1	COMMITTEE C	ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES	1
2	CITY COUNCIL		
3	CITY OF NEW YORK	77	
4			
5	TRANSCRIPT OF THE	MINUTES	
6	Of the	NO AND EDANGUIGES	
7	COMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES		
8			
9		April 2, 2019 Start: 9:30 a.m. Recess: 11:00 a.m.	
10		Recess. 11.00 a.m.	
11	HELD AT:	Committee Room - City Hall	
12	BEFORE:	Francisco P. Moya Chairperson	
13		onarrperson	
14	COUNCIL MEMBERS:	Costa G. Constantinides	
15		Barry S. Grodenchik Rory I. Lancman	
16		Stephen T. Levin Antonio Reynoso	
17		Donovan J. Richards Carlina Rivera	
18		Ritchie J. Torres	
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

1	COMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES	2
2	APPEARANCES	
3	Dawanna Williams	
4	Dabar Development	
5	Thomas Campbell Thorobird Companies	
6		
7	Genevieve Michel HPD	
8	Ealine Contes	
9	Felipe Cortes HPD	
10	Jessica Ortiz	
11	32BJ	
12	Adam Rothkrug	
13	Frank St. Jacques Akerman LLP	
14		
15	Guido Subotovsky	
16	Azimuth Development LLC	
17	Alice Friedman HPD	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

2.1

2.2

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: [GAVEL] Good morning and welcome to the meeting of the Subcommittee on Zoning and Franchises. I am Council Member Francisco Moya, the Chairperson of the Subcommittee and today we are joined by Council Members Reynoso, Rivera, Grodenchik, and Richards. Oh, and we are also joined by Council Members Lander and Ampry-Samuel. And we also have Council Member Levin who just walked in.

Today we will be holding hearings on a number of applications and we will conduct a number of votes on several previously heard applications. If you are here to testify on an application for which the record is not already closed, please fill out a white speaker slip with the Sergeant and Arms and indicate the name and/or the LU number of the application you wish to testify on, on that slip.

We will now hold our votes. Today will vote to approve LU's 362 through 365. The 809 Atlantic Avenue Rezoning in Brooklyn, the proposed Zoning Map Amendment would rezone an existing R7A C2-4 District to an R9 C2-5 in R6A District. The proposed Zoning Text Amendment would establish a mandatory inclusionary housing area, a requested 74-711 Special Permit would modify various bulk regulations and a

COMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

requested 74-533 Special Permit would wave the residential parking requirements.

Together with these actions would facilitate the development of two new mixed-use buildings of fourstories and 29 stories in height with residential and commercial use. The project also allows for the restoration of a landmark church of St. Luke and St. Matthew. Majority Leader Cumbo is in support of this application.

We will also vote to approve LU's 370, 371, and 372 the 103 North 13th Street Text Amendment and Related Special Permit Application in Brooklyn. The applicant seeks approval for a zoning text amendment to include the subject block within an industrial business incentive area and a related special permit to allow an increase in the maximum permitted floor area for specific industrial and commercial uses. Modify height and setback regulations and reduce the applicable parking and loading requirements.

These actions would facilitate the development of a seven-story building with retail office and light industrial use. Council Member Levin is in support of this application.

2.2

We will also vote to approve pre-considered LU 381 for the 245 East 53rd Street Rezoning in Manhattan. The applicant seeks approval of a Zoning Map amendment to establish a new C2-5 Commercial Overlay district with an existing R8-B district which would permit commercial ground floor use in a new six-story building on the north side of the street, as well as bring into conformance 25 lots which currently have existing commercial use out of a total 27 Lots in the Rezoning area. Council Member Powers is in support of this application.

We will also vote to file pre-considered LU's 367 and 368 for the 41 Summit Street Rezoning in Brooklyn. The applicant has withdrawn its application for a proposed Zoning Map and Zoning text amendment.

We will also vote today to approve with modifications LU's 360 and 361, the Former Parkway Hospital Site Rezoning in Queens. The proposed Zoning Map Amendment would rezone an R1-2A District to an R7A District and an R7X District. And the proposed zoning text amendment would designate the project area as a mandatory inclusionary housing area

2.2

COMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 utilizing options one and two and the workforce

3 option.

2.2

Together these actions would facilitate the development of a new 14-story market rate residential building in the enlargement and change of use of the former Parkway Hospital to an 8-story mixed-use building containing 68 affordable dwelling units, 67 affordable independent residents for senior units airs and community facility space.

In total the proposal would consist of 351 dwelling units and approximately 180 accessory parking spaces. Our modification will be to remove MIH option 2 and the workforce option leaving MIH option 1. Council Member Kosolowitz is in support of this application as modified.

Are there any questions from Subcommittee Members on this item?

Seeing none, I now call a vote to approve LU's 362, 367, 364, and 365. The 809 Atlantic Avenue Rezoning Applications, LU's 370, 371, 372. The 103 North 13th Street Text Amendment and related special permit application and LU's 381, the 245 East 53rd Street Rezoning to approve with modifications I have described. LU's 360 and 361, the Former Parkway

1	COMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 7			
2	Hospital Site Rezoning Applications and to file LU's			
3	367 and 368. The 41 Summit Street Rezoning			
4	Applications.			
5	Council please call the role.			
6	CLERK: Chair Moya?			
7	COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: I and all.			
8	CLERK: Council Member Levin?			
9	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: I and all.			
10	CLERK: Council Member Reynoso?			
11	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: I vote I and all.			
12	CLERK: Council Member Rivera?			
13	COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: I.			
14	CLERK: Council Member Grodenchik?			
15	COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: With congratulations			
16	to my colleague Karen Kosolowitz on the Parkway site,			
17	I and all.			
18	CLERK: A vote of five in the affirmative, zero			
19	in oppositions, zero abstentions, the land use items			
20	are approved and referred to the full Land Use			
21	Committee and we are going to leave the vote open.			
22	On a continuing vote on the Land Use items,			
23	Council Member Richards?			
24	COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: I vote I.			

CLERK: A vote of six in the affirmative, zero in oppositions, zero abstentions, the Land Use items are approved and referred to the full Land Use Committee.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Okay, our first public hearing for today is on pre-considered LU's for the 1921
Atlantic Avenue Rezoning in Council Member AmprySamuel's district in Brooklyn.

The application by HPD seeks designation and project approval of an urban development action area project and disposition approval. A rezoning of an M11 R7-D district to an R8A C2-4 District. A related zoning text amendment to map the site a mandatory inclusionary housing area utilizing option 1 and an amendment to Saratoga Square urban renewal plan to permit residential and commercial uses.

I know open the public hearing on this application. Dawanna Williams, Thomas Campbell, Emilio Perez, Genevieve Michel and Felipe Cortes.

Council, can you please swear in the panel?

CLERK: As part of your response, please state your full name for the record. Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth and you will answer all questions truthfully?

2 PANEL: Yes.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

CLERK: Please state you name.

