CITY COUNCIL CITY OF NEW YORK

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

----X

January 26, 2009 Start: 10:30 am Recess: 01:58 pm

Hearing Room HELD AT:

250 Broadway, 16th Floor

B E F O R E:

JAMES F. GENNARO

Chairperson

## COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Bill de Blasio G. Oliver Koppell Domenic M. Recchia Jr. Peter F. Vallone Jr. Melissa Mark-Viverito Thomas White Jr. Mathieu Eugene Elizabeth Crowley John C. Liu

Daniel R. Garodnick

Simcha Felder

## APPEARANCES

Robert Avaltroni
Deputy Commissioner
Department of Environmental Protection

Kizzy M. Charles-Guzman
Policy Adviser on Air Quality
Mayors Office o Long Term Sustainability
And Planning

Geraldine Kelpin
Director of Air Policy and Noise Policy and
Enforcement
Department of Environmental Protection

Dean McCann Executive Director of Production and Operations NYC Mayors Office of Film, Theater & Broadcasting

James Basile Assistant Commissioner for Fleet & Technical Services FDNY

John Peruggia Chief of Emergency Medical Service FDNY

Eugene Varnedoe Student PS 122

Stuart Match Suna President Silvercup Studios

John Johnston
Executive Director
Production Equipment Rental Association

Matthew Miller
President/CEO
Association of Independent Commercial Producers

## A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Angela Miele VP, State Tax Policy Motion Picture Association of America

Paul Jordan VP of Environment, Health & Safety NBC Universal

Clyde Hart VP of Governmental Affairs American Bus Association

Isabelle Silverman Attorney Environmental Defense Fund

Anhthu Hoang General Counsel WE ACT for Environmental Justice

Claire Barnett Member Healthy Schools Network

Tom O'Donnell Secretary/Treasurer Theatrical Teamsters Local 817

Simon Broad COO ARRI CSC

Faraj Tommy Kharieh Lightnin Production Rentals

Russell Sagerman Technical Director Feature Systems Inc.

Joseph Bellis Director of Environmental Affairs Universal Studios

## A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Peter L. Burrows
Location PowerSource

Cecilia Galarraga Program Associate Asthma Free School Zone

Harriet Picker Resident New York City

Rebecca Kalin Director Asthma Free School Zone

Thomas Hillgardner Executive Director NYC Parking Justice League

Ann Warner Arlen Environment Committee Community Board Manhattan

Ellen Peterson-Lewis Environmental Committee Community Board 2

2	CHAIRPERSON	GENNARO:	Short	with

their comments. I'm going to hold myself to the same standard. I won't elaborate on each of the bills and what they do. People who are here know the bill that you're hear for. There's no need for me to tell you what the bill is about. With that said, I would ask the counsel to the committee to swear in the panel. Let the record state that the panel is duly sworn. I want to thank you, Bob, and I want to thank you Gerry. I don't know this young lady, but I'm sure Bob will give an introduction.

ROBERT AVALTRONI: It's Kizzy M. Charles-Guzman.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Kizzy, of course. I would ask that you state your name for the record and proceed with your good testimony.

Please to have you here today.

ROBERT AVALTRONI: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman. Thank you, members of the Council. I'm

Bob Avaltroni, Deputy Commissioner of the

Department of Environmental Protection. I'm

pleased to be here with you today. To my left is

Gerry Kelpin. She is our Director of Air Policy

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and Noise Policy and Enforcement. To my right is Kizzy M. Charles-Guzman, who is the Policy Advisor on Air Quality in the Mayors Office of Long Term Sustainability and Planning. On behalf of acting Commissioner Steve Lawitts, I thank you for the opportunity to testify on three bills that address aspects of reducing motor vehicle idling in New York City. Mayor Bloomberg, Plan NYC 2030, outlines initiatives on many fronts to improve air quality. A number of them focus on reducing unlawful motor vehicle idling. The administration welcomes the council's partnership is addressing this quality of life issue. In my testimony I will comment on Intro. 631-A, which would restrict motor vehicle idling to one minute adjacent to a school, Intro. 40-A, which proposes to grant enforcement power to the Department of Parks and Recreation and Sanitation, and Intro. 881-A, which would require handheld parking ticket devices utilized by the TEAs to be capable of issuing notice of violation for idling. New York City's prohibition on idling exists in Section 24-163 of Title 24, Chapter One of the Administrative Code and the Rules of the City of New York. Section

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

24-163 provides that with certain exceptions, no vehicle in the city is allowed to idle more than three minutes while parked, stopped or standing. The DEP enforcement personnel, NYPD officers and some TEAs are authorized to issue notices of violations, returnable to the Environmental Control Board. In 2003 and 2004, personnel of the Department of Parks and Sanitation respectively were delegated by DEP to enforce 24-163 and they too can write NOVs. NOVs written pursuant to 24-163 are adjudicated before ECB, which now is under the Office of Administrative Trials and Hearings. Penalties on a finding of guilt range from \$220 to Intro. 631-A of 2008 would prohibit motor \$1,000. vehicle idling for more than one minute adjacent to a school. School buses are included in the restriction, but with three exceptions: mechanical work, maintenance of appropriate temperature and emergency evacuations necessitating operation of a wheelchair lift. The bill also directs ECB and the Department of Finance to submit annual reports to the council on violations of the idling law and the total amount of penalties imposed. Finally, the bill would

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

require that instruction of idling laws be included in the licensing of taxi, van and other drivers. Prohibiting more than one minute of idling adjacent to a school is an improvement over existing law and the administration supports the passage of this bill. However, as I testified previously, and as reflected in the language of the amended bill, the precise meaning of the term adjacent will be defined by DEP rule. important for both vehicle operators and enforcement personnel to be able to understand where on the street the one minute restriction applies and DEP rule will need to provide that clarity. Intro. 40-A of 2006 grants issuing authority for idling violations to Parks and Sanitation and extends the scope of an existing, if little used, citizen complaint provision to include trucks as well as buses. As I mentioned at a previous hearing, DEP has delegated that authority in 2003 and 2004 respectively to Parks and Sanitation. DEP's experience with improving enforcement by delegation is very positive. Because it usually occurs in the context of a collaborative effort and as a targeted enforcement

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

However, if the council passes this bill efforts. and it is signed into law, DEP and its sister agencies will continue their successful collaboration and the target enforcement of the anti-idling laws and DEP supports the legislation, as I'm sure you would support our collaborative effort. DEP staff recollects the citizen complaint provision having been used only a few times. I think it is important to note that the function of this provision has been successfully superseded by 311. Regardless of whether complaints are reported via this provision or 311, DEP enforcement staff experiences difficult enforcing with regard to trucks. Whereas buses tend to lay over and idle at the same locations day after day, trucks generally do not. said, DEP does not oppose the modification of the citizen complaint provision in Intro. 40-A. Intro. 881-A would require the implementation of technology to allow traffic enforcement agents, TEAs, to issue summonses with their handheld parking ticket device. The devices are not capable of issuing NOVs returnable to ECB, so the summonses would be instead issued pursuant to

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Section 4-08P of the city's Traffic Rules which prohibits engine idling in much the same manner as Administrative Code Section 24-163. Thanks to the urging of the council, Finance has already published the proposed rule that will allow TEAs to write summonses to violation of the idling provision contained with the Traffic Rules. A public hearing is scheduled for February 7, 2009 and of course we welcome that. Approximately 100 TEAs will continue to be able to issue notices of violations returnable to the Environmental Control Board for violation of Section 24-163. But this change will make all 2,300 TEAs available to write summonses in violation of this Traffic Rule, returnable to Finance. We expect that by April the traffic violation will be enforceable. Therefore the worthy goal of Intro. 881-A has already been accomplished by rule using existing authority. The Corporation Counsel recommends that we avoid creating even the impression that authorizing legislation would be needed for this agency rule change. For this reason the administration does not support passage of this legislation. However, if the council still wishes

to pass the legislation, the administration suggests the following language, which improves the accuracy of the provision. I quote. "Parking ticket devices used by the Department to enforce laws, rules and regulations related to parking violations shall be capable of issuing summonses for violations of the engine idling restrictions of Section 4-08 of the Rules of the City of New York, consistent with the rules of the Department of Finance." Again, we are in agreement I think. I think it's just how we get there. Thank you for the opportunity to testify again. We would be glad to answer any questions.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you,
Bob. I appreciate your statement. Let me
introduce some of the members that we have here.

I've already indicated the Council Member Tom
White is here. We're also joined by Council
Member Peter Vallone from Queens. We have Dan
Garodnick, who was the author of Intro. 881-A.

Nice to have you, Dan. Council Member John Liu,
who is the author of Intro. 631-A. I would like
to give this opportunity to Council Member John

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Liu to make a statement regarding his bill, Intro. 2 3 40-A and pose any questions that he has. recognize Council Member Liu.

COUNCIL MEMBER LIU: Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman, for holding this hearing and for bringing these bills closer to passage. are considering an important set of bills that relate to idling or the pervasiveness of idling in New York City. It is high time that we more strictly enforce idling laws that currently exist on the books, as well as make it easier to enforce those idling laws. And in particular to my bill, Intro. 631-A, that's a bill that would strengthen the anti-idling laws, especially on streets right next to schools where day in and day out we see many vehicles idling unnecessarily while kids are in school and therefore breathing in the fumes. We understand that the fumes tend to stay locally and so it makes perfect sense to curb the idling in the immediate vicinity of schools. I think we'll have a number of young leaders of New York City testifying to that effect today. I really want to thank all of the school kids for coming out today to testify on this important issue.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

have dozens more outside and in the lobby. We apologize for the cramped quarters that we have here today. But nonetheless, it only magnifies the importance of this particular issue. I want to say something about the anti-idling laws in general, even before we get to the health aspects. We as a city should send a very strong message, even if it may hurt some people in terms of making it more inconvenient for them that we need people to shut the engines off. In today's world, with modern technology and the technology of vehicles, there really is no reason to keep engines idling. Just shut off and turn it back on whenever you need to get moving again. Unfortunately last week this city was witness to the most horrific tragedy you could possibly imagine where two young kids; Diego Martinez, a 3-year-old and Hayley Ng, a 4year-old; were moved down by a commercial van not too far away from where we're sitting right now. These two kids were going along with the rest of their daycare class from the library back to their daycare center, walking on the sidewalk when all of the sudden a van backed into a group of 14 little kids, killing these 2 kids. The reason

that the police have ascertained this accident 2 3 happened was because a van was left idling by the 4 driver. The driver left his van to go into a store, thinking that he had left the van idling in 5 park, when unfortunately it was left in reverse. 6 And so while the van driver thought that he was 7 8 idling his van, he went inside the store and that van ultimately backed up, picked up speed and 9 10 backed into this group of small children. the unfortunate necessity of having to attend 11 12 these two incredible heart-wrenching funerals 13 yesterday morning. Really we can't have idling in this city. It just doesn't make any sense. 14 15 should be strengthening the anti-idling laws. With regard to our schoolchildren and their 16 17 health, let's make sure that the laws are strengthened. Let's limit the idling rule to one 18 19 minute next to schools, not three minutes. 20 not about allowing people to count up to 59 21 seconds rather than 3 minutes. The message is 22 Just shut the engine off. When you have clear. 23 to get moving, turn it back on. But for now, if you're going to stick around for any length of 24 25 time, just shut the engine off. That is what

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

later on?

ROBERT AVALTRONI: We have given it significant thought, Council Member Liu, and I thank you for your comments. We certainly agree with what you said in its entirety. I think what we want to do is we want to really be able to hone in so we have an enforceable environment. term adjacent has several interpretations. want to remove any shadow of a doubt with the meaning of adjacent, so it's a clear understanding to those that do idle what adjacent means and where it will be enforced. Again, we're talking specifically by schools. Again, I think I think I alluded in my testimony is getting out the message to people that this is what exists as sort of like a training device. It's a behavior modification. That this is what adjacent means and in fact, if you do not comply with the law as written, you will be subject to enforcement.

