CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON WOMEN AND GENDER EQUITY

----- X

December 17, 2019 Start: 1:58 P.M. Recess: 4:19 P.M.

HELD AT: COMMITTEE ROOM - CITY HALL

B E F O R E: I. Daneek Miller

Chairperson

Helen Rosenthal Co-Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

HELEN ROSENTHAL
FRANCISCO MOYA
DANIEL DROMM
ADRIENNE E. ADAMS
ERIC A. ULRICH
FARAH N. LOUIS
BEN KALLOS
DIANA AYALA
LAURIE A. CUMBO

BRAD LANDER

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Barbara Dannenberg Deputy Commissioner for Human Capital Department of Citywide Administrative Services

Kelly Jin Chief Analytics Officer and Director Mayor's Office of Data Analytics

Darryl Chalmers FDNY Local 2507

Michael Reardon FDNY Fire Prevention Inspectors Local 2507

Joseph Wilson PhD. FDNY Fire Prevention Inspectors Local 2507

Delvanie Powell President United Probation Officers Association

Vincent Variale President FDNY AFSCME AFL-CIO Local 3621

Oren Barzilay President FDNY EMS Uniformed EMT's Paramedics & Fire Inspectors Local 2507

Michael Grero Vice President Local 2507

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMITTEE CLERK: This is the Committee on Civil Service and Labor joint with Woman and Gender Equity. Today's date is 12/17/2019. Recorded by Janaya Jones.

[pause]

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Now we're ready for the oversight portion of the hearing. Once again I'm Council Member I. Daneek Miller and I am the Chair of the Committee on Civil Service and Labor. I'd like to thank my colleague; Council Member Helen Rosenthal, Chair of Committee on Women and Gender Equity for joining me in holding this important hearing topic this afternoon. Local law 18 of 2019 for the city's pay equity law was passed by the Council in December 20th of '18. It was returned and signed by the mayor and enacted into law on January 20 of 2019. The law's purpose is to find and eliminate any instances of pay disparity within the city's workforce. It requires that the Mayor's Office of Data Analytics also known as MODA compile an annual report of data gathered from every city agencies on gender, ethics, and racial data across different pay ranges. To do this every city agent, every city agency is first required to report to this

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

data to DCAS on things such as agency employment start dates, civil service title, salary range, career levels, base salary, gender racial group, ethnicity, and worker status at full time, seasonal, or part time. This first benchmark was to become no later, to come no later than November 30th of 2019. It will continue November 30th annually thereafter. Next DCAS will submit this collective data to MODA. MODA is required to compile the data from each city agency into a report with, to show the frequency full time, part time, seasonal employees by agency EEO for job who pay ban racial group and gender. This report will be sent to the mayor's and the speaker and posted publicly on MODA's website on open New York website. In order for the council to ensure that this report is useful and indexing, and indexes existing or nonexistent disparities within the city's workforce the law provides for a 90 day window for the city council to access an employment level data for all city workers to perform its own analysis. Since the first benchmark date of November 30th has just passed the committee would like to have, to hear from the administration about the progress of implementation of this landmark legislation.

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Specifically we would like to examine if the first deadline has been successfully met. What problems have arisen in meeting these deadlines and future deadlines. And what remedial steps are taken, are being taken to ensure compliance and successful implementation of the law. In addition the committees would like to ensure that the future deadlines including February 28th of 2020, April 29th of 2020, and May 31st of 2020 will be met. As the race and gender wage gap still exists within New York City it is important to ensure that city is actively addressing the issues within the city agencies and its workforce. I look forward to hearing from the administration on the progress of the implementation of Local Law 18 of 2019. I want to thank my staff Brandon Clark, Ari... the great Joe Goldbloom. In addition, I'd like to thank Council Staff Nussat, Malcom, Kevin, and Kindu and Elizabeth. We're going to hear from the bill's sponsor; Majority Leader Laurie Cumbo. And we, and first I'd like to turn it over to my co-chair Helen Rosenthal.

CO-CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Thank you so much Council Member Miller. Good afternoon. I'm Council Member Helen Rosenthal. My pronouns are She,

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Her, Hers. And I'm the chair of the Committee on Women and Gender Equity. Thank you to everyone who's joining us for this incredibly important hearing on the implementation of the city's pay equity law. Today we are taking a critical step as we prepare for an unprecedented analysis of salary parity within the largest workforce in New York City; municipal employees. Even in this heavily unionized public sector we have found that issues of wage inequity, it continues and persists. One profound manifestation of salary and equity is obviously the gender pay gap, a clear product of structural sexism. In 2018 white women earned 85 percent of what men earned. Up from 80 percent last year. But this gap is much wider for women of color. And while the gap has narrowed for white women since 1980 it has remained stable over the past 15 years for women of color. This past April 2nd marked the 23rd year of the national equal pay day which was created to raise public awareness around the gender pay gap. Each year a date is chosen which represents how far into the year women must work in order to earn what men earned on average from the previous year. While April 2nd was the date for women on average black women's equal pay day fell months

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

later on August 22nd. And Latina equal pay day, even later, November 20th. Now we have an opportunity to find out what is going on in our own backyard, our municipal government. Now according to the New York Daily News which published an article just yesterday; Speaker Johnson, has a chief Gender Pay Equity for our city council central staff. And I applaud him for him this intentional effort. And now we need to extend that success from central staff into legislator's own individual offices. Lastly, while readily available data on earnings and the wage gap are presented within a gender binary, transgender and gender expansive people are similarly adversely impacted due to their gender identity and/or expression, a fact that is further impacted for TGNCNB individuals of color. This all serves to highlight the importance of the city's pay equity law sponsored by Council Member Laurie Cumbo, Majority Leader, now Majority Leader Cumbo which Council Member Miller discussed in his opening remarks. Understanding pay data and what is driving the discrepancies will allow the city to better address this fundamental issue. I'm looking forward to hearing from DCAS and the Mayor's Office of data

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

analytics about the implementation of this law and next steps to achieve a more equitable New York City. I am disappointed, however, that the Commission on Gender Equity is not testifying today. Women work so hard to get to the table, to have a seat at the table. And I would have loved from the Commission on Gender Equity to today testify about the importance of this legislation, about the importance of the findings, and about their drive to make sure that we can, that this city will implement what is needed to get to gender pay parity. I would like to thank Marissa Mock, my Chief of Staff, Moderie Shukla my Legislative Director and Committee staff for their work in preparing for this hearing; Jason Ganapothy, my Legislative Council, Chloe Rivera Senior Legislative Policy Analyst, and Monica Peepal Financial Analyst. And finally I 'd like to acknowledge the Committee Members who are present, my committee members who are present which are none. Have you already... Okay. And everyone else who is here Council Member Danny Dromm and Eric Ulrich from Queens, Council Member Farah Louis from Brooklyn, and Council Member Cumbo from Brooklyn, and Council

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Member Adrienne Adams also from Queens. I'm going to turn it back over to my colleague to continue.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you Madam Chair. Now we're going to hear from the bill's sponsor; Majority Leader Laurie Cumbo.

MAJORITY LEADER CUMBO: Thank you. I'll be brief. I'm a little under the weather. But I just wanted to briefly thank our Co-Chairs; Council Member Daneek Miller, and Council Member Helen Rosenthal. This is a long time coming but when I look out at all of you I especially just want to recognize the dynamic men and women of CWA 11-80. You all are phenomenal. Every time I see red coats in the room I grow about ten feet and feel so much stronger because of your dedication and your leadership. And we are essentially here because of their leadership, for their courage and their tenacity for filing the original lawsuit and the courage that it took in order to do that. And because of the work that each and every one of you have done we are here today at this particular moment. Because as Council Member Helen Rosenthal stated so eloquently when we look at the dynamics, particularly speaking as an African American woman, I know that African American women

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

have to work a whole year and then until the month of August just to make what their white male counterparts make. And so we in the city council, we passed lots of phenomenal and incredible legislation but it's all about how that legislation is actually being enforced. And that's what we're really here to talk about today. This was an incredible groundbreaking piece of legislation that we were able to collectively work towards. But if this isn't being adhered to by all of our city agencies, if we are not implementing this legislation, if the information and the data is not effectively communicated to the individuals that need this information then this legislation will not have the teeth that it was originally intended to have. So I certainly want to thank all of you because sometimes after the legislation everybody goes away. But the fact that you all are still here and continuing to fight is so important. Because often in many industries where pay disparities are the greatest you show me a space and a place where pay disparity is the greatest and I'll show you where black, latino, and Asian women are working. And so I thank all of you for being here. I want to thank Yetta Kerlin for her work in getting us

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

here, Sebastian Levenson, and everyone that has worked really hard. I want to thank Gloria Middleton who has continued to carry this charge at the forefront and it was given so much inspiration by Arthur Chilliodis who have continued to carry this mantle and to bring us forward. So I salute you. I thank you for your leadership. Thank you for your courage and your tenacity and for bringing this forward because as women of color you are really creating a space of equality for every single New Yorker. And so the pay equity fight will really be introduced and launched on the backs particularly of black and brown women but the entire city will benefit from your efforts. And as a new mom raising a son in the city of New York and the head of a household I really understand now why this issue is so critical and important. Daycare is not cheap. The cost of living is not cheap in the city of New York. Daycare plus after day care and weekend care is really expensive. So I understand the challenges that we face on the day to day basis. And I'm so pleased that you all are here today to carry this mantle forward. Thank you.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you. With that this is a hearing about oversight and we look forward to hearing from the administration about compliance and hopefully not for lack thereof but how we move forward and making sure that this is the tool that we legislated and hope that it would be moving forward. And going to... We have Barbara Dannenberg from DCAS and Kelly Jin from MODA. And they'll need to be sworn in now by counsel before their testimony.

COMMITTEE CLERK: Please raise your right hand. Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth in your testimony before this committee, and to respond honestly to Council Member questions?

