CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

----- X

OCTOBER 25, 2018 Start: 1:13 P.M. Recess: 4:00 P.M.

HELD AT: COUNCIL CHAMBERS - CITY HALL

B E F O R E: FERNANDO CABRERA, CHAIRMAN

COUNCIL MEMBERS: BEN KALLOS

ALAN N. MAISEL BILL PERKINS KEITH POWERS

YDANIS A. RODRIGUEZ

KALMAN YEGER

CARLOS MENCHACA, CHAIRMAN

ROBERT HOLDEN
DANIEL DROMM
MATHIEU EUGENE
MARK GJONAJ

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

BITTA MOSTOFI, Commissioner Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs

ANNE MONTESANO, Executive Director of Immigrant Inclusion at MOIA

PERSEPHONE TAN, Assistant Director of Immigration and Policy at Asian American Federation

MOKMUNA DIAYA (SP?), Program Manager at African Communities Together

BETSY PLUM, Vice President of Policy at New York Immigration Coalition

SABRINA GELLAW (SP?), Public Housing Organizer at CAV

SYLVIA SICKDAY (SP?), Representing India Home

TASFIA RAHMAN, Policy Coordinator at Coalition of Asian American Children and Families (CACF)

MAHAK FATIF (SP?), African American Support Center

AMY TORRES, Director of Policy and Advocacy at the Chinese American Planning Council (CCC)

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

UGEN KIM, Project Coordinator of Services at Korean Community

JOSEPH LIN (SP?), Asian Americans for Equality

JULIA SHAROGI (SP?), Supervising Attorney at the Family Defense Practice at Brooklyn Defender Services

3

4

5

6

7

8

^

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

SARGEANT AT ARMS: Test, test, this is a test. This is a Committee hearing on Immigration, dealing with Governmental Operations. Being recorded by Sargeant at Arms.

CHAIR FERNANDO CABRERA: (gavel pounding). Good afternoon and welcome to this joined oversight hearing on the Committee on Governmental Operations and the Committee on Immigration and Local Law 30, the City's Language Access Law. I am Council Member Fernando Cabrera, Chair of the Committee on Governmental Operations and I want to thank my cochair Council Member Carlos Menchaca who has been at the forefront of fighting for improved language access in our City so I thank you publically for your leadersh... tremendous work that you have done. During the Bloomberg Administration there was an executive order of Language Access (coughing), excuse me that required agencies to require services to the public in six languages. Last session we clarified and expanded upon that Executive Order by passing Local Law 30 in 2017. We increased the number of languages to 10. We mandated certain good practices and we required regular outreach to language communities outside of the designated languages.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Every Agency is required to develop an implantation plan to be updated annually on how they would carry out that Law's Language Access Requirement. Today's hearing will be a discussion on those plans and a discussion how the Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affair has coordinated the City's Language Access efforts generally. First I think it is important to say right up front that our review of the City's Language Access plans found those plans to be more in compliance with our law than not. So even though we are going to question and examine the parts of those plans that we believe were not in compliance. ask everyone watching this hearing to keep in mind there is some good work in this plans too. Our goal for today is to improve the city's Language Access Plans but we are not starting from zero. Second I will also ask people to remember that we are still early in the implantation of this Law. Passing the Law was not the end of the process for the City Council. This oversight hearing, as this oversight hearing shows we are committed to working together to reach a successful implementation by every cover agency but as my co-chair will explain in a moment, the question of who can access City's Services is an

2 incredibly important one and we must get this right. There are real consequences for people's education, 3 housing, families and well being when they are unable 4 to communicate with a City Agency. We do not benefit 5 6 when we isolate our neighbors. I encourage everyone 7 here to keep in mind the real cost if we do not implement this Law well. Let me just say it right 8 off the note before I close, I know what it is to uhm 9 having lived in Puerto Rico, only born here in the 10 Bronx but being in Puerto Rico, learning Spanish uhm 11 12 and end up in California not being able to only say jyes and no and that was it. But I also know what it 13 14 is to go through the shame factor, the fear factor, 15 the anxiety, being afraid to ask questions that are 16 freely asked because perhaps of my accent or of what I thought people were going to say, the mocking, this 17 18 and that and that becomes part of it was really of the culture so, so with that I want to thank the 19 20 members of both committees for their time and commitment. I also want to thank the staff of both 21 2.2 Committees, Brian Reed, Elizabeth Cronk, Zac Harris, 23 Herbani Fahulia (SP?), Emily Fargoni (SP?), Irene Bicowski (SP?) and Jen Li as well as my own 24 25 Legislative Director Claire McVain (SP?) for the

tremendous amount of work they have put into their

hearing. I look forward to our discussion. With

that, I would be glad to pass it on to my stein, co-

5 | chair Carlos Menchaca.

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIR CARLOS MENCHACA: Thank you Chair Cabrera and (SPEAKING SPANISH) to everyone here today. I am Carlos Menchaca Chair of the Immigration Committee and really just proud to be here with you all today. I want to thank my colleague and co-chair Council Member Fernando Cabrera, the Chair of the Governmental Operations Committee for extending the invitation to join today's discussion on this very important oversight topic. I also want to thank all of the members of our committees that are here today. We are going to start with Mr. Ben Kallos, Bill Perkins, we have Holden and Yeger and Powers on the right. Thank you all for being here today. The dedication to our New Yorkers, our neighbors with limited English uhm and I want to thank all of you for the dedication to our New Yorkers to really engage in this discussion about limited access and limited English proficiency. I look forward to your contributions in this conversation. Today we will be conducting and in-depth oversight of Local Law 30 of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2017. As Chair Cabrera shared the enacted law requires covered agencies to provide language access services for all designated citywide languages. law expanded the list of languages from the original six languages based on census data to 10 languages based on census data and the Department of Education data. Under the current data, this list encompasses Spanish, Chinese, all variations and both written scripts, Russian, Haitian-Creole, Korean and the newly added Arabic, Urdu, French and Polish. Covered agencies were required by June 30, 2018 to provide the City Council with their new language access implementation plans. Staff have spent the last several months pouring over these plans and we are here today to discuss the plans with the administration. I hope that by doing the heavy lifting and detailed scrutiny now, today, that we will set a good trajectory as we open the next chapter of the history of this City and its commitment to our immigrant communities. There will be time to ask questions concerning specific plans, languages and MOIA the Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs efforts to ensure City compliance with the Before we get to this; however, I want to spend

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 some time contextualizing this conversation.

Language access can be the difference between using City Services and living outside the many safety nets our city provides. In fact for some and I will bring one story to mind that effectively bans people from engaging people from service in their community, in their schools. Beyond this, Language Access ensures that all New Yorkers have an equal playing field when it comes to navigating interactions with City Agencies and many of you know the breath of City interactions can be never-ending from call NYPD to sending your children to school, to securing affordable housing and filing a complaint or seeking information through 3-1-1 yet alone the numerous specific programs and social services offered by our different agencies. It is a reminder that we have a rich, we have rich services here in the City and all of them deserve to be accessed and I know we usually in the Immigration Committee have a tradition of bringing impacted communities today. I have decided to illustrate instead a recent experience of a parent from Sunset Park in my district who was given a limited access letter by the Department of Education, the Public Safety Division. This letter dated on

2 October 15, 2018 restricted this parent's ability to access their child's school and was only delivered in 3 4 English. Despite the request for a letter translated 5 into their native language, Chinese, she never received one. The school did not submit a request to 6 the DOE for a translated until October 24 in a 7 meeting where I and some parents stood with the 8 principal and the superintendant. 9 This case raises many alarming issues. But the issue I want to 10 highlight here is the impact on our immigrant 11 12 communities when our City Agencies fail to provide that translation, especially when it is asked for. 13 14 This is inadequate. In this instance, this 15 particular parent not only had to grapple with the 16 fear and the confusing stemming from a letter of this 17 kind of gravity but also the fact that she could not 18 understand its instructions and as a result she dropped off her 6-year-old child with security, her 19 20 child missed a number of events at school including mealtimes and her child was so traumatized that she 2.1 2.2 resisted going to school after. While the DOE does 23 not fall within the scope of Local Law 30, this case raises many questions, should DOE in fact fall within 24 25 the scope of this Law? What steps is MOIA, the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs taking to ensure that Agencies, all of our City Agencies including the DOE and other covered agencies provide our immigrant communities the language access services that are critical. Critical for them to navigate the City's programs, services, and their life. I am looking forward to hearing from the Administration concerning the compliance of Local Law 30 but I also want to make sure that we keep all of our services in mind as we think about moving forward. In addition to this, I hope to explore, perhaps the requirements for City Contractors and others to ensure that language no longer is a barrier. I want to thank Chair Cabrera again for his commitment to this issue and incredible work of our Committee Staff and colleagues who took the time to comb through every submitted Language Access Implementation Plan. I saw the stack of information and analysis. It is pretty hefty and really comprehensive. A special thanks to Brad Reed, Herbani Fahulia (SP?), Emily Fargoni (SP?), Zac Harris and Irene Bicowski (SP?) and the analysis and to my staff Senior Advisor Cesar Vargas, Chief of Staff Sasha Mang (SP?) and Communications Director Tony Chiaritto (SP?). We have also been joined by

2.2

Council Member Dromm. Thank you so much for being
here today and with that I want to give the Chair,
uhm no with that I want to bring our Commissioner up
to the daias and we will swear you in. Commissioner.
Bitta Mostofi, thank you so much for joining us today
and you will kick us off and we will do the swearing
in. Thank you.

COUNSEL: Please raise your right hand.

Do you swear to affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in your testimony before this Committees and to respond honestly to Council Member questions?

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: I do.

COUNSEL: Thank you.

(SPEAKING DIFFERENT LANGUAGE). Uhm (SPEAKING
DIFFERENT LANGUAGE). Oh no I'm mixing my languages
(laughing). (SPEAKING DIFFERENT LANGUAGE). So thank
you uhm to members of the Council for having me here
today to talk about this very important issue of
access to language access for all New Yorkers. As I
started intentionally with the languages that I speak
to uhm really emphasize the importance of this work
to me and to the work that we do in our office. I

2 grew up as the daughter of immigrants who first arrived in the United States the year that I was born 3 I know very intimately what it meant to be the 4 interpreter for my family in many situations and to 5 help my parents through bureaucratic processes. Uhm 6 7 and have really uhm both wanted to celebrate the importance of having that language capability and the 8 beauty of language diversity as well as the 9 importance of making sure that we are reaching all of 10 our communities in the most effective and efficient 11 12 So thank you for calling this hearing. 13 thank you to the Chairs Menchaca and Cabrera and 14 members of the Immigration and Governmental 15 Operations Committees for the opportunity to testify. 16 As the Commissioner of the Mayor's Office of 17 Immigrant Affairs I am pleased to speak on behalf of the Administration about our work on the Language 18 Access and the implementation of Local Law 30 of 19 20 2017. As I will describe Local Law 30 has been a remarkably valuable tool in just this period of time 21 2.2 that it was enacted since last year. My offices 23 coordinated the citywide implementation process and the agencies have done excellent work to improve 24 25 their language access. I am particularly pleased to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

report that aggregate city spending on language assistance services saw a huge increase over the last year alone. From Fiscal Year 2017 to Fiscal Year 2018 Agency spending on translation services through our citywide contracts rose 62% to a total of \$3.1 million. Spending on in person interpretation rose 23% to a total of about \$1.7 million and spending on telephonic interpretation rose 31% to a total of \$4.1 million. In sum, that represents an increase in spending of 38% with total spending in Fiscal Year of 2018 of nearly \$8.9 million. These increases reflect the deep commitment and impressive efforts by agencies across the city in response to Local Law 30 and MOIAs implementation support. New York City is home to a remarkable diversity of languages and a stunning number of residents who are not English speakers. This is especially true about the city's immigrant population. 76% of the city's immigrants speak a language other than English at home and 49% of city's immigrants have limited English proficiency. Among undocumented immigrants we estimate that nearly 2 in 3, 63% are LEP. The city government has enacted a series of laws and policies over the past 15 years to address these, these

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

issues. In 2003, the city enacted Local Law 73, the Equal Access to Human Services Act which mandated translation and interpretation services to be provided by the Human Resources Administration in six languages, Arabic, Chinese, Haitian-Creole, Korean, Russian and Spanish and also imposed certain requirements of the Administration for Children's Services, the Department of Homeless Services and the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene. continuing effort to ensure that persons eligible for Social Services receive them and avoid the possibility that a person who attempts to access services will face discrimination based upon the language she or he speaks. In 2008, Mayor Bloomberg issued Executive Order 120. This order expands Local Law 73s language assistance requirements to all city agencies that provide direct public services. Local Law 30 enacted last year codified and expanded upon Executive Order 120. It added four languages to the list of languages for which document translation is required bringing the total to 10. It also expanded the types of assistance required in a number of other ways including requiring about agencies that provide direct public services must provide telephonic

2 interpretation in at least 100 languages, post multilingual signage about the availability of language 3 access services, appoint language access coordinators 4 5 and develop and carry out a language access implementation plan describing plans for training 6 7 agency staff, incorporating plain language principals into their materials and informing community members 8 about the availability of language assistance 9 services. The Law also required outreaching 10 neighborhoods with large numbers of residents who 11 12 speak languages beyond the 10 Local Law 30 designated 13 citywide languages. To understand and help respond 14 to the needs of those communities. Over the course 15 of these developments, MOIA has played an increasingly central role in the city's language 16 17 access policies. Now under Mayor de Blasio MOIA 18 serves the charter mandated functions of the Office of Language Services Coordination in consultation 19 20 with the Office of Operations. Local Law 30 enacted in early 2017, is among the most ambitious and 21 2.2 expansive language access laws in the United States 23 at any level of government. The Law has incredibly broad scope, extending across nearly all city 24 agencies and requiring translation in at least 10 25

2	languages and telephonic interpretation in at least
3	100. Accordingly MOIA has developed and launched an
4	implementation plan in two major phases. The
5	guidance phase and the oversight phase. The guidance
6	phase which took place over the course of the first
7	year of the law's effective period. And was
8	completed in June of this year. During this phase
9	MOIA and the Office of Operations made the
10	determination based on our analysis of relevant data
11	pursuant the Law's Requirements that the 10 citywide
12	designated languages are Spanish, Chinese, Russian,
13	Bengali, Haitian-Creole, Korean, Arabic, Urdu, French
14	and Polish. Following this determination, MOIA
15	issued a memo to agency heads about the Law. MOIA
16	also provided guidance to agencies to support the
17	development of their required Language Access
18	Implementation Plans and reviewed and provided robust
19	feedback when agencies draft plans. MOIA has also
20	served as a clearing house and provider of best
21	practices on Language Access Services and Agencies
22	Implementations of the Local Law. This guidance work
23	has taken a variety of forms ranging from developing
24	guidance documents to organizing convenings of
25	 Language Access Coordinators from agencies covered by

