CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

----X

January 29, 2009 Start: 10:33 am Recess: 12:33 pm

HELD AT: Council Chambers

City Hall

B E F O R E:

JOEL RIVERA Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Helen D. Foster Helen Sears Kendall Stewart

Maria del Carmen Arroyo

Rosie Mendez

Jessica S. Lappin

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Elliott Marcus

Associate Commissioner, Bureau of Food Safety and Community Sanitation
Department of Health and Mental Hygiene

Robert Edman

Assistant Commissioner, Bureau of Food Safety and Community Sanitation
Department of Health and Mental Hygiene

Julie Menin

Dean Palin

Dr. Justin Skripak
Pediatric Allergist
Jaffe Food Allergy Institute at Mount Sinai; New York
Allergy and Asthma Society; Food Allergy Initiative

Robert Pacenza Executive Director Food Allergy Initiative

Robert Bookman New York State Restaurant Association

Jennifer Gardner

Susan Leavitt

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Good morning my name is Joel Rivera, I am the Chair of the Health Committee. We are here today to discuss the food allergy issues in New York City restaurants and

Intro 818 sponsored by Council Member Lappin.

Intro 818 is a Local Law that would require food service establishments to put up posters with information for workers on food allergies. Throughout the United States, it is estimated that approximately 12 million people suffer from food allergies, that is about one in every 25 Americans. An allergy occurs when a person's immune system has an abnormal reaction to a particular type of food the body processes, produces an allergic antibody which fights off the food. There are eight foods that account for nearly 90% of all the food allergy reactions, these foods are milk, eggs, fish, crustacean shellfish, tree nuts, peanuts, wheat, and soybeans. The high prevalence of allergic reactions to these foods occurs because they are common ingredients in prepared and processed foods.

Food allergy reactions can be very

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

2	serious.	Probably	the	most	severe	reactions	is
3	anaphylax	is					

FEMALE VOICE: Anaphylaxis.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Anaphylaxis, thank you. However, it can involve the closure of a person's throat, constricted airways, trouble breathing, and a sudden drop in blood pressure. Every year, food allergies result in over 30,000 hospital visits, 2,000 hospitalizations, and 150 deaths. Food allergies are a serious public health concern for which there is no cure. Individuals who suffer from food allergies are particularly vulnerable when visiting restaurants. They no longer have direct control over the food they eat and are relying on the staff paying specific attention to their needs. Severe allergic reactions may be triggered by the mere cross-contact between one food and allergen.

More than 130 million people are served each day in restaurants across our country. In New York City, there are more than 25,000 food service establishments. To avoid severe reactions from food allergies, communication is imperative between the customer and the restaurant staff.

2	Additionally, education can go a long way toward
3	preventing an incident before it occurs.
4	Council Member Lappin's legislation
5	would require food allergy posters to be displayed
6	in food service establishments to ensure that
7	staff is aware of this critical safety issue.
8	I would like to thank the staff of
9	the committee for their hard work and when Council
10	Member Lappin comes in from her other committee
11	that she sits on, I will recognize her and give
12	her a chance to give her opening statement as
13	well.
14	And let me just introduce the
15	members who are here with me. We have Council
16	Member Rosie Mendez, we have Council Member
17	Kendall Stewart, and Council Member Sears is here
18	talking to Chris Manning [phonetic].
19	Okay. So at this point in time
20	we're going to call first Elliott Marcus, the
21	Associate Commissioner, Bureau of Food Safety
22	Community Sanitation for the Department of Health.
23	MR. ELLIOTT MARCUS: Good morning,
24	Chairperson Rivera and members of the Health

Committee. My name is Elliott Marcus and I am an

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Associate Commissioner of the Bureau of Food
Safety and Community Sanitation at the Department
of Health and Mental Hygiene. With me today is
Robert Edman, Assistant Commissioner of the Bureau
of Food Safety and Community Sanitation. On
behalf of the department, thank you for the
opportunity to testify on Introduction 818.

The core mission of the Bureau of Food Safety is to protect the public health by permitting and overseeing the food safety practices of nearly 27,000 New York City food service establishments. Bureau inspectors reinforce the importance of food safety by monitoring a food service establishment's compliance with the Health Code Article 81 and conducting risk-based inspections that examine practices that may cause food borne illness if performed incorrectly. They determine if potentially hazardous foods are adequately cooked using approved processes, making sure that hot foods are kept hot and cold foods are kept cold, and food is protected from contamination. Inspectors also spend a considerable amount of time educating food service establishment

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

operators about food safety.

The Bureau also promotes food safety through the department's Health Academy, which certifies 18,000 people annually in food safety. Participants are required to spend five half days at the Academy learning about safe food preparation, health hazards, food borne illness, pest control, facility maintenance, and the New York City Health Code requirements. To assure an acceptable level of knowledge, all course participants must pass an Academy test to receive certification. To further promote the health and safety of food service establishments, the department requires restaurants to have at least one person certified in food protection on duty at all times of operation.

Food safety focuses largely on basic practices for sanitary food preparation and handling, rather than the needs of individual patrons. By contrast, food allergies are different for each individual and are therefore not something that can be easily regulated or monitored as a matter of general public health. However, the department understands that for the

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

estimated 12 million Americans who suffer from food allergies and who can have an allergic reaction ranging from minor itching to, in extreme cases, fatality, this is an important concern. The issue is compounded by the increasing number of Americans that eat outside the home and therefore have less control over the food that they eat. Unfortunately, with a high volume of diners consuming food prepared for the general public, an unsuspecting diner with food allergies could be exposed to a food that provokes an allergic reaction. It was with this in mind in 2008 that the department added food allergy awareness as part of the food protection certification training provided at our Academy.

Introduction 818 requires food
service establishments to display a poster
advising restaurant workers and servers how to
meet the needs of patrons with food allergies.
Avoiding the allergen is the only way to prevent
an allergic reaction and requires the cooperation
of patrons and food service establishment
personnel. Awareness among food safety workers is
a major step toward safe dining for individuals

2.0

with food allergies. A poster of the type
required by Intro 818 has the potential to reduce
the number of allergic reactions resulting from
prepared food by raising awareness and providing
suggestions on how food service establishment
workers can accommodate concerned diners.

While most food safety regulations are contained in the Health Code, the Administrative Code already includes provisions requiring food safety establishments to post information regarding choking prevention and alcohol consumption by pregnant women, therefore, the department has no objections to Introduction 818.

Thank you again for the opportunity to testify. I'm happy to answer any questions you might have.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Thank you very much.

Before we go on to the question phase, I want to give my colleague, Council Member Jessica Lappin, who has been a real advocate for the public on this issue, the opportunity to say a few words and give her statements.

2.0

COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: Illatik you
very much, Mr. Chairman. I want to thank you for
holding this hearing today and for putting this
important topic on the agenda, not just for the
committee, but for the Council. And I wanted to
thank you for your testimony and for not objecting
to the billI read that as support the bill,
semantics

MR. MARCUS: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: --but I think that's very positive and I'm very grateful.

And I just wanted to make a very brief statement and talk about why I introduced this legislation in the first place, and that's because there are nearly 300,000 New Yorkers who suffer from food allergies and food deaths are totally preventable if workers and people are educated on the topic. And, as you noted in your testimony, if somebody with a food allergy eats out and comes into contact with the allergen, at best, maybe they have an itchy reaction; at worst, it could be fatal and this really is a matter of life and death to many New Yorkers.

And this didn't start out as a

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

personal issue for me, but it has become one. husband does have shellfish allergies, but we just discovered a couple weeks ago that my son, who's almost 2, is allergic to peanuts. So that's not why or how I introduced this bill, but certainly it's becoming something that I am going to become much more aware of as a mother and something that I'm going to be concerned with as we eat out as a family. Obviously, my husband knows what to avoid, but the issue here is even if you tell the server that you are allergic to shellfish or nuts or whatever it may be, that the person in the kitchen, while they may have been trained at the Academy, doesn't keep everything separate and contaminates in a way that could really cause harm.

So the goal with this bill, since there is no cure for food allergies, is to do what we can as a city to prevent people from having reactions and I think it's a simple step that would be a positive step to have a poster in the kitchen to remind these workers who may have already been educated on this, but to make sure that they don't forget that what they're doing and

2.0

2.3

2	how they're handling the food really is a matter
3	of life or death.

So thank you, Mr. Chairman, I have a couple of questions, but I'm sure you may have some as well, so--is it okay? Thank you very much.

And I wanted to ask you about, since you mentioned the education as part of the certification process, 'cause this is another piece of legislation I know we've been working on that we're not specifically discussing today, but when you administer the test, is there a question about this issue on the test?

MR. MARCUS: I don't believe there's a question on the test right now.

COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: Okay. Be nice to continue to work on that too just to, as people are training to make sure that they are being tested on the knowledge. We all know from our school days, right, that that's an important part.

I guess my only other question for you because you are supportive of the bill, which I'm very appreciative of, is do you have

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

suggestions in terms of the language of the poster and how we should and where we should communicate the information?

MR. MARCUS: The where is difficult in some instances because some restaurant kitchens are quite tight and we already require several different posters, so wall space--they're in competition for wall space with choking posters, alcohol and pregnancy posters, smoking policy poster or smoking policy statement, and, of course, permits and certificates. But most places should be able to find a few square inches where they could hang a poster. The poster itself I thought was very well designed and alerted wait staff and food workers to the eight most common allergies and gives some really concrete suggestions on what to do if a patron explains that they have a food allergy.

COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: And what would be in the restaurants now, just out of curiosity, let's say somebody did have a reaction. Is there anybody who's required to be trained or is there any equipment there, any...?

MR. MARCUS: No, restaurant workers

2	are not required to have EpiPens or cannot
3	administer medication. There are resuscitation
4	devices in restaurants, and, of course, there's
5	the Heimlich poster in case someone chokes but
6	COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: You mean
7	like a defibrillator when you say resuscitate?
8	MR. MARCUS: Yeah.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: And I guess
10	my last question, to go back
11	MR. MARCUS: [Interposing] Oh, I'm
12	sorry, not the defibrillator, just the CPR mask.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: When you do
14	your training in the Academy, what are you
15	teaching? What is part of the curriculum for the
16	workers?
17	MR. MARCUS: On allergens? I think
18	what happens, the Academy is actually a different
19	section of the department, but what they train on
20	are the most common allergens and the types of
21	reactions that people can have. And how food can
22	become easily cross-contaminated with these
23	different things.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: So you do
25	teach that specifically.

