CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS

----X

October 16, 2008 Start: 1:00pm Recess: 11:30pm

HELD AT: Council Chambers

City Hall

B E F O R E:

SIMCHA FELDER Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Joseph P. Addabbo, Jr.

Inez E. Dickens Erik Martin Dilan

Domenic M. Recchia, Jr.

Larry B. Seabrook Peter F. Vallone, Jr.

APPEARANCES

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Tony Avella Charles Barron Gale A. Brewer Lewis A. Fidler Daniel R. Garodnick Alan J. Gerson Vincent Ignizio Robert Jackson Letitia James Melinda R. Katz G. Oliver Koppell Jessica S. Lappin John C. Liu James S. Oddo Annabel Palma Diana Reyna Helen Sears Kendall Stewart Albert Vann David I. Weprin

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Governor Mario Cuomo Former Governor of New York State

Anthony Crowell Counselor to Mayor Michael Bloomberg New York City Mayor's Administration

Michael Cardozo New York City Corporation Counsel New York City Mayor's Administration

Mayor Koch Former Mayor of New York City

Dick Datey
Executive Director
Citizen's Union of New York City

Mark Green Citizen

Rafael Martinez Citizen

Eric Jacobs Citizen

Simon Belsky Citizen

Gene Rushinof NYPIRG

Chris Keeley Associate Director Common Cause New York

Greg Labarbera President Teamsters Local 282

Edward J. Malloy

President

Building Construction Trades Councils of New York City and New York State

Michael Paladino President New York City Detectives Endowment Association

Joseph G. Garber Director Civil Service Merit Council

Carol Maholsky Citizen

Eric Snyder Citizen

Eric Lane Professor Hofstra Law School

Fritz Schwartz Citizen

Victor Covner

Emily Respass

Andre Soleil

Rachel Trackman

Steve Kramer

Dan Canter

Richard Emory

Ed Wallis

Henry Stern

Arthur Chelliotis

Howard Urol

George McDonald

Jeff Canada

Kelly Conlan

Susan Freedman

Cheryl Robertson

George Espinol

Deloris Lozuponi

Bob Friedrich President Glen Oaks Village

Robert Royal
Reverend
St. Paul Baptist Church

Bo Samajopoulos

Andy Torres Reverend Iglesia Hispana de la Comunidad

Miguel Rivera
President
National Coalition of Latino Clergy and Christian
Leaders

Lynne Serpe

Agnes Rivera

Peter Colapietro Reverend Holy Cross Church Delois Blakely Community Mayor of Harlem

Jean Rice Board Member Picture the Homeless

Fred Lemoine
Business Agent
Metallic Lathers and Reinforcing Iron Workers Union of
New York, Local 46

Yetta Kurland Candidate City Council, Third District

Chanina Sperling
Executive Vice President
Crown Heights Jewish Community Council

James Caldwell

Jimmy McMillan Founding Chairman Rent Is Too Damn High Party

Omowall Clay Member December 12th Movement

John Rozankowski Friends of Poe Park in the Bronx

Philippe-Edouard Drice

Maria Passanate-Derr Member Community Board of Greenwich Village

Richard Steiger

Rajiv Gowda President Education Council Faith Steinberg

Justin Yu President Chinese Consolidated Benevolent Association of New York

Allan Bortnick

Dairen Ann McMichaels

Paul Saryian Retired New York City Police Department

Marie Louis

Dolores Quintero Leader Community Voices Heard

Trina Semorile

Jennifer Pinto

Ariel Weinstock

Ben O'Sickey

Audrey L. Smaltz

Amy Chin

Ronnie Colangelo

Eloise Greenberg President Brooklyn Vision

2 CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Before I get 3 started, I'd like to welcome all of you to City 4 Hall, and I hope that you'll be able to stay as long as necessary to hear testimony. I'm going to 5 let, just set down some rules in a few minutes, 6 7 but before that, I'd like to take the opportunity 8 to introduce some of my colleagues that have joined us. And I'll start from the front, from my 9 10 left, Councilmember Melinda Katz, Oliver Koppell, Jessica Lappin, Domenic Recchia, John Liu, Tony 11 12 Avella, and now from my left here on this row, Dan 13 Garodnick, Gale Brewer, Robert Jackson, David Weprin, Peter Vallone, and Charles Baron. 14 15 sitting there, you? Titia James, and our minority 16 leader, James Oddo. [pause] Can we close the 17 door in the back? Unless you want it open for some reason. Okay. You're here? Are you ready? 18 19 Alright, listen, before I say anything [pause] 20 else, I want to say good afternoon, and welcome to 21 the hearing of the Committee on Government 22 Operations. My name is Simcha Felder, I'm the 23 chair of this committee, and I introduced my colleagues. I would also like to acknowledge the 24 25 staff from the committee that prepared for today's

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

hearing. On my right, Matt Gewolb, council to the committee. Where's Israel? Rodriguez. Israel Rodriquez who's sitting right under the American flag, the policy analyst to the committee, and also my legislative director, Michael Kasutano [phonetic], who's here on my left. [pause] Do we have to remove somebody immediately? We also are assisted today by Lisette Camilo, our legislative council, who's sitting second to my right, right near, Councilmember Barron, on his left. [pause] I don't know, I hear noise. Alright, today, the committee will hear legislation regarding term limits, including proposed introductions 845(a), 850(a), resolution 1640 and a pre-considered introduction. Proposed introduction 845(a), introduced by request of the mayor, would amend the charter to allow three terms for elected officials in New York City. Proposed intro 850(a), introduced by council members Weprin, De Blasio, Gioia, Liu, James, Mark-Viverito, and the public advocate Ms. Gotbaum, would amend the charter to require any changes to the charter regarding term limits be submitted for the approval by the electors. Resolution 1640,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

introduced by council members Avella, Palma, James and Weprin, calls on the state legislature to amend the municipal home rule, to give the city authority to provide in its charter that any changes to the term limit law be subject to voter referendum. Finally, the pre-considered introduced to be introduced by Councilmember James, De Blasio and Weprin would establish a charter revision commission to hold a voter referendum at a special or a general election on amending the charter, particularly with regard to term limits. Before we begin today's formal hearing, I'd like to make note that it is the intent of the committee to hear testimony from everyone who wishes to speak publicly. We will hold this hearing open as long as is necessary, to hear all who wish to address the committee. will also hold another hearing tomorrow morning, at 10:00 a.m., to hear additional testimony. Normally, the committee follows a rule, that anyone wishing to testify must register to do so within the first 15 minutes of the start of the hearing. However, given that it is our intention to hold the hearing in two hours, this evening,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

when working New Yorkers can come to City Hall and not have to take off from work or other obligations, and testify, that rule is suspended for this hearing. Those wishing to speak must sign up by 8:00 p.m. this evening. So if you know of anyone that plans on coming at 1:00 in the morning to sign up, tell them not to bother. can come tomorrow morning, and sign up and speak tomorrow. But if they sign up any time today before 8:00 p.m., we will stay here as late as necessary to hear those testimony. I' like to stress that the committee will remain [pause] in order, in this chambers. Let me repeat that again. This is not a rally, this is not a concert, I don't know what other description to make other than this is a formal hearing. What? A formal hearing. And that's what we're going to There are many people who are very passionate about their opinions on this matter, and we're going to make sure that everyone has a chance to I'm not going to tolerate any clapping, I'm not going to tolerate any booing, or whistling or anything, even if you're doing it in my favor, I will take care of that when I come home,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

hopefully, at some time this evening, or early morning. But we're not going to tolerate any of So please, if you feel the necessity to do something outrageous, or get some attention, go outside and do so now, and come back when you feel better. [laughter] I'd like to, so that's one. We're not going to tolerate any cheering, booing or otherwise disruptive outbursts. Further, this committee will provide a respectful audience to all witnesses wishing to testify before it. there will be zero tolerance for anyone who engages in behavior to disrupt, disturb or intimidate witnesses in these chambers. I'll ask the sergeant-at-arms at that point to remove anyone in violation of these rules. Today, we expect to hear from hundreds of witnesses, from various perspectives on the legislation before this committee. To the degree possible, I ask the witnesses to try to refrain from repeating points made by previous witnesses. It is okay to come up and say that "My name is so-and-so, I agree with whatever so-and-so said, " or disagree, but to just keep on repeating the same things over and over, I don't think serves any point to anyone here. Ιf

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

someone has already made that point that you wish to make, you may note your agreement or disagreement. It is my hope that this hearing focuses on what's best for the City. The City faces many difficult challenges ahead, and it is my job to help ensure that our governmental structures are up to addressing the needs of our Now [pause] there are some who have tried city. using scare tactics to distract attention from the focus of what's best for the city. In fact, it's been said that I and the rest of my colleagues considering amendments to term limits could be involved in criminal conduct. I feel obligated at the outset of this hearing to share with you the opinion of the City's Conflict of Interest Board, that was issued just last night, that shot down a frivolous complaint alleging that the mere consideration of term limits legislation by this committee and the council would violate the City's conflict of interest laws. The Board found that, and I quote, "It is squarely within the proper discharge of council members' official duties as legislators, for them to participate in the legislative process regarding a bill lawfully

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

pending before the council." And that any other ruling would, "Bring democratic government to a halt." So let's stop the nonsense, and get on with the City's business. And make today a productive, and honest, and open discussion of the merit's of these various pieces of legislation. Before we hear from the first panel, I'd like to open the floor up to the primary sponsors of the legislation that is up for consideration today. We'll first allow Councilman Avella to speak on his legislation. Oh, let me just say that I'm asking everyone, including my colleagues to please limit your remarks, you see that there are a lot of people who want to speak, and try to be concise. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVELLA: Thank you Mr. Chair, and I sort of want to thank you in advance, because I know you're going to be here late into the evening and probably later than most of us will still be around. So I want to congratulate you for holding this hearing, and those initial remarks I think were very important. Having said that, I just want to talk for a moment about my Reso 1640, which calls upon the state

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

legislature to amend the state municipal Home Rule Law, to give the City the authority to amend its charter to require that any change in term limits be subject to voter referendum. And I introduced this bill for a number of very specific reasons. One, the state legislature at this point in time, has no vested interest, unlike this body and the mayor, in extending the term limits law. would be outside of this argument, and they have nothing to benefit by extending term limits, or not extending term limits. Even if the state legislator passed the change in the municipal Home Rule Law, it would then trump anything that the City would do. So even if the City did not exercise its option to change the charter in this respect, the City would still have to follow the state's municipal Home Rule Law. I am rather dubious as to whether or not we can rely these days on city government to do the right thing. Clearly the fact that the mayor and the speaker have come out in favor of overturning what the people have voted for twice, I find to be a total disgrace. That's why I introduced this bill and I hope my colleagues will support it, and the

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

legislation.

members of the public will support it. We need 2 3 the state legislature to get involved, and 4 literally tell the city, "You cannot do this." would urge my colleagues to support this 5

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you very much, Councilmember Avella, and thank you very much for your consideration of the people who are here to testify. I just want to mention, we've been joined by council -- all the way in the front, on the left, almost on the left, yes, on the left, Councilmember Palma, Councilmember Stewart, Councilmember Dickens, Councilmember Helen Sears right in front of me, Councilmember Diana Reyna, and Councilmember Lew Fidler. I think that's it. Did I miss somebody else? I'm sorry, Councilmember Al Vann, who's sitting over here, right to my right, on the--The next member I'd ask to speak on legislation is councilmember Tisch James. I'm going in alphabetical order for those of you who haven't figured out yet why I'm choosing that order.

Thank you, COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Mr. Chair. And thank you for this opportunity.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Edward R. Morrow at one point said, stated "A nation of sheep will beget a government of wolves." And clearly we are before a government of wolves. The question isn't whether the city council has the authority to extend term limits, or whether you agree with term limits, or whether you approve of the mayor's performance, but whether the city council should legislatively extend term limits or respect the will of the people. This is not a public policy debate, particularly when this decision was crafted, was engineered, by billionaires. The argument that the mayor, we now require the mayor's steady hand at the time of this crisis is also unacceptable, particularly when the president of these United States, his steady hand did not affect the markets; when the leaders of all industrial nations in the world did not steady the markets, II accept this notion that he is the only person, the only individual, who can lead this city at this hour of need. I think it would be an absolute disgrace to go around the public will. Those are not my words, those are the words of Mayor Bloomberg in 2005. I believe that

overruling the will of the New Yorkers who have
voted twice in favor of term limits would be
antidemocratic and anti-reform, those are not my
words, those are the words of my very good friend,
Speaker Christine Quinn. People, even elected
officials, are allowed to change their minds. But
doing so on a momentous, constitutional change,
deserves full, deliberate consideration, and
should involve the people of this great city. And
that is why I'm urging my colleagues, who I know
are self-interested, to vote in support of the
legislation that Councilmember De Blasio and I
have crafted in support of a referendum. Thank
you.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you Councilmember Tisch James. If, I know it's pretty crowded at the doorway, but if you can try to make at least a little bit of an entranceway, so that there's fire safety. Councilmember De Blasio? Where--

COUNCIL MEMBER DE BLASIO: Right here. Thank you, very much, Chair Felder. CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Here have a

seat.

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

COTINICITI	L MEMBER	בות	DI 7 CIO •	M	no
COUNCTI		ᄓᄔ	BLASIO.	NO.	no.

it's fine, it's fine. Thank you.

4 CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Well, then,
5 other people are going to want to stand.

COUNCIL MEMBER DE BLASIO: It's a crowded room. Chair Felder, thank you very much for holding this hearing. And I know you will, you are, as a person, committed to hearing all sides, and I appreciate that. I want to amplify what Councilmember James said. We are cosponsoring legislation to let the people be heard. And to me, it's a very simple matter. have a situation where there's been two referenda. And I remind you that they were passed by overwhelming margins. So, there's an additional burden on this council as it deliberates, to recognize that this is a matter the people have spoken on twice. This is not a new matter that has never been considered before. This is not a small matter. This is a fundamental change in how we govern our city. This is the effective equivalent of amending our constitution, our charter. And therefore, it is even more crucial that we go back to the people. What Councilmember

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

James and I have proposed, after having consulted with the leading experts on the charter of New York City, and some of the people who actually helped to bring about this current charter, is that we have immediately a charter revision commission to put this matter before the people. Now, that commission, by definition, would have to It would have to bring the matter to deliberate. all five boroughs for hearings. It would have to come up with a final language that would be put on the ballot, and then there would be 60 more days of public debate; not a steamrolled legislative process, a full public debate. And then that election could be held, the results could be certified, all other actions necessary could be taken, so that whatever the will of the people was, the 2009 elections would then reflect the decision of the people and the candidacies the move forward. I want to emphasize that we are living in a time when it is our, I think, sacred responsibility to protect the people's faith in democracy, to not give them reason to doubt. There's so many good and positive things happening in our nation right now, in terms of people

2.0

2.3

getting involved, and there's also tremendous
threats. But we have to keep giving the people
faith, we have to show the people that government
actually does work for them. We cannot fall into
a cynical ploy that destroys that faith. We have
a better way to have a referendum and this
legislation would achieve it. Thank you very much
Chair Felder

10 CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you.

And again I ask that my colleagues to try to keep it concise. Councilmember David Weprin.

COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: Thank you,
Chairman Felder. Good afternoon, members of the
Governmental Operations Committee, fellow
colleagues, and fellow New Yorkers. Since the
mayor announced his proposal to extend term limits
by an additional term, via the legislative
process, the debate has grown even more
contentious than congestion pricing. The issue of
extending term limits so city elected officials
can serve an additional four years in office is an
issue that should be left up to the people of the
City of New York to decide. Whether we as duly
elected representatives of the people want to

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

serve another term, should not be a topic of discussion in legislative circles. A public referendum, two public referendums, were held, and the people have spoken, not once but twice. have had the honor and distinct privilege of serving as the councilmember from the 23rd District in Northeastern Queens for the last seven years. Nothing that I've done has been as fulfilling to me or as important as a career in public service. These principals that I hold dearly have prompted me to introduce Intro 850(a) which would require the extension of term limits to be done exclusively by public referendum, which could be done either at a general election or a special election. A bill that this committee is considering simultaneously with the mayor's bill, and other bills by my colleagues, councilmember De Blasio, James and Avella. I will be the first to tell you that I am not a fan of term limits. severely hinder our ability to complete long term capital projects, and they limit the amount of time we have to make an impact in our communities. However, whether or not you are for or against term limits is not the issue. The dynamic of a

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

term limit conversation should be whether you feel that the decision making process should be left up to the voters, since that is how term limits came into existence in the first place. The good, hardworking tax paying citizens of New York City have stated their support for two four-year terms in office for elected officials. It would behoove us to take it upon ourselves to legislatively change a matter where the people have spoken The next two days should not be about the mayor or even the city council. It should be about the institution as a whole and what this institution does with this issue. The issue is not term limits, but rather the process. The people have spoken and it should remain in the hands of the people. In order to instill public confidence in its representatives, the proper way to settle the term limits debate is via referendum. There is still time, I urge you to support my bill, 850(a) and not 845(a). you.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you very much. [pause] This is, we're giving people a minute to meditate before the testimony starts.

years, Governor Mario Cuomo, who will be given the

25

3

special dispensation to go first. We're delighted and honored to have you. [pause]

4 GOVERNOR MARIO CUOMO: It's been a 5 long time since I had to push a button, so. 6 [laughter] Thank you for--I appreciate very much 7 the invitation. I'm delighted to be able to 8 respond to it. I hope I don't disappoint anyone because my position is extraordinarily simple. 9 10 And it won't take me long to explain it to you. 11 My position is that term limits don't make any 12 sense. I've been against them for my entire 13 political career. I've written arguments against them in books. Spoken against them in debates, 14 15 speeches, in discussions with the media, and 16 against them, I was against them, of course, in 17 1993 and in 1996. And I'm just as much against 18 them today, for specific and apparent reasons I 19 Term limits are actually a desperate think. 20 attempt to improve governance on two separate assumptions. The one assumption is that after 21 22 four or eight years or so, usually, public 23 officials will somehow automatically lose their efficacy. And the second assumption is that the 24 25 newcomer, whoever it is, will be better. Now both

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of those assumptions are neither provable nor intelligent. It's more likely, particularly, especially I think with respect to legislators, that the incumbents may just have reached a level of expertise that will make them especially effective at just that moment when they are automatically eliminated. There was no strong precedent or precedential base for the New York City term limits in 1993. If you think about it, certainly to the best of my knowledge, our city had been governed for 200 years before 1993, without the benefit of term limits. And without term limits, we became one of the greatest cities in world history. Indeed, term limits might have denied Fiorello LaGuardia, Ed Koch and hundreds of effective and dedicated legislators who with the approval o the voters served for long periods of time and served well. And now, term limits threaten arbitrarily to end the career, among others, because there are many involved, of a mayor who's thought of by millions of voters, as recorded by polls and other expressions of public approval, to be well equipped to deal with the severe economic and fiscal crises facing the city.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

That is not a shocking conclusion, given the state of the record. So, there's a much more intelligent way, and a much more obvious way to remove officeholders whom the voters believe should be replaced. You let the voters make the decision in what's called an election. I know it works. It made me a private citizen in 1994. [laughter] No one should be surprised that for 200 years, and until the aberration in 1993, there was no strong move for term limits in this city. Indeed, were it not for the extraordinary generosity of a single, and I'm sure sincere, activist citizen who paid \$4 million for a virtual last minute effort that caught potential opponents by surprise, it might never have occurred at all in this city. The somewhat arcane technicalities of the laws that control the democratic processes in this city have apparently created a number of choices for you, a series of legal questions. But I'm sure that with the help of the excellent lawyers on the staff of the city council, particularly Gary Altman, the legislative counsel, and our fine corporation counsel, Michael Cardozo [phonetic], you're going to find your way through

2	the morass. But to me, again, it's simple. The
3	most important issue is whether or not to keep any
4	term limits. My position is that term limits are
5	proven not to work well in the few places where
6	they've been tried, and it was a mistake to adopt
7	them in 1993. I believe you should find a way to
8	get rid of them in whatever legal manner you can
9	do so. Thank you for the opportunity to make this
10	statement.
11	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you very
12	much, Governor. Would you entertain some
13	questions from my colleagues?
14	GOVERNOR MARIO CUMO: Entertain
15	them, I might not answer them, but I'll entertain
16	them. [laughter]
17	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Okay.
18	Councilmember John Liu?
19	COUNCIL MEMBER LIU: Thank you very
20	much, Mr. Chairman, and thank you for your
21	fairness in holding this hearing and the way you
22	will conduct it. And it's a tremendous honor to
23	have you, Mr. Governor, before our body here.
24	GOVERNOR MARIO CUMO: Thank you,
25	sir.

2	COUNCIL MEMBER LIU: And it's an
3	added honor for me to be able to talk a little bit
4	about your comments and ask you a question about
5	it. I wholeheartedly agree with you on two of
6	your major points. First point being that term
7	limits don't do democracy well. And in fact as a
8	private citizen, I voted against term limits in
9	1993, and in 1996. I don't think that they are
10	good for the people in the long term, and I think
11	that they should be changed, if not abolished
12	altogether. But term limits is not the issue
13	here. And I also agree with you, Mr. Governor,
14	that Mayor Bloomberg has been a steady hand in the
15	years after September 11 th , and could continue to
16	be a steady hand for our city, guiding us through
17	probably the worst fiscal crisis in decades,
18	certainly in my lifetime. But Mayor Bloomberg is
19	not the issue here either. The issue is what do
20	we do with a law that had been put on the books,
21	not by the normal legislative process, but by a
22	referendum, and then reaffirmed with another
23	referendum, a vote of the people at large? And
24	it's important that we understand that legislators
25	through the normal legislative process would never

have imposed a term limit on themselves. That the
only way that could've been achieved was by
referendum, which itself is a safety valve, a
check on the process, of the normal legislative
process. So, it would be so unseemly, to put it
nicely, to negate the results of two referenda
through the normal legislative process, when the
referendums are a check on the normal legislative
process itself. And I think a lots been said
about how the term limits, and again I voted
against them, thought I was in the minority of New
Yorkers at the time; term limits, the campaign for
term limits have been almost entirely funded by a
very rich guy.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Excuse me, the, do you have--

COUNCIL MEMBER LIU: My question is, my question is, isn't it still possible, Mr. Governor, to put this question before the voters? I am a sponsor of a bill that had been introduced by Councilmember De Blasio and Councilmember James, that would create the Charter Revision Commission and put the question before the voters in the early pat of 2009, so that there would

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

still be enough time for all those people who would want to run for election or reelection, to prepare for the September and November 2009 elections.

GOVERNOR MARIO CUMO: Yeah, I quess it's possible to do that. The--but if, I want to understand you fully, especially as to the fairness to voters. You're saying that voters voted for term limits, and you want to be sure that voters have the opportunity to exercise their preferences again. But if you were to get rid of the term limits, it would mean everybody'd have to run, right? And when they ran, then the voters would be voting for them or against them. And isn't that a perfect way to give them their democratic options. But the argument that says, "Well, if you go through these maneuvers then you're behaving badly toward the voters," I don't see that. In the end, what happens, if you do what I'm suggesting, which is get rid of the darn things, they don't make any sense, they really don't, I don't know what the rationale for them is, I don't know how you explain it, that we're automatically going to say that after eight years

lot of nerve. [laughter] You come before this

25

I just wanted to.

2	body, my question to you is, how dare you come
3	before this body and say term limits didn't work?
4	That's an insult to every one of us sitting here
5	that came in through term limits. And secondly,
6	you say, "Oh, let the voters do it, elections is
7	term limits." Well, to be honest with you, former
8	Governor, I would have rather seen you out in
9	eight years instead of twelve, but it's hard to
10	get an incumbent out because of the power of the
11	incumbency. So don't come before people talking
12	about "You have term limits, you have elections."
13	That's a bunch of nonsense, and you know that.
14	90-some odd percent of the incumbents win. Term
15	limits is necessary, this is, with all of my
16	criticism of this council, it is the most
17	progressive council that we've had in the history
18	of this city, and it's because of term limits.
19	GOVERNOR MARIO CUMO: Well
20	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: And then I
21	have another question
22	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: No, no, no,
23	no.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: I mean

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 35
2	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: No, no, no,
3	no, no.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: I'm
5	wrapping it up.
6	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: No, no,
7	Councilman Barron
8	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: I'm going
9	to talk some, I'm going to talk, 'cause every
10	peopeverybody else talked, when it comes to me,
11	then it's a force
12	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: No, you get
13	one question, the same as everybody else.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: I'm going
15	to say what I have to say. I'm going to say what
16	I have to say, just like John Liu did, I'm going
17	to say it Simcha, so why don't we not waste time,
18	'cause I'm not going to stop talking.
19	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Go ahead.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thank you.
21	Thank you.
22	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Finish talking
23	and then I will instruct the Governor not to
24	respond to your question.
25	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Well, fine,

Hood, he robbed the poor to give to the rich, and

you going to sit here and push Bloomberg on us.

24

25

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Hold on a minute. [bangs gavel] So listen, listen to me carefully, I don't care whether you clap, I don't care whether you boo, I don't care whether you

23

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

cheer, whether you like my corny jokes or don't like my corny jokes, and I happen to like and respect Councilman Barron and all my colleagues, as well. But no one here is going to be treated any differently. In any way. We're going to run a hearing and we're not going to run some kind of show. This is a very serious matter, I'm going to instruct you Governor to only answer the first question. Period.

Well, I'm not GOVERNOR MARIO CUMO: sure I can divide them. But on the point you made, which his an intelligent one, I think, about the longevity of certain people in public office, and it's very hard to get incumbents out. I think that's obviously true. The record shows that. But wouldn't it be better to figure out what it is that's imperfect about the system, that allows that to happen. How is it that they're able to stay for such long periods, instead of accepting a system which you, I think, a man of your acuity, and intelligence, would have to admit, there's not a whole lot of logic to saying, "We're going to assume in advance that everybody after four years or eight years, reaches that point, is no longer

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

worth having. And that the person who, we're going to elect, is going to better." And the second point I'd like to make is about Mayor Bloomberg. I didn't come here to argue for Mayor Bloomberg; I didn't support him in either of the mayoral elections, for your information, for whatever that is worth. What I said was, it is now clear, after his two years, and after the developments in our economy recently, that he is spectacularly well-suited to the task. I didn't say he was the only one who's suited to it. the way I would do it, Councilman Barron, would give you and all the people who would prefer to vote for someone else, an opportunity to do it. But they'd give people an opportunity to vote for him as well. So, my position is, you want to take him on, take him in an election. Get rid of--You know, the idea of making the decision automatically, without reference to the specifics of the reality, is simply not intelligent. As to Mayor Bloomberg's failure to foresee what happened here, like the rest of the world, he failed to see it, did the progressive council people who you claim were produced by term limits, did they

How would you respond to people, again the council did not

Right.

Myself, I would much rather be deciding this issue. However I decide it, a lot of people are going to be

24

25

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

2	upset. I would've been very happy moving on in my
3	political service, but that's not the situation I
4	find myself in. So how would you respond to
5	people who argue that the council should not be

6 voting on this because they are self-interested? 7 GOVERNOR MARIO CUMO: Well, you 8 vote on a lot of things that you're self-9 interested about. You're capable of voting on 10 your salaries aren't you? And campaign financing. 11 I mean, there are a lot of things that, 12 theoretically, I would trust the council people to vote objectively on these issues. 13 I wouldn't doubt it. Now, I don't have any recommendations 14 15 to make for you, because my position is, I'm 16

against term limits. And whatever you're going to as proposed here is going to perpetuate term limits. And so, I'm not for that. Though I can't help you construct this situation where you're going to perpetuate term limits when I think they don't work and shouldn't work. I want to add something. Thank you for making a statement that seeks to console me about Councilman Barron's statements. I was pleased to hear from the councilman. I've heard you before, you're

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 44
2	intelligent, you have a strong point of view, and
3	I was pleased you did address me, because it gave
4	me a chance to make some points that I had missed
5	the first time around. So, I appreciate it, thank
6	you very much, Mr. Councilman.
7	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Councilmember
8	Weprin.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: Thank you,
10	Mr. Chair, and it's a great pleasure to be here
11	with you, Governor. I'll state for the record, I
12	voted for you five times, because I also voted for
13	you for mayor in 1977.
14	GOVERNOR MARIO CUMO: I hope that
15	was in five elections. [laughter]
16	COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: We'll have
17	to
18	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Do you have a
19	question?
20	COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: The statute
21	of limitations has expired.
22	GOVERNOR MARIO CUMO: [laughs]
23	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Do you have a
24	question?
25	COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: Yeah, my

extension.

because there's an unsung agreement, or an agreement to put it back on the ballot in 2010 with Ron Lauder committed to going back to eight years, and I'm not convinced, if you believe as I do, that twelve years is better than eight years, and even no limits is better than twelve years, I think what's going to happen in this process, ironically, is that you're going to see a

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Do you have a question?

permanent eight years after the twelve year

COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: Yeah, what do you think about that potential irony, and how would you feel about that?

GOVERNOR MARIO CUMO: I feel

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

matter of principle. What is their ethical and moral responsibility, regarding running for reelection?

GOVERNOR MARIO CUMO: You know, I have never, I've been a lawyer for a long, long time, and I'm very pleased about that part of my career, and I'm comfortable with it. And so when people ask me opinions on the law, I'm quick, I think, to get involved and I appreciate the opportunity to deal with the law. I feel differently about ethics and morality. That's basically a personal issue. It's a matter of conscience, it's a matter of what your heart tells you, it's a matter of whether or not you have been prudent enough and responsible enough to study the issue. And if you come to a conclusion that this is the best thing for the people I represent, then as far as I'm concerned, and I'm not the person that'll make the judgment, that's moral. If you see it that way, and you sincerely see it that way, that's your business and that's the end of the moral issue for me. I don't, I'm not good at describing morality for other people. I have my hands busy trying to do it sufficiently for

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 48
2	myself.
3	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: Thank you.
4	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you very
5	much. Thank you very much, Governor Cuomo for
6	taking out time
7	GOVERNOR MARIO CUMO: Okay.
8	CHAIRPERSON FELDER:to come and
9	testify here today.
LO	GOVERNOR MARIO CUMO: My pleasure.
11	Thank you again.
12	[pause] [background noise]
13	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: I'd like to
L 4	call the next panel from the administration.
L5	[pauses] [background noise]
L6	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Whenever
L7	you're ready, please.
18	ANTHONY CROWELL: Alright, thank
L9	you. [pause] Good afternoon, Chair Felder, and
20	members of the committee. I'm Anthony Crowell
21	[phonetic], counselor to Mayor Michael Bloomberg,
22	and I'm here on behalf of the administration to
23	testify in support of Intro 845(a). This bill
24	would amend the City charter's provisions
2.5	regarding term limits by changing the number of

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

full consecutive terms of office that may be served by a city elected official from two to three terms. Joining me today is New York City Corporation Counsel Michael Cardozo, who will deliver testimony focused on the legal issued tied to this bill and others being considered by the The issue or term limits is an important one for the council to consider, and we would encourage you to begin by thinking about where our city is today, and how we got here. Looking back over the past six-and-three-quarter years since the mayor was first sworn into office, along with many of you, the City has made gains that no one thought possible. I'm sure all of you know the key stats: crime has been cut to a 40 year low, graduation rates have climbed 20 percent, record budget deficits were turned into record surpluses, unemployment dropped to all time lows, 165,000 units of affordable housing are being built or preserved, the largest such program undertaken by any city ever. Lower Manhattan has been transformed from a 9-to-5 business district into a vibrant, 24/7 community. Smoking rates among teens have been cut by more than half. New York's

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

public hospitals have never been healthier or higher rated. And carbon emissions are being reduced, thanks to the mayor's visionary PLANYC agenda. All of this and more has not happened by accident. It has been achieved by the mayor and the city council, working together in partnership. The great progress we have made, however, is now threatened by the turmoil on Wall Street, and bank panics that carry echoes of the 1930s. In just a few short months, some of New York's largest and most important financial institutions have collapsed, victims of a financial crisis that is rocking the global marketplace and shaking the very foundation we've worked so hard to build. Under these crisis conditions, everything has Whereas a year ago we could think of changed. term limits in theoretical terms, crisis has a way of clearing the mind and forcing us to put pragmatism first. As Ben Bernanke, the Chairman of the Federal Reserve has noted, there are no atheists in foxholes, and no ideologues in financial crises. In Washington, free market ideologues have now become proponents of a rescue plan in which government will take an ownership

2	interest in banks. The economic crisis has made
3	everyone stop and think, and reassess. That is
4	healthy, and it is necessary, because when
5	conditions change, all of us have the
6	responsibility to factor them into our thinking.
7	To do otherwise would be to allow stubbornness to
8	get in the way of rational and clearheaded
9	decisions. Here in New York, as the Mayor has
10	said, the financial crisis threatens many of the
11	gains we have made as a city. In light of this,
12	many people have paused to reconsider the issue of
13	term limits, and how they are structured. After
14	listening to many different people with many
15	different opinions, the mayor has come to believe
16	that it is in the city's best interests to give
17	voters more options, not fewer, and let them
18	decide who they want in office. In addition, he
19	attends to appoint a charter revision commission
20	to study the issue and consider whether to put
21	before voters in 2010, among other potential
22	issues, a proposal on term limits. There has been
23	a great deal of support for the merits of
24	extending term limits, while much of the
25	opposition has concentrated on the process by

2	which it would occur. So let me address the
3	process briefly, and let me begin with a
4	historical precedent. In 1966, voters approved a
5	citizen driven referendum, by a margin of 63
6	percent to 37 percent. That effectively repealed
7	Mayor Lindsay's Civilian Complaint Review Board by
8	requiring that all members of any complaint review
9	board be full time members of the NYPD. But 20
10	years later, in 1986, the city council
11	reconsidered the issue, and passed a law itself
12	requiring that half the members of the board be
13	civilians. Council members did not put the issue
14	to a referendum, because they had no legal
15	obligation to do so. And because they believed
16	they were acting in the best interests of the
17	city. And in our opinion, they were right. The
18	revised structure of the CCRB has served the city
19	well. Today the circumstances are not so
20	different. Twelve years ago, voters upheld the
21	two term limit in a referendum, by a far narrower
22	margin than in the 1966 referendum was decided by.
23	The courts have upheld the council's authority to
24	amend charter provisions originally adopted by
25	referenda, including the 1966 referendum, and so

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the question today for the council is a straightforward one: Is extending term limits from two to three terms in the best interests of the City? If you believe the answer is yes, as we do, we urge you to vote for this legislation and not hold it hostage to process concerns that have no legal basis. In addition, we urge you to consider that the alternative process, a special election in the winter or spring of 2009, is fraught with difficulties. First, it could leave candidates in limbo for at least another four months, making fundraising and organizing exceptionally difficult. Second, it would feature low voter turnout, perhaps as low as ten or fifteen percent. In such elections, special interests often have a disproportionately large voice, creating a result that does not reflect the broad popular will. Third, the time requirement proposed by a Charter Revision Commission and the Voting Rights Act preclearance process, could conceivably delay a special election into well into the spring, leaving candidates under a cloud of uncertainty until then. And fourth, it bears noting that holding a citywide special election

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

would cost taxpayers upwards of \$15 million at a time when all city agencies are being asked to reduce spending and the possibility exists of rescinding the seven percent property tax, which is being discussed. For all these reasons, we believe a special election to decide this question is far more problematic, and far less representative than a vote by the 51 member council, each member representing 160,000 New Yorkers. In addition, it is worthwhile to note how public opinion on this issue has shifted, just as it did with the CCRB in the two decades following the 1966 vote. A referendum reflects public opinion at a particular point in time. Current polls show public opinion now supports an extension to three terms. The Mayor has never believed that any decisions should be made based on polls. That's not leadership. But the recent polls do show the times have changed, and people's opinions have changed, too. Finally, the administration understands that this is a difficult issue, and one that requires thoughtful consideration. As you deliberate, we urge you to put policy above process, and leave the rest to

as well. Anyone else, Councilmember Martinez. Is that alright? You have a seat? Oh, I'm sorry. Councilmember Melissa Mark-Viverito, as well. If we have another table, we'd like to, if that's possible, if not, not. Okay, Mr. Cardozo, if you can, I don't want to make you work for the council, but if you can move the table down a little so that everyone can see, I'd really appreciate it. Thank you very much. Whenever you're ready.

MICHAEL CARDOZO: Thank you.

Chairperson Felder and members of the Governmental Operations Committee, good afternoon. Thank you for giving me the opportunity to testify here today. I'm Michael Cardozo, the City's corporation counsel. And I'm here to stress to you and to the public at large, that the City's representative legislative body, the City Council, has clear legislative authority to enact Intro 845 to amend the term limit provisions of the charter, from two to three terms. In the interests of time I'm going to paraphrase some of my prepared testimony, but I would ask Mr. Chairman for my formal remarks to be part of the record. The law

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

is crystal clear. The city can enact, amend or repeal the term limit provisions of the city charter in three different ways: by petition initiative approved by the voters, by action of a charter commission subject to approval of the voters, or by the action of the City Council. It's important, I think, to stress, that no one of the means of amending the charter is somehow better or more appropriate. Section Ten, Article Three of the Municipal Home Rule Law, state law, makes clear that as the City Council does, it regularly may and does amend the city charter. Indeed amendments to both the charter and the City's administrative code are carried out in the normal course by the City Council, acting on behalf of City residents. The only remaining issue was whether the City Council, which normally has the authority to amend the charter, lacks the authority to change provisions that were initially enacted as the result of a referendum. The courts have answered this question, and answered the question clearly. The Council has authority to enact charter amendments regardless of whether a prior local law enacted those provisions, or

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

whether such provisions were enacted by referendum. This was the precise issue in the Golden case in 2002, which I personally argued in the Appellate Division on behalf of the City, which concerned the City Council's authority to change the City's term limit provisions. Appellate Court ruled that state law did not require that the change be put to a referendum. Perhaps more importantly, for purposes of our discussion today, the court held that because, that the Council could amend a charter provision, even if it had been first enacted by referendum, because, and I quote, "Laws proposed and enacted by the people under initiative, are subject to the same constitutional statutory and charter limitations as those passed by the legislature." That decision interpreted Section 23 of the State Municipal Home Rule Law, and relied primarily on two decisions from the state's highest court, which are instructive. In the earlier case, the Court of Appeals had upheld the actions of the City Council of Buffalo when it abolished a one term limit on the mayor of Buffalo, even though the original term limit had been enacted by

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

referendum. Mr. Crowell has already discussed the second decision the court relied upon in 2002, the CCRB decision, which held that the City Council could amend by local law without a referendum, provisions of the charter relating to the CCRB that had originally been adopted by petition in 1966. Now given these precedents, it is not at all surprising that the City Council has on a number of different occasions amended provisions of the charter that were originally enacted by referenda, including many provisions adopted by the voters upon the recommendations of the 1988 and 1989 charter commissions. Thus, longstanding legal authority, as reaffirmed in the 2002 Golden case, remove any possible legal doubt that the City Council has the authority to enact the changes proposed by Intro 845, even though term limits were originally enacted by referendum. would like to just briefly address Intro 850, which was not covered in Mr. Crowell's testimony, because I do believe that that raises some serious legal issues. That bill would amend the provisions of the City Charter by making any change to term limits provisions subject to a

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

mandatory referendum. However, Section 23 of the State Municipal Home Rule Law already specifies the types of local laws that are subject to mandatory referenda. And the City's ability to augment that list by local action is, as a long line of cases and attorney general opinions suggest, at best highly doubtful. Finally, if Intro 845, the administration's proposed bill, is enacted into law, the city will submit the bill to the Department of Justice for a process known as "preclearance," during which the Justice Department would review the bill to ensure that it would not adversely affect the voting rights opportunities of racial or ethnic groups. Specifically, if the relevant provisions of the Voting Rights Act are deemed applicable to this bill, the Justice Department would be asked to determine whether the proposed change in term limits would have an adverse affect on the voting right opportunities of racial or ethnic minorities in New York. The original enactment of term limits was cleared by the Justice Department, the 2000 amendment of term limits that was the subject of the Golden case was cleared by the Justice

Department as well. Based on these precedents, and the federal law governing preclearance questions, I am confident that the Justice Department will find nothing objectionable about the amendment proposed in Intro 845. In short, the proposed term limits change will not be seen to diminish the opportunities the City's diverse racial and ethnic groups currently have, to nominate and elect candidates of their choice, whether or not such candidates are incumbents. Thank you for your time. Mr. Crowell and I would be happy to answer any questions.

much. Before we take questions, I want to remind my colleagues ask one question, and to please ask a question without going into a longwinded discussion. And that's something that I'm guilty of, most of the time, so I'm an expert at it. Please ask a question if you have one. I'd like to ask one question before we go to some of my colleagues. The mayor came into office during a serious crisis, indeed the biggest crisis the City ever faced, just months after 9/11's terrorist attacks. If term limits had been extended at that

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

time, the mayor might never have been elected. 2

of you can answer it. Or both of you.

Now, I'm going to ask two questions, I'm going to allow myself two questions as the chair.

