing locations?

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: We're looking at new locations. We're looking at different type, you know, we can look at different type of providers and we're just looking at just keeping ourselves open, given the fact that this aging population is changing, then the aging population that we have programed for in the past, as I said earlier. Then the aging population that we know will come in the future. It's going to be a lot more diverse. The age span is going to be greater. The needs are going to be greater.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Language services,
that's a big thing in our district. Having an
additional ability to have those services in multiple
languages at the centers. Having different
healthcare providers and legal services and social
workers. The list keeps going on.

2.2

2.3

2 LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: The list keeps going on.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: And also, with the staff funding, anything with COLA, increases of Cost of Living because retention of staff is always one of the most difficult. You have these wonderful workers working day and night and we can't keep up with market rate and we lose them.

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: Yeah, thank you for raising that because it's been one of the concerns and one of the issues that we're looking at in totality.

We have noticed and we've seen a pattern and thanks to the City Council, we've been able to address some of the economic inequities in salaries in terms of food service staff and at some level in the senior center staff. What we have noticed is that as a whole, the aging network, salaries are usually lower than case workers and social workers who are working in other sectors.

So, we're looking at this entire area called salaries for the aging network professional. How do we professionalize that more? How do we upgrade that? And so, those are all the kind of things that

2.2

2.3

we're looking at, so that we can have parity. So,
that if you're a social worker in a hospital or you
are in social work in another sector in the human
service areas, that there is some comparability in
terms of market cost.

if we are able to do that. My last question on the senior centers would be, the Council and Margaret and we always step up to put funding in for services at the senior centers and that creates such a difficult, contractual process year after year after year for the providers. Any thought of that being baselined, so we can avoid that?

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: That's a conversation that we have on an ongoing basis is what gets baselined and I think that we're all working towards the same goal. The goal is to ensure that we can have as many resources and services for this population.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Thank you Commissioner. Thank you Chair.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Thank you. We've also been joined by Council Member Eugene. Council Member Deutsch, your question?

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: Thank you very much.

Good morning Commissioner.

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: Good morning.

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: So, RFP divides New York
City into geographic regions and each contractor is
responsible. What I understand is that each
contractor is responsible for providing all
categories of meals that are served.

So, an organization that applies for an RFP, which includes specific cultural meals, are they permitted to apply for the RFP? Like for example, if there's a specific organization that only serve halal or only serve kosher, are they permitted to apply?

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: A organization that provides halal, if they have the capacity to serve the entire catchment area, of course they can apply. If there's other needs in that population then halal meals then they can partner with someone else who can provide the balance of those meals.

So, it is not the total expectation that one contractor in a full catchment area can provide all of the needs, which is one of the things that this RFP did was to really build in the opportunity for greater collaboration across providers.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: So, can one contract apply for an RFP specifically only serving halal or only serving kosher or only serving any one specific cultural group?

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: If the catchment area has needs beyond those, they would need to collaborate and partner with someone who could address the other needs in that particular community.

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: So, my question is, so from what I understand is that serving as a subcontractor, right. So, that's - or would still have to do some of the work correct? And in order for them to actually pay their workers and to go out and serve as a subcontractor, they would actually have to go out, out of the box and raise funds in order to you know, to compensate for the difference by serving as a subcontractor. Does that make sense?

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: I'm going to try to answer what I believe the question is which is total cost for the subcontractor.

2.2 COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: Correct.

> LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: And the total cost for the subcontractor is part of the negotiation

24

2.2

2.3

process between the subcontractor, primary contractor and the subcontractor.

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: So, who has oversight of that conversation between the contractor and subcontractor and what happens if the needs are not met from this contractor to the subcontractor that it cannot get done?

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: The aging staff, the Department for the Aging staff is always available to help during that process but I think that that is at a local level the relationship between two providers and they negotiate. They have some basic information. They have the number of the population in the area. They have the food cost and they also have a basic understanding of what some of those other related costs are.

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: So, is it possible, is it possible that a subcontractor and working with the contractor there is a gap of services because they have an issue with the agreements or that they are making between each other to serve a certain population within that area? Is it possible?

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: I would hope that they would not negotiate a contract or an agreement

2.2

2.3

2	that wo	uld have	e a gap	in serv	rices. Th	e intention	is
3	to make	sure th	nat ser	vices an	e covered		

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: So, do you think, do you believe that a subcontractor would have raise outside funding in order to serve a specific population?

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: That's your question and I'm going to answer this. All of our programs, all human service opportunities and services can never be paid 100 percent by government dollars. Which is one of the things that it's important to have outside support and donors and private sector investment. One of the things that we have also built into all of our contracts is contributions to help offset and defray some of those costs.

So, it is never the expectation that government would pay 100 percent of the service.

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: Can you give me like one example or two examples of where a non-for-profit serving our seniors would have to raise outside money.

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: There's a myriad of them. There's Carder Burden, there's Union Settlement, there is a whole host of non-profits who

2.2

2.3

have strong partnerships with the philanthropic and private sector communities to augment their services.

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: So, do you think that when it comes to basic needs for seniors, such as food, that a contractor or actually a subcontractor should have to raise money from an outside source when it comes to basic, basic needs for a senior to have food?

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: I want to distinguish the conversation between a negotiation between two contractors who is going to have a subcontract arrangement with each other and the provision of basic needs.

The Department for the Aging provides the food costs and the basic needs to meet the demands of a particular catchment area. And it really is a discussion among and a negotiation among those two contractors.

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: So, I'm receiving calls from my constituents and from people that serve and they are having issues with serving the specific populations because of the cultural needs, so how can we rectify that?

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Council Member Deutsch, my suggestion is that you could take it offline and really talk to DFTA in terms of you know, support you, favor to answer the questions of your constituent organization that are thinking about applying for the RFP.

COUNCIL MEMEBR DEUTSCH: Okay, I would love to and this also would have to do at a budget hearing today, so that it would also have to do with the budget. That if we could do something to work something out. So, these subcontractors are able to apply for an RFP to serve these groups, so that would be instrumental to have this discussion during the budget hearing like today.

So, I'd like to have an offline meeting with you, if your office could reach out to my office and we could set up a one on one and if you could come visit my sudden district in Brooklyn, where I could show you firsthand the work that they do and the needs that my seniors all have.

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: I'd be happy to visit again. I've been to that area and I'm very, very pleased to have this discussion with you offline also.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: Great, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Thank you. Okay, next will be Council Member Rosenthal followed by Council Member Ayala and I would like to ask my colleagues to keep their question as brief as possible because we have a lot of people signed up to testify and we still have a lot of questions. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Thank you so much

Chair. I do just want to take issue with one thing

that — Commissioner, it's always great to see you,

sorry.

But I'm not sure, I would take issue with the idea that government should expect the private charity to take care of those who are most vulnerable.

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: I'm sorry if that's what came across.

and I think governments responsibility is to be the safety net and so, when we're talking about populations that you know, New York City, my goodness, 157, 200 different languages, so many different cultures. It is incumbent upon us to understand the safety net services of needs of our

2.2

2.3

diverse communities and yes, that might cost more money. It's the City we live in, we all choose to live and to serve.

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: Right, yes. So, the needs of the most vulnerable are, I do say that but what I was trying to say and I may not have said it heartfully is that in the nonprofit sector, you know, there is a relationship in terms of both the government dollars as well as the philanthropic community.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Yeah, let's you and I can agree to disagree.

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: But the most basic needs are our responsibility.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Well, yeah, I mean, I think over the last six years this City Council along with the Mayor has fought very hard to increase funding to the nonprofit sector where the previous Mayor's fully believed that philanthropy should play such a big role and therefore they cut funding in a meaningful way to all of our social service programs. And you know may be one of our former Mayor's could personally make up the difference in that cost.

2.2

2.3

2	LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ	: You're absolutely
3	right.	
4	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL:	This Mavor nor anv

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: This Mayor nor any
Mayor that follows, unless he comes back will likely
be able to do that and it's to the disservice I think
of all New Yorkers and we don't want to get in the
habit of expecting philanthropy to make up the
shortfall.

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: You're absolutely right and I stand corrected because this Mayor has made investments of \$118 million to restore the devastation that this department suffered.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: No question, no question.

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: So, I'm sorry that I mislead that.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: It's okay, sorry, my ears are tuned to it.

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: No, so are mine. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: What I was going to ask you was actually you know, how we can rectify the situation that seniors are still sort of you know the Ping-Pong ball and a bit part of the budget dance for

2.2

2.3

New York City and we've unfortunately fallen into
that same situation again. I mean, you know, the
first phase of senior center model budgeting was
promised for Fiscal Year 2021, \$10 million and it
wasn't included in the Mayor's Executive Budget. I
mean, whats the signal he is sending there?

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: I think I want to just clarify for the record, that the Administration is committed to have the funding for the senior center model budget phase 2 in the budget by the start of FY21.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: At the start of, so
the Mayor feels that strongly but didn't put it in in
February and plans to put it in in May and is there —
let's put a pin in it and talk about it offline
because this isn't necessarily part of the public
discourse. But I do find that disturbing because if
that is his intention, we all know that the budget
dance that goes on beyond the scenes is the Council
fighting for things and are you then saying that the
City Council would not have to use its fight for
additional funds to include that \$10 million because
we can assume the Mayor will put that in regardless

2.2

2.3

2	of	wheth	ner	or	not	the	City	Council	includ	es that	as	а
3	red	guest	as	par	t of	our	budo	get resp	onse.			

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: Yeah, the

Administration is committed to having funding for

Phase 2 in the beginning of FY21.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Thank you very much.

I'm wondering about the indirect rates on the

nonprofit for the nonprofits that provide these

services. So, again, just making sure that these

nonprofits are not set up to fail as they had been

under previous Mayor's.

So, there was an agreement to add tens of millions of dollars for indirect rates to be baselined and DFTA has about 370 contracts that are eligible for this. How many have been settled where they've been given that indirect rate by now?

JOSE MERCADO: We've only had 10 percent of that contract has actually come in through the process.

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: Apply.

JOSE MERCADO: Applied.

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: Which is one of the appeals that I'm making is to make sure that many more of our contractors avail themselves of this.

2.2

2.3

2 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: We were assured back
3 in, I don't want to exaggerate, so I'm going to say
4 September or November even though this was put in the
5 budget in July. In November that a letter had gone
6 out from the Mayor's Office of Contract Services
7 saying, please apply.

So, everyone knows to apply.

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: Absolutely.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: As you've called around, it concerns me that only 10 percent have applied. What do you attribute that to?

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: We have made several outreach efforts. We know that the implementation is being managed and centralized by the City

Implementation team at OMB and MOCS. Providers have been informed about the process through the provider work group that meets regularly with CIT and you know, some providers are trying to calculate what their indirect cost maybe and justifying that and the CIT is supporting agencies and providers. You know, working with the varies echoes to make sure that they are conducting regular outreach and everyone is working diligently because we know particularly for

2.2

2.3

2 the smaller nonprofits that this is a life. This is 3 essential to their growth and sustainability.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: I appreciate your support of it but I'm a little bit asking and maybe the Committee can send this out to you to try to get this response from you.

As you've spoken with — as you or your echoes,

Commissioner the Contract Officers have spoken to the

nonprofits that have not submitted the paperwork.

Are they aware that they can simply ask for a basic

12 percent?

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: I mean, it's so simple to — I've looked at the paperwork. I can't understand, like if you could even give me one example of a nonprofit and why they've not submitted the paperwork, I'd be interested to know.

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: I can get back to you on that but Jose, you, have something to say?

