CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

SUB COMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISE

----X

November 17, 2009 Start: 9:57 am Recess: 3:15 pm

HELD AT: Council Chambers

City Hall

B E F O R E:

TONY AVELLA Chairperson

#### COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Simcha Felder
Eric N. Gioia
Robert Jackson
Melinda R. Katz
G. Oliver Koppell
Annabel Palma
Joel Rivera
Larry Seabrook
Helen Sears
Albert Vann

Eric Platanik Representative FGP West Street LLC

Randall Minor Representative Tibetan LLC

Gary Tarnoff Attorney West 129th Street Realty

Jonathan Feigermaum Principal West 129th Street Realty

Michael Feigermaum Principal West 129th Street Realty

Robert C. Lieber Deputy Mayor New York City Economic Development Corporation

Jesse Mazer General Counsel Watell and Mazer, Counsel to Related Retail Armory LLC

Ethan Goodman Counsel Watell and Mazer, Counsel to Related Retail Armory LLC

Ruben Diaz President Bronx Borough

Fernando Cabrera

Stuart Applebaum President Retail, Wholesale and Department Store Union

Ian Dunford
New York Hotel Trades Council

Sara Johnson Speaking for Michael Fishman President of SCIU 32 BJ

Sharada Povark Speaking for Jack O'Hearn President of the New York City Central Labor Council

Lou Coletti President Building Trades Employers Association

Shannon Kantu Center Manager Bronx Workforce One Career Center

Greg Gonzalez Assistant Treasurer Speaking for Lenny Caro, President and CEO Bronx Chamber of Commerce

Paul Fernandez Chief of Staff Building and Construction Trades Council of Greater New York

John Rosinkowski Customer Morton Williams Store

Bettina Damiani Director Good Jobs New York

Frank Farkus Representative Northwest Bronx for Change

Arcille Lou Wilson Scott Board of Directors Fordham Hills

Desiree Pilgrim-Hunter Member, President KARA, Fordham Hills

Reverend Brenda Barry Pastor University Heights Presbyterian Church

Raven Garcia

Ramona Santana Representative Housing Committee

Michael Gary Northwest Bronx for Change

Addie Banks Member Pastoral Team, Member Kingdom Glory Tabernacle, Northwest Bronx Community Clergy Coalition

Kathy Dowd

Jeffrey Callone Positive Workforce

Phyllis Mitchell Black Economic Survival

Martin Torres Bronx Resident

Gene Bender Northwest Bronx for Change

Faith Young Representative Love Gospel Assembly

Pernell Lewis

Leonard Gonal

Joan Bryon
Press Center for Community Development

Matthew Jenkins
Positive Workforce, VES

Una Osato

Oreida Martes

Robert McClain

Derek Wheeler Vice President for Administration Lehman College

Shian Small
Black Economic Survival

Amanda Gonzalez Member Black Economic Survival

Kayla Pardo

Sephram Georgia Bronx Resident

Shamell Rosmann Member St. James Church

Louis Losada

Veronica Ore

Ramon Valera

Sara Lynn

Peggy Smith

Raymond Natali

Orelis Mardinaro

Jessica Leggin

Lorenzo Rivera Bronx Resident

Vanessa Casher

Herb Rivera Bronx Resident

Anne Gibbons Kingsbridge Resident

Valis Solodo Santos

Lenore Rivera King of Glory Tabernacle

Nancy Maldinaro Representative Groundswell Project

Mark Dominguez Urban Cleaning Contractors

Paul Ledidi President, Member Bronx Board of Business Agents, KARA

James McNeal District Manager Allied Barton Security Services

Maria Rios President Classico Building Maintenance

Marven Mitzner
Land Use Counsel
Morton Williams Supermarket

Brian Ketcham Traffic Engineer

Robert Kohls Principal Robert Kohls, LLC

Louis Martinez Bronx Resident

Michael Arroyo Bronx Resident, Senior Project Manager Crescent Consulting

Ruben Thomas
Bronx resident

Valerie Sloan Owner Morton Williams Supermarket

Kelia Amparo

Elizabeth Thompson Representative Kingsbridge Heights Neighborhood Improvement

Vincent Torres Director Positive Workforce

Lucky Rivera Founder Positive Workforce

Fred Lamoine
Business Agent, Vice President
Metallic Leather and Reinforcing Iron Workers Union,
Local 46, Bronx Board of Business Agents

Quasi Champon Member KARA

Marvin Almengor Member, Member, Board Member KARA, Fordham Manor Reformed Church, Local 215 DC 1707

Doug Cunningham Reverend New Day Church, Bronx Community and Clergy Coalition

Michelle Mattingly Research Associate Fiscal Policy Institute

Father Joseph Gerome Pastor St. Nicholas Atone Zion Church

Ivan Braun Bronx Resident

Marian Feinberg Environmental Health Coordinator Organization for a Better Bronx

Heidi Hines Member Northwest Bronx Community and Clergy Coalition

Brian Hines Bronx Resident

Myra Goggins Bronx Resident

Mark Rodriguez Member and Founder Political Parent Party

Adama Wint High School Student

Reverend Doctor Katrina Foster Pastor, Member, Member Fordham Evangelical Lutheran Church

Leslie Thomas
Bronx Resident, Recent High School Graduate

Minister Bull Harris Founder and Member Political Pan Party

CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: Good morning
everyone, please, again, find a seat. First, let
me introduce the members of my committee that are
here and then other Council Members that are here
because they wish to sit in on some of the issues
From my far right Council Member Simcha Felder,
behind me Council Member Joel Rivera, Council
Member Melinda Katz, Council Member Al Vann and
also we have Council Members Annabel Palma and
Oliver Koppell who are here on the Kingsbridge
Armory.

What I'd like to do is first of all go over some ground rules and I need to have some quiet. If you have to have a conversation, please take it go outside because you're only going to delay the proceedings. We have a number of items on the agenda, obviously, most everybody here is for Kingsbridge Armory, that will be the last item on the agenda because we have to get through several items first.

Let me just first of all set the ground rules for the hearing today and let you know that unfortunately we have an unusual problem in terms of the seating of the rooms. The

committee room located to my left is usually used
for committee meetings; sometimes we use the
chambers for big meetings like this.

But because that room is closed, meetings which have been scheduled have been moved to other locations. And some meetings have to be shifted after they have begun if they go on longer than the allotted time. If this meeting goes past 1:00 we will have to move to the meeting to 250 Broadway on the 16th floor, which unfortunately is a smaller room.

everyone and everyone who wishes to speak. But I would ask that you try and be brief as possible when you do speak o that we can hear from as many people as possible before we move across the street. As time approaches to move the meeting, I will ask all of those who have spoken, give those who have not yet spoke the opportunity to gain access to the 16th floor hearing room. I will also provide the opportunity for those who may not wish to stay longer but want to be recorded as supporting or opposing this project.

As it relates to the Kingsbridge

Armory, we will not be voting on this matter
today. We will be having a public hearing; this
will be the one and only public hearing. Once
everyone has had an opportunity to speak, the
hearing will be closed. No additional testimony
will be taken and the Kingsbridge Armory
application may be taken up again at a regularly
scheduled meeting of my committee on November
23rd.

With that, I'd like to move to the first item. In fact, I'll do both of them together. We have--

### COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL:

[interposing] Mr. Chairman. Before you do so, if
I could just briefly say.

CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: Sure.

mentioned in your opening statement, I'm not a member of the sub committee or the committee but this matter is of great interest and importance.

The Kingsbridge Armory, though not in my district, it's directly across the street. Many of the people who would be using the Armory in the future are constituents of mine and who would be working

24

2	there we hope so therefore I'm going to
3	participate. I understand the Kingsbridge matter
4	is not be on initially so I may not stay for the
5	first items because, not that I'm not interested
6	in them, but they're not directly relevant but I
7	will be back. I gather from what you said about
8	45 minutes the Kingsbridge Armory is going to come
9	up and I will be back for that part of the
10	hearing.
11	CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: I think may be
12	about half hour hopefully we can move to the
13	Kingsbridge Armory application.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: Thank you.
15	CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: The first two
16	items on the agenda are both sidewalk
17	applications. Café Select at 212 Lafayette Street
18	and Seveter at 98 Kenmore Street, both lie within
19	Council Member Gerson's district. And we
20	understand that both applicants are submitting a
21	letter to withdraw their application without
22	prejudice. So we will accept those two

I would also mention, if you're

hearing on those items.

applications. We will not have to have a public

here for the West 129th Street zoning change, at the request of Council Member Jackson, whose district this applications lies within, has asked that this item be laid over until the 23rd so we will move that hearing to the 23rd. There will be no vote on that time either.

So what I'd like to do is move immediately to 1800 Williamsbridge Road application submitted by FGP West Street LLC for a modification to restrictive declaration involving property located at 1800 Williamsbridge Road in an R4/C1-2 district. This lies within James Vacca's district and I'll call the representative of the applicant to give the presentation.

While he is setting up--again, for the record, please, if you have to have conversation please take it outside because I will stop the proceedings if there's noise in the background. Let me just say some other general ground rules for when we get to the public hearing on any item. Testimony will be limited to two minutes because of the number of people that want to speak. And I make this very clear, there will be no booing, there will be no applause. Whether

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	you like what somebody says or not, the way you
3	contest it or support it is you sign up to speak.
4	Applause and booing does intimidate other people
5	who wish to speak. This is the People's house and
6	everybody has a right to state their opinion. I
7	will absolutely not tolerate applause or booing
8	from any side. Again, if you want to have
9	something to say, that's the place to do it.
10	You're on.

ERIC PLATANIK: Good morning Mr. Chairman and members of the committee. My name is Eric Platanik. I was introduced before. We're here on behalf of actually, it's Walgreens is going to be the lessee of the premises that we're here for. The property rests at the corner of-it's at 1800 Williamsbridge Road, at the corner of Morris Park Avenue and Williamsbridge Road, it is in Council Member Vacca's district. And I should note ahead of time that both Council Member Vacca and community board 11 have both supported this request.

This is an application to amend a restrictive declaration that affected the property back in 1977 when the property was zoned from

City Planning.

residential to commercial. A restricted

declaration was attached to it as is often the

case in past rezonings done by the Department of

That restrictive declaration is what we are seeking to amend. It included primarily four conditions, all of which are in the package in front of you. Three of those conditions we believe are no longer relevant and not applicable. And we asked City Planning to amend and remove and they agreed to and they voted to approve those. The fourth one we asked to leave and City Planning agreed should be left.

The conditions that we asked to remove rather briefly was the condition one, that any application in the future go before the community board for their approval. City Planning and the community board both agreed to remove that restriction. The second restriction pertained to the location of loading zone on the property and that it not be located more than 50 feet from the rear property line. We have the loading zone shown on that plan as well as the in the plan set that's in front of you. It's located 35 feet from

б

2 the rear property line. Both the community board 3 and City Planning approved that request.

The third condition that we're asking to remove is the condition that it be a landscaping buffer separating us from the residential as well as along the perimeter of the property. As you're well aware, the landscaping provisions are now governed by the landscaping regulations within the text of the zoning resolution and that is no longer applicable. And both the community board and City Planning approved that request.

The fourth condition, which we are leaving, is the condition that the property always be occupied by a use group six use. That condition is remaining within the restrictive declaration. What all this will permit the modification to the existing building, which you see there. The right side of it has a bank that's in place, the left side formerly had a Blockbuster Video, which is vacant. We are going to be taking over the Blockbuster video, adding approximately 3,000 square feet and turning that into a Walgreens.

Again, everything has been approved
by the community board and by Council Member
Vacca. Both of which worked very hard on this
over the course of the past 18 months and we'd
like to thank them. That is our application, you
have copies of all the paperwork and we'd be happy
to answer any questions you may have.

CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: Again, as the representative of the applicant mentioned, Eric, that Council Member Vacca's in support of the application. Any questions from my colleagues? Seeing none, thank you.

MR. PLATANIK: Thank you for your time.

CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: Anybody signed up to speak on this item? I see no one, is that correct? Seeing no one, I will close the public hearing on this item. We will go to the next, which is 131-7 Barry Street zoning change, application submitted by Tibetan, LLC for an amendment of the zoning map establishing within an existing R6B district, a C2-4 district, bounded by North Seventh Street and other streets. Call up the applicant. This lies within Council Member

David Yassky's district and he has indicated that he is in favor of the application.

RANDALL MINOR: Hello, my name is
Randall Minor, I'm here on behalf of the
applicant, Tibetan LLC. The applicant owns the
project site which is located at the southeast
corner of the intersection of North Seventh and
Barry Street in Williamsburg, Brooklyn. To orient
you, the first stop on the L train is a block away
from the project site.

The project site consists of two tax lots. One of the tax lots is located in the MX8 zoning district, which permits commercial uses as of right. The other tax lot is in an R6B zoning district, which does not permit commercial uses as of right. The application is to add a commercial overlay to permit local retail use on the entirety of the project site.

The applicant proposed to build a two story building. The first story will be used for local retail use and the second story is proposed for a community facility use. We believe that the overlay is consistent with the zoning pattern of the neighborhood. In fact, the overlay

is just completing the commercial zoning along
Barry Street between North Sixth and North Seventh
Street. North Seventh Street, the south side of
which, has several grandfather commercial uses.
The project site itself was formerly used for a
gas station so this is, we believe, an application
that's consistent with the zoning character of the
neighborhood.

The application has the support of Council Member Yassky, the borough president and the community board. And we're here today to answer any questions that you have.

CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: Thank you, any questions from my colleagues on this application?

Seeing none, thank you. I do not see anyone signed up to speak on the public hearing of this application, is that correct? Seeing none, we'll close the public hearing on this item.

MR. MINOR: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: The other item that we're supposed to hear is the Westin Railyards is in Speaker Quinn's district and she has asked that we move this to the 23rd. So we're actually moving ahead quite expeditiously. At

this point, I'd like to call [pause] The next
item will be the West 129th Street zoning change
application submitted by West 129th Street Realty
One, LLC for a change of the zoning map, changing
from a R7-2 district to a R7-A district, bounded
by West 130th Street and other streets. This will
be the last item before we move to Kingsbridge
Armory. And this lies within Council Member
Jackson's district.

GARY TARNOFF: Good morning

Chairman Avella and Council Members. My name is

Gary Tarnoff. I'm the attorney for West 129th

Street Realty, LLC, the applicant here today. The

principals of the applicant are Jonathan

Feigermaum, who's sitting next to me and Michael

Feigermaum, who's standing up behind us. This

application seeks the rezoning of block 1969 in

Manhattan to R7-A. The block is bounded by West

129th Street, West 130th Street, Amsterdam Avenue

and Condant Avenue.

The western hundred feet of the block along Amsterdam Avenue is currently zoned R7-2, with a C1-4 overlay. The eastern one hundred feet of the block along Condant Avenue is

б

also zoned R7-2, as is most of the surrounding area. The mid-block area, where our clients own approximately 20,000 square foot development site is zone M1-1.

Jonathan and Michael have developed hundreds of units of affordable housing in the surrounding area, including 36 units pursuant to an HPD program in four buildings immediately to the west of the development site. Michael, could you point out those buildings on the plan? They're also on the handout that you have that shows the proposed rezoning and the existing rezoning.

Metropolitan Opera Company in 2005. It's part of a 30,000 square foot zoning lot with 150 feet frontage on 129th Street and 130th Street. It's improved with a one story building, all of which was used as storage by the Opera Company. But in 2005 they decided they didn't need all that storage so they sold the eastern two-thirds of the building to our client. And they kept the western one-third.

Our client partitioned the

building. They bought the development rights from the western one-third and it's been vacant since 2005 when we started talking about the rezoning. The Met Opera House's portion of the building is still being occupied and used by the Opera House. The proposed rezoning will facilitate the construction of an eight-story 95,000 square foot building, actually two buildings. And there's a rendering of the building in your package, one faces 129th, one faces 130, approximately 90 to 100 units, about 65 parking spaces, probably mostly one bedroom and two bedroom units.

It would have a limited impact on the rest of the block. There are two what are called soft sites; one at the corner of 129th Street and Condant, the other one at the corner of 130th Street and Condant. And the ability to develop additional residential on those sites would be increased by the rezoning. The rezoning is consistent with the built character of the surrounding area, which is either R7-2 or R8, with M1 to the south. The remaining manufacturing zones to the south and most of the areas surrounding us are part of a west Harlem rezoning

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

study being undertaken by the Department of City 2 Planning in consultation with the local community 3 board.

The proposed R7-A, we believe, would be consistent with that study and is consistent in many respects with the 197-A plan approved by community board 9. Certainly, the proposed FAR of four and the maximum building height of 80 feet, utilizing the quality housing program, is exactly in line with what the community board proposed. Nevertheless, board 9 voted to approve this application unless the application allocates at least 50% of the units in the building to affordable housing and satisfies other goals of the 197-A plan with respect to job creation and economic development.

The borough president also recommended disapproval of the application. Although the borough president acknowledged that the proposed contextual rezoning may be appropriate for this subject block, he objected to the possibility that all of the units might be market rate. In addition, the borough president argued that the proposal represents a piece meal

approach to zoning since the Department of City

Planning is committed to seeking a West Harlem

rezoning of a much larger area.

In response to these concerns, the applicant has voluntarily committed to provide at lest 5% of affordable housing in the building, funded with any HPD programs, funded voluntarily. And to explore in good faith and to utilize, if available, any funded HPD programs for additional affordable housing so long as such programs would not delay construction on the site.

The applicant has gone through considerable time and expense to rezone the block. They've been working on this for four years. The building has been vacant. They waited for the Columbia rezoning, they waited for additional rezonings in the area. In your package is a letter that community board 9 sent to the Planning Commission Chair saying that the community board had no objection to this rezoning going forward ahead of the area wide rezoning for which no timetable has been set to date.

We think this is an appropriate rezoning for the area. It may be studied further,

there may be additional changes when Planning
looks at it. But we think that this densely will
be at least what the Planning Commission will
ultimately approve and we ask for your support.
Thank you.

My name is Jonathan Feigermaum and we're looking to get the block on 129th to 130th, Condant to Amsterdam Avenue, rezoned. About 60% of the block right now is currently R7-2 and is the M1-1, which is right in the middle. Like Mr. Tarnoff said, we're looking to put up two buildings, which the main entrance would be on 130th Street and 129th Street entrance would have the garage as well as an entrance with a courtyard in the middle where you can go from one building to the next.

I've been working in the Bronx and Manhattan and in Brooklyn doing affordable housing for the last 18 years, a 7A court appointed administrator. And for those 18 years we've created hundreds of units of affordable housing with our main target area being west Harlem and community board 9. We took four dilapidated buildings on the corner of 126th, next to Saint

2 Mary's Church and turned that into 100% affordable 3 housing.

I was in front of Council Member

Jackson about five or six years ago for the ULURP

process when I was chosen as the developer for the

Neighborhood Entrepreneurs Program. And that's

100% affordable housing, that's seven buildings

with I think it was about 90 units. The two

buildings that we're looking to put up we're

looking to put in one, two and three bedroom

apartments. Like Mr. Tarnoff said, there's about

90 apartments in there with probably about 110,000

square foot of residential area.

much needed residential activity to this block.

At this point, this block houses the Metropolitan

Opera warehouse as well as an empty garage, that's

about three-quarters of the block frontage on

129th Street. The only thing on the block that's

not a warehouse that's vacant is the four

buildings that we did through the NEP program. So

we're looking for the support to change the zoning

into an R7-A. Thank you.

MR. TARNOFF: Any questions?

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: Any questions
from my colleagues? Again, we're not voting on
this item today; we're just having the public
hearing. There's going to be further discussions
on this item. Seeing no questions, thank you.
MR. FEIGERMAUM: Thank you very
much.

MR. TARNOFF: Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: I see no one signed up to speak on this item, is that correct? Seeing none, we'll close the public hearing on this item. Okay, before we move to the Armory hearing, I'm going to ask the committee to vote on the items that we've heard this morning. In addition to a modification for the Fresh Food text amendment, which I will--the amendment for that application is to remove the word "may include fresh meats, poultry and fish" to basically say "shall be utilized for the sale of perishable goods that shall include dairy, fresh produce, frozen foods and fresh meats." So in effect, it is no longer option by including that word "may". By taking it out, it's mandatory. That is the modification for that application. We will be

Τ	SUB COMMITTEE ON ZONING 29
2	voting to approve the application with the
3	modification.
4	We will also vote to accept the
5	letter of withdrawal for Café Select and Seveta.
6	We will be approving 1800 Williamsbridge Road and
7	131-7 Barry Street zoning change. As I said, West
8	129th Street is being laid over for the vote and
9	Westin Railyards is being laid over as well.
10	Chair recommends approval of those items. And
11	I'll ask counsel to call the vote of the committee
12	members.
13	COUNSEL: Christian Helton, counsel
14	to the committee. Chair Avella.
15	CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: Aye.
16	COUNSEL: Council Member Rivera.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: I vote aye.
18	COUNSEL: Council Member Felder.
19	[No response]
20	COUNSEL: Council Member Jackson.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: I pass.
22	COUNSEL: Council Member Katz.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER KATZ: I vote aye on
24	all except for LU 1254 I abstain.
25	COUNSEL: Council Member Sears.

1	SUB COMMITTEE ON ZONING 30
2	COUNCIL MEMBER SEARS: Aye.
3	COUNSEL: Council Member Vann.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER VANN: Aye.
5	COUNSEL: Council Member Jackson.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: I vote aye
7	on all.
8	COUNSEL: By a vote of seven in
9	theCouncil Member Seabrook.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER SEABROOKS: I guess
11	I'll vote aye.
12	COUNSEL: By a vote of eight in
13	the affirmative, none in the negative, no
14	abstentions, all items are passed except LU 1254,
15	which passes with a vote of seven in the
16	affirmative and one abstention.
17	CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: Okay, now
18	we'll move on to the item of the day, the
19	Kingsbridge Armory. I'll ask the administration
20	to come up and give the presentation. And I will
21	also ask the cooperation of my colleagues. What
22	we'd like to do is one question per Council Member
23	so that we can get this hearing in and hopefully,
24	hopefully get the hearing in and hear from
25	everybody before we would have to break and go

across the street. We've already asked the	
administration to be available at my hearing on	
the 23rd if Council Members have additional	
questions. I remind my colleagues, the whole	
purpose of a public hearing is to hear from the	
public so I would appreciate my colleagues	
cooperation in limiting their question to one and	
trying to be as brief as possible so we can hear	
from the public and not have to break to go across	s
the street. Okay?	

