CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

LAND USE SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING & MARITIME USES

-----X

March 30, 2009 Start: 12:50 pm Recess: 04:09 pm

HELD AT: Hearing Room

250 Broadway, 16th Floor

B E F O R E:

LEROY G. COMRIE, JR. Acting Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Charles Barron John C. Liu Miguel Martinez Annabel Palma

Maria del Carmen Arroyo

Rosie Mendez Elizabeth Crowley

Helen Sears

Daniel R. Garodnick

Robert Jackson Tony Avella

APPEARANCES

Gregory Shaw Principal Attorney for Real Estate NYC School Construction Authority

Kenrick Ou Director of Real Estate Services NYC School Construction Authority

Grey Mayers Senior Policy Advisor Office of Deputy Mayor Walcott

Micah Lasher Executive Director of Public Affairs Department of Education

Robert Holden President Juniper Park Civic Association

Gary Giordano
District Manager
Community Board 5

Anthony Nunziato Member Maspeth Chamber of Commerce

Eddie Nubel Member Juniper Park Civic Association

Marge Kolb President District 24 President's Council

Rosemary DiRao Resident

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Joyce Beaudoin Member Juniper Park Civic Association

Lorraine Sciulli Vice President Juniper Park Civic Association

Christina Wilkinson Secretary Juniper Park Civic Association

Angelo Ranari Member Juniper Park Civic Association

Robert Doocey Member Juniper Park Civic Association

John Killcommons
Executive Board
Juniper Park Civic Association

Matt Connelly Business Owner Maspeth

James O'Kane President Maspeth Chamber of Commerce

Carol Anastacian Resident Middle Village

Manuel Caruana Executive Board Community Board 5

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Linda DiQuaro Resident Maspeth

Rosalie Greer Resident Maspeth

Theresa Riley Member Juniper Park Civic Association

Dieter Keppler Member Juniper Park Civic Association

CHAIRPERSON COMRIE: Good

afternoon, everyone. I'm Leroy Comrie. I'm the
temporary Chair today for the Subcommittee on
Landmarks, Public Siting and Maritime Uses. We
are joined today by Council Member Miguel Martinez
from Manhattan, and Council Member Charles Barron
from Brooklyn. We have a whole Queens side to my
left with Council Member Liz Crowley, Council
Member John Liu and Council Member Helen Sears.
Today we have a few items before the committee:
LU 1031, an 1,100 seat high school in Queens; LU
1032, which is a landmark building at 275 Madison
Avenue; LU 1033, which is the New York Public
Library, George Bruce Branch; and also LU 1034 New
York Public Library, 125th Street branch; LU 1035,
which is the Elsworth House on Staten Island; and
LU 1036, which is the Hubbard House in Brooklyn
Community Board 11. We are going to start with
the Maspeth High School since we have people that
are waiting and I know you have to get back to
your children and families and we've been delayed
here. With that, we'll have the speakers from the
Board of Ed come up and speak first. Members, we
will be voting on the landmark items. Just

were overcrowded, reflecting decades of neglect in

keeping up with demographic changes. By contrast,

largest ever in the history of the school system,

this \$13.1 billion 2004-2009 capital plan, the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

has been widely successful. The vast majority of the 63,000 seats called for in this plan have been sited or already built. A remarkable feat that speaks to the successful partnership that we have developed with you and communities across the In Queens, which was slated for 10,000 city. seats, virtually all of the seats have bee sited. I say virtually, of course, because we do have one project to go, which is Maspeth High School. you know, we are asking for suggestions of possible locations. We are asking for possible locations for new schools, especially in areas like Queens that suffer from severe pockets of overcrowding. So we were thrilled in March of 2007 when the Maspeth community recommended to the DOE that this site be considered for a new school building. A year later, on March 14, 2008, we proposed an \$85 million project, a 1,650-seat high school for the site and SCA began its formal public review. In the intervening year and in the year since, members of the SCA, DOE, as well as the Deputy Mayor himself, have engaged extensively with all stakeholders in the community, including parents, educators, community-based organizations

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

must ensure that the public funds with which we are entrusted be used in a fashion the elicit the greatest maximum good. To narrowly limit access to \$80 million plus capital investment and much needed high school seats based on whether or not a family lives in the right side of the street within one school district is neither fair nor fiscally responsible in light of the district-wide and borough-wide overcrowding problem we are trying to address. Simply put, we have to get the biggest bang for our buck. As with this proposal, we have confronted a number of thorny questions in previous projects throughout the city that had to be addressed. For example, we've dealt with traffic issues with Gateway High School in Queens, environmental remediation concerns with Mount Haven in the Bronx. We, by working in good faith with you and the local communities have always resolved these challenges. We believe that the revised Maspeth High School proposal is very much in keeping with the tradition and appropriately balance local input with citywide priorities. More importantly, we trust that you will work with us to provide the students and residents of Queens

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

feasibility investigation of the site and believed that a 1,650-seat facility serving grades 6 through 12, in other words an intermediate and high school facility was feasible. The SCA formally began the public review process for that proposal on March 14, 2008 with publication of the Notice of Filing of the proposed site plan in The New York Post and The City Record. The City Planning Commission was notified on March 14, 2008 and it recommended in favor of the proposed site plan. Queens Community Board #5 was also notified on March 14, 2008 and was asked to hold a public hearing on the proposed site plan. The community board held its public hearing on April 9, 2008 and we attended a subsequent meeting with the community board's Land Use Committee on May 4, 2008 to answer addition questions and to listen to concerns related to the proposal. Community Board #5 subsequently sent written comments, dated May 14, 2008, in opposition to the proposal. Concerns identified by the community board included the proximity of this site to two other existing schools, the size of the proposed building, the lack of onsite parking and that an

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

intermediate school component at this site was not necessary because the nearby intermediate school was not over utilized. The community board's comments did acknowledge the need for a local high school in the area. The SCA undertook efforts to revise the proposal to the extent feasible in response to concerns raised by the community board. The revised proposal, as Greg Mayers mentioned, includes a reduction in the size of the proposed school to 1,100 seats instead of 1,650 seats, elimination of the intermediate school component and also extensive design efforts to minimize the impacts to adjoining residences. The revised design proposal includes setback of the proposed building further from the nearby residence, placement of the school's entrance on 74th Street, extensive plan to perimeter and onsite plantings at the site, placement of the schoolyard behind the building, and development of a lane to reduce concerns about traffic obstructing through traffic on 74th Street. had promised to the community board and in the context of previous meetings, we returned to present this revised proposal to Queens Community

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Board #5 on January 14, 2009. The SCA has considered all comments received on the proposed project and has modified the proposed site plan pursuant to Section 1731.4 of the Public Authorities Law. In accordance with Section 1732 of the Public Authorities Law, the SCA submitted the modified site plan reflecting the approximately 1,100-seat high school proposal to the mayor and the City Council for consideration on February 18, 2009. At the Council's request, this application was subsequently withdrawn on February 23, 2009 to provide addition opportunity for discussion between the Council Members and the SCA and Department of Education. In accordance with Section 1732 of the Public Authorities Law, the SCA has resubmitted the modified site plan on March 13, 2009 for consideration by the mayor and the City Council and we are here before you today to testify on this proposal and answer any questions that you may have.

MICAH LASHER: Council Member

Comrie and to the Committee, I would just like to
echo the testimony of my colleagues with two key
points.

4

7

24

2 CHAIRPERSON COMRIE:

I just want to

point out that we've been joined by Council Member

Annabel Palma from the Bronx and Council Member

5 Rosie Mendez from Manhattan. We're looking for

6 that letter that was submitted. Go ahead.

MICAH LASHER: Just two key points

8 about the hearing today and this site. To the

9 extent that this is a hearing about the

10 desirability of this site as a location for a new

11 high school, I would respectfully point out that

12 the site was initially recommended to us by the

13 Community Education Council and a school at that

site has been something that has been supported by

15 every elected official in the neighborhood and the

16 community board. In each case, contingent on

17 | various enrollment policies at the school, but

18 there has been universal agreement, with the

19 exception of some community residents who are here

20 today. But by the stakeholders, the elected

21 officials and the community board, everyone has

22 developed a consensus that this would be a good

location for a school. That is not what this is

about. This is not about a land use question of

25 whether or not this is a desirable site for a

What this is about is a question of our 2 school. 3 enrollment policy. We have, over the last months, 4 and particularly in the last month since we withdrew it from the committee's calendar, engaged 5 in extensive conversations with the Council Member 6 7 about the enrollment policy of this school. 8 have, in an effort to accommodate the balance of local demand with our broader borough-wide and 9 10 citywide obligations, agreed to give a preference to students from District 24. That apparently is 11 not a sufficient level of local preference to make 12 this school acceptable. I would again submit 13 that's not a land use consideration. Nonetheless, 14 15 it is our position that to simply say to a family 16 because you are not on the right side of the 17 street within this community district you can't go to this school is something we're not comfortable 18 19 Moreover, I would just also like to make 20 clear that if you are a student from Maspeth, from 21 the local community, you very much have a right to 22 go to this school. In fact, if you're a resident 23 of District 24, as all residents in Maspeth are, 24 you have an increased capability to go to this 25 school. You just don't have the local preference,

subcommittee on Landmarks, siting & Maritime uses 17

the level of narrow local preference that some

have sought, which we, in an effort to alleviate a

borough-wide overcrowding problem simply can't

justify spending \$80 million to do so. Thank you

very much.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON COMRIE: Mr. Shaw, do you have anything to add? The first question will be from Council Member Crowley, then Council Member Barron.

Thank you, COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: Chairman. Good morning. I'd first like to make a statement and then I have a few questions. like to start by thanking Speaker Quinn for her understanding and support that she's given over the past couple of weeks, even as late as last night on the phone with Chancellor Klein. I'd like to also recognize the commitment and the service that Deputy Mayor Dennis Walcott has given over the past couple of weeks, especially while we've been working together trying to find a solution to build the right type of school. Chairman, fellow Council Members, I'd like to take a few minutes to discuss the DOE's current plan. I do not agree with the proposal. I'm still

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

hopeful that you'll make a compromise on the plan and address the needs of my community, the needs of the students of Maspeth and Middle Village. The DOE has proposed to build this site with a preference for District 24 and then opening up to District 27 and 28, and then to all of Queens. This means that a child that lives across the street, directly across the street from the school has about a 1 in 20 chance of getting into the The district is huge. It graduates over school. 4,500 students each year exiting the eighth grade. There's only 250 seats available in the freshman year to that school. I just want to make sure that this school can work for the community. There's no preference for local zones. mentioned that. But nobody in this community wants the school as it is now. The community board does not agree with your proposal. civics are 100% against it. I've received conflicting views from parents. I am asking the DOE to protect seats so that the institution works for the families across the street and for the families that pass the school every day on their way to work. I am asking for the same enrollment

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

acceptance, that type of structure that is currently available at Bayside High School in Queens, Madison High School in Brooklyn, Lehman High School in the Bronx, and Tottenville High School in Staten Island. All of these schools are both open to the city and have a protective program for local students. Despite many of the appeals made by community members, parents and myself, the DOE intends to move forward with their I believe we must ensure that any addition for our community is done responsibly and with the consideration for the best interests of the local community and then the city as a whole. I believe we have an opportunity to build a school that could be an anchor for education and help alleviate the overcrowding in our community schools and then Queens. But it's important that we build this right. As leaders we cannot allow ourselves to be bullied by a blind policy that does not support the needs of the people we are elected to represent. Fellow Council Members know that as the current proposal stands, I cannot vote in favor of it. I thank you for your attention and I appreciate your consideration. I'd like

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

just make everybody in this room aware that there was an article in the Daily News today on Page 6 that said there were approximately 7,500 students in the Borough of Manhattan which has no zoned schools and in Queens approximately two-thirds of our schools are zoned. There were over 7,500 students, many of whom had straight A's that did not get accepted to any of the five high schools they put on the list. You have to realize that this program does not work and that Maspeth has never had a high school. That doesn't make it right that just because they're going to get a high school that Ridgewood, which has Grover Cleveland and Forest Hills that has Forest Hills and Elmhurst that has Newtown, those kids have a right to go to the school that's in their neighborhood. So if it's proven that it doesn't work, why I ask are you continuing this process and introducing this high school that is not a preference for the kids that live across the street and around the block.

MICAH LASHER: Thank you, Council
Member. I want to thank you. I know that you and
your staff have been unbelievably focused on this

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and vigorous advocates for your community. been a really constructive discussion. we're not where we'd all like to be, but I want to thank you for taking the time over the last months on this. Let me just respond to a couple of points. By your own calculations, to guarantee every student in Maspeth a seat in this school would require something on the order of a 6,000seat high school. I don't think anybody here would support that. We would support a 1,600-seat high school, but I know you would not. I would once again make the offer to you today in order to increase the likelihood that Maspeth kids get a seat in a high school, we'd be open to revisiting a 1,600-seat high school. That having been said, let's not kid ourselves. With a 250-kid per class size of this high school, there's no scenario in which every kind in Maspeth gets to go to this high school. All a carve out would do, yes, it would incrementally increase the chance that a local kid gets to this school. But what it would more prevalently do is basically tell every kid outside of the area that if there are 250 kids from Maspeth who wanted to go to this school that

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you can't come here. And when we're spending \$80 million to build a school, we can't responsibly defend that. In response to your second point, I would argue that what doesn't work and what hasn't worked historically had been neighborhood zoned high schools. While I understand that that works for the kids who are in high schools that work, it has historically led to a system of haves and have nots where you've got some high schools that work and some high schools that don't. And if you happen to be unfortunate enough to live within the zone that sends you to a high school that doesn't work, you're out of luck. Under this administration, we have not been spending dollars to perpetuate that policy and we don't want to start now.

environmental impact statement you site that this area is severely congested and needs relief in terms of transportation as it is currently.