[pause]

BARBARA DANNENBERG: Good afternoon Chairs
Miller and Rosenthal and members of the Committee on
Civil Service and Labor and the Committee on Women
and Gender Equity. I'm Barbara Dannenberg. I'm Deputy
Commissioner for Human Capital at the Department of
Citywide Administrative Services, DCAS. And I am
joined today by my colleague Kelly Jin, Chief
Analytics Officer for the city of New York, and also
the Director of the Mayor's Office of Data Analytics.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Thank you for this opportunity to discuss the city's role in local law 18 of 2019 which requires DCAS to collect information relevant to pay and employment equity and for the Mayor's Office of Data Analytics to make the data available to the city council. A report will also be provided to the mayor and council with aggregate data from each agency showing the frequency of full time, part time, and seasonal employees by agency, EEO for group, pay band, racial group, ethnicity, and gender. This administration is committed to improving equity throughout the city and applauds the council to, for their work in addressing issues of pay and employment equity. Since 2014 this administration has made tremendous strides in improving workplace equity. In June of 2015 Mayor de Blasio issued executive order 10, forming the first ever Commission on Gender Equity which works to expand opportunities for New Yorkers regardless of sex, gender, or sexual orientation. In January of 2016 the mayor enacted Personnel Order 2016/1 which provided managerial and original jurisdiction employees with six weeks of paid time off for maternity, paternity, adoption, and foster care leave. In March of 2016 executive order 16 was issued

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

to ensure that city agencies allow employees and the public to use single sex city facilities consistent with their gender identity. Also, in 2016 in December the mayor issued Executive Order 21 which prohibits city agencies from inquiring about an applicants salary history thus removing a persistent barrier to equitable pay. Finally, in January of 2019 thanks to the collaboration between the Office of Labor Relations and our labor partners the city opted into New York State's paid family leave program which enables eligible represented employees up to 10 weeks of leave paid at 55 percent of their salary in 2019 and up to 10 weeks of paid leave at 60 percent of their salary in 2020 to care for a newborn, foster, or adopted child, family members who are ill, or to provide assistance to family members on military deployment. As a continuation of citywide efforts address pay and employment equity DCAS has maintained collaboration with city agencies over the last several months to meet the requirements set forth in local law 18. The majority of employment data requested in this local law was extracted from our citywide, New York City Automated Personnel System database, NYCAPS. This includes employee history,

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

profile information such as civil service titles and levels and current agency. The available data includes agencies in this NYCAPS database only and does not include information from agencies including New York City transit, the Triboro Bridge and Tunnel Authority, New York City Health and Hospitals, the School Construction Authority, the Department of Education, pedagogical employees, and other agencies that are not covered by the local law. I am pleased to report that DCAS has completed collecting this data within the November 30th deadline. The department is currently working with MODA to review a sample file, a data dictionary, and several reference tables. DCAS is actively in discussion with MODA to answer questions raised based on the sample file. And we look forward to working with MODA and the Department of Information Technology and Telecommunications, DoITT, on establishing a secure file transfer process for the main file. We are confident that we will be able to transition this data to MODA within 90 days of the November 30th benchmark. Thank you for this opportunity to discuss the work that DCAS has done in this area. I would now

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

like to turn it over to my colleague; Kelly Jin from MODA for further comment.

KELLY JIN: Good afternoon Chairs Miller and Rosenthal and members of the Civil Service and Labor and Women and Gender Equity Committees. My name is Kelly Jin and I am the Chief Analytics Officer for the city of New York as well as the Director of the Mayor's Office of Data Analytics. Thank you for the opportunity today to testify on the status of implementation of Local Law 18 of 2019. The Mayor's Office of Data Analytics, also known as MODA, which was established by executive order in 2013 and codified in the city charter in 2018 supports city agencies in applying strategic analytical thinking to data in order to deliver services more equitably and effectively and to increase operational transparency. Our mission is to use data analytics to help city agencies deliver services more efficiently, facilitate citywide data operations and implement the city's open data law. MODA is committed to government transparency and sharing agency data of interest with New Yorkers publicly as permitted by law through the open data program. MODA also takes the protection of sensitive data and information very seriously and has

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

established best practices including entering into memoranda of understanding and data sharing agreements with city agencies and other partners where necessary. These agreements provide legal, privacy, and security protocols for responsible data transfer, storage, retention, and data access among other important considerations. Local law 18 of 2019 tasks MODA with three responsibilities on an annual basis. The first is to make NYC's municipal workforce data reflecting individual employment and pay history available to the city council for 90 days. The second is to issue a report of select relevant data in an aggregated form. And the third is to provide an analysis of these data elements to identify any disparities over time subsequent to the release of the second annual reports. In complying with these mandates MODA must also work to ensure that consistent with legal requirements and city privacy and security policies that inform its best practices to date, the privacy of the personally identifiable information of New York City's municipal workforce is protected at all stages of the implementation of local law 18; from the transfer of employment history profiles and salary data between city agencies to the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

aggregation and analysis of the data by MODA data scientists to sharing this analysis with the public. As you may know large data projects like this are not simple and take significant work and resources to complete. In accordance with local law 18 MODA anticipates receiving the first set of employee history profile data from DCAS by no later than February 28th, 2020. MODA has assigned half of its team to the task of timely compliance with this law and in anticipation of receiving a large sensitive data set. MODA's project team is assigned two data scientists, a project manager, a policy analyst, and its data operations manager to this project. The team is being overseen by me. DCAS and MODA are in regular communication with one another and have been holding weekly meetings regarding overall coordination and scheduling around compliance with local law 18. We are currently preparing for the forthcoming DCAS to MODA data transfer with discussions around the complex data dictionaries and other elements such metadata and the technical relationships of the underlying categories of data elements. Because of the privacy implications involved in disclosing among city agencies the numerous individually identifying

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

data elements mandated by local law 18 this data transfer requires the development and execution of a data sharing agreement among the relevant agencies to memorialize the privacy and security protocols necessary for, to ensure appropriate protection of employees privacy and security of the data itself. MODA has also been engaged in weekly project meetings with the Mayor's Office of Operations, their general counsel on the Office of the Chief Privacy Officer to move this process forward in a responsible manner. Upon receipt of the relevant employment and pay history data MODA will have until April 28th, 2020 to make the data available to city council for 90 days through a technically secure means of access, before such access is provided as a standard practice MODA anticipates entering into a formal legal data sharing agreement with the council to establish and memorialize the secure technical arrangement for the data access and protocols to ensure that the privacy of the personal information of New York City's municipal workforce is protected. MODA will then issue the first annual report for employment and pay data from the employee history profiles which is on track for its deadline in late spring 2020. Local Law

2.2

2.3

18 prescribes the format and contents of this aggregated data report and requires the data to be in the format that prevents the disclosure of certain sensitive information including the racial group ethnicity, or gender of any employee. We are carefully managing the schedule and committed to timely implementation. MODA is confident at this stage that it will be able to meet all of the requirements and looks forward to working together with relevant agencies and council colleagues to conduct this work. Thank you for the opportunity to testify today. I welcome any questions that you may have.

testimony. So I would say from both agencies, from MODA and DCAS that based on the testimony that you're more than sufficiently aware of the importance of the implementation and execution of local law 18 and that your agencies have been working collaboratively to ensure that implementation is seamless. But that does not happen unless we have participation from each agency. So, I want to begin by asking if all agencies that are required are currently in compliance with the, our November 30th portion of the law.

2.2

2.3

BARBARA DANNENBERG: Yes, hi, yes, all agencies have turned over their data to DCAS and to the extent that the data was available to them. And just to back up a little bit, prior to this transfer of the data DCAS worked with all of the city agencies in order to encourage employees to fill in and complete the voluntary information and also so that agencies can be, could be sure to enter information such as business title. So, we did our best to work with city agencies to ensure that this information transfer was as robust as possible.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: So, with the additional assistance you're saying that every agency that was required has given the required data... has been turned over to DCAS?

BARBARA DANNENBERG: Yes, they have.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Right. Okay. And in turns of DCAS' responsibility are you comfortable that you will meet your upcoming benchmark and delivering the information to MODA and that you have worked in, that that collaboration's ensuring a smooth and seamless transition of information so that the actual implementation of 18 is happening?

2.2

2.3

BARBARA DANNENBERG: Yes. So, as we indicated in our testimonies, moth MODA and DCAS had been working very closely together to ensure that we do meet these benchmark deadlines and for the transfer of this data.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: And from a MODA perspective right now you're just in, you're, I wouldn't say that you're in a holding pattern because obviously you're communicating but you're limited to what can be done until you're in actual receipt. But you have done everything that you need to do in terms of receiving the information, you prepared to move forward?

KELLY JIN: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Apart from receiving the information?

KELLY JIN: Yes, as noted in our collective testimonies there is quite a bit of preparation work that needs to happen to make sure that we have the technical infrastructure and also the right governance and data sharing agreements in place before that transfer happens. And so in our, our work with DCAS they are ready to send the data

but we do need to work on the protocols for receiving the data.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: So, in, during the course of this obvious communication has there been any concerns raised and/or addressed as we move forward? And are we, are you confident that any concerns that may have been raised will be addressed in time for this to be as seamless as we hope it to be?

BARBARA DANNENBERG: So as we began our work together several months ago we are confident that if any roadblocks or issues are raised during this process that we will have the protocol set in place in order to address them in time to meet these deadlines.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Pass it over to my colleague Chair Rosenthal.

2.2

2.3

CO-CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Thank you Chair Williams. And thank you so much, oh, thank you so much for your super technical testimony. I mean it, what I'm hearing from this is that from a you know literally we need these pieces of data, we're collecting these pieces of data, turning it over to MODA who will analyze these pieces of data. It sounds

business title, right. So if you're a police officer,

information within the data, or you won't see any

COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON WOMEN AND GENDER EQUITY 28

the fields are to be completed voluntarily by employees. And you know as much as we did encourage employees to complete these fields we certainly couldn't ensure that every employee completed those fields.

CO-CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: So, what's an example of a field that's voluntary?

BARBARA DANNENBERG: Highest level of education.

CO-CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Highest level of education. That's not in a form somewhere?

