CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

COMMITTEE ON LAND USE

----X

June 4, 2009 Start: 2:08pm Recess: 2:39pm

HELD AT: Hearing Room

250 Broadway, 16th Floor

B E F O R E:

MELINDA R. KATZ Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Miguel Martinez Simcha Felder Larry B. Seabrook Joel Rivera Tony Avella Maria Baez

Leroy G. Comrie, Jr.

Eric N. Gioia John C. Liu Helen Sears

Sara M. Gonzalez Annabel Palma

Maria del Carmen Arroyo

Daniel R. Gardonick Jessica S. Lappin Elizabeth Crowley

Albert Vann

Vincent M. Ignizio

Charles Barron

Ubiqus 22 Cortlandt Street – Suite 802, New York, NY 10007 Phone: 212-227-7440 * 800-221-7242 * Fax: 212-227-7524

COUNCIL MEMBERS (Continued)
Inez E. Dickens

Ubiqus 22 Cortlandt Street – Suite 802, New York, NY 10007 Phone: 212-227-7440 * 800-221-7242 * Fax: 212-227-7524

A P P E A R A N C E S

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

David Yassky

2.0

2.3

2	CHAIRPERSON KATZ: Okay. Let's try
3	to complete the Land Use process right now, if I
4	can. The application, we have several
5	applications for today. I'll go through them very
6	quickly. Preconsidered LU P.S. 331 is a siting of
7	a primary school in Council Member Gentile's
8	district.

Preconsidered LU Numbers 1073

through 1075 is the Dock Street school siting,

Dock Street project. One is the school siting.

The other one is the amendment to the zoning map,

special permit; two special permits. There are

four applications to facilitate the development of

a residential building with a public school.

Ground floor retail and parking. I have a

restrictive declaration to the Dock Street. I'd

like to just read the summary into the record.

And then, I will give it to the Counsel to be part

of the record of the Land Use Committee.

For the school, it is approximately 45,000 square feet community facility. It must be a public school according to the restrictive declaration. There is also the restrictive declaration of providing that the residential

2.0

2.3

units are no fewer than 20%. I will have the
entire document placed into the record. I thank
you.

LU Number 1102 is the Carl Icon Charter School in Council Member Foster's district. It is a ULURP and UDAP to allow the expansion of the playground for the school.

LU Number 1105 in North Zarega
[phonetic] Industrial site is in Council Member
Vacca's district. It is the disposition to
facilitate industrial expansion.

LU Number 1106 is in Council Member de Blasio's district, 111 Union Street rezoning.

It's an amendment to the zoning map to extend the commercial overlay.

We'll be done in a minute. LU

Number 1107 is the Cross Access text amendment.

It is in Council Member Ignizio, Oddo and Council

Member Mitchell's district. It's an amendment to

the zoning text to allow vehicles to move between

retail development without reentering traffic.

And, we are told that we will get back to us on

how the rest of the City can utilize that, as

well, one day.

2	LU Number 1108 is privately owned
3	public plazas text amendment. It is Citywide
4	amendment to the zoning text to update the 2007
5	text.
6	Intro 979 is a local law to extend
7	the operating authority of the unsubsidized
8	private buses to LaGuardia and JFK from Midtown
9	Manhattan and, the buses between Williamsburg and
LO	Borough Park, Brooklyn. Council Member Martinez,
11	I know you have to go Chair a committee meeting.
12	How do you vote on the items?
L3	COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: I want to
L4	vote aye. And, I want to also inform that the day
L5	after tomorrow is a better movie with the Bridge.
L6	CHAIRPERSON KATZ: Okay. [Pause]
L7	You want to go now? No, go ahead. Let me take
L8	prerogative. This is Council Member Yassky's. I
L9	would like to call on him for a few words.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER FELDER: I have to
21	leave.
22	CHAIRPERSON KATZ: You have to
23	leave?
24	COUNCIL MEMBER FELDER: May I
25	request permission even if I'm not going to Chair

1	COMMITTEE ON LAND USE 7
2	[off mic]
3	CHAIRPERSON KATZ: Council Member
4	Yassky.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER YASSKY: Go right
6	ahead.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER FELDER: Please.
8	CHAIRPERSON KATZ: He yields his
9	time to you.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER FELDER: Thank you
11	very much. [Off mic]
12	CHAIRPERSON KATZ: Council Member
13	Felder.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER FELDER: I vote yes
15	on all the items.
16	CHAIRPERSON KATZ: Thank you very
17	much, Council Member Felder. Does anyone else
18	have to leave for a meeting before Council
19	Member Seabrook.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER SEABROOK: I'll
21	wait.
22	CHAIRPERSON KATZ: You can vote.
23	It's up to you.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER SEABROOK: No [off
25	mic]

Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER YASSKY:

2

4

CHAIRPERSON	KATZ:	Council	Member

3 Yassky.

5 I just want to take, you know, this one last 6 opportunity to urge you, my colleagues on this 7 Committee, to vote to reject this proposal. You 8 have heard my arguments at the hearing. But, I just want to, I guess, take what Simcha Felder 9 10 said really as the frame here. No one, I think, 11 is saying this is a holy issue and that the world 12 will fall apart and that no one will be able to see Manhattan from Brooklyn if this building's 13 14 built. Of course, no one's saying that. 15 am saying is that the experience of walking over 16 and driving over and biking over the Brooklyn 17 Bridge will be changed. And, I think that Council

is constantly changing, thank goodness. And,
that's part of why-- that's what makes it a great

Member Felder acknowledged that when he said no

one says there's no impact. It will be changed.

And, is change always bad? We live in a City that

23 City.

18

19

20

24

25

But, there are a few things that we should not tamper with. And, I would say the

Brooklyn Bridge, if you said there are three things or five things, however many things that you should not tamper with, the Brooklyn Bridge would be one of those. And, the experience of walking and riding and driving over the Brooklyn Bridge should not be tampered with. And, when Council Member Felder refers to the humungous Verizon building, I can't imagine there's anyone in this room or anyone who's ever walked over the Bridge toward Manhattan that wouldn't say, if you could go back in time and get rid of that Verizon building, of course you would do that.

And, do I know that what will be built on this site will be as bad as the Verizon building? No, I don't. Maybe it will be, and I hope it will be a far more graceful design. But, there is simply no reason to take that risk. And, would anyone here regret voting to reject this application? I can't see why you would. Might you regret voting to approve it? You might. And, I bet that you will.

And, on the issue of the school. I just want to say that the fact that the School Construction Authority has behaved atrociously,

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that's not a reason to vote against the project if it was otherwise a good project. But, a lot of people have told me, a lot of Council Members have told me that they see the reason to vote for this that it provides a school for downtown Brooklyn. If that's your reason, that is a bad reason because it is as clear, and thanks really to the aggressive and wonderful questioning of Council Member Gioia, it is clear as day that we would have-- there are plenty of other places in downtown Brooklyn to have a school that the School Construction Authority did decide, for reasons unknown, that this was the only place that they would look at seriously. And, that if this project were defeated, they could find another place in downtown Brooklyn for a school. So, that is no reason for it.

And, I appreciate that there's a restrictive declaration that requires a school here. And, as I understand it, the restrictive declaration requires that the-- says that the City will pay \$1 for the core and shell. But, I would note, and I would just sort of urge the Committee to be careful here, I would note that the School

2	Construction Authority is still carrying, in its
3	budget, \$42 million for the fit-out of the school.
4	So, they get the core and shell for \$1. They get
5	the fit-out for \$42 million. At a, you know, a
6	40,000 feet facility, that's almost a \$1,000 a
7	square foot or three times the going rate that the
8	SCA pays for a fit-out. So, that's like saying,
9	you know, a restaurant says \$1 steak. You walk in
10	and it's a \$1 steak. And, the bill comes and it's
11	\$45 for the mashed potatoes that come with it.
12	That is not a good deal. That's a bad deal. And,
13	I would urge the Committee to take real care to
14	ensure that the taxpayers of the City are not
15	played for suckers, as I fear they're going to be.
16	So, I thank you, Chair, for
17	indulging me in allowing me to make this
18	statement. And, again, I would urge the Committee
19	members to vote no.
20	CHAIRPERSON KATZ: Any other
21	discussion? Okay. Call the roll.
22	CAROL SHINE: Carol Shine, Chair to
23	the Committee.
24	CAROL SHINE: Chair Katz.
25	CHAIRPERSON KATZ: I will explain

my vote. I will be voting yes on this project.

We have had an answer to some of the folks. We have had hearing upon hearing on this issue. I believe that everyone on both sides of this issue have been heard. The Speaker and I and several other folks went out to take tours. We walked the bridges. We walked the Bridge. And, you know, it is amazing in Land Use that, at the end of the day, decisions need to be made. And, priorities need to be given priorities. And, the truth is that almost in every project, there is compromise and there is fighting. And, at the end of the day, you hope that you come out with a better project.

