CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON CULTURAL AFFAIRS, LIBRARIES AND INTERNATIONAL INTERGROUP RELATIONS

----- X

September 17, 2019 Start: 1:09 p.m. Recess: 3:00 p.m.

HELD AT: Committee Room - City Hall

B E F O R E: JAMES G. VAN BRAMER

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS: Joseph C. Borelli

Laurie A. Cumbo Karen Koslowitz Francisco P. Moya

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Tom Finkelpearl, Commissioner New York City Commission of Department of Cultural Affairs

Daniel Fonner, Director of Research, SMU Data Arts

Keith Stubblefield, Brooklyn Academy of Music, BAM, Cultural Institutions Group Member

Stephanie Wilchfort, President and CEO of Brooklyn Children's Museum

Lisa Gold, Executive Director, Asian-American Arts Alliance

Rosslyn Barber, Public Theater

Jeffrey Amora, Fair Wage on Stage

Robert Stanton, Fair Wage on Stage

Valerie Paley, New York Historical Society

Amy Andrew, MoCADA

Jeffery Amora, Fair Wage on Stage

Robert Stanton, Fair Wage on Stage

2 [sound check] [pause] [gavel]

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON VAN BRAMER: Good morning or good afternoon.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Quiet, please.

CHAIRPERSON VAN BRAMER: Good afternoon everyone. My name is Jimmy Van Bramer, and I am the Chair of the Committee on Cultural Affairs, Libraries and International Intergroup Relations. I want to thank you all here for this important hearing on diversity and cultural institutions and the New York City Department of Cultural Affairs, Workforce Demographics, Pilot Study: Findings, Results and Next Steps. As everybody here knows, it is always a busy day here at City Hall, and there is a major hearing going on next door, which I, too, need to check in at some point because I am a part of that committee as well. So, members will be coming and going throughout the hearing, but this city is known wide and far for its great racial, ethnic and cultural diversity, and also, of course, as one if not the major cultural hubs of the world, and while diversity has been an integral part of many of the city's cultural offerings and our advances in the arts, there is a lack of diversity in culture and

2 art, and institutions that has been widely noted. will say that our community is not the only community 3 that faces these challenges, but the Department of 4 Cultural Affairs and the cultural world at large is 5 6 thinking about these issues and acting on the 7 findings. It's part of why we're having a hearing today. So, as some folks know, obviously the 8 Commissioner knows, in January of 2015 DCLA launched 9 10 a diversity initiative to study, promote and cultivate equitable representation among the 11 12 leadership staff and audiences of cultural organizations in New York City. The first major 13 14 project of the DEI Initiative was to conduct a survey 15 of the demographics of the workforce of the 987 at 16 that time DCLA grantees in partnership with the research firm Ithaka S&R. In January or 2016, DCLA 17 18 released the results of this study, which found that the cultural workforce did not reflect the diversity 19 20 of the city's population. In particular the study found that the cultural workforce was 61.8% white 21 2.2 while less that a third of the city is comprised of 23 non-Hispanic whites. This committee held a hearing on the results of the Ithaka S&R Study on February 24 In 2017, DCLA launched the city's first 25

1 2 comprehensive cultural plan creates NYC. Equity and inclusions was and is one of the issue areas 3 identified in the plan, which cited the results of 4 5 the Ithaka Study and "troubling" and included a 6 number of objectives and strategies related to DEI. 7 After the release of Create NYC, DCLA partnered with SMU Data Arts to conduct another pilot study on the 8 demographics of the workforce of cultural 9 institutions, the results of which were released in 10 July of 2019. The SMU Data Arts study use different 11 12 methodology from the Ithaka Study in that it relied on self-reported responses of the cultural workers. 13 14 The results of the two studies were largely similar 15 with respect to the racial and ethnic demographics of 16 the workforce. However, the methodology of the SMU Data Arts Study allowed the collection of better 17 18 information on disability and sexual orientation and gender identity. Today, we are here to the explore 19 the results of a 2019 SMU Data Arts pilot study and 20 the committee will seek to gain a deeper 21 2.2 understanding of DCLA's diversity, equity and 23 inclusion initiatives and the best practices to increase DI in the cultural sector. I want to thank 24 my Legislative Director Jack Bernetovitz, my Chief of 25

Staff Matt Wallace, the committee's Finance Analyst
Alia Ali, our Policy Analyst Christy Dwyer to my left
and our interim Committee Counsel Mel Beekman who is
stepping for Brenda McKinney who is away on family
leave celebrating the birth of her daughter Valencia,
and also Aminta Kilawan who served as our Committee
Counsel for years before moving on is also here in a
guest-special guest performance, and thank you Aminta
Kilawan. So, with that, I think we will swear in the
Commissioner. You, young man, do not have to raise
your right hand at this point, but the Commissioner
does, yes.

LEGAL COUNSEL: Please raise your right hand. Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in your testimony before this committee and to respond honestly to Council Member questions?

COMMISSIONER FINKELPEARL: Yes. I do.

CHAIRPERSON VAN BRAMER: You may proceed Commissioner. Then I presume after your testimony we'll hear from...

COMMISSIONER FINKELPEARL: Yes, from Data

Arts from Mr. Fonner and then--

CHAIRPERSON VAN BRAMER: Yes, great.

2.2

2 COMMISSIONER FINKELPEARL: Entertain.

3 CHAIRPERSON VAN BRAMER: Great.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER FINKELPEARL: Okay, good afternoon Chair Van Bramer and members of the committee. I'm here today to testify in regards to today's topic: Diversity in the Cultural Institutions and New York City's Department of Cultural Affairs, Workforce demographic, the demographics, pilots that are finding results in next steps. Making our city's arts and cultural institutions more welcoming and reflective of all New Yorkers has been a priority the Department of Cultural Affairs since the start of this administration. To work towards this ideal one of the core strategies we've been-we're encouraging among our constituent -- our constituents is increasing diversity in the cultural workforce. We believe that staffs encompassing a variety of voices ultimately create programs that speak to a variety of audiences. Before you can move forward on any, you need to assess where you're starting. That's why in the fall of 2015, as Council Member Van Bramer said, we commissioned a survey of every cultural non-profit organization receiving support from the Department of Cultural Affairs. Using private funding we selected

2 research firm Ithaka S&R to collect demographic data from over 900 organizations and report on how diverse 3 our city's cultural workforce actually was. T his is 4 the first study of its kind conducting in the New 5 York City cultural sector. The results were 6 7 published in 2016. We found some data that were troubling to say the least. Most concerning was the 8 fact that although two-thirds of New Yorkers identify 9 as people of color, only around one-third of those 10 working in arts and culture non-profit jobs 11 12 identified that way. On top of that, high level jobs with people curating collections and making decision 13 14 were significantly wider than lower lower level 15 supporting staff. The 2015 study gave us a great 16 starting point despite the launch of several new programs and efforts to address these challenges. 17 18 For instance, working with Theater Subdistrict Council we directed \$3 million-over \$3 million to 19 20 training programs aimed at fostering fairer more inclusive theater workforce. Theater is an iconic 21 2.2 cultural industry in New York City, but it faced 23 particularly steep challenges outline in the Ithaka Report. CUNY Cultural Corps, who has just kicked off 24 its fourth year last year has placed over 400 25

2 students into paid internships of cultural groups. The Cultural Corps is aimed at squarely at the often 3 referred-referenced pipeline problem. It leverages 4 5 CUNY's diverse student body to open up new-a new pool 6 of talent for cultural organizations. [coughs] But as 7 we dover deeper into thinking about diversity, equity and inclusion, realized that we wanted a high 8 resolution snapshot of the a cultural field. We also 9 wanted to find ways to draft the-involve the cultural 10 organizations we fund in these projects. So, in 2018, 11 12 we brought in the organization now known as SMU Data Arts whom you will hear from shortly. [coughs] 13 worked with them to develop a demographic survey that 14 15 would be distributed to small subs of the 16 organizations we fund as a pilot. This survey has two important features that make it particularly useful 17 18 to us. First, it's a survey distributed directly to individual staff members, contractors, volunteers and 19 20 board members. The data is self-reported. The previous study relied on data from Human Resources 21 2.2 records of cultural institution. As a result, it did 23 not capture statistically significant information about disability status, and it did not address 24 sexual orientation at all. [coughs] As an anonymous 25

2 self-reported survey, it can get us a much clearer
3 picture of diversity. In my recent report in access—

4 I'm not sure what that sound was there.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON VAN BRAMER: It was just the other room, the door opened. You're good.

COMMISSIONER FINKELPEARL: Oh, the door opened.

CHAIRPERSON VAN BRAMER: It's all good.

COMMISSIONER FINKELPEARL: Okay, that's the other hearing.

CHAIRPERSON VAN BRAMER: Keep going.

putting my theme (sic) down this way. Second, the data and its process allowed individuals cultural institutions to benefit from understanding their own organization along with the—along with the others as a whole. We at DCLA would only receive a report containing aggregated data from the surveyed institutions. However, if an individual—if a given organization had a level of participation that meets significance, which you'll hear about in a minute, Data Arts would issue them their own organization's specific report. [coughs] We're proud to say that SMU Data Arts collected nearly 7,000 responses to the

25

2 survey. Many of the 65 organizations that participated in this pilot including all 33 members 3 4 of the Cultural Institutions Group accumulated enough 5 response from the staff and volunteers to qualify for individual reports. This bodes well for the coming 6 7 year when we will roll out the survey to all of our constituent organizations, over 1,000 arts and 8 cultural non-profits. You'll hear more about the 9 process from Daniel Fonner shortly. In addition Data 10 Arts has put together an analysis of the data from 11 12 the pilot study, which we're happy to share, which is available on our website. I urge you to remember 13 14 that this year's research is a pilot so the results 15 aren't permanent. However, I do want to point out a 16 few takeaways where we've been thinking about it. For one thing, racial distribution of cultural 17 18 workers in these organizations still skews to about two-thirds white, non-Hispanic as compared to one-19 20 third of NYC's population. Clearly our work with this field is cut out for us in terms or increasing 21 2.2 racial diversity particularly in senior level 23 positions. Now we have data bout sexual orientation that we didn't know before. Fifteen percent of the 24

surveyed workforce identifies as members of the LGBTQ

2 community, and a bigger percentage of that percentage increased to around 25%. We also have new data about 3 4 people with disabilities who work in the arts and 5 culture. The self-reporting aspect of this survey 6 and us-enabled us to discover that 8% of respondents 7 identify as having a disability. So, it's worth pointing out that even an anonymous environment 8 questions about disability and sexual orientation 9 10 each had 11% of respondents declining to state their status or identity. Why is that? This is one of the 11 12 many-of the many questions we hope to answer with further study and engagement with our constituents. 13 14 You have heard me compare some the results from the 15 study to the New York City population as a whole, but 16 I want to emphasize that the demographics of our city as reported in the census serve only as a guidance 17 18 and inspiration. We're not looking to impose quotas. Seeking diversity and equity in our field requires a 19 20 much more nuanced conversation than that. This is especially important to remember when looking at 21 2.2 individual organizations. Consider organizations 23 whose mission it is to preserve the ethnic heritage to their community or highly localized organizations 24 25 serving one specific neighborhood or a very small

