CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS & CONCESSIONS

----X

July 27, 2009 Start: 1:25 pm Recess: 4:00 pm

HELD AT: Council Chambers

City Hall

B E F O R E:

DANIEL R. GARODNICK

Chairperson

## COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Council Member Tony Avella
Council Member Maria Baez
Council Member Gale A. Brewer
Council Member Inez Dickens
Council Member Sara M. Gonzalez
Council Member Vincent Ignizio
Council Member Rosie Mendez

Carol Clark
Assistant Commissioner
Housing Preservation and Development

Terry Arroyo
Director of Land Use
Housing Preservation and Development

Andy Reicher Executive Director UHAB

Jennifer Kaminsky Project Manager UHAB

Rosie Mendez New York City Council Member

Joseph Lynch
On the matter of LU 1090

Carol Rosenthal
On the matter of LU 1144 and 1145
Counsel
Merchandise Mart Properties

Myron Mauer Vice President Merchandise Mart Properties

Ernie Padrone New York City Economic Development Corporation

David Grider Associate Dattner Architects

Seth Wright Phillip Habib and Associates

Albert Budsell Clinton Cove Park Conservancy Hell's Kitchen Neighborhood Association

Committee Clerk

Committee Staff

A. J. Pietrantone Friends of Hudson River Park

Donna J. Keren
Senior Vice President for Research and Analytics
NYC & Company

John Doswell Co-Chair Waterfront and Parks Committee Manhattan Community Board 4

Paul Morris
Vice President
MMPI Art Shows and Events

Maura McCarthy Queens Borough Commissioner New York City Department of Transportation

Michael Givonarro
Executive Director
Citywide Street and Arterial Maintenance
New York City Department of Transportation

Carol Clark on other Land Use items

Inez Dickens
In support of Land Use 1093
New York City Council Member

Darryl Sap In support of Land Use 1093

Mrs. Sap
In support of Land Use 1093

Mr. and Mrs. Cunningham
In support of Land Use 1093

Vincent Ignizio New York City Council Member

Committee Counsel

Tom McKnight
Economic Development Corporation

Jonathan Gubay Economic Development Corporation

Carlos Canal Owner Flushing Towing

Nola Canal Flushing Towing

Angela Sambucci Sambucci Brothers Auto Salvage

Danny Sambucci, Jr. Sambucci Brothers Auto Salvage

Ross Holland Cofire Paving Company

Vincent Vaccaro Met Metals

Gene Kelty Chairman Community Board 7

Howard Feinstein Feinstein Iron Works

Robert LoScalzo Resident Community Board 7

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS & 6 CONCESSIONS
2	[START 1001]
3	MR. JERRY STAFFIERI?: 7/27/2009,
4	Subcommittee on Planning, Dispositions and
5	Concessions.
6	[END 1001]
7	[START 1002]
8	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Good
9	afternoon everybody and welcome to the
10	Subcommittee on Planning, Dispositions and
11	Concessions, a Subcommittee of Land Use of the New
12	York City Council. My name is Dan Garodnick and I
13	have the privilege of chairing this Subcommittee.
14	I'm joined by Subcommittee members Maria Baez,
15	Sara Gonzalez and Vincent Ignizio. And we're also
16	joined by Council Members Gale Brewer and Rosie
17	Mendez. And we are going to get right on into it.
18	We have a few items for discussion
19	today. And I'm going to start with Land UseI'm
20	going to do them slightly out of order though as
21	to accommodate Council Members and to try to move
22	it along. We're going to start with Land Use
23	number 1131, UHAB, in the District of Council
24	Member Rosie Mendez. This is a Manhattan
25	Community Board 3, 20095673 HAM, is an application

The building was part of a cluster that was previously approved for a partial tax exemption by the Council back in 2002. And the original financing from the National Cooperative Bank has proven to be insufficient to complete the necessary rehabilitation. The sponsor of the project which is UHAB is now in the process of

22

23

24

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS & 9 CONCESSIONS
2	MS. TERRY ARROYO: Yes.
3	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Come on up.
4	Welcome. Just have a seat and identify yourself
5	for the record and welcome, nice to see you.
6	MR. ANDY REICHER: Sorry. I'm Andy
7	Reicher, Executive Director of UHAB.
8	MS. JENNIFER KAMINSKY: I'm
9	Jennifer[mic goes out]
10	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Okay. If
11	you could just hit the button on the microphone,
12	do it one more time.
13	MS. KAMINSKY: Jennifer Kaminsky
14	[phonetic], Project Manager at UHAB.
15	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Thank you.
16	So the answer to that question isthe question
17	again was, it sounds to me that there was the
18	partial exemption and now there's an application
19	for the full exemption. Were benefits received
20	under the partial exemption during the past seven
21	years or what exactly was happening for the last
22	period?
23	MS. KAMINSKY: Some benefits have
24	been received but it hasn't been a full
25	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK:

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS & 10 CONCESSIONS
2	[Interposing] What work has happened on the
3	building? It's an unoccupied building.
4	MS. KAMINSKY: Yes. So it was,
5	it's been fully brought up to code the work is
6	complete. And so now it'sit was a vacant
7	abandoned building and now, err
8	MR. REICHER: [Interposing] Right.
9	It was a building that was bought as part of the
10	package. It was occupied but not legally at the
11	time it was purchased. It's now legal. The work
12	is complete and we are getting additional
13	financing for it as part of a co-op conversion
14	that should take place shortly after. But to make
15	it affordable we need the full tax exemption
16	rather than the partial tax exemption.
17	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Okay.
18	Thank you.
19	[Pause]
20	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Council
21	Member Mendez.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: Thank you
23	Chair Garodnick and thank you for taking this item
24	first as I'm running off to another hearing.
25	These were part of a group of buildings that my

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS & II  CONCESSIONS
2	predecessor Margarita Lopez worked very hard to
3	make permanent affordable housing so this predates
4	me and it predates Any Reicher being the Executive
5	Director.
6	But it's something we're very proud
7	of to have gotten these building up to code and
8	safe. And to have thethese were known as the
9	former squat buildings, and to have the individual
10	who had been in these buildings to be able to
11	purchase them and keep them permanently
12	affordable, it's been a long haul.
13	And one of the buildings, 21 Avenue
14	C, we called the umbrella building, so it will be
15	great to go back there. And my dear friend Carmen
16	who died a few years ago of cancer who never got
17	to come to this day, we do this in her memory,
18	thank you very much.
19	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Thank you
20	Council Member Mendez.
21	[Pause]
22	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: I don't
23	believe anybody else is here to testify on Lane
24	Use 1131, is that correct?
25	[Off mic]

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS & 12 CONCESSIONS
2	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: We have the
3	folks from UHAB up here.
4	[Off mic]
5	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Somebody
6	here to testify on 1131? Going once, going twice.
7	Ah, sorry. Sorry. What's [off mic].
8	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Okay.
9	Thank you. We're going to close the hearing on
10	1131, 20095673 HAM in Manhattan Community Board 3,
11	the UHAB project.
12	Then we have another one, which
13	we're going to open the hearing on now, Land Use
14	1132, another UHAB item in the District of Council
15	Member Mendez, 20095674 HAM. And we'll go right
16	back to Ms. Clark. Go ahead.
17	MS. CLARK: Thank you Chairman
18	Garodnick. Yes the set of facts is quite the same
19	on 1132. Again HPD's here for the approval of an
20	Article 11 tax exemption on a property located at
21	2090 7 <sup>th</sup> Street which is also part of this cluster
22	that Council Member Mendez described. It had
23	original financing which has proven to be
24	insufficient. UHAB is in fact the sponsor of
25	this, is securing additional private financing to

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS & 13 CONCESSIONS 2 complete the work. And HPD is here to request 3 that the Council approve the termination of the 4 current partial tax exemption and approve the full 5 Article 11 tax exemption. 6 It'll extend the affordability of the units which are 18 occupied residential units 7 8 and 1 vacant one. No commercial units, for another 40 years, in Council Member Mendez has 9 10 reviewed the project and indicated her support. 11 Thank you--12 CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: 13 [Interposing] Council Mendez works too. We knew 14 what you were going to say. Thank you very much. 15 Seeing no other members of the public wishing to 16 testify on Land Use number 1132, 20095676, I'm 17 sorry, 5674 HAM, I will close the hearing on that 18 item. 19 And we will open the hearing on 20 Land Use Number 1090, the Albany Crossings 21 Apartments in Brooklyn Community Board 3 in the 22 District of Council Member Al Vann, 20095468 HAK. 23 It's another application from HPD pursuant to the 24

New York Private Housing Finance Law for consent

to the conveyance by a redevelopment company for

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS & 14 CONCESSIONS 2 property at this location, Block 1859, Lot 1, 3 again in the District of Council Member Vann. Go 4 right ahead. MS. CLARK: Right. And I'm also 5 6 going to be joined on this one by Joe Lynch who's 7 an attorney representing the owner of this 8 property. LU 1090 is known as the Albany Crossings Apartments and it consists of HPD's 9 10 request for approval by the Council of the 11 conveyance of one vacant lot which is located at 12 17 Albany Avenue by the current owner to a new 13 Article 5 redevelopment company known as Bedford 14 Stuyvesant South, LLC. 15 Back in November of 2007 the 16 Council approved a partial tax exemption to Albany 17 Crossings LP for the rehabilitation of multiple 18 dwellings which comprise 91 units of rental 19 housing for household whose incomes do not exceed 20 60% of the area median income, which as you know, 21 is up to \$46,000 a year in income for a family of 22 4. 23 As was planned when the refinancing 24 was structured in 2007, the proceeds that are 25 generated by the proposed sale of this one vacant

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS & 15  CONCESSIONS
2	lot at 17 Albany Avenue will be used as one of the
3	many funding sources to enhance the affordability
4	of the existing rehabilitated project. Council
5	Member Vann has reviewed this project and has
6	indicated his support.
7	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Sorry.
8	Thank you. I missed the very end there. You said
9	that the Council had approved the partial tax
10	abatement some years ago. Is that correct?
11	MS. CLARK: Theyes, this was a
12	refinancing that was done in 2007 and there are a
13	series of sources, funding sources which includes
14	a loan from the Housing Development Corporation, a
15	loan from HUD, it includes \$2 million in City
16	permanent capital monies, low income housing tax
17	credits, and this land sale which is proposed of
18	the single lot at 17 Albany Avenue, the proceeds
19	of which, \$800,000 will enhance the affordability
20	of this existing 93 unit rental property.
21	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: What are
22	the affordability parameters here?
23	MS. CLARK: The affordability,
24	everyone in the complex earn up to \$46,000 a year
25	for a family of 4. It's up to and not exceeding

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS & 16 CONCESSIONS
2	60% of the area median income.
3	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: And that's
4	for a defined period?
5	MS. CLARK: It's a period of 40
6	years.
7	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Okay.
8	Thank you. Seeing no other questions or members
9	of the public wishing to testify on this item,
LO	we'll close the hearing on Land Use 1090, the
11	Albany Crossings Apartments, 2009-5467, before we
12	close the hearing, let's see, is Joseph Lynch?
13	MR. JOSEPH LYNCH: Yes I'm here
L 4	Sir.
15	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Okay.
16	Good, just wanted to make sure that was you. As
17	you're the only one we got.
18	MR. LYNCH: Yep.
19	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: And we will
20	close the hearing on 1090 and open the hearing on
21	Land Use 1091, Kingston Heights Apartments,
22	20095468 HAK, in Brooklyn Community Board 3. Ms.
23	Clark.
24	MS. CLARK: Yes this is a similar
25	project, LU 1091 known as Kingston Heights

Hudson River Piers, 92 and 94, Land Use Number

mentioned is used currently for trade shows, Pier

essential to the industry's future in the City.

## SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS & 21 CONCESSIONS

In response to this study and as a part of the Master Plan for the Manhattan Cruise Terminal, EDC issued an RFP calling for the redevelopment of Piers 92 and 94. In collaboration with local stakeholders, EDC set forth specific goals in the RFP for the redevelopment project.

In addition, in creating more space for trade shows as a state of the art facility, the goals included retaining flexibility for cruise ship operations and improving public access to the waterfront and enhancing the experience of Clinton Cove Park users.

Properties as the developer for the Pier 92-94 project because of their excellent reputation in the industry and their vision for the piers.

MMPI's proposal promises to make the piers a world class trade show facility while also providing significant amenities to the local community.

These amenities include a public esplanade along the perimeter of Pier 94, a public waterfront plaza next to the Head House, and a public amenity space that includes a restroom and seating.

## 1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS & 22 CONCESSIONS 2 In addition MMPI's redevelopment of 3 the piers will include increased efficiency in the 4 traffic circulation in front of the Head House. 5 Their commitment to working with the community and 6 stakeholders has been evident as they have engaged 7 in countless discussion with these groups even 8 months prior to ULURP. 9 Along the way they have been 10 responsive to the issues raised and adjusted their 11 project accordingly. Most recently as Carol 12 mentioned they agreed to all the recommendations 13 given by the Community Board at their ULURP 14 hearing, who recommended approving the project 15 with these conditions. The Borough President and 16 City Planning Commission have also been supportive 17 of the project. 18 EDC is very excited about the 19 redevelopment of Piers 92 and 94 and its selection 2.0 of MMPI and hope you will join us in support of 21 this project.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Thank you.

Did you say you were going to do a visual?

MR. PADRONE: Myron.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Go right

22

23

24

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS & 23 CONCESSIONS
2	ahead.
3	MR. MYRON MAUER: I'll make this
4	very brief and I think a lot of people might have
5	gotten this as a handout. First a little
6	background about MMPI
7	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK:
8	[Interposing] And state your name too.
9	MR. MAUER:oh I'm sorry.
10	[chuckling] Myron Mauer, MMPI. MMPI is a
11	division of Vornado Realty Trust based in Chicago.
12	We specialize in the management of design centers,
13	merchandise marts and trade show facilities. And
14	we have a wide range of industry expertise and we
15	produce our own trade and consumer shows. And
16	we're an organization that's committed to
17	sustainable development.
18	This is our namesake building, the
19	Merchandise Mart in Chicago, 4 million square feet
20	building that's LEED certified. It's the largest
21	LEED certified building in the country. We also
22	manage buildings in 6 other cities. Here in New
23	York we lease 10 floors of the A and D Building on
24	$58^{ m th}$ Street and we own and manage $70$ West $34^{ m th}$
25	Street.

# SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS & 24 CONCESSIONS

Our organization produces over 300 trade and consumer events in the United States,

Canada and Mexico. Here in New York a lot of you might be familiar with the Armory Art Show that's held at the Piers today. That occurs every March.

The Piers 92 and 94 are located at 52<sup>nd</sup> and 54<sup>th</sup> Street on the Hudson River. This map shows that location. These are the existing conditions. The facility exists of a 3-story Pier 92; the first floor is a truck facility, a warehousing area. The second floor is the docking for cruise ship passengers and also it functions as a trade show space today. The top level of Pier 92 is a 292 parking spaces.

The Pier 94 and the Head House are single story shed structures that are today used for trade show and consumer shows.

Some of the existing conditions that plague the facility today is lack of identity. There's poor traffic circulation in and out of the facility. Piers 94 and the Head House have no truck docks. All that activity occurs in the front of the building and there's no back of the house service areas. So as this picture

cruise ship activity at 92 is we're retaining the

truck dock and a fourth truck comes in to take

those offsite. So there are four round trips required by trucks at a typical trade show. We are going to reduce that in half by eliminating the removal of the empties. We're going to store those empties onsite in the lower level of Pier 92. That will greatly reduce the traffic issues that deal with the truck movements around the facility.

Today the facility also borders on the greenway pedestrian bike path of the Hudson River Trust. Today's truck movements or vehicle movements, there are 14 conflicts between vehicles and pedestrians. And this graphic tries to highlight those. Most of those are caused by the circular fashion where inbound vehicles off the Westside Highway have to come in, do a loop around, and then cross back over the entry area to get out. And so we're eliminating that entirely by having all the traffic go south out a new exit out onto  $52^{\rm nd}$ —across from  $52^{\rm nd}$  Street. And that reduces the 14 conflicts to a total of 5 conflicts.

This is a bird's eye view of our development showing a new 2-story Head House and

it will be renovating the existing shed structure of the Pier 94 and renovate the second floor of Pier 92. This would be the facility main entrance with banners appropriate to acknowledge the shows that will be going on there. This also shows the southbound traffic that I just explained in front of the facility.

The third requirement was create open space. This plan is part of the ULURP application and shows in different shades of green the area that will be open to the public. That includes a new public amenity which I'll speak about off the Clinton Cove Park which is to the north and the ability for pedestrians who are visiting that park to walk along the side of the structure, go along the entire length of Pier 94 out to a public viewing area at the end of 94. And I have some visuals that show that.

This is a blow-up plan of that pavilion, public amenity area. This public amenity is about 1,800 square feet. It has 2 public washrooms and food services areas and is open to the public year round, the same hours as the park hours for Clinton Cove Park. The

pavilion is a combination private venue and also we're making that available for public use 30 days of the year. We're setting back this structure 40 feet from the river in order to create a plaza area for public use. And then it's from this plaza area that you proceed further south and then go along Pier 94 to the end of the viewing area.

This is a view of the proposed development looking from the northeast and the southwesterly direction. This orange structure here is the public amenity with the entry drive on the left. This view is from the north, looking northeast, excuse me, looking southeast from the north side. This shows the plaza area here on the right and once again the public amenity. You take this plaza area down and can access the end of Pier 94. This is the plaza area in front of the pavilion is on the north side. This is the public amenity structures on the left and the Hudson River beyond.

This is the walkway from the Clinton Cove Park along the north side of Pier 94 to this viewing area at the end of Pier 94. This is a new structure that provides shade and weather

restaurants that provide services for these

visitors. These visitors to the City will attend

24

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS & 31 CONCESSIONS
2	and visit the cultural attractions here in the
3	City. This facility will provide year round
4	impact. It's not seasonal in nature. And then
5	all this drives additional tax revenue for the
6	City.
7	The facility today, currently all
8	union, we intend on maintaining that and all these
9	different trades, carpenters, electricians,
10	longshoremen, all will be working the facility.
11	That's the end of my presentation.
12	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Thank you.
13	And that you for moving with all deliberate speed.
14	Sir, why don't you identify yourself too just so
15	we have you.
16	MR. DAVID GRIDER: Hi. My name is
17	David Grider; I'm an Associate with Dattner
18	Architects, the designers of the project.
19	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Great.
20	Thank you. We may be missing one of these
21	appearance forms for you so if you could just fill
22	that out with the Sergeant when you leave the
23	table. Let me, we have questions from Council
24	Member Brewer in a moment but let me just ask two
25	quickies right here off the bat. You noted that

Τ	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS & 32  CONCESSIONS
2	the Community Board had put certain
3	recommendations along with its approval and that
4	you had satisfied those. Could you just share
5	with us what those are?
6	MS. ROSENTHAL: The Community Board
7	had 14 requests and we responded in writing to
8	each of the requests. I could read them off or
9	sort of give you a flavor whichever you prefer.
10	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Well I
11	don't know how complicated they are. If they're
12	things you could just tick off so we understand
13	what they are, that would be most useful but I
14	don't want to go into a ten minute explanation
15	here.
16	MS. ROSENTHAL: Okay. That's fine.
17	We made a commitment at the request of the
18	Community Board to incorporate, to assure them in
19	our project, that we will incorporate the space
20	for loading of the trucks, the loading and
21	unloading place that will have the storage
22	capabilities for the crates. That is going to be
23	part of our project.
24	There were certain pedestrian
25	safety measures which were requested, entering

into the site, including a speed bump and things like that all of which we have agreed to be part of our project.

There was also a request, they liked—it was important that we have a traffic plan that we've showed you coming in from the north and exiting the south. And we made a commitment that that would also be part of our project. We would encourage the use of shuttle busses as another measure to minimize traffic from the shows. And we've been having conversations with the MTA about extending bus service over to 12<sup>th</sup> Avenue.

Another item that was important was should there become funding available for a pedestrian bridge over 12<sup>th</sup> Avenue, we have designed our facility so that there is a landing place for that bridge. And that is something that we will include in our project.

We will continue to have ship
docking capability for the ships, as Myron
explained. Another issue that the Community Board
asked us to do is to reduce the signage to an
amount that's smaller than is permitted under

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS & 34 CONCESSIONS 2 zoning. And we have also agreed to do that, on 3 the front, on those gantries that you saw in the slides. And also that our signage will all be business signage, directing people to what is in, 5 6 going on in the Piers. 7 Another area that was very 8 important to the community and which we have 9 agreed to do is that the pavilion will be 10 available 30 days of the year free of charge to 11 community groups and this will be, Community Board 12 4 will oversee this, the selection, and there have been discussions with Board 4 and the Friends and 13 14 the Trust about how that will happen. 15 We also agreed that in addition to 16 making sure that the public amenity space is no 17 less than 1,850 square feet that we will also 18 provide certain equipment and otherwise so that 19 people will be able to use it and it will be a 20 useful facility going forward. 21 I, if I've forgotten something 22 someone will let me know but that's the gist of 23 what we would like to be able to include in our 24 project.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Thank you.

Τ	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS & 35  CONCESSIONS
2	And as to the economic benefits that were cited,
3	you cited \$360 million in economic benefits. Can
4	you help us understand? There are trade shows
5	that take place on this site today, correct?
6	MR. MAUER: Yeah, there's about 18
7	today
8	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK:
9	[Interposing] And you're anticipation is that
10	there would be how many?
11	MR. MAUER: Probably add an
12	additional 15 to 20.
13	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Okay. So
14	is the additional 15 to 20 what gives you the \$360
15	million or is \$360 million the total economic
16	benefit of all of the 40?
17	MR. MAUER: That's our estimate of
18	the additional after the development is completed
19	and brought up to what we call a stabilized
20	condition, so which is probably, you know, four or
21	five years from now.
22	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Okay.
23	Council Member Brewer.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Thank you
25	very much. I just have the area of Clinton Cove

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS & 36 CONCESSIONS
2	and north so I am interested in this topic. First
3	is regarding the MTA, I know you're in
4	negotiations but I was going by in a cab in that
5	area today and the taxis were backed up 'cause
6	there are three ships in, all the way down the
7	highway. So the highway was backed up because of
8	the taxis. So my question is how can people get
9	to the show except in a cab or potentially shuttle
10	unless you have a bus?
11	In other words are youlike I
12	would suggest that we don't be in negotiations but
13	how can we solidify, if it costs money you should
14	pay for it, for a bus to go to 12 <sup>th</sup> Avenue so that
15	the public can get there somehow.
16	MS. ROSENTHAL: Do we wantwe have
17	our traffic expert here who's had some discussions
18	with the MTA. And I'll let him speak. I think,
19	you know, one issue is that there's a lot of
20	considerations in terms of moving bus routes and
21	so that enters into it so
22	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER:
23	[Interposing] Well it's not tomorrow. So.
24	MS. ROSENTHAL: Right. Right.
25	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: But it

SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS & 37 CONCESSIONS
would make sense. The City, EDC, with all due
respect is always building projects according to
Gene Rushinoff [phonetic] and you always forget
about the bus, so.
MR. MAUER: I don't
COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER:
[Interposing] So what's the story with the bus?
MR. MAUER: I believe on issue for
MTA was the facility's an inconsistent use. It's
not Monday through Friday 9:00 to 5:00, per se
COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER:
[Interposing] I know but it will be good for the
park in general
MR. MAUER: [Interposing] Yes.
COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER:in order
words it wouldn't be just for you, it would be for
Clinton Cove, etcetera, because it's hard to get
to Clinton Cove right now. So in other words it
could be not just for you but for the park. Right
now if you're a senior and you have a walker you
cannot get to the park. It is physically
impossible. So I think it would be great to have
the bus. So how are we going to get that bus
there?

