CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

----X

March 7, 2009 Start: 1:30pm Recess: 3:26pm

HELD AT: Committee Room

City Hall

B E F O R E:

JAMES F. GENNARO

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Bill de Blasio

Domenic M. Recchia, Jr.

Erik Ulrich

Peter F. Vallone, Jr.

Elizabeth Crowley Thomas White, Jr. Mathieu Eugene

## A P P E A R A N C E S

## COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Lewis A. Fidler G. Oliver Koppell

## A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Daniel O'Connell Director of General Motors Fuel Cell Commercialization General Motors Corporation

Edward Kiczek Global Business Director of Hydrogen Energy Systems Group Air Products

Costa Constantinitas Legislative Director, Environmental Protection Committee New York City Council

Raymond Kenard President American Wind Power & Hydrogen

Michael McGowan Head of Hydrogen Solutions / Chairman Linde North America, LLC / National Hydrogen Association

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

by Council Member Bill de Blasio. Happy to have
Councilman de Blasio with us today. I'll proceed
with my statement.

In the U.S. and Canada, oil use is
almost double the consumption of oil per capita of

other industrialized nations and, unlike most

other industrialized nations, two-thirds of all

petroleum use is for transportation and 58% of it comes from overseas. Use of petroleum and other fossil fuels is responsible for 82% of total U.S. greenhouse gas emissions. With the enactment of Local Law 22 of 2008, New York City has committed to reduce the greenhouse gas emissions by City government in its own operations by 30% by the year 2017 and Citywide by 2030. To honor that commitment, we seek ways to reduce our use of fossil fuels and thereby reduce local air pollution and greenhouse gas emissions.

One emerging technology that is generating considerable interest is the use of hydrogen fuel cells for transportation. Imagine a day when water and sunlight can be used to power our appliances, homes and our vehicles. That day is here. And, outside are two vehicles powered entirely by hydrogen, one of the most common elements on our planet. While these vehicles are not currently available for sale, they're available for lease by some automobile companies. And, there is a move underfoot to bring more hydrogen fueling stations to New York City. We'll hear more about that from the good folks from GM

2 who are here today.

This hearing will focus on the steps the government and the private sector need to take to encourage this promising technology, even in this challenging economic clime. I think the fact we're in a challenging economic climate is all the more reason to do it. We're joined by Council Member Oliver Koppell. We're grateful for him being here today.

And, for those of you who were outside, we saw a wonderful exhibition of this technology in the form of two GM cars that were available to be looked at, to be driven. And, I think it's a great opportunity to get the word out about this technology. I'm grateful that the people that are making this technology with regard to the vehicles we saw today is New York State technology. We're very happy about that.

And, but, before we call our first witness, I'd like to recognize Councilman Lew Fidler, the author of Reso 1223-A for a statement and thank him for his leadership. Council Member Fidler.

COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: Thank

you, Chairman Gennaro. And, I want to thank you for scheduling this hearing. The timing of which is extraordinarily propitious. You know, I sometimes hesitate to bring back issues to this Chamber that we argued about at great length.

And, one of them is congestion pricing. At the time of the congestion pricing debate, I proposed nine Resolutions, each of which was designed to address one of the end goals of the planners of congestion pricing.

One of the goals of that program was cleaning our air. And, you know, I, you know, note that one of the other goals yesterday was accomplished when the State Legislature passed the payroll tax, the regional payroll tax to pay for mass transit. That was one of the nine Resolutions that we introduced. And, I must say that eight of my colleagues joined me in cosponsoring that Resolution that has wound up being the solution to paying for mass transit in our City.

Twenty-one of my colleagues cosponsored this Resolution. Tomorrow the Star Trek movie opens in the City of New York. And, for

those of you who follow such, you'll note that, in

Star Trek, energy is produced in an

environmentally sound, clean manner. And, perhaps

not coincidentally, there's also world peace. I

think there's a direct relationship between both

of those things.

And, that is why this hearing is so incredibly important. Hydrogen fuel cell cars are the Holy Grail of transportation. They are zero emission vehicles. You could put your mouth to the exhaust pipe of a hydrogen fuel cell car and inhale and it wouldn't hurt you. If we could, with a magic wand, take every gasoline combustion engine off the streets of the City of New York and replace them with a hydrogen fuel cell car, we would do more to change our world and to clean our air than anything else we have discussed in this Chamber combined. And, we have had a green agenda in this Chamber.

So, it is not insignificant. Now, of course, you know, if it is so important and it is so positive an end result, why isn't it happening? And, you know, there are a multitude of answers to that question; none of which, in my

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

view, are insurmountable. But, there is a role 2 3 that we can play in government in pushing that 4 envelope. There is, of course, the chicken and egg question. And, that is would you buy a 5 hydrogen fuel cell car if there wasn't a hydrogen 6 7 refueling station in your neighborhood? And, 8 would you open a hydrogen refueling station in someone's neighborhood if there were no hydrogen 9 fuel cell cars? And, we need, in government, to 11 find a way to answer that conundrum because the

end goal is just too important.

Second, we need to find an energy positive clean way to produce the hydrogen. need to get it right. We need to get a delivery infrastructure in place that is safe and is sound and is energy positive. We don't want to cause more greenhouse gases in producing the hydrogen than is absolutely necessary. And, since we are developing this industry from the ground up, we may as well do it right from the ground up.

And then, last is the incredible economic opportunity that this presents. And, you know, we talk about green jobs in this City an awful lot. In the petroleum industry, you kind of

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

have to mine and refine the petroleum where you find it. That limitation does not apply to hydrogen. We could be a leader in the world in producing hydrogen. We could start it here. We could start it now. We could start it as an economic development tool here in the City of New York.

And, I have to say I'm a little disappointed. We met with the Bloomberg Administration about five months ago and asked them to make this part of their green jobs agenda at the Economic Development Corporation. And, they declined to do so because they didn't see the opportunity. I don't understand how you could not see the opportunity in this. The goal is so extraordinary. The benefit is so great. chance to be at the forefront of something that, not only will have an enormous impact on our environment, but will change the geopolitical balance in this world to end our dependence on foreign oil. All of the good things, things that we talk about here almost whimsically that, if we can do something to push that envelope forward today, then we have done something really

1 COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 11 significant. 2 3 So, Mr. Chairman, I thank you for 4 calling this hearing today. I look forward to 5 eventually passing this Resolution so that we can continue to move this process forward. 6 7 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you. 8 Thank you, Council Member Fidler. I want to thank you for your leadership. Thank you for drafting 9 10 this Resolution. Thank you for bringing us here today. We're joined by Council Member Recchia, 11 Council Member Ulrich and Council Member Peter 12 Vallone, just has come into the room. Grateful to 13 14 him for being here. 15 And, I will note for the record 16 that the Office of Long Term Planning and 17 Sustainability came down to the parking lot, where we had the vehicles. We had Kizzy [phonetic], 18 19 Charles Guzmond [phonetic] there. And so, and, 20 happy to have them see the folks from GM who 21 showed us the vehicles. And so, that there 22 hopefully will be some interest that comes from 23 that. And, with that said, we're ready to 24 25 call our first witness. We have Dan O'Connell

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

from General Motors. He's the General Motors Director of the Fuel Cell Division of General Welcome, Mr. O'Connell. You and if you want to bring any of your colleagues up with you, if you want to carry the ball, it's whatever you would wish. We appreciate you taking the time to be here, bringing your vehicles down here for having a program whereby, you know, New York State residents can use this kind of technology for free and give you feedback to help you develop it. appreciate that there are over 400 jobs of people who live in New York State working on this technology. And, we appreciate the fact that of all the people doing this kind of technology, with regard to autos, there's only one that does it in the U.S. and that happens to be in New York State. And, that's okay with me.

And so, with that said,

we'd be happy to hear it.

Mr. O'Connell-- whoops, we're joined by Council
Member Liz Crowley from Queens. Happy to have her
here today. So, we have your statement,
Mr. O'Connell. If you could state your name for
the record and proceed with your good testimony,

DANIEL O'CONNELL: Thank you very much. My name is Daniel O'Connell. And, I'm the Director of GM's Fuel Cell Commercialization.

General Motors' first Fuel Cell vehicle was built and operated in 1968. The Global Fuel Cell Program was reestablished in 1990 in conjunction with the Los Alamos National Labs and moved to our facility in upstate New York in 1996. Our facilities and resources have expanded in New York State several times since then and we now employ nearly 400 people in the State on fuel cells.

General Motors has taken a

leadership role and deployed over 100 Chevrolet

Equinox Fuel Cell Electric Vehicles under our

Project Driveway. Our intention was to get real

drivers in real vehicles under real operating

conditions. The vehicles have been completely

tested and fully certified to meet all current

Fuel Cell Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standards

and all of the requirements therein. More than 30

of those vehicles have been operated in New York

State for the past 20 months and have performed

very well including two very cold winters. And,

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

last winter, it was very cold. Some of our

vehicles are well over 20,000 miles in customer's

hands and still running very well.