[background noise] [laughter] Okay, you're absolutely right, one question. You're right, you're right, you're right. No, no, no, when they're right, they're right. I tried getting away with it, it didn't work. Okay, one question. Shh, please. Why does this crisis necessitate a change when the 9/11 attacks did not? Either one

MICHAEL CARDOZO: I'm happy to answer that question. The circumstances before us are very different, as the mayor stated. There's a deep fiscal economic crisis that is hitting us in New York and globally. The situation on 9/11 was that the attacks actually happened on the day of a primary, and there was an election process underway. In order to change anything at that point in time would've required a tremendous upheaval in legal issues, both state election and constitutional issues would've arisen, as well as the need to change the charter, so we were in the middle of a process. Right now, what you have is

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

a year, a little more than a year before the citywide general election in which we are able to extend term limits, give voters a choice, as to who to elect or not, based on whether they would've been in office or subject to limitations, and ultimately make the choice. So there's a significant difference in the time. And 9/11, I may add was a very difficult time, but again it was in the middle of that election crisis, I mean, election cycle, so it's significantly different.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Yeah, some would argue that, or many candidates that have been involved in elections, you know, in the upcoming elections for a while, and that it's sort of in process as well. But Councilmember Oddo?

COUNCIL MEMBER ODDO: Mr. Chairman, as the only republican in the room, and the only one who apparently didn't vote for Mario Cuomo in any race, I would like to ask two questions. [laughter] Never mind, I rescind the offer. Crowell, in your testimony, you recounted for us many of the achievements of the Bloomberg administration, I couldn't help but note the penultimate bullet that said New York public

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

hospitals have been healthier, never been healthier and higher rated. I wouldn't know that because we don't have a public hospital on Staten Island, nor do we have the HHC presence. that's a rant for another day. I want to focus on your testimony, and my question relates to your testimony on pages four and five, relating to the problems that you articulated about having a special election. And you make the statement that it would be far more representative for 51 council members to vote on this, than the amount of people that would come out in a special election. was wondering, and this is not my question, then if I had introduced a bill that mandated that the council members, all 51, get to vote on who the next mayor is in the November 2009 election, would the administration be supportive of that? Of course you're not, and you try to make the distinction between the general election and a special election, but I find that statement that whether it's 10,000 New Yorkers, 100,000 New Yorkers or a million New Yorkers voting in a special election, it is far more representative for 51 members to vote for it. I think that's a

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

problematic statement. But what I'm really intrigued by is your comment that in special election, point number two, that there are special interests who have a disproportionately large voice. So, my question is, can you define for me what those special interests are, who they are exactly, and what is it that they bring to the table that allows them to have a "disproportionately large voice"?

ANTHONY CROWELL: Yeah, certainly. Well, the issue is that when you have an off cycle election, and people aren't accustomed to coming out to the polls as they would in a primary or general election, you have, and there's a ballot referendum, it would be that there are forces mobilized to defeat a proposition or for a proposition rather, than there being a full and fair discourse in the public. So there tends to be extreme and overwhelming force one way or another, rather than a full representation of the citywide electorate coming out. When you have a general election, of course, and there are major officeholders that are on the ballot, participation is far greater. And then people

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

have a better opportunity to come out. And I think the numbers have shown significantly, when there is a ballot proposition on the ballot without muddy--without any other questions or few elected officials on the ballot, that there's an extremely low number in terms of turnout and an insufficient amount of people participating.

MICHAEL CARDOZO: If I could just add some statistics on that. In 1993, when term limits were originally adopted, which was the year that Mayor Giuliani was, the election that Mayor Giuliani was first elected, while 60 percent of the electorate voted for Giuliani or Dinkins, when they got down to the bottom of the ballot, and this was in a November election, only 30 percent of the electorate voted; 1996, which was the second Clinton administration, when the ballot, when the issue was on the ballot, you saw the same phenomenon. So, although all those in City Hall are very interested and would hope that the public is very interested, there is always a concern, even when it's a general election, that you're going to have a relatively small turnout on these kinds of issues. If you add to that that you

would then be having, as proposed by Councilman's
De Blasio's bill, a potential special election in
the spring of 2009, you have even greater
likelihood of a very small voter turnout. And I
think that, therefore, that it would be pretty
clear that a small voter turnout on the issue,
motivated perhaps in part either for or against
those special interest groups, does not
necessarily mean that whatever the result may be,
is representative of the people's will, as
distinct from the votes of the 51 members of this
council, each of whom represent roughly 150-
160,000 people, and who obviously would be voting
on the issue.

COUNCIL MEMBER ODDO: Mr. Chairman,
I appreciate the responses of the two learned
colleagues, but I'd still like an answer to my
question: Who are the special interests that
they're concerned about, and what exactly do they
bring to the table that gives them a
disproportionately large voice? Are we talking
about boots on the ground, are we talking about
money? What is it that we're talking about?

ANTHONY CROWELL: Well, I think

2.0

2.3

Governor Cuomo actually spoke to this issue about
initiatives and people who may fund initiatives
and be a driving force behind them. That's a
clear example. Back in the 1993 term limits
referendum.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: --member

Brewer. And if anyone would like to help

Councilmember Oddo out with his question later

that's wonderful. Councilmember Brewer?

very much. First of all I think all of us who have been in government for a while feel very strongly that twelve years is important. The question is, how do we get there? So when you talked on the issue of the process, I don't really understand the process other than the council process, which I think is perfectly legal. That's not the issue. The issue is what exactly is the referendum vote, Justice Department, lawsuit, if such? Can you be specific about that process, as you see it?

MICHAEL CARDOZO: Yes, as you said, and as my testimony reflects, we believe that the Council acting here would be perfectly

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

appropriate.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I think most people agree with that.

MICHAEL CARDOZO: If there is a charter commission as one of the pieces of, if this Council were to adopt a provision authorizing a Council created charter commission, that is assuming that the provisions in that legislation are otherwise lawful, that is a process that is permitted under the relevant governing documents. The question though can't end at that. And this is the process that would follow. The City charter, the charter commission, assuming the Council passed the legislation, would have to, would be created. The commission would then meet, the members would obviously deliberate, and under the relevant law, while certainly an issue, particular issue can be called to the charter's commission, charter commission's attention, obviously they're supposed to look at the whole charter. They have to hold hearings, and they would then come to the, whatever the recommendations are. And they can, under the law, say that, "Okay, we've come up with our

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Rights Act clearance.

1

recommendations and we will put this on the ballot for a particular date." The date under the law must be at least 60 days after their recommendations are made public. Then comes the question of the Justice Department and Voting

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Tony, can you move forward, I can't see him. Thank you. Go ahead. Thank you.

MICHAEL CARDOZO: And there, under the Voting Rights Act, there are two issues that would have to be addressed. First, they may be combined, but that would be up to the Justice The first issue that would have to be Department. addressed, though, is the actual date of the election. When, for a variety of--When a City, for example, when a City Council special election is held because there's a vacancy, usually it's a particular date in February, the mayor under the charter has a discretion to move that date a day or two for, you know, for some reason. Even that rather, what would seem to be a modest act of moving the date a day or two, requires Justice Department approval. So, the first thing that

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

would have to be obtained is approval by the Justice Department of the actual date. The substantive issue that the Justice Department would also have to pass upon, is whether or not this change in term limits would have an adverse effect on minorities. Now, when you put those two questions together, along with the small voter turnout point that I made a moment ago, I am not as sanguine that this is going to sale through the Justice Department. I'm not saying it won't; I'm saying it is raising a significant additional legal hurdle. In addition, and by the process I described thus far is a process described under, a call for under Section Five of the Voting Rights There's also an ability to bring a lawsuit under Section Two of the Voting Rights Act, which basically would be a claim that the particular Act, which would include the date, could--has an adverse effect on minorities. Again, I'm not suggesting that we would lose that case. I am suggesting that we are going off a well-traveled road, we are going into untrammeled territory, we are going into an area where we all know that, unlike the 30 percent of the voters who voted on

term limits in 1993, a very significant smaller
percentage of voters would be voting. I should
add that in 1999, I think it was, when certain
amendment, charter amendments were on the ballot
and basically no other amendments were on the
ballot, we had, and that was on a general
election, we had a ten percent turnout. So, what
I'm saying is that the special election, first
because of the timing of the, of needing to have
hearings, setting it on the ballot, 60 days, then
Justice Department approval, guaranteed relatively
low turnout compared to any other way, I think
will raise questions. Now if the Justice
Department takes a while to answer those
questions, or litigation takes a while to answer
those questions, we're not talking about the
spring of 2010, we're talking about a great deal
longer than the spring of 2010. And the
consequences of uncertainty would become that much
greater.
COUNCIL MEMBER PREMER. I 12 1-1-1-1

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I think you mean 2009 spring.

MICHAEL CARDOZO: 2009, excuse me.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

3

2

[pause]

4

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Councilmember

5

Jackson, please.

6

COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: Mr. Chair,

7

let me thank you for holding this hearing, on

8

behalf of all of the people of New York City. My

9

question for the representative, I believe we have

10

the corp counsel and also Mr. Crowell, the counsel

11

to the mayor. My understanding is that if we pass

12

Intro 845(a), it would move the term limits law

13

from two terms to three terms, and there is no one

14

time only, it's a continuous cycle where it would

15

be a permanent extension. And reading the

16

newspapers, and reading the blogs, it seems as

17

though, and I'm asking the question, whether or

18

not a deal was cut between Mayor Bloomberg and Ron

19

Lauder. And that's what people are saying. My

20

question to you is this, this will put the City

21

Council in a risky position if in fact that is

22

true, and there are opinions on both sides of the

23

coin whether people believe it or don't believe

24

it. Is there any chance that you would be asking

25

this, asking us to support this, only to turn

around and encourage a charter commission to undo
the term limits? Knowing that, that's the
question, but knowing that, many of my colleagues,
especially freshman colleagues, that if in fact
this law was undo by charter commission, would be
unthey would be harmed in that they would not be
able to run again. That's a major issue of
concern that was raised by them, as per the
newspapers and what have you and so forth

MICHAEL CARDOZO: Why don't I first restate the law and then let Mr. Crowell answer the question. - -

COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON:

[interposing] That sounds great.

MICHAEL CARDOZO: As I said in my testimony, the charter can be amended three different ways. If you passed 845 and changed two term limit, two terms to three tomorrow, there are still two ways under the law that that rule could someday be changed. It could be changed if there's a petition gathering the requisite signatures, which is then placed on the ballot, that's how we got to term limits originally. And it could be changed by the charter commission.

That's what the, just want to make sure that,

before we answer the alleged deal point, which

does not exist, I want to make sure we understand

that that is the law.

ANTHONY CROWELL: Right. What the Mayor has said is that he's, he came to the decision after the collapse of the market two weeks ago, that to ask the Council to look at a bill, and adopt a bill, and that he would sign it into law, and that bill would extend term limits from two to three, as we've been discussing today. He also said, though, he recognized that the voters had first spoken on this issue, and that, I'm having trouble seeing you, I'm sorry.

COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: Yeah, I'm trying to look around heads also, to see you also.

also, because of the practical limitations that we are unable to have, for the reasons corporation counsel Cardozo explained, a referendum on this issue in a timely manner, that he would, in the future, if he were reelected, appoint a charter commission, or he would appoint a charter commission even sooner, but he would appoint a

clarification. So, if we pass 845, extending it to three terms forever, it depends on what comes

24

25

2	turnout in the special election, look at this room
3	and there's over 100 people waiting outside. I
4	assure you, after months and months of debate
5	ahead, a special election referendum would have a
6	very substantial turnout and the people would get
7	a chance to express themselves in large number.
8	My question, as I understand it, Mr. Cardozo, you
9	are deeply involved in the discussions with Ron
10	Lauder, at least according to the media. That Ron
11	Lauder insisted to you and other members of the
12	Mayor's team that there, that he be a member of
13	any charter revision commission, and that he
14	insisted that the opportunity would exist to put a
15	two-term limit referendum on the ballot. And I
16	assume that is why you amended 845, which is now
17	845(a), and there's additional language making it
18	abundantly clear that a two-term limit referendum
19	would supersede 845. So, just to be clear, if
20	such a referendum were put on the ballot in 2010,
21	can you confirm if it were a two-term limit
22	referendum, that it would nullify Intro 845 and
23	the two-term limit referendum would supersede it?
24	MICHAEL CARDOZO: Assuming that the
25	provisions of the charter commission proposal are

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

otherwise lawful, as I said in our response to the earlier question, it is always possible for a provision of, proposed by a charter commission, to amend the term limit provisions. I think I said that previously. So if in fact a charter commission is appointed, decides in its wisdom that it should put on the ballot a two-term proposal, then if the voters approve that proposal, that would become the law. I can't, however, Mr. De Blasio, let go the beginning of your comments. I had on, one conversation with Mr. Lauder, which dealt with the legal issues that I've discussed here before the Council, and basically said to Mr. Lauder exactly what I've said to the Council as far as my view of what the law is. That was one conversation I had, and that was the extent of the conversation I had with him.

COUNCIL MEMBER DE BLASIO:

Cardozo I'll finish by saying obviously Mr. Lauder's been offered some kind of quarantee about a seat on a charter revision commission. obviously, or somehow the additional wording was added to the bill. The bill was amended, and I assume that--just to finish, if I may--the bill

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

was amended, 845(a) now, with explicit mention of 2 3 a two-term limit referendum, I assume that was not an accident, it had something to do with the 4 discussion with Mr. Lauder.

MICHAEL CARDOZO: Let me, I think you're making two separate points, the Mayor announced that he was going to appoint, that assuming this legislation passed, as Mr. Crowell said, he was going to appoint a charter commission to look at, among other things, the term limit issue. He said that he would appoint a crosssection of New Yorkers with differing views. He said that given Mr. Lauder's obvious knowledge and views on this issue, that he was, that one of the people he would appoint would be Mr. Lauder, and that's what the Mayor said publicly in a number of occasions. With respect to the amendment point that you just made, all the amendment point does is make clear exactly what I've just said, which is the law, which is that if in fact there is a lawful referendum, either by, as a result of a charter commission or a petition, that changes the term limits law, it's obviously that would be what the law is.

Councilmember CHAIRPERSON FELDER:

3 Garodnick.

4 COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: 5 you, Mr. Chairman, and Mr. Cardozo, I just wanted to follow up on a point that you made before. 6 7 First, I completely agree with you that the 8 legislative authority is present in the Council to do what is being proposed, and I think that we 9 10 need to be very cautious as legislators to not 11 take steps which inhibit or limit our ability to 12 act. And so I just wanted to say that. As to the point about the Voting Rights Act, you mentioned 13 that if this were to be considered in a 14 15 referendum, there would be two points that the Department of Justice would have to consider. 16 17 was the date of the election, the second was 18 adverse effect on minorities, potential adverse 19 effect on minorities. My question for you was 20 related to your comment that you were not so 21 sanguine that it would necessarily pass. 22 wanted to understand was the standard for the 23 Justice Department in making that determination, 24 whether we are able to ask for an advisory opinion 25 in advance, and whether the Department of Justice

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

would have to rule on any legislative action that we were to take as to the bill proposed by the Mayor. Thank you.

MICHAEL CARDOZO: If I can just take that in the opposite order, whatever law, assuming some law evolves from this discussion over the next few weeks, if term limits are changed, if they are changed by legislation, they cannot take effect until the Justice Department has "pre-cleared" them. On, if a charter commission is appointed, there's nothing for the Justice Department to pass on yet, until there is a proposal made coming out of the charter commission. At that point in time, we can then ask the Justice Department to approve. They don't give advisory opinions, there's a lot of law that one can look at as to what they've done before. What I said, and the question before the Justice Department is whether the proposed change would have, be viewed to have technically retrogressive, adverse effect on minorities in the three of the boroughs that are covered by the Voting Rights Act in New York City, which are Manhattan, Brooklyn and The Bronx. And what I'm, what I said was,

that because of the, I know Mr. De Blasio
disagrees with me, I think history would suggest
I'm right, because of the far lower turnout than
usual than one would expect in a regular election,
because of the small, relatively small turnout,
it's another wild card factor that the Justice
Department would have to be taking into account as
it determines whether or not the date and the
substance of the proposal would have an adverse
affect on minorities.

12 COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Thank
13 you.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Councilmember Koppell, please.

Mr. Cardozo, I'm going to, because I can only ask one question, I'm going to state something that I think you won't disagree with, but if you do, you can disagree with my preface. The section of the bill, Section Three, which states that the action of the Council will have no further effect if a charter commission recommends a change and it's voted for by the electors of the people, that this Section Three is totally unnecessary because

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that's the law. So my question then is, why was this, sort of a two-part question I quess, why was this put into the law, and why commitments were made with respect to a charter provision put on the ballot by Mayor Bloomberg to Mr. Lauder? Because it seems to me that some commitment was

made based upon putting this unnecessary section

three to this bill, which wasn't there initially.

MICHAEL CARDOZO: Mr. Koppell, the

preface, your preface is correct, it is a statement of the law as I think I've said twice before. It was to make the point clear. I think I've also said, as Mr. Bloomberg has been quoted on a number of occasions, that he said he was going to appoint a charter commission, he was going to ask that they look at, as the charter requires, all issues, particularly term limits, report back in 2010 and on that issue, and that among, that he would appoint a cross-section of New Yorkers with diverse views, and that one of the people he would be appointing to the commission is someone whom he knew to have thought through this issue very hard, who has thought it through ha great deal, and that was Mr. Lauder.

Councilmember CHAIRPERSON FELDER:

3 James.

4 COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Thank you. 5 In regards to the performance of the Mayor and the statements of Mr. Crowell about how well this City 6 7 has done under the leadership of the Mayor of the 8 City of New York, I would like to read to you a letter, just one paragraph of a letter of a 9 10 constituent. And this letter, the comments of 11 this constituent were reflected in a number of 12 emails that I've received. As of this morning, they totaled around 800. And it basically says 13 the following, "Mr. Mayor, Mr. Bloomberg isn't 14 15 such a great mayor, particularly as to his 16 gargantuan disconnect with the working class and 17 lower income voters of this city, as evidenced by 18 the dismal lack of affordable housing, contrasted 19 with the stark, amazing, overabundance of new 20 luxury condos springing up everywhere as a result 21 of overdevelopment. This move towards eradicating term limits is indicative of how the Bloomberg 22 23 administration bullies its way through an unfortunately compliant, and all too often lapdog 24 25 City Council, and what usually appears to the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

city, the people of this city to be the result of more backroom quid pro quo politics." I promised the constituent that I would read this. There are three counties, Brooklyn, Bronx and New York, which are covered under the Section Five requiring pre-clearance of all election changes. But Bronx and Brooklyn are also covered under Section Four. Section Four, Section F4, of the Voting Rights Act, which also requires pre-clearance for certain language minorities in the City of New York. New York is unique in the way that the Voting Rights Act operates on multiple levels and on such a large scale. We are about to embark upon, in the next year or two, a census, where we do configuration of all of the people in the City of New York. Recent data has indicated to me that in fact we have increasing numbers of people of color, particularly Latinos in the City of New York, and as a result of that all of the districts in this City Council will change to reflect the demographic shift in the City of New York. therefore, to do this change right now, in my opinion, in my humble opinion, is a flagrant and egregious disregard of the--

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Do you have a guestion, councilmember?

question, countrimember

COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: --burgeoning black and Latino voting strength in the City of New York. [applause] And so, my question is, and I'm not, have not endorsed anyone, do you, are you of the opinion, that the controller of the City of New York, who sits, who is responsible for the budget of the City of New York, who sits on a number of boards on Wall Street, and who has been responsible for leading us through this time of crisis, is it of your opinion that he is not qualified? Does he not have a steady hand that can lead this city during this time? And is the Mayor of the City of New York the only one? indispensable in this, to lead this city forward? Can not the controller of the City of New York, and I just saw the congress member, and let me again, I have not endorsed anyone, is not Congressman Weiner also uniquely qualified to lead this city forward at this time? Or Tony Avella? [cheering] Are they not uniquely qualified [gavel banging] to lead this city forward? Is the Mayor the only one who is indispensable in the City of

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

should have that ability. If they think there

needs to be a shift on how that structure looks,

they should have that choice. That is why this

bill ahs been introduced, and that is the premise

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Counsel, thank you, Councilmember Lappin.

that it, that this hearing's being undertaken.

COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: Thank you. Mr. Chair. My question is for Mr. Crowell. On page three of your testimony, you stated that there's been a great deal of support for the merits of extending term limits, while much of the opposition has concentrated on the process. Which I couldn't agree more with, I personally am philosophically opposed to term limits, I think they're essentially undemocratic. But the issue here is process, and there's a sense that this would be self-serving. And you gave an example of a referendum that overturned a CCRB requirement. Are there any precedents of the City Council overturning referendums that deal particularly to this body or to other elected officials?

ANTHONY CROWELL: Well, sure, most recently we made campaign finance amendments.

answer that, I think that's the policy issue that

25

Mr. Crowell was dealing with. The Mayor has said on a number of occasions that it is his view, after studying this issue, he still believes in term limits, but he believes that the right answer is three terms not two.

7 CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Councilmember 8 Katz.

gentlemen. And just quickly to follow up in a way on Councilman Koppell's question about the referendums. There seems to be some sort of disagreement or controversy as to which charter commission referendum would take precedence in general. So if the Council did a charter and ended up with a referendum, and the Mayor did a charter commission and ended up with a referendum, and let's just say they were in conflict, how would you analyze the law to come out on that?

ANTHONY CROWELL: Well, the, it's really a question of timing. Under state law, if the Mayor appoints a charter commission and it makes a proposal for a particular ballot cycle, that, to use the vernacular, would bump any other proposal, be it a proposal by a charter, another

COUNCIL MEMBER KATZ: Or is it just

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

25

disagree.

sorry. Councilmember Vallone.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. You both testified that we have the ethical right to do this based on the Conflict of

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Interest Board, that we have the legal right to do this based on the law. The question remains should we do it? And you've just said to Mr. Avella that we will have an election for Mayor, and that will actually be the people's chance to be heard on this issue. Many people coming after you will argue, probably well into the evening, that that election will be skewed by the Mayor's financial resources, and therefore would not be a fair referendum on term limits. I'd like them to address, actually, 'cause I haven't heard why, if that refer--if that election will be a valid one because of money, why we should be bound by two referenda that were similarly skewed by a huge influx of money. I'd like that to be addressed later. But, that being said, that being said, why are you going to make the argument now that Council action is preferable to a hopefully fair referendum in say March?

anthony CROWELL: Well, the policy on legal answer is quite simply a Council referendum, I'm sorry, a Council vote now is the only practical ability the City has to put, to go from a two term limit to a three term limit, and

in the November 2009 election. It's our view that a referendum is not available. The Mayor did say, however, that he would appoint a charter commission that, as we previously stated, would look at the issue again and perhaps give the voters another opportunity to speak on the matter.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Let me get this straight, this is the first I'm hearing on that. Are you saying that it's impossible to get a referendum on the ballot, if we started to do that today?

ANTHONY CROWELL: We're saying that there are legal challe--there are legal problems, legal hurdles, I believe was the phrase, the corporation counsel used, with putting a referendum on the ballot as early as this spring. As he stated there is a charter revision process that needs to occur. That takes a period of time, from the appointment of a commission, the study of the entire charter, deliberation, hearings and a decision as to what if anything to put on the charter. Or, if they put the question on the charter, then you need a 60 day period for

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	preclearance of the date, and to establish the
3	date. And then, if it is, if whatever is on the
4	ballot is passed, then you need a period of time
5	for preclearance of the substance. That could be
6	well into the spring, all at a time when
7	candidates currently are trying to figure out what
8	offices that would be running for, there's
9	organizing issues, they would be running up
10	potentially against petitioning. So, as a
11	practical matter
12	COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Okay.
13	ANTHONY CROWELL:and both, and
14	there are many legal issues tied to this, it is
15	not preferable to do it by way of the special
16	election.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: I get it
18	now, it's not that it's not possible, it's the

now, it's not that it's not possible, it's the other argument you just made. Very quickly, how much would that cost, do you believe, to put this referendum on the ballot?

ANTHONY CROWELL: I think the, the estimate was \$15 million.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Alright, we have the following, I just want to mention for the

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

audience that we've been trying to give people who hadn't asked a question the preference to ask a question. We have the final, we have

Councilmember Liu, Councilmember Ba--Reyna, and

Councilmember, I'm sorry, Councilmember Weprin,

Councilmember Reyna and Councilmember Barron. And that's, and Councilmember Sears. And then we're going to take the next witness. Go ahead,

Councilmember Liu.

COUNCIL MEMBER LIU: Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. I really want to thank you gentlemen, Mr. Crowell and Mr. Cardozo, I know over the years you've demonstrated that you are master attorneys, and the City is well served by I have to say, though, I did not realize that you were master spinmeisters as well. Because here, you're sitting here offering us legal opinions, and yet you're introducing a great deal of political spin talk into your testimony. I mean, you look at your own testimony, Mr. Crowell, you spent a great deal of it touting the record of the Mayor, and that very well may be true, but then in the next breath Mr. Cardozo talks about how this is not about one person, but

it is about what's best for the entire City, in terms of a legislative change going forward. Your playing a sleight of hand with the public, it's a three card monte trick on the public. And that's what's happening here, because in, as you say that there are, there is not enough time for a referendum this November, or that a special referendum in the early part of 2009 is very impractical, you don't really address why is it that it, that the question wasn't even put forth in time for the general, for this year's general election referendum. It very well could have been done because it's clear, and this is a question that I would ask you, Mr. Cardozo.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Yes, please.

office, did anybody in corp counsel begin looking at the question, which you state here, the question of whether the City Council has the legislative and legal authority to make this change on its own? And a secondary question is, you talk about how the Mayor has committed to putting a referendum to the public in 2010.

Hello, it's 2008. What about a referendum next

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

year? Why couldn't the referendum that the

Mayor's already talked about and struck a deal

with Ron Lauder, take place in 2009, as opposed to

2010? If you could answer those two quick

questions, I'd really appreciate it.

MICHAEL CARDOZO: As long as I've been corporation counsel, which began in early 2002, I have become familiar with the issues surrounding term limits because this counsel in 2002 passed legislation affecting term limits. And therefore, the answer to your first question is shortly after I became corporation counsel did I begin dealing with this issue. The answer to the second question, simply is, as I think Mr. Crowell has said on a number of occasions, that with the economic crisis where we found it, the Mayor made the decision that he felt that three terms were better than two. He had a discussion, as I think I've said on a number of occasions, he said that he would appoint a charter commission in 2010 and he said it would be, appoint a charter commission to report back on this issue in 2010, because he didn't, it would be confusing, obviously, if you're going to be voting on mayoral

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 102
2	candidates and city council candidates in 2009, be
3	obviously very confusing to at the same time be
4	asking voters whether or not extend terms from two
5	terms to three.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER LIU: So voters
7	would be confused. Voters would not be smart
8	enough to make the distinction.
9	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Okay, excuse
10	me.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER LIU: There's no
12	reason whatsoever
13	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Councilmember
14	Liu.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER LIU:that the
16	referendum could not take place in 2009.
17	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: I'm asking you
18	to please
19	COUNCIL MEMBER LIU: Thank you.
20	CHAIRPERSON FELDER:let, okay.
21	Councilmember Weprin.
22	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: I actually had
23	the second part of Councilman Liu's question. The
24	question I have is, is there legally enough time
25	for a charter revision commission to report and

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 104
2	that's what you just said.
3	MICHAEL CARDOZO: No, I'm simply, I
4	don't know exactly what you're suggesting we've
5	got on the ballot in 2009, but if the question was
6	should we change two terms to three terms in
7	November 2009, I don't know who's running in 2009,
8	it would be the people who would be subject to the
9	two term limit ,I don't know how you're phrasing
10	the question. I mean
11	COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: But
12	wouldn't a lot of people
13	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: No, no, no,
14	that's it, excuse me.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN:if there
16	was a referendum that passed in 2010
17	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Excuse me,
18	excuse me.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: No, I mean-
20	-
21	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Excuse me,
22	please, no, no, no. That's it. Councilmember
23	Barron?
24	COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: I'm
25	responding to what he said.

Τ	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 105
2	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Well, you
3	know, but
4	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: But we're not
5	having a debate.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: No, but
7	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: You asked him
8	a question
9	COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: I want a
10	clarification on a legal issue that he just made.
11	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Okay, so we're
12	going to get the clarification. You can ask him
13	that, as soon as he's about to leave.
14	Councilmember Barron?
15	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: First, I
16	just want to say that, you know, you might be
17	trying to rush to the Justice Department while
18	Bush is still in office, but Barack Obama is going
19	to be head of the Justice Department [cheering] so
20	you're not going to be successful there. But, my
21	concern is that, and thank god that George Bush
22	didn't get this idea and try to extend his term
23	[cheering] but what about the, what about the
24	fresh persons who are in the City Council now,
25	some may even be supportive of this measure,

aren't you throwing them under the bus by, and the train, by considering a referendum in 2009, which could possibly throw it back to eight years.

MICHAEL CARDOZO: 2010.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: That could even impact some of the freshmen, fresh persons, who are supporting your measure now, it's kind of like throwing them under the bus and the train and out the airplane.

MICHAEL CARDOZO: Well, I think
that's a good question, I think those are exactly
the kind of question that a charter revision
commission, that would look at the issue has to
consider, they'd have to consider, you know,
staggering terms. They'd have to consider a range
of issues, that's what commission do like that.
They explore a full range of governance issues,
and decide how to structure something if they were
to choose to put something on the ballot.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: But why would you do this to some of the loyal supporters of your bill, who are fresh persons right now?

Why would you put their future up in the air like that when they're sitting here trying to support

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 105
2	council have the right to do this legally? And I
3	think that basically you answered that question.
4	ANTHONY CROWELL: The answer's a
5	loud yes.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER SEARS: Okay.
7	Secondly, that there is a precedent that
8	referendums have been overturned with a civilian
9	review board, 1966 and then council restored it in
10	19—
11	ANTHONY CROWELL: [interposing]
12	There's that precedent. There's the precedent of
13	term limits. There's a precedent of campaign
14	finance. There's a number of precedents.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER SEARS: So then what
16	faces this council, this committee, in voting
17	something out of office, I would think, and you
18	can clarify that, is that we vote something on
19	what we have the legal right to do and then couple
20	that with a moral issue as to what and how we
21	weigh everything. In your opinion, is that what
22	it comes down to?
23	ANTHONY CROWELL: The moral issue-
24	COUNCIL MEMBER SEARS:
25	[interposing] I'm not asking you to judge the

morals of the council but what I am saying is asking the one issue is that we have a legal right to do this and I think one that's clarified. If there's anybody that says we don't then I think that should come forth so that that can be cleared up. It seems that we do have that right. It's been done before and we can amend and extend term limits for another term.

What this council does and how we vote on that after we hear everyone, and going into tomorrow, is for this committee and the council to weigh many other factors that are separate and apart from the legal issue. Am I correct with that?

ANTHONY CROWELL: Well I think that this council's job is to do what's in the best interests of the city and if individual council members choose to let morality be a factor that's considered, so be it. There are many, many, many factors that you must consider to determine what's in the best interests of the city. In the mayor's mind, as he has stated publicly, he believes giving the voters a choice as to whether to elect current city office holders who may be term

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 111
2	limited to run for a third term is in the best
3	interests of the city and I think that is the
4	question this council needs to at least ask
5	itself.
6	[bangs gavel]
7	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you very
8	much.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER SEARS: Thank you,
10	thank you.
11	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: I've been
12	asked by the Sergeant at Arms, thank you for the
13	witnesses, you are now asked to please be excused.
14	Two minutes, there are people coming in and
15	leaving to allow an easy transition and to ask our
16	next witness to come up. So we're giving the
17	Sergeant at Arms two minutes to allow more people
18	to come in and to leave. We'll start promptly at
19	3:10.
20	[pause]
21	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Can everybody
22	have a seat please, and those that do not want to
23	sit please leave. Can everyone have a seat
24	please? We are about to begin. Sir, have a seat.
25	Thank you.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

All right, we are delighted to have the honor of having Mayor Koch with us today and we are eagerly awaiting your testimony whenever you're ready. [off mic]

MAYOR KOCH: Okay, I have a very brief statement so with your permission I'll read it. I'm here this afternoon to testify in support of legislation that would amend the existing term limit legislation currently in effect. The change I support would allow those who are term limited to serve for three terms, twelve years, rather than the current permissible two terms, eight I have from the very inception of the term limits proposal supported the concept, however I have always supported twelve years or three terms as opposed to eight years and two terms. belief in the need for three terms was and is predicated on the experience of my own service as mayor of New York City from 1978 through 1989. The job of the mayor is a daunting one. The mayor initiates policies and legislation and I concluded that it often takes as much as twelve years of effort and support to place in a position for a lasting effect those policies and those laws.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 do not concede, as some have suggested, that the 3 amend the existing law is to, in effect, gut it. I believe that the proposed amendment would strengthen and improve the existing law.

> Much has been made of the fact that the existing law was enacted as a result of referenda and to change it in any way, except by referendum, would be to violate the people's mandate. I do not believe that amending a law adopted by referendum by legislative action of the city council violates the spirit of what the people of this city did by their voting for the law using referendum as a the vehicle. I believe as I listened a moment ago to the Corporation Counsel and to others to comment in detail on the legality of the city council's authority to enact, in effect, an amendment to the law to strengthen and improve it. I believe it is legal.

> I believe that the laws governing the city and the State of New York allow three ways to effectuate laws and amendments applying to the legislation before you: state legislation, city council legislation, and by referendum. None of the options, so far as I know, are treated

really should be three terms or twelve years.

25

MAYOR KOCH: That is correct.

3 COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: So you

have not ever wavered, in my opinion, based on the information that I have on this particular issue.

MAYOR KOCH: That is correct.

COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: Now, my question to you is this. Because you have served our city for so many years and you know the people of New York City as well as almost anyone, what's your advice for how the members of the City Council of New York should approach this very sensitive issue?

MAYOR KOCH: Well, they should vote their conscience, and secondly, I believe that they should conclude, but it's my opinion, that it is in the best interest of the City of New York to allow for three terms. I, without hesitation, have always supported term limits. I believe they should apply to the state legislature. But the fact is that this city, as a result of being the city that it is, is always in the vanguard, and you have term limits. I believe in making it the best term limit legislation and that would include three terms.

2 way to do it? That's my simple question.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MAYOR KOCH: Well I believe the Corporation Counsel-I sat here during his testimony-explored that with the council members and the basic premise is that the charter allows you to do this. That's what it means. And when doing it you are performing your duties. This is a representative democracy that we live in. are the representatives of the people of the City of New York. Why you would think that your vote, when the Charter and the State Constitution permit you to do what it is that is being asked of you, why you would think that your vote is less than a referendum boggles my mind. Did you take that position, as the Corporation Counsel pointed out, when there were changes made by the council to the law that governed the examination of police action? I doubt it. If you were on the council at that time I don't know.

COUNCIL MEMBER YASSKY: Okay, I won't go back and forth. Thank you. Thank you, Mayor.

24 CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Council member 25 Oddo?

2 COUNCIL MEMBER ODDO: Thank you,

Mr. Chairman. Mr. Mayor, I am one of the 17 or 18
members that the blogs are reporting as undecided
and I have been burning through seven seasons of
DVDs of the West Wing, hoping that art can give me
a little insight into life. [laughter] You made
a comment just now and you said that members
should vote their conscience, and this is maybe a
question that frankly I should ask you in private,
but you've always been a blunt individual and I've
always respected that so I'm going to ask you a
very real politic, a raw political question. What
happens when a legislator, and maybe you should
put your hat on as congressman not mayor, but what
happens when a legislator has a choice before him
and it's a choice between what his conscience
tells him to do and what might put him in a better
position to deliver for his district? Have you
ever found yourself in your days in Congress or
maybe it translates to the days when you were
mayor, that's a Hobson's choice. You have core
convictions on one hand and then there's the real
life that you have to deliver for your district.
How do you choose between the two?

2.0

2.3

MAYOR KOCH: Now if I understood
the question you're saying if you have to choose
between exercising your conscience and doing what
your constituents see in your mind wants you to
do, which should you do?

COUNCIL MEMBER ODDO: Well I'm not sure if I would frame it what my constituency wants me to do but you and I understand that you need relationships to deliver for a district and relationships can get damaged when members take individual votes.

MAYOR KOCH: Then let me rephrase it so that I understand it. If you are faced with an issue where you perceive it to be a matter of conscience but if you were to vote in a different way you might secure things for your district. Is that--?

COUNCIL MEMBER ODDO: Something like that, yes.

MAYOR KOCH: Okay. The answer is,

I mean, it doesn't require discussion. A matter

of conscience, which is the way you posed it, can

never be argued against or traded against some

other action because it would enrich the district.

MAYOR KOCH: Sure, right.

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

aisle.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: -for legislators and as the mayor as well. I think that needs to be heard from both sides of the

MAYOR KOCH: Let me just say I do not believe we should distinguish between any of the office holders in the City of New York with respect to the existing terms. So what you would do for the mayor I believe it's absolutely appropriate to do for the council. Now an illustration of what I was trying to convey about policy, initiatives, and seeing it through, and making it permanent, the best illustration I can give you is my housing program. The housing program, and it's one of the things that I'm proudest of, of all the things that I'm proud of in my service, was a program that took ten years. It spent \$5 billion and it built or rehabilitated, at the very minimum, 150,000 housing units. the very outside, 250,000 housing units if you include the smaller units where we only put in 5 or \$10,000 to rehabilitate it. That would be the best illustration of a program that was successful because, frankly, I was there and the

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 administration, the commissioners that I
3 appointed, were also there to see it through.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you very much, Mayor Koch. We appreciate very much your coming down to testify today. We will now call, from this point and on, I appreciate your patience, as you very well may know that we must give time to the sponsors of the bill to talk about their bills. That's why we have the sponsors in the council and the sponsors administration come first. We also gave some respect, at least a minimal amount of respect to two of our elder statesmen, and now we're going to go to panels that will include people representing good government groups as well as people, voters in the city, and we will alternate panels for and against, or against and for, and we're going to be calling people in the public based on the times that they signed in to testify.

So for the first panel we have from the public Eric Jacobs, Simon Belsky [phonetic], and Rafael Martinez. And from the good government groups we have Dick Datey [phonetic] of Citizen's Union, Gene Rushinof [phonetic] of NYPIRG

[phonetic]. If I can please ask, okay thank you very much Sergeant at Arms, to put up some more chairs. Dick Datey, Gene Rushinof, if you can please come up. [pauses] ...you a piece of table.

All right, Chris Keeley [phonetic] from Common Cause and Honorary Mark Green, please come up as well.

[pauses] [off-mic comments]

Can we give Mr. Green a seat by the table please. There's room for you right over there.

In order to make sure that more of the public has an opportunity to testify we're going to be running the clock at two minutes as I said at the outset of the hearing. I'd ask you to please make sure to be concise. If somebody's repeated the point and you agree that's great and if you don't agree that's fine, just state so. So we'll just start from the right, Mr. Datey? My right, I'm sorry. Whenever you're ready.

DICK DATEY: Thank you, Mr. Chair and members of the Government Operations

Committee, and members of the council. My name is Dick Datey. I'm the executive director of

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Citizen's Union of the City of New York, an

independent, non-partisan, civic organization of

New Yorkers who promote good government and

advance political reform in our city and state.

Citizen's Union has historically opposed the establishment of term limits since the 1990's because we believe then and continue to believe now that term limits restrict voter choice and that the current law of two four-year terms should either be amended or ended altogether. also believe that the battles over term limits of the 1990's were not fair fights as one citizen with a lot of money was able to influence the view of enough New Yorkers to enact term limits in the absence of equally well qualified and visible opposition. Despite our opposition to terms limits as a general principle, Citizen's Union is open to the idea of extending the current term limits to three four-year terms but we have consistently opposed any effort by the mayor or the city council to change term limits without putting the question before the voters of New York via referendum. We believe that it is imperative that the voters are consulted again and have their

In this time of economic turmoil, Citizen's Union acknowledges that many New Yorkers may desire stable political leadership and may want the opportunity to consider returning to office the mayor, the speaker, and members of the city council. However the form of our democracy and the function of our government should not be changed hastily to respond to a crisis no matter how severe it is thought to be or how feared it might be. We didn't do it after the most tragic day in the history of our city, 9/11, and we shouldn't be doing it now. Not this way at least.

Citizen's Union agrees with what
our vice-chair Richard Brefaltz [phonetic] said
the other night, that a council change to the term
limits law will say that circumstances and talent
are more important than the general rules designed
to limit power and promote rotation in office.
There will be more talented people and there will
be more unusual times but should the council and
the mayor act alone to change how elected
officials can serve in office? I appreciate the
difficulty of your decision and that we strongly

2.0

disagree without being disagreeable with each
other, but I ask you is this really the right way
to make this change? We urge the mayor and the
council to slow down this hasty process. This is
a conversation that must take place in and around
all five boroughs, not just those who happen to be
here today in this hearing who have come down to
testify during their lunch hour. We acknowledge
that the council is likely within its power and
authority to act on its own to change the term
limits law-

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: [interposing]

Are you almost done, Mr. Datey?

