CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS

----- X

October 22, 2018 Start: 1:19 p.m. Recess: 9:09 p.m.

HELD AT: Council Chambers - City Hall

B E F O R E: Corey Johnson

Speaker

Mark Gjonaj Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Diana Ayala
Stephen T. Levin
Bill Perkins
Carlina Rivera
Ydanis Rodriguez
Margaret S. Chin
Brad S. Lander
Kalman Yeger
Keith Powers
Ben Kallos
Andy Cohen

Gregg Bishop Commissioner of Small Business Services

Gale Brewer Manhattan Borough President

Richard Gottfried New York Assembly Member

Ruth Messinger Borough President

Brad Hoylman New York State Senator

David Eisenbach

Elvis Silverio National Restaurant Bar and Lounge Association

James Klein Friends of SBJSA Group

Larisa Ortiz City Planning Commissioner

Jessica Lappin
President of Alliance for Downtown New York

Jessica Walker President of Manhattan Chamber of Commerce

Julian Hill Community Development Project

Lena Afridi Director of Economic Policy

Kirsten Theodos

Nelson Eusebio National Supermarket Association

Laura Sewell
East Village Community Coalition

Harry Bubbins Greenwich Village Society for Historic Preservation

Justin Levinson Vacant New York

Griffin Hansbury Author Jeremiah Moss

John Banks
President of Real Estate Board of New York

Steve Soutendijk Cushman and Wakefield

Robin Abrams Vice Chair at Compass Homes

Joanne Podell Cushman and Wakefield

Jim Watt Lee and Associates

Bill Montana Commercial Real Estate Broker

Lordes Comatcho [sp?]

Marlene Cintron
Bronx Overall Economic Development Corporation

Bill Borock Save Chelsea

Ray Rogers Corporate Campaign

Quenia Abreu
President of New York Women's Chamber of
Commerce

Frank Garcia Chair of National Association of Latino State Chambers

Mary Ann Rothman
Executive Director of Council of New York Coops
and Condos

Darcey Gerstein
Board of Directors of Seward Park Coop

Joseph Rosenberg Catholic Community Relations Council

Rob Brynes East Midtown Partnership

Michael Brady
Third Avenue Business Improvement District

David Cohen 32BJ SEIU

Mark Caserta Park Slope 5th Avenue BID

Olympia Kazi NYC Artist Coalition

Judy Richheimer Government Relations Committee for the Guides Association of NYC

Robert Benfatto NYC BID Association

Jamie Burkart NYC Artist Coalition

Areeb Kahn
Community Development Clinic Brooklyn Law School

Juliana Milandro Community Development Clinic Brooklyn Law School

Nikki Ledger

Brandon Sullivan Author

Steven Kirkpatrick NYC Bar Association Real Property Law

Patricia Dorfman Clean Streets for All

Lauren Gardner School for Poetic Competition

Brent O'Leary

Laura Travers
Small Business Owner

Manny Gomez Sunnyside Chamber of Commerce

Ayisha Oglivie Community Board 12 Manhattan

David Mulkins Bowery Alliance for Neighbors

Nick Yoga studio owner

Christian Emanuel

Helene Storgen [sp?]
Clayworks Pottery

Pamela Dayton

1

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Alright, good afternoon. I'm Councilman Mark Gjonaj, Chair of the Small Business, and this hearing is about to begin. I pass it to my colleague and Speaker, Corey Johnson, who will open up today's hearing.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Thank you very much, Chair Gjonaj. Good afternoon. I apologize. quite under the weather today. I have been for five days, but I wanted to be here for this important hearing. We're here today to address the crisis that has deeply affected me and New Yorkers all across our city. Long-time small businesses are closing at an alarming rate leaving our neighborhoods pock-marked with vacant store fronts, bodegas, laundromats, bakeries, book stores, restaurants, shoe repair shops. When these mom and pop shops go, our neighborhoods lose the essential services and products they provide. Our neighborhood lose the jobs they provide, and perhaps most importantly, when a long-time small business is shuttered, we lose a piece of New York City. I can't imagine a New York without its mom and pop shops. I don't want to. To know your corner bodega owner is to know someone who will hold your keys, receive your packages, and ask

2	how you've been. I personally don't have a door man
3	I don't need one. I have L&M Deli right on my corne
4	at 15 th Street and Seventh Avenue, but we keep losing
5	places like L&M. CVSs, Starbucks, I'm not
6	disparaging them, but they don't hold our keys. They
7	don't sign for our packages. We don't know their
8	owners. They aren't why people visit New York and
9	why people want to live here. I want to make clear
10	that I know that this is a complicated issue. Owning
11	a business is hard and many fail. That's not new.
12	know the internet is disrupting retail in
13	unprecedented ways, and costs are up across the
14	board. But there are successful profitable mom and
15	pop businesses who are willing and able to pay market
16	rent, but still they're being kicked out. Tortilla
17	Flats in the West Village just announced in the last
18	two days always packed, very popular restaurant.
19	They couldn't reach a deal with their landlord.
20	They've been there for over 30 years, and they are
21	now closing this weekend on October 27 th . Clayworks
22	Pottery in the East Village kicked out of its spot
23	after 44 years in business. Lenox Lounge in Harlem,
24	an art-deco treasure, Billie Holiday and Miles Davis

played there, priced out. Its building is being

1 COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS 2 demolished, and it's now going to be a Sephora. Northshore Hardware in Littleneck, after 70 years 3 they were given only one month to vacate, one month 4 after 70 years. Cup and Saucer in Chinatown, just 5 one of many, many diners that we've lost in recent 6 7 years across New York City. and the Associated Supermarket on 14th Street and Eighth Avenue, one of 8 the last affordable supermarkets in the village, it 9 closed two years ago after an exorbitant rent hike, 10 tripling their rent, and that storefront is still 11 12 sitting vacant today. The list goes on. Our city 13 right now has some really tough problems. One in 10 14 public school kids has lived in temporary housing in 15 the last year. Our public housing is crumbling and 16 falling apart, and so are our subways. So, yes, our plate is full, but this has to be one of our top 17 18 priorities if we want to maintain the city as we know If this isn't a priority, we'll lose the 19 20 vibrancy of our neighborhoods and New York begins to look more and more like any other city, hum-drum, 21 2.2 cookie-cutter, and boring. We can't let that happen. 23 We choose not to live in a city of corporate

24

franchises. Today, we're here to evaluate the Small

Business Job Survival Act on its merits to hear from 25

stakeholders and experts and to give this bill the fair hearing that it deserves. Former Council Member and Borough President Ruth Messinger, who is here today— thank you, Ruth for being here— introduced it more than 30 years ago. That is a long time. A lot has changed since then, but what hasn't changed are the fundamentals. What hasn't changed is our commitment to small businesses that define New York City. So, I want to thank everyone for being here, and I look forward to your testimony today. Thank you very much, Chair Gjonaj.

[applause]

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: You can use our silent

16 hands.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you, Speaker

Johnson, for that very articulate and carefully

thought out statement. So, good afternoon. I'm

Councilman Mark Gjonaj, Chair of the Committee on

Small Business, and I'd like to thank you for joining

us as we hold a hearing on Intro 737A, the Small

Business Job Survival Act. Too many small business

owners are struggling just to keep their doors open.

I would even say that they're under assault from

2	rising costs of businesses, coping with government
3	bureaucracy, and a seemingly unmanageable maze of
4	regulatory oversight, increase in taxes, consumer
5	behavior changes, and the rise of the internet
6	shopping, competition from big box stores, just to
7	name a few. Under these conditions, 50 percent of
8	businesses don't make it to year five, and that's a
9	national figure, which in most cases is before lease
10	renewals are considered. The reality is most
11	businesses do not have the luxury of thinking about a
12	lease renewal. If we want to do more to help small
13	businesses survive, we need to do more to create an
14	environment that allows mom and pop shops to
15	flourish, because they are truly the backbone of our
16	city's economy and the tax base. When these
17	businesses are not able to survive, we lose our
18	greatest source of jobs and tax revenue. Eighty
19	percent of our workforce comes from microbusinesses
20	that have less than 10 employees. In some form or
21	another, this bill has languished around City Hall
22	for over three decades. That's older than some of my
23	colleagues, and looking around this room, older than
24	some of the people here, all why business owners

continue to struggle. It is no longer an option to

2	sit idle waiting for things to get better on their
3	own, because they have not and will not. So whether
	_
4	it is this bill, some version of it, an alternative,
5	or a fully comprehensive set of solutions, we as a
6	city must act now. We must be proactive and not
7	reactive. There is too much at stake. Having
8	negotiated hundreds of leases for both tenants and
9	landlords as a real estate broker, as a tenant, and a
10	property manager, I have firsthand knowledge of these
11	issues. My experience in navigating the leasing
12	process for both new contracts and renewals helps me
13	in my current capacity as Council Member and Chair of
14	the Committee as I assist constituents and small
15	businesses throughout the City of New York. As
16	someone who was once a small business owner, I have
17	been on both sides of this lease agreement. I
18	appreciate the struggles that these burdens can place
19	on our owner seeking to meet payroll, balance the
20	books, meet the needs of our community, and hopefully
21	create a profit to help raise their families.
22	Neighborhood mom and pop shops used to be a long term
23	investment that would allow a family to thrive. Once
24	a business owner was ready for retirement, they were
25	able to sell their business and enjoy the benefits of

their hard work. This doesn't happen as much, if at
all. These are real struggles that not every9one
understands. So, as we seek to review this bill, it
is important to examine and clarify what it actually
does. First, it would grant the tenant the right to
renew his or her lease for a minimum of 10 years, and
would set procedures for negotiating the rent,
including a mutual available option to seek
nonbinding mediation. If mediation does not lead to
an agreement with the landlord, the tenant may then
demand finial and binding arbitration. Second, if
the tenant chooses not to renew the lease after
arbitration, the law would regulate that he or she
may continue to occupy the premises while paying the
rent that is no greater than 10 percent of the
above the average rent that was charged during the
final 12 months of the last rental agreement between
the landlords and tenant. The landlord may then
market the premises to a new tenant. Finally, if the
landlords reaches a lease agreement with a new
tenant, he or she must offer the agreed upon terms to
the existing tenant. In that event, the original
tenant is obligated to vacate the premises only if he
or she declines a new offer. I don't intend on

rocusing on the regal issues that surround the
legislation. I will leave that to the judges and the
attorneys, but as a policy matter, this bill speaks
to the issues of the neighborhood character, which is
a concern for all of us who call this city our home.
The retail sector has seen employment fall for the
last two years and it shed 2,800 jobs in 2017 alone.
Over the last three years, Carnegie Deli, Webster
Hall, FAO Schwartz have all closed, and the number of
chain stores in the City has increased for nine years
running. E-commerce, which has gone from less than
one percent of all sales in the year 2000 to more
than 13 percent in 2017 is another source of pressure
for local small business owners. In preparation for
this hearing I've met with many stakeholders
including business owners, bids, advocates for and
against the bill. Amid the complex and multifaceted
issues, I'm committed to giving this bill a fair
hearing. This hearing is a fact-finding mission, and
I look forward to the testimony from the
Administration, from academics and advocates, all of
whom bring valuable perspectives to the issue of
maintaining neighborhood character and ensuring that
the City provides a level playing field for everyday

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

entrepreneurs who contribute so much to our way of life. I'd like to thank Irene Bihovski [sp?], Counsel to the Committee, Michael Hertz [sp?], Policy Analyst as well as my Chief of Staff, Reggie Johnson, my Budget Analyst, Elir Fundo [sp?], and my Legislative Director Darthan Jimboli [sp?] for the work in making this hearing possible. Finally, I'd like to recognize all of the committee members that have joined us, and most of all, our Speaker, Council Member Ayala, Council Member -- Council Member Rodriguez, Perkins, Rivera, Chin, Ayala, and Lander, Yeger, Kallos, Cohen-- we almost have quorum-- and Powers. So once again, thank you and we look forward to this very informative hearing. Council Member Rodriguez, please.

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Thank you,

Speaker. Thank you, Chair. First, I would like to,

before I say anything, to say thank you Sung Soo Kim

who has been a champion on this bill, and even from

the hospital where he's probably fighting the

toughest fight of his life. He's also been giving

guidance in these conversations. So, thank you to

him and the members of the Small Business Congress in

New York City. Good afternoon. I'm Council Member

2	Ydanis Rodriguez, prime sponsor of this legislation.
3	Together, we're more than 24 Council Members, but at
4	the end of the day today, we will get majority of
5	Council Members signing on this bill, and also the
6	Co-Chair of the Black Latino Nation Caucus, along
7	with Council Member Miller. This bill also received
8	full endorsement of the caucus today. This bill is
9	about immigrants' rights. Fifty percent of local
10	business owners in New York City are owned by
11	immigrants, and the rest run a business that their
12	grandmother/grandfather of whoever was an immigrant
13	50 years ago and started with a dream to take their
14	family to be part of the middle class. I would like
15	to take a moment to thank Speaker Johnson and Chair
16	Gjonaj, but also all the lawyers, especially Newman
17	and Kelly, Chief of Staff of the Council, Jason
18	Goldman, and everyone that play important role for
19	putting this hearing together. This bill is an
20	important first step in improving the small business
21	climate in New York City. Small businesses create
22	most of the jobs in New York City. Approximately 89
23	percent of the 220 businesses in New York City employ
24	fewer than 20 people. So there's no doubt that small
	i

businesses are major contributors to the economy

2	wellbeing of the neighborhood across our city.
3	However, we are now experiencing a crisis within the
4	local business community. As we are facing a crisis
5	in the transportation system and people are fed up
6	[sic] and tired and need answer, the crisis for local
7	small business cannot wait any longer. And so our
8	goal is to create a better process for balancing the
9	need to protect and grow healthy small businesses
10	within with ownership right of property owners,
11	too. We are here to discuss a legislative idea that
12	was originally proposed back in 1988. Thank you,
13	Ruth Messinger, for your leadership and the rest of
14	the colleagues when they introduced the bill in 1988,
15	1990, 1996. We are here to get this bill to cross
16	the finish line. The idea was to bring more clarity
17	to the way that businesses and property owners
18	negotiate lease terms. I believe that the Council
19	must look at this issue because we see blocks of
20	vacant storefronts across our city. Why is that we
21	have overcome the great financial crisis of the 2008,
22	yet we still see so many small businesses closing
23	their doors every day. The Small Business Job
24	Survival Act will encourage all parties, property
25	owners and small business owners to act honestly and

2	to engage fairly when negotiating a commercial lease.
3	The current environment allows many lenders to have
4	almost unlimited powers in negotiating to act, in
5	many cases, in bad faith or to arbitrarily force
6	tenants out, which can permanently injure a small
7	business, its employees, their families, and
8	therefore, the larger community. The Small Business
9	Job Survival Act for the media, editorial board, real
10	estate, let's be clear with this, this is not about
11	commercial rent control. I repeat, it is not about
12	commercial rent control. Rather, it is a bill that
13	gives both parties more clarity and rights in the
14	lease renewal process. This bill is the only one
15	that can establish a better process for commercial
16	lease renewal negotiations, including lease renewal
17	terms, arbitration, triggering [sic] conditions,
18	limits on security deposit, and provision on
19	landlords' retaliation. If anyone has a better plan,
20	please bring it forward. All leases of commercial
21	premises may be renewed and the option of tenants who
22	did not lose their right to renew a lease. Such
23	lease renewals should be for minimal terms of 10
24	years with a rating approval of the lender, a list of

shortened or longer duration may be selected. The

2.2

23

24

25

landlord shall provide notice to the tenants 180 days before the termination of the lease, and the basis of which the lease cannot be extended for the full 10year term. Upon determination of the current tenancy, the property owner shall notify the tenants of his or her decision to reoccupy the commercial premises at least one year prior to determination of the lease. People come to New York City to visit Cat [sic] Deli, McNally Jackson Bookstore, a neighborhood bar or restaurant or a local bodega. They visit the mom and pop store because they represent New York City and the people that live here. Property owners have the benefit of keeping our most population institutions in business as well. It's a win/win situation. As the income inequality gap widens, we must ensure our small and microbusinesses continue to thrive and operate their bodegas, retail shops and bookstore in the City. I want to be clear that although today's hearing is the beginning of the legislative process for this bill, I am committed to developing a solution that will be fair and transparent to all involved. I look forward to listening to all of the parties who want to work towards this goal from the City's major financial

centers to the unions and working people and to their mom and pop businesses that you can find in every corner of New York City, they share their concerns. The goal of the Small Business Job Survival Act is simple, to protect small businesses, address the large numbers of storefront vacancies that hurt communities, give property owners clarity in fair lease negotiations, and create a healthy business environment in New York City. The Job Survival Act is the only real solution to stop the closing of long established, local, small, and microbusinesses and save jobs. [speaking Spanish] Si se puede.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you for that,
Councilman Rodriguez. I now recognize Gregg Bishop,
the Commissioner of Small Business Services for the
City of New York.

UNIDENTIFIED: I want you to raise your right hand. Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in your testimony before this committee and respond honestly to Council Member questions?

COMMISSIONER BISHOP: I do.

UNIDENTIFIED: Thank you.

2.2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER BISHOP:

COMMISSIONER BISHOP: Good afternoon,

Speaker Johnson. Thank you for being here even though you're sick. I recognize that this is an important issue for you, and I thank you for taking the time out. Good afternoon, Chair Gjonaj, for-- of course, thank you for your advocacy, and of course, members of the Committee on Small Business. My name is Gregg Bishop, and I am the Commissioner of the New York City Department of Small Business Services. SBS we aim to unlock economic potential and create economic security for all New Yorkers by connecting them to quality jobs, building stronger businesses and fostering thriving neighborhoods across the five boroughs. Today I will be testifying on Intro 737 and the work SBS has done to support commercial tenants. After my testimony, I'm happy to take your questions. Small businesses are essential to the local economy and character of the neighborhoods in New York City. They provide opportunities and jobs for members of their communities. Small business ownership and entrepreneurship can help uplift generations of families while providing neighbors with goods and services. While we know many businesses face challenges in our competitive market,

1 COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS 2 we want to ensure they have the tools to succeed. address challenges, this Administration has invested 3 4 in several programs to help businesses. Many 5 businesses struggle to adapt to changes in the 6 business environment. The underlying causes of these 7 changes are complex, and vary from neighborhood to neighborhood, corridor to corridor, and property to 8 property. SBS is committed to providing businesses 9 with the tools to succeed and seeks to improve 10 outcomes for businesses in every step of development. 11 12 SBS offers a range of free services to businesses, 13 everything from helping them navigate government to 14 comprehensive business courses, to connecting them to 15 capital. In Fiscal Year 2018, SBS worked with 16 approximately 20,000 small businesses and 17 entrepreneurs throughout the City. SBS also has 18 taken a proactive -- has taken proactive steps to ensure businesses are aware of our services. 19 20 NYC Business Solution Centers in every borough where businesses can access our free services. Through the 2.1 2.2 Council-funded Chamber on the Go initiative, trained 23 business specialists canvas commercial corridors,

connect business owners with our services. Since the 24

25

launch of the program in 2016, Chamber on the Go has

2	reached more than 9,000 businesses. SBS also
3	recently launched a mobile outreach unit as another
4	resource to bring services throughout the five
5	boroughs. In addition, NYC Business is a
6	comprehensive website where business owners can find
7	out about SBS services and relevant information from
8	other city, state, and federal entities. We know
9	that one of several challenges business owners face
10	is navigating the leasing process. To support
11	businesses that are facing issues with their lease,
12	we provide free legal representation through our
13	Commercial Lease Assistance Program. Attorneys help
14	businesses with signing a new commercial lease,
15	amending, renewing, or terminating an existing lease,
16	negotiating on behalf of the commercial tenant with
17	their landlord, and providing advice and referral
18	services when litigation cannot be avoided. This new
19	program which launched in February has already served
20	approximately 250 businesses. The Commercial Lease
21	Assistance Program builds on our prior and continuing
22	work with commercial lease education workshops to
23	help business owners better understand the components
24	and implications of signing a commercial lease. Both
25	programs build on the important work done by with the

passage of the commercial tenant protections. The
Non-residential Tenants Harassment Law gives
commercial tenants the right to take their landlord
to court if they're being harassed. This includes
using force against or threatening to use force
against a tenant, repeated interruptions of essential
services, removing personal property, changing or
removing locks, preventing a tenant from entering or
interfering with business through construction and
repairs. Along with these services and protections,
SBS also offers a comprehensive guide to commercial
leasing in New York City. This guide includes
information on the basics of commercial leases, how
to incorporate lease negotiation into business
planning, limiting personal and business risk, and
overall best practices. All these services are
available at nyc.gov/comlease. Another program that
specifically assists longstanding neighborhood
businesses is Love Your Local. Through our work we
have seen business struggle to adapt to change in
market conditions. Through Love Your Local, the City
is working to combat this issue and preserve
longstanding legacy businesses. This program
celebrates and promotes a diverse, independent, small

2	business that enrich neighborhoods across New York
3	City and encourages New Yorkers to share their
4	favorite non-franchise business on an interactive
5	online map. Business owners can also apply for
6	business advisory consultations and funding.
7	Eligible businesses may receive a grant of up to
8	90,000 dollars which can be used to address
9	operational and capital improvements, as well as
10	other needs that will help that business better
11	compete. Through this program, SBS hopes to empower
12	business owners to adapt to changing environments.
13	Love Your Local also allow SBS to test interventions
14	to help business remain competitive and scale up
15	successful strategies through integration with our
16	NYC Business Solution Centers, local community groups
17	and other partners. Grantees for the first round of
18	the program will be announced later this year.
19	Another way we have empowered communities to support
20	their commercial corridors is by providing funding
21	and technical assistance to local nonprofit
22	organizations that are focused on supporting and
23	improving the commercial districts such as local
24	development corporations, business improvement
25	districts, merchant's associations, and Chambers of

1

4

2 Commerce. Via competitive grant programs such as Avenue [sic] NYC, Neighborhood Challenge, and 3 Neighborhood 360, SBS has provided operating support to these organizations to assess the challenges faced in their district and to implement localized 6 7 solutions. Now, I'd like to discuss the legislation before us, Intro 737, more commonly known as the 8 Small Business Job Survival Act. I would like to 9 begin by thanking all the advocates, elected 10 officials, community organizations, and businesses 11 12 that have pushed for a hearing on this topic. Though 13 we may have different views on this legislation, we have all been fighting to create a fair environment 14 15 for our small businesses. First, let me be clear, 16 SBS is supportive of helping commercial tenants 17 during the lease renewal process. However, we are 18 concerned about the potential unintended policy consequences of the proposed legislation that could 19 20 make it harder for all commercial tenants, existing and new. We have also been advised by the Law 21 2.2 Department that this bill may have several legal 23 issues. We are happy to facilitate conversation 24 amongst our legal teams to discuss those concerns, but I would like to move the discussion forward and 25

longstanding immigrant-owned businesses. While we

also encourage businesses to get a lease to ensure

24

2	protections, many businesses do not have leases.
3	This legislation may make it harder for those
4	businesses to secure leases, because landlords may be
5	less inclined to execute leases to avoid the
6	potential cost of arbitration. We also want to
7	ensure a fair environment for new entrepreneurs
8	looking to begin a new business. Though some
9	longstanding businesses are operating without leases,
10	most new businesses need leases to get financing and
11	begin operating. In our experience, we have seen
12	landlords give shorter leases or no leases to new
13	businesses due to uncertainty of the businesses'
14	survival. In addition, we have seen landlords and
15	banks prefer multinational corporations as more
16	attractive tenants. In particular, mixed-use
17	developments with significant commercial spaces tend
18	to have complex financing and may be especially
19	impacted by this. This may be a factor in the rise
20	of vacant storefronts and otherwise prosperous
21	neighborhoods, and this legislation would exacerbate
22	the issue. As landlords are not required to provide a
23	lease, an onerous renewal process could further
24	incentivize landlords providing leases at all. This
25	means that new businesses could potentially be at a

2	disadvantage and not be provided the basic
3	protections that are commonly found in leases.
4	Through this legis though this legislation attempts
5	to create a system to provide fair lease renewal
6	terms, it is important to note it does not guarantee
7	favorable terms for the tenant. The party that makes
8	the strongest case, often the party with the best
9	resources, is likely to have a more favorable
10	outcome. For example, based on evidence of landlord
11	cost, an arbitrary could conclude existing rents are
12	appropriate or increase the rent for a business.
13	This could also make the renewal process much
14	costlier for the tenant, as they would share in the
15	cost of arbitration, and it may create an outcome
16	that tenants may deem unfair. Additionally, it is
17	unclear if there are currently enough arbitrators to
18	meet the demand of a robust real estate market like
19	New York City, and what trainings will be required to
20	build a pipeline. Given these concerns, SBS hopes to
21	work with Council and advocates to better identify
22	the cause of vacant storefronts throughout the City
23	and find potential solutions. As mentioned earlier,
24	to address this issue, SBS offers a number of
25	services to help small businesses such as the

Commercial Lease Assistance program. However, we
know there is more to be done. Along with the
programs SBS currently offers, the Administration has
been exploring other tools to improve our
understanding of the broader retail landscape,
especially vacancy. We are interested in a
storefront registry to help better understand the
scale of the vacancy issue. We also generally
believe the commercial leasing process should be more
transparent and are in the early stages of
researching ways to increase such transparency. We
welcome the opportunity to discuss these and any
related topics, any related ideas you may have on
this topic. As I stated earlier, Mayor de Blasio,
the Administration and I broadly share the Council's
belief in the importance of healthy commercial
corridors and thriving small businesses. We thank
you for the opportunity to testify here today to
discuss these topics, and I'm happy to take any
questions.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: I'd like to give the opportunity-- thank you for that, Commissioner. Any of my colleagues have a question for the Commissioner? Speaker Corey Johnson?

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Thank you, Commissioner Bishop for being here today. Thank you for your testimony. This bill has been around, as been said, for 30 years, and what I don't see in your testimony here today is-- you talk about the Commercial Lease Assistance program, which has already served 250 businesses in New York City. How many small businesses are there in New York City?

COMMISSIONER BISHOP: So, the number we use is 230,000 small businesses in New York City.

judgement on this program, which is probably an important, good program that helps those 250 businesses. But with that many small businesses, clearly it's not helping the businesses that we here today are seeking to assist who are having their rents jacked up, double, triple, quadruple the amount. So, what I would sort of love to understand is has there been a study by SBS on how the loss of long time small businesses impacts neighborhoods?

COMMISSIONER BISHOP: So, Speaker, thank you for that question, and I share, obviously, in my testimony I talked about the fact that at SBS we focus on helping small businesses, but we also focus

_	COLUITITED ON STREET DOOLNESS
2	on creating vibrant commercial corridors. It is
3	important for us to make sure that we understand what
4	are some of the issues that are affecting different
5	commercial corridors, and what are some of the
6	challenges in terms of the increase in vacancy. To
7	your question, we have not done a study. We have
8	actually worked with community partners to look at
9	the different neighborhoods to address their
10	particular issue. This is a very complex issue. It
11	requires a localized strategy. So, for example, our
12	Neighborhood 360 program where we've made investments
13	in different neighborhoods, we've come up with
14	different programs for different neighborhoods to
15	help either businesses learn about those
16	neighborhood, learn about what the community needs in
17	the neighborhood, to actually help those
18	neighborhoods with to help those communities with
19	retail attraction. So, again, we have made
20	investments across the City because we recognize that
21	this is a very complex issue that requires a
22	localized strategy.
23	SPEAKER JOHNSON: So, there has not been

a study that's been done on the loss of small

25 businesses?

2.2

you that— so there has not, but I could tell you that in every neighborhood, if you lose a longstanding business that has impact on that neighborhood. We have made a lot of investments in helping different neighborhoods address those issues, and I'd be happy to talk to you about some of the programs we've invested in at Avenue NYC, our Neighborhood 360 program, and our Neighborhood Challenge program to help businesses not only— to help neighborhoods come up with strategies to attract more business.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: So, what about when we hear all over New York City when a grocery store goes out, neighborhood doesn't have a grocery store anymore? How does that affect a local neighborhood and what is the City doing to ensure that we do not lose essential businesses for the wellbeing of a local community and neighborhood?

commissioner bishop: So, and I certainly share your concern, I mean, when we took a walk through in your district, you know, walking down Christopher Street, up Bleecker Street, I mean, when we see vacant storefronts, it affects the character

2	of a neighborhood, and that is certainly something
3	that we are very concerned about at Small Business
4	Services. That's why we've made investments, as I
5	said, in programs to help build the capacity of local
6	organizations because they have a lot of the intel on
7	the ground to understand what that particular
8	neighborhood needs, because this is not this has to
9	be a block by block strategy for us. We have to make
10	sure that all our partners, whether it's local
11	development corporations, chambers of commerce,
12	etcetera, we provide them the tools that's necessary.
13	So, we certainly have additional programs that we
14	have worked to help businesses at all stages of the
15	growth, not only for businesses that are starting,
16	but businesses that are operating. What I have
17	heard, and I've been, you know, working with small
18	businesses for over a decade, that this is just one
19	of the many issues that small businesses struggle
20	with. They struggle with access to capital.
21	Certainly, a lot of small businesses are
22	undercapitalized when they first start. So, we need

to provide more opportunities for them to actually

get the capital they need to run. They struggle with

23

24

SPEAKER JOHNSON: What is the solution?

COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS

COMMISSIONER BISHOP: So, I would say
that so, in terms of a solution, you know,
certainly we'd be happy to talk about different
solutions. I don't think there's a silver bullet. I
think in those cases where you have, you know, the
shock of a business that have properly planned their-
- run their business and they've done what they were
supposed to do, and then there's the shock of a
landlord saying that now I'm going to double/triple
your end, of course that is a problem for us. and
especially to your point where, you know, you have a
supermarket that has now been removed providing
essential services in a community, and that property
has now remained vacant for over, you know, two,
three, four, five, years, that is a problem. And
certainly we

SPEAKER JOHNSON: [interposing] So, what's the solution?

COMMISSIONER BISHOP: So, we have certainly talked about either a storefront vacan-- a storefront registry or even a vacancy tax, some type of penalty where you look at in terms of what is the appropriate time for a landlord to actually turn that space over. I think we'd be happy to continue that

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12 13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

conversation with Council to figure out ways we can disincentivize landlords from keeping storefronts vacant for extended period of time.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Commissioner-- ves?

mean, us tracking these things, that's doing-getting the data necessary, which is important for all public policy decisions on vacant storefronts. That doesn't actually solve the problem that we're talking about, though. It gets us the data that we need but it doesn't solve the ultimate issue, and what I'm trying to understand today, and I do think, you know, certain advocates may not want to hear this, but I don't think this bill is a perfect bill. I do think this bill has to see changes. I think this bill should not treat We Work in the same way as it treats a bodega, and that's what this bill does currently. This bill currently, as written, if you are Goldman Sachs you are treated the same way as a bodega. That does not make any sense. So there are changes that need to happen for this bill to actually make it make more sense for the folks we're trying to help, and we're trying to help local small businesses, mom and pop shops, all the ones that I listed in my opening and all the ones you've heard

3

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

1617

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

about here today. That is true if advocates want to read the bill. That's what the bill says, anyone with a commercial lease in New York City, and I am not here today to help Goldman Sachs or We Work or these other large companies, but that's what the bill says. So, what I want to understand is, for those smaller businesses, for the ones we're talking about, what are we doing to help them on rent renewals?

COMMISSIONER BISHOP: Right. So, Mr.

Speaker, I think, you know, as I stated in my testimony about the concerns, the policy concerns, that is one of the unintended consequence in terms of it covers all businesses. So, for example, to answer your question, when we created the Commercial Lease Assistance Program, we particularly created a program for our micro businesses. The businesses that need the resources, these are the businesses that don't have, you know, a line of credit. They don't have an attorney on retainer. In some cases, they don't know their rights. So, we created this program in partnership with the bill that was passed with Council to ensure that businesses, small businesses, and that's who we are focused on, the small mom and These are businesses that have five or less . agog

employees have the resources like an attorney to help
them understand the lease negotiation process, the
lease renewal process, and you pointed out the fact
that there are over that we've helped over about
300 businesses. Even though there are 230,000 small
businesses in New York City, not everyone needs our
services. Most of the businesses that use our
services are microbusinesses. These are businesses
with five or less employees, and the reason being is
that they need assistance with helping them through
this process. So, when we have for example, we had
a small business that used our lease negotiation
attorney to negotiate a lease, and that business
actually walked away with a 10-year lease with an
option to renew, and that's important when you have
the resources available. So, certainly, we
understand the challenge, the policy challenges, I
think we but we do share the same goal which is to
create a fair process for small businesses not only
to obtain a lease, but also renew leases.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Commissioner, I say
this respectfully, I think the programs that SBS have
been doing have been really good programs, and I

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 think you've done a very good job as Commissioner of 3 SBS, and--

COMMISSIONER BISHOP: [interposing] Thank you.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: you've been extraordinarily responsive to Council Members when things have come up in there of communities. I think the issue that we're facing here goes far beyond actually what you're able even to try to achieve as an agency on your own. And so I quess the question that we're posing today about the extraordinarily high number of mom and pop shops and small businesses that are not getting a fair lease renewal process. That goes actually beyond probably was SBS is capable of doing right now given the law and given what you guys are actually able to try to do. I think you've put together some programs which are good programs which are able to help a very small number of businesses. But the high number of businesses that are seeing unfair, greedy rent hikes of doubling, tripling, quadrupling, quintupling of rents, I haven't heard a strategy from you today on how we deal with that, which is why we're hearing this bill. And so I appreciate your commitment to small

2	businesses, but I think that we need to do much more
3	I mean, I it's heartbreaking to hear that Tortilla
4	Flats is closing, which is one of the mainstays of
5	the Far West Village. It's heartbreaking to see if
6	you walk down Bleecker Street or Hudson Street or
7	Seventh Avenue South in the Village to see literally
8	over 150 vacant empty storefronts. That is what we
9	need to try to fix, and I'm not sure that you, and I
10	don't mean this about you personally, I mean SBS has
11	in your tool kit and arsenal, tools to be able to
12	actually solve that right now, which is why
13	legislatively we are seeking to do something to fix
14	that. The one other I don't have any other
15	questions. I'm how give it back to the Chair, but
16	the one other thing I would say is I am deeply
17	committed to trying to do something here that makes
18	sense. I do think that there are potentially
19	unintended consequences that I am very concerned
20	about, and I'm concerned about them because I am
21	concerned that it's actually going to potentially
22	harm small businesses as part of this bill. I do not
23	want to do anything to harm small businesses. I am
24	deeply committed to us figuring out a path forward to

help existing small businesses stay in place with a

2	fair lease and rent renewal process. I think you're
3	going to hear today from some academics, some left of
4	center academics, the Urban Justice Center, and ANHD,
5	and other folks who are folks that care deeply about
6	these issues, but they have analysis concerns about
7	this bill and about what it could mean to small
8	businesses in the City, things we haven't
9	contemplated yet, things that weren't brought up in
10	your remarks or my remarks, things that they brought
11	up on their own in looking at how this is handled.
12	So I want today to really about is airing all of this
13	out, coming forward and coming up with a sustainable,
14	fair path forward to kind of correct what's happening
15	right now, and I look forward to doing that with my
16	colleagues here in the Council, and I look forward to
17	working with you on that. Thank you very much, Chair
18	Gjonaj for the opportunity to ask questions today.
19	And I will stick around, I just have to go get some
20	medicine, but I'll be back.

COMMISSIONER BISHOP: Thank you, Mr.

Speaker, and I think-- I think we both share the same goals of supporting our small businesses and we're willing to work with Council on solutions. Thank you very much.

COMMISSIONER BISHOP: [interposing] As

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: [interposing] the

Walmart's of the world, if you will.

25

24

21

2.2

23

long as--

COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS

Τ	COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS 46
2	COMMISSIONER BISHOP: Big box stores,
3	multinational corporations, yes, anything that's
4	nonresidential.
5	CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Does the City own
6	property that it leases out?
7	COMMISSIONER BISHOP: Yes.
8	CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: That would give the
9	protections to those tenants of city-leased property
10	then as well?
11	COMMISSIONER BISHOP: In theory, it
12	could, but I would say that and we have seen it,
13	you know, Council Member Rodriguez, we work closely
14	with the Council Member. Where there's
15	opportunities where the City owns property we can
16	be a little bit creative, but it would cover all
17	resident all non-residential property.
18	CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: As this bill is
19	written, then.
20	COMMISSIONER BISHOP: As the bill is
21	written.
22	CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: So that would even
23	cover marinas that are leased to private entities

that are city-owned properties, EDC leases--

COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS

2	COMMISSIONER	BISHOP:	[interposing]

3 Correct, correct.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: park leases,

concessions. This has an effect across the board. I

would imagine even cooperatives such as Hunt's Point,

for example, Arthur Avenue Market--

COMMISSIONER BISHOP: [interposing]
Anything that's non-residential this bill covers.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Why don't we have a study, a registry of our small businesses? And that number that used 230,000 which is a guestimate, why don't we actually have a registry of small businesses by the type, the square footage, the industry?

mean, I think we share some of the same goals in terms of data. One of the things that we would love to work with Council on is a storefront registry, because I think, you know, what this bill is addressing and the Speaker eloquently put it, and I, you know, in my neighborhood I lost my favorite restaurant because of rising rents. So, I certainly understand what's happens when a longstanding business has— is no longer in a particular neighborhood, and then that property sits vacant for

_

a very long time. It's right for people to ask, "Well, why did this landlord kick this longstanding business out?" So, certainly I understand the goal of the bill to create a fair process, so one of the things--

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: [interposing] Yeah, but Commissioner, the question is why don't we have a registry of current occupied storefronts and retail?

COMMISSIONER BISHOP: Well, I think we are looking at figuring out how we can do a storefront registry, and we'd be happy to work with Council on that because I think that better gives us an idea of where there are specific issues, because in certain neighborhoods you certainly can see a very high levels of vacancy, and you need to understand property by property why that property is sitting vacant. Some of it could be the landlords doesn't have the capital necessary to improve their commercial space to become attractive for a business. Some of it could be a landlord that's totally absentee, that doesn't care about the neighborhood, and there's different interventions for different issues on why a property is vacant.

incoming businesses.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: IN addition to the data that is so much needed for us to better understand and forecast the needs of our small businesses before we start looking into the reason for the vacancies as how to keep their doors open instead of focusing on being reactive to once they're closed. You did bring up— is there a scenario that you would understand vacancies being healthy for a community? So, 100 percent occupancy would prevent start-ups. There would be a negative impact on

COMMISSIONER BISHOP: I mean, that is all hypothetical. I-- you know, for us at Small Business Services we want to see vibrant neighborhoods, and vibrant neighborhoods means that the businesses in those neighborhoods are providing the services that the community needs and wants. Certainly there are neighborhoods that-- and I've been with different Council Members. There are neighborhoods that love and want to see mom and pops. There are neighborhoods that would love to see a chain. I mean, the City, we have 8.6 million people. Everyone has different needs and different desires for their community. We want to make sure that the retail corridor is healthy,

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

it's the right mix, and that is why we continue to invest in local organizations to come up with a local strategy to address the needs of their community.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: You also mentioned a vacancy tax. What would that look like?

COMMISSIONER BISHOP: I mean, we would have to work on the logistics of that, because one of the things I would say is that, you know, if there is a business that's been operating in a space, that business is then priced out of that space, and then that space is left vacant for an extended period of time. We have to determine what that is. In certain communities I know there's a desire, that extended period of time shouldn't be longer than six months. In certain communities it's three years. I don't know what the number is. I think working with Council we should determine what is the right number of years that a property could be vacant before we apply some type of penalty on that business owner-on that landlord.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: When it comes to real estate taxes on those vacant properties, are you familiar with the tax rates and how they're calculated?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

familiar with taxes. I mean, that obviously is my

colleague at the Department of Finance who is dealing

COMMISSIONER BISHOP: So, I'm vaguely

with property tax, but I do know, and this is one of

the reasons why we created the Commercial Lease and

Assistance Program, when you're negotiating your

lease upfront which is why we want to get businesses

at the beginning of that process, you can negotiate

what can be-- what should be passed along as part of

your lease process. You can negotiate what that

renewal terms looks-- what that renewal term look

like. So that's why it's important, especially for

our small micro-businesses. They are provided the

resources necessary to get a fair-- to have a fair

process in the lease negotiation part.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Alright, well

getting back to the real estate. When a property is

vacant, the real estate taxes that are paid on that

property, is it equivalent to the property being

occupied when it comes to real estate taxes?

COMMISSIONER BISHOP: My understanding,

 $\operatorname{it}'s--$ the taxes are related to the value of the

property.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Okay. So, say that again, please?

COMMISSIONER BISHOP: The taxes are related to the value of the property.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Value of the property. So regardless if it's occupied -- well, actually, commercial properties are assessed differently based on income, but if it's a vacancy, they do not-- does a property owner benefit from a vacant space?

COMMISSIONER BISHOP: Again, I-- so, I do not-- different properties have different reasons why they're vacant. Again, without understanding the specifics of a particular property, I cannot answer that question. What I can tell you is that based on my experience, I know that some property owners and some properties are vacant because of multiple reasons. One, the square footage could be too large. Retailers change. A lot of businesses are looking for smaller square footage, and that landlord may not be able to actually have the capital necessary to divide the property. The property could be vacant because there are structural issues with attracting the right type of tenant. They may not be enough

2	technology. There's so many this is why this is a
3	very complex issue, but again, going back to the goal
4	of this, we want to make sure and we as Small
5	Business Services, we want to see vibrant commercial
6	corridors. We do not want to see vacant storefronts.
7	So we would be happy to work with Council to come up
8	with a solution, whether it's a storefront registry,
9	a vacancy tax or some type of transparency in the
10	lease renewal process or the leasing process.

Certainly happy to work with Council on that.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: I'm sure my colleagues have so many questions for you. I have one last one. We certainly understand that rent is a factor when it comes to our small businesses and challenges that they have. Is there— are there any other factors that you can safely share with us that are an impact on small businesses and their survivability?

COMMISSIONER BISHOP: Sure. And without speaking definitively, right, because that's again one of the reasons why this problem is so complex.

There's a number of reasons. There's a number of things that small businesses have to deal with, right? There's the cost of the actual space.

25

2 There's the cost of, you know, people, getting the right people. There's just getting capital. Again, 3 a lot of our services are focused on addressing those 4 5 things. So, when you look at our are comprehensive 6 workshops, a lot of it is focused on your back 7 office, and for example, having an inventory management system, understanding your income 8 statements, being able to do projections. You know, 9 we focus a lot on back office for a reason, because 10 we see that's there's some-- that's some of the areas 11 12 that small businesses struggle with. We focus on access to capital. We've made a lot of investments 13 14 on actually connecting businesses to capital. We've 15 connected businesses to over 50 million dollars in 16 the past fiscal year of capital, much needed capital. 17 We focus on the regulatory environment. We've talked 18 a lot about the regulatory environment and certainly figuring out ways to make it easier for businesses to 19 20 be in compliance with city regulations, and even working with Council to reduce the back and forth 21 2.2 from different agencies that we have seen. 23 certainly there's a number of other things that business owners have to address, but I can't speak 24 definitely on one particular business, because it

runs the gamut, and that's why we have these nine set of services that we provide for business owners.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you,

Commissioner. Let me open up the floor to some of my

6 | colleagues. Council Member Rodriguez?

