CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS

----X

October 20, 2009 Start: 10:12 am Recess: 11:06 am

HELD AT: Council Chambers

City Hall

B E F O R E:

HELEN SEARS Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Council Member Inez E. Dickens
Council Member Simcha Felder
Council Member Larry B. Seabrook
Council Member Peter F. Vallone Jr.

A P P E A R A N C E S [CONTINUED]

Helen Sears Opening Statement Chairperson Committee on Governmental Operations

Matt Gewolb Counsel Committee on Governmental Operations

Josh Gerber Policy Analyst Committee on Governmental Operations

Written Testimony Submitted: United States Postal Service

Information received from:
Postal Regulatory Commission

Thanks:

Congressman Jerry Nadler

Clarice Torrance New York Metro Area Postal Union

Clarence A. Wall, Jr.
New York Metro Area Postal Union

Evidence:

Postal Regulatory Commission Decision Dated October 18, 2009 Document Number A2009-1 Filing Number 65247

Ellen Peterson Lewis Senior Resident Far West Village

Annette Zaner Village Independent Democrats

APPEARANCES [CONTINUED]

Socrates Solano:

Reading Statement of Congressman Charles B. Rangel Community Representative Office of Congressman Charles B. Rangel

Thank you:

District Leader Maria Luna
City Councilman Robert Jackson
Assemblyman Danny Farrell
Assemblyman Adriano Spiat
Council Member-elect Ydanis Rodriguez
Community Board 12
Northern Manhattan Improvement Corporation

Jim Musamichi President Brooklyn American Postal Workers Union

Thank you:

Congressman Nadler Congresswoman Yvette Clark State Assemblyman Colton The Media

Reverend Alonzo Jordan Queens Branch National Association for the Advancement of Colored People

Τ	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 4
2	[START 1001.MP3]
3	MR. EDDIE RENTO CORIASO: This
4	Committee is going to be on Governmental
5	Operations. Today's date is October 20, 2009 and
6	it's being recorded by Eddie Rento Coriaso.
7	[END 1001.MP3]
8	[START 1002.MP3]
9	CHAIRPERSON SEARS: Good morning.
10	My name is Helen Sears and I'm chair of the
11	Governmental Operations Committee and I want to
12	thank you all for being here. I happen to think
13	that this Resolution, this issue, is a very
14	critical issue of what's happening in the City of
15	New York and maybe elsewhere around the country
16	but certainly it affects each and every one of us.
17	And before I read a statement that
18	well prepared, I want to acknowledge to my left,
19	your right probably, my colleague Simcha Felder
20	from Brooklyn; and we have Matt Gewolb, he's
21	Counsel to the Committee; and Josh Gerber who is
22	the Policy Analyst who has done a great deal of
23	work on researching this issue. And I'm glad that
24	we're having it today, really.
25	We're going to consider Resolution

2166A, a Resolution calling upon Congress to pass both HR 22, the United States Postal Service

Financial Relief Act; and HR 658, the Access to

Postal Services Act, which would stop the

unnecessary closure of neighborhood post offices

and would increase community input as the Post

Office reorganizes branches throughout the City of

New York.

We should note at the outset that some provisions similar to those proposed in HR 22 have been signed into law through other means.

This move might help provide the USPS with greater flexibility in making operation decisions. The Committee is committed to monitoring the implementation of these measures.

This Resolution concerns the contemplated Post Office closures in New York
City. Currently the USPS is considering the closing or consolidation of at least 14 Post
Offices in the City. Perhaps most importantly this Resolution urges passage of HR 658, the Access to Postal Services Act. This bill currently pending in Congress would modify the procedures that USPS must follow in connection

б

with the closing or consolidation of any postal facility.

The legislation according to an official Congressional summary would require an assessment of the need for the closure or consolidation; eliminate a requirement to consider the resulting postal service economic savings; require a posting in each affected postal facility at least 90 days before the final decision is made; and require under current law, allowing suspension of the determination pending an appeal to the Postal Regulatory Commission.

The USPS has weighed in against this piece of legislation and the Committee is eager to hear the positions of advocates and community members. Additionally the Committee is looking forward to hearing about the impact that potential Post Office closings might have on residents and those who work in these facilities.

I would also like to note that the USPS declined to appear personally but did sent written testimony in advance of today's hearing.