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS & 38 CONCESSIONS
2	MS. ROSENTHAL: We agree with you
3	that it would be great to have the bus. We've
4	started discussions. We'd be happy to continue
5	working with whomever can help us in that
6	endeavor. And Seth I don't know if you want to
7	say anything more
8	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER:
9	[Interposing] What's been the MTA's response?
10	MS. ROSENTHAL: Seth do you want to
11	address that?
12	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Come on
13	over. Have a seat.
14	MS. ROSENTHAL: Okay.
15	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: And
16	introduce yourself.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: 'Cause the
18	bus would be great. I was in the park over the
19	weekend at the Clinton Cove Festival and you
20	cannot get there if you can't walk really well.
21	MR. SETH WRIGHT: Yes, I'm Seth
22	Wright from Phillip Habib and Associates. And
23	[Off mic]
24	MS. ROSENTHAL: He did. Seth
25	Wright.

MS. ROSENTHAL: [Interposing] I

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS & 40 CONCESSIONS
2	don't know.
3	MR. WRIGHT:yeah. I don't know
4	when exactly Riverside Boulevard will be through
5	from the 70s down through to 57 <sup>th</sup> Street. But
6	hopefully it will be thereI mean I don't know.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER:
8	[Interposing] Okay is there someone
9	MS. ROSENTHAL: [Interposing] We
10	don't
11	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER:here from
12	DOT who can answer that question?
13	MS. ROSENTHAL: No I don't think
14	so. Council Members, you know it's not up to the
15	private applicant about the busses
16	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER:
17	[Interposing] Yeah but EDC is sitting with you.
18	MS. ROSENTHAL: And we can
19	[Off mic discussion]
20	MR. PADRONE: I continue to
21	facilitate discussions with MTA. We'vethey
22	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER:
23	[Interposing] I mean I think it should be a
24	stipulation that as part of this the MTA should do
25	that because just as an example today there must

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS & 41 CONCESSIONS
2	have been, I'm not kidding, there must have been,
3	you know, 400 taxis lined up there for those
4	cruise ships. And if you have that plus
5	everything else it adds a huge traffic backlog.
6	So I'm just saying that that should be a high,
7	high priority, is that bus going there on a
8	regular basis.
9	MS. ROSENTHAL: It would benefit us
10	to have the bus there too and so we're happy to
11	continue working on this. Again, you know, to
12	thewe don't have total control over this.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: All right.
14	So we
15	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK:
16	[Interposing] Council Member Brewer would it be
17	useful to hear from the DOT on
18	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER:
19	[Interposing] Yes.
20	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK:on the
21	subject of Riverside
22	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER:
23	[Interposing] Sure. Are they?
24	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK:
25	Boulevard.

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS & 42 CONCESSIONS
2	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Are they
3	here?
4	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Wouldis
5	DOT prepared to address that? Okay. All right.
6	We have DOT speaking, registered in favor of the
7	item but…
8	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: No clue
9	about the bus.
10	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Okay.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Tell
12	Jeanette she should know about that bus.
13	[Off mic]
14	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay.
15	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Okay. My
16	apologies
17	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER:
18	[Interposing] Number two
19	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK:okay go
20	ahead.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER:so all
22	right, all I'm saying to the Chair and to everyone
23	is we have got to get that bus there not just for
24	you but for the park. And so I think we should
25	make it a very high priority and have some

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS & 43 CONCESSIONS
2	conversations with the MTA before we finally vote,
3	I guess this week on this topic. Okay.
4	Number two, how many permanent
5	jobs, if any, I know it's not a permanent usage of
6	20 shows, but are there any permanent jobs as a
7	result of this project expansion, or any more
8	jobs? Because right near by you have the New York
9	City Housing Authority with a lot of unemployed
10	people. I don't think you've ever reached out to
11	them.
12	MR. MAUER: Will there be
13	additional jobs, yes
L 4	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER:
15	[Interposing] Okay.
16	MR. MAUER:I don't recall the
17	count right at this time but it's in the 100s
18	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER:
19	[Interposing] Okay.
20	MR. MAUER:and another
21	obviously they're part time and they're based on
22	the timing of the events and the shows.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay.
24	MR. MAUER: And as I mentioned
25	earlier they're all union so we go through the

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS & 44 CONCESSIONS
2	different union hiring halls to fill those
3	positions.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay. So
5	there's nobody that's hired specifically by
6	Vornado, it's all done through the unions.
7	MR. MAUER: For the physical
8	operations it'll be a management staff onsite, you
9	know
10	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER:
11	[Interposing] Okay I'm saying to you is that, just
12	so you know 'cause you're not from the area, there
13	is Harbor View Terrace, you could throw a stone
14	and hit it.
15	MR. MAUER: Okay.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: And it's a
17	New York City Housing Authority development. And
18	there's a senior building which is whywith
19	hundreds and hundreds of seniors which is why I'm
20	trying to get them able to go to the park. And
21	there is a large family building with a lot of
22	unemployed people who are terrific.
23	So I'm just saying is when you're
24	thinking about this project, even if it's hiring a
25	few people, it would be great to reach out to the

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS & 45
2	New York City Housing Authority
3	MR. MAUER: [Interposing]
4	Absolutely.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Throw a
6	stone and you could hit their building.
7	MR. MAUER: Okay.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay. And
9	then the other question I have is what trucks, are
10	they, obviously one of the issues we're trying and
11	I know you are too is to find trucks that are
12	clean fueled, is that something that is even
13	discussed or thought about? You're right near a
14	park, etcetera.
15	MR. MAUER: I'd say that evolution
16	is going to happen within that industry and it's
17	not something we can dictate separately because
18	those exhibitors, etcetera, are all bringing
19	products in from really virtually around the
20	country through a whole bunch of different
21	trucking services and companies and etcetera. So
22	I think the evolution of the clean fuel is just
23	something that's naturally going to happen in the
24	market place.
25	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay. And

Τ	CONCESSIONS CONCESSIONS
2	is the restaurant expensive, affordable, what's
3	the restaurant like?
4	MR. MAUER: No the idea is for that
5	to be a very similar to the other park-like cafes,
6	if you could call it that, that exist today
7	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER:
8	[Interposing] So is that going to be selected by
9	Hudson River Trust or by you?
10	MR. MAUER: Most likely together,
11	through priorour process that we coordinate with
12	the Trust.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: So in other
14	words the Trust would have input into that
15	restaurant
16	MR. MAUER: [Interposing] It
17	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER:no white
18	table cloths, we're not for white table cloths.
19	MR. MAUER: No, no, no. this is
20	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER:
21	[Interposing] We don't like white table cloths.
22	MR. MAUER: No this is a park type
23	facility, absolutely.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay. And
25	I know there was some discussion, when you say 30

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS & 47 CONCESSIONS
2	days a year, how do wedoes that get decided by
3	the Community Board? How does the 30 day
4	agreement for that space get worked out,
5	physically, you know, how does it actually work?
6	MR. MAUER: You mean the concept
7	we've discussed so far is that, you know,
8	approximately nine months in advance of the next
9	calendar year we'd provide our calendar of events
10	and then collectively work with probably the
11	Community Board, the Friends of the Hudson River
12	and the Trust to define what those 30 days are.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay. And
14	so what would behow much space is in that
15	pavilion, I know you said earlier.
16	MR. MAUER: It's about 8,000 feet.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay. So
18	that would be for something like a Senior Day or
19	whatever
20	MR. MAUER: [Interposing] It
21	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER:something
22	like that.
23	MR. MAUER: The intent is to have
24	events there
25	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER:

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS & 48 CONCESSIONS
2	[Interposing] Because you see I love my seniors.
3	Go ahead. I'm trying to get them into that park.
4	MR. MAUER: The intent is to have
5	events occur at that facility that otherwise
6	couldn't happen. This isn't to take an event from
7	the Hilton Hotel and put it there. The idea is to
8	give this
9	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER:
LO	[Interposing] My seniors aren't going to the
11	Hilton.
12	MR. MAUER: Yeah this is to give
13	people like that who don't have a facility of this
L 4	size and with the infrastructure to be able to do
15	their special events be it a senior event, a
16	dance
17	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER:
18	[Interposing] Okay. So
19	MR. MAUER:of some sort, movie,
20	etcetera
21	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER:so it
22	could end up being more days if something could be
23	worked out. If it seemed appropriate
24	MR. MAUER: [Interposing] I mean
25	we're not wanting to not have this facility be

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS & 49 CONCESSIONS
2	used. What we agreed to is so far is that there
3	would be 30 days of free use and if there are
4	other days beyond that
5	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER:
6	[Interposing] Okay.
7	MR. MAUER:we certainly will
8	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER:
9	[Interposing] I just want to mention about the
10	speed bump. I'm veryand then I'll stop. Let me
11	tell you about this speed bump. So over the
12	weekend I suggested the speed bump to the Friends.
13	And the Friends says you can't put a speed bump in
14	'cause then the roller bladers can't go over the
15	speed bump. Just so you know.
16	MR. MAUER: No the speed bump will
17	be a raised table so that the vehicles coming off
18	the Westside Highway have
19	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER:
20	[Interposing] Okay. So it's not in the bike lane
21	then.
22	MR. MAUER: No the bike path will
23	not be
24	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER:
25	[Interposing] Okay.

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS & 50 CONCESSIONS
2	MR. MAUER:impacted at all.
3	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: All right.
4	Thank you very much. I know specifics. Thank
5	you.
6	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Nice work
7	Council Member Brewer. Next, actually we've been
8	joined by Subcommittee member Inez Dickens and
9	also Council Member Tony Avella. And I don't
10	believe there are other questions for this panel.
11	So I'm going to call the next witness who is
12	Albert Budsell [phonetic] who is testifying in
13	opposition. So thank you very much.
14	MS. ROSENTHAL: Thank you. Thank
15	you Council Members.
16	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Welcome Mr.
17	Budsell, whenever you are ready.
18	MR. ALBERT BUDSELL: I have some
19	materials out.
20	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Okay. You
21	gave them to the Sergeant?
22	MR. BUDSELL: I did.
23	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Okay we
24	will pass those around in a moment.
25	MR. BUDSELL: Because I need to

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS & 51 CONCESSIONS
2	refer to that.
3	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Okay.
4	MR. BUDSELL: Not the written
5	stuff. Is this on or notyeah.
6	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: It's on.
7	[Pause]
8	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Okay Sir.
9	Thank you.
10	MR. BUDSELL: You still don't have
11	the visual stuff.
12	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: No there's-
13	-oh there's more beyond this? Okay.
14	MR. BUDSELL: So that's what I
15	really want you to have
16	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK:
17	[Interposing] I see. This is what you're talking
18	about.
19	MR. BUDSELL: Yeah.
20	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Okay.
21	[Pause]
22	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Okay it
23	looks like we have it.
24	MR. BUDSELL: Okay. My name is Al
25	Budsell [phonetic] and I'm here representing the

The second page is basically what we've got. That shows you how much the park was reduced between 1996 and the present time. And that's basically what was—has happened to this park, it's now two acres rather than five acres.