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Thank you,

Chair. Commissioner, you mentioning about the

unintended-- non-intended consequences, so in that

direction you mentioned about the many local

businesses that they don't have leases. From the

220,000 business in New York City, how many of those
they don't have lease?

question that I'm unable to answer, because the lease— the leasing process is not transparent, and when I say not transparent, one of the goals, hopefully we can work together on, is to look at what's the process of negotiating the lease, making sure that it's consistent and standard across the City, especially for our small businesses. So, I, you know, again I do not know the answer to— but just anecdotally, a lot of—

2.2

COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2 COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: [interposing] 3 Okay, so we do know that there's many local small businesses that they are first of all not leases. 4

COMMISSIONER BISHOP: Correct.

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: I can tell you in my community I have in one of those corners like three businesses that they say to me, "We don't have leases. The landlord come here every month, and he take the money cash, [inaudible] leases." So, that's happening in our city. I can tell you tomorrow so that we can speak directly to those cases. cases such as a friend of mine that has a bodega in Brooklyn, 30 years, and he leases month per month, 30 years. So, of course, process is important, and that's-- you know, I believe that we do agree on that part at some level when it comes to the language of this bill. The heart core of this bill is about process.

> COMMISSIONER BISHOP: Right. And--

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: [interposing] We are now determining about how real estate property owners will determine their values about process. This is something that I hope that you and the

Administration and SBS understand that we are

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

discussing a bill that the main focus is about establishing a better practice on how business owner, local business owner and property owner negotiate the lease.

COMMISSIONER BISHOP: Correct, and I

think we want to make sure that it's a fair process, and this bill for all the longstanding businesses that do not have a lease would not cover those businesses, and some of the unintended consequences that I talked about is that it would dis-incentivize a landlord for even extending a lease. What we know and the reason why we made the investments in the commercial lease assistance program among other areas is that when you have a lease you're protected. main thing a small business need is consistency. They need to be able to, whether it's five years or ten years, plan out the growth of their business, and without a lease it denies them that consistency. also denies them a number of things in terms of access to capital, etcetera, and the things that I could talk about when you don't have a lease. certainly those are some of the unintended consequences, but again, I just want to be clear, we share the same goal in terms of creating a fairer

COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

process for small businesses through the leasing
process and the lease renegotiation process.

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Thank you.

And you've been great in our community. We work together. We were able to save a supermarket together. Council Member Levine and I know that we work around [inaudible] for Washington.

COMMISSIONER BISHOP: Yep.

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: And the same thing we're able to do at Coogan [sic] restaurant. However, that lease that restaurant, we were not able to help them to be saved when the rent was \$7,000 and the landlord says \$25,000 for the new leases. there was not a process there for that owner that was in his business for more than 20 years to now to respond to those type of requests. So, again, we agree that this is about process, and for me, this is important especially for the press, whoever, is process. It's not rent control. And my second question is, I do agree, you know, on all the programs that you've been leading. One of the things is that one, that the Council been working with you guys putting the resources so that small business they are able to get lawyer at least to understand

spirit of the bill which is about bringing fairness

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

19

18

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

and establishing a better process. And two things-one is does the Mayor and SBS wish to support a vacancy tax? And second, will the Mayor be more open to work with the Administration to support this bill if this bill doesn't include the larger tenants, but focus on the local small businesses.

COMMISSIONER BISHOP: So, to answer the first question, I think a vacancy tax is something we should look at. I think if you have landlords who are absentee or just landlords who are just bad actors, we certainly need to figure out a way to-some lever to actually affect that behavior. There are communities that have landlords who kept space vacant for 20, 30 years. That's unacceptable, but certainly this particular bill, even if you narrow it down, there are unintended consequences, and I think the policy concerns that I laid out, the fact that arbitration is being seen as a silver bullet. look at all the businesses that use our services, these are small businesses. They're too-- the business owners are busy running their business. You're asking now the business owners to actually come up with the resources necessary to have an effective arbitrary arbitration, and we have seen,

2	
_	

and it could potentially happen, where if you're well-resourced, which would probably be the larger landlords or a multinational organization, you're going to come out better. So, certainly those are the concerns that we have. There are other concerns, but certainly we share the goal of the process and making sure that's a fair process.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank--

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: [off mic] the advocate and go to--

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: [interposing] Council Member, we have a slew of Council Members. We've got 46 people that are going to be testifying today, and we still have a number of Council Members that have to ask questions. Your last question, 10 seconds.

advocate an oath to deal with consequences related to the arbitration. I get the concern. We need to be listening to the pro and con. But that particular concern about how the arbitration and the funding and everything, the local small businesses—small business doesn't have any more choice more than this bill in order to survive.

\sim	

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

1

COMMISSIONER BISHOP: But I-- arbitration

is just one of the policy concerns. 3 You--

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: [interposing] It's not the only one.

COMMISSIONER BISHOP: You could end up with landlords deciding not to extend leases, period, which would again, as I said, be unfair to the small business community. You could have landlords that bake in the cost of arbitration. I mean, there's a number of policy concerns that I think, again, we share the goal of creating a fair process, but certainly there are serious policy concerns with this bill.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you, Council Member and Commissioner. We're going to start keeping a time clock as we move forward so we all have a fair opportunity to ask our questions. I recognize Councilman Kallos.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Commissioner Bishop, do you have any idea how big, what the magnitude of the vacancies and empty storefronts is? Is there currently a survey of all the empty storefronts in our city?

frequent.

and this is why we'd love to work with Council on a storefront registry, something that gives us consistent data cross the City, and certainly data that's more frequent. You know, we have in different—working with different community organizations we have an idea in certain communities the type of— the level of vacancy, but in certain communities we don't. So, we want to make sure we

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: And has SBS or any other city agency looked at different tools that are used, whether it's the existing programs you spoke of, your grant, or on the west side they did mom and pop zoning, what types of tools can help?

came up with the solution that's consistent and

testing all types of— I mentioned Love Your Local.

One of the things that we're doing as part of that program is testing different interventions that we may be able to scale out. Certainly, we've looked at other cities. Other cities have tried different programs that it's been mixed results.

2 CO

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Does the mom and

3 pop zoning work?

COMMISSIONER BISHOP: You know, I cannot say with 100 percent certainty that it does. Again, the real estate changes on a-- it's very dynamic.

So, for example--

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: [interposing] Let me just jump in with one last question.

COMMISSIONER BISHOP: Yeah.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Sorry to continue interrupt-- it's just we've got three minutes--

COMMISSIONER BISHOP: [interposing] Sorry.

our case. So, I represent the Upper East Side. It was originally settled by Hungarian and German immigrants. Some of those immigrants were my family members. And I've gotten to see all the stores that I grew up with just disappear as the neighborhood becomes less of an immigrant community and more big box stores. I guess one of the questions is what we can do to protect smaller businesses, and the Speaker referred to not want to protect Goldman Sachs. I have no interest in protecting any of the big banks.

There's no reason I need banks across the street from

each other or four banks on the four corners of a block. And then similarly, what can we do to try to limit the skyrocketing rents? We have restaurants and stores that survived the Second Avenue Subway construction only to see their rent go up by 10 or 100 times. Can we tie cost to— the increases to actual costs versus just somebody deciding they want 100,000 a month, which is in fact the going rate for retail in my district?

COMMISSIONER BISHOP: Right, so I think-just to answer a couple questions you have baked in there. Number one, I think some type of storefront registry, a vacancy tax, will certainly change the behavior of landlords. It's-- it will certainly change the behavior of landlords, but we want to make sure that we have a fair process in the leasing and the lease renewal process, because one of the things that we've seen is that if you have an attorney, if you have someone that that could explain to you what it is that the lease, what protections you have, certainly that would be helpful. Now, in communities that are changing, we have different programs to help businesses adapt. I think one of the things that when the Speaker talked about L&M Deli, that's a

Thank you, Mr.

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

perfect example of a small business recognizing the rise in e-commerce and using the fact that now I could store, you know, different parcels with -- and make money off of that. There are different ways to adapt to changing technology, etcetera, that's why a lot of our intensive workshops we have is based on how to adapt to changing environments.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you, Thank you, Commissioner. I recognize Councilman. Council Member Lander.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER:

Chair and Mr. Speaker and everyone who's here today. Commissioner, I know you care about these issues personally because I've been out on the street with you in Redhook and Park Slope, and I know you feel it deeply, but institutionally, I think I share some of the frustration that the Speaker has, because two years ago, actually last month, we did a hearing right here in these chambers on small business diversity, and we asked about a whole series of policies about formula [sic] retail bans, about small business zoning, about tax incentives, and what we got at that time was the Department of Small Business Services doesn't have a study, doesn't have research,

doesn't have information, doesn't have evaluation of		
those policies "We'd be glad to work with the		
Council to review them." So, then we did more work.		
We put a report out about each of those things. Since		
that hearing two years ago, since our report came out		
one year ago, is there any more research from the		
Administration on whether a formula retail ban would		
work, on whether small business zoning to prevent		
just banks and drug stores would work, on what the		
form of a tax incentive program would be, on any of		
the policies that we laid out not even going back 30		
years, just two years and one year, including the		
idea of a registry or information? Do you have		
anything more to bring us today than you did a year		
ago or two years ago?		
COMMISSIONER BISHOP: Right. So, it		
think, you know, we have had conversations about a		

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: [interposing]
We've had a lot of conversations, but do you have
anything more to give us, any more research, any more
proposals, any more evaluations of any of these
ideas?

[applause]

storefront registry. We continue to--

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER BISHOP: So, we have focused a lot on our regulatory environment to make it easier for businesses to be in compliance with regulations. We're working with Council right now--

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: [interposing] That sounds like -- no, I mean, again, I don't want to get in a fight with you personally, because I know you feel and care about these issues, but it sounds like the issue is no, the Administration has not produced for us any evaluations of the proposed policies or any comprehensive information or analysis of the problem we're looking at today of the, you know, displacement caused by rampant rent increases in the mom and pops and small business that we love.

COMMISSIONER BISHOP: Right, and I would say that it is -- it is certainly a complex issue, because without --

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: [interposing] Again, Commissioner, I really respect you personally. You're telling me no. That's not just on you. It's on the Administration. This is a rampant problem in the City, and like it's a collective failure. of us have solved it. I've been in office nine Small businesses have been closing in my

2	district nine years. I go out each time and try to
3	help. I protest and picket with the artists or with
4	the restaurant. We haven't come up with good new
5	policy. We did try. We've had a couple of hearings.
6	We've put out a big significant policy report
7	planning for retail diversity. We asked our staff to
8	do an analysis, and I just got to be honest, the fact
9	that the Administration has not done the research,
10	put out a policy analysis, brought us some policy,
11	responded to the policy ideas we proposed puts us in
12	a really difficult spot. I don't know whether the
13	SBJSA gets at the problems we're trying to solve, but
14	without any more information or analysis, it's really
15	frustrating to try to be a partner.

COMMISSIONER BISHOP: Right, but--

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: [interposing] So,

I'm just going to ask my one-- this is a question

that you can answer without analysis. You know,

what's certainly happening in my district is the

increase in rent makes it very difficult for small

businesses upon renewal. So, do you think it would

be appropriate conceptually to put some restrictions

on property owners and landlords of some kind? We

can even leave broadly an understanding of what those

would be to protect mom and pops, small business, small retail and other tenants from displacement caused by rising rents and gentrification. Just conceptually, would that be an appropriate thing for us to do--

COMMISSIONER BISHOP: [interposing]
Council Member--

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: to try to solve this problem?

COMMISSIONER BISHOP: Because it's so hypothetical, I'm unable to answer that question without understanding— without understanding the economics of every particular building. Again, I think to be fair, in the past two years I've talked about Love Your Local. We are testing interventions to figure out how to actually help longstanding businesses. So, we are not— it's not like we haven't been doing anything. We want to make sure that whatever program that we come out with is scalable, it's actually— is actually— actually can work. So that is why we are pushing forward with Love Your Local. So, you know, again, we share the goal of making sure that there's a fair process to the leasing, to— for small businesses to actually

_

,

get a lease, and for small businesses to go through the lease renewal process. Certainly, we have concerns about landlords who keep space vacant, and I think a storefront registry or some type of vacancy tax might be some of the things that we talk to Council and get your help if we need assistance in Albany.

time, and I'll yield it back, and I wanted to get into some more detailed about how we would focus this, how to think about its breadth versus narrowness, a whole set of issues, but I just have to be honest, and again, with— and I know you love your local, I've seen you with your passion and your heart for it, but with neither information or analysis, nor even a sense that the principle of some restrictions on owners to prevent rent gouging and massive lease renewals, it's hard to believe in the commitment of the Administration to work with us as real partners to solve this problem.

COMMISSIONER BISHOP: I--

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: [interposing] Thank you, Councilman. We have to move on. We've got a slew of Council Members. And just, this is the

definition of insanity, doing the same thing over and over again, and I agree with you Council Member, but as we're in here with this hearing, outside there are literally hundreds of minority-owned businesses that are not protesting that their businesses are going to be shut down because of rent, but because of regulation. Small mom and pop businesses, the Latino Restaurant Bar and Lounge Association is outside protesting that their businesses are shutting down because of the hookah licenses, just to give you an idea, but these conversations continue. I now recognize Mark Levine.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you, Mr.

Chair, and thank you, Mr. Speaker. Good to see you

Commissioner. I do want to respectfully disagree

with one point you made in an answer to an earlier

question. I don't know of any neighborhood that

prefers chains over mom and pops.

COMMISSIONER BISHOP: I--

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: [interposing] I think New Yorkers are almost united across the spectrum in our love of small businesses and mom and pops.

[applause]

2.2

COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS

2 COMMISSIONER BISHOP: So,--

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: [interposing] And that's the idea behind Love Your Local, right?

Because we love--

COMMISSIONER BISHOP: [interposing] So, that is--

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: our local businesses.

when I mentioned that, there are— some of your peers who I've walked their district, and individuals were happy because a particular business came. Again, we are— at Small Business Services we focus a lot on our mom and pops, but we focus on the neighborhood side of a retail mix, and that includes, you know, your mom and pops.

that, I just want to-- I have a couple of other points and time is short. The topic-- I think it was the Chair brought it up, the topic of city-owned business, which we're landlords to, has come up. I believe there's about 500 retail establishments collectively in DCAS-managed buildings. It just galls me that amongst them we are renting to so many-- we,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

1213

14

15

16

1718

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the City, are renting to so many chains and fast-food stores and chain pharmacies. That's a topic for another hearing, but--

COMMISSIONER BISHOP: [interposing] And probably another Commissioner.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: And probably

another Commissioner, but one that is relevant in this context. You declined to comment on a hypothetical, so I want to give you a very specific real world example, the kind of thing you're hearing from my colleagues. 3880 Broadway on 162nd Street and Washington Heights, 2015 landlord evicted seven small businesses, mom and pops, Latino-owned, been there for many years, including beloved local restaurant, Punta Cana, which had been there for 40 years. That was in 2015. Three years later, those spaces are still empty. So, this is a loss for those businesses that just wanted a little more time, even if they were being evicted, give them time to get their affairs in order and find another location. It's a loss for the neighborhood that has a dead zone now. I would think it's a loss for the landlord. don't get that calculus. There was such an apparent imbalance of resources in that fight. The small

J

businesses didn't have money for high-powered lawyers. They were totally outgunned in the lease negotiation. So what does— what will the City do in instances like this, if not the bill we're looking at today to help level the playing field and to help prevent this incredible waste of pushing out beloved

local businesses just to leave the spaces vacant for years on end?

COMMISSIONER BISHOP: Right. So, just to

be clear on-- we share the goal of creating a fair process for getting a lease or renegotiating a lease. I think in this particular case, you know, that particular landlord may have not had or may have made poor assumptions in terms of what the actual market rate can be for that particular property. And I think working with Council, that's where, you know, having some type of penalty like a vacancy tax, because we want to make sure that landlords understand, you know, the market conditions. I think, you know, the misperception is that, you know, the larger landlords they have resources that they can calculate when the market is softening. They can calculate, for example, when we talk about big box

stores. Big box stores are creating smaller

_ -

footprints. So the larger landlords have the resources. We're-- what I'm concerned about is really creating a fair process where, you know, when you have a small individual who came to this country, acquired different properties, they're sort of navigating this blindly. They may not have the capital that they need to actually build out their commercial space. They have a mixed-use building. We want to make sure that there's some type of process where they too understand that they need to make an educated decision in terms of whether or not they're going to have their storefront--

my time is up. You talk about fair process. I think we all want fair process. There's no fair process in the legal proceeding where oen side has an attorney and high-powered consultants and the other side is on their own. Is that kind of imbalance that is leaving mom and pop stores to the mercy of more powerful landlords, and it's that kind of imbalance that we need to correct.

COMMISSIONER BISHOP: And that's why we-that's why we created the Commercial Lease Assistance
Program to provide attorneys for those small

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

businesses, but again, the unintended consequences of this bill, it relies on arbitration, and I think that is putting a lot of onus on small businesses to actually have an effective argument and we are concerned about that.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you, Mr.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you. I'm going to remind everyone again, sometimes we're deaf toned to the signal, so please, under three minutes.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Thank you, Chair, and thank you to the Speaker, and I'm really happy that finally we're having this hearing, right? After-- I think the last time there was a hearing on this bill or similar was 2009, before some of us got elected to the City Council. Commissioner, you know, in my district I know that a lot of you heard about the book store that the Speaker talked about, McNally's Bookstore. That's going to be forced to close, and they're going to relocate somewhere else, and they've been in the community on Mulberry Street for a very, very long time, and also right next to them was the Mets [sic] Supermarket that was closed

25

increase, or the landlord that just absolutely refuse

to renew the lease, because they want some other

2.2

23

24

25

business in your community as well. We are providing resources. I think one of the things we have said is that we want to provide resources to the businesses at the upfront to make sure that they have consistency in the leasing process--COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: [interposing] But that's good, but what I'm asking is that when they have an opportunity to renew their lease and they need help with the arbitration-- let's say that we can finally negotiate and get a good bill passed, and that was the option on the table, wouldn't Department of Small Business Services step in and help these small businesses if they have a chance to do

COMMISSIONER BISHOP: So, arbitration is just one of the unintended -- the sort of -- one of the policy concerns we have. I think, you know, one of the things I'm also concerned about is the fact that

small business, but do you recommend that we define

small business within the legislation itself?

24

25

Because what I'm looking to right now is the bill and

will hear from advocates today that they are willing

the text and how we could improve it, because you

to make changes as long as it stays within the

mission and the core of what we're trying to do.

do you recommend that we change the definition?

Because we certainly don't want to help Duane Reade,

So,

2 3

1

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 2.2

23

points.

24

25

but we want to make sure that we are keeping those affordable supermarkets in our neighborhood. COMMISSIONER BISHOP: So, I think, just to be clear, the number that I gave you was the businesses that utilize our services. I think,

share the goal in terms of creating a fair process, and what that looks like I think we would have to work closely together. I will say that as far-- as I've been notified by the law Department, there are some legal concerns with the bill as well, which I did not get into. I was dealing with more the policy

issues, and I think the Law Department will work with

the Council's attorneys to address those legal

again, not to sound like a broken record, but we

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Okay, so have you

determined what it would cost an administering agency

COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS

2.2

to oversee the process? So, if we did have some iteration of the bill that was passed, have you and Mayor's Office looked to what it would cost for you to oversee such a process?

COMMISSIONER BISHOP: We have not.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Have you looked into-- with all the tools that were mentioned by Council Member Kallos and the-- considering that the Administration has had some sort of a writer's block on policy ideas and creative solutions, have you looked into vacancy penalties at all?

COMMISSIONER BISHOP: So--

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: [interposing] I know it's a discussion that you want to have, and we're open to having it. Have you looked into what this could look like?

COMMISSIONER BISHOP: Right, so we have looked at other municipalities to figure out what that looks like, and in certain areas it has mixed results, so we want to work with you to ensure that we have something that actually will address the issue of vacancy.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: So, if we decided that SBS would be the overseeing agency, clearly

2.2

hypothetical. We don't know, and we don't know what type of training that would be needed. And again, it's assuming arbitration is the silver bullet.

There are other policy issues with this bill that, you know, we have not addressed, which is a landlord may not necessarily issue a lease or a landlord may actually bill in the cost of arbitration into the cost of the lease.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: So, this is going to be an important step. I guess my last question is, you know the effects that neighborhoods, that our own neighborhoods when multiple businesses close. So we realize this is not a silver bullet and that there are other factors in terms of closing small businesses are you willing to work with us in terms of implementing this bill and becoming the overseeing agency?

COMMISSIONER BISHOP: So, we are willing to work with Council to come up with a fair process

considering this legislation because I want to do

25

something about maintaining businesses in my district

1

2

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

3 So, it's really again, I don't have a question and

4 I've been very, very brief. I want the message to

5 get back to the Administration that we need, you

6 know, -- you know, it's nice that you have concerns

7 about this legislation, but we really need if

there's-- if you think that there's a better way, an

9 alternative way, now is the time to speak up.

COMMISSIONER BISHOP: SO, I thank you for that statement. I think we share the same goal of creating a fair process, and certainly we have different tools that we have implemented from giving businesses access to free attorneys to creating a program where we're looking at different interventions to help longstanding businesses. is the first of a kind in terms of being able-business to be eligible for a grant of 90,000 I think, you know, we as an administration, dollars. we do not like to see vacant storefronts. I certainly have said in my community I've lost even some of my favorite restaurants. So, it's an issue that impacts me personally. So, certainly, I want to make sure that we work together to create a fair process.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: I get it that we agreed on the problem. It's the solution that we need to really--

COMMISSIONER BISHOP: [interposing] It is a complex-- it is a complex issue that requires different interventions at -- especially in different neighborhoods around the City.

COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: I'll just conclude, but the time is now, though. Thank you, Chair.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you. Recognize Council Powers.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Thank you. Thanks so much. Thank you for your testimony. I want to thank the Speaker and the Chair for having this committee, because it is a bill that has been here in the Council for a very long time. It's something we all have talked about and hear about often. son of a small business owner. Right across the street from my house for 20 years, so also deeply concerned about where we go from here. And I just want to say, and I don't mean to pile on, but similar feelings as others here, which is I would hope the Administration, if we have another hearing on this

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

23

24

sometime in the future, if there's opposition we'd come with a number of solutions, either bills that are in Albany or in the City Council or other ideas, that if it's not this that we can move forward. Because I do think that the programs you're doing today are good, but they're not saving the small businesses that are in the empty storefronts which we see every day. One thing we didn't talk about, you-one minute you sort of glanced over in your testimony is the legal issues that have been raised with this bill which seemed to be the center of the argument for many years. So, I was hoping maybe you could share with us what those legal concerns are.

COMMISSIONER BISHOP: So, it is -- it's my understanding from the Law Department that there are some legal concerns, and certainly the Law Department has said they're willing to sit down with Council attorney to go over the finer points.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Can you name, enumerate, any of the legal issues that they--[interposing] I COMMISSIONER BISHOP:

think, you know, again, my understanding there's--

because this bill effects the tenant/landlord

3

1

2

4

there.

5

6

7

9

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

17

19 20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Just to be frank, I mean, this is like essential, I think one of the core issues to the bill is the legal argument, and I think the different sides here have a real fundamental debate about whether it's legal or not. I think it's something our lawyers are looking at as well, but certainly we'd appreciate if we can sometimes, so near-- some short term could get an analysis of that. And also, I think to be honest, the public does deserve to hear what those legal arguments are and to know whether they are valid or

COMMISSIONER BISHOP: [interposing] Sure.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: in the testimony.

COMMISSIONER BISHOP: And again, the Law Department has said that short term following this testimony we'll be willing to provide those points.

not, rather than being a sentence in the--

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Okay, but I hope you understand the point. The question I had was, can you talk more about the issues around mediation, arbitration? You've talked a lot about the cost related to mediation and arbitration, the-- what the

3

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

impact would be on small businesses, how that might be prohibitive to businesses. Can you talk about that issue, and then also the issue of no-- the businesses don't have any leases? That's unfamiliar to me, but I'd be curious to hear about the businesses that don't have leases today and the impact on them.

COMMISSIONER BISHOP: Yeah, so there's-in different communities, as Council Member Rodriguez talked about, not only in his community, but there are many different communities across the City where there are longstanding businesses that have a perfectly fine relationship with their landlord, and therefore there isn't the written legal instrument of a lease that governs their relationship. Where we have seen challenges is if that property is then sold to another entity, and that puts that small business at a disadvantage. So, some of the unintended consequences is that, you know, landlords may decide not to issue leases period, and that will actually hurt small businesses, because they need leases to actually get capital, for example. I think the arbitration issue-- again, this is all hypothetical. Without understanding the capacity of arbitrators in

COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS

2.2

New York City, it's sort of assuming that not only this is going to be the silver bullet, it's that the small business is going to have the resources necessary. During arbitration it's all about the case that you present, and this may not— an arbitrator could actually agree with the landlord, etcetera. So there's a lot of issues there that—hypothetical issues that we do not know about, but certainly we share the same goal of creating a fair process for small businesses to get a lease and to renegotiate their lease.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: And under this bill, if you went to arbitration, is it possible you would end up-- you could end up back-- you could end up what market rent is, correct?

COMMISSIONER BISHOP: You could end up with-- you could end up with market rent, higher than market rent. It all depends on the larger resource position that, you know, between-- and in the arbitration process.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank--

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: [interposing] And sorry, just one-- can I just ask one last question, sorry.

COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS

2		CHAIRPERSON	GJONAJ:	So	much	for
3	lightening.					

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: To the issue, I have a number of condos and co-ops in my district who have raised concerns about it, about the impact on residential buildings that have retail on the bottom floor. Have you guys looked at that at all in terms of impact on a residential—you said non-residential earlier, but certainly there are residential properties that have retail and rely on them.

COMMISSIONER BISHOP: Right.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: I'm just wondering if you guys have found any analysis.

there's a lot of unintended consequences in terms of- depending-- and that's why I said this is a complex
issue. Depending on the financials of that particular
building, it may result in that particular building
needing to have a certain tenant. We've heard that.
So, you know, I wouldn't be able to speak
specifically or citywide. We'd have to look at
property by property.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you. I recognize Council Yeger.

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Chairman, Mr. Speaker. Commissioner, in your estimation is the bigger problem facing the City the number of lenty [sic] vacancies, which is just

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Thank you, Mr.

for a very long period of time in some cases, or is

keeping storefronts unoccupied for a longer period,

the notion of existing businesses having their rents or their proposed rents proposed to be much larger

than a rent that they'd be willing to accept?

COMMISSIONER BISHOP: Right. That's a great question, and it's also a complicated question. I think, you know, we are concerned about vacant-vacancies in commercial districts. We do not want to see storefronts that remain vacant for an extended period of time. It affects the character of the neighborhood. It affects the quality of the neighborhood. It robs the neighborhood of essential resources. So, certainly that's why we've made investments on the neighborhood, on our neighborhood development side to really create localized solutions to help those particular areas, commercial districts, help address that particular issue.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: I have a clock, Commissioner, so I'm going to-- I'm going to try to

1

8 9

6

7

10 11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2 23

24

25

be as brief as possible. The bill's been framed as not commercial rent control by members of the Council and by advocates and I'm hopeful that that's the But my question for you is, everything that I see in the bill is really about the relationship between the existing tenant and the landlord and as it affects the rent. Do you see anything in this bill that would affect the number or the ability right now of the City to occupy and have storefronts that are currently vacant occupied?

COMMISSIONER BISHOP: I-- I think the-the bill is focused on trying to create a fair process through the lease negotiation and lease renewal terms. The policy concerns that we have could, I think to your point, -- you know, I don't know if it's going to address the issue of vacancy. I think a vacancy tax or some type of storefront registry would be something that would affect the vacancy concerns that we have as a city.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay. you've indicated a number of times in your answers, nothing in this bill actually helps, and possibly, in your estimation, and I don't want to put words in your mouth, so correct me if I'm wrong, can actually

1	COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS 94
2	hurt businesses from being able to get the leases in
3	the first place, and that's because landlords may say
4	well, if you know, I get into a lease with a tenant
5	who's knocking on my door right now, and then I want
6	to do something later in ten years, I'll be
7	prohibited from doing that. I might as well just
8	leave it vacant. That could be that could in fact
9	exacerbate the problem that we're seeing right now in
10	vacancies. Is that correct?
11	COMMISSIONER BISHOP: I mean, that's one
12	thing. I mean, it there's it's so many
13	unintended consequences and we're all speaking in
14	sort of hypothetical issues. The landlord could say,
15	"Well, I'm not going to I'm no longer going to
16	issue leases." And that could hurt small businesses.
17	So, there's a number of unintended consequences with
18	this bill.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Alright,
20	Commissioner, what do you think about the City of New
21	York simply effectuating eminent domain over
22	properties where
23	[applause]
24	COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: over properties

that are vacant, and or even not vacant, where the

indicated, actually the members of this Council who

25

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

23 24

25

asked you questions earlier indicated and I think you've agreed that this bill would actually not only benefit the small mom and pop store and the bodega on the corner, but actually the large bank that's looking for a better deal, the Goldman Sachs, if you will. Can you expand on that a little bit on how you see no difference in this bill between how it treats any tenant across the City?

COMMISSIONER BISHOP: Right. I think because the bill just has a blanket in terms of the commercial. So certainly some of the -- it applies to all commercial tenants, so not just mom and pops. mean, it -- we are speaking in hypotheticals, so that is certainly one of the policy concerns we have, but again, we share the same goal in terms of figuring out a way to support small businesses and having a fair process in the leasing and lease renegotiation.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Thank you, Commissioner.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you. Commissioner, I want to thank you for your time, and we're going to have to continue this conversation. We have many more follow-ups with you, but there's many people signed up to testify. I want a true

1	COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS 97
2	commitment from you that we will have some type of
3	study/survey done in the near future, and a real
4	commitment as you know it.
5	COMMISSIONER BISHOP: Certainly, so we
6	will happy to work with you
7	CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: [interposing] Great.
8	COMMISSIONER BISHOP: on what that
9	solution looks like, and I will have some members of
10	my team remain for the remainder of this hearing to
11	make sure that we hear not only from the advocates,
12	but from the different stakeholders as well.
13	CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you,
14	Commissioner. We'll call up the next panel, and it
15	would be Borough President Gale Brewer, Assembly Dick
16	Gottfried, Council and Borough President Ruth
17	Messinger, and Brad Hoylman [sp?].
18	[applause]
19	SPEAKER JOHNSON: Gale, if you want to
20	start.
21	BOROUGH PRESIDENT BREWER: I think my
22	staff has testimony. Can you give it out?
23	SPEAKER JOHNSON: They did. We have it.
24	BOROUGH PRESIDENT BREWER: You have it?
25	Okay.

2 SPEAKER JOHNSON: Yep.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

BOROUGH PRESIDENT BREWER: So, I'm Gale Brewer, Manhattan Borough President. I want to thank you all for-- Chair, Speaker, we're all talking about the SBJSA. As you know, I worked on this bill during my time as City Council staff member with the very distinguished person to my right, Ruth Messinger, and I have continued to fight for small business throughout my career. I believe I'm the only elected official that has actually passed an amendment to the zoning regulation to restrict storefront signs. also published in the Borough President's Office a report with comprehensive recommendations on saving storefronters, and we walked the entire length of Broadway to identify the hundreds of vacancies. The crisis facing small business, which inspired the SBJSA, has only gotten worse. National chains spread throughout the City. I throw up when I see a 711. Storefronts have been vacant for years, and online shopping is reducing foot traffic to our local shops. While I fully agree with the goals of the SBJSA, I have concerns about how effective the current version of the bill is. As it is currently written, the bill applies to all commercial leases, including thousands

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

of white shoe law firms, hedge funds, and other financial institutions. They don't need our support. The scope of the legislation would first need to be significantly narrowed, whether it should be narrowed to small business, small retails, store-fronters [sic], or legacy businesses, which are long-term neighborhood businesses, needs to be studied as does how those terms might be strictly defined to withstand a legal challenge as you heard earlier. The act must not be so cumbersome to implement for both owners and tenants that there are unintended consequences for landlords. Will the regulatory burdens of the act encourage them to sign up national chains that will always be able to pay rent increases, resulting in fewer opportunities for store-fronters, that's the question? For existing small commercial tenants who operate without leases, from month to month, the provisions of the act must not increase the likelihood that they will be forced out. I also think the arbitration and right of first refusal provisions in the act could be streamlined. For example, mandatory mediation with a required negotiation period might actually enable significant

numbers of financially sound small businesses to stay

in place. The act could also require periodic review to determine if the provisions are working and how to improve them if necessary. A carefully tailored bill would likely raise fewer legal issues and reduce
improve them if necessary. A carefully tailored bill
would likely raise fewer legal issues and reduce
costly and lengthy litigation. Next, I would like to
talk about approaches which can be implemented now. I
support the creation of a citywide registry law,
which would require those holding commercial spaces
vacant to regis last summer, as I said, we walked
all of Broadway to count the many vacant storefronts.
While their survey provided a snapshot of the
vacancy, we need to systemically track storefronts.
This information will provide a complete
understanding of the problem, and it will track it.
And as the initiator of the City's Municipal Open
Data Portal, I know about data. We need that full
understanding, and as we heard earlier, the City is
not doing it. There are other proven methods for
helping small businesses which I believe need greater
consideration. In 2012 as a Council Member I passed
zoning protections for small storefront businesses in
the upper west side, particularly banks and chain

Drug stores, because they were dominating every

single block. One of these protections was the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

creation, as you heard earlier, of the upper west side special enhanced commercial district. It limit the size of storefronts from West 72^{nd} Street to 110^{th} Street banks can only be 25 feet long and new sores only 40 feet long. It requires ne development to include retail space on the ground floor. And then, thanks to the City Council, you did the report in 2017 planning for retail diversity and it found the zonings to be very effective. Additionally, neighborhoods like the East Village have been seeing their character eroded. You heard that earlier from the Council Members. Existing programs with proven benefits of the community can be expanded and adopted to solve these problems facing small businesses. Fresh is an example, and the other issue have to deal with is the commercial rent tax. I have a bill that would exempt super markets, to the credit of Council Member Garodnick, former Council Member, and the Council that got rid of some of the CRT. The whole thing needs to go. The City must take advantage of its own assets to assist small businesses. many kiosks in other city-owned locations which can help support small businesses. Let me point out that the kiosks at One Center Street which the Department

3 4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

President. Next we'll have Borough President Messinger.

> BOROUGH PRESIDENT MESSINGER: [off mic]

> CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you, Borough

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Ruth, turn your mic on.

must look to support public markets and pop ups. They can go in some of these vacant spaces. Our goal is yours to protect the small businesses which

of Transportation rented for years have been vacant

for three years. It's a disgrace. Additionally, we

contribute to the character of our neighborhoods.

need to now identify programs that other cities are

instituting and look at them. These challenges are very varied for the small businesses. There's no

single solution but we must immediately reduce the

burden with reforms and incentives that are carefully

tailored and help ensure their success through close

monitoring and adjustment as necessary. We need to pass legislation here in the Council and in Albany if

necessary. The pizza store, as was an example in my

neighborhood, on 84th and Amsterdam, was kicked out

two years ago, and it has not been re-rented, and is

sitting there empty, and it was beloved. Thank you

very much.

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

BOROUGH PRESIDENT MESSINGER: Yeah.

Members of the Council, thank you very much. dramatic for me. It's 21 years since I left public office, and 29 years since I was privileged to serve in the Council. I promise you I have kept track of elected officials, policy and politics in the City since that time, and salute you for the work that you do on behalf of the people of New York and for your efforts to try to find the right solutions to the complex challenges. I want to thank the Committee Chair and the Speaker and Council Member Rodriguez particularly for their opening statements, which I think described the problem in some great detail as did some other Council Members. I do want to say that this is an area in which individual stories, as powerful as they are and as much as we all like to use them, because it's a favorite restaurant, it's a favorite dry cleaner, sometimes lead in my experience to people diminishing the scope of the problem. is a huge problem citywide. It is amazing to me that I introduced a legislation quite similar to this 32 years ago to provide some protection to small businesses hit with astronomical rent increases. You basically know the problem, so I'm not going to read

2	all of my testimony, but I want to add a couple of
3	points. One of the things that was happening then,
4	then it was happening on the west side, now it's
5	happening all over, and I feel like the Commissioner
6	did not pay adequate attention to this. Most
7	business owners understand that rent is a key piece
8	of their capacity to operate, and therefore, they
9	know what the nature of their lease is, and they will
10	and do approach landlords months before the lease
11	expires to say, "Can we talk? What kind of increase
12	are you going to want?" By in large, in my
13	experience, in many of the places and situations
14	we're talking about, they just get no answers.
15	There's no obligation on the owner to sit with them.
16	The owners are clearly imagining that they can get
17	infinitely more for the space than that tenant can
18	pay. They may be right. They may be wrong, but they
19	literally do not respond. So, that's an area in
20	which there's no power left for the small business
21	person who is critical to this operation. Then, I
22	think there's not been enough attention paid to the
23	fact that many small businesses that are then told
24	the new lease it's not only a 300/500 percent
25	increase, but it's being offered for a very short

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

term, because the owner is thinking, well, I'll do 300 percent now and then I'll do another 300 percent in three years, which means that the small business person can't put any of her or his money into building up the capital of the business which makes it harder for the business to make a profit that can cover the rent. As I said, the story is spreading every place. I wnt to note that there are many, many businesses, I guess I just alluded to that, that are willing to pay some increase, sometimes a sizable one that we're never able to engage in any sort of lease negotiation. When those small businesses leave the neighborhood, and I heard a couple of remarks about we'll help them relocate. These are businesses whose clientele is right there. Occasionally, there's a lucky opportunity, relocate around the corner, but by in large these are businesses that need to be in the neighborhoods where they built up customer satisfaction. This business of new businesses coming in-- so, yes, lots of spaces just staying empty which is just appalling on the notion that there is not proactive effort by the City to keep track of that and to start to make those lists as they apparently have promised you is really distressing to me, but I

2	also want to say that when new businesses come in,
3	first of all, they're a gamble. So, it's again, it's
4	a small business, but now instead of trying to pay a
5	\$4,000 a month, it's being asked for \$20,000 a month,
6	and it has no built up clientele. So many of these
7	storefronts on the west side, which Gale can verify,
8	rotate then. Some new business, you lose your old or
9	you lose your favorite dry cleaner. Something new
10	comes in. It's not a dry cleaner, but oh, it's a
11	place that you might wish to give your business to,
12	and it's gone in three years. This issue of vacancy
13	is, I think, hugely troubling, and we did some
14	research 32 years ago in which it appeared this is
15	not of matter of fact because I don't have access to
16	City tax records but in which it appeared that
17	owners would then file saying that their building was
18	not as profitable as it had been, because they were
19	getting less total income from the building. They
20	were getting less total income because they were
21	keeping a whole row of storefronts vacant, but if
22	they get less income, that is a cost to the City of
23	New York. They then appeal for a lowering of their
24	property taxes because their building isn't
25	producing, so there's an easy place for the City to

2 step in and look at issues of vacancy. If you're using your vacancy to lower the money that goes to 3 the City of New York, then surely not only the 4 community and the citizenry, but the City Council 5 should be concerned. I can't resist just saying that 6 7 at least on the west side, Gale referred to this, the spaces went and go still dramatically to drug stores 8 and banks, and I think eventually every person on the 9 west side -- I hear this all the time -- has the same 10 question, which is what neighborhood needs a large 11 12 drug store on every corner, and what on earth are 13 those banks doing, especially since almost everybody 14 now banks online. And so it appears that these chain 15 businesses are to some extent using this space simply 16 to advertise their brand. The essence of the legislation is a little different now than it was in 17 18 1986, but not dramatically. The notion is to require the parties to come together to require some time for 19 20 negotiation, and then to require, if necessary, some form of arbitration. We recognize that all the small 21 2.2 businesses that work with us, that some of them would 23 not be able to pay an increase that was agreed on, but at least they would have had their chance to 24 25 negotiate and to be able to stay where they are where

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

their customer base is. The testimony of the City that there could be problems in arbitration because the owners have more access to more paperwork, yeah, but they get them -- they get some sharing of information. Right now there's no opportunity to see any of that because there is no required arbitration. For me, this is a proposal for negotiation and arbitration, proposal for the City to step in and regulate a system that is no longer serving any of its parties adequately, certainly not the entrepreneurs, the workers, the communities, and their citizenry. I want to just-- one or two other personal notes. I've been contacted about this legislation by people in other cities, by people in this city and by members of the press on this issue more than any other issue that I actually managed to get passed, which I'm very proud of, but this is clearly an issue all over the place. I thought I would note for you that last year a well-known figure, who I will not identify, came up to me in a restaurant and said, "Remember when I was working with the Mayor against your commercial rent bill? Well, now the problem is infecting my neighborhood, and I see how right you were." I'm not going to

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

identify him, but I want to take this moment to salute one of the wonderful business leaders forced out by exorbitantly large rent increases. His name is Alan Ruben [sp?]. He inherited and ran Radio Clinic on Broadway and 98th Street. There is a new book about him by this daughter called We are Staying. We are Staying refers to the fact that this business which had been growing for about 50 years stood by the community after the 1977 riots. store was rebuilt, expanded its business into appliances, mobilized other businesses to stay and continued to serve the community until it was forced out by excessive rent. So, this is a story of an 80year business that was put out despite its commitment to stay. In conclusion, I would just say that this city thrives when it builds communities, strengthens neighborhoods, and provides business opportunities and jobs for its citizenry. Huge rent increases and failures to negotiate put small businesses out of business, strangle entrepreneurs, damage neighborhood quality of life, and take away jobs, threatening the fabric of life in this city. Vacant storefronts make the problem even worse. So, Council Members, it is long past time for city elected officials to

I do want to-- and there are many people here today

who will also eloquently explain the need for this

24

2.2

23

24

25

very reasonable and sensible piece of legislation. I just want to respond to a couple of-- well, to one point, and to offer a thought. The representative from the Mayor's Office was talking about how cumbersome the arbitration process could be, and it could be expensive and difficult for small tenants to deal with, etcetera. Well, today, they don't have to worry about a complicated arbitration process. It's very simple. We either pay the rent the landlord is demanding or you get out, or the landlord doesn't offer you the opportunity to pay a rent and just says, "Get out." Under the bill, the-- if a commercial tenant chooses to, the commercial tenant can stick with that system under the bill if the landlord demands a rent, the commercial tenant is perfectly free to say, "That's a lot, but sure I'll pay it." Or if la-- or the tenant can say, "I can't pay that. I'll just leave." Or if the landlord doesn't offer a new lease, the tenant has the option of saying, "Oh, that's too bad. I'll leave." So, the tenant will have all the options they have today if they find the arbitration process to onerous and complicated. I think an awful lot of tenants, though, will seize on that opportunity and will be

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

grateful for the opportunity to stay and continue to serve their community, and they'll be grateful to the Council for giving them that opportunity. The other thing I wanted to say is you've heard people-- you will hear more people saying, "Oh, I support the concept of the bill, but you need to make a couple of changes." Nobody is going to sit down with you and seriously talk about making changes and bargain seriously until the bill is moving to the floor or is on the floor and is moving to passage. That's when people will take the bill seriously and seriously sit down and say, okay, can we change this line and that line. Until you get to that point, 10-20 years from now, you know, your successors, my successor -- at some time I will have a successor -- will be in the same place on this bill. So, even if you agree that there are things that could be changed in the bill, the only way to get that kind of negotiation going and get those whatever changes need to be made, the only way to make that happen is to get this bill to the floor and be on the verge of calling the roll. Thank you.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Excuse me. Dick, I am so grateful and proud that you are my Assembly

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

Member. So I'm glad that you're here and I'm glad that my State Senator is here as well. I just want to say one thing. You know, today's hearing is about moving this bill. We wouldn't be having this hearing--

ASSEMBLY MEMBER GOTTFRIED: [interposing]
Yep.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: if we weren't very seriously contemplating this measure, hearing feedback from elected officials and advocates and other folks that are in this room to determine the best path forward. but just process-wise, you know, here at the Council we start to make changes on legislation after a hearing and before it gets to the floor, because we have to age bills, you know, a certain number of days before they can come up for a vote, and so this is really the first step in that That's why we're having this open, fair, process. thorough, and long hearing today, which to hear feedback from all stakeholders like you, which your testimony was fantastic, to be able to understand what changes need to be made from all sides before we start through that process. So, I just want folks to know that changes don't happen on the floor of the

part of the west side and east side of Manhattan.

near about this problem a lot, which is why my stair
and I last summer produced a report called Bleaker or
Bleecker, which we identified as a project to look at
the issue of high rent blight, as it's known, as it
was coined by law professor Tim Wu, in my
neighborhood. Just to tell you what we found by
virtue of going out with teams of people on the
street. We found everything from a 6.67 vacancy rate
on Second Avenue from Third to 14 th Street to a 6.52
percent vacancy rate on Eighth Avenue from 15 th to
22 nd , and on Bleecker Street from Sixth Avenue to
Eighth Avenue, an 18.44 vacancy rate, literally
almost one in five storefronts were vacant. And this
problem, as you know, cannot be viewed in a vacuum.
Although, tell that to the operators of Desco Vacuum
Sales and Services on 14 th Street. They had been
around since 1950 and lost their lease. We have to
look at, of course, the issue of online retail and
the impact of it. But, as was pointed out earlier,
and they say you can't manage what you don't measure.
So, we really need current data on both storefront
vacancies and the impact of online sales on our small
businesses and retailers, in particular. I, in this
report, have proposed a number of possible solutions.

