CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

----- X

JUNE 20, 2019

Start: 10:10 A.M. Recess: 12.40 P.M.

HELD AT: 250 Broadway - Committee Room

16th Floor

B E F O R E: FRANCISCO MOYA

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Barry Grodenchik

Rory I. Lancman

Stephen T. Levin

Antonio Reynoso

Donovan J. Richards

Carlina Rivera

Peter Koo

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Robert Callahan

Robert Brisken

Richard Lobel Sheldon Lobel P.C.

David Rosenberg

Rachel Skal (sp?), Associate Greenberg Charg

Todd Macaluso (sp?)

Ethan Goodman, Legal Counsel Fox Rothschild

Betty McIntosh, Co-chair Manhattan Community Board for Chelsea Land Use Committee

Jodi Stein, Land Use Counsel Harrick, Fein, Steen

John Clifford S9 Architecture

Nick Brown, Applicant Kimco Realty

Udre Gutierrez, New York Resident

Jasmine Unknown, Translator

Michael Madrid, New York Resident

Martha Flores Vasquez, District Leader Oueens

Wen Tao Zhao (sp?), New York Resident

Terrance Park, New York Resident

John Ha, New York Resident

Jack Zang (sp?), New York Resident

Beverly McDermitt, President Kissena Park Civic Association

Denise Winters, President Holly Civic Association

Carol Marrone, Vice President Holly Civic Association

Kevin Forrestall, President Queens Civic Congress

Billy Azuran (sp?), New York Resident

Hang Hari (sp?), New York Resident

Pang Hang (sp?), New York Resident

Eddie Abrams, member Holly Civic Association

Kathleen Kennedy, member Kissena Park Civic Association

Carsten Glaser, member Kissena Park Civic Association

Stephen Smith Open New York

Brendan Levy, Business Development Manager Queens Chamber of Commerce

Amy Woo, New York Resident
Yi Chen (sp?), New York Resident
Jenny Wang, New York Resident
Pauline Na, New York Resident
Roland Wade, New York Resident
Edward Chin, New York Resident
Jack Tuan, New York Resident
Doreen Bartnakowski, New York Resident

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: sound check for the subcommittee on zoning, franchises being heard in the committee room City Hall recorded by Israel Martinez June 20th, 2019. There we go.

[gavel]

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Good morning and welcome to the meeting of the subcommittee on zoning and franchises. Council member Francisco Moya, the chairperson of the subcommittee, and today we are joined by Council members Rivera and Council member Koo. If you are here to testify, please fill out a speaker slip with the Sergeant-at-arms indicating your full name and application name or LU number you wish to testify on. I would like to first know that we will be laying over LU number 419, the court square block three text amendment. Our first hearing is on LU 438, and application by Center Boulevard Restaurant LLC, American Brass for the new revocable concern for an unenclosed sidewalk café located at 201 50th Avenue and cleans and Council member Van Bramer's district. I know the public hearing on this application and I would like to call up Robert Callahan and Robert Brisken.

[Background comments]

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 6
2	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Do you have a prepared
3	statement you'd like to
4	ROBERT CALLAHAN: I do. Yeah.
5	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: I'll take it and I'll
6	distribute it for you.
7	ROBERT CALLAHAN: Okay. Thank you.
8	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Thank you.
9	ROBERT CALLAHAN: Oh. Actually
10	[inaudible 00:02:03] Thank you.
11	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: You got it.
12	ROBERT CALLAHAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
13	CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. Counsel,
14	please swear in the panel.
15	LEGAL COUNSEL: Please state your name
16	as part of your response. To use swear or affirm
17	that the testimony you are about to get will be the
18	truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth and
19	that you will answer all questions truthfully?
20	ROBERT CALLAHAN: I do.
21	ROBERT BRISKEN: I do.
22	LEGAL COUNSEL: Please state record.
23	ROBERT BRISKEN: Robert Brisken.
24	ROBERT CALLAHAN: Mr. Chairman, at this
25	time, I would like to read a letter of agreement that

member Van Bramer,

Please accept this letter as confirmation of our agreement with you. As per our agreement with community board two of Queens, on 6-20-2019, the sidewalk café seating in New York City property will be reduced from 24 tables and 86 seats to 12 tables and 48 seats. The hours of operation will be 11 AM to 10 PM Sunday to Thursday 11 AM to 11 PM on Friday and Saturday. These are the hours agreed to with the community board at the hearing. We did not propose later hours. The new plans and compliance checklist showing 12 tables and 48 seats has already been submitted to the New York City Department of Consumer Affairs. We will store all the sidewalk café furniture against the restaurant façade on our private property at night. If anything else is required, please contact my representative, Michael Kelly, 914-740-3580.

Sincerely, Robert Brisken, Member.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Okay. That's a

testimony for today? 25

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2 ROBERT BRISKEN: Yes.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

all questions truthfully?

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: That's what you-- Thank Thank you. Thank you. Are there any other members of the public who wish to testify? Seeing none, I now close the public hearing on this application. We will now turn our public hearings to our next hearing, which is on LU number 470 for the 76 Drive and Austin Street rezoning for property and Council member Koslowitz's district in Queens. applicant seeks approval of a zoning math amendment to rezone an existing R2 zoning district in the neighborhood of Forest Hills as an R32 district. proposed action would facilitate the legalization and expansion of use group for medical offices within the existing buildings located at 111 - 04 76th Drive and 11103 77th Avenue. I now hope in the public hearing on this application and I would like to call up Richard Lobel and David Rosenberg. Thank you. Counsel, if you could please swear in the panel? LEGAL COUNSEL: Please save your full name as part of your response. Do use swear that the testimony you are about to give the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth and you will answer

2 RICHARD LOBEL: Richard Lobel. I do.

DAVID ROSENBERG: David Rosenberg. I do.

LEGAL COUNSEL: Thank you. You may

begin.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Good morning, Chair, RICHARD LOBEL: Council members. Again, Richard Lobel from Sheldon Lobel joined by David Rosenberg and we are here today to discuss the Austin Street rezoning. So, as you can see from the circled area on the map, this district is currently zoned R 2 and is bordered on the west and south by an existing R 6 district. district was rezoned and are around 2000 and far as the Q forest rezoning and, as you can see from the highlighted area on the tax map, the zoning district that is sought is an R 32. Very simply, what this would do is to allow existing nonconforming medical office located within both lot one and Lot 61 as part of the rezoned area to become conforming uses under the R 32. You can see the land use in the area reflects both larger density R 6 buildings to the west and south as well as to the-- more singlefamily character of the area to the north and east. The area is also encumbered by restrictive covenants so that, on this spot, within the R 2 district and

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

within the proposed R 32, there would be no multifamily buildings allowed. This is pursuant to longstanding restrictive covenants. So the medical office that is existing amounts to roughly 1600 square feet and each of the two buildings. community board was very much in favor of this. Voted 34 to 2 in favor. That was community board six in Queens and this approval was, again, issued by the Queens Borough Pres., as well as the city planning commission. The R 32 here scene is appropriate for two reasons. The first is that this will the conforming use at the property from an existing nonconforming doctor's office, so it will allow this to become conforming, as well as the fact that it serves as a decent buffer between the lower density R 2 and the higher density R 6 to the south and west. I'd also note, of course, that, with regards to the zoning calculations -- and we threw the table up on the screen right now. There are no basic bulk differences between the R 2 and the R 32. So, the .5 FAR for residential remains the same. Though one FAR for community facility remains the same. other than slight variations in height and setback,

the major difference here is-- for us is medical

2.2

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. Just one quick question. Are there any plan changes to parking on the site?

parking area between the buildings. This is going to be improved as part of the as of right requirements in the R32. So, there's additional planting that will be asked for and some curb cut adjustment will be made. This was detailed in a letter to city planning, which has been submitted to the Council, as well.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Okay. And is there any other development expected on the site due to the rezoning?

RICHARD LOBEL: No. There is none. The real advantage to the applicant here is that they will be able to ask and within existing buildings.

Right now, they can't even allow for back office

permits for physical, cultural establishment use from

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

overlay to a depth of 150 feet from the north side of 23rd Avenue and 125 feet from the East side of 38th Street into a depth of 100 feet from the north side of 23rd Avenue and 75 feet from the west side of Steinway Street and Todd Macaluso is here on behalf of the applicant to help answer any questions. Currently, the portion of the rezoning area within 100 feet of the northern side of 23rd Avenue and within 100 feet of the western side of Steinway Street is an a R5D zoning district and the remainder of the rezoning area is an and R5B residential zoning district. The proposed rezoning not change the underlying districts which were part employees as part of the 2010 story a rezoning. Our client owns 3801 23rd Avenue Lot 1, outlined in red on the slide. It's in approximately 17,000 square foot lot improved with a four-story 50 foot tall loft building with a one-story interior portion. The building wait to you see here was constructed in 1912. As part of the story at Silk Works complex which formally took up this block. It contains approximately 48,700 square feet of floor area. They uses in the area have been legal, nonconforming since the enactment of the 1961 zoning resolution and the building is legal

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Can I just--

RACHEL SKAL: Today, the building--

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: I'm sorry. Can I just ask you to move the microphone a little closer? I

Can--

RACHEL SKAL: Sorry.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Yeah. Thank you.

RACHEL SKAL: Today, the building contains a mix of residential and commercial uses, including offices, music rehearsal and production space, acupuncture, a tattoo artist, a Cross Fit gym, and a yoga studio. It's a bit of a local office park in there. The rezoning area also encompasses lot 61 and 64. Lot 61 is improved with a two story mixed-use building housing and auto body shop, building supply, and residential uses lot-- sorry. And that's-- so that's the black and yellow auto body entrance you see right there, as well as the building on the right in this photo. And then, lot 64 is improved with a three story mixed-use building on the left there housing a residential uses and a café with this garage along 23rd Avenue. There is no development or

answer any questions.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. Just two quick questions and I'm not sure if you said this. I might not have heard it. But, as this building always been included in the nonconforming use?

