CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

LAND USE SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISING

----X

March 18, 2009 Start: 1:25pm Recess: 2:20pm

HELD AT: Council Chambers

City Hall

B E F O R E:

TONY AVELLA Chairperson

## COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Simcha Felder
Julissa Ferreras
Eric N. Gioia
Melinda R. Katz
Helen Sears
Albert Vann

## A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

John Young
Director
Queens Office of the Department of City Planning

Helen Marshall President Borough of Queens

Arthur Tyler President Jackson Heights Elmhurst Kehillah

Marta Lebreton Community Board Member, Resident Community Board Three, North Corona

David Rosario
Community Board Member, Real Estate Investor
Community Board Three, North Corona

Art Aguilar Senior Planner New York City Economic Development Corporation

Ethan Goodman Attorney Wachtel and Masyr

Ava Harel Owner Olive Tree Café

CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: Good

afternoon, everyone, I'd like to call this meeting
of the Zoning and Franchising Subcommittee to
order. And this is one of the few times I say,
"Good afternoon," as opposed to "Good morning."
And I appreciate my colleagues accommodating the
time change. I'm joined by members of the
committee, Council Member Simcha Felder, Al Vann,
Helen Sears, Melinda Katz; and also we're joined
by our newest, one of our newest Council Members,
Julissa Ferreras. The first item on the agenda is
the North Corona 2 Rezoning, C090112ZMQ. And we
have City Planning to make the presentation. The
application is within our newest council member's
district. And I know we also have Borough, Queens
Borough President Helen Marshall to testify
immediately after City Planning gives its report.
JOHN YOUNG: Good afternoon, Chair
Avella, Chair Katz, council members, ladies and
gentlemen. I'm John Young, and I'm the Director
of the Queens Office of the Department of City
Planning. And on behalf of City Planning Director
Amanda Burden, I'm pleased to be here this
afternoon to present the Department's efforts to

update zoning designation for nearly 100 blocks, 2 3 generally located between Roosevelt Avenue, 4 Northern Boulevard, in the north corner neighborhood in North Central Queens. I'm joined 5 by Fred Lee, who is the Project Planner for this 6 7 important rezoning proposal. And we're handing 8 out to you a package that includes a summary of the proposal, and maps of the existing and 9 10 proposed zoning changes. The North Corona 2 11 Rezoning Proposal that is before you today, 12 culminates a more than three year effort to work 13 with a broad spectrum of neighborhood residents and stakeholders, to develop a zoning framework 14 15 that closely matches building patterns and will 16 ensure more orderly development. The Department 17 initiated this rezoning as a follow up to zoning 18 changes for North Corona that the City Council 19 adopted in September 2003. The 2003 rezoning 20 sought to direct development pressure to major thoroughfares in the area, such as Junction and 21 22 Northern Boulevards, and away from local side 23 streets. At the time of the Council's adoption of the 2003 rezoning, the Department promised to 24 25 monitor resulting new developments and recommend

additional zoning changes, if warranted, to 2 3 prevent out of character development. The current rezoning proposal fulfills that promise, as it is 4 intended to even more closely reflect the lower 5 density and one-and-two family contacts along side 6 streets, and ensure a more predictable scale of 7 development along portions of Northern and Astoria 8 The rezoning area is bounded by 9 Boulevards. 10 Roosevelt Avenue on the south, portions of Northern and Sawyer Boulevards on the north, and 11 by 114<sup>th</sup> Street, Grand Central Parkway, on the 12 east, and variously 89<sup>th</sup>, 90<sup>th</sup> and 92<sup>nd</sup> Streets on 13 14 the west. It's composed of two components: 15 lower density rezoning to rezone residential side streets, to acquire lower building heights and 16 17 implement side and front yard requirements by changing 68 blocks from R5 and R6B zones to lower 18 19 density R5 and R5 districts. This latter zoning 20 category, R5A, was not available in 2003. The second component is a contextual corridor rezoning 21 22 to rezone 34 block fronts along portions of 23 Northern and Astoria Boulevards, from R6 zoning to a contextual R6A district, to establish a firm 24 25 building height limit of 70 feet, with a maximum

