CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION

----X

February 9, 2009 Start: 10:25am Recess: 02:08pm

HELD AT: Council Chambers

City Hall

B E F O R E:

HELEN D. FOSTER Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Gale A. Brewer Alan J. Gerson Eric N. Gioia

Public Advocate Gotbaum

Vincent Ignizio Letitia James Jessica S. Lappin Melissa Mark-Viverito

James S. Oddo Helen Sears

Liam Cavanaugh
First Deputy Commissioner
City of New York Parks and Recreation

Nancy Clark
Assistant Commissioner for Environmental Disease
Prevention
Department of Health and Mental Hygiene

John Shea Chief Executive Officer Department of Education, Division of School Facilities

Christian De Palermo Executive Director New Yorkers from Parks

Molly Bedell Representative Assembly Member Deborah Glick's office.

Jane Cook Executive Director American Society of Landscape Architects

Doug Rowan Vice President Bayside Raiders Youth Football Team

Anna Clark Baker Soccer Player

Stavros Zumopolis Soccer Player

Robert Herzog Zog Sports

Dana Deprima Commissioner Westside Soccer League

Bill Armstrong Fields Director Manhattan Soccer Club

James Dowell President Riverside Park Fund

Walter Nestler Landscape Architect, Member of Community Board Planning Board Number Nine, Parks Committee

Christabel Gough Member Society for the Architecture of the City

Marty Sergi President PermaLife Products

Steve Prestiani Representative The Earth

Vince Coleman Baseball/Softball Director Harlem RBI

Rich Cassen Father of William Cassen, burn victim

Geoffrey Croft President NYC Park Advocates

Bill Crane Professor City College of New York

Sal Rapaglia President Eastern New York State Soccer Association

Eric Butte Representative Cosmopolitan Soccer League

Rusty Papalanako Representative Spanish American Soccer League in Staten Island

Anne Cassen On behalf of Rahan Marhan

Marina Ortiz Resident East Harlem

Harry Burns Representative Friends of Brook Park

Lin Seltzer
Fields Coordinator
Manhattan Kickers Travel Soccer Program
Representative for Cosmopolitan Junior Soccer League

William Bialaski President Downtown Manhattan Youth Soccer League

Jonathan Levy Director of State and Local Programs Institute of Scrap Recycling Industries

Marty Lyons Representative LandTeK Plus Field Turf

Tonya Murphy
Soccer mom and volunteer

Rich Caccappolo President Greenwich Village Little League

Joel Kupferman Attorney New York Environmental Law and Justice Project

Deborah Kling President West Side Little League

Savannah Tchaikovsky Representative, Player New York Metropolitan Women's Soccer League

Michael Blumenthal Vice President Rubber Manufacturers Association

Ian Walker
President
Metro Soccer New York

Carol Tweedy Executive Director Asphalt Green

Henry Bunch President Metro Kids

Mitchell Cohen Representative Brooklyn Greens and the Green Party

Walter Garcia Citizen Queens Soccer Referee (Translated by Thelmo Cordones)

Thelmo Cordones Youth Baseball Coach Queens CB4

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Good morning,

I'm Council Member Helen Diane Foster, chair of the Parks and Recreation Committee. We are going to get started. I apologize for the delay. are going to do some things a little different this morning. First let me introduce members of the committee and members of the council that are here today, starting with Helen Sears coming in, we have Helen Sears from Queens who's a member of the committee; Eric Gioia from Queens who is not a member of the committee, but has in interest in this and a bill in; Jessica Lappin who also is not a member of the committee but is interested and has a bill; and my Staten Island crew over here, we have Council Member Oddo from Staten Island and Council Member Ignizio from Staten Island. Before we get started, let me make a note that Council Member Bill DiBlasio called and emailed me and actually sent a statement for the record that he unfortunately cannot be here today, but one of the bills that we'll be discussing was introduced by Council Member DiBlasio, and he, about signage, and the need for safety, and we look forward to hearing the testimony from everyone that is here,

2.0

2.3

2	to testify on these very important issues. Just a
3	few ground rules, sometimes discussions get
4	heated. If we can remember to disagree
5	respectfully, it will help the meeting proceed.
6	We have been joined by, I'm sorry, Public

Advocate, you were behind me, I forgot to

introduce you. Public Advocate Betsy Gotbaum, and

that's it. We will start with the opening

statement from the Public Advocate Betsy Gotbaum,

and then to Jessica Lappin. Thank you.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE BETSY GOTBAUM:

Good morning, everybody, and thank you Council
Member Foster and members of the Committee on
Parks on Recreation for holding this hearing.
Good morning Parks Department officials and
Department of Health officials. In December of
2007, the committee, this committee, met to ask
the Parks Department how they planned to
investigate the health and environmental effects
of synthetic turf. Today, although the testing
has now been completed on existing fields,
questions remain about the health and
environmental risks that artificial turf may pose.
For two years, my office has been part of a

coalition, which includes Natural Resources 2 3 Defense Council, New Yorkers for Parks, and New Yorkers for the Public Interest. That we have raised repeated concerns about the use of 5 synthetic turf in our city parks. During this 6 7 time, I have focused on several issues, but the 8 major issue associated with synthetic turf is the potential health risk of crumb rubber infill, made 9 10 from recycled tires, the material used in certain 11 types of synthetic turf. In April of 2007, I 12 first called for an independent testing of this material, because recycled tires do contain 13 toxins, mixed with some serious health problems. 14 15 Rather than confirm that this material was safe, 16 Parks proceeded with its plans to install new 17 synthetic turf fields made with this type of infill. In February 2008, the Coalition wrote a 18 19 letter to the Parks Department and Department of 20 Ment--of Hyg--Health, demanding an immediate moratorium on the further installation of 21 22 synthetic turf, until tests were done. The letter 23 also asked Parks to create a schedule for existing fields to be replaced after a certain period of 24 25 time, and urged Department of Health to expedite

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

its review of existing literature on the health effects of crumb rubber fill. The City agreed only to the literature review, arguing that the turf was safe and did not need testing. evidence, it cited a study, sponsored by the Tire Recycling Management Association of Alberta-hardly an objective third party. Department of Health dragged its heels, taking nearly a year to complete its literature review. And while the city continued to insist that in-field style turf made the recyc--made with recycled crumb rubber was perfectly safe. Parks eventually made the decision to stop ordering it. Had the city listened to our coalition and taken stronger action earlier, it could've avoided wasting money, and a potentially hazardous product. Even though Parks has stopped ordering turf made of recycled crumb rubber infill, there are existing fields made from this material in our parks, and there are still unanswered questions and concerns about synthetic turf in general. A number of recent developments have occurred that I find somewhat troubling. Last January, the State of New Jersey had to shut down a nine year old artificial turf

10

field in Newark, after lead contamination in 2 3 surface dust on the field was found to have 4 originated from the fibers of the field's artificial turf. And just weeks ago, December 5 22nd, the Parks Department abruptly closed a 6 synthetic turf field at Thomas Jefferson Park in 7 8 East Harlem, after it detected elevated lead This field was an in-field style made levels. with recycled tire crumb rubber. The EPA's standard for lead that was used for testing is 400 11 12 parts per million. Some samples collected from Thomas Jefferson had levels of almost five times 13 that EPA standard, almost 2000 parts per million. 14 15 It came as news to me, and to the coalition, that 16 the Department of Health and Parks were testing at 17 all, having been told that they were not going to test, despite the claims that it wasn't necessary. 18 19 They have since gone on to test almost every other 20 field in Parks, and while no other fields have 21 been closed, the results of the tests are to be 22 released, were released to the Coalition last 23 week. But the City has not announced the full results to the general park. And as I've often 24 25 said, if it is shown that these fields have, are

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Council Member Lappin?

in no danger whatsoever, I will be the first to get up there and say, "Hurrah, hurrah!" The fact is that neither Department of Health nor Parks can identify with certainty the source of lead contamination at Thomas Jefferson Park. And there are many similar fields which are still open. have renewed my call for a moratorium until the City institutes a comprehensive policy on synthetic turf, that includes a schedule for periodic testing over the life of each field, and a schedule for replacing turf as it ages, demanding that the City disclose to the public the full results of all the tests that were conducted by the Department of Health and by the Parks Department. There are still many questions about the health and environmental effects of synthetic It's time for the City just to cooperate turf. and demonstrate that the City, that the parks in New York City, are 100 percent safe. There are few issues, fewer issues of greater importance. Thank you very much for taking time today to focus on this issue. Thank you. Thank you, Helen. CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Thank you.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: Thank you

Madam Chair. And I wanted to thank you for holding this hearing on a number of very important topics that all relate to the safety of children. I introduced the piece of legislation with Council Member Bill DiBlasio that deals with the black rubber mats in parks. And the way I became interested and involved with this issue is simple: I have constituents who are here in the audience today, who--the Cassens, who on a mild summer day in May of 2007, took their 18 month old son to the park to play. And after playing in the sandbox, he took off his shoes and ran across the rubber mats in the park. Within five seconds of touching those rubber mats, he burned the bottom of his feet so badly that he had to spend four days in the hospital in the burn unit. I have seen the photos, and it is horrifying. Some of you may have seen the coverage that it received on some of the morning talk shows. I have a son, I take him to the park, too, on summer days. And really, frankly any day that we can get him out of the house to run around a little bit. And the response we received from the Parks Department in

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the, in the aftermath of that incident, was just that common sense should dictate that when you're in the park, you should be wearing shoes. that's just not an acceptable answer, for me or for the Cassens. The truth is, these are supposed to be safety mats, and they're not safe. temperatures on those mats can reach 165 degrees, and cause burns not just on feet, but on hands; and the other equipment in the park that can be unshaded and reach very, very high degrees of temperature can also harm these children. think common sense dictates that we should do something about it. Ideally, we should replace the equipment that reaches those temperatures and can burn these children, and certainly until we do that, we should make sure that parents understand the dangers that this equipment poses to them. When they're there for recreation, and then they end up having to spend four days in the hospital in the burn unit, obviously the City is doing something wrong. So, I thank you Madam Chair for holding this important hearing and look forward to working with you and the committee to passing this legislation.

JOHN SHEA: I'm John Shea, being

25

3

11

14

from--John Shea, from the Department of Education.

CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Thank you.

4 NANCY CLARK: Okay, I hope I'm

5 adjusted here. Okay. Good morning, Chairperson

6 Foster, and the members of the Parks Committee.

7 My name is Nancy Clark, and I'm the Assistant

8 Commissioner for Environmental Disease Prevention,

9 for the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene,

10 the Health Department. Also with us today is Liam

Cavanaugh, First Deputy Commissioner of the Parks,

of the Department of Parks and Recreation, and

John Shea, Chief Executive Officer of the

Department of Education, Division of School

15 Facilities. Thank you for the opportunity to

16 discuss health and safety issues related to

17 synthetic surfaces used in playground and playing

18 fields. I will begin by describing health and

19 safety concerns related to synthetic turf

20 materials. What the Health Department has done to

21 address these concerns, and what steps the city is

22 taking to provide safe play areas in parks. I

will also provide specific comments on Intro 918.

24 Deputy Commissioner Cavanaugh will provide

25 comments on the bills introduced on synthetic turf

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and provide more details on actions taken by the Parks Department. Understanding the risks and benefits of using synthetic materials in playing fields and playgrounds, is important to assure safe use of public parks, and to promote opportunities for children and adults to participate in healthy physical activities. Physical exercise, along with good nutrition, promotes a healthy weight and combats obesity. The key benefits of synthetic turf fields and play areas are more safe playing time than grass, dirt and asphalt surfaces, low cost maintenance, and no need for chemical fertilizers, fungicide, herbicides, or fuel powered maintenance equipment. Over the past two years, the Health Department has assisted the Parks Department in gaining a fuller understanding of potential health and safety issues, related to synthetic materials used in playing fields and playgrounds. In May 2008, we issued a comprehensive report titled, "A Review of the Potential Health and Safety Risks from Synthetic Turf Fields Containing Crumb Rubber In-Field, prepared for the department by TRC an environmental consulting firm. This report,

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

available to the public on our website, reviewed scientific studies from researchers in the United States and Europe, and focused on the chemical components of crumb rubber infill, made from recycled automobile tires, and potential health and safety risks associated with crumb rubber fields, including heat related illness, physical injuries, and bacterial infections. The report concluded that though certain chemical contaminants, such as volatile organic compounds, VOCs, polyaromatic hydrocarbons, PAHs, and heavy metals, may be found in crumb rubber. The degree of exposure is likely to be too small through ingestion, dermal or inhalation, to increase the risk of any health defect. The studies that were reviewed were conducted using very conservative approaches, and accounted for special vulnerabilities of children. In addition, the report also found that neither bacterial infections nor physical injuries were significantly related to synthetic turf playing fields. The report, however, did determine that the primary health concern with the use of synthetic turf fields is potential for causing

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

health defects related to heat stress and dehydration. The Health Department recommended that the Parks Department and other field operators, assess feasibility of adding shaded areas and easy access to drinking water near field, and to educate field managers, coaches and users on the potential for and prevention of heat related illness. In follow up, the Health Department ahs provided input to the Parks Department on the content and posting of signs near synthetic turf fields, as well as at playgrounds, with heat retaining surfaces. 14 signs are posted, which are posted, warn park goers to wear appropriate shoes and clothing. The report also identified a lack of available information on potential airborne exposures related to outdoor synthetic peels. To follow up, the Health Department conducted an air quality survey with the assistance of the Parks Department and an environmental consultant. This survey was designed to assess potential inhalation exposures to volatile organic chemicals, fine particulate matter, and lead and other heavy metals in the breathing zones of young children, three feet

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

above the ground at an older synthetic turf field, a newer turf field, and at a natural grass field. The survey showed that there were no significant inhalational exposures to chemicals, metals, or fine particulate matter. The air quality study's most important finding was the identification of an elevated lead level in the crumb rubber infill of the artificial turf and Thomas Jefferson park in Upper Manhattan. The lead level found at this field exceeded 400 part per million, the EPA level for lead in bare soil in children's play areas. The EPA level is set to protect children from adverse health defects associated with ingestion of lead in soil from hand-to-mouth contact that young children engage in during normal activities. As a result of the elevated lead level, the Parks Department closed the field and is replacing it with a new surface. The Parks Department, with assistance from the Health Department, has also tested the crumb rubber infill for lead in the remaining synthetic fields that contain crumb rubber. Elevated lead levels were not found in any of the other crumb rubber fields tested. source of the lead contamination at the Thomas

Jefferson Park field is not known, but it is 2 3 mostly likely due to external contamination. is a persistent environmental pollution from its former use in gasoline, paint and other products. 5 Over the past year, concerns have been raised 6 about lead content in the grass blades in 8 synthetic turf fields. This problem had been associated with older generation nylon carpet 9 10 style fields, that do not contain crumb rubber 11 infill. Lead pigments were identified in the 12 grass blades of the nylon carpets. If grass blades are damaged or deteriorated, lead dust can 13 be created on the surface of the carpet. 14 15 Parks Department had two older carpet style nylon 16 fields that were inspected last spring. 17 carpet fibers were found to be intact and no lead 18 dust hazards were identified. The Health 19 Department advised the Parks Department to 2.0 continue monitoring these fields in accordance with Federal Centers for Disease Control 21 22 Recommendations to inspect the condition of 23 artificial turf carpets and test those that showed 24 deteriorated or damaged condition. The Parks 25 Department continuously monitors the condition of

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

its turf fields, and out of an abundance of caution is testing all carpet style fields and play areas, those without crumb rubber, for lead. These results will be available over the coming weeks. The Health Department report on synthetic turf fields also recommended that the Parks Department and other field operators adopt purchasing protocols for the selection and purchase of synthetic turf products. protocols should contain requirements for suppliers and manufacturers to provide information on the chemical contents, heat absorbency properties, injury factor and other relevant health and safety information. The Parks Department has incorporated this recommendation into its purchasing quidelines and has developed model specifications. As synthetic turf technologies evolve, continuous review of product properties is necessary to assess the health and safety aspects of the products used in the city's fields and playgrounds. I would now like to address Intro 918, which would require the Health Department, along with the Parks Department, to review all playground and playing field surfaces,

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and to assess health and safety risk. In addition Intro 918 would require the two agencies to submit recommendations on the most appropriate surfacing materials. The Health Department and the Parks Department have established a solid rela--a solid partnership around the assessment of synthetic materials used in city parks and playgrounds. proactive measures taken by the two agencies are a direct reflection of this partnership. As noted earlier, the Parks Department has already developed model specifications which reflect expert input from parks, health and environmental experts across several agencies. The Parks Department evaluates synthetic turf products for a range of health and safety concerns, some of which hare outside the expertise of the Health Department. Legislating the relationship between these two city agencies would be counterproductive and likely to result in a duplication of effort, Requiring Health Department review of turf materials could impede flexibility needed to design site specific field installations for both parks and other agencies. Finally, developing static recommendations for a synthetic turf

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

material would be challenging, given how quickly technology changes and new products emerge. these reasons, the Department opposes Intro 918. Both the Parks and Health Departments participate in ongoing meetings and share information with the other agencies in New York State and other localities concerned with synthetic turf issues. The two agencies have participated in research activities sponsored by the Health Department, the United States Environmental Protection Agency and the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation, to promote better understanding of health and safety issues of these materials. Opportunities for active recreation and physical activity have never been more important in our city. Over the past 20 years, obesity levels have doubled in the United States, and in New York City, obesity is epidemic. More than half of adult New Yorkers are overweight or obese, and nearly half of all elementary school children in New York City are either overweight or obese. Unhealthy weight gain, even during childhood, is related to diabetes, heart disease, asthma and depression. As you are aware, New York City leads

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the nation in addressing healthy lifestyles, and has taken bold actions to improve the availability of healthy foods and restaurants, and in the community. Supporting the city's strategies to continue to improve nutrition and extend physical exercise opportunities is the best way to promote healthy weight and prevent chronic health problems related to obesity. I thank you for the opportunity to discuss this important issue. We look forward to continuing our work with the Council towards the goal of making New York City a better, safer and healthier city. I'd like to turn it over to Commissioner, Deputy Commissioner Cavanaugh, and we'll take questions all at, at the end. Thank you.

LIAM CAVANAUGH: Once again, good morning Chair Foster and Committee members. I'm Liam Cavanaugh, First Deputy Commissioner of the Department of Parks and Recreation. And on behalf of Commissioner Benepe, thank you for allowing me the opportunity to testify before you this morning on Introduction 739, 896 and 918. As you know, it is the Parks Department mission to provide clean and healthy spaces for New Yorkers to get exercise

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and enjoy the outdoors. Across the city, parks are havens for New Yorkers who use playgrounds, go for a swim, walk a dog or play their favorite To achieve our mission, we have to look sports. for safe and creative ways to build, operate and maintain the myriad number of facilities and resources the public demands. To that end, I am happy to be here today to speak about Introductions 739, 896 and 918. I also want to thank Nancy Clark from the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene for her introduction. The Parks Department works closely with the Health Department on a variety of public health issues impacting parks, and most recently on studying the safety of synthetic turf fields. First I'll address Intro 739. Synthetic turf has become widely, a widely used alternative for city sports teams and universities across the country. we consider New York City to be at the forefront of urban open space management, we are not alone in using synthetic turf as a tool to increase fitness opportunities for park users of all ages. There are at least 6,000 synthetic turf fields in the world, and three thousand fields in North

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

America. In 2008, 700 fields were installed worldwide. In New York State there are over 200 fields. Locally, these types of fields can be found at Giants Stadium, Rutgers University, Columbia University, and Fordham University, and at a number of other colleges and universities in the metropolitan area, along with public, private and parochial schools in the region. They're used by 21 NFL teams, 74 NCAA division one teams, many more division two and division three teams, three major league baseball teams and three major league soccer teams. Obviously, from the breadth and scope of installation in 2008 alone, many have found synthetic turf to be a tremendous benefit, opening and expanding at recreational opportunities and access for our youth and athletic minded park users. These fields provide New Yorkers of all ages with greater access to places where they can exercise, which of course helps fight obesity. Additionally, improved safety, increased versatility, higher durability to support more field use, and no required weekly mowing, watering, fertilizing, seeding or other time intensive maintenance tasks make synthetic

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 turf an asset to our users and staff.