Our drivers have accumulated nearly 750,000 miles with the vehicles and refueled the vehicles nearly 12,000 times. More than 60 mainstream drivers, many municipalities, government agencies, celebrities and the Military have experienced the pleasure of driving our zero emission, zero petroleum, hydrogen-powered fuel cell vehicles. We have had overwhelmingly positive response to the vehicles with the General Motors Fuel Cell technology inside and we received more than 80,000 applicants on our website to participate in Project Driveway. The response was overwhelming. General Motors has trained nearly 1,400 First Responders on the safety systems within the vehicle and at the stations and over 300 technicians on how to service fuel cell vehicles.

General Motors designed, purchased and installed eight refueling stations across the United States to support our first mover position on fuel cell vehicles. In addition, we worked

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

with our DOE partner, Shell Hydrogen, to install an additional five stations that we expect to open very soon. The City of White Plains, the Town of Hempstead, as well as Monroe County and Rochester Institute of Technology have stepped up to take a lead role in installing Hydrogen refueling stations.

In our opinion, New York State is ideally situated to lead the way in hydrogen infrastructure required to support the introduction of fuel cell vehicles. Some Project Driveway refueling stations are using byproduct hydrogen produced from the Chlor-Alkali industry in Niagara Falls, New York. Utilizing this green hydrogen from the renewable source, hydropower, results in a real-world validated 85% reduction in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions over a comparable gasoline-powered internal combustion engine vehicle and the fuel delivery system on a wells to wheels basis. So, complete wells to wheels analysis, it's been validated with our actual readings on the technology that we have an 85% reduction in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. If our fleet of vehicles, the 100 vehicles, used the Praxair green hydrogen

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

available here in New York, we could have saved 625,000 pounds of  $CO_2$  to date.

We are currently working with NYSERDA to install a Hydrogen Highway that would allow our drivers to go from one end of the state to the other; the first state in the Union to be able to do that. This would not only require funding but also standardization of the codes and permitting process required to install the hydrogen stations in New York City, as well as throughout the State. The Chevrolet Equinox Fuel Cell Electric Vehicle I drove here today and that you had the experience of either driving or looking at today, is nearly twice as fuel efficient with zero CO2 emissions as the internal combustion engine it replaced. We invite the Council members to tour our facility in Ardsley, or tour any of our network of stations, to learn more about the impressive progress being made in the effort to commercialize the fuel cell technology. General Motors believes that Fuel Cell Electric Vehicles offer the fast refill time, combined with the long driving range, that our customers demand.

Thank you very much.

3 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you.

Thank you, Mr. O'Connell. I have some questions that I'd like to pose to you. I appreciate the opportunity to do that. And, with regard to—could you tell us a little more about how you get the hydrogen with regard to what's going on up in Niagara Falls? There's a manufacturing process with regard to the production of, you know, chlorine bleach and you're able to use the hydrogen byproduct. Could you explain the environmental benefits of [crosstalk]

DANIEL O'CONNELL: Yeah, the reverse of a fuel cell is called an electrolyzer. And, what that does is it takes water and splits it apart when you put electricity into it. In the case of the Chlor-Akali industry, what they do is they take a brine solution, which is basically saltwater, put it into the reverse of a fuel cell, this electrolyzer, put some electricity into it and split it apart. So, what comes off is hydrogen and oxygen in a gas form. And, what's left is a caustic material that they use to make Clorox products. For most of the East Coast, it's

produced right in Niagara Falls with two different
companies there.

The hydrogen that we use is normally off gassed to the atmosphere. We've captured that hydrogen, put it into-- purified it, put it into a tanker truck and bring it to Rochester, as well as to New York City, to fuel our vehicles with that green hydrogen that's produced as a byproduct of the Chlor-Alkali industry from renewable electricity from Niagara Falls.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: And, with regard to you working closely with the State to make this technology, to move this technology forward, you indicated that you're working with NYSERTA to do this Hydrogen Highway. And, could you talk about the, you know, kind of cooperation that you had from New York State, 'cause it seems it would be in the State's, you know, economic interest to advance this technology for economic reasons, as well as the State's, you know, need to, you know, clear our air. And so, talk a little bit about the kind of working relationship you have with NYSERTA and other people within the

Τ	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION I
2	State government and the Paterson Administration.
3	DANIEL O'CONNELL: Now, we
4	currently have five stations going into the New
5	York City area. There's the station at our
6	facility in Ardsley, New York. Shell has a
7	station that they put into White Plains. Shell
8	also has a station going in in the Bronx, as well
9	as at JFK. In the Bronx, we have a great
10	relationship with the Sanitation Department,
11	because we use their property to put the station
12	in. At JFK, we work very closely with the Port
13	Authority
14	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: [Interposing]
15	The City Sanitation Department. The
16	DANIEL O'CONNELL: The City
17	Sanitation Department.
18	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: New York
19	City Department of
20	DANIEL O'CONNELL: New York City
21	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO:
22	Sanitation.
23	DANIEL O'CONNELL: Department of
24	Sanitation, yes. We also work very closely with
25	the New York/New Jersey Port Authority at JFK.

The station is located on their property, just outside of the circle there, adjacent to where the natural gas filling station is. There's now a Shell hydrogen station there. We've worked very closely with NYSERTA to help get the Hempstead station in. That's now currently under construction. So, that would allow you to go from Long Island all the way out to West White Plains. We also are working with the Military to put a station in at West Point. There's currently a station in Albany. We have three stations that we put in in Rochester, New York, where our facility is located, where the technology's developed.

And so, our goal is to work with NYSERTA to link those stations up, both in Rochester, New York and Albany and be able to go from one end of the state to the other by adding a station in Syracuse, Utica and Buffalo, as well as an additional station in Albany. That would allow you to go from one end of the state to the other and get fuel all along the way.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you.

[Pause] What I'll do, Mr. O'Connell, I'm going to come around on a, you know, second round for some

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

more questions. But, I feel it's only right to offer an early opportunity for the sponsor of the, and the author of the, Resolution, Lew Fidler, to pose some questions to you. And, I'll come back on a second round. I recognize Council Member Fidler.

COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And, thank you, Mr. O'Connell, for coming here today and hosting the demonstration of the future in the City Hall parking lot. really it was, I think, enlightening and eyeopening to a lot of people who walked by. I mean, I made reference to the fact that I started talking about this about a year and a half ago here at City Hall. And, I used the same comment, that you could put your mouth and inhale from the tailpipe of the car. And, one of my colleagues, at that time, suggested I might be inhaling something else. And, you know, today, we clearly saw that I wasn't and that it's real and that it's here and, you know, we just need to find a way to make it happen. So, thank you.

I want to just, before I ask you some very specific questions about hydrogen

production, I want to talk to you about some of the reactions and myths that exist around these cars. So, I know that we've had this discussion.

But, they weren't on the record. So, first of all, do the cars perform in the same way as conventional gasoline combustion engines do in terms of driving performance?

DANIEL O'CONNELL: One of the things that we did originally was we put the fuel cell technology in advanced vehicles and people didn't really like it. Our customers said no, I want something that's completely transparent. I want to be able to get out of my current production vehicle and I want to get into the new fuel cell car and not need any special training to be able to just have it perform the same. So, the vehicle is designed to be exactly the same as the vehicle it replaces.

It'll do a zero to 60 in under ten seconds. It'll go 100 miles an hour without any problem. The vehicle performs very well because it's electric drive. It has a lot of torque available. So, whenever you press on the throttle, it's very responsive. The starting

sequence is exactly the same. There's no special buttons to push. You go in and tap the on button and the vehicle turns on and allows you to drive away. Our customers, with very little training, are able to get in and drive the car. And, the ultimate compliment to us is after a week, they say I forgot it's a fuel cell car.

COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: That's great. Now, the second, you know, reaction I get from people is my God, it's hydrogen. Isn't that explosive? And, of course, you have to remind them that so is gasoline. Is it not a fact that these vehicles are actually somewhat safer than gasoline combustion engines because gasoline explodes outward and hydrogen would, if, God forbid, it exploded, would explode upward so that there is less of an impact on people who are on the ground?

DANIEL O'CONNELL: All fuels are dangerous. I don't mean to downplay that at all. All fuels that we have today are dangerous. But, we have learned how to handle them correctly. In our fuel cell vehicle, all of the high pressure hydrogen that's in the tank is located within the

it all over town.

tank. No oxygen can get into that tank to get to a combustible mixture within the tank. So, an explosion of the tank is virtually impossible.

We've crash test the vehicle.

We've shot them with ballistics. Shot the tanks with ballistics. We set the vehicles on fire.

