CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

----- X

October 23, 2018 Start: 10:06 a.m. Recess: 12:13 p.m.

HELD AT: Committee Room - City Hall

B E F O R E: ROBERT E. CORNEGY, JR.

Chairperson

MARK LEVINE Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS: Alicka Ampry-Samuel

Inez D. Barron
Fernando Cabrera
Margaret S. Chin
Mathieu Eugene
Keith Powers
Barry Grodenchik
Mark Gjonaj

Mark Gjonaj Bill Perkins

Helen K. Rosenthal

## A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Dr. Demetre Daskalakis Deputy Commissioner for Disease Control New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene

Corinne Schiff
Deputy Commissioner for Environmental Health
New York City Department of Health and Mental
Hygiene

Russell Bastik [phonetic] (Microphone off so unidentified as to company from)

Daryn Cline
Director of Technology and Science
Alliance to Prevent Legionnaires' Disease

Laura Belt-Ponomarev Chair of the Advocacy Committee BOMA New York 2 UNIDENTIFIED: Test, test.

3 CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Test 1, 2, test,

4 test.

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

UNIDENTIFIED: Test, test, this is the Committee on Health joint with Housing and Buildings. Today's date is October 23, 2018 and this recording is being recorded by [Inaudible].

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Good morning, everyone. I'm Council Member Robert Cornegy, chair of the Committee on Housing and Buildings and I'm joined today by the chair of the Committee on Health. Today we'll discuss the city's cooling tower inspection regime with testimony from the administration, advocates and other interested members of the public. We'll hear a package of bills that will strengthen the city's oversight and enforcement of policies to prevent Legionnaires' disease. Legionnaires' disease is usually called by inhalation of the Legionella bacterium which is naturally present in our environment but thrives in poorly maintained cooling towers used to provide air conditioning. According to the CDC, those who are older or have a compromised immune system are at a higher risk of getting sick when exposed to the

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH JOINTLY WITH 1 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 2 bacterial, although worth noting between 2015 and 2017, the incidents of Legionnaires' disease was 3 4 highest among black and Latino New Yorkers. Finally Legionnaires' disease is most common in neighborhoods 5 experiencing high levels of poverty and cases have 6 7 been on the rise. In response to the 2015 Legionnaires' outbreak that affected 133 residents 8 and resulted in 12 deaths, the Council passed Local 9 Law 77 which requires owners cooling towers to 10 register them with the DOB and develop plans for 11 12 their maintenance. It also requires regular inspections and provides clear and strict guidelines 13 for building owners if a test indicates that microbes 14 15 are present. Local Law 77 was set up in the right 16 direction but its requirements and enforcement have ultimately been insufficient as outbreaks of 17 Legionnaires' disease continue to disturb communities 18 throughout the city. Today I hope that we'll make 19 20 substantial progress towards stopping this disease once and for all. I'd like to have remarks from my 21 2.2 Co-Chair, Mark Levine. 23 CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you Chair 24 Cornegy. Excited to finally be kicking off our first

joint hearing together, hopefully it will be the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Legionnaires' is not the disease which kills the most New Yorkers by a long shot. Those unfortunate titles would go to conditions like heart disease and stroke and cancer but because of the fact that you can contract Legionnaires' by breathing, people are understandably scared and we don't minimize that and we take it very seriously. This is an issue which appears to be mounting in severity in New York City and nationally. As the City's data shows, the number of cases is increasing. There are a lot of possible explanations for that both here and nationally but that trend has also been a source of alarm to many New Yorkers. My own concern on this issue which would be considerable as it would be for any New Yorker and especially for the Chair of the Health Committee has been heightened by the fact that we've had not one but two clusters in my district just in the last couple of months. We've had unfortunately fifty people approximately who've contracted the disease in these two clusters and tragically so far

there have been two deaths in these clusters which we

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH JOINTLY WITH 1 6 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 2 take very, very seriously. The recurrence of a cluster in almost exactly the same location causes me 3 to ask whether there could be defects in the 4 5 equipment. I know that DOH is asking that same 6 question. This strikes me as a question that we 7 haven't explored adequately and haven't accounted for 8 perhaps in our broader strategy to combat this disease and I think I speak for everyone when I say 9 we need to stop at nothing to understand the source 10 of Legionnaires', to mitigate it when there are 11 12 clusters or outbreaks, to prevent future clusters and outbreaks and, of course, to keep the public informed 13 14 in every way possible. Passage of Local Law 77 in 15 the last term really catapulted New York City into 16 the forefront nationally, perhaps even internationally in how we contract and combat 17 18 Legionnaires' and we acknowledge that but today is about asking what we can do to be even better, what 19 20 we can do to better enforce the existing law and what ways do we need to make, as Chair Cornegy said, the 21 2.2 existing law even stronger. We're looking at a total 23 of four bills today really focusing on strengthening the inspection regime. I'm pleased to be sponsoring 24

Intro. 1158 which would require the Commissioner of

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH JOINTLY WITH 1 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 2 DOHMH in conjunction with the DOB to hold information sessions at least twice annually for building owners 3 regarding maintenance, cleaning and inspection of 4 cooling towers and to post the information on line. 5 We want all building owners to be informed about how 6 7 properly to care for cooling towers and to ensure that all New Yorkers, especially those who are the 8 most vulnerable, are protected from harmful exposure 9 to Legionella. I do just want to take a minute to 10 correct a couple of the rampant misperceptions about 11 12 Legionnaires' which I'm sure the Commissioner will be addressing: 1) is to clarify that there's a 13 difference between cooling towers which are these 14 15 bulky modern looking things that run central air 16 conditioning and good old fashioned oak water tanks and we're actually doing another hearing on the water 17 18 tanks issue I believe next week. When is that? the 30<sup>th</sup>, that's about your drinking water. 19 20 Legionnaires' is a airborne disease so I just want, from the outset and I myself sometimes get tripped up 21 2.2 over the language. We're talking about cooling 23 towers and other sources of Legionnaires'. It is 24 true that not every case of Legionnaires' comes from

cooling towers. Some of them can come from hot water

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH JOINTLY WITH 1 8 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 2 systems and some come from other sources that we might not even know so we'll talk about that today 3 4 but just want to make sure we understand that and the 5 last misperception which is just we can't repeat enough, this is not a contagious disease. You don't 6 7 have to worry about a patient with Legionnaires' sneezing on you or hugging you or anything like that 8 because that's not how this disease is spread so a 9 little bit of relief for New Yorkers who are worried. 10 I'm very pleased that DOHMH is here with two very 11 12 capable senior leaders. I have to say that I'm disappointed, Mr. Chair, that DOB is not here because 13 DOB does have a role in administering these so 14 15 perhaps you folks can explain why that is but I would 16 have liked to have seen a representative of DOB here to join the leadership of DOHMH and I'm gonna pass it 17 18 back to you, Mr. Chair. CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: I just want to 19 20 acknowledge that we've been joined by Council Member Barry Grodenchik from the great borough of Queens. 21 2.2 just wanted to acknowledge that. Yes sir. 23 could affirm the testimony of the administration. COMMITTEE COUNSEL: 24 Do you affirm to

tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH JOINTLY WITH 1 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 2 truth in your testimony before this committee and to respond honestly to Council Member questions? 3 4 DR. DASKALAKIS: Yes. 5 CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: So we'll begin with 6 a round of questions. I'll start. The CDC projects, 7 oh, we might want to let them testify first. 8 [Laughter] CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: That's a novel, 9 10 that's a novel approach. DR. DASKALAKIS: I'm gonna try to answer 11 12 some of those before we start. 13 CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: It's been one of 14 those mornings, I'm sorry. Please begin your 15 testimony. 16 DR. DASKALAKIS: Great, thank you. Good 17 morning Chairs Levine and Cornegy and members of the 18 Health and Housing and Buildings Committees. I'm Dr. Demetre Daskalakis the Deputy Commissioner for 19 20 Disease Control at the New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene. I'm joined by my 21 2.2 colleague, Corinne Schiff, Deputy Commissioner for 23 Environmental Health. On behalf of Corinne Schiff, 24 Deputy Commissioner for Environmental Health, on

behalf of Acting Commissioner Oxiris Barbot, thank

pneumonia is most common acquired by individuals with

disease or a weakened immune system or being over 50

years of age or a tobacco smoker. Although the vast

majority of Legionella pneumonia are sporadic and

related to unidentified natural or human made

environmental exposures, cooling towers are

risk factors that may make them generally more

susceptible to pneumonias such as having chronic

19

18

20

21

22

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

tower systems. In 2016, the department created a new office to oversee building owner's compliance with the local law promulgated agency regulations setting out detailed implementation requirements and expanded the department's laboratory and disease surveillance activities and cooling tower systems on regulatory oversight capacity. We hired a highly skilled team including specialized water ecologists who perform annual inspections of registered cooling tower systems. New York City now has the most rigorous cooling tower oversight in North America and it's seen as a national and international model for this work. The department's water ecologists annually inspect all registered cooling tower systems. highly strained staff inspect all cooling tower equipment, assess the chemical treatment of the water in the cooling tower systems and review maintenance and operational records including water quality testing records for Legionella bacteria. inspections are conducted to determine compliance with Local Law 77 and summons are issued to building owners who failed to comply. To improve compliance, the department also provides training, technical assistance and resources for building owners, cooling

meaning we are notified of every positive test for

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

investigation, water ecologists are dispatched to

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH JOINTLY WITH 1 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 15 2 collect samples of water from the cooling tower, review cooling tower system records to assess the 3 4 compliance with maintenance requirements and perform 5 compliance activities. If a water sample tests 6 positive for Legionella bacteria, the Commissioner 7 issues an order to require timely disinfection, cleaning or other appropriate corrective action. 8 typically investigate several community clusters each 9 year and are currently investigating a community 10 cluster in lower Washington Heights. The two prongs 11 12 of our approach, cooling tower system oversight and disease surveillance and response are complimentary 13 14 to each other and enable us to quickly identify 15 potential community clusters and work with property 16 owners to ensure that issues are addressed immediately. We also encourage New Yorkers to seek 17 18 medical attention for flu like or pneumonia symptoms such as fever, cough or difficulty breathing. 19 20 event of a cluster, the department actively conducts outreach in the effective area via media alerts, 21 2.2 community meetings and on the ground outreach to help 23 ensure awareness and vigilance by community members and we send health alerts to medical providers city 24