street wall height of 40 to 60 feet. Let me 2 3 briefly review the basis for making these changes. The map on the right shows that on lots within the 4 R6B district, new developments have generally 5 lacked front and side yards, and have been four to 6 five stories in height. While nearby buildings 7 8 are predominately two and three stories. And we have examples from 37<sup>th</sup> Avenue, on the western end, 9 and all the way over on 93<sup>rd</sup> and 92<sup>nd</sup> Streets, on 10 the western end of the neighborhood. 11 predominately, throughout the R6B district today, 12 which extends from 34<sup>th</sup> and 35<sup>th</sup> Avenues, down to 13 Roosevelt Avenue, and then blocks to the west of 14 15 Junction Boulevard, we're proposing six areas of R5 and six areas of R5A, R5A in the northern, 16 17 eastern, central, and some of the western areas, and then R5 in the remaining ones; which will 18 19 require front yards of at least ten feet, and one 20 or two side yards totaling eight to ten feet. 21 Building heights would be reduced from 50 feet in the R6B district, to 40 feet in the R5 district, 22 23 and 35 feet in the R5A district. Overall building bulk would be reduced from a maximum floor ratio 24 25 of two in the R6B, to 1.25 in the R5 zones, and

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1.1 in the R5A districts. In particular, the area where R5A zoning is proposed would restrict housing to detached structures occupied by one or two households, to match the predominant character of these areas. And some of the photos on the board here show that the portions of 34<sup>th</sup> Avenue, where R5A is proposed, as well as the section on 91<sup>st</sup> Street, where again the wood frame houses are predominately detached structures on their own lots. Along Northern Boulevard, construction is currently being completed on a new seven story building at the southeast corner of 112<sup>th</sup> Street, that used quality housing provisions available in the R6 zoning, but R6 zoning also allows taller buildings, since it does not have a fixed height limit. The R6 district is a height factor zone, where residential or community facility uses are allowed with heights defined by a flexible sky exposure plane. The maximum floor ratio for residential uses is 2.43; while the maximum floor ratio for community facilities is 4.8. Under the proposed change from R6 to R6A on Northern and Astoria Boulevards, a consistent maximum FAR of three would be established for both residential

and community facility uses, and a maximum
building height of 70 feet would provide a
consistent scale along this corridor. The
proposal would extend an existing R6A district
along, at Junction Boulevard, along Northern
Boulevard, and up at Astoria Boulevard, in order
to curb out of character and haphazard development
that is possible under the current zoning.
Following the 2003 changes, a new seven story
building has also been completed within the R6A
district, on Junction Boulevard, that has ground
floor retail space and six floors of apartments
above. And similar density and scale would still
be allowed on Northern and Astoria Boulevards.
The North Corona 2 Rezoning Plan has been shaped
by numerous participants during its development.
I want to thank the area's dedicated residents and
civic advocates that have taken the time to
provide input into this important zoning
initiative, especially the members of community
board three Zoning and Land Use Committee.
Following the October 6 <sup>th</sup> certification of the
proposal, we're very pleased with the support we
receive from Community Board Three, which requests

that City Planning consult with the operato	rs of
the McGrath Funeral Home on $37^{\rm th}$ Avenue and	96 <sup>th</sup>
Street, $92^{nd}$ Street, to assure that the oper	ations
of businesses on the Avenue would not be ad	versely
affected. On December 17 <sup>th</sup> , Borough Preside	ent
Helen Marshall recommended support of the r	ezoning
on one condition: asking City Planning to	
reevaluate the proposed zoning on $37^{\rm th}$ Avenu	ıe in
the vicinity of $92^{nd}$ Street, again to assure	that
the operations of businesses here would not	be
adversely affected. The Planning Commissio	n
carefully considered these recommendations,	as
well as testimony from its January 21st publ	lic
hearing, and voted on February 18 <sup>th</sup> to appro	ove the
proposal with a modification to retain R6B	zoning
on four block fronts on $37^{\text{th}}$ Avenue. That w	vas the
change that had been requested by both the	
community board and the Borough President.	And
now we hope that you, too, will support thi	s well
considered initiative to reinforce the buil	d
character and development patterns in North	
Corona.	

CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: Thank you. Any questions from committee members, council

2 members, before we go into the public testimony?
3 Council Member Sears.

wanted to make a comment, thank you, that I really appreciate City Council's efforts, and our Borough President, in really looking at this. It's a good rezoning, and also recognizing, maintaining the other areas. So, I personally want to thank you because it affects our whole area there, and I thank you for doing that.

CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: Council Member Ferreras.

and good afternoon. Actually, this is my first time speaking as a council member, so--And I think it's, it's no better time or place than to be doing it in this particular. I grew up in this area, Corona's my home, and I'm very excited to see a lot of our community members here that are going to also be speaking at his hearing, in reference to this kind of down zoning. And something that many, often many of the members, while I'm out at the district, have said that it's very important. So I thank you for taking the

Helen Marshall.

2	HELEN MARSHALL: Good afternoon,
3	and I would frankly like to welcome our new City
4	Council Person, Julissa, who I've known for many,
5	many years, and we're very optimistic that we're
6	going to have a wonderful, wonderful council
7	person who is going to really represent our
8	borough. And she started working even before she
9	certified. Would you guys hurry up and give them
10	their checks? [laughs] Good afternoon, and
11	Councilman Avella, thank you for bringing this up
12	on the agenda, and I appreciate the opportunity to
13	sit here and talk. Good afternoon, I am Queens
14	Borough President Helen Marshall. The proposed
15	rezoning is a follow up of the previous rezoning
16	of North Corona, that was approved in 2003. Very
17	shortly after that approval it became clear that
18	the intention to protect the interior lower
19	density areas from out of context development,
20	were not fulfilled in all areas. After approval
21	of the rezoning in 2003, out of character
22	buildings that loomed over neighboring one and two
23	and, two family homes, was still being
24	constructed. Today, the City Council is
25	considering a proposal that makes its use of

zoning tools that were not available over five 2 3 years ago. The new contextual zoning districts do 4 much better, do a much better job of controlling the building heights and matching densities that 5 would result in new buildings, more in character 6 with the buildings that exist today. The Queens 7 8 Office of the Department of City Planning, over the course of several months and meetings in 9 10 neighborhoods, has worked tirelessly with Community Board Three area elected officials, and 11 community groups to discuss and fine tune this 12 rezoning proposal. I'd like to add here one 13 comment. The City Planning Commission is around 14 15 the corner on my same floor. They have really 16 gone out of their way to meet the approval of the 17 citizens of our borough in all areas, and they're to be commended for that. At the borough hall 18 19 hearing, the testimony received was overwhelmingly 20 in favor of the proposal; however, there was one long term property and business owner at 37th 21 Avenue and 92<sup>nd</sup> Street who raised concerns that the 22 23 rezoning as proposed would jeopardize the continuing operations of an existing business, one 24 25 that had been there for many years. Upon

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

investigation of this concern, it became apparent that the business was, has a, was a legal, nonconforming, using data back to 1930. In my recommendation, I asked the City Planning Commission to take another look at the zoning proposal for that area, and to consider if there was a modification that could be reasonably made to address this concern. The City Planning Commission reconsidered the zoning proposal for that area, and modified the proposal that would allow the existing business a modest expansion. Aside from the concerns just, just mentioned, the borough president believes the proposed rezoning currently before the City Council is reasonable and appropriate for North Corona, where I live, It does, it does address the concerns about the effects of building heights and densities on surrounding lower density areas that were raised about, about the 2003 rezoning. The Borough President commends the Oueens Office of the Department of City Planning for their diligence and hard work in crafting the North Corona 2 Rezoning. Community Board Three by a vote of, a vote of 28 to 0, and for abstain, overwhelmingly

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 15
2	approved this proposal. And I and the City
3	Council, and the City Commission, Planning
4	Commission, have recommended approval of this
5	application. I strongly urge the Land Use
6	Committee and the full City Council to approve
7	this thoughtful proposal as amended by the City
8	Planning Commission. Thank you for your
9	consideration. And if there are any questions, I
10	don't know.
11	CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: No, I think
12	you covered it, Borough President Marshall.
13	HELEN MARSHALL: Thank you.
14	CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: Thank you for
15	your testimony.
16	HELEN MARSHALL: There are other
17	members of the board that would like to speak.
18	They've registered.
19	CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: Yes, I know,
20	we have a number of people signed up to speak.
21	HELEN MARSHALL: Okay. Alright,
22	thank you very much.
23	CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: I have one
24	panel in favor, and then I have two speakers
25	signed up to speak in opposition. And I believe