2 organization or very small organizations. It makes no sense to demand the demographic profile that non-3 profits like these should match that of the city as a 4 In addition, there's been some discussion of 5 whole. the new-in the news of certain populations being over 6 7 "over-represented" in the cultural reference. Let's take people with disabilities for example. Yes, it is 8 true that 8% of the study respondents who report 9 having one or more disabilities is greater than the 10 4% of New York City's total workforce to do so. But 11 12 that 8% is less than the 11% of the city's entire population who going to identify as people having a 13 14 disability. Rather than indicating overrepresentation, that statistic highlights another 15 16 challenge. Certain groups such as people with disabilities are actually significant and under-17 18 represented in the workforce as a whole, but the field of rights and culture is doing a better than 19 20 average job after removing barriers to employment for members of these groups, and that's something to be 21 2.2 proud of in saying it's fact. So, now what? How do 23 we move forward with this nuanced conversation? Where do we go now when the pilot study is complete? 24 25 One important step is to broaden the pool of

25

2 respondents, which we're doing in the coming year. That will establish our baseline, and become a tool 3 4 we can redeploy or return to monitor the progress of the field. I'd also like to share a few other 5 6 programs and projects we're already working on to 7 help increase diversity in the cultural workforce. As promised in the Create NYC Cultural Plan, we have 8 required that members of the Cultural Institution 9 10 group to adopt diversity and equity inclusion plans. We've worked closely with the HCIG member to produce 11 12 these plans that make sense for them, while also contributing to the goal of a more inclusive cultural 13 14 sector. The resulting plans saw customized benchmarks 15 and increased accountability and will be reviewed 16 annually. Incidentally, all of these institutions qualify to receive individual reports from Data Arts 17 18 Survey. Several mentioned to us that these reports were invaluable to us in shaping meaningful diversity 19 20 goals and strategies. We're also working with organizations outside the CIG as the strive to 21 2.2 diversify their staffs. For example, in February we 23 partnered with the Mayor's Office for People with 24 Disabilities to host Disability and Inclusion in the Cultural Workforce. This event drew over 150 people

1 2 running-representing over 90 cultural organizations. Attendees learned about local state and regional 3 4 resources often support in developing more inclusive 5 recruiting, hiring and retention practices. This is the second such even we've held in the effort to 6 7 address in some small way the joblessness crisis in the disability community, and we intend for this to 8 be our last. In 2017 and 2018, the Theater 9 Subdistrict Council, as I mentioned before, dedicated 10 over \$3 million to help increase diversity and 11 12 opportunity in the theater workforce. The 2015 Demographic Study indicated that theater industry was 13 frankly less diverse than other areas of arts and 14 15 culture. The TLC gave funding to 11 theater non-16 profits to begin to address this challenge. The organizations established fellowship programs for 17 18 members of under-represented populations particularly people of color and people with disabilities. 19 20 Several of these programs have continued even after the TLC funding ended. Another program offering 21 2.2 opportunities to young people just starting their 23 careers, the CUNY Cultural Corps continues to grow strong. For the 2019-2020 school year over 100 24 25 students from a variety of CUNY colleges are

beginning paid internships with cultural institutions 2 throughout the city. These internships are funded by 3 4 the city with additional support from the Rockefeller 5 Foundation and represent what a strong public-private 6 partnership can accomplish. This spring after just 7 four years, a tremendously diverse group of nearly 450 students will receive excellent work experience 8 in the cultural sector. They all began building 9 10 solid professional networks an essential component of great development, and they will have performed over 11 12 100,000 work hours at non-profits in the cultural sector. This means that students will not have to 13 14 choose between discovering careers in the arts and 15 earning a paycheck. Data shows that employers at the 16 lower rungs of cultural employment are a more diverse What can we do to nurture this talent? We 17 cohort. 18 renewed our partnership with CUNY to create NYC Leadership Accelerator attempts to do this. 19 20 program, which is free of charge for the participating-for the participants thanks to city 21 2.2 funding provides professional development and 23 leadership skills training to a diverse group of midcareer cultural professionals. By the end of this 24 25 fiscal year, the program will have given over 100

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 future leaders invaluable tools and strategies they'll need as they extend their research—their 3 reach in their careers in the arts and cultural 4 5 field. These are all steps on the journey towards a more inclusive cultural workforce, and we're not 6 7 stopping. As a city and a society committee to fairness, we have an obligation to ensure that 8 transformative social, intellectual, and emotional 9 economic-and economic benefits are there in culture 10 and open to everyone. We at DCLA look forward to 11 12 working with City Council, our city agencies and our constituent cultural organizations to achieve this. 13 14 Thank you for the opportunity to discuss today's 15 topic. Now we'll hear from Daniel Fonner of SMU Data 16 Arts. Following that, I an happy to answer questions that you may have. 17

CHAIRPERSON VAN BRAMER: Feel free to go ahead, Daniel, but before that, I want to recognize Council Member Francisco Moya, a member of the committee from Queens has joined us.

DANIEL FONNER: Good afternoon, Chair—
Chair Van Bramer and members of the committee. My
name is Daniel Fonner, and I'm the Associate Director
for Research at SMU Data Arts, the national center

2 for arts research at Southern Methodist University in Dallas, Texas. I offer my thanks to this committee 3 4 and to the Department of Cultural Affairs for 5 inviting me here today to testify about the pilot workforce demographic study conducted by the SMU Data 6 Arts on a subset of DCLA funded organizations 7 including members of the Cultural Institutions Group. 8 Over the past seven years, SMU Data Arts has 9 conducted workforce demographic studies for 10 governments, foundations and service organizations 11 12 across the country collecting demographic information that includes employee heritage, age, gender, sexual 13 14 orientation, and disability status. Through an 15 iterative and reflective design process SMU Data Arts 16 regularly updates and refines our survey methodology, instrument and reports to best capture the 17 18 demographic characteristics of the arts and culture workforce. To ensure privacy of all respondents, our 19 20 survey platform captures data anonymously, and our reporting standards prevent the identification of 21 2.2 individual respondents. Prior to survey deployment 23 for this pilot study in New York City on August 7, 2018, SMU Data Arts coordinated with DCLA to 24 determine which organizations would participate. Once 25

25

2 selected, a designated individual at each organization was tasked with providing SMU Data Arts 3 4 with total workforce members for their organization 5 and with email a url for all employees, board members 6 and independent contractors directing them to the 7 survey. The survey, which takes about five minutes to complete was opened until October 2, 2018. 6,928 8 individuals affiliated with 65 arts and cultural 9 10 organizations participated in the study allowing us to be 95% confident that our sample in general is 11 12 representative of the total workforce of the participating organizations with a margin of error of 13 14 plus or minus 1%. Organizations that received a 15 sufficient level of participation from their 16 workforce were given a report that analyzed the demographics of their individual organization. 17 18 Responses from all organizations were aggregated to create the Pilot Community Report we are discussing 19 20 In 2016, the firm Ithaka S&R conducted a today. similar demographic study on a much larger group or 21 2.2 DCLA funded organizations. While the Ithaka provided 23 valuable information on the demographics of the arts and cultural workforce in New York City, the 24

methodology employed in data collection reporting

2 left unanswered questions. Topics such as disability status and sexual orientation were not fully explored 3 and some role in employment status choices led to 4 5 some ambiguity in reporting. Most importantly, the 6 methodology employed Ithaka required a single person 7 at each organization to fill out spreadsheet that contained demographics for all employees. This method 8 invites assumption into the data collection process 9 10 potentially misrepresenting the demographics of the workforce. To that end, while broad trends appear in 11 12 both reports it is not possible to compare the Ithaka study and SMU Data Arts Pilot Study on a one-to-one 13 14 basis. When reporting on workforce demographic data, 15 we strive to provide benchmark information for 16 organizations and policymakers to draw comparisons and make informed decisions. We provide context to 17 18 the data collected by comparing it to standard demographic data such as data from the Census Bureau. 19 20 In cases where the census does not collect certain data, we compare data to other reputable research in 21 2.2 the field. The demographic analysis provided by SMU 23 Data Arts is not prescriptive. We do not make 24 recommendations on what should be done. We simply 25 aim to capture and report on many aspects of

1 2 demographics. Primary findings from this study include in terms of gender identity board and 3 executive leadership closely matched the make-up of 4 New York City with other roles leaning more female. 5 With regard to sexual orientation, 15% of respondents 6 7 identified as gay, lesbian or bisexual compared to 4% of the New York, Newark and Jersey City Metro area. 8 Regarding heritage, 66% of the arts and cultural 9 workforce identified as white and non-Hispanic 10 compared to 32% in the general population. All other 11 12 races and ethnicities including-including Asian, Black and Hispanic were represented in the arts and 13 cultural workforce at less than half the prevalence 14 15 occurring within the general population. Respondents 16 identifying their role as community engagement most 17 closely mirrored census data. In terms of disability 18 status, 8% of respondents identified as having a disability compared to 11% in the general New York 19 20 City population. Since these definitions around disability status include those who are in the labor 21 2.2 force both employed and unemployed, and those in the 23 general population, the census definition of the 24 labor force only captures those not-who are non-

volunteers and are age 20 to 64. It is more

25

2 appropriate to compare against the general population in the context of this study, which included both 3 volunteers and those over age 64. In our study, 16% 4 5 of respondents and 32% of boards, which are voluntary 6 positions by nature, were over the age of 64 and 19% 7 of respondents identified as non-board volunteers. The results of this pilot study shows similar trends 8 to other studies conducted by SMU Data Arts in cities 9 10 such as Los Angeles and Houston particularly in relation to racial and ethnic identity and gender 11 12 make-up of arts and culture workforces. While we view the study as successful, we always look for ways 13 14 to improve our survey and our reports. Since the 15 completion of this study, we have updated our 16 questions and definitions for sexual orientation and gender identity, improved our statistical methods for 17 18 determining participation thresholds, and added questions to further employee work place perceptions 19 20 related to wellbeing and psychological safety. Additionally, we are currently testing a potential 21 2.2 question to distinguish between incidents and 23 prevalence of demographic characteristics within a workforce. SMU Data Arts aims to build a national 24 culture of data drive decision making for those who 25

2 want to see arts and culture sectors thrive. D

3 | without context of place, time and community

4 understanding is irrelevant at its best, and

5 misleading and destructive at its worst. We are SMU

6 Data Arts aim to provide our partners and the arts

7 and culture sector in general with objective data,

8 | insights and tools to empower them to make informed

9 decisions to better serve their communities. I'm

10 happy to answer any questions you may have at this

11 time. Thank you.

these surveys?

1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Your testimony, and thank you for your commitment to including sexual orientation and gender identity more. As a gay man, I can tell you that the gays love the arts. [laughter] So, it's very important that you include us. Having said that, obviously we have some questions for the Commissioner in particular. So, I guess I would start off by saying to you what do you think is the most disturbing finding, and-and how are we going to address that which you think is the most disturbing finding in

COMMISSIONER FINKELPEARL: Well, I mean I think with the, you know, we'll continue as Mr.