We're waiting for the administration? Did we surprise you in going so quickly.

ROBERT LIEBER: With that, then, good morning. And thank you Council Member Avella and members of the sub committee for inviting us to speak regarding the proposed redevelopment of the Kingsbridge Armory with you this morning. I'm joined to my right by Seth Pinski, who is the president of the New York City Economic Development Corporation and then to my far left, Glen Goldstein of the Related Companies, Jesse Mazer and Ethan Goodman from Watell and Mazer are acting as counsel to Related on this project.

In order to move along as quickly
as we can on the schedule this morning and before
asking Jesse, Ethan and Glen to review the project
and its specifics, I thought what I'd do is speak
to you about why we consider this, Kingsbridge
Armory development to be one of the most
significant economic development projects in the
Bronx. We also think one of the most inventive
and exciting adaptive reuses in New York City
history.

The Shops at the Armory proposal we feel is an opportunity to finally reopen a historic treasure to the community, a treasure that's been walled off for nearly a century from the community. A project that will pump more than \$300 million in private investment in the northwest Bronx and also very importantly create thousands of jobs at a time in particular when the city and the Bronx need it the most. Past attempts to redevelop the armory has failed and we believe if we do not seize the opportunity now, this site will likely remain closed and unproductive for the foreseeable future.

As I mentioned, the Kingsbridge

Armory is a world class structure. It's longer than two football fields put together and in fact is the largest drill space in the world. It was used by the National Guard from its construction inception in 1917 until the 1990s. During the 1980s and the 1990s it was used as a homeless shelter until it was transferred to the city in 1996.

Because it has been largely unused and closed to public access, this city, the state and federal landmark building has unfortunately been referred to as a blemish and an embarrassment to the Kingsbridge and the Bronx communities. For sure, the structure has suffered severe deterioration over time. Although in 2002 to 2004, the city with the help of the Assemblyman Rivera completed a \$30 million roof and façade project to stabilize the structure. Redevelopment of this project still requires significant cost since the project is essentially a state of the art retail destination within 100 year old historic structure. Or as my colleague describes it, as a ship inside of a bottle.

The armory has a long history of

nmont intentions that have fallen through

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	redevelopment intentions that have fallen through.
3	Unsuccessful plans in the past have included a
4	police academy, a sports complex as well as
5	several public schools. We think that today we
6	have not only a viable project that we believe can
7	get built but the project that we're presenting to
8	you today is a product of really unprecedented
9	levels of community involvement and community

input as we've gone through this.

Over the past four years, the administration, the elected officials and representatives from the community have worked together very diligently in planning for the armory and the redevelopment. In 2006, EDC launched one of the most inclusive community based planning processes by establishing and convening a task force that engaged in extensive discussions and worked to try and craft the goals for the redevelopment of this project.

The RFP, a request for proposals were released in September 2006 and it was, again, the culmination and the product of the community's input and explicitly included the goals of complimenting the existing public school

2	facilities	along	go-called	Education	Mile
<b>Z</b> I	Lacitities	aluna	SU-Called	Education	мтте.

armory's historic façade.

Promoting economic growth in Kingsbridge and creating a truly unique destination for visitors from the Bronx and really throughout the city.

Serving existing and underserved retail markets while not competing directly with businesses in the community and restoring and preserving the

Also, part of the goals were to provide adequate parking and optimize the use of mass transit. Importantly, providing a source of quality jobs for area residents as well as incorporating the principles of sustainable design and development.

We received three proposals, three responses to the RFP and of those three responses, the one put forth by Related Companies was considered by both the administration as well as the task force to be the most responsive to achieving these goals. It also meets the state historic preservation office's additional requirements for the preservation of the exterior of the building as well as maintaining the grand interior space.

Since being selected, Related, which has a strong track record of responsible development already in the Bronx, including Bronx Terminal Market and the Hub Retail and Office Center. Related has continued to meet with the

When we look at the overall benefits to the community, again, this is the heart of what we're trying to do are the benefits to the community. The Shops at the Armory are indeed very significant. It includes more than \$300 million in private investment, including \$600,000 square feet of development, which is conveniently located and around transportation, next to the 4 train and near the D train as well. It includes 27,000 square feet of community space, with specific uses and users to be determined in consultation by Related with the community.

task force and the city regarding the project.

It also includes a new 30,000 square foot public plaza that has the ability to house green markets and other community events.

It also includes the World Peace atrium, which will provide educational history of influential world leaders and visitors to the armory. It

achieves the lead silver standard for construction and also importantly leads to approximately 1,200 permanent as well as 1,000 construction jobs.

Also importantly we believe the armory will act as an economic development engine for the borough of the Bronx. Every year an analysis shows the Bronx loses more than 40% of potential retail sales in the area. This 40% translates to over \$2.8 billion worth of spending by Bronx residents to locations outside of the borough. Additionally, the primary trade area specifically for the armory only captures about 61% of the potential retail sales in the area. And as we look forward in terms of the forecast would be that this percentage may decline even further as million square feet of new retail construction finishes up in adjacent Westchester County.

We think the Shops at the Armory
will help stem the outflow from the area by
bringing more retail options to the quarter
million people who live in the immediate
communities as well as creating a draw from
shoppers in surrounding areas to the Kingsbridge

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Bronx jobs.

2	neighborhood itself. Related has also
3	demonstrated success of hiring locally. Looking
4	just nearby of the almost 1,800 already created at
5	Gateway Center at the Bronx Terminal Market,
6	nearly 1,200 of those jobs went to Bronx
7	residents. And we believe the redevelopment of
8	the armory will build in Related's experience.
9	Will use Bronx spending to create much needed

The jobs today, as we know, are in high demand, as recently demonstrated by the Applebee's that recently opened near the armory. The Applebee's received almost 6,000 applications for 250 jobs. As a result of the downturn, construction activity in the Bronx has slowed as it has slowed across the city. But in the Bronx we have only 218 new building permits so far this year compared to almost 750 in 2007. We believe that projects like the Kingsbridge Armory are vital if we're going to create construction jobs and spur construction activity.

I'd also like to briefly touch on a related effort that the city is undertaking adjacent to the Kingsbridge Armory and that

relates to the National Guard. Currently the
National Guard is located in the two non-
landmarked annex buildings next to the site. And
as a part of our process we've heard from the
community a significant desire to move the
National Guard off the site and to create new
schools in their district. The National Guard is
willing to move to a more suitable alternative
site. And has expressed specific interest in a
building in the Wakefield section of the Bronx.

We believe if the Guard successfully relocates to that or an alternative site, the annex buildings that are part of the armory will revert to the city. We are committed to reserving the future use of these annex buildings for community usages, including a possible school.

The SCA, or the School Construction Authority, currently has more than 2,800 seats under construction or planned for school district 10 which includes the Kingsbridge area. As we'll see as those seat come online, the SCA will continue to annually review the need for new seats. They're interested in using the annex

property for a potential future school site as
well.

The ULURP application before you today includes a partial de-mapping of West 195th

Street and we want to do this now because we think it allows for additional flexibility for a potential school as we move forward here.

As I said, this was really an unprecedented effort amongst all stakeholder to try and develop this plan, to come up with this plan. And we were very happy to receive the positive recommendations of the Bronx community board 7 in July. But I'm sure that you've heard spirited debate about several particular issues here at the armory, that's what makes this such a great process.

I'd like to have the opportunity to briefly address some of those issues with you first here today. The first addresses the issue around living wage. The administration, first of all, agrees that the armory absolutely should create good jobs for the community. And we are absolutely very strong advocates for increasing wages earned by New Yorkers throughout the city.

In fact, at the request of the task force, the administration included a preference in the RFP itself for responses that would create "living wage jobs".

As we went through the process, though, unfortunately none of the proposals included a commitment to a specific wage requirement, which may be due in part to the additional barriers associated with trying to tenant the building and subsequent financing of the development. Related has, however, committed to pay prevailing wage for its direct employees as well as construction or building service workers. But however based on the responses and what we've heard from others in the development community, we're not in favor of mandating a specific wage requirement in the specifics of the tenants and the retail leases.

That's because the proposed requirements, we believe, we inhibit the development of this and other projects and then we would not see 1,200 permanent jobs and we would not see the 1,000 associated construction jobs that would go uncreated at the armory if the site

continues to sit fallow as it is now.

about is the idea of a supermarket. There are those who are asking that the supermarket be excluded from the armory development. Let me be clear and say that although Related has included the impacts of a potential 60,000 square foot supermarket in the environmental impact statement, there are no signed tenants yet. So it's just in the EIS so far. Opponents of the supermarket should also note that the community board, though, supported the redevelopment on the condition that a supermarket be included at the site. Again, is a part of this process that involves so many different stakeholders.

In addition, the city's 2008 Going to Market Report found that the northwest Bronx is an area of high need of more access to healthy food and to fresh produce. The neighborhood is growing and we believe it, in fact, can support additional retail options that other well served neighborhoods actually enjoy today.

In fact, the blocks to the east and the south of the site are proposed under the

fresh, the food retail expansion to support health
initiate, which in fact was developed in
partnership with City Council. That being said,
we will continue to discuss the issue of the
supermarket and we'll listen to the input of
Council Members and speakers here today and
tomorrow as well.

Another question or topic that comes up is the issue around subsidies and incentives. Here the city is providing generous subsidies for the project. I would note that the only subsidy for this project is the \$17 million tax abatements that have been granted during the construction period in terms of the sales tax benefit and real estate taxes to be paid. These benefits have already been preliminarily approved by the IDA, or the Industrial Development Agency.

million only really \$11.5 million is city
incentives. When we look at this in the context
of the project overall, this \$11.5 million is
approximately 4% of what the total dollar
investment that the private sector will contribute
to the project. We think that the fiscal benefits

of the project in terms of the additional tax
revenue that we can expect, which is approximately
\$100 million over the next 20 years will far
outweigh the costs of this assistance. We believe
this assistance is critical for the project to
move forward.

Additionally, Related, the developer will apply for his store preservation tax credits. This is a federal program that is designed to ensure that historic landmarks like the armory are redeveloped and redeveloped appropriately.

Another issue you hear about is community benefit agreements and hear that the benefits of the project should be documented in a specific community benefits agreement. We in the administration strongly believe that the project should and will deliver significant benefits to the community. And that all the benefits should be directly related to the project itself and included in our contract of sale with the developer.

Again, that's one of the reasons that we've taken the unprecedented step of working

community task force. We believe as a part of the contract, the city is able to track and monitor the compliance to be able to tie the development milestones to the delivery of the benefits and to be able to enforce this through financial and other penalties in our control. We're concerned that a separate CBA is a private to private agreement that the city actually has no role in monitoring or enforcing and therefore is not a good tool to try and memorialize these kinds of commitments.

I'm sure there are other topics as well but I wanted to highlight those for you.

Just I would summarize and just say for decades the city and the Bronx and community have pursued many different redevelopment proposals for the armory. We have collectively worked really hard defining this proposal and defining a project. An unprecedented level of community participation to make sure it incorporates the community's vision.

And we believe that we actually have a better development proposal as a product of this effort.

But today the armory sits at a

crossroads. If we do nothing, the site will
continue in its cycle of under use and potential
decay and it could again be another generation
before we have another opportunity to try and do
something. Many in the Bronx have been waiting
for this redevelopment for many, many years. We
believe that if we can act today, we can ensure
that the Bronx as well as the city benefit from a
truly historic and exciting project.

The choice is clear. The opportunity to develop the armory is now. Again, I want to thank you for your time and attention to my part of the presentation and now I'd like to turn this over to Jesse Mazer who represents Related and will discuss the project in more detail. And I would propose that we hear that part of the presentation and we'd be happy to answer questions after that if that's okay with you Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: Yes.

JESSE MAZER: thank you Mr. Deputy
Mayor. Good morning Mr. Chair and members of the
committee. Before I ask my colleague Ethan
Goodman to take you through our Power Point

presentation, I have very, very brief remarks I'd like to make. I am the Land Use counsel to Related. On my left is Glenn Goldstein, the president of Related Retail and we are here today representing Related Retail Armory LLC and its application to facilitate the construction of the Shops at the Armory, a development of approximately 500,000 square feet of commercial and community facility space within the existing Kingsbridge Armory structure.

The plan provides one of the largest and most ambitious adaptive reuses of a historic structure the city has ever seen. As the Deputy Mayor just said, the plan calls for building a ship in a bottle, if you will, and will preserve both the historic exterior of the structure as well as retain and restore the significant historic elements of the interior of the building.

The project is the single largest development in the Bronx right now, revitalizing a building that has been vacant for 15 years with new jobs, goods and services, a recreational center and community center uses. The Bronx now

has the state's highest unemployment rate at 13.3% and the project will employ more than 2,000 people in construction and permanent jobs. The project will become the center of activity in a neighborhood with more than 5,000 people working at and visiting the shops during Saturday peak periods.

As developer of the recently completed Gateway Center at Bronx Terminal Market, we don't need to guess about what a project can do for a neighborhood, we already know. Almost 3,000 union jobs were filled with the construction of Bronx Terminal Market. Bronx Terminal Market has been wildly successful since its opening, becoming one of the largest private employers in the Bronx, employing more than 1,700 people of which 1,200 have been filled by Bronx residents. Target has hired 500 Bronx residents, BJ Wholesale Club has hired 175 residents and Marshall's and Best Buy have each hired more than 100 Bronx residents and the list goes on.

Some people say that Bronx doesn't need and doesn't want retail jobs. I would ask those people to talk to any of the 1,700 employees

at Bronx Terminal Market who decided the
opportunity to work at Bronx Terminal Market was
better than any other opportunity. I would
further ask them to talk to the minority and women
owned business that Related awarded more than \$25
million in construction contracts of the local
Bronx businesses that were awarded \$39 million in
contracts from Related in the construction of
Brony Terminal Market

No doubt you've heard about
Related's so-called resistance to negotiated
enforceable agreements providing community
benefits. We find this odd since as a developer
we would be the first developer to successfully
bring a project through the ULURP process that
contained executed, enforceable benefits for a
community. And by the way, that project was in
the Bronx. However, we have to be clear, what we
can not agree to are restrictions that render the
project unfinanceable, unleaseable and
unbuildable.

In one of the most challenging economic environments in decades, we seek to invest over \$300 million to redevelop the

Kingsbridge Armory, provide much needed
opportunities to residents of the Bronx; more
jobs, cheaper goods, better services. And the
local community board agrees overwhelming,
recommending approval of this project in July. We
hope you will share their enthusiasm, vote to
approve this project so we can begin it now.

I will ask Ethan Goodman of our firm to now briefly take you through the proposal and the drawings.

ETHAN GOODMAN: Good morning

Council Members, Chair Avella. My name's Ethan

Goodman. I'm with Watell and Mazer. Now that

you've heard a little bit of the larger themes

here, I'm going to take you through the actual

project that we're proposing and tell you how the

project lays out and what the actions we're

seeking.

The Shops at the Armory was developed with two primary goals in mind. One was to increase access to competitively priced goods and services in the most under retailed borough in the city. And the second was to provide thousands of new jobs to Bronx residents, a borough, as you

б

2 heard, with an unemployment rate of over 13%.

Shops at the Armory will be an approximately 500,000 square foot project, will contain retail, entertainment and community facility space. It will generate over 1,200 permanent full time equivalent jobs and over 1,000 construction jobs. In addition, it will represent an investment of more than \$300 million in the Bronx.

The project, as you heard, is located very close to transit in the Kingsbridge Heights section of the Bronx. It is adjacent to the 4 train, a five minute walk to the B and D trains and very close to five major bus lines.

The existing armory was completed in 1917 as the Eighth Regiment Armory. It is on the national register of historic places, it's also a New York City landmark. It is truly a gorgeous building and a treasure to the community. However, the armory has been vacant for the past 15 years. Nobody has really been allowed in to it from the public and it hasn't been used for any major use in quite a long time. The armory is not just a gorgeous building from the outside, it is

really, truly a remarkable building from the inside and this is remarkable component that we seek to retain when we redevelop the armory.

This is the largest drill floor in the country. It's approximately 600 feet long, 300 feet wide and 120 feet high. It is a grand column free space and a space that's a treasure that we seek to retain when we redevelop this armory.

Back in 2004, the city put substantial funds into rehabilitating portions of this armory to maintain it in decent shape; replaced windows, put a lot of money into restoring the roof. However, the interior areas of the armory still do require substantial rehabilitation. A lot of money does need to be put into this project to make this thing suitable for any adaptive reuse.

The actions we're here before you, there are four actions. The primary action is the disposition of city owned property. And you'll see in blue in the illustration behind me, that property includes the entire portion of the armory building, the open space in front of the armory

that will be used for public open space when we're done. It does not include, at this point, the two National Guard buildings. That would be subject to a different action at another time when other uses, hopefully public uses, potentially a school use would be developed on those parcels.

There are however, two mapping actions in front of you. One has to do with those National Guard buildings and this is an action to de-map approximately 20 feet of West 195th Street. This de-mapping would facilitate any future use of the National Guard buildings. It's an application that actually the Economic development Corporation has brought before you and it would be independent of the armory project to make those properties more suitable for any future use that would occur there.

The second mapping action has to do with Reservoir Avenue and that is to extend the street and make it more suitable for both public uses in the open area and make traffic operate more functionally there. That mapping action has been developed in close conjunction with the New York City Department of Transportation.

Finally, we seek a rezoning. We seek to rezone this property from its current residential zoning district, which would allow only residential and limited facility uses to a wider range commercial district, a C4-4.

The project itself calls for building essentially a building within a building or as you've heard before, a ship in a bottle. The building can not be torn down and rebuilt. The historic nature of the building must be retained and that means that when we build this building within a building, we will have to be building it from portions that are removed from the Jerome Avenue entrance in to the building and then rebuild that one entrance. Sorry, I didn't mean Jerome, Reservoir Avenue entrance.

The way the building will be built is development is projected to be offset to the north area of the armory, that's shown in blue there. What that does is allows us to build an open atrium along the southern length along the entire interior of the building. The idea is to create, as you see in the rendering behind me, a grand space for the public when they're shopping

at the armory and using its other uses. You can see here that you will see those column free trusses that will extend to the roof and essentially we will create a building that's offset. So you get a sense of that grand space that's still there.

Potential tenants that may locate in the armory; you have heard from the Deputy Mayor that we have no leases signed right now for this project, however its intended to accommodate a wide range of uses. This isn't just a large retail center, this isn't just an area that will accommodate 150,000s square foot tenants. We anticipate to have larger tenants indeed, such as department stores and clothing stores but also to have mid-sized retailers such as uses such as maybe a grocery store, a book store, electronic store, shoe store, restaurants.

In addition, a large portion of the space is intended for small and local retailers.

This would be more of a mall concept, neighborhood boutiques, specialty food stores, cosmetic shops, locally owned businesses and potentially local artisans here. And on the upper floors there is

space for movie theatres, a health club and youth
recreation facility.

The way the site plan lays out, we are proposing a 30,000 square foot public plaza in the southwest portion. This would be open to the public at all times and would hopefully be able to be programmed with community enhancing uses such as a farmer's market or other public uses. The armory would have four major entrances; one of those entrances would be along Reservoir Avenue, one along the primary entrance on Kingsbridge road, two along Jerome Avenue, all opening into this grand central corridor space.

we'd also have two vehicular entrances off of Reservoir Avenue; one would be for the loading dock. I want to remark that this loading is completely enclosed within the building. All truck activity to load and unload goods and all activity to remove trash from the armory would occur inside the building, not out on the street. And also there would be an entrance to the 400 space sub surface parking garage.

Taking you from the bottom level to the top, the 400 space parking garage would be at

the lowest level of the armory, which would essentially be in a sub cellar space. Right above that sub cellar space proposed would be one of the large retail users or perhaps multiple mid-sized retailers. Sorry, I skipped the ground floor.

Okay, that's the cellar space.

On the ground floor space, the ground floor is aligned with the Reservoir Avenue elevation. It is actually one level above the Jerome Avenue elevation. This would be the prime entrance to the armory. And as you can see here, this is a shot from the Jerome Avenue entrance, entrance into a grand public area from Jerome, escalators up to the primary ground floor of the project.

The second floor would be intended for small and mid-sized retailers and additionally would contain community facility space in the armory head house, which I'll show you in detail a little bit later. And here's a shot from the second floor of the armory. You'll see there on the right side of the picture there are additional seats there. Those seats are from the drill hall use of the armory for public events; graduations

and the like. Those seats will be retained and the public will be able to go out on to those areas and basically look at the armory, look at this grand space from one level up.

In the third floor, we anticipate restaurants, a cinema, a health club or youth recreation facility as well as a public seating area. And the fourth floor of the armory would contain the cinema second level, restaurants, health club facility second level and egress, as well as mechanicals.

The community facility space; a big part of this project is to provide 27,000 square feet of community facility space. That would be located in what we're calling the head house of the building. When you're looking at this building from West Kingsbridge Road, you see this beautiful turreted space, that's not part of the grand drill hall; that is a separate space. And that space, the mezzanine level on the second level would be dedicated to community facility space, that would be on two floors directly above and below the main entrance. As the Deputy Mayor said, that space has not yet been programmed for

community facility uses but we have been working
with and will continue to work with the community
to develop and attract community facility users

that will be an enhancement to the community.