We've flipped them over. We've done everything conceivable to the vehicle and it passes every one of the standards. The vehicle is so safe that I put my two children, 8 and 10 years old, in the backseat, on top of the hydrogen tank, and drive

There's only four teaspoons of hydrogen in the lines at any one time. So, there's very little fuel outside the tank. The tanks are pretty much indestructible. There are carbon fiber-wrapped plastic liner and in an accident, the tanks survive. The vehicle can be nearly demolished, but the tanks survive.

In terms of the safety of hydrogen when it does escape to the atmosphere, it escapes very quickly. It goes up at 45 miles an hour. In a gasoline accident, hydrogen that comes out of the lines or anything can get onto the ground and

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 26
2	applications can get down to \$2 a gallon
3	equivalent. And, because the fuel cell is twice
4	as efficient, the cost per mile for the consumer
5	will be significantly less.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: [Off mic]
7	[pause]
8	DANIEL O'CONNELL: Yeah, we have
9	some pretty sound data that would indicate that
10	when we are at high volume manufacturing, the cost
11	of the vehicle will be the same as the internal
12	combustion engine vehicles that it replaces.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: And, that's
14	pretty much [pause]
15	DANIEL O'CONNELL: And, that's an
16	excellent
17	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: [Off mic]
18	DANIEL O'CONNELL: Yes, I can.
19	That's an excellent question. And, we get that
20	question quite often is how much energy does it
21	take to make a gallon of hydrogen versus a gallon
22	of fuel. And, how many miles can you get from
23	that gallon of fuel. And, we did a pretty
24	extensive analysis that, although the energy
25	required to make a gallon equivalent of hydrogen

And then, the last one is from different products, from natural gas, some of the hydrogen is produced from steam reforming of natural gas, which is very efficient, but still produces some  $CO_2$ .

22

23

24

25

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 28
2	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: [Off mic]
3	[pause]
4	DANIEL O'CONNELL: Yeah, that's
5	correct.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: You know,
7	is it possible [pause] because hydrogen [pause]
8	hydrogen fuel [pause]
9	DANIEL O'CONNELL: Yes. Hydrogen
10	produced from electricity from a renewable source
11	allows us to get close to that realization of a
12	95% wells to wheel reduction in greenhouse gas.
13	So, there is an opportunity there with solar, with
14	wind and with hydro to be able to use that
15	electricity to make cheap, inexpensive green
16	hydrogen and use those in our vehicles and get
17	that 95% reduction in the wells to wheels.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: [Off mic]
19	[pause]
20	DANIEL O'CONNELL: Yes. I think
21	the way to think of that is if we just said let's
22	get the fuel cells on the road today and we made
23	it from natural we made our hydrogen from
24	natural gas, that gives us a 65% reduction in
25	greenhouse gas if we used natural gas as the feed

stock for the hydrogen. Then, if you go to renewable, it allows you to get all the way to the 90 to 95% reduction in greenhouse gas on a wells to wheels basis.

So, I think ultimately, you want to start with natural gas, since there is, today, in the world 40 billion tons of hydrogen produced.

In the United States, about half the hydrogen produced is used to desulfur gasoline today. They inject hydrogen into the crude in order to take out the sulfur by turning it into hydrogen sulfide.

So, a lot of hydrogen is used today. We use it to hydrogenate our food. We use it in processes for chip manufacturer, as well as in the reduction of sulfur in gasoline. So, there's a lot of hydrogen out there. We know how to use it. There's hundreds of miles of pipeline of hydrogen in the U.S. already today. So, we know how to use it, transport it. We're getting to the point where we know how to make it economically and use green hydrogen so, in the future, you would have that full reduction in CO2 emissions.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: And, the last question is kind of a catchall. And, that is okay, so we're here. I'm sold. I was, you know, you had me at hello. So, that was easy. But, what can we do, in government, to make this happen sooner and more efficiently and more effectively? What role can we play to help you make this happen?

DANIEL O'CONNELL: I think there are several roles. But, in my mind, the first one is to recognize hydrogen as a transportation fuel and make the permitting process much simpler. Some of the stations that we've had to put in have taken us almost three years to put in in order to get through all the permitting and the requirements. We need to standardize the requirements around hydrogen. I think you'll hear some of my fellow testifying folks here saying similar things, that that's one of the big hurdles to be able to put a station in. However, one of our stations in California was installed in five months. So, it can be done. And, it can be done safely. So, that would be one thing.

The other is to, again, exactly

what the Council's trying to do here today is to encourage the infrastructure to go ahead and move forward and to move the infrastructure so that it's in lock step with the technology from the vehicle side so that you have your opportunity to get over that conundrum of chicken and egg, of what comes first, the hydrogen station or the hydrogen vehicle. So, those are the two things that I think really are required.

thank you for coming here today. And, I would ask you to forward to me, particularly on that first, you know, the first issue of the permitting and whatnot, whatever information you have so that I can work with that. I have no intention of holding a hearing today and leaving it at that. So, please get that to me and we will see what we can do here in the City and what we can encourage our colleagues in State government to do as well. Thank you.

DANIEL O'CONNELL: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you,
Council Member Fidler. We're joined by Council
Member Tom White. Tom wants to be recognized for

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 32
2	questions. I recognize Council Member White.
3	COUNCIL MEMBER WHITE: [Pause]
4	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Council
5	Member, you have to talk into a microphone for
6	your words to be placed on the record.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER WHITE: Hello? Can
8	you hear me?
9	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Yep, we're
LO	good.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER WHITE: Okay. In
L2	terms of supply and demand, right now quite
L3	naturally we're interested in clean air and being
L4	able to breathe for centuries to come. In terms
L5	of the cost of the vehicle today, it's like a
L6	prototype, right?
L7	DANIEL O'CONNELL: Yep.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER WHITE: What is the
19	cost of that vehicle today? I heard you say Jay
20	Leno drives it or drove it or whatever. What's
21	the cost of that vehicle?
22	DANIEL O'CONNELL: Today, since
23	we've only made 100 vehicles and they are
24	prototypes, the cost is about ten times the cost
25	of a production vehicle, when you just take a look

COUNCIL MEMBER WHITE:

Okay.

Now,

25

another company working on a different method, to make hydrogen from-- or, to make ethanol from switch grass, as well as from microorganisms. So, we are working on moving away from corn, which is, again, somewhat intensive in its process. But, in order to get quickly to ethanol, you'd start from corn and then, work toward the phase II ethanol. COUNCIL MEMBER WHITE: Okav. you very much. And, I'd like to join my colleague

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in terms of this is not the first of many hearings. And, I look forward to, as Chairman of

the flipper door like you do today, exposing the

25

2.0

2	fuel nozzle; you take it off; you press the pump;
3	put the nozzle on. In less than five minutes, you
4	can put four gallons equivalent of hydrogen into
5	the car. Put the nozzle back up, close the
6	flipper door and be on your way. So, there's no
7	personal protection equipment required. There's
8	no connections to the vehicle. We have made it
9	particularly safe by having the vehicle talk to
10	the pump and the pump talk to the vehicle
11	wirelessly so that everything is intact between
12	the two systems before we start to dispense the
13	fuel. So, in our estimation, that could be safer
14	than the situation we have today with gasoline.

COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: And, approximately how many miles to the gallon?

vehicle holds four gallons equivalent of hydrogen under the back seat. And, what we do is took the gasoline tank out and replaced it with hydrogen tanks. So, we have about four gallons. The vehicle gets close to 50 miles per gallon in the real world today. So, you can go about 200 miles on a full tank. It holds 4.2 gallons equivalent of fuel. And, you can do that in about four

Τ	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 36
2	minutes at the filling station.
3	COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: And, what
4	is the cost?
5	DANIEL O'CONNELL: Today, the cost
6	of hydrogen is about \$8. But, again, that DOE
7	report, when you get into some higher volume and
8	higher use in the automotive industry, says the
9	price of hydrogen can get down to around \$2 a
10	gallon.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: Thank you.
12	DANIEL O'CONNELL: Thank you.
13	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you.
14	Thank you, Council Member Crowley. But,
15	notwithstanding the fact that the price would go
16	down to \$2 a gallon, it's not sort of an equal
17	comparison by virtue of the fact that these cars
18	are more efficient than those that run on
19	gasoline. So, the, you know, \$2, so, if you can
20	compare the efficiency of your vehicles to regular
21	internal combustion engines, what would that
22	comparison be?
23	DANIEL O'CONNELL: Today, the
24	technology, when you put the fuel cell in the like
25	apples to apples vehicle, you get about a 2X

improvement in efficiency. So, the production vehicle that gets about 24, 25 miles per gallon will be able to get 50 miles per gallon. And, that's with technology that we have today. The technology we have back in the lab and the vehicles today are about four years old technology. It took us two years to get it into production. It's been on the road for pretty close to two years now.