THOMAS CAMPBELL: Thomas Campbell.

FELIPE CORTES: Felipe Cortes.

DAWANNA WILLIAMS: Dawanna Williams.

GENEVIEVE MICHEL: Genevieve Michel.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. You may begin.

GENEVIEVE MICHEL: The following pre-considered

10 | items are related to Proposed Ulurp Actions for a

11 project known as 1921 Atlantic Avenue in Brooklyn

12 | Council District 41. The project area includes 12

13 | city owned underutilized vacant lots located at Block

14 | 1557, Lots 3, 4, 23, 26, 28, 31 through 37 or the

15 disposition area and three privately owned sites

16 | located at Block 1557, Lots 1, 2, and 38, the private

17 sites.

The Ulurp actions before the City Council involve
Urban Development Action Area Project designation and

20 project approval of the disposition area on private

21 sites. Disposition of the city owned properties that

22 make up the disposition area as well as the Zoning

23 Map amendment, a Zoning Text Amendment and an

amendment for the Saratoga Square Urban renewal plan.

More specifically, the item related to C1901 61 ZMK seeks to eliminate the MX10 special district, change the underlying manufacturing district to a residential district and establish a commercial overlay and N 190162 ZRK seeks zoning text amendment to map the development site as an MIH area.

Additionally, the item related to C 190163 HUK is related to the first amendment to the Saratoga Square Urban Renewal Plan which was originally approved in 1992.

The City owned sites are a designated urban renewal area sites 175, 176, and 177, which were designated and improved for disposition for industrial uses. The amendment is necessary in order to permit residential and commercial uses on the disposition area.

Number C 1901 60 HAK is related to the proposed project. They will be developed under HPD's extremely low and low affordability income program or the ELLA program. The ELLA program seeks to create rental housing for low income families with a range of incomes from 30 percent to 60 percent of the area median income. Projects may include a portion of the units with rents affordable to households earning up

COMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 to 100 percent of AMI. Projects also include units

3 rented for formerly homeless families and

4 individuals.

2.2

The development site located at 1921 Atlantic

Avenue will be developed by a sponsor, selected

through a competitive process geared towards

certified M/WBE organizations. The proposal includes

the construction of a 14-story mixed-use building

with 236 units inclusive of a suppers unit. Under

MIH option 1, 20 percent of the residential floor

area must be permanently affordable housing units,

affordable to households within an income at a waited

averages of 60 percent of AMI.

Additionally, as per HPD's requirement, an additional 15 percent of the units will be permanently affordable. Therefore, based on the number of rental units for the project, 36 units will be permanently affordable in addition to the MIH units. The building will comprise of 52 studios, 79 one-bedroom, 59 two-bedrooms and 45 three-bedroom apartments. 10-percent of the unit count will be set aside for homeless households.

Targeted incomes will range from up to 30 percent AMI to 80 percent of AIM. Rents will range from \$251

2

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

3 three-bedroom apartment for a family of four making

for a studio for a homeless household to \$2,096 for a

4 around \$10,000 and \$86,000 annually. The project

5 also includes about 15,000 square feet of commercial

6 floor area for a grocery store, 7,953 square feet of

7 community facility space and 44 below grade parking

8 spaces. At this time, no commercial facility has

9 been identified. The anticipated use for the

10 community facility space will be occupied by Oko Farm

11 | Brooklyn Neighborhood Services and ACMH.

Additional amenities for this project include an art gallery, outdoor recreation spaces available to tenants on the roof of the second floor. And at the roof top of the building, two fitness centers and approximately 108 spaces for residential bike storage in the cellar. Other residential amenities in the proposed development include a laundry room on each residential floor. Recreation rooms accessible to tenants on the first and second floor, as a computer room and a separate skype room. In order to facilitate development of 1921 Atlantic Avenue and the creation of affordable housing units, HPD is before the Council seeking approval of each of the pre-considered numbers outlined above.

_

2.2

DAWANNA WILLIAMS: Thank you. Good morning

Council Members Zoning Subcommittee and Staff. I am

Dawanna Williams, Founder and Managing Principal of

Dabar Development Partners here with my joint venture

partner Thomas Campbell at Thorobird Companies. We

are presenting as designees of HPD's M/WBE building

opportunity RFP. As has been mentioned, we are

presenting the project 1921 Atlantic Avenue.

As you are aware, to facilitate this project, HPD is seeking a number of Land Use actions, they include UDAP designation and project approval for the disposition of the city owned property, a zoning map amendment for the residential component, a zoning text amendment as an MIH area, and amendment to the Saratoga Square Urban Renewal Plan to permit residential and commercial uses.

As you will see from the map here on the screen, the yellow area outlined in red includes the twelve sites that are owned currently by HPD and the city. As you will see from the purple and blue area, are the private sites which have been acquired by my partner Thomas and I as an assemblage for a full square contiguous development site.

2.2

To add a little bit more site location and context, you will see that the property fronts on Atlantic Avenue just across the street from the Long Island Railroad, it sits between two one block streets Prescott Place and Bancroft place. The wider thoroughfares, which you may be more familiar with are Ralph Avenue and Howard Avenue. The site sits just two blocks from the public transportation where there is a C-Train. The site is also served by two

The site additionally is currently blighted, and the project would seek to cure the blight that is currently existing along this thoroughfare.

public bus stations, the B47 and the B25.

To give you a quick summary again, the project is 14-stories mixed use. There are 236 affordable rental housing units. They are 100 percent affordable and they will count towards the Mayor's New York Housing Plan to build and preserve 300,000 affordable units. There are also 15,000 square feet on the ground floor which we are reserving for a grocery store, fresh, because the neighborhood is currently located in a food desert. There are four community facility spaces, which my partner will discuss in more detail. 44 below grade parking

2 spaces and a mandatory inclusionary housing component

3 under option one.

2.2

I will turn the floor over to may partner Thomas Campbell to provide further details about the project.

THOMAS CAMPBELL: Thank you Dawanna and good morning. Thank you for having us this morning. I am very excited to be here.

We work collaboratively with as Genevieve and Dawanna have mentioned with HPD, as well as Council Member Alicka Ampry-Samuel's team and with Local Community Board Three, Brooklyn to really create a responsive residential and community program that we are proud of and given the work we put into it, we are very proud and confident in our plan.

In terms of the residential program, given the flood of residential homes, affordable homes at the 60 percent of area median income tier. We really wanted to be responsive and provide opportunities for homes at the very low-income tiers and inequitably up to the moderate-income tier, what we call the forgotten moderate tier, as well and we cap those responsive to community need at 80 percent of the area median income tiers.

J

J

/

Specifically, we have 10 percent of the homes for the formerly homeless, 10 percent at 30 percent of the area median income, 10 percent at 40, at 50 and then 30 percent of the units at the 70 percent AMI tier as well as 30 percent at the 80 percent of the area median income tiers.