Local Law 30 to intensive one on one technical 2 assistance provided by MOIA directly to agencies. 3 The guidance phase culminated in the publication of 4 5 this past June of the nearly 500 page long, Local Law 30 report compiling agencies Language Access 6 7 Implementation Plans and providing additional background and information about outreach efforts. 8 MOIA has now turned to the oversight phase of Local 9 Law 30s implementation. During this period, we will 10 be going, which will be ongoing MOIA will be meeting 11 12 with agencies to ensure that Language Access 13 Implementation Plans are effectively implemented. 14 Holding multi-agency convenings to continue to share 15 best practices, monitoring agencies provision of 16 Language Assistance Services and more. We have been 17 working with agencies to address areas in which they 18 needed additional guidance about the Laws requirements and we have been encouraged that the 19 20 agencies have been eager to learn and improve on their Language Assistance Services. I am pleased to 21 2.2 report on the process of many agencies in meeting 23 these requirements as well as examples of agencies, 24 Language Access accomplishments that even go beyond the Law's requirements. I would also like to note 25

4

2 how engaged agencies have been regarding Local Law 30. Many agencies have proactively reached out to us 3 to clarify the Law's requirements, to get advice on 5 how best to implement aspects of the Law and more. 6 Agencies have secured or are in the process of 7 securing the appropriate Language Services Contracts. They have identified or in the process of identifying 8 their most commonly distributed documents and have 9 translated or are in the process of translating those 10 documents. They have also posted multi-lingual 11 12 signage about the availability of free interpretation services and are training their staff on language 13 14 access. Here are just a few highlights. At the 15 Department of Social Services, HRA, Staff use 16 telephonic interpretation 279,389 times in 2017. 17 That is an average of a 1,000 times per business day. 18 Every HRA Center has a free interpretation service poster in 19 different languages. The Commission on 19 20 Human Rights has expanded its in house language proficiency capacity to 35 languages spoken across 21 2.2 the agency. The Department of Transportation has 23 translated its documents into 13 languages including Italian and Greek in addition to the 10 languages 24 required under the Local Law. In addition, DOT 25

identified specific needs for certain documents and 2 translated them into 8 more languages, Hebrew, 3 Punjabi, Tagalog, Fulani, Bombari, Twi, Nepali and 4 5 Tibetan. The Fire Department is in the final stages 6 of preparing a new guide on Emergency Preparedness 7 for Apartment Building Residents and Staff in all of the Local Law 30 languages. The Department of Health 8 and Mental Hygiene has been conducting fluency 9 assessments of its own bilingual staff who want to 10 qualify to provide services in languages other than 11 12 English and the Department of Buildings is using a Customer Comment Card to receive feedback from LEP 13 14 Customers in order to improve their services. 15 Central Tool that MOIA will be using in the oversight 16 phase is the forthcoming annual reporting tool and 17 template that will be launched in January. 18 assessment will help inform MOIAs oversight and technical assistance across the agencies. Under 19 20 Local Law 30 we also conduct outreach in neighborhoods with large numbers of speakers of 21 2.2 languages other than the 10 designated citywide 23 languages. In order to understand the needs of these residents and to work with agencies to address those 24 needs. For example, after successful Town Halls with 25

2 the African community in 2015 and the Tibetan and Nepali communities in 2016, this year we hosted an 3 4 Afghan Town Hall attended by about 200 people and 5 conducted Indori and Pashto. We also hosted Agutti Funo (SP?) Town Hall attended by about 250 people. 6 7 Through these meetings and other outreach work that MOIA staff members perform across the city, we have 8 continued to learn about and help connect speakers of 9 languages beyond the 10 Local Law 30 languages to 10 their city government. As described above, MOIAs 11 12 work on language access long predates Local Law 30 and includes a range of projects that are above and 13 14 beyond the requirements of the Law. For example, we 15 work collaboratively with local government entities 16 not covered by Local Law 30 to share best practices 17 and guidance. These include the Department of Education which we have worked with on a range of 18 translated materials provided to LEP and immigrant 19 20 students, including educational information on the Trump's Administration rescission of DACA, anti-21 2.2 discrimination resources and more as well as NYC 23 Health and Hospital which we have worked with on a 24 multi-lingual open letter to immigrant patients 25 issued jointly by MOIA and H and H. We have

2 developed standard multi-lingual signage about the availability of interpretation for city agencies and 3 4 we have produced translations of the State Voter 5 Registration Form in 11 additional languages beyond the four already provided by the City's Board of 6 7 Elections. As the agency functioning as the lead coordinator for Language Access across the City, MOIA 8 holds itself to a high standard for Language 9 10 Assistant Services. To ensure our standards are met, we have also begun using a secondary review vendor to 11 12 perform additional quality control on translations. These efforts have resulted in increased 13 14 accessibility to MOIA and MOIA generated materials. 15 In 2017 alone, we arranged for the presence of 433 in 16 person interpreters at 153 events and translated it 17 up to 76 documents into different languages. confident that we will continue to realize progress 18 in Language Access due to in large part to our Agency 19 partner's receptiveness and eagerness to improve the 20 City's Language Assistant Services for immigrants and 2.1 2.2 LEP residents. I am equally gratified by the 23 attention of the of the Council, to the ongoing 24 progress of the City Government Speak to New Yorkers 25 of all Languages. My team and I have additionally

_	COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 23
2	been in conversation with the many dedicated advocacy
3	organizations and community members who have been
4	working in this area for decades. Input from these
5	advocates and community members and from Council
6	Members who have been working on this issues has been
7	extraordinarily valuable in not only ensuring that
8	New York City has the most ambitious access law in
9	the country but also ensuring that that Law is
10	implemented as effectively as possible. I look
11	forward to hearing more about areas where we can
12	continue to collaborate. Thank you for the
13	opportunity to describe our work and to hear further
14	from you and from the City's residents about how we
15	can continue to move toward a City in which language
16	does not represent a barrier to accessing benefits
17	and services.
18	CHAIR FERNANDO CABRERA: (SPEAKING
19	DIFFERENT LANGUAGE).
20	COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: (SPEAKING
21	DIFFERNET LANGUAGE).
22	CHAIR FERNANDO CABRERA: Thank you my
23	Arabic friends will saw (SPEAKING DIFFERENT
24	LANGUAGE).

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: I spoke 2

3 Farsi so I ...

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIR FERNANDO CABRERA: And my Guinea friends will say (SPEAKING DIFFERENT LANGUAGE). Welcome.

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: (laughing). Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDO CABRERA: So I just I'm going to start with a few questions and then turn it over to the co-Chair and then to our esteem colleagues here today I know they have questions. let me start, let me start a bit broad here, to the best of your knowledge, can you confirm whether Local Law 30 report the MOIA submitted is fully compliant with Local Law 30 and if not, what is missing?

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Sure so thank you for the question, so I should note that in addition to MOIA reviewing the Language Access Plans the Mayor's Office of Operations also did a review of the Language Access Plans to show you how dedicated we are to this. Uhm we provided updated plans to the Council as you know in September and that was because we wanted to ensure that the Plans actually reflected the full requirements of the Law and were as robust

1	COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 25
2	as they could be at this time. Uhm so you have those
3	updated Plans as a part of the oversight that we have
4	already done. Uhm we are now in the process of as I
5	noted working with the agencies on the full
6	implementation. We will certainly know more about
7	what that implementation looks like after we get the
8	reports for this year which as I noted will be, we
9	will share with folks our template for responses in
10	January and we will have those by mid-next year.
11	CHAIR FERNANDO CABRERA: So you sent a
12	report in September you mentioned?
13	COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: We sent
14	additional updated plans, yes.
15	CHAIR FERNANDO CABRERA: To who? Do you
16	know? Because our staff has not received it, maybe
17	it went to?
18	COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: It went to
19	your spam boxes, we will have to look and make sure.
20	(laughing).
21	CHAIR FERNANDO CABRERA: Yeah your staff
22	coordinate with our staff.

CHAIR FERNANDO CABRERA: To make sure 24 that we could get it.

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Yeah, yeah.

25

23

2.2

2 COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Yeah of 3 course.

CHAIR FERNANDO CABRERA: Because we want to give credit where credit is due.

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Please yes, we want to get that credit.

CHAIR FERNANDO CABRERA: Okay, yeah so let me go on to the next question and as you know, Local Law 30 requires agencies to post both their current Implementation Plan and the name and the title of the Language Access Coordinator to the website but committee staff found several covered agencies have not done this. Have you checked for compliance with this requirement? And when can we expect all agencies to be compliant?

for the question, I would say we have done sort of an an overall check on every single elements of the Plans for all of the agencies. Part of that is because the agencies are still in that Implementation Phase uhm which is what this year forecasts for them. We will get the reports from agencies uhm at the beginning of next year. We will then follow up to confirm that everything is in compliance so that we

2.2

can report to the Council's required to in the next
year.

CHAIR FERNANDO CABRERA: So you have to wait for their report before you check their website?

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: I mean they are given this period of time to do full implementation.

CHAIR FERNANDO CABRERA: Okay.

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Agencies have you know begun to focus on different areas, right, so if there are specific concerns for agencies that have not yet posted we can absolutely follow up on those concerns. Uhm we have not uhm placed in any particular order for them the requirements on what they move first, but we will be going through to make sure that they have done everything that they are required to do.

CHAIR FERNANDO CABRERA: Have you found that most of the agencies are reaching out to you regarding their website? Being specific, regarding their website, they are reaching out to you regarding consultation, advice, regarding the website?

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Uhm regarding what to do in regards to the website?

CHAIR FERNANDO CABRERA: Right.

_

O 4

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: No but as I noted we are happy to directly reach out to, to them uhm that's obviously one of the requirements and so we will be looking to make sure that they have completed that.

CHAIR FERNANDO CABRERA: You know one of the things that might be helpful is to reach out to all of the agencies as they are in the process.

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Yeah.

CHAIR FERNANDO CABRERA: Of

implementation if they need advice, consultation or help that, that help is readily available.

absolutely, so in addition to the guidance that we have given out, we've already convened all of the Language Access Coordinators three times. Our next convening is actually next month so this is something that we see as an ongoing part of the Implementation and Oversight uhm and so it is, they both, they both know through our the convenings that we bring them together but on a weekly basis we are providing technical assistance to agencies.

2 CHAIR

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIR FERNANDO CABRERA: What is the biggest challenges the Coordinators are bringing up to your attention?

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Uhm I would say, uhm that it varies by agencies, so for some agencies you know we have go ... we have asked them to go beyond sort of direct translation of their documents to putting their documents into plain language so that is in and of itself is skills uhm that we have been working with agencies on and that they are looking for training for their staff for. So that itself takes a lot of time. Obviously the Quality Assurance of the agencies uhm and as they are continuing in the development of their plans and making sure that they are effectively implementing them, making sure that the contracts that they have has been one are in place, that they know how to effectively leverage them. The theme actually for our next convening is around how to best utilize the services that are available through the contracts so that they are getting the most effective uhm translation and interpretation from those because that is something that we have heard, is you know I am not getting back the highest quality, how can I

better get that. So we are hearing the feedback on
an individual agency basis. They are as I said
diverse because the agencies are so diverse but we
are trying to address sort of over our chained themes
through convenings and best practices as we well.
CHAIR FERNANDO CABRERA: 11hm you kind of

CHAIR FERNANDO CABRERA: Uhm you kind of uhm, uhm started to answer my next question but I want to give an opportunity to expand on it if agencies have yet to identify and translate their essential documents, would MOIA review each agency list of essential documents for compliance?

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: So we have, we have left it up to the Agencies to identify what those documents are, uhm and begin to move those documents to the stage of translation but yes we are asking for what the documents identify, were the value of the documents, etc.

CHAIR FERNANDO CABRERA: So you will do a thorough review?

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Of which documents they translated? Yes.

CHAIR FERNANDO CABRERA: Which they did or didn't?

2.2

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Uhm we have not intended to look at the ones that they didn't uhm just because again when you are talking about an agencies it could be a voluminous amount of documents.

CHAIR FERNANDO CABRERA: Uh-huh.

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: But I think as we are going through the process uhm we will take that into consideration in terms of what we are looking for.

CHAIR FERNANDO CABRERA: I have more questions but uhm I want to turn it over, thank you so much Commissioner Mostofi. Uhm I want to turn it over now to my co-Chair and then thereafter to our colleagues.

and uhm thank you again Commissioner and for your opening as well. This is, this is uhm just a moment to be thankful for that the leadership in our city really reflects the nature of our reality on the ground and it was really beautiful to hear the languages that you speak. Uhm and the, the focus on my next set of questions and I'll do a first round and then come back after the, the members ask the

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 questions but really kind of focusing on the Language Service Coordinator, uhm or essentially the office, 3 the charter mandated office of the Language Service Coordinator, who holds that title? 5

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Sure, and so thank you for the question, so I think as you are aware the Office of Operations is listed in the Charter uhm as being the Office of the Language Services Coordinator. MOIAs Charter also requires that MOIA perform functions of surveying and engaging Limited English Proficient New Yorkers and New Yorkers who speak all different languages. We under the de Blasio Administration, so several years ago, predating Local Law 30 had a conversation with the Mayor's Office of Operations about this work, the importance of this work to both offices and the ways that we could partner together to ensure the you know, the utmost effectiveness at driving this work for the city. Uhm and from there have driven it forward together so what I would say is MOIA brings a particular expertise and skill set around working with immigrant communities, direct contact with communities and advocates and providers to understand what the needs are. We have helped to develop the

methodology and the way that we look at what the
languages are and the needs of our, of New Yorkers
are Ops has continued to play the role of oversight
with us. So as I said, also reviewing all of the
Plans, providing feedback on the Plans, they serve as
the citywide essential Agency that is responsible for
Customer Service and Language Access is under that so
they provide trainings on Language Access uhm as well
and provide uhm oversight to make sure that things
like signage and so forth are uhm placed correctly in
the agencies for in, in the right view. They also
provide trainings and instruction on plain language
and how to put documents into plain language. So I
think from our perspective it is a smart partnership
in advancing the shared goals of the work and we are
leveraging each others skills to do that.

CHAIR CARLOS MENCHACA: Uhm so what I heard was a real kind of goals oriented commitment by both Operations and MOIA to the kind of mandate itself and I am kind of looking for a human and title holding the role?

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Yeah.

CHAIR CARLOS MENCHACA: Is there a person

25 that's?

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 1 COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 34 2 COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Sitting next 3 me. 4 CHAIR CARLOS MENCHACA: Okay can they, can they introduce themselves please? 5 6 ANNE MONTESANO: Hi my name is... 7 CHAIR CARLOS MENCHACA: Oh uhm in and 8 let's swear you in actually. COUNSEL: Do you swear to affirm to tell 9 the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth 10 in your testimony before these committees and to 11 12 respond honestly to Council Member questions: 13 ANNE MONTESANO: I do. 14 COUNSEL: Thank you. 15 ANNE MONTESANO: Hi good afternoon, my 16

name is Anne Montesano and I am the Executive Director of Immigrant Inclusion at MOIA.

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIR CARLOS MENCHACA: Great and so, so just just because this is in some ways a lot, very technical and we want to, I want to ask the question so is this essentially because that title is not necessarily the Language Service Coordinator and so we are looking for if effectively that is your role as the leader of the Office of the Language Service Coordinator, is that right?

2 COMMISS

2.2

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: So she is leading the work for the office. We have actually talked about a title change to make that more clear.

CHAIR CARLOS MENCHACA: Okay.

