CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

----- X

September 3, 2019 Start: 1:14 p.m. Recess: 4:14 p.m.

HELD AT: COUNCIL CHAMBERS - CITY HALL

B E F O R E: Carlos Menchaca

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS: Margaret S. Chin

Mark Gjonaj Carlina Rivera Francis P. Moya I. Daneek Miller

## A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Bitta Mostofi Commissioner Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs

Grace Bonilla Administrator Human Resources Administration

Chris Keeley New York City Health and Hospitals

Claudia Calhoun
Senior Director
Immigrant Integration Policy
New York Immigration Coalition

Rebecca Antanovok Director Help All Unit Legal Aid Society

Serika Succena New York City Bar Association

Carline Cowan
Chief Policy and Public Affairs Officer
Chinese American Planning Council

Sonia Marquez Brooklyn Defender Services Molly Koh Immigrant Project Volunteers Legal Service

Rex Chen
Director of Immigration
Legal Services NYC

[NAME INAUDIBLE]
Director of Immigration Legal Services
Immigration Hotlines
Catholic Charities

STEVEN SADOWSKI: This is a test, this is a test. Today's date is September 3, 2019, on the Committee on Immigration, recorded by Steven Sadowski. [pause]

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: OK, we're going to get started. If you can silence your cell phones, or you can turn them off and get in a little break, a social media break. If you have them on, we want you to tweet about what you're hearing. We're going to get started. [pause]

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Buenas tardes,
everyone. I am Carlos Menchaca, chair of New York
City Council's Committee on Immigration. And today
the Committee on Immigration will be hearing a
package of legislation drafted in response to the
federal administration's new rule regarding
inadmissibility on public charge grounds. Before we
get into the nuts and bolts of what this means for
everyday New Yorkers, I want to thank the Mayor's
Office of Immigrant Affairs and Commissioner,
specifically Commissioner Mostofi, for their
collective leadership on this issue. Your
willingness to work with the council and ever-present
availability has strengthened the legislative package

It is a testament to how much we can

significantly.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

accomplish when we coordinate our efforts. Together we are stronger. And I am so pleased that when threats come to our city we waste no time uniting to defend our neighborhoods. Some version of a public charge rule has been in the news for more than 18 months. At council, at the City Council, we have been taking this extremely serious. The threat of an expanded public charge rule is serious. Analyzing the leaked drafts and official proposed rule when they were made public, holding a public hearing in November 2018 on the potential impact such a rule would have on New Yorkers is grave, and submitting a strongly worded public comment opposing the rule. Our partners held a briefing on public charge for City Council members and their district staff and I held a series of town halls in all five boroughs to address community concerns about the proposed rules. Through these efforts we were able to educate New Yorkers about the proposed rule and encourage comments in the federal register along with MOOIA and comments to that federal government, ah, was required by law to consider and respond to before determining the validity of a proposed change. And yet despite

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

the 200 plus thousand public comments, the vast majority of which were against any change of this rule, on August 12, 2019, the federal administration released a final version released a final version of the public charge rule, titled "Inadmissibility on the Public Charge Grounds." The new rule expands the definition of public charge and public benefits, thereby altering, altering, the standard used by the Department of Homeland Security to determine if an individual is likely to become a public charge at any time in the future. As of now, the rule will go into effect on October 15, 2019. A public charge, as defined by this new rule, is an individual who is or is likely to become dependent on public benefits. public charge determination occurs when an individual is either applying for a visa to enter the US, extending an existing visa, or applying for legal permanent residence, a green card, for the first time. And I want to be clear here that public charge did and will not apply to US citizens, to current green card holders, to asylees, to refugees, to U and T visa holders, special immigrant youth, applicants under the Violence Against Women Act, or Afghans and Iraqis on special immigrant visas. It is such a

## COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

2	complex and confusing rule. It is extremely
3	important that you seek legal counsel to determine if
4	it affects you or your family before making any
5	decisions about public benefits. If you or someone
6	you know has any questions about whether the rule
7	applies to them, please seek out expert legal advice
8	immediately. The council, the mayor's office, and
9	our community partners are committed to ensuring that
. 0	no New Yorker feels pressured to disenroll from
.1	critical benefits without first speaking with a
.2	trusted lawyer. You can call the New American hot,
. 3	New Americans Hotline at 1-800-566-7636. Or
. 4	ActionNYC at 1-800-354-0365. Right now. To speak to
.5	someone about public charge and I urge you to call.
. 6	Clearly this rule is designed to ensure that as many
.7	individuals as possible disenroll from life-saving
. 8	and family-sustaining government benefits. As
. 9	council members of the City of New York it is our
20	responsibility to protect the rights and welfare of
21	all our residents, and our city is home to 3.2
22	million immigrants, making up nearly 37% of the
23	city's population. Immigrants also comprise nearly
24	half the city's work force and own approximately 42%
25	of the city's businesses. Ours is a beautiful,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

diverse, and resilient city. That is precisely because of our immigrant families, neighbors, and friends. This is the envy of the world. And that is why I'm pleased to announce that we hearing a package of four bills and one resolution regarding public charge today. This legislative package strengthens the social services network that has become a national model for how to care for and empower everyone. Our responsibility as elected officials and members of the City Council is to do everything that we can to protect people who live and work in New York City. These bills and resolutions exercise that duty. The preconsidered intro sponsored by myself would, let me get the numbers actually, that's right, because they're preconsidered. preconsidered intro sponsored by myself would require the Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs to conduct training on the provisions included in the new public charge rule to employees in the Department of Social Services, Human Resources Administration, the Department of Homeless Services, the Department of Housing Preservation and Development, and the New York City Housing Authority. This bill would take effect immediately after it became law and deemed

2 repealed two years after it became law. 3 Preconsidered introduce, sponsored by Council Member Moya, would require the Department of Social Services 4 to distribute information by mail, telephone, or 5 email regarding all city-funded emergency food 6 7 programs. The next preconsidered intro, sponsored by Council Member Rivera, would require the Mayor's 8 Office of Immigrant Affairs to create written and 9 electronic materials on the public charge rule and 10 the Department of Education would be required to 11 12 distribute these materials to every student and every 13 DOE school. The next preconsidered intro, sponsored by Council Member Cabrera, would require the 14 15 Department of Social Services and the Human Resources 16 Administration to designate a unit with a dedicated phone number and staff to assist individuals who have 17 18 evaluated their case with a legal service provider and have elected to modify their benefits. 19 preconsidered reso, sponsored by Council Member 20 Levin, calls on the US Congress to take legislative 21 2.2 action to stop the enactment of the new rule entitled 23 "Inadmissibility on Public Charge Grounds." We are joined today by Council Members Chin, Gjonaj, Rivera, 24

Moya, and Miller. I want to thank them for being

2.2

here today, and before I turn it over to the bills' sponsors to say a few words I want to thank my staff for all their work on this hearing on public charge and everything which has been done up to this point, which has been a lot, my chief of staff, Lauren Alisaro, communications director Tony Charito, and the committee staff, committee counsel Jarbani Osa, committee policy analyst Elizabeth Cronk, and finance unit head Crilian Francisco. We're going to hear from Council Member Moya, member of the immigration committee, and then Council Member Rivera on their statements. Council Member Moya.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Thank you so much, Chair, for all your hard work and the opportunity to say a few words on such an important issue. As I've always said, I will never begrudge someone who comes here in search of the American dream and works through blood, sweat, and tears to make that a reality. As a son of immigrants I know this all too well, but this is exactly what this Trump administration is doing to the immigrants that are here in this country now. Their [wealth] for the public charge will deter immigrants from getting the help that they need. This bill will ensure that

2.2

those who disenroll from SNAP or whose SNAP benefits are set to lapse will be given information on emergency feeding programs and it is incumbent upon us as elected officials to take care of our constituents regardless of their national origin or their economic status, and I just want to take this opportunity again to thank the chairman, the speaker, and all my colleagues in helping to support this much-needed bill. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you. Council Member Rivera.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Thank you so much Chair Menchaca and all of my colleagues, and thank you for holding this hearing today on what is a very important package of legislation. The public charge rule proposed by the federal administration is an attempt to generate fear, chaos, and confusion in the immigrant community. It is deliberately designed to threaten essential programs that countless immigrant families depend on for their health, housing, nutrition, and more. For many this rule will force them to choose between their families' well-being and a potential threat to their immigrant status and it's our responsibility as a council to do what we can to

support immigrants who call New York home and who
will suffer under the proposed rule. That is why I
am proud to introduce a bill that is part of this
package requiring the Mayor's Office of Immigrant
Affairs to create written and electronic materials on
the federal regulations relating to admissibility on
public charge grounds. The Department of Education
will distribute this materials both physically and
electronically to every student and every school
within its jurisdiction and additionally ensure that
these materials are available in a central and
accessible office in every school for both parents
and students. These materials would also include
crucial information on federal regulations as well as
instructions on how to access immigration legal
services to address any issues related to regulatory
charges, changes. There is a lot of noise right now
surrounding this rule. It is important that families
know precisely whether or not they are affected. It
will not be easy for immigrant parents to navigate
the web of information on these matters while also
ensuring stability at home, so providing these
materials to their children may be the best way to
cut through misinformation and get these details to

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

you.

those who need it most. I am proud to stand with the legislative body that has demonstrated care for every person in our city and I hope my colleagues will join me in continuing to ensure every person regardless of their immigration status knows the New York City government is standing with them every day. Thank

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you to the bill sponsors, and we're going to call the administration up for our first panel. Commissioner Mostofi, and we have Administrator Grace Bonilla from HRA, and then Chris Keeley, New York City Health and Hospitals, if you would please come on up. get settled in, I just want to reiterate the confidence that I have in this administration in partnership with our work and the advocates that you will hear from later. I spent some time this summer after the shootings in El Pason and with my family, and I know, Commissioner, you were there, ah, this last year and coming back to New York City made me just appreciate the work that we do and the amount of work that we've done so far to prepare, and our message today is one of confidence and calm to our New Yorkers who have an incredible team working

2.2

swear you in.

together to figure this out and to ensure that we have the best, ah, the best strategy moving forward and it's not a new strategy, it's a strategy that's been there. We're just going to add to it, and so I just want to say thank you before you start for the work that you and your team does. We're going to

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: OK.

UNIDENTIFIED: Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth in your testimony before this committee and to respond honestly to council member questions?

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: I do.

UNIDENTIFIED: Thank you.

Menchaca and members of the Committee on Immigration.

My name is Bitta Mostofi. I'm the commissioner for
the Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs and I'm
joined today by HRA Administrator Grace Bonilla, as
well as staff from other agencies, including Chris
Keeley from Health and Hospitals. Just a few weeks
ago the Trump administration published a regulation
that is meant to change when certain immigrants are
considered a public charge for immigration purposes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

The rule makes it harder for those immigrants to receive a green card or certain visas. This rule, which discriminates against people with disabilities, seniors, and people of color in the name of selfsufficiency was fundamentally flawed from its conception. The city knows firsthand that immigrants make our communities stronger and that supporting immigrants in accessing the services they need to produce long-term, can produce long-term benefits. The Trump administration's view of self-sufficiency, on the other hand, is based on falsehoods and biased thinking and runs counter to the reality of how immigrants contribute to our country. Given this reality, it is impossible to see this rule as anything other than an attack on the American ideal, the vision of Lady Liberty, also known as the Mother of Exiles, welcoming your tired, your poor, and your huddled masses for generations. I'm particularly concerned with the widespread fear and confusion that this rule has incited even in those who are not affected by it at all. At the outset I want to emphasize that this rule has not yet gone into effect. It will not be retroactively applied. does not affect all immigration applications and it

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

does not affect all immigrants. For that reason, it is extremely important for immigrants to get information and help before unnecessarily withdrawing from or foregoing benefits. As a city we've been preparing for this rule since the beginning of the Trump administration, as the council member noted. Our preparation has led to sustained advocacy and public education on this issue. The city submitted two comments on the proposed rule, including one in conjunction with cities across the nation who share our point of view. We have repeatedly engaged stakeholders, including elected officials with information about the rule and its impact. For almost a year we have prepared our ActionNYC hotline for an influx of calls about the rule by adding staff to provide immediate consultations on the phone to help individuals understand whether the rule applies to them, and by working with partners to create capacity for referrals for urgent legal consultation. And we've developed detailed fact sheets and conducted research on messaging. This testimony will provide a very brief overview of the rule, highlighting the city's response and MOOIA's role in that response, and address the bills at issue today.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

As I stated, changes to the public charge rule have not gone into effect. The final rule was published on August 14 and it is scheduled to become effective on October 15 unless courts say otherwise. public charge rule applies only to a narrow subset of immigrants - those applying for a green card, changing or extending certain visas, and applying for admission under the immigration laws would be affected by the final rule. But many, if not most, noncitizens in New York City will not be subject to the public charge test or will be able to seek a waiver. This includes refugees and asylees, certified victims of human trafficking or U or T visa recipients, VAWA self-petitioners, special immigrant juveniles, those with temporary protected status, and more. In addition, there is no public charge test when green card holders apply for citizenship. Turning now to the final rule itself, the term public charge is used in immigration law to deny admission or a green card to someone based on their likelihood to depend on the government for support in the future. For the past two decades this rule has been limited in scope because studies showed that an overbroad, vague rule could have devastating public

factor in addition to the others I just mentioned in

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

charge. We've argued against this rule since it was first proposed because it will needlessly harm the health, safety, and economic security of our city. While the rule itself only affects a small subset of all noncitizens, we know that it will create fear and confusion in the immigrant population generally. preliminary analysis shows that hundreds of thousands of New Yorkers could be affected by chilling effects alone. We've already heard concerning anecdotes of immigrants withdrawing from important benefits due to fear and misunderstanding about the rule and its impact. For example, even before the final rule was published the Department of Health saw that anxiety and confusion was causing some clients to withdraw from or refuse to enroll in Medicaid and CHIP. DOHMH staff have reported that clients sought to disenroll or declined to enroll in Medicaid or CHIP because of public charge. In addition to foregoing health insurance, staff reported that some of their clients had declined services while others have shown reluctance to engage with them or use services, even though these services are not covered by the final In order to help address the fear, DOHMH's

an overall test of who is likely to become a public

24

25

Bureau of Primary Care Access and Planning provided training to its front-line staff for public [facing] programs, explaining the public charge rule and providing guidance for staff to address client inquiries and concerns. DOHMH is also planning another round of briefing to front-line staff at various divisions and bureaus now that the final rule has been published. In addition, since rumors of the public charge rule began circulating in 2017 there has been a marked dropped in noncitizen SNAP cases. Administrator Bonilla will testify more about this chilling effect and the steps that HRA has taken to address it. Turning now to the city's response to the rule, we are fighting this rule with every tool at our disposal, including ligation. We've partnered with New York State attorney general's office in a legal challenge to this final rule. One important tool to counter the effects of this rule is legal services. The city is committed to assisting all New Yorkers, regardless of immigration status, in getting the information and legal assistance that they need to make the best decisions for themselves and their families as to their usage of public benefits. re-emphasize, it is crucial that New Yorkers who are

