CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

----- X

March 11, 2020 Start: 10:30 a.m. Recess: 11:23 a.m.

HELD AT: COUNCIL CHAMBERS - CITY HALL

B E F O R E: Francis P. Moya

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS: Francis P. Moya

Barry Grodenchik
Rory I. Lancman
Stephen T. Levin
Antonio Reynoso
Donovan J. Richards

Carlina Rivera

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

James O'Sullivan

Pete Janosek

Lisa Deller

Nick Hawkins

Tom Lieberman

Rachel Scong

Chris Fogarty

Laura Burnback

Amanda Van Duran

Candace Clemmons

Adam Westrick

Richard Lobell

4

5

6

1

20

O'Sullivan.

15

16

17

18

19

21 2.2

23

24

welcome to the meeting of the Subcommittee on Zoning and Franchises. I'm Council Member Francisco Moya, the chairperson of the subcommittee. Today we are joined by Council Members Grodenchik, Lancman, and Rivera. If you are here to testify please fill a speaker slip with the Sergeant at Arms, indicating your full name, the application name or LU number, and whether you are in favor or against the proposal. We will begin this meeting with our hearings. We will now hear LU 645, an application by Sean Og Enterprises LLC, for a renewal of a revocable consent for an unclosed sidewalk cafe located at 60-02 Woodside Avenue in Queens in Council Member Van Bramer's district. The proposed sidewalk cafe would consist of 15 tables and 30 chairs. I now open the

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Good morning, and

JAMES O'SULLIVAN: Correct.

up the first panel. Pete Janosek and James

public hearing on this application. And we will call

PETE JANOSEK: Good morning.

JAMES O'SULLIVAN: Good morning.

PETE JANOSEK: I'm Pete Janosek.

meter.

name and raise your right hand. Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth and you will answer all questions truthfully?

UNIDENTIFIED: Yes.

UNIDENTIFIED: Yes, sir.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you.

PETE JANOSEK: Hi, I'm Pete Janosek from SWA Architects and he is James O'Sullivan from the restaurant, Sean Og. We're here today to request a renewal of Sean Og Enterprises doing business as Sean Og's, an 11-year old unenclosed sidewalk cafe with 15 tables and 30 chairs, located at 6002 Woodside Avenue in Council Member Van Bramer's district in Queens. Ah, I just want to make sure that the committee is aware that the, the restaurant has been around for 21 years. Ah, the cafe has been around for 11 years. The cafe has grandfathered status after the fact. A couple years ago the city had installed various other items on the sidewalk, namely trees, um, bike rack, um, lamp post, and, um, what was the other thing?

JAMES O'SULLIVAN: There was a muni

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

anything?

1

the cafe is grandfathered from that, and like we have, ah, distributed to you like copies of the plans, showing the original cafe, and we have added the, um, items that I just mentioned onto the, the plans just to show, but we just want to make sure that you are aware that this is a grandfathered status. Um, and also the bike rack was removed. We also have like given the committee like copies of petitions from people in the neighborhood and patrons supporting the application of this cafe. deference to the community board who brought up issue the sidewalk cafe will willingly close, ah, actually open, not open until 11:00 a.m. in the morning, which is like three hours later than they would normally have been allowed to open up, um, and if there is any questions, if you want to, James, you want to add

PETE JANOSEK: And muni meters.

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

JAMES O'SULLIVAN: I'd just like to say that we're a small business. We own two businesses in Woodside, Queens, like my representative said, we've been there 21 years and, um, we employee between 20 and 30 local people and right now we're

finding business tough and we, this sidewalk cafe is 2 3 a very important part of our business.

4

5 very much for your testimony today. OK, thank you.

6

7

Just push the button, no, it's OK, you can just push

I'd like to call up the next panel, ah, Lisa Deller.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you, thank you

LISA DELLER: Oh, OK. Ah, good morning,

8

the button, yep.

9

my name is Lisa Deller and I am the, ah, chair of the

11

10

land use committee of Community Board 2 and our

12

committee has been working on this application since

13

December. In December we were notified by the

14

Department of Consumer Affairs that there was, ah, an

16

15

application pending for renewal of the sidewalk cafe.

17

land use committee meeting, which we always do to

Ah, we requested that the application come to our

18

discuss the renewal, and they did in December. And

19

what we noted in December is there were, um, a

20

significant number of obstructions that weren't noted

21

on their plan and so there was now, um, you know, a

2.2

tree pit, a muni meter, which you can, there's some

2.3

photographs in your package on they last page.