_	
2	Fonner just said, to be these big discrepancies, but
3	particularly around race and ethnic identity in the
4	cultural workforce, and I think that, you know, we've
5	already taken a whole bunch of steps. I outlined
6	those before. I think that the-you now, you're going
7	to hear from the Cultural Institutions Group who have
8	now developed the first step, the inclusion plans
9	with goals that have been vetted and accepted by us
10	as being legitimate plans. So, I think that, you
11	know, this is the big, um, challenge I think of
12	keeping the doors open to folks that, you know, like
13	LGBTQ folks that have found good employment within
14	the sector is extremely important, but I do think
15	that, you know, just on the face of it, it's pretty

CHAIRPERSON VAN BRAMER: So the-

just mentioned in the testimony those terrible

clear that the biggest challenge remains what was

COMMISSIONER FINKELPEARL: So, you can

21 accept that. (sic)

discrepancies.

CHAIRPERSON VAN BRAMER: Yep. So, if-if the racial and ethnic diversity is so far behind that of the city, what specific plans does the

24

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

you're-

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

CHAIRPERSON VAN BRAMER: [interposing]

Other than citing it some more but--

2.2 COMMISSIONER FINKELPEARL: No, no, yeah,

23 but the studies are super important, and I think that

the individual information that the groups have 24

25 gotten out of this study is important. I've heard

25

2 from cultural organizations for example that they didn't know how many people with disabilities are 3 working on their workforce, and that's important for 4 them to know that 8% of their-I can't remember the 5 exact number, but 8% overall, but to make it possible 6 7 for those folks to be fully productive, it's 8 important to know that. So, again, what you're referring to, and again this is a moment we were 9 10 sitting there together with the mayor, the announcement of the Cultural Plan, which as everybody 11 12 in the room knows was a bill sponsored by the Chairman, that we sat there at that moment and said, 13 14 and it was quite clearly stated that we are going to 15 be asking the Cultural Institutions Group, which is 16 the group which we invest the most heavily in, to 17 develop diversity, equity and inclusion plans with 18 goals that are measureable that we're going to hold them accountable to or to face this possible 19 20 diminishment of their subsidy from the city. still the case. That first threshold or the first 21 2.2 moment of that question has been called right now, 23 which is we have -- all of these groups have now 24 developed diversity, equity and inclusion plans that

they have submitted to us. They were reviewed by our

23

24

25

2 legal team led by a civil rights attorney who's very well versed and has read a million of these plans 3 every day. So, those-the first threshold has been 4 5 reached. Nobody got their funding cut because they all submitted diversity, equity and inclusion plans 6 7 with goals that will be administered-reviewed on an 8 annual basis. A lot of these groups are already taking actions not simply—and by the way, I think 9 10 it's important to say we continue to do the research. We think research is valuable, and we think we're 11 12 working with-with one of the top. By the way, nothing wrong with S&R. They did a great job. They do 13 14 a lot of good research other places in the city. 15 felt the methodology used by Data Arts and the more 16 inclusive methodology is a better way to measure. We're going to continue to do surveys. It's not that 17 18 that's all we're doing. Simultaneous to that in a way that hasn't been done in any other city, we are 19 20 working very closely with our cultural institutions to ensure that they're making progress on diversity 21 2.2 and equity.

CHAIRPERSON VAN BRAMER: So, all—all that is—is terrific. I hear that, but while the—the new information on—on disability and sexual orientation

aid.

Τ	INTERNATIONAL INTERGROOF RELATIONS 29
2	may be new to the heads of institutions or
3	organizations, you know, the-the racial and ethnic
4	breakdown of-of the staff and senior staff may have
5	been more apparent within these organizations. So,
6	that would be less surprising, the findings of those
7	studies. So, I guess-and I'm not advocating for
8	this, but it's the Mayor himself whose, um, that if
9	some of these groups don't start showing progress
10	here they, as you just said the Administration is
11	still open to a demission of their funds as you said
12	COMMISSIONER FINKELPEARL: Yes.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON VAN BRAMER: So, I quess-I quess the question is then when

COMMISSIONER FINKELPEARL: Yep.

CHAIRPERSON VAN BRAMER: -- and at what point is this administration and your department open to cutting people's budgets because they're not reaching-well, you tell me like what goal and when and where.

COMMISSIONER FINKELPEARL: Okay, so, yes. So the answer to that question is the next threshold is going to be next spring. These diversity, equity, inclusion plans that you're going to hear about from the CIGs, are going to be reviewed on an annual

2	basis. These plans have a one-year, three-year and			
3	five-year-was it six year thresholds. So, you know,			
4	short, medium and long term thresholds. A lot of the			
5	organizations have set themselves very specific kinds			
6	of goals. Those kind of goal are different from			
7	organization to organization, and I think that that'			
8	very important. We are in the beginning of a phase			
9	in New York City where cultural institutions are			
10	really taking this not, and by the way, plenty of			
11	cultural organizations have been working on this for			
12	a long period of time. Like I want to-it was very			
13	important to me in Queens, you know that, and lots of			
14	cultural organizations around the city. I think to			
15	institutionalize it, to have these plans, to have a-			
16	is as a whole new phase, but there's a lot of			
17	research about what kind of cultural diversity,			
18	equity and inclusion plans bear the most fruit or the			
19	most successful. It's not clear what that is. We			
20	have a-a diversity of diversity plans, but just to			
21	answer your question quite literally because you			
22	asked for a date. Next spring they are going to be			
23	all reporting to us, and that's the next time we're			
24	going to be looking at these cultural organizations			

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

who are making progress they're making on their diversity plans.

CHAIRPERSON VAN BRAMER: And—and because you mentioned in your testimony that there aren't quotas. As you're measuring that progress in the next reports, are you simply looking for progress or are—are you—do you have benchmarks or—or numbers that you're looking for?

COMMISSIONER FINKELPEARL: But again it's-it's different from cultural organization to cultural organization, and again, it is a very different question to ask for a cultural explicit organization, an organization that serves a very specific geographical community. So, again, there are different kinds of thresholds that are being-that where these cultural organizations are going to be holding themselves accountable, and we're going to be holding them accountable for. What we have as we continue to do research and we continue to do our Data Arts surveys over the next years, is an aggregate statistic of how the-the cultural community is doing in relationship diversity cumulatively and that's what I think is the most important not to reach quotas at an individual organization, but to

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	

2.2

have diversity is a goal, and there are lots of great creative right in your district. PS1 has said we're getting rid of unpaid internships. That has been a detriment to diversity in a lot of cultural organizations, and that's like it's starting already. It's already happened. Folks who can't afford to volunteer their time are now not going to have that barrier to working at PS1 Contemporary Art Center. So, it's examples like that all throughout the city, and we're waiting to see, you know, from now. We've just gone through this big effort 500 pages of reports that we've read through that—that the cultural organizations have submitted. I think it's a very exciting moment in that history of diversity, equity and inclusion in the city.

CHAIRPERSON VAN BRAMER: Um, so you briefed the Mayor directly on these reports?

COMMISSIONER FINKELPEARL: So, I haven't briefed him on this report, but we have definitely talked. This is a subject very close to the heart of the mayor. This is something I've talked to him on numerous occasions about. I haven't sat down and shown the results of SMU Data Arts.

2.

_

2.2

CHAIRPERSON VAN BRAMER: So, you've not talked about this particular study, which came out in July?

COMMISSIONER FINKELPEARL: Correct.

CHAIRPERSON VAN BRAMER: And in your previous I guess discussions with the Mayor, what does he want to see happen here because you may have your own ideas about what should happen here, and what specific plans the department should have working with the cultural organizations that—that you—you may not be able to—

COMMISSIONER FINKELPEARL: So, it's like-CHAIRPERSON VAN BRAMER: --implement all of that without the Mayor actually, signing off on those things.

COMMISSIONER FINKELPEARL: Yeah, and so like we-we, um-he understands the general direction we're going in. We've talked about it. We've talked about the idea of these-these plans, executing the plans, monitoring the plans year to year. He's really left it in the hands of us to implement. So, the general direction, enthusiasm, thumbs up is an important thing for the agency under this Administration, and again it allowed the sort of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER FINKELPEARL: No, I'm not and so I'm inspired by the way that the cultural sector is embracing this, and look, we—we have seen and Mellon for example national survey, progress is being made in the cultural sector. That's true I believe in New York City, but we're going to prove it with actual statisticians, that other side. I see it anecdotally on organization after the next, and again

2	there's diversity in the cultural sector what's	
3	important to us, and by the way I don't want to in	
4	any wayand I'm sure you're at my side of this-	
5	diminish the importance of those union jobs of	
6	maintenance and security. Those are important jobs	
7	at institutions. They are part of the backbone, but	
8	I'm saying we want to see diversity in the decision	
9	making process in the curators, in the programmers.	
10	As Mr. Fonner said that the-the organization, the	
11	part of the organization that most closely matches	
12	the city, this community engagement. So, but there's	
13	incredibly talented people. I've met them. They're	
14	at CUNY some of them now. They're future leaders or	
15	there are those folks who have been leadership	
16	accelerator, not-not all of them, who are ready to go	
17	and take on these positions. It is happening. I'm not	
18	frustrated on this, but we have to keep pushing, and	
19	I think that it's good having hearings like this, and	
20	getting us all together on a regular basis. It is	
21	important. I—I believe progress has been made.	

CHAIRPERSON VAN BRAMER: So, if we acknowledge what you did, sort of one of the-the biggest issues that we confront or senior leadership, and even board positions, right?

1

COMMISSIONER FINKELPEARL: Uh-hm.

3

sorts of positions?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON VAN BRAMER: And that screams to a, you know, the need for a pipeline right, a real incubator of talent and—and, um, is—is the CUNY Corps that, which you see as being the primary vehicle for achieving that, or what is—what is the best way to achieve diversity at the highest levels whether it's the director, the Artistic Director, CEOs, those

COMMISSIONER FINKELPEARL: So, I mean, look, I think the key to a cultural career is a longterm proposition. Um, I've met a lot of these people. A lot of these people have fantastic, you know, experiences at CUNY Cultural Corps and then still go off to med school or something terrible like that. I'm kidding about that, but you know what I'm saying. It's not all—what a waste of talent for somebody to become a doctor. I see the-the Leadership Accelerator as something that's much more immediate. These are people in cultural organizations who are already employed who need to understand that the mechanisms of getting up through that and not being stopped at some kind of glass ceiling along the way. So, I think Leadership

2	Accelerator is extremely important. I also think it's
3	not just us doing this. There are all kinds of
4	professional development opportunities at
5	institutions, and there are also other funders.
6	Mellon has been working on this—these kind of issues
7	for a generation. If you look at the number of people
8	with PhDs in our history of color who currently have
9	professorial jobs across the country who have
LO	profited from that Mellon pipeline development, it's-
L1	it's really inspiring to see. So, again, I want to
L2	CUNY Cultural Corps as the highest students of
L3	graduates. I'm a CUNY, grad—CUNY graduate myself. I
L4	want the CUNY students to have that. That's by far
L5	not only the only mechanism we're using, and again,
L6	there's a lot of different avenues to that, but I do

CHAIRPERSON VAN BRAMER: I have some more questions, but I know another member of our committee has joined us, and I know has some questions on this topic and has some experience with this issue. So, I want to ask Laurie Cumbo to say a few words, and ask her questions as well.

see lots of experiments happening across the cultural

sector.