The new technology that we have, the next generation, if you will, is in the labs back in Rochester, New York, where I'm from, is ready to go. And, it's more efficient, which would give you, and it's much lighter, would give you a significant improvement in fuel economy above and beyond what you have today. So, we'd realize in addition to that 2X. So, the cost per mile on a consumer, if the hydrogen and the gasoline were both \$2, would be significantly less because the fuel cell is twice as efficient.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you.

Thank you. I thought it was important to make

that distinction. And, thanks for clarifying that

for us. And, my colleagues, who ask such

wonderful questions on this, that they asked some of the questions that I was going to ask. And so, I'll stop my questioning at this time. And, just thank you for not only being here today, but, you know, trying to make New York State an green technology leader. People talk about green jobs all the time. But, you know, you and your folks are putting New Yorkers to work today, hundreds of people, you know, building green technology. We need more of that in New York State. We need, you know, more of that in New York City.

And, I appreciate all of your efforts and your being here today, you know, you and your good team, who came down to really do a whole production for us with regard to making the vehicles available. And, we certainly appreciate that. So, thank you, Mr. O'Connell for being here and appreciate your testimony.

DANIEL O'CONNELL: Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: The next witness, Edward Kiczek, I hope I'm saying that right, representing an entity called Air Products from Allentown, Pennsylvania. Is that right?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

EDWARD KICZEK: That's correct.

chairperson gennaro: Okay. And so, sir, if you could state your name for the record and maybe just hold on one second 'til I have your statement. State your name for the record and begin with your testimony. We'd appreciate that.

EDWARD KICZEK: My name in Ed Kiczek. I'm from Air Products and I'm the Global Business Director for our Hydrogen Energy Systems First of all, I'd like to thank the Group. Committee for the opportunity really to come here and talk about hydrogen today. I'm not going to really go in deep into the economics. I think there's plenty of economics out there that prove and show that hydrogen is, in fact, competitive and can be competitive with gasoline to support Dan's statement. There's no question in our mind when hydrogen is produced in mass quantities, it can be distributed at an equivalent cost of \$2.50 a gallon today; not in the future, but today.

What, I really want to kind of focus on today with some of my time here is where hydrogen is today, some of the activity that's

going on in hydrogen and hydrogen and infrastructure and present maybe some ideas for New York City on how it can be a leader in the hydrogen economy.

Just by way of background, if
you're not familiar with Air Products, we have
been in the hydrogen business for over 50 years.
We are the world's largest producer of merchant
hydrogen. We've been making, distributing
hydrogen in countries, over 40 countries,
throughout the world. Today, we produce over two
billion standard cubic feet of hydrogen; most of
that goes into making cleaner burning gasolines,
as Dan had mentioned earlier.

But, hydrogen is used quite pervasively in a number of other industries. It's used in steel, glass, electronics, pharmaceuticals and, quite frankly, it's embedded in many of the products that we touch and come in contact with every day. We just really don't realize that.

Kind of a way of looking at what our production is and what it-- sort of getting your hands around it is to realize that the entire quantity of Air Products' hydrogen, if we were to

2 use that to fill vehicles, we could fill seven or

eight million vehicles a day easy. So, a question

was mentioned earlier about where's the hydrogen

5 coming from. There's plenty of hydrogen out

6 there; not only United States, but in the world.

And, we deliver hydrogen through a whole variety of methods. We deliver it in pipeline. We deliver it as liquid over the road and bulk gaseous hydrogen, as well as onsite generation from various means. But, there's no question that pipeline hydrogen is the cheapest hydrogen that you can deliver today because it's made in mass quantities and you take advantage of those economies of scale.

We've been working with the federal government and several of the state and local governments. And, we've been extremely active internationally with a number of industry partners. We've built over 90 fueling stations in 17 countries around the world and, continue to do technical research in which helped to support the emergence of this industry.

Just as an example, in New York, we are working with the New York company Plug Power

up in Albany, which you may be familiar with. We have a project which was extremely successful and a showcase on which it was a project that the United States Department of Defense, Plug Power and it was at our country's largest defense depot, DDSP in Pennsylvania. Plug Power supplied the fuel cells. Air Products supplied the hydrogen and dispensing equipment. And, that is for an application which now the Military is looking at dispersing much more widely.

In addition to that, we've also just recently broke ground at a station in Hempstead, New York. I know one of our friends is here from Hempstead, as well. And, we look forward to having that come up shortly here within this calendar year to be online.

Projects like this are growing rapidly, not only in the government sector, but also in the private sector. And, that's evidenced by the fact that this year, alone, Air Products has experienced almost a threefold increase in the number of hydrogen fuelings that we're doing in vehicles, mainly in forklift and off-road type vehicles. Our fuelings have gone from something

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

like 80,000 fuelings per year, today, just based on the number of projects we have on the books for the coming calendar year, to 250,000 fuelings.

So, there's a tremendous amount of activity that's going on in hydrogen and the generation of, and dispensing of, hydrogen.

Kind of closer to home, here in New York, we have several projects that are ongoing. The White Plains station that was mentioned by Dan, we built that station for Shell up in White Plains. We also have the Hempstead station. We've done a number of demonstrations in Albany, New York for some of the executives up in Albany. We're also in discussion with some of the major stakeholders for the Hydrogen Highway, which would run from Buffalo down to Hempstead. And, certainly, we would like to see that go forward. Additionally, we've worked very closely with NYSERTA and also with the Clinton Climate Initiative here in New York City. The Clinton Climate Initiative has been very helpful in pushing the understanding of hydrogen and the benefits of hydrogen, not only in the U.S., but throughout the world.

As we move forward in an

alternative energy landscape, there are really, in our opinion, four main drivers. Those drivers are environmental, efficiency, sustainability and energy independence. In our opinion, there's no question that hydrogen has a play there. There are a host of technologies that are really vying for that next generation of energy platform; those that I just mentioned. But, when you really closely examine those technologies and compare it to hydrogen, hydrogen meets those four drivers better than any other alternative that is out there today.

A fuel cell could be ultimately two and a half to three times more efficient than an internal combustion engine. When produced from natural gas, hydrogen has a 50% reduction in the carbon footprint. And then, if you were to use, or make, hydrogen from water, biomass or renewable, as was mentioned before, it is a zero emissions technology.

Hydrogen fuel cells have much better carbon footprint and wells to wheels efficiency than any of those other opportunities

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

transportation.

that I mentioned before, plug-ins, batteries, etcetera. So, to us, hydrogen is really an obvious choice for the future and has a place in the future as an alternative energy source for

Now, while plenty of the public's mental imagery really you think about hydrogen, you're really looking, or thinking about hydrogen economies related to cars and gasoline. What I want to do is just mention that there's a lot of other activities and sort of go through the breadth of those activities so that you understand that hydrogen really is pervasive out there today. We have a number of deployments of technologies that are advancing in the real world. One note are few, very-- of note, I should say, are a few hydrogen energy applications that are far less public than cars; material handling, as I mentioned, in forklifts. We're also filling submarines in five countries around the world; cell towers, mass transit, bus fleets; a number of applications that are going on. The Beijing Olympics that occurred, we fueled buses that were taking the athletes around to various parts of the

games before those Olympics. And, that was highlighted on a number of television stations.

But, in addition to that, we're deploying renewable technologies produce hydrogen because we know that the future has to be renewable hydrogen. And, one of the technologies that we're working on is converting waste water sludge to hydrogen and I'll talk more about that a little bit later on as how New York can take advantage of that.

We also feel that bus fleets are particularly amenable as early adopters to the hydrogen as hydrogen users because these vehicles come home every night and fill at the same station every night. Bus fleets are incredibly useful to help deploy hydrogen production, distribution and dispensing infrastructure. And, these would be bus fleets like the MTA. And, I know that MTA has CNG fleets and I'll talk about HCNGs, an opportunity to stepping stone to hydrogen later on.

I want to highlight just a couple of these activities 'cause I think they're very important milestones as we move forward in

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Of really particular note under the hydrogen. hydrogen-- under infrastructure efforts that we're working on is that we're building the world's first pipeline station out in California. fueling station will show that, in concept, pipeline distribution systems, that's hydrogen delivered in very large quantities similar to that of natural gas, can be an endgame state in terms of how you would see a long term vision of hydrogen playing out. This station will be in California. It'll be in a very prominent area by the 405 freeway in the LA basin. And, really, it's going to be a showcase mainly because it'll show that the cost of hydrogen will, and can, be competitive today with gasoline when we deploy that model ultimately as a future model. Participants in that project include, not only the Department of Energy and Air Products, we're working with Shell. GM will fill vehicles there, as well as Honda, Toyota and Daimler. And, again, I think that'll be a showcase that hydrogen definitely does have a place in the future. Over the next few years, though, we

see that we can expect, really, the emergence of

hydrogen or hydrogen blends in mass transit. And, we think this is a real opportunity. These are blends of hydrogen and natural gas, in some cases as much as 20% hydrogen in natural gas or 30% hydrogen in natural gas. We call that an HCNG blend. There are a number of applications in mass transit that are going on today around the world; in Germany, the U.K., Spain, India, Korea and Air Products is supplying a lot of those opportunities.