24

you can see the tree and the muni meter right

25

adjacent to that. And, ah, and then further on down

25

2 there's a streetlight. But anyway, um, so there were these obstructions that, ah, weren't noted at the 3 4 time on the plan. They just said it was, ah, renewal of existing condition. And so, um, we asked them for a revised plan, ah, to make the pedestrian walkway, 6 ah, wider between the cafe barrier and the tree pit and the muni meter, um, and we asked them come back 8 to the land use meeting and January and they said they weren't ready to come back, and then we invited 10 11 them to come in February and they said they had a 12 family vacation. And in the meantime at the end of 13 February we received from Department of Consumer Affairs the revocable consent approval of that 14 15 application and so, um, you know, with that, um, I am 16 grateful for the council person for referring it, um, 17 to your committee because what we had asked them is the revised plan, which we never saw. Um, what they 18 did was, ah, a week or so ago they sent us revision 19 20 which increased the number of tables and chairs and 21 moved them to Woodside Avenue from 60th Street and so 2.2 our request for them to come back to the land use 2.3 committee community board so the community board can be in dialogue with them about, you know, making that 24

area as pedestrian-friendly as they possibly can.

2 I think with that.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

I think with that, um, do you have any questions?

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: I'd like to bring up Laura Burnback. Laura? Oh, got it. Ah, are there any other members of the public who wish to testify? Seeing none, I now close the public health on this application, and it will be laid over, but I just want to say that I would recommend to the applicant that you take the community board's, ah, testimony and requests here seriously and commit to attending their next scheduled meeting. Now we will begin with our votes and I first want to note that LU 626 and 628 and 629 is being laid over. We will also vote to approve with modifications LUs number 641, 642 for the 52nd Street rezoning proposal related to property in Council Member Van Bramer's district in Queens. The application includes a zoning map amendment to change a R5B district to an R7A C2-3 district and a related zoning text amendment to establish a mandatory inclusionary housing area utilizing options 1 and 2. These actions would facilitate the development of an eight-story mixed use building with approximately 61 dwelling units, ground floor commercial space, and 33 residential and 13

1

commercial parking spaces. A modification will be to 2 3 remove MIH option 2 and retain option 1. Council 4 Member Van Bramer is in support of this proposal as 5 modified. Today we will also vote to approve LUs number 643 and 644 for the 90 Sand Street rezoning 6 proposal relating to property in Council Member 7 8 Levin's district in Brooklyn. The application includes a proposed zoning map amendment to change an M1 and M1-6 and an M1-6 R10 special mixed use 10 11 district and a related zoning text amendment to 12 establish a mandatory inclusionary housing area 13 utilizing options 1 and 2. These actions would facilitate the conversation of an existing 29-story 14 15 building to accommodate approximately 305 supported 16 units and 202 affordable housing units. Council 17 Member Levin is in support of this proposal. 18 call to vote to approve LUs 643, 644, and to approve

with modifications that I have described, and now

counsel, ah, I'm sorry, and LUs 641 and 642.

22 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Chair Moya.

23 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Aye.

counsel please call the roll.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Council Member Levin.

24

19

20

21

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING 11 AND FRANCHISES		
2	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Um, with		
3	congratulations to the applicant, ah, I'm very		
4	excited to be approving this, ah, this application.		
5	Um, 200 supportive, ah, 240 affordable housing units		
6	and 300 supportive housing units is a, will make a		
7	major impact on, um, on our fight to, ah, to address		
8	homelessness here in New York City and provide		
9	affordable housing, ah, for the most vulnerable New		
10	Yorkers and so I'm enthusiastically in support and I		
11	vote aye on all.		
12	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Council Member		
13	Lancman.		
14	COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Aye.		
15	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Council Member		
16	Reynoso.		
17	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Aye.		
18	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Council Member		
19	Grodenchik.		
20	COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: Aye.		
21	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Council Member		
22	Rivera.		
23	COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Aye.		
24	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: A vote of 6 in the		

affirmative, zero in the negative, and no

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 abstentions. The items are approved. The vote will remain open.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: We will now hear a preconsidered LU item for the 50 Old Fulton Street rezoning proposal under the ULURP number C190011ZMK relating to property in Council Member Levin's district in Brooklyn. The applicant seeks approval of a zoning map amendment to change an M2-1 to an M1-5 to facilitate the construction of a five-story commercial office building within property controlled by the applicant in the Fulton Ferry neighborhood. In addition to the applicant's property, the proposed rezoning would affect, ah, the adjacent lot to the east and a small portion of the adjacent lot to the west. The rezoning would increase the maximum, ah, FAR for a commercial or industrial use from 2 to 5 and would allow greater flexibility with regards to allowable retail uses. I now open the public hearing on this application. I will call up the first panel, which is Rachel Scong, Nick, is it Nick? Hawkers, Hawkins, sorry. Ah, Tom Lieberman, and Chris Fogarty.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Please raise your right hand and say your name for the record.

2 NICK H

2.2

2.3

NICK HAWKINS: Nick Hawkins.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Do you swear...

RACHEL SCONG: Rachel Scong.

affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, and that you will answer all questions truthfully?

NICK HAWKINS: Yes.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you.