Additionally, they are usable year round, can be played on after heavy rain and wear out much more slowly than a natural turf field. A synthetic turf field is expected to last eight to ten years; whereas a heavily used natural grass field wears down quicker, without adequate rest, reseeding and extensive care. These new fields have had a major impact on our system. They can fill a critical shortage of available ball field space in New York City. With population growing both in size and diversity, New Yorkers need more field space, because more sports are being played than every While many New Yorkers still play before. baseball and football, soccer has become extremely popular. And cricket, rugby, ultimate Frisbee and lacrosse are also growing in popularity. sports also tend to be high impact games and create a great deal of wear and tear on field services. To fill these critical shortages, we have been able to provide more fields by converting asphalt yards to synthetic turf fields. Conversions of asphalt to synthetic turf, have led to the single biggest increase in usable field

We have been able to increase access to 2 time. 3 fields in neighborhoods like East and Central 4 Harlem, that did not have many available fields. Young people and adults can now play their 5 favorite sports right in their own neighborhood, 6 7 and thanks to Mayor Bloomberg's Plan YC, we will 8 be converting 20 more, 25 more asphalt fields into new playing fields. Parks takes great efforts to 9 10 ensure that we're installing the safest materials at all of our sites throughout the city. 11 Synthetic turf has existed in some form or fashion 12 since the 1950s, and has evolved into the current 13 sophisticated technology we use today. 14 These new 15 fields are designed to protect against all sorts 16 of sports related injuries. Very often, when 17 we've chosen a certain type of field to install at a particular site, based on the specifications and 18 19 needs of that field, we know that new emergent 20 technologies are always coming to the market that 21 will provide safer, more cost effective protection to our park users of the future. 22 In December 23 2007, at an oversight hearing of this committee, Commissioner Benepe discussed the safety benefits 24 25 of synthetic turf fields. He noted how synthetic

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

turf fields are tested by an independent party to ensure compliance with consumer product safety commission standards, which address serious potential head and fall injuries. However, knowing that our fields protect against head trauma is not enough. We need to know that our fields are non-toxic and provide a safe and chemical free playing environment. That is why Parks has continued to work closely with the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene on a number of projects involving synthetic turf Last May, Department of Health and Mental Hygiene issued a comprehensive literature review of existing scientific research into the health impacts of synthetic turf field, funded by a grant from the New York Community Trust. The report found that exposure to crumb rubber is likely to increase the risk for any adverse health impacts. Parks has adopted a number of recommendations from the review, including the establishment of a new procurement protocol requiring testing of all synthetic turf materials prior to their installation in a park. The report noted that elevated heat levels associated with synthetic

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

turf fields could increase the risk of heat related illness among field users. Parks has since posted signs that all synthetic turf fields cautioning the public about heat related illnesses eliminated the use of black crumb rubber from recycled tires in new products, and is increasing, and is using a number of new infill materials that retain less heat than crumb rubber. We are adding new technologies that are safe and better for the environment, including carpet style turf and alternative infill materials. Some, such as thermoplastic granules, a virgin rubber product known as EPDM, ground coconut or walnut shells, and sand coated with acrylic or food grain We've also started to install water elastomers. misters near the benches of fields that may get hot, in an effort to allow players to cool down more easily. In fact, we have approximately 34 included in our new projects that are in the design or construction cycle, and continue to look for ways to reduce the summertime temperatures on or around synthetic turf fields. The Department of Health report also found that there was little research into air concentrations of chemicals of

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

potential concern, above outdoor synthetic turf The Department of Health agreed to conduct some of those tests at two Parks Department fields, Thomas Jefferson Park in Manhattan, Mullally Park in The Bronx. establishing background levels for chemicals of potential concern at both fields, a sample of crumb rubber from Thomas Jefferson Park was found to exceed the EPA standard for lead in outdoor None of the other chemicals of playgrounds. potential concern were found to exceed EPS standards. While there is no EPA standard for lead levels in crumb rubber, we adopted the EPA standard for bare soil as an appropriate and protective for assessing lead in crumb rubber. test these fields, Parks and DOH adopted the soil standard as the closest possible equivalent. the request of, of the Department of Health, Parks conducted a series of tests which confirmed the elevated lead levels found by the Department of Health. The average lead content for the 31 samples taken was 502 parts per million, with 15 samples falling below the 400 parts per million standard, and 16 coming in above that level.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

field was closed due to the elevated lead levels and will be replaced this winter. We expect to have the field open for play by the end of April. Using protocols developed by the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, Parks is currently testing all synthetic turf fields for lead content. To date we are placed to report that all fields with crumb rubber infill have tested negative, with the exception of Thomas Jefferson, for elevated lead levels. We are continuing to test all carpet style fields, and turf and carpet play areas, and we will share those results, which we expect to complete testing by the end of February, weather permitting. Intro 739 bans the use of crumb rubber infill material, calls for a moratorium on new synthetic turf installation, and replacement of existing synthetic turf fields over a 12 month period. However, given the benefits of synthetic turf and absent substantial data supporting legitimate health or safety issue, a replacement program as currently outlined in Intro 739 is not in the city's best interest. The total ban on crumb rubber infill material appears to be overly broad. The Department of Health and Mental

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Hygiene literature review mentioned earlier did not find evidence of an elevated human health risk due to chemicals contained in crumb rubber infill. A moratorium on new synthetic turf installations will only delay needed renovations, leaving the public with fewer opportunities to use safe, high quality playing fields. Similarly, replacing all synthetic turf fields over a 12 month period would disrupt, would disrupt the schedule of hundreds of youth and adult leagues. Safety is and will remain the Parks Department's highest priority at our playgrounds. Thankfully, New York City's playgrounds are the best and safest in the country, due in large part to the protective safety servicing that meets all industry and regulatory codes. Complimenting the quality of our equipment, we have an extensive signage system that promotes safety. Throughout the city, over 2000 signs are posted at playgrounds reminding patrons to wear shoes and appropriate clothing, especially when it gets hot. And we will continue to ensure that signs are posted at every playground, in different languages where appropriate. We have some examples of the slides

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that we use with us here today. As we mentioned, ensuring the safety of Parks users is a primary concern when designing parks and playgrounds. rubber safety mats that are used in playgrounds are considered safe and have presented many serious injuries, particularly those from, those to heads from fall trauma. We inspect all play equipment regularly, using the most comprehensive playground and parks inspection system in the country, and have been nationally recognized for safety. All play equipment complies with rigorous safely, safety standards established by the American Society for Testing and Materials, and the U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission. We recognize that when temperatures rise, outdoor services get hot. Where possible, we are proactively provi--building roofs over play equipment, and planting trees to provide shade. Some have questioned if different colors other than black were used on our safety surface, if there would be a different reduction, if there would be a significant reduction in temperature. We are not aware of evidence of significant benefits coming from a change in color, but we

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

continue to track new technologies to be implemented. Should we see benefits that would be implement -- should we see benefits to health and safety for our users. We appreciate the thoughtfulness put into crafting introduction 896, and while we think our work in this area renders the bill duplicative, we hope we can continue to work with you on these issues, and address the concerns that you have. Lastly, I'd like to thank my colleague from the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene for addressing Introduction 918. We support their analysis and articulation on this position, and look forward to continued, to a continued great relationship on these and many other important issues. We're happy to answer questions that the committee may have.

CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Thank you.

Let me just introduce the council members we've

joined by: Council Member Letitia James from

Brooklyn, who is a member of the committee;

Council Member Gale Brewer from Manhattan, who is

not a member of the committee; Council Member

Melissa Mark-Viverito from Manhattan who's not a

member of the committee; and Council Member Alan

22

23

24

25

Gerson from Manhattan who's a member of the 2 3 committee. I have just a few questions, and then I'm going to go into my colleagues, 'cause there's 4 a long list. Let me just deal, and I'm going to 5 go through the testimony and work backwards. 6 Commissioner Cavanaugh, with, with 896, now that 7 8 we, you know, are seeing the effects of global warming--and it's much hotter than, I guess years 9 10 ago it had been--do we know of other jurisdictions that are usually a hot place, like maybe Florida, 11 12 Miami, where mats are used that address the heat concerns in terms of not just the color of them, 13 but what can be done to make sure they're cooler? 14 15 We are in touch on LIAM CAVANAUGH: 16 a regular basis with the manufacturers of these 17 products. We are not aware of any product that is substantially cooler, even in places that are 18 19 substantially hotter than New York City, like down 20 South or out in the West.

CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: And are there independent, you know, as a manufacturer, it's, it's self-serving to say, you know, we've got it figured out. Do you know if there are independent groups that are looking into this, this heat with

the mats, because I, I would assume that if other jurisdictions are using this, we're not the only one affected by heat issues. Do you know of an independent group that's looking into this, and it's not the manufacturer? And if not, maybe that is something we need to, to look into.

by a company called The Magnolia Corporation, that has a product which they claim can help reduce the surface temperatures of safety matting. We worked with them to install it on a test basis in a couple of locations in Manhattan, and we are going to review those results over the course of the next summer, to see if it does, one, stand up to use in New York City parks; and two, reduces the temperature of the mats.

COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: And when you conclude that, please keep the, the committee informed. Let me go to the signage. Where appropriate you say you have different languages, the signs in different languages, I would assume to coincide with the neighborhoods in the communities, yes?

LIAM CAVANAUGH: That was our

2.0

2.3

intent, but as I'm sure you're aware, the Mayor's
Language Access Task Force is going to promulgate
new rules for providing language in a varifor
providing text in a variety of languages, that'll
become standard in all of our facilities.

CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Do you have, and I don't know what the proper wording for it, but do you have, you know, when they do the stick figures, when, for--

LIAM CAVANAUGH: Yes, we, we--We make extensive use of universal symbols on all our signage in parks and playgrounds.

CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Okay. And--On page three of your testimony, when--paragraph one, two, three--top three, talking about the study and, and the, the levels, it says the average led content for the 31 samples taken, that sentence, you have 16 came in above that level. What was the highest level?

LIAM CAVANAUGH: It was approximately 1900 parts per million.

CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: [sneeze in audience] God bless you. [pause] What--How do we deal with the synthetic turfs with the other

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

concerns, in terms of storm water drainage or gases that may come off of that? I notice we've talked about specific concerns, but what about storm water and what grass can do? And then to take it further, how, if we had more maintenance or upkeep from natural grass or real grass, would we be able to kind of keep up with the cycle? I'm just thinking, you know, many years ago when I was in high school, was a soccer player, and we played on grass. And so when it rained, we played in mud bowls, but we played nonetheless. And the worst thing to play on for us was synthetic turf. The ball moves faster, the injuries, you know, you--You don't, it doesn't give. So, what, is there any way that we could, if we allocated more money to maintenance, or more money to the Parks Department, to upkeep real grass, could we then replace some of these synthetic turfs? LIAM CAVANAUGH: Let me talk from--The end, maintenance alone is not the, the issue. Yes, you know, additional funds to maintain

The end, maintenance alone is not the, the issue Yes, you know, additional funds to maintain natural turf fields would allow them to be available, you know, at a much higher quality level. But the fact of the matter is that in

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

order to preserve a natural turf field, you have to close it for substantial periods of time, particularly during the winter. And yesterday it was a prime example of some of the benefits of synthetic turf fields. We had a 50 degree day, thousands of New Yorkers wanted to get outside due to cabin fever, they were in the parks, they were on the synthetic turf fields. Natural turf fields would be substantially damaged if they were used in the same way synthetic turf fields were used yesterday. And you know, our great natural turf fields in places like Central Park and Prospect Park and other places around the city, are still closed. And we don't permit, we try to limit activity on them during this time of year, and there's, there's just no way around that, regardless of the amount of maintenance that you're able to perform. With storm water, there are two issues. You mentioned leachate and things like that. There are a number of studies that have either been done or are under way. There was a study issued, that was issued by a private organization in Connecticut, late last year, which determined that there was no significant Leachate

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in the run off from the fields. The Department of Environmental Conservation is conducting a study on that very subject. They used, also used Thomas Jefferson Park and Mullally Park in The Bronx as their, as their, for their study. We assisted them in, in making the fields available, and providing some support for that effort. So, based on that, and I'm sure there are, there are other studies going on in the country on that same subject. There does not appear to be an issue of leachate from these fields, contaminating either groundwater or other water bodies. In terms of air quality, it is an area that the Department of Health identified as being lacking in research, when they issued the report last May. That's why they, they committed to conducting some of that research, which they did at Thomas Jefferson and Mullally. And as Assistant Commissioner Clark mentioned, they didn't find any levels or measurable levels of contaminants in the air when they did those tests. Similarly, the Connecticut study that I mentioned, also, arrived at the same conclusion, but I expect there will be more testing of that particular area as, as things, you

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

know, progress. This is an, an evolving industry, there's a lot of scrutiny on it. We are committed to making sure that all of our installations are safe and we are committed to making, being current with all of the developments in the industry. for the last point, storm water run off, we are designing as many of our synthetic turf fields to capture and retain storm water on site, and not deposit it into the city sewer system. It is part of the overall goal of the Mayor's sustainability issues, to, you know, reduce storm water run off wherever possible. So, yes, synthetic turf fields, in and of themselves, don't absorb water the way a natural turf field, but there are ways you can design them to prevent the storm water from flowing into the city sources.

CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: When the air quality was measured, was it measured at different temperatures? So, you know, a summer day when it was 100 plus or 98, versus--

LIAM CAVANAUGH: I'll have to defer to the--

24 CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Yes, I'm 25 sorry.

T T 7 7 7	~~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	70
I . I / IVI	CAVANAUGH:	Assistant
1 111 🛆 171		Assistant

				_ 1
Comi	m ı c	2210	ner	Clark

NANCY CLARK: The, the air studies - - Oh, sorry. Okay. The air survey and our report, by the way, will, being finalized, and there's a lot of data that needs to be reviewed. So that will be released shortly, I hope, in the coming weeks. But yes, we did, all of the air monitoring was performed on a hot summer day. fact, our criteria was that it be done in the hottest part of the day. So, because our hypothesis was that if there were air emissions coming off of the turf, they would most likely occur when the field was hot, heat had built up, even over the, the week's time, so yes we performed the, the sampling in, in August on very hot days.

CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: How did we arrive at the two parks, Jefferson and Mullally?

NANCY CLARK: Those were part of the study design, which the Health Department was a lead on, along with an environmental consultant. And I might also add, with input from other agency personnel, and a lot of people in other

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

jurisdictions are also looking at it. So we're, we reach out to, to others to get the best information that we can. We very much wanted to look at a newer field, because that's a field that's newly installed, and you might expect one set of conditions, if there's any off gassing, you might capture those on a newer field. We're interested in the older field because you might want to consider that, well, all the off gassing occurred during the first year, but what does it look like at the end of the, you know, at a later time. And we also wanted to look, we also measured find particulate matter, the same as an air pollution particulate. And we also wondered, "Well, under the use, you know, some of these fields are used heavily. Does the material grind down and create an even finer particle that could become more readily airborne?" So we measured that, both in the newer field and again in the older field. I also want to add that we also took similar measurements at a natural grass field, as well as some upwind locations at both of the synthetic turf fields. Taking these kind of measurements can be challenging in an urban

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

environment when many of the things we're looking for may be associated with other sources.

CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: And in your testimony, you said that on page three the elevated levels at Jefferson Park were most likely due to external contaminations. How did we come up with that?

Well, we've, in the NANCY CLARK: course of the work that we've done, and the review of many scientific papers that we've looked at, led, although it's been identified in crumb rubber, generally at, at levels well below a level of concern. It's also not used historically in the manufacture of rubber. So, it posed a, you know, we were confounded by it, where what was the source? And we don't have a good answer for that. We're certainly very curious to try to understand what was the source of that contamination. doing further studies to see if we can actually identify the particular lead compound or that was in the rubber, and is it bound into the rubber, or is it separate from the rubber? These are important questions and we hope we'll be able to get some of those answered. But to answer your

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

question perhaps more directly, lead is a very persistent pollutant in our environment. We would very much like to have no lead in our environment, and no lead in a child's environment. But the United States and New York City and New York State have used vast quantities of lead in the past, both in paint, that's been used in homes, in gasoline, and in other products. Unfortunately, lead does go away, once it's in your, the environment, it's persistent and it stays there. So, when we say that somewhere along the line, the material was contaminated. And unfortunately we can't pinpoint that, but you can just look at the did it happen during the manufacture of lifespan: the tire? Probably not, because that we've not been able to identify information that identifies lead as an additive. So then did it become contaminated during the processing of the crumb rubber? Well, perhaps. But we've also looked at and spoken with colleagues about the way that's, the way that process occurs, and it's not, lead's not added into the process. So but then the lead, then the crumb rubber is transported, there's another opportunity. And it was installed,

2.0

2.3

another opportunity. And then it's been used,
it's been in place for four years. And so, the
answer to your, after a long answer, the real, our
real response is that we don't know, but we do
believe that's most likely an external
contamination from some source.

CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Well, before I turn the questions over to my colleagues, I think it's important that we do this research and--

NANCY CLARK: Totally.

CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: --do it
honestly, with the expectations. I think it's no
accident that where the levels were high, where
the park is located, and when we look at the
realness of environmental racism, and how specific
areas tend to be inundated with compounds,
development, refurbishment, whatever we want to
call it, that are going to generate those levels.
And I would be interested that when we do these
tests, especially now that we've done Thomas
Jefferson, that now we go back somewhere, like
Mullally, where you have seen the construction of
two just horrific developments, that I am sure
will generate, between Yankee Stadium and the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Gateway, that will generate, if not lead, some other type of toxin that is not healthy for the community. And then as not only Parks and DOH, but as a city, then we have to be willing to say at what point do we make sure development across this city is done equally, so that one area, one neighborhood, one group of people, don't bear the burden of it. That's very important. And so, it's important that with all the studies, with Department of Education, as we build schools over dumps that we later find out about, things like that, we've got to be willing to be honest with what our findings are, and do whatever it takes to remediate it, and much, very much so, before the fact, and not after the fact. That being said, we have, the first questions are by Council Member Gioia.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIOIA: Thank you very much, Madam Chair. Along with my colleagues, Ms. Mark-Viverito, and Council Member James from Brooklyn, and Council Member Baez from The Bronx, I am the author of legislation to ban the crumb rubber fields in New York City. And I want to, before I begin my question, I want to say a few

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I spoke to a number of people here before things. the hearing began, who have started leagues-football leagues, soccer leagues, baseball leagues -- and I have a tremendous amount of respect, I want to thank them for doing that. actually am the founder of a youth baseball league, the first ever youth baseball league in Queensbridge Houses. And in addition to combating obesity, having young kids out there playing sports I think is vitally important to their development from, from getting them healthier to developing their leadership skills, to learning how to work as a team, and just so many of the values that team sports bring to young people, I think it's vitally important. So thank all of you for doing that. I think every kid in New York City should have access to a park where they can go out and play competitive team sports. concern here is with the long term impacts of crumb rubber. You know, growing up, I played on turf fields. I was never a particularly good athlete, so I spent most of my time laying on my back, I suppose, on the, on the turf fields, or laying on my stomach at the bottom of a pile,

2	about, you know, an inch or two away from the
3	turf, rather than three feet away, where you
4	tested. And I'll get to that in a second. But,
5	when I heard that what we were doing was taking
6	old tires from trucks and busses and cars,
7	grinding them up, and letting our children play on
8	top of them, it struck me as a phenomenally bad
9	idea. And I don't have a degree in science, but
10	it just seems to me, I mean, I'm a dad, and I
11	won't, my daughter will go to put a rubber ball in
12	her mouth, and I say, "Take that out of your
13	mouth, that's dirty," because I know there's
14	chemicals in there. I'm hard pressed to think of
15	something dirtier than an old tire. And I'm
16	certain that they have been scrubbed, and
17	recycled, but it just seems to me that we can find
18	better things to put underneath fields to let our
19	children play on. And so, I begin by asking, how
20	many crumb rubber fields are there in New York
21	City? I guess this is to Commissioneryeah.
22	LIAM CAVANAUGH: Approximately 89
23	athletic fields that use crumb rubber infill.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER GIOIA: How was the

decision made to put these old tires underneath

fields?

a crumb rubber material developed over the last, I think ten years or so, within the industry. As Commissioner Clark mentioned, the research that was available at the time indicated that there were, that the levels of chemicals in the tires did not exceed levels of concern, and it was an innovation that actually provided a recycled use for a product that was clogging landfills.

know, that reminds me, about ten years ago someone had the bright idea to take old subway cars and drop them into oceans to create new barrier reefs. And it actually succeeded in creating barrier reefs, but the problem was all the chemicals in the subway killed all the fish. And so, when I hear the idea that it's taking something that was going to be a landfill, taking it out of the landfill and putting it in a park, the logic escapes me. So you're telling me that that was part of the logic, was that we're taking stuff out of landfills?

LIAM CAVANAUGH: It wasn't part of

product was available on the market in probably,

25

certainly played on turf growing up, and it didn't

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

have crumb rubber underneath it. Were there, could you have used sand?

products have come onto the market in the last couple of years, and we're using them. They were not available, as far as I know, back at the beginning of the this century.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIOIA: But there was something available, right, I mean there were turf fields that had something other than old tires underneath them. What was the alt--So, what I'm, what I'm asking is this, is, I want to know how the city goes down this road. It seems to me, the City of New York rushed out to use an untested material that we now have concerns about, that we're now spending a lot of money, potentially millions of dollars examining. Potentially we're going to spend millions more ripping up these fields and putting down new fields. And so I want to know how the decision was made and what the alternatives were to the old tires. Certainly, there was an alternative, it wasn't mud or turf. There had to be something else. And if there wasn't an alternative, did we put out an RFP that

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

just said, "Who can give us the best crumb rubber?" I mean, what was the decision making process. Walk me through it.

LIAM CAVANAUGH: I cannot tell you what the full range of products that were available on the market at the time. The choices primarily were between asphalt; natural turf; a carpet style synthetic turf field, such as the earlier generations of Astroturf and things like that, one of which was the field in Newark that was closed because of the high lead levels; and fields with infill material made out of crumb rubber from recycled tries that more closely simulated the effect of a natural turf field. And there were, there were studies available at the time, indicating, as I said before, that the material did not pose any particular danger to human health.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIOIA: Do you have the studies the City relied on?

LIAM CAVANAUGH: I don't have them here.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIOIA: Do you know the studies the City relied on?

Τ	COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION 5
2	LIAM CAVANAUGH: There are studies
3	available, yes.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER GIOIA: I'mNo, but
5	do you know the specific studies the City relied
6	on?
7	LIAM CAVANAUGH: I could not tell
8	you what they are right now.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER GIOIA: But do,
10	could someone, it's okay, you can tell me right
11	now, but does someone know what we relied on?
12	LIAM CAVANAUGH: Yes.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER GIOIA: And you'll
14	make that publicly available?
15	LIAM CAVANAUGH: Yes.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER GIOIA: Why is the
17	City no longer using crumb rubber fields?
18	LIAM CAVANAUGH: As part of the
19	review and the study that the Department of Health
20	conducted, they identified heat levels as being a
21	potential human health concern. And as a result
22	of that, we stopped using crumb rubber from
23	recycled tires in our fields.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER GIOIA: Would you
25	admit, then, it was a mistake to install these in

1	COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION 58
2	the first place?
3	LIAM CAVANAUGH: No.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER GIOIA: Why not?
5	LIAM CAVANAUGH: It is a material
6	that has, as I said in my testimony, made many
7	fields available for use that had not been
8	previously available for athletic play; they have
9	been safe fields
10	COUNCIL MEMBER GIOIA: Let me ask
11	you
12	LIAM CAVANAUGH: [interposing]
13	People have enjoyed them on a year round basis.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER GIOIA: Let me ask
15	you a
16	LIAM CAVANAUGH: [interposing]
17	There are improvements in the marketplace that
18	we're adopting to make sure that the fields meet
19	with the current standards.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER GIOIA: Knowing
21	Sorry to interrupt you. Knowing what you know
22	now, would you have installed these fields?
23	LIAM CAVANAUGH: If there, if there
24	was an other alternative on the market at the
25	time, perhaps not, but as far as I know, there was

not.

3 COUNCIL MEMBER GIOIA: Well, great, 4 well that's, at least the heart of my question, 5 I'd like to know what the City looked at as alternatives, because I don't believe that it was 6 7 either mud or old tires. And, and this brings me 8 to the studies that we've done. And first I will say that the Health Department has really been at 9 10 the forefront, cutting edge, of public health in 11 this city; far ahead of the federal government. 12 And one of the reasons I think it's helpful that 13 we're doing this today, is because, because you're part of the same administration, I think there's a 14 15 collegiality that might make it difficult, 16 somehow, to cross regulate each agency. We're 17 talking about a Health Department that has banned trans-fats and is on its way towards dramatically 18 19 limiting the availability of salt. And so I have 20 a hard time believing that on their own, they 21 would say that any level of lead is acceptable in 22 a child's playing field. And so, the question 23 I'll ask is, the study you were doing, it was done at three feet high. Why so high? 24 25 NANCY CLARK: The purpose of the

air quality study was to evaluate what was in the breathing zone of young, of a young child. We took a three foot level because that's really kind of our youngest child that would be on the field. And that typically, the way one evaluates, if you want to do an inhalation study, you look for what the breathing zone, if it's a larger person, you may evaluate it at different levels. Other researchers, as you, have you at four foot levels or five foot levels.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIOIA:

Commissioner, I would suggest, and I'm wondering if this is now possible in the fields we move forward with, if you ever watch little kids play soccer, you know, they spend most of their times on their belly and on their back. You know, if anyone's ever coached soccer, you've seen a lot of that. Whether they're stretching or whether they're just getting knocked around, that's the way they do it. And sometimes, actually when you're breathing the deepest, I'll take a, go watch a Pop Warner football league. When the kids are practicing, when they're breathing the deepest, it's when their face mask is right up

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

2	against the grass, or the turf. I mean,
3	literally, three inches away, and they're sucking
4	wind pretty hard. I would suggest that to get an
5	accurate reading, and to accurately assess the
6	risk to our children, that the air quality, the

particulates are tested at a much lower level. 7

8 And I, I understand that maybe normally,

especially with adults, in dealing with, with

fugitive dust and other things, it might be at

11 height level, but I suggest for kids--And is that

12 possible to continue testing?

> NANCY CLARK: Well, on whether--It's an interesting thing to take into consideration. There are exposure measurement, guidelines, that, because as you mention, there are many variabilities amongst children, amongst people, uses, how many hours, positioning, so the best way for us to do a study, or for anyone to do a study, is to use some standardized approach. And that's exactly what we do, is very standard way that children's inhalation exposures would've been evaluated. But it's an interesting point that you raise, and I do believe that the New York State study did look at air levels closer to the

2.0

2.3

2	turf. Their issue was not a human inhalation,
3	because again that would be standardized at a more
4	upright position, but also just as a, as an
5	emitter. So, we don't have, we're not, they've
6	not released their results yet, but we'll all be
7	very interested in that.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIOIA: Well, yeah, that's what I was going to ask you about. And I'm going to wrap up here.

CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Please.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIOIA: The, in terms of the testing, what--Did you test for pthalates? Did you test for other toxins, other heavy metals, other pollutants in this crumb rubber?

NANCY CLARK: The study that we did was an air quality study. So what we were interested in what was what airborne. We tested for over 60 volatile organic compounds that cold be present in crumb rubber, and some, especially one material, benzothialozate [phonetic] that is almost a marker for crumb rubber. That was an interesting thing for us to measure. We also measured several different metals, not, lead

2.0

2.3

2	wasn't the only one, chromium was another one. I
3	don't have the whole list in front of me. We also
4	did find particulate because at the Health
5	Department, where, as you said, we're, we do
6	consider ourselves on the forefront, and looking
7	very seriously at environmental concerns. And air
8	pollutant is an important one, especially fine
9	particulate, and its relationship to long term
10	health. So we did do those measurements, as well.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIOIA: Are all, pardon me, are all of your studies available online?

NANCY CLARK: The, it will be, we're just finishing, as I said, there are many, many constituents that were measured and we're frankly just finalizing our final review, and we hope to release our air study shortly.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIOIA: Well, I think it's important that all the data the City has, the Parks Department, the Health Department, that we put this online, you know, immediately, as soon as you have it available. If it's available for the staff to be reading, it should be available for the public, because I think that's

where, you know, when you give this sense of, of Google or a Wiki government, you allow, you empower the citizens to actually be able to find out what's on these fields. You allow scientists to have peer review of the work we've done. I'm not in a position to criticize you on which metals you looked for. I just, you know, I went to law school, and not medical school or, you know, I don't know what even school you'd go to, to find that out. But the point is somebody out there would know that. And so I hope you put it out there.

NANCY CLARK: Sure.

happy the City is no longer using crumb rubber.

But I think the City has made a mistake here, and I think that, I think we should own that mistake, and I think we should take up the crumb rubber fields. No parent should have to wonder what lies beneath a playing field, and it shouldn't take Erin Brokovich to go out there and drag the information out of the City. And with that, I thank you all for your testimony. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Council Member

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Lappin, next. Let me just remind my colleagues and everyone, we have to be out of here by 1:00, so if we could get right to our questions, I'd appreciate it. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: Thank you, Madam Chair, and I apologize for coming in and out. I'm chairing a meeting next door of the Land Use Subcommittee. So, I've always enjoyed working with you, Mr. Cavanaugh, and with the Park Department in the past. And we have been able to work on legislation together. But I want to start by saying, in terms of your testimony, I don't believe that what we're trying to do here is duplicative. At all. And you know, I have, I have three questions, and I want to start with, you talked a lot about regulations that are out there. And my understanding is that the regulations that exist don't deal with the heat of these safety mats in playgrounds. Is that correct?

LIAM CAVANAUGH: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: Okay. So that's a big problem. I mean, I understand that maybe those guidelines were designed with other

factors in mind, but when they do reach 165

degrees, that's a hazard. And just because it's

not part of the existing guidelines, doesn't mean

that we shouldn't do something about it. So, you

mentioned that there are Magnolia test sites out

there that are looking at different kinds of

material. Could you tell me where they are?

LIAM CAVANAUGH: I think it's primarily in Vesuvio Playground, in Manhattan on Thompson Street. We have a--several different colored mats in that playground, and we wanted to see if there was a difference between both the colored mats and the material that we're, coating material we're placing on top of them.

COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: Is it just the color that's different, or is the material different as well? Or both?

is not different, the material is actually a clear material. We have different colored mats than that. There is some sense that lighter colored mats are, become less hot, and might be preferable. But from what we've seen, and admittedly there isn't a lot of research out

2	there, but from what we've seen, even the lighter
3	colored mats still get into the 140 degree range,
4	during, when exposed to direct sunlight, as does
5	asphalt, and from what we know, that you know, any
6	temperature surface above 120 degrees, depending
7	on circumstances, could lead to burns. So, you
8	know, we're not sure
9	COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: But these
10	may be better, but not the ultimate solution.
11	LIAM CAVANAUGH: Exactly.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: Okay.
13	Better is good, but we want to find
14	LIAM CAVANAUGH: Better is good.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN:the
16	ultimate solution. Do you, you mentioned in terms
17	of the Astroturf, the synthetic fields misting.
18	Do you mist the mats in the playgrounds when they
19	reach these extreme temperatures?
20	LIAM CAVANAUGH: We don't have
21	misters in playgrounds for that purposes, but
22	almost every playground has a water source that
23	can be used to, to hose down
24	COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: And there

are typically Parks employees who, even if they're

1	COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION 68
2	not in the playground all day, are in and out.
3	Would they be able to mist these mats?
4	LIAM CAVANAUGH: They'll be able to
5	wash them down, I wouldn't say "misting" them,
6	that's
7	COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: Right, but-
8	-
9	LIAM CAVANAUGH: Yes.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN:do
11	something to use water to cool them off.
12	LIAM CAVANAUGH: Yes.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: And is that
14	something that you do? That these Parks
15	LIAM CAVANAUGH: We do wash, we do
16	wash off mats, periodically, when situations call
17	for it. From what we've seen, the water effect,
18	particularly on mats, is not long lasting. You
19	know, once it dries out in the heat, and the mat
20	is exposed to direct sunlight, the temperatures
21	can increase quickly.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: Do you have
23	a policy within the Parks Department that on a day
24	when the temperature reaches X degrees, the Parks
25	employees are directed to water down the mats?

other reasons why he should be wearing shoes to

protect himself in the park. I don't see that

24

25

sign and think to myself, "Oh my god, these mats are 165 degrees, and I better make sure that when he steps out of the sandbox he has shoes on." And so, I think until we get to this solution, and I, we're going to keep pushing on that, we really do need to change the signage. And I think it's important, we can go back and forth over the specific language, and I'm not going to do that today in the hearing, but we've already had some back and forth prior to the hearing. But you know, I would really like to get a commitment from you today that you will work with us to revise this signage. Because I just don't think it cuts it.

commitment to do that. In addition to this sign, which is posted on fences throughout playgrounds, where it's easily to be seen, we did develop a more detailed informational bulletin about heat in playgrounds. In fact, we developed it with, in cooperation with one of the nurses from the Cornell Burn Unit. It is posted in playgrounds on bulletin boards. It's a longer sign, it doesn't lend itself to this type of application, but it

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

does explain the importance of being aware of heat related issues both in surface temperatures and in ambient air temperatures, and how it can affect a young child playing in a playground. And we'll be happy to share that with you as well.

COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: Okav. I wanted to, I mentioned this photo in my opening statement, and I'm going to pass it down. And I don't know if you can see it from here, and if the members of the committee can see it, but this is the 18 month old child who burned his feet in the playground in my district. And it's pretty gruesome, but important for us to really realize what we're talking about here. It's serious, very And you know, last summer, the Parks Department issued--and I'd be happy to pass this down--issued summonses, or at least threatened to issue summonses, in the parks for parents who's children weren't wearing shoes. I want to say very clearly that that is not the answer. Writing tickets to children under the age of two, is not the answer. So, is that part of your plan for this summer?

25 LIAM CAVANAUGH: No, it is not. We

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

had never had any intention of issuing tickets to parents, or children, who were not wearing shoes in playgrounds. And let me just say that, you know, the--it is a complicated issue. The picture is horrific, I've seen it before, I've spoken to the, to the nurse at the burn center who treated the child, and you know, she told me in great detail about the injuries. And it's something that, you know, we don't want to see happen to any child. Safety surface was developed to protect children from fall injuries, and it has been tremendously successful in reducing and almost eliminating injuries of that nature in playgrounds. The alternatives that meet the Consumer Products Safety Commission standards, or the ASTM standards, are either sand or wood chips, neither of which are practical for New York City playgrounds. They both migrate too easily, they both are too easily contaminated with other materials, and we could not possibly maintain the safety levels required by the ASTM or the Consumer Products Safety Commission, using those materials. There aren't a whole lot of alternatives on the market, to the safety service that use. Even the

24

25

2	lighter colored materials do get very hot. We, if
3	there are things that will reduce it, to the point
3	there are things that will reduce it, to the point
4	where you, we will never have another burn, we're
5	certainly interested in looking at that, and
6	adopting it. Until then, we will continue to
7	stress the need for wearing footwear in the
8	playgrounds; educating parents and caregivers
9	about the importance and the potential danger of
10	heat related surfaces and heat related injuries;
11	adopt things like you suggested, to washing off
12	playsafety surfaces on very hot days; and
13	continue to work with the industry to find ways to
14	eliminate this particular problem as well.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: Good. I,
16	this sounds like a positive change in attitude,
17	I'm very appreciative, and I mean, not necessarily
18	from you, but certainly from the top. The Mayor
19	was dismissive last summer when these issues first
20	came out in the press. So, I'm very appreciative
21	and I look forward to working with you.

22 CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Thank you.

Council Member Mark-Viverito?

COUNCIL MEMBER MARK-VIVERITO:

Thank you, Madam Chair. Where do we even start?

2.0

2.3

2	You know, my questions are going to be
3	specifically regarding Intro number 739, of which
4	I'm a sponsor. Good morning, First Deputy
5	Commissioner. You know, Jefferson Park is in my
6	district, and also probably my district, with
7	regards to Randall's Island, and on the use of
8	artificial fields there, and installation of
9	artificial fields probably has their largest
10	number of artificial fields in the City of New
11	York. Is that safe to say?

LIAM CAVANAUGH: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARK-VIVERITO: Most probably. You mention, and it's going to, it's a little difficult, 'cause there's a lot that's been mentioned. I think the health concerns that were raised by my colleague, Gioia, are very real ones, and clearly that is from where I start in terms of my concerns about the installation of these fields. You mentioned that there are 89 crumb rubber fields in New York City. Would the other fields that are artificial or synthetic, that are no crumb rubber, what is the infill? Is it different materials? No infill, in some cases?

LIAM CAVANAUGH: It's largely no

1	COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION 7!
2	infill. There is what they, what is know in the
3	industry as a "carpet style" synthetic turf.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER MARK-VIVERITO: So
5	those are the older fields, probably?
6	LIAM CAVANAUGH: Some of them are,
7	some of them are not older fields, some of the
8	mare newer fields.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER MARK-VIVERITO: Is
10	it safe to say that now the public policy moving
11	forward is to install fields that use infill of
12	one type or another.
13	LIAM CAVANAUGH: WeWe will
14	continue to use both the carpet style and infill
15	style, depending upon the, you know, the
16	particular application.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER MARK-VIVERITO:
18	Okay, now, I just want to clarify something that
19	you mentioned in your testimony with regards to
20	our Intro. You indicated, and I know we've
21	already started to receive emails from
22	constituents and from community residents. You
23	mentioned that we're asking for the replacement of
24	existing synthetic turf fields over a 12 month
25	period. That's inaccurate. We're specifically

stating that we want to replace the infill in those artificial turf fields that use infill.

Now, again I'm a layperson, but my understanding is that in terms of those synthetic fields that do have infill, there is a way of replacing it without having to basically reinstall the artificial turf with a synthetic field, as a way of removing the infill, and then just--We're not replacing the whole field, per se.