2	MR. MARCUS: [Off mic]
3	COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: Great.
4	Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
5	CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Thank you very
6	much, Council Member Lappin.
7	Now I just had a couple of
8	questions myself. When the inspectors go out to
9	the restaurants, what indicators are they looking
LO	for to make sure that the environment is free from
11	cross-contamination and is a safe environment for
L2	people who have food allergies?
13	MR. MARCUS: None. They're not
L4	really looking atthere's nothing in the Code now
15	that requires us to look at that, so we haven't
L6	really been looking. And this bill, in fact, only
L7	asks that the poster go up.
18	We do require that counters are
L9	kept clean, you have to have a bleach solution
20	available to wipe down counters. We make sure
21	that food that is stored in refrigerators is not
22	subject to cross-contamination, as well as food
23	that might be stored in dry storage. So it's more
24	related to those kinds of issues than allergens.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Okay. Now if a

2.0

2.3

patron of a re	estaurant does fall sick to a food
allergy and is	s hospitalized, does the Health
Department ser	nd an inspector to do follow-up at
the restaurant	to see if there is any preventable
cause for it?	Any preventable action that could
have been take	en?

MR. MARCUS: I suppose it depends on how it's been reported. If it was reported as a food borne illness, we would probably send someone out if there were other people that reported it as a food borne illness. Otherwise, it would get referred to my office for just a general inspection, but there's nothing specifically done with regard to food borne—to allergens. It's more focused on other food borne illnesses.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Okay. Now in reference to the person that you stated that every restaurant has to have at least one certified person at the location at all times.

MR. MARCUS: That's correct.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Okay. Now that certified person, what are they in particular looking for? What are they doing? Are they there to educate or inform the staff working or handling

_	
2	food? What is their role in what
3	MR. MARCUS: [Interposing]
4	Ostensibly, it's to supervise all the food workers
5	in the restaurant on safe food practices, safe
6	food handling practices.
7	CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: And has there
8	been any idea or any conversation about the need
9	to ensure that everyone who handles food needs to
10	attend these classes or courses or is it not a
11	feasible?
12	MR. MARCUS: We've thought about it
13	at different times, but it's a huge number of
14	people in New York that would have to be trained.
15	And there are lot of concurrent issues that go
16	along with identifying who all the food workers
17	are that we haven't quite tackled yet.
18	CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: I guess there's
19	such an overturn in food workers, it'll be very
20	difficult [crosstalk]
21	MR. MARCUS: [Interposing] I think
22	the estimate's close to 200,000 food workers, it's
23	a lot of people to put through a course.
24	CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: And how many
25	instructors does the Academy have?

examine how well the operator of that restaurant is familiar--how familiar that operator is with the Health Code and if we assess a need to retake that course, we will order the person to take the course as a matter of a stipulation for reopening. CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Okay. Now one

22

23

24

25

of my last questions will be, since you only have six instructors for over 18,000 people getting certified per year and 200,000 restaurant workers who handle food, is there a possibility of having an online program where people who handle food can sign in, register, log in, get the--

MR. MARCUS: Yep.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: --information, take an exam, and, therefore, it would not be such a huge burden on the Department of Health with the Academy, but, at the same time, meet the goal of ensuring that anyone who handles food would be informed, educated, and capable of getting that information and possibly getting certified.

MR. MARCUS: It exists already, it's been online for about two years I believe and it's still free of charge.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: And how long is that online program? How long is the online program? Is it a couple of hours, is it one day, is it two weeks, how...?

MR. MARCUS: Well it's a self-paced course, but it covers all the material that's covered during the 15 hours of the course.

staff, the kitchen is larger than most and for one

25

2.0

individual to have constant supervision and monitor the actions of each individual becomes cumbersome sometimes, so it may be an avenue that we can deal with by potentially mandating that every restaurant worker who handles food in the cooking process should take this online course. Since it's only a 15 hour course, it doesn't seem to be such a burdensome situation for a worker who's going to work. If it was a two week course, obviously, it'll be difficult, but that's something we should potentially explore.

MR. MARCUS: Having multiple food protection certificate holders at the restaurant is something that we also consider during stipulations. So if it's a large restaurant and it's clear to us that the word is just not getting out to all the food workers about safe food practices, that's something else that we'll stipulate as part of an agreement with the restaurant.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Okay. Thank

you. At this point in time, I want to give

Council Member Kendall Stewart, followed by

Council Member Helen Sears the opportunity to ask

1	COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 2
2	a few questions. Thank you.
3	COUNCIL MEMBER STEWART: Thank you.
4	And good morning.
5	Commissioner, I have a few simple
6	questions. The posters that we spoke about, what
7	likely to be the poster and what are you going to
8	have on it? Is it something like the one we have
9	for choking? What are you going to have on that
10	poster?
11	MR. MARCUS: Well we met with the
12	Food Allergy and Anaphylaxis Network a while ago
13	and they provided us with a sample poster that we
14	thought was quite adequate. So we'll take a look
15	at that and see if we can have something very
16	similar reproduced.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER STEWART: So you will
18	be preparing that poster you're saying?
19	MR. MARCUS: Yes.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER STEWART: All right.
21	There are a number of things that cause the
22	allergy, that cause the reaction and we know most

of these things, are there going to be a sign

so that people can know?

posted as to list these things in the restaurant

23

24

25

25

2	MR. MARCUS: The poster itself
3	pictures eight of the most common food allergens.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER STEWART: The poster
5	will havethe poster that you're preparing will
6	have those eight, you're saying?
7	MALE VOICE: [Off mic] final.
8	MR. MARCUS: It's not final, but the
9	example that we've looked at had those and I think
LO	we would do something very similar. It's
11	actually
12	COUNCIL MEMBER STEWART: I just want
13	to be clear, because I know some of these things
L4	that are listed here is the eight, the big eight,
15	but there are also other ingredients that some
L6	cultures use that causes allergy, and it's not
L7	everymost restaurants may not use them, but some
L8	restaurants, based on their culture, they use that
L9	and folks develop a reaction to that ingredient.
20	I'm trying to figure out if you're going to have a
21	list of possible allergic reaction that you may
22	have from those things. In other words, you may
23	haveI can give an example, I went into a

Guyanese restaurant and I had something that they

added to the food, I'm not too sure of the name,

2.0

but I had a reaction. And I couldn't tell what it
is, so I tried it the second time about two weeks
later and the same reaction, but I don't know what
it is and I believe it's something that is added
I don't know if it's something like the MSG or any
one of those things that they added to the food
but what I would like to know is that the possible
things that can cause you a reaction, if you're
going to have a list of that posted someplace -
that they may use and if the restaurant is
required to list the major ingredients that they
use when they cook.

MR. MARCUS: I think we'll have to consult more with the experts on food allergies and think some more about whether or not we could put a more extensive list together.

COUNCIL MEMBER STEWART: All right.

On another area, the renewal of certificates, is that every three years? How often do you renew that?

MR. MARCUS: I'm sorry?

COUNCIL MEMBER STEWART: The food protection certificate that one goes and takes the course for, how often do you renew that?

MR. MARCUS: There's no renewal required of that.

COUNCIL MEMBER STEWART: Don't you think there should be a renewal, because if you take the course 10 years ago and you have your certificate, that means that you think the person will still be prepared to be able to deal with the issue today?

MR. MARCUS: A lot depends on our inspections, so if our inspection reveals that someone is not really clear on food safety techniques in that particular restaurant, we might require that someone else, or that person, retake the course and it happens quite frequently. But with 27,000 restaurants and tens of thousands of food protection certificate holders, it's a fairly daunting task to have a renewal process put in place right now.

COUNCIL MEMBER STEWART: The fact is, in most of the professional areas, if you have a license you have to take some sort of follow-up courses to have it renewed, sometime, somewhere, and don't you think that should be an issue here? Because if you were a nurse, you have to have

2.0

2.3

continued education courses because things change.You have to know and you have to continue to do

things so that it can be renewed.

And I think this is one of the areas that you should think about even if it's a two hour class that they should go to every three years to keep their license intact, because these folks, they move from restaurant to restaurant, there's issues that cause them to change, so they should have their license renewed every three years, and just a two hour class should be able to suffice to help them be update. So that is something I think you should look at.

MR. MARCUS: Okay.

thing that I want to know, you mentioned about the bleach solution that the restaurant have to have in the restaurant to be used, there are several types of bleach solutions that we have in it, do you have a standardized one that—a list of those that the one that you prefer that these restaurants should be using? There's some that may have bleaches solution that may have ingredients or so that are not four areas that

should be used in a restaurant, and we don't know if these folks are using that because some of these solutions have carcinogenic ingredients. So I'm trying to figure out if they can have any kind of bleach solution, but you're not stating exactly what type, and I want to know if you would please list the type of solution that they should be used, the one that we call health friendly and not the ones that have carcinogenic ingredients.

[Pause]

MR. ROBERT EDMAN: I'm not quite clear on what the question is, but what we require is basically a 10% pure bleach solution is a, you know--

COUNCIL MEMBER STEWART:

[Interposing] Well what I'm saying, basically there's several types of bleach solutions and the bleach solutions, just like we have different types of things that we use for dealing with insects and things like that, we have different type of solution and some we cannot use and some we can use.

MR. MARCUS: Right.

COUNCIL MEMBER STEWART: In this

2	case, the same thing with bleaches, we have
3	certain types of bleaches that you would have that
4	a child could play with and is not so that bad,
5	but there's some that are so bad that has
6	ingredients that are carcinogenic, that they're
7	made withthat have ingredients that are bad.
8	So I'm saying if we had a list of
9	the type of solution that you think that we should
10	be using and maybe that will be good.
11	MR. EDMAN: Okay. There isn't
12	currently such a list in existence, but I'm sure
13	that's something we could consider.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER STEWART: There's a
15	current
16	MR. EDMAN: [Interposing] Currently,
17	there's not a list produced by the department that
18	lists the various bleaches and various types of
19	bleaches, if that's what you're asking. There
20	isn't currently such a list.
21	MR. MARCUS: What the code requires

MR. MARCUS: What the code requires is a 10% bleach solution and also requires that restaurant operators have a testing kit available, so that when we show up at a restaurant we may ask them to produce the testing kit and test--

1	COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 29
2	COUNCIL MEMBER STEWART:
3	[Interposing] A testing kit for the bleach.
4	MR. EDMAN: The concentration.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER STEWART: The
6	concentration of
7	MR. MARCUS: For the concentration
8	of the bleach and water.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER STEWART: Well, I'm
10	not too sure that most of these restaurants will
11	be checking to see if it's 10% or not. All I'm
12	saying is that they may have a container with
13	bleach
14	MR. MARCUS: Well
15	COUNCIL MEMBER STEWART:and when
16	they need to do something, they pour some bleach,
17	and just like that
18	MR. MARCUS: If they don't, and if
19	they do it incorrectly, it's a violation and it'll
20	cost them quite a few dollars. So it's something
21	that we check for regularly.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER STEWART: All right,
23	thank you.
24	Let me ask this last question,
25	multiple certifications. You said that once you

2	have a certification in a restaurant, you're
3	talking about, if it's a large restaurant, you may
4	have several certificates. Now those smaller
5	restaurants, yes, they may have a certificate, but
6	the person who holds the certificate, 90% of the
7	time they may not be there, what happens?
8	MR. EDMAN: The regulation requires
9	that the holder of the certificate be present at
10	all times
11	COUNCIL MEMBER STEWART: At all
12	times.
13	MR. EDMAN:[crosstalk] operation.
14	If they're not, it's a violation.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER STEWART: All right.
16	So what you're saying that the restaurant should
17	not be operated or should not be open without the
18	certificate holder being there, that's what you're
19	saying.
20	MR. EDMAN: Correct.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER STEWART: All right,
22	thank you.
23	CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Thank you very
24	much. Next, we'll go to Council Member Helen
25	Sears.

