1	COMMITTEE C	N ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION	1
2	CITY COUNCIL CITY OF NEW YORK		
3			
4			
5	TRANSCRIPT OF THE	MINUTES	
6	Of the		
7	COMMITTEE ON ENVI	RONMENTAL PROTECTION	
8		April 15, 2019 Start: 10:06 a.m.	
9		Recess: 12:38 p.m.	
10	HELD AT:	Council Chambers - City Hall	
11	BEFORE:	COSTA G. CONSTANTINIDES Chairperson	
12	COUNCIL MEMBERS:	-	
13		RAFAEL L. ESPINAL, JR. STEPHEN T. LEVIN	
14		CARLOS MENCHACA DONOVAN J. RICHARDS	
15		ERIC A. ULRICH	
16		KALMAN YEGER	
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 2
2	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED)
3	Ivan Kimball
4	Vice President of Energy Management for Con Edison
5	Kyle Kimball
6	Vice President of Government, Regional and Community Affairs for Con Edison
7	Kim Fraczek Director of Sane Energy Project
8	
9	Annie Garneva  Representing Sane Energy Project and the Stop the
10	Williams Pipeline Coalition
11	Noelle Picone Volunteer with the Surfrider Foundation New York
12	City Chapter
13	Kimberly Ong Senior Attorney at the Natural Resources Defense
14	Council, NRDC
15	Bruce Rosen Resident of New York City Co-op
16	Jainey Bavishi
17	Director of the Mayor's Office of Recovery and Resiliency
18	Jean Belford Board Member for Rockaway Women for Progress, RWP
19	
20	Lillian Belford Rockaway Women for Progress, RWP
21	Lee Ziesche
22	Sane Energy Project Organizer and Documentary Filmmaker
23	Jackie Weisberg
24	350 Brooklyn Organization
	Sara Gronim

350 Brooklyn Organization

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 3
2	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED)
3	Bridget Klapinski Co-Vice President of the Rockaway Beach Civic
4	Association, Resident of Rockaway
5	Vincent Albanese New York State Laborers Organizing Fund
6	new form beade haberers organizating rama
7	Lisa Harrison Core Team Member of Sane Energy
8	Alvaro Alcocer
9	Resident of New York City, Business Owner, Environmental Advocate
10	Wendy Scher Member of IBEW Local Union Three
11	TIGHIS OF TEEM EGGGT GHIGH THEG
12	Rachel Rivera Board Member of New York Communities for Change
13	Patrick Houston Organizer with New York Communities for Change
14	
15	Nikita Scott Volunteer Chairperson of the Surfrider Foundation New York City Chapter
16	
17	Robert Wood Organizer with the Climate Justice Group 350 Brooklyn
18	Jeremy Jones
19	Co-Vice President of the Rockaway Beach Civic Association
20	
21	Carl Johnson Business Agent with Plumbers Local Union Number One
22	
0.0	Ariana Hernandez
23	Student with New York Public Interest Research Group, NYPIRG
24	Ira Macner

New York City Resident

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION	4
2	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED)	
3	Barbara Hertel Resident of Southside Williamsburg, New York C	i + x;
4		тсу
5	Laura Shindell Organizer with Food and Water Watch	
6	Ken schles Resident of New York City	
7	Resident of New Tork City	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

[gavel]

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

3 SERGEANT AT ARMS: Quite please.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: Good morning, I'm Corey Johnson, Speaker of the New York City Council and I want to thank you all for joining us today for this important hearing of the Environmental Protection Committee on the environmental impacts of the Williams Company's proposed Northeast supply enhancement also known as the Williams pipeline. I want to thank the Chair of this great Committee, Costa Constantinides for convening this hearing today and for his steadfast commitment to protecting our city and our planet from environmental harm. The Williams pipeline is a gas pipeline that is proposed to run under the C-floor from Raritan Bay in New Jersey to an existing pipeline offshore of the Rockaways. It is an... it is an expansion of an already existing transcontinental gas pipeline which brings fracked and offshore natural gas from the Gulf Coast of Texas through Louisiana, Mississippi, and Alabama up the East Coast and to the New York City area. In order for the Williams pipeline to proceed it needs to receive a water quality certification permit from the New York State Department of Environmental

2	Conservation that indicates the that the proposed
3	pipeline will not violate water quality standards. I
4	cannot believe that at a time when we are talking
5	about a green new deal and discussing bold local
6	legislation to limit greenhouse gas emissions of our
7	buildings in New York City we are still being asked
8	to support fracking and to expand fossil fuel
9	pipelines. This is discouraging when the health of
10	our local waters might be impacted by these projects.
11	As many here know, I have previously made public my
12	opposition to this fracked gas pipeline expansion in
13	New York Harbor. In March I submitted comments to the
14	State Department of Environmental Conservation that
15	expressed my opposition to this pipeline receiving
16	the necessary water quality certification permit. The
17	state has until May 16 <sup>th</sup> to decide whether or not to
18	approve or deny the permit and thus could approve or
19	deny the pipeline. Today we are hearing a resolution
20	that expresses the concerns raised by this pipeline.
21	First the project is completely contrary to, to the
22	New York State greenhouse gas reduction goals and
23	clean energy standards established by the Governor as
24	well as our own local greenhouse reduction
25	greenhouse gas reduction goals. Second, the toxic

sediment that may be disturbed by this pipeline's
construction could, could push back years of
incredible work that has gone into cleaning up New
York Harbor over the past many decades. Third, this
expansion is estimated to cost nearly one billion
dollars, the burden of which will be borne entirely
by local rate payers. Williams Company stands to make
a 14 percent return on their investment regardless of
the state of the market for this gas. Infrastructure
should be built when we need it not solely to enhance
the profits of a corporation. Finally, I have
concerns regarding the safety record of Williams
Companies. Since 2008 research shows that ten
Williams Transco pipelines and compressor stations
have exploded and or caught fire. The federal
pipeline and hazardous material safety administration
has repeatedly fined Williams for violation of safety
procedures. With our coastal communities still
recovering from hurricane Sandy and the climate
change causing stronger, more frequent storms it
would profoundly irresponsible to commit us to
decades of increased fossil fuel consumption and this
pipeline would do just that. At a time when we're
working to stop climate change from progressing, to

4 energy, this pipeline directly conflicts with the

5 goals of our city and our state. Our planet is

1

2

3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

6 closing in on a breaking point, we have to transition

shift our energy supply towards renewable, cleaner

7 from investing in fossil fuel infrastructure to

8 clean, renewable energy, we have to act decisively

9 and we have to act now and I look forward to the City

10 | Council doing our part so we can act. I want to thank

11 | everyone in attendance and everyone who's here to

12 speak today on this issue and I will now turn it over

13 | to the Chair of this Committee, Constantinides.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Thank you Speaker Johnson and thank you for your continued commitment to this city and to making us greener and more sustainable. I am Costa Constantinides, Chair of the Environmental Protection Committee and today we have an oversight hearing on the environmental impacts of the proposed Williams pipeline. The pipeline, 37 miles in length, 17.3 miles of which would go through New York waters, the planned track of the NESC runs parallel to already existing pipeline along the entirety of the proposed length.

The pipeline extension is estimated to cost as the

4

2 Speaker said close to one billion dollars. The impact 3 of such a pipeline could have on our environment and climate are disastrous and profound. New York City is 5 responsible for one percent of the greenhouse gas emissions in the entire nation. And New York City has 6 already taken a number of aggressive steps to, to, to advance goals enumerated in OneNYC. New York City 8 passed my law, Local 66 of 2014, which requires the 9 city to reduce citywide greenhouse gas emissions 80 10 percent by 2050. This pipeline would continue our 11 12 dependence of fossil fuels and increase greenhouse 13 gas emissions. While burning less oil and coal, 14 burning natural gas emits carbon dioxide into our 15 atmosphere. Further fracked natural gas primarily 16 comprised of methane which traps heat far more 17 effectively than CO2 with global warming potential 18 valued to be calculated 20 to 30 times higher than CO2 over a 100-year period. Scientists even argue 19 20 that methane is 80 times more effective at trapping heat than CO2. This pipeline increases our reliance 21 2.2 on fossil fuels and fracked gas. This is the entasis 23 of how we need to plan for a green energy future as our capacity to provide fossil fuel increases, we 24 become more reliant on systems that utilize these 25

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

fossil fuels. The opportunity for investment and renewable technology and the development of green jobs to provide that energy is diminished. Further, there are some serious concerns about the quality of the sea floor sediment that would dredge up as the Speaker spoke about. In 2016 and 2018 New York State DEC denied William's applications for water quality permits due to deficiencies with the company's plans concerning the handling of toxic sediment that construction of the pipeline is likely to dredge up. The sea, sea floor sediment along the path of the pipeline is said to have highly contaminated sediments, the project requires a 23-mile-long undersea trench that be dug through an area that prior to 1970s sustain industrial waste and sewage dumping. While natural processes have capped this toxic material and unpolluted sediment, the act of dredging would expose and release it into the water columns high level class C sediment defined as highly contaminated. Trenching from construction of this pipeline could release dangerous contaminants into the sediment such as polychlorinated brifentanil, arsenic and lead which once stirred up it could be

carried further into New York Harbor where it could

be harmful to aquatic life. These dangerous sediments
would be released back into the environment, back
into the food chain and inevitably into the body of
anyone who consumes the products from the mid-
Atlantic's multi billion dollar a year seafood
industry. Many of us here today as the Speaker spoke
about and we followed his lead, submitted comments to
DEC in opposition to this project. Today we are also
hearing a resolution calling upon DEC to deny the
water quality certification for the construction of
the Northeast supply enhancement pipeline through New
York Harbor. The life expectancy of natural gas
pipeline is approximately 50 years, however the
decision to invest in a natural gas pipeline
subsidized by our rate payers is investment in past
technology. It's an investment that will result in
stranded assets that shareholders will absorb. We can
simply not be used for 50 years. We have been told
that if the Williams pipeline is not approved there
will be no way to service new accounts in the growing
parts of Brooklyn, but the same claim was made in
Westchester. Instead once the moratorium on new gas
pipelines was imposed the, the PSC ordered the
installation of geothermal heat numbs in thousands of

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

individual homes. Only if we take a few... a firm stand and, and... will we get to the future that we need. The PSC will act, there are site sourced alternatives that carbon... that are carbon free. If we stand against this pipeline it means we have faith in our ability to take these transformative steps. Such transformative measures are being taken throughout our region and... but they are not being taken with new natural gas pipelines. Again, I want to thank Speaker Johnson and... for your leadership and I want to recognize that we have Council Member Kalman Yeger of the Committee here today as well from Brooklyn, thank you Council Member for being here and with that we'll call up the first witness for testimony. So, Ivan Kimball, Kyle Kimball from Con Edison. Good morning.

IVAN KIMBALL: Good morning, thank you

Mr. Chairman and Mr. Speaker and members of the

Committee for the opportunity to provide comments

today. My name is Ivan Kimball and I'm the Vice

President of Energy Management for Con Edison. I'm

joined by my colleague, Kyle Kimball, Vice President

of Government, Regional and Community Affairs. Our

comments today are focused on the current natural gas

supply constraints in New York City, how this project

indirectly alleviates those constraints and how we
can work together to achieve the goals our shared
vision of a clean energy future. Con Edison has been
a leader in transitioning the New York City energy
grid, the most complex in the world, to a grid that
will facilitate the transition to a clean energy
future. We agree that the climate is changing; we see
that we see that in massive storms, cold spells and
heat waves that have impacted our system. We have
spent over one billion dollars to make our system
more resilient to the impacts of climate change. I
would like to briefly explain how the proposed
Northeast Supply Enhancement or NESE project impacts
gas supply to Con Edison's service territory.
Although Con Edison has no role in the development of
the NESE project, nor are we a direct customer, the
project is one that benefits Con Edison customers
indirectly. Con Edison provides natural gas to the
Bronx, Manhattan and certain parts of Queens.
National Grid covers the rest of Queens, Brooklyn and
Staten Island. To cover the five boroughs, both
utilities share some of the natural gas transmission
infrastructure that allows natural gas to flow in the
five boroughs, whether they are customers of Con

Edison or National Grid. Demand for natural gas in
our service area has grown 30 percent since 2011.
That's largely due to a successful policy to
accelerate oil to gas conversions, such as New York
City's clean heat program and natural gas being the
fuel of choice for new construction because it is
cleaner and more economical than oil. As gas demand
grows there are only three choices to meet the
demand; additional capacity on new infrastructure;
find additional capacity on existing infrastructure;
or reduce demand for natural gas. I'll take them in
reverse order. We are already underway on item number
three, working to reduce demand for and dependence on
natural gas by our customers with incentives to
choose cleaner and more efficient alternatives. We
can talk more about this during the Q and A. On item
number two, we are actively looking for ways to
improve the efficiency of our existing
infrastructure. Which leaves us at item number one.
Because all of our customers are served by the shared
transmission infrastructure, if the NESE project is
rejected, Con Edison and National Grid customers will
be competing more intensely for the same already
strained natural gas supply flowing through existing

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 1 2 infrastructure. This competition could result in increased gas constraints in New York City for 3 already tight natural gas supplies, not to mention 4 higher prices for the natural gas itself. This is a 5 straightforward exercise in balancing supply and 6 demand. To the extent we are not able to meet the demand needs of our customers for new or expanded 8 natural gas service, we would have to move quickly to 9 declare a moratorium on new gas connections in our 10 service area. The inability to meet natural gas 11 12 demand without new interstate pipeline infrastructure 13 has been a growing concern for Con Edison. As you may 14 know, we put in place a temporary moratorium in Westchester on new gas connections. This moratorium 15 16 decision was driven by a need to balance available 17 supply with demand, to maintain reliability for our 18 existing Westchester customers. Con Edison has a duty to deliver natural gas safely and reliably to every 19 20 firm customer on the days of peak demand, which are typically the coldest days of the year. If we 21 2.2 forecast that we cannot meet the demand for natural 23 gas on the days of peak demand, we cannot responsibly add new customers, which typically number about 1,700 24

new connections each year. These are gas connections

to new affordable housing, residential and commercial
developments, oil to gas conversions, new restaurants
and renovations that will all have to find
alternatives to natural gas for their heating and
cooking needs. Replacing the demand for natural gas
with demand for electricity is considered the best
way to decarbonize the heating and cooling of
buildings. Beneficial electrification is only
beneficial when the grid is green, when the electrons
that are flowing and replacing natural gas are
renewable. Right now, that is not the case. Con
Edison, Inc. is the second largest solar developer in
North America, and yet we are not able to bring that
expertise to New York State. To achieve the level of
renewable electrons flowing into New into the New
York City metropolitan area, we need all of the tools
in play, and we cannot afford artificial constraints
that prohibit utilities from owning solar and wind
farms in New York State. We have shared goals when it
comes to reducing emissions and there are numerous
projects already underway at Con Edison to reduce
carbon emissions, but we have to work together to
create an orderly transition to the clean energy
future we all envision. It is our shared

-

2.