Unfortunately, we think that there should be much more activity going on in the United States today related to those mass transit and bus-type fleets. These applications we continue to target because they're large volume users of hydrogen as we move forward. And, hydrogen CNG blends today will reduce emissions by up to 50% and it'll position you for hydrogen, full hydrogen, buses, fuel cell buses when they come in subsequent years. The other advantage of having large hydrogen users like a mass transit fleet is it provides kind of a hub and spoke delivery system for surrounding smaller stations and, again, taking advantage of economies of

2 scale.

Other types of vehicles that can take advantage of this would be ports. Ports generally have significant emissions problems.

And, this technology would be amenable to ports, vehicles like drayage trucks. And, we're actually working in California with some of the ports on their drayage trucks.

One last technology I think I'd like to highlight is really renewable, the production of renewable was mentioned, solar was mentioned, wind was mentioned before as renewable options. But, we have even another option and that is for the production of hydrogen, we know that New York's energy plan has a high concentration on renewables. The fact is is that hydrogen, as was mentioned, can be produced from renewable waste sources.

We have a product that fits that application and positions for the future is a molten carbonate fuel cell based, what we call an, energy park. The renewable energy park can produce heat, power and hydrogen from sludge of a waste water treatment plant. Where you have

people, you have waste water effluent and certainly one think that New York has a lot of is people. Just to give you sort of a sense of that, a rule of thumb is that for every 100,000 people, this product can produce a megawatt of power, renewable power, as well as 500 kilograms a day of hydrogen; all renewable. Our first unit is going in, it's actually being shipped out to Orange, California for start up in the summer, summer of this year.

I guess maybe the last two concluding slides here is really how can New York City move forward in hydrogen and hydrogen economy. Our suggestion would be is first to develop and understanding of the obstacles of deployment that have been experienced by other jurisdictions, as well as New York City. These include permitting and inconsistencies between jurisdictions having authority on placing stations and getting hydrogen into New York City. And, this is mainly occurring because it's an education process. And, that's one of the things that has to occur. It's a new fuel. It's a different fuel. And people don't necessarily understand it

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

because they just haven't dealt with it enough.

The second challenge would be really to determine what's the best application

for the deployment of hydrogen in the City? Is it fleet vehicles? Is it buses? Is it taxis? And, there are a number of ways that New York can be a leader in moving hydrogen vehicles forward. of the issues that we will have to address at some point, and I think this goes to a question that was asked previously, is that currently there are many restrictions on bringing hydrogen into the City over the tunnels and bridges, making it much more expensive. There's no question that the cheapest hydrogen is that that's delivered in a-that's made in a large quantity, high production

As just to conclude here, I really think that New York City has a tremendous opportunity because of its stature around the world and, obviously, garners a lot of interest.

Our suggestion is that maybe a task force would be formed, and Air Products would be more than happy

plant and delivered over the road, as opposed to

smaller onsite generation. That's an issue that

would have to be addressed and worked on.

overcome.

to help and sit on that task force, which could determine how best New York can take advantage of hydrogen in select applications, not only for the application itself, but what are the right delivery modes and what issues do we have to

With such a plan in place, we believe that the incentives and funding from both the federal and the state and City sources could drive deployment of projects in New York City. Right now, there are federal incentives for \$200,000 for infrastructure credit. There are \$3,000 a kilowatt for fuel cell credit. And, potentially, further local credits and grants could really additionally incent hydrogen to roll out in the City in a very positive way. And, if you take advantage of the renewables, I think it would clearly be a showcase for the rest of the world.

Just in conclusion, I'd like to say that we really face an uncertain energy future. I applaud New York City for really recognizing that something has to be done here. And, we really need to solve this issue as a legacy for future

folks who are in this business, you know, perhaps the Office of Long Term Planning and Sustainability could, you know, benefit from, you know, some of the brainwaves that would be, you know, generated in that meeting. We have a whole think tank now over in the Mayor's office. It's a very good thing, actually, that we have such a think tank. And, I actually wrote the law to make sure that we had that think tank in all, you know, future Mayor's offices. So, I'll make that request, Michael.

You know, Kizzy was down there looking at the cars today. I know the Mayor's office, particularly that office, is always eager to get the benefit of people who have interesting technologies and have real world experience on what they've been through in other jurisdictions and how we could do things a little differently here to, you know, make this more of a reality here. So, that's item one.

And, item two, my Legislative

Director Costa Constantinitas [phonetic] is here.

He's going to be here for the duration of the hearing. Everyone should get to know him, as well

as the counsel to the Committee and the Policy

Analyst of the Committee. I think going forward,

we all need to know who each other are so that we

could have a better exchange of information. Oh,

I just want to recognize the presence of Council

Member Eugene, a member of the Committee who was

here. And, [pause]

I was telling Council Member Fidler that I have to take my wife to a medical appointment. So, I'll not be here for the remainder of the hearing. Instead, I will turn over Chairmanship of the hearing to a member of the Committee, Council Member Liz Crowley, who will, you know, pilot the boat from here forward. Recognize that notwithstanding the fact that I will not be here, my own Legislative Director, Costa Constantinitas is here. People should get to know him. The staff of the Committee is also available to you. Council Member Fidler will be here. I deeply apologize for the fact that I have to move on.

Liz, I'm going to, you know, give you the gavel. You Chair from right here. And, you will have an opportunity to-- yes, this is a

COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: Now, given the current state of technology, are those restrictions warranted in your view?

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

so. And, again, I think it's just an education process of understanding hydrogen, understanding the ability. You, yourself, had mentioned about hydrogen, the safety of hydrogen in the previous testimony. And, we see that hydrogen is no more

would be trucked as liquid or as gas - - ?

COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: So, that we

Τ	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 62
2	can begin the process of working on that.
3	EDWARD KICZEK: I would be
4	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: So, that
5	something constructive happens here.
6	EDWARD KICZEK:be very happy to
7	do that.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: The other
9	question I had, and you kind of lost me a little
10	bit when you were talking about effluent as a
11	renewable energy source.
12	EDWARD KICZEK: Right.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: Can you
14	explain that to me how that works a little bit
15	EDWARD KICZEK: [Interposing] The
16	waste water treatment?
17	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: Yeah.
18	EDWARD KICZEK: Waste water
19	treatment basically what you have is the sludge
20	comes into an anaerobic digester. That anaerobic
21	digester really creates a sludge and then, it
22	creates an off gas. That off gas is a hydrocarbon
23	gas. And, quite frankly, from any hydrocarbon
24	gas, you can separate and produce hydrogen. That
25	hydrocarbon gas goes into a molten carbony fuel

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 63
2	cell, which reforms that hydrocarbon into
3	hydrogen. And then, we use that. We can take off
4	a stream of that hydrogen in order to use that for
5	vehicles. And, it also produces power.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: Oh.
7	EDWARD KICZEK: It's what's called
8	a high temperature fuel cell.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: And, would
10	that process that process would be an energy
11	positive process?
12	EDWARD KICZEK: Yes.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: And so, you
14	know, my constituents are all too familiar with
15	the fact that we have sewage treatment plants
16	EDWARD KICZEK: Um, hm.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER:
18	throughout our City. I have one less than a mile
19	from my home.
20	EDWARD KICZEK: Right.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: Every now
22	and then we smell it. The question I have for you
23	is given the quantity of matter going through New
24	York City's sewage treatment plants
25	EDWARD KICZEK: Um, hm.

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 64
2	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: could
3	those plants themselves been converted into
4	hydrogen-producing facilities?
5	EDWARD KICZEK: We would have to
6	look at those to make sure that there would be
7	sufficient plot space or someplace where we would
8	be able to add these molten carbony fuel cells.
9	And, we would have to look at it on a case by case
10	basis.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: How
12	EDWARD KICZEK: But, there's no
13	question that the technology is amenable.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: How much
15	space do you need for something
16	EDWARD KICZEK: It's going to vary
17	depending upon the size of the individual waste
18	water treatment plant. But, I would be happy to
19	provide dimensions to yourself or anyone among the
20	Committee that say, you know, here's typically
21	what a one megawatt or three megawatt size.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: I would
23	appreciate whatever information you had on that as
24	well.
25	EDWARD KICZEK: Right.