Through previous meetings you've admitted that the local intermediate school, 73, does not necessarily take from the feeder schools as much as it takes from schools that are further away,

thereby congesting Grand Avenue and in and around 73 with buses that wouldn't ordinarily be there had these children been coming from more of a local site, possibly walking to school. If we were to put this high school in place, we could guarantee that those kids that are coming from Maspeth will not be taking public transportation. Many of those kids will be walking.

MICAH LASHER: I know that the purported influx of buses has long been a concern as it relates to this high school. But I would observe that in fact, as a point of policy, we don't bus in high school students.

COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: I think that you have to realize that from what I understand we're saying 250 kids per year.

There's 10% that's left for District 75, those students who have special needs. Often these students come on buses, many of which come alone on buses. So you're talking about 50 or 100 more buses. If you add that to what is already going on, you're going to have buses. Also, those students who are going to be coming from Corona and Ridgewood and parts of Long Island City,

they're going to be taking more public transportation to the school. There are valid concerns from community members that there's no room on the current buses that are taking passengers down Grand Avenue because there are so many kids that are traveling to the intermediate school that have overburdened Bus 58 which goes down Grand Avenue. Could you explain to me how you don't anticipate more congestion from those children that will be traveling? Those are just within District 24, not to mention District 27 and

28 and the rest of Queens.

just want to be clear, we're not unsympathetic to the transportation concerns of the neighborhood.

I don't think we would argue that this will result in zero increase in either pedestrian or vehicular congestion. I think what we would say is that the imperative to alleviate massive overcrowding throughout the Borough of Queens and in your neighborhood when balanced against the traffic concerns is a worthwhile endeavor. I think we would further say that we would be eager to work with you to alleviate the transportation issues at

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

hand working with DOT. We do now view, however, an enrollment policy as a transportation solution, and I think that's what you're suggesting. Again, I don't want to minimize the imperative we're dealing with here. Newtown High School is at 129% of capacity. Grover Cleveland is at 128% of capacity. Aviation High School is at 120% of capacity. Cardoza is at 141%. Francis Lewis is at 175%. Bayside is at 152%. Richmond Hills is at 160%. Forest Hills is at 157%. Thomas Edison is at 150%. Long Island City is at 147%. Now, we have come before this Council time and again and we have gotten hammered on school overcrowding. And I understand that because that's a concern for folks all across this city. But I don't understand how this Council can come to us time and again and beat us up on school overcrowding and say to us here we are about to plunk down \$80 million on a site that was recommended to us by the Community Education Council and tell us that we can't build a school here because your enrollment policy does not meet our needs, even though the land use question is not at issue.

COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: The

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Community Education Council does not agree with your enrollment plan. They brought that particular site to your attention to help focus on Middle Village and Maspeth area that has gone without a local high school for far too long. They see their neighborhoods, Glendale and Forest Hills, getting a new locally zoned high school to open next September, which will alleviate many of the overcrowding classrooms that are currently in Grover Cleveland High School and Forest Hills High School. You cannot underestimate the value of a community high school. It's been proven time and time again that it increases attendance rate and parent participation, thereby increasing the performance of the student. I would like to leave you with an example. I recently visited Grover Cleveland High School where you had a freshman student that passed an opportunity to go to Brooklyn Tech High School because he wanted to go to his neighborhood high school where the friends he went to elementary school and junior high school with were going. They were going to Grover Cleveland. It is that type of school that we need for Middle Village and Maspeth.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 MICAH LASHER: Let me just

reiterate, again, short of a 6,000-seat high school, we cannot give you a guarantee that your kids are going to be able to go to this school. Furthermore, I have to say, as it relates to the Community Education Council, obviously they have made their objection to our enrollment policy We have a philosophical disagreement there clear. and I understand the benefits that you articulate for locally zoned high schools. We believe that the benefits for giving broader communities access to more high schools is a public policy goal that this administration has pursued and that we believe is worth pursuing. I would point out that the Community Education Council, as it relates to their position and the community board's position and respectfully to your position is that the desirability of this site from a land use perspective is not at issue. We are not talking about that. We are talking about an enrollment policy, which is a perfectly fine conversation to have. We have a philosophical disagreement. question before the committee is whether this site is a desirable site for a school and everybody is

2 in agreement on that. In fact, it wasn't even our 3 idea. 4 COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: I disagree 5 with who attends this school and how people get to 6 the school with that not being a land use 7 situation. Furthermore, there are not 6,000 8 students at ninth grade level in Maspeth. Thirdly, you have not answered why on Page 6 of 9 10 the Daily News today is there a situation in 11 Manhattan with 7,500 students, many of which are 12 high achievers, why they don't have one of their top five schools. 13 14 MICAH LASHER: I'm sorry I said 15 6,000. The number you had cited in our meeting 16 with the borough president last week I guess was 17 1,200 per class. So I'm sorry, a 4,800-seat high school. Is that right? 18 19 COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: Ιf 20 there's about 4,500 kids in District 24, how do 21 you figure one out of four of them are from 22 Maspeth? 23 MICAH LASHER: I really didn't 24 know, but I believe that you had said you had 25 1,200 kids coming out of those five schools per

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, SITING & MARITIME USES 28

1

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, SITING & MARITIME USES 29

2 class.

COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: If you look at the three zip codes and the five schools that were recommended by Community Board #5, then yes, or even the CDC, then yes. But for those that live in Maspeth and go to school in Maspeth, many of them will choose to go to parochial schools and not attend this high school. But how can we not give that opportunity to the person across the street and down the block that this school can work for you and your family and your community?

MICAH LASHER: I guess what I mean to say is I think the question of providing a local guarantee is a bit of a red herring in that to do so would require a school bigger than anything you or your constituents would support, bigger than the 1,600-seat high school that we proposed and certainly bigger than the 1,100-seat high school that we have reduced in an attempt to accommodate. I would furthermore note that that reduction, obviously, I think we all seek to build more high school seats in general in Queens and citywide. To reduce it from 1,600 to 1,100 that

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in and of itself was effectively a compromise against the broader objective to create more high school seats in an effort to be responsive to local input. In response to you question about high school choice, we have an enrollment policy that provides students with high school choice. The 7,500 number I believe is a citywide number. We matched 85% of kids citywide with their top five high schools. I'm not going to sit here and tell you our enrollment policy is perfect. But I will tell you that an enrollment policy that doesn't say if you are on the wrong side of the line you don't get to come here is an enrollment policy that we do not want to pursue with this high school and we haven't pursued in this administration.

CHAIRPERSON COMRIE: Quiet please. The room will stay quiet or the room will be emptied. This is not a debate. This is not a discussion back and forth between the room and anybody at the podium. I'm not going to say it again.

COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: Never in the history of the proposed Maspeth High School

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 has there ever been a 1,600 high school proposed.

There was at one time an intermediate school attached to the 1,100-seat high school. However,

5 through your own research and the opposition of

6 the community board based on what is currently

7 happening at IS 73, you realized there was no need

for an intermediate school. However, you have not

gone into addressing the needs and the concerns of

10 the community as it relates to education and also

what the situation is currently happening at 73.

two things about that. The reduction from 1,650 to 1,100 I want to be honest and clear about that. That was an accommodation made in order to respond to community concerns. I would want to be very clear. If you think that a 1,600-seat high school would be something that is preferable for that site, we would certainly be open to discussing it. Secondly, as it relates to the Community Education Council and this enrollment policy, I believe that what you're asking us to do is restore a policy that is very exclusionary and I don't think we're prepared to do that.

COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: What I am

asking and what I have expressed to you in a series of meetings is to compromise and look at the situations that work. When you look at locally zoned schools or priority zoned, which includes kids who are across the street and down the block, you have better performance. Now we both agree that we want to give our kids the best possible education we can afford them. To me I don't understand how you could not agree with the idea of a local school giving the ability to the student to have better attendance and family involvement; how that would not be the case if you did not have that school.

think that's an extremely broad brush. I'm not sitting with survey information or statistical research to rebut that, but it immediately comes to mind that some of our city's best high schools, Stuyvesant, Bronx Science and Brooklyn Tech, are not locally zoned high schools. Not that they're necessary analogous to this. Those are special enrollment schools. But I think to simply say broadly speaking that neighborhood zoned schools work better than choice schools, I'd be surprised

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

if that were a blanket statement that could be supported. Moreover, again, I think that there is a value to having students from nearby in the school. Let me be clear, you asked about compromise, the compromise we have proposed here, which would give your school district, the school district in which your constituents live, the school district in which the schools you would like to give preference for are a part of, that district has priority over the entire city. there are 250 kids from your school district who want to go to this school, they're going and they're taking every seat. This is a narrower prioritization than has ever been created under this administration. I could make an argument that that in and of itself was perhaps more of a compromise than we should have made given the historic problems with neighborhood zoned public high schools. But we have done it in an effort to accommodate your demands. We've also reduced the size of the school by one-third in an effort to respond to these demands. At a certain point you have to say we can spend \$80 million somewhere else for a high school that will serve a broader

COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: I think at the same time you've said District 24, which includes my whole district, which is not true. I do have District 27 within the school district,

22

23

24

25

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

within the council district. Also within School District 24, you have approximately five other Council Members. Within the compromises that I have brought to your attention, to the DOE, I have asked for a priority which would include kids from Elmhurst which is not in my district, Maspeth and Middle Village first. I gave schools also that included students that wouldn't necessarily be in the district I represent. That was a small priority, thereby giving you the ability to draw from a greater area, the district thereafter. let me just be clear with that. Then also I think that fellow Council Members you have to keep in mind that this is an area that is not like the rest of the city. There is no subway system. does not have the transportation infrastructure. Many of the kids in Maspeth that go to high schools currently take two buses before they get to a train, or two to three buses before they get to their high school. Ultimately we have to understand that that is a land use issue.

CHAIRPERSON COMRIE: Council Member Barron, then Council Member Liu, then Council Member Sears and then Council Member Martinez.

have to be very honest with you, I was checking my calendar to see what year we were in and whether we went back to segregation looking at the audience and those who are concerned about that particular issue. I'm saying it anyway because you all don't want to talk about race but race is an issue. It always is an issue whether you like it or not. Race is always an issue in this city whether you like it or not. You're sitting here wanting some privileges that no other high school in the city is locally zoned.

MICAH LASHER: No other high school that we have created under this administration. We don't do it anymore.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: There's no more locally zoning high schools nowhere in the city. The reason for that is because they went to theme high schools and some high schools had better resources than others. It gave an opportunity for us to desegregate high schools and move away from segregation so that diversity can come to high schools, opportunities for people who are in high school areas where you don't get the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

same resources as other high schools. These students now get a chance to come to another school. You're going to come here and try to turn back the hands of time. I'm talking to you rhetorically. I'm not talking to you. But you want to come here with our Council Member and try to justify segregation because that's exactly what you're doing. To the Department of Education, I don't see why you gave them 250 because that didn't happen anywhere else in the city. think they should get any special privileges because they want to keep their high school for their white children and they don't want any children of color coming in there and coming into the neighborhood to desegregate the neighborhood and the high school. I think that's a disgrace to this city. I think if we're going to have any policy changes, then we're going to have to change it across the board. I think we did the right thing by opening up high schools to everybody. Every community has a high school in it that's coming from this administration that's opened up for every child in the city, not just my children in East New York or someone else's children in

2 Harlem, but it's opened up for the entire city.

Segregation is over and I think we should stick to the land use issues on this one. I'm urging my colleagues not to let them turn back the hands of time with some policy talk when you look at the land use questions there's no reason why. We should be more responsible and vote for a school. The Department of Education is putting \$80 million into a school to alleviate overcrowding in Queens which is sorely needed. Then we got to sit here and discuss how we can keep this one high school

MICAH LASHER: Council Member, I would just say as it relates to your point about giving District 24 priority, that was a difficult compromise for us. I would certainly say to the Council that if it were to be the directive of this Council that it should be a borough-wide or citywide high school, that is something we would be open to.

segregated because that's what this is about.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: So you're putting it back on us, but I think you should have been strong and kept it. No other high school in the city gets that kind of preference.

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, SITING & MARITIME USES 39
2	MICAH LASHER: There is a high
3	school that has that.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: To your
5	administration?
6	MICAH LASHER: Yes, two districts.
7	So, yes, I agree with you.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: If we start
9	doing that, when do we stop? Where do we stop?
10	What happens to the policy when you start
11	incrementally taking it apart?
12	MICAH LASHER: I don't think you
13	and I are in disagreement on that.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: I just want
15	to encourage my Council Members that we should
16	vote for this school because it alleviates
17	overcrowding in Queens and we shouldn't let race
18	play a role in it.
19	CHAIRPERSON COMRIE: We've been
20	joined by Council Member Dan Garodnick from
21	Manhattan, Councilman Tony Avella from Queens and
22	now we'll hear from Council Member John Liu. I'm
23	sorry, Robert Jackson, the Chair of the Education
24	Committee, has been here a while.
25	COUNCIL MEMBER LIU: I'm a mere

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

member of the Education Committee as well as a member of this Siting Subcommittee. I think we all understand that school overcrowding is a big problem in this city. It's especially a bigger problem in the Borough of Queens. Even within the Borough of Queens it's not even school crowding everywhere at all grades, it's particularly difficult in the northern part of the borough and in the high school years. So we desperately need a new high school in Northern Queens. In fact, this is a problem that the Department of Education and the School Construction Authority has been well aware of for well over a decade. In the last several years they have identified a number of sites, not just this site, but a number of sites that would be very suitable for a high school, a high school that is desperately needed in Northern Queens. How did you arrive at this particular site among all of the other sites that were evaluated for siting?

KENRICK OU: I would suggest that part of how we identify sites, as Micah pointed out, is really to listen to our Community

Education Council members and other stakeholders.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In this instance, party of what we had been hearing historically from CEC 24, and I think even before that School Board 24, relates not just to Maspeth but in other parts of the city, neighborhoods that do not geographically have high schools. We listen to those recommendations, we evaluate those sites and in this instance we found and believe that this site was a viable site.