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

responsibility as policy makers, energy providers and environmental advocates to ensure that New Yorkers have access to affordable and cleaner energies. We know that our customers want clean, safe and reliable energy, and they want it to be delivered affordably. We have to work together to design an orderly transition to arrive at a clean energy future that is accessible, affordable, and does not threaten the economic health of the region or access to opportunity. Thank you for this time and we're happy to answer any questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: Thank you Mr.

Kimball for being here today. I wanted to ask how

much of the power energy source is delivered by your

utility Con Edison to New York City currently comes

from fossil fuels?

IVAN KIMBALL: Are you talking about the electric side or the... on the gas side?

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: The gas side.

IVAN KIMBALL: On the gas side we... all of the natural gas that we provide is a fossil fuel, is that what you're asking?

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: And, and what is the plan to move towards more renewable energy sources on the gas side?

IVAN KIMBALL: So, we filed a smart solutions program a couple of years ago and we recently got funding from the PSC for over 200 million dollars to move to renewable sources for a clean heat program which is part of the... part of that program as well as enhanced energy efficiency programs to reduce demand for customers.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: And what targets does Con Ed have for renewable energy delivery for 2020, 2025, as you start moving five years in the future on intervals what's the plan to move towards more renewable?

IVAN KIMBALL: So, that... so, the program that we, we put forth that smart solutions program would address about five percent of our current demand, current peak demand over a five to ten year period and, and we have said that we will continue to look at additional opportunities for that but, but we don't have a specific target at this point.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: Why not, why is there not a specific target?

IVAN KIMBALL: We've been working as the, the process of going... been going forward to, to work with the PSC to determine what the right funding level is to support those programs.

KYLE KIMBALL: And we put out an RFP a couple of years ago and basically asked the market what it could provide in terms of renewable gas or gas demand reduction programs and so the five percent that Ivan's talking about is basically what the market told us it could do and so we're about to... eventually we'll go out and, and... within the year or two once we get these programs in place and the programs that Ivan talked about in terms of creating more... we have three different renewable gas facilities that we're planning on doing and we're also working on trying to reduce the demand so incentivizing heat pumps, ground source heat pumps, a lot of different programs, we will then go out for a second solicitation and again ask the market what it can do so it's a... it's a partnership we have, it's less about our specific goals and more about what the market feels that it can provide customers in an affordable way.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.2

testified that although Con Edison has no role in the development of the Williams pipeline nor are you a direct customer you talked about how the pipeline effects both National Grid and Con Edison and creating additional connections for, for gas, do you all given your experience or given what you know have any concerns about the pipeline?

IVAN KIMBALL: No new concerns about the pipeline, no.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: What were the previous concerns?

IVAN KIMBALL: No, just the, the normal safety concerns that, that we have for delivering gas reliably for our customers that, that go under the existing regulations and, and processes that we have.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: No environmental concerns about this project from everything that you know?

KYLE KIMBALL: We're not necessarily here to... we're not here to talk necessarily... to testify that this... because we're not in this project we can't testify that it is something that we would support or is designed the way we would support it or

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

19

18

20

21

22

23

24

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

environmentally meets the standards that we would have its more that we just felt like that in this conversation about making hard choices as we transition to a clean energy future that there was not sufficient... not a sufficient conversation going on in the public realm around the fact that we are... would potentially have to do a moratorium in this city and that people didn't necessarily understand that the five boroughs were through the sort of the transitive property dependent and it had impacts in this city so, we're not here to necessarily say this is a project that we would have done or that we are in support of the project but rather under... helping the, the conversation that this has impacts on the city.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Thank you Speaker Johnson. What is the climate impact of the gas, which is overwhelmingly fracked gas from the pipeline?

[off mic dialogue]

IVAN KIMBALL: I don't... I don't know what the particular impact would be, I mean just emissions...

KYLE KIMBALL: So, I guess the, the climate impact of greenhouse gases in general or...

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Yes, uh-huh.

KYLE KIMBALL: So, we... as, as I think as

Ivan said we believe that climate change is real, we

are working as quickly as we can we believe to

transition people off, I don't think there's a

dispute that there is an impact from burning fossil

fuels on our side.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: So, so... but we... the numbers I've heard are somewhere in the neighborhood of eight million metric tons of CO2 that would be created from this pipeline, does that sound in the ballpark?

KYLE KIMBALL: It's not our project and I can't necessarily tell you, its... sorry, that's what we... its not our project so we're just literally here to talk about the relationship between this project and our service to... [cross-talk]

2.2

2.2

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: So, how do you feel this project fits within the state's plans for greening our grid?

KYLE KIMBALL: So, I think this is... this is... this is the crux of the conversation and I'm glad you asked this, I mean I think that... we believe that natural gas has a role to play. So, and as, as Ivan said at the end of the day, I think everyone in this room wants more renewable electrons flowing into the system... [cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Uh-huh... [cross-talk]

dispute about that among anyone in the room, what we are in the middle of a conversation about is how do we get there and we believe that natural gas... that... we believe that we are not in a position to deliver customer... energy to customers reliably if we completely stop providing natural gas right now. We believe there's a role for the natural gas to get to a renewable energy future, there's one. Two, we have to work with people to make sure that the options that we are presenting in terms of ground force heat pumps, air source heat pumps and a lot of the

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 1 2 different technologies that are yet to come are 3 affordable, I don't think we're, we're not necessarily having a conversation about that yet but 4 we should. I think the third piece is how do we work 5 together to reduce... in terms of reducing demand 6 7 because right now gas demand is growing because people don't necessarily feel like they have 8 alternatives, so I think we have to work together ... 9 [cross-talk] 10 CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Well I mean 11 12 I'll, I'll ask this question, I've asked this 13 question of you... [cross-talk] 14 KYLE KIMBALL: Sure... [cross-talk] 15 CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: ...before so 16 I'm not going to stop now but your also offering 17 incentives to use natural gas, right, I mean because 18 I've heard stories from developers about you saying to them they're looking at geothermal, they're 19 20 looking at renewable and you're saying well, you know you can get this million dollar or two million dollar 21 2.2 incentive by using natural gas so aren't we just 23 incentivizing them to use more natural gas and not really having those conversations around renewable 24

25

energy?

KYLE KIMBALL: There's a program that is for commercial developers but that is ending in one month.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Oh, its ending in one month.

KYLE KIMBALL: Yeah...

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Okay, because that's... I've heard that story more than once that they want to move... [cross-talk]

KYLE KIMBALL: It's only... it's only for a small amount of commercial developer who... [cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Uh-huh... [cross-talk]

 $\label{eq:KYLE KIMBALL: ...but that's... like I said} its ending in May.$ 

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: But we're incentivizing that move to natural gas so of course then they're... the, the demand for natural gas is growing because it's being incentivized?

KYLE KIMBALL: Yeah, these are people who are transitioning from oil.

2.2

## COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 1 2 CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Okay. But 3 we're trying to move them to renewables and we're saying to them no, take this instead. 4 KYLE KIMBALL: We're not necessarily 5 saying take the ... we're not saying to a developer in 6 7 this case that you should do this, we're saying to, to somebody who's building something that... because 8 there's a lot of... there are some choices that someone could make but they might... if they make this choice 10 11 to transition from oil there is like... an incentive 12 program but that... like I said it is ending. 13 CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: The ... you 14 know the other question I have is how long is this 15 pipeline built to last for? 16 IVAN KIMBALL: I... yeah, I think in 17 general pipelines are built to last for 40 years. CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: 18 40 to 50... [cross-talk] 19 20 IVAN KIMBALL: ...or more... [cross-talk] 21 CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: ...so... [cross-2.2 talk 23 IVAN KIMBALL: ...right.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Have we

thought about what our energy needs would be over the

24

2 next 40 years and 50 years and where this fits into 3 that?

2.2

KYLE KIMBALL: Our approach really is to use existing infrastructures we have in place to get through this transition period so in terms of getting more natural gas on existing infrastructure either through compression or different small projects you can do on our distribution system to help move gas differently around the city again, as part of this transition program.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Did the
Williams company reach out to you or did they... you
reach out to them about this project? Well I guess
you're not involved, this a natural... [cross-talk]

KYLE KIMBALL: Yeah, we're not involved.

that's... my concern is that, you know I've... our communities have heard this story before about reliability and affordability but my bill keeps going up every month, we see the rate payers having to pay more every month even though you say that natural gas is less expensive and you know we've built power plants in 2000 that were supposed to be for three years in environmental justice communities and those

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

communities... if you were born the year that they put those power plants in you're now old enough to vote. So, even though they were supposed to be a three-year life cycle we're talking about 18, 19 years later those plants still exist. So, we keep talking about moving to a renewable energy future but we keep locking ourselves in on fossil fuel infrastructure that's going to be there for a generation and I don't see the conversation around renewables being robust, we need to start... you know I understand that Con Edison as you've said more than once in front of this committee what are you doing on the state level to be able to get into the solar market, the wind market, what are you doing to get to renewables, what is the plan here beyond just coming here and testifying that you're frustrated about not being able to be able to grow solar and wind, I want to hear something else other than you're frustrated because I'm frustrated too?

KYLE KIMBALL: So, we have... we have...
we're working... actually working with the legislature
to get... to get legislation passed and I would say
we've had some good success in Albany in this last
session, there was nothing in the budget, it was not

1 COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

2 introduced as part of the budget but we worked very

3 hard to try to get it introduced, introduced so we

4 are working very hard at the state level to get that

5 and we can use... we can use everyone's support to get...

6 because I think... at the end of the day you want as

2.2

electrons flowing into the New York City area or otherwise known as zone J and we have to have your support and, and of those who want to see more renewable assets we could use your support in advancing this idea.

many tools in the tool kit to get to these renewable

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: I mean I want more renewable electrons in zone J, that... there's no argument here that... [cross-talk]

KYLE KIMBALL: Yeah... [cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: ...I think you have a room full of people that would like to see that as well...

KYLE KIMBALL: But I think that's... an interesting part of the dialogue is that when we talk about this people have no idea that we can't do that in New York State, they think that we're just not and I think that's again part of the dialogue that we want to get the message out that we are trying very

2.2

hard, again, we are the number two developer outside... in North America outside of New York State and we want to be able to do that in New York State. People think that we are simply tied to fossil fuels in a way that's really not true.

know I think we're going to have a disagreement on the particular pipeline but I do... we do agree... have an agreement that we need more renewable energy in our communities, we need to start powering, we need to rethink how we power a city in the 21<sup>st</sup> century and I don't believe that fossil fuels are, are the way for us to think about the next 50 years of our lives. So, I, I want to recognize Council Member Espinal who's here from Brooklyn and then... questions? Okay, Council Member Espinal has a question slash statement.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: No... yeah, no I don't have any questions but I just want to express my support for this resolution so I would love to be signed on, I think that as you mentioned Mr. Chair and now more than ever we should be talking about how do we continue building infrastructure for renewable energies instead of building a pipeline for fracked

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 1 2 gas, you know the state of New York, the city of New York continues to say that we believe in climate 3 4 change, continues to ... or we made a statement that we're against fracked gas but here we are allowing 5 for the potential of fracked gas coming into the 6 7 state even though its coming from somewhere else. So, instead of... instead of building pipelines we should 8 be building offshore, offshore wind looking at how do 9 we retrofit our buildings with solar and all these 10 other great ways to produce energy here in our city 11 12 so I stand behind this resolution and I am against the, the Williams pipeline coming into New York. 13 14 Thank you. 15 CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Thank you 16 Council Member Espinal, to be continued. 17 KYLE KIMBALL: Thank you for the time. 18 CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Thank you. Alright, the first panel is Kim Fraczek from Sane 19 20 Energy Project; Annie Garneva from Sane Energy Project; Noelle Picone, Surfrider Foundation; 21 2.2 Kimberly, Kimberly Ong, NRDC and Bruce Rosen, United 23 for Action. I think we're working on getting you a 24 chair, give me one second. Alright. Alright, so I

know we started late today and I apologize for that

2.2

but I think we're going to... in order to... we have to be done by one o'clock, I know there are other hearings today so we're going to put everyone on a four minute clock, okay. So, just give everyone four minutes, do your testimony and then at the end of each panel we'll ask questions, sounds good and everybody knows that this is the way to show your support already and just no yelling, no booing so I think we're good. Alright, great. Alright, ready when you are, alright, right there yeah. Make, make... push your red button.

KIM FRACZEK: Oh, sure. Thank you so much for your environmental leadership and stewardship.

I'm Kim Fraczek, I'm the Director of Sane Energy

Project. We represent 7,500 New Yorkers that are

working to stop the fossil fuel industry and to build an equitable renewable energy system in the state of New York. The renewable solutions are available and fracked gas form the Williams NESE pipeline is not a bridge fuel. It will bring us more climate change, more poison and a nosedive for our democracy. Case in point, Williams Company in partnership with the deliverers of this product, National Grid and Con Ed are currently running a false information campaign

that is nothing more than a manufactured crisis to
keep their unsustainable and inequitable business
models in survival mode. They are telling New Yorkers
that we will all be in the cold, in the dark and that
our economy will come to a screeching halt if we
don't build this pipeline and more fracked gas
infrastructure expansion. This is simply an effort to
keep business as usual and to create doubt in our
ability to create a renewable industry in service to
sustaining all life on planet earth. The CEOs of
these companies who will benefit from this pipeline
make in the millions per year, hardly an incentive
for changing our infrastructure to distributed
renewables that would break apart their monopoly on
our energy choices, our economy and our democracy. We
need New York City Council to express leadership for
our health, safety and democracy and thank you for
doing so. We achieved so much already; this is not
the time to work backwards. We vetoed Port Ambrose
LNG Port together, many of you stood up with us to
Spectra Energy's pipeline in the West Village when
this fracking infrastructure fight was not on many
people's radars. We must not let Williams Company and
the corporate utilities bully us backward. Now is

the now is the time to demand our city and state
incentivize training for our labor force to move to
renewable energy partnered with energy efficiency and
beneficial electrification to create a cleaner and
equitable system. Recently, Governor Cuomo and
NYSERDA's renewable heat division led the way when
Con Ed recently called for a moratorium on gas in
Westchester County if they don't get more gas
infrastructure. Sane Energy Project, along with
elected officials, organized a delivery of hundreds
of letters to the public service commission to see
Con Ed's moratorium threat and we raised them an
exchange of fossil fuel subsidies for renewable
subsidies for our rate payer and taxpayer funded
Green Bank and Clean Energy Fund. We have the
solutions in our economy solar, offshore wind, energy
efficiency, beneficial electrification instead of gas
pipeline replacement and hey if St. Patrick's
Cathedral in midtown Manhattan can go 100 percent
geothermal, then there is no reason we cannot create
a community, community geothermal loops to heat and
cool our buildings. I attached for you a report that
we issued called the Panic Report, manufacturing of
panic for pipelines and profits by Con Edison.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

National Grid and Williams and I've also attached our renewable energy talking points that are notated and cited and how it... how the corporate utilities are manufacturing a crisis to keep business as usual.