Before turning to the legislation, I want to

25

wide.

community based education sessions and we have an on-

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH JOINTLY WITH 17 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS line self-assessment tool that has been very helpful for building owners and compliance improvement. Introduction 1149 requires the Department of Buildings to digitize the certification process and send an electronic reminder to building owners and operators in advance of certification deadlines and it requires owners to send inspection results directly to the Health Department. administration supports the intent of updating this process to be more user-friendly and streamlined. have concerns about using a prepopulated certification form as this form provides important operational information that may change year to year such as components of the maintenance program and plan and staffing and we want the owner or operator to take the time to complete this form accurately to help improve compliance with the law. We look forward to speaking with Council further regarding this proposal. Finally, Introduction 1166 would require the department to conduct an assessment of potential determinants of Legionella pneumonia in the city and report on these findings to the Council. We support this bill and welcome the opportunity to share findings from the department's existing and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2 robust surveillance system. Thank you for the

opportunity to testify on this issue today and we're

4 happy to take questions.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Okay, I'm gonna kick it off. Thank you Commissioner. I do want to reiterate my concern that the agency that's charged with receiving certifications from building owners isn't here. The reason why Chair Cornegy and I are co-chairing the hearing is because the bills go through both committees because there's agency work, both agencies do work. Can you offer an explanation for why DOB is not here?

DR. DASKALAKIS: I'll start by saying that we are in communication with the Department of Buildings and have really ongoing communication and I'm gonna ask Commissioner Corinne Schiff to go deeper into that.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Okay.

CORINNE SCHIFF: So we work very, we work closely with the Department of Buildings. We designed together the structure for registration. We think we can, hopefully we will be able to answer your questions including those that might relate to Department of Buildings work. If we can't, we're

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 | happy to pass that on to them and we will pass on

3 your concerns about them not being here as well. I

4 will say that while they have responsibility for

5 registration and certification as you note, we do

6 collaborate so when we're out in the field for

7 example and we find a tower that is not registered,

8 | there's a violation that we can issue. We do that,

9 we work with the owner to register and then we refer

10 | to the Department of Buildings so there is connection

11 between the two agencies.

you're very capable of answering most questions and I'll move on, but I want to register my feeling that they should have at least had a person here in the room available for questions which will inevitably come up. I want to emphasize that having now worked with both of you and your teams on two clusters uptown, it's pretty clear we have surveillance systems that are world class. I have a lot of confidence that we're catching Legionella cases and that we're responding very quickly to identify suspect tanks and to communicate to the public. I think our concern here and the point of this hearing is how to prevent it ever getting to that point. Our

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

ideal world is that we don't need you to have to spring into action because by then, by definition, people have already gotten sick, right. incubation period of the disease and the nature of the disease is by the time your red lights are blinking in mission control, people are already sick and so the goal is to prevent you ever getting to that point and that's really what this is about today and what these bills are about and I'm pleased that we're joined by two members of the Housing and Buildings committee, Fernando Cabrera from the Bronx and Alicka Ampry-Samuel from Brooklyn. Thank you both. I've learned to parse the language of the administration when you talk about bills and so for 1164 when you say you support the intent of the bill, I've learned to read that as being something short of a full support and, in fact, I was pleased by contrast on 1158, you just said you support the bill so 1164 again is mandated reporting on cooling tower oversight and could you explain why you're a little bit short of unreserved support for that bill if indeed you are.

CORINNE SCHIFF: Yes, so we do, we support the intent. There are some, I think we're

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

very close. We, as was mentioned in the testimony, we are building a very user-friendly website that will report inspection information and so there are pieces of the bill that would require us to report the same information that's on the website in a report to you and so we want to think with you about whether that makes the most sense or it makes more sense. There will be thousands and thousands of entries to do that on the website so I think we're very close and it's really some, probably what you

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Okay, so then on 1149 you were even more reserved.

could think of as nuance to get to an agreement.

CORINNE SCHIFF: So on 1149, you know, we understand and we appreciate the notion which I think is to make it easier for building owners to get in the annual paperwork. Some of our concern we have if, for example, is it's designed intentionally to kind of, not to be so easy. We want to almost sort of press pause there. We want the building owner to take that moment annually to think about is my maintenance program and plan, which is really the key to the environmental health program, is there anything that needs to be updated. Have my staff

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 changed? Did I learn anything in the past year that

3 makes me want to tweak my plan and so we're concerned

4 | that having kind of a prepopulated, something that

5 too easy, skips that very intentional step so again,

6 you know, I think we, there's a lot in the bill that

7 we understand, we appreciate

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: But so just, so you think that bill makes it too easy for the building owner because it's prepopulated, the form?

CORINNE SCHIFF: Right, so parts of it are sort of boilerplate. We understand that and we might be able to, but we'd like to think with you about whether we can do that but we don't want to prefill everything because it's designed not just to be a form that you submit but it's intentionally designed to be a moment because otherwise every year you just sort of keep your plan in place but the point of that exercise is for the building owner just to reflect on the past year, make sure that there's nothing that needs to be updated so there's really a kind of a, as a press pause at that moment so we, we appreciate, we don't, we are not, we don't want this to be burdensome in terms of filing but we want to make sure that we don't go too far so we'd like to

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH JOINTLY WITH
COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

think with you about how we can meet your goals of

not being burdensome but also making sure that we ask

owners to take that moment to make sure that last

5 year's plan is ready for this year.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Okay, I want to air some of the big picture facts here because there's a lot of confusion about it. How many cooling towers are there in New York City and how many do you estimate remain unregistered at this point?

CORINNE SCHIFF: So there are just over 6,000 that are registered with us in direct jurisdiction. Note, it's an important question how many are unregistered. We really feel that since, since the Council enacted Local Law 77, we're closing in on the end of the unregistered as you

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: But in both the clusters in my district, you identified unregistered towers just in that little neighborhood so there must be many around the city.

CORINNE SCHIFF: So, that's probably true. I don't know about many but there are certainly some and let me tell you how we approach that in two ways. First is part of our routine work, our water ecologists, those are our inspectors as

part of their routine inspections they are trained to look around as they're doing those inspections to do a visual inspection of the surrounding area looking for cooling towers that we may not have and when we identify those, we can work with that owner. We figure out what that building is to bring those on the grid you could say. In the cluster investigations, it's an important part of the work. Our inspectors are out there doing the activities that Dr. Daskalakis explained but also looking for towers that we don't know about. As we have gained experience, it's also part of why we do this public outreach that you also described. We want to get the word out because we want people to seek medical care early but it's also an opportunity for us to be alerting building owners in the community that if you have a cooling tower and you're not registered, that's a problem. We need you to get registered so we're doing all of these different activities. also continue to look at satellite imagery. We can't answer the question how many do we not know about but we think we're getting closer and closer to that full [crosstalk].

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.2

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: This matters because we have this great regime. We passed a law, Local Law 77, to mandate inspections and reporting and cleaning if necessary but if the owner just ignores the whole thing and doesn't even report the existence of the tower to the city then they could be doing nothing there and that's scary because we know Legionella could thrive in that scenario so what are the penalties if we discover an unregistered tower. What are the fines? What are the repercussions? 

CORINNE SCHIFF: So failing to register a cooling tower before operation is a \$2,000 fine. I should add also another strategy that we are using is to work with trade organizations, the vendors who are part of this process as well who provide the services to the building owners so as you note, it is a key part of our enforcement activity and it's a key part of the program working and we think we're really getting to the end of the group that is not registered.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Okay, so for those that are registered, the mandate is weekly inspection, is that right? Help me out here. Is it weekly inspection?

additional routine monitoring.

