CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

----- X

February 10, 2020 Start: 1:04 p.m. Recess: 3:55 p.m.

HELD AT: Committee Room - City Hall

B E F O R E: Carlos Menchaca

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS: Carlos Menchaca

Alicka Ampry-Samuel Fernando Cabrera Margaret S. Chin

Danny Dromm
Mathieu Eugene
Robert Holden
Francisco Moya
Jimmy Van Bramer

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Melissa Chandler New Sanctuary Coalition

Sonia Lin
Deputy Commissioner
Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs

Alexandra Goncalves-Pena Managing Attorney for the Immigration Unit at Catholic Migration Services

Brianna Krong Communications and Advocacy Coordinator Center for Gender and Refugee Studies

Pooja Asani Co-director Immigration Intervention Project and Sanctuary for Families

Amy Pont Staff Attorney Immigration Law Unit Legal Aid Society

Aadhithi Padmanabhan Attorney Legal Aid Society Neena Dutta American Immigration Lawers Association

Sama Magona Sesay Immigration Staff Attorney and Equal Justice Works Fellow African Services Committee

Rebecca Press UnLocal and Central American Legal Assistance

Prathiba Desai Staff Attorney Her Justice

Rebecca Gendelman Legal Fellow Human Rights First

Tiana Marisol Cherbosque Family Reunification Coordinator Sanctuary for Families

Luis Rosario Rodriguez Bronx Legal Services

Carolina Guiral Bronx Legal Services

Jessie Pimental Senior Paralegal New York City Anti-Violence Project

Andrea Bowen
Bowen Public Affairs Consulting
New York City Anti-Violence Project

Jojo Edibal Immigration Justice Corps

Uchechu Kuawu Onwa

PEDRO LUGO: Check, check. This is the soundcheck for the Committee on Immigration. Today's date is February 10, 2020, located in the committee room. Recording done by Pedro Lugo.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: We'll get started in about three minutes. Thank you all for being here today.

UNIDENTIFIED: [inaudible] we're starting up now.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: OK, just let me know when. [gavel] Buenas tardes. My name is Carlos Menchaca and I'm the chair of the New York City Council's Committee on Immigration. Today the Committee on Immigration will be conducting an oversight hearing on the dismantling of the US asylum system and the impact all of these changes have had on immigrant New Yorkers. We will also be hearing Resolution 1173, sponsored by myself in support of the amicus brief submitted by 19 states and D.C., including New York, calling on the US Court of Appeals for the D.C. district to preserve asylum protections for individuals and families who have fled their home countries because of domestic or gang-related violence. Asylum is a human right,

25

barring entry into the United States, regardless of

2	historical or international precedent, or the
3	necessity for human, humanitarian relief. Today's
4	asylum system is virtually unrecognizable as a
5	result. And by intercountry agreements,
6	administrative rules, legal adjudication and more, we
7	have seen the following: Asylum seekers barred from
8	asylum benefits for failing to apply for asylum in
9	countries neighboring, ah, their home countries;
10	asylum seekers physically returned to countries they
11	passed through on their way to the US; threats of
12	tariffs should Central American countries, including
13	Mexico, refuse to play a role in immigration
14	enforcement as an asylum-deterrence tactic; US Border
15	Patrol limiting the number of asylum seekers
16	processed each day at ports of entry; migrant
17	protection protocols allowing the US to send asylum
18	applicants at the southern border back to Mexico
19	while they await a final decision; a massive
20	expansion of the detention of asylum seekers,
21	including children and pregnant women; an attempt to
22	expand expedited removal; policy changes affecting
23	immigration courts, such as completion quotas for
24	immigration judges; and the stripping of previously
25	established protections, such as asylum protections

2	for victims of gang and domestic violence, LGBTQ
3	individuals, and those whose family members have been
4	persecuted. In New York we are seeing this as a
5	particular way. Prior to 2016, New York had one of
6	the most lenient immigration courts in the nation.
7	And while New York's immigration courts continue to
8	review 20% of all asylum cases in the United States,
9	denial rates, denial rates are rising, from 16% in
10	2015 to 37% in 2019. Individuals facing unimaginable
11	harm should be welcomed here. Instead, they are made
12	to suffer even more, risking their lives on their
13	journeys to the United States and then once more when
14	they arrive here in the United States. And I look
15	forward to hearing from my colleagues at the Mayor's
16	Office of Immigrant Affairs about the work that they
17	are doing to identify and meet the needs of asylum-
18	seeking New Yorkers. And I'm also very pleased that
19	many of our champions in the field, and many of you
20	spoke at the press conference we just had, are here
21	as well, from our legal and social service providers.
22	And you're here to speak on behalf of the New Yorkers
23	that you know intimately and their stories. And that
24	is what's gonna change hearts and minds, not just
25	here in the city but across the country. So we thank

2	you for your work. You inspire us every single day.
3	And with that, I want to thank the staff here on the
4	committee. You know, this was a very intricate, ah,
5	briefing process for me, ah, and the staff and it
6	took a while for us to really connect the dots and
7	all the pieces, and I want to thank everybody who
8	made that happen, my chief of staff, Lorena Lucero,
9	legislative director Cesar Vargas, my communications
10	director, Tony Churito, and the committee staff and
11	immigration staff, ah, committee counsel Hirani Oja,
12	community policy analyst Elizabeth Cronk. Thank you
13	all. And we are going to being with the first panel,
14	a public panel. Melissa Chandler from the New
15	Sanctuary Coalition. You can just come on up and
16	we'll have, we'll have you speak, I'll give you about
17	three minutes just to kind of lay, lay the foundation
18	out. Come on up, and make sure that the [pause] and
19	make sure the mic is on as well. Thank you. We want
20	to recognize Council Member Moya from Queens and a
21	member of the Committee on Immigration. Thank you
22	for being here. [pause]

MELISSA CHANDLER: Good afternoon. My name is Melissa Chandler and I'm here on behalf on the New Sanctuary Coalition. We want to thank all

the council members and the Committee on Immigration 2 3 for holding this hearing. Um, the New Sanctuary 4 Coalition is a multifaith immigrant-led organization 5 that creates system of support and empowers those navigating the immigration system by bringing 6 7 together citizen volunteers and affected community members, which we refer to as friends. We do this to 8 achieve two primary goals. One is equip those friends with the knowledge they need to navigate the 10 11 violence and injustice within, within the immigration system by advocating for themselves and ultimately 12 13 leave the movement. And two, train and mobilize 14 citizens and faith leaders to support and fight 15 alongside our friends, providing public witnesses 16 against the injustice in bureaucracy and advocating 17 for urgently needed changes to the system. We 18 ultimately believe that no human being is illegal and 19 that everyone has the right to live free of violence 20 and oppression, even including that imposed by 21 humane, inhumane and arbitrary policies, such as, but not limited to the Matter of A-B-, the Matter of L-E-2.2 2.3 A-, and the fast tracking of asylum cases under the family unit dockets. These policies do not just deny 24 asylum to woman but to thousands like here who endure 25

25

and bravely escape the brutality at the hands of 2 3 their husbands, as well as those fleeing persecution on account of their family ties. Such policies also 4 are designed to further punish and rush thousands of families through the already confusing and terrifying 6 7 immigration system, with the intention of deporting 8 these families as quick as possible and hopefully deter others from seeking protection in this country. All these policies are nothing but proof that the, of 10 11 the systematic and cruel attempts of this 12 administration to attack asylum seekers and strip 13 them from any vestige of due process. Week after 14 week, New Sanctuary Coalition continues to stand in 15 solidarity with [inaudible] affected community 16 members who must face the challenges in the form of 17 new judges, who with no independence from the 18 attorney general and who are instructed to satisfy 19 performance, performance quotas by fast tracking 20 family unit cases with no regards for due process, 21 unduly burdening, burdening asylum seekers by imposing draconian deadlines, threatening immigrants 2.2 2.3 with moving forward with the cases and talking about voluntary departure and deportation orders, 24

jeopardizing their ability to produce evidence to

2.2

2.3

support their claims, find adequate counsel, and
almost guaranteed that deportation. In addition to
that, we witnessed video teleconference [inaudible]
with one or more parties different, in different
locations, as well as interpreters who were asked by
immigration judges to translate all at the same time
for several pro se respondents who often speak
different languages.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: Yeah, go ahead and finish.

MELISSA CHANDLER: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Yep.

MELISSA CHANDLER: What we definitely desperately must understand is that the meaning of, that our affected community members find in these policies is that all the violence that they endured and the sacrifices they made are not enough, that they withstood, managed to survive, and escape all the abuse and [inaudible] was not enough, that it was not enough for them to have the courage to file a police report against the man or an international gang and then be told by these authorities that they don't, they don't get against, sorry, that they don't get involved in problems between couples, or that

means. Our communities must stand with affect asylum

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 seekers and uphold their rights to seek protection.

3 | Thank you very much.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Thank you for coming and, and speaking on behalf of not just the, the incredible organizing that you're doing on the ground, but of all the friends that you see, ah, on a constant and daily basis that are coming to you for support and thank you for speaking in favor of the resolution to support the amicus brief. And I think one question before you, you leave, and we're gonna hear from the administration next, ah, to talk a lot about what they're working on right now to support our immigration communities, but I, I want to get a sense from you about how the client-based interactions you've been having in the clinic that you have on a weekly basis has changed because of these asylum changes and the erosion of that promise that has been built for a long time before. give us a little bit about that texture and how that's changing, how people are coming in, and how you're responding to that with your legal, the legal clinic?

MELISSA CHANDLER: Yes, um, I think, I believe my colleague, Judith Sanchez, spoke a little

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

2.2

bit about this at the rally, um, by mentioning that
we often see between 50 and sometimes 80 new friends
every week, um, who have come to us seeking
protection and assistance. Most of them are
struggling to find legal representation and in the
last, in the past six months we had 842 new friends,
new affected community members, and we, um, with the
help of our volunteers filed 140 asylum applications
only in the last six months.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: 140 applications in the last six months?

MELISSA CHANDLER: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: OK.

MELISSA CHANDLER: All these with, um, friends with struggling with finding legal representation because they are often told we are at capacity, we cannot take that case, that case is weak, ah, we cannot represent domestic violence cases, we cannot represent family ties, um, cases, and they're often are leaving, left without hope.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: And, and, and to understand the, the changes you're saying that there, there's an increase in number of cases that are connected to no, no representation, and is there

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

anything else that, that you can kind of give us in terms of the texture, the origin of country, um, the types of persecution. Is there anything else that's changing that, or that you can share with us that can tell us a little bit more about what you're seeing on the ground?

Yes, ah, we have

MELISSA CHANDLER:

definitely seen an increase mostly of asylum seekers coming from Honduras. Most of them belong to this um, native community, which is Garifuna, ah, Garifuna community, um, most of them are fleeing from hate crimes, um, their lands are being stolen, um, and often police are doing nothing just because police are not, the police force is not, um, like it's not conformed. By Garifuna, um, can be, like anyone coming from a Garifuna community and often these human beings are being seen as they are worth nothing. Um, we often have heard about them talking about all the struggling that they, they have to go through on their journey to come here. Um, often they speak about these train where they have to basically jump in and they hear how people fell off and how the train basically runs over all these people and some of them refer as you can hear how

2.2

2.3

will be safe.

it's basically hearing, ah, ground up beef grounder.

Um, and then you hear mothers saying, you know,

these, I could hear someone screaming for help

because a child was being taken by the force of the

river, by the force of water, but I could do nothing

because I had one child on my shoulders. I was

holding to one in my arms. And then the other one

had my hands tied. Just so the water cannot take

them. So to me I struggle with the idea of a

president of an administration saying these people

are criminal. These people are coming to take what

is our. These people are coming just to commit

violence, when the only thing that they are looking

for is safety and a future for their sons and

childrens. Most of the time they speak about like

they don't care what happens to them, they just want

to feel that their, the children are being safe and

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: Thank you for that, that texture and I think there are gonna be other, there are gonna be other organizations that are gonna talk a little about their, ah, the changes that they're seeing with asylum, um, the asylum changes, but, and before I, I let you go, Council

2	Member Moya, do you have any questions? No? The
3	last question is what do you feel the City of New
4	York can do to support this mission to protect and
5	keep our, our promise to the sanctuary movement here
6	in the City of New York? I know it's a challenge
7	every single day. The, the word sanctuary city for
8	us is, is a promise and every day we try to meet
9	that, um, but we know it's a moving target as well.
10	This administration is using ever lever of government
11	power to be able to dismantle, ah, the justice that
12	was left in the immigration, the broken immigration
13	system. But they're getting smarter and that's why
14	the amicus brief is so important, and that's where
15	we're gonna be a part of that. But if there's
16	anything that you think we can do in the city, ah,
17	I'd like to hear it now or, ah, tell us later, but I
18	think it's important that we make that clear, that we
19	want to know what we could do to support.

MELISSA CHANDLER: Yes. I would, um, I think we would, we would think that the best thing that the city could do for asylum seekers is to continue to build and empower these communities because they have a voice and they're really strong.

They're great families and they're great human

20

21

22

23

24

2	beings. They just need to be heard. I believe that
3	New York is doing what they can and what is humanly
4	possible to assist, um, asylum seekers [inaudible]
5	balance, especially when it comes to gender-based
6	violence and gang violence, um, but unfortunately it
7	seems to be not enough. Um, I believe that New
8	Sanctuary Coalition as, I mean as many other
9	organizations present here today, as [inaudible] in
10	her justice and so many others are doing what they,
11	the best they can to, um, to assist this, this asylum
12	seekers, these human beings, but we must continue to
13	grow, we must continue to build and empower these
14	human beings. Because they can do whatever it's
15	being asked of them. And we see every day in our
16	volunteers how we, every time that we ask for them to
17	show up, to show their support, to do something, they
18	show up and they do whatever is being asked because
19	they have in their minds that these people are human
20	beings and nothing else. These are parents, these
21	are childrens, sisters, and brothers.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: And we're with you and our friends, and thank you so much for being here today.

MELISSA CHANDLER: Thank you.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: Thank you. gonna call up the administration now. Ms. Sonia Lin, deputy commissioner and general counsel for the Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs. And as she comes and gets settled in, I also want to say thank you for Danny Dromm, our Council Member from Queens, for joining us today. Oh, yes, and before we begin, ah, Deputy Commissioner, you and your team and I and our team were together this last weekend and I just want to same thank you. It was the New Sanctuary Coalition actually that reached out to both of us and we were both there, um, early in the scene after the Brooklyn shooting and this hearing is not about that at all, but I just want to say how important it is that we acknowledge that work that we do together and we were there together the whole day, the next day after that, and there are a lot of questions people are gonna be asking and, and I just couldn't find a better partner to, to do that with, and so thank you for that work. That work is gonna continue and the family, I think, just felt so loved, the City of New York was there by, um, by their side and, ah, it's just an honor to do that work with you. Thank you.