RACHEL SKAL: Right. So it's been-- they uses have been nonconforming since the 1961 zoning resolution went into place.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Okay. And is there any plan development expected on site or the adjacent site as a result of the rezoning?

RACHEL SKAL: There is no development expected.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: That's it. Thank you very much.

RACHEL SKAL: Thank you.

testimony. Are there any other members of the public who ways to testify? Seeing them, and now close the public hearing on this application and it will be laid over. Our next hearing is on LU number 466 for the 115 West 18th Street garage special permit for property in Council Speaker Johnson's district in Manhattan. The applicant seeks approval for a special permit to allow a 180 the space attended

accessory parking garage within a future as of right mixed-use development to be located at 115 West 18th Street, which is in the special West Chelsea district. And also with in a C 62 zoning district. The development would include approximately 181 dwelling unit, approximately 18,000 square feet of retail space, absent the special permit approximately 41 accessory parking spaces located at the cellar I know that the application has been modified since it was certified and began the public process review. Prior to this city planning commissions vote, the applicant modified its application by, among other changes, reducing the total amount of requested spaces from 180 to 110, adding new public bicycles spaces and reducing the number of proposed parking stackers. I now opened the public hearing on this application and we call up Ethel Goodman. Jeff Rubin. Seth Wright. And, counsel, can you please square in the panel?

LEGAL COUNSEL: Please state your full name for the-- as part of your response. Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you are about to give be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 19
2	
	the truth and that you will answer all questions
3	truthfully?
4	ETHAN GOODMAN: Ethan Goodman. I do.
5	SETH WRIGHT: Seth Wright. I do.
6	BETTY MCINTOSH: Betty McIntosh.
7	JEFF RUBIN: Jeff Rubin. I did.
8	LEGAL COUNSEL: Ms. McIntosh, sorry. If
9	you could fill out a speaker card and submit it to
10	the Sergeant-at-arms?
11	CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Can you fill out we
12	need you to fill out one of these, if you could.
13	BETTY MCINTOSH: Are you talking to me?
14	CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Yes.
15	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Yes.
16	BETTY MCINTOSH: Yes. I found one out.
17	I gave it to the officer.
18	CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Oh, sorry. It was
19	marked incorrectly. Oh. Got it. Oh.
20	[Backroom comments]
21	BETTY MCINTOSH: I gave it to the
22	CHAIRPERSON MOYA: So, Ms. McIntosh, we
23	will bring you up at the next panel. These are the
24	presenters for the proposal and then we will bring

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

you in any other member of the public that is testifying in support or in opposition right after.

BETTY MCINTOSH: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: I also want to tell folks please leave until we call your name before coming up to the panel so we can avoid the confusion. Thank you.

ETHAN GOODMAN: Okay. Good morning, Chair Moya, Council members. Thank you for having us today. My name is Ethan Goodman. I'm with the firm of Fox Rothschild and we represent the applicant for an accessory parking garage at 515 West 18th Street. The site is located on the corner of 10th Avenue and West 18th Street and extends to West 19th Street in places, as well. Currently, there is a 181 unit building under construction. Zoning permits a 41 space accessory parking garage here as of right and, by way of background, the site was substantially excavated to remediate contaminated soils pursuant to a New York State Brownfields cleanup program. As a result, this resulted in a substantial amount of removal of soils and excavation, which left us with an approximately 36,000 square foot excavated sellers space that needed to be programmed. Because of the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

floodplain here is fairly high, it makes the cellar unusable for a lot of users: retail and amenity. we determine that parking was really the most viable and appropriate use of the cellar. So, pursuant to the special permit we are applying under, there is certain quantitative findings that have been established to ensure the number of spaces you are requesting is appropriate. And one of those is looking at the neighborhood vicinity and how many parking spaces have been developed in the past 10 years versus the number of residential units that have been developed. This is somewhat different than the regulations that were in place before the special permit was passed with the intention that some of these special permits were intended to serve not necessarily just the building, but also the larger neighborhood given that some of those buildings would not build their own parking. And so we looked at that and then I am joined doing -- thank Jeff Rubin of Philip Habib and Associates that did the parking demand study and we determined in the past 10 years and then approximately seven block radius, there are over 2000 new residential buildings that were developed, yet only a net increase of about 77

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

residential parking spaces. This translates to a four percent residential parking growth ratio and a special permit allows for the application of spaces to fill up to a 20 percent target for the neighborhood. So, currently substantially below that. And what's happened in the last 10 years in the neighborhood is that these units-- a lot of these units are in building that built no parking whatsoever. And so, we saw at least about 20 buildings constructed with over 500 dwelling units that had no parking. And that means that new residents that generally own cars at a ratio of about 1 to 4 brought over 500 additional cars in the neighborhood in the past 10 years. So, initial application requested an increase of 141 spaces over the as of right 41 spaces. Sorry, the math is off. 139 spaces. And that would result in 100 A.D. phase That would increase the ratio to overall garage. eight percent, which is still well below the 20 percent ratio that is permitted. The community board, as well as the borough president and city planning commission did raise concerns on a couple of friends, one of which seems to be an ongoing about the findings for this special permit, which talks

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

about neighborhood parking and not just parking to the building for which its accessory. And, if you look at just the building, the way the numbers work out, although this wasn't intentional, we have 181 space building and 180 space parking facility. So, some saw that is, essentially, a one-to-one ratio of spaces to people today units in the building, which was not intended. So, in response to these concerns, what we did is leverage is the request by more than 50 percent and the request is now to add C9 additional space is to have 110 total. We did that by removing substantial number of stackers and sellers space by converting some space to residential storage and also by responding to another concern of the borough president and city planning commission which is to provide affordable bike parking. We have provided 20 bike parking spaces for the public in this car. We have committed to affordable pricing of about five dollars a day for Steve is and these are over of the accessory bike parking spaces that would be in the first floor of the building for building residents. And this reduction, there is a logic here. And what this reduction does is it links the spaces more closely to the demand from the building

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

to which it is accessory. We've got a balancing here with a lot of big units, based on census data that we put together, the building is estimated to generate a demand for about 115 spaces, so we have reduced the number two and number less than that, which is 110 spaces, well within the demand that will be generated for the building. So, again, the building is no longer intended to house cars, necessarily, from outside the neighborhood or from even outside buildings in the neighborhoods or transients. really focused just on the residents of the building. And so there are other findings which are qualitative for the special permit and that how will this affect the functioning of the street? We want to be clear. Right now, there are about five curb cuts on the north side of the street. This building and the parking garage will reduce that to one curb cut. That curb cut located meant block, very far from the intersections of both the West side Highway, 11th Avenue, and the high line stairs on 10th Avenue to the east. The size of the garage, our traffic analysis indicates, are relatively few cars will enter and exit in the peak hour and there are a few peak hour pedestrians or bicycles that go down this

street, too. We think it's well situated and very

3 safe as far as the neighborhood. That summarizes our

4 formal presentation. My colleagues from Philip Habib

 \parallel and Associates are here with respect to any questions

6 you have in the environmental review or the layout of

7 | the garage.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. Can we just go back to the number of parking spaces? So, the borough president recommended that if the reduced to 100. You modified it to 110, but why did you only bring down the number of spaces to 110 and not 100?

wanted to make sure our modification was rooted and sort of something that's not just an arbitrary modification. It was rooted in methodology that would tie closely to the demand from the building and we really pulled senses stayed on the demand for the building and got to a number that is close to about 110. There is a second component here also and that's that another recommendation of the borough presidents was that we employ— we tried again in a car shares service. She— I think she—

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: [inaudible 00:25:37]

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

still like to do that. We know car shares services, while the zoning permits up to 20 percent of the garage to be used for car share, typically car shares services such as Zipcar and other don't really want as many spaces as that. They usually want closer to, maybe, six or eight or 10 spaces. So, we wanted to give a little bit of room even above the 100 to be able to continue to pursue car share for this garage.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Uh-hm.

ETHAN GOODMAN: Although, we can't commit to that today because we don't have a deal with a car sharing service. But there is a little buffer in there for that.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: So, go back to your methodology--

ETHAN GOODMAN: Right.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: for coming up with this.

You said you did a census study?

ETHAN GOODMAN: That's right.

22 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: And can you tell me a

little bit about that?

ETHAN GOODMAN: Sure.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Yeah.

So, census data is,

2 ETHAN GOODMAN: 3 basically, provides -- there's some cross tabs on 4 5 6 7 8

1

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

census data and they've got a subset of data from the American community survey that, basically, senses availability of vehicles to people who live in census tracts. So, we looked at me immediately surrounding census tracks and we looked at-- we basically--I'll go back to the page that shows it.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Uh-hm.

ETHAN GOODMAN: This 181 unit building, while overall census tracts in the area have generally a ratio of between 26 and 35 percent car ownership, our units are very heavily weighted toward large units that would house families and census data really shows, as the number of people in the household increases, the percentage of car ownership increases substantially, as well. And so we crossed tab do with both sides of units and also, generally, household incomes. Lately more affluent incomes tend to have car ownership

at a higher level, too. And so, processing that data together with this particular building, not just applying a generic number to the neighborhood, really good you to a number of that--

2 really, a conservative number of 150 and it could
3 likely be higher than that, but that's a conservative

4 number.