23

24

And there was an exhibition center in Pier 94 which was developed without an Environmental Impact Statement and really on the sly. That's the Young Convention Center. And when the City came up with the idea of combining Pier 92 and 94 into a single exhibition center 400,000 square feet, EDC convened a group of stake holders of which Friends of Hudson River Park, I was then the President was a significant member, and the outcome of that was to create a Winter Garden, we called it, it's now called a pavilion that's the structure that you see up there, all of which was to be public and public accessible space.

That was the deal. There was a deal that was the offset against 400,000 square feet of exhibition center versus what used to be there which was only 135,000 square feet. Unless you be under a misimpression from Mr. Mauer's presentation, this is an exhibition center, the new one, which is more than double the size of what's been existing. So when he says that we're going to cut the traffic in half, what he means is we're going to actually only increase it by a third.

And it's going to operate for 42 days a year, I mean for 42 shows a year which is 200 days, at least, putting the show up, putting it down and then having people come and go, versus less than 80 days that it's happened up to now. All of this traffic is going to be coming by the park. All of it is going through the community. This is not going to ease traffic congestion. It's going to exacerbate it in a very significant way.

One of the mitigation factors was this pavilion. And I am here, almost as the kid with the finger in the dike to remind the Council that that is what was supposed to happen when this RFP was issued. And the related companies who responded to this were going to commit that to public space. Vornado identified the pavilion and has switched it to private space. And I am here to try to urge you to condition any approval you give on that space, that 8,000 square foot of space or at least some major portion of it being opened up to the public.

And what is it going to be used for? It's going to be used for catering, you

Piers 92 and 94, C 090221 ZSM, C 090222 ZSM.

we did have a slip from - - as well. Did these--

COMMITTEE CLERK: [Off mic] Sorry

23

24

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS & 56 CONCESSIONS
2	didn't these people
3	COMMITTEE STAFF: [Interposing]
4	These people did not speak. Excuse me.
5	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: I, now I
6	see it. Thank you. Okay. We have a few other
7	people speaking in favor. A. J. Pietrantone, Mr.
8	Pietrantone, my apologies. Thank you for filling
9	in the dead air there. John Doswell.
LO	[Off mic]
11	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: A. J., on
12	A. J.'s the other one. Right.
13	[Off mic]
L 4	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Okay.
15	Donna Keren, Paul Morris and Richard Leland. We
16	did Mr. Leland already, right? Okay. Come on up
17	and go right ahead A. J.
18	MR. A. J. PIETRANTONE: Thank you
19	Chair Garodnick, members of the Council. My name
20	is A J. Pietrantone and I'm the Executive
21	Director of Friends of Hudson River Park. Thank
22	you for the opportunity to be here this afternoon
23	in support of the applications to redevelop Piers
24	92 and 94 for an expanded and improved trade show
25	facility.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Friends of Hudson River Park is a nonprofit organization whose mission is to both advocate and provide support for Hudson River Park, from The Battery to 59<sup>th</sup> Streets. Since our founding ten years ago we have worked closely with the community and The Hudson River Park Trust, in both the park's construction and programming. The site in question, while not technically part of the Park, borders the Park and contains the bikeway and running path that connect through it and the remainder of the waterfront and adjacent parks. As Mr. Budsell indicated the original Master Plan for Hudson River Park suggested potential changes to the Pier 94 Head House to better incorporate the pier with the park and it was our organization that proposed the Winter Garden concept for the Head House that became part of the RFP adopted by EDC.

We believe Merchandise Mart has
made a serious attempt to incorporate the concerns
of and feedback from the community with its need
to achieve certain operating milestones in
providing an economic development opportunity for
the City in an open, practice and feasible manner.

First and foremost we welcome and applaud the additional access to Pier 94 and the integration with the current design and treatment of Hudson River Park. We think this will create an opportunity to expand the awareness and use of the Park and add value to the experience of the visitors to the facility with lasting effect for the City.

Secondly, while we recognize the problems associated with impacts of increased traffic, we are pleased with the effort made to minimize those impacts and elimination of many of the existing conflicts with the bikeway and pedestrian paths as well as the inclusion of an option for a future pedestrian bridge which we intent to pursue.

We also acknowledge the difficulty in incorporating the Winter Garden concept as a completely public and passive recreation space into an operation with uncertain resources, undocumented demand, and competing objectives.

The creation of the smaller public amenity space available on a permanent not only fulfills a large part of the objective for passive recreation needs

This plan does provide additional benefits to the community not currently available and we expect to maintain an ongoing dialog with the developer and revisit operation issues so that the lessons learned can be factored into the operation of the facility on a sustaining basis.

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

And for these reasons, and those also expressed in the resolution of Community Board 4, we recommend approval of the application. Thank you for your consideration.

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS & 60 CONCESSIONS
2	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Thank you
3	very much. Go right ahead.
4	MS. DONNA KEREN: Chair Garodnick,
5	Council Member
6	[Bell tone]
7	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: You're just
8	getting started, don't worry. Go ahead.
9	MS. KEREN: That was quick.
10	Chairman Garodnick, Council Members, good
11	afternoon ladies and gentlemen and thank you for
12	this opportunity to speak in support of this
13	proposal. My name is Donna Keren and I am a
14	Senior Vice President for Research and Analysis at
15	NYC & Company, New York City's official marketing
16	and tourism organization. The job of the Senior
17	Vice President for Research is to quantify and
18	track who comes to New York, why, what they spend
19	and how that affects jobs, wages and taxes across
20	the City.
21	New York City has been a premier
22	destination for the convention and exhibition
23	industry and that industry has an important driver
24	of the City's economy. Citywide New York City
25	hosts close to 4 million convention delegates

annually, as well as their family members who travel with them. Convention delegates account for one-third of all business travel and support the City's tourism sector in both economic booms and slow periods. Delegates generate almost \$3 billion in direct spending, which translates to an economic impact of close to \$5 billion for the City annually. Demand for New York as a host city however has increased in recent years, despite the fact that we are limited in our venue offerings.

Surveys have show that among trade show event planners and producers the need for a mid-sized trade show space such as is acute.

Unable to meet this demand, New York City frequently has to turn away business. According to records kept by NYC & Company, lost business related to this demand is close to 1 million room nights a year in future booking that's close to \$20 million in hotel taxes that we are not collecting.

The redevelopment of Pier 92-94 is a state of the art mid-sized trade show and exhibition facility will take a big step towards addressing the City's deep need for more trade

## SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS & 62 CONCESSIONS

show and exhibit space. The location on the West Side and Midtown provides significant advantages for show organizers and attendees. When coupled with the fact that it's developed and operated by Merchandise Mart, the future opportunities for the site with make New York City even more attractive to trade show producers.

As you've heard from a number of sources, their record in this is quite formidable but we rely on this expertise and experience to activate the Piers so that New York City can actually compete for these mid-sized shows. As we all know the City is experiencing the devastating effects of the global economic downturn. One of the potential antidotes to this is tourism and Merchandise Mart's development of 92-94 goes a long way towards bolstering the City's position as a business visitor destination for the future.

We at NYC & Company look forward to continuing to work with them, with trade show and meeting planners, and with the City to generate more business and economic impact for the future. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Thank you

agreed to them. And so what we want to have is

this be incorporated into the lease, either into

the lease language itself or as an attachment so

that it has some teeth and can be enforced.

22

23

24

In any event we appreciate the time the developers spent with us and as we--and I'm just going to highlight 2 or 3 of the 14 things, I'm not going to go through all of them. They were all important to us in varying degrees. But traffic was the subject of a lot of discussion, the increased traffic. We recognize there will be increased traffic. And we appreciate that the truck plan will help reduce the round trips but it is true that there are going to be more trips.

So we talked a lot about the shuttle busses and other ways of getting the people over there. It was actually our idea to do the bus stop, the MTA, we initiated that and we talked directly to MTA ourselves, with the Transportation Committee. And I'm going to take back Council Member Brewer's comments to the Transportation Committee and make sure that we redouble our efforts to make sure that happens. So we will do everything we can to ensure that one or more City busses, but the developers agreed to be on our side on this and provide the space so that's an important consideration.

We were very supportive of keeping

## 1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS & 65 CONCESSIONS 2 92 capable for cruise ships. I mean I think it's 3 an important industry. And we want to make sure 4 that we don't reduce our capacity there so we were 5 very much in favor of that. The signage 6 limitations came up and they agreed to reduce it 7 from what was required by the zoning. So we 8 appreciate that. 9 And then we spent a lot of time 10 talking about the public space and the use of it 11 and how to manage it. We were disappointed 12 initially with the 30 days; we felt it should have 13 been more. It seemed to be as far as we could go 14 but what they did that was provide a dedicated 15 space within that, the 1,800 foot public amenity 16 which as the talks progressed with the Trust would 17 actually be fully managed by Hudson River Park 18 Trust as an extension of the park so that would--19 [timer tone] does this shut off automatically or 20 no, it doesn't [laughing] --21

[Laughter]

22

23

24

25

MR. DOSWELL: So. And we also wanted to make sure that in the use of the big space that they provided tables, chairs and stuff so that it wasn't just four walls and a ceiling

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS & 66 CONCESSIONS
2	and a floor and they've agreed to do that.
3	So really that's all I have to say.
4	Everything else is covered in the written
5	testimony. And we're pleased to support this
6	project. Thank you.
7	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Thank you.
8	Thanks very much for your testimony. Sir.
9	MR. PAUL MORRIS: My name is Paul
10	Morris and I'm Vice President of MMPI Art Shows
11	and Events and one of the founders of the Armory
12	Show which Myron Mauer spoke about and which is
13	one of the trade shows currently occupying Piers
14	92 and 94 annually.
15	I'm actually here to ask you to
16	preserve New York City as the international center
17	for contemporary art. And the best way to do so
18	is to, and for me to speak in favor of this
19	proposition. The Armory Show brings in 240
20	dealers from around the world. We have annually
21	56,000 visitors. And although we enjoy lines
22	longer than those that were at the Tutankhamen
23	Exhibition at the Met or the Picasso Show at the
24	Modern, we still, before joining with MMPI had to
25	renegotiate a space in New York City. And

Τ	CONCESSIONS CONCESSIONS
2	Thank you very much. We have a question from
3	Council Member Brewer.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Thank you.
5	John, one of the questions I think that was
6	discussed was the signage and I think you're right
7	to try to make it a bit smaller. Was there any
8	discussion of also putting a sign up that
9	indicates that there's public space there so that
10	the public knows that?
11	MR. DOSWELL: That's actually an
12	excellent idea. We should have thought of it and
13	no we did not discuss that with the developer
14	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER:
15	[Interposing] I have a longI can give you
16	another long list John.
17	MR. DOSWELL: [Laughing] but we
18	will, but certainly it's desirable and something
19	that we would try to do.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: All right.
21	'cause those signs indicating that there's a
22	pavilion
23	MR. DOSWELL: [Interposing] Yeah I
24	agree with you
25	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER:

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS & 69 CONCESSIONS
2	commercial, are pretty big.
3	MR. DOSWELL: Yeah.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: It would be
5	nice for people to know that there's also public
6	space.
7	MR. DOSWELL: I love it.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay. And
9	second, when you say you'll talk to the MTA, what
10	does that mean in terms of just we have to ask.
11	I'll certainly ask with you.
12	MR. DOSWELL: Well it
13	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER:
14	[Interposing] 'Cause it really is absolutely
15	necessary.
16	MR. DOSWELL: I
17	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER:
18	[Interposing] They do this all the time by the
19	way, it's not the only situation.
20	MR. DOSWELL: Again I agree and all
21	I can do there is take it back, escalate it within
22	the Committee, Christine, J. R., I'm sure will
23	take it up, they chair it. And they will make a
24	strong effort to encourage the MTA to do that.
25	They were the ones that actually thought of it and

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS & 70 CONCESSIONS
2	they would continue to push that I'm sure.
3	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay. And
4	is Maria Guzman chair of Harbor View been involved
5	with anything? In other words could we also put
6	together a meeting with the Community Board and
7	her regarding the residents of the Harbor View
8	NYCHA development?
9	MR. DOSWELL: We will put that on
10	our agenda.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay. And
12	the developer indicated that the pedestrian bridge
13	which I know we all want very much for all the
14	reasons that are obvious, is that something that
15	you feel is incorporated into the design
16	adequately?
17	MR. DOSWELL: Yes. It's
18	incorporated in both an elevator and stairs
19	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER:
20	[Interposing] Already.
21	MR. DOSWELL:they have space in
22	the side near the front corner where that public
23	pavilion is that can accept it. And of course
24	then the Clinton Park's at grade so it actually
25	helps the situation considerably in terms of the

it's higher. So it's kind of a mixed thing.