COUNCIL MEMBER LIU: Thank you very much, Commissioner. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

at hand. I think that you noted that appropriately in your testimony as well. My view is that the idling enforcement is not at the level where it needs to be in this city. I think there were about 500 summonses issued throughout the entire city in the course of a year. That's less than two a day in the entire city. In my view, for all intents and purposes, idling is an unenforced infraction. I was glad to hear that the Department of Finance, at the urging of this council, has moved to create by rule what we are attempting to do by legislation. This is good news and certainly something that we like to hear. I appreciate your point that the Corp Counsel has said to you that they did not want to prejudice the position of the Department of Finance. you can do this by rule. Nothing you said today limits or inhibits anybody's ability to do that. I wanted to recognize that point.

ROBERT AVALTRONI: That is correct, Councilman.

COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: You pointed out that this was already accomplished by Rule. This is not yet a rule, is that correct?

ROBERT AVALTRONI: That is correct. COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: That's what you had in the testimony and I just want to

23

24

25

clear that up. Also, it is your expectation that
it's passed, but nothing happens until it actually
happens. So what I'm concerned about is changing
our legislation to refer to the Rule where the
Rule has not yet been passed. We may pass this
legislation tomorrow. We may pass this
legislation next week. We could pass this
legislation well before April. For us to refer to
the Rule which has not yet been passed is a point
that concerns me. Do you want to address that?
ROBERT AVALTRONI: Yes. I hear

your concern. But I think what I said in my testimony, and I think you would agree, is that we are saying it's not necessary to have legislation. We also say that we would support the legislation with the modification of the verbiage. therefore, I think that is a very clear, 100% support by the administration of your intent.

COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: delighted to hear that and we look forward to working with you on this. We're glad to hear that everybody seems to be in agreement as to the goals and purposes of this legislation. We thank you for your testimony.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Let me join

the chorus of people who are welcoming the

23

24

25

point.

ROBERT AVALTRONI: We can only do idling. If I can go a step further, I think what we're doing today is taking a very big, bold step

23

24

25

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 24
2	collaboratively with the Council to ensure, for
3	instance, that we restrict from three minutes to
4	one minute. What we're speaking about here are
5	enforcement capabilities that DEP would be
6	relegated to the areas that we have defined
7	before. DEP does not have the authority to check
8	registration and licenses. I think we're going a
9	long way in what we're supporting here today.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER WHITE: No doubt. I
11	was interested in the number of violations that
12	were issued. I believe the number was 500 last
13	year.
14	ROBERT AVALTRONI: I believe that
15	is correct, as Council Member Garodnick did say.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER WHITE: What agency
17	is responsible for issuing those type of
18	violations?
19	ROBERT AVALTRONI: DEP would issue
20	the violations with respect to idling. But as
21	I've alluded to in my testimony, we've given
22	delegation to several other agencies as well.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER WHITE: Such as?
24	ROBERT AVALTRONI: The Department
25	of Sanitation, the Department of Parks and as I

and then we can craft some sort of statement to

put on the record that makes the planets realign.

Why don't we do that? Gerry, why don't you have a

sidebar with Laura Popa. In the meantime I'll

recognize Council Member Eugene, who has a

question for Bob. Council Member Eugene?

very much, Mr. Chair. Thank you, sir, for your testimony. I want to thank also the Chair for this hearing. This is very important. All of us want to have a cleaner and healthier New York. We want to prevent people, especially children, from getting sick. We know prevention is the best medicine for it. I don't know if you said this before. I came late because I had another hearing on the other side of City Hall. By passing this law, how much pollution will we be able to reduce? I don't know if you mentioned that before.

ROBERT AVALTRONI: I did not,

Council Member Eugene. I don't know that we could

qualify it, but obviously what we are doing would

have an impact on our air quality. It certainly

would reduce pollution because we are enhancing

our enforcement capability. We are also changing

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the rules so the limited number of minutes that you can have idling is changed significantly. Also I think we're creating an environment of awareness to all those that do idle, for whatever reason, that in fact we are very serious by the fact that we've come collaboratively with the Council to support legislation that's going to create less pollution by enforcing against those that do not cooperate. Of course, our objective is to force the compliance. I think that would be the objective of the Council as well. I think that while we can't put a qualitative measure in terms of exactly how much pollution would be reduced, it certainly will have an impact by creating a behavior modification the reduction of air pollution.

COUNCIL MEMBER EUGENE: You probably won't be able to answer this one either. We know pollution can trigger asthma, allergies and a lot of other respiratory diseases. In terms of asthma, do you have an idea how much asthma we would be able to prevent?

ROBERT AVALTRONI: I think it would be impossible for us to make a qualitative comment

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

on that. But I think that our health officials would agree, and that's why the Bloomberg administration supports this completely. As I alluded to in my testimony, the Mayor's Plan 2030, takes into consideration many elements with regard to the environment and the air that we breathe. The mayor is very serious, as you all know, with respect to that initiative. This is something that will just enhance our ability to reduce pollution in the city.

COUNCIL MEMBER EUGENE: I was a physician myself. I commend you for that. is a wonderful thing to do. We have to prevent Reducing pollution is going to be very disease. important to prevent respiratory disease, asthma and other allergies. I have one concern. I know that parents have to drive their children to school and pick up their children from school. Some of the time it is impossible for them to park their car two or three blocks, you know. This is going to put a lot of burden on the parent. They're going to receive a lot of tickets. Do you have something that you envision to alleviate the burden on the parents, especially those who have

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

physically challenged children? I'm thinking about dropping off their children or picking up their children. And small children cannot cross the street. They have to go close to the school to pick up their children.

ROBERT AVALTRONI: This sort of takes me a little off course of what we're talking I do agree with your asthma analogy. I about. appreciate the fact that you know quite well from a medical profession that what we're doing is important. With respect to making things easier, it's something we always want to do. It enhances the quality of life. I don't think it's addressed specifically in the bills that have been proposed by the Council or something that we have talked about at this hearing. Of course, we do want to make it accessible, as you said, to those that are handicapped so that they have entry to the schools without any type of frustration level. We do also want to ensure that parents, as you said, have the opportunity to be close to the school and to have their children arrive very safely. But I don't know that it's really covered in this area. But that's not to preclude us from having a further

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

two pieces of legislation are being proposed that will increase the summonses issued by the city, day in and day out. I hope my prophecy is false. But as it is, we have a problem, not with all, but with certain agents that I would say are vultures waiting to issue summonses. Dr. Eugene brought up a simple example of a parent trying to pick up or drop of their kid. One minute? What is that all about? Idling for one minute. The legislation itself, if it had addressed trucks and buses specifically that would make sense to me. I've done a little research together with my staff about the impact on the environment. People talk about asthma and the environment. The studies that I have seen, I challenge anybody here, and I know there are a number of you here, to show me the significant improvement by decreasing the idling from three minutes to one minute for cars that are dropping off the children. You can't just take everything that is important and make it seem like you're the devil if you oppose it. is not about the safety of children. This is not about the asthma. This is not about anything else other than the fact that we will have a situation

where parents will be ticketed before they can even turn off their engines. Why are parents driving cars to drop off their children by school going to be ticketed for 61 seconds? Sixty seconds is not a long amount of time. With all due respect to you, Commissioner, they can't quantify it. I'm not saying there is no improvement whatsoever. But with one piece of legislation we are going ahead and giving and opportunity for more departments to issue summonses, as though there's not enough yet.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Council

Member Felder, I've given you some latitude to

make a statement. I think you have been clear in

your statement. I'm going to ask you if you have

direct questions for the panel to pose those

questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER FELDER: Can you please tell me whether you've done research on how many more summonses will be issued or you'll be able to issue with cutting down the time from three minutes to one minute?

ROBERT AVALTRONI: I'm glad you asked that question, Councilman. The intent is

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

not to have a revenue stream to increase the issuance of summonses. The intent is to have compliance. The intent is to ensure, as Chairman Gennaro has pointed out, as the various councilmen have pointed, and specifically Councilman Liu, is to change the behavior attitude of those that are idling. It's not meant to be a browbeating form or methodology to be employed that will harass people. We are changing the rules, we agree with this council and this committee and the mayor feels very emphatic about that support. But it's not one that we're looking to browbeat individuals. We are merely asking for compliance. So I hope we are taking away any distinct impression that you have that this bill and our support of the legislation that's put forth here is one to generate revenue for the city. This is about health. I think Council Member Liu brought up a very good point with regard to safety because health, in fact, covers safety. This is not about harassment. It's about getting the message out, as I alluded to in my testimony. Getting the message out to those that choose to idle what the rules and regulations are and what the

2 expectations are. So I hope I've clarified that
3 point.

say, if there was any misunderstanding, I never ever believed that the intention was to bring revenue into the city. That wasn't my point.

That is ultimately what will happen. Would you be in favor of leaving the three minute rule by schools because parents are not going to be able to pick up or drop off their kids without getting summonses.

ROBERT AVALTRONI: The answer to that is no. Again, what we're doing is we're taking a commonsense approach. We are not looking to harass parents. I think we've made it abundantly clear, as this council and this committee has made. We're making it abundantly clear that we care about the health of these kids. We care about the safety of these kids. These bills that are set forward do not compromise that. So there's no form of harassment here. There's a clear message to be sent to all involved. I assure those from PS 122, the parents of PS 122, that that is not the intent of this legislation.

Τ	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 3
2	I think most of the council members would agree
3	with me.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER FELDER: I'd just
5	like to conclude by repeating what I said earlier.
6	I never every suggested that was the intent of the
7	bill. So I don't know why you said that twice.
8	But the point that Dr. Eugene made about parents
9	with children who are disabled, they're going to
10	get a minute as well to drop off their children.
11	ROBERT AVALTRONI: It will be
12	consistent. We hope we don't have to enforce at
13	all. We hope we have a steady forum of
14	compliance. We hope that our children arrive
15	safely. We hope they are not inconvenienced. We
16	hope that their health and safety is first and
17	foremost in all our efforts.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER FELDER: Thank you
19	very much.
20	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you,
21	Council Member Felder. I recognize Council Member
22	Liu for a very, very brief comment to rebut a
23	statement made.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER LIU: Not so much to
25	rebut. Mr. Chairman. I certainly understand where

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Council Member Felder is coming from. Council Member Felder and I are working on legislation that will reduce unnecessary and excessive ticketing of laws and rules that don't make much Here in this case we understand that it's sense. difficult for parents, but nonetheless, there is a distinction that has to be made. No parking and no standing tickets have absolutely nothing to do with whether an engine is on or off. That's an important point that we need to understand. Secondly, remember that it's only a short period of time that parents are dropping off and picking up their kids. During the rest of the day there's a lot of time when cars are excessively idling. We have to take care of the rest of the day when there is really no need for any vehicle to be idling next to a school. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thirty seconds. We have to move the hearing forward. We have many other bills and many other topics.

COUNCIL MEMBER FELDER: I agree with Council Member Liu. So therefore, the law should only take place the rest of the day, not pick up or drop off time. That would solve the

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 38
2	problem.
3	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Let's not
4	turn the hearing into a caucus. Duly noted.
5	Earlier there was some misunderstanding and you
6	had a conversation and you want to clarify on the
7	record. I recognize Gerry Kelpin.
8	ROBERT AVALTRONI: That's a good
9	recognition.
10	GERALDINE KELPIN: Thank you. I
11	think we were just talking at cross purposes
12	there, so I apologize for the confusion. Just as
13	a way of clarification, the bill that we're
14	considering applies to all vehicles adjacent to
15	schools. The exceptions in the State Education
16	Law for school buses would still apply. Those
17	exceptions are: mechanical work, maintenance of
18	appropriate temperature and emergency evacuations
19	if you needed to keep the lift on. We hope that
20	that clarifies it.
21	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you for
22	that clarification. With no further questions for
23	this panel, I'd like to thank the panel for your
24	testimony and I look forward to working with you.
25	ROBERT AVALTRONI: Thank you, Mr.