I'm one of the principles of Old West Old Fulton LLC, the applicant for the rezoning. I'm here today with my partner, Adam Westra, our zoning attorneys, and our architect. Adam and I own and operate seven buildings in Brooklyn. They're a mix of residential, commercial, and industrial buildings. We have developed and renovated all of these buildings. We are not mega developers that drop hundreds of units in one location, but instead are trying to build and blend into the neighborhood. We purchased 50 Old Fulton Street in November 2016 with the intention of developing this site for retail office use. We are pursuing the rezoning because we know that we can

1 AND FRANCHISES

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

develop something exciting at this site while still respecting the history of the neighborhood. We want people coming to the area and to say what a nice neighborhood instead of a lot cars parked on the sidewalk. Just personally, I happen to like to walk through neighborhoods to get the flavor and the history of each neighborhood. And for years, about 25 years, that I walked past here I always wanted to be part of, um, helping to improve this site and, um, I'm happy to be able in the future to have an

opportunity to do that. Thank you very much.

RACHEL SCONG: Good morning. My name is Rachel Scong and I'm an associate at Greenburg Trife. We represent LS Old Fulton LLC, the applicant seeking these rezoning, um, a portion of block 202 in Brooklyn, um, right where Old Fulton Street, um, meets the BQE and the Brooklyn Bridge, from an M2-1 to an M1-5 zoning district. The current, um, the current zoning in the area, M2-1 zoning district, um, as you can see here. The current, um, the current zoning in the area, M2-1 zoning district, allows for up to two FAR commercial and manufacturing. Ah, the proposed rezoning includes our client's site, 50 Old Fulton Street, shown here in the red outline, um, an

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

approximately 6600 square feet site improved with a one-story auto body shop. Um, that auto body shop is still operating and their lease runs through the end of this year. And the proposed rezoning also encompasses 60 Old Fulton Street to the east, lot 18 on this map, improved with an auto body shop as well. The rezoning areas current M2-1 zoning district was put in place in 1961 when Brooklyn's waterfront was largely industrial. Since that time this area has changed significantly and it's now largely commercial and Old Fulton Street as a main pedestrian connection between downtown Brooklyn and Brooklyn Heights and Brooklyn Bridge Park. In addition, the nearby Watchtower buildings are being converted to office use and nearby DUMBO has been transformed into a mixed use area with retail office and residential uses. And as you can see by these images the auto body uses that are really a vestige of this old, um, industrial use of the area just do not mix with the current pedestrian use of the area. There are a lot of cars, a lot of cars, that you'll see from the images, and it's hardly pedestrian-friendly. Also, what you see in this image, in the left here, you have the, the Watchtower buildings, um, and then, ah,

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING

AND FRANCHISES

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

going through, you have the tall street infrastructure here, the Brooklyn Bridge, the BQE, and then in the bottom right there you see the Eagle warehouse building as well, another, um, building that's between 88 and 98 feet tall. We worked hard with the Department of City Planning to propose a zoning district that could promote appropriate development here. The proposed M1-5 zoning district would allow 5 FAR commercial and manufacturing use, which includes office and local retail uses. It will not allow big box retail or residential uses and hotels would only be permitted with a special permit. We believe that the building envelope which would allow five stories, two levels of retail and three levels of office above, ah, permitted by the M1-5 district, would be consistent with the other buildings in the area like the Eagle loft building, the Watchtowers buildings, and the surrounding elevated infrastructure of the BQE and the Brooklyn Bridge. We believe that the proposed rezoning will allow for redevelopment of this area for a more cohesive commercial street frontage stretching between the Brooklyn waterfront and the Brooklyn

Bridge promenade by activating this portion of Old

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Fulton Street. Anyone approaching Fulton Ferry from the east passing under the BQE overpass currently emerges into what should be a welcoming gateway to a bustling commercial neighborhood and instead they are forced to walk through all the cars that are parked outside of the existing auto body shops. proposed rezoning would allow redevelopment of the development site with active pedestrian uses and a new building that would fit into its surrounding context while also bringing new office jobs to the neighborhood. According to the projections in our environmental assessment statement and done according to the Seeker Manual, redevelopment of the two sites in the proposed rezoning area with three floors of office each would create 154 new office jobs. Last week the City Planning Commission approved this application. However, the community board and borough president did not. We understand that remaining concerns fall mainly into two buckets. first is, um, that Fulton Ferry historic district, shown here, does extend to the Eagle warehouse building two buildings west of the development site. Um, LPC excluded the, the propose rezoning area and adjacent building from the historic district when it

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

was created in 1977. As part of our application the Landmarks Preservation Commission was notified of the proposed rezoning and reviewed portions of our environmental assessment statement and we worked with them on a restrictive declaration for our neighboring property for archeological reasons, and they have taken no action to include these sites in the proposed, err, in the existing historic district. However, we recognize the development site will be viewed in the context of the surrounding historic district and we have brought on a new architect since the time that we were at the community board and borough president, um, Fogarty Finger Architects um, and Chris Fogarty is here and will tell you more about his redesign of the building in just a minute. I'd also like to touch on the community's concerns regarding construction of the BQE. We understand that this is a pressing issue and we, too, would like to see a definitive plan for the BQE's reconstruction. As I'm sure you're aware, at the end of January the mayor signed an executive order based on his expert panel's conclusion that immediate repairs are needed to the existing BQE infrastructure and there is no time to plan for rerouting of the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