BARBARA DANNENBERG: So, the way that the city collects data from applicants or current city employees we know that they meet the educational requirements for the title that they are employed in. However, that certainly doesn't preclude employees from having either you know a higher level of education within a certain area or while they're working for us to go out and seek additional degrees or certification. And if they don't indicate that within their employee history profile we certainly wouldn't be able to capture that information.

testimony the data as per received from agencies to

1	COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON WOMEN AND GENDER EQUITY 31
2	DCAS was, that milestone was at the end of November
3	and MODA anticipates receiving
4	CO-CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: I really can't
5	hear you. So, you have information as of November and
6	the total is?
7	KELLY JIN: MODA will not be receiving the
8	underlying raw data until February.
9	CO-CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: So, I'm sorry,
10	so DCAS has the total?
11	BARBARA DANNENBERG: DCAS has the data. So
12	yeah
13	CO-CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: But you didn't
14	total it up?
15	BARBARA DANNENBERG: That's correct. So
16	under
17	CO-CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Do you know the
18	number by agency?
19	BARBARA DANNENBERG: Under the purview of
20	Local Law 18 DCAS was tasked with collecting this
21	data and also encouraging the agencies and employees
22	to complete the required data fields.
23	CO-CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Do you
24	somewhere in your office if not here with you now
25	have the number of just the basic data of how many

at the bottom. I don't, I don't mean to be snarky so

you're just doing what you're told for DCAS. But I

time and let us know why, or this time, come back to

us to let us know why you didn't choose to do this

SCA, DOE, stuff that you have the data, you, you do

understand better why you chose not to do it or if

you chose to do it and it's happening at H&H or at

DOH and at SCA but, but you are doing it but not

through this process. I think that's the larger

question I'd love to hear from the Mayor's Office.

Specifically, Kelly in your testimony you noted that

MODA anticipates receiving the first set of employee

history profile data no later than February 28th. I

report every year annually moving forward that is

CO-CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Oh.

thought on February 28th everything is due?

KELLY JIN: ...of data.

oversee these agencies to some degree. So I'd like to

same analysis for Health and Hospitals Corporation,

7

8

1

2

3

4

5

6

11

12

10

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

mean this year.

KELLY JIN: This year's. Yes.

CO-CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: So by first you

KELLY JIN: Because MODA is producing this

what is meant by the first set of ...

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CO-CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Okay. So it's not that there's a distinction in this year between a first set of data you're...

KELLY JIN: No.

 $\mbox{CO-CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: ...getting and} \\ \mbox{then a more refined or different... By first you mean...}$

KELLY JIN: All... [cross-talk]

CO-CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Okay. And then I just want to reiterate I'm very interested to know what data was not available, was not produced, maybe because it's voluntary, how much wasn't put forward and which agencies like NYPD where the data might be sorted using different titles and the implication of that? Sort of what are the ramifications of NYPD collecting the data with these different titles? What are the ramifications of that in terms of what we're looking for? Is that going to be a hurdle for understanding what we're looking for in this data, in this report, to understand pay equity, or not so much? I think I, I'd like to understand, like to understand before even November, or February 28th what in total you saw... what was missing... So and, and to be honest I think we were hoping to get that here a more granular understanding of the gross data and,

in? Do you have any other agency? Commission on

of the importance of this legislation and the

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

importance of getting it right. It just feels too like... a lack of enthusiasm for what we're trying to find out about. I mean I'm no expert at any of this. I'm just asking you questions based on the common sense knowledge of trying to understand pay equity. And it sounds to me like there's no one in the administration who's giving that qualitative lens to data collection. Look, data collection and analytics, just the rope of it, that's your job. I mean that's based... that's fun... that's like the bar, the lowest... obviously that's what your job is to do. The harder question, the more interesting question is where are we... where are there hiccups in data collection so that when we do the analysis we're getting a meaningful result. I'm not hearing anyone is thinking about that.

BARBARA DANNENBERG: Well I certainly... you know we certainly would not want our limited scope within this Local Law to indicate our lack of interest or our lack of understanding how important and precedent send, setting this bill is.

CO-CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: But then if your scope is limited, and MODA's scope is limited

2.2

2.3

who, who's doing the expansive scope of trying to understand pay equity in the city of New York.

this local law it would be the analytic team from the Council who would be performing that analysis.

However, on a regular basis the city of New York does take a holistic look at equity throughout the city across all of the categories of jobs that we have available.

the City Council's interested in it. There's no doubt on that. The City Council is eager to know about pay equity in the admin, in, in any... the executive side, of course we're interested and I guess we get a minute to analyze it. But I mean... okay I'm going to move on. Am I down a wormhole? Okay. Thank you for your time. I learned a lot.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: So... okay I know

Council Member Adams has a question. But before... I

just want to reiterate for the purposes of this

hearing and, and Local Law 18 we're looking at

compliance alright? First of all, whether or not city

agencies have compiled to comply with the data that

was asked for. The questions that my colleague asked

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

was very significant as to whether or not they had done that and whether or not some information was omitted or if there was specific information... you used the analogy of the police department and whether or not that would have an impact and whether or not there was other kind of antiquated or, or duplicated titles, what information that might have an impact or some other information that were omitted that might have an impact. Certainly that's a reasonable questioning. Right? I think that what we're not trying to do at this moment is re kind of litigate pay equity which we spent the last three years, and that we've gotten to the point that in order for us to have fair compensation which is the goal here and, and pay equity amongst these many municipal bargaining units that there's certain information and data that has to be available going to tailor law in order for them to have the, the good faith negotiations that are absolutely necessary, that information has to be provided. So what we're trying to ascertain today is simply whether or not in accordance to the rules and regulations, provisions that were put forth during Local Law 18 are we adhering to that? Is that information available? And

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

not kind of just readdress some of the things here, whether or not this information... And I also believe that in the future those agencies... authorities, the HHCs and the DOEs of the world, they certainly have a copiability and a responsibility to adhere to this as well. And so we want to get to that but for, for the purposes of what we do here today we need to know that the information that was required is being made available and then next steps. Alright? So certainly the council's going to do its job to aggregate the information but the city and everyone else would be remiss if we don't get all this great information and... to the best of our ability that this is what my, my colleague was expressing there. But with that I'm going to move forward though. Council Member Adams.

COUNCIL MEMBER ADAMS: Thank you both

Chairs today, for this hearing. I just need clarity.

We had a lot of significant questioning from my

colleague, from Council Member Rosenthal. I just need

clarity because I don't think that I fully heard or

understood... as far as adherence to Local Law 18 and

the due date of November 30th. We know, I got out of

your testimony that NYPD is having an issue. Are

there any other agencies who are having insures like

2.2

2.3

NYPD? If so, who are they? And when do you expect them to be in compliance?

BARBARA DANNENBERG: So just... I apologize for not being clear. Every city agency is in compliance. They have shared their data with DCAS before the November 30th deadline. I was, when I was using the example of business title I was just trying to point out that there may be some anomalies in some of the data once it's looked at and that's just from you know a practical understanding of how the businesses are run. But every city agency has met the compliance requirement.

know. Thank you. I guess my last question is going to be regarding the transfer. Regarding the data transfer, for MODA are there any concerns about receiving the file at all? Any concerns, anything that you have taken a look at to make sure that this file transfer is clean and all things go well?

KELLY JIN: No concerns on, on our part.

This is a part of our regular business within our office, that we do handle a lot of data. And so we are again setting up the technical infrastructure to receive the data but no anticipated challenges?

1	COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON WOMEN AND GENDER EQUITY 43
2	COUNCIL MEMBER ADAMS: How big a file is
3	this?
4	KELLY JIN: I think we are looking at a
5	couple megabytes. However the data is not just one
6	file. So it's a handful of files that are integrated
7	together. So it does make it a bit more complex. So
8	it's not just one spreadsheet.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER ADAMS: How long do you
10	anticipate the file transfer to take? Time wise.
11	KELLY JIN: The actual transfer itself,
12	milliseconds.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER ADAMS: Seconds. That's
14	exciting.
15	KELLY JIN: It is, it is.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER ADAMS: Okay thank you very
17	much.
18	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: So just as a point of
19	clarity and this is for the Deputy Commissioner for
20	Human Capital the total city's workforce is 300 and.
21	BARBARA DANNENBERG:90
22	CHAIRPERSON MILLER:80 thousand
23	BARBARA DANNENBERG:390 plus thousand.
24	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Somewhere there?
25	BARBARA DANNENBERG: Right.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: And, and how many... what percentage of that 300 plus thousand plus will be captured in, in this local law?

BARBARA DANNENBERG: So that, that I would have, I would have to get back to you with that number. I don't have that number.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: And, and how many agencies? Are there any... well we, we have that... that the agencies or others that, that are omitted from there.

BARBARA DANNENBERG: Correct.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Council Member Rosenthal do you have anything? I'm sorry. Majority Leader? Absolutely.

MAJORITY LEADER CUMBO: Thank you. I just wanted to follow-up with Council Member Miller's line of questioning as well as Council Member Rosenthal.

They are also very skilled in labor negotiations as well as OMB. I am not as skilled so my questions will be a little more basic than theirs. Just wanted to have like very clear clarity. So from your testimony what you're anticipating is that in February we're going to be ready to go and the information that was

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

requested from all of the agencies will be in place in order to move forward?

BARBARA DANNENBERG: Correct.

MAJORITY LEADER CUMBO: So has the information been reviewed and looked at to make sure that agencies aren't just handing in information that's half done, a quarter done? And I remember during the negotiations for this I remember hearing somewhere that even if a, an individual fills out the paperwork a superior person has the ability to fill out the answers to questions that they may not have answered based off of an assumption. So for example, I'm not sure of what you're requiring and what you're not requiring in this. But let's say someone... The question is that is someone a man or a female and they decide not to answer, a superior officer or, or a person that works ahead of them could say I'm checking the box that this person is a man or a woman to the best of my knowledge?

