CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON JUSTICE SYSTEM

----- X

April 10, 2019 Start: 1:09 p.m. Recess: 3:30 p.m.

HELD AT: Committee Room - City Hall

B E F O R E: CARLOS MENCHACA

Chairperson

RORY I. LANCMAN Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS: Andrew Cohen

Daniel Dromm
Brad S. Lander
Alan N. Maisel
Deborah L. Rose
Eric A. Ulrich

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Mizue Aizeki, Acting Deputy Director, Immigrant Defense Project

Terry Lawson, Director of the Family and Immigration Unit of Bronx Legal Services, Office of Legal Services NYC, & Co-leader of Bronx Immigration Partnership

Bitta Mostofi, Mayor's Commissioner Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs, MOIA

Richard Bailey, Supervising Attorney, Padilla Practice of the Immigration Unit at Brooklyn Defender Services

Jill Wildman, Immigration Monument, Legal Aid Society

Rosa Cohen-Cruz, Padilla Supervisor, Immigration Practice Bronx Defenders

Evan Bernstein, Regional Director, Anti-Defamation League, ADL's New York & New Jersey Office

Yvonne Chen, Outreach Manager of the Anti-Trafficking Initiative, Sanctuary for Families

Susanna Saul, Managing Attorney at Her Justice

Shani Adess, Associate Director, Matrimonial and Family Law Unit, New York Legal Assistance Group, NYLAG

Atosa Moula Heddi. (sp?), Director of Legal Service & Development, Urban Justice Center

Fernanda Hidskin (sp?), Immigration Attorney Council of Peoples Organization

Greg Waldman, G1 Quantum (Clean Energy Company)

[sound check] [pause] [background comments/pause] [gavel]

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Buenos tardes everyone. Buenos tardes and really excited to be here today on a very important topic. My name is Carlos Menchaca, New York City Council Member, and Chair of the Immigration Committee. I want to thank my Co-Chair for this committee, the Justice-the Justice Committee with Rory-Rory Lancman and Council Member from Queens. Just shy of two years ago in 2017, Chair Lancman and I held the first joint public hearing documenting ICE presence in New York courts, five months into the current federal administration. Today, we are back to hear a full report of both the rise in ICE presence at courthouses across the city, and the detrimental impact ICE presents or the ICE presence has had on our Justice System. Additionally, we are here to make a case for the state to pass legislation, and the Office of Court Administration to promulgate rules that would protect the sanctity of our state courts and the Criminal Justice System. As such, the Committee on Immigration will also be holding our first hearing

today on Resolution 828, co-sponsored by myself and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Chair-Chair Lancman calling on the State Legislature to pass and the Governor to sign the Protect Our Courts Act. In order to protect certain interested parties or people from civil rest-civil arrest while going to, remaining at or returning from the place of such court proceeding. Immediately after the 2017 Presidential Inauguration, the Trump Administration laid out its masked deportation agenda in an Executive Order. It was called Enhancing Public Safety in the Interior of the United States. agenda included among other things following immigrants at their state level court appearances in Criminal Court, Civil Courts such as Family Court and problem solving courts such as Human Trafficking Court. This was a distinct shift from immigration enforcement under the Obama Administration, and since 20-since 2016, the Immigrant Defense Project, IDP has documented an increase of a-of a 1,736%. It's 1,736% increase in ICE Courthouse enforcement, and in and around our state courts. A majority of these reports come from New York City with Brooklyn and Queens reporting the largest number of arrests. We will hear extensive reports today from IDP as well as the Bronx Legal Services-Bronx Legal Services and many of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

the other social and legal service providers that have seen clients affected by this policy shift, and this is a shift that's happening on a daily basis, and we want to hear from all of you, and what I want to make sure that we all understand today is that immigration enforcement at the State Courthouses is incompatible with the functioning of our Justice Law enforcement agencies from the District Attorney Offices to the Attorney General's Office have publicly condemned ICE for disrupting the trust between New York's immigrant residents and law enforcement. We hear stories of individuals who forego calling the police when they are victims of crimes or involved in domestic disputes for fear that ICE will show up at a related court proceeding. Public Defender organizations and judges have also reported how ICE's recent tactics have interfered with the administration of justice. There has been a measurable drop in participation in Criminal Justice programs in problem solving courts, and Civil Courts as a result of ICE presence. These strains on our justice system can make-can only make our city less safe. ICE agents attempted to arrest a woman in Queens Human Trafficking court last summer, creating

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON JUSTICE SYSTEM 1 2 such a panic that other trafficking survivors were terrified to leave the courtroom. 3 ICE is eavesdropping on privileged attorney-client 4 5 conversations, and literally stalking attorneys to 6 arrest their clients. ICE is increasing their use of 7 force and surveillance with agents surrounding individuals with guns drawn. One woman in Brooklyn 8 had her son snatched by plan clothes ICE agents, and 9 10 thrown into a car. They shoved her against a wall and repeatedly told her to shut up. She thought here 11 12 son had been kidnapped until she called here-until they called her from the ICE Detention—the Center. 13 14 These are traumatic experiences ICE is putting on our community-communities, and this is very-this is a 15 16 very clear indication of a rogue agency, rogue operation, and with the sole mission of deporting as 17 18 many people as possible with no care for due process. So, we are here and we are watching, and we will make 19 20 sure that we rise up, and that we raise our collectcollective voices that we can bring attention to the 21 2.2 issue, and call for ICE to be held accountable. 23 will not stand by, and let this happen. I want to thank all the advocates that are here today, and 24

you've been with us not just in these public

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

hearings, but you've been working with us at the City Council, and all the other advocates and leaders in government because it is important to know that it is not just an over-exaggerated sporadic telling of stories that we are hearing. This is happening everyday, and it's happening to all our communities, and there's clear data that shows how disruptive and destructive ICE's tactics are. This is a deliberate attack on our city and our state. I'll repeat that. It's a deliberate attack. This is a strategy. This is the deportation machine. So, and-and this more a fact: ICE has stated in its own policies that courthouse arrests are a direct result of the increasing unwillingness of some jurisdictions to cooperate with ICE. That's New York City. We have made it law, and because of that, some law enforcement agencies are no longer on our ICE detainers or limit ICE's excess—access to detention facilities, and we're proud of that here in the New York-in New York City. In ICE's own words they are targeting sanctuary cities for intrusion in courthouse proceedings. They're making a direct connection, and we will not stand by and let this federal administration continue to target our

2.2

resident, our families and our neighbors. So, I want to thank everyone who prepared this hearing, my Chief of Staff Sochi Meng; my Communications Director and brand new father Tony Charito and the whole committee staff, the Committee Counsel, Haryanvi Arusha (sp?); Committee Policy Analyst Elizabeth Kronk and the staff—and the entire staff of the Justice System Committee. With that, I'm going to hand it over to my Co-Chair, Rory Lancman.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Thank you, Council
Member Menchaca. Good afternoon everyone. I'm
Councilman Rory Lancman, Chair of the Committee on
the Justice System. We've been joined by our newest
member of our committee Danny Dromm. Welcome, and
thank you to Menchaca for leading this important
joint hearing on ICE in New York Courthouses. Almost
two years ago, our two committees held a hearing
about what was then the recently expanded practice of
sending ICE agents into our courts. Since then, our
judicial system has been even more seriously
undermined by the insidious predatory practices of
ICE agents who stalk our courthouses and make
defendants and litigants, victims and witnesses
afraid to appear. It make our society less fair and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

all of us less safe. Thanks to the meaningful work of the ICE Out of Courts Coalition and a report that they released today, we know that since 2016, there has been a 1736% increase in ICE operations in and around New York's courthouses. In Queens alone 60 people have actually been arrested in the last two year, but how many others have been scared off or =had to weight showing up against possibly never returning home. The fear pervades every aspect of our Justice System stretching far beyond criminal defendants. District attorneys have talked about how immigrant victims are less likely to report crimes leaving perpetrators unaccountable for their actions. Between 2016 and 2018, there was a 72% decline in U Visa requests, legal visas available to crime victims. Fifty-six percent of legal services providers and advocates say their clients are afraid of even filing a complaint in Housing Court. The city's Family Justice Centers, which provide services to victims of domestic violence and sex trafficking, but are not official-officially affiliated with the court system even saw a 10% decline in new foreign born clients from 2016 to 2017. Our judicial system breaks down when defendants ignore court appearances

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON 1 JUSTICE SYSTEM 11 2 and prosecutors cannot get victims and witnesses to testify when domestic abusers can get-can act with 3 impunity or when people refuse to cooperate. 4 5 Unfortunately, many immigrants must make the decision 6 to avoid the justice system or risk detention or 7 deportation at the courthouse door. Today, we are also here in support of the Protect Our Courts Act, a 8 bill in the State Legislature to exempt individuals 9 10 from civil arrest while going to, remaining at or returning from the place of such court proceeding 11 12 unless agents provide a judicial warrant [coughs] a judicial warrant or a court order authorizing the 13 14 arrest. Any person attending court and proceeding in 15 good faith should have access to due process, and 16 public safety. The Protect our Courts Act will ensure that court system operates effectively. It is 17 18 gratifying to see that the state is taking steps to address this enormous problem, but we have the 19 20 ability to call for change right here in our city. Our District Attorneys in particular must use every 21 2.2 tool at their disposal to limit the effect of ICE's 23 action on immigrant New Yorkers. That includes immigration sensitive charging, plea office-offers 24 and sentencing. Working with defenders to reduce the 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

number of unnecessary in-person court appearances, and declining to prosecute low-level cases that shouldn't be handled by Criminal Justice System in the first place. District attorneys can play a critical role in fighting Trump's deportation machine. We look forward to hearing from Legal Services providers, immigrant advocacy organizations, and other about what they are seeing in our courthouses and immigrant communities, and what steps the city and other governmental actors can take to defend the integrity of our judicial system. with that, let me also recognize that we have our other new member to our Committee on the Justice System, Council Member Brad Lander from Brooklyn, and if you don't mind, I will introduce my colleague from Queens, Council Member Francisco Moya. Mr. Chairman.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: My Chair, could I just say it's an honor to join this committee, and I look forward to serving today. We have a hearing next door as well so I'll be back and forth, but I'm looking forward to serving on it.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you. We're going to-we're going to hand -we are going to call up our first panel, a public panel, ad we're really

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON 1 JUSTICE SYSTEM 13 2 excited because we're going to get to get to hear from you in the report, and other work. We can all up 3 the Immigrant Defense Project Mizue Aizeki and then 4 5 Ms. Terry Lawson from the Legal Services New York 6 City to come on up. [pause] Who wants to start? 7 MIZUE AIZEKI: [off mic] Thank you for 8 inviting us. CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Red-red-red light 9 10 on, and then bring it closer to you. MIZUE AIZEKI: [on mic] Bring it closer-11 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: There you go. 12 MIZUE AIZEKI: Yes. 13 14 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: I can hear you 15 now. There you go. 16 MIZUE AIZEKI: [interposing] And it was on top of my head right there. Okay, sorry-17 18 apologies. I'm recovering from a cold so I'm a little congested, but thank you for having me. 19 20 thank you very much to the Immigration and Criminal Justice Committee for giving IDP the opportunity to 21 2.2 speak today about this critical and urgent issue. 23 name is Mizue Aizeki. I'm the Acting Executive Director of the Immigrant Defense Project, an 24

organization that focuses to expand and protect the

25

1	COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON JUSTICE SYSTEM 14
2	rights of people caught at this intersection of the
3	Criminal, Legal and Immigration systems. As the
4	Council Member mentioned in the beginning, IDP has
5	been tracing ICE Enforcement Operations in New York
6	for a number of years, and we specifically monitored
7	ICE presence and activity in and around the
8	courthouses. In the report, which is available
9	today, The Courthouse Trap in January. I thought I
LO	had a copy to show that. Now here it is. IDP
L1	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: and for tall the
L2	members, it's this one on your-on your
L3	MIZUE AIZEKI: [interposing] That's-
L 4	that's Terry's.
L5	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Well, that's both.
L 6	Okay, there's two.
L 7	MIZUE AIZEKI: This is the January one.
L 8	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Okay, that's the
L 9	January one. That I don't have.
20	MIZUE AIZEKI: [interposing] you know,
21	every three months we're going to issue a report. No
22	I'm not. Okay.
23	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: [interposing] I'll
24	agree to that. (sic)

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MIZUE AIZEKI: [laughter] Okay, so this is a-this is documenting ICE activity in and around courthouses over the past two years, and as the Council Member mention, we've found a 1700% increase since the Trump Administration started, and I think this point about targeting cities like New York that are working and trying to protect immigrants rights is a really important point to bring out. This is a deportation machine that does not discriminate against to or targets, but also, you know, the very heart of it is to devalue people, and I think that the many stories that we here, and also the report that Terry is going to talk about and also the testimony from us and other coalition members and allies today just really highlights, you know, the dehumanizing process, and how it's really tearing away at the fabric of our communities. So, just a couple highlights from the report. You know, one of the things that we've documented is that ICE has, you know, in addition to targeting courthouses, they've also become very aggressive in their practices. You mentioned the story of the mother that was pushed against the wall by ICE. We've also seen, you know, individuals dragged from their cars, people-ICE