2	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LIN: I agree, and
3	thank you for your leadership, Chair Menchaca and,
4	um, you know the leadership of all the community
5	partners that were out there.
6	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: And there were
7	many, and there were many.
8	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LIN: Yeah.
9	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: We're gonna swear
10	you in and then we can begin with your testimony.
11	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LIN: Great.
12	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Please raise your
13	right hand. Do you affirm to tell the truth, the
14	whole truth, and nothing but the truth in your
15	testimony before this committee and to respond
16	honestly to council member questions?
17	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LIN: Yes.
18	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you.
19	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LIN: Thank you to
20	Chair Menchaca and the members of the Committee on
21	Immigration. My name is Sonia Lin and I'm the deputy
22	commissioner and general counsel of the Mayor's
23	Office of Immigrant Affairs. My testimony today
24	discusses this federal administration's systemic

dismantling of the asylum system and how the many

2 barriers that have been erected to prevent those 3 fleeing persecution, including those fleeing gang and domestic violence, from accessing humanitarian relief 4 have harmed not only asylum seekers but also communities in the United States, including here in 6 7 New York City. I will highlight the city's response to these attacks and share how MOOIA has worked with 8 city agencies and partners to support New Yorkers affected by the Trump administration's damaging 10 11 policies and actions. New York City is home to a 12 large and diverse immigrant population. Immigrants 13 enrich our communities and culture, drive our economy and are instrumental in all aspects of city life. 14 15 the ultimate city of immigrants, we recognize how 16 much immigrants contribute and we know that a 17 thriving city is closely connected to our immigration 18 communities' inclusion and participation in civic It is thus in the city's best interest to 19 20 welcome immigrants and support them as they make the 21 city their home. As we all know, immigrants come to 2.2 New York from many places, for many different 2.3 reasons. Unfortunately for some people migration is necessitated because of violence and persecution in 24 their home countries. We recognize the vulnerability 25

2 of those seeking humanitarian protection and are 3 committed to supporting asylum seekers and other 4 humanitarian migrants in connecting to resources and services that will assist them as they build a new life. Our country has a proud history of welcoming 6 7 those fleeing violence and persecution and of 8 protecting those who face danger in their home country. Indeed, under federal and international law immigrants with a well-founded fear of persecution 10 11 have a right to seek protection by applying for 12 asylum in the United States. To qualify for asylum an individual must show that they have a well-founded 13 fear of persecution in their home country, based on 14 15 at least one of the enumerated protected grounds, 16 race, religion, nationality, political opinion, or 17 membership in a particular social group. This last 18 category is critical because it provides relief though those who fear imminent persecution for a 19 20 particular reason specific to their experience, but 21 who do not neatly fall into the four categories. evaluating whether a petitioner has established their 2.2 2.3 membership in a particular social group, courts have determined that membership recognizes those fleeing 24 from domestic violence, for example, as well as those 25

2 fleeing gang violence. Through a slew of new 3 policies, proposed rules, and legal interpretations, 4 however, the Trump administration has systemically undermined our legal and moral commitment to asylum 5 seekers. Those seeking protection now face enormous 6 7 barriers to even requesting asylum and accessing due 8 process rights in the course of making their applications. Newly arrived asylum seekers also face the prospect of dehumanizing detention under 10 11 deplorable conditions at the border or, even more 12 dangerous, a lengthy uncertain wait in Mexico as 13 their cases are processed in the United States. Ιn 14 addition, asylum seekers at the border and in 15 immigration courts throughout the US must navigate a 16 system with enormous backlogs and strict case completion quotas for immigration judges that affect 17 18 individuals' ability to access counsel and prepare 19 their cases. Furthermore, through the interventions 20 of Attorney General Sessions and Attorney General 21 Barr, asylum seekers have also had to contend with 2.2 legal changes to asylum eligibility, specifically the 2.3 narrowing of what constitutes membership in a particular social group, to exclude domestic violence 24 survivors and those fleeing gang violence, disrupting 25

2 legal precedent. As relief through the asylum system 3 becomes harder and harder to access, the stakes for 4 individuals could not be higher. Central America and particularly the northern triangle countries, El Salvador, Honduras, and Guatemala, continue to 6 struggle with high levels of gang violence. 7 8 Salvador is commonly referenced as a country with some of the most gang violence in the world. light of these conditions, those who are turned back 10 11 or deported from the United States face serious 12 danger. A recent Human Rights Watch report found 13 that in recent years at least 138 people deported to El Salvador were subsequently killed, with the 14 15 majority of these deaths taking place less than a 16 year after those deported returned to El Salvador. 17 Human Rights Watch also confirmed at least 70 cases 18 of sexual assault or other violence perpetrated 19 against those deported. These reports were confirmed 20 through official records, interviews with families, 21 and media accounts. But Human Rights Watch believes 2.2 that the actual toll is much higher due to under-2.3 reporting. The Trump administration's attacks on asylum seekers exacerbates human suffering by 24 25 preventing individuals with claims for asylum from

2 pursuing and obtaining relief. They also prevent 3 individuals from achieving more stable lives in the United States, creating negative repercussions for 4 cities like New York that are home to many asylum 5 seekers and their families. As local government we 6 7 are on the front lines of connecting our most vulnerable residents to services and resources. 8 know the importance of supporting immigrant families and how it benefits our city and our work supporting 10 11 public safety, public health, and the well-being of 12 our communities. By contrast, the Trump administration's efforts to create a hostile 13 environment for immigrants negatively impact these 14 15 goals and instead promote fear, confusion, and a lack of trust. As such, the city has a strong interest in 16 17 the fairness of the US asylum system and is 18 supporting asylum seekers in accessing humanitarian 19 relief. Towards that end, we are proud to have 20 worked with the City Council in making historic 21 levels of investment, together about 58 million 2.2 dollars, in immigrant legal services, funding a 2.3 continuum of services and a wide range of excellent providers so that immigrant communities, including 24 asylum seekers, can access free high-quality legal 25

25

2 We recognize that these investments are 3 jeopardized by the ways in which the Trump 4 administration has undermined the asylum system. have thus engaged in advocacy opposing the attacks on asylum seekers and the asylum system. This advocacy 6 7 has included the submission of regulatory comments in 8 opposition to various proposed and final rules impacting asylum seekers. Most recently, we submitted a comment in January strongly opposing a 10 11 proposed rule that would expand bars to asylum. proposed rule would rob individuals of due process 12 and further exacerbate the issue of the United States 13 14 applying bars to asylum that are far more broad than 15 was ever attended under the law. Our also, our office has also commented in opposition to proposed 16 17 rules that attack work authorization for asylum 18 seekers, which would compromise the ability of 19 asylum-seeking New Yorkers to earn a living while 20 their cases are pending. The city in December also 21 submitted a comment expressing grave concern about US 2.2 citizenship and immigration services proposed fee 2.3 schedule that would, among other things, impose for the first time a fee for asylum applications. Mayor 24

de Blasio co-led a sign-on letter of over 50 mayor's

2 opposing this proposed fee schedule. If the change 3 does go into effect, the US would join only three 4 other countries, Australia, Fiji, and Iran, in the world that charge a fee for asylum applications. Last, we conduct consistent outreach and engagement 6 7 of immigration communities about services and 8 resources available to them and recently parterned with the state and nonprofit service providers to make informational materials available to New Yorkers 10 11 recently granted asylum. In collaboration with the 12 State Office of New Americans and Office of Temporary 13 Disability Assistance, and together with the refugee resettlement organizations, CAMBA, HIAS, 14 15 International Rescue Committee, and Catholic Charities, we worked with the immigration court in 16 17 the New York and New Jersey asylum offices, both of 18 which serve New York City residents, to make 19 available palm card about resources dedicated to 20 those granted asylum. These services include cash 21 assistance and access to benefits, employment help, 2.2 referral to educational supports and additional 2.3 Those granted asylum in New York can call resources. the office of New Americans hotline at 1-800-566-24 7636, to be connected to local agencies for benefits 25

2.2

2.3

that can play a crucial role in their integration and empowerment. Thank you for the opportunity to

4 | testify today.

just want to say an actual thank-you on behalf of the 50, Mayor de Blasio and the other 50 mayors opposing the proposed fee schedule. Ah, I think that's another piece that is part of this larger construct for barriers. And so, um, I have a few questions and I just want to ask to see if my, ah, committee members have any questions. OK. And Council Member Chin, any questions right now? No? OK. Um, so is there data on the number of asylum seekers in the city that, that you hold today [inaudible] that?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LIN: Um, so I think there are a couple challenges to answering that question so, um, forgive me if it's a bit long-winded. I think the first challenge is to finding, um, who are asylum seekers in the city, um, so, um, you know, there's no sort of technical definition.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: Right.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LIN: Um, you know, we could look to those who have actually submitted the I589 form, um, to apply for asylum, but I think

2	that doesn't really capture the full range of those
3	who may fear persecution in their home countries, um
4	so that I think is a more broad definition and we
5	don't have, um, numbers for either of these groups.
6	I think the challenge is really a data issue when it
7	comes down to it. Um, the New York Immigration Cour
8	covers a jurisdiction that's bigger than New York
9	City. It covers many counties outside of New York
LO	City. Similarly, the asylum offices, um, in New
L1	Jersey and in New York cover, um, sort of many
L2	counties outside of the city. Um, so we don't have,
L3	you know, good data. That's a challenge for us, um,
L4	in the city. What I can share, um, in the New York
L5	Immigrant Court for federal fiscal year 2019 asylum
L6	was granted to about 6000 people. Um, so again,
L7	that, um, it's just one
L8	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: And it's just
L9	through the court?
20	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LIN:one piece of
21	data. It's just the court.
22	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: Yeah.
23	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LIN: It doesn't
24	count, ah, the asylum office. Um, and then similarl

I think for our own program data in terms of legal

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

services, um, and legal services provision, um, you
know, again, um, you know, because if you really
think about the population of those who fear return,
um, to their home countries, um, you know, I don't
think our data totally captures it. We do capture
the number of people for whom, um, our providers have
supported in asylum applications, but I think the
broader population of asylum seekers will be much
larger, you know, for various reasons. They may not
actually follow through on an asylum applicant. Um,
last year, um, or in the last year that we have data
for, um, ah, city fiscal year 2018, um, you know, ah,
our city programs assisted in about 25,000 cases, um,
for the administration-funded programs, those 18,000
cases.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: Do [inaudible] list those programs?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LIN: And that's a range of, um, you know, different kinds of cases.

Um, the programs?

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: Yeah, just remind us again. This is ActionNYC, IOI?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LIN: Exactly. It's ActionNYC, IOI, um, it also includes, um, CSBG, um,

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

2	the New York Immigrant Family Unity Project for those
3	who are detained and in removal procedures, the I
4	Care Program for Immigrant Youth, um, Counsel IOI,
5	um, and, um, ah, immigration, ah, work, ah, in
	partnership with domestic violence, um, ah,
7	organizations.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: Got it. And that was the 25,000 cases that, that comprised...

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LIN: That's right.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: ...those totals.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LIN: In, um, fiscal year 18.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: And then on the federal, federal 6000 cases, do you have a sense of country of origin on that? Is that something that's available?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LIN: Unfortunately,
I don't have that information with me. Um, I can
share with you a sort of where, um, people who come
to city-funded programs are coming from.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: OK, that'd be great.

2.2

2.3

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LIN: Um, but I don't have the, the breakdown from the immigrant court with me today. Um...

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: Well, actually, let's hold on that 'cause if, if it's just the, you're talking about the 25,000 cases in general?

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: So let's hold, then we can, we can follow up on, in data, the data piece.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LIN: Yes.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LIN: OK.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: Um, ah, the, what specific needs of asylum-seeking New Yorkers has MOOIA identified?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LIN: So I think
that, um, I think that for, um, New Yorkers, ah, who
are, um, humanitarian migrants who have a fear of
return, um, these needs include, um, access to legal
services, um, access to city services generally, um,
ah, and, you know, our approach has been to, um,
conduct outreach to all immigrant New Yorkers, um,
the significant number of New Yorkers who are noncitizens, who may be undocumented, to make sure that
they know about immigration legal services that are

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

available to them and that they can be connected to a wide range of city services and resources that are available to all.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: And, and there, I just want to, um, kind of get a sense of the, the profile of an asylum seeker and 'cause I think what you're saying is, is right on in terms of the breadth of services that are needed are pretty much and potentially the same for anyone that is seeking service.

> DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LIN: That's right.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: So I just want to make sure that that's what you were saying, and that ultimately there's a, there is a broader scope of services that we're building and over time we've been making that more robust, um, not just legal services, education, health, and then the council's recent bail, bail program as well, the immigrant defense fund...

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LIN:

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: ...that allows for, ah, bail to be, ah, to be available for, for folks. So that's what you're saying, right?

There's, there's just [inaudible] marker.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

accordingly.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LIN: Yes, absolutely, yeah, I think that our perspective, um, you know, I think we don't really, um, I mean, obviously I think we recognize the particular challenges that those who have a fear of return may face, but our approach has been to connect our immigrant communities, including our undocumented communities, with services, a range of services, um, that we recognize are important, um, for their, ah, family well-being and for their ability to integrate and thrive in this city, and so that includes legal services, it includes social services, um, to make sure that people know about the availability of, um, help, um, medical help, um, health care, um, education, public safety resources, emergency food and shelter, um, and we design our outreach

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: Got it. I'm gonna take a pause here and had it over to Council Member Dromm for questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Just on the data collections again, I'm not sure if I missed it, but, um, is there any way to determine the number of cases

2.2

2.3

for asylum, um, as it relates to sexual orientation and gender identity?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LIN: Um, to my knowledge, I don't believe that either the federal data or our program data, um, sort of gets into that level of specificity. I think for us, um, as far as city-funded programs, there are concerns about confidentially, um, that, um, inform, um, kind of what we ask providers to report on so we don't get to that level of, um, ah, specificity as far as case types.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Do they ask for their nationality?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LIN: Um, we do have nationality information for, um, individuals who are served through our programs, um, and, you know, I think it, again, from fiscal year 18 our legal service providers assisted immigrants from over 176 countries, um, with the largest, ah, sort of groups coming from Mexico and the Dominican Republic. Ah, we are seeing an increase, um, in clients coming from other areas of the world as well, um, from the Caribbean, um, and from Central America. We saw a growth, um, in the number of clients, um, served from

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2 those areas as well as cases for immigrants from 3 Africa.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: So regarding the confidentiality issue for LGBT and gender identity, what are those issues?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LIN: I think that there's a concern about, um, ah, sort of data reporting from the providers, um, with respect to how many clients they've seen, um, who have a fear of return based on sexual orientation, gender identity, um, or similar. I'm happy to follow up and we can definitely follow up and have conversations, um, with our providers about the frequency, um, with which they are seeing this kind of work. It's something that we know comes up from our conversations with the providers, um, and we've actually worked with, um, the Anti-Violence Project and the Office of Civil Justice to make sure that there's additional training and capacity building in the provider community so that there's greater awareness and sensitivity and working specifically with trans and gender nonconforming clients, um, since, um, that is a population that is vulnerable and that we, um, sort

2.2

2.3

of all providers could use additional training in working with them.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: No, it's a little concerning to me that, ah, we don't know those numbers because, um, oftentimes what I found is that, um, some of the organizations, even the organizations that we fund, um, do not screen for LGBT or gender identity, when in fact that is a great way to, ah, get asylum. So, I mean, at least in New York, right? Um, and so you know, I've been trying to push this for a while that we do have those numbers and that we do have screening mechanisms within the organizations that receive the money.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LIN: Um-hmm.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: So do you know how they're screened?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LIN: Um, I don't know offhand, but I'm happy to, um, inquire and follow up.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: My concern here, again, is that they'll miss it.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LIN: I'm sorry?

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: They'll miss it if they don't bring it up, right? If they don't say

2.2

2.3

2 there is a possibility that if you're LGBTQ or gender
3 identity issue...

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LIN: Right.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: ...they'll miss it and then they'll miss the opportunity to get asylum...