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Okay. Thank you. And are there any—— I should say what other as of right uses debut consider for the space and why weren't they viable?

So, there are not a lot ETHAN GOODMAN: of as of right uses you can put in here because of the flood regulations without essentially drive flood proofing this entire area and having it in a bathtub. You can put in certain amount of residential storage. Nonactive uses. We couldn't put in a residential amenities space. You could put in some retail uses, but they would really have to be limited to a more retail storage uses. Active retailing spaces are held to a higher standard, as well. So that was difficult. There is also a leasing difficulty with seller retail space in the area, as well. And so, you know, initially looking at all so what's happened in the past-- in the neighborhood in the past 10 years, there really seem to be a lot of people bringing cars and in the neighborhood, so it really seemed to be a use that was in high demand. And so

is to be completed in 2024 two interconnected towers

with 236 apartments and 137 room hotel. There will

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

5 area. From May 2015 to May 2019, there were 38

6 injuries for bike riders, pedestrians, and motorists.

And we are also concerned that the special permit for

will create pedestrian safety issues already in this

31

8 more additional parking spaces, the spaces would not

9 be fully utilized by the residents of that is 515 W.

18th Street. The Department of city planning-- now,

11 | the applicants data may be better-- stated that in

12 | 2015, the data for vehicle ownership in Manhattan

core indicates that vehicle ownership rate was 23

14 percent. The rate for households earning 130,000

dollars or more was 34 percent. And assume that

16 percent, about 62 parking spaces would be needed for

17 the new 181 apartments. And the applicant has stated

18 that, if all the parking spaces are not used by the

19 residents, the remaining spaces would be rented to

20 nonresidents. This could result and transient

21 parking which we oppose. Thank you.

22 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. Thank you so

23 much for your testimony today.

BETTY MCINTOSH: Okay.

24

4

7

25

2 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Are there any other members of the public who witness to testify on this 3 4 Seeing none, I now enclose the public hearing item? 5 on this application and it will be laid over. next hearing is on LU number 464 and 465 for the 6 7 Kissena center rezoning for property in Council member Koo's district in Queens. The applicant 8 sought approval for a zoning map amendment to rezone 9 an existing R 32 district to R 7 A and R 7 A C23 10 districts as well as a related zoning text amendment 11 12 to establish a mandatory inclusionary housing area with MIH option two. As proposed, these actions 13 would have facilitated the development of any story 14 15 mixed-use building can approximately 59,000 square feet of ground floor retail, approximately 15,000 16 square feet of community facility and residential 17 18 amenities space on the second floor, and approximately 235,000 square feet of residential use 19 20 or approximately 244 dwelling units on floors three through eight. The city planning commission voted to 21 2.2 modify the proposal to change the proposed R 7 A C 23 23 zoning district to and R 6 A C 23 district. commission also modified the application to reduce 24

the zoning boundary line from a distance originally

2 ending at Laburnum (sp?) Avenue to the distance of

3 365 feet southeasterly of Holly Avenue. I now open

4 the public hearing on this application and I would

5 like to call up Jodi Stein, Nicholas Brown, and John

6 Clifford. Oh, yes. And before we swear in the

7 panel, I would just like to turn it over to Council

8 member Koo for his remarks.

1

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Thank you. you, Chair Moya. Yeah. Hello, everyone. Yeah. Welcome to City Hall and I believe many of you, this is the first time you are here and also the first public hearing you attend. And we also want to welcome another newborn baby-- a baby here, too. This is her first time in the city government building. I am Council member Koo. We are here today to discuss the rezoning of the 46 - 15 Kissena Boulevard by Kimco Realty proposed to zone the property to R 6 A with A C 2 - 3 overlay. location, Harley Avenue and Cassandra Boulevard is a dividing line between high density downtown Flushing and the low density neighborhood of Kissena Park. the north side of Harley, we have a seven story building, so I can surely see why one might think the south side of Harley could have a similar scale.

issue with this project is that, while the location may serve as the gateway to the downtown Flushing, the other side and is low density residential homes a few stories high. We rezoned Kissena Park in 2005 and intend to keep the areas residential charm. have to draw the line somewhere, but if we keep moving the goal posts, I eventually we will run out of failed. I do worry about the-- this will send a precedent by extending downtown Flushing's footprint passed Harley. We encourage contextual development and flashing and I commend that developer for meeting specifics and the residents of the community board and for continuing making adjustments along the way. So we would like to hear from the public on this project. I think everyone for coming out today. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you, Council member Koo. Counsel, if you could please swear in the panel.

LEGAL COUNSEL: Please state your full name as part of your response. Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you are about to give will see the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 truth and that you will answer all questions

3 truthfully?

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

JODI STEIN: Jodi Stein. I do.

JOHN CLIFFORD: John Clifford. I do.

NICK BROWN: Nick Brown. I do.

LEGAL COUNSEL: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. You may begin.

JODI STEIN: Thank you. Good morning,

11 | Council members. This project we hope to-- For

12 Kissena Boulevard, we hope to be responsive to the

community and the Council members concerns. My name

14 is Jodi Stein. I am land-use counsel from Harrick,

15 Fein and Steen (sp?). Sitting to my left is John

16 Clifford from S9 Architecture and to my right is the

17 applicant, Nick Brown from Kimco. I'd like to just

18 turn it over to Nick Brown for a few minutes to talk

19 about the property and Kimco in general.

NICK BROWN: Good morning to the chair and Council members. Thank you for your time today. We appreciate it. I thought it would be helpful just to start off with a few quick words about who Kimco is as a company. Had the opportunity to meet many of

you and some of you are familiar with Kimco, but for

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

those of you who aren't I thought it would be helpful to give a little bit of context. Kimco has been in business for over 60 years. We are owners and operators of shopping center properties and mixed-use properties throughout the country. We own over 400 properties and although we do have a national footprint, we are very much a local company, as well. We are headquartered about 15 minutes used of the subject property that we are going to be talking about today. From a general standpoint, our strategy, as an owner, is always to take a look at our existing properties and figure out how can we make them better. How can we improve upon them from what they are today? And throughout that process, we are always looking, from a general standpoint, to try to create an environment that is more vibrant, that's clean, upscale. Something that's more modern and a place that creates a cohesive sense of community. A place where people can live, they can shop, and they can work there, as well. What we have found is that, historically, this has been a mutually beneficial strategy, not just for Kimco, as far as our objectives, but what this does is it also benefits the surrounding community, benefits for residents,

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

front of us to move forward with the project that you will hear about. And, when we sat down and worked with John and his design team, we challenged them with a few different objectives. And the first thing that we look to do and asked John in his architecture team to tried to address were some significant design flaws that existed on day one when Kimco acquired the property. We looked at the site and we recognized-and these are some of the things that Jodi will speak to a little further, but first and foremost, they access to the site does not work very well as far as cars pulling into the property. It's not easy to get in and out and what that does is it tends to create a traffic backlog out onto Kissena Boulevard. So, we came up with what we think is a pretty good solution to address that access issue. We also recognize that, from a pedestrian standpoint, people trying to access the storefronts from the sidewalks is not always easy to do if you are trying to navigate between cars pulling in, cars parking, trucks moving around. So we realize that there is an opportunity there to make this a much more welcoming environment for the pedestrians and people looking to get into the site by foot. And then, finally, we also

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

recognize -- and part of this came from talking to the local neighbors here, that there is a real incompatibility that exists in terms of the rear of the shopping center and no residents that directly about it. The issue is that the back of house, the loading with the trucks and sounds, the smells from the garbage and everything else that goes along with having a grocery store that abuts residences, it's not compatible, as hard as we tried, to work with the operator to clean that up. There will always be issues there until we move forward with the solution which we think is a pretty elegant one as far as pushing all of those usage down underground and I'll illuminating them from the rear of those residences. So, those are some of the themes that Jodi is going to speak to a little bit further, and John, as well. Again, thank you for your time this morning and, with that, I will turn it over to them.

JODI STEIN: So, good morning. As we look at our first slide and way look at the project site, along Kissena Boulevard you'll see to the North 45th Avenue. In between 45th Avenue, the project—and Holly Avenue, the project starts for the boundary line and it goes to almost the middle of Holly to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Laburnum. And you'll see that Kissena is a northsouthbound traffic Road. As we go on to the next, you'll see when we were originally certified with our plan and we were working with city planning, for approximately three years on coming up with a proposal for this rezoning area, we started with city planning at an R 7 A. After speaking with the community and many different land-use meetings at the community board and the community board meeting in the borough president meeting, we have reduced the size of the rezoning area to remove the lot that it's at the corner of Laburnum and Kissena Boulevard, which was a problematic property according to the community board. And we also went from an R 7 A to an R 6 A. So the commercial overlay remained the same, but we found zoned ourselves based on community impact and made the rezoning area smaller.

This is a view looking north on Kissena.

You can see the shopping center. The blue and orange shopping center that currently exists. You can see the cars in the parking lot and the pedestrian walkway that people have to cross through. And through the parking lot to get to these supermarket sites. You will also see, as you look north on

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Kissena, there--- and part of the rezoning area there is a five story and seven story building that will come into compliance with this rezoning and that is just north of Holly Avenue. And passed to the rezoning area, which you can also see, there is a 12 story, and eight story building on the same block south of 45th Avenue that also exists. And you can see in that picture. If you look at the existing site again, you can see what Nick was referring to in his testimony where the parking lot comes up against Kissena Boulevard and pedestrians have to cross through that and traffic is queuing into those two egress and entrances along Kissena Boulevard. then you can also see what Nick was referring to when he talked about the traffic and the loading abutting the rear residences both on the side and rear, which is just not the best situation for the residences and for our neighbors. When we started out this project again, we started out being certified as an R 7 A with an eight story building and you can see that rendering on the left. You can also see the proposed development site both to the south and to the north of the project site. And then, when we down zoned to an R 6 A ourselves and also shortened the rezoning

2 area, the lot to the south came out of the

3 development site and we ended up with a six story--

4 sorry. Seven story building and you can see that

5 | that carries north, the R 6 A.