Uhm including Executive Director of Language Access and Interagency Initiatives, uhm so that's something that we have, we've talked about moving towards in the interest of transparency and for people to know who to go to for these issues so Anne oversees both the worker on the Local Law 30 Implementation but also our Language Services work which I described as being, which is quite robust.

CHAIR CARLOS MENCHACA: Okay, so, so right now it's not that, but, but you are in conversations to make it that and so that's, that's part of how we are trying to figure out the kind of charter there is a lot of conversations about the charter right now.

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Yes.

CHAIR CARLOS MENCHACA: And so this is an opportunity. You know does this, does this become a topic at hand how to really think about? Maybe the charter is old, maybe it needs to get updated, maybe

2.2

we need to change it and so this is why, this is why we are really engaging in this kind of transparency oversight component.

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Uh-huh.

CHAIR CARLOS MENCHACA: To the person, the human, the humans uhm of New York who are working on this.

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Uh-huh.

CHAIR CARLOS MENCHACA: And and making sure that that is clear uhm not just for responsibility but and accountability but also just for us to be clear about about roles. And so essentially, so essentially Anne and you and your last name again?

ANNE MONTESANO: Montesano.

CHAIR CARLOS MENCHACA: Montesano are effectively the kind of Language Service Coordinator Leader. And so tell me about your role and how you interact with other agencies and other coordinators at these agencies?

ANNE MONTESANO: Sure so MOIA does extensive one on one technical assistance with agencies and that is driven by agencies reaching out proactively to us and then us as well kind of

2.2

identifying sets of agencies to uhm reach out to on certain issues and then we also do uhm Language

Access Coordinator Convenings several times a year to bring agencies together to share best practices, to ensure that agencies are aware of, of the resources that they've developed so that they don't have to reinvent the wheel but can learn from one another so it's not just coming from MOIA to agencies but its, there is a lot of cross sharing as well.

CHAIR CARLOS MENCHACA: And I understand that you are convening with the coordinators soon again maybe this month or...

ANNE MONTESANO: Uhm in November.

CHAIR CARLOS MENCHACA: In November, in November, great. And so how many staff do you have working for you in this effort to support the Language Service Coordination?

ANNE MONTESANO: Sure uhm so we work with different uhm agencies and so we have a couple of staff uhm one who is responsible for Local Law 30 oversight and then one staff who is responsible for the Language Services piece which is really the ensuring that interpretation and translation services are happening at, at MOIA.

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 38
2	CHAIR CARLOS MENCHACA: And and say that
3	again, you said the first one is Local 30 oversight
4	and then the second one was?
5	ANNE MONTESANO: Language Services.
6	CHAIR CARLOS MENCHACA: Language
7	Services.
8	ANNE MONTESANO: So particular
9	interpretation and translation services so liasioning
10	with our vendors you know when events come up needing
11	interpretation we have a point person who coordinates
12	that.
13	COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: I would just

add to that that one of the things that they have been effective at doing too is as issues are identified uhm putting forward ways that we as MOIA can test solves for those issues uhm and kind of piloting them through the work that we do before we share our suggestions to other agencies.

CHAIR CARLOS MENCHACA: Got it, got it.

Uhm and who are those people, the Local 30 Oversight uhm who are they?

ANNE MONTESANO: So Ken Lowe is here.

2.2

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 39
2	CHAIR CARLOS MENCHACA: Ken, hi Ken how
3	are you doing? Uhm and whose the Language Services
4	Interpretation person?
5	ANNE MONTESANO: Santiago Torres who is
6	now here right now.
7	CHAIR CARLOS MENCHACA: Great so
8	essentially you are a team of three? Is that right?
9	As I understand?
10	ANNE MONTESANO: We have fellows as well
11	that.
12	CHAIR CARLOS MENCHACA: Say that again?
13	ANNE MONTESANO: We have fellows also
14	that
15	CHAIR CARLOS MENCHACA: Fellows?
16	ANNE MONTESANO: Uh-huh.
17	CHAIR CARLOS MENCHACA: Okay how many
18	fellows do you have?
19	ANNE MONTESANO: Two right now?
20	COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: One right now
21	yes.
22	CHAIR CARLOS MENCHACA: One right now,
23	possibly two?
24	COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Yeah if they

are.

1	COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 40
2	CHAIR CARLOS MENCHACA: They come on a
3	yearly basis to support the team. Great uhm and so
4	I am assuming and so the next question is kind of in
5	assumption but does MOIA have the designed uhm
6	designed unit assistant agencies with Language
7	Access. I am assuming you are that unit?
8	ANNE MONTESANO: Yes.
9	CHAIR CARLOS MENCHACA: Okay great, and
10	then how many people on MOIAs staff have Language
11	Access Compliance and Outreach as their primary job
12	responsibility outside of the unit that you have?
13	That we have identified?
14	COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: That Language
15	Access is their primary responsibility?
16	CHAIR CARLOS MENCHACA: Yeah and
17	outreach?
18	COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: I mean so I
19	would say that there is overlap there. Uhm so we
20	have this year additional staff who are assisting us
21	with different Language Access projects. Uhm so
22	three additional staff this year that are doing that

some of which I described particularly in, in, 24 25

23

engaging communities who speak different languages

Uhm we have uhm an outreach team that does this work,

who are less engaged uhm and are not included in the
top 10. One of the things that team has done which
has been really remarkable is uhm in the last 3 years
done an Annual International Mother Day Celebration.
Uh part of that has been responsive to some of the
feedback about uhm communities and I think you spoke
Council Member Cabrera to uhm some of the shame that
communities might feel and also the, the uhm
conversely the pride right in having the languages
and wanting to pass those down so we actually started
to do that as an Annual celebration to honor the
diversity of the languages in the city and to
celebrate them. Uhm and so our commitment through
that work and through that team it to continue to do
both of those things. Additional folks advise on
issues as they come up, particularly Legal or Policy
obviously focused and how best to address them and
then as we have been looking at again solves for uhm
challenges that we see, we pulled in different people
to help think through solutions, particularly from an
office from an operational space as well as some of
the upspoke goes here as well.

CHAIR CARLOS MENCHACA: Got it, got it

and so I'm I'm kind of getting a sense of the

2.2

different teams. Is there a way that you can just give us a number of the, the staff that has uhm has it being the Language Access Compliance and Outreach as their primary job and then those who are maybe in, I think you are kind of describing as projects, side projects that are working on things or maybe have it as a secondary or just one component of their larger job?

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Sure I mean I would just say, it's three who are central to the work that they do and then this year as I said uhm up to uhm I would say four additional who are working on them and then uhm that doesn't include the outreach and engagement work where you have a few additional staff who, who do that work.

CHAIR CARLOS MENCHACA: Okay thank you.

Thank you for that. Uhm should agencies rely on the

Volunteer Language Bank to provide Language Access

Services as some plans indicate that they do?

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Uhm so the question being should they?

CHAIR CARLOS MENCHACA: Yeah and just this is really kind out of an advocacy opportunity for you as MOIA.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Sure.

CHAIR CARLOS MENCHACA: I am really asking MOIA, the Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs, should they be allowed to rely on that?

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: So the, the best practice and the guidance that we have given to the agencies as it relates to Local Law 30 implementation has been to have their own contracts with vendors. Uhm and to move towards ensuring that agencies uhm are leveraging professional interpretation for, professional interpretation where interpretation is needed and then translation for the service. Where we have recommended that the LV is a good resource that could go to as a first cut is for review of translation so getting those second eyes from perhaps a speaker or certified speaker uhm recognizing that it is a volunteer language bank so the speed is sometimes not as quick as you might need for translation but the review process is easier for volunteers to do and can take less time. Uhm so we certainly think there continues to be a place for the use of, of that Volunteer Language Bank and certainly a way I think to build the community of city workers who speak languages and help build their own

2.2

professional	development as we have done before ar	ıd
helping them	get certified. Uhm but there are, th	ner
are, I think	we, our, our best practices in terms	of
professional	services uhm and how you use that bar	ık.

CHAIR CARLOS MENCHACA: Got it and so help me understand because you are kind of anticipating the next piece, which is the certification component that you just mentioned.

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Uh-huh.

CHAIR CARLOS MENCHACA: And whether or not there was funding that was kind of MOIA driven to get the volunteers to certification as interpreters.

CHAIR CARLOS MENCHACA: When you did it?

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Yes.

CHAIR CARLOS MENCHACA: Okay tell me a little bit about that and the time line and the what, what has happened since then.

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Sure I thin the last time we did it was maybe a couple of years ago and we had so I'm getting odd so I'm saying, so uhm uhm and we had a onetime budget to provide the training for uhm city workers who wanted to have that

2.2

professional development opportunity uhm to be able to go through that certification process have that.

CHAIR CARLOS MENCHACA: Okay final question, these are all super technical really because we want to get the oversight done but also the charter. Thinking about the charter, we want to continue this conversation even after this hearing.

Uhm on the budget lines does MOIA, the staff who work at MOIA on Language Access appear on a single budget line or the budget lines of multiple agencies and if they are in multiple agencies that we just discussed and identified before, what agencies are those?

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Sure, yes, multiple agencies. So agency partners uhm largely from DCAS yeah.

CHAIR CARLOS MENCHACA: All of those? Including.

19 COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: DCAS and 20 HRA.

CHAIR CARLOS MENCHACA: Montes... Ms.
Montesano.

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Yes, she's,

DCAS.

25 CHAIR CARLOS MENCHACA: DCAS yes.

in what ways this report is updated from the

previously submitted reports? Any big flags?

24

Anything that, anything that we can kind of anticipate? It's 100 plus, not 100s, 500 page report

5 anything that we can anticipate that are important to

so we are going to take some time to review it but

6 highlight today.

1

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Uhm I would just say and I don't know Anne if you recall off of the top of your head some of the things. I don't think there were flags I think it was us going back in with like a fine tooth comb with ops to see that we were being as responsive as we could in pushing agencies to have plans that were robust but with meetable goals recognizing that they just have this year to implement so are they are least meeting the bare minimums of what the law requires and then are there ways to build on that that we think that they can get to and so it was, it was more of that of making some of those adjustments where we thought uhm you are not quite where we want you to be uhm and giving some of that feedback. I don't know if you want to give anything specific?

ANNE MONTESANO: Yeah I thin there were a few agencies that had perhaps missed a particular requirement of two of what they should be including

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- in their plans like ensuring that they have an

 Emergency Preparedness Plan or having considered

 Plain Language Principals sort of elements like that

 to they were included to have that.
- 6 CHAIR CARLOS MENCHACA: So updates mean
 7 better reports from agencies and filling in some of
 8 the holes.
- 9 COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Yes.
- 10 CHAIR CARLOS MENCHACA: That's what we 11 can anticipate from this update? Great.
- 12 COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Yes.
 - CHAIR CARLOS MENCHACA: Thank you for that. We have uhm Council Member Eugene who has joined us as well, thank you. Uhm Council Member Eugene, Council Member Yeger for first question, second question Council Member Powers, or second member. Council Member Yeger?
 - KALMAN YEGER: Thank you Mr. Chair. Mr. Chair it's an honor to serve on both committees with you. Uhm Commissioner I have a question about the DOTs expansion beyond the 10 languages required of the Local Law it seems that they have translated into 8 additional language, uhm languages. Something that I applaud. I am fascinated by it because I consider

2.2

in the City of New York for the DOT to be perhaps the biggest failure of any City Agency in the entire city. I think nothing they do is right and on this particular item that happen to have gotten it right to the exclusion it seems of any other agency. Uhm and I'm curious by what standard they have come to the conclusion that they needed an additional 8 languages beyond the, the 10 required and why other agencies have not necessarily come to that same conclusion?

now all of the agencies were encouraged beyond the 10 to apply the Department of Justice's kind of fore factors in thinking about what Language Access should look like for them. So looking at the population they are serving or not, looking at their own resources, etc. uhm again agencies are all at different levels of, of Language Access some have already been doing it for years some are just beginning. Uhm the Department of Transportation uhm I think in the application of those for additional factors came back and said that we think that we are serving people who speak limited English, more

data... our census data and the way that we've applied it to what we are doing and so this is our recommendation and certainly from our perspective if they are able to. If they have the resources to go beyond they may have done that analysis. We are referring to them on doing more.

KALMAN YEGER: Do you believe that there are other agencies that are, that should be, I hate to say this perhaps taking the lead from DOT and I really don't want any agency in the city to get the message that they should take a lead from DOT on anything with the limited exception of perhaps expanding access to languages?

think every agency without feeling too redundant is at a different place. I think they have all made great progress. I think we are seeing that in terms of even the dollar expenditures already without full implementation and it really in many ways depends on the agency, the nature of the work that they are doing uhm and the the analysis that they are doing? And so would I say yes. Like could other agencies build on.

2.2

2.2

KALMAN YEGER: What can we do to get other agencies to identify other agencies that when DOT interacts with the community sure but there are many agencies that have similar interactions on a regular basis with the communities at large and you know for example, they are doing Hebrew, Punjabi and languages that, that are perhaps limited to very localized areas of New York City.

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: They are.

KALMAN YEGER: But they are they are doing a good job to make that, again just on this but they are doing a good job and there have to be other agencies that have the similar interaction with the community that are not getting their what can we do? What can you do? What does the city council need to do? What does your agency need to do?

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Yeah.

KALMAN YEGER: To make that happen? If anything. Unless you think it is just happening already and we just need to wait for it and.

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: You know I would just, sure I would say a few things. I would say you know we talked about how robust Local Law 30 itself is. So if you are doing the translation and

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 52
2	the ten required by the Law, you are already meeting
3	86% of Limited English Proficient New Yorkers. That
4	doesn't even account for interpretation. Our
5	interpretation goes beyond the 100 required by the
6	Law. It goes up to 200 languages that people can,
7	can provide and that's included in our signage.
8	Other agencies and programs have gone well beyond
9	these requirements from IDNYC to paid sick leave to
10	others, have done translations in 20 languages plus
11	so I think there is a lot of best practices and, and
12	different programs or different agencies that are
13	leading in different ways. Part of our goal is to ge
14	everybody up to what we think should be the bare
15	minimum standard and then build on that.
16	KALMAN YEGER: Alright thank you
17	Commissioner, thank you Chair.

CHAIR CARLOS MENCHACA: Thank you Council Member Yeger, Council Member Powers.

KEITH POWERS: Thank you very impressive. Three languages.

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Yes. A little bit of Arabic. (SPEAKING ARABIC).

KEITH POWERS: Pretty good uhm, uhm I would say that I am the Chair of the Criminal Justice

Committee I just want to ask about some compliance
around the Department of Corrections. Just for
starters can you tell us if they are in compliance
with the Local Laws around Language Access?

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Uhm so similarly I would say the Department of Corrections is one where we have some updates and support that we have been doing but don't have the full vantage point into their compliance until we get the reporting back.

KEITH POWERS: Got it and I think our staff noted that their website didn't have their plan on it. Is there any effort?

 $\label{thm:commissioner} \mbox{COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI:} \quad \mbox{They are one} \\ \mbox{that we will follow up on.}$

MEITH POWERS: Okay I appreciate it. I mean the reason I raise is because of all the agencies the one to have if you have a language I mean a lot it matters for but certainly it is one where it is unique relative to other agencies and would certainly. Uhm do you know if the Inmate Handbook is translated into other languages and if so what languages?

2.2

we can get back to you.