And as you can see from program, rents will range from \$251 per month for the formerly homeless all the way to a cap of just under \$2100 per month for a three-bedroom apartment at the highest income tier at 80 percent of the area median income.

Next slide please. In terms of our community program again, this is a really bottom up approach. We initially contemplated a medical office at the space but given community input, it was clear that that need was for a fresh food initiative. We have done the research and identified this as a food desert where there is a lack of fresh food options for this community where the local mom and pop shops have been sort of priced out of the neighborhood. They used to provide those amenities. So, we really made the idea of a fresh food offering, the essential theme of this project.

So, essentially, we have a 15,000 square foot fresh grocer complimented by a ground floor aquaponic farm to provide a farm to table offering for the

2.2

5 community and on the roof top we have actually

6 hydroponic farm as well. Again, to support and farm

7 to table offering to get the community access to

fresh foods and fresh food education.

In terms of other input from the community, we understood that this community is defined as a community of local entrepreneurs and we wanted to support local entrepreneurship, so we brought on board Oko Farms, as Genevieve mentioned, who's Brooklyn born and bred neighborhood, aquaculture farm provider, who is going to provide services and run our aquaponic and hydroponic farm operations as well.

In terms of supporting our local community organizations, as Genevieve also and Dawanna also eluded to, we are having neighborhood housing services to locate their headquarters into our building, which we are really excited about that, to be a community service center, as well as ACMH, a local provider of support of services for the formerly homeless that will be on our eastern border of the building and then to the west side, we're

2 going to have local Oko Farms and the Aquaponic farm

3 to be located there.

2.2

Next slide, oh, sorry, my favorite part of it was actually the art gallery, my wife is an artist. And we have provided space for the local artists given that there is a lack of affordable art space in the community. Apologies, thank you Felipe.

So, in terms of our street scape, our ground floor program abuts the busy Atlantic Avenue which is one of the busiest thoroughfares in all of Brooklyn.

So, it's necessary to have the entrances for the project to be on the side streets of Bancroft and Prescott. So, you'll see here that the front of the building which abuts Atlantic Avenue. It does not have an entrance there where the grocer is but the entrances for all the programming is all on the side streets of Prescott and Bancroft. Next slide, please.

Here you have Atlantic Avenue and the east

Bancroft place this is the western border of the

project. You'll see that its been plagued by a

massive community dumping, just a blighted condition

that my partner Dawanna spoke of. Now, we are going

to have our entrance to the fresh grocer there as

well as the entrance to ACMH the supportive housing provider, entrance to the art gallery as well as the entrance to neighborhood housing services. This is going to drastically improve the street scape on Bancroft place. Next slide please.

Then we have a look at the western border where Atlantic Avenue and Prescott place where the residential entrance will be as well as the entrance to Oko Farms. Again, it's going to be a notable upgrade to the street scape. Right now, the street is home to abandoned automobiles and so, it's going to be a great improvement to the street scape.

And I'll pass it back to Dawanna Williams to talk a bit about sustainability. Thank you.

DAWANNA WILLIAMS: Thank you. Finally, the building will be built in accordance with enterprise green communities which is the framework for sustainability and resiliency by HPD. The building will include water monitoring to detect leaks and water sense fixture. There will also be a rainwater treatment element on the roof to collect additional water that may be used in other parts of the building. There will be energy star certified appliances for high efficiency and then finally, and

CLERK: Council Member Torres?

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: I vote I.

4

24

2.2

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Okay.

CLERK: By a vote of nine in the affirmative, zero in opposition, zero abstentions, the Land Use items are approved and referred to the full Land Use Committee.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. Just a couple of questions before I turn it over to Council Member

Ampry-Samuels and I apologize if you said this, but you are pursuing the fresh program benefits for the supermarkets?

DAWANNA WILLIAMS: Not technically. So, we are not pursuing — there is a zoning component and then there is the financial component. We are not pursuing the zoning component, but we will be pursuing the financial component for the grocery store tenant so that tenant can pursue tax savings for labor that they hire.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Okay. And will the community facility tenants be offered affordable long-term leases?

DAWANNA WILLIAMS: Yes, all except for one. All except for the Brooklyn neighborhood services maybe offered a more market lease, but all of the others are either free or very affordable.

1 2 THOMAS CAMPBELL: ACMH is going to have a 30-year 3 lease with us. CHAIRPERSON MOYA: I'm sorry, could you say that 4

again?

THOMAS CAMPBELL: ACMH a supportive serving provider, has a 30-year lease with us.

DAWANNA WILLIAMS: For free.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

THOMAS CAMPBELL: For free, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: And I just want to sort of now just turn to talking about good jobs and one of the things is for us to know is are you planning to pay the building service workers at your project prevailing wage standards once it's operational? if not, what will the building service workers earn and are you planning to provide full family health care and what would the employees share of that cost be?

DAWANNA WILLIAMS: We are working on a plan for the amount of the wages. The wages will be determined based on budgetary research, but we are working on a plan now. The community will be involved in our local hiring.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: But again, is the project going to be prevailing wage standards?

1 COMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 23 2 DAWANNA WILLIAMS: No. 3 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: It's not? DAWANNA WILLIAMS: No, it is not. 4 5 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Okay. And then can you tell 6 me if any of these employees will be provided full 7 health benefits? Are you planning to provide retirement benefits, things like that for your 8 employees that are coming? 9 10 THOMAS CAMPBELL: Absolutely. 11 DAWANNA WILLIAMS: We're working on a plan, but 12 we don't know just yet. CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Your saying absolutely, and 13 14 then your saying to me your still not there yet. 15 THOMAS CAMPBELL: Your asking if we're going to 16 provide employees with benefits, health care and the 17 like and we would provide health care and benefits, 18 the question is with the prevailing wage at this time, we are not doing prevailing wage, but 19 20 absolutely we are offering them to health care benefits and the like. That's our intention. 2.1 2.2 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: What opportunities for

training and career advancement do you plan to

provide workers at this project if any?

23

2.2

2 THOMAS CAMPBELL: I'm sorry, opportunities for, 3 once again please.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Yeah, what opportunities for training or career advancement do you plan to provide to workers at this project if any?

THOMAS CAMPBELL: So, with regard to the construction phase, we do plan to utilize programs, this is on the construction side. There is an apprentice program, building skills, where we're going to provide opportunities for local people in the community to participate in the construction on our project.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: What's your apprenticeships?

DAWANNA WILLIAMS: Just one other thing, in the —

I'm not sure if you were able to see it from the

graph, but the Brooklyn Neighborhood Housing

Services, we will be building a workshop within that

facility that will include electrical and plumbing

trades to be trained in that facility. If you look

at the green diagram in the back, there is about a

900 square foot space which would be trained for

trades by Brooklyn Neighborhood Services.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: So, just for the construction trades?