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON JUSTICE SYSTEM 16 pulling guns on people at the courthouse.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

You know, and then I think another significant trend that this legislation addresses is that ICE has expanded its practice to not only sitting inside courthouses waiting for people to arrest, but also arresting people on their way to court, and also after leaving court as well. And so, you know, ICE has sent a very clear message to immigrant Communities and the jurisdictions that safeguard their rights that nobody is to be valued. No one is to be safe, and I just want to highlight, you know, that there have been calls made from judges. You know, 70 judges from across the country issued a letter in December callfrom 23 states calling on ICE to stop this practice. [coughing] As you can see in this report and the one that we issue today, district attorneys and attorney generals and the Anti-Violence advocates and public defenders have been also calling for ICE to end this practice ,and so since ICE has made it clear that it does not see itself as accountable to anybody. Waiting for ICE to change its own policy is foolish at best, and at worst dangerously complicit. So, IDP thanks the City Council for recognizing this urgency,

24

25 and for considering the proposal. The Resolution a

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON 1 JUSTICE SYSTEM 17 2 report of the Protect Our Court Acts. Just to reiterate some of the things that this bill does, 3 4 this bill responds to ICE's unlawful courthouse arrest practice by requiring a judicial warrant or 5 court order for a civil arrest of anyone attending 6 7 court. Because ICE has stated that no group of immigrants is off limits, the bill protects 8 litigants, witnesses and even those who accompany 9 individuals to court. The bill also makes sure that 10 if federal agents willfully violate the law, that 11 there is cause for action for that violation. 12 enforcement provisions provide meaningful resolutions 13 and meaningful recognition of immigrants' rights 14 15 under the law. 16 MALE SPEAKER: [off mic] --with a twin 17 baby boy who is swaddled in her arms. So that is a 18 way to answer. (sic) 19 MIZUE AIZEKI: Okay, uh--20 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: [interposing] Let's make sure that this-that doesn't open again to 21 2.2 these. Thank you. 23 MIZUE AIZEKI: I thought it was someone 24 heckling me. I just couldn't-- [laughter] Alright,

the bill also-sorry-the bill also ameliorates the

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

confusion and chaos caused by the disruptions to court function caused by ICE arrest. It creates a clear protocol for court staff to follow regarding civil immigration enforcement operations and requires law enforcement agents to present a judicial warrant or court order. So, thank you again for your attention to this issue.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA:} Thank \ you \ for \\$ that. Terry.

TERRY LAWSON: Thank you for this opportunity to testify about ICE operations in and around New York courts. My name is Terry Lawson. I'm the Director of the Family and Immigration Unit of Bronx Legal Services, an office of Legal Services NYC. I also co-lead the Bronx Immigration Partnership, and that work of over 20 organizations and agencies working together to create the coordinated legal safety net of legal and special services for Bronx residents. Today, we provide you with and we make public a report entitled Safeguarding the Integrity of Our Courts, the impact of ICE courthouse operations in New York State, and we have copies on this table if people would like to pick up a copy. This report is the first of its

2.2

23

24

25

kind, a true collaborative effort of the most unlikely allies including prosecutors, public defenders, anti-violence attorneys, immigration advocates and judges all of whom care about the integrity of the court, and what happens when ICE is allowed to patrol the court as their own personal hunting ground. This report documents what we have been seeing and saying since January 2017 that the dramatic rise in ICE courthouse operations damages the New York Unified Court system. Courthouse operations are up 1700%, as Mizue said, since 2016. Visits by new foreign born residents are down 10% in New York Family Justice Center as Council Member Lancman told the audience to begin with, and there was 100% decline in victims of crime seeking U Visa certifications in Manhattan Family Court. I am here today to ask the New York City Council to urge the New York State Office of Court Administration to adopt two court rules. The first court rule would require a judicial warrant for ICE to make an arrest in New York State Courthouse, and the second court rule would prohibit New York Court employees from assisting ICE. For the past 2-1/2 years we have all been watching access to New York State Court

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

deteriorate. Advocates of all kinds, many of whom are here today have testified before the City Council. We have held press conferences on the City Hall-City Hall steps outside. We have walked out of courthouses. We have conducted surveys, and we have written reports and op-eds. We have told countless stories about how the lack of court rules hurts plaintiffs and defendants, petitioners and respondents, witnesses and their family members how the lack of court rules hurts prosecutors and public defenders, judges and court officers, anti-violence advocates and housing attorneys, but most importantly how it hurts the judiciary, the branch of the government that is supposed to protect our most fundamental rights. The time to act is now. We cannot wait to see how much more ICE will erode access to our courts, how they will manipulate within and around the public property of the New York State Courthouses before taking action. Enough is enough. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Well, I want to thank both of you and we have a few questions before we lay off and thanks for just setting the tone for not just research, gut the work that we're all going

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON 1 JUSTICE SYSTEM 2.1 2 to be doing together to get this bill passed at the city level, and this is the only space that is 3 4 focused on immigration through the Immigration Committee, and this is joint project, but this just a 5 moment to realize that not even the state has an 6 7 immigration committee in the Assembly and the Senate, and this is where we get to talk about it and-and 8 really galvanize our communities to support this kind 9 10 of political campaign push to pass this bill. We've been joined by Council Member Rose and Council Member 11 12 Miller, and thank you for being here today. So, mymy first question is really about the-the-the kind of 13 14 expression of data that shows the problem solving 15 courts and providers of court mandated community 16 service. Have you heard that this has resulted in fewer pleas that involve community service or-or 17 18 treatment? MIZUE AIZEKI: Yes, that is what their 19 20 intention is. CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: [interposing] Can 21 2.2 you talk a little bit about what-what that-what that 23 is? MIZUE AIZEKI: Yes, so what—what you see 24

in the report is a discussion about how-because ICE

25

_

is being observed in the courthouses and where a lot of the community programs are also operating in the courthouses, when people see ICE in the courthouses or in the hallways outside of these programs, it does discourage defendants from opting in to these community programs, and my colleagues who are public defenders who are in the office can certainly talk about that more.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And can you walk us through—the bill, the—the impact of the bill on the day—to—day operations from your perspective on the defender side. What—what changes, the kind of mechanics of—or how—the court system can work differently with this bill? Is there like a sense of expectation that you have from the bill itself right now?

MIZUE AIZEKI: Well I--

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: [interposing] That you can articulate?

MIZUE AIZEKI: I'll try my best. Just to be clear, I work with a lot of lawyers, but I'm not one so take that with a grain of whatever you want to take.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: [interposing]

That's fine and we're going to asking those questions of everybody because we want to get a sense about the actual impact—

MIZUE AIZEKI: [interposing] Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: --for people to get excited about it getting on the campaign and pushing for this.

MIZUE AIZEKI: You know, I think that the reason why this bill is so important in many ways. Like as we know, ICE is terrorizing our communities in many places. This is one place where the government can actually say there's a government function here that we need to protect, and this is why this bill can happen. I think-so, you know, this judicial warrant requirement a lot of times it—what happens and—and I—I assume the defenders and some of the defenders and people who will speak to it there's a lot of confusion with ICE comes to the court because they don't have uniforms on, right? They often don't announce themselves. We heard of an incident recently upstate where they just grabbed someone waiting on line for municipal ID that was offered to undocumented people trying to rip off his

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

shirt to see if they could identify who he was, right, and so this is a type of havoc. People were running around and upset. You know, I think the idea that there—there will be some kind of set of rules that ICE has to obey in addition to having a mechanism for staying. If ICE doesn't obey this, then they can be sued, right. That just creates a different playing field I feel like in terms of a level of accountability that doesn't exist for ICE at this point, right? I think also in our experience like court officers even though there is a protocol there's no real clear sense of what am I supposed to do when ICE comes here. Right, and so, you know, we feel like this is a-a positive both for people attending court, people representing people who are in court as well as the people who work there that this a baselined expectation for how our court is supposed to function, and ICE is only able to come here under very particular circumstances.

TERRY LAWSON: And think Council Member

Lancman said something earlier in his remarks about

how many—how many more people have stayed home? How

many more people do we not—have we not heard from, do

we not know what the impact is, and as a practitioner

who operates both in Family Court and in Immigration Court, to not be able to say to my clients that there is a rule that says, you know, unless there's a judicial—a judicial warrant that has your name on it, you're not going to be taken out of a New York Family Court when you go there to get an order of protection or to get custody of your child. That is what we've been pointing at for the last 2-1/2 years that lack of guidance of a rule, of something that we can say to our clients, Look, we want this judicial warrant requirement because we want to be able to explain to people what's going to happen. Obviously, a judicial rule or requirement doesn't stop ICE from coming into the court, but it does prevent this feeling of a

MIZUE AIZEKI: Can I just add one more point in terms of the Legislation. I mean I think it's really important at this point that we raised about how ICE, you know, they surveil people at court, and they wait for the—the best moment to arrest them. Sometimes they think it's inside the courthouse. Sometimes they think—and most often it's outside of the courthouse, and so what this legislation would do is ensure that anyone attending

free-for-all whenever ICE does enter the building.

court on your way there or leaving is equally protected under the fact.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Chair Lancman.

what conversations or cooperation you've gotten from the different district attorney's offices in—in the—the city in terms of making some of the recommendations happen as well as just collecting information to put the report together?

TERRY LAWSON: Yeah, absolutely going to So, we-I'm just going to sort of lay it on do it. the table. So, we have had several-several meetings over the last 2-1/2 years both with district attorneys' offices, with the Attorney General's office, with the OCA itself, and we had meetings with OCA in which OCA said to us, we want to hear about what the district attorneys' offices say. We want to hear it, you know, we want to know we want to know what is being said all over the state, right? And we need data. We need information, and so this report Safeguarding our Courts is a response to those meetings with OCA in which they asked for us to get data. So, then we went our to the district attorneys offices, which IDP and other had been advocating with

2.2

for months and months, and said, Look, we have this call to action from OCA to—to find data and really show what the effect is, and to put into numbers what that chilling effect is, right, which is so hard to prove a negative and so the district attorneys offices were very responsive to us, and were—were willing to provide us the data that you see in this report. Some district attorneys offices didn't have their immigrant affairs offices as up and running as others. So, their data wasn't as robust as others just because they were newer, but every district attorney offices that we reached out to provided us data that is now contained in this report. So, it was a very collaborative effort.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: I attended a [coughs] a press conference I don't know, a month, six weeks ago in support of the Albany legislation, and in attendance were the district attorneys from Bronx, Brooklyn and Manhattan--

TERRY LAWSON: Uh-hm.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: The DA's offices can speak for themselves, but what's your assessment of, you know, each office's willingness to make the accommodations that they can make to try to protect

of them are happening here in our city, and so

what's-what's the responsibility of the city and the

24

25

statewide action at the local level?

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MIZUE AIZEKI: Sure. I always say this at every meeting if they arrested less people the city, then we'd have a sort of problem. [laughter] But—

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Yes.

TERRY LAWSON: But I-I think that's part of it honestly. I say it kind of jokingly, but I'm also saying it seriously, and I know that there have been efforts made by the city to think about things that, you know, where people do not need to be brought into a precinct and fingerprinted, or where people can be not even issued a summons if it's not like certain quality of life offences. So, I think that that's something that we definitely support, and appreciate. I think that another issue that we have to be sensitive of is like ICE receives information from a lot of different place, right. So, I think that this is why it's been so important for us to advocate in terms of the detainer advocacy right, right, to not have people being brought into the precinct unnecessarily because even if NYPD doesn't want to do anything, those fingerprints are getting sent to ICE with whatever other data that they're

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON 1 JUSTICE SYSTEM 30 2 collecting. So, I think part of this vision is like to really think about how when we think about making 3 our communities really safer for everybody like what 4 5 are the different things that maybe are feeding into 6 the system that ICE is able to so effectively tap 7 into. I think that I am-I hope to continue conversations about it. 8 MIZUE AIZEKI: And I'll also just say, 9 10 you know, our city partners have been wonderful. All of the city agencies that we reached out to have been 11 12 really great in working with us. You know, they-they continue to collect their own data, and we are eager 13 14 to continue to partner with them in sort of 15 understanding the impact that this continues to have 16 on our community. 17 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Is any of that 18 data in this report as well? They-my understanding is 19 MIZUE AIZEKI: 20 they'll will be testifying about their-the data that they've been collecting. 21 2.2 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Okay, great. 23 We'll ask about that. Okay thank you so much. 24 TERRY LAWSON: Thank you very much.

MIZUE AIZEKI:

Thank you.

25

2.2

next—our next panel is the Administration and we're really excited to have Commissioner Mostofi to—to speak with us, and—and present. We have also been joined by Council Member Gjonaj from the Bronx, and I think that's everyone—oh and Council Member Eugene from Brooklyn. Commissioner, when you're ready. Oh, we're going to do an oath. Where is it? Oh, you can just do it, right.

LEGAL COUNSEL: Please raise your right hand. Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in your testimony before these committees, and to respond honestly to Council Member questions?

BITTA MOSTOFI: I do.

LEGAL COUNSEL: Thank you.

BITTA MOSTOFI: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you.

