CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION

----- X

February 25, 2019 Start: 1:18 p.m. Recess: 7:56 p.m.

HELD AT: Council Chambers - City Hall

B E F O R E: Mark Treyger

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Alicka Ampry-Samuel

Inez D. Barron
Joseph C. Borelli
Justin L. Brannan

Andrew Cohen

Robert E. Cornegy, Jr.

Chaim M. Deutsch Daniel Dromm

Daniel Diomin

Barry S. Grodenchick

Ben Kallos Andy L. King Brad S. Lander Stephen T. Levin

Mark Levine

Ydanis A. Rodriguez Deborah L. Rose

Rafael Salamanca, Jr.

Eric A. Ulrich

## APPEARANCES

Jocelyn David-Burch

Uvania [SP?] Espino

Debbie Meyer

Nieves Ojediz (with the interpreter) Sonya Gabba

Susan Paterson

Cameron Brown

Maggie Moroff Public Advocate

Randi Lavine

Jaclyn Okin Barney

Lori Podvesker INCLUDE NYC

Lisa Vasquez

Alice Bufkin Director of Policy for Child and Adolescent Health

Deputy Chancellor Early Education Josh Wallack

Dr. Linda Chen Chief Academic Officer for DOE Corinne Rello-Anselmi Deputy Chief Academic Officer for DSSIS

Lauren Siciliano Deputy Chief Operation Officer

Alexandra Robinson Executive Director Office of People Transportation

Heidi Siegfried

Jesse Cole Cutler Law Office of Regina Skyer & Associates, LLP

Sonya Mendez-Castro Regina Skyer Associates

Ellen McHugh

Nancy Northrop

Susan Crowson

Rebecca Kostyuchenko

Jackie Kostyuchenko

Celia Green

Melissa Katz

Mathew Delforta

Cameron Brown

S. David Jacobson

Laura Espinoza

Sheila Szcuponiac [SP]

Karen Tumulty

Dr. Beth Raskin

Rae Eisdorfer[SP?]

Susan Crawford,

Aurelia Mack,

Michelle Noris

Michele Korgood

Leah Steinberg

Maria Roca

afternoon,

2

3

CHAIRPERSON	TREYGER:	Good

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

everyone and welcome to today's Committee on Education oversight hearing on the Department of Education's Provision of Special Education Services. My name is Council Member Mark Treyger and I am the proud Chair of this Committee. I'd like to welcome my colleagues that are present here. We have Council Member Barron, Council Member Dromm, and Council Member Rosenthal and I believe Council Member Kallos will be with us shortly.

At today's hearing, we will hear testimony on the following legislation and resolution. Introduction 900, sponsored by Council Member Kallos, Introduction 1380, sponsored by Council Member Rosenthal and Introduction 1406, sponsored by Council Member Dromm. We'll hear more about those bills from their sponsors shortly. We'll also hear testimony on Introduction 559, which I am proud to sponsor. This legislation would amend a law passed by this Council in 2015 and would require DOE to provide school-level data regarding compliance rates for students' individualized education programs known as IEPs.

2.1

2.2

While DOE is already required to report on compliance rates with respect to IEPs, they were not required to provide the compliance rate at the individual school level. In furtherance of insuring that DOE is complying with IEPs, I have also introduced Resolution 749 which calls upon DOE to establish a czar position specifically tasked with helping DOE achieve full compliance with IEPs and other requirements for students in special education.

While I truly appreciate the good work of the Deputy Chief Academic Officer of Special Education and Student Services has done, as we've heard in many hearings, we need someone who has the authority and the mandate to cut across the offices of different deputy Chancellors. So, for example, we've held hearings in this committee in the past on OPT where we learned that the needs of students on our student transportation systems were not being met. Where kids were on buses for long hours, no food, no water, no medical attention. These are kids who are medically fragile and there is no clear chain of accountability about who is responsible to make sure the students are receiving the required help and required services. I'm also talking about students

\_

who are supposed to be enrolled in our UPK programs but cannot because we don't have the physical space to accommodate their needs or the right personal to meet their needs. It's not truly universal when many of our kids are not at the table receiving required education services.

Today, this Committee through testimony and the legislation that will be heard will focus on DOEs compliance with IEPs. Additionally, we will look at the full range of services that are offered to students with special needs and where the DOE is failing to provide such services as well as how quickly DOE reimburses parents for private school tuition or other services for children who are not receiving appropriate special education services in DOE schools.

Nearly 20% of students in the New York City
School system are students with disabilities which is
224,160 of our students. To put that into better
perspective, the Houston Independent School District,
which Chancellor Carranza used to be Superintendent
of, has a total student population of 214,175
students.

2.2

DOE reported in their latest special education report that only 78.4% of school age students with IEPs and DOE settings were fully receiving their recommended services. 19.1% were receiving partial services and 2.5% didn't receive any. Further, and even more disappointing, in 2018, only roughly 50% of students with disabilities graduated from high school within four years. I acknowledge that DOE has made a number of substantial improvements, one of the latest being the special education reform launched in 2012 in partnership with the state. However, the numbers I just read show so much more work is needed to ensure we serve some of our cities most vulnerable students.

I recognize that not every school has adequate staff to provide all the needed services. I also recognize that compared with other large urban school districts in this nation, our related service providers like physical and occupational therapists are experiencing significant paid disparities with other DOE positions like counseling in school psychology. This contributes to a shortage of service providers. For those English language learners or now the DOE refers to them as

2.1

2.2

Multilingual learners receiving special education services, the lack of services being provided is only part of the issues they face. From DOE itself, we know that these students are not receiving the services they need in the language they understand.

DOE reported that for the 2017-2018 school year, 17.2% of bilingual counseling sessions and 17.4% of bilingual speech language therapy sessions were not held in the recommended language due to shortages of bilingual providers. Think about that for a moment. Almost a fifth of counseling in language therapy sessions were not held in the recommended language of the students. Not only are many of these students coming here and experiencing a new country, language, culture and school, they have no way of meaningfully connecting with their peers and teachers because the services they need and are entitled to are not being provided in their native tongue.

Not only are we continuing to fail our students, we are also shortchanging the teachers we charge to teach them. I know first hand as I experience this myself as a teacher in our public-school system, that there is a lack of sufficient and appropriate professional development and teacher training for

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

teachers in district schools to enable them to adequately meet the needs of the influx of students with disabilities they now serve. If our teachers are not adequately prepared, how can we expect our students to be? We must provide our teachers and schools with tools and skills they need to connect with all students especially those receiving special education services. We can and must do better. challenge the DOE to think of new and creative ways to fully meet the needs of every student receiving special education services. Working with parents in the community and having an open and honest exchange of ideas would yield positive results. Just like the reform plan launched in 2012 which the state education department used as a model for the other large urban districts across the state. This city and its education leaders must build on that reform and be bold in its next steps to address the provisions of special education services in our city's public schools.

Being bold is not taking a division at DOE which was headed by a Deputy Chancellor and moving it down the chain of command under the prevue of a chief academic officer. What was once a cabinet level

J

position with its own independent voice, is not subsumed into another division. Appearance matters, accountability matters, the ability to be a strong voice and advocate on such a critical issue such as special education in a sprawling executive department matters. I would certainly hope to hear from the department why such a restricting occurred and the rational behind it.

The Committee in Education looks forward to hearing testimony from the department, parents, students, educators, advocates and others on this issue. I would like to thank my staff including Innis Scaff [SP?], Venessa Ogle and Eric Famberg [SP?]. I want to thank the Committee staff, our new outstanding Committee Counsel, welcome Malcom Butehorn. Malcom welcome to the Committee. Jan Atwell, Kalima Johnson, and Kaitlyn O'Hagan.

Before we begin, I'd like to turn to my colleagues who have bills before this Committee for quick remarks on their bills and first we'll hear from Council Member Dromm.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Thank you, Chair Treyger for holding this hearing on Special Education. It has been nearly five years since the Committee on

2.1

2.2

Education last visited the issue. At that time, I chaired the committee as it focused on challenges around the timely provision of services. Soon thereafter, the Council passed my bill which was enacted as local law 27 of 2015 requiring the Department of Education to provide data regarding students receiving special education services.

Subsequent to the last hearing, the advocates approached me to expand into special education services for preschool age children. Now, it is an ideal time to be talking about this as the city strengthens its commitment to early childhood education with the roll out of UPK and 3PK.

Ensuring that appropriate special education services and early intervention services are implemented during this time of life is crucial to setting children on the path to success. The consequences of not acting are all too obvious. Years of shuffling students along until they end their academic careers without a high school diploma or really any of the tools needed to live and work and thrive in our city.

Intro 1406 will continue where local law 27 left off by requiring the Department of Education to

## COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION

2	report	on	preschool	special	education	and	early
3	   interve	ent:	ion service	es.			

2.1

2.2

These data are important for tracking the departments progress toward special education for all eligible students no matter how economically disadvantaged or otherwise hard to reach.

I look forward to hearing from department and the advocates about how we can improve, how we reach the youngest New Yorkers who need our education system to provide them with the best possible start in life.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Thank you very much Council Member and next we will hear from Council Member Rosenthal.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Thank you so much

Chair Treyger. Thank you for holding this hearing, I

very much appreciate it. I'm proud to sponsor Intro

1380 which requires the Department of Education to

report annually the claims for payment for special

education tuition and/or services.

In 2014, the DOE committed to expediting decisions on whether to settle Special Education reimbursement claims within fifteen days. In 2015, the DOE announced it would finalize settlements

2.2

within 90 days. These commitments were meant to ease the settlement process, reduce litigation, and expedite reimbursement payments to families, and I thank them for that effort; however, based on reports from parents and advocates, it's clear that DOE is not meeting these commitments and the process is

scuttled by bureaucratic and legal nightmares.

Let me give you some examples. Parents are forced to exhaust all options and then front payments for special education that the city is supposed to provide. Families are also forced to retain lawyers to pursue reimbursement claims, all at their own expense.

Reimbursement for Special Ed tuition and services can still take years to materialize and even when settlements are reached, the money can trickle in over extended periods of time. Parents are burdened by unnecessary hearings taking up time, as well as tax payer money in order to receive reimbursements. And lastly, probably most importantly although this is all critical, families that cannot afford to front the expenses, either due to time constraints or financial constraints for their child's education or even the legal fees that come along with this fight,

are left without options. Their children do not get

the education that they need and deserve.

My bill, Intro 1380 is intended to hold the DOE

accountable to their own commitments for special education reimbursements. Stop wasting tax payer money and resources, deliver much needed transparency for parents and advocates, and ease the bureaucratic and financial burden for parents and most importantly, improve education for our special education needs children.

Again, I'm grateful to Chair Treyger for holding this hearing, as well as my colleagues who have signed on to support this legislation. I would also like to thank all of the parents and advocates, there are quite a few of you who worked with my office in drafting the legislation along with Daniel Collins from the City Council Bill Drafting Unit, Beth Gallup who is the former Council to the Chair on Education and Malcom Butehorn, the current Council to the Committee. I look forward to the testimony from the Department of Education. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Thank you Council Member and next we'll hear from Council Member Kallos.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Good afternoon.

Council Member Ben Kallos. You can tweet me, as always at Ben Kallos. I want to start off by

thanking all of the parents who have, let the record

reflect that they have filled this room along with

advocates, members of the public, notably CSA

President Mark Kanazaro, thank you for being here, as

well as members of the media and those watching on TV

and online. Thank you to Education Chair Mark 10

Treyger for working to get this bill heard and thank 11

12 you to the Council staff for your hard work. I am

sure this bill the voices and expertise of our 13

14 communities.

> Last May, former Public Advocate Latisha James introduced Introduction 900 of 2018, which would force DOE officials to provide more information about services for public students with disabilities.

> At the time, I was proud to be a co-sponsor along with Council Member Steve Levin and of course, now that public advocate James is now New York State Attorney General. James, I continue to carry this bill in [Inaudible 22:29] under Introduction 900 of 2018 administrators must publish tri-annually the

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

demographic information of nearly 200,000 students

with disabilities in city schools.

The information includes the number and percentage of students who are receiving special education services such as monolingual speech therapy, bilingual speech therapy, occupational therapy, physical therapy, vision and hearing education services, assistive technology services, and special transportation services, just to name a few.

According to figures published by the city in November, only 73% of those students received all of the service they were supposed to in 2016. The current system is leaving behind to many of our most vulnerable students while it should be supporting them and putting them forward.

Special education services are mandated services, not optional resources. The state law requires the city gives students with disabilities individual education plans IEPs that detail needed services, but as reported last summer by the Daily News in 2016, more than 40,000 students only received partial services or did not get any at all.

2.2

Introduction 900 of 2018 will go a long way to ensure that the Council and the parents of these childrens are receiving the services they deserve.

Thank you again. I will disclose that I have three contemporaneous hearing on two different bills that I have been working on for quite a while, so hash tag three hearings one time, so I'm sorry that I will not be able to remain, but I thank the Committee members.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Thank you very much Council Member. I do recognize that we do have an attendance today. The president of CSA, Mark Cannizzaro, we appreciate his attendance and his support, and I also want to recognize, we've also been joined by some other special guests in the audience.

For those of you who know me, I am a proud former teacher, but education really hits home in my family. My father is a retired district 75 teacher. My mother retired paraprofessional and they are both with us here today as well.

[Inaudible 25:49] Treyger, thank you so much for being here as well. Thank you. Thank you, they have instilled a lot of this passion and drive into me.

We're going to do something here. Actually, I want to note that we've also been joined by my other

2.2

colleagues, Council Member Barron, Council MemberCohen, Council Member King, Council Member

4 Grodenchik, Council Member Brennon and Council Member 5 Ulrich.

We're going to do something unique here at this hearing. Normally, we hear from the administration first, but I feel it's only fitting that we actually hear from some parents first about what they have endured, their families have endured in terms of getting services that are mandated and required for their children and how they've experienced great difficulty navigating a very complex bureaucracy. Getting critical resources for their kids and these are our kids. These are our children and we all have an obligation to make sure that they get every resource available and required to them, so they can succeed. And I want to also just appreciate Advocates for Children who have really been champions fighting on behalf of our children.

So, I'd like to call up for the first panel,
Jocelyn David-Burch, Uvania [SP?] Espino, Debbie
Meyer, Nieves Ojediz, with the interpreter Sonya
Gabba, Susan Paterson, and Cameron Brown.

Alright, I guess we'll work our way down, we can start here. Just introduce yourself and you may begin.

NIEVES OJENDIZ: (Testimony in Spanish).

SONYA GABBA (Interpreter for Nieves): Good afternoon. My name is Sonya Gabba, I'm a case worker at Advocates for Children of New York and I will be interpreting for Ms. Ojendiz today. Her testimony is as follows.

Good afternoon. My name is Nieves Ojendiz and I live in the Bronx. I am the mother of Anna, a 10-year-old student and I have had many problems acquiring special education and nursing services in school.

Anna is diagnosed with seven diseases and disorders. Some of these include cerebral palsy, a seizure disorder, and osteopenia. She needs an adult to periodically clear out the phlegm from her nose and throat in order to avoid suffocation. She is nonverbal and uses a wheelchair. She needs an adult's assistance for everything.

In January of 2016, Anna was seriously injured while in the care of the Department of Education.

One afternoon, she returned home from school crying

2.2

hysterically. Her leg was swollen, so I called an ambulance to take her to the hospital. There, they

performed emergency surgery in order to repair her

5 broken leg. The accident occurred during school

6 hours, but the DOE has never offered an explanation

7 for the accident nor has it taken responsibility.

8 School personnel should have the intensive capacity

9 to tend to students with this level of need without

10 putting them in danger.

My daughter missed school for two months, so I called Advocates for Children to help me find a new school. Even at a new school, they couldn't take care of her well. One day, the school asked me to pick her up because she couldn't stop crying. I discovered that they weren't seating her correctly in her wheelchair, which caused her more pain. Many times, I have stayed home with her because I am scared that she will be injured at school.

Anna's doctors have always said that she needs a nurse to accompany her during the day. I, therefore, requested nursing services with the help of my attorney, but he DOE rejected the request and the representative who made that decision wasn't even

/

2.2

present during the IEP meeting where they rejected the request formally.

We requested nursing services a second time, and that time the DOE never gave us a response. In 2017, Anna's school had to sent her to the hospital because she had a high fever, which resulted in a pulmonary infection because the school wasn't clearing out her phlegm when needed. Anna continued to miss weeks of school.

In 2017, my attorney and I requested nursing services a third time and in the resulting IEP meeting, Anna's teachers expressed that she needs a nurse. Even with that level of consensus, the DOE still did not offer her nursing services.

After submitting three requests, my attorney sued the DOE for denying my daughter appropriate special education services. Once we filed the case, the DOE finally decided to provide a nurse.

Throughout this process, I never received any
IEPs, evaluations, or forms in my language, Spanish.
My daughter has encountered serious barriers to an
education that is safe and appropriate for her, but
they have been even harder to overcome due to
language barriers.

/

My daughter is fragile and deserves a safe childhood, not only at home, but also in spaces supervised by her school.

Please, I urge the Department of Education to put the needs of children first. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Thank you very much.

UVANIA ESPINO[SP?]: Good Morning and thank you for the opportunity to speak. My name is Uvania [SP?] Espino, I am the mother of a 9-year-old little girl named Mejia [SP?}. Mejia is wheelchair bound and suffers from many disabilities. She attends PS 138 in Manhattan since Kindergarten. She is now in the fourth grade and ideally next year she would be graduating with the children that she's been with since day one, but the truth is that we are now seeking alternative placement for Mejia's next school year.

Accessibility at her school and in so many schools continues to be a problem. PS 138 has no elevator. Not only is the first floor the only space that Mejia can access, but we've had to seek out advocacy and media coverage to get the school to install working bell and signage at the designated

accessible entrance, a challenge that still exists

today.

Recently, I met with my daughters' instructional team for the annual IEP. We discussed my daughters

process onset. I have no doubt that they care about my daughter and go above and beyond to meet her

needs, yet this group of professionals acknowledge

that Mejia had outgrown the services available to her

on the only barrier free floor in the building.

The children in her cohort have advanced to classes located on the third floor.

We will never know if Mejia might (crying). I'm sorry. We will never know if Mejia might be able to perform in those classes because she cannot climb the steps. Her current teacher has observed that Mejia asked about her former classmates and is emotional and effected by the separation.

My daughter faces enough challenges just getting through her daily life and she should not also be subjected to conditions that can be described in no other way then separate but equal.

Provisions must be put in place to include adequate staff and accountable staff so that Mejia and other children won't have to endure the emotional

distress or social stigma that comes with the unequal access and that all children can realize their potential. Thank you.

JOCELYN DAVID-BURCH: Good afternoon. I just want to say that my name is Jocelyn David Burch and I would like to express how much I appreciate the opportunity to be here today on behalf of my son David and to share our experience transitioning from early intervention into CPSE.

The initial IEP meeting was held on October 30, 2018 to secure the extension of EI services, but due to my sons' birthday, his birthday is November 3<sup>rd</sup>, they were only able to extend his service until December 31<sup>st</sup>, two months.

Around that same time, he is a very high functioning child. He is not officially diagnosed, but he does have signs of autism, but because he is so high functioning, it took awhile to figure that out, but in that process, around that same time, I re-met with Early Intervention in order to increase his services to an ABA [inaudible 45:02] and for 20 hours per week, due to the suspicion of autism.

The initial recommendation made for my son was for an 8-12 classroom, but the progress reports that

\_

were made by both the ABA as well as his speech therapists, in just those two months of an extension, he made so much progress that they changed his classroom setting from 8-12 to 12-12.

Now, what does that indicate? If simply extending early intervention from 11-3-18 to 12-31-18 raise David's level of function astronomically, what else could he have accomplished with no disruption to service?

Helping to take away precisely when it's needed most and it's showing the most evidence of progress, it to say the least devastating. You have to forgive me, bear with me here.

Not to mention, my administrator kind of always seemed a little too busy, so I had to secure my own occupational therapy as well as speech therapy for my son. I have been working for him full time. I cannot take a job. I'm married and my husband is struggling. We have two children. My husband has epilepsy. So, me not working is painful.

Within the last five weeks, I have toured ten schools. All I've heard, "I'm sorry, maybe

September, let's try for July" and everyday my son is using less words. Everyday my son, the new young man

2.2

that I got to meet as a result of those services was dwindling away from me but being who I am, I didn't give up on my son and I gave it a 24-hour job to be his advocate. And as a result, by the grace of God and a lot of blood, sweat and tears, my son is attending his first day of school today, but I wish it was because of the people I'm looking at, not because I sacrificed my job. Not because I sacrifice every moment that I can bond with my daughter because I'm trying to compensate for an ABA that I don't have the skills to do.

I'm as much of a student as he. I need as much schooling as he. It should be good news, mission accomplished but at what cost? What needs to change?

I had to sacrifice the ability to work because of the level of advocacy needed to protect the needs of my son. No parent should have to give up everything for basic needs. It's like watching your child in quick sand and you just don't feel like throwing the rope.

So, I was just going to throw out some suggestions to close out. With regards to service extensions from Early Intervention, why not just extend the time until a preschool placement is found

\_

and confirmed? If not, maybe take over the services from EI and continue them, because now your going to spend that much more money placing this child in a lower functioning classroom and then schools don't have the classrooms. If you want a classroom, anything smaller than a twelve, it's almost impossible, which means the children with the most need has the least options to say Ludacris is a gross understatement.

The obvious answer is opening more schools and give schools incentives to open smaller classrooms.

Perhaps a sliding scale where there's more funding for children that have higher needs.

If a school located in New York struggling to pay that rent, their going to take the highest capacity classroom that's fiscally sound. Why would I open a 6-child classroom when I can open an 18 or 15?

Obviously, this has no simple answer. I don't exactly what the solution is, but we have to figure it out and we don't have the luxury of time. Thank you.

DEBBIE MEYER: Thank you. Thank you all for having this hearing. My name is Debbie Meyer and my testimony are coming from a blog I wrote which is

called, I took a year off work to learn about dyslexia because my son's teachers couldn't teach him how to read.

I am a Columbia community scholar looking at the role of universities in stopping the dyslexia to present pipeline and I wish this was a joint hearing with higher education because we need to address literacy sustainably and systemically. If we keep doing it with professional development at the DOE, it will never end.

So, why for so many is access to an education that recognizes the signs of reading limited to private programs? My son experiences this as a reader struggling with dyslexia and my sister experiences this as an inspiring teacher wanting to help all her students learn to read.

After you hear my sons' story, you won't be surprised why the lack of good instruction effects kids of color whose parents can't take a lunch hour to testify. I'm one of the people that Council Woman Rosenthal described fortunate enough to hire a lawyer, to hire a neuropsych and to use the equity in my house to front a year of tuition.

2.2

So, I remember my son's early reading experience as well. As a four-year-old, he listened to the first few chapters of My Fathers Dragon, meant for 12-year-olds and said, "Mom, each chapter this kid is going to take something out of the backpack to save the day." I was thrilled to see he understood foreshadowing, but he couldn't hear rhymes and he hated Dr. Seuss. He hated Sesame Street, but he liked the shows that had a plot to follow.

His public pre-K teacher was concerned he didn't know his colors, although his health form stated that he was color blind. She didn't mind that he had no phonemic awareness. Did she even know this was a pillar of literacy? She did say he was bright and enjoyed teaching.

His kindergarten teacher at our progressive public school, a very well-respected school, said the same thing. He was bright and enjoyed teaching. The books he brought home from school, got memorized quickly and exchanged. He listened to me and his father read third and fourth grade level books to him at home at night.

When he was in first grade, we kept reading even more advanced books out loud, but he wasn't learning

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

to read or write. Our school's response, they had our son attend a one-week summer program meant for teacher/professional development.

In second grade, he received a school-based evaluation that said he was bright but couldn't express himself in reading or writing. We heard the evaluation and we mentioned to the school team that dyslexia runs in our family. The school told us he would do fine in an ICT class, where a special educator and a general educator would teach all the children together, but he didn't do fine.

Vast begin our journey into the private sector for his education. Our first stop was a private evaluation. It cost us \$5,000. We learned he was decoding and spelling at kindergarten level. As though he had never gone to school, yet he could comprehend things he heard at 12th grade level. My son is dyslexic. His teachers have been trained at prestigious institutions including Bank Street and Teachers College. My son was continuing his learning comprehension skills and getting great content, but he kept falling further behind his friends in reading and began to suffer from anxiety.

I was taking back. I couldn't believe the teachers didn't know how to teach him to read. He was offered assistive technology rather than instruction.

By third grade, we found more private resources, tutors. We also sent him to a private summer camp in Amenia at the Kildonan School. The tutors from their teacher training Institute worked with the dyslexic students one on one for an hour a day and then he had summer camp and he got his self-esteem back.

He learned cursive, his reading and writing improved, but he went back to public school, and he slid back. The posters of the first day of school in my dreams, were beautiful. The posters of the second semester first day, couldn't read. He was 10-years-old. So, again, we turned to private resources. He began CPT with a psychologist, and we enrolled him in the Windward School, which has its own teacher training institute. They use direct instruction, they teach decoding rules. Now he knows how words work, he can read, he can write. This summer from camp, he wrote me five letters that I could read asking me to send him the autobiography of Malcom X.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

You know, he's a success story. However, at
Windward he is not getting the content he really
wants. He constantly will listen to podcast to
audible to learning ally, go to museums. He goes to
museums by himself to learn now, but certainly it's
easier for a parent to give a kid content and then to
teach him skills, like reading.

The other problem is, the school he ended up at, he leaves Harlem everyday to go to, it's on the upper east side. It has maybe 5-6% of kids from public school. It's incredibly idealist and he is shocked every time he hears kids say things that they have never been above 96th street when you know, they read things that have a totally different reading comprehension then him because they don't understand anything beyond their bubble on the upper east side and he doesn't travel around with kids from his neighborhood. He has been mugged twice, because he comes home from school by himself. So, back to why I think what I started, that the universities need to play a role in this. I think the DOE, as much as our special education, they need a strong university liaison to push the universities to supply not just

the teachers that they need but the social workers with the right backgrounds.

The school psychologist with the right back grounds and I think medical doctors and pediatricians need to have the right background to help our kids.

Thank you.

SUSAN PATTERSON: Good Afternoon. My name is Susan Patterson. I am the mother of a 23-year-old son and his name is Miles Saint Clair Patterson.

In 2015, my son was diagnosed with a polycystic astrocytoma. So, if you want to have a brain tumor, that's what you want to have. It was precancerous and Miles had to undergo two six and a half hour operations to remove the tumor. His prognosis is good.

During that time, I went to his school which is Francis Lewis in Queens and told the principal of Miles's situation.

He bluntly said, why are you bothering telling me this? I said, because he is your student and he is coming back to the school. I'm not going to home school. I believe that he needs to be around his peers, so he can acclimate back into the school setting.

2.1

I asked the principal, could we have him tested

IEP and he adamantly said, no, that will not be

necessary. I'll make the necessary accommodations

for Miles. I asked him, could he have a one to one

para to escort him from classroom to classroom?

Miles had no short-term memory at all because of the operation.

He said, the only way I would give him a one to one para, is if he was drooling on himself and with that, I decided to go to the district office,

District 26 and ask for testing.

Well, needless to say, he did not test well because he was so new from this surgery, but at least we had a platform for where we could develop some services for Miles.

I went back to the school, told the principal what I had done, and he was furious. Why would you do something like that? You've messed me up, was his reply and I said, well, listen, this is where we're at and Miles needs the services and I'm not an educator, but I had a sense that something wasn't right. So, I would email the principal, what about this? What about the IEP, is he getting these services? I think he should have occupational

2.2

therapy. I had to go to school twice to get

3 occupational therapy, so a child who has no short-

4 term memory, they gave him occupant therapy one time

5 a month for 45-minutes. Not good.

And then I said, you know, I'm walking against a brick wall. Something is not right. But I think the thing that really disturbed me is Miles was coming home one day, he had not left the school grounds and he had to go to relieve himself. He went back to the school, and they said, "you're going to have to urinate on yourself." They would not let him in the school, and he did urinate on himself. I filed charges against the dean who did this but still, nothing really changed. So, I called Advocates for Children and I said, I don't know what's wrong, but somethings wrong. They in turn, contacted Arnold Porter. You guys are the life line to my son. Thank you so much.

And they looked at the data, they looked at my emails, we filed suit against the school. We won a decision. Miles received 750 hours of private tutoring. He was transferred to a school in the city called Pathways to Graduation. This is on 35<sup>th</sup> street and 8<sup>th</sup> Avenue.

2.2

When Miles went to school in late 2017, he had a reading level of 5.4. Now this boy is 22 at this point. I'm proud to say, my son is reading level is now 9.9. Okay, they cared, they cared. They called me. You know, I'm a proactive parent. So, he has a good story and I wonder, what about the other students who didn't have Advocates for Children or didn't even have an advocate who can help them navigate and understand the language. Understand what the children are entitled to. Oh, by the way, they wouldn't give me transportation. So, I had to take Miles back and forth to school. It was horrendous, but my son is worth it.