BOE. Instead, they recommended that these repairs be done and the 20-mile BQE corridor be looked at holistically. 50 Old Fulton Street is located more than 100 feet from the BOE and we believe there is plenty of public space between the site and the BQE infrastructure for staging for the immediate repairs that need to be done. In addition, nothing currently prohibits these sites from being redeveloped and we do not believe that a rezoning would change, would change their effect or would have any effect on the, on the reconstruction of the BQE or the repairs to the BQE. Looking at the 20-mile corridor holistically could take years and we do not believe that development should be frozen during this time. In addition, in 2010 the New York State Department of Transportation as part of the downtown Brooklyn BQE environmental impact statement studied a reconfiguration of the BQE that would steer the highway through the proposed rezoning area and consequently portions of DUMBO. According to the findings in the EIS this alignment would not be feasible or desirable as it would require too high a design of acquisition of private property and therefore the alignment was withdrawn from further

itself.

25

2 study. Finally, I'd like to say that we have had 3 community outreach with regard to a possible give-4 back to the local community. Between our community board and borough president's hearings we spoke with 5 representatives of the DUMBO Action Committee and the 6 7 Fulton Ferry Landing Association. One suggestion to 8 which we remain amenable would be to replant trees as 9 needed and maintain two medians on Old Fulton Street adjacent to the proposed rezoning area. Another is 10 11 to support lobbying efforts to add a second stair to 12 the York Street subway station or with regard to the 13 future plan for the BQE. Unfortunately, after the borough president published his recommendation the 14 15 Fulton Ferry Landing Association stated that they 16 were not interested in continuing conversations with 17 us regarding this application and we have not heard 18 from the DUMBO Action Committee. However, we remain open to continuing these conversations or with any 19 20 other local community organizations. As you heard, 21 my client is very excited about, ah, this rezoning 2.2 and the opportunity to develop this property and with 2.3 that I'm going to turn it over to Chris Fogarty of Fogarty Finger to tell you more about the building 24

1

2 CHRIS FOGARTY: Hello. My name is Chris 3 Fogarty. I am the director at Fogarty Finger 4 Architects. We are doing a number of office and residential buildings in Brooklyn. We are just finishing the, ah, Dime Bank Building, which is over 6 7 in Williamsburg, at the foot of the Williamsburg Bridge. Um, we're about to start a big office 8 building in downtown Brooklyn on Willoughby Square. Um, this is an exciting site. Ah, we took a step 10 11 back and looked at previous schemes for the site and 12 felt that what we needed was something a little bit 13 more, ah, that related to the, ah, warehouse, ah, look of DUMBO, and looking at some of these buildings 14 15 on, ah, Washington Street here and, ah, red brick and a kind of regular grid of windows and black metal and 16 17 how could we reinterpret that using, ah, say a more, 18 an old material like terra cotta. And if we look at the next slide, so this is our design for the 19 20 building. It's a terra cotta façade that's slatted, that allows a sort of modern office retail building 21 It has a black metal base for storefronts. 2.2 2.3 The storefronts could be divided into three or two or one, ah, retail units. Um, the office entrance is 24 25 closest to the, is to, to the east. And, ah, we

1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

think this is a very elegant proportioned building 2 3 and ties nicely into the same height as the Eagle 4 warehouse without being a sort of faux pastiche of traditional architecture. So we're very excited by 5 this project and, ah, it's a great, great suited site 6 7 for commercial office space. The floor plates are very regular and nice and, and this boutique office 8 9 market has really improved, especially all around this area and, and the clock tower buildings. 10 11 you.

RACHEL SCONG: We're happy to answer any questions.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Great, thank you.

Let's go back. Could you discuss the rationale, ah, behind the geography of the proposed rezoning area, ah, for example, included the BQE and beyond that to the south and the Poplar Street community gardens?

What are the practical, ah, effects to rezoning this areas.

RACHEL SCONG: So those areas would not be affected by what you see on the map. The reason it's drawn this way is that City Planning likes to keep their maps as neat as possible and they do that by drawing rezoning boundaries to the middle of

AND FRANCIIISES

2.2

2.3

streets, and so here where you have this, this strange street grid with so many streets intersecting the practical rezoning is really, um, what's on the block. But you wind up with this big swatch of rezoning area because you're to the middle of so many different streets. And we would be happy to work with you and City Planning to alter that, um, if it would make people more comfortable.

UNIDENTIFIED: Also, streets do not generate floor area, so there's, and neither do public parks. So there's, the M1-5 zoning has no impact on those areas.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Great. And do you know of any pending redevelopment plans for the property immediately to the east?