2.1

2.2

What we've recently proposed to the Councilman, you know, we heard was still unhappy and when we met with the Civics and the community board, you know, we heard them loud and clear and took it very seriously as being part of— and neighbor in the neighborhood and we've come down further. So, we remain the same in the R 6 A district, but we will restrict our building 205 story building both along Kissena and in the rear, as you'll see when John pointed out to you. This— it gives a nice transition, as, from the buildings across Holly North down to this area.

JOHN CLIFFORD: Good morning. The plan before you is a site and roof plan where we are showing the changes that we made in the many meetings that we have had with members of the community and the community board. They are highlighted on the plan and in this section. So, first and foremost, the original application had a building height of 95 feet that, through the different iterations that Jodi

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Chaz showed, we are now down to a five story building of 65 feet in height, reduction of about a third in height. We have decreased the density by 53 percent and the number of residential units proposed from 244 to 114. We have increased setbacks both in the rear and south side that are not required by zoning to a minimum of eight feet and are showing that that will be provided via restrictive declaration. We have increased the residential rear yard accordingly from a required 30 feet to an increase of 45 feet on the north side of the property. We've also located the towers particularly on the north side so that we could increase the rear yards of the Holly residents to increase the distance of the five story tower from 30 feet to 65 feet, shown over here. This slide shows the different iterations of how you've worked with the community and our many meanings to reduce the impact that they perceived, particularly in terms of density and height. So, the left hand column shows the original application of R 7 A, then a reduction to R 6 A, then a reduction R 6 A where we reduced the stories even further and, finally, what we are here for today is the five story R 6 A. So, what you can see on the far right column is the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

reduction in these uses. In terms of FAR from what permanent and in the original application to a 4.65 now we are down to a 3.6, a reduction of 22 percent for the total FAR we've gone from an R 7 A of 4.49 down to 2.71 with this five-story building. For the zoning floor area, particularly in the residential, we've reduced the residential zoning floor area by 50 percent. The number of units reduced accordingly from 244 to 114 units. The parking, however, was a concern for the neighborhood. We are providing about 230 percent more parking than would be required by zoning and we took a very conservative approach for-in that calculation. We also took a very conservative approach for the commercial parking using the most stringent use group parking requirement and applying that to the overall commercial footprint.

Just going through the floor plans, because it alludes to some of the things-- and we will show you some existing conditions that Jodi and neck described earlier. So, the ground floors on the upper right. Commercial with the residential lobby and the community facility lobby and you can see that there is a long driveway that goes down to the cellar

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

where the parking and all the servicing will be contained. You can see that into levels of underground parking at the bottom of the page. worked with our traffic engineers listening to the community about the problem with stacking and backing up onto Kissena Boulevard and we feel this will eliminate all of that and, again, as Nick had said earlier, it moves all of the servicing and rubbish removal and things like that for the entire block below grade and no wave from the homes both to the north and to Union Street. On the upper left, the second floor shows the community facility in the lavender collar and then the residential towers with their modified and enhanced setbacks in response to community concerns and discussions.

So, as Nick mentioned before, the existing site, which was developed a long time ago, has some inherent problems in terms to adjacency with the residential on both the north in the. In the rear right up against the property line and not bottom left photograph, those are the rear yards of the homes on Union and you can see that the ventilation, the rubbish dumpsters, trucks, and so forth and security lights are all in the backyards.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

On the right hand side, you can see where that also affects the rear yards of the people on Holly. fence is to the right right there. And you can see the existing section on the top man at literally has the trucks and dumpsters right behind us six or eight foot high fence on the rear property line. This fall be moved underground so there will be no noise, light, or odor that would affect the adjoining residences. And then, we've been working with members of the community immediately adjacent and how that treatment can be done because there yards of thought. We are providing an eight foot additional setback from the property line above what's required that we are going to work to see how they want to have it treated with landscaping, fencing, and architectural treatment or green walls on the podium of the building near the zoning district line. Finally, for traffic, which was a significant concern when we first started meeting with the community-we worked with VHB, our traffic engineer, as well as local information provided by residents of the community. So, as you saw earlier in the existing slide, there are two existing curb cut on to Kissena with no throat for stacking's cars enter and exit the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

parking facility. We are eliminating one of those curb cuts up at Juniper and adding and on street parking space, which is also concern of the community. And then, having only one entry into the new development at a news signal at Cal Mia with that long throat that brings all of the parking service down into this so both inbound and outbound have about 300 feet of stacking in both directions. thing that does is calmly and currently has a pedestrian crosswalk across Kissena no control for pedestrian crossing. This signal well allow a safe crossing of Kissena and, of course, we are adding another crosswalk on the south side of Kissena. As you had no on Kissena on the Laburnum side, we are adding a right turn only lane between Laburnum and the news signal so that there will not be any stacking caused by turning vehicles going into the project from the South and then, additionally, coming from the north we are eliminating a few on street parking spaces so that way we can create a dedicated left turn lane so that southbound traffic can move freely and won't be hindered by turning vehicles which exists now in this corridor between Holly and So that's what we wanted to eliminate and

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 this, again, was based on many conversations with

3 members of the community and concurrently we have

4 been working with DOT. DOT seems to be very much in

5 | favor of this and both conversations are ongoing.

JODI STEIN: So, the changes that we've made were in response to many meetings with the community board, many hours that we put in and both the bulk height setback, the number of off street parking spaces, the actual rezoning area, the reduction of the rezoning area, and traffic which we really, you know, as analyzed, should be better after the project is built with these changes that we worked through with the community that go above and beyond the typical mitigation measures that are required in a typical ULRP process. And working with the council members, the local council member, Council member Koo, you know, it's been extremely helpful to hear from him and reiterate the community's concern, which we helpfully were responsive enough. And the benefits for the neighborhood, which we hope that they see in the future are the neighborhood investment, the job creation. We hope to utilize that community facilities space with something useful and great for

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

the community. The affordable housing, which we know is much needed. We have committed to the grocery store, to putting one back because we heard that that was a very important for the community. We have improvements to the existing access and streetscape to get rid of that dangerous condition that exists now and improvements to the rear yard condition which we hope will be beneficial for our neighboring residences. We went around the community and we were able to get 1100 signatures from the local community. And these are just maps showing where those signatures came from in support of all the community members. And you can see, on the right hand side, our project area and how close so many of these petitioners who signed the petition are to the actual project area. So, we so to this community. We hope that we have been responsive to the community board and the civic group and the Council member. thank you very much.

NICK BROWN: And I'd just like to add one final note in closing here. This is just relating to the public review process and our engagement with the community about it. Although we did mean early on—this has been a long road getting here, as. A few

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

years in the making, although we did meet with Council member Koo's office early on, as well as community board seven leadership. I think we recognize today that, in hindsight, we certainly could have done a better job in terms of keeping the lines of communication open with the stakeholders all the way up through to the certification process. the extent that we fell short in that regard. certainly on us as the owner. We take accountability and responsibility for that. But, I think it's also equally important to note what we've done since the ULRP clock has started and the project got certified. All of the efforts that we made that Jodi outlined in terms of that extensive outreach, what we said at the community board seven vote when that happened was that we still want to keep the communication open. We are true to our word, sitting down in meeting with the Civics to try to bridge that gap, and then even going further, responding to all the comments that we have seen through the different measures, and then, even further. We also recognize the public process, not everyone is always engaged and there are certainly a lot of members of the community that the project impacts. We wanted to make sure that they

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

were aware of the project, personally and going doorto-door on more than one occasion with renderings, explanations of the projects. Everyone is educated and understood what it was all about, had an opportunity to ask questions and hearing -- we were delighted to hear that many of them did support the project. We went further beyond that sort of doorto-door walk by sending canvassers out into the streets of Flushing. That was a period of two weeks and, as Jodi mentioned, even within that short time frame, over 1000 supporters. We were very encouraged to see that, as well. So, I think that sort of an important part of the history and, as we move this forward, I also do want to say, and sitting down with the Civics and listening to them-- and we will hear some of their concerns now shortly, we certainly are sympathetic to all of the issues that have been raised. Where we are in this point of the project, what I think is really important is that, in making up your mind and the decision about what to do with the rezoning in front of you, Wade look at this through a broader lens, if you will and we examine the interests, not just for the people right in that community, but they're out broader Flushing,

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Uh-hm.

JODI STEIN: of the medication members that were in the C & D and there were mostly just changes to the timing on the light by like seconds of how like the lights change. That was most of the changes. We can have Alfred Young, who is here from VHB, answer that question more fully in case I missed anything, but I think that those were the changes within the C & D restricted declaration.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: When do you plan on getting resolution on the other strategies with DOT?

JODI STEIN: So, we started the conversation in March and just submitted something recently and hope to hear back soon on not. But some of the-- you know, they agreed to some of the day lighting. So, removing some of those spots to allow for the turning lanes. The striping of turning lanes, I believe they will want to see you more when the project opens, but they will allow for the room for the turning lanes to happen so traffic can move freely through and not block or queue up. Again, I can have Alfred speak to more of his conversations with DOT--

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Okay.

JODI STEIN: specifically.

2.2

2 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you.