So, he's going to take his state test next month, with special accommodations where he is going to into Queens Borough Community College. My son is good stuff. I'm proud of my son but look at the kids we've lost because they didn't get the help.

So, that's my recommendation. They need an outside board to help the parents. These parents are devastated. They need the help.

I want to thank you for listening to me.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: I mean, this has been some of the most powerful testimony this Committee has

2	heard, and I want to just thank all the families here
3	and the advocates for your passionate, dedicated
4	work, not just on behalf of your children, but really
5	on behalf of all kids because I know that's why
6	you're here. Not just for your child, but for all
7	kids and no one should ever be subjected to the
8	horrors that you've all been subjected to and I think
9	one of the things we'd like to see out of this
10	committee is, we have a Council report that was
11	reproduced by a Local Law that gave us the general
12	compliance rate in the city of New York, but we need
13	to go a step further to find out at the school level
14	what's not happening in these schools. We need to
15	find out what specific service are we denying our
16	children? That helps us advocate more effectively
17	when we know on a school by school basis, where are
18	we falling short? And I just want to say Chair of
19	this Committee, I want to apologize to all of you for
20	what you have experienced, or what you're still
21	experiencing in our school system. Your children
22	deserve better, you deserve better and you do need
23	some sort of a champion advocate to help families
24	navigate this because as you pointed out, one of the
25	parents pointed out, they couldn't even work because

2.2

of how much time and effort this took to advocate for their child. That's unacceptable. Its unacceptable.

I just have a quick question, and anyone could just kind of chime in with an answer. I'm interested in learning, who was the point person in the school setting that the school directed you to speak with in terms of IEP services for the child? Someone could answer that?

SPEAKER: I worked with, it was a small school, the principal, the special and the general ED teacher were all on this IEP team.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: So, it was an IEP team?

SPEAKER: Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Anyone else? Because I heard testimony from one parent that the sessions were not translated in the language that she speaks, is that correct?

SPEAKER: Which sessions, I'm sorry?

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: The meetings with regards to fighting for the IEP services were not available in the language that she speaks, is that correct?

NIEVES OJEDIZ: (Speaking in Spanish).

SONYA GABBA: Ms. Ojediz has said that there was no one designated to translate and to interpret at

these meetings and they didn't have a language line either, but usually there was a teacher that would be able to step in, in that role in terms of interpretation during the IEPs but none of the documents were ever translated.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: And I'll flag for my colleagues who are here, that it's my understanding and correct me if I'm wrong, that there are only three school districts currently in our school system that are piloting translating the IEPs for families that need transition services, is that correct? Is that your understanding?

NIEVES OJEDIZ: (Speaking in Spanish).

SONYA GABBA: So, she hasn't been aware of the districts that are translating, but her I guess, main experience with it has been just inability to communicate with anyone at the school. So, she goes to the school for something related to her daughter's education and there is not even someone in the office who can kind of handle the situation.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Thank you for that and one final piece here. In the IEP, and I appreciate your work, Ms. Meyer with regards to, I agree with you, it's not just PD you need foundational better teacher

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

your thoughts on that.

2	training. I fully am on board with that. I'm curious
3	to know whether you face resistance or was the word,
4	the diagnosis dyslexia, even mentioned in the IEP?
5	Because we hear sometimes, there are a number of
6	stories, where they refuse to acknowledge the
7	presence of dyslexia. I'm just, I'm curious to hear

DEBBIE MEYER: So, the second grade and third grade IEP, did not say dyslexia and we didn't know we had resistance because they kept saying they could teach him how to read. So, even you know, me with a college education, I believed they could teach him how to read.

Fourth grade, the school was expanding to become a K-8 school and the principal was otherwise busy and we actually convinced the special ED teacher to put dyslexia on the IEP. So, it was just, we knew we were already leaving, we were working on getting out and it was just basically to make a point to get dyslexia on it. So, the principal wasn't there to fight us.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: But basically, it was all on you to insist that?

DEBBIE MEYER: Yes, the state does have new guidance that says that it can be on there and it would help parents tremendously in being able to understand their kid and their challenges. If dyslexia and dysgraphia were on IEPs.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Yes, I appreciate that. I recognize the work of assembly member JoAnn Simon from Brooklyn, who has worked very hard on this issue and a lot of the stories were hear, that some families are not being told their rights with regards to dyslexia and there's been resistance historically to even have that on an IEP and that's just not acceptable and I — go ahead.

DEBBIE MEYER: Yeah, well the other thing that I think that since more, there's fewer kids that learn without phonics, then learn with phonics. The 5% of kids that learn without phonics should be in Special Ed and everybody else should just be in literacy. Thank You.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Thank you. Okay, so we have one more parent I believe who is here. Anyone else recognize that arrived? But we'll call up to hear from Cameron Brown.

2.1

2.2

CAMERON BROWN: Hi. I want to thank the City

3 Council for hearing.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Make sure that the mic is on and that you are speaking into the mic.

CAMERON BROWN: I want to thank the City Council for hearing our concerns today and I hop our testimony will translate into a more efficient reimbursement process for the families as well as the Department of Education. We have two girls, Amelia and Heidi, that are both medically fragile. Amelia attends a special needs school that we pay directly and then seek reimbursement from the DOE, and Heidi's school is reimbursed directly by the DOE. To date I've found the reimbursement process financially costly, lengthy, and extremely stressful.

One potential solution I'm here to support would be multi year settlements. It's my understanding the current version of multi-year settlements is problematic because an IEP could change on an annual basis. I believe this obstacle can be overcome. I would recommend children who are already enrolled in certain New York State programs, like the Medicaid Waiver program, for instance, become immediately eligible for multi-year settlements.

Such a solution would go a long way in alleviating the financial and emotional stress for families, the cashflow issues for schools that seek reimbursement from the DOE directly and free up bandwidth for the DOE to expedite other cases.

Using this year as an example, we filed our 10-day notice in June, we then received a settlement offer in October which was approved by the Comptroller in January, but we are still waiting for a countersigned stipulation, a final hurdle before the reimbursement process can begin. Unfortunately, the process of hiring lawyers for 2020 has already begun and 2019 is still unresolved. It is a depressing cycle.

I really appreciate your help in making this process more efficient. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Thank you so much and I think that a number of my colleagues and our Council Member Rosenthal has a bill here today have touched upon this issue where we have such a twisted system where parents and families are forced to sue to get services which their kids are already entitled to.

Let that sink in. Families have to sue to get services that their children are already entitled to

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2	and	Ι	would	argue,	Ι	think	mу	colleagues	would	agree

3 that that system you know, also hurts working

4 families, working people. Families that live check

5 to check to make ends meet, don't have the wear with

6 all to hire a bunch of attorneys and experts to prove

7 | their case. It's really outrageous. Really it

8 | favors I think, folks with resources and the well

9 connected and this cannot be the status quote, we

10 | have to change this.

So, if any of my colleagues do not have any addition — there are some questions for this panel.

I want to begin with Council Member Rosenthal.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Oh, with apologies.

Thank you so much and thank you all for coming today and bringing these issues to life.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: No worries, do any of my colleagues have any questions for this panel?

Hearing none, I want to thank all of you for your powerful testimony here today. For your tireless extraordinary efforts and we're not done yet. We have more work to do. Thank you all very much.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPESON TREYGER: Oh, Council Member Lander.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Thank you guys so much for coming. Those were really powerful and hard stories to hear but such an important context for what we're about to do in our conversation with Department of Education.

I just wonder if you could on sort of more of the what you'd want side? Like, these are hard stories to hear and what you've had to fight has been so hard, do you just want to talk for a minute about if any of you want to, about either something that's gone right in the system or how you would want it to work for your kids and your families. So, as we're trying to imagine what we're pushing for and what we're trying to get to, we could hear a little from you guys first about what the system should look like and how you would want your kids perceived in their school and how you as families would want to be perceived.

JOCELYN DAVID-BURCH: So, just briefly, I think one of the simplest things is transparency and communication. Having one person that's accountable for — that you can come to and speak to about these issues with your kids. Transparency and what services are going to actually be available in these

2.2

it.

buildings for your children so that you're not just
bringing your kid in on your first day of school and
you know, you think that this is going to be the best
deal and then in the end it ends up being a sour
note. Definitely, just an accountable staff person,
so you're not throwing around to, well, speak to
everything that's thrown on the principal and
frankly, a lot of times a principal can't fix any of

So, an accountable staff person, a supervisory staff person that can communicate directly with parents about these issues, I think is something that will make a bit of a difference in my opinion.

DEBBIE MEYER: I would like the schools to create a culture for dyslexia and literacy and take it out of Special Ed and make room in Special Ed for the kids that really truly need it. I would like my son to have stayed in his neighborhood school, stayed with his friends, and continued on. We expect him to go to public high school next year. We're waiting until hopefully March for that news.

So, I just wish he never had to leave public school.

NIEVES OJEDIZ: (Speaking in Spanish).

2.2

SONYA GABBA (Interpreter): So, Ms. Ojediz would recommend that school staff that is in direct contact especially with medically fragile students have extra capacity to tend to these students needs because she's often found her daughter in school with her neck kind of doubled over, maybe not sitting in the right position. So, she would recommend or urge the Department of Education to just train its staff a little bit more to be able to treat those students with greater care.

And so, and then the second part would be that she would like to see the Department of Education just have greater transparency with parents because she feels a sense that there is a lot more communication between the higher levels of Department of Education and the personal or the school staff and she doesn't see that same communication being given to her.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Thank you all, those seem like extraordinary reasonable recommendations.

?: I just wanted to add one if that's okay.
Also, sorry.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: No, no, thank you.

?: Because my son is only three, so I've only

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

had very minimal interaction but my suggestion from
my experience is it would be really great if the
transition from Early Intervention into CPSE was a

5 | little more seamless.

The best way I can explain it emotionally, it felt like going from a warm hug to a swift kick in the chest. That's literally the transition. I even had my coordinator with Early Intervention, well, you know, it's not going to be like this on that side.

Like, this is the dark side, what's happening here, it's ridiculous. So, if that transition could be a little more seamless, maybe if DOE can offer something. Can there be something worked out? Some kind of extension, see it, ABA something? You know, to supplement until that classroom is available. You know, some regular communication. My coordinator with Early Intervention was in constant communication with me. Any time I wanted a meeting, it was almost immediate. Everything was just seamless. Everything was just so much easier. Its just hard to just except that you have a child with a disability. that in of itself is almost a mourning process and you have to accept, and you have to be able to move forward, and then to go from that process to folks

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 | that almost just want to get you out of their office.

3 You know, that process of well, look, you're one of

4 many, sorry. You know, even if the outlook is bleak,

5 | be somewhat positive. I'm sorry there's no schools

6 | right now, but we're going to continue looking.

7 We're a team. It's well, look, just accept the fact

you're probably not going to get a classroom until

9 September.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Hmm.

?: That was my response and from what I understand that's not unusual and that's what I think in my opinion needs to change. The parents are already struggling to deal and to find whats best, and where we need to find the help, it should be easy and welcoming and supportive.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Thank you for your stories and for your expertise. These in many ways are challenging issues but what you're asking is so imminently reasonable and hopefully today's hearing will help us get there. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Thank you Council Member Lander and I'll just point out that I think the issues that you are all touching on point to a

2.1

2.2

systemic issue within the DOE that even within the DOE, there is no clear chain of command in my view, that has a single grasp of this entire complex issue.

I think that the system has gone through changes structurally, but still some folks operate in silos. Whether it's an OPT case, whether it's an instructional issue, whether it's making sure also that we're advancing these children. That they're going to school, getting these services, moving onto the next grade, reading at grade level, graduating on time. The accountability piece is very unclear but whats clear to me here is that there has not been a champion helping you during this process, the burden has fallen on you alone. That is unacceptable.

I think Council Member Cornegy has a statement he would like to make as well.

COUNCIL MEMBER CORNEGY: Thank you, Chair. I just wanted to lend my voice to the course of testimonies that we've heard today as a father of six and one child with an IEP. My wife and I had to fight hard and get an RSA letter to provide services externally from what the board could do.

But I just want to flag that during that process for myself and my family, it took maybe, he went

2.2

without services maybe four months out of every year and cumulatively that's time you can't make up. So, its not like you can just not have those services and then double up down the road. So, his process was always impeded by the inability for the DOE to provide the services that he needed and then you get the RSA letter, which would take forever, and then you'd go eight months with no services and like I said, you don't double up after that. That's just services missed, and it impedes in their progress.

So, I just wanted you to know that there are ally's here on the Council that have actually suffered through the indignities of being parents with means and resources who are unable to access the necessary services on any consistent basis and anybody who has a child in IEP know that one of the most important things is consistency and that's not present in this system at all.

So, you know, they told us on several occasions, hey, you know, we'll get to it, we'll try, we'll make it happen and there were just these large gaps in services that really didn't help my child.

So, I just wanted to lend my voice and flag for everyone that - its not like, when you do get the

2.2

2 services, if you get them down the road, that it

3 allows you or your child to catch up. It just

4 doesn't happen that way.

So, thank you so much for your testimony. It's not wasted on me or the rest of the Education

Committee. I promise you that.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Thank you. Thank you all very much. I appreciate your time here today.

I'd like to now call on the Administration to testify. We're going to have Deputy Chancellor for Early Education, [inaudible 1:38:11], Josh Wallack, Dr. Linda Chen, Chief Academic Officer, Corinne Rello-Anselmi, Deputy Chief Academic Officer, Loren Siciliano, Deputy Chief Operating Officer, and Alexandra Robinson, Executive Director Office of People Transportation.

As we're waiting for the Admin to get settled, I would just mention all the members that were present here today, Barron, Cohen, Cornegy, Deutsch, Dromm, Kallos, King, Lander, Levin, Rose, Grodenchik, Ampry-Samuel, Brannon, Ulrich, Borelli.

And whenever the Admin is ready, you may proceed.

ADMIN: If you could just raise your right hands please. Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole

2.2

truth, and nothing but the truth in your testimony

3 before this committee and to respond honestly to

4 | Council Member questions? And we just ask every

5 person before they testify, to just say their name

6 and title for the record please, thank you.

LINDA CHEN: Good Afternoon. I am Linda Chen and I am the Chief Academic Officer for the Department of Education.

Before I begin my testimony, I would like to acknowledge and thank the families who shared their personal stories with us today. This hearing is an opportunity to share the work we have done, but importantly also to recognize the work we still have to do.

Thank you for your courage in brining your experiences to the forefront of our discussion today. Please know that we are committed to working with families to ensure the needs of all students are being met.

LINDA CHEN: Good afternoon Chair Treyger and members of the Education Committee here today. As I said, my name is Dr. Linda Chen, and I am the Chief Academic Officer of the New York City Department of Education.

In this capacity, I oversee the Division of
Teaching & Learning, the Division of Multilingual
Learners, and the Division of Specialized Instruction
and Student Support. Joining me today are Corinne
Rello-Anselmi, Deputy Chief Academic Officer for
Special Education and Josh Wallack, Deputy Chancellor
for the Division of Early Childhood Education and
Student Enrollment, as well as Lauren Siciliano,
Deputy Chief Operating Officer.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify today on Special Education in New York City public schools and the proposed legislation.

Since this is my first time before this

Committee, I would like to share a little about

myself and my experiences both as a learner and as an

educator. As a child of Chinese immigrants, I

started elementary school with limited English

skills. The support and knowledge of my teachers

greatly influenced my trajectory in education. While

I began my teaching career in Seattle, I have spent

the majority of my career here in New York City. I

taught first and third grades at P.S. 163 in

Manhattan.

2.2

From there, I worked as a literacy staff developer across the boroughs, served as a literacy supervisor in Queens, and was also principal of a Spanish Dual Language school, P.S. 165, also in Manhattan.

At P.S. 165, we offered a continuum of educational settings for diverse learners. My career has since taken me to other large districts where I have also focused on supporting the needs of all learners with high-quality core instruction.

I have always carried with me the experience of my immigrant parents, and focused on supporting all families, no matter their background, so that they can advocate for their children's public-school education. I am thrilled to return to New York City to lead this new office that is part of the Chancellor's vision of Equity and Excellence for All, and to build on the progress that has been made during this Administration.

First, I would like to thank the City Council for its longstanding leadership and partnership on strengthening special education in our schools. In particular, I want to acknowledge that since we last testified, the annual special education data reports

have resulted in increased awareness and visibility of data, which has helped us to better serve students and families.

The Administration is committed to meeting the needs of over 200,000 students with disabilities in community school districts and District 75. The Department's goal is to ensure that every student has the support and services they need to thrive in the classroom, and we are committed to doing everything necessary to achieve that goal. We are currently serving 95% of nearly 300,000 mandated services for students in Districts 1 through 32 and D75 schools.

Since 2014, we've hired 4,300 more special education teachers, occupational therapist, physical therapist, and speech therapists.

We have added and strengthened programs including Autism Spectrum Disorder and bilingual special education programs across our schools. We have also deepened our partnerships with providers and community-based organizations.

Building on the last Administration's Shared Path
to Success initiative, we have also worked
extensively to ensure that students with disabilities
are receiving their services in the least restrictive

2.1

2.2

2 environment and are part of the inclusive school communities.

We see special education as a service, not a place. While students with disabilities can access their instruction along with continuum of services, the practice of servicing students in the least restrictive environment aligns to research and best practices.

As a result of continuing our goal of serving students in the least restrictive environment, a greater percentage of students with disabilities spend more than 80 percent of their time with non-disabled peers and fewer student with disabilities spend more than 60 percent of their time in special class settings.

Not only are we focused on students receiving their instruction in the least restrictive environment, but we are also ensuring that they are learning in school communities with meaningful inclusion.

To that end, we have created an Inclusion summit and Collaboration with parents for inclusive education as well as programs like Just Say Hi and Collaborative School Communities. This work focuses

on partnering with leadership, teachers, students, and parents to ensure inclusive school community.

To further invest in inclusive education, the DOE has recently announced a new admissions policy to better match students with accessibility needs to accessible school buildings. Starting this admissions cycle, students who have an accessibility need will receive priority to school buildings that are fully or partially accessible. This policy change will affect roughly 500 student who have a physical disability or health condition that requires access to an accessible building. This new policy builds on the City's unprecedented investments to improve school accessibility citywide. \$750 million in the new proposal capital plan for accessibility needs, the largest capital funding investment in accessibility to date.

These enhancements and changes have led to academic gains for our students with disabilities as they continue to gain greater access to rigorous, standards-aligned, grade-level curriculum and assignments.

The graduation rates with students with disabilities are at an all time high and our dropout

2.2

2	rates	are	at	an	all	time	low.	Over	the	last	four

3 years, the graduation rate for students with

4 disabilities has increased by 10 percentage points

5 from 41 percent in 2014, to 51 percent in 2018. At

6 | the same time, the dropout rates for students with

7 disabilities have decreased 3 percentage points from

8 | 16 percent in 2014 to 13 percent in 2018.

We have made consistent and incremental progress on the New York State Assessments. English Language Arts proficiency for students with disabilities has more than doubled since 2013 from 6 percent proficiency in 2013 to 16 percent proficiency in 2018.

In math, the results have increased 7 points from 8 percent proficiency to 15 percent proficiency. New York City students score 4.2 points higher in proficiency in ELA and 1.7 points higher in proficiency in math than students with disabilities in the rest of the state.

Another essential piece to student long term success is transition planning. In addition to the transition planning that is part of the IEP process, in collaboration with the Mayor's Office, the DOE has launched Transition and College Access Centers to

1

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

provide students with disabilities and their families with high-quality assistance in planning for life after high school.

To date, the DOE has opened four of these centers across the city and are poised to open a fifth center in fall 2019. Last year, 2,915 students participated in work-based learning opportunities through the TCACs and more than 500 staff members received training on postsecondary planning. This year, these numbers continue to grow.

Parents are an essential part of the IEP process. Ensuring our families are informed and empowered to fully engage in the IEP development is a priority. We want parents to contribute to discussions and decisions regarding the child's educational needs.

To support this, the DOE has developed family guides available online in nine language, for both preschool and school age special education, to ensure that the parents have the information they need.

We are also committed to communicating directly with families and family leaders, including the Citywide Council on Special Education. We also conduct workshops on the special education process for various parent and family group, including

2.2

2 families in temporary housing, parents of students

3 with autism, district/borough parent groups, and

4 parents of children making the transition to

5 kindergarten.

Parents with specific concerns can also call a special education hotline or 311 and they will receive a response within 48 hours.

While we continue to make progress, we know there is more work to do. One of the ways we are continuing to enhance the support of students with disabilities is through the Chancellor's new streamlined leadership structure.

My role as Chief Academic Officer is to unify and simplify instructional supports, including professional development and curricular resources and materials, and to make rigorous teaching accessible to all learners, including students with disabilities and multilingual learners.

By bringing together out Divisions of Teaching and Learning, Multilingual Learners, and Specialized Instruction, we are increasing coherence for schools.

WE are developing curriculum and professional development from the beginning with Multilingual Learners and students with disabilities in mind,

2.2

2 rather than focusing on isolate support for different
3 groups of students.

In short, we are being more strategic about improving academic achievement for every student.

Instructionally, a major priority for special education is providing meaningful literacy instruction.

In collaboration with the UFT, the role of the IEP teacher has been enhanced to include intervention support. The DOE is currently in its third year of this initiative and has trained over 900 IEP teachers in both elementary and secondary schools. IEP teachers receive extensive professional development in the five pillars of reading, secondary intervention programs, progress monitoring measures, and diagnostic assessments. They are learning how to strengthen individualized student plans when data suggest that intervention is not aligned to needs.

Secondary IEP teachers receive additional training and that focuses on vocabulary and reading comprehension.

Bilingual special education continues to be an important part of our work in order to ensure all students receive appropriate programs and services.

2.1

2.2

2 Through an extensive process of student

3 identification, geographic need, and program

4 development, the DOE continues to add bilingual

5 special education classes.

DOE serves students with a bilingual special education recommendation through bilingual special education classes, ICT or Self Contained, access to language support services through bilingual paraprofessional, and English as a New Language or ENL services.

The DOE continues to recruit bilingual professionals by establishing programs such as subsidized bilingual extension programs.

Also, critical to this new structure are our

Executive Superintendents, who lead both the support
and supervision systems for the schools. By brining
together our support through borough and citywide
offices and supervision through superintendents,
under the First Deputy Chancellor, Cheryl WatsonHarris, we are similarly increasing coherence for
schools as well as families. Executive
superintendents have access to current special
education data though the same reporting tool that

2.1

2.2

the borough citywide office Directors of Special

3 Education use to access data.

Program service reports are now shared with schools, borough citywide offices, and superintendent offices so that all are aware when a student is not scheduled in accordance with the programs recommended.

The coherence of data access and support from the Special Education office, ensures that priorities such as over referral and timely completion of evaluations are a focus for all stakeholders.

I partner closely with our Executive

Superintendents and our First Deputy Chancellor to
ensure the instructional work we are doing is taking
root in our schools and serving our students and
families every day. With this structure in place, we
believe we will be able to build upon the progress
the DOE has made, address current challenges, and put
policies in place to ensure all of our New York City
students are ready for college, career, and
independent living.

We are also working to improve special education data management. Beginning in 2016, we implemented internal management reports that have enabled us to

improve our rate of provision of recommended special education instructional programs to students.

The rate of students fully receiving program services has increased from 59 percent in the 2015-2016 school year to 78 percent in 2017-2018 and the percentage of students not receiving service decreased from 8 percent in 2015-2016 to 3 percent in 2017-2018.

It is critical that all students receive their program service, and we are working to move students from partial to full service.

Our upgrades have made a clear, positive impact and the training and infrastructure we have put in place, including our programmatic service reviews, have improved data awareness and visibility, and with it service to students and families. These improvements have brought us to a place where we can now pursue a new special education system to modernize our functionality.

As a result, guided by a stakeholder engagement process, we will be pursuing new special education case and data management tools by releasing a request for expressions of interest and proof of concept to vendors in March.

During this process, we will continue to sustain our current software and build out new pieces, so that we can continue to report out data and serve our students.

Reliable data management and reporting is critical, and we want the best most modern systems in place to meet the need so four students.

Additionally, as part of our work to strengthen early childhood education and serve students with disabilities, the DOE is committed to serving all preschool children with disabilities and creating inclusive preschool classrooms that enable all children to enter kindergarten with a solid foundation for future success.

Pre-K for all is providing a growing number of preschoolers with disabilities access to high quality special education services as families preschool of choice.

This year, there are over 6,000 preschoolers with disabilities attending 3-K and Pre-K programs citywide. With the expansion of Pre-K for all, we have been able to the number of inclusive classrooms by opening new integrated preschool classrooms in DOE

schools to ensure that seats are available for preschoolers who can benefit from that setting.

In response to rising demand for more special class programs, we have opened special classes in Pre-K centers and in District schools. We have opened 350 preschool special class seats in the last 18 months and are planning to open an additional 170 seats this spring.

We know that for families of students with disabilities, this transition to preschool can at times be challenging. While we still have work to do in this area, we have taken great strides to better meet the needs of families during this transition.

This school year, the Committees on Preschool

Special Education have added Community Coordinators
to each CSE location. Community Coordinators are
responsible for conducting outreach to families and
to support them in navigating the CPSE process.

As we move to the birth through five system of early care and education, the DOE's partnering with DOHMH to improve the transition from Early Intervention to preschool via an Early Intervention Transition Initiative. We are working to ensure that families are empowered with the information they need

to make choices about their preschool options and to gain access to CPSE services without experiencing a gap in service between DOHMH and DOE systems.

I would now like to turn to the legislation under consideration today. Intro No. 1406 would require DOE and the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DOHMH) to annually report on provision of special education services to preschool age children and provisions of early intervention services to infants and toddlers respectively.

We support the goals of this legislation and would like to work with the Council to clarify and align reporting to available data.

Intro No. 1380 would require DOE to report on the process for parents or guardians to obtain funding for private school tuition or services for special education students. We welcome the opportunity to further discuss the legislation with Council.

The legislation as drafted, appears to be based on a number of assumptions that do not accurately reflect the settlement process. For example, written settlement agreements are not sent to or approved by

the Comptroller. Further, the majority of the data can be provided for completed settlements only.

Intro Nos 559 and 900 amend the current special education report to require DOE to report school level data and to report three times a year on compliance with delivery of special education services to students and includes additional reporting requirements.

While we closely monitor compliance at the school level, we are concerned that the public reporting of aggregate data at the school level in Intro 559 will be misleading due to the much smaller numbers of students across programs in schools.

We will like to work with the Committee to determine the best way to share data in alignment with the goal of using data to better support schools and serve families.

For Intro 900, we want to work with the Council on the reporting period so that it is not affected by semester changes or varying school calendars.

In closing, our students have infinite potential, and it is our privilege and awesome responsibility to put in place systemic structures and programs to serve our schools, students, and families.

We are committed to the full provision of instructional and related services, supporting our students and families, and positively impacting student academic and social/emotional growth.

Through collaboration and partnership across the DOE and within the new organizational structure, we aim to ensure that there is enhanced support for principals and school-based staff to focus on core instruction as the key drive for meeting the diverse needs of our students.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify today. While we are encouraged by the progress we have made, we know there is much more work to do and we will work tirelessly and strategically for continuous improvement for students with disabilities.

We welcome any questions you may have.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Thank you. Thank you very much and we'll get right to it. Before the leadership restructuring announced by Chancellor Carranza in June of 2018, special education services were overseen by Deputy Chancellor for specialized instruction and student support.

In the June restructuring, special education was placed under the control of a newly created position

of Chief Academic Officer and the former Deputy

3 Chancellor position was converted to a Deputy Chief

4 Academic Officer which is known at grey cabinet level

5 post. It's a two-part question. Can you please

6 explain the rationale behind this change, and do you

7 believe that moving special education leadership one

8 step down from cabinet level reduces attention to

9 issues regarding students with disabilities?

10 LINDA CHEN: Thank you for the question.

11 Regarding your first question regarding the rational,

12 | the rational is to ensure that every cabinet member

13 can coordinate and align supports to break down the

14 | very silos that you mentioned earlier and part of

15 | this is on multi-levels, so I would absolutely say

16 that the role while is no longer a cabinet position,

17 | is brought to the center in a way that is different

18 | than in the past.

25

19 It is no longer isolated. Corinne sitting beside

20 | me, we are together in every significant way in every

21 part of the work in Special Education but

22 | importantly, we sit together with her colleagues in

23 | teaching and learning and in Multilingual learners to

24 | be able to work together and put students at the

center. As someone who has been part of the DOE in

\_

the past, I understand and was here for the struggle and the time to ensure that there were cabinet level seats for our students with disabilities and that was incredibly important to be able to represent students with disabilities at the cabinet table.

Over time, the evolution of where we are requires that it is at the center along with all other students as well and that is what you see in the rational behind the reorganization.

I'm sorry, your second question?