1 COMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 25 2 DAWANNA WILLIAMS: That is correct. CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Okay, and do you have a plan 3 to ensure that the workers on this project will have 4 job protection, access to strong health care and 5 safety protections as well? 6 7 DAWANNA WILLIAMS: Yes, we do. CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Okay. So, it is also 8 important to us that the members of the surrounding 9 community have access to the jobs that create the 10 affordable housing projects. Do you have a plan to 11 12 hire locally and if you do, could you share that with 13 us? 14 DAWANNA WILLIAMS: Yes, we are already in 15 communication with a very strong local firm for local 16 hiring. I apologize, the name of his firm escapes me 17 right now, but we've been in close contact with them. 18 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: And would you share that plan with us? 19 20 DAWANNA WILLIAMS: Yes, we will, happy to. 21 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Okay. I am going to now turn 2.2 it over to Council Member Ampry-Samuels. 23 COUNCIL MEMBER AMPRY-SAMUELS: Thank you.

staying on the same track of thought, I think Thomas might be looking up who the local organization is.

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER AMPRY-SAMUELS: Okay, and excuse me if it wasn't clear, you showed a slide about a training facility in HS and it sounded as though that was your response to the question about local hiring, so can you explain how a facility that is being built would help train people to build it. That's what it

I will say it again. What opportunities for training

2.2

and career advancement do you have to provide workers
at this project if any? You then said, we have this
facility and it is specifically going into
apprenticeships through the trades. My follow up
question to that was, what apprenticeships have you
agreed to and with what trade unions has there been
that discussion with?

THOMAS CAMPBELL: Can I talk a little bit about it. So, I'm going to try and clear it up here. I responded initially to your question talking about trades to actually build the building.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: I was talking about services workers. You brought up the trades, I followed up.

Who are you now engaged with for those apprenticeships? They are two different things. So, you said to me, you have a training facility and that's why I ended it there, saying that it's just for the trades.

THOMAS CAMPBELL: So, for the trades, for building on the building in response to Alicka's question, because I can see how that's confusing.

Thank you for that. Building skills keeps track of all new construction projects, particularly in affordable housing and unfortunately, we have a

relationship with them. And they reach out to us and they say, how many jobs do you have that are available for apprenticeship programs? We have an estimation for the amount of jobs that we're creating through construction and a portion of those jobs will go through a program of apprenticeships starting as soon as possible to get them going through an apprenticeship, so that they are ready to work on our project when they are done. And so, I can get you more information about the building skills program and exactly how far in advance of the start of construction if that happens but that's how it happens and so, we have a relationship there and that's what we do in terms of the trades and in terms of training, post construction, I think that's what Dawanna was talking a little bit about with Neighborhood Housing services.

I hope that helped out a bit more. If you have a follow up question, feel free, my apologies if I didn't clear up your question.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: No problem. I know that you have more questions, so I'm just going to turn that over and I'll come back afterwards.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER AMPRY-SAMUELS: Okay, I apologize, this is going back to the prevailing wage reference. So, how many people will the project employ? Like, how many people will actually work in the building that are building service workers and what will those jobs be?

THOMAS CAMPBELL: Our modo we have one super.

COUNCIL MEMBER AMPRY-SAMUELS: One super.

THOMAS CAMPBELL: Uh, huh, we have four porters.

COUNCIL MEMBER AMPRY-SAMUELS: One super, four porters.

THOMAS CAMPBELL: Uh, huh and we have three full time security for the project which is going to be great for the project. It will actually provide 24-hour security in the project.

COUNCIL MEMBER AMPRY-SAMUELS: Okay, and I will be like, with not the super but the porters and the security workers, will those be in shifts? So, instead of like, so three will turn into like, nine? THOMAS CAMPBELL: Oh, well, three to account for 24, so eight hours, eight hours, and eight hours, so it will just be three in total.

COUNCIL MEMBER AMPRY-SAMUELS: Okay, so, are you able to calculate how much it will cost if it was to

be prevailing wage for nine plus, I don't know eight,

I went to lower school, I'm not an accountant but I

4 don't know fifteen people or so?

THOMAS CAMPBELL: So, we're able to calculate and the answer is \$2.5 million of additional cost to the project.

COUNCIL MEMBER AMPRY-SAMUELS: So, looking at the full cost of the project and looking at everything that we see happening just across the city and the need for prevailing wage and everything else, is there a way to be able to even put it into a tier, because I've seen what others where they will — the first year, the numbers would look like this and then the second year they can get them up to prevailing wage. Was that something that was discussed at all?

DAWANNA WILLIAMS: Yeah, I mean I think that in order for the project to stay under HPD term sheet, we would either need what we've done on other projects, is either [inaudible 52:22] from the Council or some other change to the project, but I think on a project where doing LO, which is a low-come building and also have discounted community facility space, it would be very difficult for us to

2.2

absorb the cost and actually have a project that we could move forward on and close.

I think the \$2.5 million would be a pretty big gap but again, happy to have further conversations about where we could either identify a cost savings or look for other sources of funding which I think again, we've done on other projects.

COUNCIL MEMBER AMPRY-SAMUELS: Okay, alright.

And I have to go back to the fresh market program,
because this was something that was discussed in
great detail with the community conversation. The
fact that there is such a lack of fresh fruits and
vegetables in that neighborhood, in that area. And
just on a personal level, like I know this past week,
I went out with my mom looking for just a salad and
we went to three different grocery stores and there
was not one grocery store that had fresh lettuce.

And I also know that we had a project not to far from this project and they were committed to a grocery store and I'm talking about Prospect Plaza.

And this project has been open for over a year and there is still vacancy for a grocery store, and everyone was committed. The city was committed, the developers were committed, everyone was committed to

this and we have not seen that happen. So, what are you doing to have a real commitment to a fresh market? Because we know it's difficult, but it looks

5 good on paper.

2.2

THOMAS CAMPBELL: I appreciate that perspective.

All I can say is for here it is the essential element theme for this whole project. That's why we have aquaponic and hydroponic elements to compliment it.

It's the most important part of the project.

What are we doing? We spend a lot of time scouting for perspective grocers. We do have candidates now to put into the space. We know that the community actually wants, so we're working to do better. We have candidates again, through active tenants that we have today but we're working to do better.

We are working not only for the reason of providing this amenity to the community, which is the most important reason but financially we are working to prelease the space because it helps the project economics as well. Because if we don't prelease the space, it's going to cost us tremendously in a massively structure or a letter credit structure which is going to challenge the project financially

as well. So, we're working very, very hard and tirelessly to find the right tenant for the community and we can always stop working and give the community what we have in our tool kit today, but we know the community deserves better, so we are still working.

DAWANNA WILLIAMS: And just to add to what Tom has just mentioned. We do have two letters of intent from actual grocery stores. The issues is we're seeking — you said you wanted fresh salad for example, the issue is we are seeking a grocery store that provides organic and fresh produce in a way that we haven't seen from the two that we do have the strong letters from currently.

We would like to provide something that is more appealing to the community, but we do have two in hand.

GENEVIEVE MICHEL: And I think you know, Prospect Plaza certainly predates my time at HPD, but happy to follow up with our teams to figure out what has happened there and if there is anything, we can do to urge it along.