1 2 COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Thank you, Chair Van Bramer. Thank you, Commissioner. I just wanted to 3 start off by thanking you for your support of 4 5 Weeksville Heritage Society, and we're very happy 6 that we were able to come to an agreement with Chair 7 Van Bramer and myself, and many of the faces that I see here today. So, I certainly want to thank you 8 for that because that was a long fought battle and 9 we're glad that we were able to come to a resolution 10 or a meeting of the minds , and that you all were able 11 12 to see things my way. [laughter] Just wanted to start off with gaining an understanding as-as you 13 14 know, as Council Member Van Bramer alluded to that I 15 have some experience in this area where I've lived 16 this experience. How do you foresee the work that's being done in terms of diversifying our institutions 17 18 impacting culturally specific institutions? So many of the smaller organizations that recruit, train, 19 20 develop, create these wonderful professionals, seeing the-the work that's being done to almost recruit 21 2.2 professionals of color into the large institutions, 23 it becomes almost a creative brain drain in terms of

utilizing the talent that many of the smaller

organizations who have done the work to train these

24

25

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

individuals. How do you feel the work that you're doing impacts those culturally smaller institutions that certainly just can't compete with the benefits, the packages, the health insurance, the potential to have all of these other things, and, of course, an increase in salary?

COMMISSIONER FINKELPEARL: So, look, first I acknowledge the congratulations to everybody around Weeksville. That's beautiful memories, but I think that they-their-what you're talking about first of all I think that making the pie bigger. In other works making-having a pipeline that is very diverse that has a lot of different pipes going into it, not just organizations, and by the way, with brain drain, I understand what you're talking about. It's also a small to large organization brain drain in general right. So there are people who go to small cultural institutions, culturally specific or not who are then recruited by bigger institutions. That's something that's been happening for a long period of time. I thin that happens in my different sectors of the economy and the corporate economy as well, but if we talk about this idea of having a larger pie or just a larger workforce ready to go that's very diverse, the

2 it's not going to have to be a situation of let's say cherry picking from small culturally explicit 3 4 organizations. I could also say that there are some 5 culturally explicit organizations who have made that 6 in a way part of the mission of the organization is 7 to-to create opportunities for people to go into the workforce elsewhere, but I've also seen, and I think 8 this is something that is maybe newer and more often 9 happening now, folks who simply are saying I'm 10 committed to working long-term and staying within the 11 12 community that I-has, you know, that is my community. So, folks who have said-who have the opportunity to 13 14 be recruited elsewhere who are simply saying I don't 15 any longer aspire to go to this other place. I see 16 that happening much more often. So, I-look, this is a problem that's been around for a long time that 17 18 board members have been recruited or placed or whatever you want to say from smaller to larger 19 20 organizations, but I do think that the-the focus onon diversity and on the, um, strength of the cultural 21 2.2 sector as a whole some of the ways that we've been 23 able to put extra funding into lower income 24 communities throughout—through cultural plan initiatives means that I am concerned about the issue 25

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

1617

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

you're talking about, I think that there are alternatives, and the biggest alternative is to say you big cultural institution, it needs a—it has a new imperative to find—hire a diverse workforce, which is a good thing. It has a variety of places to look that aren't just the traditionally culturally explicit organizations.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: I hear you. think that part of what I'm hearing on the ground from many of the smaller institutions is how can the Department of Cultural Affairs work to create an overall let's say for the 900 or so institutions how do wo we create a universal health plan that would be able to bundle a workforce across the big to the smaller institutions so that things that level the playing field like healthcare, like pension like all of these different things that would be ways to create because at the end of the day, someone working at a smaller cultural institution that decides to go onto the Metropolitan Museum of Art or others in some way is making a choice of their healthcare or making a choice of many different things. So, is there any way in terms of a baseline as far as like circumstances that could be bundled resources from

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 healthcare and others and even what we did with the utility relief program like something allows us to 3 4 recognize that we have this workforce of 900 plus 5 institutions and however many people that calculates 6 into, how can we start to see these as a workforce 7 that is protected in many ways so that people aren't making a choice to leave one institution over the 8 other for life or death needs or situations so that 9 people can get healthcare, paid family leave, 10 maternity. You know, all these different sorts of 11

things that one would need.

OVER TINKELPEARL: So, you know, over the years there have been some attempts to do this, which is this idea of, um, a sort of collectively funded risk pool for insurance, right. So, I—but I have them actually and so there were some experiments around that, which were bearing some fruit, which actually, as I understand it and maybe I ought to get back to you. We could talk further about this. It kind of went away with Obamacare.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Uh-hm

COMMISSIONER FINKELPEARL: But as soon as there was a low cost alternative for people to buy their own insurance, it didn't take into account pre-

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 existing conditions, and all that kind of stuff that those experiments went away, and look, we have a 3 situation, and we'll see who's, you know, in the 4 5 White House next or whatever, that where there's a 6 lot of, you know the idea of creating a more robust 7 health insurance situation for everybody but, you know, that has been experimented with, but I don't 8 under-I don't know of any experiments of that nature 9 10 occurring in New York City right now. There's all kinds of questions of how-how it would work 11 12 financially, but as I understand it, most of those experiments kind of ended with-about 10 years ago. 13

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Does the, um, CUNY Cultural Corps does it have anything beyond the internship or the, um, the ability to connect one to an institution? Is there for example a curriculum across the board with the rollout of cultural corps that incorporate. It's more than an internship.

COMMISSIONER FINKELPEARL: Uh-hm

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: So, for me I went to NYU for a degree in visual arts administration, and then there are other programs like that at Pratt where I connected with you, um, in the arts and cultural management program. So, I know that these

2	types of programs exist in the private school sector
3	but are there these types of programs through
4	Cultural Corps that talks about arts administration,
5	cultural programming, finance and development for
6	cultural institution? Everything from sound and
7	engineering for a theater group. Are these types of
8	degrees being developed on the CUNY level outside of
9	the internship?

COMMISSIONER FINKELPEARL: So, you're going to hear about one of those in a minute-COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Okay,

partner, from a CUNY partner. What you're talking about is much more like what we do in the Leadership Accelerator, not the CUNY Cultural Corps. CUNY Cultural Corps now is more than an internship. They have—the program is—is maturing enough that they have—people have gone through the program who are helping mentor the students who are just fresh to the program, but it's not what you're talking about. What you're talking about is much closer to the Leadership Accelerator, which is to say okay you are in a job.

Here's how this sector works.

2.2

COMMISSIONER FINKELPEARL: Here's how you're going to be able to make your way creating social and networks amongst the diverse group of young professionals.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: There you go.

COMMISSIONER FINKELPEARL: Yeah, that's what it's about. Yeah, we could show you the curriculum for that. It's an intensive. It's really-

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: [interposing] But how expansive is that and how many people is that reaching?

COMMISSIONER FINKELPEARL: So we have classes. Each class is about 35 people. We did two last year. Just like, you know, we will have had a hundred students through that, but again, we want to make this a long-term proposition so that every year, we can [coughs] be developing talent. Well, once we hear about it I think is this new CUNY Arts

Administration program--

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: right.

COMMISSIONER FINKELPEARL: --which is one and, you know, the—and Pratt is a fantastic school as is New York's NYU--

2.

1

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: uh-hm

3

COMMISSIONER FINKELPEARL: --for that.

4

So, but the public option meaning CUNY is one that's

5

not associated with student debt, but has a much more

6

this year. So, there is progress being made in that

diverse first cohort. I think our first class is

7

direction.

9

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: I think that's

10

really the way to expand a program like that because

11

the internship field that's good in terms of entre,

12

but you really need the—the development of a major

13

where you really get to explore a field to be

14

competitive, and so out of a conflict that you share

16

15

I'll be general about this, is there a way to change

the dynamics so that we're able to hire people as

17

curators as administrators without the same level of

18

degree or scholarship that's needed because you have

19

an incredible breadth of experience--

20

COMMISSIONER FINKELPEARL: Uh-hm

21

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: --that you are an

22

artist that's worked successfully for over 40 years

23

that you have done some of the-I'll give you an

24

example. I'm throwing these names out because they're

25

names that we both know, but I have no idea if

2	they're interested in something like this, but let's
3	say I don't even know what degrees he has, but
4	Chester Higgins, Jr. that worked for the New York
5	Times for 40 years. If he wanted to be a chief
6	curator at XY&Z museum, but did not have a doctorate
7	degree in photography, but had done the work on that
8	level or a Danny Simmons whose been on every board,
9	who's worked in every institution in some way, shape,
10	form or fashion becoming the Chief Curator at the
11	Museum or Modern Art although he does not have a
12	doctorate in whatever one. Is there a way to change
13	how we hire people based off of experiences versus
14	just the-if you don't have a PhD, you cannot be in
15	this higher level of administration here in this
16	particular institution, which I think is what's
17	stopping so many people from acquiring these jobs.
18	Well, of course, just like the names I threw out,
19	you'd be like that's a no-brainer. They should
20	absolutely do that person.

COMMISSIONER FINKELPEARL: So, I mean this is a question that is being pondered at these institutions, at these institutions, and there aren't that many institutions that actually require a PhD some do, and we're talking about fine arts

institutions, which have required PhDs in their
history for-for a long period of time. There are
different kinds of qualifications. Again, I don't
want to just-it's not just fine arts. We have what's
going on at the Bronx Zoo in terms of like a graduate
PhD in biology or whatever. So, there is this
question, and this is a question in our own hiring
practices in the city as well, which is to say what
is the qualification that is the most important
qualification for this job is not necessarily
diploma. I will say that what is happening again in
the art history profession is a serious and prolonged
focus on diversity within the graduate programs. So
that idea that you couldn't find somebody with a PhD
in that particular branch of art history is no longer
going to b the case. I think that that is already
happening. There's this upward spiral of folks with
PhDs who are much more diverse. I'm not going to
reveal it but I just had a discussion this morning
about somebody who is going to become a curator in a
museum who does—who is an African-American woman has
a PhD. I'm just saying this is happening all the

time.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMISSIONER FINKELPEARL: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: You discussed it

early this morning?

COMMISSIONER FINKELPEARL: Before I went to work, yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Oh, that's great.

COMMISSIONER FINKELPEARL: Yes, it also makes it fun, yes, okay.

CHAIRPERSON VAN BRAMER: I-I-I have a follow up. So, because I was going to ask this question in my next round, but it pertains to, uh, what was just said. So, you've-you've been the Commissioner for roughly six years or so. Um, whenthere have been a lot of openings.

COMMISSIONER FINKELPEARL: Uh-hm.