RACHEL SCRONG: We have spoken with them and they have no plans right now.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: OK, I'm gonna turn it over to Council Member Levin for some questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you, Chair.

Thank you very much for this presentation. Um, I

want to ask a little bit, what led you to pursue the

rezoning application as opposed to considering, um,

ah, doing a development under the current M2-1

SUBC	COMMITTEE	ON	ZONING
V VI D	EDVNUCTE	7 0	

2.2

2.3

zoning? Um, did you, do you have a scenario in which
that was the case, and, ah, why did you decide that
that was not appropriate?

RACHEL SCONG: So we felt that there was a good opportunity for office here in addition to retail given everything that's going on in the surrounding area. We are right across, ah, the Brooklyn Bridge from DUMBO, which has become a big office community, as well as what you have going on in the Watchtower buildings behind us, and then over in downtown Brooklyn, and we felt that this would give the opportunity to really tie all of that together.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: And that, and under the, ah, M2-1 that's not possible because?

RACHEL SCONG: Under the M2-1 it's just, um, it's because of the, there's less floor area. It wouldn't be, you wouldn't result in a feasible office space, um, given the size of the site even for boutique offices we were looking at full floor plates and to do full floor plates under the current zoning, it would result in only two floors.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Um-hmm. Um, so you mean that that's the entire lot size?

2

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

RACHEL SCONG: Yeah.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: You have a 2 FAR 3

and the M2-1, is that right? 4

5 RACHEL SCONG: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Um, and there's no community facility bonus or any kind of bonus that goes along with the M2-1?

RACHEL SCONG: With M2-1? No.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Sorry?

RACHEL SCONG: No, the M2-1 doesn't allow for community facility.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Um, the borough president's report recommended delaying the application until the Fulton Ferry historic district is expanded to include the property. Um, have you consider the relationship of your proposed building to the adjacent historic district, um, and do you support the expansion or extension of the historic district to include that property?

CHRIS FOGARTY: Ah, when we looked at the building and redesigned it we took that no board. think we would end up probably with a very similar that we're designing now, if it was in a historic district or not. Um, the, the landmarks

AND FRANCHISE:

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

themselves would not have an opinion about the height or they would just be concerned about how the building looked, not [inaudible]. So I don't think that would, ah, make an difference, and I think we would probably approach the building in the same way.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Um-hmm. Um, and in terms of the BQE, triple cantilever repair reconstruction work, I mean, it is obviously a concern, um, because this is a, um, ah, this is a developing scenario. Ah, we, ah, will have some short-term mitigation measures in place, but I think that there's a broad consensus that, ah, there needs to be a longer-term solution and, ah, what we've heard from the community is a concern that, um, that, ah, then any development here would potentially impede, um, work that may need to be done as part of that repair, or if the city were to, ah, need to acquire the property through eminent domain to, ah, be part of that, ah, repair or reconstruction, um, then, um, you know, the exercise of, of rezoning might not have been appropriate at the time because, um, ah, it would be eventually pre-empted by city or state action in terms of, um, acquisition of the properly. Um, have you looked into that and

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 considered that and how you think that that, ah, 3 would or could potentially impact your site?

RACHEL SCONG: Sure. We've, we've spent a lot of time looking into the different scenarios that were being proposed for the BQE and ones that have been proposed in the past. Um, from what we could tell the scenarios that have been out there and especially this one that was rejected back in 2010, what we see is that it would not be desirable for the BQE to go through this site because it wouldn't mean just going through this site in order to navigate this area, especially with the Brooklyn Bridge right there. What you would see is that the BQE would curve through DUMBO and so then you have a ton of takings and it's just not a desirable solution with the criteria that the state had previously been working under, and we assume that they still would not be looking to put the BQE right through the center of DUMBO as well. Um, we understand that there are a lot of what ifs, but we think that we have the opportunity to bring a beautiful building and a great amenity to this area now and we, we understand that there is some risk. However, we believe that risk is low.

2.2

2.3

2 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Um, what kind of 3 tenants do you envision having at this site?

TOM LIBERMAN: Ah, the tenants would be small office, um, um, tenants like architects, engineering firms, um, there's, there's, there is a need for this type of office. Instead of taking huge spaces in, in Manhattan, being in Brooklyn and in a great area that is nice and it's historical, people like that, so we hope that we could tap into that.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: In your, I'm, I'm sorry, the proposed, um, the proposed is to an M1-5 correct?

RACHEL SCONG: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Um, so an M1-5 full density build out is, what is that?

RACHEL SCONG: 5 FAR of commercial...

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: 5 FAR, so you go from a 2 to a 5, there's nothing in between a 2 and a 5, is that right?

RACHEL SCONG: There's nothing in between.

UNIDENTIFIED: Not in manufacturing districts, no.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Sorry.