2	COUNCIL MEMBER SEARS: Thank you,
3	Mr. Chair. I just have a couple of questions.
4	One is that who's exempt from certification in
5	restaurants for food certification and handling
6	it? The reason I ask that is because there are
7	many workers in restaurants and many of them take
8	the food to the table, many of them are serving
9	this food. So I've been to the Health Academy,
10	and I did that 'cause I ran centers and I ran
11	hospitals and I wanted to know everything that had
12	to go on in the kitchen, so to say. And that's
13	where I learned about the humanthe fly. So when
14	I see flies in restaurants, that's a big taboo.
15	So who actually is allowed to
16	handle the food? The plates are not covered, they
17	are out in the open and those that take theby
18	the way, it's not an accusatorial thing, I just
19	want to know are the waiters exempt from food
20	handling? Is it only in the kitchen with food
21	preparation? That I don't know.
22	MR. MARCUS: There are no exemptions
23	per se, the only thing that the Health Code
24	requires is that there be someone on duty during

all times of operation that has passed this food

2.0

2.3

protection course and who will supervise those
food workers. So the assumption is that the
person is actually supervising and the operator is
explaining to wait staff, as well as whether food
handlers, what food practices need to be observed.

COUNCIL MEMBER SEARS: What is the fee for the food Academy, the Health Academy, do you know?

[Crosstalk]

MR. EDMAN: It's \$105.

COUNCIL MEMBER SEARS: Okay.

MR. EDMAN: Currently.

COUNCIL MEMBER SEARS: So I would think an owner would not have more than himself probably, or the chef doing that. The reason I ask that is because the restaurants are one of the areas where it's transitory in the kitchen and I would think that a lot of what happens will happen due to the transitory process—the afternoon or the evening you could have different people in the kitchen. I would think that presents a problem, but I also think that you can't be responsible for every allergy that people have and it's wrong to think that you have to manage people's health

care, but what would be helpful, I think, more than anything else, if there was a poster strategically located--men's rooms, women's rooms, everywhere--that if they have an allergy to please let the proprietor know. [Pause] That is--I don't know if you do that, I don't know, I know I came in late.

MR. MARCUS: No, but we are considering this bill that you've put forward that requires a poster for the food workers and, as I read it, requires that it's posted in an area that food workers will see it.

COUNCIL MEMBER SEARS: Couldn't we have the clients that are in that restaurant, shouldn't they be responsible for advising that they have an allergy? I mean I would think it works both ways. I don't know, I must say I'm not familiar—I'm familiar with the issue, but I'm not familiar with the bill, so Mr. Chairman, I mean, I'm not being derogatory about this, but I think there comes a time when we hold hands too much. It seems that if I'm a customer in a restaurant and I have an allergy, I should be comfortable enough not to feel I'm intimidating anybody, but

2	it's my right to tell the proprietor that I have
3	an allergy and if there's anything in there and I
4	can't eat in that restaurant, I'll go elsewhere.
5	So
6	MR. MARCUS: It's certainly
7	something we can consider.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER SEARS: Is that
9	something that has to be in the bill, which means
10	I'm looking at amending the bill, or is it
11	something that doesn't need to be in the
12	legislation?
13	COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: If I may
14	COUNCIL MEMBER SEARS: Yes.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN:Mr.
16	Chairman, because I was working, since I drafted
17	the bill, that doesn't tend to be the problem, and
18	we're going to hear from witnesses today who are
19	food allergy sufferers and also from the food
20	allergy initiative and organization, because if
21	you have a food allergy, you tell the food
22	preparer, sometimes I know in some restaurants the
23	chef themselves will come out even after the food-
24	-if you tell the waiter, sometimes the chef

himself or herself will come out and talk to the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

That's the ideal world, somebody who is patron. very responsible, who really understands the issue. I think the problem tends to be that you come in, you say to the waiter, I'm allergic to X and then you still get served a dish and I think the whole goal going to both of the guestions I heard today of this bill is that not every person who's worked in the kitchen has been to the Academy and does have the training. So the whole goal of this bill is to have the poster in the kitchen so that every worker in the kitchen sees and understands that there are these food allergies that can kill people and that it is an important issue for them to understand, so that when a patron does say that they're allergic to something, that they should take it seriously and understand the issue. But I know we're going to hear today from people who have allergies and they can talk to their experiences when they go into restaurants, but that's how we crafted the bill the way we did.

COUNCIL MEMBER SEARS: Oh, I appreciate that, I think I have a little difference with that. And maybe it's something to

really talk about because I respect what you've done and it's important to do that, but I do think, knowing the restaurant industry and with the constant flow of employees, just come in to my district and you'll see them hanging out on every corner, morning, noon, and night, 24 hours a day. I will not believe that when that worker gets into that restaurant, and I say this clinically, that the first thing they're doing is educating them when there is so much that they need to do to take care of the restaurant.

So it seems to me there should be some people because depending on a waiter or somebody who is the busboy to say that you have an allergy, I think is placing a lot in a very busy environment. If that food server, the one, the certificate, has to be on duty all the time, then that's the one who should be told that the person has an allergy, that's what I believe. It should be given to the responsible person in that restaurant. I don't think—and I say it non-judgmentally—to give it to a busy waiter who suddenly is wrapped up in an environment of a lot of stuff or to give it to a busboy, I think is

outrageous, it should go directly to the one who
has that certificate. If they're there and they
have to be at all times, it means that more than
one has to have a certificate, depending on the
hours of the restaurant, is the only thing I'm
suggesting, because I think to give it to those
that are getting commands all over the place to do
what they have to do, to place that individual in
that very responsible role of communicating
something that is so important, needs to be
directed to the person who has the food
certificate, that's the only thing I'm saying.
Considering the fact that the restaurant business
is the help, the employees are so transitory,
Councilwoman, that the coming and the going is
just not enough time to educate in a very
important process and I just think the one that
should get that information is the one who holds
the food certificate. Thank you.
Oh, one other thing I had to do, I
think you answered my question. Yeah. Okay,

Now do you think that's a reasonable thing? I didn't ask you that. I mean,

thank you very much.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you require food certificates, those who are handling food, should they not know that there is a customer in the restaurant who has an allergy? Who would be a lot more familiar with that than somebody who's bringing—the waiter is not the nutritionist.

MR. MARCUS: Right, nor would be the food protection certificate holder, but from a food safety standpoint and a public health standpoint, we're concerned that that food protection certificate holder is making sure that the chicken's cooked 165 degrees, that when it's sitting in the refrigerator, it's not dripping on the salad; that the hot food is being held at 140 degrees; that the cold food is being held at 41 degrees; that food handlers are not making bare hand contact with food. There's probably 200 and some odd regulations that they have to make sure everybody follows, so they all have pretty big jobs and we check on them periodically to make sure they're following them.

COUNCIL MEMBER SEARS: So we're right back where we were. I think that a little work-okay, thank you. But I think that some

2	responsibility, Mr. Chair, needs to be placed on
3	the consumerthe client in the restaurant. They
4	should be made known that they have a
5	responsibility to tell the restaurateur that they
6	have an allergy. I think that the people should
7	made to be responsible.
8	CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Thank you.
9	And we've been joined by Council
LO	Member Helen Foster. I see no other questions
11	Council Member Kendall Stewart has a follow-up.
L2	COUNCIL MEMBER STEWART: I just want
L3	to be clear that last statement made by my
L4	colleague here, Helen Sears, you were saying
15	basically that the patron should notify someone in
L6	the restaurant that they're allergic to
L7	COUNCIL MEMBER SEARS: [Interposing]
18	That they have an allergy
L9	COUNCIL MEMBER STEWART:
20	[Interposing] Right, but many times folks may not
21	know that they are allergic to something until
22	they first have it and they going into
23	COUNCIL MEMBER SEARS: [Interposing]
24	How will the restaurant then know that they've got
25	that and what the allergy is toI understand what

2	you're saying and that happens a lot, but I think
3	we have to be reasonable and rational about what
4	do we expect the customer to do, what do we expect
5	the owner of the restaurant to do. And I don't
6	own restaurants, so I'm not saying that, but I eat
7	in them and I would think if I had an allergy and
8	I want to eat out, that I would notify the
9	proprietor. So we'll end on that note
10	COUNCIL MEMBER STEWART: Yeah
11	COUNCIL MEMBER SEARS:[crosstalk]
12	further discussion, Dr. [crosstalk]
13	COUNCIL MEMBER STEWART: All right.
14	The other thing that I wanted to ask, what's in
15	the codes right now for restaurant that may have
16	let's say a cat, a cat in the basement because of
17	the fact that they want to keep away mice and rats
18	and things like that? What do you have in the
19	codes about that?
20	MR. MARCUS: It's prohibited.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER STEWART: It's
22	prohibited. So if there is a cat in the basement
23	of a restaurant, it's illegal.
24	MR. MARCUS: That's correct.
25	COUNCIL MEMBER STEWART: Oh, I just

2	wanted to know that because I know the shedding
3	from cats, which is a basically protein, it's an
4	allergen to some folks who may get a reaction
5	MR. MARCUS: Right.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER STEWART:so I just
7	wanted to know that, all right.
8	CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: I guess the
9	well your question.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER SEARS: [Off mic]
11	CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Wasn't, okay.
12	Council Member Helen Foster.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER FOSTER: Thank you.
14	First, let me apologize, I have two hearings at 10
15	and two at 1 and I thought this one was at 1, so I
16	apologize for being late.
17	This is an issue, and just coming
18	in on the end of it, that's important to me
19	twofold, I have a husband who is severely allergic
20	to shellfish, but I have a goddaughter who has the
21	highest range or whatever you call it to nuts and
22	we found out when she was in Bermuda on a family
23	trip, at a nut, and literally almost died, and we
24	go on trips like Disney, the chef comes out of the

kitchen, so we have actually walked out of places

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

when you can tell the waiter is not getting it.

And it is so severe that on some American airline flights when they're in first class, they won't serve the warm nuts because that's how severe her allergy is.