And so the crate storage we felt was a very

Without the crate storage it would be a nightmare.

22

23

24

25

important part.

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS & 72 CONCESSIONS
2	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay. And
3	the 30 days will be, for the public space, that'll
4	have like an advisory committee? I know that the
5	Trust will be the coordinator and the scheduler.
6	Will that have some kind of advisory? How will
7	people know about that, etcetera?
8	MR. DOSWELL: We will
9	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER:
10	[Interposing] From your discussions.
11	MR. DOSWELL: We will take the lead
12	in putting the word out, number one, so that it's
13	available. Vetting the applications we'll
14	probably set upthis is kind of awe do
15	something similar sometimes with certain other
16	applications. We'll set up a process with street
17	fairs in fact, we have a questionnaire. We'll do
18	something like that. We haven't worked out the
19	details yet but work with the Trust and with the
20	Friends and try to come up with a plan
21	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER:
22	[Interposing] Okay I think it would be good
23	MR. DOSWELL:but we have
24	discussed it with the Trust and they're aware of
25	the

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS & 73 CONCESSIONS
2	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER:
3	[Interposing] Try to make sure it's a diverse
4	group of people
5	MR. DOSWELL: [Interposing] We
6	would do that.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER:to whom
8	you are reaching out to and who are part of the
9	application process.
10	MR. DOSWELL: We'll definitely do
11	that.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: And try to
13	make sure that it's something that people really
14	need and not just something that people don't want
15	to pay for.
16	MR. DOSWELL: No. We had this
17	discussion almost exactly along those lines.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: All right.
19	Thank you very much.
20	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Thank you
21	Council Member Brewer. Okay. With that, those
22	are the last folks who are interested in
23	testifying on this item. And now we will close
24	the hearing on Land Use Number 1144 and 1145, and
25	1143 and Preconsidered Land Use, that's 090-223.

as part of DOT's Glendale Yard Maintenance

Facility. Currently the street beds are occupied by an office trailer, fuel tanks, fuel dispensers, a driveway and a parking area for the maintenance units which occupy the lots abutting these street beds. DOT is moving forward with a proposed capital project to realign the facility and demolish a deteriorating building. We will also be relocating the driveway and parking area for DOT vehicles to allow more efficient operation and improved truck maneuverability.

At the same time the School

Construction Authority will be creating a secondary exit for the Metropolitan Avenue School campus which is adjacent to our facility. This campus will have two schools, one for 700 students and the other for 1,000 students, near the location at Ursula Place and 70<sup>th</sup> Road. Both of these schools will be an intermediate and high school.

SCA's work will include installing fencing across the portion of the currently mapped but unbuilt road. Our proposed reconfiguration of the Glendale Yard will minimize potential conflicts arising from trucks entering onto 70<sup>th</sup>

Road and the school's secondary exit. The ULURP application before this Committee today will demap  $70^{\text{th}}$  Avenue between Sebilis Street and Ursula Place and also demap a portion of Ursula Place between  $70^{\text{th}}$  Avenue and  $70^{\text{th}}$  Road, both of the segments of currently unbuilt roads that will be used by DOT and the School Construction Authority for improvements to their facilities.

As the City has no intention of building streets at these locations this demapping will properly reflect the current and future land use. We urge the Council to approve this ULURP application and thank you for the opportunity to be here today. And I'll be happy to answer any of your questions.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Thank you. Sounds relatively straightforward. I just want to ask you about the Community Board action that was approved with conditions on April 7<sup>th</sup>, 2009. Tell us about the conditions and how you've addressed them.

MS. McCARTHY: Currently also adjacent to our facility are two horse stables that have been in use for many, many years. One

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS & 77 CONCESSIONS
2	of those stables, called Lynn Stable accesses
3	their refuse, their manure by going through these
4	unbuilt roadways. We've allowed them this use
5	unofficially for years and years. When we close
6	that portion of the mapped street, they would be
7	landlocked. They wouldn't be able to get rid of
8	their refuse. So we are giving them a permit to
9	come onto our property to dispose of their refuse.
LO	That permit is not yet signed. All
11	parties have been agreeable and we expect it to be
12	signed any day.
13	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: That was
L 4	the manure permit was what the
15	MS. MCCARTHY: [Interposing] Yeah
16	that's it.
L7	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK:Community
18	Board was looking for. Okay and so that's what
19	you are working on achieving
20	MS. MCCARTHY: [Interposing] Right,
21	right.
22	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Okay.
23	Other questions? Seeing none, thank you very much
24	for your testimony. And we will close the hearing
25	on Land Use 1160, the DOT Glendale Maintenance

Council Member Inez Dickens, 211 West 135<sup>th</sup> Street

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS & 79 CONCESSIONS
2	in Manhattan.
3	MS. CLARK: Thank you. This
4	consists of the proposed disposition of 1 occupied
5	8 unit City owned building located as you noted at
6	211 West 135 <sup>th</sup> Street, also through HPD's Tenant
7	Interim Lease or TIL program. The training of the
8	residents in building management is a prerequisite
9	to creating these cooperatives. The TIL program
10	assists residents and their tenant associations in
11	City owned properties to purchase and manage their
12	buildings and become low income cooperatives.
13	Council Member Dickens has reviewed this project
14	and indicated her support.
15	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Thank you
16	very much. And in a moment we will let her speak-
17	-oh actually I'm going to do it right now.
18	Council Member Dickens and then we've got a couple
19	of witnesses.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: Thank you
21	Chairman Garodnick for the opportunity to speak
22	today in strong support of this TIL building at
23	211 West 135 <sup>th</sup> Street. I'm so proud of the
24	Cunninghams and the Saps, the two families that
25	will be returning to the building within the next

few weeks. Owning their units for \$250 each. The final walkthrough was held last Friday and the rehabilitated building is absolutely beautiful.

Both the Cunninghams and the Saps will return to full floor apartments after almost a full decade in the TIL program as the only two shareholders they have had to shoulder the entire burden of getting the building through the process and holding the shareholder association together.

Their hard work will be rewarded today. The building itself is a former 5 unit building which has been rehabilitated into an 8 unit building. The first and second floors will be inhabited by the Saps and the Cunninghams respectively. The top three floors now have two apartments on each floor and are one and two bedroom units. All of the apartments are fully refurbished with hardwood floors and the first and second floor units have two full baths each.

At Friday's walkthrough Mrs. Sap was in her new kitchen and there was a sticker on her new stove, an energy-saving six burner stove. The sticker said that only the homeowner can remove the sticker. Mr. and Mrs. Sap were almost

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS & 83 CONCESSIONS
2	[Applause]
3	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Well
4	congratulations to you both and we're delighted to
5	have you and we look forward to many successes
6	here. Thank you very much.
7	MR. SAP: Thank you.
8	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Council
9	Member Ignizio.
L O	COUNCIL MEMBER IGNIZIO: Thank you
11	very much and very briefly I wanted to just wish
12	you all well and want to wish you well and really
13	wanted to point out the efforts of my friend and
L 4	Councilman Inez Dickens who could have very easily
15	kept this just in her Council District but instead
L 6	she, you know, continued because she recognized
L7	the value that this will have throughout the City
18	of New York.
19	And you should be commended for not
20	thinking parochially Councilwoman but for thinking
21	as a City as a whole. And people, you're going to
22	make the dream, the American Dream come true for
23	people like the lady and gentleman that you
24	brought here today, for people in this City. And
25	you ought to receive the congratulations that you

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS & 85 CONCESSIONS
2	Member Dilan.
3	MS. CLARK: That's right Chairman
4	Garodnick. This consists of the proposed
5	disposition of 13 vacant City owned lots that are
6	located on Van Siclen Avenue, Ashford Street,
7	Pitkin Avenue, Glenmore Avenue, Lynwood Street and
8	Jerome Street for development under HPD's New
9	Foundations program. The proposed developer,
10	Cypress Homes HDFC, will construct 4 2-family
11	homes, 4 3-family homes and 7 3-unit condominium
12	buildings for sale to eligible purchasers.
13	Council Member Dilan has reviewed the project and
14	indicated his support.
15	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Thank you.
16	So, I'm sorry, you said 4 2-family, 3 3-family and
17	7?
18	MS. CLARK: 4 2-family, 4 3-family
19	and 7 3-unit condominium buildings.
20	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Okay. And
21	this is, I'm sorry; you said this is going to be
22	developed by whom?
23	MS. CLARK: Cypress Homes HDFC.
24	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: And how
25	were they chosen here?

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS & 86 CONCESSIONS
2	MS. CLARK: They were chosen
3	through a competitive process.
4	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Great. And
5	these are sales you said of these 2 3-family homes
6	and the condo?
7	MS. CLARK: That's correct. They
8	will be sales.
9	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: And is
10	there any restrictions on the sales?
11	MS. CLARK: There are estimated
12	income targets and all 8 homes will be owner-
13	occupied with incomes at up to 80% of AMI for a
14	family of 4 as the target.
15	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Okay.
16	Thank you. With that we have no members of the
17	public wishing to testify on Land Use 1133, non-
18	ULURP 20095675 HAK. So we will close the hearing
19	on that item and move to Land Use Number 1147,
20	College Point Corporate Park, Queens Community
21	Board Seven, C 090320 PPQ in the District of
22	Council Member Tony Avella.
23	Okay before we do that one we're
24	going to justwe're going to take a vote on the
25	items that we've heard today 'cause we're going to

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS & 87 CONCESSIONS
2	lay over the College Point item for voting
3	purposes until tomorrow morning. So note to
4	Committee members, we will be reconvening at 9:50
5	A.M. tomorrow just before the Land Use Committee
6	for this Planning Subcommittee.
7	But we are going to take a vote on
8	all of the items that we have heard to this moment
9	on the agenda today. And for the ones that are on
10	the calendar but we did not hear, we're going to
11	lay them over at the request of the individual
12	Council Members in whose Districts these
13	properties sit. So we're going to couple the rest
14	together for voting and I'm going to as the
15	Counsel to call the roll with the Chair
16	recommending an aye vote on all.
17	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Chair
18	Garodnick.
19	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: I vote aye.
20	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Council Member
21	Baez.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER BAEZ: [Inaudible
23	response]
24	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Council Member
25	Gonzalez.