25

before taking any action related to public benefits. As I said, the new regulation is not yet in effect and if and when it does come into effect only certain immigrant New Yorkers will be impacted in their immigration applications. Over the last several months we have worked closely with legal service provider, community and other partners to ensure the availability of legal assistance around the rule. The ActionNYC hotline funded by the City of New York and operated by our partner, Catholic Charities, can provide immigrants with information about the rule and, where needed, connect callers to legal help and appointments. We are also working with partners at the Legal Aid Society supported by the Robin Hood Foundation and the New York Legal Assistance Group to coordinate requests for assistant and to address In a few days on September 9 and 10 we will be holding a two-day phone bank with partners at Catholic Charities, Univision, El Diario, The Office for New Americans, the Legal Aid Society, The New York Immigration Coalition, Hispanic Federation, and NYLOG where New Yorkers may call in to speak with an

at houses of worship and in communities to share

25

2.2

23

24

25

information about the final rule. When news about the rule broke we shared information digitally to our many partners. On August 13 we first received news that the final rule would be published. We sent an update to over 9000 people and partners, including our community partners, our partners within the council and other elected officials, and our agency partners. We then held briefings to answer follow-up questions and additionally we created and shared a social media toolkit so that different agencies could share relevant and easy-to-understand information about the public charge rule with their networks. On August 25 we hosted a day of action to address immigrant New Yorkers concerns about the new public charge rule. We had staff, partners, and volunteers handed out thousands of informational flyers at over a dozen grocery stores across the five boroughs to empower our residents to make the best decisions for themselves and their families and not to needlessly forego public benefits to which they are entitled. We have also engaged with the press. participated in press conferences with our partners at the New York Immigration Coalition and the Asian American Federation and coordinated media appearances

24

25

with local media outlets, including WNYC, 1010 Wins, Pix 11, Univision, and New York One. MOOIA in partnership with city agencies is also involved in planning for mitigation of the harms of the final rule if it were to ever go into effect. Specifically, we're in discussions with various partners about how to ensure that New Yorkers will still be able to get the help that they need even if the rule prevents them from accessing certain public benefits or creates fear and concern. The city is committed to serving everyone regardless of status and eligibility as city services and benefits have not changed. As just one example, all patients are welcome at New York City Health and Hospitals regardless of status or ability to pay. Through H&H and NYC Care we're ensuring that even those without insurance have access to affordable health care they Similarly, the city is in close conversation with those community-based organizations who provide emergency assistance to those in crises. colleagues at DSS, for example, have been engaged with emergency food assistance providers to ensure that we understand the current need and can keep abreast of any concerning trends. We will continue

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

to monitor the impact of public charge and are prepared to support our communities as needed. Moving now to the preconsidered bills, we are very grateful that we have been able to work closely with the council on public charge and so many other attacks on our immigrant communities. We look forward to continuing to work we you as we contend with the fear and confusion already created in the communities that we both serve. I want to say at the outset that our goal as a city has always been to address the fear and misinformation circulating about public charge. One of the most devastating aspects of this rule out is how much it has harmed people who are not even named or subject to it. Our overriding goal is to ensure that we as a city are not feeding the false narratives of the Trump administration and the way that it wants immigrants to buy into it. want our communities to access the services that they need and be empowered to make the right decisions for themselves and their families. We certainly support the intent of the bills to ensure that New Yorkers are armed with the information and the resources that they need in this difficult time and, as noted above, we are working closely with our partners at DSS, DHS,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

HPD, NYCHA, and others to ensure that relevant staff understand the scope of the rule and how it will affect the populations that they serve. In addition, we have engaged those partners on how they should and can refer people to immigration legal support. Relatedly, we are developing information to be shared with DOE in multiple languages in order to inform parents and families about the public charge final rule and how to seek legal assistance to understand the, how the rule may or may not affect them. As we found in our survey on public charge, the most effective messaging we can share at this time is how people can seek legal advice. MOOIA has also been working since the proposed rule was published to ensure that our hotline would be able to address, as I noted, any influx or change in calls. includes adding our staff to provide crucial and immediate screening for callers. We also partnered, as I said, with the Legal Aid Society and the New York Legal Assistance Group in addition to our primary ActionNYC, Catholic Charities, to ensure our availability of urgent legal consultations for those who need it. ActionNYC is really the best referral to make for people unsure of how the rule may affect

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

them or may not. We look forward to working with the council on these bills to ensure that we're providing links to invaluable information on public charge and its effects without stoking the misinformation circulating in our communities. We understand that this rule is complicated and frightening. Many of our immigrant families are concerned about how this final rule will affect them. And I want to end today's testimony by reiterating that the rule is not yet in effect. It does not apply to all immigrants, nor does it apply to all immigrant applications. doesn't apply to those seeking citizenship. Many categories are exempted. I urge all who have questions and concerns to please get legal advice. You can call 311 or call 1-800-354-0365 and say public charge to be connected with free and safe legal guidance to make an informed and empowered decision for you or your loved ones. The Trump administration's idea of who deserves to be here is based on a racist vision of a white and rich American, a vision that is out of touch with American principles and with the reality of how the contributions of immigrants to this country improve the lives of all of us. We will do everything in our

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

colleague.

power to making sure that idea does not become a reality, and again I want to thank the chair for your commitment and your vision in working alongside us and the communities that we both serve to ensure that we're doing as effective, efficient, and timely a job as we can, and thank all the members of the committee. Really, I think the council has been tremendous and members themselves even individually owning how critical this is, submitting that speak to personal family experiences and community experiences. I think this is really emblematic of how much something like this touches on the lives of so many people and so much that we we're fighting for here in our city. So thank you so much for allowing me to testify, and I'm going to turn it to my

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you, Commissioner.

ADMINISTRATOR BONILLA: Good afternoon.

Thank you, Chair Menchaca and members of the immigration committee for giving us the opportunity to testify today. My name is Grace Bonilla and I'm the administrator of the New York City Human Resources Administration. I want to thank

25

Office of Civil Justice, including the extraordinary

investment and legal services to fund anti-eviction
legal services and antiharassment and tenant
protection. Let me take a moment to talk about the
few, a few of OCJ's programs that serve immigrant New
Yorkers. The Immigrant Opportunity Initiative, IOI,
is a network of nonprofit legal providers and
community-based organizations who conduct outreach in
immigrant communities across the city and provide
legal assistance to low-income immigrant New Yorkers
in matters ranging from citizenship and lawful
permanent residency application to more complex
immigrant matters, including asylum application and
removal defense work. ActionNYC is operated jointly
by the Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs, HRA, and
City University of New York and implemented in
collaboration with over 20 community-based
organizations and legal services providers across the
five boroughs. Additional programs include community
service block ground programs, the New York Immigrant
Family Unity Project, and the Immigrant Child
Advocate Relief Effort, ICARE, Unaccompanied Minor
and Family Initiative. More details about these
programs as well as IDNYC and New York citizenship
can be found in my written testimony, as well as

have not used a covered benefit. This may change how

closely the federal government scrutinizes factors

24

25

24

25

such as individuals' age, education, employment history, income, assets, and health conditions, among other factors, when determining whether an immigrant is likely to become a public charge. Because of this we are urging any New Yorker who has questions about how the final rule might affect them to seek legal assistance. HRA and DHS frontline staff have been made aware of the rule through official communications from Commissioner Banks and training has been conducted to ensure staff refers clients to the informative flyer created by our colleagues at the Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs, which provides information how to contact ActionNYC. flyer is being made available at all HRA and DHS client facing locations. All staff have been told to refer any client with questions about the impact of the receipt of benefits to ActionNYC. Through these referrals to ActionNYC clients can speak with trained professionals and ask questions about how accessing public benefits may or may not impact the immigrant There continues to be information on our status. internal website about legal service referrals for immigrants so that the entirety of our staff may easily access the information and make appropriate

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

referrals to those free and anonymous legal resources. Lastly, Access HRA continues to provide information on immigration legal services for everyone who has questions, which will reach those New Yorkers who are conducting business with us online and not coming into our centers or seeking information about applying for benefits for the first time. As of June 2019, SNAP has helped 1.5 million New Yorkers, or nearly 20% of the city's population by putting food on the table and feeding their families. This includes approximately 200,000 eligible noncitizens. To understand the impact of the federal government proposed rule HRA conducted an analysis of SNAP enrollment earlier this year. analysis looked at our year-to-year change between elbow US citizens and noncitizens. In the last two years since news and media outlets first began reporting of potential changes to the public charge rule noncitizens who are eligible for lawfully receiving SNAP benefits have either, ah, have either chosen not to continue with the program at a higher rate than US citizens. This is particularly concerning as each of these New Yorkers are lawfully receiving SNAP benefits. We look forward to working

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

with the sponsors of the two bills that impact HRA. We stand in a position of great responsibility to provide real time factual information about what public charge is and what it is not, as well as who it will impact. HRA support providing all clients with information about the assistance available to This legislation would require DSS to share a notice by mail or email about the availability of emergency food programs to all SNAP recipients who choose to disenroll on or after June 1, 2016, to those currently receiving SNAP and when they recertify. We welcome the proposal from the council, but we want to make it clear that this information would be provided to all SNAP recipients irrespective of public charge and to prevent any targeting of any client the receipt of such information is not being provided because a recipient is impacted by public This approach will enable us to reach a charge. broader group of clients and protect those who may believe that they are impacted by public charge. Again, we remind all New Yorkers who have questions about public charge to consult with an accredited trusted legal provider to discuss their individual circumstances. We support the intent of the bills

ears to the ground and to provide accurate, useful

While the

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

questions.

1

final rule has not gone into effect, this policy direction will harm New Yorkers, not only those who may be directly impacted by the rule, but also through the chilling effect among those to whom the rule does not apply, but who changed their behavior in favor of adverse, in fear of adverse immigration consequences. New York City is a proud city of immigrants and we will do everything we can to prevent the Trump administration's proposed harmful actions on public charge from going into effect. are committed to ensuring all New Yorkers, including immigrants, have access to the services they need. Thank you again for the opportunity to testify before

Thank you, both of CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: you, for, ah, a robust testimony, set of testimony, and I know Mr. Keeley is also here to answer any questions, and I'm going to ask council members of the bills to hold their statements, ah, because we have a lot of questions that we want to get through and I hope there are no time limits on your side, but we want to get through as many questions as we can.

the council today and we look forward to your

2.2

So I'm going to start with a few questions and then
I'm going to hand it over for a few minutes to the
authors of the bills to ask questions about their
bills and then we'll move forward. Thank you. Ah,
so, Commissioner, has there been a documented
increase in the number of calls to ActionNYC related

8 to public charge since the final rule published in

9 August of 2019?

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Sure, so we're currently working with our, our partners at Catholic Charities who operate our catholic, our ActionNYC hotline to monitor our call, call volume. We have seen an, an increase in calls, um, generally as we did last fall, um, when the proposal was first issued.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: We saw a spike in the fall.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: We did.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: In November we kind of heard that, and then, so you were saying that we're going to learn more from the partners soon about any spike?

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Yeah, we, as I've said, we have seen an increase in calls generally.

## COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

2.2

2 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Oh, we already 3 have? OK.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Yup, um, and, ah, one of the goals in the upcoming hot phone bank is to kind of more broadly share the word, as we saw last time. We saw a huge increase on the day of the phone bank and then that, ah, resulted in increased awareness and then kind of continued increase in the number of calls.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: So ActionNYC is going to be very important here in the strategy, correct? So you want to get a lot of understanding about ActionNYC?

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Yup.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: So how many languages can the ActionNYC hotline support?

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: The hotline can support over 150, up to 200, I believe, languages through, um, we have certainly, ah, individuals to speak multiple languages answering calls, but can provide interpretation services in up to 200 languages.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: What proportion of callers are contacting ActionNYC in a language other than English and what are those languages?

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: I don't have the breakdown for you today. I can say generally speaking a large proportion, um, call, ah, caller, a large proportion of our callers speak Spanish, um, and also English, and a smaller number of those sums speak different languages.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: How long on average do callers who speak languages other than English have to wait to speak to someone with their language capability?

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Um, I don't, again, I don't have the breakdown on the languages, but we can get back to you on that specifically. We have not, ah, it has not been reported to us that people have to wait for long periods to speak to somebody, um, nor has it been reported since we've operated the hotline that there's been challenges in accessing an interpreter for specific languages. So I don't know of any. Usually know if there is an issue [laughs], I don't know of there being an issue.

1	COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 40
2	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Well, and I guess
3	what we're trying to get to is, is there data
4	COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Yup.
5	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: That can get
6	pulled.
7	COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Yeah.
8	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And what we're
9	asking for is not just the breakdown of languages,
10	but the time in which it takes for someone to call,
11	ah, and get someone.
12	COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Sure.
13	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And you'll have,
14	you have that data, it's out there?
15	COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: We definitely have
16	the number of languages. We record that.
17	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: But not the time.
18	COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: And we can
19	indicate based on conversations with our providers if
20	time has been an issue in terms of needing to wait
21	for the service.
22	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: OK. We'll follow
23	up on that.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Sure.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2	CHAIRPERSO	ON .	MENCHACA:	What	is	the

protocol when there are no staff able to provide interpretation in a language spoken by the caller? 4

5 COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: As I said, that is 6 not something that has arisen, it is not a challenge 7 that we...

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Which is different from protocol, so I'm looking for protocol.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Sure.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: What is, what happens, what internally has to happen?

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Any issue that arises, that or another one, we have regular reporting and communication with our, ah, provider with Catholic Charities and they let us know if they have a challenge and any issue and then we work with them to resolve it. That might mean identifying additional interpretation or translation services. It might be something totally different. But the protocol in general is if any issue arises that could include we were unable to access interpretation in this language. That gets elevated to our project manager, who works closely with the hotline so that we can resolve it.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: OK.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: And we have a number of ways of resolving things like that, right? CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Yeah, that's what we want to...

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: I want to just

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Sure.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: This isn't like is ActionNYC broken, it's...

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Yeah, yeah, no, I understand. I think, the simplest answer is it gets escalated to us if there is any challenge, including if they were for some reason unable to access...

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: It's more of a flag, it's a flag protocol, so that, it's a protocol to flag any issues and then you kind of address them as you get them.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Yeah, and then if it's, and if it's a language-specific issue we work with our language services team to either work directly with the vendor in accessing an individual

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

1	COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 43
2	or identifying separately somebody who can provide
3	the translation support or service to the individual.
4	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: OK, we'll follow
5	up on that.
6	COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Sure.
7	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: What are the hours
8	of operation for ActionNYC?
9	COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Um, ActionNYC, the
10	hotline itself, is available from Monday to Friday
11	from 9 to 6. And we have a partnership with 311, so
12	311 is able to receive a call, give basic
13	information, and the information for hotline
14	availability to a caller if they call outside of
15	those time periods.
16	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Got it. And were
17	the hours extended from, ah, were the hours extended
18	when the proposed rule was published in 2018?

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: It was not.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: OK. Were the hours extended after the final rule was published? Well, was it extended after last month's rule?

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: It was not.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: OK.

25

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.2

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: In both instances

what we did, as I noted, was we worked with a number

of partners, including the ONA hotline, um, who had

6 or provide service there. Um, we...

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And that's the 311 transfer?

slightly extended hours to be able to transfer calls

Support that. Um, 311 is equipped with scripts to be able to give people on information when they could and couldn't call, and we did the phone banks, and the phone banks intentionally operate outside of normal hours, ah, to be able to reach New Yorkers who, you know, use that opportunity with that sort of increased partnership with Univison in particular to get the word out so that people can call in.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: I'm going to pause here for ActionNYC questions. I'm going to hand it over to Council Member, Rivera is not here, Moya, for questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: There's a [dem ] conference happening to someone that we're going to go through [inaudible].