We are in very difficult economic times today. We are looking for jobs. We are looking to put our children in schools all over the City of New York. I have carefully balanced the interests on all sides of this issue. I thank all the community groups for all the work they've done. I respect Councilman Yassky for the work he has done. I also respect Council Members Tish James and Al Vann, who are avid supporters of this project. So, having said that, I vote yes and I

certain way. But, at the end of the day, I think that this is a sound project. This project meets the goals that all of the people that are in the area knew were about to happen and were going to happen eventually. I think, also, that this project is a project that has been amended, compromised and is being done by people that had preplanned this project for a long time. This is not an issue that I think, at the end of the day, will cloud the Brooklyn Bridge's vision.

You know, I take the Brooklyn
Bridge every morning on my way into the City.
I've gone and I've detoured through that area many
mornings when the BQE's backed up. In fact, one
of my favorite, well, restaurants is down in that
area where I go sneak and eat when there's an
opportunity to not come into the City. I think
that, you know, and then, the other thing is that
area's an area that constantly has photo shoots.
It's one of the areas that the media goes to on a
regular basis to get period pieces.

I don't think any of that will be compromised by this project. I think that there's a been a great effort on behalf of the new

2	residential community in that area to make sure
3	that it's contextually done. I think the
4	developers have worked hard to try to make the
5	project as detail orientated as possible to meet
6	the needs of the community. And, I think, at the
7	end of the day, the project stands on its own as a
8	strong project. I vote aye.
9	CAROL SHINE: Council Member Gioia.
LO	COUNCIL MEMBER GIOIA: Thank you.
11	I vote no on 1073 through 1075, otherwise known as
12	the Dock Street Dumbo Project. And
13	CHAIRPERSON KATZ: [Off mic]
L4	COUNCIL MEMBER GIOIA: Well, that's
15	essentially what I want to vote. No. Hold on.
L6	Pardon me one second. [Pause] 1073, 1074, 1075
L7	CHAIRPERSON KATZ: It's the
18	preconsidered [crosstalk]
19	COUNCIL MEMBER GIOIA:
20	preconsidered Land Use motion
21	CHAIRPERSON KATZ: item. You
22	want to vote against
23	COUNCIL MEMBER GIOIA: and the
24	school siting, as well.
25	CHAIRPERSON KATZ: Correct.

25 CAROL SHINE: Council Member

24

you.

17	
ain	
.0	
on on	
lese	
ears.	
on	
alma.	

COMMITTEE	ON	LAND	USE

Seabrook. 2 3 COUNCIL MEMBER SEABROOK: Expl 4 my vote. I indicated that I will be voting t allow this project. And, I will make my tour 5 myself and see what it is and make my decision 6 my third vote, as well. So, I vote age on the 7 items here and all else. 8 9 CAROL SHINE: Council Member Se 10 COUNCIL MEMBER SEARS: Aye. 11 CAROL SHINE: Council Member Gonzalez. 12 COUNCIL MEMBER GONZALEZ: Aye 13 14 all. CAROL SHINE: Council Member Pa COUNCIL MEMBER PALMA: Aye.

15

16

17 CAROL SHINE: Council Member

18 Arroyo.

19 COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: Yes.

2.0 CAROL SHINE: Council Member

21 Garodnick.

22 COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Moment

23 to explain my vote?

24 CHAIRPERSON KATZ: Please.

25 COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Thank

you. I am going to vote aye on this application as a member of this Committee. I think Council Member Seabrook made an important point, which is that there are some very reasoned views on both sides of this issue. One thing that I'm a little troubled by is that I have some competing information as to exactly how close the lower portion of this building is to the Bridge at this moment in time. And, I realize this is not the moment to be asking questions of anybody. I was not here for the hearing as to whether that's a 50-foot or a 100-foot and exactly where the taller portion of this building is.

But, I also recognize that there is an as-of-right development that could be built here. And, I'm sensitive to that. And, that is what allows me to vote yes on this item today because I think that we certainly want to make sure that we deal with these land use issues in a comprehensive way, in a way that respects the zoning that we believe should be appropriate in that area.

