CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

----- X

September 10, 2019 Start: 10:30 a.m. Recess: 12:44 p.m.

HELD AT: Council Chambers - City Hall

B E F O R E: Robert E. Cornegy, Jr.

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS: Fernando Cabrera

Margaret S. Chin

Rafael L. Espinal, Jr.

Mark Gjonaj

Barry S. Grodenchik

Farah N. Louis
Bill Perkins
Carlina Rivera
Helen K. Rosenthal
Ritchie J. Torres

## A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Melanie La Rocca, Commissioner, New York City Department of Buildings

Gus Sirakis, First Deputy Commissioner New York City Department of Buildings

Adam Vincent, Junior at Eleanor Roosevelt High School, Manhattan

Elias Markee Ratner, Fourth Grade, Public School in the East Village

Dottie Masarealla, Vice President of Government Relations, International Code Council

Phil Parisi, Associate Principal & Head of Plumbing & Protection, MG Engineering & Chair of NYC Code Revision Plumbing Technical Committee, Licensed Master Plumber, Masters Fire Suppression Contractors Licensing Board

David Balkan, Vice President, New York City Subsurface Plumbers Association

Ed Bosco, Licensed Professional Engineer, Managing Principal of M-E Engineers, Vice Chair of the American Council of Engineering Companies

George Bassolino, Secretary, Master Plumbers Council of the City of New York

Kathryn Heinz, Executive Director, New York City Audubon

Dr. Christine Sheppard, Director of the Glass Collisions Program, American Bird Conservancy

Daniel Piselli, Architect and Director of Sustainability, FX Collaborative Architects

Stefan Knust, Architect and the Director of Sustainability, Ennead Architects

Georgia Silvera Senaz

Patricia Aucry, Volunteer, NYC Audubon Project Safe Flight

Robert Bate, Former President, Brooklyn Bird Club, Current Executive Vice President & Board of Directors, New York City Audubon

David Kalapkin, Wildlife Advisor & Board of Directors of the Voters for Animal Rights & Founder & former Director of Goose Watch NYC

Joseph Rosenberg, Director of the Catholic Community Relations Council

Rita McMahan, Director, Wild Bird Fund

Mary Mooney, District Leader, Humane Society of the United States, New York State Wildlife Rehabilitator's license, Volunteer, Wild Bird Fund

Adita Bernkrant, Executive Director of My Class

Kaitlyn Zuffante, New York City Resident & animal rescuer

Christina Mellalakis, Lifelong New Yorker

Margaret Lee, Bird Advocate

Bradley Harris, Board of Governors of the Princeton Association of New York City

Gabriel Willow, Tour Guide & Naturalist/Ecologist

Calista McCray

Alsaga Marcandiah (sp?)

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

[sound check] [background comments/pause]

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Good morning, good morning. I want to publicly apologize for my lateness, but my borough president of the great borough of Brooklyn had a memorial service honoring the men and women who were first responders at the 9/11 event and it was—it was great to be able to attend that guickly. So, I apologize for having you wait. I'd like to think that it was a noble and worthy cause as it relates to the men and women, first responders of 9/11. So, good morning everyone. I'm Council Member Robert Cornegy, Chair of the Committee on Housing and Buildings. We're here today to hold a hearing on thee important bills that impact New Yorkers in a variety of different ways. These include Intro No. 1481 regarding the latest version of the New York City Plumbing Code. Proposed Intro 1482-A requiring the use of bird-friendly glass to prevent fatal bird strikes and Intro No. 1661 ensuring that construction workers who attend site safety orientations and refresher courses receive training in their own languages. Today, we'll hear from the Department of Buildings, members of the real

Proposed Intro 1482-A sponsored by Council Member

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Espinal, seeks to help prevent bird strike deaths. A hundred million to one billion birds die annually as a result of collisions with buildings. New York is responsible for 90,000 to 230,000 of those deaths in part due to its position on the Atlantic Flyway, a route that transverses the city and is traveled by hundreds of thousands of birds annually. Birds are invaluable to the environment. They eat disease carrying insects, pollutant plants, distribute seeds and consume weed seeds, which in turn helps maintain biodiversity. Birds cannot detect glass, and, therefore, they fly directly into it. This bill requires that 90% of all glass on the first 75 feet of all newly constructed and alter business-buildings be made out of glass that prevents bird strikes. Though this measure—through this measure we hope to stop bird strikes and help protect the bird population. Finally, Intro 1661, of which I'm a sponsor, builds on the robust construction safety requirements required under law-Local Law 196 for the year 2017. Local Law 196 requires construction workers to undergo trainings to prevent construction related accidents. This bill requires that workers at site safety orientations and refreshers receive

1	COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 8
2	instructions about trainings required by Local Law
3	196 in a language that they can understand. This
4	bill is particularly timely in light of recent
5	construction accidents including at a site in the
6	Bronx where four construction workers were injured
7	and one, a father of five, unfortunately was killed.
8	I'd like to thank my fellow committee members present
9	today, Farah Louis and Barry Grodenchik. We will now
10	hear from the sponsor of-Rafael is not here, Espinal
11	is not here. So, I just want to do one bit of
12	housekeeping. I'd like to remind everyone who would
13	like to testify today to please fill out a card with
14	the sergeant. We'll be sticking to a two-minute
15	clock for all public testimony, and now we'll
16	administer the oath to the Administration before the
17	testimony.
18	LEGAL COUNSEL: Do you affirm to tell the
19	truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in
20	your testimony before this committee and to respond
21	honestly to the Council Member questions?
22	COMMISSIONER LA ROCCA: Yes.

GUS SIRAKIS: Yes. man

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: You can begin your 3 testimony, but I just ask that you identify yourself 4 for the record obviously.

COMMISSIONER LA ROCCA: Good morning Chair Cornegy, and members of the Committee on Housing and Buildings. I'm Melanie La Rocca, Commissioner of the New York City Department of Buildings. I'm joined today by Gus Sirakis, my First Deputy Commissioner. Together we are please to be here to offer testimony in support of the three bills before the committee today. Let me start by thanking the City Council for your ongoing partnership with the Department. We both strives to ensure that this great city of ours with is over one million buildings and 45,000 active construction sites not only has the safest built environment, but that we continue to evolve and grow New York City's leadership in the field of design and development. We are a department dedicated to the safety of all people whether they live in New York City, work in New York City, build New York City or visit New York City. We are a department that is dedicated to ensuring workers return home safely every single night that tenants are safe in their homes and are not displaced by

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

construction work, and that our customers receive the best level of service all while strengthening our use of data for the benefit of all New Yorkers. Much has changed at the department since the establishment of the Superintendent of Buildings, our earliest known predecessor in 1860. The department went from a unit within the city's Fire Department to a citywide Department of Buildings in 1936, and we have grown to a department of nearly 2,000 employees and changed throughout the years to respond to the needs of New Yorkers in the ever evolving needs of an industry we work closely with. However, the one thing that has remained constant throughout our many years is the presence of codes that regulate the construction of buildings, which have existed in New York City in some form since as early as the 17<sup>th</sup> Century. Since that time our codes have been revised periodically to ensure that they are up to date and that they reflect advancements in technology as well as the latest standards in life safety. The New York City Construction Codes are the backbone of New York City's built environment. They coupled with the New York City Zoning Resolution, which we are responsible for interpreting and enforcing, physically make New

2 York City the place it is today. Today, the Committee has before it Intro No. 1481, which updates 3 the New York City Plumbing Code, which is part of the 4 5 Construction Codes. The department began this Construction Code revision cycle in 2015. Our Code 6 7 Revision process is a true labor of love on the part of our staff and committee members, and represents a 8 deep collaborative process. This public/private 9 partnership involves over 645 industry professionals 10 and stakeholders who volunteer their time and sit on 11 12 14 different committees including a Managing Committee, and Technical and Advisory Committees, 13 14 which are organized by discipline. To date, this 15 effort has resulted in over 37,000 total hours of 16 service of which more than 7,000 hours were spent on just the Plumbing Code revision. Committee members 17 18 include architects, engineers, attorneys and other city agencies as well as representatives of 19 20 construction, labor, real estate and other stakeholder organizations. A list of committee 21 2.2 members is available on the department's website. 23 The proposed revisions to the Plumbing Code are based on the 2015 edition of the International Plumbing 24 Code, which are developed by the International Code 25

1

2.2

23

24

25

2 Council. The International Code Council is an association with over 64,000 members, which is 3 dedicated to developing model codes like the 4 International Plumbing Code, all 50 states as well as four U.S. territories and the District of Columbia 6 7 rely on International Code Council model codes to form the basis of their construction codes. 8 the proposed revisions use the International Plumbing 9 Code as a base, they also modify or add new language 10 to the Plumbing Code tailored to the unique needs and 11 12 characteristics of the city's built environment. 13 This bill makes 840 revisions to the Plumbing Code. 14 565 changes came directly from the International 15 Plumbing Code. 169 changes came from the Code 16 Revision Committees. Eighty-nine changes were a 17 combination of both, and 17 changes were more minor 18 in nature, and proposed by the department. This bill also makes two dozen substantive revisions, which 19 20 include revisions that add New York standard requirements to the Plumbing Code. No single 21

amendment or new proposal in this bill was included

unless it was first approved by our committees and

agreed upon through consensus. Highlights of the

revisions being made in the Plumbing Code by this

2 bill include: A new requirement that pipes bear required markings including those required by 3 applicable reference standards, which will aid in 4 development inspections. A clarification that multi-5 6 tenant facilities may share a drinking fountain similar to shared public toile facilities provided that drinking fountains are available for use on each 8 floor. A new requirement that each well of the 9 multiple compartment sink discharge independently to 10 a waste receptor, which strengthens the existing 11 12 protections against cross-contamination in food 13 handling. New provisions related to roof drain flow rates, which will result in a more performance base 14 approach to design, to drain designs, and new 15 16 provisions that limit the cutting away of structural 17 members during the installation or alteration of a 18 plumbing system, which will improve the safety of the joist studs, beams, columns and other-other 19 20 structural members that support a building. Before I discuss the remaining bills before the committee, I 21 2.2 would like to take a moment to thank the members of 23 the Plumbing Technical Committee and the Administrative and Enforcement Advisory Committee as 24 well as the Managing Committee some of whom I see 25

2 here who have contributed their expertise and countless hours to produce the bill before the 3 4 committee today. Now the department expects to submit the-the revisions to the Energy Code late this year, 5 and we expect to submit revisions to the balance of 6 7 the Construction Codes, which include the Administrative, Building, Fuel Gas and Mechanical 8 Codes, and to the Electrical Code in 2020. 9 now to Construction Safety, an area of extreme 10 importance to not only the department, but to this 11 12 Administration and certainly to this Council. strongly supportive of Intro No. 1661, which would 13 require the construction workers at certain 14 15 construction sites receive relevant information 16 regarding site safety training during site safety 17 orientations. These site safety orientations are 18 required before a working begins work at a site and each year thereafter, and are required at all 19 20 construction sites for Local Law 196 Construction Safety Training is mandated. These are sites that 21 2.2 require a construction superintendent, site safety 23 coordinator, or site safety manager to be designated, which generally means there are new building sites 24 25 with the exception of construction of one, two, and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

three-family buildings or that there are sites involving a full demolition of the building or the enlargement of the building. The department supports this bill as it will put valuable information and resources including applicable deadlines, the types of training required and total number of hours of training required directly into the hands of workers. This bill will build on the efforts of many to ensure information about site safety training reaches those who need it. Since the enactment of Local Law 196 the department has continued to perform outreach to our stakeholders. Such outreach includes launching a Week of Action just this week, which involves direct outreach to workers on construction sites in all five boroughs and an education advertising campaign targeted to construction workers, which includes advertisements on television, print media, radio and the subways, direct mailings to permit holders for sites where the law is applicable, direct mailing to site safety professionals reminding them of their obligations, distribution of educational materials directly to construction workers, creating our own Site Safety Construction Map, which is an interactive tool workers can use to determine whether a job

requires site safety training and implementing a rule to require signage within construction sites that provide information to workers about site safety training in all languages spoken at the site. final bill before the committee Intro No. 1482-A, which would require that buildings or buildings replacing glass utilize bird-friendly glass, which is less reflective or transparent. The department shares the goal of reducing the potential for bird collision with glass, and we look forward to working with the Council, the bill's sponsor and the industry on this proposal. We thank the Council for your continued support and look forward to our work together to improve the department on behalf of all New Yorkers, and with that, I'm happy to take any questions.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Thank you,

Commissioner. I was remiss earlier in not

congratulating you on your new-relatively new

appointment, and the ability, if I'm not mistaken, to

say that you supported all three bills.