2 Chairman.

3	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: We'll have
4	the rest of the speakers from the Bloomberg
5	administration. We're going to be calling
6	representatives of the Bloomberg administration
7	who will testify on the film generator bill,
8	authored by Alan Gerson. That would be Intro.
9	684. We have Dean McCann from the Mayor's Office
10	of Film. Also just to keep things moving, we have
11	a representative from the fire department, James
12	Basile, and John Peruggia, who will speak to the
13	preconsidered Intro, authored by Council Member
14	Koppell. If we can have the representatives of
15	the fire department come forward and be paneled.
16	We're tracking down the representatives of the
17	fire department. We think maybe they spilled over
18	into the other room. Maybe they felt
19	uncomfortable being in a room that violated fire
20	department standards for crowds. It could get a
21	little tricky. You know how that goes. What
22	happens on the 16th Floor stays on the 16th Floor.
23	This is being done in an attempt to keep the
24	hearing moving as quickly as possible. We have
25	Dean McCann from the Mayor's Office of Film, who

2	DEAN MCCANN: My name is Dean
3	McCann. I'm the executive director of production
4	and operations for the New York City Mayor's
5	Office of Film, Theater and Broadcasting. As a
6	first film commission in the country, the New York
7	City Mayor's Office's mission is to market New
8	York City as a prime location, facilitate location
9	production, provide premier customer service and
10	liaison with business decision makers to grow the
11	media industry in New York. New York City's
12	entertainment industry employs over 100,000 New
13	Yorkers, supports 4,000 ancillary businesses and
14	contributes over \$5 billion to the city's economy
15	annually. Last year, the Mayor's Office of Film,
16	Theater and Broadcasting assisted over 27,000
17	shoot days on public property. The agency issues
18	one-stop permits for entertainment production on
19	public property, provides police assistance and
20	traffic coordination, promotes New York City as
21	the ultimate location for entertainment production
22	and supports initiatives designed to enhance the
23	city's competitive position as a global center for
24	entertainment production. The permit issued on an
25	expedited basis to an industry that works on

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

extremely compressed timeframes in an environment where every-increasing competition for entertainment jobs and revenue grows from neighboring regions, such as Connecticut, Massachusetts, Pennsylvania, and New Jersey, just to name a few. The MOFTB permit represents a snapshot of activity occurring at a specific date and time on a specific location, which may include the coordination from other city agencies and services, such as the Buildings, Fire and Police Departments. While our agency issues permits for the general onsite activity, it relies on these sister agencies to regulate activities that are specific to their jurisdiction. The MOFTB is supportive of efforts to green the entertainment industry, including the use of fuels that would reduce the emission of harmful pollutants. year we launched a section on our website entitled, "NYC Green Screen," which encourages film and television productions to recycle and employ the best practices when working on location. We are in agreement with the overall goals of Intro. 684, but we respectfully are recommending changes to this bill. First, Intro.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

684-A seems to unfairly target the film and television production industry with steeper fines and harsher restrictions than similar events also permitted by New York City. This includes events such as the street activities identified in Intro. 6899. It is MOFTB's understanding that the generators used in film and television production are the same generators used by street fairs, block parties and construction sites. proposed penalty for violation in Intro. 684-A is \$5,000 versus a penalty of \$500 for violation in Intro. 899, which recommends ULSD fuel and best available technology in generators used for street fairs and other events for which a street activity is permitted. There should be no bias against film and television projects. We suggest equal fines for similar violations. Additionally, Intro. 684-A requires the use of ULSD fuel and best available retrofit technology to reduce at least 85% of particulate matter. This implies the use of such retrofits as diesel particulate filters, DPFs, which can cost upwards of \$6,000. Though situations could arise where a generator could not be retrofitted with this type of

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

technology, our rules allow for the occasional exception while still demanding the cleanest technology possible. Intro. 899 leaves the determination of best available technology to the DEP commissioner. This could possibly include the use of diesel oxidation catalysts, DOCs, and selective catalytic reduction technology, SCR, reducing a lesser percentage of particulate matter and with costs starting near \$1,000. For the sake of regulatory consistency of generators and in keeping with all of the other bills that have been passed by the council regarding the use of ULSD, the Mayor's Office recommends that the determination of best available technology for retrofits rest with DEP. Intro. 684-A proposes a two-year phase in period for rental companies and production companies. The Mayor's Office of Film, Theater and Broadcasting suggests a phase in period of at least five years for compliance. In addition, at the current levels of film production, film and TV shows are drawing equipment from neighbor states, such as Connecticut, New Jersey, and Pennsylvania, which may not have the same green fuel requirements as

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

New York City. MOFTB has concerns that a shortage of available equipment with the retrofit technology and/or the required fuel would negatively impact levels of film and television production in New York City. New York State and City tax incentives were created in 2005 to increase film and television production in New The success of this program has created York. thousands of jobs and benefited the economy of New York City. An MPAA memo in opposition to Intro. 684-A concludes that with these limitations, along with steep fines associated with noncompliance could lead to a measurable drop in production levels in New York City. New York City is a competitive location for film and television production. In this economic environment, the concern sit that a requirement for costly retrofitting would threaten New York City's standing as an affordable, easy place to work. imposed regulation that increases the cost of filming in New York City could lessen the allure of the tax credit. MOFTB recommends a waiver provision if compliance with Intro. 684 results in a shortage of generators with best available

names for the record and commence with your

testimony. I have one copy of testimony. There's

24

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 47
2	one statement from the fire department?
3	JAMES BASILE: One statement.
4	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Please
5	proceed. Please state your name for the record.
6	JAMES BASILE: My name is James
7	Basile. I'm an assistant commissioner with the
8	New York City Fire Department.
9	JOHN PERUGGIA: John Peruggia,
10	chief of emergency medical services.
11	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: A pleasure to
12	have you here.
13	JAMES BASILE: Thank you. Good
14	morning, Chairman Gennaro and Council Members. My
15	name is James Basile and I'm the assistant
16	commissioner for the FDNY Fleet and Technical
17	Services. With me is FDNY Chief of Emergency
18	Medical Service, John Peruggia. Thank you for the
19	opportunity to speak with you today regarding the
20	council bill requiring idle reduction technology
21	in ambulances. Since New York City EMS merged
22	into the FDNY in 1996, we have significantly
23	improved response times to medical emergencies,
24	cut response times to cardiac emergencies almost
25	in half and nearly doubled the number of

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

defibrillator-equipped units on the streets. The most significant accomplishment has been the dramatic decrease of response times to medical emergencies, from 8 minutes 46 seconds in 1996 to 6 minutes 38 seconds for EMS responses to the most serious life threatening emergencies in 2008. We're also responding to more calls since the In 2008, most than 1.2 million calls for merger. emergency medical assistance were answered in New York City. Of these, 449,245 were calls for incidents considered to be life threatening. the time of the merger, we had 637 eight-hour ambulance tours daily. We now have 959, which is a 50% increase. Since the merger we have also increased the FDNY ambulance fleet. At the time of the merger, we had 504 FDNY eight-hour ambulance tours daily. We now have 611, which is a 21% increase. We also continue to augment our fleet of hazardous tactical, HazTac, ambulances, staffed with hazmat trained personnel. department has now trained and deployed personnel for 35 HazTac ambulances. We had ten just a few years ago. I provide these statistics to point out the progress we have made and the increases we

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

have seen in our EMS fleet, our tours and our responses. This progress parallels, but is no less significant than the progress we have made in procuring and maintaining an increasingly environmentally friendly fleet of ambulances. you know, the FDNY is committed to the health and safety of New Yorkers. This includes doing our part to improve the air they breathe. The U.S. Environment Protection Agency, EPA, has regulated on road diesel emissions through the Clean Air Act since 1970. The EPA standards for on and off road engines set maximum allowable levels of emissions for new engines and diesel fuel. In December, 2000, the EPA set forth strict emission standards for diesel vehicles with model years 2007 and later and diesel fuel regulations that limit the sulfur content in on-highway diesel fuel to 15 parts per million, down from the 500 parts per million. The FDNY is on schedule to comply will all of the prevailing emission requirements by acquiring vehicles that meet the EPA's 2010 diesel emission standards to replace any existing vehicles that are retired from our fleet. addition, by 2010, we plan to use technology in

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

which the chemical urea is injected into the diesel engine exhaust to reduce the amount of nitrous oxide emissions. This urea system, known as selective catalytic reduction will work in conjunction with the soot capturing diesel particulate filter. The injection of urea converts the nitrous oxide gasses into inert, nonpolluting ammonia. The remaining gaseous vapor is exhausted out the tailpipe. In short, our new ambulances will run cleaner than vehicles that incorporate the idle reduction technology required by the bill. We do have some more general concerns about the bill. If we were to accommodate idle reduction technology, such as an auxiliary power unit, otherwise known as APU, on our ambulances, we would need to acquire ambulances that are longer and wider and have larger chassis than our current fleet. Our current models have no room to accommodate these If the bill were enacted, we would be APUs. required to convert to a fleet of larger vehicles, including redesign of the fleet, negotiations with a vendor and actual procurement, setting our fiveyear replacement cycle back years. We have 157

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ambulances being built this year, all of which have diesel particulate filters installed and thus burn cleanly. The bill provides that "each ambulance acquired by the city or by any 911 participating ambulance service providers after the enactment of this law, shall be equipped with verified idle reduction technology, including but not limited to an auxiliary power unit." This law shall take effect 120 days after it is enacted. This is an unrealistic and misguided timeframe. It also ignores the steps we have taken and are continuing to take to make our fleet greener. Moreover, the need for larger ambulances will naturally affect our facilities, training and response times. We would have to retrofit our current ambulance stations to fit the larger vehicles. Larger ambulances could also mean longer response times. Our crews do their best to get to medical emergencies in a dense urban environment as fast as they possibly can. Wider, larger ambulances would make an already tough job much harder. We would have to conduct driver training to familiarize our personnel with the larger vehicles. Maintenance needs would also

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

According to industry standards, these increase. APUs must be checked every 100 hours. That means an increase in off service time for the entire fleet of ambulances. My understanding is that two New York City hospitals currently use the APUs on their ambulances and are experiencing problems with having these ambulances offline so frequently. All of these factors obviously impact costs, which we believe would be increased significantly. That is not our main concern. We have been cognizant of both the government mandated emission requirements and the latest state of the art technology that makes our vehicles run cleaner than ever before. In fact, though emergency vehicles exempt from Local Law 77 of 2003, which requires the use of ultra low sulfur diesel fuel and best available technology, we comply with the law's provisions. In sum, we are taking meaningful steps to bring our fleet into compliance with all prevailing standards of green emission technology. I follow closely the emerging technology for fleet operations. I see that diesel hybrid vehicles will likely become more prevalent in the upcoming decade. Europe is

introducing these vehicles more and more and I would argue our goals should move in that direction also. In conclusion, FDNY EMS is recognized not only as the best pre-hospital emergency care system, but also the busiest in the country. We respond to more than 3,200 medical emergency daily. We remain committed to providing the best possible training, facilities and equipment so that all New Yorkers receive high quality pre-hospital emergency care. We believe that we are doing so using the most environmental friendly technology available. I'd be happy to answer any questions at this time.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you for your testimony, Commissioner. I'm going to recognize Council Member Koppell to pose questions to you. First, I just want to recognize that we've been joined by Council Member de Blasio, Council Member Mark-Viverito and Council Member Recchia. I'm grateful for their presence here today. We're going to have a lot of questions on the film-related bill, but for now I want to recognize Council Member Koppell, author of the preconsidered Intro that you testified on for

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 54
2	questions. I recognize Council Member Koppell.
3	COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: Thank you,
4	Mr. Chairman. I'm pleased that the ambulance
5	dispatch system is working well and better than it
6	worked before. I don't think that my measure
7	certainly isn't intended to impair that and I
8	don't think it would. I have no quarrel with a
9	lot of the testimony that you gave. Let's talk
LO	about the way the ambulances are stationed now.
11	Let me set the stage. How many ambulances are in
12	the fleet that the fire department controls?
13	JAMES BASILE: We have 525
L4	ambulances currently.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL What
L6	percentage of those ambulances are posted to non-
L7	garage locations for dispatch?
L8	JOHN PERUGGIA: Over 95% of the
L9	ambulances that are on duty at any one time are
20	posted to street corner locations.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL So 500
22	ambulances more or less are posted on street
23	corners throughout the city. When they're posted
24	on street corners, do they have their engines
25	running at all times?