2.2

in the process of identifying the documents that they've translated. I don't know, if Anne, you know for certain that they have identified that? No but

KEITH POWERS: And that would be identifying it to know if it is a good or critical essential document?

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Yes.

KEITH POWERS: I would make the case that the Inmate Handbook is definitely one because it is often referred to, and has all of the rights, so that, so if it is not I would certainly encourage it to be included but also I think it is important that it's, it's translated into as many languages as we as we can get it into because of the uniqueness of the, of the system that we are talking about the importance to the importance to the person that's uh there. Uhm do we, do you know uhm when do you anticipate that you will have more information about the DOC in terms of their uhm, their uhm.

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: So on those two questions we can try to get back to you shortly. We can reach out to DOT, over... DOC overarching the

2.2

as I noted we are working with the agencies on the
reporting requirements, uhm on where they are at with
implementation and for the beginning of the new year
and we are we're required to report to Council on
that by middle of next year.

KEITH POWERS: And do you know uhm any information on like Language Translation, like, like having translators in in like any of the jail facilities in case in addition the handbook and other materials whether somebody actually needs to be?

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI:

Interpretation you mean?

KEITH POWERS: Yeah interpretation yeah.

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: I believe

that DOC also utilizes the interpretation contracts

but we can confirm that for you as well.

KEITH POWERS: Okay I appreciate it. I would, I will follow up with them as well to get more information but I would appreciate if we could maybe just work on that together and in light of everything that we are talking about in criminal justice right now certainly one part of it could be ensuring that everybody has sort of a clear sense of right, rights and information so. Thank you.

2 COMMISSIONER F

2.2

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Thank you.

CHAIR CARLOS MENCHACA: Thank you Council

Members Powers, Council Member Dromm?

DANIEL DROMM: Thank you very much. I just wanted to ask some questions about the Department of Education.

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Sure.

DANIEL DROMM: And uhm does the Department of Educations, DOEs Language Access efforts uhm how do they match up with Local Law 30.

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: So uhm what I would say is their efforts in many ways go beyond Local Law 30 in that they have their own interpretation and translation unit, uhm so that unit is greatly robust and it works across the DOE system where the majority of schools uhm actually have or sorry to say DOE implemented plans to expand the level of management and training at the schools which we think is great and a huge uhm kind of growth in the kind of work that they are doing. Additionally they have field access coordinators that do this work so similar in the thinking around the coordinators and training. Uhm, they, they go, they provide translation in the top 9 languages uhm but they go

2.2

above in the same way around interpretation uhm I'm
not sure that we know and I don't know if Anne if you
do how they select the documents uhm that they
translate uhm but we know they do the telephonic and
up to the 200, they do the translation and what they
are sending home and giving to students in the 9.
They actually join our convenings even though they
are not required to under Local Law so they have been
a partner that has come to the table in talking
through and thinking about best practices. Uhm and
we look forward to continuing to do that work with
them. Uhm and we look forward to continuing to do
that work with them.

DANIEL DROMM: And what about parent teacher conferences? Uhm do uhm they provide translation at all of those conferences?

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: I don't know the answer to that but we can get back to you.

DANIEL DROMM: Uh-huh cause you know sometimes they have the uhm evening parent teacher conferences where I have seen in the past some translators available but only like in certain languages, they don't have them in the necessary languages that they would need for all of the parents

2.2

to participate. But then the DOE also now the teachers do have an afternoon that is devoted to meeting with parents one afternoon a week is devoted to professional development, the other one is devoted to working with parents. So it would be good if you could look into that also.

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Sure.

DANIEL DROMM: I think probably the language line is available for that type of a situation but when you are having the one on one conferences I think it is really important and actually it draws parents into the school when they know that somebody is there who can do those translations.

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Yeah we can follow up with you on when they provide their, and I think as noted they probably tell folks that they can rely on the language line interpretation.

DANIEL DROMM: And have you heard of any limitations with the Department of Education, has any complaints, have any complaints come to you?

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Uhm no I think honestly getting on the ground feedback more readily coming to us is a goal of ours. We did

establish the ability for people call 3-1-1 and
register a Language Access complaint so that does
come to us but that's not where I think where the
majority of the issues or concerns are permeating.
We probably will hear more on that from the
communities that we are engaged with. So also there
is a way to think about how to best share with
Council Members offices to direct things to us so
that we have awareness. We would love to partner
with you and think about that.

DANIEL DROMM: So in the case that Council Member Menchaca mentioned in his opening statement, uhm where the parent received a limited access letter, limited access letter denies a parent access to the school for some alleged reason and to violate that could result in the arrest of the parent.

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Uh-huh.

DANIEL DROMM: I don't know if that's what happened in this case or not but what role do you play in that at all if you have any role in that and I wonder also if you know anything about suspension hearings or anything like that when the DOE holds those types of hearings.

2.2

2	

2.2

because DOE is not actually covered under us we don't have the direct oversight authority but DOE has never not engaged us on this issue or any others related to the needs of immigrant families. So I think just readily we would see our office as one that would certainly work with and follow up with DOE to address the issue not just for the individual family both overarching systematically. Uhm and can get back to you on the suspension hearings and what they do in terms of interpretation there.

DANIEL DROMM: Just point out one other area too, I think when parents come in for IEP interviews, uhm. It is critically important that there be Language Access available for that also.

actually started a pilot on that uhm so there is a new pilot where a new pub... a new pilot this year that they are starting in school on IEPs so hopefully we will get good feedback on what is working with that and be able to expand it more broadly.

DANIEL DROMM: Okay thank you very much.

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Sure.

2 CHAIR CARLOS MENCHACA: Thank you Council 3 4

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

essentially.

Member Dromm and before I hand it over to Council Member, or Chair Cabrera I want to follow up on the DOEPs that really kind of speaks to this uhm kind of larger conversation about Local Law 30 and its ability. We are, we are uhm we are limited in ourselves in how to how to legislate policy here and so what we are looking for from you today is is what is the leadership here and the question around that gap or Local Law compliance and what can we do to think about your role uhm for all agencies as the Mayor's office which is under DOEs under,

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Uh-huh.

CHAIR CARLOS MENCHACA: And so tell us a little bit about that. The case is under still investigation at the school and and Council Member Dromm's question is a good one about what role do you play even if, if officially technically the compliance isn't there to compel you to do that what's the, what's the drive, the leadership, the goal, the mission that you have been speaking to about that, about that gap.

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Yeah so like

3 I said predating Local Law 30 we started to bring 4 agencies together at DOE was at that table and has continued to be at that table and in conversations 5 with us about this work. They are certainly one of 6 7 the agencies because they have had the breath of experience that they do that have shared with other 8 agencies and with us how best practices around this 9 work. So. You know from a sort of share kind of 10 collegial responsiveness to us I would say DOE is 11

CHAIR CARLOS MENCHACA: Uh okay I want to move out of DOE but I will say that I want to invite MOIA into that conversation around that parent. It's

doing that. Uhm and they've, we've done nothing

short of being responsive to our concerns around

and targeted in what we are trying to address.

these issues. I think uh it is helpful to hear the

but they have always been responsive and certainly

Chancellor Carranza has noted a deep commitment to

ensuring that he is effectively serving and working

specific questions so that we can be even more direct

24 a great case.

with immigrant families.

1

2

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.2

2 CHAIR CARLOS MENCHACA: For us to solve 3 together.

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Yeah.

CHAIR CARLOS MENCHACA: And then I will save the rest for a next set of questions. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Sure thank you.

CHAIR CARLOS MENCHACA: So we have a few more questions, feel free to give us the parts as concise version because there are a few that uhm we really need answers for. So the four newly added languages are particularly absent from some implementations which you agree that agencies are required to provide such translation in all of the designated languages?

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Yes.

CHAIR CARLOS MENCHACA: Uhm several agencies required by Law have identified LEP populations that heavily use their services but do not speak a language covered under Local Law 30; however some of the agencies do not also detail a plan to ensure that those individuals have any services any information provided to them in their

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

languages. So I have three questions related to that. Do you believe that is compliant with Local Law 30? Have you established any baseline or standard for which such agencies should be required to provide services or translations in languages heavily representing end of service population? And third, are you reviewing data on agencies service population to identify such communities as well?

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Sure uhm I think as I noted previously I will again be concise, the goal for us is to get everybody at that, at that minimum standard and as I noted with the top 10 which is expanding four languages in a very short amount of time for a lot of agencies or doing this for the first time for many other agencies getting everybody to do that and do that effectively has been the focus of the plans and the goals in the work with the agencies. We have as the law requires and also we have encouraged agencies to do their own report analysis around who they are surveying, what might gaps look like and how might they be able to meet those. I think they are just to be perfectly honest at different levels of their ability to kind of get to the top 10 effectively and then go beyond it.

2.2

while DOT is ready and able to go beyond it so while DOT is ready and able to go beyond it other agencies might not yet neither. What we have encouraged is that the signage be made available for interpretation and that is available well beyond the top 10, right 200 languages, also MOIA has often served as an agency where people might come to us right and ask us specific questions, hey should I be doing this translation for this particular uhm issue or what have you? Was it important to this population and we will give sort of feedback and advice on that.

CHAIR CARLOS MENCHACA: Uhm so now let me uhm just change gears briefly here to regarding outreach to underserved language, underserved language communities. If you could please describe the outreach MOIA has and be conducting for nondesigned language community as required by Law.

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Sure so the way that we've tackled this is largely identifying populations that are not uhm designed or underserved who we haven't frankly robustly engaged as a city across agencies and what we have been doing with this population is working on the ground with community partners uhm who serve the populations by way of

example I mentioned the work that we have been doing
with the Afghan community and Darian Pashto (SP?) and
we have been working closely with Women for Afghan
Women around that work. Uhm sort of building out a
series of conversations with the community we are
providing interpretation or the organization is
helping us facilitate the communication,
understanding the needs of the population uh that are
most pressing and then from those needs building out
larger forms for the community that have the live
interpretation and have materials present that are
translated in the documents that were most requested
or the information that was most requested. So
that's been the way that we have been approaching it,
largely to not just try to just hit every community
but to sort of like really dive deeply and be
responsive in an effective way and then from those
forums we do, we continue to do follow up. The
agencies are a part of on the key issues so sometimes
that entails the translation of a document for
example, for that one IDNYC was a big thing we
actually hadn't translated our applications into the
languages that we did for the first time as a part of

2.2

this process. So that is kind of work that we will continue to do.

CHAIR CARLOS MENCHACA: And you have the staff capacity to expand?

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Uhm so we will continue to monitor sort of what our needs are in this respect but this is the focus of what we have been doing.

CHAIR CARLOS MENCHACA: Okay cause it sounds like a tremendous amount of work uhm to accomplish that. Uhm MOIA conducted the first ever Afghan Town Hall in Pashto and Dari. What resulted uhm from that Town Hall, were any services, programs or documents identified as being critical uhm to those communities. Were any additional services or documents now be available in either language?

see generally I mentioned the IDNYC one additional I belief for that that one a big one was housing needs uhm and kind of public assistance access that we brought in partners to those conversations who have remained uhm working with the community organization and taking the individual community needs for follow up.

2 CHAIR (

1

5

CHAIR CARLOS MENCHACA: Along those

3 lines, what other measureable outcomes have resulted

4 from town halls or outreach conducted by MOIA?

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Uhm so

6 measurable outcomes I cannot speak right now to

7 individual cases that we were, that we addressed or

8 were responsive to. Uhm the really the town hall

9 | itself is the outcome to be perfectly honest so as I

10 said there is a series of engagements that we do that

11 | lead up to that where we brought the agencies that

12 speak to the issues that the community has raised to

13 ∥ us as central to their needs. So I would say two

14 fold. Sometimes it is resulted in document

15 | translation, sometimes it is resulted in individual

16 case assistance, in all cases it has resulted in some

17 | of the rights sharing in the language that the

18 | community speaks.

19 CHAIR CARLOS MENCHACA: Last question

20 | before I turn it over to my co-Chair, has MOIA

21 | developed plans on how agencies should adapt when the

22 | list of designed citywide languages changes as city

23 demographics shift.

24 COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Good

25 questions, uhm so as the Law requires we are to do an

analysis every three years of, of the designed
languages. We have not thought about sort of in
three years from now what that will look like in
terms of shifts. I would saw part of what will
inform that for us is how people have implemented
this year's Laws and what challenges there were in
doing that implementation and where the gaps are. I
would imagine just based on migration patterns that
you will not see dramatic changes so it will be less
of a lift for agencies, maybe one or two language
changes but probably not beyond that. So arguably
our hope is that because they have already gotten
accustomed to doing the translation in maybe one of
the languages if it switches they will keep doing
that and just add another but that's part of the goal
too right is institutionalizing the way that you do
Language Services Work and Access and making it so
that it is just a part of the daily thinking of
operations.

CHAIR CARLOS MENCHACA: I'm curious what demographics data do you rely upon to make this decision? Is there a particular city wide, is it Federal, State?

Sure this

2 COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: 3 was part of uhm, uhm a conversation with Council as

1

4

5

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

we were looking at the establishment of Local Law 30.

The city has traditionally relied on census data to

6 determine the top 10 languages, what we wanted to be

7 able to do is in going beyond the 6, look at data

that changes more frequently. Uhm what we decided to 8

do was actually look at DOE data as a result of that 9

so in looking at the 4 additional languages, the 10

Department of Education actually collects you know 11

12 over 1 million households, the preferred languages

13 and that is ever changing uhm from a year to year

14 basis so it is the most kind of up to data handle of

15 data that we can look at whereas census as you know

16 is every 10 years. So that's been the thinking of

why we married the two.

CHAIR CARLOS MENCHACA: Okay thank you so I will turn it over now to my co-Chair. much.

CHAIR FERNANDO CABRERA: Thank you co-Chair and uhm I have some questions around Chinese

2.2 Language Access, DYCD and ACS. We will start with

23 Chinese Language Access. The Language Access Plans

that were reviewed by the staff, across, across the

board varies significantly.

2 COMMISS

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Uh-huh.

CHAIR FERNANDO CABRERA: And I know you spoke a lot to the getting everyone to the base foundation and then and then moving up. Uhm in their agenc... in these agency approaches they do offer some Chinese Language support this is especially concerning though as Chinese is the umbrella term for multiple dialects. You have Mandarin, Cantonese, Fujinese, etc. and then you have two different written scripts. The simplified and traditional. Ιn my, in my district you have uhm so many different probably all of these are significant in the community and even from one district office uhm with limited resources it is a difficult thing so this is what we deal with on a daily basis when we think about participatory budgeting and maintaining our, our commitment to that really powerful uhm initiative what guidance has MOIA provided to agencies regarding Chinese language, provisions uhm for the different languages and uhm written uhm what have you provided? COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: So we have

provided guidance on this that we have not made it mandatory to date which is something that we are thinking about uhm the guidance.

2.2

CHAIR FERNANDO CABRERA: So repeat, repeat that again you have.

 $\label{eq:commissioner} \mbox{COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI:} \quad \mbox{We have} \\ \mbox{provided guidance but not you must do.} \\$

CHAIR FERNANDO CABRERA: Oh I see just quidance.

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Yes so uhm based on research that we have done, uhm conversations with communities and others we believe that the best practice is in terms of translation, simplified Chinese. Uhm and we.

