1	SUBCOMMITTER	E ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES	1
2	CITY COUNCIL		
3	CITY OF NEW YORK		
4		X	
5	TRANSCRIPT OF THE	MINUTES	
6	Of the		
7	SUBCOMMITEE ON ZC	NING AND FRANCHISES	
8		X	
9		February 12, 2020 Start: 10:17 a.m.	
10		Recess: 1:20 p.m.	
11	HELD AM.	Council Chambana City Hall	
		Council Chambers - City Hall	
12	BEFORE:	Francisco P. Moya, Chairperson	
13			
14	COUNCIL MEMBERS:		
15	·	Barry S. Grodenchik Rory I Lancman	
16		Stephen T. Levin	
17		Antonio Reynoso Donovan J. Richards	
18		Carlina Rivera	
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

1	SUBCOMMITEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 2	
2	APPEARANCES	
3	Michael Kelly Inc.	
4		
5	Eric Platnick Representing Rybak Development	
6 7	Richard Lobel Law Firm of Sheldon Lobel PC	
8	Ellie Parente[SP?] Applicant	
9	Ethel Tyus Chair of Brooklyn Community Board 8	
LO		
L1	Cassie Coreo[SP?] Representative of 32BJ	
L2	Jacqueline Scarenchie Akerman LLP	
L3 L4	Nigema[SP?] Rivera Director of Property Management for HANAC INC	
L5 L6	Reverend Gilbert Pickett Support of 6411 Queens Boulevard	
17	Mark Anthony Espinosa Cleaner	
L8	Elizabeth Bennett	
L 9	Attorney at Fox Rothschild	
20	Fredericus Siegal[SP?] Co-Chair of CB2 Land Use Committee	
21	Zach Weinstein	
22	Co-Chair of Save Gansevoort	
23	Ethan Goodman Fox Rothschild	
24	Nellie Bailey Founder of the Harlem Tenants Council	

1	SUBCOMMITEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 3	
2	APPEARANCES (CONT.)	
3	Jensy Acosta	
4	Community Life Director at the Gathering Harlem	
5	Anthony Harris Resident	
6	Veronica Glasgo[SP?] Resident	
7		
8	Emmett Causey Vice President of Greater Harlem Housing	
9	Development	
10	Cleston Lord On behalf of the Great Harlem Chamber of Commerce	
11	Jim Fairbanks	
12	Served as Chief of Staff to Council Member Reverend Wendell Foster and Helen Diane Foster	
13	Alex Fennell Network Director of Churches United for Fair Housing	
14		
15	Julius Tagendin[SP?]	
16	Valerie Bradley	
17	President of Save Harlem Now	
18	Michael Adams	
19	Gene Covington Resident of Lenox Terrace	
20	Cora Pursavell[SP?]	
21	Tenant at Lenox Terrace	
22	Cordell Clear District Leader in Harlem	
23	Lenn Shebar	
24	President of the Lenox Terrace Association of Concerned Tenants	

1	SUBCOMMITEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 4
2	APPEARANCES (CONT.)
3	Savanna Washington Vice President of the Lenox Terrace Association
4	of Concerned Tenants
5	Reverend Dedrick Blue
6	Representative of the New York Interfaith Commission for Housing Equality
7	JoAnn Scott Reading for Paula McCray
8	
9	Rodney Beckford Director of Kennedy Center, the Catholic
10	Charities Community Services
11	Beatriz Diaz Taveras Executive Director of Catholic Charities
12	Community Service
13	Coloma Cardwell[SP?]
14	Gary Sales Resident of Lenox Terrace
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

COUNCIL CLERK: Do you swear or affirm that the

testimony that you are about to give will be the

24

1 SUBCOMMITEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 2 truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth and 3 that you will answer all questions truthfully? 4 PETER JENSEN: Yes. COUNCIL CLERK: Just be sure the red light is on. PETER JENSEN: Sure, thank you. 6 7 COUNCIL CLERK: Thank you. PETER JENSEN: Okay, good morning. My name is 8 9 Pete Jensen and I'm with Michael Kelly Inc. I would like to first disclose that I am a former City 10 11 Council employee for over 30 years in the Land Use 12 division. I'm here today representing Cieli Partners, LLP, doing business as Trattoria Dell 'Arte 13 to renew a small unenclosed sidewalk café with seven 14 tables and 28 seats at 900 7<sup>th</sup> Avenue in Manhattan in 15 16 Council Member Power's district. Please let me read 17 into the record an agreement order that became with Council Member Powers. 18 19 Dear honorable Chairperson Salamanca, Council Members Power and Members of the Council. Please 20 accept this letter as confirmation as our agreement 21 with Council Member Powers. 2.2 2.3 We agree to the following: All planters will be removed and never used again. All tables will be 24

flush against the wall. If anything else is

7

2 required, please contact my representative Michael

3 Kelly at 914-632-6036. Sincerely, Sheldon Fireman

4 | the President.

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: We received the letter.

PETER JENSEN: Great.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: IS that it?

PETER JENSEN: That's it.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Great, thank you so much for your testimony today.

PETER JENSEN: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. Just for a quick point of clarification today on Lenox Terrace, it's just the hearing today. We are not voting on that item today, it's just a hearing. So, I just want to make sure those that have come here to testify, know that it's just the hearing process today. Thank you.

Okay are there any other members of the public who wish to testify on this item. Seeing none, I will note for our members and for the public that we have received a written comment from the applicant operated dated February 5, 2020 with regards to certain design and layout features and we have that for the record.

2.2

2.3

I will now close the public hearing on this

Application. I now note for the record that pursuant
to Council rule, rule 7.9 and 11.6, we will be filing
LU 624 for the Bluestone Lane sidewalk café revocable
consent request to remove it from our calendar. By
letter date February 11, 2020. The Council has been
notified by the Office of the Commissioner of the
Department of Consumer Affairs and its recommendation
for approval is withdrawn.

The letter specifically states the Department of Consumer Affairs is withdrawing its recommendation for approval of BL 117 Amsterdam New York LLC's petition seeking to renew a revocable consent to maintain and operate an unenclosed café at 417 Amsterdam Avenue New York, New York. The Department will be conducting a further review of the petition and may submit a recommendation at a later date.

We will now hear LU 627 for the 271 Sea Breeze

Avenue proposal relating to property in Council

Member Deutsch's district. The applicant seeks

approval of a Zoning Map amendment establishing a C2
4 overlay district within an R6 District in the West

Brighton neighborhood of Brooklyn. If approved, the requested action would allow for commercial use in a

right now. We have some handouts in the back, which

10

I can get for you if you'd like but it's a relatively

straight forward rezoning. Is it up there? Thank

4 you.

8

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

5 Thank you very much for the time this morning.

6 My name is Eric Platnick and I'm representing Rybak

7 Development who is here today with us with an

Application to request a C2-4 Overlay for to allow

9 for a ground floor and second story commercial use in

10 what is now an R6 zoning district in Coney Island.

And the property, it goes through it a little bit.

12 | This give you a good visual for it, I don't know if

13 you can see it from your angle, I see they have the

14 TV a little angled away from you.

gives you good outline for it.

But the property is on a block that historically has had mostly community facilities and parking for a Trump housing development. The parking lot you can see is on West 5<sup>th</sup> Street on the left of your screen. The site is in the middle of the block where it's just site and right now, there's a 20 story building on the site. That picture is rather old, but that

To the right of us is a six story multiple dwelling that's a pre-1961 probably a pre-War as they used to call them multiple dwelling. To the left of

2 us are a series of community facilities who is

3 historically a Jewish neighborhood and those are two

11

4 synagogues. The synagogue to the left of us, we've

5 purchased 10,000 square feet of development rights

6 from and obviously Rybak development has constructed

7 the existing R-6 building that's on the property

8 right now. It's a beautiful building, it's 20

9 stories tall.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

The ground floor and the second story is what we're here for. We're here to ask you permission to put a C2-4 overlay at the ground floor and it will facilitate local retail and like we have been saying, things that you can't buy on Amazon, that's what we're trying to provide here.

The Application was well supported at Community
Board 13 where it was unanimously supported with, I
think one person did not vote. It was well supported
at the City Planning Commission and it's also been
well supported at the Brooklyn Borough Presidents
Office.

I'll walk you through the proposals, you can see, you can probably notice, Sea Breeze Avenue in front of us and then the ocean is at the four ground and you can't see it in the picture there but there is

Asser Levy Park in front of us which is a gem of
Coney Island. The former Brooklyn Borough President
and the current Brooklyn Borough President are doing
concerts there during the summer in the band shells
and it's really the hub of Coney Island. This
building will activate that park and really add some
liveliness to the streets.

There are other commercial uses around us and I'll flip through here and show you in a second.

This is the site, you can see there in all of these pictures, you see the building and scaffolding, that's the building under construction right now.

Of important note, while we're talking is West Brighton Avenue, which is on, I'm going to flip through a picture in a second, has the elevated rails on it. So, what we're proposing to do and here is the elevated train, you can see right here on the top right picture, our building in front is right up against it.

So, the as of right scenario for the development is to have parking at the ground floor and the second floor, which really does not do much to enhance the street scape especially on the elevated train side.

On the Sea Breeze side, the building, City Planning

2 k

2.3

brought to them for a preliminary meeting. They asked if we would set the building back 15-20 feet on the Sea Breeze side, so that we could create almost a plaza area. And the developers who are here today, graciously agreed to do that.

So, the development you are about to see and I'm proposing to you, includes a couple of amenities that weren't necessarily required under zoning. And I think I lost my signal here. Oh, there we go. You must have the same tech person who helps me. There we go, it's back up. So, here's the rezoning, you can see we're proposing a C2-4 overlay over the entirety of the block and I'll click through here.

This is what I was talking about before, this is what's filed at the Department of Buildings right now. That's what is allowed to be at the ground level. We don't want this. This is the allowable condition, it's where the parking would be. This is again, I was explaining to you before how we're really livening up underneath the elevator train. This is the as of right condition, this is what should be built without a rezoning.

This is what will be built. This is the plaza area that I was talking about. This is on the other

SUBCOMMITEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

14 side, on the Sea Breeze side but it shows you, this is what we spoke about with City Planning and the developers, the local developer, like I said, they are here. They do a lot of developments in this specific area, Brooklyn and they're very sensitive to needs and what people want and they were happy to provide this plaza area at City Planning request, which isn't a part of the rezoning at request at all.

This is what we're proposing to do. commercial overlay that we're requesting will facilitate the creation of ground floor or retail, which you are seeing right here as well as a gym at the second floor and then some light medical and community facility at the third floor. This gives you some more perspectives of what it will look like. This is on the elevated train side and this just gives you all the plans and what not.

What's important to see here, you can notice here when I show you the floor plan for the commercial use that we're asking for, is even though the rendered images showed you commercial at the first floor and it gave the appearance. The whole first floor was commercial. In reality, it's like a donut and the inside of the donut, the donut hole here is parking.

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

So, the commercial that we're providing is a very small amount of commercial space, 12,000 square feet, broken up into small spaces. So, you can get small local community oriented retail and space and we're not going to have any destination retail there.

That's what the community board, the only discussion that occurred there was are we going to be bringing people into the community with this retail? And when they saw how small it was, they understand that we're going to have coffee shops and things of the like.

So, that is the building. This shows you the building sitting on top of a four story pedestal, it's obviously compliant with all of the flood regulations and everything like that. They actually just got gas connected back on, they were out of gas for awhile when ConEd was not issuing gas permits and they are about six months away from getting a TCO. These plans show you the upper floors. You can see the commercial, this is the second floor where the commercial space I was talking about. You can see this parking still, the parking ramps go up on the side, so the entire interior of the building, the parking as well. Nobody on the street, if you took my wife there, who has no idea what I do for living

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

and you brought it to this building, she would have no idea there's parking in the building. The parking is screened, it's not visible from the street and it's all inside the building.

So, that's what you're seeing here on the top right, you see the ramp areas here, and the same thing here. You see the parking is up on the third floor here and again, it's all screened on the outside. You won't be able to tell from the outside that there's parking on the third floor.

And that's the development in a rendered image for you, showing you what everything looks like and I think we have more of these and I think we had a beautiful rendering at the end somewhere.

This gives you an idea of the plaza area, of the materials they are going to be using. Again, it's a voluntary plaza, it's not part of the Pop's program and I guess we didn't have the image I thought we did, but that's the presentation and I'd be happy to answer any questions you may have.

## CHAIRPERSON MOYA: A -

One more thing, I didn't want to cut you off, but I know it's important to you. There is an affordable component to the development. It's not being built

17

2 pursuant to an MIH program. The building isn't an R6

3 predated MIH, but because it is a 421 or affordable

4 New York tax abatement, there are approximately 35

5 affordable units out of the 114 units that are

6 proposed for the building.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Great, thanks. Just one quick

8 question.

7

15

16

24

25

ERIC PLATNICK: Sure.

10 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: In addition to the Borough

11 | President's recommendations regarding climate

12 resiliency and green design, what are the measures

13 | have you considered or incorporated in the project

14 design? I know you spoke a little bit about that.

ERIC PLATNICK: As far as green effects go?

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Yeah.

17 | ERIC PLATNICK: There will be a white roof, there

18  $\parallel$  will be on the trees, there will be the sidewalk, I

19  $\parallel$  forget the term for it where they collect the water

20 | in the trees at the basins of the trees. They'll

21 | have of course energy efficient ratings on the

22 | windows. All the windows will be triple glazed and

23 | things of the like. Insulation, there's a high

insulation and Sir Drybeck[SP?] is right here, he is

the developer, if you could speak. And he's telling

giving me more information than I know.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: I'm sorry, can you say that again?

ERIC PLATNICK: It's a lead platinum building and it's the first in South Western Brooklyn. So, I was not aware of that.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you very much.

ERIC PLATNICK: Thank you for your time.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you for your time to.

ERIC PLATNICK: Good luck today.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. Any other member of the public who wish to testify. Seeing none, I now close this public hearing on this Application and it will be laid over.

