CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

----- X

July 22, 2019 Start: 2:17 p.m. Recess: 2:32 p.m.

HELD AT: COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

250 BROADWAY-COMMITTEE ROOM, 16TH

FLOOR

B E F O R E: YDANIS A. RODRIGUEZ

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS: Fernando Cabrera

Chaim M. Deutsch Ruben Diaz, Sr.

Rafael L. Espinal, Jr.

Peter A. Koo Stephen T. Levin

Mark Levine
Carlos Menchaca
I. Daneek Miller
Antonio Reynoso
Donovan J. Richards
Deborah L. Rose

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

2 [sound check] [pause]

3 CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Today, the 4 Committee will be voting on four pieces of 5 legislation related to parking regulations, cycling 6 and sidewalk hazards (sic). The first is Proposed 7 Intro 84-A is sponsored by Council Member 8 Chin...Deutsch, sorry, would bring much needed 9 transparency to the parking regulations around city 10 schools especially during the summer months. Some 11 parking regulations are only in effect while the 12 school is in session, but motorists are not always 13 clear when this is the case. The proposed 14 Introduction will require the Department of Education 15 and Department of Transportation to post on their 16 website information on when public and charter 17 schools are in session. The second bill Proposed Intro 570-A is sponsored by Council Member Mark 18 19 Treyger will help frustrated New Yorkers who get ticketed for parking on streets that have illegal 20 21 parking signs. The bill will create affirmative 22 defend for parking violations issued to motorists who 23 inadvertently park their cars in a spot with illegal 24 parking signs as long as there are not other legible 25 signs that apply to the parking space on the same

Let's go back there. [background comments/pause]

Good afternoon. I'm Council Member Mark Treyger. I
want to thank Chair Rodriguez and the committee and
the staff for your work to support my bill Intro 570
in relation to illegible parking signs. This
legislation will resolve a frustration for residents
that receive a parking ticket when there's illegible
signage. When a parking sign is illegible, people
don't have notice that a restriction is in effect,
but can still receive a ticket. It is within the-the
Department of Finance's discretion to dismiss these
tickets, but they're not required to do so, and many
people don't know that they might be able to get
their ticket dismissed for illegible signs and the
standards for dismissal can be unclear. There should
be more pressure on the New York City Department of
Transportation to maintain the parking signs
citywide, and the onus should not be on taxpayers and
residents for the basic responsibilities of
government. But this issue speaks to greater
problems within DOT. For too long there have been
unnecessary long wait times for signs to be updated
ore even installed or replaced, and quite frankly, we
deserve legible signs. That sound basic, but basic
things sometimes do not happen. We heard from a

number of people that signs are faded, and completely
illegible. Residents don't know what the parking
regulation is and get hit with a ticket due to the
city's lack of maintenance. This legislation holds
DOT accountable for replacing signage that is illeg
illegible and allows residents an option to contest a
ticket by displaying with a photo that the sign is
indeciph—indecipherable. It allows one—it allows
people to fight a ticket by showing that both signs
or the sign were illegible, parking signs are double-
sided, and that there are no other signs on the same
side of the block that apply to the same violation
and the same spot. Drivers absolutely have to do
their part and the biggest part to be safe,
responsible and follow all traffic laws including
parking only in appropriate places. This bill does
not excuse unacceptable behavior. However, DOT must
maintain its infrastructure and making sure parking
signage is available and clear. It is a common sense
solution to holding DOE responsible for upholding the
infrastructure of our city, and I thank the Chair for
his time.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Thank you, Chair and thank you all for being here today. Um,