JOSE MERCADO: Yeah, I just wanted to sort of say for example, the 12 percent has already expired as of January 31st. So, throughout this whole process, there have been — I would say MOC has actually every month has been sending out letters.

2.2

2.3

2 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Right, but that's not 3 my question.

JOSE MERCADO: Well, I mean part of it.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: I get it, you've tried.

JOSE MERCADO: We've tried.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: So, my question is why aren't they.

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: And they have until the end of Fiscal 2020 to submit their request.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: So, everyone is just procrastinating, 90 percent?

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: I don't think — COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: I mean that as a procrastinator myself.

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: Yeah, no.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Council Member Rosenthal, let's stop procrastinating. We got to move.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: We got move, gotta move. So, I'll end it there. I am very concerned that 90 percent have not applied. That is just concerning. Thank you so much. Thank you Chair.

J

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Yeah, we can follow up with the provider when they testify later also on that.

Council Member Ayala followed by Council Member Diaz.

COUNCIL MEMBER AYALA: Thank you Madam Chair.

Good morning Commissioner. In Fiscal Year 2020, the

City allocated \$40 million to perform outreach ahead

of this year's census to improve New York City's

response rate in historically uncounted communities.

As part of the city's plan to ensure complete count,

city agencies were asked to partner and get out the

count drives by either forming a plan of their or by

incorporating census outreach into their existing

programs and/or services.

Has DFTA created a get out the count plan in collaboration with New York City Census 2020? And do all senior centers have census material?

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: We've worked very diligently since the beginning. You know, with the 2020 Census, it's important to all of us and to this city as you said Council Member. And so, what we have done is work with the 2020 Field Team and made — we've had information sessions. We had volunteer, volunteer recruitment for that. We have also sent around information to all of our congregate centers

2.2

2.3

with information on how to schedule these sessions on the census.

In addition to that, there's been collateral materials distributed to all of our congregate sites. The census messaging is including in all of our external newsletters, as well as promulgated through a social media. And we've been working with the New York 2020 Census and the US Census to recruit older adults to be workers for the Census, which is another way of having a trusted partner.

We know that there has been a dedicated effort to have a widespread of nonprofits reflecting all sectors of our community as part of the census and we've worked with 2020 census that we have some dedicated computers at most of our senior center sites to make sure that we have full participation.

COUNCIL MEMBER AYALA: What about language capacity? I'm a little bit concerned specifically for senior centers that have seen an increase in immigrant populations. I also speak about East Harlem for instance where we have a growing Asian population specifically Chinese, non-English speaking. We've been very fortunate in that through initiatives funded through the Council. We've been

2.2

2.3

able to supplement the cost of hiring bilingual	
social workers at two of our sites but that may not	
be the case in all senior centers. And so, older	
adult seniors, I'm trying, I'm trying. So, obvious	;ly
is that something that DFTA is concerned about as	
well?	

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: The materials have been translated into multiple languages and have been distributed as such.

COUNCIL MEMBER AYALA: But if staff is not appropriate, I mean, how are we ensuring?

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: If there is a specific area, we only have a few days but if there's a specific issue and need, please let us know and we will address that immediately.

COUNCIL MEMBER AYALA: Yeah. Regarding the mental health funding for Thrive for the DFTA geriatric mental health program. There was a \$3.1 million baselined budget that was intended to expand beyond the 25 existing senior centers to potentially 25 more with additional funding, FY20 funding, which is I think \$1.7 million.

What is the current number of senior centers offered geriatric mental health programming services?

2.2

2.3

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: With the additional funds, we were able to expand the number from 25 to 38 and we also have several more. You know, there is an approval process to get it approved as a designated site, so there are several more in the final approval process stage.

COUNCIL MEMBER AYALA: And can you share what the range of services that are being offered at those centers is and does it differ by contracted provider?

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: The range of services are one, identification. Engaged in activities to make them comfortable raising the issues around mental health to clinicians so that we have a bunch of sessions designed around that.

So, far they have been very successful in having been screened for mental health services. Two-thirds of those who have been screened have been found to be in need of clinical intervention and so, this also suggests that there is a high level of need and about of those in need 81 percent have received treatment from a clinician, as a result of these services and I can't impress upon you enough that prior to the Thrive Geriatric Metal Health, these services were not as vastly available in congregate setting and

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

these numbers indicate the importance and the value that this brings.

COUNCIL MEMBER AYALA: I agree and I would add that you know, we should also be pushing for therapeutic programming at these sites because it's always great to have a mental health provider on site to speak to but I find out when I worked in senior center settings that you know even in coordinated activities, we had I think it was a jewelry making project and we found that it was very therapeutic for the participants of that program to just have ability to kind of come together and share their experiences. And many of these individuals were going through really traumatic experiences, whether they were losing loved ones or they were very ill and found the experience to be really therapeutic and I think that that should also be a part of you know, the conversation.

So, I look forward to seeing the expansion of this program.

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: One of the other ways that we're looking to expand this program as we move forward is the hub and spoke model, which is because a site has to be approved to be a mental

2.2

2.3

health site, what we want to do is to have one of
those approved sites then have satellites where they
can bring clients from those smaller congregate
settings that might not be deemed or might not be
approved. So, that they can bring those into their
approved site, so that services can still be
available to smaller congregate centers.

And so, that hub and spoke will also expand the capacity.

COUNCIL MEMBER AYALA: How long for you to see approval process?

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: It varies.

COUNCIL MEMBER AYALA: It varies.

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: It varies on the site.

COUNCIL MEMBER AYALA: I appreciate it. Thank you, Commissioner.

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: We've been joined by Council Member Treyger. So, we're going to have questions from Council Member Diaz and then Council Member Treyger.

COUNCIL MEMBER DIAZ: Thank you Madam Chairwoman.

Commissioner, Buenos Dias.

COMMITTEE ON AGING

-	
1	
ш	L

2.2

2.3

2 LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: Buenos Dias.

3 COUNCIL MEMBER DIAZ: SPEAKING IN SPANISH

4 1:35:13.

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: SPEAKING IN SPANISH 1:35:14-1:35:16.

COUNCIL MEMBER DIAZ: Commissioner as we all know the coronavirus is very dangerous for senior citizens and could you please tell me how much money are you asking? How much money are you putting? What are you doing to help senior citizen centers protect themselves and protect the senior citizen population?

13 LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: Sure.

COUNCIL MEMBER DIAZ: Are you asking any money in this budget for that?

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: The City of New York has been extremely aggressive. I'm very proud to say that well in advance of any other locality, New York City heightened its preparedness and its need for preparedness as late as January. As far back as the end of January to present not only to prepare, not only because we had an incident before anything hit our borders but because we knew. What was it that the Mayor's phrase was? Not if but when and they have been regular. Yesterday, there was an entire

2.2

2.3

2	meeting with the City Council around coronavirus.
3	This is a high priority for this city and for this
4	Mayor.

COUNCIL MEMBER DIAZ: I don't think you're answering me.

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: And I will try to answer your question.

COUNCIL MEMBER DIAZ: My question was simple.

How much money are you asking, are you putting in
this budget exclusively to help senior citizen
centers protect their population against the virus?

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: Sir, this coronavirus approach is a public health approach and it has to be used in that manner. This is a coordinated effort.

COUNCIL MEMBER DIAZ: Are you asking the City, the Council Member, the Mayor, is your Department asking — we need funds. We need this to protect senior citizens.

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: I have the upmost confidence that the Mayor is going to do all and has made it very clear that this is a priority and that resources will be made available to combat this disease.

2.2

2.3

2	COUNCIL MEMBER DIAZ:	SPEAKING	IN SPANISH
3	1:39:01-1:39:02. Listen o	carefully	what I'm saying.
4	You just said, we have 220	0 centers	at over 100

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: Yes.

percent food capacity.

COUNCIL MEMBER DIAZ: Yesterday, the

Administration that had concern with this, yesterday
they announced that they have 1,000 testing kits for
the whole city. If we use the 1,000 only for the 220
centers, it would be about 5 kits per center.

So, if we are concerned in protecting senior citizens that are in this case are very, very in dangerous situation, a very dangerous situation because they can catch a virus to attack them faster than other population.

So, if we only have 1,000 kits for the whole city to test for the whole city, how are we doing for senior citizen centers? How do we do it? How are we protecting them?

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: Sir, our Department of Health in our Commission of Health, we have done nothing but for the last few weeks, have tabletop meetings on a daily basis with the Mayor around this same issue. We have the upmost confidence that this

2.2

2.3

Administration and this Health Commissioner who I will defer your questions to, had mad all of the provisions necessary should it reach that level.

We are very clear that it has not reached that level and we do not want to cause chaos or alarm in our senior centers or in the population as a whole.

We have taken and given the best guidance, state of the art guidance issued by the Commissioner of

Health, our Public Health Commissioner as well as the CDC on what are the steps that the public should take and also, what are the guidance that we have offered for non-health related staffers who are in close contact with individuals. All of those guidance have been issued by the Department for the Aging, as well as by the City as a whole.

So, I am very, very confident that this City is well prepared at heightened preparedness to deal with this virus.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Council Member Diaz, I think that yesterday the Mayor, I guess in his press conference, he talked about the City has already spent \$3.8 million on this effort and that he talks about DFTA's emergency plan. That you are going to be visiting over 600 senior congregate settings each

2.2

2.3

week to ensure implementation of congregate setting
protocols.

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: Thank you. Thank you.

are you also including the social adult daycares too?

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: Absolutely. Thank

you for jarring my memory, my concern in this

conversation was to make sure that we are not

escalating and causing greater alarm than what really

exists in our senior centers.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Now, when you talk about 600,

The Mayor has been very, very clear. We have given out incredible number of guidance and information to our whole network of services. The Mayor wanted to ensure that that information was being implemented. And so, what we have is an emergency plan that we have devised an emergency plan that involves both DFTA staff as well as additional staff to visit 600 congregate sites throughout the city. Precisely to ensure number one, that they are posting the information. That the information is being distributed to the consumer population or to the population that they are responsible for to make sure that the professionals have the guidance to know

2.2

2.3

what to do should any incident occur and also, to inform the staff, the non-medical staff that go into the home, to know what those protocols should be and the kind of guidance that they should have.

So, this is a well thought out plan. We will be visiting senior, older adult centers and congregate centers, well beyond the Department for the Aging sites. We included NORC's that are not funded by the Department for the Aging. We have included the SAD's that are not funded by the Department, so it's going to be a widespread effort to ensure that everybody is taking the proper precautions and using the guidance that has been distributed to them.

COUNCIL MEMBER DIAZ: Do I still have the floor?

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Yeah.

COUNCIL MEMBER DIAZ: Thank you. I'm just saying, I'm just saying, that we are here in the budget hearing trying to prioritize the things that we are doing. I used to be Chairman of the Aging Committee when I was the Senate and if I listened to everyone that spoke. We are confronting a very, very serious situation with the coronavirus, throughout the nation, we're in the City. We talk about the city. Nobody is talking about this; I didn't hear

2.2

2.3

you Commissioner putting an emphasis that we are
doing this. I didn't hear the Chairman doing, so I'm
asking, I'm saying, what are we doing to protect the
senior citizen population? Are you putting in any
money? Are you asking special requests. We have to
protect. I'm just asking.

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: And I'm just letting you know clearly sir, that the Mayor has been on top of this and communicating with the public almost daily in press conferences. We have tabletop meetings, all of the agencies getting guidance from the Department of the Health under the leadership of this Mayor.