So I appreciate that we're having this discussion and I don't quite know what I missed, but it's important to understand that there are certain restaurants that I know we need not even try with her because they're not going to get it and to them no nuts means I don't sprinkle nuts on it, not it wasn't fried in peanut oil, she's tree nuts, seed nuts, nuts that I didn't even know were nuts. And so we have to be like it's on us and any time she has play dates, it's a whole litany, she actually had to get a waiver for her school zone because her elementary school, how they dealt with children with nut allergies is they put them all in a table in the cafeteria in the corner away from kids that had peanut butter and jelly sandwiches because they didn't feel it was fair to kids not to have peanut butter and jelly sandwiches.

So the severity of allergies, I

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

don't think people get unless they deal with it, unless they see it. And so, while I think it's important that we make restaurants more aware in the posting, we as consumers or anyone with any type of allergy has to go through the litany. So when I say like is the bread, does it has sesames in it, because everybody thinks when you say no nuts, okay, no, it doesn't have a nut.

So these things I appreciate us bringing this up and, as we know, more and more kids are being diagnosed earlier and earlier with nut allergy, you know, a good friend of ours, family friend who was a dentist in Vegas died because he ate a cookie that they told him it was no nut in it, had a nut, he EpiPenned himself, but never could get to the emergency room, so it's very serious. So I very much appreciate that we're having this discussion and I think the important thing is figuring out the best way for the restaurant and the consumer and everyone to make whoever is dining, comfortable that they can in fact eat at this location and won't risk being sick. So thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Thank you.

2.0

2.3

Τ.	COMMITTEE ON HEALTH
2	That concludes the questions from the members of
3	the Health Committee. Thank you for joining us.
4	We'll call the next panel at this
5	point in time. We have Julie Menin, we have
6	Robert Pacenza from the Food Allergy Initiative,
7	Dr. Justin Skripak from Mount Sinai School of
8	Medicine, and Dean Palin.
9	[Pause]

MS. JULIE MENIN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I am Julie Menin, I am chairperson of Community Board 1 in Lower Manhattan, but I am coming here to you today, not in my official capacity, but as a parent of three food allergic children.

And I first want to thank you, Mr.

Chair for holding this important hearing, but I especially want to thank Council Member Jessica

Lappin, who has really been a true hero in all of this and, when we went to her with this issue, she really stepped up to the bat and I want to thank her for her tremendous leadership.

As Council Member Lappin correctly pointed out, over 300,000 New Yorkers suffer from severe food allergies. And I know the purpose of

the hearing is in, one part, to talk about the bill about restaurants and what I would say to that, I am a former restaurant owner and I will tell you that what is being proposed today is very important, but really a baby step in what the city could and should be doing on food allergies. So I'm pleased to see that the city is not objecting to it, but I do hope that this committee and the City Council will go much farther than what is even being asked in this bill.

I really want to take the opportunity to talk about something, and I hope Council Member Foster, I hope will support this. Right now in the city of New York, EpiPens are not mandated on New York City ambulances and, for those who are not as familiar with EpiPens as maybe some of us who have family members with food allergies are, EpiPens are the only medicine that can save someone from a serious anaphylactic reaction. And literally every second counts when someone is going into anaphylactic shock.

I will tell you a personal story that happened to me. I have three kids, as I mentioned, who do suffer from serious food

allergies. My five-year-old son suffers from peanut allergies, my three-year-old twins suffer from shellfish allergies. My twins when one of them was two, we were out of state in Colorado and my son ate literally one bite of salmon, he had previously tested negative to every single food allergy, so we were under the false illusion that we did not have to have EpiPens with us, but he went into anaphylactic shock and almost died. And what saved him was the EpiPen and thankfully we were in a town that had EpiPens on the ambulances.

I really have to tell you that I think it is absolutely outrageous and shocking that New York City has not stepped up to the bat and put EpiPens on the ambulances. This is done in cities all across the country and I think it is now on the city's conscience if a child or an adult dies from anaphylactic reaction. Right now with the New York City ambulances, only one-third of them have advanced life support, meaning that only one-third of them have the EpiPens. So, as a parent with food allergic kids, I have a one-third chance of getting an ambulance that has an EpiPen, and I really think that this has to change.

2	And I know this is an oversight
3	hearing, which is why I brought up but EpiPen
4	issue, because I think that is one of the most
5	important things we can do to try to save lives
6	for the 300,000 New Yorkers who suffer from this.
7	I'd be happy to answer any
8	questions.
9	CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Thank you.
10	We'll just let the other
11	MS. MENIN: Sure.
12	CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Just introduce
13	yourself for the record and proceed with your
14	testimony.
15	[Pause]
16	Mr. Dean Palin: Hi, my name is Dean
17	Palin and I'm pleased to participate in this
18	important hearing.
19	I'm here today for three reasons,
20	I'm an owner of restaurants here in Manhattan,
21	including Big Daddy's and City Crab, and they are
22	sensitive to the concerns of people with food
23	allergies.
24	I have a severe peanut allergy
25	since I was four years old. Most importantly, I'm

2 the father of two children with life-threatening
3 food allergies to peanuts also.

Over the years, I've experienced many allergic reactions, both mild and severe.

The most serious occurred when I was 26, during a business trip to Las Vegas.

FEMALE VOICE: [Off mic] Vegas.

MR. PALIN: Yeah, I too, and unknowingly ordered a sugar cookie, which turned out to be a peanut butter cookie and I went into anaphylactic shock after taking a small bite. At the hospital, the doctor actually asked my business partner what religious preference should I get to his bedside. Miraculously, I survived, but I can't say that quickly I returned to a normal life. It began panic attacks, I was so afraid of eating, I lost 32 pounds. To this day, I really don't talk about the experience, but I'll never turn away an opportunity to make this place safer place for my kids.

Thanks to the organizations like
the Food Allergy Initiative, it is safer. Schools
and camps have food allergy management programs,
which were unheard of when I was growing up. We

have federal food labeling laws, people are more aware of food allergies than ever before, but until researchers find a cure, our kids will never be completely safe.

Every food allergic parent lives with the dread of a phone call that says that the healthy, happy child that you saw at breakfast is on the way to the hospital and won't be coming home tonight or ever again.

No matter how confident we are when we ask the questions to the waiter or the managers, sometimes the people accuse us of being neurotic or even hysterical. But we really are no different than any other parents in the room, we want our kids to become safe and well-adjusted, confident adults. We wish we didn't have to walk the tightrope between protecting them and giving them the freedom they need to deserve.

This committee can help us get off that tightrope. When administered quickly, epinephrine is a miracle drug and I urge you to do everything possible to ensure that no ambulance will ever arrive without it. I also urge you to support the training programs and tools for the

food service staff. New York is the restaurant capital of the world and we should be a leader in providing a safe example for people also to have an easier dining experience, especially for food allergic visitors.

And on behalf of the food allergic families throughout the city, I'm grateful for your consideration. And I'm available for any questions you may have regarding the restaurant industry.

[Pause]

DR. JUSTIN SKRIPAK: Good morning, my name is Dr. Justin Skripak, I'm a pediatric allergist at Mount Sinai Hospital in New York.

The majority of my clinical practice involves evaluating and managing children with food allergies. Today, I'm representing my patients, the Jaffe Food Allergy Institute at Mount Sinai, the New York Allergy and Asthma Society, and the Food Allergy Initiative.

Just some of the brief stuff that your background research already summarized very nicely. Food allergy has become increasingly prevalent over the past decades. In October 2008,

the Centers for Disease Control reported that the number of young people who had a food allergy increased 18% between 1997 and 2007. So in 2007, approximately 3 million U.S. children and teenagers under 18 were reported to have a food allergy, compared to just over 2.3 million in 1997. Studies also indicate that, although virtually any food can be an allergen, it's a rather small number of foods that account for the majority of food allergies and those are those main eight that were mentioned earlier: peanuts, tree nuts, milk, egg, shellfish, fish, wheats, and soy.

The increasing prevalence of food allergy is particularly concerning given that food allergy reactions can be severe and life—threatening. This increasing prevalence also means that there's a growing number of food allergic individuals who must obtain safe meals in restaurants and other food establishments. One of the major risks for food—induced reactions is hidden ingredients in prepared foods. So even trace amounts of an allergen can cause these severe reactions.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

There's no cure for food allergy yet; no medication can prevent severe reactions. The current treatment for food allergy is strict avoidance of the food, along with always having epinephrine injections available in case of emergencies. Having the emergency treatment available is essential because accidental exposures to the foods are not uncommon.

Studies do show that the quality of life of food allergic individuals is significantly impaired. Difficulties with obtaining meals outside of the home is typically identified as one of the major sources of stress and reduced quality of life for persons and families of persons with food allergy. Food allergic consumers must be vigilant in stores, such as bakeries and ice cream parlors, as well as restaurants. These individuals can have allergic reactions to small amounts of foods hidden in prepared dishes, or from cross-contact--for example, when a food preparer use shared utensils, shared pans, blenders, or fryers. Therefore--and I think this is an important point that can [off mic] an allergic patron must alert the restaurant of any

allergies, but it is otherwise almost completely dependent on the knowledge and conscientious of the restaurant personnel to provide a safe meal after they make that allergy known. Food servers and preparers must have adequate communication with the patron and with each other and an understanding of food allergies and safe meal preparation to ensure consumer safety.

Just to highlight one recent survey that was done here in New York of 100 New York area restaurant personnel, including managers, wait staff, and chefs, it basically showed a generally poor understanding of the topic and the following are the key findings from that study: the majority surveyed thought that food allergy was much less common than it actually is; only 42% had received some type of food allergy training; 58% knew of a plan in place to handle an emergency; and probably the key though, is that a large proportion, 72%, said that they were very comfortable or somewhat comfortable in providing a safe meal.

So despite this self-perception of having sufficient knowledge and ability to handle

high frequency of incorrect assumptions about specific questions. About a quarter incorrectly thought that consuming a small amount of the allergen is safe; about a third incorrectly thought that fryer heat can destroy an allergen; about half thought that keeping a buffet safe--can be kept safe by keeping it clean; and about a quarter incorrectly responded that removing an allergen from a finished meal, like taking peanuts off the top of a salad, would make that dish then safe.

So the alarming aspect of this study, again, is that there's knowledge deficits despite high confidence in an ability to take care of food allergic customers.

So just to summarize, food allergy is increasingly common, accidental consumption of small amounts of a food allergen can be lifethreatening. Individuals with food allergy would like to be able to, and deserve to be able to, eat out safely, and the major reason that eating out is stressful and problematic is that for persons with food allergies is a lack of understanding of

2.0

food allergy and food allergens by restaurant personnel.

I think the goal of making restaurants safe for food allergic individuals is achievable. In the homes of allergic individuals, the foods that they're allergic to are often eaten safely by other members of the family. So with a bit of time and effort invested for education, the same can be accomplished in the restaurant setting. Informational posters and I think also training sessions would be two ways of accomplishing this goal. I urge you to implement these measures to improve safety and quality of life for food allergic individuals.