We will now hear LU 630 for the 8118 13<sup>th</sup> Avenue Rezoning proposal relating to property in Council Member Brannan district in Brooklyn. The Application seeks approval of a Zoning Map amendment establishing a C1-3 commercial overlay in an R5B District in the Dyker Heights neighborhood of Brooklyn. If approved, the proposal would facilitate the legalization of an

2.2

2.3

2 existing commercial office use in an existing

3 | building in the project area.

2.2

2.3

I now open the public hearing on this Application and I will call up the first panel. Richard Lobel.

COUNCIL CLERK: Please raise your right hand and state your name for the record.

RICHARD LOBEL: Richard Lobel.

COUNCIL CLERK: Do you swear or affirm that the testimony that you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth and that you will answer all questions truthfully?

RICHARD LOBEL: I do.

COUNCIL CLERK: Thank you.

RICHARD LOBEL: Chair Moya and Council Members good morning. Once again Richard Lobel from the Law Firm of Sheldon Lobel PC, representing the applicant here for rezoning of 8118 13<sup>th</sup> Avenue in Brooklyn.

The property as you can see from the circled area is located along a stretch of 13 Avenue, which is zoned R5B. What's fairly unique about the property is that the property on the western side of 13<sup>th</sup> avenue is one of 16 block fronts on 13<sup>th</sup> Avenue, 15 of which include a commercial overlay in the form of

SUBCOMMITEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

20

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

a 613 overlay immediately adjacent to the property and this is the only one that does not.

So, the character of 13<sup>th</sup> Avenue in this area is very much a mixed use with commercial presence for retail uses such as groceries, restaurants, salons and such. So, what this would do in providing a C13 overlay on this plot frontage would be to cause this block to be in conformance with the commercial overlay of the surrounding 16 blocks.

I would also add that on the eastern portion of 13<sup>th</sup> Avenue here, there is a commercial overlay of I believe 12 blocks. So, there's really a strong commercial presence and this is why this rezoning makes a lot of sense. You can see here from the highlighted area; the rezoning would encompass three lots. The lot highlighted in red is the applicants lot and the two other lots are two, two story, three family buildings which pursuant to the environmental diligence would not be intended to be redeveloped.

The applicants lot itself is a one story commercial office. The office was originally built in 1955 pursuant to a BSA variance which way of lock coverage and since that time, after serving as a

2 democratic club for years, it has now been a legal

3 office for about 30 years.

2.2

2.3

You can see from the land use map here, the colored areas along  $13^{\rm th}$  Avenue demonstrate that there is indeed a sporadic if not continuous commercial use along  $13^{\rm th}$  Avenue.

This is the zoning change map, where you can see the relatively minor change offered by the rezoning. This would enable a long standing use that benefits many of the surrounding community members to be established and obtained for the legal use.

And again here, picture of the site. You can see in the upper left portion the one story commercial building. Again, built as a commercial or non-commercial political club and now used for several different lawyers offices.

So, I think the only thing I would add is that as we page through the zoning calculations and plans, is that that local area has been supportive of the Application. We achieved a unanimous vote with one abstention at Brooklyn Community Board 12. We have the approval of the Brooklyn Borough President. We have the unanimous support of City Planning and have

\_

had discussions with Council Member Justin Brannan, who has indicated his support as well.

Again, a very straight forward rezoning to legitimize this longstanding use and I'm happy to answer any questions.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Great, thank you. Just one quick question. How likely of it all is this Application to trigger new development or changes in the zoning area or the conversion of existing space to commercial use?

RICHARD LOBEL: So, the answer would be highly unlikely other than the existing commercial law office, which would now be able to remain at the site.

Particularly the two adjacent parcels, those are long and well established buildings at the site and more importantly, while there is community facility use along 13<sup>th</sup> Avenue, such community facility use would be legal today.

So, to the extent that either of these buildings wanted to convert to either a religious use, doctors office, dentist office, those actually exist on 13<sup>th</sup> Avenue along this frontage. So, given the duration that those homes have been there as well as the

physical layout of those homes, it was deemed very unlikely in the environmental assessment that those would be converted and the real benefit of this would be to the applicant to be able to legitimize this longstanding commercial use.

I would add Council Member Moya, that the underlying R5B Zoning remains unchanged. This is not effecting the bulk of the buildings at all; this is merely for use.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Okay, thank you.

RICHARD LOBEL: Thank you Chair.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you for you testimony.

Are there any other members of the public who wish to testify? Seeing none, I now close the public hearing on this Application and it will be laid over.

Before we continue with our hearings, I would now note for the record pursuant to Council Rule 7.90 and 11.60, we will be filing LU 636 for the C7 Baychester Avenue Rezoning proposal to remove it from our calendar by letter dated February 12, 2020 and signed by the Department of City Planning Bronx Office Director. The City Council has been notified by the Department of City Planning that its Application is withdrawn and it states that the Department of City

RICHARD LOBEL: Richard Lobel.

2 ELLIE PARENTE: Ellie Parente.

COUNCIL CLERK: Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth and that you answer all questions truthfully?

PANEL: I do.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL CLERK: Thank you.

RICHARD LOBEL: Chair Moya, Council Members, again, Richard Lobel for Sheldon Lobel PC joined by Ellie Parente the Applicant.

So, the Application which is before the Council Subcommittee this morning is the Grand Avenue and Pacific Street Rezoning and as you can see from the circled area, this is currently an M11 Zone property. This is within the area designated the Community Board 8 M Crown area and has been the subject of a number of rezoning applications.

Prior to this time, as you could see from some of the circled area on the maps, the applicants have achieved rezoning's. Along Pacific Street as R7A with commercial overlays and also as an M14R7A mixed use district. The Rezoning as proposed would be an R7DC2-4. Hello, Council Member Cumbo, and the applicant is proposing that because there is a desire

2 on behalf of the applicant as well as expressed

members of the community to see many of these M1

4 properties rezoned.

2.2

2.3

So, in prior city sponsored rezoning's much of the surrounding area was rezoned from non-contextual to contextual residential districts, but many of the M1 properties remained zoned manufacturing leading to commercial uses and vacant lots.

So, what we are proposing here would be an R70 with a C2-4 which would result in this instance in a mixed use building. There would be as stated a nine story building with ground floor commercial uses and residential units above, totaling roughly 64 units.

The Rezoning is currently proposed for the northeast and southwest corners of Grand Avenue and Pacific Streets and as you can see from the land use map, the density in the area is similar to what is being proposed. There is R70 the northeast of the property. There is R7A around the property and so, as members of the Community Board can attest to, this is part of the study area that was put forth by the community board and there were resolutions that were issued with regards to what they wanted to see in this area.

2.2

2.3

SO, there's been quite a collaborative process which we can talk about but the end result would be the zoning map that you see before you, which would map the R7 C2-4 overlay on both of these corners.

With the R7A along Pacific Street, the R7D would seem to be more appropriate at this intersection given that Grand Avenue generally offers greater street access and would allow for slightly higher density.

So, you can see from picture here, you've got an unused vacant lot which would be developed under the proposal to produce the building as seen before you here. This is a nine story building with again, ground floor commercial. Importantly, with regards to the discussions with the Community Board, the Community Board resolutions as well as the Brooklyn Borough President's resolutions and report, dictate that they wanted to see M Crown uses in the area.

And so, M crown uses are defined uses as pursuant to the Community Board's resolutions which include use groups 3 and 4 and then various uses between use groups 9 and 16 and 17, which are circumscribed and which appear in the Community Board's resolutions.

So, part of the support of the Community Board was conditioned upon the ability of the applicant to

2.2

2.3

enter into a binding agreement with the Community

Board which would be recorded against the property

and which would mandate that for in perpetuity that

the ground floor commercial uses be devoted 25

percent of the lot area to M Crown uses.

And so, in what has been a phenomenally collaborative effort, the CBA Chair who is with us today Robert Witherwax, who is a member of the Community Board and [INAUDIBLE 48:04], the Land Use Chair have all contributed greatly to this process. Have spent hours and hours of their time on this in meetings, in emails and phone calls. And so, through this, what is just an amazingly collaborative process, we have actually this morning, signed and transferred an agreement to the Community Board which memorializes the applicants willingness to maintain these M Crown uses.

Prior to answering any questions and Ellie is available to questions as well. I'd say that at this point, I've been at this for quite some time and the efforts that have been forth by members of the Community Board have been nothing short of phenomenal. They have been truly giving of themselves and their time in an effort to see their

extent possible.

So, we're extremely thankful for everything they have done. I'm sure that they will have their own comments on the application and we'd be happy to answer any questions.

area improved in a way that they feel is going to

benefit most Community Board members to the greatest

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Great thank you and I just want to note that we've been joined by Majority Leader Cumbo. Thank you for joining us.

Just a couple of questions here. How did you determine the R7D 5.6 FAR and what was the appropriate density here?

RICHARD LOBEL: So, first and foremost, given the experience that many applicants have had in this area, we consulted with the Community Board and their M Crown resolutions which dictated a floor area ratio of roughly four to five FAR on this block frontage.

Having been at the Community Board for many meetings and hearings, both within the context of this Application and just generally, we were aware that this was a general guideline. The land use rational for this was such that, a mixed use development here would make sense. There is

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

SUBCOMMITEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES currently a vacant lot, there was a desire for more housing including affordable housing and so, given the R7A and the appropriateness of that on Pacific Street, the fact that you have Pacific Street and Grand Avenue here, that you know, you're relatively close, a block away from Atlanta Avenue, kind of merited a greater density. And so, 5.6 FAR for the R7D was what was deemed appropriate in that application.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Are there other examples of R7D on narrow streets in Brooklyn?

RICHARD LOBEL: So, when we look at this Zoning Map in particular in some of the prior city sponsored rezoning's, you've got R7D to the northeast and we did submit to City Planning that we have other areas where not just R7D but even R8A was deemed appropriate on streets and on side streets, so for example, South Portland Avenue, there was a rezoning that was deemed to be appropriate at a greater density than R7D.

So, we did submit those examples to City Planning and I think probably given not only the land use patterns on Grand Avenue but also, the relative nature of transportation in the area. The fact that

1 SUBCOMMITEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 31 2 it's well served by transportation and the fact that 3 these properties being Zoned M1, that there is a desire to incentivize developers to develop at that 4 5 It was deemed by City Planning and eventually, hopefully the Community Board and the City Planning 6 Commission to be appropriate. CHAIRPERSON MOYA: And my last question is, why 8 does the building design as presented not use the 10 full height? RICHARD LABEL: Well, actually that was a subject 11 12 of discussion with the Community Board as well. 13 building plans as presented, which is what the 14 applicant intends to build, demonstrate a nine story building. That is actually a provision, which is now 15 written into the restrictive declaration, which it 16 17 would be recorded against the property and is an 18 exhibit to the agreement with the Community Board. 19 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Great, thank you. 20 RICHARD LABEL: Thank you Chair. 21 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: I now turn it over to Majority Leader Cumbo for questions. 2.2 2.3 COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Thank you so much Chair

Moya and I want to first begin by thanking Community

Board 8 for all of their leadership. I see our Chair

24

2.2

2.3

Ethel Tyus and I know that many of the members of

Community Board 8 are here today as well as Giv

Aconey[SP?] and collectively the Board has really set

a precedent for how rezoning's and responsible

organic development is happening.

So, my role as the City Council Member in this position, is really to follow the recommendations of the Community Board and to essentially allow them to lead the way in terms of what our community will look like and how to do it organically.

So, I thank you all so much for your leadership and what you've brought here today. You are certainly setting a precedent throughout the City of New York in terms of how partnerships can be stronger with our elected leadership.

So, today, we are hearing a private rezoning application in Crown Heights at 979 Pacific Street. The development site is within the M Crown study area, where for over five years and I'm going to say even longer, Community Board 8 has been working together with my office, the Brooklyn Borough President and the Department of City Planning on a proposal to create a dynamic new mixed use neighborhood.

2.2

2.3

Since the proposal will help set the precedent for the wider area, we must ensure that it is consistent with the M Crown plan. I look forward to hearing from the applicant on how they believe their proposal will meet the goals of the M Crown vision and from my constituents and the public on this important development for the future of Crown Heights and I think what's so important is that rezoning's usually come down from City Planning and it's brought to a community, where this Community Board took the initiative and the effort to plan out what they think a rezoning should look like and then brought it to City Planning. And I think that the reversal in terms of how the proposal came about is really exciting and certainly precedent setting.

I wanted to know in terms of the housing options, which MIH Option are you proposing for this development? I'm just curious what your thoughts are because the Community Board has also stated what they would like to see in this and I just want to have on the record which proposal you're looking at.

RICHARD LABEL: Yeah, Council Member, so the intention would be to develop this at Option 1. So, with the current iteration at 64 units, it would

2 produce roughly 16 units of mandatory inclusionary

3 housing units.

2.2

2.3

This was discussed in the process; we understand the Community Boards view on this and we're happy to acquiesce to that request.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Are you proposing to partner with a local not-for-profit organization to be the administering agent for the affordable housing?

RICHARD LABEL: Yes, we'd be happy to do so. I know that in the past, the Council Member has circulated lists of preferred non-profit agents in the area and we've, being familiar with those, we'd be happy to select one of those and after consultation to select a local non-profit.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: We're going to do our due diligence on this, but I think that as I've seen more of these housing lottery organizations that assist community members, I think it's also going to begin to be up rooted for all parties involved to see the track record of how these different housing organizations are actually attracting individuals from the existing community into the proposed development site, because what we're seeing is that,

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: I new you were going to

say that because you have two children, right?

ELLIE PARENTE: Well, three now.