LIAM CAVANAUGH: It would depend upon the condition of the turf itself. But there would be a period of time when the field had to be closed in order to remove and replace the infill material.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARK-VIVERITO:

Right, and I would hope that those individuals that are writing to us would have the same concern with regards to the health impact, and what, that the replacement of the crumb rubber infill in particular, because of the concerns you've raised, is something that we're requesting and requiring in that Intro, and I think that we're going to stick really firmly to that. And there are some additional considerations that we may have and

2.0

2.3

2	some changes to the legislation as well, that
3	would be additional. So, so that was important.
4	The other things I wanted to raise, and I'm going
5	to raise it more from like an environmental

6 perspective, 'cause I know that time is limited.

But what is the average temperature on an artificial field? And what is the average temperature on an natural field?

the amount of direct sunlight either surface receives, but if I remember correctly, a synthetic turf field in direct sunlight can be as much as 40 to 50 degrees higher hotter, the surface that is, than the surface of a natural turf field.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARK-VIVERITO: Now, what is the ratio, in terms of Department of Parks installation of fields, what is the ratio of installation of artificial fields versus natural fields? Are you leaning more towards installation of artificial fields as a policy?

LIAM CAVANAUGH: Our approach to artificial turf fields is, to use them primarily, for fields that are used exclusively for field sports, such as football and soccer; and to

2	convert asphalt fields to a synthetic playing
3	surface.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER MARK-VIVERITO: And
5	you mentioned in your testimony and very happily
6	that New York City installed over 200 fields in
7	2008. Is that what you testified in your, in
8	your
9	LIAM CAVANAUGH: I think that was
LO	New York State.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER MARK-VIVERITO: New
12	York State. Okay, what, what's the ratio, then,
13	with regards to artificial versus natural fields?
L4	LIAM CAVANAUGH: Off the top of my
15	head, it's probably three to one natural turf to
L6	artificial.
L7	COUNCIL MEMBER MARK-VIVERITO: One
18	artificial turf field for every three that you
L9	install natural.
20	LIAM CAVANAUGH: Yes.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER MARK-VIVERITO:
22	Okay. 'Cause the other concern, obviously, is,
23	and we have a letter that we've, that we've
24	written, to the colleagues that are sponsoring
25	this legislation, with regards to also the fact

1	COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION 79
2	that in the installation and using of artificial
3	fields, we are actually contributing to a negative
4	impact in terms of the heat island effect in the
5	City of New York. I mean I've just seen, it seems
6	to me to be a real contradiction to the mayor's
7	Plan YC in terms of really opening up green
8	spaces. I understand the importance of
9	recreation, but I really do not like artificial
10	fields, I'd rather natural fields. And I
11	understand that there is a cost involved. What's
12	the cost with regards to maintaining a natural
13	field?
14	LIAM CAVANAUGH: It's, there are
15	various estimates for that. I think the basic
16	rule of thumb is \$14,000 a year, to maintain a
17	natural turf field, at a high level.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER MARK-VIVERITO:
19	Okay. And then you're saying thatwhen was the
20	installation of a artificial field?
21	LIAM CAVANAUGH: Excuse me?
22	COUNCIL MEMBER MARK-VIVERITO: The
23	installation cost of an artificial field?
24	LIAM CAVANAUGH: It varies
25	depending upon the size of the field of course,
25	depending upon the size of the field of course,

And

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Okay. Now, just the last thing. We--looking at other cities, there are many other cities that are doing moratoriums and bans on the installation of artificial fields, based, you know, taking into account the health concerns that we're now indicating and we're expressing. Are you familiar with other cities and what, what measures they're taking with regards to artificial fields?

LIAM CAVANAUGH: As Commissioner Clark mentioned in her testimony, we are part of a study group formed by the federal Environmental Protection Agency. It combines state, county and municipalities, as well as some academic institutions that are looking at the issues surrounding synthetic turf fields. I am not familiar with any specific moratoriums or

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

legislation that other cities have taken, but we are part of a group that is looking into, and sharing, information on synthetic turf as it emerges.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARK-VIVERITO:

Well, New Jersey, California, Connecticut, Minnesota are introducing legislation to ban the installation of new fields until completion of comprehensive health and environmental studies. In New Jersey, we have Montville that specifically says that children under seven are not permitted to play on artificial turf fields, that have registered unsafe lead levels, pending further study. You have California that is doing extensive studies, and even a State Attorney General is getting involved, as well. I mean, these are really serious, I mean we should really be looking at this. And you're saying that there's a study group that has been set up. It's a study group, it's studying the issue. There has been no conclusion, and yet we continue to move forward on what I believe is very, very bad public policy as a city. In your statement, my last point, in your statement, you indicate that

2	basically there's no substantial data supporting a
3	legitimate health or safety issue. So we're going
4	to proceed and move forward and then deal with the
5	repercussions when we do get any sort of alert, or
6	any concerns. I mean, I think it's very bad
7	public policy when we're just jumping into
8	something really carelessly, and then deal with
9	the repercussions later, which has serious health
10	implications, one, but also cost implications,
11	two. We're really facing a very serious economic
12	downturn, and these decisions can really end up
13	costing us, both in health and economically.
14	What's the cost of the, the replacement for Thomas
15	Jefferson Field?
16	LIAM CAVANAUGH: \$751,000.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER MARK-VIVERITO: And
18	what was the original estimate? Was that the
19	original estimate?
20	LIAM CAVANAUGH: The original
21	estimate was actually higher, it was in the
22	\$950,000 range. I don't remember exactly.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARK-VIVERITO:

Well, thank you Madam Chair, but I just want to

say on the record, because I did speak to

2	Commissioner Benepe, that I would like to ask and
3	demand that we not have an artificial field in
4	Jefferson Park. I would rather explore the
5	options of having a natural field. And I
6	understand that [applause] there are cost
7	implications, but again, considering the concerns
8	that have been raised, I would rather err on the
9	side of caution. And so I would like to further
10	discuss that, and I would hope that I have a
11	commitment on your end to explore that further, as
12	well. Thank you.
13	LIAM CAVANAUGH: I would just like
14	to say that Thomas Jefferson did have a natural
15	turf field
16	COUNCIL MEMBER MARK-VIVERITO:
17	Understood, he explained that to me, as well.

LIAM CAVANAUGH: That was, that was worn down and became such a problem for the neighbors across the street, due to the dust, that we had to close it and prevent people from using it.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARK-VIVERITO:

Because we were not investing the money in keeping the field. Considering, again, if you're saying

that the underlying, and you've indicated DOH has as well, that there's possibly underlying issues on that field, then I would want to introduce the least amount of chemicals possible. If anything, you would want to, you know, perhaps, a natural field, as a way of trying to really to really contradict and ameliorate the problems that may exist already and are underlying, which are greater concerns that we may have to address in the future. But I really would like to have a further discussion. Again, I reiterate, I really do not want to see an artificial turf field in Jefferson Park. Thank you, Madam Chair.

CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Thank you.

Council Member Gerson?

Madam Chair, good morning, still. Ms. Clark, you stated that elevated lead levels were not found in any of the other crumb rubber fields tested. What is the status of the testing? Have all the other crumb rubber fields been tested at this point? If not, what percentage? And what is the status of any ongoing testing by the Department of Health, of both crumb rubber and non-crumb rubber fields?

Τ	COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION OF
2	They are stillthey will be tested, they have, we
3	have not completed the testing yet. The sample
4	collection is very dependent on whetherand as
5	you know we've had a lot of snow and ice over the
6	last few weeks
7	COUNCIL MEMBER GERSON: Okay, so
8	the plan is to test everything.
9	LIAM CAVANAUGH: The plan is to
10	test everything.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER GERSON: What about
12	non-crumb, non-crumb rubber?
13	LIAM CAVANAUGH: The plan is to
14	test all synthetic turf surfaces.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER GERSON: And when
16	will that be complete?
17	LIAM CAVANAUGH: Again, it's
18	dependent on weather. We hope to have all of the
19	testing completed by the end of February.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER GERSON: The end of
21	this month, depending on weather, every field,
22	crumb and non-crumb rubber.
23	LIAM CAVANAUGH: Yes.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER GERSON: And after
25	the completion of testing, what will be the time

Τ	COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION 8
2	lag for the, what is the projected time lag, in
3	other words, when will the committee receive the
4	final analysis of those test results?
5	LIAM CAVANAUGH: We will prepare a
6	report and have it available on our website as
7	soon as possible after the testing is complete.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER GERSON: A few
9	weeks, months?
10	LIAM CAVANAUGH: I would say a few
11	weeks, at most.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER GERSON: And the
13	testing to which you referred, is that strictly
14	now for lead or will that encompass the full
15	range, which Commissioner Clark testified to?
16	LIAM CAVANAUGH: It is for lead.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER GERSON: Just for
18	lead.
19	LIAM CAVANAUGH: It's for lead
20	content.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER GERSON: So, okay.
22	Now, havehave any, has any sub-sample of these
23	fields been tested other than the two testified to
24	by Commissioner Clark, for non-lead potential
25	toxins?

1	COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION 88
2	LIAM CAVANAUGH: No.
3	COUNCIL MEMBER GERSON:
4	Commissioner Clark, from the Health Department,
5	from a perspective in terms of professional public
6	health, would it make sense to test a
7	statistically significant sub-component of these
8	fields, for non-lead hazards?
9	NANCY CLARK: There, there have
10	been a number of tests done on crumb rubber fields
11	in general, as well as
12	COUNCIL MEMBER GERSON: In the City
13	of New York?
14	NANCY CLARK: In thein, some in
15	the City of New York, and certainly across the
16	nation and in parts of the world, actually. Based
17	on that information, and on the study that we did
18	on the Mullally Field and Thomas Jefferson, lead
19	was the only element that was identified that was
20	of concern.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER GERSON: So, you
22	don'tfrom a health professional standpoint,
23	you're testifying that there's no reason to test
24	for anything else?
25	NANCY CLARK: From a health, from a

2.0

2.3

public health point of view, we don't think that
there's a need to do further testing on different
elements at this time. If we learn of more
information

COUNCIL MEMBER GERSON: How would we learn if we don't test?

NANCY CLARK: Well, the, how do we learn if we don't test? We do test, we have tested, and we've tested. Other people are testing. We look very closely at not only at our results, but at our—We've tested the crumb rubber at both Mullally and Tom Jeff for over 60 organic chemicals. I don't know, I don't remember how many metals, but also fine particulate, so we did a pretty—

COUNCIL MEMBER GERSON: So those two are sufficiently statistically significant so that he sample to--

NANCY CLARK: I think they're pretty representative of both the new field and an older field. And, and I just want to add that of the other tests that have been reported by other researchers, that confirms what we have found as well.

2	COUNCIL MEMBER GERSON: So one
3	other question to the Health Department, then
4	briefly back to Parks. You testified that the
5	major concern is the heat effect of many of these
6	fields. Has the Health Department issued any
7	health advisory recommendations in terms of heat
8	time relationships? What the maximum amount of
9	time any field at particular temperatures should
10	remain open? What, in looking for new fields
11	going forward, what heat standard should be
12	applied by the park, by the Parks Department, or
13	for that matter any other city departments, like
14	the Department of Education and NYCHA, which
15	purchases this stuff? Have you issued or do you
16	plan to issue any advisory as to kind of maximum
17	heat emission over periods of time?
18	NANCY CLARK: We have a brief
19	public fact sheet on our website that does address
20	heat and advises people who use fields to be very
21	aware of the potential for
22	COUNCIL MEMBER GERSON: My question
23	is never mind, I understand you're advising the
24	people who use it are, you are advising or

directing the city agencies who purchase and

2.0

maintain it, that they should not allow heat above a certain level over a period of time, and in purchasing new products, they should apply any cert--particular heat standards so that the new product does not generate heat above a certain temperature over a period of time.

NANCY CLARK: We don't have that specific recommendation to parks. We've certainly advised them to review the materials that they consider for purchasing for their heat absorption properties. You're asking specifically are we setting a heat standard?

COUNCIL MEMBER GERSON: Yes.

NANCY CLARK: There are many that—
it's somewhat complex and you're probably somewhat
aware 'cause it was a good question to ask. The
heat exchange dynamics, like how does a person
exchange heat with their environment. And it's,
it's dependent on many aspects in the environment,
including wind, humidity, radiant sources. So we
know that people can sustain and be active at a
variety of different temperatures, when there's
heat sources around, to design a heat stress
standard which there, there are some recommended

standards around which of course require some complex ongoing monitoring. We think that a very practical and good way to protect people from heat is to, as the City does, not just the City, but other states and, and even on the national level, advise people when there are heat advisories.

Make sure that there's shade available, that drinking water is available, and that people who use fields. And in this case we've been very adamant with Parks Department that they also educate coaches who may be working with young children, so that they recognize the possibility of any heat related illness.

just urge further consideration be given, given your testimony, that this is a major impact, and the fact that the City is going to be investing significant resources in acquiring new material. You who are the protectors of our health, you now, I think we should look to you to advise the rest of the city government. Again it's not just the Parks Department, it's those other departments as well, to, you know, as to what standards in making purchases. And then on operating, you know, this

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

is parallel to the outstanding suggestion by my colleague, Council Member Lappin, which the commissioner agreed to. The flipside of the coin is having standards set by health experts, so we know when to intervene, when the purchase and not to purchase, and when to apply the misting. would ask that, you know, you take that back and consider you've done far, you've done wonderful, outstanding work in far more complicated areas, and I, I bet you could come up with something that'll work. Just very briefly, Commissioner, you test--Commissioner Cavanaugh--you testified that we are exploring new technologies that are safe and better, etc. Who is the we? I mean, is there a special taskforce set up within the Parks Department? Is it an intergovernmental working Is--Who is the we? And when do you expect group? the results of your explorations to be concluded? LIAM CAVANAUGH: We have a specifications unit in our capital projects division, which looks at new products that come

specifications unit in our capital projects
division, which looks at new products that come
onto the market and assesses their, their
suitability for use in public parks. We've
developed testing protocols in cooperation with

the Health Department that we apply specifically
to these new synthetic turf products, so that they
are tested before they're installed in the parks.

COUNCIL MEMBER GERSON: So there's no like special, I'm just trying to wrap this up. There's no like special unit that is like undertaking this mission with the charge to kind of report back in a matter of months. This is just part of the regular, normal product acquisition process that you review on a product by product basis, as new products for anything become available. Is that what you're saying?

LIAM CAVANAUGH: I can say that we

LIAM CAVANAUGH: I can say that we have focused a lot of attention on synthetic turf products over the last year.

COUNCIL MEMBER GERSON: Well, my point is, given the concerns, given the fact that in truth, in many parts of our city, it is correct that there are no feasible alternatives to synthetic material for kids to play. It's either they play on some synthetic material or they don't play at all, in many parts of our city. I mean, that's the reality. So, given that, it seems to me, we as a city should, should make every

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

concerted effort to find and implement the best possible material, the safest material, the least heated material, and we should be proactive and we should lead the effort, given the recent science and the concerns that we've heard testified. actually, as I hope you know, an effort along these lines is being undertaken by the Battery Park City Authority with respect to fields under its jurisdiction, to come up with the greenest synthetic turf possible. They haven't finished their efforts, but it's a working group with a concerned mission, and with the time table set to come up with recommendations. I would think, whether it's doing something in collaboration, or an independent effort, and this is why, this is the benefit of Intro 918, which you know, we could tweak it to, to take into account some of the technical concerns raised. But I think at this point in time, we should have not just a concerted working group, working to set perhaps a new industry standard, to come up, whether with heat and with the other concerns expressed, with the best possible, the safest, or to determine that it doesn't exist, but the best indications are that

it does exist, and all of our children in all parts of the city should have the benefit of that. So I urge that this be done in a more concentrated, concerted effort, not as part of the normal new product capital, you know, capital acquisition review process. And again, I would request you take that back to the Department and get back to us with a response on that. And if not, we'll have to revisit 918 because that, I think, is the intention of what 918--and I would hope we could do that, it wants to accomplish, and I would hope we could do that cooperatively. Thank you Madam Chair.

LIAM CAVANAUGH: I just want to say, those are our goals. We take them very seriously. We think we are actually leaders in this industry, but we're open to discussing any other innovations or ideas that people who use and even criticize synthetic turf have about the use of this product.

COUNCIL MEMBER GERSON: But if it's done in a reactive responsive way, rather than a proactive leadership way, where we're, we're leading the investigation, we're looking--I mean,

establishing a working group to, to look into this six months, go around to all the companies, come up with the standards in the industry, that will solve the problem. Responding, you know, as you would to kind of regular new products that are put, you know, that are available for the full range of park use, is not going to address a health issue, which, and concern, which has been raised here, whether it's heat or otherwise.

Again, I would urge you to take that under advisement. Thank you very much, Madam Chair.

CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Thank you. My colleagues, we have three more council members, we have an hour, there is a meeting scheduled to be in here, we have 60+ people signed up. So I'm going to again, as much as possible, ask that we ask questions and whatever we don't have answered, or aren't addressed, we can follow up in writing, 'cause I would like to hear from the people that have taken the time to come down here. We've also been joined in the chambers by the CEO of Univision, Joe Uva, and the Executive Vice President, Cesar Conde. Thank you for coming.

2.0

in, we're, we're shutting that down. So, if you have a testimony and you haven't signed up, put it in writing and submit it to the committee. Next we have Council Member Sears, followed by Council Member James, concluding with Council Member Ignizio.

[off mic]

CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: You're not recognized and we won't do this. Council Member Sears, thank you.

Madam Chair, and I shall be very quick. I thank you for holding the hearing, because a lot has been said. And I commend the Parks Department for recognizing crumb rubber, and stopping the use of it. So my question is, for those areas that have crumb rubber, is there a plan to replace all of that? And how long a period of time do you figure that to be carried out? I may have missed that in your testimony, so I'm sorry if you did. But just repeat it again.

LIAM CAVANAUGH: As we stated in the testimony, we have tested all the crumb rubber infill athletic fields for lead content.

Τ	COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION 95
2	COUNCIL MEMBER SEARS: I know.
3	LIAM CAVANAUGH: None except for
4	the field at Thomas Jefferson were found to have
5	elevated lead levels. We do not have a plan, a
6	specific plan to replace the crumb rubber, absent
7	the normal field reconstruction and renovation
8	cycle.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER SEARS: Or the
10	deterior
11	LIAM CAVANAUGH: Which is usually,
12	which is usually on an eight to ten year basis.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER SEARS: How many
14	other fields need to be tested?
15	LIAM CAVANAUGH: Approximately 15.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER SEARS: 15. And,
17	and I guess based on the 88 that you did, that's
18	negative, you might assume, that those 15 may also
19	have a negative?
20	LIAM CAVANAUGH: We don't make any
21	assumption before testing.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER SEARS: Assumption,
23	good, I'm glad to hear that.
24	LIAM CAVANAUGH: To those
25	specifically.