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS & 88 CONCESSIONS
2	COUNCIL MEMBER GONZALEZ: Aye.
3	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Council Member
4	Dickens.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: Aye.
6	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Council Member
7	Ignizio.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER IGNIZIO: Aye.
9	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: By a vote of 5
LO	in the affirmative, none in the negative and no
11	abstentions, the aforementioned coupled items are
12	approved and referred to the full Committee.
13	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Thank you
L 4	very much. And now we will move to College Point.
15	My apologies, Land Use 1147, let's have, who's
16	introducing this one, EDC? DCAS? Let's have
17	them. Come on down and introduce yourselves and
18	we'll be ready to get started.
19	[Witnesses getting settled]
20	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Gentlemen,
21	please go ahead.
22	MR. TOM McKNIGHT: There I am.
23	Good afternoon Chairman Garodnick and members of
24	the Subcommittee. I'm Tom McKnight with the
25	Economic Development Corporation joined by

Jonathan Gubay [phonetic] also from EDC. We're here today to speak about an important aspect of one of the City's largest and most important projects, Willets Point.

As you know, Willets Point is a 62acre brown field in Queens that has been in
desperate need of remediation for generations.

Thanks to the overwhelming support of the Council
which approved a rezoning plan for the area by a
vote of 49 to 2 in November of last year, the area
is now primed for the redevelopment of mixed
income housing as well as retail, office, open
space, convention center and a school.

More importantly when completed this crucial district which lies at the confluence of a number of dense residential and commercial districts will at long last not simply be cleaned up but become one of the City's first LEED certified districts, a stunning turnaround. As you'll recall over the course of the ULURP process related to Willets Point the Council repeatedly and I might add correctly emphasized the need to reach as many negotiated settlements with area land owners and businesses as we could to ensure

In the case of these five businesses the logical location was the College Point Corporate Park. Relocating these successful businesses to College Point will enable them to expand their operations from a current total of about 175,000 square feet to more than 267,000

22

23

24

The

3 businesses will also add value to their

square feet, more than 50% increase.

4 neighborhood by retaining 200 jobs in Queens and

5 hiring additional workers in the coming months,

6 exactly the kind of outcome for Willets Point

7 businesses that the Council wisely demanded.

Separate from the actions that we are proposing related to the five Willets Point businesses is another action related to College Point. This one involves the disposition of about 30,000 square feet in the Corporate Park to Cofire, an asphalt manufacturing company that has rented a City owned site in College Point since 1960. The proposed disposition would give Cofire full ownership of their site and pave the way for the company to make significant investments in new technologies that will maximize their operations and improve air quality.

With respect to both Cofire and the five established businesses from Willets Point, it's important to note in each case upon the completion of the actions being requested of the Council, the businesses will be required to comply with all of the urban design requirements,

Queens Borough President and City Planning

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS & 93 CONCESSIONS
2	Commission also approved the applications. In sum
3	it is largely thanks to the diligence of the City
4	Council during the Willets Point process that we
5	are before you today.
6	With your support we will be able
7	to deliver on the commitment that you and your
8	colleagues rightly asked us to make Willets Point
9	businesses. Honoring this commitment to the
10	businesses and workers of Willets Point while
11	simultaneously increasing economic activity and
12	employment in the College Point area. It's a
13	worthy objective especially in these trying
14	economic times. We look forward to working with
15	the Council to continue to carry out this
16	objective. Thank you.
17	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Thank you
18	very much. We have some questions from Council
19	Member Avella so I'm going to go to him first.
20	MR. McKNIGHT: Sure.
21	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: And then
22	I'm going to come back, just talk a little bit
23	about the Community Board and Borough President
24	actions.
25	MR. McKNIGHT: Sure.

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS & 94 CONCESSIONS
2	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Council
3	Member Avella.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER AVELLA: Thank you
5	Mr. Chairman. Do you have a handout on anything
6	that you're proposing today? I mean usually we
7	have some sort of handout, briefing
8	MR. McKNIGHT: [Interposing] Sure,
9	yeah, we have site plans
LO	COUNCIL MEMBER AVELLA:and I
11	heard you giveI thought it was very interesting
12	that you're proposing this application basically
13	as an extension of the Willets Point approval by
L 4	the City Council. But I mean I think, you know, I
15	think at this point we have to separate the issue
L 6	because you're going into a totally different
L7	neighborhood. What is the, I mean I'm surprise
18	you haven't gone into the actual application.
19	MR. McKNIGHT: We were expecting
20	that to come through the question and answer.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER AVELLA: Okay. Well
22	I'm questioning. I'd like to hear what you have
23	to say onI hate to do this but what are the
24	actual applications?
25	MR. McKNIGHT: Jonathan

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS & 95 CONCESSIONS
2	COUNCIL MEMBER AVELLA:
3	[Interposing] For each business?
4	MR. McKNIGHT: Jonathan can just
5	walk through the applications in a little bit more
6	detail.
7	MR. GUBAY: Sure. There are five
8	Willets Points businesses Tom mentioned that we
9	are proposing to relocate to College Point. In
10	your package you will see the first, or the second
11	page rather, with the site plans involves Flushing
12	Towing and Met Metals. We are proposing that they
13	will share a site on what is known as the Flag
14	Lot.
15	And you can see it on the overall
16	map at 130 <sup>th</sup> Street, or 30 <sup>th</sup> Street, just adjacent
17	to the airport. It's a 45,000 square foot site.
18	And as you can see from the site plan, Flushing
19	Towing would take approximately 7,000 square feet
20	and Met Metals would take about 21,000 square
21	feet.
22	As Tom had mentioned and as the
23	site plans illustrate, each of the businesses have
24	committed to comply fully with the requirements
25	that are stipulated in the Special District text

SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS & 96 1 CONCESSIONS 2 as it relates to setbacks, landscaped yards, 3 enclosing businesses where appropriate. 4 In terms of the other three 5 businesses from Willets Point, moving down to the 6 intersection of College Point Boulevard and 31st 7 Avenue, we are proposing to relocate T Mina 8 Supply, Feinstein Iron Works and Sambucci 9 Brothers. And again each of these proposed site 10 plans are in you package. It starts with Feinstein. We are 11 12 proposing to relocate them to a roughly 119,000 13 square foot site. As you can see it'll have access on both College Point Boulevard and 31st 14 15 Avenue. And again they will comply with all of 16 the requirements in the Special District text. 17 Second business is T Mina Supply. 18 And they will occupy a roughly 72,000 square foot 19 site with again frontage as well on College Point Boulevard and 31<sup>st</sup> Avenue. 20 21 And finally Sambucci Brothers, we are proposing to relocate to 31st Avenue on the far 22 23 east end of the five and a half acre site. 24 whole piece is about five and a half acres. And 25 the Sambucci site will be about 48,000 square

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS & 97 CONCESSIONS
2	feet.
3	And finally the one remaining
4	disposition involves Cofire which is a
5	longstanding College Point business. As some of
6	you may know they currently operate on a roughly
7	80,000 square foot site. They own a portion and
8	have been leasing a portion from the City for
9	several decades. What we have reached agreement
10	with involves themit involves a swap,
11	effectively.
12	So they are going to purchase a
13	portion of City owned property on the southern
14	portion of this site which you can see on your
15	maps and the yellow and hatched area. And in the
16	end they will own 40,000 square feet at the bottom
17	and the City will have a fully remediated 40,000
18	square foot parcel on the north end of the site
19	bounded by 28 <sup>th</sup> Avenue and 122 <sup>nd</sup> Street.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER AVELLA: Why was
21	Cofire put with this application because basically
22	it's the businesses being relocated from Willets
23	Point? Cofire is already there. So why are they
24	part of this application?
25	MR. GUBAY: It was

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS & 98 CONCESSIONS
2	COUNCIL MEMBER AVELLA:
3	[Interposing] Why wasn't a separate application
4	made for them?
5	MR. GUBAY: They were moving
6	through at the same time. We put them together
7	into a single application.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER AVELLA: Now you
9	just made a presentation in my Subcommittee on the
10	Special District. For the record, even if the
11	Council approves these applications, they will
12	individually still have to go before the Queens
13	Borough Board.
14	MR. McKNIGHT: That is correct.
15	Yes.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER AVELLA: Normally,
17	prior to this Administration, let me put it to you
18	that way, having worked for two Mayors and been on
19	the Community Board, my own, for nine years, when
20	EDC comes with a project like this, there's some
21	sort of an environmental review. There's a review
22	of the traffic analysis. I don't see any of that
23	in here.
24	MR. McKNIGHT: Yeah there was an
25	environmental review of these relocations

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS & 99 CONCESSIONS
2	completed as part of the Willets Point EIS.
3	COUNCIL MEMBER AVELLA: But there's
4	acan I see that? Can you get me that
5	MR. McKNIGHT: [Interposing] Sure
6	COUNCIL MEMBER AVELLA:
7	information
8	MR. McKNIGHT:by all means.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER AVELLA:and
10	usually there's more than just a picture of the
11	site that goes along with the project description.
12	Where's the rest of the project description here?
13	What does the business do? How many trucks are
14	coming in and out?
15	MR. McKNIGHT: For thethere's
16	detailed descriptions of the projects within the
17	ULURP applications if
18	COUNCIL MEMBER AVELLA:
19	[Interposing] But
20	MR. McKNIGHT:you'd like to see
21	more details
22	COUNCIL MEMBER AVELLA:
23	[Interposing] I think not only I would like to see
24	it, I think Council Members would like to see
25	that.

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS & 00 CONCESSIONS
2	MR. McKNIGHT: Sure.
3	COUNCIL MEMBER AVELLA: That's part
4	of the normal information you would give the
5	Council Members.
6	MR. McKNIGHT: And we have done a
7	lot of outreach around the individual relocations
8	if you'd like a briefing package we can, of
9	course, provide that.
LO	COUNCIL MEMBER AVELLA: I don't
11	understand. What did you just say? What does
12	that mean?
13	MR. McKNIGHT: It just means that
L 4	we've done extensive outreach with the community
15	in preparation
16	COUNCIL MEMBER AVELLA:
17	[Interposing] That's not what I asked, I said
18	about Council Members. I think Council Members
19	are entitled to review a few packeta full
20	package and not just a picture of like each site
21	that tells very little about what the business
22	does, how they're getting their employees in and
23	out, how many employees are we talking about,
24	where the truck traffic comes and goes, what are
25	the passenger cars.