BITTA MOSTOFI: I wanted to begin today b first addressing some comments that were made by Council Member Yeger several weeks past, and as the daughter of Iranian immigrants, my personal experience has often been one in which my family's country of origin, my history and experience are

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

blatantly demonized in the past by elected officials and by political discourse that is often removed from the complex reality of my own experience, my understanding and my identity as an Iranian-American, something that has been in many ways a lifelong struggle. It's been an honor to serve in my role as Commissioner of the Office of Immigrant Affairs because the very existence of this office and the values driven by this administration have been ones that recognize that every person in our great city of immigrants deserves to be recognized with dignity, with humanity and with respect for the myriad histories that we bring including our Palestinian sisters and brothers, but our job is to put forward a vision and a commentary that advances inclusion and just for all. I wanted to thank the Speaker and the Chair, Chair Menchaca of this committee for sharing in this vision for this committee and for taking action to demonstrate that nothing less is acceptable. Now, turning to the topic at hand, thank you to Speaker Johnson, Chair Menchaca and Chair Lancman and members of the Committees on Immigration and the Justice System. My name is Bitta Mostofi.

I'm the Commissioner for the Mayor's Office of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Immigrant Affairs. My testimony today addresses federal immigration enforcement activity in and around state courthouses in New York City and the city's deep concern about the impact that this activity has on New Yorkers' access to justice. Though the city does not have jurisdiction to regulate activities in the courts, which are controlled and operated by the state. recognize the great import of this issue. A hallmark of the Trump Administration continues to be overbroad immigration enforcement. In New York City and the surrounding region U.S. ICE has dramatically increased arrests of immigrants. In the first full federal fiscal year of the Trump Administration total ICE arrests in the New York City area increased 88% compared to the last full federal fiscal year for the previous administration. Arrests of people with absolutely no criminal convictions increased even more sharply between those two time periods by an alarming 414%. By its own statements and the accounts of a range of stakeholders concurrent with this overall shift, ICE has increased its efforts to conduct enforcement at courthouses in New York City and throughout the state. This degree of enforcement

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

demonstrates a disturbing lack of concern for the devastating human consequences of immigration arrests, and the mere threat of encountering an ICE officer in the course of one's daily life on individuals, families and communities. So, does ICE's willingness to conduct these enforcement actions in and around courthouses, which we believe should be designated as some civic location. Mayor de Blasio has repeatedly called for ICE to cease overbroad enforcement in our communities including ICE presence and enforcement in and around courthouses. The city does not, as I noted, have jurisdiction to regulate access to the courts, but has and will continue to advocate for ICE to limit its enforcement actions at the courts. We have raised our concerns directly with ICE and remain engaged with a range of stakeholders on this issue. We recognize that the New York State Office of Court Administration's recent expansion of its court access protocol will help to ensure safety and security in courtrooms, and provide for additional data collection and transparency around ICE's activities in and around the courts. We support the goals of the Protect Our Courts Act to limit civil immigration

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

enforcement in and around state controlled courthouse, and will continue to work with our partners in the Council, the advocacy community and our colleagues in state government towards a solution that is as strong and protective of access to courts for all New Yorkers regardless of immigration status as possible. We also continue to call on the federal government to designate courthouses as sensitive locations, and if ICE will not act to do so, Congress must. The motives of the Trump Administration are Time and again this administration pursues anti-immigrant policies. They can to do so in the name of public safety, but we know in New York City is that overbroad enforcement including ICE presence in and around the courts only increases the risks for any vulnerable New Yorkers by deterring them from accessing the justice system. The state courts are an essential component of our justice system, and as such play a critical role in public safety for individuals and the community as a whole. For the criminal courts to perform their function, it's imperative that victims, witnesses and defendants are able to fully and fairly participate in the criminal justice process. Whether a person is coming to court

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

to testify as a witness, seek an order of protection, participate in their own defense or observe a judgment being issued, they must be able to do so without fear that they will be apprehended by federal immigration authorities. Anything short of this risks undermining due process, and squandering the resources of the system, critically jeopardizing the safety of and wellbeing of victims of crime or abuse. Moreover, the criminal justice system must be able to resolve cases in a way that is fair for victims as well as those facing prosecution. For these reasons we're concerned by reports that vulnerable New Yorkers including victims and survivors of domestic and gender based violence among others are staying away from the courts out of fear of ICE enforcement or encounters. In addition, beyond the criminal courts, we're deeply concerned that the fear of potential ICE enforcement at state, civil and problem solving courts could similarly deter immigrant New Yorkers from pursuing or defending their rights or engaging in essential services that they may need. Civil courts such as the Family Court, the Supreme Court and the Housing Court are important forums for individuals to resolve matters essential to their

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

well-to their wellbeing. For example seeking custody, attaining an order of protection or preventing eviction. Problem solving courts such as the human trafficking intervention court provide an indispensable opportunity to engage trafficking victims and supportive services including immigration legal services and culturally appropriate counseling services. It's imperative that these courts be as accessible as possible to New Yorkers who need them not matter their immigration status. While a 2018 ICE directive instructs officers to generally avoid conducting enforcement actions in courthouse areas dedicated to non-criminal proceedings, such actions not strictly prohibited. Such actions-excuse me-are not strictly prohibited. What is clear is that this distinction does not-does very little to dispel the community fears that we hear regularly. We are proud that in New York City our office has in collaboration with many partners across government and the community strongly mobilized to combat the Trump Administration's actions that have stoked fear among immigrant communities, and our approach is multifaceted. We've worked with the Council to ensure that our local laws and policies protect the privacy

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON 1 JUSTICE SYSTEM 38 2 of and access to services for all New Yorkers, and promote public safety for all. In New York City we 3 generally do not and will not use city resources to 4 do ICE's job for them especially where it is not in 5 the city's public safety interests to do so. 6 7 Furthermore, the city has strong confidentiality laws and policies that protect the personal information of 8 all New Yorkers who engage with the city. 9 10 continue working to strengthen these protections under the leadership of the Mayor's Office of 11 12 Information Privacy to help ensure all of our city's residents feel safe accessing services regardless of 13 14 immigration status. The city agencies are generally 15 prohibited from permitting non-local law enforcement 16 personnel to access non-public areas of city property. Exceptions are made for when a judicial 17 18 warrant is presented, exigent circumstances exist or access is otherwise required by law or to further the 19 20 mission or purpose of the agency. In addition, together with our partners in the Council we have 21 2.2 increased access to legal help for immigrants by 23 investing at historic levels in legal services and 24 promoting programs such as Action NYC, NY

Citizenship, Legal Services for Immigrant Survivors

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

of Domestic Violence and the New York Immigrant Family Unity Project. These programs provide immigrant New Yorkers with access to a continuum of services that meet a broad spectrum of legal needs from screening and brief advice to deportation defense or other complex forms of rep-complex forms or representation. The city has dedicated special attention to enhancing access to justice and services for immigrant crime victim s. In collaboration with the Mayor's Office to End Domestic and Gender Based Violence, the Mayor's Office for Criminal Justice, and key city law enforcement agencies, including the NYPD, the Administration for Children's Services, the New York City Commission on Human Rights, the Law Department, and the Department of Consumer Affairs, we have successfully cut red tape in the process of requesting law enforcement certifications and declarations for you and T Visa applicants. As a result, in 2018, the city continued to see historic levels U Visa certification requests, and issuances by our law enforcement agencies. In addition, since 2016 the city has partnered with OCA through the Remote Temporary Order of Protection Project. This project is responsive to state legislation, amending

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

the Family Court Act to allow electronic filing and appearance—appearances for ex-parte family offense petitions when traveling to or appearing in the courthouse would constitute an undue hardship or create a risk of harm to the petitioner. Currently, NBGVV has implemented this remote T-O-P project in collaboration with OCA at four of the New York City Family Justice Centers increasing access to Family Court for survivors of domestic and gender based violence. Further, in partnership with our sister agencies and community based organizations as well as leaders throughout the city, we have worked to affirm immigrant communities in the face of ongoing attempts by the federal government to advance the antiimmigrant policies. We're committed to empowering New Yorkers with timely and trustworthy information about their rights and important immigration related developments that affect them. Last year we engaged approximately 18,000 individuals through Know Your Rights events and other outreach efforts, and mobilized extensive campaigns around issues of crucial concern to communities such as the proposed public charge rule change. These efforts have helped immigrant New Yorkers know they're welcome in our

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

city and can access city services. Nonetheless, throughout our work in immigrant communities we continue to see high levels of fear related to the threat of ICE enforcement. The harsh reality of increased enforcement is also reflected in our own constituent service work where in 2018 we saw an uptick in requests for legal assistance for those who are detained or under orders of deportation. persistent fear of ICE enforcement serves to undermine this important work. This threat to the effectiveness of the city's efforts is further evidenced by harmful impacts of ICE courthouse enforcement observed by service providers. For example, a city contracted legal service provider reported that a client who is a survivor of domestic violence was too afraid to file here order of protection and visitation petition in Family Court because she believed that ICE would find out about the filing and try to apprehend her. The client heard about a rumored episode in the Bronx in which ICE made an arrest in a courtroom, and the client was convinced that this would also happen to her. evident in the examples recounted by legal service providers throughout their safeguarding the integrity

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON JUSTICE SYSTEM 1 42 of our courts' reports released this morning, this is 2 from a one-off occurrence. In closing, I'd like to 3 reiterate our deep concern about ICE's activities in 4 the State Courthouses and the impact that these 5 actions on New Yorkers' access to justice. We again 6 7 call on ICE to limit enforcement in the courthouses and to designate them as sensitive locations. We'll 8 continue to work in partnership with Council, 9 advocates and colleagues in state government to 10 advance ta solution alternatively that protects 11 12 access to the courts for all New Yorkers regardless of immigration status as robustly as possible. 13 look forward to hearing even more testimony today and 14 15 continuing to work with our partners on this 16 important issue. Thank you for inviting me to 17 testify. 18 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you, Commissioner, and I want to say also thank you for 19 20 your-for your words in the opening. BITTA MOSTOFI: Thank you. 21 2.2 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: It's-it's so 23 important that-that your voice is heard. You're a

New Yorker. You got the duty and the privilege and

the honor to do your work as the Commissioner and our

24

2.2

work here is so important for people to hear your story is hopefully a signal that compounds on the signal that we sent, a very serious signal that we are affirming our commitment to every New Yorker no matter what country they come from, and that every time they look at us from where you're sitting, you're sitting in the chair where so many people come and testify and tell their story many times without able to even give their full name because of fear, and that we take seriously—

BITTA MOSTOFI: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: --and I know that it's has--it has been a difficult conversation for us, but it's a conversation that we have to have and we did and will continue to have it, and that's-I'm-I'm kind of signaling to the Immigration Committee here that we're very serious about that, and we won't deter from that commitment. So, thank you.

BITTA MOSTOFI: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: My—my first question is really for as an administration, and the lead up to June, the Legislative session that's just moved and passed budget in Albany. How are we going to land this bill, and what's the Mayor doing to

2.2

really put everything that you all have into ensuring that this passes. Any kind of sense about where we are, and how we can kind of work together to make that happen?

saying that we've been engaged for some time with OCA and other actors to really kind of understand what they're saying, understand what's happening. I think as you've heard from the providers a request had been made for better data to really demonstrate kind of what the experiences are and how to effectively ensure that people understood and seriously took the impact of this enforcement. So, I think we're really grateful for that report. We—we're continuing conversations with OCA itself who I know has indicated through reporting in the last 24 or 48 hours that they're seriously looking at their recommendations themselves, and as I noted—

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: [interposing] OCA?

BITTA MOSTOFI: Yes, I believe and reporting this morning, and I think, you know, we're-we're eager to continue those conversations and as I noted, support the goals of the bill. So, we'll continue to speak to the sponsors, and ensure that we

2.2

understand where all the possibilities are, and where we can see them as for the best protections.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And really the—the next question, and this is—this is more in terms of the accountability that—that I want to have on our side as well as including our Speaker, and our—our kind of State Legislative team that goes up every—every—what are the conversations that—that you or the Mayor are having right now with folk in terms— Are there—are there actual conversations one—on—ones? You mentioned OCA and kind of data collection and sharing. What about the district attorneys and the Police Department itself?

conversations with our agencies to better understand what we see the impacts to be. I think as you've heard the providers testified to and it may have been in your opening remarks as well there was evidence of a decrease in foreign born individuals in the calendar year from 2016 to '17 access the Family Justice Centers. I think, you know, what we're happy to say is that that decrease has leveled. It's not quite so dramatic and I can—and say confidently that our partners at NBGVV are taking that very seriously,

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON JUSTICE SYSTEM 1 46 2 and are looking at where there has been an impact in calibrating their outreach and engagement to address 3 4 that effectively, and I think some of that obviously 5 can be noted not, you know, on the specific 6 engagement that they've done to try to combat those-7 those fears and concerns, but also broadly what we as a city have done to demonstrate that people should 8 feel confident engaging with our system. 9 I think equally noteworthy is that while they've seen a-while 10 those numbers demonstrated a decrease in clients 11 12 actually returning foreign born clients increased their utilization of the Family Justice Centers, 13 14 which again I think speaks positively to the 15 experience of folks who are accessing the services, 16 and the confidence that they have in the delivery of 17 those. We've talked as well with NYPD and others. 18 The challenges I think you will appreciate in effectively understanding impact here is that PD does 19 20 not ask immigration status for individuals who are reporting crimes or serving as witnesses, which we-we 21 2.2 affirm is the correct course of action, and so, it's 23 been more challenging to try and document or understand if there is a greater impact that they're 24

25

witnessing.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And—and before I hand it over to Chair Lancman, I want to ask a little bit about the discrepancy. You know, I'm kind of hearing, and maybe it's not, but the—the numbers of U and T Visa in the report is saying that there's a decrease while we're kind of looking at from—from the kind of city numbers that there's an increase.