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: The second question is, do you believe that moving special education leadership one step down from cabinet level reduces attention to the issues regarding students with disabilities?

LINDA CHEN: It does not reduce the attention on students with disabilities. In fact, at the cabinet table, on a weekly basis, on a daily basis, on a nightly basis sometimes, we are coordinating efficiently across silos with OPT, with other areas of the organization. With school supervision and support through our First Deputy Chancellor and our Executive Superintendents. Not only do we have additional oversight with this coordination, but through our executive superintendents, they are

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 2.2

23

24

25

working tirelessly right along side us on every aspect of special education compliance as well as support.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Is there still a division of specialized instruction in student support comprised of the special education office and district 75 citywide program superintendency and if not, please describe the current structure.

LINDA CHEN: It still exists.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: It does still exist. And this is a question that's personal to me in light of my push for I guess greater clarity and accountability especially in light of what we heard earlier today. Do you think that it would be helpful to establish a "czar" position solely devoted to monitoring compliance with IEPs and other requirements for students in special education and to monitor their advancement and progress?

LINDA CHEN: We not only have Corinne who oversees special education as well as District 75, but in our new structure, we have a long side us, right beside us, Executive Superintendents who oversee every borough across the city and they too are also looking at the oversight of compliance

2 t

through the superintendents and there are administrators of special education in every borough citywide office that also support every principal across the city. So, in this new structure, you not only have one person working alone from that place but also in partnership with all of the executive superintendents to reach every school and district across the city.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: So, I'll just kind of clarify my concerns with the way I see things are currently.

We had a situation where we had a hearing here about OPT and I know OPT is here as well. The majority of the students who are receiving OPT services are students with IEPs, and we heard some horror stories about how their needs were not being met and we also heard some horror stories about — it wasn't even clear to me whether the DOE had a full grasp of their needs. That was very concerning to us.

We hear about cases after cases after cases and I want to publicly acknowledge the work of Advocates for Children because really if not for them as we heard from parents, who would be the point of contact

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

to make sure that the children of parents are receiving the services they're required.

They had difficulty navigating the bureaucracy. They had difficulty getting answers. They had difficulty getting results for their children.

We hear and I acknowledge that there has been some movement in terms of the graduation rates and in advancement but respectfully, I'm not sure we could celebrate the number of 50 percent of [inaudible 2:20:39] with IEPs you know, graduating in four We have a lot more work to do. years.

What I am concerned about is that there is still these silo's where it's not just a matter of you have different folks in different positions, different teams, but do you have a point person within the DOE that can cut across the bureaucracy? Walk into an OPT office and say, why aren't these children getting these services? Could walk into the Deputy Chancellor, Josh Wallack's office and say, how can we make sure that every child has a seat at UPK or 3K that's required? Because he, right now, I don't want to speak for Deputy Chancellor, I'm sure he's still working on transitioning everything from ACS over to There are still other challenges and structures DOE.

2.2

he has to work on in the system. Is there someone working on this on a day-to-day basis? At a macro-level? Not from just a local superintendent but from a macro-level system wide saying, how do we make sure that these kids are receiving the help that they're required to receive and also, as we heard from parents, all these new changes, its not permeating down to them. No one's communicating with them. No one's making sure that — and also the parent who is not receiving the translation services, until Advocates for Children told her, no one really informed her of her right to receive translation services.

There's an expression, you know, you don't know what you don't know, and you don't even know it.

Some folks don't know to ask but the owner should not be on them. It should not be on the parent or the children. The owner should be on the DOE to provide every mandated service that's required and to do the right thing and so, my concern is that with all these changes, and I think the jury's still out on all these structural changes but my concern is that there is no point person that we can hold accountable directly on a day-to-day basis to say, are we

2.2

complying with IEPs? Are we making sure that parents are informed? Are we making sure that schools have the resources they need to meet the needs of the children, because I am sure you know, speaking to principals and speaking to folks at the school level, they need more resources to meet the needs of the kids, there's no question about that, but we need to hold someone in my view, accountable centrally and they have to have the power and the authority to walk across any office and say, we need to do better. And so, I'd be curious to hear you know, your response to that and whether or not this position exists and whether they're empowered to do so and kind of show evidence that they have done so already.

LINDA CHEN: So, thank you for the question, and we could not agree more with you that there is more work to be done here to ensure that every student and family is served. To that end, I can tell you that the reason why I returned to New York City was the ability to be able to do exactly what you describe. It's not about me, but about the position and the ability to walk into — so, your example of OPT, within the first opening days of school, that was something I was able to literally do what you said.

Walk over to the person who oversees OPT and discuss what we were going to do to streamline. Now, in that conversation, Corinne was also with me because there are escalation protocols that we've always had in the system that we utilize but if it takes one person to walk over and move things, that is part of the work.

What you also see is the Chancellor uplifting and prioritizing the work of Special Education. Just last week, he brought every cabinet member together around a table to discuss exactly what we all needed to do because the Special Education office has done many, many things to improve the outcomes, but we all know in this room that it's not only one office. It is a systemic effort on behalf of every part of the Department of Education to work together towards ensuring every student with disabilities gets what they need.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: So, if you could just respond to what we heard from the family that testified earlier. It seemed that the pattern was is that they had difficulty getting accurate, correct information and they were relying on different personal to get that information. Walk us through this process. Who is responsible at the school base

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

level to provide families with accurate information with regards to the rights and services that the children are entitled to?

LINDA CHEN: So again, I appreciate hearing

directly from the families and I appreciate the ability to hear them first and have their voices quide our conversation today. I will have each of my colleagues also address the particulars of each point, but we always look at where students are, and that's at the school level and at the school level, there's certainly a sit team that works with the IEP implementation of every student and needs are best addressed closest to where students are. We continue to work with our schools to be able to partner. also have at the district level, or the borough citywide centers where there are administrators of special education who are also very knowledgeable about both instruction and parent empowerment, that work with families as well at the CSEs, and then we have our Executive Superintendents who we have a dashboard that we instituted two years ago, that not only someone at the borough office is looking at but also Executive Superintendents who have the ability to move work in the times that maybe things don't

2.1

2.2

move as quickly as we'd like them to do. So, in each of the cases when the parents — I'll start with the family member who asked about IEP interpretation and translation, that is something that we recognize is an important aspect of being able to support our students and families, as part of the IEP process particularly in parts where the social history is taken. There is part of the process question with each of the families regarding whether or not they would like to have IEP translation and those are available as they ask in terms of that process. So, there is a process in place to offer that to families as needed.

The 3 District pilot that you mentioned as well in District 724 and 75, we're looking at being much more systemic around doing that from central office. So, the family engagement and empowerment group has been working with us very closely in partnership to ensure that we're able to provide more systemically such translations and we're waiting to look at what the results are from this year to be able to determine next steps on that.

So, that parent that testified and I again, thank her for her courage to be able to do that. It's not

2.2

That example for instance is someone who if there isn't someone that they can go to at the school level, at any point they can call 311, or any of the other opportunities to be able to reach out and we do have an escalation process and whether its weekend or evening, we are responding to those to make sure across all entities. I'm going to kick it over to Josh Wallack, my colleague who can talk specifically more about the work we're doing in the transition between the Early Intervention to CPSC. 

JOSH WALLACK: Thanks very much. Thanks for having me here today and thanks to the families who testified also. I've learned a lot and it's powerful and we have a lot of work to do, but we're trying to work on this particular issue and I was quite struck by the testimony of the parent who spoke about the transition between Early Intervention, which serves our infants and toddlers that are showing signs of developmental delay or disabilities and our preschool special education program. And I just want to show you that despite that all the work that we're doing to expand Early Education in New York City, we are focused on making sure that every student is getting the services that they need as early as possible

2.1

2.2

because that's part of the purpose of early

3 education, is to help every student get off to a

4 strong start. It means we have to provide the

5 supports that each student needs tailored to her or

6 his needs, and that's what we aim to do.

In this transition we've been working hard on this. We have a multipart strategy that I'll describe for you a little bit and then where we go from here, but this year as Dr. Chen described, our Committees on Preschool Special Education are adding community coordinators to work with families, just like the one that was testifying to try to help ease that transition so that they continue to have the support that they enjoyed in Early Intervention. That transition is easier. They actually reach out proactively to families to help them navigate that process.

Since school year 2015, we also added additional teams that just do assessment of preschool students to help them find the program that best suits their needs and we are going to continue to support that process and build out that operation.

We also created new family materials to make that process easier for families to understand and then I

2.1

2.2

think critically, we've begun to work with our

colleagues at the Department of Health and Mental

Hygiene to try to bring our teams together,

particularly we're trying this in one area of the

South Bronx to see if we can't through closer

coordination and work with families, make that

8 transition from Early Intervention to Preschool
9 Special Education easier and faster.

Finally, I would just add that as part of 3K and PreK for all, we have a team of social workers that worked with every program to make sure that their teachers and leaders are well trained to identify potential delays and disabilities to support families and children through that effort. Because again, that's not just part of the program, it is a central part of the program. It's part of why we did it in the first place. It's part of the commitment of this Mayor and Chancellor to strong early education.

So, I welcome more questions about it, but I wanted to throw that in and then one other point I would just make is that, I think Dr. Chen pointed out that just in the last 18 months or so, we've added hundreds of new preschool special education seats and I think that's precisely because of the power of this

2.2

new structure. Where the Chief Academic Officer or the Deputy Chief Academic Officer and the Chancellor are holding all of us accountable to make sure that we have the services to meet each child's needs and it is literally a person walking over from one part of a room to another to say, we have these new kids that need seat, where are the seats? And we are working every day to answer that question. Creating those seats month after month, and we plan to continue to do that to meet needs as quickly as we can.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: But Deputy Chancellor, how many children that you're aware of at this point are not being seating in UPK or 3K or Early Childhood Education because we could not meet their needs?

JOSH WALLACK: So, the way I would answer that is I would say, at the moment, we're aware of about 300 students that we are working with to get them into a placement. So, that means that we are actively talking to those families, we are reviewing their IEPs or their IFSPs and that's the name of the plan that they get when their an infant and toddler to ensure that they get services as guickly as possible.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So, the way I would characterize that is that there are several hundred families we're working with but that every day we are ensuring that they're getting partial services and then trying to move them into full services and meanwhile creating new seats and new opportunities for them to get them into full services as quickly as possible.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Alright, and I will just let you know that we were informed of a higher number from Advocates who work directly with families. That the number was previously closer to 800. It might have dropped now to under 600 but you know, the Mayor made a very - again, numbers that we know of. could be even more, but the Mayor made a pledge that any child, zip code, where you from, you will have a seat and that's just not the case and I will also, I think you and I have discussed this, the challenges within retaining educators in UPK and 3K and that will have a disproportionate impact I believe also on children with IEPs, if the service provider cannot retain educators in their classroom because of pay parity issues as well.

And so, there are a lot of systemic issues here, but this is one of the areas that I flagged where the

DOE historically to me has operated almost in silos because quite frankly, when you roll out a program as ambitious as UPK and 3K and I support it strongly. I think it is critically important that we have universal PK but there needed to be in my mind a macro lens making sure that we do not have this situation where hundreds of kids are being denied a seat or it could be even higher.

There are capital needs that need to be addressed whether it's a DOE center, whether it's a non-profit center, we keep hearing about these cases. A matter of fact, one of the families that we learned about had an IEP designed by one of the providers that was actually incorrect and the parent didn't know it was incorrect because no one translated to her from English to Spanish and she was carrying around an IEP with the child that actually was not even correct and thank goodness for Advocates for Children again, which flagged the error, flagged the mistake, and they were able to get the child seated after six, seven months. Because it turned out that one of the mandates was not even required of the child. The child didn't need that service.

J

So, this is still an issue that we do have concern but let me just move forward of an interest of time.

So, we learned that in the DOEs latest report 2017-2018, about 78 percent of students with IEPs fully receive their recommended services which reflects an increase from approximately 73 percent the year before.

Does the DOE have any data on which service mandates are most difficult to comply with and why? Is it primarily due to a shortage of teachers or service providers such as speech therapist, counselors, or occupational therapists and quite frankly, what we hear is the horror stories in terms of contracting with related service providers who do not get paid in a timely fashion? Folks are waiting months and months and months or in cases over a year to get reimbursed and they can no longer provide the service they can't afford to maintain.

So, I would like to hear any type of data at your end. Why are we not at full compliance and which areas are difficult to comply with?

LINDA CHEN: Our goal of course is to serve every student and in terms of that if you look closer at

in terms of full services.

2.2

the data and thank you for that question of diving a
little deeper here. 97 percent are fully or
partially served. So, if we look at our Elementary
School students in core subject areas, we're
providing around 95 percent of services for
Monolingual Special Education instructional programs

Our greatest needs, so over half of our gaps are due to teacher licenser. So, we do know that about the number of partially served and that's largely in our bilingual and secondary settings. So, for instance, partially served, what does that mean in a secondary setting in middle school or high school where students are seeing a number of teachers across the day. It may mean that one or two teachers are on their way to getting certified. So, that would need to be partially served as an example.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Did you want to add anything?

LAUREN SISILIANO: Yes, this is Lauren Siciliano,
Deputy Chief Operating Officer. I wanted to add
specifically on the payment question that you raised
that we are absolutely committed to getting students
and families the services that they deserve on time.

2.2

As you know, we had an issue in the fall and in the winter, with delays and processing those payments due to an increase in the volume of those payments and this is an area where the structure that we had in place allowed us to problem solve quickly.

Once she was identified, we transitioned additional staff from one team to another to support the payments, so that we could get those payments more quickly.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: But are you aware of service providers that are basically at the brink or facing financial ruin? They don't have the capacity anymore to wait and provide the service because of these delays. Are you aware of cases and can you share with us?

LAUREN SICILIANO: Absolutely, we absolutely need to do better. What we are doing is looking at the full breath of the process from the beginning all the way through the payment.

At the beginning of the process due to an increase particularly in the impartial hearing cases, we have a limited number of officers to hear those cases that contributes to delays on the front end, so we are trying to address every part of the process,

## COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION

2	those issues,	so we	can	get	payments	as	quickly	as
3	possible.							

2.2

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: And is this a new issue?

LAUREN SICILIANO: The issue has increased with the increase in the number of cases.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: But this is not a new issue? This has been around for a while? Is that correct?

LAUREN SICILIANO: The issue has gotten worse as a result of the increase in the cases.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: So, and I appreciate your honestly, because I think your making kind of my case again. There has not been someone at a macro level in the DOE watching this, following up on this, making sure that this does not happen. Related services are not a new thing. This has been ongoing from days even going back to my teaching days, but it has gotten worse. But there are severe consequences for our children, because if they can no longer provide this service, if we're going to lose these providers, we'll have difficulty getting those services and to comply with their IEPs and that's why I'm concerned that a part of the reason why we're not complying is because we are having difficulty

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 retaining and keeping providers to provide those

3 critical services.

I want to discuss the announcement that was made

I think this past Friday. What is the plan for

Sesis? Is it staying, is it going and if its going,

whats next?

LAUREN SICILIANO: Absolutely and thank you for the question. As you may have heard we are at a moment now given the investments we have made, given the work we have done on Sesis. The work that we have done has made a significant positive impact. have been able to upgrade the system to the latest version of the platform, we've been able to operationally stabilize it and make enhancements. We've also been able to set up an infrastructure that will be transferable no matter what system we wind up going with. That includes additional training capacity, it includes improving our porting capacity and based on those investments and that work, we are now at a point where we know in more detail what our needs are and would like to transition to a more modern system that can better meet our needs.

And so, what we will be doing is releasing a request for expressions of interest and a proof of

2.2

concept. We wanted to announce that early so that we could do stakeholder engagement in that process, releasing that by the end of March so that we can start to transition to a new system.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Do you have data with you? How much money have we spent on Sesis thus far that which should include the amount of PD that has gone into the course of many years to implement Sesis? Any data that you have that you could share with us today.

LAUREN SICILIANO: Absolutely, so the most recent investments in Sesis starting in FY17, we received about \$16 million per year to support Sesis. A portion of that is for upgrades and enhancements, but much of that is also for the infrastructure that I mentioned that we will need no matter what the system is. For example, on the training front, since FY17 we have added over 170 trainings on Sesis.

Obviously, as we transition to a new system, that will pivot to the new system similarly on the reporting infrastructure. Part of the investment in Sesis included a power business intelligence tool which supports the programmatic services review that Dr. Chen described earlier that gives schools a more

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

2 granular look at their special education data and I

3 would also say to that while we will be as aggressive

4 as we can in our timelines, it will take time to

5 transition to a new system and so we will need to

6 | continue to use sustained and enhanced Sesis as we

7  $\parallel$  roll on to a new system in phases.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Right, but you mentioned that there was \$60 million in this last budget, is that correct?

LAUREN SICILIANO: Correct.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: What's the total amount that we've spent on this system so far?

LAUREN SICILIANO: I don't have it historically, I'm happy to look into that and get back to you.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Is it fair to say that's been in the tens of millions, hundred million, any estimate?

LAUREN SICILIANO: I need to get back to you with the specific data point.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: It's been a lot of money and this has been almost a repeat of what I went through with **Aris**. A lot of money was spent and another system that went down, but I just want to flag that we need to have a system that does have the

Z 1

flexibility to provide that school by school granular data and information and also respectfully, Sesis relies on the functioning of an internet system, is that correct? You have to log on?

LAUREN SICILIANO: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Correct. What happens when the internet is down in the school and that happens quite frequently? I'm just saying. So, in addition to getting a system that works for educators for children, for parents, we need to also need to also have making sure that system could be logged on as well. I'll ask one more question and then I'll turn it over to my colleagues in the interest of time. Is there a chance there is a regulation that requires IEPs to be translated as necessary?

LAUREN SICILIANO: Every parent through process, the intake process is able to be able to get a translated IEP and that is part of the process that is required.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Required by who, by what?

LINDA CHEN: It is required by the Department of

Education to be able to provide that. So, that's why

we've tried to begin a proactive way because we know

that is something that's needed.

1	COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION 96
2	CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Dr. Chen, could you just
3	give us — what requirement are you referring to?
4	LINDA CHEN: A664.
5	CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: A664.
6	LINDA CHEN: Yes.
7	CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: And do you have that with
8	you or the text of it or that's just a number?
9	LINDA CHEN: I can pull it up.
10	CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: Corinne Anselmi, Deputy
11	Chief Academic Officer. Translation of IEPs as we
12	have it right now is part of the intake process, a
13	part of our SOPM guidelines. When a parent -
14	CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Guidelines.
15	CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: Well, our SOPM is our
16	standard operation.
17	CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Right.
18	CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: Okay, when a parent comes
19	in for a social history, those rights are read to the
20	parent and they are asked if they would like
21	translation and interpretation services. Our policy
22	is that parents will receive a translated IEP if they
23	deem that they must have one. We don't assume it,
24	but we offer it and each school receives an

allocation to interpret IEPs, to translate IEPs and

2.2

there is an escalation protocol if there is a need
for additional funds to do so, but we honor the
parents need for a translated IEP. The work that
we're doing right now is how we can make this more
systemic and natural and not so much on having to go
through an intake process to stake the need for a
translated IEP. That is that commitment that we are
currently investigating in terms of how do we make

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: But you're referring to the SOPM, which are guidelines. Dr. Chen referred to a [inaudible 2:58:51]. Is there a [inaudible 2:58:52] that requires a DOE to translate these IEPs?

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: Yes, yes.

this easier for parents?

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: So why is the DOE only piloting only three districts when the majority are not in compliance? Why isn't this happening across the board?

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: I'm not quite sure that we're — let's be clear on something that I think is an integral to this. Translation of IEPs doesn't necessarily have to be automatic. There are parents that do not want the IEP translated even though they

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

23

may have an English language survey that states that they are a bilingual family.

So, the intake process that we've set through the social history says that if a parent requests an IEP be translated, it would be translated. There is no denial of translation of an IEP.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: How does the family know that they have a right to request that?

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: It is in the family guide and it is also part of the intake for the social history. It is part of that social history protocol.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: So, why did the system fail in the case of the parent that we heard earlier today?

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: We would have to investigate that case to see what communication took place at the school level, the knowledge and the ability of that school in terms of understanding the rights of the parent on translation. We work with our social workers and our psychologists to reinforce that a conversation be had around the need for translation and interpretation services.

1	COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION 99
2	CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: And how many school
3	psychologists do we have in New York City schools
4	currently?
5	LINDA CHEN: 988.
6	CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: And how many social
7	workers?
8	LINDA CHEN: We would have to get back to you
9	with that exact number, I don't have it. We can get
10	back to you with that.
11	CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: So, is it accurate to say
12	that we don't have a full-time social worker and a
13	full-time school psychologist for every school in New
14	York City school system. Is that accurate?
15	CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: Yes, yes, it is.
16	CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: That is accurate, correct
17	and that's a problem. That's a problem and I am very
18	concerned that we have a kind of a piece meal
19	approach in terms of the translation services because
20	I feel that the onus is now on the parent to ask when
21	we need to be very much proactive and I'm not clear.
22	If you're saying that this is already a requirement,
23	then why is this a pilot? What are exactly are you

piloting in three districts?

LINDA CHEN: It's always required and in the past in practice it has been done at the school level and our pilot is around the central aspect of it so that we can centrally coordinate all of the translation services necessary.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: And what does that mean in practical terms Dr. Chen?

LINDA CHEN: It really is about instead of individual schools creating a system to get to a translation of every IP, that once we're notified, it is done centrally for those districts and part of that work that our family and community empowerment team is doing is to look at where we can determine some efficiencies so that we can ensure what we believe that the caseloads would be like and also the work of translation is very particular when it comes to IEP, so we also want to make sure that we work with vendors who can quickly, or partners that can quickly turn that around. So, that's why we're centrally being able to manage and look at what the flow is, what the processes are, and the turnaround times. That's the difference in the pilot.

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: So, you have 220,000 students with IEPs, an estimate in our system, is that correct?

LINDA CHEN: Hmm, hmm.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: And how many of those IEPs are translated right now?

LINDA CHEN: We would need to get that exact number from our family and community engagement office, but we will follow up with that.

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: Councilman Treyger, essentially, we are supporting your contention that we need a systemic approach to the translation of The ongoing pilot that we've taken on is to figure out how exactly to do that and remove the onus from the social worker and the parent interaction so there is a commitment for us to improve this process and we know how essential it is in our Multilingual community at which we operate and we want to make sure that a parent is informed from the get go as to what their rights are, what's available to that student.

So, this is a commitment that we are making but before we take it on systemwide, is we have learned when you take on systemwide things without piloting, 2 | i

it sometimes can go awry. What we want to do here is to make sure that whatever we do for translation is something that would be sustainable and systemic, and every school will be trained accordingly with supports to help parents that need it.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Right, and respectfully, I would just respond by saying that IEPs are not recommendations. There not suggestions, these are requirements.

LINDA CHEN: They are legal mandates.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: You can't pilot compliance with requirements. Either you're complying or you're not and if your saying that this is a requirement and it's not happening across the board, that's a problem.

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: If the requirement is not what we are debating, requirement we agree that it is a requirement for us to do this but its our due diligence to do it the right way and to make sure systemically that we are approaching this. That the parent gets it in a timely fashion. Okay, that there is understood what elements of the IEP need a particular attention and translation is difficult, especially when you have as many languages as we have

2.2

2	and we want to make sure that we do this correctly
3	and that's it's done in a quick way and that a parent
4	leaves a meeting that they understand what has taken
5	place at the meeting and they have an IEP that is
6	translated and they fully understand what programs
7	and services their child needs and how to get
8	additional support if needed if this IEP is not
9	implemented with efficacy.
10	CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Right, but we don't have

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Right, but we don't have with us right now the number of IEPs that are currently translated. Is that right?

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: That is kept by a different division, we could get back to you on that.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Why is that a different division?

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: Because the translation and interpretation division keep track. They request for IEP translation.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: But those are still IEPs that fall under one of your offices, is that correct?

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: IEP implementation really falls under all of us, but yes, the family engagement piece and translation falls under a different division that we work very closely with.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: I'm going to turn it over to my colleagues, but again, I think your making my case about my concerns about the different silos within the DOE.

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: Councilman Treyger, since the elephant in the room is me, I am no longer a Deputy Chancellor. I am now the Deputy CAO and I'm going to be very honest with you. This structure is something we needed to get to because yes, there was a need for a Deputy Chancellor at a time when Special Ed was egregiously not addressed in the city and the rights of parents and students were — I'm probably reminiscing and your parents could probably testify to the times where as a 40-year educator in special education we didn't do our best job for students with disabilities in the City of New York.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Agreed, agreed.

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: The work of the reform that we have taken place over the last ten to twelve years addresses the rights of individual students to be within their community, to get the services that they need, to equip parents with what they need to have and that is the commitment of this Chancellor. I will tell you that I was presently at a meeting

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

where I publicly thanked in this forum the Chancellor for bringing together so many different divisions to address the needs of special education students that largely rested in the previous administrations with one leader. Yes, I worked with other Deputy Chancellor's but this structure right now ensures that the needs of our students are met and whatever you call me, whatever title you give me, my most prideful moment is to be considered an Advocate and someone that would not let the DOE escape from its responsibilities in implementing what is necessary for children. The testimony that Dr. Chen did today really outlines and what the parents attested to today, this is our work and my continuing in this role is because of my core belief that there must be somebody who advocates for our children. All children, but especially children who learn differently.

The work that we've done in related services more than siloed in the past. Program services, not a clue. When I got to the DOE, we didn't have any kind of data collection system to say, how are we doing?

Is it working? The commitment of this administration to get a robust data system, to really look at root

2	cause to make sure that every leader under this
3	Chancellor is responsible for this work is crucial.
4	So, if you're looking for a mega Advocate Zarina,
5	you're looking at it because this is really my role
6	and it is something that I am grateful to have the
7	leadership of Dr. Chen and to work along with Josh.
8	Whatever title I possess, whoever sits in this
9	position, their primary responsibility is to make
10	sure what is it that we're not doing, that a parent
11	has to testify that they have egregious conversations
12	with the principal around getting a service or
13	getting a child to read. This is really the primary
14	role and I am grateful that I now have strong
15	partnerships within the DOE that will support this
16	work. There was a time that there was a definite
17	need for a cabinet level Deputy Chancellor that said,
18	wake up people, we are not doing right by kids.

We have gotten to a place now where there is shared responsibility and whatever role a person that has the title of Special Education for the City of New York, their major role is to make sure that services are implemented and that's the commitment this Chancellor has made, and this structure has made.

2.2

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: Exactly.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Right and I appreciate your passion and your work in this regard. I will just say respectfully that the concern we have is whether you have an empowered position that has that authority —

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: You think they're going to keep me quiet? I think the Advocacy world knows this as well as many parent groups that I work with.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: I appreciate that. I would just say historically, as recent as the end of last year, what prompted a number of OPT changes for example, in addition to this Council having a hearing, was the news of a Federal investigation into OPT. That we did not know about until the media issued a report. The announcements on the eve of this hearing that Sesis will no longer continue or will continue into a new system. That only happened on the eve of this hearing. You know, I'm not blind to that.

And so, I appreciate your passion and your advocacy but as I pointed out, the scale of the kids we are talking about is over 220,000 students.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: It's extraordinary and I

2.2

agree it cannot fall on one person alone, but one person should have the ability an empowered ability to go across this large bureaucracy and to demand better, to demand compliance, and to demand that ultimately whatever is happening at [inaudible 3:15:47] is permeating down to our school level and that the onus is not on the families, particularly if families to have to sue to get services for their

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: We agree Councilman

Treyger and to be honest with you, you actually said

it better than I can.

kids. That's outrageous.

In terms of this population, this ever-growing population, one person cannot do this. I represent behind me multiple people that look at related services, that look at programmatic implementation, that look at data systems. We have a structure within the division that looks at every piece of that City Council report and plus, to really see what is happening within our system and to report to the Chancellor and to the leadership team around this work.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: So, you're saying one person cannot do this?

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: One person can lead a team that does it. Okay, and that's essentially the structure that we have, but I am saying the work around special education is so complex and it is something that one person really, they can have the title but it's about getting in there and doing the work with the people and I am proud to have a panel of people sitting behind me right now that addressed every aspect of your concerns in a very thoughtful and meaningful way. No, it isn't perfect and I am not here to defend it but when I think about when I started in Special Education 40 years ago, I am telling you, we have come a long way and there is only through the partnership, through leadership structure and with parents and advocates that we will get this right for our children.

And you know Councilman Treyger, I want to make sure that the right kids are getting the right services and that we are not over identifying students. That we are looking at interventions, that we're looking at our English language learners and Dr. Chen is committed to that as is Chancellor

2.1

2.2

Carranza and I stand here, I don't care what title
you give me, the advocacy for this work will always

4 be.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Alright, I appreciate your comments. Now, I'll turn it over to Council Member Rosenthal.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Thank you everyone for your passion and work. Thank you, Chair Treyger for this hearing and thank you of course for the DOE for trying to address this issue.