CHAIRPERSON VAN BRAMER: We just had a lot of movement in the city. Folks moving across the country and becoming director of a different museum or transitions. Are you happy with the progress that you see in terms of the-the hiring of-of new folks, and do you and the Administration have any role or input? So, when, you know, we're not going to single anyone out, but when--

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON VAN BRAMER: --X institution has an opening, there's a nationwide search, and all this great stuff is happening, to what extent are you involved, consulted --

COMMISSIONER FINKELPEARL:

CHAIRPERSON VAN BRAMER: -- and are you happy with how these boards are-are handling this and coming through with a more progressive and diverse group of leaders?

COMMISSIONER FINKELPEARL: So, um, you have to understand that 20 years ago, the biggest issue, and I'll you this: One of the issues that was presented in these cultural institutions was gender diversity. A lot of women have come into powerful positions in New York City. I think New York City is an exempt part of that. Across the nation, as I understand it, and you might have some of these statistics, women are directors of about half the cultural institutions, but not the big ones. So, when you have New York City now where there Brooklyn Museum, the-the Garden, and the Botanical Garden, Museum of Natural History those, you know, this is good progress in terms of gender diversity. It isn't the case elsewhere, which I'm happy, but just to get

2	to your question about what kind of role I take, and
3	I'll say very clearly, I don't get involved in the
4	individual hiring of individual directors. I'm often
5	called by head hunters, and I will not talk about
6	individual people. I will talk about the cultural
7	institution, my knowledge of the cultural
8	institution, their pass. I'm on 38 boards. I'm very
9	well aware of those cultural institutions, their
10	needs, their future, et cetera, and I express my
11	opinions. I do not get involved in individual hiring
12	decisions at cultural institutions. I will, you know,
13	not answer questions about individual candidates. I
14	don't think it's my role to do that. I thin that the
15	boards have crated the opportunities for more diverse
16	finalists than a lot of these institutions. Some say
17	that a lot of progress has been made, and if you look
18	at this, it just is again in terms of gender it's
19	been pretty good. Not pretty good, very good.
20	CHAIRPERSON VAN BRAMER: And again, where

CHAIRPERSON VAN BRAMER: And again, where we haven't seen the progress.

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER FINKELPEARL: Look, I know again because I'm on all those boards, and I understand. I've never—I'm never on a search committee, but search committees often are reporting

back to the entire board and so I understand. I absolutely believe that there is a more diverse pool of candidates in terms of racial composition than in the past, and I think that's inevitably going to lead to a more diverse group of directors in the future. So, I don think that there's a lot of progress there.

CHAIRPERSON VAN BRAMER: So, before I turn it back over to my colleague, um, yes, I—I think there's progress, and I—and I know you care about this and—and do many folks, and having the finalist pool be more diverse racially is great, and believing that ultimately that will lead to more break-throughs is sort of aspirational, um, and—and very hopeful, but the question is how soon and when?

but I have to say I'll say that—that the senior level positions at a lot of these institutions are already being populated with a much more diverse group. If you have all of a sudden senior a management team that includes a general counsel or a deputy director, these are kinds of people that end up in the very powerful positions. Sometimes they get moved over to—or, you know, hunted by another—head hunted by another cultural institution. So, I think that the,

um, the movement up through institutions is also

happening.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON VAN BRAMER: I'll hand it back over to my colleagues.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Thank you. I just wanted to follow up on three things. One was when you talked about the, um, the funding for the-the Theater Subdistrict Council gave funding to 11 theater nonprofits to begin to address this challenge. organizations established fellowship programs for members or under-represented populations, et cetera. Is this funding that's going t continue year after year? Was this a one shot or how is this going to be managed?

COMMISSIONER FINKELPEARL: This is two shot not a one shot--

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Okay.

COMMISSIONER FINKELPEARL: --but in your district there was a coalition between OCADA, BRIC, and BAM I think it was. So, this is happening all over the city. I do want to make one amendment to my testimony. This is the only place where we actually fund for-profits as well. You just have to be associated with theater. So, there is like two Gay

2	TS. I think that's-I don't think that's a non-
3	profit. In any case maybe this. The, um, we had two
4	funding cycles within the Theater Subdistrict
5	Council, awarded these grants twice. Right now we
6	don't have any money in the pot. Theater Subdistrict
7	Council is—it's not doing cultural plays although I
8	am the Chairman of the Board. It is based on selling
9	air rights in the theater district. Its mission is to
10	enrich the theater life of the city and has to, you
11	know, circle back to the Theater District at some
12	level, but if you're a training lighting technician,
13	or you're training—by the way, unions have been very
14	involved in that as well. The Stage Hands Union,
15	which has not been that diverse has got a fantastic
16	training program for diverse young New Yorkers. So
17	that's, we are waiting to get more money, but
18	somebody has to sell some air rights. It's just that
19	that's not an annual. It's not tax levy. It's the
20	transfer of air rights, and those sales happen with
21	the Theater District. A percentage goes to this
22	funding.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: It's just an understanding that you and I both know how long it takes to actually cultivate something that has some

23

24

25

2 impact and meaning to it. Just want to close with I-I think the thing that I'm hearing that I'm most 3 4 concerned with is what spoke about initially, and 5 it's just how culturally specific institutions are 6 going to fair while this campaign or movement to 7 diversify our larger institutions grow, and I don't exactly know the solution to it, but I know that 8 smaller culturally specific institutions have many of 9 the same challenges that our larger institutions have 10 in terms of recruiting staff that's trained, that's 11 12 has a degree or experience in this level of work. It's equally as hard to find those individuals and to 13 add to that to retain them. I think that one of the -14 15 one of the ideas that I thought about is to-how do 16 you create stronger partnerships with the larger organizations and the smaller culturally specific 17 18 institutions so that there is a way to be able totheses are not the right words, but to share staff, 19 20 to share boards, to share resources, to share exhibitions, to share programming, to recognize the 21 2.2 benefits that both of them have whereas one is more 23 on the ground and probably closer to the community 24 and closer to the next generation of who is going to 25 be the next Wangechi Hutu or Ghandi Wiley that are

2.2

working with those individuals. It's funny because I saw the photograph of Wangechi Wutu at the Met today. When I was cleaning out my apartment trying to make heads or tails, I saw a photograph Wangechi with my dad at Mocata helping to hang up her work 20 years ago. So, it's like how that transition goes some way to be able to connect these institutions so that they're not competing, but that they are training and growing and sharing resources in a way that is beneficial to both. Has that been thought of?

know, I think that there is—this is not the first time that this has been brought up. This is something that's been of concern. I have to say that there's an analogy I've been thinking about as she has been speaking about this. It has to do with the historically by ecologists in in universities that when integration happens across the nation at other schools students were—who went to Harvard in the last generation, they would be going to Harvard, right but those colleges are doing pretty well a lot of them.

We know that Mary Schmidt Campbell went down to be the head of Spelman College.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: That's where I went.

COMMISSIONER FINKELPEARL: There you go.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: There you go.

commissioner finkelpearl: Alright, so you got excellence coming out of Spelman, but you know what she's been doing in terms of training young professionals at Spelman to enter the cultural workforce. Obviously Mary has a PhD on history herself so that that is a, um, a place that has a student body that wants to be at that college given the choice. Now, about their employment arts—I mean Educational arts.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Eduational arts.

that happen with talented young people of color who are saying: I want to work at this cultural studio. This is where it is most meaningful to for me to be and I'm not going to be recruited out of here to another place because this is the place that I most—the question then is strengthening those institutions, continuing to strengthen those institutions, and again we have pumped millions of dollars into low-income communities using the maps

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

created by the social impact of the arts another piece of research we did with the private sector. So, I'm not sure that that fully answers your question, but we're definitely thinking about it.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: But it touches on an important point because I feel that a large part of this is also the historically black colleges could be an incredible, but it's not as if anyone was directing me to go into that field. I just so happened to not fair well in my political science major nor in my educational minor, and so I found myself in art history, which is where I really wanted to be at first. I'm going to make a broad stereotype or a generalization in that in many-obviously in many black communities going to a private historically black college I don't think it's every parent's dream to say-for your child to come home and say I want to be an art major or a sculptor or a writer or, you know, these are not fields that our families paying for college like Spelman, \$45, \$50,000 a year at this point now. People aren't going to- So, if somehow a marketing thing could be done in some ways to say there is this profession. They want you. There is a salary associated with it. You will make money. You

Will not be starving. You Will—there is a field that
wants you. So, if you were to come into a school,
you would know that this is a growing sector and a
field that actually wants students in that way
because at that time I don't think I would have
necessarily majored in African art with the desire to
think that I could become a curator at a major
institution that we're all familiar with right? I
wouldn't have thought that that was possible, but now
I know. I could have been at that institution verses
sitting here today. So, it's one of those things
that, you know, we really have to think about how we
utilize our historically black colleges and recruit
and openly let it be known that there is a place for
you in this world. This is no just some high faluting
field that a few people get to. This is something
everyone can do and that's it.

Say one. So, I do think that's what CUNY Cultural
Corps is where it's exposing hundreds and hundred of
CUNY students to this opportunity to say this is a
job. This is a job that has a salary and a
professional outcome, which could include you as a
CUNY student.

2.

that's great and it's great first step, but that internship is not going to allow you necessarily with the degree you're getting to become the Chief Curator at that institution. So, it's how do we marry that CUNY program with the realities that so much of this field is based off of the level of professional degree or educational degree that you have. That's all.

just one to add one—one or two more questions for the Commissioner. So, we know that we have an issue with diversity particularly at the senior most levels.

Yes, there's some progress being made, and—and yet there's still so much left to do, and the Administration is going to broaden the pilot, and—and do some more studying—

COMMISSIONER FINKELPEARL: Yep.

CHAIRPERSON VAN BRAMER: --and-and yet there's this-this sort of looming threat of a-of a reduction in funding if-if goals aren't met. So, I guess do you think that's the best approach, and is there any concern because even your studies show that-that the-the diversity increases if there's

2 lower wage employees involved in some of these
3 positions--

2.2

COMMISSIONER FINKELPEARL: Uh-hm.

CHAIRPERSON VAN BRAMER: --where you see the most diversity and, you know, my experience when budgets get cut it's-it's rare that the top executives cut their salaries, but sometimes the-the folks at the lower end of the wage--

COMMISSIONER FINKELPEARL: Uh-hm.

Situation they're the ones who get hurt. So, talk to me a little bit about that because if we're talking about we know we've got an issue. We're going to study it some more. We've got some—some—some plans, but the—the—the thing that's sort of looming out there is this—is this, you know, potential penalty, if you will, and in the—to you as the Commissioner of Cultural Affairs and representing the mayor, I think that that's the best approach, and is there any fear that you're actually going to be hurting some of the very goals that you're aspiring to here by diminishing organizations' funding?