CHAIR FERNANDO CABRERA: How did you come up with that?

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Based on, honestly a lot of research, looking at migration patterns uhm looking at, uhm speaking directly to community based organizations and kind of understanding but what we were gathering or gardenering for the research and the data was accurate to the experiences of communities on the ground, uhm making simplified Chinese the recommendation, uhm the best practice that we have given uhm agencies and that's what we do. Uhm in terms of interpretation that is available in in the

2.2

Portuguese and others through the telephonic interpretation uhm so that shouldn't be a limitation uhm some agencies spend specifically the different realm social services in doing their own kind of fore factor analysis know it's valuable for us to do both simplified and traditional Chinese so they are doing both uhm and so I think that's an ongoing thing to be looking at and understanding what makes sense, kind

CHAIR FERNANDO CABRERA: And what is preventing you from moving from guidance to kind of regs through, through the process, that you've, you've taken on for MOIA for other agencies.

of overarching me and then what should be added.

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Uhm mostly I think it is that most of the agencies are doing what we have recommended is the best practice.

CHAIR FERNANDO CABRERA: And do have that. And I don't remember that being one of the things in the report that showed that kind of, again they varied, they clearly varied, there are still some gaps and maybe the updated, uhm analysis that we just got today will kind of show us getting there but if you were able to kind of grade in general across

the board are people, are most people needing that guidance that you have given them?

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Uhm I can't,
I don't remember off the top of my head if they are
doing that.

CHAIR FERNANDO CABRERA: Okay.

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: If they are doing that. I think we will also see from the reporting period what they ended up doing but I think in terms of when we say Chinese translation we mean simplified Chinese, that is something for us to think about in moving forward.

CHAIR FERNANDO CABRERA: Okay. Are you working with other municipalities to get best practices to get best practices from them and if you are which ones.

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Uhm on

Language Access specifically we have worked with

other municipalities, I'm trying to jog my memory on
whether or not, not to uhm you know through sister.

22 CHAIR FERNANDO CABRERA: Yes we are the 23 best.

24 COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Under the

25 bus.

2		CHATR

2.2

CHAIR FERNANDO CABRERA: We are the best.

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: (laughing).

CHAIR FERNANDO CABRERA: I know that, the second best though right and that's allowed.

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: We are often doing just determine this amount more than cities across the country. We have engaged uhm some cities just to understand what they are doing, including Boston, Phili and San Francisco uhm who do this work. We actually had a convening through our coalition for action maybe earlier this year and one of the conversations was around uhm starting Offices of Immigrant Affairs and Language Access being at the core of our recommendation for what people should be thinking about in that work.

CHAIR FERNANDO CABRERA: Wonderful. It is really exciting that we can kind of share that with other cities. Uhm and then finally the Chinese Language Access uhm questions are you aware of any major complaints from agencies or from people uhm with unfulfilled requests for translation around Chinese and just really kind of thinking about individuals in our service community or being

2.2

2 serviced by our agencies. Are you aware of any big
3 major complaints?

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: I'm not, I made note that there are a couple of organizations here to testify so we will be sure to grab their testimonies to see if there is something that we haven't missed.

CHAIR FERNANDO CABRERA: Okay. Awesome.

Let's move over to the DYCD Language Access question.

At a joint hearing that we had, uhm both the

Immigration and Youth Service Committee on September

17 of this year, DYCD said that they were not

considered a covered agency under Local Law 30

because most of their services are provided through

contracted entities; however, DYCD does provide at

least one direct public service through its Youth

Connect Hotline just as 3-1-1s phone line is covered

by this Law would you agree that DYCD should also be

covered? Even if it just the Youth Connect Hotline?

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Uhm so yes

on that front.

CHAIR FERNANDO CABRERA: Okay.

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Uhm so we have talked to DYCD uhm they have talked to us about

2.2

ANNE MONTESANO: Updated report that you received, that you received.

CHAIR FERNANDO CABRERA: Okay.

ANNE MONTESANO: But they are working on the plan to incorporate and add it.

Will be expecting it. Great. ASC has 193 complaints according to the report you all just submitted or not just but before was submitted. This number stood out significantly to us. Could you tell us a little bit about any of the commonalities that come out of those complaints and how you are working with ASC to improve their Language Access Services?

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Yeah I was just conferring with Anne, I don't think we know the specifics of commonalities amongst those complaints.

 $\label{eq:chair_fernando} \mbox{CABRERA:} \mbox{ Okay well we} \\ \mbox{want to work with you to.}$

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Sure.

CHAIR FERNANDO CABRERA: Cause I think we we saw that as a, as a red flag at that number uhm and we know that complaints like this when comes out it really represents a larger number that might not have ever gotten there uhm in the first place.

2 COMMIS

2.2

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Uh-huh.

CHAIR FERNANDO CABRERA: And uhm Council

Gjonaj and Council Member Holden have questions. uhm

Council Member Holden first.

ROBERT HOLDEN: Yes thank you for

Commissioner for the testimony. I just want to uhm

whose checking the translation of some months back I

walked into the translator just so happen to be with

him in a Department of Buildings in Queens and there

pamphlets piled on the desk, many languages, he

looked at the Chinese pamphlet and said this is

totally wrong it doesn't make sense. Uhm it was

misleading actually. Does somebody because he

pointed it out to the DOB and said you shouldn't give

these out. Uhm he does this professionally he does

this uhm for a living, so who, who checks the

translations? For agencies?

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Sir thank

you for the question and I think this is one area of
ongoing challenge that we are looking to try and
improve and the first, a couple of things is we
believe part of why the translations don't always
come out as effectively and as accurately as we would
like them to is because of the way that agencies are

working with the vendors. Unm part of that is what
they are giving the vendor to translate, is it really
in as much plain language as possible to avoid
confusion around the nuance and the intention of the,
of the document. And uhm how to talk to the agency
about what you are looking for, etc. We are as I
said in November bringing that agencies together for
another convening. This is exactly the focus of that
because it has been an ongoing issue. Uhm and
additional way we are trying to address this is uhm
through frankly piloting a best practice so we for
the first time this year entered a contract with a
third vendor. Uhm that we are giving our translated
documents to for a third party review. In the
absence of that contract we recommended that agencies
can use the volunteer language bank as well for a
third party review but these are some of the ways
that we are trying to address it and recognize that
it is an ongoing area of work.

ROBERT HOLDEN: Yeah just seem a tremendous waste when you see thousands of pamphlets and they are wrong. And they and he said don't give these out because they are totally misleading. Uhm the second thing I have a large Albanian population.

	COMMITTED ON TRAILGIVITION
2	COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Uh-huh.
3	ROBERT HOLDEN: Uhm in my, in my district
4	and I think we need more communication there. If I
5	if I speak to your office and we need some special
6	communications in a certain area whether it be
7	housing, you could actually get some things printed
8	possibly or at least?
9	COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: We could
10	certainly try yes.
11	ROBERT HOLDEN: Yes because we do have to
12	do some outreach I know.
13	COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Yeah.
14	ROBERT HOLDEN: Councilman Gjonaj wanted
15	to say something for that too but we need, we do need
16	more outreach in the communities and working with the
17	Council Members if we could identify certain
18	populations that are in need.
19	COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: We'd love to
20	do that.
21	ROBERT HOLDEN: Thank you so much for.
22	COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Thank you.
23	ROBERT HOLDEN: Thanks.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1 2 CHAIR FERNANDO CABRERA: Council Member 3 Yeger? Gjonaj you are next sorry. You are all over 4 there. MARK GJONAJ: The other Albanian. 5

CHAIR FERNANDO CABRERA: You are not the same.

MARK GJONAJ: Thank you Chair uhm and just to piggyback on Councilman's questioning, I know that you are targeting neighborhoods and communities where you are trying to get a better understanding of the needs of the various.

> Uh-huh. COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI:

MARK GJONAJ: Adversities. Clearly you can see the difference between DOT and DOJ and the assessments that are made on their need to go further than the 10 recognized languages. What is it that you are doing that can be improved upon as we target these ethnic neighborhoods? Which will continue to expand and it is not too difficult to understand why.

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Sure.

MARK GJONAJ: Uhm but as they immigrate here obviously they will immigrate to a region where they can speak their own native language and have others that share their same culture and history so

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 that they can fit in. What more can be done by your
3 agency?

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Uhm so thank you for the question. So some of the, some of the communities that we have been looking at being, doing some of that deeper dive into are because people are coming to us and sort of identifying the need and some of it is from us looking at where there is growth in communities and language and the diversity needs. Uhm and some of it is kind of responsive as we know just sort of a girth of information and materials getting to them in their languages. Uhm so we love, we love to hear sort of where there are gaps that maybe we have not yet addressed so that we can think about with you. One of the things that some of the programs have done, not necessarily an agency wide best practice but a program best practice has been creating brochures that while they might be in a particular language or in certain languages have mention in more languages the ability to get information in their language by calling X number or visiting the location uhm so those kinds of expansions are things that we think are best practices that we want organizations, agencies to

2.2

work on. Additionally if there is a particular document or area where you see this is you know this is what we are constantly hearing is a gap or an area where there is gaps, we can certainly work with the agencies to make sure that we are meeting that. I think that we are mindful of as I said how we are best, how quickly we are asking the agencies to go in terms of the translation but certainly if we can be narrow and specific on where it makes sense to go beyond that that reflects what they are seeing in terms of their service delivery. There is good, there is good opportunity there to build on the work.

MARK GJONAJ: That's a good, that's a good deal but why aren't we focusing on a real micro level like the community boards for example, they can be very helpful in finding the neighborhoods and the various ethnicities and the pockets that exist?

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Oh I mean we do that work through our own data analysis so we definitively have a sense of where we, where we need to be and uhm what languages people speak through our own work. I think as I noted what you are talking about is sort of individual questions that are coming to you in terms of needs and maybe community boards

are another good place to go to for those, for that awareness but we often work with community based organizations that serve the populations to make sure that we are hearing kind of what are the, what are the continuous questions or concerns that their communities are facing so we will welcome ideas and community boards are one that we can follow up upon.

MARK GJONAJ: But we took the approach of using the feedback from community boards that will determine or more specific the side of the ethnicities in a particular neighborhood where you can be more aggressive instead of waiting for some of the ethnic... some of these ethnic groups don't even have recognized organizations.

 $\label{thm:commissioner} \mbox{COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI:} \quad \mbox{Uh-huh} \\ \mbox{that's right.}$

MARK GJONAJ: So when you are saying that we rely on these ethnic organizations to provide us the information, well they may not even have an organization that represents them or they are so widespread that it is difficult to represent there or bring them together, bring their needs to our attention.

2.2

1 COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 86 2 COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: T think 3 that's right. The one thing that I would say is that 4 even in groups that don't have established organizations for example, the Uzbek community we 5 there are established leaders or mosques that they go 6 7 to or so forth and they are who we have approached to say hi, hey we are interested in in working with this 8 community and helping to address some of their 9 concerns or bring agencies and services to them and 10 if it's not an established organization we have 11 12 worked to identify a leadership within the community 13 and then convene them. 14 MARK GJONAJ: I'm sorry you I believe you used an example here of we had an Afghani Town Hall 15

last year.

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Uh-huh.

MARK GJONAJ: Right which lead to participation of over 200 members that brought to your attention specific needs. What transpired that Afghani Town Hall?

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: What, what brought that to fruition? Uhm work that we had started to do uhm with that community in Queens, basically as part of the work that we do at MOIA in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

trying to ensure that we are uhm speaking to communities across the city. Uhm so in that instance it was working with particular organizations so Women for Afghan Women being one of them who we had started to work with and uhm and who as they brought issues to use you know we note that this seems like a bigger a larger, there is a larger need here to bring agencies to the community and that's what lead us to that. It's been different in different uh situations so for uhm uhm as I said Uzbek is actually a good example. Nobody came to us. There was not an established organization, we met with Uzbek leaders that had been identified and began conversations and then continued to build on that work towards doing engagements with them. There is no community center or organization but the mosque where many go to and so forth.

MARK GJONAJ: Okay so I'm going to introduce myself, Councilman Mark Gjonaj the only Albanian elected in the State of New York. I need your help. We have an Albanian community that is completely underserved and off the radar uhm that is having a very difficult time navigating through the different agencies and departments within the city.

2.2

There is a real need there and uhm there are many other ethnicities that are going through the same issues. So I am looking forward to working with you on this and many other issues.

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Thank you

CHAIR FERNANDO CABRERA: Thank you

Council Member Gjonaj. We have two quick follow up

on DYCD questions and then we want to hear from the

advocates as well. Thank you so much for your

patience. We want to hear your voice as well and

I'm, we are expecting that MOIA staff will be here as

well. Okay so earlier you testified at the very

beginning about the compliance for Local Law 30 and

we found a gap in the DYCDPs so that is on its way

which is not right now currently covered and so we

want to find out if there are other agencies that

should be covered even if most of their services

might not be covered by Law. And will you commit to

a review to identify such agencies?

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Sure.

CHAIR FERNANDO CABRERA: Okay, easy.

Thank you. Do you believe that it would be beneficial to extend our Language Access requirements to entities who provide direct public services paid

2.2

for by the City of New York pursuant to a contract with the City agency?

are certainly open to conversations about that. I think that uhm I would say that for awareness that the HRA already does this in many respects pursuant to Executive Order 70 Local Law 73, not Executive Order 73, I think this is uhm you know uhm a place of conversation. I would also just note be it obvious a place of resources.

CHAIR FERNANDO CABRERA: And I love that question about resources you know and so I am hoping that we can, can anticipate that as we move forward and ask for those resources. Because that's what we do. We approve the budget. We all present it, you are the Mayor's Office you present it and so we would like to see some of this anticipated addressed so that we can be so happy to say yes, got it. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER BITTA MOSTOFI: Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDO CABRERA: Okay. Thank you for coming and testify. Oh wait, hold on. Hold for two seconds. Okay I think we are good. Thank you so much. Okay and with that we will have uhm and thank

2.2

you Commissioner, thank you so much again (SPEAKING SPANISH). With that we have our next panel and we want to thank this next panel because they were instrumented and they provided leadership in shaping Local Law 30 and so will ask Persephone Tan from the Asian American Federation, Mokmuna Diaya (SP?) from African Communities Together, Betsy Plum for New York Immigration Coalition, Sabrina Gellow (SP?) from CAV and Sylvia Sickday (SP?) from India (INAUDIBLE). And you can begin as soon as you are ready.