In closing, I would just like to review the project benefits. And that would be finally, a complete inside and outside rehabilitation of the valued landmark, we will return a building that has been vacant for over 15 years to active use, open this previously inaccessible structure to the public. Everybody should remember, this was an armory; it was intended to keep the public out, not to let the public in. We would like to turn it into something that lets the public in and is an addition to the community.

More than 1,200 permanent full time equivalent jobs, more than 1,000 union construction jobs, an investment of over \$310 million in the Bronx, community facility space, 30,000 square feet of public outdoor space and finally, to provide better quality goods at better prices to the residents of the Bronx. I'd like to close. Thank you very much for your time.

CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: Thank you for
the presentation. Before I take questions from
Council Members, let me just go through some of
the ground rules again. And this is also for my
colleagues. Right now we have enough people
signed up to speak at two minutes we will be
beyond 1:00. I've instructed the Sergeant at Arms
to tell anyone who signs up at this point that
they will be speaking at 250 Broadway. I still
believe we can do the entire hearing by 1:00 if
everybody works together, limits their testimony
and that includes my colleagues and their
questioning.

We will have one question per

Council Member and I remind my colleagues that the

administration will come back on the 23rd at my

committee to answer additional questions from

Council Members. I will intentionally waive any

questions that I will have has Chair. I will save

them for another time so that we can move ahead

expeditiously. First person on my list, Council

Member Felder and then Council Member Rivera,

followed by Sears and Seabrook.

COUNCIL MEMBER FELDER: Good

morning and thank you very much Chair Avella. I
want to focus on one specific area and that is the
discussion about what might be included in the
space. Since the representatives, the lawyers for
Related did such a wonderful presentation, I'll
pose the questions to you.

Deputy Mayor Lieber in his testimony said you may have—I'm reading, you may have also heard that some are asking for a supermarket to be excluded from the armory and the development. Let me be clear that although Related has included the impacts of 60,000 square feet supermarket in the EIS, there are no signed tenants yet.

Can you go back to the window that you had where you showed the uses, the first one? Yeah. So when I read this it says large retailers, for example, department stores, clothing stores. You say mid-sized retailers, grocery store. Right?

MR. MAZER: Correct.

COUNCIL MEMBER FELDER: Do you think a grocery store and a supermarket is the same thing?

2			MR.	MAZE	ER:	As	it	was	used	here	it
}	was	intended	to be	the	same	e ti	hin	g.			

COUNCIL MEMBER FELDER: Well, I would suggest you should correct the display because I don't think anyone else thinks that way. I think it should be clear. We're not getting into a debate about definitions. I just think that the average person who sees grocery store doesn't think about a supermarket; they're two different things.

MR. MAZER: I apologize for being a land use lawyer.

COUNCIL MEMBER FELDER: No guilt.

So having said that, although there hasn't been any commitment to build such a thing, I want to read to you something that I think you know about, the RFP. The request for proposals states proposed commercial and retail uses must expand and enhance the current mix of retail offerings in the area and endeavor not to duplicate or directly compete with existing retail uses.

The Deputy Mayor also mentioned in his testimony that the community board wants a supermarket and 2008 Going to Market Report says

б

quality.

that they need something of that sort with more--I

don't remember the exact words. I would just say

cheaper priced goods, not necessarily of less

Now, you know and I know that there's a supermarket right across street, within a block or two. Can you explain to me how that's not in violation of this RFP?

MR. MAZER: My turn? First of all,

I think the words you read, not to be overlawyerly here for a moment but the words say
endeavor but it's not a strict prohibition. So I
think to really answer your question I'd rather be
direct with you, Councilman. In our proposal, in
our RFP response and in our initial anticipation
for the project, we did not anticipate a
supermarket, if you will, or a grocery store as
being part of our project.

It was when in our discussions, and they were very long discussions, with the community board, which I am sure was aware there was a supermarket or a grocery store or whatever across the street. They expressed a very strong

desire that we consider this as part of our project. It's because of their request and because of the rules that we are all bound by, in order for us to consider a request we have to include it in our review, in our study. And that is, how this becomes where we are today.

It was at the direct request of the community board, which felt very strongly about the need for greater competition. I think it would be better if they spoke for themselves. But having spent many meetings with them and many long discussions, this is where this idea Council Member from.

COUNCIL MEMBER FELDER: To abide by the Chair's rule I will conclude. I'll just say is that I don't know--I'm going to make a statement but I'm sure that the community board's interest in what would be included or needed in the neighborhood was certainly considered when the RFP was designed. So I don't think it's something new and I think that despite not being a lawyer, I'm only a CPA. So numbers, I understand and I don't ever want to be a lawyer, Mr. Mazer; no insult to you whatsoever.

2	But I think that the city
3	understood the need and the community board and
4	the community what they were interested when the
5	RFP was created. So despite your defining what
6	endeavor means to me, I still think that the
7	implicit in this RFP was that there would not be
8	any type of supermarket in this arrangement.
9	Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: Council Member Rivera.

very much Chairman Avella. I'm going to also try to abide by the rule that you set forth in one question. My question is I was going to try to refrain not to speak on the living wage issue today but since it was brought up by the Deputy Mayor and by Related, I will bring it up. The debate has centered significantly about the pay scale that people within the Bronx community have been getting paid for quite some time.

Jesse, in your testimony you stated how the Gateway project, so many people have applied for jobs and are working there. In your testimony you said ask them about that opportunity

and why they sought it. They sought it because there was a certain level. We have to be careful not to exploit the need of the community by saying take it because that's what we're giving you and that's the reality that we face here today.

We have an opportunity with the Kingsbridge Armory to make sure that we develop a project that is responsible and that's going to be an economic engine for the community and not just the people who are going to develop it or who are going to own the major stores who are there.

So my question is this: Related is a very reputable company in the City of New York, with great projects throughout the city and successful projects. You stated financing can be difficult if some of these issues are put forward but in just basic math that I did real quickly here. \$310 million investment minus the \$60 million of subsidies that are going to be given, divided by 500,000 square feet comes out to about \$500 per square foot. If you do basic loan analysis of that, you'll probably have a major financial partners such as Goldman Sachs or one of the major firms in the City of New York, you'll

2 probably get a reduced loan agreement than say a
3 residential loan agreement for the average person.

would be the interest rate that you would be paying, 4% to 5% on that. Now, looking at those numbers your yearly net will be about \$13 million but your profit would be about \$20 million and that's just for Related itself, not including the profit that the major retailers would be making in the location itself. Which we know will be substantial because of the amount of residents that we have within that community and because of the4 train, the D train and the fact that there's so many residents there.

So if the living wage component only adds about \$3.00 or so per employee and based on the numbers will only impact about 600 employees who will most likely be earning less than a living wage because some will earn more, some will earn less. That only ends up being about \$1,800 per hour for retailers who are going to make a significant profit margin on our community and to a developer, who rightfully so it's a business you should make a profit but will

2 make a significant profit.

So why is it that we can not afford an opportunity to local residents in the Bronx community with a 13% unemployment rate and some of the lowest wages in the entire country. Why is it, in your opinion, unfinanceable? With all those facts being laid out of the yearly net being \$13 million, \$20 million profit, \$7 million in profit itself and the retailers making such a significant profit in the community. I tried to compress it as much as I could so.

MR. MAZER: First of all, I would like to have the optimism you have about your financial numbers. I don't believe that they are correct. I don't believe your financing assumptions are anywhere near correct. But even if they were, which I don't believe they are, and even if we could achieve the interest rate, which we know we can't and even if we could have no equity that you seem to assume that we would need to put in, which we would. The one place where all of this falls down upon itself is there is no project without tenants. And we do not believe that imposing wage restrictions at this site and

this site alo	ne will get us tenants. There's no
reason for th	em to sign. The can go anywhere else
in the Bronx, anywhere else in the city and	
surrounding areas and be able to get into this	
marketplace without living with these	
restrictions.	There's no reason to believe they
would do that	,

Without tenants, there is no financing, without financing there is no project, with the project there are no jobs.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: I waive my rights for a second round of questions when the chair permits. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: Council Member Sears and then Council Member Seabrook.

Mr. Chair and I will be very, very brief. And thank you Deputy Commissioner. Did you answer his question? Okay. Well, I'll ask this one question and this certainly is a project that has some very controversial issues with it. But it was mentioned that every attempt to make this armory successful has failed. Maybe you can address, in that if this isn't done probably this property

makes this such an interesting project,

MR. LIEBER: One of the things that

24

25

parentheses and italics around interesting, is

that you have to build a project inside the

project. You're burdened by the existing

structure; the federal, the state and the city

landmarking here makes it important that we keep

the façade and the structure there so you got to

build inside of it. Which means, I don't know the

estimates.

I think the estimates have been the incremental costs of building within this structure are something in the neighborhood of \$100 million. So even in the plan that Related has, there's probably an incremental \$100 million of cost associated with having to build within the existing structure. So anyone that's going to come into this to try and build something and create something has to carry an extraordinary burden of cost in terms of developing the financing scheme and the potential returns associated with it, that's one thing.

I think the other part of it is just trying to address the specific desires and needs of what the community wants to see in this facility. And that's why we have worked for so

hard for so many years to try and design and come	
up with a project that we think does really	
reflect a disparate but certainly a comprehensive	
survey of what the community wants to see here.	
And done so in a way that actually generates	
economic opportunity for the Bronx and economic	
return to justify the amount of risk that any	
developer or tenant is going to take in terms of	
occupying this space.	

As I mentioned, I think this has been considered as a sports club and for sports centers and other things in the past. And I think the sad reality is that it is just hard economically to make something like that work within this existing kind of a structure and the cost associated with operating within it.

COUNCIL MEMBER SEARS: Well, when you're dealing with landmarks, that is absolutely something that's taken into consideration. I live in a landmarks distraction and I know that when anything is going to be done, the criteria in developing it are very given, they are not negotiable...

MR. LIEBER: That's right.

2 COUNCIL MEMBER SEARS:

...because

they have that very specific criteria so anyone acquiring what I think is a gem, it really is, has to anticipate that they're going to do that. And certainly when you're getting into an area where the unemployment was statewide, but where the poverty level is so high and one spot that is the highest in the country, it seems to me that the development of prime space, regardless where it is, it's prime space. I think it's a gem and any developer is going to develop with the community input, is going to develop a gem.

I'm very familiar with development.

I've been throughout the country on development and I understand when you have something that is as precious as this armory but it's also spectacular. And as a result, it seems that it lends itself to some very serious negotiations on how the community shares in that gem, not just the developer. I don't object to anybody making money and doing profits. But if the past attempts did not succeed because of not being able to negotiate with the community and also not having the dollars, what makes—and I ask this question very

2 respectfully.

What are you really offering that somehow makes this a far more negotiable project? Because the community is there, it's still poor, it's still a gem, it's still going to cost a great deal of money. The city is subsidizing and you're getting rebates. So I don't quite understand where there's such a tug of war in the negotiations that you've had. Because the community is not content, there's still much more to be developed, there's a labor issue that must be developed and negotiated. And it seems at this point, with your renderings here that you're not so close to bringing all of you together and that confuses me.

And I just ask that question to see if the past attempts were very similar to what's going on today.

MR. LIEBER: I don't know for sure because I wasn't here but I don't think...

COUNCIL MEMBER SEARS: I understand that.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.\ \operatorname{LIEBER}:\ \ldots$$  the past attempts ever got to this stage of the process. And I

think that those proposals fell under their own
weight very early on in the process because there
were deemed uneconomic or deemed non-responsive to
what the community wants to see.

I think the magic of this project and where we are today is that it does have the support of the community board, it does have support of a number of the trades and I think you'll hear from that today. And we do have one of the most, if not the most, accomplished developers in terms of adaptive reuse and major projects, not just in New York City but the country and the world, as a partner that has responded to the RFP and come into this process.

Again, in prior iterations, I don't think we ever got this far along to have a world class developer who has experience, that we know about and then has this much support from the community and laborers and the trades as well. So we are at an unprecedented point. It may be that you decide it's not enough but what is enough means that we will go more decades if not generations and this will sit fallow and nothing will happen. It will continue to be the blighted

waste.

2	eye sore that many people have characterized it as
3	today. And that would be, as you say, a jewel
<u> </u>	like this, that would be a tremendous shame to
	II

That's why I think the opportunities that we have worked very hard for four years to try and bring these pieces together, unprecedented level of involvement and engagement with the community and a world class developer team partner with us to get us to this point.

That's why I think the opportunity is now. I think you're right on.

COUNCIL MEMBER SEARS: Okay. Thank you but I know that you'll be back because we have more questions. It's a very huge project.

Thank you Mr. Chair and thank you.

CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: Council Member Seabrook followed by Council Member Gioia.

COUNCIL MEMBER SEABROOKS: Thank

you very much Mr. Chairman. To just a comment

first of all, I'd like to be associated with the

remarks by Councilman Simcha Felder as it relates

to the supermarket issue, not a grocery store but

a supermarket issue. But some great concerns as

it relates to that particular issue because those supermarkets were there when no one else was there in providing opportunity and jobs for people when everybody else ran from the community they stayed there. So I associate myself with those remarks.

I think this is a historical moment because this is a historical place. And it takes us the opportunity to step into a historical movement. And I say that because the Bronx is the only predominantly minority borough in the city. The Bronx has the highest rate of unemployment in the entire state. When we look at how do we address those issues and I think that you all are capable of addressing those issues with the city and with Related. It's a golden opportunity.

I think that you can reach the level of dealing with almost a dead construction issue within the Bronx that we need to revitalize and energize so that people can get jobs and go to work. This I a golden opportunity that we have to step up to the plate as it relates to dealing with that because this is very important.

The unemployment levels far exceeds

2.

13%. In the Airlean American, Latino community,
it is 55% unemployment - 55% unemployment. So
there is an unique opportunity to talk about how
we're going to address the issues of living wages,
of jobs. But it also says something in this
equation because I don't think providing living
wages would destroy any project, and that's
historical fact. Because we must understand the
people who will shop at that mall, they live in
the Bronx. The majority of them live in the Bronx
and they will shop at that mall.

So they're going to be there, that's going to be the engine that drives the economic development. So it's going to have to take a look at how best to address the issue. So my concern is what is the position of prevailing wages for the construction jobs? What are your goals for minority and women owned business in this development? What are goals, and it's not illegal to have goals, but what are your goals relating to construction, to contracts and to employment as well and how do you reach those goals?

I think it's important for us to

understand the dynamics in this historical event,
this historical place, and how you're going to be
a part of that history when it's written that this
was an armory but you made it something else. And
you will been written in history as to what you
made it and the important facts of those
historical dynamics that you're going to play a
role in, in making this happen. Anybody.

MR. MAZER: Thank you Councilman.

As you well know, and I think you know us very well from our previous actions that's in the Bronx. Related is proud and always will be a union builder. We anticipate not changing that in our future. We enjoy that relationship and we think it's appropriate and it gets us the right product.

But also as you know we are similarly committed, as we were in Bronx Terminal Market, to both making sure that we open the opportunity to Bronx contractors as well as minority and women businesses. I don't want to state a percentage here because I think that's really the subject of a negotiation and a sit down that we look forward to having. But in our

development of the Bronx Terminal Market, we set goals in those regards.

I testified earlier to the number and the dollars. We did some extraordinary things to achieve those goals. As an example, we unbundled contracts so it gives greater opportunity for smaller businesses a chance to bid on contracts. It's an expense to us that we're willing to assume because we believe in this. When we did our project at the Hub, you should know there was no obligations on us whatsoever. We self imposed those goals and I think we were very, very successful in reaching them.

It's the part of a negotiation that we look forward to, which unfortunately to some degree we have not had to date. We still have time, in our opinion, to have that because we seem to be drowned out by certain noises regarding certain other issues. But as I said, we are committed historically to both enabling others to participate in the project but we are also very, very proud of our role as a union builder.

[pause]

I'm sorry did--

2	COUNCIL MEMBER SEABROOKS:	I'm
---	---------------------------	-----

sorry. The city is putting up quite a bit of money and the federal government as well in talking about historical and everything else.

What is the city's position as it relates to these goals and how they use of this money is going to

be dealt with to deal with this. This is a lot of

9 money that the city is putting up.

MR. MAZER: Again, we're putting up \$11.5 million and that is a lot of money. We are committed to MWB goals as well, as well as working with developers and contractors on prevailing wage. We think those are both important components of this. Those discussions are still ongoing with Related. We think it's important and frankly, look at the track record of the partners that you have in this project and look at prior project they've done and what has been the experience and their performance on these and other tasks that we have. Again, we think Related is head and shoulders the best developer for this project for these particular reasons and others as well.

COUNCIL MEMBER KATZ: Council

2	Member Gioia, before you ask your question, do you
3	mind voting on the agenda for the day?
4	COUNCIL MEMBER GIOIA: Thank you

Madam Chair, I vote yes on the items that we previously voted on.

7 COUNCIL MEMBER KATZ: Today?

COUNCIL MEMBER GIOIA: Today.

COUNCIL MEMBER KATZ: Appreciate it and ask your question please.

Madam Chair. I'm happy to hear and I know that related has a history of paying the people who build their buildings a wage that they can raise their families on. I think that is a proud achievement of Related and set an example across the board on that.

My question is based on a simple premise. It's a premise that I think many people in this room and I hope many people in this city will agree, which is that if you put in an honest day's work, if you work full time throughout the week you're able to provide for your family. You may not be rich, but you can actually put food on your family's table and you can take care of your

kids. It's a very fundamental premise. There are people in this room, myself included who were poor at parts of their life.

I'm not poor today, I'm solidly middle class. Not because I got elected to City Council but because when I was 18 years old there was a job available for me that paid me a living wage. I was a janitor, I was a door man and it gave me enough money that I was able to pay for college. That's essentially what this city is about, are jobs that allow people to provide for their families.

When I hear projects like this and I hear this kind of testimony, it seems that it's based on another premise, that the only way to develop a community is to keep the people in the neighborhood poor. Because the wages that the people who work in this building, not the people who build it but who work there, they will be poor. When you pay somebody \$7.50 or \$8.50 an hour and they work full time, and they have kids, they are poor. Their families are on food stamps, they qualify for the Earned Income tax credit.

So the premise I'm hearing today is

2.

Member.

that the only way to build this building in the
Bronx is to have the government not only subsidize
through tax breaks but to subsidize the workers
through food stamps and the Earned Income tax
credit. Because that's the only way we can get a
retailer in the neighborhood is to keep the people
poor.

What I suggest is that as a city we need to be a leader and we need to do better.

This city has been a leader on issues around health. We heard eight years ago when we banned smoking in bars and restaurants that in fact this would actually be good for business. What I suggest is that building a middle class in the Bronx will actually be good for the businesses in that building. And that means that the jobs-
COUNCIL MEMBER KATZ: Council

COUNCIL MEMBER GIOIA: And the question is this. If we turn down this application, which I think would be a very reasonable thing for this City Council to do to protect those middle class jobs, are you telling me that no one will come along who will pay the

people who work in that building a wage that will
not keep them in poverty?

MR LIEBER: I would start by saying that, look, we are all interested in creating jobs and the best paying jobs we possibly can in the market and what we've tried to do here. The one element that is kind of missing in this discussion is the issue as it relates to risk. We can talk about cost and we can talk about incentive but we're not talking about the risk and that's the risk that the developer is prepared to take or that the tenants are prepared to take on the viability on a project when it hasn't even gone into the ground.

But the other thing I'd like to point out Council Member, is that as a part of our process with the community. Again, four years we've worked with the community. We asked and we gave preference to any developer that would make a commitment to living wage as a part of the RFP process. Knowing full well it's right there, it's part of the criteria, no one was willing to make that commitment.

I think at the end of the day it's

2.

because the risk associated with it, the
development project, that people are not willing
to make that kind of a commitment. For us, it's
about creating job, it's about creating good jobs
but we want to see jobs get created here as the
alternative of no jobs is worse than any of the
other alternatives.

COUNCIL MEMBER KATZ: Thank you.

Council Member Palma.

Madam Chair. I don't have a question. I think all the questions have been asked; I have more of a statement that I wanted to make on behalf of the Bronx delegation. Mr. Mazer, during his testimony you mentioned the Bronx being the borough with the leading, highest unemployment rate. I'm sitting here today listening to all sides as I always do before I give my vote. And I couldn't--I don't want people to leave this room today believing that the Bronx delegation is in any way, shape or form against job creation.

We want to create jobs, we want to see the people in our borough thriving and being able to stay in their neighborhoods and continue

to put their families through school and contributing to our communities. When we sit with you and we discuss the issues and we're advocating for living wages is because we want to see our people grow.

The notion that we will turn this down because we don't want to see any of the jobs being created, it's not true and I wanted to state for the record that we will support any project, and we've done so in the past, that has created jobs. What we want to see is real jobs with real living wages. What I will say for the record is that as the delegation, what we are against is remaining in poverty. We no longer want to be the borough with the leading, highest poverty rate in the nation. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER KATZ: Thank you.

First of all, let me thank the panel for coming and Deputy Mayor, it's always good to see you here. I appreciate the fact that you came yourself today to show the importance of this project to the administration and I think to the city. So I do appreciate that.

I have more of a general issue and

б

question really. We've been hearing from a lot of
different points of view today and we will be
hearing from all of the different folks that are
involved in the negotiations. We've also heard a
lot about the opinion of the community board.
It's my understanding that the community board
voted with recommendations and what they were
truly interested in was having different types of
products as opposed to another supermarket. My
understanding is as time has gone on, they have
tried to respond to the community and its needs.
I know that the esteemed borough president of the
Bronx is here and he will probably talk about that
more and other folks will talk about it.

So my question is this: the RFP talks about picking a responder that endeavors to give living wage. The RFP talks about picking a responder that endeavors not to compete with the local retail industry. So those are two things already that the RFP endeavored to get that apparently was incapable of it. And you have a lot of moving parts; you have the Bronx delegation, just to put this out there for everyone because it seems to be the elephant in

2 the room.

