CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

January 29, 2019
Start: 10:23 a.m.
Recess: 11:26 a.m.

HELD AT: Council Chambers - City Hall

COUNCIL MEMBERS: Fernando Cabrera Margaret S. Chin

BEFORE:

Rafael L. Espinal, Jr.

ROBERT E. CORNEGY, JR.

Mark Gjonaj

Chairperson

Barry S. Grodenchik

Bill Perkins Carlina Rivera Helen K. Rosenthal Ritchie J. Torres Jumaane D. Williams

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Joshua Sidis, Senior Advisor, Mayor's Office Operations

Sarah Mallory, Chief of Staff for Government Affairs, Department of Housing, Preservation and Development

Patrick A. Wehle, Assistant Commissioner of External Affairs, NYC Department of Buildings

Pilar De Jesus, Advocate, Community Development Project, Urban Justice Center

Leo Goldberg, Policy and Research Manager Center for New York City Neighborhoods

Scott Andrew Hutchins, Member of Picture the Homeless

Paula Segal, Senior Staff Attorney, Community Development Project

John Krinsky, Professor of Political Science at City College, CUNY Grad Center and Board Member of NYC Community Land Initiative

2 [sound check] [pause] [gavel]

3 CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Good morning 4 everyone. I'm Council Member Robert Cornegy, Chair 5 of the Committee on Housing and Buildings, and we're 6 here today to consider six pieces of legislation 7 related to vacant properties and buildings, and the 8 under-utilization of those prop-these properties and 9 buildings throughout the city. At the two previous 10 hearing on vacant properties in '14 and '16, we 11 discussed several subjects related to vacant 12 properties including where these properties are 13 located, how these properties can be tracked, and 14 what can be done with them. As a result of the most 15 recent hearing we enacted Local Laws 29 and 30 of 16 2018. Local Law 29 requires the city to conduct a 17 citywide census of vacant properties while Local Law 18 30 requires the Department of Housing Preservation 19 and Development to report on vacant lots within its 20 jurisdiction. These laws can help us better 21 understand the scope of vacant properties. Still, 2.2 more can be done. Vacant properties are troublesome 23 for our communities. They bring down property 24 values, and they attract crime or create public health hazards. They are properties that can be used 25

properties. Intro 1125 requires the Department of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

HPD to report to the Council on properties subject to foreclosure proceedings in each Council Member's district. These properties pose a risk of becoming Intro No. 7 sponsored by Council Member Barron would permit the city to better understand the scope of the existing affordable housing crisis by requiring HPD to report annually on a number of dwellings citywide. This information is critical for understanding the scope of the existing housing stock within the city. I'd like to thank my fellow committee members present today, Council Members both Chin and Grodenchik, and acknowledge-oh, there are no other council Members. I'd just like to remind people if you'd like to testify today, please fill out a card with the sergeant. We'll be sticking to a 2-minute clock for all public testimony, and now we'll admit the oath-administer the oath of the Administration -of the Administration before their testimony.

LEGAL COUNSEL: Right hands up. Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in your testimony before this committee, and respond honestly to Council Member questions?

Commissioner of External Affairs at the Department of

Buildings Thank you for the opportunity to testify

24

1 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 7 2 today. Local Law 29 of 2018 requires the city to within three years, create a census of the number of 3 4 vacant-of vacant buildings and vacant lots located in residential areas, with new analysis on such areas 5 required every years thereafter. As there is no 6 7 single source of this data in New York City particularly for privately owned properties, 8 operations and the Mayor's Office on Data Analytics 9 10 are in the process of developing a model that integrates various data sources as indicators and 11 12 predicts the likelihood that a property may be 13 vacant. The relative weights of these indicators 14 will be determined and refined based on a rigorous 15 sampling methodology and visual inspections. 16 census is the result of-of the Housing Not 17 Warehousing Act, and has the goal of identifying 18 opportunities for housing and development. On January 8, 2018, Local Law 29 was signed into law. 19 20 That same month on January 26, Operations and convened a working group of relevant agencies-21 2.2 relevant city agencies to evaluate the data 23 landscape, discuss methodology, and agree on common working definitions. All agencies have provided data 24

extracts and MOUs were brokered for any data sets

29 requires Operations to conduct the first census

within three years of enactment by spring of 2021.
The law calls for the census to then be re-completed
every five years thereafter. Intros 835 is bi-Intro
835 is bi-annual reporting frequency would fall out
of sync with the Council's existing implementation
timeline. We are concerned that these inconsistent
reporting cycles would impeded our ability to
effectively fulfill our existing mandate under Local
Law 29. The Vacant Property Census as mandated by
Local Law 29 also has a specific geographic focus.
The law focuses on those areas zoned for residential
usage and excludes any coastal flood zones. Intro
835 by contrast appears to include all areas within
the boundaries of NYC's five boroughs regardless of
zoning and coastal flood areas. We would welcome
further conversations with the Council about the
goals of 835—intro 835 and believe we can reach a
solution. Thank you again for the opportunity to
testify today. We look forward to continuing the

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: I'd just like to say we've been joined by Council Member Barron.

conversation and answering any questions you may

have.