COMMISSIONER FINKELPEARL: Okay, I hear what you're saying. So, I-yes. So, yes I do think

25

2 it's the best route. I think we've thought very carefully about this that what? We've been very 3 4 collaborative with these groups. We have been 5 working very closely. You're going to hear from 6 them. You can ask them this direct question. 7 feel that there being some skin the game was something that we had to do that we had to say we 8 take this seriously. Think these groups were already 9 on this course, and I think it was a valuable thing 10 to say this is how important it is to us. Time, you 11 12 know, and again we're-we haven't just been sitting. We've been taking action, but his is something that's 13 14 been discussed over and over again for years. You 15 know, like when are we going to see the actual-what's 16 your plan? So, now we have the plans, and I thin 17 that these organizations again very-very -I think we 18 dove into it. You know the way that they involved the entire staff from top to bottom of organizations 19 20 in a very inclusive way. I think it was really inspiring to watch. That discussion isn't going 21 2.2 away. People know that that discussion has happened. 23 So, we-our goal is not to cut these groups. Our goal is to have action taken, but I think that having a 24

stick or something that we think was a valuable

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

light on.

1

aspect of it, is something we talked over, you know, at some length with the Mayor, et cetera that we intend to work closely, and again the goal is that everybody, you know, is working towards these goals, is making, you know, real substantial commitment to

the plans, and that's what we expect to happen.

CHAIRPERSON VAN BRAMER: Okay, much more to be had, but we want to actually hear from some of the cultural organizations that you're dangling that stick in front of, Commissioner Finkelpearl. So, why don't we hear from some of those folks affected. know Keith Stubblefield is here representing the Cultural Institutions Group members, and Stephanie Wilchfort of the Brooklyn Children's Museum. Is Lisa Gold here? Repre-representing Asian-American Arts Alliance. Do we only have three seats of we have four seats? We have four seats and Rosslyn Barber from the Public Theater. Is Rosalind from the Public? Yep. Great. We have four and then we have one more panel of four speakers in the second panel as well. [pause] Okay, who wants to start? [background comments] Yes, and you have to pull the microphone towards you there Keith and turn it on with the red

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

KEITH STUBBLEFIELD: Council Member Van Bramer, thank you. Nice to see you Council Member Cumbo as always. Thanks for the opportunity to testify. We're ready? My name is Keith Stubblefield. I'm here to testify today on behalf of BAM a member of the Cultural Institutions Group, and I'll be reporting on the impact of the DCLA Diversity Study the Create NYC Plan and the creation of DEI at each of the 33 CIGs. As a public institution who receive funding through the DCA, the members of the CIG each take the commitment to diversity, equity and inclusion very seriously, and have endeavored with some of this work at the core of our activities. significant undertaking has been the creation of individual DEI plans, which the institution spent, which each institution spent months crafting with the input of their entire staffs, communities and boards. Each institution in every borough created a unique and specific six-year plan. The plans include steps to make spaces more accessible, create more inclusive marketing plans, diversify and grow our audiences and create more inclusive and welcoming spaces. The plan is focused not only recruiting and hiring diverse employees, but also on developing them and building a

2 diverse pipeline for management leadership and board Each organizations implement measures to 3 4 ensure the efforts are sustained and experience 5 informed subsequent work. These plans will help our audiences better reflect the diverse and vibrant 6 7 demographics of the city and help ensure that the work we do is responsive, timely and vital. 8 creation of these plans require critical staff work 9 and many hours of research, collaboration and work 10 from each institution. BAM's own plan was developed 11 12 over six months and included the input of over 250 staff members. The level of staff commitment and 13 14 engagement was unprecedented in our institution and 15 resources put towards the project were drawn from our 16 operating budget and from the funds set aside specifically for this work. [coughs] Excuse me. 17 18 also engaged a consultant who assisted in the creation of the plan. The Wildlife Conservation 19 20 Society relied on internal and external experts to guide the plan's development-to guide their plan's 21 2.2 development and ensure best practices. The plan 23 addresses goals pertaining to employees, the nearly four million annual visitors to parks, and over two 24 25 million participants in extensive education programs.

2 While the focus of this first phase is on New York City facilities, the dialogue that we'll expand to 3 4 include overseas locations in the coming year. AT WCS this plan is the culmination of a year-of a year long 5 effort involving the cost function of the working 6 7 group of 25 employees, more than 500 employees providing input by focus groups at a survey and an 8 executive sponsor group. The plans focus not only on 9 the employee experience, but also on the experiences 10 of visitors and education program participants 11 12 regardless of ability or income. So, as you just heard, the creation of the se plants is an extensive 13 14 costly undertaking. Supplemental funding is 15 necessary to ensure the plans moved forward. In fact, 16 some support has already been provided with great results. The Department of Cultural Affairs hired a 17 18 consultant for our colleagues in Queens and Staten island to assist with the development of their plans. 19 20 The consultant was critical for the Staten Island organizations to have the capacity and expertise to 21 2.2 draft plans. Without the support of DCA, the cost of 23 the consultant would have been prohibitive. In fact, the Staten Island based cultural-the Staten Island 24 25 base organizations are very helpful. They will

2 receive supplemental funding to continue their work with a consultant to help them advance and execute 3 4 their year long goals. It bears underscoring that for 5 those organizations without H.R. or legal staff and 6 with the departments of one in many cases, it's 7 extremely challenging to do this important work. We're grateful that the city is providing funds for 8 resources to actualize these plans. In conclusion 9 the CIGs worked collaboratively to hire a consultant 10 who helped each organization deal thoughtfully with 11 12 the issues that were more relevant and important to them. This is made possible through a grant from DCA 13 14 and the Queens groups were very grateful for the 15 support. In Queens all of the CIGs are enthusiastic 16 about the goals and aspirations underlying the DEI initiative. It is evident that the diversity of the 17 18 borough itself is uniquely sourced that the Queens CIGs can refer back to as they move into the 19 20 implementation phase. Their greatest concern now in identifying the resources required to achieve the 21 2.2 objective in their plans, and for some CIG 23 institutions, they're showing the addition of staff members. One of the leading strategic priorities 24 25 that has resulted from New York City Ballet is DEI

2 work is to create the new staff position of Senior Director of the Human Resources for Diversity and 3 inclusion. This individual will provide 4 5 comprehensive oversight, planning and management of the human resources functions of the New York City 6 7 Ballet while pursuing the company's commitment to attract, retain, advance and support a broadly 8 diverse workforce that thrives in a safe and 9 inclusive environment. This individual will also 10 provide ongoing leadership for day-for day-to-day and 11 12 long term implementation of the objectives outlined in their DEI Plan. The addition of a staff member 13 14 with this level of expertise and competency is a 15 clear sign of serious investment—of the serious 16 investment that the ballet is making in their DEI work. So, as you can see, this work is central to 17 18 the CIG organizations moving forward, but requires significant resources to achieve the best outcomes. 19 Supplemental funding from the DCA to help defray the 20 cost of consultants and in some cases new staff 21 2.2 members is crucial. Looking further ahead, funding 23 for surveys of New York City residents that will help identify barriers to the arts-to arts and culture 24 25 participation will be needed. This information will

Τ	INTERNATIONAL INTERGROUP RELATIONS 0	9
2	be very information in how HCIG delivers on this	
3	important DEI work. Yet the cost for any one	
4	institution to collect this information is	
5	prohibitive. So, in closing, on behalf of all my C	IG
6	colleagues, many of whom are here today, I'd like t	0
7	say it's been truly a privilege to do this importan	t
8	work. As Chair of the CIG and DEIA Subcommittee, I	′ m
9	very grateful to have had the opportunity to-sorry,	
10	to center the work of my colleagues around this vit	al
11	issue and have noted it in a legal, significant and	
12	concrete way. These plans will help guide our	
13	journeys to becoming more accessible and vital	
14	institutions and to better reflect the makeup of th	is
15	amazing cit. I look for the best support from the	
16	Department of Cultural Affairs. I'm confident the	
17	next phase of our work will be very successful. Tha	nk

CHAIRPERSON VAN BRAMER: Thank you, Keith. We'll go down the line and hear from our four, and if there are any questions for the panel we'll do it then. Stephanie, do you want to go next?

STEPHANIE WILCHFORT: Good afternoon.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you for your time.

I'm Stephanie Wilchfort, President and CEO of Brooklyn Children's Museum, a community museum in

2 Crown Heights, Brooklyn and the world's first children's museum. We serve 300,000 children and 3 4 caregivers annually most of whom hail from our great 5 borough. I'm pleased to be here today to talk about 6 BCM's Diversity, Equity and Inclusion Plan, which the 7 museum's Board of Trustees approved last spring to quide our institution's efforts over the next six 8 years. BCM's Board made-formed the DEI committee 9 specifically to do this work, and incorporated 10 feedback from the museum staff and community and 11 12 considering our goals in four areas: the Board of 13 Trustee's staff, vendors and procurement and 14 audience. I want to especially thank DCLA and SMU 15 Data Arts for helping us to establish baseline info 16 in many of these areas, and for reviewing our plan and helping us create a really strong effort in this 17 18 area. Our objective was to create a plan that would ensure meaningful representation of the Central 19 20 Brooklyn communities that we serve in BCM's programs and exhibits recognizing that over 70% of our 21 2.2 audience identifies as non-white. That more than 23 half live in Central Brooklyn and that many of the children we serve have sensory, cognitive or physical 24 25 differences. To that end BCM's plan starts with the

2 following statement: In service to our mission to provide cultural experiences for all children and 3 4 families. Brooklyn Children's Museum seeks to build an organization that reflects and honors the 5 6 diversity of our communities, and creates a sense of 7 belonging for employees and visitors. BCM endeavors to offer all children particularly children of 8 Central Brooklyn a fair opportunity to engage in the 9 richness of the a museum experience and strives to 10 create a space where families of different 11 12 backgrounds see themselves reflected in BCM's contents. I'm pleased to report that since BCM's DEI 13 14 plan went into effect last spring, the museum has 15 made strides towards meeting some of our goals 16 Today, 70% of our employees and four of our seven senior management team members identify as people of 17 18 color. Four of our seven senior managers are mothers with children six years old or younger, a 19 20 particularly critical group to be represented among our ranks, and one which we have actively sought to 21 2.2 recruit by ensuring that BCM offers 12 full weeks of 23 paid parental leave. Ten percent of our staff 24 members identify as LGBTQ and have changes our 25 employee handbooks to use gender and non-binary

25

2 language throughout. We have also added six gender neutral bathrooms, two for staff and two for 3 4 visitors—and four for visitors. All of our gender neutral bathrooms for visitors also have changing 5 6 tables. Over the past year our board has added nine 7 new trustees, two of whom identify as LGBTQ parents and six of whom identify as non-whites. Currently. 8 46% of our 35 member board identifies as other than 9 white. Ten percent is LGBTQ and one quarter live in 10 Brooklyn. These statistics show progress, but we 11 12 know that this work is never done. Even when we make progress, it must be maintained, and we also know 13 14 that one of the greatest gains we can make for its 15 equity and inclusion in Central Brooklyn is ensuring 16 fair pay, increasing salaries and creating wealth for our employees and community vendors. This takes 17 18 resources not just for trainings and profession development or DEI committees, but to grow our 19 20 operations in ways that build capital for our communities. We're incredibly grateful that the New 21 2.2 York City Council and the New York City Department of 23 Cultural Affairs has supported Brooklyn Children's Museum, and arts and culture in this city in so many 24

ways. We hope that the city will continue to support

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 2.2

23

24

25

our DEI work by helping us to ensure that our staff is paid a living wage that honors their hard work, by making sure employees have access to affordable healthcare including dental and vision and by helping ensure that our staffs are supported in their well being through paid time off and parental leave. [bell] Thank you so much for hearing us out and for CHAIRPERSON VAN BRAMER: Thank you so

much. STEPHANIE WILCHFORT: --hearing more

about the DEI Plan.