You have a lot of working parts out there. You got the Bronx delegation, you got the borough president, you got RWDSC, you got the trade unions, you got 32BJ, you got hotels, you got all the unions and the community folks, KARA is out there and institutions. I guess my question to you, Mr. Deputy Mayor, because I think you're the best one to respond to this. We're going to hear from all these folks as to the status of the negotiations and the discussions. I'd like to hear from the administration's point of view where they believe they are and how we're going to get to the promised land.

MR. LIEBER: Wow, the promised
land? Do you want to give that one? No. Look, I
said earlier in my testimony that the engagement
with the community and all the different
constituents and stakeholders actually evolves and
makes it a better project; I firmly believe that.
There are still components of this process that
are ongoing here. Yes, you talk about items that
are in the RFP but again, we're trying to be
responsive to what the community wants to see here

as well.

I think that the direct text in the community board's ULURP resolution is that they support a supermarket committed to providing our community with a vast selection of organic food options in the armory and was identified as one of the conditions of their approval. That's just a factoid, okay? I think we talk about what's going on in the Bronx and the existing supermarkets that are there, the grocery stores that are there. We look at the study and we think the entire city in general, but particularly the Bronx can support more supermarkets.

We've heard from Council Members and community leaders as well that they'd like to see improved product quality and prices at supermarkets in high need neighborhoods.

Additional competition does have sometimes a side benefit of improving the quality and improving the price of food. I think there's another point, though, to be made around a supermarket or grocery store; trying to be agnostic about the definition, in this center.

The rents that this supermarket is

2.

going to pay are likely to be higher than rents
that are going to be paid in the community because
the cost basis and the basis upon which their
leases are determined will reflect the cost of new
construction and not an existing facility. So
again, we're trying to be responsive to as many
different folks as we can here. Council Member
Katz, I would say we haven't made any final
decisions about this. We are still listening and
reflecting and trying to shape accordingly.

understanding was that the difference between the response from Related and the other two RFPs that actually responded to this was about a 65,000 difference, 65,000 square foot difference, right? The original response was absolutely taking into account grocery stores. In other words, if you had such a larger response that involves square footage for retail, it is possible then that you could actually do this project without that. Grocery stores.

MR. LIEBER: I'm sorry, is that a question? How--

MR. MAZER: I'm not sure I

understood the question either Councilman.

3 COUNCIL MEMBER KATZ: M

understanding, and I'll get on to someone else in a minute, my understanding is that the response by Related called for about 65,000 more square feet of retail. So my question really is that you originally, if you responded to the community board, were relying on the idea of having a grocery store. So it is quite possible, just following up on some of the other Council Members that you could do this project without that.

MR. MAZER: I never said it's an essential part of the project. I've always said that it was studied at the request of the community board. The amount of square footage we want to build is not any use specific tenant. It was what we thought the proper amount of economics to make what is a project that has an enormous premium of additional costs because of its historic nature, economically viable. The supermarket issue comes about purely by the community board.

MR. LIEBER: And I would just add to that, again, that's a part of this process. It

evolves as we go through the discussions with all the different stakeholders. We wanted to make sure that we were responsive to the community board so that option was included in the environmental impact statement so that is an idea or concept that could be considered and not one that would be automatically precluded.

## COUNCIL MEMBER KATZ:

Understanding, and I don't want to beat a dead horse but understanding that I didn't get an answer on my status of negotiations. I'll rely then, which is unfortunate upon everybody else testifying to tell me what they believe the status is, understanding that, I'll move on to Council Member Koppell.

Madam Chair. With all due respect, and a lot of people have a lot of knowledge but I don't think anybody has more knowledge at least on this side of the room. I know people in the audience and I do because I've been involved in looking at this project for well over 15 years. It is not in my district but it's directly across the street from my district. It involves, obviously, my

constituents, who if this is built will work there
and shop there, has direct impact on my district.

As I say, I've been intimately involved.

I don't want to get involved in too many things. I know a lot about the history. I think I know more than the Deputy Mayor. I know that the Giuliani administration had a plan for this armory that was at an advanced state of planning, not as far as this, but it then fell apart. I know that I advocated for many years that it be a community and sports facility but could never get sufficient support to get that going.

There were expressions of support on occasion but I know the history. I also know that with respect to the supermarket issue, the coalition that negotiated with the city, KARA and others, talked about not wanting to compete with the local supermarket. That was a coalition that involved much more than the community board. When it came to the community board, and I was at the community board hearing that approved this, there were many members of the community board that felt that a competitive supermarket or grocery store

might offer more choice and more healthful products that the current operator of the supermarket.

I believe, by the way, that the current operator has improved the quality of his food as a result of this debate, which is a great thing. But I know that the developers also said that a supermarket's not an essential part of this and I hope, Madam Chair, that in the negotiations that gets sorted out. There are people on the community board who want to have a new supermarket because quite frankly they don't like the supermarket that's across the street.

Then there are a lot of other people, including hundreds of employees at the supermarket across the street, which is operated by a developer who operates other supermarkets in the area as well, those employees are concerned about competition. I think it's fair to say that they're concerned that an operator coming in to a project that has a certain degree of subsidy may have an advantage over the existing people.

Council Member Seabrook I think correctly points out that the current operator has been there

б

through thick and thin and we should give him some consideration. I think that's an issue that has to get resolved on the way to the promised land, as you put it.

Secondly, let me say that I am delighted that in the city's presentation they talk about this site to the north for schools.

Because I take great pride that I convinced and I know that I have the correspondence, the Patterson administration to commit to moving the National Guard out into the Muller building, which is in my district. I'm very proud of that and I'm glad that the city has now said that they support that and that they're looking toward building schools there.

of a commitment from the city that would say specifically that they will put schools there because if you read the statement of the Deputy Mayor says they're inclined to but they don't say specifically that they'll do it. That should e in the new five year capital plan that we are—the amendment to the capital plan just was proposed and it doesn't include this and it should include

this. I'm very proud that this is at least in contemplation. It maybe should be more firmly there.

The issue that brings a lot of the people here today we know is this living wage issue and it's been discussed. I would like to see a written presentation by the developer, this is a multi-million dollar project, I don't think I'm asking too much. I'm a lawyer and I have a lot of experience. I want to see a written presentation that shows me why this can not be financed. There must be writings that talk about what's required in financing a commercial development such as this.

I want to see a written

presentation that demonstrates to me that this

can't be done, especially because in the New York

Times today there's an article that says that in

200 cities, there are living wage requirements for

publicly support projects. Now, if that is true

there must be many retailers who are able to

function in that kind of environment. If there

are 200 cities that have these kind of

requirements, why can't we?

2	I might say to my colleagues, maybe
3	we should pass a law to that effect, that they
4	should be there. I certainly would support it.
5	But I want to see a written presentation as to why
6	this project can not be financed with this kind of
7	requirement to be placed in the leases. Can that
8	be done Mr. Mazer?
9	MR. MAZER: I think so.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: I look
11	forward to it.
12	MR. MAZER: Yes, sir.
13	CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: I remind
14	everybody, no applause, no booing and I'm serious
15	about this. The next time it happens, I will stop
16	the hearing. Again, if you want to have something
17	to say, you sign up to speak then you'll have your
18	opportunity to voice your opinion one way or the
19	other. Two more Council Members and then we're
20	going to the public testimony. We have the Bronx
21	borough president here. The last two Council
22	Members to ask a question are Council Member
23	Jackson and Council Member Al Vann.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: Thank you

Mr. Chair, good morning everyone, good morning to

2.

the panel. While Oliver Koppell says that he may
be the most knowledgeable person in this
particular matter, I don't confess to be. I
probably know the least about this matter than
probably every Council Member. I do not live in
the Bronx. I live in Manhattan. My family lives
in the Bronx and I'm sure that my family will be
future shoppers and also I will be. Probably if
some of my family members will be on line if in
fact this project moves forward, looking for a
iob.

Obviously, I pulled out my pen and piece of paper and I think I heard the discussion about \$10 an hour plus benefits if people work 40 hours a week, that's like \$400. \$10 times 40 is \$400 a week, \$400 times 52, I don't have a calculator unless I'm not the best person in math, that's under \$21,000 a year. In my opinion, I don't even know whether or not people can survive off of that. I know people do so that's an issue and concern.

My problem is this: I'm a member of the City Council Zoning committee, Land Use committee and obviously I have to vote on this

particular matter not once, twice but three times.

I'm concerned. And I'm open to listen to what

everyone has to say so I can vote with the most

knowledge that I can gain within the short period

of time that I have.

Let me express to everyone here, both the panel and future panel members, I'm very concerned about this particular matter on the possible impact that it may have on the Bronx and the City of New York. I don't know whether or not, if in fact this project fails, the decades that this armory may sit. That's a very, I guess, negative point of view and I try to look from a positive point of view. Because if in fact Mayor Bloomberg wanted to finance this project, eh could do it personally himself - you and I know that. And there are other billionaires around the city and around the state that can do it also. So I try to look from a positive point of view.

I want to express the concern that
I have that I need information and I need truthful
information from all parties involved. One of the
issues and I'm listening to testimony. While
Jesse Mazer I appreciate you and your knowledge

and expertise, but quite frankly I'm not hearing from the president of Related. Now, you are there land use attorney and as a land use attorney you're doing probably a very fine job. But you're not going to be through the entire process and after this is done because you have other clients you have to deal with. I'm not hearing any direct conversations from Related.

So I'm more concerned about how do you envision me, Mr. President, as a member of the City Council to go along with this project when I'm hearing from my colleagues from the Bronx delegation. My understanding is the current Council Member and the incoming Council Member and the borough president and everyone is against the project right now as it stands. How do you expect me to react in dealing with this particular matter knowing that this is a problem for our great city?

MR. FEIGERMAUM: Well, I'd like to say first I think we have a strong track record of developing not only in the Bronx but throughout the city. And providing significant community benefits is something that we believe in as a company and do in all of our projects, whether

they're written agreements or otherwise, as others have mentioned. I think one thing that we've established in all our negotiations and discussions with everyone in the Bronx and elsewhere is that we're very careful not to over promise.

Our reputation is paramount to us and we can only control those things that are within our control. When it comes to things such as living wage, while we agree that it's a laudable goal and it's something that we're generally supportive of. We strongly believe that if it's a requirement to put that into leases, that it would render the project unleaseable and therefore unviable. We wouldn't be able to finance it and there would be no project. We wouldn't be doing anyone any justice by agreeing to something that we couldn't deliver.

COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: I
appreciate your explanation but one of the things
is and you said that it seems as though that the
Related and the City of New York is not really
willing to take the risk in order to move forward
with certain commitments. But I've just been

communicated with that the federal poverty level for a family of four for 2008 is \$22,000 a year. And if in fact there can not be a commitment for at least \$10 you're basically saying that the city is going to subsidize a project that's basically going to have jobs that are not even committed to paying the minimum poverty level to exist in the United States of America. Which is totally unacceptable to me as a member of the City Council. Let me just say that right now.

Because if in fact you're saying that means that people are going to come to work there basically as indentured servants on behalf of employers in order to scrunch and scrimp in order to survive. I just say to you, that's not acceptable to me as a member of the City Council of New York. Thank you Mr. Chair.

about this. I'll ask the Sergeant at Arms to start picking out people if they can't abide by the rules. Council Member Al Vann and that will be the last question from my colleagues and then we'll move to the public testimony and the Bronx borough president who has been sitting here

2 patiently, as well as everybody else.

COUNCIL MEMBER VANN: Thank you Mr.

Chairman, good morning gentlemen. I guess the previous speaker pretty much captured, with a lot of emotion, the feelings that I had. This is a voice from another borough looking at this with great interest because I have an armory in my district and the community is very, very concerned that we move to some level of development around that armory. So obviously I'm watching this very closely.

I must say that I feel great about the position that the elected officials in the Bronx have arrived at. I think it's commendable that they have come together and have taken a position on behalf of the constituents of the people of the Bronx. It's the same position that I would expect the administration to have as well. It's all about the people. What can we do to make sure that people survive, feel good about themselves and make a living, take care of their family and live a decent life.

This provides a unique opportunity, it seems to me. I would feel a little bit better

if the administration would take a similar position as opposed to this may be the last time around, might be another generation. As if to say if we don't get on board here—we must get on board here or we're going to lose it. So even if we don't get what we want, let's go for it. No, I think the administration and I think maybe after listening to this testimony—

And perhaps Deputy Mayor, you or someone perhaps can stay behind to hear what the others have to say as they provide their testimony. That together the administration and the Brooklyn delegation coming closer to asking what, demanding what should go here. I think we'll have a better opportunity of having successful negotiations. Developers feel that they can lean on the administration with their position, that's additional leverage that they should not have.

Again, a voice from another borough that's very concerned about all of the citizens in our city but obviously very supportive of our colleagues in the Bronx. And also looking to what's going to happen in my borough next, I'm

looking for more of an aggressive position on behalf of the administration in terms of living wage or whatever language, whatever word we use or ways that the constituents of the Bronx can feel very good about as they become employed within their borough.

MR. LIEBER: I guess I appreciate your perspective. I thought you were asking a different question, actually. What I said is it may go fallow for decades or generations to come. It's been because we've and Council Member Koppell knows much more about this than I do. But as I understand it, the redevelopment of this facility is something that's been considered for more than a decade, maybe more already.

Again, this initiative that we've undertaken has already been four years. I think it's been a great process and I think we've got the best project we could possibly get as a byproduct. That doesn't mean every body, every member, every stakeholder got everything they wanted to. But it means that everybody's point of view was listened to, that we've found a world class developer to execute this. We've gone to

2	the market and asked the market to respond and
3	told them that we would give preference to a
1	number of factors, including living wage.

Again, as a way we've tried to shape this in the best possible way that we can. But again, to shape it in the best possible way that we can that we can actually get jobs created for people living in the Bronx and for the community. Economic development here is in front of us. We want to make sure we don't miss this opportunity to begin construction, good construction jobs and good jobs afterwards as well.

What we're concerned about is if we can't capitalize on this moment now, it may be subsequent decades. We've got four years to go. We've been four years in this process here already. I just don't know what we're going to do over the next four years that's different than the process we've gone through or the result that we've ended up with here today.

COUNCIL MEMBER VANN: What would prevent you from capitalizing on this moment now?

I think that is the issue.

2	MR. LIEBER: Approval by the City
3	Council.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER VANN: Regardless of
5	the state of the negotiation? In other words, if
6	there is a bar that the City Council establishes.
7	We're saying that if a project is to go forward,
8	this project and any others coming thereafter,
9	there must be say, a living wage. You're saying
10	that if the City Council rises to that level of a
11	standard that that would be a deterrent for this
12	process to go forward? We would lose the moment?
13	MR. LIEBER: What I'm saying is
14	we're open minded to listen but we want to make
15	sure that whatever agreement we end up ensures the
16	viability of this project and it ensures that
17	there will actually be construction and
18	development activity that takes place here.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER VANN: The viability
20	of this project can only be viable if it's viable
21	to the people in the Bronx. If they can not make
22	a viable living then it's not a viable project.
23	CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: I want to

thank the administration. I know you'll be available on the 23rd. I hope the same principals

25

2	will be available on the 23rd. I think my
3	colleagues have expressed the issues that still
4	remain to be addressed. I'm sure we're going to
5	hear from the public the same issues that we've
6	heard from the Council. But I thank you for your
7	testimony.
8	MR. LIEBER: Thank you very much
9	for the opportunity. We're available to answer
10	any question today, tomorrow or any point of this
11	process. Thank you.
12	CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: Thank you.
13	I'd like to begin the public testimony with the
14	esteemed Bronx borough president, Ruben Diaz.
15	While the borough president is being seated, I do
16	want to mention that Council Member Maria Baez,
17	whose district this application lies within is not
18	here today because she actually underwent major
19	surgery yesterday.
20	[pause]
21	Yes, please go ahead Mr. Borough
22	President.
23	RUBEN DIAZ: Thank you. Before I

begin I would like to take the moment to thank you

Mr. Chairman, as well as all of the members of

this committee for allowing me the opportunity to speak on this important issue, which, of course, is the redevelopment of the Kingsbridge Armory and the associated land use actions required for that development to take place.

Sitting with me on my left is
Wilhelm Rhonda, who is the Director of Land Use
and Development in the Bronx Borough President's
office.

Since I've became the Bronx borough president in May, the redevelopment of the Kingsbridge Armory has been the preeminent issue of my office's agenda. Through numerous meetings, hearings and other outreach with the community, with the Bronx elected officials and even with the project's selected developer. I have heard all sides of the argument on this issue.

Throughout this process the Bronx community has raised a number of legitimate concerns about this project. Just today, critics of the projects have issued a study indicating that the traffic impact of the proposed retail center will be far greater than the Related companies have previously indicated. But even

before that, a number of other serious issues havebeen brought up regarding this project.

The developer stands to benefit from tens of millions of dollars in tax breaks, tax breaks that have never been available to existing businesses in the Kingsbridge Heights neighborhood. Despite this taxpayer funded largeness, the developer will not agree to prevent new businesses that will directly compete with existing stores, such as supermarkets, from becoming tenants in the Kingsbridge Armory.

Let me be clear. I do not think
that it is appropriate to use taxpayer dollars to
force companies out of business, especially when
those companies have stood with us in the Bronx
during our darkest days. The development's
traffic impact and the effect it will have on the
surrounding neighborhood are both important
issues, as are the inclusion of community space
within the project and the ability of the future
employees of the retail center to join a union
without fear of reprisal from their employers.

But more than anything else, I have made it crystal clear throughout this process that

I could not and would not support this development unless a strong community benefits agreement, one that included a living wage provision, was agreed on by Related companies. Our living wage requirement simply asks that the future tenants of the Kingsbridge Armory pay their employees \$10 an hour with benefits or slightly more when benefits are not included.

So for instance Councilman, you did the arithmetic and you said that \$10 an hour is still not enough for a family to be able to provide for themselves on a yearly basis. We agree with that so we think that our proposal is not one that's irrational or radical or something that we're asking for too much.

The developer did make an effort to heed our concerns on this issue. And I thank them for listening. One possible solution to the living wage issue was outlined in a memo sent to my office and to the Bronx City Council delegation earlier this month. However, it has been brought to our attention by representatives of Related that the Bloomberg administration stands in opposition to the implementation of any living

2 wage proposal.

Since the developer has stated that they are co-applicants with the city and since the city is against a living wage provision, this creates an obstacle that has yet to be overcome.

In addition, the city's representatives, particularly Deputy Mayor Lieber who was here today, has stated that the city will not look favorable on any community benefits agreement.

When billionaire developers are accepting tens of millions of dollars in tax benefits to build in our communities, it is not radical an idea to ask that the jobs they create be good jobs, jobs that offer Bronxites a chance to better themselves and provide for their families. As a recent statistic shows from the Census Bureau indicated, the Bronx has the highest poverty rate of any urban county in the United States. It is time, it is time that we demand more from our developers and that we ask them to do better. And we in the borough of the Bronx, quite frankly, are sick of being the leaders in having that title of having the highest poverty rates in the nation.

New jobs have been created in the

Bronx. However, they are typically part time in

nature and are not the kind of jobs that can pull

5 Bronxites out of poverty. We must lead the way in

6 creating a new paradigm for job development.

Don't get me wrong, I do want to see new jobs

created in my borough and we want, when it's all

said and done, for this armory to be developed.

But these jobs must be created in the right way.

The old model, the way it was said here today, that any job is better than no job is no longer acceptable to us. Without a community benefits agreement, including a living wage provision, I am unable to support this project. I would urge that the members of the subcommittee vote against this project as well. If the developer is willing to change its mind on this issue in the future, I would be willing to change my mind and support the plan.

Until that time, as I previously stated in my ULURP recommendations and to the members of the City Planning Commission, I must say no to this development. For the good of the Bronx, I hope that you will join me. Thank you

2 for the opportunity.

CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: Thank you Mr.
Borough President. I think Council Member Rivera
would like to ask you a question.

very much Chairman. I'm just going to use this opportunity. I want to thank you Mr. Borough President for your leadership all on this issue. You've heard, everyone here has heard the statement from the administration that this is an economic development project. In my book, this is an economic exploitation project because when you have families that are unable to make ends meet, pay for their rent, pay for their bills; we have a situation where it's called keeping people in poverty.

The conversation that we're having today is much greater than just the Kingsbridge Armory. It's a tale of two cities. It's how do we make sure that opportunity is given to all. I think with the borough president here today, I think my colleagues in the Bronx delegation and everybody that's been involved, we need to change the conversation with the administration. At the

2 end of the day the administration is the one 3 that's wrong here.

We need to tell the administration that we should no longer have in an RFP process, can you do this for us, will you do this for us pretty please, pretty please. Because that's what we have when we're saying we give preference for living wage jobs. That's begging for something that we should mandate as part of the proposals.

I just want to thank everyone that's here today because while we have different sides of the issues being expressed and we have different kinds of opinions. I think we all can agree that like my colleague Council Member Robert Jackson stated, a family of four can not survive on \$22,000 per year in this city. Maybe somewhere else but not in New York City. And the blame doesn't have to go only to the developer. It has to go to the administration for allowing this situation to continue.

I refuse to believe that

development in this city will stop because the

City of New York requires living wage jobs within

our five boroughs. So I want to thank you Mr.

2.

Borough President. I wanted to take this
opportunity and exploit that opportunity. But you
have done a tremendous job in highlighting some of
the key issues here and have given us the
opportunity to express our voice in a very low
microphone. Thank you.

MR. DIAZ: Thank you Mr. Majority
Leader. Mr. Chairman, if I may. I just want to
clear some things that were said here today.

Please understand that the elected officials, not
only just the members of the City Council but
other elected officials in the borough of the
Bronx, myself, the community, the community board,
we all stand together on this issue. And it
amazes me how they're trying to create some kind
of division this morning by saying that the
community board voted yes.

The community board voted yes with recommendations. When you vote yes on a project and you have recommendations, we should not trivialize those recommendations. Whether you vote yes or no, we shouldn't allow anyone as long as you have recommendations attached to it, to use that yes or no vote against you. The community

board voted yes because they want--when they speak of grocery, they want healthier foods. We can achieve that but not necessarily with a supermarket at that armory. We can do that with a farmer's market inside or even outside of the armory.