My first question is about my legislation which is 1380 and my quick questions are, is the DOE still committed to the Mayors expedited settlement policy? Why are parents waiting so long still for DOE to refer cases for settlement, make settlement offers, and approve the settlement language and what does the DOE need to ensure that it is following the Mayors policy? What can we do to be helpful?

LAUREN SICILIANO: Thank you for the question.

We are absolutely committed to the policy of making the reimbursement process easier and fairer for families who may need it and we will continue to work with families throughout the process to make sure that they are getting the services that they need.

2.1

2.2

In terms of the delays that your referenced, as you know, as the number of cases has increased, the case load per attorney has increased and that has led to some delays. We were recently approved to hire additional lawyers to reduce the case load which will help speed up the process, but we are absolutely still committed to the policy.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Whats the correct case load number and what case load number are you at?

LAUREN SICILIANO: So, right now, I believe it is several hundred cases.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: In total.

LAUREN SICILIANO: I will get back to you with the specific ratio, I don't want to misspeak but it is a high number of cases per attorney and I can share with you what that ratio will become once we hire the additional attorneys.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENHAL: And then what the right ratio is in order to get to your stated goal.

LAUREN SICILIANO: Yeah, absolutely.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Second set of questions, do you guys, are you aware of local law 27 that requires a disability services facility for the Department of Education?

2

4

3

5

6

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23 24

25

to the Mayor's office of People with Disabilities,

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: I have never heard of it, I'm sorry.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: That's okay, so this law was passed a couple years ago, it happens to be mine and it requires every agency to post on the front of its website, on the first page, the name of a person, not just DSF but the name of a person that the public can contact. So, with an email address and a phone number should they be somebody with disabilities, know of someone who has disabilities, so that the public, an outward facing person, so that the public can get the services they need through that agency.

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: Actually yes, and on the website, I believe my name is there.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: No, it's not.

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: Okay, then I don't know.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: I'm looking at it.

I'm looking at it, there's no name. You can click on

Special Education and then it lists about 30

different specific things that you could click on,

but no, no one's name is on there. However, if I go

where they are required to list the names that every

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 agency supposedly lists, we have the name Thomas

3 **Teratacco [SP?]** and then there is a phone number and

4 the email is accessibilityatschools.nyc.gov. It is

5 | my understanding that gentleman works on

6 accessibility issues, sort of with the SCA or

7 building or how you make sure that your building are

8 accessible which has nothing to do with the public

9 being able to contact someone at the agency to ask

10 questions about how the agency serves them who has

11 someone who has a special need.

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: We will follow up on that with the transition of the website. We'll follow up on that.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: So, I'm sorry, so you're saying your name should be on there?

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: My name is part of the leader of the division, absolutely for Special Education. Absolutely, my name should be there and with the revamping of the website, I mean, I surprised that is not included there but there is — listed there, there should be the contacts a Special Ed Reform Inbox and how parents can contact us. So, if its not in my particular name, it should be.

There is information posted around how to contact us

3 | I

with any issues concerning the implementation of the IEP.

know, this is what you do. You click — and it's only in English. So, you can either click on specialeducationatschools.nyc.gov. There is a Special Education hotline or 311. Tell that to the seven parents who testified this morning. You can email related services and you can email IHO inquiry, but I'll tell you, if you are a low vision individual, this is a very difficult page to read.

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: We are looking at the website.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: I know.

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: Because there was a major work done on revamping of the website and we have a committee that is looking at it to update it to make it more -

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: I'm glad there's a committee. I mean this was always my concern about the bills. That there's no teeth. There's no one around who has to — I mean the bill was passed in 2015.

2	CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: I just want to put your
3	fears at bay only in the sense that one of the things
4	that we're most proud of is our escalation protocol.
5	A parent that's listed on the website and also in our
6	parent handbook, and also with our work with
7	Advocates and other, we have let it be known that
8	actually people know and do contact me directly.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Do you want to say
10	your name, phone number, and email address out loud.
11	CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: Corinne Rello-Anselmi,
12	okay, and I would say that the Special Inbox is the
13	one that they would be able to reach me at because
14	what we do is, we take in all of the -
15	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Do you want to say it
16	again outload for the public.
17	CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: Sure, specialeducation@
18	schools.nyc
19	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Hmm, hmm.
20	CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: Yeah.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: So, now let's talk a
22	little bit, not necessarily about the IEPs but
23	instead at a school level data point of view, do you
24	have information on the types of disabilities that

people have on each school? So, not just in terms of

IEP compliance but based on disability so that the public could see that students are connected to appropriate services and programs.

So, let me just sort of run through the questions. What school level reporting does the DOE collect related to disability and which of that data is publicly available? Does the DOE collect and if so, can you make public the number of students with disabilities in each school disaggregated by disability type? Do you know how many students with physical, hearing, visual, and cognitive disabilities there are in each DOE school? What are the barriers to making this data publicly available?

I think its super important for the public to know how many students with various disability types are in school, so we can connect them to the appropriate resource, and we've all talked about the amazing non-profit groups including NYC Advocates for Children and perhaps to identify the students for various opportunities like adaptive sports programs for example.

And lastly, a student who uses a wheelchair is different than a student who has hearing loss. So, being able to identify the number of students with

disabilities in each school and disaggregating them
by disability type is crucial. Specifically, data
that disaggregates by disability type would be very
helpful so that we can identify exactly how many
students in a particular school have physical
disabilities for example, so we could think about
playground equipment and I know my colleagues here
are going to giggle because I ask this every year.
You know you guys opened a school on my district.
I'm very grateful for it. You put in a hearing loop
because I asked you to and the hearing loop for the
past three years, I've been asking you when you're
going to move the hearing loop out of the lunch room
and into the auditorium, which is wear hearing loops
belong, PS 191.

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: Yes, we want to work with you to resolve that particular issue that the points that you're raising, we absolutely agree with. One thing that I would want to also just raise as an area that we should consider is depending on how many students at each school have a particular disability, we just want to make sure that we are not necessarily pointing towards personally identifying students when there's a low incident of numbers.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Obviously, but then we don't know if they need ramps and elevators or if they need a hearing loop.

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: We agree with you, we do look at those things.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Do you have it internally?

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: The matter of how we make that information public because I think what I'm hearing behind is we do look at those things but the matter of how we make that public and that information is something we need to work with you on.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Sure, how many schools are there will kids with disabilities where its not possible for the kid to enter the school by the front door and go up and down by elevator? How many schools are there like that?

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: That would have to be a part of the accessibility work that we have done with the school planning and facilities, the exact number I'm not aware of.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: So, there's one in my district where the Mayor promised to fix it because we were at a town hall and the mom brought Joshua in

2.2

his wheelchair and talked about how he had to go in

the back door because there's no way to get in the

4 front door and he still goes in the back door and

5 that was real disappointment to me and to that

6 family.

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: This has been an important concern with the Chancellor and the cabinet and that is also why we have \$750 million going into capital planning for accessibility but —

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Yeah, you've already determined that at this particular school, it's not possible, which is really not possible for me to believe.

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: We will need to take another look at that. I would say that to answer your question about percentages of fully or partially accessible at our elementary schools, it's 44.8 percent.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: No, the question was, where you have kids who are on wheelchairs, right?

Because you just said, everyone behind you is nodding. They have information, school by school information disaggregated by disability type. So, the question was, by disability type, how many kids

2.2

2 are going to a school that doesn't meet their need?
3 Whether it be hearing loss, low vision.

4 CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: So, we will go back and
5 look at all of our information and make sure that you

6 have a response.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Yeah, I would appreciate that.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: There is an interest of time for other colleagues whenever.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Thank you.

much. Oh, I'm sorry, last question, promise. And this will come back at budget time. One of the things I sensed in hearing your testimony and the answers today is that — and one of the tensions in this discussion about referring people out to private schools is does OMB or City Hall or the Mayor or whoever is making the decisions, decide on how much money goes to Special Ed kids specifically, or are you asked to fund those private schools out of your general — general, your large, \$30 billion or whatever the number is, education budget? And the reasons it's important is it goes to the question

2.2

that is debated in the public and I'm not saying I have an answer, but do we require DOE to build enough schools in order to meet the needs of all different types of Special Ed or do we contract out to private schools to do this and that's a real tension, it's a debate. I've heard different points of view, but if it is the case that the more you pay for kids to go out, the less money you have in your overall budget that tells me public policy. A decision has been made, and I'm just wondering how that role is for you?

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: In terms of physical accessible to buildings, that is the commitment going forward in any new design in construction. If you're talking about — oh, I'm sorry.

LAUREN SICILIANO: In terms of looking at that question, we work very closely with OMB throughout the year to monitor all portions of our budget to see where the needs are and what the spend is.

Particularly in this case as well, so we're working closely with the office with Management and Budget to monitor those needs.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Right, so right now FY18 actual for spending on Special Ed students in

private setting is \$858 million and the budgeted is \$732 for next year. So, I guess you're thinking that number comes — oh, I see, FY 18 actual was \$859 million and for the current year, the amount budgeted is \$732 million. How many outstanding cases do you have? Is this budgeted number accurate or are you going to be coming back you know, with the number that at least was the of the year prior?

LAUREN SICILIANO: So, I would love to look over that chart to make sure that we're talking about — that we're comparing the same things, but again, we are constantly looking at spend patterns with OMB to make sure that we have the resources that we need.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Yeah, the disconnect appears to be in the blind and deaf schools. Where in FY 18, it was \$126 million and the budget for FY 19 is \$60 million.

LAUREN SICILIANO: Okay, I'm happy to look into that.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: It seems like a disconnect or its so well rounded as opposed to every other number, it looks like a place where you can just plug in a number. Thank you very much. I appreciate you Chair.

S

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Thank you Council Member for your leadership. Next, we'll hear from Council Member Brannan.

COUNCIL MEMBER BRANNAN: Thank you, Chair. I just have two quick questions. First, is there a way to track delivery of IEP services for Charter schools or are we doing that?

LINDA CHEN: So, for the purposes of Special Education, the DOE is the local education agency accountable for all students with disabilities in the Charter schools and to that degree, we work closely with the CSEs to be able to monitor and support IEP delivery in implementation, excuse me, in Charter schools.

COUNCIL MEMBER BRANNAN: So, we are tracking?
LINDA CHEN: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER BRANNAN: Okay, this is anecdotal from constituents. You know, dealing with carter cases and some of the impartial trial stuff where if — and I sort of make the analogy to when I talk to my local precinct commanders when I ask them if they need more police. Their never admit that they need more police because they sort of see it as its like a sign of weakness or they're too proud to admit that

2	they could use some more help. Even though privately
3	they'll say, yeah, we could use some more cops, I'll
4	never say no. We hear from parents that sometimes get
5	the feeling that there is a pressure to see if
6	appropriate services can be provided by the DOE
7	directly when it's clear that that's not the case.
8	When it's better off if a child is sent, you know if
9	they could just write NPS on the IEP and it's
10	basically that simple as opposed to pulling a kid our
11	of class you know, eight times a week at the District
12	school. Is there any pressure or is it looked upon
13	unfavorably if school leadership or a principal or a
14	superintendent is signing to many kids out of the
15	system, so to speak?
16	LINDA CHEN: We do look at that closely because
17	first and foremost we want at the DOE to be able to

first and foremost we want at the DOE to be able to provide every student what they need and that's where that close monitoring comes from. So, when we look at trends and where we have areas of growth. I'll give you an example in terms of our autism spectrum disorders. We have created additional programs to better support families and that is one area where we look at where are those trends where there seems to be an area of need that has to be sought in other

3

4

5

6

/

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

areas and outside of the system. So, that would be an example of something that we've been doing.

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: And I think to your question, its not up to a superintendent or a principal to make that decision, that's the role of the evaluation unit and working with parents and with students, so we want to ensure because we are legally mandated to supply service our own students. have to engage our parents and our students in the evaluation process to ensure that we really cannot service a particular child. More often than not we are able to and it is our commitment to do so as we build out more specialized programs. As Dr. Chen already mentioned around rising needs of students that are presenting on the spectrum. We are building out programs and training teachers that students can be kept within the general education classroom with better training of teachers for children who may present on the spectrum but do not need to go to a special program or a special school.

I am taking also into account the work that we're doing around print based disabilities. I know there was a discussion before around dyslexia and the recognition of dyslexia. Dyslexia is not one of the

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

2 13 classifications, but we do use the term dyslexia or print based disabilities when we describe children 3 4 who need a multisensory approach to literacy. This 5 work has been supported by teaching and learning and across the division to train out teachers in more 6 7 than one way to work with students that are presenting with print-based disabilities early on and 8 intervene. 9

So, to really summarize your answer, there is an incentive, but it is our obligation to exhaust every resource to service a child within the DOE.

COUNCIL MEMBER BRANNAN: Last thing, over the past couple of years, was there any sort of you know concerted effort to try to cut down on the number of IEPs that were sent out to non-public schools?

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: Only in terms of looking at our own practices and programs to make sure we build programs that meet the needs of the parents, so that they don't have to leave the system to get help.

COUNCIL MEMBER BRANNAN: Okay, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Thank you. Next, we'll hear from Council Member Rose.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Thank you. I was a little concerned about the gap in services. The time it

2 takes for students with an IEP to get the services.

3 So, I was wondering, how frequently are IEPs reviewed

4 to determine if a student is actually getting those

5 services that are in the IEP and is there an

6 | identified timeframe that these services should be

7 met. Is there something that triggers you know, this

8 look back to see whether or not they're actually

9 getting those services and what is the parent's

10 recourse when the resources are not provided, other

11 than litigation. Is there some sort of internal

12 process that parents are preview to, to remediate

13 this problem and how do they know this?

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: So, I believe your speaking about related services. There are two services the programmatic services, which means the classroom programs designation and then there's also the related services that ensure that the children

We periodically do look at through our program service report and our related service compliance dashboards, look at the service of our students and whether or not they are being received at a given point and time.

are successful whatever they may be sitting in.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

4

6

7 8

9

10 11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

The structure that we have in place is that at borough field office, there is a director of Special Education and there are Administrators of Special Education called [inaudible 3:50:23], that are assigned by District and to schools to look at the related service compliance, programmatic service compliance, and to escalate both to our related service office or to our central team around students that are currently in need of services and not getting them.

The related service team works tirelessly, works very hard to ensure that our students are getting their related services and where there are shortages address where the shortages are and deploy additional providers to that area. For example, we know historically the Bronx has had difficulty in the area of a bilingual counseling and OT, so we have sent resources and put a full press on sending providers to hard to serve areas.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: What triggers that level of review?

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: Yes, its weekly. We look weekly at our related service compliance and our program services to monitor where we see that there

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

2122

23

24

25

are huge gaps in service and compliance in terms of related service.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: So, as an individual parent, we heard parents testify today that they've had an IEP for their child, but they did not get those services for months, for some unreasonable duration of time. So, what is a reasonable amount of time that internally in that school each IEP is reviewed so that a parent's child does not lose four months of instruction, eight months of instruction because we have not been - DOE has not been able to get those related services on board. Have not been able to make the appropriate placement. When is there a fail-safe system that when I as a parent, I come, I get an IEP, I believe that now my child is going to get these services only to find that you know, that's not the reality? It's four months, it's eight months, it's whatever. When do you look at that internally to see that this child is not getting those services that IEP said they would get?

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: The work of our related service team is exactly that. That's why we work with the District Administrators of Special Education and our related services team looks to see what is

2.2

the area that is in need, that the child is not
getting. We have recognized that there OT and PT
shortages, OT mostly, and bilingual counseling
shortages that exist. When a child and all providers
have been contacted and we are unable to hire an OT
provider to work for example, for the City of New
York, we issue something called an RSA. Now an RSA
in our minds, just provides the parent with the
opportunity to go out and find their own provider.
We have improved.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: How long does that take?

I'm really concerned about how long it takes. When I come to you, I have my IEP, I sit down with the professionals and you give me a plan for my child, what is realistic for me to expect that my child will get these services?

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: Realistically, you should get — your child should have their service almost immediately because their provider should be in the school. Let's say a child is recommended for PT and we do not have a PT provider assigned to that school. The team will look to assign a provider immediately to that school to pick up that case. Now, I would say that it's a week to ten days that we exhaust all

2.2

the	possibilities	before	we	attempt	to	issue	the	RSA.

3 We don't want to sit for a long period of time, but

4 again, an RSA process just covers us in a sense that

5 we have made an attempt to get a provider. We were

6 unsuccessful, we're giving it to a parent, and saying

7 to the parent, if you find your own provider, we will

8 pay that provider to service your child.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: At what point is that? How long is that? When you say to me a week to ten days to get my own provider?

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: Well, there's the process. This is why we don't want to be overly dependent on RSAs because even though we may provide an RSA to a parent, it still is very difficult at times for parents to find a provider that will service. We are working to expand our number of OT providers, PT providers, Bilingual Special Educators, Speech and Counselors, but quite honestly, there is a shortage. We are working with universities, we are working with agencies, to get more providers to service the need of our students in the City.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: But if you can't do that as DOE to get that provider in to provide those

1	COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION 132
2	services, how am I as a parent supposed to get that
3	service or know where to go?
4	CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: So, there's an
5	interesting complexity around this. There are
6	providers who do not want to work for the DOE. I
7	don't know why, but they don't want to work for the
8	DOE and prefer to keep their private practices and
9	sometimes parents do have the opportunity through the
10	list of agencies we've had, agencies would prefer to
11	work directly with the parent.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: So, you provide them with a
13	list.
14	CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: Yes, we do, and we've
15	gotten a little better at that to because it's a list
16	that doesn't expire. It's something that's more
17	current and updated regularly.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Are these services that an
19	IEP might call for, are they predicated on a
20	principal's budget?
21	CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: No, absolutely not.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: So, whatever is needed,
23	that school can bring that professional in to fill

that IEP?

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: They will receive the resources and the allocation necessary to get the provider in school. If it doesn't currently exist within the school.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: I have one more question.

In my District, a parent raised concern about their child being pulled out of school in the middle of the school year and placed in an out of district school based on behavior, but the parent was not notified, advised, or any permission requested. Is there some sort of protocol that is supposed to take place when a child is taken out of one environment and placed in another and it's not because of an IEP recommendation?

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: I would need some clarity as to are we speaking of taking out of one school and placing in another school, without the parent's knowledge.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Well, I'm from Statin

Island, so this child was placed in a school in

another borough without transportation assigned to

that child which then made it necessary for the child

to be out of school for a very long period of time

because it was the middle of the year, they placed

2.2

him some place else without the necessary resources
to get there.

4 CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: I think what I'm hearing

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: And without also the necessary care person. Yes, I'm asking about the process that allows that to happen without first talking with the parent? This child needed services, they have a nurse, but was moved out of the District to another school without transportation, without the assistance of a nurse which actually complicated their health issues.

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: Sure, to be honest, I find it very strange that all of this especially for a medically fragile child in need of these kinds of services that the parent was not engaged in the decisions to move the child and to send them to another program or not have the service or the transportation. We are legally obligated to have the parent informed at all forms of the evaluation process and placement process as to what services would be given to that child at any given time.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Would you move a student because of behavior without concern or without making

## COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION

sure that the necessary other, you know, services
were in place?

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: You got me.

5 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Okay.

1

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: On this one, I would honestly say its to be the egregious part of this whole story is that the parent wasn't exactly These are the kinds of cases we love to engaged. hear about centrally. That's why we have the escalation protocol and the 311 and the Special Education hotline. Our whole escalation protocol is to look at cases like this and figure out how this happened, because there seems to be a breakdown in understanding. First of all, the parental right, the student right, and the need for the services and we are obliged to ensure that the parent fully understand why a child may have to leave a school. It's to difficult to say it's because of behavior. I mean that's something that we would have to investigate in terms of the need. Of what kind of services were necessary, but again, at the crooks of it, not without the parent being actively engaged in the movement of the child or the delivery of the service to the child.

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2 23

24

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Thank you and I'll have an offline conversation about that.

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: Yes, please.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: And I just want to ask about when a child transitions out because of age. This is a very simple answer, when they transition out because of age and we're providing them with workforce prep or something, is there any engagement? Is there any following through with this young person, just to see if they actually did get a job, if they are engaged in something after they've aged out of school in the services that DOE provides? there any safety net after they age out?

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: Okay, this is all part of that transition work that Dr. Chen spoke about and the development of our TCACs. That's the exact reason for this, that during high school there is a transition plan put in place as per the IEP to get the child ready for post-secondary success, whether it be career, college, independent living. If when the child ages out, now it depends on what programs the child is in, but a child let's say in a program that District 75 maybe staying within the program

1	COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION 137
2	until age 21. We work with that family and with that
3	student for post DOE.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Okay, that's all I wanted
5	to know.
6	CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: TCACs help support hat
7	but also then state agencies, we then connect with
8	the state agencies who take on that age 21 per

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Thank you. Thank you, Chair.

2.2

individual and beyond. So, we do a duel linkage.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Thank you and next we'll hear from Council Member Levin.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you very much,

Chair. Thank you very much for your testimony, I

realize it's late in the afternoon here, so I'll just

keep this brief.

I wanted to ask just about the overall picture for Special Ed Pre-K? You know, over the last several years, the overall expenditure on Special Ed Pre-K has decreased. It had steadily increased for a number of years from about 2008 to 2013 and then since then has declined a little bit. Can you speak to that and kind of what has been going into that and is this that there are Special Ed Pre-K services

2.1

2.2

being offered in our UPK settings? Is that what that
could be attributed to?

JOSH WALLACK: So, I'm not familiar with the specific budget numbers that you're discussing but I can say that overall our commitment to serving students in early education has gone up. So, I don't want to speculate on specific —

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: It peaked at well over a billion dollars. It's down now to about \$800 million, so it was at \$1.1 billion at some point.

JOSH WALLACK: So. I want to take another look at those numbers but I would just say just to get to the premise of the question, we're committed through Pre-K and 3-K for all to serving every student and to making sure that they are getting all the services that they need because again, that's the very premise of the effort is to ensure that every student is getting off to a strong start.

I think part of the story behind those numbers is that we're now serving about 6,000 preschool students in 3-K and Pre-K classrooms in inclusive integrated settings. Then there is another set of students that are attending private programs outside that are funded by a mix of city and state dollars. And then

some that are in the Department of Education and more specialized programs.

I think that when you look at all those sources combined, you'd probably find that our commitment has increased just because we're serving more kids than we were before and again we're pleased and proud of that fact.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay, but the overall expenditure on that I mean, has gone down and you should go and look at it over the last ten years and you'll see an increase and then a decrease. So, I'm curious what is going into that and if we could have a follow up conversation about that.

JOSH WALLACK: I'll take a look at those numbers and get back to you, sure.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay, for families that are seeking reimbursement for private Special Ed services, the Mayor has indicated that the administrations policy is to challenge fewer of those cases. Do you have data that show how you know, you have a year or quarter by quarter, those number of challenges or the percentage of cases that result in challenges has changed?

25 policy go into effect?

LAUREN SICILIANO: Yes, as you stated the purpose of the change in policy was absolutely to make the process easier for families and I would be happy to share the detail on percentage of settlements and things like that to help illustrate.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay, because obviously we do hear from certainly the panel that was here at first. There's the one mother who had been denied Special Ed services numerous times and so, I don't know the time line exactly for that and whether that was before or after a policy change, but this is something that we should keep a close eye on.

Is there a budgetary impact that you anticipate to change the policy?

EXUREN SICILIANO: So, the year over year expenditures in this area have been increasing. As I mentioned earlier, we reviewed that budget every year with OMB. It's been difficult to pull out clear trends since the policy is relatively new and it's very much dependent on how families are filing. So, you will see some increase year over year in the budget.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: I'm sorry, when did the

2.2

2 LAUREN SICILIANO: 2014.

mean the Mayor's been speaking about this recently, so what is it about now versus last year or the year before why a policy would change? In terms of preliminary budget conversations, it was discussed as an impact to preliminary budget. Has there been a policy change this year that would result in a budget impact?

LAUREN SICILIANO: No, it's the same policy change from 2014.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: From 2014, okay. So, then yeah, it would be good to see you know, eight years of data to show certainly the number or percentage of cases that are challenged.

And then my last question is about the role of — this is the response of the first panel as well. The role of principals in parents requesting an IEP or requesting outside services and whether there's a need for better training of principals or better support for principals.

I mean the one story that we heard was somewhat appalling and you know, we should kind of look into that one further, but I think that this is an area

2.2

that I'm not sure that every principal knows what

3 they need to know or has the type of support services

4 or is the best person to be making certain decisions.

5 So, can you speak a little bit to what the role of

6 principals in this conversation and whether that

7 should be examined?

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: So, we agree with you that the role of the principal is incredibly important as well as it's a large plate of things that they are carrying a load on and I would say first and foremost, we have principals across the city that are doing a wonderful and very good job of meeting the needs of our students with disabilities in every student.

We also have as you know, there are principals who are new or have moved in from different schools. There is some transition and there is always need for additional professional learning for everyone. We do work with our superintendents who oversee the principals but also provide support for them, so that they are clear about their needs.

We do have a handbook for principals and there is training for every principal to ensure that they know exactly what their responsibilities are. We do

2.2

2 believe it is important for principals to oversee -

3 they oversee the entire community and all the

4 | functioning of things that happen at the school level

5 and IEP decisions are made by a team overseen

6 ultimately by the principal.

So, there is expertise at every school in every aspect of the work that involved in the IEP process in addition to the principal's overall oversight.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you. Thank you, Chair.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Thank you. I just want to also note we've been joined by Brooklyn College Professor David Bloomfield, who is my college professor who taught me the differences between laws and rags and guidance, and I got a good grade in that class professor, thanks to you and just to point out that the requirement about translating IEPs actually comes from federal law.

So, it goes even beyond the SOPM. It goes beyond whether or not there's a change there's a regulation. We need to make sure that families are — that the onus is not on them. That we inform them of that right and we provide that critical service and that IEPs are actually put together in a carefully crafted

2.2

way that is really customized and aligned to the

3 needs of the children and I will say that I'm hearing

4 from you that there's a quick turnaround time to get

5 that service to the child.

We're hearing differently on the ground. That there are significant delays and so there's a disconnect here.

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: But there is a commitment to find out the root cause for the delay. Whether it be a shortage area and to develop better recruitment procedures to fill that need.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: You mentioned earlier about you're not sure why certain service providers would not want to work with the DOE to provide services, when we're hearing that there are significant delays in contracting and reimbursements.

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: I meant become a DOE employee.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Right, right, well, yes, yes. Well, that's an issue with regards to there's a pay paye issue at the UPK level and then 3-K level which we still have to address and resolve, but we do need to do better in this area and also, we spent a lot of time on compliance about services to children

2	but there's an academic component here as well.
3	Making sure that they are advancing through our
4	school system and that you know, reading at grade
5	level and as we heard the terms college career
6	readiness. We have to look at this kind of from a
7	macro lens, that these decisions or these delays or
8	these things are not happening. They have just a
9	significant adverse impact on these children and just
10	to be clear on my legislation, with regard to my
11	reporting bill. I'm not sure if I heard a clear
12	answer from DOE that to have a reporting bill on a
13	school, school by school basis about areas where
14	we're not complying and what service areas are, we
15	short on? Is there a position from the DOE on that?
16	CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: Yes, we do closely
17	monitor compliance at the school level. As you know,
18	however, we are concerned that the public reporting
19	of aggregate data at the school level in Intro 559
20	may be a bit misleading due to the smaller numbers of
21	students captured and the student needs served across
22	the schools. We want to ensure that schools are
23	focused on supporting the students in their school
24	buildings and we do want to look after putting all
25	efforts in that area

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: But you're saying that you already have this data, is that correct?

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: We do in terms at every level. We have access to that certainly, our field support offices have access to it, our superintendents as well as our —

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: So, why can't the public have access to that?

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: There are a number of shifts that happen in term of what the status is and that's why we have weekly updates. So, we would have to work out what are some of those logistics around that. The other pieces in terms of the numbers of students. That is of concern for us and I know is a concern for all of us is just reporting out when the numbers are very small, we need to be mindful of our students' rights and privacy.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Right, we'll follow up on this.

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: We'd like to follow up on it. We think it's important.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: We need to know. Like for example, today I heard that there are some shortage areas. I'm curious to know how have we advertised or

2.1

2.2

try to address those shortage areas? I speak with other institutions, I'm not sure if they would share that with me or share that with the committee. So,

we need to know where are we falling short? In order

6 to advocate appropriate resources accordingly. If we

7 don't know where we're falling short, how can we

8 advocate? How can we fight?

So, I do think we need to follow up on this item and lastly, I will just conclude by saying that I appreciate the discussion before about the pilots and what not. Do you have data on how many parents have asked already so far for translation for the IEPs?

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: We can get that exact number. I think the last pole we have is a little bit old, so I want to share updated information with you, but we are tracking that, and the pilot is focused on ensuring the process is something that we can do efficiently and effectively that meets the needs of parents as quickly as possible.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Is the number 200 accurate?