25

2.2

2.3

24

either PreK, early -

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Three now, wow, you've been busy since we last met.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ELLIE PARENTE: Exactly, thank God. So, yeah, so from what I understand, from the local brokers in the market, pre-K, any of these type of tenants are very much in the market. Another type of uses that also I know would please the Community Board, the M Crown uses is like wholesale kitchen or wholesale production, establishment to you know, to produce mass deliveries from the location but we really couldn't approach tenants and negotiate with tenants until the plans get approved because we don't really have, you know, we don't really know what to offer but we've had a few meetings with these tenants. have an idea of you know, who they are and you know, how long they've been in the market and as soon as we get approved - because we really have to design the ground floor in that particular space in order to accommodate those uses.

So, once the ULURP gets approved hopefully, we'll look to sign up the tenants sooner than later so that we can build to fit, so to say. Because they will mostly likely need not only 25 instead of the ground floor but most of these uses need a significant

2 portion, if not all of the basement. Like a pre-K

3 for example, you know, they'll use 25 percent of the

4 ground floor but then they'll most likely ask for you

5 know, other space above in a you know, basement so,

6 depending on who it is, we'll have to sign them up

7 early.

1

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: I do like both concepts that you're looking at. The ability to expand UPK3 and UPK4 all throughout the City of New York is important, so that's really a usage that as a mom as well, that I'm also in favor of, as well as the opportunity for there to be as you said open kitchens where people can prepare food and to have businesses and also there's a popularity with culinary cooking programs that teach many individuals how to utilize skills that they may just use for friends and family and how to actually turn that into a profession. So, that would also be very exciting.

I wanted to also ask you from looking at the, this is something that I'm very, you're from France if I remember correctly, right.

23 ELLIE PARENTE: Yeah, I'm sorry about that.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Uhm, one of the things that I want to see, the design of the building is

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

fine. It's a nice solid building, it's fine but what I really want to see is when I do have the rare opportunity to travel, public art is a really important concept that many European countries grasp. When you go there, people come to many of those countries specifically for the purpose of seeing the design and the architecture because it's so unique, because it's so different. They're reading about it in tourist books. They are seeing it, so my interest would be, would you be interested in working potentially with a public artist to bring another level of I guess interest or panache or something that is attractive about the building other than its - because the design is fine, but as I always say with everything, I want people to fly into to see my building, right. I want them to write about it, heard about it, see about it. I got to come see the building and I think that throughout Brooklyn New York, a trend that I would like to see is that people are seeing that our architecture and our style is so unique that it brings the level of the community up in a way that local residents can see beauty in their everyday lives but that also people can come to see it as well.

2.2

2.3

ELLIE PARENTE: So, I'm not quite sure — I mean, there is two aspects to it, right, there is the architectural aspect. This is you know, because of the boundaries we've been given and the height and all that, you know, this is pretty close to what we think we can build. You know, we also are trying to be respectful of the manufacturing history of the neighborhood. That why also you can see the canape here, which also will make the retail much more prominent but I think the significant way to really enhance the building and get people to travel to it to see it is on the arts side.

As I think we've discussed before, we're definitely doing this 50 by 80 mural which you know, this doesn't really look like it but it's quite significant, especially because they will directly visible from Atlantic Avenue.

So, the amount of visibility that it will get on a daily basis is really tremendous and we did consult with a few artists, as you know, we had gotten your recommendations for some of them.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Oh, I apologize I didn't see that you had actually taken my suggestions that I didn't recall that I gave you.

2.2

2.3

ELLIE PARENTE: yeah, so if you remember, we had three artists. One of them, I forget the name but literally in the six months or year between your recommendation and the time I met you.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Oh, I like you.

ELLIE PARENTE: Thank you. Between your recommendation and time, I met him, he like blew up and became like this super famous artist you does things for Pepsi.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: That's what happens when I recommend people.

ELLIE PARENTE: Oh, is that what is, okay. So, he literally became I don't know, like a really super star which didn't really give me the — I mean, that wasn't really what we were looking to accomplish.

You know, if I wanted to do that, I would have brought like you know, like a fancy artist. So, what we did after that is, we consulted with this company called the Bushwick Collective who sort of gathers all local artists. We give them a mandate of like the vision we have you know, that we want local artists and then we you know, it would be a hard to do an actually competition but we basically want to get proposals or like ideas from different artists on

SUBCOMMITEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 41
what they plan on putting up and then we'll be happy
to consult with you or the Community Board on what

you guys think is the most appropriate and then put

5 it up.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: High five.

might do is, we have 100 feet of frontage on both sides. So, it's actually, you know, when you take a 12 foot construction fence on both sides, it's 200 feet of art space, so we can use that in the meantime to like bring the street to life and then if it looks good, then we can use that artist or transfer some of that art into the building. So, that's the idea.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: High five, definitely, I'm excited about that. I wanted to jump into local hiring and MWBE participation.

This is something that's been really important to this entire administration that we have greater local hiring as well as MWBE participation. How have you gone about the process of securing, introducing yourself and creating the opportunities for MWBE's to bid on this project?

ELLIE PARENTE: Yeah, I mean we haven't gotten to that part yet. We met with 32BJ as far as the union

2.2

for the building and we know from you and the

Community Board that there needs to be this type of
labor once we do run the building.

Again, we're about two years away from getting there. So, it's sort of wastes people time to approach them when the site in questions is an M1 zone. Because as far as they are concerned, they are going to look it up and say, you know, you have nothing to build here, so it's a little bit preemptive to be reaching out to those people.

Although, 32BJ has been signed up because they are aware of the rezoning.

is there an opportunity to have any sustainability or resiliency measures incorporated into the buildings designs, such as blue, green, white roof treatment, passive house rain gardens, solar panels or wind turbines. Those sorts of things, are there any?

that the architect is not here today, but I'm not as well educated to discuss it but I do know that we have — that now, with new regulations that were passed just six months ago, we have to comply with many of our mental measures and because of the many

3

4

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

43 incentives that go along with it, we're most likely doing a significant amount of solar panels on the roof. Not only for the tax credit but also to supply part of the energy in the building. So, yeah, it's very much a plan for the building.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: I don't have any further questions. I just want to say that I'm excited that through the meetings and the discussions that we've had that you've taken the feedback from the Community Board in terms of how they want to see the density in the Community Board even though the zoning allows for more. You've respected their desires and visions for the M Crown District, working with 32BJ is really The environmental and I look forward to working with you on the local jobs and the MWBE's work because we also have suggestions in that way and I'm super excited about the art component and look forward to meeting and discussing it with you further.

ELLIE PARENTE: Thank you and I forgot to mention, I obviously just like as Rich said, I want to thank the Community Board because although I don't have that much experience with it, I can always see that this is extraordinary. We were literally going

hearing on this matter.

2.2

2.3

I'm so happy to all of the many Council Members that are present today. Leader Reynoso in addition to Majority Leader Cumbo, Mr. Moya, Ms. Chin, Mr. Perkins, Mr. Grodenchik and Mr. Levin, thank you all for being here.

We are very happy to announce as was reported earlier, that we have reached an agreement on the execution of a community benefits agreement for the Grand Pacific Rezoning. The Rezoning under consideration today is within an area of northwestern Crown Heights known as the M Crown District for which Community Board 8 has expressed a vision that includes mixed use development, encouraging the creation of good paying accessible jobs and affordable apartments for local community members. A plan to move forward with this vision in conjunction with the Department of City Planning was affirmed by the Community Board on September 12, 2019.

The Board's resolution called for floor area ratio of four to five for lots along Grand Avenue.

On November 14, 2019, Brooklyn Community Board 8 voted to without support for the Grand Pacific Rezoning as the density is greater than that expressed in the Board's September 12 Resolution.

2.2

2.3

However, the Board left the door open to supporting
the rezoning should the applicant be willing to make
a binding commitment that at least 0.25 far of the
ground floor of the building be constructed at 979985 Pacific Street be dedicated to preferred M Crown
job creating uses, and that the applicant limit the

I am happy to report that Community Board 8 has negotiated an agreement with the applicant and the applicant has executed it and it provides the commitment sort and the Board's November 14<sup>th</sup>

Resolution with respect to building height and restricted use at the ground floor.

development at the site to no more than nine stories.

Per its November 14 Resolution, the Board's support for Grand Pacific rezoning also requires that lots south of Pacific Street be rezoned to R7A/624, consistent with the guidelines in its September 12 M Crown Resolution. I therefore ask the City Council to amend the requested zoning accordingly.

Finally, Brooklyn Community Board 8 has consistently expressed a desire to see affordable apartments created in the M Crown District that would be affordable to families earning the median income for Brooklyn Community District 8.

## SUBCOMMITEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

Unfortunately, the application as it was presented to us earlier, requested mapping to both MIH options 1 and 2 as we heard earlier. The applicant has now committed to mapping to MIH Option 1. We support that completely and we ask that the City Council map Grand Pacific Rezoning to MIH Option 1 only, which will ensure a range of affordability levels between 40 and 80 percent AMI accessible to the range of residents in our district who need affordable housing.

Thank you for your support in this matter. Any questions? No questions, thank you. Next

CASSIE COREO: Good morning Chair Moya, Majority

Leader Cumbo and Members of the Subcommittee. My

name is Cassie Coreo and I'm a representative of

32BJ. I'm here on behalf of over 3,000 32BJ members

who live and work in Community District 8 to show our

support for this project.

We believe that in order to create a more equitable New York, developers should commit to providing prevailing wage building service jobs.

Historically, these jobs have allowed working families from diverse backgrounds, upward mobility and security. We estimate that this development will

2 generate about five new property service jobs. These

3 jobs will be good jobs that help uplift working

4 families because of the credible commitment that the

5 developers have made for this project to prevailing

6 wage building service jobs.

1

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

We respectfully request that you approve this project. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. Thank you both for your testimony today.

Any other members of the public who wish to testify? Seeing none, we now close this hearing and it will be laid over. We will pause our hearing agenda for a moment for this meeting to continue with our votes.

Today, we will vote to approve with modifications

Pre-considered LU 614 for the 2513-2523 Avenue O

Rezoning proposal relating to property in Council

Member Deutsch's District. The Application seeks

approval for a Zoning Map Amendment to change an R2

Zoning District to an R3-2 on Avenue O between

Bedford Avenue and 26<sup>th</sup> Street in the midway section

of Brooklyn which would facilitate the legalization

of an existing ground floor medical office use, as

well as bring the existing semidetached residents

within the zoning area into conformance with the zoning. Our modification will be to change the proposed R3-2 District to an R3-1 District.

The R3-1 use and bulk regulations would address the same goals related to legalization and overall zoning conformance with respect to existing conditions while adhering more closely to the prevailing character of surrounding blocks and addressing community concerns related to a potential increase in neighborhood traffic volume.

Council Member Deutsch is in support of this proposal as modified and we will also vote to approve LU's 606 through 608 for the GO Broome development proposal relating to property in Council Member Chin's District. The Application includes requested approvals for a zoning special permit to allow certain bulk waivers within a large scale residential development. A Zoning Map amendment to change an R8 District to a R9-1/C2-5 District and a Zoning Text amendment to allow quality housing development within a large scale residential development and to establish an MIH area utilizing Option 1.

The requested actions would facilitate the development of two new mixed use buildings in the

1 SUBCOMMITEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

50

2 lower east side neighborhood of Manhattan including

3 approximately 488 dwelling units of which

4 approximately 43 percent will be income related

5 community facility space and office and ground floor

6 retail. Council Member Chin is in support of this

7 proposal.

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

We will also vote to approve LU 609 for the 503
Broadway Zoning special permit relating to property
in Council Member Chin's District. The Application
for a special permit to allow large retail use in an
M1-5B Zoning District, would facilitate the
legalization of a multistory retail establishment
within the existing building in the SoHo neighborhood
of Manhattan. Council Member Chin is in support of
this proposal.

We will also vote to approve LU 610 for the Bridge Park South Mapping proposal relating to property in Council Member Gibson's District. The Application seeks approval of an amendment to the City Map to de-map portions of Exterior Street and West 171<sup>st</sup> Street and together with three adjacent vacant city owned lots to Map such areas as park land.

## SUBCOMMITEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

These actions would facilitate the expansion

Bridge Park and Harlem River Greenway in the High

Bridge neighborhood of the Bronx. Council Member

Gibson is in support of this proposal.

We are also voting to approve LU 625, the

Trattoria Dell 'Arte Application for a revocable

consent to maintain, operate and use an unenclosed

sidewalk café at 900 7<sup>th</sup> Avenue in Council Member

Powers District in Manhattan which we heard this

morning. Council Member Powers is in support of this

application.

And I just want to quickly turn it over to Council Member Chin for some remarks before we take our vote.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Thank you Chair Moya and thank you for allowing me to speak on two projects in my district.

You know, the scarcity of affordable housing in New York City is nothing sort of a crisis. Everyday we are challenged to come up with ideas and ways to solve this issue. For thousands of New Yorkers who are housing insecure, especially our senior, it is our duty to rise up to the challenge and fight for relief.

2.2

2.3

This project we are voting on today will bring affordable senior housing and community programming and case services and a dedicated space to preserve the legacy and services of the Beth Hamedrosh Hagadol on the same ground and synagogue suffers a

devastating fire almost three years ago.

We have heard from residents when they weigh in through out this process with concern about affordability and traffic mitigation and as a result, of rounds of community meetings and recommendation, I want to highlight some of the commitments that we were able to secure. 488 residential units, an average of 53 percent of the area median income, 43 percent of which will be permanent affordable housing, that's 208 units. At the end of this process we secure deeper affordability and lower AMI from 57 percent to 53 percent. We also push for more affordable units adding additional 27 senior housing units.

The two buildings will have 115 affordable independent residents for seniors at a household income at levels of 30 to 80 percent of area median income. 93 percent of the mandatory inclusionary housing unit with income level at 40, 50 and 100

SUBCOMMITEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

percent of the area median income. There will be a space for the Chinese American Planning Council to establish a home base in the community to more effectively expand their services for seniors, immigrants, people with special needs and youth. They've been doing this for over 50 years.

One component that means a lot to me and the lower east side community is the return of the historic Beth Hamedrosh Hagadol Jewish Culture Heritage Center to this site. The site will have programming events that will include classes and lectures for the public and a synagogue service for bases and special holidays.