COMMISSIONER LA ROCCA: Yes, thank you and that is true. We support all three.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: That is a great way
3 to start your tenure as a commissioner. We've been
4 joined by Council Member Rafael Espinal who is one of

the bill's sponsors and has an opening statement.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Thank you so much, Mr. Chairman for holding this hearing and including my bill, the Bird-friendly Glass Bill, which I believe it's a very important bill in order for us to continue being climate and, you know, biodiversity advocates here in City Hall. Commissioner, congratulations on your new role. It's been a pleasure working with you and the DOE. done a lot of great work together in my district. look forward to continuing our great work with having you as—as a new Commissioner of the Department of Buildings. So, thank you. I'm Council Member Rafael Espinal, and I'm one of the prime sponsors of Intro 1482-A. Since the last Ice Age the land we now call New York City has been an important stop on the Atlantic Flyway Bird Migration route. Despite less and less green space, over 200 species either live or pass through the city, nest in our parks and window frames, and raise their young. Visit any park, and you're likely to see a few dozen people with

binoculars look into the trees trying to find traces of our city's secret but incredible biodiversity. We've seen this Council take historic steps to decrease our carbon footprint. This bill today will add to our environmental legacy as it makes us take responsibility for our role in the ecosystem that existed long before City Hall. As the Chair mentioned, over two billion birds die from window collisions every year in the country, and between 90 to 230,000 birds die in New York City alone. This is a staggering statistic especially because we have the means to reduce it. There's different ways to make glass bird-friendly, and we have many experts in the room today who will speak to the details. However, this bill strikes a careful balance in requiring bird-friendly glass only at heights where birds are most likely to be flying. I am grateful to the many advocates and experts that have worked with our office on this bill so far and look forward to hearing and reading your testimony today. On top of that, I also want to thank the-the agency and the Commissioner for being supportive of this bill and I look forward to getting my colleagues on board and

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.2

getting this bill passed as son as possible. Thank
you. cheers/applause.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: So, I'm going to hoe that that's the only clapping for this hearing, and if there is more clapping, I just ask that you do this—

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINA: Like the birds.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: It's in—it's in all of our best interest. We've also been joined by Council Member Fernando Cabrera from the great Borough of the Bronx. I'd like to begin my line of questioning as it relates to the Plumbing Code. So, if you could just generally please walk us through the process you used to create the revision of the Plumbing Code.

COMMISSIONER LA ROCCA: Absolutely. So, the process, as I mentioned is truly a labor of love. It starts with the staff at the department who go through the International Plumbing Code. In this case it was the 2015 International Plumbing Code and track every change relative to the existing Plumbing Code and identify all of the changes with the explanatory notes. From there, it moves into committee. Committee at this—at this stage is the

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Technical Plumbing Committee as well as our Advisory and Managing Committees that review and the-and draft and approve all of the proposed revisions. That in itself takes somewhat close to that 7,000 hours that I spoke to. Following that, we were-we go through a legal review and we are at Council for our next step. Now during committee that is a very robust back and forth that its had between the stakeholders and we have representation from the industry specific to this discipline as well as broadly speaking the industry across the city that is impacted by change, and on single edit or change or addition in this Plumbing Code revision before you was made that did not receive consensus, and so our process truly is a collaborative and-and a partnership where we do require that our committees meet and-and receive consensus on each and every single item that makes its way into the Code whether it is a simple numbering change or a more substantial edit.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Thank you. Can you explain what committees were organized to review revisions to the Plumbing Code and how did those committees inform the revision process? Because you spoke kind of heavily about it being a collaborative

process. I mean I know exactly which committees were
solicited--

COMMISSIONER LA ROCCA: Sure.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: --in order to participate.

COMMISSIONER LA ROCCA: So, it is the Plumbing, the Technical Committee and that sits and reviews the documents prepared by the department staff, and so that Technical Committee, the Plumbing Committee reviews line by line the changes that are being, um, made initially from the, um, from the 2015 IPC to our existing code, and from there we begin a review again of line-by-line and suggestions are made by committee members for additions or clarifications or in some cases removal of items specific to the—the subject at hand. From there that document is then once completed reviewed by our Managing Committee. Excuse me. Thank you. And our Managing Committee again by consensus supports the document that the Technical Committee has produced.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: So, were there other groups or stakeholder that were invited to participate?

2.2

COMMISSIONER LA ROCCA: So, our committee process is open to, um, to any interested parties.

At the beginning of the code revision process, we seek through our, um, through our-our established connections with industry and other stakeholders an opportunity for new members to sit on our committee. So we actually have an application on our website where we seek for—seek new members or committees to apply to be on that committee, and they, of course, have to demonstrate a technical proficiency or a connection to the specific trade or discipline that they are seeking to be part of, and again, there are 14 committees that—that touch upon all of the work across the Construction Code revision process.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: And just lastly on this code revision, how often did the various committees actually meet?

COMMISSIONER LA ROCCA: You know, I have to get back to you on the exact number of meetings, but for the plumbing specific work that was the basis of the bill before the committee, we have in excess of 7,000 hours of time that committee members have dedicated because these are unpaid positions to that

2.2

process. So, we'll come back to you with the exact
number of committee meetings.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: So, that actually completes my first round of questions. I'm going to defer to some of mine colleagues who—who this is a very busy and active legislative day. So, I'm going to let my colleagues ask their questions staring with Barry Grodenchik from the great borough of Queens.

COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: And don't you forget that lots of birds in Queens. Commissioner, good to see you. We miss you at SCA but life goes on.

COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: How long, if we approve this legislation on the plumbing, how long before it gets implemented in total, do you estimate.

COMMISSIONER LA ROCCA: So, this Plumbing Code will not be implemented until the remainder of the Construction Codes are heard and approved by this Council, and so we expect that the remainder of the codes will, um, be before the Council in 2020, the last of which is the Electrical Code in terms of order.

2.2

2	COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: And after we
3	all get together and we have our Kumbaya moment on
4	all these code updates, how long do you think it will
5	take to-for your department to implement it?
6	COMMISSIONER LA ROCCA: It takes about a
7	year, and in that process remember so we've gone
8	through a very robust collaborative process where

year, and in that process remember so we've gone through a very robust collaborative process where we've invited stakeholders who are directly related to the industries at hand, and the specific trades at hand as well as the broader community. However, our engagement does not stop at the committee level. It continues after approval by the Council to ensure that each member of the—of the broader universe is aware of changes that have been made, and so we will be continuing our outreach effort after.

COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: Okay, thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. Thank you, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER LA ROCAA: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Thank you, Council Member. If there's no questions—no more questions on the Plumbing Code, I'm going to get to apparently while we're all here bird-friendly glass. Would

2.2

## COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

buildings making alterations under LL97-2019 fall
under these sections of the code?

COMMISSIONER LA ROCCA: Sorry. Say that question one more time.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Would-would buildings making alterations under, um, under this legislation fall under these sections of the code?

COMMISSIONER LA ROCCA: Section 27?

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Yes.

COMMISSIONER LA ROCCA: Yes. So, um, the sections you're referring to are the provisions in the code that were—that correspond back to the 1968 Code and oversee the—the—the triggers for the alterations of buildings that comply with that building code. So, we do expect that some buildings may fall under that, but as we read the legislation, we understand it to impact, um, certainly new buildings that are coming along, and we do expect that a portion of existing buildings will be covered and certainly if there is a different intention, we'd be happy to work with the Council and Committee on—on striking that balance.

2.2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2 23

across the board?

24

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Well, I-I guess for me is there way to estimate the amount of buildings that would be affected by this new law?

COMMISSIONER LA ROCCA: With respect to the specific provision you spoke about, certainly a number of buildings that are seeking alterations typically in Alteration 2 are doing so under the 68 Code as they're existing buildings that—that are applicable to that code. So, it would be hard to say of that universe of which it would be a significant number how many would be required because of this, but let us look-look at that a little more and see if we can get you a better sense of-of universe there.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: And then I'm just Is there a way to estimate the—the cost of these alterations? Obviously, it's built in new construction, it will be built in that cost, but these alterations is there any way to estimate the cost?

to the incremental change for this type of glass or

COMMISSIONER LA ROCCA: The cost specific

2 COMMISSIONER LA ROCCA: We can go back
3 and look at the numbers. Applicants are required to
4 provide a cost for the—for the project. So, we can

5 go back and see if we can pull that information.

Suggest from a DOB standpoint that we have an opportunity there in these alterations to bring in MWBE companies, and I would strongly suggest that that's a process that we look at. So, there's an opportunity for obviously revenue to be generated in these alterations. I would just really like to employ the Department of Buildings to be very aggressive to in trying to solicit for—to participate—participation of MWBE companies in the city.

COMMISSIONER LA ROCCA: We certainly agree. Where the department can we want to grow our supportive MWBE firms.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: So, we've been joined by Council Member Helen Rosenthal from the great Borough of Manhattan. The bill's sponsor has a question, Rafael Espinal

2.2

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: I'm not going to test my luck too much. I'm glad to hear that you're on board, but I'm going to push a little bit further.

COMMISSIONER LA ROCCA: Okay.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: To my knowledge, there's a special film that developers can put on their glass without making any real retrofit or do any retrofit work. Are you aware of that film that exists?

COMMISSIONER LA ROCCA: I'm not aware of the specific film that you're referring to. I am aware conceptually that some folks have raised this as a possibility.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Would-would applying that type of film potentially would requirewould it require potentially DOB permits of any sort?

COMMISSIONER LA ROCCA: Again, not being specific with the exact one that you're speaking of I would say it is unlikely.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Okay. Alright,

I-I guess I'll ask some of the folks testifying on

those specific questions, but I only ask because I'm

toying with the idea that if this film is low cost,

potentially requiring it on some retrofits on

2.1

2.2

buildings that potentially may be something that will work in the future.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: So, so, staying with Council Member Espinal's line of questioning, what—what are other alternative bird-friendly measures that can be implement besides just solely installing bird-friendly glass?

commissioner LA Rocca: I think that is a very good question. That is a question that I probably don't have a good answer for. So, I would say this: The Council—the department is supportive of the goals the Council is seeking to address with this bill. So, where we can the department would like to work with the Council to ensure that whatever version of the bill should move forward if it must—if it does, that we are in a position where we can implement the goals and—and achieve such goals and—and making sure that we are working with our partners in the industry and broadly to ensure that this is actionable.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: So, to your knowledge, are there any buildings in New York City that have already implemented bird-friendly measures, and if so, what was the outcome?