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 67
2	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER:
3	[Interposing] Orange County, New York?
4	EDWARD KICZEK: Orange County,
5	California, I'm sorry.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: That
7	figures.
8	EDWARD KICZEK: And, it'll be
9	running by the end of this year in actual
LO	operation.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: Okay.
L2	Well, I find that, you know, particularly
L3	fascinating. I mean
L <b>4</b>	EDWARD KICZEK: Yeah.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: it just
L6	seems like an, you know, an energy efficient green
L7	way of dealing with our own waste products and
L8	turning them into something, you know, something
L9	useful. So, I'd be very interested in
20	EDWARD KICZEK: Absolutely.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: Okay.
22	EDWARD KICZEK: Be happy to provide
23	all the information you need with whomever you
24	designate.
25	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: Thank you

very costly to remove. That's not to say that it

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 68

1

Department that controls those facilities was not

interested in hydrogen. So, we actually ended up making a proposal for New Town Creek for the conversion of that gas to electricity. However, there is a remarkable resource available. And, we, too, work with Fuel Cell Energy, which is the fuel cell company that produces the fuel cell that, in turn, produces hydrogen. I would be delighted to send you some more information on that in due course.

But, particularly, my concern with hydrogen started back in 2004, when we made a proposal to West Point to put a wind turbine on the hills back of West Point, have electrolysis to generate hydrogen, store the hydrogen and use the hydrogen for the fuel to fuel the fleet of vehicles at West Point. The surplus hydrogen would be stored and could be brought back through fuel cells to produce additional electricity in the case of a energy blackout, a grid blackout, which had occurred in 2002. We did this study. It was funded by the Army Material Command. But, West Point did not choose to go forward with the program.

We then addressed the program to

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the New York Power Authority, NYPA. They thought pretty highly of some of the ideas. They provided funding for NYSERTA, New York Energy Research and Development Authority. And, we were awarded one of the two first contracts in New York State for a hydrogen project. The hydrogen project was in Buffalo at the State University in Buffalo. was for two hydrogen fueled internal combustion engine vehicles. They were Prius vehicles that were modified to use hydrogen and a fueling station. We chose Buffalo for reasons that were mentioned by the General Motors representative. The proximity to Niagara Falls led us to believe that if there was to be growth in the area of producing hydrogen for transportation purposes, that Buffalo and Niagara Falls, particularly, as a source of a low-cost electricity, and Buffalo would be an ideal place to focus the attention. Unfortunately, we completed our

Unfortunately, we completed our project. It operated for about two years; operated very successfully. But, there was no further interest at that time. We subsequently have had contracts from NYSERTA for two additional projects; one for the Capital District Transit

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Authority, the Albany transit company, for two hydrogen fueled Prius vehicles, the same vehicle we used in Buffalo, and a fueling station.

And, another contract was awarded for a project at the Albany airport. This one was for two Silverado pickup trucks that had been modified to use hydrogen. These, too, were internal combustion engine vehicles. Internal combustion engine vehicles can be modified to use hydrogen rather inexpensively, compared to what the cost of a fuel cell vehicle is. They don't have the same sort of energy efficiency a fuel cell vehicle has. But, they are considerably less costly and, in the early stage of the development of the hydrogen economy, the funding that was available to us would not let us use fuel cell vehicles. Instead, we used the internal combustion vehicles.

These two projects, the Transit

Company project and the airport project, were then merged and we now have, at the Albany airport,

four hydrogen fuel vehicles; two Prius vehicles,

two Silverado pickup trucks and a fueling station.

It's in service; was put in service about near the

first of the year. And, we will be having, within the next month or so, a ribbon cutting ceremony at the Albany airport. We have not had it earlier because the winter was so severe and this is an outdoor installation. We did not choose to have such a ceremony earlier.

The whole subject of the use of hydrogen for the future we believe relates to its use as a transportation fuel for transit purposes. There is certainly a very viable future for sedans for individual purposes. But, immediately one can move into the direction of having transit vehicles, full size transit buses operated on hydrogen fuel. There are very few projects of this nature in the United States. But, there are many, many projects elsewhere in the world. And, I will speak about them in a moment.

The thing that's important about the use of hydrogen for transit vehicle is illustrated, if you will turn to the PowerPoint presentation, it could be put up on the screen.

But, it's probably more convenient to look at the PowerPoint presentation. If you look at page 2 of the PowerPoint presentation, it's titled Hydrogen

2 Fueled Fuel Cells Increase Energy Efficiency.

Energy efficiency is the really important thing.

4 The more efficient the conversion of energy, the

5 less fuel you have to use; the less petroleum that

6 you have to use; the less greenhouse gas emissions

7 and other pollutants are emitted.

We've indicated there that diesel fuel consumption in a conventional transit bus is about-- you get about three miles per gallon of-three to four miles per gallon for diesel fuel.

Diesel buses are now being hybridized with
batteries included and you can increase the
mileage to five to six miles per gallon. However,
if you use a hybrid hydrogen fuel cell bus, you
can get up to about seven miles per gallon. And,
as you see, if a standard diesel bus is only three
to four miles per gallon and the hydrogen hybrid
fuel cell bus is seven miles a gallon, you've
increased energy efficiency by 100%.

The further developments in the field of transportation and transit vehicles has been, along with the evolution of advanced battery technology, has resulted in a battery dominant hydrogen hybrid fuel cell bus that gets as high as

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ten miles per gallon. And, this is very material when you think of the amount of diesel fuel that's burned by transit buses traveling over the cities of New York. And, what's more important is that the fueling of these vehicles can all be done at one central location, or perhaps more than one if there're more than one fleet. But, at the fleet's headquarters where the buses come back every night to be refueled and maintained, they can be fueled up with hydrogen. The typical hydrogen fuel bus will have 30, 35 or 40 kilograms of hydrogen storage on it, would usually run a distance of 250 to 300 miles, which is more than enough, much more than the amount of mileage usually put on a transit bus in New York City. The distances are relatively small.

and wonder why more is not being done, it's a good question. There is only one transit company in the United States that's really recognized this problem and done anything about it. And, if you turn to the third page of the PowerPoint, AC Transit, which is a transit company in Oakland, California, three years ago put into service three

hydrogen fuel cell buses, transit buses. And, these buses, in the three years, have traveled 146,000 miles. They've carried 360,000 passengers. They've had almost 100% better fuel efficiency, better energy efficiency. They've replaced 34,000 gallons of diesel fuel. And, they've reduced the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. They have not produced about 172 tons of CO<sub>2</sub> that would have been produced by using diesel fuel in the buses. AC Transit has been so positive about these buses that they've now ordered eight more. And, they have option on 12 more buses beyond that.

The next page, page 4, is a picture of their zero emission bus, prominently noted as a zero emission bus.

If you turn to the next page, which is the fifth-- sixth, I'm sorry, fifth page, we speak about what Europe is doing with hydrogen fuel transit buses. And, the program in Europe is referred to as the hydrogen fleet-- the HyFLEET:CUTE project. And, there are 47 hydrogen fueled transit buses operating in ten cities. As of about a year and a half ago, which is the latest data I had, these buses had travelled over

360,000 miles, have moved seven million passengers, have not chosen to fuel 169,000 gallons of diesel fuel and that diesel fuel was replaced by 220 tons of hydrogen.

And, if you turn the page, the next page is a picture of the fleet of 14 hydrogen-fueled transit buses in the City of Berlin. The efforts in Europe are now so substantial that there is a Hydrogen Bus Alliance. It consists of ten cities. And, these ten cities have all agreed that by the year 2015 each city will have at least 50 hydrogen-fueled fuel cell buses, or hydrogen-fueled buses. It happens the Berlin buses are internal combustion engine buses, which are less costly, but are also less efficient.

But, it shows a dedicated effort to build a hydrogen economy with the bus transportation being the major source of pollutants with a secondary level effort to build fleets of other types of sedans and vehicles that people will use. In fact, Mr. McGowan, who will speak after me, will speak about some of the fueling stations that exist in Europe, which are suitable for both use by people with their own

individual vehicles, but are also basically geared to fueling these large fleets of vehicles.

Of the most recent hybrid fuel cell bus project is noted on page seven. And, that's BC Transit in Vancouver. On this, they are now placing into route service 20 hydrogen-fueled fuel cell buses. These buses will be fully operational by the 2010 Winter Olympics. Their early consumption of fuel, of hydrogen, is better than seven miles per gallon equivalent diesel. And, that's roughly 100% more energy efficient than they were realizing in their previous fleet of vehicles. The next page is a photograph of the BC Transit zero emission bus.

Finally, the latest development in the bus technology is the battery-dominant hybrid hydrogen fuel bus. And, this was developed with funding from the Federal Transit Administration. The first bus is in operation and will be put in operation— well, it was put in operation in South Carolina last month. The second bus is being placed in operation in Burbank this month. And, the fuel consumption in these vehicles are uniquely high. They're between ten and 12 miles

per kilogram of hydrogen or per mile per gallon of diesel fuel. The heat content of a diesel, gallon of diesel fuel is approximately equivalent to the heat content of a kilogram of hydrogen. On the next page is a picture of Proterra. That's the battery-dominant bus. Their hybrid-fueled fuel cell bus.