CHAIRPERSON VAN BRAMER: Next.

LISA GOLD: Thank you. Hello. My name is Lisa Gold. Thank you for letting me speak today. I am the Executive Director, Asian-American Arts Alliance, which I'm going to call A-4 for time's sake. We are a 36-year-old service organization representing a diverse coalition of artists, arts organizations, and art supporters who believe that working together as Pan ethnic multi-disciplinary community is essential to nurturing the development of artists and arts organizations. So, we are dedicated to ensuring greater representation, equity and opportunities for Asian-American artists and arts

2 organizations as well as providing a critical voice for our community. I'd like to take this moment 3 first to thank the City Council for increasing the 4 Department of Cultural Affairs' budget, and for 5 addressing the issue of diversity in the city's 6 7 cultural organizations. My concern is that organizations that are led by and are serving people 8 of color receive an equitable share of this funding. 9 I understand that the increase should offer support 10 to the Create New York Cultural Plan, but I want to 11 12 know how that support is going to be manifest, how is it going to be implemented and how is it going to be 13 ensured that it affects or communities 14 15 proportionately . The Create NYC Cultural Plan is a 16 great, great step. I laud you tremendously for your efforts toward equity, but our constituents need to 17 18 understand the details of how and what will be put in place to serve our communities. We are asking for 19 20 trans-transparency and accountability in the disbursement of those funds. At A-4 every single day 21 2.2 we work ensure that our community of artists and art 23 administrators have the opportunity to fully participate in the city's cultural ecosystem, and it 24 25 was disheartening to read the SMU Data Arts report

2 that cited only 6% of cultural workers identify as Asian-Americans while almost 15% of city residents 3 identify as Asian-American. Conversely, 66% of 4 5 cultural workers identify as white non-Hispanic making up only 32% of this population, which you 6 7 know. But it's also disheartening to see that programmatic funding is disproportionately under-8 allocated to Asian-American led and Asian-American 9 serving organizations, cultural organizations, and 10 the DCLA FY18 Budget, which is the data that I had 11 12 available, 937 grantees received over \$41 million. Yet, only 45 of those 937 were Asian-American 13 14 organizations, a total of 4.8%, and the total amount 15 of funding for those organizations is just over \$1.2 16 million. It's approximately 3% of the DCLA programmatic budget. So, in recognition of those 17 18 issues and statistics, I am making the following suggestions. I have many more, but for time's sake. 19 20 I would like to ask that the Department of Cultural Affairs and City Council offer unrestricted [bell] 21 2.2 general operating support to organizations because 23 give us the agency to decide how we want to spend the 24 money. If we want to spend it on staff positions, 25 let us decide. Don't cap it at a certain percentage.

2.2

Support funding for paid internships, fellowships and staff positions at POC led organizations. I understand that Cultural Corps is huge but it doesn't go far enough, and finally, provide more transparency and funding to POC led and POC serving organizations. There was great information about—in the plan about the SIAP (sic) neighborhoods, but there's no documentation to show how that funding is being allocated. So, in closing I just want to thank you for your recognition of the important role that arts and communities of color play in our cultural ecosystem and I urge you to take steps to ensure that there's equitable funding for all New Yorkers. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON VAN BRAMER: Thank you.

ROSSLYN BARBER: Hi. I'm Rosslyn Barber from the Public Theater. Since—since the Public's founding of over six years ago, equity, diversity and inclusion has been at the cornerstone of our mission. Under the leadership of Joe Pack and through the work of subsequent leaders, the public has been widely recognized for our commitment to a respectful, welcoming and safe spaces in which differences are celebrated both on and off stage. Through our mobile

25

2 unit, which is traveling—a traveling theater production that tours all five boroughs, and our 3 4 borough distribution sites for free Shakespeare in 5 the Park, we reach New Yorkers in every corner of the city from diverse racial, ethnic and socio-economic 6 7 backgrounds, and also. I just want to take this as an opportunity to thank the City Council and DCLA for 8 the studies that they've produced. They've been 9 enormously helpful in us adjusting the way that we go 10 out to communities outside of the four walls of the 11 12 public theater, and also in engaging our board and staff in the urgency that is needed in addressing 13 these issues. To continue building on-upon this rich 14 15 history of ambitious and successful work that reflect the values and mission of the institution in the city 16 we serve, the public developed a new equity, 17 18 diversity and inclusion plan in 2019, which outlines that goals we intend to achieve by 2023. 19 The plan 20 created in collaboration with board members, staff, and outside experts outlines the following seven 21 2.2 qoals: (1) Publish EDI statistics in the annual 23 report for the directors and playwrights of downtown season and Free Shakespeare in the Park performances 24

to demonstrate the public's commitment to

2 consistently presenting work that reflects our goal as creating work that is of, by and for the people of 3 New York City. (2) Revie and improve human resource 4 processes to ensure equity, diversity and inclusion 5 values and goals are prioritized and supported. 6 7 achieve the goal of ensuring that full-time staff is no more than 50% white or 50% cisqender male and 8 ensure diversity is present at all levels of the 9 institution. (4) Achieve goal to create a board that 10 is at least 35% people of color, and no more than 50% 11 12 cisgender male. (5) Establish and begin to implement a plan for creating an inclusive organization for 13 14 audiences, artists and staff with disabilities 15 inclusive of all types of disability and not limited 16 to physical disability. (6) Establish and begin to implement a holistic organizational language plan 17 18 inclusive of languages other than English. Establish clear goals and ethical parameters for all 19 20 learning activities, i.e. internships and fellowships as well as community centered activity, i.e. 21 2.2 community facing programs, partnerships and more that 23 are aligned with the large public theater mission and are reciprocal in nature. The public intends to 24 25 achieve these goals by 2023 in order to better

1

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2 23

24

25

reflect the values and mission of our institution and the city we serve. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON VAN BRAMER: Thank you very I have one question for the panel. All of you can take it or one of you can take it on behalf of the panel, but do you have any reaction to the potential penalty and diminution in funding as a result of the process that the DCLA is entertaining.

KEITH STUBBLEFIELD: Sure. Speaking on behalf of BAM certainly and I-and I hope all of my colleagues. Tom used the phrase: Real and substantial commitment to the plan. So, that's what they're trying to see and I'm sure that all 33 of us will-will demonstrate that. We all delivered our plans on time, and that involved actually having them approved by our boards. So, everybody is—is very supportive of this. I don't know expect there should be a penalty ever assessed against any of us, but Tom was correct in that he-he has been telling us about this for quite a while now. so, it's not going to be a surprise, and I know we're-we're all going to make good faith efforts. Every organization is very different. So, you know, it's hard to say that, you know, you're going to assess measurements that are

_

going to be static across all 33. So, I think it's still going to be a learning process for DCA and for each—for all the CIGs together, but we're all very aware, but we're all taking this work very, very seriously as hopefully sending it to you for today.

CHAIRPERSON VAN BRAMER: Anyone else want to weigh in on that or...?

STEPHANIE WILCHFORT: Yes. I am never in favor or releasing any cultural funding. So, and—and to the point made earlier that it could adversely impact communities of color by reducing those positions. I don't necessarily believe that it's the best strategy. So, I'm not in favor.

answer to your question, but I will say that the—
external to pressure that's been inserted or just
having an outside influence saying hey this is
important to us and there are real ways that we're
asking you to be accountable to it has been really
helpful in promoting this work with our board and
with our staff so that, you know, fortunately for us
our board and staff are already very much interested
in this work, but having an additional way to sort of
leverage that conversation has been useful, and I

think that the Cultural Plan and the requirement to

2

1

3

4

this.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON VAN BRAMER: Two. Okay. Why

produce these plans has really helped to achieve STEPHANIE WILCHFORT: I'll just say one more thing, which is that this really shouldn't be about money. This really-this conversation is really

CIG feel very strongly that these plans are-we're not

about serving our city, and I think all of us in the

putting these plans together to, you know, increase our allocations. We're putting these plans together

because we know that they will help us serve our

communities better.

CHAIRPERSON VAN BRAMER: I appreciate all of you coming here today, and testifying. appreciate all of the work that you do on behalf of New Yorkers. Thank you very much. Our second and final panel Jeffrey Amora from Fair Wage on Stage as well as Robert Stanton from Fair Wage on Stage, Valerie Paley from the New York Historical Society, and Amy Andrew from MoCADA [pause] Fair Wage, are you doing two testimonies or one?

> MALE SPEAKER: Two.

don't you join together so yes. [pause] To the-to my

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 left and your right. How about that? [background comments] Yes, you are first.

VALERIE PALEY: [off mic/inaudible] My name is Valerie Paley. I am Senior Vice President of the New York Historical Society and I serve as the President, but I also serve as the [off mic/inaudible] [on mic] By utilizing our rich and extensive collections of over 14 million objects, New York Historical aims to be an active, accessible community resource for all of the city, but in particular to server as a destination in a broader sense for audiences typically under-served by cultural institutions. Past exhibitions have included art as act-activism, which showcased protest art from the 1930s to the 1970s in particular that of the Black Panther Movement, Mava York, which told the history of the Latino presence in New York from the 1600s through World War II; Chinese-American exclusion/inclusion, which explored the century's long history of the Chinese immigrant experience; Stonewall 50 at the New York Historical Society, a suite of three installations currently on view, provides a window into the resistance and night life it shaped LGBTQ history. I'm privileged today to

2 represent my institution in speaking of our proactive commitment to diversity. On a personal level I feel 3 that most seriously as the daughter of a Filipina 4 5 immigrant, a scholar who might have felt intimidated to darken the door of New York Historical had it even 6 7 crossed their mind to do so in 1956 when she first arrived in New York City. It is imperative that our 8 exhibitions, collections, and programming reflect the 9 rich cultural fabric of our city and nation, but to 10 do that through tutorial and programmatic work our 11 12 aim is to ensure that our museum professionals hail from a wide range of backgrounds. In addition to 13 14 prioritizing women and minority leaders, our 15 Frederick Douglas Council and Women's History Council 16 and our affinity—affinity groups from our deeper discussion and engagement by encouraging support for 17 18 rich programming in this area. But our work also extends beyond the walls of our museum as we 19 20 passionately imagine what future cadres of museum professionals could look like. This September, we 21 2.2 inaugurated our partnership with the City University 23 of New York's School of Professional Studies to offer a Master of Arts in Museum Studies Degree, a unique 24 25 collaboration, and a program, which I helped create

2	and implement. Its larger goal is to diversify the
3	city's museum's workforce and address the needs of
4	our increasingly diverse museum going public. The
5	most successful rollout of the Chaney SPS and a
6	program in the history of the school. Our program
7	addresses the pervasive lack of accessibility and
8	inclusion in American Museum leadership and tutorial
9	staff working towards generating equitable and
10	sustainable cultural workforce of tomorrow. The
11	program is specifically structured to attract and
12	retain students from non-traditional academic
13	backgrounds including working adults and students
14	with family obligations. Although primarily online,
15	the hands-on practical component on site at New York
16	Historical will provide a unique behind the scenes
17	view of museum's [bell] operations. We've launched
18	the program offering scholarship funds and have
19	secured new commitments from Agnes Suntang (sp?) and
20	Harold Newman that will allow us to bring the number
21	of scholarship students to 21 with 86% of them from
22	non-white backgrounds.