COUNCIL MEMBER LIU: Before I go any further, I just want to say that these four gentleman are committed to their jobs. Don't take anything personally here today. But in Northern Queens we're talking about School District 24, 25, 26, 28, 29, 30. Have you gone to all of these Community Education Councils and they all agree that this particular site is the best place to put a high school in Northern Queens? Obviously you talked to CEC 24. In many cases these CECs are rubber stamp for the chancellor. The point of this is that if you're talking about how the community wants it, the fact is we need a high school in Northern Queens and there are six school districts that are encompassed. Do you have input from CEC District 25, CEC District 30? These are

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, SITING & MARITIME USES 42 all areas where kids don't have enough space to go 2 3 to high school. 4 MICAH LASHER: Council Member I 5 would say two things. One, I want to be clear. Ι am not saying that CEC 24 supports this high 6 school. 7 8 COUNCIL MEMBER LIU: Well let me 9 ask that gentleman. 10 MICAH LASHER: Can I finish this? 11 Can I respond to your questions? 12 COUNCIL MEMBER LIU: No, no. But 13 that wasn't my question. 14 MICAH LASHER: But you raised a 15 couple of points I'd like to respond to. I don't 16 want to be misunderstood. I'm not saying CEC 24 17 supports this school. And clearly, by the way, 18 the fact that they do not support this school 19 would indicate they are not so much a rubber stamp 20 for us. I would love it if they supported this 21 school and every aspect of our proposal as it 22 relates to this school. What I am saying is CEC 23 24 was the one who suggested this site and that 24 therefore I would argue that they do not have a 25 land use issue with this site. As it relates to

To speak to the

for your approval. If the question is are there

other sites that could work, the approval of this

acceptability or desirability of other sites.

question about alternate sites, in your package

there is a list of the alternate sites that were

rejected at the time that we initiated the public

review process in March 2008. I do want to point

out that in the context of our meetings with the

community board, another alternative site was

identified by the community board. And I think

the gentlemen who identified it are here. It's a

site that is located I think off of 57th Road and

Rust Avenue, which is the former Saint Xavier's

site. We did look at that site the moment that

was identified and do not believe it to be as

attractive a site as the one that we are

considered and evaluated, most of which were

KENRICK OU:

site is no statement on the validity,

2

3

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

general area. But the fact of the matter is the

need exists all throughout Northern Queens.

proposing.

COUNCIL MEMBER LIU:

sites that you talked about are within the same

The other

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

cited, in fact Mr. Lasher cited, eight or nine other schools that are severely over crowded and tat is the case. What we need is a site that actually is accessible to students all over Northern Queens. In fact, for the last five years I have given you a jewel of a site, a site that the school Construction Authority should in fact consider, a site that is in my area, in Flushing, that is central to all of Northern Queens. an eight-minute walk from a subway terminal that is accessible by 21 bus lines that is one block off a major bus line. Yet, the School Construction Authority has refused to even entertain that site. That site that can easily accommodate a 1,600-seat high school. that can easily accommodate a 4,000-seat high school. But no, the School Construction Authority intends to put in this school. Actually I'm familiar with this area. It's a very low density residential area. I'm not even going to get into the traffic or quality of life issues because that is not the issue at hand here. The issue at hand here is not whether we need a high school in Northern Queens, we desperately need one.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

issue is how is the School Construction Authority going around finding these sites and deciding which ones to pursue. This is a less than optimal site for Northern Queens. I've given you a far more preferable site that would work far better. But instead you choose to go about this in a halfbaked action that will only serve the students and the families or Northern Queens in a far more limited capacity than you could otherwise have I don't understand the School engaged in. Construction Authority's actions in this. I think the credibility of the School Construction Authority and the Department of Education in their commitment to create the badly needed high school seats in Northern Queens is severely undermined.

think that you and I would not disagree about the need for high school seats in Queens. I think we're in agreement. One thing that I am confused about, and like Council Member Barron, I'm perhaps speaking rhetorically. This is a confusion that doesn't necessarily require a response. One of the things I'm confused about is how on the one hand you can say we have a desperate need for high

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

school seats in Queens but no go on this site. don't view this, again, as a zero sum game. don't view this as you put a high school here and you don't put a high school there. Obviously if we lived in a world of limitless resources, for example, we'd put a high school at ever proposed site that could work and get class size down to ten students per class. We don't live in that world. As it relates to your site and the site in Flushing, I think that there are a specific set of considerations to that site. We're looking into I would not take that site off the table. Certainly by the way, if this site gets voted down, we are going to be back at the drawing board 1,000 seats short. But again, this is not a zero sum game. We have a site. It is ready to go. Ιt is not half-baked. It is a conversation that we've been having with the community for a year. To be honest, again, let's be candid, the opposition to this site is over the enrollment Frankly, what the advocates for a different plan. enrollment plan would like would be to narrowly limit the enrollment which would run against what are you are--

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, SITING & MARITIME USES 48
2	COUNCIL MEMBER LIU: [interposing]
3	If that were the issue then this would be more in
4	the Education Committee. The fact of the matter
5	MICAH LASHER: [interposing] If you
6	look at the reporting on the community board you
7	will find that the community board referred to
8	this as a dumping ground.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER LIU: Mr. Chairman,
10	I think we need some decorum.
11	CHAIRPERSON COMRIE: John, you
12	finish.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER LIU: The witness
14	can answer the questions when he's asked of a
15	Council Member.
16	CHAIRPERSON COMRIE: Do you want to
17	finish your question and then Mr. Lasher will
18	finish his statement?
19	COUNCIL MEMBER LIU: This is in the
20	Landmarks/Public Siting Subcommittee of the Land
21	Use Committee because it is in fact a land use
22	decision. It is a question of public siting.
23	There has been a lot of rhetoric today that has
24	been put forth by the School Construction
25	Authority and the Department of Education

2 representatives. They have gone so far as to 3 imply that there is some kind of a stratification. 4 They have not used the "R" word. I don't accept any of that. I think this goes to the site 5 selection process that the School Construction 6 7 Authority has utilized. As much as you can say 8 until you're blue in the face that this doesn't preclude any other site, the fact of the matter 9 10 is, there is no other site that's on the immediate 11 horizon in Northern Queens. Once this site is 12 done, it will in the School Construction Authority and the Department of Education's minds alleviate 13 14 the immediate pressure to find a location in 15 Northern Queens. It is clear that this is not a 16 comprehensive effort to truly create the seats 17 that we need in high schools in Northern Oueens. In fact, you go so far as to say that maybe the 18 19 site in Flushing would be considered as well. 20 site in Flushing has been out there for five years 21 and the School Construction Authority and the 22 Department of Education has simply refused to even 23 look at the site. Do you know why? Because it is currently owned by Home Depot, a big entity that 24 25 this administration doesn't like to deal with.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Meanwhile, this particular site in Maspeth actually is owned by a much smaller property owner. This is being taken with the threat of eminent domain. The administration has no qualms about doing it whenever the property owner is a small entity. But when it's a big corporate entity like Home Depot, hands off, we can't touch It's always about the little guy and the them. big guy here. You can talk about all the rhetoric you want. I think the School Construction Authority and the Department of Education has severely damaged its own credibility. It claims to be looking for this high school that's badly needed in Northern Queens and yet it overlooks other far better, far preferable, far more accessible locations for a real high school that we need in Northern Queens. I don't think race should be brought up here. That's a very serious issue to be bringing up or to be implying. think that the School Construction Authority and the Department of Education has put forth that argument, whether behind the scenes or upfront in the most disingenuous of ways. In fact, Council Member Crowley, if she had enough time, would

certainly have pointed out that just down the street there's an elementary school, a primary school where kids are all from the area. The enrollment of that primary school is one-third white, one-third Asian and one-third Latino, reflecting the makeup of that area. So let's not inject race into this concern and this discussion that we have totally unnecessarily. It is the Department of Education and the School Construction Authority that has raised that specter. I find that totally despicable.

MICAH LASHER: Just to clarify on those two points. We don't want to get bogged down into a discussion of the eminent domain considerations. But just to be clear, again, we're in agreement there's a need for high school seats in Queens. I could and will and would defend our site selection process and the questions of eminent domain. But the reality is there is a site before you that would create 1,100 high school seats in Queens. If it is your view that the wise policy move is to put it back on us, vote down this site and force us to go somewhere else, then that is before you. But the reality is

that will set us back some number of years on creating 1,100 high school seats. As it relates to the question of the exclusionary preference policy that has been proposed, I've been pretty careful to speak about what the practicalities of that proposal would be, which is a geographic preference related to the five elementary schools. What it would do would be to say if you are not in that geographic area, you do not get first crack at this school. I have not looked at the racial and demographic questions of that school. But if that's something that would be of interest to you, we could certainly provide it to you.

may, I'd like to add to what Micah said about the site selection process. I don't think any Council Members here will argue against the fact that we have engaged in terms of having several consultations with each elected official in terms of our whole site selection process. We are always open in terms of listening to ideas or other sites that we could take a look at. Some work and some do not.

COUNCIL MEMBER LIU: Please don't

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, SITING & MARITIME USES 53 2 say that to me. 3 CHAIRPERSON COMRIE: John, you're 4 out of order now. Are you finished, Mr. Mayers? 5 Council Member Sears then Council Member Martinez and then I'm going to ask a few guestions if you 6 don't mind. 7 8 COUNCIL MEMBER SEARS: I'm going to be very brief because a lot has been said. 9 10 just going to make two points. Then I really 11 think, Mr. Chairman, with everything that has been discussed that we should lay this over and discuss 12 13 this further. I gather that we cannot lay this over unless it was worked over in the 24-hour 14 15 period, is that correct? It's not being voted on today. So when do we vote on this? We haven't 16 17 decided when to vote on this. Is Thursday the 18 deadline? When is the deadline? 19 CHAIRPERSON COMRIE: We're here to 20 listen to the people before we make any decisions 21 on a deadline. 22 COUNCIL MEMBER SEARS: It says here 23 in the land use that it's April 2nd. 24 CHAIRPERSON COMRIE: The actual 25 deadline is scheduled for Thursday, but as it is a

school project and a school siting project, Ithink deadlines are malleable.

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

4 COUNCIL MEMBER SEARS: Thank you.

That's what I wanted to know. One of the things that concerns me about the site is that when you're talking about a borough-wide school is the transportation. I understand what you're saying and I understand pretty much everything I've heard. I was trying to look at it a little bit in here for your numbers. There isn't one express train. They're all local. They're not accessible to everybody borough-wide. I know that. buses are not express buses. They are not accessible to everybody else. If you know our system with buses, it can take two hours for a student coming from east in Oueens to get to that school and that's an enormous hardship in itself. I recognize the ages of it. I think I have to agree with John Liu in terms that there have been sites to look at. I can tell you in Jackson Heights we have looked and we have put forth some sites that are far more accessible borough-wide than the location of this school. But I think that's an issue that we need to discuss.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

location of this school is a hardship for most kids to get to. It truly is. I know that when you get into Western Queens and Eastern Queens, but it's difficult for me to understand why there would be no express trains, why there wouldn't be enough buses to get to that. I have to tell you that for some of the zones that are being asked to be included in this school, in itself are very, very diverse. I probably have the most diverse district anywhere in this country. Any kids coming from my district are going to make any school diverse because you will see every nationality and every color. I just wonder why you're not including, and I realize what you've said and I understand that. But if you're really looking to serve and be most diverse, you would look at those zip codes that absolutely have that issue. You would look to include those zip codes in this.

MICAH LASHER: You raise a number of really good points. As it relates to transportation, schools are more or less transportation accessible. Some schools are more accessible, some schools are less accessible.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

This is certainly not going to be the most 2 3 transportation accessible high school in the city. I think what we've said to Council Member Crowley and what I would say to you is that within the 5 existing transportation infrastructure that would 6 7 be something that the city would be eager to work 8 with you on to figure out how to make this site more transportation accessible. That having been 9 10 said, we do believe it is a very good site. Again, in response to Council Member Liu, that is 11 12 not a relative statement. That is not a statement that this site is better than all the rest. We 13 14 think this is a good site. We believe we can

COUNCIL MEMBER SEARS: Some of the other sites that you said were available or you reviewed, what was wrong about them that they were excluded?

build an effective school there.

MICAH LASHER: I'll turn it over to Kenrick. I just want to hit your second point, which is that there's other zip codes that are more diverse. Again, I think in our plan that would give District 24 a district-wide preference that I believe would be far more inclusive of the

2 stude
3 propo
4 to a
5 Counc
6 feede
7 your
8 more
9 not.
10 think
11 distr

prioritization.

students coming from your district than would the proposal by the community board to give preference to a specific feeder school or the proposal by Council Member Crowley to give preference to five feeder schools. I think our proposal would give your students a crack at this school whereas the more the much more exclusionary prioritization may not. Again, for us this is about geography. I think the geography that is included in the district-wide preference is far more inclusionary than the more exclusionary narrower

Stop. We're going to lose a quorum on the items that we have to vote today. So I'm going to ask the DOE team to stop and everybody to stop.

Council Member Mendez, we're going to vote on the items we can vote on before we lose you and lose the quorum. We have items that we have to vote out today, which is LU 1032, which is 275 Madison Avenue build, Manhattan Community Board #6. I won't read the code number. LU 1033 and 1034, Manhattan Community Board #9, the New York Public Library, George Bruce branch. LU 1034, New York

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, SITING & MARITIME USES 58
2	Public Library, 125th Street branch in Manhattan
3	Community Board #11. LU 1035, Elsworth House in
4	Staten Island Community Board #3. LU 1036,
5	Hubbard House, Brooklyn Community Board #11.
6	There's no one that signed up to speak against or
7	for any of the items. The items have all been
8	approved and designated by the Landmarks
9	Preservation Committee and have full hearings. So
10	since there is no one to speak in opposition, we
11	would like to call a vote on those items at this
12	time.
13	MALE VOICE: Chair Comrie?
14	CHAIRPERSON COMRIE: Aye on all.
15	MALE VOICE: Council Member Liu?
16	COUNCIL MEMBER LIU: Yes.
17	MALE VOICE: Council Member
18	Martinez?
19	COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: Yes.
20	MALE VOICE: Council Member Palma?
21	COUNCIL MEMBER PALMA: Yes.
22	MALE VOICE: Council Member Arroyo?
23	COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: Yes.
24	MALE VOICE: Council Member
25	Crowley?