2	COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Thank you so much,
3	Chairman. Thank you, of course to the panel for
4	being here and the support that's been given. Ah,
5	just in the preconsidered intro that I've put in,
6	which requires the dissemination of information about
7	the city's funded emergency room food program, under
8	what circumstances does the Department of Social
9	Services share information about emergency food
10	program and food pantries across the city?
11	COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Sure. Thank you
12	for your question. So normally today any, ah, anyone

for your question. So normally today any, ah, anyone who wants to have access to an ICA provider can call 311, ah, provide their information address and 311 will give them their local food pantry.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: And is, um, I'm sorry, is this information, ah, available on the DSS website?

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: It is, our information is on the website.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: OK. And is it searchable by location of the individual?

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: I have to remember how it's searchable, but all of our pantries are there.

2.2

we know in this administration released the fact sheet on SNAP enrollment trends in New York City, ah, the fact sheet showed shrinking SNAP caseloads among noncitizens, ah, noncitizen New Yorkers, beginning in 2017. In light of this data, what investments has the administration made, ah, to the city's, ah, emergency food program?

that in partnership with the City Council we've already made a number of investments in the ICA program, ah, really unprecedented investments in the ICA program. We stay in touch with our ICA providers to ensure that they have enough for the communities that they serve. As a by-product of the public charge for the last 18 months we ensure that anything that comes out in the news is an impact on ICA and we haven't seen such an impact.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: OK. And has the administration provided information on, about public charge and SNAP enrollments to the emergency food providers?

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: So, ah, it is our practice that any time we put out any information all

2.2

of our providers will receive it as well, so not just our ICA providers, our HASA providers, our employment vendors, all of them will receive information on public charge and have received information on public

6 charge.

ADMINISTRATOR BONILLA: I will add to that, that we did a series of, um, briefings with stakeholders that work with different populations that we believe would be impacted, including health, food safety, immigration, of which invitations went out to these same providers. So we've been in regular contact with folks since the proposed rule, including offering in person, ah, briefings for the providers that we work with.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Great. And as you're collecting the data on how many immigrants are using SNAP and other government programs, has it been declining or has it grown?

ADMINISTRATOR BONILLA: So we, as the chair mentioned, we did, and the commissioner mentioned, we did put out some information in June about those trends. Ah, we did see something that was very alarming, which is that noncitizens are, ah, disenrolling, not disenrolling but not recertifying

something that we track.

2.2

for SNAP at a higher rate than noncitizens, but I have to say that when we look at trends across the many years, including during the Obama administration, there has been, ah, steady decline of SNAP usage, mainly because of the economy. So it is

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: So you're seeing that they're moving back or they're just...

ADMINISTRATOR BONILLA: There has been a steady decline of the caseload on SNAP since Obama, the Obama years, right? So we can't particularly say that the decline is due to the, this administration, ah, it wasn't until we desegregated the data that we saw there was concerning trend among noncitizens.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Yeah, I think to put a finer note on it as well, so, you know, in general the overall caseload the administrator is speaking to positively, right, a decline in people needing and/or choosing to use SNAP and obvious that correlates with economic growth, right?

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Right, OK.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: And notably, and I think this is really key, especially as we talk publicly about why this is such a horrendous

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

proposal, people don't elect to participate in public benefits programs like SNAP unless they absolutely need to. Right? I practiced immigrant law for a very long time, over a decade. The clients who were participating in these programs were maybe somebody who is a domestic violence survivor and is in immediate need of assistance to get back on their feet, or somebody who's transitioning, ah, jobs in a difficult position or time in their lives, and so I think what is critically important is you've seen an overall decline in participation because there's economic growth, which means people don't need it and they are not electing to go after it or use it. we've highlighted and noted and we think is important for purposes of our outreach and engagement with communities to understand what this is, is that the disparity between citizens and noncitizens and their choices, choice to recertify has dramatically increased. So, ah, we know that the recertification kind of rate, if you will, for noncitizens to city's was about the same in the calendar year of 2016 to 2017, but we saw it grow dramatically in disparity in 17 to 18 and 18 to 19. By 18 to 19 there was an 8% difference, when two years ago it was almost the

2.2

2 same. So when we're looking at why that is happening

3 | we don't have the exact pinpoint of why people are

4 choosing to do it, but we recognize that that's when

5 really drafts of public charge came out and the

6 increased immigration enforcement and so forth.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Great. Thank you so much. Thank you, Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you, Council Member Moya. Ah, questions from sponsor Cabrera first. No statement. Questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Thank you, Mr.

Chair. I appreciate you holding this hearing. I

just have a few questions with regards to my

preconsidered intro. Does DSS, HRA currently have a

unit that they believe will be best suited to

response to the questions related to, ah, benefits

modification and if so which one?

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: I'll start, if
that's OK, Council Member, and to say a few things,
one is that in partnership with all of you and many
of the providers that are in the room today, you
know, for the last year we've really tried to
understand how do we best combat this, right? How do
we ensure that as we know the greatest impact here is

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

going to be people who really aren't going to be impacted at all, but because of the fear and the confusion and the concern are going to choose to disenroll and there's going to be this tremendous chilling effect, and in speaking to community members directly and talking to some, many of the providers in this room and the ones that we work with regardless on this, the overarching challenge that we have as a city is to prevent that chilling, and our goals jointly have been to ensure that everybody that needs public benefits is getting it, that nobody is left hungry or without housing or without, ah, health needs that they have and that we're moving towards addressing that as a whole. So what we, what we've learned very directly around public charge is that, ah, the thing that gives people the greatest confidence in not choosing to withdraw or forego those benefits is getting good immigration legal advice. That's true both in terms of our partnership and work with community members and providers, as well as through research that we conducted. And what we've tried to balance is that anything that we set up as an administration, any step that we take forward, is one that continues to encourage the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

utilization of the benefits, but directs people to that immigration legal advice when needed or if necessary, and I think that's really our goal in working with you guys around this is to keep that at the center and core of how we, ah, respond to and address what public charge is doing, and I'll turn to the administrator for that.

ADMINISTRATOR BONILLA: Sure, so we don't have such a unit and I think such a unit, as the Commissioner is pointing out, would not only go against everything this administration has tried to do to really increase access and create a welcoming environment at HRA, it's been something that we are committed as part of our core values, a unit that would create an atmosphere of like there's a reason why you shouldn't enroll, ah, it would not help us in that, in that effort. Ah, and additionally, like it's already been stated, if you're eligible for SNAP there's a high likelihood that this rule will not affect you. And that is the message that we need keep making sure our communities are hearing. And if there is any concern about that impact the best place that you could go to is a legal provider that could look at your very specific issue and the makeup of

your family so that you can make the most educated decision for your family as possible.

the best way to move forward, I appreciate this dialogue, is to refrain how we look at that unit, rather than, ah, you know, have the prospected to discourage people from receiving benefits is that they get the correct information, especially, we live in a generation where people are looking for information that comes with precision, that is accurate, ah, that also brings that level of comfort. Look, my, my wife is a first-generation immigrant, came from Mexico, and I know all the fears that her and her family went through and there is something,

17 honestly truth very fearful, ah, and then there is

you know, about a big humongous agency that is to be

19 where people feel safe. I think that that would make

something to be said about having to brand a unit

your agency just so much efficient, effective, and impactful. It's something to think about in terms of

the framing.

appreciate the intent by which this, ah, this was

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: So I absolutely

introduced. We believe that we have the best model

2.2

like this.

possible today. Ah, when someone walks into any one of our offices to apply, um, our, what we do is we ensure that if there is any issue, if there is any concern, any doubt, that we're directing them to the people that are most appropriate, as you're stating, to, to answer those questions, and those are the providers that we've invested a great deal of resources in just to be able to respond to moments

ah, that the advocates, ah, who are in the very frontline and we appreciate all the work that they do, ah, did see a tremendous benefit of having a unit, ah, and it's not to take away all the wonderful work that you do, again, ah, but there are out people out there who are very much apprehensive and scared, ah, because they came from a government, many alone, where basically you don't trust the government, mainly the countries they came from. So they, they're coming now with that level experience and, ah, so, something to think about. Let's come to the end of the dialogue, appreciate, you know, let's stay open-minded about, you know, where we could go the next step with this. I want to ask you one more

2.2

question. Mr. Chair, can I ask one more question? Is that OK?

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: I want you take as much time as you need because this bill is very important.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: OK, thank you so much, really appreciate it, ah, to the chair. Has HRA or MOOIA ever produced a guide or a written guidance for community-based organizations about public benefit, ah, benefits, and have you historically partnered with CBOs to create guides on use in HRA services?

number of guides around our services and how to use them. I think that the best example that we have about our incredible partnership with our community-based organizations is the work that we've done around Access HRA. Ah, every time I go out into the community, ah, to talk to our providers, the feedback that I get is that having that tool by way of helping community members in a safe, reliable space that's smaller than a large agency has been a game changer for them, ah, and that is the tool that we continue to use to make sure that providers understand how to

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

apply for our benefits and assist the clients that we
mutually serve in applying for those benefits.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: I'll add a couple of things to that, which is to say, um, two things. One is to say that we have in working closely with you all and advocates and others really tried to, as I said, strike that right balance on this, right, and I think we're very open to continuing our conversation and make sure that we're at least speaking the same language about what would be most useful or what you have in mind or with the right advocate, advocacy we've spoken to, to understand kind of more specifically what people are interested But I think directly echoing what you said, of course sometimes there might be as much as we all try to put our friendly city government faces on it, there might be concern interacting with city government. Frankly, our frontline staff at our agencies are not and will not be, in speaking candidly, the best qualified, nor is it fair to them to expect that they are most qualified in speaking about immigration law, right? Immigration practitioners who have practiced immigration law for long periods of time, this is complicated. We're

2.2

actually working with experts to develop the training necessary for the existing practitioners to be able to be effectively responsible to this. We don't want to give bad information. We don't want to share bad information with anybody. We know that the best way to do that is to hyper focus on the intersection of immigration law with people who are using public benefits and making sure that people are actually speaking to the immigrant lawyers to get that advice and counsel and that we're not having staff that's not an expert in this area give bad advice.

Secondly, I would say that the...

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Can I stop you there? Because maybe I'm, what I'm hearing is the, that the hesitation and understandably so, if this is the case, that what you're lacking then is people with expertise to be able to handle these type of cases?

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: I don't think we lack it. I think we're investing in it in the right place, which is the immigration legal services providers that we work with, um, we're making sure that people who have those questions as their seeking to utilize public benefits are getting the advice

much for the question.

there, where it's rightly positioned. The second
thing that I would add is that the provider
community, Legal Aid Society, and others have been
working to develop a tool that can assist those that
do public benefits administration, other stakeholders
and navigators in the community that do that work,
um, to see if there is a flag around public charge
for the individual so that they can direct them
accordingly, and again these are experts, the
immigration lawyers, who also are our experts in
public benefits who are helping to developing this
kind of tools to think about what's most effective as
if the rule ever goes into effect.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: My last question, thank you so much for your responding.

What is being done to communicate that, ah, being done to communities that do not have digital access?

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Thank you very

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Smart phones, computers.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Yup, yup, very important. Thank you for the question. Ah, a few different things. So one is insuring that we're

## COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

2	working closely with the press community and ethnic
3	media included, the phone bank being an example which
4	will be televised, ah, so, ah, you can watch it from
5	TV, if you watch Univision, etc. Ah, making sure
۵	that

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: It's not going to be there.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Sorry?

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: I'm going to be at the forum.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Excellent.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Univision.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: I'm very happy for that.

16 COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: [inaudible]

also.

2.2

everybody's participation. Um, and, ah, making sure that we're using the medium that people are receiving information through in different languages and with different, um, ah, community, ah, papers or television providers, etc. Um, the second is on the ground, so working with our own community partners that we work with. As I've said, we've done number

I've been

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

to new ideas, but those are some of the ways that

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

we've been on the ground and making sure that those who don't have the digital access are still getting the information.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: And that, ah, appreciate, ah, the work that Univision does and going to be doing and everything that you mentioned, is that at the same level in all of the people groups? For example, I chaired the government operations and one of the people groups they're, sometimes they're not getting equal services is the Asian community.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Yup.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: And so I, I'm just curious as to everything that you mentioned, um, just previously here in the last couple of minutes, does that transcend into the Asian community at the same levels, for example, and the Latino X community?

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Yeah, it just looks different. It's a great question, and it just looks different. So the Asian American Federation has been a great leader on this. They've held in partnership with us and ones in which we joined multiple community and I think media round tables, having, ah, not only inviting press that, um,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

represent different Asian community outlets, but also ensuring that there are providers that speak different languages presenting on the issue there. Um, we have, because we've seen an increased concern, particularly amongst Asian populations, made sure that we've actually translated our materials in more languages, um, and are, if something isn't available, if people visit nyc.gov/public charge and see something missing or would like something translated into a different language just let us know so that we can ensure that we're doing that. Um, we also engaged broadly with different providers, ah, press outlets and providers ourselves in ensuring that we're sharing this information out and when we do the on the ground community engagement, of course, that's where we're looking at sort of the diversity across all five boroughs in different communities.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Thank you so much. I'm looking forward to having an offline conversation and Mr. Chair, thank you for allowing this vast amount of time.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Well, this is important, and I have some follow-ups on your bill.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

I think what's important here is that we clarify the step process...

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Between the community, nonprofit, ActionNYC, and HRA, and I think how I'm understanding it, and this is where I want clarity from, from the admin, is that we're not asking HRA to do any legal advice whatsoever. we're saying is there is going to be a moment after, ah, a New Yorker goes to a nonprofit that then refers them to ActionNYC and a lawyer will review the case. They're going to have to make some decisions. of them will be needing to modify, they make modifications on their benefits. At that point this is where the bill becomes incredibly important to build out a unit within HRA to handle that flow of cases so that the nonprofit can then speak to someone dedicated, trained, and being able to with, ah, competence and training engage that modification and that process, and I think that's where we're dedicated, and look, this has been an ongoing conversation in general. We're not just talking about immigrants. We're trying to make HRA even better. It's the largest organization of its kind.

It's an incredible organization. It feeds and does
so much to the communities that we represent and, and
so that's what's we're trying to talk about. And so
I'm not sure that we, we got that flow and so I want
you to maybe respond to that. This is not about
legal service, ah, legal services at the HRA front.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Sure.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: This is about after someone has already spoken to a lawyer.

They're going to have to, they may have to modify something at HRA. That's where this bill comes in.

That unit makes that happen with focus.

ADMINISTRATOR BONILLA: So I have to say that that work already takes place.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Well tell us about it. I had...

ADMINISTRATOR BONILLA: So absolutely.

So there are a number of ways that someone can disenroll from our benefits. Ah, if you are on Access HRA you could actually do it right on Access HRA and say that you no longer want those benefits.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: You're saying

24 online?

ADMINISTRATOR BUNILLA: You could do it
online through Access HRA if you're disenrolling from
SNAP benefits. Ah, if you want to come in because
even though we tell many people there's no need to
come in, they want to come in. They want to speak to
someone who is, has some level of authority over
their case in their mind. Our offices are open.
People come in. They let us know they want to
disenroll. If you want to do it by mail that also
takes place. So there are many avenues currently
where the public at large can disenroll. Um, I
appreciate the intent of this bill and try to make
sure that we're hyper focused on this community. We
honestly believe that we are. And disenrolling, that
is the easiest thing. The hard thing here is sending
the message that the majority of people that are on
SNAP are probably never going to be affected by this
bill, right? So that's what I would hope that we
together can partner to make sure that we're sending
that message.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Well, and we're sending that message. We're definitely sending that message clearly. Commissioner, do you want to respond before I?