So I think there's a 2 CORINNE SCHIFF: 3 couple ways to think about this. The most, the crux 4 of the requirement is that every building has to 5 develop a maintenance program and plan and we do a lot of technical assistance about that. There's a 6 7 template on our website. That is tailored to every building as it needs to be because every cooling 8 tower is different, buildings are different, the 9 usage is different. That plan, and there are 10 requirements for different types of routine 11 maintenance that are set out in the local law and in 12 our regulation and then an individual maintenance 13 14 program and plan might add to those and make

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Okay so, in other words, your answer is it depends based on the size of the unit or the

CORINNE SCHIFF: There's sort of, there are defaults that are in the regulation and then it depends. There can be additional things depending on that particular operation.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Is it mostly, for most units, is it a weekly inspection?

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

23

24

CORINNE SCHIFF: I don't know about most units, but I can say that the law does require certain things to be done weekly. Different types of activities are on different cadences.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Got it, okay, so for those units that are registered, how many health violations are we actually issuing for failure to inspect or failure to clean?

CORINNE SCHIFF: So we received that question at the end of the day yesterday and we'd like to actually get back to you and make sure we're understanding that question because it's hard to say what you mean by inspection because there are all of these different kinds of activities so we have reported, we report to you annually, we're in the middle obviously of 2018. We don't have all those data yet but I think it might be more productive if we had a chance to follow up with you. We're happy to get all of that information to you. It's on open data and as we noted

1	COMMITTEE ON HEALTH JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 28
2	CORINNE SCHIFF: Do you want to know
3	total violations?
4	CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Related to cooling
5	towers, yes.
6	CORINNE SCHIFF: Total violations related
7	to cooling towers. Okay, I don't know that we have
8	that number.
9	CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Which number do you
10	have?
11	CORINNE SCHIFF: I don't know that I
12	have, we don't have the number because we didn't
13	understand exactly what your question was asking.
14	I'll see if we can, I don't know that we can get that
15	right now. I'll see if we can get it. If we can't,
16	we'll follow up with you.
17	CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: But what, why was
18	that an ambiguous question?
19	CORINNE SCHIFF: Because the question was
20	violations for inspections of cooling towers.
21	CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: What other
22	categories of violations are there?
23	CORINNE SCHIFF: Of cooling towers, well
24	so failing to use, so sufficient quantities and

about, were 5,000 violations. Also recall that

2.2

that's the very first year of the program so it's the first year that we're out there. It's the first year that we're checking on all, all registered cooling towers and there's a learning curve. In any new enforcement program, we're not gonna see instant compliance.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: I certain hope and expect that will come down but what are the, are their fines attached to each violation?

CORINNE SCHIFF: There are fines. The Council in Local Law 77 set out a penalty range and the department has set fixed penalties, a penalty schedule in our regulations to be sure that there's consistency. Those violations are heard at OATH and we wanted to be sure that the penalties imposed are consistent no matter. For example, the borough that the operator has the violation, where the operator has the violation

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Right and presumably the fines go up as, for repeat offenders. Is that right?

CORINNE SCHIFF: They go up for repeat offenders and they're also tied to the public health importance so the public health hazards for example,

2 failing to have a maintenance program and plan which

3 as I noted is sort of the crux of the entire system.

That's a thousand dollar violation and they're

5 typically doubled for repeat violations. The fine

6 structure for this program is high. Operating a

7 cooling tower is expensive so we think that combining

8 | high penalties along with our really robust education

9 program, which is why we're also supporting the

10 education bill is really the way for us to drive up

11 compliance.

1

4

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: And look I get it that this is the first year and there's a learning curve and we're gonna be anxious to get 2018 numbers as soon as you have them, even partial year, but what we see a lot of times with building owners is that they take these fines as a cost of doing business and, you know, a thousand here, two thousand there, they say well, you know, it just what you gonna do. Of course, in this case, that is public health implication so that would be really bad if that's what's happening so I have to say it's really worrisome to hear a regulatory regime in which we're averaging 12 to 13 violations per unit and that it makes me think, makes me worry about landlords just

2 being cavalier and so I think it's really important

3 that that number come down or something is not 4 working right.

CORINNE SCHIFF: So we're also looking forward to it coming down. I will say that I don't think it's fair to characterize the building owners as being cavalier as shown by, for example, the educational programs that we have we call cooling tower academy where we have hundreds of owners, building operators, vendors turning out to learn about what our requirements are, how to comply so I think they're on a learning curve. We're there to provide technical assistance and on-going education. We do that at every inspection. We've, are the selfassessment tool which I think we've so far gotten really great feedback about. We launched that in February so that's new too. When we have clusters, all of that outreach is also a signal to building owners. This is important so we really do feel like everything's going in the right direction. We see more and more buildings with maintenance programs and plans in place so, you know, I think what we were able to present to you in 2017 was year one.

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.2

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: I'm gonna pass off

to my co-chair in a second. Just to finish up on

this point, what is the rate at which, what was the

term, like what's our collection rate on these fines?

Are all 75,000 paid, and what's the dismissal rate if

that's also relevant when the go to OATH?

CORINNE SCHIFF: So we'll get, some of

CORINNE SCHIFF: So we'll get, some of those questions are OATH questions so we'll have to get back to you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: So they're OATH questions, so meaning that you don't necessarily have that data? DOHMH doesn't

rate data with me. I don't have dismissal rates with me either. I'll say that in some of the way that we issue violations, we are saying that, for example, there are not, you don't have documents and they can come to OATH and produce those documents so even our summons plan, that in itself promotes immediate compliance but we'll have, we'll get you those numbers. Those are with OATH.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: It just happens we have the chair of the Committee on Government

Operations here and I believe OATH reports into your

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

committee so I think we need to find out whether. It would be really scary if they're just not paying the fines or if they're all being dismissed. That would indicate something defective in the system so we definitely need to get to the bottom of this. I'm gonna pass it off to Chair Cornegy. Thank you

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: So I want to begin by also expressing my concern and disappointment in the absence of DOB. As the chair of Housing and Buildings, I had a reasonable expectation that DOB would be here so I could direct my questions from a Department of Buildings perspective directly at them. You seem to feel like you can answer those, field those questions so we're going to ask a round of questions that were tailored for or to be answered by DOB and let's see how this goes. So does the city provide notification of property owners when a certification date is due?

CORINNE SCHIFF: We have been providing courtesy information to building owners.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: I'm sorry, I couldn't hear you.

2.2

Commissioners.

1 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS We've been providing 2 CORINNE SCHIFF: information to courtesy, as a courtesy to building 3 owners to remind them of the annual certification. 4 5 CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: So can you just describe for me what that looks like, what the, how 6 7 it's administered, in what methodology? Is it a

mailing? Is it an email? Is it a text?

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CORINNE SCHIFF: Just give me a minute to get that detail. We send an email.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Do you know how many emails constitutes an actual outreach?

CORINNE SCHIFF: How we would define outreach? I mean how many emails would we define as outreach? We email, I assume we email every, let me just check, hold on. So we email everyone that we have an email address for. It's an on-line process so we have good email information.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: So speaking of that, DOB now has the new self-service on-line tool to view permits and review filings. Can this new system send reminders to property owners? Is that the way it happens? It happens through the on-line system, once they've registered? All right, I'm assuming that a building owner registers with the

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

37

2 system, the new on-line system and then from that you can generate a correspondence with that building 3 4 owner?

5 CORINNE SCHIFF:

system which we are able to manage.

So we will have to ask DOB to get back to you about their particular system. We're sending emails out through the registration

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: So I'm reluctant to even continue in this line of questioning if those simple things can't be answered and this is not your fault. This is just an illustration of why it would have been important to have DOB here and I'm a little distressed to find out that that was a conscious decision on their part that was made prior to the hearing and we weren't made, we weren't notified that that was going to be the case right, so not to beat you up, but certainly I'm going to have some questions directed, directly at DOB for making a conscious decision not to be present and not informing my office as the chair that that was going to happen, prior to this morning. I will defer my questions now to my colleagues. Council Member Cabrera.

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Thank you so

much to both of the chairs and for your leadership and for all the sponsors of these bills and I'm already checking with my office to try to get you that answer hopefully during this hearing. Thank you to both of you and I wanted to express my thanks to the work that you did at Fort Independence. You were responsive. I can't say the same thing for NYCHA. You were always there and you always got back to us. Can you give me just briefly because I have a couple of questions here, what was the final outcome in regards to Fort Independence if you have that answer right now would be very helpful?

DR. DASKALAKIS: So the Fort

Independence, thank you for your question, so the

Fort Independent houses, the evaluation began in

August, August 30. There were two cases that were

identified within a 12 month period. Based on that,

we did a routine building investigation of the water

which means that we work with engineers to identify

places in the building where we sample water to see

if there's evidence of Legionella bacteria. We did

do those samples and the environmental cultures were

positive for Legionella. A letter was submitted and

then subsequently remediation was requested as well as a post for mediation sampling so I think we're still in the process of working with the building. One of the things that's important about building investigations is the answers never come very quickly so what will happen is we evaluate the building. We'll get the water samples and it takes two weeks for the cultures to grow so that's an important comment so when we do building investigations, we get the water samples and there's no quick test to identify Legionella so what we do is we put the water on culture, it grows. When it grows, we then work with the building and with engineers to come up with a plan to try to address the fact that Legionella is growing in the system. After something is done, a remediation step, we then have to resample and then resample again and if we see that there's a trend that Legionella, the amount of Legionella is going down or clears, we then work with the building to make sure that they have a plan for on-going maintenance of the building.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: So where are we, in what week are in terms, so we went through the two

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH JOINTLY WITH
COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

weeks. We are waiting for the four weeks? I think

the second stage is four weeks if I understand right.