Τ	SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, SITING & MARITIME USES 59
2	COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: Aye on
3	all.
4	MALE VOICE: Council Member Mendez?
5	COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: I vote aye
6	on all.
7	MALE VOICE: Council Member Barron?
8	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Aye.
9	MALE VOICE: By a vote of eight in
10	the affirmative, none in the negative, no
11	abstentions, LU 1032, 1033, 1034, 1035 and 1036
12	are approved and referred to the full Land Use
13	Committee.
14	CHAIRPERSON COMRIE: I want to
15	thank everyone for their indulgence. I am told
16	that today is just a hearing on the Maspeth High
17	School, but we will be moving to a vote at 9:30
18	a.m. on Thursday morning. So, Councilwoman Sears,
19	I'll turn it back over to you. I'd like to remind
20	people not to crosstalk over each between the
21	speakers because then it gets the audience
22	confused and involved.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER SEARS: Micah
24	Lasher, I think you were speaking.
25	MICAH LASHER: What I would just

what Councilwoman Crowley is asking for seems to

25

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

submitted.

me is not going to break what it is that you're

trying to accomplish which it is in borough-wide,

because the diversity in that school is huge just

coming from those zip codes. I want to know what

was objectionable about other sites that were

MICAH LASHER: I'll respond to both of those and then for more details I'll ask Kenrick to get into it. Again, in our proposal, 11373 has prioritization with the other zip codes within District 24. I think there are five or I should point out there have been a number of various proposals. There was one that said give five specific feeder schools prioritization. There was another one that said give one feeder school followed by secondary priority. I mean there's been a lot of machinations related to how you create a preference. The net of it for us is we do not believe in creating and have not under this administration in creating a preference that says within a school district if you're in the wrong zip code you're out of luck. Those kids in 373, not only would they have access to this high school, they would in fact have access to this

high school before any other kid except for their compatriots in District 24. As it relates to the undesirable sites, I know the Saint Saviour site is in an industrial area which we felt was just not an appropriate place for kids to be coming.

COUNCIL MEMBER SEARS: Excuse me.

I've got IS 161 in an industrial area and that school is thriving. So I can't buy that argument.

MICAH LASHER: We don't view this as a zero sum game, which is this school versus all the rest. This is a school that we believe is a good school for this site. We believe it can work at this site. To vote it down right now would fundamentally be to set us back on 1,100 seats by several school years.

KENRICK OU: If I could just speak to the issue of alternate sites. Let me just speak first about the size of this site. I'm not sure if we were clear at the very beginning. The site we are talking about today is 1.9 acres in size. That translates into 88,000 square feet of land. That is very uncommon throughout the city and especially in Queens in terms of the size of parcels that are identified as being available and

I have to say I cannot fault Councilwoman Crowley because the fact is the Department of Education is not forthcoming with just about anything that may make and shed some light on an issue.

20

21

22

23

24

25

MICAH LASHER: I'd be happy to get you that information.

COUNCIL MEMBER SEARS: I know, but

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, SITING & MARITIME USES 64
2	that's been asked for a very long time. So to say
3	what's going to come to that school or isn't
4	because of the transportation, because of some
5	other issue, I think that, first of all, an
6	industrial is an opportunity for a school. I know
7	where 61 is and that school is thriving. He's
8	looking to increase and he has the space and
9	hopefully we'll work together to see that happen.
10	Would you have to use eminent domain at the
11	industrial site?
12	KENRICK OU: It depends. It will
13	ultimately depend on whether or not the owner is
14	willing to
15	COUNCIL MEMBER SEARS:
16	[interposing] How much is the square footage of
17	the industrial site? I'm very pro-industrial
18	site. They don't hurt the kids.
19	KENRICK OU: We're not saying
20	CHAIRPERSON COMRIE: [interposing]
21	You got to respect each other.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER SEARS: No, we're
23	good.
24	KENRICK OU: We're not saying it
25	hurts kids.

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, SITING & MARITIME USES 65
2	COUNCIL MEMBER SEARS: This is a
3	love-in over here.
4	KENRICK OU: I agree. By the way,
5	Councilwoman, my sister is a constituent of yours.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER SEARS: She's not in
7	high school is she?
8	CHAIRPERSON COMRIE: All right.
9	Focus on the issue.
10	KENRICK OU: I would just say that,
11	again, ever site is a complex site of things that
12	make it work and things that don't make it work.
13	As I said earlier, in a world of limitless
14	resources, we'd build a school on every block.
15	This is a school that we believe works. It can
16	alleviate 1,100 kids worth of overcrowding in
17	Queens and we'd like to go forward with it.
18	CHAIRPERSON COMRIE: Council
19	Member, final question only because we have a lot
20	of witnesses. We have two other speakers.
21	Council Member, last question.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER SEARS: That's the
23	last question. I want to hear more about the
24	industrial site.
25	KENRICK OII: This is reference to

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, SITING & MARITIME USES 66
2	the Saint Saviour's site, the one that I had
3	mentioned earlier that emerged from our
4	discussions with the community board. I think
5	it's closer in size to approximately 1.5 acres. I
6	don't have the specifics of boundaries in front of
7	us. But when we did go and compare the sizes of
8	the parcels, the site that is currently being
9	proposed is larger.
10	CHAIRPERSON COMRIE: Thank you,
11	next question.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER SEARS: Thank you
13	very much. We have more to do with this I think
14	in negotiations. Thank you very much.
15	CHAIRPERSON COMRIE: Thank you.
16	Council Member Miguel Martinez then Council Member
17	Arroyo.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: Just a
19	point of clarity. The current site that's being
20	proposed is not an industrial site.
21	KENRICK OU: No, it is not.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: I just
23	want to be clear on that.
24	MICAH LASHER: Relative to the
25	Saint Saviour's site, it is not an industrially

Τ	SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, SITING & MARITIME USES 6
2	zoned area. It is a restaurant wholesaler's
3	warehouse.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: Let me go
5	back. The discussion that Council Member Sears
6	was having about an industrial site and being pro-
7	industrial, does it relate to this site?
8	MICAH LASHER: No. This is another
9	site that we had looked at and for a variety of
10	reasons determined it was not as attractive as the
11	site we've proposed.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: And is
13	this site an industrial site?
14	MICAH LASHER: It is not an
15	industrial area. That is the distinction.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: In the
17	discussion earlier I thought I heard you say that
18	there are two other schools that are exclusively
19	zoned. Is that right?
20	MICAH LASHER: The policy of this
21	administration has been to create no high schools
22	that are locally zoned. There was a high school
23	that was built, I believe in Brooklyn, that has an
24	enrollment priority for two full school districts.
25	COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: Under

preference that conforms to school district lines, which are drawn by the state legislature's appointed commission and has some sense to it. To start going within that and saying if you're in this zip code, you get to go and if you're in that

zip code, you don't, is just a precedent that we

think would be disastrous to set.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: Is the community board was opposed or in favor of this?

MICAH LASHER: The community board,
like the Community Education Council and like the elected officials have supported a school at this

8

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 site depending on what the enrollment policy is.

So my argument would be that this as a site is

4 something that has not been said by anybody at the

5 elected official or community board level or

6 Community Education Council level that this site

7 is no-go on a school. What they've said is that

if you do the enrollment policy that we want, it's

9 okay with us. Again, the question before the

10 committee I would humbly suggest is whether the

11 site is a desirable site for a school. I believe

12 it is an extremely desirable site for a school.

and disagree with you because the fact is at the Council one of the biggest powers that we hold is the land use process and then it's the budget.

You've said this over and over again and I know this is the same language that we sometimes use in land use. A ULURP is a ULURP, a land use is a land use. However, it's not that simple for us. Through the land use process is where we're able to even hold this process up for further discussion with the member in which district

whatever issue of land use holds and even to come

up with agreements and bargaining. So for us it's

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

not just a siting or a land use issue, it's what do we do for our constituents. I would hope that the discussion with the Council Member of the district continue. As you know, we usually do have a policy amongst members, not an institutional policy, but among members that we like to listen to the issues concerning that member and follow the leadership of that member in his or her district, particularly when it relates to school sitings. Going back to the original process, you mentioned you went to the local CEC, you went to the district leadership council and so forth. The main issue is we would like to see a school but the issue before us and with them is what the enrollment will be for that school.

of a complicated answer to that question. In response to your first point, obviously, I absolutely acknowledge that this is a discussion about many things. We've had that conversation with the Council Member and frankly that's how we got to the District 24 priority. I'm not going to say this is strictly a land use question. I think my point would be that the land use question is

MICAH LASHER:

I'm sure you'll hear

25

Τ	SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, SITING & MARITIME USES /
2	from those folks today. The Community Education
3	Council, the community board, the Council Member,
4	the Assembly Member have all said contingent on
5	the enrollment policies we'd see a high school
6	here. So I think that that's where we are.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: On the
8	issue of transportation, we're talking about what
9	age range of kids? I know you said high school.
10	Give me age range.
11	MICAH LASHER: At this point we're
12	talking effectively 15 to 18 or 14 to 18.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: And
14	you're looking to bus kids in?
15	MICAH LASHER: We don't provide
16	busing to high school students.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ: Thank
18	you.
19	CHAIRPERSON COMRIE: Council Member
20	Arroyo?
21	COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: Thank you,
22	Mr. Chair. I'm sorry about the in and out, but
23	this hearing was moved and the hour changed in
24	life and my life didn't. So I apologize for that.
25	I'm going to take a stab at this from a different

perspective. The folks around that table and I had a battle maybe two years ago now around a school siting in my district. The issue of the oversaturation of high school seats in my district and how can we ensure that the children in my community would be able to attend the Mount Haven School campus that is already under construction and a project that I think we're all very proud of. At the end of the day, you were not willing to make concessions. What's different in this case on the enroll policy?

MICAH LASHER: I can't speak to the specifics of the Mount Haven situation.

COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: But they can. They were here. I want to know what's different in this case than the one that was present when I was talking to you guys about that siting application that you withdrew. It happened the same way it's happening in this case. And then you brought back almost immediately, pretty much like you're doing in this case. Part of the discussion that I was having with the Department of Education was enrollment policy. There was no give in that situation. What makes this

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

bit of information that cannot be missed in her attempt to deliver for her community. So I am not attacking you. I'm not criticizing you. I think that no-zoned high schools is a bad policy. agree with my colleagues on that. But in this situation I think the issue for the Council Member is how she can make lemonade out of lemons. the end of the day, that's what we need to be mindful of. Often missed by those that we represent because they're very quick to criticize how much we didn't get, but don't appreciate how much we do get in the process of dealing with these land use situations. So I want to congratulate my colleague in this process. worked really, really hard to make sure that she gets as much out of this process as possible for her community. I won't remind you again that you did not make concessions in my case.

MICAH LASHER: Point taken, Council Member.

CHAIRPERSON COMRIE: Just to let folks know that might have been sitting and waiting for the Subcommittee on Planning, they are moved to the 14th Floor. I have a couple of

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, SITING & MARITIME USES 78
2	CHAIRPERSON COMRIE: And what is
3	the projection for high school seat need in Queens
4	now?
5	MICAH LASHER: For the next capital
6	plan what has been identified for starting July
7	1st, 2009 going through June 30th, 2014 is
8	approximately 1,400 seats of high school need in
9	Queens.
10	CHAIRPERSON COMRIE: 1,400? That's
11	all?
12	MICAH LASHER: Yes, it's
13	approximately 1,400 seats of need.
14	CHAIRPERSON COMRIE: I'm having
15	difficulty understanding that statistic. How many
16	schools did you say were over capacity at this
17	particular time? So you're talking about not
18	dealing with reducing the over capacity at the
19	schools you cited? You want to give me that
20	citing again? I think it was every school in
21	Queens was dealing with over 100% capacity.
22	MICAH LASHER: There are many
23	Queens high schools that are over capacity.
24	CHAIRPERSON COMRIE: In Page 2 of
25	the letter it lists them: Newtown is at 129%,

hub area that we already know as opposed to a

25

site.

siting of a school that according to your transportation plan no one outside of the zone area would be able to get to without taking two trains and a bus. That's according to Council Member Sears' point. I was really moving to Council Member Liu's question as to why a siting that is as accessible to transportation as his and is centrally located has not been dealt with for five years. I wanted to know if there had been anything done or a real effort to deal with that

MICAH LASHER: I know this is a very important issue to Council Member Liu. We could do a hearing on this school I gather. By the way, Council Member Comrie, in regards to your earlier question, I think the crux of the answer is that we have these 10,000 school seats and 5,000 of the school seats under the existing capital plan are in the pipeline right now but have not been opened up.

CHAIRPERSON COMRIE: Right, they're not opened up.