2.2

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Yeah, I guess also, um, the scenario that you're describing maybe isn't also clear or crystalized for us, ah, maybe that that's something that we can continue to have a conversation around offline?

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Yeah, let's get this, let's get this clear.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Going to get this clear now.

try. But, again, in terms of like what, what is going to impact your immigration case if you're talking about the enumerated benefits, you're talking about primarily in terms of the changed SNAP, right? And so as Administrator Bonilla described, the modification is that you're choosing not to recertify or you're choosing to disenroll, and I think what we, what we know is there's a lot of entry points and ability for people to choose to not recertify or to disenroll from one of these benefits. That already exists. It exists in person and it exists online and our hyper focus is to make sure that if you have questions or you raise questions about the impact on

2.2

immigrant status that we're directing you the other
way, which doesn't seem to be what you guys are

4 focused on here.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: No, 'cause everyone is getting sent to the robust legal services provider infrastructure that we've been building over many, many years now and I guess what we're trying to get to is, is that moment where HRA, well, and does HRA provide a guide to community, ah, service organizations on how to do what we're talking about, disenrolling and engaging in a modification of benefits. Does that exist?

ADMINISTRATOR BONILLA: It's a conversation that we have with our providers in the event that a client no longer wants our benefits, these are the enumerated ways that they can contact us to close their benefits. Ah, the other thing that I would not want to do, especially in this climate, is to have an office specifically for immigrants. That is not how we look at our services [crosstalk].

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: I don't think that's what we're doing, right? I don't know.

Council Member Cabrera?

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Absolutely not.

And part of the issue here, Mr. Chairman, ah, is
that, as I understand it, is digitized, right? You go
to an HRA center, it's digitized and it's in
English. Ah, what we're hoping is, ah, one-to-one
contact, ah, with a person who is culturally
sensitive, ah, who would be able to speak the
language, and have the environment where people, you
know, feel safe, which is the, I think that's the
branding. We're not looking for that branding you're
talking about [chuckles]. This type of branding I
think will be very welcoming and, ah, and I think
productive. Mr. Chairman, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you. Ah,

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you. Ah we'll keep talking.

ADMINISTRATOR BONILLA: Sure.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: I think we made our points clear. Ah, does ActionNYC need additional resources such as funding, staff, interpretation, or equipment?

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Um, we are monitoring ActionNYC, as I said, at this moment in time we're not outside of concern in terms of the hotline. What we did do, and this has been in

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

anticipation of this and in response to what we were seeing in terms of callers and being efficient in terms of triaging was we added, ah, immigration counselors to the hotline, so it's not just people who can, um, incredibly assist in making appointments and helping figure out if that's what you need, but also helping to answer some of your questions immediately without taking an appointment if you don't need one. So that's huge and that's already in place and we've been growing that capacity. Um, the additional thing is, ah, we worked closely with the Robin Hood Foundation and now Legal Aid Society and New York Legal Assistance Group in thinking through what might be a spike that we see at this particular juncture where there's an awful lot of initial questions and maybe immediately after a rule, if it ever goes into effect, um, and just making sure that, again, if there's an urgency in a particular matter, a particular case, ah, that we can address it in a timely fashion and so there is increased capacity now with the support of the Robin Hood Foundation with those providers for us to be able to triage that. we feel good with what we have set up now. But we're going to continue to monitor it and ensure that we're

## COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

2.2

2 addressing the needs and that there isn't an
3 outstanding one.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: OK. And we'll be in open conversations about that, just so that we can ensure that we can be supportive of that.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Great.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Ah, this kind of begs a series of questions around ActionNYC and the process itself. You're adding kind of pieces to make it better, and so we have a series of questions that kind of get us on record about how it works. And if someone calls the hotline, ActionNYC hotline, with a question about public charge, are they immediately connected to someone or must they leave a voice mail?

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: If they call during the operating hours they're connected with somebody.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Immediately connected to someone?

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: OK, and if they must leave a voicemail for some reason, like calling outside the hours, how long do they wait to speak to someone?

1	COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 71
2	COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: They're advised if
3	they call to call back during the hours.
4	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: So no voicemail
5	COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: No.
6	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Callback.
7	COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Yes.
8	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And that happens
9	in English, in Spanish, and all languages? How does
LO	that
L1	COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: In multiple
L2	languages.
L3	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: How many
L4	languages?
L5	COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: I think it's
L6	three, but I want to confirm and get back to you.
L7	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: OK, get back to us
L8	on that.
L9	COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Yeah.
20	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Um, is the person
21	they speak to prepared to do a preliminary screening
22	related to public charge at that moment of that firs
23	call?

have the immigration counselors used.

24

25

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: That's where we

public charge?

2.2

2 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: That's where they 3 come in.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: It's a public charge flag. They can come in and do that screening.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: After they say

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Yeah, I mean, they're going to ask the person why they, you know, what do they need, and if it's, if they're just offering that they need an immigrant appointment, right, we're not going to question them, we're going to give them that appointment. But if they are indicating to us that there's a public benefits question or public charge-specific question we'll ensure that they can get that screening on the phone.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: So an attorney is connected immediately after either they say I need an attorney, great, we'll set you up with one, or a screening of sort happens and they say, you know, I think you need to talk to a lawyer.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Let's connect you.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: And the counselor is available then to be able to speak with them.

<del>ا</del> ک

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: So it's essentially immediately after that first call and a

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Yup.

connection has been around public charge.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: OK. How long does it typically take from the initial call to connect with an attorney, to get connected to an attorney?

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: It depends. Um, it depends on, you know, people are asked, ah, try to identify the urgency of a particular matter or if it's, um, you know, if I want to go in my borough, um, or I'm willing to travel, so it depends on, ah, a number of things. If people are, you know, happy kind of waiting because they want to go to the provider that's immediately in their community they might wait a few extra weeks. If they want to go to, ah, something more immediate, even if our hotline doesn't immediately have the availability but there's urgency we work with the provider to get the person fit in right away.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: So I'm looking for a timeline and range of time. So I get the options here, so.

2.2

		COMMISS	SIONER	MOSTOFI:	Ιt	depends,	yeah,
	1 .	angwer	1 ,	<del>-</del>			

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: What is your data, is there like typically, um, people are asking for a borough and it takes this amount of time?

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: We release new appointments every week.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: OK.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Right, so...

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: There's no data, so this is all kind of like this is the process, I kind of want information.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: We have, no, no, no, we do. We have some data and we can share it with you. But what I'm trying to say is if you tell me if I want an appointment...

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: No, I think it depends.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: In Brooklyn, right, it might look different than if ...

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Totally. But I still after these questions have no idea whether it takes a month in the borough and two months outside,

2.2

like I have no sense of that range. That's what I'm looking for.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: And we can get back to you in terms of the ranges.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Awesome. Thank you. Is there any reporting after a connection is made with an attorney to track the status of that individual's case, and if yes please state in which publicly report, this information, is available in, if there is one.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Um, we don't track the status of the individual who called our, um, providers have systems in which they're inputting, ah, first sort of what happens with each caller, right, so an appointment was made or information was given, etc. Ah, and then the service provider for whom the transfer, the appointment was made is the one that has the case and is tracking the specificity of the individual appointment.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: OK. Ah, no tracking, but your providers have a sense of that?

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: We're tracking why

people are calling and if an appointment was made,

1	COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 76
2	but not the detailed of your name, right? So that's
3	not being, ah, tracked. That gets tracked when
4	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Does ONA do that?
5	COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI:the case gets
6	opened up for you at a particular provider's
7	location.
8	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Yeah, knowing
9	that, that gives us some data, which is, which is
LO	important.
L1	COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Yup, yup.
L2	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: It's the tracking
L3	and the status and ensuring [inaudible] someone's
L4	going to close the case.
L5	COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Yup.
L6	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Um, is there
L7	anybody else that does that, like ONA or any hotline
L8	that kind of track the status?
L9	COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: That
20	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Well, Catholic
21	Charities is going to be [inaudible]
22	COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Catholic Charities
23	is here.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: So I'll talk to

24

25

them, I'll talk to them.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: So I'm sure you can ask them specific ONA questions.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: We will, we will ask them. Sorry about that.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Sure, no.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: I have one more set of questions, but I want to bring you back to, ah, our Council Member Levin, who has a preconsidered reso. Questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you, Mr.

Chair. Thank you, Commissioner, Administrator. I

just wanted to talk for a second about, um, ah, kind

of how you're going to continue to evaluate, um, ah,

the impact of what the public charge rule could have.

Um, so first off, in terms of economic impact to the

city, um, have we done an assessment or you've done

an assessment around what you think the direct

economic impact will be and then the indirect

economic impact will be? And you could speak to that

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Sure. Yeah, thank

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Sure. Yeah, thank you for the question. Um, so, ah, when the NPRM or the proposed rule was issued last fall we did conduct an analysis in partnership with our Office for Economic Opportunity and our colleagues at DSS to

2.2

look at impact, and I would note that the final rule

3 which was just published less than a month ago is

4 over 800 pages. There's a lot of open questions

5 around its application...

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Right.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: ...and what it will actually look like in practice, um, and so we don't have updated numbers yet. But at that time we estimated that hundreds of thousands of New Yorkers could be impacted, with particular emphasis on the chilling effect of New Yorkers who might think they're impacted or have fear or confusion and choose to withdraw. We not only looked at people but also economic activity and we estimated that at least 420 million dollars in economic losses to New York City could be seen.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Annually, or?

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Yes, annually, um, and, ah, again this was based on the proposed rule and some preliminary analysis that we looked at.

Notably, we did present, um, on our findings to the federal government's OMB office.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Um, I should probably know this, but do we have a sense of what

2.2

percentage of our overall city's economy that is? I
can look that up.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Um, oh, gosh, I'm really not the economics person in the room. Um, it is, I don't think it's a huge percentage of the city's overall economy. What I would say, though...

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Even if it, even it's a half a percent or a percent.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Yeah.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: That's serous.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Yeah, yeah. We based this analysis on estimates of, of how many, what percentage of people might choose to forego, for example, SNAP assistance.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Um-hmm.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: So it's, again, narrow and even despite it being that narrow you're talking about not just the, ah, direct cost of the SNAP benefit itself, but the economic multiplier in our small businesses and our grocery stores and our communities, right?

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Of course.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: And all of that has, has an impact, right? It matters both in terms

2.2

of individual families, but also the economic activity of the city.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Um-hmm. Um, ah, how, how are we creating, um, now the structure of monitoring, ah, what kind of impact this will have over at HRA or DSS, in terms of how we're kind of week to week and as granular as possible, whether it's through, um, community board level or, ah, zip code, or however we're looking to, um, ah, delineate that. But how are we kind of creating the structure the monitor this and make sure that we are, um, able to respond as quickly as possible where there's a need?

ADMINISTRATOR BONILLA: Sure. So we are currently reassign the analysis that we had released in June to see if there has been any change in our numbers. Um, I have to say that one of the challenges is that while we had a percentage that was, that we thought was alarmingly high it wouldn't be necessarily seen in the larger portion of the 1.5 million people that we serve, ah, so we are refining those, those analysis as we speak.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Um-hmm, OK.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: But, yeah...

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: But in terms of 3 like a framework...

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Sure.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: For how you're going to be tracking like, you know, week to week or month to month.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Yeah, I'll start a little bit in saying overarchingly this is complicated and for good reason, um, in that we as a city as a whole, um, it's important and critical to us that we're not, ah, you know, we're not needlessly asking people questions around immigration or immigration status when they're choosing to engage with our agencies and/or choosing to forego the use of a benefit. So the way that we're able to look at this and to track it is as we've done for over a year, is monitoring overall caseloads and then working really directly with frontline staff to get anecdotal information as well as the community providers and members that we have been working with, so that we can make adjustments as necessary, either with, ah, increased resources or services or, ah, ensuring that communities have the right information through outreach and community engagement.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

preliminary way that we monitored this, ah, after we did the preliminary analysis in monitoring this we let a little bit of time go and then DSS did an overarching look at their whole caseload to be able to try and isolate what was happening and the best way to do that was to look at the noncitizen versus the citizen population, and that is where you saw our immediate sort of release in showing that there was about 25,000 individuals that we saw, ah, foregoing the utilization of SNAP benefits that may otherwise have continued to or been able to continue utilizing them. So that is an example of how we have been monitoring and how our intention in terms of kind of moving forward what we're doing. MOOIA works in coordination with the key impacted agencies on the monitoring and have regular check-ins and calls to see, ah, kind of how, what people are hearing and/or seeing and if there are ways that we can better understand the caseloads.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Have we given, and I'm sorry if this was asked before, but have we given thought to how we will engage, um, various media in impacted communities, whether it's the radio, subway,

um, print media, online media, um, and then, you know, how we can make that in different languages.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: I think Council Member Cabrera asked that earlier.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Oh, I apologize.

just add one thing that I didn't speak to you earlier, which is after we saw the SNAP data we did do a series of targeted ads in community and ethnic media in different languages, um, to see if that was a way that we could more immediately, ah, address maybe concerns or confusion and direct people to good information and so, again, that's something that we're continuing to look at in terms of ways to be effective and to be immediately responsive, as we see impacts.

much, and I appreciate all the work you're all doing on this, and we'll have to continue to work together to fight this really outrageous infringement on Americans' rights. This is really, it's a very distressing development for us as a country and I think it's right that we as a city stand up with

2.2

clarity, um, and solidarity with our brothers and sisters.

4 COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Thank you.

5 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you. Thank 6 you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you, Council Member Levin. Ah, please explain the trainings in your testimony that you talked about public charge rule that you've conducted from August 2019 to the present. Who conducted the trainings? How were they conducted, over the phone, in person, which agencies were included in the training?

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: The agency trainings specifically?

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Yeah, the agencies, yeah, all of the trainings. You were expansive. You referred to kind of mitigation and planning with city agencies and partners. Can you just describe exactly what those trainings were, ah, in person, on the phone, how many employees were trained, how were they selected for the training, was the training voluntary, that kind of stuff, and I'll come in with more.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

13

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Sure, I'll start at a high level and then have my sister agency speak to the agency-specific level.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: So high level, we

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Great.

formed a working group, um, over a year ago [chuckles], ah, that was led by MOOIA but in partnership with DSS and H&H, HPD, NYCHA, and others who would be more immediately impacted. Ah, trainings that were conducted included sort of the initial analysis of the rule, the proposed rule at the time and now the final rule, um, what the initial analysis looked like, what the changes were in terms of immigration practice, um, and what resources would be available, ah, to the agencies to be able to support New Yorkers as they had questions or were coming through. We worked with the core group of agencies to talk through the specific agency resources and share best practices amongst each other in terms of, ah, messages shared out, training as delivered to staff, materials developed, etc. We worked with a much broader, larger pool of agencies to share the top line information, the resources, toolkits, and more. We've done that both in the

2.2

2 proposed stage and now in the final stage, including

3 agency memos that have talking points and kind of an

4 FAQ.

5 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: MOOIA conducted

6 | all this?

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Yeah, yes, in partnership with the sister agencies, with our kind of core group, if you will, I'm flanked by two of them [laughs]. But why don't you guys speak to...

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Well, I'm still on top level, ah, was this like an email memo that was sent out? Was this a phone call? How was that conducted?

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: The trainings and briefings, regular calls took place, um, and, ah, we did also in-person, um, briefings. We then shared via email materials and then a broader agency memo that was more specific and then individual agencies either took from that and developed their own materials or shared that out broadly to the right staff amongst them. But I'll let these guys...