Lastly, regarding this separate issue, but equally important in the food allergy world, I'd like to add my support for a requirement to equip all levels of ambulances with epinephrine. Injected epinephrine is truly the only effective medicine available to stop an allergic reaction once it begins. It should be available on all emergency vehicles for all emergency responders to administer immediately when appropriate. Thank you.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

[Pause]

MR. ROBERT PACENZA: Good morning, 4 is it still morning? Good morning, Chairman Rivera and Council Members, thank you for being here. My name is Robert Pacenza, I'm the Executive Director of the Food Allergy Initiative, FAI. We're a national nonprofit organization located here in Manhattan and dedicated to finding a cure for life-threatening food allergies. Since FAI was founded ten years ago, we've raised more than \$45 million for research, clinical programs, education, and public policy initiatives.

The people whose stories you're hearing today represent over 12 million Americans who suffer from food allergies, including, as you've heard, around 300,000 New York City residents, and until research find a cure, these children and adults need your help to keep them safe.

We recognize that these are challenging times for the city, I want to emphasize that the initiatives that all of us have been discussing are cost efficient, easy to implement, and can be very effective.

2	Every year, thousands of New York
3	City residents experience potentially fatal
4	allergic reactions. I do want to touch again, as
5	Julie Menin just did, on the epinephrine and
6	ambulance issue. In 2007 alone, more than 4,900
7	EMS calls were advanced life support level
8	responses to reports of anaphylaxis. For those
9	New Yorkers, epinephrine was a lifeline. But for
10	an unknown number of others, there was no
11	effective treatment until they got to the
12	emergency room. Their ambulances were not
13	equipped with this life-saving medication.
14	Each day, people with food
15	allergies are put at risk because only advanced
16	life support ambulances are required to carry
17	epinephrine. Basic life support ambulances may do
18	so voluntarily, but most of them do not.
19	This would be understandable if
20	administering epinephrine perhaps required
21	extensive training, it doesn't. Thousands of
22	children, teenagers, not to mention their
23	families, friend, teachers, camp counselors, bus
24	drivers, they've learned how to use epinephrine

auto-injectors. There's no reason why capable and

2.0

dedicated basic life support EMTs should not learn to do the same. It would also be understandable if auto-injectors were costly, but they're not. That is why we encourage New York State Regional Emergency Services Medical Council to mandate that all New York City basic life support level ambulances carry epinephrine auto-injectors.

When you live with a food allergy, you learn to accept a certain level of daily risk, but there's no reason why a 911 call should be a game of chance.

We also can reduce risk by making sure that every food service employee has easy access to basic information about food allergies.

FAI and the Department of Health are ready to begin work on an educational poster, which will be inexpensive to produce and can be translated into multiple languages. The poster will be a simple and effective educational tool, especially if its distribution is mandated by law, which the bill would do.

Food allergies are on the rise in developed countries around the world. They affect our residents and our visitors. New York has

2.0

2.3

worked hard to earn its reputation as one of the
safest cities in the world. Food allergy
awareness will enhance that reputation. It will
be an invaluable investment in the future of our
city.

On behalf of the Food Allergy
Initiative, the several thousand families who
support us and want to see all of this happen, I
urge you to help us implement these programs as
soon as possible. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Thank you very much. First, I'm going to turn it over to Council Member Lappin to ask her line of questions. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: Thank you very much, Mr. Chair.

And I want to start by thanking the four of you because you have done a great deal in terms of advocacy and education. Certainly, when you came to me, I knew very little about this issue, and I have found myself now, suddenly looking at labels on food, and which I know you helped make happen. And I, of course, immediately when I got the diagnosis went to your website and

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

gained information from that. So your education, outreach, and advocacy has been very helpful to me and I wanted to thank you for all of your work and for coming here today.

And I wanted to sort of say two things. One, I agree with Ms. Menin that this is a good baby step in the right direction, and I know that it's not a panacea by any means, but I do think it is important, and I do hope that my colleagues would support this bill, because I think we have to tackle this issue from many different fronts and the EpiPens in ambulances is very important. And I know that Council Member Liu has a bill on this issue and we've been working with REMSCO to try and get the state because there is a state, city interaction here to be cooperative and I think that's a very important piece of the puzzle. And I learned from meeting with you what I should say to a 911 operator if I wanted an ambulance that had the EpiPen to come, which, Council Member Foster, you should probably know to, what the magic words are.

MR. PACENZA: Yeah, not breathing is the key word to say.

2	COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: That's how
3	you get one
4	MR. PACENZA: Yes, that's right.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN:of the 30%
6	of the ambulances that have the EpiPens.
7	So, that said, I think we need to
8	continue to press on that front, but I do think
9	that this bill would help in terms of educating
10	the food workers and I hope that we would continue
11	to work as a committee and as a Council on some of
12	the other items as part of this agenda, but I
13	would urge my colleagues, especially after hearing
14	what I think is very compelling testimony from the
15	four of you, that this is important.
16	CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Thank you.
17	Council Member Foster, and we've been joined by
18	Council Member Maria del Carmen Arroyo.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER FOSTER: Thank you,
20	and thank you all. I just have a few quick
21	questions and in addition to the EpiPens on the
22	ambulances and, Jessica, I will work with you and
23	John and whomever, because honestly if it hadn't
24	affected me so closely, I wouldn't know, I would
25	probably be as uneducated as everyone else,

2.0

2 thinking just don't have a nut, where it's not
3 that simple.

But what else do you think we could and should be doing, not only in the restaurant business, but in general, that we're not? Because one thing that comes to mind is we can do an initiative from the Speaker's office, the Council, just educating people, you know, like people my parents' age really think that it means like just throwing peanuts away, not understanding that it's much more severe than that, so even just an educational campaign.

A friend of mine, her daughter was starting kindergarten in Brooklyn and the principal did not want her to the in-service her kindergarten teacher on using an EpiPen because she felt that wasn't the teacher's responsibility, and did not get that this kid could die if it happened. So what can we do that we're not or-
MS. MENIN: It's a great question,

COUNCIL MEMBER FOSTER: Sure.

MS. MENIN: There are a couple different things. First of all, on the restaurant

so let me take a stab at answering that.

front, as the city testified earlier, there is a food handlers certificate. When you own a restaurant, the Department of Health comes in and does an inspection and you can fail the inspection if you do not have a food handlers certificate, if you do not have someone in the kitchen, who is upto-date on food handling. We should mandate that cross-contamination is just like food handling. That, in other words, the restaurant will be penalized and there will be a fine paid if they don't have someone up-to-date. What the city testified today is much more voluntary and feel-good, we need to go a step further and mandate that. So that is step one.

in terms of what Nassau County did on restaurants, they talk about food borne illnesses and they list when a dish has, for example, shellfish or raw meat or raw eggs, it lists at the bottom of the menu with an asterisk., we should do that for the major food allergens on restaurants. We should mandate that restaurants list if they use peanut oil at the bottom of the menu.

We're not trying to make this

completely onerous on restaurants, I mean, you heard Dean Palin's testimony, he is a restaurant owner, I'm a former restaurant owner, we're certainly not trying to do something onerous for restaurants, but we want to make sure that people are safe when they do visit restaurants, so that's certainly something that could be done.

I think your comment about the City Council doing some type of, whether it's a PSA or educational campaign, would certainly go a long ways towards that as well.

COUNCIL MEMBER FOSTER: Thank you.

Anyone else or we're all...

MR. PACENZA: No, I think what Julie said is a good point, it's not as if every minute, every restaurant, every day can possibly list every ingredient, but a basic warning to--and it could also perhaps go along with what Councilwoman Sears suggests, is simply to have a statement that says, the foods at this restaurant may contain or do contain food allergens, please instruct your server or ask questions, you know, an encouraging--it doesn't have to be changed every menu, 'cause that would be onerous to ask restaurants to do

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that. But perhaps an educational sentence or two like in Long Island where they warn about raw foods, we could just warn generally about allergens and the importance of communication.

MS. MENIN: Exactly, I mean, I just think in New York City, we want to be ahead of the curve. We've been ahead of the curve on the smoking ban; we've been ahead of the curve on the trans fat ban; we may be ahead of the curve on this salt issue. Where are we in trying on food allergies? I think it's a complete embarrassment in terms of where this city is and when cities all across the country are so far ahead of us and what I would hate to happen, but I fear is absolutely going to happen, is a child is going to have an anaphylactic reaction, they will be with a caregiver or a parent who doesn't know to say my child is not breathing, they will get in a New York City ambulance, and they will die and it will be a shame on the city, because now the city has been warned twice.

We had this EpiPen bill before the City Council, right before 9/11, 9/11 hit and, with absolute understanding, the bill got put to

2	the side, but now we are seven years later and
3	again, we are basically giving the clarion cry on
4	this issue. If the city fails to act on the
5	EpiPen issue, I really think it will be
6	unconscionable.
7	[Pause]
8	MR. PACENZA: Anything any of you
9	can do to help REMSCO address this issue would be
10	greatly appreciated. That's where we need to be
11	with the epinephrine issue.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: And so maybe
13	we should think about doing something together in
14	terms of a more organized lobbying effort with
15	REMSCO.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER FOSTER: Absolutely.
17	Dr. Shrep?
18	DR. SKRIPAK: Skripak.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER FOSTER: Oh, I'm
20	sorry Dr. Justin, how about that?
21	DR. SKRIPAK: There you go, that's
22	what my patients call me.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER FOSTER: Over the
24	years, has there been an increase in allergies or
25	is it we're just aware now that they are allergies

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

2	and aren't kids being finicky or whatever it is.
3	And, if it's an increase, do we have any idea what
4	that is due to?

DR. SKRIPAK: I mean, there's definitely an increase statistically, there's no way to parse out in a systematic way, what percentage of that is missed diagnoses 10 years ago that are found now. It may be some small percentage, but I think clearly there is a real increase in prevalence of food allergy. There is a lot of people all over the country trying to identify--there's not going to be one factor that's going to be the golden factor that causes food allergy, but it's a multi-genetic, multienvironmental issue, and there's been no consistent findings to really put a point on one exposure or one factor that pre-disposes to food allergy.

I just want to make a point about-COUNCIL MEMBER FOSTER: Sure.

DR. SKRIPAK: --the last point that we were on. I think that this food allergy certification issue is new to me, so this is the first time I'm really getting up on this a little

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

bit and I think it--I mean, it just seems ridiculously essential that food allergy has to become a fundamental part of that certification and not just that there's a couple of bullet points thrown into the 15-hour education process, but that there's several questions at the end of the exam that actually verify that someone read and understands the information that they were Having this central hub of a person at a restaurant is obviously the way to go, you're not going to have--and I don't want to have 30 allergy doctors at the restaurants because I'm young and I need a lot of time to be doing this myself, so I don't need to be replaced. But there should be someone who knows a lot, but basically this poster is the beginning of having the rest of the personnel saying they need to be aware that this is a significant issue and when they're told there's a peanut allergy or a wheat allergy, that, at a minimum, they need to recognize that it's an issue and go to the person who is the source of information at the restaurant who can help them to sort out what needs to be done.