MICAH LASHER: So, 5,000 currently in the pipeline plus another what would have been

Т	SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, SITING & MARITIME USES OF
2	1,600 and now 1,100 here I think will
3	substantially address those schools that are
4	currently over crowded. It's not just the 1,400
5	seats in the next capital plan. We have 5,000
6	seats coming online.
7	CHAIRPERSON COMRIE: You have 5,000
8	seats coming online by when?
9	MICAH LASHER: In the next 3.5
10	years. The short answer is that Home Depot is the
11	current occupant of the site that Council Member
12	Liu is talking about. It's not that we have any
13	great love for Home Depot, but they are an active
14	business. We do believe that they would commence
15	substantial litigation over any eminent proceeding
16	in that instance. But as I said to Council Member
17	Liu, in any site acquisition process eminent
18	domain is always at issue.
19	CHAIRPERSON COMRIE: So in other
20	words that site is not really available. It's an
21	active operational site. Is that what you're
22	saying?
23	MICAH LASHER: That is what we
24	would say.
25	CHAIRPERSON COMRIE: Even with

5,000 seats in the pipeline and this school creating another 1,100 seats, I still have issue with the fact that it's only a projected 1,400 seat need in the next capital plan. I think that's something that we're going to have to readdress and look at. Everything that I've seen as far as construction with Queens West, the Rockaways, Long Island City, which is Queens West Astoria, up and down Queens Boulevard, with the addition of buildings in Woodside and in almost every district in Queens there's more housing and more opportunities coming. So I think that projection is way under and we really need to take a look at that.

greg Mayers: Mr. Chairman, may I just add to that? You are correct and I just wanted to remind you that also that the plan on a yearly basis is evaluated. So we have to come back to you when we look at neighborhood by neighborhood districts, which is something new that we've been looking at. We look at the city planning data and also the census. So every year, once again, we'll be in front of this body with a plan where you have the right to really challenge

School. There is an opinion on the part of the

25

Department of Education that the seats that the community feels are necessary in order to meet the need of that isolated community are not necessary. We disagree on the numbers and we need to keep that conversation going. That community has been under served by middle school seats forever. We have a great opportunity with land available and I get it that the dollars are not there, but we need to have this conversation again because we disagree about the seats necessary in that community. We believe the need is greater than what has been assigned in the capital plan. I look forward to that conversation.

CHAIRPERSON COMRIE: I just want to emphasize the fact that your capital plan for Queens for your projected plan is off. You're not even including Southern Queens which is the area I represent, which is in need of more seats. We have classes that are doubling up and we have four schools actually that are multiple school buildings. 192, as you know, is sharing schools. Springfield is sharing schools. Andrew Jackson, Campus Magnet now is sharing facilities. These kids don't have enough science labs. They don't

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

have enough cafeteria space. They don't have enough communal space. They don't have an opportunity to really have their own identity. There's a major problem with the capital plan. know that that's not Maspeth related. Today's article in the news is really an example of the problem. Most of my kids contact me because they can't get into the school they want to get into. Nine times out of ten it's not any of those schools that I just named in my area. The ability of a child to get into a school and to fairly compete for a school is something that we really have to look at. While I understand the need to have an identity brand, I'm concerned about making sure that children from all over the borough have an opportunity to get into school. I think that I'm going back to the overall capital plan, not just specific siting. We don't have enough schools. If we can't create more seats, then we can't create more opportunities for children to really flex their intellectual spirits by not being in overcrowded facilities. With that, I'm going to ask Council Member Liu and Council Member Crowley to ask one more question each because my

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

follow-up meeting. They subsequently shared comments related to our original proposal, which was this 1,650-seat facility that would serve grades 6 through 12. We heard a lot of concerns and feedback. The community board put their key concerns in writing. We took that back. reassessed the site and tried to identify ways to respond to those concerns, which included what we have before us now, this proposed reduction in the size of the school, specific design measures if this project were to move forward that could respond to the concerns related to traffic obstructions, proximity of the building to the neighbors, the size of the building, the treatment of the area.

COUNCIL MEMBER LIU: I mean bottom line is the community board disapproved it on May 21st, 2008. So what happened after May 21st?

their concerns and we were talking with our designers to try and figure out a way that it would be possible. We promised the community board that we would go back and share our ideas as we try to identify and balance their concerns.

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, SITING & MARITIME USES 88
2	COUNCIL MEMBER LIU: So there were
3	no key milestone dates between May 21, 2008 and
4	February 23rd?
5	MICAH LASHER: Just to be clear,
6	the revision resulted in a reduction of the
7	enrollment capacity of the school by a third, the
8	elimination of a middle school. Those were
9	substantial changes.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER LIU: That was after
11	May 21st?
12	MICAH LASHER: Yes.
13	KENRICK OU: Yes and there were
14	subsequent discussions with the Community
15	Education Council.
16	MICAH LASHER: That was subsequent
17	to the community board's opposition. I can give
18	you the chronology.
19	CHAIRPERSON COMRIE: The
20	chronology?
21	MICAH LASHER: The chronology was
22	that the vote was on May 14th. And then on
23	January 14th we went back to the community board
24	with a revised plan.
25	COUNCIL MEMBER LIU: The community

Department of Education have it proposed 2 3 currently. I hope that you would go back to the drawing board and bring back a proposal that is aligned to what the community board has requested. 5 I think that what Council Member John Liu recently 6 7 raised and the series of meetings that you had over the past year and a half, two years, you have 8 to realize that this community went through a lot 9 10 in just not having continuity in terms of representation. So in a lot of ways they were 11 12 under represented. Now, when I come into office and the first few weeks of office repeatedly 13 14 requesting meetings for the DOE and not getting a 15 meeting until the end of February, two days before you would have wanted to bring it to this 16 17 particular Land Use Committee hearing. Then you withdrew it because you knew that it wouldn't have 18 19 been voted favorably. So I think that you have to 20 go back to the drawing board one more time. 21 think that we have to understand that there were 22 other sites that were suggested and even in 23 answering the questions about the sites I don't 24 think your answers were accurate. I don't think 25 they were clear. St. Saviour's site that was

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

suggested earlier that is a larger site and there
is no current construction site and the DOE

4 purchasing that site would be a tremendous cost

5 savings. That site would also allow for more

6 students whereby alleviating the overcrowding. I

7 think that Council Member Sears also raised some

8 pretty important concerns when she mentioned where

9 her kids were going to high school. We like to

10 keep track as Council Members and our parents even

11 more so. And they like to get involved in their

12 student's education. Whereby building a high

school that allows a certain number of seats for

community residents only makes sense. Thank you.

MICAH LASHER: If I may, in answer to that. I am sympathetic both to the fact that the community has undergone leadership change successively in the last number of months. That has obviously presented a challenge. As I said, however, we did meet with you on December 11th before you formally took office. We met again before the school was proposed. We did withdraw it. I think there has been no shortage of communication on this issue. It may just be that

we have a philosophical difference here about

are definitely going to meet our obligation as

25

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Council Members and follow the rule of law. Ι will tell you that one of the things I want to do as head of the delegation is send a letter to DOE because this issue of 1,400 seats in the next plan doesn't make sense. I don't think even with the 5,000 or 6,100 seats coming online will not relieve the overcrowding in those schools that we cited and will not create a better quality of an educational environment for our kids to catch up to the rest of the world. With that, I want to move forward. Thank you for being here. Let's bring up the next panel, which will be: Holden, Juniper Park Civic Association and Gary Giordano, Community Board 5. Due to the exigencies of time, we're going to keep it to three minutes each. Due to time constraints, gentleman, keep your comments salient and keep them to three minutes. Anthony Nunziato and Eddie Nubel can come up now and be prepared to speak. Is Eddie here? I don't know Eddie as well as I know the other three. Mr. Holden, you're at bat first.

ROBERT HOLDEN: Hi, my name is Bob Holden. I'm President of the Juniper Park Civic

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Association, representing 1,650 families in Maspeth and Middle Village, and most of all a member of Community Board 5. If the Council Members look at the photograph that I have on the front of the packet, you can see the siting of this school. The School Construction Authority was asleep at the wheel when they sited this If you look, there's two other schools location. a few blocks away. So within four blocks we have a proposal for three schools. Now, another thing to consider, and they keep saying Mayor Bloomberg wants people to travel all throughout the five boroughs to go to a high school. Now I kind of agree with that. There's no subway nearby, it's over a mile away. So that means the kids would have to get on the bus. The buses now are seniors, people wanting to take public transportation, you can't get on the bus there. Take a look at the photographs and look at what's happening now to the community. So there are locations and we have to start thinking about this. There are locations where a school won't This is one. Again, we disagree with work. Council Member Crowley. We're not talking about

These are the alternate sites, which were

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 is Gary Giordano. I'm District Manager at 3 Community Board 5 in Queens. Originally we opposed a 1,650-seat school that was supposed to include a 550-seat intermediate school. 5 thought it was duplication. There was an 6 intermediate school over there. But there are 7 8 severe problems in this area with two existing schools, one an elementary school being down the 9 10 block and the intermediate school being a few 11 blocks away. So what you have is 2,700 children 12 and pre-teens going to school in that immediate 13 I think the community board softened a little bit and said well look, we know that know 14 15 that we need high school seats in Queens County. 16 We know that Grover Cleveland is overcrowded where 17 the kids locally are zoned. Forest Hills where my son goes to school, he starts school at 10:37 I 18 19 believe because of split session. That school's 20 at 150% of capacity. Newtown High School, as you 21 well know, has been over capacity for a long time. 22 I wish that Councilman Barron were here. 23 think the idea of local enrollment is not by any means a racial issue because if you see 24 25 Intermediate School 73, if anything is a mosaic of

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

people coming from all different communities to go to school and traveling there that complicated everything. In a lot of cases those who are going to IS 73 are taking either school buses to school or there are so many kids being bused from the school that they have their own stop on 71st Street off of Grand Avenue for numerous buses. So you have congestion conditions here that I don't think you can match. I think what Mr. Holden said is very true. If you had a subway stop there it would be much easier to have everybody from whatever portions of the city going to this The reason that we believe that this school. school should be much more locally zoned than the administration believes it should be is simply because of the crowded conditions there now and that the kids that live in our communities are zoned for highs schools that are already very overcrowded. You will have a situation where a teenager from three blocks away will not be able to go to this school under this program. know, I think if it were a president we'd have a much better chance of being democratic here. I would ask the chancellor to revise this policy in

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, SITING & MARITIME USE \$101 2 some instances. 3 CHAIRPERSON COMRIE: Just a point 4 of information from Mr. Holden. This doesn't say 5 anything on here about eminent domain or that it was put in the paper. In fact I have a copy of 6 the same thing that was in the letter that was 7 8 sent to us by the Department of Education. says the same thing as your Exhibit 1, Alternative 9 10 Site Analysis. I'd like to see something from the 11 paper. 12 ROBERT HOLDEN: I believe I could 13 get you that. 14 CHAIRPERSON COMRIE: There's 15 nothing on what you handed me that said there was 16 an eminent domain hearing. 17 ROBERT HOLDEN: The date on there was the Exhibit 1 that was presented at that 18 19 hearing. So it was 2/26/09. That's when the 20 eminent domain hearing took place. 21 CHAIRPERSON COMRIE: 2/26/09? The 22 have this in our package. I'd like to know if a 23 hearing actually took place. 24 COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: I know a 25 hearing did take place.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

other information was forthcoming. We had to take pictures to see where the other paper was being printed for other sites for the school. It was at 4 o'clock on a Thursday. No one that we reached out to could come to it. Everyone works until 6 o'clock. Even the people from the schools had a hard time getting there. So we had a very bad turnout than we would have had if it would have been scheduled for something that was more amenable for everybody in the neighborhood. just want to state that I have a business in Maspeth on Grand Avenue. I also live in Maspeth. I've been there for over 25 years. I'm a third generation resident of Woodside. I've been working very hard for the city planning of Maspeth for the last 25 years civically. So far we have done everything to make sure everything is slowly getting to the right way. We had pollution plans, we had the Betts Incinerator, we had fat rendering plants, we had pollution, we had the Phelps Dodge. We slowly saw the development of our town so it could be clean environmentally and work for our neighborhood. We have two schools in that location and we had a business there that

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, SITING & MARITIME USE \$104 conducted business. It's called oversaturation. 2 3 We have the largest Stop & Shop of Queens that's 4 located at that location. We have the largest Stop and Store at that location. It's being 5 shoehorned into our neighborhood and it doesn't 6 7 make any sense. We want everyone to go to all the 8 schools. I went to Pelham Memorial. I had to travel into Manhattan. Part of New York life is 9 10 traveling to our different schools. It's a 11 wonderful tribute to go and see the different 12 neighborhoods and be part of it and to expand your 13 mind. I think it's a great thing. This is not the location for it. It's a small area. You have 14 15 two large schools there. You have over 3,000 16 students getting out now. Our commerce is taking 17 a hit. You know, our small businesses, and I really want everybody to hear this, we're not 18 19 getting any bailout from any presidential or from 20 any city official for our small businesses. 21 already make up two-thirds of what taxes are 22 coming to the city and we're getting killed 23 because people will not travel the avenue to do 24 shopping because of the overcrowding, the traffic 25 and the school buses. The school buses stay

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

listening.

EDDIE NUBEL: My name is Eddie Nubel. I belong to the Juniper Park Civic

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Association. I've been living in the community over 50 years. I am opposed to the school being built there. I think it's wrong. I think it's oversaturated with big supermarkets. Stores along the avenue are losing business because there's too many kids. There's 3,000 plus kids, like Tony said. You can't walk safely along there. kids come out of the school there, they knock you over and they're running around. They're trying to intimidate each other into fighting and stuff. You know, you go in the library where it's supposed to be quiet. They go in there and they start rousing things up a little. I live on 74th In the morning and at night, the cars Street. zoom through there like crazy. There's heavy traffic there. That's like a shortcut to Woodhaven or the other big boulevards and stuff. I just feel it's wrong to put that school there. I think they have better sites. I think the Rust Street site would upgrade the community in that area if they would put a school there and try and build up that area or put some more money in that area and see if you could help the local people there. Also, Flushing I think has a good site

Τ	SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, SITING & MARITIME USES O
2	because of the buses and the subway system there.
3	It's a great site. So I think the people that
4	were here before, they just overlooked a lot of
5	things and a lot of good points. Thank you very
6	much.
7	CHAIRPERSON COMRIE: Council Member
8	Sears has a question.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER SEARS: I have a
10	question for Gary Giordano. Just for
11	clarification because I'm a little confused. If
12	the zip codes were included in this plan, would
13	that mean that Community Board 5 would support
14	this school at this location?
15	GARY GIORDANO: Yes.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER SEARS: Mr. Holden?
17	You have to use the microphone. I'm confused as
18	to the position of Community Board 5.
19	GARY GIORDANO: The Community Board
20	5 would be in favor of locating an 1,100-seat high
21	school at this sight if it were zoned by something
22	close to those zip codes 11378, 11379, and 11373.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER SEARS: That's what
24	I've asked. That's what I wanted to know. I was
25	not clear about that.