DICK DATEY: Almost.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you.

DICK DATEY: Just like we believe that state legislators should not draw their own district lines we also believe that the city council should not determine the length of terms in office. In fact, the lines for the city council are drawn by an independent commission so as not to have council members involved in any activity in which they have a self-interest. The same view should be applied to the term limits

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

law. [pause]

I closing let me just say that Citizen's Union is mindful that whatever action is taken, either by the council itself or the voters in a referendum, it will be the subject of legal action. I would not that what is interesting about this discussion, and the increasingly heated debate, and the consensus that seems to exist around term limits, that they need to be changed to improve city government and that the council has the authority to ask. So the question really before you today, as democratically elected members of the New York City Council, charged with representing the views of your constituents as you enact local laws and pass city budgets, is not so much should term limits be changed or can you act on your own to make that change, but rather and whether you should. As New York Times columnist Tom Friedman pointed out yesterday, our country in recent years has been consumed by making money and paid little attention to how that money was being made. The failure of us not to pay attention to how money was being made has now come back to haunt us and cause us terrible harm because we

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

for Governor Cuomo in 1994. I did not vote for Mayor Bloomberg in 2001. [laughter] I just want that on the record.

Mr. Crowell [phonetic], the attorney who testified on behalf of Mayor Bloomberg, was incredible. I mean, literally incredible. Point one, gee, if we have a low turnout late referendum in '09 that won't work. The reason that a low turnout late referendum might have to happen is because Mike Bloomberg for nine months stalled creating the Charter Commission he promised to create. In the law we call this, you have unclean hands when you benefit from your own inaction or own unethical conduct, and to get perhaps a little hot, there's a great Yiddish analysis that chutzpah is defined by a child who kills his parents and then begs for mercy from the court because he's an orphan. can't claim that it's too late when he willfully made it too late.

Point number two for Mr. Crowell,
he said that my God, the fiscal crisis, Chicken
Little, the sky is falling. He said repeatedly in
response to Council member Avella and Liu, I

believe, that the reason we're doing it now is 2 3 this fiscal crisis. That's untrue. They were not under oath. Mr. Chairman, that's untrue. remember being in Denver at the Democratic 5 Convention and that's when the mayor first said, 6 7 you know, after seven years of saying I'm not 8 going to overturn term limits, I will not consider overturning term limits. That was before Lehman 9 10 Brothers went bankrupt and that was the day it 11 Third, Mr. Crowell said in response to Council member Avella, look-I'm sorry, no, it was 12 Mr. Oddo-who are the special interests that could 13 control a low turnout referendum? Well, err, um, 14 15 Mr. Lauder [phonetic] because of his money. 16 [laughter] Let me give him some advice. 17 mayor's representative probably doesn't want to cite a big-spending interested party for a reason 18 19 that could corrupt an election. Fritz Schwartz is 20 here and he and I separately came up with an idea. 21 If the mayor gets on the ballot next year he 22 should have the courage of his convictions and run 23 based on his accurately substantial record rather 24 than his substantial bank account. If he runs he 25 should opt into public financing because to say

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that it's okay for voters to vote next year but
not on a referendum because an election's an
election willfully disregards the effect of \$80
million on the scale of judgment. Regrettably I'm
an expert on that subject.

To conclude, we have legal rules based on principles not people. In 1947 the Congress passed a law, became the 22nd Amendment, that two terms for presidents. It explicitly exempted Harry Truman because you can't change the rules in the middle of an election or an election cycle because it would be personal rather than principled. If Bill Clinton in 2000 and his supporters, I was one, had said you know, this guy's a great president, he should stay because he's provably better than George W. Bush. would have been laughed out of the room. Frankly, I don't believe the mayor's proposal, with all due respect to the members who support it, passes the laugh test or the smell test. [laughter]

Finally, the mayor's people said
this is all about more choice. They're right.
This council and this city has a choice. It
either can choose democracy and the existing rules

or it can choose Bloomberg. It can't have both.

3

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Okay, I'm

4 going to ask again. I'm going to ask please-
[applause] Excuse me. I'm going to ask the

witnesses again to have mercy on the people of New

York City who have come to testify. They are

waiting here for a very long time and I think we

should give them a chance to testify. Next?

RAFAEL MARTINEZ: I am surprised that I'm here to day. [off-mic comments] Oh, I am Rafael Martinez - - and I'm a resident of New York, and I vote every year in every election.

I am surprised to be here as I say.

I have been around this building for almost 25

years but today this is a very important issue to

this City of New York and my question is why 52

persons know better than 8 million plus people in

New York that they vote in 1993 in a referendum

for term limits. Yes I do believe that term

limits should be only for the executive like it's

done in the federal government and thank God Bush

cannot run again, but not for the legislature. I

would think about a person on death row, can he

commute his own sentence? No. Why 35 members of

Т	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 134
2	this city council, the speaker, the mayor, are
3	they commuting their own sentence because they are
4	term limited? And I urge this vote to vote to
5	have a referendum and let the people of New York
6	decide it again. Thank you.
7	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you.
8	RAFAEL MARTINEZ: Can I say it in
9	Spanish?
10	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you very
11	much. Next?
12	RAFAEL MARTINEZ: I'd like to say
13	it in Spanish.
14	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Yea, no, we
15	are going to go to the next witnesses.
16	RAFAEL MARTINEZ: No, I urge, the
17	Spanish members—
18	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: If you want to
19	use the remaining time, that's fine.
20	RAFAEL MARTINEZ: I urge the
21	members of the Spanish council as well as the—
22	MALE VOICE: Speak Spanish.
23	RAFAEL MARTINEZ: Oh, verdad.
24	MALE VOICE: Habla Español, por
25	favor.

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 135
2	RAFAEL MARTINEZ: [Spanish
3	language]
4	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: How do you say
5	time is up in Spanish?
6	MALE VOICE: Se acabo.
7	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Okay, next
8	witness.
9	MALE VOICE: Finito.
10	ERIC JACOBS: Thank you, council
11	members, for allowing me to testify, a time-
12	honored democratic tradition. I am Eric Jacobs,
13	born and raised on the West Side of Manhattan, I
14	attended Columbia College and graduate school, and
15	I went to New York Law School, and I oppose this
16	Bill 845. Not since the Klan was given permission
17	to have a protest here in Lower Manhattan have I
18	felt so hot about an issue that I've come down
19	here to City Hall to express my opinions.
20	After I finished law school in
21	1992, Republican Ron Lauder got the term limit
22	referendum on the ballot. I voted against it both
23	times but the majority voted for it and we got
24	term limits. Even Rudy Giuliani respected those
25	term limits. If there was ever an emergency to

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

suspend our laws, that was it. But we didn't and we all survived. If you council members were elected in 2001, which most of you were, you remember that beautiful primary day in 2001 when the election was cancelled and then rescheduled. Since you are here on the council you knew on 9-11-2001 that the office you were seeking came with two terms, eight years, and so did Mayor Richey Rich. Eight years. Why didn't this bill get proposed some time during the past six or seven years? The mayor could have started this is January and we could have had a referendum during this presidential election, but he didn't. know Bloomberg's been working on this all summer. He lined up the newspaper publishers and fellow billionaires. And then he got lucky, Mr. Midas. All of a sudden there's a worldwide financial crisis, not quite a 9/11 but big and bad, and he's using that as a pretext to postpone his term.

I could live with another referendum. Put it to the people. I still oppose term limits although now, based on what I'm seeing here, I think that legislatures, the city council, should not have term limits but chief executives,

I have something I'd like to read.

25

Oh, one other thing as far as the mayor's comment about it should be the same—Mayor Koch—it should be the same for the mayor as the council members, I totally disagree. In a bank, the failure of banks, or mortgage companies, or what have you, the CEO gets terminated. You don't set the same limits for both, to everybody for no reason at all. So I've got something I'm going to read as fast as I can folks.

It appears that some politicians have made up their minds to extend term limits and are just playing the public for suckers as they usually do. The only chance the people of New York City have is to get rid of the old that has been in place for eight years and bring in new blood for a breath of fresh air, hopefully leaving more money in the taxpayer's pockets.

Let's review quickly the past eight years, see if anything has changed from the previous. Department of Buildings, scandal after scandal, indictments, and jail time, to the point where it is now costing lives in crane accidents, scaffold failures, and fires. New York City Housing Authority, always one of the richest

2.0

agencies in New York is crying poverty when the
problems with the elevators in the projects should
have been repaired and maintained. It appears
that the inept management and mismanagement of the
agency's monies are now causing fatal accidents.
Department of Finance and the Parking Violations
Bureau, I don't go into the details but you might
be aware that 50 percent of parking tickets are
dismissed in this city. That means there
fraudulent summonses being written and is a
blatant abuse on the public and your constituents.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Can you please wrap up? [pause] Yeah, I'm talking to you, Sir. [laughter]

equate the management of New York City to the head of Medusa. No matter how many times you cut off the snakes and politicians, they keep coming back until you cut off the head of Medusa: Mayor Bloomberg, his staff, and all his appointees. It is said that 85 percent or more of the voting public has no clue why they are voting for a specific individual. They tend to pick a pretty face, a nice speaking voice, their appearance,

GENE RUSHINOF: Good afternoon, Mr.

2 constituents.

welcome to come. We have hearings here all the time in a variety of committees and we'd be delighted to hear your testimony. [off-mic comments] Yes, if you want. You can even go onto the council web site-- [off-mic comments] Yeah, absolutely. Absolutely, you're welcome. Next?

Chairman, council members, and staff. I'm Gene Rushinof with the New York Public Interest Research Group. NYPIRG, just like every good government group in New York City, opposed Intro 845 and its legislative extension to term limits. Action by the council here, without going back to the voters, will greatly harm its reputation, undermine its authority as a check and balance on the mayor, and sadly reinforce the cynicism of the public.

I am here today as someone who for more than a quarter of a century has fought very hard on behalf of increasing the council's power.

We are in a very strong mayor form of government, and we consider the council an important check and

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

balance, and there have been up and downs to that fight but it's always been a march forward. I think if you take action here it will be a very big step backward. New Yorkers twice voted for the current two term limit law, both in '93 and '96. A legislative extension would send a terrible message to New Yorkers that their vote doesn't count. It would widely be seen as an act of self-dealing.

I should say you should have a copy of my written statement in front of you. Mayor Bloomberg and the supporters of Intro 845 say that the nation's financial turmoil justifies legislation allowing them to run for a third term. This basic same argument was made in 2001 in the wake of 9/11 by former mayor Rudolph Giuliani but he was denied an extended term and new leadership, which includes many of the people who are here today performed admirably and stepped up to the plate to do what had to be done. There is a fair alternative to Intro 845 that respects past votes of New Yorkers and that would be to hold a special election on the issue before the municipal election cycle in 2009. And to - - my testimony I

past the appropriate way to make changes in New

25

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

York City's term limit law is to put it squarely back to the voters. Some members of the council and the general public have suggested that the public sentiment on this issue may have shifted in the last 12 years. Perhaps that is the case.

Perhaps council action would reflect that change in sentiment. Let's put it to the voters and let's find out.

To be clear, Common Cause believes the city's democratic tools and procedures are larger than any individual's or organization's. It is these democratic tools that are intended to protect against abuses of power at exactly moments like this, moments of great political or economic Revision of the current term limits law is too important, too nuanced to be rushed through. A cynic might say that the mayor let the clock run out on term limits proposals so it couldn't be on this November's ballot. A cynic might say that the current action undertaken on both sides of City Hall shows contempt for voters, disrespect for the city's democratic procedures and protections, and the Intro 845 represents back room politicking at its worst.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

We're not cynics at Common Cause.

That's why we do the work we do. We believe that our elected officials can and will rise to the challenge of acting in the public interest not their self-interest. Nevertheless, the action that is being proposed and the way in which it has been introduced undercuts the public confidence in the institution of the council and fuels public cynicism of their local government. This is a tide-turning moment and Common Cause urges you to take this opportunity to provide the leadership the people of New York City deserve, even if some may think that many of the city's citizens have grown too cynical to expect it. Be the leaders New York deserves by engaging the public, giving them time to consider various alternatives and to join with you in crafting the strongest and most effective city government for New York City.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you very much. We've been joined by Council member

Ignizio, Council member Alan Gerson, and we have now a question from Councilman Domenic Recchia.

[off-mic comments]

COUNCIL MEMBER RECCHIA: Hello,

24

recently have voted to the contrary and since, honorable as each of you individually is, it's self-dealing when you have to vote on your own career, job, and paycheck.

23

24

25 COUNCIL MEMBER RECCHIA: But it is

2.0

within our power, okay? And we were elected by
the people. The people elected us to lead. So
for you to come in here and say that this is
unethical conduct is offensive to this body. We
got elected to lead. People put us into office to
lead. Our constituents want us to lead and make
hard decisions for the best interests of this
city, okay? So when you come in here and say that
it's unethical conduct, okay, you're wrong. This
is within our power and we have the authority to
do this for the best interests of this city.

MARK GREEN: Let the voters decide.

will decide. If they don't like it they'll decide in September in a primary and some in the general election in November. Why don't you face the fact? We are bringing choice to the people of this city. We're giving people an opportunity to vote for everyone.

[general uproar; gavels]

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Domenic.

Domenic. Excuse me. Sssh. Council member, I want to apologize. I neglected to acknowledge Council member Gonzalez who has been here a while

19 estimated to be between 10 and \$20 million.

20 while you ponder that just for a moment, let me 21 just present some human context, very recent. And

22 let me also say I agree fully with Mark Green's

23

24

25

point that anyone running for office should opt

into the public finance system and I agree with

Mr. Jacobs' point, as I've said for years, that it

step? A referendum as to whether or not to allow

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 151
2	COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE: May I
3	address that issue just very briefly?
4	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Yes, please.
5	MALE VOICE: The one comment I'd
6	like to make is that if you council members, if
7	your conscience is that a third term is needed for
8	the executive or for the council, then pass that
9	law for the next council and for the next mayor.
10	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Council member
11	Comrie?
12	COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE: Thank you,
13	Mr. Chair. This question is for Mr. Datey. In
14	your testimony you alluded to the fact that the
15	term limits referendum in both '93 and '96 were
16	not fair. Do you want to espouse on what was not
17	fair in your opinion? And how do you think that
18	it could be ever a fair referendum if there were
19	one held?
20	DICK DATEY: I think they were seen
21	as not being a fair fight because of the amount of
22	money that was poured into the campaign, somewhat
23	surprisingly by one citizen. And many of the
24	elected officials in 1993 chose not to have a
25	clear position on that because of the fear that

voter participation, or the money, or the message.

2 It was the vote of the public.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE: Mr. Chair, I would say that they didn't answer the question as far as how do they think it would be fair this time if there's going to be the issues of money, influence, and power? How do you feel you're going to get to a fair referendum? If Mr. Datey and Mr. Rushinof are allowing the money put politicians to sleep or scared them into reticence how do you feel that it's going to happen this time? I mean, I think there's a lot of hypocrisy going on, on that end. Clearly in '93 and '96 people were pushed away from expressing their opinion, or not able to have the funds to express their opinion, and the referendums were not fair. And as Dick Datey said, it was not fair fights. How do you get to a fair fight on a referendum? GENE RUSHINOF: We supported legislation that would provide some degree of public funding in-

COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE:

[interposing] Let me be more specific. How do you get to a fair fight in a referendum in 2009 as you both are espousing to do so? How do you get

MALE VOICE: Council member Comrie,

2 COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: Thank you,

Mr. Chair, and good afternoon to the panel. Let me just say a few words before I ask my question and I'm timing myself here also. I want you to know that I have always, always been against the term limits. Even before my predecessor, Stanley Michaels, ended his 24 year career, God rest his soul, I was against it then and I'm against it now. Because the true election is when the people of our district have an opportunity to elect or re-elect the people that they wish. That is true democracy.

Now, are you aware specifically, in the County of New York, that when the people of New York County voiced their opinion in 1993 that they were against term limits? In essence, the vote was not in favor of term limits in New York County and the Borough of Manhattan. And in 1996, when the question of extending term limits to three terms, the people of New York County, Manhattan, voted in favor of extending it to three terms. Are you aware of that? And my second part of the question is, knowing that the people of Manhattan, where I'm from, were in favor of

Τ	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 157
2	extending term limits, why should I then vote
3	contrary to what the people have voted in New York
4	County twice before?
5	MALE VOICE: Because you shouldn't
6	vote when you're at issue. If you want to vote
7	that way for the next city council that may be a
8	little bit different. But to enrich yourselves,
9	to have it effect yourselves, that doesn't smell
10	right.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: Anybody
12	else respond to my question?
13	[off-mic comments]
14	RAFAEL MARTINEZ: Yes Councilman,
15	let me be blunt.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: I'm sorry,
17	say that again?
18	RAFAEL MARTINEZ: Let me be blunt.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: Please be
20	very blunt.
21	RAFAEL MARTINEZ: The reason that
22	many of you that are term limited are voting to
23	extend for three terms is because you don't want
24	to be out of a job, and you're looking for a job,
25	and you are not looking for the well being of the

the issue. [gavels] Rafael, I don't want to be

here. I don't want to be voting on this. I would

much rather, much rather have continued my planned

voting on this. I am not voting in my own self-

won't be able to do that. I had plans to continue

to serve the public and I can't do that anymore.

run for borough president and not have to be

interest if I voted for this, okay, because I

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So I, for one, am not voting in my own interest.

I am looking to do what is right for this city and only that, and I'm sure most of my colleagues are

doing exactly the same thing.

And Mr. Green, Bloomberg is not the issue here. Whether he stalled or did not stall, that's not before us. We are now in a position we did not ask to be in and we have to do what's right for the city. We're not here to demagogue, we're not here to take a political position, we're here to do what's right for the city and we have two options: vote this or put it to referendum. Those are our two options. And what happened in the past doesn't concern me. I need to choose between one of those two things and do what I think is best for the city.

Now let me play devil's advocate with you, Mr. Green, because I did it for the other side too. I asked them to explain positions and I want to ask you to explain a position. You have accused this mayor many times of buying the last election and he will buy the next election. You've basically said that the people's will was not expressed because they were influence by money

not win in 2001 merely because he spent that

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 161
2	money. He would tell you that he couldn't have
3	won if he hadn't spent the money to say who he
4	was. So let me stipulate, we all agree with that,
5	and I've almost, Peter, never spoken about it
6	because you don't talk about an election where
7	you've lost. Today what I said was that the
8	mayor's allies told the New York Times in a piece
9	last week, let Wiener run. We're going to bury
10	him with negative ads and \$80 million. Peter,
11	that's offensive.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE:
13	[crosstalk] That's not the issue.
14	MARK GREEN: [crosstalk] Let me
15	answer your question.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: That's not
17	the issue today.
18	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Well, excuse
19	me,
20	COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: That's
21	campaign rhetoric.
22	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: [crosstalk]
23	Just for a minute. Just for a minute. Excuse me
24	for a minute. The way the hearing works is that
25	the members of the council ask questions and the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

panel, the witnesses, answer the questions or choose not to. Whatever you want to do. But it's not a debate so if you—if in any way please keep it to those rules.

MARK GREEN: Peter's asked a verybeyond stipulating that I have said this many times, which I haven't, let's talk about the Peter's father opposed, of course, the merits. '93 and 6 referenda and that position, which I shared, I was against term limits, was outspent by Ron Lauder. That's absolutely true. Anyone know the numbers? It may have been 4 million to a few hundred thousand. That's flawed, imperfect, and undesirable. If you want to only look forward, Peter, my guess is that a referendum according to the James de Blasio bill in early '09 will be a more fair fight politically and financially than an \$80 million to a few million dollar general election next fall.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: I think it would be much more fair than the former referendum would. I actually agree with you on that. I just—the question was though, you ask us to be bound by this and claim that this next election

DICK DATEY: On the campaign

of process, how do you view them as being

23

24

25

different?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

finance issue I think that the council has made improvements and amendments to it and not gone in the exact opposite direction of anything that the voters may have spoken on in terms of a voter referendum on the issue. And my colleague, Gene Rushinof, may be also able to add to that. would just emphasize something I said earlier, that the city in its infinite wisdom actually created an independent redistricting commission to draw the lines of the city council districts that you represent. Because they didn't want to place you in the position of having to draw the lines like they do in the state legislature. So when there is a vested self-interest the city has a practice of taking that away from you and allowing someone else to do that. Same thing with the pay raise issue. Even though you ultimately vote on a pay raise for yourself there is some level of independence and inoculation away from having to directly make that decision by reacting to and voting upon a recommendation. But I am not really sure if there has been a clear example in the history of our city where the city council overturned completely and went in the opposite

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

over the last six years knows I'm not a toadie for Mike Bloomberg. Quite frankly, I'm a little unhappy with the manner in which this question has come before us and I hope Tom Galisano [phonetic] comes down and decides to fund the other side. Then everyone will have a billionaire that I don't give a damn about. All right? [mixed voices] Because I don't-- Tish, please. You know, when you have your turn you'll have your turn. Please be respectful. [off-mic comments] All right, because I frankly don't care what Mike Bloomberg, Ron Lauder, or Tom Galisano have to say whatsoever. All right? And I have no intention, Mark, of supporting Mike Bloomberg for re-election next year as I didn't in the last two elections, as you know. So I don't think that's the choice before us and that probably makes both sides in the room unhappy.

As far as, Gene, your comment about the end of Giuliani's administration, we didn't make the change, what was being asked at that time was that we extend the term of Mayor Giuliani for a period of time without holding an election. I see a distinction there. I see a huge distinction

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

there and a huge-- I would tend to think that there would be no legal possible way that that could have been done or should have been done.

Council member Comrie kind of touched on the area I wanted to go to. He touched on it very, very well so I'm not going to go into it at length, but Dick, you did say that the term limit fight in the 1990s were not fair fights as one citizen with a lot of money was able to influence the view of enough New Yorkers. I just kind of wonder what makes you think that won't happen again. And I heard the answers to Council member Comrie's questions, that there will be extensive discussion yet in order to be fair to the electoral process next year we'd have to do it quickly, right? No question. Well what's going to offset the money and Gene said well, in his world we would have public financing of referendum. Well that's not going to happen between now and then. It's certainly not going to happen when I would have to choose between spending \$20 million on that or keeping NYCHA centers open. That's not going to happen. [background noise] Stunning huh?

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

I don't understand why anyone would
think that a quick referendum in the spring
without a full blown charter revision process, a
full public hearing-

6 CHAIRPERSON FELDER: [interposing]
7 Do you have a question?

COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: --would be a fair fight this time when it wasn't the last time.

DICK DATEY: [crosstalk] I'd be happy to answer that question. I think the most fair way to address the issue of changing term limits in this city is to have a charter revision commission appointed in a way that allows full public debate and hearings throughout the city and to place that question on the ballot during a regularly scheduled election. That's the most fair way to do it. Unfortunately that's not going to happen because time ran our this year for that to happen and everyone has been asking the question why. Because we feel like we missed the opportunity to do it, even though Citizen's Union has been calling for this to be addressed over the last couple years through a charter revision

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 commission.

When the 1993 fight took place it was not through a charter revision commission but rather through a citizen initiated process that happened very quickly, caught a lot of people off quard, and limited public debate because of the financing of the fight. Given the volatility of this issue and the high public profile we would have a much more informed discussion. The other thing about this, Stu, is that we now have a body of experience against which to judge the impact of term limits on city government. It was a theory in 1993. What we have lacked in this discussion, and this discussion has been unfortunate because it is about the process of how it's going to get done, and who's going to do it, and who is going to benefit, and what's been sorely lacking is how term limits have impacted city government. been good? Has it been bad? We've missed that conversation and we should have had that earlier this year.

MARK GREEN: I misspoke—this is

Mark Green—I misspoke earlier when I said to

Council member Comrie that we are faced with a

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: Thank

you, Mr. Chair. I am somewhat disturbed with a

lot of what I hear, particularly from the good

government group in terms of the perception that

is created, and it goes to my question, that the

process here that we're going through and the

jurisdiction of the council to examine and make a

22

23

24

decision on this issue, as if it was an
illegitimate process or an illegitimate function
of the city council. And as a member of the
council for the last seven years I have voted on
numerous items that have to do with the amendment
of the city charter. As well as I heard the
Corporation Counsel speak of and give us specific
examples of other items that were voted by
referendum in which the council made amendment to,
and that including campaign finance in which the
voters of the City of New York voted that the cap
of how much an elected official could spend in
addition to the amount of public dollars that the
voters of the City of New York wanted to be
issued, in terms of matching funds, to these
individuals.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: [interposing]

Question? Can you please ask a question?

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: I was

shorter than everybody else. [laughter] Sorry,

I—

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Hold on a minute. We're going to allow you to ask a very long question.

2.0

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: I will g
to the question. So in terms of campaign finance
the same example: we increased the amount of
public dollars, we increased the capital
expenditures, and so forth. What makes this
process so illegitimate, different to the other
ones, from the examples that I gave you? And the
second question is, is this process, yes or no,
that's all you have to say, is this process
legitimate or illegitimate?

MALE VOICE: Can I answer that question? I think, as I said in my testimony that I wasn't able to deliver the entire prepared remarks, this is not an illegal or illegitimate act by the city council. It is not a preferred action that we would like to see the council take, particularly since the voters have already weighed in on this. We think that it is incumbent upon—It's not a question of whether you can, it's a question of whether you should. That's the question. [applause]

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Council member

24 Liu?

25 GENE RUSHINOF: I just wanted to

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 175
2	say that I don't want to get into a big fight
3	about the legal issues. I believe there are
4	grounds for challenging the council if they take
5	action here and—
6	COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ:
7	[interposing] With all due respect, everything
8	the council does is challenged in court. The
9	majority of the laws we pass.
10	GENE RUSHINOF: Well all I can say
11	is in '93 the council was absolutely certain that
12	they could keep a term limits proposal off the
13	ballot, very arrogant about it, and they lost. I
14	think there are grounds for challenging but I
15	think the issue is as Dick stated it which is, is
16	this something that you should do, putting aside
17	the question of whether you have the legal right
18	to do it, which I think there's a question about.
19	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Council member
20	Liu?
21	COUNCIL MEMBER LIU: Thank you, Mr.
22	Chairman. My question is directed to our
23	distinguished good government groups here, NYPIRG,
24	and Common Cause, and Citizen's Union. And these
25	are all organizations that I have a great deal of

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

respect for. I'm relatively new to politics. Ι was in private sector finance up to the date before I was sworn in. I have a degree in mathematical physics so I don't really know a whole lot about the history or politics of all of this so I have relied on your safe advice over the years. And one thing that fellow elected officials over the years and advisors have said to me is whatever you do just don't mess with people who buy ink in bulk quantities. And unfortunately I haven't followed that advice all that carefully over the years and unfortunately I'm going to have to break from that advice again here. Because as much as this is a council decision and that we are going to vote on this, the fact is we are affected. We are affected by the mayor, we are affected by editorial boards of this city, and I have to give a good shout out to the editorial board at Newsday, New York Newsday, because they've done the right thing here.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: [crosstalk] Do you have a question?

COUNCIL MEMBER LIU: But our Fourth Estate has rolled. They've rolled on us, they've

done a flip flop, and the timing of their flip flop was so coincident with the mayor's announcement in late August. So my question to you is, as much as your organizations always hold our feet to the fire, and call us on the votes that we take, and tell us that we have to vote our conscience, what are you going to do? Can you do anything, please, to hold the Fourth Estate accountable, and to implore. Join me in imploring our editors and editorialists in writing their conscience on this issue. Because I don't think they have written their conscience.

[off-mic comments]

MALE VOICE: What I was going to say is that it is very depressing to see the New York Times and the Daily News do a 180 degree flip flop with not very much rationale offered for their position except that they like Mike Bloomberg. The good news is that I think that the news pages of those papers, the News, the Times, the Post, Newsday, thoroughly covered this issue in a very fair and very tough way. And this has become front page news and I think it's to the credit of those papers. Today the Wall Street

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Journal—I don't often cite them—but they came out with a very strong editorial calling for a referendum to deal with term limits and raising questions about the Times' coverage. Not the coverage but their editorials.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Council member de Blasio?

COUNCIL MEMBER DE BLASIO: Thank you very much, Mr. Chair. I just want to say a quick statement and then a question. The quick statement is I thank the good government groups. I think long before this debate became as intense as it is now in this city a lot of the groups represented here were trying to tell us that there was something we had to look at here, and they were our consciences, and I want to thank them because this debate has now become truly city wide in every neighborhood and you cannot, literally for me, go anywhere now without having people come forward and express their very strong opinions. And I assure you the vast majority of those people are saying no.

But that being said, the question:
a referendum, a special election referendum. Some

CHAIRPERSON FELDER:

No, but thank

24

25

2 you anyway. [laughter] Council member Oddo, the 3 last question.

4 COUNCIL MEMBER ODDO: Mathematical 5 physics, John Liu? [laughter] Mathematical 6 physics? How the heck did you end up here? 7 [laughter] I want to go back to the line of 8 questioning and the stream of thought that Council member Comrie raised about the fair fight to which 9 10 Mark Green responded and then Council member 11 Fidler kind of followed up on it. And I guess my question is either for Mr. Datey or Mr. Green. 12 Am 13 I missing something? If we suppose that there's a 14 2009 or spring 2009 referendum on 12 versus 8, am 15 I wrong to anticipate Mr. Lauder getting involved, spending his money, the mayor getting involved 16 17 spending his money? And if that's yes, does the 18 combined amount of money result in an increase in 19 voter participation? And if you believe that can 20 you tell me, can you venture a guess, to what 21 percent would we expect more of a public 22 participation?

MARK GREEN: I don't know anybody who can give a good numerical answer to that,

Council Member Oddo. My guess, and it's only

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that, is that when people of the wealth of Lauder or Bloomberg spend a lot of money it does not necessarily increase turnout but it obviously, since advertising is a \$6 billion a year industry in America, can shift sentiment if not votes. what we do know is that it's more likely that tens of millions of dollars could influence a close election than a referendum. In 1999 I got involved as the then public advocate in a fight with Mayor Giuliani. He wanted to change the line of succession when he was then thinking about running for the U.S. Senate and leaving being mayor. And in a fight where there was not one side outspending the other considerably, although the mayor was had a famous bully pulpit, he lost in a referendum, 76 percent to 24 percent. never would have lost a head to head election 76 / 24 but he lost that. In 2000 was it three, Dick? That the mayor had a referendum on non-partisan elections. Before the vote the mayor said he was going to spend a million dollars and then months after the vote it was disclosed that he had spent \$8 million. He lost 70 / 30.

Conclusion, and this may go to what

Council member Comrie was asking about, it's harder to rig a referendum than it is an election by the weight of money. It's just harder. And I just hope some journalist here, since I can't do it and I'm guessing even Fritz Schwartz who's here can't do it, would ask the mayor if you say let the voters decide in '09 is sufficient democracy? Okay, would you then, since now everybody knows you aren't like in 2001, do what almost every member that I'm speaking to now has done. Opt in the public finance system so it's a fair fight and then you run on what is the considerable record of the mayor rather than his extremely considerable bank account.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Council member Barron, last question.

very much. I just wanted to ask some of the good government groups, you know, we always talk about the people need to have their voice, the people need to speak. Well they did. They spoke in 1996 and they said no. And it's not like there's an upswell, an uprising of the people asking for a referendum. It seems like elected officials are

25

2	saying, some the mayor, let's do it through
3	legislation, and some on the council say no, let's
4	do it through referendum. But the people didn't
5	ask to go back to referendum again. They already
6	spoke in '96. So why wouldn't you support what
7	the people already said? It's in place, they said
8	eight and out. That's what they said. So it
9	seems to me that you're giving the mayor two
10	chances. If he doesn't get it through the
11	legislative process, which is going to be an
12	uphill battle for us, that's why I sat a little
13	closer to Peter Vallone on this side [laughter],
14	it's an uphill battle, but if it doesn't happen
15	then you've got another shot at referendum. Why
16	not take the strong position and say the people
17	spoke, vote it down, and that's it? [applause]
18	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Can you please
19	answer the question? [gavels]
20	[off-mic comments]
21	DICK DATEY: You want to go, Gene?
22	GENE RUSHINOF: That's a very fair
23	point. We did and do want some charter revision

GENE RUSHINOF: That's a very fair point. We did and do want some charter revision commission to look at this issue seriously because I think most of the good government groups, apart

Т	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 10:
2	Councilman. Sometimes we take positions that are
3	popular, and sometimes we take positions that are
4	unpopular, and we have our reasons and our
5	analysis for it.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: You're not
7	like me. What does that mean? [laughter] You
8	don't even know who I am.
9	[crosstalk]
10	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Okay.
11	GENE RUSHINOF: Not unlike
12	[mixed voices; gavels] I said the position—
13	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Please.
14	GENE RUSHINOF: I said not unlike
15	you.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Oh, not
17	unlike…
18	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Mr. Datey?
19	DICK DATEY: The position of
20	Citizen's—I want to be clear about the position of
21	Citizen's Union on this issue and that is we have
22	not taken a position on whether three four year
23	terms, a third four year term is the right answer.
24	We haven't taken a position yet on whether we
25	should undo it entirely. But what we are arguing

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 188
2	Maholsky. Right?
3	MS. MAHOLSKY: Yes.
4	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Is Pat Singer
5	here? Pat Singer? Is William P. Lyons here?
6	Give me two more please. [off mic] [pause]
7	We'll start on the right again if that's okay.
8	Please make sure to identify yourself for the
9	record. And again, we time you, two minutes.
10	CLERK: Please don't start that.
11	GREG LABARBERA: Good afternoon,
12	Council member Felder and members of the Committee
13	on Government Operations.
14	CLERK: Mr. Chair, can you hold it
15	up for a second, there's a lot of commotion in the
16	front.
17	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Yes. Let me
18	call another witness, P. Marshall Morder, Sr., are
19	you here? Again, Marshall Morder, Sr.? Eric
20	Snyder. Please come up. Susan Stetzer, are you
21	here? Susan Stetzer. Please raise your hand?
22	All right. Okay, please begin and I'm sorry.
23	GREG LABARBERA: That's all right.
24	Good afternoon Council member Felder and members
25	of the Committee on Covernment Operation My name

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

foresighted economic development initiatives that have driven much needed capital into our city. There is more that needs to be done. Our city needs continued leadership that understands that capital investment creates good paying jobs. builds schools, generates needed houses, and creates and strengthens communities. For example, the current proposal for Willits Point would create thousands of jobs and transform a blighted area into a world class neighborhood that would attract business and residents alike. It would also ensure that these types of jobs that would be created would use skilled workforce who will be paid a prevailing wage or a living wage. These are the types of jobs that will forcefully pull us up quickly and create financial stability for working families rather than have us stumble and fight our way slowly out of a recession.

As we all face the economic crisis together it bodes well to give New Yorkers simply the option of supporting the same elected officials that have been so successful in making our city a great place to work, live, and visit. Your support of this bill is not an endorsement of

any single candidate. It will simply give voters more choices including the option of keeping Mayor Bloomberg, the city council, and other elected officials in office. I've always been opposed to term limits and there is no question that continued leadership in this economic crisis is best for New York City and the New York City workforce. Thank you for your time and consideration.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: I'm going to ask people in the back of the room to please either remain silent or go out into the lobby so that people can hear those that are testifying.

And I want to remind the witnesses to please limit your testimony to two minutes. Thank you.

EDWARD J. MALLOY: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman, members of the council. My name is

Edward J. Malloy. I am president of Building

Construction Trades Councils of New York City and

New York State. I am a lifelong resident of the

Borough of Manhattan. As a labor leader I have

been through four mayoral administrations: Koch,

Dinkins, Giuliani, and Bloomberg. I have seen

many changes. The past seven years have been the

most exceptional and exciting years of my life as a New Yorker. As we meet today there are unexpected challenges and it is our considered opinion that the people of New York City will best be served by allowing the public to re-elect a mayor, a comptroller, public advocate, borough presidents, and members of the council-people who possess the experience to manage this city's fiscal affairs, deliver government services efficiently, and work with the private sector to promote economic change and job creation.

As long as the parameters established by the city charter are adhered to, which will plainly be the case in Intro 845, the voters ultimately maintain their rights and ability to elect their leaders and representatives. The democratic principles underlying our local government will remain strong. We look forward as an organization to working with the administration and the council to advance this legislation, to work collaboratively to assure New York City's best days continue to lay ahead. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Next witness

please?

3	MICHAEL PALADINO: Thank you to
4	members of the council for allowing me to appear
5	before you. My name is Michael Paladino and I'm
6	the president of the New York City Detectives
7	Endowment Association. And let me preface my
8	comments simply by saying that I haven't been
9	coerced or intimidated into coming here to support
10	an extension to term limits. [off mic] Okay, I'm
11	not a fan of term limits, I've never been a fan of
12	term limits, and I would support an extension of
13	the term limits by the council. My personal
14	feelings is that the term limits simply limits the
15	choices available to the voters and I think it
16	takes the power out of the voters' hands. I think
17	the council is acting, if they choose to vote on
18	this legislation to extend, is acting within the
19	framework of the city charter. And if you were
20	acting outside of that framework or if you were
21	violating the city charter, well then I can see
22	the reason for concern. I've heard some
23	opposition both here at the table and outside, you
24	know, opposition against term limits-opposition to
25	the extension. But the extension simply allows

percent of you, probably 60 percent of you. all the talent and all the experience that you gained from the city and on the city's taxpayers, you will be out of office and have to go give that

23

24

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

talent and give that experience and the money we spent to somewhere else. And therefore I think you should stay. I think we should extend.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Next witness.

JOSEPH G. GARBER: Good afternoon, Chair Fidler, member of the council, members of the public. My name is Joseph Garber -- Uh, Felder. My name is Joseph Garber, I'm a director of the Civil Service Merit Council. My testimony today will be partially the position of the Civil Service Merit Council and my own knowledge of city government with a masters in public administration. As far as Resolution 1640, I agree that voter referendum is the best way. When the courts have recently upheld the power of the council to amend term limit provisions without voter referendum, what level of court made that decision and was there an appeal process? agree that the electorate should determine, and I would like to remind everybody that the ballot box is the best term limits, and an election as was recently the case with State Senator Martin Connor, a 30 year veteran incumbent of the 25th Senatorial District, who lost on September 9th,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2008. 845a, I agree with sections 1137 of the charter, that it's most appropriate to increase the term of all the elected officials from two terms to three terms.

I will discuss now preconsideration, the introduction of a charter revision commission. I was never aware that the city council had a right to introduce a charter revision commission. When I was present in the January 2008 speech by the mayor of the state of the city, the mayor announced he was going to appoint a charter revision commission. Regardless of who appoints the charter revision commission I'd like you to be aware that as of this morning at the City Store, which sells a copy of the city charter, please be advised that the 335 page charter is amended only as of July 2004. So how could you study a charter if you can't get an up to date book? I would hope that all of you call Commissioner Martha Hurst, the commissioner of DCAS [phonetic] and tell her to have the City Store prepare an up to date charter for sale. Ιf I'd be on the charter revision commission, irrespective of-

wanted to mention for the public that despite the fact that we've been here for almost four hours the panels, I don't know if most of you realize, but what we've been trying to do is bring up panels that are split between those that represent large organizations, good government groups, and unions, and individual New York City residents. The panel right now is comprised of three people who represent groups and three individuals who signed up earlier. As time goes on we're going to increase the number of people who comprise these

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

you're proposing to overthrow that. I do not wish to see that happen. I am against Introduction 845a and 850 because they don't serve the needs of the city. They are self-serving laws for the elected officials and will lead to corruption. The citizens of the city need to reassert the position on term limits proposed -- I'm sorry, let me start over again. The citizens of the city need to reassert their position on term limits. support Resolution 1640.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Next witness please.

Yes, thank you

2

Councilman. My name is Eric Snyder and I'm a

citizen who was just eaten up over the past couple

of weeks at what the mayor has done, and woke up

ERIC SNYDER:

6 this morning, walked through two metal detectors,

7 walked right in here, and I'm glad to have the

8 opportunity to speak. I've been transfixed for

9 the last five hours over the emblem over the

10 | council's head: a government of the people, by

11 the people, for the people. What was the purpose

of the two term limit referendums? I assume it

was to let the people decide. The mayor is going

14 to ignore these results. What does that tell you

about how seriously he takes the democratic

16 process?

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The mayor wants to stay in power.