JODI STEIN: He'll testify.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Also, so how do you respond to the community board's concerns that people will not use the underground parking facility and well instead use the public streets to park?

NICK BROWN: I could tackle that one. know, the access to the center, as far as the queuing, that was all very carefully design. I think we all have a very aligned interest in that regard. If the parking doesn't work-- I mean, double parking is never a good solution. There's always a risk you could get ticketed, as well. We know that doesn't stop some people from doing it, but, ultimately, the way that the parking works has to be convenient. has to be easily accessible and we were very careful in terms of creating enough room for people to maneuver and enough vertical transportation for people to get up from the parking garage up into the retail spaces and to do that seamlessly. certainly in our best interest to make sure that that is carefully designed. Based on other projects that we have had which are very similar, we have found

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

as well, you know, we do anticipate on having some

do once known as K turns--

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Right.

2.2

JOHN CLIFFORD: or anything like that.

It's one maneuver so it can be easily done and, on other retail centers with mixed-use parking, when we have, you know, track and customer traffic--

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Uh-hm.

JOHN CLIFFORD: we usually work with the operator to do the deliveries, you know, and off hours, early in the morning, and not Saturday morning when there might be a peak. And that's when they want to take their deliveries anyways because they don't want to be doing stocking at a busy time.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: I'm just making sure that there is enough radius to turn in without having to do the usual backing up and--

JOHN CLIFFORD: There is. There is both enough radius for the turn and there is enough high for the tracks because they have to be taller, so there is 14 foot clearance that is provided.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: I know that there was a lot of concern with some of the residents that were on the back side of the lot. What were the results of the shadows study that you conducted for the development site?

2.2

JODI STEIN: Yeah. So the shadows study will have an impact and some of the seasons to the house is in the rear, mostly. It's less than one hour, generally. For one house and the rear it's a more than one hour, but less than two in the peak summer time and adds a in the afternoon, late afternoon to evening hours.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: And that was a reduction from the original study?

JODI STEIN: So, it was, however, I will say that that— the study that I'm talking about did have a seven-story portion on Kissena. We should be getting back the five-story proposal either today or tomorrow and I will be sure to send it to your office, as well.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Please. Thank you.

There was also concern about the grocery store. What are the proposed commercial uses expected to be on site and do you plan to include the grocery store?

NICK BROWN: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: [inaudible 01:08:25]

NICK BROWN: So, we have committed to including a grocery store within this project. How big the grocery store will ultimately be, I think

within this project.

that is still an open question depending on who the operators are that come forward. We have already started active dialogue with multiple grocery operators, but that is something that we have committed to moving forward with the grocer does not take up the entire ground level space, there would be an opportunity to bring in additional retail and our focus there would be to include local businesses

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Great. I have two more questions and then I'm going to turn it over to the Council member Koo. What is the bedroom mix the proposed development?

NICK BROWN: Yeah. So we have-- we've laid out roughly percentage wise studios would comprise about five percent of the project. About 35 percent would be one bedrooms. We had about 45 percent to bedrooms and then the balance would be threes.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: And what is your commitment to creating prevailing wage jobs on this site?

2.2

behalf of Kimco.

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 61
2	NICK BROWN: And Kimco is the owner.
3	Yes.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Yeah. So how long
5	have you been managing the property?
6	NICK BROWN: Kimco, we acquired the
7	property roughly 10 years ago.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Kimco acquired the
9	property 10 years ago?
10	NICK BROWN: Roughly. Yes. That's
11	COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Huh.
12	NICK BROWN: That's around when we first
13	acquired the property. Yes.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: I thought it phone
15	than that. You said 60 years, no?
16	NICK BROWN: Kimco has been in existence
17	of the company for over 60 years. This particular
18	site we acquired roughly 10 years ago.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: So, you have been
20	actively managing the site for the last 10 years.
21	NICK BROWN: Yes. Kimco has been We
22	serve as property management, as well, it's been
23	about a 10 year period that we have been involved
24	with this property.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: So, on the document here, you show us on the back side of this property--NICK BROWN: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: it was very lousy, dirty and everything, you know, so are you saying if this project doesn't move forward, you will keep the condition the same?

NICK BROWN: Yeah. So, the conditions that you are referring to, in many respects, we have a lease, certainly, with all of our tenants and the tenants are involved in bringing their trash out and coordinating the deliveries back and forth with the site. So, throughout the leaves, you know, we are-we manage many aspects of the property, as far as, you know, the trash-- there is a trash removal process, but a lot of that falls within the tenants responsibility as far as taking the trash out and how that is coordinated. We tried to regulate that through the leaves to make sure that that is done in a way that is appropriate, but that is an ongoing battle with tenants at any property is how they are managing their trash. And so, what we've said is, with this property, all those issues as far as the back and forth in challenging between the landlord

and the tenant and whether the tenant is complying

and how well, we are able to solve all that by making

4 sure that that trash happens below ground.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Yeah. I'm saying to you that no matter what, it's the result of today's property hearing, I want you to improve the conditions in the back here. You know? Because this is deplorable. Some doors, broken doors, no doors and the trash all over the place.

NICK BROWN: We--

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: As a management agent, you supposed to be responsible for all this.

NICK BROWN: We hear your concerns. We could certainly try to do a better job with the tenants to make sure that they are adhering to the leaves conditions and addressing the issues in the rear.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: And also on the last page here talking about the project benefits, right? You mentioned that there would be community facility space. How can the community benefit this community space? Are you working with any nonprofit groups to use this space as senior centers or

2.2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 activity centers or anything like that or what is 3 your plan on that?

NICK BROWN: Yeah. So, the community facilities space is an interesting one. You know, initially, that was presented on the plans. When we sat down with the community board, what we heard from them is that they were not interested in seeing any community facilities space at all, based on what their perceived traffic impacts would be and they said would you consider making that residential and not putting community facilities space and? And we said, we are flexible. We're open to do whatever is the well of the people, if you will. If you want us to take the community space off the plan and make it residential, we can do that. However, if it's your preference or the preference of the Council that something happened with the community facility space, we are certainly here to satisfy that, as well. specific response to your question, I think aware and lot of the focus has been-- and you hit the nail on the head-- it's really those to uses. That's not for profit space, whether that can be office as well as a senior center. We started down that road.

That's something that we have additional work to do

2.2

2 and, certainly, within the next couple of weeks

3 that's going to be a huge focus for us. We would

4 love nothing more than no work closely with your

office to identify who the appropriate parties would

6 be to bring into that space and that will certainly

7 be our intention in the very short term.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Thank you. Yeah.

So, you briefly mentioned by-- you briefly mentioned the restrictive declaration. Can you please explain the restrictive declaration in detail for the community who is here today?

JODI STEIN: Sure. So we have agreed to restrict the building to five stories in height. We have agreed to restrict the rear yard and the side yards to eight feet from— not to start from eight feet from the property line, so where the pointer is right now. We've also moved the residential legs back in the rear from the required 30 feet, additional 15 feet for 45 feet and additionally we moved the northernmost rear leg from what was an originally 30 feet from the residential properties to the north to 65 feet from the property line. And I belie— yes. And the restrictive declaration would be recorded against the property and no owner,

2.2

whether it was us or an owner in the future would be permitted to do anything but that.

you going to handle the increase traffic and increased traffic? Increased pedestrian traffic on Kissena Boulevard and on Holly Avenue because Holly Avenue is very narrow, right? And the next one is really narrow, too, and we have buses going through there. So it's already really hard for two big cars to drive it simultaneously with the two sides parking. So, with the increase of the tenants who lived there in commercial customers and residential neighborhood people so you would create a much higher demand of the use of the, the guy to handle that? Yeah.

JODI STEIN: Yeah. Something that to the community about at length. And we think we came up with a great solution which is this traffic plan.

So, first of all, originally, when we came to you and the community with our plan, we had two-- an egress and entrance on both-- close to the Holly and one closer to Laburnum. We remove the one closer to Holly which is shown with the pointer right there because of the traffic on Holly because, if we had

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

kept that, there could be a long queuing that could back up on to Holly, so we removed that and we put in turn lanes to allow for vehicles to turn in, which is away from Holly, into the site and from Burnham, too, if you're going North, right into the site. project itself has a 230 foot ramp to allow for many cars take you into the parking and it also -- these turning lanes and the removal of the existing parking spaces that are there now will allow the traffic to just keep passing on through and not back up because they are turning onto the site. Additionally, you asked about tennis strands. I think one of the best things which John mentioned that came out of this-our discussions is the cross that Cal Mia which will now have a light. So, right now, there is a crosswalk with no light, so you are kind of at your own risk when you cross. This light will allow and regulate pedestrians crossing onto the property. Additionally, pedestrians will no longer have to walk through the parking lot which is also been a big So they will be able to walk right from the street into the building, get off the sidewalk just like the vehicles are getting right off the street into the building. Then we think that that will

2 resolve a huge problem. And, again, with these

3 additional measures that we talked about with the

4 community, our traffic analysis company, VHB, you

5 know, they believe that the condition, once the

6 project is built will be better once the project is

7 | built than it is today.

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Okav. The last thing I wanted to say is that on your polling map, [inaudible 01:19:53] support map and then-- I think this is-- it doesn't-- to my mind, this is not accurate because you have more support on the downtown side, okay? On the north of Holly. North side. And then not that much support from the local, but the local area is residential neighborhood, of course they have less people that live there. You And on the other side these high-rises. course the population is more there, but I am suspicious that that many people on the other side support this project, but since this is done by a lobbying company, you know, they can manipulate the data or manipulate the people a little bit, but on the residential neighborhood there's nobody out there to do this-- that's why should predominantly supporting side on the other side. So, I think this

language]

this project as it will bring much-needed

MICHAEL MADRID:

Okay.