GARY GIORDANO: The special

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, SITING & MARITIME USE \$10 education students to some extent may be. So it's 2 3 smaller buses and more of them usually. 4 COUNCIL MEMBER SEARS: So the high 5 school also has special ed in it which would need 6 those school buses. GARY GIORDANO: There would be 100 7 8 students of what they call District 75 students projected to attend the school. 9 10 COUNCIL MEMBER SEARS: I see. 11 Thank you very much. 12 CHAIRPERSON COMRIE: Council Member 13 Arroyo? COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: I'm going 14 15 to make a statement and I hope that everyone that comes after understands. What you've just 16 17 described in terms of oversaturation and 18 congestion can represent any district and any 19 community in this city. When I consider my experience in my district, I have 11,000 high 20 21 school seats sited within a one-mile radius. 22 have very little sympathy for what you're saying 23 here about oversaturation and congestion. please be mindful of that. We all represent 24 25 communities that have very similar issues and very

Τ	SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, SITING & MARITIME USESLI
2	similar concerns and have to deal with those
3	challenges on a daily basis.
4	ROBERT HOLDEN: Do you have trains
5	going to your neighborhood?
6	COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: In some
7	cases the transportation situation is very
8	similar. So my point is that the subject of
9	oversaturation and congestion is a reality that we
10	all have to deal with in our individual districts.
11	ROBERT HOLDEN: This is within two
12	blocks though. I want you to understand that.
13	This is not within a mile. This is two blocks.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: And you're
15	talking about 3,000 and I'm talking 11,000.
16	ROBERT HOLDEN: We're talking about
17	4,500.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: I don't
19	want to debate the issue. I just want to bring
20	that up as a very important point that this is not
21	specific to the community that we're here
22	discussing today.
23	CHAIRPERSON COMRIE: Council Member
24	Crowley has a question.
25	COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: To address

commitment to meet with the Commissioner for

Economic Development at 2:45 in my office. I'm

already late. Thank you panel for being here.

24

```
1
         SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, SITING & MARITIME USE $13
 2
      Joann Burga [phonetic], and Marge Kolb.
 3
                     MARGE KOLB:
                                  Joann Burga had to
      leave. You have her written statement. It was
 4
 5
      handed out.
                     CHAIRPERSON COMRIE: Are you Ms.
 6
      Kolb?
 7
 8
                     MARGE KOLB:
                                  Yes.
                     CHAIRPERSON COMRIE: You can start
 9
10
      whenever you're ready.
11
                     MARGE KOLB: Can he hand those out
12
      before my time starts?
13
                     CHAIRPERSON COMRIE:
                                          No.
                                                We can
14
      listen and read. Go ahead.
15
                     MARGE KOLB: I'm referring to the
16
      document.
17
                     CHAIRPERSON COMRIE: Go ahead.
18
                     MARGE KOLB: I feel like I'm on
19
      that game show 1 versus 100, so I'm sorry if I'm a
20
      little nervous. I'm a parent. I support this
21
      high school. We need high school seats in Queens.
22
      My name is Marge Kolb. I'm the president of the
23
      District 24 President's Council, which is the
      association of all the PTAs in District 24. We
24
25
      have about 36,000 students in grades k to 8 in our
```

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

District 24 priority we can fill up this school and the kids can either walk or take one or two buses. I just say again that we need this high school. This site was recommended to the SCA by parents with children at PS 58 which is down the block. It's been discussed at the Community Education Council for several years. I've spoken to hundreds of parents in the past few months and all but two individuals were in support of this So please realize that parents can't take five or six hours out of their day to come wait at a hearing like this. Parents are picking up kids at 2:00. 2:30 from school. Parents have younger Families have two working parents. kids. there's thousands of parents with me here in this If you look on the last page, there's a room. resolution that was approved by the President's Council. It's signed by 12 PTA presidents representing over 10,000 children and parents that they support this site, they support the District 24 priority zone. I just want to go quickly to the first page which shows the actual site is marked in three. Two is the elementary school down the block which has about 1,000 kids.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

is the storage facility. Five is a new park that's going in. The LIE is there to the south. From the point of three going to your right, there is no houses along 57th Avenue. There's plenty of parking spaces near the park and on the other side by the LIE. So I know everyone else is going to tell you that's not the case, but it is the case. And in fact, at number one is the Stop & Shop with parking on the roof and there's hundreds of spots available there that I'm sure the UFT chapter leader could try to make a deal with the Stop & Shop. I just have to tell you that we need to think about the kids in all this. We need high schools in Queens. We need a high school in District 24. As I said, we have at least 18,000 high school age kids and only 13,000 seats. This is a good site. The other two schools in the are have a combined enrollment of 2,700. The middle school is a quarter mile away. I Map Quested it. It is. It's a quarter mile away. There's high schools with 4,000 kids in one building in one neighborhood. Forest Hills, surrounded by million dollar homes, so a high school is not a detriment to a community, it's an asset.

1	subcommittee on landmarks, siting & maritime use \$118
2	Village and PS 12 is in Woodside.
3	COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: If only 12
4	in District 24 signed it, does that mean that the
5	others opposed it?
6	MARGE KOLB: No. This was at a
7	meeting that we had this week. There was 1
8	opposed and 12 in favor. That's all that was
9	there.
LO	COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: Of the 13
11	PA presidents, 12 out of 13 were in favor of this
12	high school.
13	MARGE KOLB: Yes. And 229 is on
L4	the border of Maspeth in Woodside.
L5	COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: But all of
L6	these other schools that the individuals had
L7	signed, they're within the confines of Community
L8	Education Council 24.
L9	MARGE KOLB: Yes.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: You
21	indicated that you and the CEC were in favor of
22	this school being sited here with the stipulations
23	that are trying to be obtained as far as
24	priorities.
25	MARGE KOLB: No, that's not what I

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, SITING & MARITIME USE \$19 said. I said that the recommendation of this site 2 came from parents in the neighborhood that went to 3 the CEC. The CEC forwarded the site to SCA. 4 is going back two years ago. Now this idea of 5 this local zone has only come up in the past six 6 or nine months. I'm not in favor of that. 7 8 would be satisfied with the District 24 priority 9 and so would all of these presidents that signed 10 this. 11 COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: So just 12 overall priority within District 24. MARGE KOLB: Right. As I said, if 13 14 you look at the center page, you can see how 15 centrally located the site is within the district. 16 COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: Compared 17 to the other high schools. You have number 2, 3, and 4.18 19 MARGE KOLB: Four is not even in 20 our district, but it's a new school under 21 construction. We're supposed to get 500 seats. 22 That would serve children down in the south part. 23 COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: 24 where the line is. It's not too far from the 25 District 24.

1	subcommittee on landmarks, siting & maritime uses 21
2	changed the whole high school application process
3	and I don't even know if there's such a thing as a
4	locally zoned preference for a high school
5	anymore.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: There is.
7	Two, three and four. Four, which hasn't even
8	opened its doors is a locally zoned high school.
9	MARGE KOLB: Well they're both
10	overcrowded.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: Four
12	hasn't opened.
13	MARGE KOLB: No, but two and three
14	are overcrowded by almost 1,000 students.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: Wouldn't
16	it make sense then for the kids who live around
17	where you have the number one to have a preference
18	if they're already zoned for overcrowded schools?
19	MARGE KOLB: Here's my thing. I
20	have a 19-year-old, so I know lots of kids that
21	went to high school. For years kids from Maspeth
22	and Southern Woodside and Elmhurst have traveling
23	far to go to high schools in other communities.
24	My son's friend went to Long Island City which is
25	over capacity by 816. We knew a kid that went to

Francis Lewis from Maspeth, which is over by 2 3 almost 1,900 students. People didn't stand in 4 those neighborhoods and say we don't want your kids coming to our school. This is our 5 neighborhood school. That's what I object to. 6 7 I'll just give you an example. I'm not doing this 8 for my kid. My kid's applying to high school in Astoria and I hope he gets in and I hope nobody 9 10 stands in front of the school and says you can't come. But I live closer to this site than some of 11 12 the people in the most northern part of Elmhurst that would get a secondary priority under some of 13 14 the plans that have been mentioned. My zip code 15 in Maspeth is getting the next to final priority. 16 But as I said, I sent one of my kids to high 17 school in Manhattan. Kids can travel to go to high school. Grand Avenue is a two-way street but 18 19 it's very wide. There's buses that go up and down 20 it. You can hear how rude the people are. 21 how they've been at the meetings. Calling our 22 children animals. It's been written in the paper. 23 They don't want a high school under any 24 circumstance. I've also heard them say we don't 25 want kids coming in from other neighborhoods. To

me that means something and that has resonance. 2

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It bugs me because I grew up in Brooklyn and when I went to sixth grade I was the only white kid in my class and it wasn't a problem for me. should be mixing up our kids more. Some of our schools are so segregated that it horrifies me in this day and age. There's schools in Corona that are 98% Hispanic. That's not right. Our schools should be integrated than they are. And at the high school level when children can travel, they should especially be open to as broad a range of kids as possible.

COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: I'd just like to clarify what was said earlier in reference to PS 58 and the school diversity. Approximately one-third white, less than that of the demographics of the city. Approximately one-third Latino and one-third Asian American.

MARGE KOLB: Well, I've heard people talk about immigrants. The fact is that Corona has a lot more new immigrants than Maspeth. The Hispanics in Maspeth are second or third generation and they're more acceptable. Let's be honest. I'm telling you.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON COMRIE: Quiet please.

MARGE KOLB: I live in this

community and I know how some people think. I'm not going to paint it with a broad brush and say that's the way everyone thinks. But the fact is that I have heard people denigrate Corona and I have visited the schools there and the parents are involved and the kids are doing well considering that in some schools 50% are English language Those were the kids that some of these same people did not want to build PS 58 down the block. I was here eight years ago fighting to get PS 58 built. They talked about the kids being bused in to elementary school and the kids from Corona coming to their elementary school in Maspeth. I'm sorry that I see that way, but that's how some people think. You have to judge for yourself when you see all these speakers. How many of them do you think have school age children and whether schooling is an issue to them. And I pay taxes too.

CHAIRPERSON COMRIE: Quiet please.

MARGE KOLB: I know there's not supposed to be backtalk. I've lived in Woodside

for 18 years and I pay taxes and so do my
neighbors who have children. We deserve a shot at
a local high school too. This site is closer to
me than any other school but I wouldn't get into
it if you just draw a little circle around it and

only have it for the children in Maspeth.

COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: Just in closing, I didn't mention this earlier, but I have two sons that are rapidly approaching high school age. I live right near where this number four and they're likely going to go to that high school once it opens its doors. So I'm more concerned about the people I represent in and around the one area. I've said to the School Construction

Authority and the Department of Education you can make a priority zone which includes those that live across the street and down the block and also give opportunity to those that live throughout the district.

MARGE KOLB: Well the CEC originally wanted to give priority to the neighborhoods of Maspeth, Middle Village and Glendale. And I said to them, "Do you know that Elmhurst is a half a block from this site and

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, SITING & MARITIME USE\$ 26 Glendale is a couple of mile from this site." 2 So 3 it really did make me wonder about what their 4 priorities were in zoning this high school. COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: 5 priority zone that I brought to the School 6 7 Construction Authority/DOE was a zone that 8 included 11373. Thank you. 9 CHAIRPERSON COMRIE: Thank you, Ms. 10 Kolb for being here. I appreciate your time and 11 you sharing the information that you wanted to 12 share. 13 MARGE KOLB: Thank you. The next panel 14 CHAIRPERSON COMRIE: 15 is Rosemary DiRao [phonetic], Joyce Beaudoin, Lorraine Sciulli, and Christina Wilkinson. 16 17 ROSEMARY DIRAO: Shall I start? Good afternoon members of the Council. 18 I had a 19 speech prepared but obviously I'm going to have to 20 change that based on comments that I heard today, 21 both by the Board Education, the SCA and obviously 22 a representative of the parents. Number one, the 23 School Construction said that this site was 24 proposed by the residents of Maspeth. It was not 25 proposed by the residents of Maspeth. It was

to the school, it's where the school is located.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

16

18

19

20

21

23

25

I have to make that very clear. The site of the 2 school, by the way Helen, is just like IS 5 and PS 7, the same kind of situation. It is an industrial area but it's not a bad industrial area, as is Saint Saviour's. It's the same kind of siting. Also, the traffic from PS 58. School Construction Authority said they were going to work with the community and make it safe because Grand Avenue gets more than 5,000 trucks a day. They never put a cutout there. Now Grand 12 Avenue is closed down to one lane of traffic when school is let out. It's so congested they had to stagger the hours of the dismissals from PS 58 and 14 15 IS 73 because the parents from 58 were complaining. As a result, seniors who want to 17 shop on the avenue can't go shopping on the avenue for half the afternoon because now we have staggered hours of dismissal. Is that fair to the merchants or the residents? I don't think so. don't think the location and the community doesn't 22 think the location is good because there's two schools right there. For IS 73, they even have 24 after school programs. Kids are getting on that bus, the Q58, and Helen I know you know it well,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

at 7 o'clock at night. You could look at that bus at 2 o'clock in the morning and there's standing room only. I agree people should go to school everywhere. We have a high school in Maspeth and it's called Martin Luther High School. private school but kids from all over the city get there. And I'm going to tell you, it's not an easy trek to get there at all. I agree with the fact that we're on a local stop on the subway. We're at least a bus ride away. It's not centrally located. I just feel that it's going to be too congested putting that many children together. I'm not going to say that they're beasts or what everyone said. But when you put a whole group of kids together that's when trouble starts. Kids get run over by cars double parking. It's happened in other cases and I really don't

CHAIRPERSON COMRIE: I just want to tell the photographer. This meeting started late. I was just apologizing to the Commissioner for the Economic Development Corp. You decide to take a picture of me when I'm apologizing for a meeting that I missed because I'm chairing a hearing I'm

want it happening here. Thank you.