And that testimony will be entered into the record.

to note that Congressman Jerry Adler was very

helpful in providing information for those of you

who know him or he represents you. So I thank the

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 8
2	Congressman for that.
3	[Pause]
4	CHAIRPERSON SEARS: We're also
5	joined by Councilwoman Inez Dickens from
6	Manhattan, thank you for being here.
7	[Pause]
8	CHAIRPERSON SEARS: Our first
9	panel, we have two that are from the Metro Postal
10	Union and if there are no objections, I would like
11	to call Clarence (sic) Torrance and Clarence A.
12	Wall, Jr. to come up. So that's Clarice and
13	Clarence. Sorry about that. And if the two can
14	come up and have a panel, we'll hear from the both
15	of you.
16	[Pause]
17	CHAIRPERSON SEARS: Okay.
18	[Pause]
19	CHAIRPERSON SEARS: All right. I
20	think we will ask Clarice to start because I'm
21	just looking at your shirt and it certainly speaks
22	out as to who you are, what the group is and it's
23	nice to see you and the shirt here.
24	MS. CLARICE TORRANCE: Thank you so
25	much.

2	C	HAIRPERSON	SEARS:	Thank	you.
---	---	------------	--------	-------	------

[Pause]

CHAIRPERSON SEARS: Turn it so it's red and it's on--

MS. TORRANCE: [Interposing] Yes.

Thank you so much. Chairperson Sears and members of the Committee, thank you for convening this hearing and inviting me to testify on behalf of the hardworking postal workers that the New York Metro Area Postal Union represents.

This union represents the clerk, motor vehicle and maintenance crafts in Manhattan and the Bronx, along with two large facilities in New Jersey. That means that on a list of New York stations and branches under review for possible closure, our Local represents postal workers at 14 of them.

We have already seen what hardships the existing cutbacks in service have meant to our members. There are clerks from our union that now have to commute 125 miles each way to work because they have been excessed from New York City to the far reaches of Suffolk County. Yes, they spend between six and seven hours a day commuting to and

2 from work.

With the proposed station closings before us, we will most assuredly see more of this or worse. It's very tempting to use this opportunity to describe only how devastating these proposed station and branch closings will be to our members but we understand that we have our jobs because we are employed to serve the public.

We have to look at how those proposed station and branch closings will impact upon the people we serve. The people who are most dependent upon the Postal Service are the elderly, the poor, the disabled and small business owners. They do not have readily available alternatives. For these people a trip to the Post Office that is located a block or two or three from there they are can be a difficulty. Closing their neighborhood Post Office because there's another one located just a mile away is not only shortsighted it is cruel. For some, getting to the Post Office will now be an impossibility.

I can't tell you how many times I have mentioned this situation to Postal Managers and I get the same canned response. Oh they can

go online or there will be an automated postal center where their old station used to be. I know it is incomprehensible for Postal Managers to understand but there are people who do not have computer access. There are people who find it very confusing to use an automatic postal center without assistance. And even if they could figure it out, they don't have a credit or debit card to access this. Are these people not entitled to postal service?

In certain areas of this City,
there are not bank branches on every corner and
many areas where poor people live, they rely on
the neighborhood Post Office as their bank. They
go there to buy money orders to pay their bills.
Rather than closing the station or branch, why
doesn't the Postal Service come up with some kind
of a plan to expand this service to these
communities?

If banks won't open branches in poor communities how about the Postal Service developing something along the line of a credit union model for these customers? This would be better than shutting down stations and branches.

2 They could find more ways to do business there.

most dependent upon the Postal Service have already had to put up with fewer collection boxes, shorter hours of operation, the elimination of vending machines and mobile units. And now they are faced with the closing of their neighborhood Post Office and possible cutbacks in delivery from six days to five. To these people the USPS is the branch of the government that they know and rely on. They don't need cutbacks and closings. They need better service.

It is time to decide whether Postal Service is here to serve all members of the public or here to be a money-making business that views retail operations as a costly expense that it would rather do away with as quickly as possible.

If the answer is that the Postal Service is here to serve the public, then better answers have to be found rather than closing needed stations and branches.

Postmaster General Potter has stated that the closing of stations and branches nationally will bring about minimal savings. Here

in New York City each and every one of the 14

finance stations in Manhattan and the Bronx still

being considered for closure by the Post Office

are bringing in more revenue then they cost to

operate. In other words, all 14 are making money

for the Postal Service. Why are they still on a

list?

A short time ago the union got a call from a local television station because of ongoing complaints that they were getting from people who were using the Fordham Station in the Bronx. Shorter hours, longer lines, the service at the station had fallen drastically.