2 SARAH MALLORY: Good morning Chair 3 Cornegy and members of the Committee on Housing and Buildings. My name is Sarah Mallory and I'm the 4 Chief of Staff for Government Affairs at the New York 5 City Department of Housing Preservation and 6 7 Development. Thank you for the invitation to testify on the unprecedented step HPD is taking to utilize 8 vacant sites for affordable housing development and 9 Introduction 7, 226 and 1125. We firmly believe that 10 all New Yorkers should have access to safe quality 11 12 affordable housing, and that all of the city's 13 neighborhoods should be thriving inclusive places of 14 opportunity. This is why this Administration has 15 invested significant resources in creating and 16 preserving affordable housing as part of a broader 17 strategy that includes robust measures to prevent 18 displacement, protect tenants from harassment and revitalize neighborhoods that have faced decades of 19 disinvestment. Our work around vacant lots plays a 20 key role in this holistic approach by developing 21 2.2 affordable housing where appropriate, and working 23 with our government partners to ensure safe conditions exist until those properties can be 24 refurbished. HPD also recognizes the importance of 25

2 financially responsible homeownership for the stability of families and neighborhoods. 3 appreciate Chairman Cornegy's advocacy of affordable 4 5 homeownership, and agree that it is a critical tool to help low and moderate income New Yorkers secure 6 7 housing stability and grow equity that can be passed along to future generations. That is why we are 8 proud to say that since the beginning of Housing New 9 York on January 1, 2014, we have financed nearly 10 23,000 affordable homeownership opportunities across 11 12 the five boroughs. Our Revised Housing Plan 13 announced new homeownership programs including Open 14 Door, a program to finance the construction of co-ops 15 and condos for households earning between 16 approximately \$69,000 to \$112,000, which is 80% to 17 130% AMI for a family of three, and Home Fix a 18 modernized program that will provide home repair loans and other financial assistance and counseling 19 20 for low to middle income homeowners. Over the years, HPD has developed a comprehensive suite of programs 21 2.2 to support homeowners, create more homeownership 23 opportunities, and intervene to address properties that are physically and financially distressed. 24 Zombie Homes Initiative has allowed increased direct 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

outreach to families in foreclosure and the development of targeted plans to secure abandoned homes. Through the innovative Community Restoration Fund Program, HPD has purchased 62 distressed Federal Housing Administration and Federal National Mortgage Association notes for one to four-family homes containing a total of 95 residential units in the Bronx, Brooklyn, Queens and on Staten Island. Council Member Rafael Espinal and the Center for New York City Neighborhoods, we have launched a new Homeowner Help staff in East New York and continue to support CNYCN in providing financial counseling and support for lower income homeowners across the five boroughs. We are providing down payment assistance to first time low-income homeowners from the South Shore of Staten Island to Borough Park, Brooklyn and Flushing, Queens. We are collaborating with NYCHA to rehab and create affordable homeownership in homes that have been foreclosed by HUD and managed by NYCHA through the NYCHA Small Homes Program. expanding affordability and rehabilitating larger Mitchell-Lama co-ops like Strikers Bay and Clayton We worked with DEP to offer regulated Apartments. affordable properties a water rebate of \$250 per

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

We are seeing more interest by existing cooperatives in our Preservation Financing Programs including Green Housing Preservation Program, provides low or no interest small-for small and midsize building owners to make environment friendly upgrades to their homes. We are creating new low to moderate income cooperatives through the Affordable Neighborhood Cooperative Program. We are preserving permanent affordability for the community by establishing parameters for the sale, resale and inheritance of restricted housing and inclusionary housing. We are always interested in joining Council Members to sponsor homeownership events with our mobile van in your district. We have already done events specific to homeownership with Chair Cornegy, Council Member Espinal and others. Further, HPD has left no stone unturned in our effort to pursue the development of affordable housing on both public and privately owned sites. Of the roughly 900 vacant lots under HPD's jurisdiction more than 60% of them have been designated for development or have active requests for proposals and requests for qualifications underway. We have accelerated our RFP pipeline to release RFPs at a faster clip than ever

lots. This design contest will launch next month,

architects and others have expressed interest through

and we are encouraged that already more than 800

23

24

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 our website at NYC.gov/small lots. With all this in mind, I will now turn to the bills on today's agenda. 3 4 HPD is committed to transparency and reports

extensive data threat on open data. We, therefore, support Intro 7 and Intro 1125 with slight amendments to better align with HPD's internal processes, and ensure the privacy of individuals is protected, and although we support the intent of Intro 226, we do not believe that we would be effectual at achieving these goals. Self-reporting by owners who might have already abandoned their property poses data quality concerns and is also incredibly difficult to enforce. Instead, we believe Local Law 29 more appropriately

utilizes our resources, and will result in unprecedented data collected by the city on vacant lots. Thank you for your time, and I'm happy to answer any questions you might have.

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER WEHLE: morning Chair Cornegy and members of the Housing and Buildings Committee of the Council. I'm Patrick Wehle, Assistant Commissioner for External Affairs of the New York City Department of Buildings. please to be here to offer testimony on two of the bills before the committee today. Introductory No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1124 would require the department to commence proceedings to seal, secure and close the vacant property with \$25,000 or more in unpaid fines, civil penalties or judgments is owed to the city with respect to such property. The department already has the authority to order a building to be sealed, secured and closed when-where such a building is Indeed, the department regularly exercises unsafe. its authority to commence proceedings seeking to seal, secure and close a vacant building. Mandating vacant buildings be sealed, secured and closed solely basked on the criteria proposed in this bill namely based on debt owed to the city will move the department's discretion to take into consideration other significant factors including whether the building is unsafe. The department questions the rationale to have debt to the city be the sole criteria for sealing a vacant building particularly given that doing so may prolong vacancy for tenants in certain instances. It should also be noted that it is difficult for the department to ascertain whether a building is vacant. Local Law 29 of 2018, which has been discussed previously requires the city to conduct a census of vacant properties by 2021.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

The Mayor's Office and at least a dozen agencies including the Buildings Department are working hard to estimate the number of vacant properties in the city. This analysis has yet to be completed. Thus, it is unclear how many buildings would be subject to this requirement. For the aforementioned reasons, the department is not supportive of this bill, and welcomes the opportunity to discuss it further wit the Council and its sponsor. Introduction No. 1128 would require that chain link fences be installed at construction sites that have been stalled for two years or longer. A construction fence is required by the New York City Building Code to enclose the construction site of a new building areas of demolition, open excavation or extensive alteration. Construction fences are required to be built solid for their entire length out of wood or other suitable materials. However, the department may approve chain link fences in certain instances including for sites where work has been interrupted or abandoned and discontinued. The department supports requiring chain link fences at stalled sites with the understanding that the onus should be on the property owner to install a chain link fence if work is