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LIN: Right.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: ...and it's fear because of maybe with the situation that they came from and the country in which they lived that they may not themselves bring it up.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LIN: Right.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: But there should be a screening mechanism by which these things can be asked of the clients coming in for any type of, um...

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LIN: Right.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: ...immigration relief.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LIN: Right.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: So it should be part of any screening, I think for any immigration relief, whether it's DACA or whatever, 'cause then other ways of, you know, getting it, one of them

2.2

2.3

2	being,	um,	sexi	ıal	orie	entation	and	asylum,	you	know,
3	really	higl	n up	on	the	list.				

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LIN: Um, I, I will definitely follow up. It's not, I don't know offhand, um, whether that's sort of a routine part of screening by the providers, um, that we work with.

Um, I definitely know that providers routinely inquire about whether there's any fear or concern about return. But I don't know kind of, again, um, in the various intake, um, forms, um, kind of what, what kind of questioning, that's something we can definitely look into and follow up with your office about.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: I think it also should be done in a sensitive way...

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LIN: Of course.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: ...um, you know, but I definitely think that it's something that should be brought up.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LIN: Um-hmm.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: OK, thank you.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LIN: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: Thank you,

Council Member Dromm and, and, and we're gonna want

2.2

2.3

to follow up on that with you and we know that even cases that come in as well, ah, have created a, um, not just an opportunity but an urgency to solve this, this piece. So thank you for that advocacy. Ah, I just want to also make sure that, that we acknowledged Council Member Chin and Council Member Mathieu Eugene, who were here today. Um, any questions, for either of you? Yes, Council Member Chin. And Council Member Mathieu Eugene, if you have any questions let me know. Otherwise, I'm gonna keep going. OK.

question I wanted to focus on in terms of outreach, um, I don't want to make the assumption, but like if somebody is, you know, seeking asylum who, um, [inaudible] all the safety measure got here to the United State and oftentimes they might have family members who are here or friends or relatives. So in terms of like letting people know what resources are available, ah, to help these individuals who are seeking, um, seeking asylum, like how do we do general, um, outreach in terms of to the ethnic media, um, local organization, churches...

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LIN: OK, yes.

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: ...so that people know that this research is available and also if they have, you know, family members, you know, back home that are in danger and they are lucky enough to get here.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LIN: Um-hmm. Um, thank you for that question. I think that, um, all of the above in terms of outreach tactics and techniques, um, that we engage in, um, you know, we have a very robust outreach and organizing team as well as a community services team that fields inquires from, um, individual constituents, um, and our team members are out in the fields all the time, um, working with community groups, community leaders, working with many of your offices, um, to put on events, to table and provide information, um, and to disseminate, um, materials, um, both short and long in, um, sort of a wide range of languages, um, to make sure that immigrant New Yorkers know about the services, um, that are available to them, um, particularly immigration legal services, um, and our immigration legal service providers, we're so blessed to work, um, in a city with just excellent providers. Um, you know, they are also experts in, um, working

2 | v
3 | t
4 | c
5 | v
6 | \(\frac{1}{2} \)
7 | \(\frac{1}{2} \)
9 | n

2.2

2.3

with clients who may have families back home, um, that may be eligible for, um, ah, relief as, um, sort of derivative beneficiaries of an asylum claim and to work, um, with individuals on that level, um, but, you know, we are happy to work with your office on specific tailored outreach to communities, um, that you have in mind but, you know, making community members aware of free, safe, immigration legal services is absolutely central to all the outreach that we do.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Do you have any data in terms of how many successful cases, um, was accomplished in the last couple years?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LIN: Um, you know,
let me see if, I do have a lot of data but I'm not
sure if I have that part statistic with me. Um, you
know, as I mentioned, um, through the administrationfunded programs, um, in fiscal 18, um, there were
about 18,000 cases served. About 80% of those cases,
um, ah, lawyers provided full legal representation.
Um, success rates, we do have data and I apologize,
I'm not sure that I have them with me, um, but I
think through programs like ActionNYC and, um,
mayoral IOI, um, the, oh, I do have some of this

data. Um, the, um, the grant rates are, are quite
high, um, and so I think we see that having, um,
high-quality immigration legal service, um,
assistance and representation makes a really big
difference for, um, our community members. So in
2018, um, through the, um, sort of administration's
immigration programs, um, ah, providers files, well,
this is from, for USCIS, about 6400 applications with
USCIS, um, about 2400 of those cases were decided and
about, um, ah, 2500 applications were decided by
USCIS and about 2400 were granted, um, so, again,
that's just for applications, affirmative relief
applications filed with US citizenship and
immigration services, but I think those numbers give
you, um, a sense of the success rate for applications
filed.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: That's good, I mean, but I think that needs to, you need to publicize those.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LIN: I'm sorry?

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: You need to

publicize the victories...

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LIN: Yes.

Τ	
2	COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN:so that people,
3	um, in the community knows that's its possible, ah,
4	that if they take a chance they might be able to, to
5	win.
6	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LIN: Yes.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Ah, I think that's
8	why I think in terms of outreach and education
9	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LIN: Yes.
LO	COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN:to really let
11	people know that there are successes
L2	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LIN: Yeah.
L3	COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN:and people should
L 4	not give up hope. So I'd be utilizing ethnic
L5	media
L 6	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LIN: Hmm, yeah.
L7	COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN:organization,
L8	churches, it will be good to really highlight some of
L 9	the victories.
20	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LIN: That makes a
21	lot of sense.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Thank you.
23	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LIN: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Thank you, Chair.

24

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: Thank you, and
Council Member Dromm has another question. But I
want to just in and ask a little bit about the, the
kind of wrap-around services that we talked about
earlier that are, ah, both asylum seeker-related and
just anyone that is seeking services. How are in, in
your work have you identified the increase in number
of cases and the changes and trends that may have,
well, actually, I want to ask to see if there has,
been any changes in trends in terms of asylum seekers
over time and whether or not you've seen an increase?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LIN: Um, I don't think, we, we don't have data that speaks specifically to that point. I think an engagement with our program providers, um, um, with whom we, um, work with constantly, um, we understand that, um, you know, this environment has made it more difficult than ever, um, in kind of delivering immigration legal services just with the level of change that we're seeing from the federal government, um, you know, I think providers are really challenged now, um, to, um, you know, ah, keep up with changes in the law, um, and then, um, to work with clients, um, and, ah, kind of consult with them and make decisions with

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2 them about the best course to take in their cases,

3 and so what we were hearing from our providers is how

4 difficult and challenging it is, um, in this

5 environment right now and how, um, you know, it's,

6 um, sort of all the more resource intensive, um, to

7 um, conduct this work, um, and you know, and, and

frankly just how draining it is from a sustainability

9 perspective.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: Yup.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LIN: So I think it's a tremendous challenge for the field, um, and one that we seek to support, um, through mechanisms like the technical assistance we provide, um, through the expertise of clinic, um, and, um, the New York Immigration Coalition, as well as, um, you know, sort of other means to support the capacity of providers at this, um, at this very difficult time for communities.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: We're in the middle, well, actually, we're in the beginning of the budget process. Do you feel like there's already a, um, a gap of services that will require more funding in the near future?

continuing to discuss with you.

2.2

2.3

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LIN: I think

there's, there's always ways that we can do more and

I think we're, um, you know, happy to continue those

conversations as we go through the budget process.

Um, you know, I think that, um, together the city and

the City Council, um, have invested just historic

levels, um, into, um, supporting immigration legal

services, um, we should all be very proud of that,

um, but of course gaps remain and I think that's

something that, um, you know we look forward to

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: Well, and I'd like to, ah, or actually say I'm anticipating that MOOIA, MOOIA comes at the budget hearing with a kind of a package, if you will, of kind of provider-driven, across the board of services, not just legal, but everything that we just were discussing, ah, with an understanding that there are more needs and therefore requests that can come directly from MOOIA, that, that would help the process move forward, um, and on that note, Council Member Dromm.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: OK, just to follow up again, I have just some concerns about, um, I'm wondering if you know, um, do you know the breakdown

1	COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 49
2	of, um, the ethnic or national breakdown, nationality
3	breakdown, of who's, who's, um, applying for asylum?
4	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LIN: Um, I don't
5	have that information with me. I'm sort of thinking
6	to see if that information is available and I don't
7	recall, um, sort of all of the data points that, um,
8	you know, the federal government does make available,
9	but I'm happy to double check and get back to you on
10	that.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: OK. One of my
12	concerns regards the funding, um
13	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LIN: I'm sorry?
14	COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM:and support of
15	the funding, but with NYIFUP um, I'm understanding is
16	that an awful lot of Asian and Pacific, um, folks,
17	they're the highest number of deportations, I think.
18	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LIN: Um-hmm.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: And the lowest
20	number of people who are accessing, ah, these
21	services provided by NYIFUP and/or other programs.
22	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LIN: Um-hmm.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: So I'm wondering
21	if the same thing isn't true for asylum cases

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LIN: Um-hmm.

3

5

6

7

8

10

1112

13

1415

16

17

18

1920

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: And that's why I wanted to get those numbers, to look at that, to see if there's a comparison there and what more we can do to do outreach, along what Council Member Chin was saying, um, to those communities in particular.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LIN: Yep. Um, thank you for the question. I think we definitely are aware that, um, you know, kind of outreach to specific communities is very important across all of the immigration legal services that are, um, funded by the city, um, and I know from my office's perspective, um, you know, we've really dedicated a lot of resources to expanding our ability, um, to work with small- and medium-size organizations that work with Asian and Pacific Islander, um, communities, um, and to support them as they build their immigration, um, practices, um, so that they can continue to do this really important work for a community that's too often underserved. Um, but I'm happy to look into sort of further data that we have about asylum cases, um, and, um, sort of by nationality, um, and, ah, you know, I think we would be, ah, very honored to work with you, um, to see how we can do better.

2.2

2.3

to that?

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: We'll just dial that number that correlates with the spending that

4 we're doing in terms of the programs also.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LIN: Um-hmm, yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: Thank you,

Council Member Dromm, and I'm curious, the, the New York Sanctuary Coalition in the public panel mentioned a rise in Garifuna asylees and we know MOOIA recently held a Garifuna town hall and is this related to those claims and is there any relationship

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LIN: Um, I think that, um, you know, I think, ah, our approach to holding events and conducing, um, outreach with different communities across the city, um, sort of stems from our desire to make sure that, um, sort of new communities of immigrants or immigrants who might have been, um, sort of historically underserved um, um, know, ah, who their city government is, um, and have, ah, relationships, ah, with our office, um, and that we're bringing information about city services and resources to these communities, um, so they could access help, um, and so you're absolutely right, we,

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	um, recently held a town hall with our Garifuna
3	community. We worked closely, um, with several
4	organizations that, um, work in this community and
5	have, um, you know, deep ties, um, with this
6	community and, um, you know, ah, um, you know, I
7	think thanks to the nurturing of these relationships
8	do get, um, sort of, ah, have a good, um,
9	communication flow, um, with members of the committee
10	about various constituent requests and community
11	needs, um, which we endeavor to make sure that people
12	are connected to legal services, connected to, um,
13	you know, information about how, um, they can access
14	help. Legal services are certainly a high priority.
15	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: Yeah, and, I

guess, ah, this is my last kind of, ah, question bucket, because I think what I want to end it with here is, is something that Council Member Dromm spoke to in terms of, of the LGBT community and a screening process that works for everybody and I think this is the, um, the kind of tricky in terms of how, how do you really build the best opportunities for people to come forward so that asylum could be triggered and other, other benefits, ah, in kind of legal, legal casework and, and some of that is legal, but before

2.3

24

25

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LIN: I think we're definitely seeing increased volume coming through the ActionNYC hotline. Again, you know, the hotline, um,

operators don't go into each caller's sort of history 2 3 and intake, um, they provide information, they 4 provide appointments, they connect people to the services that they need. Um, so we have some sense of why people might be calling 'cause it might be 6 7 connected to a particular event. For example, last 8 summer when there was the threat of raids coming from the president and from the administration we saw the numbers to the hotline go way up, right, and we knew 10 11 that people were very concerned about the raids. 12 we have that kind of sensitivity, we have an 13 understanding of what people are concerned about. But generally I think what we can say is that there's 14 15 just tremendous concern and that's what we're sort of 16 getting from, um, the programs that we run, the, um, 17 the outreach that we do, a tremendous level of 18 concern and fear, um, that goes beyond just asylum 19 seekers, right, who, um, you know, ah, you know, as I 20 noted, sort of a broad group. Um, there may be many 21 people who have deep ties, may be eligible for family 2.2 immigration, but also are afraid of return, um, to 2.3 their home countries for various reasons. So I think it's, it's complex. Um, it's hard to say, um, you 24 25 know, that asylum seekers in particular are

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

specifically afraid especially when, um, you know,

3

for, um, you know, a New Yorker they may be all of

4 these things, right? Um, and so you know, I think

what we track is, um, the ways in which, um, you

know, the, the various assaults on our communities, 6

7 um, on immigration communities, um, have corresponded

to increased need and demand, um, for services, um,

here in New York and we have seen that.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: Well, again, thank you for, for joining us this morning and I think what, what the committee is, is not only ready to do, and we're gonna listen to other testimony through the, ah, the afternoon and hope your staff can stay to jot notes. This might have an impact in our budget in terms of how we think about doing this better and how we can really respond with a kind of New York response, which is a sanctuary kind of style response, that in our struggle for our sanctuary city we respond, ah, in the best kind of way. But I also want to say how important this committee is to the work that, that happens in the City of New York. Ah, the state doesn't have an immigration committee at all. So these kind of deep level conversations about, about budget interacting and all the legal

^

services and, and, and the kind of work the LGBT community needs to do with us to, to make sure that there's a screening process that can work. These are all things that can happen in, in government work.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LIN: Right.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: And then finally with the passing of the resolution, ah, which you are in support of, ah, this is part of the work that, that we can do here so that the voice of the community of New York can join the effort.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LIN: Agreed. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: Thank you, thank you. Thank you all for, um, for being here for this piece, and now we're gonna call up the next panel and first we'll have Brianna Krong for the Center for Gender and Refugee Studies, Alexandra Pena from the Catholic Migration Services, Amy Pont from the Legal Aid Society, Agithu Podmanamhan from the Legal Aid Society, and then Puma Asnani from the Sanctuary for Families. So that's, one, two, three, four, five. If you can have a seat. We'll need another chair. Oh, there's five. I didn't see that. OK. Hi everyone. Thank you for being here today, and I hope

1	COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 57
2	you can stay for some questions after your testimony.
3	We'll put three minutes on the clock for testimony,
4	so if you can kind of get through it and at the end
5	I'll just ask you to summarize and then we'll have
6	questions from, from the committee. Who would like
7	to start?
8	ALEXANDRA GONCALVES-PENA: OK, your
9	honor, um, I will start, only because I have to be ir
10	family court in about 40 minutes, so, OK, thank you.
11	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: OK, awesome,
12	thank you, please.
13	ALEXANDRA GONCALVES-PENA: Um, thank you,
14	Council Member Menchaca as well as committee members
15	for this convening and for inviting me to
16	participate, um, in this event today.
17	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: Can you bring the
18	mic closer to you?
19	ALEXANDRA GONCALVES-PENA: Absolutely.
20	My name is
21	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: Just pull, pull
22	it closer. There you go, there you go.
23	ALEXANDRA GONCALVES-PENA: Absolutely,

24

how's that?

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA:

Thank you,

3 perfect.