You know, working with HPD, we will provide 30 percent set aside for formerly homeless seniors and family. HPD will also develop an outreach plan to give former site tenants in the Stewart Park Urban renewal area a change to apply. We are also actively engaging multiagency to look at solutions around traffic congestion in the neighborhood, both in the immediate and in the long term. We are going to look at parking regulation, construction mitigation and the impacts of policies like congestion pricing.

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

We have also secured a commitment from the Gotham organization to contribute to an independent area wide traffic study led by the Community Board to study the traffic impact and create a comprehensive vision for planning. The process leading up to today was not easy, but I'm proud of the commitment we fought for and today's vote is an important step forward in creating desperately needed affordable housing while preserving the legacy of institutions like the BHA synagogue and Chinese American Planning I wanted to thank the development team for working with us and all who shared their inputs and support. I also wanted to thank our Land Use staff especially Raju Man, Chelsey Kelly and also my Chief of Staff Gigi Li and the Land Use Director Anthony Drummond working on this GO Broome project.

The other project 501 Broadway, today's vote on the 503 Broadway special permit Application located in lower Manhattan Soho area has been a culmination of extensive community engagement with both resident and the local community. Soho has been a vibrant mixed use neighborhood that has defined and continue to redefine the coexistent of arts and culture commercial use and residential needs. This

2.2

2.3

2 Application has highlighted challenges between commercial use and residential quality of life.

Over the years, residents have seen a proliferation of big box retail. Many of these operators have been bad neighbors and unresponsive to the communities concern. There is also no question, there needs to be a comprehensive plan to balance both economic and residential need. This is one case where we have been able to get strong commitments from the applicant to address this need and I have ben very clear in my concern about noise, traffic and transparency and I want to highlight some of the commitments that Sara has committed to.

They have committed to reduce off our deliveries from 12 per week to 10 per week. They will restrict the hours of all pickups and delivery on Bursa[SP?] Street between 7:00 a.m. and 7:00 p.m. and have off hour deliveries in a store entrance on Broadway between 10:00 p.m. and 7:00 a.m. They will mitigate noise by eliminating the use of mechanical lifts, having all boxes hand carried from the trucks into the stores and having truck engines turn off while they are parked.

They will continue to address community concern through a dedicated community liaison who will respond to phone calls, text messages and emails and have corporate headquarter provide assistance where They are also a strong union shop. So, I wanted to thank Chair Moya for the hearing that you had before, it was a long hearing. I want to thank all the members of the Subcommittee on Zoning and Franchise who will vote on this item today. I thank all my constituents who have engaged throughout this process and of course, our Land Use staff Raju Man, Chelsey and my staff for really working thoughtfully throughout this whole process and I urge my colleagues on this Committee to vote in support on both of these projects.

Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you Council Member. I now call for a vote to file LU 624 and LU 636 to approve LU's 606, 607, 608, 609, 610 and 625 and to approve with the modifications I've described Preconsidered LU 614. Council, please call the roll.

COUNCIL CLERK: Chair Moya?

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Aye.

COUNCIL CLERK: Council Member Levin?

relating to property in Council Member Holden's

25

Thank you.

25

COUNCIL CLERK:

2.2

JACQUELINE SCARENCHIE: Good morning Chair Moya and Council Members, Jacqueline Scarenchie of Akerman LLP for the Applicant 6411 QB Owner and I'm joined today by Nigema Rivera of HANAC. The projects affordable housing administering agent.

To provide you some contacts, this proposal will have two project areas that span two neighborhoods within Queens Community District 2. Project area one is in the woodside neighborhood and spans the two block fronts between  $64^{\rm th}$  Street and  $65^{\rm th}$  Place.

And project area 2 is located in Maspeth and spans the two block fronts between  $70^{\rm th}$  Street and  $73^{\rm rd}$  Street.

So, the Applicant here is not requesting a rezoning. The existing zoning is an R7XC-3 with voluntary inclusionary housing that was passed in 2006. This application seeks to take advantage of the mandatory inclusionary housing text that would allow a 6.0 FAR, when providing onsite affordable housing.

Currently, today, no affordable housing would be required. You would be able to build a 3.75 FAR with the Text Amendment, any new residential development

SUBCOMMITEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 would be required to provide onsite affordable

3 housing and utilize the 6.0 FAR.

2.2

So, just to provide the first project area, currently, in this area there are a mix of hotels, R site used to be a hotel and it's currently vacant property but there is also a hotel located on 65<sup>th</sup> street. A lot of the new residential development that's being built in this area on Queens Boulevard is actually just as of right market rate housing and not providing affordable, just building up to the 30.75 FAR.

And then, just to show the other project area between 70<sup>th</sup> and 72<sup>nd</sup> Street, our development site 2 is this triangular lot between 72<sup>nd</sup> and 73<sup>rd</sup> Street. It's currently livery cab licensing lot and also, it's a used car sales lot.

So, the proposed development at 6411 Queens
Boulevard will be a new 13 story mixed use building
with 140 residential units, approximately 42
permanently affordable units and they'll be 1600
square feet of ground floor retail, 75 parking
spaces.

And then, the second proposed development at 7212 Queens Boulevard will be a 12-story mixed use

building with 78 residential units, 23 permanently affordable units and 5,481 square feet of ground

4 | floor retail.

2.2

2.3

This is the site plan. At the request of Queens Community Board 2, the section of our lot where you see is the very narrow portion, they had asked us to make that open space rather than at Grey Parking and the developer, they are accommodating the request.

And then, just to turn it over now to Nigema who will be working with the teams affordable housing.

NIGEMA RIVERA: Good morning, my name is Nigema Rivera and I am the Director of Property Management for HANAC INC.

For those of you who are unfamiliar with HANAC,
HANAC is a multifaceted social service nonprofit
organization founded in 1972 in Astoria New York and
was developed to serve the needs of a vulnerable
population throughout the city.

For the past 20 years, HANAC has played an everlasting role as an affordable housing developer throughout the City of New York.

HANAC now owns and operates four fully service senior residences and one multifamily residence, all totaling well over 600 units within Astoria, Corona

2 and Flushing Queens. HANAC is fully committed with

3 the development of affordable housing especially for

4 seniors and we support any effort towards that goal.

5 Speedy Management, HANAC's property management

6 company will be working on this project as the

7 administrating agent and managing company for the MIH

8 units.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

Speedy Management will be doing all the marketing on reaching out to the Community Boards, advertising the project in local newspapers and processing all the application, as well as providing other types of community based services. HANAC's trained HPD housing ambassadors would assist with applicants, with the application process any forms and referrals for counseling.

As the managing agent, we will ensure compliance and regulatory agreement as followed. Our overall objective is to provide effective management and assist with providing housing for all.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. Just a couple of questions. Just going back to the MIH, how did you decide the two MIH options proposed in this application?

JACQUELINE SCARENCHIE: So, we're proposing

Option 1 and Option 2. This, I pointed out in the beginning, there's two project areas, so they are both in Community District 2 but they definitely span to different areas, Maspeth and Woodside, so providing both options but proposing Option 2.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Okay, could you speak to the

reasons you chose the boundaries of the proposed MIH areas? For example, like why not a smaller or larger geography?

JACQUELINE SCARENCHIE: Sure, so, for project area one, these development sites, they are both vacant hotels. So, in working with the Department of City Planning, we believe this was an appropriate project area because it would encompass sites that were — they're along Queens Boulevard. It's a wide street and these were areas that were looking to redevelop.

And then, on the 7212 this site actually, in developing the MIH rational for this, is just directly adjacent to 6902 Queens Boulevard which was just recently approved by the City Council. So, our development site is between  $72^{\rm nd}$  and  $73^{\rm rd}$  and the

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Yeah, absolutely, thank you.

25

that of course this will bring much needed housing

25

especially one third of it has been set aside for affordable housing, which is hard to find of course in Queens.

And so, we thank God for the fact that also we bring about a job opportunities as well. And so, I'm representing not only those who are a part of the Hope Church but those who are part of Eastern Baptist Association where I serve as moderator. We have 110 churches and we are very interested in this project moving forward.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Great, thank you, thank you Reverend.

MARK ESPINOSA: Good morning Chair Moya and members of the Subcommittee. My name is Mark Anthony Espinosa, I'm a cleaner and I have been a member of 32BJ SEIU for 12 years. I'm here today on behalf of my union and the 3,000 members who live and work in Community District 2.

New York's economy is hard on working families and we believe that in order to create a more balanced New York, new developments should come with commitments to create prevailing wage building service jobs. We are pleased to tell you that the

,

developer for this project has made a credible commitment to provide prevailing wage jobs to the future property service workers at this site.

32BJ sees this as an example of responsible development, private development that includes MIH is important for creating a more equitable New York. We believe that this development team has a vision to invest in this community and we are happy to support this plan. We respectfully request that you approve this project.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you, thank you both for your testimony today.

PANEL: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Are there any other members of the public who wish to testify? Seeing none, I now close the public hearing on this Application and it will be laid over.

We're just going to take a brief pause for one minute and we'll be right back.

Thank you, we're going to continue. We will now move on our hearing, on our other hearings. We will now hear LU 626 for the 46-74 Gansevoort Street

I now open the public hearing on this application. I will call the first panelist, Elizabeth Bennett.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL CLERK: Please state your name and raise your right hand for the record.

ELIZABETH BENNETT: Elizabeth Bennett.

COUNCIL CLERK: Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth and to answer all questions truthfully?

ELIZABETH BENNETT: I do.

SUBCOMMITEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 COUNCIL CLERK: Thank you.

2.2

2.3

ELIZABETH BENNETT: Good morning Chair Moya and Council Members. My name is Elizabeth Bennett and I am an Attorney at Fox Rothschild representing the applicant.

As you mentioned, we're seeking a modification to a 1984 rezoning to amend a restrictive declaration to add permitted uses within Use Groups 3 and 4, which are community facility uses and Use Group 6B which is office use.

After extensive discussions with the community,

Community Board 2 and the Speakers Office, the

applicant has committed to operational bulk and

community benefit space here and we're very happy

with how those discussions have gone and I'll get to

more detail on that in a moment.

The City Planning Commission recently approved the application, which brings us before you today. The site is located at 46-74 Gansevoort Street between Washington and Granite Streets. It's withing the Gansevoort Market Historic District, which is best known for its history in meat packing and meat market uses which weaned in the 1970's. The site is located within an M1-5 Zoning District and the

1 SUBCOMMITEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 surrounding area is predominantly commercial in

3 character.

2.2

2.3

The site is subject to a Restrictive Declaration which dates back to a 1984 rezoning, which limits the permitted uses at the site. The Declaration as initially placed on the property in conjunction with a 1984 rezoning, which disclosed that the rezoning could potentially result in adverse environmental impacts. The meat production related uses in the area.

So, in order to mitigate those potential impacts, the Declaration was put in place to require certain uses at this site and various other sites in the area, including 95 Horatio Street, which was before the Council a few years ago.

The Declaration has been amended multiple times over the years, but the current declaration is the second amended declaration which allows the permitted uses which are in Use Groups 11, 16, 17 and 18. Use Groups 6 and 9 except no Use Group 6B offices. No eating and drinking establishments with entertainment uses and no eating and drinking establishments in the rear yards or on the roof.

The modification before you today, seeks to add

Use Groups 3, 4 and 6B to those which are currently

permitted and the restrictions on eating and drinking

in rear yards and eating and drinking with

entertainment uses would remain in place.

As I mentioned, this is in an M1-5 zoning district and a variety of uses are permitted on an as of right basis including uses in Groups 3 to 14, 16 and 17.

The 1984 Restrictive Declaration which was originally placed on the property in conjunction with the original rezoning, limited the site to the what they call the permitted uses at the time which were uses in Groups 11, 16, 17 and 18 and required best efforts to maintain the site for meat related uses in Use Groups 17A and 17B.

The Declaration was amended in 1998 to expand the permitted uses to include Use Group 6 at 46 to 50 Gansevoort Street. It was later amended in 2003 to add additional uses in Use Group 6 and 9 to all sites at the property, and the Council later modified that City Planning's approval on that matter to prohibit Use Group 6B offices, eating and drinking

2.2

2.3

2 establishment in rear yards and eating and drinking

3 establishments with entertainment.

2.2

2.3

So, at the time I'll note that the Council, I believe made that modification in response to community concerns. We've spent a great deal of time working with the community to allay those concerns and to make sure everyone is comfortable with the proposal that is before you today. So, we are back here to seek Use Groups 3 and 4 and 6B to be added to the permitted uses.

As I mentioned, we've had extensive discussions with the community and in the context of those discussions, the applicant has agreed to many restrictions that benefit the quality of life for the surrounding neighbors and the community. Including restrictions on the number of liquor licenses, the building height, the use of floor area and the use of the outdoor areas at the site, which includes restrictions on the hours of operation for the outdoor areas and prohibits music and amplified sound in those outdoor areas and that was in direct response to discussions with the community.

Additionally, the applicant has agreed to provide on site community benefit space in the amount of

SUBCOMMITEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

1,775 rentable square feet on the lower level of 68
Gansevoort to be rented to a nonprofit arts
organization for a \$1 a year and off site community
benefit space in the amount of 4,000 rentable square
feet of community benefit space at a site that's at
Weehawken and Christopher Street and this would
allocated between an arts nonprofit user and a
service nonprofits user. The rent would be below
market rent at \$25 per rentable square foot and the
community benefit space for the service organization
could be exchanged for funding at the election of the
service organization or owner and no more at 50

From a land use perspective, this application makes a lot of sense. The proposed uses actually fit better within the context of the surrounding area than some of the uses that are currently permitted today and the uses that we're seeking are otherwise permitted by the underlying M1-5 zoning district and office use predominate in the area.

percent of all of these spaces would be below grade.

So, we feel that this proposal is in good context within the surrounding area and the applicant is very happy to have reached agreements with the Community

Use Committee.