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

stalled. Given that they are in the best position to determine where there has—where the work has stopped for a period of two years. The department looks forward to discussing this bill with the Council to further determine how best to address stalled sites and the enclosure of such sites. Than you for your attention and the opportunity to testify, and I welcome any questions you may have.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Thank you so much for your testimony. We're going to begin with Council Member questions. I will open the floor up to my colleagues prior to asking my questions, and I'll try to keep my questions focused on 835, but I would like to say that while I share a great deal of the desire that HPD has on affordable homeownership, and have shared I think a really good working relationship around that, TPT not withstanding, there's a lot more I feel like we can do. meeting with some of my state colleagues and—and understood that even Section 8 in other parts of the state is being used to supplement mortgage and homeownership. So, those types of things obviously the cost in the city makes it prohibitive to begin to use that, but there's so many unique opportunities

and strategies for communities across the city to be able to benefit even in this crisis that we find

ourselves in. So, I want to stretch to the limit our ability to do this work. So, thank you.

SARAH MALLORY: Thank you, Council Member. Happy to look at those options with you.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY:} So \ \text{we are going to} \\ \text{begin with Council Member Barron.}$

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: I want to thank the Chair for the hearing and also for allowing me to get my question in. It's a very short intro. you to the panel for coming, and it's Intro No. 7, and very briefly it just says that we would-the Council would have a report submitted to it biannually about the number of units that exist in Class A, Class B and multiple dwellings. We know how many units are in housing developments, but we don't have any report that indicates to us how many units there are in Class A and Class B across the city. So, in the testimony given by HPD I believe you said you support that with amendments. So, what amendments would you want to see to that very direct reporting?

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

2 SARAH MALLORY: Yes. For example, we 3 currently repot on--

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: [interposing] I'm sorry. Could you speak a little louder.

SARAH MALLORY: Sure. So, for example, we currently report on an annual basis. We would want to more align that with our current processes.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: What would that include?

SARAH MALLORY: Reporting annually.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Just reporting it

annually?

2.2

SARAH MALLORY: Yeah, we want to take a look at the units to make sure that we're getting at what you would like as well.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Okay, so you'd have no other objections to the bill? What other agencies would you require to have relationships within that to make this bill fruitful and productive and effective.

SARAH MALLORY: Sure. So, we work with all agencies who have information on unit counts and also building information. We also have a very comprehensive data set within our own agency as well.

1	COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 21
2	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: And do you
3	estimate that it would be any for other additional
4	finances related to this building implemented?
5	SARAH MALLORY: We're still doing at cost
6	estimates at this time, but we can get back to you
7	with more information.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Okay, thank you.
9	Thank you very much. Do other members of the panel
10	want to comment on the bill, Intro 7?
11	ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER WEHLE: I have
12	noting to add. Thank you.
13	SARAH MALLORY: Thank you.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Okay, thank you,
15	Mr. Chair. I appreciate it.
16	CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: My pleasure. Barry
17	Grodenchik.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: Thank you,
19	Mr. Chairman. Just very quickly, the census that
20	you're undertaking based on the new Local Law, have
21	you seen any results, any patterns, any—is there any
22	insight you can give us? Was it no?
23	ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER WEHLE: No, not

yet. No. We're in year 1 of this 3-year study. The

last time \mbox{HPD} was before us on this matter, they

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

really couldn't tell us anything. I was kind of I was shocked into silence, which my shocked. colleagues will tell you doesn't happen very often, but it's just so important that we know what's going on, you know, and I think a lot of that data can be driven from community boards. It's the people in the community always seem to know. They're always a few steps ahead of everybody else. I often tell people did you know? And I'm like, I didn't know that. That's why you have to call me and tell me because I don't drive every block in my district every single day. I could walk them I guess, but I would-I would weigh a lot less, but-so that's important, and-and how we get the information I think is important. think it should also be not chopped down, but I think it should be driven from the community because I'm in a district where we have lots and lots of civic associations very active, and they know what's going on before I do, and I get calls about this kind of thing all the time. So, I would appreciate your reaching out to the community boards throughout the city to make sure that we're getting all the information that we can possibly get because they're usually-when it comes to this kind of stuff, they are

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

2 the first responders generally. Thank you, Mr.

3 Chairman.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Thank you. Council Member Chin.

relating to Intro 226. From your testimony is it that the law that we passed last year and the city is just starting to do—to conduct the census. So, when the city goes out and inspects property that remains vacant for a long time, what signs do you look for or how do you start to identify that—that property is vacant? Does somebody call 311 or how—how do you begin the—the process of—of going out to start inspecting and counting those vacant properties?

JOSHUA SIDIS: Is that in relation to the census?

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Yes.

JOSHUA SIDIS: Yeah. So—so right now, where we are, we're staring with—we—we polled a handful of relevant agencies together to gather the data they have. We're putting that—we are building a model, and then what we are going to do is take through a rigorous process pull out a sample, and then do visual inspections to see if our model aligns

law require the owner to register the vacant

would help your-your census count.

