CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

COMMITTEE ON LAND USE

----X

September 4, 2008 Start: 10:45am Recess: N/A

HELD AT: Committee Room

City Hall

B E F O R E:

MELINDA R. KATZ Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Maria del Carmen Arroyo
Tony Avella
Maria Baez
Charles Barron
Leroy G. Comrie, Jr.
Inez E. Dickens
Simcha Felder
Daniel R. Garodnick

Eric N. Gioia Vincent Ignizio Robert Jackson Jessica S. Lappin

John C. Liu

A P P E A R A N C E S COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Miguel Martinez
Michael E. McMahon
Rosie Mendez
James S. Oddo
Annabel Palma
Joel Rivera
Helen Sears
Albert Vann

2	CHAIRPERSON KATZ: Land Use. I
3	would like make a comment, if I can, on the
4	Subcommittee hearing and the agreement if I can do
5	that. I think that this was probably one of the
6	best tests and displays of the democratic process
7	that there is. The Council Members were all
8	talking to one another and talking to me and
9	talking to the Speaker's office and talking to the
10	Chair of the Subcommittee, Jessica Lappin, who I
11	think always does a phenomenal job at her
12	hearings, under some very difficult circumstances.
13	And, you know, we made it clear that everyone
14	should listen to the testimony and vote. And that
15	was that. And I think that that's important for
16	everyone to know and acknowledge. I just want to
17	say, as the Chair of the Land Use Committee though
18	that this agreement was made between the
19	Councilmember and the administration, and I think
20	that Alan should be congratulated for the
21	agreement that he made. But I want to make it
22	clear to the Council Members and to the public
23	that is watching, I am extremely uncomfortable
24	with making an agreement with the administration

on things that they will do, and not because we

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

don't trust them, not because they're not good agencies; the Landmark Commission is a very good agency, but because we don't have the power or control as we move forward to make sure that that actually happens. So just from a process viewpoint, everyone needs to understand that there's a difference, I believe, on making an agreement on, for instance, there's going to be a rezoning but the infrastructure—if I can have some order in the room that would be great. infrastructure needs to be taken care of so the City agrees to take care of the infrastructure so the project can move forward. I think it's a little different also than a Fuco [phonetic], which we have done several times, which is an agreement on a certain thing that will be done in the future and a certain outcome that we agree to. And I also think it's different than negotiating with the city, for instance, on the height of a building, which may not necessarily be in the outskirts of the zoning, but the developer, the Councilmember and the Committee agree on it. So I think that there's a big difference, because of what Councilmember Fidler said, which is that we

2	don't know what the outcome is going to be. And I								
3	just wanted to state that for the future, so that								
4	when you're negotiating your items, that you								
5	understand that I do think there's a difference								
6	between those things. Having said that, as Chair								
7	of the Committee, I think that the Subcommittee								
8	Chair Councilmember Lappin did such a phenomenal								
9	job in really getting everybody and having								
10	Landmarks come testify and pursuing this process.								
11	And the Councilmember has the support of the								
12	majority of the members. And I think that's								
13	important. And I also would remind him that in								
14	the future we want to talk a little more about how								
15	we view the agencies as we go forward. But we								
16	know that you're going to keep on top of this.								
17	And so now that I got that on the record, I am								
18	going to go through the agenda and make a motion								
19	to approve. LU number 810, ACA Dollar Homes								
20	Amendment. That's a technical amendment to								
21	previously approved projects to allow the tax								
22	exemption to begin. LU number 827, Councilmember								
23	Gerson and Councilmember Mendez's district, LU								
24	number 827, which is the NOHO historic district								
25	Extension, LU number 859 is to preserve the built								

2	content and support, to make sure that there's								
3	orderly growth in Laurelton, and that is in								
4	Councilmember Sanders' District. UDAAP Is LU								
5	number 763 in Councilmember Sanders's district; LU								
6	number 776 in Councilmember Jackson's district; LU								
7	number 777 in Councilmember Dickens's district;								
8	778 in Councilmember Dickens's district;								
9	Councilmember Vann's district is 809 and								
10	Councilmember Dilan's District is LU number 852.								
11	Any discussion? And again with congratulations to								
12	everyone involved with NOHO, including								
13	Councilmember Mendez, who I neglected to mention,								
14	and I apologize for that. I know that she was								
15	very supportive of it. I make a motion to								
16	approve.								
17	MALE VOICE: Katz.								
18	CHAIRPERSON KATZ: Aye on all.								
19	MALE VOICE: Dickens.								
20	INEZ E. DICKENS: Aye.								
21	MALE VOICE: Avella:								
22	TONY AVELLA: Aye on all.								
23	MALE VOICE: Barron.								
24	CHARLES BARRON: I'd just like to								
25	explain my vote very briefly. You know I heard								

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

what you said, Madam Chair, but I hope we're consistent with that. Because, see, I hear what you're saying, Madam Chair, around this particular issue, how we shouldn't trust Landmarks. But I've been in these meetings where other items come up and the chair and the speaker and others agree with, and we have to make commitments to trust an agency. So I mean, I appreciate the lecture. let's be consistent. If we're not going to trust agencies and we have power to do something about it, then we should use that power until we get the right thing for the people, and not have it judged project by project; in one meeting we're not trusting and in the next meeting, particularly like Duffield Street, we voted for the demolition of Duffield Street. Madam Chair, are you hearing us?