PERSEPHONE TAN: Thank you Chair Menchaca and Chair Cabrera and the Committees on Governmental Operations and Immigration for convening this hearing today. I am Persephone Tan Associate Director of Immigration and Policy at the Asian American Federation. First we thank the City Council for passing this Law last year and to continue working with MOIA and other city agencies to protect the rights of immigrant and limited English proficient New Yorkers. In December of 2016, the Federation joined the New York Immigration Coalition and African Communities together to advocate for an updated citywide Language Access policy. As leadership organizations for African, Asian and all immigrant

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

New Yorkers we understand that our language needs of our various diverse communities. Through our members and partners we recognize the urgency in security language accessibility so that New York's most vulnerable populations are able to access public services. For the Asian Immigrant Community the Department of Education tracks 55 languages across more than 20 Asian Ethnic groups. The solidarity shown across our three organizations prompted the New York City Council to unanimously approve an additional four languages to include Arabic, French, Polish and Urdu which it will be in part support the growing African, Arab, and Pakistani immigrant populations. This collective advocacy demonstrates our continued commitment in ensuring that the City can meet the demands of its ever changing demographics. After reviewing MOIAs report published in June 2018, we want to raise four concerns. there is no clarity or uniformity of which form of Chinese should be used between simplified Chinese versus traditional Chinese for written and printed material. It is important to identify dialects as well because not only in Chinese but not everyone is literate and may not have the ability to read written

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

forms in their language so we recommend that city agencies should identify which Chinese scripts and dialects are used most often for the people that they serve, hard data on script preferences would be necessary to determine which should be used. Two, according to the report, the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene does not have a standardized system of collecting and tracking a client's primary or preferred language. We recommend that the DOHMH should standardize a system or utilize processes that other city agencies put into place in order to identify client's primary preferred language use for example, ACS and HRA both use language cards to identify and track this. DIFTA uses another system to track preferred language when they send mail or emails to clients. MOIA should regularly evaluate those practices as seen from agency implementation plans across the board. If this is not already put into place we recommend that MOIA develops a plan to regularly assess and implement this with the Local Law 30 corresponding city agencies. Three, we want to know what is the criteria or parameters in which MOIA will hold agencies accountable for not providing proficient language access to individual seeking

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

assistance. What is the accountability mechanism between MOIA and the City Agencies. We recommend transparency in MOIAs Corrective Action Plan to address noncompliance from city agencies who fail to provide adequate and proper language interpretation and translation services, sufficient funding and resources are necessary to implement their language asset plans. Lastly we are concerned that the 3-1-1 reporting system for Language Access complaints is underutilized. As evidenced by the fact that within the first year of Local Law 30 was in effect there were limited to no complaints made for each agency as stated on the report. Based on anecdotal information from our member agencies we know that there are many unreported examples of unmet Language Access needs and that the community is unaware of or is unwilling to use a core reporting mechanism through 3-1-1. this case, we recommend that there should be more outreach to the community to build awareness of availability of Language Assistance and inform people how to register their needs through 3-1-1. know that limited English proficient communities may not be utilizing or know how to call 3-1-1. This also exists, there also exist previous experiences of

individuals who were unable to reach someone who			
spoke their language when using 3-1-1. And as an			
example from one of our member orgs. Uhm a Japanese			
CBO mentions and I will just read from their			
testimony. They are not there today but I just want			
to indicate one example uhm one client visited a			
government agency to apply for public benefits and			
requested for an interpreter for Japanese. Then the			
staff at the agency called a Mandarin speaking			
interpreter. So that is just only one of many			
examples that exist in which my uhm colleagues on			
this panel will mention as well and as the City			
continues to address the ongoing concerns with			
Language Access such as the ones I just mentioned,			
uhm we look forward to working with the City Council,			
MOIA and other city agencies to address Language			
Access needs for New Yorkers. Thank you.			

CHAIR FERNANDO CABRERA: Thank you and I quick thing about the panel so we can move the panel forward if there are things that we can focus on that have not been uhm we want to get some specific concepts so that we can send, spend some time on Q and A as well. Thank you.

24

25

2 MOKMUNA DIAYA (SP?): Good afternoon 3 members of the Committee on Governmental Operations and the Committee of Immigration and Council Members, 4 5 my name is Mokmuna Diaya (SP?) a program at African 6 Communities Together (ACT). At ACT I lead our 7 Community Interpreter Program and supervise the development of uhm African and supervise the 8 development of Language Services Worker Cooperative 9 so ACT is an organization of African Immigrants which 10 empowers our community members and a grade socially 11 12 to get ahead economically and engage physically. 13 behalf of ACT I would like to applaud the city's 14 major accomplishment of Local Law 30 of 2017. First 15 in recognizing that New York is one of the most 16 diverse cities in the country and much of its 17 population speaks language other than English and 18 second in explaining the Language Access to French and Arabic and in providing enforcement provisions in 19 20 the new Law many of the communities that we serve at ACT are west African immigrants who speak in addition 21 2.2 to their native languages French so this Language 23 Access Expansion means that now that 2000 more

African can access services in uhm in the city in

French. Although the Local Law 30 is a great start

to Language Access it does not cover all African 2 immigrants. As you may know, many Africans who are 3 from foreign countries can communicate most 4 effectively in their native languages instead of 5 French. This is because uhm French is widely spoken 6 7 by Africans who have access to higher education. With the increase of African immigrants from coming 8 from different economic and educational background in 9 New York City we are faced with two major concerns 10 that the Local Law 30 Bill did not address. So the 11 12 first one being uhm the challenging of that our 13 community members face in finding interpreters in 14 African languages other than French in city agencies 15 and our office often receives call from our new 16 immigrant community members who are unable to access 17 city services because they were not able to 18 communicate with the city agencies. Uhm and I will give you an example of a person who came from Mali 19 20 and she didn't have access to education so she called one of our staff members to communicate with her in 2.1 2.2 uhm she went to the HR office after she was going to 23 SIE to get benefits where she wasn't able to communicate and no one was there to assist her. 24 so she decided to call a staff member in our office 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

who speaks Mandingo uhm to communicate so that she was "able to access services." So a number of and that is just one case out of many and so a number of our staff will receive calls asking about interpretations and so the second one is inadequacy in telephonic interpretation and is inferiority in building connection and trust between the interpreter and the client and also uhm especially in sensitive issues like health and domestic violence and the other one is in its quality and availability for language use, we have regional variations like Mandingo just like Persephone mentioned just now for the, the Chinese language. So I'm here on behalf of my community to ask the city to hire in key offices bilingual front line staff who can serve as navigators and facilitators in accessing languages that the client speak and in connecting them with the right interpreter. The second one is to consider investing in the community legal interpreter bank, a monitor the District of Columbia has successfully used uh which consists of a group of professional interpreters and translators who are occluded and trying to provide language access services free of cost to qualified nonprofit organizations that serve

2 low income individuals. We advised the city to consider emulating this model of Language Services. 3 Language Access. So ACT is in the process of 4 incubating an African Language Services Worker 5 Cooperative which would be a worker run agency that 6 7 provides in person interpretation, translation, uhm language instruction in African in both African 8 languages and ESL for new immigrants. So the City 9 Council has invested in worker own cooperative and 10 11 ACT Asian American Federation and New York 12 Immigration coalition believe that the city should 13 support Language Services Cooperative development. 14 In that Language Services Coop can meet two of the 15 city goals uhm which consist of Language Access and 16 Economic Development. So the community based 17 Language Services Workers Coop can work in a 18 complimentary fashion by building the supply of interpreters and language use limited diffusion 19 20 including many African Asian Indians lacking American languages. We again felt solute the city's effort in 21 2.2 recognizing effective language access the cornerstone 23 of equity in this beautiful and diverse city that we live in but we call all of you to remember that 24 fairness is not existent if some people are left out. 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

In this case, we have to extend Language Services to the people to the people who do not speak the 10 designated citywide languages. Thank you for your valuable time and consideration in implementing our recommendations. Thank you.

BETSY PLUM: Good afternoon and thank you to the Government Operations Committee and the Immigration Committee for convening today's hearing and to the entire City Council for your continued leadership on behalf of New York City's Immigrant Communities. My name is Betsy Plum and I am the Vice President of Policy at the New York Immigration Coalition. The NYC was proud to partner with our New York City members last year to push for the passage of Local Law 30. Local Law 30's codification and expansion of the city's language access protections has ensured that our diverse communities can come closer to language equity while having greater access to the city's vital services. Today we want to use the opportunity to underscore the need to see Local Law 30 as just the start to our city's robust response to language access needs and offer ways that the City Council and Mayor de Blasio's Administration can better support immigrant New Yorkers, navigate

our City and its services. A need that is all the 2 more vital given the hate the hostility that is 3 transmitting from our Federal Government. In our 4 5 City, three out of every five residents are an immigrant or the child of an immigrant and nearly one 6 7 out of every four immigrants is limited English proficient. Offering immigrants and their families 8 the tools that they need to meaningfully navigate our 9 Increasing the number of languages to 10 City is vital. 10 that city agencies must translate their most 11 12 commonly distributed documents into was a critical 13 step forward. However, we live in a city where more 14 than 176 languages are spoken in our schools, where 15 Queens is home to more than 138 language speakers and 16 where an estimated over 800 languages are spoken. 17 think we can all agree 10 mandated languages by city 18 Law should only be the beginning. There are also unique concerns that we have the standing 19 20 implementation of Local Law 30. Much of this has been mentioned by my colleagues but I want to 21 2.2 underscore that there are numerous distinct dialects 23 and regional variations even within the top 10 languages. Similarly as mentioned for a language 24 like Chinese beyond distinct dialects there are 25

2 different ways to write the language. The city has enacted a number of measures to gather and maintain 3 4 stronger data on users of city agencies and it would 5 be extremely helpful to use the data to understand which versions, dialects or variations of a language 6 7 both written and spoken are most necessary, understanding that this may vary in different parts 8 of the city and for different agencies. Moreover if 9 the data does not tell us these things, we need to be 10 developing improved data gathering tools and methods. 11 12 We are also concerned with the current mechanisms for reporting complaints or violations to the city's 13 14 Language Access Protections and the ripple effect 15 that this then has for ensuring agencies are being held accountable and Local Law 30 is being enforced. 16 To date, advocates have been instructed that 3-1-1 is 17 18 the best way to register complaints; however, there is little if any visible effort to outreach about 19 20 using 3-1-1 for this purpose or on what 3-1-1 is entirely or when and why to use it. More so, if 21 2.2 someone is seeking to report a complaint about a 23 Language Access Service provided or the quality of services it is very unlikely that they will see 24 calling a city hotline as a meaningful remedy. 25

2 is all the more true when individuals do try to call 3-1-1 and these are all based off of real examples, 3 only to be met with the same language access 4 roadblocks that they are calling to complain about. 5 We welcome the opportunity to think through how to 6 7 create more community friendly ways to register complaints and how to make 3-1-1 a truly inclusive 8 tool for all New Yorkers. As we look toward true 9 structural improvement and how our city engages with 10 Language Access I want to mention two longer term 11 12 investments that we would encourage City Council to consider. The first is what Mokmuna (SP?) mentioned 13 around funding worker lead language cooperatives and 14 15 interpreter banks. These are cooperatives that could 16 actually meet the demand of languages spoken 17 regularly in New York City outside the 10 covered by 18 Local Law 30 including the many African Asian and indigenous native languages that are not included in 19 20 the Law and that we see agencies needing to provide services in. We have seen these models work in 2.1 2.2 cities like Washington DC and they are able to offer 23 individuals the most culturally and linguistically fluent interpretation in language access possible. 24 My second recommendation would be to fund a true 25

2 investment in English language instruction designed for immigrants with the outcomes that are most 3 relevant for their experience, the lives and their 4 5 integration. Currently English for speakers of other 6 languages, ESOL programs are supported by the City's 7 Adult Education System which receives funding from the local, state and Federal level but the system has 8 been chronically underfunded and is increasingly 9 shipped to requiring ownerist, career and college 10 readiness standards with the greatest unmet demand in 11 12 the system for those who speak the lowest levels of English language. Until we as a city take the 13 14 educational needs of adults seriously, we will 15 continue to need to grapple with the beautiful and 16 diverse immigrant community that cannot meaningfully navigate and contribute to our city simply because of 17 18 language barriers. We applaud the investments that City Council has made to support English and other 19 20 adult education programs and encourage a broader investment in this next year to support programming. 21 2.2 Really seeing the deep connection between Language 23 Access and Limited English Proficiency and the ability to learn English. For each of these, as well 24 as the ongoing concerns regarding agency compliance 25

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

and accountability we look forward to working as

stock partners but we really are so proud of New York

City for taking the critical steps to support

Language Access and are proud to be here today

pushing the city to make its best even better.

SABRINA GELLAW: I'm not going to lie I'm a bit nervous (laughing) and this would be my first testimony but my name is Sabrina Gellaw (SP?) I am public housing organizer at CAV organizing aging communities. Uhm and we organize on the lower East Side as well Queens New York. Uhm so although Local Law 30 is a great start to implementing language access it doesn't cover all bases. There are more than 400,000 New York, New Yorkers living in public housing developments run by New York City Housing Authority and for them NYCHA is property manager, is landlord and is the super. Uhm NYCHA systems and staffs are the point persons for inter for interface repair issues, rental payments, emergency information and other services. For NYCHA tenants with limited proficiency in English navigating policies, procedures and paperwork associated with their housework can be fought with challenges. Issues of Language Access have serious implications.

2 whose rents are raised incorrectly may be taken to housing court for nonpayment of rent because they 3 were unable to communicate with NYCHA to resolve the 4 error. Tenants may be forced to miss work because 5 6 they have to schedule repeated meetings in attempt to 7 communicate their needs. Victims of domestic violence who are in need of emergent, emergency 8 housing transfers are not able to make that need 9 10 The safety of tenants apartments can be jeopardized by a lack of language access in repair 11 12 access in repair processes. Crucial housing 13 information such that as emergency protocols uhm may not reach tenants because they are not translated. 14 15 Lack of language access impacts the day to day 16 experience of tenants and interaction with NYCHA 17 staff and their ability to participate meaningfully 18 in the NYCHA community perpetrating isolation. Uhm in 2008, Mayor Michael Bloomberg signed Executive 19 20 Order 120 which requires a city agency to ensure meaningful access to direct public services for LEP 21 2.2 individuals. While housing authority is not 23 exclusively a city agency NYCHA references Executive Order 120 uhm on their website introducing their 24 Language Assistant Service implementation and it was 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

developed in 2009 shortly after it was uhm on the website; however, NYCHAs Customer Contact Center, the CCC line uhm which was previously referred it is meant to provide residents with one central point of contact for their apartment and developed maintenance needs; however, it is not accessible to all our Asian LEP tenants. Many of the tenants have been deterred from calling CCC because they do not think that they can talk to someone in their language and that's becoming an issue. Uhm most of them from Nepal don't get to an interpreter unless they follow through a bunch of steps which are in English so they have no way of understanding or where to go. NYCHA does not proactively provide Language Access Service to LEP tenants during the repair process and even if they have requested it to be done in a different language. Nearly all tenants who have been surveyed were asked to sign a document that they can't read and that's not fair. If you can't read a document you should not sign it uhm and while NYCHA contracts with Language Line a translator vendor to provide interpretation services for the CCC tenants first attempt to navigate a number of steps without translation in order to reach the translator and on

2 the next page I provided a graph, a chart that showed what are the top 10 languages in New York City of 3 4 those spoken at home and in Queens you can see that 5 the top four languages include Spanish, Chinese, 6 Monali, Korean and Russian. Although those are the 7 top 5 it is not implanted in NYCHAs CCC services. Uhm yeah uhm there are also some testimonies from 8 members that we have interacted with uhm and show 9 their experience of like paint lead that has been 10 like coming off and they have had to go to the 11 12 hospital with their children, uhm but language access issues impact tenants ability to understand crucial 13 housing matters and it can impact their health, 14 15 safety and stability of their housing and their sense 16 of belonging in NYCHAs community. To move forward 17 NYCHA must provide a system that provides meaningful 18 access to all tenants of untrained, non English speaking can successfully navigate the CCC system 19 20 disseminate information about Language Access Services more widely and ensure that information 21 2.2 about available services is shared in language 23 tenants speak and include tenants and community 24 groups as partners in evaluating improved language 25 access services. Thank you.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