2.2

property, wouldn't that help as another important
source of information so that like you're working
with agencies. This way it would mandate that the—
the owners have to register their vacant property.
That might be an additional resource in terms of
helping to identify where these properties are and

Member. I think that the predictive model that ops is using for Local Law 29, is really innovative and new, and is going to provide a lot more information than the city has had previously to give us more information on policies going forward. We think that more appropriately utilizes our resources versus Intro 226, which we think will have a lot of enforcement issues.

wanted to ask you to reconsider that and really look forward to more discussion because I think right now it's like I know you were doing a modern(sic) or whatever but like talk to the people who are on the ground, community board, advocacy organizations, Council members. Like we can really help with that to really—because we want to know where these

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

2.2

properties are, and a lot of them are causing problems in the community where you have a lot of garbage and grass and—and also some site could be used to develop into affordable housing, and why the owners are holding onto them because they figured they can get a windfall or sell it at a very high price, and meanwhile they're not taking care of it.

So, I think pulling together everyone to help that I think that's really the intention of the bill is to also make the owners accountable. So, that's why we want to make sure that they're involved in this process also.

SARAH MALLORY: Correct, and I think, you know, with Local Law 29 and the datasets that we already have including those from for example our Zombie Initiatives or Local Law 4, which requires foreclosure data to be reported to us that we have really comprehensive data set, and we look forward to coming out with more information on that.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Well, we look forward to seeing those. Thank you, Chair.

SARAH MALLORY: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Thank you, Council Member. So, I'm going to go to my questions trying

COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: [off mic] You said it was no problem. [laughter]

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: But I mean so there is information that gets reported to us from Community Boards, from very active civic associations, but we need to make sure that we're getting the bulk of the information. So, I'll just begin with my questions. Are there particular neighborhoods or areas in the city where there's a concentration of vacant properties?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER WEHLE: So, right now, we are too early to say in our—in our process

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

2.2

where those are. I mean I would defer to my

colleagues if they know through any of the datasets

that they have, but that's just not where we are in

the process. We're-we're close to getting out there

and-and seeing, but we're not there yet.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: So, anecdotally, I would say in highly gentrifying areas like mine. So, that's anecdotal but-

SARAH MALLORY: [interposing] And HPD has experienced working with folks, and has seen that it's in the neighborhoods that were hit hardest by the foreclosure crisis.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: And what agencies collect information and keep records regarding cityowned vacant properties?

JOSHUA SIDIS: So for city owned, it's DCAS that I'm aware of collects that information.

SARAH MALLORY: And HPD also within our own jurisdiction, and reports on those properties and their planned use through the Local Law 30 Report, which is found on our website.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: So, just on DCAS, the former Commissioner for DCAS and I had a long conversation when I first came into the Council

asking for an assessment of DCAS' properties and kind
of demanding that those-especially the commercial
properties when I was the chair of Small Business
should be below market rate where the city owned and
we owned these properties and we were making them
available at market rate, which I think contributed
to-I thought we had a greater responsibility in that
area. So, I just wanted to state that. So, we've
had our understanding, and I still haven't quite
gotten a full assessment. We have a new commissioner
and things have changed, but I haven't gotten the
assessment of DCAS owned properties in my district is
all I asked for at the time. So, on the record I'd
like to get that. On average how long is a city-
owned vacant property kept vacant?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER WEHLE: So that's a good question. I don't know the answer to that. I would refer you to DCAS or I can also follow up with them and try to work.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Okay. So, in your estimation, if you can, why are these properties vacant? Why—why would a DCAS property be vacant?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER WEHLE: That's a good question. I would also refer you to—to DCAS for that.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Okay. So, we understand that the city may be listing tax lots with community gardens, and similar community spaces as vacant. In the city's view, what is the literal description of a vacant lot?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER WEHLE: It's a fantastic question. So, every agency defines vacant for their operational concerns so there's not one legal definition of vacant for the city. So, this is where we polled the agencies together and we wanted to—to come together and—and create a starting place for the definition of vacant, which will get refined over time. So at this moment there is not one, but we are working on it.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: So, just for the listening audience, this is how bills ultimately get created--

JOSHUA SIDIS: [interposing] Yes.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: --because you hear that, and then you say oh, we should—we should demand that there be one uniform--

2 JOSHUA SIDIS: Right.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: --and then someone creates a bill. I'm not going to do that. I would hope that the agencies would know that themselves, and come up with a uniform—the administration should—

JOSHUA SIDIS: Absolutely.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: --do that, and not make somebody like me or one of my colleagues come up with a bill to demand that.

JOSHUA SIDIS: So, I think we—we are working on that through Local Law 29. That is a part of our process under Local Law 29.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Okay, thank you.

Has the city conducted an analysis to determine why certain properties are vacant and what factors contribute to the length of time such properties are kept vacant? I realize that that's another way of asking you a question that that I already asked before, but hopefully—

SARAH MALLORY: So, I think part of the process of Local Law 29 will be taking in that information and utilizing it for public policy reasons. Currently we have at HPD different datasets

2.2

and factors we're going to meet with community
members or do surveys. For example, if for our
Zombie Homes Initiative have reporting done to us as
an agency in order to take that information in, and
look at the wide range of reasons that can impact or
create a vacancy status, and that depends on a wide
range of things especially the a Fiza Building or a
type of building. So, we're always looking at that
with those datasets in mind.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: So, so for me in particular as the Chair and someone who has 2-1/2 years left on this term and who's struggling with Local 29-Local 29 to get my needs met as a local Council member, which is why we have, you know, parsed out some of these bills for expediency if nothing else so--

Member the one thing that I do want to say is the Zombie Initiative that we have worked on is from a stat e Zombie law for foreclosure prevention and—and data collection, and you all Council Members, it's confidential wo we can't report that information out. But Council Members can contact DSS in order to—Department of Financial Service of the State to get