And with the recommendations, please understand that the community board feels strongly as well about having jobs for the community with living wage. That was part of their recommendations and to throw that away is not being fair. The other thing, I know that Councilwoman Sears, you spoke and you asked a question like, what are you offering? And quite frankly, when you speak of there's more. They're not offering anything that we absolutely need in the borough.

What we need are good jobs with living wages so that people can get themselves out of poverty. And while the RFP always has stated that they should endeavor not to have competing businesses, while they should endeavor to have living wage. I don't see how the Deputy Mayor Lieber continues to push back on these two major

issues. If the RFP itself stated that they should endeavor, it should be a lesson to all of us as to how RFPs are worded. And it should be a lesson to all of us as to what we agree to initially because unfortunately you may have an administration or even developers that can use our vote or our words against us. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: Thank you Mr.
Borough President for your testimony and for your leadership on this issue.

MR. DIAZ: Thank you, sir.

to public testimony. I'm going to ask counsel to call alternating panels. First panel will be a panel in opposition and then we'll do a panel in support and we'll keep going back and forth. We are obviously not going to finish with all the speakers before we have to move. I would have hoped that we would have been able to do that but obviously that is not the case.

If there is anybody here who when their name is called just wants to get up and say I support the project or I oppose the project in order to move it forward, that is acceptable and

24

25

2	your name will be put into the record just as well
3	as you had spoken up at the dias. Counsel will
4	call the first panel.
5	COUNSEL: Fernando Cabrera, Jane
6	Thompson, Stuart Applebaum, Ian Dunford and
7	Camille Rivera.
8	CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: Everybody
9	there will be two minutes. I don't necessarily
10	cut somebody exactly at the end of the two
11	minutes. I do ask that you sum up and try and be
12	mindful about how many speakers that we have here
13	COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: Mr.
14	Chairman, I think you recognize that Mr. Cabrera
15	who is scheduled to testify will soon be joining
16	this body. Unfortunately won't have the pleasure
17	of serving with you because you didn't run for re-
18	election. However, since he is going to be our
19	colleague, and I don't know if he's going to take
20	more than two minutes or not but I would ask you
21	respectfully since he is going to be representing-
22	-he actually represents the Armory, that the two

minute limit not be extended to him.

I was already well ahead of you. I wasn't going

CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: I understand.

to mention it, I was going to--don't worry. In
fact, why don't you start us off.

Chair and distinguished Council Members. I will be parsimonious with my time in light of the fact that I know we have a lot of community members that would like to voice their opinion. My name is Fernando Cabrera. Two weeks ago I had the honor and the privilege of being elected to the City Council representing the 14th district. This is the district that the Kingsbridge Armory is located.

This project has the potential to be an engine of real economic development. I am here this morning to add my voice to all those who recognize that if redeveloped correctly, the armory could be a model of how development should be done, not just in the Bronx, not just in New York City but as a model for urban centers around the country. But it must be economic development that benefits not only the developer but also, and more importantly, the community.

The armory is a magnificent building in the heart of our community. But like

children.

so much of the Bronx, it has long been neglected.

The reconstruction of the armory represents an opportunity to all of us who live here. It is an opportunity to lift our community to new heights.

It represents the hope we all have for a better life for all of us, for our families and for our

However, we must take care that the reconstruction of the armory it enhances our community and does not harm it. To that end, we must make sure all of us involved in the armory redevelopment project make a commitment to the following: the project must provide living wage jobs for all the permanent employees working either for the developer or the retail tenants. These are jobs that can help sustain a family and provide health benefits. These are jobs that do not leave people in poverty. The armory center should become a living wage center in the heart of our community.

Unions have always been the best anti-poverty program in America. The armory redevelopment is projected to create over 1,000 jobs. These workers must have the right to

organize a union, free of threat and intimidation.

Third, the armory must provide ample community and recreational space. It must build transportation friendly and pedestrian friendly environment. It must have businesses that adds social and cultural value to our community.

The only way we can get everything that I have mentioned is to have an enforceable community benefits agreement. Without a signed community benefits agreement the community has no voice. That is why every official must vote no on this project until the goals that I have outlined have been incorporated into a community benefits agreement, signed by the community stakeholders and by the developer.

There is an old saying, there is no right way to do the wrong thing. The people, the northwest Bronx community can not afford for our elected officials to do the wrong thing. Unless we have a signed CBA, a yes vote would be the wrong way to go. On behalf of the people of the northwest Bronx do the right thing, vote no until we have a signed agreement. Thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: Thank you so

much and I'm sure you're looking forward to

sitting on the dais here. Stuart, why don't you

qo next.

STUART APPLEBAUM: Sure. Thank
you. Mr. Chair I'd like to thank you and the
members of the committee for giving us the
opportunity to testify today. I'm Stuart
Applebaum. I'm President of the Retail, Wholesale
and Department Store Union, UFCW and today I'm
joined by representatives of the Hotel Trades SCIU
32BJ, the New York City Central Labor Council who
will be testifying. And I am also joined by
Richard Farkus, the Vice President of the United
Federation of Teachers and Batal Manjura from
Local 1199 SCIU.

The RWDSU represents thousands of workers of the city's retail industry. And we are proud to be the voice of New York's retail workers. We in the RWDSU feel that it is our mission to help build the middle class in New York. But any project that creates permanent jobs that keep people in poverty does absolutely nothing to build the middle class or benefit the people of this city. In fact, it causes harm.

2.

That is why I'm here today, with
many of my colleagues and labor in the community,
to ask you to reject Related Companies plans to
redevelop the Kingsbridge Armory unless Related
agrees to the proposed community benefits
agreement. I am disappointed that the
administration was here this morning advocating
for Related's plan as it is. I was surprised that
the city would take this position, seeing that it
was the city that included language in the RFP for
both Kingsbridge and Willets Point that said "the
city will look with favor on a developer that
maximized living wage jobs".

I have to tell you I'm very disappointed that a representative of an administration headed by the richest man in New York comes out today, opposed to projects mandating living wages for New Yorkers. In other projects, the Council and the city has supported agreements that established neutrality for workers employed after the developments were completed.

When the Council recently approved the new MOMA, it was only after a no contest provision had been agreed to regarding the hotel

2.

component. When the Council voted favorably on
the Willets Point project, it too was only after
an agreement with hotel trades has been signed
with each of the potential hotel convention center
operators

CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: [interposing]

Stuart, could you sort of sum up because if you are the first person and you don't keep to the two minute level, nobody else is going to.

MR APPLEBAUM: Sorry, I guess I saw that Lieber spoke for 17 minutes. When the Council voted favorably on the Willets Point, as I said, it was only after an agreement was reached. The vote on the Kingsbridge Armory represents an extraordinary opportunity to expand these efforts and to clearly state what we expect from development. Your vote will send a clear message that the New York City Council is willing to stand up for the interests of working New Yorkers.

The community benefits agreement we have developed with the Bronx borough president would make sure that the redevelopment of the armory will benefit the people of the Bronx. It's a plan that should be put into place now. We can

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	not afford to wait to act until there is a
3	citywide policy regarding responsible development;
4	too many people are hurting not to act now.

There are some who would like you to believe that a living wage would undermine the armory project and cause businesses to look elsewhere--

CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: [interposing] Stuart, you're going to cause me to cut you off. Can you just sum up--

MR APPLEBAUM: [interposing] Fine, let me just read one thing. Ollie Koppell referred today to the New York Times article. in it, it says in every city in the country with living wage law developers claim that this will kill their project but they're just crying wolf and that's Professor Dyer from Occidental College in Los Angeles, who is an expert on wage issues.

And finally, finally, to my brothers and sisters from the building trades, I want you to know that we support building this armory with union labor and at least prevailing wages, just as we've supported prevailing wages being mandated in city projects. But we also

2	support	this pr	oject p	roviding	for	living	wages	at
3	the same	e time.	Thank	you very	much	ı.		

4 CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: Next speaker.

And I would ask any future speakers not to talk to the audience but to talk to the Council.

Dunford and I'm here today on behalf of the 30,000 hard working women and men of the New York Hotel
Trades Council. The new Kingsbridge Armory
presents the city with a marvelous opportunity to
provide benefits to the members of the community.
This project shouldn't just be about bringing
better retail options to the south Bronx. It
should be about good jobs, schools and space for
local community groups and non profits. It should
be about creating positive change in the lives of
families who live in the neighborhood surrounding
the armory.

When the city embarks on a development of this nature it must ensure that the optimal community benefit is achieved, provisions like living wage requirements and labor peace agreements, create good jobs and help projects like Kingsbridge Armory live up to their full

2.

potential. Living wage requirements and when the
city maintains proprietary interest, labor peace
agreements, will guarantee that the women and men
who work the permanent jobs at Kingsbridge Armory
will earn a good living and will be treated with
respect and dignity in their work place.

The New York Hotel Trades Council believes that the Kingsbridge Armory can provide the proper benefit to the people of the Bronx if living wage requirement provisions are utilized. We respectfully ask that these provisions be incorporated into the final Kingsbridge Armory plan via community benefits agreement. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: Thank you and I appreciate you keeping within the time.

SARA JOHNSON: Thank you to
Chairman Avella and the entire committee for
hearing my testimony. My name is Sara Johnson,
I'm here on behalf of Michael Fishman, the
President of SCIU 32 BJ. Our union represents
over 110,000 janitors, doorman, custodial workers,
porters and security officers including over
13,000 living in the Bronx.

Our position on the Kingsbridge

Armory development is no different than our position on any new city sponsored redevelopment project. We strongly believe that workers at any new project where developers are benefiting from tax breaks and other taxpayer funded incentives must be guaranteed good jobs with family sustaining wages and benefits.

Our government should not be in the business of creating poverty level jobs. That's why we're supporting the Kingsbridge Armory Redevelopment Alliance and it's also why we're supporting legislation introduced just yesterday to put in place a new citywide policy that would ensure good building service jobs that pay the prevailing wage created in these situations. This is a crucial first step towards ensuring that all jobs created by such projects are good, decent paying jobs.

In a struggling economy, creating jobs is vital but creating jobs that leave people in poverty is not the answer. We can not continue to give money to developers and just hope that they will do the right thing for workers and communities. Related, which received almost \$17

2.

everyone.

in tax breaks through the IDA program must commit
to quality jobs and to working with community
partners towards an armory that works for

Promising economic growth to the northwest Bronx or to any other New York City neighborhood without ensuring the creation of good jobs is an empty promise that will leave the neighborhood hurting. By negotiating in good faith to create a development that benefits the entire community and provides good jobs, Related can make the armory a project that all New Yorkers can admire. At the same time we strongly urge the City Council to insist on such guarantees as they consider what's best for Kingsbridge, the community and our economy, before approving a rezoning plan that falls short of the mark.

CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: Right on time. Next speaker.

SHARADA POVARK: Good afternoon.

My name is Sharada Povark and I'm going to read testimony today on behalf of Jack O'Hearn, the President of the New York City Central Labor Council.

2	CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: Move the mic
3	closer to you.
4	MS. POVARK: Good afternoon
5	honorable Chairperson, committee members and all
6	Council Members present here today. My name is
7	John T. O'Hearn, president of the New York City
8	Central Labor Council, a federation of 300
9	affiliated local unions representing over 1.3
10	million working men and women in and around New
11	York City.
12	The redevelopment of the
13	Kingsbridge
14	CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: [interposing]
15	Hold on one second. If you're moving around or
16	you have to step outside, please do it quietly.
17	Okay.
18	MS. POVARK: The redevelopment of
19	the Kingsbridge Armory is a tremendous task. If
20	done right, this project will be beneficial to
21	Kingsbridge Heights, the Bronx and the entire
22	city. It's a task that requires the input of all
23	parties. As the nation's largest regional labor
24	council, we represent working people across a wide
25	spectrum.

Our perspective encompasses the values, needs and experiences of thousands of teachers, truck drivers, operating engineers, nurses, construction workers, electricians, firefighters, retail workers, janitors, train operators, bankers and countless others. With their interests in mind, the executive board of the Central Labor Council recently passed a

resolution regarding this momentous project.

The resolution written in our commitment to workers' rights and social justice affirms labor support for development standards that ensure that permanent jobs created are living wage jobs. Developers and their tenants agree to union neutrality, guaranteeing the right of the employees to unionize without fear or intimidation. Development does not harm existing good jobs in the communities where it occurs. Development improves the community by creating new opportunities and benefits desired by the community and beneficial to its local residents. All projects are built with the requirement of the payment of the New York State prevailing wage and the application of the New York State certified

2 apprentice regulations.

The Central Labor Council urges the City Council to bear in mind these principles of good economic development as the Kingsbridge

Armory project moves forward. However, the above stipulations in our resolution are not limited to this one project, rather they are meant as guiding principles for economic development in this city. So in the future we might work together to create a stronger, more just and more prosperous city for all New Yorkers. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: Council Member Jackson has a question for the panel.

Thank you Mr. Chair, I appreciate the opportunity.

This is a hearing to hear from the public and I agree with you. But my question is, and it doesn't necessarily call for an answer now, but I ask especially the Central Labor Council. I read the statement on behalf of the Chair or the President and I was a little perplexed or confused because while we want prevailing wage jobs for construction and what have you and so forth.

My concern is the workers that

б

after this project is built and it's beautiful and
it's looking great, whether or not the Central
Labor Council, wants this project to go forward
knowing that there will not be a guarantee for
employees that work there that will earn less than
the federal poverty level. And that's an issue
and concern that I have. And so I ask you to take
that back to the Central Labor Council, do they
support moving forward on this project when
there's no guarantee that the employees that will
work there after its built will be earning less
than the minimum poverty level for 2008. And
that's a question that I ask for the entire
Central Labor Council, thank you.

COUNSEL: Lou Coletti, Gary

LaBarbara, Shannon Kantu, Greg Gonzalez and Paul

Fernandez. Paul Houdini.

LOU COLETTI: Good morning Mr.

Chairman and members of the committee. My name is

Lou Coletti and I'm President of the Building

Trades Employers Association, an organization

representing 28 trade associations and 1,700 union

contractors in New York City. We strongly urge

you to approve the application to renovate and

build the Kingsbridge Armory project in the Bronx.

While we believe the issue of creating jobs that pay a living wage is one of the most important issues facing New York City and in fact our nation today, in our opinion it would be bad public policy to hold this or any other project hostage to that effort. To do so would have a chilling effect on any and all future economic development in our city. It would result in a further deepening of the economic crisis we are already in and it would increase unemployment, reduce tax revenues desperately needed to pay for basic city services such as police, fire, sanitation and education.

This project deserves to approved for three basic reasons. First it's a project that will create 1,000 good living wage union construction jobs in an industry that is suffering badly today. Today we have an unemployment rate of over 25% for both the contractors I represent and the building trade members that we work with.

Today, there are over 500 construction sites in this city that are stalled and vacant throughout the five boroughs of our

city, 24 of which are in the Bronx. The

contractors I represent have not only laid off

employees, they have frozen and reduced wages,

stopped making contributions to their employees'

401k plans, require their employees to pay more

for their health care and reduce or eliminated any

profit margins just to keep the doors of their

business open.

Organized labor has also shared in the economic pain and I'm sure you'll hear from that in a couple of minutes. I also serve as Chairman of an organization called Construction Skills, which gives preference to New York City high school graduates interested in obtaining union apprentice jobs. Over the last several years, we have placed over 1,000 New York City residents in these jobs. 87% of which are African American, Latino and women, 30% of whom live in the Bronx.

With economic development coming to a virtual halt in this city, with unemployment expected to reach 50% in the building trades by this June, where will they work if this and other projects are held hostage to a public policy

б

debate that has absolutely nothing to do with its construction.

In conclusion, the practice of requiring a developer to enter into a community benefits agreement in order to advance a project has gone far beyond what is economically and realistically feasible given the realities of the world we now live in. As a policy making body of this great city, we strongly urge you to approve this project. Please, do not, do not hold this or any other project hostage for a well intentioned public policy that would condemn the future economic and job growth in this city to stagnation and reduce the quality of life for the community which the project is designed to serve. Thank you.

SHANNON KANTU: Good afternoon

Council Member Avella and members of the Zoning

and Franchise sub committee and Land Use

committee. I'm Shannon Kantu and I am the Center

Manager for the Bronx Workforce One Career Center,

managed by the Department of Small Business

Services located at the Hub in the Bronx on 149th

Street. Thank you for the opportunity to testify

2 at today's hearing.

The Workforce One Career Center

offers employment and preparation services to job

seekers and recruitment services to business

customers throughout the five boroughs. I'm here

today to share with the committee information on

our services and our track record at the Bronx

Workforce One Center, which is one of nine

Workforce One Career Centers in New York.

Over the past five years these
Workforce One Career Centers have placed New
Yorkers in over 80,000 jobs. The centers are
currently on pace to make over 20,000 job
placements in 2009, a 40% increase over last year.
At the Bronx Workforce One Career Center, over the
past 12 months we have had over 75,000 visits from
job seekers and have enrolled nearly 40,000 unique
job seekers in our services.

The demographics of the job seekers coming to our centers largely reflects the surrounding community. That is to say, 67% of customers are under 40 years of age and 25% are under 25 years of age. 35% are Hispanic, 35% are Black, 38% have some college or more, 37% have a

2 high school diploma or a GED and 25% do not have a high school diploma or a GED.

We source business opportunities in a variety of targeted sectors including health care, retail, administrative support and professional services in the Bronx. In the past 12 months the Center has made over 4,800 job placements which is an increase of 86% from the prior 12 months. In the retail sector in particular, we place job seekers in positions at every level of the business and have had tremendous success in accessing higher wage, supervisory and non-entry level positions.

Depot and Best Buy, we have been particularly successful in accessing opportunities paying over \$15 per hour. In the period between July and September we were able to place over 200 individuals in higher wage jobs, largely in the retail sector. Over the past few months we've worked hard to help staff businesses within the Bronx Terminal Market, helping Home Depot and Target in particular hire hundreds of workers in both entry level and management positions.

The Bronx Workforce One Career

Center is a free resource available to any job

seeker or business looking for recruitment

assistance and the center is open Monday through

Friday with late evenings, Tuesday, Wednesday and

Thursday and the first and third Saturday of every

month. We're proud to serve the residents and

businesses in the Bronx and are happy to answer

any questions from the committee.

GREG GONZALEZ: Good afternoon Mr. Chairman and fellow Councilman, hello I'm Greg Gonzalez, Assistant Treasurer of the Board of the Bronx Chamber of Commerce. I'm here representing our President and CEO, Lenny Caro. I am going to read a letter that Lenny sent, I believe, a week or so ago to all members of the City Council.

I'm writing in support of the

Related Companies project to redevelop the

Kingsbridge Armory in the Bronx. The Bronx

Chamber of Commerce has witnessed first hand

Related's remarkable development of its Bronx

Terminal Market by seeking to hire local vendors

and MWBEs. Through Related's efforts, Bronx

residents will afford it an opportunity to bid and

2.

б

work on the Bronx Terminal Market project, which
created 2,900 union construction jobs and 2,100
permanent jobs; 60% to 70% of these jobs were
filled by Bronxites.

Our organization hopes to have a similar opportunity to work with Related again on the Kingsbridge Armory project, which is slated to create 1,000 union construction jobs and approximately 1,200 permanent jobs. I urge you all to approve Related's project for the Kingsbridge Armory, which truly impels and supports job growth. In this economic climate, we need to work now more than ever with formidable developers like the Related Companies. Thank you for your consideration and support.

PAUL FERNANDEZ: Good afternoon Mr. Chairman, Madam Chairwoman, members of the sub committee. My name is Paul Fernandez, I'm the Chief of Staff of the Building and Construction Trades Council of Greater New York, an organization consisting of local affiliates of 15 national and international unions representing 100,000 members in New York City.

We're here to testify in support of

Land Use applications before the City Council that are necessary to proceed with the development of the Kingsbridge Armory. This \$310 million project will create more than 1,000 union construction jobs with good wages, health insurance and pension benefits. It will provide economic opportunity to members of our industry, including Bronx residents at a time when few similarly large projects are advancing in the borough or elsewhere in the city.

According to the current employment statistics survey, construction employment in New York City declined by 11,500 jobs from September 2008 to 2009. The many industry experts and economists expect this trend to continue if not worsen in 2010. We have a particular obligation to our members under these difficult circumstances to support the creation of good construction jobs when we can and ask the Council to join us in doing so.

A vote against this project will not create any jobs but it will kill the project in it entirety and kill more than 1,000 full year construction jobs for members of our affiliated unions. In urging the Council to support this

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

project we are aware that there is opposition to it unless certain conditions are met. We are working and have been working to the best of our ability to have the concerns of other labor organizations and constituencies addressed, including living wage provisions and other concerns of the RWDSU and other affiliated unions of the CLC.

This dynamic is not a new one and it is especially dismaying to us because it results from the lack of any standard policy on how development proceeds in this city, including labor conditions when project receive city funding or assistance on a discretionary basis or when property is being disposed and the city holds a proprietary interest. The lack of a standard policy, as anyone who has gone through these proceedings before knows, has brought an absurd level of unpredictability to projects. It often results in unanticipated conditions that constrain their feasibility and competitive disadvantages for one project over another that are not only hurting business, they're hurting working men and women and taxpayers and other citizens of this

1	SUB COMMITTEE ON ZONING 145
2	city everyday.
3	We therefore call the
4	administration and Council to adopt a standard
5	policy on such matters to correct these problems.
6	We can not, unfortunately, have such a policy
7	change the position we're in regarding Kingsbridge
8	Armory but we can prevent it from occurring again.
9	Thank you.
10	CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: Thank you.
11	The next panel will be a panel in opposition.
12	COUNSEL: Bettina Damiani, Desiree
13	Pilgrim-Hunter, Arcille Lou Wilson Scott, Louise
14	Almanazar and Gina Ortiz.
15	CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: We are missing
16	a couple of people so we'll go
17	COUNSEL: John Rosinkowski.
18	CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: John are you
19	here?
20	COUNSEL: And Frank Farkus.
21	CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: Obviously
22	nobody should come up to the panel until their
23	name is called. So, even if you may be partnering
24	with somebody else, you should let us know that

people that are being called that somebody just
walks up to the panel and I've noticed this
already twice on both sides. So let's try and
keep it fair. After this panel, we are going to
have to break so I'll have some special
instructions after this panel is finished.