COUNCIL MEMBER AMPRY-SAMUELS: Okay, and just a couple of more questions Chair. Will you partner

COMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.1

2.2

with the local non-profit organization as an administrating agent for the affordable housing?

DAWANNA WILLIAMS: As a marketing agent?

COUNCIL MEMBER AMPRY-SAMUELS: Yeah,

administrative agent and couple with that to and I guess just to explain a little bit to, we see a lot of these affordable housing developments come up and a lot of the people it was supposedly meant for, we don't see them moving in and that's another piece of it. So, can you just talk to us a little bit about who you will be partnering with and working with?

DAWANNA WILLIAMS: We haven't chosen anyone yet, but we have talked with the community and they have told us the same sentiment you just mentioned. So, we have agreed to work with them on choosing the right agent that is agreeable to both the development team and the community. So, we don't know who it is just yet, but we will be doing it in consultation with the community because we understand that concern.

COUNCIL MEMBER AMPRY-SAMUELS: Okay, and my last question. I know we talked about local hiring and we also talked about the building service workers. Can you describe you plans for ensuring that M/WBE and

_

2.2

_ 1

locally based contractors and subcontractors

participate in the development? Can you just talk a

little bit about that and your commitment?

DAWANNA WILLIAMS: Sure, obviously my firm is an M/WBE firm. Thomas's firm is also an M/WBE firm. We have a strong interest in ensuring that the project meets targeted thresholds for M/WBE.

In the past two projects that I've done with HPD, we hired a general contractor that was an M/WBE which helped to ensure that we exceeded 30 percent on both of those projects. We plan to do the same thing here. Our general contractor is not an M/WBE, but we do plan to hire a consultant that will help them with the trades.

COUNCIL MEMBER AMPRY-SAMUELS: Okay, and this is really my last question, because I do have to leave. And you answered a lot of it in the previous statements.

The development site is directly on Atlantic

Avenue in the LIRR tracks. Is the building being

designed to help reduce noise and emissions into the

apartments? And can you also speak to the traffic

between the two streets between Ralph Avenue and

Howard Avenue because they both go northbound. And I

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

know there is some conversation about the flow of traffic to eastbound with Atlantic Avenue.

DAWANNA WILLIAMS: Yes, we are working on a plan now in consultation with both DOT and DEP as you mentioned, both Bancroft and Prescott, one block streets that both run one way northbound. DOT has asked us to consider and we talked with them about it as well. Changing one of them to flow in the other direction so that traffic flows clockwise around the development. We are still finalizing it and the second is as we mentioned, the heating and cooing will be different. Normally you will see a building and there are grills on the façade of the building, those are called PTAC units. We are paying for a more expensive system where there will be no grills on the building, so it will be tight and shut. heating and cooling will exhaust through the roof which will cause it to be much less noise and that's when we will deal with the noise and the traffic will hopefully better because of the clockwise direction. We are also receiving other consultation from DOT, but we haven't received their final plan yet, but the clockwise direction is the main plan they have.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER AMPRY-SAMUELS: Thank you so much

Chair for the opportunity and I look forward to

working with you. I have already stated that this

has been something we have been discussing for the

past year and I am very happy about everything that

you have incorporated from the community conversation

THOMAS CAMPBELL: Glad to work with you. Thanks so much.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: So, I'm just going to come back with a couple of follow up questions.

and again, I look forward to working with you.

What is the developer fee that you will earn on this project?

THOMAS CAMPBELL: The total developer fee in the latest model is about \$14 million. The current portion of the fee will be about half of that, about \$7 million. That fee will be paid after the project is built, after there is TCO, after it is leased up and after we convert. Which it will be about five years from now. After that, the deferred portion of the fee that is not paid, will be paid out of cash flow over 15 years. So, there is not a lump sum of a fee that comes to us. The first part will come in 5 years and the rest will come hopefully in 5 years if

from this entire project will be \$159,000 per year.

25

2.1

2.2

And when you ask about profit, I guess on the other side of the incomes are operating expenses. I don't think we know whether or not we are making a profit on those.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Every person knows what their profit is going to be when they come in and do a development because that's what you do.

DAWANNA WILLIAMS: I gave you the cash flow.

That's the number \$159,000. As Thomas has mentioned,

there are other expenses coming from it, but I gave

you the answer that you were looking for.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: I'm responding to what he just said, so that's why I'm like, every one has a budget, they have a model, they know what their profit margins will be and that was just my question.

DAWANNA WILLIAMS: And I gave you the answer.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Okay, I got it. I'm just looking at the total cost given that you've said it's going to cost \$2.5 million to do prevailing wages.

DAWANNA WILLIAMS: That's only for the construction part of it.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: I'm going through the numbers with you, so that I can see where you guys are going to be and that's why I am asking these questions.

service workers in New York City. We clean and

maintain buildings like the ones proposed. As you

24

25

2.2

know, we ask the developers to make credible commitments to pay building service workers the prevailing wage once their building is operational.

Unfortunately, the developer for this project development partners and Thorobird companies, has not made this commitment. We fully support the development of affordable housing, but it is time to stop building affordable housing on the back of works.

Our taxpayer dollars should be building housing that comes with jobs that pay the industry standards and allow working families in the city to breath.

This means creating jobs that provide livable wages, a defined benefit pension, full family health with no employee premiums and more.

At this hearing alone, between this project and others, the Council is considering hundreds of units of affordable housing. All without a commitment to the high role standards that allow families to make it in New York City. The workers at the projects before you today, will likely come from the surrounding communities. They deserve better than publicly finance projects that can pay as low as minimum wage and 32BJ's 35,000 members in the

_ _

residential sector in New York City and our 3,000 members in affordable housing deserve better than to have their wages and benefits standards they have fought for undermined.

It is because of the development like these that Council Member Espinal has introduced a bill Intro 1321, that will close the loophole in the city's existing prevailing wage law and require affordable developments that receive city subsidies to pay workers the prevailing wage standard.

Chair Moya, we greatly appreciate the work that you have done already to lift up this important issue. We are looking forward to working with the City Council to ensure that the affordable housing creates family sustaining jobs citywide.

In the interim, and as a step toward this goal, we are urging you to ensure that the city and the developers commit to prevailing wage standards at affordable projects that come before this committee before they are approved. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you for your testimony.

Are there any other members of the public who
wish to testify? Seeing none, I know close the

truth and nothing but the truth and that you answer

25

2.2

2 all questions truthfully? And please state your name
3 as you respond.

ADAM ROTHKRUG: Yes. Chair Moya, Councilman

Lander, Councilmen my name is Adam Rothkrug. I am

here on behalf of Congregation Chasdei Belz Beth

Malka in connection with the proposed application to

add a C2-4 commercial overlay to an existing R5

zoning district.