2.2

that information for their council districts, and
we're happy to provide that email so you can request
that information from the state.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: So, I—while I don't mind doing that, we just feel like we have a responsibility here in the city to work directly with our administration to get those numbers, which is partly why my bill was created, but how many cityowned vacant lots and vacant buildings are there?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER WEHLE: So, again, I would refer you to—to DCAS.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Okay, so I am going to on the record say that my next question to DCAS will be how—can they break down the information for us by borough, which I already know the answer to, which is why my bill is in place. So, we've been joined by Council Member Rivera. Do you have any questions? So, we'll go back to Council Member Chin who I believe has another question.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: I think since today we're hearing bills about, you know, vacant lots and city-owned lots, if the administration had representatives here, DCAS should have been here to answer those questions because they're the ones that

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 runs all the city-owned property so they—they should

3 have been here. Relating to Intro 1128 with this-all

4 these stalled construction site, does the Department

5 | of Buildings have a count of how many construction

6 sites are stalled, and also breakdown by community

7 | board district or Council District?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER WEHLE: morning. To-to a certain extent we do. Following the 2008 Recession, Local Law 70 of 2009 was enacted, which established the Stalled Sites Program. Following the recession there were a number of construction sites throughout the city that are halted in large measure due to lack of the ability to secure financing. So, the Stalled Sites Program was enacted ensuring that those sites that are stalled are maintained safe while at the same time ensuring that once financing was secured, the means was created to have work start on those sites again as quickly as possible. At that point in time, there was something north of 400 sites that were included in that Stalled Sites Program. The law provided that that program sunset in 2013. So, in 2013, that program no longer existed in large measure because there was no longer a Recession and the economy came

throughout the city.

back. There wasn't a need to have this Stalled Sites
Program any longer. However, of those site that were
initially part of the program, the department has
continued to keep track of those sites, and to date
of those north of 400 sites that were a part of that
program, there are now 45 sites left within that
program, and I could provide you the breakdown
throughout the boroughs if you'd like. There are 14
in Manhattan, 6 in the Bronx, 1 in Brooklyn, 3 in
Queens and 21 in Staten Island, but that would be the
extent of the department's tracking of stalled sites

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: But are there new sites, new stalled sites that the department is tracking?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER WEHLE: Presumably there are, but the department as a matter of practice does not keep track of those sites.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Why not?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER WEHLE: What would happen is if someone calls 311 to file a complaint about a stalled site let's say, the department sees vacancy I guess you can say through the lens of safety entirely through safety. So, we would show up

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

to perform an inspection to determine whether or not the building is structurally sound, if it's properly sealed, and if any of those conditions exist, we would issue what's called the Unsafe Buildings Violation to require the owner to take steps to make that building safe or to seal the building. So, we do have-we can provide you with the number of unsafe building violations that we've issued based on complaints that we received, and last year the department issued 245 unsafe building violations. That doesn't necessarily mean, however, that the building was vacant. It could be it's structurally unsound. It could also be that if it wasn't properly sealed chances are those buildings are vacant that way.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: But—so in your interagency discussion, would that information to into you database or whatever as vacant property?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER WEHLE: Correct.

As part of our data sharing relationship that we're building this is just only as I understand it a small piece of a larger subset of information that's being shared across a dozen or so agencies with the Mayor's Office to create their model.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: And also with HPD, now if there are stalled sites, does HPD take a look at some of these to see if there's opportunity for HPD to step in and see if—the possibility of developing affordable housing or—?

SARAH MALLORY: Absolutely. So, we do look at opportunities for affordable housing whenever possible, and as they are brought to our attention. There are instances where we've found out and reached out to owners to see if they're interested in sharing or getting a—selling their property or working with HPD in order to develop on that site.

interagency sharing information, I'm saying that not that an owner comes forward to you, but in your meeting if you see that there are stalled sites that are vacant, does HPD proactively go out and talk to the owner or do research to see if that might be a potential opportunity for developing affordable housing?

SARAH MALLORY: Yes. So that information doesn't just come from owners, but comes from our partner agencies, community boards and council members as well.

2	COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Okay, I-I just hope
3	that that discussions are happening because that
4	would be a great opportunity to-to look at there
5	ought to be more affordable housing.
6	SARAH MALLORY: Yes.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Thank you, thank
8	you, Chair.
9	CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Yeah, I'm curious.
10	Is—are the location of vacant lots and/or properties
11	generated primarily by 311 complaints?
12	SARAH MALLORY: So, it depends. I
13	wouldn't say necessarily primarily. I think there
14	are lots of different places in which they are
15	incoming to different agencies.
16	JOSHUA SIDIS: Yeah, I think there are
17	only a couple of ways that—that we are getting
18	through on data, but it's-it's primarily through I

21 cleanliness and DOB for safety.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: So, just walk me through it as a novice. Someone calls 311 to complain of excessive garbage or rodents or something

believe DSNY. So, they—they come up as service

requests, and so they are—it's primarily DSNY for

19

20

22

23

how do-how do you guys-

2.2

2 JOSHUA SIDIS: So we have-

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: --know that there's—that that is a—so I'm assuming that someone makes a call, they go out and realize that the property is vacant. That's why there's an excessive sanitation issue, and then what happens?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER WEHLE: Yeah, so it's not—it's not exactly what the—it's not like a live feed. We're collecting data from them like raw data from them, but not on like a streaming basis.

It's not coming in. This is again just—I don't know—Sorry. One second. It's alright. Somebody can tell you a little bit more in detail about that than I.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Thank you.