My name is Michael Madrid. I am a long time resident

Me.

Hi.

Yes.

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

of New York City. I'm here on my own recognizance. I'll keep it brief. We have a housing crisis here in this country and in the city. You can blog law all you want about tenant protections, but the simple fact is if you do not have enough housing to meet the needs of the community, some people are going to be having or ball commutes or they're going to be living on their friend's floor or they are going to be homeless. We need to build more housing. It's great to see some communities like Minneapolis recently approving rezoning, which allows more housing being built. We really-- it would be nice if we could do something like that here in New York City, but, at the very least, we should be-- at the very least, we should be approving reasonable projects like this. The objections I've heard for projects like this are density. I must confess I don't really understand this one. We are here in New York City, one of the densest cities in the world. Manhattan is a lot denser than the area we are talking about. We are adding a modest amount of units. You know, Manhattan is actually less dense than it was in 1910. That was a wonderful period for the city. It helped build the city. If we asked density questions back when

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Manhattan was a lot of single houses, we would probably be a suburb of Jersey City right now. that one item having hard with. The other objection is this will destroy the traditional characters of the neighborhood that longtime residents have come to love. Well, there is an, you know, the city of constant change. I would ask those longtime residents at some point you are not a longtime residents. Came here. You brought your energy. did great things and have helped make the city a wonderful place it is. Please give this chance to new residents. Build the housing they need and let's continue to make New York City the vibrant city and has always been and one of the greatest cities in the world. Thank you.

MARTHA FLORES VASQUEZ: Good afternoon and thank you for giving me the opportunity to represent my district. I am Martha Flores Vasquez, coleader with Peter Koo and part B of the district where this development is taking place. We are overdue with a bodega, a groceries store in my district, a supermarket. Everything has gone. The diversity of shopping is gone and I came in here and I was asked if I was on Kimco's side and I'm on the community's

homeowners in the neighborhood, I believe this

I'm John Ha.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

JOHN HA:

2.2

2 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Okay. So why don't we 3 start there. Yeah.

JOHN HA: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. This is a special honor for me to speak out my opinion here. I have lived in Flushing almost 20 years and I've been doing business in this area over 13 years. My office is just one block away from this project. I love Flushing and I want Flushing to develop responsibly and quickly. I strongly support Kissena Center project for the following reasons.

First, the project will beautify this area. Most of the buildings in this area of 60 years. Some are over 100 years. If you compare 20 years ago with Google maps now, I don't think you can see any different. But, during the same time, other parts of Flushing have undergone tremendous [inaudible 01:33:29] spurred by commercial and residential development which makes this area sharp contrast to the other parts. This area has been ignored for so long.

Second, this project will provide 30 percent affordable housing. Over 200 construction jobs and some permanent jobs thereafter. Like most parts of New York, Flushing has affordable housing

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 77
2	crisis and more and more people are leaving New York
3	because they cannot afford the ever rising rents. We
4	need additional affordable that this project will
5	provide. In addition, many of people leaving
6	flashing, there are new immigrants. They need jobs.
7	The projects can create a win-win situation. The
8	city can get [inaudible 01:34:15] affordable homes
9	and higher property tax. Local people can get jobs
10	and increase the property value. The developer can
11	make some profit. Some opponents to this project say
12	they don't need jobs, affordable homes. The idea, I
13	think, is very selfless. [Inaudible 01:34:33] the
14	project can attract more business investment in
15	people to this area. Why is Flushing a special,
16	dynamic, and booming city? Because it's long history
17	of welcoming new residents. Even when the economy
18	was fading in another part, Flushing was always good
19	because it's a constant influx of new residents. As
20	a small business owner, I think deeply, more people,
21	more business.
22	CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you.
23	JOHN HA: Thank you for your time. '
24	CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you.

JOHN HA: Yeah.

2 WEN TAO: Good morning, count. My name is Wen Tao. I'm here today to speak-- express my 3 4 opinion in favor of the Kissena project, as both a 5 college student and a Flushing resident. First, I support this project because it can provide jobs an 6 7 economy investment in Flushing. As a college student will be looking for a job soon, I feel very sad when 8 Amazon canceled their HQ 2 plan. I know this project 9 Kissena Boulevard will not be the same or not create 10 the same jobs as Amazon will have, but my point is 11 12 that Queens cannot be calm the borough says no to a good project that will create job opportunities for 13 14 everyone in the community. Second, and very familiar 15 with this area. I've lived there most of my life and 16 the supermarket needs to be modernized. It would be better for customers to enter the supermarket from 17 18 the sidewalk instead of just walking through the parking lot-- getting [inaudible 01:35:54] or 19 20 getting hit by cars or trucks that's unloading and loading stuffs in. I'm glad this project will move 21 2.2 the parking lot to underground which creates more 23 safety for kids and everyone in the community. And finally, this area has been ignored by the city for 24 25 far too long and I hope this project would be a good

2 opportunity for everyone in the community to get

3 developed and spur development into the whole area.

Thank you, Councilman.

1

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you.

TERRENCE PARK: Honorable committee Chair Moya and honorable city Council member Peter Koo and distinguished civic leaders. Good morning to all. My name is Terrence Park. I've lived in Flushing for 45 and then go into 40 years. I am a community person. I serve as a community board and seven member for 20 years and I love my community and I always have been standing up for the rights of the entire community, not a portion of-- segment of our community. I needed currents to come forward today because I voted against the project and I changed my mind now and supporting the project, which I go against the community board seven decision and some civic associations' desire for the project. After I am speaking with many people in our community, I found out was the striking opinion in the community that is overwhelming individuals, residents in the community wants to have upgraded shopping mall. If they are shopping -- shopping mall, they want the upgraded shopping mall and they don't want to go all

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 consider it discretion on Kimco project and the

3 surrounding area of development, particularly in the

4 multicultural neighborhood in Flushing Main Street.

5 | I understand that there are two civic groups against

6 this project and it is imperative for you to

understand to vital information's with facts.

First, they are not the major voices of the community. Second, they do not represent the entire surrounding neighbors of this beneficial project. The recent survey finds that 99 percent of the people and the said community lot to see development because of the following reasons and [inaudible 01:40:06]. First, they want the better and upgraded shopping malls, Easy Access and near into their homes as indicated in the evidence of more than 1000 petition signatures from the people. Seconds, and in addition to the aforementioned statement, as Mr. Brown stated, the Kimco is adding a number of beneficial elements for the community such as more than 30 percent of affordable housing, economic investment opportunity, increases in property values, local creation of jobs during the construction and afterwards, new retail space availability is, new and improved groceries

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 82
2	availability use. Then these identified all facts
3	that you have to consider for this project. And
4	therefore I am recommending this to you
5	CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you.
6	JOHN HA: so that the local people will
7	benefit.
8	CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you so much for
9	JOHN HA: Thank you.
10	CHAIRPERSON MOYA: your testimony today.
11	Thank you. Thank you for being here. I'm calling up
12	the next panel. Kevin Forest Dale, Carol Marrone.
13	Denise Winters. Beverly McDermitt.
14	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: If you had statements,
15	I'll take them, otherwise
16	CAROL MARRONE: I'm not
17	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: don't worry about it.
18	CAROL MARRONE: Okay.
19	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: That's okay. I*f you
20	have it, if not, don't worry about it.
21	CAROLE MARRONE: I didn't know that.
22	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: That's okay.
23	CAROL MARRONE: Okay. I can't get in.
24	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Thank you so much.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. We'll
3 start-- we'll start over here. If you can just
4 state your name and you can begin.

BEVERLY MCDERMITT: I'm Beverly
McDermitt.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Just--

BEVERLY MCDERMITT: Sure. I'm Beverly McDermitt, president of the Kissena Park Civic Association and director of the friends of Kissena Park. Kissena Park Civic Association has always been a strong proponent of and the issue that affects the quality of life and character of our residential neighborhood. To that end, we launched a down zoning program about 15 years ago to preserve the character and stabilize the skyline to conform with the one and two-story homes we inhabit. The only exception was the commercial area on Kissena Boulevard between 46th Avenue and Laburnum Avenue because the grocery market filled the needs of local residents and did not have a towering skyline. Zoning issues should not be taken for granted and should not be used as bargaining chips by developers or elected officials as taxpayers are then burdened with the results of bad decisions. It appears that the Kimco Corporation

BEVERLY MCDERMITT:

read-- our zoning chairman couldn't come today and--

All right.

May I

23

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you.

homes near it. Thank you.

KEVIN FORRESTALL: Good morning, almost afternoon. Thank you for having me. My name is Kevin Forrestall and I'm president of the Queens Civic Congress, an organization-- umbrella

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

organization -- that represents over 100 Civics in I'm going to deviate from my remarks based upon what was said before. The applicants showed a slide and suggested looking north. Well, I suggest if you are looking for healthcare, you may want to look North like at North while health, but if you want to look at what the neighborhood looks like, look anywhere but North. If you do that, you will find low densities suburban like dwellings and this area had been re-zoned for her to be contextual and this would strongly threatened that and future developments. Councilman Koo, you questioned where the line would be. This is the line where it should The applicant also spoke about how wonderful they are in outreach to the neighbors and the community board and so on. They didn't mention that they were totally non-convincing to any of those individuals or groups. They also spoke about how they downsized their project and there is some truth in it. They proposed an elephant. Days suggesting replacing it with a rhinoceros and where you would expect a terrier. Also, Councilman, you pointed out that there was inaccuracy in the map. That's consistent with their evaluations of the

thing on? Okay.

2 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Yeah.