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: If I'm going off memory,

it is something in that neighborhood. I don't have

that information with me now, but I do remember just

meeting about a week and a half ago to check on that

and it was about 200.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Right, again, my concern is that folks, parents, are not even aware that they

have this right and I do think you know that we have more work to do but I think in the interest of time, and with the colleagues here present, I think the

panel for your time here today.

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: Thank you very much for your partnership and leadership as well.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Thank you. Alright, so I just want to inform folks that soon the charter commission will have to conduct their work in this room. So, I just want to emphasize that we're going to call panels to speak. We'll put up a three minute clock per speaker and I would just ask those who will testify, if possible they don't have to read every part of their testimony to give us the salient points the key points in their testimony and we will accept all written testimony because we do review it and we do appreciate it because we do have a I think a hard stop for us in this room and then we have to transfer over to the committee room at about five o'clock.

2.2

2 So, I just want you to be mindful of that. But we will hear from everybody.

So, next panel I would like to call up Maggie
Moroff, Randi Lavine, Lori Podvesker, Jaclyn Okin
Barney, Heidi Siegfried [SP?], Alice Bufkin, and Lisa
Vasquez. Okay, whenever folks are ready. Be sure to
state your name in the beginning for the record and
we'll have the sergeant set the clock as soon as
their ready and you may begin, whoever is ready to go
first.

MAGGIE MOROFF: Good Afternoon. I am Maggie

Moroff. I am the Special Education Coordinator at

Advocates for Children. Sorry, I also coordinate the

ARISE Coalition. I am here to today on behalf of

ARISE. I want to talk about three themes that we've

discussed with the Chancellor and with his staff

already. Integration of students with disabilities,

parent empowerment, and educational equity.

So, regarding Integration, as you noted before nearly 1/5 of the City's students have disabilities and they need to be considered in all citywide decisions about academic policies and practices, enrollment procedures, school and program siting, and school discipline policies. Everyone benefits from a

2.1

2.2

strong inclusive school culture and even when students with disabilities are placed in separate classrooms for academic instruction, they need to be integrated with their more typically developing peers throughout the rest of the school day and to make sure that happens, the DOE needs to make clear from the top that all students in the building are key members of the community and that anything less than that is unacceptable and principals in school staff need to receive specific training on including individuals with a range of disabilities and disability culture needs to be an explicit piece of all efforts to create a culturally responsive curriculum.

On parent empowerment, you heard a lot about that from the earlier panel. Our special education system is difficult and sometimes impossible to navigate. Families need access to the tools and the information to advocate for their children with disabilities and that includes real time access to information about the supports and services their children are and may not be receiving despite IEP mandates. Trainings, guides, an improving website, are all important to but only if they go hand and hand with a user-

2.1

friendly system that families can trust to help them resolve their conflict.

And then lastly, on educational equity and forgive me for speaking so quickly. All students need to have access to high-quality instruction and education and school staff need to be able to deliver differentiated instruction. Those staff need to be well trained in a variety of key instructional issues including but not limited to delivering literacy supports, preparing teachers to work with students who face academic obstacles needs to be a priority and it must be resourced appropriately.

You're going to hear from one student on the school accessibility. Oh, my goodness, student panel on school accessibility that we work with parents for inclusive education on.

I'm also delivering, I'm not going to read it out loud, but I have testimony from another student on that panel and a parent is here today to read a third students on that.

So, I'm going to leave it to the parents and the students and everybody in the room to share their stories, talk about the obstacles that they face, the daily battles that they fight and the successes that

2.1

2.2

they brought about through their own persistent
efforts and I thank you very much for holding this
hearing. It means a great deal to the families and

the advocates in this community.

RANDI LEVINE: Thank you for the opportunity to speak with you. My name is Randi Levine and I am Policy Director of Advocates for Children of New York. We are grateful that the City Council is holding a hearing on the important topic of the provision of special education services. Every day, Advocates for Children hears from parents who are struggling to get their children the special education services they need.

In the past two weeks alone, more than 100 parents and professional contacted us with questions or concerns about the education of students with disabilities in New York City. Here are just a few examples of the children who came to our attention in the past two weeks: a preschooler with autism whose IEP mandates a preschool special education class but who is sitting at home with no instruction or services and is regressing because of the DOE shortage of preschool special education classes. A shortage that is heartbreaking to explain to families

who see signs all over the city for 3-K and Pre-K for All but whose children do not have the preschool special education classes to which they are legally entitled.

A child with a disability who is in kindergarten for the second time, whose school is asking his parent to pick him up early every day in violation of the law, instead of providing the support needed to educate him for the full day and ensure he will successfully complete kindergarten this time around.

An elementary school student with a disability who has already attended four different schools, district and charter and is now facing expulsion from the charter school where his parent hoped he would finally get the high-quality education he deserves.

A student who is currently out of school because the paraprofessional who accompanies the student on the bus resigned and the DOE has not yet assigned a new one.

A student who received special education services while living in Puerto Rico but after moving to this City, waited a full year for his school to complete special education evaluations and recommend services

in violation of the law and just received a promotion and doubt letter.

A student diagnosed with dyslexia whose parent just wants her to learn to read and has resorted to looking at private schools because she has not been able to figure out how to get her child effective reading instruction within the public-school system.

And a student whose parent came to this country dreaming of a better life for her children but is unable to understand her child's IEP because it is written in English, a language she is just starting to learn.

These are just some cases that came to our attention in the past two weeks. This small ample of cases shows that the DOE must do more to help provide each child with a disability with the program services and supports they need to succeed in school. Indeed, the DOE's annual special education report shows that more than 20 percent of students with disabilities nearly 40,000 students are going without the full special education instruction they are entitled to receive under the law. Meanwhile there is a 40-point gap in reading proficiency between students with disabilities and their non-disabled

2.1

2.2

peers and our written testimony has additional

3 statistics about the ways that we're leaving behind

4 students with disabilities in New York City.

In order to address the challenges faced by students with disabilities, it is important to identify where the city falls short. Therefore, Advocates for Children strongly supports all four data reporting bills on today's hearing agenda. We are grateful for the leadership of Chair Treyger, Council Member Dromm, Council Member Kallos, and Council Member Rosenthal for introducing these important bills and our written testimony has information about all four of the bills and why they are necessary at this time.

We appreciate the City Council's leadership in holding this important hearing and look forward to working with you to move the data reporting bills forward. Thank you for the opportunity to speak with you. I am happy to answer any questions.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Thank you very much and I just want the public to know how important Advocates for Children has been for so many families. They have inspired this Council. They have inspired a lot of my colleagues and families. They have empowered

2.1

2.2

families with critical knowledge. I cannot thank you enough an we have a lot more work to do but thank you so much. Please next.

Hi. I'd like to thank the Council and as well as Chairman Treyger for holding this important oversight hearing on the Provision of Special Education Services.

LORI PODVESKER: My names is Lori Podvesker and I lead the policy work at Include NYC. I'm a little nervous and I'm also the parent of a 16-year-old boy who is full of sunshine and attends the District 75 program on the lower east side.

Testifying today to highlight the need for better quality and increase in the delivery of Special Ed supports and services for more than 250,000 students with disabilities ages 3-21.

We also testify today to bring more attention to the need for the equitable inclusion of all students with disabilities in all schools and all activities. We believe there's a direct relationship between the extent in which students with disabilities are integrated with students in other program settings and receive all their mandated related services with the extent they make academic progress.

We fully support and thank the Council for all the proposed bills. In particular, we're grateful that they will provide more transparency and oversight of special education services. Especially the Introduction of bill 900-2018. This bill will hold the DOE accountable for the delivery of related services.

We know from our own experience and extensive work with families that related services are extremely inconsistent throughout the years and students are not receiving all them.

We also applaud the addition of requiring data on assistive technology services and the additional requirement of the Department of Ed and the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene to report annually on preschool special education and early intervention services.

Every year, thousands of parents and Include NYC with help with resolving education issues due to a lack of parental support at the school level, regional level, and from DOE central. Persistent issues include: Quality of evaluations and IEP development, inappropriate classroom placements, parents not knowing their rights and how to escalate

issues when necessary, apprehension on sending their
child to a District 75 program, and I personally
believe this is because to many students in District
75 are receiving instruction in segregated setting
and general education students don't see them and
don't know them, and so parents are fearful and we
often talk to parents demystifying what District 70
programs are, concerns about the restrictiveness of
their child's program setting, overall quality of
instruction, absence of reading instruction, need for
appropriate interventions and accommodations. We
help a lot of parents at transition points looking
for help when applying to kindergarten, middle
school, and high schools and a lack of transition
plans and coordinated activities.

We thank the Council for pressing the Department of Ed on issues related to translation and busing and we hope to see more work around bullying.

One mother who speaks only Spanish recently called us for help. She told us that her 15-year-old nonverbal, autistic daughter who attended District 75 program has been waiting for an assistive technology device for more than two years since the service was first documented on her child's IEP.

2.2

Z 4

The mother emotionally explained her daughter's frustration, her inability to express herself at school and home, and how she screams when she feels unheard or is in pain.

This mom also fears for her own safety because her daughter becomes physically aggressive at times, when she doesn't have the basic tools. I'm sorry.

And to communicate with those around her.

No child and parent should be put in the situation or wait for the support needed for this basic human right.

As a result of these persistent special education issues, we have some recommendations a lot of them have been said, but some of them that haven't that is important is that we ensure the number of school psychologist is adequate so that students are evaluated and receive Special Ed supports and services in a timely manner.

We recommend that the Department of Ed creates borough based related service centers to increase access for services for families close to home and in their own language.

Also, the requirement of more professional development for general education teacher and

2.1

2.2

paraprofessionals on the basic characteristics of

3 | learning emotional, intellectual, and physical

4 disabilities as well as sensory processing disorders

5 and the value of inclusion.

We also recommend that the Department of Ed measures the extent in which schools integrate students with disabilities when non-disabled students via existing mechanism such as quality reviews, learning surveys, and school quality reports.

And lastly, we urge the Council to put pressure on the Department of Ed to recognize that inclusion of all students with disabilities including students attending District 75 programs being an integral part of all school diversity initiatives.

Thank you for taking the time to consider these issues.

LISA VASQUEZ: My name is Lisa Vasquez. I am the single parent of two special needs children Jasmiah age 7 and Jasiel age 3  $\frac{1}{2}$ .

I'm very emotional today because I just sat here and heard all of these people, the Department of Education officials just lie. I can challenge every single statement that Ms. Rello said. I'm sorry, I've been holding it in for a while.

My daughter Jasmiah, I wrote a bunch of points down, but if I may just be allowed to speak from the heart.

So, my experiences with the Department of
Education began in 2015 when my daughter began CPSE.

We reside in Statin Island in District 31. We've
faced many forms of injustices and discrimination,
segregation, just a lack of support. I'm a single
mother, I'm also an aspiring social worker. I want
to make a change in the system you know but having my
children home full time is very hard. I'm a young
mother. You know, I didn't receive the best
education, so the system has not only failed me but
is now failing my children.

So, my 7-year-old Jasmiah Vasquez has multiple disabilities. She has been sitting home for the past two years without a school placement despite having a deferral to CBST, which was made in November 2017.

My daughter is not being provided any of the special education services listed on her IEP and ordered by an impartial hearing officer.

The impartial hearing officer ordered in August of 2018 for my daughter to be provided 40 hours of one to one instruction, speech therapy, occupational

2.1

2.2

therapy, physical therapy, transportation, and a
travel para. While the Department of Education

4 effectuates on non-public school placement.

To date, none of those services have been provided to my daughter. That hearing was held in August 24, 2018. The hearing officer issued his decision right away and my daughter still, she hasn't received a minute of those services.

My son, Jasiel Vasquez and also, I just want to step back. My daughter first entered into the Department of Education in 2015. She had a messily two times 30 speech and OT recommendation which was never provided to her. The CPSE administrator, Uri Garcia in District 31 kept telling me, we're working on it. We're working on it. The whole preschool year came and went. My daughter didn't receive any speech therapy services.

The IEP team had a turning five meeting. I was never explained any of my rights. I didn't even know the different between a SEIT and a SETSS teacher. I had no idea. They sat there and they pretty much had like this five-minute meeting and said, this, this and this and that's it. While I had my son, whose three in a half now strapped to my chest. I sat

there, trying to trust them. Trying to make sense of what was being said to me having no experience, no advocate with me, just me myself. A young single mother, you know, willing to do whatever it takes for my daughter at the time.

In 2016, my daughter began kindergarten in a general education. I was severely concerned because she couldn't even fully speak. She was almost like nonverbal still. She was abused in PS 46 in Statin Island. She was verbally and physically abused and mishandled on multiple occasions. It got so bad that my daughters pediatrician referred me to an outside therapist who stated my daughter cannot return to PS 46.

I went to Anthony Ladiko's office, the

Superintendent of District 31. I sat at a table with
himself, Vincenza Gallassio and Shelli Green. I will
never forget these people's names. This is like
etched in my brain and they sat there, and they told
me, well, what do you want me to do? I'm not going
to fire my teacher.

So, my daughter sat home for the remainder of the 2016-2017 school year for kindergarten. In 2017, I was desperate because the district was not offering

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 another school outside of the zone school, PS 46.

3 So, I sought out a placement at a charter school

4 success academy. They promised that they could meet

5 my daughter needs and they also failed my daughter

6 upon putting my daughter in success academy, she

7 started off in first grade and then within a few

8 weeks, they put her back into kindergarten. They

9 didn't offer any supports.

In November 2017, at the IEP meeting, my daughter was deferred to CBST from November until May, nothing was provided at the IEP meeting. Off the record, the social worker, Karen Riley, at the CSE on Flatlands Avenue, kind of pulled me to the side and said, hey, you should just keep her home because Success Academy doesn't want her, and it will speed up the CBST process.

So, I took the advice of Karen Riley and pretty much pulled my daughter out and again, I have all of this documented. I have emails to everyone that I'm speaking of and I just waited. I was never contacted by CBST. I began googling CBST and found out who — you know, I found finally a number and I was able to contact Delilah Ogransapata [SP?], the CBST case manager who kind of gave me the run around. She

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

couldn't give me any like specifics on the process, nothing. She just said, you'll wait for my phone call if a school becomes available. To date, a school has not been available for my daughter. We went to an impartial hearing, as I just said in August and none of the services have been implemented.

So, Jasmiah currently sits home at 7-years-old. She doesn't even know her last name. She has to ask me, mommy, whats my last name. She can't do basic things that a 7-year-old child should be able to do. She has so much potential and my heart just breaks having her home all day long while I scramble to try to find people to watch my kids so I can you know, attend something like this or go to the DOE in person. I've went to 65 Court Street in person and demanded to speak to Sapna Kapoor, the Director of She has declined to speak to me. Implementation. She has not implemented the impartial hearing orders and my son Jasiel, he is three and a half and the same thing is happening. It's like one generation, they have failed, and now they're failing my son. Initially, he was recommended for an 8 to 1 to 2 classroom and I went to tour the one school that

2.2

District 31, the administrator, it was Gwen Cohen at
the time, now it's Uri Garcia again and that one
classroom that they offered, I went to the school and
the school said he's not appropriate for here. I
went back to the CPSE and CPSE said, well, that's the
only classroom we have for him. That's the only
school we have for him. So, let's try out the SEIT
services. He'll have one to one instruction, he
could have the service in the community or anywhere
else and so, they basically gave $him - they put$ on
his IEP, SEIT occupational therapy, physical therapy,
speech therapy, which has not been provided.

An impartial hearing officer ordered an issue for my son as well in July of 2018 and none of the services are being provided. My two children just sit home day in and out.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: And we thank you. This is outrageous what your explaining and what you're going through. Who have you informed from the DOE that these services are not being provided?

LISA VASQUEZ: So, I write daily emails and I have record of my email. My most recent email was actually just sent this morning. I have been emailing Betty Corbin, the Compliance Liaison at the

- 2 Office of Related Service, the CSE 7 IEP team and
- 3 Chairperson, Amin Hadade [SP?], the Implementation
- 4 Unit, Director Sapna Kapoor and Assistant Director
- 5 Thomas Beam [SP?]. I have emailed Ms. Rello who was
- 6 just here today. I have her email that I was able to
- 7 | find. I believe I had called one of the Advocacy
- 8 groups an they were able to give me her direct email.
- 9 I've even emailed Mr. Carranza, the Chancellor. I
- 10 have all of these emails. I would like to pile up
- 11 all of this and give it to you.
- 12 CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: So, you have informed quite
- 13 a bit of folks?
- 14 LISA VASQUEZ: Yes, daily.
- 15 CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: And you're saying you have
- 16 not received a response?
- 17 LISA VASQUEZ: I have not received a response.
- 18 CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: So, we're going to take
- 19 down your information. I assure you, you're going to
- 20 receive a response.
- 21 | LISA VASQUEZ: Please, you know, it's very -
- 22 CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: You're going to receive a
- 23 response.
- 24 So, we'll follow up with you right after this
- 25 hearing.

2.1

2.2

2 LISA VASQUEZ: I really appreciate it.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: We appreciate you.

LISA VASQUEZ: You know, I've done everything I can. I don't have monies to pay out of pocket for these services. I don't even know where to look for them other than google and my google searches, I've exhausted my google searches. My days are divided between trying to attend to my two severely disabled children who are falling further and further behind. My son Jasiel, you know, was beginning to speak and now that these interventions still aren't being provided, he walks around making animal noises. You know, I really need help.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: We're going to get a response to your case asap.

LISA VASQUEZ: Thank you, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL?: Chair, as a Council Member from Statin Island, we're going to follow up. We'll make sure that something happens.

LISA VASQUEZ: Thank you. I actually came to your event in October where they were giving out the helmets. I actually have pictures of you and Jasmia

2.2

2 who was at the event. You know, so I would

3 appreciate any follow up.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: We will follow up okay. I'm going to have my staff come and get your information.

LISA VASQUEZ: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: You have a great Council member here and you have the full weight of this Committee that has your back.

LISA VASQUEZ: I just feel so voiceless, so hopeless you know. Like, this has caused so much. I'm about to become evicted from my [inaudible 5:00:07] apartment because I can't even keep up with the HRA bureaucracy. You know, there's just so much going on and I just want to really give my children a chance at life. I don't want them to become a statistic.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Thank you. God Bless you.

Thank you. We're going to follow up. Thank you so

much. I appreciate you.

ALICE BUFKIN: Good afternoon. My name is Alice
Bufkin. I am the Director of Policy for Child and
Adolescent Health with Citizens Community for
Children of New York. We are a multi-issue non-

profit child advocacy organization dedicated to ensuring that every New York child is health housed, educated, and safe.

There is no way I could have any testimony that could compare to the power you have heard today and the testimony. I am so grateful that this hearing is happening and grateful to the parents who have shown up to voice the challenges they're facing with these systems.

I do want to thank Chair Treyger. Thank you for holding this meeting and all the members of this committee. I am actually going to keep my testimony very narrow. We are in support of every bill that is being heard today. Clearly, there is a lot of work that needs to be done. In the minutes that I have though I do want to focus specifically on Intro 1406, in the early intervention section of that. I know a lot of attention has been paid to Special Education. I just want to talk a little bit about some of the issues facing early intervention.

You heard earlier today that description of it as a warm hug. It is a really critical part of making sure that children with disabilities and developmental delays have the services they need.

As you may know, there have been cuts to statewide reimbursement rates for EI. A series of cuts that were never restored. We've also seen additional administrative burdens placed on the EI providers that have made it even more challenging for providers to keep their doors open.

We've seen as a result, providers closing throughout the state including here in New York City. One of the providers that was providing service coordination to 2,400 ended its 24 year EI program in June 2017 because the program wasn't financially viable and from the data that we do have, we know that we are seeing children who are not getting timely services in this really critical window when they're developing when they really benefit from having that early intervention service.

So, the city and state level challenges underscore the need for detailed timely data on the provision of EI services. We strongly support Intro 1406. Importantly, each of these data points will be disaggregated by zip code, race ethnicity, status of the student, and temporary housing and gender. This is really critical for determining what the disparities are in terms of access to services and

_	cimery care. We do want to make a couple of small
3	recommendations. In addition to the categories
4	including the bill, we recommend that the bill
5	require that data be disaggregated by a recommended
6	language of instruction and home language. As you've
7	heard today, we have ongoing problems with a lack of
8	adequate Multilingual EI providers, or providers
9	generally but including an early intervention. It is
10	critical we know that how language is impacting
11	provision of services in a timely manner.

We also recommend requiring information be disaggregated by type of health insurance, health coverage. Children that either receive Medicaid, Child Health Plus, private insurance, and it would be helpful to know what impact that health coverage has on again, timely access to care. Again, we're grateful for today's hearing and in support of all the bills being heard today. So, thank you very much.

[INAUDIBLE TESTIMONY OF PERSON TESTIFYING 5:04 TO 5:08:43] Hi. In this hearing today you heard testimony -

Thank you. 

2.2

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Thank you all. Thank you

3 all so much.

Okay, so we're going to have one more panel in this room and then after this panel, we'll move to the Committee room, but every one will be heard but if we could just stress that even though we have a three minute clock, you don't have to read your whole testimony, we'll read it when you submit it for us.

Just give us your summarized salient points but we'll take all your testimony. So, I'd like to call up next Sonja Mendez Castro [SP?], Jessie Cutler, Karen Varcus [SP?], Molinda Ondra [SP?], and Alice Murphy.

Okay, whoever is ready to go first, you may begin to proceed.

ALICE[SP?] MURPHY: Hi. Thank you for the opportunity to speak on behalf of Statin Island parents of children with disabilities. My name is Alice Murphy and I am a mother of two children on the autism spectrum. The testimony I have the honor to share with your today was written collectively by parents of children in special education in both District 31 and District 75. When the equity and excellence for all diversity in New York City public schools was unveiled, the Statin Island parent of

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

1112

13

14

15

1617

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

children with special needs were hopeful with language such as the DOEs belief that all students benefit from diverse and inclusive schools and classrooms where all students, families, and school staff are supportive and welcomed.

However, quickly into the first few paragraphs of the plan, it becomes evident that the mention of the Special Needs population is nearly an afterthought. Not a single one of the twelve proposed action plans are targeted for children with disabilities. stated goals of increasing the number of inclusive schools that serve students with disabilities is ignored with no clear proposal of how this will be achieved, and they fail to even include district 75, when defining New York city's large school system. The plan shied away from words like discrimination and segregation, however, we as parents of children who have been separated from their peers and consistently discriminated against due to their disability are not afraid to speak up. Our children are placed in classroom setting that are completely inappropriate or many miles away from their homes and neighborhoods due to lack of funding resources space or diverse programs. Children are being denied

2.2

enrollment in their community schools, not because of a severe disability, but rather because the community schools are not properly equipped with training and funding to accommodate a child with a disability.

Because of limited seating, students that are recommended for District 75 are left little to no choice where their child attends and are often traveling across the borough or in some cases out of the borough entirely to accommodate the child's

recommended classroom setting.

Children are receiving therapy sessions in hallways and closets. Many of our classrooms are dilapidated and outdated buildings and trailers, and children with disabilities in convocated sites are treated as second class citizens often using separate cafeterias, hallways, entry ways with minimal or no access to libraries, auditoriums, gymnasiums, after school activities or outdoor space. Our children with physical disabilities are traveling multiple hours a day to receive an education because most of our schools lack accessible bathrooms, classrooms, labs, cafeteria's, ramps, and lifts.

On a personal note, my third-grade son who spent the last month on my couch receiving zero education,

2.2

because the DOE fails to find appropriate placement
to meet both his academic and behavioral needs. In
his last school, while enrolled in a specific program
for his diagnosis, he endured complete separation
from his peers and not only was he the only child in
which should have been a setting of eight, but he was
forced to sit alone at lunch by his principal. This
treatment was detrimental to his self esteem and he
was expressing suicidal ideation as a direct result
of this isolation.

So, we are urging the education committee to put pressure on the Chancellor, the division of family and community engagement and the school diversity advisory group to finish what they started. To continue the very necessary conversation of diversity equity inclusion for all but include stakeholders of children with disabilities in our community districts and district 75 to assist in devising a clear concrete plan to children with special education.

In the spirit of inclusion, we request that each community education council have a seat designated for a District 75 parent and every school leadership team of a community school and District 75 colocation add additional mandatory seats for a

2.1

2.2

2 District 75 Administrator and UFT representative.

This will send a clear message that we are all one community that allows everyone's voices to be heard.

In 2019, it is an antiquated notion to separate our children based on their disabilities. Instead of dividing our communities, let's work together for unity for all. Thank you very much.

MELINDA ONDRA[SP?]: Good afternoon. My name is Melinda Ondra. I'm a supervising attorney at the Legal Aid Society in the Education Advocacy Project.

Through the Education Advocacy Project and our Education Law Project, the legal aid society that presents hundreds of children each year who have special needs and who are not receiving the services that they need.

So, we thank the Chair Treyger and the Committee on Education for having this hearing and allowing us to offer our thoughts.

The reporting requirements at the City Council Enacted in 2015 under Local Law 27 have increased transparency and accountability and we appreciate that, and we applaud the efforts of the Committee to increase the transparency and accountability of the DOE.

Today, we specifically want to talk about the need for more therapeutic day programs that incorporate mental health treatment for students during the school day, the shortage of high-quality supportive career and technical programs for children with disabilities and the DOEs failure to comply with impartial hearing orders that would remedy some of these problems.

So, specifically, we represent a large number of children who have significant mental health issues that stem from a variety of factors, and in the past the Department of Education had partnerships with clinics and hospitals to address those children.

Where children could receive clinical services throughout the day along with their educational services and in recent years, we've seen the availability of those programs disappear.

Many of our clients, their needs cannot be met by going to a 45- or 60-day intensive day treatment program and popping them back into the same program that they started in and they can't be met for some of them by being in a community school and simply meeting with the guidance counselor once or twice a week.

So, these students need wrap around services in order for those special education needs to be met and at this point students with this level of need are often recommended for non-public schools because there is no public school program that can meet their needs and for family with means, most of which are not legal aid clients, but for families with means, many families are placing their children in private therapeutic programs which the DOE eventually pays for through the impartial hearing process.

So, we would like to urge the City Council to use it to influence to pressure the DOE to create more programs that would create partnerships and address mental health needs along with educational needs of children with special needs.

In terms of access to career and technical education, which is our next area. We heard earlier that in 2017, approximately 50 percent of New York City children with disabilities graduated. We know nationally, approximately 19 percent of children with disabilities who graduate high school enroll in a four-year college and of those students, only about 34 percent leave within eight years with a four-year degree. Which if you take those statistics together

2.2

means that out of every hundred students with disabilities 3 to 3½ are leaving with a four-year college degree and this makes it vitally important for our clients that there are high-quality vocational education programs. Most of our clients can achieve a meaningful career, or meaningful trade if they have the proper training.

There are programs in the DOE with very euphemistic names like the Crew Development Center or Occupational training centers but when you visit those programs you see very little vocation training going on.

So, programs like Co-op Tech in Manhattan or the Steam Center in Brooklyn are wonderful programs for kids who are general education students, but they don't accept children that need smaller classes, that need modified curriculum and those are children that could be successful in those programs with some modifications.

So, we want to encourage the DOE to increase those programs, increase the access to those programs because children with disabilities deserve the opportunity to leave public school with a meaningful trade that they can use to support themselves.

The third issue that we wanted to talk about is hearing order implementation. There have always been some issues, right? But this year we have seen extraordinarily delays with the DOEs implementation of impartial hearing orders.

So, these are students that have been deprived of special education services, they've gone through the process which is often very lengthy of getting an attorney, going to hearing, sometimes waiting months for a decision and then when they have a decision, their still not getting the services that they need because of the delays from the DOE and implementing those orders.

By and large, my clients cannot afford to front the money to pay for their children to get services and wait to be reimbursed.

So, my clients are not getting the services even when their parents have gone through this process and gotten the hearing order. So, you know, we need the DOE to step up and implement these orders and increase their staffing in the implementation department if necessary.

We also, as a result of these delays and even delays when the DOE has agreed, we're having delays

in getting service providers paid and service providers are abandoning our clients because they cannot afford to work for free.

You know, we rely on providers who will build the DOE directly so that our clients can get the services when those schools are unable to provide them. And so, we urge the DOE to add staff to the impartial hearing implementation office, so that these cases can be processed more quickly.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: I want to really thank you for making some key points. I just want to very quickly emphasize, we heard a lot today about children with special needs, but these are children with also incredible and special talents. They are gifted in so many different ways. There's a D75 school in my District Roy Campanella where they invited me in, and I was greeted with some delicious muffins and I was told the students prepared them. I like to cook at home, they were much better than mine and these kids are so gifted and their so talented in so many different ways. I agree with you, but someone needs to be held accountable for their progress. So, thank you so much for your great

2.1

2.2

2 leadership and for your advocacy. I appreciate that.
3 Next please, thank you.