And then the other kind of broad

2.0

issue would be, I mean, you said is generally,
there are other issues, I mean school is just a
completely black hole. I mean, you know, parents
are leaving their food allergic kids at these
schools for many hours per day under the
supervision of other adults and they obviously
need to be better educated about what the food
allergy issues are. There's no reason why an art
project in the classroom needs to involve peanuts
or peanut butter or foods in general. I mean,
things like that are just stuff that's easily
fixed.

COUNCIL MEMBER FOSTER: Thank you.

Mr. Palin, you were going to...

[Pause]

MR. PALIN: [Off mic] Stewart and Sears [pause] regards to the food handling certificate. What I try to implement is the person on duty, who is scheduled to be the captain in the kitchen, those people are pretty much required and do have food handling certificates and they are aware of my passion towards this and immediate concern towards—for other people and myself, to be aware and to be available to answer

anybody's questions. If they are concerned about whatever they are eating or should they order something, they should be made aware that this does—if they ask the question, like I said, they're confident enough and feel comfortable with the person that they're getting the proper answer and the right answer throughout the line of chain of command or the hierarchy in the kitchen as from when the food is prepared to it's brought to the table. And those people know the ingredients and how it's arrived or the possibilities of cross—contamination and what they're supposed to be doing.

I mean, I commend the Health

Department when they said they didn't not only

require us to--everything is wiped down with

bleached, however, you get a fine, if your cutting

boards are pitted, okay? And that's because if

they get pitted, food gets into them and that's a

source of cross-contamination. So I commend them

on the different steps that they do try and govern

the kitchens in New York City. But of course

there's always a little more and...

COUNCIL MEMBER FOSTER: Great, thank

2.0

2 you.

3 CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Thank y	you.
-------------------------------	------

Next, we have Council Member Kendall Stewart.

COUNCIL MEMBER STEWART: I would like to ask you folks, do you think we should have on that poster listed the big eight? Say that basically, if you're allergic to any one of these items, please let us know. Right at the entrance of the restaurant as you go in.

MR. PACENZA: Well the poster under consideration is really for the kitchen area for workers. Actually the poster that the Department of Health, he started to bring it out, that was a poster designed to be out front for a kind of a customer service, kind of education for wait staff and for patrons, so you can go about it different ways. I think the original decision to start with something in the kitchen is that because there's only that one person trained on service on-site that it would help remind others of the need to be diligent and to take food allergens seriously.

The eight major allergens, it's the Food and Drug Administration through a 2004 law in Congress that established these eight allergens as

the ones that need to be taken most seriously,
they represent over 90% of all allergic reactions.
So those eight I assume, I know the drafts that
we've been working onsuggested drafts to give
DOH on the poster does include those eight,
certainly, there's obviously many foods. You can,
I think, Dr. Skripak, he canthere's a person out
there who can react to any food there is
basically. There's people allergic to things as
simple as corn and other vegetables, which is not
that common, but the starting point would
certainly be the eight because it's an FDA, you
know, those are FDA recognized major allergens and
that's what food labels require now those eight
allergens to be said in plain English and to be
brought out to people's attention, that's a
federal law. So it seems to make sense to stick
with those eight, but be clear that people know
that you can react to any allergens.

COUNCIL MEMBER STEWART: Yeah, I understand all of that, I'm just trying to figure out, most food after it's cooked it don't look like what you talk about. You use eggs in certain foods and you don't see the normal look of eggs in

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that food and so someone coming in and looking at the menu, they may not know that egg is in that menu. So what I'm saying basically, if I am allergic to eggs and there's a menu, I would want to have something beforehand stating that, listen, these are possible things, if you're allergic to any one of these things, let us know. Because the menu there does not really tell you that there's eggs in it or it's made from with nuts, grind nuts, or something like that. That's what I'm asking, if at the beginning whether that patron should be able to say, well, listen, they have something to say, well, if you're allergic to these things, please let us know, because it's a possibility that it might be in one of the menus.

[Pause]

MR. PALIN: That's a good point, and just the logistics to get that going is, I guess, a challenge to how to enact that process. It is a very relative point, but, for example, I have a gluten-free poster so if someone comes in and they say they have celiac disease, my chefs or the sous chef or the manager, they quickly, if they order something, we go down the line and there's a list

25

2	of everything that contains gluten or a
3	possibility of wheat in the ingredients, and we
4	steer the customer away from it and we make them
5	something special that doesn't include those
6	ingredients.
7	So a lot of times it's kind of a
8	communication on both parts and it's very
9	important and it's an education of the people
10	handling the food to know thesenot to
11	misunderstand, that they can easily ask a question
12	also to a poster or refer to a poster or different
13	educational services that are available to them,
14	to be confident that, okay, I'm cooking this and
15	this is a gluten-free meal, this is a peanut-free
16	meal, this doesn't contain eggs. And I'm
17	confident enough to tell Mr. Stewart that there's
18	no eggs in this dish, so
19	COUNCIL MEMBER STEWART: Thank you.
20	CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Thank you. Any
21	other questions from members of the committee?
22	COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: I
23	CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: I have one,

and you have a Big Daddy's in my district, which

I'm very happy about, and I have a feeling we'll be frequenting. I mean, is there a sort of good housekeeping food allergy initiative seal of approval that restaurants like this carry so that—I mean, I assume within the network, parents know that some restaurants are better to go than others, but I was just wondering. Do you—

MR. PACENZA: If you could pass some laws against people maybe suing us if we did have a reaction, we might do that, but no, it's really word-of-mouth that works best. We certainly will tell people of restaurants that we've heard good things about, but I'm sure, as even Dean would agree, it's a different wait person who's waiting at your table, a different person in the kitchen, and on any given night there could be a different approach to cooking the meal. So, no, we don't endorse or give out our logo on the windows of restaurants, but certainly in a more informal way [crosstalk]--

COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: I'm sure the net, as Julie is shaking her head, I'm sure within the network, people know, right? Parents know.

MR. PACENZA: I would say if you go

2	to Big Daddy's and Dean is eating something at the
3	bar, order that, that's what I would say.
4	MS. MENIN: But I also think it's
5	like a network of mothers, you know, like I was
6	just telling you the resorts we've been to that
7	get it and it was literally because we did the
8	research because we had no choice, and then as we
9	found, you know, like I'm telling you, and then as
LO	you find other people with the allergy because
11	you're so aware of it all the time that it's
12	almost like your own network that you're creating.
L3	Until you guys can put your little seal on things.
L4	COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN; Thank you
L5	very much, thank you all very much.
L6	CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Thank you.
L7	We're going to call the next panel,
18	Robert Bookman, from the New York State Restaurant
L9	Association.
20	[Pause]
21	
22	FEMALE VOICE:written testimony?
23	MR. ROBERT BOOKMAN: No, I don't.
24	[Pause]
25	CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Thank you, just
	1

2.0

introduce yourself and you may proceed.

MR. BOOKMAN: Yes, still make it good morning, my name is Robert Bookman, I am here the new New York City legislative counsel to the New York State Restaurant Association representing the approximately 2,000 New York City restaurant members of our statewide association.

The New York State Restaurant

Association fully supports and stands ready to

work with both the Council and FAI. We had one of

our members in the panel before us, Dean Palin,

who him and his partners run excellent restaurants

in the city of New York.

We fully support the concept that much more needs to be done to deal with what is now being recognized as a growing area of concern and in many ways, as you've sort of touched on in the conversation here, a new area that in many ways goes outside the scope of what the Health Department normally deals with both in their training and in their regulations and their inspections.

Generally which it deals with food safety in general, keeping food safe, there is a

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

certain degree of cross-contamination issues that are part of the rules and regulations like Dean Palin mentioned about cutting boards. So while there is some inherent rules now that luckily deal with these issues, they're certainly not intended to, it just happens to do so.

But we're kind of dealing in a new area now where 100% of the existing rules are dealing with food safety issues that could impact 100% of the potential patrons with a new area where a small percentage of patrons might be impacted, but the impact in fact might be much more severe than the 99.9% of the other regulations that we deal with. So it's an area that we have to grow with together and work with together. And we certainly believe that more education and training of food handlers are a key elements here and we really think that that is the cart that needs to go first and not--oh, the horse needs to go before the cart--and I think it's clear from just sitting here today that we all could probably agree that that's not adequate.

Having said that, New York City is far, far ahead of most municipalities and

certainly the rest of the state in food handler training and, unfortunately, as a matter of fact, the governor just vetoed a statewide bill requiring pretty much what we have here in New York City, which is a food handler certificate in every restaurant in the state of New York. We, as an association, supported it, we were kind of surprised that it was vetoed.

Even with that, however, even with that we're light-years ahead, this is clearly an area that they're just starting to address. They have just started to add something to the curriculum, as you heard, they were a little bit vague on what, there's no testing on the material that they added in the curriculum. It's going to take a long time based on the current model for there to be a good education out there in the restaurant industry.

I think we as an association need to be better educated and need to do more. I'd be happy to reach out to FAI and work with them. We do have quarterly newsletters that go out to thousands of restaurant owners and we'd be happy to work with them on putting some of their

educational material on our newsletters that go out.

But as far as the bill that's before you, which is another sign law. In general, we oppose more signs in restaurants. I think it was an understatement when Elliott Marcus said there's a fierce competition for wall space with the all the required signs between the state and the city that we have to have now. He touched on some of them, there are actually many more than that between the State liquor Authority, the Health Department, the Fire Department, Consumer Affairs, between all of the warning signs, notice signs, complaint signs, and actual licenses, you could probably fill a wall up with the required signs.

And we think it comes to a point where we would ask the Council for any new sign law, we take a look at maybe an existing sign law and repeal an old sign law, so that—it just gets out of hand. And what happens is, invariably, it winds up as another fine that you had four of the signs of the ten that you're required, but you didn't have the fifth and the sixth and, even when

2.0

an agency comes in and you pass an inspection, it's an easy fine just to not have a certain sign up there and with the Health Department now, it's a \$200 minimum on any one of their rules and regulations. So we're having restaurants pass inspections and still \$1,000 worth of fines because there's four or five minor issues.

Got to have a little bit of consideration in this year to be adding more avenues where there could be fines when people--I think we're going to have a record number of restaurant closings in the city of New York in a generation.

know, I worry that food workers are not going to be reading these signs and where signs like this generally work best is when they are a reminder underscoring training that they've already received. As we've learned since they haven't had the training, I'm not sure what a sign as a waiter or waitress is quickly running in and out of a restaurant, I'm not sure how much of a sign is going to—how good it's going to do.

