CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

----X

November 30, 2009 Start: 2:03 pm Recess: 3:04 pm

HELD AT: Council Chambers

City Hall

B E F O R E:

JAMES F. GENNARO Chairperson

## COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Elizabeth Crowley Bill de Blasio Mathieu Eugene

Dominic M. Recchia, Jr. Peter F. Vallone, Jr. G. Oliver Koppell

\_ .

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Committee on Environmental Protection. I will start reading my statement into the record. And good morning and welcome. I am Councilman Jim Gennaro, Chair of

We're going to be voting on two items today, and our first vote focuses on Intro 935-A, which is intended to address threats to the safety of the drinking water supply as a result of what are called plumbing cross-connections, and the potential for backflow into potable drinking water. Cross-connections between non-potable liquids and potable water are not legal, but they routinely happen. When backflow takes place, chemicals, bacteria, metals, bodily fluids, even pesticides, mix into potable drinking water inside buildings and sometimes contaminate the public drinking water supply outside the source of origin.

the Committee on Environmental Protection.

Public Health Law Article 225,
enacted in 1981 and 10 NYC RR 5-1.31 places the

DEP under continuing obligation to identify
facilities that pose a hazard to the City's
drinking water supply and require the installation

of a backflow device. Notwithstanding that law,

the DEP has thus far failed to complete the task

of identification of hazardous facilities and

ensuring compliance with Article 225 of the Public

Health Law. As recently as last year at an

oversight hearing, DEP committed to complete this

task by hiring an outside consultant who is

supposed to have undertaken and finished this

task. 17 months after the 2008 oversight hearing,

we are in the same position in that work has not

yet been done.

A single backflow event can expose many people to the health risks of contaminated water, and can even cause death. According to the EPA 57 illegal cross-connections between 1981 and 1998 resulted in 9,734 cases of illness.

Providing safe drinking water is DEP's responsibility. In order to protect the drinking water supply, so-called high hazard buildings need to be identified by DEP, cross-connection and backflow prevention devices and other kinds of apparatus need to be inspected and repaired. If necessary, backflow prevention devices need to be installed and enforcement actions need to be taken

when necessary.

requires a property owner to certify to the

Department that a backflow prevention device has
been installed and where necessary that a backflow
device has been replaced; and it requires the

Department to submit a report to the Council
indicating the number of hazardous facilities and
all other facilities where the Department
determined to have had required backflow
prevention devices installed, as well as the
number of facilities in each category that the
Department notified of the need to install one or
more backflow prevention devices.

That's the longest run-on sentence in any statement that I've ever read. I apologize.

Finally, the bill requires the

Department to send out a mailing or otherwise

notify owners or operators of facilities

identified by the Department as potentially

requiring backflow prevention devices, informing

them of the potential need for such a device and

of the process for installation of backflow

/

prevention devices under the auspices of the Cross-Connection Control Program. The materials contained in such a mailing must be translated into six languages and provided for in the administrative code.

Our second vote is on Proposed

Intro 998-A, the last of the 12 bills that were
proposed after the investigation of the tragic

2007 fire at the Deutsche Bank Building. These
bills speak to crucial gaps in the regulation of
construction, demolition and asbestos abatement
work. 11 of the bills, three of which came
through this Committee, have already been passed,
and the passage of this bill will complete the
package.

Proposed Intro 998-A focuses on the dangers created when asbestos abatement activities are performed at the same time with demolition in a building. Demolition increases the likelihood of fire, and while asbestos containment structures can make it more difficult for firefighters to get in and out of the building, as was the case with the two firefighters that were killed on the day of the Deutsche Bank fire. This bill prohibits

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

current asbestos abatement and demolition without a site-specific variance from DEP. This bill requires DEP to notify and consult with the Department of Buildings and the Fire Department before granting any variance and to post the variance and the reasoning for it on the DEP website. By ensuring that no concurrent demolition and abatement occur without thorough review and agreement by these three agencies, we will help to protect emergency service providers, construction workers and New York City residents from this type of tragic accident in the future.

And that is my statement on the two items that we'll be voting on today. As I said before, item 935-A, which has to do with the backflow prevention devices to protect the water supply and Intro 998-A, the last of the 12 bills that came out of the tragic Deutsche Bank fire. And I'd like to recognize the members of the Committee who are here with us. I see Council Member Koppell. Thank you Oliver for being here. Council Member Vallone, Council Member Crowley, Councilman Dr. Eugene and that constitutes a quorum I believe. I'd be happy to call the roll

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 7						
2	now. Let me ask Counsel. Are we going to couple						
3	the bills in one vote?						
4	SAMARA SWANSTON: Yes.						
5	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: So we'll						
6	couple the bills in one vote. Before we take the						
7	vote, if anyone has anything to say on any of						
8	these bills, I'd be happy to entertain a statement						
9	from any of the members who wish to comment on the						
10	bills. Seeing no one who wishes to make a						
11	statement, I'll ask the clerk to call the roll,						
12	and I urge a yes vote on both measures. So clerk,						
13	please call the roll on Intro 935-A and Intro 998-						
14	A, which will be coupled for the purposes of this						
15	vote.						
16	WILLIAM MARTIN: William Martin,						
17	Committee Clerk, roll call on Committee on						
18	Environmental Protection. Council Member Gennaro.						
19	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Yes.						
20	WILLIAM MARTIN: Koppell.						
21	COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: Yes.						
22	WILLIAM MARTIN: Vallone.						
23	COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Yes.						
24	WILLIAM MARTIN: Eugene.						
25	COUNCIL MEMBER EUGENE: Yes.						

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 8							
2	WILLIAM MARTIN: Crowley.							
3	COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: Yes, and							
4	I'd like to be put on as a co-sponsor of Intro							
5	935-A. Thank you.							
6	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you.							
7	WILLIAM MARTIN: By a vote of five							
8	in the affirmative, zero in the negative and no							
9	abstentions, items are adopted. Council Members,							
10	please sign the Committee reports.							
11	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you.							
12	And rather than close the hearing, we'll keep the							
13	record open to allow the other members of the							
14	Committee to cast their votes on these very							
15	important bills, and we'll hold the record open							
16	for the maximum of 30 minutes if that is							
17	necessary. Okay. So this hearing is held over.							
18	[END OF 1002]							
19	[START OF 1003]							
20	WILLIAM MARTIN: Council Member de							
21	Blasio.							
22	COUNCIL MEMBER DE BLASIO: I vote							
23	Aye.							
24	[END OF 1003]							
25	[START OF 1004]							

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 9
2	WILLIAM MARTIN: Environmental
3	Protection. Council Member Recchia.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER RECCHIA: Yes on
5	all.
6	[END OF 1004]

I, Erika Swyler, certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

Signature_	the state of the s			
Date	December	2,	2009_	