Human babies have been conceived and born in less time than it took to hammer out the details of CB2's approval of this application.

Nonetheless, it's an example of the public process at its best. After years of controversy and litigation over this development, the neighbors started out in almost complete opposition to the developers request for a change of use.

One ensued was months of negotiation, a stalemate and then a friendly intervention by the Community Board. Ultimately, a compromise was worked out and unanimity prevailed. The terms of the agreement include carefully crafted quality of life protections for the neighbors and a significant public benefit for the community at large.

What you have before you is a win win, thanks to Eric Botcher and Pat Comerford in the Speaker's office for their diplomacy. To Chelsey Kelly of the City Council's Land Use Committee for her guidance over many months and to the neighbors and the developers for their willingness to evolve.

Thank you.

2.2

2.3

ZACH WEINSTEIN: Good morning. Thank you for this opportunity to testify. My name is Zach

2.2

Weinstein, I'm Co-Chair of Save Gansevoort, a community organization which mobilized to oppose this development project.

The purpose of the 2003 amendment to the restrictive declaration, which you are considering today, the prohibition on office use was something that we negotiated with Chris Quinn when she was our City Council person back in 2003 and the purpose of that restriction was to remove the economic incentive for development on this block.

This block at that time and up until recently was an iconic block of intact one and two story market buildings. It was essentially the poster child for the Gansevoort Market Historic District.

Unfortunately, that plan failed. Economics changed, the prohibition on office use was insufficient to prohibit development on that block. There has been a massive development on the west side of that block. It was extremely contentious; we are unhappy that we lost it to Landmarks Commission but that's water under the bridge.

And moving forward, as Frederica mentioned, there was a long process to negotiate community benefits that could be exchanged for allowing office use on

1 SUBCOMMITEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2 2.3

24

25

77 this block. We believe that the package that was put together is fair and reasonable. We thank Speaker Johnson's Office and Community Board for all of their work in negotiating that package.

We just have two concerns that I'd like to briefly mention. First of all, the side agreements must be memorialized in a legally binding and enforceable agreement of some sort simultaneous with the passing of the amendment to allow office use.

Second of all, there is some ambiguity in the Community Board Resolution, which we would like to very briefly mention. In that resolution, whereas Claus Number 11, states that space will be made available to a nonprofit service organization at 711 Weehawken Street at a rent of \$25 per square foot with an increase every ten years, every five years.

May I have an extra? Thank you. The resolution goes on to say, in lieu of space, the service organization and/or Aurora may opt for an annuity or lump sum in an amount of approximately commissure it with the value of the rent, exact amount to be negotiated by Aurora and the organization.

There's some ambiguity there because it's our understanding that that lump sum should represent the SUBCOMMITEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

78

2

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

value of the rent subsidy to the nonprofit organization, not the rent itself. The rent is presumably less than -

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you, because we have a long list of people waiting to testify, so thank you for your testimony.

UNIDENTIFIED: Can I yield my time? I'm really just here to support what he is saying, so that you know there are more people in the community that are here on part of Save Gansevoort?

ZACH WEINSTEIN: In 20 seconds I can finish up.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Okay.

ZACH WEINSTEIN: Anyway, we do ask that the Council clarify whether that lump sum should represent the amount of the rent subsidy being donated by Aurora to the nonprofit organization and finally, that we will of course support whatever Speaker Johnson's Office and Community Board 2 end up determining on this matter.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Great, thank you all for your testimony today. Thank you.

Are there any other members of the public who wish to testify? Seeing none, I now close the public hearing on this Application and it will be laid over.

We will now here LU 632 through 635 for the Lenox Terrace proposal relating to property in Council Member Perkins's District. The application seeks approval for a Zoning Map amendment, a changing in R7-2 District with partial C1-2 Overlays to an R8 District with partial C1-5 Overlays. A Zoning Text Amendment to establish an MIH area utilizing Options 1 and 2. A Zoning Special Permit for a large scale general development and another zoning special permit to allow a reduction in required parking spaces to facilitate a proposed new development in the Lenox Terrace superblock site in the central Harlem neighborhood of Manhattan.

If approved, the proposal would permit the development of five new approximately 28 story residential buildings with ground floor retail, a new central open space and 525 off street parking spaces, 494 of which would be provided below grade.

I now open the public hearing on this application and I would like to turn it over to Council Member Perkins for his remarks.

COUNCIL MEMBER PERKINS: Thank you very much for this opportunity to share with you the concerns that the residents from my district have with regard to this proposal.

Of those who don't know, my name is Bill Perkins, I'm the Councilman that represents the 9<sup>th</sup> Council District in the Village of Harlem.

The 9<sup>th</sup> District that I represent is a very diverse community. The residents represent the entire spectrum of New York City from "Harlem born and bred" to the Harlem dreamers. From river to river east central and west Harlem, I represent and have represented this community for 30 years. The Lenox Terrace ULURP proposal represents a unique opportunity to "test case" if you will, others have an eye on Lenox Terrace as goals Lenox Terrace. Some in the neighborhood are very concerned that the future of the Lenox Terrace will also represent the demise of the neighborhood.

Very rarely has a project of this magnitude received such attention as exhibited here today and today, I express my continued opposition to the Lennox Terrace ULURP application. For almost a decade I have supported the residents of Lenox

2.2

2.3

Terrace and the communities opposition to this application. I have held dozens of meetings over the course of my tenure and in the New York State Senate and in the New York City Council.

Over the years, I have met with consultants, housing, labor and environmental advocates. My colleagues in government and the organization and the development team and I have not changed my position that this project is not good for our community.

The scale of this project tends to drastically change the landscape quality of life of the residents and the numerous issues not addressed by organizations.

Since 2013, the community and Lenox Terrace residents have not only opposed the up zoning but have asked the ULURP applicant organization to downscale the height and the scope of even as the as of right development plan. How can you say to over 1600 units of new housing, how can you say no to over 1600 units of new housing? I was asked by a journalist. I can say no, because it effects my community. The new luxury housing and businesses will displace thousands of residents, small business owned and shoppers.

2.2

2.3

If allowed this project will have a ripple effect throughout this community. The impact will be seen in many ways; air quality, loss of open space, adverse shadow impact, lack of sun for all the buildings 470 Lenox Avenue, 40 west on 35<sup>th</sup> Street and 45 west on 32<sup>nd</sup> Street in particular.

Overcrowded schools, transit systems, subway platforms, pedestrian and vehicular byways, parks, libraries and hospitals. The neighborhood will have to undertake the burden of this project, which is ill conceived for a community that already lacks efficient resources.

In 2013, a survey was conducted amongst the residents of Lenox Terrace. The 2013 survey concluded that over 78 percent of the residents were opposed to the redevelopment and rezoning plan.

Today, seven years later, the consensus has not changed. Further, the organization has shown itself to be a bad player in this community for years. Not just since this zoning change was conceived over a decade ago. Residents have endured lack of services ranging from broken elevators, leaking ceilings, mice, bed bug infestations. Only with the refusal over the years to make any upgrades to aging units

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

all excited but please, we just need to keep it down. Thank you, thank you very much.

I'd like to call up the first panel. Thank you to Council Member Perkins for your remarks. Goodman, Edward Applebaum and Chris Grabe.

ETHAN GOODMAN: This is Ethan Goodman with Fox Rothschild, we're a Land Use Council to the Olnick Organization and I'm joined with Chris Grabe from

Olnick did is examined what zoning would really allow

to happen here under the current regulations and the

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

SUBCOMMITEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 85 regulations that exist today. And that current project, the as of right project, that project that they could move forward with, has got about four towers that exceed 200 feet in height, about 500 market rate apartments with about a six to seven year construction period. But unfortunately, and contrary to the goals of the Olnick Organization that built Lenox Terrace in the late 50's, what the as of right would not let us do is substantially improve the existing property. It wouldn't let us bring a lot of the amenities we feel are vital to bring this complex into the 21<sup>st</sup> Century.

It wouldn't let us build onsite consistent retail presence on the street front and most importantly, it would not let us build hundreds of affordable units to address the city's crisis and affordability. So, instead, we move forward to the plan that will do something different and something much more beneficial. We've moved forward with a plan that will both strengthen the core of the existing Lenox Terrace by building over six acres of open space, improving exiting apartments, renovating existing buildings and lobbies and corridors, providing new

building amenities for all residents, all with no corresponding rent increases for existing residents.

What it will also do is enliven the streets

around Lenox Terrace by building five new buildings at the corners of the property and new street level retail throughout. What's most important here is that the new development and the improvements to existing, must and will happen together.

Unfortunately, building an as of right project tomorrow according to the regulations, cannot make the substantial upgrades and improvements and affordability that we can if we can build some additional density and height.

Upgrades to the existing buildings just can't happen without some new development. However, we will also commit the development of the new buildings will not happen without the concurrent upgrades and we stand ready and have for years stood ready to memorialize all of those commitments in a binding and enforceable tenant benefits agreement with the existing residents.

What the project could bring is indeed one additional new tour over what we could do today. Buildings at about 280 feet but no higher than the

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

SUBCOMMITEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 87 height of Harlem Hospital. The project originally had a low rise building along Lenox Avenue, Malcolm X Boulevard in the center; I'll talk about that more in a second. Every building is a minimum of 60 feet away from every existing building which is the width of a city street. Over 1600 units of new housing and most importantly, between 400 and 500 units of much needed affordable housing with the potential to house over 160 families earning the minimum wage.

We believe this to be the largest privately owned and funded development of affordable housing in Harlem, which we believe is vitally important to both the community and the city.

With respect to the evolution of this project, we have considered concerns that have raised in the course of this process and before it and we have made some substantial modifications. First of all, there have been concerns about the historic entrance to the driveway at 470 Lenox Avenue. There was a low rise six story building that we had originally proposed there. We've eliminated that building and opened up the entrance to 470 Lenox, so that it's even wider than it exists today, 175 foot opening that improves and sustains the original historic driveway into 470

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

SUBCOMMITEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES and opens up views westward from 470 Lenox. significant modification and if you look at this in summary, our five buildings with a max height to 280 feet as one additional tower and it is 80 feet higher than we would likely build as of right.

But it does bring 400 to 500 units of new affordable housing compared to a market rate as of right development. Again, households earning as little as \$30,000 a year, which is the minimum wage would be able to live in the new units that are occurring here.

What's infeasible to provide if we only go as of right, is the substantial amenity package that would consider modern amenities for all existing residents at Lenox Terrace and those include kids playrooms, yoga studios, community rooms, gyms, all built with the new buildings and all open to everybody on Lenox Terrace.

Improving the retail environment by building a uniform and consistent retail street wall, built into the new building on Lenox Avenue, 5<sup>th</sup> Avenue, 135<sup>th</sup> Street and 132<sup>nd</sup> Street.

This would be neighborhood retail development. About 150,000 square feet in total, small to mid-size

2.2

2.3

there for 60 years.

local retailers and here is where the second major modification in response to community concerns comes in. There was a lot of concern at the outset of this project that this was a high density commercial rezoning. We have removed the commercial rezoning and we have reverted back to a residential zone with the same C1 Commercial Overlay that exists today.

Large retails establishments would not be permitted.

Smaller local retailers on the first floor would. We believe this is going to retain and enhance the existing local community retail orientation of the project and improve the retailers that have been

The open space plan, most of the interior of the property is currently paved and asphalt. What we can do is transform that paved space without reducing parking to any residents by moving the parking underground, to garages one level below that are handled by valet. We can develop over six acres of new green space, add hundreds of new trees on the interior of the property.

Large central parking lots can become large central lawns for passive recreation. Driveways and parking spaces can becomes pathways, pocket parks and

benches. In addition, our proposals commit to renovations to the existing property that would go inside the existing building with renovations to all of the six lobbies of the existing Lenox Terrace buildings and most importantly with upgrades to existing residential units.

Now, I want to make it clear that the upgrades we're talking about are not general maintenance, they are not repairs, they are not things that we are obligated and must do in are committed to doing every day to keep up the habitability of every unit here.

That's not what we're talking about.

What we are talking about is tens of thousands of dollars of capital improvements to the kitchens and bathrooms of every unit that hasn't been renovated since 2000. This is at no cost, not passing on any rent increases, not changing the situation or the finances of any exiting resident but putting this in place in conjunction with this project. Renovating all the hallways with new lighting, paint, carpeting and committing that the renovation will occur at the same time as the construction of the new development. The benefits of this project are substantial and significant.

One of the largest development projects as far as spending in Harlem, 700 plus million dollars in new construction spending with thousands of direct and generated construction jobs and hundreds of millions of direct and generated wages. Hundreds of permanent jobs and millions of new wages.

I'd also like to make it clear that we have heard the concerns and the comments of the Borough President and the Community Board and others with respect to affordability and affordability that attempts to go beyond just MIH. So, in addition to the 400 to 500 units, we are engaged in serious and substantial conversations with HPD to develop an affordability package that increases the affordability of the units to be developed and works on a long term preservation plan for the existing Lenox Terrace residents and the existing Lenox

We work very hard and we hope to be able to share additional details with that as we further develop this plan.

With that, I will close and my colleagues are here to answer any questions as am  ${\sf I}$ .

2.2

2.3

of the onsite open space that's there now?

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Great, thank you.

couple of questions before I turn over to Council

Member Perkins.

\_

You touched upon this a little bit, but the proposed plan is creating significant adverse impacts on open space. How are you planning to mitigate those impacts and what about the public accessibility

two points. So, the adverse impact with respect to open space, it was deemed that because we were bringing in additional residential population that would use additional residential open space, we did not take or get any credit in that review for the six acres of onsite open space. It was not all dedicated publicly accessible open space, so that was not considered in that analysis that determined there to be an impact. Nonetheless, we did have an impact.

What we've done, is we've developed a plan to mitigate that by investing millions of dollars in upgrades to the Howard Bennett Playground across the street. New play equipment, new comfort stations and also to invest in the Hansborough Recreation Center which is a city owned recreation facility on the

Lenox Terrace block by contributing to funding new recreational and play equipment inside Hansborough.