The union's Director of Industrial Relations, Frankie Sanchez, went to the station. He was interviewed in front of Fordham Station by the reporter and explained that the Post Office had reduced the number of employees assigned to the station resulting in longer lines and poor service. He was factual.

Bronx Postal management was upset with the report and angry with Mr. Sanchez for making them look bad. The reason I relate this story at this time is that with the proposed

closings in the Bronx, Fordham Station will have
people going there, longer lines, they will have
more people going there with longer lines and
continued poor service. And the same is true
throughout all of Manhattan.

The intent is not to make the Postal management look bad; it is to provide what is necessary for the public we are mandated to serve. There is a process that Postal management uses that is called a Function Four. These actions pull window clerks out of virtually every station in the City, cutting back on service to the public drastically. There isn't one station in New York City where you can walk in and not find a line wrapped around a lobby.

At the same time the Postal Service spent \$50 million nationally, putting employees in what we called the Blue Rooms where they are paid for eight hours doing no physical work, instead of putting them to work to serve the public. This was done to justify eliminating positions, cutting back on service while the public suffered.

Now if any of these 14 stations are closed, the pressure put on neighboring stations

2.

to absorb the increase in volume in mail and
service will be overwhelming. The Postal Service
has faced a severe drop in mail volume because of
the drastic economic drop, downturn in business.
But its financial crisis is more a result of
mandates and restraints imposed upon it by
Congress with the passage of the Postal
Accountability and Enhancement Act of 2006 which
this union opposed.

It is time to correct shortcomings of the legislation without placing the entire burden on the people who most depend upon the Postal Service. This is the time for everyone to come together to find solutions to the problems that the Postal Service is facing but without losing sight of the mission that it has been entrusted with, to serve the needs of the American people.

Postal closings are not the solution. They are part of the problem. I applaud the City Council for considering this Resolution. I also add that it is incumbent upon all of us to do what we can to convince the Postal Service that nothing less than an immediate

moratorium on Postal closings is what is needed to
protect the needs of the citizens who rely most
upon the Postal Service in New York City. I am
happy to answer any questions that you may have
and thank you very much for allowing me to
testify.

CHAIRPERSON SEARS: Thank you very much. We'll ask questions after we hear Mr. Wall. Thank you. Before you start, I wish to introduce our colleague, Erik Dilan from Brooklyn, thank you for being here.

MR. CLARENCE A. WALL, JR: Good morning. First I want to thank you for your time and your attention and your help. I have a document before me where a community in West Virginia went to the Postal Regulatory Commission with their complaints, their concerns, about a closing of a Post Office in West Virginia, Hacker Valley, West Virginia.

This document was issued and signed off yesterday, October 19th, 2009 where the Postal Commission found the Postal Service in error.

They were in favor of the community of the postal closings. The document number is A 2009-1. The

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 19
2	explanation been given to the union?
3	MS. TORRANCE: Well we know that
4	their design is to show that
5	CHAIRPERSON SEARS: [Interposing]
6	Sure.
7	MS. TORRANCE:they have this
8	many down hours so that they can attempt to take
9	away our no layoff protection at the signing of
10	the next contract negotiations. We know the
11	purpose.
12	CHAIRPERSON SEARS: Hum. When do
13	your negotiations come
14	MS. TORRANCE: [Interposing]
15	November 2010. So by that time they will have
16	accumulated thousands of downtime hours across the
17	country. It's not just here, they do it
18	nationwide.
19	CHAIRPERSON SEARS: Just on a
20	personal comment, I have seen and I'm very
21	familiar with the practices of the Postal
22	Services. And certainly one of the Post Offices
23	in my District is the Jackson Heights one, which
24	I'm sure you're very familiar with, being so
25	extremely overcrowded and one of the few that also

provides passport service. And I know that there
are always empty windows at that Post Office--

MS. TORRANCE: [Interposing] Yes.

CHAIRPERSON SEARS: Always. And I also recognize that there isn't 100% manpower at any given time, people are on vacation or they're out ill or, you know, various things so they're not operating with a full percentage but at the same time it seems kind of ludicrous that those windows should be closed when there is so much that needs services.

And I agree with you. I think one of the awful things is that although modern technology and we're so advanced covers a lot and certain it has its very big advantages, it excludes an entire population. I mean it seems almost wild because we make certain that we keep everyone alive, living longer, and then we have governmental services that exclude that entire population. It's just ludicrous to do that and I've always spoken out against that.