ALEXANDRA GONCALVES-PENA: All right, OK. My name is Alexandra Goncalves-Pena. I'm managing attorney for the immigration unit at Catholic Migration Services, a faith-based organization that has for over 40 years provided civil legal services to immigrant community members in Brooklyn and in Queens. Every year hundreds of asylum seekers hoping to navigate our nation's notoriously complex seek assistance from our office. For these asylum seekers making it to the United States and eventually to New York City and to all of our offices means that they have found safety from persecution, from torture, and from many times death. However, under the Trump administration they now face a new odyssey of not only navigating a historically complex immigration system, but an increasingly restrictive and hatefilled environment that bars many bona fide asylum seekers from securing the protection that they need. As the Council Member said, for many years, for over 70 years, the United States through legislation, court precedent, international agreements, and administrative rule-making has created and expanded

2 protection for asylum seekers, all of which the Trump 3 administration in the span of three years has 4 repudiated through wide-reaching, insidious policy changes and the rewriting of long-standing legal precedent. Once such change is, as we know, the 6 attorney general's shameful rulings in Matter of A-Band Matter of L-E-A-. This administration's action 8 are nothing other than the rejection of this country's best vision of itself, that it would "never 10 11 again become a country that turned away people literally running from their lives." By capitalizing 12 13 off of this country's legacy of racism and oppression, the Trump administration's anti-14 15 immigration policies have wrecked havoc on our 16 communities, I'm sorry, our cities, our nation and 17 has made it abundantly clear that we have once again 18 become that country. Since the attorney general's 19 decision in Matter of A-B- and LEA asylum seekers, 20 particularly those from Central America, have faced 21 an incredible uphill battle and the number of individuals impacted is significant. For example, of 2.2 2.3 the nearly 200 asylum cases currently pending with our office an estimated, and this is a rough 24 estimation, 75 to 80 have been negatively impacted in 25

2 some way by these decisions. One of this cases is 3 that of my client, Paola, who fled her country with 4 her 8-year-old daughter, Mariana, in order to save Marians's life after criminal gangs hired by her former partner threatened to rape and murder Mariana. 6 7 For 10 years Paola suffered horrific abuse at the 8 hands of her partner. When Paola bravely decided to seek help from the authorities and her country's legal system, not only reporting his abuse but taking 10 11 the exceptional step of suing her partner for custody 12 of their daughter, the threats against her daughter's 13 life began. And responding the only way I know many mothers would, including myself, Paola did the only 14 15 thing she thought would guarantee her daughter's 16 safety and she fled to the one place she thought she 17 would be able to seek refuge, the United States. 18 Because financially Paola could only afford passage for herself and one child, Paola was forced to make a 19 20 choice that no parent should ever have to make. order to save the life of Mariana she was forced to 21 leave another child behind. One month after the 2.2 2.3 attorney general issued Matter of A-B- Paola's case was heard before an immigration judge. The judge, 24 even before testimony began, informed Paola that even 25

though he was sympathetic to what she had went 2 3 through and although he had considered our legal 4 arguments, he was inclined to deny her asylum petition, finding that he was legally required to do so based on the dictates of the attorney general. 6 7 the conclusion of the hearing the Department of Homeland Security offered Paola and her daughter a 8 lesser form of protection as relief under the convention against torture under the condition that 10 11 she not appeal the judge's denial of her case. 12 form of relief, although one would which allow Paola 13 and her daughter to remain in the United States, unlike a grant of asylum, would not afford either of 14 15 them a path to citizenship nor Paola with the 16 opportunity to reunite with the child she was forced 17 to leave behind. Paola accepted the department's 18 offer and did not fight her denial because she was 19 terrified that she would lose, even at an appeal, and 20 lose risk undoing all that she had done to save the life of Mariana. It is for Paola and for Mariana and 21 for each of our agencies' clients, for all of the 2.2 2.3 thousands of asylum seekers, brothers and sisters, that live here in our city, that I join my colleagues 24 in urging this council to reaffirm its commitment to 25

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 62
2	our city's and our nation's asylum seekers, and we
3	demand that the United States continue to uphold its
4	legal, if not moral, obligations and provide people
5	fleeing violence safety in the United States. Thank
6	you for your time today. And I would like to ask,
7	and by asking the City Council to keep your hearts
8	open and your will unbending in continuing to do all
9	that you can for our friends who need your protection
10	from the incredibly insidious reach of this
11	administration. Thank you.
12	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: Thank you. And
13	you have that. You have my commitment and the
14	commitment of this committee and the City Council to
15	do that work. Thank you for your testimony today.

uncil to today. And we're gonna hear from, ah, your, your colleagues as well and I hope you can stay for some questions unless you have to go now. OK, you have to go. Thank you so much. Can we follow up later?

ALEXANDRA GONCALVES-PENA: Absolutely.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: All right. Thank you so much.

BRIANNA KRONG: Thank you so much, Alex. Ah, thank you so much, Council Member Menchaca, ah, for the opportunity to appear before the committee on

behalf of the Center for Gender and Refugee Studies. 2 3 My name is Brianna and I'm our communications and 4 advocacy coordinator. Um, as counsel in both Matter of A-B- and the Grace v. Barr lawsuit, um, which is the suit that Council Member Menchaca is urging the, 6 7 the, ah, the, ah, the council to join an amicus brief 8 We are so grateful to the committee for their consultation of the resolution before them today. Um, I, I'd like to focus my testimony this afternoon 10 11 on Matter of A-B- and another recent administrative 12 decision known as Matter of L-E-A-, which has 13 undermined the silent protections for families. in Matter of A-B-, former Attorney General Jeff 14 15 Sessions wiped out binding precedent that had clearly 16 recognized that women fleeing domestic violence and 17 other gendered harms could be eligible for asylum. 18 In the decisions, Sessions not only denied asylum to CJRS' client, Ms. AB, El Salvador domestic violence 19 20 survivor, but also made the broad sweeping 21 pronouncement that generally claims involving 2.2 domestic violence or gang violence perpetrated by 2.3 non-governmental actors would, should no longer qualify for asylum. In December 2018 CJRS, CJRS and 24 25 the ACLU won a nationwide injunction in our lawsuit,

2 Grace v. Barr, which, which now prohibits asylum 3 officers from applying Matter of A-B- in credible 4 fear proceedings, which is the initial, ah, screening process for asylum seekers arriving at the border. The government has appealed this decision and the 6 7 case remains pending at the D.C. circuit. For now 8 the injunction remains in effect. But although the use of Matter of A-B- remains enjoined in credible fear screenings it continues to be applied in asylum 10 decisions on the merits, um, like that of Alexandra's 11 12 Um, many adjudicators are summarily and 13 categorically foreclosing protections in cases as, as a matter of law simply because they involve domestic 14 15 violence or gang brutality. The prejudgement and lack of individualized determination has led to a 16 17 complete failure of due process for asylum seekers, 18 in particular those from Central America, many of 19 whom are fleeing domestic violence and gang 20 brutality. In fact, following the issuance of Matter 21 of A-B- asylum grant rates for individuals from El 2.2 Salvador, Guatemala, and Honduras declined by 38%. 2.3 The New York City Immigrant Court saw a particularly dramatic shift with grant rates dropping nearly 15 24 percentage points in the year following issuance of 25

City and we thank Council Member Menchaca and the,

24

2.2

2.3

ah, and, ah, and the committee for considering this resolution.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: Thank you. And, and as we, as we continue we can kind of build on, on the case and what, what I like to hear is kind of testimonies about the impact of it's having to many of your clients, and then, and then I have some more questions about that. But let's see if we can kind of keep building the, the, the kind of groundwork that the, ah, the changes have, are, are causing and the impact it's having on our community, if you want to continue.

POOJA ASANI: Good afternoon. My name is Pooja Asani. I'm the co-director of the Immigration Intervention Project and Sanctuary for Families, the nation's largest immigration legal practice for domestic violence and trafficking victims. We are so grateful for City Council Member Menchaca and the Committee on Immigration for the opportunity to transfer today. We are also immensely grateful for all the support that you provide immigration legal service providers like ourselves, ah, to do the work that we do. Today we are proud to be here in support of this committee's proposed resolution to condemn

the Trump administration's methodical attempt to 2 3 dismantle asylum protections and its resulting impact 4 on immigrant New Yorkers. At Sanctuary for Families we represent and advocate on behalf of thousands of survivors of domestic violence, trafficking, and 6 7 other forms of gender-based violence in a range of immigration cases, including U visas, T visas, VAWA, 8 and also asylum. Asylum, you know, is a crucial protection created under the international law and 10 11 enshrined in our Immigration and Nationality Act. 12 From Sanctuary's work representing hundreds of asylum 13 seekers over the years, we have learned first-hand how they leave behind their homes, their loved ones, 14 15 and everything they know to flee life-threatening 16 violence. After enduring unimaginable hardship in 17 their home countries and on the dangerous journey to 18 the United States they look to America for safety, 19 protection, and justice. But the US government, 20 through a series of executive actions and sweeping 21 regulatory changes has done everything in its power to shut down access to asylum for these vulnerable 2.2 2.3 immigrants. Former Attorney General Sessions' 2018 ruling in Matter of A-B-, as many of us have already 24 discussed, has been one of the administrations, most 25

2 devastating attacks on asylum seekers who turn to the 3 United States for protection from gender-based violence. As a result of this decision numerous 4 asylum seekers fleeing domestic violence and gang 5 violence, including many Sanctuary clients, face an 6 7 incredible uphill battle and have in fact to this 8 date been impacted by this decision. For those seeking from the northern triangle countries of El Salvador, Guatemala, and Honduras, where those forms 10 11 of violence are highly prevalent, asylum approval 12 rates have plummeted by 38%. I think of our client, 13 Silvia, a young woman from Honduras, who testified last summer before an immigration judge in an asylum 14 15 hearing. She spoke compelling under oath about the years of domestic violence and sexual abuse she 16 17 endured at the hands of the father of her two 18 children. She explained how her former partner 19 threatened to kill her with a machete, how he 20 constantly reminded her that she was his woman, and how he would find her and kill her if she ever were 21 2.2 to leave him. Against all odds Silvia managed to 2.3 flee Honduras, a country with one of the highest rates of femicide in the world, finding safety in New 24 York City. And yet last summer, after months of 25

preparing her case with the help of her immigration 2 3 attorney, Silvia was told by the judge that she was 4 not eligible for asylum protection. The immigration judge made a point to note that Silvia's story was credible and that he believed her, but said that due 6 7 to Matter of A-B- she could not be granted asylum. 8 Silvia is now fighting for her right to stay here on appeal. More recently, as you know, the Trump administration has created a number of other 10 11 policies, including the Family Unit Docket, to fast 12 track the asylum cases of newly arrived families, 13 often giving them just a few months to find legal counsel, collect evidence, prepare witnesses and 14 15 testimony, and present legal arguments. For most 16 asylum seekers impacted by this policy, this 17 represents an outright denial of due process. I want 18 to speak to you about one of our clients, Maribel. 19 Last fall Maribel came to our office two days before 20 the merits hearing on her asylum case. She had fled to the United States from Guatemala with a small 21 2.2 child in her arms, having suffered years of physical 2.3 and sexual violence at the hands of her former partner. Upon arrival in the United States Maribel 24 was fast tracked, given just seven months to find a 25

2 lawyer and prepare her case for asylum because her 3 case was designed FAMU. Barely fluent in Spanish, let alone in English, Maribel had immense difficulty 4 finding legal representation. Although we at Sanctuary immediately took on her case, the 6 7 immigration judge refused our request for more time 8 to prepare her asylum claim, instead adjourning the hearing for just a month later. My colleague and I worked with Maribel late into the night on weekends 10 11 and during our vacations to prepare her affidavit and 12 legal arguments, gather and assemble the evidence, 13 and prepare her for trial. On the hearing date Maribel testified credibly and compelling. Five 14 15 months later, despite the court's haste in scheduling 16 Maribel's hearing, it has yet to issue a decision on 17 her asylum claim. Fortunately for Maribel 18 Sanctuary's intervention most likely spared her from 19 receiving an order of deportation on the date of her 20 first merits hearing. But the reality is that few 21 asylum seekers are able file, find legal 2.2 representation and prepare their case under such time 2.3 constraints. To expect that is to deny the asylum seeker the right to due process. The US government 24 must, must uphold its moral and legal obligations and 25

provide every asylum seeker with a fair opportunity

to present their case before a judge. We therefore

call on the City Council to stand with the most

vulnerable New Yorkers in supporting this resolution

and condemning these destructive actions by the Trump

7 administration. Thank you very much for your time

8 today.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: Thank you, ah, for your, ah, for the testimony. And then if we can come over here on this side, and, and if we could, if, if you're helping to kind of walk through the Matter of A-B- and, let's just skip that since we've kind of, anything that we want, in your testimony that's repeated let's, let's skip that and really kind of get to some of the casework that really kind of shows the texture of what we're talking about. Thank you. Ah, just make sure that the light is on.

An, just make sure that the right is on.

AMY PONT: Oh, it's not on. There we go.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: There you go.

AMY PONT: Good afternoon. My name is

Amy Pont and I'm a staff attorney at the Legal Aid

Society's immigrant law unit, and I'm joined by my

colleague Aadhithi Padmanabhan, to discuss the

harmful impact of the Trump administration's action

against asylum seekers and the Legal Aid Society's 2 3 plan to fight back. Just a quick background on the 4 Legal Aid Society. Um, we handle approximately 5 300,000 cases through our different divisions, a civil division, the public, um, defense, as well as 6 7 the juvenile rights practice. And the immigration law unit is within the civil division. 8 first like to thank Council Member Menchaca for leading the charge in sponsoring this resolution to 10 11 support the rights of asylum seekers. 12 all are well aware, the Trump administration's anti-13 immigrant, discriminatory agenda has had a profound impact on our New York City community and, without 14 15 going into detail, Matter of A-B- has been part of that, ah, profound impact. Um, and compounding this 16 17 harm as, um, as my colleagues here today have 18 discussed, the expediting of family unit, um, cases 19 in the immigration court has made it very difficult 20 for folks to be able to prepare their cases for 21 attorneys to be able to prepare cases, intake 2.2 clients, and have them discuss the harms that they 2.3 suffered when they have, um, are in need of social services, mental health treatment, um, for the 24 suffering that they've, um, had in their home 25

countries. So in addition to creating fear and 2 3 uncertainty in our communities, these changes have 4 had a profound impact on individual cases. And similar to the, to the other brave women that, um, you know my colleagues at other organizations have 6 7 discussed, um, we've seen first-hand different, um, 8 individuals who have, who've had their cases denied as well in the immigration court. For instance, one woman, one brave woman fled Honduras and she was 10 11 fleeing her abuser after many years of physical and 12 emotional abuse with no help from the police and an 13 abuser who was also, had ties to the gang. immigration judge denied her case pursuant to Matter 14 15 of A-B-. Now she is appealing her case and has filed 16 a notice of appeal at the Board of Immigration 17 Appeals, and she's just one of many. For instance, 18 another woman who had her case, um, heard before, 19 before Matter of A-B- came down and an immigration 20 judge had stated an intent to grant her case, and 21 then Matter of A-B- came down and denied, and that 2.2 judge denied her case. Her cases is also on appeal. 2.3 Um, and these cases are not unique. Unfortunately, we're seeing a greater number of cases that need to 24 25 be appealed to the Board of Immigration Appeals and

then eventually to the Second Circuit Court of

Appeals. Um, specifically in December of 2019

according to track immigration reports the denial

rate for asylum at the New York Immigration Court was

6 49%.