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Well can you, can you pull out exactly what happened after August 2019? So it's, this is like the last year. Is there

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

anything that you can point to that, that happened after 2019, and that will be for everyone else,

4 because I think we got a lot of that through the last

5 hearing.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Sure.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: So let's just

8 | focus on August, post August 2019.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Sure. We did an immediate email that indicated that the final rule had been issued and then scheduled, I believe it was, two days later a call with a large group of agencies and in the interim the smaller group of agencies that I noted, so the small working group being the core impacted agencies like DSS and H&H, who we were daily working with from the beginning of the publication of the rule and doing a shared analysis of, um, and then developing the broader training and briefing for all of our sister agencies, which, as I said, took place a couple of days later. I think it was the Wednesday or Thursday of the same week that the rule was published. Um, from there we disseminated a followup email, um, and, ah, links to resources as we had them available. We had been updating our nyc.gov/publiccharge page with immediate information

2.2

and updated flyers as we were developing them and having them translated, um, and so that was immediately shared out, um, and then collaboratively we worked on a larger agency memo, um, that was, that was shared, ah, I think a few days after that, it has since been shared [laughs] maybe a week later when further analysis of the rule itself, um, occurred and, ah, we had more of the response, including the resources, the digital toolkit, etc., in place for all of the agencies to be able to pull from and utilize. So that kind of top lines since the proposed rule in mid August and I'll let these guys talk to specific agency responses.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Before they go.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Oh, sorry. Still on me, great.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: You're still on, really quick. Ah, was there a sense of how many people got touched and in what ways? So was there like a, you know, these many people read emails, these many people got phone calls, is there a sense of how, how robust...

3

4

5

6

7

8

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: And you're, you're just talking about agencies, right? You're not talking about stakeholders broadly?

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Outside of city agencies?

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: No, just city

agencies. 9

10 COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Just the city agencies. 11

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Just focus on city 13 agencies.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: We can share, I don't remember the number of agencies that called into the briefing, but we can share that...

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Great.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: ...with you and the number that were invited to that, um, and then we don't have how many opened the email, but, um, I will say the agencies have been really remarkable in that they've been hugely engaged on this, even the ones that are not immediately or directly impacted but understand how critical this is, have asked for oneon-one conversations. I'm just talking top line.

2.2

That doesn't include the daily sort of back-andforth, the one-on-one conversations, the sharing of
best practices, um, that we've been doing, not only
last year but since the final rule was published in
August with agencies from DIFDA to Mayor's Office,
Physical Disability, to DSS and H&H and DOE and
others. So it's a large list. We can share the
number of agencies that have been participating.

Want, we want to share that same sentiment of appreciation, and just like the information data. And then finally before your sister agencies go and speak to this question, are there any trainings that are scheduled before October 15? Are there anything, is there anything on the calendar right now that you have prepped and ready to go? And what is that?

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Sure. Um, we don't have anything scheduled yet, ah, sort of from MOOIA on kind of the top lines for agencies. A number of agencies, I think I spoke to this, have trainings scheduled that are working with our team to help develop, um, so I don't have those dates, but I'll let these guys speak to them, if they have them, and, ah, we are looking at October 15 and thinking

2.2

through all the pieces that we want to make sure are in place if the rule is to go into effect at that time. We believe that this is, ah, a rule that has many legal issues in it and have legally challenged it.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: I agree.

ah, we are hopeful that there could be a delay in that 15th date. We also talked to Catholic Charities and our [inaudible] partners about, um, possibly doing a second, ah, phone bank closer to the date, depending on sort of what we see, um, transpire. So yes we are, our eyes are on the 15th and we're thinking through everything that needs to be in place, um, before that date and when we need to make the decisions on that if there is isn't an immediate delay in implementation.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Wonderful. Now our sister agencies.

ADMINISTRATOR BONILLA: So at HRA we were in touch with MOOIA as soon as we knew that the rule was published. Ah, usually what happens is that our legal teams huddle up to get an interpretation of like what is the impact. The same week, ah, that the

2.2

ActionNYC.

rule was published Commissioner Banks sent out an agency-wide email to HRA, DSS, DHS, that hits about 17,000 employees across our three branches. Ah, we follow up, normally from the HRA side we will follow up, ah, with conversations and multiple emails to our providers to make sure that they also know what's going on. The main message for us is that nothing has changed, that we're open for business, that we should continue to speak to clients and allow them to apply, and that in the event that a client is feeling uneasy about applications, an application for benefits because it could affect their immigration status that they should turn, advise them to go to

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And I guess my only asking for clarifying is, is the specific concept around training? So this is kind of info, which is great.

ADMINISTRATOR BONILLA: Yup.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And maybe that's what you want to consider as training, but is there any training that happened after August 2019?

ADMINISTRATOR BONILLA: Absolutely. So that is our immediate response.

2.2

## CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: OK.

ADMINISTRATOR BONILLA: What follows up with that is that we have already agency trainings that happen on a daily basis because of all of the changes in...

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And this is public charge trainings?

ADMINISTRATOR BONILLA: These are normal trainings, normal course of events, ah, for changes on forms from the state, a number of things. So what we've done is we've infused the public charge topic into those trainings. Ah, our team from Oriya has also gone out to speak to staff to also talk about the impact of this, of this rule on our services. But it's already things that we have scheduled and we include public charge into that schedule.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Can you share that with us, too? What's interesting for us is trying to understand how we get to the goal. I think we're trying to do this in multiple ways and want to understand is, is, ah, frontline and how you define frontline. Were any of these people that you just referred frontline staff? How were they trained?

Because we definitely have a, we're seeing some

2.2

divide here in how we can kind of conquer the ultimate question about getting everyone trained and with some oversight.

ADMINISTRATOR BONILLA: Sure.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And so if there's anything that you can kind of point to with, um, who they, who got trained, were they frontline staff, and are these trainings for all your frontline staff that you're talking about?

ADMINISTRATOR BONILLA: So these trainings are for all frontline staff. We identify frontline staff as client-facing staff. So these are the staff that would interact with clients when they apply for these benefits. Ah, we also make sure that we have briefings for the directors of our centers and the folks that are the supervisors of the staff.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: So frontline for us is client-facing for you and that's the same thing essentially that we're, OK, and we can come back to that question. OK. Mr. Keeley. Post August 2019, if you could just focus on that. Thank you.

CHRIS KEELEY: Right. So after the final rule was released when the first week, it may have even within the first 24 hours, I think, um, Dr.

Mitch Katz, our CEO and president, released an all-
staff email that goes out to somewhere between 35,000
and 40,000 Health and Hospitals staff. In that he
restated our commitment. Nothing has changed yet.
The doors are open. This does not, the key messages
were this does not impact all New Yorkers. This does
not impact all immigrant New Yorkers. This does not
impact all patients of Health and Hospitals. We
really tried to from the very beginning underscore
that message of this sounds and is intentionally
being sold as much scarier than it is. This is a
very, this is a horrific policy. It's, it's an
inhumane policy. But it's not as broad as it's being
packaged and sold to be. And so what our core
messages to our staff are, what our core messages
that we're trying to get out to our patients is, is
take a breath. We don't know if this impacts you.
What we want to do is make sure that you get
connected with the resources that you need. Those
are the legal resource, legal service resources. We
have them available on site at all of our hospitals,
a number of our community clinics, our post-acute
care, you know, nursing homes. We have them

2.2

available, um, ah, upwards of 30 clinics per week in partnership with our friends [crosstalk] health.

4 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Let me pause you 5 there and ask if you can focus on training.

CHRIS KEELEY: Sure.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Any specific training, so this is all still kind of information that people are getting and reading. I'm, I'm really looking for trainings that have happened since August 2019.

CHRIS KEELEY: Yeah. So when we're looking at the trainings is the key, as you're saying, they are frontline staff. We want, as I said, sort of the broad universe of our staff to be top line familiar with just the terminology of public charge. But there's particular frontline staff that we expect are going to be getting those questions most routinely. So we think about social workers.

We think about financial counselors. Those are care managers. Those are the most common that we're looking to do trainings on and so the, the folks that help to support and manage the financial counselors across our system, of which there are hundreds, um,

2.2

we've done trainings for nearly all of them at this
point, I would expect, if not all of them.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Since, since August 2019?

CHRIS KEELEY: Since August, yes.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Everyone got

trained?

CHRIS KEELEY: And it's largely in the same way that HRA was describing sort of using existing training opportunities and what we've done is included, um, included specific messaging around public charge and it is the same message others are describing. It is not impacting everyone. If a patient has a request or a concern around public charge get them connected with the legal resources so they can better understand if they're impacted, and if so what might be the best choice for them.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: OK. Thank you.

Council Member...

CHRIS KEELEY: And there's, there's plenty more, if you'd like to hear it.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Yeah, we, I'm going to follow up on all that you just said in terms of the, ah, the staff that were trained. It sounds

2.2

Member Chin.

like you do have a frontline staff definition so we kind of what to get to that as well 'cause I think that's sort of bills refer to, and so I want to make sure that we're understanding in what those are and what they're not as well, and so that's going to be important for follow-up. Ah, I think most, I think a lot of the bills kind of refer to that. Council

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Thank you, Chair.

I wanted to, you know, ask about Council Member

Rivera's bill that requires the DOE to disseminate

accurate information on this public charge. So in

this past year have any kind of, um, outreach to DOE?

I just heard earlier that DOE was part of the agency

group. So has any kind of outreach done to them to

get information out to parents, teachers, and

students?

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Ah, yes, um, and in general we work with the DOE on dissemination of sort of broad messaging around immigration and immigration policy, and have tried to refine and strengthen that over the course of this year. Um, I don't recall off the top of my head everything that they did following the proposed rule, but similar to,

2.2

ah, my sister agencies here there was messaging, as I recall from the chancellor and messages that were just, ah, and flyers that were disseminated across the schools, um, so that people had immediate access to what, what is public charge, if they're getting asked questions and how to direct parents or students. Um, we're working with DOE now at development of new materials, um, given the final

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: So there were initial information that went out?

rule for dissemination as well.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: So from that was there any kind of, um, did you kind of track? Like are there questions that came from parents, teachers because of the information that was sent out?

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: We didn't, it certainly didn't come to us in terms of a huge spike or increase of questions around public benefits utilization. I think part of that is, of course, because the messaging directs people to contact the immigration legal services providers, so our hotline as the immediate source for getting the advice, and so that's where we've been more focused in looking

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 at, ah, call, callers, call volume, and then the

3 issue if public charge is raised. Um, but we haven't

4 heard necessarily from DOE or from specific schools

5 | or principals of increased, ah, questions around this

6 specifically.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: So in this next round are, could you work with DOE to find out, I mean, find out to really pay some attention to see if there are getting increase or question, you know, from individual schools, and also because oftentimes I think a lot of us is immigrant, the students, especially middle school...

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Sure.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: And even younger students acts as the translator for their parents and they probably are the one that's going to HRA to help their parents apply for benefits.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Yup.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: So it's really important to get to the student...

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Yup.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: And also with DOE, it's like, also the community education council, um, that represent different school district.

2.2

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Yup, right.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: They should really, you know, have information. So you're talking about from K-3 all the way to 12th grade.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Right, right.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: That, that information need to get out to them.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Yes, thank you for that. We agree [laughs].

make sure DOE does their part because, you know, they have over a million student. The other question I want to ask is that I know you were talking about the top tier agency and MOOIA is really taking the lead on it, which is great. So are there a, is there a deputy mayor that is really kind of overseeing, um, this coordination, this effort? Has it rise up to that level?

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Um, it has risen to that level. There's not a single deputy mayor.

Um, I think that speaks to the, ah, significance and importance of this issue and how cross-cutting it is across our agencies. We actually convened all of the deputy mayor's over a year ago in advance of the

2.2

23

24

right?

proposed rule to ensure that they were briefed, their key staff at City Hall were briefed. They understood what this was, if it were to come down, and what our request was as MOOIA in activating their shops and helping to coordinate, ah, agencies, ah, across the administration. So all of the deputy mayor shops have been involved as a part of the working group, have been briefed and informed, and they're continuously active, um, as needed. I'd say the key two deputy mayors that have been the most engaged and the most involved include Deputy Mayor Thompson and previously Deputy Mayor Polacio and her team. COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Great. Thank you, COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you, Council Member Chin. And on DOE, has MOOIA done anything relating to public forums with parents? Today is, I think, the first day of school for kids. COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: It is. CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: If anybody has kids, um, I hope today was good. Ah, this week,

25 COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Thursday.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thursday is the 3 first, um, and good luck.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: I don't know why it's Thursdays, but yeah [laughs].

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Yeah, right. It's the, it's the short week. But the work that MOOIA is doing around parent engagement, is there anything that you can kind of point to, post 2019, August 2019.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Sure. Sure. There's two places of ongoing work that we do, um, three, really, places of ongoing network that we do with parent engagement in which we, um, in the same way they sort of talk about infusing public charge into the work, we've done so there as well. Um, one is actually through ActionNYC and with our partners at Make the Road and Catholic Charities, um, in providing immigration legal clinics to the schools, particularly ones where there's populations that would most benefit in having, ah, immigration legal services come to the school and be provided so we do that every year, um, with both returning to schools and also entering new schools, and if there are schools that we don't work with or that you're

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

interested in us working with please share that with us so that we can engage properly, um, there and so obviously that's one important venue, and there's a whole, um, ah, initiative led by Make the Road and some of our providers in doing outreach and engagement with the school very intimately in advance of those clinics and sharing information and getting information out, so that's one avenue. Another is that we do, ah, help facilitate both kind of city focused or centered ones and some community focused and centered ones, know your rights forums within the schools, um, and work closely with, um, community providers and also schools themselves in having, in facilitating that. Often the parent coordinators are some of the best partners in making those happen and ensuring that parents are aware of them and having the community come and get the information and share it, and we've been successful both in doing them in schools, but also, and as I said, including, ah, not just public charge but shifts in immigration policy that have happened or enforcement patterns to get good information out more broadly and using those opportunities as a way to do so, um, and then finally working with DOE in sharing information with

1	COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 105
2	principals, with, ah, schools more broadly, um, and
3	kind of hyper focusing if there's specific areas or
4	needs that can arise.
5	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: NYCHA.
6	COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Yes.
7	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: My bill would kind
8	of encompass NYCHA.
9	COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Yeah.
10	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: I haven't heard
11	NYCHA, or maybe you have, or let's put that front and
12	center. Is NYCHA
13	COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Have I heard of
14	NYCHA, is that what you [laughs]?
15	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Ah, no, I meant
16	more in this conversation, we're talking about DOE a
17	lot. We talked about HRA and the hospitals. I'm
18	talking now, let's bring NYCHA to the front end and
19	talk a little bit about the trainings around public
20	charge conducted and the level of cooperation with
21	NYCHA.

2.2

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Sure. So, ah, NYCHA and HPD have been a part of sort of that core group of agencies because of the specific benefits that they administer that would be impacted. So, um,

2.2

as agencies they've been, ah, not only engaged but a part of sort of the core group in terms of analyzing and understanding impact and then ensuring that they're, ah, their staff is receiving good and accurate information and resources. So NYCHA is a part of that group.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: What's the level of cooperation there?

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Um, at the agency level they've been great.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: OK.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: They've been hugely cooperative, um, and, um, we have also trained our public engagement unit, who does housing support, um, and tenant support advocacy, ah, engagement and outreach on public charge, so they are aware of it and able to support as they're doing individual cases as well.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: OK. I'm winding down here. Ah, state.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: What's your collaboration and conducted information sharing with the State of New York and that's an open question.