2	First, I want to applaud the Council for taking steps						
3	on raising the threshold of the commercial rent tax.						
4	The question is, why can't we raise it further? Why						
5	shouldn't we eliminate it altogether? Secondly, in						
6	terms of the bill itself before us, one of the most						
7	important things it points out, as was alluded to						
8	earlier by your colleagues, Mr. Chair, is the lack o						
9	a level playing field between landlords and tenants						
10	when it comes to small businesses, and just as the						
11	Council has done in terms of residential tenants, I						
12	think commercial tenants do need a leg-up and do need						
13	assistance with those negotiations. The current						
14	leases are landlord leases. They should be designed						
15	differently to favor the tenant if anyone, not the						
16	landlord who generally has the most resources at his						
17	or her disposal. Let me also say that the State can						
18	do something, too. Currently, in when we talk						
19	about vacancy taxes, it's legislation that I'm						
20	actually looking at with Assemblywoman Deborah Glick,						
21	the State is limited in its ability to impact local						
22	zoning decisions, but one way we can act is by						
23	utilizing our taxing authority. Landlords who leave						
24	retail storefronts vacant can't deduct cannot						
25	deduct the lost potential rental income they would						

1 2 have received from their state income tax liability. They, like all owners of commercial real estate, are 3 4 able, though, to receive deductions for depreciation 5 of the property and operating expenses. To create a dis-incentive for leaving retail storefronts vacant, 6 7 one possibility is that the state could explore phasing out those deductions on a sliding scale for 8 building owners who leave retail spaces vacant for 9 over a year. New York also could consider other tax 10 incentives, credits or penalties to dissuade 11 12 landlords from keeping a store vacant. One example 13 of this type of policy is in the City of London, 14 which provides commercial building owners who lose 15 their tenants a short period of relief on their 16 business taxes. After three months the tax relief 17 expires and the owners must pay the full business 18 rates even if the store is vacant. That's meant to encourage landlords to rent out their space. 19 20 hope you take a look at the report that I created. really commend you for moving forward with this 21 2.2 legislation. We should look at other jurisdictions, 23 of course. San Francisco, as you know, has a legacy business registry where historic businesses in 24

existence for 30 years or longer are provided certain

benefits, tax credits, historic tax credits, to help them with their operation, and we also need to look at providing small business owners with certain loan disclosures. California, I'll close with this, is the only state to give small business owners the same protections that the Truth in Lending Laws have given to consumer borrowing. So, there are a lot of things we can do in Albany to improve the state of play for small business owners, and I look forward to working with the Speaker and you, Mr. Chair, and forwarding these proposals up in Albany. Thank you.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Thank you all so much.

I'm really grateful, of course, to have three of my
local colleagues that I get to work with, the Borough
President, my State Senator, and my Assembly Member.

Thank you all for being here today.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: I want to thank you all. We do have a question from Councilman Kallos.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: So, I have three minutes. I have questions for our Borough President, our State Senator, and pretty much the whole panel, so please be as brief as possible. To the Borough President, I asked the SBS Commissioner about the mom and pop zoning that you had done on the west side.

2.

1

_

3

-

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

tool box to address th

Is that a useful tool? To our State Senator, what is the likelihood of passing legislation on the state level to dis-incentivize warehousing? And to the other two members on the panel, just regarding your enthusiasm and why this of all the many issues you're

working on, this has gotten so much of your

attention?

BOROUGH PRESIDENT BREWER: Thank you very Regarding the west side zoning, it was passed in 2012. I asked the City Planning Commissioner, which was the city agency involved, and they have not been able or refused because they said no study is possible because not enough time has passed, and I often ask. However, I want to thank the City Council, because in your wonderful planning for retail diversity, you have done a little bit of a study and you found that these zoning reforms to be effective. Vacancies on the protective blocks were lower than on streets without protections. So, I do think that, and I want to thank the City Council. The City Planning Commission should do a study. think that zoning is a tool that is one tool in our tool box to address this issue.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SENATOR BRAD HOYLMAN: In response to your question about the likelihood of action in Albany, ask me on November 7th. I would also add that the State of New York can enable the City to place limits on formula [sic] retail uses. That's legislation that I carry, again, with Assemblywoman Deborah Glick. It's something we should look at to give the City even more authority in that regard.

So, I just wanted to RUTH MESSINGER: say-- I mean, I tried to say it. I think that-- and I think all of you know it as well as anybody, but it's like-- it's a reflection on what is the nature of the quality of life in New York City. You know, the Council's concerned, the city is concerned about mass transit, about a variety of other things. Yorkers lead very complicated lives, and for many, many years they relied on the range of neighborhood services being immediately there. Yes, some of those can be done online. Yes, some of those, but talk to people who have lost the services on which they depended becomes a huge issue. And they're likely, by the way, then they do other things. There are New Yorkers who have cars who have no decided to do some of their shopping outside of the City. This all

3

15

16

17

18

19

24

25

really reflects back, on sort of the nature of life in the City and the ways in which small businesses

4 and their clientele together building community. And

5 when you have these vacant areas and these blocks

6 [sic] looking [sic] people, I know people who moved

7 into the City who were saying like, "I don't get why

8 | there's so many empty storefronts. Isn't this a

9 thriving economy?" So, we're sending very mixed

10 messages by not controlling any of this and allowing

11 people who see their own interest in the market to

12 dominate all decisions, and I think it's dangerous

for the City and its neighborhoods, and I think

14 eventually it hurts the City tax base.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER GOTTFRIED: Good question why there's issue. Partly, it's because it's for every New Yorker it's something that confronts us, you know, on a daily basis. I think part of my interest in this issue is that I really don't like

20 people having really unlimited power over other

21 people, and in-- particularly in commercial

22 | landlord/tenant relationships, that's what the law

23 today tolerates, and that just burns me.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: I want to thank the Chair for this indulgence, just a quick follow-up

matter who--

COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS

2 CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: [interposing] Can you 3 repeat that again, please?

BOROUGH PRESIDENT BREWER: It has to be independent of the Mayor--

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: [interposing] I'm sorry, I'm not hearing you very well.

[laughter]

2.1

2.2

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you so much.

SENATOR BRAD HOYLMAN: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: We call up David Eisenbach, James Klein, and Elvis Silverio. David, could we begin with you?

DAVID EISENBACH: Sure. For 400 years there's been a basic relationship that built New York City. It was the land— it was the landlord and a tenant. From before when we were called New York, when we were called New Netherlands, you had a landlord and he brought in a tenant. And it didn't matter what language that tenant spoke or what food he served in his restaurant or sold in the store, it was all about the rent, could you pay the rent? And that notion created the greatest marketplace on earth, and people from all over came to New York to fulfill their dream, from Wisconsin to Warsaw to

2	Shanghai to Santo Domingo, they came here. Sometimes
3	their dream was to be a great artist. Sometimes
4	their dream was to be a great chef. Sometimes it was
5	just to provide a living for their family, but they
6	knew they could do it here, even if they didn't have
7	money to open up their own small business, they could
8	get a job in a small business, but what have we seen?
9	We've heard a lot about hypotheticals. Well, I got
10	some facts. The New York Times reported quoting from
11	Douglas Elliman that the vacancy rate in retail in
12	Manhattan went from seven percent in 2016 to 20
13	percent in two years. Now, if I were a Mayor or I
14	were the head of the Small Business Services and that
15	was a fact, I'd be pretty damned embarrassed. We
16	have 250,000 small business owners who right now are
17	hoping that you pass this bill. They couldn't be
18	here because they're working, because they're
19	desperately trying to pay that rent, and they know
20	that this act cannot wait. We've got the biggest
21	David/Goliath story since Jane Jacobs took on Robert
22	Moses, and just like that fight, just like that fight
23	it's a battle for the soul of New York. What kind of
24	New York do you want? You want one of chain stores,
25	or do you want one of Chinatown? Do you want one

COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS

where it's all about We Work spaces or do you want					
garment district factories? This is the choice, and					
I say I side with my fellow New Yorkers who depend on					
small business for their jobs. I side with David					
against Goliath. I side with Nick Belkof [sp?], yoga					
studio teacher. I side with Ming Lam [sp?], garment					
district and restaurateur. I side with my dentist,					
Michael Chang on Second Avenue. He can't be here					
because he's got to clean some teeth, but I side with					
them. And so please, looking forward to this					
conversation. Looking forward to answering all your					
questions, and we will have facts and we will have					
answers on why this bill is perfectly legal. Thank					
you.					

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you, David. David, I do have a question for you.

DAVID EISENBACH: Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Have you actually seen the survey that shows 20 percent vacancy?

DAVID EISENBACH: It was quoted in the New York Times. Douglas Elliman retail vacancy rate conducted a survey.

 $\label{eq:chairperson} \mbox{CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ:} \quad \mbox{I've been asking for }$ that survey.

1	COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS 120							
2	DAVID EISENBACH: Yeah.							
3	CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: No one's been able							
4	to produce it.							
5	DAVID EISENBACH: Believe me, they're not							
6	going to give it me. Maybe there's somebody in the							
7	office who works for Douglas Elliman who has access							
8	to the survey, but							
9	CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: [interposing] We've							
10	been trying to get it. There's no one that that							
11	survey does not exist. It sounds like							
12	DAVID EISENBACH: [interposing] You know							
13	why? It really hurts							
14	CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: [interposing] a							
15	misquote.							
16	DAVID EISENBACH: It hurts their							
17	argument, Mark. So, they probably won't give it to							
18	you.							
19	CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Or we can take the							
20	approach that you should don't always believe what							
21	you read.							
22	DAVID EISENBACH: Okay. Okay. I believe							
23	what I see. I believe what I hear, and I see empty							
24	storefronts. I see empty storefronts in every							

neighborhood in New York City. I walk around the

2.2

upper west side, right, talk about zoning, how that helped. It is devastated. It's depressing walking around the upper west side, how many vacant empty storefronts. I believe what I hear from small business owners who don't complain to me about the water bill. Yeah, taxes are a pain. Yeah, fines, yeah, regulations, but their number one concern is the rent. And the knowledge that they—it's a ticking time bomb. They are just counting down the days until their lease comes up and they know their landlord is not going to renew, and they're either going to have to find a new space or just find something else to do. That's what I believe, Mark.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: David, thank you, but I also come from that small business world, and prior to entering politics, I was a small business owner. Rent was a concern, but not my only concern. I talk to small businesses day in and day out. I've met with every facet, every industry, every bid, every merchants association, every chamber, and it's just not rent by itself. There are many other--

DAVID EISENBACH: [interposing] I--

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: issues out there that impact, but it's very hard for me to believe, because

COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS

2	I too drive through Manhattan and the outer boroughs
3	and I visit them all, 20 percent vacancy means one in
4	five stores, and that is not the case.

DAVID EISENBACH: This is—— this is the number that Douglas Elliman provides, the number that New York Times provided.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you. Next-DAVID EISENBACH: [interposing] And by
the way, I did not say it was the only issue. I said
it is the main issue, and we're going to actually
hear from small business owners today if you don't
believe.

 $\label{eq:chairperson GJONAJ: Which I'm looking} % \end{substantial}% % \end{substantial}%$

ELVIS SILVERIO: You know-- first of all, my name is Elvis Silverio from the National Restaurant Bar and Lounge Association. I had a speech that I was going to delegate today. I think it was just-- I'm going to put it aside. I want to speak from the heart, because I think this is what we're here for. We just want to--

SPEAKER JOHNSON: [interposing] If you could speak a little closer into the mic.

2.2

_

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1314

15

16

1718

19

20

21

22

24

25

ELVIS SILVERIO: Every time I come to this room I look up and it says--

SPEAKER JOHNSON: [interposing] You have to speak into the mic, we can't hear you.

ELVIS SILVERIO: Can you hear me now?

ELVIS SILVERIO: Every time I come in

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Now we can.

here I look up and it says, "A government by the people, for the people," and so forth. And now I'm wondering, is it for the people? So, I'm a third generation business owner. I'm looking for a fourth generation. That's not the case today. So is it for the people? I don't think so. It's more for the Fortune 500 companies and the landlords that are looking for the big hike and say, "Listen, in two years you'll be out of here. I could get \$30,000 for this rent." Maybe. But right now, I got to put food on my table. Right now I've got to provide for 300 employees. How am I going to do that if this is for the people? Well, the only thing that I came to is move to another state. Move out of the country. I came here for an opportunity, but it seems that small businesses are being pushed out each and each day. Good point that you had earlier, this is not the only

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

We have many other issues, but without rent, you won't have an issue. So we need to establish something first, and it's covering your rent. can't cover that, we can't stay in business. So, how do you expect us to sit here and just imagine that it's for the people? I mean, I got to say, it's just-- there's a word that I'm looking for, but I can't say it in here, but it is, it's that word. we not only here to fight for rent, for landlord, for There are many, many issues that are affecting small businesses. I was here almost six months ago talking about another issue, and it was the Clean Air Act bill. Unfortunately, today, tomorrow we'll be closing close to 600, maybe seven employees will be losing their job or maybe 700 will be losing a job tonight, but that's not the only issue. We have many issues that you guys tend to push aside and let others determine how small businesses should be part of this city. We are the backbone of this city. are, because many of you guys here, I'm pretty sure you have a favorite deli, a favorite pizzeria like I had. Angelo's Pizzeria is gone. It's now called T-Mobile. So, where should I take my son to eat pizza

now, to a Fortune 500 company like Papa John's?

1	COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS 131							
2	and pops, that's where the pizza is. That's where a							
3	good deli, a good sandwich, a good gyro. That's							
4	where I want to go. I don't want to go to Chipotle.							
5	I don't like Chipotle. I like a homemade sandwich.							
6	So, don't put us aside. We've been here a long time,							
7	and we're going to continue to fight to be here, but							
8	you guys tend to cater to the Fortune 500 Company.							

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you, Elvis. 10 Well put. 11

What the hell are we doing here? Thank you.

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

JAMES KLEIN: Hi, I'm James Klein. with the Friends of the SBJSA group, and I have to say that I've been very impressed. Some of you have met with us, and we've had a very long spirited meeting with Chair Gjonaj. We've met many times with the Speaker's staff, and they've been very, very helpful and receptive to discussing this issues, and I think the thing that I want to say is that it's actually very encouraging to see the Council taking these issues so seriously and talk about the substance of the issues and the complexity of the issues. I must say I was very disappointed by the Mayor's representative who didn't seem to be very well versed in either this bill or the Mayor's

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

position on it, and that was disappointing. thing I want to talk about is the most important issue actually in the room today, and that is the issue of these silly blue hats. If New Yorkers have learned anything over the last two years, we have learned that when a mob shows up in colored hats, New Yorkers lose, and the problem that I have is that these hats represent something, and they represent a lack of understanding of the issues that face our city. Now, the thing that that hat says, it says, "Vote no on commercial rent control." I want to amplify what Councilman Rodriguez says. Everybody knows this is not commercial rent control. Everyone on the Council probably knows it. All the ones who are advocating for this bill know it, and all the people who are wearing those blue hats and the companies that they come from know it. And how do I know that? I'm looking at, and I've looked at this very much in the last few days, the paper that was put out by the City Bar Association that said that the City couldn't do this. It required some emergency, you know, designation by the State as an emergency. It's a totally bogus paper, and I'll tell you why. On page four, the first line of the

2 argument, they say, "The Committee views these 3 provisions as the equivalent of rent control." They don't say it's rent control, and then they have a 4 footnote, and the footnote says, "Rent control is 5 generally considered and assumed to be a statutory 6 mechanism that limits the amount of rent that may be charged. So, they set up a straw man that's a bogus 8 argument, and they know that it's a bogus argument, 9 and they put it in a bogus magic footnote where they 10 11 define this bill in a way that isn't in this bill. 12 All of you people have read this bill. I've read every single word of this bill. There's nothing in 13 14 this bill that says the government creates the amount 15 of rent for commercial spaces. Section E3 has 14 16 different criteria of all kinds of different ways 17 that a private arbitrator creates the rent. There is 18 nothing that suggests that this bill is in any way rent controlled, and I think we have had a very 19 substantive conversation, and we've all appreciated 20 it, and we're going to continue to talk about these 21 2.2 issues and continue to negotiate after this hearing 23 as we move this to the floor, and it would be very helpful if we can come out of this hearing today, 24

everyone with the understanding that this is not

acknowledged here today and trying to figure out a

way to do it. I want to just say a couple things.

24

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

am not an attorney. I do not believe, and I was not involved, no one told me they were doing it, I didn't speak to them, I saw it when it was poste online, I do not believe the New York City Bar Association, which is a very good association with I think a pretty sterling reputation, put out a junk paper for political reasons. I don't think that's what happened. I think that the language you cited on the equivalency, I don't believe that this is commercial rent control. So I don't believe that. I agree with I don't think this is commercial rent control, but I do think that there are folks that have concerns, and we have to work through those concerns. They may not have been totally spelled out in the document that you were citing, but that's what the legislative process is. That is why we're having folks testify. That's why it's important that you testify and David testifies and Elvis testifies. want to get folks on the record. We want to hear their ideas. We want to understand what people's concerns are. That's the legislative process. That's what we do all the time. So, but I don't think that that's -- that the Bar Association did that for some ulterior motive.

25

2	JAMES KLEIN: Can I just address that?							
3	Because I'm a member of the Bar Association, and my							
4	point in doing it was not to bash the Bar							
5	Association. My point in saying what I said was we've							
6	spent a tremendous amount of time looking at this							
7	bill, looking at the issues. as you probably know,							
8	you and I have actually never met, but I've spent a							
9	lot of time with Mr. Newman and Eric, and so you've							
LO	probably heard of what I've been doing working on							
L1	this bill, and the thing that frustrates me is that							
L2	it's not that the Bar Association itself was							
L3	disingenuous in putting out the paper, it's that							
L 4	people use that kind of argument as a way to shut							
L 5	down the substantive discussions that we need to							
L 6	have.							
L7	SPEAKER JOHNSON: And I don't want that							
L 8	to happen either							
L 9	JAMES KLEIN: [interposing] and that							
20	SPEAKER JOHNSON: which is why we're							
21	having this hearing.							
22	JAMES KLEIN: That's right, and so I'm							
23	iust saving that that's why I started my comment by							

commending all of you. I know that Mr. Gjonaj has

concerns. I know you have concerns, and that some of

them are very legitimate concerns. Our meeting with Mr. Gjonaj I think was an eye-opener for both of us. I think he was surprised that the advocates were willing to talk the way openly the way we did, and we were surprised that he was willing to listen and to give us his perspective, and we were happy to hear it. So, I just want to make sure that if people show up with hats with slogans on it that are no correct, that they don't use these kinds of documents as a way to shut down what is a very helpful, useful process for us to go through to get us to some solutions that we all believe we need to get to.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Mr. Klein, I have a question for any of you on the panel. Any of you can take a-- answer this. It's what I raised earlier. The bill applies to everyone, from corner bodegas to locksmiths to small retail storefronts, to 200-- to Home Depot, to 200,000 square foot leases in large commercial buildings. Does that concern you? Do you think this bill should cover every commercial lease in New York City? David, you could answer, Mr. Klein, Elvis, whoever wants to answer it.

DAVID EISENBACH: Go ahead.

COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS

2.2

2		ELVIS	SILVERIO:	I	mean,	to	my	concern
ک	it's more	small h	nisinesses					

SPEAKER JOHNSON: That's my concern as well.

ELVIS SILVERIO: Right now, Fortune 500 Company could pay the rent.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: So then why are we including them in this bill?

ELVIS SILVERIO: I have no idea. They should take them out of there.

JAMES KLEIN: Well, I do have an answer to that, because I think it's part of my previous answer which is we're concerned about jobs. That's really—it's a Small Business Job Survival Act.

Just Like we don't want to—we didn't want to shut down discussions about the yoga studio that's on the third floor and the manufacturers who have concerns.

And so am I concerned about Goldman Sachs, you bet I am not, and I don't think David Eisenbach is either or any of the advocates are, but we wanted to make sure that the discussion didn't just immediately focus in on one class of businesses and that we would have an open discussion about all of the different businesses that exist in New York like Ruth Messinger

3

ی

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

was talking about and the Assemblyman was talking about. And so the issue is not Goldman Sachs and protecting them, the issue is having a full discussion about all of the different kinds of businesses and not immediately focusing in on the mom and pop on the corner, which is what everyone's emotional concerns are. It's a bigger issue than that.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: I understand that, but I just want to be clear, the testimony that we've heard today from you all, from the elected officials that proceeded you, from the anecdotes from the Council Members that I'm sure we're going to hear it, from the public who's here today as well, the vast majority. I'm not putting aside the yoga studio and the small garment manufacturer, but the vast majority of the concern that has percolated around this bill has been around empty storefronts. That is what I think has been the driving resonant thing around this bill, and so I'm trying to get at the heart and crux at what we're looking at, and I think one of the deficiencies in this bill, and I don't say with any disrespect to my good friend Ydanis Rodriguez -- he didn't write this bill, he inherited this bill and

decided to carry it and champion it; it's been around for a very long time—— is I think it's hard to have this conversation when currently white shoe law firms, as the Borough President said, would qualify for arbitration under this bill. it's a distraction, and I don't think it's what we need to be talking about, but advocates have come forward and said they want no changes in the bill, none. They don't want a single line changed. That's an unreasonable thing. I like having this discussion where we talk about what the real focus is to understand what we're trying to accomplish here.

DAVID EISENBACH: Yes. And look, this was-- is a major issue between advocates on this issue. We agree that changes can and will be made to this bill, and one of them is an adjustment on who's actually covered. And so long as Ming Lam in the garment district factories are covered and light manufacturers and manufacturers in the city are covered, and the yoga studio and the dental offices, and not just the retail ground floor spaces, we will be comfortable with this bill. It is the Small Business Jobs Survival Act.

1 COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS 141 2 CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you. We do 3 have a couple of questions. Before I move onto my 4 questions from the other members, Mr. Klein, what 5 type of attorney are you? Yeah, what law do you 6 practice? 7 SPEAKER JOHNSON: Mr. Klein, put your mic--8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

JAMES KLEIN: [interposing] Right now I do litigation, but I've had a very varied career. on the staff of the New York City Charter Revision Commission back in 19-- late, late 1980's that create -- that when the Board of Estimate went away, I was on-- I was a staff member on that Commission. I then went to San Francisco and worked as a Legislative Aid for the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and I've had a very varied career in law and business, and right now I have a practice where I do litigation.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: I'm curious, at your place of practice are you a tenant or a landlord? JAMES KLEIN: Well, I'm a tenant. guess that's--

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: [interposing] You kind of hesitated.

JAMES KLEIN: No, well, I mean, I rent space in a suite of offices. Do I don't actually have a lease in the sense that—currently. But I've been a small business owner.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: So would this benefit you, this type of rent protection? Are you successful lawyer, service-- with a renowned history? Is this who we're looking to protect?

JAMES KLEIN: Well, I don't understand the premise of your question. I mean, are you trying to get the-- I mean--

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: [interposing] I'm trying to--

JAMES KLEIN: [interposing] If you're trying to discredit me in some way, I don't understand it.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: No, no, no, I'm not trying to discredit you. So you are a successful lawyer that certainly doesn't need an arbitrator to negotiate for him, and you are a tenant. Under this bill as written, you would be afforded the protections as much as Elvis there, and Elvis you have--

you very much, Chair Gjonaj. Thank you to this

panel. I have a few questions if you'll allow me.

just want to talk a little bit about mediation and

23

24

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

arbitration. Do you believe that medication or arbitration are things that a small business owner can navigate or handle? Is this something that, you know, if you don't have— is this like where you see it as a potential problem if somebody doesn't have resources or doesn't speak English, for example, or something like that?

DAVID EISENBACH: Right. Well, the way the bill is set up, it is -- one of the options is to work with the American Arbitration Association. This is an 80-year-old institution, and I've spoken to them personally, and they have assured me that they will have absolutely no trouble handling all of the rent arbitration cases in New York City if this bill If you do not have an attorney they have a pro-se program where they will guide you. They will guide you through the rent arbitration, the arbitration process. This is something they have been doing for decades. They have an assistance program, both with translation, alright, and a sliding scale for fees. Now, arbitration, it sounds like a scary word, right? It's actually extremely simple. A lot more simple than trying to find a new space, a lot more simple than figuring out how you're

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

going to move and build a new clientele in a new neighborhood. So, this idea that small business owners won't be able to comprehend the difficulties of arbitration, you don't know small business owners.

JAMES KLEIN: Well, I also just want to address that, too, because it's -- I mean, it's not an idle concern. It's a process that has -- and people have to understand it and they have to work their way through it, and like any process that's going to create issues and challenges for people, but I think the thing that is interesting about it is that if you think about the testimony of the gentleman from the Small Business Services, they're groping for ways to come up with programs to help small businesses. one of the reasons why they're having so much trouble, and you've-- some of you have addressed this in your questions -- is because you can't get good information about what the problems are, and they haven't done the studies, right? So, the issue is-one of the issues of this particular bill and the mediation and the arbitration is to make the process itself transparent and understandable so that -- so the City's department like the Small Business Services can then specifically gear programs to

2.2

helpful people through the process that is some, you
know, legitimate, logical process. The problems they
have now, because they've been developing programs is
they can't get them through they can't design a
program that addresses an illogical process. And so
is there issues with mediation and arbitration?
Absolutely, but at least it creates a logical process
that the rest of the City's departments can then
address with their programs.

DAVID EISENBACH: One other thing-CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: [interposing] Thank
you.

DAVID EISENBACH: regarding the arbitration process is that often times according to the American Arbitration Association, the two people on both sides wind up settling before there is even a ruling. And one half of those cases that settled, they don't have to pay the administrative fee. So, just having the structure of arbitration forces both sides to be as reasonable as possible. It's a lot less complicated and cheaper than going to court.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you, gentleman. I do have a question for you as a follow up to that. What is the expected timeframe for worst

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: So, an arbitrator--

24

_	COLUITIED ON GLEVIED DOGINEDO
2	DAVID EISENBACH: [interposing] Like, you
3	wouldn't know how a court case is going to work out.
4	How long does that work out? I think it would depend
5	on the schedules of both the landlord and tenant. I
6	mean, there are a million factors, I suppose, that we
7	don't
8	CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: [interposing] That's
9	my point exactly, and you hit on it earlier. Small
LO	businesses really do not have the time.
L1	DAVID EISENBACH: Well, but Mark, Mark
L2	CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: [interposing] And
L3	they're and this is my point.
L 4	DAVID EISENBACH: Yeah.
L5	CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: That we have to be
L 6	very careful because our small business owners are
L7	both making the pizza and delivering the pizza.
L8	DAVID EISENBACH: Yeah.
L9	CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: And ringing up the
20	pizza at the same time.
21	DAVID EISENBACH: If they're
22	CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: [interposing] If they
23	don't have the ability to go back for weeks and weeks

and begin a process of a year-long arbitration

24

2.2

without understanding the final outcome which may
eventually be something not in their favor--

DAVID EISENBACH: [interposing] Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: and that is that rent is unaffordable despite the arbitrator's involvement and determine what the fair market rent will be, that tenant may find themselves not being able to afford the rent.

DAVID EISENBACH: Right.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: I agree with you on the arbitration, but let's understand that this isn't a quick solution and certainly not a silver bullet to the lasting issues that impact our businesses day in and day out.

DAVID EISENBACH: Right. Let me just say that that—a year—long arbitration would be extremely unusual, okay. Number two, an arbitration case, believe me, a small business owner is going to find the time if the landlord is asking for a 300 percent rent increase and nobody in the area is getting that, right, he's going to take the time to go through the arbitration process, and that arbitration we're talking about, let's say the tenant is paying \$20,000 a month, that's \$240,000 a year

1
Τ.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

24

25

over the course of a 10-year lease. That's a 2.4 million dollar business deal. Do you think they're not going to take the time or the effort to go through arbitration on a 2.4 million dollar business deal, and that's not even an outrageously high rent?

gentleman, and I do believe Councilman Rodriguez has a quick question for you, quick. Right, Councilman?

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you,

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Am I-- I'm going to be using my three minutes. I'm the lead prime of this bill, and I'm standing with the voices of the small business owner. They want a lease that shouldn't be less than 10 years, right? They want arbitration. They want a better process on how to negotiate the leases. In this room, I can tell you that there's a lot of positive energies. We are all the voices. The Speaker said loud and clear, he's committed to work on this bill. I've been here for nine years. For the last 20 years, no Speaker has been committed to take this bill to the floor. So, today, we're making history. The Speaker want to hear and take the input of everyone, people that have different interests, building owners, management, real estate, small business owner, 220,000 business

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you very

much, Chair. I'll try to keep these brief. A few

24

25

commercial affordability, but just as much and maybe

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

more than anyone else, if you are living in an
affordable residence and you need that affordability,
you need affordable small businesses. You need to
have a job. The main employer of immigrants in New
York City is small businesses. You know, I spoke to
a this last weekend, a single mom in Elizabeth
Street Garden who told me that the Met Food on
Mulberry Street, the one that she shops at, right, is
closed. So where does she have to go for her
groceries now? Whole Food. What happens with the
seniors that live in that area that now have to go to
Whole Foods, right? This is the affordability
question that goes directly to the Small Business Job
Survival Act, right?

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Sorry, I do have a couple more--

DAVID EISENBACH: [interposing] The high rents get passed on to everyone, including the customers.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: A couple more questions here. Are you concerned that potentially the bill might make vacancies worse because landlords might seek to rent to chains that won't' engage in the arbitration process? Is that a concern?

fine.

DAVID EISENBACH: We've been talking about tax payer money.

> COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Sure.

DAVID EISENBACH: Think about all those small empty storefronts, how they're not generating tax payer money. They're not generating sales taxes.

23 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: And sorry, and I

24 just--

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

25

DAVID EISENBACH: [interposing] Yeah.

2.2

2 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: I have one more 3 question for you.

DAVID EISENBACH: Yeah.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: This process
that's laid on the bill does not necessarily
guarantee that rents will stay the same or even go up
a small amount, so why do you think the bill would
help? And I say this as a sponsor of the bill, but-DAVID EISENBACH: [interposing] Yeah,
yeah.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: why do you think the bill would help if it doesn't guarantee any of those-- either of those outcomes?

DAVID EISENBACH: Right, it doesn't guarantee, and this is why James' point earlier about how the New York City Bar Association, by putting this false claim in a footnote, it--

JAMES KLEIN: [interposing] No, I'm not a lawyer, I'm not a great legal mind, but I have been reading college student papers for 20 years, and every time one of my students wants to bury a weak argument, they'll put it in a footnote. It took me five minutes to catch that, okay? It is not rent regulation, so therefore, it doesn't set a limit on

2.2

the amount of rent. The rent is still going to go
up. It's not an anti-landlord bill. The rent will
go up. There'll just be more winners instead of
right now where it's all about the big real estate
and the people with the hats.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you, David.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you, Chair.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Just a quick question for you. Does this address increase year over year besides the initial lease or a 10-year renewal? Does it even discuss the increments that the lease will be increased during a 10-year phase?

JAMES KLEIN: You mean, in terms of the terms of the lease?

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Right, are we-- I'm sure it doesn't call for a 10-year fixed rent, that there'll be escalations.

JAMES KLEIN: Hm.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: We'll leave it at that. Thank you, gentleman.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Oh, no, I just have one thing. I just want to follow up on Council Member Levin's point. Again, he's a sponsor of this bill and has been a big supporter of it, and I don't want

2 to gloss over something that I think is really important, and I know it's something that you all 3 care about. I just think it's another complication 4 that we need to address, which is he has to question-- small landlords and affordable housing developers 6 7 say they often use whatever commercial space they may have in the building to subsidize affordable rents 8 above the ground floor, and I think not in the next 9 panel, but the panel after that, you're going to hear 10 11 from folks who do affordable housing work who I think 12 are allies in many of the issues that we care about 13 together who have similar concerns about trying to 14 figure out a way to do something meaningful for small 15 businesses for retail spaces while at the same time 16 not potentially jeopardizing some of the HDFCs or 17 affordable buildings that have income restrictions 18 where, you know, we've been going through this crazy process of third-party transfers in New York City of 19 20 buildings that have been affordable buildings and we want to be able to understand some of the commercial 21 2.2 spaces on the ground floor. Not all, this is small 23 percentage, I'm not using a broad brush to paint this for all them, but again, it shows some complications 24 and talking about this in a nuanced way. 25

those do subsidize the affordable housing, the HDFC, the small business that's there. And so I want to just understand what you think, David, we do in instances like to ensure that we're actually not going to do something that could potentially harm working people who are living in affordable apartments above.

DAVID EISENBACH: Right, right. Well, if they have a tenant, they're getting rent. That rent is going to subsidize the affordable housing. My hunch is you don't have massive vacancy rates in buildings like this that are legitimately trying to rent the space and subsidize the housing. Where you find the vacancies, where you find the empty storefronts is when you have a real estate developer who is either over leveraged or playing money games and leaves the space empty for years. I don't think this is happening in affordable housing buildings that need the rent to subsidize the housing. I don't think we have anything to worry about there.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: No, what I'm saying is
I don't disagree with what you just said there. What
I'm saying is the economics of buildings change over
time. you know, there could be a major repair that

needs to happen in that building that the building's trying to figure out how to pay for that repair, whether it's the repointing of the building or doing other renovations that are important for the folks who live in that building, and there may be a certain rent, not a doubling or tripling or quadrupling of the rent, that they could potentially need to be able to finance those repairs. Go ahead, Mr. Klein.

JAMES KLEIN: Yeah--

SPEAKER JOHNSON: [interposing] So, the point I'm trying to make is, does this restrict it so much that you couldn't potentially have a fair negotiation happen between a landlord that isn't someone who's trying to screw someone over, but instead they want to enter into a fair negotiation.

Does this in any way restrict their ability to do that?

JAMES KLEIN: The answer is no, because if you look at the 14 criteria in Section E3 that talks about the criteria to be used by the arbitrator, I mean, you can go down the list, but one of them is the cost of maintenance and operation of the entire property, including land and building improvements and including all service debt such as

2 the mortgages. So, the arbitration process, and 3 that's just one of the 14. So the-- and so almost 4 all of the circumstances that you're concerned with 5 are already contemplated by the criteria that could be part of the arbitration process. And so, those 6 7 issues are accounted for, and so -- and then the other thing, I would like to just go back to Mr. Gjonaj's 8 question, because if you look at the definition of 9 rent in the first section, the definition of rent 10 takes in-- where is it? It says the term rent means 11 12 any and all consideration including but not limited 13 to pass-alongs received by the landlord in connection 14 with the use of the occupancy. Now, the rent is one 15 aspect of a commercial lease is. There are many 16 other provisions, and so to the extent that the whole 17 point of this bill is transparency and people 18 understand the bargain that they're getting into. And so the definition of rent including pass-alongs 19 20 makes that into something that's understandable and so they don't get hit with all these things that they 21 2.2 didn't bargain for at the beginning of the rent, at 23 the beginning of the period-- of the lease period when the negotiation happened. But if there are other 24 aspects of the commercial lease, as there always will 25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

be, that may be tied to inflation, something that escalates it. I mean, those things are still part of a commercial lease, and this doesn't do it. This deals with the base rent and including the passalongs so that again, it's a rational process and that people can understand and plan for over a 10-year period what they're signing up for and what their businesses need to account for.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Mr. Klein, thank you, and David and Elvis, thank you for your testimony. The reason why I'm asking these questions I think goes to the first question we engaged in a respectful back-and-forth, Mr. Klein, was related to the large commercial tenant of 200,000 square feet, and you and David and Elvis saying that's not what you were looking for this to apply to. I think there's a nuanced conversation that we're trying to figure out about where this should apply and about how to understand that not every property owner is the same in every single way, and to have a nuanced discussion around that, because there probably isn't a one-sizefits-all approach, but we're trying to figure out how to get through this in a way that goes to the heart of what we've talked about which is doubling,

21 SPEAKER JOHNSON: Thank you.

22 CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you,

23 gentlemen.

24 DAVID EISENBACH: Thank you.

L	COMMITTEE ON SMALL BOSINESS 10.
2	CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: We invite up Jessica
3	Walker, Julian Hill, Lena Afridi, Larisa Ortiz, and
4	Jessica Lappin.
5	SPEAKER JOHNSON: Is former Council
6	Member Lappin here still? Oh, great, fantastic.

Member Lappin here still? Oh, great, fantastic.

We're proud to have a former member of this body here with us today, patiently waiting to testify. Thank you, Jessica. And we also have a member of the City Planning Commission, Commissioner Ortiz who's here.

Thank you for being here. If you would like to begin.

2.2

COMMISSIONER ORTIZ: Why thank you.

Thank you, Chair and Speaker Johnson. My name is

Larisa Ortiz and I'm Principal of Larisa Ortiz

Associates. I'm also New York City Planning

Commissioner, and as part of my--

SPEAKER JOHNSON: [interposing] You could just pull the mic a little bit closer. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER ORTIZ: I'm also New York

City Planning Commissioner. As part of my
obligations under Conflicts of Interest rules, and

must say I'm here to provide expert testimony, and

I'm not here on behalf of any client. Since founding

my firm over a decade ago I have worked with hundreds

of communities nationwide and have devoted my life's 2 work to helping small businesses, especially in 3 disadvantaged communities and on behalf of many 4 nonprofits and cities. I applaud the efforts to 5 6 advance a small business agenda. Small businesses 7 are the backbone of our City. But this legislation has significant potential to create a set of 8 unintended consequences that may in fact undermine 9 small businesses. First and foremost, as many have 10 said, it gives all commercial establishments from 11 12 chain stores to large tenants the right to renew, which I believe would result in market distortions 13 14 that favor those tenants. Think about it, if you 15 were a landlord and new that the very first lease you 16 signed would obligate you in the long-term to a 17 particular tenant, wouldn't you be much more cautious 18 about taking a risk on an unproven small business? Wouldn't it be understandable if this legislation 19 20 made landlords skittish about signing leases with small businesses at all? And wouldn't it be a shame 2.1 2.2 if that caused landlords to leave spaces vacant for 23 longer? And what factors does the arbitrator used to determine fair rent levels? Is the arbitrator 24 expected to conduct an appraisal without the training 25

2 or expertise to do so? There was something made of 3 the 11 factors that are listed in the legislation, and I further question how those are weighted and how 4 we will make the determination about rent based on those. And what about mixed-use coop buildings where 6 7 rent form the commercial space is precisely what is keeping maintenance costs low. Those cross-subsidies 8 are what prevent the displacement of many low-to-9 moderate income tenants in this city, particularly 10 those in strong market neighborhoods. In 2014, on 11 12 behalf of SBS, my firm conducted a survey of small 13 businesses and asked them what their profitability 14 challenges were. Rent was not first, second, or 15 third. In fact, it was tied for fourth place after 16 access to capital, utility costs, and help 17 understanding a changing customer base. Yet, when 18 the same question was posed to the City's small business service providers they indicated that rent 19 20 was the number one concern among small businesses. So, I ask, is this legislation truly responsive to 21 2.2 what the majority of small businesses themselves say 23 the need and want to remain competitive? 24 believe there are many things we can and should do.

To give you an example, just a few weeks ago, my

3

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

colleagues and I were trying to determine whether a local café needed a permit to place a single café

4 table directly in front of their business, which is

5 something that in our experience would drive a 10 to

6 | 15 percent increase in sales. We spoke to five

7 different people via 311, read countless regulations,

8 sent two emails, came up with opposing findings, and

9 | yet, if a business owner gets this wrong it's a quick

10 | fine. I do believe this legislation is a good start,

11 | but I would request that we look at it and revise it

12 | in a number of substantive ways. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you.