BITTA MOSTOFI: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Is there—or what's the—what's the discrepancy here?

BITTA MOSTOFI: Yeah. So, I think the report is primarily and specifically speaking to requests and issuances that are occurring within the Family Court context. We are—we are speaking to requests and issuances that are from city law enforcement agencies. So, I think this has been a—a huge effort on the part of MOIA and our sister agencies in the top force that we hold together to ensure that we're doing everything that we can to increase access to U and T Visa certifications. I think you've seen that effectively play out in the sheer volume of increase that we've seen over the last couple of years, including again an increase this year in the number of issued certifications. So,

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON 1 JUSTICE SYSTEM 48 2 it's a stark contrast to the Family Court system. All the reasons I'm not personally aware of, but I 3 thin the difference is—or the inconsistency there is 4 5 that we're talking about two different issuing 6 agencies. 7 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And-and I'm just kind of looking at the data from the NYPD for and the 8 Law Department the U and T Visas. Your annual report 9 10 talks about the decrease marginally in 2018 as compared to 2017, and is there—is there the 11 12 qualitative data that explains the decrease? BITTA MOSTOFI: Yeah, it's a pretty 13 14 nominal decrease in requests, and so--15 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Okay, what-what is 16 that? What is that nominal? 17 BITTA MOSTOFI: I can get you the exact 18 number comparatively between each years, but it'sit's not-it's not hugely significant, and in fact, I 19 20 think what you know is that we've seen a steady increase every year, and we-we believe that last year 21 2.2 was sort of a good reflection of where we were at, 23 and now we might be stabilizing in terms of the

number of requests, but our-we're focused on the

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON 1 JUSTICE SYSTEM 49 2 number of positive grant, which you actually saw an 3 increase in. 4 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Okay. I'm going to hand it over to Chair Lancman. 5 BITTA MOSTOFI: Twenty fewer requests I 6 7 mean. CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Twenty citywide? 8 BITTA MOSTOFI: Yes. 9 10 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Got it. Thank you 11 for that. 12 BITTA MOSTOFI: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: So, one of the 13 14 things [coughs] that the prior panel testified to 15 that would be helpful to protecting immigrants in-16 from the clutches of ICE would be easing up on the prosecution of certain low-level offenses that both 17 18 require immigrants to come to court in the first place as well as expose them to-to deportation as a 19 20 basis for deportation--21 BITTA MOSTOFI: Yes. 22 CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: --if they were 23 convicted of those offenses. What are your views on that? 24

1

25

2 BITTA MOSTOFI: Yeah, I mean I think, you 3 know, credit to both the Council and the Administration. We've seen a tremendous shift in 4 criminal arrests and the issuances of civil summonses. Right, I think the number is about a 6 7 five-150,000 fewer arrests over the course of the last several years, a shift in the implementation of 8 the Criminal Justice Reform Act to an increase in 9 10 civil summonses as opposed to criminal summonses. That's been one of the central goals of IDNYC 11 12 ensuring that people who don't otherwise need to be arrested are not because they can prove their address 13 with their IDNYC when interacting with a law 14 15 enforcement officer for a low level offense. 16 all of those initiatives are welcome, and we, you know, hope to continue seeing them realize in even 17 18 more robust ways, and I think we'll see implementation, the full implementation of the 19 20 Criminal Justice Reform Act, and an increase moved towards issuances of civil summonses and sort of 21 2.2 vacating old ones. We'll continue to see a more 23 equitable sort of system and people being lessrequired less to go through fingerprinting. I think, 24

you know, that is obviously a goal that we share, and

we'll hopefully resolve in even fewer individuals having to go through that process.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Well, what are we to make then of the Mayor's insistence on continuing to arrest people for Marijuana possession, his insistence on continuing to arrest people for fare evasion? Just this week his insistence on continuing to arrest primarily women, but not exclusively for low-level prostitution offenses?

obviously the balance that his an attempt to be struck by the Administration has been one at looking at limiting or us where necessary while ensuring that we're advancing public safety concerns. I can't speak specifically to those decisions. What I can say is, and I hope everybody is aware that even in those instances it's not New York City that's proactively providing information about arrests to the federal government. Rather, we're mandated to provide that to the state, which is mandated by the federal government to share that information.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: No, I can't quite let you off the hook there completely because you're the Administration at this hearing. It's the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Administration, the Mayor's Police Department that is making these arrests. Almost all of the changes that have resulted in the large scale reduction of arrests have been the result of this Council dragging the Mayor kicking and screaming, and his two Police Commissioners to those conclusions. And I can't let you off the hook either in not addressing those three specific-for instance, which we find have a particular impact on the immigrant community, and so as the voice of the Administration and Immigration matters at hearing where we are discussing what we can do in New York City to prevent and make it difficult for ICE to get people at courthouses in the Criminal Justice System. Will you go to the Mayor and say, Mr. Mayor, we are needlessly exposing people to deportation, to ICE by continuing to arrest them for these low-level non-violent offenses specifically Marijuana, fare evasion, and prostitution.

BITTA MOSTOFI: What I would say is that certainly my office is always interested in understanding impacts that people are seeing, and ensuring that we're informing and advising the Administration accordingly, and as it relates to

25 these three open to-to hearing more.

1	COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON JUSTICE SYSTEM 53
2	CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Alright, well thank
3	you very much.
4	BITTA MOSTOFI: Thank you.
5	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Council Member
6	Dromm.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Thank you very
8	much. Commissioner, good to see you.
9	BITTA MOSTOFI: [off mic] Thank you.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Is it the
11	Administration's belief that it does not have legal
12	authority to ensure that ICE does not have access to
13	courthouses with-without a warrant?
14	BITTA MOSTOFI: That's correct.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: And what legal
16	basis is that based on?
17	BITTA MOSTOFI: I think if I could parse
18	maybe your question a little bit I think it's becaus
19	the property is technically owned by the city. Is
20	that what you're asking?
21	COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Yes, and my next
22	question actually is does the state lease that
23	property?
24	BITTA MOSTOFI: Yeah. So, our

understanding in consultation with our Law Department

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON 1 JUSTICE SYSTEM 54 because we have looked into this, is that we're 2 essentially mandated by the State to provide the 3 4 property for the utilization of the Courts and limited in any functionality of what occurs on that 5 6 property by state law. 7 COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: So, would you know when those leases expire or how often they come up 8 for expiration? 9 BITTA MOSTOFI: I don't know, but as I 10 said again, it's actually state law that governs the 11 12 content of that, that governs what happens within the-the space, and that the city is, in fact, 13 mandated to provide it. So, we're happy-I'm happy to 14 15 share that information with you, and that's 16 specifically what laws that those are. 17 COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: So, have you ever 18 explored any legal action to take against the state to not allow ICE to enter those buildings? 19 20 BITTA MOSTOFI: We have looked into the sort of what authority or jurisdiction we have vis-à-21 2.2 vis the property, and as I said, concluded that it's 23 actually state law specifically. Thank you. The New York Constitution, Article VI, Section 28, Subsection 24

(b) that literally outlines what happens on that

1	COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON JUSTICE SYSTEM 55
2	property. So, we are essentially superseded, if you
3	will, [laughter] by what occurs there, which is why
4	we've engaged in conversation with OCA directly.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: So, there's no way
6	that at least could be drawn up stating the officers
7	other than court officers, New York State court
8	officers are allowed on the property?
9	BITTA MOSTOFI: That is our
10	understanding. That's correct that we cannot do
11	that.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Okay, and does the
13	Administration support the New York Protect Our
14	Courts Act?
15	BITTA MOSTOFI: We definitely protect—we
16	definitely support the goals of that, and we want to
17	continue to support both with these own explorations
18	and thinking as well as the bill and the
19	Legislature's work on it.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Okay, thank you.
21	BITTA MOSTOFI: Thank you.
22	CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you, Council
23	Member Dromm. Are there any other questions from
24	Committee members? So, I have a few more questions
25	that—that really kind of tease out MOIA's specific

2.2

role in this—in this kind of run—up just—not just to the passing of this piece of legislation, but all the mechanics around how we keep fighting because we're not going to—this—this bill, as we've heard isn't going to remove ICE. It's just going to give them a process that allows for everyone to have a fairer opportunity to—to justice. The—how—how is MOIA doing outreach and education planning regarding ICE presence at a courthouse right now? And then, really thinking about how you disseminate information. How are you communicating with—with IOI providers, and just kind of give us a sense about what—what your role in disseminating information and education.

the question. I think part of what we have been trying to do as effectively as and robustly as possibly has been to do our own kind of analysis and research where we're able to understand kind of what impacts the federal policies are locally. We have increased the level of sort of research and evaluation on that front that we do as an office, and with that the issuances of our findings publicly, and part of that, of course, is intended to help inform, advocates, practitioners, the community at large and

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON 1 JUSTICE SYSTEM 57 2 others on what is actually happening. The most recent demonstrative of this particular issue is the 3 4 ICE enforcement fact sheet so that people can 5 understand what's happening, and—and to the degree 6 possible where. 7 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And it's a MOIA document? 8 BITTA MOSTOFI: Yes. 9 10 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Okay. BITTA MOSTOFI: We do the we do the 11 12 analyses. We produce that, and we really said-it's the second one now that we've done. 13 14 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Is it a public 15 document or is that to the providers only? 16 BITTA MOSTOFI: It's public. 17 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Okay. 18 BITTA MOSTOFI: So, we've made it public. We intentionally share it with providers. We've put 19 20 it on our website, and our-our team as well as organizations that we fund through legal services or 21 2.2 Know Your Rights work receive it so that they 23 understand and can effectively communicate with 24 community members or others as they're looking at

either advocacy or just community outreach and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

information sharing, which was so important particularly to dispel some myths and concerns around what is happening where to ensure that people are able both to determine for themselves what-what makes sense in terms of follow-up, but also how they can access advice from legal service providers in the event that they do have to go to a court, right, or in the event that they might have an old order of deportation recognizing that there is this dramatic increase in enforcement. So, that's been a big part of what we've done is ensuring that we're producing this kind of work. Obviously, staying in close contact with providers like IDP and Bronx Legal Services, who you just heard testify as they were working on their report, making sure that we are internally. As I noted we're trying to figure out what we're seeing in terms of chilling and impact, and then, you know, thinking through and we're always wanting to hear how we can do better in this regard, but thinking through how we best share that information across communities or where there might be gaps so that we can address them. I will again reiterate that that's not just MOIA doing that, but in partnership with sister agencies who we work very

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON 1 JUSTICE SYSTEM 59 2 closely with on these issues like NBGVV, right. They're looking at their own data to sort of 3 4 understand the impact and calibrate effectively the 5 responses they need to have from an outreach front 6 and we work with them on that-on those issues as 7 well. 8 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you for that, and we're-we're king of looking some of that up 9 10 I might—I might have some follow-up questions about the links or if your team can send those links 11 12 over, that would be great. 13 BITTA MOSTOFI: Sure. 14 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: I think what's-15 what's also interesting or we're interested in 16 learning more about any immigrant info desks--BITTA MOSTOFI: Uh-hm. 17 18 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: -- that may be in or kind of pop-up style things--19 20 BITTA MOSTOFI: Yeah. CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: --in response to 21 2.2 some of the hot spots in the courthouses. Is that a 23 strategy that you're employing right now? BITTA MOSTOFI: So, I think as you know 24

this was a joint Administration and Council funded

initiative about a fiscal year cycle ago [laughter] and we really happy with and believe that this was an important addition to the work that we're doing in ensuring communities have access to us for a number of reasons. One, immigrant communities may be less readily inclined, of course, to engage with agencies or other actors who maybe they don't know they can receive language access in their-in their languages or they might already be in a community setting, and so we have since that time maintained through in-kind dollars the info desk at three locations: Coney Island Hospital, the Flushing Library and Metropolitan, Coney Island, not hospital. Coney Island HRA center, the Metropolitan Hospital and the Flushing Library, and I think I'm-well, I'm happy to say that that does-of the addition to the broader kind of outreach in community engagement is that it provides sort of an in-person support of city representatives that can really help somebody navigate any issue or concern that they have. number one issue that we hear through those desks is immigration legal services or questions. So, I think we recognize the ongoing--

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON 1 JUSTICE SYSTEM 61 2 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: [interposing] I'll 3 just pause you there because--4 BITTA MOSTOFI: Yeah. 5 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: -- just to clear-6 clear that up, those are the three sites that you are 7 currently building our these desks. These HRA locations? 8 BITTA MOSTOFI: Those are the three sites 9 10 where we currently operate. So, they're not HRA locations. They're—the Coney Island is an HRA 11 12 location. Flushing is the Library. 13 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: That's the 14 library, okay. 15 BITTA MOSTOFI: And Metropolitan is the 16 H&H Hospital. 17 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: H&H Hospital? 18 BITTA MOSTOFI: Yes. 19 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Okay, and—and I 20 guess I'm asking more about courts specifically, and if there's presence like a desk there that's-well, do 21 2.2 you have any presence that has that kind of function 23 at-at a court as-as you're overlaying the-the need

for-for the kind of ICE engagement, and what MOIA can

be doing to really connect folks to information, andand access to lawyers or whatever they might need.

certainly something we would be interested in exploring. What we've done is co-located this with additional services specifically with locations where we have IDNYC enrollment as way to ensure that we're—we're meeting people where they're at, right, remaining communities where they're at, and I think, you know, what we've heard from providers and I think is evident through some of the reporting is a lot of the issues with the court setting is really communication with people before they even get to the courts. So, you know, there might be a different things to consider in terms of whether or not that's the right location for us to be in.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Okay, you did answer my question, and—and we'll ask our advocates actually to tell us a little bit more about what they think is—is—is important. The—the stuff that we've just looked up on line, the fact sheet is—is we think broadly about ICE enforcement and—and NYC, not necessarily the courthouses.

