CITY COUNCIL CITY OF NEW YORK -----X TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES of the COMMITTEE ON LAND USE -----X September 4, 2008 Start: 10:45am Recess: N/A HELD AT: Committee Room City Hall BEFORE: MELINDA R. KATZ Chairperson COUNCIL MEMBERS: Maria del Carmen Arroyo Tony Avella Maria Baez Charles Barron Leroy G. Comrie, Jr. Inez E. Dickens Simcha Felder Daniel R. Garodnick Eric N. Gioia Vincent Ignizio Robert Jackson Jessica S. Lappin John C. Liu Ubiqus 22 Cortlandt Street – Suite 802, New York, NY 10007 A P P E A R A N C E S COUNCIL MEMBERS: Miguel Martinez Michael E. McMahon Rosie Mendez James S. Oddo Annabel Palma Joel Rivera Helen Sears Albert Vann

1	COMMITTEE ON LAND USE 3	
2	CHAIRPERSON KATZ: Land Use. I	
3	would like make a comment, if I can, on the	
4	Subcommittee hearing and the agreement if I can do	
5	that. I think that this was probably one of the	
6	best tests and displays of the democratic process	
7	that there is. The Council Members were all	
8	talking to one another and talking to me and	
9	talking to the Speaker's office and talking to the	
10	Chair of the Subcommittee, Jessica Lappin, who I	
11	think always does a phenomenal job at her	
12	hearings, under some very difficult circumstances.	
13	And, you know, we made it clear that everyone	
14	should listen to the testimony and vote. And that	
15	was that. And I think that that's important for	
16	everyone to know and acknowledge. I just want to	
17	say, as the Chair of the Land Use Committee though	
18	that this agreement was made between the	
19	Councilmember and the administration, and I think	
20	that Alan should be congratulated for the	
21	agreement that he made. But I want to make it	
22	clear to the Council Members and to the public	
23	that is watching, I am extremely uncomfortable	
24	with making an agreement with the administration	
25	on things that they will do, and not because we	

1	COMMITTEE ON LAND USE 4			
2	don't trust them, not because they're not good			
3	agencies; the Landmark Commission is a very good			
4	agency, but because we don't have the power or			
5	control as we move forward to make sure that that			
6	actually happens. So just from a process			
7	viewpoint, everyone needs to understand that			
8	there's a difference, I believe, on making an			
9	agreement on, for instance, there's going to be a			
10	rezoning but the infrastructure-if I can have some			
11	order in the room that would be great. The			
12	infrastructure needs to be taken care of so the			
13	City agrees to take care of the infrastructure so			
14	the project can move forward. I think it's a			
15	little different also than a Fuco [phonetic],			
16	which we have done several times, which is an			
17	agreement on a certain thing that will be done in			
18	the future and a certain outcome that we agree to.			
19	And I also think it's different than negotiating			
20	with the city, for instance, on the height of a			
21	building, which may not necessarily be in the			
22	outskirts of the zoning, but the developer, the			
23	Councilmember and the Committee agree on it. So I			
24	think that there's a big difference, because of			
25	what Councilmember Fidler said, which is that we			

1	COMMITTEE ON LAND USE 5
2	don't know what the outcome is going to be. And I
3	just wanted to state that for the future, so that
4	when you're negotiating your items, that you
5	understand that I do think there's a difference
6	between those things. Having said that, as Chair
7	of the Committee, I think that the Subcommittee
8	Chair Councilmember Lappin did such a phenomenal
9	job in really getting everybody and having
10	Landmarks come testify and pursuing this process.
11	And the Councilmember has the support of the
12	majority of the members. And I think that's
13	important. And I also would remind him that in
14	the future we want to talk a little more about how
15	we view the agencies as we go forward. But we
16	know that you're going to keep on top of this.
17	And so now that I got that on the record, I am
18	going to go through the agenda and make a motion
19	to approve. LU number 810, ACA Dollar Homes
20	Amendment. That's a technical amendment to
21	previously approved projects to allow the tax
22	exemption to begin. LU number 827, Councilmember
23	Gerson and Councilmember Mendez's district, LU
24	number 827, which is the NOHO historic district
25	Extension, LU number 859 is to preserve the built