In terms of what this means in New York State, our efforts since the Albany project was to get involved with the Capital District Transit Authority again. And, we have submitted a proposal or they have submitted. We prepared for them. We have submitted a proposal to the Federal Transit Administration for one hydrogen-fueled fuel cell bus, which will be located, run on routes in Albany. And, we're in the process of preparing a proposal to the Federal Transit Administration under the more recent stimulus funding for another two hydrogen-fueled transit buses for Albany, also.

The stimulus program has funds that have been dedicated, very, very large sums of funds dedicated to the purposes that you have defined in the proposed Resolution that you have;

company in California who goes by the initials of

25

ISE, who are the ones that do the drivetrains and
integration of the fuel cells on these buses.
And, they have a backlog of 28 buses. There are
eight of them going to London and the 20 that are
going to Vancouver. And, for this backlog of
buses was great enough for them to build a
production line. And, they've been able to get
their costs down. And, if they get a continued
flow of business, they expect that they can get
the production cost of a hydrogen-fueled bus down
to about a million dollars a piece. The typical
hybrid diesel fuel buses, 650, \$700,000 in that
order price.

So, hydrogen-fueled vehicles are still more expensive. But, what you get out of them is a high efficient situation that has other benefits, yes.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Can you just compare the hybrid one to the regular fuel efficient hydrogen bus? So, there's a difference between the one that currently costs, did you say three million, 30 million?

RAYMOND KENARD: I'm sorry, excuse

25 me.

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 83
2	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Two
3	million.
4	RAYMOND KENARD: Please
5	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: I just
6	want to clarify the cost difference between a
7	hybrid hydrogen
8	RAYMOND KENARD: Yes.
9	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY:
10	compared to one that's just hydrogen.
11	RAYMOND KENARD: If you can get a
12	small production line in operation with sufficient
13	volume of fleet vehicles going through it, price
14	will be roughly a million dollars. The comparable
15	vehicle as a diesel-fueled hybrid bus is 650,
16	\$700,000. Now, ultimately, when you get out to
17	full production, it'll go less than a million
18	because what the 650, \$700,000 range is the price
19	for buses that are being made in the hundreds, you
20	know, so forth. So, they're still somewhat more
21	expensive, but price is coming down.
22	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Thank
23	you. I'd like to recognize Council Member Fidler
24	for a question.
25	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: Yeah, just

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 84						
2	a follow up on that. I'm a little, I think I'm a						
3	little confused. The cost of the average New York						
4	City bus, the ones, you know, if you went outside						
5	and, you know, right here on Broadway, you're						
6	telling me is \$650,000 a piece?						
7	RAYMOND KENARD: If they're the						
8	latest model hybrid bus. I mean						
9	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: A hybrid						
10	bus.						
11	RAYMOND KENARD: Yeah, that's						
12	right.						
13	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: How about						
14	for the old						
15	RAYMOND KENARD: The old ones, I						
16	don't						
17	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: -fashion						
18	RAYMOND KENARD: [crosstalk]						
19	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: the						
20	pollute like crazy buses.						
21	RAYMOND KENARD: They'll be less,						
22	considerably less, yeah.						
23	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER:						
24	Significantly less.						
25	RAYMOND KENARD: Yeah.						

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 85
2	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: Okay.
3	RAYMOND KENARD: Hybridation is
4	pretty expensive because you put a heavy duty
5	battery and there's a lot of mechanical work that
6	has to be done to do the integration, do the
7	management of the control system for the vehicle.
8	And, it's a very sophisticated vehicle.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: And,
10	there's nobody that you know now who's
11	manufacturing hydrogen fuel cell buses, non-
12	hybrid, but hydrogen fuel cell buses.
13	RAYMOND KENARD: Hydrogen fuel cell
14	buses.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: Nobody is
16	doing that now.
17	RAYMOND KENARD: No, well, hydrogen
18	fuel cell bus has a battery in it, too.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: Right.
20	RAYMOND KENARD: Yes. Because, as
21	a matter of fact, particularly the latest model,
22	the battery-dominant one, that bus can operate on
23	the battery for 60 minutes without the fuel cell
24	ever operating. And, the fuel cell will fully
25	charge the battery in about 20 minutes. So, you

area. Could you just speak to that for a moment?

RAYMOND KENARD: Yes. Well, the stimulus funding has a lot of mysteries associated with it because the funding came out and what was issued were a series of requests for proposals.

Three of them-- well, many from many departments

22

23

24

25

in the government, but in those areas of interest to us, three of them came from the Department of Energy. One was called a Clean Cities Fiscal Year '09 Petroleum Reduction Request for Proposals.

That was a \$300 million project. It's a competitive bid project. And, only clean city operations can submit proposals on that.

There was a second proposal called Transportation Electrification, which deals with electrification of vehicles that is either electrification as is battery-dominant fuel cell bus is electrified and in all-electric buses are obviously electrified. That's a \$400 million competitive bid solicitation.

There is a third one which is for battery manufacturing facilities and drivetrain construction facilities—facility construction, which is another \$300 million. And, these are the three most prominent ones that the DOE has turned out.

The Department of Transportation has a \$900 million request for proposals out, of which \$100 million of that is appropriate to the sort of business that we are particularly talking

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

about today, which is the transit bus area.

3 And then, there is another one, and 4 I don't know what the dollar value is, but the APA has two I believe proposals out for diesel 5 retrofit technology, which are very substantial. 6 7 And, it generally appears, although I don't-- I'm 8 not speaking with any degree of expertise on this, it generally appears that there will probably be a 9 10 further series of these proposals that will come out subsequently to this because these three or 11 12 four, five proposals I mentioned were all turned out within about a month's period of time. And, 13 the government has not previously worked that 14 15 quickly in turning out proposals and doing evaluations. 16

COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: I just want to say that, you know, part of the logic of talking about using this technology, hydrogen refueling, for buses is that they all return home at night and so, you need a single refueling station. I just, you know, it occurs to me that there are other, several other large groups of fleets, you know, in and around our City that would also fit into that. Certainly Sanitation

in New York City as of two or three years ago was

25

business in New York City is the Fire Department.

25

2	RAYMOND KENARD: I agree 100%. We						
3	actually made a proposal to do the same study for						
4	Albany and it got rejected by NYSERTA, as well.						
5	And, all I can say is that the managing director						
6	or Chief Executive Officer of the Albany Transit						
7	Company is an unusually progressive and positive-						
8	minded individual who said that we should be						
9	involved with hydrogen fuel cell transit vehicles						
10	and we're going to be involved. And, we, you						
11	know, we had someone to work with; someone that						
12	would encourage us. We're prepared to work. We						
13	work very hard for the business that we do get.						
14	But, there has to be a receptive audience there.						
15	And, fortunately, in Albany, we have found a						
16	receptive audience in the Transit Company and we						
17	also						
18	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER:						
19	[Interposing] We'll try and find a receptive						
20	audience here, as well. Thank you.						
21	RAYMOND KENARD: Okay.						
22	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Great.						
23	Thank you, Mr. Kenard. Our next speaker is						
24	Michael McGowan.						

MICHAEL McGOWAN: Good afternoon.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Members of the Environmental Protection Committee of the New York City Council, I am honored and pleased to have the opportunity to speak before you today concerning hydrogen vehicles and infrastructure. Today, I am wearing two hats; one as Linde's Head of Hydrogen Solutions in North America and the other as Chairman of the National Hydrogen Association.

The Linde Group has been at the forefront of developing viable pathways to hydrogen production, distribution and dispensing throughout our over 100-year history. Over the last decade, we have been translating our vast industrial experience to the safe, efficient, and economic fueling of on and off-road vehicles. are fully aware of the challenges around developing a sustainable hydrogen infrastructure and, based on our first-hand experiences, are confident they can be met. Linde is committed to the protection of our environment and benefitting the health and welfare of the communities in which we operate throughout the globe. We are extremely excited by the opportunity hydrogen presents to benefit our shareholders and the broader global

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 community.

As Chairman of the National Hydrogen Association, I am pleased to share with you The Energy Evolution, one of the documents I just handed out. The Energy Evolution is a comprehensive 100-year analysis of alternative fuel options. As you can read in the handouts I have brought with me, hydrogen shares many of the benefits you hear associated with other alternative fuels. Hydrogen, however, can take the promise of sustainability further than any other option, as the only alternative that can reduce greenhouse gases to 80% of the 1990 levels and simultaneously, enable America to reach energy quasi-independence and nearly eliminate controllable urban air pollution by the end of this century.