Um, we're

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2 23

24

25

Are you working with the state at all, with the governor's office, with anyone of those agencies on anything relating to public charge?

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Yes.

working with a lot of the agencies. I think critically we are partnered with the state in our litigation, um, and so working very closely across all city and state agencies through litigation to ensure that we understand ah, ah, not only what is happening at the local level, but the state level that as a whole we are jointly, ah, presenting the impact on our communities and our residents and we're working very closely together to do so. We've also been in regular conversation with our state counterparts around impact, ah, and monitoring to make sure that we're sharing information in that regard. We've worked closely with ONA, both in terms of hotline partnership and referrals and also through things like the phone bank, and so I think certainly I feel very good that there's a, there's a strong partnership and that there's an open line of communication and dialogue, but I'll these guys add.

ADMINISTRATOR BONILLA: So, ah, from an HRA perspective our oversights are OTD, OCFS, and

2.2

DOH, and we work very closely with them, ah, to ensure that we're providing coordinated information to our staff to administer these benefits. We're in constant conversation. My understanding is that at the state level, just like these gathered to see what

the impact is, our state partners are doing the same.

CHRIS KEELEY: In Health and Hospitals we were coordinating with the state Department of Health in particular, because one of the primary impacts that's connected to health is obviously Medicaid being one of the enumerated benefits under the public charge rule, so we wanted to understand and make sure that we were fully aligned on the understanding with them around some of the nuances that are behind that, and it's been a very collaborative and productive conversation with them.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: That's really good to hear, and I know we're trying to do that also on census and some other things, and so things are looking good. So here's my final question, and really a thought, because we have two more panels, one here from the advocates, especially in response to what we just heard today as we finalize the strategy. There's a real difference here between

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

information sharing, sending an email out to all your partners, and then training that we're trying to codify by law. And that's what's going to be at the crux of these continued negotiations with the bills. They all kind of speak to it very differently. And one of the, one of the, ah, my bill actually, refers to a definition around appropriate employees, what we're calling frontline staff, what you're calling client-facing, ah, there's still a discrepancy in understanding what that means and what I want to know as a kind of final departing question that will be continued in conversation and negotiation is if I'm someone who is going to an HRA facility and I speak to someone there, just kind of walk in and the first person that I speak to, is that a client-facing employee? Ah, because that's what you're using. We're using what we're going to reconfigure appropriate employee, but what we want are frontfacing. Anyone who walks into any one of these facilities should be able to be trained and understand how to, how to send someone where they need to be sent, and talk to the appropriate person. And we're all saying the same thing, talk to a lawyer, talk to a lawyer, call a lawyer, call a

2.2

2 lawyer, call a lawyer today right now, call a lawyer, 3 and we gave you two phone numbers to call.

COMMISSIONER MOSTOFI: Sure.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And so I think that's, I don't think the person I'm going to walk into HRA who is going to greet me at the door is a client-facing person. Unless that's true then we're good, and if not then I want to know what's the difference there.

ADMINISTRATOR BONILLA: So the first person that you're going to greet many times is going to be our HRA police, right? And in all things having to do with benefits...

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: I don't think that's a client face, maybe, maybe there, is that a client-facing...

ADMINISTRATOR BONILLA: We would consider them part of our customer service toolkit, right? So if a person...

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: But I didn't hear client-facing.

ADMINISTRATOR BONILLA: They would be considered client-facing.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

	CHAIRFERSON	MENCHACA:	Tney	woula	be
	client-facir	0 0			

ADMINISTRATOR BONILLA: Ah, yes, they would be client, they would, work with the public.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: This is really important.

ADMINISTRATOR BONILLA: They would normally, and I just want to put this into context of delivering services from a public assistance perspective, right? If I walked in and I said I need to apply for SNAP I would be directed to a customer service person, who is also a client-facing person. Right? If I decide to wait and not apply online, but I'm going to wait for a caseworker or an eligibility specialist, that person is also a client-facing So from the moment you walk in the door all of those folks are part of our, part of our clientfacing community. Right?

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Right.

ADMINISTRATOR BONILLA: What I want to be clear about is that I wouldn't want our HRA police giving out immigration advice or saying even...

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Neither would I.

ADMINISTRATOR BONILLA: Right? OK, good.

24

25

2.2

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Oh my God, no way.

ADMINISTRATOR BONILLA: Right.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: But that's not

5 what we're asking to do.

ADMINISTRATOR BONILLA: Yeah, so that's...

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And that's, that's, I want you to be clear about that.

ADMINISTRATOR BONILLA: Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: That's not what we're asking them to do, but we want to make sure that they're trained so they can send them to the right place, to talk to someone at ActionNYC or call the ONA hotline and be able to do that work.

ADMINISTRATOR BONILLA: So that person would normally send them to our customer service staff, so that we can get a real clear understanding as to why that person walked in, right? Most people don't walk in and tell someone in uniform I have questions about my immigration status. They usually walk in and say where can I go and get a ticket so that I can apply for benefits. That first person you see in customer service would be that first, the

first client-facing person that could provide you with the information of you should call the hotline.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: OK. And I guess, but we want, I think we're still not seeing at eye here, and we're going to continue negotiations. But we want all the customer service people to be trained to be able to triage people to the right place.

ADMINISTRATOR BONILLA: So part of that customer service line of defense that I talked about, right, so not the HRA police, but that next person that someone would see, which is usually part of our customer service group, those people are trained.

They're trained in the same way that I described our eligibility specialists would be trained, right?

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And we don't want

ADMINISTRATOR BONILLA: Correct.

any of them giving legal advice.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: But we want to send, we want to train them to be able to go to  $\label{eq:ActionNYC...}$ 

ADMINISTRATOR BONILLA: And they are.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And get to, and I
think that's what our bills are saying.

ADMINISTRATOR BONILLA: Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: So we all agree that, that, and this is why we want to legislate this, that we can, we can have a system that we can bring oversight to, to ensure that we know who is going to get trained, what they're going to get trained on, we're using the right words, customer service staff versus client-facing staff, etc., and we'll continue the negotiations. I've taken a lot of your time and I'm saying thank you and really appreciate the work. I want the last note to be that we are incredibly confident because we have been building an incredible and robust system of access to every New Yorker, and this is only to try to understand the final strategy, because we have multiple bills here and that's in a lot of ways good to have an open discussion with our partners, which we're going to hear about and from next. Thank you so much.

ADMINISTRATOR BONILLA: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: We'll get this right, I know we will. OK. Thank you for your incredible patience here. This is like summer, trying to get through the summer ahead. Ah, Ms. Calhoun, New York Immigration Coalition. Rebecca

2.2

Novik, Legal Aid Society. Serika Succena, New York
City Bar Association. And then Carolyn Cowan from
the Chinese American Planning Council. You're here,
please come up. I want to hear from you. And we
want to have a clock on the testimony, and as you get
settled in, thank you again Consumer.

UNIDENTIFIED: How much time do you want on the clock?

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Three minutes.

UNIDENTIFIED: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: We're going to give three minutes on the clock. I know you have testimony and we're going to read the testimony. But we all went through an incredible amount of detailed discussion and what I'm, I want to ask you all to respond to anything that you kind of heard from the testimony and Q&A in terms of the bills, ah, in terms of strategy, and if there's anything that you can kind of point to that reflects flags that you need us to understand. We're negotiating four different pieces of legislation here that are going to inform the strategy and I'm hoping that we can kind of focus on that. I think we're all clear that public charge is horrible, that we're asking everyone to talk to a

2.2

lawyer, and so I really want to kind of move through what I know is a collective understanding and hit us with questions, concerns, flags, and recommendations about bills, especially if they're not going to work for you all after now that you've kind of heard a little bit more about it. I want to hear about that, too, or how they are actually going to help you have what you need so that you can get what you want from the agencies so that you can continue to provide services, ah, and policy making. Ah, Ms. Calhoun.

Menchaca and the entire immigration committee, thank you so much for having us here today. My name is Claudia Calhoun. I'm the senior director of immigrant integration policy at the New York Immigration Coalition. We serve more than 200 immigrant-serving organizations across the state.

Um, I think it's great to jump in and just talk about the, the opportunities and things we wanted to flag in reference to the four pieces of legislation. I definitely want to thank Council Members Cabrera and Moya, Menchaca, Levin, and Rivera for introducing legislation to, um, help advance the city's response. You know, I think I'll skip forward to the things we

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

wanted to say about the bills. I think the first thing, just to talk a little bit about the benefits modification unit and office. I think that, we believe that there's probably some function that having a single hotline where people can call to ask public benefits, that could be very helpful. And if it was as, um, managed correctly and there was good flow of information and some of the training questions were addressed it probably could augment the ActionNYC and Catholic Charities function, because public benefits are its own area of expertise and can be very complex and there could be coordination between the three. We don't endorse the idea of a unit. I don't, I think that the issues that were raised about HRA, about customer service, about warmth, about accessibility, about trust, those are very real, um, we're delighted to work on those issues with the council and with HRA and DSS. don't think setting up a unit, as it's been described, is actually going to be very effective in addressing that. I think it's a much broader, systemic thing and you won't be able to shoehorn the kind of tone and warmth through the creation of a unit. Um, we want whatever HRA does to, um,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

encourage people to stay in benefits they're eligible I was really heartened by the response I heard from, um, both from HRA and from MOOIA about that. I think that's really important, that we're on the same page about that. Um, so for the, so we look forward to working more on that. The piece for the legislation requiring distribution of information on local emergency feeding programs. I would like more clarity on what information is going to be mandated to be distributed, whether it's referral to EFAP, but I want to take this opportunity to say that is what is much more important than the information that's being given out is the resources the EFAP providers have and we, NYC does not work deeply in nutrition or food security, but what we've heard from EFAP providers is that without additional resources, um, and without additional food supplies there's no increasing capacity, and so you don't want to create a situation where we do a really great job of referring everyone to EFAP in a way that they can access and then that system is overwhelmed. just say really, so I think that monitoring of hunger is really, really important to have represented in the legislation and I think that, um, um, resources

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

is the FY 2021 budget, they're going to be really In terms of the educational materials, I important. think that it's great to send stuff out through the I would just broaden that. I think that there's, um, all the other agencies could be also really useful and, and, we would like, we would love to leverage that legislation as a way to mandate coordinated large-scale flyer distribution, um, sort of through a bunch of different agencies because we know that sometimes that doesn't happen unless there's a very clear mandate. And then finally in terms of training I really appreciated the training conversation. I, we came at it from a slightly different direction. I think what we would recommend is that all city employees determined to have an outreach or benefits enrollment function, so benefits outreach or benefits enrollment function would be required to complete a baseline training. I suspect the last bit of the conversation, um, about the HRA, ah, police personnel, that that made me realize that probably there will be different agency issues in terms of who receives the training, um, so I think it would be good to come up with a standard to go that is statutory that holds, um, the, hits the right note

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

in terms of specificity around benefits but doesn't
necessarily tie the hands of agencies to create some
sort of protocol that's going to cause different
problems than we have now. So that's it. Thank you

so much for having me here today.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you. Thank you for that.

REBECCA ANTANOVIK: Thank you to Chairperson Menchaca and the whole committee for your leadership in fighting to preserve and enhance New York City's extraordinary reputation as a beacon to immigrants to across the globe. My name is Rebecca Antanovik. I'm the director of the Help All Unit at the Legal Aid Society. Um, also appreciate the instruction to just sort of jump into what, um, is, you know, what we can accomplish on the city level. It, you know, is wonderful to see so many advocates, so many, um, so many, ah, city representatives trying so hard to do what we can, what we can from here. at Legal Aid have been working, um, our help all unit, our immigration law unit, our law reform unit, have been working together, um, to fight public charge in a variety of different ways, including filing litigation, um, last week, um, along with the

Center for Constitutional Rights and Paul Weiss and,
um, have been working in training and outreach and,
um, and advocacy for and advice for, for individual,
um, clients. We've worked with Make the Road and
Empire Justice Center to develop a screening tool
for, for advocates and it is, um, in, you know, it
really is great to see what the council is doing in,
in trying to make efforts on the city level. Um,
just to speak specifically about the, um, the
legislation, we, um, we also have concerns about, um,
Council Member Cabrera's bill and the disenrollment
unit. I think a lot of our concerns have really
been, um, been raised already, but we are, you know,
we are concerned about the potential, um, chilling
effect of the creation of this, um, of this unit and
we, you know, like many others are just, are really
encouraging people to get to an attorney, to get to
the ActionNYC hotline. Um, we, um, you know we
support, ah, Council Member Menchaca's bill for, um,
for requiring training. Um, we do recommend that the
training include guidance for agency workers so they
don't inadvertently, um, chill clients from accessing
benefits by asking unnecessary questions about
immigration status Social Security numbers. I think

2.2

those questions and that concern always comes from a good place. But, um, one sentence that implies something that someone picks up on can, can really have a, um, a chilling effect. We strongly support Council Member Moya's bill. We do want to sound a note of caution about the way in which the inclusion of information about the food programs could raise additional concerns about public charge. We would recommend that any information that is distributed by mail or email include information about how emergency food aid is not part of the public charge rule and information again about how to connect to immigration legal services.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: You can keep going. Yeah, let's finish the legislation comments.

REBECCA ANTANOVIK: OK, thank you. Um, and, um, and just to make one note about Council Member Rivera's bill, um, we, you know, strongly support the, um, the provision of this, um, information through the schools. We believe that it is essential that these educational materials are available in all the mandated languages to make sure that non-English speaking students and parents have access. Just to make one other brief comment, if I

2.2

may. We encourage the city to fund legal service providers to, who specialize in public charge issues, um, as has been mentioned today. One foundation is, is funding some providers now, but we do believe that the city should support these services for immigrant New Yorkers, um, we of course are hoping that the rule does not go into effect on October 15th, but if that does happen, um, of course the need is just going to continue to grow, and thank you very much for your time.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you.

SERIKA SUCCENA: Hi. I'm Serika Succena.

I'm here on behalf the Immigration Nationality Law

Committee at the New York City Bar Association. Um,

thank you for holding this very important hearing. I

want to underscore what my, ah, colleagues have been

saying in terms of the support of the bills. Um,

definitely, you know, underscore that, you know, that

the investment that the city has been making in

programs like ActionNYC, ah, and other citywide

outreach efforts continue, especially, you know, the

partnerships with community-based organizations as,

you know, there's so much information out there, but

what information is actually available in communities

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

is, is really where the emphasis I think should be. But I think, you know, and as my colleagues are mentioning in terms of having, creating that unit, I understand sort of the, um, you know, the intention, um, in creating that but I support my colleagues in opposing the creation of it. Um, we've all been a part of a lot of working groups and committees, just to sort of, you know, um, deal with sort of the, the gaps in sort of just how complicated this rule is, um, and how, you know, sensitive it is for, for, for an agency like DSS to have a unit like that. What I, what I would to add to this is just, you know, um, looking at the package that you've created, it's really great. You're trying to get the information out there, which is incredibly important. Ah, especially where, you know, things like emergency medical care could be, um, you know, there's already a lot of myth, a lot of rumor, it's just accessing these benefits, so it's really important to emphasize that people should still get out and get medical, emergency medical care and that it wouldn't come back and hurt them. I think that's really important to underscore and in those outreach efforts, um, last, you know, I just, I think the outreach and the

awareness is incredible, um, but also I think that
the City Council should be considering the very real
gap in medical access that will be a result of this
new rule as it will impact low-income communities of
color. I know that there's been a lot talked about
as how, you know, this rule is not going to have a
broad effort, you know, broad impact and things like
that, but there's, and practically speaking for low-
income communities, um, it should, it should be
limited, but it's still going to be pretty, it's
still going to be, it's not, you know, I don't want
to come from a place of fear but there's going to be
a real impact and it's going to impact the actual
health care that people will be able to access, um,
and just they that they're going to be targeted
because they are sick and not able to, um, access,
you know, subsidized health care 'cause they're going
to be penalized specifically for that. At some point
there are going to be people making those calls, um,
that they're going to have to not do that or, you
know, with food stamps and the like. Um, and lastly
on the DOE, um, educational materials that will be
distributed, it's obviously great. I think what
needs to also be looked is like a public education

2.2

That's all.

campaign, um, because a lot of flyers and things that go home, you know, not all families will be able to, you know, there is a literacy gap. There's a lot of gaps in, in information and I think that, um, you want to be able to get to people, ah, in many different modes of contact and especially when it comes to something as complicated as this it needs to I think should be thought about in, in a larger way.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Got it. So just like to get a better sense or kind of clarifying sense, a lot of concerns with Cabrera's bill and the, um, education, would you support the rest essentially?