BITTA MOSTOFI: Yes.

2.2

2 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Is there something 3 that's more connected to courthouse activity?

more connected to courthouse activity. If I'm recalling correctly, the fact sheet speaks to an increase in arrests at courthouses, and then the specifics of the impact as I noted has been more challenging from the agency level, which is why, you know, we've also been interested in receiving the Advocate's Report to better understand what they're seeing kind of with—with increased—greater data and

clarity.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Okay. Let's move to the information that you're getting on ICE sighting. How are you receiving that from the public at or near courthouses specifically from—from the public—

BITTA MOSTOFI: Yes

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: --and-and what-

what are you doing to kind of aggregate that

information? Is that—is that also available public.

This is all kind of connected this idea of—of

information. What we saw is not specific but are you

collecting data? Is there a hotline? Are people calling you? How are you taking that data?

BITTA MOSTOFI: Yes, so in terms of court

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

3

access, folks are generally calling the IDP hotline and that is actually I think where people should be calling because they have been serving as the right repository for receiving this information, and that's one of the areas that they functioned as an agency be it, you know, pre this-pre this moment in time with the Federal Administration, and so, it's very important I think for us to maintain that line of communication. There have been in-we are obviously interested in understanding what's happening, but individual-people are not coming to us directly, if you will, every time they sort of see an ICE agentagents or an entity conducting an enforcement action. We're hearing from our legal service providers what they're seeing, of course, but the most sort of systematic kind of compilation of kind of immediate reporting and understanding is-is more readily going through IDP.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Is that—is that an official policy that we can—we can kind of amplify, call IDP hotline and—

BITTA MOSTOFI: [interposing] Yeah, and I—and it—it is—is what we both through Know Your Rights forums as well as through legal service providers. It's one of the resources that we ensure people know exits and have.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Okay. I think—I think that we—I'd like a commitment that MOIA, and we can talk about that later so we can kind of get to some of the other questions that MOIA really commits to—to doing outreach and specifically in communities that are impacted in relationship to courthouses—

BITTA MOSTOFI: Uh-hm.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: --and-and I think that they're going to want to hear from us as a city on that—on that front, and -and work in partnership with the advocates on the ground, but is that something that you can commit to today that we could figure out how we communicate that information because we're communicating a lot of different things. All the—the work that we're doing Through Action NYC and the Key to the City we're—we're in spaces that are really designed by us—

BITTA MOSTOFI: Yes.

2.2

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

I think that—that there needs to be a little bit more—more commitment to—to that, and we're—we're hoping that this law passes, of course and then there's going to be implementation and—and really working with your—all of our relationships with the courts and—and can—can we commit to that together?

BITTA MOSTOFI: Yeah, yeah, I mean always if there are—are kind of specific ideas or strategies on where we can ensure that we're-we're better in providing increased-better information or where information needs to be provided more directly. We absolutely want to hear that and connect to doing that. I think as I noted where we've been able to most tangibly understand that has been within that JC context and that the-that learning has already been adopted and is considered in what outreach happens by that office. So, that is already happening in that setting, and then more broadly in terms of communities understanding what is happening in the city both in terms of enforcement at courts and more broadly is incorporated in everything that we do from an outreach perspective as well as programming and legal service provision.

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON 1 JUSTICE SYSTEM 67 2 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Got it. Okay, and 3 there's more I think on the budget side that we can think about in really creating a resource gap 4 5 question or filling the resource--6 BITTA MOSTOFI: [interposing] Sure. 7 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: -- gap in getting 8 resources to courts--9 BITTA MOSTOFI: Yep. 10 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: --especially as we're going to see this ramp up more and more beyond 11 12 the NYFUP expansion or more up lawyers and whatnot. Okay, so in accordance with the Raise the Age 13 14 legislation, the Law Department is regularly sending 15 attorneys to night court. We understand and has MOIA 16 briefed the Law Department on ICE operations in our 17 courts? 18 BITTA MOSTOFI: Yes. CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Yes, and would 19 20 MOIA be amenable to developing an action plan with the Law Department as a precaution should ICE 21 2.2 interfere in the city's administration and justice? 23 BITTA MOSTOFI: Sorry. Can you repeat

24

your question?

2.2

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Would MOIA, would you amenable to developing an action plan with the Law Department as a precaution should ICE interfere in the city's administration and justice?

BITTA MOSTOFI: I think I would need to—I would certainly be amenable to talking with Law

Department understanding what that might look like,

and thinking about how the city might be best

responsive in that context. Yes.

what's—well, okay. I think that if you want to expand on that and what that might look like from your frame, the Law Department will have a kind of big component to that, but we want to follow up on that immediately—

BITTA MOSTOFI: Sure.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: --to kind of get a sense about what that can look like. What coordination exists between MOIA and the Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice in relationship to monitoring and responding to immigration enforcement?

BITTA MOSTOFI: MOCJ has been a partner with us on this, and in both engaging OCA as well as thinking about kind of more broadly all of the

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON 1 JUSTICE SYSTEM 69 2 impacts around enforcement to our communities, and, you know, we certainly welcome that partnership, and 3 they will continue to be a key agency that's at the 4 5 table with us as we're looking at doing this work. 6 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Okay, so I think 7 we're going to-we're going to pause there, and we really want to follow up soon and really work in 8 tandem with your team, with the office and-and the 9 10 Council as-as we advocate together in Albany to land this before the end of the legislative session. 11 12 BITTA MOSTOFI: Well, good. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you so much, 13 14 Commissioner. [background comments] Okay, we're 15 going to pick up our next panel, and panel number 2, 16 panel number 3. We're at number 3 is the Bronx Defenders of Rosa Cohen-Cruz, Brooklyn Defender 17 18 Services; Richard Bailey, Legal Aid Society; Jill Wildman and the Anti-Defamation League Adam 19 20 Bernstein. [background comments/pause] Okay, who would like to begin? Let's begin over here with you. 21 2.2 Make sure that the mic is on, and it's near you. 23 RICHARD BAILEY: Good afternoon. 24 you for having me. My name is Richard Bailey.

a Supervising Attorney in the Padilla Practice of the

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON
JUSTICE SYSTEM 70

Immigration Unit at Brooklyn Defender Services. I'd

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Immigration Unit at Brooklyn Defender Services. Ι'd first like to thank City Council Committees on Immigration and the Justice System, and in particular Chairpersons Menchaca and Lancman for having us today, and for giving me this opportunity to testify about the impact of immigration and Customs enforcement having a presence in the New York City Court system. Brooklyn Defender Services is one of the largest legal service providers in New York City representing approximately 30,000 low-income Brooklyn residents each year who are arrested facing child welfare allegations or challenging the deportation. Since 2009, Brooklyn Defender Services has counseled, advised or represented more than 10,000 immigrant clients, and about a quarter of Brooklyn Defender Services' criminal defense clients are foreign born, roughly half of whom are not naturalized citizens and are, therefore, at risk of deportation or other disproportionate collateral consequences as a result of their criminal case. Our Padilla Unit advises DVS' criminal defense attorneys and their non-citizen clients on the immigration consequences like guilty pleas and different trial outcomes to help them avoid or minimize negative immigration consequences.

we last testified about the ICE presence and—and arrests in courts, arrests in and round New York City courthouses has increased 1750%. According to the Immigrant Defense Project Report, the majority of people caught up in this wave of enforcement or reporting to court on low-level offenses including many traffic violations. Since the beginning of 2019 alone, Brooklyn Defender Services has had more than 18 clients arrested by ICE in or outside the courthouse or in the community because of pending criminal allegations mostly misdemeanors.

You there really quick. Two-two quick things operations wise. One is we're going to put the clock for two minutes. If you can focus your remarks on anything that would be great to add to the conversation. We're going to read everything, and then we want to focus on some Q&A to kind of really kind of get some of the—some of the pieces out. They're going to help us make the case, and—and get everything out. Is that—is that good?

RICHARD BAILEY: Yes.

2.2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And it's the sergeant-at-arms' two-minute clock. Thank you. You may continue.

RICHARD BAILEY: Okay. I'll keep it well below that now. So, I-I wanted to take a minute then and -and just talk about one client, one recent arrest outside of the court. About a month ago or so, one of our clients was leave the Brooklyn Criminal Court at 120 Schermerhorn, and was with her attorney, and two men grabbed her on the street outside of the courthouse. She did not know who they were. They did not identify themselves, and given her history of trauma, it was a very problematic way to interact with her. She grabbed for her attorney, and in the middle of that kind of scuffle, the-the officers identified themselves as ICE agents, and-and finally produced a badge, but-but the entire experience was, you know, understandably very traumatizing for her. So, for that reason, you know, for the-the clients that we've seen impacted by this, Brooklyn Defender Services strongly supports the Protect Our Courts Act, and we-we believe that it would place significant restrictions on civil arrests of those attending or traveling to or from court, and

2.2

we—have seen that ICE's courthouse arrests have undermined our clients' fundamental rights to have their fair day in court. In addition to the proposed resolution here at the City Council, we call on the Council to consider the following campaigns that would limit immigrant New Yorkers' contact with the criminal legal system. In our written testimony we offer some recommendations, but that includes ending arrests of human trafficking victims and decriminalizing sex work, providing equal access to drivers' licenses for all, and supporting the legalization and regulation of Marijuana access. Thank you for considering my comments, and I'd be happy to answer any questions.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you.

I'm a Criminal Immigration Attorney at the
Immigration Monument of the Legal Aid Society. I've
been at Legal Aid since 2007, and since 2016 I have
seen a significant change in ICE enforcement, and in
the way that clients and attorneys alike approach
immigration and—and appearances in court. I've seen
ICE arrest among others, clients who were sole
providers for young children, clients who have no

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON 74 1 JUSTICE SYSTEM 2 criminal record who are pleading guilty to traffic violations, and who are appearing in human 3 4 trafficking courts. ICE is aggressive, public, and 5 seemingly indiscriminate enforcement in court is 6 dramatic and alarming to Legal Aid attorneys and 7 clients alike. Because immigration law is complex and ever-changing, even defendants who are not 8 removable from the United States often fear coming to 9 court due to ICE's presence. Non-citizen defendants 10 frequently feel pressured to take unfavorable pleas 11 12 rather than fight their cases in court for fear that the repeated court appearances will expose them 13 14 apprehension by ICE. Non-citizens will sometimes 15 forego rehabilitative programs such as drug and 16 alcohol treatment in favor of jail time for the same reasons. Attorneys now balance the risk of 17 18 apprehension in court against the strength of their clients' cases. Finally, defendants are often 19 20 apprehended prior to court appearances and are unable to communicate with their attorneys. As a result, 21 2.2 judges will issue warrants thinking the clients 23 intentionally missed their court dates. disadvantages clients both in their criminal cases as 24

it interferes with speedy trial calculations, and

25

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

leaves the non-citizen with unresolved cases and
active warrants when they appear before the
Immigration judges. These non-citizens are then very
likely to face prejudice in Immigration Courts
especially in bond proceedings due to their
unresolved cases. [bell]

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you. I have some questions for you after. Okay, thank you.

ROSA COHEN-CRUZ: Good afternoon. My name is Rosa Cohen-Cruz and I'm Padilla Supervisor in the Immigration Practice of the Bronx Defenders, and in this role I oversee the practice of advising noncitizen defendants on the Immigration consequences of their cases. I also want to focus on kind of three main ways that the Protect Our Courts Act will improve our clients' abilities to defend themselves. First, right now in the current climate our clients are accepting unfavorable plea deals to avoid coming back to court. Second, open cases end up creating delay and disruption to Immigration Court proceedings and third, we've seen ICE disregard our client's rights in making their arrests. As far as ICE arrests in courts creating base case resolutions, we do have to advise our clients on under the risk of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

ICE arrests when considering whether to take pleas or go to trial. Because of this, we've had clients who were likely to win a trial but pled quilty. We've had clients who had no prior criminal record and decided to resolve their case with a misdemeanor at arraignment because even when a non-criminal violation would have been likely at a later court date, we have had clients even accept a plea offer that resulted in the loss of future eligibility for status or at least from deportation because of the fear of ICE-ICE apprehend-apprehension in court. Some clients have—have stated children to accept incarceratory sentences instead of rehabilitative programs as part of the plea because they knew that going to jail would give them a time limit before having to-before they would be able to see their families again. Whereas, showing up to court at a compliance date could result in an indefinite detention until their deportation. So, a part (sic) of our court addresses this because it because it gives clients a security to come to court knowing that they will not be arrested by ICE without process, without a judicial warrant and it messages that all New Yorkers deserve to feel that the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

courthouse is a place based to focus on these actually life altering decisions. [bell] Is that my time?