BILLY AZURAN: I'm Billy Azuran and I'm not a president. I'm not a board member. Nothing. I'm just a simple head from Queens and I'm advocating for this thing because I live around that area and, honestly, I'm looking for a job and it's kind of hard to find a job read like the closest thing I have is not even available to me. So, I hope when this thing does improve, it can offer a lot more jobs to people, especially my high school, since we are all looking for jobs. We have two goes so far away when there is an available job site near us, but we can't because like there is nothing going on. And that's basically my advocate. And also that job increased by 30 percent for all us kids because were looking, as we said, like stressed. We are working for jobs than also for traffic wise he could help out a lot because I take 17 and, trust me, that area is nasty and I can't even get to school on time because of that. And also, Councilman Koo, you came to my school a couple years ago. I just want to say hello.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Billy, what high school

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

do you go to?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 BILLY AZURAN: I go to Francis Lewis,
3 but I recently just graduated. So--

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Oh. Congratulations.

BILLY AZURAN: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Okay. Well, thank you for coming here and I will let Council member Koo-if you want to say anything.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Well, let everyone speak first.

YUAN WANG: Good morning, subcommittee and Councilman Koo. My name is Yuan Wang. Like this and gentlemen here, I am not representing any board or any organization. I'm just a local resident and homeowner. I support this project. I have a couple reasons. First, I want to say I understand the people who are against this project, mainly, okay, about two things. Too many people in too much traffic. Regarding the first line, too many people, I will say this is a kind of a testimony that people use their feet to vote. This is -- people approved. This is a welcoming and a desirable area to live, so that's why people move in. So, apparently, we have a housing shortage. This project will provide some relief. Not totally solve the problem, but it leaves

- 2 students heading toward the right direction.
- 3 Secondly, the traffic. The traffic today is pretty
- 4 | bad already. I understand that. However, I would
- 5 say the traffic doesn't bother me much because I shop
- 6 locally. I buy everything possible. I shop locally.
- 7 I walk. Walking is good for you. For your health.
- 8 If you cannot walk, take public transportation. So,
- 9 I in the future, once the shopping center is built,
- 10 okay, hopefully you can meet your shopping
- 11 requirement. You can do all the business locally and
- 12 support the local business. It's good for the
- 13 | community. That's the message I would like to say.
- 14 So I support the project. Thank you.
- 15 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you.
- 16 HANG HARI: Good morning, Councilman and
- 17 | council members. I'm coming in today to support this
- 18 project. I've been living there for several years
- 19 and I'm very familiar with this area. I think that a
- 20 | supermarket should be modernized. When I go to
- 21 shopping, I am very concerned because of the trucks
- 22 and the cars driving in the front of the supermarket
- 23 may hit the children. It's very dangerous. So I
- 24 support this project and hope they will move the
- 25 parking lot underground. It is safer for small

2 children in the shoppers. Most of my neighbors also

3 | support this project, but they could not come today

4 because they will go to work. Kissena Boulevard is a

5 proper place for this development. We should take

6 advantage of opportunities to improve this portion of

7 | the neighborhood. Thank you very much.

Hi. PANG HANG: Good afternoon, subcommittee and Councilman. My name is Pang Hang. I am a housewife and a longtime resident who is to this proposal Kissena shopping center neighborhood. I have been doing grocery shopping with the Grove said the supermarket and its pretty and [inaudible 01:56:04] for many 20 years. My family also frequent mini restaurant nearby. I support the [inaudible 01:56:50] because the current shopping center is now very out of date and overcapacity. is out of date because storeowners found that making updates and upkeep. It's overcapacity because these neighborhoods has experienced so much growing. rezoning project addresses all the needs of improving shopping and the housing space. In addition, the project also provides jobs to these community. is a project that is beneficial to the community.

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.2

2 For all these reasons, I strongly support the zoning 3 project. Thank you.

much for your testimony today. I'd like to call up the next panel. Dorothy Woo. Carsten Glaser.

Catherine Kennedy and Eddie Abrams. You'll forgive me and then I'll be stepping out briefly next door to check into my other committee that I have. Council member Koo will take over to conduct the meaning in my absence, but I will come back in a few minutes. I will now turn it over to Council member Koo. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Please go ahead by stating your name first. Start with Eddie. Yeah.

I am a member of the hollies Civic Association. I am, in a way, very upset. I'm a union person for years. I worked hard all my life with my family and my wife that we-- to buy what I have. I have a nice home. I am very happy where I live. I'm not accepting this zoning and, as far as these workers will see that it's not always going to be they get a good paying job. These people-- the people here that live around here, they are in transit. They are

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

not going to stay here. A few get your education and you make a lot of money, you are going to leave this place. This is not da-- this is no transit. union people should realize that they got a good job if they are getting the wage that they are supposed to get it. And I doubt whether they are getting paid good. And that's why they've got a little thing going here now about something about the money. You know, I don't know. But I'm living in Flushing for 70 years. I bought my house when I was-- 50 years ago and it was a place that I was going to live my life and I want to leave something for my family when I go-- I go away. So this is-- you know, I'm not happy with this at all. It's really I'm very upset and am sorry. I don't-- yeah.

from the Kissena Park Civic Association board. Over the years, the federal government made promises and treaties with local inhabitants and over the years, every treaty with Native Americans has been broken when that was made clear that a prophet could be made. And now, our city government is considering breaking the zoning promise made to Flushing residents because someone discovered he could make a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

profit. When the zoning laws were enacted, Flushing residents were promised that South of Holly Avenue to the Long Island Expressway would remain low density residential. It's what the residents wanted then and still want. Our homes have appreciated in value because of our low density status and many are now worth 1 million dollars or more. And what other city can you imagine the government telling the owners of million-dollar homes that it will be acceptable to plop a high-rise apartment building in their midst and that they should be happy to have an 85 foot wall abutting their backyard? If the residents living in the south of Holly Avenue wanted to live in a high density area, we would have moved to downtown Flushing or Manhattan. Kimco's claim that they can solve the traffic problems by adding 3 to 400 parking spaces for more traffic is laughable. The relocation of traffic lights can be accomplished without rezoning and the supermarket can be modernized without adding a high-rise apartment. The claim that it will add neighborhood jobs is specious. people already have jobs to support their milliondollar homes and their jobs are only going to be for outsiders and they are only going to be only as long

2.2

as construction goes on. The claim that there is low cost housing has some truth, but to allow that by rezoning Kissena Boulevard is to penalize the local residents to provide housing for folks who don't live here. It's punitive to grant this rezoning. I also understand that a CB seven member has been doing a petition in favor of Kimco and I respectfully suggest that all signers of that petition be verified and the signatures of people who don't live south of Holly being discounted because they don't have any stake in this rezoning proposition. Thank you very much.

CARSTEN GLASER: Council member Koo,

Carsten Glaser. Kissena Park Civic Association. I

submit testimony and I read testimony today on behalf

of our planning consultant who could not be here. To

be brief, one of the modest change-- even a modest

change by the CPC from R 7 A to R 6 A which allow

lower the maximum height of the proposed development

by a single-story or 10 feet and a decrease in the

allowable floor area from 4.6 to 3.6, the resulting

development will still be grossly out of character

with the surrounding community primarily to attached

and send my attached one to two family houses.

There is no question that, should it be approved by

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

the city Council, the precedent will be set by this action will significantly shift the long delineated boundary between high density precincts of downtown Flushing and low density Holly and Kissena Park neighborhoods. Holly Avenue at Kissena Boulevard has never been considered part of downtown Flushing. fact, a detailed examination of the zoning actions since the establishment of 1961 zoning resolution reveals that there have been only two ups zoning amendment sense and the immediate area that could be described as moving the boundary of the high density zoning south of 45th Avenue. One occurred in December 7 and 1967 from R 32 to R 6 and, again, in 1994 also R 32-- from R 32 to R 36. Unlike the current proposed rezoning, both of the previous actions essentially legalize existing high density buildings not creating opportunities for significant out of scale development schemes as we are seeing with Kimco as discussed in previous testimony, along Kissena Boulevard, there is a clear division both zoning and visual between existing high density development north of Holly and low density development south of Holly. Maps and figures have been submitted. In conclusion, the applicant should

Holly Avenue. And I thank you.

2.2

be denied on three points. The lack of merits and public interest behind the proposing-- the proposed rezoning. The negative effects that the proposed zoning will have on the immediate neighborhood. The purposefully misleading and inaccurate renderings and data within the EAS proffered by the developer as described in the previous testimony and the precedent that it will set for expansion of high density development into low density communities far below

DOROTHY WOO: My name is Dorothy Woo. I'm a member of the Holly Civic Association and I suppose this application. My major concern about this application is that traffic conditions it will cause the surrounding streets. Through my window, I can often see buses and the cars backed up for a few blocks due to the traffic disruption either on Kissena Boulevard or on Holly Avenue. These streets are just too narrow to handle such a high volume of traffic. My property, which is adjacent to the Kimco shopping center is also located on the same block with commercial establishments which is also included in this proposal. Their activities directly affect the quality of life. I would like-- What I imply--

of a traffic study. Spot zoning in favor of a particular property owner is a bad example it is also unfair to the adjacent property owners. Their

means be visibility study as well as a-- consistence

property values to be protected, too. I suggest to

Kimco seek a variance further development and data

18 request for change of zoning. Thank you.

12

13

14

15

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Thank you all.

Okay. The next panel will be Brandon Levy, Stephen

Smith, Amy Woo, and Yi Chen. Starting from my left

side. My left side. Please state your name and you

may begin.