Thank you so much for your time.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Thank you.

ANNIE GARNEVA: Hello. Good morning and thank you for holding this hearing and doing the necessary oversight of the dangers and unnecessary proposed Williams Pipeline that would carry fracked gas from our neighbors in Pennsylvania into the Rockaways, a New York City community that has already seen its own unfair share of environmental destabilization during superstorm Sandy and its continued aftermath. I and the members of the Stop the Williams Pipeline Coalition have been working to alert the public and elected officials like yourselves to this proposed pipeline and its multitude of dangers for the last two and a half years. In my short time with you today I would like to highlight the incredible harm that the construction process will cause to the harbor and marine and human life that depends on it. The main issue that we have is the toxins that would be

dredged up through the process. One of the main
negative consequences would be the dredging of toxins
such as mercury and arsenic that have settled on the
seabed. Stricter environmental laws, investments in
waste treatment and the decline of industries on the
rivers that flow into the region have led to a
dramatic improvement in water quality after decades
and decades of rampant industrial pollution akin to
the project we are currently discussing. Williams
itself has documented unsafe levels of toxic
substances under the sea floor all along the proposed
route. Approximately 83 percent of the samples it
collected exceeded the New York State standards for
one or more metals and approximately 33 percent of
samples had excessive amounts of toxic, toxic organic
pollutants that would require highly specialized
regulation and construction techniques. It's not a
question of whether these toxins exist, but a
question of whether we're going to shut our eyes and
keep being delusional about their consequences. The
release of toxins is particularly concerning when it
comes to bottom feeding marine life overall, and how
this will impact the commercial and recreational
purposes that this water is meant for by the law. For

# COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 1 2 example, the endangered Atlantic sturgeon has been making a slow come back over the last 20 years, with 3 the Rockaways being a major habitat area. As they are 4 bottom feeders who forage for small clams, invertebrates and fish by sucking up large amounts of 6 7 mud and sand, the trenching of the sea floor and dredging up of the toxins would A, inundate the 8 sturgeon with pollutants of sediments for three to 12 9 hours per day; B, reduce the amount of important prey 10 and C, expose sturgeon to significantly higher levels 11 12 of toxins through ingesting them. This example of 13 toxic interaction can be applied to all animals 14 within the harbor and especially a number of the 15 bottom feeding species, who make up a large portion 16 of the biomass within the ecosystem and play a vital role in our food web. These waters are specified as 17 18 having to serve market and recreational purposes and include seven fishing grounds, any adverse impacts on 19 20 these must be taken into priority over the construction of the pipeline. Toxins are passed down 21 2.2 from one animal to the next and by entering the food 23 chain they will eventually make their way into New

Yorkers themselves through both commercial and

recreational fishing and swimming. Here are some of

24

# COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION the negative health consequences of the toxins. Arsenic causes a variety of cancers in humans. Lead leads to neurological impairments especially in children. PCBs enter the food chain, with human exposure to PCBs often coming from eating fish. The class of organic compounds called dioxins are highly toxic because they bind to body fat and accumulate in them they accumulate in both humans and animals. With

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

children. PCBs enter the food chain, with human exposure to PCBs often coming from eating fish. The class of organic compounds called dioxins are highly toxic because they bind to body fat and accumulate in the... they accumulate in both humans and animals. With more than 90 percent of human exposure to PCBs coming in through food including fish and shellfish, which is one of our main growing industries in the city. One could point to similar evidence of harms to health for any of the metals or industrial compounds we're talking about in the bottom of the sea floor. The construction techniques that will be used to bury this pipeline will also be a part of this problem. In its filings with the federal government, Williams said that jet trenching will be used for approximately 64 percent of the route. Jet trenching causes the most sediment disturbance and apparently it will be used for more than half of the pipeline's length except that no process has been put into place as to what will be done to better this. The fate of resuspended contaminants is dependent on many

variables, variables that Williams has not included
in any of their information. And you can read the

1

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

5 CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Alright, 6 great, thank you very much. Morning.

rest of my testimony.

NOELLE PICONE: Good morning, my name is Noelle, I am a volunteer with the Surfrider Foundation New York City Chapter and Campaign Lead against the Williams Pipeline. I want to thank you for holding this hearing and I, I'd like to say the statements made by the Council Members this morning makes me very proud to be a New Yorker. If built the NESE pipeline's contribution towards climate change would directly contradict New York City's greenhouse emission goals as Speaker Johnson alluded to. This pipeline would carry fracked gas, which is largely methane, a greenhouse gas 86 times more powerful in the short term than CO2. When just 3.2 percent of methane leaks and gas infrastructure is known to leak as much as 11 percent, methane is a... is as bad for the climate as burning coal. The DEC estimates that this pipeline construction will result in the release of 99,781 tons of carbon dioxide equivalent emissions or CO2E which is the equivalent, equivalent of

burning 50,000 tons of coal. In 2014, New York City
committed to reducing its greenhouse emissions by 80
percent by 2050 compared to the 2005 level. This
pipeline contradicts and jeopardizes New York City's
emission reduction plan. The DEC notes that quote,
"the amount of greenhouse, greenhouse gas emissions
from construction and operation of this pipeline
would be significant when compared to state and
regional GHG emission reduction targets even before
taking into account upstream or downstream
emissions". As we all know hurricane Sandy caused
massive damage to New York City as, as a whole from
Rockaways to Coney Island to Lower Manhattan to
Staten Island and the list goes on and on. Climate
scientists agree that it is only a matter of time
before a new superstorm would bring the same kind of
damage. To climate proof quote, "Lower Manhattan and
prevent similar catastrophic damage from another
inevitable superstorm", Mayor De Blasio recently
proposed using landfill to artificially extend the
southern tip of Manhattan, an estimated 10-billion-
dollar project. As the Mayor has said cities like New
York are facing down the greatest threat to our
survival on our own. Climate change has put New York

City in such a vulnerable and precarious position
that a proposal exists to literally extend the island
of Manhattan to the tune of the ten billion dollars,
this is the severity of the threat that we are
facing. Given this, to not oppose this project which
will most certainly contribute towards climate change
would not only be illogical, but it would be
irresponsible. The Council's own website states
quote, "the Council can pass resolutions on state and
federal issues that are relevant to New Yorkers", it
further states that resolutions allow the Council to
quote, "express a collective voice of the city".
Recent history has taught us that climate change is
an extremely relevant issue to all New Yorkers and by
taking action to stop its devastating impacts you
will dually be expressing a collective voice of this
city. New York City is one of the greatest and most
progressive cities in the world, we should not allow
the fossil fuel industry to make enormous profit,
profit for an unnecessary and dangerous project at
the expense of our city instead we should be a leader
in the global fight to combat climate change. This
Council has an opportunity to do to be such a leader

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

by passing this resolution and asking the DEC to deny the permit for the construction of this pipeline.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Thank you.

KIMBERLY ONG: Good morning Chairman Constantinides and members of the Committee and all of the pipeline fighters who have taken time out of their workdays to fill this room today, it's great to see you all here. my name is Kimberly Ong and I am Senior Attorney at the Natural Resources Defense Council. As you probably know, NRDC is a national, nonprofit legal and scientific organization headquartered in New York City. Since its founding in 1970, NRDC has worked hard to protect waters in and around New York City. It has been a principal advocate for pollution prevention and water protection of the Catskill and Delaware watersheds, which provide drinking water to more than nine million residents including those of us in New York City. I appreciate the opportunity to testify before, before you today and thank you for providing us the forum to comment on this really important project. As you know, over 23 miles of the Northeast Supply Enhancement pipeline is proposed to be built very close to New York City, just off the shores of Staten

Island and Queens. Part of that pipeline will be
built by ripping up the bottom of New York Harbor,
one of the city's most important waterbodies. New
York Harbor serves as a lifeblood to the city and is
an important place for fishing, swimming, boating and
other forms of recreation for hundreds and thousands
of thousands of people and its home to a diverse
collection of aquatic organisms, including two
hundred species of fish and the endangered North
Atlantic right whale, the endangered fin whale and
the endangered Atlantic sturgeon. With much hard work
by the city, its now the healthiest it's been in over
a century. And New Yorkers are taking advantage of
this, in New York City, the majority of shoreline
along New York Harbor is designated as public space.
And the national park sites in New York Harbor
receive over 16 million visitors per year who spend
nearly 560 million dollars in communities near the
parks. But all of this is all this progress could be
undermined if the Northeast Enhancement pipeline goes
forward. The vast majority of the pipeline in New
York will be constructed using a trenching method,
ripping up over one million cubic yards of sediment
from the ocean floor. These activities would harm any

living thing that lived in the project's path. It
would also suspend sediments in the water, clogging
fish gills, burying eggs, and making it too cloudy
for aquatic animals to forage and migrate. Indeed,
aquatic animals in an area larger than Central Park,
about 945 acres of seafloor, would experience an
increase in suspended sediment that could interfere
with nearly every activity necessary to sustain,
sustain life there. The pipeline developer also
acknowledges that there are dangerous levels of toxic
contaminants like PCBs, mercury and copper, in the
sediment that creates a highly potential a high
potential for sediments to be toxic to aquatic life.
Levels of mercury and copper would be so high that
they would exceed state water quality standards for
these chemicals. And once contaminants enter an
animal, they can move up the food chain potentially
harming and killing organisms that were directly
exposed to the contaminants in the first place. New
York City has an important role to play in the future
of this pipeline. Before it moves forward, the
Northeast Supply Enhancement pipeline must obtain
several federal and state approvals. Without these
approvals, the pipeline can't go forward. And as you

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 1 2 are aware, New York State is currently considering 3 granting the pipeline a water quality certification in accordance with section 401 of the Clean Water 4 Act, this is one of the very few opportunities New 5 6 York State has to stop this pipeline. And before New 7 York State makes its, its decision on May 16<sup>th</sup>, the City Council can pass a resolution calling upon New 8 York State to deny water quality certification permit 9 for the construction of the Northeast Supply 10 Enhancement pipeline through New York Harbor. This 11 12 would send a powerful signal to the state that New 13 Yorkers are not interested in hosting a fracked gas pipeline through one of their most important 14 15 waterbodies and would put pressure on the state to 16 respond in kind. In short, NRDC believes that New 17 York... the Northeast Supply Enhancement pipeline must 18 be stopped for the health and safety of all New Yorkers and for the protection of our sacred 19 20 waterways. We strongly support a resolution against

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Thank you.

BRUCE ROSEN: Good morning, is it on?

Good morning and thank you for the opportunity to, to speak. I guess I wasn't amazed by hearing the utility

the Northeast Supply Enhancement pipeline, thank you.

21

2.2

23

24

reps talk about meeting customer demand. I live in a
co-op that had decided to do the dual use when it had
to get out of the, the more polluting oil on that.
to get out of the, the more politicing off the that.
The Council of Co-ops and, and Condominiums in New
York has only one story that it tells its members and
that's about tapping into the fracked gas. That is a
result in part of both the previous mayoralty, the
real estate policies of which continue in this
mayoralty as well as of course the real estate board
and the partnership for New York. I think it'd be
critical to note one, the, the major real estate
investors in the city and globally are also invested
in natural resources, natural resources include oil,
natural gas, coal, fissionable material and of course
the rarest that power everybody's cell phones. So,
there here in that, we have a problem also of not
only Con Ed but natural National Grid. National Grid
has a terrible safety record. The example of which
took place during Sandy despite warnings from the
staff of a loss of over a thousand homes in Breezy
Point, that's very important because the then Mayor's
priority within a week of Sandy hitting was to get a
new high pressure gas pipeline constructed under
Jamaica Bay and the Rockaway Peninsula and then after

that was to push through another high pressure gas
pipeline under the Hudson River between Jersey City
and the west side of Manhattan which in fact the now
Speaker participated in the demonstration against.
So, we seem to go be going in one way. As was said
by the reps they don't even have the conversion
interests on, on their scope and its not like we
don't have the ability to do anything. At this point
in time New York City is in the top ten cities in
installed solar capacity not on a per capita basis,
we have a way to go but one of the organizations that
I support, WE ACT does such installations. The other
thing is the technologies have been existing and been
used in New York and have improved. An example is a
building that was built in the 80's, the gymnasium
of, of Pratt Institute in Brooklyn taps into the
aquaria for its heating and cooling and if you just
want to use water for the non-potable water for
protection of a building there's 100 year old plus
landmark across the street named the Waldorf building
and that's what they used. So, it's not like we don't
create the technology and know how to use it, it's
more like there's an indifference to do to doing
that and there are invested interests in it that

2.1

2.2

don't want us to do that so, if New York is going to be more serious than saying we know the science and walk the other way it has to say absolutely no and it has to go forward very quickly to conservation and renewable resources. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Thank you.

So, you've, you've answered most of my questions

already when it comes to marine life in Jamaica Bay,

seeing the resurgence of so many new wildlife there

that were lost for a very long time, if you wanted to

sort of expand and I know we're seeing whales,

dolphins, I mean in the Bay that we haven't seen in a

long... what, what do you think this means if we lock

ourselves into this construction, what do you foresee

our waterways reverting back to?

ANNIE GARNEVA: Sure, so over the past century I think the regulation finally stopped all the industrial toxins that were coming in the 70s and ever since that point we've... the... like Kim said this is the first time that we've had healthy waterways, for the first time this year we saw... what was the turtle named? Kemps ridley turtle come back on shore and lay eggs which we as environmentalists were so excited about and the moment it hit the news Williams

1

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

just wrote it off as a onetime experience rather than an incredible symbol of marine life that we haven't seen for decades come back to our shores from cleanliness. The way that the construction is... now has been created it... there will be construction happening 24 hours a day in some form for 12 years... for 12 months straight which means that not only will there be 12 months straight of death that that means then it will take three... its projected it will take one to three years for those marine life to come back, to start re-growing so we're actually locking ourselves into four years of straight death across the entire harbor which is a major problem and Williams continues to try to just write it off by basically flipping the math on every aspect of, of the route which makes no sense.