2.2

2.3

2 UNIDENTIFIED: M districts go 1, 2, or 5,

3 | those are the options, or 10.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Right. Except in if, if a, a owner were to, um, you know, enter into a restricted declaration of some kind, ah, to limit the FAR below what's, um, allowed by, by zoning, is that correct?

UNIDENTIFIED: Ah, yes, you could a restricted [inaudible], sure.

any, ah, in, in, ah, intent to have light

manufacturing of any kind, um, you know, with 5 FAR

that's a lot of, um, that's a lot of floor area and,

um, presumably some of that, ah, could be useful to,

um, light manufacturers that are not necessarily able

to pay a full, um, ah, the full market rent for

office space in a place like Fulton Ferry Landing or

DUMBO. For example, if you look at, um, the IBZ

special permit in, ah, in Williamsburg, Green Point,

that at this point we've approved several of those

special permit applications. Um, there's a set-aside

in a, a 4.8, I believe, that, ah, 0.8 of that is, is

set aside for light manufacturing.

UNIDENTIFIED: It isn't something that we've looked at, but we can look at it.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Um, and then can you speak a little bit about sustainability and resiliency measures, um, as part of this application?

CHRIS FOGARTY: Yes. We, ah, have reviewed, I mean, one of the nice things is City

Council has made it almost, ah, impossible for us not to do green roofs and solar and so that, ah, by default becomes the water retention on the floor, um, minimizing water runoff onto the sidewalk. Ah, we're certainly excited in looking at how we can work with all of those, ah, different measures that are out there, and, and I can't remember where we left it, but we certainly have been working with the engineers to make sure that we've minimized all water runoff, and, and activate the roof as well, which is a great location for roof activation.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Um, and what about, um, ah, net zero measures of any kind...

22 CHRIS FOGARTY: Um, again, the city's 23 kind of...

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: [inaudible] or [inaudible].

2.2

2.3

criteria. We would do a zone green building, um, and be, ah, taking advantage of the zoning to allow us to, ah, increase the efficiency of the building envelope and, again, running all the systems, ah, looking forward to how they're going to be needed to be done over the next 10 or 15 years anyway to meet city, city's direction.

RACHEL SCONG: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you, thank you for your testimony today. I'd like to now call up the next, ah, panel. Laura, ah, Burnback.

LAURA BURNBACK: Good morning.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Good morning. State your name and then you can...

LAURA BURNBACK: OK, Laura Burnback.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you.

LAURA BURNBACK: OK, good morning, my name is Laura Burnback and I'm representing the Coalition for the BQE Transformation, which is a group of 12 neighborhood organizations united for a

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

transformative and comprehensive plan for the entire BQE corridor. Our coalition has been urging the City Council to reject this rezoning application since the issue first arose last year. As we heard already, CB2 agreed with our position and voted to disapprove on December 11, 2019, followed by the Brooklyn borough president's office also rejecting this proposal with conditions in late January. So our main objections are as follows. The developer has a right, of course, to develop within the current zoning and no one is calling for that right to be taken away. While the rezoning may be appropriate at some future point, we believe that this is absolutely the wrong time to allow it. Why? There is a very strong possibility that this area will be needed either temporarily or permanently in a pending reconstruction of the BQE. Approving a change to zoning for these parcels prior to adoption and approval of any comprehensive plan for the BQE is imprudent at best. The proposed rezoning area, as included in the application, is a much larger area than just the lots at 50 and 60 Old Fulton Streets and we just heard includes a small triangular median at the intersection of Hicks and Old Fulton Street,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

as well as a portion of the BQE elevated entrance The administration should hold off on approving any change to the area of zoning until the full scope of the BQE reconstruction is decided, including any on- or off-ramps, parks, or other structures which may encroach upon or near the surrounding properties. We heard the applicant refer to a 2010 study. 2010 was a long time ago now and a lot has changed in the thinking around the BQE and the potential, ah, rerouting, or we heard a tunnel from the City Council. There have been a lot of proposals that have come forward since 2010. So I don't really think that it's that relevant to refer to a study which is so old. Furthermore, while we understand that the New York City Department of Transportation would retain the right to invoke eminent domain, where is the logic in pushing things to that point? Council Member Levin, as you yourself have noted, any rezoning that resulted in an increase in the value of the property would mean the city would end up paying much more if it were to need to acquire the site. And finally we understand the likelihood probability that the developer could sell the property once the property is rezoned, meaning

2 that there is no guarantee that the developer seeking 3 4 5

1

6

7

8

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

the rezoning today will be the final developer of the property. This puts motive and benefit to the community into question. Both the mayor's expert panel and the City Council [inaudible] report called for the Atlantic to sand section of the BQE to be

included as part of a corridor-wide comprehensive

plan. We should not be taking any actions at this point that would potentially restrict or put unnecessary obstacles in the way of that process.

12 Thank you.

> CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. Thank you so much for your testimony. I'll call up the next panel. Um, Adam Westrick, Candace Clemmons, and Amanda Van Duran? Did I say it right, Duran? OK.