The commercial zoning is designed to permit the continued operation of the exiting commercial banquet facility located in the combined cellars of the buildings at 600 McDonald Avenue and 317 Dahill Road in the borough park section of Brooklyn, Community Board District number 12. They're shown on the first slide above the McDonald Avenue being a long building and the Dahill Road building behind that.

In addition, the subject property, the rezoning includes 5 existing buildings along Avenue C, shown on the right there. But similar to the subject, this rezoning will not result in the creation of any new additional floor are as the underlying R5 residential zoning will not be affected and the existing buildings are overbuilt. So that the change will not allow the enlargement of any buildings on the site.

The existing banquet hall approximately 20,000

square feet of gross floor area in the cellar, the

two buildings during the day serves as a cafeteria

for the two schools. It accommodates approximately

6 400 people.

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

At night, it's used for affordable banquet facilities in this area, approximately 150 to 160 events per year. The facility has a place of assembly permit from the Department of Buildings, indicating its compliance with all applicable building and fire safety regulations. Both of these buildings were approved by the Board of Standards and Appeals. At the time that they were approved and built, the facilities really thought that the catering activities were a part of their mission in the community and didn't realize that opening it up from what would be considered as purely accessory activities to activities and events open to the public would create a potential zoning issue. And when one of the buildings went back to the Board of Standards and Appeals for an enlargement, this issue came to light and it was urged that we file this rezoning application to legalize or at least bring the commercial catering into compliance with zoning.

2.2

The area that's proposed to be rezoned is a mixed-use area with the mixed zoning districts all around. After discussions with City Planning, the CT overlay was selected as an appropriate buffer between the subway on McDonald Avenue and as you see manufacturing districts to the west and as well commercial districts and uses in the surround area. Shown on this in the purple, in the orange, in the blue, all indicating non-residential uses.

So, there is no housing proposed as part of this application. This will not result in any changes. The catering facility has actually been operating for about ten years without incident. There were no speakers in opposition at the Community Board. So, we received the support of the Community Board and the Borough President and I want to thank Councilman Lander for working with his office in this interim period while the facility has still been operating while we try to dot the I's and cross the t's. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. I'm just going to turn it over to Council Member Lander.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Thank you Mr. Chair and thank you for this application and moving it forward

and you know look, I feel lucky to represent the strong and vibrant and growing orthodox and Hasidic community including the Belzer community in Borough Park.

It's no secret that creates a set of real estate tensions as there is a need for both school space and event space and that puts you into a dynamic relationship which sometimes is not easy with residential neighbors such as the ones next door here. You know, and I've been able to able in the past to support some projects in the Belz community and sometimes I've had to say, that one is not a good mix with the proposed site obviously for the reasons that you are articulated.

This site is an appropriate one in particular the kind of entry and exit and impacts are on the side with the manufacturing and commercial uses and the subway tracks and not the residential side on Dahill. So, I appreciate all of that. I guess the one thing that I do just want to have you commit to on the record, is the thing that's been part of the dialog with city planning, and that's about offsite parking. Obviously, events like this in some cases, people are walking from nearby and that's wonderful. In some

2.2

cases, just depending on where the bride and groom

and their families live, or whatever the event is,

there are a lot of people coming in cars and that can

be a significant impact on the neighborhood

especially given that often there are school buses,

7 sometimes related to the school, sometimes not

related to the school parked on McDonald.

So, can you just articulate what the applicant has committed to do in terms of offsite parking to make sure we do not have out of control traffic and parking incidents on the night of events.

ADAM ROTHKRUG: Sure, so just for the record, there is no parking technically required under the zoning resolution. With that said, the Board of Standards and Appeals has been very interested in parking as was the borough presidents office.

The facility has leased, and I'll point to here if you can see it, a parking area about a block away, just around the corner. We have a lease for approximately 50 cars that is utilized during the larger events and is available to us for any events.

We did actually as part of the Board of Standard and Appeals process do a on street parking analysis, which found that on event evenings versus non-event

2.2

2 evenings that there is not a significant difference.

3 It's near 100 capacity in the area. We do have the

4 advantage obviously of having McDonald Avenue

5 adjacent to us, which is available for parking, but

6 we are committed to continuing an agreement to

maintain off street parking for our events.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: How long is the duration of the parking agreement?

ADAM ROTHKRUG: So, it's actually a year to year lease. That parking is not used. It's a large building there. It is not used during the evening, so it hasn't been a problem at all. We don't foresee any difficulty continuing to use it on a continuing basis and we are as well always have our eye out for additional facilities as well as we've located an area on the southern portion of our site, that we think that we can kind of move around that might accommodate up to 15 or 20 cars. Obviously, the success of the facility does depend on people being to access it and get there.

So, we are as interested in making it convenient for patrons as well as visitors and again, one of the advantages unfortunately I guess, of being open for a long time is that you are aware if there are problem

or not problems and I think during the course of these proceedings with the exception with the one neighbor that appeared early on and we located our garbage facility and we've taken other steps to address those complaints. We have been well received in the community.

You know, there is another facility that has opened fairly recently and is an event facility not too far away, where the neighbors complain on a very regular basis and in this facility, which has been open now several years and as you say does over 100 events a year, we do not get significant complaints. So, that speaks well to the kind of management and operations that they have in place here and that matters a lot.

And I know that with a continually growing community they will be back for a future additional applications and being in situations that work out these challenges with their neighbors in ways that are productive means a lot when that happens. So, as much as I wish in some ways there were a longer term agreement on the parking, I know with this community that keeping those good relationships is in their own self interest for their own relationships with their

neighbors but also with this Council because we will I'm sure be reviewing future applications with the commitments that are made and that set of understandings. Mr. Chair, I am supportive of this application and will encourage my colleagues to do that same.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. Thank you, Council Member. Thank you for your testimony today. Are there any other members of the public who wish to testify. Seeing none, I now close the public hearing on this application, and it will be laid over. Thank you.

ADAM ROTHKRUG: Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Our next public hearing for today is on LU's 382 through 385 for the Bruckner Boulevard Rezoning in Council Member Diaz's district in the Bronx. The applicant seeks approval of a zoning map amendment to rezone in R5 district to an R7A district and in R7A C2-4 district and a related zoning text amendment application which seeks to map the site in mandatory inclusionary housing area utilizing option one as well as article 12 tax exemption for the home ownership building and for the rental building.

_ ¬

As proposed, these actions would facilitate the development of two buildings including 65 affordable home ownership units, 265 rental units, retail space and 158 parking spaces.

I now open the public hearing on this application but before we begin, I want to read Council Member Diaz's remarks.

2069 Bruckner Boulevard is currently a large plot of land located between two major avenues in my district. The area is largely populated by homeowners. Some apartment buildings nearby and a longstanding Mitchell Lama building just over a block away.

This project plans to facilitate two new buildings which will fit the landscape of the surrounding area. The first building will stand as a 9-story 265 affordable rental unit apartment building with 50 percent of those apartments marked for families earing under 70 percent AMI. My district is a working-class district comprised of families earning low to moderate income, therefore, I am comfortable calling this an affordable project because the AMI bands are truly inclusive of the

COMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 incomes in the area and these apartments will be for 3 the people of this community.