2.0

2.3

JOHN PERUGGIA: We do. We should
just clarify. The 500 number that the assistant
commissioner gave a few moments ago is the number
of vehicles that we own. The maximum number of
ambulances in service at any point in time is 362
That's during our day and evening tour, of which,
235 are operated by the fire department and the
remainder are operated by hospitals.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL Is it 360 you say?

JOHN PERUGGIA: 362.

number is not important. There are 362 vehicles out there whose engines are running 24 hours a day in order to provide heat, I assume for the crew, or cooling in the summer for the crew and also provide the necessary temperatures to maintain medicines and other equipment that requires certain temperatures. Is that correct?

JOHN PERUGGIA: In addition for environmental controls to the crew and the medications, it's necessary to operate the radio equipment that allows us to know where the vehicles are by way of our GPS technology.

2.0

2.3

elaborate on that.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL Have you
done any studies at all to determine an
alternative to running the engines 24 hours a day
in order to keep the environmental controls going
and the radio controls? Have you done any
studies?

JAMES BASILE: The fire department has not, but there are a few hospital-based ambulances that are using these APUs and we have discussed this option with them and they find it to be very problematic. The ambulances that are in the field are of the latest generation for the most part and are burning much cleaner.

understand that. I'm sure that's true of a lot of vehicles and yet we're worried about idling for one minute. Here we're talking about idling for 24 hours a day. Have you studied the possibility of using electrical connections to maintain the environmental controls in the ambulances?

JAMES BASILE: Maybe you could

COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL Well they're posted on street corners. Street corners

generally have electrical connections at the corner or nearby from things like light poles which you could attach an ambulance to. Obviously you would have to develop a system to detach those cords or electrical connections when you dispatch the ambulance. But that would seem to me to be possible to do rather quickly. You could even do it with some sort of an automatic cutoff of sorts. Have you studied that?

JAMES BASILE: We have not. The dispatch operation of EMS is very dynamic. More so than not, they may not be on their street corner location. They move ambulances around during the tour of duty based on the time of day, the needs of the department and call volume.

Study would be worthwhile. Obviously in some instances it might not be possible. The bill provides that it should accommodate idle reduction technology. You say in your statement such as auxiliary power units, which is one particular technology. Have you looked into whether there are any other technologies that would be available and that would be approved the agency that

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the streets.

reasonable temperature or cool in the summer.

It's got to be a lot less need for energy than

what's needed to operate the vehicle traveling on

JAMES BASILE: That is possible.

The other caveat in this is the gasoline motor

that is being used as an APU. Though it is

smaller, it has no regulated emission controls on

10 these vehicles. So in essences it's spewing more

pollutants than this gasoline motor would be.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL I'm not sure that I agree with that. We'll look at it further. It seems to me a matter of first priority. Obviously safety of people is important. But I think it's the first priority for the department to investigate alternative I again say that the ambulance on the ways. street corners could easily be attached to a pole. There is a permit system right now that allows people to tap into the electric lines on the street. You can get a permit to tap into those lines right now. Certainly the fire department would be eligible to do that. It seems to me where possible that ought to be done, other than

there? Do you have any knowledge of that? You've

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 61
2	got to speak really close to the microphone. They
3	have our room very full and our microphones on
4	very low.
5	DEAN MCCANN: I've had
6	conversations about it, but I'd probably defer to
7	the expertise at DEP.
8	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Gerry, do you
9	know what they're doing? You've got to speak
10	right into the microphone.
11	GERALDINE KELPIN: Most of
12	California's programs are still voluntary. They
13	do have several efforts to bring off road
14	technologies to be retrofitted. As we went
15	through in some of our earlier promulgations of
16	Local Law 77 we came across this New York City has
17	taken the tact rather aggressively of actually
18	mandating these retrofits where we have control.
19	All of the states have the same issue with
20	mandating it at this point. Our experience right
21	now is the retrofits are voluntary for California.
22	We're relying on the conversion to newer vehicles
23	and newer equipment based on EPA's promulgation of
24	standards.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Why don't we

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 63
2	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: For the
3	generators?
4	GERALDINE KELPIN: They would be a
5	type of engine that would be covered as an off-
6	road engine.
7	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: If I wanted
8	to buy a diesel generator to use in move
9	production, could I still buy the older more
LO	polluting version? I could still do that?
11	GERALDINE KELPIN: The ones that
12	are on the market now are certainly better than
L3	those that had produced like 10 years ago or even
L4	5 years ago. The next generation will be
15	considered very, very clean.
L6	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: They will be
L7	coming in when?
L8	GERALDINE KELPIN: 2010 through
19	2013.
20	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: 2010 through
21	2013. Are they available now?
22	GERALDINE KELPIN: I don't think
23	so. I don't think either of us are saying that
24	retrofit technology for existing is a bad idea.
25	It will certainly improve Some of the things

	that we're trying to bring out is that should
	there be a situation where a generator can't
	immediately be retrofitted with a diesel
	particulate filter, there is nowhere in this bill
	that allows an exception. We don't anticipate it.
	All of the other laws that we currently have
	there, our best available technology is
	essentially equivalent to 85%. The only
	difference is that we do allow on demonstration
	and it's a fairly arduous demonstration these days
	as to why you can't put a diesel particulate
	filter of 85% or better on your equipment. But
	this bill doesn't allow it. I mean, as much as we
	try, something always comes up and we're concerned
	that there's no exception. The other thing is
	that this type of equipment, in order to compete
	in the market, does need a reasonable schedule.
	That's certainly up for consideration as to what
	would be the best way to do that.
II .	

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Right. And the statement here by Mr. McCann about a phase in period of at least five years versus the proposed two year phase in of 684. That's your statement, right?

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 65
2	DEAN MCCANN: Correct.
3	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: What kind of
4	discussions have you had with the industry before
5	you drafted your testimony and came in today?
6	Have you had discussions with them?
7	DEAN MCCANN: I have engaged in a
8	dialogue with several of the vendors that provide
9	these generators. I think you'll find a number of
10	them are here today and are looking forward to
11	testifying.
12	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: We look
13	forward to hearing from them.
14	DEAN MCCANN: The bulk of our
15	conversations have been with DEP, as well as the
16	production community. But the bulk of the mayor's
17	office conversations have been with our associate
18	agencies in looking at other bills and other
19	regulations that are in place.
20	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: How about
21	DEP? Has DEP engaged the industry in discussions
22	to see how this would affect them?
23	GERALDINE KELPIN: No, we have not.
24	DEAN MCCANN: I'm sorry, Mr.
25	Chairman.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

DEAN MCCANN: I have spoken with a number of the vendors that do provide the generators as well as several of the production companies to gain some insight from their perspective.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Good.

DEAN MCCANN: That's sort of where we drew the line at the five years rather than the two. Our industry as a whole is very proactive with regard to greening the industry per se. But to realistically retrofit and have generators, as DEP mentioned, they're not available until between 2010 and 2013. Everyone has an eye towards that moving forward. As I said, they've all been very proactive in their measures to date. But the two year minimum seems to be unachievable. what sort of through a flag up for us, which is that if we don't create some form of a waiver for the facilities that haven't begun updating already, they're not looking to use the old generators. They are already retrofitting in some circumstances, but we don't want it to be cost

looking at mom and pop operations. I mean I know

25 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: I've been

if the industry is provided the opportunity to

appropriate manner, it will increase the cost of

gradually phase in the new technology in an

doing business in New York, but not so

22

23

24

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 71
2	years?
3	GERALDINE KELPIN: Yes.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER RECCHIA: DEP, what
5	do you recommend on 684? What's your position on
6	this?
7	GERALDINE KELPIN: Certainly we're
8	in favor of retrofits being in place on any type
9	of diesel equipment these days. Our issue, as we
10	had raised in another hearing, is that there's
11	sufficient time to allow the retrofits to be
12	purchased, made and put into place. So a phase in
13	schedule somewhere between four and five years is
14	no unrealistic. It could go sooner depending on
15	if there were some type of financial incentives.
16	I don't know if that's available at this point.
17	Two years is very tight to get something like this
18	done.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER RECCHIA: So you
20	mean like financial incentives where the city
21	would give like a tax credit or a tax break or
22	something like that?
23	GERALDINE KELPIN: There's might be
24	federal grant money applicable to certain
25	situations for this type of equipment. But since

testify today. Nobody is opposed to greening the

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 73
2	industry.
3	COUNCIL MEMBER RECCHIA: We all
4	agree on that.
5	DEAN MCCANN: We just want it done
6	in a reasonable and realistic manner.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER RECCHIA: On how we
8	get there.
9	DEAN MCCANN: On how we get there.
10	That's the timeline.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER RECCHIA: Thank you
12	very much, Mr. Chairman.
13	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you,
14	Domenic. I have no further questions for this
15	panel. I look forward to talking to
16	representatives of the industry and getting their
17	perspective. Thank you for being here today,
18	Gerry and Mr. McCann. Representatives of the fire
19	department, I appreciate everything that you do
20	for us day in and day out. Thank you all for your
21	good testimony. I appreciate it. Just to give a
22	sense of where we're going now. Here's where I
23	kind of play the bad guy a little bit. We have
24	more witnesses than we really have hours in the
25	day. In order to accomplish that we're going to

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

be taking out the dreaded clock. We're going to put people in a three minute clock. I know people have a lot to say. Some people have come from long distances in order to testify and they have a lot to say. People will be limited to a threeminute statement. However, after your statement is over, I or a member of the panel may ask you a question, in which case you'll have time to speak more. There are many, many, many people here who are here for the idling and many children who wanted to come forward and state their views. Council Member Vallone has graciously interacted with the students and the teachers. We'll have one student that I'll call momentarily, who will read a one-page statement. So that'll be just a brief bit of testimony. We'll have a panel of people from the movie industry to come forward. That'll be a couple of folks. And then we have a representative of the American Bus Association, who has come a very long way. Then we'll have some environmental advocates. Then we'll have another movie panel and then it kind of goes from That will be the lineup. Let me announce there. the next two panels so that people can get ready.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

First we're going to be honored by a student from PS 122. Eugene Varnedoe.

EUGENE VARNEDOE: Varnedoe.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Varnedoe? Eugene, you're already there. We've got a lot of people from the movies here, Eugene, so give it a good one. But not quite yet. Let me announce the people are going to come after you, Eugene, so they can get ready. We have Stuart Suna from Silver Cup Studios; John Johnston, from Production Equipment Rental Association; Matt Miller, the president of the Association of Independent Commercial Producers; Andrea Miele from the Motion Picture Association of America; and Paul Jordan of That will be the panel that comes after Mr. Varnedoe, whom we won't swear in because he's too young to take an oath. Mr. Varnedoe, it's a pleasure for you to be here today. We're ready to hear your statement. Now, the way we do it is you state your name for the record into the microphone. Make sure the microphone is right in front of your mouth there. Then read your good statement. Mr. Varnedoe, thank you for coming here to represent so many of your fellow students.

We'll have your statement. Mr. Varnedoe?

3 EUGENE VARNEDOE: Thank you. I'm 4 Eugene Varnedoe of PS 122 in Queens. Today is 5 Monday the 26th of January. We are here to discuss whether school zones should suffer anymore 6 7 than they already have in one specific and 8 preventable way. We are here to discuss whether asthma patients, children and adults alike should 9 10 be forced to deal with the exhaust of idling buses 11 and cars and idling exhaust which unnecessarily 12 and dangerously pollutes the air around their schools. There is no more time to waste. Every 13 breath of air children take of the toxic fumes 14 15 emitted by buses idling in school zones can damage their lungs, make them dizzy, even nauseous and 16 17 can even lead to asthma. And for those already with asthma, it leads to even worse effects. 18 19 want to thank all the council members supporting 20 Intro. 631-A and 881-A described on Council's 21 website and here today. I support these 22 initiatives greatly. Please let me quickly 23 explain why I support them and why you should too. Last year, many of us gathered in front of City 24 25 Hall to observe the first Idle Free New York Day,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

or IFNYC Day. My class and I were here then because we thought something was wrong. thought something needed to change. We knew that the idling buses and cars out in our schools were damaging our lungs, polluting the air and hurting the environment. That idling needed to stop then and it needs to stop now. Last year, as a result of our Project Citizen, a project that we were doing, we had proposed our own one-minute school zone idling law. Now, to be here again on the day that we kind of make our decisions whether it should be passed into law, I am honored. honored as a New Yorker and I think we should all be honored. Not only will you the Council be helping the environment by making this anti-idling initiative into law, you'll also be helping schools, kids, bus drivers and parents alike. We can all hope that it won't stop there. zones may not be the only places that are suffering. People on the streets right outside might be suffering now. I can't speak for all of us, but I hope to see the day when all of New York may go idle free. Thank you. With all respect to Council Member Felder, he stated that this was not

about the children's health and this was not about the safety of school zones, I would like to disagree. Because I think that's exactly what this bill is going. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you.