SERIKA SUCCENA: Oh yeah, absolutely.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: All the, OK,

great. And then, because we want to maybe do some

follow-ups on, on some of that, some of the things

that you just commented on. OK. Thank you.

carline Cowan: Good afternoon. My name is Carline Cowan. I'm the chief policy and public affairs officer of the Chinese American Planning Council. Thank you for the opportunity to testify today. CPC serves over 60,000 Asian Americans

of these bills that have been proposed and to want to

uplift that messaging that they already shared in

24

25

2.2

testimony. In addition, we think that there a couple of things that we would like the council as well as the city to consider in response and in moving forward with these resolutions. Ah, the first is the ActionNYC hotline or the ONA hotline to extend those hours beyond 9 to 6. Many of our community members cannot call during those hours and the chilling effect and fear simply do not operate on a 9 to 5 schedule. It's really important that people be able to access those hotlines when they need them.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: On that note, is there a, ah, consensus about what hours would work? And if that's not a question that you can answer now, that's an overarching question for the community to give us, because this is the time we change it. This is the time when we can push it. Um, so that's just a question to hold.

CARLINE COWAN: In an ideal world it would be open 24/7.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Amen.

CARLINE COWAN: [laughs]

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: I'm with you on

that. Which is why I wanted to ask about

25 resources...

2.2

CARLINE COWAN: But I'm happy to follow up with more suggestions.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And, that's right, but in a world where we have resources that we can't get to immediately, and I think a 24-hour hotline can be one answer, it would be good to get a sense about where we could prioritize the timeline for, um, and in response to your request.

CARLINE COWAN: Council Member?

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: I'm sorry, keep

12 going, keep going.

CARLINE COWAN: Of course. Ah, the second one is the importance of language access. Now obviously there has been a lot done on the city side as well as the council side to provide translated materials, to have those ready quickly. But there is still a lot more that needs to be done. I went on the city public charge website, ah, while sitting in the hearing and the only information that's available in Chinese is a one-page flyer that refers back to the website in English for more information. We need to continue doing that outreach and working with community-based partners that have that language capacity and have the knowledge of how, ah, community

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

members need to hear this messaging in order to actually provide information in language. We have seen with the hotlines when the community members have called, ah, either 311 or ActionNYC that they wait to find an interpreter, and sometimes someone calls in Cantonese and gets sent to a Mandarin or a Japanese interpreter, um, and that often our staff are filling the gaps for interpretation for HRA, for 311, um, and for other city agencies. This is obviously an ongoing issue beyond public charge that I know that you've been working on closely. additionally we really urge the City Council as well as city agencies to partner closely with communitybased organizations that are working with community members that are being impacted by this every day, and the particular reason I say that is because four community members, particularly limited Englishproficient ones that have a lot of fear of a hostile federal government, there is no distinction between that federal government and a sanctuary city like New York City. So if something comes with a government seal it's not seen as safe. It's seen as dangerous. We've had community members come into our centers because they had something that had a government seal

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

and they are having a panic attack that it's something from ICE because they can't read the language it's in and it turns out to be a simple school notice. So it's really important that the city partner with community-based organizations that can say no, sources like 311, like ActionNYC, like the ONA hotline are safe and you can trust them. Beyond that, we think it's really important that the city fund legal services providers that do this work, but beyond that community-based organizations that can refer to those legal services providers. times like these we see brokers or [inaudible] taking advantage of community members' fear and we've seen an increase in them over the last couple of months in Flushing and Sunset Park in particular. It's really important that community-based organizations be able to do outreach, know your rights, as well as intake and referral to these legal services providers that are working so hard with such a huge caseload. to answer questions.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Yeah. Are there any comments you have on the bills that were discussed today? I think the unit has been a topic of conversation. Ah, I think you've kind of pointed to

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

training as good, ah, and working with the Department of Education. So is there anything that you want to say on each of the bills?

CARLINE COWAN: We completely agree with what New York Immigration Coalition shared, where we have some concerns about the benefits de-enrollment unit. Um, but beyond that we support the bills with some of the concerns echoed.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: OK. Thank you for that. So I have some questions in general for this panel and I think, do we have one more panel? have one more panel. And this is really in terms of the training that my bill speaks to in terms of really creating, one, a kind of focused understanding about who gets trained where so there might be some changes per agency. We don't want to create more problems, um, than, than we have right now. But that there's a difference between sending out an email to your staff and doing some training, that there's a quality difference in how you bring someone up to speed in terms of understanding not just public charge but how to disseminate that information in real time to a person. Which is why we're really focused on the training piece. Ah, and maybe this is

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

more of a comment I'm trying to make here and I think you support it. So let's just move on to the next question. The Cabrera bill creates a unit and what I'm trying to understand from all of you is that we're holding both the, the kind of council members' question about an agency that may not be prepared to take this one because of structural issues that are massive and this unit would only kind of create a chilling effect for creating something. So I want you to kind of go a step further and really kind of dictate to us what exactly would cause a chilling effect with a unit like this within HRA that can be focused on language access, that can be focused on training people, that can have a direct line for the service providers that often have issues with benefits, either getting on benefits because they get routed from a Cantonese speaker to Mandarin speaking Like there needs to be, so if we, if the person. unit is not the answer then what, what is the answer that we can provide oversight? Because we think that the status quo might not get us where we want to get to. And so we want, we really need your help to kind of think through that. And if you don't have any immediate answers now, that's great, but we're in the

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

middle of negotiating with some rapid, ah, time lines
so that we can get things ready for October in case
this happens.

UNIDENTIFIED: OK, I think there's a, I can start. These are great questions. I think that, um, one challenge with the unit would be, I think that the point that was made about having an office or unit that solely focused on, um, immigrants is of a concern, so it's sort of like, um, specifically stigmatizing. I think, when you're talking about a unit I also think from a logistical perspective what does that mean in practice? You know, HRA is dispersed across how many ever, how many ever different offices. So would there be one spot? Would there be one office where people would go to? That seems like that also could create just challenges in terms of access to those, to those services. I think what would be much more suited to the, um, scope of the challenge in terms of people within the group of people who have gotten appropriate guidance that they might want to think about disenrolling from some, um, benefit. You, those individuals need to be able to get the same

quality of service in terms of that disenrollment at

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

any office. And creating one place where they go for that level of service is, um, going to create, could create more barriers, um, than a unit can, than one single place can actually address. Um, I think the other piece of it is, is that by creating an office, the vast majority of people affected by the role are affected by it in an indirect way as opposed to, you know, a direct narrow way. And so we want HRA, we don't want HRA and we don't want the council to believe that by addressing the needs of the narrow group we have also addressed the needs of the broader group, um, which is of course the harder, you know, the group of people that is not necessarily affected but is terrified. Um, and then I think the last thing, I think, I didn't get to mention but one thing that is a really practical thing that will be especially important, um, and it would be to go through this with HRA and walk through exactly what happens, is disenrollment documentation and documentation of what people both have been judged to be eligible for and what they actually were enrolled in, um, and we remain, we are concerned about how that's going to work in practice for people who are like, well I'm going to be working on my green card

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

application in a year and I'm going to go off of these benefits, so I'm going to need a letter from HRA saying that this benefit ended on this date and I was eliqible for this other benefit but I didn't actually ever take it and so I think that in your negotiations with HRA sort of understanding really clearly how that works in practice is, um, could be really critical in doing what Council Member Cabrera would like to see happen. And then the other thing is I do think some sort of, um, some sort of mechanism by which people get stuck they can elevate those concerns and that's where a help line, an HRA help line on benefits, on public charge benefits could be helpful. Again, with the caveat that it would need to be coordinate with, ah, the Catholic Charities and 311.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Super helpful.

Any other one, any other comments on this?

UNIDENTIFIED: Um, I had one note that,
um, that I realize wasn't, I don't think it was
discussed today, which is also, um, that I would just
encourage you to include in your conversations which
is that for Medicaid most people are on the exchange
and so disenrollment is not through HRA. And so I

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

would, and so that coordination with the state, um, would, would be I think crucial in any conversations happening about disenrollments. This is something that advocates have discussed with, um, with the state and have had good conversations. I think that things do actually tend to work fairly well in terms of disenrollments from the state. The other thing is I feel, I feel weird even bringing this up because honestly with the final, with the final rule there is such a tiny sliver of people actually impacted on Medicaid, ah, because of the, the interaction of who is actually impacted and who was carved out of Medicaid. From the health perspective we're so much more worried about the chilling effect. Um, but obviously for certain people they are going to need to, to disenrollment. So I would just put that note in there. I think Claudia covered a lot of the, the logistical and other concerns really well.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you.

UNIDENTIFIED: Um, just actually to, I think this was mentioned earlier when the city, when the administration was testifying, but the Office of Refugee and Immigrant, like the is ORAIA and I think, um, you know, they are, specialize in this and I

2.2

guess to me I wasn't understanding what this other unit, like how would it be different and what its purpose would be, um, but to say that they, you know, they, that, to me my understanding was that service already exists in terms of having a unit or an office that provides that level of expertise and has the capability to train and provide those trainings, um, and I know that that, you know, I think she was mentioning that earlier, but maybe didn't name the office. But, yeah.

UNIDENTIFIED: So my concern about this bill is largely how community members would interact with the information should this office become a reality, how they would interact with this office.

Yes, I absolutely think there should be dedicated staff and funding at HRA to be responding to public charge, but the reality of it is if there is a unit that is focused on de-enrollment my fear would frankly be that a lot of community members would hear that information and interpret it as we need to disenrollment from benefits because HRA is specifically creating resources around doing that, rather than understand that HRA is, is trying to create specific support around this. I also agree

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

that a very narrow portion of the community members that we're seeing around this are actually going to need to be able to do that, technically speaking, but should be able to do it at any office that they go to and a lot of times CPC staff are actually going with them to those offices to serve as translators and support them through that process. Um, I think that while people need to be able to de-enroll in a timely manner if they need to, and it's very important that they're able to get documentation on it, a lot of resources, ah, for city agencies should be focused on outreach, on education, on making sure that people are not de-enrolling if they don't need to, and then those that actually do need to make that decision with an immigration attorney have the ability to do so quickly at any location, um, so I echo a lot of what was said.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you. Thank you for that. I have one question about, can anyone comment on the guide for providers in the Cabrera bill? There's a piece in the bill that talks about a guide. It may have gone unnoticed. Well, we can follow up with you. Ah, we want to kind of, 'cause there's a couple of pieces in it and one of them is a

13

14

2 unit, another is a guide. It was one of the

3 questions that we asked earlier. Does HRA have a

4 guide for providers that they understand exactly what

5 the process is and whatnot. So, this is tricky.

6 This is clearly tricky, but we are hearing you and I

7 hope that you feel confident that we are listening to

8 | that, ah, sentiment and, ah, concern. And is there

9 anybody here from MOOIA or from HRA? HRA, MOOIA? OK,

10 MOOIA? Thank you for being here. They're here and

11 | they're listening as well. Thank you.

UNIDENTIFIED: Can I just add quickly on the guide? I'm sorry, I don't...

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: On the guide?

15 UNIDENTIFIED: I certainly don't want to

16 speak for the others, but we did look at that part.

17 | I do think that it's really important that a guide is

19 | is that there's a lot of, of excellent resources,

20 particularly legal aids process that was mentioned

21 | already and just to make sure that guidance that is

22 put out, um, is, is similar so that people are

23 getting guidance whether they come to a legal service

24 provider, a community-based organization or a city

25  $\parallel$  agency that is consistent with each other.

based on content.

2.2

2 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Ah, right, got it.
3 This is content-based, or comment, this is a comment

UNIDENTIFIED: And the other thing I would say about it is having put together the NYIC's materials is that, it, um, doing a good job of putting something in writing on this particular topic in such a way that it either remains evergreen or that you can update it is really, really quite complicated.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Yeah, right.

UNIDENTIFIED: And so to the degree that there's a, there's an urgency around creating, um, written materials either about content or about resources or places to go, um, I think it would be strategic to think about whether, to just to prioritize this very carefully and think about them in, like, there are a lot, there are a lot, there's a lot of information about how people can find legal services out there. There's a lot of information about how people can find so anything that you would want to create from scratch, that it just look at all of those things.

2.2

2 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Agreed. Do you 3 want to add?

UNIDENTIFIED: Yeah, I would agree with that. And, um, and I, you know, just express a concern about having any, any written document the topic of which is about disenrolling, um, you know, in just in terms of, just in terms of the, the chilling effect, you know, I echo everyone's concerns about the accuracy and, and, and consistency and, um, and, um, you know, really we're looking at the potential scale of the chilling effect being, being so much greater than the scale of the people actually impacted that, um, that anything that kind of tilts the balance of the information out there towards this is how you disenroll makes me concerned.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And we hear that loud and clearly, and I think what, what it does is drive the point home that this, there will be no evergreen because this is going to change and the training of, of people and allowing them to have the right ways to communicate to triage folks to lawyers, which is probably where most of the talking should be happening, in front of a lawyer, and getting people there as quickly as possible is the name of the game

2.2

here. And that's not going to be enough to send emails out, um, it's going to have to be paired with training of right people that will have that kind of interaction with a New Yorker, being it at a nonprofit or walking into centers everywhere and I'm hearing that loudly as well. OK, thank you.

UNIDENTIFIED: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Our next and final panel is Brooklyn Defender Services, Sonia Marquez, Molly Koh, Volunteers of Legal Service, Rex Chen, ah, Legal Services NYC, Yani Hernandez, [inaudible], I think he might have already left. And then Catholic Charities. OK. [pause] OK, we can start with you. Thank you. Make sure the red light is on and then pull the mic closer to you, and that's for a comment for everybody, and then you're good. OK, thank you.

SONIA MARQUEZ: Thank you. My name is

Sonia Marquez. I'm a civil rights and immigrations

staff attorney at Brooklyn Defender Services. We

just wanted to thank the City Council for taking

leadership on this important issue. Um, one thing

we've heard a lot from the previous panel, um, from

the committee members, is the importance of

individualized legal advice, um, to sort of mitigate,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

case.

um, the widespread fear that this rule has caused. At BDS, um, we're seeing that, um, firsthand. Attorneys and our social workers are receiving an increased number of calls from our clients, who express fears about continue on the public benefits or enrolling in public benefits, um, and they're asking whether they should disenroll for the cases that, that they have with us. In addition, we're also seeing an increased request for know your rights information from community organizations, um, to disseminate accurate information. Um, as to the, as to the proposals, we support the five bills and the resolution, um, with similar concerns that the previous panel express. Um, one concern that we, we did have is where folks are being referred to legal services or getting information through, let's say, HRA when they go to enroll or disenroll, um, but they already may already have a knowledgeable immigration attorney. And just making sure that whatever advice is given is sort of consistent and they're not sort of being rerouted outside of where they can really just know they should contact, um, someone who already really knows, is knowledgeable about their

2.2

2 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Can I pause you

3 | there?