1

9

25

COUNCIL MEMBER SEARS: [laughs] I'm very glad to hear that. The, my question really is now for the DOE, if I can shift from you and Health to the DOE, so that you don't feel you're left out. The mayor's plan to have all of these playgrounds in the schools that he's identified for having a ten minute walk to a playground; now it is wellknown that my district is the only district anywhere that does not have a park. So of course I'm very sensitive to that issue, and I'm very extremely sensitive to playgrounds, because that's what we have: overcrowded concrete playgrounds. So with the mayor's plan, and I have several schools in my district that, in 2010, will absolutely be converted to that. In light of what we've heard today, and there's been some good testimony, and also from my colleagues, I think the mist suggestion is probably a very good one. question really to you is that, because

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

gets a little bit hotter. So that is directed to the Parks Department, when you said that it was raised the question of lighter colors. It works very well on roofs, and I think maybe that might be something you might want to explore, as to how effective that is. I also feel that we as parents need to watch our kids when they get into playgrounds. So if I can go back to you in terms of are there any plans for the cost of perhaps readjusting the plans, for what may be necessary by not using crumb

rubber any more? And exactly what you'd

JOHN SHEA: Surely, it's an excellent question. I can't give you a direct I come representing the Division of Schools Facilities, new installations for fields and playgrounds is done by the School Construction Authority, so the details of what the new installations would look like, I do not have at my fingerprints. We can bring that information back to you. I can tell you that the Department of Ed,

do in terms of synthetic turf.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Sears, if I may interject.

and construction of the Schoolyards Playground Initiative is being done by the Parks Department.

> COUNCIL MEMBER SEARS: Oh, okay.

LIAM CAVANAUGH: In cooperation with the Trust for Public Land, they're helping us with the design process. We have a collaborative

process where we work with the school, both the students, the parents, and the teachers, to come up with the design. All of the elements that will be constructed conform with the School

Construction Authority standards. There are some installations that will use a synthetic turf play area as a flexible play surface. As I mentioned in my testimony, all synthetic turf will be tested before it's installed in parks and anything that is installed as part of this program will be part of that. One of the goals we have in all of the Schoolyards Playground projects is to add water to the playground.

COUNCIL MEMBER SEARS: Okay.

the specifics of all the designs that you've seen in your district, but we want to get water into the playgrounds, for drinking purposes, and you know, to provide a way to cool people off in the hot summer.

COUNCIL MEMBER SEARS: I appreciate that, and maybe as we move along, we can be better, we'll be better informed on that. Thank you very much, thank you Madam Chair.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Do you know whether or not there's one agency that has jurisdiction over this issue? DCAS, perhaps, the Office of Sustainability? As far as, do you know, if anyone on the panel, are you aware of one agency basically coordinating this effort in the

question is: Was durability and cost a factor in

25

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

2	I'm	not	going	to	proclaim	that	Ι	am,	but	is	it
					-						

3 possible that the underlying cause wasn't in fact

4 the turf, but that which was underneath the turf,

or for prior usage of that site, whatever went on

6 there that we don't know about, and not the actual

7 turf itself?

NANCY CLARK: It could, you know, it could be possible. We think not, because there, the way the turf, the way we understand, the installation is layers. There's fabric on, on the dirt level, there's a drainage system, there's a filtering system, there's matting, there's a carpet, and then there's crumb rubber.

COUNCIL MEMBER IGNIZIO: Right.

NANCY CLARK: Lead as a material, when it's in soil, or any loose matrix, is, it's heavy, it's one of the heaviest elements that we have. And it would tend to sink.

COUNCIL MEMBER IGNIZIO: Right.

NANCY CLARK: So I wouldn't expect it, we wouldn't expect, I'm not a soil geologist, but we expect that lead would seep up through all of those layers.

COUNCIL MEMBER IGNIZIO: Right.

2	NANCY	CLARK:	Ιt	seems	more

possible that there was some kind of deposition.

3 4 COUNCIL MEMBER IGNIZIO: Okay. And in terms of synthetic turfs overall, in my 5 district, I'll just speak to Bloomingdale Park, 6 7 and I'll speak to Staten Island Tech, two fields 8 which were redone with grass. And only a year later, you now, they were completely destroyed. 9 10 So the benefit or the net benefit, so long as there is testing, and there ought be testing to 11 12 say, "Okay, this is now safe for children to play, the lead levels are not there beyond the level," 13 at which case synthetic turf, in my view, ought be 14 15 Because think of all the time that embraced. 16 children won't be playing, won't be able to run on 17 fields, and the benefit to their health, I've played on every synthetic turf there is, from 18 19 field turf to the Astroturf from way back when, 20 and which people are talking about almost in this 21 form as if that was great. That was awful. Ιt 22 was dangerous, when you fell and there was 23 concrete and a carpet. And that was, that, you 24 know, for anybody to be advocating for that, I 25 would strongly, you know, encourage them to not

think of that again, because of the injuries which were sustained to people throughout, in using the Astroturf. The current fields that we have, I welcome them in my district, so long as they can provide a documentation that they are safe. And I believe from what you're testifying is they are. Is the, is the Department of Health awaiting their study to come back before they determine the, the statement that they believe that there is not a threat to public health on synthetic turf fields in the City of New York? Are they willing to make that statement, or are they not willing to make that statement as yet?

NANCY CLARK: Well, I think we've already made a statement of our, after we've looked at a lot of scientific information regarding potential exposures on crumb rubber fields. We determined that there, although that there was contaminant levels found in synthetic turf fields, that the levels in fact aren't great enough to offer significant exposure that would result in a health defect. So, I think we have said that. We, we're very concerned, we were very concerned when the high lead level was found at

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Thomas Jefferson, and we were, for us it was very important that a fast action be taken to remove that field from play.

COUNCIL MEMBER IGNIZIO: And I agree, I just think the wholesale approach of doing away with synthetic fields is, is shortsighted, when in fact that, there is a net benefit to both children and to the Parks Department, and to have existing and continuing to expand our parks and recreation system with synthetic fields. And I think that policy ought be continued, especially in the light that we are removing crumb rubber from the process where I've played on those, and they do get very hot in the summertime, and you know, I think that my colleagues should be provided with the scientific documentation that proves that there is no public health for having synthetic fields. And should that be the case, ought be embraced by my colleagues. Grass fields just won't be able to do the job [applause]. The, the reality is [booing]-

CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: No, no, no, no, no, no, Please! I--Wait, wait, wait. See, I

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

asked at the beginning, we're going to get everybody to testify, please, this is just taking more time. Sorry, go ahead, councilman.

COUNCIL MEMBER IGNIZIO: I'm just about done, and I'm sorry, Madam Chair, but the, the fact is that grass fields, I don't believe can accommodate the needs of New York City, particularly in my borough of Staten Island where we have 6500 soccer families that use Miller Field day in and day out. There is no rest time, there is no reseeding time, there is no days off. So grass fields I don't believe are sufficient. We need to prove to the public, to this council, and to everyone else that synthetic fields are safe. And should that not be the case, then we should discontinue it. But upon the proof and scientific documentation, not council members speaking, then we ought go forward with a policy on synthetic fields. Thank you very much, Madam Chairwoman.

[applause, booing] We have a follow up question-[off mic] MALE VOICE: There's no clapping and no booing.

CHAIRPERSON FOSTER:

Thank you.

25 CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Thank you. We

if it's not accurate, then if you show us the

25

2.0

)	documentation,	then	maybe	W.⊂	can	clarify	that
ú	documentation,	$c_{11}e_{11}$	IIIa y DC	w C	Can	Статтту	LIIaL

you could provide the documents, Mr. --

But it's my understanding that there is some indication and some of your own analysis or paperwork with regards to the use of federal stimulus money to replace artificial fields, that there may be concerns about the inhalation risks on crumb rubber infill. That was my question, if

LIAM CAVANAUGH: I will provide the document. I don't remember that language in it, but we will rely on the results of the Health Department's study to determine whether there is any risk of inhalation exposure from, from rubber infill fields.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARK-VIVERITO: I under--I understand that you're going to rely on the DOH study, but if you yourself are putting some information out there, that may really just continue to reaffirm our concerns, then I think it's important that the public knows about that, as well. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Thank you very much. We are going to follow up in writing with some questions that, additional questions that I

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

have that I just, time constraints, I think it's only right that we hear from the people that have taken the time to come down here and sign up to testify. So, thank you very much, and thank you Commissioner Benepe who's in the back, that was here for a little of the testimony, who just got here. And we will follow up in writing. you. Our next panel is Sheela Feinberg from New Yorkers from Parks, and Molly Bedell from Assembly Member Glick's office. Christian is--Christian del Palermo is testifying for Sheela, Executive Director of New Yorkers for Parks. What I'm going to ask you to do is instead of reading, summarize. We're going to give everyone two minutes, and whatever you have in writing to submit, we will take and read. So, we can start with you, M--Yeah, I was going to Ms--

MOLLY BEDELL: Okay, my name is--My name is Molly, and I'm representing Assembly

Member Deborah Glick, today. I'll try to

summarize as best I can. So, as you know, this is a hotly debated issue. At the heart of this issue is the dearth of park and recreation space in New York City, the limited number of athletic fields,

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

combined with the increased demand for playing space, has proved and continues to prove to pose a challenge to the city. We've heard about the benefits of natural grass fields. Actually I'm sorry, we've heard about the benefits of artificial fields. So in the late 1990s, when the City began installing artificial turf, it was lauded as a way to meet the need for more playing space, at a time when the Department of Parks and Recreation maintenance budget for natural grass fields was dwindling. At that time, City and Park officials believed there was not proof of the health and environmental risks posed by artificial Unfortunately, new research is increasingly turf. suggesting that exposure to artificial turf may have negative effects on people's health, and the environment. I think some of the negative effects of crumb rubber infill have actually already been spoken about, as well as the high temperatures that are registered on synthetic fields. So, in light of the increasing evidence against crumb rubber, legislation that seeks to protect the public from the dangers that may be associated with it is prudent. I feel strongly that the DPR

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

cannot ignore the health questions that are increasingly being raised by research studies about synthetic surfaces. For this reason, I strongly support Intro 918, which would require the Department of Health, in conjunction with DPR, to review the surface areas of the City's playgrounds and playing fields, to determine the most appropriate materials to be utilized to minimize health risks. I also support measures to safequard the public from elevated temperatures of playing--playground mats and other equipment. In one study, summer temperatures of playground safety mats were found to reach 165 degrees. think somebody spoke about this off, already about the severe burns that can occur at those temperatures. To ensure that caregivers are sufficiently notified of this danger, Intro 896 requires that signs be posted at the entrance of all city playgrounds utilizing rubber mats, warning of the elevated surface temperatures and requiring visitors to wear shoes. While signs to this effect may currently be posted, the mandates of Intro 896 will ensure that the postings are easily understood, of sufficient size and visibly

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in those fields. Okay.

3 CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Thank you.

4 MOLLY BEDELL: Mmhm.

CHRISTIAN DE PALERMO: Hi, my

name's Christian De Palermo, Executive Director of New Yorkers for Parks. New Yorkers for Parks has been studying artificial turf issue for close to five years. In 2006, we issued a policy report on synthetic turf, basically asking the department to proceed with caution. As know, and you probably heard in earlier testimony, there's something like 365 acres of synthetic turf now, either in or being planned to be put into our neighborhoods. And really, the lesson that we've learned out of this is that we need a citywide policy for turf. You're talking our schools, our housing, and our parks are all being affected here. And I see that the Council does support that, which is we really look at this not just as a parks issue, this is really a citywide issue that has to be studied. And it has to be tested. And testing's not good enough just when we're installing it. You know, Tom Jefferson field was put in five years ago. We have to test, how does this stuff wear? It's not

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

just a question of how it looks on day one, it's a question of how it looks after five years, and what effects it's having on our community. And there are health risks, and we've talked about the lead, but there are also environmental, there are environmental risks which are, like the storm water runoff, and we have to study that. really what we're calling for is a general environmental impact statement. We think it should be done, we do it for development. I mean, this is a city that does environmental reviews for development. We list calories at Burger King. mean, why can't we test every other year to make sure that these, that he turf is safe, and that it's not hurting our environment. We're so far ahead of other cities in so many issues on the environment, let's not get behind 'em on this one, let's be proactive and get ahead with it. that's what we're calling for today. We don't really think that a moratorium necessarily is the Really the answer is a citywide policy. And that's what we support. Regarding safety surfacing, one of our board members, Ann - - of 40 years ago, her and Evelyn Lauder actually paid for

2.0

the first safety surfacing. And they did this
because there were tons of injuries that were
filling up our emergency rooms. And so safety
surfacing should definitely continue, we should
work on different colors, and we definitely have
to post signs. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Thank you very much. Council Member Brewer has a question.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Christian,

I'll be quick. Because I know time is of the

essence, I didn't ask before. But my question is,

has, have you or your board members done any

review of the scientific surveys? Because

generally, I--no, generally, I do agree with

everything that you said, I am, we need the space,

we need the playing space, and we are concerned

about safety. So, do you think that the testing

would satisfy some of the scientific concerns?

We've worked with Mt. Sinai and we've also worked with Natural Resource Defense Council. I think they're going to also submit testimony on this.

Obviously, a lot of the environmental issues we deferred to Environmental Defense Council. The

CHRISTIAN DE PALERMO:

I think so.

science, we haven't asked all the questions, has
not been addressed by the Health Department.
Remember they did a literature review. It's not
like they went out and tested this stuff. They
just started testing it. And they were receptive
to us pushing them. And we have, they have gone
out now, tested the fields. But this is a moment
in time. What's it going to be next year, what's
going to be the year after? And there's new turf
You know, we're talking about the crumb rubber
right now, but there's going to be another
development, and there's going to be another
development. So we justAnd, the big issue that
we always have to remember, is we're the largest
purchaser in the country. We set environmental
policy, you do it in this chamber. Let's do it
going forward with artificial turf.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Thank you.

Our next panel, Jane Cook, American Society of
Landscape Architects; Doug Rowan, Bayside Raiders
Youth Football Team; and Clark Baker, Soccer
Players; and Starvos, Starvos help me. Say it
again, Ze--Zemopolis. Thank you.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION

[crossta]	1 1/-	
I CI OSSLA.	r_{r}	

MALE VOICE: Do you have any written copies of your statements? Please have them open.

CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: I barely know my name by now.

JANE COOK: Thank you. My name is Jane Cook. I'm the Executive Director of the American Society of Landscape Architects, New York chapter. The board of the NYASLA urges the City Council to support a balanced view toward the use of artificial turf in public spaces throughout the five boroughs. Use of artificial turf in the proper ecological and social context to the city provides great public benefit. The ASLA, founded in 1899 by Frederick Law Olmsted is a national association representing the interests of over 14,000 landscape architects. As part of the larger organization, the New York chapter advocates for the concerns of landscape architects and allied professionals from down state Long Island and the New York City region. Important to our mission is responsible decision making regarding public health and safety. We would not

advocate for the use of artificial turf if we felt
that it posed a great danger to the public. The
issue is complex and has many nuances. Arguments
can certainly be made on both sides, suggesting
enhanced environmental performance. But given the
extreme conditions that often exist in areas where
turf is proposed, we feel that it's use should be
continued. This statement is not to say that
every lawn or landscape should be recreated in
plastic and rubber, but rather that turf is among
the many elements that should be made available to
park designers to help manage maintenance in
heavily impacted outdoor spaces, replacing asphalt
and other hard or compacted surfaces. We have
enclosed for your reference two charts that list
specific data relating to the issue, to help
clarify many of the realities, as well as many of
the urban myths. Thank you for your
consideration. Thank you, Madam Chair.
CUNTODEDCOM FOCTED: Thank wou

DOUG ROWAN: Good morning, my name is Doug Rowan. I'm Vice President of the Bayside Raiders Youth Football. This is American football, not soccer. I was going to discuss

2	injuries that have been reduced since we received
3	our synthetic field. The issues of heat, it's, we
4	play in August and July. It's 95, 100 degrees.
5	You modify your activities, you double, triple up
6	on the water breaks, that's just common sense.
7	Councilman Ignizio, I think hit the nail on the
8	head. I don't think people realize the amount of
9	traffic the fields do see. You can't maintain a
10	grass field. Grass is non-existent. It's rocks
11	and glass we're playing on, we used to play on.
12	We're there from 8:00 o'clock to 6:00 o'clock,
13	Saturdays and Sundays, from July to December. We
14	get there at 8:00 o'clock, there's a group of
15	adult soccer players that were there from 6:00.
16	We get off at 6:00 o'clock, there's two groups
17	running on to split the field. It's the same
18	thing on Sunday. You really have to, of course,
19	find the safest material to make the fields from.
20	But grass is not the solution, you can't maintain
21	it. It would be a daily process. Thank you.
22	CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Thank you.

ANNA CLARK BAKER: My name is Anna Clark Baker. I live in Fort Green. And [laughs] I play with about seven or eight different soccer

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

groups, international football--

3 CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Right.

[laughter]

ANNA CLARK BAKER: And I know a lot of my teammates have written letters, I'm just here to speak. I think there are three points: access, heat and environmental concerns, or health And I think in terms of access, most of concerns. the people I play with, just, there is so much traffic and so much demand, and the synthetic fields support that. And the ones that are grass turn into mud and dust. And we appreciate access to fields that are synthetic because they're accessible year round. And when a huge storm happens, we can still play on it a few hours In terms of heat, it seems like maybe later. there should be some kind of guidelines set up for providing shade, also providing some way to deal with the storm water that is applied to all of the sites where the fields happen. And in terms of the health concerns, we just want access to all of the scientific data that everyone else seems to be asking for, too. So, public access. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FOSTER:

Thank you.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

STAVROS ZUMOPOLIS: Hi, my name is Stavros Zumopolis and I'm a coach and player of international football/soccer, with various ages, from under 12s to college, all the way to the adult leagues. I've been playing in the area for more than 12-13 years. And I can tell you that the artificial turf has changed our lives, and the availability, or the opportunity for kids of all ages to become active. Without it, and before it, I can also testify that we played in dust bowls, sand bowls, very dangerous conditions, that I would not let my kid play in. Parks like McCarron Park where they had exposed pipes, gravel that could tear up legs. And now that, now that with artificial turf, kids of all ages have been able to access it and play, and I think it would be a catastrophic change if the ban or moratorium happened. Even for a college time like us, which is City University of New York, without the access to this new generation of turf, we wouldn't be able to have an athletic department. Simple as that. There wouldn't be the fields, we wouldn't have the access to it year round that we need. And I will also like to make a statement based on

the, what Representative - - said about the old type of turf. Anybody who's played on it knows the amount of injuries and how bad it is for people to, using it, so I think that, there's no, there's no way you could go back to that. And that's all I have to say.

CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Thank you very much. Our next panel is Robert Herzog, Dana

Deprima [phonetic], Bill Armstrong, and James

Dowell. And as soon as you get situated, you can start.

ROBERT HERZOG: Council Member foster, my name's Robert Herzog and I represent a group called Zog Sports. And we're, we're a very active user of many of the city's outdoor fields, both grass, artificial turf, crumb rubber, all the different surfaces. I'm also the father of two children, ages two and age four. So I wanted to just give you some really quick feedback. A lot of what has, what I was planning to say and what's in my letter to you, has already been said. My group represents over 60,000 young professionals in New York City and there's very, they're very heavy users of New York City Parks Departments, as

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

well as State, Department of Ed, fields, private facilities, etc. And we're actually planning to launch youth programming in 2010. Just to give you a sense of some of the demands, in an average week during the weekends, during the summertime, spring/summer/fall, we are able to provide 10,000-15,000 young professional with access to playing in these outdoor facilities. The one number I wanted to give you is the cost benefit analysis. One turf field actually equals four grass fields. The calculation goes something like this. Five months of the year, a grass field can be open, versus 12 months of the year. Six days a week for a grass field, versus seven days a week for a turf field. And only 70 percent of the games actually get played on a grass field because of rain and closure, versus 100 percent of the games on a turf field. So it makes a huge difference to people who participate. And finally, I just wanted to say as a, you know, the, from an exposure standpoint, again, I'm not a scientist, but the exposure is generally limited to one to two hours per week sort of per person, and you know, I know that I bring my kids down to Riverside Park to

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

play, and they're generally not lying on the ground sort of, you know, eating the, you know, the crumb rubber infill. So, I appreciate all the tests and things that have been proposed, but we're strongly in favor.