Thank you, Mr. Varnedoe. You gave a very poised presentation. I know that you represented your fellow students very well. We're move by your testimony. We're doing everything we can to bring clean air and less idling as soon as we can possibly do it. Your presence here today and the presence of your fellow students and the teachers is a good motivation to move forward. Thank you.

I know that Council Member Vallone wishes to thank you as well. I recognize Council Member Vallone.

make sure that Simcha Felder gets your message.

I'm also very glad that I will be out of office before you're able to run against me. I want to thank our chair. I know you're dealing with so many witness in such a time constraint. To allow him to testify very quickly was very gracious of you. That's not normally done. I'm going to ask everybody from 122 now to go back to the room that

sergeant-at-arms to prepare the clock while I give

25

to go.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

STUART MATCH SUNA: I'll talk fast.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Sure.

4 STUART MATCH SUNA: I'm Stuart

Match Suna, president of Silvercup Studios and Silver Trucks. I'm here to testify in opposition of this bill, 684-A. I want to say that I've been a staunch and very active environmentalist for many years. I understand the ideas behind the bill and actually support many of the goals. We do need to have a healthier and greener New York City. Some of you might know that Silvercup Studios also have the largest green roof in New York City. We have been very involved in making it a green planet and greener city. The primary problem with the bill is the timing, which was discussed before. The implementation of the twoyear factor. Silver Trucks has five generators. The oldest one is ten years old and the youngest one is two years old. It's over a million dollars worth of equipment. The most recent does compliant with tier three. It would meet your standards and it was bought two years ago. So the industry is actually naturally moving in that direction. There's a misunderstanding here about

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

retrofitting. You can't just add a filter onto existing generators. Some of the people here are more technical and can explain it. There's an electrical part and a moving part. The combustion engine, which is making the diesel fumes, fits into a house. It has to be sound isolated to meet other DEP requirements and for the movie requirements. We want it to be very quiet. The movie industry, is one of the greenest manufacturing industries in New York City and growing with leaps and bounds thanks to the City Council and the State Legislature and the tax credits. The bottom line is that to retrofit some of the engines that could be retrofitted is about \$30,000 per engine and would take at least a year to do. New engines would cost about \$80,000. think a more reasonable solution would be either to grandfather in the older engines or to give a ten-year phase in plan. You'll hear from some of the engine manufacturers here that they couldn't even supply New York City with enough engines in the next two years to satisfy our growing industry. One thing I don't think the City Council would want to do is to see Silver Trucks

part of the New York Production Alliance, a

25

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

coalition of industry companies, the unions, quilds and associations who advocate for employment and growth of the motion picture industry in New York. We work very closely with the Mayor's Office of Film and Television Broadcasting and also with the State Government I can summarize quickly by saying that the industry is one that does, on its own, seek to make the industry green through its own Many of these machines have been initiatives. converted. There are many initiatives, some of which the New York Production Alliance is taking initiatives in. We publish on our website in association with the Producers Guild of America, a green resource quide for productions. We foster education programs within the industry to have discussion about how the industry can act and be more green. We do it in a way that doesn't go against our primary objective which is raising the growth of production and jobs in New York. summarize by saying that if this timeline were followed, and I would disagree with Mr. McCann's five-year timeline, that it is simply too short for a conversion of some of this equipment.

Grandfathering the equipment, as Mr. Suna has said, or the ten-year timeline would be well in range. With the companies that have the equipment there, they do run on low sulfur fuel. Many retrofits have been done. The equipment is maintained to very high level standards. To push this and accelerate it to any extent that is suggested in the bill would impose costs on the industry that would trickle down to the cost of production making New York less competitive with competing states that have very generous tax incentives and thereby hurt employment within the industry within New York. It's a great industry. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you,
Mr. Johnston. Next we'll hear from Paul Jordan
from NBC Universal. Mr. Jordan, please state your
name for the record and proceed with your
testimony.

PAUL JORDAN: My name is Paul

Jordan. I'm the vice president of environment,

health and safety for NBC Universal. With that I

oversee the production of television and motor

picture entities throughout the country. New York

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

is one of the biggest areas for production for us. We do several dozen shows every year. We have at least one dozen ongoing TV series that are located here. We do feature productions here. We have concerns with the way the bill is written right now, especially with the timelines. We're very concerned that we're going to have the equipment available to us to continue with our shows here. Again, as everybody has said, our industry is a very proactive industry when it comes to greening our production. We have a very aggressive program looking at different ways to cut down on waste to reduce our carbon footprint. We stand behind what this bill is trying to do. We just have very strong concerns with the way it's written. all I have to say. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you,
Mr. Jordan, I appreciate your being here. We'll
come back with questions and comments. Next we'll
hear from Ms. Miele of the Motion Picture
Association of America. Ms. Miele, thank you for
being here.

ANGELA MIELE: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman. My name is Angela Miele. I'm with the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Motion Picture Association of America. Our member companies include Disney, Sony, Warner Brothers, Paramount, Fox and NBC Universal, who is here with us today. Thank you and the Committee for allowing us to share our concerns with 684-A. won't belabor the fact that this industry has been very green. You'll hear that again and again and different aspects of things tat we've been trying to do, especially in California where Paul is located. We've done a lot of initiatives. We've done a lot of recycling programs working with the city to reduce waste and carbon emissions. even working with the city that had state mandated environmental requirements. We've been working with them voluntarily to help them meet those The one problem that's come up with mandates. Intro is obviously the timeframe, but also the fact that it's limiting the use to ultra low sulfur diesel fuel, which is not really a problem for most of our members, but it precludes the use of other clean fuels such as biodiesel, which may not be available, but it precludes other initiatives that could be used to green these machines. As you heard, the two-year timeframe is

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

virtually impossible. As I understand it, in California, they had a ten-year implementation period and even the tier four generators, which are the top line generators, are not even available for a year. I know you'll hear more from the generator rental companies that are here represented today. The problem is if our production companies cannot get them from the rental companies, who is going to be at fault. They don't want to necessarily delay production. It's a very mobile industry, as you may be aware. They can go elsewhere. I believe the gentleman from the Film Office talked the fact that they can't tolerate delays and they will go elsewhere. That was evidenced by the fact that this industry came to New York when the incentivized this industry to come here. I'll just leave you with a couple of questions. We'd like to work with you to extend that timeframe because I think that's unworkable. I think that the committee should consider other alternatives and not just limit it to best available technology. That really makes it very difficult to comply with. Using California standards, what if those standards

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Ms. Miele. Someone please get the door. I don't use this room very much. The other one has a much more professional sounding tone. It sounds like the kind of thing they'd have in a game show.

Thank you, Ms. Miele. I have the written testimony from Matt Miller, the president of the AICP. We'd like to hear your statement, Mr.

Miller. Please state your name for the record.

MATTHEW MILLER: My name is Matthew Miller, Mr. Chairman. I'm president and chief executive officer of the Association of Independent Commercial Producers, which is a

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

national trade association that represents about 270 commercial production companies, as well as having about 280 associate members, which are vendors to this industry, which include companies that rent equipment here in New York and around the country. I'm a firm believer that anything that need to be said can be said very well in 60 seconds, so I will keep it to that. I will really say that so many of the things that have been said here today really do paint the picture of what is going on. My issues beyond that really deal with the small to mid sized companies that are commercial production companies and the expense that could be trickled down through the industry first by putting a burden that is not attainable on generator owners, the rental companies. then that cost obviously being passed on to the production industry. It has been said over and over again, we've done so much here in the state to actually attract production, having both fines and the costs that would be incurred by following what is written in the bill 684 as written, would be a real problem. It truly would cause economic impact to the entire system that I don't think

we'd be able to handle. Therefore it would push 2 3 work out. I think it's very important to understand that the commercial industry as well as the other film industries have all promulgated 5 their own green guidelines and stick to them 6 7 pretty ardently. I think that we're all behind 8 that. We're making strides even before it's necessary. California did pass, under the 9 10 California Air Resources Board, a set of 11 quidelines that had ten years to come in and will 12 be in, in the next two years. Many people have been in front of that in California who own 13 14 generators. Yet, there still is not the number of 15 generators that are open and available for 16 production companies. That sort of exposure, both 17 for the generator companies that can't have their needs met by the manufacturers and by the 18 19 production companies not knowing whether they 2.0 could access those generators would cause that 21 doubt and would totally affect the economy of the 22 business and the attractiveness of New York. 23 for those reasons, I would ask New York not to get in front of this in a way that would create a bill 24 25 that could potentially be a job killer, but to

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

STUART MATCH SUNA: [interposing]

Many of us speak with the mayor's office quite

often. I think this is the first time that I

heard about it was from Angela from the MPA.

Silvercup has had no discussions with the Mayor's

2 Film Office on this timeframe.

ANGELA MIELE: I had one discussion with him, but it was just generic concerns with the bill. It wasn't an option of an increased timeframe at a five-year level, which we would not have supported.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Duly noted.

Mr. McCann and I guess, by extension, DEP, who
worked on this also gives numbers in their
testimony in talking about the possibility of
\$6,000 to retrofit with a diesel particulate
filter. These don't seem to be numbers that
reconcile with your statements of these retrofits
of new engines costing scores of thousands of
dollars, rather than just \$6,000. It would seem
that the burden that you're talking about would be
much more significant than those that are put
forward in the testimony of Mr. McCann and DEP.
You stand by your estimates presumably.

oath here. If it was only \$6,000 per generator we would do it in a heartbeat because our clients would much rather have it. Alec Baldwin says to me, Stuart, we want "30 Rock" to be greener. We

want to do more. The talent, the productions
companies and NBC all want to be greener. For
those dollars it would make us more marketable.
You'll hear from some of the engine manufacturing
companies and some of the generator companies
here. We talked to some of our manufacturers.
What you see as a generator on a street is a big
box. In that box in the generator and the
combustion engine. We're really talking about the
combustion engine. What we were told by Crawford,
who manufacturers a lot of our engines and
generators, is that you've got to take the whole
house apart and many of them won't fit the new
tier three or tier four engines. Not to mention
they're not even available on the quantity that we
would need. Naturally these generators last about
10 or 20 years, depending upon how well they're
maintained. They don't pollute that much. They
can't be just retrofitted with a \$6,000 muffler or
something attached to it.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you.

The Chair recognizes the significance of not just local entities like Silvercup here, but also in the Motion Picture Association of America, and NBC

Universal these are entities that are nationwide			
in scope. Your concerns that have been forward			
are certainly duly noted by the Chair. It would			
seem that at a minimum we have a lot more work to			
do and a lot more information sharing and fact			
finding that needs to happen before we could			
proceed in the way that we would like to proceed.			
You're brought value add by being here. Your			
testimony will certainly inform our thought			
process going forward. I need to move to some of			
the other panels. I'll thank you for being here.			
I'm sorry, Council Member Peter Vallone has a			
question. Forgive me, Peter, for not looking your			
way. I recognize Council Member Vallone.			

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: No problem. Thank you. You've made some very interesting points, which is why I wanted to stay around. Mr. Suna, you mentioned a ten-year implementation period that you would support. If Albany were in charge, ten years might be something we would expect, but not private industry. When was the California law passed which put their ten-year period into effect?