Well ladies and gentlemen, all important people

do. But if he wanted that privilege he should

have asked the voters to extend the term limits a

long time ago so that the issue could appear on

the November ballot. Instead he announced his

intention to run again in September, not giving

any of us enough time to allow him to appear on

the ballot. He then laments to the press that a

CHAIRPERSON FELDER:

Councilman

Т	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 20.
2	Vallone passed up on the question. The next panel
3	of witnesses?
4	[pause]
5	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Rachel
6	Trachtenberg if you can please raise your hand.
7	Thank you. Delores d'Agostino? Delores L.
8	d'Agostino are you here? Please raise your hand.
9	[pause] Emily Respass [phonetic] are you here?
10	Emily Respass, are you coming up to testify? One
11	more? Andre Ramone Sale, are you here? I don't
12	know if I pronounced that correctly. Andre Ramone
13	Sale, are you here? Raise your hand. [off mic]
14	Yes? Wonderful. Eric Lane, are you here? Fritz
15	Schwartz? And Victor Kavner.
16	I just want to remind those that
17	are interested in speaking, you must submit a
18	signed request before 8:00 o'clock tonight.
19	[off mic]
20	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Okay, are we
21	ready to start? We'll start from the left this
22	time. You don't want that? No problem, we'll
23	start from the right. [laughter] Whatever you
24	want. I know you've been here for a long time.
25	You deserve to get—

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 202
2	FEMALE VOICE: Oh wait, can I go
3	last?
4	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: You can go
5	last if you want.
6	FEMALE VOICE: Okay, thank you.
7	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Is that what
8	you want? Okay, Mr. Lane?
9	ERIC LANE: So I want to thank you
10	for—
11	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: [interposing]
12	-closer to the fancy mikes we're appreciate it.
13	ERIC LANE: So I want to thank you—
14	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: [interposing]
15	Okay. Sssh. [gavels] Please.
16	ERIC LANE:for having me and I
17	know that this is—
18	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: [interposing]
19	Identify yourself for the record, please. If you
20	could identify—
21	ERIC LANE: Eric Lane. I'm a
22	professor at Hofstra Law School, formerly special
23	counsel to the New York City Council, formerly
24	executive director and counsel, the New York City
25	Charter Revision Commission, and many other

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

similar roles. And I thank you for the opportunity to speak today and I know that a lot of people have been concerned about how late this bill is being considered. Not in the day but in the season. And I'm sorry also that it's being considered so late because a number of people that I know and actually have supported will be more challenged if the bill is passed but nevertheless I come here to support the extension of term limits from two to three terms. I see the lateness as a reality of the problems with term limits because what happened is that the speaker and the mayor finally awoke one day to realize a very important notion of politics, that experience actually matters. And so I'm happy for that, late as it is.

Now I heard a lot of people on a panel earlier worrying about the integrity of the council if they were to act on this bill, notwithstanding a referendum, but I can assure you that I don't think anybody is more concerned about the integrity of the council than I am because I, along with Fritz Schwartz, labored many, many months and I many years to make this 51 member

ask you the following: Mr. Lauder created a

referendum that says that council can only raise

taxes with three-quarters of the members voting in

23

24

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

number of reasons why it's okay for the council to act by legislation but I have two points where I think you should not act unless clarifications are made by the mayor. I think you should insist on those clarifications and if you don't get them you shouldn't pass the legislation. Clarification number one arises out of the deal that the mayor made with Mr. Lauder and the circumstances surrounding that deal, the unusual addition of the language in 845a, all of which make it look as if there's a plan to have a charter commission which will next year bring you back to two terms. would be the most irresponsible and unprincipled result one could possibly could have. So you should insist before you vote on this bill that the mayor commits to support before that charter commission keeping free terms. That's something within your power to assist on you should. second thing is the main argument made by the major and more instantly by Mr. Crowell as he testified before you in favor of going against what the voters have done before was well, they'll always be an election in '09 and the voters could throw you out of office if you vote for the bill

or throw the mayor out of office if he signs the bill. So that is a fine argument except it's not principled when made in a situation where the mayor says or his staff has said, "We can spend up to \$100 million in an election. So again you should insist before you consider passing this bill that the major commit to abide by the same rules as everybody else. Now, I end up in the—with the view that if those two commitments are made the better course is for you to pass the bill and I can give you reasons for that, but you should insist on those two conditions.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you very much. Next witness please.

VICTOR A. COVNER: Yes, my name is

Victor A. Covner. I'm very pleased to appear

before this counsel once again. I practice law

here in New York with a firm Davis, Wright,

Trumain, and in 1990 and 1991, I served as

Corporation Counsel of the City of New York in the

Administration of Mayor David M. Dinkins, and it

failed to me to construe and implement the charter

that was written by the commission chair by my

colleague FRITZ Schwartz, who's just spoken and

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

who contributed so much too by Eric Lane, and it's a fine charter. I had to defend it in court a number of times. It provided no term limits. I've always opposed term limits, and I should say that I still resent bitterly Mr. Lauder using his millions to impose term limits on this city, but I'm not here to address how many terms there should be. I have no special knowledge that's greater than any of you or the public, but I'd like to address the controversial issue; namely, the process of how you do it. The last time the people of the city of New York have spoken up on how you amend the charter was in 1989 when they voted on the existing charter as written by Fritz and Eric and it provided a number of ways to amend the charter including by action of the council and That's a perfectly valid method and I the mayor. recommend that you do it under these unusual circumstances. That they adopt—the voters adopted terms limits thereafter doesn't-they did not address the issue of your amendment power and the charter revision commission or you may wish to address that in the future. I have no position on it except that it's clearly lawful and

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

appropriate. What I am troubled by, by those who advocate you're not acting now and placing this to a charter commission in the coming months is that that process will take too long and will skew and distort the municipal elections of 2009, and I think that would be most unfortunate. You'd need a charter commission. It would have to review the entire charter and hold public hearings, and then you go to a referendum if it's passed then it goes to the Justice Department. That takes 90 days. You won't know who's running for what office until the spring of next year. I think that would seriously distort the municipal elections. think each of you and each of us and those who hold borough and city wide office are entitled to know as soon as possible who under these extraordinary circumstance where we face truly fiscal and financial emergencies next year, who's going to be running for which office and that should be determined as early as possible, so I do I wish this came up earlier in the year. I would prefer to do it by referendum, but at this point, I think you have no choice except to pass this bill.

interests. He hasn't come out in eight years to Far Rockaway. It's deteriorating. I don't care if they're building \$900 million homes over there. \$900,000 homes over there. We have grassroots as I'm a grassroots. I don't see him in my community, so letting him come in the backdoor with a legislation late at this time is absolutely a disgust, and it should not happen on any of you guys' watch. Don't let him back in. He needs to

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

leave now. He needs to just bow out gracefully and get out of office and let somebody else. He made his billions. He's not helping Far Rockaway meet a little citizen in poverty. He's not helping me make millions. Tell him to please bow out gracefully and let some new people in that want to come in with the community and work with them, not by himself. Not with his own agenda, just get out Mayor Bloomberg, get out.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you.

Next witness please. Stay there, ma'am please.

If you could just stay because somebody may have a question for you.

ANDRE SOLEIL: Thank you members of the City Council. My name is Andre Soleil [phonetic]. I'm a lawyer, a Brooklyn lawyer. I have served in this hall not as a council member, but working for the mayor, and I remember the first day I walked in here. I looked up and I saw that—those words of Abraham Lincoln that talked about the Republican principle of government as opposed to just the Democratic principle of government. And I'm not talking about political parties. I'm talking about the fact that you are

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

sitting here right now as a representative of the will of the People. Indeed you are not just servants, but you are stewards of our sovereign will that we in this democracy have a modicum of self-control and that you respect the will as we have expressed it. So I can only assumed that this room's builders intended that these words above the people's representatives in this hall were meant to remind you of whom you serve to inspire you to persevere in its moral and to give you a Republican ethic to which I hope that you would aspire to. You our council man and woman are not merely our servants as I've already said, but you are stewards of our political will. I'm not here to advocate for preserving term limits as they stand. Nor do I advocate for the extension of them. No, I advocate for the Republican ethic. That is that you represent the will of the people and that respect us. I stress the urge to selfishness is only natural. common and it's also to be expected. You the City Council members are also after all human. trust you to rise above our based human instincts and aspire to a higher ethic your duties.

sure someone is qualified who can also run.

Also

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 Intro 50 and Prop 1640, they don't go far enough.

3 They only speak to term limits, but the City

4 Council should change the charter to say that no

law made by referendum should be changed by other

6 than referendum.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you.

Next witness please. Whenever you're ready.

RACHEL TRACKMAN: Hi, I'm Rachel Trackman [phonetic] and I'm 14 years old. family just moved to Bushwick-thank you-because we were priced out of our apartment in the east village. Our apartment was broken into in the first month and several of our friends had been mugged at gunpoint in Bushwick. I don't understand why Mayor Bloomberg does not have to obey the laws when people are arrested for peaceful demonstrations. I think Mayor Bloomberg is the worst mayor ever. He gave tons of money to the Bush family and Republicans, so he deserves some claim for Iraq and other problems in the NYC and the whole country. Also out taxes, rents and bills have gone way up since Bloomberg became mayor. Any monkey can raise taxes, no offense to monkeys, but that does not make you a great mayor.

Bloomberg has wasted so much of our money on stupid things like moving the Washington Square Park Fountain ten feet over or giving a billion to the Yankees who don't need out money. I think Mayor Bloomberg is the worst mayor ever and I must not be the only one because he had to spend a \$100 million to cheat and buy his first two elections. And he will spend another \$80 billion—million to steal the next one. I hope you will choose honesty of bribery and keep the term limits as they are.

know that it may be getting late, but my determination into keeping this as a hearing and not a rally. This is last warning. The next time that there's an outbreak I'm going to ask the sergeant at arms to remove people, and I don't want to do that. Some of you have been waiting to speak, and some of you who would like to testify. I'd like to hear what you have to say, but we're not going to spend time here listening to people cheer or boo or anything. The only exception was the young lady that just spoke 'cause she's been here, and she's 14 years old. She deserves a

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

On this issue of the charter commission which will be created probably one way or the other, and 'cause I am concerned about the commission and I think the underlying points you've raised. So my question other than an assurance from the mayor or any elected official is there any way you could recommend that we the Council could structure a chart commission so as in terms of who serves on it in terms of it's mission recognizing the charter has certain requirements, but can we take any action to structure it so it rises to the level of-or it's close to the level as we can reasonably expect of non-partisanship of independence especially and to be assured of a lack of preordained conclusion especially on the issues of term limits going forward and the structure of the Council?

one additional thing you do, but I do think if the mayor is forced in order to get the bill passed to say he commits to support three terms in his testimony before the charter commission that would help, but the other thing you could do is to follow the practice that Mayor Koch had when he

first appointed the—what became the 1989 charter,
which was he said he would give to other elected
officials seven of the appointments, half of the
appointments on the charter commission. Now, I
don't think you can require that by law, but I
think again it's something that if the Council is
the strong body that we intended it to be, you can
say that unless things are done, which clear up
the risk that this charter commission is going to
make what you do this year just a laughing stock
saying it's good for one term and one terms only.
You've got the power to say we insist on certain
commitments being made a second one would be to
follow Mayor Koch's practice of letting half the
charter commission members be proposed by other
elected officials and he said unless I think
they're crazy people, I will appoint them.
CHAIDDED COM EELDED: Commail Mombon

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Council Member Martinez.

COUNCIL MEMBER GERSON: Mr. Chair, could I just-'cause I think this--

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Did you call me, Mr. Chair?

25 COUNCIL MEMBER GERSON: One brief

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 219
2	follow up which other Council Member have
3	exercised.
4	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Well, why
5	don't we wait?
6	COUNCIL MEMBER GERSON: Well, I
7	defer with the option of returning.
8	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Please.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER GERSON: Thank you,
10	Mr. Chair.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: I'm
12	actually going to follow up on your question.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER GERSON: I'm always
14	happy to defer to Council Member Martinez anyway.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: Thank
16	you, sir. You bring up a very interesting and
17	important point. I'm one of the members who
18	support the extension, but I've always said that I
19	would not support a temporary extension. So I
20	want to be careful in making my decision as you
21	bring up this point 'cause it was mentioned
22	earlier if you were here that the bill was amended
23	so that it could explicitly say that there will be
24	a chart commission established in 2010 to look at
25	or going back to two years. Now, my question is

important thing about that language is it's

consistent with their having been an understanding

24

25

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

FRITZ SCHWARTZ: Who is the

3 question to?

COUNCIL MEMBER STEWART: Asking the question to Mr. Andre, the lawyer.

ANDRE SOLEIL: It was to Mr. Andre Soleil-Mr. Soleil actually. Maybe you should have gone back to the people as I said the ethic is that I propose and advocate for is that any law made by a referendum of the people should be altered by a referendum of the people. So maybe you should have gone back in that regard. Because the ethic is that the people's will is paramount, and that you are stewards of that people's will. That doesn't mean that you just change what the people want for self-serving interests. Now, we tend to forget and I haven't heard anybody mention the reason why we went for term limits in the first place. This wasn't a just something that popped out of no where. We were in the middle of fiscal trouble then, and as a matter of fact, we had council members and other city officials particularly Donald Manis [phonetic] who committed suicide because he was indicted by Mayor Rudolf Giuliani for stealing public funds. And the

2 COUNCIL MEMBER SEABROOK: Thank

you. I'll just stick to one question. There was a comment in reference to a referendum should only be changed by referendum and therefore it should not exist. Perhaps, Eric Lane this question because that comment was raised. If you can kind of talk about a referendum should only changed by a referendum, then perhaps enlighten people of some situations where referendums have passed in this state and has been changed legislatively as well.

things about it. One is and that's what I was trying to talk about when my two minutes ran out. I certainly think that you have the power and you have the moral, I actually think you have an obligation to do this in your representative function, so the example I was using for you was if someone like Lauder had made this change in the charter that said you could only increase taxes with three-quarters vote like in Proposition 13 in California. The next year you were going to be forced in the cutting programs and people were screaming at you because they didn't understand

the relationship between cutting taxes and programs. So you would obviously change that law and you wouldn't go back to a referendum. So the difference here is that many of you think that you're self interest is being served as if something terrible about that, but I think that's up to the voters to decide whether your self interest is being served because if you look at—

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: One minute.

For those of you that want to talk. I'm asking you to please go out in the lobby and give the witnesses the respect they deserve.

example, every one of you know the old every poll shows, every legislator, every voter doesn't like any other legislator than their own. And I assure you or I assume that if any of you vote for this bill and you go out and make the case about the overall good you've brought to your district, that they will view all of this together as they ought to. So it seems to me that you have both a right and an obligation to do this as representatives in a representative form of government, which this is. There have been many cases of this. I mean

23 COUNCIL MEMBER SEABROOK: Thank
24 you.

25 CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Councilman—

do join Fritz Schwartz's concerns about making this a sham. I'm not saying it is, but I do share his concerns. I'm concerned about the cynicism and I do think that the mayor ought to make an effort and you guys have the power to make them make an effort with respect to making sure that any charter commission is well balanced neutral to begin, et cetera. And one final point, I don't—I would take that out of the law myself, but I don't think it affects the law to have it in there.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Council Member de Blasio.

COUNCIL MEMBER DE BLASIO: Thank you, Mr. Chair. First of all, I think this is an all star panel. Every one has acquitted yourself very well and captured everyone's attention. I appreciate that. Even if there's differences of opinion. I want to say to the three gentlemen on the right. I've had the honor of working with each of you, and I don't think there's very many people in the city that know more about this topic. Particularly Mr. Schwartz, and again you are the ultimate voice on the charter having been

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

present at the creation. I want to perhaps do a little score card on the two conditions that you've raised here today 'cause I think they're very powerful. I think you're not only experienced voice, but you're saying you could see a way to support the legislation. I don't happen to personally agree with you by respect to your opinion. You could see a way to support the legislation. If the mayor clear his support for three terms so that he's have an independent charter commission and if the mayor agreed to abide by the spending limit of all other candidates for mayor. I think on that second one, you and Mr. Green talk about that idea some days ago. Have you had any response from the mayor or his staff that they would accept the idea of agreeing to abide by the spending limit?

FRITZ SCHWARTZ: I think it was a week ago on the Sam Roberts show I made that point and it was in the New York Times. No, I've not had any response on it. I think it's probably something nobody likes to give something up. I'm sure he wouldn't like to give something up, but if you say that you don't see this being a fair fight

25

2	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: Thank you,
3	Mr. Chairman, and I would direct on the same topic
4	again to Mr. Schwartz and to Professor Lane. On
5	the additional language that you just referred to
6	there is nothing in that language which is other
7	than a statement of current legal fact. There's
8	nothing in that language that would say there must
9	be a charter revision commission result that will
10	return us to a two term limit. In fact, the
11	charter revision commission after a great deal of
12	thought may decide to eliminate term limits,
13	change term limits for the executive versus the
14	legislative. All of those things under the law as
15	you've read it. On the four pages because I know
16	I'm not privy to any conversations with Mike
17	Bloomberg and Ron Lauder and don't ever expect to
18	be, so I'm certainly not party to any All
19	right. There will be nothing in the law that will
20	preclude any of those results or force any of
21	those results; is that correct?
22	FRITZ SCHWARTZ: That one thing I
23	would say it is correct nothing forces the result.

One thing I would say though that also should give

you concern is when the mayor talked about the

charter revision commission, he said it will put on the ballot the question of term limits. Well, that's just wrong. It would only put on the ballot the question of term limits if it decided to disagree—assuming the Council passes going to three terms. It would only put on the ballot the question in term limits if it disagreed with that decision, so again the way the mayor expressed him self should make you concerned. I think he's a person of good faith, and if you put him to the test which is will you commit in testifying to this future charter commission to support as a matter of policy the change to three terms, you put him to the test.

COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: Mr.

Schwartz, the way the mayor expresses himself frequently concerns me, but I am voting on the four pages of the law, and there's nothing in the law that would compel the result that concerns you, am I correct?

FRITZ SCHWARTZ: No, that's true, but I think in deciding how to vote, it would be proven for this Council which has the power to say—let's assume you're someone who's in favor of

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 232
2	the law, but you're bothered by the concerns that
3	I've put forward. You have the power to say to
4	the mayor I'm not going to support this law unless
5	you make clear that this charter commission is not
6	designed to produce the most unprincipled result.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: Would you
8	be satisfied—
9	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Council Member
10	Fidler?
11	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: —if you
12	knew that the Council had representation on the
13	charter commission.
14	FRITZ SCHWARTZ: I think if you
15	knew the Council was going to get half the member
16	it would help.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: Okay.
18	Half, awful a lot.
19	FRITZ SCHWARTZ: That's what Ed
20	Koch agreed to in 1989.
21	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Council
22	Member, we have two more questions. One from
23	Council Member Brewer and then Council Member
24	Sears.
25	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Thank you

very much for Mr. Covner. My question is I asked this earlier and then I had to go down for a meeting, but I asked it of the Corporation

Counsel. My question is what is the timing of any kind of a charter vote Justice Department?

Because when I asked the Corporation Counsel, they had a scenario. When I asked others who opposed the City Council voting they say it can be a very short time period. So I just wanted to know your opinion on this process?

Member. First I just want to say I do agree with the point of Fritz and Eric have made regarding the composition of the new—of a future charter revision commission and you should keep in mind that any charter revision commission isn't going to be limited to term limits by charter. It can review everything, so it's—and they can do and put on the ballot whatever they want. You should be mindful of that and it's troublesome that they should be somehow limited. I think that's a mistake. In terms of the timing, once if you pass this law or if sometime next spring the public approves a referendum in either event. The change

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

has to be submitted to the Justice Department under the Civil Rights Act under Section 5 we're covered as everybody knows and that it takes a minimum of 60 days. The usual process has evokes some questions from the Justice Department. not going to say that I think it's unlikely that they're going to approve it. I think either event the likelihood is that they would approve it, but it will take probably 90 days, perhaps more, perhaps a little less, and that's why I think it is so unfortunate if one ops for the referendum route because it places uncertainty into the municipal election process until well into next year and that we deserve a municipal election in which at least everybody has a chance to find out who's running, raise money, make their case in their various districts or boroughs or city wide for a full year. These are serious elections and to try to skew that and most of them are determined in the primaries to a few months. think does the city great disservice. I think we have an effective counsel. I think it's an effective diverse wisely drawn 51 districts. works well, and I have—and we deserve a serious

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

full municipal election every four years and shouldn't be shortcut in any material way.

ERIC LANE: Can I just add one point to that. If you did a-I think that there is a risk if the charter commission has already prejudged an issue or it looks like it's loaded. I actually think there are legal risks there because one of the requirements for any kind of Justice Department consideration either under Section 5 or Section 2 is-did people really get a chance to be heard, so if in fact, people's minds are made up ahead of time. I could see that there really would be a problem, so I want to underscore this notion that any charter commission that has to come in with independent people taking an independent look at things. And one final point, it's funny to be here arguing. I really don't care if the mayor gets reelected one way or the other. My view is that people should have a chance to vote for him, but my real concern here is that I want the Council to be able to be reelected and so that people like yourselves can form a more cohesive unit. So my entire concern about this goes to the Council, so it's in a funny

2.0

having an argument with members of the Council about whether or not I'm thinking you're really good and you ought to stay and you're arguing maybe we shouldn't. So that's my take on it.

6 CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Council Member 7 Sears.

Mr. Chair. My question is for—if you can move over just a little bit is for Mr. Covner. We passed over it very lightly about in 1966 when the referendum was for the Civilian Review Board, and then my understanding unless I misunderstood that in the chamber 1986 the Council overturned that and reinstated the Civilian Review Board. My question is was there a furor over that or was that received after all it was over 60% of the voters that voted to do away with the Civilian Review Board? Can you explain the difference between that and the Council taking the initiative in what is put before us today?

VICTOR COVNER: I think that's a very good question, and perhaps Fritz and I and a couple of other are the only people that are old enough to remember those.

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 237
2	COUNCIL MEMBER SEARS: All of you
3	remember.
4	VICTOR COVNER: Eric says he's old
5	enough too.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER SEARS: So then the
7	question is for Eric as well.
8	VICTOR COVNER: I think you make a
9	good point. It was a very unfortunate referendum
10	in '66, and the counsel had the power and did
11	exercise the power to rectify that misjudgment in
12	my view and perhaps yours as well.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER SEARS: Right.
14	VICTOR COVNER: in 1986, and it
15	wasn't a furor or question over the validity of
16	this duly elected body with the approval of the
17	mayor and it is my recollection that Mayor Koch
18	signed that and supported it. There wasn't a
19	questions raised, and therefore, it is a fair
20	inference that there shouldn't be a serious
21	question raised if you choose to exercise the
22	powers, the charter, which we've sworn to uphold
23	as vested in you as passed by the people in 1989.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER SEARS: Thank you.
25	Just I know I'm stretching this, but we in the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

stated meetings we deal with the charter all the It's our responsibility I believe to correct the wrongs within the charter as a reference to Civilian Review Board and to sustain that which is right. That is the responsibility of government. That is what is the stability of the charter. So maybe you can explain to me why at 51 members, and I do not know I'm saying clinically. I'm not talking about who's supporting what all sides 'cause when I sit in this deep and in the committee, I come in to hear both sides, and I don't come in predetermined. the fact is if we are obligated to do that and we represent eight and a half million people and have been put there because everybody here has been elected by people who believed in the commitment to government, who believe that they could represent them well, and who believe that they could sustain a Democratic process. By the city charter, which is our piece to operate within. So the fact is I think we've gotten off track here because I've heard so much about one individual. The one individual isn't the charter. I think what's here is what is the power of the City

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 239
2	Council.
3	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Do you have a
4	question?
5	COUNCIL MEMBER SEARS: Yeah, I did.
6	I asked what that was and he answered it, so I'm
7	fine. Thank you very much.
8	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: I'm sorry.
9	VICTOR COVNER: I agree, Council
10	Member. I think you've put excellent context to
11	the question before you.
12	FRITZ SCHWARTZ: However, Your
13	Honor, I'd like to also respond.
14	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Excuse me.
15	Yeah, but she didn't ask you the question.
16	ANDRE SOLEIL: She actually said
17	everybody on the panel can respond.
18	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Is that what
19	you said, Helen? No, the next panel. I want to
20	thank this panel.
21	ANDRE SOLEIL: The difference is
22	itself serving.
23	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: I understand.
24	ANDRE SOLEIL: That's the
25	difference. The CCRB does not make the laws of

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 240
2	this city.
3	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Okay. The
4	next-excuse me. Okay.
5	ANDRE SOLEIL: The City Council
6	makes the laws of this city.
7	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Steve Kramer.
8	ANDRE SOLEIL: The assembly cannot
9	raise their own salaries.
10	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Dan Canter.
11	ANDRE SOLEIL: But the City Council
12	can change their own limits. That is unfair.
13	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Okay. Dan
14	Canter. Arthur Chelliotis. I'm sorry, Richard
15	Emery. Edward C. Wallis-former Councilman Wallis.
16	Henry Stern. Howard-can I please ask this panel
17	to please leave the room or sit down so that we
18	can start the next panel. What does this say?
19	Kenneth D. Cohen. Can you please have a seat?
20	Please. Have a seat. Can everyone have a seat or
21	leave the room? If the sergeant at arms could add
22	one more chair. I think we're missing a chair or
23	two. You can take one from here. Here's another
24	chair. Okay. Does everyone have a chair? Okay.
25	We'll begin on the left this time. Excuse me,

during your campaign. I know that Miguel and
Robert Jackson up in Washington Heights came in
during the term limits during the last wave of
people to come in because of term limits that have
recently lost their elections. Dominique, we've
done calls for you in the past and I know that Dr.
Reed when he was with us was paying for some of
the calls that we were doing for Kendal Stewart.
All of you have something at risk here today, and
I have tell you, you really do have something at
risk. If you vote to extend this term limits
today or within the next few weeks. We are
absolutely going to come after you. You're going
to in your synagogue. You're going to have
absentee ballot mail. Go out on a repeated basis
in your district, and if you think that your
elections were hard the first time, which is
typically your hardest time to run. I can
absolutely guarantee you that the next year will
be the hardest year of your legislative life. Mr.
Chair, is that a threat.

23 CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Excuse me.
24 Hold on a minute.

25 STEVE KRAMER: Simcha, I promise

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 243
2	not-
3	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Excuse me.
4	Excuse me.
5	STEVE KRAMER: —to interrupt them
6	if they don't interrupt me.
7	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Excuse me.
8	Excuse me. I may not like what he's saying and I
9	don't know what he's talking about frankly, but he
10	has a right to say whatever he wants to say as
11	long as he's not doing, saying something that
12	would endanger people who are sitting here to the
13	testimony. So you may continue threatening
14	whoever you want. Go ahead.
15	STEVE KRAMER: it's very funny—
16	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: You have
17	another 30 seconds to threaten.
18	STEVE KRAMER: It's very funny to
19	see that the people who are going to suffer the
20	most are the people who are sitting in front of us
21	today, the Council because we are going to
22	your reputations. We're going to hit you in your
23	districts, and the people who can give the money,
24	the John Liu's let's say who have access money
25	from their campaigns to give to an anti-campaign

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

as Councilwoman Lappin said hours ago probably are anti-Democratic, fairly stupid in many respects, and we can stipulate to the Council's right to make the change legally, but if you're going to apply to yourselves as Councilman Liu pointed out. It needs to be for the next class, and it needs to be put before the people. If you're going to do it for the next class, I'll doubt you'll hear much opposition. But common sense and common decency would suggest that if you're going to change it and have it apply to yourselves it really requires the validation of the people. And I feel you should just trust the people. There's a decent chance it will pass, but people don't like self dealing. They are willing to consider sensible revisions, but self dealing I think is unbecoming, and I'm sure there are various legal questions that have to be gotten to. And there's a lot of lawyers in these rooms to help us solve them, so that we can get it on the ballot. This is the only way the freshman class-the so-called freshman class is not discriminated against 'cause if you do it the way Mr. Schwartz and other pointed out three for one group, two for the next doesn't seem

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

21

HENRY STERN: Yeah, my name is Henry Sternum, former member of the City Council.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Former

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

merits values. There's never been the kind of integrity and ethical question that this issue prevents and the issue is whether you can overturn the popular referendum on the very subject that it is when it deals directly with you. Now, in all our Civics classes, they do these charts of democracy and the people are always on top. The very word democracy means the people the most rule, and here you are represented legislative bodies providing the service of the public directly in doing what they had done. Now, it's maybe we would hear Lauder paid for it, the this or that. There are all kinds of things that you -- the real judgment is to present, but they didn't. And then you had seven years to undo it, The Council. You tried in 2002, and Bloomberg vetoed it. You had all the other years to setup a charter revision commission and take the thing through a process through a referendum. There's a very good argument for three terms instead of two for legislators. I'm not saying I agree, but it's certainly totally credible and totally sensible. So why ruin it by attempting to do it like a - - .The last year even this-now, I know it's the mayor

that didn't bring this up this year until the 60 day deadline had passed in putting items on the ballot, but the only reason the mayor is putting up this year, you know it, 'cause he wants it for himself. If he didn't want to run for a third term, he could all go down the drain. He'd be perfectly satisfied with that. This is no charity fund. This is political judgment. You want it to go through the Council; you're the trolls over the bridge. You charge the toll.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Please wrap up please.

HENRY STERN: Yeah, I'll wrap up.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you.

HENRY STERN: So what I'm trying to tell you is to be honorable whether you're legally required to do it or not, can't tell. The Court of Appeals will tell the Justice Department will tell. It depends on how they feel, but why disgrace yourselves by trying to do it in this sleazy way. Everyone's against this except people who are paid to be on the other side. I've got to tell you. I've been in meeting after meeting.

This is not a 50/50 issue, 60/40.

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 250
2	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Okay.
3	HENRY STERN: Nobody is for this
4	except for the handful that would directly
5	benefit.
6	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Okay. Can you
7	please?
8	HENRY STERN: Okay. I'm done.
9	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Sorry, I just
10	want to be consistent.
11	HENRY STERN: Yes, consistency is a
12	virtue.
13	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: It's like a
14	rule.
15	HENRY STERN: It's like a rule.
16	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: It's like a
17	rule.
18	HENRY STERN: And I came on time.
19	I came an hour and a quarter early
20	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Okay. Next
21	witness.
22	ED WALLIS: Thank you, my name is
23	Ed Wallis. I'm here to speak in favor of
24	Modifying term limits by adding the third term. I
25	too was a former member of the Council. I had the

privilege and honor of serving with the Council
Member Stern and then we rarely disagreed. Today
we vehemently disagree. Let me get to the heart
of the matter quickly. Council modification of a
charter amendment adopted by public referendum is
not only appropriate, but urgent. And it's urgent
in order to protect the constitutional liberty.
The constitutional liberty of voters to choose
qualified candidates. I want to be clear and
contrary to what Council Member Stern said, I'm
not being paid by anybody. I sat in this very
chair next to Mr. Emory. How many years ago?
Urging the exactly same thing. This Council
should have the courage and it is political
courage to stand up and do what is right even
though people who favor process over substance
will say the kind of things that Henry said.
HENRY STERN: I want to exempt Mr.
Wallis from my remarks. He's here on his own.
ED WALLIS: It's fine, Henry.
CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Excuse me. I

think you're out of order even if consistency is a virtue.

25 ED WALLIS: Let me be clear and

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Eric Lane said a version of this. If the public adopted the law that said that some classification of people shall not be allowed to vote based on some invidious classification, no one would say let's send that back to the public again. Council would say we're doing it now. We're going to correct the law. We're going to make it right. So if you believe on substance that term limits are wrong because they deprive voters of their rights to choose and experienced candidate, then don't get hung up on the process of public versus Council. Exercise your duty and obligation under the charter. Let me just quickly say that the terms limit referendum is the perfect case a megamillionaire poured millions of dollars into putting the term limit question on the ballet in promoting it. Of the 1.9 million voters that showed up that day 30% pulled a lever either way on the term limits, so anyone that says the people spoke is wrong. The people never spoke. A few people spoke and that's the problem with public referendum. It's why we elect you to spend the time effort energy to deliberate to think to study and to come back with answers. If the public

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 253
2	doesn't like those answers, we vote you out. I
3	have often-
4	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: I think you're
5	over time. Unless you have one sentence.
6	ED WALLIS: I got five seconds. If
7	the-let me conclude.
8	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Go ahead.
9	ED WALLIS: I hope you'll recognize
10	the voters in 2009 to choose the next Council not
11	the voters of 1993. Those who disagree with me
12	will have a say here today, but most important if
13	you'll modify term limits all of us will have our
14	say at the polls. That's what democracy demands.
15	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you.
16	Next witness please.
17	RICHARD EMORY: Yes, my name is
18	Richard Emory. In 1988 I marched down to
19	Washington with Peter Vallone's father, and we
20	argued in the Supreme Court and won. And the
21	purpose of the argument was to elevate this body
22	to the stature which I believe it is attained, and
23	the day when we argued that case the terminology
24	for this body was that it left less of an
25	impression than a rubber stamp because at least a

this body as a legitimate legislative body, which

What this body was is what I can become

it had never been in the face of the Board of

again. If this kind of moral corrosiveness and

the self interest predominates as it always had in

the past. This body has clawed itself up the wall

of respectability where it's eyes and the eyes of

the body politic are just leaning over right over

the top of the wall. You do this in the face of

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Estimate.

this kind of self interest in the fact of what everybody here identifies as blatant self interest and sitting in the lap of the mayor as the process goes forward, and you'll be kicked right off that wall. You'll never see over the top of the edge again. You're integrity as a body is at stake here. It's hanging in the balance. Either you opt for the principled position which is to go back to the people even though you don't have to or you take the self interested road and put yourself in the place in ignominy where this Council has been in the past.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you. Next witness please.

ARTHUR CHELLIOTIS: That's a tough one to follow. My name is Chelliotis. I am president of CWA Local 1180, represent New York City Administrative governmental employees, some 10,000, and we're city residents. When I went to school at PS170 in Queens, which no longer exists democracy meant ruled by the people and for the people, and it seems to us that if the people have spoken on this issue, you may have a legal right to overturn them. Jim Crow was legal, but the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

fact of the matter is there's a moral right to consider here. There is the issue of what are the principles that we want to leave with the people of the city and with the children of the city. What is the legacy that you want to leave? one that was so eloquently spoken about just before of making this the legislative body that it should be and it can be. That you've attempted to make or to just be remembered for what you passed here today or will in the future if you allow a 425-what is it--825A pass. I think you have a duty to yourselves to history to make this the true legislature of the city of New York. To make it a body that can stand up and say we will go to the people and we will make our case that we deserve to be in office for as long as you will keep electing us because we carry out what's important for all the people of the city of New York. I have a great respect for many of you. have met with many of you and worked with many of You do excellent work. Don't flush that down the toilet. I urge to setup a commission and put it before the people before the next election. It's the right thing to do. Maybe not the legal

for him to run for third term if the voters

mayor and the City Council clear authority to

pursue this course. Under the states municipal

be created either directly by the mayor through

the local legislation as two Council Members have

home rule law, the charter revision commission may

approved such a change. State lives gives to the

19

20

21

22

23

24

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

now proposed. Such a commission is then authorized to put proposals before the voters at a general or special election held not earlier than 60 days after the commission issues it's final recommendations, so why instead is Mr. Bloomberg now working with the City Council's leaders to lengthen all of their terms by legislation an approach he previously said would be disgusting. The answer comes down to politics. Most Council member will do this bidding because they now have to cover to legislate the same change for themselves. From an ethical perspective the mayor is setting a troubling precedent for our democracy. He's also setting himself up for more practical challenges. As someone who has spent time in City government, led two charter revision commission and litigated against efforts to loosen term limits. I believe there are significant legal issues that could derail the mayors effort altogether. First while state law surprisingly seems to permit term limits to be changed by legislation, even after voter ratification, local law is arguably more protective. Indeed the city charter expressly provides that is the public

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

views on this very important matter and very sensitive matter. And just like you have your opinions in representing the groups that you represent, and I have the City Council Member. have my opinion. If you don't know, let me tell you about it. I've said all along from the beginning even before I was elected before I even ran for the City Council in 2001, I was not in favor of term limits and I supported my Council Member Stanley Michaels, who's now deceased. during the campaign process in 2001, in 2003, in 2005, when citizens commissioned and others asked me whether or not I was in favor of term limits. I have never changed my position on a I said no. particular matter, and I don't intend on changing And let me just say to anyone that think it now. they can intimidate me, you must be crazy. Anyone who thinks you can intimidate me, must be insane, and quite frankly you're not threatening me, Steve Kramer. If you're ready to rumble, come on with it let's go. Okay.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: No, no, no.

COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: Because

25 let me tell you-

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 261
2	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: No, no-
3	COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: —you don't
4	intimidate no one in this City Council, and if you
5	do, they're not one of the 51 leaders in which
6	they are.
7	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Can you ask-
8	COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: So let me
9	just say that to you right now.
10	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Can we have a
11	question?
12	COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: The
13	question I have is if you truly believe in
14	democracy, should the people of my district not
15	have the opportunity to vote for me again if they
16	want to?
17	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Excuse me.
18	Who are you posing—
19	COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: That's a
20	term I have for anyone.
21	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Can you pose
22	it to somebody please.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: I'll give
24	it to Ed and Dan.
25	ED WALLIS: I think you've made the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

essential point that it's the voters in your district who should have the right and let me point out one of the anomalies and it plays out across the city in different ways. If I live in district A and Henry lives in district B, he may be able to elect an experienced Council Member who's a one term member, but who've had a successful two term member am deprived of that opportunity. That's why I keep saying it's not about you. It's about the voters. It's the voters' right that's at stake here, and I would point out for the record given all that's been said. Council Member Michaels as you may know are ardently in favor of the repeal of term limits, but he announced that he would not run for office. So while I think you should all run and take your chances with the voters. Addressing Mr. Emory's point is simple, anybody's who troubled by that issue, can vote out the term limits now and they can elect individually because that's their conscience not to run, but the opportunity is for It's not for the Councilmen. the voters.

DAN CANTER: Councilman Jackson, no one's denying your right to have your opinion and

to run reelection if that's what the rules are.

The question is appearances do matter, and it's our view that changing this law is best done by returning to the voters at large because otherwise it looks like self dealing. It's not much more complicated than that from our point of view. I'm not asking you to necessarily agree to that, but that's the way it looks to, I think, the vast majority of voters.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Councilman Vallone, Jr.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE JR.: Thank you. First of all, Mr. Emory, I respect your work greatly. I'm well aware of it, but I happen to know Peter Vallone, and he will be the first person here in the morning tomorrow testifying in favor of this term limits extension, so I guess that means he's lost all of his ethics and his principles as well as Ed Koch, Meredith Como and the rest. Simply not true, and there's no place for those sort of allegations from any of you, from anyone in this room, and Mr. Stern, again respect greatly, ready your blog, but you got to get out more. I've been out in my district and

doing despite Mr. Kramer's threats whom none of

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the Democrats you mentioned have ever heard of you, so it's interesting Democratic consultant job you're in, but despite the threats, I'm still considering loading that way. So the referendum, Mr. Covner said to that now during this financial crisis to throw the elections system in the 2009 into disarray, to have no one sitting up here know what they're running for throughout this whole process to divert the attention of the people during this financial crisis. Why is it that the best way, and I'm seriously considering it still despite that because the will of the people, but why is that better than elected officials doing the job that they've been elected to do? Stern.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Push the button please.

HENRY STERN: I think—Peter I thank you for calling me, but I think if the - - club voted 48 to 2 as I'm certain it did, they were also perhaps subject to the influence of people who may have high regard over the generations who spoke to them. A find club, one of the few clubs still with an Indian name, Native American name I

1989 when Fritz Schwartz put through the charter.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

correct name of the club I went to the night North Queens Home Owners Civic Associations, so feel free to give them a call, and I've took pains to try to present both sides because I really wanted to know what they felt like.

HENRY STERN: You said that was 30:20, you said; right?

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: That was the 30:20.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: All right. I think North East Queens got enough attention for this day. The next question Councilman Garodnick?

$C Y D C D M T C K \cdot$	Thank
	COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK:

RICHARD EMORY: Yes, I don't think there's much doubt with the kind of participation and high profile that such a referendum would

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

engender in the city that there would be very little voting rights act questions that could be raised because the participation would be so The hard question I think in this process, great. but I think there is an answer to it is the one that Peter Vallone raised as to-and Victor Covner raised is the question of dislocation in the When important elections have to be process. prepared for, and I grant you that is a problem, but there's a balancing test here. It's not only about the elections and who gets elected and the capable people get elected in 2009. It's about the cynicism that will be engendered and in the voters if this process doesn't take place through referendum and it takes place here in the next week or two. And I think you have to balance those things because as Dan said appearances really do matter. People really care about not thinking that they're elected representatives are completely cynical and self interested. In terms of the process, you'll remember 60 days there were chart revisions that did work within 60 days. You can do this quickly, but I would suggest that the right way to do this would not be to do it too

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

quickly. If you voted out a charter revision commission in this Council in the next two weeks and you have 60-day minimum, you'd still have—you could easily by February have a full and fair process, an open process have a voting rights act pre-clearanced by March and there's plenty of time. I mean elections in Europe are running 30 days, 60 days. We don't need year long elections, but I do think we need six months. And there's plenty of time to have six months between the final position, so the voters know what positionwho the candidates and the election. So I think it's completely doable. It's not something you can do lackadaisically, but it is doable if this Council gets behind a referendum process.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you.

Council Member Garson.