LENA AFRIDI: Good afternoon. Thank you,
Chair Gjonaj and Speaker Johnson and members of the
Committee on Small Business. My name is Lena Afridi,
and I'm the Director of Economic Development Policy
at the Association for Neighborhood and Housing
Development. ANHD is a membership organization of
New York City Neighborhood-based community groups.
We have over 100 members throughout the five
boroughs. Our mission is to ensure flourishing
neighborhoods and decent affordable housing for all
New Yorkers. One aspect of that work is to support

and protect New York City's small businesses from the

2 threat of displacement with particular focus on owner-operated low-income minority and immigrant-run 3 businesses. ANHD believes that new solutions and 4 tools are needed to fight the rampant displacement of 6 small businesses. However, we do not support the Small Business Job Survival Act as it is currently written, because we believe that legislation as 8 important as this and which will have a major impact 9 on small businesses on our city should be fully 10 understood and evaluated to ensure that it will have 11 12 the correct impact. What follows is a partial list 13 of some questions that we believe should be fully 14 considered before any action is taken. So, the bill 15 functions by creating a complex system of 16 individually negotiated mandatory arbitration between 17 the commercial tenant and the landlord at each rent 18 renewal with a complex schedule of deadlines for notices and actions laid out. This process has the 19 20 potential to create enough delays, pitfalls, and expense for both the commercial tenant and the 21 2.2 landlords if periods of vacancy could be extended. 23 Commercial rental markets in different parts of our city face different circumstances and any solution to 24 the problem of commercial stability should take those 25

2 differences into account. The impact on the luxury 3 rental markets of much of Manhattan for example would 4 be very different from the impact on genuinely 5 struggling commercial rental markets in m any areas of the outer boroughs. The landlords and the worst 6 7 examples of aggressive rent increases fueled by a desire to take advantage of rising rents in 8 gentrifying neighborhoods deserve sympathy. However, 9 it's important not to create a mechanism that does 10 damage the health and viability of the commercial 11 12 rental market of other neighborhoods as well. 13 going to speak a little bit also about the unintended 14 consequences on some types of vulnerable small The central provision of the bill 15 businesses. 16 binding mediation and arbitration could risk making 17 immigrant-owned small businesses even more vulnerable 18 to the threat of displacement. Without a structure to ensure that the process is equitable, including an 19 20 explicit Know Your Rights campaign in several languages and city funding for arbitration and 21 2.2 mediation, this process could create even more 23 arduous red tape for immigrant small businesses and tip the scales in favor of landlords who can afford 24 25 the cost of the process and have a savvier

2	understanding of what it entails. In addition, the
3	bill could exacerbate incentives for the landlord
4	that increase the threat of tenant harassment, a
5	problem to which immigrant small business owners are
6	especially vulnerable when landlords could also be
7	spurred to choose chain stores over mom and pop
8	businesses. Rather than filling a property with a
9	small business with the risk of repeated arbitration,
10	landlords could be made more willing to find a chain
11	that will simply pay rent increases without
12	challenge. In neighborhoods with high vacancies,
13	this could mean that empty spaces will be filled, but
14	more likely with chain stores. This would be a
15	particular risk in immigrant-dense neighborhoods
16	already susceptible to displacement pressures caused
17	by gentrification such as Bushwick and Jackson
18	Heights. Any bill that takes on this issues should
19	keep in mind the material realities of immigrants.
20	We're committed to finding solutions to keeping New
21	York small businesses thriving. While we deeply
22	believe that immediate measures must be taken to curb
23	the displacement of New York small businesses, more
24	information is needed in order to better understand

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 the impact of SBJSA and to prevent any unintended 3 consequences. Thank you.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Thank you. You may begin. Make sure your mic is on.

JULIAN HILL: Thanks. Good afternoon, Chairman Gjonaj and distinguished members of the Committee on Small Business. My name is Julian Hill and I'm a staff attorney at the Community Development Project. Among other things, the Community Development Project, or CDP, offers legal advice to new and existing working cooperatives, small businesses and nonprofits, and also works with grassroots organizations groups and coalitions to ensure marginalized community are not pushed out of their neighborhoods. Last year, CDP along with two other legal service providers received city funding through SBS to start the Commercial Lease Assistance or CLA program which was referenced earlier. Those who provide free non-litigation legal advice regarding commercial leases for small businesses. I'm testifying only on behalf of CDP today and with the vision for a day where small businesses are not bullied by landlords and are respected as the engines of community economic progress that they are.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

clients include clothing stores, restaurants, beauty shops, wal-off [sic], Spanish and Cantonese speakers, women, people of color, immigrants, low-income folks in Queens, Manhattan, Brooklyn, and the Bronx. me tell you just about a few. There's Anne who has been in business for almost 40 years. She was on a month-to-month lease after her previous lease expired. Several months into that arrangement she was asked to leave. We bought her some time through a termination agreement, but she's in immense stress in negotiating a new lease, even with our assistance. Then there's Calvin, in business for 25 years. landlord told him several months before the end of his lease that it would not be renewed. Last time we spoke, he had absolutely no idea what he was going to do. Anne and Calvin may have benefitted from SBJSA and had a chance to negotiate a renewal lease. However, these cases do not represent the majority of what we've been seeing on a day-to-day basis. There's Brenda, excited to buy her first business from a previous tenant when she tried to set up the electricity sub meter. However, the local provider told her that the landlord needed to resolve several Department of Building violations that the landlord

2 refused to pay. Having invested several thousand 3 dollars into this business, she can't operate just a 4 few months after signing this lease. Then there's Maxine and Tim, siblings who took over their successful business from their mother after operating 6 for 40 years when she passed away. The fixtures that they believed they need to pass a Department of 8 Health Inspection are getting old. However, their 9 10 written lease expired five years ago and they cannot get a loan to renovate without a written lease. 11 12 have asked the Board of their landlord, Unlimited 13 Equity Cooperative, to sign a new lease, but no one 14 on the Board believes that they have the authority to 15 do so. Therefore, they are stuck. We know worker 16 cooperatives with oral contracts and a number of 17 other businesses that are weighed down by the burdens 18 of several Department of Building violations amount to thousands and thousands of dollars regarding 19 20 awnings, uses of sidewalk space and alike. The SBJSA will not help these clients. Some do not even have a 2.1 2.2 written lease to renew. Even for the clients who may 23 benefit from SBJSA, we only have a few questions. Why does it apply to all businesses and not include a 24 definition for small business? Who will pay for 25

2 :

mediation if it's necessary? Is the supply of possible mediators sufficient to actually provide these services? And how will the City fund those services and others? We are not in support or against SBJSA. Our experience suggests that the SBJSA could be useful for some of our clients, that it would not be enough to stem the number of small businesses that we see leaving their spaces.

Regardless of the outcomes, CDP is happy to work with small businesses, City Council and other interested and impacted stakeholders on a solution that will help the clients that we serve. Once again, thanks for this opportunity.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Thank you.

JESSICA WALKER: Thank you so much. My name is Jessica Walker. I'm the President and CEO of the Manhattan Chamber of Commerce. You have my testimony in front of you. I just wanted to make a few points based on what we've heard today. So, I think you understand that our mission really is to help businesses thrive here in New York City, and the vast majority of our 1,400 members are small businesses. So, I just want to come speaking on their behalf. First of all, even though, like I

1 2 said, we oppose this legislation essentially because we think it's counterproductive, and also because we 3 think it could actually be potentially harmful to the 4 small businesses that we're all trying to help. 5 bill before us has many issues. So, we've heard a 6 lot about the arbitration process and think that it 7 is very cumbersome and unrealistic for the average 8 small business owner to take part it. But most 9 10 importantly, the proposal discourages landlords from ever renting to a small business, which I think would 11 12 make the environment worse. So, as discussed today, 13 it would make little sense to include all commercial spaces in this legislation, but on the other hand, if 14 15 you narrow this just to small businesses, you're 16 essentially putting a target on their backs. would a landlord want to rent to them if they have to 17 18 jump through all these additional hoops? What's more, is that if this bill were to pass, it would 19 20 immediately trigger litigation, so it wouldn't be a benefit to anyone for quite some time, which is also 21 2.2 pretty concerning. And meanwhile, businesses would 23 continue to struggle. I just wanted to thank, 24 actually, the -- Gregg Bishop and the Administration

for understanding some of these concerns, which was a

25

2 little-- was disheartening. In my written testimony, I highlight a few possible alternatives, things that 3 I think that could be explored that could possibly 4 have more of an impact in helping small businesses, and I definitely want to echo those who testified 6 7 today in terms of wanting to do more studying of the problem. I think that what you'll find and what 8 you'll glean is that there are a lot of major issues 9 that are affecting small businesses. We've surveyed 10 our membership as we've heard some of the issues that 11 12 come before rent and real estate are issues around 13 regulations, such as, you know, the minimum wage 14 increase that has been coming, and we're going to see 15 that again at the end of the year go up again, issues 16 around taxes, issues around competition is a big 17 issue as well. Let me just fast forward to say that 18 ecommerce only comprises about 10 percent of all online -- of all retail sales currently, 10 percent. 19 20 That's the real emergency, because we already see the impact that it's made in the market place. In five 21 2.2 years or 10 years, that number is going to be higher, 23 and so that's really the emergency we should be talking about today if we want to help small 24 businesses. I am available around the clock. I do 25

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

want to be helpful here. Please, you know, let me
know how we can help. Thank you.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Thank you.

JESSICA LAPPIN: Good afternoon. Jessica Lappin, President of the Alliance for Downtown New York. Small locally-owned businesses are what make New York different from any other place around the globe, and we have 1,200 retail storefronts that are in our catchment area in lower Manhattan. They employ New Yorkers and they give our neighborhoods character, and today, they face a myriad of challenges, some of which have been discussed today. The pressure of e-commerce alone is enormous. But beyond that, while rent is a factor, what we hear most from struggling business owners are complaints about bureaucracy, unresponsive city agencies, crippling property tax assessment increases, over-regulations, scaffolding-- any small business will tell you that scaffolding is the kiss of death and can be up for years-- traffic, and overly aggressive enforcement, and unfortunately, this bill doesn't address these problems, and as many have said, we think have unintended consequences that would make it worse. The onerous lease renewal

2 process that are mandated, which apply unnecessarily to all commercial leases in New York City regardless 3 of size, and oddly, also to shopping malls would 4 severely restrict the flexibility that successful 5 retail needs. It would create disincentives for new 6 and exciting creative uses for ground floor space at a time when experimentation is key. I think what we 8 hear most loud and clear is that it would also lock 9 in existing uses for decades and ignores the changing 10 winds of consumer demand. I will tell you, when 11 12 Duane Reade opened on Wall Street and on Water Street 13 they were welcomed with open arms. People in the 14 neighborhood were thrilled. It was a place to get 15 basic essentials and groceries when there was nothing 16 here serving people after 9/11, after Sandy. Now, 17 everybody loves to hate Duane Reade, but that was 18 less than 10 years ago. People were very excited to have Duane Reade open here. Community's interest and 19 20 desires for the retail they see changes, and we have to acknowledge that and not lock everything in place 21 2.2 we have today. What about the noisy bar or the vape 23 shop that communities, Community Boards and elected officials don't like. This removes any leverage 24 25 people have, if you're an owner or a next-door

2 neighbor, because they will be guaranteed the right 3 to stay forever. It would also lead to less new overall retail space and that's important because 4 here in lower Manhattan since 2014 we've added 2.9 million square feet of space. And we have small 6 7 businesses like Nom Pang [sp?] to Beer Table to New New [sic] Chocolates that have flocked to these new 8 spaces and landlords in many instances have actively 9 sought out local entrepreneurs, but these new 10 11 restrictions would discourage the development of new 12 space which prevents that kind of future job growth 13 over the long-term reducing supply and actually 14 increasing pricing pressure. And I would say lastly, 15 because you may have the same tenant for decades that 16 people have said, it really creates an incentive for 17 a tenant with great credit like a bank or a national 18 chain which is not the goal. So, my time is almost I do also make suggestions in my written 19 20 testimony, some of which came from my co-chair at the Red Tape Commission a couple of years ago that 2.1 2.2 Comptroller Scott Stringer organized. 23 hearings in all five boroughs, and it really reflects some of things that I heard firsthand, not just from 24 businesses here, but around. And we do look to 25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

continue to work with you on some better solutions to this problem. Thank you.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Thank you very much.

So, I would love to understand from you all-- your testimony is helpful, but I'd love to understand, for the instances that we're talking about today, for the instances where rent is doubled or tripled or quadrupled, what is your suggestion?

JESSICA WALKER: So, I'll start. Ι'm sure others can speak. But the example that I've been thinking about recently is the coffee shop which closed down last week. This was a beloved restaurant that was in Union Square. I think we all have been there at some point, and it was actually not only one of the profitable restaurants here in the City but in the country, and they still decided to go out of business this year. Now, rent certainly played a The Union Square area is hot right now. There's no question about it. But what has been addressed less is the fact that because it was such a big restaurant and they had so many staff members, that if the minimum wage which is going to be going up at the end of the year, if they were still in business, they would paying 46,000 dollars more per

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

month-- per month-- in additional payroll. The point I'm trying to make is that I think that these things are complex, as Gregg Bishop said, and it really is accumulative impact of all these things that are happening.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Well, what about a

restaurant like Tortilla Flats, who in the last three days said that they want to stay in the Far West Village? They've been there for over 30 years. They're able to be profitable and continue to have viable, attractive business, and the only reason why they're going under is because the landlord unreasonably, and I would say in a greedy way, hiked their rent to almost three times what they're paying They gave no other reason. They didn't talk about minimum wage or government regulations or bureaucracy or any of those things. They just said our rent is tripled and now we have to go out of business. What is your suggestion of how the Council and the City should act in instances like that, or you think we shouldn't act?

JESSICA WALKER: Well, I mean, it's because I-- the thing is, there aren't very many studies, as we've heard today, in terms of what the

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 issues are. No, it's true. And that's, I think,

something that really does need to take place. Ever

4 is this bill were to pass, as I said, it's going to

5 be triggered. It's going to trigger legislation.

6 There's no reason why we don't have the time to

7 actually look at the problem to find out what is

8 affecting people. I think that it's something that

9 | we've done--

SPEAKER JOHNSON: [interposing] But I'm asking for your suggestions on how the Council should deal with instances like that, for any of you.

LENA AFRIDI: So, I would like to make a couple of suggestions that my panelists might not actually agree with, my fellow panelists. So, this bill is not commercial rent control, right? So, why aren't we talking about commercial rent control? The bill that is currently in place right now or that's being suggested right now is— it might help in the far west side, but it might have completely deleterious consequences in Bushwick, in Jackson Heights, and is it worth it for putting immigrant small business owners on a line for a select few minority of small business owners. Any solution that we come up with can't put immigrant small business

at risk for the sake of, you know, just passing

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1516

17

18

1920

20

21

22

23

24

something. Whatever we pass has to be equitable. It has to be fair. It has to have real steady consequences that are going to have an impact over a

owners, communities of color, low-wealth communities

long period of time. So, this bill, you know, parts

of it might work, but across the board, it's not

going to work for everybody. That's something that

we need to figure out. We need to work together to

figure that part out.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Just before

Commissioner Ortiz, before you speak, Ms. Afridi,

could you just expound a little bit more on-- and I

know you testified to it, but I think it's actually a

very important thing-- more than 40 percent of people $\,$

living New York City right now were not born in the

United States. They were born in another country.

That is the great strength of our city is our

diversity, and to drill down a little bit more on

your nuanced and specific concerns on how this would,

you believe, affect small businesses in the

neighborhoods that you discussed, immigrant-owned

where English may not be their first language, can

1

2

3

4

_

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

1516

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

you just talk a little bit more about that. I think it's important to understand this.

LENA AFRIDI: Yeah, sure. So, it's less

about the way that the bill is written and more about the process. I will say that ANHD worked on the Commercial Tenant Anti-harassment legislation that was mentioned earlier. We work with UJC on NTDP on the CLA program. However, that program was, you know, it was not -- we didn't get enough city funding in order to make it actually useful across the board, and if we don't have that included in the process with SBJSA we leave out a large, large number people who are particularly vulnerable at this time. Immigrant small businesses face rampant harassment. You know, what we see on the residential side we see on the small business side. We have an example of a woman in Bushwick named Esmeralda who own a restaurant. You know, the landlord came, they turned off her water during her restaurant rush. turned off her electricity. In some cases we see people calling ICE on their tenants. That's a conversation that's not addressed, right? That's not addressed in this piece of legislation. It's a conversation that's not come up when we talk about

COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS

2.2

small businesses in general. And we talk about
immigrant small businesses, there's little that's
been done to provide real translation, little that's
been done to provide real legal services. We need to
expand CLA so people

SPEAKER JOHNSON: [interposing] No, but tell me why--

LENA AFRIDI: [interposing] I'm sorry.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: you do not think SBJSA addresses these concerns? What changes would you seek to the bill to address the concerns that you're talking about?

know, add something in there to make sure that people are getting the resources that they need, and without those resources, let's think about who benefits first. In the meantime, in the gap when we're waiting for those resource to get to immigrant businesses, they're going to say, "I can't navigate this process. I don't understand this red tape. I'm not going to sit down with my landlord who has threatened to call ICE on me. I'm never going to sit down with my landlords who has ever threatened to call ICE on me. And so I would rather just shut down

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

rather than, you know, wait for the services to come to me." And so people who have resources can use this bill to their advantage or this piece of legislation to their advantage, but those who don't get left behind.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Got it. So, you're saying the -- I mean, we, we put together here at the Council just a flow chart to understand what the bill says as currently written, and you know, the top is the commercial lease ends in 180 days, and then the four boxes below: landlord agrees to renew the lease at agreed rate; the landlord agrees to renew lease but no agreement on price; landlord does not provide notice of regarding renewal; and landlord refuses to renew lease, provides written reasons, and then kind of the flow chart of what happens in each one of those. And I think people have talked earlier and used language around the process being cumbersome in some ways and being intensive where not ever small business may be equipped to be able to handle a process designed like this. Is that what you're saying, that some of these immigrant small businesses may have a harder time or smaller businesses that may

2.2

2 not even have a lease may have a harder time

3 | navigating a process like this?

LENA AFRIDI: Correct, yeah, that's what I'm saying, and that's something that we've seen, you know, working with small businesses across the board in all five boroughs of the city, immigrant small businesses in particular. We talk about red tape being an issue. Red tape is an issue for everybody, but it's especially an issue for people who don't speak English, who don't have a lease, who are again facing rampant tenant harassment. You know, I'm not saying that the bill across the board doesn't work, but there are some serious considerations to be taken in order to make sure that it's applied equitably.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Commissioner?

COMMISSIONER ORTIZ: Thank you. Those instances that you mentioned, I mean, these examples are heart-wrenching, gut-wrenching for many of us, but I think we have to be careful about taking, you know, one example and assuming that it's universal. You know, the study that the City Council itself put out said that there's explosive growth in businesses and developing in immigrant neighborhoods. So the problem in Manhattan of the 20 percent vacancy is not

necessarily what we're seeing, and I know because I

boroughs. What's missing from this conversation is

the conversation about demand as well. In some cases

we don't have sufficient demand to even fill all the

spaces that are available out there.

work in many of these neighborhoods in the outer

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

23

years.

24

COMMISSIONER ORTIZ: I'm sure--

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Respectfully, I

example of a little more than two years ago one of

understand that point, but I gave in my opening an

the last remaining affordable supermarkets at the

border of Chelsea and the Village at 14th Street and

Eighth Avenue, an associated supermarket whose

business was totally fine -- I spoke to the manager.

I spoke to the actual landlord that came in and

bought the building. They were paying their rent.

Everything was fine, and all of a sudden the rent

went from \$35,000 a month to more than \$100,000 a

And so one of the last remaining affordable

grocery stores where there was demand was put out of

business because of the tripling of the rent, and now

that space has been sitting vacant for two and a half

COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS

2.2

what I'm trying to understand and get at today is
when that happens that has a real life impact on the
fabric of neighborhoods and communities and New
Yorkers, and I understand that's not every instance,
but in the instance it does happen, it has a
significant deleterious effect on that local
community.

COMMISSIONER ORTIZ: And I think those absolutely need to be addressed on a case-by-case basis. I will say, I live in Jackson Heights-
SPEAKER JOHNSON: [interposing] But how do we address those, that's what I'm asking?

COMMISSIONER ORTIZ: On a case-by-case basis.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: How?

COMMISSIONER ORTIZ: I would say talking to the landlord, figuring out what their needs are. This is not-- you know, using this legislation to sort of blanket the entire city I think could create potential problems for other small businesses. So, it's about a balance. But I will say, you know, in Jackson Heights we had a drug store that closed because they said high rent, you know, was an issue,

and they put up a sign, and they said we are out because there is high rent. The space was vacant for six months. Another drug store filled the space and they're doing fine. And what they did was they updated their storefront. They're now transparent and visible. They have a whole new set of goods that they're selling in a whole different way and they actually are able to pay that higher rent. And so I think we just should be very careful about the assumptions that we make when, you know, the concern is about small businesses. We have to help our businesses be more competitive, and there's so many ways in which we can do that.

thing that I would say that I agree with you on is I don't think every neighborhood is exactly the same. I do think that certain neighborhoods have a uniqueness to them and have a need that is distinct to those communities and neighborhoods. What the retail landscape is in Jackson Heights is not the same as the Far West Village, and it's important to acknowledge that, but I would say that there is a problem when you have 150 vacant storefronts from Fifth Avenue to the Hudson River or from Houston to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

14th Street. When you have 150 empty storefronts in one neighborhood, that show that there is something wrong that is going on that we need to try to fix in some way. And you're right, it's why I've tried to respectfully, thoughtfully make this point throughout this hearing, is that I think we have to be nuanced about this. I think we have to understand there's not a one-size-fits-all solution, but I am trying to figure out what that solution is that staves off McNally Books closing and Tortilla Flats closing and Associated closing solely because of a tripling or quadrupling of the rent when their businesses have been doing fine. That is what I'm trying to get at today, and it's not the easiest answer because the landscape is different all across the city. I want to go to Council Member Rodriguez and then Council Member Kallos.

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Small businesses are smart, great entrepreneur, and I can tell you that I come from one of those islands where the first known Native Americans settled in New York City who [inaudible] in 1613, and when the Dutch came back and found her, he was doing business with the Native Americans. I'm one of those 38 percent of New

2	Yorkers born and raised in another country. I'm one
3	of those 50 percent immigrants who own the local
4	small business in New York City. If anyone has
5	another plan more than a program by a potential for
6	the law on how to survive to support the local small
7	business, please bring it forward. Because programs
8	are not law. So, as I say, no Speaker in the last 25
9	years has been committed to take this bill to the
10	floor for the vote. Here we are with Speaker Johnson
11	saying loud and clear, we want to hear from all the
12	partners, but when a lot of arguments about the owner
13	intention, come on, we're smart. We know what
14	intention is. The intention is to save our city,
15	because when the local small business close, they
16	property that business, they're affected, their
17	employees, their family, and their communities. When
18	the 55 million tourists came here last year, besides
19	staying in Midtown, they also want to go and be
20	connected with the the character of the City. And
21	city been changing from community through community
22	as a domino effect. The big franchise are taking
23	over the mom and pop store, and I understand it. If
24	you represent property owner, the conversation is
25	different. We need to discuss with the property

2	owner. I feel that we can persuade the property
3	owner that this can be a win/win situation. But if
4	you represent local small business, wait and listen
5	from the pres from the Dominican National
6	Supermarket Association, from the Dominican or the
7	Women Chamber of Commerce, from the all chambers here
8	representing the local small business. Listening to
9	the story, they cannot wait any longer. It's not
10	about the programming. Again, if you represent real
11	estate, we're ready to sit down with you and have the
12	conversation, because we feel that the real estate
13	should be part of this conversation. But if you
14	represent merchants, local small business, I want to
15	work with you, and I want to take you tomorrow to
16	Brooklyn where my friend is holding a business for 30
17	years and the landlord is refusing to give at least
18	more than month-per-month. They're immigrants like
19	us. I'm going to take you to 204 10 th Avenue where
20	the landlord is refusing to read the lease and he's
21	taking the cash money every month. Those are the
22	stories that we have in the underserved community,
23	and we need your input and feedback to help us to
24	make this bill better. Like the Speaker said, we

want to get the voice of everyone to be able that we end with this bill as a good one for all sector.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Council Member, thank you for that. Council Member Kallos?

evidence-based governance. I like reading academic research papers and analyzing other relating data, particularly when I disagree. I found that differences in results often relate to experimental design. Tier [sic] review can help, but it's rare in government, and that it should be. For Larisa Ortiz Associates and the Manhattan Chamber of Commerce, would you share the results of your studies, your questionnaires and experimental design?

COMMISSIONER ORTIZ: Yes.

while every member of this panel has acknowledged that rent is an issue for small business, almost all of you raised additional issues that I would like to engage. So I'm going to ask four questions to different folks and hope that we can get quick answers. For Larisa Ortiz, in your commit— is in your capacity as a City Planning Commissioner, would you make a motion at the next meeting to study the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

impacts of Manhattan Borough President Brewer's mom and pop zonings? For Downtown Alliance as the only bid to testify so far, you seem to have a command of businesses you represent. Do you have any data that you can share on commercial vacancy rates versus storefronts and what you are seeing downtown? I also want to thank you as a former Council Member, Lappin, for mentioning scaffolding, a white whale for me and businesses. Would Downtown Alliance submit a memorandum support on the scaffolding legislation before the Council? And for Manhattan Chamber of Commerce, President Jessica Walker, as Chair of the Land Use Subcommittee on Planning, Dispositions, Concessions, I've been advocating for and winning affordable commercial space and new affordable housing developments. Would you work with me on future projects throughout Manhattan to expand affordable retail?

COMMISSIONER ORTIZ: I guess I'll go
first. I actually cannot comment on Land Use or
regulatory issues here. I'm conflicted from doing
that. But I will say that I bring this concern to
every hearing that we get, and if you're sitting in
City Planning Commission, you will know that I am

very tough on applicants. I have a lot of concerns about how we are deploying our zoning as a tool, and I do try to address those in the Commission, but I would not be able to do what you suggest.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Thank you.

JESSICA WALKER: Sorry. In my testimony
I do sort of touch upon wanting to do zoning, and I
think it something that we should be doing. Under
the last Council, I think in December of 2017, so
right at the end, they released this Retail Diversity
Report. It had a lot of good stuff in there in terms
of using zoning to help small businesses. So, I'm
absolutely happy to work with you.

JESSICA LAPPIN: I would say we do track commercial vacancy rates and we are at about roughly give or take 10 percent. I think that's important too to sort of think about when you hear different statistics about vacancy I think-- I'm talking about commercial-- that you put sort of in perspective.

You're never going to be at zero percent, and we're at sort of historic lows for us in lower Manhattan.

So, we're kind of happy to be in the 10 percent range. We do not track retail. We never have, and I think honestly would be-- unless technology evolves--

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

pretty daunting. We have 1,200 retail storefronts, and that doesn't include when you think about all the doctor's offices and other not-street uses. I'm not even sure how we would begin to track that unless there is a technological tool that evolves, and we would be really interested in that. I would say, you know, in retail rents we have some anecdotal, some resources, and you know, on Water Street, about \$211 a square foot, Nassau Street \$205 a square foot. Most expensive would be sort of the Broadway corridor which is 368, which-- so not exorbitant and actually down from last year by about two percent of Broadway specifically. So, I would say, I mean I think it goes to this it's not a one-size-fits-all approach. This is an area that had-- was really under-retailed for a very long time, especially after 9/11 and it has taken almost 20 years to really add, but the businesses have-- we've dramatically added the number of businesses. They're doing well. Rents have been, as I said, sort of going down, and you know, we think it's a success story just adding a number of shops that we have, but it's very different in every neighborhood of the City, and I think that's sort of the key.

COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS

Τ	COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS 19
2	COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Thank you for
3	your work, for your business improvement district,
4	and for Council District Five previously.
5	CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you,
6	Councilman. Commissioner, I do have a question for
7	you, and it comes to the impact that this bill could
8	have on rezoning. Currently, there's been a few
9	neighborhoods that have been rezoned, many more that
10	are on the plan or in process of being rezoned. How
11	would this impact those efforts by this
12	Administration?
13	COMMISSIONER ORTIZ: I will have to say,
14	I cannot comment on land use or regulatory issues.
15	I'm not here in my capacity as the City Planning
16	Commissioner.
17	CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: As an individual,
18	how would you say this could impact any rezoning?
19	COMMISSIONER ORTIZ: I, honestly, I
20	cannot comment on land use or regulatory issues. I
21	would be hit with a fine by the Conflicts of Interes
22	Board, so I'd rather not touch that. My apologies.
23	CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Anyone else on the
24	panel that may have any input? I guess that's fine.

Thank you for your time and your patience. Next we

3

_

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

call up Nelson Eusebio, Andrew Berman [sp?], Kirsten Theodos, Justin Levinson, Laura Sewell, and Jeremiah Moss. And in no particular order, I guess we'll start with you, Nelson, from the far left and work our way right.

NELSON EUSEBIO: Sure. My name is Nelson

I represent the National Supermarket Eusebio. Association, representing over 400 dwindling supermarkets in the New York area, dwindling because we used to be a lot more. We used to be a lot more because doing business in the City of New York in the 70s and 80s was totally different than doing business today. The cost of doing business in New York has quadrupled even more than that. The biggest agent of change has been the rent. We have scenarios where we have stores that their leases are up after been serving communities for 20 or 30 years and paying high rent as it is, the landlord will all of a sudden come in and say, "Well, your rent just tripled, just quadrupled. Deal with it." But we can't deal with We have to close our doors. In the few instance that we deal with it, what we have to do, we have to go from charging for a can of 16 ounce beans, 99 cents, now we have to charge three dollars for that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

same product, and we're talking about food, food that people need to eat and survive. So what happens to our neighborhood, either the people pay these crazy prices or they get up and leave, and of course, when they do that they call it gentrification, and it's happening all over New York City. So what we're saying is that there needs to be a medium where stores can stay and survive in neighborhoods and not charge these crazy prices that they're forced to charge, when you've got to pay four or five dollars for a 64 ounce bottle of Coca-Cola. My daughter used to live in Little Italy in what they call SoHo now, and my good friend Paul who everybody's been talking about had the Met Food there on Mulberry Street, and she says to me one fine day, she says, "Dad, your friend Paul, I know he's friend and everything, but he's a crook." I said, "Why you call him a crook?" He goes, "Because he's charging five dollars for a Coca-Cola." I say, well, that's not on him. She goes, "What do you mean? He's the one charging the price." I go, "It's a reflection of what he's paying to stay in business." So, what did my daughter leave, do, she left the neighborhood, because she couldn't afford it no more." Subsequently, Paul had

2	to leave the neighborhood, too, because he couldn't
3	afford the neighborhood. And who is Paul, and what
4	was Paul? Paul was a young immigrant from the
5	Dominican Republic that came to this country in 1970s
6	and grew up in that neighborhood when it was
7	traditionally an Italian, Puerto Rican, Dominican
8	neighborhood. He bought that store with savings,
9	money that he borrowed from his relatives and his
10	family. He bought that store and he became part of
11	the American dream. Twenty years into his American
12	dream he had a harsh reality. The landlord gave him
13	an ultimatum. He started paying there originally
14	20,000 dollars. The rent went up to 100,000 dollars.
15	When the landlord asked for 115,000 dollars, he had
16	to leave. We killed Paul, and we kill the American
17	dream, and we killed everything that New York City
18	stands for, and we see it all over New York. That's
19	why I said we were 400 stores dwindling supermarkets.
20	We are dwindling, and all our talent and all our
21	funds and our resources you find them now in North
22	Carolina; you find them in Florida, where rents and
23	doing business is cheaper. Why are these people
24	leaving? Why are we losing these resources?

August 2018. Interns conducted a walking count

25

2 noting the addresses of vacancies from the south side 3 of 14th Street to the north side of Houston and from the east side of Third Avenue to the west side of Avenue D. New buildings with undivided retail space 5 no matter how large were counted as just one vacant 6 7 unit even when they were counted as four in our previous studies. There are eight vacancies in large 8 newly developed properties. Managing agents of these 9 buildings freely stated that their first priority was 10 residential closings or rentals and that they were 11 12 willing to wait for the right fit for the commercial space. Despite our conservative methodology, the 13 14 average vacancy rate in our survey area is 15 15 percent. That's four percent higher than the 11 16 percent rate we documented in 2014. The vacancy 17 rates differ widely from avenue to avenue. Avenue C is 23 percent. Third Avenue is 27, and $14^{\rm th}$ is at 18 31. Anonymous LLCs are playing a speculative game of 19 20 monopoly with each other at the expense of our neighborhoods. These owners don't frequent our 21 2.2 streets. They don't buy supplies at the local 23 They don't contribute to the fabric hardware store. of our community like the small business owners who 24 helped make their buildings such an attractive 25

24

25

investment. Commercial rent control is not on the agenda today. Rent is not the only challenge facing small business, but it is the only matter before the committee this afternoon. The small measures of support for small business laid out in 737A could have made a difference for some of what we've lost. These people all have faces to me and to you and represent the fine first step toward creating a climate where our small business stands a fighting chance. The unintended consequences we've heard so much about by our daily and direct observation are already happening. We've all seen how many businesses our communities have lost, but the lower east side still has much worth saving. We appreciate the Speaker's commitment to holding this hearing and to finding a workable path forward before it is too late. CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you, and just

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you, and just for the record, can you state your full name, please?

LAURA SEWELL: Laura Sewell.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you, Laura.

HARRY BUBBINS: Good afternoon, Council

Members, and thank you for the opportunity to

testify. I'm testifying on behalf of the Greenwich

2	Village Society for Historic Preservation. My name
3	is Harry Bubbins. I'm the East Village and Special
4	Projects Director. Our organization previously
5	testified at the December 2016 joint hearing held by
6	this committee on promoting retail diversity and
7	preserving neighborhood character where a number of
8	compelling initiatives were discussed. At that
9	hearing we testified in support of the Small Business
10	Job Survival Act and strongly reiterate that support
11	today. We commend the Speaker and the Council for
12	finally bringing this common-sense bill to a hearing,
13	and we hope it will be approved to accomplish its
14	intended goals. While zoning incentives and vacancy
15	penalties are important to consider, without
16	consideration of commercial lease renewal
17	protections, these alone won't be enough. One vital
18	approach to the vexing challenge of rent gouging and
19	refusal to renew leases is the Small Business Job
20	Survival Act. Now co-sponsored by a majority of this
21	committee and over 20 Council Members, this hearing
22	should lead to a vote to approve this much-needed
23	measure. To many the commercial lease renewal
24	process is more of a shakedown than a fair
25	negotiation. This bill will afford a better, more

2 equitable environment for small business dealings with difficult and unyielding landlords. Throughout 3 the neighborhoods in which we work, Greenwich 4 Village, NoHo and the East Village, we have seen a devastating loss of longstanding businesses often due 6 7 to a refusal of landlords to renew leases or to astronomical increases and rent. The list of lost 8 businesses is too numerous to bring up today, and 9 you've heard some already, but one example is 10 Avignone Chemists on Bleecker Street, the oldest 11 12 apothecary in the City at over 180 years old, which 13 was forced to close when their lease came up and the 14 new landlord tripled their rent. Café Vivaldi on 15 Great Jones [sic] on Jones Street was a quintessential Greenwich Village café restaurant, and 16 live music venue, which was forced to close in part 17 18 by a tripling of their rent by landlord Steve Croman [sp?] who recently served jail time for his dealings 19 20 with tenants in 2011. There are many more cases where the Small Business Job Survival Act could have 2.1 2.2 made a difference, some of which-- many of which 23 you've already heard today. Our City's neighborhoods are struggling to hold onto their character-defining 24

job-producing entrepreneur-driven small businesses.

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

recently. Thank you.

2 We need this legislation as soon as possible to protect them from unfair competition and price 3 gauging landlords. We hope today's hearing will 4 bring us to an affirmative vote on this measure as 5 soon as possible. And with the brief time I have 6 7 left, there's a second page on the testimony we've submitted. You might hear by some of the real estate 8 forces out there that put together these so-called 9 reports about how Historic Districts or land-marking 10 negatively impact retail climate. Nothing could be 11 12 further from the proof-- further from that. The 13 second page of our testimony is a little bit on that 14 to rebut some so-called reports that were out there

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you, Harry.

JUSTIN LEVINSON: My name is Justin

Levinson, and I worked on the Vacant New York Project that was tallying and mapping vacant storefronts in Manhattan. Like Council Member Kallos, I'm big on data-driven government indecision, so I'll try to do what I can to help that along. As it turns out, the problem is quite widespread. I started this in 2016. By summer 2016 I had recorded nearly 1,000 vacancies in Manhattan via combination of online collection and

2 hand count. I am working on an update. I don't have final figures at the moment. The initial count is 3 probably about 1,200 plus, just about a 20 percent 4 increase about two years. Due to inaccuracies in the 6 data gathering process both in 2016 and today, I 7 under counted by quite a large amount. My properties that weren't represented by your large brokers or 8 part of a long tale of sort of small owner-occupied 9 ended up often not being counted if I could hand 10 count them. I had a sort of crowd-sourcing approach 11 12 where I had people write in anything that I had I collected more than 100 additional 13 missed. 14 vacancies written in by readers in 2016, and some of 15 those were also included in Borough President 16 Brewer's hand count which would be 188, and Senator 17 Hoylman's Bleecker Report as well. Although present 18 across the borough, distribution tends to be around higher rent districts with neighborhoods like SoHo 19 20 being particularly hard hit. Based on my research and anecdotal evidence, it appears to be happening as 21 2.2 rental tenants are being presented with large 23 increases, and then they're either vacating or they're simply not being offered a lease renewal and 24 the property owners will wait to find a new tenant 25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

it.

Thank you.

who will simply pay what they're asking. My most surprising finding was the sort of emotional response that we're hearing today from people in the room. was getting this from, you know, news articles, from people who want to open businesses. People who have been pushed out of their own businesses are emailing me just expressing their concern and thanking me for someone finally providing data and a light was actually going on inside the City. As Council Member Johnson has said, this is not a silver bullet solution, but right now we need-- I'd like to at least look at options that we have on the table, things that have been presented including the vacancy tax, registry are also important steps that can work in concert with this bill. Look, I mean, we're really dealing with this problem as a retail vacancy. A lot of these solutions that were represented when this report came out was, "Oh, we can do this to fill these vacant storefronts." My concern at this moment is really to stop the bleeding, because we can't fill up the bowl if there's a big hole in the bottom of

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you, Justin. Justin, I'm just curious about the research. Were

Thank

2

3

4

_

5

no.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1314

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

you able to determine how many businesses we have in New York City as you were conducting your survey?

JUSTIN LEVINSON: I'm not, unfortunately,

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you.

KIRSTEN THEODOS: Good afternoon.

you for this opportunity to testify. My name is Kirsten Theodos, and I'm a Co-founder of Take Back NYC, a coalition of residents, community leaders, and small business owners with one goal, to see that City Council votes on and passes the Small Business Job Survival Act. Trying to save mom and pop isn't about nostalgia, banning chain stores, nor is it commercial rent control. It's about over 1,000 small businesses that are being shuttered every month, which equates to over 8,000 jobs lost every month in New York City. When a community is out of work, the fabric of that community deteriorates and the path of social mobility for low-income families is lost. And this crisis is getting much worse and rapidly spreading to every neighborhood and every borough as evidence by vacant storefronts on every Main Street across the City. New Yorkers want a real solution to stop the closings and save jobs. We don't want initiatives,

2 loans, reduction in fines or landlord incentives. want legislation so our struggling business owners 3 4 can begin thriving again. If we are seriously 5 looking at solutions to save our mom and pops, then we need to address the crux of the problem, which is 6 7 exorbitant rent increases and the right to a lease renewal, and thank you to the Speaker for also 8 acknowledging that. The SBJSA addresses the issues 9 of our commercial tenant space by giving them a right 10 to a minimum 10-year lease renewal and a right to 11 12 equal negotiation terms when it comes time to renew 13 their lease. The SBJSA should have passed in 2009 14 when it had the unanimous support of the Small 15 Business Committee and 32 Council Members signed onto 16 the bill. New York City business owners are victims 17 of decades of manipulation and speculation of the 18 free market, rent gouging, banks bidding against franchises for prime space, warehousing storefronts 19 20 for sometimes for years, flipping property with commercial space in it and just plain greed. 21 2.2 results in commercial tenants not being able to gain 23 reasonable lease terms. Let change come to a neighborhood, but let the small businesses who 24 sacrifice and invest in that neighborhood have the 25

COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS

2.2

opportunity to stay in business, protect their
investment and the jobs of their employees. Small
businesses are the largest employer, the backbone of
New York City's economy and vital to the stability
and character of every neighborhood. The majority of
New Yorkers want our law makers to live up to their
campaign pledges of supporting progressive
legislation like the Small Business Job Survival Act.
It is time that all law makers take the crisis of our
business owners, face today seriously, and stop the
closing and save jobs by passing the SBJSA. Thank
you.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you, Kirsten.

GRIFFIN HANSBURY: Thank you, Mr.

Speaker, City Council, for this hearing. My name is Griffin Hansbury, and I write as Jeremiah Moss. In over a decade of writing my blog and book Vanishing New York, I've walked the streets of the city talking with small business people about the forced closures of their shops and restaurants, office spaces, and art studios. Over and over they tell me that the number one force shutting them down is a landlord who demands a high rent increase or refuses to renew the lease. Thriving mom and pops beloved in their

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

communities for 20, 40, 80, and more years are pushed out by rents that yes, double, triple, quadruple, quintuple and more. The spaces sit empty creating high rent blight and new tenants, as we know, get short leases that create a revolving door city in which the social fabric of our neighborhood is destabilized. I'm also a licensed Clinical Social Worker and a psychoanalyst and a small business person who lost my office to a commercial developer, and I can tell you that the stress of losing a space, the instability of constantly having to pull up stakes is detrimental to mental and physical health, and not just to the business person. New York's always changing, but the current pace of change is out of control. Every day I hear from New Yorkers who are grieving the death of their city. People feel alienate and socially and politically disengaged. They feel hopeless. Time and again I've sat with people who weep over spaces and livelihoods taken away. Too often, I've seen when older people have lost their businesses, they pass away often within a year. We're all affected. Psychologists and neuroscientists who study the streets have shown that the monotony of chain stores makes people

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

depressed. Senior citizens age faster when they live on blocks of chains instead of small businesses. diversity of stable mom and pops both improves and extends our lives, but that diversity is being destroyed by unregulated greed. The City's small business crisis could also be considered a public health crisis. The SBJSA will help stop the bleeding. It's not a silver bullet, as we keep hearing, but silver bullets only exist in fairy tales. This bill is the strongest treatment we have and that's why the opposition keeps throwing up distractions. You're going to hear that internet is killing retail, not high rents, while the internet takes a bite. It's mostly like on Bleecker Street, pushing these big chains to close some of their many locations, many lost leaders. With affordable rents mom and pop can survive the internet. You're going to hear that commercial rents are declining and correcting. While rents are coming down somewhat in some places, they're not returning to affordable levels before this crisis began. A decline from 50,000 a month to 40,000 is not affordable. have a fever of 105 and it goes down to 104, you're still sick. And on the so-called free market that we

COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS

keep hearing about, the market is not free. It's
rigged. When the city and state gives land, public
land and money and tax breaks to big business, that's
not the free market, it's a rigged market. There was
a time when this City Hall protected the vulnerable
from the powerful, but New York's values changed.
Today, it favors the powerful. It gives protection
to the big and leaves the small to struggle. Our
city has become in many ways unjust and inhumane.
Now you have the chance to change that. If you don't
act now and pass this bill, we're going to lose all
the remains of the city we love, and New York will
lose whatever's left of its soul, and I submit my
book to the five members of the committee as back-up
for my testimony. It's 10 years of qualitative
research.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Are they autographed?