So, this information on what we are doing and what we need to do and what we are looking forward to doing should situations arise, is something that we are at a heightened preparedness and we have confidence that this city is well prepared to address this crisis.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Thank you Council Member Diaz.

Council Member Treyger?

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: Thank you to the Chairs for holding this important hearing and thank you Commissioner for being here this morning.

2.2

2.3

To your knowledge, with all the added precautions that the Administration, the Mayor's Office is requiring of agencies, particularly to your agency.

To your knowledge, do any of the added precautions go beyond the scope of the DFTA contract that are currently in existence with providers to our seniors?

Do any of the precautions require the providers to go beyond the contract scope of services?

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: The guidance, I do not believe to my knowledge that any of the guidance issued go beyond the scope of any contractors, any of the provisions in our contract and I would, if there are any in particular that you would like to raise, then I would love to talk to you offline, so that we can defer those to Department of Health and come up with some solutions.

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: Well, for example, I mean, I Chair the Education Committee and right now, the DOE is going to have to add added resources to school budgets to deal with deep cleaning and cleaning of our schools. Custodial budgets, cleaners in our schools are going to have to have extra resources to work overtime after the school day, before the school day. Do you believe that providers

2.2

2.3

2 have sufficient resources to conduct thorough 3 cleaning and maintenance of senior spaces?

things under review right now Council Member and the Department for the Aging along with the Department of Health that monitors our congregate sites, maintenance and sanitary conditions have always been a high priority because we're in the food preparation or the food service business. But it's one of the things that we're looking at right now. Is the resources, do we have adequate resources? And as the Mayor said, we're all looking at that to make sure that we are prepared should additional resources be necessary.

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: Have providers already reached out to you requesting additional resources and support in terms of complying with added guidance?

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: Not to my knowledge sir.

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: I'm just sharing with you best practices from other agencies. In the case of the DOE, schools needed extra help and I am going

2.2

2.3

to safely assume that providers need extra help as well.

This is particularly for our seniors who are at most risk when it comes to this virus.

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: Yes, right.

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: And so, I would just urge both your agency and OMB and the Mayor's Office to grant every request made to ensure that our providers in our senior spaces have every resources that they need to keep our seniors safe and supported.

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: That is our intention also and so, we share that commitment and passion with you and we are reviewing that on a daily basis.

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: So, to date, you have not made any requests to OMB for added resources to deal with the coronavirus? Have you made any requests to OMB for added resources?

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: We are on tabletop meetings with the Mayor on a regular basis where we're discussing all the various need and also, trying to forecast what future needs may be.

2.2

2.3

2 COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: And I appreciate that 3 but have you made any requests so far to OMB?

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: We've made some requests, not to OMB but to us as a City because we wanted to do this widespread canvasing, we did not have the staff resources, so we're getting resource staff, resources from across the City to make sure that we can visit each of our facilities.

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: I'm going to share with you another accommodation being made for DOE that I think should apply for DFTA. The DOE is now going to have to contract with additional nurses to make sure all of our schools have access to healthcare professionals.

Over 70,000 kids in our school system do not have a healthcare professional with them all day. Is that going to be the case with DFTA providers? Will DFTA Administration provide additional help with healthcare professionals to visit and be with providers in senior spaces to provide direct care? Because my concern is if we do not have a healthcare professional at the front end and proactively working with our vulnerable population, the worst thing that could happen is that seniors end up in the emergency

2.2

2.3

room and hospitals which are very dangerous already because the spread of other very serious disease that could really compromise their health immediately.

So, I think that it is wise for us to proactively at the front end, provide healthcare professionals to senior providers to visit them, to make sure that everyone is practicing proper protocols.

So, are there any plans in place that you are aware of to provide additional healthcare professionals, nurses to senior spaces?

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: That is not a request that we have made at this time but it is one of the issues that I will raise at our next tabletop. So, thank you for that.

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: And I just encourage you to, we have your back to make sure that OMB and the Mayor's Office gives you everything you need to keep our seniors safe.

And the last question, forgive me if it was raised already Chair. The issue of homecare meals for senior providers. I have one in my district that has a need for kosher meals and they are being told that because of the restrictions they will not be able to provide kosher meals in terms of the home

2.2

2.3

2	delivery meals.	Is	that	something	that	you	are	aware
2	o.f.)							

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: I'm totally not aware of that because kosher meal provisions is part of the RFP and it is a commitment that we have to make sure that we have a diversity of meals based on religion and ethnicity.

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: Okay, so I will speak to folks offline, just to follow up on that district issue.

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: Sure.

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: Thank you. Thank you Chairs.

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: I really appreciate that.

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: Thank you, sure.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Thank you Council Member

Treyger. I was going to; my next question is on this

RFP and thank you for your suggestion in terms of

working with the senior centers on the protocol to

our seniors and making sure that we have adequate

resources.

So, Commissioner, when you go visit those centers, it's not enough that they post up the signs

2.2

2.3

but I think that they really have to distribute
materials and especially with home delivered meals
that the information should go along with the meals.
And so, that everybody has that information on hand.

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: So, great minds think alike because we have like if it's a ten point checklist that people who are visiting are asking and doing and also, bring us back feedback. So, that if we find any deficiencies, we can address them.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Thank you. So, on the home delivered meals program, it's critical concern to Council. As you recalled I replied to DFTA's concept paper citing concern about inadequate funding.

Unfortunately, I didn't receive a reply,

nevertheless, we have reviewed two independent studies showing that DFTA's meals are underfunded by around \$2 each. So, after the RFP was released in January, human service, council delivered its highest ever risk rating in an RFP. 75 percent siting in adequate funding and a lack of transparency.

Yesterday, a fourth addendum to the RFP increase available funds by about \$640,000. So, how did the Department determine the funding rate per meal in its RFP and why is there no additional funding for

2.2

2.3

culturally responsive kosher or halal meals and how
does DFTA make requests to OMB when programs like
home delivered meals or senior centers need more
resources in the baseline budget.

Because in your testimony, you mentioned the home delivered meals budget was \$41.8 million and in addition was \$640,000. Do you think that's efficient to cover?

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: The number I cited is correct. That's correct.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: 640, that was the added in the addendum but in your testimony, you said that \$41.8 million was allocated for home delivered meal budget.

JOSE MERCADO: Correct, the \$41.8 is that total budget that we had. I mean when we did the initial RFP, we left out some money and we realized that and we added it back.

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: So, I want to address a little bit of the cost, the per meal cost. The way we calculate that per meal cost is obviously we look at total meals. We look at food cost, we look at, we assumed some savings based on group planning. We look at some saving based on the fact that we are now managing catering costs. So, there

2.2

2.3

will be catering costs that will be somewhat uniform

across the City. We also look at contributions, and

so, you arrive at a per unit cost, salaries and all

of the operating factors.

I want to remind everyone that the average home delivered meal cost currently was between \$8.60 and \$8.80. So, we went from that, there are some contractors are as high as \$10.16 but the average is between \$8.60 and \$8.80, and we increased it to \$9.58. The other thing that we did differently this year that we've done in the past is that contributions were not included in that unit cost. The contributions now are additive. So, that if a contractor collects let's assume \$0.50 a meal, their food costs now are \$10.08 rather than \$9.58.

So, we did know that there was an increase needed in these meals and we increased it to \$9.58 and also then took the additional step to take out the contribution portion, that revenue out of the actual meal cost that we gave.

Go ahead I'm sorry, I'm looking for a particular document.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Oh, great, the PMMR confirms that for at least three years, the home delivered

2.2

2.3

meals program have been serving hundreds of thousands of meals above its targets. Now, this new RFP would leave about 310,000 meal gaps between the targeted number of meals served in the catchment area versus the PMMR actuals.

So, DFTA's RFP say waiting lists are an option.

Do you support placing seniors, older adults on home delivered meal wait lists and given the rising costs of food and fuel and other necessities of a home delivered program, why didn't DFTA include a cost escalator in the RFP?

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: First of all, there is not a decrease in the number of meals. We are serving 18,000 meals a day now and the RFP allots for 18,000 meals a day. So, there is not a decrease in the number of meals. I have heard that statement before and that is incorrect.

What we attempted to do in this RFP is start looking for home delivered meals now and, in the future, and trying to look at many, many new bold initiatives. More collaboration across contractors. More purchasing across contractors so that we can have scale. We're looking at state of the art food preparation practices. We also, we're looking for

2.2

2.3

you know, new initiatives in terms of higher quality food and things of that nature and also looking forward.

In terms of cost escalators, that is a conversation that we could you know, part work with the Mayor's Office of Food Policy and start looking at food cost escalators for the future. But right now, given current state, this RFP was trying to take some steps to move us forward and into the future but at the same time, recognizing with the increases in meal costs. Our main target is ensuring that we can provide 18,000 meals a day.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: I mean, Commissioner, you said there is not a decrease but if a provider except like a \$20,000 shortfall annually to serve everyone, even over targets, so, that's not right. 2:10:09

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: I'm sorry, I'm not understanding something. There is not a shortfall in, there is not a reduction in the number of meals which is what I heard the statement to be.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: In the RFP there is a gap because there are providers that are serving above their target.

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: Oh.

2.2

2.3

2	CHAIRPERSON	CHIN:	Right,	SO
---	-------------	-------	--------	----

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: Okay, I got it now. I'm sorry.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: So, it just shows that there is an increasing need and, in your RFP, you are only budgeting the same number.

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: I'm sorry that I didn't understand and I didn't hear it correctly.

There is always a growing need and I've said over that the needs outpace the resources and what we've done is we've looked at the 18,000 meals that we provide this year and use that as a base.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: So, you are open to like looking at a cost escalator?

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: We're looking at working with the Mayor's Office of Food Policy and looking at everything as we move forward. We're also looking at you know, improving our following good food purchasing practices, so that there is a variety of things that we're looking at together you know, from a future perspective.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: And I also in our discussion,
I remember you were talking about, I think this is
related to the capital budget when you were talking

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

about commissary kind of kitchens where providers can
maybe realize -

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: We're looking at efficiency as long as efficiency doesn't undermine diversity and as long as efficiencies do not undermine community base. We're looking at efficiencies in scale and how is it that we can do that within our network in terms of forming working groups and learning groups among our providers.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Okay, I'm going to move on to the next issue. Case management and homecare wait lists. There is still a wait list. You know, on Wednesday, we learned that there are 1,245 case management clients on the wait list. While there are 478 homecare clients awaiting either new or increased I'm glad to hear case management programs service. are currently hiring and onboarding staff to help address the waiting list but the wait list deserves serious attention. It seems like every year we still got to address this. Why has been so hard to reduce the wait list and does DFTA believe it has sufficient funding to clear them by the start of Fiscal Year 21 and how long are seniors waiting for an in home case management assessment and how much funding is needed

2.2

2.3

to clear the wait list? Furthermore, will DFTA
consider an automatic funding escalator aligned to
the growing need?

We see cost escalators with other programs in the city, that I mentioned in my opening remarks. Such as social service coordination programs in the SARA finance senior housing. So, can we use that to deal with the wait lists?

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: We are opening to exploring all different options but I want to be really clear that this wait list is not a — it's a point in time. It is not a wait list as if you are waiting forever to have services turned on. All of the individuals on that list are at different stages in the assessment process, so it is not as if none of them have received some information or contact.

I also want to say from a case management perspective, every person gets in a phone call assessment to start determining need. We triage those, we do not, you know, you don't become number one and number two doesn't get served until number one does. We triage it and those with the greatest needs start getting priority, number one.