Τ	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 16
2	they both represent the same interests. But the
3	first panel will be Arthur, is it Teller?
4	ARTHUR TYLER: Yes.
5	CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: Tyler? Is it-
6	-Is it Martha or Marth, because there's an A left
7	over.
8	[off mic]
9	CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: Okay, Marta
10	Lebreton. And David Rosario. [pause] As is the
11	usual custom with public testimony, it's three
12	minutes per person. We ask that you keep within
13	the timeframe. I'm not going to necessarily cut
14	somebody off in the middle, but I ask you to sort
15	of keep in with the three minutes. You can start.
16	ARTHUR TYLER: Thanks.
17	CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: Push the
18	button.
19	ARTHUR TYLER: Alright. Is that
20	working? Good. I can do that much. Well three
21	minutes is probably twice as much as I need. I'm
22	Arthur Tyler. I'm the President of the Jackson
23	Heights Elmhurst Kehillah. And we are just inside
24	the zoning area. And the nature of Jackson
25	Heights really should be preserved in Corona, and

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

zoning, the destruction of the neighborhood. 2

Buildings have gone up that really have destroyed the entire character. The houses are gone, you have these apartment buildings. They go up too fast, which makes it seem that they're not stable, and they're not structurally sound. And then, the rent is too high. So, the local residents cannot afford to pay what they're asking out there. Case in point, this down zoning would do tremendous good in the neighborhood. It would preserve a lot of homes. My neighbor decided to destroy his home by adding a third story, and destroys the character, the entire character. So I am in favor of down zoning and to assist the residents of 37<sup>th</sup> Avenue/92<sup>nd</sup> so that that could be preserved over

DAVID ROSARIO: Good afternoon, my name is Dave Rosario. I'm a community board member, number three, and also I'd like to speak on behalf of myself. I am a small real estate investor, and I have real estate assets in that community. I work with the community board very, very lot, I put so many hours, start with the first rezoning that was actually in 2003, and it

there. So I agree with that. Thank you.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

was residential, and the people, you're realizing all this illegally, because it was conducting businesses out of residential. Mrs. Marshall understood the situation, i.e., she help us to come up. And the community came up so strong that everybody start to invest in Corona. And but, actually the, as of right to start to invest so much, and it scare residential development. Even though there were as of right, it was too much to support. The, actually the, all the sewer lines, like policing schools and so on, it start to get so crowded. So, we have to make a new rezoning to alleviate these problems. And I, as a land use member, and as a landlord, and real estate owner in that community, I understand pretty much what we need and what we don't. And I'm here to support this. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: Seeing no questions, I appreciate your testimony.

MARTA LEBRETON: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: The, I have two people signed up to speak in opposition, but they both represent the same, I guess, business.

So, Sam Liebowitz and Adam Rothkrug. However,

3

4

25

since you both represent the same business, you have three minutes, you'll be counted as one.

[pause]

ADAM ROTHKRUG: No. Good 5 afternoon, Chairperson Avella, members of Council. 6 I'm here today on behalf of the owner of the 7 property at 10402 Northern Boulevard, located on 8 the south side of Northern Boulevard, at 104<sup>th</sup> 9 10 Street, proposed to be included within the North Corona rezoning, which will change the zoning of 11 the property from R6 to R6A. We believe that this 12 rezoning as it applies to this property is 13 mistimed and ill advised for a number of reasons, 14 15 including planning and economic reasons, but also in recognition of the unfair procedural history 16 17 and dramatic impact on owners such as Mr. Liebowitz here. In April of 2003, the Commission 18 19 filed the original North Corona rezoning, which 20 was a joint effort of the community board borough 21 president and the community board. In approving it, the Commission found that there were no 22 23 residential uses fronting on wide streets in Corona, and the Commission stated that the 24