DR. DASKALAKIS: Yeah, let me just double

check.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: While you're getting that answer, I do want to acknowledge the presence of both Council Member Helen Rosenthal and Council Member Bill Perkins.

DR. DASKALAKIS: So we're still working, we're in the middle of the investigation so we have limited so of updates for you at the moment except that we're working with the building to make sure that their plan is working and we're gonna be resampling so it's one of those that we're still in the midst.

I'm asking, I'm going to bring their attention to my two chairs today. It's because of the very thing that you just mentioned that I think is critical. It baffles me that we have a process that you have to have at least two people identify to make it, I guess, a crisis, right so you can go ahead and investigate, test the water and so forth. Wouldn't it make, then we have to wait all that time before we

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 start cleaning the water. I mean, cleaning the

3 pipes, the tank. In this case, it was the pipes. It

4 was not the water tank as I was informed. Doesn't it

5 | make more sense because, as a matter of fact, before

6 I even ask, finish with this question, what's the

7 percentage of buildings where at least two people

8 were identified, you know where I'm going with this,

9 where two people are identified and it was proven

10 | positive coming from that building?

DR. DASKALAKIS: So this is a really great question and really does frame the answer to your next question so every year we identify between eight to ten buildings with two or more cases of Legionella in the same building within one year so if you sort of look at scale for a moment, thousands and thousands of buildings in New York City and every year we find around eight to ten that potentially have cases that could be linked to the building but the next sentence that's important about our finding is critical which is that we've not actually ever linked a building's water directly to cases so the work that we do is actually hypervigilant so if we see the potential of disease in a building because of a couple of cases within twelve months, we mobilize

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

an effort that is designed to make sure that that water is healthy, the hot water system in the building is healthy so even though we don't have a link directly between the building and the cases, we take that as a signal and you actually commented about it being a crisis when we have two. actually not. It's really one of our very routine investigations that we do in an abundance of caution so in effect, we don't really ever have a sign so far that building water has actually been connected to any of these duets of cases that we see in buildings but we don't, we don't want to wait and see a third and fourth case so even though we don't have that proof, we're hypervigilant. We have this really aggressive stance towards investigating building water so even though we can't prove that there's a connection, it's important to go in there and investigation when there's a signal so I go back to that other comment again. Thousands of buildings in New York and we only see eight to ten a year so from the perspective of the problem, investigating every building's water supply probably doesn't make a lot of sense because also Legionella is a bacteria that is in the environment and it would not be surprising

2 to find it in water. That's where it likes to be so

3 really the disease signals tend to be ways that we

4 can target places that really focuses our effort and

5 resources on really what the size and scale of the

6 problem is.

2.2

understand, doesn't it make sense that once we identify, just like we found at Fort Independence, right. We had two people. Doesn't it make sense at that point instead of waiting to test the water and so forth to say what are the chances when you have two people in one building that it's not related to the building, that at that point for example NYCHA will begin the cleaning procedure instead of waiting another four weeks or whatever it takes so your department. What do you suggest? Do you think it's a good idea to go ahead and to start cleaning right away when you have at least two people?

DR. DASKALAKIS: So we really start with the first phase which is if we identify a building that has two cases within 12 months, our first step is to work with the building to identify ways to see if there's even Legionella in their water so sometimes the answer is, there's not Legionella in

their water and they're cases especially in really
big buildings so imagine thousands of people live in
this development. By chance alone, we could have two
cases in this building not connected to the water so
what we do at a first step before we sort of create a
lot of, you know, recommendations not based on data
is that we tell people, we don't really know what's
happening with the water yet. We're working out that
now but in the meantime if you're over 50, if you're
a tobacco smoker, if you have chronic diseases, if
your immune system is low because of HIV or because
of any medicine that you take or cancer chemotherapy,
you should try to avoid things that produce mist
where you could be exposed to Legionella and so we
tell people, you should potentially think about not
showering and taking a bath instead so that's like a
really, it's sort of a step wide strategy so then if
we do find Legionella in the water, then we really
kick into overdrive and say, you know, let's make
sure that your water is healthy and we can sort of
make a plan.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Last question, and thank you so much for the time is, how expensive

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 is it to clean either towers or if there is no

3 towers, in the pipes or in the showerheads?

DR. DASKALAKIS: Let me, I want to just add one point of clarity. Your questioning is great. Cooling towers don't cause those double cases in buildings so it's when we see the pattern of multiple cases in a lot of buildings in a geography we go, uh, cooling towers. Now, because we're super cautious, if there's a cooling tower in a building that has a water investigation going on because of those couple of cases within 12 months, we'll grab a sample from those cooling towers just so we know even though that's how people get those cases all in one building so from the perspective of how much it costs, I'm gonna defer to our environmental crew to see if they can give us an estimate. I think that what I can say while they're working on it is, there's gonna be different interventions based on what we see so, you know, there could be interventions as simple as increasing the temperature which is probably not very expensive and then more complex interventions where systems are placed to sterilize the water sort of copper silver system which is more costly that creates an environment less conducive to Legionella

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH JOINTLY WITH 1 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 46 2 growth but let's see if we can have a cost estimate 3 come to you. COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Okay, thank you. 4 5 CORINNE SCHIFF: So that's exactly right. 6 I mean, it's a hard question to answer because it's 7 just really variable to the system, no for a cooling tower, and the remedial choice which also depends on 8 the particularities of the situation so it's just a 9 really question to answer. I can tell you that for a 10 cooling tower it can be as much as \$10,000 but it can 11 12 be less so it's just, it's a hard question to answer 13 because it just really varies. 14 COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: I understand. 15 Thank you so much and your answers were very helpful. 16 Thank you so much for the chairs. 17 CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you. 18 CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: So I just have a brief question, Mr. Daskalakis. Are you a medical 19 20 doctor? DR. DASKALAKIS: Yes, sir. 21 2.2 CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: So this whole time, 23 I've been not referring to you with your correct title. I apologize. 24

Legionella pneumonia, we get that report in automated

2 fashion into our Bureau of Communicable Diseases so

3 we know by name, by hospital and then ultimately by

4 address where the person lives.

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: How long does that take?

DR. DASKALAKIS: It's nearly real time so within usually 24 to 36 hours so we get that report and what happens is then we have astute epidemiologists who look to see where the cases are. We also have very great computer algorithms that look at how those cases interact in time, space and then also to compare how much Legionella happens in the area regularly versus what is happening at the moment to see if there's a signal for something going more beyond sort of the baseline ambient level that happens just because Legionella bacteria are everywhere and people do get Legionella pneumonia though they way more commonly get other pneumonias that are vaccine preventable like influenza and pneumococcus so that's another important comment that other pneumonias are way more common and preventable so when we get the signal, we're able to sort of look at them geographically but not only in neighborhood but also to the level of building identification

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

number and so when we find that there are two cases within 12 months within a building, it then triggers a communication between the epidemiologists and the folks in environmental health to come up with a plan to pursue the building and talk about doing an evaluation of their water. When we see a pattern of two cases within one building, that's different than when we see a pattern of multiple cases in multiple buildings over a geography so when we see a couple of cases in one building, that triggers the idea that there may be something in the building's hot water system that may be exposing people to Legionella versus when we see Legionella cases in a broader geography such as what we're seeing in lower Washington Heights in multiple buildings over an area. That then triggers the idea that we need to look for something that's creating mist in the environment and the most common thing that does that is cooling towers so back to the building so when we see two cases, the two cases could be sporadic when means that they are not related to the building or they could be related theoretically to building water so we err on the side of caution and pursue the building water to make sure that the hot water system

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

is not colonized with Legionella bacteria. What that means then is that our water team goes and works with the building to come up with a plan to sample the water. The water is sampled and is then sent to the laboratory for culture, so why culture and not some other test that may be more rapid at identifying Legionella. We don't really care about dead We only want to know if there is living Legionella. Legionella and only living Legionella grows on culture. In fact, Legionella is all over the environment and if a building has a water system that is doing its job, it should kill Legionella and so it's really important to see whether it's alive or dead so if it grows it then triggers conversations with the building and with contractors that they have to come up with a plan to address the Legionella levels in the water and you do whatever is appropriate for that specific hot water system to address the issue. We use the threshold of two cases because we don't want to investigate buildings just for a sporadic case so if there's something really going on with the water theoretically, you'd expect to see more than one case and we've set our threshold really, really permissively so we're not looking for

a couple cases in one or two months. Any case even
within 12 months, if there are two of them, triggers
the investigation so we're erring on the side of over
investigating buildings and even with that threshold
being such as it is, we investigate about eight to

7 ten a year.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Oh, thank you and lastly for me, why is it then cause this seems very scientific which I can appreciate and respect, why is it that there's an increase in black and Latino communities and directly associated with poverty. I don't know how, you know, theoretically that can correlate with the scientific way that you're describing the presence of Legionella pneumonia.