2.2

2.3

Number two, everyone gets a phone assessment so that we can add that ability. The other thing that's really important, if anyone has a meal need, that meal gets turned on immediately.

So, it is not a wait list as we traditionally think of wait lists. Is it a problem? Is the need growing? Absolutely, but it is not a problem that is not being managed and it is not a problem which means that older adults in need of services are not getting them. And much of our homecare hours are — that wait list is due because people need additional hours.

So, it's not as if they do not have services currently, it's just that a lot of that is for added hours.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: But I think the question I had was, how long are seniors waiting for an in home case management assessment? And I know that from my office experience helping constituents, that it still takes a while for them to get that in home assessment and then finally get the homecare service.

So, these are not people waiting for expanding hours, they are waiting to get the service and still they have to wait awhile. It's not like oh, within a week or two weeks.

2.2

2.3

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: Everyone gets a phone assessment so that we can be able to triage them. I will get back to you to give you the data on high needs and what the wait time is but I want you to be assured that high need individuals don't wait.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Okay, I mean, the main thing is that we just don't want to have the older adults on waiting lists and this is something that we address every year and we want to make sure that there is sufficient funding to address that.

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: That's a shared concern, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Yeah. So, the other question
I have is on this whole senior center RFP that you
are planning for this year. Now, DFTA's annual plan
states that it intends to issue a concept paper for
the RFP for a senior center this spring and summer.
And this will be one of the most consequential RFP
for DFTA in a decade.

So, what has DFTA begun to do to prepare for this process and will DFTA do outreach in multiple languages and in each borough as part of the pre-RFP process? And also, is DFTA considering funding any of the following four items as part of the new RFP.

2.2

2.3

First, baselining the existing Council initiative.

Second, expanding geriatric mental health services to every center. Third, co-locating social adult daycares in the centers and Fourth, paying providers for extended cooling center opening hours.

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: Well, I can tell you that we are looking at several of those issues that you mentioned. Right now, we are doing a lot of research on state of the art services. Not only here but across the nation and across the globe. As we have done and has been my commitment, we will have as many stakeholder meetings prior to the concept paper to get people's input but you are right, this is one of the most crucial RFP's that we will issue because we can't look at this RFP as we look at aging today.

This RFP has to prepare us and create a pathway as we look at aging to the future. So, as we have tried to in this first effort with the home delivered meals to start looking forward, we will do the same thing with the congregate sites.

In that, we will hold a variety of stakeholder meetings. Look at creative aging and all these new concepts that we know just advanced and are important to the aging community and to older individuals and

2.2

2.3

how it increases and eliminates social isolation and increases their social ability and their ability to age in place.

All of those issues are being considered. One of the things that we will do is then, after all of that input, is begin the concept paper and that set up another round of inputs and opportunities.

So, this will not be that DFTA sits in a room, does some of the great state of the art researching and analysis and puts out a concept paper. This concept paper will be informed by some of the best thinking that we have in the research field but also, some of the best thinking that we have within our own network and some of their desires and future directions and see what we can implement in that.

In terms of paying for providers for cooling centers, right now, we have some cost built in to our, we will reimburse and we do reimburse contractors for some expenses related to cooling, to serving as a cooling center on off hours and we will look at that very seriously.

And, I'm intrigued and was intrigued by our conversations about expanding services to build in senior adult kind of activities within congregate

2.2

2.3

settings. So, it is something that we will look at in terms of this wide array of services that we're looking at.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: What about the paying providers for extending cooling center open hour. I mean, our senior center right now could be more highly utilized. I mean, right now, most of them are just Monday through Friday and then closed in the evening. There are some centers like in my district and Council Member Rivera's district we share, that do have dinner meals.

So, I think one of the things we wanted to really look at is that the Mayor in his state of City, talk about new community centers. So, senior centers could be part of that. The older adult center could be part of that and it could be really highly utilized.

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: Those are all options -

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: You know, they need some place to — they want to go to the center but most of them are closed on the weekends. So, those are the options.

2.2

2.3

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: Those are all options that we would like to look at and we also believe and this has been conversations that I've had with a few of congregate setting directors, which is the utilization of our kitchens. Our kitchens are used to just serve one meal, you know that maybe we can look at capacity within kitchens.

So, all of those are discussions that we're having and see where our vision takes us and where our resources take us.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Yes, the resources, that's what we got to make sure that we have, adequate resources to do that.

The other question is on the social adult daycare. Right, there are many concerns around the growing number of social adult daycare across the city. In January, DFTA finally issued rules associated with their oversight of the SAG's under Local Law 9 of 2015, including a civil penalty schedule associated with chronic violators. This SAG's Ombud's persons office has four staff and a \$300,000 baseline budget.

Now, first, what action are underway to address the social adult daycare center fraud and abuse?

2.2

2.3

Second, will the Ombud's person initiate any complaints or investigation on their own or is it entirely based on complaint? And has DFTA spoken to the state official about SADC of fraud and the potential Medicaid savings that could be realized by prosecuting fraud? And one of the three penalties incurring violation is failure to adhere to program standards.

What does that mean and what standards will the Ombud's person use to evaluate whether a SADC is in compliance?

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: So, like you, I am very pleased that we now have rules that have been promulgated. I am also extremely pleased that we have been able to activate the payment portal. To date, we have 18 individuals, so their revenues that come into the city now one by the registration process and then the other one, is based on the fees that are imposed.

We have a team that will go out based on violations or complaints and then we will also have obviously what we call secret shopper and we will look at that. Our team and our current leader is in close contact with the state and they refer to them

2.2

2.3

every violation or infraction that we find. And so, we might not know the disposition that the state imposes on a SAG but we do make sure that because that information doesn't come back to us, that we do make sure that everyone of those is logged and registered with the state.

This is a new endeavor for us. We are as concerned as many are about the potential abuse, which is why this administration with a lot of your coaching and support have been able to establish this office through Local Law 9 and now staffing it to the level that we are looking forward to seeing the results of this.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Yes, I mean, 2015, I mean, that's a long time and we're waiting for those rules and so far, you said only 18 have registered.

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: They have 30 days from the day that the rules were promulgated to register, since the portal was open to register.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: What's the penalty for not registering in time?

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: Oh, that's very good. There is a schedule, so \$1,000 a day and then

2.2

2.3

it,	COI	rre	ect	me	if	Ι'm	wror	ng	any	of	you	•	It ' :	S	about
\$1,	000	a	day	y ar	nd	then	the	ре	enalt	-y	cont:	inu	es.		

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: That's good. So, have they all been notified, the over 300?

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: They've all been notified and we've been very aggressive in asking them to register, which is the first line of our Ombudsman program, is making sure that each one of them is registered.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: So, in that registration, can you just share with us the information that we'll be able to get from that registration?

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: Sure, we'll get back to you with that and I promise you that we will get back to you in time.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: In terms of participant,
because we want to know if we can get like,
participant. How much do they charge the government?
The participation rates.

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: It might not be as extensive as we would want it to be at the beginning but I think we could get some basic information and I commit to you that you will get that by the end of today.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Okay, thank you. Just a few
more questions on the capital budget and expense
funded repair. How does DFTA work with other agency
partners to prioritize which capital projects get
prioritized? Will DFTA sit down with Council Finance
to review the entire DFTA capital project portfolio
with start dates, key milestones and expected
completion date since when you say, most of the
funding comes from the Council and can DFTA share
with the Council the lists of centers and contractor
providers who have or plan to receive expense funded
repairs to their premises?

JOSE MERCADO: Yeah, so regarding the Capital Budget, like when we mentioned earlier, we will happily sit down the Council and identify the various projects and what stages they are in.

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: And with the Administration, we are looking at capital and CBDG monies to see ways that we can augment our capital needs, because I think everybody recognizes that we need to upgrade some of our facilities and also ensure that we can also expand some them.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Okay, so before closing

Commissioner, we can expect to see in the Executive

Budget the \$10 million for the model budget.

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: You can expect the commitment from this Administration which has been given to us and I am now sharing with you that by FY21, those \$10 million will be in DFTA's budget.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Okay. The next two, we got to work together on. Millions more, a couple of million dollars more, millions more for the home delivered meal and money for home care and case management wait lists so that we can eliminate. So, those are the ones that we will always, we'll also be advocated for in the Executive Budget.

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: And we're always in constant communication with OMB around those kind of issues and we feel that we have a strong partnership and ally.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Great, so, Commissioner thank you for being here. Thank you to all your staff and we're looking forward to getting more resources this year and in partnership with you and your agency.

LORRAINE A. CORTES-VAZQUEZ: Thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Thank you.

2.2

2.3

2 So, now we invite the public to testify.

We have a lot of people signed up, so I apologize that we do have to put testimonies on a two minute clock because we don't have the Chamber for the whole day. We have a time limit because there are other committees that have hearings.

So, you can always submit the written testimony but share with us the highlight. Katie Foley from Selfhelp Community Services, Katelyn Andrews from LiveOn New York, Beth Finkel from AARP, Tara Klein United Neighborhood Houses, Rachel Sherrow from City Meals on Wheels.

Okay, please begin.

KATIE FOLEY: Thank you. My name is Katie Foley and I am the Director of, can you hear me?

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Did you press the button?

KATIE FOLEY: Yes. My name is Katie Foley and I am the Director of Public Affairs at Selfhelp Community Services. Thank you so much Council Member Chin for the opportunity to testify today.

Selfhelp provides a broad set of services to more than 20,000 elderly, frail and vulnerable New Yorkers while remaining the largest provider of comprehensive services to holocaust survivors in North America.

2.2

2.3

We're grateful for the Council's ongoing support for senior programs and for emphasizing the needs of older adults and we know that with strong community based programs, we're confident that older New Yorkers will be able to access the care and support they deserve and need to age in their own homes and communities. I want to highlight a few of our priorities for this budget season.

In a budget year with a Medicaid deficit is front and center. We know it's important to remember programs that serve older adults on a relatively small budget while helping to defer substantial costs to the Medicaid system. I want to emphasize that an investment in SHASAM, Selfhelp's Active Services for Aging Model would result in a savings to the Medicaid program by preventing or lowering costs to the emergency room visits and keeping low income seniors out of costly or levels of care, such as assisted living or nursing homes.

At all 11 Selfhelp affordable housing buildings we offer service coordination through SHASAM, which provides appropriate level of social services to allow older adults to remain in their homes. We have published a white paper which is available on our

2.2

2.3

website that shows the evidence based research on the exact savings to Medicare and to Medicaid.

The Elie Wiesel Holocaust Survivor Initiative demonstrates the commitment of the City to ensure that some of our city's most vulnerable receive the care and services that they need. This year, we're urging the City Council to renew this initiative with continued support for Selfhelp and our Holocaust Survivor program.

We operate the oldest and largest program serving holocaust survivors, caring for nearly 4,800 elderly and frail individuals and this funding supports direct social services to the frail, isolated and financially needed survivors, as well as unique educational program that shares survivor stories with the next generation.

Thank you so much for the opportunity to testify today and we appreciate the City Council for the ongoing support for the senior transportation program in Queens, as well as all the discretionary funding in Schedule C.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Thank you and we have your full testimony for the record.

2.2

2.3

KATLYN ANDREWS: Okay, my name is Katlyn Andrews,

I'm the Director of Public Policy at LiveOn New York.

I'm going to jump right into the meat of our

testimony. Our main budget priority this year is the

home delivered meals program. We are asking for \$16

million in funding along with our partners

specifically for this program.