BARBARA DANNENBERG: Well the... I believe that you're referring to certain EEO related data that yes is required and can be completed by either the agency's EEO officer or HR representative if an employee declines to complete it within their initial

2.2

2.3

paperwork. However an employee can always view this information in their employee history profile within our NYCAPS personnel system which is, we call it employee self service. So they can go, they can take a look, and they can update that as they see fit. So that... so yeah... so that they... and then their data would override what was preselected if it was preselected.

MAJORITY LEADER CUMBO: So with the line of questioning that Council Member Rosenthal put forward is it that this information has come in in November but it hasn't been reviewed to determine the quality of the information that's coming back?

working, we worked with agencies to collect that data by November 30th. And now we are working on the transfer. So of course we're you know making sure that the files have integrity and that the, you know the data is as robust as possible, that we're not leaving out data sets or whatnot but yes, that process is still undergoing.

MAJORITY LEADER CUMBO: So the question that Council Member Miller asked in regards to the 300,000 plus workforce that we're looking at. Why

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

would it be thought that some individuals of that number would be counted in this process and some would not?

BARBARA DANNENBERG: So the number that we had discussed earlier is the number of the entire workforce including those agencies and employees who were excluded from this local law. And actually, I just... if I can correct myself, I just received the total number of employees in our data file which is 166,568. That number does not include seasonal employees who are covered under this local law. They are not part of the headcount. So that file... you know that would be in addition to this 166,000. But to go back to your original question the local law excludes certain agencies and certain employees such as pedagogical employees that work at DOE, so the teachers and the principles. So whereas they're part of that 390,000 plus headcount, they are not part of this local law.

MAJORITY LEADER CUMBO: Can you break that down for me in terms of... let's just say for example why wouldn't they be?

BARBARA DANNENBERG: It's in the, it's... within the local law they were explicitly excluded.

2.2

2.3

just have a few more questions of understanding, just to make sure that... I just want to walk through it one more time. Let's pick an agency, a random agency, how about the fire department. The fire department... there's a variety of data you're trying to collect. So how do you go about collecting the variety of data? There's some stuff you must already have right?

BARBARA DANNENBERG: Right.

CO-CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: So that's like gender, race, salary...

BARBARA DANNENBERG: Civil service title.

CO-CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: ...title.

BARBARA DANNENBERG: Right. Right.

CO-CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: And then there are questions that are voluntarily. So now it's not near a database. So do you send out a survey? How do you get the remain, the remaining bits of information?

BARBARA DANNENBERG: So what DCAS embarked upon was an exercise in requesting from agencies that whether it would be HR representative for fields such as the business title or office title or from the employees to go into our automated personnel system.

2.2

2.3

So they can go in and go ahead and enter that data into the personnel system. So when we do the data pull it's coming you know from the different aspects of that system. So the data does, you know we do have access to that data.

CO-CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: So basically you would ask HR or send, and/or send out a letter to all people who work there and say, please go into your personal file, do you have your account, and enter information like the latest education level achieved. What were some of the other voluntary questions?

BARBARA DANNENBERG: I believe... I could just take a look... so highest level of education, number of years of work experience that's outside of the city that an employee may have, their different... their different work experience out... again outside of city employment. So basically anything that's specific to you as a career employee you could go ahead and fill in to your profile and that way you would have that information there. But... and so we did encourage people because you know not everybody knows that they're able to do that. So you know we would say hey we have this feature, you can go into your

2.2

2.3

employee self service profile and fill in all of this up to date information about yourself.

CO-CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Right. I mean but it's not a random feature. I mean we're doing it because we want to be able to know when we're analyzing the data whether or not level of education plays into a salary inequity, right?

BARBARA DANNENBERG: Correct. That data's also very important to specific agencies. So agencies want to know what their workforce looks like and they want to know what their workforce looks like. And they want to know perhaps what the workforce of a particular title looks like. So they are able to meet with DCAS to talk about what information is available so that they can have a better understanding of who their workforce actually are. So yes you know it's valuable for both of those examples.

CO-CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Right. So let's say we wanted to answer that question. At the fire department for the central office, not for fire fighters who are union, have union titles, but in the central office we want to know whether or not there's pay equity among all types of diversity. So we want to know, let's say, did a male get promoted many more

2.2

2.3

times than a female and they both have the same level of history, level of education... Do you feel... what... do you feel like you got enough data from FDNY to be able to do that type of analysis?

BARBARA DANNENBERG: Again, I would have to look because I'm assuming that if the FDNY came to DCAS and said that they would like to look at this data and look at how it affects certain employees that they would be specifically looking at one title, or maybe a few titles. So again you know I would need to, and my team would need to take a look to see how robust whatever piece of information is available for FDNY in order to make... you know to have a conversation or a discussion regarding...

CO-CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Why wouldn't...
so only if the agency, if the fire department wanted
to do that analysis would you... you would do it? I
mean don't you want to do the analysis for the
purpose of this report?

BARBARA DANNENBERG: And of course DCAS regularly does do an analysis but again since we have this holistic view of the city we're not... you know unless an agency is specifically requesting that specific title analysis or titles analysis what we do

2.2

2.3

is we take a look at the entire workforce across the city and look at, look at that data. And that is published in our workforce profile report which is an annual report where we do this analysis and we share that with the public.

CO-CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: I guess I'm just... okay. Do you feel confident that every agency responded in such a way to get to these fundamental questions about pay equity?

BARBARA DANNENBERG: We do feel confident that we had many discussions... I guess had with agencies either one on one or we have quarterly meetings with personnel representatives from every agency and we discussed it at length there, you know and... this city has a whole... as a whole does have a commitment to equity. So this exercise and this data collection we've definitely taken very seriously.

CO-CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: I'm going to hone in on the fire department for one second only because I think we've now achieved the goal of point one percent of firefighters are women. And I just, I want to confirm we... and I want to note for the record our goal is not point one percent, that do you think for the voluntary data what percent do you think you

1	COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON WOMEN AND GENDER EQUITY 54
2	got for the fire department? Because you're telling
3	me for sure all the mandatory data you have already.
4	BARBARA DANNENBERG: Right.
5	CO-CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: So you have
6	gender, you have race, you have years of service, you
7	have band, title bands.
8	BARBARA DANNENBERG: Civil service titles,
9	yes.
10	CO-CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Civil service
11	and managerial?
12	BARBARA DANNENBERG: Correct.
13	CO-CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: You have all
14	that?
15	BARBARA DANNENBERG: Yes.
16	CO-CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: So of the
17	voluntary stuff do you have a sense whether or not
18	you got more than 50 percent response rate?
19	BARBARA DANNENBERG: As I indicated
20	earlier I do not. But again I can take that back and
21	we can see if we are able to take that look.
22	CO-CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: So specific,
23	just so I'm really clear, I'd like to know by agency,
24	of the voluntary data, what was the percentage
25	response rate? So did at least did agencies hit 50

1	COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON WOMEN AND GENDER EQUITY 55
2	percent? And what I'm hearing from you is that you
3	don't know that information off the top of your head
4	Do you have a sense of the whole city what percentage
5	responded to the voluntary data?
6	BARBARA DANNENBERG: Right. So as you're
7	indicating the information is voluntary and
8	CO-CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Yeah.
9	BARBARA DANNENBERG:some comes from the
10	agency HR department but the rest is it comes from
11	our employees.
12	CO-CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Right.
13	BARBARA DANNENBERG: So to answer your
14	question, no I don't have a sense of specifically for
15	one agency, or any agency what that response rate
16	was. But what I can testify to is that we did reach
17	out and
18	CO-CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Oh sure.
19	BARBARA DANNENBERG:inform
20	CO-CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: I'm sure you
21	did your job.
22	BARBARA DANNENBERG: …our workforce. Yes.
23	And encouraged folks to volunteer this information.
24	CO-CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Of course.
25	BARBARA DANNENBERG: Yeah.

2.2

2.3

questioning that. What I'm trying to understand is if we're going to understand whether or not the data is valid one really basic question is what was our response rate? If one percent of staff responded we know now that the data's not going to be valid. So I'm just asking if you have a sense of in answering that fundamental question about whether or not the data's valid. So when you send it over something meaningful can be looked at.

BARBARA DANNENBERG: Right. So again our focus was getting that information out, explaining to people why they should fill out this information and then collecting that information. So doing an analysis of the response rate was certainly outside of this exercise but I'm not saying that we can't go ahead and take a look at that information. But as of today, no.

CO-CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: I don't think it's outside of this exercise. I mean I'm sorry if you're sending over the data and you don't... don't you want to know what percentage responded? I mean as part of doing the exercise of getting 100 percent response rate and trying to do that extra mile don't

	COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR JOINTLY WITH
1	THE COMMITTEE ON WOMEN AND GENDER EQUITY 57
2	you want to know whether or not you have a 50 percent
3	response rate or 20 percent or two percent or 90
4	percent? I mean I want to hear you brag that you got,
5	that you worked so hard you got a 90 percent response
6	rate.
7	BARBARA DANNENBERG: So yes again I
8	understand what you're saying and I am simply
9	testifying to the voluntary nature of employee
10	[cross-talk]
11	CO-CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: I understand
12	what the word voluntary means.
13	BARBARA DANNENBERG: Right, completing
14	[cross-talk]
15	CO-CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: And I
16	understand
17	BARBARA DANNENBERG:information.
18	CO-CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL:the
19	complexity, of voluntary.
20	BARBARA DANNENBERG: That's right.
21	CO-CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: But again,
22	that's your job, that's the nature of So what And
23	we all… anyone who's a data analyst knows voluntary
24	is harder than

BARBARA DANNENBERG: Mandatory.