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

AADHITHI PADMANABHAN: Thank you so much for this opportunity. You know, the phenomenon that my colleague, Amy Pont, and others on this panel have been describing, it's not unsurprising, right? because under the Trump administration the immigrant agencies have become increasingly politicized, such that what they're doing now is further the administration's xenophobic and nativist agenda rather than upholding than rule of law. So for instance the attorney general, who you've heard about so much, he's using his power to certify immigration appeals to himself to really change the face of immigration law and chip away slowly at the rights, or in some cases significantly, at the rights of asylum seekers and other immigrants. Um, both Matter of A-B- and Matter of L-E-A- were issued pursuant to this certification authority of the attorney general. In the three years of the Trump administration the AG has used his certification authority nine times at

least, by my count. Under the eight years of the 2 3 Obama administration the AG used that authority only 4 four times, to give you a sense of the scope of the problem and the way in which change is being made 5 through executive fiat. Because of the 6 politicization of the agency level Legal Aid's 7 8 clients are increasingly unable to access justice before the agencies. So to ensure that they get a fair day in court the Legal Aid Society is at the 10 11 forefront of litigation in the federal courts, all the way from the district courts to the United States 12 13 Supreme Court. I'm one of four attorneys in the 14 immigration law unit at Legal Aid whose docket 15 consists almost entirely of cases pending before the federal district courts and the circuit courts. 16 17 Amongst our many cases we're ligating appeals that 18 challenge or that touch on, ah, Matter of A-B- and 19 In our practice we also regularly Matter of L-E-A-. 20 file habeas corpus challenges, ah, challenging our 21 clients' arbitrary and prolonged often, ah, often 2.2 prolonged incarceration, ah, while they ligate their 2.3 immigration cases. Ah, as of course you are aware, asylum seekers who are fleeing trauma are often 24 25 retraumatized through the process of applying for

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 asylum and through the process of prolonged

3 incarceration. Now more than ever it's necessary to

4 take the Trump administration to court to ensure that

5 | justice is served. My colleagues and I are doing

6 just that and we're very grateful to New York City

7 for joining our efforts and for continuing to provide

the resources to do this work. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: Thank you for that, and really kind of laying out the ground for the, the, um, not just the lawsuit but reasons for that and the impacts that it's having on case work. I think I want to start with just how you ended in terms of the, the kind of trauma that already, ah, essentially enters the room when there is a case to start and the kind of support services. The lawsuit large o through its process to hopefully bring justice in the courts, and the city is asking itself and I'm asking the question about what the city can do to ensure that you have what you need and that the kind of robust services are available so that the best case can be made for the asylum, um, for the application for the success of, of an asylum application. And can anyone kind of talk about that? Because we, we're a city, we're a city government and

-	
2	we don't have the kind of federal powers, powers to
3	change, to change laws, but we do have a lot ability
4	to ensure that, that the best possible case gets puts
5	got and mental health services just keep coming up in
6	my mind in terms of what, what needs to happen, ah,
7	to, to ensure that someone is telling everything
8	that, that's happening, and New Sanctuary Coalition
9	and the clinic, ah, and I need to go back again, ah,
10	just to, to see the changes 'cause I know that those
11	are changing as well, but, ah, those are the kinds of
12	the things that kind of pop up that are not legal
13	services, ah legal services that need to get provided
14	but are a part of this holistic approach. Is there
15	anyone that wants to talk a little bit about that and
16	what, what we can do to support you all?
17	POOJA ASANI: Maybe I'll speak from our
18	experience, Sanctuary for Families. So we pride
19	ourselves on having a holistic model of service
20	provision in that typically in our legal teams we
21	also have case managers, ah, that are assigned to

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: Case managers.

POOJA ASANI: Case managers who...

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: OK.

22

23

24

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

POOJA ASANI: Who can support on some of the, ah, needed social services or referrals to counselors and therapists and within our organization we also have a clinical department, um, my point being that, ah, we, ah, really appreciate the city's support in funding these types of holistic services. They are absolutely essential to our ability to, ah, zealously advocate in the court, um, to advocate in a legal fashion on behalf of our clients. It's, it really cannot be done in vacuum and, ah, our ability to provide these, um, ah, mental health services, case management services, you know, as an organization that works with survivors of domestic violence I cannot tell you how many times a client has come into a legal meeting where we're supposed to talk about their affidavit or, um, for me to advise them on an issue of the law, and we end up talking about where they're living and the fact that they're homeless and have no place to stay. There's no way we can really get to the, the heart of the legal matter if our clients are not able to be supported in these others ways. And so, ah, we, ah, we are thankful to the city for supporting these types of, um, ah, holistic services and funding these types of

2 programs and we, ah, and we, we'd love to have 3 4 5 6

7

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

more of this type. Um, there's never enough, ah, there's never enough time for our case managers to help all of our clients meet their, um, their nonlegal needs, and so, ah, it's certainly something specifically for folks who are fleeing, um, ah,

8 gender-based violence and other types of violence

have been traumatized, that it is direly needed that

they are able to get this type of support as well. 10

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: Got it. And I think that, so that's, that's another supportive services and I, I think we should, we should talk offline about what that looks like as we build into the budget process that we have here and the budget hearings and we'll come back to that. I also heard in the testimony that the case, the kind of rapid request for information, judge asking you to come back quicker, what, what else in that kind of category of stuff is, is changing in terms of the, um, the constituent needs for the case as a result to the changes in the federal asylum policy? Is there anything else that we can, I think that, that kind of stood out as the, the biggest one, the kind of family, ah, the family unit and the kind of rapid,

3

4

5 6

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2 2.3

24

25

rapid, is there anything else that we kind of take from you all today in terms of the, the changes?

AADHITHI PADMANABHAN: Ah, yes. that there's a couple of things that fall within that category of, of, ah, you know, pushing through cases at the expense of due process. Of course, the family docket is one of them. I also want to make sure to mention the detained docket, um, a lot of which is, is funded, of course, through the NYIFUP program. mean, that docket has radically transformed, I think, um, the last couple of years, consistent with the change in administration, ah, and I think one thing that we're seeing is that when cases hit the Board of Immigration Appeals, which is the, um, is the, ah, agency appellate body, ah, that was supposed to be, I think, sort of a quality control check, but we're not seeing that anymore, right? We're stating to see, or we're seeing now, for some time now, um, BIA decisions that, um, ah, sort of border on nonsensical at times and I think for further management my practice, from my vantage point, where I'm often seeing cases that are coming up, you know, after the BIA, where we're making a decision of whether to appeal the BIA's decision to the Second Circuit Court

cases as fast as possible.

of Appeals, um, we're seeing this sort of, the, the,
um, not just the sort of draconian changes in the
substance of the law that's reflected in those, in
that BIA decision-making, but we're also just seeing,
you know, mistakes that come about when things are
getting pushed through the system when due, um, due
process is sort of being sacrificed at the altar of
administrative efficiency and just pushing through

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: Please.

POOJA ASANI: Sorry, something that I just wanted to add in addition to, I'm a hundred percent on what others have said about the additional needs. Another thing is that for cases, in order to present the best possible case we also, um, reach out to, um, country conditions experts, mental health experts, to provide affidavits and expert testimony in cases, and when the cases are expedited it is increasingly difficult to find, um, pro bono services, like country conditions experts or mental health evaluations in time for those hearings. So many times the organizations are, we're reaching, um, from different types of donations, um, to pay for these

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2 services for our clients because they're so
3 increasingly needed in these cases, um.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: And, and I'm, um, kind of just thinking about some of the data you might be collecting. We asked the administration for some data about, about clients within the, the kind of city-funded projects, but just kind of speak to the EOIR culture and policy changes that have impacted your work and what is the current average timeline of an asylum case from filling to decision, um, how is this different from the prior administrations, and what does this mean for the number of cases your organization takes on? does this mean for your organization's resources? Can you estimate how many cases are not able to access representation? This is the kind of work, and you might not have it now, but it'd be great to, after this hearing is, is done, this is part of building the case, or the, building the ask of the council to the budget to respond to, and we'll make sure that you get these questions, but there is anything that you can kind of speak to on that front? Timeline, or?

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 POOJA ASANI: Ah, so if I understand
3 correctly the ask is how, ah, the question is how
4 these types of administrative policies are impacting

5 | the way in which we do our work...

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: That's right.

POOJA ASANI: ...in an adverse right.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: That's right.

POOJA ASANI: It is absolutely impacting our work in a huge way. Um, as I, ah, alluded to earlier in my testimony we are having, given the sort of, um, ah, the rushed nature of, um, asylum proceedings and how quickly, how short of a turnaround we have to get, present these, ah, cases to court, all of us are burdened with a very high case load, are having to drop sometimes other things, other important matters, to immediately attend to very urgent cases. Sometimes we're not able to do that and the reality is that as many of us, you know, I'm looking around the room, there's so many of us that are doing this work and doing an incredible job doing this work, they're just, there don't seem to be enough people, ah, to handle the immediacy of, ah, folks getting individual hearings, um, in court, just to give you in court, just to give you an example,

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

just one that I've, anecdotally as a supervisor of my project, I've seen, we have gotten referrals for around 10 or so folks who within, within months of now that I'm speaking, so within a few months ago, who have individual hearings, meaning final merits hearing, hearings, coming up in March, April, and May. That is 10 new merits hearings that, that we need to consider whether or not we can take on as a It is simply impossible for us to do so project. given the numbers that we're already representing, and so what we all end up doing, it's sort of this game of who else can take it, knowing that everyone else is already overburdened, and sometimes we just do it, um, and, um, you know, necessarily it's going to impact other things that we can or cannot do, ah, but we're certainly seeing the impact of these, um, procedural changes that have come through the courts.

AMY PONT: Right, absolutely, and I would say it's hard to come up with an exact timeline for, um, the different cases. But the FAMU cases must be completed within one year of docketing, and docketing doesn't, you know, immediately, cases aren't put on for a master calendar hearing immediately after docketing. So sometimes that timeline, um, of year

2 is very expedited from someone when they find out 3 when they have an immigration court case to when they 4 have their individual hearing. So we're getting a lot of folks who come through our intake procedures who already have final merits hearings and then 6 7 there's always the question of when we'll, if we'll 8 be able to get that merits hearing pushed back in order to be able to represent them, and it's increasingly difficult because for family units we 10 11 also need to go to family court, and there's 12 sometimes family court could take six months, up to a 13 year, even more sometimes, and so to be able to complete that and have the child's form of relief 14 15 adequately represented before the court also becomes 16 difference. Um, and so it's really, and our 17 attorneys who have been, especially the attorneys who 18 have been working for longer periods of time, already 19 have full dockets and so it's very difficult to add 20 an expedited case to a docket that's already full out 21 for several years. And this has also been compounded 2.2 by the fact that the New York Immigration Court has 2.3 added new judges and so when folks have their cases moved to a new judge, um, an individual hearing could 24 25 be scheduled very quickly, within two months. You

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

know, I've heard new judges even scheduling an 2 3 individual hearing within a money. And so it's very 4 difficult to prepare an entire case to have someone share their story and, of course, you know, as attorney trust develops over time, attorney-client 6 7 trust develops over time, then that enables someone to share their story. But it's very difficult for 8 someone to, you know, share with a complete stranger all that they've been through, in addition to trying 10 11 to find a shelter, try to, you know, access mental 12 health resources, get their children enrolled in 13 school.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: Yeah, and what we're seeing here directly in the face, we're in front of the deportation machine that's removed any source of humanity to a system that was not built for this, and I just, it just reminds me that that's, that's what we're fighting here and, and I know that testimony has been really strong in that, that vein. But we have to respond and the question is how and what do we need, and that's what I'm going to keep coming to you all on. So I want to hear from other folks. I just want to also if, if you're here, um, are representing our friends in the courts, just

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

raise your hand if you're doing that work, ah, court 2 3 representation, raise your hands. Awesome. 4 you. Thank you all for, for that work. If you're here and not doing legal case but are doing kind of social service or advocacy raise your hand. Awesome, 6 7 thank you, thank you for that. Um, so, good, thank 8 you. And, and I think what, 'cause I wanna make sure that everybody testifies. Ah, I'll let you go now, but let's keep talking about the needs. NYIFUP 10 11 presented some very particular needs around, ah, just 12 more lawyers to do the docket, ah, to respond to the 13 new and expanded docket, and, and so we just need to hear this, and the more that we can hear this the 14 15 more we can fight for it. Otherwise, we won't be 16 able to do that. So keep sounding the alarm. 17 you so much.

UNIDENTIFIED: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: We're gonna call
the next panel. And, ah, Rebecca Press, ah, Somal
Magana Say from the African Services Committee,
Aprataba Desai, Her Justice, Neena Dutta, ah, AILA
New York, the American Immigration Lawers
Association, Rebecca Gendleman, from Human Rights
First. And the next panels, ah, we're gonna want to,

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

2.2

2	you know, want to hear obviously that you're		
3	supportive of the resolution, but I also want to mak		
4	sure that we can get to you. Some new data that can		
5	help us move the conversation forward, and I know		
6	that we have I think two more panels, three more?		
7	Three more panels. And I've been taking some sweet		
8	time with, with panels and I'm sorry about that.		
9	But, um, bear with us. Who would like to start?		
10	Start on your side?		

NEENA DUTTA: Let me.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: OK, thank you.

NEENA DUTTA: Hello, my name is Neena

Dutta. I'm representing AILA, um, and on behalf of

Silvia Ayass Livits, ah, chair, and Moumita Rahman,

who wrote this testimony. Um, first of all, you

asked for some statistics before. The top 10

countries are Venezuela, China, ah, Guatemala, El

Salvador, Honduras, um, and Colombia. We've also got

India, Haiti, and, and Nigeria. The top is 25%, the

bottom is 3%. Um, since...

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: And those are just for your, your cases, for your organization or just, that's the, that's OK.

NEENA DUTTA: This is on [inaudible].

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: Thank you.

3 NEENA DUTTA: It's public statistics, 4 These are for affirmative cases, though, not, 5 um, people who are in deportation. We'd like to take the opportunity to emphasize the need for an 6 7 independent Article 1 immigration court system. As 8 we have seen, um, asylum is under attack. abysmal decline in asylum [inaudible] resulting in the attorney general's decision in the Matter of A-B-10 11 reveals the chilling case of which our asylum 12 protections have been dismantled by political 13 motivations and anti-immigrant stances. Now more 14 than ever Matter of A-B- and other certified cases 15 reveals, um, the ease in which due process can be 16 denied to immigrants. The only way to guarantee 17 judicial independence and allow immigration judges to 18 act as neutral arbiters of fact and law is to remove 19 the immigration courts from the Department of 20 Justice's control and we urge the council to take a 21 stance on this matter. Matter of A-B-, the attorney 2.2 general's attempts to overturn this well-settled 2.3 federal protection allowing the grant of asylum to individuals suffering fleeing, suffering and fleeing 24 domestic and gang-related violence on account of 25

24

25

their membership to a particular social group. 2 3 fact, the instruction given by the attorney general 4 in the Matter of A-B- urges immigration judges to 5 find, it will be nearly impossible to establish the eligibility for asylum if the persecution is on 6 7 account of membership in a PSG and the violence is 8 domestic or gang-related. This decision improperly heightens the standard by which asylum is granted and effectively bars asylum claims in a nearly 10 11 categorical basis for individuals fleeing domestic 12 and gang-related violence in the northern triangle, 13 comprised of El Salvador, Guatemala, and Honduras. 14 The decision creates a new standard that is 15 politically motivated and designed to illegally 16 prevent persons from applying for asylum and 17 obtaining a grant. To deny asylum protection on a 18 wholesale basis in the incorrect and illegal belief 19 that it cannot be granted if the person is suffering 20 domestic violence or gang-related violence, not only 21 forecloses an immigrant's legal rights but also 2.2 deprives the United States of economic and financial 2.3 gain.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: So I just want to say, we have all your testimonies as well, so that's

2.2

2.3

2 all gonna go on the record. Is there anything that

3 you want to just end with right now that you can kind

4 of push not just the conversation forward but

5 anything that, that you've kind of seen and witnessed

6 directly?