Our position is that \$13 million of this should go to fund weekday meals and \$3 million should go towards the weekend meals. Currently, providers are losing money on every meal served and it is critical that at this time while the RFP is going on and providers are forced with the decision of whether or not to continue in the program, that we know that the funding is there to keep the program solvent for years moving forward.

A part of this, we also would hope that there are cost escalators included, as has been mentioned today, so that the program can keep pace as costs continue to rise and so that the program does not turn into a deficit as it continues.

LiveOn NY did do a study in partnership with United Neighborhood Houses utilizing a framework developed by See Change Capital Partners that

2.2

2.3

confirmed the gap of funding for home delivered meals. It is about \$2 per meal as had previously indicated by Mathematica. So, we know that this gap and this funding challenge is real and we're hopeful that this year that is addressed. We also appreciate the emphasis on the \$10 million in model budget money that still needs to be put into the budget. We're pleased to hear that that will be addressed in the Executive Budget and we're looking forward to seeing that in Black and White.

We also are hopeful that all of the one-time funding is restored and not only that, but that it's baselined. Funding that is baselined is able to go toward salaries and be used in the way that it is truly intended, rather than being used for sort of additive measures as a one time fund can often be used for.

So, we're hopeful that those programs for senior centers, for NORCs, Case Management, etc., are baselined. We know that there are waiting lists for a case management and homecare, so we're hopeful that that is addressed and it's a point and time but it's also annually very similar. So, we're hopeful that

2.2

2.3

some mechanism is in place to continue addressing this moving forward.

You have my full testimony, so I thank you for your support and your time today.

TARA KLEIN: Hello, thank you for the opportunity to testify. My name is Tara Klein, I am a Policy Analyst with United Neighborhood Houses. We are a policy and social change organization that represents 43 neighborhood settlement houses in New York.

I want to echo a lot of the things that Katelyn just testified on from LiveOn, particularly around the home delivered meals request for this year of \$16 million. We know that there is an urgent funding need for providers currently. With the new RFP coming out, that places even more programmatic demands on the program. This really emphasizes the need for additional funds. So, we really hope you'll take a look at that and help us be a partner in supporting that \$16 million ask.

I want to highlight a new ask this year for the naturally occurring retirement communities, our NORC's. Thank you of course to the Council for their support last year in the nursing funding that was really critical to supporting these programs. Of

2.2

2.3

course, our NORC's helped defer people from the Medicaid system in a year when Medicaid cuts are front and center, so we really think these are critical programs. But unfortunately, the staff in the NORC's right now are facing chronically low salaries. We're seeing that these are much lower than other DFTA contracts, particularly senior centers and case management where they've had increased in recent years.

So, these are people who are often with the same job title, often working under the same organization doing similar work but the pay differential we've seen is about \$15,000 per employee at different levels. And so, we are asking for \$1.7 million in order to ensure that NORC salary parity this year in the budget.

Just a few quick other things to highlight in my testimony. We believe there needs to be a very large increase in geriatric mental health services across the aging network. This year we're looking to increase this through the DOHMH Council Initiative, the Geriatric Mental Health Initiative. We are also encouraging more funding to support repair needs and infrastructure across the aging network. We want to

2.2

2.3

see that \$10 million in model budget money there and of course supporting all of the Council's discretionary funds and the one year administration adds that we need to baseline.

So, thank you again for the opportunity to testify.

BETH FINKEL: Hi, I'm Beth Finkel, I'm the State
Director for AARP New York. I first of all want to
thank Chairperson Chin. You've been such an
incredible leader and advocate for older adults and
also the other Council Members and thank you Council
Member Ayala for hanging in there with us. We really
appreciate it.

I also want to thank my fellow advocates here who just do a sensational job providing these programs and services. AARP has 750,000 members in New York City and without these services that they provide and without them provided at the level of excellence that I love the way Council Member Chin, you put it, that our senior service should be the crown jewel and we could not agree more and be able to hold them up to every place in the country as the City with the best services for older adults, so thank you.

2.2

2.3

We've been doing a lot of research AARP to inform us about the challenges facing older population and we know that it's all about the pocketbook issues.

That influences every other piece of quality of life for older adults and adds the greatest amount of stress to residents 50 plus.

These residents account for nearly one-third of our populations and their numbers are expected to increase by 30 percent in the next 20 years.

Financial hardships are felt most acutely by our aging African American, Hispanic and Asian American residents. As a matter of fact, we just did a report on disrupted disparities which highlights how those hardships are particularly felt by those communities and how they really must be addressed.

As a matter of fact, in gentrifying neighborhoods, the median annual income for older Whites is as much as \$100,000 higher than for that for African American or Hispanic residents in those same communities.

The Council has been very generous over the years with its support of older New Yorkers and the services that they rely on and we're asking you to do it one more time.

2.2

2.3

Oh, my God, so, I'd like to point out that nonfor-profit senior service providers are counting on
you to. We're very worried about the home delivered
meals and the parity that was brought up on that with
nearly 30,000 homebound and elderly relying on those
services and the \$16 million is very key. And beyond
that, we'd like to see the investment and more
services for supports.

The NORC issue, again we feel very, very strongly about and we just want to make sure that all the money is there and baselined as I know you said earlier. So, I could go on, it's in my testimony. Thank you for giving us the opportunity to bring the voice of AARP members here today.

Thank you.

RACHEL SHERROW: Thank you. Yes, we're all aging in place, so I'll be very quick because you know what City Meals on Wheels does and we've worked in this public private partnership with you for years.

It's in my testimony, it's in their testimony, we need the money to fill the gap to ensure that all 18,000 homebound elders receive meals throughout the week, throughout the year. I just want to mention, we are, you know, our goal with the Department for

2.2

the Aging is ensuring that folks are getting food.
With this coronavirus, however this is going to play
out, we are working to get meals, shelf stable meals
to our home delivered meal clients, just in case
there's a disruption in service. In addition to
preparing senior centers, our warehouse is now trying
to put together 100,000 meals to make sure that
senior center congregate members who are not our
regular clients will have food on hand at home in
case senior centers have to close

So, I know the Department is working very diligently in trying to protect our clients as much as possible and understanding how important nutrition is for them. We don't want to see our clients malnourished, ending up in emergency rooms and then worse because they are already vulnerable and frail and could be more susceptible to anything.

Thank you. Did I say my name? It's Rachel
Sherrow Associate Executive Director. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: I just wanted to thank you to all the advocates who are here, but especially this panel for your work and your advice and working together with us. We still got a long way to go

2.2

2.3

because DFTA's budget is still very, very tiny. It's still less than half a percent of the City's budget.

But hey, the population is growing. The older adult population is growing and we have to be very, very visible and I think in this budget year, I don't want to keep hearing from the Administration that the cuts are coming down from Albany and I know that all of you are also fighting in Albany to make sure that we get adequate funding for our seniors. So, that's the first step, but we will continue to make sure that the older population is taken care of and deserves the funding resources because we all help build this city and it's a blessing to get there and older adults, seniors, part of the future. Right, we're going to be around, so we're going to have to continue to work hard on this.

So, I look forward to seeing all of you during this budget process and hopefully we'll get some good news in the Executive Budget.

Thank you again for being here. The next panel
Helen Ahn from the Korean Community Services, Howard
Shih from Asian American Federation, Hali Lee from
the Coalition for Asian American Children's and
Family, Karen Zhou from Homecrest Community Services

2.2

2.3

and Mohamma	d Razvi	from	COPO,	Council	of	Peoples
Organizatio	n.					

Okay, is Mohammad here, Mohammad Razvi?
UNIDENTIFIED: He had to leave.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Oh okay, but he submitted testimony, alright. Thank you. You may begin.

HOWARD SHIH: Okay, thank you Chair Chin, as well as Council Member Ayala for providing us the opportunity to testify in front of the Committee on Aging. My name is Howard Shih, I'm the Research and Policy Director at the Asian American Federation.

As part of our work, we are Census Information

Center, so we do a lot of tracking of the demographic

growth of both the Asian senior population and the

last time I think I testified in 2016, we saw that

the Asian population had doubled. The Asian senior

population had doubled since 2000. The most recent

data from 2018, shows that the population has nearly

tripled at this point.

So, two years makes a big difference. We fully expect that the Asian population will continue to grow as Asians are aging into the demographic because of the immigration reforms in the 1960's, increased immigration to the United States.

2.2

2.3

I think a lot of the challenges have been described before. Limited English proficiency is really high among Asian communities. In particular in the Chinese and Korean communities, it's 90 percent of the population has limited English proficiency, even in the Filipino community, 39 percent of tech speakers identify themselves as LEP. So, even immigrant groups that have a reputation for having high levels of English proficiency still have challenges among their senior population.

So, just to jump, we've submitted written testimony but to highlight some of our recommendations. I think that the program, the Senior Centers for Immigrant Populations Initiative from the City Council has been really valuable in building capacity among our senior serving populations. I think a lot of them here are able to get those funding and we're encouraging the Council to up that initiative to at least \$2 million. We want to make sure that DFTA has the funding to fully implement the city language access laws that were passed a couple of years ago, Local Law 30. We want to make sure that we address the growing mental health needs of the community and we also appreciate

2.2

2.3

Council Member Ayala especially for providing mental health support as we develop those programs out there.

So, I'll conclude there, thank you.

Members of the Committee on Aging, for giving us the opportunity to testify today. My name is Hali Lee and I am a Policy Coordinator at the Coalition for Asian American Children and Families. And I'll skip down a little since Chair Chin is familiar with CACF and Howard kind of went over a few of my points also but seniors in the Asian American communities in New York City have some of the highest limited English proficiency rates. More than two and three APA seniors are LEP. Many of our seniors have no social security income because language and accessibility is a barrier to applying.

Our seniors are often left out of the conversation and poverty yet in our city, 23 percent of APA seniors live in it. Many APA seniors have limited access to the social safety net despite there growing poverty rates. Social isolation, inadequate community outreach and limited English proficiency play a large role in keeping Asian American seniors

2.2

2.3

from accessing social services which is exactly why
our community organization services are vitally
needed.

Yet, in the last Fiscal Year, only two APA org's received funding under the Support our Seniors

Initiative which is not an equitable distributions of resources to meet our communities needs. Our recommendations for the budget would be to enhance the Support our Senior Citywide Initiative and grant additional funding to APA led and serving community based organizations to provide those vital services to our aging population as well as to restore that \$2 million to senior centers for immigrant populations to provide operational support to culturally competent and linguistically accessible non-DFTA senior centers.

And I would like to thank you for this opportunity to testify. I'm trying to keep it short, so I look forward to working with the City Council to ensure that all aging New Yorkers have access to the services and support that they need to lead healthy, safe and fulfilling lives.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Thank you. We have your full testimony that will be on the record. Thank you.

2.2

2.3

HELEN AHN: Hi, thank you for your time and for this opportunity. My name is Helen Ahn and I am from KCS. Today I am here to speak about our ethnic homebound meal, like last year.

Actually, the past one year, I participated all the meetings, focus group meetings and home delivered meal preliminary RFP meeting everywhere, but I didn't see much change for home delivered meal subcontractor but today, I will talk about overall homebound meal providers aspects.

The ethnic homebound meal program is an important lifeline of nutritional homebound immigrant seniors. Healthy meals are a vital component in improving mental and physical health among older adults.

Homebound Asian American immigrants are particularly isolated due to the lack of caregivers existing language barriers, cultural differences and lack of social contact.

Although we try to fulfill the needs of this community, our unique home delivered meal struggles to continue our services because of serious financial gap caused by the rising cost of meals. These costs which need to cover increased hourly wages, special roof costs, high maintenance of special [INAUDIBLE]

2.2

2.3

3:09:25] vehicle. High interest cost of the program and very low reimbursement rate.