25

	COMMITTEE ON CIVIL GERVICE AND LARGE TOTALLY MITTIN
1	COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON WOMEN AND GENDER EQUITY 58
2	CO-CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL:putting
3	together the data that you already have in your back
4	pocket.
5	BARBARA DANNENBERG: Right.
6	CO-CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Right?
7	BARBARA DANNENBERG: Of course.
8	CO-CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: So you knew
9	that going in. We all know that. I'm just questioning
10	whether or not DCAS made an extra effort to get to
11	100 percent for voluntary. And the first question to
12	know the answer to that is what'd you get.
13	BARBARA DANNENBERG: Right. Right, I think
14	that we're both trying I believe we're both saying
15	the same thing. But
16	CO-CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: I don't.
17	BARBARA DANNENBERG:yes, DCAS absolutely
18	did make every effort to encourage
19	CO-CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: I don't.
20	BARBARA DANNENBERG:folks to supply
21	CO-CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: I don't know
22	that.
23	BARBARA DANNENBERG:information.
24	CO-CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: I don't think
25	you know that. I mean Okay, so I'm going to move on.

trick question because someone might have filled out

25

that... [cross-talk]

2.2

2.3

BARBARA DANNENBERG: Right, yes.

MAJORITY LEADER CUMBO: Yes?

BARBARA DANNENBERG: Yes.

MAJORITY LEADER CUMBO: So in February when the information has to be transferred over you all could just be submitting, hypothetically, one or two percent of response rates from each agency and that's what people will have to review and look at?

BARBARA DANNENBERG: For certain data points. As Chair Rosenthal indicated we have certain data points for every city employee such as civil service, title, city entry date, so how many years they've worked for the city... so we have that information for every single person that needs to be... every employee that we would report out.

MAJORITY LEADER CUMBO: So some information...

BARBARA DANNENBERG: That's correct.

MAJORITY LEADER CUMBO: ...will be transmitted as a result of what you already know. And then some information with additional data points will be submitted voluntarily. And that will be corroborated with what you know and what they know,

2.2

what you know and what they submitted will be considered an entry?

BARBARA DANNENBERG: For each employee, yes. So if an employee… every field was applicable to them, and every employee responded to the voluntary questions then yes you would have a 100 percent response rate for that particular person. However, if an employee selected to complete 50 percent of the voluntary information that file is still being transferred over. So all of the information that DCAS has which is all of the information that is available to us will be transferred over for every employee.

MAJORITY LEADER CUMBO: So the very technical question that Council Member Rosenthal is asking that I want to gain clarity on is she was saying and paraphrase... ma'am correct me, you're saying that your question to them is do you know at what point what percentage of your folks have filled out the information at this point and they don't know that.

CO-CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Not here.

MAJORITY LEADER CUMBO: Okay. That's all the questions I have. Thank you.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Again thank you, thank you for your testimony. We have another panel, two more panels to hear from so we want to thank you for the information. And obviously the committees going to be requiring... requesting additional information, hopefully we'll have that in a reasonable amount of time, the amount of time that is requested by my colleague.

CO-CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Sorry, just one quick last question? I just want to... I think you made it clear, but I just want to confirm for the record.

Do you have any concerns about privacy or security in the data transfer?

BARBARA DANNENBERG: Yes. So when it comes to the actual underlying raw data elements that are being sent over we don't have concerns around the technical nature of the transfer, but when it comes to the raw individual level there are 22 data elements as per the local law. The governance around that and the way in which we manage the data being moved from DCAS to MODA those are concerns that we share broadly across any types of analytics projects that may relate to individual level data.

2.2

CO-CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: What security measures do... have you put in place? I mean surely this stuff is done all the time. Nothing is impenetrable but what security measures have you put in place?

BARBARA DANNENBERG: So there's a few processes that we are working through right now. There's a few different mechanisms for doing the actual transfer. One is to make sure that the data while it's at DCAS is actually encrypted and that it's encrypted on its way to MODA. And then when it is actually in storage at MODA for analysis only the individuals who have access to the data are permitted to have access to the data and that in addition to that that we are managing the governance long term because this will be data that we have access to moving forward.

CO-CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Do you need...

data encryption's pretty basic, that's... I'm not a

technical person but everyone uses those words. Is

there... do you need funding for an additional level of
security that would make you feel confident that it's

even more secure?

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

BARBARA DANNENBERG: We have the resources that we need using citywide technology and security protocols that are in place for moving individual level data from one location to another.

CO-CHAIRPERSON ROSENTHAL: Okay. Thank you very much.

MAJORITY LEADER CUMBO: I just wanted to just close by saying with the exception of Council Member Miller who we love very much it's all women behind this table, all women behind that table, and all women who were here in this audience that have brought this particular legislation forward. Let's get this right because it is too important and there are too many cities and states that are looking for us to get this right so that they can model it all across the country. So this is really important legislation that we can't afford to let I don't know all of this minutiae that we're talking about get in the way of getting this information and correcting a generational wrong, centuries of wrongs. So I just want to express this. This is really our moment in history as women in herstory for us to get this right because too many women are living in conditions and in circumstances in situations that are not allowing

oversight and expertise and not just gathering of the

25

COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON WOMEN AND GENDER EQUITY 68 1 2 data but the transfer of the data involved in this 3 process. 4 KELLY JIN: Yes. 5 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Correct? KELLY JIN: Yes. 6 7 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. And we're going to hold you to that. With that we're going to call 8 9 our next panel. Once again thank you for being here. BARBARA DANNENBERG: Thank you. 10 11 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Darryl Chalmers from 12 Local 2507, Doctor Joseph Wilson, Michael Reardon, 13 and my good friend Warren Price. [pause] [background conversations] 14 15 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay gentleman you 16 can begin. Please identify yourselves and... before 17 making your statements. DARRYL CHALMERS: Good afternoon 18 everybody. Thank you Chairperson Miller and 19 20 Chairperson Rosenthal. Thank you very much for today. 21 FDNY uniform fire protection inspectors are 85 2.2 percent minority men and women who are peace officers 2.3 as our New York City firefighters. The New York City Fire Department's Bureau of Fire Prevention is a life 24

safety and revenue producing bureau generating

25

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

approximately 85 million dollars annually to the department and to the city. The Bureau Fire Prevention members consist of 414 fire protection inspectors in inspectional units which check the compliance of all fire and building code regulations including the building we're in right now. Related to fire safety fire protection inspectors are tasked to inspect and witness the testing of safety equipment in buildings for firefighting operations such as standpipe systems, sprinkler systems, etcetera at various locations throughout New York City including our bridges, tunnels, piers, rooftops, ladders, subways, construction sites, restaurants, basements, commercial and residential Highrise buildings. Fire protection inspectors make sure that the systems used for firefighting operations on premises are in working order plus protecting the lives and property of city residents, employees, and visitors. The effort of the fire protection inspectors over the past several years has resulted in sufficient reduction of fire deaths related to fires at a record low in the history of the fire department. And the famous fourth of July fireworks display under the explosive unit which your fire protection inspectors

2.2

2.3

are the ones on the barge in the water every time you see that show every year. We're the ones in charge.

Make sure that the public and the city is safe for you guys to enjoy that show every year. And that's any fireworks display in the city of New York is done by us. We're the ones who actually supervise that.

And for your fourth of... and for New Years celebration we're the ones in charge of that at the top of the ball. We're the ones on the roof, your fire protection inspectors that make sure it's safe so you can enjoy the show. And I will leave that for Mike Reardon who's my colleague who also is the Deputy Chief Inspector, I'll let him speak. Thank you very much.

MICHAEL REARDON: Good afternoon panel.

Thank you for this opportunity to come here and speak with you today. The bulk fuel safety unit, this unit conducts inspections and checks code compliance with the installations of underground and aboveground storage tanks, associated piping systems containing flammable and volatile motor fuels. Review approved plans on site for such installations, including the installation and testing of fire suppressant system and service stations and private filling stations. We

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

conduct inspections, plan review, and testing of the following facilities; bulk fuel facilities, national grid, liquid natural gas, compressed natural gas, ConEdison power plants, methane recovery plants, and Cogen facilities. We witness the testing of the foam fire protection systems, floating rack sprinkler systems, conduct inspections, plan review, and testing of the new pipelines, cut out and replacement of pipelines, conduct annual pipeline drills, and division and fire units, conduct inspections of three pipeline companies which supply gas fuel, gasoline, and fuel oil to JFK airport, and Laguardia Airports as well as patrolling these pipelines monthly, conduct inspections of three pipeline companies, control centers in New Jersey, conduct a leak detection system test Breingsville Pennsylvania on the Buckeye Pipeline, respond to pipeline leak emergencies, power plants, CNG, LNG, methane recovery, and Con Ed National Grid, Gasoline service stations and private filling stations. Thank you.

DR. WILSON: Yes, good afternoon Chairs
Miller and Rosenthal and distinguished council
members. My name is Doctor Joseph Wilson. I'm
representing the FDNY fire prevention inspectors,

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

local 2507. My background; I'm a specialist and an author in labor and civil rights and African American workers. My academic training is at Columbia and Harvard. And I established the nation's first diversity center at CUNY. The title of my very brief presentation, and it won't professorial is FDNY fire prevention inspectors, unsung heroes, saving lives keeping the public safe. My comments today on behalf of the fire prevention inspectors are intended to call public attention to the life saving often dangerous work of the FPIs in relation to the denial of promotional opportunities in the FDNY. The dramatic decrease in fires and fire related injuries in fatalities in New York City over the last 10 years in large measure is due to the valiant, indeed often heroic work of the fire protection inspectors. However, the inspector's work doesn't often make the limelight. Therefore, we must bring public awareness to their conditions of employment. They save us not only from fires in our homes, schools, offices, shopping centers, they save us from gas explosions, oil pipeline and jet fuel fires and explosions preventing the release of toxic gasses and hazardous materials. They prevent deaths and injuries from

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

fireworks accidents as brother Chalmers just mentioned that displays like the Macy's fourth of July celebration and New Years Eve in Times Square. They climb dangerous water towers for inspection high above the tallest building. Fire prevention inspectors witnessed the testing of all fire suppression systems throughout the five boroughs. They work in advance of firefighters' operations so that the firefighters as first responders are able to get water as soon as they arrive at the scene of a fire. In addition to lives saved and countless injuries averted FPIs save the city billions of dollars in revenue by preventing property loss from fires construction, accidents, and mass casualties at public events. The fire prevention inspectors cause and case I present today is an economic justice argument. We present this also as a moral and ethical argument in the name of racial equality. Not least importantly this is a cautionary note because if the inequities faced by the FPIs aren't addressed by our elected leaders then costly legal battles are looming and unavoidable. The FDNY has three primary nonsupervisory jobs; firefighter, EMS, and fire prevention inspector. In 2017 the median salary of