So, a long way of saying I'm going to vote aye. I'm going to take a careful look at

2	this between now and next week. And, on all of
3	the other items, I also vote aye. I can see the
4	Counsel prompting me to vote on all items. Thank
5	you.
6	CAROL SHINE: Council Member
7	Lappin.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: May I have
9	a moment to explain my vote, Madam Chair?
10	CHAIRPERSON KATZ: Yes.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: Thank you.
12	I'm going to vote aye on all items on the agenda
13	today. And, I did think long and hard about the
14	Dock Street application. But, as Council Member
15	Garodnick alluded to, at the end of the day, the
16	owner of this property has a right to develop it.
17	And, in fact, if they developed it as a right,
18	they could build something potentially closer to
19	the Bridge and certainly larger in terms of bulk
20	and height.
21	So, what we're really voting on
22	today is a land use question. Should it be a
23	manufacturing site? Or, should it be a mixed use
24	site? And, I think a mixed use site that involves

residential, retail and a school makes more sense

2	for the neighborhood than a manufacturing site.
3	And, that's the item that is before us today.
4	Anything that gets built here is going to impact
5	the Bridge to some extent, any item.
6	And, there are other parcels in the
7	neighborhood that have not been developed. And,
8	when they are, they're going to impact the Bridge,
9	too. There's another parcel very close to this
10	one that, when it's developed, is going to impact
11	the Bridge. Do we want that developed as a
12	manufacturing site or potentially as something
13	else? That's going to be another question for us
14	to discuss. So, that's the reason why I'm voting
15	aye. Thank you.
16	CAROL SHINE: Council Member
17	Crowley.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: Madam
19	Chair, may I have permission to explain my vote?
20	CHAIRPERSON KATZ: Yes.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: I vote aye
22	on all of the items. I would just like to say I
23	thought long and hard. I had both supporters and
24	opponents come into my office and explain the

proposal. And, like many of my colleagues have

2.0

2.3

said earlier today, they could have gone forward
with a more unattractive building that would have
had a larger impact on the historic nature of the
area. I feel that the current proposal is one
that is well thought out and that's how I'm voting
in favor of the proposal. Aye on all.

CHAIRPERSON KATZ: Thank you.

Continue the roll.

10 CAROL SHINE: Council Member

11 Avella. [Pause]

actually going to-- well, first of all, I vote on all items, except the applications related to the Dock Street proposal, I vote no. I was actually going to let my comments stay that I made at the subcommittee. But, I think I wanted to make a few additional comments.

First of all, in relation to the issue about the as-of-right, if they were going to do the as-of-right scenario, they would have done it. It doesn't make sense for them to do it. If there was any financial viability to that as-of-right scenario, they would have done it. That argument holds absolutely no water.

2	And, for anybody to say that this					
3	is not going to block the Bridge to some extent is					
4	just simply untrue. And, I think it was Council					
5	Member Felder who was alluding to the fact that					
6	some of the people in opposition to the project					
7	live in Dumbo and their views would be affected.					
8	Well, I got to tell you, I have gotten e-mails					
9	from all over the country about the fact that this					
10	proposal will block some of the Bridge. That has					
11	nothing to do with the people who live in Dumbo.					
12	This is a national treasure. It should be					
13	protected. That's the bottom line.					
14	And, the main reason I wanted to					
15	add a comment was in relation to Council Member					
16	Sears' comment. Anybody who believes that the					
17	real estate industry doesn't move the agenda in					
18	this City is crazy. And, it's the money that					
19	moves the situation. And, that's what's wrong					
20	with this project.					
21	CAROL SHINE: Council Member Vann.					
22	COUNCIL MEMBER VANN: [Off mic].					
23	[Pause]					
24	CAROL SHINE: By a vote of 18 in					
25	the affirmative, none in the negative and no					

2	abstentions, the aforementioned items are adopted						
3	with the exception of preconsidered 20095229 and						
4	LUs 1073, 1074 and 1075, which are adopted by a						
5	vote of 15 in the affirmative, three in the						
6	negative and no abstentions.						
7	CHAIRPERSON KATZ: We have Council						
8	Members on their way. Council Member Ignizio.						
9	Council Member Dickens is in her office. If we						
10	can give her a call, she will come down to vote.						
11	So, we will keep the roll open for 15 minutes.						
12	Thank you very much.						
13	CAROL SHINE: Council Member						
14	Ignizio.						
15	COUNCIL MEMBER IGNIZIO: Aye on						
16	all. Aye on all.						
17	CAROL SHINE: Thank you. The vote						
18	stands at 19 in the affirmative, none in the						
19	negative and no abstentions all on all items, with						
20	the exceptions of the four Dock Street items, 16						
21	in the affirmative, three negative and no						
22	abstentions.						
23	Council Member Barron.						
24	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: I vote aye						
25	on all except for the [pause] I vote no. Yes.						

hereby adjourned.

I, DeeDee E. Tataseo certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

Si	a	na	+	ur	ے
\mathcal{L}	-9	ща		uт	\subset

Deeder E. Tatano

Date ______July 24, 2009