DANA DEPRIMA: Hi, thanks for having us. My name is Dana Deprima, I'm the Commissioner for Westside Soccer League. I represent 8,000 parents and over 4,000 children who play soccer on fields, including Thomas Jefferson, as well as in Riverside Park, as far north as Dykeman, and as far south as 70th Street. So we play in a lot of different conditions, we play on a lot of different kinds of fields, and we contribute to the maintenance of those fields financially, and also the creation of those fields. In talking to the body of west side soccer, I'm sure you've gotten a lot of emails from them. The, there are a few concerns, I mean, certainly first and foremost where there's an issue such as the one on Thomas Jefferson, I think we're all, you know, glad that it's closing and that it will be dealt with because of the lead levels. That seems like a very rational approach.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But to say that that one bad apple is going to then contaminate the entire bushel of turf fields that we have around the city that we play on, that did not test with high levels of lead, really seems very reactionary to us, and we're very concerned about that. We think that the science, and you know, the testimony that you have here today from, you know, the Health Department, all of those numbers will, you know, we hope really guide you in making decisions about what is possible. We need so many more fields to accommodate the kids who want to play, and if we are closing down fields, even temporarily, we're turning around a good portion of our league. more fields will mean more kids. And I think one of the, one of the biggest challenges that we face is that we have fields in certain areas. we are spending a lot of time considering what's going on in those areas, you know, it doesn't have high lead levels, but we should get rid of it because, you know, it's gotten a lot of press. There are, there are spaces in fields and neighborhoods without a whole lot of attention that really need to be considered for a grass

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

field or a turf field, and so we just feel like,
you know, maybe we're, we should leave the ones
that are okay as they are, and then consider
making additional fields, with rational decision
making process based on the science. Thank you.

BILL ARMSTRONG: This on? Yeah.

Hi, I'm Bill Armstrong, I'm the Fields Director for the Manhattan Soccer Club, and I'm here to read a letter from our president, Rich Corvino. The club currently is home to 47 youth soccer teams and academies. It's one of the preeminent travel soccer clubs in the New York area, comprised of over 800 boys and girls, ranging from eight years old to 19 years old. I'm testifying now to counter the attempts of people who would do great harm to youth sports in New York City, by mandating the destruction of field turf playing surfaces in order to convert them back to grass and dirt fields. I strong feel that the destruction of turf fields would be a disaster for any persons in the city who enjoy playing team sports outdoors. It would be difficult to overemphasize the positive effect that the new artificial turf fields have had on the quality,

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

enjoyment and safety of youth soccer the past few years. Having been involved with youth soccer since the mid-1990s, a time that predates the existence of most field turf surfaces, I am all too aware of the atrocious conditions that children were forced to endure ten years ago to simply practice and play a game of soccer. then, grass and dirt fields in the city were in disrepair, and in some cases were outright dangerous places to play soccer, with ruts, holes, debris, littering the playing fields, and mud and water pooling on the surfaces for days and even weeks. Grass and dirt soccer fields are almost impossible to maintain satisfactorily, even with major effort. Additionally, grass and dirt surfaces were unusable for significant periods of time, even when the weather was fine, due to the fact that grass and dirt surfaces often remain negatively affected by the elements long after rain and snow have ceased. With the advent of field turf surfaces, tens of thousands of children around the city have been able to play on safe and clean surfaces. And this has been a huge boon to the physical fitness aspects and enjoyment of

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

youth sports. I strongly appeal to you to help
defeat any effort to remove these turf fields from
the city. Thank you.

JIM DOWELL: My name is Jim Dowell, and I am President of Riverside Park Fund, the non-profit conservatency organization for Riverside Park, which stretches along the Hudson River in Manhattan from 59th to 158th Street. we work closely with the Parks Department, we are an independent membership organization of over 5,000 families who care enough about Riverside Park to volunteer in it, and to give personal financial support for it. We work with a very diverse population along those 99 blocks, and the children and youth and adults who use the park's playing fields are very important to us. We know the significant health benefits of recreational activity on our fields, for organized leagues, for school classes, for informal use, and we have worked hard to help develop exemplary facilities that encourage an active lifestyle. Some utilize synthetic turf, some are natural grass. We have helped to fund both. Before our first artificial turf project in the park, we sought out experts

quick question.

2	and asked many questions about any potential
3	health hazards or safety risks. Certainly such
4	hazards would be completely inconsistent with our
5	goal of fostering good health. We found nothing
6	that was even close to providing valid scientific
7	evidence of dangers. As you know, field space in
8	New York City is precious. We at Riverside Park
9	Fund certainly believe that it is the City's
10	responsibility, as well as ours, to keep health
11	and safety concerns uppermost, and to stay abreast
12	of new information in that respect. In the
13	absence of such concrete, verifiable, scientific
14	information, however, to mandate the removal of
15	artificial turf surfaces we believe would be very
16	ill-advised. We respectfully urge you to study
17	rigorously and to evaluate specific facilities
18	based on pertinent facts, rather than to mandate
19	action. Please move judiciously. Thank you.
20	CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Thank you very
21	much. Council Member Brewer.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I have a

24 CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: She has a question, just a minute. 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you.

name is Walter Nestler, I'm a landscape architect in Bronx, a member of Community Board Planning Board Number Nine, and serve on their Parks Committee. For those who are not aware of this,

we have over 350 undeveloped acres of parkland yet to be developed. And I would only request that the board, the City Council, and Chairperson, please recommend to the Parks Department to install real grass fields at this point in the Capital Project Program, and not to continue in artificial turf, as we do already, to my knowledge, have two artificial turf fields at two of our high schools. Secondly, these parks, these undeveloped parklands, are primarily landfills. So we do have some contamination issues, which we've been working with. And we certainly don't want to exasperate any of those conditions. Thank you.

CHRISTABEL GOUGH: Hello. I'm

Christabel Gough from the Society for the

Architecture of the City, which, a first for this

hearing is an historic preservation advocacy

group. We are here to thank the sponsors of Intro

739 and to support it. Clearly, the most

important issue is health. We feel that the Parks

Department has studied this issue and come to the

wrong conclusions, shuffling the deck in many

ways, to argue that not all the products are the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

same and not all critical studies are reliable. Nevertheless, the safety and healthfulness of this product are not established. It is not what any reasonable person would want children playing on today, if they look at the larger picture. going to abbreviate my testimony, obviously I need to. I would just like to say that we see this as an example of a very destructive general policy of the Parks Department, which has been going on for years, that is the policy of eliminating landscape jobs, and punting what should be routine maintenance into the capital budget. And it has gone too far, this is the most extreme example of trying to find a product which is no maintenance, and cutting out jobs, and the pleasure for children. I am hearing children have to play all the time, all the time. The pleasures of playing on grass are tremendous. I as a child played on gravel and dirt, and I didn't find it to be a problem. It, we're going in the wrong direction. It, children deserve real playing fields. it's--Juniper Park Civic in Maspeth Middle Village, have arranged to do the upkeep of their own grass fields because they can't get the help

1

from the Parks Department and the parents do it

and it's a huge success, everyone loves it.

4 That's the model we should be looking at. Thank

5 you.

6 MARTY SERGI: Hi, I'm here on

7 behalf of the synthetic Turf Council, an

8 association that provides the public with

9 information and resources about synthetic turf.

10 My name's Marty Sergi, I'm the President of

11 PermaLife Products. My company has recycled over

12 | 100 million truck and auto tires, and we make

13 hundreds of products that you use in your car,

14 your home, your kid's school, and your local

15 athletic field. I'm joined here by Stanley Green,

16 he's one of the board members of the Synthetic

17 Turf Council, and a leading builder of safe,

18 synthetic turf fields. First, I want everyone to

19 understand that plants that manufacture this

20 material are regulated. Here, my local plant, the

New York DEC, regulates OSHA, and the U.S. EPA.

There's been a lot of discussion about crumb

rubber infill here today, and I want you to

understand first the reason why it's used, it's

very resilient, and it improves the safety for our

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

kids and our community. From playgrounds to running track, the crumb rubber has been used for over ten years, and even much longer than that in playgrounds. Most of think of crumb rubber as something that we don't' encounter in everyday life, but we do. I want to bring in context not only the safety of crumb rubber, but also how often we come in contact. First, crumb rubber, which is made from car and auto tires, is made with SBR rubber. Okay? SBR rubber is styrene butadiene rubber. By the way, it's also used in chewing gum, it's used in parts for children's toys, it's used for sanitary products and surgical gloves, it's used in food packaging. No one has ever questioned the use of any of these products of danger, that there's any danger with the use of SBR rubber. For years, it's been safe to use in our everyday life, and it's no different with the SBR that's used in synthetic turf fields. Also, we've heard a lot about PAHs, about crumb rubber having a gaseous effect coming off, and that there might be something that would happen. I want you to understand that at your neighborhood's barbecue, just one grilling of a hamburger has

website, which is www.syntheticturfcouncil.org,

and look at all the data. Please look at the

24

25

Thank

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

data. I think you will find that you need to have all the information. And once you have all the information, I think you can make the proper decision. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Thank you.

STEVEN PRESTIANI: yes, my name is Steven Prestiani, and I am simply representing the Maybe we should eat less hamburgers in Earth. response to the barbecue question. There's the concept that has been promoted for the last few years, called "Going Green." And it's become a catchphrase. Going green does not mean a color, going green is a concept and a relationship that we have with the earth. The keyword is sustainability, which also relates to sustenance, that which is life giving. Okay? I've been hearing a lot about obesity and the necessity for these fields to prevent obesity. As these fields have been coming in, there's been more obesity. So I think that these are, you know, lame arguments. I'll keep it at that. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Thank you very much. Our next panel is Gary Rizzo, Michael

Fendaza, Vince Coleman, and Julio Fererras.

you. Sir, you are? Vince Coleman. Gary Rizzo?

Michael Fendaza? Julio Fererras? Okay. Richard

Cassen? Jeffrey? William Crane? Thank you. You

can get started, Mr. Coleman.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

VINCE COLEMAN: Okay. I'm going to summarize my testimony.

CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Thank you very much.

VINCE COLEMAN: My name is Vince Coleman, the Baseball/Softball Director at Harlem RBI. And basically our organization over the years has grown since 1991. And basically without the use of the Parks Department, we wouldn't really have a lot of use, or enough baseball and softball fields for our 700 kids to play, learn and grow. A lot of talk has been about the weather and so forth, and how the fields hold up. I know as far as turf field is concerned, these fields drain water much faster than natural grass fields. This means that the fields are available to play more quickly than natural grass fields, and they allow our youth to get back on the field for the game that they love the most. Turf fields are also much less costly to maintain. I know

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

because Harlem RBI owns, Harlem RBI's own field of dreams on East 100th Street is a natural grass field. It's upkeep is extremely expensive and time consuming, requiring a significant investment of staff, equipment and labor. Don't get me wrong, we love having a natural grass field of our own, but the costs are significant, and the work is never ending. If we had to take care of more than one field, we'd not be able to afford much of the other good work that we do. By contrast, turf fields much, require much less maintenance and save the city significant amounts of money, and we know how important that fact is, especially during the time of financial fiscal crisis. Finally, it's worth noting that turf fields are often much safer than a natural grass counterparts. While our Harlem RBI field of dreams is pristine, many other natural grass fields around the city that do not have the benefit of significant maintenance budgets have rocks, glass and other, and water, that can cause injuries. And in summary, basically, Harlem RBI has had a positive experience with the city's turf fields and supports their continued use.

CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Thank you.

3 RICHARD CASSEN: Good morning, my, 4 my name is Rich Cassen, I'm the father of William Cassen, who's the burn victim from May of 2007. 5 You saw the picture of his feet. I'm here to 6 7 support Resolution Bill 896 regarding playground 8 safety, because of the use of black vulcanized rubber mats as a safety surface has no place in 9 10 city playgrounds. After our four day stay in the 11 burn unit for these burns, we've learned that this 12 is an ongoing issue. There are approximately six children admitted to the Cornell Burn Unit every 13 year for burns to their feet and their hands. 14 15 prior, two weeks prior to our admission, a toddler 16 was there for hand burns. So it's just not a shoe 17 problem. Despite numerous requests, we've been unable to speak with the City to address the 18 19 We are not against safety surface, we problem. 20 realize the benefit they provide, the injuries 21 they prevent. We are against a specific smooth 22 black vulcanized rubber safety surface, which if 23 you'll do research you'll find that all the burns 24 that we're aware of have occurred on this one 25 specific surface. The City's known about this for

years and actually this committee held a hearing
on October 24, 2005 to discuss this same issue.
To answer a previous question Chairperson had, in
the transcript from that meeting, you'll find that
certain hot weather states have banned the use of
black vulcanized rubber mats. I believe Florida,
Texas, Arizona and California, and it's detailed
in that, in that, in that transcript. So, and
despite recommendations in this hearing, and also
after intense media coverage, only were signs
placed in the parks recommending or stating "No
bare feet" or "Footwear must be worn." However,
signs advocating no bare feet and footwear will
never help prevent burns to toddlers' hands. Any
surface that heats to 167 degrees and burns
toddlers' feets and hands in other five seconds is
dangerous and has no place in city playgrounds.
We know of at least children who, of at least
eight children who have been severely burned since
our son was burned. We ask the Council to act
immediately to begin warning signs with the proper
verbage, and investigate alternatives to these
safety surfaces. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Thank you very

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3 How is he now?

4 RICHARD CASSEN: He's fine.

CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Thank you.

GEOFFREY CROFT: Good afternoon, my name is Geoffrey Croft, I'm the President of NYC Park Advocates. I'm just going to say a couple things about the, first of all we support strongly, all four of the Resols and the Intros being introduced today, for a number of reasons. I just want to say a couple things about the mats. Here's a photograph that's never been seen in public. This happened last summer, and this is a quote after we raised this issue last year, and did our investigation, this is a quote from the Parks Commissioner, from CNN, "There's a relatively simple solution to this, which is to wear shoes." So here is a child who's apparently supposed to be wearing shoes on her hands. just, as everyone knows, only covers about five percent of a child's body. So we fully support this resolution, and working with the parents for all of these, well almost two years now, it's just been horrifying listening to their, their stories.

you know, to thank the numerous soccer folks who have written letters about this. Thank them.

This is a very important piece of legislation, and again all we want to do is test this. And create protocols with doctors and with healthcare professionals, that's all this is about. The infill can be vacuumed out, and so that's what we are strongly, strongly, strongly advocating for.

And I would like to thank all of your for your help on this.

CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Thank you.

BILL CRANE: Good afternoon, I'm

Bill Crane, a professor at the City College of New

York. I'm a co-author of the first, I think, and

I think the only peer reviewed article in a

scientific journal on synthetic turf. It's in the

journal of Exposure to Science. We found that if

the rubber granules in the polyethylene grass

fibers, the plastic grass fibers, that it's very

likely that the lead in these will get into the

body. So, it's very important to note that. This

is called the bio-accessibility, and this is the

first study of this kind, and it's in a peer

reviewed journal. I'd also like to point out,

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

whereas the standards that the Health Department is using in calling things safe or unsafe, are really, are really unrealistic because the scientific evidence is that any level of lead, any lead at all placed in an environment where children play is dangerous. There is no, let me repeat, there is no safe level of lead. Any lead at all causes IO loss, causes cognitive damage. And the standards of 400 parts per million, 600 parts per million, 300 parts per million, are going to have to be revised. The CDC has already acknowledged that, that they just maintain it because they don't know where to set a standard. But this is going to be revised so please us caution when you consider these points. The bill before you, 739, to remove the granules is good, but there really should be a moratorium on all installations, because we don't know what else is in there. We don't know how bio-available the PAHs are, we don't know about the zinc, how bioavailable that is. We don't know, there's a whole lot that's not known. I urge you, we are dealing here with our children. With so many unknowns, so many roots, exposure roots that are unknown, don't

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

take any more chances. Do the right thing, do the cautious thing, and put a moratorium on further installations, take up the synthetic grass wherever possible. Finally, I'd like to make a Besides the toxicants in the synthetic turf, I'd like to say a word for the natural environment. Children need natural soil and grass to develop in the healthy ways. Natural environments give them a calming effect, they improve their attention, they reduce attention deficits. The research is mounting, pointing out the benefits of this. They give them a sense of being part of life, the larger part of life. Birds and wildlife need these, need every bit of nature that they can get. We have removed so much of the natural world, in our city, look around and it's pavement, pavement. Children yearn for natural settings, the wildlife yearned for it, nature is crying out. It's time to say we've done enough, we've smothered enough earth with synthetic turfs, with synthetic surfaces, let's take care of the natural soil and vegetation that's in our care, let's stop damaging the earth in our city. Thank you.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SAL RAPAGLIA: Just first I'm Sal Rapaglia, President of the Eastern New York State Soccer Association. We had in the, you know, Brooklyn College, in the '70s, they did, they - - field. We played there about, I say about 16

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

years, never had, never no injury, and nothing so, the thing work. In 1998, there was a, they built a field, they call it field turf, it was the first field turf in New York City, in Queens, at the - -They call it - - . Today, still is--it's very good, the kids are playing there, for five, four years or five years old or six years old. They play day and night. Nobody gets hurt. Probably the problem that we had that I see, that which is not good for the baby to get hurt. have fields in Brooklyn which we take care of fields, but for grass. It's tough, tough, tough To see a green, you know, we got to to do it. watch the games, 'cause you cannot put six-seven games a day by--by Saturday/Sunday you put eight games, on Monday you need a, you need a repair, \$5, \$1000 of work. So you, I would believe the synthetic field turf is the answer, like the gentleman said from the football, it's, there is the real, the answer. I have, talking about the dangers of the, of the fields, I'm, I had seven grandchildren, four of them play soccer--sixteen years old, seven, nine and thirteen. You really believe that if I know, and I'm in the game 50

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

years now, over 50 years, I've been president of the this Association for 26 years, you really believe that, sometimes they play at the St. Joseph in Staten Island, they play all over the, all over - - field turf. You really believe that if I know there be something bad, I would allow my own blood to play? So, please, stop the moratorium, stop, because it's going to create so much chaos in the football, baseball and soccer family, tremendously. Thank you.

ERIC BUTTE: My name is Eric Butte. I represent the Cosmopolitan Soccer League. are a organization of 1,600 players in and around New York City. I also am a native New Yorker. I grew up in Brooklyn. I was a, I'm a product of the Parks Department's fields, and back when I was playing, they were dirt, rock, glass, bottle caps, and I know see the kids today walking onto field turf fields, as if the way we did back, when we got to go to Long Island for a game, with just ecstatic about going to play on a field that is such in great, in such great shape. It's, it's really important that there's no kneejerk reactions to lots of rumors, and I think that a

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

moratorium based on no scientific fact is a kneejerk reaction. If you allow this bill to go through, and fields are not continued to be developed, you will potentially put out of business, and we're not a business, we're a charity, the Cosmopolitan Soccer League, we've been around since 1923, we will very seriously have to consider whether or not we're viable. Because we have nearly 100 teams, and we wont' be able to play anywhere. And that's a very significant outlet for a lot of New Yorkers. People really need to get out and get a little bit of de-stress from the New York City life. 14 tough place, and if you take the way of fields from the adults, it's, I can't imagine what would And from the kids' standpoint, I ended up happen. going on to Columbia University and played for Columbia University's soccer team, we went to the division one finals, and that's only because the people around me, when I was a kid, made those 22 fields available, even in their terrible state. So, today with the, with the advent of synthetics, you can make fields available to kids so much more prevalent, I would urge you to do so, and halt

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

this moratorium, or this bill before it even getsstarted. Thank you.