2.2

2 COMMISSIONER LA ROCCA: So, the 3 department when we are going through our pl

department when we are going through our plan review process are looking at exteriors of all types of building and we are doing so-typically, we're looking for items like sustainability measures and conforming with certain performance standards that the department expects. We do not look for the specific threat count for a window. However, that being said, we are aware of—of a renovation recently that did install bird—friendly glass at the Javits Center, and so I think that we are aware of that. I'm not aware of a specific outcome following that installation of that glass.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: So, unfortunately for us at the Council we produce many very laudable bills, and on the flip side, the enforcement portion of that becomes an issue. Do you foresee any enforcement issues with this bill?

COMMISSIONER LA ROCCA: Let us work together on how the language will take place. I think it's a little too early to determine what enforcement actions are needed. So, let us work together and ensure that ultimately again as we are supportive of the goal behind the bill that we're in

2.2

a position where we can effectuate whatever change it is that we're required to do.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: I bring that up because I really would like to work with the bill's sponsor and DOB to make sure that we can mitigate the, um, any enforcement issues at the end because again, I—I—I will illustrate that we've produced in my tenure here probably some of the most laudable sustainable bills in the nation, and are faced with having to address them on the opposite end two years later from an enforcement standpoint, and I thin that if we address it up front, we may find a way to mitigate some of what we find happens after bills have been—been implemented. So, I'd really like to be able to do that with the bill's sponsor and the DOB from the committee—from the Committee Chair perspective.

COMMISSIONER LA ROCCA: We would greatly appreciate that and I certainly will make any—any, um, number of my staff available. We have competent skilled professionals who would be happy to participate in that.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Thank you. Helen Rosenthal.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Thank you so
much Chair. Just a quick question and suggestion for
the bill's sponsor and for the Department of
Buildings. I'm very proud to be a co-sponsor of
1482-A and I really thank the leadership at DOB for
their support. One idea and I don't know how this
would be effectuated, but is to find a way to
immediately address buildings that have a high
incidence of-of birds hitting windows and dying, and
I have such a building in my district-right outside
of my district, and every day birds are found on the
streets right around the building. So, I'm wondering
if there could be some sort of complaint driven
system where people could call in. There would be a
mechanism for people to call in and identify such
locations, and upon, you know, maybe some sort of
validation by DOB that those buildings would be
required to put up a film or, you know, whatever
treatment could be done pretty—that's pretty readily
available.

COMMISSIONER LA ROCCA: Again, we're—we are supportive of the goal that the Council is striving to achieve with this legislation. How the legislation ultimately takes shape, and what the role

developers and and/or tenants reporting?

2 COMMISSIONER LA ROCCA: With respect to?
3 CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Um, um, bird

4 incidents.

2.2

COMMISSIONER LA ROCCA: You know, I-I don't know of a-of a system that handles that type of complaint. I, you know, I don't know. We can look into it.

I'd be interested to find out if people are reporting incidences to 311 or if there's a catchment system in place already, and if so, what it is because I'm wondering if a tenant or building owner recognizes an incidence, whether it's consistent or not right. So, obviously we've identified that there is a flight pattern, which I didn't know. My 12-year-old twins probably could have told me that, but I-I didn't know that personally that there—that they're, you know, New York is in a flight path. Um, how many—how are we capturing the data around how many incidents take place per year, and who's reporting and to where are they reporting it?

COMMISSIONER LA ROCCA: Both you and Council Member Rosenthal raised a very good point, and so again, we'll—we'll commit. I will commit my

2.2

department to work with the Council on—on how we can
effectuate the goals that you're striving to meet
here and—and where the department's role should be,
and ensuring that we're ready and able to do that.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Obviously, the goal of this very laudable bill is to either reduce or actually eliminate these incidences. I don't know how I could statistically substantiate any reduction if there's not a system that is in place to report it.

So, I'd certainly like to work with the bill's sponsor, and DOB to try to find a system that allows us to—there's a—there's portion for testimony and I hope you've signed up and you can answer those questions if you're—if you're an advocate. So, are there any more questions by my colleagues? I actually don't have any more questions. I can't guarantee that all our interaction will be this pleasurable. [laughter] I just want to say that upfront.

COMMISSIONER LA ROCCA: Yes, that's that.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: But—but thank you so much for your testimony.

COMMISSIONER LA ROCCA: Thank you very much. [background comments/pause]

2	CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: We're going to call
3	the first panel. Thank you so much, Commissioner.
4	Adam Vincent, Elias Markee Ratner, and John Dean are
5	our first panel. If your name has been called, pleas
6	report to the-to testify at the bench. I understand
7	that our first panel is a group of students, which
8	I'm excited about hearing from. I would like to
9	remind you and their parents that they are on the
LO	two-minute time clock [background comments/laugher]
L1	as cute as they are [laughter] it's still two
L2	minutes. [background comments/pause] So, students, I
L3	ask before you begin your testimony that you state
L4	your name, first and last name for the record. You
L5	can begin whenever you'd like.
L 6	ADAM VINCENT: Hi. My name is Adam
L7	Vincent. I'm Adam Vincent.
L8	CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Adam, can I ask you
L9	to just speak a little bit louder so that we can hear
20	you?
21	ADAM VINCENT: My name is Adam Vincent.
22	JOHN DEAN: My name is John Dean.
23	ELIAS MARKKEE RATNER: My name is Elias

Markee Ratner.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: You can begin your 3 testimony.

ADAM VINCENT: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Adam.

ADAM VINCENT: Hi, my name is Adam I live in District 4 and I'm junior at Vincent. Eleanor Roosevelt High School in Manhattan. I'm the founder of my school's Naturalists Club, a member of the New York State Young Brothers Club and I'm also a volunteer with New York city Audubon, their Project Safe Life program to monitor migratory birds and window collisions. I also volunteer at the Wild Bird Fund, New York City's only wildlife rehabilitation center for birds. Help birds that migrate through our city is incredibly important, and I'm speaking here today to encourage you to vote in favor of Law Intro 1482-A. I have seen with my own eyes the consequences that glass window collision have on migratory birds. I hope the City Council takes this bill seriously. It's a small measure that could help our planet a lot. New York City is an incredible important-incredibly important spot on the eastern Flyaway bird migration. Twice a year millions of bird fly through the city, and while New York is an

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

incredibly important place, it's also incredibly dangerous for bird. In Manhattan both Central Park and Bryant Park, which are major bird spots are completely surrounded by glass windows-buildings. When the birds hit the windows they often die in especially painful and grotesque ways from sparrows, wood thrushes, and yellow bird sap suckers with their necks snapped. Unfortunately, they were the lucky Other bird often have their beaks snapped and gain internal injuries that have eventually kill Most birds that survive the initial strike often die within a month. When I volunteer a the Wild Bird Fund, I often feed the migratory birds. One day I found one of them a Brown Creeper dead. I had struck a window and died the next day. Brown Creepers are incredibly tiny, fragile and unique birds. So, seeing one dead was deeply depressing. This year, I made a petition at my school supporting bird life strike legislation. Over 170 Eleanor Roosevelt students signed including most of my grade. I also created an online version of the petition, which quickly got another 259 signatures. this response demonstrates to you that when people are made aware of the consequences of poorly designed

2.2

glass windowed buildings, they care and want to see

change. Birds mean a lot to me, and my interest in

them has made my life better. Now is my time to give

back to them. I ask all of you to support this bill

as it—there is no good reason that you shouldn't. If

7 the bill passes, nobody gets hurt, and the birds who

8 just desperately need our help are supported.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: So, thank, you,

Adam. I'm just going to ask for the first time

probably in Council Member history that you actually

state your age as well.

ADAM VINCENT: I'm 16.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Thank you.

JOHN DEAN: My name John Dean and I'm 11 years old.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: No, John, you have to...

JOHN DEAN: Oh, yeah. My name is John Dean and I'm 11 years old. For my whole life I've called New York City my home. I've always been passionate about birds watching them in urban parks every—whenever I get the chance. For a while I've also been aware of the migratory birds that die each year in our city from colliding with glass windows. When

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

I was in third-third grade, I wrote a letter to Mayor de Blasio requesting that less tall buildings are constructed in New York City to reduce migratory bird deaths, but today using modern technology, we have the ability to make a compromise. The Bird Safe Building Act would preserve populations of migratory birds while allowing developers to build high-rises, but there are other reasons why we should protect They have taught us so much over the years. Travelers lost in a dessert used to follow bird to find water. More recently, high tech drones have been modeled after the flight of birds. The Wright Brothers even designed the first successful airplane to mimic aspects of avian flight. Birds have helped and to continue to help us throughout history and in current times. During the world's wars-the World-the wars of World War I and II pigeons were used to deliver messages to soldiers across enemy lines. particular pigeon named Cher Ami, French for dear friend was awarded the Dicken Medal for her bravery in World War II. In 1848, settlers in the state of Utah's crops were being devoured by a cricket plague but huge flocks of California gull devoured the insects saving the lives of many. Today vultures eat

2.2

dead animal carcasses preventing the spread of deadly diseases. In the past there have been consequences when bird species-- [bell]

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Please continue.

JOHN DEAN: --were threatened by humans. In China during the late 1950s and early 1960s, the Chinese government started the Four Pest Campaign. One of the targeted animal was the Eurasian Tree Sparrow. Across China millions of sparrows were killed because there were believed to eat crops. The sparrow population was greatly diminished allowing the populations of their main prey, caterpillars to increase dramatically and consume much of the crops in China. This is one of the main contributing factors to the Great Chinese Famine. If—if the bird safe building law is passed, it will not only be a win for bird, but a win for people, too.

ELIAS MARKEE RATNER: My name is Elias

Markee Ratner and I'm in the fourth grade at a public school in the East Village. I love birds. I've been studying them and birding since kindergarten. It's really important to me to save birds from the threats we've put in their way. Many kids my age have never held a bird live or dead. I've been lucky enough to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

hold many live birds, but I've head even more dead ones and very time it makes me sad. The reason I do this is because I work as a volunteer with New York City Audubon's Project Safe Flight. We monitor dangerous buildings where birds collide with windows, and hopefully help to end this problem. Here is what I've seen as a Project Safe Flight volunteer. past weekend in the Wall Street area, we monitored six tall buildings that are dangerous to birds. In the space of 45 minutes we found four victims, two dead and two badly injured and dying. These included beautiful migrating song birds like the Chestnut-Sided Warbler, Black and White Warbler and Black and White Warbler. Sorry. I also saw an injured Common Yellow Throat that had just bounced off a large mirrored glass window, and that was only one morning at a handful of buildings. Imagine hundreds of thousands of birds that are killed or injured every year in New York City after colliding with buildings that have unsafe windows. Before I started volunteering, I thought the idea of these beautiful birds hitting windows and dying was terrible, but actually missing it is 10 times worse. I volunteer with Project Safe Flight to help birds thrive and

2 survive and that where you can help us. Please pass 3 the bird-friendly glass bill. Thank you. [bell]

4 CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Thank you. Dad,
5 are you there for moral support or to offer

MALE SPEAKER: Moral support.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Thank you, um, so,

I'm aware acutely that the Torah, the Bible, the

Koran always have—all have references to being led by

children, and the importance of listening to the

voice of children. I want to thank you for your

testimony offered here today, and it gives me and the

members of our community a great opportunity to hear

a perspective of the future and not be regimented in

what's happening today. So, I want to thank you all

for your testimony and let you know that it really

means a lot to this Council for you to spend your

time here giving testimony. Thank you. Any, any

comments?