The financial discrepancy and deficits generated by the low reimbursement rate and low funding, jeopardizes unique ethnic home delivered meals like us and discourage all homebound meal providers.

Under the current system in place since 2009 and the new RFP, new DFTA RFP, the home delivered will continue struggling to provide essential nutrition because of the far below initial average, which is like \$11.06 cost of meal reimbursement rate of DFTA which is \$9.15.

I will just shorten one thing, that one anecdote from the beginning of February, I got a call from one of the contractors. Currently our weekend meal was reduced to 50 percent. They cut down 50 percent of weekend home delivered meal clients. And also, for next new fiscal year, they called and talked to me that if we agree and accept the \$6.70 for the new home delivered meal, then they can work with us.

So, the current DFTA's RFP rate doesn't reflect anything and I am wondering if this current rate and the new RFP rate can guarantee home delivered meal providers like us to continue our services.

2.2

2.3

You can read the whole page. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Thank you for your testimony.

I mean, that's one of the issues that we are going to
be advocating on. That definitely then needs to be
an increase and the issues with subcontractors.

The Commissioner and I, we've been talking about that and I think that she is really looking into how to really help organization's to really have the capacity to bid for contracts. So, we look forward to working with you on that.

HELEN AHN: Okay, thank you.

KAREN ZHOU: Good morning. I want to thank the
New York City Council and the Chair of Aging
Councilwoman Margaret Chin for this opportunity to
testify today at today's Preliminary Budget hearing.

My name is Karen Zhou and I am the Executive

Director at Homecrest Community Services. We are a

multi-social service agency with more than two

decades of serving the Asian American immigrant in

Brooklyn. We currently operate two community senior

centers in Sheepshead Bay and Bensonhurst Brooklyn.

One of our goals for the centers is to help older

adults reduce social isolation and increase mental

wellbeing.

2.3

We have a lot of seniors that are Asian, seniors without families, widowers who have lost their partners after many years of marriage and those who are living alone or abandoned by their families and they really need support.

I just want to share a story about why the senior center is vital. Earlier last year we has a senior, Mr. Law, he lost his beloved wife, she passed away and he was very devastated by the sudden loss. He used to come to the center daily with his wife to play Mahjong and participate in many of the activities and suddenly, he was all by himself.

So, we had a pre-Thanksgiving party and people donated turkey's which we raffled at the Center and to Mr. Law's surprise, he actually won a turkey for the first time. So, he couldn't believe it and he was so very happy.

A few days later, he came to the center with that turkey. He had marinated the turkey Chinese style using Chinese Hoisin sauce, salt and sugar and he asked for permission if we can cook the turkey at the center to share with all the other seniors.

He said the turkey is too much for him to eat by himself. His wife is gone, his kids live far away,

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

they don't plan to come visit him. Suddenly, he was alone and he thought about the center at Homecrest.

So, he has a lot of good friends and many happy memories and this was a second home for him.

So, we honored his request. We cooked the turkey, carved it, served it to all the seniors and it was another special surprise for all of us because we didn't expect that. Everyone was very joyous and we know that whether Mr. Law wins a turkey next year or not, at least he has the support. This is why the senior centers are so vital. HCS is in particular need because even though we have two senior centers, it is not funded in the same way.

We're very thankful to have been the first Brooklyn Asian American led organization to get funding through the New York City Department for the Aging for our Bensonhurst neighborhood senior center but our Sheepshead Bay Senior Center is not DFTA funded and it has yet to receive the same level of funding that is needed. So, we have been relying on the senior center for immigrant population initiative to keep our doors open. We're thankful for the City Council for restoring this initiative in the prior years fiscal budget.

2.2

2.3

Additionally, I'd like to recommend an investment in funding more Asian serving nonprofits who can provide culturally competent health and mental health services for seniors. By funding more Asian serving providers, we can help support families who desperately need places to send their loved ones for help.

For Asian Americans, suicide was the 10th leading cause of death. The limited places and resources that are currently available is discouraging and we should find more solutions to fund more culturally competent service providers like Homecrest Community Services that have the language and the cultural competency to serve this population.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Do you have a copy of your testimony?

KAREN ZHOU: Yes, yes.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Okay, so please wrap up.

KAREN ZHOU: I'd like to add, by saying that as the Asian American community continues to grow, we anticipate an increasing need for resources. We are at a time when over a million plus baby boomers are retiring nationwide. We hope that the City budget will be inclusive, a funding to support senior

2.2

2.3

services. Leaving seniors funding, such as the

Senior Center for Immigration Population Initiative,

Healthy Asian Initiative and Support our Seniors

Initiative out of the budget would be unconscionable and would create more wait lists for services and put older immigrant adults at risk.

So, I urge today, all our City Council members to consider restoring these initiatives to support the seniors in the City Budget.

Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Please make sure that you reach out to your Council Member, so that when we're doing budget negotiation, that they are supporting us to fight for those initiatives.

And ultimately, we need to get those baselined by the Administration. So, make sure your Council Member hears from you and your constituents, so that they can actively support. I mean in the budget negotiation team, right Council Member Ayala. We told them, we need them to back us up because we're in there and they need to hear from their constituents.

So, thank you to all of you for being here today and thank you for your great work and we look forward

2.2

2.3

to continue working with you to advocate for more resources for our seniors.

PANEL: Thank you so much Chair Chin for your strong leadership. We appreciate it.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: The next panel Rhonda Soberman from Visiting Nurse Service, from Sunnyside Community Service Shyvonne Noboa, Danielle Christenson from God's Love We Deliver, Wesley Davis from New York Road Runners and Nancy Jenkins from New York Road Runners.

Please begin.

RHONDA SOBERMAN: Hello, good morning Chair Chin and members of the Committee on Aging. My name is Rhonda Soberman, I am Manager of Program and Development for the Visiting Nurse Service of New York and I appreciate the opportunity to testify today about NORC funding.

As you know VNSNY touches the lives of more than 44,000 people each day who face health challenges requiring either short-term invention, ongoing chronic care services or end of life care.

Today, I'm asking the New York City Council to continue the \$1.3 million in funding that was allocated last year for NORC Nursing. Thanks in

2.2

2.3

large part to you Chair Chin and the Department for the Aging and the Council Finance staff. As a result of the city's funding, visiting nurse has been able to provide nursing support to 27 NORC programs throughout the five boroughs. By the end of Fiscal Year 2020, we will have provided more than 12,612 hours of nursing services in support of seniors at these programs. We're also a frontline NORC provider in the NORC in Chinatown servicing more than 800 low income non-English speaking resident in tenement housing.

NORC Nursing focuses on client assessment, health education, health resources, health advocacy and linkage to necessary healthcare services. We work as part of an interdisciplinary team helping staff and clients alike better understand health related issues and concern and their impact on the clients ability to remain at home.

The nurses focus on empowering residents to manage their chronic health conditions and respond to those who need connections to care and our efforts are aimed at reducing unnecessary emergency room visits and avoidable hospitalization while increasing positive health outcomes and resident satisfaction.

2.2

2.3

As you know the coronavirus continues to have a lot of concerns for people who live in these communities and we and our social service partners have worked with the New York City Department of Health and the Center for Disease Control to dispel myths, educate residents on practical ways to stay healthy and address their health concern. And in addition, we do all kinds of interesting things that help people around their health. I've submitted it in my testimony, I'll be just very brief.

We know that there are gaps that our partners, our social service partners are worried about what's going to happen if we don't get this money for nursing services, in 2021 there will be tremendous gaps in care for all these people and we also want to help them and support them in securing salary parity for the social workers who work in those NORC programs as well.

And in conclusion, we just want to be sure that all these NORC are able to retain their nurses and the social service that provide critical care to the seniors and we urge you to renew the \$1.3 million in funding for NORC services and help us to strengthen and promote the services.

2.2

2.3

In the testimony you heard how important embedding nurses in communities are along with social service partners and this is a model that works.

We've been doing this for many, many years and we know it works and it can really help the city as we go forward with our aging communities and you know growth.

Thank you.

SHYVONNE NOBOA: Good afternoon, my name is
Shyvonne Noboa and I am the Division Director for
Senior Services at Sunnyside Community Services.
Thank you, Chairwoman Margaret Chin, for your
leadership and for the Aging Committee.

At Sunnyside Community Services, we envision a diverse inclusive and caring community where all people thrive to their fullest potential and it's with your partnership that we're able to fulfill that vision.

In our testimony, we'll highlight some priorities that you can see later on but I just want to share I was pleased to hear about the \$10 million that will be addressed for the model budget. We have 250 seniors that visit us on a daily basis and there are

2.2

2.3

critical needs that need to be addressed for their
daily needs.

One of the other areas of priority is infrastructure. Staff in our senior center have been working off of computers that haven't been purchased for years. Our senior center members spend an average of five hours in our center on a daily basis and they do so on tables and chairs that haven't been purchased since 2003. We are in dire need of upgrades. Current resources don't cover a recent estimate of \$42,286 to replace those tables and chairs.

We're also doing our part to ensure every senior is counted in the census but that also come at a cost of \$2,000 to over cyber security for our computers to keep their information confidential and safe.

Lastly, I want to say that I can't think of a better time to offer testimony advocating on behalf of critical investments to the human services sector and during national social work month.

For perspective, at Sunnyside in Fiscal Year 2019, our staff provided 43,000 nutritious meals cooked at our center. We deliver 2,700 hours of case assistance and we help screen 1,100 individuals for

2.2

2.3

2 services and benefits that will help them remain
3 healthy in their home.

I close by saying that we support a 3 percent COLA increase to reinvest in those that are working day to day to help support our older adults. You know, we all hold a powerful secret that most don't know. When those aging around us are able to do so with respect, dignity and compassion that everyone deserves, they can continue to lead meaningful lives and vibrant individuals contributing back to their communities.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify.

DANIELLE CHRISTENSON: Thank you for the opportunity to speak today. My name is Danielle Christenson and I am here on behalf of God's Love We Deliver. The only not for profit provider of medically tailored home delivered meals and nutrition counseling for people living with life threatening illnesses.

God's Love began 34 years ago, providing services to the most underserved and isolated populations in our city. Those who are sick and unable to take care of their most basic need, the need for food and nutrition.

2.2

At God's Love, nutrition is our signature difference, although some older adults can tolerate regular food, aging and illness can lead to a variety of complications that require a specialized diet.

God's Love clients receive services from our seven registered dietitian nutritionist who tailor each meal to meet each clients specific medical needs. All our meals are well balanced, low in sodium, free of high allergenic food, such as nuts and shellfish and immune supporting. Our menu allows for individualization of meals according to dietary needs, include texture restriction, such as minced and pureed diets and renal diets.

Each year, God's Love continues to grow to meet the demand. Last year alone, we delivered nearly 2 million meals to over 8,200 men, women and children living with severe illnesses throughout the New York City metropolitan area. Including 5,181 New York City older adults who received over 1.2 million meals from God's Love.

As New York City's population ages, senior New Yorkers are increasingly relying on God's Love We Deliver for meals to meet their specific medical needs. There is a service gap in the current DFTA

2.2

2.3

2 model for providing home delivered meals for severely
3 ill seniors who need customized nutrition.

Of the 1.1 million older adults living in New York City, 93 percent report not having enough food to eat and 32 percent indicate that they live alone. In addition, people are also getting sicker. 28 percent report having diabetes, 12 percent indicate that they are living with COPD and 65 percent report having high blood pressure.