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

firefighters was over 85,000 dollars. Yet, with overtime, median compensation for firefighters was over 100,000. In 2017 about 75 percent of the firefighters were Caucasian, about 25 percent racial minorities. In 2017 the median salary of EMS employees; EMTs and Paramedics was about 50,000. In 2017 about half of the EMS employees were Caucasian and about half were racial minorities. EMS employees mirror the city's diversity. In 2017 the median salary of fire protection inspectors and associate fire protection inspectors was also about 50,000. In 2017 only about 25 percent of the FPIs were Caucasian. The other 75 percent were racial minorities. This is an overwhelmingly minority profession. The duties of both EMS employees and FPIs overlap with firefighters. Like firefighters EMS and fire prevention inspection employees are first responders often in crisis situations. Firefighters spend much of their time inspecting buildings for fire code violations just as FPIs do. The duties of FPIs overlap in many ways with firefighter duties. EMS employees deservedly have the right to take the promotion to firefighter exam. If they pass they will be considered for firefighting before the general

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

open competitive lists. They then will be able to earn tens of thousands of dollars more per year than their fellow unions who are fire prevention inspectors. Unfairly there is no similar avenue for FPIs to be promoted to firefighter. The promotion to firefighter exam inexplicably isn't available to the FPIs who are equally deserving of the opportunity to become firefighters. This is unfair to fire prevention inspectors. It should be fixed henceforth just as a matter of fairness. In addition, because a much higher percentage of fire prevention inspectors are racial minorities this provision of not allowing FPIs to take the promotion to firefighter exam deprives the FDNY of a rich experienced talented pool of people of color, a talented employment pool of people of color. This irrational barrier also has an adverse and dispirit impact harmful to FPIs who are predominately racial minorities. Not fixing this disparity creates the obvious risk of significant legal liability for the city. For both ethical and legal reasons this disparity should be quickly fixed I might add. We call upon the Civil Service Committee and related city council committees to push for an immediate urgent resolution of this gross injustice

pool of young candidates because to become a fire

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

protection inspector you have to have a two year college degree or you can have a background in suppression systems, or you can be a prior volunteer firefighter, then you also qualify for the job. So now we're starting to get a good young pool of people coming in for the job. Men and women, and, and they love the job because they learn a lot. So that's what we're getting right now. So the opportunity for the promotion to firefighter as the professor was just talking to you about, we don't have it. And the reason why this was brought up is because a lot of lieutenants and captains who are on the job, who used to be fire protection inspectors had to take the open competitive. And they think it's unfair because they said the duties they learned as fire prevention inspectors helped them more as being a firefighter and a lieutenant and a captain because they have a clear understanding of firematics.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: So yeah that's kind of answered both of my questions on qualifications of the job where there are technical qualifications, trades, qualifications aside from... could you have a, maybe an associates or a two year trades degree as

1	COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON WOMEN AND GENDER EQUITY 78
2	well? A certificate and that would qualify you? And
3	then what's the average age? Do you know that?
4	DARRYL CHALMERS: Well the average age of
5	an inspector coming on the job
6	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Coming on.
7	DARRYL CHALMERS:in the past used to be
8	guys would coming in at the age of like 40, 50
9	because there's no age limit to the job. So now we're
10	getting a pool of young candidates who have a two
11	year degree. So we're getting people at the age of
12	23, 24. I have a big pool of candidates coming in at
13	that age. So that's the reason why a lot of them were
14	asking
15	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Right.
16	DARRYL CHALMERS:for the promotion to
17	firefighter.
18	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Because historically
19	folks would be aged out
20	DARRYL CHALMERS: That's correct.
21	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: And now because of
22	the new pool and demographics of that pool
23	DARRYL CHALMERS: That is correct.
24	CHAIRPERSON MILLER:it becomes
25	necessary. Okay.

DARRYL CHALMERS: And also Councilman I
just want to make a note that also with that you have
the opportunity for you to become a lieutenant in the
New York City Fire Department you have to have a
college degree. So already you have a group of
minorities who are coming on the job who already have
the degree already which now they can take that's
promotional test to lieutenant or captain. You
understand? So they already have that credential
instead of being a firefighter who would have to go
to college, to have to get his degree. So now you're
getting a group of men and women who are minorities
who will already have a college degree that can
automatically take the next promotional test to
lieutenant and captain as long as we have the
promotion to firefighter added as with my brothers
from EMS.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Thank you.

Thank you for your testimony. With that we're going to call the next panel. Warren you can remain. And we have Vincent Variale, Delvanie Powell, and Michael Grero. Did everyone submit testimony or do everyone have testimony to be submitted? You may begin from either end or... end.

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DELVANIE POWELL: Dear Chairman Miller and committee members. Thank you for the chance to speak. My name is Delvanie K. Powell and I'm the President of the United Probation Officers Association. I represent over 1,200 probation officers and supervisor probation officers including retirees. My members consist of 90 percent people of color and 78 percent of which are women. We are honored to have been invited to participate and testify today on the importance of pay equity in the city's workforce. The lack of pay equity is a reality for my member's lives every day. It impacts the pave ... it impacts we receive but it starts with the way we are perceived. Attitudes and assumptions create a viscous cycle that perpetuates stereotypes and allows differential treatment to go unnoticed and uncorrected. When people think of the criminal justice system they tend to think of the courts, police, corrections, and parole. Probation is a piece of the anatomy of the criminal justice system that is often forgotten or grossly confused for other law enforcement agencies. The work we do to ... challenges and the training we are required to complete is greatly unseen and misunderstood? The task of a probation officer is

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

unique and highly demanding as we are charged with enforcing court orders, helping individuals rehabilitate in lieu of incarceration and performing duties of, as a peace officer. We have an intel unit of probation officer who execute warrants within New York and as well as other states. There's also a cyber unit. These officers work detail with the NYPD, Department of Corrections, US Marshalls, homeland Security and many other law enforcement agencies. ...made in some of the most dangerous neighborhoods. Probation officers are in just as much risk as any other law enforcement officer. This year to my knowledge probation officers have been physically attacked, verbally abused, attacked by Pitbulls, suffered bodily injuries including concussions from falls, stalked, and exposed to dangerous environmental hazards. Probation officers are required to work various shifts including nights, weekends, and holidays while our work is shown to reduce recidivism and increase success we are not recognized. To be qualified for a career as a probation officer one must have a graduate degree from an accredited college or at least a bachelor

degree from an accredited college or a university, in

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

two years a satisfactory full experience in a job related field, complete two months of training and satisfy training requirements to become New York state peace officers. Yet the current hiring rate of probation officers will be now at 42,759. Under our new contract and according to a recent notice of examination for the promotion position to the current minimum salary for the supervisor probation officer is only 54,030 dollars. These rates of pay for a small family in New York City falls under the poverty line in the line of a predominately of color. City workforces such as EMS. We cannot retain members because of the poor salary, high demand, and lack of recognition. As this body knows in order to achieve the goal of pay equity we must first admit that there is a problem. But we cannot stop there. We must also have the intentions to make the commitment to change the attitudes that allows this to persist. I want to thank this body for taking leadership on ending the cycle of poverty that the city employees face due to discriminatory pay practices and pay inequity by passing local law 18. This is a huge step towards getting the information necessary to acknowledge that there is a problem by requiring the city to look at

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

the data. My fear is that DCAS will not take the necessary steps of acknowledging and changing the underlying problems. As you know data can be ignored or swept away. And we must make sure that the city commits to doing the difficult work of making changes instead of digging into a position of defensiveness and denial. DCAS continues to stonewall efforts by our union and others to get pay data necessary for our unions to address these problems. Notwithstanding local law 18, DCAS consistently ignores FOIL requests and refuses to turn over public records with protectoral claims of privacy concerns. My members are not concerned that people will know that, know that, know their gender or their race. They're concerned that they will not be able to put food on their family's tables. They're tired of being treated without dignity they deserve on the job and they're tired of risking their lives on, unseen and unrecognized. This administration is not, this, administration did not start this problem but they're responsible for ending it. We are not blaming anyone when we say that we must and end the cycle. And again I want to thank this body for this vital work in

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

helping our members and correcting this injustice over, long overdue for the city.

VINCENT VARIALE: Good afternoon. Thank you Chairman Miller and distinguished members of the Civil Service and Labor Committee. My name is Vincent Variale and I am president of the Uniformed EMS Officers Union, local 3621. I am also speaking on behalf of Joseph Pataky, the President of the EMS Superior Officers Association who could not be here today in person but want to express his gratitude and show support for this body's important work on the issue of achieving pay equity within New York City's workforce. The city of New York is the employer for over 350,000 individuals within 50 agencies including the fire department where our members work. Since merging with the fire department ion 1996 EMS has felt the institutional challenges the department has grappled with regards to bias. This impacts all aspects of its operations from the work culture, hiring, promotions, pay, and resource allocation within the Department. EMT base salaries start around 35,000 dollars a year and cap around 50,000 dollars a year after five years. Paramedics who have even more medical training including a New York State paramedic

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

certification and regional medical certifications start at only 45,000 dollars a year. Similarity... similarly lieutenants and captains top pay is capped at 71,000 and 75,000 respectively. Comparatively other first responders such as those on the... same department earn 110,000 after five years on the job. Police officers are similarly compensated as are sanitation workers. The common difference these other titles share in that they are much more white and much more male. This stark difference in pay and its corresponding demographic relationship in our department exemplifies what is a citywide problem of pay inequity. While the example we offer in the FDNY shows that extreme contrast in pay and demographics... in fact when you step back and look at the demographics, pay and work obligations within other agencies and departments throughout New York City you can see a pattern of segregation emerge in which white and male employees are given more desirable positions, paid more, offered more employment opportunity and advancement, better recognition and authority while employees of color and women are saddled with greater responsibilities, offered less career advancement, required to cover changes in the

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

working requirements while receiving less recognition and less pay for their services and sacrifices. You can see this with the example of the UPOA gives in law enforcement. And you can see across all city agencies in the landmark litigation with CWA, local 1180 where administrative managers were given significant responsibilities such as standing in for heads of department and jobs like deputy director but still thought of and referred to as paid secretarial. This problem did not start with this administration. But until it is ended it will perpetuate cycles of poverty in the city. Anytime you have a workforce as massive as New York City's without a comprehensive plan in place to prospectively address bias you will inevitably end up with the problem New York City currently struggles with of pay inequity. However, now that we know that this is a problem and it does exist the city has an affirmative legal and moral obligation to address and resolve this problem. Local law 18 is a good step in this process and we thank this body for making this law a reality. But turning over data is the first step. And unfortunately data alone will not solve the problem. We must see a willingness from the city to act as a partner to

2.2

acknowledge the problem and commitment to spend the resources necessary to remedy the problem. Saying that this will cost money to fix is not an excuse to perpetuate segregation, discrimination, and relegate civil servants and their families to a life of poverty. This city has the resources to make these changes and the long term effect to pay equity will actually save money and improve the quality of life of the city and its employees. We look forward to working in partnership with this committee and this council to bring New York City as an employer into the 21st century and to build on the work this body has already done to finally and fully end pay inequity in New York City's workforce. I'm available for any questions you may have. Thank you.