1	COMMITTEE ON LAND USE 6
2	content and support, to make sure that there's
3	orderly growth in Laurelton, and that is in
4	Councilmember Sanders' District. UDAAP Is LU
5	number 763 in Councilmember Sanders's district; LU
6	number 776 in Councilmember Jackson's district; LU
7	number 777 in Councilmember Dickens's district;
8	778 in Councilmember Dickens's district;
9	Councilmember Vann's district is 809 and
10	Councilmember Dilan's District is LU number 852.
11	Any discussion? And again with congratulations to
12	everyone involved with NOHO, including
13	Councilmember Mendez, who I neglected to mention,
14	and I apologize for that. I know that she was
15	very supportive of it. I make a motion to
16	approve.
17	MALE VOICE: Katz.
18	CHAIRPERSON KATZ: Aye on all.
19	MALE VOICE: Dickens.
20	INEZ E. DICKENS: Aye.
21	MALE VOICE: Avella:
22	TONY AVELLA: Aye on all.
23	MALE VOICE: Barron.
24	CHARLES BARRON: I'd just like to
25	explain my vote very briefly. You know I heard

1	COMMITTEE ON LAND USE 7		
2	what you said, Madam Chair, but I hope we're		
3	consistent with that. Because, see, I hear what		
4	you're saying, Madam Chair, around this particular		
5	issue, how we shouldn't trust Landmarks. But I've		
6	been in these meetings where other items come up		
7	and the chair and the speaker and others agree		
8	with, and we have to make commitments to trust an		
9	agency. So I mean, I appreciate the lecture. But		
10	let's be consistent. If we're not going to trust		
11	agencies and we have power to do something about		
12	it, then we should use that power until we get the		
13	right thing for the people, and not have it judged		
14	project by project; in one meeting we're not		
15	trusting and in the next meeting, particularly		
16	like Duffield Street, we voted for the demolition		
17	of Duffield Street. Madam Chair, are you hearing		
18	us?		
19	CHAIRPERSON KATZ: Yes.		
20	CHARLES BARRON: Okay, I just want		
21	to make sure, because I know you're very busy over		
22	there. But we voted-and I read an article that		
23	said people who think they multi-task, it is not a		
24	good thing, that you really should focus, because		
25	you miss things.		

1	COMMITTEE ON LAND USE 8	
2	CHAIRPERSON KATZ: What did you	
3	say, John?	
4	[Laughter]	
5	CHAIRPERSON KATZ: Did you say	
6	something, John? Go ahead.	
7	CHARLES BARRON: So I just remember	
8	Duffield Street, where we didn't have the	
9	conclusion of whether that was some historical	
10	value to the Black the community. We voted to	
11	demolish; we voted trust the Landmarks Commission	
12	to do their research and so on and so forth. So	
13	let's be consistent. If we're going to feel that	
14	way, we should be consistent on all the projects.	
15	And I vote aye on all.	
16	CHAIRPERSON KATZ: And I would like	
17	to clarify my position. It's funny you mentioned	
18	Duffield, because we were just actually talking	
19	about that. I am not at all stating that I don't	
20	trust Landmarks. In fact, I've had a very decent	
21	relationship with Landmarks, especially	
22	Commissioner Tierney, who I find has kept his word	
23	to me. The issue is not whether we trust the	
24	agency or not trust the agency. The issue is	
25	whether or not in the end the agency has agreed to	

1	COMMITTEE ON LAND USE 9	
2	review it, has agreed to expedite it and we all	
3	appreciate that. And I know that they will do	
4	exactly what they agreed to. The issue is my	
5	concern that Councilman Gerson gets what he thinks	
6	he needs for his area, in the end. And I think	
7	that that's really the issue, because they may	
8	have actually a very logical, substantive	
9	disagreement. And nobody can fault the agency or	
10	the Councilman for that. And that's really where	
11	my issue was, is that we hope that in the end they	
12	come to an agreement that they both can live with.	
13	And that's really where my issue is. Thank you.	
14	MALE VOICE: Rivera.	
15	JOEL RIVERA: I vote aye.	
16	MALE VOICE: Baez.	
17	MARIA BAEZ: Aye on all.	
18	MALE VOICE: Comrie.	
19	LEROY G. COMRIE, JR: Permission to	
20	explain my vote. I'll take that as a yes, okay.	
21	I want to congratulate Councilmember Mendez. I	
22	didn't mention her name before. I do want to also	
23	congratulate the Laurelton and Springfield Gardens	
24	community and Councilmember Sanders on the long	
25	awaited rezoning that will create protection over	