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Do you want—do you want to finish up for the moment?

ROSA COHEN-CRUZ: I did have just-I just wanted to talk quickly about what I've personally witnessed. I've witnessed several of my own clients get arrested in court. In one case a client of mine was while we were actually within the halls of-within the halls of justice in the Bronx and our client was completely compliant, was-and he was in mid conversation with me as his attorney, was pressed up against the glass doors by several ICE officers, and violently removed from me. We both asked to speak to each other me and his counsel, and he was just quickly rushed into a car and driven off. It was extremely horrifying for both of us. His young children were there and watched this happened. other case I had to facilitate an opportunity for my client to hug his young children goodbye in the court while ICE officers—before ICE officers could arrest him and take him away, and just last week I had another client arrested. He was being offered a 2420

2.2

a disorderly conduct violation on court that day, and he was arrested before he was able to take the violation, and now we know that because he has an open case, when he appears for a bond hearing in a few weeks, he's more likely to be denied even though that case is going to resolve with a non-criminal case resolution. So, these are the kinds of things that we are dealing with everyday in the court and Protect Our Courts Act addresses each of these issues, and improves our ability to do our jobs and zealous—zealously represent our clients for the—for the best outcomes.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And thank you for saying that—that testimony as well. Thank you.

[pause]

EVAN BERNSTEIN: Thank you Co-Chair

Menchaca and Co-Chair Council Member Lancman for
having us today. It's such an important topic. My
name is Evan Bernstein and as the Regional Director
of the ADL's New York-New Jersey office. It's an
honor to be here today to support a New York City
Resolution calling for the City's legislation to
pass, the Governor to sign the Protect Our Courts
Act. Since 1913, the mission of ADL has been to stop

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

the defamation of the Jewish people with good justice and fair treatment for all, and that's why we're a proud member of ICE Out of Courts Coalition here in New York. We remain extremely alarmed by the dramatic escalation of ICE enforcement in and around New York courthouses. Indeed, since 19-since 2016 the Immigration Defense Project, IDP has documented an over 1700 increase-percent increase in ICE operations in the courthouses throughout the state. This had a chilling effect on reporting of crime and the ability for victims and witnesses to access our justice system. Immigrants are already reluctant to interact with law enforcement in the current political climate are even more afraid to come forward to report crime and seek assistance. This means domestic violence survivors aren't getting orders of protection. Tenants aren't brining complaints to abuse of landlords and victims of violent crimes including hate crimes or denied their fair day in court. Compound the issue even further is we know that non-citizens are more likely to be the victims of crime relative to their U.S. born counterparts, and that immigrants are particularly susceptible to crimes that prey on the vulnerable-

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

vulnerable statuses. By the way of example, FBI data from 2017 revealed a 24% annual increase in hate crime attacks against the-the Lennox-the Lennox community ore the Latino community and a community already targeted to have significant anti-immigrant bigotry where victims or witnesses are reluctant to come forward out of fear or deportation or other immigrant related repercussions. Perpetrators are more likely to escape the justice system without consequence. Crimes increase when perpetrators have nothing to fear. The vicious cycle makes it that much more difficult if not impossible for local police to rebuild the bonds of trust, cooperation within immigrant communities. This compromises the safety and security for all of us. The Protect Our Courts Act is critical to disrupting these trends. [bell] Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you. Thank you for that. Thank you all for being here, and I have some follow-up questions and then I'll hand it over Chair Lancman for any questions that he might have. I'm—I'm—one, I just want to acknowledge that the—the traumatic experience that' happening in the courts isn't just happening to the person being taken

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON 1 JUSTICE SYSTEM 81 2 away in a car, be it a young-young child or a father. It's also the family that's there. It's also for all 3 4 of the other families that are there watching this 5 happen, maybe immigrant, non-immigrant and then it's 6 for the lawyers that have taken on a-a pledge to 7 defend your client, and-and I think that's-that's the-that's the kind of nature of what's-what's 8 happening here and the fabric that is being destroyed 9 in our justice system, and so I just want to say 10 thank you for-for offering that piece because I thin 11 12 that's an important think to talk about for folks that are not ever going to go into a courtroom, and 13 14 we're going to need on our side to push this, and 15 make it-make it clear to our elected officials. And 16 so, I want to say thank you for the work that you're The other—the other kind of point to this is 17 18 from the -the IDP and the Bronx Legal Services Report, it sounds like ICE has been found in problem 19 20 solving courts. You're reporting some of that now, and providers of-of court mandated community service. 21 2.2 Have you found that this has resulted in fewer pleas? 23 And I think you-you spoke about-you spoke about that in your testimony that involved community service or 24

treatment, and has that meant that clients with

25

immigration status issues generally kind of take pleas that involve treatment? And can you talk a little bit more about how that actually happens, and—and give us a kind of deeper flavor of that and—and if you have a-

ROSA COHEN-CRUZ: Well, one piece of that when clients are sentenced to programs and rehabilitative programs is part of their plea, they have to come back to court to demonstrate compliance. So, it's that fear of, you know, coming back and showing that they've cooperating and doing what the court had asked them to do that at each court date ICE could be there waiting for them regardless of how successful they've been in the program. And so, you know, when considering that, it is logical to face that you will have a better chance-you-you know if you're going to take a jail sentence of, you know, 30 days, that after 30 days you'll go and see your family again. But if you have a-have to come back to a compliance date, and ICE could be there one day to arrest you, you never know if you'll see your family again after that, and that's-it's a logical decision that our clients are making.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.2

JILL WALDMAN: I—I agree with that, and I would also say that even things like paying fines or doing community service, showing for community service or a DWI compliance, I get calls daily about clients who are concerned about even going to compliance parts and fear that ICE will be waiting for them there.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: And—and so those are the pleas. What about—what about—what about a sense of public safety and essentially the ICE operations has—essentially has pulled back (sic) and people are less likely to report crimes. How are you seeing that from any one of your organizations?

EVAN BERNSTEIN: So, we do a lot of work with—with the Council with the consulates in New York especially the Mexican Consulate. We have a Memorandum of Understanding. We're actually—ALD is work with the—the local law enforcement to train officials in the consulates on how to handle these hate crimes because the consulates have become the—the call instead of the police for that exact reason. There are so fearful of making those calls to the law enforcement. We're trying to break those barriers down here, you know, and the New York City Police is

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

different than other policing systems throughout New The challenge is that we're hearing from York State. consulate members is that there is just a total under-reporting. So, we especially have some domestic violence component where people are notthey're-they're being-women are being assaulted by their partner or spouse, and there's such a fear there is absolutely no phone call being made to children who are actually witnessing this. The women are not able to-to leave their home situation. They're not able to get the kind of treatment that they need or protection that they need out of the fear because of what's happening with ICE. So, they're not even getting to the point of where they're-they're even engaging with local police, andand that's what we're seeing, and—and it's incredibly disturbing, and hearing specifically from the consulates.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you for that, and I—and I know that the—I know about this radio and the Mexican Consulate work, and I just want to say thank you and your entire team for—for that work. We were out in Union Square last year to do a leaf letting and—and the person that committed the

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON 1 JUSTICE SYSTEM 8.5 2 hate crime was found, and it was all part of our-our work together. So, thank you-thank you for that. 3 Anyone else want to kind of comment on that—on that 4 5 piece. Because I think the-the next piece is really 6 thinking about how-how the city from your 7 perspective, and this is-this is-I want to ask those consistent questions to all the panels. What can the 8 city do from your perspective? I asked the 9 10 Commissioner, Can we be in the courts, and she's open to thinking about that, and we're going-we're going 11 12 to work with-with MOIA and all of the agencies to figure out what works, but you're-you're the 13 14 practitioners on the ground. You're seeing this. 15 You're seeing-you're seeing the impacts. How-how can 16 you invite us into these spaces from your 17 perspective? Think big and we'll start from there, 18 and think vision but that's where we're-that's the kind of information we're going to want here. 19 20 in the middle of a budget process right now. both Council Member Lancman and I are on the Budget 21 2.2 Negotiating Team, and now is the time to understand 23 what we need to do in this crisis moment. ROSA COHEN-CRUZ: Well, one-in addition 24

to asking the State Legislature to pass and the

25

2.2

Govern to sign the Protect Our Courts Act, the report is asking the Office of Court Administration to pass our own rules, and to the extent that the city can, you know, ask—can echo us in this ask, that's extremely important. It is a—it is a two-pronged approach, and having the court itself speak out, and make that—designate themselves as safe space and make those rules, messages to the immigrant community of New York that it is a place where they need to fear immigration enforcement, and that's extremely important so, it's, you know, I urge you to press the court administration as well.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: So, and you got
that, and you'll-you'll continue to get that, and
what else in terms of presence that—do our agencies
need to be in the space? Do they need to be in
there? Do they need to have something three? Is
there—? This is—and—and if you if you don't have an
answer, now come back to us if you think about how we
can support with resources. That—we're not
Congressmen. We're not the federal government.
We're not—we're not able to change those laws, but we
are able to offer opportunities, and so much of that
is in funding, make sure that you are funded to go

2.2

into these courts and do the work, but if there's anything else, now-now is the time to talk and-and present. And so, if you can-if you can come back to us, that would be great. If you have anything now to share, I'd live to hear it.

ROSA COHEN-CRUZ: I would say that—that if—hopefully the Protect Our Courts Act is passed, that there be a widely—a wide public information campaign is the people in immigrant communities obviously in different languages are made aware of the fact that this—that a warrant is required for ICE to enter in the courthouses, and so that people throughout New York City are aware that this is a safe space, and what is going on and they are protected.

that's a great idea, a public awareness campaign, and you heard the Commissioner talk about our—our collaboration, the law the Local Law that we passed that really kind of sets that standard on our city property with city information, and so we can—we can kind put all that together, and say this is how we're protecting your rights, your privacy and your—your connection to justice with these courts. The—to—to

varying degrees some of the city's district attorneys have been outspoken about the detrimental effects of ICE and in the state courthouses. Have you found that the DAs are receptive to immigration issues and other aspects of your practices like immigration safe plea deals for example across the board citywide? Is that—what's that all—what's—what's happening there?

experience in Brooklyn. We have been very grateful to work with the—the Brooklyn DA on negotiating pleas that will mitigate or—or reduce potential immigration consequences for our clients. Of course, there is room for improvement, but we have had a very positive experience with that and, you know, I think there are probably other steps that could be taken with law enforcement just to go to your previous question in terms of reducing the number of arrests and definitively ending Broken Windows Policing in the city, and that would have a downstream effect on the exposure that our clients face when entering into plea agreements with the District Attorney's Office.

EVAN BERNSTEIN: Our experience so far with the DAs have been excellent especially-

RICHARD BAILEY: Across the board?

2.2

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

EVAN BERNSTEIN: No, again, the spaces that we're in sometimes it's not always-we're not always leading necessarily with ICE in courts. We're leading with other hate crime issues, other issues that are taking place in the community base and, you know, the broadness of our-of our mission. Certainly we were in those spaces. We were having those conversations and the conversations that I've had have been very receptive with the DAs that I've met with. Going back to the last part I think one we know, would be very helpful if it's possible is to work with the-the NYPD. I think we've had a great partnership with the work with the Special Hate Crimes Taskforce in partnership, and I think if there's a way for three to be particularly what we said like a marketing campaign to help with under-you know, people understand that NYPD especially the Hate Crimes Taskforce is doing everything in their power to try to be a real-a real partner in this, and even the trainings we have, they're giving out their cell phone number. They're making this very personal. So, I think that there is sometimes misnomers about what the police are—are doing and I think there's an opportunity there maybe to-to try to educate and-and

2.2

try to show a more formal partnership for especially the--the pieces of the department that are-that are actively trying to work on this issue.

that, and—and maybe the—the next question, the final question is, I kind of want to hear the operational response to the law itself that you're here supporting with us. Once it passes, how—how do you see and what's your expectation of the mechanics of the courtroom and how it works, and do you have a sense about that, are you getting ready for that and can you present any sense of some examples of how things will change on the ground in the court offices that we can—we can kind of hear directly?