KIMBERLY ONG: I believe that there's, there's been a claim by the, the natural gas pipeline developers that the type of harms that New York

Harbor will experience will be quite temporary. They certainly say this in their environmental impact statement a number of times but I think, you know a good example of the real life impacts of this pipeline can be seen in the real life impacts that

resulted from the Rockaway lateral pipeline which is a pipeline that was built in very similar... I mean right, right next to where the Northeast Supply Enhancement pipeline will be built. And there you just need to look at, you know like one animal like the surf clam for example who's populations were decimated after the construction of that pipeline that really never recovered and I think that that's a

real danger for a lot of other aquatic animals that

rely on New York Harbor for their survival.

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

2.2

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: I know that Council Member Menchaca also joined us here at the Committee. I want to thank each and every one of you for your efforts and your work and your advocacy and I appreciate you being here and taking your time today. One, one last question or statement, yeah.

BRUCE ROSEN: I'd just like to say that
the fracktivists here to get the ban on the state
said and that was not the point that was being made
by certain people downstate, there would be no
sacrifice zones, no sacrifice zones didn't just mean
62 counties in New York State, it meant we did not
want the sacrifice of the citizens of our neighboring
states to be there and many of the people here have

2.2

visited Pennsylvania, they have seen the damage that was done, people had their fresh water taken from them without permission by a form of eminent domain so it's our responsibility to not only not continue this but to do whatever we can to help make repairs with the damage is done.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Thank you.

Thank you all for your testimony, I, I appreciate all of your advocacy, thank you. Next up we have

Commissioner Jainey Bavishi, I pronounced... with a name like Constantinides I apologize for saying your name wrong Commissioner, thank you for being here today, from... the Director of the Mayor's Office of Resiliency and Recovery. And Commissioner since you are a city official, I do have to have... swear you... sworn in.

[off mic dialogue]

COMMITTEE CLERK: Can you please raise your right hand? Do you swear or affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth today?

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Always good to see you Commissioner.

JAINEY BAVISHI: Nice to see you too.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Thank you for being here.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

JAINEY BAVISHI: Good morning. My name Jainey Bavishi. I am the Director of the Mayor's Office of Recovery and Resiliency. I want to thank Speaker Johnson and Chairperson Constantinides as well as members of the Committee on Environmental Protection for this opportunity to testify on behalf of the De Blasio Administration today on the city's energy need... energy needs, our efforts to move towards more sustainable and resilient energy sources and the proposed Williams pipeline. The city's electricity mix is comprised of nuclear, natural gas and steam resources; and supports almost every aspect of life and livelihoods. Natural gas, in particular, fuels more than 98 percent of in city electricity production by power plants. Separate from electricity, the city also relies on natural gas for more than 75 percent of its heating needs and a significant percentage of cooking needs in buildings throughout New York. In 2012, NYC Clean Heat was created to address the public health hazard presented by heavy heating oil emissions. Through NYC Clean Heat, there have been over 6,000 heating oil

# COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 1 conversions from number six or number four oil to 2 3 cleaner fuels like natural gas... natural gas. As a result, the city has achieved the cleanest air 4 quality in 50 years, preventing approximately 210 5 premature deaths and 540 hospitalizations annually. 6 7 We also applaud the City Council's efforts to accelerate the phase out of the heaviest fuel oils 8 for power plants. In the absence of cleaner forms of 9 large-scale energy, especially for the provision of 10 heating and hot water, natural gas consumption has 11 12 been increasing in New York City by three to four 13 percent annually since 2012, driven in part by the 14 NYC Clean Heat program. Given this growth, the 15 utilities are now stating that there is insufficient gas supply coming into the city to keep up with 16 17 growing demand. For example, on March 15<sup>th</sup>, Con 18 Edison's moratorium on new gas connections went into effect in Westchester County. National Grid also 19 20 signaled that it will not approve new gas connections for approximately 250 newly planned developments in 21 2.2 New York City and Long Island unless the Williams 23 pipeline gets the green light from New York State and

the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Neither Con

Edison nor National Grid has yet issued a formal

24

# 1 COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 2 moratorium on new gas connections in New York City,

3

25

4 natural gas supply coming into the city to meet

5 growing demand. As a result, the New York State

6 Public Service Commission is currently assessing the

but both have raised concerns about the adequacy of

7 downstate gas supply. We are watching closely and

8 expect the results in July. Even though the city does

9 | not have permitting or approval authority over the

10 | Williams pipeline, we will do all we can to maintain

11 | the reliability of our energy supply with the

12 objective of ensuring that New Yorkers have access to

13 | heat during the winter months. We also want to

14 | continue to support affordable economic growth and

15 development in New York City. More broadly, the city

16 | is working to ensure our residents have access to

17 reliable, safe and sustainable energy sources. We are

18 moving fast to increase the efficiency of our

19 | buildings, in addition to transitioning heating from

20 | natural gas boilers to efficient electrified heat.

21 | The administration is working with the Council to

22 pass Introduction 1253, a major step in reducing

23 | greenhouse gas emissions from our largest buildings.

24 In New York City, buildings are responsible for

nearly 70 percent of the city's carbon emissions. A

large part of those emissions come from heating the
city's largest buildings with natural gas and oil.
Introduction 1253 will require large buildings to
progressively cut their carbon emissions in line with
the Paris Agreement, which will mean many of them
have will have to electrify their heating. Reducing
building emissions takes a significant step toward a
sustainable and reliable future. However, to support
large scale beneficial electrification, we also need
significantly more renewable energy flowing into our
grid. The city's 80 by 50 roadmap lays out the key
steps to transitioning our electricity from fossil
fuels to a clean energy future. Important elements of
that transition include a significant increase in
local and large-scale renewable power, new
transmission that directly connects New York City to
renewable power generated elsewhere and energy
storage and a limited amount of fast ramping fossil
fuel generation to balance the intermittency of wind
and solar. Roughly half of the city's annual
electricity consumption comes from 21 in city natural
gas fired power plants. Because of the lack of
transmission capacity to access power generated in
other parts of the state, the New York State

1

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Reliability Council mandates that about 80 percent of the city's peak electricity demand must be located within city limits to ensure that the lights stay on. New York City accounts for over 30 percent of the state's electricity consumption and 40 percent of the state's greenhouse gas emissions. To meet the state's 100 percent clean electricity goal by 2040 and dramatically reduce our reliance on polluting in city power plants, the state must invest in both new transmission from up state to down state and offshore wind. Otherwise New York City and other downstate communities will be relegated to a future with more fossil fuels. Achieving the city's climate objectives is no easy task and will require active participation by New Yorkers to transforming, transform the buildings we live in, the places we work, the ways we travel and the goods and energy we consume. We will need the State's support in these efforts. Together, we must prioritize resources, policies and programs that facilitate this transition. Thank you for the opportunity to testify and we are happy to take any, any questions you may have at this time.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Alright, so Commissioner what actions would the city take if the

1 COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
2 utilities were to declare a moratorium on new gas

connections in New York City?

2.2

JAINEY BAVISHI: If the city were... the utilities were, were to declare a moratorium on new gas connections then what will likely happen is that there will be an increased reliance on fuel oil to heat buildings throughout New York City. Another possibility is that we might see an increase in interruptible gas customers, these are basically customers that would, would not be continuous customers but would use gas for more part... most parts of the year but, but be required to switch to fuel oil during the coldest days.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Would we come up with a contingency plan based on renewables and, and the city to work to try to bridge that gap and what is... what is our thoughts?

JAINEY BAVISHI: We're absolutely aggressively trying to bring as much renewable energy to the city as possible but I... as I said in my testimony this is also dependent on more transmission to conduct that... [cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Right, uh-huh... [cross-talk]

2	JAINEY BAVISHI:energy [cross-talk]
3	CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES:no, I mean
4	I, I fully support that as you know we, we're I
5	think we've had a good collaboration together on 1253
6	which I'm looking forward to seeing passed on
7	Thursday but also 1318 which would have the city come
8	up with a long term plan to close these gas powered
9	power plants within our city limits and replace them
10	with solar and, you know hydropower, wind power,
11	renewables. I think it… we need… and battery storage,
12	we need to come up with that long-term plan to start
13	thinking about how we pull ourselves out of this,
14	this fossil fuel paradigm that we seem to be stuck
15	in, right?
16	JAINEY BAVISHI: Absolutely and the city
17	is aggressively pursuing all those options.
18	CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Yep
19	JAINEY BAVISHI: And working with the
20	Council to do so.
21	CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Yeah no

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Yeah... no, we're, we're, we're doing a lot and I think that, you know as we look to... you know we... you know my concern and I had said this before and as... you don't have to answer this but my, my, my concern was, you know

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 1 we've heard this story before from the utilities, 2 3 right, it... we've heard this, this story of reliability and... you know in 2000 when California was 4 experiencing blackouts that oh, we're going to put 5 these power plants in environmental justice 6 7 communities but don't worry they're only going to be there for three years and then 19 years later we're 8 still seeing those turbines burning in environmental 9 justice neighborhoods, I just... I feel like this 10 pipeline, this is the same story all over again, they 11 12 keep locking us in to this fossil fuel infrastructure 13 and saying no, no, we need it, we, we... you know the, 14 the... this... the sky is falling, the sky is falling and 15 then there's more profits going into these utilities, 16 their, their stock prices continue to go up, they 17 continue to do well, the Williams Company continues 18 to do well if this happens but we're stuck with the bill, the rate payers are stuck with the bill, the 19 20 city is stuck with this, this fossil fuel infrastructure and we're, we're stuck. 21

JAINEY BAVISHI: Right and the, the alternative, you know if, if, if the… if more buildings are… or new buildings that are coming online were required to become dependent on fuel oil

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 1 2 in the... you know if moratoriums were issued then 3 we're, we're locking those buildings into a dependency on fuel oil which is a dirtier form of

5 energy as you know than natural gas.

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: I feel like they're giving us to bad choices and we, we have to find our way out of... we need to find our way out of that, you know A and B choice and find... see our way to a... to, to letter C here and, and get us into a better place and I look forward to partnering with you on that Commissioner.

JAINEY BAVISHI: Certainly, looking forward to partnering with you and, and the buildings mandate is a really important step in that direction.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Yep, any question? Alright, well thank you very much.

> JAINEY BAVISHI: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Alright, next panel would be Jean Belford; Lillian Belford; Lee, I... it's from the... Lee from the Sane Energy Project, I don't want to mess up your name, I apologize, with Constantinides I do my best not to screw up names; Sara Gronim from 350 Brooklyn, Jackie Weisberg, 350 Brooklyn and then Bridget Klapinski,

2.2

again I apologize for... I apologize. And... and the next panel after that just so you can be ready and make sure you're in the room so if you're going for a bathroom break now is that moment, would be Vinnie...

Vincent Albanese from the Laborers; Lisa Harrison;

Alvaro Alcocer; Jacqueline Sailer and Wendy Scher and Ken so, so just be ready next, okay, make sure you're here next. Okay.

[off mic dialogue]

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Right there, right here on the end.

JEAN BELFORD: Thank you for having us.

I'm... my name is Jean Belford, I'm from Rockaway Women for Progress, we are a group of left leaning women about 500 strong formed after the presidential election of 2016. We spent most of 2018 working hard to get some people into elected positions and the three areas that we have chosen to take on going forward as far as initiatives are the environment, health and bias and, and we believe that the Williams pipeline, fighting the Williams pipeline addresses all three of those areas. Rockaway Women for Progress is calling on City Council to oppose the Williams pipeline. To accept this would be counter to New York

City's goal of reducing carbon by 80 percent by two
2050. It is because of legislation, environmental
legislation that sediment now sits atop toxins in our
waters making them cleaner and healthier. As a no
fracking state it is counter to our position on
health and welfare to have this come into our waters.
There are so many economic opportunities within the
renewable energy, Rockaway Women for Progress in
conjunction with Sane Energy has worked to send
messages to local elected officials and Governor
Cuomo through letter writing and postcards to the
tune of hundreds. We take this very seriously as a
group. We don't want to increase our reliance on this
form of energy, and I'd like to also speak from a, a
resident, a lifetime resident of Rockaway. So, people
from Rockaway are a very greedy and we have had in
the last 20 years our, our very fair share of fights.
I come from a long line of firemen, my stepfather had
been recently retired from as an ironworker and New
York City fireman on the day of 9/11, he spent months
down there on the pile digging through the debris as
a fireman by day and cutting through steel at night
and so I, I address this part of it because I sat in
the DEC forum at Beach Chanel high school where union

workers felt very strongly about having this job, the
jobs that would come with the Williams pipeline and
so that's a really hard thing for someone from
Rockaway to hear because we are very, very union
proud and my stepfather was told by the EPA at the
time that the air was safe to breath and he has since
passed from cancer related to 9/11 and so it's really
important to understand that Rockaway in particular,
New York City in particular takes being in unions and
unions having jobs very, very, very seriously but
these jobs that would come from this pipeline are so
very temporary that we encourage two things that,
that there are jobs that come from renewables and its
dangerous to kind of prey upon our vulnerability or
our strength as union members in Rockaway to, to, to
feel that we should be pressured into wanting this
pipeline because of that and this is really an
environmental issue and shouldn't just be an economic
issue. Hurricane Sandy brought on another whole sense
of resiliency and fighting that we were required to,
to undergo and when we as a Rockaway Women for
Progress started becoming pretty political it was
very clear that conflicting bureaucracies are leading
to nothing and, and we're fighting for storm

## COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 1 2 protection so to now have this thrust upon us as a city and literally the barrier to one of the most 3 4 important cities in the world, we are a barrier 5 island peninsula it... at this ... at this point this 6 should not be a fight that we have to fight. 7 CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: I, I appreciate that, thank you. Thank you. Alright. 8 LILLIAN BELFORD: Hi, I didn't take off 9 from school today. I'm here because of a serious 10 11 threat directed at my school on social media last 12 night. Being a kid today is really chaotic... is 13 already chaotic enough. I have lived in Rockaway my 14 entire life and opposing the Williams pipeline will 15 make my future a lot less scary, thank you. 16 CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: How old are 17 you? 18 LILLIAN BELFORD: 12. CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: 19 You're 12, 20 I, I, I commend you for being here today and your bravery to speak in front of everybody. When I was 21 2.2 12, I didn't do that so thank you so much for being 23 here and... [cross-talk]

LILLIAN BELFORD: Thank you... [cross-talk]