SONIA MARQUEZ: Sure, go ahead.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And you're saying there's a natural flow here of, of kind of best outcomes that has a New Yorker engaged in a nonprofit that then engages with a legal services provider, where a lawyer has gone through the case and says OK, here's what's going on, here's a modification to your benefits, go to HRA. And then HRA says, oh, you should go talk to a lawyer, and they're like I already talked to a lawyer, maybe I should talk to another lawyer, and they keep kind of doing this loop. Is that, is that what you're referring to?

SONIA MARQUEZ: Exactly. Either where there is, they're referred to a separate lawyer, then they get inconsistent legal advice or we already made the determination, you know what, this may be the best course, and then they go to HRA, let's say and, um, then they're sort of rerouted and did not really understand fully what's going on.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: So how do we solve that?

2.2

SONIA MARQUEZ: Um, I think one way could just be, um, if there was a way that, um, social workers or our attorneys could have a direct contact with sort of HRA in that way so that they know that this person who is going is being taken care of.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: OK, OK, sorry, keep going through some of the other bills.

SONIA MARQUEZ: Sure.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Sorry, I'm going to come back to that.

SONIA MARQUEZ: OK, sure. Um, another thing that, um, we wanted to mention was that BDS also expects that legal service providers will see, um, the consequences of the new weighted factors analysis of the public charge rule. This will make it even harder for low-income immigrants to apply for and become lawful permanent residents. And for legal service providers who serve primarily low-income clients the rule out could really impact nearly all of our family-based green card applications. This analysis will make the applications more onerous to prepare, um, and, as intended, it will also cause increased denials. And that will, that will mean that providers will have expend additional resources

2.2

in preparing the applications and appealing the denials to USAS and representing clients in removal proceedings once they're referred to ICE. Um, so given also the impacts of the rule that we have seen and that we expect, we ask the City Council to consider and we echo the request made by the previous panel, um, to consider additional funding for the legal service providers, um, have that, have the capacity to help mitigate and combat the impact of this rule on years. Thank you.

MOLLY KOH: My name is Molly Koh. I'm a senior staff attorney with the Immigration Project and Volunteers Legal Service. Thank you for the opportunity to speak here today. Um, VOLS is a small nonprofit but we were founded 35 years ago, ah, with the admission of leveraging and good will, resources and talents of New York City's leading law firms, um, through providing pro bono legal services to underresourced New Yorkers. Um, and I would echo everything, you know, that has been said so far about, um, how, ah, how important the messaging is around the implementation of these bills, if they are to become law. I was really pleased to hear the, the way that the folks from HRA and MOOIA in particular

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

were talking about this because of the, um, you know of all the people that we work with, all of the undocumented, ah, parents of US citizens or young people who are perhaps documented or have TPS, you know, who have been coming to us at least on the issue of disenrollment from public benefits, so few of them are actually affected by this new regulation, and so I do just caution against the, um, you know, adding to the fear that this rule was designed to create in these communities. Ah, regarding the issue of training of DOE staff, we support that wholeheartedly. VOLS has been partnering with New York City public schools for approximately 20 years to identify areas of civil legal need that affect the educational outcomes for students and there is absolutely a big difference between back then writing a letter and, um, you know, now sending an email and providing in-person training on a regular basis. our strongest partners in schools have been quidance counselors, college advisors, social workers, the parents coordinators have been fantastic, um, but doing that training once is not sufficient generally and so there should be some follow-up plan, um, even when we do, you know, we can do a training at the

start of the school year and with all the different
things that our educators are juggling, um, it's
helpful to have a way to maintain that communication
over the course of the school year. Um, regarding,
um, regarding the guide, I think this has been said
before. Regarding the guide, ah, as it relates to
the bill for HRA, you know, there are a lot of
fantastic resources out there already, um, you know,
I remember just personally many years ago as an
intern at the public advocate's office spending just
an incredible amount of time trying to design a guide
on the, you know, the intersection of immigration and
access to public benefits and so I just caution
against reinventing the wheel. Um, and then one
other thing that looking towards the future, we are
really concerned about the proposed, ah, federal
regulation as it relates to access to public housing
for people in, ah, and families with mixed
immigration status and so I'd be interested just to
have more conversations about the intersection of
that proposed regulation and, um, you know, the
trainings that are going to be happening for NYCHA
staff, ah, as they relate to the current regulation.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Ah, are you involved in anything relating to this topic, ah, with the administration? Have you been invited to be part of conversations at all?

MOLLY KOH: To my knowledge, no.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: OK. Great. So we want to, we want to help bridge that gap, especially with not just the knowledge but, and desire, but, um, the kind of implementation part as well.

MOLLY KOH: That'd be great.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you for that.

MOLLY KOH: Thank you.

REX CHEN: Hi, I'm Rex Chen, the director of immigration at Legal Services NYC. We have three recommendations. First, I'll tell you a little bit about Legal Services NYC. We're the largest civil legal service provider in New York City and the country, and we have, our advocates have provided income, security, and stability for many of our vulnerable clients and, in helping them get state and federal benefits. Um, we have extensive experience working on benefits. From 2016 to 2018 we helped over 1900 people with SNAP enrollment and of those

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

400 of them were eligible noncitizens. In addition, we helped over 4000 people with cash welfare issues, such as TANF enrollment and 760 of them were eligible noncitizens. So our team has a lot of experience in this area and has seen, um, the impact that everyone's been talking about. And we also help hundreds of HIV-positive clients access benefits every year. Um, so if I turn to the three recommendations, um, one of them is that if New York City proactively take steps to protect the identify of ineligible noncitizens SNAP and Medicaid household members, um, most people would be inclined more to access the public benefits that they qualify for. Right now the city's databases include information about household members who decline the SNAP and Medicaid benefits, and people are concerned about giving that sensitive information during enrollment. If people are afraid that TANF, Department of Homeland Security, might at some point access the databases to find out about which household members declined the benefits, then they might just drop out of the program instead of giving that information. Um, so perhaps the city should try to take steps to protect the identify of the household members who are

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

declining SNAP and Medicaid benefits. Um, a second recommendation is that under the new public charge rule people will be less willing to accept state or local cash benefit programs for income maintenance. And so it would be ideal to decouple certain subsidies from state and local cash benefit programs for income maintenance. Um, right now New York City links its unique city and state housing subsidies with state income maintenance programs. If the city decouples them then people could still obtain those housing subsidies even if they decide to decline all state income maintenance programs. Um, a third recommendation is in the same vein, the idea of, ah, trying to distinguish certain benefits from income maintenance programs and here, um, New York City should decouple certain housing assistance, case management, and health insurance based on HIV status from ongoing income maintenance programs to allow people to access it even if they decide to decline all state income maintenance programs. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you. interesting recommendations. And we'd like to follow

REX CHEN: Thanks.

up with you on that after.

2	[NAME UNCLEAR]: Hello. My name is [name
3	unclear]. I'm the director of immigration legal
4	services and of the immigration hotlines of Catholic
5	Charities. Thank you very much for the opportunity
6	to testify and thank you for your leadership and your
7	vision in supporting immigrant New Yorkers. Um,
8	almost everything that is to be said has been said.
9	I just wanted to make two points. In, um, our
10	opinion the two, ah, problems posed by a public
11	charge are one, that we've heard a lot about today
12	which is the chilling effect that it has on people
13	who are eligible for benefits and who would not be
14	affected by the rule from, um, enrolling in these
15	benefits or enrolling their children in these
16	benefits. That's certainly, um, of great concern.
17	Um, and I do want to emphasize the role that our
18	hotlines can play in, um, trying to address and to,
19	to provide, to correct the misinformation that's out
20	there. So far our hotlines, in terms of public
21	calls, concerning public charge, a lot of the calls
22	that we're getting are coming from legal permanent
23	residents who would not be affected by this. So it's
24	pretty clear that there is misinformation. It's not
25	clear what the cause of the misinformation.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Right. What's the percentage? Is there a percentage that you have, ah, in your, is it?

[NAME UNCLEAR]: Um, so we're just looking at about 250 calls and about 150 of them were from legal permanent residents.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Wow, OK.

[NAME UNCLEAR]: Um, plus at least another 20 or so calls from US citizens and from asylees and U visas.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Naturalized citizens, or?

[NAME UNCLEAR]: Naturalized citizens.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: OK.

[NAME UNCLEAR]: So if, these would be people who would be not be affected.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Right.

[NAME UNCLEAR]: Um, and by comparison the calls from people who could possibly be affected, um, were about 60 or so. So, um, again the hotline is a, an effective way people can call at any time, well [laughs], between, during the hours of operation, um, but this is information that, that, you know, can be provided at any point. So it

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

doesn't have to be now. It can be any time the question arises, they can call us. And one of the good things about calling either the city ActionNYC hotline or the New York State hotline is that what we try to do is link people, we don't try to answer all their questions over the phone. There's really no way to do that without looking at their papers or asking them, um, in-depth questions. But we direct them to legal service providers that can answer through one-on-one consultations, and that's really important because otherwise people would be inclined to go to [notarios] or ask advice from their best friend and this is not the time to do this. And this brings me to my second point, ah, which my colleague at BDS addressed, but that is the confluence of three USCIS or Department of Homeland Security policies right now. One is, ah, the fact that people who apply for green cards, ah, and who are denied the green card, if they are without status, which a lot of them are, will be put in removal proceedings. That's one policy. The second policy is that, um, if applicants do not provide all of the supporting documentation that's required by a particular application they can be denied that, that benefit

without the opportunity to provide more evidence. So
in the past if somebody provided insufficient
documentation, ah, they would get something called a
request for evidence. That policy has been rolled
back by USCIS and now they can deny a benefit, ah, if
it's not, if the application is not properly
supported and prepared. So you take that policy and
you add onto it the fact that you, once you get
denied the benefit you can be put in proceedings, and
then you take the new public charge policy, which
because it makes it so much more complicated to apply
for something that, um, I think there's a statistic
from clinic that basically says out of one million
green cards that are granted on a yearly basis, um, I
believe 750,000 of them are family based and would be
affected by this rule. This, I've looked at the, um,
proposed form for public charge, ah, the I944, and
the, I'm sorry, the instructions. It is incredibly
onerous, even for somebody who is experienced as an
attorney. There is almost no way that someone who
may not have a high level of education or a good
command of English can make sense of it on their own,
and so if you add all of these things together it
makes it very important for people to get the proper

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

advice before they apply and to get application assistance. Even, um, you know, we're talking about in our office applications that we're going to prepare going forward should the rule take effect on October 15 are going to require so much more work than ever before. We're going to need to provide, um, you know, not just the application, the supporting documents, which again are very onerous, but a, something close to a legal brief to explain how the totality of circumstances works in our clients' favor. And this is at a time where legal service providers also have to represent unaccompanied minor in proceedings and we have a lot of work, this is on top of that. So to make a long story short I think we need more funding for something that was pretty much a straightforward type of application before that will now be a complex, um, application, and not just necessarily just for application assistance. There will be many people who probably will come to one of our agencies and after sitting down with us will decide, and will be right to decide, not to go forward because the risks are too great. And that in itself, you know, sitting down with someone, analyzing your situation, and

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

making that informed decision, that is a service

that, that, um, agencies should be, um, funded to do,

to, just the consultation, that may not result in, in

5 an application. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you for that, and really kind of connecting multiple dots to the, the kind of process that's in front of, ah, New Yorkers, and I think what, um, really I want to end here because I know it's been a very long afternoon of conversation, but we're trying to move as fast as we can to set this up for success, and we really appreciate the conversations about the, um, specifically the chilling effects that could happen with one of the bills, but really pointing to, ah, things that are already out there in the world. We don't have to reinvent the wheel. These are all things I'm hearing from you in terms of how we can, how we can get ready. Because we're building upon a system that's already robust but can be overtaxed with this next piece that will require more legal services. Because those conversations that you're kind of referring to happen in front of a lawyer and we need more legal, um, and I'm the biggest supporter of the legal services world, ah, you know that.

2.2

services, correct?

we need to understand how it all works in terms of public charge as, as we understand it and what the city can do. I love the ideas of decoupling. That's interesting. I think those had come up before, but never before have we had a reason to do that. We're going to look into that to understand how it can happen and if any one of you on this panel are here want to help us understand that, please help us understand that. Um, what I want, and maybe not a question, because I think we've kind of hit everything. For the ONA, ah, for the hotline, for the Catholic Charities hotline, um, none of the people that are on the hotline are giving legal

[NAME UNCLEAR]: They are not giving legal advice, no.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And all of them are giving information about how to get legal services and to connecting people to legal services, correct?

[NAME UNCLEAR]: Correct.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And do all those people need training to do that work? Do you train your folks that are on the hotline to do that work?

2.2

2 [NAME UNCLEAR]: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Is this something that we think that anybody can do in terms of does it require legal, legal advice, or a legal background to be a hotline provider?

[NAME UNCLEAR]: So our hotline staff is trained, um, on a monthly basis they get legal updates. They don't have to get legal advice, and actually that's a fine line to walk, to actually have the information and provide it in a general sense and not give advice. But they do understand all of these pieces and how they work together, not just for public charge but for anything else that they may get questions on.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Got it.

[NAME UNCLEAR]: So it's not...

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: So just for

context.

[NAME UNCLEAR]: Just for context.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: But then they can say, OK, here's what you got to do.

[NAME UNCLEAR]: They can, they can catch
red flags, um, as they're having a conversation with
a caller and they can say, you know, this is, this

could be of concern. We really strongly advise you to talk to an immigration attorney before you travel out of the country. It sounds like there may be some issues that you need to discuss. So they're not...

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And go talk to a lawyer.

[NAME UNCLEAR]: Yes, go talk to a lawyer and then all the referrals that we make are to nonprofits, nonprofit legal services providers. If a caller indicates that they can pay then we, um, connect them with ALA or to some of the bar associations to get referrals.

I guess what I want to just point to is there's a version of that that could exist and should exist in frontline services of some sort that we can negotiate with the administration so that people are understanding how to triage and have context with a continual, not just once, but continual training of our, of our teams. Because the last thing we want to do is give anybody, um, when the highest percentage of people who are calling are actually not at all impacted, and we all know that, most people are not impacted, but that's the whole point of this thing is

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

to impact with great fear all New Yorkers that might, um, think they have. But to, to have people ready when they are engaging city services, ah, and be able to take them to the right place. And one of the biggest barriers is language access, ah, and we've just, this has been a continued issue with the administration, language access is not where it needs to be. Ah, the concept of a language bank, language interpreter bank, has been floating around but hasn't been routed so people can have access to an interpreter when they're engaging city services. these are all ideas that we're trying to really kind of pull together so that we can, we can offer as policy makers and that's what we do at the council, we create the policy and the administration executes that policy. We want to make sure that they execute the right policy and this is why you're so important to this conversation. So we want to follow up with some of these things, um, and if there's any last comments you want to give on anything. Then with that we're going to call this hearing to an end and we want to continue engaging in these conversations. We want to go fast. So, but we're going to take a step back, digest, and then keep moving forward.

## ${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date September 22, 2019