GRIFFIN HANSBURY: They are autographed.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you.

GRIFFIN HANSBURY: If you want it

23 personalized, you can--

SPEAKER JOHNSON: [interposing] They sell for less than 50 dollars? Less than 50 dollars?

COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 GRIFFIN HANSBURY: [inaudible] yes, it 3 does.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: We can take them then.

GRIFFIN HANSBURY: Is that safe? Yeah.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Yes, thank you.

Jeremiah-- well, your real name's not Jeremiah, but your pen name, your sobriquet, your pseudonym--

GRIFFIN HANSBURY: [interposing] Yes.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: is Jeremiah Moss. want to thank you for all you've done to document what has changed in New York City. I remember reading about what was happening at Edison in my district and going for that final day and seeing what you had documented at the Sunshine Theater and other places across the City, and I think telling the history of New York City and understanding the reasons behind the great loss is extraordinarily important. So I really want to thank you for the work that you've done for years and years now in cataloging the history of our great city and actually having that be something that we can learn from and look back on to hopefully put in place sound, public policy solutions to fix that. So, I want to thank you for that.

GRIFFIN HANSBURY: Thank you.

3 SPEAKER JOHNSON: I just have a couple 4 questions. I want to just ask to anyone on the 5 panel, you know, there have been -- the staff here at 6 the Council has worked-- as has Chair Gjonaj as you 7 heard from one of the previous panels -- I think very forthrightly and in good faith to prepare for today's 8 hearing. There's been no subterfuge. There's been 9 no game playing. It has really been about getting an 10 education, learning the fact, and understanding what 11 12 the best path forward is. What I've heard from some advocates, though, is that there should be no changes 13 14 to this bill, none. That's not reasonable. No bill 15 is that -- gets enacted that way in the City Council. 16 It doesn't matter what the bill is. And so I want to understand from you all as advocates who are before 17 18 us, where you do think there could be potential changes. Where you think you'd still have a bill 19 20 that would be meaningful that would still stave off the loss of small businesses that are seeing the 2.1 2.2 doubling, tripling, quadrupling, quintupling of 23 rents, but does not create a process that potentially harms small businesses that we heard about in the 24 25 previous panel, or captures large white shoe law

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

2.2

23

24

25

firms that don't need protection on this bill. So, I would love again to have an instructive conversation with each other to understand where we think there is some wiggle room to still get to the heart of what this bill seeks to accomplish, while at the same time not overreaching in a way that isn't getting to the heart of what we're looking at. Any of you can answer this question?

NELSON EUSEBIO: I think we're talking about small business. Maybe we can cap it, cap it to that, 15,000 or maybe no more than 20,000 square feet, because if you have 40-50,000 square feet, then you're a big player, you're a chain. You know, you can run with the big boys. Most of our stores, what we represent are between 10, no more than 20, especially in the City, and we have a lot of stores that are 5-7,000 square feet. So, there's a cap on the square footage, that might reflect on your ability to pay-- to pay the rent. I also want to say something that with the rent bill and the landlords lease, so to speak, it goes much more than that, because a lot of these rents are not only high, but they have what they call a "triple net lease" where the tenant has to pay the taxes on the property, has

3

ی

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to maintain the property. If the roof falls on you, it's your responsibility, and the landlord walks away 100 percent cash-free. He's not making any investment. All this money is in his pocket, and those are some of the things that we should address, if we may, how landlords could take responsibility on their own property and not just pass it on the tenant.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: So, I want to say

something, but I probably shouldn't say. I'm probably going to get in trouble by the staff here at the Council, but I think it's important, again, to be transparent and to be open with each other as we start this process in a meaningful way. There are other bills that members sought which were not mutations of the SBJSA, but different things that people thought whether it is doing what you did, sir, in actually creating a vacancy registry that the Borough President talked about, looking at potential zoning restrictions and understanding how zoning fits into this puzzle that people wanted to hear today. We didn't hear those bills, because I didn't want people to say we were hearing those bills to district from this bill which has been trying to get a hearing

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

for almost 10 years, and so we put those bills aside. But I think part of what this conversation needs to entail is that this bill is not a panacea, it's not a silver bullet; there are other things that we can do, and I think the way to move forward is to have an honest conversation with each other about data, about zoning, about all of the issues we're talking about that are important for us to have a complete and full conversation on this. But and those bills are ready. They're not substitutes for this bill. complement this bill and complement helping small businesses. We did not hear those bills today. Chair Gjonaj wanted to hear those bills, but he understood that there was a lot of focus just on having a hearing on this bill, so we had the hearing just on this bill. No one knew that, but that's what we did, because we didn't want people to say we were hearing other bills to distract from this bill. again, I come back to for advocates what are some of the potential changes you do think are reasonable to the piece of legislation before us that would still accomplish the goal.

LAURA SEWELL: Speaker Johnson, I just wanted to add before I answer that is I personally

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

1617

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

don't have a problem with the bill including all commercial tenants. The SBJSA, the progressive piece of legislation, and I don't think it would be right to discriminate against a sector of businesses regardless if they work on the 30th floor of the Chrysler Building or not. The bill should cover all commercial tenants. As far as which parts of the bill should be altered, you know, I'm not an attorney, I don't really know, but I do know that over the course of 30 years when this bill has been kicking around in one form or another, there have been at least eight amendments and changes made to the bill. So, small business advocates are open to changes as long as the bill still has teeth to stop the closings and save jobs, and stop the extortion of our mostly immigrant business owners.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: I just want to add and I just want to reiterate what the Speaker so eloquently stated, and I don't want to use the silver bullet scenario. Let's look at it as a vaccine, and there is no vaccine that's going to cure all of our small business needs. We have treat this more like it's diabetes where we have to change a way of lifestyle, what we eat and exercise, because clearly

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

no one issue is going to resolve the small business issues, and we have to keep an open mind that from industry to industry there are different issues that impact and will determine whether that business will survive or not. And Nelson, when you say 14,000 square feet, let me remind you that Nick's Coffee Shop which is about 500,000 square feet, competes against Starbucks and Dunkin Donuts and putting them on the same platform with the same protections is not what I think the bill's intention is.

NELSON EUSEBIO: And you're absolutely right. You're absolutely right, because we come across a lot of landlords who want a national exposure where they can get a guaranteed rent, where they can get a higher rent or maybe what they feel is a stable rent, and they hold that against us. should we deal with you when we get guaranteed 20 years, 30 years, from a national chain? And that is a problem. That is an issue. We're independent business people dealing with Wall Street and we just can't fight with them fair.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Nelson, I'll add, to your industry, the National Supermarket Association, for example, small grocery stores have come to me

COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS

2.2

2 asking for help because you have an unfair advantage 3 over the local grocery store or bodega.

NELSON EUSEBIO: Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: And we should be mindful that, again, we should look at this as a whole, there's a forest out there and not focus on the tree within the forest. But small businesses have come to me saying, "Mark, help me. I'm a small business owner. I have a corner grocery store. I cannot compete with the Walmart's or the A&Ps of the world."

NELSON EUSEBIO: Well, that's not us.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: You have C Towns
[sic], right?

NELSON EUSEBIO: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: So, the C Town scenario compared to a small mom and pop grocery store, understanding the issues that we have here are so complicated.

NELSON EUSEBIO: Yeah, they are very complicated, and as a matter of fact, we do work with the Bodega Association and we meet with them about three or four times a year to share information with them back and forth and also to help them become more

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

productive in what they're doing. But most cases, nine out of 10, a supermarket, medium size supermarket, 10-15,000 square feet, they co-exist with a corner bodega because they have different type of traffic and different goods that they might sell different times.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Nelson, I'm with you, but I also hear from your own members that we can't compete against the online deliveries that don't have any brick and mortar, the wholesale industry where you order online and 24 hours it's delivered. How do we compete against them? very complicated scenario, and I just want everyone to keep-- and I think the Speaker said it best. Let's keep an open mind as we address all of the issues that impact all of the small businesses that are out there.

I know I spoke too much NELSON EUSEBIO: today, maybe. I just want to say something, that I've been to a lot of these hearings and stuff like that, and usually about 5:30 in the evening you have two people up there and everybody's gone home for the day. Thank you for listening to us. Thank you, City

COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS

2 Council Speaker for taking the time. Thank you, Mr.

3 Rodriguez for putting this together or working with--

SPEAKER JOHNSON: [interposing] I'm not staying much longer, I'm sick.

 $\label{eq:nelson_eusebio} \mbox{NELSON EUSEBIO: This has been fantastic.}$ Thank you.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Let me just-- I know you, Kirsten, you want to say something, but is there an openness and a recognition to explore other complementary things like zoning?

JUSTIN LEVINSON: I-- you know, I think
just speaking sort of emotionally a little bit, I
think that there's a feeling that I have, and I think
a lot of my fellow advocates have that somehow if we
talk about other options, this is going to be
snatched away from us, because it's been snatched
away before. But, you know, if I had my ideal
scenario, I would have the SBJSA, I'd have vacancy
tax, and I would also have zoning to curb formula
retail, chain retail. I would have a multipronged,
three-pronged approach and just knock this out. You
know, talk about vaccination, I think that would
really knock out the problem pretty well.

2.2

25

2 SPEAKER JOHNSON: I would just say that I 3 think the bill as written, again, I think has some problems that I have identified very openly at this 4 5 hearing today, and I also think that if we were going to adopt a bill similar to this bill, I think some of 6 7 the folks earlier testified in a very thoughtful way in saying that you would actually need potential 8 resources. You would need budgetary allocations to 9 help some small businesses, to be able to afford 10 legal representation, to be able to understand the 11 12 regulatory process. I think that's an important part 13 of this and one thing that we shouldn't kind of gloss 14 over I think is a very important detail that we need 15 to figure out in a thoughtful way. The vacancy tax 16 is not up to us. it's a state issue as you heard 17 from Assembly Member Gottfried and State Senator 18 Hoylman, and the zoning issue on this, you heard from our current Borough President Gale Brewer that when 19 20 she was in the City Council she attempted and she was able to achieve a rezoning on, I believe, Columbus 21 2.2 Avenue on the upper west side on smaller stores to 23 try to limit chain stores from over populating

Columbus Avenue which is what she saw. It had some

mixed success. It didn't exactly play out in the way

3

4

J

6

7

8

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that I think she had hoped and the Community Board up there had hoped. That could be a lesson for future potential changes. I agree with you, though, that this is a-- it needs to be a fulsome conversation that we have on this, and I just want to set expectations that, you know, this bill like all of our bills that are complicated bills, we-- this past week, the Right to Know Act went into effect, and that was a bill that was negotiated for four years in the last Council and went into effect on Friday, and so bills take a while. In the meantime, we may have hearings on other bills that are not -- that is not separate from this, but again, we think is part of the conversation we need to have on this issue to be able to move this ball forward, and I just think it's important for us to be honest with each other about that.

LAURA SEWELL: Speaker, I totally agree, and it should be a multi-pronged approach, and what Jeremiah just described is something I would totally agree with it, but just something you need to remember of olks need to remember when we're talking about retail zoning, it only applies to new leases. It's not going to keep our old established businesses in

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

1112

13

1415

16

17

19

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

place, and while it's a great idea, it would have to be looked at neighborhood by neighborhood, and I mean, that could take-- to analyze every single neighborhood across five boroughs, I mean, that could take a decade.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Well, I think-- I hear

that point, and I would just say that we should potentially -- I haven't thought this out and I haven't had granular conversations about it. We should potentially start to have this conversation on areas that have seen the highest amounts of gentrification, the highest amounts of vacancy rates as recorded in the surveys that have been done and that should be done moving forward, and so I think you can have this conversation at least on the zoning front, not in a citywide way, but in a way that goes to the areas that have seen the highest level of retail blight and have a conversation there, and I think some of the communities that have experienced that would actually like to have that conversation. I can tell you the Community Board Two in the Village would probably like to have that conversation, as would Community Board Four in Chelsea and Hell's Kitchen. I can't speak to the other parts of

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Manhattan or the other parts of the city that I don't represent, but I can tell you that you could potentially identify it in that way so it doesn't become a citywide process, but a process that focuses where we've seen the biggest problems.

LAURA SEWELL: And that's great. I just wanted to point that out, that you know, that would only apply to new leases.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Well, I think, you know, I don't think it's best for us to get into it today for a variety of reasons. I think there are legal concerns about this bill. I wanted to have a hearing to hear from everyone, but I do think that there are-- put the New York City Bar Association's opinion aside. I think that there are smart, constitutional folks that have some concerns with the bill as written. And so if we were going to do something, I'm sure you're going to hear from a future panel that there would likely be litigation around anything that we do, which means that if we're going to pass a bill, we should make sure that we really fully, competently feel like that bill is going to pass legal muster as it moves forward or all of this is for not, and I think that's important for

Ŭ

/

_ 1

us as a body. It's part of our responsibility, but also as advocates here today, you wouldn't want us to take a step after all this advocacy and hard work and somehow we've done something that leaves it open to legal challenge. I don't feel comfortable having that exact conversation here today, but I think it's a conversation that everyone should be having moving forward about what rightfully can be in the parameters of the bill.

UNIDENTIFIED: I appreciate the nuanced approach to this. I mean, I think-- I'm not going to speak for every advocate of this bill. I mean, my primary concern is that I would like to see the spirit of the bill intact and teeth. I think what people are concerned about with wanting to pass the bill intact is it gets watered down or there's a bunch of loopholes added, and I think we both understand the spirit and the intention of this, and we-- if we measure it by square footage, by number of employees, by you know, amount of money income per year, like there's a way we can slice this, and I think those details can be worked out as long as the original spirit of the bill is included [sic].

O 1

SPEAKER JOHNSON: I think that's a good conversation to have, and I think that's totally fair to have that conversation, but there have been many advocates that have come forward who said there should be zero changes. That doesn't happen with a single bill that is ever passed by the New York City Council. So, that's not a reasonable starting point for us to actually be able to go through a process together. It's not fair. It's not realistic. It's not reality. So, I think we need to be able to have this conversation. I want to thank you all very much for being here today.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Hold on, we've got a couple of questions here. I have one. How many of you come back from the small business world, the micro-business world, less than 10 employees, with a show of hands? Anybody? Thank you. Councilman Powers, please?

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Thank you. I'm going to do a little follow-up on the Speaker, because he covered a lot of the questions that I asked. But, you know, I know some of the conversations-- the conversation has been a lot about this bill that covers all tenants throughout the

2 City, and I know we have heard from different groups about impacts and things like that. I'm not asking 3 4 you to negotiate the bill in public or anything like that, but I did want to go down one tier here, which 5 6 is to say you have supermarkets who are here. 7 have cultural landmarks in this city that we want to preserve. Obviously, everybody has a favorite 8 business that they care about in their neighborhood, 9 and then we hear the contrast to office buildings. 10 know there's disagreement on this, maybe even in the 11 12 panel. Office buildings in Midtown in my district or 13 others where maybe this isn't the intended goal is to 14 regulate big law firms, big hedge funds, and other 15 business like that. Is there a priority category 16 amongst folks on this? Is it cultural landmarks? Ιs 17 it supermarkets? Is it ground floor retail? Is it--18 and then we also have geographical boundaries? represent Midtown. I represent Midtown Manhattan 19 20 adjacent to the East Village area and Stuyvesant Town. Are there priority categories, priority 21 2.2 geographical areas? If we are going to have a 23 conversation about this, I think it's helpful to have-- know where some starting points are in terms 24 25 of what are the things, the real intended goals in

COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS

2.2

2 terms of who-- can anybody provide some insight into3 - sure.

LAURA SEWELL: Businesses that serve the needs of the community and the residents of the community, of the immediate community, that's the priority.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: I was hoping for more granular, but I do understand there are essential businesses. I'm talking about-- I mean, the Speaker brought up a point of the supermarket.

LAURA SEWELL: Shoe repair, you know, we can be very granular -- 500 businesses that serve the community listed in our guide.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Okay.

LAURA SEWELL: They-- if by granular you want a list, I mean, here it is. It's everything from cafes and coffee shops to bakeries to people who cut hair and gift stores and galleries to clothing store--

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: [interposing] So, it does sound like we're talking though predominantly about ground floor retail that is doing sort of neighborhood services and essential services for the community, is that what you're saying?

2.2

_ _

LAURA SEWELL: I'm in the East Village, so the bulk of our stock is mixed-use retail. So, it's primarily residential on the upper floors and ground floor is retail or services.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Thank you. And I wanted to ask a question on your-- I appreciate your storefront vacancy survey. I live nearby, so I know some of the areas we're talking about. Did you-- were you able to identify the reasons in addition to the vacancies, that rate of reasons of why they were--

LAURA SEWELL: [interposing] We've just started working on it. It's challenging because a lot of the owners are hidden. It's just, you know, an LLC and an address. We do-- we did note several NYU buildings that are vacant on Third Avenue, which is surprising. You would think they would be motivated to bring someone in to serve their population, and also NYCHA buildings have high incidence of vacancies.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Which buildings?

LAURA SEWELL: NYCHA.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Oh, NYCHA.

LAURA SEWELL: Yeah.

1	COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS 23
2	COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: And I wanted to
3	note, Third Avenue is a high-trafficked area, close
4	to Union Square, adjacent to the subways.
5	LAURA SEWELL: And an extremely
6	speculative market right now.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: An extreme
8	speculative market, got it. And then the 14 th Street
9	Avenue A which is kind of on the periphery of one
10	of the buildings you note in your vacancy survey is
11	new building that went up. It has a Target in it.
12	It's not in my district. I live across the street.
13	It's in Council Member Barron's district. That was
14	not actually a it's a new development
15	LAURA SEWELL: [interposing] It's a new
16	development. The existing businesses that I used to
17	shop in were burned out in a fire at a pizza place.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Right.
19	LAURA SEWELL: So it took out
20	COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: [interposing] My
21	favorite pizza place in the City.
22	LAURA SEWELL: Yeah, so the new develop
23	the Target is in a new development. Most of a lot
24	of the block is. There's still a remarkable number

of businesses open on the block.

25

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: I guess one of the things I'd raise is that like this bill would also protect— would also keep the Target in business, and I know that the Associated Supermarket on 14th I think is one of your members, and also most live nearby, is also, you know, on a month—to—month lease considering their future, and many in the neighborhood are concerned about them as well. But the bill would cost O'Keefe [sic] their well—resourced chain store that I think is not the intended goal in business as well, which would then potentially put the supermarket at—

to tell you it thrills me, but the fact of the matter is, Target managed to negotiate a lease for itself just fine. The businesses who have been in, made the community what it is, have no protections. So, while the conversation is complicated, while what's wrong with small business in New York is very complicated conversation, the fact that this type of tenant that's contributed to the community and continues to contribute has no protections. It's really fairly simple, and that seems to me what this bill addresses.

COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS

2 COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Great. Thank
3 you, and thank you for your survey as well.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you.

Councilman Rodriguez?

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Thank you Chair. You know, what we were addressing in the beginning is like how some sectors they are so interesting on misleading New Yorkers on this conversation. The headline on one of the newspaper [inaudible] "The Blasio Administration Oppose Commercial Rent Control Bill." So, that's the headline immediately. And you heard from the SBS Commissioner, the SBS Commissioner said they have some concern, but no [inaudible] first of all, the Administration called it rent control. But some people are interested to make this conversation about rent control. And it cannot be about rent control when Mayor de Blasio in 2008 sponsored the Intro. 8474 which was to create this bill, a small business lease program in commercial lease renewal process. So, I think that, again, we're in the best place in our history with the leadership, with the Speaker, with Chairman Gjonaj and all of us to understand that this is the time of social media. You know, 30 years

_

ago when this bill was discussed, a lot of things were discussed in the corner of the room. Today, everyone is engaged in this conversation, and that makes all of us, the elected officials, the real estate, the business community more accountable because people have information immediately. I have conversa—— question to mention which is can you share the experience on how supermarket owners, many supermarket owners, started as bodega owners, and how this bill can help the current bodega owners to also keep their dream that in the future they can be the future supermarket owners?

NELSON EUSEBIO: The current supermarket owners were bodega owners 20, 30 years ago, and like we said before, through the desire and through the American dream they were able to buy a supermarket, but that case is harder and harder. Most of the bodega owners today can't reach that level that previous store owners reached. The rents and the overheads that they have doesn't afford the ability to raise funds, have money to make the next level to go into a supermarket industry. So, I don't know how they're going to be able to do it now unless this legislation introduced to help them be more

UNIDENTIFIED: Good answer.

Good

24 UNIDENTIFIED: Amazon.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

question.

_

3

4

4

5

6

7

8

9

1011

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Maybe we can get the answer to that question.

JOHN BANKS: I know the answer. were purchased from an online dealer. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, Mr. Speaker, members of the Committee. My name is John Banks. I am the President of the Real Estate Board of New York. I want to take some time today to talk about some of our concerns about the legislation being proposed. In the interest of time I would request that my testimony be entered into the record in its entirety, and I will speak to bullet points in order to try to move things along. to thank all the homeowners, business improvement districts, affordable housing advocates, and a special thanks to 32BJ Building Service Workers who are strongly opposed to this bill. All of us are very sympathetic to the challenges facing retail businesses and want to help them thrive. The truth is, the retail market is in a state of flux across the country. Online shopping is changing the way the consumers behave. The discussion around this issue have been marked by hyperbole, anecdote and not enough data, but this legislation will do nothing to solve the underlying issues behind storefront

2	vacancies, and instead would have catastrophic
3	impacts on our local economy. The market is
4	correcting the problem of high rents. Retail rents
5	have fallen and are continuing to come down.
6	According to CBRE, asking rents is down 18 percent
7	citywide and down 25 percent in the West Village. We
8	know how easy it is to demonize landlords, but we
9	know that flexibility and support are the greatest
10	help we can give small businesses. The bill will
11	hurt small businesses by stifling innovation. If
12	landlords know that they will be required to remain
13	in a lease agreement in perpetuity, they will wait
14	for a tenant whose idea is proven and can pay and
15	will not be a nuisance to their residential tenants.
16	In the interim, more storefronts will remain or
17	become vacant. There's a myth we keep hearing about
18	the property owners benefit from empty storefronts.
19	This is false. Former Council Member Messinger
20	referenced that she does not have the information
21	behind it that she suspects that this is true. I only
22	point to her colleague on the panel, Senator Hoylman,
23	and his study, "Bleaker on Bleecker", page nine. He
24	says that this myth about the fact that landowners
25	get a tax benefit from keeping their storefronts

2.2

23

24

25

vacant is just that misinformation and a myth. when storefronts are vacant, landlords have to pay property taxes. We all know the City Finance Division, excuse me, Finance Department does not reduce taxes when assessments are changed. never go down. We have-- excuse me. Even when storefronts are vacant, landlords have to pay property taxes, which have doubled in the past decade, and operating expenses such as utilities. There are no tax benefits for keeping space empty. There are many areas where the Council has authority to help, zoning regulations, land-marking, and oversight on city agencies. There are solutions that would address the greatest challenges facing small retailers. The Health Department has increased fine revenues 40 percent, and the City's latest budget projects collecting nearly 900 million dollars in fines and fees this year, 110 million dollars increase from previous years. Rising business costs and regulatory requirements impede occupancy. Older, outdated space impedes occupancy. This bill will impact neither root cause of storefront vacancies. Legal concerns remain. Despite what many have said, I'm going to ask a very simple question. Are the

2 rents able to fluctuate in a free market? If they are not under this legislation, then they are 3 4 controlled. New York City Bar Association recently reported that the Council has no legal authority to 5 pass this bill. If we put the issue aside though, 6 7 the bill still would not address some of the greatest challenges facing small businesses in New York City. 8 Our own research shows that restrictive zoning and 9 other regulatory requirements lead to higher retail 10 vacancy rates. Commercial Rent Control ignores 11 12 market conditions and would hurt the economy. This 13 bill will kill jobs, kill ingenuity, and ensure 14 homogenization of retail in the City of New York. was deeply flawed 30 years ago when I was in the Koch 15 16 Administration. It was deeply flawed 28 years ago 17 when I was in the City Council Finance Division. 18 was deeply flawed 16 years ago when I was Chief of Staff to the City Council, and it remains deeply 19 20 The only survival the bill ensures is the flawed. continuation of vacancies. We hope any legislation 2.1 2.2 put forward by the City Council will be legal, based 23 upon data and not anecdotes. We hope the Council will pass legislation that will create jobs for small 24 businesses rather than perpetuate the endless 25

COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS

2.2

2	government regulation and laws that are ever
3	increasing are an ever-increasing burden on small
4	businesses. Thank you.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Thank you. Mr. Banks, before we get to the rest of the panelist, because I do have to go home. I am not feeling well.

JOHN BANKS: And I appreciate you sticking--

wanted to stay for as many folks as I could. I have a few questions for you. I think there are many things you said we may not entirely agree on, but I want to understand again the question I have posed to multiple panels. What do you suggest, or do you suggest nothing when the rent is doubled, tripled, quadrupled? The business has been a viable, profitable, well-run, well-managed business that has had a customer base in a neighborhood, whether again it be Tortilla Flats or McNally Books, or Associated Supermarket, and the landlord, whoever the landlord is, doubles, triples, quadruples the rent, what do you believe should happen? Nothing?

JOHN BANKS: So, -- no.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Okay.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

JOHN BANKS: What I believe should happen is that the City, as a matter of public policy, if in fact you find that this is an area that needs redress, then the City because it is the broadest-has the broadest ability to spread the risk across all of the City's residents, tax payers and otherwise, should consider an incentive program to help smooth over temporary or rent increases that may or may not result. I am disheartened by the fact that Tortilla Flats is closing. They have plenty of my money, so I know that they are able to provide a reasonable amount of return on their investment and continue to work. I do not dispute that they're going out of business for whatever reason they say they are. But the City is dynamic. It changes, and there are winners and losers in that, and we just need to be careful that we do not try to apply a blanket solution to some place as dynamic as the City of New York.

STEVE SOUTENDIJK: And before we lose you, Speaker Johnson, I just want to talk about McNally Books because it's been referenced a number of times.

COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS

2.2

2 SPEAKER JOHNSON: If you could give your 3 name, sir.

STEVE SOUTENDIJK: My name is Steve

Soutendijk. I'm a retail leasing broker that works at

Cushman and Wakefield. I rent stores for a living,

primarily in Manhattan. McNally Books is actually

going to be signing a new lease elsewhere, I believe

on Eighth Street. So, it's not a closing, it's a

relocation. In addition, she also has a store in

Williamsburg, and my understanding is that she's in

negotiations on another lease as well. So, this

closing small business will at the end of the day

have three very successful stores.

 $\label{eq:speaker_johnson:} \mbox{ I understand that, but}$ that's one example.

STEVE SOUTENDIJK: I don't know the situation--

SPEAKER JOHNSON: [interposing] Let me—let me finish. That's one example that you were able to give a relocation. There are many businesses for whatever reason who are not able to relocate because of the price that is paid upon a closing and upon not having a lease renewal. So, I'm glad that the owner of McNally Books is able to find another location on

does that mean?

4

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Eighth Street. Eighth Street is actually one of the worst places in Manhattan for vacancies and has been for many, many years between Sixth Avenue and Fifth Avenue on the north and south sides of that street. It was a street that had beautiful record stores and other stores, but were vacated mostly, when you talk to those owners, was because of a rent increase, not because of business going down. So, again, Mr. Banks, you had said that you think the decision to come up with some type of incentive program, what

JOHN BANKS: So, for example, if a small business-- and I agree with many of the other speakers who said that we need to define exactly what is small business. I think it's absolutely ludicrous that we would seek to protect the Fortune 500 Company in their lease. That--

SPEAKER JOHNSON: [interposing] You and I agree on that.

JOHN BANKS: So, if you are truly a small business, and we would work with the council to define that so that it is limited in scope, we believe that something like a tax abatement program for a period of time which would offset any rent

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 increase for that small business would be a way to manage a transition. Obviously, for both the City's 3 Fisc [sic] and the landlord and the tenant, there 4 needs to be some phasing out of that. You don't want 5 to provide a subsidy in perpetuity, but we think that 6 7 to allow a business to change and to become more profitable, tax abatement program would be something 8 that the City could offer and spread the risk across

the entirety of its tax base as opposed to relying on 10

the individual landlord or owner of the building to 11

12 bear the burden of the public policy that is being

13 presented.

> SPEAKER JOHNSON: Do you think there's any speculation involved on why we're seeing significantly higher vacancy numbers in certain neighborhoods across Manhattan and across the City? What do you think is one of the main drivers that contributes to that?

JOHN BANKS: I think that the City is a dynamic place. Ten years ago, Williamsburg and Brooklyn, I-- Wythe Avenue was desolate. Today, you go on Wythe Avenue, it is booming. I could not -- I drove past it recently. I could not believe how vibrant the community had become because of all the

_

development and the fact that there's no increase truck-- excuse me-- foot traffic and residential, the residential community has thrived, and many of the businesses I suspect that are on Wythe might have been in the East or the West Village but have found a home in the Williamsburg area. Other developing neighborhoods, Long Island City, Astoria, Bushwick now, they are experiencing the type of retail-- excuse me-- retail growth that other parts of Manhattan may have had. Manhattan is a very expensive place to live and to work, but there is opportunity in other parts of the City, and I think--

SPEAKER JOHNSON: [interposing] But when you're in the West Village and you have 150 empty storefronts--

JOHN BANKS: [interposing] Out of how many, Mr. Speaker?

exact number, but I can tell you that when you walk up Hudson Street you are seeing huge numbers of vacant storefronts in a way that does not seem normal or healthy for a local neighborhood. Where you used to have a bodega or a pharmacy or a coffee shop or things that make up our neighborhoods are now

Z 4

shuttered storefronts for years upon end with no business coming in, and again, I can't speak to all of those businesses because some of them may have had bad business models. Some of them may had overleveraged themselves. Some of them may have made bad business decisions, but plenty of them said that they were viable businesses and the reason why they closed was the rent was increased gigantically, and I want to understand how you think besides an incentive program, how you think we should address that.

the context of the 150 storefronts that you observed is because as we've said and others have said, we don't have good information, and we are trying or at least there is a proposal to legislate in a data vacuum, and that is wrought with risk. I think one of the things that Council can do as an initial step is to engage in a meaningful process of understanding retail markets, retail spaces and why businesses go vacant. That way, when a public policy gets determined to come out at the end of that process it can be done based on fact and data and not anecdote—

SPEAKER JOHNSON: [interposing] I don't disagree with you on that. I actually think it is

2 very important that we have the data that we need to 3 make decisions to understand the breadth of the problem borough by borough, neighborhood by 4 neighborhood, zip code by zip code, council district 5 by council district, Community Board by Community 6 7 Board because I think that what that data will show is that there are neighborhoods that have experienced 8 rapid gentrification, real estate speculation, and 9 other things that has contributed to this high level 10 of vacancy. You may seem that in some areas, you may 11 12 not see it in other. Again, which is why I said to 13 the advocates that came before you and on other 14 panels, I don't think this bill is a perfect bill. 15 think we do need more information. I think this bill 16 needs to be tailored in a certain way, and I don't believe that white shoe law firms and Goldman Sachs 17 18 should be treated the same way as a shoe repair shop that has a good business but their rent is going to 19 20 go form 20,000 dollars a month to 80,000 dollars a month and they go out of business. That is what 21 2.2 we're talking about here that I'm trying to figure 23 out in a sound public policy manner, how the City should address the hyperinflation of rents in certain 24 neighborhoods. Now, we don't have to get into what 25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

the arguments or what REBNY's position is on rent regulation as it relates to residential rents, but I would tell you that I believe that with the homelessness crisis that we have right now, 63,000 people in the shelter system last night, almost 10,000 people sleeping on the streets of New York City, we have a major crisis, and one of the reasons why is because we have gaping holes in our rent laws. I think that, again, if you don't figure out a way to solve these problems, the problem ends up getting a lot worse down the line, and I am afraid that we could potentially see similar issues, not citywide but neighborhood by neighborhood, given the dynamic of individual neighborhood, that we see on homelessness for individuals as we're seeing for the loss and the real just trying to stem the tide of local small businesses. It's gotten really bad. afraid it's going to get even worse. People keep saying as you said, the market's dynamic. It bounces back. It's flexible in some way. Well, that's true in some places, but not in all neighborhoods across New York City as we experienced that. I can tell you where I live in Chelsea at the border of Chelsea and the Village I haven't experienced that. There are

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

11

10

1213

14

15

16

1718

19

20

21

22

23

24

storefronts that have been vacant for years and years and years on end, and that is bad for the fabric of a community, of a neighborhood, and I want to try to figure out a way to fix that in a way that is based on data and sound public policy that gives small business owners some chance at making it, some chance at being able to be part of this great city. I haven't heard from opponents of this bill some concrete steps that you believe we should take to do that besides what you just named, which is some potential incentive program. That's the conversation that I want to have. I want to do it in a databased way, and I think it's something that all of us should work on. I do take exception with something that you said in your testimony, which is I think our legal authority, we have some legal authority. figure out what that legal authority is. I'm sure you guys might challenge our legal authority.

JOHN BANKS: You can be assured we will challenge it.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: You will challenge it, which is why it's important that we get it right, but that's where my thinking is on this, and I think it's

2.2

2 a conversation that is important to have which is why
3 I'm glad we're having this hearing today.

JOHN BANKS: Mr. Speaker, I agree completely that dialogue is good and we would love to continue to have the dialogue that we have had with your staff. I won't respond to your comments about rent regulation. We have plenty of time over the next eight months to do that.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: I think we'll be on the opposite side of that issue from each other.

JOHN BANKS: I'm pretty sure we're going to be on the opposite side of that issue as well.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Thank you. Mr. John, I know there are other people here to testify. I'm going home. I'm sorry if I didn't get to hear you today.

JOHN BANKS: Feel better.

SPEAKER JOHNSON: But I'll be looking at people's written testimony. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Speaker, before you leave, I just want to ask a question that maybe I think is important. Mr. Banks, besides the data driven, certainly that we needed that. That's needed to determine what course of actions taken. What would

a tax abatement proposal look like? Would it be
means tested? Would it be based on the fact whether
or not a business can support an increase

SPEAKER JOHNSON: [interposing] Well, Mr.

Chair, I don't want to answer this question for Mr.

Banks, but I would say that one thing that's been

talked about in the past is you could potentially

incentivize landlords to resign leases at a certain

rate, and if they did, they could get a property tax

abatement on their properties in a meaningful way

that could potentially incentivize them to resign the

lease at a level that is not going to significantly

harm the business that's there. I think there are

ways to think about that in a creative way, again,

which is why I think it's important to have this

conversation in a fulsome manner and be creative

about potential solutions knowing that this is not

the silver bullet.

JOHN BANKS: I would like to defer all future questions to the Speaker.

[laughter]

SPEAKER JOHNSON: Yeah, I don't know about--

2.2

1

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2			UNIDENTIFIE	D:	You'	re	goin	g to	come	to
3	regret	that								
4			CHAIRPERSON	I G	JONAJ:	F	He's	aoino	r home	e to

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: He's going home to get chicken soup.

JOHN BANKS: Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

 $\label{eq:chain_continue} \mbox{CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ:} \quad \mbox{If we can continue} \\ \mbox{and then we'll ask the questions afterwards.} \\$

JOHN BANKS: Great. Thank you.

ROBIN ABRAMS: Hi, my name is Robin Abrams. I'm Vice Chairman at Compass. I've been a commercial broker for over three decades. prior Chair of the Real Estate Board's Retail Committee where I initiated the Reacher [sic] Report, covering rents on major New York City retail corridors. There are a few points I'd like to touch on that demonstrate that the proposed Intro. 737 legislation will not be positive for small businesses and will lead to increase, not less, vacancy. Talking about vacancies: As a broker that represents a lot of tenants and is now representing some landlords, I'm finding across the boards that landlords do not want to keep their spaces vacant. As brokers that represent landlords, I think a number of us are under pressure from those landlords whether

2	they're small building owners, institutional
3	landlords, or co-op and condo building to secure
4	tenants. Landlords are more flexible, more creative
5	in order to do deals. If you see what's happening in
6	the retail climate, many of them are now doing pop-
7	ups, they're doing shorter term leases, offering
8	incentives including lower rents, free rent periods,
9	and doing work to prep spaces for tenants to
10	encourage deal making. Rents, as we've all said, are
11	overly aggressive. They've been softening
12	tremendously over the past several years. They've
13	gone down. Everything is cyclical. It has taken a
14	couple of years for them to naturally correct
15	themselves, and we now see significantly lower rents
16	in almost all of the areas. At the height of the
17	market they were extremely high. Now, if we look at
18	neighborhoods like the Upper West Side, we're hearing
19	there's a lot of vacancy. We see deals, as Steven
20	said, in many areas, Roshbeba [sp?] Furniture, Design
21	within Reach Furniture, Shakespeare Book Store. So
22	there is deal making that is going on based on
23	landlords taking lower rents and encouraging creative
24	deal terms. Retail is changing. We are challenged.
25	There are many uses that are no longer taking as many

2 stores, and a lot of the stores are now downsizing. We don't see as many chains expanding, and I think 3 that landlords are embracing all kinds of creative 4 At the same time, there's a tremendous amount of new construction. You know, I've seen numbers 6 7 quoted of 15 million, 25 million. There is a lot of square footage that's been added to the inventory and 8 the amount of space that's being leased. You know, 9 it's tough for it to keep up with that. Online 10 shopping has diminished retail sales for many 11 12 retailers, but we also see e-commerce brands opening 13 stores, Google, Amazon, Untuck-it, Bonobos [sp?], the 14 Real [sic] Glossier [sp?]. ICSC recently, which is 15 the International Council of Shopping Centers, 16 reported that online brands open brick and mortar 17 stores and that induces their overall sales to go up 18 dramatically. So we want to encourage these kinds of tenants also to open stores in New York City. There 19 20 are challenges, hidden costs such as real estate tax, city occupancy tax, difficulty in obtaining DOB 21 2.2 approval, landmark approvals, other necessary 23 protocols which are costly, time consuming, and frighten local businesses from leasing space. Who's 24 expanding? Food, fitness, experiential uses among 25

2	others. The process has to be simplified for them
3	and others, easier to navigate and quicker. Those
4	uses in particular have to accommodate certificate of
5	occupancy requirements, public assembly permits.
6	Often the tenants and perspective landlords are put
7	off by these processes that are necessary for them to
8	open. If landlords have to offer renewals to
9	existing tenants, other tenants will not consider
10	space until they know the spaces will be vacated.
11	They will be fearful of wasting their time
12	negotiating and then not being able to proceed if the
13	landlord must maintain the existing tenant. Based on
14	the scenario that is now proposed, it is a very
15	difficult and cumbersome process. The City should
16	encourage deal making by facilitating the process and
17	should not impose regulations that will potentially
18	discourage tenants from leasing space and filling the
19	vacancies.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you, Robin.

ROBIN ABRAMS: Thank you.

JOANNE PODELL: Hi, I'm Joanne Podell.

I'm also at Cushman and Wakefield and also as a former chairman of the Real Estate Board of New York.

 ${\tt I'm}$ currently a member of the Commercial Board of

2 Directors. I've been in the leasing of retail stores for 25 years. I represent landlords and I represent 3 4 tenants, and so I have a really great overview of 5 everybody's needs and how we can find ways to get deals done, and that's the most important thing, how 6 do we come together to make deals so that people do have retail stores. So, my story starts with I was a 8 retailer, and so I can tell you I understand all of 9 the challenges, all of the difficulties, all of the 10 problems with competition, and of course, rent. 11 12 can tell you I went out of business, and I lost my 13 business and a hell of a lot more, but it was a great 14 learning experience, and so when I began the process 15 of being a retail broker I understood full well the 16 cost, the human cost, associated with having a store, 17 what it means to have to make payroll. And so that 18 led me to do the very best I could to negotiate on behalf of landlords and tenants in a fair way so that 19 20 we could keep the tenants and the landlords could get a fair rent. Some things have happened to change the 21 2.2 retail the business, and those are the things that we 23 all have to look at, because they will help us to 24 understand how we get to the next step. A lot of 25 decisions go into making a deal, right?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2 23

24

25

retailer has to look at pro-form [sic]. He has to understand what his real costs are. What are the labor costs? What are the construction costs? What are the administrative costs? It's not just about rent. It's about so much more, who your customer is, are you in the right location? Has the location changed? And maybe, just maybe the relevant retailer has to move somewhere else. It's not to say they failed, and it could be about rent, but it could be that maybe they're going somewhere else. And I think we should call up Tortilla Flats and tell them they should find another restaurant, and we'll all help them, because this what we do.

UNIDENTIFIED: We've already called them.

JOANNE PODELL: He already called. You see what happens? So, consumer habits are changing, and they're evolving, and -- evolving, excuse me. And online competition is part of it. But the National Retail Federation, which is the most important retail organization with the greatest statistical information, tells us it'll only represent 20 percent of retail by 2020. If you consider that catalog is 10 percent, that's really not a lot. Oh, I'm going to go fast. Okay. So some information, which I

2 think you'll find interesting. Let's talk about Broadway and SoHo where there's significant amount of 3 availability. That availability, yes, the rents have 4 5 gone up. I am not going to dispute that. happened to Lafayette Street when those rents went 6 7 up? Walk Lafayette Street with me. It's packed. You can't find a store, because the tenants said, 8 "You know what landlord, if it's going to be too 9 expensive and I'm a viable business, I'm going to 10 find some place to go." Look at a street like 11 Madison Avenue from 34th Street to 39th Street. 12 13 was desolate. It had wholesale stores. It had 14 bodegas. It had really almost no stores to tell the 15 truth. What do you think happened there? And I'm so 16 happy to say that many years ago I put a retail store 17 in there, and it was a furniture store. Everybody 18 said, "What are you crazy, putting a furniture store there?" I said, "Just do it. Go there. 19 20 going to be alright." It is now Furniture Row. I made no more deals there, by the way, but it's okay. 21 2.2 It's Furniture Row. Every store is filled with 23 furniture stores paying big rents, and those rents translate to tax dollars for us, because part of the 24

way that we gain our revenue is based on the income

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

from these buildings, and if we get tax dollars that are high, we get rent that's higher, and their income is higher. Real estate people pay more in taxes, and that's to all of our benefit. Nolita [sic], perfect example, the rents went crazy many years ago. It started out the very small stores. Suddenly the stores are 800 dollars a foot. Emptied out. Well, quess what? I walked Nolita [sic] on Friday. You can't find a store, and the rents are where they should be, 250 to 300 dollars a foot. Selfregulation, where it can be painful, and it can be painful sometimes, it really works. The gentleman who was so passionate earlier on when he said 400 years-- well, for 400 years it worked. Why can't it continue to work? And yes, we can help some of those entrepreneurs that are trying very hard to build their businesses. John, tax incentives-- you have a whole community of people here who are participating today because we believe in New York and we want the City to continue to grow, and we need to work together, but by controlling, controlling the rent, we're not solving a problem. We're creating a new Thank you very much. one.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you, Joanne.