JESSE COLE CUTLER: My name is Jesse Cole Cutler and I am a partner at the Law Office of Regina Skyer & Associates, LLP, a special education law firm representing thousands of families in all five boroughs. Thank you to Educating Chair Treyger and Speaker Johnson for the opportunity to testify today in favor of Introduction 1380.

In 2014, Mayor de Blasio announced his Special Education Initiative. It did not come with any new proposed law or regulation, rather the Mayor promised internal policy changes in four bullet point: The City would expedite decisions, reduce extended legal battles, reduce paperwork, and expedite payments.

Nearly five years later, while more tuition reimbursement claims are referred for settlement on notice at higher dollar amounts than before 2014, our client families have experienced worsening delays in the overall process, it now takes over twice as long.

During the Bloomberg Administration, parents typically received their first reimbursement within 9 months. Now, we advise clients they must plan to front two years of school expenses.

One of the longest steps in the process is waiting to obtain countersignature from the City on a settlement agreement. When the financial pressures on a family become too much to bear, the only legal recourse that we have is to withdraw from the settlement process and proceed to an impartial hearing. This is precisely the kind of unnecessary and burdensome litigation that the 2014 initiative aimed to reduce. Some estimate that each year it will cost New York City \$50,000.

The Department of Education has told us that the slow execution of stipulations is due to an increase in the number of tuition reimbursement claims as well as understaffing and turnover rates of the office of legal services.

In our experience, when we call the office of Legal Services to inquire about a specific case, we're often told that it's "with the Comptroller". Meanwhile, the Comptrollers office assures us that they have no back log. This finger pointing does nothing to fix the problem. The proposed reporting requirements would allow us all to see where the systemic issues actually lay.

Before I move on, one technical suggestion we have for the bill itself is that in addition to reporting the date the department refers for settlement, the department should also be required to report the date the request for settlement is actually approved by the office of legal services.

After cases recommended by the Committee on Special Education for settlement, the office of legal services must actually review and approve of that request before negotiations can begin. These are separate and distinct steps.

Unrelated to Introduction 1380, I also want to alert the Committee to a growing crisis related to the non-availability of the impartial hearing officers. When we must go to hearing, because the city refuses to settle or too much time has passed waiting for a countersignature on a stipulation from the DOE as I described earlier, we are increasingly unable to get a first hearing date in an appropriate or reasonable amount of time.

In New York City, there are legally — well, technically, 75 impartial hearing officers but right now, less than a dozen are accepting assignment of new cases. The rest are reporting themselves as

temporarily unavailable or recusing themselves from accepting cases. This shortage impacts all due process hearings conducted before the DOE, not just tuition reimbursement claims.

There are three main reasons the IE chose to give us for accepting less case work. Number one, they're under paid, they have not received raises in nearly 20 years. Number two, they're not allow to bill for necessary tasks, administrative tasks, motions, things of that nature and number three, there are extreme delays of anywhere from four to six months before they're actually paid for the work that they do and that they bill to the Department of Education.

This is a catastrophe in slow motion. If parents cannot access due process for students with disabilities, the entire system will collapse.

New York State received \$780 million in IDEA part B funding in 2018. In order to remain eligible for that funding, procedural safe cards including access to impartial hearings, must remain intact. It is critical that this body [inaudible 5:30:27] upon this important issue and its discussions with New York State to ensure the due process rights of students with disabilities are retained.

With that, thank you very much and I'd like to turn it over to Iliana Keizer who's reading the testimony of Sonya Mendez-Castro, who had to leave.

ILIANA KEIZER: Hi. Sorry, Sonya had to leave at around 4:30. So, I'm a colleague of hers, so I'm going to read her testimony.

My name is Sonya Mendez-Castro and I'm a partner at the law office of Regina Skyer and Associates.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify today.

My testimony will focus on the impact of settlement and pendency payment delays. There is a myth that only wealthy people engage in the high-risk complicated and expensive process of tuition reimbursement. The truth is that parents are parents and parents will do anything they can for their kids. They will beg and borrow and even mortgage their homes and they often have to mortgage their homes or their grandma's home.

Special Education is much more expensive then general education no matter who is administering it.

As my colleague said, the dollar amount of settlements is higher under this administration than the previous, but the cruel paradox is that longer delays in reimbursement mean that things are now

2.2

worse for middle class families than in the past. If
you can't afford to front two years of tuition and

4 absorb significant interest on loans you've taken, it

5 really doesn't matter what the dollar amount for the

6 settlement is.

These delays harm are lowest income clients to.

This slice of our client pool does not file carter claims, where you pay tuition up front and sue.

Instead, they file Connors claims, and as a personal note, I'm a Connors claim parent myself, so this is personal.

Connors claims allow a parent to sue prospectively for tuition. When the school is willing to wait to receive the tuition. We are proud to work with many schools who make it part of their mission to provide seats for economically diverse New Yorkers through this process; however, these schools need to keep the lights on and pay teachers.

We are now hearing from many schools that they will be offering fewer Connor seats for the upcoming school year, specifically, because of these delays.

While Connors claims are a far from perfect solution to the economic inequities that are current

2.2

2 system implies. They are the best tool we have
3 today.

Switching topics, I'd like to address how delays and pendency payments are harming our clients and special education professionals. Pendency is the term we loosely use to describe an IHO order at the start of a school year that affirms the students right under the IDEA to continue to have their last agreed to special education placement funded pending the outcome of the litigation.

Pendency orders are meant to guarantee that payment is sent on a regular basis to schools and providers when proper documentation, attendance records, invoices are submitted. However, a shocking number of the schools and individual providers we work with, did not receive pendency payments for the twelve-month school year beginning in July 2018 until after the start of 2019.

As a result, even though tuition has been ordered by a judge, some schools, most of which are non-profit institutions, have been forced to operate on lines of credit and lose money on interest payments.

Many more have been forced to require that the tuition and fees be paid by parents.

2	Again, this disproportionately impacts our most
3	financially vulnerable families. The impacts of
4	these pendency payment delays have also been
5	devastating for individual special education
6	professional like, speech therapists and SEITs. Many
7	of our families work with teachers, therapists, and
8	other related service professionals who have been
9	unable to pay their rent or student loans or afford a
10	Metro card to get to work. It's an unattainable
11	situation. We're available to answer any questions
12	you have.
13	CHAIRPRESON TREYGER: I would just note that you
14	added — I was just keeping tabs on how many different
15	offices we've heard today that deal with Special
16	Education. You added now the Office of Legal
17	Services. We have Office of Family Engagement. We
18	have so many different folks and it's still not clear
19	to me about the accountability piece. It's
20	unacceptable. So, we're going to take a brief five-
21	minute recess as we move this hearing to the
22	Committee room, and we'll restart there shortly.
23	Alright, we will restart again. Thank you
24	everyone for transitioning over to this room. We had

to make accommodations for the charter commission.

2.1

2.2

Again, just to repeat. There is three minutes on the clock per speaker. If you have lengthy testimony, we will receive it, we'll read it, process it, but feel free to kind of summarize your main points when you testify in the interest of time, so everyone can have a chance to speak.

Alright, so the next panel is Ellen McHugh, Nancy Northrop, Susan Crowson, Rebecca Kostyuchenko, Jackie Kostyuchenko, and Celia Green.

So, whoever is ready to go first, may go first. Please just state your name at the beginning.

JACKIE KOSTYUCHENKO: I think you probably know me because my mom's done a lot of work here, but just in case you don't, my name is Jacklyn Kostyuchenko. I'm in eighth grade, I go to school in Brooklyn at the middle school MS 447. I wanted to start off by saying that being a kid with a disability in New York City public schools is so difficult in so many ways that a lot of times its almost even hard to describe with words.

Having a disability in school makes you feel like the teacher and not the student a lot of times.

School is already challenging between studying for tests and/or doing your homework on time and when you

2.2

add on top of that having to change every single

activity, homework, and class that comes in your path

as a student, it's much, much harder.

I would like everyone to think back to when they were 13 or 14-years old. Most people when they were teenagers don't want to speak up. Sometimes, don't even want to speak at all. So, I'm sure you all can imagine being 13 and having to tell your teachers on a daily basis, no, that activity doesn't work for me or sorry, to make you change your entire lesson plan, but I can't physically do that.

Just a few weeks ago, right around the time I told my group I would be willing to come down here to speak, I had an experience that I've had many times before. My math teacher and my advisory teacher, they are the same person. In math, I noticed that we had been walking around the room in the hallway a great deal, so I decided to go after school and talk to the math teacher about how this physically doesn't work for me. In response that she said, but it worked for you before. After some more explaining she said that she understood and that was that.

A week later, I got an email the night before the day that we have advisory and telling me about a

Э

Z 4

physical activity coming up. The activity was moving around the room to different tables based on a certain number you got. I responded saying, we already discussed this, and it does not work for me.

Luckily, the lesson plan was changed, but why do
I have to keep telling this teacher? Why do I have
to keep teaching all my teachers how to include a
student with a physical disability?

From this experience and other experiences, I have learned that teachers aren't trained at all in how to deal with students with physical disabilities. What I did notice is that teachers are trained in dealing with students with behavior issues, intellectual disabilities.

Recently, we had LGBTQ plus week in schools, where there was a whole seminar for teachers on how to be there for LGBTQ plus students and we had Black lives matter week, just recently.

I am so grateful for all of this, but why do we not have any teacher training for students with physical disabilities. Don't I deserve to be understood and supported as well. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: You left me speechless. Very powerful stuff and I could not agree more.

2.2

First of all, thank you for your courage. Thank you for being here. Thank you for sharing that and as a former teacher, you are correct. We have a lot more work to do for better teacher training and they can't just send us in a workshop. They should prepare us before we get into the classroom on how to meet the

So, thank you and thank your wonderful mom and your family. Your advocacy and your story really inspired this Council and this body, which was the impetus behind the significant money that we put in to make our schools more accessible but there is still so much more work to do. But thank you so much and that was an A+.

JACKIE KOSTYUCHENKO: Thank you.

needs of all of our children.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Great job, yes. Thank you, next.

CELIA GREEN: Good afternoon. My name is Celia Green. I'm a mom of six young men. Four of whom are in the spectrum, three of whom are still public-school students and all three of whom are still D75 students.

I have been a mom with experience in D75 since 1994 and as the saying goes, the more things change, the more they stay the same.

So, I can definitely know that the same things that were happening to me and parents back in the 90's, are still happening with parents today.

That's definitely not a good thing, because I believe that anytime you find something in a particular way, you should leave it better than you found it. I am also the co-Chair of CPAC, the Chancellors Parent Advisory Council and I'm also the president of CCHS, which is the citywide Council on high schools.

I wanted to touch a couple of points that may not have been touched with D75 and D79, because I've noticed that no one has brought up D79. A lot of our kids do grow up and do end up in D79 programs as well. So, there is a large amount of children with IEPs in those programs also.

I think part of the difficulty that a lot of people are seeing within the DOE is that there is so many silos, there are too many silos. No one knows what the other person is doing.

There are really good CSEs, so if you live in District one or District two, you may not have had as hard of issue. If you live in District 13 or 15 in Brooklyn, you may not have had the same issue as if you lived in let's say District 19.

I don't think that whether or not you get educated should depend on the district you live in.

In my case it did because I live in District 18 and it was not a good CSE. It remains not a good CSE.

All of my children have been lucky enough to be educated or housed in District 13 and 15, so they are getting things that they need. They are getting the supports they need, but it should not be a hit and miss across the city. They're all children, we all need all of our children to function independently. If you want to have taxpayers, you have to have people that function independently.

So, all our children deserve that chance. I think that it is taken from our children too often, because one hand doesn't know what the other one is doing.

District 75, I want to point out is that it's a district who has a superintended but does not have a CSE which is a shame, because determinations, as to

2.1

2.2

2 kids that really don't need to be in 75 could be made 3 at the CSE and kids that really do need it, should be

4 able to get those services.

One other thing I want to mention to. I notice that people are mentioning all these different services you know, speech OT and other related services, but one of the ones they did not touch was assistive technology evaluations. I mean it's assistive technology. It helps a lot of children, but every time and across schools, if you mention the fact that you want an assistive technology evaluation, they all act like you've asked for the holy grail and for them to go and get it.

It takes several tries, it takes you trying to get someone else to advocate on your behalf. It takes writing to the Chancellor and this person and that person just to get the one thing that you need for your kid to thrive. When kids get what they need, they truly thrive, when they don't, there's great chance of failure.

NANCY NORTHROP: Hi. My name is Nancy Northrop and I served for three years as chair of the Chancellor's Parent Advisory Council and for five years as president of the Queens High School

2.2

Presidents' Council. So, in both cases representing a lot of Pas and PTAs and parents throughout the system and there's probably never been one more single topic that has come up in more of our discussions and our meetings than the provision of Special Ed services and IEPs.

My passion is High School, so I'm going to focus on high schools. Provisions of services and respect of IEPs at the high school level are very hit and miss. Some schools do a great job but a lot of them miss the mark. I need to give a shout out to the DOE on one front. As CPAC and at the high school president's council level requested a special ed task force dealing with high school issues with the DOE and we've been working with them for about a year but I did want to give you a sampling of some of the topics that we've been talking about because I do think high school is a bit of a separate issue.

One, in many high schools, IEPs are routinely ignored and or only partially implemented. While the City Council's desire for the DOE to provide school level data on compliance rates for the students' IEP is a laudable goal, it is a bit like asking the fox to count the chickens in the chicken coop. Of

2 c

\_

course, schools are going to state that IEPs are being implemented, whether it is true or not and this is a huge problem. What does it mean for school to be in compliance given that IEPs are multilayered documents with various accommodations, services, and supports, goals, and the need for teachers to modify their methods to support each child?

Two, students often do not receive, and we've talked about this all day, the services outline in their IEPs. I just want to stress it even though the DOE may say something else, it really is, a huge part of it is lack of funding. They'll always say, oh, funding is not the issue and that's just incorrect. There's also a problem obviously of lack of trained personal, but parents find it nearly impossible to obtain these services from outside providers, especially at the high school level.

The impact for high school student can be devastating and last a lifetime. Students may not complete high school or make it to college because they're not receiving the supports, they need.

Three, General education high school teachers often do not understand their role and responsibility in carrying out an IEP rot aching to special needs

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

2 students. They are not required to take the training they need to support these students. High school 3 general education teachers are key to the 4 implementation of IEPs, but many view implementations as the responsibility of the special ed teacher. 6 7 Many are unaware that state guidelines require that they adjust their teaching in the classroom to 8 support these students and because IEPs are extremely 9 difficult to read, high school teachers often cannot 10 spot what supports and accommodations they are 11 12 required to provide.

There's also pervasive implicit bias among many teachers and administers that students with IEPs are either lazy, stupid, or an added burden in the classroom which can have devasting psychological impacts on these very vulnerable children.

And just my last thing, four, the role of special education teachers at the high school level is particularly problematic, especially in subject areas they do not understand. The DOE needs to do a deep dive to determine what it means to provide sets to high school students with IEPs taking higher level courses. In many cases, this time is currently used to do homework, time for Special education students

/

to tutor other students or time to play scrabble and listen to music. Anyway, I believe the creation of the special education czar is a fabulous idea, please do it but please make sure they also focus on high school issues, because the DOE just totally forgets that high schools exist. Thank you.

[inaudible 17:55] high school teacher, so I know exactly what you're talking about and I taught inclusion class with a special education teacher. We had to make our own time to meet, to plan, to modify our instruction to meet the needs of our kids. They did not give us that time in the beginning until we had to push systemwide changes within our school to give us common planning time.

Here they were, they put two teachers in a classroom, and I treat the kids, they're all my kids, regardless of what roster they might be on. They're all of our children and we needed to make time as teachers to meet together to collaborate, to plan together. I could not agree with you more about the more work we have deal with the high school level as well. So, I really appreciate you.

8

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 NANCY NORTHROP: I just want to stress one thing.

3 Not every kid with an IEP is part of an ICT

4 classroom. Lots and lots and lots of kids with IEPs

5 who are just in general ed classrooms doing their

6 thing.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Correct. Thank you, thank you so much for that. I appreciate it.

9 SUSAN CROWSON: Hi. My name is Susan Crowson.

10 I'm the mom of two amazing boys that are now 18 and

11 | 19-years-old. I'd like to say tht even though the

12 | Education Committee members have changed, I'd like to

13 | think that I'm not a stranger to the Committee.

I want to thank you for having this. It's been a long time coming but my heart is full. So, thank you

16 | very much for listening.

Please, excuse me. I just spent twelve hours driving my son back to his school in Massachusetts, so I'm a little exhausted.

I'm piggy backing on, there are a couple of issues I have. I have a general Ed kid, well, he just graduated and a son that was privately placed. Private school placement funding and care of those children who are privately placed need a voice, they need support, they need information. Imagine finding

out for the first time at your 19-year-olds IEP meeting, that they haven't taken [inaudible 20:29], then they won't get their diploma.

In the year following, do you think they have offered any support, suggestions, or avenues to have a path for that child. No.

There is no area in the department of special education to deal with private students. It's probably one thing if a child is placed in the city area, but you know, my son is in Massachusetts, they have different laws, they have different rules and I don't get to see him a lot and it's awful.

This is all in my testimony and just talk to Jan, she knows the whole story, but here's another piece. Special Education for high schools within general education population and setting. It's not a surprise that many middle schools push to have their kids to classified prior to high school because the high schools don't have the funds, tools, teachers, to cover the special ed needs. Most of the kids in my younger son's school had SETTs. When their SETTs provider left in the beginning of my son's tenth grade, they had no one to replace him. He went through tenth and eleventh grade without appropriate

2.1

2.2

SETT services. Twelve grade SETTs ended up being a study hall. I'm not sure what study hall does to help kids with ADHD, organization issues, executive

5 | functioning issues.

Needless to say, these kids didn't fair well with college options and the real tragic thing is most of the parents whose kids didn't get their SETTs had no idea and still don't that their kids didn't receive their services. Study hall is not SETTS.

Why is this happening? I don't think there are a lot of high school special education teachers.

Whatever teachers that are in the system seem to be leaving. I'm not sure why, but first and for foremost, the DOE needs to hire more special ed teachers or do what I suggested in bringing two different programs that can help with skilled building on this kind of level.

Nancy mentioned the group which has been wonderful and very helpful.

Sorry, I'm just going to stop there. You've heard it. You know it's you know, ditto, ditto, ditto, not getting services.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: But your points are well taken, and I'll tell you, my licensed area was in

2.1

2.2

history education for high school. I would have appreciated additional training education courses on special education during my training years. I felt there was too much content, not enough pedagogy, not enough skills, skill building for all children. So, I think your testimony is spot on, but we need the state actually to make some changes, requirements for teacher licensing.

So, I really, really do appreciate for your leadership. Thank you so much and next.

CELIA KATZ: Hello honorable members of the New York City Council Education Committee. Thank you for allowing me to participate in this important conversation about early intervention and preschool education services.

My name is Celia Katz and I'm a 17-year-old high school student.

I would not be who I am today had it not been for the Early Intervention and preschool special education services I received. I was born two months early and had a stroke in utero which affected the left side of my body. I was diagnosed with Axpraxia/Dyspraxia, speech language and motor delays, motor skill planning issues, hypotonia, low muscle

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

in preschool.

2 tone, auditory processing, a seizure disorder and very severe sensory issues. From the stroke, I did 3 not have the natural developmental milestones and 4 instincts of most toddlers. I had to be taught how 6 to rollover, crawl, walk, eat and speak. I did not 7 walk or talk until I was almost two years old. I had physical therapy fine motor occupational therapy, 8 gross motor occupational therapy, speech therapy, and 9 oral motor speech therapy basically every day until I 10

was five years old and I needed an attendant with me

While I qualified immediately for Early
Intervention services, there were not enough case
workers and therapists available to begin my
treatment right away. With language and auditory
processing delays, you are in a race against time as
the odds for remediation and being on track for
school are better if you begin before you are three
years old. Thankfully, my family had the ability to
navigate the system and the means to supplement early
intervention services with private therapies, but it
was at a significant expense that is not an option
for everyone. There was no daycare that could
accommodate the magnitude of care and therapies I

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

needed and full-time care was also a great expense.

At one point, my mother had to leave her job to care for me.

The impact of early intervention and preschool special education services go further than just physical development. I learned at a very young age that many things that come easier to other children would be extremely difficult for me to accomplish, but with the right support and extreme determination, anything is possible. In essence, that mentality, the awareness that it will be challenging, but that I can do it, is the reason I am able to succeed today. Without the support I received, I would have never learned how to exert myself and would not have been able to see past my disabilities. I am here because I believe it is important that every child receives the right support and care so that they to can recognize their abilities and potential and apply this mentality to every obstacle for the rest of their lives.

Today, I am an honor student and I play varsity basketball and will be applying to college next year.

I know that I would have not gotten this far without early intervention and preschool special education

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2 services. I think every child should have access to 3 these services and given an equal chance, not only to

4 survive, but to succeed. I am concerned that there

5 is not enough early intervention preschool special

6 education therapist to meet the demand of kids and

7 families who need them just as there were 17-years-

8 ago, when I was born.

I hope the City Council will help to make sure all children get the services they need as early in life as possible. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: So, I just want to first of all, A+ as well.

CELIA KATZ: Thanks.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: That was outstanding. A round of applause for our young people. Quick question to both, any careers you're thinking of considering of in the future already or still undecided? No pressure.

CELIA KATZ: I'm feeling a little bit of pressure. I have no idea.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: I will say, if I can make a potential suggestion for both of you. Running for office isn't a bad thing.

CELIA KATZ: Something where I get to speak my mind and stand up for things that don't seem right to me because there are so many things that just are so messed up in this world that I want to be able to fix.

JACKIE KOSTYUCHENKO: Well, this is a much simpler answer than that, but I mean, I have two very parallel career choices. I am really into fashion, so I was thinking fashion journalism or maybe being something for Vogue, like a Vogue Editor. Also, anesthesiology, being an anesthesiologist.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: That is extraordinary.

Just saying, every four years, election for president. Every four years, election for City

Council coming up. This is all about you and your future and I just cannot thank you enough for your courage and for your stories because what you're effecting here is not just your own cases but for all of our children and so, I can't thank you enough and also [INAUDIBLE 30:30].

So, you talked about how some schools are modeled program which makes a difference between a child graduating and not. So, on your work on the Parents Advisory Council, was there any efforts. Every DOE'

2.2

d to identify those model programs and then share

3 those throughout the system on a school level to say,

4 you know, these schools are making a difference

5 because of x, y, and Z?

NANCY NORTHROP: I think that's definitely one of the recommendations that we're working towards, is trying to create more of those and to use those as centers where teachers can come and learn more and just become better programs, but I just think there's so much work that needs to be done at the high school level. I can't even. Scary.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Thank you, thank you all very much. Outstanding panel. Oh, I'm sorry. Did you want to go?

REBECCA KOSTYUCHENKO: My daughter is putting me on the spot but yeah, I can go on the next panel.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Okay, alright. Yes, so I'm going to call the next panel in. I'm going to take a brief, just one minutes pause just to — there's a little bit of positive breaking news today in terms of the board of elections, something else happening all today. We've been fighting very hard in the Council to provide interpreters at poll sites to help our communities get information and we won the

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

2 lawsuit here today. So, I'm just going to be quickly

3 brief in one minute and I will come right back but I

4 want to call the panel in. So, this is a tremendous

5 day here today. I want to call up next Melissa Katz,

6 Mathew Delforta, Cameron Brown, Karen Tumulty, Dr.

Beth Raskin, and Rae Eisdorfer [SP?].

Again, we just remind everyone there is three panels left, so we're going to be on the clock, and we have all the written testimony, so we're just looking for salient high points please.

REBECCA KOSTYUCHENKO: I'm going to have to cut out after this and take my eighth grader home. I apologize. My daughter, good kid, very proud. I feel like. Oh, Rebecca Kostyuchenko, mother of Jacklyn eighth grader Brooklyn member of [inaudible 48:43] Coalition lover of INCLUDE NYC.

I feel like I had a lot written but every body touches on everything that's so important here and we could sit for days and days and every parent here has stories and I know you've heard a lot of mine but I'm going to talk about what I haven't been able to change for my daughter that only the system can change and that's the bias, the implicit bias, the ignorance and ableism that permeates the system and I

1	COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION 212
2	think all the things that you hear, all these
3	stories, have that as a common root. It's a societal
4	problem and it reflects itself in our educational
5	community. You know, importantly, like I don't like
6	the term Special Education because that place is some
7	other education as other and some education as
8	typical and disabilities of all types are typical.
9	They are part of human existence. They are part of
10	who we are as human beings and the problem is that we
11	have seen them not in that way. When actually,
12	that's what they are and that's what you know, I've
13	been really lucky because I have a lot of privilege
14	in a lot of ways that I've been able to buy my
15	daughter out of a lot of the situations that you hear
16	about. That we've been in and I've been able to pay
17	for therapists when I didn't get my RSAs to go
18	through for four months and I've been able to pull
19	her and put her in a private school when we've had
20	trouble having an accessible public school.
21	But I'm the daughter of a public-school teacher
22	and you know, I believe that our city deserves
23	better. I know that you know that, and I believe
24	that all kids deserve better. So, essentially what

 ${\tt I'm}$  here to make a voice for is for integration

2	because the only way to change that bias, the only
3	way to change the ignorance anabolism is to make sure
4	that our kids are all together and their all taught
5	together and their needs are all seen as equal, the
6	same, and all as valuable and all to be met. And
7	I've found as the parent of a child in general
8	education who has an IP, who has very specific needs,
9	that the silos in the city between special education
10	and general education have created an entire separate
11	system of other and when you're in general ed with an
12	IEP, especially when you're not something more common
13	like ADHD, for example, but even that, you'll see
14	that our system doesn't see that as part of normal.
15	That you're not going to be included and those
16	teachers don't know what to do, as you heard my
17	daughter speak. I mean, she doesn't even — on field
18	trips, if she wants to take a bus with her class on a
19	field trip, our city doesn't have inclusive
20	accessible buses for a field trip. So, when she goes
21	on a field trip, if she wanted to be on an accessible
22	bus which of course, she does because she needs one,
23	she would have to separately on a bus by herself on a
24	field trip. We don't have an accessible bus for a
25	field trip for her. That is such a minimal level of

2.2

inclusion. She sits outside at separate entrances,

she has not had access to her stage, she has not had

access to her music room. She has had para's who are

not trained to deal with students with physical

disabilities and are upset at the idea that they're

there to assist somebody physically and not actually

to be in charge of them intellectually or emotionally

who have treated her poorly.

She's had teachers who don't understand chronic illness and absences and you choice, if you're somebody who has a lot of physical issues that require doctors or hospitals, is to either be taught at home, a very minimal number of hours, or to just try and keep your head above water and work really, really, really hard and be the peg that they want you to fit into their hole and that's what she has to do.

We have teachers say, well, its not fair even though you've been out for a week in the hospital if I give you an extension on that paper.

Like, basic levels of inclusion that aren't okay. I could go on and on for days, but I just want to say that the teachers, the staff, and the administration in our schools, some of them I'm not going to - I can't paint them all with the same brush. Clearly,

2.2

2 you were a teacher and look at you what you are doing

3 here, but for a large part and especially in our

4 general education system, they don't get it and

they're a reflection of our society and we need to

6 start attacking that with specific sensitivity and

 $\parallel$  anti-bias training for those people.

And once we get to the root of the problem, we're going to start to see some of the other things clear up. Because if we think that all kids are equal including kids with disabilities, then we're not going to have four month waits for RSAs and we're not going to have no accessible buses for field trips, etc., etc. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Thank you so much. I appreciate your powerful testimony. Thank you.

KAREN TUMULTY: Hello, my name is Karen Tumulty.

I am the school Principal for the International

Academy of Hope. We are a small school that services

students from 5 to 21 who have a diagnosis of

traumatic brain injury or otherwise acquired brain

injury. Our students that we support are the most

vulnerable students of New York City. They are

students that come to school, ready to learn, but

they are also are students who come to school on

2.2

ventilators with G-tubes, need of oxygen, personal care, medication, rescue medication and so these students that have already gone through the D75 process, where New York City has said they are not the appropriate candidate, they have already been given a non-public school but there is no state approved school accessible to them in terms of proximity to their home. They come to us and still we are really struggling with this reimbursement process. Even families who are willing or would definitely consider other alternatives, they've already been turned down and now they're at the place where they cannot get reimbursement.

How that affects a school program such as ours, is clearly we have tremendous cashflow issue and when there's a cashflow issue, we have to find someone to, you know, a line of credit in a different fashion.

What that does also is create an instability towards the staff. And so, staff when you long to retain the most highly qualified staff that we need for students who have a high level of support need, don't feel like we necessarily are offering that sustainable place of employment.