CHAIRPERSON VAN BRAMER: If I can interject, I know you've been going around and-and

23

24

2.2

being expeditious with your testimony because I'm
following it.

VALERIE PALEY: Good.

5 CHAIRPERSON VAN BRAMER: But if you can 6 find a way to wrap it up--

VALERIE PALEY: Absolutely.

CHAIRPERSON VAN BRAMER: --and make your final point.

VALERIE PALEY: Okay, 58 students have registered to date for this inaugural semester with at least as many new applicants anticipated for the program's second cohort in January. Although not all admitted applicants identified their race, 39% identified as coming from non-white backgrounds. This program will form a vital part of our institutional work towards centering diversity, equity and inclusion across axis of race, gender, sexuality and class in all aspects of our operations. Thank you for this opportunity.

CHAIRPERSON VAN BRAMER: Thank you.

AMY ANDREW: Thank you. Hello. My name is Amy Andrew and I'm here to represent MoCADA.

MoCADA was born from our founder Laurie Cumbo's graduate thesis on the feasibility of whether an

2 African museum could contribute to the revitalization of Brooklyn. Inspired by the DuSable Museum in 3 4 Chicago the oldest museum dedicated to African-American history in the U.S. Ms, Cumbo launched 5 MoCADA in 1999 in a brownstone in BedStuy. Twenty 6 7 years later MoCADA's mission has grown through three programmatic arms: exhibitions, education and 8 community thanks to support from DCA and others to 9 use the visual arts as a point of exploration to the 10 experience of African Diaspora most especially for 11 12 those who have been systematically left out. Through new artistic productions across a variety of 13 14 discipline-a variety of disciplines we create unique 15 experiences that expand beyond the traditional 16 definitions of the term museum to incite dialogue on pressing social and political issues facing the 17 18 African Diaspora, and other marginalized communities. As a result, we have a longstanding history as an 19 20 incubator for emerging artists of African descent including Juan Jeshamutu (sp?) or Jamel Shabaz (sp?) 21 2.2 Ava DuVernay, Joyce Scott and many others. I joined 23 this rich legacy of MoCADA one year ago as a 24 consultant hoping to lend my corporate media and 25 international cultural expertise to an organization

2	that was and is read to realize the next level of its
3	growth. What I found in the last 12 months at MoCADA
4	and other arts institutions mainly black arts
5	institutions and those representing other
6	marginalized communities is that while creative
7	enterprise is not lacking, funding for artists'
8	general operations whether to maintain current Infra-
9	-infrastructure or to scale it, retaining staff
10	and/or healthcare and the rigmarole of maintaining
11	compliance to receive actual funding dollars to stay
12	open when reserve cash is not always readily
13	available, tend to be among the ongoing concerns wher
14	considering longevity. Some fun facts: Research has
15	examined more than 40,000 art works in the collection
16	with 18 museums across the U.S. including the
17	Metropolitan Museum of Art in New York. They
18	estimate that 85% of artists represented in these
19	collections are white and 80% men-87% men. Excuse me.
20	For example, the National Art Gallery there are 986—
21	986 works by black artists and of that-I'm sorry.
22	There are 986 works for art crated by black artists
23	of the 153,621 total works in the museum. Meanwhile,
24	the Met has hosted eight exhibitions focused on
25	African-American artists in the past 10 years of the

2.2

about 40 exhibitions they produce every year. Since 2008 just 2.37% of all acquisitions and gifts-and gifts and 7.6% of all exhibitions at 30 prominent American museums have been of work by African-American artists. According to a joint investigation by in other works in Art News, and yet over the past decade purchases [bell] and gifts to work by-made by African-American artists accounted for a mere 2.4% of all acquisitions. Can I continue or wrap it up? Should I just stop there?

CHAIRPERSON VAN BRAMER: Yeah, I would—I would. It's important obviously what you're saying, but if you could find a way to.

AMY ANDREW: Sure. I'll just say two more pointes. Today museums are scrambling to meet this gap in representation—to meet this representation and to celebrate contemporary artists who have traditionally been overlooked in the art world including women and artists or color. However, these public financial figures, which are great for the greater art space in general and should be celebrated are rarely in the black art space. We black arts institutions like MoCADA never see the likes of these budgets to work on the specific

practice of inclusion through its focus. Though our
focus is central—this focus is central to our mission
and has been since our inception. As an example,
Weeksville Heritage Cultural site, a Brooklyn
landmark almost closed its doors earlier this year if
not for funding. I'm just going to hurry up. So my
last paragraph. All this to say that while change is
coming at the major mainstream level and while we are
thankful for the support thus far, the work is not
complete. More work needs to be done. We cannot
forge the institutions who have worked diligently to
bring about this change through their exhibitions and
programming. Institutions who are understanding of
these communities because they are of and from these
communities. Make us your partner in training larger
institutions who are yet to have a handle on
inclusion and diversity. We can no longer ignore the
impact of culturally focused institutions for sake of
focusing more on gallery size. If there is any
suggestion that I might offer to the city and other
arts funding institutions and gate keepers who
oversee these decisions is to remember us an other
institutions that represent marginalized communities

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

when it comes to grant making time. As the legacies

we leave behind remain in limbo otherwise.

CHAIRPERSON VAN BRAMER: Thank you.

5 [off mic] How do we increase--? Is this 6 on?

 $\label{eq:chairperson van BRAMER: Yep, there you} % \begin{subarray}{ll} \textbf{Aligner Son VAN BRAMER: Yep, there you} \\ \textbf{Aligner Son VAN BRAMER: Yep, ther$

JEFFREY AMORA: How do we increase diversity in cultural institutions? Pay artists more. I'm Jeffery Amora from the Labor activist group Fair Wage on Stage. We have some supporters. you raise your hand. We're also members of Actors Equity, the union that represents 20,000 New York City stage managers and actors. More than anywhere in New York's cultural scene, off Broadway shines a light on diverse communities. If you-if you're watching a play, you're most likely to see someone who looks like me off Broadway where theaters experiment, take risks, hire artists from diver backgrounds who aren't household names and tell stories that reflect the rich demographic tapestry of our city. Companies like MYEE (sic) Nationals American Asian American Theater Company, INTAR, National Black Theater and Classical Theater of

2 Harlem focus specifically on telling stories about communities of color. In recent years, more than half 3 of the actors working in at larger off Broadway 4 institutions like Playwrights Horizons, New York 5 Theater Workshop, Atlantic Theater Company and 6 7 Signature Theater were people of color. Off Broadway fuels one of the largest economic engines in the 8 city, Broadway. The last five shows to win the Tony 9 Award for best musical including Hadestown and 10 Hamilton began in off Broadway non-profit theaters 11 12 and these hits helped-helped Broadway sell a record breaking \$1.8 billion in tickets last year alone 13 generating over \$12 billion for the local economy, 14 15 but that wealth is not reflected in off Broadway 16 wages. So, ironically, as our theaters become more 17 inclusive in the stories they tell and the artists to 18 tell those stories those from under-privileged communities are shut out. Low wages and high cost of 19 20 living hit early career artists from working class backgrounds predominantly those from communities of 21 2.2 color the hardest, and they drive acclaimed actors of 23 every background into debt, bankruptcy and into leaving the city and the profession altogether. As 24 25 with unpaid internships, on those with access to

ROBERT STANTON:

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 2.2

23

24

25

wealth can afford to take these low-paying jobs. We need the city's help. We propose the Fair Wage on Stage Fund, and I'd like to introduce Robert Stanton my fellow actor and activist who can tell you more about it.

Hi. The Fair Wage on

Stage Fund would be an allocation of money within the DCLA budget to make up the difference between the union negotiated minimum salaries non-profit theaters can afford to pay, and what we actually need to survive. In a 2016 union survey all property stage managers and actors reported needing \$815 a week net just to make ends meet. That's \$1,129 before taxes, but only one off Broadway space pays that much and many pay much, much les and Trump's tax law prevents us from claiming expenses that surpass the standard deduction as much as quadrupling our tax burdens. Non-profit theaters within the five boroughs would be eligible for the fund when they hire contracted equity stage managers and actors at union negotiated minimum salaries that fall below the necessary weekly gross salary of \$1,129 per week. Theaters would be responsible for paying established minimums and benefits and could only use the fund to pay the

24

25

2 difference between what artists get and what we need. Last season the roughly 1,500 equity contracts at 3 non-Broadway non-profits paid and average of \$646 per 4 5 week gross. The standard non-profit employment is 6 nine weeks. The Fair Wage on Stage Fund would 7 subsidize workers on average with \$483 per week or \$4,347 per contract. We calculate the fund would 8 disperse just around \$6.5 million annually. That's 9 less than one 100th of a percent of New York City's 10 \$90 billion plus budget, and we've had the privilege 11 12 to brief you, Chairman Van Bramer, Council Member Espinal and staff members from Council Members Cumbo 13 and Koslowitz's offices in more detail. We're eager 14 15 to speak with members Moya and Borelli, and we look 16 forward to working with you to craft this proposal into a budget allocation and/or piece of legislation. 17 18 This fund will signal that no matter what little means we come from, we all deserve a chance to 19 20 participate in art. We're not about sticks. We're all about carrots. How do we increase diversity in 21 2.2 cultural institutions in this city? We pay artists 23 more. Thank you. [applause]

CHAIRPERSON VAN BRAMER: Thank you. we almost got in trouble. We're not allowed to clap.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

We-we do this, but we got away with it anyway. um, so you estimate the total to be \$6.5 million or so?

JEFFREY AMORA: Yes. Jelly beans in a jar, but yes.

CHAIRPERSON VAN BRAMER: Yeah, I mean it is, it is a drop in the bucket relative to the 90 some odd billion dollar budget that we have, but, um, we also fight incredibly hard over \$6.5 million in this city--

CHAIRPERSON VAN BRAMER: -- and where it

JEFFREY AMORA: Of course.

goes. So, I met with-with your group, and Incredibly sympathetic as someone who loves Broadway and off Broadway, and really appreciate all artists and actors in particular, particularly actors who are acting on smaller stages, right, where it is not the Moulin Rouge production situation that my husband and I just saw, and we loved, but, um, [laughter] but, you know, the-the real-real theater that-that you guys, not the custom, it's great theater, but and I love musical theater, but-but, you know, there's a real heart and a soul to off Broadway, right, and like the productions that you're talking about and

as well. I want to thank everyone for being here today. It was an important discussion as

Commissioner Finklepearl called it, but we also need more action behind the talking that we're doing about these issues. So, with that, thank you all very, very much.

JEFFREY AMORA: Thank you, sir.

23 [background comments] [gavel]

17

18

19

20

21

${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date September 21, 2019