I hope to see this project sets the precedent for the other development projects planning to make their way into district 18, Council district 18. We must continue to fight for projects that are truly affordable, preserve public benefit and keep the people of our community in the community.

The second building is unchartered waters but truly is exciting for the future of housing in New York City. This building will be a 7-story 65 affordable home ownership apartment building. With rising housing costs throughout the city and the nation, it is imperative we offer the tools and resources to our constituents, so that they may become homeowners in this unforgiving market.

I commend HPD for taking this on and it will be the first affordable homeownership in the Bronx through HPD open door program right here in Council District 18. The home ownership units at 2069 Bruckner Boulevard will be subsidized for middle income families to finally achieve the American dream of owning a home but this time at an affordable

2.2

2 price, 5 percent down payment and a fixed interest

3 rate.

2.2

I want to thank Azimuth for being cooperative throughout this process and addressing the communities concerns as well as my own. I want to thank them for committing to a competitive wage and health care for their building workers.

I want to thank Chairman Moya and the entire Land Use team here at the City Council for their tireless work on this project such as these. I look forward to hearing more from the public and more importantly my constituents on this project as this project moves along.

Thank you, Council Member Diaz District 18 in the Bronx.

We have Frank St, Jacques, is that it? Guido Subotovsky, thank you, sorry. Genevieve Michel, Alice Friedman.

Council can you please swear in the panel.

CLERK: Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth and that you will answer all questions truthfully and please state your name as you respond.

COMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 FRANK ST. JACQUES: Frank St. Jacques, yes.

GUIDO SUBOTOVSKY: Guido Subotovsky, yes.

GENEVIEVE MICHEL: Genevieve Michel, yes.

ALICE FRIEDMAN: Alice Friedman, yes.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you.

2.1

2.2

GENEVIEVE MICHEL: So, since there are technically two items here, I have separate testimony on each, but I will read them guickly.

In conjunction with the private application for a project known as Bruckner Apartments located at Block 3797 Part of Lot 33, Land Use Number 384 seeks Article 11 tax benefits for the proposed exemption area in the sound view section of the Bronx in Council District 18. This portion of the project will be a rental building that will be developed under HPD's mix and match program.

HPD's mix and match program provides funds for new construction of mixed income multi-family rental projects in which 50 percent of the units are affordable to low income households and 50 percent of units would have rents affordable to moderate and/or middle-income households. The developers proposing to construct a multiple dwelling that will provide 265 units of rental housing and one commercial

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

2 facility space. The unit mixture will include 56 3 studios, 111 one-bedroom, 79 two-bedrooms and 18 4 three-bedroom apartments as well as one two-bedroom unit for the super. In an effort to assist with continued affordability of the rental units, HPD is 6 7 before the Council seeking full article 11 tax benefits that will coincide with the regulatory 8 agreement for a term of 40 years. 9 The estimated

Land Use Number 385 is also related to the private application submitted by Azimuth Development seeking Article 11 tax benefits for the proposed exemption area located at Block 3797, part of Lot 33, in the sound view section of the Bronx in Council District 18.

cumulative value of the tax exemption is 53 million

205 thousand 312 dollars with a net present value of

13 million 652 thousand 390 dollars.

This portion of the project which is known as 2069 Bruckner Boulevard will facilitate new construction of a multiple dwelling that will be developed under HPD's open door homeownership program. Upon construction completion, the developer will sell the ownership units to households who agree to owner occupy their units for the length of

regulatory period. If the purchaser sells or refinances during the regulatory period, the purchaser may recapture up to 2 percent of appreciation on the original purchase price per year of owner occupancy.

Additionally, upon resale, the purchaser will be required to sell to a household making no more than the project income cap. Currently, the sponsor is proposing to develop a building on part of the site that will provide 65 units of cooperative housing. The current unit mixture types include 16 one-bedroom, 33 two-bedroom and 15 three-bedroom apartments. Anticipated maintenance will average \$606. No commercial or community facility space is contemplated for this portion of the project.

In an effort to assist with affordability, of the homeownership units, HPD is before the Council seeking full Article 11 tax benefits that will coincide with the regulatory agreement for a term of 40 years. The estimate cumulative value of the tax exemption is 7 million 454 thousand 893 dollars with a net present value of 1 million 968 thousand 692 dollars.

2.2

I just realize I didn't hand these in, so I will do that now.

FRANK ST. JACQUES: Thanks Genevieve. Good
morning Chair Moya. Again, my name is Frank St.

Jacques from Akerman LLP appearing on behalf of
Azimuth Development Group LLC. I am joined by Guido
Subotovsky of Azimuth.

I will run through the Land Use actions in the application and then we are all available to answer any questions.

So, as you can see on the slide, outlined in red, the proposed rezoning area and development site are located in the sound view neighborhood of the Bronx in Community District 9 at 269 Bruckner Boulevard which is bounded by Bruckner Boulevard to the south, Olmstead Avenue to the east, Chatterton Avenue to the north and aligned approximately 300 feet from Olmstead Avenue to the west.

The Bruckner Expressway as you can see on the graph there, is located immediately to the south.

The development site shown on the area Land Use Map; it's located within an R5 zoning district that is mapped generally north of Bruckner Boulevard. There are existing commercial overlays mapped to the west

2.1

2.2

2 Pugsley Avenue along Bruckner Boulevard and Castle
3 Hill Avenue to the east.

The development site again, is within an R5
zoning district. It is also within the transit zone
and in an area where fresh discretionary tax
incentives are available. The site is approximately
61,000 square foot rectangular corner and through
lot. It had approximately 289 feet of frontage on
Bruckner Boulevard and Chatterton Avenue and 211 feet
of frontage on Olmstead Avenue.

Bruckner Boulevard and Olmstead Avenue are both wide streets pursuant to zoning and Chatterton Avenue is a narrow street. The site is currently improved with a one-story building with a large service parking lot. It was previously used as a supermarket and most recently as a house of worship. The site is near public transit and it's approximately a half a mile south of the Park Chester Station serving the six-subway line and it's also in close proximity to several bus lines including express routes to midtown Manhattan.

So, the applicant is seeking two actions today in addition to the Article 11. A zoning map amendment to change the current R5 zoning district to R7A with

a C2-4 overlay map from Bruckner Boulevard to the center line of the site and to adapt the 100 feet from Olmstead Avenue. And the applicant is also seeking a zoning text amendment to establish a mandatory inclusionary housing area and MIH area with MIH option one.

The zoning change map illustrates the proposed zoning change. The existing zoning is shown on the left-hand side of the screen and the proposed zoning is shown on the right-hand side of the screen. The proposed zoning change from R5 to R7A and R7A C2-4.

The proposed zoning change is also shown on the tax map and you can see that the commercial overlay which is represented with hatching extends sort of in an L shape along the wide streets in the site.