Because as much as we may have emails and computers, I can tell you that I go to senior centers and even though they're learning

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 22
2	was here figuring
3	MS. TORRANCE: [Interposing]
4	COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS:or 138 th
5	Street and 5 th Avenue which is approximately 6.5
6	blocks because we're talking City blocks.
7	MS. TORRANCE: Um-hum.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: Do you
9	find that in certain communities that these areas,
10	these small businesses and the residents are far
11	less likely to have and/or to use computers in
12	order to pay bills, etcetera?
13	MS. TORRANCE: Oh most definitely.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: And that
15	therefore they rely upon the Postal System, maybe
16	for money orders but also for their mail. Also
17	the Postal System for the last 15 years has been
18	encouraging people to purchase stamps online
19	and/or through the mail.
20	MS. TORRANCE: Um-hum.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: Do you
22	find that these small businesses do not do that
23	and instead go to the Post Office to buy their
24	rolls of stamps or their stamps that they need?
25	MS. TORRANCE: Yes. And most

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 24
2	use the Post Office, use Post Office boxes rather
3	than having their mail delivered to their
4	building
5	COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS:
6	[Interposing] Because not all of the communities
7	have been totally developed
8	MS. TORRANCE: [Interposing]
9	Exactly.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS:so we
11	have Postal mailboxes that have been broken into
12	or threatened
13	MS. TORRANCE: [Interposing] That's
14	true.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS:and
16	certain communities we use the Postal mailboxes
17	because we have the fear of losing our checks.
18	MS. TORRANCE: Yes.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: Because we
20	don't use direct deposit in certain communities,
21	would that be correct?
22	MS. TORRANCE: That is very
23	correct.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: Um-hum.
25	All right, I just wanted clarityI wanted it

CHAIRPERSON SEARS: [Interposing]

Well you'll hear from us, really. I truly--

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

comments by all of you--

MS. TORRANCE:

[Interposing] That's

25

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 28
2	so true.
3	CHAIRPERSON SEARS:so we will
4	certainly be on top of this. And thank
5	MS. TORRANCE: [Interposing]
6	Thanks.
7	CHAIRPERSON SEARS:you for
8	coming.
9	MR. WALL: Thank you.
10	MS. TORRANCE: Thank you again.
11	CHAIRPERSON SEARS: Yes. Um-hum.
12	[Off mic]
13	[Pause]
14	CHAIRPERSON SEARS: Oh okay. So.
15	[Pause]
16	CHAIRPERSON SEARS: Um-hum. We're
17	also joined by my colleague Larry Seabrook from
18	Manhattan, thank you Councilman for joining us.
19	[Off mic] The Bronx.
20	CHAIRPERSON SEARS: The Bronx,
21	sorry, sorry about that. How could I do that? He
22	was always whispering in my ear every time we sat
23	together, the Bronx, the Bronx.
24	[chuckling] Yeah we are. That's the first time
25	I've everit's because you're not sitting next to

б

	Thank y	ou for re	esponding to o	ur
questionnaire	for the	proposed	consolidation	of
the West Villa	ige Post	Office.		

First of all I'd like to use--I liked their code word consolidation, really is code word for closing.

The Postal Service appreciates receiving the views of those of you who have submitted comments to the survey that was conducted from July 31st through August 14th. Your comments will be considered carefully as the matter is reviewed further in my office and at higher levels within the Postal Service.

When a final decision is made a public notice will be posted in the lobby of the West Village Post Office. Anyone disagreeing with this decision will have the right to appeal to the Postal Rate Commission in Washington, D.C.

And it's signed, sincerely,

Lorraine A. Castellano. And she--the address

there is Postmaster, New York District, United

States Postal Services, 421 8th Avenue, Room 3016,

New York, New York 10119.

My comment is that this is a very

б

specifically to the projected closing of the West Village Post Office at 527 Hudson Street in Manhattan. And we thank the Committee for giving us the opportunity to present this testimony.

Post Office is a very important component of the neighborhood. Village residents including many elderly and many disabled individuals who depend on a local postal service facility, closing this Post Office would present a serious disadvantage to such citizens. It would potentially necessitate using public transportation to get to the closest Post Office, making such a trip both time-consuming and costly. And most of us, in fact, do not pay online.

Many Village residents find it difficult to navigate or simply cannot easily afford City transportation. At the very least it is shameful that now we are only notified as you have just heard, we are only notified of potential closings through mailings and/or newspaper notices whereas passage HR 658 in particular would ensure citizens the ability to participate in such decisions at public hearings.