STUART MATCH SUNA: One of my

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

GOUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: You make a good point, which is what I was getting to. If this law says best technology available and you just bought a three and then a four comes out, you would then have to upgrade which doesn't seem fair at all. What language would you want placed in this bill?

ANGELA MIELE: We would be happy to work with you. One of the things I understand is that the generators are already using ultra sulfur diesel fuel. That's not a problem. You don't want to preclude the use of other equally energy efficient technology or fuels. That's where it's problematic. Because I think you'll when talking with the front line generator folks that they're all using the ultra low sulfur diesel fuel. That's not the problem. The problem is requiring that and not allowing alternatives and mandating the best available technology. That all combined causes the problem, as well as the two year phase in.

STUART MATCH SUNA: If I could just add to that. That's why I said ten years or to grandfather in the older equipment. That so the

industry could naturally move forward into buying the newest technology. As soon as tier four is available, we'll be buying tier fours. We're buying new engines. So naturally you're going to constantly increase. But the generators have a life expectancy of 10 to 20 years, depending upon how many hours they're run and how well they're maintained. So if we just spent a million dollars buying generators over the past eight years, I don't want to know that in the next two years that four out of five of them are obsolete because of this new bill here in New York. I'm going to then be renting them in other states and competing with myself over here, which just doesn't make sense.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: I

understand completely. To play devil's advocate, you guy all this stuff and then next year they com up with something that actually improves air quality, I don't want to wait ten years to get that. So there's got to be some way we can work this out where it's not overly burdensome on you. I know that the chair is in a rush. I know you're going to do this anyone, but I would ask that your council does work with them in order to take that

of the comments are well taken. One thing that

25

confuses me, Mr. Chairman, is why we should single out these generators particularly if they're similar to other generators that are used at street fairs and construction sites. It seems to me that we should be consistent on the level of the fines as well as the requirements. Because if the same generator is used at a street fair as well as a movie, there's no reason in the world that I can think of for why we should apply different standards or different fines.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: I recognize that. I'm not currently a sponsor of either of those bills. Before I would add my name as a sponsor, I would have to think long and hard on that and many other issues also. That's duly noted, Council Member Koppell.

a sponsor because I agree fully with the intent.

I think we have to move aggressively in this direction. I don't necessarily agree with everything that the witnesses because if we're going to make the planet greener there are going to be burdens placed on people. But the burdens should be fair. That's my point. So I'm happy to

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

be a sponsor but I think that work needs to be done. I recognize what you said and agree with it entirely. But if the people in the room who are from industry think that government doesn't have an obligation doesn't have an obligation to push people along here, as far as this government official is concerned, they're mistaken.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you. Council Member Koppell. We'll hear next from Clyde Hart from the American Bus Association. To be followed, as I indicated, by the next panel, Isabelle Silverman, Anhthu Hoang, and Claire Benett. So we'll have Mr. Hart. We'll have the other panel that I just announced. They'll be The panel after that is Tom O'Donnell, Simon Broad, John Van Der Unden, Faraj Tommy Kharieh, and Russell Sagerman. Mr. Hart, thank you for coming here today. I'm going to ask the counsel of the committee to give you the oath and then you can proceed with your testimony.

SAMARA SWANSTON: Do you swear or affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth today?

CLYDE HART: I do.

25

3

4

24

25

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Mr. Hart,

please state your name for the record and proceed

with your good testimony.

Thank you, Mr. 5 CLYDE HART: Chairman, members of the Council. My name is 6 7 Clyde Hart and I serve as vice president of 8 government affairs for the American Bus Association. On behalf of the association, I want 9 10 to thank you for the opportunity to testify before 11 you and to give you some idea of our concerns 12 about your idling restriction bill. The American Bus Association is the trade association for the 13 14 over the road motor coach industry in North 15 The ABA is made up of 3,400 member America. 16 organizations engaged in the tour and travel 17 industry in North America. Our membership 18 includes the State of New York, most of the 19 boroughs in and around the communities and 20 communities surrounding New York City, the New York Yankees, the Empire State Building, the 21 22 Shubert Theater and NYC and Company. In addition, 23 ABA has over 800 bus operator members who provide

all manner of transportation services to the

traveling public. In the New York City area, our

membership list includes Academy Bus Tours, which provides commuter services into New York City, Greyhound Bus Lines and Peter Pan Bus Lines that provide intra city bus service as well as bus tour companies such as Coach USA, operating in New York as Gray Line and the New York based companies like Campus Coach and Hampton Jitney. In the time I have, I'd like to give you some appreciation of our concerns about proposed Int. 631-A. Simply stated, it is our position that the present regulation--

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: [interposing]
Mr. Hart, I'll grant you a little bit of latitude
because you came all the way from Washington.
I'll give you a little bit of latitude to make
your case as long as you do it quickly.

CLYDE HART: The idling restriction is a detriment to tourism. Moreover, the idling regulations do not and cannot reduce air pollution or congestion in the city. Simply stated, there is no way for a fully loaded motor coach to safely load or unload passengers and their baggage within the three minutes or the one minute allowed by the proposed regulation. Any motor coach will just

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

require more time. In addition, the amount of time required will increase with the age and the passengers' lack of mobility. Furthermore, it is ABA's experience that younger, school aged children will require more, rather than less time to embark or disembark from a bus. Finally, if the passengers are disabled, even more time will be required to see to their needs. Moreover, the idling regulation is untenable from a health and safety perspective. Given the traffic congestion in New York City, particularly Midtown Manhattan, it can be extremely difficult for a motor coach driver to find a place to park to load or unload his passengers safely. Thus, the driver must either double park and then load and unload or drive around the area until the driver can find The former, of course, can expose parking. passengers, pedestrians and other vehicles to danger as the bus is loaded or unloaded. latter certainly will increase the pollution and congestion on the streets of the city. defect in the idling regulation is the prohibition of any idling whenever the ambient temperature is in excess of 40 degree Fahrenheit. The motor

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

coach is designed to provide air conditioning, as well as heat, to the passengers only when the motor is running. Thus, without idling, there is no cool air for the passengers in the summer or heat in the winter. Even more significantly, the motor coach engine provides power for the brakes to operate. A motor coach requires more than three minutes to power the brakes, to provide air conditioning and heat. Indeed, depending on the severity of the weather, it can take up to 30 minutes before the vehicle can provide some modicum of comfort to the passengers. As to the time needed to ensure sufficient power to the brakes, it is clear that any driver who engages the engine after just three minutes cannot be sure that the brakes will function correctly in an emergency situation. Mr. Chairman, I see my time is up. Rather than impose on your good nature, I'll just say, we thank you for this opportunity. We want to ensure you of our continuing efforts to find adequate idling regulations for the City of New York.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you,
Mr. Hart. Thank you for keeping your testimony

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Thank you for coming all this way. brief. I have a lot of respect for your industry and the good service that they provide the people of the City of New York, including many tourists who come here and spend lots and lots of money. As you may know, there were two bills that were scheduled to be voted today. Out of respect for your industry and some others that had concerns, I deferred that vote and I've already directed the council staff to work with you and representatives of your industry and other people that had concerns to do some more information sharing. I think some of your concerns that you put forward today are not necessarily with the bills that are before us but with the regulatory structure that we already have in place for idling. It is my hope that in short order we can have the kind of colloquy with you and others that would allow us to go forward in a sensible way with some of the bills on the agenda for today, while respecting what you and your good industry are trying to do. I thank you for committing to work with the staff so that we can have the kind of colloquy that we need to get done what we need to do regarding these bills and jus

this is Ms. Hoang. Am I saying that correctly?

I'll have the counsel swear in the panel and then

you can each make your statement after identifying

23

24

25

tickets a year. Now, City Council obviously wants 2 3 to pass laws that are enforced, so I'm urging City 4 Council to think really hard about the enforceability of the three minute rule. 5 Obviously there is a problem with the three 6 7 minutes because an enforcement agent has to 8 observe for three minutes before giving the Even if the traffic agents now get the 9 ticket. 10 authority to give tickets, it might still be business as usual because they don't want to stand 11 12 there for three minutes. What we really want the public to know is that when you pull over your car 13 and you're removing yourself from traffic, you 14 15 turn off the engine, unless you're actively 16 loading or unloading. Like a taxi pulling over, 17 you jump out, or even the buses we heard about. If you're actively loading or unloading then you 18 19 can leave your engine on, otherwise you turn it 20 off. You always turn it off when you're leaving 21 your vehicle. I mean that is just absolutely that the current law allows a driver to leave his or 22 23 her vehicle with the engine on. This is why these kids are now dead. It really upsets me greatly, 24 25 as Council Member Liu pointed out, that should be

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

absolutely unacceptable. If an enforcement agent comes to a vehicle with no driver behind the wheel and the engine is on, there should be no observation taken, it should be an immediate That's what you really want the public to What is important is that the one minute rule around schools makes the enforcement harder. What is adjacent? Just make it pull over, turn off your engine and then you give some exceptions, like of course, actively unloading and loading. And then exempt the NYPD, you want their cooperation. Exempt them from the idling bill because they're in their vehicles fighting crime. They need temperature control. Exempt them to start out with. So think big, step up, change the law. Don't just make a little amendment here and there. Communicate it to the public so the public knows it's unacceptable to idle your engine. President Obama said, change has come to America. Let's start here and let's change the law to facilitate enforcement. Now, diesel generators can be retrofitted.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Quickly. I'm not giving you three minutes for this.

2	ISABELLE SILVERMAN: Diesel
3	generators are easy. My name is Isabelle
4	Silverman. I'm giving this testimony on behalf of
5	the Environmental Defense Fund and American Lung
6	Association. I work for the Environmental Defense
7	Fund. Diesel generators have been retrofitted.
8	We heard testimony before that it can't be done.
9	It's too complicated. Go to Lower Manhattan
10	redevelopment site. Generators are retrofitted.
11	The have the filters right on top. He was talking
12	about housing and opening it up. They're on top.
13	It's working. We have the technology in the year
14	2009. We have been testing the retrofit
15	technology since 2004. The reason why the
16	industry here is being targeted is because of the
17	permitting process. We cannot just make it for
18	everybody because they will be preempted by
19	federal law. But when the city has some kind of a
20	bargaining chip with the permit they can put it
21	in. If you figure \$20,000 per generator that runs
22	for ten years, that is rented out 200 days out of
23	the year, that will be \$10 more a day in rental
24	fees. Let's say you have to finance it. You
25	double it. \$20 more in rental fees. It's

insignificant. But the air benefits we're getting for them is significant. We have a lot of film shoots. Those \$10 or \$20 more of rental fee a day will not scare away the film industry. They're getting a lot of perks to come here such as free permits, et cetera. So I'm urging you to go forward and push the limits. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you,

Ms. Silverman. Mr. Hoang, please state your name

for the record and commence your testimony.