The proposed actions would facilitate the development of two new buildings at the development site. A 7-story residential building on Chatterton and a 9-story mixed use building on the south and east portions of the site along Bruckner and Olmstead.

Overall, the proposed development would contain approximately 281 thousand square feet of total floor area. That's including approximately 263 thousand

square feet of residential floor area and approximately 18,000 square feet of ground floor, commercial floor area along Bruckner Boulevard and Olmstead Avenue within the Commercial overlay.

In total the proposed development would provide 330 housing units. Approximately 83 would be permanently income restricted units under MIH option one, that's about 25 percent. With an addition approximately 50 permanently income restricted units per HPD policy, that's an additional 15 percent that's resulting in approximately 133 permanently income restricted units at the site.

So, as I noted, these actions would facilitate the development of two buildings. The first building is a 7-story residential building on Chatterton Avenue on the north side of the site that has 65 units, approximately 64,000 square feet of residential floor area. The building is 70-feet tall but steps down to 50-feet or 5-stories at the western edge of the site. The building will have a primarily brick facade and incorporate articulation and other materials to create visual interest and break up the massing.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

2.2

23

24

25

This building as noted, will be financed through HPD's open door home ownership program marketed to households earning between 80 and 90 percent of AMI.

The second building is a 9-story mixed use residential and commercial building wrapping the Bruckner Avenue and Olmstead Avenue frontages of the It has 265 units, approximately 198,000 square feet of residential floor area and again approximately 18,000 square feet of commercial floor area on the ground floor. The ground floor will be a qualifying ground floor and will be glazed for transparency both to activate the street scape and enhance the pedestrian experience along Bruckner and Olmstead. This building is 95-feet tall above a 75foot base and there is 10-foot setbacks on each frontage. It also steps down to the western edge of the site to 55-feet or 5-stories. This building uses different colors of brick and metal paneling along with articulation and has been mentioned, it will be financed through HPD's mix and rental program.

So, I'll just quickly run through the next few slides. The site plain here illustrated the various heights of the buildings, the setbacks and the step downs and side yard on the western edge of the site

at the proposed zoning district boundary that's pursuant to the transition rule and the zoning resolution that the building is required to step down adjacent to the existing R5 district. The applicant has proposed decking over the at grade parking which is shown here at the center of the site plan and the next few pages are floor plans showing the cellar first and second floors, which will show how the proposed parking is treated at the site.

So, here is the cellar plan. Parking will be provided in a cellar level parking garage which serves both buildings. There is 99 self-parking spaces in the cellar. On the next slide, the first-floor plan, there will be 60 additional spaces at grade. These are also self-park and they will be fully enclosed. As you can see here on the second-floor plan, the parking enclosure will create a landscaped open space above the parking on the first floor.

So, the next few slides are schematic massing's of the proposed development from different views.

Here is the building shown from the south and you can see how the massing of the building is concentrated along the Bruckner frontage and along Olmstead, the

not our only project in construction. Actually, in

25

project.

25

2.1

2.2

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Okay, so what will building service workers earn in this project if it is a non-prevailing wage?

GUIDO SUBOTOVSKY: So, the buildings are going to be staffed with one Super per building and somewhere between three and four Porters per building. A Super would earn a salary of approximately \$55,000 per year plus they would receive a two-bedroom apartment of course, free of charge. The market rent for such an apartment is in the neighborhood of —

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: You said a two-bedroom?

GUIDO SUBOTOVSKY: Yeah, I believe it was a two-bedroom apartment.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: And maybe I saw it wrong, but it said Super and it was designated with a one-bedroom apartment. Did I see that wrong in the breakdown of apartments? It includes Supers units and it says one-bedroom.

GENEVIEVE MICHEL: I believe it's a two-bedroom in a rental.

GUIDO SUBOTOVSKY: Oh, I'm sorry, one of the buildings is a one, one of the buildings is a two.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Right, okay, I just wanted to make sure.

COMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.1

GUIDO SUBOTOVSKY: Yeah, no, we're good, apologies. And then in addition we provide health care benefits for both Supers and their families which is just something we do as a matter of course in all of our projects. The total pay package when you consider the salary, the apartment and the health care, come into a little bit over \$90,000 for a Super.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: What is the employee share that cost. So, in terms of them paying into health insurance?

GUIDO SUBOTOVSKY: No, we cover the cost of the health care. One of the new things that we've done throughout our buildings, we are just now in the process of instituting opportunities for things like 401K and programs of that nature for our Supers and service workers.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Okay, well, that was my next questions if you were planning on providing retirement benefits.

22 GUIDO SUBOTOVSKY: Read you mind.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Yeah, and then what are your plans to address the Community Boards request for this project? We've talked a little bit about the

prevailing wage, we talked about the two non-forprofits that are here, but what about the set aside
for retail community space for community programming
that would go specifically for senior and veterans
preference? Also, the contribution annually to the
closest neighboring schools, parking allotment and
over here, they have also the commitment to create
high quality building service jobs that pay union
standard salaries and benefits?

GUIDO SUBOTOVSKY: So, you know again, we've had several conversations with Community Board 9 throughout the life of this project, having presented to them informally several times prior to Ulurp.

Some of the requests that came up in the Community Board Recognition came a little late in the game and we are not initially part of our discussions with them. However, just to address them individually, the idea of providing community space for programming and space for local groups is something that we did not have a problem with. We also did it for them or are doing it at 1755 Watson down the block. The contributions to the Parks, Virginia Park and Hugh Grant Circle, you know again, is not something that was discussed. It's not something that's present in

1 70 2 our project underwriting however, it is something that we are emendable to discussing just as a matter 3 of being a stakeholder in the community and 4 contributions of this nature or something that we do 5 again, just as a matter of course. 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Also, the questions about the parking available for the retail, you know, we have plenty of parking on site of course as well.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: So, are you saying that you've closed conversations that this project is going to be a non-union project. That you are not paying prevailing wage on this for service workers on this project or is there conversations going on. Can you kind of walk me through where you guys are at?

GUIDO SUBOTOVSKY: Conversations are ongoing as the project has a little bit of a ways to go. By the time we get to construction and closing, but at this time, it is not a prevailing wage project.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Okay, thank you very much. Thank you for your testimony today. Thank you for being here.

GUIDO SUBOTOVSKY: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Are there any other members of the public who wish to testify? Seeing none, I now

COMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 71 close this hearing on this application, and it will be laid over.

Please note that we will laying over Resolutions

748, and authorizing resolution pursuant to section 363 of the City Charter also known as the Staten Island Bus Franchising Resolution. We are also laying over LU's 373, 374 and 375 for the Blondell Commons rezoning in the Bronx and we are also laying over LU's 379 and 380 for the 1640 Flatbush Avenue Rezoning.

This concludes today's meeting and I would like to thank the members of the public, my colleagues, the Council and Land Use Staff for always doing a great job to make this body run. This meeting is now adjourned. [GAVEL]

${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date April 1, 2018