So, this package was the result of a lot of hard work and discussions with the Parks Department and the Department of City Planning and so, we've come up with a plan that we think is substantially improving the local open spaces.

And, I'm sorry, I missed the second part. Oh yeah, the public accessibility.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Right.

ETHAN GOODMAN: Sure, so as we've moved through this process, there have been a lot of countervailing and different opinions as to the level of public accessibility of what is currently an interior private open space. We recognize concerns on both sides. Anybody who — good planning principles call for there to be some public accessibility but there's also concerns about safety and security in maintaining that among existing residents. We acknowledge those, what we've emerged from coming out the City Planning Commissions vote to approve, is a plan that currently provides actual dedicated public pedestrian walkway in a throughput corridor between Lenox Avenue Malcolm X and 5<sup>th</sup> Avenue, so they'll

SII

2.2

2.3

SUBCOMMITEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 have free corridor to actually basically cut through 3 the property. So, sort of extend that street grid

4 through the property.

So, right now, there's sort of a compromise as a bit of both as public and this in private.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Okay, the residents have raised concern around the big box retailers taking below grade space here. For example, the cellar space would not count towards the zoning floor area. How are you addressing these concerns and do you have a plan for how you intend to tenant the retail spaces that would be coming?

ETHAN GOODMAN: Sure, I will leave out of my remarks that the state of big box retail in general, which is not very strong these days but who knows what the future might hold. The plan is not for big box here and the C1 Overlay District will indeed prevent that from occurring on the ground level. In theory, the below grade space, which is cellar not floor area, could go over 10,000 for some of these uses.

However, if you look at the actual site plan,
you'll see that the below grade spaces are extremely,
extremely limited. Our parking plan and as part of

SUBCOMMITEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

1

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

95

2 the site plan that gets approved in this project,

3 moves almost all of the parking to below grade. And

4 so, the one area where in theory you could put a

5 larger retail, which is along Lenox Avenue in a below

6 grade space, essentially all of that space, not all

7 of it but almost all of it would be dedicated to a

below grade parking garage, right.

So, there really just isn't physically any space to put a large retail presence like a big box there of 10,000, 15,000 or more square feet, down in the cellar space. So, there's a practical limit in the site plan to what we could even do below grade.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: And lastly, what kind of local hiring efforts are planned, especially planned for construction and permanent jobs on the site?

ETHAN GOODMAN: So, we've realized from the beginning that local hiring and also local contracting is vitally important to this project. That's why we have been in close coordination and conversation with the greater Harlem Chamber of Commerce for a period of time now and there maybe some representatives that may testify today.

Towards developing a plan for local hiring and local contracting during construction and bringing on

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 an advisor to advise in that manner. At this point

3 and time, what we would plan to do is ratchet it up

4 to a number of months before retailers are in and

5 hiring to have things like local job fairs and

6 utilize the resources of the Chamber and other

stakeholders to make sure we maximize the people who

are available to apply for those jobs.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Great, thank you very much. I will now turn it over to Council Member Perkins for questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER PERKINS: Thank you again for the opportunity to share some of the concerns that have come to our attention from the community and amongst them or a few that I tried to articulate now. How long will the proposed construction period be and what kind of impacts will it create in terms of noise, air quality, vibrations and traffic and what are you specifically proposing to do to mitigate these impacts?

ETHAN GOODMAN: Right, so, we believe the construction period for the proposed project would be approximately seven years.

COUNCIL MEMBER PERKINS: The construction period will be seven years?

SUBCOMMITEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

97

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ETHAN GOODMAN: It would be approximately seven years from start to finish.

COUNCIL MEMBER PERKINS: Is that an optimistic estimate or is that a realistic estimate?

ETHAN GOODMAN: We think it's a realistic estimate.

COUNCIL MEMBER PERKINS:

ETHAN GOODMAN: So, during the construction period, there would be noise as there is throughout any large construction in the city. Most of that noise or the greatest intensity of that noise occurs during the number of months where you are basically building a foundation for a building.

You are driving piles for that building and through the course of this process, there were concerns that were also expressed about noise, about construction impacts with respect to dust, to air quality, to matters like that. So, not only have we developed and plan to implement a list of measures to reduce air quality impacts during any sort of demolition and construction by using the highest tier and lowest emission equipment as a result of this but in addition, what's important here is that the

3

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

\_\_\_

22

23

24

25

demolition that's involved in this project is the essentially demolition of one story buildings.

So, we're demolishing one story buildings and we're only excavating one story for this project. So, you've got basically a confined period of time in which you're really focusing on the dust issues and so, we're really focused on the one story of demolition. Once you start erecting the concerns or more about noise and as far as that is, we've got a plan actually to provide alternate means of ventilation, air conditioners, so people can keep windows closed in the surrounding area but we're not pretending that a project of seven years will have no effects and no impact. It certainly will have an impact on people around it and it will be loud. There will be things that occurring but we have developed a series of measures including hot lines that will be available 24 hours a day in order to respond all of those concerns.

COUNCIL MEMBER PERKINS: Well, I'm glad your beginning to look into that. I would hope that effort is as genuine and somewhat aggressive in terms of communicating with the neighborhood that will be effected. And so, towards that end, how are you

2 communicating with folks in the neighborhood that are

going to be impacted?

/

)

ETHAN GOODMAN: Right, so, knock on wood, if we are fortunate enough to receive approval and move forward with this project. Prior to any construction, what we would plan to do is convene a series of initially introduction meetings to walk through the entire process and timing in what occurs. We would be establishing a dedicated essentially hotline and team to respond to any questions and

The difference here as opposed to perhaps some other construction projects where a developer is building out a piece of land, they own next to neighbors that are here strangers. We're building on our own property, and the people that are clearly of not the only but great concern are our tenants right.

concerns and we would be willing to commit to doing

this periodically as we move forward in the process.

Tenants that are currently you know in our buildings that we run and operate and so, there's a significant incentive to make sure that the Olnick Organization's property of Lenox Terrace is continued to run in a fashion that doesn't you know, wholly displace and aggravate their existing residents.

am the founder of the Harlem Tenants Council. We've

25

2.2

2.3

2 been around for almost three decades and I'm here to

3 oppose this rezoning proposal of the Olnick Company.

I'm not going to repeat the very eloquent remarks of City Councilman Perkins which I believe captures the sentiment of most of the people here in the building. However, I wish to address the totality of this project on the greater community of Harlem that has gone through the expansion of Columbia University in west Harlem, it's \$6 billion project. We've also witnessed the rezoning of 125<sup>th</sup> Street approved by the City Council. The rezoning of east 125<sup>th</sup> Street, approved by this City Council.

And so, I am here to talk about all of those projects with its net impact on the greater community of Harlem. Increasing homelessness, increasing the commercial rents. We saw right away after the rezoning of 125<sup>th</sup> street, 71 businesses closed. They were shuttered and we're going to see even more on Lenox Avenue and for those people who are here, Lenox Avenue is the historic avenue of Harlem. All of the great events that have happened there.

So, the issue quickly, there are two issues. The issue of affordability, which can be construed in any number of directions. What do you mean by

Most of these construction workers -

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Nelly, you're going to have wrap it up because it's two minutes and we have a long panel.

NELLIE BAILEY: I see. Most of these construction workers do not have a book.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you so much. Thank you.

NELLIE BAILEY: So, please, please. We demand that
you vote against.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. Anthony Harris.

NELLIE BAILEY: This rezoning.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Please, and please, I just want to make sure that everyone, you got to keep it down. There is no clapping. There is no clapping but please be conscious that we have a two minute clock for a reason. There's a lot of people who came here to testify and we want to make sure that everyone gets their opportunity to do so. It's been a long day and we have a long list going forward.

So, please be mindful of that. Thank you.

2.2

2.3

community since 2000.

2.2

2.3

ANTHONY HARRIS: Greetings, I'm a long time resident of Harlem and I, along with most of the people here say no to this rezoning. I just listened to Olnick state their case and the word that came to me was just basically being disingenuous. As of right, they have ownership of this land to do as they choose and they say they concerned this since 2000. Let's look at what they've done to the surrounding

A historic diner that was very important to the community called Pan Pan, burned down. They put nothing up there. You look at 5<sup>th</sup> Avenue, which is the opposite side of the slide that they showed you, there's nothing. There are building, there are stores gaited up. There is nothing there, so the question in my mind becomes you know, you had as of right and you to liven the streets, I think the word was used by someone that spoke up in representation of them. Why didn't they try to liven the streets then? They only want to do this rezoning and they talk about the things they want to do only as a money grab. That's all it really is.

They are not being disingenuous and honest with the community and as Ms. Bailey said, the

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

104

2 affordability issue is certainly in question. S

3 I'm just very curious about this and furthermore, and

SUBCOMMITEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

4 you know there's one thing that comes to mind to is

that with the current residents, there is no trust.

6 There is no trust with the current residents.

There's a tone deaf perception that Olnick has with the current with the current residents.

So, they're only going to upgrade the apartments of the current residents only if they get what they want. That doesn't sound on the up and up. That's not honest dealings. If you don't get what you want, then it's just going to be business as usual. I'm done.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Folks, we got to keep it down please. Thank you.

JANSY ACOSTA: My name is Jensy Acosta, I am the Community Life Director at the Gathering Harlem. The Gathering Harlem submits this testimony in support of the tenants of Lenox Terrace urging you to oppose the pending rezoning application by Olnick's Organization. We believe any approval of the application will only exacerbate the continued harms of gentrification which have already caused

1 SUBCOMMITEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

displacement of our members, neighbors and countless others.

The Gathering Harlem internally has over 400 members has seen firsthand the disruptions of similar rezoning's. what it has caused to our families, to our small businesses and the support networks in Harlem.

We believe that Olnick's plan to add five, 28 story buildings reduced the number of truly affordable housing units and repurposed the land for commercial use, will place an unmitigated burden for the residents to access basic resources.

I think that it's interesting that in 2017, the median household income in central Harlem was \$49,995, while the median asking rent price for an apartment was \$2350.00. Meaning a person with a median household income was asked to pay in 2017 nearly half of their annual household income on rent. This is only going to exacerbate the problem.

2.2

2.3

I'm someone who was born and raised in Harlem and I remember working as an Apple technician while I was still living at home and not having enough money for us to keep our apartment in Harlem. An Apple

SUBCOMMITEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

106

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2 2.3

24

technician who was born and raised in the neighborhood cannot keep their apartment in Harlem.

This is only to exacerbate the issue that we are encountering here.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you.

VERONICA GLASGO: Hello, my name is Veronica Glasgo[SP?]. I was born and raised in Harlem and I've lived in Lenox Terrace 43 years.

We've always been able to post any information to tenants and recently there was a lot of door drops by Olnick that were incorrect. That was not truthful and there were Tenants Association and the tenants would repost things with the correct information.

On February 3<sup>rd</sup>, this letter was door dropped to the tenants. Lenox Terrace residents: residents, regarding our policy that you notice flyers and notices in common areas of the buildings that are being posted, we'd like to remind every one of the rules section within the leases governing the units. Posting of signs and flyers, tenants may not post signs and flyers around the property without first obtaining prior written approval from owner. Tenants are required to submit their request to the

SUBCOMMITEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

general manager of the property and will be notified within 48 hours after submission.

not meeting these requirements will be removed from

All approved signs, flyers, can only be posted on bulletin boards designated by owner. Signs, flyers,

7 the property.

2.2

2.3

Recognizing that from time to time, residents would like to share information with their neighbors, we have designated bulletin boards in every building for this purpose. Anyone wishing to post anything on these boards can bring copies to the management office at least 48 hours prior to the desired time of posting.

Notices that comply with the established guidelines will be posted by the property management team. I did say I lived here 43 years and there is nothing in my original lease that says I cannot post.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. Please let's just keep the applause to a minimum. Thank you. I'm going to call up the next panel. Jessica Ortiz, Emmett Causey, Tony Hillary and Winston Majat[SP?].

So, we have Jessica correct? Emit? Tony? Do we have Tony Hillary? Did we lose Tony? No Tony.

SUBCOMMITEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

108

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Cleston Lord, is that Cleston? Did I say it correctly? Thank you.

Emmett, we're going to start with you and you can begin.

EMMETT CAUSEY: Good afternoon everybody. name is Emmett Causey, I'm the Vice President of Greater Harlem Housing Development and we at Greater Housing Development is a not-for-profit development organization that has provided affordable housing in the Central Harlem area and as a longstanding member of the Central Harlem business community and also as members of the Greater Harlem Chamber of Commerce, we are pleased to inform you that we believe the proposed plans for the Lenox Terrace renovation and new development will bring meaningful benefit to our service area as well as to our businesses.

With that in mind, we encourage you to support the Lenox Terrace initiative. As Harlem Knights, and I've been a Harlem Knight all my life, born and raised, we not only treasure Harlem's history but also care deeply about its future and will be directly affected. That is why we are in favor of the various positive components that the Lenox Terrace Initiative can and will bring to Harlem.

2.2

2.3

Greater Harlem Housing Development Corporation has owned and operated a 100 percent affordable housing portfolio consisting of 117 affordable units of rental apartments ranging from studio's to three bedroom apartments. We therefore understand the pressing and growing need for more affordable housing within the Central Harlem community and how the stated agreement of the creation of an additional 400 or 500 affordable units as part of this proposal, proposed development will address that need.

The proposed plan for Lenox Terrace also has the potential to be an economic boom for our community creating hundreds of temporary part time and full time jobs doing the development and operational phase as well as creating numerous business opportunities for local entrepreneurs.

The development phase will also provide substantial opportunities for local service providers, contractors.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Emmett, we have to wrap it up.

EMMETT CAUSEY: Got the break.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Yeah.

EMMETT CAUSEY: Okay, well, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you for your testimony.

SUBCOMMITEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

110

2 We're going to move to Jessica Ortiz.

3

JESSICA ORTIZ: Good morning Chair

4

Moya and member of the Subcommittee. My name is

5

Jessica Ortiz and I am here on behalf of my union

6

32BJ to talk about how the proposed rezoning will

/

impact building service workers and jobs.

8

This proposal will support the existing building

9

service jobs and standards at Lenox Terrace and

10

create many new good jobs. For more than 30 years,

11

 $32\mathrm{BJ}$  has represented the 51 workers that currently

12

clean and maintain the Lenox Terrace complex.

and provide working families access to upward

jobs pay the industry standard, they have low

that pay the industry standard do just that.

13

These jobs are good jobs that pay the prevailing wage

mobility. Most property service jobs are filled by

the people who live in the community and when these

14

т -т

15

16

\_ -

17

turnover rates.

19

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

Lenox Terrace have served the complex for more than 20 years. Good jobs that provide both growth opportunities and security are important investments in New York Communities and the property service jobs

In fact, the majority of the current staff at

Week Inc., which is to inform you that we believe the

25

3

4

6

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Our major health facility, Harlem Hospital center and of course, our historic culture and library

applications before you for consideration regarding the Lenox Terrace renovation and new development can and will bring meaningful benefit the greater Harlem area as well as to the goals and objectives of Harlem Week.

With that in mind, we encourage you to support the Lenox Terrace Applications. Harlem Week cares deeply about the future of our community. That is why we are in favor of the various positive community benefit components that the Lenox Terrace Initiative can bring to Harlem if properly planned.

Harlem Week is pleased to work in concert with our community partners in the Olnick group to strengthen the overall community impact of the proposed new Lenox Terrace development project. believe this development would properly align with its associated community benefits. Will enhance and compliment the continued growth of our local parks such as Howard Bennett Playground and St. Nicholas Park. Our health and fitness facilities such as the Hansborough Recreation Center, Kennedy Center and the Harlem YMCA.

2.2

2.3

2 facility such as the Schomburg Center for research

3 and Black culture in the county colored library.

Over the past ten years, Harlem Week has worked directly with the Olnick group on major community projects including our summer city project, the third Saturday of August, the Harlem Day the third Sunday of August and the Percy Sutton Harlem 5K and health walk all taking place on West 135<sup>th</sup> Street between 5<sup>th</sup> Avenue and St. Nicholas Avenue.

We also have worked with them, with the New York
City Marathon which is the first Sunday of each
November. We believe that the proposed development
plan and application before you can provide a unique
opportunity to address many of the ever growing needs
of our service area.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you.

CLESTON LORD: Good afternoon. My name is

Cleston Lord, I'm here on behalf of the Great Harlem

Chamber of Commerce and its President Lloyd Williams.

The Chamber of Commerce is pleased to inform that we support the application before you for consideration regarding Lenox Terrace renovation and new development. The proposed plan for the Lenox Terrace has the potential to be an economic boom for

1 SUBCOMMITEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 our community creating hundreds of part time and full

3 time jobs during the development and operational

4 phases as well as creating numerous business

5 opportunities for local entrepreneurs.

2.2

2.3

Development phase will provide substantial opportunities for local service providers, contractors and small businesses. It is further our understanding from the Olnick group that the project is committed to seeking to accomplish the minimum goal of 30 percent MWLBE participation. We also look forward to the substantial revisable leasing of the retail commercial and professional services environment which will benefit the Chambers target area west 127<sup>th</sup> Street to west 142<sup>nd</sup> Street, east from

Because we are concerned about the issues of gentrification, we recognize that the development of the newly affordable housing in Harlem is key to our community. Therefore, we are pleased that the development when concluded is guaranteed to provide between 400 to 500 additional permanently affordable apartments earmarked in the main for Harlem residents. We believe that the applicant has demonstrated flexibility in its proposals before you

5<sup>th</sup> Avenue to west of St. Nicholas Avenue.

We therefore request that you, as well as our New York State Senator, our New York State Assembly

Member and of course our Community Board be supportive of our focus on community benefits in this project.

Sincerely, Lloyd A. Williams, President.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. Thank you very much for your testimony today. I'm calling up the next panel. Julius Tagendin[SP?], Dr. Jim Fairbanks, Valerie Jo Bradley and Alex Glenell. Oh, I'm sorry, it's with an F. I couldn't read your handwriting, sorry. Fennell, sorry Alex.

It's four, it's four, right, yeah. Julius, Dr. Fairbanks, Valerie, Alex, right? Okay, perfect, thank you.

JULIUS TAGENDIN: Good morning Council Members.

I ask that you vote no on the Lenox Terrace rezoning application in its entirety for the reasons expressly articulated in the Manhattan Community Board 10 Resolution regarding same. Recommendation of the honorable Gale Brewer, Manhattan Borough President

1 SUBCOMMITEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 and the oral testimony and written testimony by me,

3 submitted to the City Planning Commission of New York

4 City on December 17, 2019.

2.2

2.3

I submit to you the same written document on file at the City Planning Commission; however, today I will not be redundant. Instead, I will emphasize on the Commissions misunderstanding or lack thereof of the Voting Rights Act of 1965 as amended in 2006, known as the Fannie Lou Hamer, Rosa Parks and Coretta Scott King Voting Rights Act Reauthorization and Amendments Act of 2006.

A few of the Commissioners had concerns whether this application would violate such act. Chairman Castro in answering one particular Commissioner's address of the matter on the day of the vote, who wasn't in attendance at the hearing, simply said that the issue was addressed in a later report by Council and that basically it did not apply to rezoning's rather redistricting. This seems to be a common thought throughout certain circles when it comes to issues pertaining to race, in particular African American communities.

I wish today I could tell you that it's not a race issue but it is a race issue. The plain meaning

1 SUBCOMMITEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 117 2 of the phrase found in Section 5B of such act, any 3 standard practice or procedure clearly implies that 4 there are other things besides redistricting that can negatively impact or dilute a protected groups voting 5 rights and the right that we assert will be diluted 6 7 or diminished as our right as a protected class to have the ability to elect a candidate in our single 8 member district such as City Council of our preference. 10 11 Briefly, I will use as an example, standard. 12 What is meant by standard within the meaning of the 13 section? It's simply is something established by 14 authority, custom or general consent as a model 15 example or point of reference. 16 Example, the housing model for inclusionary 17 housing is 75 percent open market and 25 percent 18 affordable, which as of 2020 does not work for us. 19 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. 20 JULIUS TAGENDIN: I just wanted to -21 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: We have to wrap it up. 2.2 have a long list. 2.3 JULIUS TAGENDIN: Real quick. We meet the qualifications that are found in Thornburg vs. 24

25

Gingle.

2 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Okay, thank you.

2.2

2.3

- 3 JULIUS TAGENDIN: Shelby County was upheld.
- 4 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. Thank you, we have 5 to move on. Thank you very much Dr. Fairbanks.
  - DR. FAIRBANKS: Hi, I'm Dr. Jim Fairbanks, I served as Chief of Staff to Council Member Reverend Wendell Foster and Helen Diane Foster for some 35 years. So, I thank the Council for their condolences. The recent death of Reverend Foster.
  - So, I'm here today to oppose not only this rezoning but all changes in rezoning's to down scale it. This we're talking about the most iconic and historic housing in the history of Harlem and we just can't push the residents out.

There is historic organizing going on in the City of New York. Wherever these rezoning's have popped up, communities have organized like never before.

I'm a member of CASA for 12 years, Make the Road,

Vocal New York City, on and on, groups have stood up because they understand this is gentrification. This is unaffordable. It is displacement, it is the removal of cultures of decades and decades of neighborhoods. The removal of cultures. In the South Bronx, in CASA, we have seen that take place.

5 has to stop.

2.2

2.3

Amenities that Olnick is — they don't need a rezoning promise from us to fix up our buildings.

Olnick also owns a forest in the South Bronx, three square blocks overlooking the Harlem Hospital. So, they're not here now, maybe they are. So, a warning to you, already organizing to stop you from developing and ruining our forests.

Housing should be built for the AMI of the residents of that community. We also need low moderate supportive housing for our people. That's how to end the homeless problem. Instead, we've given over our city to the millionaires, want to be billionaires, who just want to push us out and make money.

Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. Valerie.

VALERIE JO BRADLEY: My name is Valerie Bradley and I'm President of Save Harlem Now.

The current plan to add five, 28 story mixed use building's to Lenox Terrace threatens its cultural

1 SUBCOMMITEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 120 2 and historical significance. It is unfortunate that 3 the City Planning Commission has approved this plan. Now, that the issue has been referred to the City 4 Council, we as Harlem's preservation organization urge you to stand with the tenants of Lenox Terrace, 6 Council Member Bill Perkins, Manhattan Borough 8 President Gale Brewer and Manhattan Community Board 10, who oppose the rezoning plan. Peg Breen, CEO of the New York Landmarks 10

Peg Breen, CEO of the New York Landmarks

Conservancy recently said in a letter to Gale Brewer,

Lenox Terrace is worthy of landmark designation

because it represents an outstanding example of mid
century architecture and planning and has a

remarkable and social history.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

For too long, up-zoning or inappropriate zoning, has facilitated gentrification in Harlem and is changing the face of Harlem all for the sake of a dollar.

This has to stop and Save Harlem Now wants it to stop with Lenox Terrace. We oppose the plan before you.

We would like to see Lenox Terrace designated a landmark and plan to ask the Landmarks Preservation Commission to reexamine its decision not to designate

2 the complex. We agree with Peg Breen, that Lenox

3 Terrace is a stellar example of mid-Century

4 | architecture. Designation does not guarantee to stop

5 development but it would allow the LPC to call for

6 more appropriate buildings.

1

8

The complex and its residents deserve better.

Thus, we urge you to vote no to his plan.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you.

10 ALEX FENNELL: Hi, my name is Alex Fennell, I'm

11 | the network Director of Churches United for Fair

12 | Housing. The proposal put forth by Olnick will

13 | nearly double the housing units in Lenox Terrace and

14 | the vast majority of those units will be market rate

15 or what we consider luxury housing.

16 It's significant to note that in its inception,

17 | Lenox Terrace was an urban renewal project under

18 Robert Moses and project, urban renewal projects of

19 | that type during that period displaced over 250,000

20 New Yorkers from their homes.

21 Unfortunately, under our current land use system,

22 | we see similar patterns of displacement throughout

23 | the city particularly in historic communities of

24 | color. Shifting Lenox Terrace to a largely market

25 rate or luxury development will increase the

2.2

displacement of existing tenants especially given that the developer has already demonstrated they are a bad actor. Neglecting needed repairs and illegally deregulating units.

Currently, Olnick is holding these residents hostage and threatening not to make the repairs they are legally obligated to make unless they get the zoning changes that they want.

Lenox Terrace and the surrounding area has historically been a community that's minority majority and no one can say how that will change if this development moves forward. Because that wasn't considered in previous rezoning's and as for us, as advocates working throughout the city, we do know what will happen as market rate construction increases in historic communities of color.

We see the displacement of residents of color,
much like we saw in Williamsburg where we lost 15,000
Latinx residents despite a 20,000 person population
increase. Without studying how development will
affect racial demographics as part of the
environmental review process, we can't promise or
ensure that proposals will not disproportionately
harm residents of color and the community at large.

## SUBCOMMITEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

Without this type of analysis, we continue to repose any rezoning that moves forward without a racial impact study and would like to call on members of this Committee to support our legislation, Intro.

1572 and we echo the concerns of the Lenox Terrace residents and Council Member Perkins and urge this Committee to vote no on this proposal.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. Thank you all for your testimony. I will be calling up the next panel. Michael Henry Adams, Gene Covington, Cora Pursavell, Cordell Clear.

Thank you, if you could just make sure that your microphone is on. Just press that button and see the red light come on. Perfect.

MICHAEL ADAMS: Good afternoon Chair Moya,

Council Members, ladies and gentleman. My name is

Michael Adams and I'm here to testify that New York

City has a superb landmarks law. The problem is it

is applied in a disproportionate and discriminatory

way. In Greenwich Village, two thirds of the

buildings are protected by landmarking, at Harlem,

only about 15 percent. The Lenox Terrace was the

most significant place where African American's lived

when it was completed in 1958 and it is also as Peg

2.3

Breen has said an exemplary building representing mid-century modernism.

The Landmarks Preservation Commission guided by the Mayor and his misbegotten idea of trickle down affordable housing whereby you must have the most luxury housing in order to get any affordable housing, has said that this building, this complex of buildings is not worthy of being protected as a city landmark.

But they're wrong, it meets all the criteria as was stated in a letter that I was given by former Commission member Roberta Washington who asked me to note that unlike the Chair saying that it does not represent the architectural significance to merit being landmarked, that it meets all of the criteria of the law that is both architecturally, culturally and historically significant.

Now, you talk about the idea of how we're going to with this development get affordable housing. I would say affordable for whom? That you're going to get new amenities. Amenities for whom?

What does it benefit anyone if you create something that's wonderful but none of the people who live in the community will benefit from it and will

just destroy our neighborhood. We have our neighbors

25

here to ask you to vote no.

25

2.2

2.3

no.

What I would like to do is paint a visual of what I see Lenox Terrace as should this happen. If we all have five buildings and we are building five or six other buildings around that I'm looking at a ghetto within a luxury apartment. And if you can visualize what that looked like, what it would mean. I'm a senior also, I doubt if I would be here when this is over but keep that visual in your mind as you vote

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Keep going, it's okay.

CORA PURSAVELL: I'm sorry, but as a senior there are live in and kids and the subways, I'm thinking about the weight of the area. The subway that's there. The water that's there and if you cannot maintain what we have now, in spite of some of the people that were here saying these are jobs for local people. Why aren't we maintaining what we already have and we are begging to have, we don't have enough maintenance, we don't have enough security. What are you going to do when you get all these other buildings around? I don't see, what you're seeing does not validate what you are doing and there is no trust in that.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you.

CORA PURSAVELL: And I yield my time.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you.