So, that also puts the students at risk because you need really qualified staff. The other issue is just to mention is that for example, New York City, which on their IEPs, they have nursing services, they have skilled nursing services. That contract is through Horizon, that the New York City Board of Ed has that contract. We are unable to get funding for that presently. That's \$90,000 and Horizon is saying if you don't provide payment, we won't provide the service. So, these kids are in the middle of this tug a war. So, either we're paying it, but again, that puts us in addition to the tuition way behind the eight ball, or the student doesn't get the care that they need and obviously, we're student first. Children should have their care needs met first.

Their educational, what their entitled to available to them, and the stress level of their parents reduced.

So, we are all in favor of streamlining this process of making it more transparent of when those payments eventually come in, that the DOE somehow has a better recording mechanism for it because they will frequently send a check, which is unidentifiable as to who it's connected to. Which I find it hard to

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

believe that any entity could write large checks

3 without identifying markers to what its marked for.

4 Again, we're all spending a lot of extra money and

5 time unnecessarily. We can make it a better process.

6 Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Thank you. Just a quick follow up to that. So, you mentioned that some of these service providers are threatening to withhold services because of non-payment for quite some time.

KAREN TUMULTY: Correct.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: And you have righted this with the DOE? Have you informed the DOE of these threats from service providers?

Which is a deal we contract is saying that they have not received payment. That they're going to withhold the service and the payment is attached to the reimbursement which could be as you know, a year or two years. So, you know, where the nursing is in the middle of this triangle, so we're fronting nursing on top of that educational service.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: So, I'm just trying to figure out, who from the DOE was made aware of this and what are they doing to address it?

KAREN TUMULTY: Well, it winds up to be included in the settlement would be the intent, but it's already on their IEP that the DOE is drafted, and it says skilled nursing their IEP. So, it should be that at least the nursing aspect is fast tracked. That would be our request because it is designated on their IEP as a skilled nursing.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: There's a troubling pattern that I'm hearing today, all day today. Just about a clear lack of accountability. A lack of urgency but a clear lack of accountability. This is just really unacceptable, and I thank you for your powerful testimony and the Committee staff is taking notes about your situation as well. So, thank you very much.

KAREN TUMULTY: Thank you very much.

Dr. BETH RASKIN: I am Dr. Beth Raskin, the Executive Director of Kulanu Academy. A private school that serves New York City students with disabilities. We're charted by the New York State Board of Regions. We serve elementary through the age of 21.

You have heard impassion stories from parents, you have heard from some of our attorneys. I think

2.2

2 the piece that I'd like to share that's most critical

3 to us echo's my colleague. And that is the dreadful

4 DOE impartial hearing settlement and payment service

5 system.

The impact that it has on schools, and really to ask for your help. The system is broken.

Respectfully, I disagree with the DOE. It is not all roses, at all.

In several meetings with DOEs general council, we were told that the DOE is overwhelmed. They didn't have enough staff. There were delays at the Controllers office. Frankly, one of the meetings Council alluded to the fact that we were causing the problem because we had so many more cases that we were now bringing forth.

Without transparency, frankly, we can't figure out where any of our cases are. I want to give you hard data. 2017-2019 last year we had 50 New York City cases, 60 percent of them remain unpaid. 14 cases are settled in the system and represent to us \$1.7 million. We have not been paid. 18 families filed impartial hearings because the DOE never responded to the ten-day notice and so, those additional 18 families, those cases are \$1.5 million.

25

2	We are out \$3.5 million from 2017-2018. How are we
3	supposed to run our business? I increased my line of
4	credit. I have donors that have asked to support us.
5	I have trouble making payroll every two weeks. We
6	are in dept for \$2 million. 2018 is no better, as a
7	matter of fact, in 2018, half of our student body
8	hasn't even had a response to their 10-day notice.
9	That means 25 cases haven't even begun yet and for
10	all intense and purposes, its March. When will we
11	see resolution to those cases? Not for at least
12	another year. How are we supposed to survive?
13	The result of this is my colleagues and I have a
14	new norm, and that new normal is cash flow crisis.
15	Our programs, we run them. We support all of our
16	families and all of our students. We are in jeopardy
17	right now. So, the oversight that you're suggesting,
18	the 1380 that Council woman Rosenthal has put forth.
19	We are so supportive of that. Whatever we can do on
20	behalf of our families, our staff, we're there to
21	help you along the way. Thank you.
22	CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: I thank you so much and I'm
23	going to ask the same question. Who from the DOE or

going to ask the same question. Who from the DOE or anyone from the DOE, have you been communicating with, flagging these? This is serious stuff.

25

2	Dr. BETH RASKIN: I will tell you that we have
3	spoken to the general council who oversees the
4	reimbursement process. Who says, well, here is our
5	problem. We don't have enough staff. And I said,
6	but that's your problem. I run a business, it's an
7	education business. If I don't have enough staff, I
8	go out and find them, hire them, train them. That's
9	their dilemma. All of a sudden there was these
10	increasing cases. Well, you know what, children get
11	older, so I would assume that those that are in the
12	system are in the system and every year there will be
13	additional.
14	So, when we speak to the DOE, we are told, we are
15	working on it. I can't manage we're working on it.
16	CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: But the extent of your
17	contact has been with the general counsel's office?
18	Dr. BETH RASKIN: Yes.
19	CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: And there was a meeting we
20	see here, Howard Friedman. Is that correct?
21	Dr. BETH RASKIN: Yeah, he's general counsel for
22	the DOE.
23	CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Right, and you never

received — did you receive any notification or correspondence from the now Deputy Chief Academic

2.2

2 folks? The folks that who they say that are in charge of compliance?

Dr. BETH RASKIN: Nothing.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Silo's. That's maybe one of the operative words that we've also heard here today. They're operating still in silos. Thank you very much and we took down your information and we'll be following up as well. Thank you.

MATTHEW DELFORTE: Good afternoon. My name is

Matthew Delforte. I'm a partner at Shebitz Berman &

Delforte here in New York. We are an education law

firm. I have practiced education law for the last 20

years.

Thank you for this hearing. It's obviously critically important. I have created numerous schools for kids with disabilities over the past 20 years. I work actually with these two fine schools. Extraordinary people, extraordinary institutions.

I'm here today to share our many clients concerns and the problems that they have encountered with the DOEs failures at implementing the Mayor's Policy Initiative with respect to the settlements and payment of those settlements.

2.

Ū

But first, as I was listening today, I decided I was going to change things up and I've submitted

longer testimony. I will keep this brief, I promise.

I decided I would answer three questions that you put to the DOE that you didn't get an answer to today.

Chalkbeat reported I think on Friday, that DOE spent a \$130 million dollars on CESIS. So, there's that answer.

Related Services, they've been mandated since 1975 by federal law. So, you don't get to provide most, you got to provide all of them.

Thirdly with respect of translation services, there was talk earlier about Chancellors regulation. Well, you have a Chancellors regulation because that to is required by federal law. Pretty simple answers, I think.

So, with respect to getting back to the problems associated with implementing the Mayor's Initiative.

As your doctor asked and tell it, it's been unfulfilled. DOE is not making decisions on whether to settle these cases within 15 days, like the policy says. It often takes months, or they don't respond

2.2

2 at all. When they do decide to settle, it takes 3 months or years to negotiate and settle.

I mean I'm hearing from the schools that we represent it's taking anywhere from minimally eight to ten months to more likely fourteen months in most cases and often times two years. That's not acceptable.

So, for one of our clients, only five of the fifty cases were settled pursuant to the Mayor's policy even though most of them, I think, virtually every single one of them met the criteria for settlement and they didn't respond at all in forty-four of those fifty cases to the ten-day letters.

So, with respect to payment cases, they're not being timely paid, there's a cash flow crisis as you've heard. One of our schools had a \$9 million receivable in May, cases that had been determined a year previous.

So, what's the solution? As you've heard you know schools leverage everything and their being put at risk financially. What's the solution? I think that Council Member Treyger, your resolution for a czar is an excellent suggestion. I think along with that perhaps maybe a special commissioner for a

2 spec

J

J

,

2.2

\_\_\_

special education to liaison with SR so that you know, that word that you keep using all day long, accountability, that's critical to this entire process. There is no accountability, we need accountability a czar and or a special education special commissioner I think would go a long way to do that.

I guess I will wrap it up here and say that we wholeheartedly support in addition to the resolution, each of the bills that are consideration. We thank you for your dedication to this and we are here. I will pledge that personally and my law firm are here to assist you in any way that you see fit.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Thank you and if the DOE spent less time fighting law firms, with all the resources their spending to fight law firms, get the money for the children right away, we'd have less headaches in our system right now. So, I really appreciate you and thank you for your work as well. Next please.

MELISSA KATZ: Hi, good evening Chair Treyger and members of the New York City Council Committees. My name is Melissa Katz, I'm the Director of the Special Education Collaborative and Inclusive Education

2.2

2 Initiatives at the New York City Charter School

3 Center. Thank you for the opportunity to present

4 | testimony today.

As you may or may not know, the Charter Center and the Special Education Collaborative work to support Charter schools to ensure they can effectively serve students with disabilities as well culturally and linguistically diverse students. In addition, actually just before coming to todays oversight hearing, I spent the day with 50 school leaders at day one of our leading for equity institute exploring how our perspectives and biases impact how we lead and the effects of systemic racism, sexism, anabolism, on education. Of course, I was particularly interested in hearing insights into the inequities we identify in our schools when it comes to students with disabilities.

Students with disabilities have been marginalized for years in our countries schools and I was impressed with the level of commitment the Charter School leaders showed to reflecting on their practices for these students.

Over the past five years charter schools have expanded their continuum of services and enrollment

of students with disabilities has grown in charter schools by 38 percent to the point that there is only one percentage point difference between charter and district enrollment of students with disabilities.

While charter schools are autonomous in many aspects and this is following up on a comment that you heard earlier, the DOE is the LEA for special education in New York City charter schools. Which means all decisions about the provision of special education services for charter students is made by the DOEs committees on special education the CSEs.

While in my role as director of the special education collaborative, I work very closely with the DOE. I am in constant contact around special education services for charter schools with the DOE. Ultimately, the DOE is the decision maker. So, the special education collaborative and the charter center strongly support the goals of the legislation and resolutions today. The goals of transparency and accountability for service delivery in special education and all public schools including in public charter schools are long standing priorities of ours as well.

6 7

8

9

11

10

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

2.1

2.2 23

24

Finally, our submitted testimony goes into much more detail but I wanted to close by saying that because of the unique structure that exists for special education students in New York City charter schools with the DOE being the LEA and decision maker on services provided to charter school students as well as maintaining the data on special education compliance in charter schools, we respectfully request that the Council expand the scope of the DOEs reporting on special education services to include charter school students. Currently the annual report excludes charter school students. Since the DOE is the LEA for charter school student, they have all the data about the provision of special education services for students in charter schools and could provide that information and that's required by the current report.

Charter students are public school students and we respectfully request that the same data that is available on district school special education services be made available to parents and the community about the provision of special education services for charter school students. Thank you.

2	CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Thank you. Fair point,
3	thank you very much and I thank the panel for your
4	work and we did flag the institutions that you work
5	with and represent and we will follow up at our end
6	because it's just not acceptable and it's real in
7	terms of what I am hearing today and I've heard from
8	other folks prior to this hearing is that there are
9	some providers at the brink of just financial ruin
10	and their about to collapse, and the impact is not
11	just on your staff and the folks in the building, the
12	DOE admits it does not have the capacity to
13	accommodate the needs of those children. Where do
14	they go? There is no where for them to go. This ha
15	to work and that's the urgency that we need to have
16	here today. Thank you so much, I appreciate it.
17	Okay, next panel. Susan Crawford, Aurelia Mack,
18	Michelle Noris, Michele Korgood, Leah Steinberg and
19	Maria Roca, I believe, yeah, Friends of Sunset Park.
20	You may begin.
21	MARIA ROCA: Good evening. Thank you for the
22	opportunity to tell our story. I am Maria Roca from
23	Friends of Sunset Park in Brooklyn and I'm here as a
24	long-time community advocate in support for Sunset

Park families with children with IEPs. This has been

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

1415

16

1718

19

20

21

22

24

25

going on for over two decades. We don't charge anybody, we're available, we're there and so forth. So, I'm just going to do bullets rather than a long story.

We must have IEPs in the parent's dominant language. It is a must that they be available and that they be available in a timely manner. If families are able to participate and collaborate because they understand what is expected of them, our children are going to fair much better. Only as the schools don't want the parents in the schools, and that is the reason they're not facilitating the understanding of what is supposed to happen. So, you can be the cynic in the room, which I do very well, but at the same time, it is about the children. That's not the bottom line. We're here because of the children, there's nothing else and if you don't want to deal with parents then go find another job as far as I'm concerned.

The next point that I'd like to talk about is that we must end pull out therapeutic services push in services are in fact more effective and the Department of Education understands this and knows this. Further pull out services that excecated

2 b

issues as well?

bullying. Since the children leaving the classroom multiple times per week, are regarded as not fitting in by their peers. They're not part of the flow, of the social flow of the classroom by being pulled out. They get disconnected.

I will follow to the next point and that is that a comprehensive audit of the office of student and pupil's transportation is way, way overdue.

Particularly in view of the chaotic scheduling of mandated versus services for children with IEPs. In Sunset park pick up and drop off of children can only be described as a rodeo on wheels. You have to stand on fifth avenue and watch the action. Dozens, dozens of buses crisscross our small neighborhood in bumper car fashion each morning and afternoon of every school day. How can a child derive the most benefit from the school day after 60 or 75 minutes going into school of riding circles and particularly children who are really challenged with social and emotional

And on the way out of the neighborhood, to schools throughout the borough. This situation makes the question, why are we sending all these children

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

out of their neighborhood? In sighting unnecessary stress and reducing psychosocial advancement.

When there are existing opportunities to build the needed accessible facilities within easy reach by the students and the families. I'm talking about Sunset Park, I'm not going to talk about any other neighborhood.

Therefore, eliminating or at least extensively reducing the number of buses and trips out of the neighborhood.

And lastly, how many children will we continue to deliver to the Department of Corrections and to the Adolescent and Developmental Health Institutions because we failed to deliver timely and comprehensive services from birth?

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Thank you. Thank you very much.

LEAH STEINBERG: Good evening. Right here I wrote good afternoon but its not afternoon anymore. name is Leah Steinberg. I serve as Director of Special Education Affairs for Agudath Israel of America.

Agudath Israel was founded in 1922, it's a national organization who are headquartered here in

Manhattan with an office in Washington and seven regional offices across the country. Among our goals are advocacy on behalf of orthodox Jewish community and comprehensive community based social services serving all populations.

As Director of Special Education Affairs at

Agudath Israel, I was involved in the implementation

of the city's 2014 policy on tuition reimbursements

and I have been working hard to help it reach its

promise with New York City Department of Education as

well as parents in Special Education schools.

So, at the 2014 press conference, rolling out the policy, Senator Felder, Sheldon Silver, Assembly woman Helene Weinstein, as well as the Mayor and school Chancellor for [inaudible 1:28:10] all spoke of a unified goal. That goal would be that parents who have no choice but to place their children in nonpublic schools would no longer have to go through the harrowing process they had to year after year.

What was promised was that once the placement met five basic criteria, the city would agree to settle after a parent receives a ten-day letter, settlements would quickly be reached, placement would be guaranteed to continue in future years if the child's

2.2

2 IEP remained the same. As lays on between my
3 community and New York City Department of Education

4 have regular scheduled meetings with the involved

5 parties to see how things were progressing.

The first year, everyone was happy, and it seemed that things were going in the right direction. The only concern was how year two would play out and if parents would see the relief that they wouldn't have to repeat the whole process as was promised. That never happened; however, as settlements were still coming in quickly, although not as quickly as the first year, they were willing to wait and see. Low and behold, the third year started really getting bad. Instead of seeing improvement, things seemed to be slowing down dramatically and all talk about the automatic approval was all but forgotten. The impact is not only being felt by parents, but the schools are having a very hard time meeting payroll as well.

Giving the children the quality education is vital in the special education schools and the orthodox Jewish community give their heart and soul to make it happen. Without timely reimbursements, the schools are struggling simply to survive.

At this point, it seems the whole process has frozen. Getting annual reports may help move the process along somewhat and we commend the City Council for this proposal. However, the most important contribution the City Council could make is taking the steps to ensure the process achieves the original goal of the 2014 policy that parents should not have to file cases every single year and that funding should flow in a timely fashion.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Thank you very much.

MICHELE KOLE-KORGOOD: Good evening, Chair Treyger and members of the City Council Committee on Education. First of all, thank you for your time and leadership on these critical issues.

My name is Michele Kole-Korgood, I am like you a former teacher. I'm a former special education teacher that left teaching of special education 28 years ago. I'm giving way too much about my age, to pursue a career in legal advocacy for children with special needs.

I have watched this process evolve in New York

City for 25 years, for a quarter of a century. Every

year the process of pursuing an appropriate education

for a child with a disability is filled with more

2.2

bureaucracy and more delays. As tax payers, we should all understand we can either provide the necessary services to enable a child with a disability to grow up and become an employable, tax paying productive adult, or we cannot provide the services and we can keep that child on public assistance from age 21 through their entire life expectancy. The math is very easy. I suggest it's not even high school math.

And to Councilman Cornegy's point earlier, the poet Gabriella Mistral, said it far better than I ever could. Many things can wait, children cannot.

Today, their bones are being formed, their blood is being made, their senses are being developed. To them we cannot say tomorrow. There name is today.

One year in the life of a 5-year-old not receiving services is not the same thing as you or I am waiting one year in our life for anything else.

We are missing critical times.

As Ms. Steinberg and others have stated, Mayor de Blasio announced a policy and set of procedures in 2014 to implement and streamline this process. That was so important in this field that I got permission from my surgeon the day after a three-hour surgery

under general anesthesia to be transported to New
York City to attend that meeting in person, because I
couldn't imagine not being there and I couldn't
reschedule my surgery.

For 2014-2015, the process worked in normal cases we got the determination letters within 15 business days of parents sending out these letters of concern, 10-day notice letters and for most of the cases the entire settlement was done in months.

Now, the policy is a promise unfulfilled. First of all, even if the case resolved through settlement, it commonly takes one to two years and sometimes even longer. When I asked my staff to start compiling information for this Friday afternoon when I found out about this, there are cases we have that are two and a half years since that 10 day notice letter was found and as of today, if the city wants to talk or the Department of Ed wants to talk about statistics, as of today, six months since the 2018-2019 school year, we still don't have responses from the city to 10 day notice letters in over 80 cases or almost 50 percent of cases where parents in our office submitted a 10 day notice letter, obviously that's not the only kind of case we handle.

2	What makes it worse and one thing that hasn't
3	been brought out is that you need to understand that
4	that's only the first delay in the process and that
5	one gets compounded geometrically with the other
6	delays. So, once there's a determination, they say
7	we want to settle, we provide the requested documents
8	and then wait three to four months to get a
9	settlement offer and we're told by the DOEs Office of
10	Legal Services that there inundated, and they deal
11	with things in the order that they get the
12	information. Which means that now the parent can pay
13	for the fact that it took them six months to you'll
14	hear from a client of mine, eighteen months to get a
15	determination. I would be at that impartial hearing
16	with that parent today had I not emailed General
17	Council Howard Friedman last week and you asked who
18	contact. We contact the attorney assigned. We
19	contact their team leader. We contact the managing
20	attorney's and after a year and a half I contact
21	general Council, guess what, that day I had a
22	determination. I shouldn't have to contact General
23	Council. That parent of twins with autism should not
24	wait you know, eighteen months.

In one of my cases we reached agreement on January 31, 2018, a verbal settlement. As of three weeks ago, over one year later, they still had not even applied for approval from the controller's office. We're still waiting.

As City Council members, you have the power to intercede by requiring the DOE to report on each day to point of the process. This process is broken down entirely. It needs sunlight in order to shed light on where the breakdowns are so that they can be addressed. I am in favor of all of the resolutions and bills that are currently there, and I stand ready to help you in any regard.

There's one other quick point I just want to bring out. I could go toe to toe with Corinne Rello-Anselmi about so many untruths and would welcome the opportunity to do it but there's one that I want you to keep in mind. When they talk about compliance, they say only 22,000 kids aren't getting all their services or 22 percent of 44,000 kids, they're not telling you about the kids whose IEPs they changed to remove the program and services that they didn't have in the schools because when they did the reorganization a few

2.2

years ago, they sent a training manual out to every
principal in the city saying, you now have to
provide every service on a kids IEP, but here's
what you do. Here's six case studies. A kid comes

6 in with an IEP and there's services and programs on

7 the IEP that the school can't provide.

Every one of those six case studies. You reconvene the IPT meeting and you convince the parent the wisdom of changing the IEP to the services that you have.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Do you have a copy of that mail memo?

LEAH STEINBERG: I have to go through. I don't, its from years ago and I don't know if I still have the training manual, but there were six case studies and it went out to every New York City principal.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: I'm going to flag for our staff to try to look this up and to find it and the one thing and I appreciate your, you're seeing this from a number of lenses. You're a former educator, still an educator.

LEAH STEINBERG: And a parent.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: And a parent and an
attorney. When you deal with the General Council's
Office and I don't know this answer, I wonder if
anyone in that room is an educator? Because as you
just pointed out, there's no time here. We can't
lose precious time and that's one of my calls for a
clear line of accountability. Someone that has the
authority and the power to go across any of these
offices in the DOE and say, do your job. Fill out
this paperwork. Get this done. Because that's
what I think is a matter of. Someone just filling
out a piece of paper or signing things and getting
things out. Signing a check. It's a \$32 billion
department, they have money. We need to do more
but this is the largest city department in our
government and they receive money from the federal
government, from the state and from the city and
they need more resources, there's no question about
it, but this I think, is a matter of either just
inertia, an indifference and basic competence to
get stuff out and fill things out and get things
done and I really, really, appreciate your powerful
testimony. Very quickly and I will turn to.

2.1

2.2

LEAH STEINBERG: A very quick point. I think one of the very important things that you touched on is that the transparency as the process goes along is not there and that is something that we've been asking for for a very, very long time and I think if we had that it could help but right now all the schools, all the parents, everyone is just lost. It's a terrible situation.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Yeah, because all the responsibility is broken up into so many different pieces in the DOE. And we're trying to piece it together. Thank you, thank you so much. Next please, thank you.

AURELIA MACK: Okay, my name is Aurelia Mack. I am the CEO and founder of Wings of Success for children with special and exceptional needs. I am the mother of twelve children, nine girls, three boys and I have thirty grandchildren. Out of the grandchildren, I have assisted in getting eleven of them into NPS schools. My three sons actually went to Xaverian. All of my children now are successful, their educators. One in the military, one in FDNYEMT but that's due to me fighting tooth and nail. I am a retired correction officer and I

2.1

2.2

see the travesty of our children of color, Black
and Latino children. The suspension rate
extraordinarily high. The dumping ground for
special education children who have the
intelligence but because they don't know where to
put them and their behavioral challenges, they are

just thrown into twelve to one program and they sit

there and do not get educated.

I have a daughter that's a para now and she called me the first day at work crying because she said these children were sitting in rooms not being educated. I have advocated for hundreds of families. I have gotten them private school. I sat in due process hearing. I sat in IEP meeting and the meetings are a dictatorship. They are rude and disrespectful to parents. Of course, you always have one or two that are not, but they sit quietly by. When I go in as an advocate, they do not even want the parent to have an advocate and they will tell parents, you don't need an advocate.

So, especially bilingual parents, they'll just give them a paper and tell them to sign.

I have advocated for 18-year-olds that have third grade reading levels and just sit in school

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 and again, the pipeline to prison is real and the majority of children sitting in special education 3 are in juvenile justice and I've seen it first 5 hand, even at work. Adults that cannot read and this is ridiculous. You know, I sat there, and I 6 7 heard DOE speak and that is not the people that sit at the table and the ones that do sit at the table 8 when they refer to the CSE chairperson, they ignore 9 10 you or they're not even at the meeting and their giving their response. Parents are not treated as 11 12 equal, they're not treated as team members ever. 13 You know, once it goes around the room, yay, nay, 14 that's it. They don't even care, and the parent is 15 the one that knows the child the most.

As far as due process hearing, the families that I advocate for cannot even afford attorneys. it was my time, when my children were younger, I called every attorney I knew just to beg for pro bono service because at the time, I had three children that needed services. Needed to get into a private school. They told me to pick one, so I picked one and I did the cases for the others, but up to this day my son came and told me, mom, if you did not fight for me, I don't know where I would

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

have been because during that time gangs were after the children that had special needs. The suicide rate for children with special needs is extremely

5 high. So, I'm here not only as a mother and a

6 grandmother but as an advocate and I will continue

7 to fight, and I applaud you because I wasn't coming

8 | today. Somebody told me and I could tell how much

9 you care, and you sit here and you're listening.

10 So, I definitely applaud you for that. Thank you.

SUSAN CRAWFORD: Thank you. Susan Crawford

Director of the Right to Read Project and a member

of the Arise Coalition.

And as I said to you out in the hall, I'm a dyslexia sister of Debbie Meyers and many other moms and like claimant denial, dyslexia denial, has a history that at this point goes back 50 years, since the advent of the whole language movement and I think we can finally start to break through that as generations turn over in the DOE, but it's been allowed, dyslexia denial allowed to be fostered and then to fester in the face of science in all this time.

There are treatments that work, the dyslexic brain has been shown on FMRIs back in the 1990's.

2.2

The reading panel report came out with the five

3 pillars that should be observed in all reading

4 instruction, just not happening, not happening yet.

And then, I want to give some recommendations, but I do want to respond to some of the things I heard earlier in the day.

You've been asking, why do families have to sue to get what their children are entitled to and up until I heard this panel and one or two before, I thought because back 20 years ago when I was going through this, little would be said to me but when it was all over, I didn't understand what was going on at the time and I just got my kids help outside of the system and we just paid out of pocket for it. But in retrospect I realize they were waiting for me to sue for private placement.

So, there's this whole kabuki dance that's going on and then the suits go on and now their languishing not for days, weeks, or months, but now for years.

One of the parents on the earlier panel said, folks that almost just want you out of their office and we've all experienced that. Help should be easy, welcoming and supportive.

One of the things that's come up in the ARISE coalition is that kindergarteners are just being referred immediately to D75 without evaluations of any kind presumably because they're not reading by the end of kindergarten or something.

You mentioned that 220,000 students have IEPs, that would be 20 percent of the population. In fact, 20 percent of the population is dyslexic.

So, 220,000 students alone have dyslexia and then there are all the other learning disabilities and we know for sure that the dyslexics aren't being attended to unless it gets extracted from the system and as she just said, they often end up in prison if they aren't helped because they can't function for years and years and years with what they're being asked to do.

As recommendations, I want to point out in Barron's there's an article about opportunity costs and Mayor de Blasio's offering another \$300 million towards special ed and each of us would have a place to put that \$300 million. So, each of us in this room. If it were up to me, if I were spending it, if it went right into dyslexia treatments that bypassed the schools, that were handled at the

district level until reality catches up with the science. So that parent had a place to go, maybe through the community schools but certainly through the district office, that child could be tested.

It's easy to test for now compared to how it had been in the past and remediated and then they could just go back in the classroom and thrive which happened with my kids.

So, thank you very much for doing this. I just want to make sure I got to everything. One of the things that I think goes on is principals are under pressure to not over identify but there's a double edge sword if a principal is accommodating, then parents cluster to that school and this idea that we'd all like to see this book that's explained how to bypass. I had a parent call me recently who said, the principal won't give what the person from NYU said my child needs. Just won't do it and we need to look deeply into that. I suggest you do a whole set of these just on the literacy issue because we're just scratching the service. Thanks very much.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: No, I appreciate that and we're going to have budget hearings coming up

2.2

short changing us.

very much for that testimony.

shortly. We're going to dig deeper on this \$300 million figure but I'm afraid that that money is not new money, but money that's already been scheduled to keep up with the cost of compliance and so, which quite frankly, we still have a lot more work to do obviously from the testimony that we're hearing today and also, the state has some responsibility here to quite frankly because they pass on certain mandates, certain things, which is 

okay but give us resources to meet these mandates

to meet the needs of our kids. They are still

So, it's definitely accountability. It's definitely urgency but it's also, would you need some resources to better meet their needs. But once we have the money, you need folks who know what their doing to do their job. It's as simple as them to have a heart and to look at it through a lens of compassion and empathy and a sense of urgency. It's not just some bureaucrat sitting at tweed. You need someone with an urgency to say, get this done now. That's what we need more of I think in government. So, thank you. Thank you

2.2

SUSAN CRAWFORD: I just wanted to add, it was on my list about the czar idea and then you mentioned this state and in fact, there are representative of the state education special ed department in every district who can go in and intervene on behalf of the students and parents don't know this and the schools, either they know it or they don't volunteer these people to come in, but if the state could be that check and balance on the city that would be something.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: I mean it seems that everyone has the number to legal on speed dial. I mean that's the only office everyone seems to know very well, but that's about it but I hear you. I definitely appreciate it. Thank you so much and next, we'll hear from you.