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS & 01  CONCESSIONS
2	MR. McKNIGHT: We can certainly
3	provide you the environmental review documents and
4	again I believe the ULURP application describes
5	the individual businesses
6	COUNCIL MEMBER AVELLA:
7	[Interposing] But in theand I don't mean to
8	interrupt your answer but in the past EDC usually
9	gives a product, I'm sorry not a product, a
10	project description which highlights all that key
11	information. I don't see that here.
12	MR. McKNIGHT: I'm not aware of
13	that. This is typically what we provide for this
14	review process. And again this is the first of
15	two or three processes that these individual
16	relocations will have to go through.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER AVELLA: I hope
18	you're not saying that the Council doesn't need to
19	have the information that other entities are going
20	to have to have.
21	MR. McKNIGHT: No of course not.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER AVELLA: Okay. What
23	businesses, let me backtrack. A number of years
24	ago when I came into the City Council, I was told
25	that all the property in the College Point

Τ	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS & UZ CONCESSIONS
2	Corporate Park was allocated. Yet all of a sudden
3	we now have these businesses that have sites.
4	What happened to the businesses that were supposed
5	to get these sites? Assuming that what I was told
6	by EDC was correct at the time.
7	MR. McKNIGHT: Well I can't comment
8	on what we call the Flag Lot. I'm not sure what
9	the deep history with that is.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER AVELLA: Which one
11	is that?
12	MR. McKNIGHT: That's the site
13	where Flushing Towing and
14	COUNCIL MEMBER AVELLA:
15	[Interposing] Okay.
16	MR. McKNIGHT:and Met Metals are
17	going. The other site I believe was previously
18	intended for
19	MR. GUBAY: [Interposing] It's
20	Crystal Windows.
21	MR. McKNIGHT:it's Crystal
22	Windows. And subsequently that didn't come to
23	pass. So this became an available site. The
24	remainder of this site I think as you know is
25	planned for the Police Academy. This is really

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS & 03 CONCESSIONS
2	the last remaining sites, City owned sites within
3	this Special District with the exception of
4	Flushing Airport
5	COUNCIL MEMBER AVELLA:
6	[Interposing] Now, you know, Crystal Windows which
7	by every business magazine that I have seen is one
8	of the fastest growing businesses in the country.
9	And they had lobbied to get that piece of property
10	for years. And quite frankly I didn't understand
11	the reluctance on the part of the City. And all
12	of a sudden that fell through. Did it fall
13	through because the Mayor and EDC wanted to give
14	it to the five businesses?
15	MR. McKNIGHT: No.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER AVELLA: Or because
17	they were
18	MR. McKNIGHT: [Interposing] They
19	withdrew. It did not. The agreement didn't work
20	out with Crystal Windows. We're continuing to
21	talk to them. Obviously, as you say, they are a
22	successful business. We want to help them.
23	Ultimately an agreement for this site did not
24	COUNCIL MEMBER AVELLA:
25	[Interposing] Because

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS & 04 CONCESSIONS
2	MR. McKNIGHT:work out.
3	COUNCIL MEMBER AVELLA: I mean they
4	had indicated to me that there was a possibility
5	that they were going to have to move out of the
6	City because they couldn't find room to expand.
7	MR. McKNIGHT: We're in regular
8	discussions with them. They have not indicated
9	that to us.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER AVELLA: So you're
11	saying the two had nothing to do with each other.
12	MR. McKNIGHT: That's correct.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER AVELLA: With regard
14	to Mets Metals and Flushing Towing, and I think
15	this point was actually brought out by the
16	Community Board as well, how do they affect the
17	extension of Linden Place to 20 <sup>th</sup> Avenue? Isn't
18	that where the extension of the road is supposed
19	to go?
20	MR. McKNIGHT: No if you're looking
21	at the site plan on the eastern edge of that
22	parcel is where the future road would go. So in
23	the future that parcel on the east would border
24	the extension of Linden Place.
25	COUNCIL MEMBER AVELLA: Right,

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS & 05 CONCESSIONS
2	right.
3	[Off mic]
4	MR. GUBAY:adjacent to the site.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER AVELLA: Yeah you've
6	got to go on the microphone. So the site will not
7	affect the extension of the road.
8	MR. McKNIGHT: That's correct.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER AVELLA: I mean Mr.
10	Chairman, I mean obviously I have other questions
11	and I think my opposition to this has been well
12	stated but I'll pass it on to other Committee
13	members.
14	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Thank you
15	Council Member Avella. Let me just go back to
16	that, the question I promised to raise here, the
17	Community Board and Borough President both
18	approved with conditions here. Can you help us
19	understand what those conditions were and how you
20	dealt with them?
21	MR. McKNIGHT: Sure. There were a
22	bunch of kind of more technical requests that the
23	Community Board had made relating to the site
24	plans, side yards, access, which we were able to
25	accommodate within the project.

## 1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS 406 CONCESSIONS The main, the larger issue that 2 3 came up during both of the Community Board and 4 Borough President review related to the Flushing 5 Airport site which as I noted is kind of the last 6 large City owned parcel within the College Point 7 Corporate Park. 8 And the Community Board's very 9 interested in trying to preserve that site. And 10 so we committed in a letter to the COMMUNITY BOARD 11 agreeing to set aside a portion of the Flushing 12 Airport either for self-recreation or natural area 13 and then working with them on a planning process 14 to identify what, if any, appropriate uses could 15 be sited on the airport site. 16 CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Can you 17 just identify where that is exactly--18 MR. McKNIGHT: [Interposing] Sure. 19 CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: 20 you're talking about on the map? 21 MR. McKNIGHT: Yeah. It's this 22 large triangular part. 23 CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Okay. 24 the technical requests, side yards and things and 25 also the preservation of the Flushing Airport site

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS & 07 CONCESSIONS
2	for recreational uses, is that right?
3	MR. McKNIGHT: Those were the key
4	issues for the Community Board, yes.
5	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Okay. And
6	the Borough President?
7	MR. McKNIGHT: I believe her
8	recommendations were in line with the Community
9	Board's. I guess I would note that the one issue,
10	there were two issues that we agreed would require
11	kind of further planning as we went through the
12	process towards Borough Board review.
13	And one was the appropriate way for
14	the two businesses on the Flag Lot parcel to
15	access the roadways, whether to the west, to the
16	existing street, or to the east when the Linden
17	Place extension is built. So that will be a
18	subject for further discussion with the COMMUNITY
19	BOARD.
20	And then the other issue was the
21	Board raised concerns about how the adjacency of
22	Sambucci and Feinstein and they felt that the
23	Sambucci site was constrained in terms of truck
24	access. And our response to that was that their
25	main concern to address that was agreeing to

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS & 09 CONCESSIONS
2	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: And when we
3	say overall, we're not talk about on average and
4	some may have a significant increase, some a
5	slight decrease, so we're saying that for each one
6	of them it's an increase?
7	MR. McKNIGHT: You want to comment
8	on that one
9	MR. GUBAY: [Interposing] All
10	except for Sambucci Brothers. They have a
11	slightly smaller site in their proposal. They've
12	got 54,000 square feet in Willets and will have
13	48,000 under this proposal. All the others are
14	increasing in size.
15	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Okay what
16	is the agreement? Have all of the agreements been
17	worked out between the City and each of these
18	businesses for their relocation?
19	MR. McKNIGHT: Yes they have.
20	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: They've all
21	been finalized, pending the approval of the
22	disposition?
23	MR. McKNIGHT: That's correct.
24	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Okay. Is
25	there additional compensation for a company like

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS & 10  CONCESSIONS
2	Sambucci where they're going from a larger site to
3	a smaller site?
4	MR. McKNIGHT: Well John can
5	comment further
6	MR. GUBAY: [Interposing] Not
7	MR. McKNIGHT:but I would just
8	say that each agreement is reflective on what they
9	have today versus what they're getting in the
10	future.
11	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: So what
12	does that mean as to my question?
13	MR. McKNIGHT: So it means that for
14	a business that is getting more property, that's
15	reflected in the agreement versus a business like
16	Sambucci that's getting about the same amount of
17	property.
18	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: So meaning
19	that, I guess the question I have is in the
20	contracts that you have with these businesses it's
21	not just a straight up swap I assume. Or is it?
22	Like you take this land and we'll take the land
23	that you have in Willets Point.
24	Is it all straight land swaps or
25	are there other elements of these contracts where

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS & II  CONCESSIONS
2	either they are paying the City because they're
3	getting extra space and we are paying them if
4	they're getting less space.
5	MR. GUBAY: It doesn't work out
6	exactly like that. There is no line item in the
7	contracts that says for extra space or anything.
8	I mean we negotiated for several months with all
9	of them. And some are, you know, land in some
10	cases was used to some extent as currency.
11	And I guess the details of the
12	business terms will be discussed during the
13	384(b)(4) process but I don't know if that answers
14	your questions. But no, not explicitly, there
15	isn't an extra cash for a smaller site or less
16	cash for a bigger site.
17	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: The
18	384(b)(4) process is the one which allows the
19	Borough Board of Queens to make the final approval
20	of the dispositions here, is that right?
21	MR. GUBAY: Right.
22	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: And the
23	terms of the contracts are all evaluated and
24	approved at that
25	MR. McKNIGHT: [Interposing] That's

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS &112 CONCESSIONS
2	part of it.
3	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK:charter
4	specified action.
5	MR. McKNIGHT: That's part of that
6	review process, yes.
7	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Okay. Is
8	it fair to say that in these situations, in some
9	cases, the City was paying additional compensation
LO	where we were providing them in College Point,
11	potentially less space?
12	MR. McKNIGHT: Well again it would
13	go by appraised value so it'syes if someone's
L 4	getting less property that's going to be part of
15	the agreement. That'll be reflected in the
16	agreement.
17	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Unless of
18	course that less property was appraised at a
19	higher value I guess is your point.
20	MR. McKNIGHT: Yes.
21	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Okay. So
22	if they were making a trade from a higher
23	appraised value in the aggregate to a lower
24	appraised value in the aggregate then the City
25	would compensate them for the different is what

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS &13
2	you're saying.
3	MR. McKNIGHT: Yes. And they
4	you're layering in the cost of relocation. So the
5	agreements become more complicated than simply
6	just a swap as you say.
7	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Okay. You
8	have more? Back to Council Member Avella.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER AVELLA: And Mr.
10	Chairman, I wanted to just make a comment as to
11	one of the questions you asked, about one of the
12	commitments that the CB had obtained in terms of
13	light recreation for what we commonly refer to as
14	the old Flushing Airport. I seem to remember a
15	similar comment that EDC had made to me in the CB
16	when they issued the first Request For Proposal
17	for Flushing Airport, that they would go along
18	with the light recreation suggestion that we had
19	all made. And then just to show you how you can
20	take EDC's word, at the 11 <sup>th</sup> hour 59 <sup>th</sup> minute, the
21	winning project was something totally out of left
22	field when the Mayor wanted to put in 180, 180
23	import-export businesses on that site.
24	So even though, excuse me, EDC had
25	committed to supporting our community

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS &114 CONCESSIONS
2	recommendation the first time around, they
3	actually signed an agreement with somebody else.
4	It was only after several demonstrations where the
5	community actually stopped traffic on the
6	Whitestone Expressway service road that the Mayor
7	withdrew the project. So, you know, I'm glad you
8	asked that question but, you know, sometimes EDC
9	has a tendency to forget commitments that they
LO	make. And what they say on the record and what
11	actually happens are two different things.
12	My question to EDC is with the
13	Sambucci site. Am I correct that that includes
14	the existing building for the tow pound?
15	MR. GUBAY: Yes.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER AVELLA: How much
L7	did it cost the tax payers to build building and
18	when was it done?
19	MR. GUBAY: I don't know the answer
20	to that question.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER AVELLA: Is it
22	possible it cost the tax payers of, you know,
23	between \$5 million and \$10 million and was only
24	done in the last 5 years?
25	MR. McKNIGHT: We'd have to check

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS &115 CONCESSIONS
2	on it, we don't have the info.
3	COUNCIL MEMBER AVELLA: And what's
4	going to happen to that building?
5	MR. McKNIGHT: They're going to
6	reuse it.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER AVELLA: So the tax
8	payers paid out of capital dollars perhaps
9	somewhere between \$5 million and \$10 million which
LO	is supposed to be for 30 years for the tow pound.
11	We are now going to move the tow pound someplace
12	else and we're going to give a tax payer building
13	to a private developer, to a private company. Is
L 4	that correct?
15	MR. McKNIGHT: Again, we can't
L 6	comment on the value of the building but again it-
L7	_
18	COUNCIL MEMBER AVELLA:
19	[Interposing] You can't comment on the value of a
20	tax payer paid building?
21	MR. McKNIGHT: What I was going to
22	say to finish the statement was that it would be
23	reflected in the value of the property. So the
24	value of the property
25	COUNCIL MEMBER AVELLA:

[Interposing] You know this is like military intelligence, we can't say anything because it's confidential. I would think it should be a matter of public record how that figures into the equation. The tax payers paid to put that building up. And as you know well know, capital fund dollars are not for 5 years, they're not for 10 years, but if I remember correctly, it's supposed to be like for 30 years.