25

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: ...lending

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

LEE ZIESCHE: Hi, my name is Lee Ziesche, I am an Organizer with Sane Energy Project, I am also a documentary filmmaker who has spent a lot of time traveling this country interviewing people who have been harmed by fracking and frack gas infrastructure. I wrote a lot of testimony that focused on the climate impacts, but I think Noelle covered a lot of those and what I want to talk about is kind of something that you brought up and thank you so much for your leadership Councilman. Why are we continuing to be presented with this false decision that we need fracked gas, you know to hear Con Edison say that they're doing all they can, well Con Edison has absolutely advocated for... against a, a policy called VDER that's actually prevented us from building renewable energy, 800 million dollars of community owned projects were not built last year because of VDER. What they want is to own solar and what this ... what... the opportunity that we have is to own our own energy and produce our own heating. The fact that the city is pushing for more gas over oil, yes, oil is very dirty and harmful to our communities, but the

fracked gas is just as bad. I have met people who
cannot bathe their kids, who cannot drink their water
in Pennsylvania, I've met people whose pipelines have
destroyed their water and their land, what about
those people? We cannot pretend that this is clean
gas. Recently John Bruckner, the head of National
Grid put out a video saying that this pipeline is
going to be transporting renewable gas. There is no
such thing as renewable gas, this is a fossil fuel
that will greatly contribute to climate change. Also,
the Marcellus Shale is incredibly radioactive, is
anybody testing to make sure that this gas is not
just as bad for local pollution as, as oil? It's just
insane that we are continuing to be presented with
this, this, this false choice as you're saying
between A and B, that does not exist and the only
reason it exists is because we're allowing markets as
Con Ed was saying to solve this problem, you know the
only thing that should be determining the path
forward for our city and our state is climate
science, that is the only thing, it should not be
markets, it should not Con Ed's bottom line, it
should not be Nation Grid's bottom line, it should
not be Williams' bottom line, you know I'm 29 years

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 1 2 old, I'm going to be 30 next month and you know 3 there's a good chance that I cannot live out my entire life in Brooklyn because of what climate 4 science is telling us, you know we have 11 years to 6 move off fossil fuels and fracked gas is a part of 7 that problem, you know as people said, this is 86 to 106 times more potent than CO2 in the short term, 8 that is all we have and if more than three percent 9 leaks it is worse than coal and what independent 10 researchers from Cornell are finding is between five 11 12 and 12 percent leakage rates. The leakage rates that 13 the government talks about those are all fossil fuel 14 industry reported. When independent researchers go 15 out there with FLIR cameras that show leaking methane 16 we're seeing leaking from the well head, we're seeing 17 leaking all along the pipeline route, we're seeing 18 leaking from compressor stations and we also have extremely high leakage rates here in New York City. 19 20 So, the only thing that Con Ed should be spending any money on as far as more fossil fuels is to fix some 21 2.2 of these big leaks and we, we know that this... the 23 technology exists, we can do this with geothermal, we can do this with air source heat bump and I'm 24

personally disgusted to see that the city of New York

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
2	is continuing to say that we need more gas, I mean
3	that testimony just like broke my heart really to see
4	that we don't have that political leadership that's
5	going to take us where we need to go because New
6	Yorkers are ready, you know I've been out on Rockawa
7	all last summer and when I tell people hey, do you
8	want to fracked gas pipeline out there I got 90
9	petition signatures in an hour on the beach. New
LO	Yorkers are vehemently against fracking, we're
L1	vehemently against fracked gas and thank you for you:
L2	leadership in, in pointing all this out, I appreciate
L3	it a lot.
L 4	CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Thank you.
L5	Thank you.
L6	JACKIE WEISBERG: Yes, what she said.
L7	CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: That was
L8	pretty quick.
L9	JACKIE WEISBERG: I'm just going to cite
20	some of the violations from the Williams Company over
21	the past few years, but my testimony has all of them
22	there.
23	CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Okay.
24	JACKIE WEISBERG: 2018, the state of

Mississippi levied a 40,000 dollar fine against

Williams for violations of the Clean Air Act. 2017,
the EPA fined Williams 35,000 dollars for unsafe
discharges of pollutants into the air at the Fort
Beeler Station at in West Virginia. 2016, a Williams
facility in Clarke County, Mississippi inadvertently
released 3.2 million cubic feet of methane, it was
cited for poor procedures by the PHMSA. 2016, PHMSA
notified Williams that its procedures for replacing
natural gas pipeline in Maryland violated pipeline
safety standards. 2015, the PHMSA levied a civil
penalty of 56,000 dollars on Williams for failing to
adequately inspect transmission pipeline valves in
New Jersey and New York City. 2015, the rupture of
the Williams pipeline in Lycoming, Pennsylvania
released approximately 96,379,900 cubic feet of
methane. 2015, explosion and a fire at a natural gas
plant owned by Williams in Gibson, Louisiana. Three
workers were killed, and two others were seriously
injured. 2014, explosion and fire at a Williams
natural gas processing facility and major national
pipeline hub in Opal, Wyoming, entire town evacuated.
2014, a natural gas pipeline failed, leading to an
explosion and fire at a Williams owned facility in
Moundsville, West Virginia 2014, pipeline explosion

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 1 2 and fire at a Williams LNG facility in Plymouth, 3 Washington. Five people were injured. 2013, an explosion and fire at the Williams Olefins, Inc plant 4 in Geismar, Louisiana, killed two people and injured 114. A US Chemical Safety Board investigation 6 7 concluded that safety management at the plant was deficient for years prior to the explosion. 2013, an 8 explosion at a Williams compressor station in 9 Branchburg, New Jersey, injured 13 people, two 10 11 seriously. The PHMSA investigations found Williams to 12 have followed inadequate procedures in place for 13 ensuring safety. 2013, a fire broke out in a Williams 14 compressor station in Brooklyn Township, 15 Pennsylvania. While Williams officials denied there was a fire, DEP officials said they found visual 16 17 evidence that an explosion may have occurred. One ton 18 of methane was released during the event. 2013, Williams natural gas plant leaked benzene into 19 20 groundwater near Parachute, Colorado. Benzene is a carcinogen; in some place's benzene level was 36 21 2.2 times greater than safe drinking level. 2012, 23 personnel at a Williams owned compressor station in Windsor, New York, were venting methane gas during a 24

lightning storm, this resulted in a big fire ball and

the release of remaining gas into the atmosphere.

2011, the massive explosion of a Williams Transco
pipeline at Sweet Water, Alabama was attributed to
pipeline corrosion, the blast was heard 30 miles away
and ignited a fire that burned eight acres of pine
forest. And lastly, a Williams Transco natural gas
pipeline exploded in Appomattox, Virginia in
September, five people were hospitalized and two new...
nearby homes were destroyed and that's only a small

portion of the many safety violations that are in my

2.2

transcript.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Thank you.

SARA GRONIM: Is this on? Hi, my name is Sara Gronim, I'm from 350 Brooklyn and I thank you very much for allowing me to speak today. I speak in support of the proposed New York City Council resolution calling upon New York State DEC to deny a water quality permit for the proposed NESE pipeline. There are many reasons as we've said today why this pipeline shouldn't be built, but a major reason is that we simply do not need it. The claims that Williams and National Grid make that we need this pipeline are false. I hold here a report written by Suzanne Mattie, a former DEC assistant commissioner

on the claims that, that there is a need for this
pipeline. She shows the facts and the figures that
show that it is in fact not necessary. For example,
just one of many, they, they Williams and National
Grid claim that more gas is needed because of the NYC
mandate discontinuing number six heating oil but in
fact all of those buildings with number six converted
by the end of 2015. Another, Williams has indicated
to NYCHA residents that their heating woes would be
over if the NESE pipeline is built but we all know
the problems in NYCHA buildings is that inadequate
investment and management. NYCHA in fact converted to
gas ten years ago, only two percent of NYCHA boilers
still burn fuel oil. There's nothing in current
circumstances in New York that call for a current
for an increase in gas supply. National Grid also
argues that it needs new supplies of gas to support
new construction in the future. It claims that
demands for its gas will increase by ten percent over
the next ten years. But this is not a fact, this is
an aspiration, a business plan, right, the health of
New York, indeed the future of the planet requires
that we steadily decrease our use of fossil fuels.
And we will do that NVCHA New York City and New

York City is making significant, indeed world
leading, strides on energy efficiency. City Council
legislation 1253, which we enthusiastically support,
means a steep decline in energy use in big buildings,
hopefully to be followed by similar programs in
smaller ones. Solar and then in the very near future,
offshore wind, will push down the demand for burning
gas in power plants. NYSERDA is ramping up support
for renewable heat sources that we've heard referred
to. And all of this will indeed lead to a fall in
demand for gas. Gas companies claim that so-called
natural gas is a clean fuel, it is not. It is
primarily methane as we've heard over and over again
methane is 86 times more powerful a greenhouse gas
than is CO2 in the first 20 years after its release.
They claim that it is a bridge fuel to renewables. If
so, this is a bridge we got on in the 90s and its
time to head for the off ramp. We've got the
technology to shift to renewables right now. We
should not be building a pipeline to last another 50
years and we very much appreciate your support in
this matter. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Thank you.

2 BRIDGET KLAPINSKI: Okay, is that 3 adequate?

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Yep, you're good.

BRIDGET KLAPINSKI: Okay, good afternoon and thank you for this opportunity to address the Committee regarding the Williams Northeast Supply Enhancement pipeline. My name is Bridget Klapinski and I'm here as Co-Vice President of the Rockaway Beach Civic Association and a resident of the Rockaways to voice opposition to this pipeline. Our civic has followed this proposed project, examining issues of safety, impacts to marine life and water quality, who will profit, who will bear the risks and costs, and if the gas the pipeline is to transport is even needed at all. With all of this considered, at our monthly meeting in May 2018, the Rockaway Beach Civic voiced... voted unanimously in opposition to the proposed Williams pipeline. In the Rockaways, we learn so much through direct observation of the water, the weather, wildlife, conditions on the shoreline and more. Seasons are signaled by the return of the ospreys to their nests around Jamaica Bay, hearing oystercatchers over the ocean, seeing

horseshoe crabs spawning at high tide, seals resting
on the beach, or humpback whales lunge feeding on
menhaden, visible from the shore. I mention these
because our waters are so alive, and many species
rely on this healthy marine environment as habitat or
feeding grounds along a migratory route and we rely
on them. The species in the vicinity of the proposed
pipeline are ecologically and commercially
significant. They are also vulnerable to the activity
and effects that this pipeline would bring; increased
turbidity, disturbance of the sea floor, boat traffic
and construction noise, representing a few. None of
us are apart from this nature; we are dependent upon
clean water and vital eco systems, economically and
in countless other ways. The other environmental
topic that must be considered as it pertains to this
pipeline is climate change. I was in my home in
Rockaway during superstorm Sandy and remained there
in the weeks following to begin clean up, while
trying to process the devastation. But in that
aftermath, and presently, the rebuild is still
incomplete and ongoing, we were glad to be with our
neighbors doing what we could to help each other and
the New York Community that's home. In the almost

1 COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
2 seven years since Sandy we've witnessed extra

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

seven years since Sandy we've witnessed extreme weather events occurring with frequency around the country and around the world. We know climate change is a factor. And we know fossil fuels and greenhouse gases contribute to climate change, dramatically. The Williams pipeline would carry fracked gas, largely methane, moving New York away from goals to reduce emissions city and statewide. I'm not a climate scientist, but had the opportunity to hear one speak recently, and was so struck when Dr. Kate Marvel noted as the options in addressing this climate crisis quote, "you either need to take those gases out of the air, or not put them there", end quote. So, with that in mind, it seems New York has a sensible choice... can make a sensible choice by saying no to this pipeline, avoiding adding greenhouse gas into the atmosphere and taking crucial steps towards renewable energy. Wind, solar, geothermal, those resources and technologies exist. We have the information on climate change, we have the technology to employ sources of energy that are less damaging,

safer and more sustainable path by opposing this

pipeline and continuing to place... protect the places

and I am asking for your leadership to set us on a

we live, work, visit and entirely depend on. With that, thank you to the Committee and the New York

4 City Council for your leadership.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Thank you, I want to... as... so we have Queens and we have Brooklyn here represented on the committee so as a lifelong Queens resident myself, you know and... yeah, I know that Kalman has lived in Brooklyn...

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Lifelong...

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: ...lifelong,
lifelong, we... you know I, I understand the, the
plights of, of both our boroughs and, and the role
that climate change is playing, you know we've seen
models that place the Rockaways in sunny day flooding
and uninhabitable within 50 years, we take that very
seriously that's why we're working on the legislation
that we are is to protect the communities that we all
grew up in and to ensure their vitality into the next
century in the face of what will be as, you know
President Obama said the greatest challenge of our
lifetimes. So, I appreciate you all being here today
and lending your voice as we look to seek our way out
of fossil fuels and get those emissions moving in the
right directions. So, Lillian, right? Thank you for

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

being here today, I am ... again I will ... I will say how impressed I am that... you know I, I, I will actually clap but you guys can all do this but I appreciate you being here today and, and lending your voice and I look forward to continuing our conversation on how we can better make our neighborhoods more resilient and more sustainable and you know as we move forward together. So, thank you very much for your time and your... all of your advocacy and all that you're doing in your neighborhoods, thank you very much. Alright, next up we have Vinnie Albanese from the Laborers, I have you listed twice, I know you really wanted to testify; Lisa Harrison, Alvero Alcocer; Jacqueline Sailer; Wendy Scher and Ken Schles and then the next panel after that so if you want to make sure that you're ready Rachel Rivera from New York Communities ...

[off mic dialogue]

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Okay, so you're what... Ken... okay, so I'll put you on another panel then, okay.

[off mic dialogue]

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Okay.

Alright, so the next panel it'll be... after this would be Rachel Rivera; Patrick Houston; Robert Wood,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Nikita Scott; Jeremy Jones; Ariana Hernandez and Josette Grippo so if you guys can all make sure you stay in the room for the next panel. Alright, Vinnie good to see you. Make sure you push your button.