RUSTY PAPLANAKO: Hello, my name is Rusty Papalanako [phonetic]. I'm from the Spanish American Soccer League in Staten Island and - -Soccer Club. And to me, artificial turf is awesome. You know what I mean? Because artificial you don't cancel games, we get to share the games with the football league, because on Staten Island the football league is big, and they use a lot of time, like we do. So we try to share the fields together. For every game that's canceled, we cannot make it up. So by going, you know, to grass fields, every time it rains the Parks Departments closes the fields, injuries and stuff. So artificial turf is the answer, and please do not close the fields down because of that. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Thank you very much. If anyone is here for Economic Development, it is moved next door until we finish. So Economic Development is next door, this is Parks and Recreation. Our next panel is Anne Clauson, Erica Doreno, if that's a O, Erica. Erica?

may be exposed to in work settings do not exist

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

for products that children are exposed to in parks and playgrounds. My family has spent over four years trying to persuade the city to make simple changes to protect the most vulnerable users of our city's parks and playgrounds. Families of children who were burned in the years prior to my son's injuries have been working at this task even longer. We are trying, we are still unable to understand why the Parks Department would not immediately test and replace materials that they know is severely burning children in playgrounds every summer. There's no excuse for this. materials must be removed and alternatives be placed. Until hazardous materials are replaced, we support the interim measure to immediately install adequate signage that clearly indicates to caregivers which services our concerns. should be placed adjacent to specific equipment that are known to be hazardous, since many playgrounds include a mixture of both safe and unsafe playground equipment. Signage that is hazardous is not limit--signage needs to specify that playground equipment, which includes mats, are of concern when exposed to direct sunlight,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and this hazard is not limited to hot days. Signs reminding park users to wear shoes are woefully inadequate. Hands, faces and knees are just as susceptible to burns.

CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Alright, thank you. Mr. Ortiz?

MARINA ORTIZ: Hi, I live and work Anyway. New York City leads the in East Harlem. nation in almost every field, except the natural kind. Instead, the Parks Department promotes heat absorbing, high lead, rubber play mats with zero environmental and short term benefit effects. This is what Plan NYC looks like for our children. It is a plan that not only fails to adequately address climate change, but rather contributes to it. And Commissioner Benepe should be ashamed of himself for falsely promoting artificial turf as more cost and energy efficient and therefore somehow better than real grass, and for snidely ridiculing the concerns of parents and environmental advocates, and the scientific data. Upper Manhattan has the highest concentration of waterfront public housing with playgrounds built alongside heavily trafficked bridges and major

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

highways, all of which contribute to the astronomical asthma rates in these communities. We also have some brown fields and less and less Artificial turf is not an acceptable green ones. replacement for asphalt, and should have no place in our schoolyards and parks. If it costs too much for us to truly go, to truly go green in East Harlem, then at least give us plain, old-fashioned dirt, to go with those million trees that have been promised. The solution to the high asthma and obesity rates in our communities is as simple as a walk in, a barefoot walk in the park, and the passage of these bills. Thank you.

> CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Thank you.

HARRY BURNS: Hello, thanks, I'm Harry Burns with Friends of Brook Park. And I've been in the game since the '80s, I played at PSAL, and little league baseball, and no one I knew preferred artificial turf, it was like a substitute for natural grass. And I hope the people who testify in support of artificial surfaces would really look at the matter. It's taken years and the leadership of this committee, which we look forward to even more moving forward,

2 to even get them to test it. We were talking 3 about this five years ago, and they're removing a 4 field. I mean, we know what it takes, all the hearings you've been having on this, to even get 5 them to act on it, imagine what's really going on. 6 So, is there artificial turf in Central Park, I 7 8 wonder? I was kind of insulted the landscape architect person, who doesn't represent all 9 landscape architects, said it was adequate to put 11 this in certain communities in the city, and I 12 wonder what she meant by that. There's no artificial turf in Central Park, and I imagine 13 there won't be. Is it true, it's not true, also, 14 15 that they're putting just turf on asphalt, as 16 everyone knows. In Randall's Island they've cut 17 hundreds of trees, they've decimated wildlife, and still the bridge to East Harlem is closed where 18 19 Harlem RBI and others could be playing more, if it 20 was open. Lots of people don't testify because 21 they're afraid of losing permit access. That's a 22 fact that I'm hearing prominently in the city. 23 Also, there's more and more serious injuries on artificial turf, that's scientifically proven, as 24 25 well. There's no green turf, it's kind of odd to

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

hear about somebody mentioned painting it silver or something, that's kind of weird. And the cost, as we heard in the testimony, it's twice as much to install fake turf than natural grass, and it has a lifespan of less than ten years, so we're reinstalling it, and we've eliminated the maintenance jobs if, which, with a minimum maintenance investment would have more jobs and greater lifespan of natural turf fields. to look at the investigative journalists, who makes this stuff and how is the city purchasing and from whom, and why is there such a persistence to install this stuff? And finally, I played on, we don't have to play on rocks and grass, there's new technology called earth, and I encourage us to use it and pass these bills. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Thank you very much. Our next panel--Lin Seltzer, William

Belasci--did I say that wrong, too? Bialasci.

I'm going to get it right. Jonathan Levy. Thank you, Jonathan. Yeah, okay. And Larry Swartoff.

Larry? He left? Okay, Larry left. Can we have someone in place of Larry? Marty Lyons, are you here? Oh, come, Marty. Come on down. Okay, we

2 can get started. Thank you.

Hello, my name is Lin Seltzer, I'm 3 4 the Fields Coordinator for the Manhattan Kickers Travel Soccer Program, and I'm here representing 5 the Cosmopolitan Junior Soccer League, as well. I 6 7 wish to speak about which I am most familiar, 8 which hare the three turf fields in East River Prior to the installation of artificial 9 Park. 10 turf early this decade, the fields were of 11 extremely poor quality. Built on landfill, 12 natural grass cannot be sustained, resulting in surfaces of dirt, rock and brick, that were not 13 14 conducive to quality play and were quite 15 dangerous. Furthermore, the fields were often 16 rendered unplayable by even a moderate rainfall. 17 The installation of synthetic turf has been a godsend, both to the Cosmopolitan Junior Soccer 18 19 League, and to our program in particular. 20 smooth consistent surface facilitates development 21 of basic soccer skills such as dribbling, passing 22 and trapping. Kids become more adept, allowing 23 them to enjoy soccer more, and encourage them to want to play more. Practices and matches almost 24 25 never have to be canceled due to weather related

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

field conditions. Now the safety of our kids is of primary concern, and this recent controversy over lead exposure deserves the serious attention you are giving it. In preparing for this hearing, I researched this matter, and found that virtually every major study conducted in the last couple of years has concluded that synthetic turfs do not pose a significant health risk to children. These include reports issued by the Consumer Products Safety Commission and the Center for Disease Control. And if you bear with me for a second, I'd like to read just two sentences from the U.S. Consumer Products Safety Commission, issued July 30, 2008. "The U.S. Product, Consumer Products Safety Commission staff today released its evaluation of various synthetic athletic fields. The evaluation concludes that young children are not at risk from exposure to lead in these fields. CPSC staff evaluation showed that newer fields had no lead, or generally had the lowest lead levels. Although small amounts of lead were detected on the surface of some older fields, none of these tests released amounts of lead that would be harmful to children."

2	WILLIAM BIALASKI: Good afternoon,
3	my name is William Bialaski, I'm an architect, a
4	parent, a soccer coach, and the president of the
5	Downtown Manhattan Youth Soccer League, a
6	community based, not-for-profit serving over 900
7	children in Lower Manhattan. I speak today for my
8	own organization, as well as for the other large
9	leagues in Lower Manhattan, which include the
10	Downtown Football League, serving over 400
11	children, and the Downtown Little League, which
12	will enroll over 800 children this spring, in
13	general. As the committee is aware, the large
14	mainstream recreation providers, from the little
15	leagues to the soccer leagues to the YMCAs and so
16	on, have supported and applauded this committee's
17	desire to explore sensible, workable ways to make
18	this city's overused, overstressed playing field
19	safe, healthy and available. The legislation now
20	before this committee, Intro 739 in particular,
21	will not advance these goals in any way. Indeed,
22	the all but certain outcome of this bill would be
23	the shutting down of many existing sports fields
24	and the delays in the opening of others. By far
25	the biggest health crisis we face in this city, in

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

regards to sports fields, is the lack of them. Epidemics of obesity and diabetes are rampant in New York, with a proven connection to lack of physical activity. Kids who play sports are less likely to be depressed, to commit suicide, to drop out of school, or get into other kinds of trouble. Why are more and more kids and adults being turned away from recreation programs? Because there aren't enough open, accessible fields. For this reason, a broad coalition of community leaders, from PTA presidents, to community board committee chairs, as well as over 20 league presidents wrote to this committee to oppose a prior moratorium on all-weather field upgrades. I attach a copy of that letter as an exhibit to my testimony. the ill-conceived moratorium scheme of last year, the main initiative before this committee today, Intro 739, would be destructive and disruptive to virtually all users of public sports fields. would halt or delay many immensely popular park upgrades which neighborhood residents have long been fighting for. The requirement that approximately 90 fields be closed until the Parks Department meets an arbitrary and unrealistic goal

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of a total retrofit within a year, would lead to
the widespread padlocking of these fields in many
communities, especially in the current budgetary
environment. Intro 739 would be a disaster for
community recreation programs in all five
boroughs, and we urge our parents, and those of

8 our affiliated programs, to oppose it strongly.

Chairwoman Foster, and members of the committee, good afternoon. My name is Jonathan Levy, and I'm the Director of State and Local Programs for the Institute of Scrap Recycling Industries. We act, we represent the guys that actually take those tires and turn it into crumb rubber. The legislation before you, Introductory Number 739, gives ISRI great concern as its scope is so sweeping it would cause great disruption to scrap tire recycling and hinder environmental protection. I'm going just to refer you to my written comments, but basically the one thing, one of the big concerns that we have is that there are very few things that you can do with a tire. You can burn it, you can landfill it, you can leave it alone and let it grow and become a scrap tire pile, or you can responsibly

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

recycle it. Now, synthetic turf in New York, is about 13 percent of all scrap tires used in New York State, go to synthetic turf uses. If you ban the use of crumb rubber, or crumb--if you ban the use of crumb rubber, which is basically what this bill will do, it's not only going to force the city to pull out all of the synthetic turf fields, but the rubber mats, all the rubber tiles, all the rubber that is used in any sort of product within, within the park system. And that, that's talking about door stops, I mean, it goes to the way the legislation is worded. It says, "crumb rubber or crumb rubber infill." Crumb rubber, ground rubber, is used in such a wide array of products, that I think this is something that the committee needs to understand. Now, if you do close down, if you do shut down this type of use, I just have a question, and that would be: Where exactly will all of the tires that would have gone to this purpose, where will they go? Again, 13 percent of all scrap tires used in the process in New York State, go to synthetic turf fields. So, we're concerned about the environment, we're concerned about making sure that illegal tire piles don't

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

form, due to the shutting down of a viable market, and we've just asked the committee to think about these environmental concerns as well. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Thank you.

Good afternoon, my name's Marty I represent LandTeK Plus Field Turf. Lyons. First of all, I want to thank the committee for staying with us, I know it's been a long process, along with all the people here that have been in the audience. This is a very sensitive situation, not just to an installer, but also to a parent. I'm a parent of four children, plus I also install synthetic grass fields. I spent twelve years in an, the NFL, playing on the old conventional turf. At the start of 2000, the new turf started to come around where they started to use an infill process, basically taking synthetic grass that was the concept of shag carpet, and building an infill into it, just as if it was a root zone. For the last seven years, we've been affiliated and a distributor for field turf, which is an engineered system, consisting of polyethylene yarn, silica sand, and cryogenic rubber. That cryogenic rubber

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

is also SBR. We're very proud to stand by our track record, and I think the one thing that you do going through history, is you look at track records, you look at data, you look at research, we have not had one child--and one child would've been too many, if we had one -- one child gets sick, by playing on the SBR rubber. We are a builder, we are a construction company, which we also take into consideration that if people are concerned, and it is a concern that a lot of parents have, about the heat being generated on these turfs. What we have done is we've installed water cannons, which is an underneath system, that will have a quick connect at the 50 yard line, or somewhere down by the 20 yard line, so if the air temperature gets too hot, and the families feel that the temperature on the turf is too hot, you can saturate the field and let it drain vertically down into the stone, and the athletes can go out to participate. I believe the New York State High School Athletic Association has a heat index in place, which stipulates if the air temperature gets over 95 degrees, there will be no sports played on any field. I would like to see the New

York City Parks adopt that same type of
philosophy. And I also agree with the committee
today that the individuals working with the, with
the parks, that we are coming here to provide a
safe product for the children, and safety for the
parents that are here to voice their opinions
today, that we should go on and be tested, for
that eight year warranty period, which we have to
turn over when do our submittal, that there's an
eight year warranty with our product. And I'm
very proud to say that field turf was not the
installation at Thomas Jefferson, and it should be
known to the public that the company that the
Parks selected back when that field was installed
is now out of business. So they did us all a
favor. So thank you very much.
CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Thank you very
much Our port papal is Hapre Marcher Tool

CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Thank you very much. Our next panel is Tonya Murphy, Joel

Kupferman, Jason Racine, and Richard Kappell-
Richard? How do I say it, so I'm not-
RICHARD CACCAPPOLO: It's

Caccappolo.

CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Oh, I wasn't even close. You can get started, Ms. Murphy.

2 TONYA MURPHY: That would be great. 3 Thank you for letting me speak, I appreciate your 4 calling on me today. I'm a soccer mom, I have a seven year old son who's been playing soccer, 5 thankfully on grass for the past three years. 6 am also involved with two organizations that 7 8 educate the public about the relationship between the environment and human health, especially as to 9 10 how it relates with children. And these organizations basically are trying to let us know, 11 12 and one of the founders of the organization is Phil Landrigan at Mt. Sinai School of Medicine, 13 Children's Environmental Health Center. And he 14 15 lets us know that children have a very unique 16 vulnerability when they're exposed to a pollutant 17 in their environment. They go from a one-celled organism to almost fully developed by the age of 18 19 six, and so if they come into contact with a 20 carcinogen, such as the PAHs that have been found 21 in crumb rubber, or with lead, which is a heavy 22 metal, or a neurotoxin, it can damage them 23 forever. So, I'm strongly advocating that children under the age of seven should not be 24 25 playing on these fields. I am also very concerned

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

about the environment, obviously, because of the heat effect. I watch these skyrocketing rates of autism and cancer and asthma, and all of these socalled childhood diseases, I know that's not what they're called, but children are being struck with them at an alarming rate, and we have to look at what might be causing these. And science is increasingly pointing towards environmental factors. So, it's been said that no one has gotten sick from playing on one of these fields, and unfortunately people don't get sick right away, when they're exposed to environmental Rachel Carson coined the phrase, "Our toxins. body burden, " which means that we are exposed over our lifetimes, and if we have a genetic predisposition to a certain type of cancer, then we can possibly be a candidate for that. I do want to just show one thing. When, in New Jersey, the fields were closed down, I went to our local field, which is at an elementary school called Saugatuck Elementary in Westport, Connecticut, and I pulled up some crumb rubber, and I put it in plain water with a squeeze of lemon juice to simulate acid rain, which is what it tells you to

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

do on a lead testing kit. And this is what it's turned into. So this is what's going into our water ways, this is what's going into our drinking water, this is what is potential that we could be exposing our kids to, especially after we water the field. After these particles or these, this crumb rubber pulverizes, it turns into a fine dust that then goes onto our kids' skin, that can be absorbed through their skin, which is our largest organ, it operates the same way our stomach lining does, goes into the, our bloodstream and there we have the problem. So, anyway, I just really would encourage all of us to use the precautionary principal, if there are any questions about their safety, that we do the right thing for our kids.

CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Thank you.

RICHARD CACCAPPOLO: Hi, my name is Rich Caccappolo, I come in to speak to you today as President of Greenwich Village Little League, where a baseball and softball youth program that runs from 59th Street to Canal Street on the west side of Manhattan. Each year we have about 750 players and over 250 parent volunteers. I'm here to speak for these families who will be some of

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the many thousands of families who will suffer if Intro 739 becomes law. I think it's important to note that the first three crumb rubber fields in New York City were installed in our community. They have not been, they haven't been replaced, they continue to operate and to work very well. All of the fields in our neighborhood are of this We play about a thousand baseball games on these fields each year, and we share these fields with other programs, other youth programs, other adult programs, and the schools in our neighborhood. Families in our program truly appreciate these fields, help take care of them, because twelve years ago we didn't have these fields, and the fields we had were natural grass, so they were often unusable. And when they were open, we saw many more injuries from bad bounces and from falls from the kids. I wanted to tell you that we appreciate your efforts here to make the fields as safe as possible, and we truly support your leadership efforts. We believe they have helped inspire the industry to offer many new solutions. We'd love to continue to help with you, to find this ultimate, the ultimate solution

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to the field situation, but we're absolutely adamant that that solution's not going to be natural grass fields. We don't believe the city has the resources to replace the fields that are in place. And that closing this, that passing this law would be a mandate for closing the fields for a long, long time. One other point I want to make, we talked a lot about the benefits of athletics for these kids. There's another point for the families overall. For the families, the leagues provide a small town experience, a real sense of community in our big city. It's an important and often unique opportunity to create friendships across social and economic boundaries. Closing these fields will disrupt the community health and happiness, not just in our community, not just in CB2, but in every council district. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Thank you.

JOEL KUPFERMAN: Joel Kupferman,

New York Environmental Law and Justice Project.

It seems like my semiannual visit before City

Council, to counter the City's claim that the air is safe or the ground is safe or the water is

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think you're speaking to a jaded audience safe. here that's really tired of being told by the City that the water is safe, that it's--It also behooves me that when you question the City, they told you that they weren't aware of any of the California actions, and to make life easy I'm going to read into the record the news release for the Center for Environmental Health, which states that "More artificial turf found with lead as California Attorney General files lawsuits. Testing shows high lead levels in turf from Field Turf, Tiger Turf, Echo Alliance and turf purchased from Costco, among other companies. Oakland, California, the Center for Environmental Health announced today, that independent testing has found high levels of lead in varieties of artificial turf from ten more companies. testing found that the lead level in one turf sample produced by the nation's leading installer of sports of fields, Field Turf, was more than five, 150 times higher than federal child safe lead standards, that will ultimately come into effect as a result of recent congressional action to ban lead in products for children. In June,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CEH initiated the first legal actions under California law against 15 other producers and retailers of artificial turf at indoor and outdoor grass carpeting. Today [that's September 2nd] California Attorney General, the Los Angeles City Attorney and the Solano County District Attorney filed suit against three of the turf producers identified by CEH, while CEH filed suit against three other producers, and notified ten other companies that their turf violates California law. 'Our testing of products from dozens of companies show that artificial turf contained high amounts of lead that could easily come off into children's hands when they play on turf fields,' said Michael The artificial turf industry must understand that their products need to meet our state's strict lead safety standards. We welcome the action by the attorney general and other government officials who are working to clean up this threat to California's children." The fact that they claimed they weren't aware of this, of the California actions and the California studies is an indication that the City just doesn't know, they don't want to know. Okay, and I think it's

really, really important to look at the pictures that I submitted into evidence at Thomas Jefferson Park. The sign that was up there on the wall said, "Field Closed for Renovations, Potential Lead Hazard to Young Children. For information for the ball fields, call the Parks Department." That sign was there on December 23rd. Yesterday, at yesterday's press conference, the sign is down. It's incumbent upon the City to at least notify people that lead is present. The fact that this sign was down is not only immoral, it's illegal. Oaky? Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Thank you very much. Our next panel, and we still have 21 more to go, so I know people are sending notes, they've signed up, you're in this pile. Deborah Kling? Colin McLear. Colin? Colin? Joseph Abatelo? Savannah, Savannah help me. Tchaikovsky? Irv Smalls. Genie Milo. Rosalie Fendaza. Judith Wolfe. Michael Blumenthal. Ian Walker. Oh, good. You can start. Thank you.