It's clearly not going to do any

harm, so we're not opposing it. If it keeps the issue in the minds of food workers, I think, it's certainly not a bad thing. But I think we need to keep it real and be honest with each other, I don't think it's going to be all that effective unless the training aspect is there first.

And I think that there was some discussion here about the equivalent of CLE, Continuing Legal Education, I know that as a lawyer I must do, it doesn't cost the government any because the CLE is left to the private sector to come up with approved courses and then they offer those courses, associations do it.

Something we may want to look at together and having some sort of continuing food education requirement for the industry, so we're not, like I said, we're pro-education, it's not something that's--I think it's a creative idea and I think we can work on together.

And finally, it's difficult for us to comment on this particular legislation because it's talking about language of a sign which we've never seen. Apparently there is some sort of draft going around, but it has not been shared

with the industry, we'd like an opportunity to
take a look at it. It sounds pretty benign, but
we would like to see it, we would like to see the
size of it to see if it would fit in many
kitchens. A lot of cool new restaurants, they
kind of an opening kitchen and there's really only
one wall and everything else is facing the public.
I'm not sure where that sign might go, I mean, we
need to work together on it.

aspect of the bill, the way I read it, it goes into effect 90 days after it becomes a law. It really needs to go into effect 90 days after the sign is approved by the Health Department and distributed, not 90 days after the law goes into effect because it may take a lot longer for them than that to have the sign readily available out there and we certainly don't want to be fining people for not having a sign that's not ready to be had yet.

So, with those minor caveats, we're happy to work with the Council and this important group in working on education, getting the owners and those captains in the restaurant well-educated

2.0

2.3

on this. Apparently their own survey indicates
that the industry is not well-versed on it and I
think food handlers and the lower-level people in
the kitchen, like any organization, it's from the
top down and if the top is well-educated and it's
concerned them, than everybody who works under
them, it will trickle down, but we have to start
with educating the top. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Thank you very much.

Before I hand it over to my colleagues, I just wanted to state that it's definitely a great day when we can definitely work together on concepts and ideas and how to make sure that allergy sufferers won't be suffering that much longer, and to ensure that what does happen within a restaurant is going to be something that's not overly burdensome on the restaurateur, but at the same time meets the goals that we are looking to implement over here.

So, I want to turn it over to my colleague, Council Member Jessica Lappin. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: Thank you.

And I'm very happy to hear that you are so eager and willing to work both with FAI and with us to get this bill passed. I think your technical suggestion is a totally fair one, I don't think it will take long for a sign to be developed and approved by the Health Department, but certainly until there is a sign that is approved and available, I think that's very fair. So I would ask the Council to make that change in an A version of the bill.

And just to say reassuringly, we will make sure that you see the draft, we could do that today I'm sure. It's not onerous, it's not large, it's not going to be problematic in any way, shape, or form, as you saw having a current restaurateur and a former restaurateur being so involved, they're very sensitive to those issues and are coming at it from a practical standpoint, and it's not going to be something that will be difficult in terms of the obligation.

I did also want to make sure that my colleagues knew, 'cause you mentioned about food service workers, etc., reading these, I think the legislation covers that these would be

2.0

provided in different languages and Council Member

Stewart--first of all, I didn't thank you for

signing on to the bill, thank you for signing on

to the bill--but that there are in different

communities, different needs, and different

workers, as you know as well, Councilwoman Sears

[off mic] your district that there would be the

sign available in different languages so that the

food service workers in that establishment

obviously would be able to read it.

And for just from my own perspective, while there may be requirements within restaurants for different posters, they're not all required to be in the kitchen, you know, there certainly are supposed to be sign by the bar informing pregnant women of the dangers of drinking alcohol, signs potentially in the bathroom that people who work there are supposed to wash their hands, etc., etc., but from my perspective, and having worked with people who are knowledgeable about the business, this is not going to be onerous in any way, shape, or form, and I think will help.

And, the last point I wanted to

make because I'm in agreement about the training
and the education is, I have another bill that has
been sent to this Committee that does deal with
the food handler's certificate and the curriculum
and the testing, which I mentioned earlier. But I
wanted to reiterate because, I agree, that we
should tackle this issue from many different
levels, from EpiPens in the ambulances, to posters
in the kitchen and there isn't one solution, but I
do think, particularly 'cause we keep talking
about today, not everybody in the kitchen has that
certificate, it's important for them to see what
the rules and the dangers are and I believe very
strongly in that.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Thank you,
Council Member Helen Sears.

COUNCIL MEMBER SEARS: [Pause] It's really a comment more than it is a question, but maybe it has a question at the same time. I appreciate where the bill is going and I thank you for that.

Just for the record, I have three children, two are severely asthmatic; I have six grandchildren and three of those six are severely-

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

-have allergies, so I'm very sensitive to that and I'm happy to hear that things can be worked out for the suggestions that have been brought forth.

My big concern and I understand what the thrust of it all is, but there's so much going in and out and it's not really the protection of the owners of the restaurants. The fact is there's so much changeover that -- how does --and it's the question I'm raising--is there a timeframe? If I hire Dr. Stewart and he doesn't have that, I cannot hire him without that certification or trickling it down to those that are doing other things? I think that we need to look at what exactly are we talking about--excuse me--in the education of those who work in a I think that's probably the trigger restaurant. point for me and not because--I've been in restaurants that I've walked out and, as far as I'm concerned, I think they should be closed. fact is though, the reality for many restaurants to stay in business, they are dealing with somebody who doesn't show up, they're dealing with waiters who do not show up, so I'm not sure what such regulations go. And I'm raising it because

2	that's the reality and that not every restaurant
3	has employees 15 and 20 years, they just don't.
4	They would like to do that 'cause it's far less
5	costly for them, but the reality is, they don't.
6	So I don't know and maybe my colleague
7	Councilwoman Lappin might look and consider just
8	what are we talking about. If it means that
9	anyone coming in and hasn't had the pre-education
10	of just understanding food and handling it, and
11	I'm not talking about the chef, they're entirely
12	different, but there are cooks, there are chefs
13	and there cooks, many chefs have cooks in the
14	kitchen. So does it mean the minute the person is
15	hired that lower down on that ladder, they need
16	that? Or is there a time for a new employee to
17	receive the education you're talking about?
18	We all want our restaurants to be
19	in good shape and do it. I also believe that
20	people that have allergies and they eat out in a
21	restaurant need to communicate that to the
22	appropriate person, it may be posted, but there we
23	are.

I'm concerned about a little more clarity on the education, and I would agree with

Mr. Bookman that education is very important, but at the same time, what are the requirements when they're hiring outside of that professional level of the chef, which has extreme requirements, and those that are hired to take my dish to my table? What is happening to the person who is cleaning all the pots? Who's examining--you talk about peanuts, and I agree with you, I mean, I have the same situation, in fact, one was lost and I won't go into that.

But the fact is whatever utensil you take, if it's been in peanuts, if it's not in a dishwasher that has got all those requirements—so we have a myriad of stuff and I think, rather than picking at all and removing all these layers, since education is one of the areas that is so emphasized, and I agree with that. I think we need to look at, does the proprietor have leeway and if he's having somebody for three days and he doesn't know—actors go out, they take jobs as waiters in between that, you all know that, that's life in New York City. So does this owner have leeway? I don't know and I think maybe in the degree of education, it's just so broad that I'd

2.0

2.3

have concerns about that.

But, I thank you for doing it, I think it's an important issue, I really do. I think we must also, if ever initiative is done, of how to reach parents and recognizing the importance it is on them to realize how important that allergy is and to educate their own children in what they can eat and what they can't eat.

So that was the comment I made and I raised the question to Mr. Bookman, but I'm not sure there's an answer at this time.

MR. BOOKMAN: Well no, I don't, but I think part of the answer here is that this bill, while not training everybody, like by having a sign, it kind of puts it in people's minds that don't have food handlers certificates that these are issues of concern.

But like I started with my

testimony, we're entering into a kind of a hybrid

area of regulation. The rules were very clear

before about who had to worry about food borne

illnesses. This is analogous to, but different,

from a food borne illness. Waiters and waitresses

weren't that concerned with food borne illnesses,

2	they weren't preparing the food, they weren't
4	chey weren c preparing the rood, they weren t
3	cutting it, they were keeping it refrigerated or
4	heated. Allergies involves everybody in the
5	restaurant and I think we need to go forward as
6	the doctor's testimony is very compelling and it's
7	a growing area and we allpublic sector, private
8	sector, and advocacy groupsneed to go forward
9	together and work this out.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: Would you
11	want allwould the Restaurant Association then
12	support all 200,000 restaurant workers
13	MR. BOOKMAN: Possible.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN:being
15	required to have to take testing and
16	certification?
17	MR. BOOKMAN: It wouldn't be
18	possible.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: Okay.
20	Thanks.
21	MR. BOOKMAN: It wouldn't be
22	possible. It would hold up employment
23	dramatically
24	COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: That's why
25	we're trying to find an alternative.

2	MR. BOOKMAN: Yeah, exactly. If
3	people who couldn't afford to take the course and,
4	therefore, would be unemployed.
5	CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Thank you. Do
6	any one of my colleagues haveCouncil Member
7	Kendall Stewart?
8	COUNCIL MEMBER STEWART: Mr.
9	Bookman, do you think this was ever any good, if
10	there was a sign posted at the entrance of the
11	restaurant, which states basically if you think
12	you have allergy to any of the following, and
13	we're talking about a big eight, please notify the
14	maitre d' or please notify someone in the
15	restaurant. You think that would serve any
16	purpose?
17	MR. BOOKMAN: I don't think a sign
18	at the door is the way to go in a hospitality
19	industry. To have, you know, it's almost a skull
20	and crossbones, beware, but there were some
21	discussion about increasingly restaurateurs
22	putting notices on menus, that might be a more
23	appropriate way to go, but I would have to go back
24	to the membership and see what they felt about

that. My guess is they are more inclined to menu

2	disclosures like that then a sign at the door.
3	COUNCIL MEMBER STEWART: Oh, you're
4	saying put it on the back of the menu?
5	[Crosstalk]
6	MR. BOOKMAN: Yeah, something, I
7	mean, I understand there's something similar to
8	analogous to that in Nassau County and other
9	jurisdictions about certain raw foods, if you're
10	having a raw egg in a food, there are some
11	disclosures. The Executive Director from FIA
12	testified about what might be a simple menu
13	warning, that's something we could talk about.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER STEWART: All right,
15	thank you.
16	CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Thank you very
17	much. Any other questions?
18	Seeing none, thank you very much.
19	MR. BOOKMAN: Thank you very much.
20	CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: We have one
21	more panels Jennifer Gardner, Susan Leavitt, and
22	Rochef [phonetic], I believe.
23	[Pause]
24	You can begin, just state your name
25	for the record. If you have any testimony to hand

2.0

		out,	just	give	it	to	the	Sergeant	-of-Arms.
--	--	------	------	------	----	----	-----	----------	-----------

[Pause]

MS. JENNIFER GARDNER: Hi, I'm

Jennifer Gardner [pause] Sorry, first time. My

name is Jennifer Gardner and I am pleased to have

the opportunity to speak about my experience as an

adult with multiple food allergies, which

allergies include a severe allergy to tree nuts.