NEENA DUTTA: I think mainly, you know, what's different I think for us is really pushing the independent courts. Um, you know, I realize that we're here in a local council, but I think there's definitely a role that the council can support in removing DOJ from this, because this is, Attorney Barr has, has, um, demonstrated that you know, how this can be, um, corrupt.

encourage that as well. We know, we talk about movements like Abolish ICE and what that means, that has to start somewhere and that should start in a space like this where we're asking for testimony from our on-the-ground folks, so yes, how that looks like and what we can do to propose, ah, infrastructure changes and structure changes. This, these governments, OK, and I'll get off the soap box, but, you know, our governments are, are designed by people and the people can redesign them as well. And that's

Please.

for that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

where we need to hear it and that's where we can speak on one voice when we pass resolutions from the City Council from the millions of people, on behalf of the millions of people who live here, ah, who believe in the things that we believe. So thank you

SAMA MAGONA SESAY: Good afternoon, Chair Menchaca, and thank you to the Committee on Immigration for the opportunity to testify today. name is Sama Magona Sesay and I'm an immigration staff attorney and equal justice works fellow at African Services Committee, where I lead the black immigrant gender justice initiative, which is sponsored by DNY Mellon and Sullivan and Cromwell LLP. African Services Committee is a nonprofit organization based in West Harlem that was found in 1981 by Ethiopian refugees and today is dedicated to assisting immigrants from across the African diaspora. We provide health, housing, legal, educational, and social services to 10,000 immigrant New Yorkers each year. African Services Committee's black immigrant gender justice initiative specifically provides free legal services to African and Caribbean immigrant women, including cis gender

2	women, transgender women, and gender nonconforming
3	fems who have faced various forms of gender-based
4	violence. A majority of the people receiving legal
5	services in this program are women who have fled
6	their home countries after experiencing extreme
7	domestic and intimate partner violence and not being
8	able to rely on their countries of origin for
9	protection. The United States and specifically New
10	York has become a place where many of these women are
11	able to experience safety and independence for the
12	first time. African Services Committee joins the
13	other organizations here today in emphasizing the
14	need to maintain the availability of asylum
15	protections for individuals and families with a well-
16	founded fear of persecution due to domestic or gang-
17	related violence. Through our work we have witnessed
18	the increasing need for asylum access for survivors
19	of domestic and intimate partner violence and the
20	impact of former US Attorney General Sessions' in
21	Matter of A-B- on our immigration services we are
22	able to provide and on our clients who we serve. Our
23	office has had to spend more resources and time on
24	asylum claims related to domestic and intimate
25	partner violence, limiting the number of immigrant

2	New Yorkers we're able to serve each year. But most	
3	importantly, our community is afraid. Um, we have	
4	received an increase of fearful calls from	
5	immigration women within our community, um, who	
6	either have pending asylum cases or want to come in	
7	for intakes, um, and are afraid that they will not k	
8	protected and are afraid to come to our doors and	
9	seek services. I spoke to one woman from Burkina	
10	Faso who declared that sending her back to her	
11	country of origin would be like sending her to her	
12	death because her husband, who she was forced to	
13	marry, would eventually kill her and her government	
14	would do nothing to protect her. In conclusion, the	
15	attempt by Matter of A-B- to characterize domestic	
16	violence as a private matter that our government does	
17	not have a responsibility to address is legally	
18	inaccurate and harmful to immigrant New Yorkers. We	
19	strongly encourage the city to pass a resolution	
20	affirming its support of asylum protection for	
21	individuals and families fleeing domestic and gang-	
22	related violence, um, and I'm open to answer any	
23	questions that you have about more specifics.	

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: Thank you for your testimony.

24

25

SAMA MAGONA SESAY: Yeah.

3 REBECCA PRESS: Good afternoon. Is this 4 OK? Yes, ah, my name is Rebecca Press and I am here 5 to speak on behalf of UnLocal, where I am the legal director, as well as Central American Legal 6 7 Assistance, [clears throat] excuse me, as we are both 8 small nonprofit organizations ligating a large number of asylum claims before the three New York immigration courts that are currently hearing non-10 11 detained cases. I'm not going to read my testimony 12 to you today. You've heard from my incredibly 13 eloquent colleagues about all of the changes and how 14 devastating they truly have been. I'm gonna speak 15 immediately to the issue of FAMU because I want you 16 to truly understand how devastating that is in the 17 ability to obtain legal counsel. I would like to 18 give you a couple of examples. Ah, I first appeared 19 on a case in February of 2019 and was informed on 20 that very day that my client had already been 21 scheduled for a trial to be held in April, two months 2.2 later. We were expected to provide all evidence by 2.3 Now, as my colleague Amy from Legal Aid had, had spoken about, I have been practicing for quite 24 25 some time. I have a very large docket. It literally

would have been malpractice to add another trial to 2 3 my docket. I was therefore forced to request to 4 withdraw from the case, so my client was not going to have free, pretty decent legal representation if I was not allowed to, if I wasn't allowed to remain on 6 7 the case. The court did request my, ah, grant my 8 request for adjournment, but only two weeks before the trial. So I was, I continued to have to prepare as if I was going to appear in April. This is truly 10 11 devastating. We, as nonprofit and private 12 practitioners, carry very, very big caseloads. 13 cannot absorb, ah, the number of cases in the time needed. CALA recently had a case which was 14 15 rescheduled literally eight times in the period, in a period of three months and was testified to four 16 17 different immigration judges in a period of three 18 months. They were not informed. They appeared at I think they were never informed with more 19 20 than one week's notice. Ah, a rescheduling eight 21 times is just outrageous. Nobody can practice that 2.2 This is typical. This is not a unique example. 2.3 This is what's happening every single day at court, and it is truly prejudicial because people cannot 24 find representation when these are the, um, 25

2	conditions under which we're working. The other
3	point that's truly important is to recognize how
4	hostile the ligation has become. Pre A-B- and
5	frankly in a different, under a different
6	administration you could expect to ligate a gender-
7	based violence claim fairly quickly. That doesn't
8	mean it didn't take any work, right? But you, you
9	showed up and you anticipated your trial being
LO	anywhere from an hour to an hour and a half. These
L1	trials are now literally all-day affairs. Literally
L2	You can start at 8:30 in the morning and not leave
L3	until 6:00 p.m. That, the amount of work has
L4	quadrupled, if not more, and so when you talk about
L5	what can City Council do, what, what do we need,
L6	absolutely we need more attorneys, but we also need
L7	more support, as you were talking about before,
L8	social workers, case managers, who can help us help
L9	our clients by talking about the other needs, the
20	non-legal needs that truly do impact their legal
21	case. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: Thank you. Thank you very much.

PRATHIBA DESAI: Hi, my name is Prathiba

Desai and I'm a staff attorney at Her Justice. I

2	want to thank, thank you, Chair Menchaca and the	
3	other members for this opportunity today to speak in	
4	support of Resolution 1173 on behalf of Her Justice.	
5	Her Justice is a New York City-based nonprofit	
6	organization that uses a unique pro bono first	
7	approach to train and mentor volunteer attorneys fro	
8	top firms across the city to provide free legal	
9	services to women living in poverty in the areas of	
10	family, matrimonial, and immigrant law. Our clients	
11	come from all five boroughs of New York City.	
12	Approximately 75% of our clients are domestic	
13	violence survivors, and almost three-quarters of our	
14	clients are mothers. More than half of our clients	
15	were born abroad. Our immigration practices focuses	
16	on the substantial needs of immigrant survivors of	
17	intimate and gender-based violence to access	
18	immigration relief for stability and security for	
19	themselves and their families. As an attorney at Her	
20	Justice, I represented individuals who've applied for	
21	various forms of immigration relief, including	
22	asylum, based on having survived some form of	
23	violence, including domestic violence, intimate	
24	partner violence, sexual assault, and human	
25	trafficking. I've traveled to the United States	

border to assist mothers with their children who are 2 3 seeking asylum and being held at South Texas Family 4 Residential Center in Dilley, Texas. Through my work representing survivors and asylum seekers in New York and at the border I've witnessed first-hand how the 6 7 recent changes to immigration law implemented by our 8 federal administration have impacted those women. know first-hand how harmful these policy changes are to immigrants survivors. Her Justice conducts 10 11 immigration consultations at several of the family 12 justice centers, um, in New York City. At these consultations we screen victims of domestic violence, 13 many of whom would be clearly eligible for asylum 14 15 under prior asylum policies. Now we must advise 16 these clients that although they fled domestic 17 violence and they are afraid for their lives should 18 have they have to return to their home countries, the 19 robust immigration policies that once would have 20 protected them may no longer be available. 21 changes in policy have stoked fear and panic in our immigrant and survivor communities. The United 2.2 2.3 States is seen by many around the world as a place that is safe and values protection and justice. Many 24 have fled to the United States for those reasons, um, 25

2.2

2.3

and instead have been met with the threat of being locked up in detention centers, being separated from their children, or being forced to wait in unsafe countries while awaiting a hearing on their asylum claims. Today we are here to stand with asylum seekers and immigrant survivors of violence, and we thank the City Council for recognizing the needs of these vulnerable New Yorkers.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: Thank you, thank you for that and the work that you do at Her Justice.

REBECCA GENDELMAN: Good afternoon. My name is Rebecca Gendelman and I'm a legal fellow at Human Rights First, a nonprofit human rights organization that advocates for US adherence to human rights law and provides pro bono legal representation to asylum seekers in partnership with volunteer lawyers and many of the nation's and New York City's leading law firms. I will be speaking about the migrant protection protocols, or MPP, one of the Trump administration's many polices that endanger the lives of asylum seekers and make it all but impossible for them to receive asylum. Rather than allowing people to apply for asylum in safety in the United States, as required under US refugee law, MPP

25

2 requires them to prepare and present their asylum 3 cases while living in dangerous regions in Mexico for 4 months, where hundreds have been kidnapped, raped, and even killed. Along the Texas-Mexico border 5 asylum seekers are returned to regions designated by 6 the US State Department as a Level 4 threat, the same 7 8 threat assessment assigned to Syria. They are then left to attempt to prepare their asylum cases with extremely limited access to counsel, safe shelter, or 10 11 adequate medical cares. Hearings for some asylum seekers returned to Mexico from Texas are conducted 12 13 in secretive tent courts. More than 95% of them are unrepresented. Since the implementation of this 14 15 program, Human Rights First has tracked reports of violent attacks on people in MPP, represented and 16 17 interviewed asylum seekers trapped in Mexico, and 18 witnessed MPP court hearings. We've published five 19 reports on the horrors of MPP and I've provided our 20 two most recent reports in the form of written 21 testimony. In our research we have tracked over 832 2.2 public reports of kidnapping, rape, and other attacks 2.3 against asylum seekers in MPP, including 201 reported cases of kidnapping or attempted kidnapping of 24

children. These numbers are only the tip of the

2.2

2.3

24

25

iceberg, as the vast majority of asylum seekers returned under MPP have not spoken with the press or human rights organizations. These cases include a woman who was raped in front of her 3-year-old son and children who have been raped. While observing MPP hearings I saw sobbing children beg the judge not to send them back to Mexico because they had been kidnapped. Having survived the wait in Mexico, asylum seekers in MPP are now almost all categorically barred from receiving asylum due to the third-country transit bar and can only win lesser forms of protection that do not allow them to reunite with spouses or children back home and do not provide a path to more stable and permanent immigration status or a path to citizenship. As a result, even refugees who do win protection will have to live in uncertainty and with no permanent status, including in the New York City community. Only 0.6% of asylum seekers in MPP have been granted protection as of December 2019. Asylum seekers are often survivors of severe violence and trauma, including domestic violence. In the United States they can access legal and social resources that can allow them to rebuild their lives. Many have family here, including in New

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

York City, who can help them and give them a place to live while they apply for asylum. Instead, MPP is designed to keep them in danger and make it so difficult and dangerous to win asylum that they give up and with nowhere safe to go return to the

nightmare they fled from. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: Thank you for that and for this panel, and it just reminds me that I think it's really important that we remain committed to self-care as we move through this. work is not, it's not easy. Ah, I mean, I wish the rest of the members were here to listen to this testimony. Ah, it's, it's the kind of thing that's gonna move us for, to action and, and I will compel the rest of the City Council to en sure that not only do we pass an amicus brief, 'cause that's not gonna be the hard part, it's gonna be ensuring that the budget that we pass this year is reflective of the need that we have to some of our most vulnerable, ah, New Yorkers and, ah, but this is hard stuff. So I hope you can carry it, but also, um, take care of yourself in this work. Thank you.

UNIDENTIFIED: Thank you.

_		
2	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: I'm gonna call my	
3	next, the next panel. Sanctuary for Families, Tiana	
4	Marisol Cherboska, Catholic Charities, ah, Reluka	
5	Onshowi, Chewy?	
6	UNIDENTIFIED: [inaudible]	
7	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: The Catholic, ah,	
8	Charity, Charities Community Immigrant Legal	
9	Services, Dan Schmulia.	
LO	DAN SCHMULIAN: Schmulian.	
L1	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: Schmulian?	
L2	DAN SCHMULIAN: Yes.	
L3	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: Sorry. Um,	
L 4	UNIDENTIFIED, Bronx Legal Services, and UNIDENTIFIED	
L5	from Bronx Legal Services. Dan, if we can start wit	
L 6	you on this side, on the left? Go ahead.	
L7	DAN SCHMULIAN: All right.	
L8	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: Yeah.	
L 9	UNIDENTIFIED: We're gonna start, yeah?	
20	Hi, good afternoon. Thank you. Um, I	
21	am, so we presented a nine-page testimony which is a	
22	laundry list of all of the different ways the system	
23	has either been dismantled or stacked against asylum	
24	seekers and I just want to touch, um, my colleague	

and I will touch on a couple of points that haven't

coming from Central America and that's compounded by

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

the fact that there's an assumption that if somebody's from Guatemala, El Salvador, or Honduras they speak Spanish. Ah, even the way the question is phrased by the judge or actually is stated, um, they're basically told is Spanish your best language as opposed to what is your best language. So there's probably a push for them to actually say that Spanish is their language. The other issue is that's been touched on is imposing metrics on immigration judges, such as case completion quotas and, um, how fast they, ah, adjudicate the cases. This has created huge due process problems. More recently we, our attorneys are being asked to stipulate to, ah, the records, ah, basically to forego having a direct examination of their clients. This is robbing clients of their chance to tell their story in immigration court. It's hard, especially for newer attorneys, to, um, contradict the judge and try to say no, we don't want to do that and we want to go forward. There's also I think for a while, I think we're quickly realizing that when the judge says let's stipulate to the record they don't mean to say that they will grant, um, the case. So stipulating to the record is actually very detrimental to the

1	С
2	C
3]
4	t
5	7
6	t
7	C
8	V
9	F
10	r
11	t
12	t
13	ľ
14	k
15	C
16	t
17	C
18	3

Um, then there's another huge problem which I'll speak about, um, at length. This is the failure to file notices to appear with the immigrant court. This causes all sorts of issues and I'll touch on that in a second. The other thing that was touched on is the rescheduling or advancing court hearings with little lead time or notice. This is making practicing immigration court impossible. preparing clients, taking time out to put a case together only to find out the day of the hearing that the hearing has been rescheduled. Some of the reasons for rescheduling that we heard lately have been, um, no Spanish interpreter available, um, double booking the hearing and not having time for the second hearing that was double booked, and in one of the cases the immigration judge never received our 300-plus page submission that had been filed in person at the court window, um, and rescheduled so that we could refile it with them.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: Can I pause you here. Ah, we're gonna, we're gonna keep going through but I want to ask about the interpreters really quick.