rezoning was needed to support future residential

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and mixed residential retail developments along these corridors. The proposed R6 zoning along Northern Boulevard was designed to encourage residential towers on large lots, and to foster new opportunities for residential community facilities and mixed use developments. The result of the rezoning expectedly led to increases in real estate values and asking prices. And of course transactions of, in the real estate market take time to put together. In the case of the property in question, contracts for the two parcels in questions were executed in April of '06 and June of '07, and closings took place thereafter, without any knowledge of this follow To the shock of the owner in the fall of 2008, the CPC announced certification of the North Corona 2 rezoning, a substantial overhaul of the original zoning within a very brief span of five years with no advance notice to affected property owners, and no availability or relief for property owners who purchased land in the interim based on the new zoning and without knowledge. On top of the rezoning, of course, are the general market conditions, which caused a decrease in the value

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

as well as an inability to obtain financing. Liebowitz actually has a full set of approved drawings and was ready to proceed, but the financial markets locked up. The impact of the proposed rezoning is a decrease in the permitted floor area from 4.8 to 3.0, a decrease of almost 40 percent. The, interestingly a lot of the arguments about the zoning along Northern Boulevard have to do with the height limitation that will be imposed by the R6, but Mr. Liebowitz's building, as well as other buildings planned on Northern Boulevard, actually meet the R6A height regulation, but they can accommodate the extra floor area. At this time, we're requesting that the current proposal be modified to retain an R6 district along the southerly side of Northern Boulevard, between 104th Street and 112<sup>th</sup> Street. This area's marked by underdeveloped properties and vacant lots, with little to no new residential commercial construction. Development will take place within the bulk allowed by the existing R6 district. And there's no evidence to support the notion the R6 zoning would lead to towers, which don't appear to be economically

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

viable in this area. Limiting the R6 to the south side of Northern Boulevard makes sense from a land use perspective in that buildings on the south side of the street will have, not have substantial shadow impacts on the surrounding residential community, and the proposed R6 will be adjacent to an existing R5 district, which is a predominant district along the southerly side of Northern Boulevard, as opposed to the lower density R4 district which predominates along the north side of Northern Boulevard. From an economic point of view, the R6 zoning is required to spur investment along Northern Boulevard. We had tried to reach out to Councilman Ferreras. I'm sure she has a lot to do as being a new council person, but we do hope to meet with her before this comes to a vote before the full Committee.

CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: Did you, I assume you gave this same sort of testimony before the Community Board and City Planning?

ADAM ROTHKRUG: Actually, by the time it got to the Community Board, my, my client, who is a active real estate broker in this area, was not even aware of it. So, we weren't even on

2	ADAM	ROTHKRUG:	I did.	Thank <sup>1</sup>	you.
---	------	-----------	--------	--------------------	------

CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: Seeing no one else signed up to speak on this item, we'll close the public hearing and move on to the next item.

Which is the Broadway Plaza applications,

C080014MMX, C090146ZMX, and C090147PPX. Call up the applicant to make the presentation. This project lies within Council Member Koppell's district. [pause] Right?

ART AGUILAR: Good afternoon,
council members. My name is Art Aguilar for the
New York City Economic Development Corporation.
I'm going to give just a quick introduction before
the team members--

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: [off mic] Can I have your [tapping] - - conversations with [blank] out there to the members of the Council [blank]

ART AGUILAR: Okay, I'm just going to give an introduction before the team members describe the project in more detail. We're here for the approval of discretionary actions under ULURP, to allow for the proposed retail development at 230<sup>th</sup> Street on Broadway in the Kingsbridge neighborhood of The Bronx. There are

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

three discretionary actions before you, one's an amendment to the City zoning map, to change the existing zoning district from R6, with a C23 commercial overlay, to C44; an amendment to the City map to demap and permanently close the 100 foot long segment of Kimberly Place, east of Broadway; as well as the disposition of City owned property at block 3266 Slot 13. Kingsbridge 230<sup>th</sup> LLC was identified as a result from a request for proposal that was issued by EDC. We feel that this project is going to be a great addition along the Broadway commercial corridor, and will improve shopping options for local residents. I'll now turn it over to the team members to get details on the proposed project.

ETHAN GOODMAN: Good afternoon,

Council Members, Chair Avella. My name is Ethan

Goodman, I'm with the firm of Wachtel and Masyr.