JOHN ROSINKOWSKI: Good morning.

I'm John Rosinkowski, a Morton Williams customer of 14 years. It's very important to annunciate the consequences of a subsidized supermarket destroying Morton Williams. Many of you in the Council have cajoled businesses to be socially responsible. For 57 years the Sloan family of Morton Williams has provided high quality merchandise and union jobs with full health benefits to Bronx residents - on their own? Could any of you vote against their enterprise? I don't think so but you will unless you exclude a supermarket from the armory.

All of you have extolled the values of education. The young women of Morton Williams don't have to wish and hand it on a silver platter. They must work their way through college. The Morton Williams flexible schedules

and on the job train give them a critical helping
hand to achieve their dreams. Would any of you
vote to deny these young women an opportunity for
a college education? Certainly not but you will
unless you exclude a supermarket from the armory.

During the campaign many of you lamented about the sparcity of health clinics in this city. 60,000 square feet, what an opportunity to redeem your campaign promises in an area that desperately needs a health clinic.

Besides the clinic would draw more people into the complex which would please those poor souls for whom the - - profits provide the ultimately fulfillment.

By excluding a supermarket from the armory you will stand with the thousands upon thousands who signed Morton Williams' petition of support. Morton Williams cares about the Bronx and we want Morton Williams to stay. Thank you very much.

BETTINA DAMIANI: Good afternoon.

My name is Bettina Damiani and I direct Good Jobs

New York. We keep track of how the city allocates
economic development subsidies--

24

25

_	BOD COMMITTEE ON ZONING 110
2	CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: [interposing]
3	Is the button on?
4	MS. DAMIANI: It's on. And we keep
5	track of how the city allocates economic
6	development subsidies. I've spoken before this
7	body several times including large projects in the
8	Bronx, including Yankee Stadium which we spent
9	several years researching and advocating that
10	exactly what's happening today happened at Yankee
11	Stadium, which is leverage public subsidies for
12	good jobs.
13	As much as the opponents to this in
14	the administration might try to make it look like
15	this is some kind of new trend. There are 43
16	states in the country that leverage public
17	subsidies to direct wage standards, good jobs and
18	health benefits. Of them, Texas, New Jersey,
19	Maryland, Pennsylvania, Mississippi and Alabama
20	expect good jobs from companies that get tax
21	breaks.
22	You guys, as much as I would love

to say we are trendsetters in New York and we are

on many, many things. I can tell you, leveraging

public subsidies for good jobs is not one of them.

This is the opportunity for you to change the
course of how we invest in good jobs in New York.
There have been many opportunities for that to
happen and I won't rehash the past. I want to
look forward and set new standards and now you can
do that.

The reason why this is happening is because you have a strong community that has spent years advocating for a true democratic planning process. Don't insult true democratic planning opportunities when people have come here and have learned about the process and have engaged you. Your constituents deserve more. Taxpayers should expect more too. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: Thank you.

FRANK FARKUS: Good afternoon. My name is Frank Farkus. I represent the Northwest Bronx for Change, formerly Northwest Bronx

ProBama. I just wanted to thank the members of the committee, the borough president and the Speaker for their expressions of support for the idea of a living wage for the people of the Bronx.

We see the battle by the Kingsbridge community to obtain a community

benefits agreement with a living wage provision as part of the overall fight to advance the Obama administration's agenda of social and economic justice and equal opportunity for all Americans.

We salute KARA for making the living wage the heart of its proposed community benefit agreement.

A community benefit agreement that incorporates living wage concept is one that holds out the prospect for working families of the Bronx, of escaping poverty without having to work two and three jobs and to double up in order to keep a roof over their heads.

KARA is not asking for pie in the sky. The residents of the Bronx simply want the chance to earn \$10 an hour. Is that too much to ask in a city where one of the highest costs of living in the United States. Related Companies seems to think so but is it offering to pay the cost of food stamps and Medicaid to workers left to the tender mercies of federal national retail corporation to work for \$7 part time with no benefits.

Will the Bronx get any of this reasonable demands without a community benefit

agreement: Don't Count on it. If Related
intended to voluntarily honor the wishes of the
community, why would it refuse to meet with KARA.
We know for a fact that Related belittles, scuffs
at the idea of jobs and living wages as
unfeasible. To the contrary, jobs at anything
less than living wages are unfeasible for the
working families of the Bronx.

what will the community get in return for the millions of dollars of public subsidies? They will get, as it now stands, a chrome plated temple to consumption penetration by a super profitable national corporations. We urge you, City Council Members to avert this nightmare. Put a stop to redevelopment of the armory by a corporate fiat. Please, vote no and yes, vote for, as Council Member Koppell has suggested, to make this the 201st city to pass a living wage law. First make Related come to the bargaining table and sign a binding community benefit agreement with KARA. The Bronx deserves no less.

ARCILLE LOU WILSON SCOTT: Good afternoon. My name is Arcille Lou Wilson Scott.

I'm on the Board of Directors of Fordham Hills. I

б

represent over 4,000 people in Fordham Hills and
many of us are seniors. We are having lot of
traffic problems already and we can't imagine how
much traffic problems there will be.

Also, the contamination of materials coming through the Bronx to get to the Major Deagan. Also, I would like to say I think more schools should be put in that building and a YMCA and WCA. Thank you.

DESIRESS PILGRIM-HUNTER: Good afternoon. My name is Desiree Pilgrim-Hunter. I am a 24 year resident of the Bronx. I'm also a proud KARA member, Kingsbridge Armory Redevelopment Alliance. I'm also the President of the Fordham Hill, which is the largest privately owned cooperative apartments in the Bronx. And I am responsible as well for the 4,000 people that live there in addition to being concerned for all of the folks that live surrounding Fordham Hill.

As RC has talked about the traffic, I'm a little more concerned about this tale of two cities. I live in the Bronx. I'm concerned about everybody that lives in the Bronx. I am a little angry that it seems that it's okay for living

2	wages for the trades but it's not okay for the
3	folks who have lived in the Bronx all of their
4	lives. I find that offensive.
5	I also find it offensive that
6	Deputy Mayor Lieber has said that Related has been
7	working with the community.
8	CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: Please be
9	quiet.
LO	MS. PILGRIM-HUNTER: We aren't
11	fooled by Deputy Mayor's assertion that he's
12	giving us misinformation. We believe that the
L3	armory is the crown jewel of the Bronx and it
L4	deserves to be created the way that the residents
L5	of the Bronx need it for what we call working the
L6	community. How is he working with the community?

Related has refused to come and sit down and negotiate with the Northwest Bronx, with the KARA members, with the community stakeholders. If they're capturing or want to capture 40%, which they said is \$2.8 billion of spending, why can't Related pay a living wage?

As CBA he says it's not a good tool. How do we compel the developers to make sure that we get community benefits? We have

25

2	conflicting visions. We want the armory center
3	where there are community services, where there
4	are jobs created that are living wage with
5	benefits. How are we going to be able to shop at
6	the Shops at the Armory if we're only getting
7	1,200 part time low wage no benefit jobs. 30 hour
8	equivalent is not a full time job and can not
9	support our families.
10	We are looking for this armory to
11	be an economic stimulus and that is not what this
12	proposal is saying. We are asking you
13	CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: [interposing]
14	Please sum up.
15	MS. PILGRIM-HUNTER:to vote no.
16	CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: Okay. Thank
17	you.
18	MS. PILGRIM-HUNTER: We are asking
19	you to vote no until there is a binding community
20	benefits agreement and the Bronx needs to be
21	respected. We work hard and it is not fair that a
22	multi-million dollar developer, billion dollar
23	developer is coming in and telling us what to do.

We are the community and we deserve to be heard

and we are being ignored. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: Thank you. I
do apologize for this. I don't think I've ever
had to do this as Chairman of the committee in
eight years. But because of the building's
condition, we will have to move this hearing
across the street. It is also the hearing that's
going to happen here at 1:00, just so you know,
it's just as an equally important piece of
legislation. It is actually the paid sick leave
for businesses throughout the entire city.

What I'd like to do, and I usually do this when the hearing goes on for a long time. Anybody who wishes to get up, say their name and say they're in support or opposition. That can go into the record. We can do that right now. We have a portable mic. That will save you the opportunity of having to go to 250 Broadway and wait again. If you want to do that, that's fine. If you don't.

After we do this I will then ask you to go over to the Sergeant at Arms desk. He will give you a slip that will allow you to go through security for 250. The hearing will be reconvened on the 16th floor. Now, depending upon

2	how many people come over, there may be a bunch
3	that goes in the first room and then there's, I
4	guess, the auxiliary room, which we'll have to put
5	people in. Right, you won't be able to hear.
6	So I do apologize for this but
7	there's no way around this. This is not the way I
8	would have conducted the hearing in the first
9	place, but this is the reality of the situation.
10	Okay, go ahead.
11	Well first, if there is anybody who
12	wishes to say right now, rather than to have to go
13	over to 250 whether they're in support or
14	opposition, state their name, which will go on the
15	record just like if you testified. The Sergeant
16	at Arms will
17	REVEREND BRENDA BARRY: Good
18	afternoon. My name is Reverend Brenda Barry. I'm
19	the Pastor of University Heights Presbyterian
20	Church in the Bronx. And I'm a member of the
21	Northwest Bronx
22	CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: [interposing]
23	No, no speeches
24	REVEREND BARRY: No speeches.
25	CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: This is just

2	we're trying toyour name and whether you're in
3	support or in opposition. I know you want to get
4	the speech in but we're not doing that.
5	REVEREND BARRY: I'm in opposition
6	unless and until we get a CBA signed. Thank you.
7	RAVEN GARCIA: My name is Raven
8	Garcia and I support this project.
9	RAMONA SANTANA: My name is Ramona
10	Santana. I represent the Housing Committee and I
11	don't support this project.
12	CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: That was a
13	very good try.
14	MICHAEL GARY: My name is Michael
15	Gary of Northwest Bronx for Change and I'm in
16	opposition. Thank you.
17	ADDIE BANKS: My name is Addie
18	Banks and I'm a member of the Pastoral team at
19	Kingdom Glory Tabernacle and the Northwest Bronx
20	Community Clergy Coalition and I don't support the
21	manufacturing of poverty and economic injustice in
22	our community.
23	KATHY DOWD: I'm Kathy Dowd and I'm
24	in opposition to the project unless a CBA is
25	signed.

McClain. I support the proposal.

25

Sephram Georgia. I live in the Bronx. I don't

25

1	SUB COMMITTEE ON ZONING 161		
2	support the project without the CBA.		
3	SHAMELL ROSMANN: My name is		
4	Shamell Rosmann. I'm a member of St. James		
5	Church, which is just down the block from the		
6	armory. I don't support the project without the		
7	CBA.		
8	LOUIS LOSADA: My name is Louis		
9	Losada. I don't support the project.		
10	VERONICA ORE: I'm Veronica Ore and		
11	I don't support the project.		
12	RAMON VALERA: Ramon Valera, I		
13	don't support the part.		
14	SARA LYNN: Hi, my name is Sara		
15	Lynn and I don't support the project.		
16	PEGGY SMITH: My name is Peggy		
17	Smith and I do not support the project.		
18	RAYMOND NATALI: My name is Raymond		
19	Natali and I do not support the project.		
20	DANA CHARLES: My name is Dana		
21	Charles and I don't support this project.		
22	ORELIS MARDINARO: My name is		
23	Orelis Mardinaro and I don't support the project.		
24	JESSICA LEGGIN: My name is Jessica		
25	Leggin. I don't support the project.		

PAUL LEDIDI: My name is Paul

25

Ledidi and I'm a business agent for Teamsters,

President of the Bronx Board of Business Agents

and a member of KARA. I urge the City Council to

go forward with the project to include a living

wage and then also--they've given me permission.

Also to have some schools built in the process.

CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: Yes or no, just yes or no. Anybody else? All right. Please see the Sergeant at Arms for those that want to go across the street and testify and we'll see you on the 16th floor.

## [pause]

reconvening the meeting from City Hall Council
Chambers to 250, the 16<sup>th</sup> floor. I certainly
appreciate everybody's cooperation. It was not
what we would have liked to have happen. What
we'll do is since it looks like we've got almost
everybody in the room that's coming across, we'll
read off panels in opposition, panels in support.
We may read some of the names of the people who
stated their name for the record. In that case,
if you're not here, you're not here. And you'll
be asked to testify over here. Next will be a

б

2 panel in support.

3 COUNSEL: It's a panel in favor.

4 James McNeal, Jose Velasquez, James Giorgio, Jr.,

5 Robledo Ibar, Maria Rios, Luis Martinez.

[pause]

CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: I think we just got three. There's only three. You can go ahead.

York City Council, my name is James McNeal, I'm
District Manager from Allied Barton Security
Services. Allied Barton Security knows from first
hand experience that Related is a responsible
developer that did the right thing for the Bronx
community at the Bronx Terminal Market by seeking
to hire local vendors and MWBEs. Through
Related's efforts, our company got an opportunity
to bid and now provides ongoing security service
to the Bronx Terminal Market.

Allied Barton Security Services

currently employs 47 security officers at the BTM,

75% of who are Bronx residents. Overall, Allied

Barton Security Services has 874 Bronx resident

employees. And last year paid over \$14 million in

wages directly into the Bronx community. Not	only
is the opportunity for jobs being created by	
Related for Bronx residents, services these	
projects create also are a huge benefit to our	<u>c</u>
Prony regident employees	

We look forward to having some more opportunity to work with Related again on the Kingsbridge Armory and we urge you to approve Related's proposal for Kingsbridge Armory because in this economic environment, the opportunity is being provided from good developers like Related for a local economic stimulus package that is right for the people of the Bronx.

I did just want to add that the security officers that we have working at the Bronx Terminal Market do make over the \$10 wage and that's without any kind of rules or guidance. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: Thank you.

: Thank you Mr. Chair and thank
you Councilmen and Council ladies for the
opportunity to talk to you. I sat here and I
listened to many speakers with passion. But
you're looking at a Bronx man who has made the

middle class. I came here when I was1'm 63
years old, by the way. I moved to $174^{\rm th}$ Street and
Washington Avenue before the Cross Bronx
Expressway. I played in the borough. I went to
P.S. 58, 118 junior high and I graduated from
Dealen Clinton.

at that time, before open enrollment that's the only thing my parents could afford. My mother was working in the garment industry. My father's best job was the driver for Bostello Coffee. He used to be there, right at the Bronx. I had to go to these services and I'm a Vietnam veteran. I work hard.

I could just tell you that now I'm the owner and founder of Trilan Contracting, which is considered one of the largest minority contractors in this city. It hurts me, it hurts me when I have to lay off my workers. I have employed 70% of my workers are Hispanics and Blacks. And when I have to give them a layoff check, I don't know what to say.

Thank God for Related. I got the opportunity at the Hub, no requirements, I did

б

2	that job. I got opportunities thanks to Related	а.
3	Youngwell, we're working at Youngwell now, we're	е
4	working at Conway, Staples, thanks to Related.	

5 They give us good opportunities.

happen to agree with the minimum wage or living wage, whatever it is but I think this is the wrong forum. I happen to agree that this must happen but not at this Bronx. This is not the place to draw the line. This is the place to begin. We need to take this to a state level, where it's a state requirement, where there is a level playing field. I strongly urge you to support this because we need to put the statement, not here at the Bronx because it's going to hurt the Bronx.

I want you to just fully understand, this is business. I will sum up. But if you think of a Staples, go after them. They're the ones, not to Related, it's the tenants. Make this a level playing field; don't kill this project please. I want to hire my men back. Thank you.

MARIA RIOS: Good afternoon Chair and members of the New York City Council. My name

is Maria Rios and I am the President of Classico
Building Maintenance. We are a certified minority
or women owned business located in the Bronx with
over 25 years experience. I am here before you in
support of the Related Companies proposal to
redevelop the Kingsbridge Armory project in the
Bronx.

Our company knows first hand that
Related is an excellent developer and has
demonstrated its ability to hire local vendors and
MWBEs on its Bronx Terminal Market and Bronx Hub
projects, which I am working on. Through
Related's efforts, our company was afforded the
opportunity to bid and work on both these
projects. We were able to employ Bronx residents
as well, which have also benefited from Related's
commitment to Bronx firms.

We urge you to approve Related's proposal for the Kingsbridge Armory. In these tough economic times, we need the work more than ever from good developers like the Related Companies. We look forward to having the same, similar opportunities to bid for additional work with Related again at the Kingsbridge Armory.

Thank you for your consideration.

CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: Next panel

will be a panel in opposition.

COUNSEL: Louise Almanazar, Marvin

Mitzner, Brian Ketcham, Robert Kohls.

[pause]

COUNSEL: Abi Kayer.

MARVEN MITZNER: Good afternoon

Chairman Avella, members of the sub committee. My
name is Marven Mitzner. I am Land Use counsel for

Morton Williams Supermarket and I am here to voice
our strong opposition to the inclusion of a

supermarket or big lot supermarket inside the
armory if in fact the armory is approved.

With me today is Brian Ketcham to my left, who is our traffic consultant and he will indicate and testify as to the devastating impact, in particular, a supermarket use would have within the armory and the surrounding areas. Also to my further left is Robert Kohls, who is our economic consultant and he will testify as to the devastating impact upon the 14 existing supermarkets and the hundreds of bodegas in the area that a new supermarket in the armory would

2 have.

I'd just like to point out a few things. I know there's been testimony before. I know Council Member Felder mentioned the term endeavor as part of the requirement of the RFP. But the RFP, which forms a predicate for what can be included in the armory proposal has stronger language at the outset where it sets forth certain goals that all respondents must comply with and must address.

One of those goals, and I'll read it, I'll quote it. It says "serve existing and underserved retail commercial markets while not directly competing with existing businesses in the community." That's a clear requirement in the RFP and a goal that any respondent has to address.

As Mr. Kohls will report, which we'll hand to you, will clearly show, the introduction of a supermarket in the armory will have a devastating effect and will directly compete with not just the Morton Williams supermarket but other supermarkets and other bodegas and food markets in the area. I think that's an important consideration for the Council.

One other quick point is that there's been reference made to the community board. That's a quick clock. There's a reference made to the--seems that way. There's a reference made to the community board vote. I was at the community board meetings and I will tell you that their concern was mostly fresh foods and organic foods and the introduction of that into this area of the Bronx.

And in fact, Morton Williams upon hearing that has been very responsive and has turned over a large part of its supermarket to fresh foods and organic foods, in keeping with the Fresh Food legislation. I just want to make that point.

Finally, I'd like to say that at the City Planning Commission hearings, the vote was six in favor, four opposed and one abstention, which means it passed by only one vote. Of the six that voted in favor, three commissioners although favoring the project made statements clearly, clearly against having a supermarket or encouraging Related to not have a supermarket at this location.

б

I think all those factors and the testimony you've heard today play into our strong insistence that anything that's approved for the armory should not include a supermarket. Thank you.

BRIAN KETCHAM: Okay, again my name is Brian Ketcham. I'm a traffic engineer and I'm going to focus on traffic. I'm also here representing the Kingsbridge Armory Redevelopment Association. You have my statement. I'm going to summarize it to keep it within two minutes.

Two months ago the City Planning

Commission held hearings on this project. Dozens

of people from the community testified raising

serious issues; they're listed here. They were

totally ignored. Not one letter, not one letter

was changed from the FEIS and the traffic

analysis.

Since early September we have been asking EDC and the Related Companies to remedy these deficiencies by using an interactive model which graphically simulates actual traffic behavior and responds to changing conditions.

They refused this. Well, three weeks ago we

2	initiated such a study and now we have completed
3	that work and we're releasing that to the City
4	Council right now. You have a copy of the report.

It underscores the community's worst fears. The report confirms our contention that the crude method used in the FEIS misrepresents the severity and extent of traffic impact. I'll just summarize the consequences. For weekdays in the PM peak hour, we found that traffic increased by 9% in the study area. In other words they were using FEIS as data now and by 7% near the project, which is logical given that the number of cars it attracts.

However, the consequence was much greater congestion. Congestion increased by 75%, 75% in the study area and by 143% near the project, suggesting severe project impacts compared to normal conditions. For the Saturday mid peak hour, which would also analyzed, congestion increased by 83% for the study area and by 128% near the project site. Again, a consequence of the project trips concentrated at the site and because Saturday mid day trip generations 50% greater than the pm peak hour on

weekdays.

These are huge impacts that are not even hinted at in the FEIS. Our study provides a lot more detail along with pictures comparing noble build conditions showing the dramatic effects of armory traffic along Kingsbridge Road and around the project site. And we would be happy to visit any of you and show you the simulation of the traffic consequence of this project. This concealment of major impact is grounds alone for rejecting this project. Thank you.

ROBERT KOHLS: My name is Robert
Kohls and I'm principal in Robert Kohls, LLC. We
are economic and real estate consultants. We did
an analysis of the impact of a potential
supermarket at the Kingsbridge Armory. I think we
all have copies of it. I will try and summarize
it.

We talked to the owners and the operators of the area supermarkets and virtually none of them serve an area more than one half mile in diameter. So that within three-quarters of a mile of the site, there are 14 supermarkets that

serve that area. Those 14 supermarkets have an available amount of money from all the people that live within that three-quarter of a mile area to shake them upside down, what falls out of their pocket to spend in food stores are only \$150 million.

The proposed, if it happens, 60,000 square foot supermarket at the Kingsbridge Armory could do between \$55 million to \$65 million of that money and there is no where for it to come out of but other than the existing supermarkets.