MICHELE NORIS: So, I'm going to read two
testimonies. I'm reading on behalf of my son,
Abraham Weitzman who is in the student
accessibility Advisory Counseling. You've probably
seen him on video. He's the one who hits this with
just with his chest.

Good afternoon, he was hopeful. I am a sophomore at Bard Queens, and I am severely

2	disabled and medically fragile. I have therapy and
3	awesome para, assistive technology, daily feeding
4	by G-tube and I have a dedicated bunch of teachers
5	and staff led by Principal Val. I am very lucky.
6	When I am at school, I am supported and included.
7	Teaching me makes my teachers find ways to improve
8	class for everyone. The administration changes
9	policies to be inclusive and accommodate my needs
10	and they never complain.
11	The other students pick me to be on their

The other students pick me to be on their project team. I want every IEP student to have a school like Bard Queens because we are all entitled to support an inclusion. Thank you.

He couldn't come because he had therapy today.

This is from me and I come, and I complain a lot,

but I came today to tell you about what —

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Could I get your name for the record?

MICHELLE NORIS: Oh, I'm sorry I'm Michelle Noris, that was Abraham Weitzman.

22 CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Thank you.

MICHELLE NORIS: So, I want to tell you what special education looks like when it's at its best.

My son as I said, attends Bard Queens and they

2.1

2.2

follow his IEP consistently. He has services the first week of school. His therapy equipment and his assistive technology equipment are ready and waiting for him in September. His teachers meet in June to make sure that his assistive technology is programed so when he shows up for chemistry on the

first day, it's ready to go.

His special educators and his general educators work together. They have periods set aside for it to meet and they work with the administration to make sure all his educational materials are accessible. They're responsive to us and their responsive to him when he needs a modification outside what's covered in the IEP. His guidance counselor started the test accommodation process, the college board, without me asking her. They are now complete and in place and in time for his PSATs next month. An administrator put an extra locker for him on each floor to keep his medical supplies and extra clothing and they set his schedule to minimize his floor chain, so he has time to go to the bathroom.

They set up an extra space in the library for study and meetings and for socializing with friends

This is

2

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

because the cafeteria is overwhelming.

3 happening because Bard Queens has an extraordinary

4 principal Valarie Thompson and she has hired an

5 extraordinary staff who are to numerous for me to

6 list, but it shouldn't be extraordinary. Every

7 student should be having this. Every student has a

8 | right to this, but what we really see all day here

9 and I've been listening for a while, is disparity.

Disparity based on economics, disparity based on race, disparity based on district, which is related to both of those. Disparity based on who's in a segregated environment and who's in an inclusive environment and the shame of all of this is that their capable of doing what my son has. They're capable.

There are principals out there who can do it.

We need all the principals to be trained to do it and this is like my mantra now. The principal is the CEO, they must be trained to be in charge of everyone, not just the easy kids. Not just the kids who show up, ready to learn with no extra help. They need training. We need to mandate principal training about dyslexia, about physical disabilities, about where the hearing loop needs to

2.1

2.2

be in their building because they should be asking for it, not the parent. The principals need to be trained. How many principals came from being a special educator? That's how you become a principal right? You become a teacher, you work your way up and become a principal but very few of them start off teaching special education and so, they don't come from that back ground. They don't have that grounding. We must mandate training.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Thank you very much and just to close this panel. I think we have two more panels here, good observation. In the school I worked in, my principal hired a former special education teacher to be in charge of our compliance in our school and she taught us a lot that we didn't know and so, I thank you so much for that and for your advocacy and I really thank the panel for your great work. I appreciate you all.

MICHELLE NORIS: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Next panel we'll hear Esther Malamud, Alicia Mercado, Robert Burt, Julia Luppino, and Danielle Maurey [SP?].

J

2.2

Z 4

I think we'll call up some more folks. I think we only have two people in the panel. Is Diana Williams still here? No, she had to leave, okay. Claudia Cruz? Claudia you can come up in that panel and I think we have room for two more.

Alright, so you may begin whenever you're ready.

ALICIA MERCADO: Hi, my name is Alicia Mercado

and I'm the mother of a fourteen-year-old. Her

name is Olivia Rose and she struggles with ADHD and

anxiety disorder. She was removed from public

schools only after middle school failed her

miserably, both academically and in terms of basic

safety.

She has been recommended to attend an inclusion ICT class with two teachers but typically there was only one teacher in the class. According to her IEPs failed to make any progress in reading and math according to her IEPs, the public-school new Olivia only needed to work in small groups and be in a structured supportive classroom with few distractions, but they could not provide this environment for her.

The district required Olivia be in a school for extensive periods of the day beyond the school day.

2.2

As she needed to give up a lot of enrichment like she was in drumming, she was in soccer, she was in the free arts program, none of which she could attend. She couldn't even go to her therapy sessions because she would have to be in breakfast club, lunch study, after school just to catch up because they weren't adhering to her IEP.

The public-school staff informed me that Olivia was failing all of her major subjects and that perhaps the school was no longer appropriate for her, but they didn't provide any options for her other than attending summer school.

Olivia became a victim of severe bullying. In summer school Olivia was physically assaulted by one student and sexually assaulted by another. It became so severe that I had to attend summer school with her each day and finally, the teacher advised us to go home for the sake of our own safety after she witnessed students threatening our lives.

We found placement for Olivia at the community school, a small specialized program approved by the New York State education program to serve children with disabilities. I had begged the IEP team to

recommend a program just like this for Olivia, but they kept recommending the same failing program.

Finally, in mid-August, I sent the district a long letter announcing my concerns and stating my intention to place her in community school in the absence of an appropriate program where Olivia could learn and be safe. It was my understanding that an according to the policy set forth by the Mayor the district would respond within 15 business days or by mid-September. However, to this date, there has been no response, despite my number's inquiries by my attorney to the DOEs legal department.

At this point, DOE's failure to respond is threatening my daughters continued placement in the first school where she's finally experiencing success.

After years of the DOE failing my daughter, she had begun to dread school which had become a place of danger and failure, but now that she is at the community school, she loves school again and is passing all of her classes. It is incomprehensible to me that the city could ignore my letters for over six months and fail to make any determination

2.1

2.2

2 as to whether they are interested in resolving this
3 matter.

ROBERT BURT: Good evening. My name is Robert Burt. I am the father of twin boys Jayden and Jared, both on the autism spectrum. Just to start, we started out in District 75, for a few years of their schooling within District 75 and there were lots of problems. Some of the most egregious was the bullying that was going on to the extent where the kid had my son pull his pants down in the yard. There was nobody watching, so you know. And then my other child Jayden, they lost him three times in a two-week period, and he had a one to one para who would pick him up off the bus.

It was crazy, a lot of crazy stuff going on.

Okay, so what we decided to do is to move them out.

So, we got Jared into a state approved school, we got Jayden into a non-state approved school.

Jayden was really regressing the two years that he was at 206 in Queens and we got him into this school, and it's been a wonderful site to see. You know, but we still have to fight. In 2014, in the beginning when they started this signing off on stuff. When the Mayor said that he was signing off

2.2

are.

on schools, it was a really easy process. Even the staff at CSE, they were nice. They would sit you down, they would recommend things that they would think you needed. Services that they think would help your child and then they changed a couple years ago, and it became like a dictatorship.

Where you have people and don't piss them off.

When you have people who actually sit down and tell you what you're going to have and what you're not going to have, and they don't care what you go to say about it. They don't care what your concerns

Jayden always had a problem with the psychologist calling him a pocketing fool. Because he would eat something and hold it in his mouth, and he won't chew it which is a choking hazard and they refused to let him keep his para that he had for two years that the nice people had gave him. It seemed like in the last four IEP meetings, three IEP meetings, every year they look at something else they can take. I guess they're trying to cut back on their expenses.

Okay, so, we just finished an impartial hearing just this fall for 2016-2017 school. We just got

finished, we just got a determination from the
hearing officer and then we were supposed to be at
an impartial hearing today for the 2017-2018 school
year, but my lawyer called the Council and they
cancelled the hearing. But it seems like they're
trying to force us to back into DOT even though
there are school psychologists who sits on the IEP
team, she has stated at least twice off the record,
the school hears that, it was the best fit for him.
But on the record, we had to be moved to a DOT
school. So, they really don't care about the
children. They really don't care about their
needs. They really don't care about their safety.
All they care about is counting beans to make sure
that all the beans are counted right, and I was
sitting here today listening to their budget and
I'm shocked.

Why are they fighting parents all the time over paying this tuition for these private schools? You know, it just doesn't make any sense to me.

Okay, so now we're in the process, we got a call back for the 18 months — it took them 18 months to get back to us on a 10-day notice and now we're going to see what happened with that and the

J

problem really quick. This doesn't just cause a problem for the school, this just doesn't cause a problem for the parents with anxiety and the nervousness. Jayden once heard that he might have to move to another school. Sorry, shit, I said I wasn't going to do that.

He was upset for four days. For four days he was upset. Every five to ten minutes he would come and say, daddy I got to go to a new school? Daddy, why do I got to go to another school? Daddy, I don't want to go to another school. Four days, it took me four days and the counselors at the school are kind of like get him straightened there. So, I learned a lesson that make sure he doesn't know whats going on and it's a shame to have to do that.

But DOE I heard them today, and I listen to their excuses for why they're the way they are and it's a shame. But anyway, I want to thank you for this opportunity, and I wish I had more time because I got a lot more to talk about but thank you.

CLAUDIA CRUZ: Good evening everyone. Thank you for the opportunity to present here tonight. My name is Claudia Cruz and my daughter is named

2.1

2.2

[inaudible 2:16:20]. She has down syndrome and I

am a cancer survivor. It hasn't been easy for me.

She is my only child. She has experience for her

child discrimination whether it was at the hospital

6 when [inaudible 2:17:06] was born as well as from

7 other parents telling their children not to go near

[inaudible 2:17:16] because their uncomfortable,

9 their ignorance.

Even in a WIC office, the treatment was not that nice towards my child. I have received even the behavior from teachers sort of telling me to accept what is and not to expect to much, that children with down syndrome can't do so much.

I have friends who also have daughters with down syndrome and their experiences as well made me very sad. I am sure that my daughter will grow up to be independent and able. She is now about to be four years old and she's now beginning to vocalize and is placed independently.

I ask for the IEP to be made available to me in Spanish, so I could be part of [inaudible 2:19:48]'s team. So, it would be the teacher, the therapist, and myself. They told me that they couldn't make it available though we have taken

2.1

2.2

2 action about that and for her it was very difficult 3 to hear that.

Imagine if for English speaking parents, this process is difficult. I with a language barrier, everything gets further complicated.

Herself and other families in Sunset Park with children are traveling by bus and often the trip is an hour and ten minutes, mind you on the B63 bus, and I'm adding this, which is not the best line in Sunset Park. There's a lot of double parking and whatnot. That trip door to door is 20 minutes, door to door. So, it's taking what I alluded to before about the circling of the buses and she experiences it and it is an hour to an hour and 10 minutes every morning and every afternoon. If that doesn't do something to a child that is already stressed and you know, gets confused, what it would do to you and me. So, just imagine a child that is not even four years old.

I would love it for the Sunset Park schools to be able to integrate children like her daughter, so this traveling did not need to happen.

But at the same time, I'm scared because the children often don't understand. But imagine if

2.1

2.2

2 the adults are not understanding you know, the

3 situation is not a good one.

I do and will continue to do whatever is necessary is for my daughter because I have faith in her that she will be able to reach a point where she can be independent and be able to do for herself.

My goal is for my daughter to be integrated into a regular school and then be able to participate in a regular school day.

Thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Thank you so much for that. For all of your powerful testimony. Just a quick question. I heard that she had asked for the IEP to be translated. Is that correct?

CLAUDIA CRUZ: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: And who was it that said that they could not do it?

CLAUDIA CRUZ TRANSLATOR: I was there the second time she asked with her. There was the special education, the IEP coordinator in the school said it. The teachers said it and when we pressed the issue, because I had asked her to write a note in Spanish asking for the IEP in Spanish, so that she

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

would understand, and it was pushed back. They
were not happy with me specifically.

4 CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: This is a dist

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: This is a district public school?

CLAUDIA CRUZ: No, it is a special school for children with disabilities.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Okay.

CLAUDIA CRUZ: William McConnor. It is under the hospices of the Catholic Diocese, but it was clear that they were not going to budge. person, the IEP coordinator person, she got indignant with me and I've been through this very often, more than I'd like to say, but I just stood my ground and calmly I said, well, you may not know this but this is, you know, she's entitled so that she can collaborate. You know, I just turned it around and softened it up but for me to have to, for us to have to sit calmly and defuse the situation because the professional is trying to manipulate it is totally unnecessary, but I was just happy to be able to be there with her and she had her note. We asked for a copy of the note, signed, that it had been received. You know, I thought she was going to blow me out of there.

/

\_ \_

she hasn't received it yet mind you. This was

January, their meeting was, and nothing has been

received yet. I'm not surprised but still.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Yeah, we'll get her information because this is not even negotiable.

This is federal law.

explained that to her to calm her down. That she was not asking for anything, but no one told her.

What was said there was part of the intake process that the parents are advised, you know, I have been through dozens and dozens of these. I accompanied parents to Sunset Park over the last 20 odd years.

Not once has anyone said to a parent in my presence, you know you're entitled to this.

Because I went through my own with my son who has done very well, thank goodness and 20 odd years ago and nothing has changed.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: I believe you. I believe you. You are not alone, and you have a lot of people that support you and want the very best for your daughter and for your family. She deserves nothing less than the best and so, we'll take down her information about the school information

2.2

2 because I think they need to be given an education

3 about federal law and mandates and requirement.

So, thank you very much. I appreciate all of you.

Yes, the last panel and the most patient panel.

They get the gold stars today, right. S. David

Jacobson, Laura Espinoza, and Sheila.

Okay, whenever you're ready.

LAURA ESPINOZA: Hi, good afternoon and my name is Laura. I'm trying to say in English because a long time ago I tried to relay it in English.

That's why I'm here.

So, my name is Laura Espinoza, mother of twins with special needs. Why I'm here, my son is 18 years old. When he was 6 years old, he suffered a lot of bulling in school. Bullying mental and physical, not just for the children. It is for a person who works in the school and he just needed speech therapy at that moment. So, since at that time, when I have in 2014 and have twins, and I saw them, so they need a little help, but I didn't want to ask for [inaudible 2:32:19] because I had a bad experience in the bus and the school, and I didn't want them to put gate in IEP.

So, since the last time, my twins in going to bullying, out please. So, now not only does the Department of Education hear all this testimony because they need to be here. They need to be listening to us. So, I came here only today, not just for giving testimony but also, I want to say that I will not sit down and wait for DOE to decide the future of my children with completely a disproportionate system and public school.

In my opinion, the laws that they have that exist in DOE on the special education must be modified to give adequate support for a student with IEP throughout the city.

Privation should be made each year to the program. An evaluation of the student with IEP, their progress acclimated each year. Not only when the parent asks for it because they will not know if a profit or change the service in the students and their progress. In existing programs extended all public school in low income community as our community in Sunset Park, we don't have nothing in the public school, nothing. We just have to go out to the community and see the service. That I don't want for my kids. I don't want the same as a long

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

time ago for my kids. So, DOE [inaudible 2:34:35] adequate support for our children such as PreK for We can not call for all when this doesn't all. exist in the public school.

In many cases children at an early age with a special need doesn't have adequate support in the public school in their area and they must go far from their homes extending out to receive help that they need in the age of four years. We must continue fighting and look at the way to the education system and complete the [inaudible 2:35:24] of child because they are our future of this country.

Thank you for the [inaudible 2:35:32]. grateful for what you are doing, and we are going to support you. You will hear us. We are a group in the community to fight for our rights and learn what IEP is, what is the good education for our kids in the public school in our community.

Thank you so much and God Bless you.

CLAUDIA COALICIA: Good night. My name is Claudia Coalicia and I'm here on behalf of my daughter [Inaudible 2:36:17] Coalicia, who is here making origami, but she got bored and left.

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

We have had really tough years because my daughters on the spectrum, she has autism and it's been very difficult for our family to enter the system when we she first was diagnosed, she was given ABA therapy, which is the [inaudible 2:36:58] for children on the spectrum and then boom, we were thrown to the DOE. It's time for you to move, lets have your five year old meeting and on that meeting, they told us, well, there's no ABA in the DOE, so your daughter has to go to a regular school and even though my daughter had a mandate of Spanish in her IEP, I was told, we won't give you any school. I had to go to Advocates for Children to secure a placement for my daughter. So, I got a school in September. I got a school in September, days before the school started and this big discrimination in the DOE to families of color, who speak a different language.

So, my daughter had to stay in Spanish because my mother doesn't speak English and my sister doesn't speak English, to remove my daughter to English only would be like, it would separate our families. She would not be able to interact with grandma or with TETE. So, I've been fighting this

2.2

discrimination. There are only two schools in Brooklyn, PS89 which is the one with the ICT program and there is one school in Sunset Park that has duel language ICT and ICT program and there's only one NEST program duel language for the whole city.

I confronted the Mayor and some of those liars that were here from the DOE. They're always telling us, well, there's not enough people.

So, when we went into the DOE, they removed instantly the Spanish mandate. So, now, you know, I no longer have the right. My daughter does no longer have the right to stay in her language.

So, I went to an impartial hearing, so I got pendency and I know you've been hearing. So, this pendency, I haven't been able to enforce the pendency. So, I think the system, government is failing us. By law I have Barron training which I haven't had ever. But who is going to enforce the pendency? Who's going to enforce the parent training and all the services that my daughter is owed? There's so much money in litigation right now, because my daughter case is going to go to federal court, so she can get her pendency because

2.2

parents.

my daughter is regressing. It has taken my family
years for my daughter to talk, to be high
functioning, and right now, less than a year, I can

see how she's regressing.

My daughter is a gifted and talented child with autism and I'm very proud of her, but if she doesn't have the right services, then she regresses and that's why I'm here to tell you that it's a crime. It's a crime that the DOE has the face to come and see us parents and tell us, like I ask for my IEP also to be translated, it's been four years. I haven't gotten a response. So, we have at Department of Education that doesn't care about

My hearing officers told me, I said, look, I can come to so many hearings. Is there anyway that we can settle this case? And he was like, well, I don't care, you asked for these. So, now you're going to have to come three days in a row.

So, we're broke. We have no money. We have to spend all this time trying to get services and we come, and we see the DOE with millions of dollars in their packet, not giving us the right thing.

We're not asking them for much. We just want whatever is right for our children.

They saw my IEP, so they went into the system and they erased the services. Without an IEP meeting.

So, that's one of the things that I'm fighting.

So, no one can go into this system and just scratch things.

Unfortunately, I have those old IEPs that have the mandate, but they just went into the system and my next IEP meeting, it was something different.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: So, just to be clear, you're saying that your waiting four years for the IEP to be translated? Is that correct?

CLAUDIA COALICIA: Correct.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Right after this hearing, we're going to take down your information and the school information. That's just not acceptable. I mean, there's obviously a disconnect from what we heard from the DOE and what families are experiencing on the ground. So, we'll take down your information right after this hearing, okay. Thank you very much.

CLAUDIA COALICIA: Thank you for having us.

2.2

2 CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: I appreciate you. Thank

3 you.

SHEILA SZCUPONIAC[SP?]: Hello. My name is

Sheila Szcuponiac and I applaud any action that can
help improve the special education systems and
services and NYC. Thank you for having this
meeting and staying so late and listening to our
stories.

Especially, I'm here to ask why it's taking so long for the city to pay for the reimbursement of the tutoring services mandated by the hearing officer that we as a family had to undertake when the system failed us?

When my son's middle school failed to provide the mandated SETTS as outlined on his IEP and then concealed the failure, we had no other choice then to seek legal redress to help us pay for the educational services he required in order to remain in a mainstream school.

We prevailed in our case and since June of 2018, have been waiting for reimbursement. Our son is now in high school, where there is no direct remedial SETT support. Our reimbursement is critical in providing the essential educational

tutoring and foundational skills that the system failed to provide him early on. If payments cannot be made by the DOE in a timely period, then it should be mandated that they pay finance charges on the outstanding balances.

As a family we continually have to fight for educational rights and now, to have to battle to collect the monies we were awarded, underscores the DOE's lack of understanding of the economic struggle's families face ensuring their children receive the promised education they need to be productive, successful citizens.

I want to thank you for taking the time and giving me your full attention. I also just wanted to point out a few things just to reiterate and to underscore what other people have said.

My son is right now in high school and when he was at a good high school, he's at LaGuardia. When I came in for my IEP meeting, they told me that they would not be able to provide the SETT service that he was directed to have. They said they couldn't comply. I said that I didn't agree, and I wasn't going to agree to that. I was determined, but what happened, the next year I looked at my IEP

2.2

to fight it with them.

when it was sent out to me and it had been erased and when I talked to the school psychologist who had done it, he passed the buck and said it was not his responsibility and this was done by somebody else and I have a meeting coming up this Monday with them and we're going to sit there and continue

There was another about a hearing officer at the DOE, which was a funny story. When we went to the DOE for our hearing and the officer from the DOE heard that my son was receiving SETTS at school, they said well, that should be enough. Why do you need additional tutoring and I said, don't you understand? What they told me is that there is no remedial teaching in high school. Sorry, it's a study hall. And there you have four years that aren't going to be addressed. So, I mean we've had to do this ourselves to get those tutors that we can find.

And another point, you mentioned earlier on way this morning about how you cannot find services, well, after the school, the middle school had concealed the fact and I pressed to get a you know, some kind of reimbursement sheet, they gave me a

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

packet of people to call to get SETTS and I did. I went through the entire 200 people on the list. I emailed all of them. There was nobody, either the list was out of date, people weren't doing it anymore, and also, the money that they were providing was nothing. The skill level you needed to deal with a language-based learning disability because my son is mildly dyslexic and has another processing issue. You need special skilled people.

Also, I just wanted to point out. daughter who had got to Beacon who has since graduated and she had come down with juvenile rheumatoid arthritis and I wanted to point out what another woman had said, just about the sensitivity of dealing with people who have physical disabilities, because when she needed to have additional time and we had doctors notes and doctors letters, it was up to me. The principal and the IEP coordinator did not go and talk to the teachers even when we had problems, it was up to me to always talk to each teacher, each year and discuss, these are the issues that we have. are the kind of accommodations that he needs. This is what has to happen.

2.

And yet still, you found that people could not understand it and that when she was supposed to be given additional time for papers and stuff and it was demoralizing for her because then she was really a brilliant student, and she was getting marked down because she couldn't write. She couldn't be at class at eight in the morning and they wouldn't schedule anything later for her because her arthritis wouldn't allow her to move.

So, oh, we also had a transportation issue where the bus, she was supposed to get a bus. We sent letters, we did everything. The bus would not take her because she had a walker and she would have to go on the elevated platform. It was a liability. They didn't want that to happen and so, she had no bus service. So, then we kept on fighting for it. All they made me do was keep on writing letters and push it off until she finally graduated, and they didn't have to deal with it anymore.

For her junior and senior year, thank God, we had the resources to pay for her to take Uber to get to school, because otherwise she would've never have gotten there. She had a wonderful para to who helped her but often the administration would try

2.2

2 to coop the para for their own purposes, so that 3 the para could do some work for them.

Another thing is just also about reading and writing. That if those things could actually be addressed and this is one thing, I just wanted to point out by the IEP, is that they make these really nice IEPs with all these goals, but there are no set concrete criteria to how to achieve them. They have these nice goals and say, this kid will be able to this in the end of the year, but when he comes to a session, they don't have anything planned. There's nothing and one woman said to me, well, I asked your son if he needed help and he said, no. So, we didn't have our session.

I said, he's a 13-year-old kid, who's the adult here? No kid is going to tell you, yes, I can't read or no, I can't make a sentence.

Obviously, you know, it's beyond all our comprehensions and the system really needs to be fixed and on top of the fact so money could be saved. So much money could be saved if you actually hit kids in the beginning parts of childhood.

2 When we had problems in elementary school, they 3 would not give him an IEP. I had to go up to the district office Harlem to get him tested. When he 4 got the accommodations, or when he got the stuff, they didn't want to provide it and a DOE 6 7 representative called me up and said, I'm sorry, but whoever gave you those one on one sets, you 8 can't have them. And I said, I'm sorry, it's a 9 legal mandated document and you can't change it. 10 So, these doctors are saying, and your employee 11 12 said this is what he needs.

Because often what would happen would be and I hate to say it. I mean a lot of the teachers are great but the SETTS teachers, they aren't really educated like in dyslexia and how to really provide services.

My son said, I don't want to be pulled out anymore because the kids are standing on chairs and I'm not getting anything done. I'd rather just be back in the classroom. Can you just let me go back?

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: I have a quick, just very quickly, I think you had mentioned also that there

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

1

2.2

were portions of the IEP that were deleted, is that
correct?

SHEILA SZCUPONIAC: Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Did you receive any notice from the school prior to the deletion of those?

SHEILA SZCUPONIAC: No, and this happened, it was when we were in ninth grade. He's in tenth grade now. So, we were in ninth grade, we sat down, they said that they don't provide these and I said, well, you should be, it's mandated. Well, we don't provide remedial help. He can have SETTS, but we are not going to do these one on one sessions. And so, I said well, I disagree with that and even if you can't provide it, it's going to stay on the IEP because you know, I don't think it's legal for you to take it off when he needs these services.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: It's violation of federal law.

SHEILA SZCUPONIAC: Well, they did. They did because then it came back and I said to the school psychologist, I had a meeting with him the junior year of meeting with him. We sat down, I went over test scores of my son, you know, stuff that

- 2 teachers needed to be talked to etc. I get the
- 3 | IEP, I see that none of that has been in there.
- 4 I've been in a meeting with him for an hour and a
- 5 half, none of it got changed. I don't know what he
- 6 did, but then I saw that the services were off, and
- 7 I asked him, and I emailed him, and he said, oh,
- 8 | I'm sorry, that's not my responsibility. That
- 9 happened in ninth grade.
- 10 And I said, no it didn't. I mean, I had an
- 11 | advocate with me, my husband was with me. I have
- 12 documentation, we did not agree to get rid of any
- 13 | services. And he's like, well, that's what
- 14 | happened. I don't know, that's not my
- 15 responsibility and I don't know whose
- 16 responsibility it is anymore.
- 17 CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: We're trying to figure
- 18 | that out to.
- 19 SHEILA SZCUPONIAC: I mean you ask the
- 20 principals, you ask the IEP coordinators.
- 21 | Everybody just passed the buck.
- 22 CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: And that's exactly how the
- 23 system is designed, to pass the buck. And this is
- 24 | infuriating. It's infuriating and you're the

2.1

2.2

parent and we feel for you because this is just
outrageous.

SHEILA SZCUPONIAC: Well, you know, I'm supporting my son a lot. You know, I'm doing a lot of tutoring at home, I'm learning a lot of things I never knew to support him, and I can only imagine, because my kids are at pretty good schools.

LaQuardia and Beacon. And it makes me cringe when I think about other kids in lower income schools and what they must be going through because it's just unimaginable and I can pretty well navigate and fight, and I can't imagine what people if they don't understand what their laws are and what their rights are can do.

And even I have trouble, because you know, after a while your spending a lot of money on bus transportation and tutoring and this and that and the other and you're putting yourself in depth but like the other woman said, it's your kids and there is no time. Either you're going to have a kid who's going to be educated and can be able to go off to college or you're not, and which one do you want and so often these kids when they're in these situations, they start feeling so much less than

2.2

that they just feel like, I can't do this. I can't be in school. I'm not a good student and it's like no, no, you just haven't had the instruction to help you and its unimaginable that these educators are allowing it with school psychologist and IEP coordinators are allowing kids to feel this way because I don't know, their hands are tied. don't have the resources. I don't know what it is, but they are all inner meshed. They all know what their doing.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: I'll share with you this and then we'll close. It's been a powerful long day. We don't have enough, and they are swamped. I'm not defending the actions of what might have happened here because this is outrageous and it's illegal but from my understanding of our system, we have over 1.1 million students, over 220,000 students with IEPs. Today they said 800 school psychologists, that's the first time I heard that number. Our numbers show less. We have about 1,200 or so full-time social workers in our school system and about close to 3,000 or so guidance counselors.

We don't have enough social supports in our system to meet the needs of all of our kids, and those who we do have are tied to mandated cases with IEPs and they complain to us and we hear from them that their case loads are in the hundreds and sometimes over a thousand kids and we need more support staff to better meet the needs of our children.

First of all, I applaud you. I applaud all the parents here for being the champions for your kids but it is outrageous that you're doing this alone and there's no one that can help you navigate this process and deal with the bureaucracy on your behalf for your children and it's evident to me that we have a lot more work to do for our children here. So, I thank you all for your courage and for your advocacy. Thank you, I appreciate you all.

SHEILA SZCUPONIAC: Thank you for listening.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Yes, absolutely. There is more work to do but for now, this hearing is adjourned. [Gavel]

## ${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date April 1, 2018