ANHTHU HOANG: Hello, my name is
Anhthu Hoang. I am general counsel for WE ACT for
Environmental Justice. On behalf of WE ACT, I'd
like to thank the council and the committee for
taking this opportunity to once again be the world
leader in environmental stewardship and
sustainability planning. I'm here to express very
strong support for the suite of legislation
regarding anti-idling restrictions and the use of
ultra low sulfur diesel and best available
technology on all diesel engines and generators
today. I would just like to echo what Isabelle
was saying. In addition to all the clean air
issues that the city has, the environmental

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

justice communities, like the one that I represent, by far bear the worst of the burden of any air pollution component that you could think of. Many times the equipment operators, busses, generators, film makers and whoever they are reserve their worst, oldest and most dirty emitting equipment for our communities. They flagrantly run their buses and engines in violation of both idling laws and air pollution I think that the city needs to send them a strong message that that's not going to be tolerated anymore. I'm glad that you're expanding the ranks of individuals with authority to enforce our anti-idling laws. But I think that it would be important for you to expand the citizen enforcement provisions and allow citizens to actually make the sort of citizens arrest of sort of idling violators and train our citizens on how to do these clockings, how to do the smoke screenings. WE ACT and other environmental justice advocates would be more than glad to support you and facilitate you in any sort of those trainings that you'd like to come to our communities and do. We also would like to support

the APU auxiliary power unit bill that is under consideration. I'd like for you to think about expanding that for use with other emergency vehicles and other city vehicles that require some sort of power to load and unload or run equipment while it's being turned off. I think that eventually what we'd like to think about is finding some way for vehicles with these power needs to plug into the grid so that we can cut out all of the diesel uses for non-road functions for these vehicles altogether. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you very much for being here also. Give my best to Peggy and everyone at WE ACT. Ms. Silverman, with respect to your statement in your testimony, or your observation that currently there is no law to prevent one from exiting a vehicle that is running, that makes sense that we should do that. I'm directing my legislative counsel, Costa Constantinides to talk to you. There ought to be a law. If you could have that discussion with him and with the staff to the committee, maybe we could move that forward. I think that would have helped in the situation that resulted in the

tragic death of two children. Thank you both for				
being here. I appreciate your work on these				
issues. Costa, if you'd talk to Ms. Silverman,				
that'd be great. Thank you. The next panel, as I				
indicated is Tom O'Donnell of the Theatrical				
Teamsters Local 817; Simon Broad from ARRIC; John				
Van Der Unden from ARRI/CSC; Faraj Tommy Kharieh,				
who deals in lighting production; and Russell				
Sagerman of Feature Systems, Inc. That would be				
this panel. Faraj Tommy Kharieh is not here. I				
just want to give the next panel a sense of what's				
going on. After this panel we'll hear from Susan				
Stetzer from Community Board 3. And then we'll				
have more panels that we'll announce after that.				
I would ask the counsel to swear in this panel and				
we can commence.				

SAMARA SWANSTON: Gentleman, would you please raise your right hands. Do you swear or affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth today?

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: I'm just going to ask for testimony in the order that I called the panel. The order doesn't have any particular significance. We'll start with Tom

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

O'Donnell from Local 817. Please state your name
for the record and commence with your testimony.

TOM O'DONNELL: My name is Tom

O'Donnell. I'm the secretary/treasurer of Theatrical Teamsters Local 817. Local 817 represents over 700 transportation workers in the motion picture, television and commercial production industry. That is the people who drive and operate the generators in question. I'd like to thank Chairman Gennaro and the committee for this opportunity to represent the concerns of my members. I do not have the technical expertise of the generator vendors who are here today, but I do have a collective knowledge of the capacities of all vendors and they firmly believe that they will not be able to meet the retrofitting requirements within the first six months of enactment of the bill, causing each production hundreds of thousands of dollars of fines. I don't think we can begin to prescribe and appropriate time period without first having a published list of acceptable best available technology. As an industry we are completely onboard with being green and leaving as small a footprint as possible

on the communities where we work and live. Some
companies have unilaterally started the use of
biodiesel to help reach this goal. At a unique
time when we are growing jobs in the city, the
hurdles that this legislation would present would
undoubtedly cause a loss of jobs in this highly
mobile industry. We are in firm opposition of
this hill in its present form. Thank you

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you,
Mr. O'Donnell. If we can keep people quiet in the
hall or close the door, that would be appreciated.
Thank you, Mr. O'Donnell, I appreciate your
testimony. Simon Broad, if you could state your
name for the record and tell us what ARRIC means.

SIMON BROAD: My name is Simon

Broad. I'm the head of ARRI Rental in the United

States. ARRI is the world's largest manufacturer

of cameras and lights. We have a rental division

of which is am the Chief Operating Officer. We

have a substantial generator fleet coast to coast

working in states across the country. Contrary to

the comments made at the previous panel, we take

our environmental responsibilities extremely

seriously. We spend substantial amounts of money

2.0

on research to ensure the lowest emissions

possible from our generators on location. Our

extensive research has actually led us to believe

that low sulfur diesel with a very on-time

servicing policy is the very best way of ensuring

clean emissions from our generators. Therefore,

we have no problem with the low sulfur diesel. We

do have some difficulties with the modifications

or the retro modifications and we really see--

If I can interrupt you for one second and I'll make it up to you on the clock. I'm just asking that the door be closed because we cannot get quiet in here. There's just no other way other than closing the door. Sorry about the interruption.

just saying that what we seek is clarification on the retro modifications. Because as stated earlier very well, they would have substantial impact on companies like ours. We would willingly cooperate in any consultation. It has to be pointed out that a company of our size has not actually been asked, up to now, to contribute.

We'd be very keen to be a part of that
consultation. The final point I'd like to make is
these are particularly difficult circumstances for
our business. Our businesses have been under an
economic cloud and under huge difficulties for the
last year as a result of industrial action by
writers and the threat of industrial action by
actors. And now we have an economic downturn.
These are particularly difficult times for our
business in view of the investment we make. So
therefore, we join the request for a much greater
lead time in order to be able to carry these
modifications out or whatever is ultimately
decided and that we can budget accordingly. Thank
you.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you,
Mr. Broad. I appreciate you being here today.
John Vanderlinden, please state your name.

JOHN VANDERLINDEN: I'm John

Vanderlinden. I'm with the ARRI CSC group. I

head up 30 of our generators in this area. I've

been there 21 years and I can say we've done

everything we can to keep them within the realm of

clean fuel and having them run at the best of

their ability. We bought units two and a half years ago and these units met the standards of the emissions with California and here. To come with this law now, you should get at least 20,000 hours out of a unit that's well maintained. To down a unit in five years, ten years, you know, they met standards as of two and a half years ago when they were purchased. I do believe we run a clean fleet.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you for being concise. We certainly appreciate that.

Thank you, Mr. Vanderlinden. Appreciate your testimony. Russell Sagerman of Feature Systems

Inc?

RUSSELL SAGERMAN: That's correct.

Thank you, Councilman. My name is Russell

Sagerman. I am the technical director for Feature

Systems. We're a lighting and grip rental company

here in New York and other places on the east

coast. We just want it to be known that our

company, as are the other companies in our

industry, very interested in being as green and

ecologically sound as possible. We promote green

diesel technology. In the last year we've

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

invested in no less than eight new trucks that come up to the latest standard of emission control. In our fleet we have several units that meet the emission control from the California Board and quite frankly, that's where most of our generators are built. So they meet their specifications. We'd like to move forward, as much as our industry does, in becoming as ecologically sound as possible. But we feel that the time limit and constraints on the bill as written are just not practical. The standpoint of the generator manufacturers is that quite frankly we can't get the equipment in the time allotted. Engine manufacturers come up with new industry and our industry are the last ones to get the trickle down availability of that equipment. Large trucking manufacturers and fleet manufacturers are the first ones that those engines are made available to. The last people that they're made available to are the small industries like ours. Even though we're out in the forefront and very public, it's not a very large industry. So the equipment is just not available to us in the timeframe that we've been given. I'd like to

thank you for the opportunity to let you know. In the future we'd like to be brought in for discussion on any possible information put into retrofitting this bill to make it work for both the Council, the public and our industry. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you,
Mr. Sagerman. You can rest assured that any
future deliberations on this bill will include all
appropriate stakeholders. Of all the things we
have to worry about, that's not something we have
to worry about now. Faraj Tommy Karieh, please
state your name for the record and give your
company and I'd be happy to have your testimony.

FARAJ TOMMY KARIEH: My name is

Faraj Tommy Karieh. I'm here to represent

Lightnin Production Rentals. We're one of the

local vendors that has been renting to the film

industry here in New York City for about nine or

ten years. The reason I'm here today is to show

that we are against the bill because we are doing

everything physically possible to be as green as

we can. Giving us a time limit is not fair with

the economics and with just getting over a four

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

month strike with the writers and a looming strike with the actors. We've implemented new equipment within the past two years. We're running 30% brand new equipment. What I mean by brand new is 2008 and 2009. But it's very costly for us to do even more than that. We're trying our best to keep up with being green as we're recycling our equipment. We're recycling our oil. We're recycling our filters. We are recycling everything we can physically recycle to be as green as we possibly can. But to implement a timeline for the generators, especially when a generator costs \$80,000 is very difficult for us to compensate that fund. I don't think it's fair to us as a company to give us a time limit. sorry, I'm nervous. This is the first time I've spoken publicly like this. Being efficient and being available to catch up with the times on a voluntary we've already tried to do it. But to implement it on us and tell us we have to have it done by this time or this date is going go be very difficult. What's going to happen is the vendors, such as J&G, Crawford, and MQ, will increase the value of all the parts when they here there is a

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

time limit. They're going to hold it back on us because they know they have us. They're squeezing us because everybody is going to want those parts. You're going to put a lot of companies out of business by doing it with a time limit. I do appreciate everybody for hearing me.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you all for being here. I just want to consult with counsel for a minute before I make a question or a comment. Given the hour of the day I'm not going to get involved in a lot of back and forth. First of all, you were very clear in what you had to say. I think one of the problems so far that's been brought out is that so far there hasn't been the kind of communication between all stakeholders that we need. The bill is now in the realm of being worked on by the central staff of the council. You can rest assured that any future deliberations or thought process that we have on this bill will have all the appropriate stakeholders represented. As Chair of the committee I give you my guarantee that that will happen. Your testimony has greatly informed my view of this bill and the kind of process we need

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to go forward on this bill or a bill like it. You'll be invited to the party. Thank you very much for being here today. I would make sure that the staff has all of your contact information. we go forward, you can rest assured that all of your views will be thoughtfully considered. We appreciate everything you do to give us a lot of good entertainment. Thanks very much for being here, we appreciate your time. I need to consult with my own staff for a minute regarding a meeting that we were supposed to have at the mayor's office at 1:30. Why don't we talk about that for a minute. I have to step out for one minute to have a conversation. It will be one minute and only one minute. During that one minute, the counsel to the committee is going to read names aloud. We want to see who is still here who wishes to be heard from. When I get back we'll know who's still here. We'll take it from there. Thanks for your patience. Thanks for bearing with The counsel is going to determine who is still here.

SAMARA SWANSTON: Michael Spera?

Alta Vista City, Kaufman Studios? Joseph C.

affairs for Universal Studios. I'm based out in

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I wanted to talk to you a little California. bit about our experience and what we've done out there. I've worked for almost ten years on the permitting of portable equipment, which is the category that these fall in. There is quite a few other engines, other than film engines that fall into that category. Utilities use a lot of them. A lot of them are used in construction. Within the universe of portable engines, the film set generators are pretty small. You can probably go to the ARB website and take a look at who else is running these things. I've also been involved with the on-road and off-road engines. California has developed an air toxic control measure to deal with these toxics for all of those types of engines. It's a comprehensive package. We have the portable diesel engines, we have the off-road diesel engines, which are mostly the construction type of engines, and then all of the on-roads. One of the things I would suggest that we do here is instead of trying to pick it off piecemeal is to do some kind of a comprehensive approach. Understanding the limitation of state preventions especially for on-road, there's a certain amount

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of discretion you have in terms of building permits in the construction industry. So there's many other areas that you could look into, in terms of the numbers of these engines out there. I really think that in terms of the sheer number of operating hours, it's probably pretty small when you look at the total universe. When we were looking at our greenhouse gas footprint, it was only about a thousand tons. We have 20 engines in So it's a very small universe. California. California we phased in over a ten year period. We're getting to the tier threes right now. full phase in for tier fours will be 2020. was quite a bit of time to do that. We'd like your consideration in implementing a similar kind of program out here. Regarding the retrofit package, there were some questions before about the \$6,000 versus \$30,000 package. I know that we had done quite a bit of work several years ago and there's a problem with the temperature profile in terms of how long they're running. So you can't get the cheaper ones up to temperature and the generator can get clogged up. That's one of the things that we have seen. I'd also like to

support what some of the other people testifying said regarding the shortage of equipment. I know there's a lot of questions about tier three engines in California coming up short. I don't really know the status out here, but I know that's something that we're starting to see in California with the tier three engines in both the portables and the construction end. Thank you very much.

What's your last name again, sir? Bellis. Thank you for your testimony. We may come back with a question. As you know, I'm going right into a meeting after this, so forgive me for having my pretzel lunch as we legislate here. Thank you for your indulgence. Peter?

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO:

Thank you.