SYLVIA SICKDAY (SP?): Good afternoon everyone, my name is Sylvia Sickday (SP?) and I am representing India Home. India Home is a nonprofit organization founded by the community members to serve the foundation older adults. The mission of our organization is to improve the quality of the life of older adults by providing quality care in a culturally appropriate environment. We serve 200 older adults across Queens through city assisted programs, case management, recreational activities and education. I am here today on behalf of my community and our community members are very limited in their English proficiency and English language skills. As such, our services and other culture related services that come from the immigrant communities are extremely necessary. More over our members feel a greater level of comfort talking to only our staff members and rely on us on the first and sometimes the only point of contact when accessing services. Oftentimes we have been told by our members that they have reported to the HRA or other city agencies and when asked for language services have not received immediately. Immediate services and instead have been told to return at a

later time to receive those services. Because of 2 this inadequate service our members reserve to asking 3 4 our staff to accompany them to such appointments and serve as translators. This creates strain on our 5 6 staff to accompany them to such appointments and 7 serve as time and resources. Furthermore we are required to have telephonic HIPPA complaint language 8 interpretation services as per DIFTA regulations but 9 with all of these languages costing over \$1 per 10 minutes and appointments taking upward of 30 to 60 11 12 minutes the cost of providing these services can be a 13 financial strain for a small organization like ours. While meeting Languages Access Plan such as those of 14 15 NYC Well or DIFTA are not always operations. 16 Well states that it is available in 200 plus 17 languages and DIFTA Language Access Plans cover to 18 top 10 languages and then uses 3-1-1 for 165 other languages. In both instances, many older adults get 19 20 left behind through experiences upper community members we found out that older adult members have 21 2.2 reported unsatisfactory experiences while attempting 23 to access services in Kanata, Palligu (SP?), Sinhala, Maratine (SP?) and other languages just to name a few 24 25 languages here. As such stating that accessing

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

available in 170 or 200 languages is encouraged. other instances, getting a translator takes too much time and the community members feel frustrated and helpless. All of the Language Access plans are commendable, we recommended further access. monitor the implementation of the language access plan be the focus on spoken languages to grant more city contracts to agencies and service providers that come directly from immigrant communities that hire culturally appropriately and linguistically competent staff to provide direct services. These will enter it better and increase utilization of government services. And three and the last one to give smaller organizations special funding to be able to provide Language Access Lines. Thank you very much.

as you are all, first of all thank you so much for all the work that you do I don't think the addition of time here to all of us to just go on and on and express our gratitude for the daily work that you do and we literally making a difference. People may find themselves in a vulnerable situation due to the language and cultural challenges. I was very curious to know as, as you were speaking have you ever just

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

You have?

- happen to call 3-1-1 and and speak in a language

 other than English and see what they do with that?
 - answer for this because we have saw these issues older adults who speaks different languages so some of them don't know how to speak English. So I told them like okay you can just start calling and them that you speak just say about your language, but they were denied like my uhm my colleague over here she says like uhm when Japanese was, she was needed a Japanese language speaker right, but she was recommended a Mandarin. Like here my the client she was needed like Kanata and that person was given Hindi. She doesn't speak Hindi.
 - CHAIR FERNANDO CABRERA: So what happened at that point when they were.
 - SYLVIA SICKDAY (SP?): They got off of the line.
- 21 CHAIR FERNANDO CABRERA: They got off the 22 line.
- 23 SYLVIA SICKDAY (SP?): Yes.
- CHAIR FERNANDO CABRERA: Okay I'm curious
 to know what will happen after that uhm and what's,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

what's the process because I can see, it was scary and not just making that call, uhm and I am just curious to see what would the City do and there is no, there is not a system in place what do you recommend that they should do.

SABRINA GELLAW (SP?): Uhm I think I can also add to what the Commissioner had mentioned earlier is that which is when there are languages that are not under the designated 10 languages uhm that is mandated city wide then they refer to us. Uhm them CBOs who do work with the community on a daily basis on the ground so we recognize so for example India Home just mentioned several south Asian languages uhm that even I don't even know what they are right and I think it is important for the City to work with us to identify those needs uhm in terms of how to implement if a client is unable to call 3-1-1 and they decide to hang out they will probably call one of us to make that call for them. So it is the reliance on knowing exactly who can provide those services if it is not the city then there would be nonprofits such as ours and many of our uhm groups have a lot of members and partners under us who you know who, who provide these daily services to the uh.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

over to my colleague.

CHAIR FERNANDO CABRERA: Yeah but I am curious I am a 3-1-1 operator and I have no idea where even to begin what should be the next step you know what I mean like if I don't even know if it is like even within this realm of languages, uhm what happens at that point and I would imagine that we got to have an answer to that, otherwise we are going to, the result will be either they hang up or hopefully they will have a friend that will call but usually if a friend calls they are able to translate right, so it kind of meets that need but they are calling because they do need and it kind of defeats to me the intention of Local Law 30 if we don't have that in place. So maybe that is something that you can start thinking about if you could channel back some constructive feedback so we could it down to administration. Uhm and with that I will turn it

CHAIR CARLOS MENCHACA: Thank you Chair, well we have also been joined by Council Member

Ydanis Rodriguez and here here is something that I

want to point out. I am really thankful that you

went through the 3-1-1 conversations. I think that

is really telling uhm I was going to ask about that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

too but something else that I heard very plainly and across the board was that we are not, we are not there yet that this is just the beginning and that the Local Law really has a lot of blank spots, through agency, we talked about NYCHA, DOE uhm HRAs incorporated but had some complaints about it and so there are some, some real blind spots to the requests for the for the City to respond to this Language Access issue. But what I think was more compelling was this idea that this, this is not just a concept of a technological answer, that this Language Line doesn't create that trust between a client, a neighbor of ours that needs something, uhm either in service to their community through civic engagement or enrolling in SNAP or enrolling in something that is critical to their family and instead what we need are human, people that can translate in their language that has an appropriate cultural understanding that can, that can make that happen. Am I hearing that correct? And so if I am hearing that correctly what are we then talking about here? What are we ask... what's the vision and I think we need to start moving into a vision about what we are seeing to fill that gap of people that need to be in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

our neighborhoods, employed. It can't be volunteer. This is already happening on a long term basis including young kids and their own families serving and the Commissioner spoke to this herself that we as children, myself included and probably Chair Cabrera and Ydanis Rodriguez we have all been interpreters for our families, that is not how we need to solve the issue. How do we create a system that allows for that. And I heard Worker Cooperatives and so anyway I just want to reflect that back that I think that's exciting and if anybody wants to take that a little bit further and what you are doing as a coalition to really push us to make that happen that would be great and maybe some models and pilots that we can kind of push forward but I think that was one of the more compelling things that I started drawing a picture about myself and how to like think about it in a way that is structured and building up on the adult literacy classes because we are not going to just assume that, I need to stop getting off. I'm going to get off the mic here but we are not just saying that there are communities that don't have language and then therefore we have to solve that problem, we are bringing Language Literacy to them as

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

for that.

2 well, how do we make that more robust, get them a job so they can go back to their own community and serve 3 as the interpreter. That is an economic development 5 iob. Where is EDC in this? Uhm okay I am going to 6 stop any, any response would be great but thank you

I would just briefly say we BETSY PLUM: absolutely welcome the opportunity to have the conversation, I think from each of our organizations were hear and our members that are not at this table how often they are called into these situations to serve as interpreters particularly for languages that are not among the top 10 where there are more readily available services. This is an incredible burden that is put on to community based organizations and just community members on a volunteer basis. We know that the city implementing Local Law 30 is an incredible undertaking and we respect that and we understand that even to add one language above the 10 will make it an even bigger burden and so I think there is a more complex eco system that's necessary here that involves the expertise of community based organizations and I think the idea of Worker Coops that we have seen be successful in other cities of an

2.2

Interpreter Bank where you can actually call on

individuals who are trained and licensed as

interpreters to support the City, or lawyers, if you

think about someone going through the asylum process

where you are not guaranteed a lawyer. We want to

CHAIR CARLOS MENCHACA: Good let's do it.

That's our next step, that the next chapter of this

Coalition, thank you.

think about these systems and work on this together.

much and keep up the great work. With that we will move on to our next panel, uhm Tasfia Rahman, Mahak Fatif (SP?), Amy Torres, Ugen Kim (SP?), Joseph Lin (SP?). Okay we will begin and we will just be mindful that we have a clock a two minute clock and with that who would like to begin first? If you can take your mic. Uhm yeah turn it on. Thank you so much.

TASFIA RAHMAN: Good afternoon, my name is Tasfia Rahman and I am the Policy Coordinator at the Coalition of Asian American Children and Families (CACF). We thank the Committee Chairs and the members of the Committees on Governmental Operations and on Immigration for holding this hearing on

2 Language Access Implementation Plans. Since 1986, the ACF is the nations only Pan Asian Children and 3 Families Advocacy Organization and leads to fight for 4 improved and equitable policy systems, funding and 5 6 services to support those in need. The Asian Pacific 7 American APA population comprises over 15% of New York City yet the needs of the APA community are 8 consistently overlooked, misunderstood and are 9 counted. We are constantly fighting the negative and 10 harmful impacts of the model minority myth which 11 12 prevents our needs from being recognized and understood. Our communities as well as the 13 14 organizations that serve the community too often lack 15 the resources to provide critical services to the 16 most marginalized APAs. We work with almost 50 17 member organizations across the city to identify and 18 speak out on the many common challenges our community I'll try not to be too redundant so the 19 20 needs, so APA individuals and families face numerous barriers to success. One of which is adequate 21 2.2 language access. Our community is growing and we are 23 growing quickly. In 2014, 35% of APAs in New York City were limited English proficient which means that 24 no one in the household above the age of 14 speaks 25

2 English well. Most recently the percent of LEPs in the community rose to 42%. That is nearly half of 3 our community that move in our families, children 4 have no choice to serve as interpreters for their 5 families causing additional stress and anxiety. 6 7 Limited English proficient also prevents parents from participating in school events, discussions and 8 meeting crucial to the social and emotional health of 9 their children. Additionally many APAs have limited 10 literacy in the need of languages. This issue 11 12 highlights the increasing importance of collaborating 13 with community based organizations and community 14 members to engage in direct outreach to contact 15 isolated families by phone, visits or word of mouth. 16 We commend the council for passing Local Law 30 in 17 2017 which seeks to improve access to the city. Uhm 18 we also acknowledged the administrations efforts to implement the mandates outlined of the Law through 19 20 the Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs and the Mayor's Office of Operation; however, I really want 21 2.2 to make the point that Mayor Availability of 23 Languages if not enough. As we have all said it is the stress that is getting. Effective outreach is 24 25 vital to the implementation of Language Access Plans.

2 When reviewing MOIAs report on the number of requesting complaints there were 0 requests reported 3 by the agencies such as ACS, Social Services, and HRA 4 but there were numerous complaints; however, it is 5 possible when 42% of our community consists of LEPs 6 7 and also have the highest rates of need and utilization in services such as Medicaid. We are 8 left to assume that the process has not become 9 transparent and still yet to inaccessible to the ACS 10 community. Even after the passing of the Law, there 11 12 are major barriers to implementation. The CACFs member organizations are a direct service provider to 13 work closely with students and families in the 14 15 community. We meet with members regularly to discuss 16 the needs and concerns in fact many of our CBOs still receive numerous requests to accompany their clients 17 18 and going to agency because they are not receiving proper interpretation services from the agencies 19 20 themselves. Additionally every access point must constantly be monitored to improve to ensure groups 21 2.2 are receiving communication even in the correct 23 language. For example, from personal experience, my parents every year fuss that they receive their HRA 24 paper communication, mainly the Medicaid renewal 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

documents in Bengali but every year including this past year they have received an English version and strangely enough a Spanish version neither of which is very helpful to them. Uhm while we appreciate the City's effort and recording and the number of calls, requests and intakes regarding Language Accessibility there also needs to be a way to monitor quality of translation and interpretation services. The thing is to improve the data collection and reporting protocols along linguistics accessibility to better reach APA communities. Sorry this is also why CACF is a long time advocate for the fair and accurate reporting of racial and socioeconomic data, particularly on the crucial needs that includes the desegregation data. In 2016, the Mayor signed a package of data equity bills that mandates the collection and reporting desegregated data from city and state social service agencies. Local Law 30 would benefit from utilizing the data equity bills into tracking the diverse growing and emerging populations in New York City. This ensures that the communities that need language services and those receiving culturally appropriate resources for their family. The reporting of such data would also

2 address the negative of mono minority. However we have yet to see any implementation of the equity 3 bills. We end by reiterating that uhm city agencies 4 provide Language Translation and interpretation 5 services that are culturally confident so I think 6 7 that is one of the partial answers to question that you would raise with the 3-1-1 operators. 8 needs to be a more depth and meaningful training when 9 dealing with individuals and clients who have those 10 language barriers, even if they don't necessarily 11 12 speak the same language. Uhm our membership 13 continues to report Language Barriers our LEPs 14 community members have been facing when interacting 15 with staff city agencies who needs to include 16 cultural competency and training of interpreters and 17 frontline city agency staff. APA individuals are 18 often intimidated about asking for interpretation for fear of imposing on staff or that there may be 19 20 repercussions for their children and families. Cultural competency help ensure that interpreters and 21 2.2 front line staff are sensitive and the interpreter 23 using co lingual language, front line staff are respectful and acknowledge cultural practices and 24 that LEP individuals feel comfortable engaging with 25

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 city agencies. Thank you for the opportunity to 3 testify.

CHAIR FERNANDO CABRERA: Thanks. Next, there you go you are bold.