DAN STEINBERG: Hi. I'm Dan Steinberg from Operations. We're building the model now. so that the idea is that it's going to be one of the inputs and—and we want to create a feed that says in, you know, in real time as the—as technology allows for, but right now—but yes. So, through 311 data, the—the two big indicators are lock remains from Sanitation and building safety issues that DOB addresses, and those are two key data inputs that will feed the model. Be assigned the process—be

```
1
    COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS
                                                       41
 2
    assigned sort of the weight that suggests whether or
    not that the--
 3
                CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: [interposing] I'm
 4
    sorry. I'm sorry--
 5
 6
                DAN STEINBERG: Yes.
                CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: --I'm being told I
 7
    have to actually affirm your--
 8
 9
                DAN STEINBERG: Oh, okay.
                LEGAL COUNSEL: Hold your right hand up.
10
    Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and
11
12
    nothing but the truth in your testimony before this
13
    committee, and to respond honestly to Council Member
14
    questions?
15
                DAN STEINBERG: I do.
16
                LEGAL COUNSEL: Great.
17
                DAN STEINBERG: Now, I can say it-
18
                CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: And that goes for-
    no that goes for [laughter] for everything you said
19
20
    prior?
21
                DAN STEINBERG: Yes.
2.2
                CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: So--
23
                DAN STEINBERG: So, yes, sorry.
24
                CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: --the-the system is
    being designed to accept that information--
25
```

2 DAN STEINBERG: Right.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: --when it comesthat dataset when it comes in.

DAN STEINBERG: Exactly. There will be more than 10 datasets all feeding this one system, and then the system is going to generate essentially a confidence score as to the status of that lot based on—on these inputs and some of the inputs are more valuable than others in terms of the—as, you know, serving as an indicator.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: So, is there an ETA on the buildout of—of the site?

DAN STEINBERG: Of, the—the model that we're building?

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Yes.

DAN STEINBERG: I mean we're—right now we're sort of doing it very deliberately with the time that we have. The idea in—in terms of our schedule, the idea is to have a full kind of buildout of the model so we can dispatch the—the inspectors.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: And-and this—this particular—what we're talking about right now is a function of Local 29? I mean what—what—

2	DAN STEINBERG: [interposing] Well, this-
3	this is the way that we're operationalizing Local Law
4	29. This is the way that we're
5	CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: [interposing] So, I
6	don't remember whether or not we had hand an end time
7	that we were expecting as a-as a Council or
8	especially as a the Housing and Buildings Committee.
9	I'm hoping—I'm going to have to rely on you under
LO	testimony to-to kind of give me what the framework
L1	would be because like I said, I got—I got 2-1/2 years
L2	left if I'm lucky
L3	DAN STEINBERG: [interposing] Right.
L 4	CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY:and-and I-I would
L5	really expect for this system to be built out, and
L 6	need to be benefitting from it in my district before-
L7	before the next Council Member comes on.
L8	DAN STEINBERG: I think we would like to
L 9	do it also. I—I just can't—I can't—yes.
20	CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: I would—I would—
21	wow, I would like that, too
22	DAN STEINBERG: Right.
23	CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY:but I'm hoping

that we could get a more definitive answer if not

1 | COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

2 today, I really would like as the—as the Chair to
3 get—

DAN STEINBERG: [interposing] We have every intention of—we have—the Local Law 29 gives us three years. That's the first phase to have the—

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: [interposing]
Where—where are we within the three years?

DAN STEINBERG: Year 1.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: So, we have two years left?

I can say affirmatively, we are not going to run over. We're working, you know, deliberately to—to move along as fast as we can. So, you know, we'll communicate with you along the way. I—I just want to add one thing to the question you asked before. The—the very purpose of why we want to engage both the Council and the advocates are to refine these—these visual markers that—that we intend to use, and—and the advocates have a ton of experience going out there and—and assessing properties because they've been the ones leading this effort up 'til now, and so we really want to sort of learn from their

2.2

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

2 experience, and-but also talk to you because you do
3 know your districts.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: So, from an operation standpoint, my colleagues mentioned the use of civic organizations, the use of community boards and obviously the use of Council Members' offices.

DAN STEINBERG: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Is that something that you're counting on as-as--

DAN STEINBERG: [interposing] Absolutely. This is—it's only one part of the—the data modeling is only one part of our process. Right, once we finish with the data, modeling and the next like, you know, the first round of data modeling should come up soon, and I mentioned this in my testimony. The next thing that we're going to do is have conversations with after we send out the inspectors to visually inspect the sample of the sites is to then engage Council Members, Community Boards, and—and advocates. That's—it's in the testimony. That's part of our plan.

JOSHUA SIDIS: We put a real premium on local knowledge with this initiative.

2.2

	COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 40
2	DAN STEINBERG: Yes, this whole
3	initiative is sort of about the-the, you know, the
4	historic inability to have real command over this
5	sort of set of properties, and so we really do want
6	to partner in earnest.
7	CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Thank you. So,
8	we've been joined by Council Member Torres and also
9	Council Member Gjonaj. [background comments/pause]
10	If there's-if there's no more questions, we are going
11	to thank you very much for your testimony and look
12	forward to a very robust follow-up with all of you.
13	You can expect to get a call from our office trying
14	to follow up.
15	DAN STEINBERG: Thank you.
16	CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Thank you.
17	SARAH MALLORY: Thank you. [pause]
18	CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Pilar. I'm sorry.
19	We're going to call the next panel, which is Pilar De
20	Jesus, Leo Goldberg, Paula Siegel, [background
21	comments] Scott-I'm sorry. I can't see the last
22	name and John Krinsky (sp?) [background
23	comments/pause] We ask to put 2 minutes on the clock

for public testimony. [background comments/pause]

Once you're settled, you can begin your testimony as

24

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

2.2

2 soon as you're ready. And just you can start
3 wherever you like.

PILAR DE JESUS: Alright, good morning.

My name is Pilar De Jesus. I am an advocate with the Community Development Project at the Urban Justice Center, but I'm also the President of East Harlem Preservation. I was hoping that HPD would stay. So, I also--

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: [interposing]
There-there are members for HPD here.

Harlem, which is one of the areas that have been rezoned and been through a lot of gentrification.

I've lived there for 38 years of my life, and I'm really interested—I was really interested in hearing how HPD said that they don't—they're not aware of where these buildings—these vacant properties are.