As mentioned earlier, Linde has a tremendous amount of hydrogen experience. We have designed, engineered and constructed over 70 hydrogen fueling stations, which have been commissioned in 15 countries. Each week, our equipment performs over 300 hydrogen bus, car fuelings. By the end of 2008, our stations

provided over 26,000 liquid and 125,000 gaseous

hydrogen fuelings.

Each day, Linde stations complete approximately 130 fork lift truck fuelings, indoors. We have the in-house capability to fuel every type of hydrogen vehicle available today, whether the hydrogen is stored as a liquid or a gas at 5,000 PSIG or 10,000 PSIG. Linde's main hydrogen source in North America is produced almost entirely renewably. A green byproduct hydrogen stream is purified and liquefied using hydroelectric power. Yes, hydrogen can be produced economically and renewably on an industrial scale today.

In total, approximately nine
million tons of hydrogen are produced in the
United States each year. That is enough hydrogen
to fuel about 35 million cars. This hydrogen
flows through pipelines and travels across our
highways every day. You already rely on hydrogen
to fuel your cars today. About 53% of the
hydrogen produced in North America is used to
manufacture cleaner gasoline. That hydrogen alone
could fuel about 21 million fuel cell vehicles.

4

5

6 7

8

9

1011

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There's a lot of misinformation related to hydrogen being forever a solution far out in the future. While it is true, like any new technology, a sensible and efficient roll-out will take some time, real hydrogen solutions are available today. Some markets, like forklift trucks and back-up power, are already commercially embracing hydrogen fuel cells. Markets such as autos and buses only appear limited by society's collective will to commit to this solution and allow volume to bring them further down their cost curves. Progress on all fronts; fuel cell performance and durability, hydrogen production and storage, dispensing, etcetera, has been tremendous and there are sound and achievable technology road maps for continued improvements.

The potential for hydrogen fuel cell vehicles to enhance the environment and quality of life in New York City is great. The world looks to New York City as a leader. A plan to deploy fuel cell vehicles in New York will have a profoundly positive societal impact locally, across the United States and throughout the world. It will also be one more wonderful reason to visit

2 the greatest city in the world.

I'd like to spend the remainder of my time sharing some images of the stations Linde has worked on across the globe to help you see just how real the promise of hydrogen is. I'll have to get up and use the computer. [Pause] shows [pause] first 'cause it's a station in the United States. It's a little bit more foreboding looking than the stations in Europe. You'll see a lot more barricades around it. And, I show you what our stations in Europe, they're much more publicly accessible.

This is a station in Berlin.

Here's another one in Berlin with a state-of-theart ionic compressor. This ionic compressor has
allowed us to reduce the cost of our stations by
about 50% in the last year. So, you talk about
another cost curve coming down, it was
dramatically improved. And, you see all there is
is a curve, like you see the normal gasoline
station. If I wasn't telling you it was a
hydrogen station, you mightn't know it is.

This is Zero Regio [phonetic] project in Frankfurt. And, this is both dispenses

American headquarters in Montvale, New Jersey.

They use internal combustion engine and they store

24

25

liquid hydrogen on board versus gaseous hydrogen.

We have a liquid hydrogen tank and we can travel along with them, as a portable dispenser that we literally plug in to an outlet and we can fuel those vehicles. We did it last summer in 31 cities over the course of a little bit less than

And, we talked about the permitting challenges. We, meaning collective we, the industry decided to do this early summer. And, you know, by August, we're able to get the approvals to do those fuelings across the country. So, the challenges were real. They weren't insignificant. But, they were overcome. And, we were able to fuel, on a temporary basis, by getting the approval of local officials in 31 cities in 18 states.

two weeks. It was part of the hydrogen road tour.

Just want to talk a little bit about the forklift application largely because the fueling's largely the same. The same type of nozzle; same type of receptacle. The equipment is largely the same. But, it's indoors. People worry about fueling their cars. This is inside a building. And, we can fuel safely, efficiently

2.0

next to cardboard boxes, in wood and cleaners and detergents. And, it's not a very sterile environment. And, we're able to go in there and fuel, you know, at this one in DC, we're doing 66 forklifts, each fueled probably about twice a day. And, the forklift operators do the fueling

8 themselves.

This is an application where the environmental benefit is an adder. It's just a better solution where the forklifts operate much more efficiently using fuel cells then they do on batteries. This is what it looks indoors. This is different types of dispensers we have. But, you can see in that lower right hand, you know, it's not— it's an environment where we literally paint a yellow line on the floor so you don't put any combustibles inside that area. But, wherever the truck travels, the truck is traveling throughout the aisles of the warehouse.

- - statistics I talked about already.

The point there was just to show you the stations are real. They're not foreboding. They're not science projects. And,

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

anything.

2 | seem like leaders, countries?

MICHAEL McGOWAN: Yeah. I mean, I think it's not even at the point where percentages are appropriate. It's, you know, it's less than the 1% type of number. But, the California Fuel Cell Partnership recently issued a vision document where they've asked the different auto manufacturers to, in confidence, submit their projections of what they want to do in California, at least. And, if you read that document, it's accessible online, you'll see, you know, it gets to a few thousand in the next few years; several thousand in 2014 and then, you get to 2017 or so, they're talking the tens of thousands of vehicles, you know, 40, 50,000 vehicles in California. and, that's, you know, based on information they got from the auto manufacturers. One would think, if the infrastructure was more aggressive, then, perhaps, you know, the auto companies, you know, might consider deploying in other areas, as well. COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: Just more of a comment than a question. It always irritates me to find out that California is ahead of us on

MICHAEL McGOWAN: Yeah.

3   COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: E
------------------------------

sometimes, you know, you get what you ask for.

And so, I think, and I hope, that today we've, in part, opened the door here in New York. Clearly, your predecessor, Mr. O'Connell, from GM has shown that there is an investment in this state in this and, you know, hopefully we'll have that Hydrogen Highway that I know they have in California already. So, you know, I know that it's, you know, in something like this, you look at the path of least resistance and I hope that you'll look at New York now as a path of lesser resistance than you did before you came here today.

And, you know, so, I do have one question. And, you heard the questions I asked a number of the other witnesses before you about the permitting restrictions; the difficulty the Fire Department has placed; concerns about transporting hydrogen. I'm guessing I know the answer. But, do you agree that the concerns about transporting hydrogen, the concerns about fueling stations in terms of public safety, are unwarranted?

MICHAEL McGOWAN: Yeah. I mean,

I iii certainiy nappy that the concerns exist, at
least momentarily and that the appropriate
officials are satisfied that they are unwarranted.
But, you know, with the gift of more knowledge and
of having worked with hydrogen for decades in
industrial applications, Linde feels very
confident that, you know, the Fire Marshalls, once
they're more educated and once they understand how
we're using it, how we're handling the hydrogen,
those, you know, just early concerns will be
satisfactorily, you know, they will be satisfied.

And, like I said, we're doing several demonstrations indoors, inside warehouses. And, honestly, sometimes we get less resistance over those because there's no paradigm you're trying to work against versus, I think, you know, when you have a existing fueling solution, you know, with vehicles, you almost have to overcome well, why change; why accept something different and new versus, you know, inside the warehouse where they're not comparing it to another, you know, gaseous fuel that's dispensed that way indoors.

COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: I think we

22

23

24

25

way of utilizing hydrogen in operating buildings?

3 MICHAEL McGOWAN: I think one of 4 the applications, you know, Mr. Kiczek spoke about, you know, we are also exploring. It's a 5 very popular mode right now of high temperature 6 molten carbonate fuel cells to take natural gas or 7 8 any other methane stream, whether it's landfill gas or, you know, and/or we digest their off gas, 9 10 produce power and make hydrogen as well. 11 hydrogen will have different utilities, different applications. As a fuel for vehicles, it's 12 excellent. If your intent is to make electricity 13 for a building, well then, maybe you just go 14 15 directly to electricity with that molten carbonate fuel cell, make electricity, use it. And then, 16 17 use this off gas, this anode [phonetic] gas to 18 capture hydrogen to power our vehicles that are in 19 that area. So, it's very symbiotic with other, 20 you know, relationships and other fuels. 21 ACTING CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Thank

ACTING CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Thank you. And, thank you for your testimony. As, the Chairwoman of today's Environmental Protection

Committee, I'd like to thank all the speakers and congratulate Fidler for bringing upon this

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION110
2	Resolution, which makes sense and would work in
3	favor of reducing carbon in this City and across
4	the country. So, thank you and I hereby adjourn
5	the meeting of Environmental Protection.
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	

## ${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

I, DeeDee E. Tataseo certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

Si	a	na	+ -	ııΥ	ے
$\mathcal{L}$	-9	ща	L	αт	$\subset$

Deeder E. Tatano

Date \_\_\_\_\_ June 9, 2009