ROSA COHEN-CRUZ: I think one thing is that law—the law enables the judges to make some of their own rules to ensure clients' presence at court so when they are taken into ICE custody, and so this issue that we've been seeing particularly with people being denied bonds because they're not able to come back to court and resolve their cases I think will be more easily addressed by empowering judges to kind of enforce clients' presence in their courtrooms, and resolve cases. You know, just requiring the judicial

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON JUSTICE SYSTEM 91

warrant and requiring the process also is extremely

important. I mean one really concrete way that we see things is our clients get arrested in court. ICE, you know, they have a picture our clients. They're kind of looking for them. They don't really know who they are. Sometimes they'll just yell out their name and grab them, and then after separating from-them from their attorney regardless of whether we even go to the right to counsel and the right to remain silent, they're questioned between the ride from the courthouse to 26 Federal Plaza and those statements are then used to prove their alienage in their immigration procedure. So, having this law that, you know, is on the books to prohibit ICE from arresting people in court, and to provide more due process in getting a warrant will actually make immigration advocates more able to then go into immigration court and terminate those cases where admission statements (sic) were unlawfully procured. So, it could be a very concreate result for-for many immigrants who may end up being arrested, and if they're-if they end up being arrested in violation of this law.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.2

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Can you walk me through the presentation of a-of a judicial warrant and that the process that you would imagine and ICE agent if thy actually had a warrant, and what they would do after this law if they didn't have a warrant, and what would change, and maybe nothing would change except for your ability-ability to build a case like you just mentioned, but does that change at all.

ROSA COHEN-CRUZ: You know, I imagine that they would have to have more substantial proof that somebody is deportable when they arrest them, and a lot of the time they don't. Like I said, a lot of the time the proof that they get about our clients' immigration status comes through these—through questioning them. When an undocumented person enters the country, they don't always have—there is not always other evidence until they are questioned outside of the presence of counsel and, you know, as I've just described. So, I think that requiring more process can really inhibit ICE's ability to arrest our clients in the court when they don't have proof of their immigration status.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Okay, and any other items? I'm going to hand it to Council—to Chair Lancman.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Thank you. I'm just curious from Bronx Defenders, Brooklyn Defenders and Legal Aid. Do you—have you seen any impact as a result of the—the Court of Appeals decision giving—I'll say just to simply giving—giving immigrants the right to a jury trial in a B misdemeanor cases, and—and as—are you seeing any change in plea conversations as a result of that. It's a very specific question. If you don't know that's okay, but—and I know you're not, if I'm not mistaken you're not doing the hands—on criminal work, but—

ROSA COHEN-CRUZ: We—we have—I mean in—sorry. By and large we see it mostly in DWI cases, and also forcible touching cases that those are often reduced for—to—to bench trials, and that's not happening any more. We have had some problems of DAs saying well, if you don't consent to this—to a bench trial, then we're not going to reduce and then the person will still be charge with the A misdemeanor, and increase their exposure to jail time. But I'd say that by and large district attorneys are

2.2

consenting to—to jury trials, which is wonderful for our clients, and we have seen some pushback in terms of requiring motions or hearings, but in general it's been a very positive development.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Well, I want to hear from the others if you have anything to add, but—but the part of that I am really interested in is are they [pause] holding cases? Are they—are they using as—as leverage the fact that they don't want to submit to a jury trial, and keeping—keeping that, you know, as a higher level of—of offense. That's—that's what I'm—I'm interested in.

ROSA COHEN-CRUZ: I haven't seen that very often. It's a very new decision. So, I think we still have yet to see how it's going to play out, and it's also very borough specific. It's also very district attorney specific. It's assistant district attorney specific. I-I've seen it in a few cases, but it's not a widespread practice. No.

JILL WALDMAN: I would—I would echo that this larger (sic) decision, you know, has created some incentive to—to resolve cases in more favorable ways sot that DAs will not have to be at jury trials and to offer—to offer non-criminal violations rather

than go to trial on misdemeanors. So, there have been some positive—positive plea resolutions as a result I would say.

RICHARD BAILEY: My understanding at Brooklyn Defender Services is that we are still monitoring to see what the impact of the SWASA decision is, and I've—I would be—I would have to speak with the Criminal Defense Practice to get more information about what they've been seeing. I'd be happy to get that information.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Alright, and—do you know—are your offices in any kind of conversations with the district attorneys about limiting the number of times that a—that a defendant has to appear in person at a hearing to try to limit that—limit the number of times that they're exposed to ICE at the courthouse? [pause]

ROSA COHEN-CRUZ: I don't know that we are, but I certainly think faster case resolutions ending (sic) on DA's offices to help resolve cases sooner is something to address your previous question about—that the city could do to help address this issue especially before the act is passed.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Uh-hm.

2.2

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

especially in case--

RICHARD BAILEY: I believe that on an individualized basis we are-our criminal defense attorneys are asking the assistant district attorneys to consent to setting a hearing past the speedy trial dismissal date if they know they won't be converting the case and—and being able to move forward with it, and I-my understanding is that that has been successful on-on some cases. It releases the amount of exposure that our clients do have in-in coming back to court, but again it's a case-by-case.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Yeah. I'll just mention that we've been joined by Council Member Erich Ulrich from Queens. Do you think that therethere is room for some kind of systematic approach that limits the number of times that defendants have to appear in court compared to-to-to where we are today to something less than just an ad hoc basis?

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: I'm—I'm looking for some guidance on-on what we should expend our political governmental capital on trying to get-

ROSA COHEN-CRUZ: Definitely.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: -- the system to do.

ROSA COHEN-CRUZ: [interposing] I mean

ROSA COHEN-CRUZ: In cases where-cases unconverted, which means there's no-a misdemeanor case unconverted and there's a--

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: [interposing] Can you speak into the mic.

ROSA COHEN-CRUZ: Sure.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: I just want to make sure you record all this.

ROSA COHEN-CRUZ: [laughter] If our case is unconverted, and it doesn't look like it's going to be converted, being able to adjourn the case past the—what we call the 30/30 days of speedy trial dismissal base would be extraordinarily helpful because usually there's a series of appearances where the client has to come to court just to adjourn the case and wait for it to be dismissed.

CHAIRPERSON LANCMAN: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you, CoChair Lancman, and I want to say just thank you.
We're done for this panel and keep fighting the good
fight. The work is not done on the—on the legislative
side, but hopefully that will help alleviate issues,
and take care of yourselves and your heart, and just
keeping fighting. We're in it with you. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

[background comments] We have two more panels, three more panels, nine more folks that want to testify today, and we want to make sure we get to everyone. Her Justice, Suzanne Saul; The Urban Justice Center, Atosa Amobahedy (sp?); Yvonne Chin from the Sanctuary for Families; Shani Adess, New York Legal Assistance Group, NYLAG. Thank you for your patience. We're going to put the 2-minute clocks like we did, and then really focus on Q&A so we can dig deeper, and if you can give us your testimony, we have it, we'll read it, and if there's any way that you could focus on items that have not told that can help push the conversation forward, that would be great and especially for the record to make sure that we hear that you are in support of the resolution as well. Thank you and we can start over here.

YVONNE CHEN: Good afternoon. My name is

Yvonne Chen, and I'm the Outreach Manager of the

Anti-Trafficking Initiative at Sanctuary for

Families. Thank you so much and we are so grateful

to the Committee on Immigration and to Chair Council

Member Menchaca for this opportunity to testify

today, and for holding a hearing on the critical

issue of Immigration Enforcement Agents making

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

arrests in our courthouses. Needless to say, this represents a threat to our fundamental Constitutional right to due process, and is having a disastrous effect on our Justice System's ability to serve some of our most vulnerable neighbors. Sanctuary has long prioritized at-risk isolated immigrants including scores of undocumented individuals and families. members of this committee know, City Council has support Sanctuary's work with immigrants and with Human Trafficking Intervention Courts. Since 2014, Sanctuary and our pro bono partners have conducted information sessions and intakes for over a thousand immigrant defendants in Queens and Brooklyn. A significant number of those individuals are either identified as victims of human trafficking or domestic violence. In may cases both. Many of these immigrant victims choose to enter with representation with Sanctuary and pro bono term full, and we are happy to report that a number of them have legal status today as direct results of the H cases. defendants meeting with counsel are able to speak freely perhaps for the first time, their information not only is assisted with procuring legal status for themselves, but in some cases have led to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

investigation and prosecution of traffickers. trust and the safe confidential environment, however, has been greatly eroded by presence of ICE in State Courts. This chilling effect applies to anyone that isn't seeking justice through the court system, but the effect on domestic violence and trafficking survivors is especially devastating. Our client, Anna was identified by defense counsel as a potential trafficking victim. Anna wanted to participate in the program to receive services, but was also too terrified to appear in court, or to meet with Sanctuary staff in a safe location. She tried many times to overcome her fear to come and meet with us, but in the end was paralyzed by the fear of ICE each time and unable to meet. Unfortunately, the fear of detention and deportation along with misinformation about immigration processes led her to avoid further participation [bell] of those in-person meetings. She currently has a warrant out for her arrest.

SUSANNA SAUL: Hi. Good afternoon. I
want to thank the City Council also and the
Committees on Immigration and the Justice System. My
name is Susanna Saul. I'm a Managing Attorney at Her
Justice. We're a non-profit organization that takes

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

a pro bono first approach to free legal services for women living in poverty in New York City. We train and mentor volunteer lawyers from the city's premier law firms who enable out clients to access the legal system and obtain the justice they so deserve. practice in the areas of family, national, and immigration law, and I want to focus my testimony today on the impact that the Protect Our Courts Act has on the clients and pro bono attorneys we work with. We strongly support the Protect Our Courts We believe that this legislation restores the integrity of the court system as the place where pro bono attorneys can confidently assist our clients in seeking life saving remedies for themselves and their children. Our clients come from all five boroughs of New York City. Approximately 80% are domestic violence survivors and three-quarters of our clients are mothers; 70% of our clients were born abroad. The increase in ICE arrests at civil court of the last couple of years has created a paralyzing climate of fear for our clients, and cloud of confusion for the pro bono attorneys we work with. Before ICE increased the arrests of people in the civil courts, our staff and pro bono attorneys would encourage

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

their clients to seek to help in the courts no matter of their immigration status. We could confidently tell our clients and pro bono attorneys that they could access the courts and the protections to which they are legally entitled without any regard to their immigration status, and we've had to shift our advice to our clients and our voluntary-voluntary attorneys since these ICE, since these ICE arrests starting increasing, and may pro bono attorneys asked us about the risks of ICE arresting their clients in the court when they show up for court appearances as witnesses in criminal cases or as litigants in Family Court of Supreme Court cases, and we are not able to give them any assurances. With the Protect Our Courts Act, [bell] we can't rule out completely ICE arrests in courts, but we can reassure them that there are protocols in place and that these arrests are more limited in scope and have judicial oversight. strongly support this legislation.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you. Chairs

Menchaca and Lancman, Council Members and staff,

thank you for the opportunity to speak. My name is

Shani Adess, and I'm the Associate Director of the

Matrimonial and Family Law Unit at the New York Legal

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Assistance Group. NYLAG's work in the Family Court, Supreme Court, Housing Courts and sitting at four of the five Family Justice Centers provide us with an on-the-ground view of the detrimental impact ICE presence in courts has on the administration of justice, and the particularly outsized impact it has had on those most vulnerable, including survivors of domestic violence, trafficking and youth. worked directly with clients who have withdrawn request for orders of protection, remain married to their abusive spouse out of fear of having to go to court to obtain a divorce, and who have refused to even begin a court face-case despite being in need of court intervention because of ICE courthouse presence. Some of our examples are contained in the written testimony as well as the report that was released today. Never before have had to when meeting-meeting with a survivor or domestic violence include in our safety plan with them whether or not it's safe for them to go to court because they're fearing that ICE would be there. We had one specific client who filed for an order of protection. came to us with marks all over her body, and we filed with her day, and when she served the other side by

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

the time she realized that she was going to be coming back and her abusive partner was going to be there, in that in between time he had posted posters all the neighborhood where she works saying words to the effect of ICE an illegal immigrant works here. And so, she immediately asked us what if he tips off ICE at court. There's court date, there's a floor. There's a specific place I'm going to be. And she ended up withdrawing her courtwithdrawing her court case. The presence of ICE in the courtroom silences immigrant communities. deprives them of due process under law, and it undermines the sanctity of our court systems. support the Protect Our Courts Act because while it still allows ICE to engage in lawful enforcement activity, it requires a warrant or court order ensuring a case-by-case analysis of each particular immigrant circumstances, and it will allow legal service providers to the ability to counsel our clients to their individual risk, ensure judicial oversight, and help delay the chilling effect [bell] of ICE in the courthouse. It would also send a strong message that our New York State government believes that our courthouses are open for all, and

help change the perception that our immigrant communities are currently saying to us that they don't believe that's the case.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

ATOSA MOULA HEDDI: Good afternoon to the Council. My name is Atosa Moula Heddi (sp?). the Director of Legal Service and Development at the Urban Justice Center's Domestic Violence Project. Please imagine the following: LGBTQ client E.J. in court seeking protection from severe abuse unaware ICE is in the courtroom. The case is adjourned. Again, unaware, the clients is followed by ICE and summarily arrested outside the building. As a direct result of this, today E.J. is on the verge of reconciling with her abuser because an abusive relationship is safer than being targeted by ICE in the courts. E.J. is one of 60% of our non-U.S. citizen clients facing a wide range or issues: complaining witnesses and different defendants who are in criminal court, petitioners and respondents in Family Court, tenants in Housing Court, victims of identity theft and more. The presence of ICE in our community courts in New York City impacts our ability to effectively help them navigate all of these systems, and I think we-I feel compelled to screen