I've been living in my community for 38 years, as I mentioned, and the same Ross Portfolio I believe it is, that it's been vacant for 40 years. We have—I could take you on a tour, and I really want—I'm really serious about that. I'm willing to provide—give you guys the tour of all the vacant properties in my district which is Ayala's district, and I'm not

sure what here—where she stands with this, but I'm
assuming she supports this because we have tons and
tons of vacant property, and it's really sad because
as we all know, we have this serious housing crisis
going on. Tenants are being displaced. We've got
what? 65,000 homeless people. These are properties
that could be used to house people, and it would be
low-income housing. It would be-it's rent regulated
buildings most of them. They're-they're vacant and I
don't understand why they've been vacant for so long.
They're just sitting there. These are the same
landlords that claim they're broke, you know. So,
HPD I think that I would encourage that you work
with organizations like mine, other community board-
community based organizations. Yeah, work with the
community boards, but your community based
organizations are going to have that information
because they are on the ground. They know. They're
talking to the tenants. They're there. We're there,
and so yeah, I support the 226 and 835 because [bell]
yeah, it's real serious problem with these landlords.
CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: So, Ms. De Jesus, I

want to thank you. What was the name of your organization again?

2 and members of the Committee for holding this hearing. I have submitted more extensive testimony. 3 4 We support these bills and want to take the 5 opportunity to point to the fact that these are focused on enforcement and data reporting and that 6 7 there is also a real need for funding and capacity building to act on interventions that are illuminated 8 by this data. So, I'll point to a few examples of 9 that. One is that foreclosure prevention is one of 10 the most effective ways to make sure that vacant and 11 12 abandoned properties don't exist in the first place. Many of these properties especially in lower rise 13 14 neighborhoods that are the product of foreclosure 15 process, they could be zombie properties or bank 16 owned or otherwise, and the city's foreclosure prevention services through housing counseling and 17 18 pro bono legal services are primarily funded through Attorney General settlement funds, which are expiring 19 20 in March. So, there's a state coalition called Communities First. I'm asking that funding is put 21 2.2 into the state budget to continue these legal 23 services for foreclosure prevention. Without them we'll lose a huge amount of our capacity to help the 24 homeowners and prevent these vacant and abandoned 25

that funding in the State Budget.

2.2

properties. So, we're hoping that the City Council
will support our ask to the State Legislature to get

5 CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: What was—what was 6 the number?

LEO GOLDBERG: The \$20 million is what it's been funded at for the last several years. So, that's what the Community First Campaign is looking to get into the budget.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Thank you.

LEO GOLDBERG: Another thing that we'd like to point to here is that there are ways to bring these vacant and abandoned programs into public or community ownership so that they can be used for affordable housing and we—HPD spoke to some of the mechanisms they have in place [bell] and we support community land trusts and code enforcement and acquisition programs and other ways to make sure that these lots can provide permanent affordable homes for people. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Thank you. Before the next testimony, I'd like to allow my colleague Council Member Holden to read—to say a few words about his bills.

2 COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Yes. I'm sorry. 3 I was at another hearing. So, I'm-on Intro 1124, the 4 padlock bill, you know, it's local law to amend the Administrative Code of the city of New York in 5 relation to orders to secure and seal and close. 6 7 bill requires the Department of Buildings' Commissioner to commence proceedings to seal, secure 8 and close the property where \$25,000 or more of 9 unpaid fives-fines is owed to the city with respect 10 to the property. The property must also be vacant. 11 12 It would address potential squatting, illicit drug activity, rodent and vermin concerns, and-and often 13 14 many derelict zombie homes, which we call them, are 15 attached especially in my-many in my areas in my 16 district they're attached row houses. We had one 17 that had—the person was put in—into a facility and a 18 window was left open, a bathroom window, and pigeons, vermin and everything got in there for years, and we 19 20 finally were able to seal it, but it was an ordeal. 1125 is a bill that requires HPD to report 21 2.2 foreclosure data to each Council Member because this 23 is very important that sometime we-obviously, we have foreclosures, and we don't realize that we can step 24 The Council Member could do something about 25 in.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

So, I suggest, you know, alternative purposes for the-the facility, the home. Also, we can keep an eye on this-this property. And so, these-these are on-in my districts all over the city. So, that is certainly I think a bill that's worth considering, and-and last the Construction Fences Act, which I have in my district sort of stalled sites, construction sites that are left for decades. construction fence-fences like the-they call them the green fences, that are covered with graffiti. So, this bill after it's over-if the stalled sites is left for two years, then the wooden fence would have to be replaced with a chain link fence, which is a little better looking obviously and you could-some of the concerns we've seen from some of the construction companies: Well, somebody can climb the construction-I mean a chain link fence. However, there are chain link fences with smaller openings where you couldn't put your foot in get over. and it would eliminate some of the graffiti obviously that we have on the wooden fences, but again, I have multiple sites, and I think all around the city we have them that are just stalled for decades. You know, so what happens is somebody-builders will put

2.2

the foundation in to grandfather any zoning changes in the area, and then leave it for again many, many years. So, this after two years we'd have at least a better looking site, and facility, and plus many of the fences that we see like I mentioned are covered with graffiti and in poor condition. I think in any kind of wind storm they fall down. So, this would make a—it's a safety concern for everyone. Thank—thank you, Chair.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Thank you, Council Member. You can continue with your testimony.

SCOTT ANDREW HUTCHINS: My name is Scott Andrew Hutchins and I have been a member of Picture the Homeless for the past six years. May 25, 2019 will be the seventh anniversary or my entry into shelter system. I was quoted in an October 31, 2014 article by Yari Osorio in Liberation News titled:

Most Expensive Homes in New York City Empty. Saying:

"If you want to have a pieds-a-terre—ok, that's your business, but it shouldn't be done off the backs of others. There should be a tax on these homes. My position has not changed. Basic civics says that your rights stop when another's begins.