VINCENT ALBANESE: Mr. Chairman, thank you for having me here today to testify on behalf of the Laborers. My name is Vincent Albanese and I'm here to testify on behalf of New York State Laborers Organizing Fund. The New York State, State Laborers Fund are affiliates of the Laborers' International Union of North America with 25 Laborers' locals statewide, representing over 44,000 members. I'm here today to voice our full support for the approval of the Northeast Supply Enhancement project. While I'd like to make some comments on the environmental merits of this project that I believe are being ignored, I want to focus my remarks on a larger issue becoming all too commonplace in our energy policy debates. That issue is, the continued omission of the real impacts that some energy policy positions would actually have on working men and women. It is that issue specifically that our union can no longer stay silent on. Regrading the merits of this project, I would like to reference current New York City policy,

I believe this was alluded to before in testimony by
the Mayor's Office. According to the New York City
clean heat initiative launched in 2012, the use of
number six oil as a primary heating fuel was phased
out in New York City on June $30^{\rm th}$ , 2015. To date, the
city has achieved 99.8 percent compliance with the
regulations eliminating the use of number six heating
oil. That has only been possible through the through
natural gas conversions. The deadline for the phase
out of all number four heating oil is January $1^{\rm st}$ ,
2030. The Laborers believe that this is sound public
policy, but they will not be it will not be possible
to achieve the phase out of number four heating oil
without the use of natural gas as a replacement. In
fact, Northeast Supply Enhancement will displace the
equivalent of 900,000 barrels of heating oil,
reducing CO2 emissions by up to 200,000 tons in the
first year. That makes this project wholly consistent
with advancing the New York City clean heat, heat
initiative, which is the city's current policy.
National Grid is currently converting roughly 8,000
customers per year from heating oil to natural gas.
These conversions will cease without the additional
capacity of NESE. A denial of this project would

indefinitely perpetuate the continued use of the
dirtiest burning heating sources and I reiterate, be
in direct contradiction to New York City's current
energy policy. I'm going to skip over some of my
testimony, so I stay under time here. I just want to
make this point, when the Laborers leadership makes a
decision to support any project initiative that
decision is not made in a vacuum. The only
consideration is not whether it would simply create
jobs for our members but how that project will impact
our members' families, their quality of life, and if
that project is consistent with our values and good
public policy. On all of these measures, this project
meets those criteria. Our members live and work in
the communities serviced by this pipeline and the
suggestion that we are simply ignoring environmental
realities, which we believe are a net benefit, is
both dismissive and condescending. We are not climate
deniers and while we support and advocate for
renewable projects all across this state, we believe
that it is the only informed position for us to take
to include natural gas as part of the energy mix to
address our climate challenges. I would like to quote
the Environmental Defense Fund's recent testimony

2.2

given to the New York State PSC to best explain our position and they stated, our data suggest that opposing or preventing all new pipeline capacity expansion projects into New York is not an effective climate policy, particularly if that proposed capacity is right sized. I said let me repeat, opposing or preventing all pipeline capacity expansion into New York is not effective climate policy. Like I said I'm going to skip over here to close out...

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Uh-huh...

VINCENT ALBANESE: Today our voice is here to say, that the denial of this project will have irreversible and long-lasting negative compacts on our members. We hope today that our voice is loud enough and that some of our elected officials are finally listening. It is our sincere hope that in the future, this chamber will do that. The hard-working men and women of this city and our union deserve it. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Vinnie look

I, I have always been a strong supporter of labor,

the hard working men and women of the Laborers that

are members of union throughout New York City have

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 1 2 always had my strong support and, you know whether it's been in the campaign or in other instances, you 3 4 know we have always stood arm and arm with one 5 another and our... I have not ... I am no way ... in no way accusing the Laborers of being climate deniers, I 6 7 understand that you're taking a, a position that you believe is principled and I appreciate that, that ... 8 you know we want the, the men and women of the, the 9 Laborers to have good union jobs for now and into the 10 foreseeable future and we want you building renewable 11 12 projects throughout the city of New York, we want you... we want the energy revolution when we bring 13 14 renewable energy to New York City those solar panels, 15 those, you know wind turbines we want you... we want it 16 to be labor and we want it to be, you know union 17 labor that does it so our quarrel today is with what 18 we feel is, is, is a... you know a... locking us into technology that is, is, is not the future and I 19

VINCENT ALBANESE: Absolutely...

ours as well... [cross-talk]

understand your position but I hope you understand

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: ...and I think that, you know we... but we don't differ on is that the hard working... hard, hard working men and women of

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION this city especially when it comes to, to... in union labor to perform an important service and we appreciate it and we will continue to appreciate union laborers, we know it's a window into the middle class but we are going to continue to disagree on this particular issue from all of the reasons I've laid out today that I don't think you want me to lay out all... [cross-talk] 

2.2

VINCENT ALBANESE: No and I... and I... and, and Council Member... Chairman I, I very much appreciate you saying that and I wasn't accusing you directly of being a... accusing us of being climate deniers, I was just saying more broadly that's sometimes how we are in this debate interpreted and I was just clarifying that. I did leave out a lot of comments in here which I'm sure you'll read later...

[cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: I, I, I can...

I'll... all of the testimony... I mean I, I read all of

it as you can see... [cross-talk]

VINCENT ALBANESE: And... but again I, I appreciate that and I would just clarify one thing, it, it is not the temporary jobs that we are concerned about in this, the temporary jobs of

building the actual pipeline we're actually not going to be part of that, it's, it's... our biggest concern is the moratorium issues and what that would do to continued other developments so that, that is... that is our... [cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: I mean I, I still believe to this day that it's a false choice, right, that they... we've heard this from the utilities before about the issues of moratoriums and how we're going... not going to have reliability, you know and affordability, you know our, our Con Edison bills continue to go up month after month after month, I'm paying more than I've ever paid before with a lack of a moratorium and you know they're continuing to tell me that its, its affordable when it's not so I, I have these concerns around these claims that the only way forward here is a moratorium or this pipeline, I think there's another way that we can find together that's renewable, that invests in our communities, that has good union labor, I think there's a... there's a third way here that if we all got together and figured it out I think that, that union labor has a large role to play in that and I hope to find those

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION solutions where we can build that renewable New York City together.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

VINCENT ALBANESE: We, we hope so too.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Great, thank
you... [cross-talk]

VINCENT ALBANESE: Thank you.

LISA HARRISON: Hi. The Williams... the Williams pipeline would bring fracked gas into New York emitting methane, radon and fracking toxins along the entire route from drilling to burning. It will require trench at least six feet deep and 23 and a half miles long under the Raritan Bay and lower New York Harbor. The trenching will release long buried industrial waste all along the proposed route including PCBs, DDT, arsenic, lead and mercury. Undoubtedly, the work will be sloppy, and the pipeline will not be monitored and will leak into the water undetected. Why do I say this, because Williams has a long history of violations over many years and many projects in many states? The same violations have been repeated over and over for at least a decade; failure to monitor, failure to follow safety procedures, we heard some examples of the results of this in, in the last group of speakers. If you get

caught... if they get caught, they pay a fine and move on and government agencies continue to hand them more high-risk projects in spite of their dismal safety record. National Grid claims that we need the gas, but they have no data to support this claim. In fact, the data showed that city and state efficiency programs and renewable energy has lowered the need for gas. Continuing to develop renewables will reduce not increase the demand. Transitioning from oil and gas burning furnaces to geothermal or air source heat pumps will drastically reduce the demand for gas, Williams and National Grid will not like that but a drastic reduction in fossil fuel is exactly what we need and we should not be deceived or bullied by the misinformation and scare tactics. We've spent the past decade bickering about whether climate change is real and whether its caused by fossil fuels. We've wasted time with marketing slogans like clean coal and bridge fuel. Now we're out of time. We're in a climate crisis and the only way forward is to stop all fossil fuel development, increases efficiency and transition to 100 percent renewable energy.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Thank you

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2	ALVARO ALCOCER: Hello, my name is Alvaro
3	Alcocer, I'm here as a New York City resident,
4	business owner and an environmental advocate. I have
5	spent a lot of time in the water surfing, kayaking,
6	spending time with my family and friends in
7	Rockaways, Jamaica Bay, Long island, New Jersey and
8	I've seen whales, I've seen dolphins, I've seen a lot
9	of marine life right… a, a couple of feet from me and
10	it's incredible to experience that in a city like
11	this and we should be proud and protect this. The
12	ocean brings me joy, food and excitement, it's an
13	area that is crucial. Marine life, healthy oceans are
14	important for our existence. I have spent two and
15	half years informing my fellow citizens and community
16	members about the dangerous and unnecessary gas
17	pipeline off our coast. I do not want to spend more
18	of my free time telling people about the dangers of
19	pipelines and global warming, I want to be able to
20	tell them that we have come up with a solution and
21	that together with authority and energy companies we
22	are moving forward to clean energy. I am pleased to
23	hear today that the committee opposes the NESE
24	project and are willing to protect our waters. Con
25	Edison and National Grid say they cannot meet the

2 demand for fracked gas but do not mention real solutions to create cleaner, efficient and affordable 3 4 energy. It is absurd to not have real goals as we heard today, to work on solutions to provide clean 5 6 energy. All energy providers are responsible for 7 global warming which increases our demand on energy for cooling and heating our communities. It is clear 8 that climate change is real and somehow its 9

profitable for these companies but the destruction of

actions are despicable. I am a hard worker and I know

energy, and we should move forward to that. Thank you

our planet and profit of a few is not okay and your

that jobs are important but there are jobs in clean

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

next up.

for your time.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Thank you,

WENDY SCHER: Hello there, I'm Wendy
Scher. Thank you all to who are still sticking it out
here, thank you for your patience. This, this is my
last hard hat as a member of IBEW Local three. Until,
until a couple of months ago I was also working in
filthy construction sites, I worked alongside the
laborers, the operating engineers and all the other
building trades and... you know and so that was my life

until I came to realize that working to, to help our
society in, in the broader in the broader world was
too important to ignore and, and it's a higher
priority than serving our corporate clients. But I
still I still love our union movement. I love the
solidarity its capable of, the, the accountability
that comes from proper training from the high level
of work standards and from the standards of safety
that it's, it's unparallel. The job security, the,
the unions long being the, the backbone of the middle
class and how and how vile it is to preserve that
but most importantly the unions have the power to
transform our economy. Historically unions have been
a critical component of broad social movements from
our basic labor laws, to security of a pension and
social security and retirement to even low-income
housing. However, most of our union movement with
most of our union movement continuing to loose power
from the prevalence of online retail to the
prevalence of unsafe, non-union construction our
building trades new need new strategies to improve
their situation long term and the best way to do that
is to make real efforts to build solidarity with
effected communities. This means occasionally being

willing to say no. There are some projects that will really improve our city and some that are just a bad idea. If we want to build true long-lasting solidarity to help build our union movement, we have to be willing to understand this distinction and stand with those who have real legitimate concerns about this proposed project. Your support will not be forgotten. When it comes to protecting our ecosystem and building a clean energy future, count me in.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Alright, great. Well guys thank you all for your testimony and your points of view and all being here today to take time out of your schedule to testify, I appreciate everyone being here and being part of this conversation, thank you.

VINCENT ALBANESE: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Alright, so next up we have Rachel Rivera, New York Communities for Change; Patrick Houston, New York Communities for Change; Robert Wood, 350 Brooklyn; Nikita Scott, Surfrider Foundation; Jeremy Jones, Rockaway Beach Civic Association. How many is that so far? One, two, three, four, five, I can only take one more and then...

[off mic dialogue]

2.2

2.2

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: And then Mr...

go ahead... yep, go on that panel, yes. I already

called you so... and that will be six. I'm going to

call... I'm going to have to split up the panel, Laura

Shindell from Food and Water Watch you're going to be

up in the next panel; Ariana Hernandez; Josette

Grippo, I'm going to have you guys on the next panel

because there's too many seats up there right now.

Alright, so... four, five...

[off mic dialogue]

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Okay, so then I will take Laura Shindell, you can come forward, Food and Water Watch. And... so we got how many up there, six already, we got... okay, so yeah... alright, let's begin here on the left.

RACHEL RIVERA: Good afternoon. My name is Rachel Rivera. I am a Board Member of New York Communities for Change. We are a community organize, organization which promotes economic, realist and climate justice. Thank you for holding this important hearing on the proposed Williams pipe, pipeline. The fracked gas deliveries by the pipeline would cause about eight million tons of climate pollution each year. This is... I'm sorry, that's from the report of

the PSE health energy. New York cannot allow this to
happen. Unless we slash climate pollution, New York
City will heat up while slipping under water. The
city will drown while we get hit by extreme weather
such as hurricanes, heat waves, intense rains and
flooding. It is not fair for corporations to continue
to build out fossil fuel infrastructure. It directly
threatens our futures as New York City residents. It
directly threatens my the families that we have
beyond our borders like my family in the territory of
Puerto Rico. To be clear, the climate crisis isn't
the future, its here, it costs homes, money, health
and lives. Me and my daughter Marisol, who is in the
who is in the hospital right now because of climate
change, you can tell the kind we could tell you the
consequences firsthand. We were in the apartment in
Brooklyn when hurricane Sandy hit. Marisol was
sleeping at the time when I heard a cracking noise
coming from my ceiling. As I take her out of the bed
my ceiling collapsed onto her bed. I ran out we ran
out with nothing but what we had on our backs. We
spent time in the emergency shelter where we where,
where we were homeless. My daughter still has
nightmares, night terrors to this day and she has

serious PSD due to what happened in those shelters
and a lot of families went through it also. For an
example, my daughter was taken from me by a resident
in those homeless shelters that I had to fight for
her to get brought back to me and she was gone for
more than two hours and we couldn't find her and she
suffers PSD to this day if she does not see me and
it, it's raining really hard and I'm sorry, she
often becomes upset during extreme rainstorms as what
happened last night. It's been she's been in and out
of the hospital since hurricane Sandy for PSD. During
hurricane Maria, my mother and aunt's and family
members in Puerto Rico were flooded out and we also
lost a very close family friend. Sandy and Maria, of
course were worse because of fossil fuel pollution
from companies like Williams, Con Ed and National
Grid. More climate disasters will be fossil fueled by
Williams pipeline. While Williams and the National
Grid would make millions of dollars off the project,
me and many of other New Yorkers will pay the cost.
I'm sorry, the rest of my testimony is there, I'm
sorry, I have to go, please excuse me [cross-talk]
CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Miss Rivera,

I, I... we're praying for your daughter and always

thank you for being here and testifying and, and our hearts are with you and your family, thank you very

4 much...

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

RACHEL RIVERA: Thank you... [cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: ...thank you

very, very much for being here.

RACHEL RIVERA: Thank you...

PATRICK HOUSTON: Thank you for holding this testimony... or for holding this important hearing so that we can give testimony about our concerns on the Williams pipeline. My name is Patrick Houston and I'm an Organizer with New York Communities for Change. New York Communities for Change we're predominately made up of low-income communities and communities of color in the city and Long Island. So, as you all know here today as if it needs to be restated, we are facing a crisis of unprecedented proportions. The planet is warming, the seas are rising, it's getting hotter, and it's because we're burning fossil fuels. We have a closing window to avoid catastrophic, runaway climate change, 11 years according to UN scientists. New York City must reject fossil fuel infrastructure, the product... the product that is threatening the very existence of our city.