I'm an attorney and the mother of two young children who, thankfully, have not as yet shown any signs of developing a food allergy, also because they don't eat anything.

The most frightening aspect of living with a food allergy is its unpredictability. A past mild reaction to an allergen is not necessarily an indicator of a mild reaction in the future. Unfortunately, food allergies can be progressive over time. One must remember that the next reaction might be lifethreatening and that's exactly what happened to me.

As a child, I broke out in a few hives after eating walnuts and learned that I had what I thought was a mild allergy to tree nuts.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Naturally, I became adept at avoiding hiveinducing foods throughout my young life. All was fine until one day in the spring of 1987. I was a 20-year-old junior in college and after a quick pasta lunch, I started walking to my class, which was housed in a building on campus. On the short walk to class, my throat started to feel scratchy, my nose closed up, and my stomach cramped. arrived at the building, I went straight to the ladies room and was shocked by my reflection in the mirror. My face had swollen beyond recognition and I was already dizzy and having great difficulty taking a full breath. No one was in the bathroom with me and, because my throat was closing, I was unable to call for help. Panicked that I would soon be unconscious on the bathroom floor, I somehow managed to crawl into the hall, which alerted passing students to my dire situation.

It took 15 minutes from the time I put down my fork at lunch to the time the student found me in the hallway and called 911. That's how little time it can take to go from being a healthy, active person to someone on the brink of

2 death.

The ambulance got me to the

emergency room quickly, but, unfortunately, that

was all they could do for me because there was no

epinephrine onboard. Later I learned that,

despite my best efforts to get accurate

information, there was pesto sauce that had nuts

in it in my lunch and clearly I'm very lucky to be

here today.

Twenty-two years later, the food service industry is far more aware of the needs of food allergic patrons. But even when restaurants make an effort to be allergy aware, the training is often incomplete or the communication is poor. In fact, last year I ate at a well-known Manhattan restaurant. Although two servers assured me that there were no nuts in my entrée or any appetizers on the table, by the end of dinner I had broken out in angry welts from head to toe and it took three weeks to recuperate.

I want to emphasize that I do not expect anyone to take unreasonable steps to protect me. I'm a responsible adult who always carries her EpiPen. I generally eat at familiar

2.0

2.3

restaurants and always make a point of alerting
servers to my food allergies. But most of the
mistakes I've encountered have been made by people
who mean well, but who simply lack basic
information

Your support of a training tool that sets consistent standards for restaurants throughout the city, like the poster we're discussing today, would make a big difference.

Thank you very much for listening to my story and for your respect for the concerns of New Yorkers with life-threatening food allergies.

MS. SUSAN LEAVITT: I'm Susan

Leavitt, I'm a parent of an allergic child. I'm

also a producer and I produced about a dozen

videos about food allergy to train restaurants, to

train food services, training EMTs, schools, and

caregivers, and grandparents.

I want to thank the members of the New York City Council for inviting us to comment on this piece of legislation. As I said, I'm the mother of an 18-year-old son with multiple lifethreatening food allergies. I had never even

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

heard of food allergies 16 years ago until my son, who was two, nearly died from only one sip of milk. His throat closed up within minutes. He carries an auto-injector of epinephrine with him at all times.

Since that time, my son's outgrown some of his severe food allergies, but is still allergic to dairy, nuts, fish, and shellfish. Now that list is made up of very short, very succinct words, little things, but the word dairy, that means no butter, no cheese, no milk, no ice cream, and nothing that even contains the tiniest amount of any of these allergens. Imagine yourselves not eating any of these ingredients at every single meal, at every single snack, every day of your lives because it could be fatal. And think about being a parent of a child who goes to birthday parties and what do they serve? Pizza and ice cream cakes. That's how we've lived our lives all these years.

Whenever out-of-towners ask me what's a good restaurant in New York City, I say anywhere. Where is it? Anywhere. I was born and raised in this city and know we have the best

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

restaurants in the world, from diners to worldclass chef-owned establishments. But my family
and I can't eat at many of them because they
aren't educated enough about food allergy. I'd
like all of you to imagine never going out to a
restaurant for a meal with family or friends or to
celebrate special occasions, because you don't
know if the food served will lead to a lifethreatening reaction.

It isn't only about asking about the food itself, as someone here said, but whether it's a hidden ingredient in a recipe, whether it's been cooked or prepared on the same surface or with other things you're allergic to. instance, French fries--if you're allergic to shellfish and they fried shrimp in the same oil in that fryer, you're likely going to have a reaction eating the French fries. Or if you're allergic to dairy and someone in the kitchen cuts a sandwich with cheese on it and then cuts your sandwich with the same knife, you can react. And did you also know that peanut butter is sometimes used as a secret thickening agent in chili? Many restaurant staffers aren't even aware of these issues and

2.0

provide misinformation to patrons. It's a veritable minefield.

One of your members mentioned about us being prepared, we carry a chef card all the time that lists my son's food allergies and we give them to the manager, to the chef, whomever. That helps us and we feel that we help the restaurants.

With 12 million Americans who have food allergies and a significant number of them fatal or near-fatal taking place in restaurants, something has to be done. We need to have restaurants be aware of food allergies and we need them to take us seriously.

We applaud the City Council for supporting a display of a food allergy poster, but we'd also like to see further steps taken to train restaurant and food service staff to understand these special needs. Video and written materials, as well as a poster already exist through the Food Allergy and Anaphylaxis Network, which is called FAAN. The FAAN poster has been submitted into the Council record and FAAN has an entire training program. I worked on the video for that, so I

2	know they do. Restaurants in New York City must
3	now show calorie content to help diners make
4	healthier choices when they eat. We think
5	restaurants need to understand and observe good
6	food allergy practices, not to make you healthier,
7	but to save your life. Thank you very much. It's
8	been an honor being before you.
9	CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Thank you very
10	much. Are there any questions? Jessica.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: Oh, you
12	ladies scare me. When I talked to Julia, when I
13	found out about my son, she scared the living
14	bejesus out of me. But that's what she needed to
15	do.
16	Thank you very much for coming here
17	and for sharing your stories, I know that they're
18	personal, and for all of your advocacy and I hope
19	that we will pass this bill and will do other
20	things as well to make our city safer.
21	CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Thank you.
22	Council Member Helen Foster.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER FOSTER: Quickly, and
24	I don't know how old your children are, but did
25	your son go to public school or private school or

how did you educate the school?

MS. LEAVITT: My son, we did both.

When he was really young, we were at a little

preschool and I spoke with the head of it and she

was a miracle to me 'cause my son had just been

diagnosed and she knew of an EpiPen, she knew how

to use it and, in fact, she did use it 'cause he

had a reaction. They were making Play-Doh out of

flour and, at that point, he had a wheat allergy,

and she gave him the shot, she did everything

right.

Public schools then scared me because I looked at those cafeterias and they were insane, and at this time no one did know about food allergies, in addition, plus school nurses were bye-bye. I grew up going to public schools here in New York, they were all let go years ago for space, for money, their offices were turned into classrooms, so I didn't feel it was safe. So we went to a private school, which also didn't have a nurse, but I told them what was wrong with my son, they did not believe me until I showed them the video that I had produced. I had told them about everything and they're like yes, yes,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

we can handle it, and then watched and went, oh my God, this is really serious. It made me not the neurotic mother, you had experts, you had Hugh Sampson, who is with Mount Sinai, was on this tape it had steps to take and what to do and they took it seriously then.

In fifth grade, we switched to public school. They did not have a nurse, but they had wonderful teachers who were willing to learn about the EpiPen. I trained everyone, I showed them videos that I had made, I worked with them, I did anything I could to keep my son safe and that worked perfectly. He went to Stuyvesant High School, he went out to eat, you have to start teaching them at a certain point to watch out for themselves and now I must say he is at a university where they are amazing. Talk about food services, they're feeding 15,000 kids at this university. They take such care of these kids who have food allergies to check that every single bit of food is safe, they will not allow them to eat at the buffets because spoons--

COUNCIL MEMBER FOSTER: Right.

MS. LEAVITT: --can be moved. They

2	prepare his meals for him in the back and they
3	don't let him take anything from out of there and
4	they make sure it's a clean pan, it's clean oil,
5	it's this and that. If a college can do it for
6	15,000 kids in that milieu and doing it for those
7	kids, you keep thinking that restaurants should be
8	able to do it. And with schools, it's catch as
9	catch can. Nurses have come back if you take out
LO	the 401? 403? What is it?
11	MALE VOICE: 504.
12	MS. LEAVITT: 504, thank you. Under
L3	the Americans with Disabilities Act, they have to
L4	provide
15	COUNCIL MEMBER FOSTER: Right.
L6	MS. LEAVITT:services, but it's a
L7	crapshoot, as they say.
L8	COUNCIL MEMBER FOSTER: Right, and
L9	your children haven't shown any signs yet, right
20	MS. GARDNER: No, so far, but I have
21	more friends with children who have allergies and
22	my house is a safe house.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER FOSTER: Right.
24	MS. GARDNER: And the schools that
25	we've gone toboth private school, nursery

2	school, and onhave been very receptivenut-free
3	classrooms, nut-free tables, really no peanut
4	butter in the whole school. They've been very
5	receptive and they take it very seriously.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER FOSTER: Great.
7	Thank you.
8	CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Thank you very
9	much, ladies, and thank you for joining us here
10	today. Your testimony was extremely important and
11	we definitely appreciate your presence here.
12	Thank you.
13	MS. GARDNER: Thank you.
14	MS. LEAVITT: Thank you very much.
15	CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Seeing no other
16	panelists, I'm going to call this meeting to
17	close.
18	And thank you, ladies and
19	gentlemen, for joining us here today. Thank you,
20	Council Member Lappin, for the introduction of
21	this bill and being a strong advocate for the
22	public and in reference to this issue. Thank you.
23	Meeting is adjourned.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER FOSTER: And if I
25	may, if I'm not already signed on, I need to be

1	COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 107
2	would like to be, please.
3	CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Perfect.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER FOSTER: Thank you.
5	CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Thank you.
6	Meeting is adjourned.
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	

I, Tammy Wittman, certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

	Janny Wittman	
Signat	cure	-
Date	February 10, 2009	