2.2

2.3

CORDELL CLEAR: Good afternoon, my name is

Cordell Clear, I'm not a resident of Lenox Terrace

but I'm a resident of Harlem and I'm a District

Leader in Harlem.

Five new buildings, 1600 new units and thousands of new residents to Lenox Terrace will have a tremendous impact on the infrastructure, quality of life, transportation, congested subways and traffic, schools and public services for the residents of Lenox Terrace but not only for the residents of Lenox Terrace but for the entire surrounding community.

We will all be subjected to the noise, the dust, the rodents, the traffic, the congestion this project will bring. And as Harlem is already rapidly gentrifying, this will only expedite tremendously the displacement of Harlem residents in Lenox Terrace and outside of Lenox Terrace.

None of the previous rezoning's have resulted in housing that Harlem residents will bore the brunt of decades of neglect can afford. This one will not either. The people who have lived in Harlem through its darkest period deserve to remain there. Jobs and

SUBCOMMITEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 129 small business opportunities should be available to them as well.

From the outside looking in, you may think this project looks pretty. It looks like new housing and new jobs but for whom? For whom is this new housing? This is not a good plan and the people who live in Harlem are deathly afraid of what it is going to bring. The level of gentrification and the level of racial displacement that this is going to bring.

I sit here with this panel today and I ask that you vote no to this plan. I echo all the words of the panelists before me and our council member and I ask you please vote no on this plan.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. Thank you all for your testimony. The next panel is Paula McCray, Samantha Thompson, Savanna Washington, Lenn Shebar. Paula, yeah, Samantha?

Yeah, you just have to fill out one of these if you're going to read for Paula.

UNIDENTIFIED: Okay, do I do that now or after?

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Yeah. Samantha, is that you?

SAVANNA WASHINGTON: Savanna.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERERSON MOYA: Savanna okay, do we have we a Samantha Thompson here. No, no Samantha Thompson, okay. Lenn?

UNIDENTIFIED: Lenn is here.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Okay, so, we'll call her on the next panel. Derrick Blue. So, Lenn, why don't we start with you.

LENN SHEBAR: Thank you, good afternoon. My name is Lenn Shebar and I'm President of the Lenox Terrace Association of Concerned Tenants.

The tenants of Lenox Terrace are against the rezoning project. In a poll of tenants this past fall, 95 percent voted against the rezoning. The tenants have never waivered in their opposition since this idea was first introduced over ten years ago.

I'm very pleased that Olnick's initial C6

Commercial Rezoning proposal received a no conditions

vote from both Community Board 10 and our president

Gale Brewer.

One think is the planter mentioned that they removed the commercial components, but to be clear, that didn't just happen in a vacuum. That came about from an outcry from politicians, the community and

2.2

2.3

1 SUBCOMMITEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 conversation with tenants who expressed their

3 disapproval.

2.2

2.3

Councilman Bill Perkins has remained unequivocal and steadfast in his opposition to this over scoped proposal that was first quoted years ago. In a meeting last week, he reiterated in no uncertain terms that he is with us, the tenants.

Olnick's profit driven proposal is less about enhancing the property for the benefit of the existing tenant and more about creating a new community all together. Meanwhile, the existing tenants still deal with continuing maintenance, plumbing and electrical and understaffing issues.

Rezoning has [INAUDIBLE 4:04:28] affects on residence and is totally unnecessary to encourage development at Lenox Terrace. I'm looking at the time.

We can live with the reality of some change, however, the heights and skill of these proposed building's within the newly proposed R8 rezoning is just unreasonable and wrong. As wrong as the C6 zoning and not in keeping with existing architectural landscape.

As I testified at this Community Board 10 and Manhattan Borough President's hearings, there need to

Lenox Terrace Association of Concerned Tenants.

tenant association at Lenox Terrace.

2.3

24

2.2

2.3

of the Lenox Terrace property. We ask that the City Council vote no on the proposed R8 project currently before you. As Manhattan Borough President Gale Brewer said in her no recommendation, there are few instances where a development the scale of the one proposed in this application can be viewed as responsible. The proposed project lacks the public and private investments necessary to make it a prudent exercise of planning for future growth.

The project puts a disproportionate impact on local residents, infrastructure, economy and educational resources. MBP Brewer also mentions the East Harlem rezoning and the Inwood Rezoning, which covers 69 and 62 square blocks respectively.

This project is approximately 40 percent of the size of these rezoning's and just three square blocks.

CB10 mentioned in their opposition
recommendations to this project that it is completely
out of scale for a residential community. George
James, the respected urban planner said of this
proposed project that this level of infill for a
residential community is extraordinary.

2.2

2.3

CB10 also mentions racial displacement in their no recommendation comments. As you know, racial displacement caused by up-zoning's have let Public Advocate Jumaane Williams to introduce a bill that would mandate the city conduct a racial impact study as part of the EIS in the ULURP process.

If not rezoned, Olnick has threatened that it will build as of right, without including affordable housing as part of their as of right build. We maintain that any development in the city, including as of right should include mandatory inclusionary housing. The developers don't get to threaten the city or communities to get what they want.

Developers must understand their role as community partners and if necessary, have that role codified into law to fulfil their role as good community citizens. That includes MIH as part of any build in the city.

There is a feeling in the city sometimes that communities can absorb any amount of development.

That is not true. Each community reaches a tipping point of what is livable and sustains a livable quality of life.

J

Ŭ

We urge the Council to accept the disapproval recommendations of Community Board 10 and MBP Gale Brewer and vote no on the proposed R8 Rezoning request before you.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you.

SAVANNA WASHINGTON: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. Dedrick.

REVEREND DEDRICK BLUE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. My name is Reverend Dedrick Blue and I serve as a Representative of the New York Interfaith Commission for Housing Equality and the 55 congregations that are in the immediate area and the hundreds of congregations in Harlem and the surrounding communities who are absolutely opposed to this walled off fortress for the rich.

We have learned that urban renewal means Nigro removal. And so, we are opposed for several reasons. First of all, the environmental impact. The dipropionate racial impact and its impact upon voting rights.

We are opposed to this because it is not affordable and those who say that it will provide more jobs, I would simply say to them, the people who are getting the jobs won't be able to afford to live

in the building that they're working on. This will dramatically change the AMI, essentially gutting the

4 community.

2.2

2.3

The Community Board is opposed to this, the residents are opposed to this, the Borough President is opposed to this, the houses of worship are opposed to this, the City Council person is opposed to this. So, if the proposal moves forward, who does it benefit? It represents the money interests of the gilded real estate brokers who would sell their mothers for a dime.

If we allow a developer to run rough shot over the expressed will of the residents, then that is a dangerous precedent to set. Therefore, I urge that this proposal not be forwarded for a vote to the City Council. The slumlords say that they will mediate, that they will not mitigate against asbestos and plumbing and rodents unless they get this bill passed and then they expect the residents to trust them in the process. It is unsustainable, it is unreasonable and I urge a no vote.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. So, folks please, JoAnn Scott, is that?

JOANN SCOTT: I am JoAnn Scott.

SUBCOMMITEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

1

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

138

 $2 \mid 5^{th}$  Avenue between  $132^{nd}$  and  $135^{th}$  Street. For the

3 | last 15 years, I have had to look out of my apartment

4 | window to see the abandoned property left behind when

5 Olnick decided to shut the businesses down. This

6 proposed C6 rezoning project will have a catastrophic

7 effect on the people in the area, not experienced as

8 911. There will be no place for the existing

9 residents to flee, as has occurred since 911. The

10 | toxic dust, noise and reduction of a light will

11 create not only health problems but put a strain on

12 the mass transit system and school safety. Traffic

13 congestion will be worse in downtown in the

14 commercial and theater districts.

proposed construction.

I propose that Olnick use the funds to repair the infrastructure of the existing buildings which will not be able to withstand the pressures from the

Lenox Terrace and the surrounding area is historic. Doing anything other than making improvements on the existing structures will erase its rich history for the community.

I ask you to disapprove Olnick's request for the C6 Rezoning with no conditions and I'm saying it appears that Olnick has a distain for the community

SUBCOMMITEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

right now. They have not mentioned 135<sup>th</sup> Street on

5<sup>th</sup> Avenue where the children go to school.

How are

-

they going to protect their education through the noise?

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you, thank you. Thank you for your testimony today. I'd like to call up

Thompson, going once, going twice, okay, no Samantha

Thompson. Deacon Rodney Beckford, Beatriz Diaz

the next panel. Samantha Thompson, Samantha

Tavarez, Coloma Cardwell and Gary Sales.

We'll start with you Deacon whenever you're ready.

DEACON RODNEY BECKFORD: Okay, I'm Rodney

Beckford at Deacon Rodney Beckford Catholic Deacon

Roman Catholic Church. I am the Director of Kennedy

Center, the Catholic Charities Community Services.

I'm going to pitch the ball to the Executive Director

of Catholic Charities of Community Services where she

will definitely point out what I will state as the

absence of speaking to a very large institution that

sits in the middle of Lenox Terrace and was there

before Lenox Terrace was developed and has been

ignored in this process because no one has spoken to

1 SUBCOMMITEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 us. And I'll pass this on to our Executive Director

3 of Catholic Charities Community Services.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you.

BEATRIZ DIAZ TAVERAS: Good afternoon Chairman
Moya and the members of the New York City Council
Subcommittee on Zoning and Franchises. I'm Beatriz
Diaz Taveras, Executive Director of Catholic
Charities Community Service and I'm joined by
colleague Deacon Rodney Bedford who is the Director
of Lieutenant Joseph P. Kennedy Memorial Center.

I thank you for the opportunity to provide testimony today regarding the application submitted by Lenox Terrace. I'm here to express our disappointment in the lack of engagement of Catholic Charities in such an important project for the Harlem community, where we serve day in and day out.

Kennedy Centers located right in the middle of
the Lenox Terrace development and New Yorkers come in
need, come to Kennedy Center, not only for case
management, benefits entitlement assistance, utility
assistance, eviction prevention but also for our food
pantry and our senior center, which is located in
Kennedy Center.

2.2

2.3

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Under the proposed zoning changes, the area including Kennedy Center will go from an R7-2 to an R8, allowing for more potential residential development at this site. The final scope of work for preparation of a draft environmental impact statement projects that the lots occupied by Kennedy Center and the Metropolitan AMA Church and fully utilizing the maximum far allowable under the proposed rezoning could be developed with approximately 69 new dwelling units and also come community facilities use.

The report assumes that up to 30 percent of the residential units could be designated as affordable. Making Kennedy Center our location, a desirable location for residential and community facility development.

We are deeply concerned with Olnick's proposed plan which it acknowledges the potential of Kennedy Center clearly misrepresents our willingness to engage in the development process. In its final environmental impact statement, Olnick says, while these lots could be rezoned under the proposed action, the owner of the Kennedy Center, Catholic Charities of the -

or promises but almost every one of them qualified

25

1 SUBCOMMITEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 their comments by saying, we're only here in support

3 of the positive aspects.

2.2

2.3

Translation is, there are negative aspects that they are not going to speak to. So, let me speak to those briefly in addition to my colleagues here. On the question of displacement, on the question of affordable housing, their track record is pretty clear. So, when we hear people say, based on our experience we believe they will be responsible employers, we're asking you as tenants to listen to us when we say, based on our experience as tenants, they have been driving displacement in Harlem.

So, what they haven't mentioned is that at this moment, they're involved in a class action suit in which a class of potentially hundreds of members have been fighting them in court over allegations relating to illegally deregulated apartments use.

So, when they say, our plan will involve x, y, z, promise, what does that mean for a group of people who have something that's much or iron clad than a promise, they have the law and when it comes to their deregulated rent stabilized units who are maybe regulated, Olnick came back and told us, the promise

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

was not enough. The law was not enough. If you want to enforce, see us in court.

So, it's a scam and all we're asking is that City Council, Speaker Johnson, if you support this, don't just focus on the benefits and act like you're doing us a favor.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Gary.

GARY SALES: Hi, my name is Gary Sales, I'm a resident of Lenox Terrace and I've been a resident of New York City my entire life. I've lived in the East Village, where I first got there and paid \$59 a month rent, that same apartment is now \$2,100. I've lived in Hell's Kitchen and the same thing has happened there.

I can feel the rumblings of that kind of gentrification taking place here. Also, what we're talking about here is if I understand this correctly as a [INAUDIBLE 4:26:16]. Whether we approve this project and their rezoning that they request or not, their as of right gives them the ability to build no matter what and if that's the case, what I see in this article that came out yesterday about their plan B and going to an as of right, they take away all of the supposed promises that my associate just brought

1

3

4

5

6

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

That says to me that they don't have any real feeling for this neighborhood. They don't want to do anything. That's kind of disingenuous, so I find that a bit of an issue to be concerned with how they really think about what they are doing.

This is a profit base thing; this is not a community base thing. They are not trying to do something for the community. They are trying to offer some promises, so they can make profit.

The other thing is, they've said it's a seven year window to get this done. Well, you know what else is seven years, common law marriage. This is a marriage. They want to marry into the Lenox Terrace community specifically the residents that have been there. Well, you want to get married, you better offer some dowry here and you can't just offer it if you get everything you want and if we don't do it your way and give you 28 story buildings and impact the community in the manner you would like to do it and you go as of and you take all that away, well, that's kind of like the old offer you can't refuse type of deal there. What are they doing? disingenuous and I question the idea of as of, whether it applies to projects that are as long as

SUBCOMMITEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES seven years, that will really put people out for that long. They need to make a better commitment. CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. Thank you all for your testimony today and Delcina[SP?] Glover? Last name Glover and no Samantha Thompson? Okay, thank you. Are there any other members of the public who wish to testify? Seeing none, I now close the public hearing on this Application and it will be laid over. This concludes today's meeting and I would like to thank the members of the public, my colleagues, Council and Land Use staff for attending. This meeting is hereby adjourned. [GAVEL] 

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date April 1, 2018