COUNCIL MEMBER GERSON: Thank you,
Mr. Chair. I've heard that Dan Canter talk about
the principles of democracy and Henry Stern remind
us of the supremacy of the people and other
witnesses along those lines, and I think that's
important. I thank you and applaud you for
reminding us about basic principles, so want to

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

able to vote for the incumbents whether they're a majority minority, that's what elections are for We don't know, but we know there's a significant number who want that option that opportunity. from the principles of basic democracy, isn't it more democratic rather than limit a choice whish many people want on the basis of an elect twelve years ago when the city was very different. rather than getting into the issues of a low turn our, high turn out, \$20 million less than Justice Department preclearance, issues of a special elections, shouldn't we just let the people have maximum democracy and let the incumbents run and the people decide from a standpoint of basic principles?

HENRY STERN: I believe the maximum democracy depends on following the previous decisions of the people. I don't think the city is that different as regards to this issue between 1996 and 2008, no great turn around. I think that it's—if you want people to respect you as elected officials, you should respect them when they make their decisions. Now, sure it should have been done earlier this year, and the mayor didn't do it

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Council Member Auto.

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER GERSON: Mr. Chair,

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 274
2	one of the other witnesses wanted to respond.
3	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: I'm sorry.
4	RICHARD EMORY: Mr. Chair, may I
5	make a quick point.
6	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Yes.
7	RICHARD EMORY: I think Councilman
8	Garson raised a very important point. There's
9	been a theme throughout the entire discussion
LO	today that does not distinguish between what we
11	might call normal legislation and special action.
12	Referenda on basic structural and process issues.
13	Like the one we're facing, I think are different
L4	from-have a different rank than what we might call
15	normal substantive legislation. I think Mayor
L6	Koch raised that issue, but I think we need to
L7	perhaps separate a special case like this.
18	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Okay.
19	RICHARD EMORY: A referendum case
20	from the normal shall we say mill of substantive
21	legislation.
22	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you.
23	Yes.
24	ED WALLIS: Can I just-Mayor Koch I
2.5	thought made a very good point that the ways of

changing the charter are equal, none superior to
the other and I think for Mr. Emory to suggest
that a special election in the middle of the
winter time would somehow do better than Mr.

Lauder's highly touted one where only 30% of the
people who went it into the booth pulled a lever
either way to suggest that there's some virtue or
superior good in that or that's it more democratic
I think it magical thinking.

RICHARD EMORY: Let me just quickly respond to that and say that the virtue of it whatever the participation which I highly expect would be quite high given the issue is that it wouldn't be you voting for your own pocketbook and your own pension and your own job. And that—don't minimize—don't minimize for a second the cynicism that this will create. It will erode this Council's well-being and its future. It will corrode everything that you've worked so hard for, for the last 20 years.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you.

The next question is Council Member Auto. I'd

like to ask the sergeant at arms and the police to

ask anyone who's standing in the back to either

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 have a seat, go into the room next door, or leave.

3 The witnesses are not able to testify

4 appropriately 'cause there's too many

5 conversations going on. Either have a seat. We

6 have some seats up on the balcony. We have a room

7 | that's almost all empty right near by or go out to

8 | the balcony. It's not-it's just not nice. I

9 can't say it any other way. Council Member Auto.

It's not consistent. Okay.

COUNCIL MEMBER ODDO: Mr. Chairman, I ask that you direct the sergeant at arms to by 75 pizzas for the good people of New York and to send the bill to Ron Lauder, so we can continue this tonight. That's one. Two is the northeast Queens Democratic Club seems to be the Joe the plumber of this. It's got entirely too many shout outs. I have question for my good friend Ed Mr. Wallis, you echoed a sentiment that Wallis. we have heard time and again at that table that a special election/referendum is flawed because of the limited turn out, and you very eloquently and passionately said the pure democratic process. The better democratic process is to leave it to the 51 Council Members. I find that just a little

25 ED WALLIS: First I have to tell

24

election process. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you there's a couple asterisks next to my name 'cause I got here by virtue of a county committee procedure, and I left here by virtue of the Supreme Court of the United States decision, so what I'm saying is of course who special elections have the validity that they have which is the only means available to fill the seat and I believe each of you who you cited has stood for a general election and succeeded in that. And frankly, I think it makes my argument that you want to process where experience where exposure to the voters where continuity can exist and where as you pointed out a democrat for 3500 votes he could take the seat away. That's not a good open process. It's the best available at the time. The best available at the time right now is for every member of this body to take the risk to take the risk that their electorate will throw them out for doing the right thing. I don't think they will by the way, but that is courage to me, to throw it over and say there's some virtue in these Ron Lauder supported or whether it was Mayor Bloomberg supported, public referendum. I'll make another confession to you, when I was sat on this

body and I believed that going to the polls on every single voting day. Primaries were in my district. There wasn't much contest, but whatever was on the ballot I went until I decided I will not go to a school board election because I cannot inform myself well enough in the month of May as to who these candidates are, so the idea that direct democracy that I'm supposed to know every single thing there is and read every proposition ballot is a falsehood in my mind. You're elected to do this job and to throw it over and say a referendum more virtuous the facts don't bare it out.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Council Member Brewer.

very much. I have two quick questions. One is earlier Lew Fidler made I thought a good point which was that if you vote for the proposition that speaker's pushing—if you don't vote for that and you—and it passes and you run, then that is a little bit having your cake and eat it too, and I'm just wondering if you think that's any kind of a conscious issue—conscientious issue that we

2.0

should be thinking about. That's for Mr. Canter and Mr. Emory. And the other question I have is I guess for either Ed Wallis or Richard Emory is there—I don't understand. I've asked everyone picking up on what Dan Garodnick asked is how does it work with the Justice Department? Do they look at something before an election or as someone said earlier they will take up to 90 days after before the process is actually completed 'cause that would extend the time period tremendously.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Can we answer the first question even though there's only one question.

RICHARD EMORY: Obviously if a person votes against this and then runs for election because it passed the appearance is for that individual are far better than if he or she voted for it and runs for election. I mean it is certainly a way to hold your head a lot higher when you run for election under those circumstances it seems to me. The—of course, there are all kinds of—the cynicism among the people who look at this body and read the newspapers and are out there is so deep and so

profound that many people will believe that some
people will vote against it just to be able to do
that knowing that it will pass. And so don't
think you can hide behind a no vote in the end
because people will believe that you engineered
that. And that's just the way the public is these
days because we're so disappointed with our
leadership. The thing that's so nasty about this
or so sad actually—nasty is the wrong word—it's so
sad about this is that over the past eight years
in particular the mayor has done an excellent job
of elevating the notion of nonpartisan principled
government and so has this body. It's been a very
good partnership to achieve a kind of
creditability in the public that has not existed
in New York certainly in my lifetime and probably
not even in Henry's. So that's what I worry
about. That we're going back to the pre-to the
period before that, and I talked to Peter Vallone
many, many, many times about changing term limits
and getting rid of term limits because it's the
right thing to do to get rid of term limits, but
the way you do it is critical.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Mr. Canter, I

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 282

ruling. They sometimes do it much quicker and I
tend to think that this would be-there would be
very few arguments that would carry any water on
this. Either as a referendum or quite frankly as
legislation that you pass, and they do it quickly.
Now, sometimes rarely they do it before once it's
a law, but if there were referendum they do it
before the referendum. Generally speaking they
wait until the law goes into effect by virtue of
the action of the referendum 'cause they can avoid
doing the work if the referendum doesn't pass.
So-but I can be done very quickly and the
corporation counsel's office has an extremely
sophisticated operation of putting these
applications before the Justice Department. It
can be done in a matter of a day after the
referendum passed and it can be answered with in
30 to 60 days easily.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: We've been joined by Council Member Gentile. Council Member de Blasio next question. Sorry Gale.

COUNCIL MEMBER DE BLASIO: Same vein though 'cause I really want to nail that question too and I think it's been a little hard

the action itself and there's a referendum say and

last ten years or twelve years have increased

minority representation and whether there's a

point of diminishing returns because we have 25

out of the 51 in the Council now as I understand

it. And when you get to the level of virtually

21

22

23

24

COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN:

Thank you,

Mr. Chairman, so my question is for Mr. Canter and Mr. Emory. My questions today have been about the process as Mr. Canter indicated when he spoke and so my question is this because if we're talking about the process and the possibility of having a referendum a couple of my colleagues who are colleagues of color have said that they concerned about that as a process because typically in special elections minority turn out is much lower, and that would potentially disenfranchise those communities if it's done in that manner. What would your response be to that?

DAN CANTER: My would be that this issue has gotten so much notice and will continue to get notice that that seems unwarranted, that fear. I have a feeling there's going to be a huge amount relatively speaking for special election a huge amount of interest in this. So it seems unlikely to me that that will manifest itself. Plus we'll door knock a lot.

RICHARD EMORY: And I would agree completely with that and add only one thing and that is that the Justice Department might not preclear it if that did actually happen, but the

likelihood of that it seems to me that processes which led up to the election were fair and even handed throughout the communities of the city that the chances of that being a factor in preclearance are very small.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Excuse me.

There is no point of order. The only point of order is having an orderly hearing. I'd ask you to please sit down, and we will be delighted to hear you testify hopefully before Sunday. Okay. Have a seat. Council Member Seabrook. Council Member Seabrook passes. Council Member Martha Viverito.

Emory, thank you very much. Just for one last question do you believe then with regards to at least following up with what my Council Member or colleague Council Member de Blasio mentioned that including the Department of Justice ruling if we were to engage in a process of a referendum that that could be done and completed well in advance of the petitioning for next years elections?

RICHARD EMORY: Well, in advance.

At least I think it could easily be done two

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 289
2	months in advance to the petition.
3	COUNCIL MEMBER VIVERITO: Thank you
4	very much.
5	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: I want to think
6	this panel and—I'm sorry. I'm sorry. Council
7	Member Fidler.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: I'm not easy
9	to miss.
10	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: No, I'm just
11	annoyed because somebody identified you as Chair
12	of the committee.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: Well, first
14	I want to-I stepped out for a moment and I missed
15	some of your opening statements. I meant no
16	disrespect and I apologize, but I'd like to just
17	continue along the lines of the last question with
18	Mr. Emory with whom I respectfully differ, but I
19	hold in high regard. I think I understood Mr.
20	Cardozo's testimony factually differently than you
21	appear to be representing and I'm really asking
22	whether or not I understood him correctly or not.
23	Is it in fact that once the charter revision
24	commission certifies it's question for the voters,
25	that there must be at least 60 days from that time

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

before the referendum can be held?

RICHARD EMORY: I don't know the answer to that. I don't know the answer to that. We can certainly—I can get back to you about that point, but I know there have been charter revision commissions that have come up I believe well within 60 days of a general election before they certified the questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: But if T understood the corporation counsel correctly and I think I did, that might effect some of your answers about the timing that you have just laid out so certainly because let's assume that we passed the charter revision commission authorization at the next stated meeting of the Council, gave it an opportunity to form, hopefully do it all what all of you have said should happen, which is a proper deliberation with multiple hearings and multiple boroughs and a full consideration of all the possible permutations of this issue and then have to wait 60 days for it to be put before the voters and then and you do know the Justice Department can take up to 90 days to clear. The Obama administration will be in the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

middle of forming a new Justice Department at that time, so I mean it really might not necessitate some of that time. I mean might that not bring us a little close to June and then raise the issues that Mr. Covner so eloquently articulated.

RICHARD EMORY: It very well may, but it wouldn't have to if this Council acted efficiently and with that the time with the possibilities to the time slippage that you've identified because it seems to me tat if you passed it this week. You can do the hearings one week at a time per borough very quickly and you can-we're talking about in all likelihood yes. It's true there an obligation to look at the whole charter, but everyone knows what this charter revision commission is going to be about and you could actually have a certified question before the end of the year, and then you would have a schedule which would easily get you well before June.

COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: But even with that math though that would still take us to May.

25 CHAIRPERSON FELDER: I think—Council

2 Member, I want to thank this panel very, very 3 informative. The next panel I'd ask you to please 4 just sit down or go out whatever you intend to do. Next panel if Jeffery Canada. I think George 5 McDonald. Kelly Conlan. Susan Freedman. 6 anyone here? Oh wonderful, excellent. Excellent. 7 8 All right. In addition to that Victor Rosario. Is Victor Rosario here? Please raise your hand 9 10 that would be helpful. He's not here? George Espinol. Alice F. Lebree. Alice F. Lebree, are 11 12 you here? Please raise your hand. Alice F. Lebree, are you here? Justin Ew, I think. Justin 13 14 Ew, are you-is there a Justin, I think, it's Ew? 15 Justin Ew. How many seats do we have left? One? 16 Cheryl D. Robertson. Cheryl D. Robertson is here. 17 Please come to the front. Deloris Lozuponi. you please come up? Okay. We're ready to start 18 19 from the right, sir. From my right, the young man 20 who's sitting right next to-you go ahead. Just 21 please again I want to remind you we have a lot of 22 people who've signed up to speak and we are 23 delighted, but we want to make sure that they remain as you see that as a result of a taking so 24 25 long people are leaving, which is unfortunate, so

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

if you can please make sure to limit your comments to two minutes. If you having nothing new to say just say whatever you want to say instead of repeating was said. Thank you. Go ahead.

GEORGE ESPINOL: Good evening.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I'm a community board twelve member in Manhattan. My name is George Espinol. I'm sorry. I'm a community board twelve member. I'm also the president of the 34th precinct community council and I'm also the chairman of a small grassroots organization in Northern Manhattan, and I'm also a college student. I'm 21 years old at John J. College of Criminal Justice getting my masters in Public administration. As you all know next year 60% of the City Council will be phased out, and we have to realize is that a lot of the Council Members are veterans. They've been here for awhile. They've been through the rough times. They've been through the easy times, and next years 60% of them will be leaving. Mayor Bloomberg came out and said well you know what? We should get term limits and I myself I'm for the extension of the term limits. Let me tell you why-this is the

reasons why. Because next year we will have
rookies sitting in these seats telling us what to
do, passing laws that they do not have prior
experience of; however, the City Council members
before you do have the experience, have fought in
their districts. Are on a continuously fighting
for the rights of all citizens on New York City,
so that's why I am for the term limit extension
for the City Council and for the mayor. I mean
when I cam here before I was like maybe the City
Council should go next year. Maybe it's time for
them to go. It's like a mother, you can't tell
the mother. You can only be mother eight years
with your child and then let your child go. It's
impossible. You need to nurture and care of your
mother. The City Council's the city's mother. We
need them there to make sure that they take us out
of this financial crisis and also to make sure
that we extend their term limits for 12 years.
And we need to be respectful-

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: One minute, one minute, one minute please.

GEORGE ESPINOL: We need to be respectful—

ask again and anyone that's standing please have a seat, either here, upstairs, next room or please go outside—don't worry I'll give you the few second. Allow the witnesses to testify. It's very, very, very disturbing when witnesses are distracted by the noise. That includes you, sir, who are standing bending down talking to somebody in the middle of the room. So I'm going to ask you to please either sit down or leave. We'll wait. Go ahead.

was going to say, but I know many of you may disagree with me. Many of you would agree with me; however, looking at this in a different perspective, a different limelight, we have to be a little pragmatic here. We have a crisis on our hands. We have a future a head of us. We have to think about the future. Because in eight years, we'll run in to the same problem. Those City Councils members will want extensions of term limits. Let's find a resolution tonight or tomorrow and let's get rid of this because we have real serious issues education, housing, and we all

limits. I was one of those people that voted, made
my voice hard. I listened carefully when it was
said that two four-year terms are enough. That
two four-year terms aren't not enough. I listened
when the Council Members said that the voice of
only his constituents should be listened to, not
the voices of all New Yorkers. I also listened
carefully when each panel member had the
opportunity to express their opinions on term
limits, and now what I want and I ask humbly is
that you listen to my opinion that my one single
vote that my one single voice be heard. I resent
that my voice is not being allowed to be heard. I
ask that members of this City Council listen to my
voice and the voice of many New Yorkers by
allowing us to express our opinion in some form
where we can let you know what we feel. Thank
you.

20 CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you.

21 Next witness please.

GEORGE MCDONALD: My name is George McDonald. I'm the founder and president of the Doe Fund. I've live in and worked in New York City for over 40 years and it's never been a

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

better place to live than it is right now. safer and cleaner than ever, and as a voter I want the ability to choose the leaders who I think will keep it that way. We're facing a very difficult financial future and the folks that we help at the very bottom of the economic ladder need your leadership more than ever. I quarantee you that there will be more homeless folks on our streets, more folks coming home from prison without jobs and homes, and there's a reasons why Mayor Bloomberg is at 70% popularity because he acts in the best interest of the people of the City of New York. And so should you. You should extend this term limits and I was against term limits obviously in the last century when we voted on it a third of the people of the city voted. I think that the true test of a democracy is that you can go out and vote for the person that you think is best to fill the job. If I were going to look at the Doe Fund and say that this great organization that we've built has to loose all of it's top management, all of it's borough wide-all of the city wide elected officials, all of the borough presidents and two-thirds of the City Council, you

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

would think that that would be the most foolish thing in the world to do. And I suggest to you in the horrible time in our sitting in our country's history, you would not be acting in the best interest of the people to not give them the choice of whoever they wanted to vote for. Thank you very much.

9 CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you.

10 Next witness please.

JEFF CANADA: Good evening, my name is Jeff Canada. I'm the president of the Harlem Children's Zone, and I want to thank you, Mr. Chairman and the Council for giving me a moment to say a few words about term limits. I have some prepared testimony which I'm going to not read, I'm just going to make three I think guick points. One I'm in favor of term limits. I don't care whether there's two terms or three terms. I don't think that's necessarily relevant. I think it's a good idea too if we had a really lousy City Council and a really lousy mayor, I would be very much in favor of term limits right now. I happen to think we have a terrific group of City legislators and the only reason I think that terms

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

limits needs to be extended is I think this city is going to face the worst economic crisis since I have been here running this organization the last 25 years. For the first time 15 years of my time at the Harlem Children's Zone, I was here in this Council fighting for poor children and families, unsuccessfully. No one really gave a hoot about what was happening in the poor sections of the city. We had a dysfunctional system where no one was held accountable and in this next - - section. I think it is the poor who are going to be absolutely devastated. We have for the last six, seven years, we have had a City Council and a mayor that have been able to work in a way that I have not had the come down here yelling and screaming like a crazy man trying to save poor people here in New York City. If we did not have what I think is a really horrid recession about to hit this city, I would be-I know some of you who are my friends don't think so. I would be saying Term limits are good, goodbye and good riddens. This is not the time in this city's current state for us to go back tow hat I am afraid that is going to cost the lives of poor

dependent on two factors. First we need our leaders in government to recognize the many benefits New Yorkers derive and New York derives from its place as an international cultural capital. Second, we need out leaders in government to protect and maintain the public's faces that serve as our exhibition sites. believe that Mayor Bloomberg and you the City Council have been remarkable stewards of New

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

York's cultural life and you're leadership has paid dividends for our city. The New York City water falls by - - , which closed earlier this week after an amazing run was both a critical success and an international cultural destination. The thousands of visitors who came to New York to experience this wonderful installation brought an economic boom to our city. Initial estimates had a positive impact at 35-excuse me a \$55 million and we're finalizing the numbers we believe they exceed that. As we face an increasingly difficult economic climate, we needs leaders who understand the positive impact and the positive benefits that's a commitment to culture that bring in this city, but a project like the water falls would not even been possible if for example the water fall advantage points from which observers observed he work were unsafe, unclean or inaccessible. Art in public space does not require a commitment to art alone. There must be an equal dedication to the upkeep and the improvement of our shared environment. Again in trying economic times we need to be certain that we have leaders with a demonstrated ability to maintain and protect the

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

attack in Washington, we are fortunate that this has been such a successful period for reproductive rights and health for woman here in New York City. Because of the mayor and this speaker and this City Council in the last eight years we have accomplished extraordinary things together. have witnessed dramatic increases in the availability of emergency contraception and condom distribution. Because of this mayor and this speaker, we have ensured the training of medical residents and abortion care for future generations to come and just last spring Mayor Bloomberg and Speaker Quinn helped convene an urban initiative for reproductive health. A summit that brought together mayors, health commissioners, and advocates from 36 cities to support a reproductive health agenda for urban centers across this nation and in just a few short weeks I believe Speaker Quinn and this City Council will do something that we haven't been able to accomplish in decades. Pass clinic access legislation that will truly protect woman and their doctors from violence and harassment at reproductive health clinics throughout this city. Where others in this nation

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

have followed Mayor Bloomberg, Speaker Quinn and this City Council have led. The reproductive rights community remembers what it was like when we had a mayor disinterested in a woman's right to choose, and an anti-choice City Council legislation stalled, reproductive health care suffered and the woman of New York City paid the price. After witnessing the incredible vision and successes of many in this room today, we simply cannot risk a role of the dice and a possible return to leadership that is anything less than passionate and a less committed or less capable to get things done than those elected officials who serve the people of this city at this very moment. I'm finishing up. I thank the City Council for its vision these last eight years to improve the reproductive health on behalf of the woman of New While - - pro choice New York takes no official position on the subject of term limits. We would be honored to continue working with Mayor Bloomberg, Speaker Christine Quinn and the members of this City Council should the this measure prevail and the people decide to reelect them to office. Thank you.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHERYL ROBERTSON: My name is Cheryl Robertson, and I'm the executive director or South Brooklyn Youth Consortium, and a long time member of the Coney Island community. Prior to the election of Mayor Bloomberg and Councilman Domenic Recchia Jr. The Coney Island community suffered from a lack of clear vision, strategic planning, political participation and effective leadership. Under their leadership we have seen substantive improvement in the quality of life in the Coney Island community especially an improvements in local schools and economics development. It is very important to us that the Coney Island Development Corporation be able to continue it's work as we have been able to create some diversified economic drive is now in the Coney Island communities. New businesses are now taking a chance and building Coney Island as a community and neighborhood and our investing in our community such as Rite Aide, Fine Fair. We are developing a new YMCA, the Bank of New York and Citibank. Under these dire economic times, we need leadership that we can depend on. We need leadership that will make sure that the Coney

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Island community continues to thrive. That we're able to build a multipurpose center in our community, that we build up the face and community based organizations in our community to make sure that the continue to be fiscally viable and are able to leverage our resources. It is very important to us during these dire economic times that we not turn back the clock or leave the future in the hands of unstable or new leadership. Everyone is quite clear that with the start of any new administration most projects resume a ground zero status and for the people in Coney Island and most of whom are children and senior citizens this would have dire consequences, so we support the expansion of term limits and hope that you will feel the need that in these dire economic times to support that children and seniors of Coney Island who need your help the most.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Council Member Dickens has a question.

COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: Thank you,
Mr. Chair, and thank you to the panel for coming
down to testify. Mr. Canada, Thank you for coming
down and I am very much aware of your program and

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I don't know if my colleagues are, but the Harlem Children's Zone has been a phenomenal program for working families, poor families, and our youth. You have acted as anti-gang. You have taught us about nutrition and diabetes to try and prevent out young people because black children are suffering with adult type II diabetes. So I just wanted thank you. I have a question for you. ΙF the Council votes not to extend term limits and I can ask you this because other than by the vote of the people in my district, I'm not term limited out in 2009, having 80% new Council Members and City wide elected how do you perceive that this would impact on poor people, the poor people that you serve now, the youth that your programs have served and I want to let the Council Member know that your programs takes care of them from the first grade up through college. You follow them and the working families of West Harlem, Harlem, and East Harlem that you serve and a second part of that is to prevent this from happening again, do you envision and how could that be implemented a plan of staggering limits?

JEFF CANADA: Thank you. Thank you

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

very much for your kind words, Council Member. Ι know you are aware of our work, and I want to applaud the work that you've done in support of our work. I think the problem that I'm facing is that we've got the best Council that I have ever worked with in New York City at the best time economically the city has ever been in. So many of the toughest kinds of decisions that I think our city has to face, this Council has really not had to grapple with. I am absolutely convinced that when the economic crisis hits this city, there are not going to be the kind of strong leaders standing up in particular the poor children, the children of color in New York City are protecting their lives and it will mean huge cutbacks in these areas. There will be I'm sure a sense that we can't protect everybody, so some communities are going to be places that will have to go down. I think that we've got a group right now who will make sure that whatever happens happens fairly. That it would—the burden won't fall disproportionately on the floor, so I think that that is something I am very concerned about and I guarantee you if we get a brand new Council

2.0

in and a huge turnover and a brand new Mayor, we
will spend all of our time fighting over these
issues of equity for poor people. So that's the
first thing. To your second question about
staggering terms, I'm not going to pretend that I
am well versed in sort of the parliamentary
procedures about how this might happen. I am just
very concerned that we're not going to keep the
best and the brightest when we really need them in
New York City, which I think is going to be in the
next 12 to 18 months.

COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Thank you so much, Mr.—

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Council member
James?

COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: I respect this panel. But I recognize that we can continue to have this city council in office as long as we invite the public to this discussion and empower the people to have a vote in the City of New York and by furthering democracy. And I also recognize that the charity of the Mayor has been basically unmatched in the City of New York. I also recognize that none of the candidates seeking to

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 311
2	run for Mayor are in a position to fill that void.
3	I also recognize that a number of
4	organization and not-for-profits have become
5	dependent-
6	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: [Interposing]
7	Question?
8	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: —and are
9	accustomed to that largess. But no one person in
10	New York City is indispensable.
11	And in regards to the Mayor of the
12	City of New York dealing with low-income people
13	and people who are suffering under the weight of
14	poverty and as someone who has continuously spoken
15	about low-income people and poverty and its impact
16	particularly on communities of color in the City
17	of New York, I can tell you that the Mayor of the
18	City of New York has put up obstacles for people
19	to get food stamps.
20	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Council
21	member, do you have a question?
22	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: The Mayor of
23	the City of New York has opposed residents of
24	NYCHA. The Mayor of the City of New York has not
25	allowed—

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 312
2	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: [Interposing]
3	Okay.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: —individuals
5	to gain college while they're working as opposed
6	to-
7	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: [Interposing]
8	Council member, do you have a question?
9	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: -individuals
10	on public assistance. [Applause]. The Mayor of
11	the City of New York vetoed a bill which would
12	allow individuals who would not discriminate those
13	who had Section 8 vouchers. And so to say that
14	the Mayor of the City of New York is standing up
15	for low-income people and people of color all
16	across the City of New York, particularly dull
17	[phonetic] people, who cannot find a place to live
18	in the City of New York and who increasingly are
19	being displaced in the City of New York is
20	offensive.
21	[Applause].
22	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: No, no.
23	Excuse me. Excuse me. No, no, no, no. We have
24	wonderful people in this room. And we are going
25	to go to the next panel I thank you were much

22

23

24

25

Okay. Please either-excuse me-if 2 3 you are just on the panel, either have a seat or 4 please leave the room so we can make more room for some others. As I call your name, please come up-5 Agnes Rivera, Bo Samajopoulos, Bo Samajopoulos. 6 7 I'm sorry. Pamela Bennett [phonetic], Pamela 8 Bennett, are you here? Lucy Cotine [phonetic], Reverend Miguel Rivera, and Reverend Andy Torres. 9 10 Let me just go through these names again-Lucy 11 Cotine. Is she here? Lucy Cotine? 12 Pamela Bennett. Bo Samajopoulos is here. Agnus Rivera is here with Junior. Wonderful. We have 13 room for two more. I just called them. They're 14 15 both sitting there. Lynne Serpe, Reverend Royal. 16 We'll wait for you. What's your name? Okay. 17 Well, I think we have Reverend Sperling. Okay. 18 Okay. 19 If everyone can have a seat and, 20 again, those that - [off mic] -lady that was

If everyone can have a seat and, again, those that— [off mic]—lady that was upstairs? Okay. Good. We're waiting for you. If you can have a seat all the way to the right. Would you mind sitting all the way to the right, please? That's it. Okay. We'll start. Young lady, you want to go last? Okay. We'll start

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 315
2	BOB FRIEDRICH: He's handing out my
3	testimony.
4	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: That's fine.
5	We'll be here a long time. We'll have a chance to
6	read it. Do you have another witness? Have a
7	seat. Go ahead.
8	REVEREND ROBERT ROYAL: Good
9	evening. My name is the Reverend Robert Royal
10	from the St. Paul Baptist Church in Central
11	Harlem. First and foremost, I wanted to take the
12	opportunity to thank this body for granting me the
13	privilege to come before you. Having lived in
14	this city over 80 years, it certainly is a
15	pleasure to be able to see the distinguished body.
16	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: I'm going to
17	ask you to please—I'm sorry, Reverend—just pull
18	the mic closer to you so that we can—
19	REVEREND ROBERT ROYAL: I'm sorry.
20	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you.
21	REVEREND ROBERT ROYAL: Shall I
22	repeat? Shall I repeat? Again, as I said, I
23	wanted to thank this body for the privilege of
24	coming before you this evening. Having lived in
25	this city 80 years, I think I understand some of

the issues that go on. But I ask you to take a good look at me not in terms of age, but the years that I have lived in this city and experienced some of the things that I have seen—social, economic, welfare problems.

And then when you look around in the country as a whole and we look at term limits, I often think about one of the great political leaders of all times, who comes out of the State of California, Willie Brown, one of the most effective, influential legislators we ever had. And because of term limits, he was forced out. That's one example.

And then the Mayor of this city,
Mayor Bloomberg and the members of this council,
even those who may be anti or pro, doesn't matter
to me. The fact is you've done a magnificent job
tackling the issues that have confronted this
body; that is, the City Council. So you are to be
complemented for the work that you have done.

And then finally, let me just say to all of you—I just hope in earnest prayer that the term limits will be approved by this body.

Thank you.

2 CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you.

3 Next witness, please.

4 BO SAMAJOPOULOS: Hello. Во 5 Samajopoulos. While Mr. Bloomberg has gone from number 35 to number 10 on the list of wealthiest 6 people in the world, what has he done for the rest 7 8 of us? Out of control overdevelopment; displacement and less housing for the poor and 9 10 middle class, while subsidizing more luxury 11 condoms [phonetic] for the wealthy; higher taxes 12 for every small homeowner and working class; lower taxes and more tax breaks for the big corporations 13 and developers; more enforcement of minor 14 15 infractions-parking, sanitation, you name it, most of them aimed at the little people of the city-16 17 while ignoring major violations for big 18 corporations and developers; increased tolls; transportation costs; increased construction 19 20 deaths; less pay and benefits for construction 21 workers; billions of dollars of negligent suits 22 for preventable accidents against the city, which 23 the Mayor has chosen to fight tooth and nail at 24 huge taxpayer expense, even though they are 25 legitimate and should be settled out of court;

hundreds of millions of tax dollars spent to deny legitimate and already federally funded claims for 9/11 first responders; the continued curbing of our civil liberties to gather in public, ride our bikes, use public streets and buildings, even our rights to know what we are breathing; increased abuse of imminent domain and tax dollars to enrich the select few.

The truth is he has balanced the budget of this on the backs of every working-class and poor resident of this city. His financial expertise, just like the CEO of Lehman Brothers, is based on the juggling of finances and statistics to get his desired results. While increasing real estate taxes on every small homeowner, our Mayor has pushed through legislation to allow for further tax breaks for the wealthy. He has forsaken the steady flow of income from all the people who live and work in the city for huge profits for the wealthy.

Mr. Bloomberg wants us to believe that he is the best-qualified to fix the Ponzi scheme that he has cultivated and is one of the main beneficiaries of.

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 320
2	BO SAMAJOPOULOS: I'm almost there.
3	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Yeah, sure.
4	BO SAMAJOPOULOS: —by the greed and
5	selfishness of the select few, a select few have
6	gotten together to tell us that it's the end of
7	the world. We need to change our lives and the
8	way that our government works by sacrificing more
9	and relying on a billionaire to do it for us.
10	To them, the financial collapse of
11	the Ponzi scheme that they created is more
12	devastating-
13	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: [Interposing]
14	I think I'm going to have to ask you to stop.
15	BO SAMAJOPOULOS: One more—
16	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: [Interposing]
17	No, this sounds like a scheme in and of itself.
18	I'm going to have to stop you now.
19	BO SAMAJOPOULOS: We faced an
20	apocalypse-
21	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: [Interposing]
22	Excuse me. I'm going to ask you to stop nicely.
23	BO SAMAJOPOULOS: Mr. Bloomberg is
24	not our savior.
25	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Okay. Next

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 321
2	witness, please.
3	[Applause].
4	BO SAMAJOPOULOS: I listened to the
5	Corporation Council for an hour. And I didn't
6	hear them say anything.
7	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Okay.
8	BO SAMAJOPOULOS: With all due
9	respect.
10	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Okay. Next.
11	BO SAMAJOPOULOS: Corporation spoke
12	for an hour. And he didn't say anything.
13	REVEREND ANDY TORRES: Reverend
14	Doctor Andy Torres. I'm the President
15	organization and a pastor, Iglesia Hispana de la
16	Comunidad. I'm going to say hello to Councilman
17	Monserrate, Hiram Monserrate. I'm here tonight to
18	explain But I'm going to say it in Spanish.
19	Okay? Okay.
20	[Spanish audio].
21	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you.
22	REVEREND ANDY TORRES: You're
23	welcome.
24	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Next witness,
25	please?

REVEREND MIGUEL RIVERA: Yes, good evening or good night. My name is Reverend Miguel Rivera. I am the President of the National Coalition of Latino Clergy and Christian Leaders based out of Washington, D.C. Our organization is the largest Latino evangelical pastors' advocacy organization in the country, representing over 16,000 churches with chapters in 34 states.

I have been an associate member of the clergy for the Spanish Evangelical Church for 22 years here at the City of New York, Second Avenue between the 3rd and 4th. So that means that I can understand in some way. And I bring greetings from our pastor Reverend Doctor Enrique Ruiz and our associate pastor, my son, Reverend Michael Rivera.

Mayor Mike Bloomberg is asking the City Council to give him an opportunity to lead the City of New York through tough times ahead. He has proposed a bill to the City Council that would extend the term limits from two terms to three terms. The bill will permanently extend term limits for the Mayor, Controller, Public Advocate, Board of Presidents, and City Council.

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 323
2	Under the proposed legislation, all city elected
3	officials would be able to serve three consecutive
4	four-year terms.
5	Legal complications prevent a voter
6	referendum from being conducted quickly and
7	effectively at this time. But the Mayor has said
8	very clearly that he will appoint a Charter
9	Revision Commission in 2010 to assess the effects
LO	of the new law. The City Council bill is not an
11	endorsement of any single candidate. It will
12	simply give voters more choices, including the
13	option of keeping Mayor Bloomberg, the City
L4	Council, and other city elected officials in
L5	office.
L6	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Reverend, with
L7	all due respect, I just am trying to be
L8	consistent, if you can please-
L9	REVEREND MIGUEL RIVERA: That's
20	fine.
21	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: If you have
22	some concluding-
23	REVEREND MIGUEL RIVERA: Basically-
24	appreciate it.
25	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you very

2 much.

REVEREND MIGUEL RIVERA: Basically,

I would like to finish saying that even though I

can understand, members of the clergy of the City

of New York understand the serious situation that

this bill or this initiative presents to the City

Council. But at this time, we need a strong

leadership. And we pray that every member of the

City Council of New York will follow the Lord's

will at this time. And God bless the City of New

York. Thank you.

LYNEE SERPE: Hello. My name is

Lynne Serpe. And I live in Queens. I actually

live in Northwest Queens. So Council Member

Vallone is my council member. I wanted to thank

everyone who's been here since 12:45. That's when

I got here. And that's when I put my name on the

list. I was pleased to see quite how many council

members came, considering it was a hearing of the

Government Operations Committee. But so many more

of you attended. And that was great.

I personally don't much care two terms versus three terms. I don't. So for me, this really is a process question in many ways.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I've heard process to some extent denigrated tonight. But I don't think it should be because process is the rule of law. And that is what we operate under. And the law and the rules are what the City Council are supposed to in fact represent.

It's not about can. We've heard answers on that. It's not even about should. For me, the issue it's about is the timing. I really don't understand why it's being rushed through. And whether it's a legislative resolution or it goes to the vote of the people through a special election via a Charter Revision Commission, I still think it's a rush. The rush is so that Mayor Bloomberg and 35 members of the City Council could stand for re-election next November. And I have not heard in the six-plus hours I've been here any compelling reason why those people have to be elected. Quite frankly, I've heard a lot of complements on the council. And I think many of them should be complemented. But they are here as products of term limits. Most of them would not have had the opportunity to be elected in 2001 if it wasn't for the fact that the last council

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 326

here is the term limits, right? I want the term limits. Keep them on. If you cannot make this decision, then give it to me. I do vote. And I have 12 in my house that vote now. So if you need people to be supportive on this issue, we will go out door-knocking because I'm not just here as an individual, even though I'm considered a minority and I don't know what I'm doing. Very wrong. Ask Spitzer. So do me a favor, and do not disregard people of myself being considered a minority because we are the majority. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you.

The next—I thank this panel very much. And I'm calling out the names for the next panel. Please come up—Mother Doctor Delois Blakely I believe,
Yetta Kurland, Bob Friedrich—it's your turn now—
Jim Forat [phonetic]. If you could, please, those that are being called, have a seat. And those that have spoken, please. Dan Jacoby [phonetic].
Excuse me. Richard Taylor [phonetic], Jean Rice,
Rabbi Spurlin [phonetic]—one minute. For anybody whose name I called—is anybody whose name I called—is anybody whose name I called—we will do it one more time. Jean Rice,
are you at the desk? Great. Queen Mother Doctor

2 New York for the past 25.

When I was studying for the priesthood, we studied a different kind of law called canon law, which is church law. And in canon law, one of the first principles was that the law serves the people and not the other way around. And when we come upon a situation where the law is not serving the interests of the people, then that law has to be looked at, may have to be modified, changed, or even abrogated. And again, the controlling principle is the law serves the people and not the other way around.

None of us in this room or none of us in this city, none of us in our country can say today that things are the same. Things are different today. And all bets are off. We know about the financial problems, the security problems that we've had and continue to have. We know about the problems that we have in housing, in transportation, in energy, in the environment. And all of those problems continue to evolve day after day after day.

But again, I think we are in a unique position, perhaps as never before when we

into consideration his decision by engaging the

led and directed by homeless New Yorkers.

For over the last four decades in this city, I have survived both benign neglect and plan shrinkage, while this city's ruling elite and their plush think tanks have played the blame-the-victim con game with the masses to the hilt.

Now this current Mayor comes before us asking us to set aside our term limit mandate, which the electorate of this city has already voted upon on two occasions, in order to award him a third term. I ask you here today what is the rationale of this Mayor?

This Mayor, who promised New York
City a five-year plan to diminish homelessness;
this Mayor, who spent our dollars and gave us an
exercise in futility; this Mayor, who while seeing
his plan fail refused to consider a housing the
homeless platform drafted by Picture the Homeless
and brought to the snow-covered, icy sidewalk
Gracie Mansion; this Mayor, who refused to
consider our proposal or to see any member of our
delegation at his conference table, so much for
transparency in democracy.

This Mayor desires to change the

site, do you want to announce the site?

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 33
2	giving you an opportunity to announce the site.
3	JEAN RICE: I don't know it by
4	heart.
5	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Alright.
6	Well, find out. And then we'll allow you to do
7	it.
8	JEAN RICE: My representative knows
9	it. You can get in touch with
10	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Okay. Next
11	witness, please.
12	JEAN RICE: Thank you.
13	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: I'm trying to
14	be nice. No matter what I do, it's just not
15	working out. Go ahead, next.
16	FRED LEMOINE: Good evening,
17	honorable and dishonorable council members of the
18	Governmental Operations Committee. My name is
19	Fred LeMoine. I am a Business Agent for the
20	Metallic Lathers and Reinforcing Iron Workers
21	Union of New York, Local 46. I have lived in all
22	five boroughs during my 51 years of life, the past
23	17 in the borough of Staten Island. I have never,
24	ever voted in favor of term limits. And I don't
25	intend to change that.

2.0

Let me state that due to fear of reprisals by the Mayor and by many city council members, my colleagues have asked that I make it clear that I am speaking as a citizen of this great city and not as a representative of my union or the building trades. That's the New York City Building Trades.

Let me also make it clear that when Ed Molloy stated last week in the newspapers and earlier that the New York City Building Trades were in support of the Mayor if the City Council decided to extend term limits, that was his opinion and not fact.

The Executive Board of the building trades did not hold any such vote that would give support to this effort. And furthermore, many of the affiliates have said that although they like Mayor Bloomberg, they do not agree with silencing the voice of the people.