2 STEVE SOUTENDIJK: Good afternoon. 3 name is Steve Soutendijk. As I mentioned, I'm a store leasing broker who's worked at Cushman and 4 Wakefield for 14 years, representing both retail 5 landlords and tenants across the City. My livelihood 6 7 like my fellow brokers here relies on tenants and landlords finding common ground and signing leases, 8 both long-term and short-term to occupy retail space. 9 Vacant storefronts not only distract from that 10 vibrancy, but also are not good for business, period. 11 12 For property owners who lose cash flow while still 13 paying property taxes and mortgage payments, for 14 retail businesses unable to benefit from a dense 15 consumer population, and for brokers like myself who 16 rely on commission income from deals to make a 17 living. While challenges facing retailers and small 18 businesses at a macro level, whether it's the pressures of e-commerce and Amazon or the rising cost 19 20 of labor have contributed to the current level of availability and New York's retail market, there is 21 2.2 no question that rents have also played a part. From 23 2010 to 2016 rents rose at a rapid pace, but as it became clear that those rents coupled with the macro 24

economic challenges were unsustainable, the market

2 underwent a dramatic shift. Over the last two years landlords have become increasingly flexible, not only 3 on base rents, which in almost every major New York 4 City market have dropped between 20 and 30 percent, 5 but also on concessions and incentives to lure 6 7 retailers. Whereas previously landlords would have preferred longer term leases, in an effort to prevent 8 vacant storefronts and to maintain some cash flow, 9 owners increasingly look to pop-up and short term 10 deals giving small businesses and start-ups and 11 12 opportunity to prove out a viable business model 13 without making a long-term financial commitment to a 14 space. The substantial rise in these pop-up deals 15 disprove this bill's proponents almost by themselves. 16 Landlords are not waiting for a bank or a drug store 17 to fill their spaces, but rather taking a risk often 18 at substantially discounted rents and with meaningful start-up risks in signing pop-up leases. 19 20 mentioned, almost every landlord we deal with 2.1 recognizes this massive shift in the retail market. 2.2 Banks have been downsized thanks to the rise and 23 efficiency of mobile banking. Drug stores have not been expanding facing tremendous headwinds from 24 Amazon and the competitive e-commerce marketplace. 25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Duane Reade put 20 spaces on the market for sublease this year, and there are fewer banks in Manhattan in the last five -- in the last five years there have actually been less banks in Manhattan. So, that's actually less of a thing. Even if a landlord was going to keep a space vacant intentionally waiting for one of these tenants, it's unlikely that they're going to come. Taking their place, decreased rents and increased flexibility have meant that restaurant, bar, and food and beverage tenants are now more active than ever, despite the pressures that Community Board and the Department of Health making that business even more challenging. New York's retail market like any free and fair marketplace is susceptible to the power of supply and demand. is what we do every day for a living, and we can tell you with certainty that landlords do recognize the challenges in the retail world and have adjusted pricing and concessions accordingly and that deals are now getting done. The market is working and it's imperative we let the forces of supply and demand do their job. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you Steve.

2 JIM WATT: So, good morning. My name is 3 Jim Watt. I wear a lot of different hats. 4 landlord or I should say a property owner. in the retail business. I've owned five bagel stores. I no longer own them. I sold the business. I 6 7 recently opened a kid's indoor activity center in Park Slope, Brooklyn. We're opening a second one in 8 Boerum Hill shortly. I also own now a high-end barber 9 company. We're opening locations hopefully in New 10 York shortly. So, I'm a tenant. I'm a property 11 12 owner, and I also own a brokerage company called Lee & Associates where we have 85 brokers now, 20 of 13 14 which specialize in retail leasing. One thing I have 15 not heard today was any discussion about what impact 16 this might have on the tax base of New York City. if 17 you look at what's happening to our budget over the 18 last five years, it's gone from 69 billion dollars to I think currently 89 billion dollars. 19 It's a 20 billion dollar increase, a 30 percent increase. 20 is paying for that increase? Increased rents. 21 2.2 if we do something today that now impacts rent 23 significantly, it's going to impact our budget, and then you as the City Council will have to make a 24 25 decision, how are we going to pay for it?

2 continue to have lower rents, or do we continue now not to have as many teachers? Do we continue not to 3 have as many fireman, police officers, so forth and 4 So there's been no discussion today, no mention whatsoever about the effect this will have on 6 7 the City budget. The other thing is, I've managed and I've owned real estate in New York City for 30 8 years. I've dealt a lot with small retailers. 9 happen to like small retailers. I like to keep them 10 in business. I can't think of a time, except for 11 12 maybe once or twice, where I actually did not renew a tenant because of a rent issue. I've not renewed 13 14 tenants because I didn't like how they operated. I 15 have not renewed tenants because they didn't pay my 16 rent in a timely manner, and I had a bad track record 17 with them, so I did not renew them. I am now going 18 through a process where I have an office building that I've owned for many years. The neighborhood now 19 has improved significantly over the last five years. 20 My tenants in the building are two liquor stores, a 21 2.2 wholesale handbag store, and a low-end vitamin shop. 23 These are not the type of retailers that I think are now appropriate for that neighborhood. So, I'm going 24 to spend two million dollars to upgrade the retail 25

_	COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS 200
2	space in that building and replace those tenants.
3	Under this legislation, I would not be able to do
4	that. So I would not be able to improve my real
5	estate. So one of my biggest objection to this
6	legislation is the impact it has on my ability and my
7	willingness now to invest in my building. Now, we
8	also have to consider not every landlord in the City
9	of New York is a big landlord. In fact, most
LO	landlords in the City of New York are small
L1	landlords. Having legislation that now requires
L2	smaller landlords to have to deal with arbitration to
L3	renew a tenants' lease is very problematic. They
L 4	will have the same issues navigating this process
L5	that a small tenant is going to have. It's a
L 6	cumbersome process. It's a time consuming process,
L7	and it's expensive process. So, I object to that.
L 8	Again, I'm worried about the fiscal implementation of
L 9	it. As an owner of a small business I will tell you
20	that the regulatory impact that the City of New York
21	now has an opening of business, and the City of New
22	York is huge. My experience dealing with the
23	Building Department in Brooklyn has been an absolute

nightmare. Thirty years in the real estate business

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 it was the worst possible experience I've had.

3 Anyway, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you, James.

BILL MONTANA: Good evening everyone. My name is Bill Montana, and I'm a commercial real estate broker with over 30 years of experience. to give you some context, I negotiate on behalf of tenants negotiating against landlords. So, that's my, sort of, world view. I thank you, the four of you who remained. It's beyond disrespectful that Mr. Rodriguez, the leader of the bill, just walked out while we were beginning our perspective on this, which is the, sort of, anti-perspective. I kind of can't believe it, really. But I really thank you guys for sticking around. So, let's just get right to it. This is a really well-intentioned bill, but it's ultimately going to harm those that it seeks to assist. It's a big mistake, and I don't think it's something you should proceed with. If you want to proceed with anything -- like, you're trying to protect the little guy and the little gal, small, small, small business owners. But right now you're including all office leases, all industrial leases. Those people don't need your help. The people I

2 represent are law firms, PR firms, not for profits; they don't need your help. So, narrow your focus. 3 Corey kept talking about should we narrow this, 5 should we narrow this? I wish he was here to say it. Yes, narrow this to really small stores, 1,000 feet, 6 7 20 people maximum. That's your sweet spot. That's where I think most of what we're talking about we 8 could probably find some common ground on. So, send 9 that message to him, please, because doing all the 10 other stuff, the office space, you're not going to 11 12 get traction. It's just going to be a waste of time. I think this is going to mostly hurt consumers, and 13 14 the consumers are really who your constituents are, 15 right, but also businesses of all sizes, tenants 16 looking for space, and landlords of all types. And 17 while there may be a perception that most landlords 18 are just big greedy soulless corporations, there's a lot of regular people, as Jim was just talking about, 19 20 who are owners, co-op owners, condo owners, immigrants, and small business people who've worked 21 2.2 really hard to get a building. Now they got a 23 mortgage on it and they got to pay for it. You know, they're not evil jerks. They're just people who are 24 trying to pay the mortgage. We are obviously in a big 25

2 period of disruption right now, right, in how consumers buy things. Pretty sure everyone in here 3 except for the one nice lady I met before has bought 4 something on Amazon, and I guessed that more than 5 half of us have an Amazon Prime account and use it. 6 I do. But store rents have fallen. They continue to do so. The market's correcting as my colleagues have 8 talked about, and tenants looking for space have 9 options that are affordable again. It takes time for 10 corrections to occur. Those can be painful, and when 11 12 I say painful, like I'm looking at the faces of the 13 people it's painful for. We all feel for you, we all 14 We all like our local retailers. None of us 15 wants to see another Starbucks or another -- see the 16 Dunkin Donuts, and I see stables on these things, 17 okay? None of us want to see those. We all like the 18 place that have character, every single one of us, but, you know, it is what it is. That's a permanent 19 20 fixture on our landscape and we've all go to deal with it. But government can't get involved in 21 2.2 controlling commerce or even trying to influence it. 23 It didn't work in the Soviet Union. It didn't work in Cuba. It didn't work in a lot of South American 24 25 countries. Consumers suffered greatly, consumers,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

our constituents. It's not going to work here. if the City Council wants to help small businesses, help them by reducing the many hurdles they face, and when starting and running a business. In my view the arbitration is simply not workable and you're going to be wasting your time on it. Don't bother. There's a billion reasons and we'd be all glad to sit and talk with you about why it's not workable, but ultimately, I'm telling you, it's just going to be a time waste for you guys. Focus on something else. We'll help you. By the way, we have a ton of data. REBNY has a ton of data, and the stores where these folks and the companies where these folks work, they all have a ton data. We want to share it with you. We want to work with you. Sure-- you-- in one sec. Let me finish and then you can just jump in. that's kind of it. Look, consumers are the people we're trying to figure out who to help here, right? And consumers are voting with their pocket books. They're buying at Dunkin Donuts because they're getting some value there. You know, that jus tis what it is, and you know, the City-- what this bill does, it engineers for being stagnant and not changing, and as John and everybody said, this is a

1	COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS 273
2	very dynamic city and it's got to be dynamic. We
3	can't engineer for stagnation. It's not what we want
4	as consumers, as neighbors in our neighborhoods.
5	It's just not what we want. So, this is well-
6	meaning. Figure out some way to help small
7	businesses. We'll help you do it, but doing this
8	arbitration kind of thing and trying to do it for
9	office leases and everything else doesn't work. And
10	what did you want to say Joanne?
11	JOANNE PODELL: Oh, I just wanted to
12	mention that specific to the information regarding
13	statistics, that 20 percent, none of us know where
14	that came from. We've called [inaudible]
15	UNIDENTIFIED: It's just not true. [off
16	mic]
17	UNIDENTIFIED: I can add.
18	SPEAKER JOHNSON: We're going to open up
19	the question part of it, and that's one of the
20	questions that we certainly have that
21	UNIDENTIFIED: [interposing] You want
22	stats [sic], she's got it. She's got it. They have
23	it.

UNIDENTIFIED: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: You're done with your testimony, I would guess, Bill? Thank you.

Now, that we brought up this subject of the 20 percent vacancy in Manhattan that so many have referred to that was reported, can anyone tell me where this report exists?

JOHN BANKS: Chairman Gjonaj, you hit the nail on the head earlier during the testimony when you said it doesn't exist. It does not exist. What happened was there was a person who was speaking to the times about a segment analysis, a market segment analysis, and in that particular area that she was referring to there was a 20 percent vacancy rate. There is no report that substantiate the citywide vacancy rate of 20 percent. You indicated that you've tried to get it. We have tried to get it. The New York Times has tried to get it. No one has the report because it does not exist.

STEVE SUTENDIJK: You also just can't count the number of vacant stores, which a lot of people have alluded to. You have to have a denominator. You have to count the total number of stores in all five boroughs to come up with a vacancy rate, and nobody has done that. It's very difficult

2.2

to do. We do it at Cushman and Wakefield. We do it in specific sub-markets, 10 blocks at a time, and it's difficult for us to do.

BILL MONTANA: But if you want stats, we'll get it for you.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: I'm grateful to all of you, because that was a variable of the question that no one had brought up. To know the vacancy rate you really have to know the X factor, and that is how many commercial spaces--

UNIDENTIFIED: [interposing] Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: we have.

UNIDENTIFIED: We'd like to know, too.

JOHN BANKS: I asked the Speaker for the context of his 150, and that I was trying to get at that same thing.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: So, help me understand this, please. Mr. Banks, you said landlords do not benefit from vacant properties. Do you mean it's not like wine, it only gets better with time, and there's a benefit there that keeping a vacant store for years—

JOHN BANKS: [interposing] Right, there is this misconception put out by some in the advocate

community that there's a tax break associated with keeping one's store vacant for years and years on time. That is not true. The Department of Finance dos not reduce your taxes if you have a vacancy.

While you still have a certain level of expenses associated with running that retail space, you now have no income. So, you do not pay taxes on the income you do not collect, and that's the same as everybody else in the world. So, Council Member Gjonaj, if you are not making money, the Department of Finance does not charge you taxes on the money you did not make. There is no advantage.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: So, you--

ROBIN ABRAMS: [interposing] In fact,

.6 there's a dis--

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: [interposing] you don't-- there's no advantage from real estate taxes, that's the question.

JOHN BANKS: Correct.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Keeping a vacant-you're-- I believe the assessment would be as if it
was occupied.

JOHN BANKS: Correct.

2.2

1 COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS 2 CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: So, there's a net 3 loss to that property owner. 4 JOHN BANKS: Correct. ROBIN ABRAMS: And there's a net loss to 5 the City, because if there's not increased revenue, 6 7 then the tax based on income is not there, and that's actually how it works. So, that's part of it. 8 other thing is retail stores, landlords -- there are 9 costs associated with changing from retailer to 10 11 another, and there's a significant amount of time. 12 Tenant leaves. You've got to find a new tenant, 13 maybe clean up the space. You lose income. You have

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

commission is earned--

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: [interposing] Please elaborate on that, because for some of us that are not real estate brokers, explain how a broker's

money to help to rebuild the space. You've got to--

to pay a new broker. You have to pay maybe some

ROBIN ABRAMS: [interposing] Sure.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: and what means for that property owner. So--

ROBIN ABRAMS: [interposing] Sure. Do you want me to do it? Okay. It's the same for everybody. And most of the commissions are within

2	the same range. Every company has their own, but
3	generally, this is how it works. We are paid nothing
4	until a deal is signed, and we are paid by the
5	landlord. So, the tenants that are struggling today
6	in spaces, we can help them. They don't get charged
7	by us. If there is a question as to what the real
8	value of their space is in the marketplace, we can
9	provide that, all of us. It's not unusual. In fact,
10	it's obligatory to making new deals, and that's part
11	of it. We're paid as a portion of the it varies.]
12	don't want to give I'll be happy to share with you,
13	but basically it ends up being a percentage of the
14	first year's rent. It's a schedule, and that
15	schedule is always negotiable. So, those are just
16	some of them. And by the way, any time and I
17	represent landlords, all of us do, and Steve you can
18	certainly jump in here. Jim, you're a landlord, so.
19	CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: What is a typical
20	concession? Anyone on the panel could answer this.
21	For a incoming tenant, what is the cost factor to a

STEVE SOUTENDIJK: You know, a weak
market like we have right now, it can be quite
significant. So, it's not just free rent. It can be

landlord generally speaking?

anywhere from six months to even more than that, but
it's also providing the tenant with a build-out. A
strong credit tenant can come in and say, "Listen, I
want you to what we call white box the space, put up
the walls, put in the electricity, put in the HVAC,
put in the bathrooms." That gets to be very
expensive. We have a so despite the fact that we
have a strong economy, which is kind of the dichotomy
here. We have a strong economy. We have a very weak
retail market right now. So, a lot of landlords when
they're confronted with this, and I manage a lot of
real estate landlords, they don't quite get it. Why
are my rents going down when we have less than a four
percent unemployment rate? The GDP is doing great.
Trump is telling us we're doing wonderfully, yet my
rents are going down. So, part of the disconnect you
have with a landlord is trying to explain to them
right now we're having a real adjustment in the
retail market. Rents are going down despite all the
great economic news, and now you have to spend not
only going to get less rent, but you have to give
more free rent. You have to build out the space for
the tenant, or you have to provide a work allowance.

2.2

2 It could be up to a year to a year-and-a-half's worth of rent.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: You touched on something else. So, supply dictates demand, dictates price, is that what you're saying?

STEVE SOUTENDIJK: Yeah, basic economics.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Wow.

STEVE SOUTENDIJK: Yeah, I know.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: But going back to the build-out. So not only are you saying that up to six months in rent concessions plus a vanilla box can be a considerable--

STEVE SOUTENDIJK: [interposing] That's probably at a minimum. I think you're probably looking at much more than that.

now. I'll give you an example, and this is for a space that I'm renting as a tenant for myself and for my son out in Brooklyn. I'm a tenant. I also own retail stores. I do a lot of different things, sort of whatever. It's a space out in Brooklyn. It's been on the market for a year and a half. It's been empty. The rent was originally 150 dollars a square foot, which is a very high rent, but you know what,

2 it was a rising market. The landlord thought, you know, I'm going to ride the wave to a higher market, 3 but then it stopped, and the rent started to come 4 5 The space stayed vacant. It formerly had been 6 a hamburger joint, so it's in very mediocre 7 condition. I'm putting an indoor kid's gymnasium, basically. So, I don't need the hamburger stuff. 8 MVrent, the deal that I'm doing is a 15-year lease 9 which is a long term. It's 65 dollars a square foot, 10 65 dollars a square foot. That's the reduction in 11 12 the rent. Now they're going to be step ups. three years it's going to be increased to about 80 13 14 some dollars a square foot. I'm getting over six 15 months free rent, and the landlord is putting in a 16 new storefront. The landlord is ripping out the ceiling, putting in a new ceiling for me. 17 18 landlord is putting in new air conditioning, spending a lot of money for me, but otherwise he can't get the 19 20 space rented, and this is a very good location. if it wasn't such a good location, the concessions 21 2.2 would be even greater, and that's if the landlord can 23 even find a tenant. There are spaces that are empty now because there aren't any tenants for it. It's 24 not because the landlords are holding out. We have a 25

space on Broadway and Bond Street which you would	
think would be a great location. We have a pop-up	
store in there. It's been there for two years, but	
we can't find a permanent tenant for that space. You	วน
walk up and down that strip of Broadway you have a	
lot of vacancies. Same problem, there aren't' any	
tenants.	

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Since you-- most of you are brokers and come from that world, it's rather fascinating that no one brought up the fact that tenants don't benefit from a long-term lease if there's a personal guarantee. Is that a standard in our commercial leases?

JOANNE PODELL: If you're my tenant, you're not signing a personal guarantee.

UNIDENTIFIED: You'll sign--

ROBIN ABRAMS: [interposing] and I'm not letting them sign one either.

 $\label{eq:chairperson} \mbox{CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: I'm sorry, one at a} \\ \mbox{time, please.}$

ROBIN ABRAMS: I wouldn't let my important client sign a personal guarantee, unless it was completely-- I've never had a client do it.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: You have to speak into the mic, because they're not hearing you.

ROBIN ABRAMS: Sure, sorry. So, I again represent only tenants. I will never let one of my clients sign a personal guarantee. It's too onerous. There's too much risk. I don't want my clients having to do that. So we'll find a landlord who will, you know, find other ways to securitize the transaction or get comfortable with spending the money that Jim just talked about in order to make the transaction.

JOANNE PODELL: I want to clarify, because there's something called a "Good Guy Clause." A Good Guy Clause is a modified guarantee, and it's important to understand that. Good Guy just kind of means what it is, that you're a good guy. During the term that you occupy space you have made a promise that your corporation will pay every month. If there comes a time when you see that your company is failing and you will not be able to pay rent, oftentimes it goes to Landlord and Tenant Court, and it could take a really long time for a landlord to get back his space, and during that time, the tenant's there running their business not paying

rent. So, what landlords look for is a Good Guy
Guarantee. That means the moment you stop paying
rent, you are obligated personally. There's a simple
solution tenant: Give me back my keys, you can
leave, and you have no personal guarantee. And
that's what a Good Guy Clause is. Marrying what Bill
said, I would never let anybody sign--

BILL MONTANA: [interposing] Right, a Good Guy Guarantee is not really a guar-- it's not a personal guarantee, Mark. All it is is a lever for landlords to get someone out who's occupying space but not paying. In terms of actual personal guarantees, they're pretty rare.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: So, my question is to those of you that have had experience with—mostly owns a sophisticated. And if they're concerned with a renewal that may be out of their control at the expiration of this lease, would they consider a clause that makes the tenant liable for any default.

: That's interesting. The legislation-CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: [interposing] Speak
up, please.

2.2

JIM WATT: The legislation provides that on a renewal the tenant only has to put up two months' rent.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: That's security.

problem. I mean, I don't like the legislation,
period, but that's a serious issue because if you
have a mom and pop tenant that has no credit and
they're only putting up two month's security, you're
under-secured.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: What do you-- what is your security deposit for the store that you're going to be renting?

putting up-- I'm probably spending 300,000 dollars to build it out, and I put-- I'm putting that 300,000 dollars up in escrow on day one with the landlord to secure my obligation to the building. So, the landlord at the end of the day, if I go out of business, you know, two years from now at least he's getting a store that's been improved. But again, it's a soft market. You know, this is a wonderful market for mom and pops. We talk about we want more mom and pops, this is the market for moms and pops.

2.2

2 Landlords now are looking for tenants. There aren't
3 a lot of tenants out there.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: I think-Councilman, you have a question before I continue
with mine?

UNIDENTIFIED: No, Mr. Chairman, I'm looking at the stack of slips that Committee Counsel has. I'd love to let these fine folks go on their way.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: I'll just ask
another question about data and how important it is
for an assessment-- what would be a comprehensive
approach for a citywide data collection,
understanding the difference between neighborhoods,
let alone boroughs can vary? What would be a
realistic approach?

JOHN BANKS: So, Council Member, I've thought about this a lot, and I'll harken back to something that I believe the City continues to do today. It has the workforce to do this, but every day the City does scorecard on the street ratings. With today's technology I don't think it's too difficult to design a program where the folks doing the street ratings and scorecard can go photograph a

2.2

23

24

25

2 vacant storefront, give the GPS location, and then being to create a database about what storefronts are 3 vacant. Barring that, it would take a massive 4 effort, and I'm sure the Council could figure out a 5 way to get volunteers to engage in it, but it would 6 7 be a massive physical effort to walk down the commercial corridors of the City to try to assess not 8 just the number of vacant storefronts, but also the 9 number of stores along the street. One of the things 10 that is troubling about the debaters and some folks 11 12 cite, excuse me, Borough President Brewer's study of 13 Broadway, and they say there were 180 some-odd vacant 14 storefronts during that survey, but what is not 15 revealed is over the 13 mile stretch that they looked 16 at is how many storefronts actually exist along that. So, 180 vacancies out of 1,800 is a lot, out of 17 18 18,000 it's nothing. If it's 180 out of 180, it's a tremendous amount. But that is the problem is that 19 20 there is no uniform basis by which we can assess some

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Let me add to this,

Mr. Banks. Correct me if I'm wrong, but this is the

exact information that will be needed if it goes into

arbitration to determine what the rental market is

of the information that's going out.

2.2

for that area, for that specific location. Wouldn't this become the essential component of any potential arbitration?

JOHN BANKS: I don't know how an arbiter would find comparable sales for a commercial space, because that information does not exist. It's private. It's not disclosed. So, in order for an arbitration process to have real meaning, there would be some need for data to allow the arbiter to make some reasonable assessment about what is or is not.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: But how-- Mr. Banks, that would completely undermine the process. If there is no legal means by-- to obtain that information--

JOHN BANKS: [interposing] I agree.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: of a rental per square foot, that would in default give--

JOHN BANKS: I agree completely, and as somebody testified earlier, I think it was Commissioner Bishop, you know, I've been involved in arbitration throughout my career, and in fact, the person on the side of the arbitration that has the better data is the person who generally wins that arbitration because they are able to support their

position through empirical information where the other side is not able to do that. And then third, I truly— impartial third-party arbiter will look at only the data and not the emotion.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: So, I would imagine it is the opinion of this entire group without that data it's virtually impossible to move forward, let alone comply with this bill?

JOHN BANKS: Speaking as the industry's association, I would agree, yes, it's impossible to do in a fair way.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Councilman? I want to thank you all for your--

JOHN BANKS: [interposing] Thank you both for your time and energy. I appreciate it. Thank you, Council Member Yeger, nice to see you.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Bill Borock, Ray
Rogers, Quenia Abreu, Kin Ming Lang [sp?], Lordes
Comatcho [sp?], and Marilyn-- oh, Marlene Cintron of
the BOEDC. Councilman Yeger, I'm going to need you
sooner than later, please. Kalman-- as custom, we'll
begin from the left and work our way toward the
right.

2	LORDES COMATCHO: Hi, my name is Lordes
3	Comatcho. I'm a resident of the Upper West Side.
4	I'm here as just a consumer. My Councilwoman is
5	well, it used to be Gale Brewer, of course, back
6	then, and I do remember the pizzeria that was closed.
7	I used to go after high school at USC Brandise [sp?].
8	I used to go for pizza there, so I remember that
9	very, very well. I'm here because again, I am a
LO	consumer, and I've got another restaurant that's one
L1	of my favorite restaurants which is on 44 th and Sixth
L2	[sic] Avenue. It's a Dominican restaurant. It's
L3	called Ali's [sp?]. She's really struggling. It's
L 4	been almost three years. It's a restaurant owned by
L5	her, her mother, and her daughter. Right now, like I
L6	said, she's struggling because the rent is going up
L7	because across the street from her they're building
L8	this high-rise which is going to consist of
L9	residents residential and commercial, and I feel
20	really bad for her, because like I said, she's now my
21	favorite restaurant where I go to eat, and she's
22	really struggling, and I just want to say that this
23	bill, if it they've got to make some changes to
24	this bill, like Corey was saying. There are changes
25	need to be made to these bills, and I feel one of the

_ _

changes that needs to be made to this bill, is—
first of all, it needs to be in the way where these
small business owners, majority of them, are owned by
immigrants, and a lot of these immigrants from the
Dominican Republic, and just like her, there's a lot
of immigrant businesses in all five boroughs, and I
feel this bill needs to be looked at very closely
before it's passed because of that. Thank you very
much.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you. Ms. Cintron.

MARLENE CINTRON: Good evening. My name is Marlene Cintron. I'm the President of the Bronx Overall Economic Development Corporation, and I'm here today to testify on behalf of Bronx Borough President Ruben Diaz, Jr. on Proposed Intro. Number 737A, the Small Business Job Survival Act. I support a thriving and entrepreneurial economy in New York City, and I support providing small businesses with an opportunity to compete and thrive across the five boroughs. The Small Business Job Survival Act is a good idea whose time has come. With some improvements it can be exactly what this needs—this city needs to ensure a lively commercial climate.

2 The time is certainly ripe for action in the city to 3 protect and preserve the spirit of our neighborhoods, and to give small businesses a chance to survive in 4 the face of a two-sided program, the continued 5 expansion of chain stores in the five boroughs, and 6 7 the proliferation of vacant storefronts at the expense of the economic health of our communities. 8 However, for this bill to be truly about supporting 9 the preservation of jobs, a worthy stated goal of the 10 legislation, it needs to be about entrepreneurship. 11 12 We should be about especially protecting vulnerable 13 local job-creating small businesses that fit under 14 the New York City Small Business Services definition 15 of 125 employees or less in the business, not 16 protecting the large chain conglomerates or self-17 storage operators that do not promote local 18 entrepreneurship and the well-being of our neighborhoods. I would further caution that the bill 19 20 provide language specifying that the described lease renewals can be at a mutually agreed-upon rate that 21 2.2 may include increasing rents over the course of the 23 renewal period. This is not commercial rent control. We cannot entirely leave landlords and developers out 24 In fact, we must recognize that some 25 in the cold.

2 landlords could be described as small businesses 3 themselves. The bill should include a provision that the likelihood of a landlord or developer divulging 4 on a loan with their financial institution, a loan 5 taken out in good faith and responsibly accounted for 6 7 should be factored into the arbitrator's final decision. We should also include a carve-out. 8 the landlord herself wishes to start a small business 9 in good faith in the commercial property. 10 legislation presents some novel commercial tenancy 11 12 issues. There may be, for instance, some questions 13 worthy of exploration about the arbitration clause 14 essential to the legislation. However, we must focus 15 on the greater good of this legislation. 16 businesses need an opportunity to stand on equal 17 footing with their landlord and have a real chance to 18 negotiate a fair lease extension. We cannot accept the continuing scourge of mess of rent increases 19 20 without regard to the vibrancy of a neighborhood, nor can we accept an ongoing plague of empty storefronts 21 2.2 in once thriving commercial corridors. One of the 23 key issues that has risen around the SBJSA is its 24 legality. However, this point has been much debated and answered. In 2010, my office convened a legal 25

the microphone. There you go.

2 BILL BOROCK: Thank you. Okay. First, 3 he's not here, Corey, but we-- I'm a member of a group called Save Chelsea. It's a preservation group 4 in Manhattan. Corey's not here, but we want to thank 5 Corey for bringing this up for discussion. I think 6 7 we just heard testimony from our [inaudible] other side. I think it's another world. A world I'm in 8 exists like a landlord died. His son sold the 9 building. This is in Chelsea. What happened with a 10 new owner? Raised the rent very high. The pet store 11 12 had to leave. The dry cleaner had to leave. Coffee shop had to leave. I recently went away on a little 13 14 vacation. I came back. I couldn't believe all the 15 stores. I walked in the neighborhood over at Chelsea 16 where Corey talks about, where Corey Lives. I walked on Sixth Avenue from 14th Street to 23rd Street, 17 Seventh Avenue from 14th Street to 23rd Street, Eighth 18 Avenue from 14th Street, 23rd Street, Ninth Avenue, 19 14th to 23rd Street. You would think it was a 20 blighted area. All the empty stores. All the empty 21 2.2 stores, most of them left because their rents were 23 raised very high. I used to shop in Associated that talked Corey talked about. Corey said it was 24

Associated store. They raised the rent up to over

2 100,000 dollars. This is the reality of what's happening. It's another world from what REBNY is 3 talking about. Something must be done. Now, this 4 act has been raised, been talked about I think for 5 about 30 years. This is the first time, I think, it's 6 7 come up to discussion. Whether it comes to a vote or not, my feeling is representing Chelsea is sh9uldn't 8 have all this discussion beforehand about the 9 It's true that some changes need to be made 10 changes. it's not a perfect law. Everyone's talking about a 11 12 silver bullet. Think Gottfried mentioned, if you 13 remember, he said that this vote should be-- this 14 bill should be brought up for a vote now not later. 15 The reason is the discussion be taken afterwards 16 through all the discussion we talked about. What 17 happens if we get into a discussion and research? 18 How many research projects have been made, and pages and pages are written? What happens at the end of 19 20 the page, at the end of research? It says more research needs to be done. This happens all the time. 21 2.2 So, it's time to bring this up for a vote, and then 23 all the discussion can take place and all these little nuances could be worked out then rather than 24 25 before. It needs to be brought up for a vote now.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you, Bill.

Ray Rogers, small business RAY ROGERS: owner at Corporate Campaign. You know, in today's Daily News are two op-eds talking about the Small Business Job Survival Act. One is full of lies. is full of truths. I hope that you'll read them and you decide who telling the truth and who's lying. Now, the panel before us here, President John Banks of REBNY was up here as was Mr. Bill Montana who fortunately had an opportunity to talk to earlier before the hearings. He's wearing a cap that says "Vote No Commercial Rent Control." President John Banks did a whole article and column on how the SBJSA is commercial rent control. So the premise of everything they say is lies because they're lying about the SBJSA, and that's their job is to misinform and mislead legislators and the public. They ought to be held accountable for it. Right now, commercial tenants have no rights and are completely at the mercy of unscrupulous landlords. All -- they're not landlords who are honest and fair-minded. We're talking about protecting people against the unscrupulous landlords. All small business owners,

their employees and their neighborhoods need the

2 rights and protection of the SBJSA passed intact will 3 provide. When I talk about passed intact, we 4 understand the legislation process, that there are 5 going to be some changes here and there, but we're talking about the heart or the spirit that was talked 6 7 about earlier, that there's some sort of empowerment of people negotiating leases so they can't be price 8 gauged out of existence. The key elements of the 9 SBJSA were discussed here today. They were guaranteed 10 that small businesses whether storefronts on the 11 ground floor or professional offices in the 20th 12 13 floor, the right to renew leases for a minimum of 10 years, the right to mediation and binding arbitration 14 15 to stop rent gouging, and the end of concocted, 16 oppressive landlords pass-alongs like inflated water 17 and property tax bills. The legislation would also 18 end the all-too-common practice of extorting large sums of money, tens of thousands of dollars, from 19 small business owners and especially immigrants just 20 to get a lease. Any City Council member votes 21 2.2 against passage of the SBJSA with those provisions, 23 in essence is casting a vote against New York City's immigrant population, much of which is made up of 24 struggling small business owners and their families. 25

Council Members who vote against it or try to weaken
its protections are also supporting the Real Estate
Board of New York's continued hostility against our
mom and pop stores and other small businesses they
have targeted as irrelevant, expendable, and an
impediment to maximizing profits. Why are REBNY's
President John Banks so terrified of the SBJSA? Why
has REBNY prevented passage of the bill for 32 years?
Why is REBNY's President John Banks spewing out
misinformation that the SBJSA is a commercial rent
control bill, which is not and never has been? And
I'll tell you why, because passage of the SBJSA
intact will mean the flow of billions of dollars in
money from super wealthy property speculators,
developer and unscrupulous landlords represented by
REBNY to small businesses, their employees, and the
local economy. That is why passage of the Small
Business Job Survival Act intact, the spirit of it,
is so crucial for a much healthier New York City and
the well-being of everyone living and working here.
For that reason I urge every City Council member,
including you, Mr. Gjonaj, to vote wholeheartedly in
favor of this bill. Thank you.

very disappointed in the past when some of the

1 2 elected officials, some of the speakers just kill the 3 bill or kidnap it as we call it, and so we're very grateful that we're having this hearing today. And 4 5 you know, often we hear the phrase that we heard of many times here today about the business-- small 6 7 business being the backbone of the City of New York. To us people that have grown in -- that are immigrants 8 and have grown in immigrant community like myself, 9 they're the gatekeeper of our neighborhood. 10 keep their neighborhood safe. They provide jobs for 11 12 our communities. They are, in fact, the largest--13 collectively the largest employer of New York City. 14 That's what they do, they provide jobs and keep our 15 neighborhoods safe. When I moved our office of the New York Women's Chamber to 135th Street and 16 17 Amsterdam, it was a drug infested area, and we were 18 the commercial tenant, the commercial tenant responsible for changing that area. 19 That is a 20 thriving community right now, and we would like to keep it that way, but every day we see businesses 21 2.2 closing. And you have to be blind and you have to be 23 a hypocrite to pretend that that is not so, and that the main reason for that happening is the rent. The 24

rent is out of control. That's what is happening.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

And we're not seeking rent control. We are seeking fairness. We're seeking rights for our small businesses. As our colleague here mentioned, they have no rights. When your lease expire you have no right. So imagine you're a small business, you put all your life's savings into a business to build your business. You spent 300,000 dollars, 400,000 dollars, sometimes half of a million dollars to renovate a store, and then in a couple of years your landlord tells you you have to go. They don't even give you the money that you spent in that store. And then you're out of business. Sometimes with a loan, because that has happened to some of our members, and the City's doing nothing to protect them. We have a lot of great programs coming out of SBS. We are a partner of SBS thanks to our Council Members, but the reality is this, those are Band-Aids. Those programs are Band-Aids. Some of them are fantastic, but if you don't have a lease it doesn't matter. That's like somebody coming to you and telling you that they're going to decorate your house for free, but your mortgage is going to cost you to leave your house. It is the same thing. That's what's happening to our small businesses. So we need to protect them.

8

2 You spoke about a study, well, fine, do a study, but 3 you don't even need a study. You can just walk around the streets and see the businesses closing. You have to be blind not to notice. We notice that 5 every single day, but if you want to do a study, 6 7 fine. I think the bid [sic] should be doing that. They get enough money from the city of New York. They should have by now a data registry of vacancies, 9 okay? Because they're there. They're working with 10 the landlords, so they know-- they know what 11 12 vacancies are out there. Now, in closing I want to 13 say this, I agree with you in terms of the proposed 14 bill, it's not perfect. No bill is perfect. 15 legislation is passed with introduction. 16 talk about it. We have to negotiate. We have to see 17 what is the best deal, again, for businesses and also 18 for the landlords, because you know what, this is a city a small businesses, but this is also a city of 19 20 big businesses. We need to be able to co-exist. what is happening right now is that we are becoming a 21 2.2 city that is displacing its small businesses and 23 warehousing commercial spaces. That's what is happening. They're not renting. They're warehousing 24 The pizza shop that you mentioned, 25 the spaces.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

that's in my neighborhood. That pizza shop was the place where my kids grew up. They used to keep an eye on my kids. The place closed last year. Because the rent went from 10,000 dollars to 30,000 dollars. How many slices of pizza do you have to sell to make that rent monthly, and the place has been vacant since that. They have not rented it out. So we have to do something about it. You're right about the definition of small businesses. I agree with you. I don't think we're in this to protect the small businesses. They can protect themselves. They have money to do that. This is about our small businesses. This is about protecting them, and we're counting on you to do this. Okay? We're really counting on you. One other -- to just finalize, I want to say this also, that we must end the practices that the landlords have right now of month-to-month leases, okay? Month-to-month lease and no leases at all. We have businesses that they have been in the same location for 30 years and now they have no leases at all. Why? Because the landlord's waiting for a better tenant that can pay a higher rent to then say bye-bye to them. Okay? And it's not fair. So we have to-- we have to remedy that, and we have

COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS

2.2

to do that today, because we are in a crisis right
now. We need to protect our communities. We need to
protect our small businesses, our jobs, our
immigrants. That is very important to us. This is
what we want of City of New York, and this is what we
expect from you. So, we want to thank you again, and
in closing, just very briefly, I always say this,
okay? We are going to remember today. We're going
to remember because we've been here before, and this
time we will not forget and we will not forgive.
Okay?

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you.

QUENIA ABREU: Thank you very much.

FRANK GARCIA: My name is Frank Garcia.

I am the outgoing chairman of the New York State
Hispanic Chamber representing 80,000 Hispanic
businesses like Quenia's group, the Latino Restaurant
Association, the Bronx Hispanic Chamber. That's
where we met Councilman when I was president in the
Bronx. Now, I'm the new Chairman of the National
Association of Latino State Chambers representing 80
percent of Hispanic Chambers in the U.S. and Puerto
Rico. To me, this is a disgrace hearing today.

Being here two weeks ago I got operated, a major

2 surgery, and for your people to tell me just now, the gentleman sitting there, that I could not speak, to 3 send in an email when I represent a lot of Hispanic 4 5 businesses, and what Gregg Bishop was saying about he has this program to help small business, my members 6 7 don't see it. And the Restaurant and Latino Association was here testifying. I got 80 Latino 8 restaurants closing this month, some in your 9 neighborhood, Councilman, and I'll give you the name. 10 I can't give it publicly, because of legal issues, 11 12 but I have Latino restaurants in your neighborhood that voted for you that now are about to lose their 13 14 business, and we depend on you. That's why I 15 supported you when you ran for assembly when no one 16 else wanted, I believed in you, and I still believe 17 This is about the community. I had my in you. 18 business in the neighborhood in the Bronx. You know, your brother used to buy recycled toner from me, if 19 20 you remember. And I had to close down my warehouse and fire 30 employees, why? Because my landlord was 21 2.2 a crook. What he used to do, he used to make me pay 23 30,000 dollars in taxes of the building and pay my other tenants when I had-- I had a contract with 24 Columbia University to recycle toner. I had to. 25

2	There was no way. He didn't want to renew my lease.
3	So I couldn't get certified as a minority business.
4	I lost my lease, lost the warehouse, lost everything,
5	and luckily I partnered up with an Asian IT company
6	here in Wong [sic] Street and I was able to recover
7	my business. But I lost 30 employees in the South
8	Bronx. And Marlene knows. She's been to my
9	warehouse when I was in the South Bronx. So you
10	can't tell me that the landlords when they come up
11	here and say, "Oh, we're fair." They wasn't fair
12	with me. Okay? Took my rent went up from 3,000
13	dollars all the way to 20,000 dollars. You think
14	selling recycled toner that I could afford that? You
15	know, so you know, California has laws to protect
16	small business. I just came from there. I just came
17	from Texas. Las Vegas who's Republican. You're
18	telling me the Democrats can't help put this law for
19	small business. And I'm telling you, our members in
20	Jackson Heights and Queens got rid of a Crowley
21	[sic]. I helped in that, and whoever is going to
22	vote against this law, we're going to make sure as
23	Hispanic businesses we're going to create a national
24	pact to go after those City Councilmen that are
25	hurting our small business, because we put you in

there to support us, and it's frustrating when I
hear, "Oh, but we don't know if this law is going to
support, you know, is going to hurt small business."
You know what I have in Jackson Heights I have ICE
coming into Latino businesses evicting small
business, because that landlord is saying, "If you
don't pay this rent and pay me cash under." I have
stories and documentation I could give you, and
they're evicting those small businesses, because they
don't have papers. Okay? Gregg Bishop doesn't
document that. He knows. He's been in the same
places when the businesses said that publicly, but he
doesn't care. I'm asking you, Mr. Chair, to help us
with this law and pass this law now, because we
cannot wait no more. Thank you.