PETER L. BURROWS: My name is Peter Burrows. I am wearing several hats here today. The first one I represent Location Power Source which is a generator rental company in the movie industry. The second hat is I represent Motor Vengeance, which is a remanufacturer of engines of all types used in many, many industries, both on and off-road vehicles. As part of that, I'm an authorized Cummins Engine dealer. So I think I

can speak with some authority about the diesel market. Ultra low sulfur diesel fuel is the only fuel that has been available in New York for as long as I can remember. I can't remember back when it wasn't the only one available and it's an age problem for me. Biofuel is coming to the market. It represents huge problems in that there is no consistency of manufacturer. They can be refining corn or they can be doing soy. There is no consistency. It is causing huge industrial problems in the engines. And at below 45 degrees, where we are today, the fuel waxes instantly and the engines shut off.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: What kind of blend are you using? Like B what?

PETER L. BURROWS: This is on B20.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: That's a lot.

PETER L. BURROWS: But this is

what's being pushed by the fuel vendors.

Everybody is really on the right track except the technology is not quite there to use it. In regards to automotive parts company, we remanufacture these engines amongst many different

types of engines for many of the customers in the

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

move industry. The young ladies who spoke earlier talked about retrofitting the engines used on the generator sets in relationship to construction engines which are being used in the Lower New York The construction engines are all at tier To do a New York City job you're not bringing anything on the job site without tier However, not to be facetious, in the three. engine compartment of a construction generator, we could all sit here and have lunch. There is that much room. You can put what is known as a catalytic converter on these as long as they are funning at full load all the time. A movie industry generator does not have one spare extra You physically can't fit the cats in it. There is a huge fire problem because of the heat generated running under a heavy load. When they're running under a very light load, they do not work at all. They plug up and the unit shuts down. As a Cummins Engine dealer, I can tell you that several things are happening. One with the downturn of the economy, they are laying off Truck sales are in the bottom. people.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: I need you to

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

conclude. But whatever information that you want to impart to staff after the hearing is fine.

peter L. Burrows: The EPA is changing the rules in 2010. Those will be the next generation of the engines. In terms of retrofit, if you were to try to retrofit you're going to spend approximately \$60,000 to retrofit an \$80,000 generator. Thank you.

10 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you.

Mr. Burrows, thank you for that insightful testimony. It was very informative that you're in the engine business. Mr. Bellis, any kind of insight that you would like to transmit to staff regarding your paradigm of this all-encompassing program, we certainly would like to get the opportunity to take a look at that. Right now I'm looking at this with a very sort of blue sky approach. I'm not currently a sponsor of this bill. I just want whatever works. Any kind of different perspective of what we even cover by the bill, we'd be most grateful to get a look at that. Thank you, gentleman. I appreciate you being here. We'll hear next from Edward Ma, Cecilia Galarraga, Harriet Picker and Rebecca Kalin.

2.0

2.3

want to thank everyone for their patience in
staying this long. I want to put Thomas
Hillgardner on this panel as well. 82-63 170th
Street? You're my constituent. I kept you
waiting this long to testify? Shame on me. We
still have more. This doesn't constitute
everyone. There are people that have not been
called yet that will be in the next panel. There
are multiple slips with the same name.

SAMARA SWANSTON: Please raise your right hands. Do you swear or affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth today?

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you for your patience. I don't want to script people, but this is a legislative hearing. We have bills before us. We're hear to get further insight into how we can make the bill better and that kind of thing. There is a tendency sometimes to give a long presentation that like air pollution is bad and we should have less air pollution. I've been working in the environmental community for 33 years and I fully understand that air pollution is bad. So we want to do a laser-like focus on the

issues. I now have two young children myself, one

of them who attends public school. You may be

24

25

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

aware that asthma rates in New York City are increasing. Over the past decade or so there has been a steady increase of asthma. There now can be an estimated rate of 7-12% of asthma in New York City school children. This will translate into great harm for the future of our city. We are already seeing an increase in emergency room visits, increased absence from schools and increased education suffering due to asthma, as well as many parents missing work to stay home and take care of children with asthma. In the best interest of the citizens of the city is that we do everything in our power to reduce the irritants that cause and exacerbate asthma. Auto exhaust is a significant contributor to air pollution and Idling adds irritants to the air in a asthma. concentrated manner and is completely preventable. Idling near schools sends pollution directly to the lungs of our young students. Many of our schools are already situation in closed high traffic areas. For example, my son's school, PS 110, is located directly underneath the Williamsburg Bridge and is less than 200 feet from the FDR Drive. Thousands of cars drive within a

2.0

few hundred feet of this school each day. Each of
these cars adds harmful pollutants to the air.
Each of these cars contributes to asthma rates
into our city. But each of these cars drives by
and takes their exhaust with them. Sitting in
front of the school, in 15 minutes, one idling car
can add as much pollution to the school air as
several hundred cars driving by. This is totally
preventable by simply turning off the car. Our
children deserve cleaner air and this simple step
will dramatically improve the quality of air and
improve the quality of the health of our students'
lives. Thank you very much for your time.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you very much. Next?

CECILIA GALARRAGA: Good afternoon.

My name is Cecilia Galarraga and I work with the

Asthma Free School Zone. However, today I'm

presenting this testimony on behalf of children of

the Health Patrol at PS 28 in the Mount Hope

neighborhood of the Bronx. The Health Patrol is

comprised of third, fourth and fifth graders.

Some of these students came last year to our idle
free NYC press conference. Each Health Patrol

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

member wrote a statement asking for your support of Intro. 631-A. I won't read all of them. Τ think there's a handout with all of them on it. They all recognize the effect that vehicle pollution has on their lives and on the lives of their peers. Since they were unable to make it today to the hearing, I'd like to read their statements. From Maria O., grade 3, "Air needs to be clean because people can get sick. I want to protect my sister because she's sick from asthma. And I want to protect all people who have asthma." From Brianna Peterkin and Delicia Holly, grade 4, "The air should be clean outside the school 14 because it's wintertime and people are getting sick, and if the air is not clean it will cause more sickness. Many kids go to the hospital and lose learning time." And then from Kenneth Addae, grade 5, "I think people shouldn't idle for more than one minute. They shouldn't do that because it will be harder for people with asthma to breathe. My godbrother has a cousin that has asthma. She doesn't have very good breathing." On behalf of the Health Patrol at PS 28, thank you very much for your time. We hope that you will

air. It also can literally kill our innocent

children. This incident just occurred in our

24

25

Chinatown four days ago on January 22nd, Thursday, when a car engine was not turned off and the driver walked away. Then the car backed up just as the daycare school children were passing by on the pedestrian walk. Two children were killed instantly, while a dozen of them were injured. We must pass Intro. 631-A now so that we can save lives as well as the earth. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you very much. Appreciate that.

REBECCA KALIN: I'm Rebecca Kalin, director of the Asthma Free School Zone. Since 2001 we have targeted idling in school zones. We have worked in a hundred schools, serving 50,000 children, 10,000 parents and teachers and we've also done very extensive air quality monitoring in three boroughs at 11 schools. Our air quality monitoring has involved looking at PM 2.5 elemental carbon, an indicator of diesel, and doing a minute by minute observational study of who idles, why they idle and how long they idle. I believe that Intro. 631-A is a win/win and it's going to happen. But I'd like to take this opportunity to address Council Member Felder's

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

concerns and Clyde Hart's concerns. We have gone for two hours in the afternoon prior to dismissal and after dismissal. We have studied the air around these times that Council Member Felder was objecting to. We have seen, through our measuring and our observational studies, that there is a direct correlation between the idling vehicles and the pollution in the air. We also know that fine particle matter has a direct link to cancer, lung disease, heart disease, premature death and all of that. It's important that if there's any time during the day, it should be drop off and dismissal. However, the school zone is a concentration of children all day long. There is absolutely no excuse for not protecting this one of individuals who have an extraordinary vulnerability to pollution. I think Mr. Hart referred to the tour buses as tour coaches of something. They're like rolling apartment houses. For a tour coach to have its air conditioning running next to PS 110 on West 47th Street all day long or all afternoon while it's waiting for theater goers is unacceptable. It's absolutely unacceptable. Just so the temperature is cool

Without a doubt, the problems that the 2 3 Environmental Committee are addressing here are 4 very serious and important. What I want to address today is the enforcement mechanism and 5 giving to the traffic enforcement agents the power 6 to do this. I think it's a big mistake. First of 7 8 all, the Parking Violations Bureau is without jurisdiction to adjudicate violations of Section 9 10 24-163 of the Administrative Code. They're 11 limited to parking violations and this is not a parking violation. It has nothing to do with 12 13 where you're parked. It has to do with operating. More importantly, one of the reasons we're out 14 15 here today is because we see again and again 16 Finance trying to just grab more jurisdiction to 17 enforce parking to dress something up that's not really parking, call it parking and try to enforce 18 19 This was just recently done with State 20 Legislation involving blocking the box. What makes this despicable is that there's a conspiracy 21 22 between the police department and Finance 23 concerning the service of notice of parking violations. Notice of parking violations, 24 25 pursuant to Vehicle and Traffic Law Section 238,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"if the operator is present, the parking ticket must be served on the operator." It's the duty of the TEA to determine who the operator is. them for ID. If they refuse to give it, then they can check a box. Then the can serve it on the owner of the vehicle by conspicuously affixing to it. Now the police department and Finance have just totally done an end run around Vehicle and Traffic Law. They don't care about that anymore. They just issue it to the owner. The name of the game is revenues and collect the money. The owner is the deep pocket, go after them. Now, what you should really be doing here is punishing the people who are idling the engines, not necessarily just the owner of the vehicle who isn't always the person operating the vehicle and can't just reach over and shut it off. You've got to punish the person who is breaking the law. That means it's really important if you're going to be using the Parking Violations Bureau and the traffic enforcement mechanism and the handheld computers to enforce this law, you really need to make sure that you're getting the people who are responsible for committing the act that's really the offence.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, with parking, it's understood that it's an incident of the ownership of the vehicle that puts you there. We've decided as a matter of policy to make the owner of the vehicle responsible for paying the ticket. I don't think engine idling sits in the same class of category where it's really right to punish the owner when it's the operator sitting there with the engine on. the person and you need to identify that person. I will come out and I will oppose any legislation that asks for any TEAs to have any further jurisdiction to issue anything as long as the city continues with this little conspiracy between Finance and the issuing agents so that they can do an end run around identifying the operators. they serve these things in compliance with Vehicle and Traffic Law, I wouldn't be here talking to you today. But that conspiracy has got to end. don't want to see additional jurisdiction and additional power for people to do this when it really is going to spike up. Particularly with this offense because with engine idling the operator is almost always present. By the way, there is a statute against unattended motor

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

exhaust can pollute the air inside the school building through open doors, windows and intake vents, thus, again, compromising the health of students, teachers and staff. Diesel fine particulate matter is suspended in the air for at least 24 hours. With low sulfur fuel, there is still a problem with diesel particulate matter unless there is a diesel particulate exhaust filter. The agreement with the New York State Attorney General concerning an agreement for 75% of bus companies to eliminate unnecessary idling within one block of a school does not solve the problem of diesel fine particulate matter not being introduced into residential buildings, stores and the usually busy pedestrian sidewalks where most schools are located. Also, I'd like to know what about the 25% of the other bus companies that were not included in the agreement. school buses in pre-1996 buses are an immediate health threat to children and youths who have asthma, allergies and those who have compromised immune systems. There is also an immediate health threat to teachers, staff, bus drivers and aides, as well as to the pedestrians, seniors and

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION148					
2	residents who are one block from such schools or					
3	are adjacent to such schools. Thank you very					
4	much.					
5	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you,					
6	Ms. Peterson-Lewis. We appreciate your testimony.					
7	Thank you for being a public member of a committee					
8	of the local community board. We appreciate you					
9	being here.					
10	ELLEN PETERSON-LEWIS: Thank you.					
11	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you.					
12	With no other witnesses to be heard, I want to					
13	thank everyone for being here and for giving us					
14	the benefit of your views. This hearing is					
15	adjourned.					

I, Donna Hintze certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

4	Ebura	deale	
Signature			
DateFebruary	3, 2009_		