MALE VOICE: I'm with the Forum

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, SITING & MARITIME USE\$31
West [phonetic].

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON COMRIE: I'm going to call your editor later because I think I'm trying to do the right thing for everybody here and you deliberately took that picture the one time I use the phone. I want to make it clear to the audience here. I'm not trying to be disrespectful but I'm missing four meetings that I scheduled today filling in as chair for somebody else. The next person.

JOYCE BEAUDOIN: Good afternoon. My name is Joyce Beaudoin and I'm a member of the Juniper Park Civic Association and a resident of Middle Village. I do oppose this school for many reasons. One of them is something that hasn't been brought up. This community is susceptible to This school would certainly add to the flooding. problems of the community. It would overburden it. Transportation has already been noted. I am a senior now and I do find that I cannot go down to this community during school hours in the morning or when the children are released. traffic is horrendous. I have missed a doctor's appointment because of traffic being so bad.

subcommittee on Landmarks, siting & maritime used32

truly believe that we do need schools but we do

need them in a different portion of this District

4 24 where transportation is more accessible for the

children. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON COMRIE: Please say your name for the record.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

LORRAINE SCIULLI: Good afternoon ladies and gentleman. My name is Lorraine Sciulli. I'm the Vice President of the Juniper Park Civic Association. I'm also a member of Community Board 5. I was one of the seven who voted against the resolution on Community Board 5 when they passed the resolution with the six caveats for permitting the school. I have some prepared testimony and the clock is ticking. First of all, let me state clearly, we know we need to educate our children. It's the choice of school sites that is wreaking havoc on local once quiet neighborhoods like Maspeth. First and foremost, this proposed high school would be the third school built within a three to four block area of Maspeth. That would put roughly 4,000 students and faculty on the local streets at arrival and dismissal times. That will have a

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

total negative impact on the residents' quality of life. The commercial strip of Grand Avenue would also take a big hit because when there are 4,000 kids roaming the streets, nobody is shopping in the stores. The Maspeth Chamber of Commerce is also against the building of this school at this site for that very reason. There is no parking accommodation for faculty and older students. drivers will roam the streets looking for parking, inevitably blocking homeowners' driveways and creating traffic jams. We were told by the School Construction Authority that they don't factor parking into any school plans, yet we read recently that Fresh Meadows Councilman James Gennaro of Council District 24 managed to get parking accommodations for a school being built in his district. So much for honesty by the School Construction Authority. They lie like rugs. was recently stated at Community Board 5 meeting on March 11th. There is inadequate transportation to and out of the area because of the LIE to the south and a huge Stop & Shop parking lot to the north. With no drop-off lane accommodations, school buses will prowl the area reeking their own

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

havoc on residents and passersby. Again, making maneuvering in Maspeth a nightmare. The School would put an added burden on 104th Precinct which you haven't heard anything about. It's already overburdened with too little police to do the job right now. Here's an interesting stat. Maspeth, 70% of the residents do not even have kids in the public school. The surrounding areas have the larger population in need of schools. commonsense would be why not build the schools in the area where the need is greater rather than overburdening Maspeth with more cars, buses and students. The DOE leads parents in Maspeth to believe that this school will be for their kids when we know that's not the reality. There are no locally zoned schools in District 24 and this proposed school in Maspeth would be no different. It will no doubt be a theme school, thus open to all the kids in New York City and not locally zoned for the children in Maspeth. That begs the question why would the small town of Maspeth with its own fragile infrastructure continue to pack in more schools and students. Parents of school children should do their own homework, get

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

themselves educated and get the facts as they

exist rather than just listening and being duped

by groups like the UFT and the PTA that have their 5

own agenda. I have more but my time is up.

Thank you. CHAIRPERSON COMRIE:

The next person?

CHRISTINA WILKINSON: My name is Christina Wilkinson. I'm the secretary of the Juniper Park Civic Association. I have been a lifelong Maspeth resident, which is going on 36 years now. I'm testifying also against the high school, mainly because of the transportation situation. As you've heard already, the transportation is already overburdened with students expected to commute from all over Queens and perhaps the entire city to attend this school. The Q58 bus line is really the only option they have to get to this site from the subway which is located about a mile away. The Q58 moves an average of 6.9 miles per hour and that is a stat from Transportation Alternatives who measure the slowness of the buses. In fact, I believe for a few years running now, the Q58 is the slowest bus in Queens. The Q58 is actually already packed

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

before it even leaves its first stop at Main Street in Flushing or in Ridgewood. So in either direction it's packed. I've commuted via this bus for my entire life. When you transfer from the subway at Grand Avenue, Newtown, which is how these students will get to the school, you're forced to allow multiple buses to pass because of the extreme overcrowding that occurs on this line at a regular basis at all times of day and night. The line can't handle the passengers that it has now. Imagine adding 1,000 students to that situation, all commuting mostly during rush hours. Many times I have walked home from the subway and beat the bus to my house. That's how slow it is. I live more than a mile away from the subway. I also wanted to go back to the list that you had brought up about what was photographed at the eminent domain hearing. There is a proposed alternate site in Woodside at Northern Boulevard which is right next to the subway. It has express buses and local buses. It would be accessible to kids from all over Queens and from all over the city. Why they would prefer to put it in our community which is not served by subways or

1 express buses and really only one viable option 2 3 for a local bus really boggles the mind. have it listed that they're still looking at it. That it's a viable option. They listed two other 5 sites which already have schools built on them, 6 but they listed those as possible alternatives 7 which just shows how they do lie to you. They lie 8 to the community. First they didn't want to show 9 10 us the list. Then when we got the list, it showed 11 all these things that they never brought up. 12 13 14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

comment.

really terrible that they would do this; that they would like to us like this and keep these sites from us. They didn't let us know that they exist and then siting it here when there's so much opposition. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON COMRIE: Thank you panel. Council Member Sears would like to make a

COUNCIL MEMBER SEARS: I just want to make a comment because I know them. worked with them for a long time. I really want to thank you for being here. I don't even serve on this subcommittee and I've been here all day. I serve on Land Use, but it's such an important

spell your name? You're handwriting challenged

like I am. The next person?

24

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JOHN KILLCOMMONS: My name is John Killcommons. I'm on the executive board of the Juniper Park Civic Association. I don't want to go into length about what everybody already said, but this is a commonsense issue. I mean if you ever go over there and you see the traffic and what goes on in that area with two schools and with the closing of St. John's Hospital and now our only route to Elmhurst General is down Grand Avenue. Us people that are senior citizens are a little bit concerned about getting out of the area in the morning and at nighttime. The other thing that kind of bothered me, I'm a retired New York City fireman, 32 years. My wife was a retired teacher from IS 73. We've been in the city 75. Born and raised in that area. I got offended by somebody calling me a senior citizen because I feel personally that me and the generation before me are the backbone of that neighborhood. thought after Barack Obama got elected we got past that racism business. That's the first bit of racism I heard from Councilman Barron since he got elected. And I feel he owes me an apology. Thank you.

the public, to the residents, to the business

owners and to the citizens of New York that it

24

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, SITING & MARITIME USE \$41 certainly is not needed. Pretty simply put it's 2 trying to put 10 pounds into a 5 pound bag. 3 4 There's no room for another school. There is a need for a school, however, that location is 5 certainly not the correct location. My last point 6 7 is the eminent domain. As a business owner, as a 8 property owner, I would hate to think that as hard as we have to work in the businesses because of 9 10 the locations to be picked by the people of the city that sometime down the road or in the future 11 12 that somebody might come along and ask me to get 13 out of the place that I worked so hard in and that I'm proud of and that I provide for our family, 14 15 for our neighbors, and for our residents. If it 16 can happen to the people that own the property 17 down on 74th Street and 57th Avenue, I'm pretty sure it can happen to me and my family as well. 18 19 I'd like for you to all consider that as another 20 reason to consider a different location than the 21 area that we are talking about today. Thank you 22 very much. 23 ROBERT DOOCEY: My name is Bob

ROBERT DOOCEY: My name is Bob

Doocey. I live in Middle Village. I'm with the

Juniper Park Civic Association. I've lived on my

24

people came here and they took essentially 150%

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

capacity in existing Queens schools. What makes them think that we're to believe that this school won't become 150% capacity too? Probably from the day it opens its doors. They don't know what they're doing and they demonstrate it and they're arrogant about it. Why didn't they come here with a plan B? They knew there was opposition and yet they had nothing. When each of you asked why that site was chosen and other sites were ignored, they had no answer because they don't want an answer. They picked the site several years ago. That's it. Hands on hips, stamp the floor, they're going to have that site. We say no. Matt here invited you all to come to Grand Avenue. That's essentially what I'm doing here with this, is come to this proposed location. Look at the traffic. The kids are fine, but kids age 13, 14, 15 are not really very bright when it comes to traffic. will run into traffic doing horseplay, throwing snowballs, escaping whatever they escape from. It's a dangerous location. One of the guys said \$80 million, well do you want to pay \$80 to kill a few kids. He said it's a zero sum game and kept on repeating this management phrase. Zero sum is

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, SITING & MARITIME USE \$\,44

when a kid gets run over by a truck because a truck driver can't see them. The neighborhood is filled with trucks.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON COMRIE: Robert?

COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: I want to thank you all for coming in and participating in the democratic process because clearly as residents of the community in Queens where you live, you're coming out and speaking your opinions and your thoughts based on your life experience and how you feel about the proposed school siting. That is democracy. So welcome to the City Council. When I was listening to all of you testify a question came to my mind. I truly believe you when you say about the congestion of the traffic there. I've been in that area on a visit here or there when the former Council Member Dennis Gallagher was a Council Member and also one of my great nephews goes to school in District 24 and his mother was on the CEC. So I'm a little bit familiar. I'm also familiar that overall in the City of New York for years District 24 has been the most overcrowded district in the City of New York as far as school districts are concerned.

school.

Maspeth Chamber, they feel that the site proposed

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

25

for the new high school would serve Maspeth business community better if it was kept for commercial use and business use. commercially zoned property could be developed into a strip shopping center, a staple type store, or middle income housing with retail stores on the first floor. If developed in this manner, new jobs will be created and affordable housing would become available. The tax base would be preserved and most likely increase. If a high school is built, the tax revenue will be lost forever, along with an opportunity to add businesses and jobs to 14 the Maspeth community. There are many areas of 15 concern to address with bringing another school into Maspeth, especially a high school with over 1,000 teenagers. Some of these areas of concern traffic, which on Grand Avenue is heavily are: congested now; transportation, people going to work are lucky to get on a bus during rush hour; and parking in Maspeth is a disaster, an absolute 22 Where are the new 100 or so teachers or disaster. support staff going to park their cars? The Department of Education has called this Maspeth 24 site a gold site for a new high school. For them

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

this is mostly a true evaluation. But for the stable middle income, blue collar, low crime community of Maspeth, this bringing of this high school to an already school saturated neighborhood is a potential disaster. Everyone agrees both for and against the school that additional classrooms are needed for an increasing school age population. However, Maspeth has done more than its share in providing sites for schools. will make three major schools in a four-block radius. It's just too much. The Maspeth Chamber of Commerce would like to propose the now recently closed St. John's Hospital site, which is only a short distance away on Queens Boulevard as an alternate placement for this high school. this looks like a platinum site. We are asking you to consider this property and its many Thank you. advantages.

CAROL ANASTACIAN: My name is Carol Anastacian. I've lived in Middle Village for 32 years. I find that building a high school next to an elementary school is a dangerous situation because remember that a lot of high school seniors already are driving and they are inexperienced and

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, SITING & MARITIME USE \$150 they're bullying and they try to outdo their 2 3 friends. They zoom down the streets. Right next 4 to an elementary school is very dangerous and I don't think we should consider anything like that. 5 I also agree here with this gentleman that St. 6 7 John's is a very good place because the trains all 8 go right there. The buses are there and you have the façade already up. You don't have to spend 9 10 money building a school. I think that would be the ideal situation. 11 Thank you. 12 MANUEL CARUANA: I'd like to begin 13 by inviting Council Barron to my neighborhood. I'll take him around and introduce him to the 14 15 diversity that exists in Maspeth. Then maybe, 16 just maybe, he'll be able to get his head out of. 17 I was going to say something else, but I'll say the 1950s. I have Latinos, I have African 18 19 Americans living on my block. This man has 20 obviously never been to Maspeth. I take great 21 offense at him making the statements that he made. 22 Playing the race card just doesn't go in 2009. 23 Shame on you, Councilman Barron. Now, I'll

continue. I have to apologize. I have to read

from these prepared notes. I'm sorry. My name is

24

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Manuel Caruana. I am a member of the Executive Board of Community Board 5. By the way, also the proud grandfather of a Korean grandson. for Councilman Barron to know also. The education of our children shouldn't be muddled by special interest groups such as the School Construction Authority and the United Federation of Teachers. We've been lied to by the School Construction Authority in so many instances that it's become a game. We're sensitive to it because they've already messed us up with one school. Thev promised us the world and delivered nothing. So you'll have to forgive us. We're very upset. know what's going to happen here. First of all, they started out with this 1,600 number. 1,600 number was put out there to bargain down to 1,100 and we knew this all along. That number will be in excess of 2,000 by the time this is done in a community that is crisscrossed by railroads, highways and trains. You just can't get around. Response time by our local precinct is horrendous. That's because they've got to go around cemeteries. They've got to go around railroads. There are going to be night programs

person?

at the school. They've got to provide police protection for the school. That's going to take patrols off our streets. Now, this is absolutely ridiculous that this is continuing. I'm jumping ahead quite a bit. I'd just like to point out one very important factor. They dumped a lot of money into this proposed high school long before the people who sit on the City Council said yes or no to this place. Thousands and thousands of our taxpayer dollars. What does that tell you about what they think of you? What respect are they giving this Council if they've done this before you say yes or no? Thank you for listening.