[applause]

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Frank, thank you, and the passion that you have through your own experience resonates well with all. This is coming to the floor after how long of a delay for a reason, because we have a Speaker that he--

FRANK GARCIA: [interposing] I want to thank the Speaker. I'm sorry. Thank you for what he

is doing. I really applaud. Let's give him an applause, because he does believe in small business.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: And I made sure that I embraced this hearing, and I did the work that was needed that would be led to a transparent, fair hearing by hearing from all sides. You know, I can't help but bring up while we were in the hearings today how many of you are outside with the minority-owned businesses which were mostly Dominican or Hispanic--

FRANK GARCIA: [interposing] I was there.

I help organize it.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Great. Can you elaborate what was going on outside?

businesses, the restaurants, are losing 60 business because of the hookah and also because of the rents.

The landlords are not renewing their leases. So you got 60 of the top Latino restaurants are going under.

Jimmy had the same problem, Jimmy Bronx [inaudible], your friend Jimmy, he had a personal guarantee out of his house. He had to pay— the landlord that hurt me, you know, that's what the businesses are fighting out there because of what's been going out there with

2 FRANK GARCIA: our business outside that

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

2.2

24

23

25

are in your district are not talking about the rents, they did.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Unfortunately I was-- unfortunately I was in here, and I didn't leave.

FRANK GARCIA: I understand that, but I have a video, I can send it to you.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: That's great, but I wanted to make sure that we understand because we go back to the question of -- rent is not the only issue. There's certain other--

FRANK GARCIA: [interposing] I know you're trying to--

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Frank, I want to give everybody--

FRANK GARCIA: [interposing] spin it around, but it's--

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: [interposing] I want to give everybody--

FRANK GARCIA: [interposing] Rent is the number one issue. It was my business that lost--

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: a chance. There's six people on that panel, and I know you and I know the passion and your history, but in fairness, I

2 think we can all agree that there has to be a comprehensive all-inclusive approach to the problems 3 that small business owners face day in and day out. 4 5 The one issue that very few people are bringing up, do you realize the number of banks that we have in 6 7 every community? Do you realize what's going to happen as we embrace online banking, and we no longer 8 need brick and mortar banks? Do we even comprehend 9 the impact that that could potentially have on this 10 city when those commercial properties become vacant, 11 12 when we lose those jobs, when we lose that tax base? 13 Although we can look at each and every one of these 14 cases as being unique and important, there's a real 15 crossroads in our near future, and that commercial 16 property currently occupied by a bank and whereas 17 they start marketing themselves online more and more 18 and less of a need for our New Yorkers to have to visit a bank is going to lead to some tremendous 19 20 impacts. And they have all the money. They don't

need-- they don't worry about rent.

MARLENE CINTRON: If I may respond-CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: [interposing] Please,

24 Marlene.

21

2.2

24

25

better.

2 MARLENE CINTRON: I think it's a good 3 time to kind of bring the conversation back to the fact that this is a conversation about small 4 5 businesses, and certainly this city has weathered a lot of storms. I'm not concerned about the banks. 6 7 They're not the major employers here. It is the small businesses, and as a result, I have no doubt 8 that when those places become available, if and when 9 we do the right thing this evening, this week, this 10 year, and we do what we need to do to protect small 11 12 businesses, those small businesses are going to 13 become big businesses, and they can take up that space nice and easy. So, certainly, I'm not 14 15 concerned. What I'm concerned about is the small 16 business that keeps our neighborhoods really safe, 17 that gives a flavor to our communities, that entices 18 and inspires our young people by looking to see what an older adult is doing to pay their rent and pay for 19 20 their children's education, that will give them the energy and the vision to say, "If he can do it, so 21 2.2 can I." And usually, when they do it, they do it

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Marlene, I'm from that world. You aspire to be from an employee to an

keep an open mind.

apologize to you, number one, because I made sure I

2.2

met with all stakeholders that had a say on this
regardless of their position, because it was
important for me. So I'm not sure how that request
came in, but I'll look into it, and I'm happy to set
one up with you, and I owe it to you and I owe it to
everyone that wants to be heard, and I give everyone

8 the attention that they deserve, because I'm actually

9 here to serve and--

FRANK GARCIA: [interposing] I know that, that's why I tell you that, because I know you from the Bronx and I know you care--

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: [interposing] My heart is in the right place, and my head is in the right place, so thank you. Does anyone want to add to that?

MARLENE CINTRON: I just want to ask,
Chair Gjonaj, that we really need a promise from the
City Council on two things, and it's that you're not
going to kill-- that we're-- we're going to make sure
that this bill gets passed. That it's not-- I mean,
yes, granted there will be changes. It happens all
the time, but we have been at this for 30 years
already, okay? And we need to pass this bill. We
need to pass this legislation that we are not going

COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS

to have days go by and days go by because there's a		
study, there is this, there is that. We need to		
come we need to bring this to fruition. This is		
very important for the City of New York, and we need-		
- we need to hear from you as Chair of the Small		
Business Committee. We need to hear from you as		
Chair of the Small Business Committee that this is		
not going to be another, you know what has happened		
in, you know, the last several years where we have a		
hearing, we have this, and then it doesn't go		
anywhere.		

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: I'm with you. We are giving this the attention and committing the resource--

MARLENE CINTRON: [interposing] and timing, timing, we need--

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: [interposing] If you'll allow me.

MARLENE CINTRON: I'm sorry.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: We are giving this the attention and allocating the necessary resources for the following steps. I do want to reiterate, Mr. Garcia, it was—there's a misunderstanding. I just confirmed. I'm here to hear the last testimony.

COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS

2 MARLENE CINTRON: Yes.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: You indicated, I believe, that you can't wait. And I said, well, then submit your testimony in writing. So no one here should feel that they have to leave because they will not be afforded the opportunity to be heard.

FRANK GARCIA: That's not--

 $\label{eq:chairperson} \mbox{CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: [interposing] I am} \\ \mbox{here as long as I need to be.}$

FRANK GARCIA: [interposing] I was here since the morning. I have a doctor's note saying that I have a medical condition. I just got major surgery. I was here all day because that's what a Latino leader does, and I'm here, and I wasn't going to leave until you heard my testimony. I was too sick to write. I will make sure you get something in writing, and you know me, I'm a man of my word.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: No, Mr. Garcia, I just want to point out because it may have been conveyed incorrectly.

FRANK GARCIA: No, I was [inaudible] your staff.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: No one here has to leave. As long as you're willing to stay I will

intention. The intention is to follow this through--

2.2

23

24

25

BILL BOROCK: [interposing] Will you bring it up. Will you vote to be brought up for a vote? CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: My-- as I indicated all along, I am fully supportive of small business, because there are very few people in the Council that have ever owned a small business. So if there's anyone that understands the issues, it's me firsthand. I have-- there is no stronger advocate for small business than myself. My parents immigrated here. So when you talk about immigrants, I know, and what it took for them to invest in this city and risk. So, the commitment will be there. We are having this hearing for that one reason, and this notion that this is not going to be given the focus and the attention that it deserves is an illconceived one. Work with us. we have 51 members in this council that are going to bed spending the next several weeks listening to the hours long testimony, and ultimately I truly believe we will be passing a comprehensive small business protection package, and I will be at the front of that because it's that important to me and the future of this city. Thank

you. Next panel is Dennis Johnston, Ratina Mayer

[sp?], Michael Brady, -- I don't know if someone's

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

here from the Park Slope bid-- Rob Burns, Joe Rosenberg, and Stewart Saft, and Mary Ann Rothman.

UNIDENTIFIED: Start?

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Yes, please. begin from the le-- unless there is an urgency for anyone, we'll begin from left.

MARY ANN ROTHMAN: I'm going to stand if you don't mind, because we're sharing a seat. Good evening. My name is Mary Ann Rothman, and I'm the Executive Director of the Council of New York Cooperatives and Condominiums. Ours is a membership org-- ours is the largest of several membership organizations for housing cooperatives and condominiums in the five boroughs. More than 170,000 New York families make their homes in our member buildings which span the full economic spectrum from very modest housing to upscale dwellings. We've done a little bit of research. We find that around 100,000 families in the City of New York live in housing cooperatives that have commercial space, and I see that these posters are not as big as I had hoped, but they show the councilmatic districts and the number of households in the various cooperatives in your district that have commercial space in them.

_	COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS 322
2	When this space is actually owned by the cooperative
3	or condominium, the revenue from the commercial
4	tenants supplements the carrying charges, as has beer
5	said frequently this evening, and it makes the
6	housing cost of the shareholders or unit owners more
7	affordable. No cooperative or condominium has any
8	incentive to leave its commercial space vacant nor
9	any reason to push out a good tenant. When vacancies
10	occur, Boards try their best to find tenants who will
11	be an enhancement to the building and the
12	neighborhood who will pay on time, who will comply
13	with city laws, who will not create noise or other
14	disturbances. They're happy to retain such tenants,
15	but there are disappointments. Tenants do fail to
16	meet these reasonable criteria, and then the Board
17	looks forward to terminating the relationship when
18	the lease ends and to look for a better tenant. The
19	Council of New York Cooperatives and its members
20	strongly oppose Intro 737A for the restrictions it
21	seeks to impose on the right of a cooperative or
22	condominium to administer its own space. Thank you.
23	CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you, Mary Ann.

DARCEY GERSTEIN: My name is Darcey

Gerstein. I'm the President of the Seward Park Coop

2 Board of Directors, and I'm here to represent the 3 5,000 residents who live in my coop and depend on the income derived from our 45 retail and professional 4 tenants to offset their maintenance costs. Not only is Seward Park a Naturally Occurring Retirement 6 7 Community, or NORC, we were also incorporated as a Title I redevelopment company in 1956 with more than 8 40 percent of our current shareholders entering 9 between then and the coop's 1996 reconstitution as a 10 free market coop. We retain one of the lowest per 11 12 square foot maintenance fees in Manhattan and pride 13 ourselves in keeping fees affordable for all of our 14 residents. Revenue from commercial rent provides 15 about 15 percent of our operational costs. 16 incidentally, real estate taxes account for nearly 17 half of our 29 million dollar annual expenses having 18 almost tripled in the last decade. Our newer commercial leases include provisions for 19 20 contributions to these rising costs which are otherwise borne exclusively by our shareholders. 2.1 2.2 Never the less, the Board has continuously made 23 concerted efforts to acquire and maintain unique independent business that add value and needed 24 service to our community. Even in the current 25

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

environment of many empty storefronts and demise of beloved mom and pops in the neighborhood, our commercial strip has only two vacancies. Both are notable to this discussion. The first is at 403 Grand where there was a 7-11 that had a 10-year lease signed in 2013 that they chose to terminate early. Aside from Citibank, this was our only national chain in all of our commercial spaces. Bill 737 in its current form would protect our corporate retailers at the expense of our shareholders and the broader community. To wit, the coop is now poised to select a neighborhood small business to replace 7-11. second is at 393 Grand Street where a long-term tenant nearing the end of his lease was given the opportunity to upgrade his service and offerings to match the needs and desires of the community. When he did not, we sought additional proposals from other small businesses that offered superior service and products in addition to better terms. The lease was awarded to a new small business women who is also a neighborhood resident. Under bill 737, this free negotiation and new small business opportunity would not have been possible. Seward Park exemplifies the 100,000 coop households that act as both landlords

2.2

23

25

and consumers of the businesses on their properties. We are continuously balancing the line between defraying shareholder costs and providing needed community services. Bill 737 removes our negotiating power and stymies our efforts to attract and retain diverse, small local businesses the very businesses that supporters of bill 737 hoped to protect. urge you to reject this bill and consider other legislation that would help existing small businesses without hurting working families and homeowners like my neighbors in Seward Park. I just wanted to mention that one of the businesses that Speaker Johnson mentioned earlier was Cup and Saucer in Chinatown. We actually solicited them to rent one of our vacant spaces, and they didn't-- they declined to pursue further negotiation for their own personal reasons. So, there's a lot of stories and two sides to every coin. So it's not a one-size-fits-all solution. That said, I look very forward to you patronizing a small local business after this because I'm starving.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you, Darcey.

JOSEPH ROSENBERG: Good evening Chair

Gjonaj. I'm Joseph Rosenberg. I'm the Executive

2	Director of the Catholic Community Relations Council
3	representing the Archdiocese of New York and the
4	Diocese of Brooklyn on local legislative and policy
5	matters. We are strongly in opposition to Intro.
6	737A. Commercial premises are very broadly defined in
7	this bill as "a building or space in the City of New
8	York occupied for non-residential purposes."
9	Accordingly, this legislation would cover spaces
10	leased by religious organizations to social service
11	providers, community organizations and other
12	nonprofit entities for non-commercial purposes.
13	Intro 737A would eliminate a property owner's ability
14	once a commercial tenant's lease is about to expire
15	to select a new non-residential tenant more
16	appropriately suited to a location than the previous
17	tenant. Removing this well-establish legal right
18	would result in a tremendous burden on nonprofit
19	religious organizations. Nonprofit religious
20	organizations are not engaged in purely commercial
21	enterprises. They use their resources on their
22	properties to best support their core mission. They
23	must have flexibility to determine the best way in
24	which their non-residential spaces can be used to
25	serve their congregations and communities. This bill

2 eliminates their ability to do so. For example, both 3 the Archdiocese of New York and the Diocese of Brooklyn provide community organizations and other 4 enterprises with rental opportunities to provide 5 services to local residents. These will include such 6 7 facilities as food pantries and senior citizen community centers, which are defined by commercial 8 premises under this bill since they are non-9 residential. If Catholic Charities or local parishes 10 conclude that their congregations and neighborhoods 11 12 were to benefit from the termination or relocation of 13 these operations by replacing them with otter 14 community uses, they would be unable to exercise this 15 Instead, the existing enterprise must be 16 offered a 10-year lease renewal. This is a hardship 17 on the Parish, the religious organizations, and in 18 many instances, the local residents as well. Archdiocese of New York and the Diocese of Brooklyn 19 20 have also constructed and preserved thousands of lowincome housing units throughout New York City over 21 2.2 the last several decades. A revenue source to help 23 cover the maintenance and operation of such lowincome housing, as many people have said already at 24 this hearing, are the use of commercial rents on the 25

ground floor level of such developments. If a
charitable or other affordable housing organizations
determines that a commercial tenants nearing the end
of their lease term should be replaced by one that
can better serve the local residents, and/or pay a
greater rent to help cover the housing development's
operations, they should be able to make such an
important decision. This bill creates many hurdles
preventing them from exercising such an option. It
appears to inadvertently create a barrier against
many nonprofits and religious organizations that are
devoted to and focused upon the development of low-
income housing in our city. The health of the
commercial sector in our city is extremely important.
Although this bill is well-intentioned, it creates an
untenable burden on all property owners, not just
nonprofits and religious organizations. This is
deeply flawed and should not be passed. I just want
to also indicate that a colleague of mine, Louis
Chaffe [sp?], who is the Director of Legislative
Policy for the United Jewish appeal also has the same
perspective. She had to leave early, but you have
her testimony.

2.

3

25

ROB BYRNES: Good evening, Chairman

Gjonaj. I'm going to be very brief. My name is Rob

4 Byrnes. I'm the President of the East Midtown

5 Partnership which is a Midtown Manhattan Business

6 Improvement District. We count among our members more

7 than 800 ground floor businesses and a roughly 3,000

8 upper floor commercial tenants, and I'm happy to

9 report 9.7 percent vacancy rte. I walked the

10 | district myself in August, so I can confirm that. I'm

11 | not going to-- I'm going to be brief because you've

12 | already heard everything already like 17 different

13 | times, and you already understand this is a nuanced

14 | issue. We do oppose 737A as written, because we feel

15 | that there are lot of-- that while rent is important,

16 there are a lot of other things that are important to

17 | the vitality of the small business sector that need

18 | to be looked at, taxes, fees, mandates that-- I hear-

19 $\|$ - those are what I hear from my small businesses.

20 | The Clear Curb Initiative from the City that won't

21 allow my-- many of my small businesses over like

22 seven or eight blocks to have some customer dropped

23 \parallel off or get a delivery during the day. So these are

24 | the kind of things that we would like to see City

Council look at, as well as rent, and I also want to

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

1415

16

17

1819

20

21

23

24

25

encourage you to use the City's Business Improvement Districts and the New York City BID Association as a resource. We are in touch with our small businesses on a daily basis. We work very closely with them. We know what is on their mind and we are-- we want to move forward and protect them. So, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you, Rob.

MICHAEL BRADY: Good evening, Chair Gjonaj, Council Member Yeger, who I think stepped out. As I said before, I will say it again, New York City has failed small business community, and with this legislation as written, we will continue to do Thank you for the opportunity to speak today. I'm Michael Brady, Executive Director of the Third Avenue Business Improvement District, located in the South Bronx. The Third Avenue Business Improvement Discovery is the Bronx's oldest BID and greets over 200,000 visitors daily. We currently have a ground floor vacancy rate of six percent. In addition to leading the Third Avenue Business Improvement District, my organization currently manages the Southern Boulevard Business Improvement District to our east with 16 percent vacancy rate and the Bruckner Boulevard Commercial District to our south

COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS 331
with a three percent commercial vacancy rate.
Collectively, these areas represent the majority of
the South Bronx with over 700 largely first and
second generation immigrant-owned member businesses
slated to grow the 1,500 member businesses by 2019.
Our organizations have had a great impact on ensuring
that businesses, particularly those in the outer
boroughs, can exist and thrive and adapt and evolve
in the rapidly changing economic landscape that is
New York City. As you know, BIDs are legislative
partners of the city of New York, funded and self-
sustained by contributions in the form of a special
assessment on property owners. These are not tax
dollars taken by everyone. Business Improvement
Districts have management agreements with the City of
New York and are some of the earliest examples of
public and private partnerships. At the very heart of
what we do is maintain the civility and growth of
small businesses in commercial districts. In 2017,
over 147 million dollars in services were provided by
New York City's network of 75 BIDs across all five
boroughs, assisting over 93,000 small and micro-

businesses. Our collective organizations oppose

Introduction 737A, the Small Business Job Survival

24

25

2	Act. After careful consideration and input by our
3	stakeholders, our organizations will not support the
4	legislation without significant revision. The
5	legislation, as we've heard today, has a lot of holes
6	and may do more harm than good. We realize that the
7	optics of passing legislation that is embraced by a
8	populous base is, in our political environment, is
9	appealing to many members of this Council. However,
10	the unintended consequences of passing this
11	legislation will burden already struggling mom and
12	pop store owners. In our understanding, the
13	legislation in front of the Committee when it was
14	first proposed was developed as a radical solution
15	with the full understanding that the legislation was
16	not enforceable or legally binding, akin to a "what
17	if" piece of legislation. The proposed legislation
18	misses the mark for the following reasons: it lacks
19	legal standing. It is inconsistent with state law.
20	It creates a predatory leasing environment for small
21	mom and pop businesses. It has an overly burdensome
22	arbitration clause that will actually hurt small
23	businesses, not help. Additionally, during a time
24	when our businesses are under constant threat as a
25	result of rising rents, a rising tax burden to

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

increasing operational costs, we can't afford to pass a lackluster legislation onto our small businesses without knowing its effects and its validity. It's not fair and it goes against everything being America's Fairest Big City is all about. legislation is not part of a broader plan to assist our small business communities and will whitewash the future of leasing for our commercial districts. Removing the vibrant business cultures that make New York City so New York. It is our recommendation that this council should keep this bill in Committee for redrafting to comply with New York State real property and other legal barriers. Two, properly assess the localized needs of small businesses will real data. Three, include a revised vision of this legislation with a roll-out of a fairer property tax structure. Four, develop a suite of mechanisms and more importantly resources, financial and otherwise, for small business owners that may experience litigation as a result of 737A. Five, not let politics get in the way of supporting businesses, something this politicized legislation does. Six, examine the mandated -- examine and mandate that city agencies work together to support small business, and

1	COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS 334
2	lastly, bring equity to city service distribution.
3	The City needs to get its act together. Thank you
4	for your time today. I appreciate it. Thank you.
5	CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you, Michael.
6	DAVID COHEN: Thank you, Chairperson
7	Gjonaj. My name is David Cohen. I'm 32BJ SEIU. I'r
8	reading on behalf of our Vice President Denis
9	Johnston. I also acknowledge Council Member Yeger.
10	We are here in opposition today of Intro 737 of 2018,
11	the Small Business Protection Act. I appear today or
12	behalf of the Union's 85,000 New York City members.
13	We're City's janitors, security officers, window
14	cleaners, doormen, and porters. I'll try to be
15	brief. Our members live across the five boroughs
16	form Coop city to Coney Island. WE appreciate the
17	contribution of small businesses to the vibrancy of
18	our city and recognize the importance of their
19	success to the health of the City's economy. We also
20	recognize that there's a deep affordability crisis in
21	our city and that many small business small retail
22	businesses struggle to make ends meet. This bill,
23	however, does not apply exclusively to small

businesses. It applies to all commercial leases

including office leases in the City, no matter how

24

25

2 large the tenant is. Under the proposed bill, the City would be providing a new raft of protections for 3 large corporate tenants who are more than capable of 4 standing on their own two feet when negotiating their 5 6 lease renewal. Additionally, on the property service 7 industry, restrictions on the rent receivable by a building owner is ultimately a restriction on the 8 revenue pool from which because services contracts 9 are paid. The proposed bill may allow an arbitrator 10 to consider the services provided by a building 11 12 owner, but it provides no guidance as to how they 13 should consider whether building service workers receive a decent wage, quality healthcare and a 14 15 pension. When building workers unionize and when a 16 contract for the first time, it is possible that a 17 building owner may seek to recoup the change in labor 18 costs from their commercial tenants. We strongly attest to the fact that good labor standards improve 19 20 productivity and the quality of services provided, but nonetheless, it would be a terrible unintended 21 2.2 consequence if restrictions on commercial rents were 23 to stand in the way of workers unionizing. We trust that the Council Members who have supported this bill 24 are well-intentioned, and we simply ask that they, 25

3

4

5

6

7

9

8

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

along with the committee, carefully consider the full consequences of the legislation and consider a more direct means to support the City's small businesses.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you, David.

MARK CASERTA: Hi. Good evening.

name is Mark Caserta. I'm the Executive Director of the Park Slope Fifth Avenue BID, and there's almost nothing left to stay at this point of the night, but I will just add, we have 30 blocks of storefronts at the heart of Park Slope Brooklyn. We go from the Barclay Center essentially to 18th Street. I do know what my vacancy rate is. I exactly 42 businesses out of 520. That's eight percent. And so we talk to those businesses every single day on the streets. We hear their concerns every day. So, is rent an issue? Yeah, it's an issue in a lot of cases. Are bad landlords a concern? Yeah, we have some bad ones. won't name them here, but I know who they are. you know, again, I think you've brought it up, the position here is that rent is a concern. The City almost never talks about the regulations on the daily basis. I have businesses that have been shut down because of regulations on the Street. They're a real The City's idea is to fine first and punish problem.

3

4

6

21

2.2

23

24

first before they educate, and that's a big mistake, and that has to be looked at in the future as well. The business registry really has to happen. If you

5 register for the City first before you went to

business, a lot of the problems we're having just 7

wouldn't happen. Also, I want to add a couple times

we've talked about the San Francisco Small Business 8

Commission. In some form or another I really 9

believe-- I mean, we have small business people 10

sitting here today after six and a half hours who 11

12 want to speak and want to be heard. Clearly, they're

13 not being heard, and I know you're trying to help

14 that as the Chairman. I know the Speaker was here

15 all day long. That was amazing. I thought that was

16 great, but they don't have a voice, and bills are

17 being passed at the City Council, policies are being

18 written at city agencies without really hearing how

they will affect the small businesses in the streets. 19

20 I really -- I for one think that needs to stop.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you, Mark. think we could all agree that something needs to be done, and we can't rely on this one issue, rent, to solve the problems. There is no silver bullet. I

25 think especially this panel who seems to be so well-

right. Press the button.

balanced across the board realizes that there's too
many other factors that need to be considered, and
it's just not that cut and dry as some would make it
out to be, and I want to thank you all for your time,
your patience and commitment to making sure that our
commercial corridors continue to thrive. I'm
grateful to you. Do we have anybody else here that
is from the BIDs? I wanted to make sure that BIDs
have a chance, too. Let's call up the next panel.
Judy Richheimer [sp?], Ed I'm sorry Reid Con
[sp?], Robert Levy [sp?], or anyone from Brooklyn Lav
School, Ed Figueroa [sp?] is there an Ed Figueroa
[sp?], James Bruchot [sp?], Erica Ruben [sp?], and
Olympia Kazi. If it's okay, we'll begin with left to

OLYMPIA KAZI: Yes, okay, here we go.

Thank you. I mean, it's incredible. Also because really, you know, you're so generous with your time.

So, thank you for holding this long-awaited and very long hearing. My name is Olympia Kazi, and I am with the New York City Artist Coalition. You can hear me? Yes? Good. So, we advocate for the safety and preservation of grassroots cultural spaces, and people didn't mention this enough I think today. They

2 all think of small businesses a something different, 3 but you know, cultural spaces are small businesses. The kind of spaces we advocate for are talent 4 incubators and they create community and they support 6 community, and they are, you know, small businesses. 7 I'm going to skip some of this stuff, but basically, the City of New York, the Mayor's Office of Media and 8 Entertainment talked about the fact that 20 percent 9 of them were lost in the last 15 years, so now I'm 10 talking about the economic impact of -- a report [sic] 11 12 on music industry. And among the example that they 13 brought up were CBGBs that everybody knows, and that 14 [inaudible]. What people may not know is that 15 actually CBGB ended up closing after a 12-year lease 16 expired because of rent increases and they stated 17 that the wetland [sic] preserve was on a month-to-18 month because the education courses wouldn't allow them to get a renewal. Now, these spaces are 19 20 treasured. The very New York Times article that talked about the closing of CBGB in 2006 said, "The 21 2.2 latest and highest profile rock [sic] club [sic] to 23 vanish from lower Manhattan in recent years as rents and other expenses have continued to skyrocket," and 24 this is what we're looking at today. By the way, 25

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

parents [sic] this is not here it has nothing to do with the New York City Administration [sic]. one of the clubs [sic] of Carlina Rivera, and what the previous panel was talking about, the reality of small [inaudible] coops [sic] is we never leave our commercial space vacant, because know it supports our housing. So, as other people have said earlier, that's a different discussion that you need to look at, but it's not okay for them for them to [inaudible] against [sic] most businesses, because we should not be fining them or fighting amongst each other. Like, there are ways in which we co-exist, and we all need protections. Sorry for the parenthesis [sic] -- go back down to my Coalition. So, we talked about CBGB and all the staff. Now, you know, this bill was introduced in the late 80s and what we're dealing here today is a vacancy epidemic, and it doesn't really have to do with the fact that I have an 11-month-old baby that tonight had get only with her dad and a three-year-old boy because I really wanted to be here. Yes, I do buy the diapers on Amazon because I cannot carry 800 pounds of diapers, but that doesn't mean that I'm not supporting small businesses. And I don't think I

1 COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS 2 created this vacancy. What is creating this vacancy 3 is the fact that there are no lease controls out there. Now, we do support SBJSA, but we do believe 4 that there are changes that need to be made. We have 5 6 some suggestions to give you here. Okay, very 7 quickly. Funding for people who cannot afford arbitration, because arbitration is a big cost. 8 the City fund could be a great idea, and you could 9 use as a model the Right to Counsel that is currently 10 done by City. The second thing is translation 11 12 services. Know Your Rights campaign and subsidy 13 [sic] tenant harassment protection. Again, the other 14 thing that other people brought up is that immigrant 15 communities very often are not given a lease. So, how 16 can we make a program for those who don't have 17 actually lease, because currently SBJSA only talks 18 about those who have a lease? And last but not least, if arbitration fails, you guys should have 19 20 controls and go and check out, because if it fails because the landlord says certain things and then 21 2.2 they didn't do, there needs to be the precautions. 23 And anyway, in closing, thank you again, and we actually support the New York City Artist Coalition, 24

commercial rent stabilization. Everybody saying

25

2

3

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

1314

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

about this is not-- that, we actually support that. We're working with City Council and on the vacancy bill, too. So, we really look forward to working with you on all the bills that are coming forward, because we need them.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you so much. We have a lot of work to do together, yes.

JUDY RICHHEIMER: My name is-- am I on? My name is Judy Richheimer. I am active in Democratic Party politics in Chelsea and I am the Chair of the Government Relations Committee for the Guides Association of New York City, known as GANYC [sic]. That's an organization that supports tour guides. It's an industry that hasn't been discussed today. There is a strong concern among my Chelsea neighbors and fellow tour guides that the New York City we love, quirky, special and awesome, accommodating to all kinds of everyday needs is shrinking fast and is in danger of disappearing altogether. I live on a street in Chelsea described by Eva Wharton [sic] as having been populated in the 1870s with "small dress makers, bird stumpers, and people who wrote." Now, Wharton clearly shuddered at the thought of those kinds of tradespeople, but for

2	many of us, that combination of the ordinary and the
3	acentric had to find Chelsea's charm for more than a
4	century, and that charm could be found in our
5	abundance of book shops, small hardware stores, cafes
6	not called Starbucks, and wonderful Latino
7	restaurants which have largely disappeared and have
8	been replaced by chains on the one hand and vendors
9	of hyper luxury on the other. Now, it was mentioned
10	earlier that retail has to change to reflect the
11	changing residential nature of a neighborhood. Keep
12	in mind that although luxury residential towers now
13	abound to the west of $10^{ ext{th}}$ and Chelsea. For nearly
14	60 years low and middle income housing in the form of
15	two NYCHA developments and Pencell [sic] have
16	dominated Chelsea and continue to define that
17	neighborhood. The people living in those apartment
18	need every bit as much of the rich places to shop and
19	to go to eat. And that leads me to a question tour
20	guides are often asked: "Where are your
21	supermarkets?" And a comment we increasingly hear,
22	"Gee, we have all those stores back home." Tourism
23	is booming now, but if we don't protect what makes
24	New York livable and also what makes it special,

2.2

23

24

25

eventually our image, our brand, is going to suffer across the country and around the world.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you, Judy.

ROBERT BENFATTO: Thank you. afternoon, Chairman Gjonaj, Members of the Small Business Committee and other Council Members present, Council Member Yeger. My name is Robert J. Benfatto. I'm the Co-Chair along with Meredith Phillips Almeida [sp?] of the New York City BID Association, which represents the Directors of the City's 75 Business Improvement Districts. I am testifying today on behalf of the Association in opposition to Intro. 737A. BIDs are stewards of commercial corridors throughout the five boroughs of New York City and have a unique and vested interest in cultivating a vibrant streetscape of the wide and healthy range of businesses and services to meet consumer needs. Storefront vacancies are a critical challenge facing our city and our BIDs. So we truly appreciate the Council's attention to this important issue. BIDs care about this issue because ground floor vacancies discourage pedestrian activity and are detrimental to our mission of cultivating dynamic commercial corridors. Now, I don't want to go into the rest

2	because it's going to repeat what everyone's said
3	about particular reasons of why this bill as
4	presently written would not be helpful for the
5	situation that we find, but just to talk quickly.
6	The BID directors do care very much about storefront
7	vacancies because we walk our district multiple times
8	every week, multiple weeks in a year, and every time
9	we see a vacancy it's not good for a bid director.
10	No one who runs a bid wants to see vacant stores in
11	their bid. The problem under the bill is it says it's
12	a blunt instrument, a very broad blunt instrument
13	that just doesn't get to all the problems, and you
14	need something to eat away at all the problems. Back
15	in April of this year, before we even prepared for
16	this bill, we formed a Storefront Vacancy Group by
17	the BID Association. A number of different BIDs
18	joined together and we've been working on the draft
19	reports and we've been looking at things that you
20	heard just discussed here before by my other BID
21	members looking at things like in San Francisco where
22	they have that Independent Advisory Board that
23	reviews proposed legislation before anyone gets to
24	vote on it. Looking at things they're doing in
25	Tondon One of the RIDs talked about online how

1	COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS 346
2	online businesses should have a property stance in
3	the area, otherwise maybe they should be taxed. I'm
4	not saying that that's but these are things to look
5	at, and other things to encourage flexible and
6	creative uses such as pop-tenants in the area, and
7	this requires greater study also, and the Council
8	Speaker kept talking about having to work together,
9	and I wanted to say we use the [inaudible] available
10	working together, and we keep track of vacancies on
11	individual levels. Unfortunately, the Association
12	doesn't keep a broad record. The Association really
13	just the BID Director, there's no staff, but every
14	BID keeps a record. And SBS has an annual report
15	every year that you have to reply to, and you report
16	your vacancies. So, the SBS Trends Report actually
17	does have a record of the vacancy percentage for each
18	every 75 BIDs in the district, and I know that mine
19	happens to be 12 percent number for Hudson Yards
20	Business Improvement District. We need to do things
21	more like that and do greater study, and thank you
22	for listening to me.
23	CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you, Robert.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you, Robert.

JAMIE BURKART: Hello. I'm Jamie

24

25

Burkart. I'm a member of the New York City Artist

2	Coalition, and I live in Fort Greene, Clinton Hill.
3	It's great to see it's been great today to see so
4	many Council Members supporting SBJSA and that we're
5	having this hearing. So, thank you very much. And I
6	wanted to say thank you to the City's First Director
7	of Night Life who is here, Ariel Pallets [sp?]. She
8	spent a couple of hours sitting up in the balcony.
9	So, thank you to the Administration for creating that
10	office. I'm here because New York City's cultural
11	spaces are small businesses, and cultural spaces
12	close due to rent. My life as an advocate began
13	because of the loss of another. My friend, Nick Gomez
14	Hall [sp?], who is one of the 36 people killed in
15	Oakland's tragic Ghost Ship fire. When a City's
16	residents can't afford appropriate commercial spaces
17	for culture, our diverse cultures are forced out of
18	our neighborhoods. We're forced out of business, or
19	we're forced underground into less safe, exploitative
20	slumlord situations. As a safety advocate,
21	affordability is a life and death issue for culture
22	in New York. Cherished community spaces can't get a
23	fair lease here and are closing. Silent Barn [sic]
24	closes here due to rent increases. Madeeba [sic]
25	South African restaurant down the street from me

4

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2 23

24

25

closed due to rent increases. Barcepia [sic] closed when the landlord refused to come to the table for lease negotiations. Treasured neighborhood institutions must be able to afford to thrive in New York. When we lose places to come together, we lose New York. Culture needs the right to renew its lease in New York City. In Paris, you can still go to the café where Sart [sp?] and de Beauvoir wrote, but the spaces in the Bronx and Harlem where hip-hop was born have closed. They're not open anymore. We need commercial rent protections. Save the places that make New York, New York with fair leases and fair rent. Pass the Small Business Job Survival Act, Intro number 737. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you, Jamie.

AREEB KAHN: Good evening. My name is Areeb Kahn. Juliana Milandro and I are here on behalf of the Community Development Clinic at Brooklyn Law School. The Small Business Job Survival Act would create a bureaucratic, costly process that is difficult to navigate. My testimony today will focus on three big problems with the process. First, big businesses will benefit the most. Second, similar tenants will be treated differently, and

2	third, the process is overly complex for small
3	businesses. As we've heard repeatedly today, the act
4	is not distinguished between big and small tenants.
5	By not doing so, the act allows big businesses to
6	take conservative negotiation stances at a relatively
7	minimal cost while smaller businesses are left
8	needing to spend a relatively higher amount to
9	negotiate a new rent that is in no way guaranteed to
10	be affordable. This issue can be illustrated by
11	comparing two tenants, a Chipotle and a local Mexican
12	restaurant. On the one hand, Chipotle has in-house
13	lawyers who already work on lease negotiations and
14	will absorb these costs as the standard price of
15	doing business. The local Mexican restaurant, on the
16	other hand, doesn't have a similar Legal Department.
17	For businesses like them, the system becomes pay to
18	play. They may need to hire an attorney for
19	mediation and arbitration, and after spending
20	thousands of dollars, they may still be left with the
21	rent that is too costly for them to stay in business.
22	The act's arbitration provisions sets forth 12
23	factors that an arbitrator must consider when setting
24	the rent. These 12 factors can then be supplemented
25	by all other relevant factors. Such a complex and

2 vague standard will lead to unpredictable and 3 inconsistent results. Two arbitrators determining rents for similar businesses located near each other 4 are likely to arrive at different rents for these 5 businesses because of the broad set of criteria they 6 7 can consider. This unlimited level of subjectivity afforded to individual arbitrators becomes all the 8 more problematic for small business tenants, 9 considering that an arbitrator's decision is final 10 and non-reviewable. The City's property tax system 11 12 offers a cautionary tale. The property tax system is 13 complex. Many of its decisions are unreviewable, and 14 it's results arbitrary and unfair. One consequence 15 has been that property owners in wealthier 16 neighborhoods often pay lower property tax rates than 17 those in less affluent neighborhoods. This state of 18 affairs has led to a high profile lawsuit and a reconsideration of the entire property tax system by 19 20 the Mayor's Advisory Commission on Property Tax The Mayor himself has called the property 2.1 Reform. 2.2 tax system too opaque and too complex. The complex, 23 vague, and unreviewable rent setting provisions of the act are likely to create analogous problems for 24 tenants and landlords alike. Similar small tenants 25

2	would be treated differently and big tenants are
3	likely to work the system to their relative
4	advantage. In addition to high costs and a lengthy
5	process, the proposed system is not easily navigable
6	for mom and pop business owners. Most of them are not
7	too familiar with the legal system. For those
8	tenants who choose to negotiate under the proposed
9	system themselves, they would face a new world of
10	legal processes that have nothing to do with their
11	businesses. The act almost requires that tenants
12	hire lawyers to guide small business owners through a
13	system that might begin to feel like the soul-
14	crushing New York City Housing Court where tenants
15	and landlords spend countless hours and often obtain
16	results as perplexing as the problems that brought
17	them there in the first place. Tenants entering
18	Housing Court face steep odds. The system is
19	confusing and impersonal, and as many as 90 percent
20	of the tenants who enter the court enter without a
21	lawyer, making the atmosphere chaotic. Tenants are
22	often unaware of their rights and how the court
23	works, leading to temporary judgements that do
24	nothing but postpone the date of their eviction. The
25	act similarly disadvantages small business owners by

creating a need for lawyers without the majority of small— without which the majority of small business owners will be left feeling lost and may end up being railroaded. In conclusion, the act's proposed processes exacerbate the advantages that big business tenants currently enjoy in the commercial rent market. The act would not accomplish it's stated goals of creating a fair negotiation market with reasonable and fair lease terms to help small businesses survive and encourage job retention. The Council should consider alternatives to assist small businesses, as my colleague Juliana Milandro will offer. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you.

JULIANA MILANDRO: Good evening. My name is Juliana Milandro. Given the testimony of my colleague, it is important to shed light on policy alternatives that may achieve the intended goals of the bill, namely to protect small businesses and promote job growth in the local economy. These three policies, vacancy laws, formula business restrictions, and tax credits for landlords may be more effective ways of targeting and protecting small businesses. First, cities like San Francisco and

2	Chicago have enacted vacancy laws to target large
3	increases and vacant storefronts. These laws require
4	commercial landlords to maintain their properties
5	with the goal of decreasing the negative impact these
6	vacancies have on the surrounding neighborhood.
7	Vacancy laws provide clear guidelines to owners that
8	require registration with an associated fee, an
9	insurance policy for the property, maintenance of the
10	interior and exterior of the property for the safety
11	of the community, and a point of contact for
12	questions regarding property. San Francisco has also
13	introduced a program that aims to attract businesses
14	to a particular neighborhood based on the type of
15	vacancies and community desires. Similarly, New York
16	City could use a vacant storefront registration
17	requirement as a foundation for a broader, small
18	business retention strategy. Second, the City could
19	protect small businesses by enacting formula business
20	restrictions. Bristol, Rhode Island and San
21	Francisco, California have enacted restrictions that
22	placed limits on formula businesses entering
23	particular neighborhoods. Formula businesses include
24	chain retail stores and fast food establishments.
	1

Many New York City neighborhoods have gone through a

2 dramatic change over the last few years, and these changes are slowly moving into other neighborhoods. 3 The formula business restrictions serves to prevent 4 5 chains from operating in particular neighborhoods in order to protect their historical fabric. This will 6 7 help to protect the unique character of New York City neighborhoods that have yet to feel the effects of 8 gentrification. A restriction on leases to formula 9 businesses will incentivize leasing to small business 10 tenants. This will protect existing small businesses 11 12 and should also tend to increase the number of small 13 businesses overall. Lastly, a tax credit could 14 alleviate some concern about long-standing tenants 15 being forced out of their spaces due to rising rent 16 prices. SCRIE/DRIE is a residential tax credit 17 program currently used in New York City. These 18 programs freeze rent for eligible tenants in rentregulated units and in turn provide landlords with a 19 20 tax credit to cover the difference between the rent paid by the tenant and the maximum legal rent. 21 2.2 successfully implement this model, the City would 23 need to create clear guidelines and limitations on the use of this tax credit to prevent abuse and 24 excess pressure on the City's budget. For a 25

commercial rent tax program, the City should target existing tenants and take into consideration annual gross revenues of the business, number of employees and the impact the tenant has on the neighborhood.

For instance, are they a long-standing tenant? This system should be a short term solution to prevent payment shock for small tenants that are struggling financially due to a large increase in their monthly rent, rather than a long term solution to changing market conditions. Additionally, the City must, of course, consider that tax credits come at a price paid by tax payer dollars. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you for that,
Juliana, and that was probably the most out-of-thebox thinking I heard all day long on how we can come
up with a collective, comprehensive approach for
those that truly need the help the most, and it has
to be some types of means test. But San Francisco,
and I think you'd all agree, because of the
independent small business commission that is
independent of politics, it's made of small
businesses which also compromise the arts, determines
the faith and the impact of regulation as well as the
free markets on those corridors and those small

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

1314

15

16

1718

19

20

21

22

23

24

businesses, and collectively they come up with an approach that has worked wonderfully for San Francisco. I want to thank you all again for your time, and I believe my colleague here has a question.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Very briefly.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you to the panel for remaining here for the entire today. We had to stay here, so you did too, I guess. Well, I didn't have to. He did. I'm not on the committee. question is for the two law students, Ms. Milandro and Mr. Kahn. One of the things that you pointed out to, you pointed out in your testimony, Ms. Milandro, is other municipalities and things that they've enacted. As you know, municipalities are governed by the powers that are vested in us by our state. We're creatures of the state. We don't get to make our own rules unless the state has actually authorized us to. During the course of your research, have you found whether or not the City of New York is authorized to pass this program? As you probably heard from sitting here all day, there are a number of people who have said that the City of New York may not have that authority.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

JULIANA MILANDRO: My research was more of a policy analysis and not really a legal analysis, so I wouldn't be able to answer that question.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: That's a good

I'm not prepared for a hot bench, right? The notion of similar tenants being treated differently, Mr. Kahn, you referred to the Mayor's Advisory Commission of property tax reform and talked about the property tax system, and I agree with you that the results are arbitrary. I think you used the word "unfair." I would say "capricious" is probably a better word to stick in there. It's true. There's-there really is no review. What would you suggest as a way for the City's property tax system to become fairer that would enable small businesses to operate in an environment that allows them to boom rather than bust? And part of the reason for that question, I suppose, is that an enormous amount of the pressure being put on small businesses that are tenants comes from the fact that landlords themselves has pressures based on their rising costs. The biggest rising cost for landlords tends to be real property taxes.