DR. DASKALAKIS: So working on health equity throughout the city is really one of the core tenants of what we do at the Department of Health and Legionella investigations and work are actually no different. From the epidemiologic perspective, I want to first talk about just how diseases in general map to areas of poverty in New York City so when you think about Legionella, it's not just about bacteria and mist. It's also about who's breathing the mist so just top remind you from our epi data brief which

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

thank you for showing that up. I'm glad that you're using that. That's the intent that people who are older, people who are smokers, people who have chronic diseases and that includes diabetes and lung disease are potentially at higher risk for Legionella. What you'll see is that when you look at the maps and I come from an infectious disease space with disease control I also think of HIV. These diseases tend to correlate really well with areas of poverty because of issues of health access, etc. so I think that one of the explanations for why it's happening more commonly in those areas is that we have more people who are potentially susceptible to Legionella where they live. The second point which I think is really important is that all of this work that we're talking about here today, Local Law 77 and all of the conversations about improving how we're approaching cooling towers is actually really critical in the story of how to change that. Rather than sort of looking at cooling towers and saying cooling towers that are in area that are affluent are getting really well serviced versus those that aren't in the affluent areas are not getting well services, this law created an equalization, a standardization

of how cooling towers are addressed which I think is a really key step in addressing the inequity that you've really accurately pointed out so just to be less sciency about it and just to be straightforward, it's about hosts like people who are at risk potentially also live in higher poverty areas and may also be black or Latino which is one of the reasons that you see that signal in our epi data brief because of also the prevalence of these other comorbid conditions that put them at risk for Legionella and then I also want to comment again and also thank the Council that the work that we're doing to improve maintenance of these cooling towers is actually great equity work because a tower in upper Manhattan needs to be held to the same standard as a tower in Midtown and this is what this data sort of creates, that equity moment to try to improve the health of New Yorkers no matter where they live.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Well, I thank you for bringing me up to speed in that period of time.

You didn't take one breath it seemed like the entire time.

DR. DASKALAKIS: [Laughter]

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: But it also

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: I just want to pick

demonstrated the passion that you have for this work which I appreciate. Thank you.

> DR. DASKALAKIS: Thank you.

up with a couple questions. Given that we know certain populations are more vulnerable tends to be older populations, more low income and unfortunately disproportionately people of color. That almost perfectly describes who lives in NYCHA and we've had several incidents of what we think are cases arising from the hot water systems in St. Nicholas, particularly just outside of my district and one or two other developments. It's in the district of my colleague, Councilman Perkins. Thank you. It seems to me if there's any way, we have a million buildings in the city. I think that's the number, right so that would be a lot of inspections but if there's anywhere we're gonna focus our resources, it would be in those buildings where people are the most vulnerable based on the criteria that you identified so it seems to me we should be upping the game in NYCHA and I got to tell you as the Council Member probably knows, in St. Nicholas houses people are

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

concerned and they feel like if they're older that taking a shower where you breathe in the mist is a risk and you know, there were two cases on the campus and they then flushed out the systems. We're joined by Council Member Barron on the Health Committee. Thank you and we flushed out the systems and apparently then a subsequent test came back positive so you can understand that an elderly person in that situation is gonna be scared to take a hot shower because of the possibility they could breathe in the mist. I understand that statistically the likelihood of someone contracting it, even someone who's elderly if they're not otherwise dealing with smoking or chemotherapy or something else is very, very low but, you know, those fears are understandable. How could we up our game? What would that look like to up our game in NYCHA? It is more proactive testing, not waiting for an incident of two cases in the building? What would it mean to proactively up our game in NYCHA?

DR. DASKALAKIS: I think our baseline of what we're doing is actually upping the game so compared to any other jurisdiction, our surveillance is the most robust. You know, I go back to the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

comment about, you know, there are a million buildings in New York City and even with populations that are potentially more immuno comprised or have other risk factors that may put them at risk for other pneumonias including influenza and pneumococcus, we're not seeing signal to the magnitude that would say that we need to change the scale of the response, at least in my opinion so we're, despite the fact that we do have a couple of NYCHA buildings that we're currently evaluating, I also want to step back and think about the size of those buildings and, you know, the fact that, St. Nicholas for example. That's a really good example so St. Nicholas has a couple of cases that happened in that complex. It happened in separate buildings and those buildings don't have connected water supply so based on that, you know, from our perspective, we're talking about a lot of people living in one space and the statistical possibility of having sporadic cases in that building is high and from the perspective of how the water system works though I'm not a water engineer or water ecologist, we don't have a linked water system in that building so it's potentially that we have chance alone that has put

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

2 | those cases in that giant structure. I think it's

3 ten or thirteen buildings. It's a really large

4 complex and with a rate of one to five cases per

5 hundred thousand for Legionella pneumonia, so

6 | biologically and structurally those cases aren't

7 | linked by a water supply.

2.2

issue we're gonna want to continue to push on. I certainly am for this extremely vulnerable segment of the city and again, I understand our surveillance is world class. The question is what can we do to prevent any of these cases even coming up on your radar.

DR. DASKALAKIS: I do want to plug one thing which is that those populations are at exquisite risk for pneumococcal pneumonia and influenza which are way more frequent so just to compare Legionella, five in 100,000 people in New York will come down, may have Legionella. That's a rate. It could be up to 250 per 100,000 for influenza so I think one really important message if folks are listening is definitely that if you're at risk, you should have a pneumococcal shot. That means age over 65 or comorbidities and also everyone

1	COMMITTEE ON HEALTH JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 58
2	should get the flu shot. Eighty thousand people in
3	the U. S. died of the flu last year so it's really an
4	important message especially for populations
5	everywhere in New York but I think it's a great
6	message for NYCHA because, you're right, they are a
7	more susceptible populations there.
8	CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: I do want to ask
9	about the equipment here and did you want to jump in,
10	Council Member?
11	COUNCIL MEMBER PERKINS: [Inaudible]
12	CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Please, if you want
13	to use the mike, too.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER PERKINS: That's a nice
15	term, what does that mean in common language?
16	DR. DASKALAKIS: So from the perspective
17	of water, of cooling tower maintenance? Well, I can
18	just back up and sort of explain it again.
19	CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: You're after the
20	equity angle, right.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER PERKINS: Yeah.
22	DR. DASKALAKIS: So first we'll talk
23	about cooling towers and sort of what the importance
24	is of the local law that has really helped us
25	standardize how we approach cooling tower maintenance

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 identical to the standards that we hold towers in a more affluent part so it's exactly what you said.

COUNCIL MEMBER PERKINS: Okay.

DR. DASKALAKIS: And so that I think is different and has been changed by the local law because before the local law we really didn't have our finger on the pulse of what was going on in towers all across the city and so now no matter where the tower is located, they have to hit a set of requirements to demonstrate that their maintenance is adequate and so from my perspective looking at equity, really by saying that these towers in less affluent parts of the city and towers in more affluent parts of the city are set to the same high standard. I think that's how we're going to see over time an improvement in the disparity that we see in Legionella.

COUNCIL MEMBER PERKINS: May I ask one more quick question? So in some of these communities where it's not so much equity, there's also the asthma issues and other respiratory kind of issues that I would imagine aggravate the possibilities. how are factoring that into our efforts to remediate or to eliminate this type of crisis?

DR. DASKALAKIS: So, your question is great and it does reflect that really it's not just about the towers and the mist but also about who's breathing it and so the fact that people who are over 50, who are tobacco smokers, who have chronic lung disease and asthma potentially can count as one of the chronic diseases

COUNCIL MEMBER PERKINS: Some of our communities are chronically asthmatic

DR. DASKALAKIS: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER PERKINS: By Department of Health standards. Are we focusing on those type of communities that tend to be sort of over exposed?

DR. DASKALAKIS: Yeah, so the first is to make sure, right, we do have the most robust strategy for maintenance of cooling towers in the nation, if not the world, so the first step is to minimize the risk of possible exposure to Legionella from sources that we know could potentially cause it. With that said, it's important to remember that Legionella bacteria are actually all over the environment and it's not possible to 100% prevent exposure to Legionella. It's everywhere so, you know, the answer really is that where we know there's risk, we need to

that about 35,000 people are actually admitted to the

hospital every year with pneumonia and many of those

are preventable by influenza vaccine and pneumo vacs

and so independent of age, having chronic disease is

2.2

23

24

2 an indication for a pneumonia vaccine so I think the

3 answer is from a Legionella perspective, really

4 hankering down on these towers and making sure that

5 | we're really following Local Law 77 and have

6 | increasing adherence to good maintenance no matter

7 where in the city is important but then also, you

8 know, the baseline message of get your vaccines

9 because you're gonna prevent them way more common

10 causes of pneumonia.

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

question, so are we mapping like where it's really a problem community and where it's less so so that we can sort of strategize and attack that says the rates of this problem are gonna be higher in these areas and there's a campaign that focuses on those areas to inform those families that by the way, with all due respect, you might have a higher rate potential of this then someplace else and therefore we want to inform you and help you understand how best to protect yourself, especially your children and your elders that are most vulnerable. Are we looking at that as an approach that makes some kind of health sense?

