CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

----X

August 19, 2009 Start: XX:XXam/pm Recess: XX:XXam/pm

HELD AT: Council Chambers

City Hall

B E F O R E:

TONY AVELLA Chairperson

## COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Maria del Carmen Arroyo
Charles Barron
Leroy G. Comrie, Jr.
Elizabeth Crowley
Simcha Felder
Daniel R. Garodnick
Eric N. Gioia
Sara M. Gonzalez
Vincent Ignizio
Melinda R. Katz
Jessica Lappin

John C. Liu

Domenic Recchia

Joel Rivera

Larry B. Seabrook

Helen Sears Albert Vann

**Ubiqus** 22 Cortlandt Street – Suite 802, New York, NY 10007 Phone: 212-227-7440 \* 800-221-7242 \* Fax: 212-227-7524

## A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Ross Moskowitz Attorney Counsel to the applicant

2.0

2	CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: Good morning,	
3	everyone, and it still is the morning. I'd like	
4	to reconvene the Zoning and Franchises	
5	Subcommittee. The one item that we have to vote	
6	on is the, if I can find it in the agenda, is 1178	
7	4-8 East 94 <sup>th</sup> Street Project. As some of you may	
8	remember, this lies in Council Member Dan	
9	Garodnick's district. We had laid the vote over	
10	till today, for some last minute negotiations.	
11	And I know Council Member has met with the	
12	applicant yesterday and this morning. And what	
13	I'd like to do is call the representative of the	
14	applicant up to discuss the changes and the	
15	commitments that have been made.	

ROSS MOSKOWITZ: Good morning,

Council Member Avella, Subcommittee and other

Council Members. Ross Moskowitz, counsel to the

applicant. As Council Member Avella has said, we

have had extensive discussions with Council Member

Garodnick and his office, as well as other members

and staff, and have listened carefully to the

testimony from yesterday. So, there are a couple

of things that we'd like to put back into the

record, if we could. There was a series of

questions that were asked yesterday, and I will 2 3 briefly go through them, and of course available 4 to answer any questions that, that may arise from There's a question specifically about the, 5 them. the southern wall of the property, that currently 6 exists a twelve foot edition that will also, it 7 8 also has a foot, a seven foot fence on top of it. That will be coming down and will be replaced as a 9 10 five foot, seven inch brick wall, per the building 11 Along those lines, the local neighbors have asked if we could consult with them about the 12 texture and the like about that brick wall, and 13 you know, we will of courses speak with them. 14 15 you'll hear, there's a common theme throughout our 16 presentation yesterday as well as today that we 17 will have a designated person on site who will be a point of contact, one point of contact, for the 18 19 neighbors, so that if there are any issues, 20 concerns, pre-construction, during construction, 21 post-construction, there will always be someone 22 who they can, who they can reach. 23 question, asked about safety concerns, that were addressed in the Manhattan Borough President's 24 25 approval, again we will comply with all the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

18

19

20

21

23

25

Department of Building's procedures regarding historic districts, and protecting historic districts. We'll have in place a monitoring and protection plan, and again we've committed to maintaining an open dialogue with our neighbors. We'll work with the, your o--Council Member Garodnick's office, Community Board Eight and other local stakeholders to address. We will submit, as requested, Council Member Avella, the shadow analysis that you asked for. We will also submit, as required under the special permit, the restrictive declaration. As the Council knows, the restrictive declaration is binding on the 14 15 owner, any future owners its recorded against the property, and sets forth certain obligations 17 relating to the repair and maintenance of the premises. The Council yesterday raised a question about pending violations. We were recently made aware of these violations, most of them concerned Department of Buildings and Environmental Control 22 Board issues, which, as you know, this is a former Spence-Chapin Adoption Agency, or mostly applicable to there. Most of them deal with the 24 boiler and elevator. There's no one currently

occupying the facility, so we believe once the 2 3 restoration and maintenance of the property is done, those will be dismissed. And if there are 4 any remaining violations that require immediate 5 dealing with, we, the applicant endeavors to do 6 that immediately. In terms of the mechanical 7 8 equipment question from the Council was whether we could put up some acoustical barrier. This is on 9 the southern part of 4 East 94<sup>th</sup> Street. 10 we will indeed endeavor to use good faith efforts 11 to install some kind of acoustical barrier; the 12 caveat being we need to be able to do this 13 obviously on an as-of-right basis. We haven't 14 15 analyzed whether it's permitted under the building code or zoning resolution, but again we will 16 17 commit to, to doing it if indeed it can be done. Last but not least, perhaps the most significant 18 19 change in the presentation from yesterday, as you 20 know we're creating a new 30 foot rear yard and 21 substantially reducing the height of the building 22 closest to the property. The Community Board 23 Eight, Manhattan Borough President, Landmarks Commissions and City Planning Commission felt that 24 25 the plan as proposed was, was sufficient and

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

approved each, at each level; nevertheless, we heard the concerns raised yesterday, and one of the waivers that we are seeking, which is at the southern end of the property, behind 4 East 94<sup>th</sup> Street, we understand from discussion with counsel, we are committing not to exercise our right, assuming there is an approval, we are committing not to exercise our right for that waiver, of the three waivers we, we enunciated yesterday, the third one being the one at the back, so that there would no longer be three waivers, there would be two waivers that would ultimately be utilized. We are committing here on the record, and we will submit something in writing later today, to, to make that statement as well.

CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: And one, one additional thought has occurred to us. Would you be willing, and to commit onto the record, that when the building plans are filed, that you give us a copy before you actually file, so that we have an opportunity to review the building plans and make sure they're following the agreement that you're making today?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

ROSS MOSKOWITZ: If, if we could
set up a process, Council Member Avella, that, you
know, was, gave us some certainty on sort of

CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: Timeline.

ROSS MOSKOWITZ: --timing, etc.,

absolutely.

CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: Okay. No, I think we can arrange that. I'd like to call on Council Member Garodnick.

COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: you very much, Chair Avella, and I want to thank you for your hard work and your interest on this issue, and also to my colleagues for their patience this morning, as we worked out some of the details here. This is an issue which is a complicated one because of the proximity of the buildings to each other. And we, in the Council, wanted to be extremely sensitive to the potential impacts on the neighbors in the immediate vicinity. Neighbors who have their rear yards facing the backside of this building, as well as some of the significant apartment buildings that are close to the proposed changes here. But after a very thorough review of the plans and with the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

modifications that Mr. Moskowitz just discussed and committed to on the record, I feel that we have a plan that makes sense for the community. We had a Community Board Eight vote without any votes against this. There was a Landmarks hearing which resulted in approval of this project. And I think it is undisputed by anybody who sees what the front of these buildings will look like after the changes that it certainly is an, a vast improvement in the heart of a historic district. And so I think that is a very positive development. As to the shadows, from what I can tell, it looks like it will be an improvement for the neighbors. It will certainly be an improvement for those neighbors who currently have a 19 foot wall right in front of their windows. And I have been to these apartments to see them firsthand, as has Chair Avella. And those folks, I think, will do very well by seeing that 19 foot wall come down, and have this be in its place a five foot, seven inch fenced area, which will add additional light and air for them. We appreciate the concessions that have been made here. We know they were not made easily. And the changes of

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

significance that Mr. Moskowitz mentioned were the, essentially the, the removal of one of the special permits that they had sought. And that included the additions at the sixth floor of 4 East 94<sup>th</sup> Street, and I believe that that will add even further to the light and air of the rear of those buildings. The commitment to acoustical barriers on the mechanical equipment is something which we believe is very important. And as well, we want to make sure that there is a good line of communication between the developer here and the neighbors. Which is why we are glad that they were consults on the subject of the, the wall that will be the replacement, five foot, seven inch wall, in the backyard. As well as having an ongoing, specific contact person for issues of construction problems. So, with that, I, I want to thank Mr. Moskowitz for, for his willingness to have these conversations, and certainly to his clients, as well as to all of the, the neighbors who were tremendous advocates on this project, and certainly these changes would not have happened without their advocacy. And I think that they should feel very good about, about that.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING	AND FRANCHISES 11	
with that, Mr. Chairman, thank yo	u and I would	
like to ask my colleagues to vote	yes on this.	
CHAIRPERSON AVELLA	: Any question	
since we did ask the applicant to ask back?		
Seeing none, thank you, thank you, Ross.		
ROSS MOSKOWITZ: Thank you.  8 CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: I will ask		
		Council to call the vote. Given
that the applicant has made, Chai	r recommends	
approval.		
COUNSEL: Christia	n Hilton, Council	
to Committee. Chair Avella?		
CHAIRPERSON AVELLA	: Aye.	
COUNSEL: Council	Member Rivera.	
COUNCIL MEMBER RIV	ERA: I vote aye.	
COUNSEL: Council	Member Felder.	
COUNCIL MEMBER FEL	DER: Yes.	
COUNSEL: Council	Member Gioia.	
COUNCIL MEMBER GIO	IA: Yes.	
COUNSEL: Council	Member Seabrook.	
COUNCIL MEMBER SEA	BROOK: Vote aye.	
COUNSEL: Council	Member Vann.	
COUNCIL MEMBER VAN	N: Aye.	
COUNSEL: By a vot	e of six in the	
to Committee. Chair Avella?  CHAIRPERSON AVELLA  COUNSEL: Council:  COUNCIL MEMBER RIV  COUNSEL: Council:  COUNCIL MEMBER FEL  COUNSEL: Council:  COUNCIL MEMBER GIO  COUNSEL: Council:  COUNCIL MEMBER SEA  COUNSEL: Council:  COUNCIL MEMBER SEA  COUNSEL: Council:  COUNCIL MEMBER VAN	: Aye.  Member Rivera.  ERA: I vote a  Member Felder.  DER: Yes.  Member Gioia.  IA: Yes.  Member Seabroo  BROOK: Vote a  Member Vann.  N: Aye.	

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 12		
affirmative, none in the negative, no abstentions,		
LU 1178 is approved and referred to the full Land		
Use Committee.		
MALE VOICE: [gavel] Thank you,		
everyone, this closes this meeting of the		
Subcommittee on Zoning and Franchises, and		
obviously everybody hang around for Land Use		

I, JOHN DAVID TONG certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

Signature\_\_\_\_\_

Date September 9, 2009