AMANDA VAN DURAN: Hi, my name is Amanda Van Duran. Um, I've lived in the DUMBO area for over eight years and, um, I live very near the, ah, 50 Old Fulton, in fact I live on Washington Street. So I have spent a lot of time in that area with my kids and, um, it has definitely been a hard place to navigate the sidewalks and even when you're driving, um, because of, ah, Sam's Auto Body Shop. Um, Sam's body shop is an amazing body shop, I have to let you

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

If you ever are in an accident he is the best. He is absolutely fantastic. I will follow him wherever he goes. But, um, I definitely think it would be a huge improvement to the community to be able to have a small business building up in that area. And I'm really glad to see that somebody would be investing in that and making that happen. Um, the other thing I just wanted to mention was, um, Thomas Lieberman, and I live in his building, one of his buildings, and he, um, is someone who really does care about the community. Um, the diversity in his building and the people in his building and the way he has taken care of his buildings and made them fit within the community are pretty impressive. also found him and his wife one Sunday gardening and putting flowers outside for all of us to enjoy, and to me that kind of means a lot. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you.

CANDACE CLEMMONS: Hi, my name is Candace

Clemmons and, um, I'm also a resident, um, and I live

in one of Mr. Lieberman's buildings. Ah, first I can

say that, um, being new to New York eight years ago,

I lived in Vinegar Hill and I walked past his

building every day, looking through the windows, and

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

I'm like this is not a typical new development. was something that was exciting. You could see the stairs going down. Um, and just not a cookie cutter building inside. Finally, five, five years in the building, as a new parent, um, Mr. Lieberman has, um, shown me how much of community he, he values. Um, to his wife planting flowers, but not only that, I was expecting a child and we had a room built for my daughter and we hang out with our neighborhoods on the roof, we have cook-outs, we do movie nights. Um, so Mr. Lieberman is big on community and, um, I can definitely say he is a man of his word as far as how he takes care of his building. One of our neighborhoods in Vinegar Hill told us that our current building that we live in now used to be a chocolate factory. So we can hear all these great stories about our neighborhood, but you can see the brick walls, the exposed brick walls that Mr. Lieberman left in the building and you still get a presence of like, oh my God, this building is old, there is something special about it, just being in the building and, you know, coming, new developers are putting 600 square feet for a two-bedroom apartment in our neighborhood versus them, we have

2.2

2.3

almost double that, and just being in, um, in that neighborhood and understanding that Mr. Lieberman is respectful of family, he is respectful of community and, um, keeping certain values and things in the neighborhood that, um, should stay alive. So, ah, he's definitely a man of his word. But, um, he's done a phenomenonal job at, ah, respecting what our neighbors want and, um, just being an excellent part of the community. Thank you.

ADAM WESTRICK: Hi, my name is Adam

Westrick. I am going to be reading a letter drafted
by Darrell Barlow, the CEO of Tillary Park

Foundation, who could not be here. My name is

Darrell Barlow. I'm the current president and CEO of
the Tillary Park Foundation and I have been working
for the past 28 years at the Long Island Brooklyn

campus. I am a community activist, having been
involved with the Community Board 11 parks committee.

I was instrumental in renovating McLaughlin Park.

The Vinegar Hill and DUMBO area is my neighborhood.

I visit Old Fulton Street with my parent and godchild
quite frequently. I am happy to see that the
developer wants to turn 50 Old Fulton Street into an
attractive commercial building. There is a great

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

deal of pedestrian traffic here and the first thing

that they see is a parking lot of cars to be

repaired. For too long these two auto body shops

have been an eyesore and disgrace for this historical

neighborhood. I hope, I sincerely hope the

commission approves the application. Thank you.

Darrell Barlow.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Great. Thank you again all for coming today for your testimony. Um, are there any other members of the public who wish to testify? Seeing none, I now close the public health on this application, and it will be laid over. will now here a preconsidered LU item for the 364 Avenue of the Americas rezoning proposal under ULURP number C200149ZMM, relating to property in Council Member Chin's district in Manhattan. The applicant seeks approval of a rezoning map amendment to change an existing C1-5 district to a C2-5 district in order to propose, in order to purse a BSA special permit for a physical cultural, ah, culture establishment or a PCE within the existing building. The proposed rezoning area is in the block front of Sixth Avenue between Washington Place and Waverly Place, ah, and includes two other properties fronting on the side

2.2

2.3

2 streets, which are not controlled by the applicant

3 and which would include existing mixed use buildings.

4 I now open the public hearing on this application,

5 and we will call up Richard Lobell.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Please state your name for the record and raise your right hand.

RICHARD LOBELL: Richard Lobell, Sheldon Lobell.

affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, and that you will answer all questions truthfully?