DR. DASKALAKIS: So we're, one of our strongest suits is surveillance and telling people what's happening with infections and other diseases and so the first thing I'll point out is our epi data brief that actually gives this in really high level detail around neighborhoods where Legionella and populations where Legionella may be on the rise and also again, I just go back to Introduction 1166 and so I think that this Introduction also would encourage us to sort of do this more and I think we're very supportive of being more transparent with our data so the answer is yes.

Member and I want to acknowledge we've been joined by our colleague on the Health Committee, Council Member Keith Powers. Regarding this equipment, these cooling towers, is there not a filter or some other mechanism that could capture the mist so that it doesn't waft out into the neighborhood potentially infecting people?

CORINNE SCHIFF: The equipment, there's a lot of different kinds of cooling tower equipment and there are techniques to reduce mist, I think is what you're asking. I'll have to get back to you whether

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

there's literally a piece that captures that but
there's just a variety of towers and selecting them
depends for the building as it's being developed on
the needs of that building and the operations of that
building. I don't think that there's a quick fix

like that which is why Local Law 77 was so important.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: There may not be yet but we should really be monitoring the cutting edge The prospect of a technological solution on this. would solve a lot of problems and it seems reasonable. I don't see why you can't capture mist. Is it not possible that a defect in one of these units could make it susceptible to repeat infection? You know, I look at two outbreaks, two clusters in almost exactly the same location in southern Washington Heights and I mean, we only get like two clusters a year in the whole five boroughs. The odds of that happening in the exact same location just two months apart are like 6,000 to 1 and I can't think of any possible explanation other than the fact that you have a defective unit that even if you maintain it and monitor and clean it, there's something wrong and it just becomes a hot bed of Legionella again and is that not a phenomena that we're aware of and if so,

2.2

2 that opens up a whole new line of inquiry to make

3 sure not only that we're cleaning these things but

4 that we're monitoring whether they break.

DR. DASKALAKIS: I'll start and say that we're still in the midst of the investigation in Lower Washington Heights so we don't really have any, you know, definitive answers about if there's a repeat source. I'll just remind, something that we talked about when this cluster began again is that we act aggressively even based on preliminary data before we actually know the full story because it does take time so I think

interrupt. I don't need you to reveal an ongoing investigation. I look forward to hearing whatever you come up with but this, has this concept not occurred to DOHMH? I mean, maybe the problem is it overheats in some capacity and Legionella loves that. Maybe there are cracks that allow the bacteria to get in or out. I mean, I don't know. I'm not an engineer but is this not, is this concept not even one that we've grappled with? Are we in new territory here with a cluster in the same location that's never happened before?

DR. DASKALAKIS: So, we, this is our

first experience of a community cluster in the same location so we're, this is not something that we've had in the history of our sort of increased surveillance around Legionnaire's pneumonia so I think the investigation is going to be really important and follow up to sort of better assess what

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Okay, well this is a conversation I think we're anxious to have. Your investigation results will help inform it but it feels like an unresolved.

the real source of this new cluster is about.

DR. DASKALAKIS: I think that's right. I think that a lot of what we would be sort of talking about would be pure conjecture until we have more definitive answers from the investigation.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: I just have one more big picture question and then I'll pass it to our colleague, Council Member Powers. New Yorkers see a graph like this one which shows the bars going up, the number of cases going up and they say, well, you know, the City Council passed this law. We're investing \$6. Something million a year and

2.2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 surveilling and responding to these and the numbers

are still going up. What's the explanation here?

DR. DASKALAKIS: So I think that there's a lot of explanations and it's multifactorial so I'll try to give you some ideas of some of them so one of the really important things that happened with Local Law 77 and the outreach that's gone around our community clusters is that the message has gone out to medical providers that Legionella is out there and that it's important to screen for Legionella in individuals who have pneumonia so now I'll just back up for one second to remind you that Legionella is a common enough cause though not a very common cause of pneumonia that every first line regimen recommended by any organization or guidelines committee for pneumonia includes coverage for Legionella so all those antibiotic regimens include that coverage because it's a possibility as one of the causes of community acquired pneumonia so within just a couple of years the amount of urine Legionella antigen testing which is the main test that people use because it's easy and fast has increased by about 43% and so if you test more, you potentially will find more so that's one piece of the picture. The other

amazingly good messaging the Department of Health and

2 City Council have done in getting people aware of 3 Legionella pneumonia and getting tested for it.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you for that.

I assume also that with new construction there's more internal air conditioning out there and more cooling towers that may also be a factor. I just I assume.

DR. DASKALAKIS: Don't really have data on that.

CORINNE SCHIFF: Perhaps but I think it's also really important to recognize that we just in a completely different place than we were in in 2015 when the Council enacted this robust, really groundbreaking law. You will all remember in the south Bronx we didn't know where the cooling towers were. We now know where they are. We are still as you noted, we are still on the lookout for unregistered towers but the vast majority of cooling towers are now known to us. Buildings have plans, they have vendors ready to do remedial action so now when my colleagues in disease control, with their extremely sophisticated system very early on get a signal, we are, our group teams are working together really within hours. We are out there within hours,

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.2

we know where to look and we know how to direct those buildings.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: And we appreciate that and I acknowledged that. We just want this bar graph to start bending in the other direction.

That's what we want and that's what the public wants.

Council Member Powers.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Thank you, thank you. Sorry I was late. I wanted to just follow up on and to the degree you have information because I know it's also Department of Corrections. There was two incidents last week at Ryker's Island where folks were, contracted Legionnaires and was wondering if you could give us any new information or any updates on what happened, the source and any other steps being taken to make sure that it doesn't continue to, people don't continue to get Legionnaires.

DR. DASKALAKIS: So I'll start with an update so Ryker's, the building, has been really very similar to stories of other building and water investigations so our surveillance system detected there were two cases within twelve months that were related to the same building I.D. number so that triggers for us an investigation, an evaluation of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

the water in a building where these two cases could occur. Now, I'll also back up and say thousands and thousands of people go through this building every year so in an abundance of caution even though there's so much through put in that building, we still go and pursue a building evaluation just like we would any building whether it's at Ryker's or another part of the city so once we see that signal we discuss with our environmental health colleagues who then reach out to Department of Corrections to come up with a plan for sampling the water in the environment as well as coming up with strategies to reduce risk of exposure. The most common way that in a building with a hot water system being evaluated that we perceive people to be exposed to water mist is through showers so we worked really closely with the Department of Corrections to come up with a strategy to alter some showers to reduce water mist so that it made who were at and employees who were identified as potentially, by themselves, having risk for Legionella so over 50, tobacco smoker, weakened immune system, etc. would have an option for bathing that would reduce the mist exposure. While we were in the process of doing that, we had just, I think it

2 was on Friday, got our samples so cultures are

3 cooking. They're gonna take a couple of weeks but we

4 also remediated with just local disinfection, a

5 couple of showerheads that we wanted to make sure

6 were clean while we were waiting for data so that's a

long winded way of saying we're still in the midst of

8 the investigation but have provided appropriate

9 guidance for inmates and employees for how to

10 | mitigate what is probably already a very, very low

11 risk.

1

7

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

follow-up question, you had a mention to the question
I had which is you have thousands of people who use,
that go into that jail facility every single year and
use the showers and so forth, so can you identify why
it's only two then if it's being heavily used and
it's a shower and people are using it all the time.
Is it the risk factors with the individual? Is it,

DR. DASKALAKIS: Yeah, I think the explanation is that we're really aggressive in investigating when there's anything more than one case per building. It may be seen potentially as overkill that we're sort of pursuing an investigation

what is, what would be the explanation for why?

based on two cases given the through put in that building but we stay true to our criteria no matter where that, what building we see a signal in, we pursue to make sure in an abundance of caution that the hot water supply in that building is not potentially a source of disease so a lot of it is theoretical, a lot of it is based on potentials and so those two cases alone are enough to trigger the investigation in pursuing sort of what the water is looking like, the hot water is looking like in that building so really I'll also restate that we have about eight to ten of these investigations of buildings per year and there are thousands and thousands, well we heard about a million buildings in New York City so they actually tend to be pretty rare and despite the fact that we do these investigations in an abundance of caution, we've never linked the water from a building to these little duets of cases that we see in buildings so again it has to do with the fact that New York City is hypervigilant and we really want to ensure the safety of New Yorkers, especially and including the vulnerable New Yorkers who are going through Ryker's.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

Thank you and

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2 23

24

just one follow-up question, or it's a two parter, but what is the requirement that you go inspect when there's an incident, two incidents that seem to be related? Is that what it is? Can you just explain the threshold and also is that your own, is that an agency determination or is that a local law that created that? The second question would be just information on how far apart were those two incidences from each other?

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS:

DR. DASKALAKIS: So I'm gonna start with the first question which is our threshold of two so let me actually ask one quick question. One second so I make sure I'm answering accurately. threshold of two cases within twelve months is one that comes from the CDC so we're actually pursuing that standard so we have high fidelity to our own protocols for dealing with those two cases so when we see two cases we then pursue a building investigation and the second question was how far the cases were apart. I need to ask one quick question so you're asking specifically about the two cases in Ryker's or just in general?

2.2

2 COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: The two at

Ryker's specifically, how far apart were they from each other cause it's a 12 year, 12 month period so was it, you know, the same week or was it, you know, 12 months apart?