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Hi.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Let me just say we've been joined by Council Member Carlina Rivera from the great Borough of Manhattan.

2.2

testimony?

office because I think you're great advocates, and I

member focused association dedicated to helping the

2 building community provide safe, resilient and sustainable construction through the development and 3 use of model codes, called International Codes and 4 standards used in the design, construction and 5 6 compliance process. Most U.S. States and 7 communities, federal agencies and many global markets choose the I-Codes to set the standards for 8 regulating construction, plumbing and sanitation, 9 10 fire prevention and urging conservation in the built environment. I appreciate the opportunity to submit 11 12 testimony in support of the Intro 1481 to update the New York City Plumbing Code to the 2015 International 13 Plumbing Code or IPC with amendments that reflect the 14 15 unique character of the city. As the Commissioner 16 mentioned the I-Codes are currently adopted to the state or local level in all 50 states, New York City, 17 18 the District of Columbia, Gwam, the Northern Marianna Island, the U.S. Virgin Islands and Puerto Rico. The 19 20 I-Codes are also used internationally in the Caribbean, Central America, the Middle East, Georgia 21 2.2 and Mexico. The International Funding Code, which 23 Intro 481 is based upon is in use or adopted in the 35 states, New York City, the District of Columbia, 24 25 Guam, Puerto Rico, Trinidad and Tobago, the Cayman

islands and columbia. The 1-codes are revised and
updated every three years by a national consensus
process that strikes a balance between the latest
technology and new building products, economic and
costs while providing for the most recent advances in
public and first responder safety and installation
techniques. The I-Codes are correlated to work
together without conflicts to eliminate confusion in
building design or in consistent code enforcement
among different jurisdictions. The ICC Code
development process is open, inclusive and encourages
input from all individuals and groups and allows for
those governmental members including representatives
from New York City to determine the final code
provision. I am very pleased that several New York
City building and Fire Department staff and other
organizations in the city [bell] participated at the
most recent hearings, and I guess you can read the
rest. [laughs]

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: I—I—I will let you complete your testimony but I am asking that if you're in favor of it, it you be a little bit more concise going forward.

DOTTIE MASSARELLA: Absolutely.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Not you particularly, but just as we go forward we have a few panels that we'd like to get to. So, please complete.

DOTTIE MASSARELLA: Okay, sure. So, the

current New York City Construction Codes and other local laws have been incorporated into the 2015 I-Codes, which includes the 2015 2015 IPC. involvement and participation by personnel from the Department of Buildings is critical to the success of future versions of the I-Code. The technical and practical expertise of New York City building and fire officials, design professionals, builders, contractors, labor representatives and all organizations interested in building safety are vital to your adoption efforts as well as ours. New York City is one of many jurisdictions that value-values public and first responder safety and the protection of our built environment by updating plumbing, building, fire and energy codes By regularly updating your construction codes, the city provides the safest and economically prudent climate for its citizens since it will allow for the use of new construction standards and methods. Accordingly,

for future reference the Millennnial Font, I have

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

respect for Millennials and the single space is murder for us who would like to follow along.

DOTTIE MASSARELLA: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Thank you, though.

PHIL PARISI: Good morning Council. My name is Phil Parisi. I'm here to support Intro 1481, the proposed update Plumbing Code for the city of New York. I'm an Associate Principal and head of Plumbing and fire Protection with MG Engineering, and currently the Chair of the New York City Code Revision Plumbing Technical Committee, a member of the Committee New York City Code Managing Committee and a member of the Licensed Master Plumber-Masters Fire Suppression Contractors Licensing Board. During the previous code revision cycles, which began in 2006, I participated as a technical committee member and in 2011 participated as the co-chair of the New York City Code Revision Plumbing Technical Committee along with numerous other members. Both of these efforts resulted in the 2008 and subsequently the 2014 New York City Plumbing Code. Over the last 12 years the committee comprised mostly of volunteers to prominent building staff and other city agencies have worked together to adapt the 2003 International

Financing code to meet the special needs in New York
City and then update the former 2008 New York City
Plumbing Code to meet—to be further in line with the
2009 International Plumbing Code resulting in the
2014 New York City Plumbing Code, which is currently
in place today. Similarly, to previous years, the
goal of New York City Department of Buildings and the
industry as a whole is to maintain a similar revision
cycle as the International Code Council maintaining
the high quality and keeping up with the latest
industry technology and practices. For the past 12
years I've been part of this code revision process.
The time and effort put into the New York City based
on consent, feedback and other industry
professionals, and community response. I've found
that the Plumbing Code simplified is easier to apply
in practice while maintaining [bell] quality
standards. Continue?

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: I'd like to hear some of, you've—you've indicated some highlights. If you could just hit those highlights for me that would be fine.

PHIL PARISI: Oh, highlights. Um, the
Plumbing Technical Committee kicked off in July 2017

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

comprised of 45 members. We've spent over two years , 200 hours in committee meetings alone, approximately four meetings so far, which are comprised of the New York City Plumbing Code as well as the Fuel Gas Code, although it's not part of this Intro. Um, and we've engaged in implementing changes that would improve the significant New York City Construction Codes removing the ambiguity and improve the safety and performance of new and existing buildings. Some highlights. We've added and refined the definitions within the code to better reflect today's technology and terminology as well as coordinated with the New York City D&P and Subsurface Plumbers Association to align with building, drain and sewer technologyterminology. We've coordinated multiple sections among the administrative sections for licensing, plumbing, fuel, gas and fire codes to simplify and make them consistent across the Construction Codes. Significant Achievement (coughs) was the creation of Chapter 14, Subsurface Landscape and Irrigation Systems, which is new, aligns the standards with the industry and New York City DEP for discharge of storm water to subsurface irrigation systems. The majority of the effort was put to minor revisions to

just want to thank you for that.

PHIL PARISI:

Thank you.

24

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Yes, sir.

DAVID BALKAN: My name is [coughs] David Balkan. I'm the Vice President of the New York City Subsurface Plumbers Association. I'm here to speak about Intro 1481, which is an all-encompassing document updating the New York City Plumbing Code. In this association's previous response to Intro 1481 we had stated serious concerns about the proposed change and the definition of the building drain. Our concerns included creating an overlapping and confusing inspection process overseen by both the DEP and the DOB . This confusion would have been brought about by extending the definition of the building drain to the property line. It will have also resulted in needless additional charges of approximately \$5,900 to any property owner who required household work. More seriously, was the very real potential for both bodily injury and property damage due to excavations having to be left open. I'm pleased to report our above concerns have been appreciated and fully addressed by both the DOB and the DEP. The new definition of the building drain as proposed now is defined as ending at the outside of the foundation wall as opposed to at the

I'm sorry. Please.

please.

2 ED BOSCO: Go ahead?

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

3 CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Yes.

ED BOSCO: Ed Bosco. I'm a licensed professional Engineer and Managing Principal of M-E Engineers. It's a New York City firm with offices around the country. I'm also the Vice Chair of the American Council of Engineering Companies, and I've been a member of the HVAC Boiler and Technical Committee that's developed the other sections of the codes that complement what Mr. Parisi has done. behalf to the American Council of Engineering Companies of New York, I'd like to thank Chairman Cornegy and the members of the Committee for their efforts over the years to update the city's Construction Codes. We had testified in support of Intro 1481, which proposed revisions to update the New York City Plumbing Code. Founded in 1921, ACC New York is one of the oldest continuing organizations of professional consulting engineers in the U.S. We represent close to 300 consulting engineering and affiliate firms throughout New York State with a concentration in New York City. Our members plan and design the structural, mechanical and electrical, plumbing, civil, environmental fire

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

protection and technology systems for the city's buildings and infrastructure. Over the years hundreds of ACC New York members have donated countless hours to chairing and serving on the technical committees convened by the DOB to assist with the revision of the city's Construction Codes. The technical committees work closely with DOB and the construction community to address issues associated with the adoption of IPC for use in New York City. We thank the-we thank the DOB for this high level of collaboration and for continuously improving on the process for updating the Construction Codes based on industry feedback. During the current code revision cycle 120 members of the ACC New York have served on the technical and managing committees, the plumbing and technical committee that assisted the DOB in drafting the plumbing code revision being considered today as Chaired by Phil Parisi of MG Engineering. He's also the Chair of the ACEC New York Plumbing Code Committee. I feel old, but Phil and I have been working on these codes longer than the prior panel has been alive. [laugher] We-we applaud the work of the DOB's Plumbing Technical Committee and understand that it's a two-tiered process for further review by

2 GEORGE BASSOLINO: My name is George 3 Bassolino. I am the Secretary of the Master Plumbers 4 Council of the City of New York. The Master Plumbers 5 Council represents over 250 licensed master plumbers throughout the city, and I'd like to say thank you 6 7 for giving me the opportunity to submit testimony today. This current co-revision has provide the 8 Department of Buildings the opportunity to update the 9 Plumbing Code to continue to maintain the highest 10 level of public safety for the residents of New York 11 12 Most of these proposed changes are to bring us in line with the 2015 International Plumbing Code. 13 While technological advances in methods and materials 14 15 may work in other parts of the country, they're not 16 always really for New York City, and one of the primary functions of this committee was to ensure 17 18 that we selected only the best materials and methods. Over the past two years members or our association 19 20 have been privileged to work with this committee. We appreciate the fact that DOB has taken all of our 21 2.2 thoughts, suggestions and as you said, we've complied 23 a consensus code here. What you had before and as such the Master Plumbers Council is supportive of-of 24 25 the bill as written. However, we realize that there

2.2

are many interested parties and everyone may have a suggestion or a comment, and all we request is that those suggestions or comments be sent back to the full Plumbing Committee for consideration, and then we would be happy to consider them and send them back to you. The main goal of the MPC and the Council is consistent. It's to first protect the public safety of the residents of New York and in order to do this, we need to provide them with a plumbing code that is both modern, efficient and affords them the highest level of public safety. Please remember that plumbers are the first line of defense when it comes to protecting the public's health. Thank you very much for your time.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Thank you. The only thing I'm going to request probably is that the Council reconvene to talk about the enforcement because usually again, you know, and thank God today we're here in agreement, but we really find issues around the second component to the great work that the committee put in, which is the enforcement component. So, it doesn't have a disproportionate a negative impact on-on-on businesses and on the industry. So I'd certainly like to reconvene the

2.2

committee at some point to discuss the enforcement
component to it.