MAHAK FATIF (SP?): I'll go. Good afternoon my name is Mahak Fatif I am the program that helps New York City to help navigate family and support system my colleague Arich (SP?) who is in charge of the preventative services. On behalf of the African American Family Support Center we would like to thank the Governmental Operation Committee for holding this hearing on the assessment of New York City Language Access Service. We all agree for your commitment and has the shape of our city by increasing access crucial service and documents who follow many. At the African American Family Support Center we have strengthening immigrant and refugee families since 1994. By promoting well being, preventing violence, getting families ready to learn work and succeed and provide the voice of Americanized population. Our organization service anyone who is in need about over nearly 25 years of experience, we have gained culture and competency service. The growing population are apart of

American, middle Eastern, Muslin and South Asian 2 communities. Our staff speak 16 languages. Which is 3 4 on the list I want to just save time. Uhm and we as well as 30 various dialects in our agency. 5 Additionally we are the only navigator site in New 6 7 York State that has Arabic speaking health navigators. We offer our services in this many 8 languages because the need exists within our 9 diversity. In our health program alone we cover over 10 1200 individuals whose primary language is not 11 12 English. Compiling our already existing challenges, 13 limited literacy and native languages is perfect in 14 many communities that we serve and require rather 15 than written translation material such as value of 16 culture and language competency. Our city is rich in 17 vary diversity we cannot utilize a one size fits all 18 approach to any service resource. We can only drive real effective and sensible change when we offer 19 20 service and language that makes sense to our clients. The African American Support Center is grateful for 2.1 2.2 the improvement that we have seen since New York 23 implemented Local 30 in 2017. Adding our Arabic and 24 Urdu, to the top languages. The agencies are required to provide service and translation, we have 25

2 seen an increase in the amount of access and social service material that are available in languages our 3 4 clients and communities speak, nearly Arabic and This is an impact of those upward thousands of 5 6 individual who need the formal system. 7 finish it? Two more minutes. Okay. With all movements of pride we know that together we are 8 working further and has impact ongoing challenges 9 For example, within the Arabic language over 10 remain. 128 dialect are spoken which needs the sensitivity 11 12 and awareness around various different meanings. Our 13 tenants represent client who speak various dialects 14 are often unable to understand certain material even 15 if they are in Arabic without additional translation 16 to support them. I had that experience with one 17 client over the phone when she called the state. She 18 is from Yemen. They gave her someone who speak the Moroccan dialog which she couldn't understand 19 20 anything he said even if it was in Arabic. So I have to request someone for Afghan to translate for her. 21 2.2 Language Line is a very helpful resource but not 23 entirely access to our clients. We have witnessed multiple situations in which Arabic speakers are 24 unable to understand the Arabic dialect that is being 25

2.2

spoken on Language Line. For the hospitals and the emergency responders in addition to the need for additional staff who can provide guidance translation in a time of crisis. Imagine someone walking through the emergency room with a heart attack and nobody understands that is going on without hiring someone who speaks that language so the phone lines its good but it is not working. I'll stop here and when you ask questions will respond to your questions thank you.

AMY TORRES: Good afternoon my name is

Amy Torres and I am Director of Policy and Advocacy
at the Chinese American Planning Council (CCC), thank
you Chairs Cabrera and Menchaca for convening today's
hearing so I want to uhm just talk a little bit about
some of the issues that we have noticed in our
recommendations around them and provide some vinyest
(SP?) from uhm my colleagues who have worked directly
experience these things. Uhm so uhm to first talk
about a lining and reviewing Language Access Plans
across the City agencies and the enforcement of those
plans once they are in place. We as other panelists
mentioned and as members on the committees mentioned
we were really shocked to see that there were 0

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

requests logged in this report. I think seeing the number 0 is more alarming to me than seeing you know a number in the 100s or anything else because it shows to me that people cannot get in the door to ask for these services. Uhm and then we were also disappointed to see that in the report uhm while there were brief blurbs on how issues and complaints were addressed there wasn't any information on what the complaints were if they were then being integrated into the plan afterward uhm this is some that that is really important and uhm you know we would be very happy to work with MOIA and the Council on uhm and making sure that these uhm plans are then enforced, you know that that transparency and enforcement goes hand in hand. Another recommendation that we have that is outlined in our testimony is the hiring and training of staff that are providing language services. So uhm a number of my panelists have mentioned cultural confidence. When we spoke with our child welfare preventative services manager she mentioned that during joint home visits that she has that she always requests to have a translator from ACS with her because she has a lot to do during that, during that home visit but there

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

have been multiple times in which she had to step in for the translator because things that they are not translating, things that they are mistranslating, things that they are missing from the conversation, uhm it's really you know, this is a burden at Human Services Providers and especially for a staff of color and immigrant staff themselves carry in a way that other providers don't. We are doing our jobs in top of doing translation and on top of doing very emotionally draining work uhm and so really an investment in this sector in making sure that the staff are you know compensated with dignity and with fairness. We want to make sure that we retain the staff that really have the cultural competency and shared understanding of our community members. and sorry I don't want to take up too much more time but just you know just to, to talk through the cultural competency piece, it's not just having a shared understanding you know there is body language that differs across our communities we have heard stories about parents who to indicate respect and that they are listening and engage that they would nod or they would not make eye contact which would then be put into the report as the parent consenting

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1 129 COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 2 or the parent not understanding and then when we would walk through with the parent we would 3 understand that these are signals in body language 4 5 cues that are just part of our culture but then has a 6 devastating impact on that parent and that child and 7 so committing to real uhm robust on boarding and hiring diversity for these staff is critical, hand in 8 hand with investing in Human Service Providers who 9 are the primary point of trust for our communities. 10 Uhm so thank you for letting me go over. Uhm. 11 12 CHAIR CARLOS MENCHACA: Thank you so much

and the reason why uhm we are sticking to these two minutes is we have been informed that there is going to be another event taking place here, the BLAC and we have to be out of here by four and we still have another panel.

AMY TORRES: I apologize thank you. CHAIR FERNANDO CABRERA: So thank you so much.

UGEN KIM (SP?): Hi good afternoon, my name is Ugen Kim (SP?) and I represent the Korean Community. I am a project coordinator at the Korean Community Services. Uhm thank you Chair Cabrera and thank you Chair Menchaca for this convening uhm and

2 the rest of the members of the Immigration Committee and the Committee on Governmental Operations. 3 4 keep it short, I will go through some of the numbers 5 of our demographic and share a story with you with uhm of two of my clients. Uhm so Language Access is 6 7 one of the countless barriers that Korean New Yorkers face uhm as of this year almost 70% of Koreans living 8 in New York are born and more than half 52% of 9 Koreans living in New York were limited in English 10 proficiency. However, what is more alarming is the 11 12 senior Korean population in New York City so 9 and 10, that's 94% of Korean Seniors living in New York 13 14 City do not speak English, uhm so that really 15 highlights the need for culturally competent language 16 services. Uhm so not only is this important the 17 translated materials that the city has published, a 18 lot of that is too hard to word meaning that it is doesn't deliver the information correctly and 19 20 sometimes I will have clients to come in ask me what this means. Even if it is translated into Korean. 21 2.2 Uhm also a lot of Korean community members do not 23 refer or do not go on city websites or refer to the resources because uhm they just it's, its distrusting 24 25 for them, its stressful, also the information the

2 ACLA gets even more comprehensible than if it was, than if they spoke English. Uhm so to highlight the 3 4 need for quality cultural adept language access 5 services uhm I would like to share a story quickly of 6 my client Ms. Lee. So she is, she does not speak 7 English. She also has a cognitive impairment that got worse with age. Recently she had a lot of, so a 8 lot of the Korean community members would go seek 9 10 help to brokers so they are not, they are not authorized they are going, the brokers that provide 11 12 services that the city should be providing and they charge people for it. And there is no accountability 13 no oversight. So she receives some information, word 14 15 of mouth in the community, something that is 16 misleading, also she found out recently that there was a mistake made by a broker on her paperwork for 17 18 Medicaid recertification which eventually she went to a fair hearing and requested an interpreter who 19 20 misinterpreted a lot of information that a lot of identifications for her Medicaid services. For 21 2.2 instance, when she was asked why she missed, made a 23 mistake on her form, paperwork, she replied lack of resources which was the interpreted to then lack of 24 25 motivation. You can see how the nuance is completely

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

missed. Moreover, uhm recently another client of mine called the New American Hotline for information public charge, only to be told the Korean interpreter was on vacation. So there is no accountability so I just wanted to share that with you. Thank you so much for your time and for you know letting me share the stories.

CHAIR FERNANDO CABRERA: Try to keep it short.

JOSEPH LIN (SP?): Hi everyone, good afternoon (SPEAKING SPANISH). And my name is Joseph Lin (SP?) I am Asian Americans for Equality. We are a citywide providing affordable housing, social services, small business lending so a lot of what I am sharing is from a lot of my colleagues. Uhm it goes to say thank you to the City and Council Members for providing this uhm opportunity to really look at how we can do better as New York City because New York is the best, right. Uhm so one in this time of fear I think there is a lot of uh we really need to keep in mind a lot of things like site visits really need to be provided, translations before hand or interpretation beforehand because we are seeing things like ACS Site visit or the Department of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Building Site Visit is trying to contact the tenant in English and eventually of course the landlord ends up using that as a reason to evict the tenant. so I think this kind of we really have to review I think a lot of the agencies in terms of the quality and if they are holding up to it. Second, I think a lot of the publicity around Chinese right I think we already talked about the dialects well then is it, do the community know on Language Line is it available in the other uhm dialects which by the way most of are mutually intelligible. Right uhm there is a lot of stigma with the community on whether they speak Chinese languages other than Mandarin, whether it is Toi Son (SP?) or Futo. A lot of which are seniors, right, similar to the Korean community 90+% of our seniors are linguistically isolated. Right so when it comes to critical services like a tenant staying at their home it is of utmost importance that tenants know and all New Yorkers know and are these, can these translations in terms of turnaround time especially interpretation by fast even. Right, we were in the school in Flushing and a youth was crying because their parent just got deported and they did not know who to call, so they had to go across the

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 street, come to us and ask us to interpret that. Right, do the interpreters have training for these 3 sort of emotionally taxing situations in a time of 4 5 need? And then finally in terms of quality of the translation, there was a Flushing event where a small 6 7 business town hall where Flushing was translated as Big Flush literally like, and they asked me why the 8 ch... we caught it before it went out but needless to 9 say there was not really a lot of Chinese small 10 business owners there at that event. So if we really 11 12 look at some of the ideas about looking at local interpreters that will make a huge difference and 13 14 going into our companies and you know our local New 15 York City minority owned business, immigrant run 16 businesses, right and really supporting people that know New York. So uhm thank you, thank you, thank 17 18 you.

CHAIR FERNANDO CABRERA: Thank you so much. Thank you so much for the amazing work that you all do uhm and with that we will call up the last panel. I believe we have Julia Sharogi (SP?) from Brooklyn Defender Services. And the last call if you would like to testify uhm you can see the Sargeant of Arms.

2 CHAIR CARLOS MENCHACA: Is MOIA in the 3 house can you, raise your. Thank you just wanted to

4 make sure.

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIR FERNANDO CABRERA: Great you may begin. Thank you. If you could turn the mic on. Thank you so much.

JULIA SHAROGI (SP?): Is it on? Good afternoon my name is Julia Sharoqi (SP?) I am a supervising attorney at the Family Defense Practice of Brooklyn Defender Services. I have represented primarily Spanish speaking clients in child welfare proceedings since I have started at FDP in 2009. I have also done advocacy surrounding Language Access issues since 2014. I would like to thank the City Council, particularly Chair Persons Cabrera and Menchaca for the opportunity to testify today. would like to focus on Language Access issues primarily surrounding New York City Administration for Children Services or ACS. Over 15% of our clients at BDS speak a language other than English. While having a legal advocate that speaks your language makes an enormous difference in the outcome of cases it is equally important for our clients to have access to services in their native language as

2 they navigate all aspects of the child welfare Both in family court and outside of family 3 court when they are dealing with ACS case workers. 4 Our client's ability to communicate with ACS staff is 5 critical in keeping their families together. Yet for 6 7 years our clients have experienced problems with communicating with ACS staff whom do not speak their 8 language. Some of the issues that our clients face 9 and continue to face since Local Law 30 was passed 10 are the following: Case workers often incorrectly 11 12 assume and assert that our clients speak English even when BDS staff tell them that our clients do not. 13 14 Instead of calling an interpreter ACS case workers 15 will use our client's children many of whom are the 16 subjects of the ACS cases they will use other family 17 members and in some cases other respondents to 18 interpret complex and sensitive information. Rather than providing a interpreter ACS case workers may 19 20 force families to converse in English curing supervised visits, even if the household language is 21 2.2 a language other than English and case workers do 23 this so that they can monitor what is being said during the visits, instead of providing an 24 interpreter to interpret for the family. Our LEP 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

clients are often not made aware of interpretation or translation services that ACS offers and they may feel reluctant to assert their right to interpretation services for fear of being retaliated against. In some cases where interpretation services are utilized case workers have used an interpreter who speaks and entirely different language than our client does. Uhm a great example is with our Uzbek speaking clients, ACS case workers insist on calling Russian interpreters are they are two completely different languages. BDS attorneys often witness ACS case workers using telephonic interpretation services on speaker phone in public areas of family court to discuss sensitive case information. While the goals and policies outlined on ACSs Language Access Implementation Plan should ensure that LEP families receive the interpretation and translation services that they need our experience shows that ACS staff do not follow the policies which confuse our clients and exclude them from participating fully in their cases. Whenever possible ACS should assign case workers that speak the same language as the parents. ACS should also include Language Access Protocol Review and Supervision so when case workers are meeting

2.2

periodically with their supervisors they should be reviewing how the Language Access Policy is being implemented and how interpretation services are being provided to the families. ACS should also make their best effort to refer parents to outside programs and services that are actually culturally competent and provide services in their client's primary language or provide interpretation services. We urge the Council and MOIA to hold ACS accountable in enforcing its implementation plan and ensuring the New York parents and families can effectively communicate with ACS so that they can have successful cases and reunify with their family.

CHAIR CARLOS MENCHACA: Thank you so much for walking us through the kind of legal pieces and we have kind of jotted down a lot of the, we clearly have ACS issues as well so I want to say thank you and because we have to close unfortunately. I just want to offer some final comments. Uhm I think it was incredibly productive for us to hear from all of advocates and MOIA about the challenges in front of us even though we have so much to celebrate in a lot of ways about how far was have come as a city to really address this, these barriers. There is so

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

much opportunity to keep innovating and these, these impacts that are relating to language access in so many different ways are transformative good or bad, transformative in a way that a mom can then go and get food services, health services, legal services for their family, a senior gets their rent frozen and gets to see in the neighborhood they live in or IDNYC uhm card or votes in PB because the ballot is correctly translated in their language. That is about trust and that is what we want in our government. Uhm or it can be transformed in a way that really blocks a father from getting the services that he needs regarding a medical issue or just does not return to HRA because he has a job and that one time was a bad experience and never returns. Uhm or gets a limited access letter and in the frustration cops are called and now an immigrant father has to interact with police and potentially deported. is what we are talking about here. And so I'm really excited about all the ideas that came out and I want to move forward with Chair Cabrera and really all the Council to figure out how we build the better plan for our communities who deserve it and who in this moment where we are in, in the Federal Government uhm where trust is so hard to come by that at the very

least we give access to language abilities and

interpreters where humans are talking to humans about

human things and human cases and so that's important

or me and that is my commitment to everyone here.

7 Thank you chair.

1

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIR FERNANDO CABRERA: Thank you to my co-Chair I believe that this was a very productive day, a productive hearing and to all the advocates, thank you for all you do, you are simply I'm running out of adjectives, amazing, life changing life giving someone who had to struggle with language issues uhm it goes beyond even the issues of the services that are provided, limited resources that define themselves if they are not able to communicate but he is also, this is also psychological piece and I have to tell you the truth, I, I went through that and I was talking earlier to Brad earlier about how it affected me for some years, the whole shame factor, uhm you get tagged, uhm you become self-conscious about your accident and whether you are able to communicate. I finally learned that English is not an accent it is a language and and you know have some really good people that spoke into my life so we must

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION 141
2	do better and we will do better. I know the more I
3	is looking forward with the agencies alongside with
4	the Council so we could have a brighter day. Thank
5	you so much and so with that today it concludes
6	today's awesome hearing. (gavel pounding).
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date November 25, 2018