We know that the existing supermarkets and over 250 bodegas within that area currently produce about \$135 million worth of sales out of \$150 million.

The joke around our office is, this is a location you may drive to only once. Because there is so little parking and traffic congestion is so heavy that it is unlikely that people will be driving from a long distance away. They, in essence, will be serving the same people that are currently served by these 14 significant supermarkets with fresh food and the several hundred small delis and bodegas that are

2	independent	entrepreneurs.

So that while the city as a whole may in fact need additional supermarkets, this is a particular area that is very well served by a number of very quality supermarkets.

CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: Council Member Sears has a question.

COUNCIL MEMBER SEARS: What is the square footage of Morton?

MR. KOHLS: They have two stores.

They are approximately a third of a mile from each other. One is about 30,000 square feet and the other is about 22,500.

COUNCIL MEMBER SEARS: So one supermarket might almost be a combination of both of those stores.

MR. KOHLS: That is correct. As they are located, the one store is located only a couple of hundred feet from the proposed armory redevelopment. And the other is approximately a quarter to a third of a mile south.

COUNCIL MEMBER SEARS: And is this a food market, not serving quality food. I think they do; I've seen the little disk on it. But we

Torres, Ruben Thomas, Mark Rodriguez, Rohan Defretes, Michael Arroyo.

24

25

LOUIS MARTINEZ: Hello. My name is

person.

Louis Martinez and I'm a 20 year Bronx resident.
I've been in the construction industry for 21
years. In 1988 I started my career in the
construction industry with the help of Positive
Workforce. Throughout the years I've worked on
major projects within the city, allowing me to

become a union member.

Fortunately, through the efforts of the Related Companies I was placed with Plaza

Construction at the newly constructed Gateway

Center Mall not only as a trade worker. I was given the position of a field superintendent, a position which is rarely given to a community

Through the duration of the project, I was able to enhance my knowledge and education by successfully completing project management courses and obtaining a certificate at New York University. My duties involve managing one of the five buildings directed at the project which was a good opportunity to experience a management level position at such great magnitude. I am a success story of Related's efforts, ensuring community participation on their

developments, this is one of the many reasons why

I support the Shops at the Armory.

Related's efforts have proven that developing the Kingsbridge Armory will continue to provide needed opportunities for many that without this development would have little or no opportunity at all. I urge this committee to vote in favor of this widely anticipated project for the community. Thank you.

MICHAEL ARROYO: Good afternoon
members of the New York City Council, my name is
Michael Arroyo, Bronx resident and Senior Project
Manager of Crescent Consulting. And we fully
support the Related Companies proposal to
redevelop the Kingsbridge Armory in the Bronx.
The Related Companies has been the builder of many
New York City icons over the years. Not only are
they professionalism, innovations and
coordination, Related has been a great supporter
of MWBE community through providing opportunities,
training, mentorship and hands on experience.

Our company knows first hand that Related is an excellent developer. And has continued its community initiatives at the Bronx

supermarket by seeking to hire local vendors,		
MWBEs and Bronx residents to work on the project.		
Crescent Consulting has extensive experience		
working with Related and providing MWBEs and		
employee oversight on private and public sector		
construction projects which include, but are not		
limited to, the Bronx Terminal Market, Gateway		
Retail Centers in Brooklyn, the Hub, 42nd and 10th		
Avenue and 450 West 17th Street projects.		

To date, Crescent and Related have worked on numerous projects together and Crescent has worked jointly with Related and their project design teams, their trade contractors, community organizations to conduct outreach and implement effective measures of communication with local area businesses and residents at each of these project locations.

Based on Related's extensive record of innovation, coordination, high ethical standards and support for the MWBE community throughout the years, it is safe to say that Related services would be highly recommended on this project. Thank you.

RUBEN THOMAS: Good afternoon

ladies and gentlemen. My name is Ruben Thomas and I am a Bronx resident. When construction began at the Bronx Terminal Market, I visited the site to see what, if any, opportunities were available for people from the community.

Because of Positive Workforce and the Related Companies I was able to get a job with Plaza Construction as a local 79 masonry. I worked on that project for two years and it made me proud to be a worker on site who live directly in the neighborhood I work in. Because the Related Companies afforded me this opportunity I was able to accomplish my dream. I now own a home for me and my family. If not for the development of the Bronx Terminal Market, it probably wouldn't have happened for me so swiftly.

I am one example of many community workers that worked alongside me on the development of the Bronx Terminal Market. I urge this committee to support this very important project. I look forward for the development of the Shops in the Armory and all the opportunities it will provide to me and to the members of my community. Thank you.

2	CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: Before the
3	next panel is called, I would ask those that have
4	spoken to go into the other room, which you can
5	hear what's going on because we apparently have 25
6	other people in the ancillary room. So we're
7	calling them in here. So if you've spoken, please
8	go into the other room. You can still hear what's
9	going on.
LO	COUNSEL: Avie Kaner, Valerie
11	Sloan, Kelia Amparo and Elizabeth Thompson.
12	: Thank you Chairman Avella,
L3	members of the City Council. My name is Avie
L4	Kaner, I'm one of the owners of Morton Williams
15	Supermarkets. I've given each of you one of these
L6	folders. On the cover of the folder is a photo.
L7	They say a picture is worth a thousand words.
L8	This is our headquarters right across the street
19	from the armory. A nine year old boy can through
20	a baseball from here to the armory. Okay?
21	We have 12 modern supermarkets, 10
22	are in New York City, 2 are in the Bronx,
23	including this one right across the street. The
24	one across the street is our headquarters; it's

our hiring office. We've been there for over a

nali a century. We stayed when everyone else
fled. We have over 750 employees, full time
employees, with union benefits, health insurance,
dental, pension, etc. Over half of the employees
live in the Kingsbridge area of the Bronx. Every
single year \$13.6 million goes directly to
residents of the Kingsbridge area of the Bronx.
So in a ten year period, that's \$136 million.

In here you'll actually see a copy of the RFP, which specifically says that the developer should endeavor to not put in competitive use. Suddenly after Related was awarded the contract, it changed the rules of the game. The rules of the game are in here, too. In testimony earlier, Related said the reason they put it in was because community board. That is false. It was in the draft EIS even before any community board hearings. It's simply not true.

Another falsity is that the community board wanted a 60,000 square foot supermarket. That is false. They did not ask for a 60,000 square foot Pathmark, giant store. They asked for a store that has some more organic items. In listening to community board 7, we've

2	actually implemented. We have over 200 new
3	organic items and they're starting to catch on.
4	Just in conclusion, in here you

have the rest of what I was going to tell you.

You have a letter signed by the entire unanimous delegation, the Bronx City Council delegation supporting us against having a supermarket. You have a letter from the Bronx Borough President, Ruben Diaz, Jr. as well. You also have copies.

We got 12,000 petitions signed from local residents and I thank you for your time.

CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: Do you know off hand how many people are employed by the 14 supermarkets in the immediate area? I don't know if you can answer that question.

MR. THOMAS: That's a very good question. On average, an average supermarket will have, depending on the size, between 40 and 100 employees. So if you multiply it by 14 supermarkets you get an answer.

CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: And if there was one big supermarket in the armory, how many would they employ as opposed to how many employees are in the 14 supermarkets in the immediate area?

2	MR. THOMAS: Well, a large
3	supermarket might employ 200 plus employees. But
4	remember, not all employees are created equally in
5	terms of hours. Typically large supermarkets
6	employ part time employees, not full time
7	employees.
8	CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: Council Member
9	Sears has a question.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER SEARS: How many
11	employees do you have full time?
12	MR. THOMAS: Over 750 full time
13	employees in our company, half of them live in the
14	Kingsbridge area of the Bronx. We do our hiring
15	across the street from the armory. Every
16	WednesdayValerie will tell you about this. We
17	hire in the Bronx and then these employees go to
18	our stores throughout the city.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER SEARS: I see, okay.
20	So the two stores that are close to, that are
21	across the street from the armory, what would be
22	the number of personnel that you have there?
23	MR. THOMAS: Well, in the two
24	stores themselves, maybe 150 total. Plus we have
25	our corporate office, which has another 20 or 25

1	SUB COMMITTEE ON ZONING 186
2	people. But the issue is if our stores were to
3	close, which they would close if a 60,000 square
4	foot subsidized market went in
5	COUNCIL MEMBER SEARS:
6	[interposing] Why would that happen? Because I've
7	heard that across the street. Why would that
8	happen?
9	MR. THOMAS: Supermarkets work on
10	very tight margins. If a 60,000 square foot
11	subsidized supermarket comes in and we lose 20% or
12	25% of our business, the store would be unviable.
13	And we would have to close the store.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER SEARS: Would you
15	consider that store if it were to open across the
16	street, 60,000 square feet, to be an anchor of
17	that development?
18	MR. THOMAS: That's a good
19	question. In Related's testimony, they said large
20	retailer and then they said medium retailer.
21	60,000 square foot supermarket is not a medium
22	retailer. 60,000 square foot supermarket is a
23	major, mega, gigantic store.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER SEARS: Okay, thank
25	you.

2 MR. THOMAS: Sure.

VALERIE SLOAN: My name is Valerie Sloan. I'm a fourth generation owner of the Morton Williams supermarkets. My grandfather Joseph Sloan opened the store on Kingsbridge Road and Jerome Avenue 57 years ago and raised his family there. I grew up working in the neighborhood, in the stores and with the people that made this community we're talking about so special.

My testimony focuses on the employees. My job for the last eight years has been to offer jobs to the residents of the Kingsbridge area community, something that has been done by over half a century by my father and his father before him. Our hiring office for the 12 Morton William Supermarkets is directly across the street from the armory. Every Wednesday a line of local residents come to see me to apply for jobs. Out of 750 employees, over 450 of them live right in the immediate neighborhood. Our hiring day has become an institution in the neighborhood. The people of the community know they can come to us for jobs.

If a big box supermarket or big box warehouse club is put into the armory, we would have to close our two Bronx stores as well as the hiring office. These 450 neighborhood union jobs would be lost. All of our jobs are full time union jobs with benefits. Related is proposing full time equivalent jobs. A full time equivalent jobs means that one 40-hour job is split between two, three or even four people. These are not full time jobs. These are dead end, part time jobs without benefits.

Please remember, Related might be suggesting new jobs but at what cost; at the loss of how many other good local sustainable jobs.

This is my family and my community and we're asking that you save our company and save the jobs of the people that work for us. Please vote no to Related's proposal. Thank you.

KELIA AMPARO: Hi, good afternoon.

My name is Kelia Amparo and I'm actually going to

talk on behalf of single parents that have to work

more the eight hours every day but specifically

groups of single parents like me. Myself, I do

not have relatives in this country so when I was

working at Target, which is one of their big box
retails that can come to the armory, I was getting
paid \$7.25 so I had to work over time.

I remember the other time my son was absent in school. I didn't even notice that because I didn't know what was going on in my house. I didn't have a mother, sister or nobody else to watch over them. Some of the parents have the bad luck of kids getting involved in bad things because nobody is there to watch over them. So that's one of the issues that these type of low paying jobs is going to bring.

I also would like to mention that because of that some people are stuck in the same cycle of life where they have to just work. They don't have the time to go to school so they stay poor forever. I prefer the project not to be done if they're not going to pay good and decent wage pay jobs with benefits.

CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: Council Member Joel Rivera has a question.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Actually just a statement; I just wanted to say to the Sloan family because they have been involved in

25

2	the Bronx for a long time. They highlightthe
3	fact is if we build a project that doesn't have a
4	significant pay built into it, you're sacrificing
5	good paying jobs for paying jobs. And the Sloans,
6	an example here, they have a significant amount of
7	their workforce is making union wages with
8	benefits and that's something that we feel is
9	important going forward. Whether it's any other
10	type of project going into the armory.
11	So I just want to thank you because
12	you have been a good business partner,
13	neighborhood partner and a community partner.
14	That's something we all want to see happen within
15	the Bronx community, not just something getting
16	built and developed and people coming in and then
17	going home. But people that are contributing to
18	the Bronx community and they have been prime
19	examples of what we want in our community. Thank
20	you.
21	CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: Thank you.
22	ELIZABETH THOMPSON: Good
23	afternoon. Hello to everybody. My name is

Elizabeth Thompson. I'm representing Kingsbridge

Heights Neighborhood Improvement. I lived in the

community for 30 years. I represent jobs. I help various within community, not only that my child was killed by the armory across the street, West 195th off of Jerome Avenue. Not as a bad child; he went to help two children and he got killed.

The thing is we need something in the armory for our children. We need a community center. We need various different—I'm listening to all of this. Everybody is talking about what the community. To my knowledge, nobody came to Kingsbridge and asked them about what you need in that area. On the west side of Kingsbridge, we have a lot of people, different kind of people all over the world are scared to register as an American citizen because they're scared of being deported.

So our census is not being taken in that area. Who is helping the community? They're thinking about jobs. Yes, we need jobs. That's the thing about a union. I've been a union member for DC 37 for 27 years. And 1199 for 11. So the thing is union is the best thing and we have to help ourselves. If we can't help ourselves, why are we here?

2	And to say that just because this
3	people are coming to upgrade the armory, what are
4	they giving to us? What are they helping us with
5	other than making money out of the deal? I
6	definitely oppose not to. We have to have
7	somebody who is going to help our community and
8	help our children. I work for the hospital.
9	They're laying off people. Where is our tax
10	money? Our tax money is coming one place and
11	going another place. What are we doing? Are we
12	giving something to our future children, the
13	children who needs help? The reading is down -
14	come on. We need something for our children at
15	the armory. That's why I'm here as a community
16	activist. Give us something we need in our
17	community in the armory.
18	CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: Thank you.
19	MS. THOMPSON: You're welcome.
20	COUNSEL: The next panel is a panel
21	in favor, Vincent Torres, Matthew D. Zenwich, Mark
22	Dominguez, Paul Ludeen, Herma Owens, Eldufuso
23	Rivera
24	VINCENT TORRES: Good afternoon.
25	My name is Vincent Torres and I'm one of the

directors of Positive Workforce. Positive
Workforce is a community based organization that
has been fighting for jobs in the construction
industry for over 20 years. Founder, Lucky Rivera
and the organization that provided more than
10,000 job opportunities for community workers.

matters of concern as it relates to the development of the Kingsbridge Armory. First, community benefit agreements, many of the community benefit agreements obtained today have proven not to benefit many people in the community at al but rather benefit more so the politicians and significant others who have pushed for these so-called community benefits agreement.

One case example is DEP's Water

Filtration Plan in Van Courtland in the Bronx.

This project has doubled in price from \$1.5

billion to \$3 billion and they have less than 5%

of workers that come from the immediate community,

less than 5%. How is that a community benefit?

Living wages, the Kingsbridge

Armory is one developer doing one project in a big

city filled with thousands of developments and

б

projects. The battle of living wage doesn't belong here. Wages are governed by the New York State Department of Labor. In the last 47 years, since 1962, the minimum wage has risen from \$1.25 to \$7.25. That's an increase of only \$6 in nearly a half a century. That's where your problem is.

The notion that precedent can be set by this project is simply false. In these tough economic times, being what they are right now, while other construction sites and projects are being shut down because developers elect not to put their own monies at risk. While other companies and corporations are choosing to lay thousands of people off and close the doors, the Related Companies are doing the total opposite.

And I'll sum up. All things being considered, we should applaud the Related Companies efforts and not push them away. This is not the time to say no, while over 2,000 jobs are hanging in the balance. This is the time to say yes. I urge the City Council to get behind this much needed project in the Bronx and let us get to the more important task ahead - putting our people to work and putting our people to work now. Thank

2 you.

LUCKY RIVERA: Lucky Rivera,
founder of Positive Workforce. I'm not going to
take up your time. I know you've been all day and
Vinnie practically said it all. All I'm saying is
we're in a recession. You don't have to be a
rocket scientist. These are 2,000 jobs. Come on,
man, we're hungry; our people are starving.
People got jobs, there's people out there that
don't have jobs. They're eating out of garbage
cans on corners. I see them every day. The drug
dealers are grabbing those kids on the corners and
putting them on the corners to sell drugs for \$25
a day while they make hundreds of hundreds of
dollars on our kids.

We need those jobs. The majority of those jobs are retail jobs for the ages from 18 to 25, kids that are going to school. That's what you need to put them in, on those jobs, 1,200 jobs. The other jobs are 1,000 construction jobs. We need them. The construction industry needs them and needs them bad. That's all I got to say.

FRED LAMOINE: Good afternoon
Chairman Avella and honorable City Council

Members. My name is Fred Lamoine, I am a business agent with the Metallic Leather and Reinforcing

Iron Workers Union, Local 46. I'm the Vice

President of the Bronx Board of Business Agents,

which is a division or piece of the building

trades. I'm also a proud member of KARA, the

Kingsbridge Armory redevelopment alliance.

I had the pleasure four years ago of being introduce to the Northwest Bronx

Community and Clergy Coalition and KARA by

Assemblyman Jose Rivera. I've been an active partner of KARA ever since that time, supporting the development of this project with principles that we had pledged to that would ensure development that would be both profitable and would ensure that the community surrounding the armory would share equitably in the many benefits of a project of this size.

I treasure the relationships that have developed over these last four years and I treasure the position that we all have been in together to the point where I'm currently on vacation. I flew in last night and I'm not some guy that jet sets in and out. I flew in from

Florida last night and at 6:00 I'm getting on a plane to fly back to my wife in Florida. That's

how important this particular hearing is to me.

I was delighted then and I continue to be delighted that Related was chosen as the developer of this project. They have a history of developing projects with the building trades. I'm here to support them in that respect. I'm here to support the project and to ask the Council that in absence of public policy which demands prevailing wage and living wage on private developments that use public assistance, that you do whatever you can to ensure that a PLA and a CBA that includes living wages is part of this project.

I'm sure that there will be many comments today that will state that a requirement of living wages will be difficult hurdle for the developer to overcome. He's saying it's impossible. I am confident that the same minds that figured out how to procure the aware of the project and the same minds that figured out how much public assistance was needed for the project to attract developers can figure out how to pay a living wage to the workers of the Bronx that

2 deserve no less.

In closing, let me say that I am in favor of public assistance to create economic opportunities. I'm also in favor of public assistance to ensure that living wages are paid on projects where public funds are used on private projects. Thank you.

COUNSEL: The next panel is a panel in opposition, Michael Leonard, Sharmell Roseman, Ramona Nelson-Usubio, is he still here Richard Lipski, Quasi--okay, Marvin Almengor, Don Stephens-Diaz, Reverend Douglas Cunningham.

QUASI CHAMPON: My name is Quasi
Champon and I'm a member of KARA. I'm here really
to invite you to really vote no on this project
unless there is a community benefits agreement.
Related, the building is valued at \$20 million and
I don't know who did that valuation. But there is
nothing to compare it. What are you going to
value it against? It's one of a kind. However,
they say it's valued at \$20 million.

Related Companies states that full discount, selling the building for \$5 million and in return they would give us, allot us community

space. They're giving us community space in the head. Sorry, they call it the head, it might as well be the head; it's called the head house. If we had a picture of the armory here, there are two torrents in front of the armory, may as well be the head of the armory. It's totally insufficient.

The armory is located in one of the most densely, not densely populated area but there are more schools in that area per capita than anywhere else maybe in New York City. And where people actually, there is no recreation space for these people to have city using the gym at schools.

We're not here to go back to the past now but our vision was not was selected, it's not what's being developed. No one asked us what we wanted. If we had chosen, we would not have chosen Related, would not have chosen their vision, would have chosen our vision which would be more community space for the armory.

When you look in Manhattan, there is an armory in Manhattan on Fifth Avenue Armory

where the total armory, where th	e entire armory is
used to serve the community. Wh	y not the Bronx?
Why are we different? The Gover	nor's Island is
being redeveloped as community s	pace to serve the
community. Why not the Bronx?	

We are sitting in not just two blocks away is one of the largest commercial strips in the New York, Fordham Road. We are not starving for commercial space. We're not starving for a place to shop. There is another commercial strip at the Kingsbridge Mall across the river. There is the Gateway project. We are not starving for places to shop. We're starving for places that serves the community, for community space and that's what we want. Vote no.

MARVIN ALMENGOR: Good afternoon honorable Councilman Avella and members of the City Council Committee. My name is Marvin Almengor and I live on University Avenue, which is a couple of blocks away from the armory. I'm also a member of KARA and the Fordham Manor Reformed Church, which is affected by the armory.

I'm also here as a proud union member to say that we need union jobs with union

benefits and union protections for people in the community. Because as a union member, I'm a member of the board of my local, Local 215 DC 1707 and a member of its grievance committee. I see the cases that come before our grievance committee where employers try to get rid of employees on frivolous reasons or try to get away with chopping away at their rights just like nothing. They just want to displace them to get employees to come in to replace them for lower pay and no benefits.

I don't want to see those kind of jobs coming into the armory. I want to see living wage jobs with real benefits, where people can grow, especially young people. How are young people supposed to be able to make it and be able to uplift themselves out of their circumstances if they're not given those opportunities.

I hear what the construction
workers are saying about we want jobs, we want
this. Okay, you guys are getting your projects
and what not. What about us in the community?
Others who don't have those opportunities, where
will they get them, who will give it to them? Who
will be mindful of the fact that there needs to be

justice for the people of the community who are
looking for that justice.

I just wanted to end by saying what Robert F. Kennedy would always say. Some men see things see things as they are. I dream of things that never were and I say why not, why not? Vote no to the plan as it is unless there's a CBA living wage agreement. Thank you.

Cunningham, New Day Church, Northwest Bronx

Community and Clergy Coalition. I believe there's a force in the universe greater than the Mayor and I believe there is a cause greater than maximizing profit. There's powerful players at the table but we are charged with the public good of the high calling.