UNIDENTIFIED: Yah.

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: The interpreters, you're saying, is this a change that's happened...

UNIDENTIFIED: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: And the change went from interpreters to, you said a video?

UNIDENTIFIED: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: And the video is the kind of explainer video?

UNIDENTIFIED: Yes. The video explains immigration court proceedings. Um, my, you can actually watch it if you want, if you'd like to, um, and there's, it's, there's an emphasis, there's really not much of an explanation of the different ways that somebody, the different, um, paths to relief and, you know, asylum, U visas, etc. There's an emphasis on voluntary departure instead of that. So it's, it's a terrible video, really.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: And so my, my, I guess my question here because this is, this is something that we keep, language access, in general, the City of New York, we have a duty and a legal mandate actually by the City Council to, to meet that and I know the city is failing on so many levels on our city stuff. This is a federal court. And do you

2.2

2.3

believe that the city should be in that space to, to,
ah...

UNIDENTIFIED: I don't know to what extent the city can be in that space. It would be amazing [inaudible].

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: Well, there's two question, right? Can is one thing and should is another.

UNIDENTIFIED: Well, I...

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: And, and that's,
I'm asking you, I have a, I feel like I have an
answer for that but I wanna, I wanna really hear from
all of you.

UNIDENTIFIED: Yes, I do.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: OK.

UNIDENTIFIED: I also think that there's, um, you asked what the city can do and if the, you know, if respondents, if immigrants applying for asylum or for any kind of relief in immigration court, if they can get that kind of, um, access in court then they better have it somewhere else. So if there could be funding for the nonprofits that, that serve immigrants for them to get interpretation and translation that would be amazing. Um, because...

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: Got it. There's an idea...

UNIDENTIFIED: ...we are doing that right now but we're paying for it, um, and that's, that just increases the financial burden and the types of how many cases we can do.

about the Language Bank, where interpreters, there's a kind of essentially a group of language interpreters in a cooperative that would essentially be available for legal, for lawyers who are representing immigrants that need transition, they would be trained to understand the legal definitions and understand how it works so that they can, because it's not just the ability to translate something.

UNIDENTIFIED: Right.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: It's really understand it and make sure that people get what's happening in the court system and, um, there are a few organizations that are pushing us to do that and we weren't able to get that funded.

UNIDENTIFIED: That would be amazing.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: OK, so let's talk more about that later. But thank you for bringing up

2.2

2.3

2 the interpretation piece. That's, that's very, very
3 critical.

UNIDENTIFIED: All right. I have...

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: You have one

6 more, one more, two more, one more?

UNIDENTIFIED: Well, no. I have...

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: It's all, it's all in there.

UNIDENTIFIED: I'm just gonna, yes, I want to just mention the other things but I do want to talk about one thing that's huge.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: OK, go for it.

UNIDENTIFIED: Um, OK. So, ah, when it comes to, um, when it comes to affirmative asylum we're talking mostly now about removal proceedings, but when it comes to affirmative asylum, as you may know, interviews now are scheduled within three weeks of filing application and it's very hard to get another continuance for the asylum hearing and so that also places a huge burden on the attorneys preparing the case because you're not just taking an asylum case. You have to program it so that you can have everything ready within three weeks of filing.

 \parallel So that, that makes it harder to take asylum,

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

affirmative asylum applications in addition to the defensive asylum applications. Then, um, a new trend that we can observe is that USCIS, or the asylum office, is rejecting asylum applications if any of the fields are left blank, even fields that are not important, for example middle name, if somebody doesn't have a middle name and they just leave the space blank that's, those applications are being rejected. Ah, you're supposed to apparently know that you're supposed to put none or NA in every field that doesn't. So if you've never been married you have to go through all those spaces and put NA in every field that asks you about your spouse. Not everybody knows that, so you know, there's more rejected applications. And then, I think this has been touched on, there's now a proposal to have \$50 application fee for submitting an affirmative asylum application and also to allow USCIS more time to process applications for the initial work authorization that's granted to asylum seekers. is a huge, you know, again, a war on having poor people apply for asylum. They have to come with \$50 in the first place and then they can't work to support themselves and their families for a very long

2.3

24

25

time because Immigration is just gonna sit on their application. So the last point, and I apologize to my colleagues, that I want to make, um, is this failure for ICE to file the notices to appear with the court. This is creating chaos. What this means basically is when somebody is putting deportation proceedings at the border or anywhere else, this document that puts them in proceeding, the notice to appear, is created and given to them. At the same time that document has to be filed with the immigration court that has jurisdiction over the individual. Um, in very many cases that we see that second step hasn't been taken. This leaves the individual completely in limbo. If they want to file an asylum application there really isn't a place to file because we don't where they go to immigration court. Moreover, since a lot of these notices to appear are issued at the border, people don't stay at the border where the notice is issued. They actually move somewhere else in the country. They come to New And so that person, Immigration, all they know about this person is that they were caught in Texas. Um, they don't have an address for them in New York. They actually having ICE checking somewhere in Texas

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 or in Arizona, and they don't know on any day, that 3 notice to appear can be filed with a court in New 4 York, the court in New York will schedule them for a hearing, but they won't be able to mail them the hearing notice because they don't know where they 6 7 live. Even when they come to us as attorneys we cannot help them file a change of address with the 8 court or a change of venue from Texas to New York because we don't know, because the court here in New 10 11 York doesn't know who they are. So they will not 12 accept it from us. This is, it's just a ridiculous, 13 ridiculous system. People are so confused, they 14 don't know. You ask them if they have court, they 15 say yes, but it's an ICE check-in, it's not court. 16 They don't believe you when you tell them, or you try 17 to explain the difference. Um, it's just incredible 18 and what it's going to lead to is a lot of people 19 being ordered deported in abstentia because they 20 never learn about their, um, um, that they have 21 court. And...

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: And these are the tactics.

UNIDENTIFIED: And when the, when the notice is finally filed, so there is something that

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

you can do, you can tell all of these people to call 2 3 a certain number, which is the court number, and 4 through that, by calling that number they can find out if they are scheduled for court, but when it 5 happens they may not have enough time, right? 6 7 may be scheduled for court a week later. 8 not have enough time to file the change of address. They may not have enough to file the change of venue. Nor will the court have enough time to make a ruling 10 11 on that change of venue. And so those people will 12 have to, will be forced to fly all the way to Texas, 13 which obviously they don't the resources to do, in 14 order to avoid an in absentia odor.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: Yeah.

UNIDENTIFIED: So I'm done. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: Thank you for all that, from the interpretation to the tactics that are removing any sense of due process or justice to a system that is within the justice system. So thank you for that. And I'm, I'm looking forward to reviewing the entire, ah, entire package of, of recommendations and issues that you have seen. Thank you. And have you come on over, and just make sure that the light is, oh, wait.

2.2

2.3

2 UNIDENTIFIED: As I'm from the same 3 agency I'm going to cede to those.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: OK.

5 UNIDENTIFIED: If there are other 6 questions to answer I'll do so.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: Sorry [inaudible]. OK. Thank you. We'll come back to questions if we get there. Thank you.

10 TIANA MARISOL CHERBOSQUE: Can you hear
11 me?

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: Yes.

afternoon. My name is Tiana Marisol Cherbosque and I am the family reunification coordinator at Sanctuary for Families, one of New York City's leading providers of comprehensive services for survivors of gender-based violence. Our thanks to Council Member Menchaca and the Committee on Immigration for the opportunity to testify today. As a family reunification coordinator I help reunify our clients with their children, many of whom are in imminent danger in their home countries. In my time working with immigrant survivors of gender-based violence there has never been a more difficult time for their

25

will refer to as Claudia, a victim of domestic

25

be adjudicated. Meanwhile, her children are in danger of being kidnapped and tortured by their abusive father in Mexico for the second time. Claudia has made several attempts to bring her children to the US legally so that they, too, may live in safety. Facing one denial after another, the family is desperate and the children might have no other choice but to seek asylum at a US port of entry. Claudia's children would endure a perilous 26-hour journey to the southern border. Once they arrive at the border it would likely be months before they would even be called for an interview regarding their fear of returning to Mexico. During this period of waiting the children would not be provided with safe shelter or support. Her children would need to remain in Mexico despite their actual fear of staying in Mexico. Claudia's children would likely need to sleep on the streets, given the lack of vacancies at nearby migrant shelters. In the absence of shelter the children would be at increased risk of human trafficking, cartel kidnappings, and violence.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Claudia must make a decision no parent should ever 2 3 have to make. Fully aware of these amplified dangers that her children will face at the US border, Claudia 4 must decide whether they should endure this journey in search of safety in the US or continue to suffer 6 7 the abuses and threats to their lives should they 8 remain in Mexico. We call on you to stand with Claudia and her children by supporting this resolution and affirming your commitment to 10 11 protecting the rights of both survivors and asylum 12 seekers, particularly those experiencing gender-based 13 violence in New York City and beyond. Thank you for the opportunity to testify today. 14

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: Thank you.

UNIDENTIFIED: Good afternoon. I'm the [inaudible] immigration director at LSNYC. Here are two of my Bronx colleagues.

LUIS ROSARIO RODRIGUEZ: Good afternoon.

Our names are Carolina Guiral and Luis Rosario

Rodriguez. And we are staff attorneys at Bronx Legal

Services and Office of Legal Services of New York

City. Legal Services of New York City fights poverty

and seeks racial and social and economic justice for

low-income New Yorkers. We work within the family

and immigration unit providing legal immigration
services to immigration communities in the Bronx. We
are here to highlight our clients' stories, um, which
illustrate the impact that the change in asylum laws
have had on their cases. Um, Jessica, a woman from
El Salvador, operated an ice cream parlor with her
husband in [inaudible]. In El Salvador Jessica
suffered extortion payments and death threats from
the [inaudible] for many years when she didn't pay
them promptly. In 2014 Jessica and her children fled
El Salvador. Soon after arriving in the United
States they applied for asylum. Cases like Jessica's
were never certain to succeed, but at the time asylum
law in the US favored her arguments. There were
protections for victims of gang violence if they were
members of a particular social group that faces
persecution in their home country. Due to changes in
law that appear to directly, ah, target Central
Americans like Jessica the threshold for qualifying
for asylum based on persecution due to gang violence
and family ties is very high, and in some cases
seemingly impossible.

CAROLINA GUIRAL: Um, another, ah, one of our clients' story that illustrate the impact of, um,

recent changes, um, in asylum law severely limit

25

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

protections under international law and send a discouraging message to our clients seeking safety in the United States. Under this resolution to match US asylum will awards with international law standards, clients like Carol and Jessica would have a better sense of the strength of their cases and would have confidence that immigration courts would apply the law in a fair and consistent way.

UNIDENTIFIED: And if, um, I just wanted to address a question about what are we seeing in, um, in New York immigration courts. Um, there's an organization, TRAC, T-R-A-C, that gathers information of what's being filed in all the courts. For the fiscal year 2019, looking at all the cases, whether they won or lost in New York immigration court, you could say 30% were from the combination of three Central American countries, El Salvador, Honduras, and Guatemala, while 30% were from China. from the first quarter of the current fiscal year that they have stats for, um, it's really gone up for the Central American countries. It's now from 30%, it went up to 42%, if you add up those three countries, of cases decided. And for China it went They went down from 30% down to 23%. down a bit.

2.2

2.3

2 those, the three Central American countries and China
3 are definitely the big four, and it's more so now in

4 the first three months of the year, ah, fiscal year,

5 from Central America.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: Can I ask you all if you've also seen interpretation issues as well, in terms of interpreters at the courts?

UNIDENTIFIED: Yes, um, similar to what, ah, [Roulka] had mentioned earlier. Um, I've seen that many times, ah, while attending my clients', um, master calendar hearings, as they're called.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: Um-hmm.

UNIDENTIFIED: Um, where the, they'll just play a video for the remaining, ah, respondents in the room.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: Got it.

UNIDENTIFIED: And, um, additionally, um, for some of our clients who, um, again, like there's an assumption that they all speak Spanish if, you know, they say I'm from Honduras. So for some of our colleagues I know that they've delayed their hearings for lack of, like, for example, a Garifuna interpreter. So they [inaudible] it, it could be

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

like years from the date that the case was continued just because there's a lack of interpreter.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: Got it, got it.

And do you want to add something, Dan?

I wanted to add one, one issue. DAN: Ι mean, not only are you hearing sort of both lengthening of cases and shortening of cases and the general chaos, but there's also been a concerted effort, in fact, to, um, with stripping of, of rights like the ability of, ah, people who are fleeing domestic violence to win their cases and families, people who are seeking PSGs based on families to win There's also been a concerted effort to their cases. undermine the ability of people to find other forms of relief in court by reducing the amount of time that the courts, or the ability of courts to grant continuances. So, for example, if a family gets, has a possibility of having a special juvenile petition or a U visa or another type of relief that requires other agencies' decisions, the courts are being steadily told, the judges are being told, that they are not allowed to continue cases to do that. whilst the law may provide many forms of relief the judges are not able to actually wait long enough for

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ability.

those forms of relief to be put into force, and so it's a very cynical way of shutting down all ability, ah, of people to get safety in this country, ability that Congress has in fact provided, and, um, and, ah, has, should empower the judges to give, but their case law and, um, procedure is undercutting that

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: And this is why it's important that we join the amicus brief, ah, support on that and I'm gonna the next panel, but I want to say two things. One is so many of the stories that I'm hearing are of families, of mothers, and we just can't, we can't ignore that reality, that we're talking about the impact of young children and, and these cases are impacting multiple people and it's one family and a mother trying to do their best. And the second thing is that we have to ask a question about the multiple layers of commitment that are being asked up here, the, the kind of deterrent, the deterring or the, um, the lack of federal commitment from the White House, but also the question about what our commitment is as the City of New York and where we step in. There's a question about whether or not we should be putting any money

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 into federal, federal cases, right? But we answered 3 that question. We're putting lawyers into federal 4 proceedings. That should be universal representation at the federal level. But we're not waiting for that to happen. We're gonna do it. And I think this is 6 7 the same question that we need to ask to some of the 8 other places, like interpretation and saying is that, is that our business, is that what we should be doing, and I hope that you all can organize and on 10 11 that and many other ask and demand that of the City 12 of New York. So thank you for this panel.