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 34
2	DR. ZANER: No, no, don't be afraid
3	to ask me.
4	CHAIRPERSON SEARS: That's true.
5	We're joined by our colleague Council Member Peter
6	Vallone from Astoria, Queens. Thank you for being
7	here. It's interesting that they attempted to
8	close it once before
9	DR. ZANER: [Interposing] Yes.
LO	CHAIRPERSON SEARS:and there
11	was, I guess a strong rally from all of the
12	different levels, from the
L3	DR. ZANER: [Interposing] And
L4	Representative
15	CHAIRPERSON SEARS:officials.
L6	DR. ZANER:Nadler was very
L7	helpful as well as our Assembly Member and Council
L8	Member.
L9	CHAIRPERSON SEARS: I think it's
20	kind of unfortunate but unfortunately it happens,
21	that there has to be that kind of pressure. And
22	for those, you know, that voices are not as
23	strong, they really get to suffer and things get
24	done that they don't want to be done.
25	DR. ZANER: It was interesting what

they did two years ago. They closed it with signs
saying that it was being renovated or painted or
something. And then it was never going to open.
And so they did infect renovate it very nicely and
opened it after there were meetings with our
representatives

CHAIRPERSON SEARS: [Interposing]
Um-hum. We're going to get a list of the proposed closings to see what we can actually do about this. But I--we're going to do everything to see that we can get this Reso passed very quickly.

DR. ZANER: Wonderful.

CHAIRPERSON SEARS: So that we can.

And it's interesting because as before with my own

Congressman, he had a bill that was stuck in

committee, and I had said to him that perhaps

we'll do a Resolution. And it was his first

introduction to Resolutions.

And two weeks later he said he was amazed at the influence of what we send from the City Council because it really got out of committee and it was an educational bill that he had in. So I'm very hopeful that if we can pass this out of committee when we have to and then

б

2 today for the Congressional Record in support of
3 these two bills.

And it reads:

Madam Speaker, I rise today in support of the Council of the City of New York's Resolution Number 2166 calling upon the United States House to pass HR 658 which is the Access to Postal Service Act. Thanks to our work in Congress, HR 22, the US Postal Service Financial Relief Act has passed the House and similar legislation has cleared the Senate.

This great legislation assists the US Postal Service with financial expenses and stops unnecessary closure of neighborhood Post Offices, increasing community input as the Postal Service reorganizes its branches throughout the City of New York. If we do not follow up with the speedy passage of HR 658, the Postal Service will be forced to close over 700 locations throughout the United States including 53 locations in New York City alone.

In addition to the Borough of Queens, Manhattan will be adversely affected with the closure of 25 locations which includes my

Harlem community. In my own District the Tejada	ì
Post Office was almost a victim to these closure	3 5
the well-regarded institution was named after a	
member of the Third Battalion Fifth Marine	
Regiment who was killed during combat operations	3
in Baghdad, Irag on April 11, 2003.	

He died at the tender age of 26 but thanks to the help of people like District Leader Maria Luna, City Councilman Robert Jackson,
Assemblyman Danny Farrell and Adriano Spiat, as well as Council Member-elect Ydanis Rodriguez,
Community Board 12 and the Northern Manhattan
Improvement Corporation, a grassroots coalition came together and demanded that it remain open.

We ought to work to make sure that nothing of the sort happens in communities across this great nation. Both these bills will assist people in staying connected with the world while not hoisting great financial burdens on New Yorkers or other American who rely on these nearby Post Offices.

Senior citizens and low income

Americans would be most vulnerable to these

shutdowns. And as Americans we ought to look

after the most vulnerable in our society. May the
Congress work as quickly as it can to alleviate
this problem and ensure that these Post Offices
remain open. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON SEARS: Why thank you. You're from? Go ahead.

MR. JIM MUSAMICHI: Good morning
Chairperson Sears and the Committee. My name is
Jim Musamichi [phonetic]; I'm the President of the
Brooklyn Local American Postal Workers Union. And
I sit before you this morning very fortunate and
happy to testify that there are no scheduled
closings at this time in Brooklyn.

Several months ago however there
were 18 or 19 Post Offices scheduled to close and
if not for the efforts of Congressman Nadler,
Congresswoman Yvette Clark, State Assemblyman
Colton and the media putting the pressure on the
Postal Service, without any rhyme or reason or any
explanation, they just completely backed off.

My concern however is if they are successful in closing any Post Offices in the surrounding Boroughs, in particular here in Manhattan, that the Post Office will again revisit

because their comment is they're not going toclose these places right now.