2 it's been I want to say almost four years since the idea came to us from the community of bicyclists in 3 4 the neighborhoods that we represent with the idea to 5 use pedestrian leading intervals as part of our infrastructure for safe bicycling in New York City. 6 7 Um, many, many conversations with advocates, many conversations with the Department of Transportation 8 and the NYPD finally led to a final version that was 9 a result of a study that was conducted over the last 10 year. That study has been positive. The question 11 that the city answered was: Is it safe for people to 12 be-a bicyclist to use a leading pedestrian interval 13 14 that allows for seven seconds for pedestrians to 15 cross an intersection, um, and allow for bicyclists 16 to use that. What we found was that bicyclists were already using this, and so this law actually is 17 18 catching up to cultural normatives right now in our 19 city that are all about safety, and so for me right 20 now I want to say thank to the advocates, and I want to read some of their comments that they've been 21 22 Tweeting about this bill. The bill, the LPI bill 23 here is will make New York City intersections safer for all cyclists at no cost to pedestrian safety as 2.4 25 shown by the DOT. Cyclists will be allowed to

proceed with pedestrian signals before turning multi-
ton vehicles. It's common sense. Thank you to the
City Council, and it's true. This will not require
any new additional signage. This will just be law.
The pilot did put signage up, and cost a little bit
of money, but now every LPI will be with this bill,
and it passes—if it passes the City Council tomorrow,
will be available to everyone. I want to read from
Makes-Make Queens Safer. We paid this ticket a few
times, which means that there was enforcement on this
from the NYPD, but they say, Teach our children the
importance of getting ahead of traffic, behind us as
it is a deadly merge. The LPI bill allows riders to
be seen, to avoid turns in the conflict, turn
conflict and safely take a position in a travel lane.
We recommend that everyone rides this way. This is
all part of our participatory Democratic process, and
I want to say think you to the people of our
communities that bring great ideas to us as Council
members, their legislators, and that we work with
city agencies together as one. This is—the is a good
example of what we're going to keep doing in the
future, and I welcome more ideas was we bring good

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER:

Chair, and, um, so, my colleague just mentioned in

Thank you, Mr.

24

his statement he was talking about safety, and
certainly that is paramount to the Council's concern
in any legislation at the table, um, but also the
fact that there will be no costs associated with it.
I-I honestly didn't come to safety knowing you can't
quantify that with costs, but I would like to see
certainly it would be education because it created an
entirely new paradigm not just for the cyclists but
for pedestrians and as well as drivers, and—and for
folks not to be aware. Quite frankly, most folks
that use the road other than the daily cyclists
aren't familiar with LPI, and so forth. I-I-I would
really like to see that happen that we have a, um, a
concerted effort to educate the—the folks that share
the road when that happens. Um, and, you know, as we
move forward. So, that was

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Okay.

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: --not necessarily a question, but a statement on that. Alright.

and in the fall we're going to be addressing many other initiatives related to expanding the opportunities not only to protect the cyclists, but also to making, um, Citi Bike and other cycling in

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH:

Aye.

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2 CLERK: Espinal.

3 COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Aye.

CLERK: Koo.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Aye.

CLERK: Levin.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Aye.

CLERK: Menchaca.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Aye, and I want to add thank you to Council Member Miller who brought up the education piece, and part of the conversations that we're having are about saving lives, and there have been over a dozen people that have died in the streets of New York City, and so my, um, my commitment continues to be for a true Vision Zero. I want to read another really quick Tweet. Someone is saying, um, Peter Kaufman saying: Treating bikes a equivalent to cars as has been standard practice for decades has been proven to be wrong, dangerous and too often fatal. Um, this bill recognizes our bicyclists are not motorists, and so, this is the kind of education that we want to do to really understand how we can be safe on our streets, and really, um, ownership to the past, and changing that.

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

2 For the future and for that reason, I vote age on all the—all the bills today.

CLERK: Miller.

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: I'm...I'm going to be abstaining.

CLERK: Reynoso.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Permission granted.

Say data is real. The LPIs work, and I want to congrat--congratulate cyclists because we-today we made the a little safer, and I want to congratulate Carlos Menchaca for the work that he did. I do have a concern in 886-A, which speaks to pet-allow pet harbors to be placed on sidewalks adjacent to commercial establishments. While I am supportive of this legislation and will be voting on it, I want to make sure that I speak regarding sidewalk space being used and oversight on the street furniture and objects in sidewalks. We all know that pedestrian space is limited. What we should be doing is considering using streets and spaces that are now

22

23

${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date July 26, 2019