DEBORAH KLING: Okay. Thank you.

I'm Debbie Kling, I'm president of the West Side

Little League. I'm here to testify to offer our

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

experience and support for the turf fields at 103rd and 104th and Riverside. These are fields that our organization helped finance, and we've enjoyed using them for almost three years. The West Side Little League is a baseball and softball league for boys and girls age six to 17. We field almost a 100 teams in the spring, serving 1,300 families. We offer extensive scholarship program for children from low income families, as well as a Challengers Division, which includes handicapped youngsters from all five boroughs. Our experience with the turf fields has been entirely positive, and much superior to our experience with the grass and dirt and stone fields that preceded them. And other little league presidents have offered testimony to how games aren't canceled because of inclement weather, and you get to play more, and that's all true. In addition, the playing surface is even and regular and balls bounce truer, with fewer bad hops. Rocks and stone do not rise up from the underlying bedrock, which often happens when dirt fields are leveled by dragging them. So, we found them to be safer in many ways. And just to sum up, I'm going to say that our turf

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

fields have resulted in fewer canceled games due to bad weather, turf fields have produced more games, more activity, more exercise, more physical development, more excitement and more pleasure for the 1,300 families of the West Side Little League. Thank you.

7 Thank you

SAVANNAH TCHAIKOVSKY: Hi, my name is Savannah Tchaikovsky, and I'm here on behalf of the New York Metropolitan Women's Soccer League. I'm a player in the league as well as throughout the city. Sorry [laughs]. First I'd like to thank you, council members, for staying and say that I'm very disappointed that more council members haven't stuck around to hear the statements from everyone who came to listen today. [of mic comments] Okay. Okay. Over 400 girls play in our league. These are professional women who have day jobs and go on the weekends to play. You know, they look forward to on a Sunday going out and playing with their teams. Before the turf fields were put in, many of these fields were what you would call grass fields, but they weren't grass, they were rocks, and dirt, and there was potholes and girls got injured not just, you know,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

scrapes and cuts, but serious ankle injuries and knee injuries. With the synthetic turf fields, those injuries have become less. I would also like to point out that FIFA and most of the major international soccer leagues all approve the use of the turf fields, and use them for their regular play. Very few professional organizations, soccer organizations, have grass fields anymore. addition, you know, you're, you were talking about the health benefits, or health hazards of the artificial fields, but for turf, I mean, sorry, for turf fields, but for regular fields, there's still the health implications of pesticides and herbicides, in addition to feces from birds and other animals that may go and, you know, use the fields, you get that less on the artificial fields. And we don't know what health repercussions come from those as well. Ultimately, the proposal, we're obviously strongly against the proposal. It would shut down numerous, numerous fields throughout New York City, which would shut down probably most likely our league, as well as many other leagues, recreational, meet-ups, that sort of thing.

3

25

that would just be a really sad thing to see for New York State, New York City.

4 MICHAEL BLUMENTHAL: Good 5 afternoon, Madam Chair, board member, council 6 members, my name is Michael Blumenthal. Vice President of the Rubber Manufacturers 7 8 Association. We're the trade group that represents the eight U.S. tire manufacturers, and 9 10 I'm here to talk about the much maligned tire. We are opposed to the introduction of 739. The other 11 12 three we have no basic problem with. 13 packet of information I gave you, we have one of our most recent studies, as was mentioned. 14 15 topic has been vetted throughout the world. In 16 Europe there are many health reports on this 17 exposure, it's behind the testimony. And this 18 thing has been looked at by the Europeans, by the 19 Japanese, it has been tested. We feel confident 20 that this is a good, solid, safe material to use 21 in any of the many different applications. One of the things that is not well understood about tire 22 23 manufacturing is how tires are made. Tires are composed of many different materials, but lead is 24

not one of them. lead is not used in tire

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

manufacturing. When you make the tire, you combine all these different materials together, and in the process of making the tire, under heat, steam and pressure, all the various chemical components merge into a new rubber form. So whatever goes into the tire no longer is, you can't make it identifiable, and it's not bio-there's no bio-availability. And we do challenge the study done by the folks at City University. I know some people in New Jersey looking at this same study, and what we say is you have to use good science in all of these reports. documents that you'll see, we go into looking at what good science is, but the bottom line is that the wealth of information overwhelmingly endorses the use of ground rubber in a wide array of applications. This state produces 20 million tires a year, they have to go someplace, the markets are very limited, the marketplace is moving too the higher value added markets. is what the industry wants, this is what the state is getting behind. We ask you to look at the good science that is out there, and make your choice accordingly. Thank you.

2 IAN WALKER: Hi, my name is Ian 3 Walker and I'm President of Metro Soccer New York, 4 which is an adult soccer league that plays in New York and that underwrites our 501(c)(3) play study 5 WIN, which provides soccer sources to underserved 6 7 communities around New York City through the after 8 school movement, and through the Department of Education's Champs programs. Seems to me we're 9 10 throwing the baby out with the bathwater a little 11 bit on this. As I hope not to repeat my, to 12 repeat what's been said earlier, but natural turf isn't necessarily better turf, even perfect 13 14 natural turf. We were up in Randall's Island just 15 in, in December, with the Department of Education, with a culminating event for hundreds of children 16 17 up there, and our coaches had to spend an hour cleaning goose doodoo--you can put that in record 18 19 [laughs]--off the fields. I mean, I can imagine 20 worse things than having my child's face rubbed 21 into ground rubber. Secondly, there seems to be 22 some tension also between, the old tension between 23 in Parks and Rec between people who want parks for parks, parkland, and people who want it for 24 25 organized recreation. I'd imagine that most of

these crumb rubber fields are for organized
recreational fields, which are permitted. So one
solution may be, and Parks and Rec may not thank
me for saying this, to mandate some sort of
training for permit holders, who can learn about
heat issues and who can learn about health and
safety issues through the Parks and Rec when
they're, if they're going to get their permit.
Finally, if I remember correctly, Erin Brokovich
was mentioned earlier, but if I remember
correctly, she was instrumental in getting a crumb
rubber field filled in the East River Park back in
the early '90s, I think one of the first ever
fields.
FEMALE VOICE: That's true.
IAN WALKER: Thank you.

> CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Thank you very much. Our next panel, if they're still here, Darren Gill. Alfonso Vargas. Alfonso? No. Pedro Kim. Albert Campos. Carol Tweedy. Evedera Herrera. Ana Quiontes, Quiones. Anna? Henry Bunch. We're getting there. Mitchell Colton. Cohen, oh that's a H, I'm sorry. [off mic] Okay. Oh. If you can start, just say your name for the

2 record, thank you.

3 DARREN GILL: Hi, my name is Darren 4 Gill, representing Field Turf, the world's leader 5 in artificial turf grass systems. Field turf is installed in more than 3,000 high profile 6 stadiums, universities, schools and parks 7 8 worldwide. Our beloved Giants and Jets play on field turf, as do children and athletes at over 9 10 200 installations in New York City. 21 of 32 NFL teams either play or practice on field turf; 42 11 12 division 1A football programs, including Ohio State, Michigan, Rutgers, also play on our 13 product. And field turf has been given the gold 14 15 standard FIFA II star rating by soccer's governing body, which means that field turf can be used for 16 17 FIFA final round competitions. The technology behind our artificial turf is pretty simple: as 18 19 they've mentioned, polyethylene blades make up 20 your shag carpet grass; a mixture of sand and 21 rubber make your fake infill. It looks like 22 grass, feels like grass, and we think it plays 23 like grass, as well. I think one issue that's been cleared up as well, that Michael Blumenthal 24 25 touched on, was the fact that there is no lead in

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the crumb rubber. In fact, lead has been something that's been well discussed in our industry, and we've found it is indeed coming from the fibers. Field turf is proud to say that our fibers at this point are 100 percent lead free. So like anything, I think our industry has taken major strides in making sure our product is as safe as possible, from the crumb rubber to the fibers to make sure that the product we are putting out to the marketplace is as safe as possible. So there is no more lead in the new fibers that are being introduced. And certainly there has been some in some of the older fields, as we've discovered, but more importantly, governing bodies like the CPSC have looked at that issue and have found that, although lead is present, it is not harmful. A great example would be ceramic flooring, if you have any in your home. Ceramic flooring has a lot of lead, but because it is not bio-available to your system, it is not harmful; synthetic turf kind of works in the same way. It was also mentioned regarding PAHs, how barbecues and stoves burning and fireplaces exhume more PAHs than a turf field. The issue that I

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

want to get across here is that science supports the safety of crumb rubber. I think there's been a lot of discussion today about whether turf is good or turf is bad, and I think the science is clear. And that's what we need to get across, as there's been work done at the city and state level. Currently right now at the state level, the DOH and the DEC in New York are both doing work. The State of Connecticut has done work as well. And touching briefly on Proposition 65 in California, that relates strictly to a labeling law. Certainly our company and several others have been sued, it relates to labeling for child's products. So, it wasn't safety, State of California did not come out and say "Your products are unsafe." They did contain lead, and that was against Prop 65, so it's a much different issue than we're talking about with safety of kids. And you know, there is not an issue there regarding safety, so that's what I need to bring to this table today. We want to share more information with you, there's been studies done around the world, hundreds of studies, that we're going to get to so you can read. But I encourage you to

92nd Street, the River to York Avenue. wanted to testify about our wonderful experience with synthetic turf. At this very, very moment, we are ripping up the current carpet that we have to reinstall a new \$750,000 carpet of field turf, with crumb infill. Now, in the early '80s, when the city decided to bring down the asphalt plant, and allow it to be changed for recreational-decided not to bring the asphalt plant and allowed it to be used for recreational use, Asphalt Green

came into existence. And at that same time,

redeveloped the vacant lots that were near us,

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

starting with grass, but within three years that was a mud mess, so in '85 an Astroturf carpet was laid. We had reinstall once in '97, and now 24 years later from the initial installation, we're replacing again. We get incredible use, 80,000 visits that we can document, another 100,000 that we can't. As we approach the need to replace we were guided by the Department of Parks and Recreation, they shared the available research with us. And then monitored the site for safety on a regular basis, most recently asking us to take down some - - equipment that was deteriorated. I have to say that as we went through the specifications on the current renovation, as late as December they changed the specification for us on the crumb infill from recycled virgin rubber to, recycled rubber to virgin rubber. And I asked Commissioner Cavanaugh as he was leaving today, why did you not make that distinction between recycled and virgin when you've changed the specification for us, and it's resulted in increased cost? He said, "It's too complicated a distinction to make at a hearing like this." But I think it is an important issue

2.0

that needs to be addressed in terms of all crumb
infill and virgin crumb infill. The advantages
were overwhelming, the cost of maintenance on
natural turf. Of course cost cannot be the
deciding factor, but safety, 66 percent reduction
in neural injuries, 15 percent reduction in
cranial/cervical, is a very strong data. And I
think the minimal risks, questionable science,
around crumb infill, have to be balanced against
the major risk that we know of, which was the risk
of childhood an adult obesity. I think that an
advisable public health policy is to allow fields
that allow for maximum utilization. Thank you.
CULTUDED CON ECCHED: Thenk won

CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Thank you.

Push the button so the light is red.

Thank you for letting me speak. My name is Henry
Bunch, I am the President of Metro Kids, inter - soccer league in Flushing Meadow Park. In
Flushing we have nine fields, seven of them are
turf fields and two are their fields. We have
kids, inner city kids, and underprivileged kids
playing in our park. These are the only activity
that they really have a sport activity that they

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

love to perform every Saturday. Removing the fields, taking out the fields, out of the nine fields the two fields, six, the two fields, six and seven, and six and four, they are not usable. Their field, the city spent thousands of dollars trying to fix this field, for many years, and it hasn't work at all. We play there for two months, a month, and they have mud, there's stones, and dangerous for the kids. So far in the last, in last eight years of Metro Kids, we haven't had a single incident of any kid burning his feet or having any respiratory problems or any kind of sickness due to playing in the soccer fields. I therefore I ask you to please allow us to continue playing in Flushing Meadow Park, in our fields. We love them and the kids need them. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Thank you.

Hi, my name is Mitchell Cohen, I'm from the Brooklyn Greens and the Green Party. I live right across from Dreier Offerman Park, where they're putting, beginning to put in artificial fields. And some of us in the neighborhood are opposing that, and I'll tell you why. Of course

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

people should not have to play on broken glass and rusty pipes and just destroyed areas--the kind of areas that most of us grew up playing on when we were kids, and we wouldn't want our kids playing on that, if possible. That doesn't mean that the solution to that is to put in carpets, artificial carpets. The solution to that is to put in the money that's necessary to maintain, to sod and maintain natural parks and natural grass, throughout the entire region, especially in areas where there are poor people in poor communities, who always get the worst of everything. You know, especially in those areas, people deserve something different, something natural, and that's being taken away. We have billions and billions and billions of dollars to give to bail out the bankers, but we don't have money for parks. don't have money for the things that we need. And this is outrageous. And there's a relationship between those. We don't have the money we need because we're using that money to bail out the bankers and the billionaires, and that's outrageous. So the real issue is one of economics We're calling for the Greens, we're calling

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

for the hiring of a Green Corps of workers throughout New York City, to begin sodding and maintaining the parks. In the last ten years or so, under Giuliani, and under Bloomberg, over 70 to 80 percent of the staff of the Parks Department have been cut, the workers who would do this maintaining, and that's why we're in this fix we are now, where there aren't enough workers in order to maintain the parks. So they use herbicides and poisons where they used to do natural weeding by hand. It's the same argument. I've also read arguments, at least in the old turf, I'm not sure how it plays out in the current turf that we're talking about, where the pesticides that are used, that we've been opposing, pool on the, on those areas, or bind with the chemicals in the turf, and that needs to be looked at. I'm not sure if that's the case in the new turf that we're talking about, but I haven't heard any reference to that, any studies done on the pesticides, that then kids roll around in. And not only kids, but pets, they walk around their dogs and cats and other jaguars, whatever we have in the city here. So, thank you very much.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ten hours nonstop working on the fields, officiating, with about an hour break for the--In Flushing, Saturday and Sunday, they spend up to eleven hours working. He's been working about five to six years as a referee. And they never have burns on the play--injuries, burn injuries on the players. Maybe because the parents are negligent, the kids risk the injury of getting burned. So he had the experience that he saw kids getting, suffer burns injuries because the parents neglected the kids and they were playing on hot surfaces, turf surfaces, without supervision. problem with officiating is that on the Astroturf fields is that the temperature, the excessive heat, because of the shoes that you wear when you play soccer, your feet get really hot, when that turf is hot. He has used three different brands of shoes experiencing the same problems, the same heat problems. They constantly be wetting themselves and soaking their feet in water during the games to keep cool. He belongs to about a group of 150 referees, 150 officials. Four to six months during the season, the soccer season, and they haven't had that type of injuries.

1	COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION 199
2	haven't had those injuries in Flushing Meadow
3	Park, the school Metro Kids [off mic discussion]
4	Okay, Metro Kids play on artificial turf.
5	CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Have not
6	gotten those injuries.
7	WALTER GARCIA: And you have not
8	had injuries, he say he has not
9	CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Okay, I'm
10	just
11	WALTER GARCIA: Okay. So, he said
12	the problem is with the heat coming with the
13	shoes, with their equipment, that it seems like
14	there's no shoes that can take that type of heat.
15	CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: And this is
16	from the artificial turf.
17	WALTER GARCIA: Yes.
18	CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Okay.
19	WALTER GARCIA: When you play on
20	artificial turf, the soccer shoes are made of
21	plastic.
22	CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Right, right,
23	no, I know, I understand. So, is he in favor of
24	the artificial turfs or the natural turf?
25	THELMO CORDONES: [off mic

1	COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION 200
2	discussion] He would prefer natural grass or dirt.
3	CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Okay.
4	THELMO CORDONES: But he explained
5	to me that he, that the problem is the maintenance
6	with the dirt.
7	CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Right.
8	THELMO CORDONES: That it becomes a
9	mess, and if at least they, if they would leave
10	dirt, they would maintain it during the year, they
11	will prefer dirt over graover Astroturf.
12	CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Synthetic
13	turf, okay.
14	THELMO CORDONES: Yes.
15	WALTER GARCIA: Thank you very
16	much.
17	CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Alright, thank
18	you.
19	THELMO CORDONES: Yes, that's
20	basically it, but I'm a, I got a very short
21	CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Very, very
22	short.
23	THELMO CORDONES: Very short, yes.
24	CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: 'Cause you
25	missed the sign up time.

THELMO CORDONES: 2 Okay. I'm a 3 youth baseball coach from Queens CB4, like I say 4 my name is Thelmo Cordones. And I represent Phoenix Boys Academy, in Queens. I have played 5 division one baseball during college, and I have 6 played on both Astroturf and natural grass. Right 7 8 now, I see the problem of the heat issues, I am aware of the chemical issues, of the lead and the 9 10 other chemicals and the polyethylene. As a 11 player, if I was a youth or if I was a parent, I 12 would prefer my kid to play on grass or dirt, just 13 dirt, no grass, but maintained. Because I'd 14 rather get his with a bad hop, which is part of 15 growing up, and risk of playing sports, than to, a few years later, find out that I'm sick because I 16 17 was exposed to some chemical that somebody ignored or probably knew because of political or business 18 19 reason, never said it. I have seen it happen 20 before, in other fields, not on the field of 21 sports, but in life. And like I said, like the 22 gentleman said before here today, there is an 23 effect of smelling fresh cut grass, a calming effect. At least for me, I have felt it, as far 24 25 as relieving the stress, when you are in the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

summer. And if you want to prove there's only two teams in Major League Baseball that have Astroturf, and they use top of the line turf, which is not the turf that they have on the fields in New York City. All the teams, the Astroturf was invented in the '70s, with the Houston Astros, and they started taking all that off in the mid-'80s, all through the '90s, and everybody started putting new sod. Yes, it's a highly, it's a therapeutic sod, because if you slide, the grass come off, and you don't get your ankle turned. Yes, it's not the regular grass, but there is a high risk to the joints, also, of constantly running on a carpet, which is like playing in an office, with no, on an office player, on your ankles, on your knees, and on your lower back. And if you doubt it, do research on athletes' injuries, and teams, professional sports, and that's the reason that they started eliminating the Astroturf from the, at least from the Major League Baseball fields, and the Minor League Baseballs. You do not find those turf fields any more, except on two out of 30 professional baseball fields.

1	COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION 203			
2	CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Thank you.			
3	THELMO CORDONES: Thank you.			
4	CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Thank you very			
5	much, thank you everyone for sitting through this			
6	hearing, it's been very long, but very			
7	informative. So thank you very much, and there			
8	being no more testimony, this meeting is			
9	adjourned.			
10	[silence until end]			

I, JOHN DAVID TONG certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

18	hu David vz

Signature _____

Date FEBRUARY 20, 2009