OREN BARZILAY: Good afternoon Chairman
Miller and distinguished members of the Civil Service
Labor Committee. My name is Oren Barzilay, the
President of FDNY EMS, Uniformed EMTs Paramedics and
Fire Inspectors of Local 2507. Thank you for allowing
me the chance to address you today with regards to
the importance of pay equity for our members. Pay
equity is not just a lofty goal that the city should
aspire to, it is a fundamental right. The absence of

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

which causes great adversity and hardship significantly diminishing the quality of life of those who are subjected to desperate and unfair pay practices. The FDNY has two bureaus. One is almost exclusively white and male and one is predominately of color with the largest number of women of any first responders in the city. Both sides provide life saving emergency services. You can guess which side is paid more, given more resources, respect and recognition. But what is shocking is just how much this disparate treatment impacts our members who report that. Despite working highly demanding full time job as first responders risking their lives and often completing overtime many have to apply for food stamps, sleep in homeless shelters, and live hand but, hand to mouth wondering if they'll, if they will have enough to survive. Equally shocking is the city denial of the cycle of poverty and hardship created by these pay practices or the fact that these problems disproportionately affect the female and nine, nonwhite city employees. Despite numerous lawsuits, large rallies, reports by the public advocate, and even the New York Times weighing in and calling for pay equality for EMS members the city

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

refuses to acknowledge there is even a problem to remedy. How do we solve this problem when the city refuses to be our partner? On behalf of local 2507 and our members I want to thank the council for passing local law 18 which requires the city to disclose pay data and to use this information to remedy its discriminatory pay practices. By getting this aggregated data the city has an opportunity to remedy problems that exist and to change the quality of life for city employees. But of course this requires a willingness to acknowledge the problem and a desire to be part of the solution once you have that data. This administration has the opportunity to be on the right side of this issue, history will judge. And I'm sure this body will preserve the remain vigilant, ensure that the city will not engage in the same bad faith delay tactics that we have met with our effort to get similar data. In May of 2017, over two and a half years ago we asked the city to provide our unit with the pay data so that we could better understand the disparate pay issues our members face to help them. The city refused requiring us to bring an article 78. We offered a solution to every questionable excuse the city gave not to turn

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

this data over. We offered to have the data redacted, we offered to have our statistician do the work to prepare the data. We offered to have the files pulled an alternative way. Rather than work with us to get this information and correct these problems the city has become recalcitrant and defensive has, and has used its resources to deny the problem. A commitment to nondiscrimination in the workforce is a commitment to excellence. The ability of the FDNY to provide the highest level of emergency preparedness, to the largest busiest EMS systems require that those providing the service be paid a living wage. The cost of ignoring this problem is significantly more expensive and more dangerous than the cost of ending pay inequality. As reflective stories in New York, in New Yorkers read and hear about daily EMS employees save countless lives. Our members save taxpayers billions of dollars annually based on industry standards. In reality human life is priceless and so is the lifesaving work of our valiant EMS, paramedics, and fire inspectors. We are seeking a simple wage equity and salaries that reflect our life saving work, our every day contribution to the quality of life of all New Yorkers. The mayor has

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

justified on the, underpaying EMS workers because he says the work is different. Actually EMS workers are different. They are more diverse, highly skilled. First responders who rescue all citizen in medically urgent situations including rescuing police officers, firefighters, accident victims, children, and the most vulnerable. We even save elected officials who face medical trauma. EMS workers risk life and limb every day taking care of all New Yorkers. They respond to over 1.6 million emergency calls annually. They are exposed to disease, dangers, and frequently violent conditions. They sometimes shed their own blood in the line of duty. They operate in horrendous traumatic situation as first responders. The mayor was elected using the ... of Charles Dickens of Tale of Two Cities; rich and poor, black and white, unequal and unjust. Yet our mayor like another Dickens character, a Christmas Tale, a scrooge, refuses to acknowledge EMTs, paramedics, life saving work on behalf of all New Yorkers. This mayoral administration has only a scrooge like lump of coal as a reward for the valiant EMS workers and our fire inspectors. Insultingly at last September's civil service committee hearing the fire department brass

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

actually as a group stood up and walked out of this committees hearing. Insultingly, without even listening to the stories of valor and sacrifices of our EMS worker, perhaps the mayor doesn't get it, perhaps the mayor doesn't understand that the FDNY is adding insult to injury in his name by turning the administration's back literally and figuratively on EMS employees in this committee hearing and at the collective bargaining table. But we want to make sure that our elected officials understand that we are held accountable and we'll fight politically to make our interests known and responded to. Whenever there's an injury a family or loved one, regardless of the dangers, EMS is on the scene in minutes. Even in the most dangerous of conditions, god forbid in a medical crisis even the mayor must rely on EMS employees from dispatch to ambulance crews. We call upon the mayor during this holiday season to stop being a scrooge. IT's time for the mayor and FDNY to recognize and compensate the value and heroism of our EMS workers. The mayor can draw upon Christmas carol and replace the lump of coal he puts on the table in collective bargaining for EMS with a fight of justice and equality that our members have earned, that they

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

deserve, and that all New Yorkers would respect. When it comes to subsidies and tax breaks for developers somehow the city magnificently, magically finds billions of dollars to give to the one percent for our most fortunate and most powerful among us. But when it comes to working people the city has its leadership... poverty, indifference, and all too often exhibits disdain regarding the working conditions and pay equity. We call upon the mayor to have a change of heart and to reject the city's scrooge like bargaining stance with our union and in the name of justice help our members bridge the pay equity gap so EMS employees can earn a wage that reflects the life saving value our members who contribute to the city on a daily basis. Thank you for the opportunity to present our members concerns and more importantly thank you for your solidarity in support of our EMTs, paramedics, and fire inspectors.

MICHAEL MICHAEL GRERO: Thank you

Chairperson Miller, and thank you council members for
your tireless efforts in pay equality. My name is

Michael Grero. I'm the Vice President of Local 2507.

I did not prepare a testimony. I do have one written
out in response to basically what DCAS said when I

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

came up here, so I will make it available in email form for the record. I just wanted to comment on DCAS stating over and over how sensitive the information required under local law 18 is and how carefully they need to protect it thus causing delays. Of course we want to protect data. Our members deal with HIPPA issues and concerns all the time. And we understand sensitive information. The only sensitive information in local law is salary and pay. That's the sensitive part. The other voluntary, the gender, the ... whether your race... that is voluntary information that isn't a sensitive nature. However, it's already affirmatively required to be made public before local law 18 was ever enacted. I can go to a website, my pay, my salary, and everything. This is all a pretext because the city doesn't want to have race and gender data. It's that simple. We have been suing them for two years for race and data. And like my colleagues have said they just refuse and put stumbling blocks in front of us every time. Data is only good as those analyzing the results. Pay quality isn't just an issue that affects wherever the mayor identifies there is one. When he feels the cause is right he moves mountains. But when confronted with issues

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

right under his nose he not only draws a line in the stand but then sticks his head right in it. Less than one percent female of firefighter, I'm sorry less than one percent of firefighters are female. We have 30 percent. If you just look at that number you would think that's a fantastic number. However, because one area is so underrepresented should we be content at 30 percent? Millions are spent to get over the one percent mark yet not a dime is spent to get us over 30. We've been at that number for quite some time. We should not be happy with the status quo simply because other people are so bad at it. We have 50 percent women in this world, 50 percent men. We are close. We should be striving and spending the money to recruit even higher. Let's not rest on our laurels simply because we're at a number as 30 percent. The fact that 3,000 members of a 4100 member force of EMTs and paramedics have less than five years shows how underpaid and undervalued we are. Now forgive me, I know those last stats aren't about the local law but I couldn't sleep at night if I didn't just give you one. Other than that I am available for questions. Thank you very much for your time.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you for your testimony. So I do have a few questions. First of all I would, I need to say I was kind of going over the years and I was... and some of the work that the committee has done. And I was looking at the amazing rally that we had on the steps... October? September? September, yeah. It was an amazing rally that brought attention to the world... of the EMS, EMT... and quite frankly who the FDNY continues to be. We have additional legislation that we think will be addressing some of that stuff. But for the purposes of what we're doing here today... I first want to ask simply, from a collective bargaining standpoint, does the information that is required that has been kind of aggregated and agreed upon for local law... is that sufficient, not just sufficient for the purposes of these bargaining units in terms of pay equity, having that information, is that some of the data that was missing, the information that was missing during past negotiations, things that obviously you had to sue to get information? Will some of this information be available to you by virtue of local law? Is there something that... Or is there additional information that would be required in order to have the type of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

good faith negotiations that are necessary for the membership to have proper compensation.