DR. DASKALAKIS: I know the answer but because of patient confidentiality I'm not allowed to reveal it which is what I was consulting about just to make sure but yeah, unfortunately I can't give you that data.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Okay, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: So if there are no more questions by my colleagues, I'm gonna call the next panel. I want to thank you so much for your very in depth and thorough testimony. So I'd like to call the next panel. Laura Belt-Ponomarev, okay, thank you, Russell Bastik [phonetic] and Daryn Cline. Sure, so she can join when she comes back. You may begin your testimony when you're ready. I just ask you to identify yourself.

(MICROPHONE NOT ON BRIEFLY) - RUSSELL

BASTIK (UNIDENTIFIED AS TO WHO HE IS WITH):

Building, oh, that's better, a small residential

building to a large commercial building, is that the

associated with the violation is more than the cost 19

most part, they are not doing that. The cost

18

20

23

24

25

associated with the compliance aspect and the testing of Legionella and the increase in products that are 21

2.2 used to combat Legionella and the also cleaning that

they should be doing at least twice a year on these

cooling towers. On the comment of other sources,

cooling towers yes is a major source of the spread of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Legionella bacteria. In the summer time especially, there's other sources, anything from down the shore to misting and Great Adventure and all the other amusement parks to showers to fountains to a lot of other places and that's probably why the Department of Health waits for that second case to pop out because you don't know if this was contracted at another location versus the building itself. As far as tower cleaning costs, I can add some more definitive numbers. It depends on the actual size and complexity of the cooling towers but they're generally cleaned with two people to approximately six people to clean a cooling tower. The rates are somewhere around \$2,500 to \$3,000 per crew so it could be as high as \$9,000 to \$10,000 to clean a cooling tower or as low as about \$2,500 and also the disinfection work that should go on during the cleaning is approximately \$200 per thousand gallons of water within these cooling systems. To comment on mist illumination, and you were discussing filtration of the outside of these cooling towers, cooling towers are fitted, nearly all cooling towers are fitted with mist elimination so that the water actually stays in the cooling towers. If they are

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

not properly maintained and replaced as required, that's when the mist actually leaves the cooling tower and gets drawn in through fresh air intakes into buildings and if that would actually get into the ventilation system and proliferate in condensate pans or something where water builds up in the ventilation system, this could reflect a problem but currently, I've seen screening on towers but nothing exorbitant that holds in the mist of these towers in my 30 years' experience working in this. As far as the comments of defective units making clusters in New York, it is not just the cooling towers that need to be maintained. It's the entire system that needs to operate properly, meaning that you must run all the units within the cooling system so if you have one chiller hooked to a cooling tower system and you don't run the other, it's sitting there as a stagnant piece of equipment. Building owners and building supers need to be educated and need to understand the actual mechanics of their systems. There's plenty of redundant equipment and in the law, in Local Law 77, it does call for 24/7 operation of all of the water within the building unless you can prove how your water management plan can eliminate Legionella growth

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

from proliferating in the system so it's more than just the tower needing maintenance. It's also the entire system, running of auxiliary pumps, auxiliary chillers, auxiliary heat exchangers, auxiliary package units for cooling. You know, as it gets cooler, in the cooler months, parts of these systems start shutting down, start turning off and that's what creates a proliferation of this bacteria. last comment I want to make is that I don't know if it's known to this committee but there has been significant changes in the domestic water coming to these buildings in New York, mainly in Manhattan, some of the outer boroughs and definitely in the What this means to the building owners and this Legionella thing is there's new water mains opening and closing, bringing in Croton water instead of Catskill that's been being used for domestic drinking water and cooling towers for years. Prior to the outbreak in the Bronx in 2015, there was a new water line brought online to the Bronx. Don't know if it, I can't speak if it has any correlation to Legionella but I will tell you that when you're turning things on, turning things off in 100 year old pipes, you are definitely disorganizing all the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

material that's in those pipes. If you were ever to look inside a pipe, a hundred year pipe in New York City, it's strands of iron, filamentous iron just hanging down in the middle of the pipe. It looks, you would never drink out of that pipe if you ever looked at it. It looks that bad but also when you change things, when you change water sources, when you bring water up through the 48 wells that are in Manhattan, that can significantly change what's going on. I am not suggesting that New York City water is causing the Legionella problem in all these buildings but I'm saying when you turn things off and turn things on just as if you do in a cooling system, you could be causing the same exact problem within the domestic water system and if you turn on a water mane in the Bronx and you get several cluster cases in the Bronx two months after a new water mane has gone on line, there might be some correlation with moving that particular water. I have reached out to the DEP to try to get some kind of information on why the water keeps changing. It's significant and it will cost these owners, not even in Legionella, it will cost them significantly in water. There will be pieces of equipment that will be going down, failing

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 82 and the capital costs to these building owners will be so significant by far outweighing any water treatment, any tower cleaning, anything else as far as maintenance goes. We will physically ruin threequarters of the boilers in this city if the water does not maintain a stability that it has for 28 years of my existence in New York City and I am deeply concerned that the water must maintain the quality that it's been. These buildings in New York City have been designed based on the water quality that comes into the city. To change it now is gonna create significant problems, significant increases in water uses. These boilers and cooling towers run on cycles of concentration. Right now to have a efficient running system for a cooling tower, you're using the water ten times. With this new water that comes in, you will be using the water two and a half to three times meaning that you will increase the water usage by a factor of three coming into these buildings. That's significantly gonna require some new resources. I know they were talking about some filtration projects and so forth. Imagine if you use three times the amount of water that you're using today because of the change so that's all my

2.1

2.2

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Those were very useful, quite alarming reports on the change in water quality which we've heard about. The implications are way beyond Legionnaires. In fact, it's not entirely clear to me there's necessarily a Legionnaires connection there.

RUSSELL BASTIK: I would be at amiss to say that there actually is one but it is alarming to find that when the water mane's changed and you have a cluster, it's concerning to me.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: I think we need

Chair Constantines who chairs the Environmental

Committee, oversees the DEP, about this. Has DEP

offered answers or explanations to date?

RUSSELL BASTIK: We did have some explanation that they did open the Croton reservoir to the outskirts of Manhattan. They showed a map of the actual water mains. I did submit it to the committee but that has since changed. The water has gone back and forth at least two or three times since June of 2018. They did this at a water shortage back

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 | in 2010 for about six months. They changed

3 reservoirs. We have that documented but the DEP does

4 | not send out a regular response that anything is

5 changing and we have boots on the ground. We have

6 over 25 people in New York City that's testing water

7 | every single day so we're actually setting up

8 electronic alerts to tell us, we're putting probes in

9 domestic water lines to actually tell us when an area

10 of the city is actually changing.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Okay, we do want to let your colleagues also testify and I want to acknowledge that we've been joined by Council Member Mark Gjonaj as well as Council Member Margaret Chin.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: I did want to ask
just one thing. You mentioned that in the cooler
months when those cooling towers ultimately go off
line, in your estimation, what's the correct amount
of time that they should be either serviced or
inspected before proper usage when they've been down
for three months or whatever, the winter, probably
more five months that there's no usage and then you
start them up. What's the likelihood that the
reservoirs in them now have stagnant particles that
could cause Legionnaires? What's, what's sort of, it

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 seems to me like I'm not an engineer or scientist,

3 but it seems to me that there would be some sediment.

RUSSELL BASTIK: Correct, so let me put you at ease first of all, the Local Law 77 does cover this fact. If a cooling tower's gonna be off line for more than five days, there's a complete protocol that must take place including cleaning, inspecting, Legionella testing and disinfecting. The same holds true for startup. You cannot turn on a cooling tower if it has not been cleaned, disinfected, inspected and Legionella tested so your laws do cover that but I would say the likelihood is that there could be something there if something is sitting stagnant for the period of November thru April. I think more of the concern is when it goes from 70° to 40° and pieces of the system actually shut down during that timeframe, things go off on temperature. You cannot run a system that's operating a thousand tons when you only need 100 tons of cooling so parts of the system need to shut down and this is done automatically. It's not even done manually, just can't run the same equipment so what we do and what most responsible water treaters do is they make sure the entire system is running on the days and times

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

2 when the buy sites go in so they turn the system on

3 maybe from 9 a.m. to 10:30 a.m., make sure the

4 chemical circulates and then they go back down to a

5 dormant situation. That's the best that, you know,

6 anybody can do and it does well. It does work well

7 no doubt.