I am here today to express my outrage over this proposed change to term limits without the vote of the people. As much as I appreciate the job that the Mayor has done, I do not support the City Council in determining this

Τ.	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 335
2	issue. I am outraged that you're even thinking to
3	do so.
4	What next? We need to let
5	President Bush run for a third term because we're
6	at war in Iraq? Right now, we have men and women
7	giving their lives in other countries for so-
8	called democracy. What democracy? A democracy
9	would not circumvent the will of the people.
10	As a union leader and a citizen, it
11	is my duty to stand up on behalf of my members as
12	well as all workers of this great city when I see
13	an injustice. As city leaders, it is the duty of
14	the City Council to stand up to injustice for all
15	New Yorkers.
16	A city leader and a union leader
17	who takes any other position than that has been in
18	office far too long already.
19	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Can you wrap
20	up, please?
21	FRED LEMOINE: Yes.
22	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you.
23	FRED LEMOINE: The argument that we
24	need to circumvent the voice of the people because
25	we need Mayor Mike Bloomberg during these troubled

Τ	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 34
2	times holds no more legitimacy than it did for
3	Mayor Giuliani. It is obvious to me that any
4	council member that does not insist on the
5	citizens of New York voting on this issue has very
6	little faith in God. In God we trust, when is the
7	last time we even heard that in this room?
8	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Okay. Next
9	witness.
10	FRED LEMOINE: Furthermore—
11	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Excuse me.
12	I'm trying to be courteous.
13	FRED LEMOINE: Can I wrap up
14	please?
15	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: No. I asked
16	you to wrap up 30 seconds ago. Next witness,
17	please.
18	YETTA KURLAND: Good evening. My
19	name is Yetta Kurland. I am a candidate for City
20	Council here in Manhattan in the Third District.
21	I'm going to read a prepared statement, which I
22	hope is put into the record. And then I can
23	answer questions later.
24	Chairperson Felder, members of City
25	Council over the vears I have worked with many

of you and consider many of you friends, allies, and dedicated public servants. Because of this, I respectfully stand before you today to say there is no reason to cut the public out of a decision on something as important to our democratic process as the decision to extend term limits.

Where there's a will, there's a way. But there has to be a will. And it has to be the people's will.

The issue here is not whether we support or oppose term limits, not whether we support or oppose the Mayor running for a third term. It's not even about what is legally allowable. It is about what is right.

I have respectfully listened to the arguments throughout the day. And I still don't understand the reasons for doing something so drastic as ignoring two referendums which make clear New Yorkers want term limits.

The Mayor has said that this is something that he merely wants to give the people of New York a choice about. But what choice are you giving when you knock out qualified and competent opponents who simply cannot compete with

keep the mice away. But if you can just have in

yourself better. That's all.

mind the clock. So this way, you may want to pace

23

24

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

YETTA KURLAND: Yes. Let me speak auickly. It is also said that because of the financial turmoil going on, it is important for Mayor Bloomberg to be a consistent force in our leadership through these trying time. Well, Mayor Bloomberg, even with term limits, is in office until January of 2010. Rather than wasting his time on an aggressive campaign, let's get him focused on whatever it is that he feels he is uniquely qualified to handle in this city. have the time to listen to the voters. And we have the obligation to listen to the voters. And I appeal to each of you.

For those of you who've come out in support of this extension, it's not too late to change your mind and join the people. And for those of you who haven't made up your mind yet, it's not too late. And I urge you to carry the voice of the people. And for those of you who have courageously come out against this, I thank you on behalf of the people. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Next witness, please. [Applause]. I just want to mention to all of you who are trying to see how many times

that you can applaud, despite my asking you not to, that right now, everyone is going to be heard. But every time you applaud and waste time, you are causing people that have signed up to wait a long time to speak. And if that's what you want to do, you know, we'll do it. We're going to be here as long as it takes. But we are now—the next panel are people that signed up before 1:00 p.m. So you know, I think you should give people a chance to speak. Next witness, please.

BOB FRIEDRICH: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman. My name is Bob Friedrich. I am a candidate in next year's democratic primary for the City Council. I'm also the President of New York's largest garden apartment coop with 10,000 individuals.

Power must be intoxicating. How else to explain city council members who are on the City Council because of term limits and who knew the rules of the game going in now seek to extend their terms an additional four years by legislative fiat? Not only is this a shameless grab for power, but it's a transparent scheme to enrich themselves to the tune of an additional

four years of salary worth \$450,000, which they are currently not entitled to. This is what I call the City Council equivalent to insider training.

and money. And it's being sold to us under the pretext that current council members are the only ones who can guide this city through tough economic times. And they need 12 years to do it. Seven years ago, our former mayor made a similar claim, saying only he could guide us through post-9/11 period. No one in business is irreplaceable. And certainly that goes for those in politics, perhaps even more so.

It is offensive to the voters of the City of New York that members of the City Council, who have benefited from term limits and entered into office knowing the rules, now seek its repeal. It's just another reason why so many voters that I meet are so absolutely cynical about elections, about politicians, and about the process.

Now if this City Council does go ahead and overturn city limits, I say to those

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 346
2	council members who are contemplating a run for a
3	third term, in 2009, your incumbency will no
4	longer be a guarantee of an easy victory.
5	Candidates like me will not go away quietly.
6	We'll continue to mount exciting, aggressive
7	campaigns with innovative ideas. And we will give
8	the voters of our districts the ability to
9	implement term limits at the ballot box. Thank
10	you very much.
11	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you.
12	You know, I just asked you. Council Member James
13	taught me how to clap without making noise. If
14	you feel you agree, please do so, whatever it is,
15	without wasting the time. I just wanted to ask
16	you, sir, which district are you in?
17	BOB FRIEDMAN: My good friend David
18	Weprin.
19	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: That's
20	wonderful. Thank you. Next witness, please?
21	RABBI CHANINA SPERLING: Thank you,
22	Chairman Felder. And I just want to acknowledge
23	my Council Member Letitia James and my good friend
24	Lew Fidler, Councilman Lew Fidler.
25	May name is Chanina Sperling. I am

a member and Executive Vice President of the Crown
Heights Jewish Community Council. I'm here today
to urge the City Council to vote to extend term
limits for Mayor Bloomberg and the city and all
the other elected officials.

Mayor Bloomberg has been a great leader for the entire Crown Heights Community. He has been both supportive and helpful to our efforts set up inter-faith and work groups under his leadership and the diverse community of Brooklyn move open lines of communication and to grow on stronger.

I just want to say that Crown

Heights has a very unique community. The

population is from Orthodox Jews to African
American to Caribbean-American to Haitian

community. Speaking on the Orthodox community, I

think I can speak for my community that asked me

to voice their opinion and my opinion that we

should extend these term limits.

Let's make other neighborhoods across the City of Crown Heights and also benefit from Mayor Bloomberg and the Council decision for public safety. Even after 9/11 and the economic

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 348
2	downturn, a follower [phonetic] of Mayor Bloomberg
3	made sure that keeping our community safe was a
4	top priority.
5	He also showed New York remain safe
6	no matter what is the course.
7	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: If you could
8	please wrap it up, I'd appreciate it.
9	RABBI CHANINA SPERLING: Now as we
10	face another economic crisis, we need the type of
11	independent leaders who can make sure the
12	decisions and set right the priority. Mayor
13	Bloomberg is one of those independent leaders and
14	New York diverse opportunity to vote for the Mayor
15	and other experienced officials if they choose to
16	do so.
17	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: I'm going to
18	have to stop you.
19	RABBI CHANINA SPERLING: Thank you.
20	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Council Member
21	Gerson has a question.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER GERSON: Just, no,
23	to Mr. Friedrich because, like many of us or all
24	of us, I'm grappling to come up with the less—the
25	best of the less-than-perfect alternatives, given

2.0

what we're faced. But you said something. You
said that if we extend term limits, you and others
will impose them at the ballot box in the upcoming
election. Why is that not the solution? Why is
that not the most democratic way to do it, give
you the opportunity to run against—and not picking
on your incumbent by any means—but give
insurgents, any insurgent, the opportunity to run
against any incumbent and let the voter decide?
Is that not maximum choice and maximum democracy?

BOB FRIEDRICH: Mr. Gerson, you know very well that only term limits provides a level playing field. You as an incumbent, you as an incumbent have the money advantage.

COUNCIL MEMBER GERSON: Not with public financing, I don't.

BOB FRIEDRICH: My friend,
absolutely you do because you can raise a lot more
money, which is subject to the matching than
people who don't have the name recognition, number
one. Number two, you have that name recognition.
Number three, the media looks for you when they
see comments. They don't go to my good friend
over here or my friend here seeking comments in

DelFino, please raise your hand.

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 352
2	William Amoclay [phonetic], please
3	raise your hand. Thank you. Please come up.
4	And James Caldwell, James Caldwell,
5	please raise your hand. Come up, please. Okay.
6	I'm going to ask the Sergeant at
7	Arms again to clear the back of the room. Either
8	people have a seat, or they can go into the lobby.
9	I've been asked by some if we can
10	start from the right, from my right. And please
11	identify yourself before we do any of the
12	speaking, if you can just state your name. If you
13	have some affiliation, that's fine. If not,
14	that's fine. Just state your name. And then
15	we'll start the testimony. Mr. Steiger? Push the
16	button.
17	RICHARD STEIGER: My name is
18	Richard Steiger. Chair Felder, council members,
19	thank you for this-
20	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Now wait just
21	one minute. We just want to get everyone's name.
22	Somebody asked. Next witness, can you identify
23	yourself, please?

MARIA PASSANATE-DERR: Maria
Passanate-Derr, candidate for City Council.

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 353
2	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Next witness?
3	Just the name.
4	PHILIPPE-EDOUARD DRICE: My name is
5	Dr. Philippe-Edouard Drice.
6	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you.
7	Next witness?
8	JOHN ROZANKOWSKI: John
9	Rozankowski.
10	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Next witness,
11	please. Push the button, please.
12	OMOWALL CLAY: Omowall E. Clay.
13	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you.
14	Next witness, please.
15	JIMMY MCMILLAN: Rent too damn
16	high, Jimmy McMillan.
17	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Next witness,
18	please. Next witness, please?
19	JAMES CALDWELL: James Caldwell.
20	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Okay. We're
21	going to start from Mr. Caldwell. Go ahead.
22	You're the first witness. Can you give Mr.
23	Caldwell the microphone, please?
24	JAMES CALDWELL: Thank you.
25	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Please start.

2.0

JAMES CALDWELL: Good evening,
everyone. I want to thank you, Mr. Chairman, and
the council for giving us this opportunity to
speak today.

My name is James Caldwell. My name is James Caldwell. And I have witnessed the burden that poverty has had on my community and how it has crippled our ability to provide for our families. I am blessed to have maintained a livelihood that is stable, despite recent conditions.

However, there are countless others who do not share my blessings. And as a man of faith, I believe Mayor Bloomberg can provide a greater opportunity in the midst of this financial challenge.

In addition to my role as a community activist, I come to you today as a registered voter who has offered his voice to shed light on a topic of term limits from a civilian perspective. While there may be some who oppose the method in which Mayor Bloomberg has proposed term limits or who flat out are against term limits altogether, there are others who are in

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 355
2	favor of this idea.
3	Therefore, I support Mayor
4	Bloomberg's proposal to extend term limits. While
5	few may view the Mayor's encore request as a plan
6	to harbor political power, I believe the financial
7	crisis of our times calls for an individual who is
8	equipped with the business skills and credentials
9	that our current mayor possesses and offers.
10	As a resident of this city, I am in
11	favor of ensuring that power is given to the
12	people. However, the notion that having the City
13	Council vote on extending term limits will take
14	away from the people's power is not a correct
15	representation of what is at hand. If the
16	extension on term limits is passed, elected
17	officials who will seek a third term will still
18	have to be voted into office by the people.
19	Therefore, I am confident that my
20	right-
21	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Can I ask you
22	to please wrap up?
23	JAMES CALDWELL: Yes, sir—as a
24	voter will still be exercised with the same
25	authority it had before the issue on term limits

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 356
2	became the topic of discussion. Thank you very
3	much.
4	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you.
5	Next witness, please?
6	JIMMY MCMILLAN: Rent too damn
7	high. I'm Jimmy McMillan, the Founding Chairman
8	of the Rent Is Too Damn High Party, represent 13
9	million voters who come to my website daily.
10	This means nothing. Mayor
11	Bloomberg—following the attack on the World Trade
12	Center, rent has went up eight times, including
13	this month. When he called the press conference
14	the other day, I just knew that he was going to
15	come on and say that he was going to freeze rent
16	or roll rent back. But, no, he said he wanted
17	term limits.
18	This means nothing, out of
19	business, going out of business, lost our lease.
20	This Mayor has allowed this. This Mayor has
21	allowed the people in this city to the landlords
22	in this city to raise rent with violations against
23	them. This mayor has allowed landlords to
24	continuously harass tenants and not give them the
25	interest from the security deposit. His time is

2 up and he must go.

I ask this council—the law—the people have spoken twice against term limits.

Anyone who can't see that the children are not eating breakfast, lunch, and dinner, as well as the senior citizens in this city and want this man to sit here again, you've got to be crazy. If you are a member of a clergy, if you are a member of the church and you can't see that your parishioners can't eat breakfast, lunch, and dinner and medication—they're dying for their medication that they can barely afford because all their hard-earned money is going toward R-E-N-T.

You must be worshiping the devil.

Rent is too damn high. I say it once. And I say it again. I urge all of you. His time is up. There is a lot of people in this city that can think better than this man. He can only see what has happened to Wall Street. I am watching what's happening to your wallet. Your children are being born deformed, your children going to school and can't learn because they're not eating breakfast, lunch, and dinner; parents who are working eight hours a day, 40 hours a

to prevent the extension. She would never have come out and made the statement that she's flip flopping unless she had the undecided votes as well as the ones that have committed themselves.

I think the principles of the council are lacking. I think it's interesting that now—the last time there was a split in the council of this nature is when there was a struggle to name a street after Sonny Abubadika Carson in the interest of the people of Bed-Stuy, who democratically voted for that.

It's interesting today that Letitia

James is now talking about the issue of democracy
when she tailed behind Christine Quinn then, as

Council de Blasio did, too.

Mayor Bloomberg came into office as number 29 on the Forbes 400. He was \$4.8 billion. Last fall, his income was at \$12 billion. This summer, his income was at \$16 billion. And this fall, Mayor Bloomberg is at \$20 billion. And this is not getting paid as mayor at all. He has used his office to enrich himself. And the only reason he wants to extend it is so that he can extend his wealth at our expense. The only issue—

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 360
2	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: [Interposing]
3	Can I ask you-can I ask-?
4	OMOWALL CLAY: —is to not vote—
5	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: [Interposing]
6	Excuse me.
7	OMOWALL CLAY: —for this law.
8	Thank you.
9	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: I'm sorry.
10	Thank you.
11	JOHN ROZANKOWSKI: Good evening.
12	I'm John Rozankowski of the Ravens.
13	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Could you pull
14	the mic a little closer to you?
15	JOHN ROZANKOWSKI: Sure.
16	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: If it
17	stretches or your chair closer to the mic?
18	JOHN ROZANKOWSKI: Okay. Can you
19	hear me now? Yeah? Okay. Can you hear me now?
20	Okay. I'm John Rozankowski from the Friends of
21	Poe Park in the Bronx. The bill to extend term
22	limits is an audacious attempt to establish a
23	ruling class in New York City, transforming a
24	government of, for, and by the people into a
25	government of, for, and by career politicians and

1 COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 361 their wealthy allies. 2 "Experience, experience," they cry. 3 4 Is it not the primary responsibility of 5 experienced leaders to calm people down, to defuse panic, and to restore confidence in our systems 6 and institutions? That's what all economists say 7 8 must be done. Instead, these power-ossified individuals are taking advantage of economic 9 10 troubles, spreading more fear amongst the people, while quickly moving to ratify this raw, self-11 12 serving power grab. 13 They are not reacting to some 14 public mandate or some petition to stay engulfed 15 in the shroud of narcissism. They decided that 16 they are better than the people. 17 The choice before you is very clear. You can vote for this measure and stand 18 19 with the would-be autocrats, forever forfeiting 20 your moral right to another office. Or you can 21 proudly stand with the people, publicly affirming 22 your oat of office in which you swore to uphold 23 the principles of democracy. 24 I urge you to dump INT845 on the 25 ash heap of history and to courageously stand with

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 362
2	the people. Thank you very much.
3	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you.
4	Next witness, please? Can you please hand him a
5	mic? Thank you.
б	PHILIPPE-EDOUARD DRICE: Thank you.
7	My name is Dr. Philippe-Edouard Drice. I am an
8	immigrant. I'm a political exile here in this
9	country. My second cousin is baby doc Givalia
10	[phonetic]. I'm related to other former
11	presidents of my country. I'm not here about this
12	term limit thing. It's illegal. You know it. The
13	law says he can't do more than eight years.
14	What's the story?
15	I'll tell you what the story is.
16	The story is that New York City Housing Authority
17	Human Resource Administration—
18	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: [Interposing]
19	Listen.
20	PHILIPPE-EDOUARD DRICE: —and Adult
21	Protective Services-
22	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Excuse me.
23	No, no, no, this is a story. I get to run the
24	hearing. People get to boo me.
25	PHILIPPE-EDOUARD DRICE: And you

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 363
2	have that right.
3	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: No, but you're
4	going to have to talk about the issue.
5	PHILIPPE-EDOUARD DRICE: The issue—
6	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: [Interposing]
7	You're going to have to talk about term limits.
8	This is not a hearing—
9	PHILIPPE-EDOUARD DRICE:
10	[Interposing] Will do. I'll tell you about the
11	other-
12	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: [Interposing]
13	It may be other-only about term limits.
14	PHILIPPE-EDOUARD DRICE: The term
15	limit fiasco-
16	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: [Interposing]
17	Thank you.
18	PHILIPPE-EDOUARD DRICE: —is that
19	you have a crooked administration that shouldn't
20	get another four years or however many times he
21	can buy his way. Where's our Councilman Comrie?
22	Our Councilman Comrie should know. How do you get
23	a democratic congressman in Floyd Flick [phonetic]
24	to suddenly make television commercials for him
25	and my boy don't have no more financing problems

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 364
2	on Merrick Boulevard and Guy R Brewer Boulevard.
3	Are you kidding me? It's bought and paid for.
4	Now we have this charade. I'm
5	French. We have masquerade parties all the time.
6	And I'm having a very good time with us here
7	today.
8	This is a crooked administration.
9	And on my website at www.youtube.com/P-H-I-L-D-I-
10	R-C-E, 1, the number, you will see how this
11	whistleblower was retaliated against. And you,
12	Chairman, you ought to know about it. When
13	somebody robs somebody and they put them in the
14	gas chamber and try to kill him, what is that?
15	That, it has infiltrated this administration. I'm
16	telling you. All you have to do is go to my
17	website. And as a matter of fact, for you,
18	Chairman, this is for you, along with the
19	newspaper article.
20	And the newspaper reporter,
21	investigative reporter Donna Winfield, where you
22	at?
23	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Alright,
24	listen.
25	PHILIPPE-EDOUARD DRICE: She's the

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 365
2	one that brought me here to make sure I told this
3	to you in the bright light of day with a bullhorn.
4	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Okay. The
5	newspaper article, I'll take. But the CD or the
6	DVD may be worth more than \$50. I can't accept
7	that.
8	PHILIPPE-EDOUARD DRICE: No, no,
9	no.
10	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Next witness,
11	please.
12	PHILIPPE-EDOUARD DRICE: No, no,
13	no.
14	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Next witness,
15	please.
16	PHILIPPE-EDOUARD DRICE: Trust me,
17	there are some other worthy others. You'll take
18	it. Thank you.
19	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Next.
20	MARIA PASSANATE-DERR: Thank you.
21	Thank you, Chair Felder, committee, and council
22	members. I am Maria Passanate-Derr. I've been a
23	lifelong resident of Greenwich Village. And I
24	have served on Community Board for six years now.
25	And two of those years, I was Chair of the board.

The Mayor is concerned, however, about the cost of a citywide election. What is the cost of overhauling the campaign finance law,

22

23

24

25

process.

billionaires meeting behind closed doors to overhaul the term limits law. That is the hijacking of democracy. That is an affront to democracy. And it's an in-run around democracy, notwithstanding cheerleading newspaper owners, wealthy business leaders, and term-limited city council members.

Fourteen out of fifteen termlimited members in 2009 support Intro 845a. That
is self-serving and shameful. The Mayor said
changing term limits legislatively is
"disgusting." He said he did not believe he was
"indispensable." So why is he pursuing this
disgusting course of action? And why are city
council members pursuing a conflict of interest
under local law to vote themselves an opportunity
to serve an additional term in office?

and a sad day for New York City if a power-hungry mayor and his pliable sycophants are successful in thumbing their nose at the rule of law. This undemocratic power grab must not succeed. People of good will are watching. And the will of the people will prevail, as it did in 1993 and 1996.

2.0

2	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you very
3	much. I'm going to call out the next panel.
4	Okay. Madeline Renee [phonetic], please raise
5	your hand if you're here, please? Excuse me.
6	Those that spoke, please exit or sit down. Can
7	the Sergeant of Arms please come up here for a
8	minute, please? Madeline Renee?

Madeline Renee? Josh Scholar

[phonetic], please raise your hand as I call your
name. Fred LeMoine. He went? Oh, okay. He
signed up twice. Is there anyone—Dolores

Quintero? Thank you. Marie Louis, is Marie here?

Great. Pierre Sherry [phonetic]? Pierre Sherry?

Andrew Burman [phonetic]? How many do we have so
far?

So I'm going to repeat. I'm just going to repeat this again. Andrew Burman?

Pierre Sherry? Fred LeMoine you said went already. Josh Scholar? Madeline Renee? Alice F.

LeBrie [phonetic], Alice, are you here? Gloria Smith [phonetic]? Is Alice here? Gloria Smith?

Yes? Anne Einsidler [phonetic], Ann Einsidler?

Give me some more, please.

Dairen Ann McMichaels? You're

```
1
              COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 370
      here? Excellent. Thank you for sticking around.
 2
 3
      Paul Saryian, Paul Saryian? Dan Warasinski
 4
      [phonetic], Dan Warasinski? Next? More, please.
                     Adita Berkromt [phonetic], Adita
 5
      Berkromt-I'm sorry, Berkromt? Is Adita here?
 6
 7
      Raise your hand. Allan Bortnick? What is it?
 8
      Alright.
                     Thank you for sticking around.
 9
10
      We're waiting. Take your time. What happened to
      Adita Berkromt? Not here. Okay. Okay.
11
12
      Chioni L. Sangsun [phonetic]? Justin Yu? More.
13
                     Abdul Karim Ahim [phonetic], Abdul
      Karim Ahim?
                        Ti Rogers [phonetic], Ti Rogers?
14
                   No.
15
      Next. Please raise your hand if I'm mentioning
16
      your name. Oreg-he is here? Oreg Matsock
17
      [phonetic], Oreg Matsock? Is a name? Grace
      Oflasian [phonetic], Grace Oflasian? Please raise
18
19
      your hand.
                  Next.
20
                     Ernesto Maldovato [phonetic]?
21
      don't know if I got that right. Ernesto
22
      Maldovato? Faith Steinberg, please come up.
23
      more. Michael-this is not legible-East 23rd
24
               Michael Harris [phonetic], Michael
      Street.
25
      Harris? Another one.
```

2	Rajiv Gowda, Rajiv Gowda? Okay.
3	I'm going to ask you again if you can please push
4	the button on the mic so that you see the light.
5	And we're just going to go from left to right.
6	And you're going to just identify yourselves.
7	Please start, Mr. Gowda. Just identify yourself.
8	Yes. Go ahead. Mr. Gowda, just identify
9	yourselves. Then we'll get to the testimony.
10	Just mention your name.
11	RAJIV GOWDA: Just a second.
12	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: You don't need
13	your glasses for your name.
14	RAJIV GOWDA: I just want to put it
15	away. I don't need one. I just want to put it
16	away. Yeah, my name is Rajiv Gowda. And I'm a
17	farmer, President of Education Council, District
18	31, Staten Island, Union Vice President, Community
19	Board Number 1 member, and for the record, I am a
20	candidate for City Council from District 49.
21	Mr. Chairman and the rest of the
22	city council members, thank you very much for
23	holding at least one public hearing on this very
24	important issue. There should have been five in

every single borough. Staten Island is always—you

No, it's not the way. We shouldn't

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 373
2	do this. Even though for me term limits may not
3	apply because in all likelihood, Councilman
4	McMahon will be elected, the Congressman. And I
5	may not have a term limitation. But I'm here
6	because on principle, on democracy. I am here to
7	stand up and speak up to those people who are
8	really crying out loud in newspapers, in
9	everything. This is not right. The Mayor-
10	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: You're going
11	to have to wrap up.
12	RAJIV GOWDA: Yeah, I'm going to
13	wrap. The Mayor has double standard here. Here
14	he says this issue should be dealt in City Council
15	and not in a referendum. And he goes to the other
16	coast.
17	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Are you
18	wrapping up?
19	RAJIV GOWDA: Yes, I am wrapping.
20	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: No, I don't
21	mean with the paper.
22	RAJIV GOWDA: —on the West Coast.
23	And he says—on the West Coast, he says a different
24	word. He says the issue should be-
25	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: [Interposing]

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 374
2	Okay.
3	RAJIV GOWDA: -going to a
4	referendum, not to the council.
5	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Okay. Next
6	witness.
7	RAJIV GOWDA: It's a double
8	standard.
9	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you.
10	RAJIV GOWDA: This is not New York
11	City.
12	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: I understand.
13	But we have to be consistent.
14	RAJIV GOWDA: In closing-
15	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Next witness,
16	please.
17	RAJIV GOWDA: In closing-
18	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: No, no, you
19	closed already.
20	RAJIV GOWDA: Thank you very much.
21	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: You may not
22	have realized it.
23	FAITH STEINBERG: Hi. My name is
24	Faith Steinberg. And I'm a native New Yorker.
25	And I've always loved New York. But they're

making it harder and harder. This ploy reminds me of the arrogant Mayor Giuliani, who thought he was the only one who could get us out of 9/11. But we've gotten along without him. If Mayor Bloomberg is so savvy via financial and economic situation, how come he didn't see the disaster coming and speak up?

I've heard a report indicating that Eliot Spitzer was investigating the situation before he had his fall. And maybe that's why he had his fall.

Further, I don't believe I've heard any pearls of wisdom spouting forth from Mayor Bloomberg regarding this situation. The Mayor has many responsibilities in matters that—and in matters that concern me, he's a failure. For one, I feel he has changed the city irrevocably and not for the better. His economic development is totally lacking in imagination.

Surely there are alternatives to building and more building. He wants to build for a million more people in the city and barely, if any of them, are affordable. I find these high-rise, luxury condos are eyesores. His

Т	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 37
2	appointments to the Rent Guidelines Board are
3	enough to demonstrate that he doesn't give a damn
4	about working people.
5	If he wants to build, why isn't he
6	building affordable housing, which would attract
7	businesses? Many business won't locate to New
8	York City because there's no-
9	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: [Interposing]
10	Alright. Excuse me, ma'am. You are—
11	FAITH STEINBERG: —affordable
12	living-
13	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: [Interposing]
14	Excuse me.
15	FAITH STEINBERG: -facilities for
16	lower-level employees.
17	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: That's not-can
18	you talk about term limits?
19	FAITH STEINBERG: I'm winding up.
20	I just—we have—
21	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: [Interposing]
22	No, I didn't ask you to wrap it up. That I'll do
23	in about 30 seconds. I just asked you to speak
24	for term limits. That's all.
25	FAITH STEINBERG: Okav. Well.

decisions for us. Please support the Mayor's

Elections mail it out with a return-mail stamp to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ALLAN BORTNICK: Thank you. Let him act as an oversight for the budget and do something about the waste and mismanagement of

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 380
2	city agencies, a perfect example being the
3	Department of Environmental Protection, which I
4	call dysfunctional environmental procrastinators-
5	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Okay. I'm not
6	agreeing or disagreeing with you. But we're going
7	to go to the next witness.
8	ALLAN BORTNICK: \$300 million in
9	expenses-
10	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: [Interposing]
11	Excuse me. Excuse me. We're going to-
12	ALLAN BORTNICK: -for consultants.
13	It's a waste.
14	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Okay. Next?
15	DAIREN ANN MCMICHAELS: Good
16	afternoon.
17	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Yeah, just
18	push the button, ma'am, please. And identify
19	yourself.
20	DAIREN ANN MCMICHAELS: Good
21	afternoon to the city council members and to the
22	people. My name is Dairen Ann McMichaels. And I
23	live in the South Bronx. And I have six kids. I
24	had three heart attacks. But God healed me. And
25	what I see on the news and all over the world, we

thank God we still have no problem, like the man was saying something about 9/11. Mayor Bloomberg should run again, one more term if he want to be.

He's been a good mayor for New York City. I was
- . And I went through a lot of hell. Thank God I'm still alive. For the problem they're having with the rent and the assessing, they selling the houses. And I thank God for my landlord because I was homeless with my six kids. And thank God for my pastor. She helped me. And I got apartment back. When I was gone, I paid my rent.

And now the problem is the housing and the problem we have with education. A lot of people in the neighborhood in the South Bronx, they're getting put out. And they cannot pay that - - rent. And with the education, we have to help our youth because there's a lot of gangs in the South Bronx. But thank God for Mayor Bloomberg. He changed the education because I was a P.A. president. He changed the education. And he helped with security guards. We have so much rapes and gangs and stuff in the school.

And with the housing, please help with the housing because a lot of people don't

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 383
2	just want to say to the public that there are
3	hearings here all the time. And there are a
4	variety of committees.
5	DAIREN ANN MCMICHAELS: But we
6	don't know about that in our community.
7	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: I just want to
8	say those who have access to a computer, there-
9	DAIREN ANN MCMICHAELS:
10	[Interposing] I don't have one.
11	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: But if not, if
12	you have access to a library, you know, those are
13	the ways that—
14	DAIREN ANN MCMICHAELS:
15	[Interposing] I heard it on the radio. And that
16	was on a gospel channel, 1190. Thank God for
17	them.
18	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Okay. Thank
19	you.
20	DAIREN ANN MCMICHAELS: I'm a
21	member.
22	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you.
23	DAIREN ANN MCMICHAELS: Okay.
24	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Do you want to
25	give the number on the dial? Never mind. Thank

you. Next, next.

want to say thanks for giving the people this
opportunity to voice their opinion here. My name
is Paul Saryian. I'm a resident of Staten Island.
I'm recently retired from the New York City Police
Department in the rank of Captain. I, too, am
considering running for City Council in Staten
Island come this January in the event of a
vacancy.

The following is my testimony—We, the people of New York City, were twice subjected to a referendum and twice voted in favor of having term limits placed on our elected officials.

Anything other than that, anything other than the democratic will of the people would be unprecedented and tantamount to dictatorship and tyranny.

Disregarding and trivializing the results of an election would not only be unconstitutional. It would also set the stage for a much more dangerous turn of events in the future that will result in a total usurp, a total usurp of power on the part of an individual over the

```
1
              COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 385
      will of the people.
 2
 3
                     It's nice to be king. But in New
 4
      York City, we have no kings. And we have no
 5
      absolute leadership. Term limits allows for new
      ideas to permeate within the political system.
 6
 7
      Eight years is more than enough time to implement
 8
      plans and programs. The old adage still rings
      true. A new broom cleans best.
 9
                                        Thank you.
10
                     CHAIRPERSON FELDER:
                                          Thank you.
11
      Next witness, please?
12
                     MARIE LOUIS:
                                   Hello.
                                           Okay.
13
                     CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Go ahead.
14
                     MARIE LOUIS: My name is Marie
15
      Louis.
              I thank my Lord and savior Jesus Christ
16
      for the opportunity to speak to you today.
17
      born and raised and reside in Brooklyn. I support
      extending term limits from two to three terms.
18
19
      Our city and nation are in financial and economic
20
      crisis. We should have the option of re-electing
21
      a proven effective, independent, and experienced
22
      leader like Michael Bloomberg, as well as Speaker
23
      Quinn and many others in the City Council.
24
                     Our city's vitality is particularly
25
      impacted by the crisis in the financial sector.
```

small business, and affordable housing opportunities created by large development projects, like Atlantic Yards.

The Mayor's PlaNYC initiative is

22

23

24

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 387
2	another compelling example of his effective
3	leadership. This comprehensive plan prioritizes
4	upgrading our infrastructure-
5	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: [Interposing]
6	Can you talk about term limits, ma'am?
7	MARIE LOUIS: —as well as building
8	affordable—
9	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: [Interposing]
LO	If you can talk about the term limit issue.
11	MARIE LOUIS: —as well as building
L2	affordable housing for the future. Under the
L3	leadership of Speaker Quinn, we have the jobs to
L4	build on an initiative which is connecting
15	thousands of employers to meaningful employment
L6	and occupational training opportunities.
L7	Again, we should have the option to
18	vote for people who we think are effective
19	leaders. I wasn't even aware of when there were
20	these two referendums. And so people like—one way
21	to make it fair for everyone who may not have been
22	aware of when these referendums occurred is to
23	just give us a choice by being able to-by
24	extending the terms from two to three so that we
25	can vote for whoever we want. Everybody—having an

We go around the nations preaching to other nations and telling them to adopt this same system because they're not letting their people vote and being barbaric towards their people. Whey are we behaving so barbaric today and being hypocrites and saying, "Oh, wait a

22

23

24

2 minute. The people's right to vote doesn't
3 matter. We want to overturn it."

It should never be overturned.

When the voters have spoken twice already and said they want term limits, it should be respected and honored. And the people who suffered to be able to give us the ability to vote, that should be respected and honored. We should not tear at the very fabric of democratic system that we have right now, which is exactly what's happening now in a blatant situation to satisfy a millionaire or billionaire or whatever to—when he says, "Jump," jump.

I mean, come on. We just can't keep letting somebody like that, you know, just have their way. This is the reason why we put term limits in the first place. So stop this nonsense. And to say that he is the only one that can handle our economical system is preposterous because our city has survived many economical systems. And it will continue to survive after Bloomberg.

And also, for senior councils to say they're the only ones that can handle the

situation and not newcomers, hey, you were wet behind the ears when we allowed you to come in.

You learned the job. And so will they. So don't say that you're the only ones that can handle this situation.

This is a blatant disregard and disrespect on the voters. And I tell you I hear from your constituents. Some of them—many of them are members on all across the board of every council district. They are members of CVH. And I guarantee you they're not happy with what's going on.

They want term limits to stay. And it's to stay as it is, let voters vote on it again then. And don't be scared of it.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you.

The next—thank you for this panel. The next

panel, we have April Raford [phonetic]? What's

it? How do you pronounce it? Okay. Is April

Raford here? Raise your hand. No. Vernon Verdry

[phonetic], Vernon Verdry, are you here? Raise

your hand. Leon Helmson [phonetic], Leon Helmson?

Ben O'Sickey, Ben, please come up. Harvady Devy

[phonetic], Harvedy Devy, are you here? Raise

```
1
              COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 391
 2
      your hand. Stanley Love [phonetic]? Ivan T.
 3
      Johannson, Jr. [phonetic], raise your hand. More,
 4
      please?
 5
                     Wanda Emasune [phonetic], did I get
      that right? Wanda Emasune? Corey Beerack
 6
 7
      [phonetic], Corey Beerack? Next. Jennifer Pinto,
 8
      please come up. She's here. Ariel Weinstock,
      Ariel Weinstock, are you here? Please come up.
 9
10
      Audrey Smaltz, Audrey Smaltz, Audrey Smaltz?
      Next.
11
12
                     Yeah, I know. She's famous.
                                                   Yes.
      Well, I'm sorry. I don't read women's magazines.
13
14
      Amy Chin, Amy Chin? Good. Amy Chin is here.
15
      Great. Yeah, we need another two. John W. Burns
16
      [phonetic], John Burns, are you here? Yes?
17
      we-David Galarsar [phonetic], David, are you here?
      David Galarsar, are you here? He's not here.
18
      Ronnie Colangelo, you here? Come up. One more,
19
20
      two more?
21
                     Eloise Greenberg, are you here?
22
      Raise your hand. What? She's here?
                                            Excellent.
      Do we have one more seat? No, no, we have three
23
24
      seats.
25
                     Trina Semorile, Trina Semorile, are
```

catcher. And I have a long memory for political

abuse and corruption. And this is corruption and

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

nonexistence only to reappear under a new name and repeat the process.

The Ethics Board is itself ethically challenged, not only in claiming that

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 394
2	this vote is legal, but in earlier decisions
3	allowing and including city-paid appointees of
4	Bloomberg to work in his private industry.
5	Excuse me, could you please stop
6	talking while I'm talking? And don't tell me to
7	relax. We are entitled to the same courtesy of
8	being listened to-
9	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Okay.
LO	TRINA SEMORILE: —that you would
11	like.
12	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Okay.
13	TRINA SEMORILE: Would you please
L4	ask this man to be quiet?
15	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: I will ask
L6	everybody in the room to be quiet, please.
L7	TRINA SEMORILE: Thank you.
18	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: You're
L9	welcome.
20	TRINA SEMORILE: This is one
21	example of the abuse of law and legal opinion.
22	And let us remember as historian Herbert Aptheker
23	once said, the purpose of law is social order and
24	control. It is not justice.
25	We are here today seeking what is

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I mean, and I don't believe it's a power trip with him. I think he is doing this for the joy and satisfaction of seeing the city grow. He has done a lot. And I believe extending it, he'll have a lot more ideas.

And for people to think it's a

2 committee report favorably on Introduction 845.

The public policy of this city is set forth in Chapter 50, Section 1137 of the New York City Charter. It explicitly requires that our elected officers and leaders be citizen representatives who are responsive to the needs of the people and not career politicians, the implication being that a career politician may be more likely to advance his own interests over such politician's constituency.

I caution that blind adherence to the portion of this New York City policy which raises alarm against career politicians without a representative's due and thoughtful consideration for the current state of affairs affecting the people of this city is itself a dereliction and a violation of the same section of the City Charter. That is the public policy objective to impose an obligation on our representatives to be responsive to the needs of the people.

Furthermore, the designation of the public servant as a citizen representative responsive to the needs of the people implies that a representative has an affirmative duty to

2.0

individuals.

solicit and understand the needs of the people.

Citizenship as a legal term of art is widely understood to encompass a moral and ethical obligation to demonstrate a commitment to the improvement of civic life as well as the preservation and proactive enforcement of the civil and natural rights afforded all its

American experiment in democracy that the central form of government is comprised of bodies or institutions that are themselves subject to the rule of law. The City Council, comprised of our citizen representatives and governed by the City Charter, is granted the power and thus the mandate to exercise such power when just to adopt local laws for the "good rule and government of the city for the order, protection, and government of persons and property for the preservation of the public health, comfort, peace, and prosperity of the city and its inhabitants."

In these uncertain times, individual districts and their constituencies need to be permitted the flexibility to retain their

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 400
2	trusted and valued services—
3	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: [Interposing]
4	Can you please wrap up?
5	ARIEL WEINSTOCK: —representatives.
6	I thank you for your time.
7	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: That was done
8	very well—the wrap up. I'm not talking about
9	anyone else. I don't want anyone else to be
10	insulted that I didn't complement their testimony.
11	Next witness, please?
12	BEN O'SICKEY: Hello. My name is
13	Ben O'Sickey. And I live in Brooklyn. I just
14	wanted to say that I think the idea of a third
15	term for Mayor Bloomberg should be considered. As
16	you just look back over his past two terms, the
17	accomplishments-they've really been spectacular.
18	Just so much has been done-just first, as a
19	beginning, bringing the city out of this malaise
20	of September 11 and just restoring a lot of hope
21	and then just in education and this affordable
22	housing initiative as compared to what's been
23	happening on a national level.
24	None of these mortgages are in
25	default. It seems to be in good shape. And

Τ	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 40
2	You have to-I'm sorry for interrupting you.
3	AUDREY SMALTZ: —jammed and honeyed
4	in Harlem.
5	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: I'm sorry for
6	interrupting you. But you have to mention your
7	name for the record.
8	AUDREY SMALTZ: I'm going to get to
9	that now.
10	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Oh, thank you.
11	AUDREY SMALTZ: Audrey Smaltz, S-M-
12	A-L-T-Z. And I urge City Council—I want you to
13	know that I am supporting the extension of term
14	limits.
15	Mayor Michael Rubens Bloomberg has
16	been an exceptional mayor. And I have been
17	through a lot of mayors of this City of New York.
18	I have my own business. In fact, I have been in
19	business for 31 years. I am in the fashion
20	business. And my business is doing better than
21	ever. And it's partly because the way he runs
22	this city as a small business owner.
23	I'd like you to consider extending
24	the limits. It's only from two years a term to
25	three years—eight years to 12 years. We're not

appropriate. So I am now of the opinion that it

25

2 is important to extend term limits to three terms.

We are in the middle of a major global economic crisis whose epicenter is right here in New York City's financial community. New Yorkers need to regain the right to vote for and retain experienced leaders, like some of the current city council members, Mayor Bloomberg, and other currently term-limited officials.

So I urge the City Council to give voters the opportunity in November of 2009 to vote either for or against a third term for Mayor Bloomberg or for other term-limited officials.

People of New York City deserve to have a choice in this critical time. I have been a civil servant in local and federal government. I've worked in private business. I have run nonprofit organizations. And I have volunteered for charities. I know first hand the level of upheaval and turmoil and inefficiencies that can occur in any change of administration.

If your house is on fire, you do not want the firefighters to stop fighting the flames and tell you, "Sorry. My shift is over. Give me a moment to brief the next shift. And

be allowed to sit in back rooms and force their agenda and make deals to change the voters' will and expect the people to sit around and allow it to happen.

As Wall Street crumbles and the economy becomes the news of the day, we are told that only one man can save this city, to drag us back from ruin. I know many of you were here at 9/11. And we heard the same thing about the Mayor at that time. And that was also proven to be incorrect.