so the tax payer dollars were misused in this situation and now we're going to give the building to a private company? And you can't tell me what the value of this is? I'm sorry I think that's a disgrace. I'm not saying you may not be doing the right thing in terms of the value but you can't keep this secret. That's a disgrace. That's an absolute disgrace. You have to provide me with that information. And if I have to FOIL it I will do that.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Thank you very much Council Member Avella. And gentlemen, we thank you for your testimony today. We now have a number of people who wish to testify on this item. I'd like to invite Gene Kelty, Nola--

Т	CONCESSIONS
2	MR. McKNIGHT: [Interposing] Thanks.
3	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK:Canal,
4	Vincent Vaquaro, Daniel Sambucci. Actually, you
5	know what? I assume that Daniel and Angela
6	Sambucci are going together, is that right? Okay.
7	So why don't you come up together. All right.
8	And bring up Howard Feinstein, Ross Holland and
9	Robert LoScalzo.
10	[Witnesses getting settled]
11	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Okay. Nice
12	and crowded up here. Good. Since you have the
13	microphone right in front of you would you like to
14	get started? Say your name and go right ahead.
15	MR. CARLOS CANAL: Yeah my name's
16	Carlos Canal [phonetic] I own Flushing Towing.
17	And I'm here with my wife, Nola Canal. She wants
18	to say something about it, all right.
19	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Thank you.
20	MS. NOLA CANAL: Hi my name's Nola.
21	Okay I believe Flushing Towing is a longest
22	standing family owned, Queens based business.
23	Flushing Towing is looking forward to moving into
24	the College Point Corporate Park. Flushing Towing
25	will be a good neighbor and the business will

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS & 18 CONCESSIONS
2	comply with all the Corporate Park's rules and
3	regulations and improve its business operations.
4	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Thank you
5	very much. Do you have anything to add?
6	MR. CARLOS CANAL: No that's it.
7	That's all.
8	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Okay.
9	Thank you very much. Let's pass the microphone
LO	right over.
11	MS. ANGELA SAMBUCCI: Hello. Good
12	afternoon. My name is Angela Sambucci. I'm
13	second generation Sambucci Brothers. And I am a
14	school teacher and I work with my family in the
15	summer and on the weekends. And I want to say
16	that the business has been there for 60 years. I
17	have my nephew here; he's going to speak about it.
18	I am getting a little emotional
19	when you say what is the property value of a
20	building when my father who's sitting in the back
21	over there broke his back with his brothers to
22	start this business 60 years ago Mr. Avella.
23	So is there a price on their blood,
24	sweat and tears? Where my brother took over this
25	business and now my brother has three sons who

eminent domain, the creation of the College Point

2 Industrial Park.

We've been operating continuously on that site. We need to own the property. We've been in long term negotiations with the EDC to purchase the property. By enabling us to own the property we will make the long term investment in the property by putting in a new asphalt plant that will be a state of the art facility. We've been working with the CB, its Land Use Committee as well as a local environmental consultant and have addressed all of their needs in terms of the new asphalt plant that we plan to put up on the site.

Again it will be state of the art.

We will bring natural gas on the site eliminating truck traffic of bringing truck deliveries of fuel, now at the fuel plant. In addition we, again, will be state of the art and we will be introducing warm mix asphalt to the New York City area which results in lesser emissions so not only will it help the community where we'll be manufacturing the asphalt but it will also have a positive impact where the asphalt is laid down during the installation process.

## SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS &23 CONCESSIONS

Again we were an original owner, we've been a long term tenant and we really need to own this site. And we would request that you vote in favor of this action.

MR. VINCENT VACCARO: Okay thank you Chair and members. Yeah. I'm Vincent Vaquero. I represent Met Metals. We took over an existing recycling, metal recycling place there in like 1982. Oh--Vincent Vaquero, Met Metals.

In 1982 we took it over and we've expanded a little. We cleaned it up. We fixed our property and we've thrived there since that time, building a business with me and my father, and now it's going to be me and my brother and my cousin.

And we worked, I enjoyed, you know, meeting with EDC. We gave them our needs. They put the site plan they gave us, it met all our needs. And again we worked with the CB 7 and I enjoyed that too, that process. And I would continue as living, working in College Point I will continue to work with them and be a good neighbor.

And again, me, my cousin, my

that which I want to talk about.

We were concerned about, of course when we had that meeting everybody was telling them fine and dandy and then one of the civic members presented something that was on the internet that caused us to put up a red flag. We had the people in again and fortunately Chuck Palian [phonetic] my Chair and myself went to visit the site. And we were kind of like dumbfounded on what we saw because I knew it was bad but I haven't been down there in a quite a number of years.

What we did was the first person we saw was New York Towing. And we had a problem with him because his site was in violation of the stuff that we had. If you notice in our documentations we put a restriction in there asking them to put something in the C of O, saying that they can only have three tow trucks and six accessory parking 'cause when we got there, there was a lot of storage there.

So we felt that if we're going to end up taking them over here and we didn't have a problem with them, we just knew that space was tight over there and everybody was asking

Willets Point. I mean that's the extent that we

2 went through.

Feinstein we were very disappointed with. That building didn't look like it got a stick of paint put on since the foundation was put in many years ago. The only thing new on the Feinstein property was the sign. We didn't even get access into it. We did a perimeter thing on it. The place was a mess. So we were a little disappointed 'cause we didn't get a chance to talk to Feinstein. They were running out the door.

The next two people we saw was

Sambucci and T Mina. We were very surprised

because you could probably eat off the floor with

those two facilities. They were organized. They

had everything cataloged. There was a reception

area. Cars could pull in. They weren't impacting

the area. T Mina had an excellent place for his

stuff. All his property and stuff was duly

stacked and racked and was perfect, which leads to

the concern that you brought up about rewarding

people what their commitments were and stuff like

that.

When we approved this and we said what each of the conditions was that we had in

Feinstein has got over three times

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS & 31 CONCESSIONS
2	the New York City area for over 78 years.
3	We are primarily involved in the
4	manufacture of structural steel for the
5	construction of public schools in the New York
6	City area. Due to the nature of my business,
7	areas are not available in New York City for the
8	manufacture of my product and we are very limited
9	at best, which has caused an exodus of my size
10	facilities outside of New York City.
11	The College Point facility will let
12	me maintain a presence in the New York City for
13	years to come, allowing my business to expand with
14	the City's growth and protecting hundreds of
15	manufacturing jobs, of skilled jobs in the future.
16	Thank you.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: Thank you.
18	Next please.
19	MR. ROBERT LoSCALZO: Good
20	afternoon Council Members. I am Robert LoScalzo,
21	a resident of the territory of Queens CB 7. I'd
22	like to ensure that you're aware that a very
23	disturbing condition attached by CB 7 to its
24	approval of the application has not been endorsed
25	by Borough President Marshall and has been

2 rejected already by the City Planning Commission.

I urge you to do the same even though the New York City Economic Development Corporation has already agreed in writing to accept the CB 7 condition, no matter what you do with the application. The application before you specifically pertains to nine properties within the College Point Corporate Park and to six specific businesses, five of which presently operate at Willets Point.

any way to the former site of the Flushing Airport nor does it pertain to any Willets Point business beyond the five that are specified. Nevertheless at CB 7's request and prior to its vote on the application but after it closed its public hearing, EDC provided a letter to Community Board 7 which has been incorporated in the Community Board 7's conditions and which states the following policy.

In accordance with CB 7's support for Willets Point businesses being relocated to the College Point Corporate Park, and then there is an item number 5, the City will not relocate

businesses should be prematurely eliminated from

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS & 34  CONCESSIONS
2	consideration merely as a bargaining chip.
3	Third and worst of all, if you'll
4	grant me another 30 seconds, EDC's policy
5	discriminates directly against Willets Point
6	businesses by predetermining that they and only
7	they are ineligible to be located anywhere at the
8	airport site. An otherwise identical business to
9	a Willets Point business that is not located in
10	Willets Point conceivably could move to the
11	airport site.
12	So let's be clear. Should this
13	application be approved it relocates a mere $1/50^{ m th}$
14	of the estimated 250 Willets Point businesses.
15	49/50 <sup>th</sup> , remain to be relocated. Please don't sign
16	onto EDC's premature and discriminatory policy.
17	Instead follow the lead of Ms. Marshall and the
18	CPC and don't link your decision to the policy.
19	Thank you.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: All right.
21	Thank you very much for your testimony, all of
22	you. And I'd like you to please stay in place and
23	I'm going to ask my colleague, the Chair of
24	Zoning, Council Member Avella.
25	COUNCIL MEMBER AVELLA: Well

line.

actually I just have one, a couple of questions for Gene. And then I'd like to make a comment.

After some of the remarks that were made about me.

Gene, you know, and you know the Board did a lot of thorough research on this but some of the things you mentioned and some of the commitments that the Board got refer to enforcement down the

And you and I know that enforcement becomes a problem. You know, in the best, you know, in the best of all possible worlds, where something is put in the deed or, you know, the agency agrees to enforce something and lo and behold, you and I see it all the time, day one, day two, they immediately start violating the code. And then we have to go crazy getting enforcement. That's my concern. And, you know, I'd like to know how the Board thought about that.

MR. KELTY: We, well, the option is if we say no, they're still going to do it. So at least if I--the old story is like at least if they put it in place, I can at least take it to the agency, whether it's the Department of Buildings or it's EDC or it's the other one is Board of

Sentence and Appeals [phonetic], I can at least go and fight the battle and say they're in violation of their things.

I have to say in all fairness that the agencies are getting a little better in their enforcement actions. I've seen DOB, I know I don't give them too much credit at time, but they do have an enforcement group that does go out.

And they have been getting some—I think the biggest problem we have Councilman is not so much the enforcement it's that when it gets to court, how it gets watered down. I don't like the plea bargain. I don't like the stipulation that the guy says he promises he'll never do it again. And we give him a slap or he goes to the ECB Court and he racks up \$10,000 worth of fines and he only pays \$1,000 worth of fine.

I am currently in the Fire

Department. When I had the St. George Hotel in

Brooklyn, I was one that hit the application under
a violation order and said there was a full

certification. I opened up the door; there was a

lot of intensive hearings and stuff that they had
to have. And we got them to comply. But it was

because they did a backhanded deal and that's what got them caught. And I was the one that repetitively went in and saw that the violation was happening.

and we do have a Civic Association that's there. It is come around. It has been very vocal and very visible in the area. If we get them, all I can say is constant, it's like I tell them about the lighthouse in Montauk, we keep chipping away at it and it disappeared. There was two. It's now down to one. Same thing with the businesses, if we keep chipping away at them, they're either going to conform or it's going to cost them a lot of money to keep going to court for violations.

I know it's not the perfect thing but that's the only best way I can say is to keep going after them. And I have to say in all fairness a lot of times, either through your office or our office, we call the applicant in.

We'll call the owner in and say we're not having a good relation, what's going on. Sometimes that person doesn't even know what he's removed or she's been removed from the site. All of a sudden

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING DISPOSITIONS & 39 CONCESSIONS
2	neighborhood and now they're not. And that's not
3	a, you know, something that I say about the
4	businesses. I'm just commenting on the fact that
5	the Mayor has said that.
6	And, you know, he talks out of both
7	sides of his mouth. So I used that word to
8	contradict what the Mayor has said. It is not a
9	reflection on the individual businesses, and yes I
10	was at Sambucci. And it was a clean operation.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: Thank you
12	so much. And thank you to all of you for coming
13	in. This Committee on Planning, Dispositions and
14	Concessions will be recessed until tomorrow,
15	Tuesday, July 28 <sup>th</sup> at 9:50 A.M. at the Committee
16	Room in City Hall. Thank you.
17	[END 1002]
18	
19	

I, Laura L. Springate certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

Lama L. Springete

Signature \_\_\_\_Laura L. Springate\_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_August 17, 2009