As we speak in this chamber I believe the Postal Service is going out of their way to try and create contract postal units throughout this City. And that will certainly affect the sanctity of the mail. Contract postal units are sections of stores, bodegas, where they operate and sell postal products under the banner of the Postal Service. However the employees that work in these locations do not have background checks, they're not screened, they're not trained professionals. So there is a tremendous concern as to what can happen with the mail.

I want to address a couple of comments made by the Committee concerning these Blue Rooms or standby rooms which are actually running throughout this country. The location in Brooklyn is at our general mail facility where we have recovering, injured workers predominantly working in this room.

And rather than have them work with chairs with back support, they have removed all the chairs with back support from the Post Office

2 so these employees cannot go and work and produce 3 for this country on the workroom floors.

They've done this intentionally to justify the elimination of jobs. And that goes to their plan of running these contract postal units and contracting out as much work as possible.

The other comment I want to address that you made, Ms. Chairperson, is that the empty windows that you see throughout Post Offices, they are more than not just being manned by postal workers. What the Postal Service has done has removed the hardware, the equipment, that would enable a person to go work. The equipment called POS machines which actually does all the processing of the postage and money orders, they've been removed unilaterally by the Post Office.

In Brooklyn we've challenged this through the NLRB and unfortunately were unsuccessful. The NLRB dismissed our charge of unilateral action and no negotiation for this and basically right now the unions' internal remedies are pretty much getting to the point of exhausted as far as trying to protect the sanctity of the

б

2 mails, the staffing and the service given to the 3 public.

Also as we speak, which will probably be the subject of another hearing, they're downsizing the letter carrier craft in an extreme way. And it is going to cause significant delay in the deliver of mail throughout this country. Every single Postal Service right now is having a reduction in carrier routes which means letter carriers are going to have to do more streets along the way and it's going to take that much longer for the public to get their—to receive their mail. So aside from the lines in each Postal Service throughout the City, this is taking place also as we speak.

So I ask for your continued support. I thank you for your continued support and allowing us to come before you and testify.

Certainly the closing or consolidation as the community leader stated would affect the life of many people who use the Post Office as their bank.

Some of the stations we had scheduled to close in Brooklyn, New Lutz, Cypress Hills, Halsey and Bedford-Stuyvesant, the people are using the Post

bill is passed by our illustrious President.

25

But here where is says whereas the
two Boroughs, on page 8, that would be most
adversely affected, and the key word that stuck
out to me was most adversely. And on the next
paragraph where is say whereas the Post Office is
an important but often overlooked, and I think
Madam Chair that was the two words that stuck out,
Councilman Felder, with all due respect, was
adversely and overlooked.

And so we don't want anything, you know, to come down the pike. So I commend you and we commend you for your work. I was discussing it with my wife who's worked for the government as well. And it was just--I'm only 43 years old when it was just unheard of in my short lifespan of closing a Post Office.

This is a--I used to work at a company called NEC in Long Island. And I had the postal route. I started in the mail room. And we know it's a vital, you know, entity for our community.

So and our main concern is that there is none closed, you know, any racial divide.

And like I said the late Councilman James E.

Davis, I was with his prother several weeks ago at
a function, Council Member Artie Spigna
[phonetic], I'm a member of the United Black Men,
he's one of the founding members there in Queens.
And we just had that Post Office open a few years
back. And the late Shirley Chisholm was all three
concerns that we were worried about. So I commend
you and your work and we commend you as an
organization. Thank you very much for your time.

all for giving your time to really deal with what is a very alarming issue. And I think you can be assured that we will do everything we can to see that this Resolution gets down to Congress and follow up with that, it's not just doing it, it's really--because there's just so much more that's affected by doing this. And I think it just seems like to me there's a pattern that's running throughout.

You talk about the contracting for the generally stationary stores and they become stores of everything. And I've been in there when they come in with packages, buying stamps and so on as well as taking care of their own business.

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 50
2	[Background conversation]
3	CHAIRPERSON SEARS: Bill, let's
4	take a group photo. Guys. Oh here he has them.
5	Let's take a group photo. Peter, of the
6	Committee, let's take a group photo. Yes. I want
7	you guys in. We're going to take
8	[Off mic]
9	CHAIRPERSON SEARS: Oh okay.
10	[END 1002.MP3]

I, Laura L. Springate certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

Laura L. Springete

Signature ____Laura L. Springate_____

Date _____October 25, 2009____