AREEB KAHN: Sorry to give you a similar answer to Ms. Milandro's answer.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Don't worry about it. Alright, I'm going to let you go, because this is fun, and I really just needed something to wake me up, but I appreciate it.

AREEB KAHN: Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you, Council Thank you so much. We'll call up the next Member. Brent O'Leary, Santos Rodriguez, James Nelson, Jordan Barowtiz [sp?], Fabio Furman [sp?]. We've got room for one more. Let's call up Laura Panamev [sp?]. Four, five, six-- Can we make sure that we get everybody on the panel? Is anyone left from the people we just called out? So, let's go to the next-- How many people are still here to testify with a show of hands? Okay. Let's begin with the five on this side. We got room for two more. Does anyone have to leave? Yes, young lady. And I'll take the-- yes, young lady. The two-- sir? I think we gave it to the two ladies. Sir, I think the two ladies indicated they have to leave, if it's okay? And as customary, left to right, if it's okay with you.

NIKKI LEDGER: Okay, so I'm going to start off with something I didn't write, which is the

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

small family business history for me. During the 1920s, 30s, 40s, and--

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: [interposing] Can you state your name please?

NIKKI LEDGER: Oh, Nikki Ledger [sp?],

sorry. During the 1920s, 30s, 40s, and part of the 1950s my grandparents owned a small business which was located just west of here where the World Trade Towers were later built, and imminent domain was used to seize that land to build the towers, which brings me to what I really want to talk about which is a novel use of imminent domain. There's-- I think you're interested in this. There is a professor of law and public affairs at Cornell by the name of Robert Hocket [sp?] who about five years ago was in a-- testified with Maxine Waters interviewing him in Washington, D.C. at the Rayburn office building about possible use of imminent domain to buy up underwater mortgages. This never came to pass, but he is still very interested in the subject of using imminent domain in novel and ways that are useful and constructive for citizens and for anyone, for noncitizens since a lot of these businesses are immigrants, immigrant businesses. I have a reference

2 here to a link should you be interested in like reading a 20-page paper. Now, one of the things I 3 want to say about putting this forward as a proposal 4 is I think it would have to take brave politicians in New York City in order to do something with this. 6 7 Okay? Not just to talk to him and think about it. And I also want to say that I think it's time for us 8 to think about a stronger state. We think of the 9 state as infringing upon our rights and so forth and 10 so on, but since Ronald Reagan, a strong state has 11 12 gotten a bad rap, but I think it's also the case that 13 the strong state is needed to protect people. From 14 there I want to seque. I've been reading a bit of 15 someone who used to be a Canadian politician whose 16 name is Michael Ignoftiv [sp?]. You might know his name from articles on the New York Review of Book, or 17 18 maybe not. So, to condense something I was reading of his, know that they need, meaning the people, a 19 sovereign with the power to compel competing sources 20 of power in society to serve the public good. They 21 2.2 want, meaning the people, some public authority to 23 protect them from the systemic risks imposed on them by the powerful. So, I feel that I'm offering the 24 City Council a new mandate, one that I think some 25

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

people have forgotten that is their role as

3 politicians, which is a particular way of serving the

4 people to protect them from excessive abuses of

5 \parallel power. In this case, it's the real estate industry.

6 | So, my last paragraph: New York City is losing its

7 | sovereignty to real estate super magnets, losing it

8 to Albany, and I think there have been numerous times

9 during the day-- do you have a question? Okay.

10 Please reclaim New York. Time is running out. The

11 | rate of decay of our life at street level has

12 | accelerated. Should we pass this bill, at least

13 | small immigrant businesses and families will be

14 saved. Thank you.

BRANDON SULLIVAN: Good evening. My name is Brandon J. Sullivan. I'm the author of Rivington Was Ours, a book about how small businesses in the lower east side were able to make an indelible imprint on our culture with bands like The Strokes, LCD Sound System, of course, Cardi B, and New York's greatest export, Lady Gaga. I met and started working with Gaga in the fall of 2005 when her first record deal fell through and she thought her whole life was over. Gaga was able to start fresh here,

because we had venues and great spaces and small

2 businesses here in New York City. I came here to tell you today that I'm not here to advocate for any 3 single small business, but for our greatest export, 4 5 our culture. Empty storefronts are a blight on our neighborhoods and our culture, and when you look at 6 the progress of the 20th Century you see that passion 7 in New York City businesses always led the way. 8 had integrated dance floors before we had integrated 9 school boards, and we had marriage equality at 10 Stonewall before we had it at City Hall. A year ago 11 12 today the Mayor of our City had the Empire State 13 Building and One World Trade Center lit up orange to entice Amazon to put their second headquarters here. 14 15 I say it's time to light up our available real estate 16 and open our cities to the dreams of a new generation, to build a home for the incubators and 17 innovators who will lead our city into the 21st 18 Century. This is the city that never sleeps because 19 20 so many great people choose to stay here and chase their dreams every day and every night, and we know 21 2.2 if we can make it here, we can make it anywhere, but 23 the inverse of that is true, that if we can't make it here, we're going to have to go somewhere else. 24 want to leave with a quote from the great Jane 25

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Jacobs, the City Advocate, about why we need these scenes and small businesses. She said, "Lowly, unpurposeful and random as they may appear, sidewalk contacts are the small change from which a city's wealth of public life may grow." Please pass, strengthen SBJSA so we can all grow and flourish.

Thank you.

STEVE KIRKPATRICK: Good evening. name is Steve Kirkpatrick. I'm a member of the New York City Bar Association Real Property Law Committee. I practiced real estate law for over 20 years representing both property owners and commercial tenants in lease disputes, and helped prepare the Committee's legal analysis regarding this bill. The Committee concluded that the City Council is not authorized to enact this bill because it is tantamount to rent control. It limits a landlord's rights with regard to the use and occupancy of his or her commercial space. The power of a local government, such as the City, to enact local laws has to be based upon a grant of authority found within the Charter, State Constitution, Municipal Home Rule or a State enabling statute. And there is no State enabling statute expressly authorizing the City to

2 control rents, let alone commercial rents which have 3 historically not been regulated in the same manner as residential rents. Further, even if there is general 4 power to enact a local law, there must be consistency 5 with State law. Although there are no cases that have 6 7 arisen specifically resolving the City's power as to commercial rents or spaces, attempts by the City to 8 enact residential rent control legislation without 9 specific authorization have been invalidated by the 10 courts. In addition, the enactment of this bill 11 would also create inconsistencies with a number of 12 13 existing State laws. For instance, with regard to 14 the right to have a lease extension and binding 15 arbitration, those provisions conflict with Real 16 Property Law Section 228 and 232A, which give 17 landlords the right to terminate certain month-to-18 month and 30-day tenancies on 30 days' notice. addition, the automatic renewal provision conflict 19 20 with Real Property Law Section 229 which provides for 21 the recovery of double rent from hold-over tenants. 2.2 Further, there's a conflict with Real Property Law 23 Section 232D subsection two which explicitly excludes refusal to renew a lease as a form of harassment. 24

The arbitration provisions also create conflict.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Municipal Home Rule Law Section 11-1-E provides that the State, not the City, retains power over legislation that affects the courts. There also are conflicts with the arbitration requirements and landlords and tenant rights to resolve their disputes through judicial proceedings such as summary eviction proceedings brought under the State RPAPL, Article Seven. In addition, under the Civil Practice Law, Article 75 which governs arbitration and among other things, provides that all arbitral awards are subject to judicial review. These, all of these inconsistencies are problematic, and as I said earlier, given the history of court decisions finding the local laws attempting to control residential rents have been invalidated, we believe that it is likely that this bill controlling commercial rents and the landlord/tenant relationship would also

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Thank you, sir.

PATRICIA DORFMAN: I'd appreciate it if
you would listen. Some of us have not eaten, not gone
to the bathroom, not had any water. True of you also,
but we're not-- some of us also are not paid to be
here today; you are. I'm Patricia Dorfman. I was

potentially be invalidated. Thank you.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

associated with the Sunnyside Chamber of Commerce for 20 years as past Executive Director. I'm now Executive Director of Clean Streets for All, which we seem to have about 12,000 people. I generally want to leave property owners alone, because it's a fundamental right, but what's happened now is the deck is so stacked in favor of the real estate lobby, everything is warped. Today, it's Mr. Banks. glad he testified, but it's Mr. Banks, the rest of us, or Pat or Patricia. They've gotten-- they've played a long, slow, brilliant game. They've gotten 421A, MIH, BSA, wide swath rezoning, BIDs that are completely supported by small business, and keeping this bill out of-- from the floor for 32 years bound up in the closet. So, they're wining. So, this is not we need a big fair thing, and everybody hears from everybody. We need something and we need it New York is going down the tubes. businesses are struggling, and I feel like they're struggling for no reason. We lost 40 businesses. All of them was because the rents were too high. went from 1,500 to 15,000 even after they put in 200,000 dollars' worth of work in two years. they're saying today this is sort of out of the, you

_ _

know, wheelhouse of City Council. Well, how come these other bills were in the wheelhouse of Albany and New York? You guys take power and make this happen for us. You're our leaders. You can be like when they killed Tammany Hall finally with Roosevelt and Fiorello La Guardia. Help us and go down in history as the people who saved New York. We're begging you.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you.

LAUREN GARDNER: Hi. My name is Lauren

Gardner, and I own-- co-own and co-run two businesses
in Manhattan. I wanted to come and talk to you today
as someone who runs these businesses so I can give
you my opinion on what it's been like. Both of these
businesses, one of them is called the School for
Poetic Computation, and it's located in the West

Village. The other one is called Baby Castles, and
we're a cultural space. We're located on 14th Street
in Chelsea. Both of them started as ideas and really
as projects by artists and educators. They started in
other spaces, but then they were able to move into
their own spaces when there was enough demand for the
services that these organizations offered. Through
the ability to have our own space, it's allowed us to

2 make a much bigger impact, period, both

3 organizations. Both organizations are recognized

4 globally. For example, currently Baby Castles is the

5 capstone to an exhibit in London's Victoria and

6 Albert Museum, and we were curated for our

7 | contribution to contemporary video game culture over

8 | the last eight years, and that would not have

9 happened if we did not have a space in Manhattan. We

10 were able to make those kind of cultural

11 | contributions because we could hold events and people

12 | could talk to each other face-to-face and interact

13 | with their art and the words that they were saying,

14 | what they were teaching, and just the differences.

15 So, both spaces are definitely incubators. That word

16 has been tossed around a lot, and that's true, I see

17 | that. It's an incubator for artists, for teachers,

18 | and definitely entrepreneurs. Both spaces believe in

19 paying artists and teachers a living New York City

20 wage, which is not easy. Seventy percent of all the

21 | ticket sales of Baby Castles actually go directly to

22 artists. Over 60 percent of the tuition that we

23 charge for School for Poetic Computation goes back to

24 | teachers. Our rates are actually higher than the

adjunct rates that are paid by NYU. Renegotiation,

2	so last week I had to renegotiate the rent at one of
3	the spaces. I went in, and they asked for a 200
4	percent increase. This is in addition to the space
5	improvements for HVAC that we would have to pay that
6	represent 30 percent of our yearly operating budget.
7	That amount of money took us three years to save.
8	We've only been in that space for three years. We
9	were able to renegotiate a rent for another three
10	years, but I'm not really sure what's going to happer
11	after that. I know that my time is running out, but
12	I wanted to give you a few points that concerned me
13	from other people talking. The comment about
14	desolate blocks on Wythe: Baby Castles was on Kent
15	which is one block over in 2011 for two years, and we
16	were there as part of 285 Kent. There are also four
17	other cultural spaces that shred that space. So,
18	what desolate looks like to one group, maybe real
19	estate investors, looks like, you know, an
20	opportunity for entrepreneurship for others. Pop-up
21	spaces aren't solution. One of my previous students
22	from last year had to rent out a pop-up space for
23	what he's actually I don't know if you're familiar
24	with the new museum has an incubator project for
25	artist entrepreneurs. He had to rent out a space to

2 have his showcase. It cost 10,000 dollars for a 3 three-week rental on the Lower East Side. That's not 4 sustainable. Another example is when Jim was up 5 here, and his example of renegotiation for his space 6 in Brooklyn is not mine. Right? His-- and I want 7 this to really be acknowledge, that his comes from a place of privilege. So, I understand that 737 is 8 maybe not ideal, but access to an arbiter would help 9 us advocate for ourselves, and that's not anything 10 that we've had in the past. I've been eight years as 11 12 a member and organizer of Baby Castles, and in those eight years we've had to move five times. 13 during -- and I think that that's talked about like 14 15 Jeremiah Moses, like, absolutely said how much stress 16 that causes for an organization. During that time we 17 became a nonprofit. We've been moving forward, but 18 to think about the time lost and having just to move our organization and still exist, and what we could 19 20 have been doing to strengthen ourselves and our community as a business is frustrating. So, I thank 21 2.2 you very much for your time today, and I hope you can 23 move this forward.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you, Lauren.

LARUEN GARDNER: Thank you.

24

2 BRENT O'LEARY: Hello, my name is Brent 3 O'Leary, and I'm a son of a small business owner from Queens, and I'm here to tell you what you've been 4 5 hearing, that we are in crisis. I'm walking around 6 the neighborhoods and there are so many empty 7 storefronts popping up left and right, and it's because the owners are asked for 200 percent 8 increases, double, triple. And where did that come 9 I mean, there hasn't been inflation. 10 hasn't been profits. There hasn't been anything. 11 12 So, I mean, what we're saying is as a small business 13 owner, how can you say I'm going to rent a place or 14 I'm going to invest in equipment if you know that 15 they can raise it double or triple the next year. 16 mean, we just can't do that. And these are 17 businesses that are not being forced out because 18 they're doing badly. There's the demand there. it's not online retail. They still have the 19 20 customers. They still have the people coming, but if your profit margin is 20 percent, and your rent is 21 2.2 doubled, now you're not profitable. Now you got to 23 go find someplace else. And as you said, a local business can't do that, because you know, we rely on 24

our customers. We rely on the people we know and the

21

2.2

23

24

25

you.

2 environment that we made, and the reputation that we made in that neighborhood. So, we're asking for your 3 4 help, and I just want to say that, you know, this 5 also has a ripple effect. It's not just the small business owner. You know, it's all the employees he 6 7 has working for them. It's all their families. 8 mean, this is crippling neighborhoods. You know, small -- as you know, small business is the biggest 9 producer of jobs in New York, and we need them to be 10 a healthy New York City. Small business is the 11 12 lifeblood and I's really the gateway for the American 13 dream for a lot of us, especially our immigrant community. So, we're here, and we thank you for 14 15 staying for the seven hours and listening to us, and 16 we'll work with you. We're asking you to pass this. 17 It's not the silver bullet. We have that, but it's the start. It's the beginning. It's a framework on 18 which we can base it. We'll deal with the vacancy 19

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you, Brent.
Brent, just a question for you. What type of
business do you operate?

penalties. We'll deal with everything else, but we

need this now to put everything else in place.

_

3

4

Ī

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Z ()

21

22

23

24

25

BRENT O'LEARY: Well, my father was a pub owner and I was an attorney.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Just want to

reiterate the importance and the significance for me personally that our commercial corridors remain viable. They make up the neighborhoods. Without them not only do they create local jobs, but they provide a place to live and raise a family. convenience of being able to walk from your home to an establishment makes for a solid neighborhood, and I agree with you, we have to do something. We have so much to embrace as we make the next steps possible, but our small businesses are the-- the future of this city is in the hands of the small businesses that we need to be supportive of. So, thank you again for your time and your duration and your patience. Councilman Yeger would like to ask a question.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman. This really is just a statement for Ms.

Gardner, just to let you know, I appreciate your

frustration and I'm sure the entire Council does

about what it took to get to this point, but it's

also important to give credit where credit is due.

Τ	COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS 3/4
2	Our Speaker's leadership is what brought this to a
3	hearing today, and you know, Speaker Johnson has
4	recognized that this is an important issue and that
5	there is a crisis in New York City, and that's why
6	he's brought this. So, although you do have concerns
7	about how long it's taken to get here, and I'm not
8	looking for a place in the history book at all, but
9	Speaker Johnson has brought this to where we are
10	today, and it's an opportunity for us to
11	PATRICIA DORFMAN: [interposing] I concur.
12	I'm just a bit too tired to

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: [interposing] Okay, fair enough. Yes, ma'am.

PATRICIA DORFMAN: I just want to say one thing now that the Chair is here. This is so important to history. It's resting on you, Chair. You sat here. I didn't see you except for just now go for a break. It's impressing us. Pass this. this. It's going to change New York forever, and you're going to get the credit. We can go on forever with the banks and their money. It's much easier to talk to them. They're very congenial. They have all the lawyers. As I say, they pass bills for the last 20 years to make this- get to this point where

survive, and we're not surviving.

they're like a giant octopus over the City.

real estate people, just we need to be able to

Thank you.

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

1213

14

1516

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And Ms. Ledger, just to be perfectly clear, I know you were referencing my comment about imminent domain

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay.

I actually do not support imminent domain for this

earlier. It was more of an academic question for me.

issue, and I just wanted to make sure that you're

of the panelists about it. It was more of a-- I don't

clear about where I was coming from. I did ask one

want to say facetious, but it was academic at least.

Mr. Kirkpatrick I have a question about some of the

things that you noted are inconsistent with State

Law, and as I've in my questions earlier to the law

students that - you know, we all recognize that we're

a creature of the State and that the State gives us

our right to operate and our right to pass laws. One

of the things I didn't notice and I wonder if can au

pine on, the statute as currently written, the

proposed bill has a notice requirements that's not

currently as best as I imagined in the Real Property

Law, the RPAPL, this 180 day notice requirement of a

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

landlords' intent. Can you au pine on whether or not that's something that conflicts with State Law?

STEVEN KIRKPATRICK: The notice issues that conflict that were as I said, the curtailing of an owner's right to terminate month-to-month tenancies and tenancies at will. We did not see a specific conflict with the 100-day notice provision by itself, but that is tied to other provisions such as the arbitration where there are conflicts.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Do you see manner that a bill would accomplish what this bill clearly intends to accomplish that would be lawful, or is it your opinion and the City Bar's Opinion that without an authorizing law from the state, there is simply no opportunity for this even to move forward.

STEVEN KIRKPATRICK: We looked at this bill. We looked at both the original Intro. 737 and then the 737A. So that's really what we did, and we don't have-- it wasn't really our role to come up with ways that the bill could be fixed to say simply, but we looked at this bill and wrote a report on the existing bill.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Thank you, sir. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: So much for that.

Thank you again for your time. With a show of hands,

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Councilman Powers?

been a long night, so I'm going to actually refrain from asking questions. I just wanted to say thank you to everybody who's been here for a very, very long day, and I wanted to just recognize both colleagues who have been here from the beginning of this, for sitting here through a long day, but also just recognize everybody's who's here and thank you for sitting through a very long hours of testimony to have your voices heard here as well. Thank you to the Chair.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you,

Councilman. I believe we all agree that these

protections should be afforded to small businesses

and not corporate America, that we shouldn't be

affording this type of protection to Fortune 500

companies. Is that the sentiment amongst all of you?

PATRICIA DORFMAN: I think everybody—that'll shake out on its own. I don't have any problem with Rite Aid subject to the same thing as his pub.

3

4

-

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

I believe everybody on the left side, six people-seven, one, two, three, four, five-- let's have the
last seven come up, please.

UNIDENTIFIED: [off mic]

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: We're not going anywhere. I thought that was it. We're not done, no. We'll being from the left. Press the button to be heard.

LAURA TRAVERS: Good evening. So, my name is Laura Travers [sic]. I'm a small business owner coming to testify why I think the Small Business Job Survival Act should be enacted for the greater good of all New Yorkers. My business is a small neighborhood bar which I built and established in 2005. It has been successful since then at providing an income to me, my six bartenders, and just as importantly, enriching the life of our regulars who have come to regard it as a home away from home to meet and exchange news and ideas among themselves. Indeed, small businesses do more than provide an income to their owner and their employees, which in the case of my business provides income varying from 40 to 50 dollars per hour to each bartender employees, and business to many vendors,

2 wholesalers, of course, but also independent businesses such as our exterminator, or BLN [sic] 3 cleaner, our bookkeeper, our handy man, our 4 refrigeration maintenance, etcetera, all of whom get 5 paid between 50 and 150 dollars per hour for their 6 7 expertise. They also contribute to humanize our daily life, as over the years they continue to cater to 8 their customers in the neighborhood. Yet, for all 9 their contribution to society, economically and 10 socially, retail businesses can be single-handedly 11 12 run out of business should a landlord decide to increase their rent by double digit or sometimes even 13 14 triple digit when their lease is up. Currently, when 15 their lease is up for renewal, the shop owner has no 16 right whatsoever. It is as if he or she had never 17 been a tenant in the building. I am lucky to have a 18 good relationship with my landlord, and I hence know all about her concerns. Since I have signed my lease 19 20 in 2005, the real estate taxes she pays on her building have increased an average by 6.5 percent per 21 2.2 year, and her rate for water and sewer has increased 23 on average by 7.5 percent per year. My business pay parts of this bills, but one has to note that these 24 25 increases are higher than inflation. The Small

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

1415

16

17

1819

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Business Job Survival Act would allow true arbitration landlords and shop owners to negotiate a rent increase which is fair to both sides. It is hard to understand why anyone would oppose it. Thank you for your time and attention to my testimony, and wishing you a nice evening.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you, ma'am.

MANNY GOMEZ: Good evening. My name is

LAURA TRAVERS: You're welcome.

Manny Gomez, former President of Sunnyside Chamber of Commerce and currently Chairman of the Chamber of Commerce. Thank you so much for your time. I guess I just want to speak from the heart, and I mean, I know there's so much statistics that happen in our community. I've been living in the community for the past 30 years and to come into a place that is so vibrant and when you get to know the owner's name and they get to know you and you have that relationship, we call it in the Sunnyside Chamber of Commerce, a small town in a big city, and that's on our logo that we always put out there, and that's how we feel, that really a small town in a big city. and what we're seeing right now is that that's changing, and it hurts so much to hear every business owner saying

2.2

23

24

25

that okay, they might -- right now they might be on-you know, doing business, but they maybe in the next maybe few months they're saying, "Are we going to make it or not? What's going to happen next, you know, when my lease ends?" You know? So, I know everybody has been talking about also the vacancies that we also see in our neighborhood, when we see those stores like our theater that went away, our awesome dentist that was there also for more than 25 years, beauty salons. And so it hurts to see that now, that majority of these businesses are not there, are no longer there, you know, and why is that they're no longer in there? And that's because the majority-- the main reason in here is because of the rent increase. That's totally-- we know that that's a fact. And also, when we see a lot of vacancies in our neighborhoods, you know, -- I know a lot of people coming from [inaudible] but sometimes they-- I don't think they-- they should act a little bit more and get involved into it. And one of the reasons that we see is that there was this current property, our theater was and here the dentist was and another restaurant and pop, it was -- it's been vacant for the past probably more than five years. It sold for

2 seven million dollars and now they haven't put-there hasn't been anybody in there. What they're 3 doing right now is they're bringing film-makers to 4 get the money. They're getting paid very good amount 5 of money. These film-makers, of course, they don't 6 7 bring anything into the community. They don't actually use our business, you know, restaurants or 8 anything out of there. They take all our parking 9 spaces away. So it makes it very stressful to the 10 businesses, you know, when we see that this is 11 12 happening around, you know, the different corners and 13 blocks in Sunnyside and Woodside. So, I think when 14 they were talking about, you know, okay, yes, if 15 there's 20 percent-- even let's say that it's not 20 16 percent. Let's say that it's 15 percent because they 17 say, "Oh, that's too high, that New York Times maybe 18 didn't facts and they cannot find that survey." But like somebody said before, "Are we blind? We cannot 19 20 see?" It has to be somebody -- come on, don't insult my intelligence. There is a big problem right now 21 2.2 out there, and why are we not taking action? 23 right now the Small Business Job Survival Act is just lagging and lagging and it's not -- it's time for take 24 25 some action and to pass this law. Thank you.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you, Manny, thank you.

AYISHA OGLIVIE: Hello. My name is Ayisha

Oglivie. I'm a long-term, life-long resident of New York City, a member of Community Board 12 of Manhattan, going on six years currently. I'm the Chair of Housing and Human Services for my district, a technology trainer, a developer, and the very proud older sister of an Inwood, Manhattan business owner, Yousef Meyers [sp?]. My testimony is about what I have witnessed. My brother, the son of a mother immigrant from Panama, and a father grandchild of sharecroppers, after working long hours for years as a trainer for major fitness chains to raise sufficient funds to open his business, prior to opening he made such a great impact that he was selected as a physical trainer for an MTV special, has been features in many national magazines. business could have garnered attention in any community with his track record and results, but wanted to serve the community which he was born in and so began Pure Results Extreme, known widely as PRX, at 4875 Broadway. In a dilapidated, vacant, old carpet store which required a high investment of over

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

200,000 dollars for him to transform it into a stateof-the-art fitness facility. That was unfortunately the best he could do considering most retail in our community, our low to moderate income community, goes for tens of thousands of dollars per month for little to no square footage, and is always rising as turnover increases. It was a move that almost derailed his business before even starting. Starting is only half the battle. New York Presbyterian, for instance, attempted to raise the rent on Coogan's Bar which has been in business for 32 years to the tune of 40,000 dollars per month early this year before elected [sic] stepped in offering a rare opportunity of defending it from closure. The 31-year owner of Carrot Top Bakery, also a tenant of New York Presbyterian and Washington Heights, Renee Mansino [sp?] committed suicide in her bakery in 2014. husband stated that the threat of losing her business due to negotiations that were egregious to the tune of 10,000 more per month on top of what they already paid pushed her over the edge. Yet, Cushman & Wakefield, New York Presbyterian's management sits here today claiming rent hikes aren't what is pushing commercial tenants out. They sat here and lied

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

because leading us to believe that helps their bottom lines and that of their properties that they manage-the owners of properties that they manage. As an advocate I've witnessed Columbia University push out a small business that had invested about 200,000 to rehab a location near me within a couple of years of them beginning their lease. Last I checked, these institutions are billion-dollar institutions that receive billions even from our government to operate. They're not suffering. The store I mentioned has been replaced with a store that charges much more for the food it sells. The lack of protections also impacts residents, making neighborhoods unaffordable as the rents are passed through to the mark-up of goods. However, the choice and determination made by my brother to invest his life savings and sweat into PRX and complete that vision has however reverberated to create a ripple of positive changes for many thousands of people. So I'm going to-- very quickly. He served over 10,000 members in Greater New York, 123 bi-weekly PRX 14-day challenges, a total of a combined 100,000+ pounds being lost collectively in our community, and I know obesity is something that our city and state wants to address right now.

2 There's 60 percent of people are either overweight or 3 obese in our state. So, PRX is only one of the many 4 micro-businesses that deserve protection from the 5 SBJSA, and it only begins to address it. I keep hearing today how difficult the task is to strike a 6 7 balance for landlords and small businesses. Someone mentioned the writing on the ceiling earlier. 8 Another says, "Our commercial policy should hold an 9 equal and impartial hand." Something I find 10 difficult to accept is that for too long landlords 11 12 alone have had it too easy. It's been too easy to 13 push out the value and culture in our city that we 14 love. At this point, it's not a matter of necessity, 15 but of justice, that we implement the appropriate 16 protections for micro-businesses. I humbly ask that 17 this Council work to not only pass but also consider 18 any additional measures that can be included to protect the New York City culture, businesses and 19 20 organizations from being pushed out. The question posed in this hearing should not be if, but why it 21

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you, Ayisha.

AYISHA OGLIVIE: Thank you.

has taken 30 years to do so.

24

2.2

23

DAVID MULKINS: Hi, my name is David

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

2.2

23

24

25

Mulkins. I'm a 25-year public high school history teacher, and I'm the President of the Bowery Alliance of Neighbors. When I was growing up the image I had of landlords was the kindly Fred and Ethel Mertz on the "I Love Lucy Show." When I moved here in 1983, my landlords were actually like the Mertzes, a little cranky, but kind, and they actually came around in person whenever you signed a lease renewal. recent decades, the face of real estate has changed dramatically as corporations and giant LLCs swallow up buildings and corner the market on commercial as well as residential properties. Increasingly, there are no faces attached to these owners, and real estate speculation coupled with this vicious Kafkaesque world that sees only numbers rather than human beings makes it real easy for big real estate without a moment's hesitation to double or triple rents, especially on their commercial properties. About 10 years ago, my friend Mike Schumacher whose family owned and owns the Second Avenue Supermarket called the New Yorker and has served the community for 34 years was shocked to find that his landlord, New York University, an institution of higher

1
_

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

learning, was doubling their rent. Eventually, after a groundswell of support from the neighborhood and Council Member Rosie Mendez, NYU finally lowered their demand and gave the Schumacher's a more reasonable rent. Less fortunate, about eight years ago, Edwin Medina, who ran what we considered to have been the last bodega on the Bowery, told me that his landlord was going to triple his monthly rent. been there 20 years, installed a real cool neon sign, served delicious Latin hot plates and hoped to pass the business on to his son. Goodbye, Mr. Medina. There are thousands of stories just like this, and most do not end well. Small businesses desperately need to be protected now. The City Council has been sitting on this bill for 30 years. It's time to act. Protect our small businesses, give them a leg to stand on, and please vote to pass the Small Business Job Survival Act. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you, David.

NICK: Good evening. Thank you, Mr.

Gjonaj and all your colleagues for giving us the chance to speak to you. My name is Nick and my small business is a yoga and meditation studio in Astoria,

Queens, and I'll tell you, I really like being a

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

small business owner. I like being unique, and I like being one-of-a-kind, and you can mark my words right now, I'm never going to expand my business to become a chain or a franchise. And I'll tell you this, too, I run my small business not because I'm trying to get rich. I really don't have any kind of addiction to money. I've got my yoga. I've got my meditation. I've got deep breathing, and I have plenty to make me happy that doesn't involve money. I know it sounds crazy, but it's really true. But what I do need in this life is I really need respect. I think everyone needs and deserves respect. Now, I had a situation where I tried to open a second yoga studio in West Harlem, not a cookie-cutter from the one I have in Astoria, totally different yoga studio. But when I opened that studio, during our first months of winter our landlord didn't turn on our heating. We couldn't operate as a yoga studio without building heat. of course, I reached out to him. I was in good standing. I always paid my rent on time, and I reached out to him to turn on the building heat, and he ignored us. So, of course, my next step was to reach out to the City, and I said, "Listen, I've got this boiler situation. We don't have building heat.

2 Can you send HPD to come in and fix this?" And they 3 told me that because it was a commercial building there's no agency in place to move in and make a 5 material repair. The only way to get that heating fixed was for us to take our landlord to court and 6 7 assert our rights under the Non-Residential Anti-Harassment Bill. it was mentioned about 36 hours ago 8 earlier today, and that Anti-Harassment Bill does 9 require a landlord to provide a commercial tenant 10 with heat, but before we could even get to the steps 11 12 of the courthouse our landlord made it very clear to 13 us that whether we won or lost in court, it didn't matter to him, he would not renew our lease as a sort 14 15 of retaliation against us for taking him to court. 16 And that's when it dawned on me that nothing is as 17 valuable to a commercial tenant as lease protection, 18 not a tax break, not a reduction in storefront size. Nothing protects a small business tenant like the 19 20 lease. I would gladly give up a tax break for lease protection. I will gladly give up storefront size 21 2.2 regulation for lease protection. It's the only thing 23 that matters. And I'll tell you this, too, large corporate chain businesses, they don't have this 24 25 problem. They've got the resources and they've got

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

1516

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

the money, maybe through their own money addiction, whatever. They've got all that money and resources and help to fix these problems themselves, but for someone in my situation who likes being a small business owner who wants to have a unique, one-of-akind thing that visitors in New York City cannot get anywhere else but in Astoria, Queens, my yoga studio. For someone like me-- Yoga Gorda [sic], by the way, 33rd and Broadway-- but for someone like me in the situation and in the lifestyle that I want to live just providing yoga and meditation to a community, there's nothing that can protect me other than lease protection, and that's why I jumped on the bandwagon of SBJSA. And I'll tell you, my personal experience, nothing could be more valuable, and I hope that you'll all agree and take some sympathy and compassion on me. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you, Nick.

CHRISTIAN EMANUEL: Hello. My name is

Christian Emanuel. I live in Brooklyn, and I would

just like to thank Mr. Chairman, Councilman Yeger,

and Councilman Powers if you're back there still, for

sticking with us this evening. This is really

special, and democracy in action, and all-star cast

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

towards the end here of small business owners. so I just wanted to start by reading from Colston Whitehead [sp?]: "No matter how long you have been here, you are a New Yorker the first time you say, that used to be Munsies [sp?], or that used to be the Tick-Tock Lounge. Before the internet café plugged itself in, you got your shoes resoled in the mom and pop operation that used to be there. You're a New Yorker when what was there before is more real and solid than what is here now." And I'm here because my parents couldn't be here. They could have probably driven in today. My parents operated an insurance brokerage in Long Island City, Queens for 30 years until they were evicted. And I stuck it out for my parents tonight, because they, you know, they stuck it out for a long time there. First, on Astoria Boulevard, then in Queens Plaza twice, my parents' insurance brokerages employed scores of Oueens' residents over the decades from career employees to local high school kids coming by to work a few hours after class, and yes, my sister and I did summer stints there on what was our family farm. So, don't forget there are businesses upstairs, too.

It's not just the storefronts that provide jobs for

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

New Yorkers. Look upstairs, there are people working very hard, and they're supporting other businesses, too. After their office building sold for 15 million and then 30 million in the same year, we knew something was up. Now, a New York City landmark, thanks to help from Councilman Van Bramer's office and a large amount of community support for land marking the building, the Queens Plaza Clock Tower was prime for redevelopment in 2014. Its small businesses including immigration law firms, labor unions, you name it, it could not be saved even by city landmark status. So when people from REBNY come up here and tell you that vacancy doesn't count for nothing, don't listen to them. Because delivered vacant is a very powerful term in real estate. group of real estate developers, including a man who was later referred to in the media as a "one-time consiglieri of Fred and Trump" and "Paul Manafort's real estate fixer" had the building cleared out on questionable terms, but in short order. They lied to They lied to us and told us they were converting it to residential. So that was a terminate lease. They could kick us out easier said than done. Okay, they're gone, but it's being converted back to an

2.2

23

24

25

2 office building. And so talking about all these vacant store fronts, it's only the tip of the 3 4 iceberg. We have to, have to come back to those 5 office jobs, to those people for who their small business is everything. It's their lifeblood. It's 6 what they live and breathe for and it's what supports the community even with the two employees that my 8 parents had for over the years. And so today's an 9 important day because members of the City Council can 10 start to think about who they really serve. Are we 11 12 here for REBNY, because sometimes in New York State it seems that way, and I'm a REBNY member? I pay dues 13 for that sort of display, and it sort of disgusts me. 14 15 Or are we here for the people of the City of New 16 York, for the small business owners upstairs, downstairs, storefront, immigrant, third generation, 17 18 are we here for them? That's a decision that needs to be made in City Council, because we're all here 19 20 and we're ready to take over. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Christian, thank you for that very passionate testimony. Like yourself, I always thought and believed that small businesses were a generational thing that you're supposed to pass on the trade and keep it going, that there was

COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS

2.2

something tangible with a small business, that
someday when you choose to retire, that retirement
cash-in was when you sold your business. It doesn't
happen nowadays. And that means that we've
undermined the longevity of our small businesses.
So, I agree with you wholeheartedly that we must come
up with a comprehensive way to protect our small
businesses no matter what they are and where they are
because it's the survivability of this city that's at
stake. So, thank you. I don't know if you have anyI want to thank you for your time, and I'm grateful
to you, and entrepreneurs stick it out to the very
end.

UNIDENTIFIED: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you. Can we call up the last one or two? Three.

HELENE STORGEN: I can't read it. [off mic] This working? Okay. I can barely read this, and at this point, this late in the evening I barely want to hear myself. My name is Helene Storgen [sp?] and for 44 years I was the owner of Clayworks Pottery in the East Village. My store was forced to close over a year ago when the building was bought by a predatory landlord. Clayworks was a modestly

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

successful business. I have the same philosophy as Nick from yoga, so I understand exactly what he's talking about. We were a good tenant. We had good relationships with the landlord and with the community, but when the building got bought it was like an alternative universe. We were harassed. were intimidated and threatened, and then taken to court and booted out. There was never any attempt to work with me on anything, no willingness to negotiate or offer me a new lease, or even respond even though there was language in my lease that said that it had to at least had an offer, which is why we went to court. And by the way, within a year of purchasing that building, during which time I was forced out, the landlord declared bankruptcy and the building went into foreclosure. So, in one year he blew through that building because he was doing exactly what the previous fellow talked about, deliver vacant. He thought he could buy the building, dump everybody out, and deliver that building, either flip it or turn it into condos or something. And so he was totally uninterested in doing anything working with me or doing anything. The store's been empty for a year now, and again, I would ask how does that

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

make any sense to benefit anybody to have been in since I've been gone. I'm also here-- and I may be the only small business who actually was booted who came to represent people who lost their businesses as opposed to still having a business, so I have to say this is really painful for me, for a lot of reasons, for all the hours, but it's really painful to be sitting here and wanting to participate in this as a business and not being able to. So I feel like I'm representing my fellow business owners who couldn't be here because after all, I got nothing to do. out of a business. But I'd like to thank Jeremiah Moss for bringing something up about how this feels. I didn't want to come here today. I had to be talked It's really painful. It's painful for me. into it. It's like ripping a scar off of a wound that's barely healed. There's a human cost to this. I feel like you can count the empty stores. You can quantify the loss of revenue and taxes, but what about the entrepreneurs who were forced out to give out their dreams and forced out of their businesses. They sort of disappear into the night, never see them again. mean, not everybody flinks [sic] off, but I don't know where a lot of people are that I knew from my 44

2	years of being in the East Village who were forced
3	out. But I can tell you for me that my life was
4	shattered. I will never be the same person. With
5	Clayworks I was productive both creatively and
6	fiscally. Clayworks and I both contributed to the
7	East Village economy. Now I'm unemployed. I have
8	income. I have no job. I'm no longer productive. I
9	will never be able to be a potter again. That's what
10	I was, the thing that defines me. I got to watch my
11	kilns, my studio equipment, my unfinished work all
12	get sledgehammered into a dumpster. I feel like I
13	lost my identity. If I were a younger woman, perhaps
14	I'd start over. At this stage of my life it's
15	neither realistic, nor practical. I am collateral
16	damage. I don't want to see anyone else go through
17	what I've been through. Small businesses are one of
18	the most valuable resources a city possesses. They
19	give a neighborhood its identity and cache. They
20	make it a desirable place to live. They add value to
21	real estate. Because of this, I really feel
22	landlords and business owners ought to be working
23	together as a partnership. It's in the best interest
24	of both groups, that they thrive and that they're
25	successful. In order to do that, there needs to be a

fairer more level playing field. You have the power to make a start out that, by passing the SBJSA. Make landlords come to the table. Reign in these avaricious, unrealistic rent expectations. Don't allow unscrupulous landlords to play monopoly with people's lives. It's one step. It's a first step. This bill's been kicking around since 1988. Well, now is the time. Pass the bill. I also want to say one little thing. Pop-up stores are not the solution. In fact, they are the Airbnb of retail. They add nothing to the neighborhood, nothing to the fabric of society, and they prevent landlords from treating [sic] with us. Thank you so very much for staying here this late and for listening to me.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: Thank you, ma'am.
You may not want to leave yet. We may ask you a
question.

PAMELA DAYTON: Hello, my name is Pamela
Dayton. I am supporting the Small Business Job
Supporting Act through SaveNYC and my own personal
experience. I am part of the Broadway community via
on tour and on Broadway and the producers. I
participated in collecting signatures and petitions.
I did a sit-in, a cash mob at what should have been

2	made a cultural institution not torn down, the Café
3	Edison, known as the Polish Tea Room, subject of the
4	Neil Simon's play "45 Seconds of Broadway," where for
5	over 40 years myself and the theater community
6	enjoyed great soup and affordable food. But no
7	matter what we did, it still closed. But the worst
8	part, the storefront remained empty for years to
9	come, and due to my research and watching the
. 0	speculation and many other places in Times Square
.1	wait for the hyper-gentrification of that area which
.2	happened so they could raise the rent and open with a
. 3	very generic place. Since then, as it's been said
. 4	over and over again, everywhere you look including
.5	Alec Baldwin even saying on his "Here's the Thing,"
. 6	what has happened to my New York? Everywhere are
.7	empty storefronts and every single place around the
. 8	City. The worst case I have seen so far and heard
. 9	personally is the Pearl River Mart in Chinatown.
20	They paid one month's rent normally of 100,000
21	dollars a month. Their new lease went up to 500,000
22	dollars a month. That's half a million dollars. Is
23	that reasonable? We need a checks and balance system
24	for REBNY and mediators to face the landlord to sit
25	down and negotiate. The Small Business Job Survival

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

1718

19

20

21

22

24

25

Act will start that, but as we said, they're now under LLCs. Personally, I had-- I sent a certified mail 23 times to my landlord who always said he never got it. I had to show up in person. They now are disappearing under post office boxes. They are not accountable. It is becoming harder and harder for anybody to actually find the actual person to deliver the actual papers. So this is affecting everybody and everything, and the-- I also have some numbers. I have-- it could have prevented over 1,400 commercial businesses close each month, 70,000 and 90,000 of them small business. These are the latest statistics on 2017, estimated 560,000 to 720,000 lost jobs. Don't you think that has a trickle-down effect to housing, to everything in this city? Please take into consideration to pass the Small Business Jobs Survival Act. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: I want to thank you for your passionate testimony. I want to thank all of you that endured the eight hours. Your testimony, I assure you, does not fall on deaf ears. This Council is committed in making sure that we come up with a comprehensive, proactive approach for our small businesses today and tomorrow. Thank you so much.

1	COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS	402
2	PAMELA DAYTON: Thank you.	
3	CHAIRPERSON GJONAJ: This hearing is	
4	officially adjourned.	
5	[gavel]	
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
	П	

COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS

${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date November 20, 2018