We represent the applicant Kingsbridge 230<sup>th</sup> LLC.

I'm joined with, joined by John Clifford, from

Greenberg Farrow Architecture. He's the architect

for the project. Kingsbridge 230<sup>th</sup> is a joint

venture of Ceruzzi Holdings and the Blumenfeld

Development Group. As Art mentioned, we're before

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 27
2	you seeking approval for three actions.
3	Disposition of the city owned propertythe
4	property you see there before you is currently a
5	city run parking lot. It's used
6	COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: Mr.
7	Chairman, could I interrupt for a moment? Is
8	there a printedDo you have a written statement?
9	ART AGUILAR: I do have. I don't
LO	have multiple copies, but I do have some
L1	COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: Okay.
L2	Well, it'd be use, it'd be useful, Mr. Chairman,
13	to have a, a copy. I mean, if we can't have it
L4	now, we can't, but
15	CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: Did you
L6	ART AGUILAR: There's a package in
L7	front of you, that, that has a number of
L8	materials, including a fact sheet, that talks
L9	about the project.
20	CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: Did you get
21	this?
22	COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: No.
23	ART AGUILAR: Oh.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: I didn't
2.5	get. I didn't get anything. [laughter]

ART AGUILAR: Sorry, I--

COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: The reason for my interest is this is in my district, a very important project for me, so that's why I'm fussy. [laughs]

ART AGUILAR: I'll just briefly, in front of you, you do have a package that contains a fact sheet, a letter outlining and reaffirming our commitment, floor plans, and some renderings.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: Thank you.

mentioning, there are three actions. It's a city owned parking lot now. One approval's for the disposition of that parking lot. Second approval is for the demapping of a dead end portion of Kimberly place, approximately 100 feet deep, 50 feet wide, to the east of Broadway, that dead ends at the parking lot. And the third is to rezone the block. The block is currently zoned R6, with a C24 commercial overlay; we're proposing to rezone to C44, which is essentially a slight upzone in the commercial floor area from 2.0 to 3.4, to facilitate this project. The actions will facilitate development of an approximately 166,000

square foot retail development, on two levels, 2 3 with approximately 168 parking space in the 4 basement level. Project's gone through many iterations over the years, and today we're proud 5 to present a projects that's garnered the 6 7 unanimous support of the community board, the 8 borough president and the City Planning Commission. Project is somewhat smaller than was 9 10 originally, originally proposed started a few years back. It then included a health club and a 11 cinema on a third and fourth levels. 12 Those have now been eliminated. Good news is that the 13 14 project will now substantially generate less 15 traffic than was originally proposed. 16 Nonetheless, we understand this is a congested 17 stretch of Broadway, and traffic flow was something we focused on closely when designing the 18 19 project. I'll briefly walk you through the floors 20 of the project. And as I mentioned before, I'm 21 joined by John Clifford who can talk more in 22 detail about the design. Basically, as you see on 23 the drawing to the left, there will be two ins and outs to the project. One will be an entrance 24 only, and that'll be right off 230<sup>th</sup> Street. 25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you see that on the left of the screen, or the board. That's an entrance only. After looking at traffic very closely, we decided traffic would flow smoother if we made that just an entrance and not also an exit. There will be an entrance and exit to the below grade parking on Vervilon [phonetic] Place. That'll also serve as the loading area for the site. We've looked very closely at traffic flows in and out, and we are proposing an additional traffic signal on Vervilon at the intersection of Vervilon and Broadway. One concern that was raised at the level of the community board and borough president was traffic flow and whether it would back up coming off the throughway exit ramp, trying to get into the property. We looked closely at the traffic volumes here. The volumes we're looking at are essentially about somewhere between 80 and 120 cars in the peak hour coming into that entrance on 230<sup>th</sup>. So we're only talking about--and that this entrance here--so we're only talking about two or three cars every light cycle. So we're looking at no, no substantial backup that will come off the exit ramp of the, of the Deegan. In closing, I'll

just tell you that we've been having a lot of discussion with the community board, the borough president, and of course the local council member. There's a letter in your packages that outlines some commitment that the applicant has made with regard to local hiring, job fares, and also revisiting the concept of traffic and how the site's working, once it's open and operational. And coordinating with DOT to do any additional work that they believe needs to be done to answer any issues that may come up.

CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: Thank you.

Council Member Koppell, you'd like--

Mr. Chairman. First of all, I would like the letter that was addressed to me to be kept with the records of the Committee, the letter of commitment by the developer, with respect to certain steps. I think it should be part of the record of this hearing. So, and I appreciate the letter being prepared and the commitments that were made. That's very important to me, and the to the community. What is the status at this moment of the ownership of the land? Has the

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 32
2	developer acquired the land from the City?
3	ART AGUILAR: The developer has not
4	yet acquired the land. In fact, one of, one of
5	the actions is actually approvethat's before you
6	is approval for the City to sell the land, to
7	approve the disposition to the developer. So we,
8	we can't buy the land until the action goes
9	through.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: Is there a
11	contract for the purchase?
12	ART AGUILAR: There is a contract
13	for the purchase.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: And does
15	that allow the developer to cancel its commitment
16	at this point?
17	ART AGUILAR: I'm not sure I
18	understand the question.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: Are we
20	assured that the deal is going through?
21	ART AGUILAR: You want to answer
22	that? [laughter]
23	JOHN CLIFFORD: I can get back to
24	you. I'm speaking on behalf of the Project
25	Manager, who's not here, so I'll have to get back

prohibition. That was one thing that was raised as a possible issue. What, that, basically one of the reasons we agreed to come back and revisit to see if there are any other issues. The other thing is the, the, as DOT has approved the traffic signal, it doesn't have a left turn phase for traffic going southbound on Broadway that wants to make a left into Vervilon. They also demonstrated that there wouldn't be enough traffic to, to warrant a left turn phase. Those are two things we've talked to them about. We would have no, necessarily, no opposition to modifying that signal, if conditions warranted, but right it doesn't, it doesn't plan for it.

know the letter includes looking at the traffic flow again, and my own feeling is that probably both left turns should be prohibited. In or--because that Broadway corridor is very congested, it has several bus routes on it, trucks go on it, and I'm, my biggest concern about this project is that, that the traffic's going to get terribly fouled up there. I know that's a community concern, and while we did get unanimous report,

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 38
2	call up the applicant. [pause] Hi. [laughs]
3	Push the button.
4	[off mic]
5	FEMALE VOICE: You have written
6	testimony?
7	AVA HAREL: Yes.
8	FEMALE VOICE: Can I have it?
9	AVA HAREL: Sure. I have one. I
10	don't know how many they need.
11	FEMALE VOICE: That's okay.
12	AVA HAREL: I have, okay. That's
13	probably enough. Okay, thank you. I'm here to
14	renew my sidewalk café license, and yes, I think
15	I'm quiet. Okay, okay. I'm here to renew my
16	sidewalk café license.
17	CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: Introduce
18	yourself.
19	AVA HAREL: My name is Ava Harel.
20	CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: Okay.
21	AVA HAREL: I'm the owner of the
22	Olive Tree Café. Okay. And it, it's Dynamic
23	Music Corporation. Okay, 117 McDougal Street. I
24	have a letter here which I, an agreement which I
25	made with the City Council, and I guess I'll read

AVA HAREL: Thank you. [laughs]

25

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 41
2	COUNSEL: Chair Avella.
3	CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: Aye.
4	COUNSEL: Council Member Felder.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER FELDER: Yes.
6	COUNSEL: Council Member Jackson.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: Yes.
8	COUNSEL: Council Member Katz.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER KATZ: Aye, aye on
10	all.
11	COUNSEL: Council Member Sears.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER SEARS: Aye.
13	COUNSEL: Council Member Vann.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER VANN: Aye.
15	COUNSEL: Vote stands at six in the
16	affirmative, none in the negative, no abstentions.
17	[blank, background noise]
18	CHAIRPERSON AVELLA: This closes
19	this meeting of the Subcommittee on Zoning and
20	Franchises.
21	

I, JOHN DAVID TONG certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

John John	1 David Vy
V	

Signature \_\_\_\_\_

Date APRIL 3, 2009