UNIDENTIFIED: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: Thank you. OK, next panel, Jessie Pimental, New York City Anti-Violence Project, we have Andrea Bowen from the Anti-Violence Project, Maritza Suarez from New York City Anti-Violence Project, and then Jojo Edibal from the Immigration Justice Corps. Come on up. And we have one more panel after this. Can I read, I'm gonna read the names for the last panel, but you're not gonna come up, I just want you to know that I see you. Ah, and we have Alistern Sutter, and, ah, Uchechu Kuba Onwa, for the last and seventh panel. And if you have not been called then make sure that

1	COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 127
2	you fill out a testimony form with the Sergeant at
3	Arms. Who would like to start? Would you like to
4	start? Go for it. Good afternoon. And make sure
5	that you have the, oh, yeah, just so we can hear it
6	on record. Yeah, the light's on and then you speak.
7	JESSIE PIMENTAL: Yes, hi.
8	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: Hi.
9	JESSIE PIMENTAL: Hi good afternoon,
10	Councilman. My name is Jessie Pimental. I'm a
11	senior paralegal at the New York City Anti-Violence
12	Project. And today we bring to you our client who
13	has been, um, on the other side of our colleagues who
14	has, she's already undergone her own individual
15	hearing and was successful, um, but I'd really like
16	you to hear from her perspective as somebody who
17	applied for asylum and all of the work that went into
18	that.
19	UNIDENTIFIED: [speaking in Spanish]
20	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: [speaking in
21	Spanish]
22	UNIDENTIFIED: [speaking in Spanish]
23	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: OK, mucho

gracias. Um, Annie:

ANDREA BOWEN: Thank you so much, ah,
Chair Menchaca. I am Andrea Bowen. I'm with Bowen
Public Affairs Consulting, but I also represent New
York City Anti-Violence Project and, ah, as you know,
work with the TGNCNB Solutions Coalition. Um, there
is really nothing you can say that I think is quite
as poignant as what Martiza noted. Um, I'd just like
to give a sense of some of the work that I'm seeing
across a bunch of different providers, including AVP.
Um, as you and I have discussed before, over the last
several years providers of immigration services have
noted, um, a deep need especially for TGNCNB focused
legal services due to the complexity of the cases
they're in, um, as one provider said TGNCNB New
Yorkers experience homeless and staying engaged in
their case isn't always the most pressing survival
need, um, which makes cases take longer and cost
more. AVP itself has experienced an increase in the
number of TGNCNB focused immigration cases over the
last year. Ah, I've just been in touch with several
legal services providers and, um, you know, two of
the defender services that I've been in conversation
with have noted that that over the last year they
have also, ah. experienced, this is not in my

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

testimony, but just noting it, um, have experienced increased need, especially even over the last year. One of them noted an unusually high number of requests for assistance with their TGNCNB clients' cases. And so I just wanted to note, um, as you're aware, um, for the record, um, in the upcoming FY21 budget I'll be working with six organizations, including AVP, um, to, um, push for greater funding of TGNCNB legal services, um, \$800,000 worth, to be spread, um, I'm currently working among six different organizations, um, to try and both, ah, increase the number of lawyers in the system and staff in the system, but also backfill positions that haven't actually really adequately been funded so that, um, across the system of people providing TGNCNB legal services there's just greater capacity overall. there aren't that many providers who do these services well, um, but those that do do them well need all that they can get and, um, it seems as though they've been operating on shoestrings. um, I look forward to working with you and your staff as the budget season proceeds to provide more detail to these asks and I really appreciate the opportunity

24

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 to go on the record presently and outline the issue 3 and request in addition to my colleagues.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: Thank you, thank you for that. And for working with your organizations to pull together the package for requests for the budget. I look forward to working with you on that. And, and it maybe my question to the whole panel and, and I know I'm in the middle of it but I'm gonna ask the question 'cause you know, Council Member Dromm and I have been thinking a lot about how, how we build in a point in which someone can be, um, feel comfortable to talk about their LGBTQ, ah TGNC identity. And it's hard conversation to have in almost every respect and that is something that we don't want to shy away from, to kind of build opportunities for kicking in asylum as a, as an opportunity. And so that's something that we just want to work through 'cause it hasn't moved forward and I want to understand how to move that conversation forward. [speaking in Spanish] So I'll just leave that open question. Jojo?

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: Unless you want to hit that right away.

JOJO EDIBAL: Yeah, last but not least.

2.2

2.3

JOJO EDIBAL: I'll hit that one shortly.

Last but not least. Immigration Justice Corps. So

I'm Jojo Edibal. I'm the executive director. With

me is Harold Solis.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: Awesome.

JOJO EDIBAL: So we sat hear, listened to a lot of testimony, and so we...

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: All of it, actually [laughs].

going to belabor the point. Um, what we are seeing,
I will start off by saying what a difference six
years makes. Six years ago we're talking about
unaccompanied children. We brought to light this
whole idea about parents with children who were
coming. The council saw what we're seeing and put
some money into helping to provide representation. I
don't know where that funding is right now, but when
we are talking about competent lawyers in court, just
look at the statistic that in 2016 the denial rate at
the New York Immigration Court on asylum was 15%.
2019 it's 44%. Really in New York, denial rate at
44%? It means, it only means that the government has
weaponized the immigration system, making it more and

25

these smart phones, right? So you're on the clock

25

2 every time. We should be thinking about that. 3 are we doing for the advocates who are in the field 4 and doing this work? There's a need for mental services for them as well. There's a need for us to 5 think about how we help advocates build blocks or a 6 7 wall between the work and their life, right? What is 8 about giving someone a month or six months off after they've done this work to go off and do something at They're, I think this council has been 10 the border. 11 very progressive in finding ways to meet, ah, the 12 needs on the ground and we should, once we are 13 talking about mental health services for clients, 14 because that's also important, let's think of the 15 advocates. Because the other thing I'll point out, 16 the more laws you put on the, on the, in the system, 17 the better it is for us to be able to advocate 18 certain things. Some of our clients now are not 19 ready to step up in court and testify, because of 20 trauma, right? But they are being forced to. 21 can't we ligate those cases? You hear from Legal Aid 2.2 talking about one in four of their lawyers are able 2.3 to do post-conviction. Many of our organizations [can] do post-conviction because we are drinking out 24

of a fire hose, trying to get into immigration court,

10 minutes [inaudible].

1

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

can't do appeals. So as we are thinking of all those things, those are also things to think about. I, I think, I commend this, ah, committee for what it's done over the past [inaudible] 2014 when this issue of Central America came up. But I think the committee and the council also needs to meet up with the hierarchy, with the bosses at immigration court. If you're putting 58 million dollars in the pot and the federal government is putting in zero, you have a stake in this. Yes, it's federal, but you also have people who live here, who speak different languages. If we are going to court and we are not getting interpreters, yes, you're concerned and you want to bring it up to them to say we are concerned and we are watching you, and we are going to hold you accountable, and would like to meet with you regularly because you are addressing cases that involves our residents. So, my three minutes is like

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: Thank you for that. I think what, what I want to do is ask, because we have one more panel, but so much of what built the last six years of response, the council response to the work, has been a real clear

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

incredibly intelligent about how to navigate the

Э

system that we support them. And I'd rather support a project that comes from you all rather than me designing support. Does that make sense?

JOJO EDIBAL: It makes sense, and I just [inaudible] the issue because we, because we work with young lawyers who recently graduated we [inaudible] we've been working on this whole thing about self-care...

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: Good.

JOJO EDIBAL: And how the foundation that we've been working with, when we send our fellows down to Tijuana we have a debrief before they go, looking at the issues that they may face when they're in Tijuana, we have a hotline that makes sure that if they face certain situations they can call in. When they come back there's a debrief, right? And so we've started working on some of these things. As we work with partners in New York we've also started talking to them about some of these things and how we can bring it to the fore. So we've started discussions and I'm sure that we can put something together and present to the council at some point.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: Wonderful.

That's what we need. I think that's what we're gonna

12

2 need, and really bringing the mayor's office to be a 3 part of that conversation is gonna be important for,

4 so that we can work with them to build something out

5 and we'll get to the point where we're gonna, we're

6 we gonna do the negotiations. But as someone who's

7 on the budget negotiating team, and there's a small

8 group of us in the council, that's what I want to

9 | fight for. But I want to fight for something that

10 you all create and not wait for us to do that. It's

11 | just not gonna work that way.

JOJO EDIBAL: Sure.

13 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: OK. Thank you

14 all for being here today. We have two more, ah, in

15 a final panel. And if I called your name, come on

16 up. You are, ah, Alistern Sutter, please come on up,

17 and, ah, Uchechu Kuawu Onwa. If you're here.

18 Awesome. Come on up. Thank you. How ya doing? Is

19 | that it? No one else? Alistern Sutter is not here?

20 Anybody else want to testify? OK. You're gonna close

us off today. Thank you.

UCHECHU KUAWU ONWA: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: I saw you at the

24 press conference as well, so thank you for staying.

21

2.2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

UCHECHU KUAWU ONWA: Um, thank you so much, Council Member Carlos Menchaca, for this great opportunity for us to continue challenge the Trump administration, house immigration policies. My name is Uchechu Kuawu Onwa and I'm a co-director at [inaudible] Project. So [inaudible] in aggregation we support LGBTQ immigrants that are currently in immigration detention and those that have recently released or risk of being detained or deported by Immigration and we provide services like there is service support for those in detention and those out of detention and also with the community organizing, ah, organizing around the structure of barriers that, um, prevent LGBTQ folks from, um, actualizing their goals. Um, women and children and families seeking asylum experiencing unimaginable violence in their countries and on the dangerous journey to the US They come to the United States to find border. safety, but instead they are being met with more violence and cruelty, punished for assisting their rights, for asserting their right to seek asylum. are here to, um, to testify and defend asylum for immigrant survivors of gender-based violence and to challenge the Trump administration anti-asylum

25

The former general, Attorney General Jeff 2 policies. 3 made a shameful decision in 2018 in the matter of Ms. 4 A-B- case, an asylum seeker who bravely sought protection in the United States after enduring over a decade of extreme physical, sexual, and emotional 6 abuse from her ex-husband in El Salvador. Ms. A-B-8 was found eligible for asylum but was instead issued a decision that not only denied asylum to Ms. A-Bbut also making the sweeping pronouncement that 10 11 generally women like here should no longer be granted asylum. 12 This decision denies human rights of women, 13 characterized domestic violence and sexual activity as private matters. The Trump administration also in 14 15 January 2020 expanded their travel ban, targeting Muslim majority countries, to include six nations. 16 17 This ban is another racist attack from the Trump 18 administration against black migrants and this 19 administration continues to use their power and 20 privilege to push white supremacists and exclusionary 21 policies that discriminates on the basis of faith, national origin, immigration status, and race. 2.2 2.3 Trump administration has repeatedly attacks black migrants. The migrant protection protocols, MPP, 24

have endangered the lives of black migrants at the

2 southern border, who face anti-black racism every 3 step of their journey in the pursuit of safety in the 4 United States. Additionally, the refugee camp and 5 attack on temporary protected status, TPS, have shown how black migrants aren't a new target for the Trump 6 7 administration. This ban will create real impact on families and LGBTO communities members who will no 8 longer be able to obtain the US visa, leaving them with the options to continue living double lives in 10 11 their home country or risk being killed. And this 12 ban will open doors to other polices that 13 discriminates on the basis of faith, national origin, 14 immigration status, race, and in particular 15 eliminating DACA and increasing deportation. 16 gay man from Nigeria fleeing persecution because of 17 my sexuality and because of my activism work 18 advocating for LGBTQ rights in my home country. 19 Nigeria, for many [inaudible] is a country with, ah, 20 a punitive law that [inaudible] to anybody that is 21 [inaudible] a member of the LGBTQ community, and when 2.2 the work I did back when I was constantly persecuted, 2.3 I was tortured physically and I was abused. run for my life. I had to come to the US to seek 24 25 protection and refuge. But instead I was being

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Thank you.

shackled and I was chained and taken into immigration detention, where I spent horrible months, like in every other, a lot of immigrants have. I was isolated in immigration detention. I spent [inaudible] even when I was sick I was chained in my hospital bed. That's a treatment that I never received in my home country. We are here to demand, we are here to demand that the leaders in Congress announce laws that address the issues created by Matter of A-B- and restore justice and fairness to our asylum system. We want the US government to reform the Trump administration policies that ban countries from migrating to the US, preventing them from seeking asylum, because migration and seeking asylum are human rights. We call the US government to listen to everyone and make reforms that do not increase funding, staffing, or [inaudible] to prison that works to us freeing everyone. And I want to end by saying that immigrant rights are human rights and we are not going anywhere. We are here to stay.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: Thank you. Thank you for testimony. Ah, this was testimony that was not only in favor of many other families and

1 2 individuals, but this is also your personal story and 3 so I want to say thank you for that courage to be in 4 front of the council and the council's Committee on 5 Immigration. I hope that you feel that there's a sense of trust that we can build, continue to, ah, 6 7 not just understand the issues but actually force the 8 city to do more work on this and so if you have any specific ideas about how we can do that, we talked a lot in here about interpretation, questions around 10 11 the LGBTQ community and how we can really understand 12 the, any barriers that someone might to be able to 13 come out to a person they don't even know, like a lawyer, and if they only knew that you were from the 14 15 LGBTQ community they can build a better case. 16 those are all things that are not easy to solve, but 17 you being at the table will help us solve those problems faster. And so I hope that we can work 18 19 more, ah, closely together and with the committee and 20 ensure that you can bring more, more folks to the 21 table that can help change the system. We don't have 2.2 federal power, but we do have government municipal 2.3 power and we've done a lot, and we want to keep doing

UCHECHU KUAWU ONWA: Thank you.

that work on your behalf.

24

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: Thank you.

UCHECHU KUAWU ONWA: I also want to say that, um, I again want to thank you for this opportunity, but I also feel like most times the LGBTQ voices are not being heard, we're not represented, and when you talk about immigration the [inaudible] are always sent out towards families that are, um, that identify the heteronormative words and silencing the LGBTQ voice and when one, I think that we need to start focusing more attention to the LGBTQ community because especially the transgender communities are faced with a lot of discrimination while they're in the detention. They're being isolated, right? So we cannot leave this community behind when we talk about immigrant and when we talk about detention.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: Right. Yeah, and if there's anything you want to do organize a group that wants to talk to us, that wants to talk to me, I will sit down and if you want to organize it I will, I will not just understand the issue, but if there are any specific requests that you might be making of the city I want to hear them, and so let's just not hesitate to do that work and the committee will be

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

there to listen to that work. These public hearings are good because we can get to invite the whole city. But if you're saying that there are real issues and you've been going to communities, or we're not going to certain communities or certain spaces let's solve that, and we can do that with you and anywhere else you want us to be at.

UCHECHU KUAWU ONWA: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACHA: Thank you. is there anyone else that wants to testify today? Then that concludes our hearing and I just want to again thank the committee staff, Harmoni Oja, Elizabeth Cronk, my chief of staff, Lorena Lucero, and Cesar Vargas, and you know we started the hearing with a real question about what do we know about the impacts to the asylum cases and what we can do to make things better and we, I think, have created a really great package of stuff that is both budget and policy oriented, but the very kind of clear thing is we have to make this public, we have to talk about, and the way we talk about it is by inviting all of you here to the City Council and so I'm just thankful as your chair of the Immigration Committee to keep doing its work, and if you have any ideas for

1	Λ	$\overline{}$

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

2	hearings that we need to do please let me know.
3	We'll be working and coming back to you with more
4	updates on what happened in Brooklyn and terms of the
5	shooting involving an ICE agent and a Mexican tourist
6	and all that work is through the City Council and I'm
7	proud to be working with you on all that. This
8	hearing is now adjourned. [gavel]

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date ____February 15, 2020