GEORGE BASSOLINO: We agree and greatly appreciate that. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Thank you. We're going to call the next panel. Thank you all for your testimony. Stephanie Coach, Christine Sheppard,
Kathryn Heinz, Daniel Casselli, Georgia Silver
Sherman. I'm sorry, Silver Semens—Silvera Semens. I apologize. [background comments/pause] As you're assembling, I just ask that the—before you begin your testimony that you state your name clearly for the record, fist and last name. Thank you. Once you're seated comfortably, you can begin your testimony at any time. I generally err on the side of Chivalry, but it's your panel so—[background comments/pause]

Is this on? There we go. Last September after a day of burning in Jamaica Bay, I got a real shock at the shiny glass Howard Beach Station. I happened upon a dozen American Red Starts, adorable little warblers hitting the sidewalk. They were all dead. As I stood there in semi-shock, a teary-eyed woman approached me She sobbed, it's like this every day now. It's

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

horrible. Somebody ought to do something. I'm Kathryn Heinz, Executive Director of New York City Audubon and I'm somebody who can do something. I testify today to voice New York City's Audubon's support for Introduction 1482-A. As the lead bird conservation organization in the community we know the threats that birds face. We have been studying the negative effects of habitat loss, human disturbance and now climate change on birds in New York City for 40 years. We know that reflective glass and transparent glass on buildings are the deadliest obstacles migratory birds encounter here. Our team has evidence that up to 230,000 birds die each year in New York City along colliding with glass on buildings. is our community's sad contribution to one billion birds killed by glass collisions each year across the country. It is a conservation crisis. Bird safe glass and bird safe design work. By 2006 the Javits Center was the top bird killer in our surveys. Hundreds if not thousands of birds were dying each year. The 2013 Sustainability renovation there to install free and no reflective glass reduced bird collisions by over 90%. But that renovation is an exception. Legislation is imperative to save birds

2	on citywide and hemispheric scales. Toronto, San
3	Francisco, San Jose, Portland already have mandates.
4	There are many others underway including in Chicago.
5	New York City should lead by doing its best. We need
6	a holistic approach to kill fewer birds. Passing
7	this legislation is part of the process. Like all of
8	you, we envision a future living, working, and
9	thriving in a more sustainable city [bell], but to be
10	truly better and environmentally embracing. The
11	definition of sustainability must include birds in
12	it. Our infrastructure mustn't kill wildlife. Thank
13	you again for the invitation to share this testimony.
14	We stand ready to provide you with data, research
15	recommendations, and stories from all across the
16	city. Thank you, Chair Cornegy, Council Members,
17	Committee Counsel for your hard work here. We look
18	forward to working with the new Commissioner Melanie
19	La Rocca to provide her with the data we do have on
20	buildings like the Javits Center. We have a tool for
21	reporting and doing scientific monitoring of
22	buildings, and we look forward to sharing that as
23	well. I've also included 20 copies of a slide show
24	for your visual pleasure, and you'll also see some

2.2

examples of birds safe buildings in New York City at the end of that packet. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: So, in general, I wouldn't stop you in between, but I am interested in getting as much data as I can so that we can demonstrate the reduction and/or elimination when it takes place--

KATHRYN HEINZ: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: --but we need to be able to measure this right so--

Audubon has been monitoring bird collisions for a number of years now since the '90s, and we have even created a tool that's web based called D-Bird, where a citizen can report a dead bird when they find it on the street, and we do have that data and it's mapped. Most of the data right now is in Manhattan, which is where we've launched. The tool that it's spreading out into Brooklyn, into Queens, into the Bronx and into Staten Island as more and more people are using it. So it's a crowd sourced tool, but we also have been monitoring specific buildings. So, in the example of the Javits Center, we have data, scientific data of the number of bird strikes on that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

for reducing bird collisions. I teach continuing ed classes to architects for AIA and Lead credit. I authored ABC's publication for trendy building design. Most especially, I work on developing the science behind solutions to collisions. Um, I developed a system for glass rating out in Pennsylvania so that we can compare one type of material with another in terms of how effective it is I worked with a number of in reducing collisions. glass companies and encouraging them to increase the number of materials for doing bird-friendly design. So the situation these days is very different from what it was ten years ago. There are many, many, many types of materials available to do bird-friendly design. Architects have actually been designing bird-friendly structures for decades longer than that without knowing it. There are many features of sustainable design especially those related to control of sunlight, heat and also security that are bird-friendly. Bird-friendly design neither requires relinquishing the openness and light provided by glass nor seriously impedes mark-marketability of buildings. It's critical, however, that the elements of bird-friendly design be considered at the

15

16

17

18

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

- beginning of the design process and carried through to the building's completion. Legislation is the only way that this is going to happen on a revival basis. ABC also supports [bell] some adjustments to
- 6 the bill, which will be articulated by my colleague

from the Bird Safe Buildings Alliance.

- 8 CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: I would just ask is 9 the-is-can I count on you to submit to me--
- DR. CHRISTINE SHEPPARD: What I actually said?
- 12 CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Huh?
- DR. CHRISTINE SHEPPARD: What I actually said, and so.
  - CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: No, well that, too, but I-I actually, the, um, the cost around these retrofits is something that I'd like to be able to look into--
- DR. CHRISTINE SHEPPARD: Absolutely.
  - CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: --efficiently and effectively to people who are willing to—to go this route because there are some people I know personally who have enacted these things in their buildings prior to the legislation. I'd like to be able to introduce also a cost-effective way to meet the

2.2

mandate of bird-friendly glass. So, you said that
there are different material at this point that can
be used, and as—as you know obviously technology
dictates as we go forward that there will be other
more effective and efficient ways—

DR. CHRISTINE SHEPPARD: Absolutely.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: -with needs. I'd like to stay in contact to try to make sure that we can provide that for--

DR. CHRISTINE SHEPPARD: That's my job.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Okay, thank you.

DANIEL PISELLI: Okay, good morning. Is she second. I'm Danilel Piselli.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Turn your mic on, please.

DANIEL PISELI: Hello, I'm Daniel
Piselli, an Architect and Director of Sustainability
at FXCollaborative Architects. I'm here on behalf of
my office and the American Institute of Architects
New York. Both are in support of this bill. The
bird glass collision issue is part of a larger
problem, which impacts an environment. The United
Nations estimates that one million species of animals
and plants are threatened to go to extinction because

of us. That scale of ecological loss [coughs] erodes the foundations of our economy, food security and quality of life. Bird collisions are a growing environmental land use issue that contributes to this problem. Glassy buildings degrade habitat to the tunes of hundreds of millions of bird deaths every year in North America. As architects, we often use glass to connect people with nature, but if done wrong, that glass [coughs] can literally kill the nature we seek to connect with. Fortunately, there are bird-safe glass solutions. I've been working on this since 2005 and have been in partnered with a number of a bird safe buildings. Multiple strategies are available such as simple insect screens, solar shooting elements, reduced reflectivity, decorative patterns such as this, and barely visible ultraviolate coatings such as this one.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: I'm sorry, it that a—is that a film?

DANIEL PISELI: I'm going to go over my time a little with the question, but this is specifically a, um, ceramic frit that is made of a kind of metal similar to low e-coatings that are

1

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 required anyway. It happens to be very new and very 3 expensive because it's new.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Thank you. I won't interrupt you again then.

DANIEL PISELI: Yeah, [laughs] as long as you're okay with me going over. So, um, so the-so the simplest way for say a large building in New York to deal with this is [bell] is patterns like the one I've showed you, which adds only a fraction of a percent to the-to the cost of, um, such a building. We used patterned glass at the Javits Center and reduced bird fatalities there by 90% while also reducing solar heat getting in air conditioning loads. The Statue of Liberty Museum has a different glass pattern. [coughs] After the Urban installation-of being-being installed there have been no collisions and no aesthetic criticism. Columbia University School of Nursing has another bird safe pattern that's decorative, gives privacy to the students and reduces glare for the neighborhood. Those are all institutional building owners, but commercial and residential building owners are hesitant to use these things because of concerns of c cost and market expectations for lots of their glass.

2.2

As a result, there are very few, um, bird safe commercial and residential buildings in New York City. In fact, one of ours that we designed has a current tragic bird collision problem. So, most building owners will not do this on their own and that's why legislation is necessary and so AIA New York Recommends adoption of the adjustments that my colleagues defined this morning to describe, and we strongly recommend Council approve the bill.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Thank you.

Stefan Knust. I'm an architect and the Director of
Sustainability at Ennead Architects. I'm also an
active member of AIA New York. My office worked with
Chris in developing the Lead Pilot Credit 55 after
our own experience with work in the city, bird
collision deterrents, which has become the most
popular pilot credit in the U.S. Green Building
Council's LEAD Certification Program. I'm testifying
this morning on behalf of the Bird Safe Building
Alliance an advocacy organization that serves as the
technical advisory for Pilot Credit 55. We assist
designers, manufacturers, invested stakeholders in
applying the scientific knowledge behind this credit

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

to their projects, which includes strategies of enforcement. The Bird Safe Buildings Alliance is here to provide support for Intro 1482-A. Awareness about this issue has increased exponentially in recent years and it is driving successful innovation by glass manufactures. Legislation is of critical importance for greater adoption and we at the BSDA know very well. So our guidelines. Among other comments that we have submitted in writing we highly recommend that the requirement for this development of specific guidelines is included in the final language of Intro 1482. It is staggering to us to imagine that the equivalent of one New York City is being built every month globally for the next 40 years. That's almost 480 new New York Cities around the world by 2050, which means that today, and every night and day for the foreseeable future manufacturing plants are producing never-ending float lines of glass to serve this tremendous growth not to mention the emerging retrofit markets for existing buildings. We can influence the impact that this glass will have on the global environment. New York City prides itself in being referenced as a model city, as a measure of what is possible and as a

2.2

leader by example. This legislation provides an opportunity to do both. In our written technical [bell] submission, we have provided comments that we think will improve the ability for design teams and owners to apply the criteria that the Intro describes and also how the definitions can improve giving credit project teams for all the materials that are in play on our buildings, and of course, lastly we do recommend that the compliance guidelines be added as a requirement of the intro. (sic) Thank you.

[background comments/pause=]

GEORGIA SILVERA SENAZ: Good morning. My name is Georgia Silvera Senaz. I'm here as a private individuals and in favor of the bird-friendly glass bill on the floor. I'm a New York City resident and bird watcher. My birding patch is Washington Square Park in Greenwich Village. It's a pleasure to watch birds year round and especially during the spring and fall migration season and fall migration season has begun. New York City's position in the Atlantic Flyaway plus the Green Space Network in the city makes our city a stopover hotpot for migratory birds. However our built environment is detrimental to these birds that we know, love and endorsing. I know the

2 bill would not absolutely eliminate bird strikes, but

3 the shocking number of bird deaths annually from

4 glass collisions should and can be reduced. We have

5 | the technology to do so, and being in this room today

6 I know that we have the social and political will to

7 do so as well. So, I'd like to thank City Council

8 and New York City Audubon, the Bird-friendly Glass

9 | lines and all the folks in the audience who are here

10 | to give voice to birds who can't speak for

11 themselves. So thank you.

1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

24

25

testimony.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Thank you. Before my colleagues ask questions, um, I would like to say that, um, there's a false narrative that says people of color do not care about the environment and/or are not pet friendly and/or are not environmentally and ecologically have an understanding of—of that. So you represent unbeknownst to yourself dispelling that

GEORGIA SILVERA SENAZ: You're welcome.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Barry Grodenchik

false narrative. So, thank you for your-your

23 | from the great Borough of Queens.

COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: We do we have birdies and great birds. Thank you, Mr. Chairman and

2.2

I—I—this is really for anybody on the panel. Do we—I don't know so hopefully you can, um, answer this question. I'm sure you can. Do we know why birds can't deal with glass as opposed to buildings, and I'm sure there's a ready answer, but I just don't know what it is.

DR. CHRISTINE SHEPPARD: The same reason people can't deal with glass, and I'll bet you almost everybody in this room has smacked into a glass door or a glass wall. Glass is transparent. We learn about it when we're little kids without killing ourselves. Birds did not evolve with glass, and they treat what they see literally. So, they are either looking through it or they're seeing a reflection, which they believe is habitat, and so they don't slow down. They just keep going. So, the way we stop them, um, is to apply patterns on glass, which to them are literally something they can't fly through. It doesn't say here's glass. It says here's—here's a tree, and yeah go around.

COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: And the don't have the problem like we don't with buildings because we can see a building. Generally, we can avoid objects of, you know, even as you--

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

DR. CHRISTINE SHEPPARD: You-you have a whole list of ques that you use to tell you where glass is. You see mullions. You know that right angles are not natural. Birds never understand any of that. The concept of glass as a transparent or reflective barrier is just not something that gets into their heads. They can learn about individual local pieces of glass if they don't die the first time, which is why so many pigeons are still around. But they-they don't learn it especially these migrants are flying from one place to another landing where they've never been before and then they have to look for food. They have to regain their energy, and when they do that they're just as likely to fly to a perfected tree as they are into to an orchard. COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: Thank you very

COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: Thank you very much. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Yes, sir. So, I—I would just like to offer what I'm faced with as the Chair of Housing and Buildings and one of things is residential affordability and in dealing with developments—the reason that it's important to have a conversation about efficiency and cost-effectiveness in doing this I don't believe that residential

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

[background comments/pause]

2.2

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: So, we're going to call the next panel. Joseph Rosenberg, David Kalapkin, Robert Batte, Liz York, and Patricia Acarwe (sp?). I'm sure I killed that. I apologize. [background comments/pause] I'm sorry. Rita McMahan, please join us to offer your testimony. Okay, just give me one second. Let's give our last panelists an opportunity to make her way, and again, I ask that you begin by-your testimony by offering your name in full for the record, and I believe we can begin whenever you're ready.

PATTRICIA AUCRY: My name is Patricia

Aucry. As a New York City Audubon Project Safe

Flight volunteer, I have witnessed the impact that
skyscrapers with sheer glass have on migrating birds.

For the past several years, I visited five
skyscrapers I the downtown western neighborhood near
the World Trade Center, and found many migrating
warblers, other birds all beautiful specimens fallen
by the confusing reflective glass that is in their
way. The Atlantic Flyway is the path that birds have
taken for eons, and now human needs are hindering
their ability to reach their wintering grounds and
their breeding grounds safely. As our population

grows it is important for us humans to consider the
rest of the natural world in our structures. The
Javits Center is a great example of how a change to
the design and this fenestration saved thousands of
birds on their bi-annual journeys. During the spring
of 2019, as I was picking up four or five warblers in
front of the World Trade Center, a construction
worker asked me had I been to 3 World Trade Center,
which is not even on our route. He said, It is a
horror show. So many birds have fallen there, 17 in
all. The police were summoned, the building cordoned
off, and a Hazmat worker arrived to deal with
hazardous gas. The hazard was glass. The birds
crashed into it. The danger was to the birds not the
people. How much longer can we humans think that we
are the only living creatures who matter? Here are
two of the foremost specimen-most recent specimens I
found two days ago, a Northern Water Thrush and a
Blackburnian Warbler. I have pictures here. I hope
that you can find a way for humans to coincide—to co-
exist with the beautiful and wild creatures who were
here first. I wholeheartedly support this bill.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Thank you.

PATTRICIA AUCRY: Thank you. [bell]

2	ROBERT BAIT: Hello. My name is Robert
3	Bate. I'm a former President of the Brooklyn Bird
4	Club, and I'm currently the Executive Vice President
5	on the Board of Directors for New York City Audubon.
6	The Brooklyn Bird Club stands behind the testimony of
7	New York City Audubon, the American Bird Conservancy
8	and the other experts in the field in support of the
9	legislation protecting the local environment
10	especially as it concerns migrating and resident bird
11	populations. In addition, we'd also like to point out
12	a building project that is emblematic of the hazards
13	facing birds as they navigate our urban landscape.
14	Developers in Brooklyn are proposing a 500-foot glass
15	building on the east side of New York City's
16	treasured Brooklyn Botanic Garden to be built at 960
17	Franklin Avenue. This structure with its highly
18	reflected—proposed highly reflective glass façade
19	should it be built it would be a serious bird strike
20	hazard. In addition, it would cast a huge shadow of
21	over the—over the Brooklyn Botanic Gardens and its
22	world famous conservancy in the morning as well as
23	cooking the Conservancy and the gardens with sun
24	glare later in the day. New York City needs a more
25	enlightened building planning strategy to address

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

long-looming climate and environmental concerns. You know, the questions that arise about how-how birds navigate our urban landscape. One of the solutions involves using ultraviolet, you know coatings and things. Birds see differently than we do. They-they can see into the ultraviolet spectrum, and so things that would be-appear virtually clear to us, you know, will appear as a solid object to them. So, it's like there-there are many solutions, you know, and, um, and you know, it's like I encourage you to make use of these experts that spoke before me because theythey have that wealth of knowledge that you're, you know, you're seeking as ammunition. So, thank you very much for your time. [bell] It's a pleasure speaking.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Thank you.

DAVID KALAPKIN: Good morning. My name is David Kalapkin. I'm a life-long Brooklynite, wildlife resident. Excuse me. Wildlife advisor to and on the Board of Directors of the Voters for Animal Rights. I'm also the founder and former Director of Goose Watch NYC an organization that worked for several years to protect and advocate or co-existence with urban wildlife in New York City,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

work that I'm now doing with VFAR. This is my new testimony in support of Intro 1482, which would require using bird-friendly glass. In our view New Yorkers are incredibly fortunate to share our city with hundreds of species of wildlife who live among us including migratory birds who pass through out city every year. These animals are our neighbors representing a diverse ecosystem and we have a responsibility to co-exist with them. As has been said, each year tens if not hundreds of thousands of migratory birds are killed or injured, crashing into New York City's glass skyscrapers on their migration, a number that is in the billions across the country. These birds cannot see the glass and mistake their reflections for inviting habitat of sky and crash into the buildings. Some die instantly while others fall to the ground with concussions, broken limbs or wings and other injuries, and suffering severe pain. As a New York State licensed wildlife rehabilitator, I receive dozens of phone calls every year from New Yorkers requesting assistance with birds found injured in this tragic and preventable way. Birdfriendly glass will reduce these casualties and this legislation will better allow our concrete jungle to

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 serve as the wile habit-wildlife habitat that it

3 should and can be. We're excited that New York City

4 is moving forward with significant improvements in

5 the availability and application of this ethical and

6 effective wildlife management policy and gratified

7 for initiatives such as this. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Thank you.

JOSEPH ROSENBERG: Good afternoon. I'm Joseph Rosenberg, Director of the Catholic Community Relations Council of upstanding archdiocese in New York and the diocese of Brooklyn and Local Legislative Affairs. We certainly support concept of embracing measures that protect birds. There are clearly many dangers to the bird population in our city and this legislation would help lessen the hazards of birds to fly into reflective glass. do, however, have concerns with the bill that I would like to bring to your attention. The bill requires that at least 90% of all exterior glazing on the lower 75 feet of any building must consist of birdfriendly glass. The fiscal impact of removing existing glass and purchasing and installing birdfriendly glass is unclear. The legislation is silent

regarding if this mandate is retroactive. Therefore,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

requiring all buildings in New York City to comply with this measure or if is prospective and covers only new developments. It also does not clarify for alterations or renovations to existing buildings trigger this requirement, and if so, what is the threshold of work that would require glass replacement. These are all important issues for us. Religious organizations have scarce financial resources and confront daily challenges in covering our operating expenses. Unfunded mandates create financial difficulties in our continue efforts to develop and operate schools, run human service provided facilities for the elderly, the disabled and the needy and construct low-income housing for residents of our city who suggest that if religious organizations are not exempted, that we at least be provided with financing to accomplish the mandates of this bill. It is also not clear at all how stained glass windows will be impacted by this bill. Hundreds of churches, synagogues and mosques contain stained glass, which are enduring symbols of faith and beauty. They're sources of pride to-for all congregations and, in fact, for many New Yorkers and tourists to visit these-these sacred buildings. The

legislation defines bird friendly glass as glass or
glazing with the maximum fact-threat factor of 25.
Stained glass panels contain many individual pieces
of colored glass framed by lead soldering. Are these
valuable and historical windows classified above the
threat factor of 25 and therefore a danger to birds?
If so, where are they covered [bell] by the façade
material type, a threat factor chart or does stained
glass pose no problems to birds? The report entitle
Bird Friendly Building Design provides guidance on
this question. It's published by the American Bird
Conservancy and the Audubon Society, and discusses
how to protect birds when designing buildings. The
authors state that opaque, etched, stained or frosted
glass are excellent options to reduce or eliminate
collisions and I quote: Certainly the Council's
intent cannot be to require the removal, alteration
or covering of stained glass windows in houses of
worship or prevent its use in future sanctuaries. We,
therefore, urge that the Council relies on this
report and amends the bill to exclude stained glass
from these mandates. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Duly noted.

JOSEPH ROSENBERG: Thank you, Councilman.

2

[background comments/pause]

RITA MCMAHAN: Rita McMahan. I'm the 3 Director of the Wild Bird Fund. You know we're very 4 rich with wildlife, and it's our 50,000 acres of 5 parkland, marshland and abandoned land make us an 6 7 oasis on the dense urban landscape of the Northeast Coast. When bird arrive in New York City they find 8 diverse habitat, food and shelter in all five 9 boroughs. They also finds a gauntlet of tall glass 10 buildings each reflecting the open sky inviting them 11 12 to fly through. After successfully flying thousands 13 of miles, a bird strikes the glass and then falls to the pavement below sometimes 10, 20, 30 stories down 14 15 to the sidewalk. At best, one-third survive. New 16 York's tall buildings with their reflective and 17 transparent glass are a lethal threat to-determined 18 to be a lethal threat to over 100 threatened and endangered migratory birds. We know that New York 19 20 City Audubon's volunteers work for Project Safe Flight and they pick up the birds, and the lucky ones 21 2.2 are brought to us those that are still alive or they 23 die in transport, but most of Wild Bird Funds 24 collision patients are brought in by compassionate 25 New Yorkers who have found a song bird, woodcock, or

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

falcon at the base of a glass building. We treat about 1,000 a year. Our window strike patients suffer from concussions, damage to their eyes, beaks. They have broken wings, broken legs and internal injuries. Again, just over one-third survive despite our best efforts, but there is something to do to stop the carnage. A case in point is the Javits Center and remember those numbers. They cut at 90 to 95% the lethal deaths. They were-I mean they cut deaths 90 to 95% [bell]. They cut collisions. Wild Birds Fund supports the New York City Council's Proposed Intro 1482 to safeguard birds passing through New York reducing window strikes by 90% as the Javits Center did could equal 90,000 lives saved each year. There are so many threats to wildlife, federal reversals of environmental policies, habitat loss, light pollution, climate change. We have to do what we can locally to make New York a safe harbor. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Thank you all so much for your testimony. Again, I just want to reiterate that from my perspective as the Chair, I'm trying to find the most effective and efficient ways to get it done to remove all barriers for—from

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Mary Mooney. Okay. Chelsea Lawrence and Michelle Ashkin. [background comments/pause] Thank you. I ask before you begin your testimony that you identify yourself and full name for the record. Thank you. You can begin whenever you're ready.