RICHARD LOBELL: I do.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you.

members, thank you for your time this morning. Once again, Richard Lobell from Sheldon Lobell PC for the 364 Avenue of the Americas rezoning. Ah, as you can see from this circled area on the map this rezoning is located on a portion of, ah, Sixth Avenue, which is very dense and which is lined with commercial overlays, more specifically, C1-5 overlays in the immediate area of the property. Ah, the proposal

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

right now would be to convert this to a C2-5 overlay. This is reminiscent of other rezonings we've done where we've gone from a C1 to a C2, as is the case here, primarily to allow for the use as a physical culture establishment, or gym or health club. particularly at the site here there was a, ah, a facility that was operated as a beauty parlor, a valid use group 6 under the current zoning. But in the event that licensed masseuses are requested at the site you're unable to do that unless you have a special permit from BSA, and that special permit is unavailable in the C1 district and is only available for properties within C2. So similar to the 180 Avenue of the Americas rezoning, which was approved by the council in or around, ah, December 2018, this would allow us to locate a PCE at the site. Um, you can see from the zoning change map this is a relatively, um, minor rezoning. Again, we're seeking a C2-5 in the area on the dotted, ah, lines to the right. Ah, we also notice that there's a C4 district immediately north of Waverly Place at this location. A C4 district permits more intense commercial uses. Um, so the C2 itself is relatively close to a C1. permits some additional use groups, primarily the PCE

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

and some things around home improvement and repair. Some pictures of the site. We have an existing fivestory building with ground floor commercial. Um, given the commercial activity in the area and given the fact that the, um, area wants to see this as a productive site, we got a unanimous vote from Community Board 2 Manhattan, 38 and nothing. Um, Manhattan Borough President Gail Brewer was also in favor of the application. Um, this is a rezoning which is really, ah, in consideration of a, um, allowing our, ah, landlords, property owners, to have a broader range of commercial uses to prevent sites from losing tenants and allowing them to exist in a robust manner. As you go up and down Sixth Avenue in this area, sadly there are some properties in which, ah, have gone dark and do not have tenants. Um, so

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Great. Quickly, I might have missed this, but could you just clarify the impetus behind this application, specifically what services does the facility currently, um,

here the opportunity to, ah, allow this, ah, existing

tenant to remain is, ah, something which is valued by

the applicant as well as by the local area. And

that's essentially the, the crux of the rezoning.

AND FRANCHISES

2 provide and does it currently comply with the C1 3 zoning, ah, or is this a legalization, ah, and if so 4 how long has the facility been operating, ah, in this

5 way?

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

RICHARD LOBELL: Sure. So the facility began operating in October 2019 as a beauty parlor under use group 6, which is a legitimate use. At some point they introduced, ah, licensed masseuses at this location, thereby making this application one for legalization. Um, so this will be brought to BSA for a special permit. They were issued violations at the site, which will now need to be rectified. sadly, or due to the existing affairs of the city, many of these applications for PCEs, indeed, close to half of them, come to BSA as legalizations. The fact is that, um, many of these applications, um, require operating capital in order to go through this rather lengthy and expensive process. In fact, when we go to the CPC, to the commission, with these applications oftentimes they talk about the fact that they would like to see this special permit done away with. Um, so here the, ah, commercial use was OK. The masseuses were not. So that's the impetus behind the application.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

10

1213

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Um, and what other use is not already allowed under the C1 rule, ah, could potentially operate here, ah, if the rezoning were, was approved?

RICHARD LOBELL: So we've actually done some work around this because of the relative frequency of these applications. Ah, it's technically use group 7, 8, 9, and 14 which would additionally be allowed. Um, and so those home improvement stores, um, plumbing repair shops, um, there's certain, ah, theaters and other catering facilities that would be permitted pursuant to this use group. Having said that, um, when we do research around this and, for example, if you do look at the properties immediately to the north, ah, those uses really don't take advantage of that more intense commercial zoning. What you end up having really is a lot of these PCEs, gyms and spas, because those are really the ones that, um, are frequently tenanting these spaces. So, um, there are marginally additional uses, but I would add that the city itself in many of these current rezonings, ah, I bring up the example of the East Harlem rezoning, they map C2s

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING 44 AND FRANCHISES
2	on the avenues now specifically to give property
3	owners this greater flexibility.
4	CHAIRPERSON MOYA: OK, thank you very
5	much. Thank you for your testimony today.
6	RICHARD LOBELL: Thank you, Chair.
7	CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Are there any other
8	members of the public who wish to testify? Seeing
9	none, I now close the public hearing on this
10	application and it will be laid over. Before we
11	conclude, I ask the counsel to restate the vote.
12	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: A vote of 6 in the
13	affirmative, zero in the negative, and no
14	abstentions. Today's items are approved and referred
15	to the full Land Use Committee.
16	CHAIRPERSON MOYA: This concludes today's
17	meeting, and I would like to thank the members of the
18	public, my colleagues, of course the counsel and land
19	use staff for attending. Ah, this meeting is hereby
20	adjourned. [gavel]
21	
22	

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date ____March 19, 2020____