CHAIRPERSON COMRIE: The next

LINDA DIQUARO: Hi. My name is

Linda DiQuaro. I am a homeowner plus a resident
of Maspeth. I'm not part of any civic groups.

I'm just a single mom trying to raise two boys.

Several years back I was a parent that opposed PS

58. I went around and got signatures opposing the site and that woman that sat here, Marge, she was for the school. She was with the Teacher's Union.

She had Claire Schulman walk in, demanding that

she was going to use eminent domain and take what 2 3 other site she wanted. I wasn't able to speak. Ι 4 was the last speak. Most of the Council had already left. I was appalled. They got the 5 Everything the School Authority promised 6 7 our community was a lie. They said there'd be 8 cutouts that the buses could pull in and then pull out and that it would not interfere with Grand 9 10 Avenue and 57th Avenue. On 57th Avenue we have 11 triple parking now with parents dropping off their 12 kids at 7:30 in the morning for the free breakfast. Grand Avenue is a mess with all the 13 14 school buses plus the parents dropping the kids 15 They're not supposed to be in those spots. off. They stop traffic on both sides because once that 16 17 little sign goes out to stop, you cannot move your 18 It's against the law. My son is going to car. 19 high school next year. He will get on a bus and 20 travel two busses to get to Molloy. That was his 21 choice and as a parent I'm going to pay for it. 22 That's my choice in life. He did go for public 23 schools. He was accepted at Aviation High School but he chose that he'd rather go to Molloy. So 24 25 again, it's my responsibility to send him there.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

This woman said that she pays taxes, she pays towards the school tax. That's not true. She lives in the Big Six. She does not own any property and pay into school tax. She sat here and lied saying that the community wants the school. That's not true. We don't want this school. The majority does not want this school. I ask you please to come to Maspeth at 7:30 in the morning. I'll put out coffee and I'll have muffins for you. Walk up to the corner where I live. I live right around the corner from 58. You will see the teachers cutting through the Laundromat illegally, almost hitting me and my son when he attended 58. I asked the teacher what they were doing. It's stated right there do not make a left-hand turn and do not enter. She said she was sorry. So if you would have ran me over with my son, you'd be saying sorry to my husband. I called up the school principal on it. teachers fly up the street because they want that perfect spot because they do not want to walk. Gary from Community Board 5 said there was plenty of parking down on the other end of 74th Street. Absolutely not. It is already flooded with the

```
1
         SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, SITING & MARITIME USE$155
      teachers from 58 and 73. We do not have the
 2
 3
      parking and it's laughable that they keep saying
 4
      Stop & Shop. Stop & Shop does not want the
 5
      teachers. They didn't want it when 58 was built.
      They don't want it for the high school. So I
 6
 7
      think everyone should stop lying. You're not a
 8
      taxpayer. You're for this school. It's laughable
 9
      because Marge's kid won't even be entitled to go
10
      to the school. So why are you pushing something
11
      in our community that we don't want? That's all I
12
      have to say.
13
                     CHAIRPERSON COMRIE:
                                           Thank you.
      What's the Big Six?
14
15
                     COUNCIL MEMBER SEARS:
                                             It's a
16
      Mitchell-Lama housing complex on Queens Boulevard.
17
                     JAMES O'KANE:
                                    It's basically a
      closed gated community.
18
19
                     CHAIRPERSON COMRIE:
                                           Thank you.
20
      Thank you panel for being here. The last panel is
21
      Rosalie Greer [phonetic], Theresa Riley and Deiter
22
      Keppler. Was there anyone else that wanted to
23
      speak that didn't fill out a card?
24
                     ROSALIE GREER: I'm here to
25
      represent my father, Larry Forgioni [phonetic].
```

I'm Rosalie Greer. I guess we've lived in Maspeth 2 3 the longest, 58 years. I was three when we moved 4 there. I went to 73, I went to 102 and I went to Grover Cleveland. We live directly behind PS 58. 5 When PS 58 was built I was for it. What a 6 mistake. But I have to live with it because it's 7 8 my car locked in my driveway. My car on the 9 street where I can't get out. When I did work, I 10 couldn't get to work on time. My company was very understanding about that. Let me see, Verizon 11 12 does not allow lateness. Teachers would block my 13 driveway. I had to go into the school several 14 times and get them to come out so I could get my 15 car out. But that's my problem. That's something 16 the City Council is not going to worry about. 17 Everybody else said basically what I would like to say. So it's no sense going over it. My father 18 19 is a community leader. A lot of people here would know Larry Forgioni. He's in every organization 20 21 fighting for the area and for America. He's 22 always been a firm American even though he came 23 from Italy. Our house has two front doors. One is a one-family. The other side he rents to three 24 25 families. I was very offended by Councilman

many attending schools and so many buses

transporting there and no parking spaces for

parking cars. He wants to know what's going to

23

24

your mother.

happen when there's another school. It'll be more dangerous. He would have been here today but there was a problem in one of the apartments and he had to be there so he wrote me a letter. But that's basically what he says. I'm glad to see so many of you listening the whole time. I thank you for at least giving us this chance. Say hello to

THERESA RILEY: Hi, I'm Theresa
Riley. I'm on the Juniper Civic Board. I'm not
opposed to a high school. I would love to see it,
but not in that area. There's too much
congestion. It wouldn't make it. I couldn't
drive in that area now and you can't walk in that
area. For the woman that said we were senior
citizens, we have grandchildren, so we do care
about our children. I am also offended by that
man that pulled the race card. That's it. Thank
you.

DEITER KEPPLER: Good afternoon.

Thank you very much for inviting us all here. My name is Deiter Keppler. I'm also a member of the Juniper Park Civic Association. I've lived in Middle Village for 16 years on 76th Street. I

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: Thank you,

Mr. Chair. Let me thank you as the acting chair

of this Subcommittee on Landmarks, Siting and 2 3 Maritime Uses. Thank you for pitching in on behalf of our colleague from Manhattan, Jessica Lappin. Obviously you have stayed the course in 5 order to make sure that everyone had the 6 7 opportunity to be heard. I am so happy that 8 during part of this Subcommittee hearing that I had the opportunity to listen to what people had 9 10 to say. Unfortunately I was here when our colleague, Council Member Barron, spoke his 11 12 opinions which obviously offended many people in the audience. As you have said, Mr. Chair, if 13 someone said this is the first time they've heard 14 15 him make disparaging remarks about race and other people, this is not the first time. I say to all 16 17 of you that as a member of Land Use and as a member of the City Council and as the Chair of the 18 19 Education Committee, as a Co-chair of the Black, Latino and Asian Caucus, which 25 members of the 20 21 City Council out of 51 are members of the Black, 22 Latino and Asian Caucus, I respect your community. 23 I respect your opinions. I appreciate the fact 24 that all of you have taken time our your personal 25 lives in order to come represent yourselves as

CHAIRPERSON COMRIE: We've got a

pitching in for our colleague Jessica Lappin.

24

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

today.

lot of members that want to make closing

statements. Council Member Crowley, then Council

Member Arroyo, then Council Member Sears and then

maybe you guys will let me say a word sometime

COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: Chair, you've done a great job today and I thank you very I appreciate, Robert, your remarks and my much. fellow colleagues, Carmen Arroyo and Helen Sears and those that were here earlier. Your concerns are real concerns. I share your concerns. МУ father was born in a house in Maspeth over 76 years ago. My family has been a part of the community ever since. My parents bought me my first bike on Grand Avenue. I know it is a vibrant business district and it needs to stay that way. Along with Tony Nunziato earlier today, I'm working with the Department of Transportation to try to eliminate the number of buses that come down Grand Avenue in support of the Maspeth bypass I also have brought the concerns about plan. transportation to the Department of Education. I'm hoping that they understand those concerns and that they work with us to find solutions. Earlier

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, SITING & MARITIME USE \$163 2 one of the speakers suggested the site at St. 3 John's, the former hospital on Queens Boulevard. Unfortunately we saw that hospital close only a few weeks ago and the state not step in like we 5 would have liked them to do. But it is our hope 6 7 as a body and those here that represent parts of 8 Queens that one day soon a new hospital will be at that location. So that is what I'm going to 9 10 support for the future of that location. I really appreciate everybody coming out today. I will 11 12 work with you to try to find the best solution 13 here. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON COMRIE: Council Member 14 15 Arroyo and then Council Member Sears. 16 COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: Thank you, 17 Mr. Chair. I'll be brief. I just want to echo my earlier comments with regards to the concerns and 18 19 how hard Council Member Crowley has engaged us in 20 a discussion around her concerns which represent the community. I think what's important that in 21 22 our positions we are often called upon to make

some tough choices and to balance out competing

values and that we do so very deliberately and

very carefully. Certainly from my perspective,

23

24

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER SEARS: First, I

want to say that I have known the community of Maspeth for many years. I don't think I have ever known them to discriminate and to be racist. think that that has to be said. You know, we have to be very careful because we can have differences of opinions but we have to be careful that we don't label people. I think that from here where we sit as members of the Council we have to be very certain that we don't. Some may have some of their own opinions, but the fact of the matter is I don't think there is any community in western Queens that we can call as a segregated area. It's the most diverse portion of the country. I really want to thank each and every one of you for being here because you've stayed a long time. You've expressed how you feel. We also have to be careful that when people care about their communities and are prepared to sit here all afternoon that we don't label it except that they care about the growth of their community, how they sustain it and that New York City is a city of communities and that's very unique anywhere in the world. It's a city of communities. We have to be

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

careful that we don't label people because they are fighting for their communities. I think, as our colleague Jackson said, we're 51 members in this Council. We have 51 members of different religions, different ethnic groups, different colors of skin. We also have different opinions. I shouldn't say opinions; we all interpret things in a way that is reasonable and rationale to those who are doing it. It's not easy. Councilwoman Crowley needs to bridge that gap and she's been doing it. That means that in bridging that gap and bringing 51 members together is not an easy We represent the entire City of New York. 14 task. When we sit in these seats we're representing our districts but at the same time we represent the city as a whole. So hopefully in the timeframe that we have, we'll be able to work out some of the differences and at least come to some compatible agreement. It may not be to everybody's choosing and I think you have to know But it certainly will be something that I hope that is acceptable to everybody. In a city like New York City sometimes we have to just bend 24 a little bit into what is right. We consider

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, SITING & MARITIME USE\$67 traffic, we consider the closeness, overcrowdedness, and we have to take all that into consideration. So thank you very much for being

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

here.

CHAIRPERSON COMRIE: I want to thank all of you for coming to the hearing and expressing your opinions. I want to thank the staff for getting me up to speed on the nuances, although I knew the general issue. Because Councilwoman Crowley has been talking to me about this project almost since the day after she got elected. This is an issue that she has taken on that she embraced right away. She knew it was a critical issue for her community and it's something I have to agree with Councilwoman Arroyo, she has championed and has gotten some unprecedented givebacks from the city and DOE already. You've heard from the DOE about their reluctance to do local zoning. I am a parent of two children in public school. I have a 14-yearold that just started high school. She doesn't like her high school. She wants to transfer because her high school is not focused on what she thought it would be. I have an 11-year-old that's

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in junior high school that's looking for a high school. I have to tell you that representing Southeast Queens where most of the kids that don't like their zoned high schools that are stuck want other options. It's difficult for me to deal with the policy of zoned high schools and locally zoned high schools. That's a difficult issue for me because it's an unfair burden on my community. It's an unfair burden on the children of Queens to have to deal with choices that they don't want as opposed to being able to have true choice. It's an unfair burden on children that want to be able to have an equal educational experience to be forced to pick a school in an area that truly does not have all the facilities or capabilities to provide them with the education they want. I have to say, I've heard the testimony from everyone today. I think it was some very compelling testimony regarding the issues of traffic, regarding the issues of the buses, the fact that there is no subway or express bus in that area. There are issues of transportation and access. There are issues of flooding. There are other sites that would be even more acceptable for the

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

understand building an 1,100 seat school anyway when we're under capacity in the borough. gives me a lot to think about. I think that we have to sit back an take some good looks at this situation. I think that Council Member Crowley has been a real active voice and a real participant in this process. I think it gives the Committee a lot to talk about over the next day and a half while we think about what we need to Turning down a high school application you are putting the Department of Education in probably a two-year freefall to find another site, according to them. But I don't believe that that could happen in this case since we have other sites. So I'm just trying to lay out the whole opinion from everything that I've heard articulated. I think that you should all be proud of yourselves for coming down and articulating your positions passionately. I think that you should be proud of Council Member Crowley for trying to do this in a compassionate and articulate way and trying to solve all of the

needs of a very diverse and a very articulate 2 3 community. I'm kind of upset because I've never 4 been invited to Juniper Park Civic Association for a meeting yet. I keep seeing these fancy 5 newsletters and everything else. I'm going to 6 7 have to come there one day. I have relatives 8 actually that live in Maspeth and live in Glendale. I don't visit them enough. But that's 9 10 because I'm busy serving my community. fortunately tolerate that I don't visit them that 11 12 often. I have to tell you that I've been on Grand Avenue many times. I understand the congestion 13 issues. I understand the issues of children 14 15 walking. I frankly try to pick up my son or my 16 wife tries to pick up my son. My daughter has 17 just started taking the bus and I'm still having issues about that. So clearly there's a lot for 18 19 us to think about as we make these decisions. 20 hope that you will work with your Council Member 21 as she tries to do as much as she can to create 22 the best deal for her community. With that, I'm 23 going to declare the hearing closed. I want to thank the Land Use staff and remind people that 24 25 Jessica Lappin never paid me for the last time

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, SITING & MARITIME USE \$171 1 that I chaired a hearing which lasted four hours. 2 This hearing is adjourned. We have Land Use at 10 3 and the Subcommittee at 9:30 on Thursday. Thank 4 5 you.

I, Donna Hintze certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

Signature	Elma dente	
DateApril 23	, 2009	_