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

our clients for immigration issues before filing in Family Court. While our program of interdisciplinary team includes immigration attorneys, this is not a readily available resource to 18B (sic) Panels and to the other attorneys. Screening for these issues is really a (sic) fete that takes time, expertise and resources, and while the necessity of these screenings is not eliminated with the passing of this legislation, it would enable us to more accurately calculate the risks to our clients in filing. This is an act that's a justice issue. The public deserves order and reliability within our legal system. very purpose of this bill is to ensure smoother access to justice. Community Court such as Family Court are often the only means of accessing justice for working poor and indigent families. By the time you're there, you're already desperate. You're often already marginalized, discriminated against, and impoverished. ICE in these courts impact everybody and impedes access. Like E.J., those seeing help deserve order and reliability rather than panic and instability for domestic violence plans. cannot protect them in every from ICE. What we can do is allow them a venue to pursue a tool to protect

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON 107 1 JUSTICE SYSTEM 2 them from one evil in their lives, the perpetrators. We can promote our legal remedies as [bell] as one 3 4 that are real that they can avail, too, with 5 unjustifiable intrusion by the government during that 6 process. At least when they leave the courthouse 7 they are leaving a little bit more empowered and a 8 little bit more safe. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you for 9 10 that, and just giving us more context about what's happening on the ground. I think what I want to ask 11 12 now is hoping there's, you know, if this law passes, what happens in terms of all the work we'll do with 13 14 communication? Does this change the needle? Is it 15 too late? Is the chilling effect had its impact? 16 Will we see a turn and could we make a difference in people's perception about what's happening. Even 17 18 with the law as it's written, ICE will still be able to come in, but they'll just have to follow more 19 20 Will that help change the culture or the kind of fear factor for-for our courts? 21 2.2 ATOSA MOULA HEDDI: I think so. 23 that--24 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: [interposing] Can-

25

can you speak--

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

ATOSA MOULA HEDDI: Sure. I think that if you look historically awhile back or not so long ago, but there was a lot of fear with immigrant communities in terms of engaging with our systems, and we did a lot of work with specifically survivors of domestic violence and trafficking and youth to make them feel safe coming forward, and unfortunately, we've lost some of the things that we've gained. That's certain, but there's no reason that we wouldn't be able to hopefully be able to get that back, and what I think the Protect Our Courts Act does is it—it sends a message, and it sends a real powerful message that can hopefully change this perception. There's a perception amongst immigrant communities, right. You see ICE just wandering freely in the courthouse or you hear about that from your friend that was just in court, and then there's the perception: Is the court working with ICE? court going to report me to ICE? And if you have something coming out really strongly from the city and from the state from the Protect Our Courts Act, then you can have this thing to rely back on and say There's this very clear statement by everybody that our courts are not working with ICE, and that if

ICE wants to come after you, they have to go through all of these processes. Let's talk about you. Let's talk about your case. How big of a risk are you at being detained by ICE? Just because ICE is there for another person doesn't mean they can pick you up also while being there because they find out that you are also somebody who might not be in lawful immigration status. And so I do think that it certainly provides us with a much better tool to be able to give our clients information and assurances. [background comments.

SHANI ADESS: Yeah, I mean I also think it's important to recognize, too, because we will have a lot of work to do because there has been such a big chilling effect, and-and I think that requires—someone had mentioned funding earlier on previous panels, right, and I think funding for organizations to do community organizing, and I think it's very important to put more resources in that because the communities are very strong, and this information they hear is very strong, and most people who—most organizations who are working with immigrants already are so backlogged, and so we really want to make sure

2.2

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Yes, agreed, agreed and hopefully this session will be the session. Chair Lancman? Okay, thank you so much.

Thank you.

SUSANNA SAUL:

I think what's most dangerous is the failure to act.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Our next panel is, last panel. The last panel? The last panel we have Make the Road, Miriam Martinez, please. The Anti-Violence project H. Linn House (sic). I think he might have already left. Virginia Goggin (sp?), Greg Waltman; Fernanda Hidskin(sic). The Council Peoples Organization; and is there anyone else that submitted

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON 1 JUSTICE SYSTEM 111 2 a-an appearance card but it was not called? Anybody else want to testify to day? Okay, great. 3 If we can start here to the left. [background comments] Okay, 4 5 that's fine, too. 6 RISA DIXON: Good afternoon. My name is 7 Risa Dixon (sic). I'm an immigration attorney at 8 Make the Road New York. I'm going to be interpreting for Miriam Hernandez who is a Make the Road community 9 10 member. Go ahead. MIRIAM HERNANDEZ: [Speaking Spanish] 11 12 [bell] CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Muchas gracias. 13 14 TRANSLATOR: My name is Miriam Martinez. 15 I'm here today because I want to share with the City 16 Council how immigration has affected my life, and why the State Legislature must pass and for Governor 17 18 Cuomo to sign the Protect Our Courts Act. My husband Plutarco Ramirez was arrested by Immigration on July 19 2018 after being falsely charged with a crime that 20 was later dropped. His detention drastically shifted 21 2.2 my everyday life. For the first time my family was 23 torn part. The way that Plutarco was detained was 24 very difficult, and thanks to the work of Make the

Road New York, Plutarco is now back with us, and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

continuing to fight his case from the outside. have-I have the stories of people who have been detained outside of the court, and while my husband was in Immigration Detention, he also heard similar stories. The day before Plutarco was arrested by immigration, he had a court appearance, and after the court appearance was over, we accidentally left the courthouse through the back, but I am sure if Plutarco and I had left through the front, Immigration would have detained him right there. Immigration detains people without letting them know who they are while people are trying to fix their cases in the court. The Protect Our Courts Act will help mitigate-will help mitigate arrests outside the courts, require immigration agents to show judicial warrants before arresting someone. It will help people not be afraid to go to court, which can be risky for their cases. I support this bill for these reasons as an immigrant and a York-and a New York resident. The presence of ICE in New York courthouses is a tactic being used to terrorize our immigrant community, and undermines our constitutional right to access courts in our judicial system. deportation mission has no place in our courthouses

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON 1 JUSTICE SYSTEM 113 2 and New Yorkers should not fear being ripped away from their families when accessing our courts. 3 4 husband-my husband and I support the Protect Our 5 Courts Act, which would allow all New Yorkers 6 regardless of immigration status to have equal and 7 safe access to New York courts. 8 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Senior Martinez [Speaking Spanish] 9 10 MIRIAM MARTINEZ: [Speaking Spanish] CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Muchas gracias. 11 12 Thank you. [background comments] FERNANDA HIDSKIN: Okay, got you. 13 14 you for having me today. My name is Fernanda Hidskin 15 (sp?). I'm an immigration attorney at the Council of 16 Peoples Organization. We predominantly serve the South Asian community, and we have ween a strong 17 18 chilling effect regarding people being arrested by, or near or inside courthouses. The clients have told 19 20 us that they have been hearing rumors of courthouses arrests for years especially in an environment of 21 2.2 general ICE fear and anxiety created by the rhetoric 23 of the current administration. This is spread 24 quickly enough that we have seen immigrants too

scared to show up to court even to support a family

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

or community or serve as witnesses. This has been true of immigrants with every level of status as they feel that the Trump Administration will use any excuse to get rid of them. They know that ICE shows up to courthouse arrests and makes courthouses arrests. This is discouraging legal process, and forcing judges to make decisions without full access to witnesses. Without some sort of relief, we expect to hear more stories of immigrants too scared to show up to court many of whom have legitimate avenues of relief, but are intimidated and bullied into undocumented life, leaving them vulnerable to these types of arrests. The Protect Our Courts Act would serve to ensure that individuals who are trying to do the right thing either for themselves and their case or to assist family members in the process are given the protection necessary to do so. And I would like to share one example of a client that I had not that long ago. She had been sexually assaulted, and I was doing a consultation with her, and I explained the process to obtain U Visa, and in that process I explained that it was very likely that she would have to go to court at some point, and in the end she said I'm scared, and I said okay, are you scared of the

2.2

attacker? And she said, No, I'm scared of ICE. So, I don't think that—that she would be the only one that I see that will tell me the same thing. She hasn't been the only one that I've seen that has told me that [bell] and different types of scenarios, if—if I may, and so, you know, I do believe that I can speak for myself and other members of the Council of Peoples Organization that we strongly support this act. Thank you.

GREG WALDMAN: Councilman Menchaca, Greg
Waldman, G1 Quantum, the clean energy company called
G1 Quantum, but the issues are-are pretty complex.
So, where should we begin? Obviously you have
Christian Nealson being or resigning or being like
out, but I wouldn't say that-that is indicative of
anything new, or a new policy coming from the
Administration to help resolve the issue. Again, the
issue for remains one of the value based hyper
protectionism within the media and narratives of thethe viability of alternative solutions. Solutions
like I suggested to you a couple of weeks ago with
solar wall opportunity, because if you're putting
solar panels on 2,000 miles or border wall, all of a
sudden you have new jobs, and you're creating \$242

2.2

trillion kilowatt hours of energy or \$291 billion of energy per year, and then you're able to export energy to Latin America for cheaper, which on average pays 20 to 25% more in energy prices, reducing the barrier to entry for Latin American citizens to participate in the global economy and resolving chain migratory issues through that type of proprietary

migratory issues through that type of proprietary application. But the—the issue remains one of the value scope of the narratives or solutions being suggested to the public. So, if these solutions are superior courses of action, these—these exist, it's one of parsing through these value based protectionist narratives to make sure that these

alternative solutions do, in fact, exist so that a

more diverse conversation can be created to resolve these issues. And—it does go—come down to the courts and the courts' discretion, but through improperly type of value bench trial monopolies and a type of graft and malversation that these people [bell] have testified to. Obviously taking a—a broader stance, a broader approach through this—this bill and legislation is appropriate. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: Thank you for that and—and I think what—what I—what I heard you say very

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON 1 JUSTICE SYSTEM 117 2 plainly was how do we-how do we come up with more solutions, and-and different solutions and bringing 3 4 different people to the table for that discussion, 5 and-and I-and I hope that this-that's what, you know, we started this conversation with the Commissioner 6 7 giving some incredibly eloquent personal reflections about what we recently did with the committee and how 8 we've changed the makeup of the committee to ensure 9 10 that everyone feels welcome here to speak to us directly about some of these things that are so 11 12 traumatic. We're not just hearing from lawyers. We're hearing from advocates and we're hearing from 13 14 people who have been personally impacted like Ms. 15 Martinez, and that's-that's important, and that's 16 what we're trying to do here. So, thank you and I hope you feel welcome to all of you. I have a-I have 17 18 a question for Ms. Martinez. [Speaking Spanish] 19 MIRIAM MARTINEZ: [Speaking Spanish] 20 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: [Speaking Spanish] MIRIAM MARTINEZ: [Speaking Spanish] 21 2.2 CHAIRPERSON MENCHACA: I asked Ms. 23 Martinez what could the city do essentially to 24 support her, and having gone through it with her

husband in her testimony what could the city do, and

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON 1 JUSTICE SYSTEM 118 2 she quickly pointed to Make the Road, and really supporting Make the Road as an organization, and an 3 4 organization that as with her every step of the way 5 bringing the lawyer, but also bringing other resources for her and her daughter who even-her 6 7 daughter, who is an American born, is-is fearful, too of police and that-that I think is-is what she's kind 8 of describing as-as the real need, and how we can-how 9 10 we can-and that's something we can do as a city. can support the family as they walk through, in and 11 12 out of the courts, and out of-and in and out of immigration needs, and-and that's what we're doing. 13 That's what we're doing here in the-in the 14 15 Immigration Committee, and that's what we're fighting 16 for every single budget to ensure that we get thethat all immigrants get access to-to justice. 17 18 [Speaking Spanish] I don't think I have any other questions for-for you all. I do want to end with 19 20 this: Not only an incredible thank you to all the staff, both from the Justice Committee, but also the-21 2.2 the Immigration Committee, and we started this 23 conversation with the reports, and the reports

offered data, and what-what I think is so-so

important about this is that we have both testimony

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

that's connected to the impact and the trauma that's happening in our courts that are supposed to be delivering justice, and that's part of how-the only way that our-our government and our democracy and the promise of the Constitution and, you know, what America is all about is requires that-that branch of government to be strong, and-and that's being impacted by the Executive right now in a very big way. And so, how do we that with the legislative bodies moving forward to propose a law to change that structure to-to return to a better justice system, and so-but it has to be fueled not just for stories that are so impactful, and we've got to tell those stories, but also through data, and we're seeing that data, and both IDP and the work that the Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs has put together, and Bronx Legal Services, and Make the Road and all these organizations that are bringing the data forward to tell the stories through numbers about how it's actually impacting people from applying to U and T Visas, and asking for a lawyer and-and reporting crimes in the neighborhood. That's-that's how our society can work, and so this is-this is-this is what makes it so fundament, and that's why we're here

1	COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON 120
2	and then we're going to advocate for a passage of
3	this law, and very soon we'll vote on it. I look
4	forward to the City Council hopefully with unanimous
5	support to send that message to-to Albany, to the
6	Governor, to the State Senate and the State Assembly.
7	So, thank you all for your-your support today, and-
8	and we'll see you at the next Immigration Committee
9	hearing. Thank you. [gavel]
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date May 11, 2019