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MARY MOONEY: [off mic] My name is Mary Mooney, and I'm a volunteer [on mic] district leader with the Humane Society of the United States in New York. I also hold a New York State Wildlife

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Rehabilitator's license, and have been a volunteer in the past with the Wild Bird Fund, New York City's only wildlife rehabilitation center. I'm here to support Intro 1482, which would require the installation of bird-friendly glass on new buildings to protect bird species particularly migratory birds traveling through New York twice a year. Every year in New York City as many as 230,000 birds succumb to injuries from collisions with the smooth glass currently used. Birds in flight do not see buildings. They see only the reflection of the open sky behind them and are not aware of flying directly into lethal obstacles. Wildlife volunteers like me are familiar with the caseload of injured and dying birds brought to the Wild Bird Fund especially during the migratory seasons. All too often rescuers are ordinary New Yorkers. If you do the intake, you are very aware of this. Often distressed and overwhelmed by the inhumane suffering and loss of avian life resulting from collisions. The birds that move through our urban skies cannot change their century old migratory route. Their bi-annual flights and their stopover in our city are an observable phenomenon enjoyed by many New Yorkers. At the same

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

time, the burden falls on us to understand this behavior. We have a responsibility to enable the coexistence of wild birds in an ever more densely developed habitat full of danger. As our buildings grow in number and height, we are creating an increasingly hazardous environment. Intro 1482 is humane and fair and will accommodate the safe passage of birds flying through our city—through our city seasonally as well as the bird who depend on our urban habitat where all year long. It is a forward looking law that expresses our willingness to treat our bird population as a natural resource that needs [bell] to be humanely protected and encouraged. I support this bill and thank you for your test-for your opportunity to give my testimony today.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Thank you.

ADITA BERNKRANT: Okay, thank you,

Committee. My name is Adita Bernkrant, and I'm the

Executive Director of My Class, an animal advocacy

non-profit organization founded in 2008 in New York

City with supporters in all five boroughs, and I'm a

resident of Queens. My Class is strongly in support

of Intro 1482-A, which would require that glass

installed on newly built or altered building be

Kitchen is a perfect example of the success of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

2.2

and visitors.

implementing bird-friendly measures. Before it was redesigned to include bird safe glass, the Javits Center was one of the biggest bird death sites in in our city, and after the renovations, bird collisions decreased by 95%. With Intro 1482-A we have a wonderful opportunity to create a different future without these dismal deadly bird death statistics. I urge you to pass Intro 1482 so that we can make New

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Thank you.

York City more humane for our [bell] winged residents

Thank you.

KAITLYN ZUFFANTE: Hello. My name is

Kaitlyn Zuffante. I'm a New York City resident in

Bill Perkins' district, and long time animal rescuer.

I strong support Intro 1482-A. As it's been said,

more than 100,000 migratory birds are killed in New

York City each year, the result of crashing into

windows. I've come across birds with broken wings,

concussions and other brain injuries countless times

on sidewalks after they've mistaken glass for the

sky's reflection and crash directly into the

building. Moreover, numerous times in my almost ten

years in New York City I've come across dead birds on

the sidewalk in front of a building likely killed

2.2

upon impact. We all take pride in our city's great infrastructure, but the way it has been built has proved deadly to these birds who are simply following their natural instincts. Humans have created this problem. Animals should not suffer as a result. Fortunately, it is not difficult for us to make changes to prevent such unnecessary suffering and death. I'm proud to live in a progressive city that shows compassion to even its smallest and most vulnerable members, a city that is not nearly concerned about the bottom line and willing to sacrifice thousands of lives each year. A sincere thank you to Council Member Espinal and other bill sponsors.

CHRISTINA MELLALAKIS: Hi, my name is

Christina Mellalakis, and I'm here to explain why I'm

in favor of this legislation. First, I'd like to

thank you for your time here today and for your

service to the city. I am a lifelong New Yorker. I

grew up in Astoria, Queens and I currently live in

the Upper Westside. I'm pro-New York. I love this

city. I want to see its inhabitants flourish and the

city thrive, and as a Queens native, I'm thrilled by

all the city's growth, which is in part manifested by

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

shiny new glass skyscrapers, and I'm grateful for all the jobs and housing that comes along with these structures. In fact, I started my career in one of the-in Long Island City's first glass buildings, but at the same time I'm deeply troubled by the unintended negative effect of these structures on avian life, and the foreseeable escalation of this issue if we don't act now, the increasing number of glass buildings in New York is frightening, and I know other New Yorkers care as well. Everyone with whom I speak on this issue is angered and wants a solution, and the scale of this issue especially because there's such severely detrimental harm to wildlife, is an easy issue to rally behind. Every time I come across a dead bird on our street, my heart breaks and I think about the thousands of miles this bird has flown, and how its life was cut short in an instant due to no fault of its own. So, the progress of New York in caring for avian life are not mutually exclusive. This bill is a win-win and its minimal requirements is not a deterrent for building construction in New York. We have a responsibility to ensure that New York is continuously growing in a responsible manner. New Yorkers demand it and

2 anything less is inexcusable. Pleas support this

3 bill.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHELSEA LAWRENCE: [off mic] Hi. My name is Chelsea Lawrence [on mic] Thank you. Hi. My name Chelsea Lawrence, and I am here to support 1482 and I strongly agree with all of the preceding testimony in favor for this bill. I am Zephyr QA. I live in Brooklyn. I spend all my free time birding, observing birds. It's how I relax. When I learned that 90 to 230,000 birds die each year in New York City alone, I signed up to be a Project Safe Flight volunteer. I had no idea how grim that task was of circling One World Trade Center and finding, you know, really brilliant warblers. These aren't pigeons and sparrows. Some of them are but they're really beautiful, sunny, you know, tropical vibrant warblers. There are beautiful Woodcocks, birds that you wouldn't believe existed that people travel to see to bird and they end up hitting our glass buildings. They end up getting stunned, swept into trash. If the survive the window collision, they're usually one that is stepped on, and I've seen this happen, and it's pretty heart breaking. This is a totally preventable thing, and I totally support

Intro 1482. I hope that New York City can become a leader in this, and we can have some real birdfriendly buildings. Thank you for the chance to

5 speak.

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Thank you so much for your testimony. We're doing to call the next panel. [background comments] Oh, I'm so sorry.

MICHELLE ASHKIN: Hi. My name is Michelle Ashkin. I'm here to support the legislation 1482-A. I live in Battery Park City and I witness every day the-I pick up birds every day. There are some buildings that are worse than others, but Battery Park City is a-is a real hazard for these animals. also recently went to the Hudson Yards and as beautiful as all those buildings were, I could not begin to enjoy the beauty because all I could see was dead bird falling out of the sky not literally, but just understanding what these building were going to -and these are brand new buildings that just went up. I'm not going to repeat anything that anybody else said. I want to address some of the things that I've heard said here. In response just the cost for developers, what I would like to say is that when we're talking about these beautiful luxury high-rise

4

2 buildings that are going up, the wealthiest amongst us are those who are behind those—those apartments 3 and renting those apartments. These are-these-I'm-I'm concerned about what I'm hearing because I went out and looked at those buildings in Hudson Yards. I 6 7 can't afford them. Many of us can't afford them. So the cost to those developers I question why-why 8 that's a cost. I understand that for certain 9 residential properties, but for other residential 10 properties and the new buildings that are going up, I 11 12 really don't think that that's an issue. I think that 13 that's an excuse and I'd like you to consider that. I also wanted to mention I know that the Audubon 14 15 Society mentioned that they have D-Bird, which is 16 their database. I know you had questioned that 17 before, and in order to make that perhaps-because 18 it's a system that already exists I think it would be incumbent upon the Council people to maybe work with 19 20 Audubon with the D-base. Maybe get 311 as the place where people call and then 311 can somehow, you know, 21 2.2 hook into that database so that makes it easy for 23 people and to educate people where to call and what to do, and I-I have a question also about the 24 specifications of height in this bill. It says 75 25

- 2 | feet and below [bell] but Rita had from Wild Bird
- 3 Fund had mentioned that we see birds. We think we
- 4 see birds falling from 10, 20, 30 stories above. So,
- 5 I'm concerned about the specifications of the 75 feet
- 6 and would like you to revisit those with the experts
- 7 | that have spoken on this issue.
- 8 CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: So that's—that's
- 9 absolutely what we intend to do. The-the meeting of
- 10 these hearings is not-not-the meeting is not for
- 11 | finality. It's actually to get as much input as we
- 12 can to get the best bill we possibly can get.
- 13 MICHELLE ASHKIN: Great.
- 14 CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: So thank you.
- 15 MICHELLE ASHKIN: Thank you.
- 16 CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Thank you. I'm
- 17 going to call the next panel. G. Joshua Stoneman,
- 18 | Janette Simmon, Margaret Lee, and Bradley Harris. I'm
- 19 | sorry, and Gabriel Willow. As you may observe-observe
- 20 | there is another hearing about to take place right
- 21 behind us. So, I ask if you can keep the testimony
- 22 concise so that we can run smoothly as we transition
- 23 from this hearing to the next. Again, Gabriel
- 24 | Willow, G. Joshua Stoneman, Janette Simmon.
- 25 | [background comments/pause] Margaret Lee. [background

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

- 2 | comments/pause] Bradley Harris. Ann Seligman (sp?)
- 3 Randi Cline. We had a somewhat Price is Right
- 4 response to that. It's not-not quite that exciting,
- 5 but... [background comments/laughter] I ask that you
- 6 state your first and last name for the record before
- 7 | you begin your testimony. You can begin whenever
- 8 | you're ready, from wherever you're ready.

So, I'm-I'm actually FEMALE SPEAKER: throwing out the testimony that I wrote because so much of it has been well said by other people before, and I want to limit my remarks to things you have not I am here speaking as an individual, but I am also a member of Manhattan Community Board 6, and I'm-I'm sorry. We lost Carlina. Last year we passed a bill, we passed a resolution supporting a state level bill that would require the New York City Department of Buildings to develop regulations to deter bird strikes. It passed unanimously and I believe the support for that bill, which was further than this one and deals with more than glass. It demonstrates the concern that even non-birders have for wild-for-for protecting wild life in New York City. I also wanted to mention or sort of highlight a

dynamic-a dilemma that you raised that developers are

2 the ones bearing the cost. The cost can be very

minimal and, in fact, it can reduce operating costs, 3

4 that the developers aren't paying the operating

5 So, that if energy costs are reduced, which,

6 of course, we all want energy reduction and energy

7 costs reduced. The developers are still paying.

However minimal that cost is, they are paying it and 8

not reaping the benefits, and I think if there's some 9

way to sort of cut that cord on that. that, that's a 10

way to get the buy-in from the developers that you're 11

12 looking for. So, that's something that we deal with

in Midtown East is my community board. So that's big 13

issue, and those bird protecting measures really can 14

15 be cost-savers. I also wanted to highlight since I

16 have nine seconds. My own experiences rescuing birds

and they're so beautiful in flight [bell] or even 17

18 perching, but once you hold them, they're just this

little sad pile of feathers, and it's-it's not 19

what we want the city to be. Thank you very much 20

for-for taking this up. 21

2.2 CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Thank you for your

23 testimony

MARGARET LEE: Hi, my name is Margaret 24

Lee. For some reason  $I^{\prime}m$  the only one here with a 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

bird on their head. Ι'm a long-time resident of District 1 of New York City and a voter for animal rights. I'm here on behalf of my fathered friends speaking in favor of Intro 1482-A, the bill to require buildings in New York City be built with bird safe glass. As someone who cares deeply for my nonhuman neighbors, I've come to know up close first hand the many, many ways they suffer here, and have concluded that New York City is terribly heart breaking hell hole for them. We appear to be a city held bent on waging a war against God's creatures. thank City Council Speaker Corey Johnson and all the others involved in co-sponsoring this bill and being a voice for our bird residents and migratory visitors. My hope is that this bill and its urgent need for passage will be just the start of New York City becoming a truly compassionate city for its nonhuman inhabitants, and those who are just passing through. In addition to birds being saved from crashing into windows, I want to see them provided with clean fresh water and food. I want to see them given the respect they deserve. I want to see all buildings forbidden from washing their sidewalks with the soapy toxic chemicals that poison our birds as

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 they seek just a drop of water. I want to see them

3 protected from netters who capture them to be shot at

4 gun clubs. I want these villainous netters treated

5 as the egregious felons they are. Corey and all you

6 other co-sponsors are you listening? We need more

7 bills to protect our feathered friends. Thank you.