MICHAEL GRERO: I just want to address that the information is relatively good. I just have to say the problem isn't the information, the problem is collective bargaining. When you turn around and do pattern bargaining... If you were being racist to us by paying us less than other people at the last contract... if you're offering seven and a half percent over 44 months like you are everybody else you're just increasing that problem. Because if you make 100,000 dollars and you give that person a ten percent raise, you've just rewarded them with a 10,000 dollar raise. If I'm significantly paid less than 50,000 and you offer me a 10 percent raise you've just paid me 5,000 dollars raise. So if we're saying that the pay equality is so prevalent and then you offer me a pattern bargaining you're basically spitting in our face and saying there's not a problem, we're going to offer you just as much as everybody else while not offering as much as everybody else. So the numbers, while some race, some gender, some pay versus others is great and it's good

2.2

2.3

numbers, but if I bring it to the table and they don't want to look at it, it's a problem.

number of dynamics... there's a number of lawsuits that they've been engaged in over the years, a number have been settled... negotiations is about leverage right.

Because this information, this issue, and beyond that. Because when the admin, when we talk about, when I talk about pay equity proper conversation I know that the solution, the answer does not come in and come to collective bargaining right? Because we are all bound by the tailor law here right, and as you indicated somebody gets seven and a half percent, you get seven and a half percent, and you remain at the same level and you just like that hamster, never catching up, right. What is it that...

OREN BARZILAY: But the data will show that there is a disparity...

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Right.

VINCENT VARIALE: ...actually I want to correct, I just want to say that it will confirm the disparity that we already know exists. And the importance of that information will, I think would help us with collective bargaining, with litigation

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

currently to help the mayor see the wrong errors of his ways that we're being discriminated against. So to answer your question yes I think that information would be helpful overall, long term collective bargaining wise you could be helpful. But certainly right now they've been putting nothing but obstacles in our way from, and preventing us from trying to get that information. As Vice President Grero stated... two years now we had a lawsuit going for this information and for two years they've brought up ridiculous arguments between veteran, questioning whether veterans day is a holiday to sensitive information that can be found on the website if you go online. And these are all just play that they use to prevent us from getting the information that's needed.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Stated time and time again from different bargaining units that have come before this body to address it. And what was kind of the reasoning behind it was that it was universal information that was relevant to collective bargaining, specific to pay equity right. And obviously there's information that is necessary for collective bargaining but specifically to those bargaining units that, that are attempting to address

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

pay equities whether or not this information is relevant to this, is there other requisite information.

VINCENT VARIALE: The bottom line with this information is we keep on bringing out the data and keep saying what our numbers are, that we know it's true but... the mayor's office or the DCAS presenting that data, they're going to keep dismissing our argument as that's union rhetoric. Because they're not showing the proof, they're not showing... confirm numbers that we know exist. So they can still hold onto that shred of argument they have left to save that. But once they put that information out there they no longer have that argument. They can't say that anymore because it's right in front of everybody's face. So then it could help us long term, make the argument that you are clearly... and it's not just me saying so... it is clearly discrimination because we are getting, not getting paid for... the same... we're not getting the same equity as other responding agent, other agencies, first responders and emergency services and so forth.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay and you know that part of it is that the council itself has 90

2.2

2.3

days to aggregate the information as well. So obviously by virtue of the hearings that we've held over the last few years and dealing with specific bargaining units we know what to look for right. And...

VINCENT VARIALE: We appreciate that.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: So we're going to spend 90 days kind of taking a look at that and whatever story that is told from the other side of the building we're going to tell the story, and certainly from this seat, obviously we, I think we know what to look for.

OREN BARZILAY: When Councilwoman
Rosenthal was asking the city if they can produce
data on specific agencies they deliberately avoided
that because they don't want to produce it. They want
to do a globalized number. Because once you pinpoint
agencies then they will show the discrepancies and
the inequality. That's where the numbers will show
and they don't want to produce that. If they were to
do what you ask them to do it will show exactly what
it is that you're looking for and why the numbers
would prove that there is a disparity in equality.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: So again, for the purposes of this hearing I think that we've already

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

defined what to ask of, what we think is the requisite information that is relevant to help to ensure that we don't have the type of pay disparities that we've seen, that I've seen over my 30 years as a civil servant, as a president, as business agent, as a, you know an employee. Well on all sides we know that there are discrepancies that are, that are quite intentional right, and that they're more prevalent in some agencies more so than others. And I think that this information... Look we've spent the greater part of the last three years bringing this to the forefront. As you say there's been... that's been magnified and identified and written about time and time again. But from your perspective... just time to see something done about it. And sitting there... and I'm going to be very public about this. The council's done a lot of things around, that in my opinion that is responsibility of those who are responsible for collective bargaining which is organized labor and the leadership that is there, that we can provide you with the tools and the resources. But what we can not do is bargain on your behalf. So I'm going to stop short of that. Don't ask us to do that. The ask has come time and time again but don't ask me to do it

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

because otherwise I'm putting you out of business. And I don't know what you know about the people that you represent, the industries that you represent and the benefit and the services that they provide. I think anything that I could do that this body could do would be certainly the flaw and not the ceiling which your membership deserves. So I think that working together and what I would ask if there's any additional information or anything that you believe that was omitted that you please let us know, it doesn't have to be at this moment, but get it to us so that we can you know make the commitments or request of DCAS in doing so, and/or that we can consider this information as we aggregate in the data that is given to us or the lack thereof. But...

MICHAEL GRERO: And as far as that law is concerned I think in the next 90 days will show we are going to get a massive data dump. You're going to get a lot of information coming in. And the next 90 days is going to show what we might have to adjust to it, as in how to interpret the data. And we, we might not know what questions to ask right now because after a bunch of people look at it we might all be interpreting it a certain way and we're going to have

2.2

2.3

to come up with a uniform way of interpreting and then now what are the results and plan of action with that data. If you notice that two secretarial agencies arbitrarily you know are making 20,000 dollars different, having that information is great but what are the steps in the law to remedy the situation. That I think is going to be the most important part of the next 90 days, in the next year of this law to figure out that we show the mayor look there's a 20 percent, 30 percent in all these areas but he doesn't want to do anything about it we might have to put more teeth into it to, to adjust. So I think that's where the next 90 days will be beneficial for us.

appreciate everyone's testimony. So thank you so much for coming here today. Thank you for your patience.

There was one person who read extensive testimony I think beyond what we received a copy of... Or... So you... it was very colorful.

VINCENT VARIALE: I have something else I want to add. I know it has, it doesn't really pertain to local 18, local law 18 but something that was said and I feel I just want to correct it. Vice President

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Grero brought up the fact that 70 percent of EMS has less than five years on the job. And that is, that is very telling of the dangers out there for the people of the city. Because EMS is, emergency medical is a science of emergency medicine. And that takes years to develop the experience needed to understand what's going on with a patient medically or trauma-wise to have somebody with no experience. And there's not ... this is not just me saying this. There's been numerous studies that showing the survivor rate increases and the patient outcome is, increase is better with the... its correlation with the experience related to the EMT or paramedic. The more experience they have the better that happens. I want to correct some testimony I've heard that its almost voiced as if it's a privilege for EMS to be so called promoted into fire. We don't consider that a privilege. In fact, it's a burden. And it's an insult to say EMS, it's a promotion to fire. It may be a transfer. But firefighting is the science of fire suppression. EMS is the science of emergency medicine. These are two separate professions. I would not... I think it's an injustice to call it a promotion to fire. It is hindering EMS's ability because of the pay disparity

2.2

2.3

to keep people in EMS because people are literally left with a decision of I want to feed my family and live a life where I'm not struggling to put food on the table. So I have to go to fire so I can earn 110,000 dollars a year or stay in EMS and go get welfare because my top pay is 50,000 dollars a year. It's really taking away all the resources from EMS and not doing it justice, just, not just to our members but to the people of the city. They need it, they deserve… they pay enough taxes, they deserve the best medical emergency care they can get when they call 9-1-1. And it's a shame that New York City… capital of the world can't do that, or won't fix that problem. Thank you.

obviously... that, and they continue to exacerbate and perpetuate this myth of equity when they, when we ask for information about attrition and they don't include those that are being promoted, so called promoted. And I... tell us and we've... I think that I know almost what you know having tackled this for the past three or four years. And this council's going to continue to be relentless on these pay equity issues because we all... if you look at the member of this... of

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

the, of this committee that sat here whether they in or out they were all represented, representative of communities that were underserved and undercompensated by this, by the city. And we've, we say time and time again that we have legislative and creative policy that, that it takes behavior around EEO and for private sector and many others. But you know we haven't been able to clean house. And we want to make sure that internally that we are doing justice to over 300,000 women and men that make this city great. And there's a reason why 65 million people come here. There's a reason why Amazon and Google and other folks want to set up shop here. All the bad things they say about our municipal workforce is precisely that reason right. Obviously you know I have an affinity for transportation that they pound this every day. But there is no other 24 hour transportation system that provides what they provide. There is no other skilled EMS services that provide the level of services that are being provided here and being challenged on the daily basis with eight and a half million folks. It just doesn't happen. And our public servants, they answer the call on the daily basis and we should compensate them

COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON WOMEN AND GENDER EQUITY 108 1 accordingly. And that means that we understand that 2 3 there is some inherent differences but it's time for 4 us to fill those gaps. And that's what this is about here. And providing the tools to do so. No excuses, 5 we've gotten beyond that. That's why today wasn't 6 7 about relitigating pay equity. It was about 8 addressing local law 18 whether or not it was being complied with and what do we do moving forward. And so we're just committed to pay equity. And I want to 10 11 thank my colleagues for being here, for asking to, to 12 join in and be a part of this, her knowledge is an, 13 is just, is keen and it's necessary as we move forward with this. And the struggle continues but 14 15 we're here with you. VINCENT VARIALE: Thank you very much. 16 17 Happy Holidays, yes. 18 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you. And with 19 that [gavel] hearing is officially adjourned. 20 21 22

25

23

24

${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date DECEMBER 27, 2019