Instead we must prioritize the health and wellbeing
of the city's residents. All New Yorkers are
threatened by the climate crisis. Communities of
color and low-income communities are extremely
vulnerable. After hurricane Sandy, 400 buildings in
33 NYCHA developments were in some way damaged. Low
income tenants, some of which are our members, lost
power, medicine, food, pets, wages for missed hours
at work. Hundreds of the people that I spoke with
when canvasing in Red Fern houses in the Rockaways
and in Carlton Manor in the Rockaways spoke about
their concerns about this project right off of the
coast from where they live. Many of our members in
the Rockaways beyond the NYCHA developments were also
badly impacted. Miss Phipps, a childcare provider
from the Peninsula, had to relocate from her home
after hurricane Sandy badly damaged it. She has spent
the last five years fighting tooth and nail to cover
the cost of the repairs while trying to maintain the
cost of the mortgage payments. Her home has been
marked for, for pre-foreclosure. The battle is not
over, she just moved back in her home at the end of
last year, 2018 and as it stands it's still unclear
if she and her son will manage to make up the

payments to get the home off of pre-foreclosure.
Another member of New York Communities for Change and
Rockaway resident, Ms. Bowman deals with flooding on
her block almost every time it rains. She has spent
hundreds of dollars on pumps to lessen the flooding
when it rains but still her basement floods. The
Williams pipeline if built will account for about 15
percent of New York City's greenhouse gas emissions.
The project is incompatible with the city's own
climate goals and as has been mentioned, locked
locks us into decades more of, of dependence on this
fossil fuel. While Williams is guaranteed a solid
return, which falls around 14 percent, the Rockaways
are projected to be underwater by 2100 if we continue
business as usual. At that point it won't matter
whether or not Miss, Miss Phipps or her son were able
to pay off the mortgage on their home. New York City
is estimated to experience more than double the
number of extreme heat waves by the end of the
century or extreme heat days, that's 90 degrees or
above, we're about at 18 now that's projected to be
between 39 and 52 by 2050. Sea level rise is
projected to be at 22 inches by 2050 if we continue
business as usual. The Williams pipeline is business

2.2

as usual. The city is planning to spend ten billion dollars to protect the financial district, much of which is responsible for the climate crisis. It is immoral and nonsensical to simultaneously endanger New Yorkers on Staten Island, Coney Island, Rockaway Island... Rockaway Peninsula by supporting the construction of the Williams project. We urge the City council to pass the resolution to reject the Williams pipeline and we stand behind your leadership Council Member Constantinides and the leadership of Corey Johnson at addressing the climate crisis and prioritizing every day New Yorkers over the fossil fuel industry.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Thank you, thank you Patrick and I... just for the record I... you know I strongly believe in a five borough resiliency plan not just spending ten million dollars in one borough but coming up with a long term plan for all five boroughs.

PATRICK HOUSTON: That's understood and that's clear in all the legislation that you've been pushing forward.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Alright, next up.

2	NIKITA SCOTT: My name is Nikita Scott
3	and I'm the volunteer Chairperson of the Surfrider
4	Foundation New York City Chapter. We are an
5	environmental nonprofit organization of grassroots
6	activists who advocate for the protection and
7	enjoyment of our ocean, waves and beaches. We are 100
8	percent volunteer run in New York City and are
9	largely made up of surfers and recreational ocean
10	users. I am here representing our 700 plus official
11	members of the New York City Chapter and several
12	hundred thousand supporters who dedicate their lives
13	to protecting our ocean and coastline and I thank you
14	for this opportunity to testify. As an environmental
15	organization we are of course extremely concerned and
16	our allies against the pipeline here today have done
17	an incredible job at depicting the environmental
18	threats of this pipeline. And what I hope to do today
19	is to continue on from these points and paint a
20	picture of what the environmental impact means for
21	New Yorkers in a broader sense, how it will impact
22	their life each and every day in ways that you might
23	not immediately be considering. New York City's
24	efforts to clean up and care for our waters has been
25	successful so far but is a constant work in progress.

We are now seeing a resurgence of wildlife and higher
instances of safe water quality, all of which have
enabled New Yorkers to enjoy their natural resources
without risk to our health. Our waters and now so
clean that they are the backbone of a booming and
vibrant ocean economy. New York is the nation's third
largest ocean economy, overall it generates 11
billion in wages and 23 billion in GDP. Jeopardizing
our water jeopardizes an estimated 300,000 jobs at
the very least. The port of New York and New Jersey
itself is the largest on the Atlantic seaboard,
supporting 400,000 indirect jobs and 229,000 direct
jobs generating 90 billion in combined personal and
business income and 8.5 billion dollars in federal,
state and local taxes. This is all because of our
clean water. A study carried out by the Surfrider
Foundation found that when New Yorkers visit, visit
the beach in New York they spend on average 56
dollars per person per visit including transport,
food and shopping at local businesses. Considering
that the Rockaways attracts millions of beach goers
and ocean users each year, with five billion visitors
recorded in the summer of 2018 alone, it's yet
another indicator of the significance of clean water

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

for the economic prosperity of our city. The environmental impacts of this proposed pipeline are not just going to impact the environment and the state of the waters, the quality of our water and the use of our coastline is so intrinsically linked to our economy that the environmental impacts are economic impacts for New Yorkers. As the City Council considers establishing an office of the waterfront, a coordinating body in the Mayor's Office to create and manage an overall vision for our 520 miles of waterfront, this further signifies the substantial investment in our waterfront by the city government and the critical role our waters play in the fabric of our city. Such investment and future planning must be matched by efforts to protect our waters that contribute so much to this city from an economic, social and cultural perspective. To secure the future of the blue economy of New York City and the health and safety of our waters, I urge you to stop the proposed Williams pipeline from threatening one of New York's most valuable assets, our water and our people.

ROBERT WOOD: Hi, my name is Robert Wood,

I'm an Organizer with the Climate Justice Group 350

Brooklyn and I'm here today to urge the Council to
pass this resolution against the Williams pipeline
and I thank you for holding this hearing. Others
today have spoken about the fact that we don't need
this pipeline, they've talked about converted boilers
and recently added gas capacity and growth decoupled
from demand and they've all been 100 percent right.
What I want to talk about today instead is the
complete insanity of having to have this conversation
in the first place. It is not our job as citizens to
have to prove the lack of need for contentious
billion-dollar infrastructure projects in this city
and it speaks to how accustomed we've become to a
broken regulatory process that our doing so might
seem strange. But this is where we are; forced to
intervene because a profit seeking utility is
capitalizing on a complete failure of state and
federal regulators to do their jobs. FERC, the
federal agency whose permit Williams must have to go
forward, hasn't assessed the need for this pipeline
at all. Instead, it has merely assumed the need for
it based on National Grid's contractual promises to
buy the pipeline's gas. This says something about gas
markets, but it says nothing about local gas need. On

the state level, the Public Service Commission seems
to have similarly turned a blind eye, remaining
silent on the question of need when it could have
spoken up and said something to ease public tension.
And as for state level permitting, despite the
vaunted authority New York has to block pipelines, it
has no legal ability to do so based on a lack of
need. It has only the 401-water quality certification
meant to protect fish. This is the regulatory blind
spot that National Grid is taking advantage of to
push more gas on New Yorkers and it is important that
the Council see it as such. It is what is enabling a
monopoly utility to say anything it wants, including
making threats of a gas moratorium, moratorium to
scare the public into approving destructive gas
infrastructure that it doesn't need. And yet as
further proof of how accustomed we've become to a
backwards system, politicians have welcomed National
Grid into their offices, studied their charts and
graphs with furrowed brows and listened intently as
the company that stands to profit handsomely off of
this pipeline makes the sole case that it is needed.
This is taking advice from the fox about the
henhouse. Lest it be forgotten. National Grid is a

1

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

private corporation beholden to shareholders and not the public good. That is why, when asked to provide us with information on market need, they responded that it was proprietary information. But the truth is that the information that it has is information they wouldn't want us to see. We need you, the City Council, to step in and stand up for New Yorkers where the regulatory apparatus has failed, we need you to be suspicious of the fact that no actual data beyond tired PR points has actually been presented by National Grid to make its case and certainly no data that responds to our detailed 30 page report refuting its claims. We need you to be bold and pass a resolution against this pipeline. In a few days, you'll vote on legislation that would set a new world standard in regulating emissions from buildings and it would only be fitting for you to also address the gas that causes those emissions in the first place. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Thank you.

JEREMY JONES: Hi, my name is Jeremy

Jones and I'm here today to express my great concern

and staunch opposition to the proposed Williams NESE

pipeline. As the Co-Vice President of the Rockaway

Beach Civic Association, I'd like to state for the
record that our group unanimously voted against the
Williams pipeline and have been working with our
partner groups to build opposition to it and it's
nice to see many of you here. As an avid water
person, I spend a great deal of time swimming,
surfing, paddling and fishing in the waters around
Rockaway beach where I've been a resident and
homeowner for the last 15 years. In that time, I've
witnessed the incredible population growth of aquatic
mammals, fish and other sea life. Barely a day will
go by in the summer when you won't see a pod of
dolphins swimming near shore. We often see whales of
different varieties breaching just offshore of our
beaches. This is in doubt because our waters are
getting cleaner than they have been in the last 100
years. There has also been a dramatic increase in
Atlantic Menhaden, which is a primary food source for
numerous species of sea life. In addition to that
there have also been great resurgence of the benthic
habitat below the waves too. And despite the DEC
raising the impact on the benthic habitat and its
notice of denial, Williams failed to adequately
address these concerns and in fact has produced

absolutely no mitigation strategy to preserve the
existing population in New York Harbor. My wife and I
lost our home as a result of the national natural
disaster known as superstorm Sandy. While we have
since rebuilt and things are getting back to normal
sort of, we are now faced with a potential manmade
disaster that is entirely avoidable. I urge the
members of this body to unite in opposition to the
proposed pipeline and as Williams' safety record has
been less than stellar and by this, I'm being
generous. Williams has also stated that this pipeline
is needed to bring natural gas to NYCHA residents who
need it due to a lack of heat and hot water in many
areas of the city. The problems that exist in NYCHA
have nothing to do with gas supply. The lack of new
boilers, mechanical systems and overall mismanagement
are the problems in this case. Williams has offered
no solutions to these issues, and to use this issue
to coerce support is disingenuous at best and
shameful at worst. The impact of a leak or explosion
would be a catastrophic blow to the New York City's
coastal communities still recovering from Sandy. We
are still working with various state and federal
agencies to build a more resilient coastline and

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION there is no need to use  $20^{th}$  century infrastructure to solve a 21<sup>st</sup> century problem. As New York State works on becoming a leader in renewable energy sources, such as wind, solar, and geothermal. In fact, New York has banned the process of fracking in our state. Why on earth would we allow the residents of Pennsylvania to suffer the adverse effects of gas extraction and the multitude of environmental consequences that accompany it? Why on earth would we allow our neighbors in New Jersey to deal with the proven hazard of housing transfer stations? It seems crazy to me that we would think it's okay to allow fracked gas into our state while we ourselves won't permit its extraction here. In closing, I urge the City Council to stand with the residents of the Rockaways and the rest of New York City to protect our environment and to reject any new investments in fossil fuel economy. We are really lucky to live here, and we want to see this community continue to thrive for many years to come. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Thank you.

KEN SCHLES: Hello, I want to thank you for, for allowing me to speak and I just want to reiterate that I'm... as, as so many people here I'm,

I'm, I'm not a scientist but, but we know from all
the scientific data and all the testimony that we've
heard here how we, we don't need another pipeline.
I'm, I'm here as a as a New York City Brownstone
owner. I just want to talk about the, the
passive aggressive tactics by the utility companies
and how they, they are not helping us to get past the
climate crisis, they put utility users in positions
where they are forced to continue using gas this
winter. A day before the, the polar vortex hit New
York City National Grid came to my house inspecting
because they're, they're switching over to an
automated system and they came and inspected my house
and found a gas leak and a day before the
temperatures dropped to zero degrees in New York City
I was left without heat or hot water in my brownstone
and forced to make a decision on, on how I would move
forward. I've always wanted to, to try and transition
towards sustainable energy use in my house, I've had
solar power for 11 years in my brownstone but I find
there's been a real lack, the, the market forces
are not there, they're not the market forces that,
that the utility companies have a monopoly on are,
are structured so that they reinforce use of their

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

product. I find that its, its... it was incredibly difficult for me to transition when I was forced in position where I had no heat or hot water in the depths of the winter, there were no choices for me out there and I just want to sort of add that to the mix. I wasn't planning to testify today, I have no written testimony but after hearing representatives from, from the fossil fuel industries I was really pissed off and just had to say I was given no choices, I don't ... and, and I totally agree with you, I don't think it should be A or B, there should be a C and I'm really pissed off that there isn't that option as a homeowner and I was forced to spend tens of thousands of dollars to re-pipe my house when I really didn't want to.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Well I think its incumbent upon us as a city to find that third way, right, I think we need to start this conversation that's why I appreciate each and every one of you testifying here today, that we're all searching for that third way that's a renewable New York City, right, that has us in a place where we're bringing renewable energy into our city that creates good jobs, that creates a healthier city, that has a

resilient and a green city so that's why I appreciate all of you being here today to be part of that conversation and you know I'm not taking the utilities, you know testimony at face value, I have not seen that one number in this testimony, there wasn't any... no data in this testimony, there was no substantiation of their claims here today, there's just what they are, their, their, their statements from their point of view without data to back that up. So, I've asked them for that and I look forward to seeing that real hard data because, you know numbers don't lie, right, so I, I want to see real numbers and real data and not a continued claim because we've heard the... as I've said before we've heard these claims over and over again about reliability, about affordability and all that continues to do is lock us into infrastructure that we keep promising it's, it's... we're going to move in a different direction but we haven't, we have to start moving in a better direction so I appreciate the advocacy of residents like yourself to do that work so, I thank you very much.

KEN SCHLES: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.2

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Our last
panel so it's a little bit of a, a it's Laura
Shindell from Food and Water Watch; Ariana Hernandez
from NYPIRG; Barbara Hertel, it doesn't say if
there's a group; Ira Macner, I'm again apologize if
I pronounce anyone's name wrong; Carl Johnson from
Local One and Darlene Allette from NYCHA. Alright, so
I started on the left last time so I'm going to go to
the right this time and… well that's a really thick
book, alright.