1	COMMITTEE ON LAND USE 10	
2	in that area. I am concerned about, and I guess I	
3	need to hear more clarification from Councilmember	
4	Katz about what she was saying about negotiation	
5	with agencies, because if we don't negotiate	
6	within projects, how do we get stuff done? I	
7	understand your point in general, but there's a	
8	specific problem on how we deal with all of these	
9	projects, and I think that that's a general Land	
10	Use problem that I think we're going to need to	
11	talk about, especially as things come up in the	
12	next few months. There's some major projects that	
13	are coming down our pike, such as Willets Point,	
14	such as Hunts Point. I think we're in a position	
15	now where we're trying to figure out how to meet	
16	the needs of communities, how to meet the needs of	
17	individuals, how to meet-long-term projects that	
18	will be finalized by other entities or not, is	
19	something that we really have to take into	
20	consideration; and how do we make sure that	
21	there's a process to ensure that what we do today,	
22	what we implement today is actually done at the	
23	end of the process is something that concerns all	
24	of us, I think. And I'm not going to get into one	
25	project over another project, but clearly there	

1	COMMITTEE ON LAND USE 11	
2	are issues that we need to think about this and	
3	deal with this in open discussion. I vote aye on	
4	all.	
5	MALE VOICE: Gioia.	
6	ERIC N. GIOIA: Thank you. I vote	
7	yes.	
8	MALE VOICE: Jackson.	
9	ROBERT JACKSON: I vote aye on all.	
10	MALE VOICE: Liu.	
11	JOHN C. LIU: Yes.	
12	MALE VOICE: Martinez.	
13	MIGUEL MARTINEZ: I vote aye with	
14	exception LU number 827. That one is no.	
15	MALE VOICE: McMahon.	
16	MICHAEL E. MCMAHON: Aye.	
17	MALE VOICE: Sears.	
18	HELEN SEARS: Aye.	
19	MALE VOICE: Palma.	
20	ANNABEL PALMA: Aye.	
21	MALE VOICE: Arroyo.	
22	MARIA DEL CARMEN ARROYO: Aye.	
23	MALE VOICE: Garodnick.	
24	DANIEL R. GARODNICK: I vote aye.	
25	MALE VOICE: Lappin.	

1	COMMITTEE ON LAND USE 12
2	JESSICA S. LAPPIN: Aye on all and
3	big congratulations to Alan Gerson.
4	MALE VOICE: Mendez.
5	ROSIE MENDEZ: I vote aye, and I
6	wanted to thank Chair Lappin for all of her hard
7	work, which I forgot to do earlier. Thank you,
8	Jessica.
9	MALE VOICE: Vann.
10	ALBERT VANN: Aye.
11	MALE VOICE: Ignizio.
12	VINCENT IGNIZIO: I vote no on Land
13	Use 827, primarily because I believe the
14	developers have come here and agreed under duress.
15	Aye on all others.
16	MALE VOICE: Oddo.
17	JAMES S. ODDO: No on 827, yes on
18	all others.
19	MALE VOICE: Felder.
20	[Break in Audio]
21	MALE VOICE: By a vote of 22 in the
22	affirmative, zero in the negative and no
23	abstentions all items are adopted; with the
24	exception of Land Use item 827, which is adopted
25	by a vote of 19 in the affirmative, 3 in the

1	COMMITTEE ON LAND USE	13
2	negative and 0 abstentions. Council Members,	
3	please sign all committee reports. Thank you.	
4	CHAIRPERSON KATZ: Meeting is	
5	adjourned.	

I

CERTIFICATE

I, Erika Swyler, certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

Signature_____

Date _____September 12, 2008_

14