When your demand for expensive housing pushes record

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

numbers of people into homelessness, your right to private property is secondary. Any right to make money from real estate is secondary to making sure that housing is available at every income level. also stated in the article that what should be done with the money taken in those taxes, the my should be given to community land trust. In the meantime, the city can work on finding real homes for individuals. That's what we need. Intro 226 is a Stable housing. major step in eliminating the Tale of Two Cities that de Blasio pointed out that so far has done little or nothing to alleviate after five years in office. Even were it not for the fees and fines serving the purposes of taxation that I previously detailed the registry of vacant properties or the mixed use of the City. It create a ready pool of information about vacant properties. Information has been sorely needed for a long time, and researched piecemeal by organizations such a Picture the Homeless in it's 2012 foot count of vacant property reported in Banking on Vacancy. Yari Osorio's article also quotes from a an article in the Real Deal, which states that the Census Bureau's 2012 American Community Survey reveals 285,496 apartments or 57% in

a 3-block-block stretch of Midtown from East 56 th
Street to East 59 th Street between Fifth Avenue and
Park Avenue are vacant at least 10 months a year.
The number drops to low but still staggeringly high
30% when that range is expanded to $44^{\rm th}$ through $70^{\rm th}$
Streets. Homelessness is up to about 63,700 people
as of November, and as my individual [bell] case
demonstrates, it is primarily up to the hiring and
payroll whims of those as to whether one can afford
housing. There needs to be a priceprice for
pricing people out, and that price should be high
enough to be a deterrent. We do not really have a
crisis of homeless in New York City, we have a crisis
of greed. The housing is available and the fact that
so many are kept out is a public health crisis.
Opponents are probably terrified that such a registry
will lead to the use of eminent domain to house the
homeless, and someone for whom higher education does
get me job interviews, I would welcome such a
development, and hope the City Council sees the
justice that that entails. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Thank you.

PAULA SEGAL: Thank you so much for the opportunity to testify today. I'm Paula Segal. I'm

2 here today as Senior Staff Attorney at the Community Development Project. We are a non-profit legal 3 4 service organization that works with the grassroots 5 and community based groups in New York City to dismantle racial, economic and social oppression. 6 7 practice particularly works directly with impacted communities to respond to city planning processes and 8 lack-lack of processes that have left communities 9 behind as so much of the city has improved from the 10 bad old days of the 1970s, which most of us don't 11 12 remember, yet, we have to hear about in policy 13 discussions all the time. So, we heard about the 14 Administration-Administration's efforts to create a 15 data model to derive a census of vacant properties. 16 We also heard from them that they didn't have a definition, that they were working with a vacancy to 17 18 drive their data model. It's interesting. I commend They have a serious challenge before them. 19 20 some of you know on the committee, I've spent about five years working with the city's data about vacant 21 2.2 properties, and it's confusing. The data is bad. 23 Their project is hard. Good luck to them, and I'm glad that they have three years to do it, but doing 24 25 that modeling is not nearly enough. That model it

2.2

relies on reporting from Sanitation garages. It relies on connecting a system of agencies that don't talk to each other and what it's missing is a key set of actors that influence what happens with properties in our neighborhoods particularly neighborhoods that experience redlining and disinvestment and those are the places that are now being gentrified and where vacant properties are concentrated. That's the owners. We have done nothing so far to actually target the owners. Intro 226 will put responsibility [bell] where it needs to be. So, I commend the Council, and please let us know we can help you get this bill passed.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Thank you, Paula, and thank you for your continued efforts on the ground.

PROFESSOR JOHN KRINSKY: Good morning,

Committee Chair Cornegy, and members of the Housing

and Buildings Committee. My name is John Krinsky and

I'm a Professor of Political Science at City College

in the CUNY Grad Center. I'm also a co-founder and

Board Member of the New York City Community Land

Initiative, a coalition of more than two dozens

housing and social justice organizations that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

advocates for the use of Community trusts to preserve and create deeply affordable housing and stabilize neighborhoods, and on behalf of which I offer my testimony this morning. Since its founding 2012, the New York City Community Land Initiative has worked along-alongside its co-founder and partner Picture the Homeless to promote responsible property ownership in New York City and specifically to address the problem of warehousing and speculation in the midst of the most acute homelessness crisis the city has have faced. In December 2017, the City Council passed the Housing Not Warehousing Act, which among other things requires the city to keep account an inventory of vacant property both publicly and privately owned. The act which divides and advocated for by Picture the Homeless for 10 years based on its path breaking work documenting vacancies and warehousing in it's 2012 Report: Banking on Vacancy, which Scott mentioned, and also earlier pilot studies going back to 2006. There are, therefore, groups in the room with on-the-ground experience counting vacancies. Statistical models may be of use, but as a professor, I can say that any good research should build on what's already been

done. The Warehousing Accountability Act or Intro
226 puts teeth in the Housing Not Warehousing Act by
requiring property owners who have kept their
property vacant for more a year to register the
property with the city, and to pay significant fines
if they do not. This would ease the burden on the
city of conducting a sense of some vacancies. This
has been mentioned by Council Member Chin. So, I-I
just want to close by saying that nicely you said
this is a fair and reasonable addition [bell] to the
important work that the Council has already been
doing to secure responsible ownership in the city.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: I personally want to thank you all for your testimony, and the hard work that you do on the ground on behalf of citizens and in particular people who are finding it difficult to remain domiciled in a city that's changing so quickly. So thank you again.

PROFESSOR JOHN KRINSKY: Thank you. [pause]

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: The Housing and Buildings hearing today is adjourned. [gavel]

${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date February 18, 2019