2.2

DARYN CLINE: Thank you, good morning

Chairman Levine and Cornegy, Jr. and members of the

Committees on Health and Housing and Buildings. My

name is Daryn Cline. I'm the director of technology

and science for the Alliance to Prevent Legionnaires'

Disease. I appreciate this opportunity to provide

testimony today regarding the four bills. The

Alliance is a non-profit public health advocacy group

dedicated to reducing the occurrence of Legionnaires'

disease. We promote public research, education, best

practices for water management and advocating for

comprehensive public water supply strategies to

combat this preventable disease. I understand that

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Mr. Cline, I'm so sorry to cut you off. You're doing great. I just know that we have a colleague who has to leave in a

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 minute and just wanted to make, I think a comment
3 very quickly. Please.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Absolutely, as you know I was here earlier and you asked a really important question to the Department of Health and you've asked our committee to check and my office did and it was in regards to dismissals and the outcome of all the OATH tickets issued as a result of a water tank and the answer we were given was less than correct because the answer that we were given, actually essentially was that we have to check with OATH but the department, my office has confirmed that the Department of Health, as a matter of fact, every agency of the City of New York that writes summonses to OATH gets an automatic feed from OATH every night pending the number of dismissals and penalties involved and those who don't have computer access, they actually get a CD so actually the Department of Health does have the answer and they get it on a daily basis so I just wanted to make that point of correction.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Very disappointed that they weren't able to produce those important numbers. Thank you for clarifying that. We'll have

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 to pursue that. Thank you and sorry Mr. Cline for 3 the interruption, please continue.

DARYN CLINE: Sure, so I understand that Intros 1149, 1158 and 1164 aim to increase compliance of Local Law 77 of 2015, however, we remain concerned that due to its very narrow focus, even 100% compliance with the law will not result in reduction of Legionnaires' disease cases in New York City. This fact was made evident in the presentation provided by your former Director of Building, Water Oversight, Dr. Chris Crawford at the Second Committee on Management of Legionella and Water Systems at the National Academy of Sciences in Washington, DC where he provided a presentation that New York City had over 90% compliance with the law but he failed to note that Legionnaires' rates continue to skyrocket. It is anticipated that New York City will have 600 cases of Legionnaires' disease this year, well over your average. Clearly, compliance with Law 77 in New York City has not reduced LD cases. However, we do strongly support the direction that Intro 1166 takes by calling on the city and Health and Building Departments to assess all potential determinants of Legionnaires' disease in the city including potential

expected to reach an all-time high this year.

Health Department responds to this saying the

24

and the water quality complaints have started to come I would venture to say that the cases of LD will 19 in.

17

18

20

21

23

24

25

see a large spike as well during this water change. It is quite common to see increases in LD rates after

supplies in the Catskills due to work on an aqueduct

2.2 major events. According to the CDC, about 35% of all

Legionnaires' outbreaks can be attributed to events

which take place outside the building including

disruptions due to construction or water mane breaks

and even excessive rain. Such events disrupt Legionella bacteria that live in biofilm in the piping of public distribution systems pushing a slug of the bacteria into homes and buildings as water enters them for use. Therefore, proper management and monitoring of the water from source to tap is critical to attack a waterborne illness like LD and others. We would note that New York City is one of five localities in the country that has been granted a waiver from filtering its water by the federal EPA. While a filtration plant has been recently installed which filters 20 to 30% of the city's water, the remainder is unfiltered and treated with UV. We believe this should not be an either and or situation, filter all the city water. I recently returned from meetings with city officials in Vancouver, Canada. They use both filtration, UV and high chlorine levels throughout their distribution system. Vancouver is known for the pristine nature of their water and their LD rates are very low. As part of the assessment called for in Intro 1166, we recommend that water source, distribution systems and piping, building management and water using equipment

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.2

2 all be studied as potential sources of Legionella 3 bacteria.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: And Mr. Cline, I'm sorry to jump in only because this testimony is longer than usually public would deliver.

DARYN CLINE: Yeah, I wasn't given a time limit so that's fine.

entire written statement which is going to be entered on the record and the staff and the Chair and I have been reviewing it. I think we get your point which we were happy to hear. I don't think anyone disputes the presence of Legionella in drinking water. I think the Commissioner himself described it as ubiquitous in the environment. That certainly includes the water but he also pointed out that there's a very small number of cases a year in which a person contracts the disease out of the water that we're able to identify. He said the number was eight to ten.

DARYN CLINE: CDC says that 96% of the cases are sporadic which most are attributed to the drinking water so I don't know where he gets the data but CDC

2	CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Right, but you're
3	not claiming that if someone takes a glass of water
4	and drinks it and gets it. It's a lung disease,
5	right?

DARYN CLINE: Talking from showerheads like Ryker's Island. We're talking about NYCHA. There's no cooling tower on that building so it's in the water in these public housing buildings.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Right, but so I guess your assertion is that there must be single case situations because if there's two, then it sets off alarms, the Health Department investigates.

DARYN CLINE: Right, it's probably more single cases out there.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Right

DARYN CLINE: Two, only the cluster or the outbreak according to the CDC will bring in and the investigation.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: And I do think it would be fair to establish the name of your entity

The Alliance to Prevent Legionnaires' Disease. I mean, you definitely are not looking to focus on cooling towers, right?

2.2

Chairman Cornegy and Chairman Levine and esteemed

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

for approving Legionella management books that each

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

building has to have so that their certainty about meeting the administrative requirements of the rules and/or to move to a performance based approach whereby good test results indicate that proper management practices are in place and lengthy inspections are not necessary. Neither of these approaches have yet been adopted but we continue to have productive talks with the Department of Health about these and other matters. As for the specific laws under consideration, they tend to move in the direction of greater administrative burdens for both buildings and the city with no clear evidence that they will actually protect health. Regarding Intro 1149, we are neutral on the provision that the city notify buildings 30 days prior to require 90 day inspections, although it seems like a significant burden that could easily take away from other city efforts and resources. As to filing all 90 day inspection reports within five days of inspection, we are opposed. First, the inspections include Legionella testing take at least 15 days to incubate test sample and generate a test report which the lab then sends to a qualified person. Therefore, five days is completely unrealistic. In addition,

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH JOINTLY WITH 98 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS currently only test results that show non-compliance need to be reported to the Department of Health. test results and reports are extensive and would not be up loadable to the current portal due to their size. These reports and results must be kept on site for at least three years and are available to inspectors. We believe that these current reporting requirements are entirely adequate for protecting human health. Regarding Intro 1164, this bill largely cleans up and updates reporting requirements under Local Law 77 of 2015. It does, however, add the requirement that the results of each 90 day inspection be posted electronically. Again, these reports are extensive and technical and it is not immediately clear how posting every one of them electrically will forward the goal of protecting human health. The vast majority will show compliance with Legionella results and normal functioning cooling towers. Non-compliant tests, mitigation efforts, and mitigation results are already submitted to the Department of Health. Therefore, we oppose this new requirement as unnecessary and burdensome. Once again, thank you for this opportunity to testify on the oversight of cooling tower regulations and on

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2 these proposed laws. I'd be happy to take any
3 questions.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Thank you for your testimony. In your testimony you speak of the recent decrease in fines. Earlier, Department of Health testified that that was directly attributed to the period of compliance and people getting to know the law and now complying. Do you agree with that or is there another way you'd attribute the decrease?

LAURA BELT-PONOMAREV: I think it's a little bit of both. The Department of Health has done a great job, better training some of their inspectors but some of the violations that you're seeing down at OATH, for example. I'm a building owner and I spend half a day at OATH to clear violations that were administrative. They were actually cited for drinking water but this was on a cooling tower violation so some of them are cited incorrectly and then they were dismissed but again, they were administered if they had nothing to do with the safety of public health and I spent half a day down at OATH clearing a violation that really had nothing to do with public safety or health.

2.2

3

4

1

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: So, co-chair, they reported a very high number of fines. What was it, 75,000 or something and then when we broke it down, we came to find out that a lot of those, maybe the vast majority was administrative. That's the way I understood the Department of Health's testimony as it related to the fines and fees.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: We actually had a breakdown that our wonderful staff showed me. guess only about 4,000 or so are being paid in full every year. A lot of them are dismissed, preponderance, maybe even close to half. I don't know the exact number.

LAURA BELT-PONOMAREV: Right and I was here during the Department of Health's testimony and if I scribbled my notes correctly, they said 73,000 violations annually, only about 5,000 are public health violations which are 6%. These two laws, these local laws that you are proposing are additional administrative burdens on building owners and managers. It is additional time that we spend out of our buildings protecting our tenants on a variety of different issues that come across and just add more administrative work and do not protect

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS public health which is the purpose of these laws so that's why we are commenting just on these two because we oppose this additional administrative burden not to mention when we go to OATH, there is no Department of Health representative there so it's up to the OATH clerk, which is under the jurisdiction of the DOB to be trained on these cooling towers which is not their area. It's the Department of Health's area. CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: I just want to say, 

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: I just want to say,

I respect and appreciate that perspective on those.

Thank you for your testimony.

LAURA BELT-PONOMAREV: Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: So we thank you for your testimony. It was quite thorough and adds a lot to the narrative. I hope there's someone from the Department of Health, we know nobody from the Department of Buildings is here, but the Department of Health was here to hear your testimony. If not, it is on the record and I will be able to cite especially from the perspective of small business owners, that perspective.

2.2

1	COMMITTEE ON HEALTH JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 102
2	LAURA BELT-PONOMAREV: Thank you very
3	much.
4	CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Thank you and this
5	hearing is adjourned. [gavel]
6	CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: I would like to
7	announce the presence of Council Member Jumaane
8	Williams from Brooklyn.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER JUMAANE WILLIAMS: Thank
10	you very much and I just want to ask to be added on
11	to all the bills. Thank you very much.
12	CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Thank you. This
13	hearing is once again concluded. [gavel]
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

## ${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date November 12, 2018