Now, the administration provides \$70 million in public funds to make it feasible for the developer but what does it take to make it feasible for the community? Living wage jobs, schools, community space. We've already got too many people in the Bronx who are working second jobs, who are trying to earn a living on a wage that's not paying them enough to live on.

My daughter teaches ninth grade global history at a Bronx public school. She tells me stories and she knows when a kid's parents are working a second job, when they're not home at night she sees it in the kid's behavior. The other day she's heading home from school. A kid is there, she can see something is wrong with him. He's one of her students, she asks him about it. He says he's afraid to walk home because there's kids that have been beating him up. Now he's got to walk his brother home.

So later on, she gets a call from the father. I know something is wrong with my kids and they're not telling me about it. So she tells him what they're scared of. The phone goes dead silence. A couple of minutes later he comes on and he says, you know I want to be there for my kids but I had to take a second job.

These are the effects that are happening in the community. It's not just the people who are working these low income jobs but the whole community suffers when people aren't paid a living wage. The armory plan is not just

2	about the private sector putting up a mall; it's a
3	public project in a public building with public
4	funds and it needs to benefit the public.

Let's do it in a way that can benefit everybody. We can do this. We can solve this. There's nobody that's against having good jobs and there's nobody who's against having a living wage. For the Mayor and Related billionaires to continue to oppose this is unconscionable. We can do this in a way that benefits all of us. Thank you.

COUNSEL: Brenda Barry, Michelle Mattingly, Ivan Braun, Father Joseph Gerome,

MICHELLE MATTINGLY: Thank you for the opportunity to testify today. My name is Michelle Mattingly and I'm a Research Associate with the Fiscal Policy Institute here in New York City. FPI conducts research and policy analysis on New York City and State economic issues, especially those affecting low and middle income New Yorkers.

Given our organization's focus, New York City's retail jobs are of great interest.

Retail jobs are one of the top three fastest

growing sources of private sector employment in
the city. Nearly one in ten of the city's private
sector jobs is in retail. However, retail jobs
hold another distinction. The industry is also
the city's largest low wage employer. One in
seven New York low wage workers is employed in
retail. For anyone concerned with addressing
poverty and income in equality in our city,
improving wages for retail workers is essential.

The median wage of a New York City retail worker in a non-professional, non-managerial occupation is only \$10.78, almost one quarter of retail workers earn \$8 an hour or less. These wages are not sufficient to support a family, especially in New York. But more than 90,000 children in New York City have parents employed in retail. Nearly one out of three retail workers with children is the family's sole provider.

Some people may have the impression that was given earlier by a previous speaker that retail workers are primarily teens and young adults working part time to get some spending money. The reality is that retail workers in the

The inadequacy of retail workers wages can lead to dependence on public assistance. At the state level retail ties with health services as the industry whose workers rely the most and receive the largest share of public benefits such as food stamps, Medicaid and TANF. Higher wages, better benefits and improved working conditions do not only benefit workers. I'm nearly done.

Imployers benefit. Better jobs can lower employee turnover, reducing the expense employers incur in recruitment and training. They can result in increased worker productivity and higher quality service for customers and by lessening the difficulties retail workers face when trying to support their families in a high cost of living city, better retail jobs will contribute to the stability of these workers' communities. Thank you.

FATHER JOSEPH GEROME: Good afternoon to all. My name is Father Joseph Gerome. I'm the pastor of St. Nicholas Atone Zion

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Church in the Bronx. We are affiliated with KARA and we have been here with the fight. The armory borders our parish and our parishioners come from that area.

This is, for me obviously as a religious person, this isn't just business about being business. It's not just about business. Business needs to have a moral imperative within it. It needs to have an ethic. Our own documents in our church state that the remuneration must be enough to support the wage earner in reasonable comfort. If full necessity or fear or worse, evil, the working man accepts harder conditions because an employer or a contractor will give him no better. He is the victim of force and injustice. It comes from a document written in 1891 and that the church - - was conscious since the Industrial Revolution about the issue of living wages. It's not a new issue.

What is happening here is that the American job and its image and the American psyche is that the worker and through his work is to be able to have the possibility to attend to his family, be involved in volunteerism and to attend

the needs of his soul. The fact of the matter is, these types of jobs that even teenagers won't take because our teenagers tell me that they are chump change. It's more tempting for them to sell drugs on the corner than it is to get a job at the Shops at the Armory and that's the reality.

The way that the program is, we are not against the development. Even though I have certain reservation of another mall because it's yet one more competition for my souls who want to go shopping on Sunday morning and who are going to form lines at Christmas time to get in at 5:00 in the morning to trample on one another.

I urge the City Council not to vote for the project until a CBA agreement is done.

And we wouldn't be doing this if minimum wage meant something today really and it doesn't mean anything today. Thank you.

IVAN BRAUN: Hello, my name is Ivan
Braun. I'm an active member of the Kingsbridge
community. With all due respect to Related and
the administration and others who spoke so
eloquently this morning about the impossibility of
requiring living wages and other community

benefits, they sound a little bit like the boy who
cried wolf too many times.

They resemble the auto industry who said that their world would end if they were required to install seat belts. Or the chemical industry said their world would end if they were required to use chemicals that did not destroy the ozone layer. We all know that these things came to pass. Industries did not collapse and in fact, the world became a better place for all of us.

Just as in the case of the auto safety and air pollution where experts saw benefits while the industry predicted doom, the vast majority of economic research concludes that living wages do not reduce employment and the benefits far outreach the negative impacts. In fact, economists demonstrate that paying living wages can reduce employment turnover and absenteeism, increase productivity and increase moral and commitment to the company. All of these reduce the cost of doing business and increase potential profits.

When people are paid enough to support their families, they no longer need to

rely on public assistance in the form of housing,
subsidies, medical assistance, food stamp or
welfare. In fact, taxpayers are subsidizing
employers who don't provide living wages. As the
Bronx Borough President and the majority of the
Bronx City Council delegation have said, we
support responsible development in the Bronx.
However, that development must spread the benefits
to the people of the Bronx through living wages.

I urge you to vote no unless and until Related signs an enforceable community benefit agreement that includes living wages and other community benefits. Thank you.

COUNSEL: Tricia Stafford, Mariah Feinberg, Heidi Hines, Brian Hines.

MARIAN FEINBERT: Hi, just for the record it's Marian Feinberg actually, not Mariah, my name. Thank you for the opportunity to testify. My name is Marian Feinberg. I am here representing the Organization for a Better Bronx where I am the environmental health coordinator. I was asked today to speak a little bit about the health impacts of the increased traffic and the congestion. Our organization has worked with the

Columbia School of Public Health and wit	th Monti
Furin Einstein and three different natio	onal
institute of health brands assessing the	e impact of
traffic and other air pollution sources	on health
in the Bronx.	

It's well known in the scientific community the many health impacts of burning gasoline. The traffic and especially diesel fuel impacts the burning of fossil fuels generates tiny particles which lodge deep into the lungs, both irritating the respiratory system and crossing over easily into the blood. Because it is here that oxygen that we breath crosses into the circulatory system.

These particles and the gases and ozone created by them can trigger asthma. The asthma rate last reported by the Department of Health in 2008 in these zip codes is twice the city average of asthma already. In the respiratory system, emphysema can be aggravated and lung cancer risk is higher. Geez.

CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: Two minutes goes fast

MS. FEINBERG: Children are more

vulnerable because they breathe deeper and their
bodies are still developing. There were studies
done in California that showed that adolescents
exposed to a lot of traffic particles had both
higher asthma rates and also their lung
development was distorted. Theyhalf a second
here.

Just to say very quickly some of the other health impacts. Pregnant mothers exposed to high levels of traffic pollution give birth to babies with a higher rate of asthma. It's been shown to contribute to breast cancer, coronary heart disease and diabetes. We just think enough is enough. There are a lot of schools in that are. There's the VA hospital, there is a big nursing home facility and very vulnerable populations. I really urge the City Council to take this into account when making its decision. Thank you.

HEIDI HINES: Hi, my name is Heidi Hines. Thank you all for coming across the street.

CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: Thank you for coming across the street.

۷	MS. HINES: All right, good. I
3	live in the Northwest Bronx. I run a community
4	center in the Northwest Bronx and I'm a proud
5	member of the Northwest Bronx Community and Clergy
6	Coalition. I lived within a walking distance of
7	the armory for over 20 years. For 11 of those
8	years I lived on Valentine Avenue, which is five
9	blocks away from the armory.

There was open air drug dealing on my block before I got there. There is drug dealing on my block now that I moved off. I had to watch kids go from being look outs to being dealers, it's really hard to have to do that. And one time I asked one of the kids, I'm not going to cry. What would it take to stop dealing drugs? And he said I need a job that pays \$400 a week, that's a living wage job. All that is, is a living wage job.

My neighborhood is the poorest urban Congressional district in the country. We have the highest unemployment in the city. Less than half the students graduate from high school. There's drug related crime and violence and half the kids born in my neighborhood are going to have

2 diabetes in their lifetime.

This proposal that transfers our common wealth to the hands of private citizens so that we can have more retail stores and crappy minimum wage jobs is offensive to me. And for my own dignity and for the dignity of my six year old daughter and for the dignity of every person that lives in my neighborhood, I have to really insist that you vote against this unless there is a community benefits agreement.

The Mayor and the guy that runs
Related would never live in my neighborhood. They
would insist that their children have a better
life. They have insisted. They need to want for
us what they want for themselves. Our families
and every family deserves to be safe and healthy
and to live in healthy communities.

In a just world, the Kingsbridge

Armory would be a community sustainability center
that provides education for our children,
recreation and fitness opportunities for our
families and yes stores, but stores that provide
the services that we need and provide union jobs
for everyone. Thank you very much.

2 BRIAN HINES: Tough act to follow.

My name is Brian Hines. I've live in the Bronx

for 18 years in the shadow of the Kingsbridge

Armory and I'm delighted that you guys are putting

this amount of time into hearing us.

One point that the development has proposed is a profound threat to the business who were there during the bad old days, that's point one. Point two, the armory was built in 1917, which was the year of the Russian Revolution.

They were built to defend us. The National Guard defends us from enemies foreign and domestic. So either Newfoundland is going to launch an armada to land in Sound View and the armory is going to defend their approach on White Plains. Or the armory was built to suppress domestic descent and that's what they were built for.

What was the domestic descent going to focus on? People were going to demand things like a 40 hour work week, like the right or organize for collective bargaining agreements, like workplace safety regulations, like overtime pay and so on. In other words, they were going to argue for things that we now take for granted. I

say let's keep the armory as a line of defense and from this point forward make community benefits agreement that we take for granted.

Right now it's a contentious issue but in the future it will be something that we take for granted. And as there is great support from the Bronx delegation to the City Council and the Bronx Borough President, we can begin now and move forward. If Related makes the point, well it's unfair to single us out. Then I say fine, make every employer in the City of New York pay a living wage. We'll start here and move forward but keep the armory as defense, a line of defense again the economic exploitation.

Mudos to the majority leader for making reference to exploiting want. The guys outside that are in favor of the development are saying no living wage, survival wage and that is a depressingly low bar to set. The times are tough but this is a wealthy city. Let's go forward with our heads high. Thank you.

COUNSEL: Sadiq Mohammed, Myra Goggins, Adama Wint.

CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: That is all

the names that we have so if there is anybody who still wants to speak that hasn't signed up. Okay, unless we missed your name. Well, go up. Oh, and you too? Okay. Why don't let her go up and then we'll take you on the next one. If there's anybody else, including in the other room they should come into this room. But I think one more panel and that is the end.

MYRA GOGGINS: Good afternoon and I also wish to thank the Council Members for being here all day. I appreciate your time. My name is Myra Goggins and I am a life long resident of the northwest Bronx, 72 years. Well, actually 73 years because my mother lived there before I was born. I really don't want to rehash everything that's been said today but there are certain things I would like to emphasize.

Number one being that Related has not addressed any of the problems that were brought up in the EIS and the FEIS. They have not negotiated with the community. They have not sat down with us and said, we're listening, how can we work together to provide what the community needs and want. And we do want shops but we want book

store kind of shops and things that we need.

When we started over 13 years ago

working on this project, our vision was for

schools because that is what we still need.

People have said, oh, we are surrounded by

schools. We are, with over crowded schools at

125% of capacity, 150% of capacity. When this

plan, the RFP was let, it included schools as part

of the project. In mid-stream the schools were

deleted by the administration.

We want to make sure that those schools still go forward. If you vote no on this project because of the deficiencies, because of the lack of an enforceable CBA and other community benefits that we will specify. We want to make sure - this is the rezoning committee - that 195th Street is still de-mapped so that schools can still be built while anything is pending, policy, procedure, any other kind of development, whatever is going into that armory, we need to come out with 2,000 seats of schools. These seats were taken away from us in the last budget, we need them desperately. Thank you.

MARK RODRIGUEZ: Good afternoon.

My name is Mark Rodriguez. I'm an accountant and I am also a member and founder of the Political Parent Party. Our organization represents families and children. As an accountant in the Bronx, I am well aware of the income level of many of my clients that I serve. I would like to put a new spin to this. Because I'm in opposition to having another shopping mall that we really don't need.

October I was with you on the steps of City Hall the day that Stelladoro workers were standing there. The agony of the news that they received that an institution of the Bronx that was there for 90 plus years with union paying workers was cut off. We have a golden opportunity with this space in the armory. Rather than to make it into a retail complexes, why don't we turn it into a manufacturing complex. Let's make a bakery, let's make a bread factory.

I was born in the Bronx, raised in Flushing right by the Tasty Bread factory. I don't know if you remember that. But Tasty Bread had 800 union paying jobs until Home Depot came.

Tasty Bread shipped out and what was left was a
big store with only 300 non union employees. We
have a golden moment now to turn and also to
initiate green technology in the armory. Build
it, make it something viable for the community.

In terms of a bakery, with all the ethnicities here in the Bronx, New York, the different types of foods and breads that can be made that will entice people to follow that type of career, the culinary arts. You talk about schools, let's have a culinary institute in the Bronx. Entice people, make people say yeah, I want to be a baker. I want to be a machinist. I want to be working in an armory.

These people in Stelladoro that would proudly say I was there for 15, 20, 30 years maintaining their families. We have the opportunity. Let's go for it. Thank you.

ADAMA WINT: Good afternoon. My name is Adama Wint. I'm 17 years old and I've lived on Kingsbridge since spring of 2001 but my grandmother has been living there since the early 60s. I think it's pretty much--I was there when they built the Target and the Marshalls and the

2 Applebee's and I live by Fordham Plaza.

I can't say that we need another mall. We have more than enough space, Fordham Plaza, we have too many Applebee's. My problem would be, Kennedy is the area, Clinton's in the area, Walton's in the area. Those are schools with 4,000 or 5,000 students, over crowded schools and we need spaces. We need something to do. When my friends talk about Kingsbridge, oh, where's Kingsbridge.

I can't say well oh, Kingsbridge is known for this or you can come over here and play basketball. There is really no where, there is community space. There is nowhere for me to do anything. After school I really just come on. There's nothing on Kingsbridge for the youth. Even for people that work out or want to walk about the Reservoir, you can only really do that in the spring. Nobody wants to run around the Reservoir in the cold, in zero degree weather.

The armory, it's just been sitting there. Even though my sister recently signed up for the Navy, it's really not being used for anything else. That space could be used for kids

to go there after school and do stuff. Usually
they have things in the churches that usually shut
down around 8:00. But you know teenagers, 8:00
doesn't really work. It doesn't really work out
so usually we are usually just on the street,
running around, usually getting into trouble
because there's really nothing to do around
Kingsbridge.

Fordham Plaza closes at 8:00, you can't go shopping. You can't really get a job because all the people that can give out jobs has given out jobs to teenagers. So it's like there's really just nothing for us to do over there. We really, we really, really, really need this. I'm speaking from my point of view.

Minimum wage, that's not even handling my high school dues. It's not even paying for my senior trip to be honest. Even though I work I still have to ask my mother for money. So please do this for us. I need it, my sister needs it. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: Thank you.

Myra is it?

MS. GOGGINS: Yes.

wanted to say, I want to invite you and I'm pretty
sure Simcha too, to speak to our kids so they can
get the message as well. [Laughter]

MS. WINT: Thank you.

COUNSEL: Last, Reverend Doctor Katrine Foster.

CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: And is there anybody else?

## REVEREND DOCTOR KATRINA FOSTER:

Mr. Chairman and Council Members, my name is
Reverend Doctor Katrina Foster. I am the pastor
of Fordham Evangelical Lutheran Church in the
Bronx where I have served for 15 years. I am a
member of KARA and the Coalition. I was the
previous Bronx Borough President community
representative for the development of the RFPs
where there were 2,000 seats slated and by the
miracle of bureaucracy, disappeared in that entire
process.

The Old Testament says that we shall be caused repairers of the breach, restorers of the streets in which to live. We have a golden opportunity to live out this prophetic word. I'm asking that you vote no unless and until an

enforceable community benefits agreement is in place. I'll just echo what Myra said that the demapping should continue and those seats should be restored even if you vote no on the armory project itself.

Over half of our kids do not graduate from high school. I have been a pastor for 15 years and I can tell you the level of illiteracy, hopelessness and danger that that creates can not be overstated. People who have no hope are dangerous. Education is the number one anti-poverty program we have in this country. And we should avail ourselves of that.

This subcommittee has the opportunity to do something that has not yet been done, that is create a CBA. Through our democratic means, use capitalism to its fullest extent so that we no longer privatize profit and socialize poverty. What we are looking to do is elevate this community, not because we're asking for a hand out but because we are responsible, productive, tax paying members of this society, of this community.

I am a pastor. I will tell you

that my people work hard and that poverty is intentional. It is intentional to pay people such a minimal amount of money as allowed by law that it will keep them in perpetual poverty, create children who are also subject to this poverty and create generation after generation of this poverty dependence and poverty mentality.

I will close with this. Luther said, Martin Luther, I'm kind of a fan of is, whatever you fear, love and trust, that becomes your god. Related is holding up a god and that is profit for them and screw you. What we are saying is we fear, love and trust some of us would say Jesus but what I am going to say in addition to that We fear, love and trust the wisdom of this community, the wisdom of this community to say we have a vision. We can improve upon it. You can make a profit and we can become people of prosperity. Thank you.

LESLIE THOMAS: Hi, good afternoon.

My name is Leslie Thomas. I'm 18 years old. I'm

a high school graduate and I just graduated out of

John F. Kennedy High School. I was a cheerleader

in Kennedy and I recently graduated, as I said.

But I just got employed to Morton Williams and
I've been looking for a job for two years. As a
young person, it's really, really hard to find a
job. I only get paid probably \$200 a week, which
is really nothing when you think about it.

I have brothers who are in jail now because they can't find good jobs that pay good money. Because there's not a lot of good opportunities out there. I feel that building another supermarket is not going to make it better. Because I live around 161st Street and Gerard and they just built the new Yankee Stadium. The prices of living where I live at has just went higher. It's not going lower; the prices of living is going higher.

The construction workers are only going to be working for a temporary part of time. What about the people who live in the community? Build something that's going to be useful like dance studios, music, places that kids can go to play music, basketball. Do something productive. Kids are out selling drugs, getting in trouble, killing each other. That's not benefit for the community. We go home to that community, not

2 other people.

They don't live where we live and they don't go through what we go through. I had to sit there and watch many teachers get laid off because of budget cuts. But they have money to build new supermarkets but they don't have money to keep teachers to educate kids? There are so many kids out there who can't read, can't write, don't know proper English. Build things for people to better themselves and better the future and better the kids, except for thinking about what's to do now and what's to do later. Thank you.

MINISTER BULL HARRIS: Good

afternoon. My name is Minister Bull Harris and I

am a proud member of Political Pan Party located

in Bronx New York. And I'm also--

CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: [interposing]
Is the mic on?

21 MINISTER HARRIS: How about now?

22 CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: Now.

23 MINISTER HARRIS: I apologize.

24 Good afternoon Council Members. My name is

25 Minister Bull Harris. I'm a founder and proud

б

member of Political Pan party located in the Bronx
and also a proud father of four; Liberty Harris,
Justice Harris, America Harris and Kiani Marshall.
And basically the bottom line, I don't want my
children any of the money that I don't have in
another shopping mall. [Laughter]

We need community services. There are some more children now that they have interest in dancing and acting and drama and they want community programs. I also run a non-profit myself and we have community programs. We do it a small budget and I'll continue to do it on a very small budget. I'm fine with that. I don't have to make much money, I just want to be busy in the community.

I would love to have any one of my programs in the armory if it's for the community, which it should be. And that's the bottom line and I did it in almost under a minute. Thank you.

[Laughter]

CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: Thank you. We also have John Ramirez who just wants to be noted as being in opposition. That concludes the public hearing on this item. As I mentioned earlier, the

administration will be back on the 23rd before my committee at which time Council Members I'm sure are going to have more questions. I would like to thank members of my committee that stayed for the whole hearing, Council Member Sears and Council Member Felder and of course, Council Members from the Bronx, Joel Rivera and Annabel Palma for sitting through the testimony.

I would say since it is mostly the opposition that's here, that we can say I think you've heard the concerns expressed by the Council Members earlier. I can only speak for myself but I said it at the rally, I'm not voting for this unless you get what you want so we heard what you have to say. Yeah, and I ask--I said no applause from the beginning.

And counsel just has a final word.

COUNSEL: Restating the vote total
of today, LU 1253 was approved by a vote of nine
in the affirmative, none in the negative and no
abstentions and referred to the full Land Use
committee. LU 1254 was approved with a vote of
eight in the affirmative, none in the negative and
one abstention. And LU 1244 was approved by a

8

9

2	vote of nine in the affirmative, none in the
3	negative, is approved with modification and
4	referred to the City Planning Commission. And LU
5	1251 and LU 1252 were filed motion pursuant to
6	withdrawal.

CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: And this meeting of the sub committee of Zoning and Franchises is adjourned.

I, Amber Gibson, certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

Signature	_Aw	Z11	_ <del>_</del>
Date	November 25,	2009	