These factors combined with the increasing amount of ADL limitation that occur as a person ages demonstrates a current and increasing need for medically tailored food and nutrition.

Despite receiving referrals from the Department for the Aging, we have no direct contractual relationship with DFTA and are not reimbursed for the meals we provide to those that they refer to us.

Furthermore, despite our advocacy efforts, DFTA did not include medically tailored meals in its 2020 RFP.

God's Love is currently serving New Yorkers living in every zip code throughout the five boroughs and we have enclosed a table reflecting our services for older adults by New York City zip code, which

2.2

2.3

2 reflects an existing and growing need for medically
3 tailored meals.

Accordingly, we respectfully ask the Department for the Aging to include funding for medically tailored citywide or issue a separate RFP specifically for medically tailored meals for older adults living with life altering illnesses.

Thank you.

WESLEY DAVIS: Good afternoon Chair Chin. My name is Wesley Davis and I serve as the Assistant Manager of the NYRR Striders program and New York Road Runners.

Thank you for this opportunity to testify before the Committee on Aging on the FY 2021 Preliminary Budget. New York Road Runners mission is to help and inspire people through running. We achieve our mission by creating running and fitness opportunities and programming for people of all ages and all abilities.

While New York Road Runners is best known for producing the TCS New York City marathon and our free school based programs, our organization is also a dedicated provider of free community programming for parks in all five boroughs of New York City.

2.2

2.3

In 2019, our weekly senior walk in program NY
Strider operated in 38 unique senior and community
centers throughout the city and our free other free
program and resources like our walk in one on one
workshops coordinated in partnerships with New York
City's Department for the Aging combined to touch the
lives of over 2,500 older adults and seniors
throughout New York City.

Maintaining and increasing access to free health and fitness services is imperative for the wellbeing of our city's seniors and the people who call them friends, parents, grandparents and loved ones.

The US Department of Health and Human Services recognizes physical activity as a critical for both preventing and treating many chronic conditions that effect people of all ages and abilities.

There is an abundance of evidence that active older adults are less likely to suffer from falls and that walking is an easy way to help seniors enjoy better quality of life and live independently for longer.

Additionally, walking programs in walkable communities are good for social connectedness, good for business and good for the environment. NYRR,

2.2

2.3

respectfully asks the New York City Council to consider a request of \$100,000 to support our free health services to over 2,500 seniors in all five boroughs through the Health Aging Initiative during the 2021 Fiscal Year.

Thank you for your time.

NANCY JENKINS: Good day all. My name is Nancy Jenkins, I am a participant in the Road Runners Striders program. This program is phenomenal, especially for older people like myself. As walking has done for me, I used to be on two high blood pressure pills. Since taking it, I'm only on one. Thank God.

It has helped me mentally because on the loss of a loved one, I have people to walk with and walking and talking really helps you with your depression and outreach and telling the ladies and gentlemen's that I was walking with what I was going through, they said, listen, you are not alone and that is very important when you are older. Because you do feel alone being that you are getting older and you are losing loved ones.

This program also inspired my children. By them seeing me walking and doing things, I had a

2.2

granddaughter do a 5k on the same day 1 did a 5k in
the Percy Sutton walk. I also had another
granddaughter do a 10K with my daughter and her
daughters are now doing track and gymnastics. So,
this program is very, very important to my family I
know and the ones that I walk with. And it also
inspired me to say — it makes me say that I don't
want to be a burden to my children and I do not want
to be in a nursing home.

So, this program is very important. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Thank you. I am glad you are fit and strong. So, lets get this program to senior centers all across the city. Walking is great and I wanted to thank all the advocates and for your great work and your advocacy.

We're going to be working very hard on this budget. I know that the home delivered meal program did not include medical meals and we have expressed that to DFTA and it's such a critical program. And so, I think that we still got a long way to go to fight for the resources that older adults deserves.

So, thank you all for our great work again and thank you for being here today.

2.2

2.3

Okay, anyone else that wants to testify, please make sure you sign up with the Sergeant. Carmen Perez Cooper Square Committee NORC, Melissa Sklarz from SAGE, Jim O'Neill from New York Junior Tennis and Learning and Lucy Sexton from New Yorkers for Cultures and Arts.

Please begin.

JIM O'NEILL: Good afternoon Madam Chairman. My name Jim O'Neill, I am the Director of Government Relations and External Affairs for the New York Junior Tennis and Learning. I am here today in support of the Council's Healthy Aging Initiative. An initiative designed to do a number of things, including promote healthy behavior such as physical activity.

As you know, NYJTO is the most successful and largest scholastic tennis program in the country and you have supported our programs Madam Chairman for so many years, which we greatly appreciate.

Next year will be our 50th anniversary in New York City and we're in every singe district of New York City serving over 85,000 youngsters. More recently however, we have begun to expand our outreach and programming to seniors in the Bronx.

2.2

During this winter, we have offered free three hour programs that promote tennis health and wellness at our flagship facility in the Bronx, the Cary Leeds Center for Tennis and Education.

This \$12 million facility is a public private product of the city and during the day where we have underutilized courts, we've been providing free programs where we bus seniors into this beautiful facility. This is a magnificent facility that's won also awards. They come and have a wonderful light breakfast, they get on the tennis court, we have health coaches there and we are promoting tennis as a lifestyle for fitness. We have some of the best coaches here. Tennis is a sport that promotes health. It's a preventive measure, it's fighting heart disease etc. We've got great research that indicates that it promoted tremendous health.

So, we're asking the Council to renew funding for their initiative, the healthy aging initiative, and with those dollars, NYJTO would provide an outreach primarily to the Bronx, where we would expand this program and bring in more seniors. We greatly appreciate your support for these programs.

2.2

MELISSA SKLARZ: Good afternoon, my name is
Melissa Sklarz, I am the SAGE Senior Government
Relations Strategist. Thank you, Chairperson Chin
and your intrepid Committee Council.

SAGE is the country's first and largest oldest organization dedicated to improving the lives of LGBT elders. Right now, we have five SAGE centers across New York City providing comprehensive social services programs to more than 5,000 LGBT elders.

LGBT elders are a significant part of our growing older population often invisible, disconnected from services and severely isolated. They are half as likely to be partnered, twice as likely to live alone and more than four times less likely to have kids.

Because of these networks, LGBT elder people provide more on service providers and yet, in many of these providers, there is still discrimination from staff and from their fellow older people and so, there's discrimination with healthcare, social services, other programs and so, elder LGBT turn to SAGE.

Last year, we opened our first LGBT friendly senior affordable housing in Brooklyn. In Fort Greene this year we're hoping to do the same in the

2.2

Bronx. We will be opening large extensive SAGE
centers in each. The one in Brooklyn will have 8,500
square feet. The one in the Bronx will have over
10,000 square feet. These will be the largest SAGE
centers in the city. They will have daily healthy
meals, case management, support service, life
enhancing medical and legal references, workshops and
not only will they be open to the residents but they
will also be open to all the elders within the
neighborhood.

I'm here today to ask for restoration of \$1.2 million of the Council Initiative funding to support our service. LGBT centers in the Bronx, Harlem, Brooklyn, Staten Island. I'm here to request a restoration of \$150,000 to support our citywide network of SAGE centers for program and enhancement initiative and finally, I'm asking for a restoration of \$100,000 to provide support in care management to our diverse SAGE elders constituency in all five of our SAGE centers.

CARMEN PEREZ: Hi, my name is Carmen Perez and I am Director of the Cooper Square Committee

Neighborhood NORC program and I'm certainly happy to be here to testify in support of NORC's and

2.2

2.3

neighborhood NORC's throughout the city and I'd also like to thank the City Council for supporting the NORC program. Because of the Council's enthusiasm for this program, we have been able to bring resources and attention to the needs of a rapidly large and growing elder population.

Our NORC program, thanks to the generosity of the City Council and of course the Department for the Aging has allowed us to provide the following services of health, legal, benefits planning, case management, home visits and social and recreational events.

We serve approximately 500 unduplicated seniors per year. However, we are certainly talking about the budget in terms of parity with our sister organizations and senior centers. It's just not quite the same.

In a budget year where Medicaid deficit is front and center, it is important to remember that NORC programs serve residents on a relatively small budget while helping defer more substantial costs to the Medicaid system.

So, by keeping us around, we keep seniors healthy as well as safe a little on dollars for

2.2

2.3

hospitalization and of course nursing home care. So, investing in NORC's can definitely help limit these increased costs to the Medicaid system and also, the nursing component in the NORC program is extremely important. Nurses would provide services that otherwise just wouldn't exist in their own community, such as medication education, diabetes testing, flu shots, mobility and balance screenings.

Unfortunately, the city's NORC's have been struggling with chronic low staff salaries as contracts have not allowed for meaningful rises in many years.

So, what we're asking for is an additional \$1 million for the NORC program and another \$1.3 million for nursing. So, finally we ask the Council to work with the Administration to ensure salary parity for the NORC's, as well as competitive salary for nursing. Older adults in New York City rely on these services to remain healthy, stably housed and without these services, their options for receiving appropriate community based care would be greatly diminished. And it maintains viability while preserving the integrity of the community.

2.2

2.3

continued support.

So, in closing, we at Cooper Square are in

agreement with our general NORC communities and hope

that we can definitely have the funding in place so

that our programs can run efficiently and

sufficiently for a healthy perspective for our

seniors. And again, thank you for your time and your

LUCY SEXTON: Good morning Chair Chin and City

Council Members. Thank you for allowing me to

testify. My name is Lucy Sexton, I'm the Head of the

Cultural Advocacy Group, New Yorkers for Culture and

Arts. A coalition working to ensure that every New

Yorker has the right and opportunity to engage in

culture, express their humanity and strengthen their

community.

I am also a SU CASA teaching artist, which is one of the joys and honors of my life. Two years ago, I worked at the CPC Open Door Center in Chinatown.

Today, I'm working at Project Find Clinton on West 55th Street. The people in my classes get to dance, tell stories from their lives, create and perform their own theater pieces.

In response to a question, when in your life have you felt the most loved? A Cantonese speaking

2.2

2.3

gentleman cried as he said that he had been working

in factories since he was 14 and doing these classes

with this group of people at Open Door Center was the

most loving and happiest time of his life.

I recently asked a group of women I am working with to tell me a story from their childhood, astoundingly, each woman's story involved waking up early to travel to get water for their family. I had no idea they were not born here and most importantly they didn't know that they shared these stories in their past.

I tell these stories, not just because they are powerful and moving, but because they are the meat on the bones of irrefutable data. When seniors are involved in the arts, they live longer, happier lives. Culture is a critical piece of elder care, a proven supporter of physical and mental health.

Speaking of health, it is often the gardening, painting and dance and music classes that get seniors coming to the centers and it's in the centers that they get access to healthcare information. That they are seen by others who can direct them to care if they are getting sick. I don't need to remind us how

2.2

2.3

2 important this is during this frightening time and 3 that's of particular danger to seniors.

And particularly those who are in economically vulnerable communities depend on even more on the connection that culture and art classes in our senior center provides.

So, I encourage you to fight for access to culture in arts for every senior in our city. By supporting culture, your supporting better education, better aging, improved mental health, stronger communities and a city that respects the dignity and humanity of all of its citizens.

Thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Thank you all for your testimony and we really appreciate the work that you do to support our seniors. The cultural program, we were at an event where we you know, seen all the seniors singing and dancing and I did talk to people about the story about the senior at Open Door.