BRADLEY HARRIS: Mr. Chairman, my name is Bradley Harris. I'd just like to than you for giving this conversation the dignity that it deserves by sitting through all these comments largely in agreement with one another. It means a lot to the community and it's a great thing that you do. name is Bradley Harris. I'm here to speak in favor or bird safe glass legislation. I think most people don't think of New York City as a haven for biodiversity and it's a shame. People like to say that the city is filled with what my friend Gabriel Willow describes as bagel hunters [laughter] sparrows and pigeon, but what we've come to realize throughout the course of this conversation is that, you know, up to 20% of all species in the United States fly though Central Park each year at one point or another. People don't realize until they get involved in the birding community that this is a migratory pathway,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

and it goes well beyond the sparrows and the pigeons when you're walking through Central Park. While I'm here in my personal capacity, I will say that I am also on the Board of Governors of the Princeton Association of New York City, and our most popular event that draws people in from all over the Tri-State area is our birding events that are usually hosted by Gabriel Willow and the Audubon Society. This is a reason people come to New York City. They spend their time in New York City. They travel from all over, and this is something that has been covered in the New York Times and the Wall Street Journal. This is a really important feature of New York City and it's-and it's-and it's activities for its communities. It's-I appreciate the time that you've given it, and I just want to say that you shouldn't under-estimate the birding communities capacity to report on these incidents. I think by-by the very feature of how many turned out today in support of the legislation, you probably get an idea of how effective they might be in reporting bird strikes, and that, of course, would be a minimal cost to-to the city. So, it's something to consider. you.

Thank you for

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

2.2

24

25

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: So you saw my question centered around that. I think it's important that we can be able to show progress [bell] by-by being able to substantiate the numbers, which was demonstrated by the Audubon Society that there are measurement tools in place. I'd like to see how we can partner as a city agency to be able to capture those numbers effectively, efficiently and have them at our disposal going forward. So, I look forward to working with you on that.

GABRIEL WILLOW: Hello.

holding this hearing today, um, Council Members and Chairman. My name is Gabriel Willow and, um, there's been so much compelling testimony today from students and birders and conservationists and architects, and I don't know how much I can really add. As you've heard maybe or gathered, I'm a tour guide and naturalist, ecologist and-and guide and I've been leading nature walks around the city for over 15 years, close to 20 now. Through New York City Audubon, Wave Hill and various other organizations. I signed up today representing the New York Humane Society. I'm on their board and the Humane Society is the oldest bird focused naturalist organization in

2 the city, but I do also work with New York City Audubon and others. I actually came straight here 3 4 from leading a walk in the Battery in Battery Park, and we saw about 20 different species of birds. 5 There was about a dozen participants on the walk. 6 7 It's a free walk. Actually, I invite you all to come on one of my walks sometimes. We're hearing a lot of 8 sad stories about dead birds, but it's so moving and 9 compelling to see the live bird as well, and if you 10 ever just want to come out in a park and-and look, 11 12 it's a lot of fun. And this morning we saw seven or eight different species or warbler including the 13 14 National Warbler, which in spite of its name sort of 15 a misnomer, actually nests up in the Boreal Forests 16 of Canada and when you know their history, it's so moving to think of this bird having nested, having 17 18 been born. It was immature that this bird is a few months old. It was born in a spruce tree somewhere in 19 Central Canada probably, and it's flying all the way 20 to Northern South America, and just to think of the-21 2.2 the maze it has to transverse and they're moving 23 south. So, I knew this bird in the Battery has just traveled the entire length of Manhattan, and to think 24 25 of all the buildings it had to pass enroute.

2 thinking about this bill and thinking about that bird

3 and just the [bell] just the amazing fact that it had

4 survived that it made it, and I was glad to see that

5 one bird made it through, but this bill has the

6 opportunity to ensure that hundreds of thousands of

7 other individuals will survive that it seems like a

8 win-win really for everybody. So, I hope you'll pass

9 it.

10

12

24

25

1

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Thank you for your

11 testimony.

GABRIEL WILLOW: Thank you.

G. JOSHUA STONEMAN: I. G. Joshua

14 Stoneman. I live on East Tenth Street in Manhattan,

15 and I'm here today as a concerned citizen and in

16 | support of the Bird Friendly Glass bill, Intro 1482.

17 | This bill is very important to me because I believe

18 | New York City is the greatest city in the world and

19 | it would be even greater if we took the lead on

20 reducing unnecessary bird deaths from buildings. A

21  $\parallel$  bit about myself. I've lived in Manhattan since 1993

22 when I came here after college. Currently, I work at

23 | the Lockheed-Martin Corporation where I work with

power companies and large commercial customers like

landlords to make the switch to energy efficiency and

clean energy technology, and like everyone else here I'm playing hooky from work because this is very important to me. So, thanks everyone for-for your time today. Like many New Yorkers, I've lived and worked in at least a dozen neighborhoods since I moved here, and in every neighborhood that I've lived in and worked in, I find dead birds on the sidewalk, and it's not just pigeons, it's beautiful song birds and native species that should not be dead on the concrete. I think about these birds making their brave flights every spring and fall some of them flying across the ocean to South America or the islands of the Caribbean. They have to survive hurricanes, storms, cold fronts, predators and a myriad of other obstacles. It's not fair that they fly thousands of miles only to collide with a glass window in New York. This bill, the Bird Friendly Glass bill would not cost New Yorkers like us. It would make the city a better place, and it fits with the spirit of this great city, which is providing a better opportunity to survive and thrive for both humans and birds. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

1

\_

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

1516

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Just for the record, if you need a note for work, I'll be glad to provide one for you.

G. JOSHUA STONEMAN: Please. [laughter]

Randi CLINE. Okay, I think I'm last. Hi, my name is Randi Cline. I'm from Harlem. I have absolutely no speech, [laughs] but I find myself sitting here. I know nothing about birding. I know nothing about architecture. The last place I thought I would find myself was participating in any City Council meetings. So, the price is right and the motion was because I think it's a privilege and an honor to be able to voice your opinion and make a difference especially in a political climate that we live in, but I won't go there. Basically, I now just want to share the overwhelming-I don't have anything eloquent to say, other than there's a personal sense of dread and anxiety and gloom every morning and afternoon now when I walk my dog to the park about how many dead birds I'm going to find laying out in front of the building. I had never experienced this until the building went up and I'm very-with all due respect to FX Collaborative and then I'm learning about the cost of glass, and other things. However,

with, you know, they still built the building without the glass. So, I think at some point there, you know, it's money over morals, and somebody else would have built it. So, maybe it was like if not us, you know, ,then someone else. I don't know how the real estate game works. I know that like everybody, the apartments-you know when you're arguing over about like a \$2.1 million apartment and whether or not you can afford better glass, I'm not really sure how big of an issue that is. But now I found a guy in my neighborhood that I need to text every morning to come and pick up the dead birds because he then transports them to the Wild Bird Fund or to his own freezer where he keeps them in a bird morgue until he can get them to the Museum of Natural History so that they can log them. It's an amazing infrastructure. had no idea this community existed. It's a fascinating and dedicated group of people that I now find myself a part of. So, I'm committed to doing what I can to make a difference because I live in the city. I've lived here my whole life and it's my home, and I hope you make it a better place. Thank you. [bell]

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

1

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Thank you so much. I just want to say that understanding ecological value of preserving birds I think is incredibly important. The testimony earlier was presented that talked about, you know, how-how birds are responsible for killing other animals that had negative impacts on the city. So that-that ecology I think we-we go away from here in the city where in other parts of the country they-they follow the ecological map to the tee. I think we can't continue to be responsible citizens and considered to be the most progressive city in the country and not really look at ecology, and-and the gate-its negative-potential for negative impact on all of us as humans in general. So thank you so much for the testimony that you've provided today and thank you to all of the advocates who are here today on behalf of that. We have one last Thank you. Calise D. McCray, Joshua Malbin, panel. and Nasarga Markow-Markowdi (sp?) [background comments/pause] So I'll ask you to obviously give your first and last name for the record before offering your testimony.

My mane is--

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

CALISTA MCCRAY:

My name is

2

3

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

1718

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: [interposing] I—I do want to say before you begin you have the large responsibility of closing this out with some epic testimony. [laughter] So, you're on.

Thank you.

Calista McCray and I apologize for my single spaced testimony. Thank you for considering Intro 1482, which I very much support. I'm not a biologist or conservationist, but I sometimes have had to teach utterly hours before the sidewalks around buildings have been swept, and in this area I see more injured and dead birds than I ever thought I would see. issue was not on my radar at all until the Fall of 2016 when I moved to New York City. For a while I did not put two and two together. The first bird I saw by chance it was tiny little kinglet who I realized now had hit a glass sky bridge and had fallen into the road. In that case he was only stunned and when I touched him, he flew off but this was a fluke. was the size of a cotton ball and the color of moss. The next three birds I found on the walkways were not so lucky. Some of them stepped on repeatedly. It's very, very easy not to notice these birds. New York is taking a really toll on species that are

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	increasingly threatened. I began writing the
3	testimony you have over the weekend and I put
4	together some photos of the birds I had recently
5	seen. Then yesterday morning on Monday I find five
6	more. That's a tiny fraction of what's happening.
7	From my commute alone I have more than 50 photos of
8	dead birds and that's not counting the injured ones
9	or the ones I saw before I realized what was
10	happening. There are buildings I've come to dread
11	passing, but I don't want to change the route I take
12	to work because a stunned bird in need of help could
13	be sitting there. One thing that really does give me
14	hope is this wonderful bill, and the chance also that
15	it will be a model to other cities. So, I very much
16	hope you will pass this bill. After I printed the
17	testimony I've given to you, I found another four
18	birds. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Thank you.

ALSAGA MARCADIAH: Hello, Council Members. My name Alsaga Marcandiah (sp?) and I'm a resident of Park Slope in Brooklyn. I'm software engineer by profession, but I've been a bird enthusiast all throughout my life. I grew in the city of Bangalore, India where I witnessed the city

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

getting urbanized to a great extent. The city originally had a large green spaces, trees and parks, and due to uncontrolled growth, these green spaces gradually vanished. When I was young, I would see a lot of sparrows and other house birds, but now it's really rare to see them. They have died due to their homes being chopped off, the water bodies, which dried up and due to a city which did not take action soon enough. We have the privilege of living in the great city of New York, which not only houses so many people, but also these birds, which permanently or temporarily reside here. The vast green spaces we are blessed with attracts their descent as they traverse on their migration paths twice a year, and when they approach from this great height at a great speed, they collide with the high rises made up of extremely clear glasses, which causes their death or their injuries. Just as we strive to give the residents of this great city a safe and good life, we must also strive to support or residents in feathers. Birds are not only great for our ecosystem but also help in pest control and certainly they help the environment. We need to make sure NYC can host our temporary and permanent bird residents, and I

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS strongly encourage you to support this bill to change our building laws to accommodate our avian friends. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Thank you so much for your testimony. On behalf of the bill's sponsors, Rafael Espinal and my current Speaker Corey Johnson, it was a pleasure to have presented this bill for testimony. It was great to hear from the passionate advocates and regular citizens of the city of how important this bill is. I look forward to going back, and if necessary, tweaking the bill and presenting to you the most effective and efficient bill with the best results possible in the vey near future. Thank you so much. We-this-this meeting is adjourned. [applause] [gavel] 

## ${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date September 13, 2019