CHAIRPERSON KATZ: Yes.

CHARLES BARRON: Okay, I just want to make sure, because I know you're very busy over there. But we voted—and I read an article that said people who think they multi-task, it is not a good thing, that you really should focus, because you miss things.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

conclusion of whether that was some historical value to the Black the community. We voted to demolish; we voted trust the Landmarks Commission to do their research and so on and so forth. So let's be consistent. If we're going to feel that way, we should be consistent on all the projects. And I vote aye on all.

CHAIRPERSON KATZ: And I would like to clarify my position. It's funny you mentioned Duffield, because we were just actually talking about that. I am not at all stating that I don't trust Landmarks. In fact, I've had a very decent relationship with Landmarks, especially Commissioner Tierney, who I find has kept his word to me. The issue is not whether we trust the agency or not trust the agency. The issue is whether or not in the end the agency has agreed to

review it, has agreed to expedite it and we all							
appreciate that. And I know that they will do							
exactly what they agreed to. The issue is my							
concern that Councilman Gerson gets what he thinks							
he needs for his area, in the end. And I think							
that that's really the issue, because they may							
have actually a very logical, substantive							
disagreement. And nobody can fault the agency or							
the Councilman for that. And that's really where							
my issue was, is that we hope that in the end they							
come to an agreement that they both can live with.							
And that's really where my issue is. Thank you.							

MALE VOICE: Rivera.

JOEL RIVERA: I vote aye.

MALE VOICE: Baez.

MARIA BAEZ: Aye on all.

MALE VOICE: Comrie.

LEROY G. COMRIE, JR: Permission to explain my vote. I'll take that as a yes, okay.

I want to congratulate Councilmember Mendez. I didn't mention her name before. I do want to also congratulate the Laurelton and Springfield Gardens community and Councilmember Sanders on the long awaited rezoning that will create protection over

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in that area. I am concerned about, and I guess I need to hear more clarification from Councilmember Katz about what she was saying about negotiation with agencies, because if we don't negotiate within projects, how do we get stuff done? understand your point in general, but there's a specific problem on how we deal with all of these projects, and I think that that's a general Land Use problem that I think we're going to need to talk about, especially as things come up in the next few months. There's some major projects that are coming down our pike, such as Willets Point, such as Hunts Point. I think we're in a position now where we're trying to figure out how to meet the needs of communities, how to meet the needs of individuals, how to meet-long-term projects that will be finalized by other entities or not, is something that we really have to take into consideration; and how do we make sure that there's a process to ensure that what we do today, what we implement today is actually done at the end of the process is something that concerns all of us, I think. And I'm not going to get into one project over another project, but clearly there

11	
and aye on	
[vote	
on all.	
with	
<u> </u>	

1	COMMITTEE ON LAND USE 11
2	are issues that we need to think about this and
3	deal with this in open discussion. I vote aye on
4	all.
5	MALE VOICE: Gioia.
6	ERIC N. GIOIA: Thank you. I vote
7	yes.
8	MALE VOICE: Jackson.
9	ROBERT JACKSON: I vote aye on all.
10	MALE VOICE: Liu.
11	JOHN C. LIU: Yes.
12	MALE VOICE: Martinez.
13	MIGUEL MARTINEZ: I vote aye with
14	exception LU number 827. That one is no.
15	MALE VOICE: McMahon.
16	MICHAEL E. MCMAHON: Aye.
17	MALE VOICE: Sears.
18	HELEN SEARS: Aye.
19	MALE VOICE: Palma.
20	ANNABEL PALMA: Aye.
21	MALE VOICE: Arroyo.
22	MARIA DEL CARMEN ARROYO: Aye.

MALE VOICE: Garodnick.

MALE VOICE: Lappin.

DANIEL R. GARODNICK: I vote aye.

23

24

25

2	negative	and	0	abstentions.	Council	Members,

3 please sign all committee reports. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON KATZ: Meeting is

5 adjourned.

I, Erika Swyler, certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

Signature_				
_	- Marie Contract	-		
Date	_September	12,	2008_	