LUCY SEXTON: That gentleman yeah. He is amazing.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Yeah, it's just really moving that they had the opportunity to really open up and SAGE and Cooper Square. You guys, we're going to

2.2

2.3

have a senior housing in my district that is also

LGBT friendly. Hopefully we'll get that done soon

and thank you all for being here and for your great

work that you are doing, and in the next couple of

months, got to continue to do that advocacy to make

sure that in the Executive Budget, we have some good

news and we got to continue to make sure that we get

the resources to support our older adults.

Thank you.

LUCY SEXTON: Thank you Chairwoman Chin.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Our last panel, Dr. Cynthia

Maurer from the Visiting Neighbors, Sandra Christian

from Riseboro Community Partnership. Oh, just give

it to the Sergeant, they will take care of it.

Heidi Siegfried from Center for Independence of the disabled CIDNY. Anyone else that wants to testify? Okay, thank you.

Hi, you can begin. Thank you.

HEIDI SIEGFRIED: Good afternoon, my name is

Heidi Siegfried. I am the Director of Health Policy

at Center for Independence of the Disabled of New

York CIDNY and I am here to request City funding for

the Long-Term Care Ombudsman program which was

created pursuant to the Older Americans Act.

2.2

2.3

The mission of the New York State Long-Term Care Ombudsman program LTCOP, is to serve as an advocate and resource for older adults and people with disabilities who reside in long-term care facilities such as, nursing homes, assisted living, and board and care homes. LTCOP is also charged with identifying systemic issues and addressing them through advocacy so that the state may address these issues and prevent related problems in the future.

The state program is one of the biggest in the country but it lacks funding. 61 percent of other states have a higher paid staff to resident ratio New York. The state has more long-term care resident than almost any state in the country yet, is 45th out of 50 in terms of percentage of state funding for Ombudsman services.

The number of paid staff is only 50 percent of the recommended minimum number established by the Institute of Medicine. An alarming number of residents do not receive routine visits and programs and we are simply unable to maintain a regular presence in our long-term care facilities.

So, just to explain the program a little bit, we have five borough managers but then it's really short

2.2

2.3

of volunteer based program and we recruit volunteers
who take 36 hours of training and become certified
and they are assigned to have a regular presence in
the nursing homes.

So, New York City LICOP is a lifeline for more than 55,000 residents residing in the 244 long term care facilities throughout the five boroughs. 134 nursing homes and over 30,000 residents do not receive routine visits due to the inadequate resources dedicated by the state to the program. New York City has one staff position for every 8,800 beds, less than 25 percent of the recommended level.

Instead of five paid ombudsman in the field, we should have if we were fully staffed according to the institute of medicine recommendations have over 25 paid staff.

So, that's why we're coming to the city to urge that you help us to remedy the dangerous level of underfunding for Long-Term Care Ombudsman program by adding \$1 million in resources and this would enable us to add the staff to ensure that residents receive more frequent visits.

And especially now, whats happening with the coronavirus, we've received a list of nursing

2.2

2.3

facilities that have really bad grades on infection
control. So, we're really trying to focus on that in
particular and we also uncover a lot of problems with
improper discharges, it sometimes discharges the
shelter. Some of our Ombud's people, they actually
represent people in fair hearings to stop improper
discharges to shelter

We also see psychotropic drugging that improper. So, we identify these things and report them to the Department of Health so they can be investigated better.

So, it's a really important program and we have seen that other localities do provide funding to the program, so and I understand that Suffolk County is a locality in New York that does. So, that's why we're looking to see if the City might dedicate some funding to the program.

So, I got to go over because they didn't put the timer on.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: That's okay, since you guys are the last panel. Thank you.

SANDRA CHRISTIAN: Hi, my name is Sandra

Christian. I am the Vice President of Seniors at
Riseboro Community Partnership. I don't have

2.2

2.3

testimony because I wasn't sure if I could get out of my Meals on Wheels program this morning to get here.

So, I want to thank the Council Member Chin for your advocacy for model budgets, for case management, social adult day, it's very appreciated.

Additionally, we look forward to building in partnership your senior housing in your community.

We run about 1,600 meals per day in our Meals on

Wheels program. We are one of the few programs that chose when RFP's were last issued to cook most of those meals ourselves and we partner with three other community based agencies to provide culturally sensitive meals.

This budget that has been, we believe in all the aspects of the RFP, we think it's a great way to be offering choices in where we should be going but in all the focus groups that we had, we expressed that you couldn't do these things without funding.

So, if I'm looking at my budget minimally, just the minimal cost to provide the choice options and enhance the food, it would cost \$0.54 per meal in addition to the \$9.58. There is also other costs that aren't covered.

2.2

2.3

We lost last year, well, this year, we're projecting about a \$200,000 loss, which for a nonprofit that's struggling to support senior services is difficult. Last year it was more. Thanks to LiveOn and Group Purchasing, we think that we've brought down some of that cost. Group purchasing is great, it doesn't always meet the local purchasing, food purchasing goals of this RFP. In fact, we've tried to do some of that and Local Farms could not provide produce for a Meals on Wheels program to meet our numbers. Senior centers yes, but not in Meals on Wheels.

Additionally, the model budgets that went in the most recent one that went into kitchen staff went to senior center kitchen staff, which is wonderful.

Meals on Wheels kitchen staff did not get that model budget funding, so we have to increase to meet those salaries, which causes further deficit.

So, we really appreciate the advocacy and we believe that at minimal the one time cost need to be baselined for the RFP and that's on Meals on Wheels, that's it. I just want to make one comment about social adult day. The DFTA discretionary social adult day programs are critical. They are

2.2

2.3

underfunded and the services they provide are to seniors who really need social adult day, in comparison to the pop up senior center programs. And when you're talking about cuts and cuts on the Medicaid level, on the state, how have we increased those costs by having seniors who could go to our senior centers, going to these social adult day programs that get reimbursed at a much higher rate.

Thank you for your time.

DR. CYNTHIA MAURER: Last but not least. With a big impact. First of all, I want to say thank you for the opportunity. Forgive me, my throat is still a little off but I want to make a point about that.

Last week, I had no voice and I tried to do
things over the phone and twice was hung up on
because the automated systems was like, we didn't
hear your response. And then, when I actually went
into a pharmacy and I had no voice trying to reach
somebody to talk to who was like literally standing
right near me, they basically were oblivious and I'm
flapping. So, imagine a senior who can't necessarily
have a voice or their voice is weaker. Plus, I found
that people talk over you when your voice is a lot
less.

2.2

2.3

So, we want to first of all thank the Council on behalf of all of the clients and the impacts that we have. At least 1,000 people in the course of a year and many more who have been effected by the support of your funding for us. You are our hero Margaret Chin and the Council is our champion and we need you to continue to be so.

We know we're preaching to a choir but we need that choir to sing. We need your voice strong, so we can have a strong voice for those who can't. We work with people 60 on up to 105 is our oldest right now. We have a strong growing centenarian population and we want to be there for them. We take walks, get them out, socialize because they should be part of our community just as anyone else.

We all want to be treated with dignity and respect. We all want to be able to safe crossing the street and today, with people on cellphones not paying attention half the time when they're walking. People have been knocked down, bikes too, big issue there.

So, safely helping get people get out. Safely having people connect with others. Safely having them have information that they can communicate with

2.2

2.3

their doctors. A lot of our seniors don't have necessarily good conversations with their doctors and are afraid to ask. The white coat syndrome, they are the expert, why should I ask and we make them make it clear. You need to communicate with your doctors, ask questions.

We are also a pair of eyes and ears when a senior goes into a hospital. Make sure somebody knows that somebody else is watching. It makes a big difference.

Basically, we're here to keep our older adults independent, safe, at home, in the community, engaged, connected, mentally stimulated. We couldn't do that without your funding. Through the initiatives, through the discretionary funds, it all makes a difference. It all keeps us being a lifeline for those people who absolutely would have nowhere to go and they are not going to senior centers because the vast majority of our clients can't get out.

Quicks statistic, 75 percent of our clients are over 80, 33 percent are over 90, 98 percent cannot afford to pay for any of these services. And so, there you have it and 90 percent of our clients are living alone and it's hard to imagine a city like

2.3

ours where anyone could feel alone but when you know, don't know who to trust and you don't know how to make those connections and friendships. That's what we're here for, to help make those connections and keep people out of the hospital and keep them informed and let them know their rights and that's what we want to thank you for and we need you to continue to be our champion and sing for us, so that our seniors can go anywhere and have a voice behind them.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Thank you Cynthia and thank you all. Cynthia, the seniors that you work with, do some of them get Meals on Wheels or the homecare services?

DR. CYNTHIA MAURER: Some of them get Meals on Wheels. Some of them get homecare services. Most fall through the cracks and they have just enough to get through day to day but not enough to be Medicaid eligible.

22 CHAIRPERSON CHIN: No, this is not the Medicaid.

DR. CYNTHIA MAURER: Oh, you're talking about just in general.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: The NYFSC program.

2.2

DR. CYNTHIA MAURER: Yeah, some of them
participate. Some of them, I'll be honest, don't
love the meals but you also have to be motivated to
eat them. That the other piece and if you're
depressed, you can put something in front of
somebody, it doesn't nearly make them going to eat it
but yeah, some of them do participate. We work with
many other agencies and to be able to coordinate
programs, including getting around the city, which
isn't always easy either.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: I mean your program is definitely wonderful and we've been allocating with DFTA. This should be a regularly city funded program.

DR. CYNTHIA MAURER: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: And not just on you know, volunteers.

DR. CYNTHIA MAURER: It's also caregivers and if we're all lucky, we're going to become an elderly person and I mean elderly for me, it has a different definition, it's 85 plus. So, it's not the younger set but the young, they are now calling the oldest old, super seniors because you make it to a 100, you are a super senior. But the youngsters, the junior

2.2

2.3

seniors, the 50 to the 59 that AARP they are getting set. We need some advice on information to about what to prepare because one thing we hear over and over again from our 80 year old's, I didn't expect I'd have to relearn. I didn't expect that things wouldn't come you know just easily for me. I had this idea of what retirement was and we're not prepared as a society, plus it's a huge age category. It goes from a huge span; you can't just put it all in one category. Things happen in different points and time and also lock in circumstance and how much of a support system we have.

We are friends to our seniors. That's what we were described as a group that came in to do an analysis of how would you describe Visiting

Neighbors. We're the best friend and our council so far has been our best friend. So, thank you and thank you Margaret.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Thank you all. I mean, thank you to all of you for the great work. As I said to all the panels, advocacy continues and we, the City of New York, let's talk about how the city can provide some support especially when the nursing home facilities and, in our city, we want to make sure

2.2

2.3

that the seniors there are also getting support and are protected.

DR. CYNTHIA MAURER: Just to let you know, Scott Stringer the Comptroller, has also been looking at this issue and he is supposed to be releasing a report soon but he is also supporting the idea there should be additional funding, so we're hopeful.

Also, one other thing, we have stories, stories after stories, if that helps and you need stories to back up what you need to do, we can express it and those stories are what makes us unique. Each individual counts, each individual counts.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: We appreciate that. We definitely would work with you on that because I think you heard from the Commissioner earlier, it's the whole agism and we have to really fight against that. Because everybody is going to get there, we are blessed to be an older adult.

DR. CYNTHIA MAURER: And to be healthy and to be in your own home.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Yes, great, thank you again all for being here today. The Preliminary Budget hearing for Fiscal Year 2021 for the Department for the Aging Committee is adjourned. [GAVEL]

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date April 1, 2018