STEPHEN SMITH: Stephen Smith. Oh. I will saying I mean or--? Yeah. Check again.

downtown Flushing. In that three-bedroom apartment,

they had room to take care of my aunt's mother who

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

lived there after her husband passed away just as many immigrants today live in multi-generational households. My aunt stopped working after she got married and they could afford it on just a schoolteacher's salary, something that would have been unimaginable today. How is it possible when so many people today in the same situation are crowded into studios and one bedroom apartments with adult sleeping in bunk beds and on couches? It was possible because, back then, Queens made room for people who wanted to move there. My aunt lived in a series of six-story red brick apartment buildings of the kind found throughout Eastern Queens. buildings she moved into was built in 1968 and she stayed in there for 50 years until she moved to a nursing home. Today, we don't allow this. The 1961 zoning code severely restricted these kinds of buildings and today the only buildings that are allowed of this scale are allowed in downtown Flushing a few blocks around Roosevelt and Main Street and, increasingly, in downtown Jamaica. People in the rest of Eastern Queens have two crowd into buildings built 100 or 150 years ago back when Queens-- back before Flushing became the gateway

vote in favor of it. Thank you.

2.1

2.2

between the world's largest economy in the world's largest country. The result is skyrocketing housing prices. I know most city council members identify as progressives. I hope you'll vote for progress today, for more homes so that immigrants who come to this country today can have the same privileges and opportunities in Queens as my family had half a century ago and, indeed, many project opponents had. Eastern Queens needs a lot more housing then this project can provide, but it's a start. I hope you'll

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Thank you. Yeah. Please is to time. Yeah.

Queens Chamber of Commerce. We're voting in support of the Kissena Center. Councilman Koo and committee members, I am the business development manager at the Queens Chamber. I'm testifying to support the rezoning of the Kissena Center which will allow for the revitalization of an outdated retail complex. The new proposed development will create modern spaces for Queens retail businesses to operate from, and substantial parking, and provide an extensive number of temporary construction jobs, as well as

2.2

many permanent jobs in the new residential portion of the building. The owner, Kimco, is demonstrated its commitment to the neighborhood by not simply looking to build a new mixed-use building, but by thoroughly engaging traffic consultants who have given expert advice on alleviating tragic pressure into and out of the complex. As a borough, we need to encourage investment and revitalization of retail complexes such as this one on Kissena Boulevard. We support sensible development that creates jobs and adds opportunities to the residents of Queens. We are happy to support the plans of this applicant to do so. We are in favor of the project.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Yeah. Please.

AMY WOO: Hello. Good afternoon. My name is Amy Woo. My family own lot-- we are in Lot 5 on the tax map on the corner of Kissena and Holly. We support the rezoning because, first of all, we've owned lot size for over 10 years and our neighborhood the major improvements and new retail spaces for the local businesses to serve the growing needs of the community. We can have, you know, banks or pharmacies that come in, but we need more retail space for them to come in and we could have better

2 looking and more fancy looking, perhaps, retail space

3 for them. And please keep in mind the needs of the

4 | younger generation. I'm sorry that local civic

5 groups may not have considered the needs of the

6 housing problem and I really hope, you know, that

7 | could be put into consideration and I believe all

8 these changes will benefit them in the long run and

9 also the people in the neighborhood and also create

10 | jobs for the people in the neighborhood. And on our

11 property, on our location, we do hope to provide at

12 | least 40 parking's in the future, so I hope that

13 helps, too. Thank you.

community. Thank you.

YI CHEN: Good afternoon, Mr. Koo and everybody. My name is Yi Chen. I'm the property owner across the street from property. From Kimco. I come here to support this project rezoning.

Because we like to see them more style of the shopping center in Flushing like a Chelsea market and is so clean and nice. I think Flushing the same change and the shopping area in [inaudible 02:15:16] need to change. I hope after three zoning the area

is going to be more cleaner and more good for the

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

better and cleaner and everything, but not make a

2 five story like a mixed use building. It will block

3 the area. Block that air. Block the sun. They say

4 they can handle-- manage them for past 10 years.

5 They managed them. It's pretty bad. I don't know

6 how they can manage them with more people, the higher

7 | building. There more people coming. They will make

a big mess. That's what I say. That's why I'm

9 against the project.

1

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

ROLAND WADE: Councilman Koo and fellow councilman, my name is Roland Wade. I am a professional horticulturist. Of lived on geranium and 45th Avenue for over 50 years and have taught and then at the botanical garden during this time. concern is that the lines been drawn. As Councilman Koo stated, 45th Avenue is the line. North of 45th the high-rises go, south of 45th, they do not go and that is true of Holly Avenue. North of Holly Avenue off Kissena, the high-rises can go north. The highrises do not go. Kimco has asked to change the zoning in this area north of-- I mean, south of Holly Avenue and I say the lines stay. The zoning must assays. The lines have been drawn. They can work within their zoning 10 years and therefore I say keep it as it is, but improve the area. Now, I want

by tearing down homes and changing zones as we have

in Flushing. Thank you very much.

2.2

EDWARD CHIN: I can start. My name is

Edward Chin. I'm a homeowner on Kissena Boulevard.

I would like to provide testimony in opposition to
the proposal to rezone. At the March 28th, 2019
public hearing held at the Queens Borough President's
conference room, it was noted that Kimco had not yet
obtained a DOT traffic study or whether the DOT would
accept their proposed mitigation of the traffic
concerns. There is an addition— their proposal is
in addition of a traffic light at Cal Mia which is
less than 500 feet from the traffic light mats at
Laburnum and Kissena. Community Board seven Queens
Borough President's office have documented many other

21

2.2

23

24

25

concerns including those voiced by the Holly Civic and Kissena Park Civic Associations. Council member Peter Koo's office has received many calls expressing opposition to the proposed project. In the interest of time, I will refer you to their findings and recommendations. The proposed eight story mixed-use building now changed to five stories as an R 6 A C 2-3 would literally cross the line that is Holly Avenue in terms of what would fit the profile of the community. A total of 114 proposed apartments with its accompanying occupants were drastically create a bottleneck for those traveling north to downtown Flushing or South to the Long Island Expressway on Kissena Boulevard. In reviewing the materials for the hearing, I was surprised that the city planning commission voted to approve the project. Of note, the city planning commission does not document any opposition to the proposed project nor during a 30 day public comment period. The president of the Holly civic Association confirms that there was no node is of the CPC meetings. Public comment is essential to the decision-making of the CPC. approximately 300 feet from the proposed project. one has surveyed myself or any of my neighbors

2 concerning whether they like or don't like the

3 project. Thank you for the opportunity to provide

4 comments.

to call Jack Tuan.

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. Thank you,

Council member Koo for taking over and thank you all

for your testimony here today. Okay. So, we are

coming down to the last two panels and I would like

JACK TUAN: Hi. My name is Jack Tuan. am a property manager for the property on 4601 Kissena Boulevard. I'm not going to repeat what everybody said. I mean, it's quite obvious it does more good than harm. I want to address the issue about one of the major issues which is traffic. All you Skyview as an example. I mean, Skyview, when you look at it, it brings a lot of joy and happiness too many families and, but yet it causes a lot of traffic. If you tried to cross college point Boulevard, it's like nearly impossible and with the cars-- so many cars and so many pedestrians trying to cross at the same time, I mean, there's an easy fix. Then went to they do over there? They hired to crossing guards and it's no problem. Traffic is smooth. It's fine. You just put one on every

- 2 corner-- two traffic gardens, one on each corner and
- 3 the solu-- that's your solution. But, with this
- 4 project, there is doing-- more people are benefiting
- 5 | than-- I mean, yeah. We're going to have to
- 6 sacrifice a few people, but we are helping a lot
- 7 more. So, I hope you, the committee, would agree
- 8 with this. Thank you.
- 9 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. Thank you
- 10 for your testimony. And now I would like to call up
- 11 Doreen Bartnakowski. Sorry. I hope I said that
- 12 | right. It's just you. You have the whole floor to
- 13 yourself.

- 14 DOREEN BARTNAKOWSKI: Okay. Hi. My name
- 15 | is Doreen Bartnakowski. I am a property owner on
- 16 Laburnum Avenue and have been a property owner for 25
- 17 | years. My mother lived on Kissena and Quince and had
- 18 been a property owner for 25 years, as well. I have
- 19 | two kids that are in their 20's and they are already
- 20 | talking about living in Flushing. We love the area.
- 21 | We love that it is low density. It is a beautiful,
- 22 | low density area. I myself have worked in the area.
- 23 I have worked in that area before when it was Mandy's
- 24 ears before Kimco took over. I'd like to say shame
- 25 on Kimco for owning the property for 10 years and not

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

dealing with the issues that they have now and their only resolution is to build a bigger property, making more problems. I'd like to ask that, if you can quarantee that in three months from now, well we be here again two-year rezoning for across the street or for one block over? I ask you to please hold the line. It is a beautiful low density area. I purchased in the area because of the beautiful area that it looks. I also want you to know that the bus lines that are there, I have taken the bus lines to go to Main Street. My husband has taken it to work to go to school at Queens College. My kids have taken the bus lines. They are already congested and cannot afford more people taking those bus lines. There are three bus lines right in front of Kimco. There is four bus lines on Holly and they are jampacked. Kids are late for school because they cannot get to where they need to go the cause of the congestion already in the area. And that is it. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. Thank you for your testimony today. Are there any other members of the public who wish to testify? Seeing none, I know close the public hearing on this

that they always do and my copilot here, Arthur, for

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES	114
2	keeping us on track. Thank you very much. And t	his
3	meeting is hereby adjourned.	
4	[gavel]	
5	[background comments]	
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date July 9, 2019