It's time for the City Council to stand up and say they won't let a few billionaires that have lined up to support this back room deal to run this city. And remember, it's we the people that run the city, the voters.

I know it's hard for you to try to stand up to a man like him when you have him saying that—where am I? He comes and he knocks on your door and promises that he will take care of you. And if not, he threatens you with millions of dollars against your opponent so he will have his way. It's time for the people of this city to know that it is our city.

2.0

2.3

So I beg and implore those today
that have a choice and a voice to do the correct
thing and follow the referendum and not change the
term limit law. For it's we the people you'll
have to deal with and your consciences.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you.

Next witness, please, please?

ELOISE GREENBERG: Good afternoon.

My name is Eloise Greenberg, President of Brooklyn

Vision, resident of Brooklyn, and an enthusiastic

New Yorker. Brooklyn Vision strongly believes in

listening to and respecting the voice of the

people. The people have spoken on two occasions.

And the bottom line only way to change term limits

is by the people's vote and at this point to

adhere to the present law of two terms.

I understand that the City Council is bound by its own charter to not pass any ruling that benefits the council. I call on the council's integrity and honor to respect your own charter and to honor the standing two term rule.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you very much. I'd ask you to remain. First we have a few questions. Council member De Blasio.

Your chairman ship today has been exemplary, but security did not allow people in the building who wanted to come here to speak, and that's a problem. Sir, the 9/11 point you made; in your view was that not a more intense more unpredictable crisis than what we face now. I'm not saying what we face now isn't real, I'm saying was that not even more unpredictable and do you not feel like the people thought and then said keeping the election consistent, keeping our democracy consistent was the most important thing, even if they appreciated that moment and they did; many people the leader that we happened to have.

RONNIE COLANGELO: Well their actions were to try to destroy the way the country was run and that's what their goal was; to cause a problem and watch our; what would our politicians do? And when we stayed the course and said "this is how our country is run and this is how it should be." And didn't change anything, it was almost like saying "you can do what you want, but we're going to stay the way we are, and we're going to make things right the way they are and

Τ	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 41.
2	the mayor of the city of New York and do you also
3	credit the work that council member Barren and
4	council member Dilan have done?
5	FEMALE VOICE: Yes, he has done
6	some good, he has done some good things, but I am
7	here to talk about the mayor.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: And do you
9	think that we should ignore the will of the people
10	by the city council doing this legislatively as
11	opposed to hearing from the people? Wouldn't you
12	rather vote in an election?
13	FEMALE VOICE: Either way all I
14	want is to see the mayor's term extended.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: And you can
16	have that with a referendum, are you aware of
17	that?
18	FEMALE VOICE: That's fine, thank
19	you.
20	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Alright, that
21	was four questions. Council member Liu.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER LIU: Thank you Mr.
23	Chairman. I really appreciate everybody staying
24	so late and giving your two cents, it does help us
25	all in this process. I just wanted to, and this

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 413
2	question is directed for those of you who are in
3	favor of the extension
4	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: [Interposing]
5	Councilman, can you direct the question to one
6	witness please? There are a lot of people
7	COUNCIL MEMBER LIU: [Interposing]
8	Just one?
9	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Yes, one.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER LIU: How about two?
11	[Laughter]
12	COUNCIL MEMBER LIU: How about
13	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: [Interposing]
14	How about
15	COUNCIL MEMBER LIU: That would
16	have to be Ms. Audrey Smaltz, S-M-A-L-T-Z.
17	[Laughter]
18	AUDREY SMALTZ: Thank you Mr. Liu.
19	L-I-U.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER LIU: Yeah. Okay. I
21	understand that you love mayor Bloomberg.
22	AUDREY SMALTZ: Yes, I do.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER LIU: And I
24	understand that you think three terms makes sense.
25	AUDREY SMALTZ: It does make sense

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 414
2	because it's still a limited term.
3	COUNCIL MEMBER LIU: Right.
4	AUDREY SMALTZ: Three years as
5	opposed to two years.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER LIU: Right.
7	AUDREY SMALTZ: There's not a large
8	difference
9	COUNCIL MEMBER LIU: [Interposing]
10	but I agree with, I agree with
11	AUDREY SMALTZ: [Interposing]
12	There's not a large difference.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER LIU: [Interposing]
14	I agree with both of your points.
15	AUDREY SMALTZ: Thank you.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER LIU: The thing that
17	I don't agree with, and I'm wondering if maybe
18	you'll agree with me on this, is how we get there.
19	Do you think we should just have the city council,
20	which could be as little as maybe a couple dozen
21	people, just change the results of a referendum
22	that hundreds of thousands of people voted on
23	twice? Or should we call for a special referendum
24	and let New Yorkers take a vote on it? It could
25	happen as early as February and then after that,

that in February, if there is a referendum, and we vote on this as a city, whether we want to extend it or not extend it.

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER LIU: That's correct.

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 416
2	AUDREY SMALTZ: Is that what you're
3	saying?
4	COUNCIL MEMBER LIU: Because I'm in
5	agreement with you, see I don't support extending
6	it like this, but I
7	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: [Interposing]
8	Alright, okay, Councilman Liu, I think that we got
9	it the first two times.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER LIU: Okay.
11	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: I want to
12	thank this panel
13	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES:
14	[Interposing] to say to Ms. Smaltz. She's
15	famous and she opened up the doors for a lot of
16	African American women, she defined beauty and I
17	just wanted to recognize her, and thank you.
18	AUDREY SMALTZ: Thank you.
19	[Applause]
20	AUDREY SMALTZ: Thank you, Ms.
21	James.
22	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: You can clap
23	as long as it has nothing to do with term limits.
24	[Laughter]
25	AUDREY SMALTZ: That's right.

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 417
2	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Okay. Next
3	panel we have Peter Jolia, are you here? Raise
4	your hand please. Peter, Jose Monterasa.
5	MALE VOICE: can you please get
6	this lady out of here before there's an incident?
7	[Pause]
8	[Crosstalk]
9	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Excuse me.
LO	[Knocking]
11	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Nick. Dwayne
L2	Snowtea?
L3	[Crosstalk]
L4	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Jock Wari? I
L5	don't know if that is correct. Lisa Hendrikson?
L6	Doris Mitchell? Doris are you here? Cathleen M.
L7	Casey, are you here? Cathleen raise your hand,
L8	please come up. Karen Atlas? Karen Atlas?
L9	MALE VOICE: Jose Richard.
20	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Jose Richards?
21	Jose Richards?
22	MALE VOICE: Roxanne Delgado.
23	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Roxanne
24	Delgado? Roxanne Delgado, please come up. And how
25	many do we have? Eight, eight. No, she's coming

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 418
2	up. Okay.
3	[Pause]
4	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Do you have a
5	microphone? We'll start from my right, please.
6	Identify yourself, please keep your testimony to
7	two minutes.
8	LISA HENDRICKSON: Yes.
9	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Go ahead.
10	LISA HENDRICKSON: Hi, good evening
11	everyone, my name is Lisa Hendrickson and my
12	husband, Felix, and I own a company in the south
13	Bronx. We've been in the south Bronx for about
14	five years. We started a small company and
15	thankfully we've been able to grow and employ a
16	number of people from our local south Bronx
17	community. Part of our personal believe is about
18	keeping the money in the community and
19	participating with our community. We're known as
20	a neighborhood business and that's something that
21	we really appreciate. We've been able to train
22	our workers and leadership that many people in
23	this city have provided for us. It would be a
24	shame for us and our workers, again many of whom
25	are from our own community, to not have the option

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

of being able to express their own opinion where it counts and that they happen to believe that we're all here doing a good job. Small businesses are there day in and day out and we're the working spine of the economy. We need to have leadership that understands a small business community and I believe that mayor Bloomberg and many of the members of this very body know what small business owners face. I grew up in Albuquerque, New Mexico, but I picked New York City to build my company and in a lot less complicated place, I believe that there may be a less complicated solution for the current situations. A special place needs special rules and in these extraordinary times I think that we need to extend these rules. I believe unprecedented times calls for unprecedented measures. I urge the council to support this legislation. We know that we'll be facing difficult times soon and that we'd like to be afforded the opportunity to be able to choose between all of our available options.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you.

I'm going to change the order a little bit,

because we want to make sure the ratings stay high

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: I'm going to ask the woman on the left to testify.

ROXANNE DELGADO: Hello. Roxanne Delgado. As a female and as a minority, this really scares me. [Pause] Sure. Okay. As a female and as a minority, this really scares me that a few politicians can benefit themselves by overturning the will of the people. It hasn't been that long ago that women and people of color were not allowed or permitted to vote and I hold this right very sacred to my heart. So it's very upsetting that there is only two hearings and these hearings are not held throughout the city. Fat government is ignoring the peoples will and the cost of ignoring the peoples will is very Right now we have registered very new, young voters whose interest had grown due to this political presidential arena and I believe that this self serving policy will only disinterest a lot of new voters from participating in the city government. Also, I don't trust a few members of the city council members like Miguel Martinez,

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

who'd funded a lot of money to sisters non profit org, or to Christine Quinn regarding her slush funds and also regarding her \$600 an hour attorney on tax payers expenses. So, I'd rather trust the voice of the people and not the city council's. Thank you.

8 CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you.
9 Back to the right.

PETER GOLIA: Good evening, my name is Peter Golia and I thank you for having me here. I own a small real estate business and work with individuals in northern Manhattan. Ladies and gentlemen, times are getting tough. We need to have the opportunity to have consistent leadership. I support extending term limits because the current economic crisis. This new law will allow mayor, controller, public advocate and other elected officials to continue working hard for the city of New York and our residence. Mr. Bloomberg and his administration and many members of his council and local elected officials did a phenomenal job helping the city recover after They did it after 9/11. I believe given 9/11. the opportunity they would do it again. Our city

insider deal so voters have little true choice. Four, it is a lie that New York City's mayor or council members can do anything to relieve people of the burden of the economic disasters. merely can decide who gets the remaining crumbs. 4 ½, I already told some council members early in September that I will oppose each of you for any elective office because not one of you said a word about our central libraries in Manhattan being stolen when 2/3 of their budget comes from the New York City budget from the tax payers. Five, by

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

threatening to pass intro 845 you are forcing New Yorkers to struggle against this disgusting power grab in the last weeks before the US presidential election, which is our only chance to elect someone who actually will have the power to help us out of the world wide economic crisis. Six, council members are supporting the Bloomberg and Quinn power grab because when Hillary did not become the democratic parties candidate for president they lost their chance to move up in the game of musical chairs played by democratic party politicians in New York City. Seven, the vast majority of city council members are so far in Christine Quinn's pocket that not even the tip of a nostril can be seen peeking out.

[Applause]

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: We get back to the council member James clapping. Next witness, on the right, please.

[Pause]

DWAYNE SNOWTEA: Hello, Chairmen
Felder, members of the New York City council, my
name is Dwayne Snowtea, good evening. I want to
thank you first for having me here today to

testify as a concerned business owner. I live in
New York, this great city for many years now and
have had the opportunity to own my own business up
in Harlem for the past two years. I love serving
the people of my community and the best way I know
how is to open my door to them and see my
neighbors gather in my place of business, and
friends and family. I donate to the community and
I'm proud to have invested in the character of
that community. Mayer Bloomberg has been very
successful in turning this city around. We
concerned citizens need to make sure Mr.
Bloomberg, as the members of the body, have a
chance to continue to apply their experience to
municipal government, so that we can have the same
success we've had in other area of concern. We can
not let this present fiscal problem put us at risk
and stop the progress we've made in our
communities. I would like to at least have the
opportunity to vote for our mayor again so he can
continue to secure and protect our businesses,
schools and communities. Thank you very much.
CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thanks you.

Next witness on the left, please.

2.0

[Crosstalk]

DORIS MITCHELL: My name is Doris
Mitchell and I'm one of the ones that Bloomberg
turned his back on. I'm getting ready to be
evicted from my place in a New York City housing
authority. I say no to a third term. Also,
because of Bloomberg's money I don't feel it's
right that he can buy my vote or anyone else's
vote in New York City. We are the people, we
control the city, and it's up to us to decide no
to a third term.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you.

Next witness on the right, please.

much, my name is Jose, I work for several contracting companies and I'm very proud that I work on my own business right now. I want to thank all for mayor Bloomberg, for the hard work that he out put to make this city what it is. At this time it's hard to get work so I can keep my employees working so they can feed their families. I'm asking the city council to please support this law so we can have another chance to have Mr. Bloomberg and the members of his body run New York

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

City. He has helped us to keep crime down and make this city a better place to live. He has helped the school system get better and protect the future of our kids. I'm in favor of this sanction and this will give a business owner the chance to get over the hard times we are dealing to face. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you.

Final witness?

SHAR COARI: Hello, my name is Shar Coari. Ladies and gentleman of the council, it is an honor to be here today, I want to thank the city council for allowing me to voice my opinion as this committee considers the import issue of the extension of the term limits. I own to small restaurants in New York City. As a small business owner my funds are limited, and therefore I try to hire the best talent in order to provide quality service that will help my business grow. business such as mine add character to New York City neighborhoods. I believe we should have a choice to continue to choose leaders that are best fit, capable and willing to take on the foreseen challenges that face the neighborhood we invest

in. All of the other small business owners can
tell you we know how to take risks, we have to in
order to be in the restaurant business. Sometimes
you have to go with your gut. We have risky times
ahead, ladies and gentlemen, and we need to have
the opportunity to go with what we know. In
closing, I truly feel if an elected official is
doing a great job by taking care of his or hers
responsibilities, then the people should have the
right to re elect that person. Your support of
the term limit extension will give the public more
of an option when we go into the voting booth.
Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you to this panel and I appreciate your coming and waiting. Next panel: Jim Ferrat, Jim are you here? Raise your hand, please. Jim? Okay, he's coming back. Is that a religious thing?

[Off mic]

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Alright. Lila Sing? Please come up. Thank you. William Cunts? William Cunts? Are you here? Raise your hand.
Okay, Barbara Roth? Barbara? Barbara Roth?
Eurfon Sayid? You're here? Please come up.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Shelly Appell? Shelly? Raise your hand if you're here, please. David Longshore? David Longshore, please come up. Manuel Lebron? Are you here? Manuel Labron? Is that you? Please come up, have a seat. Ann Nunan? Ann Nunan, raise your hand when I call your name please. Yeah, Charles Sidamen? Dr. Perlah Tate? Please come up. George Delace? Is George Delace here? No. James Wong? James Wong, raise your hand, please. Mohammad Razvi? Okay, we have a full panel. Okay, we'll start from the right. Ma'am, please identify yourself, and again, may I remind you that although it's about 9:30 we're still having a hearing that relates to term limits. So if people can please try to focus their testimony on that, it would be greatly appreciated.

LILA SING: Good evening everyone, my name is Lila Sing. I've lived in New York City for 42 years and of course a registered voter.

The purpose of my coming here this evening is to voice my opinion about term limits, extension of term limits. I'm utterly opposed to it. Mayer Bloomberg and his cronies are attempting to change the term limits and it is, frankly speaking, quite

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

wrong. It's not only an outrage but, frankly speaking, it's obscene. Furthermore I request that the powers that be, meaning elected public servants, to not under estimate the collective power and strength of the voters of New York City. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you.

Now we go to the left.

MOHAMMAD RAZVI: Good evening, my name is Mohammad Razvi. I'm a prominent leader in the Muslim community here in New York. I'm here to encourage you to extend the term limits through intro number 845A. In the current economic crisis that we do have we have to maintain our stability and yes, after 9/11 many things happened and we were unsure. At that time we had already had the elections. At this moment, we can take a step first and prevent anything from happening. argued that this is undemocratic, but I disagree. I believe it's about giving the voters more choices and seeing what they really want to do. Yes, there are arguments against, that this is about personal interest and so forth, but I think we have to take a chance and let the voters make

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

those choices. I believe we deserve a chance to

vote for the person we feel has the best financial

management skills to help our city through these

tough times ahead. Thank you for your time.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you. Witness on the right.

EURON SAYID: Thank you council man, council members, committee members and chair Felder. My name is Euron Sayid, I'm going to keep my comments brief. I'm a real estate developer. We have the largest green real estate development in the northeastern United States. But I'm not here to talk about the mayors green initiatives and what he's done, I'm also not going to speak about how popular this mayor is in our community, the Muslim community or New York City, I'm going to speak as a private citizen, very briefly, and I urge all of you to support this bill. We are, not to bore all of you, I apologize I don't have any prepared comments, I've been very busy, just to touch on what the others said earlier tonight. You have to understand this really is the epicenter of the world financial markets and with the increasing volatility that we're facing right

now this is not the time, it never should be the
time and it never will be the time, but this
especially is not the time to come here, like some
kind of partisan hacks, on the left or on the
right, again this mayor's independent, accuse of
anyone of having cronies or of backing some kind
of personal agenda or trying to make themselves
some kind of a king. This is about a simple
democratic process and I urge all of you to think
very honestly and carefully about this bill and
what refusing the will of the people in supporting
this bill will mean for the next election and
you're all up for re election and we'll remember
whether or not you support this. I strongly urge
all of you to support this bill.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you, next witness on the left, please.

PERLA TATE: Good evening, thank
you for allowing me to have this enormous pleasure
of directing my views to the city council. I am
here strongly --

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: [Interposing]
What's your name?

25 PERLA TATE: My name is Dr. Perla

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Tate, I'm a gynecologist and I'm an immigrant who came into this country looking for what we have; democracy. I strongly agreed with the extension of the referendum and I really feel that Mr. Bloomberg should have the chance of four more I have a practice in a very underserved area in far Rockaway. Far Rockaway has been like a forgotten child and Mr. Bloomberg has been the only one that I can recall in the last 38 years that I've been in far Rockaway that have actually visited us and looked at our needs. One of my main concerns in the far Rockaway peninsula is the amount of patients that have no medical coverage. The main attention that I have to phase is the fact of pregnant patients that come into the office without any type of medical insurance. think that child abuse starts from the moment of conception when we don't give this mother the right vitamins and the right care. I am very honored and happy to see that because of Mr. Bloomberg we have every single pregnant lady in our practice covered, either by Medicare; I'm sorry, Medicaid or any of the HMO's that are Medicaid assisted. Which I'm very happy to see

when I realized that it was an extension of term

25

limits as opposed to an overturning of term
limits, and looking at the leadership that has
been exhibited by Mr. Bloomberg and in particular,
by the members of this city council, as a gay New
Yorker, I feel it very, very important that we
continue to turn this city and to keep this city
as a beacon of liberty for the rest of the world.
New York is the city of everyone. We are looked
to as an example, not for our money, not for our
wealth, not for our prosperity, but for the
example we send forward as a crucible of liberty.
I feel in this instance that as a non native New
Yorker, I came here because as a gay New Yorker, I
could be a gay New Yorker. As a consequence I
want to make sure that we keep New York City free,
safe and prosperous and with strong leadership.
Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you.

Next witness on the left, please.

CHARLES SIDEMAN: Hello, I'm Charles Sideman. I bring you greetings from Brooklyn. To those members of the council that have decided that the voice of the people, by their votes, to install and keep term limits is important, I thank

you for upholding true democratic principles. 2 3 This is more noteworthy because as a result some of you, these same people, will be ineligible to 4 run. So it tells me that you are speaking from 5 the heart. You are to be commended for putting our 6 7 laws in a position of primacy. I was in Virginia 8 last week, and as people tend to do while away, I struck up a conversation with a resident. 9 10 finding out I was from New York City the question 11 asked of me was if the mayor, and by extension 12 this council, understand exactly what is meant by 13 democracy. I had nothing to say except what is going on in the city. When the city had it's 14 15 financial crisis in the mid 70's we survived. 16 Laws were not changed to benefit those that 17 governed, and when the terrorists brought down the world trade center the idea was floated to 18 19 postpone elections or to help with the 20 transitioning. That too was roundly defeated. 21 Now we're being asked to accept a change in the 22 term law that will benefit those presently in 23 power. I have no problem with term limits either 24 way. I have a problem with the way this is being 25 done. This is wrong on very many levels. This is

economic development programs have places emphasis

on strong economic structures and businesses for

24

25

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 Hispanic and other so called minority groups.

3 This reflects positively on the economy,

4 especially in the most needed neighborhoods, which

5 I have spent over 30 years creating jobs. Anyone

6 sitting in here that has created jobs, you know

7 | what I mean. Business men and women will show

8 solidarity with the candidacy of mayor Bloomberg

9 for a third term period. This would allow the

10 city continuity of the economic development

11 process launch by mayor Bloomberg. For all these

12 reasons I support a third term limit, and I agree

with some council members, it should be taken to a

referendum, but you know what? The same way you

want to take it to a referendum, you should take

16 the referendum every time you want to raise your

17 salaries and let we the people decide what should

18 be your salary. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you, and the final witness on this panel?

ANN NUNAN: My name is Ann Nunan and I reside in the Riverdale section of the Bronx. I've lived in the Bronx for my entire life. I've lived in a democracy for my entire life and like many Americans and many New Yorkers

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I value my right to vote and the right for my vote to matter. Mayor Bloomberg's grab for power to extend his term in office is an ego trip that this city can not afford. We voted two times for term Mayor Bloomberg has no right to take it from us. Neither do any members of the city council. I am sad that council member Oliver Copell, who is supposed to represent the council district where I reside, has championed an undemocratic process of ramming a bill through the city council to change a law that we the voters voted for twice. Clearly, Mr. Copell does not respect the democratic processes and it is my fervent hope that he is never entrusted with public office again. I value the democratic system and believe that it is our civic duty to be ever vigilant and appreciate the efforts of all who worked to ensure people the right to be heard here today. However, it remains a disgrace that the New York City council is only permitting two days for a hearing about the very future of our city's democracy. I will not say goodbye to democracy, but I hope to say goodbye to mayor Bloomberg and any elected officials who do not

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 441
2	defend out democracy and defiantly vote against
3	the will of the people. Thank you.
4	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you.
5	Council member Vallone?
6	COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Thank you
7	Mr. Chair. I was accosted outside by two ladies
8	that had been here for eight hours and hadn't
9	testified yet, so I told them to send a letter to
10	Felder. F-E-L-D-E-R. But I do want to say that
11	first of all
12	[Pause]
13	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: As long as you
14	keep talking to them and let them send me the
15	letters. Alright?
16	COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Deal,
17	deal. But I want to thank all of you who wanted
18	now, eight or so hours to testify. This panel
19	also, because it really is a testament to your
20	investment of the democratic process and we look
21	forward to hearing from you. I wanted to thank
22	Mr. Sayid and Mr. Longshore are the only two names
23	I remember. Especially for two well reasoned
24	conjoin arguments on either side of the issue,
25	which did not resort to name calling of any kind.

It really did make the argument. For those who
will still testify; there are some undecided
council members up here, like myself, and we all
agree, all these council members, that the best
thing to do is to extend term limits from eight to
12 years. The question we are trying to decide is
whether the best way to do that is through
legislation or through a referendum on a special
election. Neither of those is the best way, we
all agree, but that's the hand we've been dealt.
So if you stick to that and don't talk to us about
who likes Mike Bloomberg, who doesn't like Mike
Bloomberg, we don't care, so I don't want you to
waste your time when you've been here 8 ½ hours,
you could probably vent about anything they want
at this point. I would like to hear, we would all
like to hear, why, between those two choices, why
we should go for one and not the other. So thank
you all for waiting around.

21 CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you.

Council member James?

COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Much has been said by the mayor of the city of New York in regards to his support of "minority businesses" in

CHAIRPERSON FELDER:

He's here?

25

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 444
2	Excellent. Sebastian Oolanga? Is there a
3	Sebastian Oolanga, please raise your hand. Elaine
4	Wondruck? Michael [Pause] Ukena?
5	[Off mic]
6	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Jukima.
7	Michael Jukima, I'm sorry. Raise your hand
8	please. Next. [Pause] Mr. X, are you here?
9	[Laughter]
10	[Crosstalk]
11	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Excellent.
12	Okay, Mark Seymour? Mark Seymour? Craig, can you
13	please be quiet as a call the names so that we
14	know whether somebody's here or not? Craig Trada?
15	Juan Daniels? Juan Daniels? [Pause] Juan, good,
16	thank you. Willie M. Drayson? Roland Rodgers?
17	Roland Rodgers? Arisha Jordan? Arisha Jordan?
18	Good, thank you for waiting. Carmen Astrea?
19	Thank you for waiting. Donato De Jesus? Donato
20	De Jesus? No? Brad Lander?
21	FEMALE VOICE: Brad was in there.
22	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: What? Brad
23	Lander, are you here? What?
24	[Off mic]
25	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: He's gone?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the mayor or the city council member or the - presidents term may occur. Mr. Chair, to allow the majority of the city council to vote in a self dealing manor, for an extension of term limits will demonstrate the moral and legal bankruptcy of those who vote in favor of 845A. To illegally extend term limits by a mere 26 votes, over the heads of 8 million citizens of New York City would be against every principle of democracy our nation is founded upon. The idea that this council and the mayor could eliminate the voice of the people in this rushed process is shocking to the conscience. A few years ago we watched citizens of Baghdad wave purple, painted purple fingers as a symbol of their belief in democracy. Now the Bloomberg Ouinn Bill is nothing more than a power grab designed to take away the rights of the voters of New York City. As they march, as the mayor and the speaker march New York into a banana republic this is truly a sad time. For the past six years I have served on community board 7 in Brooklyn. I proudly serve my community by attending monthly board meetings, committee meetings, public hearings such as this, but on a

2 Next witness, next to you.

MALE VOICE: I'm confused about 3 4 something. The term limits apply to city council 5 members, Michael Bloomberg, the public advocate; they don't apply to Robert Morgenthau [Phonetic]. 6 7 AKA Freddy Kruger, how come they don't apply to 8 him? He's been in office since 1975. And I would like to know he - - for years back, but that's 9 10 another story. They should apply to him too. Why is he an exception to the rule? If they can apply 11 12 to the city council members, like yourself, 13 Michael Bloomberg, the public advocate, the city 14 comptroller, they should apply, also the - -, they 15 should apply to Morgenthau as well. Since it 16 pertains to all city government and I'm surprised 17 this doesn't apply to the New York State government, the United States government, on those 18 19 levels you can stay in office as long as you want. 20 This is a double standard. The rule should apply 21 everywhere, not just here in New York City 22 government, but everywhere. As far as term limits 23 go, with or without term limits, if you're doing a good job, you're constituents will elect or re 24 25 elect you. If you're doing a bad job, they'll

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 449
2	just dump you off in the east river.
3	[Laughter]
4	MALE VOICE: So, to me, I became a
5	registered voter, a democratic voter in 2002, I
6	found out about the term limits as time went by.
7	To me, term limits don't matter. I'll tell you
8	what, you're doing a good job, you should stay in
9	office. If you're doing a bad job, if you're
10	involved in a scandal, like some politicians, then
11	you shouldn't be in office. But if you want to
12	change the term limit law, fine. Let us vote on
13	it. Okay, give us time to vote on it. I know why
14	Michael Bloomberg wants another term, he just
15	wants to
16	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: [Interposing]
17	Okay, can you
18	MALE VOICE: Just cause more
19	damage.
20	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Can you wrap
21	it up?
22	MALE VOICE: I just wrapped up.
23	[Laughter]
24	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you.
25	Next witness?

[Pause]

JUAN DANIELS: Hi my name is Juan
Daniels. We're not saying that this referendum is
going to make him the mayor automatically, we're
just saying that we want to vote on it. That's
what this is really all about. Whether you vote
for him or not, doesn't matter. So I really think
that we should push this forward and have a
referendum, because either way he's going to be up
for election anyways. All we're asking for is
more opportunities for someone to be on a ballot,
whether it be him, Ms. Quinn, whoever. Just
because we put a referendum in doesn't mean he
automatically jumps to being mayor again. It
means you get the opportunity to vote for him
again if you want to. So I'm in support of having
that referendum. Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you.

Next witness, please?

[Pause]

> ARISHA JORDAN: Good evening, my name is Arisha Jordan. I am a, well obviously a New York City resident, I currently live in far Rockaway Queens and I've heard a lot of things

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

tonight; a lot of pros a lot of cons, little bit of badgering and I'm not here to do any of that. It's really simple for me. I've also heard a lot of people talk about what they want for everyone and I can really only speak for myself and for me, I would like the choice. For me it's about I would like to be able to choose who I want in office or who I don't want in office. Т am in support of the bill and I just feel that, whether again, you choose Bloomberg to go in office or not, that's a choice I believe the people have a choice to make. If he can just be put on the ballot again, I tend to agree, with some of the things that have been said, it's not a matter of him automatically taking over power or him automatically jumping into ship and it's automatic to put him in, it's just a matter of him being able to be put on the ballot and it's up to the people whether or not they want to choose for him or not to choose. That's it, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you.

Next witness please?

CARMEN ASTREA: Hi my name is

Carmen Astrea. I was born in the Bronx and raised

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 452
2	in the Bronx, I'm going to keep it short and
3	sweet. I think a lot of people just got out of
4	the subject and I believe that everyone should
5	just have the choice. I just want to be able to
6	have the choice, to vote for him or not to vote
7	for him. So I'm all for referendum, and that's
8	it, have a nice evening everyone.
9	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you.
10	Next witness, please?
11	[Pause]
12	MICHAEL WHITE: Michael White,
13	noticing New York. Two minutes to testify rather
14	than the usual three. I'd like my limits extended
15	to five minutes, please?
16	[Laughter]
17	MICHAEL WHITE: I like what you
18	like, but you're going to have to like it
19	somewhere else. Everyone has gotten two minutes,
20	you're no better or no worse.
21	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Right.
22	MICHAEL WHITE: You don't like
23	participants changing the rules in the middle of
24	the game.
25	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Well, this is

2 coming off your time.

3

[Applause]

4 MICHAEL WHITE: Listen, noticing 5 New York believes that term limits value is most 6 important with the respect to certain offices, first and foremost the office of the mayor, 7 8 parallel to our federal system. Well we tend to disfavor term limits, we are absolutely against 9 10 their elimination in the middle of the 2009 11 election cycle. Most objectionable is the 12 Bloomberg Lauder billionaires pact designed to 13 make the repeal of term limits a special event for wealthy Michael Bloomberg. We have based our 14 15 strategies in communicating with our representatives and those running for office 16 17 understanding that term limits were the rules of 18 the game. Meanwhile, mayor Bloomberg was 19 communicating private with members of his real 20 estate development constituency that he was not 21 planning to have these publicly understood rules apply to him. Not fair, not fair to anyone. 22 23 Campaign finance questions highlight just one way 24 in changing the rules in the middle of the game is 25 unfair and problematic. The rules, including

those of campaign finance are already special for Mr. Bloomberg. This special change would probably not be ventured were his wealth not an operative factor. Mr. Bloomberg has told us that his uniquely equipped to help the city during the wall street crisis, we disagree. Warren Buffett, George Soros, Felix Rohatyn were among those who offered warnings about the financial national crisis before it started unfolding. We are not aware that Mr. Bloomberg offered any similar - - or unique warnings.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you.

Next witness, please.

when I'm here I'm speaking for a group, but tonight it's just me. This method of altering the term limits is another warping of our system. Why is there so much support for this? This is a pattern of the warping. Legalities aside, it's about the will of Michael Bloomberg and it has warped our system. Now I talk fast, during the Bloomberg administration power has been centralized in the office of the mayor. During his administration city budgets were slashed for a

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

number of non essential services like parks, child care, et cetera, but the mayor generously made up the short fall personally or by establishing special efforts that businesses could donate to in lieu of campaign contributions. It still looks like play for play. But, the effect has been these charities and city services are beholden to the mayor, not to the city. No wonder there's a hallelujah chorus that we've seen here tonight calling his extension. Mayor Bloomberg calls for an extension, the city council rolls over as long as they get to stick around. It's very inspiring. Mayor Bloomberg selflessly feels he's the only one to steer us through disastrous financial times, he doesn't trust the voters to agree with him by calling for a referendum. Hmmm. Well, he's never been big on the popular vote. Within two weeks of being sworn into his administration he said New York's building review process was onerous and he would do all in his power to get around it. results are all around us; a deadly joke of a buildings department, cranes falling on citizens, imminent domain abuse, untold millions in unnecessary subsidies to builders like Atlantic

yards, holes in the ground that will be with us		
for decades, millions of square feet of		
unnecessary and unoccupied office space. But he's		
a big picture guy, he must have seen this coming.		
During his administration manufacturing and light		
[Phonetic] industry were further driven out of the		
city and the city became wholly dependant on real		
estate, financial services and Wall Street. So		
now we're crippled, good job. This whole display		
of turning the term limits is another display of		
the warping and it's a good call for term limits,		
because some people have got to go. Brooklyn		
borough president Mary Markowitz has shown us the		
power of incumbency to by how you can keep your		
face in play with play per play money and that's		
not what I want to see from the New York city		
council, despite the example of mayor Bloomberg.		
Thank you very much.		

20 CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you,
21 next witness, please?

[Applause]

LEON DICKINSON: Good evening everybody, my name is Dr. Leon Dickinson, I'm a psychiatric social worker. I'm employed by the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Doe Fund [Phonetic] of New York and I also work part time private practice in Harlem. I never expected in my life time to see the world trade center come down like it did, I don't know what \$700,000,000,000 even looks like, but I know what mayor Bloomberg looks like. I know that this person has touched the community, he's touched people, and I've seen him with an apron on doing things in our community that I think means a lot to me. I'm not elaborate, I don't know exactly what everyone is saying about third fifth term, but I do believe that we need a special person for the special times. Things are happening in America that we've never seen before and I'm frightened about it. So, maybe Bloomberg or anyone else, based on how people have been talking, don't need third or fourth terms, I'm looking at a person that I believe has done a great job in New York City. I think everybody knows he's done a great job in New York City and not because he's only working for a dollar, maybe that's why he's done a great job in New York city, but I'm for the extension of the term limit. Thank you.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON FELDER: You and the 3 witness, I think, thank you.

DAVID WILLIAMS: Hi my name is Davie Williams [Phonetic]. I'm from the Brooklyn area. I like to say that I'm for Mike Bloomberg, honestly, I need to say that up front and I think he's done a fantastic job but when it comes to term limits, right? I believe that yes, we should have a right to vote; the people. Mike has done a fantastic job in my opinion; I'm talking about that we can all throw the blame anytime we want to about the things that go bad but can we stand up and account about the things that go good, because even when it was bad, he stood up with the same integrity. So it's not whether we're talking about whether Mike is good for the job or bad for the job, we're just talking about simply having the choice to vote in it and that's about it for me.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you very much. Council Member Vallone?

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Really quick, I wanted to answer Mr. X's question. I feel like I'm on Speed Racer. Mr. X, you asked

why Robert Morgenthau is exempt. Good question, it's not just Robert Morgenthau, it's every federal and state official which are exempt. The reason they're exempt is because it's in the constitution that you can't have term limits for state and federal officials. That's because our founding fathers thought term limits were so abhorrent to the democratic process that they ensure that you would have to amend the constitution in order to implement them. In New York City, however, we've got them because of one billionaire. So I just wanted to put it into a little context about what we're up against. Thanks.

[Pause]

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you to this panel. The next panel; Joanne Simon? [Pause] Patricia Zimmerman? Alex Sinclair? Please raise your hand. Alex Sinclair, raise your hand. No? Louise Velasquez? Christopher White? Thank you for waiting. Michelle Nievez? Michelle Nievez? Keith Allen? Keith Allen? Please raise your hand or say yes. James Winter? James Wintner, I'm sorry, James Wintner? [Pause] Sierra, I don't

PATRICIA ZIMMERMAN: Good evening,
my name is Patricia Zimmerman, I am a resident of
Staten Island and tonight I represent the Doe Fund

23

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

as a case manager. One of the things that I thoroughly believe in is if the wheel is not broke, don't fix it. What you do in the middle of a crisis is not remove any individuals until you're sure about the situation and moving forward with it. I thoroughly support the term limits, the extension of the term limits. I think whether or not people agree with Mike Bloomberg or not, one of the things that you can not take away from this individual is he is a financial genius. of the other things that I would like to say is this individual has supported the second chance This man believes in helping individuals who have not had an opportunity to help themselves or maybe they need a little help along the way. After doing 17 years in New York State prisons, I am a living witness of what the second chance act will do. So again, I say I thoroughly support Mike Bloomberg and his efforts to extend the term limits. Thank you very much.

22 CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you.

Next witness, please?

CHRISTOPHER WHITE: Thank you. How are you doing, my name is Christopher White.

Basically I'm here to be a voice for the young people. I'm 26 years of age, I'm from Harlem, home New York. I just basically want to say I'm for it, I'm for the extra term, you know what I'm saying? Because I know personally first hand of being given the opportunity for situations and things that he has done, Mike Bloomberg has done to give people coming out of jail and homeless people opportunities to do what they have to do to get back into society and be a productive member. Understand what I'm saying? I just, like I said, I just support it and I'm a keep it as that as a young person. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you.

Next witness, please?

MALE VOICE: Mr. Chairman, council members, thank you for the opportunity to talk.

You had to see the history of the world, it leaves a trail of blood and - - because people who stay in power forever, or want to be re elected and re elected because they don't want to give away.

That's why this world is suffering so much. And this country is blessed because we have term limits for the presidents from the beginning.

Τ	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 463
2	Nothing else will be killing each other or the
3	army killing people and the police committing
4	atrocity. We can not allow term limits to Mr.
5	Bloomberg because he was not even a legal mayor.
6	The legal mayor of New York City who say I
7	have a case in federal court that he's in the
8	fault.
9	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Okay, hold on
10	a minute. You can talk about term limits, is
11	there anything else you want to talk about term
12	limits?
13	MALE VOICE: Well I want to say why
14	you shouldn't let term limits
15	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: [Interposing]
16	Well no, you're talking about a court case and you
17	just said you're the real mayor.
18	[Crosstalk]
19	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: So if you want
20	you could; excuse me. If you; one minute.
21	[Crosstalk]
22	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: If you want
23	you can talk about whether we should extend your
24	term or not.
25	[Laughter]

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you.

Next witness, please? Can you pass the

he's not going to run for election.

23

24

25

2 microphone? Thank you.

3 GARY CANS: Good evening, my name 4 is Gary Cans, I live on east 23rd Street. 5 should not be debating the pros and cons of term limits while there's an attempt to over rule the 6 public will. Term limits have been approved by 7 8 the public twice in a referendum. After all, it's the supporters of Mr. Bloomberg who say this 9 10 proposal is really crap hitting the fan. 11 Bloomberg claims that he's the only one among 8,000,000 people who can lead us. I believe that 12 anyone with such a messiah complex can best be 13 treated by a health care professional, not the 14 15 city council. Mr. Bloomberg and his supporters claim that a pending financial crisis makes him a 16 17 billionaire, Wall Street insider, the only one ho can lead us. Well, now listen. That would be the 18 19 fox quarding the chicken coop. As for the alleged 20 financial crisis, I believe it was a set up from day one. Let's stop blaming sub prime mortgages 21 22 for everything in the world. A couple old people 23 lose their mortgages, that doesn't make everybody lose a trillion dollars from here to China. 24 So 25 let's just tell the media people to stop repeating

Mr. Chairman, council members, thank you for the opportunity to speak. My name is Charlene

Fletcher. I am a Brooklyn resident and I am here to express my support of the term limit extension because it gives the people the right to choose who serves in public office. As a citizen of the city of New York, I would like the right to choose. Thank you.

10 CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you.

Next witness, please?

rame is Estelle Patchio. I am a lifelong New
Yorker, from the lower east side of Manhattan. I
am also a member of the executive committee of the
New York County Independence Party. My council
member is Allen Grison, and although I speak to
all the members of the government operations
committee I am especially addressing him, even
though I think he's left. In 1993 and 1996 the
elector voted for term limits and in the 12 years
sense the core reasons this was passed has not
changed. There's still a threat of career
politicians abusing their power to remain in
office; not for the people they represent, but to

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

serve their own best interest. Yes, term limits eliminate the choice of the incumbent, however, voters decided twice that we don't want that choice. We have decided that we will for go that option in favor of a more vibrant and fresh array of choices. Has the power of incumbency dissolved in these last 12 years? Have we discovered a new way to solve the incumbent's advantage? No, we haven't, but it seems you've discovered a way to turn your back on the people of New York. Finally, I'd like to address the council use of fear tactics to scare New Yorkers into accepting this bill. Yes, I acknowledge we are in a crisis but who says this mayor and these city council members are the only one's who can help us? say it. Not the people. I have not heard an outcry in favor of this amendment. It does not come from the people. Yes, circumstances have changed, but in times of crisis we should go back to the voters. I recognize this crisis and acknowledge that we need strong leadership, but we do not need people who steal democracy. Then just one more thing to councilman Vallone; we do have federal term limits, the president is under term

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 469
2	limits.
3	[Applause]
4	ESTELLE PATCHIO: Our founding
5	father, our founding father George Washington, he
6	stepped down after doing a great job as president
7	after two terms. He realized that and stepped
8	down.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: The
10	constitution was amended for the president to have
11	those term limits.
12	ESTELLE PATCHIO: So we do have
13	federal term limits for the president?
14	COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: The
15	constitution was amended, not for the legislature.
16	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Next witness,
17	please?
18	ALLAN WISEMAN: Good evening, my
19	name is Allen Wiseman. I'm a native New Yorker now
20	residing in Bushwick. I'm also a member of the
21	executive committee of the kinds county
22	independence party. As a recent college graduate
23	with \$30,000 in student loans, I understand as
24	well as any Wall Street businessman executive the
25	economic crisis we're in, maybe even more so. We

live in a time in which a college education has become as much as a financial burden as it is a benefit. My college education has to support me and my younger cousin who spends a year in Afghanistan killing people in the name of democracy, only to return home to find out that no body will hire him.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: [Interposing]

Excuse me, do you want to talk about term limits?