CARL JOHNSON: Good afternoon Speaker

Constantinides... I'm sorry, Chairman Constantinides,

it's my pleasure to be here... [cross-talk]

 $\label{thm:constantinides: well don't} % \end{substantial} % \en$ 

CARL JOHNSON: Absolutely. My name is Carl Johnson, I am a business agent with Plumbers Local Union Number One, the plumbers of New York City.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Uh-huh...

CARL JOHNSON: And I'm here to submit my testimony in support of the Williams pipeline. As one of the oldest trade unions in the nation our members have more... for more than 100 years developed the

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 1 2 skills and met the challenges created by new 3 technologies and techniques. Our nearly 6,000 members today are prepared to meet any and all new 4 challenges. This doesn't mean we don't continue to 5 maximize our existing technology. We would all like 6 to live in a world where we can bring warmth and light into our homes by harnessing the sun and the 8 wind, we know that days coming. Unfortunately, it 9 isn't coming next week. We must not only meet the 10 existing demands; we must also meet the new demands 11 12 of expansion and development. We've come a long way 13 from burning trees and coals. Over the course of the 14 last century we've nearly eliminated the need for 15 coal and thanks to natural gas we have dramatically 16 reduced the use of oil. From house to house and block 17 to block... [coughs] excuse me, landlords and 18 homeowners have weaned themselves away from number two and number four oil and invested in cleaner, more 19 20 efficient burners, burners which are fueled by natural gas. As a result, we have reduced greenhouse, 21 2.2 house gases, improved our air quality and improved 23 the quality of life for everyone. These positive developments only increased the demand throughout the 24

region, it's a demand that can no longer be met with

the existing infrastructure. Con Ed which supplies
gas into Westchester has already announced they can
no longer meet the demand and stopped adding new
customers. We're here today because National Grid,
which supplies natural gas to Staten Island,
Brooklyn, Queens and parts of Long Island cannot meet
the demands east of the Rockaways without a new
pipeline. The Williams pipeline is the lifeline for
homeowners and businesses large and small, it is the
fuel for expansion and job creation throughout our
region. There are currently some 300 billion dollars
of development projects on the drawing board for the
region. Think of the thousands of jobs which would be
created in the planning, construction and beyond.
Today we'll hear that there are better, cleaner, more
efficient ways to power and heat our homes. We hear
about wind, it sounds great, where do we put these
giant windmills? Where is there open land or do we
put them in the ocean? What's the impact that it will
have on ocean life? If not wind, then maybe its the
sun. We can take a look at solar power. How many
solar panels can we place on the roof to heat and
power our homes? Where is the land for solar panel
farms? We can look at greenhouse energy. Where are we

2.2

going to dig a practical geothermal well in the city of New York? Yes, we all want a clean environment and perhaps in 30 or 40 years these technologies will certainly be the answer, right now unfortunately these technologies are in their infancy. The families and businesses on Long Island need to meet these energy needs today and for that they'll need to access to natural gas. To do that we need to provide the Williams pipeline. Chairman thank you for your time and committee members.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Next.

ARIANA HERNANDEZ: Thank you. Good afternoon. My name is Ariana Hernandez, I'm a student with NYPIRG at the college of Staten Island. I'd like to thank you all for your time to listen and allowing me to testify on behalf of NYPIRG. If New York expands fossil fuel infrastructure like the Northeast Supply Enhancement project it will lock us into decades of, of greenhouse gas pollution. The methane pollution will further ignite climate change, devastate our public health and the proposal will, will jeopardize the long-term local economy and the safety of residents. This is an opportunity to displace greenhouse gas emissions on Long Island and

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

in New York City. Transcontinental gas pipeline company's proposal to expand fossil fuel infrastructure needs to be rejected. According to 4-366 of the draft environmental impact statements that federal energy regulatory commission submitted on NESE last year, construction and operation emissions from the NESE project would increase the atmospheric concentration of GHGs in combination with past and future emissions form all other sources and contribute incrementally to future climate change impacts. The New York City Council should acknowledge this and use it as reasoning alone to oppose NESE. The DEIS goes on to say that aside from being a contributor to climate change, the environmental impacts would be minimal. Although this is doubtful, climate change is already wreaking havoc on our planet and expanding the sources of energy that are leading to global catastrophe is unwise both the long-term economic impacts of Long Island and New York City residents and more importantly, the fate of humanity. Methane is a much more potent greenhouse gas than carbon dioxide. The methane that is emitted and leaks through the whole life cycle of natural gas extraction, distribution and burning will exacerbate

the global climate crisis, at a time when we must
fully commit to keeping fossil fuels in the ground
and transition to, towards 100 percent renewable
energy. Unfortunately, progress with the state's
energy efficiency measures and renewable energy
production has stagnated, while new construction and
expansion of fossil fuel-based energy sources
continues. We recommend that any and all new
development proposals for Long Island and the five
boroughs includes plans for generating fossil fuel
free energy. Any new gas deal does not fall in line
with the ideal green new deal. Climate change is the
biggest threat to humanity and expanding fossil fuel
infrastructure only contributes to that threat. We
need to get New York off of fossil fuels and move to
a 100 percent clean, green renewable energy future.
NYPIRG strongly supports the New York City Council in
doing everything in their power to prevent NESE.
National Grid needs to meet the asserted energy needs
of Long Island and New York City through efficiency
measures, demand management, electrification and
renewable energy production. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: And thank

you, I, I remember being a member of NYPIRG, you know

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

more years than I want to count when I was in Queens
College myself so thank you for being here today and,
and being a strong advocate.

ARIANA HERNANDEZ: Thank you.

Hello, my name is Ira and IRA MACNER: I'm a New Yorker but more important I am an earthling and like all of us here, I rely on earth to support my life. I speak today of my climate concerns. Natural gas pipelines are hazardous and far more expensive than, for instance, solar power. We have much cheaper and sustainable options to meet our energy needs. Use of solar power alone could reduce and ultimately eliminate our need for natural gas. For more information on practical, economic solutions, I recommend Paul Hawken's book entitled Drawdown and I gave you a copy. Natural gas produces carbon dioxide when burned. Carbon dioxide traps heat. With more heat, water evaporation increases. This leads to devastating and unpredictable weather patterns, ocean rising, flooding, animal extinction, plant extinction, rainstorms, droughts and heat waves. Carbon dioxide is acidifying our oceans. Too much acidity will literally kill the marine life. Water in near urban areas such as ours already

possess levels of CO2. We care about the preservation
of plants and wildlife because we need biodiversity
to survive. Biodiversity is already threatened
because of habitat conversion, over exploitation of
natural resources and mass extinction. To further
harm plants and animals with construction vehicles,
damaging or fatal underwater construction noise, the
placement of polyurethane foam which will ultimately
shred, the dredging of heavy metals form the ocean
floor, the spewing of drilling fluids considered
harmful to humans, including bentonite clay which can
suffocate fish by clogging their gills, and over
three million gallons of suctioned water which will
kill fish captured in its vortex, are not acceptable.
To increase natural gas when we should be eliminating
fossil fuels is not acceptable. To jeopardize the
safety of our already vulnerable water with more
volatile pipelines is not acceptable. Those in charge
of this endeavor and the officials who approve this
project are addled and deranged by greed. It is up to
us, ordinary people and employees working under these
kleptocrats and their enablers, to block, once and
for all, the onslaught of these myopic, mercenary and
destructive decisions. Thank you so much for your

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 1 2 time and thank you for listening and for all of your efforts and thank you earth for providing us all with 3 bodies, food, water and air to sustain our lives, may 4 5 we be worthy of your gifts. 6 CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Hi, how are 7 you? Next up. 8 BARBARA HERTEL: Hi... [cross-talk] CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Make sure 9 you push the button, let everybody hear you. 10 11 BARBARA HERTEL: Oh, okay there we go... 12 CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Alright, 13 thank you... 14 BARBARA HERTEL: Hi, I'm Barbara, I live 15 in Southside Williamsburg and I thank you for having 16 this hearing let us... letting us speak. I also ... I 17 appreciate that this... I appreciate this resolution 18 that is coming forth. Before I came here, I had this ... I have this medallion it's clean air, land, water; I 19 20 got this in the 70s, the ... this had broken and I fixed it so I could wear today because we've all been 21 fighting this for a long time getting clean air and 2.2 23 water and so this is so perfect that we are having

this hearing. I'm getting tired of all this and I'm

hoping in ten years we don't have to do this anymore.

24

So, with the green new deal it's, it's it just does,
doesn't work at all, it's fossil fuels, we don't want
that. Con Ed should see this as an opportunity to
bring in fossil to bring in renewable energy, to do
geothermal in new buildings, to make those new
buildings in Rochester… in, in Westchester, I wish it
was Rochester too but to bring to have them do that
instead, have them put a you know a, a windmill on
their on their roof, you know solar panels on their
roofs, do a geothermal thing, you know in the
building before they build it so that they can do the
piping and the plumbers can do the piping for the
geothermal things, they can do the piping for the
for the solar or heat and for everything else that we
need. I plan it I tried to do it I there are
people that are willing to do it, I looked into
geothermal where I live but they couldn't get the
equipment in to build it so I couldn't have it but
there's lots of land, empty land where they're
building these big buildings why can't they do it
there? So, and I think National Grid should become a,
a donation to different companies to build things
like build parks in New York City. I want a park over
the BQE, why can't they give their billion dollars

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION there and we can build this beautiful park over the BQE in Southside Williamsburg, they could invest in people having clean air, clean water and then one more thing I had, well... and that's just... and then... oh and Con Ed too, you know as... I already said that, so I think I'm done. Thank you so much. CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Thank you, thank you Barbara. 

LAURA SHINDELL: Thank you very much... [cross-talk]

2.2

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Make sure you hit your button, alright, there you go.

LAURA SHINDELL: Thank you very much for holding this hearing today. My name is Laura

Shindell, I'm an Organizer with Food and Water Watch, a national nonprofit advocacy organization with over 120,000 supporters in New York. We urge you to pass a resolution calling on the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation to deny the water quality certificate for the Williams NESE pipeline.

I've prepared remarks but I do just first want to say to our union brothers and sisters, our city has many drinking water infrastructure woes, we would love to build more water pipelines rather than gas pipelines.

But I my remarks today will focus on the water
quality and marine life impacts of the NESE pipeline.
If built the NESE project would be a giant leap
backwards for New Yorkers in the state's water
resources. Any pipeline that is primarily built
through water resources will result in negative
impacts to the water body especially during
construction phase. Water resources need to be
protected and the public's best interest should be
put before the interest of corporations. Water
belongs to the public and should be protected and
preserved for the public. overall a whopping 26 on
shore water bodies would be affected by the pipeline,
the pipeline would be constructed below the sea floor
where it would dredge up toxic sediment that lays
dormant from the industrial era. These toxic
sediments include PCBs, arsenic, and lead and would
disrupt 14,000 acres of aquamarine habitats including
clams, crabs, fish and more. FERCs environmental
impact statement tries to justify construction in the
Raritan Bay by stating that the waters are already
subjected to pollutants. If anything, this highlights
the exact reason why the pipeline must not be
constructed. Environmental regulators should make

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 1 2 decisions that enhance water quality rather than 3 subjecting waters to further degradation. Construction would also disrupt fishing, boating and 4 other recreational and commercial activities and disseminate disruptive noise pollution to wildlife. 6 7 Noise pollution would be harmful to aquatic life including various seal species, dolphins, whales and 8 harbor porpoise. Endangered species including the 9 right whale, fin whale and Atlantic sturgeon would 10 also be impacted. The organisms living on or near the 11 12 sea floor would be faced with sediment disturbance, 13 increased turbidity and noise leading to the marine 14 life being injured, disturbed or displaced during 15 construction or death. Buildings pipelines threatens 16 human health, wildlife habitats and the environment 17 by compromising soil quality, impacting vegetation, 18 releasing air pollutants and contaminating surface waters in aquafers. The risks to New York's waters, 19 Raritan Bay and its ecosystems are greater than the 20 purported benefits of the NESE project. The Williams 21

pipeline would threaten Raritan Bay, the climate and communities surrounding the pipeline. We ask that New York City Council calls on the DEC to deny the 401-sea water quality certificate that Williams needs for

2.2

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

construction of the pipeline. Water belongs to the public and should be protected and preserved for the public. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Thank you, thank you all, I, I appreciate all of your testimony here today and I appreciate all of the different points of view and look at, at the end of the day I think we're having several different conversations at once, right, we're having a conversation about what's right for our communities and our environment, we're having a conversation on how we build good union jobs into the 21<sup>st</sup> century and, and renewable infrastructure and I think that is an important part of a component as we... and we need to seek out that third way today. I've said that before already, I'm going to say it again, it cannot be a choice between a moratorium and this pipeline which locks us into fossil fuel infrastructure for the next 50 years, it, it... there, there is a third way here that we find where we can build a renewable New York City and bring renewable energy here to our city and make sure its environmental sound, that it is protective of our natural resources in, including Jamaica Bay and around the Rockaways where, you know we're seeing

1 COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
2 wildlife come back that has not been there for

decades, we can do all that, we can make those

4 investments that are investments in the future of our

5 | neighborhoods, they're investments against the

6 impacts of climate change, they're resilient, we can

7 do that, that is good for all of us and still create

8 good union jobs and make sure that the men and women

9 in our... that do such a great service to our community

10 and are the backbone of middle class can continue to

11 be that backbone of the middle class, we can do all

12 of that but not... us to threats from utility

13 companies. We can do all that simultaneously and I

14 | believe that we can because we are invested in doing

15 | that. So, I, I thank you all for being here today and

16 being part of this conversation and I look forward to

17 continuing to work with each and every one of you.

LAURA SHINDELL: Thank you so much for

19 your leadership.

18

20

BARBARA HERTEL: Can we clap now?

21 CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Yeah, well

22 | let me actually... wait, wait, wait I got to do the,

23 the... I got to bang the gavel, you know bang the gavel

24 and then we can... then we can ... then we can clap so I

25 want to make sure I thank our Speaker, Corey Johnson

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION and my Co-sponsor on this piece of legislation, Donovan Richards; our Staff Attorney, Samara Swanston, who was a Rockstar in her own right; Nadia Johnson, our Policy Analyst; Ricky Chawa [sp?] our other Policy Analyst who is also wonderful and amazing and John Seltzer our Financial Analyst, we have a really great team on this committee; my Legislative Counsel Nick Widzowski as well and with that I will gavel this... and of course the Sergeant at Arms who helped keep... do all this, thank you and welcome Keith to the team, glad to have you here sir and with that I will gavel this Committee hearing of the Environmental Protection Committee closed. Now we can clap.

[gavel]

# 

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date

May 18, 2019