ALLAN WISEMAN: I am getting there

if you'll allow me.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Well you'll have to get there a little quicker.

ALLAN WISEMAN: I live paycheck to paycheck and often for go eating in order to pay the rent and I live in a neighborhood in which homeless people are at every corner and look to me and other people on the street for help. I know full well the economic crisis we are in, but I also know that in times like this we should not be afraid of change, we should welcome it. We do not need less democracy, we need more. I would also like to point out that the proposed amendment, 845A, is by nature in direct violation of public

21 22 quote.

23 [Laughter]

24 Council Member CHAIRPERSON FELDER:

25 Brewer?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 uncomfortable. Next please?

ROBERT CONROY: Thank you, good evening Chairmen Felder, the committee members and the other city council members here. My name is Robert Conroy. I'm the chairmen of the kings county independence party. I'm testifying against bill #845A and all the bills in front of you. mayors proposal - - the city charters term limits. This law was added by the voters through the 1993 voters referendum. The city council may have the legal right to amend the city charter that was added through a voter referendum, but it doesn't have the moral right. If the city council and the mayor passes this law, they're infringing on the democratic rights of voters. The mayor and those who oppose - - that term limits limit the right for incumbents to run for office, it does, as many people have said. The voters understood the power of incumbency undermines the democratic process. It was the voters choice to do this and the mayor and the city council should respect that. mayor says he's doing this because of the economic crisis, so a solution to the economic crisis it to undermine the democratic process. The solution to

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

an economic process is to enhance our democracy. This was done by including more people into the decision making process. The voter referendum process does this and enhances our democracy and that's how we got term limits. I conclude with disagreeing with former governor Mario Cuomo, who testified much earlier today, when he said "Morality is personal." I disagree; it's a political issue for a society. It is immoral for the mayor and the city council to tell voters that their votes don't count and disregard the voters decision. The mayor and the city council have no more right to undermine the democratic process, I urge you to vote against the mayors undemocratic bill and also in response to councilman Vallone and other city council members, the bill, the voter initiative in 1993, had tens of thousands of people signing a petition to put it in front of the mayors. That's where initiatives should come from. That's the ironed out process. This is coming form the city council and the mayor, 52 people deciding this. Initiatives were set up for that reason and then voters can vote. And if the state of New York had referendum, you don't think

honored to be able to address you all. I saw council member John Liu on TV, on New York 1 about a week or so ago, come out against this. Usually I agree with what he says so I was surprised. I am for the extension of term limits and somebody earlier mentioned George Washington, who is staring down at us there from the picture, and he served two terms and it set a precedence. But I think that concept of term limits, and shuffling things around is one thing, but I think that just limiting it to just two terms is not enough and I'd like to see that extended. I'd like to have the council extend that and allow for three terms, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you.

Next witness, please?

JOHN MCDONALD: Thank you, my name's John McDonald. I'm a resident of Manhattan and I want to thank the mayor and the city council for their tireless work on behalf of all New Yorkers. [Pause] I do not support term limits. I did not vote on the issue in '93 or in '96. But had a voted in '96 I would have voted for the extension. As my elected representatives I am

2.0

asking the city council to vote for an extension.

Less than a third of all registered voters express an opinion and either 1993 or 1996 with respect to term ballots. Those voters did not speak for me and their vote was in another century. I shouldn't be bound by their choices. We live in a democratic county with regular elections. In those elections we should have the right to vote for the people we want to lead us. Not being able to vote for a candidate of my choice interferes with my right to free speech and expression. Any political process in a democracy is supposed to allow for freedom of expression and choice. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you.

Next witness, please?

BEN HABER: My name is Ben Haber.

This council has nine hearing for horse carriages.

That you have two hearings back to back into wee hours of the morning is outrageous. You have an obligation to extend this to five more hearings and if you don't do that you aught to be ashamed of yourself.

[Applause]

BEN HABER: Sitting here all these
hours, horses are more important than tax payers.
The scenario being spin to the public is a current
financial crisis decrying a change of change of
term limits so mayor Bloomberg can seek a third
term and council members a free ride on his back
because his financial background will, in some
undefined way, make him the savior of this city.
Held up to scrutiny there's no basis for such
claim. The current crisis is not simply New York,
it's not even the United States, it's global and
it's not going to be solved by you and it's not
going to be solved by the mayor and who's to say
that this Wall Street mayor, who didn't have a
clue about it, would be any better than Mr.
Thompson, a respected comptroller, or Mr. Wiener,
a respected congressman or Mr. Bella, a member of
this committee? You have none of that at all. I
wan to address another thing. You're talking about
1,000,000 people voted? Well that's a hell of a
lot more than a hand full of council people. That
has nothing to do with it.

[Applause]

BEN HABER: If you want to oppose

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 484
2	[Off mic]
3	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Do you have a
4	question? Council Member Jackson.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: Thank you
6	Mr. Chair and good evening panel. I just wanted
7	to basically communicate with respects to this
8	term limit extension that's on the table. I don't
9	know if you were here earlier when I said that I,
10	as a council member, I was never in support of
11	term limits at all, even before I ran for office.
12	So I want you to know my position has never
13	changed on term limits. But with respects to many
14	of you make an assumption that mayor Bloomberg and
15	the city council members will be re elected
16	automatically. That is not the case. As you
17	know, each member, if in fact their going to run
18	for re election, must petition, must get on the
19	ballot, and must be elected by the people of their
20	district. More specifically, in the borough of
21	Manhattan
22	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: [Interposing]
23	Question?
24	COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: I'm sorry
25	I'm going to

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 485
2	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: [Interposing]
3	Did you have a question?
4	COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: in the
5	1993 referendum the people of Manhattan, New York
6	county, did not support the referendum and in 1996
7	when there was a vote for an extension, the people
8	of Manhattan voted for the extension. So I didn't
9	know if they were here when I made that earlier
10	statement.
11	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you.
12	Council Member Liu, do you have a question?
13	COUNCIL MEMBER LIU: Yes, I do have
14	a question. It's the same question I had asked
15	before of some other people. There are a couple
16	of gentlemen here to testified that they would
17	like to see the extension take place and my
18	question, again to you is
19	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: [Interposing]
20	Who are you posing the question to, council
21	member?
22	COUNCIL MEMBER LIU: Posing it to
23	these two gentlemen in the middle.
24	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Which ones?
25	COUNCIL MEMBER LIU: Both of them.

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 486
2	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: You did that
3	four hours ago.
4	[Laughter]
5	COUNCIL MEMBER LIU: Alright, you
6	guys decide which one is going to answer. The
7	question is would you be in favor or against us
8	putting this to a referendum in February?
9	MALE VOICE 5: I would be against
10	putting this to a referendum in February. I don't
11	know that there's enough time and I think that
12	this is a very important issue and from
13	COUNCIL MEMBER LIU: [Interposing]
14	You don't think there's enough time between now
15	and February to get it on February? Because the
16	legal analysts, even Governor Cuomo said before,
17	that yes, it's doable.
18	MALE VOICE: Well maybe there
19	smarter minds than I. But
20	COUNCIL MEMBER LIU: [Interposing]
21	But if it was possible would you rather us put it
22	before the voters and let them decide if the term
23	limits should be extended from three terms to two
24	terms?
25	MALE VOICE: I would rather

Τ	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 48/
2	COUNCIL MEMBER LIU: [Interposing]
3	Do you want us just to do it, like a couple dozen
4	of us do it?
5	MALE VOICE: I would like you to do
6	it, and then to study it and make a decision as to
7	what the
8	COUNCIL MEMBER LIU: [Interposing]
9	So to do it and make the decision before we study
10	it?
11	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: I'm sorry?
12	Come on, Council Member James?
13	COUNCIL MEMBER LIU: Thank you.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: My question
15	is to the gentlemen who was opposed to the
16	extension term limits by legislation. Do you
17	agree with Council Member Vallone's position and
18	his statement earlier, and if not why not?
19	[Off mic]
20	MALE VOICE: There isn't anybody in
21	
22	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: It's on, just
23	bring it closer.
24	MALE VOICE: There isn't anybody in
25	this city over the age of 6 months that there's a

battle going on between the mayor and some council members. He had plenty of time, and they had plenty of time, to have a referendum. I resent being told that I did something wrong when you're the ones who didn't do what you should have done. We have a right to have, we can be heard at the ballot, it's called a referendum. It's done all over the country, it can be done here. So please don't tell us you don't have enough time, you've had plenty of time. You chose not to do it.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Council Member

Brewer?

the same person that Council Member Vallone was pointing to, because I'm afraid we don't know everybody's name, I was wondering if you work with a non profit or not, because I have one concern which is of course the non profit community that's trying to figure out how many individuals to work with over time and government changes are hard for the non profit community. I was just wondering if you're basing some of your wish for the council to make the vote on the non profit community or is it just because you're interested in your own

```
1
              COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 489
 2
      personal feelings?
 3
                     CHAIRPERSON FELDER:
                                          Is there
 4
      anyone at the panel that is employed by a non-
 5
      profit, can you raise your hand? Two, is that
      okav?
 6
                     COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I was just
 7
 8
      wondering if it's harder --
 9
                     MALE VOICE: [Interposing] I was
10
      just expressing my opinion based on choice.
11
                     CHAIRPERSON FELDER:
                                          Thank you,
12
      next panel. Terrance Yang? Please say something,
13
      again. Daniel C. Fitzpatrick? Steven Beard, I
      think? Steven's here? Great, thanks for waiting.
14
15
      Dave Turpin? Thank you for waiting.
                                            Iris
16
      Clair...from Grand Street? Are you here, I'm
17
      sorry I can't read your last name. Iris, are you
      here? Is anyone here named Iris, raise your hand.
18
19
      Okay, so you're not here. Allison Keener? Allison
20
      Keener? Ann Anakeener? Okay. Joey Klinger? Lee
21
      Almon? Joanna West? Joanna West?
                                          No. Jennifer
22
      Silver? Excellent, thank you. Thank you for
23
      waiting. Maura Reeny? Thank you for waiting.
      Marcie Benstock? Marcie Benstock? Warren Ginseng?
24
25
      Warren Ginseng? What?
```

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 490
2	[Off mic]
3	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Oh okay.
4	Virginia Hill? Virginia Hill? Katrina Foy?
5	Courtney Walsh? Courtney Walsh? Thank you, do we
6	have any more seats or is that it?
7	[Off mic]
8	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: One more?
9	Nancy Olecky? okay, thank you for waiting.
10	Okay, [Pause] we start from the right.
11	STEVEN BEARD: Hi, my name's Steven
12	Beard. I am a college student, I'm a political
13	activist and I'm a resident of Astoria. Well, my
14	statement is that this month the city's evading
15	one of the biggest issues, one can argue that this
16	is the city, but the decision that will be made
17	will determine whether future mayors or city
18	councils will use this as a president and exert
19	their will over the will of the populace. There
20	are many questions surrounding this vote. Will the
21	power of money win this debate? Will the
22	committee shared by the number one recipient of
23	Bloomberg member items allow the mayor to shove
24	through this bill which aims to circumvent the
25	will of the people to benefit those currently in

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Michael Bloomberg has a hug financial power? advantage over the people. Our billionaire mayor has lots of money he can donate to our elected officials, as he has done in the past, to get their support for his agenda. Can he buy the votes of our city council? This is the one opportunity the members of the city council have to prove to their constituents what they have been telling us all their careers; that they work for us and not for any special interests. Our council members have an opportunity to show us they are in fact the representatives, and not the representatives of Bloomberg and his defecto [Phonetic] Deputy mayor, Christine Quinn. And jus to warn all the members of the city council, the people will remember how you vote on this bill. All of us here today, whether political activists or just concerned constituents will remember. Those who vote for their interests and not the peoples can expect major opposition in their own districts. You work for us not the mayor. issue here today is not term limits, its democracy and whether the people can have their decision overturned by the representatives.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

representatives are entitled to desire a change for a term limits law, but they should bring the question to the people not decide for themselves. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you.

Next witness, please?

DAVE TURPIN: I had written good afternoon, but I guess I should say good evening. My name is Dave Turpin, I speak today on behalf of the queens county young democrats. I am a Queen's resident, a public school teacher, a business owner, but most important I am a husband and father to two little girls. As someone interested in politics and government of all levels, I often talk to my five year old daughter Charlotte about the issues in language she can understand. example, she is looking forward to coming with me next month to vote for Barack Obama and she knows that people in the city council help to make their schools better and side walks cleaner. A few weeks ago she saw me reading about the current term limits discussion and so explained to Charlotte that the rules may change so that the mayor of New York and other leaders, like the city

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

council, can stay in their jobs for four more vears. She responded, and I quote, "oh, when do we get to vote on that, daddy?" It is embarrassing that I had to explain to my five year old that we may not vote on that. embarrassing that there is even a debate over whether this should be done legislatively or via voter referendum when there is time. It. is embarrassing that Michael Bloomberg called a legislative change in term limits disgusting just a few years ago and then introduced this bill. Ιt is embarrassing and really quite sad that Bloomberg has gone for being a fearless leader beholden to no party and no special interests, and a terrific leader in that, to a politician ignoring the will of the people with one undemocratic, purely political maneuver. I am philosophically against term limits, but the issue before you, though many people have confused it, is not about term limits, it's about how they should change if they are to change by a vote of the 51 members of the council, or a vote open to all New Yorkers. It's about democracy versus political maneuvering. I understand it may be

legal for you to change term limits law, despite
the fact that New Yorkers voted otherwise twice,
but is it moral? Is it the right thing to do to
vote on the potential to extend your own jobs
when New Yorkers have twice voted against this.
I'll finish up. I have great respect for public
servants and for the political process. In fact,
I've been told on numerous occasions, by people
that obviously don't share that same respect, that
I shouldn't go into politics because it's too
dirty. But when I'm told that I respond that I'm
happy to still be an idealist and I do believe
there are a lot of good honest people in politics
in New York and beyond.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Are you

finished?

DAVE TURPIN: I am just about

finished. I know some of you in this room and I know you all are good honest people. I urge you to consider joining those who oppose Bloomberg's bill because the will of the people must not be ignored because this issue and those of you on each side of the bill will not soon be forgotten and most of all because it is the right thing to

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

do. Thank you and I just want to single out and thank councilman Robert Jackson for joining my facebook group for New Yorkers for our vote to count against this bill.

[Laughter]

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Next witness,

please?

JOEY KLINGER: Hi my name is Joey Klinger. I live in Brooklyn. For me the issue is not about whether or not mayor Bloomberg and other council members have done a good job or will do a good job, but about the legitimacy of term limits in general. In my opinion term limits are disrespectful to the voters because they imply that we are unable to make our own decisions about who should or should not lead. Yes, small portions of the electoral voted, more than ten years ago, for term limits. Yet the very existence of this issue on the ballot was undemocratic. It is fundamentally unfair for one generation of voters to lose its freedom of choice due to the so called democratic choice of another generation. A true democracy let's every citizen decide for him or herself. Let us honor this

please?

3	JENNIFER SILVER: Hi, my name is
4	Jennifer Silver, I was born and raised in Bayside
5	Queens and currently live in the upper west side
6	in Manhattan. I strongly urge the city council to
7	pass the term limits extension bill immediately
8	and allow us, the people of New York, to exercise
9	our democratic right to vote for the best man or
10	woman for the job. Regardless of the number of
11	years that person has been doing the job. I think
12	it was best said in the October 1, 2008 New York
13	Times editorial which was entitled The Limit of
14	Term Limits, which stated "the bedrock of American
15	Democracy is the voters right to choose. Though
16	well intentioned, New York City's term limits law
17	severely limits that right. The law is
18	particularly unappealing now because it's
19	structured in a way that would deny New Yorkers at
20	a time when the city's economy is under great
21	stress, the right to decide for them whether an
22	effective and popular mayor should stay in office.
23	The eight years of churning or changes that the
24	other folks here have mentioned that have been put
25	in place, again, 10 or 15 years ago, before I was

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

involved in voting for those types of things, means that just as any mayor is beginning to make a positive impact it's time to leave office. mayor gets replaced every eight years regardless of whether or not there's a qualified successor, and that really scares me. The most economic complex and demographically diverse city in the US is gambling, as far as I'm concerned, on who should lead it and is not necessarily going to pick the best man or woman. If the best man or woman did a great job for eight years or twelve years, we should be allowed, as a people, to vote for that person again. So I'm looking for the term limits extension bill to be passed but I want to add onto the question that somebody else had asked , which is that you guys all agree to it you're just talking about how to do it. So my feeling is, I'm a business person. Cut the costs. I don't want to spend anymore of my limited tax payer money and my falling mutual fund profits and my tax dollars on paying for a gazillion people to go out and vote again. We voted you into office, do the work for us, just like the congress is trying to save the world and the economy right

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 499
2	now. So help us out, don't spend my money to do
3	what we voted you guys to do. Thank you.
4	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you.
5	Next witness, please?
6	[Pause]
7	MAURA REENY: Good evening, Mr.
8	Chairman, committee and council members. My name
9	is Maura Reeny and I live in the beautiful borough
10	of Brooklyn. I just want to respectfully request
11	that the council has the courage to pass the term
12	limit extension. The same editorial that MS.
13	Silver quoted I also want to just mention two
14	sentences from that. I am opposed to term limits;
15	I think the editorial page said it best. "Term
16	limits are seductive, promising relief from
17	mediocre self perpetuating incumbents and grid
18	lock legislatures. They also are profoundly
19	undemocratic arbitrarily denying voters the
20	ability to choose between good politicians and
21	bad." I want to be able to choose, so please pass
22	the extension.
23	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you.
24	Next witness, please?
25	COURTNEY WALSH: Good evening, my

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

name is Courtney Walsh and I'm a mid town west resident. First and foremost, I would like to thank the entire city council for --

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: [Interposing]

Can you just pull the mic a little closer. Thank

you.

COURTNEY WALSH: Sure. Okay. Like I said I wanted to thank the city council for hosting these important hearings. Also, most importantly I hope that the council will consider hosting additional hearings throughout the city to address this important matter, as it has been discussed earlier. Like many Americans I strongly believe in democracy and voters rights. resident living in the epicenter of the current economic crisis, I think it's important that mayor Bloomberg, along with the city council, remain focused and streamline their efforts to aid the city. In this current climate it's vital that a representative focus on the important issues. That said, I strongly urge the council to vote yes on erasing the two term limit rule, and a hope for a comprehensive and equipped resolution. Thank you.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you very

3 much, next witness, please?

NANCY OLECKY: Good evening, my name is Nancy Olecky. I wanted to thank everyone for the opportunity to speak here this evening. I am a native New York City resident. I currently live in mid town Manhattan with my husband. in favor of this critical extension. I want the opportunity to vote for who I would like to represent me. I believe term limits prevent this. I was ineligible to vote 15 years ago due to my age, so I'm here tonight at five to 11pm urging you the council to speak on my behalf and vote to extend the limits. Those who voted more than 15 years ago did not speak for me nor would I believe my generation. I should not be bound by these old choices. Please return that right to me and let me choose my own leaders next year. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you very much and thank you to the entire panel. Next

Panel: Maria Pergano? Please say something, yes, hello, thank you. Monique Green? Here? Monique Green? Cheryl Krause? Betsy, I think it's

Combier? Hmmm? Combler? Is Betsy Combler? Huh?

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 502
2	[Off mic]
3	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Combier?
4	Okay. Reverend Marterino [Pause] James Caldwell?
5	I don't know, I guess they have a lot to say. I'm
6	not sure what it says. Marie Lewis?
7	[Off mic]
8	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: These
9	also. I'm going to go through this quickly so we
10	don't miss anybody. I think she spoke. Nadine
11	Reese? Jessica Murray? Joe Lieberman? Joe
12	[Laughter]
13	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Is there a Joe
14	Lieberman? Good. Efrain Gonzalez? Efrain
15	Gonzalez? The third, I'd ask my colleagues to
16	please behave. I understand. Amanda Miretti?
17	Lisa Pelase? Lisa Pelase? Mary Arushian? Mary?
18	Thank you for waiting, Mary. She's been very
19	patient. Rabi Tenenbaum? Yes. Lisa who? Yes.
20	Have a seat. Please have a seat. There are some
21	more names here. Harbashan Sing? Morgan, I think
22	it's what? You want to do the names? Morgan
23	Pain, I think? P-H-M-E. Scott Caplan? Thank you
24	for waiting. Shelly Hagan? Thank you for
25	waiting. [Pause] Frank Lovace? Lovace? Or

limits which were put in place just exactly for

25

that reason. I don't think he's a great mayor, I think he's an awful mayor and if he wants a third term let him put it to a vote and let him get the popular support that he pretends that he has because we all know that that man does not have popular support from the tax payers that he is squeezing the life blood out of in New York City to make way for tourists. That's all I have to say, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you.

Next witness, please? Just press the button.

SHELLY HAGAN: Good evening, my name is Shelly Hagen. I'm a private in the citizen infantry led by Letitia Braveheart James, 35th CD Brooklyn. Speaker Quinn has come up with a great way to raise money in our newly straightened circumstances. Sell advertising space on city property. Leading my example, she has sold the speakers office to Mr. Bloomberg, who's big add on her office door says "the people be damned." Mr. B and Ms. Q have shared a happy life in their three years adieux at city hall, puttering through the daily rounds, earmarking subsidies for favorite developers, making slush funds for special

friends, making up funny names for special slush
funds, but this sweet steadiness is suddenly no
more. The mayor went to Germany to get an award
for fighting tobacco, and when he got back, went
on TV and told New York City "The facts have
changed, nothing is the same. Wall Street has
fallen and the people are being thrown out of work
by the hundreds and thousands. Don't panic
though, because the speaker and mayor have a plan
to save as many as 35 jobs right here at city
hall. Make these jobs safe for four more years
until 2013." The beauty of the plan is that it
removes the voters. If they got another crack at
term limits they'd probably vote wrong anyway.
They already have twice. And if Wall Street
crashes again in the next couple of years, we non
voters will reap the manifest benefits of having
the same people in command as this crash around.
[Pause] I'm pointing to that.

21 [Pause]

22 CHAIRPERSON FELDER: -- witness,

23 please?

SCOTT CAPLAN: My name is Scott

Caplan. I am a resident of the union square area.

I am active in progressive democrats for America,
democracy for New York City, Grammar C Stiverson
[Phonetic] independent democrats and the Tilden
democratic reform club. The issue today is not
whether you, as council members support term
limits. The issue is whether you will respect the
will of people as expressed in two referenda. It
is a conflict of interest for council members to
extend their terms in office. It is a conflict of
interest for non profits receiving money from the
city of New York to have individuals here
testifying to extend terms for politicians who are
sending money to their offices. On the merit, I
might support referenda to extend terms of council
members, but not of the mayor. We need to
strengthen the role of the city's legislative
process, and that means selecting a speaker who
will act as an aggressive leader for the city
council instead of acting, as many people have
called her today, as deputy mayor for Michael
Bloomberg. Thank you very much.

23 CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Next witness,

24 please?

25 RABBI GERSHIN TENENBAUM: Thank you

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

chairman Felder, honorable council members and ladies and gentlemen listening. Thank you for allowing my participation in this process. I am Rabbi Gershin Tenenbaum, the spiritual leader of a congregation of - - Israel of Linden heights, which is in - - Brooklyn. I'm also the director of the - - alliance of America, representing almost 1,000 orthodox rabbi's who lead congregations, provincial schools and charitable organizations across the United States, but I'm here speaking on my own. The rabbinical alliance, by the way, is headquartered here in New York City too. also proud to live in New York City, the absolute greatest city in the world. The mayor and this admired city council has helped make and keep this city great. The greater observant Jewish community has historically, consistently been inclined to support the re election of incumbent successful office holders. In order to maintain the stability and continuity of the present productive governments, I strongly encourage the quick and efficient extension of term limits to allow the possible re election of the mayor and current members of the city council

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 509
2	in the face of the historical challenges
3	confronting us. Scientific polls quoted in the
4	local newspapers indicate that a clear majority of
5	city residents support this quick extension.
6	Thank you.
7	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Next witness,
8	please?
9	JOE LIEBERMAN: Hi, my name is Joe
10	Lieberman and I'm a senator. Thank you.
11	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Are you sure?
12	[Laughter]
13	JOE LIEBERMAN: As long as they
14	sitting here between the people, not up there.
15	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Very good.
16	Thank you.
17	JOE LIEBERMAN: Unless even a
18	senator is treated equally like everybody.
19	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you,
20	continue, please.
21	JOE LIEBERMAN: Thank you. First
22	of all thank you Mr. Felder, chairman of the
23	committee on governmental operations. If I may
24	ask a question from the council members, I've
25	appreciated

Don't do it. So coming the last minute with

25

laws that people have forgotten and just giving

25 [Laughter]

more tickets for people.

23

24

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 512
2	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Alright.
3	JOE LIEBERMAN: from Con
4	Edison. When people in the don't have air-
5	conditioning
6	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: [Interposing]
7	Mr. Lieberman?
8	FEMALE VOICE: Don't interrupt the
9	Senator.
10	[Laughter]
11	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Next witness,
12	please?
13	JOE LIEBERMAN: Thank you.
14	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: You're
15	welcome.
16	GEORGE ROWSKWIST: As chairman and
17	the esteemed members of the city council, my name
18	is George Rowskwist. I'm a director of Freedom
19	Now, which is a non partisan public advocacy group
20	based on Staten Island and I'm a resident of
21	Staten Island. I thank you for this opportunity
22	today to appear on their behalf and on my behalf,
23	in opposition to any authorization of the existing
24	lure by that public vote, twice given, in 1993 and
25	in 1996, establishing term limits of no more than

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

two terms of office. After numerous public hearings and much debate, the referendum was offered to the people of the city of New York who have expressed their will at the voting booth that limits on the term of office are necessary and desired to put an end to entrance politics in our seat of city governments. My testimony that I have given in writing that I wanted to have, - - , but I've heard some things that were said here tonight that I want to deviate a little bit from what I had originally intended to say. But the one thing that I do want to point out is that mayor Bloomberg is suggesting this under the pretext that he has some sort of superior knowledge and that he has some sort of - - skills that he can fix the problem, yet mayor Bloomberg said in a recent interview on a BBC television program Hardtalk, in London, and if he could be taken at his word, he said that the state of affairs is beyond the qualifications of many people, he says, but there are many people that are qualified to me mayor and that there is no way of knowing what the answers are or knowing who's going to be heard by what action or whatever.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

What he's saying is that he doesn't know any more than anyone else how to fix the problem. He has to deal with it as it comes as anyone else would have to do. There is no specific reason for extending term limits for someone who knows no better than any body else how to fix it. Now number two, I keep hearing tonight people saying that extending the term limits -

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: [Interposing]
Can you please wrap it up?

GEORGE ROWSKWIST: Okay. That allowing the people to vote, to choose, whether they want him to run or not, is the right thing, is the peoples choice. That's wrong. The people voted in 1993 and 1996 that you are not to run again, period. That is what they voted for. ignore that vote is to deprive those people of their voting right and I'm sorry, but people here are saying that we don't feel that we should go by a vote that was done 12 years ago, the point is I voted 12 years ago, I want my vote to count. That's the same as saying well then the constitution shouldn't could because I wasn't here when they made the constitution. Alright?

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 515
2	[Laughter]
3	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you very
4	much.
5	GEORGE ROWSKWIST: Thank you.
6	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you to
7	this panel. Danny Shapiro? Good, thank you for
8	waiting. Andre Calvert? Thank you for waiting.
9	Andrew Stengle? Andrew Stengle? Joseph Grava? How
LO	do you pronounce it? Gravan, thank you. I'm
11	sorry.
L2	[Off mic]
L3	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: I didn't hear
L4	what the; yes. Mark Seymour? Mark Seymour?
15	[Pause] Olaji Yiwa? [Pause] Dr. Lenora Felani?
L6	This says for Friday morning. Okay. Craig Trada?
L7	Craig are you here? John C. Whitehead? Dr. Kazas?
18	[Pause] Christabell Ghoul? Ghoul? No, there she
L9	is. I never pronounce the names right, I
20	apologize. Patty Hagan? I'm coping. [Pause]
21	Richard Laurie? Thank you, please come up. How
22	many more do we have? Two more? David Quintana?
23	Does that mean you want to testify? Please, come
24	up. Keith Allen? Thomas Breen? Excellent.
25	Helene Cohen? Just have a seat nearby. This is

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 516
2	the last panel. George So no, no clapping what
3	so ever. Even from the camera crew. George
4	Sotrioff? Are you here George? Where did Helene;
5	good. Ed Cashanski? Ed Cashanski? Okay, this;
6	Helene Cohen is here, right? That's you. Yes.
7	This is the last panel. Since Helene is at the
8	corner, I don't know what sense that makes, but
9	you go first. Go ahead.
10	HELENE COHEN: Good evening
11	everybody and thank you for allowing me to spend
12	my birthday with you.
13	[Applause]
14	HELENE COHEN: Esteemed members of
15	the council.
16	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Give her a
17	round of applause, happy birthday Helene.
18	[Applause]
19	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: The applause
20	has no bearing on what her testimony is. Go ahead.
21	HELENE COHEN: I am a teacher,
22	which may give you some inside into how terrible
23	mayor Bloomberg has made the lives of teachers
24	since he took over. But I'm not here to speak
25	about that. I'm here to speak about the fact that

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

term limits were voted for by the people in two referendums. Now, we didn't always have term limits, they weren't always a fact of New York City life. I've lived here my entire life and I'm not pleased with the way New York is now, even though it may be safer. It's lacking its former uniqueness; it's become too much cookie cutter like all the other cities. Despite that, for the young people here to say that they didn't vote in the referendum so that doesn't speak for them, well let's have another referendum and let you speak and just because something happened before you were born doesn't mean it has no validity as young people sometimes think. Anyway, I think that this all could have taken place in a timely fashion and it's wrong for the mayor to say that because of this crisis; if there was no crisis, what would have happened? We shouldn't have had a referendum or we should have just gone along with the city council overturning the term limits or would this issue not have come up? I think that this was always in the back of his mind to wait until the last minute so that we didn't have the time to vote, because why didn't we vote sooner?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In addition, this is the democratic process and we would have had time to have a referendum in a timely fashion had this issue been taken up sooner without giving a sense of urgency. Thank you very much. Have a good evening.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you for waiting. Next witness, please.

[Pause]

DANNY SHAPIRO: My name is Danny Shapiro and I'm an upper east side resident and a community board member. I believe I'm also here speaking for those who have worked for the mayors administration yet are too afraid to speak out on this matter. Earlier today the mayor's council asked you to ignore process considerations that he deemed unimportant. I beg to differ. How the city council and the mayor carry themselves and conduct business matters. How you do things engenders trust or in this case destroys it. Your failure to engage the public in meaningful debate and dialogue on this issue, and you're rushed to pass this bill within two weeks is discouraging, it's frustrating and terrifying. Corporation council sighted examples in which other city

legislatures had successfully reversed voter
referendums and we wonder why the public's trust
in government is at an all time low? Why don't
you trust the public enough to educate them about
the problems with term limits and work with a
charter revision commission to determine the best
governing structure for our city? Your
constituents are smart, thoughtful and care deeply
about the city's future. New York City has
survived and flourished despite many financial
panics, terrorism and many other problems and we
will again with our without you. There is no
rush. If this passes, Mr. Chairman, next pass
over, the cynical child will ask "why should we
vote at all?" [Pause] If this is passed, I vow to
resign from my community board in protest and
instead work outside the system for true
governmental reform. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you.

Next witness, please?

ANDRE CALVERT: My name is Andre Calvert and I'm a resident of the fort green Clinton hills section of Brooklyn. I come here today as a very concerned citizen, American and

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

New Yorker. I'm here today to urge you, the city council, to vote against this bill. This is not an issue about whether mayor Bloomberg was a good mayor or a bad mayor, this is an issue about democracy and about whether you, the city council, will overturn the will of the people. This is a vote for or against democracy. The mayor has been given most of the attention about this bill, but I want to focus on the city council. It is my understanding that this bill not only extends term limits for the mayor but it also extends term limits for the city council. I find it very outrageous that some city council members are naïve enough to believe that should this bill pass they would get re elected after overturning the will of the people. If this bill passes we, the people, will not forget those who voted against democracy. The passing of this bill will not only set a terrible president for the rest of the country, but it will also increase voter cynicism. This bill is an insult to New Yorkers and a direct attack on democracy. Please vote no.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you, next witness, please?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JOSEPH GRAVAN: My name is Joseph Gravan. I'm running for the 19th council - - next year in Queens. I - - whole support this bill and encourage the council to vote on it, affirmatively. Many people are asking why. Well first of all, we have a mayor that is independent of any parties. He's independent. He's not a republican, he's not a democrat. So the council as a whole can and should vote for that bill to extend his term, however, the issue lies with the council, guys. It is inappropriate and discouraging that a city council can vote on their own bill. You should take the referendum to the voters for the council only. You are entitled to extend - - to the mayor. Everybody knows that the public does not have any say in next year's races. It's decided by the democratic party bosses, without question. Next year, ladies and gentlemen of this council, you will unquestionably have serious challenges. I've been - - for two years. People have - - for two or three years. You will have primaries you've never seen before. I also will highly recommend that the campaign finance board bare any incumbent from taking public funds,

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

period. Public money also, furthermore, should be raised from six to one, to nine to one. That will prevent any incumbent from thinking about questioning the will of the people. Thank you for your time, I hope to speak to you in the future.

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Next witness,

please?

CHRISTABELL GHOUL: I'm Christabell Ghoul, I'm from Greenwich Village and I thank you council members and Mr. Chairman for being here still. I'm here to ask the city council to reject 845A, the proposal to allow elected officials to serve three terms. The council has the power to amend the charter and that power may be technically expedient in some circumstances, it was never meant for the purpose of thwarting the expressed will of the voters on the pretext that no one but mayor Bloomberg is qualified to lead the city today. The Bloomberg administration is not responsible for the economic downturn, but it has certainly done it's best to expedite the banking and real estate bubble that caused it with zoning and taxing bonding policies that have contributed to unsound and unsustainable

dream years, at least four more together, provided a self dealing city council will anoint their sudden term limitless political life extension,

23

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Hail Mary pass. The happy couple has already obtained the secret blessing of god father Ronald Lauder. My name is Patty Hagan. I am a voter from the outer borough of Brooklyn. I stand 750,000,000,000% with my elected council member, the most honorable Letitia James in opposition to the Bloomberg and Quinn nuptials. Their attempt to strong arm the city council, minimum 26 arms, into also blessing their secret backroom and run around the New York City charters term limits law. I have twice voted for the term limits that are New York city law and respect the standard procedure for changing the law. I refer Bloomberg and Quinn to the referendum. The referendum puts the question to the voters. Let the people vote instead of putting it to the backroom insider, self dealing, favored billionaires hoonta [Phonetic] Lauder Dan Doctoroff [Phonetic] Bruce Ratner, the two Steve's of the related companies and Vernato [Phonetic], et cetera and the pullet politicians they fund in the city council. Going on four-eight years mayor Bloomberg has declared himself content with New York City's term limits law, likewise council speaker Quinn. They were

Τ	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 52:
2	honorable people, they gave us their word. I took
3	them at their word. I could read their lips. "No
4	new term limits." Until October 2008 when
5	Bloomberg and Quinn brought forth their all new
6	term limits double standard double speak. Double
7	cross thy name is Bloomberg. Et tu Quinn. How
8	dare they term limit our democratic right to
9	decide. Will they add a permanent
10	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: [Interposing]
11	Alright, ma'am?
12	PATTY HAGAN: term limit
13	extension line to every New York City ballot?
14	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Ma'am?
15	PATTY HAGAN: Yes?
16	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Can you close?
17	PATTY HAGAN: Okay.
18	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: That's closing
19	is like a sentence or two.
20	PATTY HAGAN: Alright, I'll jump
21	down here to the end.
22	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Thank you.
23	PATTY HAGAN: The people of New
24	York City, we are watching you, Mr. Mayor. We
25	know you went to California yesterday to campaign

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 526
2	for a redistricting proposal that would not allow
3	California elected officials to re draw their own
4	districts because it would just be "a self serving
5	way for law makers to keep themselves in office."
6	How ironic, makes me wonder if you and Mr. Lauder
7	cooked up a Hippocratic oath at the same time you
8	cooked up Bloomberg three. Give us
9	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: [Interposing]
10	Okay, ma'am.
11	PATTY HAGAN: Give us
12	referendum three if you must -
13	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: [Interposing]
14	Ma'am? Ma'am?
15	PATTY HAGAN: and relieve
16	yourselves of
17	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: [Interposing]
18	Ma'am? Ma'am?
19	PATTY HAGAN: Call off the shot
20	gun wedding please.
21	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Okay. Next
22	witness, please?
23	RICHARD LAURIE: My name is Richard
24	Laurie and in order to be precise and concise I
25	will read my comments. The issue is not if mayor

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Bloomberg and members of the city council have done a great job. The issue is clear and simple. Why do many members of the city council insist and persist in denying the public a third opportunity to vote on the term limits law? Twice before the voters have approved this law by overwhelming majorities, so why now this denial? There are certain politicians who justify this denial by the disingenuous, cynical, and insulting statement that they voters, who are unhappy with the actions of certain council members, can vote them out of office. This of course is not relevant and lacks logic because even if those politicians are voted out of office the voters will still be oppressed by changes in the term limits law that they do not The current term limits law was enacted by want. the people, not by the politicians. It is truly a peoples law. As such, the people are the proper authority to make any changes concerning it, not those politicians who have a vested interest in ultimately destroying it. Now I would just like to say thank you to councilman John Liu for having chosen to place himself, to take a position of political integrity over political expediency. Не

I believe that - - 45 is a bad law which serves the self interest of our billionaire mayor. I could not believe the sheer arrogance displayed by

23

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the city's corporation council, Michael Cardozo a council to mayor Anthony Crowell that the council, when they stated that the council was representative than a special election. proposed - - by the mayor shows that he doesn't believe in a representative government with the voice of the people being heard, it shows a lack of respect for the voters of New York City. The mayor tells us that he has met with business leaders and newspaper editors and Wall Street lawyers. All these rich and influential people are represented by the body of New York is, I think not. I think that contrary to what you're hearing today's - - city newspapers this mayor has not been a good mayor in my opinion. He picks and chooses which laws he will obey and which laws he will ignore. Take for the example the issue of cell phones in the schools, which was passed by this city council, vetoed by the mayor and then his veto was overwhelmingly overturned in the council. The mayor ignores this law. He allowed the chancellor schools to disregard the city's recycling laws. Which of the laws of the city does he choose to ignore? I think the mayor is

1
2.

Okay, sir?

very often a bully as many rich men want to be.

The mayor, with the real estate interests, has adversely affected the fabric of our neighborhood with over development. This is not a man of the people but a man of special interests and well eared groups. I have attended many meetings, hearings on the state of our city schools under Mayoral control and across the city it is almost a universal view of parents around the city that this mayor has shut out. - - stock holders such

as parents and teachers --

CHAIRPERSON FELDER: [Interposing]

Can you please, sir? Can you please wrap it up?

THOMAS BREEN: I will. Let's

remember that this is a lame duck mayor who is

drunk with power and is ego won't allow him to

relinquish his office. That this mayor is

indispensable, without a joke, no person is

indispensable. I agree with councilman Liu that

this is a three card Monty, a slight of hand, the

notion --

CHAIRPERSON FELDER:

THOMAS BREEN:

Do you want to wish us a good night?

[Interposing]

Last sentence.

Τ	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 53.
2	implore the city council to please remind the
3	mayor that this city's government is a democracy
4	and not a plutocracy with a no vote on intro 845.
5	And
6	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: [Interposing]
7	Thank you, thank you very much. No, no
8	THOMAS BREEN: [Interposing] I also
9	have a thank you.
10	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Sir, sir?
11	Thank you. Before we conclude the hearing I want
12	to thank all the members that have been here from
13	1 o'clock all the way to 11:30. There are a lot
14	of members here who have left, but we appreciate
15	very much those that stuck it out and certainly
16	those
17	FEMALE VOICE: [Interposing] Could
18	you give us a shout out?
19	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Certainly
20	those people who waited to testify. We wish you a
21	good night and thank you very much for coming.
22	The hearing is hereby recessed.
23	[Applause]
24	[Background noise]
25	CHAIRPERSON FELDER: This hearing

I, JOHN DAVID TONG certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

Signature

Date October 22, 2008